

December 16, 2014

**VIA FACSIMILE**

The Honorable Berle M. Schiller  
United States District Court  
601 Market Street  
Courtroom 13B  
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Re: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission v. Robert Stinson, Jr., et al.  
No. 10-cv-03130 (BMS) (E.D. Pa.)

Dear Judge Schiller:

The Receiver filed his Reply in Support of the Receiver's and Counsel's Final Fee Petition on December 15, 2014.

The Receiver premised his proposal on the basis of 257 potentially eligible investors, using the Commission's numbers. We learned that the Commission excluded from its calculation 17 investors who contributed less than \$2,000. Thus, to remain consistent with the Commission's investor base, the first tier that we proposed - \$0 - \$49,999, should be \$2000 - \$49,999. The Receiver's Alternative Proposal is summarized as follows:

| Net Loss          | Number of Investors | Amount Distributed to Each Investor |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| \$2000-\$49,999   | 136                 | \$350                               |
| \$50,000-\$99,000 | 74                  | \$550                               |
| \$100,000         | 47                  | \$850                               |
|                   | <b>257</b>          |                                     |

Notably, this modification does not change any of the Receiver's calculations in his Alternative Proposal.

Also, On October 31, 2014, the Receiver filed his Final Fee Application with the Court. Included in The Final Fee Application is a chart of all fees and expenses requested by the Receiver in Fee Applications 1-9 and the amounts awarded by the Court. After reconciling our calculations with the Commission, we learned the summary numbers for Fee Application 9, which appear on page 3 of the Final Fee Petition, are incorrect.

As displayed:

| Fee Petition | Total Fees Invoiced | Total Expenses Invoiced | Fees Paid    | Expenses Paid | Holdback paid | Total Holdback |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| 9            | \$234,585.86        | \$19,452.87             | \$215,132.99 | 0             | 0             | \$19,452.87    |

The corrected numbers are:

| Fee Petition | Total Fees Invoiced | Total Expenses Invoiced | Fees Paid    | Expenses Paid | Holdback paid | Total Holdback |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| 9            | \$230,662.29        | \$11,732.94             | \$212,771.29 | \$10,171.07   | 0             | \$19,452.87    |

We are providing this information simply for the sake of completeness as it has no bearing on the final fees and expenses requested by the Receiver and his counsel. Importantly, the total amount of the fees and expenses requested by the Receiver and his counsel, the total holdback and the amounts awarded by the Court, were reflected correctly in the Ninth Fee Application filed by the Receiver, and confirmed by the Commission.

Thank you for Your consideration of this letter.

Respectfully submitted,



GAETAN J. ALFANO

GJA/aal

cc: Catherine E. Pappas, Esquire