Your letter dated June 25, 2013 requests our assurance that we would not recommend enforcement action to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") under Section 5(b) or Section 6(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") against Eaton Vance Floating-Rate Income Trust, Eaton Vance Senior Income Trust, Eaton Vance Senior Floating-Rate Trust, Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund, or Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II (each, a "Fund," and collectively, the "Funds"), each of which filed and had declared effective, or intends to file and have declared effective, by the Commission a shelf registration statement on Form N-2 ("Registration Statement"), if a Fund files a post-effective amendment to its Registration Statement pursuant to Rule 486(b) under the Securities Act under the circumstances set forth in your letter.

Background

You state that each Fund is a closed-end management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Investment Company Act"). Each Fund filed and had declared effective, or intends to file and have declared effective, by the Commission its Registration Statement pursuant to which it may issue common shares on a delayed basis in accordance with Rule 415(a)(1)(x) under the Securities Act and the positions of the Commission staff. Eaton Vance Management serves as the investment adviser to each Fund. Each Fund's common shares are registered under Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange or NYSE MKT LLC, as applicable. Eaton Vance Floating-Rate Income Trust has a fiscal year ending on May 31. Eaton Vance Senior Income Trust has a fiscal year ending on June 30. Eaton Vance Senior Floating-Rate Trust has a fiscal year ending on October 31. Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust has a fiscal year ending on November 30. Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II have a fiscal year ending on September 30.

You state that each Fund's board of trustees (the "Board"), including a majority of independent trustees, has concluded that a continuously effective shelf registration statement would be beneficial to each Fund, its shareholders and potential investors. You state that each Fund, therefore, needs a continuously effective Registration Statement, and annually would have to file post-effective amendments to its Registration Statement pursuant to Section 8(c) of the

1 See Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund, SEC Staff No-Action Letter (Oct. 6, 2006); Pilgrim America Prime Rate Trust, SEC Staff No-Action Letter (May 1, 1998) ("Pilgrim Letter").
Securities Act ("Post-Effective Amendments") to bring the Fund's financial statements up to
date or to make other non-material changes. You further state that each Fund, its shareholders
and potential investors would benefit if Post-Effective Amendments filed for the purpose of
bringing the Fund's financial statements up to date or to make any other non-material changes
were effective immediately, as permitted by Rule 486(b) under the Securities Act available to
certain registered closed-end investment companies. You state that utilization of Rule 486(b)
would help ensure that the Funds have the ability to raise capital as the opportunity arises, and
could reduce expenses incurred by the Funds in the Post-Effective Amendment process. You
further state that due to the limited purpose for which the Funds would use Rule 486(b), no
erosion of investor protection would occur and investors could have faster access to important
information about the Funds, including their updated financial information.

Discussion

Rule 486(b) under the Securities Act, in relevant part, states that a post-effective
amendment to a registration statement filed by a registered closed-end management investment
company which makes periodic repurchase offers under Rule 23c-3 under the Investment
Company Act ("Interval Fund") shall become effective on the date on which it is filed with the
Commission, provided that certain conditions are met. The conditions of Rule 486(b) require,
among other things, that the post-effective amendment be filed for no purpose other than, among
other things, bringing the financial statements up to date or making non-material changes, and
that the registrant make certain representations concerning the purpose for which the amendment
is filed.

In adopting Rule 486(b) in 1994, the Commission recognized that Interval Funds may
have a need to raise capital continuously, and therefore need continuously effective registration
statements and would benefit if certain filings could become effective automatically. The
Commission staff in 1998 recognized that registered closed-end management investment
companies such as the Funds, which are not Interval Funds, also may benefit from the flexibility
to take advantage of favorable market conditions to raise additional capital through continuous or
delayed offerings of their securities. You assert that the Funds and their shareholders also
would benefit if the Funds' Post-Effective Amendments that comply with the conditions of Rule
486(b) could become effective immediately pursuant to that Rule.

You represent that each filing made in reliance on the requested relief would be made in
compliance with the conditions of Rule 486(b), and that each Fund will file a Post-Effective
Amendment containing a prospectus pursuant to Section 8(c) of the Securities Act prior to any
offering of its securities at a price below net asset value. You also represent that in relying on the
requested relief to sell common shares, each Fund will sell newly issued shares at a price no

---

2 See Post-Effective Amendments to Investment Company Registration Statements, Investment Company
Act Release No. 20486 (Aug. 17, 1994), n.22 and accompanying text. An Interval Fund operates pursuant to a
fundamental policy that requires the Interval Fund to make periodic offers to repurchase its common stock in an
amount not less than five percent of the outstanding shares. See Rule 23c-3 under the Investment Company Act.
These repurchase offers may create a need for the Interval Fund to replenish its assets by making a continuous or
intermittent offering of its common stock. See Continuous or Delayed Offerings by Certain Closed-End
Management Investment Companies; Automatic Effectiveness of Certain Registration Statements and Post-Effective

3 See Pilgrim Letter, supra note 1, at n.12 and accompanying text.
lower than the sum of the Fund’s net asset value plus the per share commission or underwriting
discount.  

Conclusion

Based on the facts and representations set forth in your letter, we would not recommend
that the Commission take any enforcement action under Section 5(b) or Section 6(a) of the
Securities Act against the Funds if the Funds file Post-Effective Amendments to their
Registration Statements pursuant to Rule 486(b) under the Securities Act. This response
expresses our view on enforcement action only and does not express any legal or interpretive
conclusion on the issues presented. Because our position is based upon all of the facts and
representations in your letter, any different facts or representations may require a different
conclusion. We note that each Fund has acknowledged that the staff may withdraw any
assurance granted in this letter if the staff finds that the Fund is misusing Rule 486(b) or for any
other reason.

Adam Glazer
Senior Counsel

---

4 See Pilgrim Letter, supra note 1, at n.4 and accompanying text.

5 The Division of Investment Management generally permits third parties to rely on no-action or interpretive
letters to the extent that the third party’s facts and circumstances are substantially similar to those described in the
underlying request for a no-action or interpretive letter. See Informal Guidance Program for Small Entities,
Investment Company Act Release No. 22587 (Mar. 27, 1997), n.20. In light of the very fact-specific nature of the
Funds’ request, however, the position expressed in this letter applies only to the Funds, and no other entity may rely
on this position. The staff is willing to consider similar requests from other registered closed-end management
investment companies.
June 25, 2013

Douglas J. Scheidt, Esq.
Associate Director and Chief Counsel
Division of Investment Management
United States Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549

Dear Mr. Scheidt:

On behalf of Eaton Vance Floating-Rate Income Trust, Eaton Vance Senior Income Trust, Eaton Vance Senior Floating-Rate Trust, Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund and Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II (together the “Trusts” and each, individually, a “Trust”), we seek assurance that the staff of the Division of Investment Management (the “Staff”) will not recommend enforcement action against the Trusts to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) under Section 5(b) or Section 6(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), if the Trusts utilize Rule 486(b) of the Securities Act to file post-effective amendments to their registration statements in satisfaction of the undertakings contained in each Trust’s registration statement, under the circumstances set forth in this letter.

I. Background

Each of the Trusts is a closed-end management investment company that is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “Investment Company Act”). Eaton Vance Management serves as the investment adviser to the Trusts. Eaton Vance Floating-Rate Income Trust has a fiscal year ending May 31, Eaton Vance Senior Income Trust has a fiscal year ending June 30, Eaton Vance Senior Floating-Rate Trust has a fiscal year ending October 31, Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust has a fiscal year ending November 30, Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund has a fiscal year ending September 30 and Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II has a fiscal year ending September 30. Each Trust’s common shares are registered under Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and have been listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange or the NYSE MKT LLC, as applicable, since the inception of each such Trust. Each Trust has filed a shelf
registration statement on Form N-2 pursuant to which it has issued, or intends to issue, securities on a delayed basis in accordance with the terms of Rule 415(a)(1)(x) under the Securities Act and the positions of the Staff articulated in Pilgrim America Prime Rate Trust (pub. avail. May 1, 1998) ("Pilgrim") and Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund (pub. avail. October 6, 2006) ("Nuveen I"). Eaton Vance Senior Income Trust and Eaton Vance Senior Floating-Rate Trust were declared effective on November 14, 2012. Eaton Vance Floating-Rate Income Trust was declared effective on January 17, 2013.1

In approving the Trust’s shelf registration statements, the Board of Trustees (the “Board”) of each Trust, including a majority of the independent trustees, considered the benefits to the Trust and its shareholders of the ability to raise capital through the public offering of additional securities on a delayed and continuous basis. The Board also considered that a continuously effective shelf registration statement is beneficial to the Trusts, their shareholders and potential investors. However, the Trusts might be unable to sell securities pursuant to their effective shelf registration statements for significant portions of each year, to the detriment of the Trusts and their shareholders, due to the post-effective amendment process currently required to bring the Trusts’ financial statements up to date. To address this, each Trust is seeking relief to allow it to utilize Rule 486(b) under the Securities Act, which is available to certain registered closed-end investment companies,2 to file post-effective amendments to their shelf registration statements in order to bring their financial statements up to date, or to make any other non-material changes. If this relief is granted, investors would benefit from the Trusts’ ability to raise capital in continuous offerings of their securities at non-dilutive prices, without significant periods of disruption to such offering process. In addition, Trust shareholders would benefit from considerable cost savings, as expenses incurred in respect of the current post-effective amendment process are significant. Due to the limited purpose for which the Trusts propose to use Rule 486(b), no erosion of investor protections would occur.

---


2 The Trusts are not organized as interval funds pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Investment Company Act, and therefore Rule 486(b), on its face, is not currently available to the Trusts.
II. Discussion

Section 5(b)(1) of the Securities Act makes it unlawful for any person directly or indirectly to transmit, through interstate commerce, a prospectus relating to any security with respect to which a registration statement has been filed, unless the prospectus meets the requirements of Section 10 of the Securities Act. Similarly, Section 5(b)(2) of the Securities Act makes it unlawful for any person directly or indirectly to carry or cause to be carried any security for the purpose of sale or delivery, unless preceded or accompanied by a prospectus that meets the requirements of Section 10(a) of the Securities Act.

Section 10(a)(1) of the Securities Act, in pertinent part, states that a prospectus relating to a security – other than a security issued by a foreign issuer – shall contain the information contained in the issuer’s registration statement. Section 10(a)(3) states that, notwithstanding Section 10(a)(1), a prospectus that is used more than nine months after the effective date of the registration statement must have information as of a date not more than sixteen months prior to such use, so far as the information is known to the user of the prospectus or can be furnished by the user of the prospectus without unreasonable effort or expense (a “10(a)(3) Prospectus”).

Open-end management investment companies (“Open-end Funds”), unit investment trusts, and face-amount certificate companies are required by Section 24(e) of the Investment Company Act to use a 10(a)(3) Prospectus that does not vary from the latest prospectus filed as part of a post-effective amendment to the fund’s registration statement. Open-end Funds satisfy this requirement by filing a post-effective amendment pursuant to Rule 485, which provides for automatic or immediate effectiveness.\(^3\) Notably, however, Section 24(e) does not apply to closed-end management investment companies, and there is no statutory requirement mandating that a closed-end fund make such a post-effective filing.\(^4\) Instead, Rule 415(a)(3) requires a registrant that is an investment company filing on Form N-2 to furnish the undertakings required by Item 34.4 of Form N-2. Item 34.4.a of Form N-2 (the registration statement utilized by closed-end funds) requires closed-end funds to undertake “to file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to the registration statement: (1) to include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the 1933 Act.”

\(^3\) Rule 485(a) permits automatic effectiveness after the passage of a specified period of time. Rule 485(b) provides for immediate effectiveness of filings made for certain purposes, including, among other things, updating financial statements and making non-material changes.

Each Trust has made this undertaking in its registration statement. As a consequence, each Trust currently is or will be required to file a post-effective amendment on an annual basis to update its shelf registration statement with its audited financial statements in accordance with this undertaking, as well as to make any non-material updates. Each Trust will satisfy this undertaking by filing a post-effective amendment with the Commission pursuant to Section 8(c) of the Securities Act. Section 8(c) does not provide a mechanism for automatic effectiveness.\(^5\) A post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Section 8(c) must be declared effective by the Staff in order to take effect. This process subjects the filings to Staff review and comment, even for routine non-material amendments, which in the Trusts’ experience is a lengthy process. During this period, no issuances can take place, thereby preventing the Trusts from taking advantage of what may be an attractive market to raise assets for the benefit of Trust shareholders.

Closed-end funds that are operated as interval funds pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Investment Company Act are not subject to these delays. Rule 486(b) provides that a post-effective amendment to an effective registration statement, or a registration statement for additional shares of common stock, filed by a registered closed-end management investment company or business development company which makes periodic repurchase offers under Rule 23c-3 under the Investment Company Act (“Interval Funds”) shall become immediately effective on the date it is filed, or on a later date designated by the registrant that is no more than 30 days after the filing is made, provided that the post-effective amendment or the registration statement is filed solely: (i) to register additional shares of common stock for which a registration statement filed on Form N-2 is effective, (ii) to bring the financial statements up to date under Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act or Rule 3-18 of Regulation S-X, (iii) to designate a new effective date for a previously filed post-effective amendment or registration statement for additional shares under Rule 486(a), which has not yet become effective, (iv) to disclose or update the information required by Item 9c of Form N-2,\(^6\) (v) to make any non-material changes the registrant deems appropriate, and (vi) for any other purpose the Commission shall approve.

In the adopting release for Rule 486, the Commission stated that “[t]he initial proposal of rule 486 recognized that closed-end interval funds may need continuously

\(^5\) But see supra note 3 and accompanying text for a discussion of Rule 485, which provides for automatic and immediate effectiveness for Open-end Funds.

\(^6\) We note that Form N-2 does not have, and has never had, an “Item 9c.” Based upon a review of the administrative history of Rule 486, we believe that this should be a reference to Item 9.1.c. of Form N-2, which relates to information regarding individual portfolio managers. Accordingly, the Trusts plan to treat the reference to “Item 9c” as a reference to Item 9.1.c. of Form N-2.
effective registration statements and would benefit if certain filings could become effective automatically." The Trusts believe that this line of thought should be extended to them as closed-end funds that are conducting offerings pursuant to Rule 415(a)(1)(x).

Recently, your office has concurred with this approach. In Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (pub. avail. Nov. 9, 2010) (“Nuveen II”), Calamos Advisors LLC (pub. avail. Feb. 14, 2011) (“Calamos”), and Aberdeen Australia Equity Fund, Inc. (pub. avail. April 12, 2012) (“Aberdeen”), the Staff granted no-action assurances to three closed-end fund complexes that were engaged in a delayed or continuous offering pursuant to Rule 415(a)(1)(x). In the letters, the Staff agreed not to recommend enforcement action to the Commission under Sections 5(b) and 6(a) of the Securities Act based on the representation that the respective funds’ board of directors approved the funds’ delayed or continuous offerings, the representation that each fund’s post-effective amendments would comply with the conditions of Rule 486(b), and the representation that each fund would file a Post-Effective Amendment containing a prospectus pursuant to Section 8(c) of the Securities Act prior to any offering of its securities at a price below net asset value.

Your office has been clear that, “[i]n light of the very facts specific nature” of the requests, this relief is limited on its face to the addressees of the no-action letters. Your office has gone on to note, however, that it “is willing to consider similar requests from other registered closed-end management investment companies.”

We submit that the Trusts are similarly situated to the funds in the Nuveen II, Calamos and Aberdeen letters for purposes of this relief. As was the case with each of the funds in the Nuveen II, Calamos and Aberdeen letters, each Trust’s Board, including a majority of its independent trustees, considered the benefits to each Trust and its shareholders of the continued ability to raise capital through the public offering of additional securities on a delayed and continuous basis. In addition, each Trust’s Board considered that a continuously effective shelf registration statement would be beneficial to the Trusts, their shareholders and potential investors. In furtherance of these considerations, each Trust has an effective registration statement on file with the Commission pursuant to which the Trust may issue securities on a delayed and continuous basis in accordance with Rule 415(a)(1)(x) under the Securities Act and the positions of the Commission staff in the Nuveen I and Pilgrim letters.

As is the case with Interval Funds, the Trusts and their common shareholders would also benefit from having continuously effective registration statements. The ability to utilize

---

Rule 486(b) under the Securities Act would have significant benefits for the Trusts and their investors:

- The Trusts would have the ability to raise capital as the opportunity arises;
- The Trusts would reduce the expenses they presently incur as part of the registration statement review and comment process, thus benefiting shareholders; and
- Investors could have faster access to important information about the Trusts, including their updated financial information.

In addition, because Rule 486(b) would only permit the Trusts to update their financial statements, or to make non-material changes to their registration statements, the Trusts believe that the public policy of protecting investors would be safeguarded. The Trusts represent that in each case such filings would be made in compliance with the conditions of Rule 486(b), and that each Trust will file a Post-Effective Amendment containing a prospectus pursuant to Section 8(c) of the Securities Act prior to any offering of its securities at a price below net asset value. Each Trust, in reliance on the requested relief to sell common shares will sell newly issued shares at a price no lower than the sum of the Trust’s net asset value plus the per share commission or underwriting discount.\(^8\)

The Trusts would utilize Rule 486(b) to file post-effective amendments only for purposes of: (1) bringing the financial statements of a Trust up to date under Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act or Rule 3-18 of Regulation S-X, (2) to update the information required by Item 9.1.c of Form N-2, or (3) to make any non-material changes the registrant deems appropriate.

### Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, we seek your assurances that the Staff will deem the Trusts to have complied with their undertaking provided in response to Item 34.4.a of Form N-2, and will not recommend enforcement action against the Trusts to the Commission under Section 5(b) or Section 6(a) of the Securities Act if the Trusts utilize Rule 486(b) of the Securities Act, under the circumstances set forth above.

Each Trust acknowledges that the Staff may withdraw any assurance granted in response to this letter if the Staff finds that the Trust is misusing Rule 486(b), or for any other

---

reason. Please contact the undersigned at (617) 951-9068, with any questions or comments regarding this letter.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Trayne S. Wheeler

cc: Valerie J. Lithotomos
    U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
    Frederick S. Marius
    Stephanie Rosander
    Eaton Vance Management
    Mark P. Goshko
    K&L Gates LLP