## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No.: <b>3:05-CV-2260-P</b>
	§	
ALLIXON INTERNATIONAL CORP.,	§	
SILVER LAKE INVESTMENTS, INC.,	§	
CRESCENDO INVESTMENTS, INC.,	§	
and	§	
HANK A. VANDERKAM,	§	
Defendants.	<b>§</b>	

#### **AMENDED COMPLAINT**

The United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") files this first amended complaint against Defendants Allixon International Corp., Silver Lake Investments, Inc., Crescendo Investments, Inc., and Hank A. Vanderkam and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

### **SUMMARY**

1. The Commission is seeking to halt an ongoing, unregistered, distribution of stock of Allixon International Corp. ("Allixon") by Silver Lake Investments, Inc., and Crescendo Investments, Inc., two affiliated offshore entities domiciled in the Turks and Caicos Islands, British West Indies. Silver Lake and Crescendo acquired 1.3 million unrestricted shares of Allixon, a South Korea-based company, for \$13,000, through Allixon's bogus offering under Rule 504 of Regulation D. These shares constituted 94% of Allixon's public float. Between August 29, 2005, and November 17, 2005, Silver Lake and Crescendo publicly sold

Case 3:05-cv-02260-P Document 74 Filed 02/12/07 Page 2 of 9 PageID 925

approximately one million shares into the market through a U.S. brokerage firm in Dallas, Texas

for approximately \$4.3 million.

2. Allixon's issuance of the 1.3 million unrestricted shares and the subsequent sales

into the market were made in blatant violation of the Securities Act registration provisions.

None of the defendants ever filed a valid registration statement with the Commission or any

state. The Allixon shares were issued without a restrictive legend based on an opinion letter

written by attorney Hank A. Vanderkam that the offering complied with "Section (sic) 504 of

Regulation D and the laws of the State of Texas." In fact, the offering failed to comply with the

requirements of Rule 504 that would permit the issuance of unrestricted shares and the offering

had no connection with the State of Texas. Moreover, the sales of the Allixon securities by

Silver Lake and Crescendo into the U.S. markets did not comply with any valid exemption from

registration relating to such sales.

3. The Commission, in the interest of protecting the public from any further illegal

activity, brings this action against the Defendants, seeking permanent injunctive relief,

disgorgement of all illicit profits and benefits Defendants have received plus accrued

prejudgment interest and civil monetary penalties in addition to the emergency relief previously

ordered by the Court.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to § 22(a) of the Securities

Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act"). Defendants, directly and indirectly, made use of the mails

and of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce in connection with the acts,

practices and courses of business described in this Complaint. Venue is proper because many of

the transactions, acts, practices and courses of business described below occurred within the jurisdiction of the Northern District of Texas.

### **PARTIES**

- Delaware corporation based in Seoul, South Korea whose common stock is quoted on the Pink Sheets over-the-counter market. The company maintains a website at www.allixon.com. Allixon purports to be in the business of providing radio frequency identification technology and mobile internet solutions. Prior to the Commission's suit, its website claimed the company was headquartered in Houston, Texas. The company, however, neither maintained an office in Texas nor conducted business in Texas. Allixon has never validly registered any securities offerings with the Commission or any state or filed any reports with the Commission. Neither the company's website, nor the Pink Sheets website has any financial information about the company.
- 6. **Silver Lake Investments, Inc.**, is an offshore entity domiciled in the Turks and Caicos Islands, British West Indies. Silver Lake Investments has defaulted in this proceeding. In July 2005, Silver Lake purchased 500,000 unrestricted shares of Allixon stock for \$.01 per share.
- 7. **Crescendo Investments, Inc.**, is an offshore entity Turks and Caicos Islands, British West Indies. Crescendo has defaulted in this proceeding. In July 2005, Crescendo purchased 800,000 unrestricted shares of Allixon stock for \$.01 per share.
- 8. **Hank A. Vanderkam,** age 61, of Houston, Texas, was Allixon's corporate counsel and is the principal of the law firm of Vanderkam and Associates, which is located at the same Houston, Texas address that Allixon listed on its website as its headquarters. Vanderkam

Case 3:05-cv-02260-P Document 74 Filed 02/12/07 Page 4 of 9 PageID 927

prepared the opinion letter that directed Allixon's transfer agent to issue the 1.3 million shares

without a restrictive legend based on Rule 504 under the Securities Act.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

9. Allixon and its predecessor companies have been continuously quoted on the Pink

Sheets since October 21, 1999. According to the Pink Sheets website, Allixon was known as

Southwest Royalty Corp. until May 1996, at which time it became Classic Vision Entertainment,

Inc. ("CLVE"). On or about June 20, 2005, CLVE reduced its outstanding shares to

approximately 122,000 shares through a 750 for 1 reverse stock split. On or about July 14, 2005,

CLVE acquired a private South Korean company, Allixon Co. Ltd., in a reverse merger

transaction in which it purportedly issued 25 million restricted shares to the shareholders of the

private company. CLVE then changed its name to Allixon International.

10. On July 14, 2005, the same day Allixon's Board of Directors approved the reverse

merger, the board also authorized the issuance of 1.3 million shares, "which were sold pursuant

to the [Company's] 504 offering now pending." As of that date, Allixon had not filed a

registration statement with the Commission or any state. According to a Small Company

Offering Registration Form ("SCOR Form") prepared by Vanderkam's law firm for a Rule 504

offering in Texas, but never filed with Texas State Securities Board, the offering was for the

maximum amount of \$60,000 and was only available for sale in Texas. The only purchasers in

this offering were Silver Lake (500,000 shares) and Crescendo (800,000 shares), both foreign

entities with no known business activities in Texas. In total, they purportedly paid \$13,000 for

the 1.3 million shares. Silver Lake and Crescendo acquired these shares with a view to

immediately resell the shares into the market.

Case 3:05-cv-02260-P Document 74 Filed 02/12/07 Page 5 of 9 PageID 928

11. On July 15, 2005, Vanderkam provided an opinion letter directing Allixon's

transfer agent, located in Dallas, Texas, to issue 1.3 million shares to Silver Lake and Crescendo.

The opinion letter stated, incorrectly, "[b]ecause the securities were sold pursuant to the

provision of Section 504 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, no

restrictive legend is required to be placed on the shares." Accordingly, on July 27, 2005,

Allixon's transfer agent issued 1.3 million unrestricted shares to Silver Lake and Crescendo. As

a result, Silver Lake and Crescendo owned 94% of the entire public float.

12. The transfer agent was also instructed to send the Silver Lake and Crescendo

shares to Temple Securities, Ltd., a broker-dealer located in the Turks and Caicos. Documents

provided to the transfer agent reflect that Silver Lake and Crescendo have the same business

address in the Turks and Caicos as Temple Securities. Shortly thereafter, all of the Silver Lake

and Crescendo shares were returned to the transfer agent with instructions that the shares be re-

issued in the name of Temple Securities. Between mid-August 2005 and September 30, 2005, all

of the 1.3 million shares originally issued to Silver Lake and Crescendo were deposited into a

Temple Securities brokerage account maintained at Synergy Investment Group, an introducing

brokerage firm located in Charlotte, North Carolina. Synergy clears its securities transactions

through Penson Financial Services in Dallas, Texas. All of Temple Securities' trading activity in

Allixon occurred in the Synergy account and cleared through Penson Financial in Dallas.

13. Allixon began trading under its new symbol ("AXCP") and new CUSIP number

on August 5, 2005, when it closed at \$1.50 on very little sales volume. Prior to August 29, 2005,

there was very little trading activity in the stock. At the request, Silver Lake and Crescendo, its

customers, Temple Securities began selling the shares into the market on August 29, 2005,

coinciding with Allixon's announcement of its name change, the reverse merger and a wave of

Case 3:05-cv-02260-P Document 74 Filed 02/12/07 Page 6 of 9 PageID 929

spam e-mails touting the stock. From August 31, 2005, through October 5, 2005, Allixon issued

seven press releases announcing various contracts and business relationships with South Korean

entities, including with the Korean government. In general, the releases claimed that Allixon

was in collaboration with IBM Korea, Korean agencies and Korean universities, to develop

software associated with radio frequency identification technology. Allixon's stock was also

touted in several world-wide spam e-mail campaigns. The e-mails repeat much of the

information in the company's press releases and issued "strong buy" recommendations with

stock price targets as high as \$20 per share.

14. At the direction of the principals of Silver Lake and Crescendo, Temple Securities

placed its first sell orders in Allixon stock on August 29, 2005, selling a total of 10,600 shares at

prices between \$2.75 and \$2.85 per share. Between that date and the date the Commission filed

suit, the daily volume in Allixon shares averaged 71,873 shares at prices between \$1.50 and \$7.

Temple Securities sold Allixon shares on a daily basis in amounts ranging from 100 shares to

82,000 shares. Temple sold at least 943,000 shares, yielding proceeds in excess of \$4.3 million.

As of November 9, 2005, the Synergy account held approximately 361,000 Allixon shares with a

market value of approximately \$1.9 million.

15. After Temple Securities began selling its stock, the National Association of

Securities Dealers initiated an informal inquiry into the trading of Allixon's securities on the

Pink Sheets and contacted Allixon and Vanderkam's lawfirm. Vandkerkam learned that the

offering did not comply with any state law exemption in Texas and was advised by an attorney

with the Texas State Securities Board that the offering "will not work in Texas." On September

16, 2005, two months after Allixon had issued the 1.3 million unrestricted shares and after the

NASD had contacted Allixon about its securities trading, Vanderkam filed a SCOR Form

registration statement on behalf of Allixon with the State of New York. The SCOR Form was

dated June 15, 2005, and stated that the offering was only available to Texas residents. The

representation made to the State of New York was inconsistent with statements made to the

Commission in its investigation and the fact that the Allixon shares were purportedly sold to

offshore investors. Further, the SCOR Form filed with the state of New York was not a valid

securities registration statement. Vanderkam's lawfirm received \$25,406 for its work relating to

Allixon's unregistered securities offering.

**CLAIM** 

Violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act

16. Plaintiff Commission repeats and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 15 of this

Complaint by reference as if set forth verbatim.

17. Defendants, directly or indirectly, singly or in concert with others, have been

offering to sell, selling and delivering after sale, certain securities, and has been, directly and

indirectly: (a) making use of the means and instruments of transportation and communication in

interstate commerce and of the mails to sell securities, through the use of written contracts,

offering documents and otherwise; (b) carrying and causing to be carried through the mails and

in interstate commerce by the means and instruments of transportation, such securities for the

purpose of sale and for delivery after sale; and (c) making use of the means or instruments of

transportation and communication in interstate commerce and of the mails to offer to sell such

securities.

18. As described in paragraphs 1 through 15, Defendants' securities were offered and

sold to the public through a general solicitation of investors. No registration statements were

ever filed with the Commission or otherwise in effect with respect to these transactions.

Case 3:05-cv-02260-P Document 74 Filed 02/12/07 Page 8 of 9 PageID 931

19. Silver Lake and Crescendo were "affiliates," as that term is defined in Section

2(11) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77b(11)], with respect to their participation in the

unregistered public distribution of Allixon shares. Further, Silver Lake and Crescendo were

"underwriters," as that term is defined in Section 2(11) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §

77b(11)], with respect to their participation in the unregistered public distribution of Allixon

shares.

20. Vanderkam was a necessary and substantial participant in the unregistered public

distribution of Allixon stock. The unregistered public distribution of Allixon stock would not

have been possible without Vanderkam's issuance of an opinion letter to Allixon's transfer agent

calling for the issuance of stock certificates that did not bear restrictive legends.

21. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants violated and, unless enjoined, will

continue to violate Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§77e(a) and 77e(c)].

RELIEF REQUESTED

The Commission seeks the following relief:

22. A Permanent Injunction against all Defendants enjoining them from continuing

violations of Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act.

23. Disgorgement of all illicit proceeds and benefits plus prejudgment interest

realized by Defendants and all investor funds as a result of participation in or attributable to the

scheme alleged herein.

24. A civil monetary penalty against Defendants as provided by statute and

determined by the Court to be just and proper.

25. Such other and further relief as the Commission may show itself entitled.

# Respectfully submitted,

DATED: February 12, 2007

/s Harold R. Loftin, Jr.
HAROLD R. LOFTIN, JR.
Texas Bar No. 12487090
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Burnett Plaza, Suite 1900
801 Cherry Street, Unit #18
Fort Worth, TX 76102-6882
(817) 978-6450
(817) 978-4927 (fax)