SHAREHOLDER NOTICES OF THE SOURCES OF FUND DISTRIBUTIONS – ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

The staff has been asked whether management investment companies (funds) registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (1940 Act) that make certain types of distributions to their shareholders may electronically deliver to their shareholders the required “written statement” describing the sources of those distributions. Electronic media may be a more efficient, effective and timely means of delivering the required information to fund shareholders. The staff’s view is that funds may electronically deliver to their shareholders the required “written statement” describing the sources of their distributions.

Background

Section 19(a) of the 1940 Act generally prohibits a fund from making a distribution from any source other than the fund’s net income, unless that payment is accompanied by a written statement that adequately discloses the source or sources of the payment.\(^1\) Rule 19a-1 under the 1940 Act specifies the information required to be disclosed in the written statement (Rule 19a-1 Notice).\(^2\) Rule 19a-1(a) also states that every written statement “shall be made on a separate paper.”

As innovations and advances in technology have facilitated the expanding use of electronic media, the Commission has periodically issued interpretive guidance on how registrants may use electronic media to deliver information to investors.\(^3\) This Commission guidance, however, has not focused specifically on Rule 19a-1, and the rule was last amended in 1973, before document delivery through electronic media was possible.

Electronic Delivery of Rule 19a-1 Notices

Notwithstanding the rule’s provision referencing “a separate paper,” the staff believes that electronic delivery of a Rule 19a-1 Notice, consistent with the Commission’s guidance, would satisfy the purposes and policies underlying Rule 19a-1.\(^4\) Moreover, electronic delivery may be a more efficient, effective and timely means of providing fund shareholders with the required information.\(^5\) The staff’s view reflects the framework
established by the Commission for satisfactory electronic delivery: notice, access and evidence of delivery. The staff’s view is predicated on compliance with all applicable Commission guidance on electronic delivery, including, without limitation: (i) electronic delivery of a Rule 19a-1 Notice in the form of an e-mail message to the fund shareholder containing the Rule 19a-1 Notice or a document link to the Rule 19a-1 Notice; (ii) the fund (or its intermediary) having obtained prior consent of the shareholder to receive fund shareholder communications through electronic means (Consent); and (iii) electronic delivery of Rule 19a-1 Notices only to fund shareholders that provided the Consent, and cessation of electronic delivery of Rule 19a-1 Notices to any fund shareholder who withdraws or revokes the Consent.
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