

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
Release No. 87566 / November 18, 2019

Admin. Proc. File No. 3-19286

In the Matter of  
  
HYBRID COATING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENTS CORP., AND  
ORO EAST MINING, INC.,  
  
Respondents.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO HYBRID COATING TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AND  
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENTS CORP.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (“OIP”) on July 29, 2019, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, against respondents Hybrid Coating Technologies, Inc., and Infrastructure Developments Corp. (collectively, “Respondents”).<sup>1</sup>

On August 8, 2019, the Division of Enforcement filed the Declaration of David S. Frye, which stated that, pursuant to Rule 141(a)(2)(ii) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice,<sup>2</sup> service of the OIP was made on Hybrid Coating Technologies, Inc., on July 31, 2019; and service of the OIP was made on Infrastructure Developments Corp. on July 31, 2019.

As stated in the OIP, Respondents’ answers were required to be filed within ten days of service of the OIP.<sup>3</sup> As of the date of this order, Respondents have not filed answers. The prehearing conference and the hearing are thus continued indefinitely.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Hybrid Coating Techs., Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 86503, 2019 WL 3425120 (July 29, 2019). The OIP also instituted proceedings against Oro East Mining, Inc. The Commission subsequently revoked the registration of the securities of Oro East Mining, Inc., pursuant to a settlement. *Hybrid Coating Techs., Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 86716, 2019 WL 3947984 (Aug. 20, 2019).

<sup>2</sup> 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii).

<sup>3</sup> Rules of Practice 151(a), 160(b), 220(b), 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.151(a), .160(b), .220(b).

Accordingly, Respondents are ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE by December 2, 2019, why the registrations of their securities should not be revoked by default due to their failures to file an answer and to otherwise defend this proceeding. When a party defaults, the allegations in the OIP will be deemed to be true and the Commission may determine the proceeding against that party upon consideration of the record without holding a public hearing.

If Respondents fail to respond to this order to show cause, they may be deemed in default, the proceeding may be determined against them, and the registrations of their securities may be revoked.<sup>4</sup> Upon review of the filings in response to this order, the Commission will either direct further proceedings by subsequent order or issue a final order resolving the matter.

For the Commission, by the Office of the General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman  
Secretary

---

<sup>4</sup> Rules of Practice 155, 180, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155, .180; *see Hybrid Coating Techs.*, 2019 WL 3425120, at \*3 (“If Respondents fail to file the directed Answers, . . . [they] may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against them . . .”).