1 2	Keshia W. Ellis (Michigan Bar # P65146) (<u>elliskw@sec.gov</u>) Senior Counsel		
3	Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 100 F Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20549-5631 Telephone: (202) 551-4406		
5			
6	Facsimile: (202) 772-9363		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. 3:14-cv-00123-WHA	
12	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF SECURITIES AND	
13	V.	EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO APPROVE THE	
14	DIAMOND FOODS, INC.	DISTRIBUTION PLAN	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	PLAINTIFF SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO APPROVE THE DISTRIBUTION PLAN		
19	<u>NOTICE</u>		
20	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that based upon the accompanying Motion Memorandum and		
21	Proposed Order and all other papers and proceedings herein Plaintiff United States Securities and		
22	Exchange Commission (the "Commission" or the "SEC") will move this Court, at a date and time to		
23	be determined by the Court, before the Honorable William H. Alsup, at the United States Courthouse		
24	for the Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102,		
25	for an order to approve the Distribution Plan.		
26			
27			
28			

Plaintiff's Motion for an Order To Approve the Distribution Plan CASE NO. 3:14-CV-00123-WHA

1 **MOTION** 2 Plaintiff, the SEC, respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order to approve the 3 Distribution Plan. The SEC has submitted a proposed Order to the Court contemporaneously with 4 the filing of this Motion. 5 I. Background 6 On January 9, 2014, the Commission filed separate actions against Diamond Foods, Inc. 7 ("Diamond"), a snack food company, and its former CEO, Michael Mendes ("Mendes"), and its 8 former CFO, Steven Neil ("Neil"), for their roles in an accounting scheme to falsify walnut costs in 9 order to boost earnings and meet estimates by stock analysts for fiscal quarters in 2010 and 2011. 10 Collectively, Diamond, Mendes, and Neil were ordered to and have paid a total of 11 \$5,250,000.00 in penalties (the "Distribution Fund"). 12 On October 18, 2016, the Court appointed Damasco & Associates LLP as the Tax 13 Administrator to fulfill the tax obligations of the Distribution Fund. 14 On November 4, 2016, the Court created a Fair Fund for the \$5,250,000.00 paid by Diamond, 15 Mendes, and Neil to be distributed to harmed investors and appointed Kurtzman Carson Consultants 16 as the Distribution Agent to oversee the distribution of the Fair Fund. 17 **II.** The Court Should Approve the Distribution Plan 18 The Commission seeks approval of its proposed Distribution Plan for the funds already 19 collected from Diamond, Mendes, and Neil. The Distribution Plan provides for a distribution to 20 certain individuals (the "Eligible Recipients") who were harmed by Diamond's, Mendes', and Neil's 21 roles in an accounting scheme to falsify walnut costs in order to boost earnings and meet estimates by 22 stock analysts for fiscal quarters in 2010 and 2011. Diamond, Mendes, and Neil were ordered, and 23 have paid, a total of 5,250,000.00 in penalties to the Commission. The Distribution Plan, subject to 24 Court approval, contemplates that \$5,250,000.00, plus any interest, minus any tax obligations and 25 fees and expenses of the tax administrator and distribution agent, will be distributed to Eligible 26 Recipients on a pro rata basis for the harm created by Diamond's, Mendes', and Neil's conduct. 27 Commission staff also plans to distribute any future funds received, plus interest, less any taxes and 28

Plaintiff's Motion for an Order To Approve the Distribution Plan

Case 3:14-cv-00123-WHA Document 15 Filed 11/30/18 Page 3 of 4

fees to the tax administrator and any other administrative expenses, on a *pro rata* basis to Eligible
 Recipients as those future funds are received on an annual basis under the compromise payment plan.

3

III. <u>The Proposed Plan of Distribution</u>

The Commission proposes the following methodology (see Exhibit 1) to determine the
distribution of the corpus of the Fair Fund and any future payments received into the Fair Fund
pursuant to the Defendant's payment plan:

- 7 (Step 1) Determine each Eligible Recipient's loss ("Eligible Loss Amount") and use it to calculate
 8 the Recognized Claim Amount. Calculate total losses suffered by all harmed Eligible
 9 Recipients by adding up each Eligible Recipient's Recognized Claim Amount ("Total
 10 Recognized Claim Amount");
- (Step 2) Divide each Eligible Recipient's Loss by the Total Recognized Claim Amount. This
 fractional result represents the Eligible Recipient's proportion of losses to the pool of total
 losses ("Eligible Recipient's Proportional Loss"); and
- (Step 3) Multiply each Eligible Recipient's Proportional Loss by the Fair Fund, plus interest, less
 any reserve for taxes and fees of the tax administrator and any other administrative expenses
 ("Net Fair Fund"). The resulting figure represents the amount of the Net Fair Fund to be
 distributed to that Eligible Recipient ("Eligible Recipient's *Pro Rata* Share").
- 18 IV. <u>Argument</u>

28

Generally, courts have broad discretion to approve plans to distribute funds collected in SEC
enforcement actions. SEC v. Wang, 944 F.2d 80, 84 (2d Cir. 1991). In evaluating a proposed
distribution plan, a court should "decide whether, in the aggregate, the plan is equitable and
reasonable." Id. "Unless the consent decree specifically provides otherwise, once the District Court
satisfies itself that the distribution of proceeds in a proposed Securities and Exchange Commission
disgorgement plan is fair and reasonable, its review is at an end." Id. at 85.

As the amount of money lost by Eligible Recipients is significantly more than the amount of
funds that were collected for disbursement, the payments to investors will be calculated on a *pro rata*basis as described in the proposed methodology above. Any future payments paid pursuant to the

Case 3:14-cv-00123-WHA Document 15 Filed 11/30/18 Page 4 of 4

1	Final Judgment will be added to the Fair Fund.	The Commission believes that the proposed
2	Distribution Plan for the Fair Fund should be approved as equitable and reasonable.	
3	WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, the Commission respectfully requests that this	
4	Court enter the attached Proposed Order and grant such other relief as the Court deems just and	
5	proper.	
6	Dated: November 30, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
7		<u>s/ Keshia W. Ellis</u> Keshia W. Ellis
8		Trial Counsel Office of Distributions
9		U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street N E SP III
10		Washington, DC 20549-5876 (202) 551-4406 – Telephone
12		(202) 772-9335 – Facsimile
12		Michigan Bar #P65146
17		Attorney for Plaintiff
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		3