April 12, 2022

Jeffrey D. Karpf  
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Re: Alphabet Inc. (the “Company”)  
Incoming letter dated February 1, 2022

Dear Mr. Karpf:

This letter is in response to your correspondence concerning the shareholder proposal (the “Proposal”) submitted to the Company by the W. Andrew Mims Trust et al. for inclusion in the Company’s proxy materials for its upcoming annual meeting of security holders.

The Proposal requests the Audit and Compliance Committee commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report evaluating the efficacy of the Company’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms.

We are unable to concur in your view that the Company may exclude the Proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(7). In our view, the Proposal transcends ordinary business matters and does not seek to micromanage the Company.

We are unable to concur in your view that the Company may exclude the Proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(10). Based on the information you have presented, it appears that the Company’s public disclosures do not substantially implement the Proposal.

Copies of all of the correspondence on which this response is based will be made available on our website at https://www.sec.gov/corpfin/2021-2022-shareholder-proposals-no-action.

Sincerely,

Rule 14a-8 Review Team

cc: Sanford Lewis
VIA E-MAIL (shareholderproposals@sec.gov)

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Division of Corporation Finance
Office of Chief Counsel
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20549

Re: Shareholder Proposal Submitted by The Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge on behalf of the W. Andrew Mims Trust, NEI Investments and Robeco (leads) and Adam Kay, Mary Hawkins and Kempen Capital Management on behalf of Kempen Global Sustainable Equity Fund N.V.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are writing on behalf of our client, Alphabet Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Alphabet” or the “Company”), pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), to notify the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the “Staff”) of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) of the Company’s intention to exclude the shareholder proposal (the “Proposal”) and supporting statement (the “Supporting Statement”) submitted by The Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge on behalf of the W. Andrew Mims Trust, NEI Investments and Robeco (leads), and Adam Kay, Mary Hawkins and Kempen Capital Management on behalf of Kempen Global Sustainable Equity Fund N.V., as co-filers (the “Proponents” and each a “Proponent”), by letters dated December 20, 2021, and December 23, 2021, respectively, from the Company’s proxy statement for its 2022 annual meeting of shareholders (the “Proxy Statement”).

In accordance with Section C of the SEC Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14D (Nov. 7, 2008) (“SLB 14D”), we are emailing this letter and its attachments to the Staff at shareholderproposals@sec.gov. In accordance with Rule 14a-8(j), we are simultaneously sending
a copy of this letter and its attachments to the Proponents as notice of the Company’s intent to omit the Proposal from the Proxy Statement. The Company expects to file its definitive Proxy Statement with the Commission on or about April 22, 2022, and this letter is being filed with the Commission no later than 80 calendar days before that date in accordance with Rule 14a-8(j). Rule 14a-8(k) and Section E of SLB 14D provide that shareholder proponents are required to send companies a copy of any correspondence that the shareholder proponent elects to submit to the Commission or the Staff. Accordingly, we are taking this opportunity to remind the Proponents that if the Proponents submit correspondence to the Commission or the Staff with respect to the Proposal, a copy of that correspondence should concurrently be furnished to the undersigned on behalf of the Company.

THE PROPOSAL

The Proposal and Supporting Statement are attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Proposal states:

RESOLVED: Stockholders request the Audit and Compliance Committee commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report (“the Report”), conducted by a reputable third party at reasonable cost, evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet's existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms. A summary of its findings should be published, omitting confidential, proprietary, or legally privileged information, or admissions relevant to pending litigation.

BASES FOR EXCLUSION

In accordance with Rule 14a-8, we hereby respectfully request that the Staff confirm that no enforcement action will be recommended against the Company if the Proposal and the Supporting Statement are omitted from the Proxy Statement for the following reasons:

1. The Proposal may be omitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because the Proposal concerns the Company’s ordinary business operations; and
2. The Proposal may be omitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) because the Proposal has been substantially implemented.

ANALYSIS

I. The Proposal May Be Excluded Under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) Because It Deals With Matters Relating to Alphabet’s Ordinary Business Operations

A. Rule 14a-8(i)(7) background
Rule 14a-8(i)(7) permits a company to exclude a shareholder proposal if it “deals with a matter relating to the company’s ordinary business operations.” According to the Commission, the term “ordinary business” refers to matters that are not necessarily “ordinary” in the common meaning of the word, but instead the term “is rooted in the corporate law concept providing management with flexibility in directing certain core matters involving the company’s business and operations.” Exchange Act Release No. 34-40018 (May 21, 1998) (the “1998 Release”). In the 1998 Release, the Commission stated that the underlying policy of the ordinary business exclusion is “to confine the resolution of ordinary business problems to management and the board of directors, since it is impracticable for shareholders to decide how to solve such problems at an annual shareholders meeting.” Id.

There are two central components of the ordinary business exclusion. First, as it relates to the subject matter of the proposal, “[c]ertain tasks are so fundamental to management’s ability to run a company on a day-to-day basis that they could not, as a practical matter, be subject to direct shareholder oversight.” Id. The Commission has differentiated between these ordinary business matters and “significant social policy issues” that “transcend the day-to-day business matters and raise policy issues so significant that it would be appropriate for a shareholder vote.” Id. The latter is not excludable as pertaining to ordinary business matters, and in assessing whether a particular proposal raises a “significant social policy issue,” the Staff will review the terms of the proposal as a whole, including the supporting statement. Id.

Second, as it relates to the implementation of the subject matter of the proposal, the ability to exclude a proposal “relates to the degree to which the proposal seeks to ‘micromanage’ the company by probing too deeply into matters of a complex nature upon which shareholders, as a group, would not be in a position to make an informed judgment.” Id. The Staff noted in Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14K (Oct. 16, 2019) (“SLB 14K”) that a proposal micromanages a company where it “seeks intricate detail or imposes a specific strategy, method, action, outcome or timeline for addressing an issue, thereby supplanting the judgment of management and the board.”

A shareholder proposal being framed in the form of a request for a report does not change the nature of the proposal. The Staff reiterated this position in SEC Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14E (Oct. 27, 2009) (“SLB 14E”) when discussing proposals relating to an evaluation of risk by the Company, stating that “similar to the way in which [it] analyze[s] proposals asking for the preparation of a report, the formation of a committee or the inclusion of disclosure in a Commission-prescribed document — where [the Staff] look[s] to the underlying subject matter of the report, committee or disclosure to determine whether the proposal relates to ordinary business — [the Staff] will consider whether the underlying subject matter of the risk evaluation involves a matter of ordinary business to the company.” See also Johnson Controls, Inc. (avail. Oct. 26, 1999).

More recently, in Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14L (Nov. 3, 2021) (“SLB No. 14L”), the Staff rescinded prior guidance that a company may exclude a shareholder proposal in respect of its ordinary business operation if the proposal did not raise a significant policy issue of the company. The Staff stated that it will instead look to whether the policy issue may have broad societal impact such that it transcends the ordinary business of the company, regardless of nexus between the issue and the company’s business. In explaining the change, the Staff noted, “[W]e
have found that focusing on the significance of a policy issue to a particular company has drawn
the Staff into factual considerations that do not advance the policy objectives behind the ordinary
business exception,” which “did not yield consistent, predictable results.”

In addition, in SLB No. 14L, the Staff provided guidance on its position on
micromanagement when evaluating requests to exclude a proposal on that basis under the
ordinary business exception. The Staff reiterated that it does not view proposals that seek detail
or seek to promote timeframes or methods as per se micromanagement. Instead, the Staff will
focus on the level of detail and granularity sought in the proposal and may look to well-
established frameworks or references in considering what level of detail may be too complex for
shareholder input. The Staff also noted that it will look to the sophistication of investors
generally, the availability of data and the robustness of public discussion in considering whether
a proposal’s matter is too complex for shareholders, as a group, to make an informed judgment.

B. The Proposal may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because it relates to the
Company’s ordinary business matters.

1. The Proposal may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because it relates
to matters fundamental to management’s ability to run the company on a day-to-day
basis: the content, services and policies of its platforms.

The Proposal asks the Company to prepare a report “evaluating the efficacy of
Alphabet’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content
management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms.” The
Supporting Statement notes that the report should discuss, among other things, “existing
governance and oversight mechanisms to evaluate how Alphabet’s senior leadership and
directors incorporate human rights due diligence—especially related to misinformation and
disinformation—in their decision-making” and cover “whether existing policies are effectively
limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform.”

As discussed below, the Company believes that the Proposal runs counter to the
central considerations of Rule 14a-8(i)(7). The focus of the Proposal relates to the Company’s
practices, risk assessments, and policies with respect to content published on its platforms.

That the Proposal includes an un-contextualized reference to “human rights
impacts” does not change the fact that the core of the Proposal relates to how the Company
assesses, filters, promotes, and generates content on its platforms, and what the Proponents
allege as “misinformation and disinformation across [the Company’s] platforms.” The
Company’s ability to provide an enriching user experience and high-quality content is essential
to its reputation, its ability to generate user traffic and its revenues, based on site visitors viewing
or clicking on ads. These actions are at the core of the Company’s business and operations, and
also relate to the Company’s relationship with its users. As the Company noted in its Annual
Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2020, attached as Exhibit B, the
Company “invest[s] significantly in efforts to promote high-quality and relevant results and to
detect and prevent low-quality content and invalid traffic” in an effort to enhance user
experiences.
The Staff consistently has recognized that proposals relating to the nature, presentation and content of a company’s products are part of a company’s ordinary business operations and thus may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(7). For example, in Gannett Co. Inc. (March 18, 1993), the Staff concurred with the exclusion of a proposal under the predecessor to Rule 14a-8(i)(7) requesting that the company, a newspaper and billboard company, prepare a report on its practices with respect to cigarette advertisements. The Staff concurred that the proposal was excludable as relating to “the nature, presentation and content of news and advertising.” See also The Walt Disney Co. (Nov. 30, 2007) (concurring in the exclusion of a proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) that requested a report on the company’s efforts to avoid the use of negative and discriminatory racial, ethnic and gender stereotypes in its products because it related to the nature, presentation and content of programming); Time Warner Inc. (Jan. 21, 2005) (concurring in the exclusion of a proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) that requested a report on the impact on adolescent health arising from their exposure to smoking in movies or other company programming that the company released or distributed).

The concerns raised in the Proposal are central to the Company’s relationships with its users, and the proposed solution interferes with the Company’s assessments regarding the nature of the content available on its platforms or that is provided through its services. Even the Proposal acknowledges that the Company’s policies for managing content are related to its ability “to retain the trust of users, advertisers, employees, stockholders, regulators and the public.” Just as the decisions regarding the products and services offered by a company are central to ordinary business operations, the Company’s decisions relating to its customers, and the resulting effects on the Company’s brand and reputation, rest with management. See, e.g., Amazon.com Inc. (March 17, 2016) (where a proposal relating to electronic waste was excluded because the company’s customer relations are core business functions); The Coca-Cola Co. (Feb. 17, 2010) (where the Staff noted that “[p]roposals that concern customer relations and decisions relating to product quality are generally excludable under rule 14a-8(i)(7)”; McDonald’s Corp. (March 19, 1990) (concurring in the exclusion of a proposal recommending that the company adopt policies governing, among other issues, the company’s interactions with its customers and noting that the proposal concerned “the [c]ompany’s customer and business policies,” which “involve decisions dealing with the [c]ompany’s business operations”).

The Staff also has consistently concurred in the exclusion of shareholder proposals that relate not only to a company’s products and services themselves but also to company policies regarding those products and services. See, e.g., Amazon.com Inc. (March 17, 2016) (where a proposal requesting that the company prepare a report on the company’s policy options to reduce potential pollution and public health problems from electronic waste and increase the safe recycling of such waste was excluded because it dealt with ordinary business operations); FMC Corp. (Feb. 25, 2011, recon. denied March 16, 2011) (excluding shareholder proposal that recommended that the company establish a “product stewardship program” for certain of its pesticides that were “suspected to have been misused by third parties to harm wildlife or humans” and in which the company noted, citing to The Coca-Cola Co. (Jan. 22, 2007), that the Staff has “taken the position that decisions regarding the sale, content or presentation of a particular product, whether considered controversial or not, are part of a company’s ordinary business operations and thus may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(7)). As evidenced in the Amazon.com Inc. and the FMC Corp. examples, the existence of a reference to some tangential or correlating policy issue (pollution and public health problems in the
Amazon.com Inc. example and the potential harming of wildlife and humans by pesticides in the FMC Corp. example) does not render an otherwise “ordinary business” shareholder proposal to fall out of the scope of Rule 14a-8(i)(7). As such, the reference to “human rights impacts” in the Proposal does not change the fact that the fundamental focus of the Proposal is the Company’s policies and practices that “address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms”—in other words, the policies and practices that address management’s decisions relating to content across the Company’s products and services, whether or not presented by the Company or by third parties using the Company’s services. This falls squarely within the confines of the Company’s ordinary business and management functions under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

The implementation of policies and procedures with respect to the content on the Company’s platforms (including content presented by third parties using the Company’s services) is a core management function and an essential part of the Company’s day-to-day business operations. The Proposal also concerns the Company’s relationship with users in search of quality web content. Accordingly, consistent with the Staff’s approach in the letters cited above, the Proposal is excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

2. The Proposal may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because it seeks to “micromanage” the Company, supplanting the judgment of management and the Board.

In determining whether a proposal relates to ordinary business operations, the Staff considers the degree to which the proposal seeks to “micromanage” the company. The Proposal is excludable because it “seeks to ‘micro-manage the [C]ompany by probing too deeply into matters of a complex nature upon which [the Company’s] shareholders, as a group, would not be in a position to make an informed judgment.” See the 1998 Release. The Proposal addresses the manner in which the Company improves its search quality and reduces low-quality content, which, as described above, is an integral part of the Company’s ordinary business operations.

The Company has a team of engineers, policy experts, product managers, and programmers to evaluate, target, and develop technology to detect low-quality content, including misinformation and disinformation, and ban websites that violate or attempt to violate the Company’s guidelines, including by seeking to inappropriately rank higher in search results than the search engine’s assessment of their relevance and utility would rank them. The Company also employs highly trained individuals to analyze the complex business, policy, and technical considerations pertaining to its content offerings. Indeed, the Company’s 2020 white paper report on information quality and content moderation (the “Information Quality and Content Moderation Report”, available on its website at https://blog.google/documents/83/information_quality_content_moderation_white_paper.pdf and attached as Exhibit C), discusses the numerous risks that must be assessed in determining what safeguards and rules may be needed for each product and service, including the risks of encouraging harmful or dangerous behavior, hateful content, threats, harassment and bullying, violent or graphic content, sexually explicit content, spam, abuse and deceptive practices, and impersonation, misrepresentation, and scams. The Proposal requests a report on the Company’s “existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms,” which entails a complex assessment regarding the
intersection of free speech, product quality, and technology. These issues, which require a careful analysis of many factors, are appropriate for management oversight. This analysis is precisely what Rule 14a-8(i)(7) recognizes as a proper function for management, who have the resources and information necessary to appropriately analyze these issues in light of the Company’s ordinary business operations.

By requesting a shareholder vote on the report, the Proposal seeks to involve shareholders in matters that go to the core of the Company’s business operations and interferes with the close and careful analysis conducted by the Company on a routine and daily basis. The matters that would be addressed by the report requested by the Proposal are precisely the type of “matters of a complex nature upon which shareholders, as a group, would not be in a position to make an informed judgment.” See the 1998 Release.

C. The Proposal does not raise policy issues that transcend the Company’s ordinary business matters.

In the 1998 Release, the Commission stated that proposals relating to ordinary business matters but focusing on sufficiently significant policy issues generally would not be excludable, because the proposals would “transcend the day-to-day business matters and raise policy issues so significant that it would be appropriate for a shareholder vote.” This approach allows shareholders to have the “opportunity to express their views . . . [on] proposals that raise sufficiently significant social policy issues.” See the 1998 Release. The Staff recently reiterated this guidance in November 2021 and retracted prior guidance with respect to the “nexus requirement,” stating that the “[S]taff will no longer focus on determining the nexus between a policy issue and the company, but will instead focus on the social policy significance of the issue that is the subject of the shareholder proposal. In making this determination, the staff will consider whether the proposal raises issues with a broad societal impact, such that they transcend the ordinary business of the company.” Section B.2. of the Staff Legal Bulletin 14L (Nov. 3, 2021).

While the Staff has found that certain proposals relate to public policy matters that transcend day-to-day operations, see Intel Corp. (March 13, 2009) (relating to the “human right to water”); General Electric Co. (Jan. 31, 2007) (report on global warming), Yahoo Inc. (Apr. 5, 2011) (relating to doing business in repressive countries), the Staff has not suggested that media literacy or low-quality Internet content is one of them. Additionally, Staff letters have also made clear that the mere mention of an issue with a broad societal impact, or the mere fact that an ordinary business issue might tangentially impact some broad societal impact, is insufficient to transform a proposal that is otherwise about ordinary business issues. For example, in CVS Health Corporation (Feb. 27, 2015), the Staff permitted exclusion of a proposal requesting that “CVS Health amend its equal employment opportunity policy…to explicitly prohibit discrimination based on political ideology, affiliation or activity.” In its submission to the Staff, CVS argued that “a proposal seeking to protect against discrimination based on political participation affects a company’s management of business operations by involving the relationship of employees and management.” The Staff concurred, noting that “the proposal relates to CVS Health’s policies concerning its employees.” The Staff has reaffirmed this approach after the publication of SLB No. 14L with its decision in Deere & Company (Jan. 3, 2022), where the Staff agreed that a proposal seeking the publication of the company’s employee
training materials did not transcend ordinary business matters despite its concern with anti-racism and racial equity issues. Here, although the Proposal touches on issues of human rights, its main request focuses primarily on the ordinary business matter of the Company’s relationship and interaction with its users. Accordingly, the Proposal is excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

II. The Proposal May Be Excluded Under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) Because It Has Been Substantially Implemented

A. Rule 14a-8(i)(10) background

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10), a company is permitted to exclude a shareholder proposal if the company has already substantially implemented the proposal. The purpose of this rule, as set forth by the Commission, is to “avoid the possibility of shareholders having to consider matters which already have been favorably acted upon by the management.” See Exchange Act Release No. 34-20091 (Aug. 15, 1983) (the “1983 Release”); Exchange Act Release No. 34-12598 (July 1976) (the “1976 Release”). The Commission has clarified that the proposal’s requested actions do not need to be “fully effected” or implemented exactly as presented for a company to exclude the proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(10); instead, the actions called for by the proposal need only be “substantially implemented.” See 1983 Release. Whether a proposal has been “substantially implemented” by a company “depends on whether its particular policies, practices and procedures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal.” Texaco, Inc. (Mar. 28, 1991).

The Staff has consistently allowed for the exclusion of proposals under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) where a company’s actions have substantially addressed the “essential objective” and underlying concerns of the proposal, even if the specific actions may not be exactly as requested or required by the proposal. For example, the Staff in Visa Inc. (Oct. 11, 2019) concurred that the exclusion of a proposal requesting the company’s compensation committee reform its executive compensation program to include social factors was substantially implemented because the company’s philosophy was tied to its seven strategic pillars, which included social issues. Additionally, the Staff in Walgreen Co. (Sept. 26, 2013) agreed that exclusion was permissible of a proposal that requested to amend the company’s articles of incorporation to eliminate certain supermajority voting requirements, since the company had already eliminated all of its supermajority provisions. See also, e.g., Invesco Ltd. (Mar. 8, 2019); Eli Lilly & Co. (Feb. 22, 2019); PepsiCo, Inc. (Feb. 14, 2019); State Street Corporation (Mar. 15, 2018); The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company (January 19, 2018); Mattel, Inc. (Feb. 3, 2017); AbbVie, Inc. (Dec. 22, 2016); The Wendy’s Co. (Mar. 2, 2016); Starbucks Corp. (Dec. 1, 2011); Exxon Mobil Corp. (Mar. 23, 2009); Chevron Corp. (Feb. 19, 2008); Johnson & Johnson (Feb. 17, 2006).

B. The Proposal has been substantially implemented through the Company’s policies and information already publicly available on the Company’s website.

In addition to being excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7), the Proposal is excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) because it has been substantially implemented. The Staff has concurred with the omission of proposals where the company already published information addressing the
objectives and concerns in the proposal. See The Coca-Cola Company (Jan. 25, 2012) (in which the Staff permitted exclusion of a proposal requesting a report updating investors on how the company is responding to public policy challenges associated with BPA, including risks to the company’s market share or reputation where such information was available on the company’s website). There is consistent precedent for the exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of stockholder proposals that, like the Proposal, request a report where the company has already disclosed information that compares favorably with the guidelines of the proposal. See, e.g., Chevron Corporation (March 9, 2015) (in which the proposal requested that the board issue a report on criteria for investing in, continued operations in, and withdrawal from specific high-risk countries, where the company had a statement on its website describing the criteria); Alcoa Inc. (Feb. 3, 2009) (in which the proposal requested that the board of directors prepare a report on global warming that “may” address specific topics, where the company “acknowledge[d] that its Climate Change Report, Sustainability Report and other global warming materials do not explicitly discuss the impact of [the company’s] actions on ‘changes in mean global temperature and any undesirable climatic and weather-related events and disasters avoided,’ as requested by the [p]roposal,” and argued that it has substantially implemented the proposal with its website disclosures that “report extensively on the company’s policies and practices with respect to global warming”); PG&E Corp. (March 6, 2008) (in which the proposal requested that the board prepare a global warming report that “may” describe specified items, when the company published a global warming report that omitted some of the items that were suggested in the proposal). In addition, Staff precedent indicates that such company reports need not be of any set minimum length in order for no action relief to be granted. See Pfizer Inc. (Jan. 11, 2013, recon. denied March 1, 2013) (concurring with the exclusion of a proposal requesting that the board issue a report detailing measures implemented to reduce the use of animals and the company’s plans to promote alternatives to animal use, where the company cited its compliance with the Animal Welfare Act and published a two-page “Guidelines and Policy on Laboratory Animal Care” on its website).

Exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) is particularly appropriate when a company has taken actions to substantially implement the stockholder proposal, even where the disclosure is set forth in separate locations. See, e.g., The Dow Chemical Co. (March 5, 2008) (concurring in the exclusion of a proposal that requested a “global warming report” that discussed how the company’s efforts to ameliorate climate change may have affected the global climate when the company had already made various statements about its efforts related to climate change, which were set forth in various corporate documents and disclosures).

The Proposal requests a report evaluating the “efficacy of Alphabet’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms” and suggests that the report assess:

- Existing governance and oversight mechanisms to evaluate how Alphabet’s senior leadership and directors incorporate human rights due diligence—especially related to misinformation and disinformation—in their decision-making.
- Whether existing policies are effectively limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform.
- Potential recommendations, if necessary, to strengthen measures to mitigate human rights harms associated with the dissemination of misinformation disinformation.

The Company’s existing disclosures address the essential objective of the Proposal in a way that provides shareholders with sufficient information while at the same time not raising the concerns described above with respect to interference with the Company’s ordinary business operations. For example, the Transparency Report, which shares data on how the Company handles content that violates its policies and is available on the Company’s website at transparencyreport.google.com and attached as Exhibit D, substantially implements the Proposal because it satisfactorily addresses the Proposal’s underlying concerns regarding mechanisms for monitoring harmful or inappropriate content.

In addition, the AdWords Policy Center, which describes the Company’s requirements for advertising on the Google Network and is available on the Company’s website at https://support.google.com/adwordspolicy/answer/6008942?hl=en and attached as Exhibit E, covers four broad areas related to the Company’s approach towards Google Network Members’ content: (i) prohibited content, such as dishonest behavior and inappropriate content that promotes “hatred; violence; harassment; racism; sexual, religious, or political intolerance, or organizations with such views”; (ii) prohibited practices, such as manipulating settings to circumvent the Company’s policy review systems; (iii) restricted content and features, including content that is “legally or culturally sensitive,” such as certain political content; and (iv) editorial and technical standards. YouTube’s Community Guidelines, which are available at www.youtube.com/about/policies/#community-guidelines and are attached as Exhibit F, similarly cover what content is allowed on YouTube and make clear that “misleading or deceptive content with serious risk of egregious harm [is] not allowed.”

Furthermore, as the Proponents themselves acknowledge, the charter of the Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors (the “Audit and Compliance Committee Charter”), available on the Company’s website at https://abc.xyz/investor/other/board/ and attached as Exhibit E, charges the Audit and Compliance Committee with overseeing the Company’s major risk exposures, including civil and human rights. The Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board reviews these risks and discusses with management the steps the Company should take to prevent, detect, monitor, and actively manage them. The Company has therefore substantially implemented the Proposal’s essential objective of having robust governance and oversight mechanisms in place for assessing human rights impacts arising from its products and services by delegating these responsibilities to the Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board.

The Company has substantially implemented the Proposal in substance as well as in form. The Information Quality and Content Moderation Report makes clear that the Company has satisfactorily addressed the Proposal’s underlying concerns relating to managing the proliferation of low-quality information on its platforms. It discloses that the Company removed over 6.1 million videos and 693 million comments from YouTube for violating community guidelines in the first quarter of 2020 alone. Furthermore, in 2019, Google Search ran more than 383,605 tests to measure the quality of search results and launched more than 3,600 updates to its algorithms. The Company also terminated over 1.2 million accounts and removed ads from over 21 million web pages that are part of its publisher network in 2019 for violating its policies.
The Company’s existing policies and practices address the essence of the Proposal. Accordingly, consistent with the Staff’s position in the precedents cited above, the Proposal may be omitted from the 2022 Proxy Statement.
CONCLUSION

By copy of this letter, the Proponents are being notified that for the reasons set forth herein, the Company intends to omit the Proposal and Supporting Statement from its Proxy Statement. We respectfully request that the Staff confirm that it will not recommend any enforcement action if the Company omits the Proposal and Supporting Statement from its Proxy Statement. If we can be of assistance in this matter, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey D. Karpf

Cc: Larisa Ruoff, The Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge
    James Bonham and Jonathan Bey, NEI Investments
    Robeco
    Adam Kay
    Mary Hawkins
    Kempen Capital Management

Enclosures:
    Exhibit A – Proponents’ Proposal and Supporting Statement
    Exhibit B – Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2020
    Exhibit C – Information Quality and Content Moderation Report
    Exhibit D – AdWords Policy Center
    Exhibit E – Audit and Compliance Committee Charter
Exhibit A

Proponents’ Proposal and Supporting Statement
December 22nd 2021

Via Email

Corporate Secretary
Alphabet, Inc.

Re: Shareholder proposal for 2022 Annual Shareholder Meeting

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Adam Kay is submitting the attached proposal (the “Proposal”) pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission’s Rule 14a-8 to be included in the proxy statement of Alphabet, Inc. (the “Company”) for its 2022 annual meeting of shareholders. Adam Kay is co-filing the Proposal with lead filers Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge, Robeco, and NEI Investments. In its submission letter, Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge, Robeco, and NEI Investments will provide dates and times of ability to meet. We designate the lead filer to meet initially with the Company but may join the meeting subject to our availability.

As of January 4, 2021, Adam Kay had continuously held shares of the Company’s common stock with a value of at least $2,000 for at least one year, and Adam Kay has continuously maintained a minimum investment of at least $2,000 of such securities from January 4, 2021 through the date hereof, which confers eligibility to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8(b)(3).” Verification of this ownership will be sent under separate cover. Adam Kay intends to continue to hold such shares through the date of the Company’s 2022 annual meeting of shareholders.

If you have any questions or need additional information, I can be contacted on [number] or by email [email].

Sincerely,

[Signature]
December 22nd 2021

Via Email

Corporate Secretary
Alphabet, Inc.

[Redacted]

Re: Shareholder proposal for 2022 Annual Shareholder Meeting

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Mary Hawkins is submitting the attached proposal (the “Proposal”) pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission’s Rule 14a-8 to be included in the proxy statement of Alphabet, Inc. (the “Company”) for its 2022 annual meeting of shareholders. Mary Hawkins is co-filing the Proposal with lead filers Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge, Robeco, and NEI Investments. In its submission letter, Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge, Robeco, and NEI Investments will provide dates and times of ability to meet. We designate the lead filer to meet initially with the Company but may join the meeting subject to our availability.

As of January 4, 2021, Mary Hawkins had continuously held shares of the Company’s common stock with a value of at least $2,000 for at least one year, and Mary Hawkins has continuously maintained a minimum investment of at least $2,000 of such securities from January 4, 2021 through the date hereof, which confers eligibility to a submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8(b)(3).” Verification of this ownership will be sent under separate cover. Mary Hawkins intends to continue to hold such shares through the date of the Company’s 2022 annual meeting of shareholders.

If you have any questions or need additional information, I can be contacted on [Redacted] or by email at [Redacted]

Sincerely,

[Signature]

DocuSign Envelope ID: 42D73305-1AC2-4633-854D-D4B6EAA7269C
December 20, 2021

Alphabet Inc.
Attn: Corporate Secretary

Sent via email to: [redacted]

Re: Stockholder Proposal for 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Dear Corporate Secretary,

NEI Investments (Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P.) is the beneficial owner of 10,146 Class A shares and 14,924 Class C shares of Alphabet Inc. ( ). We are writing today to file a stockholder proposal and encourage the company to engage with stockholders on the issue of publishing an independent third-party Human Rights Impact Assessment to evaluate the efficacy of its existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms. For this proposal, the Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge, Robeco, and NEI Investments will act as co-lead filers. In addition, we expect other stockholders will co-file this proposal. All co-filers have authorized the lead filers to negotiate on their behalf.

We submit the enclosed stockholder proposal for inclusion in Alphabet Inc.’s next proxy statement at the 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, in accordance with Rule 14-a-8 of the General Rules and Regulations of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. NEI Investments has been the beneficial owner of at least $25,000 in market value of Alphabet common stock for one year preceding and inclusive of the date of filing this proposal and will continue to hold the required number of shares through the date of the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders. A letter from our custodian verifying ownership of Alphabet shares is enclosed. One of the filers will attend the Annual Meeting to present the resolution as required by SEC rules.

W. Andrew Mims, and representatives of the Sustainability Group, NEI Investments, and Robeco would welcome the opportunity to discuss the issues raised in the proposal and are available to meet with the Company in-person or via teleconference at between 9:30-10:30 PT on either January 7th or 11th.

We can be contacted by email at [redacted] to schedule a meeting and to address any questions.

Sincerely,

NEI Investments

Michela Gregory
Director, ESG Services, NEI Investments
t: [redacted] e: [redacted]
Enclosures:
  • stockholder proposal
  • proof of ownership letter

cc:
Mr. Jamie Bonham, Director of Corporate Engagement, NEI Investments
Mr. Jonathan Bey, Senior ESG Analyst, NEI Investments
Ms. Larisa Ruoff, Director of Shareholder Advocacy and Corporate Engagement, The Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge
Ms. Daniëlle Essink - Zuiderwijk, Engagement Specialist, Robeco
Whereas:
Alphabet Inc. (“Alphabet” or “the Company”) recognizes that misinformation and disinformation can harm society and negatively impact human rights.

Google, Alphabet’s largest subsidiary, “holds a market share of around 90 percent in a wide range of digital markets,” a position that generated over $145 billion in 2020 advertising revenue worldwide.¹ With such market dominance, Alphabet’s policies and practices—especially those related to misinformation and disinformation—significantly shape our information environment and have profound impacts on society.

To retain the trust of users, advertisers, employees, stockholders, regulators and the public, Alphabet’s policies must be sufficiently robust, enforced, and independently verified. Moreover, its Audit and Compliance Committee (“the Committee”) has the obligation to review “civil and human rights, sustainability, and reputational risks.”²

Commissioning an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report assessing whether existing policies and practices sufficiently address potential human rights abuses and societal impacts posed by misinformation and disinformation would provide the Committee an independent evaluation of the Company’s existing policies and implemented practices and help determine if they are sufficient to protect human rights and stockholder value.

Further, such a report is consistent with Google’s commitment to upholding United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (“UNGPs”).³ The Company has disclosed certain efforts to address information quality, combat misinformation and disinformation,⁴ provides some transparency via Global Network Initiative assessments, and has conducted a narrow Human Rights Impact Assessment for Google’s Celebrity Recognition tool. However, these all fall short of the expectations set forth in the UNGPs, which state: “In order to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should carry out human rights due diligence,” a process of “assessing actual and potential human rights impacts, integrating and acting upon the findings, tracking responses, and communicating how impacts are addressed.”⁵ Meaningful disclosure regarding Google’s Human Rights Program would benefit stockholders.

Resolved: Stockholders request the Audit and Compliance Committee commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report (“the Report”), conducted by a reputable third party at reasonable cost, evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms. A summary of its findings should be published, omitting confidential, proprietary, or legally privileged information, or admissions relevant to pending litigation.

Supporting Statement:
The Report should reflect international standards and guidelines such as the UNGPs, and evaluate:
- Existing governance and oversight mechanisms to evaluate how Alphabet’s senior leadership and directors incorporate human rights due diligence—especially related to misinformation and disinformation—in their decision-making.
- Whether existing policies are effectively limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform;
- Potential recommendations, if necessary, to strengthen measures to mitigate human rights harms associated with the dissemination of misinformation and disinformation.

¹ https://www.statista.com/statistics/266249/advertising-revenue-of-google/
² abc.xyz/investor/other/board/#audit-committee
³ https://about.google/human-rights/
⁵ #17 https://www.ohchr.org/documents/publications/hr.pub.12.2_en.pdf
December 17th, 2021

Attention: Michela Gregory
Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P. (NEI Investments)

Re: ALPHABET INC CLASS A– CUSIP 02079K305

As of December 16th, 2021, Desjardins Trust is custodian of 10,146 ALPHABET INC CLASS A for Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P. (NEI Investments):

- NEI CANADIAN DIVIDEND FUND: 294 shares
- NEI GLOBAL GROWTH FUND: 273 shares
- NEI CANADIAN EQUITY RS FUND: 4670 shares
- NEI GLOBAL EQUITY RS FUND: 4909 shares

Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P. has been the beneficial owner of at least $25,000 in the company’s stock for at least one year preceding and including the date of this letter.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely yours,

Ibrahima Ouattara
Account Manager
Custody Services
Desjardins Trust
Tel: [redacted]
December 20th, 2021

Attention: Michela Gregory
Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P. (NEI Investments)

Re: ALPHABET INC CLASS C– CUSIP 02079K107

As of December 17th, 2021, Desjardins Trust is custodian of 14,924 ALPHABET INC CLASS C for Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P. (NEI Investments):

- NEI CANADIAN EQUITY FUND: 861 shares
- NEI U.S. EQUITY RS FUND: 10,429 shares
- NEI GLOBAL EQUITY RS FUND: 1,218 shares
- NEI GLOBAL EQUITY POOL (ALL. B): 2,416 shares

Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P. has been the beneficial owner of at least $25,000 in the company’s stock for at least one year preceding and including the date of this letter.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely yours,

Ibrahima Ouattara
Account Manager
Custody Services
Desjardins Trust
Tel: **

Desjardins Trust

Desjardins Wealth Management is a trade name used by Desjardins Trust Inc.
Whereas:
Alphabet Inc. ("Alphabet" or "the Company") recognizes that misinformation and disinformation can harm society and negatively impact human rights.

Google, Alphabet’s largest subsidiary, “holds a market share of around 90 percent in a wide range of digital markets,” a position that generated over $145 billion in 2020 advertising revenue worldwide. With such market dominance, Alphabet’s policies and practices—especially those related to misinformation and disinformation—significantly shape our information environment and have profound impacts on society.

To retain the trust of users, advertisers, employees, stockholders, regulators and the public, Alphabet’s policies must be sufficiently robust, enforced, and independently verified. Moreover, its Audit and Compliance Committee ("the Committee") has the obligation to review “civil and human rights, sustainability, and reputational risks.”

Commissioning an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report assessing whether existing policies and practices sufficiently address potential human rights abuses and societal impacts posed by misinformation and disinformation would provide the Committee an independent evaluation of the Company’s existing policies and implemented practices and help determine if they are sufficient to protect human rights and stockholder value.

Further, such a report is consistent with Google’s commitment to upholding United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights ("UNGPs"). The Company has disclosed certain efforts to address information quality, combat misinformation and disinformation, provides some transparency via Global Network Initiative assessments, and has conducted a narrow Human Rights Impact Assessment for Google’s Celebrity Recognition tool. However, these all fall short of the expectations set forth in the UNGPs, which state: “In order to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should carry out human rights due diligence,” a process of “assessing actual and potential human rights impacts, integrating and acting upon the findings, tracking responses, and communicating how impacts are addressed.” Meaningful disclosure regarding Google’s Human Rights Program would benefit stockholders.

Resolved: Stockholders request the Audit and Compliance Committee commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report ("the Report"), conducted by a reputable third party at reasonable cost, evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms. A summary of its findings should be published, omitting confidential, proprietary, or legally privileged information, or admissions relevant to pending litigation.

Supporting Statement:
The Report should reflect international standards and guidelines such as the UNGPs, and evaluate:

- Existing governance and oversight mechanisms to evaluate how Alphabet’s senior leadership and directors incorporate human rights due diligence—especially related to misinformation and disinformation—in their decision-making.
- Whether existing policies are effectively limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform;
- Potential recommendations, if necessary, to strengthen measures to mitigate human rights harms associated with the dissemination of misinformation and disinformation.

2 abc.xyz/investor/other/board/audit-committee
3 https://about.google/human-rights/
5 #17 https://www.ohchr.org/documents/publications/hr.pub.12.2_en.pdf
To: [Redacted]

RE: Shareholder Proposal for 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

24 December 2021

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Robeco is a global asset manager, based in Rotterdam (The Netherlands) with roughly 200 USD billion assets under management. We view sustainability as a long-term driver of change in markets, countries and companies which impacts future performance. Based on this belief, sustainability is considered as one of the value drivers in our investment process, similar to the way we look at other drivers such as company financials or market momentum. From an investment perspective, we believe considering material Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors strengthens our investment process and ultimately leads to a better-informed investment decision. Robeco has been a long-term beneficial owner of Stock Class A of Alphabet Inc.

We are hereby filing the enclosed shareholder resolution pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for inclusion in Alphabet Inc.’s Proxy Statement for the 2022 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. For this proposal, the Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge, Robeco, and NEI Investments will act as co-lead filers. In addition, we expect other stockholders will co-file this proposal. All co-filers have authorized the lead filers to negotiate on their behalf.

Robeco has continuously beneficially owned, for at least a year as of the date hereof, at least $25,000 worth of the Company’s common stock. Verification of this ownership will be sent under separate cover. Robeco intends to continue to hold such shares through the date of the Company’s 2022 annual meeting of shareholders.

Robeco, W. Andrew Mims, representatives of the Sustainability Group, and NEI Investment would welcome the opportunity to discuss the issues raised in the proposal and are available to meet with the Company in-person or via teleconference at between 9:30-10:30PT on either January 7th or 11th.

We can be contacted by email at [Redacted] to schedule a meeting and to address any questions.

Please address any future correspondence regarding the proposal to me at this address.
Sincerely,

Carola van Lamoen
Head of Sustainable Investing, Robeco
Shareholder Proposal Regarding Misinformation & Disinformation

Whereas:
Alphabet Inc. (“Alphabet” or “the Company”) recognizes that misinformation and disinformation can harm society and negatively impact human rights.

Google, Alphabet’s largest subsidiary, “holds a market share of around 90 percent in a wide range of digital markets,” a position that generated over $145 billion in 2020 advertising revenue worldwide.¹ With such market dominance, Alphabet’s policies and practices—especially those related to misinformation and disinformation—significantly shape our information environment and have profound impacts on society.

To retain the trust of users, advertisers, employees, stockholders, regulators and the public, Alphabet’s policies must be sufficiently robust, enforced, and independently verified. Moreover, its Audit and Compliance Committee (“the Committee”) has the obligation to review “civil and human rights, sustainability, and reputational risks.”²

Commissioning an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report assessing whether existing policies and practices sufficiently address potential human rights abuses and societal impacts posed by misinformation and disinformation would provide the Committee an independent evaluation of the Company’s existing policies and implemented practices and help determine if they are sufficient to protect human rights and stockholder value.

Further, such a report is consistent with Google’s commitment to upholding United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (“UNGPs”).³ The Company has disclosed certain efforts to address information quality, combat misinformation and disinformation,⁴ provides some transparency via Global Network Initiative assessments, and has conducted a narrow Human Rights Impact Assessment for Google’s Celebrity Recognition tool. However, these all fall short of the expectations set forth in the UNGPs, which state: “In order to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should carry out human rights due diligence,” a process of “assessing actual and potential human rights impacts, integrating and acting upon the findings, tracking responses, and communicating how impacts are addressed.”⁵ Meaningful disclosure regarding Google’s Human Rights Program would benefit stockholders.

Resolved: Stockholders request the Audit and Compliance Committee commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report (“the Report”), conducted by a reputable third party at reasonable cost, evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies, including algorithmic systems, to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms. A summary of its findings should be published, omitting confidential, proprietary, or legally privileged information, or admissions relevant to pending litigation.

Supporting Statement:
The Report should reflect international standards and guidelines such as the UNGPs, and evaluate:

- Existing governance and oversight mechanisms to evaluate how Alphabet’s senior leadership and directors incorporate human rights due diligence—especially related to misinformation and disinformation—in their decision-making.
- Whether existing policies are effectively limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform;
- Potential recommendations, if necessary, to strengthen measures to mitigate human rights harms associated with the dissemination of misinformation and disinformation.

¹ https://www.statista.com/statistics/266249/advertising-revenue-of-google/
² abc.xyz/investor/other/board/#audit-committee
³ https://about.google/human-rights/
⁵ #17 https://www.ohchr.org/documents/publications/hr.pub.12.2_en.pdf
December 20, 2021

Via email to [redacted]

Alphabet Inc.
Attn: Corporate Secretary

Re: Stockholder Proposal for 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Dear Corporate Secretary,

The Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge is filing a stockholder proposal on behalf of the W. Andrew Mims Trust ("WAM Trust") ("Proponent"), a stockholder of Alphabet Inc., for action at the next annual meeting of Alphabet Inc.


For this proposal, which asks the Company to evaluate the efficacy of its existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms, the Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge, Robeco, and NEI Investments will act as co-lead filers. In addition, we expect other stockholders will co-file this proposal. All co-filers have authorized the lead filers to negotiate on their behalf.

The WAM Trust has continuously beneficially owned, for at least a year as of the date hereof, at least $25,000 worth of the Company’s common stock. Further, it has also held at least $2,000 worth of the Company’s stock for at least three years as of the date hereof. Verification of this ownership will be sent under separate cover.

Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge Fiduciary Advisors, LLP maintains all stock holdings in the WAM Trust through Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge Trust, LLC. The Sustainability Group is a part of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge Fiduciary Advisors, LLP. The WAM Trust intends to continue to hold such shares through the date of the Company’s 2022 annual meeting of shareholders.

The Sustainability Group has been authorized and requested to file the proposal by W. Andrew Mims, the sole, original, and current trustee of the WAM Trust, who has sole, current authority to act on behalf of the WAM Trust to buy, sell, and vote shares of stock held in the Trust and to authorize the filing of stockholder proposals on behalf of the WAM Trust. A letter from the Proponent authorizing the Sustainability Group to act on its behalf is enclosed. A representative of the Proponent will attend the stockholders' meeting to move the resolution as required.
W. Andrew Mims, and representatives of the Sustainability Group, NEI Investment, and Robeco would welcome the opportunity to discuss the issues raised in the proposal and are available to meet with the Company in-person or via teleconference at between 9:30-10:30PT on either January 7th or 11th.

We can be contacted by email at [redacted] to schedule a meeting and to address any questions. Please address any future correspondence regarding the proposal to me at this address.

Sincerely,

Larisa Ruoff
Director of Shareholder Advocacy & Corporate Engagement

Encl: Shareholder proposal; Authorization letter from the W. Andrew Mims Trust; and Verification from LWC
Whereas:
Alphabet Inc. ("Alphabet" or "the Company") recognizes that misinformation and disinformation can harm society and negatively impact human rights.

Google, Alphabet’s largest subsidiary, “holds a market share of around 90 percent in a wide range of digital markets,” a position that generated over $145 billion in 2020 advertising revenue worldwide. With such market dominance, Alphabet’s policies and practices—especially those related to misinformation and disinformation—significantly shape our information environment and have profound impacts on society.

To retain the trust of users, advertisers, employees, stockholders, regulators and the public, Alphabet’s policies must be sufficiently robust, enforced, and independently verified. Moreover, its Audit and Compliance Committee ("the Committee") has the obligation to review “civil and human rights, sustainability, and reputational risks."2

Commissioning an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report assessing whether existing policies and practices sufficiently address potential human rights abuses and societal impacts posed by misinformation and disinformation would provide the Committee an independent evaluation of the Company’s existing policies and implemented practices and help determine if they are sufficient to protect human rights and stockholder value.

Further, such a report is consistent with Google’s commitment to upholding United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights ("UNGPs").3 The Company has disclosed certain efforts to address information quality, combat misinformation and disinformation,4 provides some transparency via Global Network Initiative assessments, and has conducted a narrow Human Rights Impact Assessment for Google’s Celebrity Recognition tool. However, these all fall short of the expectations set forth in the UNGPs, which state: “In order to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should carry out human rights due diligence,” a process of “assessing actual and potential human rights impacts, integrating and acting upon the findings, tracking responses, and communicating how impacts are addressed.”5 Meaningful disclosure regarding Google’s Human Rights Program would benefit stockholders.

Resolved: Stockholders request the Audit and Compliance Committee commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report ("the Report"), conducted by a reputable third party at reasonable cost, evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms. A summary of its findings should be published, omitting confidential, proprietary, or legally privileged information, or admissions relevant to pending litigation.

Supporting Statement:
The Report should reflect international standards and guidelines such as the UNGPs, and evaluate:

- Existing governance and oversight mechanisms to evaluate how Alphabet’s senior leadership and directors incorporate human rights due diligence—especially related to misinformation and disinformation—in their decision-making.
- Whether existing policies are effectively limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform;
- Potential recommendations, if necessary, to strengthen measures to mitigate human rights harms associated with the dissemination of misinformation and disinformation.

---

2. https://about.google/human-rights/
3. https://about.google/human-rights/
5. https://blog.google/around-the-globe/google-europe/fighting-misinformation-online-together/
December 20, 2021

Via email to

Alphabet Inc.
Attn: Corporate Secretary

Re: Stockholder Proposal for 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Dear Corporate Secretary,

I hereby authorize the Sustainability Group to file a shareholder resolution on behalf of the W. Andrew Mims Trust ("WAM Trust") for the Alphabet Inc. 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Specifically, the proposal requests that the Company commission an independent Human Rights Impact report evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms.

I am the sole original and current trustee of the WAM Trust and have the sole, current authority to act on behalf of the WAM Trust to buy, sell, and vote shares of stock held in the WAM Trust and to authorize the filing of stockholder proposals on behalf of the WAM Trust. In addition, please be advised that I intend to hold in the WAM Trust the requisite shares in Alphabet Inc. Class A Common Stock (Symbol GOOGL; Cusip 02079K305) through the date of the annual meeting and to ensure that a representative of the co-lead filers attends the shareholders’ meeting to present the proposal.

I fully support this proposal. I believe conducting this report and disclosing a summary of its findings would greatly benefit stockholders.

Specifically, I give the Sustainability Group full authority to engage with the Company on my behalf regarding the proposal and the underlying issues, and to negotiate a withdrawal of the proposal to the extent the representative views of the Company’s actions as responsive. I understand that I may be identified on the corporation’s proxy statement as the filer of the aforementioned resolution.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact my associate Larisa Ruoff

Sincerely,

W. Andrew Mims
The W. Andrew Mims Trust

Shape your world.
December 20, 2021

Via email to

Alphabet Inc.
Attn: Corporate Secretary

Re: Stockholder Proposal for 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge Trust, LLC is the custodian to the W. Andrew Mims Trust ("WAM Trust") and holds shares on behalf of the WAM Trust in our account at Bank of America. With this letter, we confirm that the WAM Trust is the beneficial owner of at least $25,000 in Alphabet Inc. Class A Common Stock (Symbol GOOGL; Cusip 02079K305) and has held at least $25,000 in shares for at least one year prior to, and including December 20, 2021. Further, it has also held at least $2,000 worth of the Company’s stock for at least three years as of the date hereof.

Sincerely,

Nushin Kormi
Member, Board of Managers
Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge Trust, LLC
Amsterdam, 22 December 2021

Via email to [redacted]

Re: Stockholder Proposal for 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Dear Corporate Secretary,

The Kempen Capital Management is filing a stockholder proposal on behalf of Kempen Global Sustainable Equity Fund N.V. ("Co-filer"), a stockholder of Alphabet Inc., for action at the next annual meeting of Alphabet Inc.


For this proposal, which asks the Company to evaluate the efficacy of its existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms, the Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge, Robeco, and NEI Investments will act as co-lead filers. Kempen Capital Management co-files and supports the proposal. We have authorized the co-lead filers to negotiate on our behalf.

The Co-filer has continuously beneficially owned, for at least a year as of the date hereof, at least $25,000 worth of the Company's common stock. Further, it has also held at least $2,000 worth of the Company's stock for at least three years as of the date hereof. Verification of this ownership will be sent under separate cover. The Proponent intends to continue to hold such shares through the date of the Company's 2022 annual meeting of shareholders.

The co-lead filers would welcome the opportunity to discuss the issues raised in the proposal and are available to meet with the Company in-person or via teleconference at between 9:30-10:30PT on either January 7th or 11th.
The co-lead filers can be contacted by email at [redacted] to schedule a meeting and to address any questions. Please address any future correspondence regarding the proposal to them at this address.

Sincerely,

Richard Klijnstra  
Team head Kempen Sustainable Equity

Kempen Capital Management N.V.
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Portions of the registrant’s Proxy Statement for the 2021 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated herein by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the extent stated herein. Such proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of the registrant’s fiscal year ended December 31, 2020.
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NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include, among other things, statements regarding:

- the ongoing effect of the novel coronavirus pandemic ("COVID-19"), including its macroeconomic effects on our business, operations, and financial results; and the effect of governmental lockdowns, restrictions and new regulations on our operations and processes;

- the growth of our business and revenues and our expectations about the factors that influence our success and trends in our business, including the size and timing of the expected return on our continuing investments in our Google Cloud segment;

- the potential for declines in our revenue growth rate and operating margin;

- our expectation that the shift from an offline to online world will continue to benefit our business;

- our expectation that the portion of our revenues that we derive from non-advertising revenues will continue to increase and may affect our margins;

- our expectation that our traffic acquisition costs ("TAC") and the associated TAC rate will fluctuate, which could affect our overall margins;

- our expectation that our monetization trends will fluctuate, which could affect our revenues and margins;

- fluctuations in our revenue growth, as well as the change in paid clicks and cost-per-click and the change in impressions and cost-per-impression, and various factors contributing to such fluctuations;

- our expectation that we will continue to periodically review, refine, and update our methodologies for monitoring, gathering, and counting the number of paid clicks and impressions;

- our expectation that our results will be affected by our performance in international markets as users in developing economies increasingly come online;

- our expectation that our foreign exchange risk management program will not fully offset our net exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;

- the expected variability of gains and losses related to hedging activities under our foreign exchange risk management program;

- the amount and timing of revenue recognition from customer contracts with commitments for performance obligations, including our estimate of the remaining amount of commitments and when we expect to recognize revenue;

- fluctuations in our capital expenditures;

- our plans to continue to invest in new businesses, products, services and technologies, systems, land and buildings for data centers and offices, and infrastructure, as well as to continue to invest in acquisitions;

- our pace of hiring and our plans to provide competitive compensation programs;

- our expectation that our cost of revenues, research and development ("R&D") expenses, sales and marketing expenses, and general and administrative expenses may increase in amount and/or may increase as a percentage of revenues and may be affected by a number of factors;

- estimates of our future compensation expenses;

- our expectation that our other income (expense), net ("OI&E"), will fluctuate in the future, as it is largely driven by market dynamics;

- fluctuations in our effective tax rate;

- seasonal fluctuations in internet usage and advertiser expenditures, underlying business trends such as traditional retail seasonality (including developments and volatility arising from COVID-19), which are likely to cause fluctuations in our quarterly results;

- the sufficiency of our sources of funding;
• our potential exposure in connection with new and pending investigations, proceedings, and other contingencies;
• the sufficiency and timing of our proposed remedies in response to decisions from the European Commission ("EC") and other regulators and governmental entities;

• our expectations regarding the timing, design and implementation of our new global enterprise resource planning ("ERP") system;

• the expected timing and amount of Alphabet Inc.’s share repurchases;

• our long-term sustainability and diversity goals;

• our expectation that the estimated useful life of servers and certain network equipment will have a favorable effect on our 2021 operating results;

as well as other statements regarding our future operations, financial condition and prospects, and business strategies.

Forward-looking statements may appear throughout this report and other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including without limitation, the following sections: Item 1 "Business," Item 1A "Risk Factors," and Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by words such as "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "predicts," "projects," "will be," "will continue," "may," "could," "will likely result," and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties, which could cause our actual results to differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in particular, the risks discussed in Item 1A, "Risk Factors" of this report and those discussed in other documents we file with the SEC. We undertake no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements, except as required by law. Given these risks and uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

As used herein, "Alphabet," "the company," "we," "us," "our," and similar terms include Alphabet Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.

"Alphabet," "Google," and other trademarks of ours appearing in this report are our property. This report contains additional trade names and trademarks of other companies. We do not intend our use or display of other companies' trade names or trademarks to imply an endorsement or sponsorship of us by such companies, or any relationship with any of these companies.
PART I
ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

As our founders Larry and Sergey wrote in the original founders' letter, "Google is not a conventional company. We do
not intend to become one." That unconventional spirit has been a driving force throughout our history, inspiring us to tackle
big problems, and invest in moonshots like artificial intelligence ("AI") research and quantum computing. We continue this
work under the leadership of Sundar Pichai, who has served as CEO of Google since 2015 and as CEO of Alphabet since
2019.

Alphabet is a collection of businesses — the largest of which is Google — which we report as two segments: Google
Services and Google Cloud. We report all non-Google businesses collectively as Other Bets. Our Other Bets include
earlier stage technologies that are further afield from our core Google business. We take a long term view and manage the
portfolio of Other Bets with the discipline and rigor needed to deliver long-term returns. Our Alphabet structure is about
helping each of our businesses prosper through strong leaders and independence.

Access and technology for everyone

The Internet is one of the world's most powerful equalizers, capable of propelling new ideas and people forward. Our
mission to organize the world's information and make it universally accessible and useful is as relevant today as it was
when we were founded in 1998. Since then, we've evolved from a company that helps people find answers to a company
that helps you get things done. We're focused on building an even more helpful Google for everyone, and we aspire to
give everyone the tools they need to increase their knowledge, health, happiness and success.

Across Alphabet, we're focused on continually innovating in areas where technology can have an impact on people's
lives. Every year, there are trillions of searches on Google, and we continue to invest deeply in AI and other technologies
to ensure the most helpful Search experience possible. People come to YouTube for entertainment, information and
opportunities to learn something new. And Google Assistant offers the best way to get things done seamlessly across
different devices, providing intelligent help throughout your day, no matter where you are.

Since the pandemic began, our teams have built new features to help users go about their daily lives, and to support
businesses working to serve their customers during an uncertain time. In conjunction with Apple, we launched Exposure
Notification apps that are being used by local governments globally. Our COVID-19 Community Mobility Reports are used
by public health agencies and researchers around the globe, and we've committed hundreds of millions of dollars to help
small businesses through a combination of small business loans, grants and ad credits. Importantly, we've made
authoritative content a key focus area across both Google Search and YouTube to help users search for trusted public
health information.

Our Other Bets are also pursuing initiatives with similar goals. For instance, as a part of our efforts in the Metro
Phoenix area, Waymo is working toward our goal of making transportation safer and easier for everyone while Verily is
developing tools and platforms to improve health outcomes.

Moonshots

Many companies get comfortable doing what they have always done, making only incremental changes. This
incrementalism leads to irrelevance over time, especially in technology, where change tends to be revolutionary, not
evolutionary. People thought we were crazy when we acquired YouTube and Android and when we launched Chrome, but
those efforts have matured into major platforms for digital video and mobile devices and a safer, popular browser. We
continue to look toward the future and continue to invest for the long-term. As we said in the original founders' letter, we
will not shy away from high-risk, high-reward projects that we believe in because they are the key to our long-term
success.

The power of machine learning

Across the company, machine learning and AI are increasingly driving many of our latest innovations. Our
investments in machine learning over the past decade have enabled us to build products that are smarter and more
helpful. For example, a huge breakthrough in natural language understanding, called BERT, now improves results for
almost every English language search query.

DeepMind made a significant AI-powered breakthrough, solving a 50-year-old protein folding challenge, which will help
us better understand one of life's fundamental building blocks, and will enable researchers to tackle new and difficult
problems, from fighting diseases to environmental sustainability.
Google

For reporting purposes, Google comprises two segments: Google Services and Google Cloud.

Google Services

Serving our users

We have always been a company committed to building helpful products that can improve the lives of millions of people. Our product innovations have made our services widely used, and our brand one of the most recognized in the world. Google Services' core products and platforms include Android, Chrome, Gmail, Google Drive, Google Maps, Google Photos, Google Play, Search, and YouTube, each with broad and growing adoption by users around the world.

Our products and services have come a long way since the company was founded more than two decades ago. Rather than the ten blue links in our early search results, users can now get direct answers to their questions using their computer, mobile device, or their own voice, making it quicker, easier and more natural to find what you're looking for.

This drive to make information more accessible and helpful has led us over the years to improve the discovery and creation of digital content, on the web and through platforms like Google Play and YouTube. With the migration to mobile, people are consuming more digital content by watching more videos, playing more games, listening to more music, reading more books, and using more apps than ever before. Working with content creators and partners, we continue to build new ways for people around the world to find great digital content.

Fueling all of these great digital experiences are powerful platforms and hardware. That's why we continue to invest in platforms like our Android mobile operating system, Chrome browser, Chrome operating system, as well as growing our family of great hardware devices. We see tremendous potential for devices to be helpful, make your life easier, and get better over time, by combining the best of our AI, software, and hardware. This is reflected in our latest generation of hardware products like Pixel 4a, Pixel 4a 5G and Pixel 5 phones, Chromecast with Google TV and the Google Nest Hub smart display. Creating beautiful products that people rely on every day is a journey that we are investing in for the long run.

Key to building helpful products for users is our commitment to privacy, security and user choice. As the Internet evolves, we continue to invest in keeping data safe, including enhanced malware features in Chrome and improvements to auto-delete controls that will automatically delete web and app searches after 18 months.

How we make money

Our advertising products deliver relevant ads at just the right time, to give people useful commercial information, regardless of the device they’re using. We also provide advertisers with tools that help them better attribute and measure their advertising campaigns. Our advertising solutions help millions of companies grow their businesses, and we offer a wide range of products across devices and formats. Google Services generates revenues primarily by delivering both performance advertising and brand advertising.

- **Performance advertising** creates and delivers relevant ads that users will click on, leading to direct engagement with advertisers. Most of our performance advertisers pay us when a user engages in their ads. Performance advertising lets our advertisers connect with users while driving measurable results. Our ads tools allow performance advertisers to create simple text-based ads that appear on Google Search & other properties, YouTube and the properties of Google Network Members. In addition, Google Network Members use our platforms to display relevant ads on their properties, generating revenues when site visitors view or click on the ads. We continue to invest in our advertising programs and make significant upgrades.

- **Brand advertising** helps enhance users’ awareness of and affinity with advertisers' products and services, through videos, text, images, and other interactive ads that run across various devices. We help brand advertisers deliver digital videos and other types of ads to specific audiences for their brand-building marketing campaigns.

We have built a world-class ad technology platform for advertisers, agencies, and publishers to power their digital marketing businesses. We aim to ensure great user experiences by serving the right ads at the right time and by building deep partnerships with brands and agencies. We also seek to improve the measurability of advertising so advertisers know when their campaigns are effective.

We have allocated substantial resources to stopping bad advertising practices and protecting users on the web. We focus on creating the best advertising experiences for our users and advertisers in many ways, ranging
from filtering out invalid traffic, removing billions of bad ads from our systems every year to closely monitoring the sites, apps, and videos where ads appear and blocklisting them when necessary to ensure that ads do not fund bad content.

We continue to look to the future and are making long-term investments that will grow revenues beyond advertising, including Google Play, hardware, and YouTube. We are also investing in research efforts in AI and quantum computing to foster innovation across our businesses and create new opportunities.

**Google Cloud**

Google was a company built in the cloud. We continue to invest in infrastructure, security, data management, analytics and AI. We see significant opportunity in helping businesses utilize these strengths with features like data migration, modern development environments and machine learning tools to provide enterprise-ready cloud services, including Google Cloud Platform and Google Workspace (formerly known as G Suite). Google Cloud Platform enables developers to build, test, and deploy applications on its highly scalable and reliable infrastructure. Our Google Workspace collaboration tools — which include apps like Gmail, Docs, Drive, Calendar, Meet and more — are designed with real-time collaboration and machine intelligence to help people work smarter. Because more and more of today's great digital experiences are being built in the cloud, our Google Cloud products help businesses of all sizes take advantage of the latest technology advances to operate more efficiently.

Google Cloud generates revenues primarily from fees received for Google Cloud Platform services and Google Workspace collaboration tools.

**Other Bets**

Throughout Alphabet, we are also using technology to try and solve big problems across many industries. Alphabet’s investment in our portfolio of Other Bets include emerging businesses at various stages of development, ranging from those in the research and development phase to those that are in the beginning stages of commercialization, and our goal is for them to become thriving, successful businesses in the medium to long term. While these early-stage businesses naturally come with considerable uncertainty, some of them are already generating revenue and making important strides in their industries. Revenues are primarily generated from internet and TV services, as well as licensing and R&D services.

Other Bets operate as independent companies and some of them have their own boards with independent members and outside investors. We are investing in our portfolio of Other Bets and being very deliberate about the focus, scale, and pace of investments.

**Competition**

Our business is characterized by rapid change as well as new and disruptive technologies. We face formidable competition in every aspect of our business, particularly from companies that seek to connect people with online information and provide them with relevant advertising. We face competition from:

- General purpose search engines and information services, such as Baidu, Microsoft's Bing, Naver, Seznam, Verizon's Yahoo, and Yandex.

- Vertical search engines and e-commerce websites, such as Amazon and eBay (e-commerce), Booking's Kayak (travel queries), Microsoft's LinkedIn (job queries), and WebMD (health queries). Some users will navigate directly to such content, websites, and apps rather than go through Google.

- Social networks, such as Facebook, Snapchat, and Twitter. Some users increasingly rely on social networks for product or service referrals, rather than seeking information through traditional search engines.

- Other forms of advertising, such as billboards, magazines, newspapers, radio, and television. Our advertisers typically advertise in multiple media, both online and offline.

- Other online advertising platforms and networks, including Amazon, AppNexus, Criteo, and Facebook, that compete for advertisers that use Google Ads, our primary auction-based advertising platform.

- Providers of digital video services, such as Amazon, Apple, AT&T, Disney, Facebook, Hulu, Netflix and TikTok.

In businesses that are further afield from our advertising business, we compete with companies that have longer operating histories and more established relationships with customers and users. We face competition from:

- Other digital content and application platform providers, such as Amazon and Apple.
• Companies that design, manufacture, and market consumer hardware products, including businesses that have developed proprietary platforms.

• Providers of enterprise cloud services, including Alibaba, Amazon, and Microsoft.

• Digital assistant providers, such as Amazon and Apple.

Competing successfully depends heavily on our ability to deliver and distribute innovative products and technologies to the marketplace across our businesses. Specifically, for advertising, competing successfully depends on attracting and retaining:

• Users, for whom other products and services are literally one click away, largely on the basis of the relevance of our advertising, as well as the general usefulness, security and availability of our products and services.

• Advertisers, primarily based on our ability to generate sales leads, and ultimately customers, and to deliver their advertisements in an efficient and effective manner across a variety of distribution channels.

• Content providers, primarily based on the quality of our advertiser base, our ability to help these partners generate revenues from advertising, and the terms of our agreements with them.

Ongoing Commitment to Sustainability

At Google, we build technology that helps people do more for the planet. We strive to build sustainability into everything we do, including designing and operating efficient data centers, advancing carbon-free energy, creating sustainable workplaces, building better devices and services, empowering users with technology, and enabling a responsible supply chain.

Google has been carbon neutral since 2007, and in 2019, for the third consecutive year, we matched 100% of our electricity consumption with renewable energy purchases. We are the largest annual corporate purchaser of renewable energy in the world, based on renewable electricity purchased in megawatt-hour (MWh). In 2020, we neutralized our entire legacy carbon footprint since our founding (covering all our operational emissions before we became carbon neutral in 2007), making Google the first major company to achieve carbon neutrality for its entire operating history. In our third decade of climate action, we've set our most ambitious goal yet: to run our business on carbon-free energy everywhere, at all times, by 2030.

We're also investing in technologies to help our partners and people all over the world make sustainable choices. For example, we intend to enable 5 GW of new carbon-free energy across our key manufacturing regions by 2030 through investment. We anticipate this will spur more than $5 billion in clean energy investments, avoid the amount of emissions equal to taking more than 1 million cars off the road each year, and create more than 8,000 clean energy jobs. With the Environmental Insights Explorer, we're also working to help more than 500 cities and local governments globally reduce a total of 1 gigaton of carbon emissions annually by 2030 — that's the equivalent of the annual carbon emissions of a country the size of Japan.

Google’s products are already helping people make more sustainable choices in their daily lives, whether it’s using Google Maps to find bike-shares and electric vehicle charging stations, or in many European countries, using Google Flights to sort the least carbon-intensive option flights. There are more tools and information we can provide, and our goal is to find new ways that our products can help 1 billion people make more sustainable choices by 2022.

Climate change is one of the most significant global challenges of our time. In 2017, we developed a climate resilience strategy, which included conducting a climate scenario analysis. We've earned a spot on the CDP (formerly the Carbon Disclosure Project) Climate Change A List for seven consecutive years. We believe our CDP climate change response reflects the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD).

In 2020, we issued $5.75 billion in sustainability bonds, the largest sustainability or green bond issuance by any company in history. The net proceeds from the issuance are used to fund environmentally and socially responsible projects in the following eight areas: energy efficiency, clean energy, green buildings, clean transportation, circular economy and design, affordable housing, commitment to racial equity, and support for small businesses and COVID-19 crisis response.

More information on our approach to sustainability can be found in our annual sustainability reports, including Google’s environmental report. The content of our sustainability reports are not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or in any other report or document we file with the SEC.
Culture and Workforce

We’re a company of curious, talented and passionate people. We embrace collaboration and creativity, and encourage the iteration of ideas to address complex challenges in technology and society.

Our people are critical for our continued success. We work hard to provide an environment where Googlers can have fulfilling careers, and be happy, healthy and productive. We offer industry-leading benefits and programs to take care of the diverse needs of our employees and their families, including access to excellent healthcare choices, opportunities for career growth and development, and resources to support their financial health. Our competitive compensation programs help us to attract and retain top candidates, and we will continue to invest in recruiting talented people to technical and non-technical roles and rewarding them well.

Alphabet is committed to making diversity, equity, and inclusion part of everything we do and we’re committed to growing a workforce that’s representative of the users we serve. More information on Google’s approach to diversity can be found in our annual diversity reports, available publicly at diversity.google. The content of our diversity reports is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or in any other report or document we file with the SEC.

We have work councils and statutory employee representation obligations in certain countries and we are committed to supporting protected labor rights, maintaining an open culture and listening to all Googlers. Supporting healthy and open dialogue is central to how we work, and we communicate information about the company through multiple internal channels to our employees. As of December 31, 2020, Alphabet had 135,301 employees.

When necessary, we contract with businesses around the world to provide specialized services where we don’t have appropriate in-house expertise or resources, often in fields that require specialized training like cafe operations, customer support, content moderation and physical security. We also contract with temporary staffing agencies when we need to cover short-term leaves, when we have spikes in business needs, or when we need to quickly incubate special projects. We choose our partners and staffing agencies carefully, and review their compliance with Google’s Supplier Code of Conduct. We continually make improvements to promote a respectful and positive working environment for everyone — employees, vendors and temporary staff alike.

Government Regulation

We are subject to numerous U.S. federal, state, and foreign laws and regulations covering a wide variety of subject matters. Like other companies in the technology industry, we face heightened scrutiny from both U.S. and foreign governments with respect to our compliance with laws and regulations. Our compliance with these laws and regulations may be onerous and could, individually or in the aggregate, increase our cost of doing business, impact our competitive position relative to our peers, and/or otherwise have an adverse impact on our business, reputation, financial condition, and operating results. For additional information about government regulation applicable to our business, see Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A, Trends in Our Business in Part II, Item 7, and Legal Matters in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Intellectual Property

We rely on various intellectual property laws, confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect our proprietary technology and our brand. We have registered, and applied for the registration of, U.S. and international trademarks, service marks, domain names and copyrights. We have also filed patent applications in the U.S. and foreign countries covering certain of our technology, and acquired patent assets to supplement our portfolio. We have licensed in the past, and expect that we may license in the future, certain of our rights to other parties.

Seasonality

Our business is affected by seasonal fluctuations in internet usage, advertising expenditures, and underlying business trends such as traditional retail seasonality (including developments and volatility arising from COVID-19).

Available Information

Our website is located at www.abc.xyz, and our investor relations website is located at www.abc.xyz/investor. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and our Proxy Statements, and any amendments to these reports, are available through our investor relations website, free of charge, after we file them with the SEC. We also provide a link to the section of the SEC's website at www.sec.gov that has all of the reports that we file or furnish with the SEC.
We webcast via our investor relations website our earnings calls and certain events we participate in or host with members of the investment community. Our investor relations website also provides notifications of news or
announcements regarding our financial performance and other items that may be material or of interest to our investors, including SEC filings, investor events, press and earnings releases, and blogs. We also share Google news and product updates on Google's Keyword blog at https://www.blog.google/, that may be material or of interest to our investors. Further, corporate governance information, including our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, governance guidelines, board committee charters, and code of conduct, is also available on our investor relations website under the heading "Other." The content of our websites are not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or in any other report or document we file with the SEC, and any references to our websites are intended to be inactive textual references only.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our operations and financial results are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those described below, which could harm our business, reputation, financial condition, and operating results.

Risks Specific to our Company

We generate a significant portion of our revenues from advertising, and reduced spending by advertisers, a loss of partners, or new and existing technologies that block ads online and/or affect our ability to customize ads could harm our business.

We generated over 80% of total revenues from the display of ads online in 2020. Many of our advertisers, companies that distribute our products and services, digital publishers, and content providers can terminate their contracts with us at any time. These partners may not continue to do business with us if we do not create more value (such as increased numbers of users or customers, new sales leads, increased brand awareness, or more effective monetization) than their available alternatives. Changes to our advertising policies and data privacy practices, as well as changes to other companies' advertising and/or data privacy practices may affect the advertising that we are able to provide, which could harm our business. In addition, technologies have been developed that make customized ads more difficult or that block the display of ads altogether and some providers of online services have integrated technologies that could potentially impair the availability and functionality of third-party digital advertising. Failing to provide superior value or deliver advertisements effectively and competitively could harm our reputation, financial condition, and operating results.

In addition, expenditures by advertisers tend to be cyclical, reflecting overall economic conditions and budgeting and buying patterns. Adverse macroeconomic conditions, including COVID-19 and its effects on the global economy (as discussed in greater detail in our COVID-19 risk factor under 'General Risks' below), have impacted the demand for advertising and resulted in fluctuations in the amounts our advertisers spend on advertising, and could have an adverse impact on such demand and spend, which could harm our financial condition and operating results.

We face intense competition. If we do not continue to innovate and provide products and services that are useful to users, we may not remain competitive, which could harm our business and operating results.

Our business environment is rapidly evolving and intensely competitive. Our businesses face changing technologies, shifting user needs, and frequent introductions of rival products and services. To compete successfully, we must accurately anticipate technology developments and deliver innovative, relevant and useful products, services, and technologies in a timely manner. As our businesses evolve, the competitive pressure to innovate will encompass a wider range of products and services. We must continue to invest significant resources in research and development, including through acquisitions, in order to enhance our technology and new and existing products and services.

We have many competitors in different industries. Our current and potential domestic and international competitors range from large and established companies to emerging start-ups. Some competitors have longer operating histories and well established relationships in various sectors. They can use their experience and resources in ways that could affect our competitive position, including by making acquisitions, continuing to invest heavily in research and development and in talent, aggressively initiating intellectual property claims (whether or not meritorious), and continuing to compete aggressively for users, advertisers, customers, and content providers. Further, discrepancies in enforcement of existing laws may enable our lesser known competitors to aggressively interpret those laws without commensurate scrutiny, thereby affording them competitive advantages. Our competitors may also be able to innovate and provide products and services faster than we can or may foresee the need for products and services before us.

Our operating results may also suffer if our products and services are not responsive to the needs of our users, advertisers, publishers, customers, and content providers. As technologies continue to develop, our competitors may be able to offer experiences that are, or that are seen to be, substantially similar to or better than ours. This
may force us to compete in different ways and expend significant resources in order to remain competitive. If our competitors are more successful than we are in developing compelling products or in attracting and retaining users, advertisers, publishers, customers, and content providers, our operating results could be harmed.

**Our ongoing investment in new businesses, products, services, and technologies is inherently risky, and could disrupt our current operations and harm our financial condition and operating results.**

We have invested and expect to continue to invest in new businesses, products, services, and technologies. The investments that we are making across Google Services, Google Cloud and Other Bets reflect our ongoing efforts to innovate and provide products and services that are useful to users, advertisers, publishers, customers, and content providers. Our investments in Google Services, Google Cloud and Other Bets span a wide range of industries beyond online advertising. Such investments ultimately may not be commercially viable or may not result in an adequate return of capital and, in pursuing new strategies, we may incur unanticipated liabilities. These endeavors may involve significant risks and uncertainties, including diversion of management resources and, with respect to Other Bets, the use of alternative investment, governance, or compensation structures that may fail to adequately align incentives across the company or otherwise accomplish their objectives.

Within Google Services, we continue to invest heavily in hardware, including our smartphones and home devices, which is a highly competitive market with frequent introduction of new products and services, rapid adoption of technological advancements by competitors, short product life cycles, evolving industry standards, continual improvement in product price and performance characteristics, and price and feature sensitivity on the part of consumers and businesses. There can be no assurance we will be able to provide hardware that competes effectively.

Within Google Cloud, we devote significant resources to develop and deploy our enterprise-ready cloud services, including Google Cloud Platform and Google Workspace. We are incurring costs to build and maintain infrastructure to support cloud computing services and hire talent, particularly to support and scale our salesforce. At the same time, our competitors are rapidly developing and deploying cloud-based services. Pricing and delivery models are competitive and evolving, and we may not attain sufficient scale and profitability to achieve our business objectives.

Within Other Bets, we are investing significantly in the areas of health, life sciences, and transportation, among others. These investment areas face intense competition from large experienced and well-funded competitors and our offerings may not be able to compete effectively or to operate at sufficient levels of profitability.

In addition, new and evolving products and services, including those that use artificial intelligence and machine learning, raise ethical, technological, legal, regulatory, and other challenges, which may negatively affect our brands and demand for our products and services. Because all of these new ventures are inherently risky, no assurance can be given that such strategies and offerings will be successful and will not harm our reputation, financial condition, and operating results.

**Our revenue growth rate could decline over time, and we anticipate downward pressure on our operating margin in the future.**

Our revenue growth rate could decline over time as a result of a number of factors, including increasing competition and the continued expansion of our business into a variety of new fields. Changes in device mix, geographic mix, ongoing product and policy changes, product mix, and property mix and an increasing competition for advertising may also affect our advertising revenue growth rate. We may also experience a decline in our revenue growth rate as our revenues increase to higher levels, if there is a decrease in the rate of adoption of our products, services, and technologies, or due to deceleration or decline in demand for devices used to access our services, among other factors. In addition, COVID-19 and its effects on the global economy has impacted and may continue to adversely impact our revenue growth rate (as discussed in greater detail in our COVID-19 risk factor under ‘General Risks’ below).

In addition to a decline in our revenue growth rate, we may also experience downward pressure on our operating margin resulting from a variety of factors, such as the continued expansion of our business into new fields, including products and services such as hardware, Google Cloud, and subscription products, as well as significant investments in Other Bets, all of which may have margins lower than those we generate from advertising. We may also experience downward pressure on our operating margins from increasing regulations, increasing competition and increased costs for many aspects of our business, including within advertising where changes such as device mix, property mix, and partner agreements can affect margin. The margin we earn on revenues generated from our Google Network Members could also decrease in the future if we pay a larger percentage of advertising fees to them. We may also pay increased TAC to our distribution partners as well as increased content acquisition
costs to content providers. We may also face an increase in infrastructure costs, supporting businesses such as Search, Google Cloud, and YouTube. Many of our expenses are less variable in nature and may not correlate to changes in revenues.

Due to these factors and the evolving nature of our business, our historical revenue growth rate and historical operating margin may not be indicative of our future performance.

*Our intellectual property rights are valuable, and any inability to protect them could reduce the value of our products, services and brands as well as affect our ability to compete.*

Our patents, trademarks, trade secrets, copyrights, and other intellectual property rights are important assets for us. Various events outside of our control pose a threat to our intellectual property rights, as well as to our products, services, and technologies. For example, effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which our products and services are distributed or made available through the Internet. Also, the efforts we have taken to protect our proprietary rights may not be sufficient or effective. Although we seek to obtain patent protection for our innovations, it is possible we may not be able to protect some of these innovations. Moreover, we may not have adequate patent or copyright protection for certain innovations that later turn out to be important. Furthermore, there is always the possibility, despite our efforts, that the scope of the protection gained will be insufficient or that an issued patent may be deemed invalid or unenforceable.

We also seek to maintain certain intellectual property as trade secrets. The secrecy of such trade secrets and other sensitive information could be compromised, which could cause us to lose the competitive advantage resulting from these trade secrets. We also face risks associated with our trademarks. For example, there is a risk that the word “Google” could become so commonly used that it becomes synonymous with the word “search.” Some courts have ruled that “Google” is a protectable trademark, but it is possible that other courts, particularly those outside of the United States, may reach a different determination. If this happens, we could lose protection for this trademark, which could result in other people using the word “Google” to refer to their own products, thus diminishing our brand.

Any significant impairment of our intellectual property rights could harm our business and our ability to compete. Also, protecting our intellectual property rights is costly and time consuming. Any increase in the unauthorized use of our intellectual property could make it more expensive to do business and harm our operating results.

*Our business depends on strong brands, and failing to maintain and enhance our brands would hurt our ability to expand our base of users, advertisers, customers, content providers, and other partners.*

Our strong brands have significantly contributed to the success of our business. Maintaining and enhancing the brands within Google Services, Google Cloud and Other Bets increases our ability to enter new categories and launch new and innovative products that better serve the needs of our users, advertisers, customers, content providers, and other partners. Our brands may be negatively affected by a number of factors, including, among others, reputational issues, third-party content shared on our platforms, data privacy and security issues and developments, and product or technical performance failures. For example, if we fail to appropriately respond to the sharing of misinformation or objectionable content on our services and/or products or objectionable practices by advertisers, or to otherwise adequately address user concerns, our users may lose confidence in our brands.

Furthermore, failure to maintain and enhance equity in our brands may harm our business, financial condition, and operating results. Our success will depend largely on our ability to remain a technology leader and continue to provide high-quality, innovative products and services that are truly useful and play a valuable role in a range of settings.

*We face a number of manufacturing and supply chain risks that, if not properly managed, could harm our financial condition, operating results, and prospects.*

We face a number of risks related to manufacturing and supply chain management, which could affect our ability to supply both our products and our internet-based services.

We rely on other companies to manufacture many of our finished products, to design certain of our components and parts, and to participate in the distribution of our products and services. Our business could be negatively affected if we are not able to engage these companies with the necessary capabilities or capacity on reasonable terms, or if those we engage fail to meet their obligations (whether due to financial difficulties or other reasons), or make adverse changes in the pricing or other material terms of our arrangements with them.

We have experienced and/or may experience supply shortages and price increases driven by raw material, component or part availability, manufacturing capacity, labor shortages, industry allocations, tariffs, trade disputes
and barriers, natural disasters or pandemics (including COVID-19), the effects of climate change (such as sea level rise, drought, flooding, wildfires, and increased storm severity), and significant changes in the financial or business condition of our suppliers. We have experienced and/or may in the future, experience shortages or other supply chain disruptions that could negatively affect our operations. In addition, some of the components we use in our technical infrastructure and products are available from only one or limited sources, and we may not be able to find replacement vendors on favorable terms in the event of a supply chain disruption. In addition, a significant supply interruption could delay critical data center upgrades or expansions and delay product availability.

We may enter into long term contracts for materials and products that commit us to significant terms and conditions. We may be liable for materials and products that are not consumed due to market acceptance, technological change, obsolescences, quality, product recalls, and warranty issues. For instance, because certain of our hardware supply contracts have volume-based pricing or minimum purchase requirements, if the volume of our hardware sales decreases or does not reach projected targets, we could face increased materials and manufacturing costs or other financial liabilities that could make our products more costly per unit to manufacture and negatively affect our financial results. Furthermore, certain of our competitors may negotiate more favorable contractual terms based on volume and other commitments that may provide them with competitive advantages and may affect our supply.

Our products and services may have quality issues resulting from design, manufacturing, or operations. Sometimes, these issues may be caused by components we purchase from other manufacturers or suppliers. If the quality of our products and services does not meet expectations or our products or services are defective, it could harm our reputation, financial condition, and operating results.

We require our suppliers and business partners to comply with laws and, where applicable, our company policies, such as the Google Supplier Code of Conduct, regarding workplace and employment practices, data security, environmental compliance and intellectual property licensing, but we do not control them or their practices. Violations of law or unethical business practices could result in supply chain disruptions, canceled orders, harm to key relationships, and damage to our reputation. Their failure to procure necessary license rights to intellectual property, could affect our ability to sell our products or services and expose us to litigation or financial claims.

**Interruption, interference with, or failure of our complex information technology and communications systems could hurt our ability to effectively provide our products and services, which could harm our reputation, financial condition, and operating results. In addition, complications with the design or implementation of our new global enterprise resource planning system could harm our business and operations.**

The availability of our products and services and fulfillment of our customer contracts depend on the continuing operation of our information technology and communications systems. Our systems are vulnerable to damage, interference, or interruption from modifications or upgrades, terrorist attacks, natural disasters or pandemics (including COVID-19), the effects of climate change (such as sea level rise, drought, flooding, wildfires, and increased storm severity), power loss, telecommunications failures, computer viruses, ransomware attacks, computer denial of service attacks, phishing schemes, or other attempts to harm or access our systems. Some of our data centers are located in areas with a high risk of major earthquakes or other natural disasters. Our data centers are also subject to break-ins, sabotage, and intentional acts of vandalism, and, in some cases, to potential disruptions resulting from problems experienced by facility operators. Some of our systems are not fully redundant, and disaster recovery planning cannot account for all eventualities.

The occurrence of a natural disaster or pandemic (including COVID-19), closure of a facility, or other unanticipated problems at, or impacting, our data centers could result in lengthy interruptions in our service. In addition, our products and services are highly technical and complex and may contain errors or vulnerabilities, which could result in interruptions in or failure of our services or systems.

In addition, we rely extensively on information systems and technology to manage our business and summarize operating results. We are in the process of a multi-year implementation of a new ERP system, which will replace much of our existing core financial systems. The ERP system is designed to accurately maintain our financial records, enhance the flow of financial information, improve data management, and provide timely information to our management team. We may not be able to successfully implement the ERP system without experiencing delays, increased costs, and other difficulties. Failure to successfully design and implement the new ERP system as planned could harm our business, financial condition, and operating results. Additionally, if we do not effectively implement the ERP system as planned or the ERP system does not operate as intended, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting could be negatively affected.
Our international operations expose us to additional risks that could harm our business, our financial condition, and operating results.

Our international operations are significant to our revenues and net income, and we plan to continue to grow internationally. International revenues accounted for approximately 53% of our consolidated revenues in 2020. In addition to risks described elsewhere in this section, our international operations expose us to other risks, including the following:

- Restrictions on foreign ownership and investments, and stringent foreign exchange controls that might prevent us from repatriating cash earned in countries outside the U.S.
- Import and export requirements, tariffs and other market access barriers that may prevent or impede us from offering products or providing services to a particular market, or that could limit our ability to source assemblies and finished products from a particular market, and may increase our operating costs.
- Longer payment cycles in some countries, increased credit risk, and higher levels of payment fraud.
- Evolving foreign events, including the effect of the United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union, may adversely affect our revenues and could subject us to new regulatory costs and challenges (including the transfer of personal data between the EU and the United Kingdom and new customer requirements), in addition to other adverse effects that we are unable to effectively anticipate.
- Anti-corruption laws, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and other local laws prohibiting certain payments to government officials, violations of which could result in civil and criminal penalties.
- Uncertainty regarding liability for services and content, including uncertainty as a result of local laws and lack of legal precedent.
- Different employee/employer relationships, existence of works councils and labor unions, and other challenges caused by distance, language, and cultural differences, making it harder to do business in certain jurisdictions.

Because we conduct business in currencies other than U.S. dollars but report our financial results in U.S. dollars, we face exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Although we hedge a portion of our international currency exposure, significant fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies may adversely affect our revenues and earnings, particularly in light of market volatilities due to COVID-19. Hedging programs are also inherently risky and could expose us to additional risks that could harm our financial condition and operating results.

Risks Related to our Industry

People access the Internet through a variety of platforms and devices that continue to evolve with the advancement of technology and user preferences. If manufacturers and users do not widely adopt versions of our products and services developed for these interfaces, our business could be harmed.

People access the Internet through a growing variety of devices such as desktop computers, mobile phones, smartphones, laptops and tablets, video game consoles, voice-activated speakers, wearables, automobiles, and television-streaming devices. Our products and services may be less popular on some interfaces. Each manufacturer or distributor may establish unique technical standards for its devices, and our products and services may not be available or may only be available with limited functionality for our users or our advertisers on these devices as a result. Some manufacturers may also elect not to include our products on their devices. In addition, search queries are increasingly being undertaken via voice-activated speakers, apps, social media or other platforms, which could harm our business. It is hard to predict the challenges we may encounter in adapting our products and services and developing competitive new products and services. We expect to continue to devote significant resources to creating and supporting products and services across multiple platforms and devices. Failing to attract and retain a substantial number of new device manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, developers, and users, or failing to develop products and technologies that work well on new devices and platforms, could harm our business, financial condition, and operating results and ability to capture future business opportunities.

Data privacy and security concerns relating to our technology and our practices could damage our reputation, cause us to incur significant liability, and deter current and potential users or customers from using our products and services. Software bugs or defects, security breaches, and attacks on our systems could result in the improper disclosure and use of user data and interference with our users and customers’ ability to use our products and services, harming our business operations and reputation.
Many websites violate or attempt to violate our guidelines, including by seeking to inappropriately rank higher in search results than our search engine's assessment of their relevance and utility would rank them. Such efforts could result in government and legal exposure, seriously harm our reputation and brand and, therefore, our business, and impair our ability to attract and retain users or customers. We expect to continue to expend significant resources to maintain security protections that shield against bugs, theft, misuse, or security vulnerabilities or breaches.

We experience cyber attacks and other attempts to gain unauthorized access to our systems on a regular basis. We may experience future security issues, whether due to employee error or malfeasance or system errors or vulnerabilities in our or other parties’ systems, which could result in significant legal and financial exposure. Government inquiries and enforcement actions, litigation, and adverse press coverage could harm our business. We may be unable to anticipate or detect attacks or vulnerabilities or implement adequate preventative measures. Attacks and security issues could also compromise trade secrets and other sensitive information, harming our business.

While we have dedicated significant resources to privacy and security incident response capabilities, including dedicated worldwide incident response teams, our response process, particularly during times of a natural disaster or pandemic (including COVID-19), may not be adequate, may fail to accurately assess the severity of an incident, may not respond quickly enough, or may fail to sufficiently remediate an incident. As a result, we may suffer significant legal, reputational, or financial exposure, which could harm our business, financial condition, and operating results. Any such developments may also subject us to additional litigation and regulatory inquiries, and brands, require us to change our business practices in a manner adverse to our business, and adversely affect our business and financial results. Any of the foregoing developments may negatively affect user trust and engagement, harm our reputation and deter our current and potential users from using our products and services.

Our ongoing investments in safety, security, and content review will likely continue to identify abuse of our platforms and misuse of user data.

In addition to our efforts to mitigate cyber attacks, we are making significant investments in safety, security, and content review efforts to combat misuse of our services and unauthorized access to user data by third parties, including investigations and review of platform applications that could access the information of users of our services. As a result of these efforts, we could discover incidents of unnecessary access to or misuse of user data or other undesirable activity by third parties. We may not discover all such incidents or activity, whether as a result of our data limitations, including our lack of visibility over our encrypted services, the scale of activity on our platform, or other factors, including factors outside of our control such as a natural disaster or pandemic (including COVID-19), and we may be notified of such incidents or activity via third parties. Such incidents and activities may include the use of user data or our systems in a manner inconsistent with our terms, contracts or policies, the existence of false or undesirable user accounts, election interference, improper ad purchases, activities that threaten people’s safety on- or offline, or instances of spamming, scraping, or spreading disinformation. We may also be unsuccessful in our efforts to enforce our policies or otherwise remediate any such incidents. Any of the foregoing developments may negatively affect user trust and engagement, harm our reputation and brands, require us to change our business practices in a manner adverse to our business, and adversely affect our business and financial results. Any such developments may also subject us to additional litigation and regulatory inquiries, which could result in monetary penalties and damages, divert management’s time and attention, and lead to enhanced regulatory oversight.

Problematic content on our platforms, including low-quality user-generated content, web spam, content farms, and other violations of our guidelines could affect the quality of our services, which could damage our reputation and deter our current and potential users from using our products and services.

We, like others in the industry, face violations of our content guidelines across our platforms, including sophisticated attempts by bad actors to manipulate our hosting and advertising systems to fraudulently generate revenues, or to otherwise generate traffic that does not represent genuine user interest or intent. While we invest significantly in efforts to promote high-quality and relevant results and to detect and prevent low-quality content and invalid traffic, we may be unable to adequately detect and prevent such abuses or promote high-quality content, particularly during times of a natural disaster or pandemic (including COVID-19).

Many websites violate or attempt to violate our guidelines, including by seeking to inappropriately rank higher in search results than our search engine’s assessment of their relevance and utility would rank them. Such efforts
(known as “web spam”) may affect the quality of content on our platforms and lead them to display false, misleading or undesirable content.

Although English-language web spam in our search results has been reduced, and web spam in most other languages is limited, we expect web spammers will continue to seek inappropriate ways to improve their rankings. We continuously combat web spam in our search results, including through indexing technology that makes it harder for spam-like, less useful web content to rank highly. We also continue to invest in and deploy proprietary technology to detect and prevent web spam from abusing our platforms.

We also face other challenges from low-quality and irrelevant content websites, including content farms, which are websites that generate large quantities of low-quality content to help them improve their search rankings. We are continually launching algorithmic changes focused on detecting and preventing abuse from low-quality websites.

We also face other challenges on our platforms, including violations of our content guidelines involving incidents such as attempted election interference, activities that threaten the safety and/or well-being of our users on- or offline, and the spreading of disinformation, among other challenges.

If we fail to either detect and prevent an increase in problematic content or effectively promote high-quality content, it could hurt our reputation for delivering relevant information or reduce use of our platforms, harming our financial condition or operating results. It may also subject us to litigation and regulatory inquiries, which could result in monetary penalties and damages, divert management’s time and attention, and lead to enhanced regulatory oversight.

**Our business depends on continued and unimpeded access to the Internet by us and our users. Internet access providers may be able to restrict, block, degrade, or charge for access to certain of our products and services, which could lead to additional expenses and the loss of users and advertisers.**

Our products and services depend on the ability of our users to access the Internet, and certain of our products require significant bandwidth to work effectively. Currently, this access is provided by companies that have significant market power in the broadband and internet access marketplace, including incumbent telephone companies, cable companies, mobile communications companies, and government-owned service providers. Some of these providers have taken, or have stated that they may take measures that could degrade, disrupt, or increase the cost of user access to certain of our products by restricting or prohibiting the use of their infrastructure to support or facilitate our offerings, by charging increased fees to us or our users to provide our offerings, or by providing our competitors preferential access. Some jurisdictions have adopted regulations prohibiting certain forms of discrimination by internet access providers; however, substantial uncertainty exists in the United States and elsewhere regarding such protections. For example, in 2018 the United States Federal Communications Commission repealed net neutrality rules, which could permit internet access providers to restrict, block, degrade, or charge for access to certain of our products and services. In addition, in some jurisdictions, our products and services have been subject to government-initiated restrictions or blockages. COVID-19 has also resulted in quarantines, shelter in place orders, and work from home directives, all of which have increased demands for internet access and may create access challenges. These could result in a loss of existing users, customers and advertisers, goodwill, and increased costs, and could impair our ability to attract new users, customers and advertisers, thereby harming our business.

**Risks Related to Laws and Regulations**

We face increased regulatory scrutiny as well as changes in regulatory conditions, laws and policies governing a wide range of topics that may negatively affect our business.

We and other companies in the technology industry face increased regulatory scrutiny, enforcement action, and other proceedings. For instance, the U.S. Department of Justice, joined by a number of state Attorneys General, filed an antitrust complaint against Google on October 20, 2020, alleging that Google violated U.S. antitrust laws relating to Search and Search advertising. Separately, on December 16, 2020, a number of state Attorneys General filed an antitrust complaint against Google in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, alleging that Google violated U.S. antitrust laws as well as state deceptive trade laws relating to its advertising technology. Various other regulatory agencies in the United States and around the world, including competition enforcers, consumer protection agencies, data protection authorities, grand juries, inter-agency consultative groups, and a range of other governmental bodies have and continue to review our products and services and their compliance with laws and regulations around the world. We continue to cooperate with these investigations. Various laws, regulations, investigations, enforcement lawsuits, and regulatory actions have in the past and may in the future result in substantial fines and penalties, injunctive relief, ongoing auditing and monitoring
obligations, changes to our products and services, alterations to our business models and operations, and collateral litigation, all of which could harm our business, reputation, financial condition, and operating results.

Changes in international and local social, political, economic, tax, and regulatory conditions or in laws and policies governing a wide range of topics may increase our cost of doing business, limit our ability to pursue certain business models, offer products or services in certain jurisdictions, or cause us to change our business practices. We have in the past had to alter or withdraw certain products and services as a result of laws or regulations that made them unfeasible, and new laws or regulations, such as the News Media Bargaining Code drafted by the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission currently tabled in parliament, could result in our having to alter or withdraw products and services in the future. These additional costs of doing business, new limitations or changes to our business model or practices could harm our business, reputation, financial condition, and operating results.

**A variety of new and existing laws and/or interpretations could harm our business.**

We are subject to numerous U.S. and foreign laws and regulations covering a wide variety of subject matters. New laws and regulations (or new interpretations or applications of existing laws and regulations in a manner inconsistent with our practices) may make our products and services less useful, limit our ability to pursue certain business models or offer certain products and services, require us to incur substantial costs, expose us to civil or criminal liability, or cause us to change our business practices. These laws and regulations are evolving and involve matters central to our business, including, among others:

- New competition laws and related regulations around the world, that can limit certain business practices, and in some cases, create the risk of significant penalties.
- Privacy laws, such as the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 that came into effect in January of 2020 and the California Privacy Rights Act which will go into effect in 2023, both of which give new data privacy rights to California residents, and SB-327 in California, which regulates the security of data in connection with internet connected devices.
- Data protection laws passed by many states within the U.S. and by certain countries regarding notification to data subjects and/or regulators when there is a security breach of personal data.
- New laws further restricting the collection, processing and/or sharing of advertising-related data.
- Copyright or similar laws around the world, including the EU Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market (EUCD) of April 17, 2019, which EU Member States must implement by June 7, 2021; and the News Media Bargaining Code drafted by the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission. These and similar laws that have been adopted or proposed introduce new constraining licensing regimes that could affect our ability to operate. The EUCD and similar laws could increase the liability of some content-sharing services with respect to content uploaded by their users. Some of these laws, as well as follow-on administrative or judicial actions, have also created or may create a new property right in news publications that limits the ability of some online services to interact with or present such content. They may also impose compensation negotiations with news agencies and publishers for the use of such content, which may result in payment obligations that significantly exceed the value that such content provides to Google and its users.
- Data localization laws, which generally mandate that certain types of data collected in a particular country be stored and/or processed within that country.
- Various U.S. and international laws that govern the distribution of certain materials to children and regulate the ability of online services to collect information from minors.
- Various laws with regard to content removal and disclosure obligations, such as the Network Enforcement Act in Germany, which may affect our businesses and operations and may subject us to significant fines if such laws are interpreted and applied in a manner inconsistent with our practices or when we may not proactively discover such content due to the scale of third-party content and the limitations of existing technologies. Other countries, including Singapore, Australia, and the United Kingdom, have implemented or are considering similar legislation imposing penalties for failure to remove certain types of content.
- Various legislative, litigation, and regulatory activity regarding our Google Play billing policies and business model, which could result in monetary penalties, damages and/or prohibition.

In addition, the applicability and scope of these laws, as interpreted by the courts, remain uncertain and could harm our business. For example:
• We rely on statutory safe harbors, as set forth in the Digital Millennium Copyright Act and Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act in the United States and the E-Commerce Directive in Europe, against liability for various linking, caching, and hosting activities. Any legislation or court rulings affecting these safe harbors may adversely affect us. There are legislative proposals in both the US and EU that could reduce our safe harbor protection.

• Court decisions such as the judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) on May 13, 2014 on the ‘right to be forgotten,’ which allows individuals to demand that Google remove search results about them in certain instances, may limit the content we can show to our users and impose significant operational burdens.

The introduction of new businesses, products, services, and technologies, our activities in certain jurisdictions, or other actions we take may subject us to additional laws and regulations. Our investment in a variety of new fields, such as healthcare and payment services, may expand the scope of regulations that apply to our business. The costs of compliance with these laws and regulations are high and are likely to increase in the future. Any failure on our part to comply with laws and regulations can result in negative publicity and diversion of management time and effort and may subject us to significant liabilities and other penalties.

We are subject to claims, suits, government investigations, and other proceedings that may harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.

We are subject to claims, suits, and government investigations involving competition, intellectual property, data privacy and security, consumer protection, tax, labor and employment, commercial disputes, content generated by our users, goods and services offered by advertisers or publishers using our platforms, and other matters. Due to our manufacturing and sale of an expanded suite of products, including hardware as well as Google Cloud offerings, we also are subject to a variety of claims including product warranty, product liability, and consumer protection claims related to product defects, among other litigation. We may also be subject to claims involving health and safety, hazardous materials usage, other environmental impacts, or service disruptions or failures.

Any of these types of legal proceedings can have an adverse effect on us because of legal costs, diversion of management resources, negative publicity and other factors. Determining reserves for our pending litigation is a complex, fact-intensive process that requires significant judgment. The resolution of one or more such proceedings has resulted in, and may in the future result in, additional substantial fines, penalties, injunctions, and other sanctions that could harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.

We may be subject to legal liability associated with providing online services or content.

Our products and services let users exchange information, advertise products and services, conduct business, and engage in various online activities. We also place advertisements displayed on other companies’ websites, and we offer third-party products, services, and/or content. The law relating to the liability of online service providers for others’ activities on their services is still somewhat unsettled around the world. Claims have been brought against us for defamation, negligence, breaches of contract, copyright and trademark infringement, unfair competition, unlawful activity, torts, fraud, or other legal theories based on the nature and content of information available on or via our services.

We may be subject to claims by virtue of our involvement in hosting, transmitting, marketing, branding, or providing access to content created by third parties. Defense of any such actions could be costly and involve significant time and attention of our management and other resources, may result in monetary liabilities or penalties, and may require us to change our business in an adverse manner.

Privacy and data protection regulations are complex and rapidly evolving areas. Adverse interpretations of these laws could harm our business, reputation, financial condition, and operating results.

Authorities around the world have adopted and are considering a number of legislative and regulatory proposals concerning data protection and limits on encryption of user data. Adverse legal rulings, legislation, or regulation could result in fines and orders requiring that we change our data practices, which could have an adverse effect on our ability to provide services, harming our business operations. Complying with these evolving laws could result in substantial costs and harm the quality of our products and services, negatively affecting our business, and may be particularly challenging during certain times, such as a natural disaster or pandemic (including COVID-19).

Recent legal developments in Europe have created compliance uncertainty regarding transfers of personal data from Europe to the United States. For example, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) applies to all of our activities conducted from an establishment in the EU or related to products and services that we offer to EU
users or customers, or the monitoring of their behavior in the EU. The GDPR creates a range of new compliance obligations.

Ensuring compliance with the GDPR is an ongoing commitment that involves substantial costs, and despite our efforts, governmental authorities or others have asserted and may continue to assert that our business practices fail to comply with its requirements. If our operations are found to violate GDPR requirements, we may incur substantial fines, have to change our business practices, and face reputational harm, any of which could have an adverse effect on our business. In particular, serious breaches of the GDPR can result in administrative fines of up to 4% of annual worldwide revenues. Fines of up to 2% of annual worldwide revenues can be levied for other specified violations.

The EU-U.S. and the Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield frameworks allow U.S. companies that self-certify to the U.S. Department of Commerce and publicly commit to comply with specified requirements to import personal data from the EU and Switzerland. Recently, the CJEU ruled that the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield is an invalid transfer mechanism, but upheld Standard Contractual Clauses as a valid transfer mechanism, provided they meet certain requirements. The validity of data transfer mechanisms remains subject to legal, regulatory, and political developments in both Europe and the U.S., such as recent recommendations from the European Data Protection Board, the invalidation of the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield and potential invalidation of other data transfer mechanisms, which could have a significant adverse impact on our ability to process and transfer personal data outside of the EEA.

These developments create some uncertainty, and compliance obligations could cause us to incur costs or harm the operations of our products and services in ways that harm our business.

**We face, and may continue to face intellectual property and other claims that could be costly to defend, result in significant damage awards or other costs (including indemnification awards), and limit our ability to use certain technologies in the future.**

We, like other internet, technology and media companies, are frequently subject to litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. In addition, patent-holding companies may frequently seek to generate income from patents they have obtained by bringing claims against us. As we have grown, the number of intellectual property claims against us has increased and may continue to increase as we develop new products, services, and technologies.

We have had patent, copyright, trade secret, and trademark infringement lawsuits filed against us claiming that certain of our products, services, and technologies infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Other parties have also sought broad injunctive relief against us by filing claims in U.S. and international courts and the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) for exclusion and cease-and-desist orders, which could limit our ability to sell our products or services in the U.S. or elsewhere if our products or services or those of our customers or suppliers are found to infringe the intellectual property subject to the claims. Adverse results in any of these lawsuits may include awards of monetary damages, costly royalty or licensing agreements (if licenses are available at all), or orders preventing us from offering certain features, functionalities, products, or services. They may also cause us to change our business practices and require development of non-infringing products, services, or technologies, which could result in a loss of revenues for us and otherwise harm our business.

Many of our agreements with our customers and partners, including certain suppliers, require us to defend against certain intellectual property infringement claims and in some cases indemnify them for certain intellectual property infringement claims against them, which could result in increased costs for defending such claims or significant damages if there were an adverse ruling in any such claims. Such customers and partners may also discontinue the use of our products, services, and technologies, as a result of injunctions or otherwise, which could result in loss of revenues and adversely affect our business. Moreover, intellectual property indemnities provided to us by our suppliers, when obtainable, may not cover all damages and losses suffered by us and our customers arising from intellectual property infringement claims. Furthermore, in connection with our divestitures, we have agreed, and may in the future agree, to provide indemnification for certain potential liabilities, including those associated with intellectual property claims.

Regardless of their merits, intellectual property claims are often time consuming and expensive to litigate or settle. To the extent such claims are successful, they may harm our business, including our product and service offerings, financial condition, or operating results.

**Risks Related to Ownership of our Stock**

**We cannot guarantee that any share repurchase program will be fully consummated or will enhance long-term stockholder value, and share repurchases could increase the volatility of our stock prices and could**
diminish our cash reserves.
We engage in share repurchases of our Class C capital stock from time to time in accordance with authorizations from the Board of Directors of Alphabet. Our repurchase program does not have an expiration date and does not obligate Alphabet to repurchase any specific dollar amount or to acquire any specific number of shares. Further, our share repurchases could affect our share trading prices, increase their volatility, reduce our cash reserves and may be suspended or terminated at any time, which may result in a decrease in the trading prices of our stock.

The concentration of our stock ownership limits our stockholders’ ability to influence corporate matters.

Our Class B common stock has 10 votes per share, our Class A common stock has one vote per share, and our Class C capital stock has no voting rights. As of December 31, 2020, Larry Page and Sergey Brin beneficially owned approximately 85.3% of our outstanding Class B common stock, which represented approximately 51.5% of the voting power of our outstanding common stock. Through their stock ownership, Larry and Sergey have significant influence over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or our assets, for the foreseeable future. In addition, because our Class C capital stock carries no voting rights (except as required by applicable law), the issuance of the Class C capital stock, including in future stock-based acquisition transactions and to fund employee equity incentive programs, could continue Larry and Sergey’s current relative voting power and their ability to elect all of our directors and to determine the outcome of most matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. This concentrated control limits or severely restricts other stockholders’ ability to influence corporate matters and we may take actions that some of our stockholders do not view as beneficial, which could reduce the market price of our Class A common stock and our Class C capital stock.

Provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law could discourage a takeover that stockholders may consider favorable.

Provisions in Alphabet’s certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. These provisions include the following:

- Our certificate of incorporation provides for a tri-class capital stock structure. As a result of this structure, Larry and Sergey have significant influence over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or our assets. This concentrated control could discourage others from initiating any potential merger, takeover, or other change of control transaction that other stockholders may view as beneficial. As noted above, the issuance of the Class C capital stock could have the effect of continuing the influence of Larry and Sergey.

- Our Board of Directors has the right to elect directors to fill a vacancy created by the expansion of the Board of Directors or the resignation, death, or removal of a director, which prevents stockholders from being able to fill vacancies on our Board of Directors.

- Our stockholders may not act by written consent. As a result, a holder, or holders, controlling a majority of our capital stock would not be able to take certain actions without holding a stockholders’ meeting.

- Our certificate of incorporation prohibits cumulative voting in the election of directors. This limits the ability of minority stockholders to elect director candidates.

- Stockholders must provide advance notice to nominate individuals for election to the Board of Directors or to propose matters that can be acted upon at a stockholders’ meeting. These provisions may discourage or deter a potential acquirer from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect the acquirer’s own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to obtain control of our company.

- Our Board of Directors may issue, without stockholder approval, shares of undesignated preferred stock. The ability to issue undesignated preferred stock makes it possible for our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock with voting or other rights or preferences that could impede the success of any attempt to acquire us.

As a Delaware corporation, we are also subject to certain Delaware anti-takeover provisions. Under Delaware law, a corporation may not engage in a business combination with any holder of 15% or more of its outstanding voting stock unless the holder has held the stock for three years or, among other things, the Board of Directors has approved the transaction. Our Board of Directors could rely on Delaware law to prevent or delay an acquisition of us.
General Risks

The continuing impacts of COVID-19 are highly unpredictable and could be significant, and may have an adverse effect on our business, operations and our future financial performance.

Since COVID-19 was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization, governments and municipalities around the world have instituted measures in an effort to control the spread of COVID-19, including quarantines, shelter-in-place orders, school closings, travel restrictions, and closure of non-essential businesses. The macroeconomic impacts on our business continue to evolve and be unpredictable and may continue to adversely affect our business, operations and financial performance. As a result of the scale of the ongoing pandemic and the speed at which the global community has been impacted, our revenue growth rate and expense as a percentage of our revenues in future periods may differ significantly from our historical rate, and our future operating results may fall below expectations.

The future impacts of the ongoing pandemic on our business, operations and future financial performance could include, but are not limited to:

- Significant decline in advertising revenues as advertiser spending slows due to an economic downturn. This decline in advertising revenues could persist through and beyond a recessionary period. In addition, we may experience a significant and prolonged shift in user behavior such as a shift in interests to less commercial topics.
- Significant decline in other revenues due to a decline or shifts in customer demand. For example, if consumer demand for electronics significantly declines, our hardware revenues could be significantly impacted.
- Adverse impacts to our operating income, operating margin, net income, EPS and respective growth rates - particularly if expenses do not decrease across Alphabet at the same pace as revenue declines. Many of our expenses are less variable in nature and/or may not correlate to changes in revenues, including costs associated with our data centers and facilities as well as employee compensation. As such, we may not be able to decrease them significantly in the short-term, or we may choose not to significantly reduce them in an effort to remain focused on long-term outlook and investment opportunities.
- Significant decline in our operating cash flows as a result of decreased advertiser spending and deterioration in the credit quality and liquidity of our customers, which could adversely affect our accounts receivable. Investing cash flows could decrease due to slowing spend on data center and facilities construction projects due to a slowing or stopping of construction or significant restrictions placed on construction.
- The prolonged and broad-based shift to a remote working environment continues to create inherent productivity, connectivity, and oversight challenges and could affect our ability to enhance, develop and support existing products and services, detect and prevent spam and problematic content, hold product sales and marketing events, and generate new sales leads, among others. In addition, the changed environment under which we are operating could have an effect on our internal controls over financial reporting as well as our ability to meet a number of our compliance requirements in a timely or quality manner. Additional and/or extended, governmental lockdowns, restrictions or new regulations could significantly impact the ability of our employees and vendors to work productively. Governmental restrictions have been globally inconsistent and it remains unclear when a return to worksite locations or travel will be permitted or what restrictions will be in place in those environments. As we prepare to return our workforce in more locations back to the office in 2021, we may experience increased costs as we prepare our facilities for a safe return to work environment and experiment with hybrid work models, in addition to potential effects on our ability to compete effectively and maintain our corporate culture.

Our operating results may fluctuate, which makes our results difficult to predict and could cause our results to fall short of expectations.

Our operating results may fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, many outside of our control.

As a result, comparing our operating results (including our expenses as a percentage of our revenues) on a period-to-period basis may not be meaningful, and you should not rely on our past results as an indication of our future performance. Our operating results in future quarters may fall below expectations. Any of these events could cause our stock price to fall. Each of the risk factors listed under this Item 1A in addition to the following factors may affect our operating results:

- Our ability to attract user and/or customer adoption of, and generate significant revenues from, new products, services, and technologies in which we have invested considerable time and resources.
Our ability to monetize traffic on Google Search & other properties, YouTube and our Google Network Members’ properties across various devices.

The amount and timing of operating costs and expenses and capital expenditures related to the maintenance and expansion of our businesses, operations, and infrastructure.

Our focus on long-term goals over short-term results.

The results of our acquisitions, divestitures, and our investments in risky projects, including new businesses, products, services, and technologies.

Our ability to keep our products and services operational at a reasonable cost and without service interruptions.

The seasonal fluctuations in internet usage, advertising spending, and underlying business trends such as traditional retail seasonality. Our rapid growth has tended to mask the cyclical and seasonality of our business. As our growth rate has slowed, the cyclical and seasonality in our business has become more pronounced and caused our operating results to fluctuate.

Geopolitical events, including trade disputes.

Changes in global business or macroeconomic conditions.

**Acquisitions, joint ventures, investments, and divestitures could result in operating difficulties, dilution, and other consequences that may harm our business, financial condition, and operating results.**

Acquisitions, joint ventures, investments and divestitures are important elements of our overall corporate strategy and use of capital, and these transactions could be material to our financial condition and operating results. We expect to continue to evaluate and enter into discussions regarding a wide array of such potential strategic transactions, which could create unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures. Some of the areas where we face risks include:

- Diversion of management time and focus from operating our business to challenges related to acquisitions and other strategic transactions.
- Failure to successfully integrate and further develop the acquired business or technology.
- Implementation or remediation of controls, procedures, and policies at the acquired company.
- Integration of the acquired company’s accounting, human resource, and other administrative systems, and coordination of product, engineering, and sales and marketing functions.
- Transition of operations, users, and customers onto our existing platforms.
- Failure to obtain required approvals on a timely basis, if at all, from governmental authorities, or conditions placed upon approval that could, among other things, delay or prevent us from completing a transaction, or otherwise restrict our ability to realize the expected financial or strategic goals of a transaction.
- In the case of foreign acquisitions, the need to integrate operations across different cultures and languages and to address the particular economic, currency, political, and regulatory risks associated with specific countries.
- Cultural challenges associated with integrating employees from the acquired company into our organization, and retention of employees from the businesses we acquire.
- Liability for activities of the acquired company before the acquisition, including patent and trademark infringement claims, data privacy and security issues, violations of laws, commercial disputes, tax liabilities, and other known and unknown liabilities.
- Litigation or other claims in connection with the acquired company, including claims from terminated employees, customers, former stockholders, or other third parties.

Our failure to address these risks or other problems encountered in connection with our past or future acquisitions and other strategic transactions could cause us to fail to realize their anticipated benefits, incur unanticipated liabilities, and harm our business generally.

Our acquisitions and other strategic transactions could also result in dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities, or amortization expenses, or impairment of goodwill and/or purchased long-lived assets, and restructuring charges, any of which could harm our financial condition or operating results.
Also, the anticipated benefits or value of our acquisitions and other strategic transactions may not materialize. In connection with our divestitures, we have agreed, and may in the future agree, to provide indemnification for certain potential liabilities, which may harm our financial condition or operating results.

**If we were to lose the services of key personnel, we may not be able to execute our business strategy.**

Our future success depends in large part upon the continued service of key members of our senior management team. For instance, Sundar Pichai is critical to the overall management of Alphabet and its subsidiaries and plays an important role in the development of our technology, maintaining our culture and setting our strategic direction. All of our executive officers and key employees are at-will employees, and we do not maintain any key-person life insurance policies. The loss of key personnel could seriously harm our business.

**We rely on highly skilled personnel and, if we are unable to retain or motivate key personnel, hire qualified personnel, or maintain our corporate culture, we may not be able to grow effectively.**

Our performance largely depends on the talents and efforts of highly skilled individuals. Our ability to compete effectively and our future success depends on our continuing to identify, hire, develop, motivate, and retain highly skilled personnel for all areas of our organization. Competition in our industry for qualified employees is intense, and certain of our competitors have directly targeted our employees. In addition, our compensation arrangements, such as our equity award programs, may not always be successful in attracting new employees and retaining and motivating our existing employees. Restrictive immigration policy and regulatory changes may also impact our ability to hire, mobilize or retain some of our global talent.

In addition, we believe that our corporate culture fosters innovation, creativity, and teamwork. As our organization grows and evolves, we may need to implement more complex organizational management structures or adapt our corporate culture and work environments to ever-changing circumstances, such as during times of a natural disaster or pandemic (including COVID-19), and these changes could impact our ability to compete effectively or have an adverse impact on our corporate culture.

**We are exposed to fluctuations in the market values of our investments and, in some instances, our financial statements incorporate valuation methodologies that are subjective in nature resulting in fluctuations over time.**

The market value of our investments can be negatively affected by liquidity, credit deterioration or losses, performance and financial results of the underlying entities, foreign exchange rates, changes in interest rates, including changes that may result from the implementation of new benchmark rates, the effect of new or changing regulations, the stock market in general, or other factors. The effect of COVID-19 on our impairment assessment for non-marketable investments requires significant judgment due to the uncertainty around the duration and severity of the impact.

We measure certain of our non-marketable equity and debt investments, certain other instruments including stock-based compensation awards settled in the stock of certain Other Bets, and certain assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. The determination of fair value involves use of appropriate valuation methods and certain unobservable inputs, require management judgment and estimation, and may change over time.

We adjust the carrying value of our non-marketable equity investments to fair value for observable transactions of identical or similar investments of the same issuer or for impairments. All gains and losses on non-marketable equity securities, realized and unrealized, are recognized in other income (expense), which increases the volatility of our other income (expense). The unrealized gains and losses we record on our non-marketable equity securities in any particular period may differ significantly from the realized gains or losses we ultimately experience on such investments.

As a result of these factors, the value or liquidity of our cash equivalents, as well as our marketable and non-marketable securities could decline and result in a material impairment, which could adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

**We could be subject to changes in tax rates, the adoption of new U.S. or international tax legislation, or exposure to additional tax liabilities.**

Our future income taxes could be negatively affected by earnings being lower than anticipated in jurisdictions that have lower statutory tax rates and higher than anticipated in jurisdictions that have higher statutory tax rates, the net gains and losses recognized by legal entities on certain hedges and related hedged intercompany and other transactions under our foreign exchange risk management program, changes in the valuation of our deferred tax
assets or liabilities, the application of different provisions of tax laws or changes in tax laws, regulations, or accounting principles (including changes in the interpretation of existing laws), as well as certain discrete items.

In addition, we are subject to regular review and audit by both domestic and foreign tax authorities. As a result, we have received, and may in the future receive, assessments in multiple jurisdictions, including in Europe, on various tax-related assertions, such as transfer-pricing adjustments or permanent-establishment claims. Any adverse outcome of such a review or audit could have a negative effect on our operating results and financial condition and could require us to change our business practices in a manner adverse to our business. It may also subject us to additional litigation and regulatory inquiries, resulting in the diversion of management’s time and attention. In addition, the determination of our worldwide provision for income taxes and other tax liabilities requires significant judgment, and there are many transactions and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Although we believe our estimates are reasonable, the ultimate tax outcome may differ from the amounts recorded in our financial statements and may affect our financial results in the period or periods for which such determination is made.

Furthermore, due to shifting economic and political conditions, tax policies, laws, or rates in various jurisdictions may be subject to significant changes in ways that impair our financial results. Various jurisdictions around the world have enacted or are considering digital services taxes, which could lead to inconsistent and potentially overlapping international tax regimes. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) recently released proposals relating to its initiative for modernizing international tax rules, with the goal of having different countries implement a modernized and aligned international tax framework, but there can be no guarantee that this will occur.

In addition, in response to significant market volatility and disruptions to business operations resulting from the global spread of COVID-19, legislatures and taxing authorities in many jurisdictions in which we operate may propose changes to their tax rules. These changes could include modifications that have temporary effect, and more permanent changes. The impact of these potential new rules on us, our long-term tax planning, and our effective tax rate could be material.

The trading price for our Class A common stock and non-voting Class C capital stock may continue to be volatile.

The trading price of our stock has at times experienced substantial price volatility and may continue to be volatile.

In addition to the factors discussed in this report, the trading price of our Class A common stock and Class C capital stock may fluctuate widely in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including, among others announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, divestitures, investments, new products, significant contracts, commercial relationships, or capital commitments; recommendations by securities analysts or changes in their earnings estimates; announcements about our or our competitors' earnings that are not in line with analyst expectations, the risk of which is enhanced, in our case, because it is our policy not to give guidance on earnings; commentary by industry and market professionals about our products, strategies, and other matters affecting our business and results, regardless of its accuracy; the volume of shares of Class A common stock and Class C capital stock available for public sale; sales of Class A common stock and Class C capital stock by us or by our stockholders (including sales by our directors, executive officers, and other employees); short sales, hedging, and other derivative transactions on shares of our Class A common stock and Class C capital stock; the size, timing and share class of any share repurchase program; and the perceived values of Class A common stock and Class C capital stock relative to one another.

In addition, the stock market in general, which can be affected by various factors, including overall economic and political conditions, and the market for technology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies.

These broad market and industry factors may harm the market price of our Class A common stock and our Class C capital stock, regardless of our actual operating performance.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.
ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our headquarters are located in Mountain View, California. We also own and lease office and building space in the surrounding areas near our headquarters, which we believe is sufficient to accommodate anticipated future growth. In addition, we own and lease office/building space and research and development sites around the world, primarily in North America, Europe, South America, and Asia. We own and operate data centers in the U.S., Europe, South America, and Asia. We believe our existing facilities, both owned and leased, are in good condition and suitable for the conduct of our business.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a description of our material pending legal proceedings, please see Legal Matters in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.
PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

As of October 2, 2015, Alphabet Inc. became the successor issuer of Google Inc. pursuant to Rule 12g-3(a) under the Exchange Act. Our Class A common stock has been listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "GOOG" since August 19, 2004 and under the symbol "GOOGL" since April 3, 2014. Prior to August 19, 2004, there was no public market for our stock. Our Class B common stock is neither listed nor traded. Our Class C capital stock has been listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "GOOG" since April 3, 2014.

Holders of Record

As of December 31, 2020, there were approximately 4,337 and 1,942 stockholders of record of our Class A common stock and Class C capital stock, respectively. Because many of our shares of Class A common stock and Class C capital stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we are unable to estimate the total number of stockholders represented by these record holders. As of December 31, 2020, there were approximately 64 stockholders of record of our Class B common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividend on our common or capital stock. The primary use of capital continues to be to invest for the long term growth of the business. We regularly evaluate our cash and capital structure, including the size, pace and form of capital return to stockholders.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table presents information with respect to Alphabet's repurchases of Class C capital stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2020:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Total Number of Shares Purchased (in thousands) (1)</th>
<th>Average Price Paid per Share (2)</th>
<th>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs (in thousands) (1)</th>
<th>Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 1 - 31</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>$1,540.84</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>$22,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 1 - 30</td>
<td>1,640</td>
<td>$1,748.65</td>
<td>1,640</td>
<td>$19,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 1 - 31</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>$1,787.62</td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>$17,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,714</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,714</td>
<td>4,714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The repurchases are being executed from time to time, subject to general business and market conditions and other investment opportunities, through open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions, including through Rule 10b5-1 plans. The repurchase program does not have an expiration date. Please refer to Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information related to share repurchases.

(2) Average price paid per share includes costs associated with the repurchases.
Stock Performance Graphs

The graph below matches Alphabet Inc. Class A’s cumulative 5-Year total shareholder return on common stock with the cumulative total returns of the S&P 500 index, the NASDAQ Composite index, and the RDG Internet Composite index. The graph tracks the performance of a $100 investment in our common stock and in each index (with the reinvestment of all dividends) from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2020. The returns shown are based on historical results and are not intended to suggest future performance.

*$100 invested on December 31, 2015 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

Copyright © 2020 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. All rights reserved.
The graph below matches Alphabet Inc. Class C’s cumulative 5-Year total shareholder return on capital stock with the cumulative total returns of the S&P 500 index, the NASDAQ Composite index, and the RDG Internet Composite index. The graph tracks the performance of a $100 investment in our Class C capital stock and in each index (with the reinvestment of all dividends) from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2020. The returns shown are based on historical results and are not intended to suggest future performance.

**COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN**

**ALPHABET INC. CLASS C CAPITAL STOCK**

Among Alphabet Inc., the S&P 500 Index, the NASDAQ Composite Index, and the RDG Internet Composite Index

* $100 invested on December 31, 2015 in stock or in index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

Copyright © 2020 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. All rights reserved.
ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing in Item 8 “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in any future period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in millions, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Statements of Income Data:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$ 90,272</td>
<td>$ 110,855</td>
<td>$ 136,819</td>
<td>$ 161,857</td>
<td>$ 182,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from operations</td>
<td>$ 23,737</td>
<td>$ 26,178</td>
<td>$ 27,524</td>
<td>$ 34,231</td>
<td>$ 41,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 19,478</td>
<td>$ 12,662</td>
<td>$ 30,736</td>
<td>$ 34,343</td>
<td>$ 40,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic net income per share of Class A and B common stock</td>
<td>$ 28.32</td>
<td>$ 18.27</td>
<td>$ 44.22</td>
<td>$ 49.59</td>
<td>$ 59.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic net income per share of Class C capital stock</td>
<td>$ 28.32</td>
<td>$ 18.27</td>
<td>$ 44.22</td>
<td>$ 49.59</td>
<td>$ 59.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted net income per share of Class A and B common stock</td>
<td>$ 27.85</td>
<td>$ 18.00</td>
<td>$ 43.70</td>
<td>$ 49.16</td>
<td>$ 58.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted net income per share of Class C capital stock</td>
<td>$ 27.85</td>
<td>$ 18.00</td>
<td>$ 43.70</td>
<td>$ 49.16</td>
<td>$ 58.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As of December 31,</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities</td>
<td>$ 86,333</td>
<td>$ 101,871</td>
<td>$ 109,140</td>
<td>$ 119,675</td>
<td>$ 136,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$ 167,497</td>
<td>$ 197,295</td>
<td>$ 232,792</td>
<td>$ 275,909</td>
<td>$ 319,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total long-term liabilities</td>
<td>$ 11,705</td>
<td>$ 20,610</td>
<td>$ 20,544</td>
<td>$ 29,246</td>
<td>$ 40,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stockholders’ equity</td>
<td>$ 139,036</td>
<td>$ 152,502</td>
<td>$ 177,628</td>
<td>$ 201,442</td>
<td>$ 222,544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Please read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included under Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We have omitted discussion of 2018 results where it would be redundant to the discussion previously included in Part II, Item 7 of our 2019 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Trends in Our Business

The following long-term trends have contributed to the results of our consolidated operations, and we anticipate that they will continue to affect our future results:

• **Users’ behaviors and advertising continue to shift online as the digital economy evolves.**

  The continuing shift from an offline to online world has contributed to the growth of our business since inception, contributing to revenue growth, and we expect that this online shift will continue to benefit our business.

• **Users are increasingly using diverse devices and modalities to access our products and services, and our advertising revenues are increasingly coming from new formats.**

  Our users are accessing the Internet via diverse devices and modalities, such as smartphones, wearables and smart home devices, and want to feel connected no matter where they are or what they are doing. We seek to expand our products and services to stay in front of these trends in order to maintain and grow our business.

  We generate our advertising revenues increasingly from different channels, including mobile, and newer advertising formats, and the margins from the advertising revenues from these channels and newer products have generally been lower than those from traditional desktop search. Additionally, as the market for a particular device type or modality matures, our revenues may be affected. For example, growth in the global smartphone market has slowed due to various factors, including increased market saturation in developed countries, which can affect our mobile advertising revenue growth rates.

  We expect TAC paid to our distribution partners and Google Network Members to increase as our revenues grow and to be affected by changes in device mix; geographic mix; partner mix; partner agreement terms; the percentage of queries channeled through paid access points; product mix; the relative revenue growth rates of advertising revenues from different channels; and revenue share terms.

  We expect these trends to continue to affect our revenue growth rates and put pressure on our overall margins.

  • **As online advertising evolves, we continue to expand our product offerings which may affect our monetization.**

    As interactions between users and advertisers change and as online user behavior evolves, we continue to expand and evolve our product offerings to serve their changing needs. Over time, we expect our monetization trends to fluctuate. For example, we have seen an increase in YouTube ads and Google Play ads, which monetize at a lower rate than our traditional search ads.

  • **As users in developing economies increasingly come online, our revenues from international markets continue to increase and movements in foreign exchange rates affect such revenues.**

    The shift to online, as well as the advent of the multi-device world, has brought opportunities outside of the U.S., including in emerging markets, such as India, where we continue to invest heavily and develop localized versions of our products and relevant advertising programs useful to our users in these markets. This has led to a trend of increased revenues from international markets over time, as regions with emerging markets, such as APAC, have demonstrated higher revenue growth rates. We expect that our results will continue to be affected by our performance in these markets, particularly as low-cost mobile devices become more available. This trend could impact our margins as developing markets initially monetize at a lower rate than more mature markets.

    Our international revenues represent a significant portion of our revenues and are subject to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates relative to the U.S. dollar. While we have a foreign exchange risk management program designed to reduce our exposure to these fluctuations, this program does not fully offset their effect on our revenues and earnings.
• The portion of our revenues that we derive from non-advertising revenues is increasing and may affect margins.

Non-advertising revenues have grown over time. We expect this trend to continue as we focus on expanding our offerings to our users through products and services like Google Cloud, Google Play, hardware products, and YouTube subscriptions. Across these initiatives, we currently derive non-advertising revenues primarily from sales of apps, in-app purchases, digital content products, and hardware; and licensing and service fees, including fees received for Google Cloud services and subscription and other services. The margins on these revenues vary significantly and may be lower than the margins on our advertising revenues. A number of our Other Bets initiatives are in their initial development stages, and as such, the sources of revenues from these businesses could change over time and the revenues could be volatile.

• As we continue to serve our users and expand our businesses, we will invest heavily in operating and capital expenditures.

We continue to make significant R&D investments in areas of strategic focus such as advertising, cloud, machine learning, and search, as well as in new products and services. In addition, we expect to continue to invest in land and buildings for data centers and offices, and information technology assets, which includes servers and network equipment, to support the long-term growth of our business.

In addition, acquisitions and strategic investments are an important part of our strategy and use of capital, contributing to the breadth and depth of our offerings, expanding our expertise in engineering and other functional areas, and building strong partnerships around strategic initiatives. For example, in 2020 we announced our Google for India Digitization Fund to invest approximately $10 billion into India over the next 5-7 years through a mix of equity investments, partnerships, and operational, infrastructure and ecosystem investments.

• We face continuing changes in regulatory conditions, laws and public policies, which could impact our business practices and financial results.

Changes in social, political, economic, tax, and regulatory conditions or in laws and policies governing a wide range of topics and related legal matters have resulted in fines and caused us to change our business practices. As these global trends continue, for example the recent antitrust complaints filed by the U.S. Department of Justice and a number of state Attorneys General as well as the News Media Bargaining Code drafted by the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, our cost of doing business may increase and our ability to pursue certain business models or offer certain products or services may be limited.

• Our employees are critical to our success and we expect to continue investing in them.

Our employees are among our best assets and are critical for our continued success. We expect to continue hiring talented employees around the globe and to provide competitive compensation programs to our employees.

The Impact of COVID-19 on our Results and Operations

In late 2019, an outbreak of COVID-19 emerged and by March 11, 2020 was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization. Across the United States and the world, governments and municipalities instituted measures in an effort to control the spread of COVID-19, including quarantines, shelter-in-place orders, school closings, travel restrictions and the closure of non-essential businesses. The macroeconomic impacts of COVID-19 are significant and continue to evolve, as exhibited by, among other things, a rise in unemployment, changes in consumer behavior, and market volatility.

We began to observe the impact of COVID-19 and the related reductions in global economic activity on our financial results in March 2020 when, despite an increase in users' search activity, our advertising revenues declined compared to the prior year due to a shift of user search activity to less commercial topics and reduced spending by our advertisers. During the course of the quarter ended June 30, 2020, we observed a gradual return in user search activity to more commercial topics, followed by increased spending by our advertisers that continued throughout the second half of 2020.

We continue to assess the realized and potential credit deterioration of our customers due to changes in the macroeconomic environment, which has been reflected in our allowance for credit losses for accounts receivable. Additionally, over the course of the year we experienced variability in our margins as many of our expenses are less variable in nature and/or may not correlate to changes in revenues, including costs associated with our data centers and facilities as well as employee compensation. Also, market volatility has contributed to fluctuations in the valuation of our equity investments.
While we continued to make investments in land and buildings for data centers, offices and information technology, in 2020 we slowed the pace of our investments, primarily as it relates to office facilities, as a result of COVID-19.

The ongoing impact of COVID-19 on our business continues to evolve and be unpredictable. For example, to the extent the pandemic disrupts economic activity globally we, like other businesses, are not immune to continued adverse impacts to our business, operations and financial results from volatility in advertising spending, changes in user behavior and preferences, credit deterioration and liquidity of our customers, depressed economic activity, or volatility in capital markets. The ongoing impact will depend on a number of factors, including the duration and severity of the pandemic; the uneven impact to certain industries; advances in testing, treatment and prevention including vaccines; and the macroeconomic impact of government measures to contain the spread of the virus and related government stimulus measures.

To address the potential impact to our business, over the near-term, we continue to evaluate the pace of our investment plans, including, but not limited to, our hiring, investments in data centers, servers, network equipment, real estate and facilities, marketing and travel spending, as well as taking certain measures to support our customers, our overall workforce, and communities we operate in. As we look to return our workforce in more locations back to the office in 2021, we may experience increased costs as we prepare our facilities for a safe return to work environment and experiment with hybrid work models. At the same time, we believe the current environment is accelerating digital transformation and we remain focused on innovating and investing in the services we offer to consumers and businesses. For example, as it relates to Google Cloud, we continue to invest aggressively around the globe in our go-to-market capabilities, product development and technical infrastructure to support long term growth. The ongoing impact of COVID-19 and the extent of these measures we have taken and the additional measures that we may implement could have a material impact on our financial results. Our past results may not be indicative of our future performance, and historical trends in our financial results may differ materially.

Executive Overview

The following table summarizes our consolidated financial results for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2020 (in millions, except for per share information and percentages).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$161,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in revenues year over year</td>
<td>18 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in constant currency revenues year over year</td>
<td>20 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating income(1)</td>
<td>$34,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating margin(1)</td>
<td>21 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
<td>$5,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Income(1)</td>
<td>$34,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted EPS(1)</td>
<td>$49.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Results for 2019 include the effect of the $1.7 billion EC fine. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information.

- Total revenues were $182.5 billion, an increase of 13% year over year, primarily driven by an increase in Google Services segment revenues of $16.8 billion or 11% and an increase in Google Cloud segment revenues of $4.1 billion or 46%. Revenues from the United States, EMEA, APAC, and Other Americas were $85.0 billion, $55.4 billion, $32.6 billion, and $9.4 billion, respectively.

- Total cost of revenues was $84.7 billion, an increase of 18% year over year. TAC was $32.8 billion, an increase of 9% year over year, primarily driven by an increase in revenues subject to TAC. Other cost of revenues were $51.9 billion, an increase of 24% year over year, primarily driven by an increase in data centers and other operations costs and content acquisition costs.
• Operating expenses were $56.6 billion, an increase of 5% year over year primarily driven by headcount growth and partially offset by declines in advertising and promotional expenses and travel and entertainment expenses.

Other information:
• Operating cash flow was $65.1 billion.
• Capital expenditures, which primarily included investments in technical infrastructure, were $22.3 billion.
• Number of employees was 135,301 as of December 31, 2020. The majority of new hires during the year were engineers and product managers.

Our Segments
Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2020, we report our segment results as Google Services, Google Cloud, and Other Bets:
• Google Services includes products and services such as ads, Android, Chrome, hardware, Google Maps, Google Play, Search, and YouTube. Google Services generates revenues primarily from advertising; sales of apps, in-app purchases, digital content products, and hardware; and fees received for subscription-based products such as YouTube Premium and YouTube TV.
• Google Cloud includes Google’s infrastructure and data analytics platforms, collaboration tools, and other services for enterprise customers. Google Cloud generates revenues primarily from fees received for Google Cloud Platform (“GCP”) services and Google Workspace (formerly known as G Suite) collaboration tools.
• Other Bets is a combination of multiple operating segments that are not individually material. Revenues from the Other Bets are derived primarily through the sale of internet services as well as licensing and R&D services.

Unallocated corporate costs primarily include corporate initiatives, corporate shared costs, such as finance and legal, including fines and settlements, as well as costs associated with certain shared research and development activities. Additionally, hedging gains (losses) related to revenue are included in corporate costs.

Financial Results

Revenues
The following table presents our revenues by type (in millions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Search &amp; other</td>
<td>$ 98,115</td>
<td>$ 104,062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YouTube ads</td>
<td>15,149</td>
<td>19,772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Network Members’ properties</td>
<td>21,547</td>
<td>23,090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google advertising</td>
<td>134,811</td>
<td>146,924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google other</td>
<td>17,014</td>
<td>21,711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Services total</td>
<td>151,825</td>
<td>168,635</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Cloud</td>
<td>8,918</td>
<td>13,059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bets</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedging gains (losses)</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>$ 161,857</td>
<td>$ 182,527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Google Services

Google advertising revenues
Our advertising revenue growth, as well as the change in paid clicks and cost-per-click on Google Search & other properties and the change in impressions and cost-per-impression on Google Network Members’ properties and the correlation between these items, have been affected and may continue to be affected by various factors, including:
• advertiser competition for keywords;
• changes in advertising quality, formats, delivery or policy;
• changes in device mix;
• changes in foreign currency exchange rates;
• fees advertisers are willing to pay based on how they manage their advertising costs;
• general economic conditions including the impact of COVID-19;
• seasonality; and
• traffic growth in emerging markets compared to more mature markets and across various advertising verticals and channels.

Our advertising revenue growth rate has been affected over time as a result of a number of factors, including challenges in maintaining our growth rate as revenues increase to higher levels; changes in our product mix; changes in advertising quality or formats and delivery; the evolution of the online advertising market; increasing competition; our investments in new business strategies; query growth rates; and shifts in the geographic mix of our revenues. We also expect that our revenue growth rate will continue to be affected by evolving user preferences, the acceptance by users of our products and services as they are delivered on diverse devices and modalities, our ability to create a seamless experience for both users and advertisers, and movements in foreign currency exchange rates.

Google advertising revenues consist primarily of the following:

• Google Search & other consists of revenues generated on Google search properties (including revenues from traffic generated by search distribution partners who use Google.com as their default search in browsers, toolbars, etc.) and other Google owned and operated properties like Gmail, Google Maps, and Google Play;
• YouTube ads consists of revenues generated on YouTube properties; and
• Google Network Members’ properties consist of revenues generated on Google Network Members’ properties participating in AdMob, AdSense, and Google Ad Manager.

**Google Search & other**

Google Search & other revenues increased $5,947 million from 2019 to 2020. The overall growth was primarily driven by interrelated factors including increases in search queries resulting from ongoing growth in user adoption and usage, primarily on mobile devices, growth in advertiser spending primarily in the second half of the year, and improvements we have made in ad formats and delivery. This increase was partially offset by a decline in advertiser spending primarily in the first half of the year driven by the impact of COVID-19.

**YouTube ads**

YouTube ads revenues increased $4,623 million from 2019 to 2020. Growth was primarily driven by our direct response advertising products, which benefited from improvements to ad formats and delivery and increased advertiser spending. Brand advertising products also contributed to growth despite revenues being adversely impacted by a decline in advertiser spending primarily in the first half of the year driven by the impact of COVID-19.

**Google Network Members’ properties**

Google Network Members’ properties revenues increased $1,543 million from 2019 to 2020. The growth was primarily driven by strength in AdMob and Google Ad Manager.

**Use of Monetization Metrics**

Paid clicks for our Google Search & other properties represent engagement by users and include clicks on advertisements by end-users on Google search properties and other owned and operated properties including Gmail, Google Maps, and Google Play. Historically, we included certain viewed YouTube engagement ads and the related revenues in our paid clicks and cost-per-click monetization metrics. Over time, advertising on YouTube has expanded to multiple advertising formats and the type of viewed engagement ads historically included in paid clicks and cost-per-click metrics have increasingly covered a smaller portion of YouTube advertising revenues. As a result, we removed these ads and the related revenues from the paid clicks and cost-per-click metrics for the current and historical periods presented. The revised metrics provide a better understanding of monetization trends on the properties included within Google Search & other, as they now more closely correlate with the related changes in revenues.

Impressions for our Google Network Members’ properties include impressions displayed to users served on Google Network Members’ properties participating primarily in AdMob, AdSense and Google Ad Manager.

Cost-per-click is defined as click-driven revenues divided by our total number of paid clicks and represents the average amount we charge advertisers for each engagement by users.
Cost-per-impression is defined as impression-based and click-based revenues divided by our total number of impressions and represents the average amount we charge advertisers for each impression displayed to users.

As our business evolves, we periodically review, refine and update our methodologies for monitoring, gathering, and counting the number of paid clicks on our Google Search & other properties and the number of impressions on Google Network Members’ properties and for identifying the revenues generated by click activity on our Google Search & other properties and the revenues generated by impression activity on Google Network Members’ properties.

**Paid clicks and cost-per-click**

The following table presents changes in our paid clicks and cost-per-click (expressed as a percentage):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid clicks change</td>
<td>23 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-per-click change</td>
<td>(6)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paid clicks increased from 2019 to 2020 primarily due to an increase in clicks due to interrelated factors, resulting from ongoing growth in user adoption and usage, primarily on mobile devices; continued growth in advertiser activity; and improvements we have made in ad formats and delivery. Growth was also driven by an increase in clicks relating to ads on Google Play. The positive effect on our revenues from an increase in paid clicks was partially offset by a decrease in the cost-per-click paid by our advertisers. The decrease in cost-per-click was primarily driven by reduced advertiser spending in response to COVID-19 primarily during the first half of the year. The decrease in cost-per-click was also affected by changes in device mix, geographic mix, ongoing product changes, product mix, property mix, and fluctuations of the U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies.

Paid clicks increased from 2018 to 2019 primarily due to an increase in clicks due to interrelated factors, including an increase in search queries resulting from ongoing growth in user adoption and usage, primarily on mobile devices; continued growth in advertiser activity; and improvements we have made in ad formats and delivery. Growth was also driven by an increase in clicks relating to ads on Google Play. The positive effect on our revenues from an increase in paid clicks was partially offset by a decrease in the cost-per-click paid by our advertisers. The decrease in cost-per-click was primarily driven by reduced advertiser spending in response to COVID-19 primarily during the first half of the year. The decrease in cost-per-click was also affected by changes in device mix, geographic mix, ongoing product changes, product mix, property mix, and fluctuations of the U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies.

**Impressions and cost-per-impression**

The following table presents changes in our impressions and cost-per-impression (expressed as a percentage):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impressions change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-per-impression change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impressions increased from 2019 to 2020 primarily due to growth in Google Ad Manager. The positive effect on our revenues from an increase in impressions was partially offset by a decrease in the cost-per-impression paid by our advertisers which was driven by a reduction in advertiser spending in response to COVID-19, primarily during the first half of the year, as well as the effect of a combination of factors including ongoing product and policy changes and improvements we have made in ad formats and delivery, changes in device mix, geographic mix, product mix, property mix, and fluctuations of the U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies.

**Google other revenues**

Google other revenues consist primarily of revenues from:

- Google Play, which includes revenues from sales of apps and in-app purchases (which we recognize net of payout to developers) and digital content sold in the Google Play store;
- hardware, including Google Nest home products, Pixelbooks, Pixel phones and other devices;
- YouTube non-advertising, including YouTube Premium and YouTube TV subscriptions and other services; and
- other products and services.
Google other revenues increased $4,697 million from 2019 to 2020. The growth was primarily driven by Google Play and YouTube non-advertising. Growth for Google Play was primarily driven by sales of apps and in-app purchases, which benefited from elevated user engagement partially due to the impact of COVID-19. Growth for YouTube non-advertising was primarily driven by an increase in paid subscribers.

Over time, our growth rate for Google other revenues may be affected by the seasonality associated with new product and service launches as well as market dynamics.

**Google Cloud**

Our Google Cloud revenues increased $4,141 million from 2019 to 2020. The growth was primarily driven by GCP followed by our Google Workspace offerings. Our infrastructure and our data and analytics platform products were the largest drivers of growth in GCP.

Over time, our growth rate for Google Cloud revenues may be affected by customer usage, market dynamics, as well as new product and service launches.

**Revenues by Geography**

The following table presents our revenues by geography as a percentage of revenues, determined based on the addresses of our customers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAC</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Americas</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For further details on revenues by geography, see Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Use of Constant Currency Revenues and Constant Currency Revenue Percentage Change**

The effect of currency exchange rates on our business is an important factor in understanding period to period comparisons. Our international revenues are favorably affected as the U.S. dollar weakens relative to other foreign currencies, and unfavorably affected as the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to other foreign currencies. Our revenues are also favorably affected by net hedging gains and unfavorably affected by net hedging losses.

We use non-GAAP constant currency revenues and non-GAAP percentage change in constant currency revenues for financial and operational decision-making and as a means to evaluate period-to-period comparisons. We believe the presentation of results on a constant currency basis in addition to U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") results helps improve the ability to understand our performance because they exclude the effects of foreign currency volatility that are not indicative of our core operating results.

Constant currency information compares results between periods as if exchange rates had remained constant period over period. We define constant currency revenues as total revenues excluding the effect of foreign exchange rate movements and hedging activities, and use it to determine the constant currency revenue percentage change on a year-on-year basis. Constant currency revenues are calculated by translating current period revenues using prior period exchange rates, as well as excluding any hedging effects realized in the current period.

Constant currency revenue percentage change is calculated by determining the change in period revenues over prior period revenues where current period foreign currency revenues are translated using prior period exchange rates and hedging effects are excluded from revenues of both periods.

These results should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for, results reported in accordance with GAAP. Results on a constant currency basis, as we present them, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies and are not a measure of performance presented in accordance with GAAP.
The following table presents the foreign exchange effect on our international revenues and total revenues (in millions, except percentages):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclude foreign exchange effect on current period revenues using prior year rates</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA constant currency revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$53,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior period EMEA revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$44,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA constant currency revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>19 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAC revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$26,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclude foreign exchange effect on current period revenues using prior year rates</td>
<td></td>
<td>$388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAC constant currency revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$27,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior period APAC revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAC revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>26 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAC constant currency revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Americas revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclude foreign exchange effect on current period revenues using prior year rates</td>
<td></td>
<td>$541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Americas constant currency revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior period Other Americas revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Americas revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Americas constant currency revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>25 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$74,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedging gains (losses)</td>
<td></td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$161,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total constant currency revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td>$164,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior period revenues, excluding hedging effect(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$136,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total constant currency revenue percentage change</td>
<td></td>
<td>20 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Total revenues and hedging gains (losses) for the year ended December 31, 2018 were $136,819 million and $(138) million, respectively.

EMEA revenue percentage change from 2019 to 2020 was not significantly affected by foreign currency exchange rates, primarily due to the U.S. dollar weakening relative to the Euro offset by the U.S. dollar strengthening relative to the Turkish lira and Russian ruble.

APAC revenue percentage change from 2019 to 2020 was not significantly affected by foreign currency exchange rates, primarily due to the U.S. dollar strengthening relative to the Indian rupee, partially offset by the U.S. dollar weakening relative to the Japanese yen.

Other Americas revenue percentage change from 2019 to 2020 was unfavorably affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily due to the U.S. dollar strengthening relative to the Brazilian real and Argentine peso.
Costs and Operating Expenses

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues includes TAC which are paid to our distribution partners who make available our search access points and services, and amounts paid to Google Network Members primarily for ads displayed on their properties. Our distribution partners include browser providers, mobile carriers, original equipment manufacturers, and software developers.

The cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues generated from ads placed on Google Network Members’ properties are significantly higher than the cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues generated from ads placed on Google properties (which includes Google Search & other and YouTube ads), because most of the advertiser revenues from ads served on Google Network Members' properties are paid as TAC to our Google Network Members.

Additionally, other cost of revenues (which is the cost of revenues excluding TAC) includes the following:

- Content acquisition costs primarily related to payments to content providers from whom we license video and other content for distribution on YouTube advertising and subscription services and Google Play (we pay fees to these content providers based on revenues generated or a flat fee);
- Expenses associated with our data centers (including bandwidth, compensation expenses including stock-based compensation (“SBC”), depreciation, energy, and other equipment costs) as well as other operations costs (such as content review and customer support costs). These costs are generally less variable in nature and may not correlate with related changes in revenues; and
- Inventory related costs for hardware we sell.

The following tables present our cost of revenues, including TAC (in millions, except percentages):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>$30,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cost of revenues</td>
<td>41,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of revenues</td>
<td>$71,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues</td>
<td>44.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost of revenues increased $12,836 million from 2019 to 2020. The increase was due to increases in other cost of revenues and TAC of $10,147 million and $2,689 million, respectively.

The increase in other cost of revenues from 2019 to 2020 was due to an increase in data center and other operations costs and an increase in content acquisition costs primarily for YouTube. This increase was partially offset by a decline in hardware costs.

The increase in TAC from 2019 to 2020 was due to increases in TAC paid to distribution partners and to Google Network Members, driven by growth in revenues subject to TAC. The TAC rate was 22.3% in both 2019 and 2020. The TAC rate on Google properties revenues and the TAC rate on Google Network revenues were both substantially consistent from 2019 to 2020.

Over time, cost of revenues as a percentage of total revenues may be affected by a number of factors, including the following:

- The amount of TAC paid to distribution partners, which is affected by changes in device mix, geographic mix, partner mix, partner agreement terms such as revenue share arrangements, and the percentage of queries channeled through paid access points;
- The amount of TAC paid to Google Network Members, which is affected by a combination of factors such as geographic mix, product mix, and revenue share terms;
- Relative revenue growth rates of Google properties and Google Network Members' properties;
- Certain costs that are less variable in nature and may not correlate with the related revenues;
- Costs associated with our data centers and other operations to support ads, Google Cloud, Search, YouTube and other products;
- Content acquisition costs, which are primarily affected by the relative growth rates in our YouTube advertising and subscription revenues;
- Costs related to hardware sales; and
• Increased proportion of non-advertising revenues, which generally have higher costs of revenues, relative to our advertising revenues.
## Research and Development

The following table presents our R&D expenses (in millions, except percentages):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research and development expenses</td>
<td>$26,018</td>
<td>$27,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues</td>
<td>16.1 %</td>
<td>15.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R&D expenses consist primarily of:

- Compensation expenses (including SBC) for engineering and technical employees responsible for R&D of our existing and new products and services;
- Depreciation expenses;
- Equipment-related expenses; and
- Professional services fees primarily related to consulting and outsourcing services.

R&D expenses increased $1,555 million from 2019 to 2020. The increase was primarily due to an increase in compensation expenses of $1,619 million, largely resulting from a 11% increase in headcount and partially offset by higher compensation charges in certain Other Bets in 2019. Additionally, the increase in R&D expenses was partially offset by a decrease in travel and entertainment expenses of $383 million.

Over time, R&D expenses as a percentage of revenues may fluctuate due to certain expenses that are generally less variable in nature and may not correlate to the changes in revenues. In addition, R&D expenses may be affected by a number of factors including continued investment in ads, Android, Chrome, Google Cloud, Google Play, hardware, machine learning, Other Bets, Search and YouTube.

## Sales and Marketing

The following table presents our sales and marketing expenses (in millions, except percentages):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales and marketing expenses</td>
<td>$18,464</td>
<td>$17,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues</td>
<td>11.4 %</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of:

- Advertising and promotional expenditures related to our products and services; and
- Compensation expenses (including SBC) for employees engaged in sales and marketing, sales support, and certain customer service functions.

Sales and marketing expenses decreased $518 million from 2019 to 2020. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in advertising and promotional expenses of $1,395 million, as we reduced spending and paused or rescheduled campaigns and changed some events to digital-only formats as a result of COVID-19, and a decrease in travel and entertainment expenses of $371 million. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in compensation expenses of $1,347 million, largely resulting from an 8% increase in headcount.

Over time, sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues may fluctuate due to certain expenses that are generally less variable in nature and may not correlate to the changes in revenues. In addition, sales and marketing expenses may be affected by a number of factors including the seasonality associated with new product and service launches and strategic decisions regarding the timing and extent of our spending.

## General and Administrative

The following table presents our general and administrative expenses (in millions, except percentages):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative expenses</td>
<td>$9,551</td>
<td>$11,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
<td>6.1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of:
• Compensation expenses (including SBC) for employees in our finance, human resources, information technology, and legal organizations;
• Depreciation;
• Equipment-related expenses;
• Legal-related expenses; and
• Professional services fees primarily related to audit, information technology consulting, outside legal, and outsourcing services.

General and administrative expenses increased $1,501 million from 2019 to 2020. The increase was primarily due to an increase in compensation expenses of $887 million, largely resulting from a 16% increase in headcount. In addition, there was an increase of $440 million related to allowance for credit losses for accounts receivable. The increase was partially offset by a $554 million charge recognized in 2019 relating to a legal settlement.

Over time, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues may fluctuate due to certain expenses that are generally less variable in nature and may not correlate to the changes in revenues, the effect of discrete items such as legal settlements, or allowances for credit losses for accounts receivable.

**European Commission Fines**

In March 2019, the EC announced its decision that certain contractual provisions in agreements that Google had with AdSense for Search partners infringed European competition law. The EC decision imposed a €1.5 billion ($1.7 billion as of March 20, 2019) fine, which was accrued in the first quarter of 2019.

Please refer to Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information.

**Segment Profitability**

The following table presents our segment operating income (loss) (in millions). For comparative purposes, amounts in prior periods have been recast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating income (loss):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Services</td>
<td>$43,137</td>
<td>$48,999</td>
<td>$54,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Cloud</td>
<td>(4,348)</td>
<td>(4,645)</td>
<td>(5,607)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bets</td>
<td>(3,358)</td>
<td>(4,824)</td>
<td>(4,476)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate costs, unallocated(1)</td>
<td>(7,907)</td>
<td>(5,299)</td>
<td>(3,299)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total income from operations</td>
<td>$27,524</td>
<td>$34,231</td>
<td>$41,224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Corporate costs, unallocated includes a fine of $5.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2018 and a fine and legal settlement totaling $2.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2019.

**Google Services**

Google services operating income increased $5,607 million from 2019 to 2020. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in revenues partially offset by increases in content acquisition costs primarily for YouTube, data center and other operations costs, and TAC. Additionally, there was an increase in operating expenses primarily driven by an increase in compensation expenses (including SBC) largely due to increases in headcount. Operating income benefited from a decline in hardware costs.

Google services operating income increased $5,862 million from 2018 to 2019. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in revenues partially offset by increases in TAC, data center and other operations costs, and content acquisition costs primarily for YouTube. Additionally, there was an increase in operating expenses primarily driven by an increase in compensation expenses (including SBC) largely due to an increase in headcount.

**Google Cloud**

Google Cloud operating loss increased $962 million from 2019 to 2020 and increased $297 million from 2018 to 2019. The increase in operating loss in both periods was driven by an increase in total expenses of $5,103 million from 2019 to 2020 and $3,377 million from 2018 to 2019. Operating expenses increased primarily due to compensation expenses (including SBC), largely driven by an increase in headcount. Additionally, data center and other operating costs increased in both periods.

**Other Bets**
Other Bets operating loss decreased $348 million from 2019 to 2020 and increased $1,466 million from 2018 to 2019. The fluctuations were primarily driven by compensation expenses (including SBC).
**Other Income (Expense), Net**

The following table presents other income (expense), net, (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
<td>$5,394</td>
<td>$6,858</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other income (expense), net, increased $1,464 million from 2019 to 2020. The change was primarily driven by an increase in net gains on equity and debt securities of $3,519 million, partially offset by a $902 million loss resulting from our equity derivatives, which hedged the changes in fair value of certain marketable equity securities, and a decrease in interest income of $562 million.

Over time, other income (expense), net, may be affected by market dynamics and other factors. Equity values generally change daily for marketable equity securities and upon the occurrence of observable price changes or upon impairment of non-marketable equity securities. In addition, volatility in the global economic climate and financial markets, including the effects of COVID-19, could result in a significant change in the value of our investments. Fluctuations in the value of these investments has, and we expect will continue to, contribute to volatility of OI&E in future periods. For additional information about our investments, see Note 1 and Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Provision for Income Taxes**

The following table presents our provision for income taxes (in millions, except for effective tax rate):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income taxes</td>
<td>$5,282</td>
<td>$7,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective tax rate</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our provision for income taxes and our effective tax rate increased from 2019 to 2020. The increase in the provision for income taxes and our effective tax rate is primarily due to benefits related to the resolution of multi-year audits in 2019 that did not recur in 2020, higher earnings in countries that have higher statutory rates resulting from the change in our corporate legal entity structure implemented as of December 31, 2019, and an increase in valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets that are not likely to be realized relating to certain of our Other Bets, partially offset by an increase in the U.S. federal Foreign-Derived Intangible Income tax deduction benefits.

See Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information.

We expect our future effective tax rate to be affected by the geographic mix of earnings in countries with different statutory rates. Additionally, our future effective tax rate may be affected by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets or liabilities, or changes in tax laws, regulations, or accounting principles, as well as certain discrete items.
Quarterly Results of Operations

The following tables presenting our quarterly results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We have prepared the unaudited information on the same basis as our audited consolidated financial statements. Our operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for any future quarters or for a full year.

The following table presents our unaudited quarterly results of operations for the eight quarters ended December 31, 2020. This table includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, that we consider necessary for a fair presentation of our consolidated financial position and operating results for the quarters presented. Seasonal fluctuations in internet usage and advertiser expenditures, underlying business trends such as traditional retail seasonality and macroeconomic conditions have affected, and are likely to continue to affect, our business (including developments and volatility arising from COVID-19). Commercial queries typically increase significantly in the fourth quarter of each year. These seasonal trends have caused, and will likely continue to cause, fluctuations in our quarterly results, including fluctuations in sequential revenue growth rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$36,339</td>
<td>$38,944</td>
<td>$40,499</td>
<td>$46,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$41,159</td>
<td>$38,297</td>
<td>$46,173</td>
<td>56,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs and expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of revenues</td>
<td>16,012</td>
<td>17,296</td>
<td>17,568</td>
<td>21,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>6,029</td>
<td>6,213</td>
<td>6,554</td>
<td>7,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and marketing</td>
<td>3,905</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>4,609</td>
<td>5,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>2,088</td>
<td>2,043</td>
<td>2,591</td>
<td>2,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Commission fines</td>
<td>1,697</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs and expenses</td>
<td>29,731</td>
<td>29,764</td>
<td>31,322</td>
<td>36,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from operations</td>
<td>6,608</td>
<td>9,180</td>
<td>9,177</td>
<td>9,266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
<td>1,538</td>
<td>2,967</td>
<td>(549)</td>
<td>1,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before income taxes</td>
<td>8,146</td>
<td>12,147</td>
<td>8,628</td>
<td>10,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income taxes</td>
<td>1,489</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>1,560</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$6,657</td>
<td>$9,947</td>
<td>$7,068</td>
<td>$10,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic net income per share of Class A and B common stock and Class C capital stock</td>
<td>$9.58</td>
<td>$14.33</td>
<td>$10.20</td>
<td>$15.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted net income per share of Class A and B common stock and Class C capital stock</td>
<td>$9.50</td>
<td>$14.21</td>
<td>$10.12</td>
<td>$15.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Financial Condition

**Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Marketable Securities**

As of December 31, 2020, we had $136.7 billion in cash, cash equivalents, and short-term marketable securities. Cash equivalents and marketable securities are comprised of time deposits, money market funds, highly liquid government bonds, corporate debt securities, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities and marketable equity securities.

**Sources, Uses of Cash and Related Trends**

Our principal sources of liquidity are our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities, as well as the cash flow that we generate from our operations. The primary use of capital continues to be to invest for the long term growth of the business. We regularly evaluate our cash and capital structure, including the size, pace and form of capital return to stockholders.
The following table presents our cash flows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>$54,520</td>
<td>$65,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in investing activities</td>
<td>$(29,491)</td>
<td>$(32,773)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in financing activities</td>
<td>$(23,209)</td>
<td>$(24,408)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cash Provided by Operating Activities**

Our largest source of cash provided by our operations are advertising revenues generated by Google Search & other properties, Google Network Members' properties and YouTube ads. Additionally, we generate cash through sales of apps, in-app purchases, digital content products, and hardware; and licensing and service fees including fees received for Google Cloud offerings and subscription-based products.

Our primary uses of cash from our operating activities include compensation and related costs, payments to our distribution partners and Google Network Members, and payments for content acquisition costs. In addition, uses of cash from operating activities include hardware inventory costs, income taxes, and other general corporate expenditures.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased from 2019 to 2020 primarily due to the net effect of increases in cash received from revenues and cash paid for cost of revenues and operating expenses, and changes in operating assets and liabilities.

**Cash Used in Investing Activities**

Cash provided by investing activities consists primarily of maturities and sales of our investments in marketable and non-marketable securities. Cash used in investing activities consists primarily of purchases of marketable and non-marketable securities, purchases of property and equipment, and payments for acquisitions.

Net cash used in investing activities increased from 2019 to 2020 primarily due to a net increase in purchases of securities, partially offset by decreases in payments for acquisitions and purchases of property and equipment. The net decrease in purchases of property and equipment was driven by decreases in purchases of land and buildings for offices as well as data center construction, partially offset by increases in purchases of servers.

**Cash Used in Financing Activities**

Cash provided by financing activities consists primarily of proceeds from issuance of debt and proceeds from the sale of interest in consolidated entities. Cash used in financing activities consists primarily of repurchases of capital stock, net payments related to stock-based award activities, and repayments of debt.

Net cash used in financing activities increased from 2019 to 2020 primarily due to an increase in cash payments for repurchases of capital stock, partially offset by increases in net proceeds from issuance of debt and proceeds from the sale of interest in consolidated entities.

**Liquidity and Material Cash Requirements**

We expect existing cash, cash equivalents, short-term marketable securities, cash flows from operations and financing activities to continue to be sufficient to fund our operating activities and cash commitments for investing and financing activities for at least the next 12 months and thereafter for the foreseeable future.

As of December 31, 2020, we had long-term taxes payable of $6.5 billion related to a one-time transition tax payable incurred as a result of the U.S. Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("Tax Act"). As permitted by the Tax Act, we will pay the transition tax in annual interest-free installments through 2025.

In 2017, 2018 and 2019, the EC announced decisions that certain actions taken by Google infringed European competition law and imposed fines of €2.4 billion ($2.7 billion as of June 27, 2017), €4.3 billion ($5.1 billion as of June 30, 2018), and €1.5 billion ($1.7 billion as of March 20, 2019), respectively. While each EC decision is under appeal, we included the fines in accrued expenses and other current liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as we provided bank guarantees (in lieu of a cash payment) for the fines.

In January 2021, we closed the acquisition of Fitbit, a leading wearables brand, for $2.1 billion.

We have a short-term debt financing program of up to $5.0 billion through the issuance of commercial paper. Net proceeds from this program are used for general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2020, we had no commercial paper outstanding. As of December 31, 2020, we have $4.0 billion of revolving credit facilities expiring...
in July 2023 with no amounts outstanding. The interest rate for the credit facilities is determined based on a formula using certain market rates.

In August 2020, we issued $10.0 billion of fixed-rate senior unsecured notes in six tranches: $1.0 billion due in 2025, $1.0 billion due in 2027, $2.25 billion due in 2030, $1.25 billion due in 2040, $2.5 billion due in 2050 and $2.0 billion due in 2060. The 2020 Notes had a weighted average duration of 21.5 years and weighted average coupon rate of 1.57%. Of the total issuance, $5.75 billion was designated as Sustainability Bonds, the net proceeds of which are used to fund environmentally and socially responsible projects in the following eight areas: energy efficiency, clean energy, green buildings, clean transportation, circular economy and design, affordable housing, commitment to racial equity, and support for small businesses and COVID-19 crisis response. The remaining net proceeds are used for general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2020, we have senior unsecured notes outstanding with a total carrying value of $13.8 billion. Refer to Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on the debts.

In accordance with the authorizations of the Board of Directors of Alphabet, in 2020 we repurchased and subsequently retired 21.5 million shares of Alphabet Class C capital stock for an aggregate amount of $31.1 billion. As of December 31, 2020, $17.6 billion remains authorized and available for repurchase. The repurchases are being executed from time to time, subject to general business and market conditions and other investment opportunities, through open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions, including through Rule 10b5-1 plans. The repurchase program does not have an expiration date. Refer to Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Capital Expenditures and Leases**

We make investments in land and buildings for data centers and offices and information technology assets through purchases of property and equipment and lease arrangements to provide capacity for the growth of our services and products.

Our capital investments in property and equipment consist primarily of the following major categories:

- Technical infrastructure, which consists of our investments in servers and network equipment for compute, storage and networking requirements for ongoing business activities, including machine learning, (collectively referred to as our information technology assets) and data center land and building construction; and
- Office facilities, ground up development projects and related building improvements.

Due to the integrated nature of Alphabet, our technical infrastructure and office facilities are managed centrally at a consolidated level. The associated costs, including depreciation and impairment, are allocated to operating segments as a service cost generally based on usage or headcount. Our technical infrastructure investments are designed to support all of Alphabet, including primarily ads, Google Cloud, Search, and YouTube.

Construction in progress consists primarily of technical infrastructure and office facilities which have not yet been placed in service for our intended use. The time frame from date of purchase to placement in service of these assets may extend to multiple periods. For example, our data center construction projects are generally multi-year projects with multiple phases, where we acquire qualified land and buildings, construct buildings, and secure and install information technology assets.

During the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2020, we spent $23.5 billion and $22.3 billion on capital expenditures and recognized total operating lease assets of $4.4 billion and $2.8 billion, respectively. As of December 31, 2020, the amount of total future lease payments under operating leases, which had a weighted average remaining lease term of 9 years, was $15.1 billion. As of December 31, 2020, we have entered into leases that have not yet commenced with future lease payments of $8.0 billion, excluding purchase options, that are not yet recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. These leases will commence between 2021 and 2026 with non-cancelable lease terms of 1 to 25 years.

Depreciation of our property and equipment commences when the deployment of such assets are completed and are ready for our intended use. Land is not depreciated. For the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2020, our depreciation and impairment expenses on property and equipment were $10.9 billion and $12.9 billion, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2020, our operating lease expenses (including variable lease costs), were $2.4 billion and $2.9 billion, respectively. Finance leases were not material for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2020. Please refer to Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on the leases.
Contractual Obligations as of December 31, 2020

The following summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2020 (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payments Due By Period</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Less than 1 year</th>
<th>1-3 years</th>
<th>3-5 years</th>
<th>More than 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease obligations&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$15,091</td>
<td>$2,198</td>
<td>$4,165</td>
<td>$3,127</td>
<td>$5,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligations for leases that have not yet commenced&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8,049</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>1,198</td>
<td>1,469</td>
<td>5,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase obligations&lt;sup&gt;(2)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10,656</td>
<td>7,368</td>
<td>1,968</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt obligations&lt;sup&gt;(3)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19,840</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>2,587</td>
<td>15,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax payable&lt;sup&gt;(4)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7,359</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>1,916</td>
<td>4,609</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other long-term liabilities reflected on our balance sheet&lt;sup&gt;(5)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,421</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total contractual obligations</strong></td>
<td><strong>$62,416</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,659</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,497</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,331</strong></td>
<td><strong>$26,929</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(1)</sup> For further information, refer to Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents non-cancelable contractual obligations primarily related to data center operations and build-outs; information technology assets; purchases of inventory; and digital media content licensing arrangements. The amounts included above represent the non-cancelable portion of agreements or the minimum cancellation fee. For those agreements with variable terms, we do not estimate the non-cancelable obligation beyond any minimum quantities and/or pricing as of December 31, 2020. Excluded from the table above are open orders for purchases that support normal operations, which are generally cancelable.

<sup>(3)</sup> Represents our principal and interest payments. For further information on long-term debt, refer to Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

<sup>(4)</sup> Represents one-time transition tax payable incurred as a result of the Tax Act. For further information, refer to Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Excluded from the table above are long-term taxes payable of $2.3 billion as of December 31, 2020 primarily related to uncertain tax positions, for which we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years beyond 12 months due to uncertainties in the timing and outcomes of tax audits.

<sup>(5)</sup> Represents cash obligations recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, including the short-term portion of these long-term liabilities, primarily for certain commercial agreements. These amounts do not include the EC fines which are classified as current liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. For further information regarding the EC fines, refer to Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2020, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K, that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in our financial condition, revenues, or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources that is material to investors. See Note 10 included in Part II, Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K for more information on our commitments and contingencies.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP. In doing so, we have to make estimates and assumptions that affect our reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, gains and losses, as well as related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. In some cases, we could reasonably have used different accounting policies and estimates. In some cases, changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from our estimates. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our financial condition or results of operations will be affected. We base our estimates on past experience and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, and we evaluate these estimates on an ongoing basis. We refer to accounting estimates of this type as critical accounting policies and estimates, which we discuss further below. We have reviewed our critical accounting policies and estimates with the audit and compliance committee of our Board of Directors.

Please see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a summary of significant accounting policies and the effect on our financial statements.
Revenues

For the sale of third-party goods and services, we evaluate whether we are the principal, and report revenues on a gross basis, or an agent, and report revenues on a net basis. In this assessment, we consider if we obtain control of the specified goods or services before they are transferred to the customer, as well as other indicators such as the party primarily responsible for fulfillment, inventory risk, and discretion in establishing price.

Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in evaluating our uncertain tax positions and determining our provision for income taxes.

Although we believe we have adequately reserved for our uncertain tax positions, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or the refinement of an estimate. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will affect the provision for income taxes and the effective tax rate in the period in which such determination is made.

The provision for income taxes includes the effect of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate as well as the related net interest and penalties. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Services ("IRS") and other tax authorities which may assert assessments against us. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations and assessments to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes.

Loss Contingencies

We are regularly subject to claims, suits, government investigations, and other proceedings involving competition, intellectual property, privacy, tax and related compliance, labor and employment, commercial disputes, content generated by our users, goods and services offered by advertisers or publishers using our platforms, personal injury consumer protection, and other matters. Certain of these matters include speculative claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts of damages. We record a liability when we believe that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If we determine that a loss is reasonably possible and the loss or range of loss can be estimated, we disclose the possible loss in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We evaluate, on a regular basis, developments in our legal matters that could affect the amount of liability that has been previously accrued, and the matters and related reasonably possible losses disclosed, and make adjustments and changes to our disclosures as appropriate. Significant judgment is required to determine both the likelihood of there being, and the estimated amount of, a loss related to such matters. Until the final resolution of such matters, there may be an exposure to loss in excess of the amount recorded, and such amounts could be material. Should any of our estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could have a material effect on our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Long-lived Assets

Long-lived assets, including property and equipment, long-term prepayments, and intangible assets, excluding goodwill, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The evaluation is performed at the lowest level of identifiable cash flows independent of other assets. An impairment loss would be recognized when estimated undiscounted future cash flows generated from the assets are less than their carrying amount. Measurement of an impairment loss would be based on the excess of the carrying amount of the asset group over its fair value.

Fair Value Measurements

We measure certain of our non-marketable equity and debt investments, certain other instruments including stock-based compensation awards settled in the stock of certain Other Bets, and certain assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. The determination of fair value involves the use of appropriate valuation methods and relevant inputs into valuation models. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value whereby it gives the highest priority to quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. We maximize the use of relevant observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Our use of unobservable inputs reflects the assumptions that market participants would use and may include our own data adjusted based on reasonably available information. We apply judgment in
assessing the relevance of observable market data to determine the priority of inputs under the fair value hierarchy, particularly in situations where there is very little or no market activity.
In determining the fair values of our non-marketable equity and debt investments, as well as assets acquired (especially with respect to intangible assets) and liabilities assumed in business combinations, we make significant estimates and assumptions, some of which include the use of unobservable inputs.

Certain stock-based compensation awards may be settled in the stock of certain of our Other Bets or in cash. These awards are based on the equity values of the respective Other Bet, which requires use of unobservable inputs.

We also have compensation arrangements with payouts based on realized investment returns, i.e. performance fees. We recognize compensation expense based on the estimated payouts, which may result in expense recognized before investment returns are realized, and may require the use of unobservable inputs.

**Non-marketable Equity Securities**

Our non-marketable equity securities not accounted for under the equity method are carried either at fair value or under the measurement alternative. Under the measurement alternative, the carrying value is measured at cost, less any impairment, plus or minus changes resulting from observable price changes in orderly transactions for the identical or a similar investment of the same issuer. Determining whether an observed transaction is similar to a security within our portfolio requires judgment based on the rights and obligations of the securities. Recording upward and downward adjustments to the carrying value of our equity securities as a result of observable price changes requires quantitative assessments of the fair value of our securities using various valuation methodologies and involves the use of estimates.

Non-marketable equity securities are also subject to periodic impairment reviews. Our quarterly impairment analysis considers both qualitative and quantitative factors that may have a significant effect on the investment's fair value. Qualitative factors considered include the companies’ financial and liquidity position, access to capital resources and the time since the last adjustment to fair value, among others. When indicators of impairment exist, we prepare quantitative assessments of the fair value of our equity investments using both the market and income approaches which require judgment and the use of estimates, including discount rates, investee revenues and costs, and comparable market data of private and public companies, among others. When our assessment indicates that an impairment exists, we write down the investment to its fair value.

**Change in Accounting Estimate**

In January 2021, we completed an assessment of the useful lives of our servers and network equipment and determined we should adjust the estimated useful life of our servers from three years to four years and the estimated useful life of certain network equipment from three years to five years. This change in accounting estimate will be effective beginning fiscal year 2021. For assets that are in-service as of December 31, 2020, we expect operating results to be favorably impacted by approximately $2.1 billion for the full fiscal year 2021. The effect of the change may be different due to our capital investments during the fiscal year 2021.

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates, and equity investment risks.

**Foreign Currency Exchange Risk**

We transact business globally in multiple currencies. Our international revenues, as well as costs and expenses denominated in foreign currencies, expose us to the risk of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar. Principal currencies hedged included the Australian dollar, British pound, Canadian dollar, Euro and Japanese yen. For the purpose of analyzing foreign currency exchange risk, we considered the historical trends in foreign currency exchange rates and determined that it was reasonably possible that adverse changes in exchange rates of 10% could be experienced in the near term.

We use foreign exchange forward contracts to offset the foreign exchange risk on our assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the subsidiary. These forward contracts reduce, but do not entirely eliminate, the effect of foreign currency exchange rate movements on our assets and liabilities. The foreign currency gains and losses on the assets and liabilities are recorded in other income (expense), net, which are offset by the gains and losses on the forward contracts.

If an adverse 10% foreign currency exchange rate change was applied to total monetary assets, liabilities, and commitments denominated in currencies other than the functional currencies at the balance sheet date, it would have resulted in an adverse effect on income before income taxes of approximately $8 million and $497 million as of
December 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively, after consideration of the effect of foreign exchange contracts in place for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2020.

We use foreign currency forwards and option contracts, including collars (an option strategy comprised of a combination of purchased and written options) to protect our forecasted U.S. dollar-equivalent earnings from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. When the U.S. dollar strengthens, gains from foreign currency options and forwards reduce the foreign currency losses related to our earnings. When the U.S. dollar weakens, losses from foreign currency collars and forwards offset the foreign currency gains related to our earnings. These hedging contracts reduce, but do not entirely eliminate, the effect of foreign currency exchange rate movements. We designate these contracts as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes. We reflect the gains or losses of foreign currency spot rate changes as a component of AOCI and subsequently reclassify them into revenues to offset the hedged exposures as they occur.

If the U.S. dollar weakened by 10% as of December 31, 2019 and 2020, the amount recorded in AOCI related to our foreign exchange contracts before tax effect would have been approximately $1.1 billion and $912 million lower as of December 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. The change in the value recorded in AOCI would be expected to offset a corresponding foreign currency change in forecasted hedged revenues when recognized.

We use foreign exchange forward contracts designated as net investment hedges to hedge the foreign currency risks related to our investment in foreign subsidiaries. These forward contracts serve to offset the foreign currency translation risk from our foreign operations.

If the U.S. dollar weakened by 10%, the amount recorded in cumulative translation adjustment (“CTA”) within AOCI related to our net investment hedge would have been approximately $936 million and $1 billion lower as of December 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. The change in value recorded in CTA would be expected to offset a corresponding foreign currency translation gain or loss from our investment in foreign subsidiaries.

**Interest Rate Risk**

Our Corporate Treasury investment strategy is to achieve a return that will allow us to preserve capital and maintain liquidity. We invest primarily in debt securities including those of the U.S. government and its agencies, corporate debt securities, mortgage-backed securities, money market and other funds, municipal securities, time deposits, asset backed securities, and debt instruments issued by foreign governments. By policy, we limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. Our investments in both fixed rate and floating rate interest earning securities carry a degree of interest rate risk. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely affected due to a rise in interest rates, while floating rate securities may produce less income than predicted if interest rates fall. Unrealized gains or losses on our marketable debt securities are primarily due to interest rate fluctuations as compared to interest rates at the time of purchase. For certain fixed and variable rate debt securities, we have elected the fair value option for which changes in fair value are recorded in other income (expense), net. We measure securities for which we have not elected the fair value option at fair value with gains and losses recorded in AOCI until the securities are sold, less any expected credit losses.

We use value-at-risk (“VaR”) analysis to determine the potential effect of fluctuations in interest rates on the value of our marketable debt security portfolio. The VaR is the expected loss in fair value, for a given confidence interval, for our investment portfolio due to adverse movements in interest rates. We use a variance/covariance VaR model with 95% confidence interval. The estimated one-day loss in fair value of our marketable debt securities as of December 31, 2019 and 2020 are shown below (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Category - Interest Rate</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
<th>12-Month Average As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>12-Month Average As of December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual future gains and losses associated with our marketable debt security portfolio may differ materially from the sensitivity analyses performed as of December 31, 2019 and 2020 due to the inherent limitations associated with predicting the timing and amount of changes in interest rates and our actual exposures and positions. VaR analysis is not intended to represent actual losses but is used as a risk estimation.

**Equity Investment Risk**

Our marketable and non-marketable equity securities are subject to a wide variety of market-related risks that could substantially reduce or increase the fair value of our holdings.
Our marketable equity securities are publicly traded stocks or funds and our non-marketable equity securities are investments in privately held companies, some of which are in the startup or development stages.
We record our marketable equity securities not accounted for under the equity method at fair value based on readily determinable market values, of which publicly traded stocks and mutual funds are subject to market price volatility, and represent $3.3 billion and $5.9 billion of our investments as of December 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively. A hypothetical adverse price change of 30% on our December 31, 2020 balance, which could be experienced in the near term, would decrease the fair value of our marketable equity securities by $1.8 billion. From time to time, we may enter into derivatives to hedge the market price risk on certain of our marketable equity securities.

Our non-marketable equity securities not accounted for under the equity method are adjusted to fair value for observable transactions for identical or similar investments of the same issuer or impairment (referred to as the measurement alternative). The fair value measured at the time of the observable transaction is not necessarily an indication of the current fair value as of the balance sheet date. These investments, especially those that are in the early stages, are inherently risky because the technologies or products these companies have under development are typically in the early phases and may never materialize and they may experience a decline in financial condition, which could result in a loss of a substantial part of our investment in these companies. The success of our investment in any private company is also typically dependent on the likelihood of our ability to realize appreciation in the value of our investments through liquidity events such as public offerings, acquisitions, private sales or other market events. As of December 31, 2019 and 2020, the carrying value of our non-marketable equity securities, which were accounted for under the measurement alternative, was $11.4 billion and $18.9 billion, respectively. Valuations of our equity investments in private companies are inherently more complex due to the lack of readily available market data. Volatility in the global economic climate and financial markets could result in a significant impairment charge relating to our non-marketable equity securities. Changes in valuation of non-marketable equity securities may not directly correlate with changes in valuation of marketable equity securities. Additionally, observable transactions at lower valuations could result in significant losses on our non-marketable equity securities. The effect of COVID-19 on our impairment assessment requires significant judgment due to the uncertainty around the duration and severity of the impact.

The carrying values of our equity method investments, which totaled approximately $1.3 billion and $1.4 billion as of December 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively, generally do not fluctuate based on market price changes, however these investments could be impaired if the carrying value exceeds the fair value and is not expected to recover.

For further information about our equity investments, please refer to Note 1 and Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
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REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and the Board of Directors of Alphabet Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Alphabet Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2019 and 2020, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes and financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15 (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2019 and 2020, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2020, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2020, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 2, 2021 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Critical Audit Matter

The critical audit matter communicated below is a matter arising from the current period audit of the financial statements that was communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that: (1) relates to accounts or disclosures that are material to the financial statements and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective, or complex judgments. The communication of the critical audit matter does not alter in any way our opinion on the consolidated financial statements, taken as a whole, and we are not, by communicating the critical audit matter below, providing a separate opinion on the critical audit matter or on the accounts or disclosures to which it relates.
Loss Contingencies

Description of the Matter

The Company is regularly subject to claims, suits, and government investigations involving competition, intellectual property, privacy, consumer protection, tax, labor and employment, commercial disputes, content generated by its users, goods and services offered by advertisers or publishers using their platforms, and other matters. As described in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements “Commitments and Contingencies” such claims could result in adverse consequences.

Significant judgment is required to determine both the likelihood, and the estimated amount, of a loss related to such matters. Auditing management’s accounting for and disclosure of loss contingencies from these matters involved challenging and subjective auditor judgment in assessing the Company’s evaluation of the probability of a loss, and the estimated amount or range of loss.

How We Addressed the Matter in Our Audit

We tested relevant controls over the identified risks associated with management’s accounting for and disclosure of these matters. This included controls over management’s assessment of the probability of incurrence of a loss and whether the loss or range of loss was reasonably estimable and the development of related disclosures.

Our audit procedures included gaining an understanding of previous rulings issued by regulators and the status of ongoing lawsuits, reviewing letters addressing the matters from internal and external legal counsel, meeting with internal legal counsel to discuss the allegations, and obtaining a representation letter from management on these matters. We also evaluated the Company’s disclosures in relation to these matters.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1999.

San Jose, California
February 2, 2021
REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and the Board of Directors of Alphabet Inc.

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited Alphabet Inc.’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2020, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). In our opinion, Alphabet Inc. (the Company) maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2020, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the 2020 consolidated financial statements of the Company and our report dated February 2, 2021 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Jose, California
February 2, 2021
# Alphabet Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except share amounts which are reflected in thousands, and par value per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As of December 31</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$18,498</td>
<td>$26,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable securities</td>
<td>101,177</td>
<td>110,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities</td>
<td>$119,675</td>
<td>$136,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable, net</td>
<td>25,326</td>
<td>30,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes receivable, net</td>
<td>2,166</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>4,412</td>
<td>5,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>$152,578</td>
<td>$174,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-marketable investments</td>
<td>13,078</td>
<td>20,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>1,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment, net</td>
<td>73,646</td>
<td>84,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease assets</td>
<td>10,941</td>
<td>12,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets, net</td>
<td>1,979</td>
<td>1,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>20,624</td>
<td>21,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-current assets</td>
<td>2,342</td>
<td>3,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$275,909</td>
<td>$319,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Stockholders’ Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>$5,561</td>
<td>$5,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued compensation and benefits</td>
<td>8,495</td>
<td>11,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses and other current liabilities</td>
<td>23,067</td>
<td>28,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued revenue share</td>
<td>5,916</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue</td>
<td>1,908</td>
<td>2,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes payable, net</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>1,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>$45,221</td>
<td>$56,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt</td>
<td>4,554</td>
<td>13,932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue, non-current</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes payable, non-current</td>
<td>9,885</td>
<td>8,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>1,701</td>
<td>3,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease liabilities</td>
<td>10,214</td>
<td>11,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other long-term liabilities</td>
<td>2,534</td>
<td>2,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>$74,467</td>
<td>$97,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitments and Contingencies (Note 10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholders’ equity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convertible preferred stock, $0.001 par value per share, 100,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class A and Class B common stock, and Class C capital stock and additional paid-in capital, $0.001 par value per share: 15,000,000 shares authorized (Class A 9,000,000, Class B 3,000,000, Class C 3,000,000); 688,335 (Class A 299,828, Class B 46,441, Class C 342,066) and 675,222 (Class A 300,730, Class B 45,843, Class C 328,649) shares issued and outstanding</td>
<td>50,552</td>
<td>58,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>(1,232)</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>152,122</td>
<td>163,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total stockholders’ equity</td>
<td>$201,442</td>
<td>$222,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and stockholders’ equity</td>
<td>$275,909</td>
<td>$319,616</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
See accompanying notes.
### Alphabet Inc.

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In millions, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$136,819</td>
<td>$161,857</td>
<td>$182,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs and expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of revenues</td>
<td>59,549</td>
<td>71,896</td>
<td>84,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>21,419</td>
<td>26,018</td>
<td>27,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and marketing</td>
<td>16,333</td>
<td>18,464</td>
<td>17,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administrative</td>
<td>6,923</td>
<td>9,551</td>
<td>11,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Commission fines</td>
<td>5,071</td>
<td>1,697</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs and expenses</td>
<td>109,295</td>
<td>127,626</td>
<td>141,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from operations</td>
<td>27,524</td>
<td>34,231</td>
<td>41,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
<td>7,389</td>
<td>5,394</td>
<td>6,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before income taxes</td>
<td>34,913</td>
<td>39,625</td>
<td>48,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income taxes</td>
<td>4,177</td>
<td>5,282</td>
<td>7,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$30,736</td>
<td>$34,343</td>
<td>$40,269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Basic net income per share of Class A and B common stock and Class C capital stock

$44.22 $49.59 $59.15

Diluted net income per share of Class A and B common stock and Class C capital stock

$43.70 $49.16 $58.61

See accompanying notes.
# Alphabet Inc.
## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(In millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 30,736</td>
<td>$ 34,343</td>
<td>$ 40,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in foreign currency translation adjustment</td>
<td>(781)</td>
<td>(119)</td>
<td>1,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available-for-sale investments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net unrealized gains (losses)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1,611</td>
<td>1,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses included in net income</td>
<td>(911)</td>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>(513)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change, net of tax benefit (expense) of $156, $(221), and $(230)</td>
<td>(823)</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flow hedges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in net unrealized gains (losses)</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses included in net income</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>(299)</td>
<td>(116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change, net of tax benefit (expense) of $(103), $42, and $11</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>(277)</td>
<td>(74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>(1,216)</td>
<td>1,104</td>
<td>1,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive income</td>
<td>$ 29,520</td>
<td>$ 35,447</td>
<td>$ 42,134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes.
### Alphabet Inc.

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY**

(In millions, except share amounts which are reflected in thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shares</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</th>
<th>Retained Earnings</th>
<th>Total Stockholders’ Equity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>694,783</td>
<td>40,247</td>
<td>$ (992)</td>
<td>$ 113,247</td>
<td>$ 152,502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Balance as of December 31, 2017**
  - Cumulative effect of accounting change 0 0 (98) (599) (697)
  - Common and capital stock issued 8,975 148 0 0 148
  - Stock-based compensation expense 0 9,353 0 0 9,353
  - Tax withholding related to vesting of restricted stock units 0 (4,782) 0 0 (4,782)
  - Repurchases of capital stock (8,202) (576) 0 (8,499) (9,075)
  - Sale of interest in consolidated entities 0 659 0 0 659
  - Net income 0 0 0 30,736 30,736
  - Other comprehensive income (loss) 0 0 (1,216) 0 (1,216)
  - **Balance as of December 31, 2018**
  - Cumulative effect of accounting change 0 0 (30) (4) (34)
  - Common and capital stock issued 8,120 202 0 0 202
  - Stock-based compensation expense 0 10,890 0 0 10,890
  - Tax withholding related to vesting of restricted stock units and other 0 (4,455) 0 0 (4,455)
  - Repurchases of capital stock (15,341) (1,294) 0 (17,102) (18,396)
  - Sale of interest in consolidated entities 0 160 0 0 160
  - Net income 0 0 0 34,343 34,343
  - Other comprehensive income (loss) 0 0 1,104 0 1,104
  - **Balance as of December 31, 2019**
  - Common and capital stock issued 8,398 168 0 0 168
  - Stock-based compensation expense 0 13,123 0 0 13,123
  - Tax withholding related to vesting of restricted stock units and other 0 (5,969) 0 0 (5,969)
  - Repurchases of capital stock (21,511) (2,159) 0 (28,990) (31,149)
  - Sale of interest in consolidated entities 0 2,795 0 0 2,795
  - Net income 0 0 0 40,269 40,269
  - Other comprehensive income (loss) 0 0 1,186 0 1,186
  - **Balance as of December 31, 2020**
  - 675,222 58,510 $ 633 $ 163,401 $ 222,544

See accompanying notes.
Alphabet Inc.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$30,736</td>
<td>$34,343</td>
<td>$40,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and impairment of property and equipment</td>
<td>8,164</td>
<td>10,856</td>
<td>12,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization and impairment of intangible assets</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation expense</td>
<td>9,353</td>
<td>10,794</td>
<td>12,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>1,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on debt and equity securities, net</td>
<td>(6,650)</td>
<td>(2,798)</td>
<td>(6,317)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(189)</td>
<td>(592)</td>
<td>1,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>(2,169)</td>
<td>(4,340)</td>
<td>(6,524)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes, net</td>
<td>(2,251)</td>
<td>(3,128)</td>
<td>1,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>(1,207)</td>
<td>(621)</td>
<td>(1,330)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses and other liabilities</td>
<td>8,614</td>
<td>7,170</td>
<td>5,504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued revenue share</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>1,273</td>
<td>1,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>47,971</td>
<td>54,520</td>
<td>65,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of property and equipment</td>
<td>(25,139)</td>
<td>(23,548)</td>
<td>(22,281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of marketable securities</td>
<td>(50,158)</td>
<td>(100,315)</td>
<td>(136,576)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturities and sales of marketable securities</td>
<td>48,507</td>
<td>97,825</td>
<td>132,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchases of non-marketable investments</td>
<td>(2,073)</td>
<td>(1,932)</td>
<td>(7,175)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturities and sales of non-marketable investments</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>1,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and purchases of intangible assets</td>
<td>(1,491)</td>
<td>(2,515)</td>
<td>(738)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other investing activities</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in investing activities</td>
<td>(28,504)</td>
<td>(29,491)</td>
<td>(32,773)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net payments related to stock-based award activities</td>
<td>(4,993)</td>
<td>(4,765)</td>
<td>(5,720)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurchases of capital stock</td>
<td>(9,075)</td>
<td>(18,396)</td>
<td>(31,149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from issuance of debt, net of costs</td>
<td>6,766</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>11,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayments of debt</td>
<td>(6,827)</td>
<td>(585)</td>
<td>(2,100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sale of interest in consolidated entities, net</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash used in financing activities</td>
<td>(13,179)</td>
<td>(23,209)</td>
<td>(24,408)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>(302)</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>5,986</td>
<td>1,797</td>
<td>7,967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</td>
<td>10,715</td>
<td>16,701</td>
<td>18,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</strong></td>
<td>$16,701</td>
<td>$18,498</td>
<td>$26,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid for taxes, net of refunds</td>
<td>$5,671</td>
<td>$8,203</td>
<td>$4,990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes.
Alphabet Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

Google was incorporated in California in September 1998 and re-incorporated in the State of Delaware in August 2003. In 2015, we implemented a holding company reorganization, and as a result, Alphabet Inc. ("Alphabet") became the successor issuer to Google.

We generate revenues by delivering relevant, cost-effective online advertising, cloud-based solutions that provide customers with platforms, collaboration tools and services, and sales of other products and services, such as apps and in-app purchases, digital content and subscriptions for digital content, and hardware.

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements of Alphabet include the accounts of Alphabet and entities consolidated under the variable interest and voting models. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

Preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP") requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosed in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to the allowance for credit losses, fair values of financial instruments (including non-marketable equity securities), intangible assets and goodwill, useful lives of intangible assets and property and equipment, income taxes, and contingent liabilities, among others. We base our estimates on assumptions, both historical and forward looking, that are believed to be reasonable, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities.

As of December 31, 2020 the impact of COVID-19 continues to unfold and the extent of the impact will depend on a number of factors, including the duration and severity of the pandemic; the uneven impact to certain industries; advances in testing, treatment and prevention; and the macroeconomic impact of government measures to contain the spread of the virus and related government stimulus measures. As a result, certain of our estimates and assumptions, including the allowance for credit losses for accounts receivable, the credit worthiness of customers entering into revenue arrangements, the valuation of non-marketable equity securities, including our impairment assessment, and the fair values of our financial instruments require increased judgment and carry a higher degree of variability and volatility that could result in material changes to our estimates in future periods.

In January 2021, we completed an assessment of the useful lives of our servers and network equipment and determined we should adjust the estimated useful life of our servers from three years to four years and the estimated useful life of certain network equipment from three years to five years. This change in accounting estimate will be effective beginning fiscal year 2021.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues are recognized when control of the promised goods or services is transferred to our customers and the collectibility of an amount that we expect in exchange for those goods or services is probable. Sales and other similar taxes are excluded from revenues.

Advertising Revenues

We generate advertising revenues primarily by delivering advertising on Google Search & other properties, including Google.com, the Google Search app, Google Play, Gmail and Google Maps; YouTube, and Google Network Members’ properties.

Our customers generally purchase advertising inventory through Google Ads, Google Ad Manager and Google Marketing Platform, among others.

We offer advertising by delivering both performance and brand advertising. We recognize revenues for performance advertising when a user engages with the advertisement, such as a click, a view, or a purchase. For brand advertising, we recognize revenues when the ad is displayed or a user views the ad.
For ads placed on Google Network Members' properties, we evaluate whether we are the principal (i.e., report revenues on a gross basis) or agent (i.e., report revenues on a net basis). Generally, we report advertising revenues
for ads placed on Google Network Members’ properties on a gross basis, that is, the amounts billed to our customers are recorded as revenues, and amounts paid to Google Network Members are recorded as cost of revenues. Where we are the principal, we control the advertising inventory before it is transferred to our customers. Our control is evidenced by our sole ability to monetize the advertising inventory before it is transferred to our customers, and is further supported by us being primarily responsible to our customers and having a level of discretion in establishing pricing.

**Google Cloud Revenues**

Google Cloud revenues consist primarily of fees received for Google Cloud Platform services (which includes infrastructure and data analytics platform products and other services) and Google Workspace (formerly G Suite) collaboration tools and other enterprise services. Our cloud services are generally provided on either a consumption or subscription basis. Revenues related to cloud services provided on a consumption basis are recognized when the customer utilizes the services, based on the quantity of services consumed. Revenues related to cloud services provided on a subscription basis are recognized ratably over the contract term as the customer receives and consumes the benefits of the cloud services.

**Other Revenues**

Google other revenues and Other Bets revenues consist primarily of revenues from:

- Google Play, which includes revenues from sale of apps and in-app purchases (which we recognize net of payout to developers) and digital content sold in the Google Play store;
- hardware, including Google Nest home products, Pixelbooks, Pixel phones and other devices;
- YouTube non-advertising services including, YouTube premium and YouTube TV subscriptions and other services; and
- other products and services.

As it relates to Google other revenues, the most significant judgment is determining whether we are the principal or agent for app sales and in-app purchases through the Google Play store. We report revenues from these transactions on a net basis because our performance obligation is to facilitate a transaction between app developers and end users, for which we earn a commission. Consequently, the portion of the gross amount billed to end users that is remitted to app developers is not reflected as revenues.

**Arrangements with Multiple Performance Obligations**

Our contracts with customers may include multiple performance obligations. For such arrangements, we allocate revenues to each performance obligation based on its relative standalone selling price. We generally determine standalone selling prices based on the prices charged to customers or using expected cost plus margin.

**Customer Incentives and Credits**

Certain customers receive cash-based incentives or credits, which are accounted for as variable consideration. We estimate these amounts based on the expected amount to be provided to customers and reduce revenues. We believe that there will not be significant changes to our estimates of variable consideration.

**Sales Commissions**

We expense sales commissions when incurred when the amortization period is one year or less. We recognize an asset for certain sales commissions if we expect the period of benefit of these costs to exceed one year and amortize it over the period of expected benefit. These costs are recorded within sales and marketing expenses.

**Cost of Revenues**

Cost of revenues consists of TAC and other costs of revenues.

TAC represents the amounts paid to our distribution partners who make available our search access points and services and amounts paid to Google Network Members primarily for ads displayed on their properties. Our distribution partners include browser providers, mobile carriers, original equipment manufacturers, and software developers.

Other cost of revenues (which is the cost of revenues excluding TAC) includes the following:

- Content acquisition costs primarily related to payments to content providers from whom we license video and other content for distribution on YouTube advertising and subscription services and Google Play. We pay fees to these content providers based on revenues generated or a flat fee;
• Expenses associated with our data centers and other operations (including bandwidth, compensation expense (including SBC), depreciation, energy, and other equipment costs); and
• Inventory related costs for hardware we sell.

Software Development Costs

We expense software development costs, including costs to develop software products or the software component of products to be sold, leased, or marketed to external users, before technological feasibility is reached. Technological feasibility is typically reached shortly before the release of such products and as a result, development costs that meet the criteria for capitalization were not material for the periods presented.

Software development costs also include costs to develop software to be used solely to meet internal needs and cloud based applications used to deliver our services. We capitalize development costs related to these software applications once the preliminary project stage is complete and it is probable that the project will be completed and the software will be used to perform the function intended. Costs capitalized for developing such software applications were not material for the periods presented.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation primarily consists of Alphabet restricted stock units ("RSUs"). RSUs are equity classified and measured at the fair market value of the underlying stock at the grant date. We recognize RSU expense using the straight-line attribution method over the requisite service period and account for forfeitures as they occur.

For RSUs, shares are issued on the vesting dates net of the applicable statutory tax withholding to be paid by us on behalf of our employees. As a result, fewer shares are issued than the number of RSUs outstanding and the tax withholding is recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital.

Additionally, stock-based compensation also includes other stock-based awards, such as performance stock units ("PSUs") and awards that may be settled in cash or the stock of certain Other Bets. PSUs and certain Other Bet awards are equity classified and expense is recognized over the requisite service period. Certain Other Bet awards are liability classified and remeasured at fair value through settlement. The fair value of Other Bet awards is based on the equity valuation of the respective Other Bet.

Advertising and Promotional Expenses

We expense advertising and promotional costs in the period in which they are incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, advertising and promotional expenses totaled approximately $6.4 billion, $6.8 billion, and $5.4 billion, respectively.

Performance Fees

Performance fees refer to compensation arrangements with payouts based on realized investment returns. We recognize compensation expense based on the estimated payouts, which may result in expense recognized before investment returns are realized. Performance fees, which are primarily related to gains on equity securities, are recorded as a component of other income (expense), net.

Certain Risks and Concentrations

Our revenues are primarily derived from online advertising, the market for which is highly competitive and rapidly changing. In addition, our revenues are generated from a multitude of markets in countries around the world. Significant changes in this industry or changes in customer buying or advertiser spending behavior could adversely affect our operating results.

No individual customer or groups of affiliated customers represented more than 10% of our revenues in 2018, 2019, or 2020. In 2018, 2019, and 2020, we generated approximately 46%, 46%, and 47% of our revenues, respectively, from customers based in the U.S.

We are subject to concentrations of credit risk principally from cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, foreign exchange contracts, and accounts receivable. We manage our credit risk exposure through timely assessment of our counterparty creditworthiness, credit limits and use of collateral management.

Cash equivalents and marketable securities consist primarily of time deposits, money market and other funds, highly liquid debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies, debt instruments issued by foreign governments, debt instruments issued by municipalities in the U.S., corporate debt securities, mortgage-backed securities, and asset-backed...
securities. Foreign exchange contracts are transacted with various financial institutions with high credit standing. Accounts receivable are typically unsecured and are derived from revenues earned from
customers located around the world. We perform ongoing evaluations to determine customer credit and we limit the amount of credit we extend. We generally do not require collateral from our customers.

**Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Our financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis include cash equivalents, marketable securities, derivative contracts, and non-marketable debt securities. Our financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include non-marketable equity securities, which are adjusted to fair value when observable price changes are identified or when the non-marketable equity securities are impaired (referred to as the measurement alternative). Other financial assets and liabilities are carried at cost with fair value disclosed, if required.

Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value are measured and classified in accordance with a three-tier fair value hierarchy based on the observability of the inputs available in the market used to measure fair value:

- **Level 1** - Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- **Level 2** - Inputs that are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant inputs are observable in the market or can be derived from observable market data. Where applicable, these models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using market-based observable inputs including interest rate curves, foreign exchange rates, and credit ratings.
- **Level 3** - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activities.

The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

**Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Marketable Securities**

We invest all excess cash primarily in government bonds, corporate debt securities, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, time deposits, and money market funds.

We classify all marketable debt securities that have stated maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase as cash equivalents and those with stated maturities of greater than three months as marketable securities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We determine the appropriate classification of our investments in marketable debt securities at the time of purchase and reevaluate such designation at each balance sheet date. We have classified and accounted for our marketable debt securities as available-for-sale. After consideration of our risk versus reward objectives, as well as our liquidity requirements, we may sell these debt securities prior to their stated maturities. As we view these securities as available to support current operations, we classify highly liquid securities with maturities beyond 12 months as current assets under the caption marketable securities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. We carry these securities at fair value, and report the unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, as a component of stockholders’ equity, except for the changes in allowance for expected credit losses, which are recorded in other income (expense), net. For certain marketable debt securities we have elected the fair value option, for which changes in fair value are recorded in other income (expense), net. We determine any realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable debt securities on a specific identification method, and we record such gains and losses as a component of other income (expense), net.

Our investments in marketable equity securities are measured at fair value with the related gains and losses, realized and unrealized, recognized in other income (expense), net.

**Accounts Receivable**

Our payment terms for accounts receivable vary by the type and location of our customer and the products or services offered. The term between invoicing and when payment is due is not significant. For certain products or services and customers, we require payment before the products or services are delivered to the customer.
We maintain an allowance for credit losses for accounts receivable, which is recorded as an offset to accounts receivable and changes in such are classified as general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. We assess collectibility by reviewing accounts receivable on a collective basis where similar characteristics exist and on an individual basis when we identify specific customers with known disputes or collectibility issues. In determining the amount of the allowance for credit losses, we consider historical collectibility based on past due status and make judgments about the creditworthiness of customers based on ongoing credit evaluations. We also consider customer-specific information, current market conditions and reasonable and supportable forecasts of future economic conditions to inform adjustments to historical loss data.

For the year ended December 31, 2020, our assessment considered the impact of COVID-19 and estimates of expected credit and collectibility trends. Volatility in market conditions and evolving credit trends are difficult to predict and may cause variability and volatility that may have a material impact on our allowance for credit losses in future periods. The allowance for credit losses on accounts receivable was $275 million and $789 million as of December 31, 2019 and 2020, respectively.

**Inventory**

Inventory consists primarily of finished goods and is stated at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Cost is computed using the first-in, first-out method.

**Non-Marketable Investments**

We account for non-marketable equity investments through which we exercise significant influence but do not have control over the investee under the equity method. Our non-marketable equity securities not accounted for under the equity method are primarily accounted for under the measurement alternative. Under the measurement alternative, the carrying value of our non-marketable equity investments is adjusted to fair value for observable transactions for identical or similar investments of the same issuer or impairment. Adjustments are determined primarily based on a market approach as of the transaction date and are recorded as a component of other income (expense), net.

Non-marketable debt investments are classified as available-for-sale securities.

Non-marketable investments that do not have stated contractual maturity dates are classified as non-current assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**Impairment of Investments**

We periodically review our debt and non-marketable equity investments for impairment.

For debt securities in an unrealized loss position, we determine whether a credit loss exists. The credit loss is estimated by considering available information relevant to the collectibility of the security and information about past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable forecasts. Any credit loss is recorded as a charge to other income (expense), net, not to exceed the amount of the unrealized loss. Unrealized losses other than the credit loss are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”). If we have an intent to sell, or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a debt security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis, we will write down the security to its fair value and record the corresponding charge as a component of other income (expense), net.

For non-marketable equity securities we consider whether impairment indicators exist by evaluating the companies’ financial and liquidity position, access to capital resources and the time since the last adjustment to fair value, among others. If the assessment indicates that the investment is impaired, we write down the investment to its fair value by recording the corresponding charge as a component of other income (expense), net. Fair value is estimated using the best information available, which may include cash flow projections or other available market data.

**Variable Interest Entities**

We determine at the inception of each arrangement whether an entity in which we have made an investment or in which we have other variable interests is considered a variable interest entity (“VIE”). We consolidate VIEs when we are the primary beneficiary. We are the primary beneficiary of a VIE when we have the power to direct activities that most significantly affect the economic performance of the VIE and have the obligation to absorb the majority of their losses or benefits. If we are not the primary beneficiary in a VIE, we account for the investment or other variable interests in a VIE in accordance with applicable GAAP.
Periodically, we assess whether any changes in our interest or relationship with the entity affect our determination of whether the entity is a VIE and, if so, whether we are the primary beneficiary.
Property and Equipment

Property and equipment includes the following categories: land and buildings, information technology assets, construction in progress, leasehold improvements, and furniture and fixtures. Land and buildings include land, offices, data centers and related building improvements. Information technology assets include servers and network equipment. We account for property and equipment at cost less accumulated depreciation. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which we regularly evaluate. We depreciate buildings over periods of seven to 25 years. We depreciate information technology assets generally over periods of three to five years (specifically, three years for servers and three to five years for network equipment).

We depreciate leasehold improvements over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful lives of the assets. Construction in progress is the construction or development of property and equipment that have not yet been placed in service for our intended use. Depreciation for equipment, buildings, and leasehold improvements commences once they are ready for our intended use. Land is not depreciated.

Leases

We determine if an arrangement is a lease at inception. Our lease agreements generally contain lease and non-lease components. Payments under our lease arrangements are primarily fixed. Non-lease components primarily include payments for maintenance and utilities. We combine fixed payments for non-lease components with lease payments and account for them together as a single lease component which increases the amount of our lease assets and liabilities. Certain lease agreements contain variable payments, which are expensed as incurred and not included in the lease assets and liabilities. These amounts include payments affected by the Consumer Price Index, payments contingent on wind or solar production for power purchase arrangements, and payments for maintenance and utilities.

Lease assets and liabilities are recognized at the present value of the future lease payments at the lease commencement date. The interest rate used to determine the present value of the future lease payments is our incremental borrowing rate, because the interest rate implicit in our leases is not readily determinable. Our incremental borrowing rate is estimated to approximate the interest rate on a collateralized basis with similar terms and payments, and in economic environments where the leased asset is located. Our lease terms include periods under options to extend or terminate the lease when it is reasonably certain that we will exercise that option. We generally use the base, non-cancelable, lease term when determining the lease assets and liabilities. Lease assets also include any prepaid lease payments and lease incentives.

Operating lease assets and liabilities are included on our Consolidated Balance Sheet beginning January 1, 2019. The current portion of our operating lease liabilities is included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities and the long term portion is included in operating lease liabilities. Finance lease assets are included in property and equipment, net. Finance lease liabilities are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities or long-term debt.

Operating lease expense (excluding variable lease costs) is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Long-Lived Assets, Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets

We review property and equipment, long-term prepayments and intangible assets, excluding goodwill, for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable. We measure recoverability of these assets by comparing the carrying amounts to the future undiscounted cash flows that the assets or the asset group are expected to generate. If the carrying value of the assets or asset group are not recoverable, the impairment recognized is measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds its fair value. Impairments were not material for the periods presented.

We allocate goodwill to reporting units based on the expected benefit from the business combination. We evaluate our reporting units periodically, as well as when changes in our operating segments occur. For changes in reporting units, we reassigned goodwill using a relative fair value allocation approach. We test our goodwill for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. Goodwill impairments were not material for the periods presented.

Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives. We amortize intangible assets on a straight-line basis with definite lives generally over periods ranging from one to twelve years.
Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method, under which we recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. We measure current and deferred tax assets and liabilities based on provisions of enacted tax law. We evaluate the realization of our deferred tax assets based on all available evidence and establish a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that they will not be realized.

We recognize the financial statement effects of a tax position when it is more likely than not that, based on technical merits, the position will be sustained upon examination. The tax benefits of the position recognized in the financial statements are then measured based on the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely to be realized upon settlement with a taxing authority. In addition, we recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of the income tax provision.

Business Combinations

We include the results of operations of the businesses that we acquire as of the acquisition date. We allocate the purchase price of the acquisitions to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. Acquisition-related expenses are recognized separately from the business combination and are expensed as incurred.

Foreign Currency

Generally, the functional currency of our international subsidiaries is the local currency. We translate the financial statements of these subsidiaries to U.S. dollars using month-end exchange rates for assets and liabilities, and average rates for the annual period derived from month-end exchange rates for revenues, costs, and expenses. We record translation gains and losses in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) as a component of stockholders’ equity. We reflect net foreign exchange transaction gains and losses resulting from the conversion of the transaction currency to functional currency as a component of foreign currency exchange gain (loss) in other income (expense), net.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2016-13 (“ASU 2016-13”) “Financial Instruments-Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments”, which requires the measurement and recognition of expected credit losses for financial assets held at amortized cost. ASU 2016-13 replaces the existing incurred loss impairment model with an expected loss model which requires the use of forward-looking information to calculate credit loss estimates. It also eliminates the concept of other-than-temporary impairment and requires credit losses related to certain available-for-sale debt securities to be recorded through an allowance for credit losses rather than as a reduction in the amortized cost basis of the securities. These changes result in earlier recognition of credit losses. We adopted ASU 2016-13 using the modified retrospective approach as of January 1, 2020. The cumulative effect upon adoption was not material to our consolidated financial statements. See “Impairment of Investments” and "Accounts Receivable" above as well as Note 3 for the effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Prior Period Reclassifications

Certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform with current period presentation. See Note 15 for further details.
Note 2. Revenues

Revenue Recognition

The following table presents our revenues disaggregated by type (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Search &amp; other</td>
<td>$85,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YouTube ads</td>
<td>11,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Network Members’ properties</td>
<td>20,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google advertising</td>
<td>116,461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google other</td>
<td>14,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Services total</td>
<td>130,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Cloud</td>
<td>5,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bets</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedging gains (losses)</td>
<td>(138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>$136,819</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table presents our revenues disaggregated by geography, based on the addresses of our customers (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>$63,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA(1)</td>
<td>44,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APAC(1)</td>
<td>21,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Americas(1)</td>
<td>7,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedging gains (losses)</td>
<td>(138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>$136,819</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Regions represent Europe, the Middle East, and Africa ("EMEA"); Asia-Pacific ("APAC"); and Canada and Latin America ("Other Americas").

Deferred Revenues and Remaining Performance Obligations

We record deferred revenues when cash payments are received or due in advance of our performance, including amounts which are refundable. Deferred revenues primarily relate to Google Cloud and Google other. Our total deferred revenue as of December 31, 2019 was $2.3 billion, of which $1.8 billion was recognized as revenues for the year ending December 31, 2020.

Additionally, we have performance obligations associated with commitments in customer contracts, primarily related to Google Cloud, for future services that have not yet been recognized as revenues, also referred to as remaining performance obligations. Remaining performance obligations include related deferred revenue currently recorded as well as amounts that will be invoiced in future periods, and excludes (i) contracts with an original expected term of one year or less, (ii) cancellable contracts, and (iii) contracts for which we recognize revenue at the amount to which we have the right to invoice for services performed. As of December 31, 2020, the amount not yet recognized as revenues from these commitments is $29.8 billion. We expect to recognize approximately half over the next 24 months with the remaining thereafter. However, the amount and timing of revenue recognition is largely driven by when the customer utilizes the services and our ability to deliver in accordance with relevant contract terms, which could impact our estimate of the remaining performance obligations and when we expect to recognize such as revenues.
Note 3. Financial Instruments

Debt Securities

We classify our marketable debt securities, which are accounted for as available-for-sale, within Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy because we use quoted market prices to the extent available or alternative pricing sources and models utilizing market observable inputs to determine fair value.

For certain marketable debt securities, we have elected the fair value option for which changes in fair value are recorded in other income (expense), net. The fair value option was elected for these securities to align with the unrealized gains and losses from related derivative contracts. Unrealized net gains related to debt securities still held where we have elected the fair value option were $87 million as of December 31, 2020. As of December 31, 2020, the fair value of these debt securities was $2 billion. Balances as of December 31, 2019 were not material.

The following tables summarize our debt securities, for which we did not elect the fair value option, by significant investment categories as of December 31, 2019 and 2020 (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted Cost</td>
<td>Gross Unrealized Gains</td>
<td>Gross Unrealized Losses</td>
<td>Fair Value</td>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>Marketable Securities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time deposits&lt;sup&gt;(1)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>$ 2,294</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
<td>$ 2,294</td>
<td>$ 2,294</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government bonds</td>
<td>55,033</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>55,437</td>
<td>4,518</td>
<td>50,919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate debt securities</td>
<td>27,164</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>27,498</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>27,454</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities</td>
<td>19,453</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>(41)</td>
<td>19,508</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19,508</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 103,944</td>
<td>$ 867</td>
<td>$ (74)</td>
<td>$ 104,737</td>
<td>$ 6,856</td>
<td>$ 97,881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                                | As of December 31, 2020 |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |
|                                | Adjusted Cost           | Gross Unrealized Gains    | Gross Unrealized Losses   | Fair Value               | Cash and Cash Equivalents | Marketable Securities    |                           |
| Level 2:                       |                         |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| Time deposits<sup>(1)</sup>    | $ 3,564                 | $ 0                       | $ 0                       | $ 3,564                  | $ 3,564                   | $ 0                       |                           |
| Government bonds               | 55,156                  | 793                       | (9)                       | 55,940                   | 2,527                     | 53,413                    |                           |
| Corporate debt securities      | 31,521                  | 704                       | (2)                       | 32,223                   | 8                         | 32,215                    |                           |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 16,767 | 364 | (7) | 17,124 | 0 | 17,124 |                           |
| Total                          | $ 107,008               | $ 1,861                   | $ (18)                    | $ 108,851                | $ 6,099                   | $ 102,752                 |                           |

<sup>(1)</sup> The majority of our time deposits are domestic deposits.

We determine realized gains or losses on the sale or extinguishment of debt securities on a specific identification method. We recognized gross realized gains of $1.3 billion, $292 million, and $899 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. We recognized gross realized losses of $143 million, $143 million, and $184 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively. We reflect these gains and losses as a component of other income (expense), net.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of our investments in marketable debt securities by stated contractual maturity dates (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Due in 1 year or less</td>
<td>$ 19,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due in 1 year through 5 years</td>
<td>69,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due in 5 years through 10 years</td>
<td>2,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due after 10 years</td>
<td>13,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 104,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following tables present fair values and gross unrealized losses recorded to AOCI as of December 31, 2019 and 2020, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Category</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 12 Months</td>
<td>12 Months or Greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair Value</td>
<td>Unrealized Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government bonds</td>
<td>$6,752</td>
<td>$(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate debt securities</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities</td>
<td>4,536</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$12,953</td>
<td>$(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,516</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate debt securities</td>
<td>1,999</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$8,444</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the years ended December 31, 2018, and 2019 we did not recognize any significant other-than-temporary impairment losses. During the year ended December 31, 2020, with the adoption of ASU 2016-13, we did not recognize significant credit losses and the ending allowance for credit losses was immaterial. See Note 7 for further details on other income (expense), net.

Equity Investments

The following discusses our marketable equity securities, non-marketable equity securities, gains and losses on marketable and non-marketable equity securities, as well as our equity securities accounted for under the equity method.

Our marketable equity securities are publicly traded stocks or funds measured at fair value and classified within Level 1 and 2 in the fair value hierarchy because we use quoted prices for identical assets in active markets or inputs that are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets.

Our non-marketable equity securities are investments in privately held companies without readily determinable market values. The carrying value of our non-marketable equity securities is adjusted to fair value for observable transactions for identical or similar investments of the same issuer or impairment (referred to as the measurement alternative). Non-marketable equity securities that have been remeasured during the period based on observable transactions are classified within Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy because we estimate the value based on valuation methods which may include a combination of the observable transaction price at the transaction date and other unobservable inputs including volatility, rights, and obligations of the securities we hold. The fair value of non-marketable equity securities that have been remeasured due to impairment are classified within Level 3.

Gains and losses on marketable and non-marketable equity securities

Gains and losses reflected in other income (expense), net, for our marketable and non-marketable equity securities are summarized below (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net gain (loss) on equity securities sold during the period</td>
<td>$ (301)</td>
<td>$ 1,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net unrealized gain (loss) on equity securities held as of the end of the period</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>4,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gain (loss) recognized in other income (expense), net</td>
<td>$ 2,649</td>
<td>$ 5,592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the table above, net gain (loss) on equity securities sold during the period reflects the difference between the sale proceeds and the carrying value of the equity securities at the beginning of the period or the purchase date, if later.

Cumulative net gains (losses) on equity securities sold during the period, which is summarized in the following table (in millions), represents the total net gains (losses) recognized after the initial purchase date of the equity security. While these net gains may have been reflected in periods prior to the period of sale, we believe they are important supplemental information as they reflect the economic realized net gains on the securities sold during the period. Cumulative net gains are calculated as the difference between the sale price and the initial purchase price for the equity security sold during the period.

### Equity Securities Sold During the Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sale price</td>
<td>$3,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total initial cost</td>
<td>$858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative net gains (losses)</td>
<td>$2,276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Carrying value of marketable and non-marketable equity securities

The carrying value is measured as the total initial cost plus the cumulative net gain (loss). The carrying values for our marketable and non-marketable equity securities are summarized below (in millions):

#### As of December 31, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Marketable Equity Securities</th>
<th>Non-Marketable Equity Securities</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total initial cost</td>
<td>$1,935</td>
<td>$8,297</td>
<td>$10,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative net gain (loss)</td>
<td>$1,361</td>
<td>$3,056</td>
<td>$4,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying value</td>
<td>$3,296</td>
<td>$11,353</td>
<td>$14,649</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Non-marketable equity securities cumulative net gain (loss) is comprised of $3.5 billion unrealized gains and $445 million unrealized losses (including impairment).

#### As of December 31, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Marketable Equity Securities</th>
<th>Non-Marketable Equity Securities</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total initial cost</td>
<td>$2,227</td>
<td>$14,616</td>
<td>$16,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative net gain (loss)</td>
<td>$3,631</td>
<td>$4,277</td>
<td>$7,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying value</td>
<td>$5,858</td>
<td>$18,893</td>
<td>$24,751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Non-marketable equity securities cumulative net gain (loss) is comprised of $6.1 billion unrealized gains and $1.9 billion unrealized losses (including impairment).

(2) The long-term portion of marketable equity securities of $429 million is included in other non-current assets.
**Marketable equity securities**

The following table summarizes marketable equity securities measured at fair value by significant investment categories as of December 31, 2019 and 2020 (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>Marketable Equity Securities</td>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>Marketable Equity Securities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market funds</td>
<td>$ 4,604</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
<td>$ 12,210</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable equity securities$^{(1)(2)}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,046</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 4,604</td>
<td>$ 3,046</td>
<td>$ 12,210</td>
<td>$ 5,470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The balance as of December 31, 2019 and 2020 includes investments that were reclassified from non-marketable equity securities following the commencement of public market trading of the issuers or acquisition by public entities. As of December 31, 2020 certain investments are subject to short-term lock-up restrictions.

(2) As of December 31, 2020 the long-term portion of marketable equity securities of $429 million is included within other non-current assets.

**Non-marketable equity securities**

The following is a summary of unrealized gains and losses recorded in other income (expense), net, and included as adjustments to the carrying value of non-marketable equity securities (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized gains</td>
<td>$ 2,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized losses (including impairment)</td>
<td>(372)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total unrealized gain (loss) for non-marketable equity securities</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,791</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the year ended December 31, 2020, included in the $18.9 billion of non-marketable equity securities, $9.7 billion were measured at fair value resulting in a net unrealized gain of $1.5 billion.

**Equity securities accounted for under the Equity Method**

As of December 31, 2019 and 2020, equity securities accounted for under the equity method had a carrying value of approximately $1.3 billion and $1.4 billion, respectively. Our share of gains and losses including impairment are included as a component of other income (expense), net, in the Consolidated Statements of Income. See Note 7 for further details on other income (expense), net.

**Derivative Financial Instruments**

We enter into derivative instruments to manage risks relating to our ongoing business operations. The primary risk managed with derivative instruments is foreign exchange risk. We use foreign currency contracts to reduce the risk that our cash flows, earnings, and investment in foreign subsidiaries will be adversely affected by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. We also enter into derivative instruments to partially offset our exposure to other risks and enhance investment returns.

We recognize derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value and classify the derivatives primarily within Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. We present our collar contracts (an option strategy comprised of a combination of purchased and written options) at net fair values where both the purchased and written options are with the same counterparty. For other derivative contracts, we present at gross fair values. We primarily record changes in the fair value in the Consolidated Statements of Income as either other income (expense), net, or revenues, or in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in AOCI, as discussed below.

We enter into master netting arrangements, which reduce credit risk by permitting net settlement of transactions with the same counterparty. Further, we enter into collateral security arrangements that provide for collateral to be received or pledged when the net fair value of certain financial instruments fluctuates from contractually established thresholds. Cash
collateral received related to derivative instruments under our collateral security arrangements are included in other current assets with a corresponding liability. Cash and non-cash
collateral pledged related to derivative instruments under our collateral security arrangements are included in other current assets.

**Cash Flow Hedges**

We designate foreign currency forward and option contracts (including collars) as cash flow hedges to hedge certain forecasted revenue transactions denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. These contracts have maturities of 24 months or less.

Cash flow hedge amounts included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness are deferred in AOCI and subsequently reclassified to revenue when the hedged item is recognized in earnings. We exclude the change in forward points and time value from our assessment of hedge effectiveness. The initial value of the excluded component is amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the hedging instrument and recognized in revenues. The difference between fair value changes of the excluded component and the amount amortized to revenues is recorded in AOCI. If the hedged transactions become probable of not occurring, the corresponding amounts in AOCI are reclassified to other income (expense), net in the period of de-designation.

As of December 31, 2020, the net accumulated loss on our foreign currency cash flow hedges before tax effect was $124 million, which is expected to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings within the next 12 months.

**Fair Value Hedges**

We designate foreign currency forward contracts as fair value hedges to hedge foreign currency risks for our investments denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Fair value hedge amounts included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness are recognized in other income (expense), net, along with the offsetting gains and losses of the related hedged items. We exclude changes in forward points from the assessment of hedge effectiveness and recognize changes in the excluded component in other income (expense), net.

**Net Investment Hedges**

We designate foreign currency forward contracts as net investment hedges to hedge the foreign currency risks related to our investment in foreign subsidiaries. Net investment hedge amounts included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness are recognized in AOCI along with the foreign currency translation adjustment. We exclude changes in forward points from the assessment of hedge effectiveness and recognize changes in the excluded component in other income (expense), net.

**Other Derivatives**

Other derivatives not designated as hedging instruments consist primarily of foreign currency forward contracts that we use to hedge intercompany transactions and other monetary assets or liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of a subsidiary. Gains and losses on these contracts, as well as the related costs, are recognized in other income (expense), net, along with the foreign currency gains and losses on monetary assets and liabilities.

We also use derivatives not designated as hedging instruments to manage risks relating to interest rates, equity and commodity prices, credit exposures and to enhance investment returns.

The gross notional amounts of our outstanding derivative instruments were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flow hedges</td>
<td>$ 13,207</td>
<td>$ 10,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value hedges</td>
<td>$ 455</td>
<td>$ 1,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment hedges</td>
<td>$ 9,318</td>
<td>$ 9,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>$ 43,497</td>
<td>$ 39,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contracts</td>
<td>$ 117</td>
<td>$ 2,399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The fair values of our outstanding derivative instruments were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance Sheet Location</th>
<th>Fair Value of Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments</th>
<th>Fair Value of Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments</th>
<th>Total Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivative Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>Other current and non-current assets</td>
<td>$ 91</td>
<td>$ 253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contracts</td>
<td>Other current and non-current assets</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 91</td>
<td>$ 254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivative Liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>Accrued expenses and other liabilities, current and non-current</td>
<td>$ 173</td>
<td>$ 196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contracts</td>
<td>Accrued expenses and other liabilities, current and non-current</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 173</td>
<td>$ 209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance Sheet Location</th>
<th>Fair Value of Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments</th>
<th>Fair Value of Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments</th>
<th>Total Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivative Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>Other current and non-current assets</td>
<td>$ 33</td>
<td>$ 316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contracts</td>
<td>Other current and non-current assets</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 33</td>
<td>$ 332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivative Liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td>Accrued expenses and other liabilities, current and non-current</td>
<td>$ 395</td>
<td>$ 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contracts</td>
<td>Accrued expenses and other liabilities, current and non-current</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 395</td>
<td>$ 1,127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The gains (losses) on derivatives in cash flow hedging and net investment hedging relationships recognized in other comprehensive income ("OCI") are summarized below (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gains (Losses) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives Before Tax Effect</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount included in the assessment of effectiveness</td>
<td>$332</td>
<td>$38</td>
<td>$102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount excluded from the assessment of effectiveness</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivatives in Net Investment Hedging Relationship:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount included in the assessment of effectiveness</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>(851)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$494</td>
<td>$155</td>
<td>$(786)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The effect of derivative instruments on income is summarized below (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income</th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>Revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total amounts presented in the Consolidated Statements of Income in which the effects of cash flow and fair value hedges are recorded

$ 136,819 $ 7,389 $ 161,857 $ 5,394 $ 182,527 $ 6,858

Gains (Losses) on Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship:

Foreign exchange contracts

- Amount of gains (losses) reclassified from AOCI to income $ (139) $ 0 $ 367 $ 0 $ 144 $ 0
- Amount excluded from the assessment of effectiveness recognized in earnings based on an amortization approach 1 0 88 0 33 0

Gains (Losses) on Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationship:

Foreign exchange contracts

- Hedged items 0 (96) 0 (19) 0 18
- Derivatives designated as hedging instruments 0 96 0 19 0 (18)
- Amount excluded from the assessment of effectiveness 0 37 0 25 0 4

Gains (Losses) on Derivatives in Net Investment Hedging Relationship:

Foreign exchange contracts

- Amount excluded from the assessment of effectiveness 0 78 0 243 0 151

Gains (Losses) on Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:

Foreign exchange contracts 0 54 0 (413) 0 718
Other Contracts 0 0 0 0 0 (906)

Total gains (losses) $ (138) $ 169 $ 455 $ (145) $ 177 $ (33)

Offsetting of Derivatives

The gross amounts of our derivative instruments subject to master netting arrangements with various counterparties, and cash and non-cash collateral received and pledged under such agreements were as follows (in millions):
Offsetting of Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets</th>
<th>Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets</th>
<th>Net Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets</th>
<th>Financial Instruments</th>
<th>Cash Collateral Received</th>
<th>Non-Cash Collateral Received</th>
<th>Net Assets Exposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Derivatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As of December 31, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, but Have Legal Rights to Offset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 366</td>
<td>$ (21)</td>
<td>$ 345</td>
<td>$ (88) (1)</td>
<td>$ (234)</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 397</td>
<td>$ (32)</td>
<td>$ 365</td>
<td>$ (295) (1)</td>
<td>$ (16)</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (1) The balances as of December 31, 2019 and 2020 were related to derivative liabilities which are allowed to be net settled against derivative assets in accordance with our master netting agreements.

Offsetting of Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities</th>
<th>Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets</th>
<th>Net Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets</th>
<th>Financial Instruments</th>
<th>Cash Collateral Pledged</th>
<th>Non-Cash Collateral Pledged</th>
<th>Net Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Derivatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As of December 31, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, but Have Legal Rights to Offset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 403</td>
<td>$ (21)</td>
<td>$ 382</td>
<td>$ (88) (2)</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
<td>$ 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (2) The balances as of December 31, 2019 and 2020 were related to derivative assets which are allowed to be net settled against derivative liabilities in accordance with our master netting agreements.

Note 4. Leases

We have entered into operating and finance lease agreements primarily for data centers, land and offices throughout the world with lease periods expiring between 2021 and 2063.

Components of operating lease expense were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating lease cost</td>
<td>$ 1,820</td>
<td>$ 2,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable lease cost</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operating lease cost</td>
<td>$ 2,361</td>
<td>$ 2,886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental information related to operating leases is as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash payments for operating leases</td>
<td>$1,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New operating lease assets obtained in exchange for operating lease liabilities</td>
<td>$4,391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31, 2020, our operating leases had a weighted average remaining lease term of 9 years and a weighted average discount rate of 2.6%. Future lease payments under operating leases as of December 31, 2020 were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Payment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$2,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>1,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>1,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>1,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>5,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total future lease payments</td>
<td>15,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less imputed interest</td>
<td>(2,251)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lease liability balance</td>
<td>$12,840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31, 2020, we have entered into leases that have not yet commenced with future lease payments of $8.0 billion, excluding purchase options, that are not yet recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. These leases will commence between 2021 and 2026 with non-cancelable lease terms of 1 to 25 years.

Note 5. Variable Interest Entities

Consolidated VIEs

We consolidate VIEs in which we hold a variable interest and are the primary beneficiary. The results of operations and financial position of these VIEs are included in our consolidated financial statements.

For certain consolidated VIEs, their assets are not available to us and their creditors do not have recourse to us. As of December 31, 2019 and 2020, assets that can only be used to settle obligations of these VIEs were $3.1 billion and $5.7 billion, respectively, and the liabilities for which creditors only have recourse to the VIEs were $1.2 billion and $2.3 billion, respectively.

Total noncontrolling interests ("NCI"), including redeemable noncontrolling interests ("RNCI"), in our consolidated subsidiaries increased from $1.2 billion to $3.9 billion from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2020, primarily due to external investments in Waymo. NCI and RNCI are included within additional paid-in capital. Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests was not material for any period presented and is included within other income (expense), net.

Waymo

Waymo is an autonomous driving technology development company with a mission to make it safe and easy for people and things to get where they're going. In the first half of 2020, Waymo completed an externally led investment round raising in total $3.2 billion, which includes investment from Alphabet. No gain or loss was recognized. The investments related to external parties were accounted for as equity transactions and resulted in recognition of noncontrolling interests.

Unconsolidated VIEs

We have investments in some VIEs in which we are not the primary beneficiary. These VIEs include private companies that are primarily early stage companies and certain renewable energy entities in which activities involve power generation using renewable sources.

We have determined that the governance structures of these entities do not allow us to direct the activities that would significantly affect their economic performance. Therefore, we are not the primary beneficiary, and the results of operations and financial position of these VIEs are not included in our consolidated financial statements. We account for these investments as non-marketable equity investments or equity method investments.
VIEs are generally based on the current carrying value of the investments and any future funding commitments. We have determined that the single source of our exposure to these VIEs is our capital investments in them. The carrying value and maximum exposure of these unconsolidated VIEs were not material as of December 31, 2019 and 2020.

**Note 6. Debt**

**Short-Term Debt**

We have a debt financing program of up to $5.0 billion through the issuance of commercial paper. Net proceeds from this program are used for general corporate purposes. We had no commercial paper outstanding as of December 31, 2019 and 2020.

Our short-term debt balance also includes the current portion of certain long-term debt.

**Long-Term Debt**

In August 2020, Alphabet issued $10.0 billion of fixed-rate senior unsecured notes in six tranches (collectively, “2020 Notes”): $1.0 billion due in 2025, $1.0 billion due in 2027, $2.25 billion due in 2030, $1.25 billion due in 2040, $2.5 billion due in 2050 and $2.0 billion due in 2060. The 2020 Notes had a weighted average duration of 21.5 years and weighted average coupon rate of 1.57%. Of the total issuance, $5.75 billion was designated as Sustainability Bonds, the net proceeds of which are used to fund environmentally and socially responsible projects in the following eight areas: energy efficiency, clean energy, green buildings, clean transportation, circular economy and design, affordable housing, commitment to racial equity, and support for small businesses and COVID-19 crisis response. The remaining net proceeds are used for general corporate purposes.

The total outstanding debt is summarized below (in millions, except percentages):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debt</th>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>Coupon Rate</th>
<th>Effective Interest Rate</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011-2016 Notes Issuances</td>
<td>2021 - 2026</td>
<td>2.00% - 3.63%</td>
<td>2.23% - 3.73%</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Notes Issuance</td>
<td>2025 - 2060</td>
<td>0.45% - 2.25%</td>
<td>0.57% - 2.33%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future finance lease payments, net(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>711</td>
<td>1,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total debt</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4,711</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,201</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unamortized discount and debt issuance costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(42)</td>
<td>(169)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Current portion of Notes(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Current portion future finance lease payments, net(1)(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(115)</td>
<td>(101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total long-term debt</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$4,554</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,932</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Net of imputed interest.
(2) Total current portion of long-term debt is included within other accrued expenses and current liabilities. See Note 7.

The notes in the table above are comprised of fixed-rate senior unsecured obligations and generally rank equally with each other. We may redeem the notes at any time in whole or in part at specified redemption prices. The effective interest rates are based on proceeds received with interest payable semi-annually.

The total estimated fair value of the outstanding notes, including the current portion, was approximately $4.1 billion and $14.0 billion as of December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2020, respectively. The fair value was determined based on observable market prices of identical instruments in less active markets and is categorized accordingly as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.
As of December 31, 2020, the aggregate future principal payments for long-term debt, including finance lease liabilities, for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>1,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>1,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>11,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 15,319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Credit Facility**

As of December 31, 2020, we have $4.0 billion of revolving credit facilities which expire in July 2023. The interest rate for the credit facilities is determined based on a formula using certain market rates. No amounts were outstanding under the credit facilities as of December 31, 2019 and 2020.

**Note 7. Supplemental Financial Statement Information**

**Property and Equipment, Net**

Property and equipment, net, consisted of the following (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land and buildings</td>
<td>$ 39,865</td>
<td>$ 49,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information technology assets</td>
<td>36,840</td>
<td>45,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in progress</td>
<td>21,036</td>
<td>23,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leasehold improvements</td>
<td>6,310</td>
<td>7,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and fixtures</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104,207</td>
<td>126,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>(30,561)</td>
<td>(41,713)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment, net</td>
<td>$ 73,646</td>
<td>$ 84,749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accrued expenses and other current liabilities**

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of the following (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Commission fines(1)</td>
<td>$ 9,405</td>
<td>$ 10,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued customer liabilities</td>
<td>2,245</td>
<td>3,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued purchases of property and equipment</td>
<td>2,411</td>
<td>2,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current operating lease liabilities</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>1,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other accrued expenses and current liabilities</td>
<td>7,807</td>
<td>11,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued expenses and other current liabilities</td>
<td>$ 23,067</td>
<td>$ 28,631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes the effects of foreign exchange and interest. See Note 10 for further details.
### Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of AOCI, net of tax, were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments</th>
<th>Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Available-for-Sale Investments</th>
<th>Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Cash Flow Hedges</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance as of December 31, 2017</td>
<td>$ (1,103)</td>
<td>$ 233</td>
<td>$ (992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(98)</td>
<td>(98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications</td>
<td>(781)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness recorded in AOCI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts reclassified from AOCI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(911)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>(781)</td>
<td>(823)</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance as of December 31, 2018</td>
<td>(1,884)</td>
<td>(688)</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative effect of accounting change</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications</td>
<td>(119)</td>
<td>1,611</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness recorded in AOCI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts reclassified from AOCI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>(299)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>(119)</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>(277)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance as of December 31, 2019</td>
<td>(2,003)</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>(41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications</td>
<td>1,139</td>
<td>1,313</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness recorded in AOCI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts reclassified from AOCI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(513)</td>
<td>(116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>1,139</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>(74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance as of December 31, 2020</td>
<td>$ (864)</td>
<td>$ 1,612</td>
<td>$ (115)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effects on net income of amounts reclassified from AOCI were as follows (in millions):

| Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCI to the Consolidated Statements of Income |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments |
| Other income (expense), net benefit (provision) for income taxes |
| $ 1,190 | $ 149 | $ 650 |
| Benefit (provision) for income taxes |
| (279) | (38) | (137) |
| Net of tax |
| 911 | 111 | 513 |
| Foreign exchange contracts |
| Revenue |
| (139) | 367 | 144 |
| Interest rate contracts |
| Other income (expense), net benefit (provision) for income taxes |
| 6 |
| 6 |
| Net of tax |
| 35 | (74) | (34) |
| 98 | 299 | 116 |
| Total amount reclassified, net of tax |
| $ 813 | $ 410 | $ 629 |
Other Income (Expense), Net

The components of other income (expense), net, were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>$1,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense(^{(1)})</td>
<td>(114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency exchange gain (loss), net(^{(2)})</td>
<td>(80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (loss) on debt securities, net(^{(3)})</td>
<td>1,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (loss) on equity securities, net</td>
<td>5,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance fees</td>
<td>(1,203)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income (loss) and impairment from equity method investments, net</td>
<td>(120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other(^{(4)})</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income (expense), net</td>
<td>$7,389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Interest expense is net of interest capitalized of $92 million, $167 million, and $218 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively.

\(^{(2)}\) Our foreign currency exchange gain (loss), net, is primarily related to the forward points for our foreign currency hedging contracts and foreign exchange transaction gains and losses from the conversion of the transaction currency to the functional currency, offset by the foreign currency hedging contracts' losses and gains.

\(^{(3)}\) During the year ended December 31, 2018, the terms of a non-marketable debt security were modified resulting in an unrealized $1.3 billion gain.

\(^{(4)}\) During the year ended December 31, 2020, we entered into derivatives that hedged the changes in fair value of certain marketable equity securities, which resulted in a $902 million loss. The offsetting recognized gains on the marketable equity securities are reflected in Gain (loss) on equity securities, net.

Note 8. Acquisitions

2020 Acquisitions

During the year ended December 31, 2020, we completed acquisitions and purchases of intangible assets for total consideration of approximately $744 million, net of cash acquired. In aggregate, $248 million was attributed to intangible assets, $446 million to goodwill and $50 million to net assets acquired. These acquisitions generally enhance the breadth and depth of our offerings and expand our expertise in engineering and other functional areas.

Pro forma results of operations for these acquisitions have not been presented because they are not material to the consolidated results of operations, either individually or in the aggregate.

For all intangible assets acquired and purchased during the year ended December 31, 2020, patents and developed technology have a weighted-average useful life of 4.1 years, customer relationships have a weighted-average useful life of 4.7 years, and trade names and other have a weighted-average useful life of 4.6 years.

Acquisition of Fitbit

In January 2021, we closed the acquisition of Fitbit, a leading wearables brand for $2.1 billion.
Note 9. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2020 were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Google</th>
<th>Google Services</th>
<th>Google Cloud</th>
<th>Other Bets</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance as of December 31, 2018</td>
<td>$17,521</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$367</td>
<td>$17,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>2,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation and other adjustments</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(130)</td>
<td>(92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance as of December 31, 2019</td>
<td>19,921</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>20,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation and other adjustments</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation in the fourth quarter of 2020(1)</td>
<td>(20,171)</td>
<td>18,408</td>
<td>1,763</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency translation and other adjustments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance as of December 31, 2020</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$18,517</td>
<td>$1,957</td>
<td>$701</td>
<td>$21,175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Represents reallocation of goodwill as a result of our change in segments in the fourth quarter of 2020. See Note 15 for further details.

Other Intangible Assets

Information regarding purchased intangible assets were as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>Gross Carrying Amount</th>
<th>Accumulated Amortization</th>
<th>Net Carrying Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patents and developed technology</td>
<td>$4,972</td>
<td>$3,570</td>
<td>$1,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer relationships</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade names and other</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$5,929</td>
<td>$3,950</td>
<td>$1,979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Carrying Amount</th>
<th>Accumulated Amortization</th>
<th>Net Carrying Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patents and developed technology</td>
<td>$4,639</td>
<td>$3,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer relationships</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade names and other</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$5,604</td>
<td>$4,159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patents and developed technology, customer relationships, and trade names and other have weighted-average remaining useful lives of 1.6 years, 4.9 years, and 2.1 years, respectively.

Amortization expense relating to purchased intangible assets was $865 million, $795 million, and $774 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively.
As of December 31, 2020, expected amortization expense relating to purchased intangible assets for each of the next five years and thereafter is as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Expense (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>$78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>$116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong>: $1,445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies**

**Purchase Obligations**

As of December 31, 2020, we had $10.7 billion of other non-cancelable contractual obligations, primarily related to data center operations and build-outs, digital media content licensing, information technology assets and purchases of inventory.

**Indemnifications**

In the normal course of business, to facilitate transactions in our services and products, we indemnify certain parties, including advertisers, Google Network Members, customers of Google Cloud offerings, and lessors with respect to certain matters. We have agreed to hold certain parties harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations or covenants, or out of intellectual property infringement or other claims made against certain parties. Several of these agreements limit the time within which an indemnification claim can be made and the amount of the claim. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our officers and directors, and our bylaws contain similar indemnification obligations to our agents.

It is not possible to make a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Additionally, we have a limited history of prior indemnification claims and the payments we have made under such agreements have not had a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows, or financial position. However, to the extent that valid indemnification claims arise in the future, future payments by us could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows in a particular period.

As of December 31, 2020, we did not have any material indemnification claims that were probable or reasonably possible.

**Legal Matters**

**Antitrust Investigations**

On November 30, 2010, the EC's Directorate General for Competition opened an investigation into various antitrust-related complaints against us.

On June 27, 2017, the EC announced its decision that certain actions taken by Google regarding its display and ranking of shopping search results and ads infringed European competition law. The EC decision imposed a €2.4 billion ($2.7 billion as of June 27, 2017) fine. On September 11, 2017, we appealed the EC decision and on September 27, 2017, we implemented product changes to bring shopping ads into compliance with the EC's decision. We recognized a charge of $2.7 billion for the fine in the second quarter of 2017.

On July 18, 2018, the EC announced its decision that certain provisions in Google’s Android-related distribution agreements infringed European competition law. The EC decision imposed a €4.3 billion ($5.1 billion as of March 20, 2019) fine and directed the termination of the conduct at issue. On October 29, 2018, we implemented changes to certain of our Android distribution practices. We recognized a charge of $5.1 billion for the fine in the second quarter of 2018.

On March 20, 2019, the EC announced its decision that certain contractual provisions in agreements that Google had with AdSense for Search partners infringed European competition law. The EC decision imposed a fine of €1.5 billion ($1.7 billion as of March 20, 2019) and directed actions related to AdSense for Search partners' agreements, which we
implemented prior to the decision. On June 4, 2019, we appealed the EC decision. We recognized a charge of $1.7 billion for the fine in the first quarter of 2019.
While each EC decision is under appeal, we included the fines in accrued expenses and other current liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as we provided bank guarantees (in lieu of a cash payment) for the fines.

From time to time we are subject to formal and informal inquiries and investigations on competition matters by regulatory authorities in the United States, Europe, and other jurisdictions. For example, in August 2019, we began receiving civil investigative demands from the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") requesting information and documents relating to our prior antitrust investigations and certain aspects of our business. The DOJ and a number of state Attorneys General filed a lawsuit on October 20, 2020 alleging that Google violated U.S. antitrust laws relating to Search and Search advertising. Separately, on December 16, 2020, a number of state Attorneys General filed an antitrust complaint against Google in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, alleging that Google violated U.S. antitrust laws as well as state deceptive trade laws relating to its advertising technology. We believe these complaints are without merit and will defend ourselves vigorously. The DOJ and state Attorneys General continue their investigations into certain aspects of our business. We continue to cooperate with federal and state regulators in the United States, and other regulators around the world.

**Patent and Intellectual Property Claims**

We have had patent, copyright, trade secret, and trademark infringement lawsuits filed against us claiming that certain of our products, services, and technologies infringe others' intellectual property rights. Adverse results in these lawsuits may include awards of substantial monetary damages, costly royalty or licensing agreements, or orders preventing us from offering certain features, functionalities, products, or services. As a result, we may have to change our business practices, and develop non-infringing products or technologies, which could result in a loss of revenues for us and otherwise harm our business. In addition, the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") has increasingly become an important forum to litigate intellectual property disputes because an ultimate loss in an ITC action can result in a prohibition on importing infringing products into the U.S. Because the U.S. is an important market, a prohibition on importation could have an adverse effect on us, including preventing us from importing many important products into the U.S. or necessitating workarounds that may limit certain features of our products.

Furthermore, many of our agreements with our customers and partners require us to indemnify them against certain intellectual property infringement claims, which would increase our costs as a result of defending such claims, and may require that we pay significant damages if there were an adverse ruling in any such claims. In addition, our customers and partners may discontinue the use of our products, services, and technologies, as a result of injunctions or otherwise, which could result in loss of revenues and adversely affect our business.

In 2010, Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle") brought a copyright lawsuit against Google in the Northern District of California, alleging that Google's Android operating system infringes Oracle's copyrights related to certain Java application programming interfaces. After trial, final judgment was entered by the district court in favor of Google on June 8, 2016, and the court decided post-trial motions in favor of Google. Oracle appealed and on March 27, 2018, the appeals court reversed and remanded the case for a trial on damages. On May 29, 2018, we filed a petition for a rehearing at the Federal Circuit, and on August 28, 2018, the Federal Circuit denied the petition. On January 24, 2019, we filed a petition to the Supreme Court of the United States to review this case. On April 29, 2019, the Supreme Court requested the views of the Solicitor General regarding our petition. On September 27, 2019, the Solicitor General recommended denying our petition, and we provided our response on October 16, 2019. On November 15, 2019, the Supreme Court granted our petition and made a decision to review the case. The Supreme Court heard oral arguments in our case on October 7, 2020. If the Supreme Court does not rule in our favor, the case will be remanded to the district court for further determination of the remaining issues in the case, including damages, if any. We believe this lawsuit is without merit and are defending ourselves vigorously. Given the nature of this case, we are unable to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss, if any, arising from this matter.

**Other**

We are also regularly subject to claims, suits, regulatory and government investigations, and other proceedings involving competition, intellectual property, privacy, tax and related compliance, labor and employment, commercial disputes, content generated by our users, goods and services offered by advertisers or publishers using our platforms, personal injury, consumer protection, and other matters. Such claims, suits, regulatory and government investigations, and other proceedings could result in substantial fines and penalties, injunctive relief, ongoing auditing and monitoring obligations, changes to our products and services, alterations to our business models and operations, and collateral related civil litigation or other adverse consequences, all of which could harm our business, reputation, financial condition, and operating results.
Certain of these outstanding matters include speculative, substantial or indeterminate monetary amounts. We record a liability when we believe that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If we determine that a loss is reasonably possible and the loss or range of loss can be estimated, we
disclose the reasonably possible loss. We evaluate developments in our legal matters that could affect the amount of 
liability that has been previously accrued, and the matters and related reasonably possible losses disclosed, and make 
adjustments as appropriate. Significant judgment is required to determine both likelihood of there being and the estimated 
amount of a loss related to such matters.

With respect to our outstanding matters, based on our current knowledge, we believe that the amount or range of 
reasonably possible loss will not, either individually or in aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our business, 
consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. However, the outcome of such matters is inherently 
unpredictable and subject to significant uncertainties.

We expense legal fees in the period in which they are incurred.

Non-Income Taxes

We are under audit by various domestic and foreign tax authorities with regards to non-income tax matters. The 
subject matter of non-income tax audits primarily arises from disputes on the tax treatment and tax rate applied to the sale 
of our products and services in these jurisdictions and the tax treatment of certain employee benefits. We accrue non-
income taxes that may result from examinations by, or any negotiated agreements with, these tax authorities when a loss 
is probable and reasonably estimable. If we determine that a loss is reasonably possible and the loss or range of loss can 
be estimated, we disclose the reasonably possible loss. Due to the inherent complexity and uncertainty of these matters 
and judicial process in certain jurisdictions, the final outcome may be materially different from our expectations.

For information regarding income tax contingencies, see Note 14.

Note 11. Stockholders' Equity

Convertible Preferred Stock

Our Board of Directors has authorized 100 million shares of convertible preferred stock, $0.001 par value, issuable in 
series. As of December 31, 2019 and 2020, no shares were issued or outstanding.

Class A and Class B Common Stock and Class C Capital Stock

Our Board of Directors has authorized three classes of stock, Class A and Class B common stock, and Class C 
capital stock. The rights of the holders of each class of our common and capital stock are identical, except with respect to 
voting. Each share of Class A common stock is entitled to one vote per share. Each share of Class B common stock is 
entitled to 10 votes per share. Class C capital stock has no voting rights, except as required by applicable law. Shares of 
Class B common stock may be converted at any time at the option of the stockholder and automatically convert upon sale 
or transfer to Class A common stock.

Share Repurchases

In July 2020, the Board of Directors of Alphabet authorized the company to repurchase up to an additional 
$28.0 billion of its Class C capital stock. The repurchases are being executed from time to time, subject to general 
business and market conditions and other investment opportunities, through open market purchases or privately 
negotiated transactions, including through Rule 10b5-1 plans. The repurchase program does not have an expiration date.

During the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2020, we repurchased and subsequently retired 15.3 million and 
21.5 million shares of Alphabet Class C capital stock for an aggregate amount of $18.4 billion and $31.1 billion, 
respectively.

Note 12. Net Income Per Share

We compute net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock and Class C capital stock using the two-
class method. Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during 
the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares and the effect of 
potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive securities consist of restricted stock units 
and other contingently issuable shares. The dilutive effect of outstanding restricted stock units and other contingently 
issuable shares is reflected in diluted earnings per share by application of the treasury stock method. The computation of 
the diluted net income per share of Class A common stock assumes the conversion of Class B common stock, while the 
diluted net income per share of Class B common stock does not assume the conversion of those shares.

The rights, including the liquidation and dividend rights, of the holders of our Class A and Class B common stock and 
Class C capital stock are identical, except with respect to voting. Furthermore, there are a number of
safeguards built into our certificate of incorporation, as well as Delaware law, which preclude our Board of Directors from declaring or paying unequal per share dividends on our Class A and Class B common stock and Class C capital stock. Specifically, Delaware law provides that amendments to our certificate of incorporation which would have the effect of adversely altering the rights, powers, or preferences of a given class of stock must be approved by the class of stock adversely affected by the proposed amendment. In addition, our certificate of incorporation provides that before any such amendment may be put to a stockholder vote, it must be approved by the unanimous consent of our Board of Directors. As a result, the undistributed earnings for each year are allocated based on the contractual participation rights of the Class A and Class B common shares and Class C capital stock as if the earnings for the year had been distributed. As the liquidation and dividend rights are identical, the undistributed earnings are allocated on a proportionate basis.

In the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, the net income per share amounts are the same for Class A and Class B common stock and Class C capital stock because the holders of each class are entitled to equal per share dividends or distributions in liquidation in accordance with the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Alphabet Inc.

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock and Class C capital stock (in millions, except share amounts which are reflected in thousands and per share amounts):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31, 2018</th>
<th>Class A</th>
<th>Class B</th>
<th>Class C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic net income per share:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation of undistributed earnings</td>
<td>$13,200</td>
<td>$2,072</td>
<td>$15,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of shares used in per share computation</td>
<td>298,548</td>
<td>46,864</td>
<td>349,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic net income per share</td>
<td>$44.22</td>
<td>$44.22</td>
<td>$44.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Diluted net income per share:** |         |         |         |
| Numerator                       |         |         |         |
| Allocation of undistributed earnings for basic computation | $13,200 | $2,072 | $15,464 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings as a result of conversion of Class B to Class A shares | 2,072 | 0 | 0 |
| Reallocation of undistributed earnings | (146) | (24) | 146 |
| Allocation of undistributed earnings | $15,126 | $2,048 | $15,610 |

| Denominator                    |         |         |         |
| Number of shares used in basic computation | 298,548 | 46,864 | 349,728 |
| Weighted-average effect of dilutive securities |         |         |         |
| Add:                           |         |         |         |
| Conversion of Class B to Class A common shares outstanding | 46,864 | 0 | 0 |
| Restricted stock units and other contingently issuable shares | 689 | 0 | 7,456 |
| Number of shares used in per share computation | 346,101 | 46,864 | 357,184 |
| Diluted net income per share   | $43.70  | $43.70  | $43.70  |
### Basic net income per share:

**Numerator**
- Allocation of undistributed earnings
  - Class A: $14,846
  - Class B: $2,307
  - Class C: $17,190

**Denominator**
- Number of shares used in per share computation
  - Class A: 299,402
  - Class B: 46,527
  - Class C: 346,667

**Basic net income per share**
- Class A: $49.59
- Class B: $49.59
- Class C: $49.59

### Diluted net income per share:

**Numerator**
- Allocation of undistributed earnings for basic computation
  - Class A: $14,846
  - Class B: $2,307
  - Class C: $17,190
- Reallocation of undistributed earnings as a result of conversion of Class B to Class A shares
  - Class B: 0
  - Class C: 0
- Reallocation of undistributed earnings
  - Class A: (126)
  - Class B: (20)
  - Class C: 126
- Allocation of undistributed earnings
  - Class A: $17,027
  - Class B: $2,287
  - Class C: $17,316

**Denominator**
- Number of shares used in basic computation
  - Class A: 299,402
  - Class B: 46,527
  - Class C: 346,667
- Weighted-average effect of dilutive securities
- Conversion of Class B to Class A common shares outstanding
  - Class B: 46,527
  - Class C: 0
- Restricted stock units and other contingently issuable shares
  - Class A: 413
  - Class B: 0
  - Class C: 5,547
- Number of shares used in per share computation
  - Class A: 346,342
  - Class B: 46,527
  - Class C: 352,214

**Diluted net income per share**
- Class A: $49.16
- Class B: $49.16
- Class C: $49.16

---

### Basic net income per share:

**Numerator**
- Allocation of undistributed earnings
  - Class A: $17,733
  - Class B: $2,732
  - Class C: $19,804

**Denominator**
- Number of shares used in per share computation
  - Class A: 299,815
  - Class B: 46,182
  - Class C: 334,819

**Basic net income per share**
- Class A: $59.15
- Class B: $59.15
- Class C: $59.15

### Diluted net income per share:

**Numerator**
- Allocation of undistributed earnings for basic computation
  - Class A: $17,733
  - Class B: $2,732
  - Class C: $19,804
- Reallocation of undistributed earnings as a result of conversion of Class B to Class A shares
  - Class B: 0
  - Class C: 0
- Reallocation of undistributed earnings
  - Class A: (180)
  - Class B: (25)
  - Class C: 180
- Allocation of undistributed earnings
  - Class A: $20,285
  - Class B: $2,707
  - Class C: $19,984

**Denominator**
- Number of shares used in basic computation
  - Class A: 299,815
  - Class B: 46,182
  - Class C: 334,819
- Weighted-average effect of dilutive securities
- Conversion of Class B to Class A common shares outstanding
  - Class B: 46,182
  - Class C: 0
- Restricted stock units and other contingently issuable shares
  - Class A: 413
  - Class B: 0
  - Class C: 6,125
- Number of shares used in per share computation
  - Class A: 346,084
  - Class B: 46,182
  - Class C: 340,944

**Diluted net income per share**
- Class A: $58.61
- Class B: $58.61
- Class C: $58.61
Note 13. Compensation Plans

Stock Plans

Our stock plans include the Alphabet 2012 Stock Plan and Other Bet stock-based plans. Under our stock plans, RSUs and other types of awards may be granted. An RSU award is an agreement to issue shares of our publicly traded stock at the time the award vests. RSUs granted to participants under the Alphabet 2012 Stock Plan generally vest over four years contingent upon employment or service with us on the vesting date.

As of December 31, 2020, there were 38,777,813 shares of stock reserved for future issuance under our Alphabet 2012 Stock Plan.

Stock-Based Compensation

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, total stock-based compensation expense was $10.0 billion, $11.7 billion and $13.4 billion, including amounts associated with awards we expect to settle in Alphabet stock of $9.4 billion, $10.8 billion, and $12.8 billion, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, we recognized tax benefits on total stock-based compensation expense, which are reflected in the provision for income taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Income, of $1.5 billion, $1.8 billion, and $2.7 billion, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020, tax benefit realized related to awards vested or exercised during the period was $2.1 billion, $2.2 billion and $3.6 billion, respectively. These amounts do not include the indirect effects of stock-based awards, which primarily relate to the research and development tax credit.

Stock-Based Award Activities

The following table summarizes the activities for our unvested Alphabet RSUs for the year ended December 31, 2020:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unvested Restricted Stock Units</th>
<th>Number of Shares</th>
<th>Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unvested as of December 31, 2019</td>
<td>19,394,236</td>
<td>$1,055.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>12,647,562</td>
<td>$1,407.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vested</td>
<td>(11,643,670)</td>
<td>$1,089.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfeited/canceled</td>
<td>(1,109,335)</td>
<td>$1,160.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unvested as of December 31, 2020</td>
<td>19,288,793</td>
<td>$1,262.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of RSUs granted during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2019, was $1,095.89 and $1,092.36, respectively. Total fair value of RSUs, as of their respective vesting dates, during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019, and 2020 were $14.1 billion, $15.2 billion, and $17.8 billion, respectively.

As of December 31, 2020, there was $22.8 billion of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested employee RSUs. This amount is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

401(k) Plans

We have two 401(k) Savings Plans that qualify as deferred salary arrangements under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Under these 401(k) Plans, matching contributions are based upon the amount of the employees’ contributions subject to certain limitations. We recognized expense of approximately $691 million, $724 million, and $855 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively.

Note 14. Income Taxes

Income from continuing operations before income taxes consists of the following (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic operations</td>
<td>$15,779</td>
<td>$16,426</td>
<td>$37,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign operations</td>
<td>19,134</td>
<td>23,199</td>
<td>10,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$34,913</td>
<td>$39,625</td>
<td>$48,082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The provision for income taxes consists of the following (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal and state</td>
<td>$2,153</td>
<td>$2,424</td>
<td>$4,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>1,251</td>
<td>2,713</td>
<td>1,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,404</td>
<td>5,137</td>
<td>6,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal and state</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>(134)</td>
<td>(141)</td>
<td>(215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income taxes</td>
<td>$4,177</td>
<td>$5,282</td>
<td>$7,813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reconciliation of federal statutory income tax rate to our effective income tax rate is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. federal statutory tax rate</td>
<td>21.0 %</td>
<td>21.0 %</td>
<td>21.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign income taxed at different rates</td>
<td>(4.4)</td>
<td>(4.9)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign-derived intangible income deduction</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
<td>(3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation expense</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
<td>(1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal research credit</td>
<td>(2.4)</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
<td>(2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Commission fines</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax asset valuation allowance</td>
<td>(2.0)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and local income taxes</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other adjustments</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>(0.4)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective tax rate</td>
<td>12.0 %</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
<td>16.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our effective tax rate for 2018 and 2019 was affected significantly by earnings realized in foreign jurisdictions with statutory tax rates lower than the federal statutory tax rate because substantially all of the income from foreign operations was earned by an Irish subsidiary. As of December 31, 2019, we have simplified our corporate legal entity structure and now license intellectual property from the U.S. that was previously licensed from Bermuda resulting in an increase in the portion of our income earned in the U.S.

On July 27, 2015, the United States Tax Court, in an opinion in Altera Corp. v. Commissioner, invalidated the portion of the Treasury regulations issued under IRC Section 482 requiring related-party participants in a cost sharing arrangement to share stock-based compensation costs. The U.S. Tax Court issued the final decision on December 28, 2015. As a result of that decision, we recorded a tax benefit related to the anticipated reimbursement of cost share payment for previously shared stock-based compensation costs.

On June 7, 2019, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit overturned the 2015 Tax Court decision in Altera Corp. v. Commissioner, and upheld the portion of the Treasury regulations issued under IRC Section 482 requiring related-party participants in a cost sharing arrangement to share stock-based compensation costs. As a result of the Ninth Circuit court decision, our cumulative net tax benefit of $418 million related to previously shared stock-based compensation costs was reversed in the year ended December 31, 2019.

In 2020, there was an increase in valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets that are not likely to be realized relating to certain of our Other Bets.
Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As of December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation expense</td>
<td>$ 421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued employee benefits</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals and reserves not currently deductible</td>
<td>1,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax credits</td>
<td>3,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating losses</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating leases</td>
<td>1,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax assets</td>
<td>8,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation allowance</td>
<td>(3,502)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax assets net of valuation allowance</td>
<td>4,730</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred tax liabilities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property and equipment, net</td>
<td>(1,798)</td>
<td>(3,382)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable energy investments</td>
<td>(466)</td>
<td>(415)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Earnings</td>
<td>(373)</td>
<td>(383)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment gains</td>
<td>(1,074)</td>
<td>(1,901)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating leases</td>
<td>(1,619)</td>
<td>(2,354)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(380)</td>
<td>(782)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax liabilities</td>
<td>(5,710)</td>
<td>(9,217)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)</td>
<td>$ (980)</td>
<td>$(2,477)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31, 2020, our federal, state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards for income tax purposes were approximately $3.1 billion, $3.1 billion, and $1.4 billion respectively. If not utilized, the federal net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2023, foreign net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2024 and the state net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2028. It is more likely than not that certain net operating loss carryforwards will not be realized; therefore, we have recorded a valuation allowance against them. The net operating loss carryforwards are subject to various annual limitations under the tax laws of the different jurisdictions.

As of December 31, 2020, our California research and development credit carryforwards for income tax purposes were approximately $3.7 billion that can be carried over indefinitely. We believe the state tax credit is not likely to be realized.

As of December 31, 2020, we maintained a valuation allowance with respect to California deferred tax assets, certain federal net operating losses, certain state tax credits, net deferred tax assets relating to certain of our Other Bets, and certain foreign net operating losses that we believe are not likely to be realized. We continue to reassess the remaining valuation allowance quarterly and if future evidence allows for a partial or full release of the valuation allowance, a tax benefit will be recorded accordingly.
Uncertain Tax Positions

The following table summarizes the activity related to our gross unrecognized tax benefits (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning gross unrecognized tax benefits</td>
<td>$4,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases related to prior year tax positions</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreases related to prior year tax positions</td>
<td>(623)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreases related to settlement with tax authorities</td>
<td>(191)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases related to current year tax positions</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending gross unrecognized tax benefits</td>
<td>$4,652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was $4.7 billion, $3.4 billion, and $3.8 billion as of December 31, 2018, 2019, and 2020, respectively, of which, $2.9 billion, $2.3 billion, and $2.6 billion, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate, respectively. The decrease in gross unrecognized tax benefits in 2019 was primarily as a result of the resolution of multi-year audits.

As of December 31, 2019 and 2020, we accrued $130 million and $222 million in interest and penalties in provision for income taxes, respectively.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in many state and foreign jurisdictions, our two major tax jurisdictions are the U.S. federal and Ireland. We are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the IRS and other tax authorities. The IRS is currently examining our 2016 through 2018 tax returns. We have also received tax assessments in multiple foreign jurisdictions asserting transfer pricing adjustments or permanent establishment. We continue to defend any and all such claims as presented.

The tax years 2011 through 2019 remain subject to examination by the appropriate governmental agencies for Irish tax purposes. There are other ongoing audits in various other jurisdictions that are not material to our financial statements.

We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. We continue to monitor the progress of ongoing discussions with tax authorities and the effect, if any, of the expected expiration of the statute of limitations in various taxing jurisdictions.

We believe that an adequate provision has been made for any adjustments that may result from tax examinations. However, the outcome of tax audits cannot be predicted with certainty. If any issues addressed in our tax audits are resolved in a manner not consistent with management’s expectations, we could be required to adjust our provision for income taxes in the period such resolution occurs. Although the timing of resolution, settlement, and closure of audits is not certain, we do not believe it is reasonably possible that our unrecognized tax benefits will materially change in the next 12 months.

Note 15. Information about Segments and Geographic Areas

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2020, we report our segment results as Google Services, Google Cloud, and Other Bets:

- Google Services includes products and services such as ads, Android, Chrome, hardware, Google Maps, Google Play, Search, and YouTube. Google Services generates revenues primarily from advertising; sales of apps, in-app purchases, digital content products, and hardware; and fees received for subscription-based products such as YouTube Premium and YouTube TV.

- Google Cloud includes Google’s infrastructure and data analytics platforms, collaboration tools, and other services for enterprise customers. Google Cloud generates revenues primarily from fees received for Google Cloud Platform services and Google Workspace (formerly known as G Suite) collaboration tools.

- Other Bets is a combination of multiple operating segments that are not individually material. Revenues from the Other Bets are derived primarily through the sale of internet services as well as licensing and R&D services.

Revenues and certain costs, such as costs associated with content and traffic acquisition, certain engineering, and hardware costs and other operating expenses, are directly attributable to our segments. Due to the integrated nature of Alphabet, other costs and expenses, such as technical infrastructure and office facilities, are managed...
centrally at a consolidated level. The associated costs, including depreciation and impairment, are allocated to operating segments as a service cost generally based on usage or headcount.

Unallocated corporate costs primarily include corporate initiatives, corporate shared costs, such as finance and legal, including fines and settlements, as well as costs associated with certain shared research and development activities. Additionally, hedging gains (losses) related to revenue are included in corporate costs.

Our Chief Operating Decision Maker does not evaluate operating segments using asset information.

Information about segments during the periods presented were as follows (in millions). For comparative purposes, amounts in prior periods have been recast:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Ended December 31,</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Services</td>
<td>$130,524</td>
<td>$151,825</td>
<td>$168,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Cloud</td>
<td>5,838</td>
<td>8,918</td>
<td>13,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bets</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedging gains (losses)</td>
<td>(138)</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>$136,819</td>
<td>$161,857</td>
<td>$182,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating income (loss):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Services</td>
<td>$43,137</td>
<td>$48,999</td>
<td>$54,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Cloud</td>
<td>(4,348)</td>
<td>(4,645)</td>
<td>(5,607)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bets</td>
<td>(3,358)</td>
<td>(4,824)</td>
<td>(4,476)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate costs, unallocated(^{(1)})</td>
<td>(7,907)</td>
<td>(5,299)</td>
<td>(3,299)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total income from operations</td>
<td>$27,524</td>
<td>$34,231</td>
<td>$41,224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Corporate costs, unallocated includes a fine of $5.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2018 and a fine and legal settlement totaling $2.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2019.

For revenues by geography, see Note 2.

The following table presents certain of our long-lived assets by geographic area, which includes property and equipment, net and operating lease assets (in millions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As of December 31, 2019</th>
<th>As of December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-lived assets:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>$63,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>21,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total long-lived assets</td>
<td>$84,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$69,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$96,960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITEM 9.  CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A.  CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2020, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed at a reasonable assurance level and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We rely extensively on information systems to manage our business and summarize and report operating results. In 2019, we began a multi-year implementation of a new global ERP system, which will replace much of our existing core financial systems. The ERP system is designed to accurately maintain our financial records, enhance the flow of financial information, improve data management and provide timely information to our management team. The implementation is expected to occur in phases over the next several years. The initial phase, which included changes to our general ledger and consolidated financial reporting systems, was completed during the third quarter of 2020. There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2020 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. However, as the phased implementation of the new ERP system continues, we will change our processes and procedures, which in turn, could result in changes to our internal control over financial reporting. As such changes occur, we will evaluate quarterly whether such changes materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

As a result of COVID-19, our global workforce continued to operate primarily in a work from home environment for the quarter ended December 31, 2020. While pre-existing controls were not specifically designed to operate in our current work from home operating environment, we believe that our internal controls over financial reporting continue to be effective. We have continued to re-evaluate and refine our financial reporting process to provide reasonable assurance that we could report our financial results accurately and timely.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework). Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2020. Management reviewed the results of its assessment with our Audit and Compliance Committee. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2020 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report which is included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls and Procedures

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In addition, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and that management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the benefits of possible controls and procedures relative to their costs.

ITEM 9B.  OTHER INFORMATION

None.
PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item will be included under the caption “Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance” in our Proxy Statement for 2021 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020 (2021 Proxy Statement) and is incorporated herein by reference. The information required by this item regarding delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K will be included under the caption “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in the 2021 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item will be included under the captions “Director Compensation,” “Executive Compensation” and “Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance—Corporate Governance and Board Matters —Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation” in the 2021 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item will be included under the captions “Common Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” and “Equity Compensation Plan Information” in the 2021 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item will be included under the captions “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” and “Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance—Corporate Governance and Board Matters —Director Independence” in the 2021 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item will be included under the caption “Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” in the 2021 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.
PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

We have filed the following documents as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

Reports of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 51

Financial Statements:
Consolidated Balance Sheets 54
Consolidated Statements of Income 55
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income 56
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity 57
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows 58
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 59

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule II: Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

The table below details the activity of the allowance for credit losses and sales credits for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2019 and 2020 (in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended December 31</th>
<th>Balance at Beginning of Year</th>
<th>Additions</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Balance at End of Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$ 674</td>
<td>$ 1,115</td>
<td>$ (1,060)</td>
<td>$ 729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$ 729</td>
<td>$ 1,481</td>
<td>$ (1,457)</td>
<td>$ 753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$ 753</td>
<td>$ 2,013</td>
<td>$ (1,422)</td>
<td>$ 1,344</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Additions to the allowance for credit losses are charged to expense. Additions to the allowance for sales credits are charged against revenues.

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not required, not applicable, or the required information is otherwise included.

3. Exhibits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Incorporated by reference herein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 2, 2015, by and among Google Inc., the Registrant and Maple Technologies Inc.</td>
<td>Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-37580) October 2, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, dated October 2, 2015</td>
<td>Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-37580) October 2, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, dated October 21, 2020</td>
<td>Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-37580) October 27, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>Specimen Class A Common Stock certificate</td>
<td>Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-37580) October 2, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>Specimen Class C Capital Stock certificate</td>
<td>Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-37580) October 2, 2015</td>
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<td>4.03</td>
<td>Alphabet Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan</td>
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<td>14.01</td>
<td>Code of Conduct of the Registrant as amended on September 21, 2017</td>
<td>Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-37580)</td>
</tr>
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<td>21.01</td>
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<td>* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement</td>
<td>Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-37580)</td>
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<td>99.02</td>
<td>Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Derivative Actions</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.DEF</td>
<td>* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.LAB</td>
<td>* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document</td>
<td></td>
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SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 2, 2021

ALPHABET INC.

By: /S/ SUNDAR PICHAI

Sundar Pichai
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer of the Registrant)

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Sundar Pichai and Ruth M. Porat, jointly and severally, his or her attorney-in-fact, with the power of substitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or his or her substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.
Table of Contents

Alphabet Inc.

Signature		Title		Date

/S/ SUNDAR PICHAI	Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	February 2, 2021
Sundar Pichai

/S/ RUTH M. PORAT	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	February 2, 2021
Ruth M. Porat

/S/ AMIE THUENER O’TOOLE	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 2, 2021
Amie Thuener O’Toole

/S/ FRANCES H. ARNOLD	Director	February 2, 2021
Frances H. Arnold

/S/ SERGEY BRIN	Co-Founder and Director	February 2, 2021
Sergey Brin

/S/ L. JOHN DOERR	Director	February 2, 2021
L. John Doerr

/S/ ROGER W. FERGUSON, JR.	Director	February 2, 2021
Roger W. Ferguson, Jr.

/S/ JOHN L. HENNESSY	Director, Chair	February 2, 2021
John L. Hennessy

/S/ ANN MATHER	Director	February 2, 2021
Ann Mather

/S/ ALAN R. MULALLY	Director	February 2, 2021
Alan R. Mulally

/S/ LARRY PAGE	Co-Founder and Director	February 2, 2021
Larry Page

/S/ K. RAM SHRIRAM	Director	February 2, 2021
K. Ram Shriram

/S/ Robin L. Washington	Director	February 2, 2021
Robin L. Washington

99
Information quality & content moderation
Introduction

The internet has been a powerful force in its relatively brief existence. Engineered to shuttle information from one computer to the next, without regard for its contents or intent, it quickly became the backbone of the modern era. Today, it connects individuals and communities around the world. It can inspire the best of society by democratizing access to knowledge, powering business, and providing new opportunities for art and creativity.

At Google in 2020, as we progressed on our vision to build a more helpful Google for everyone, this has meant hundreds of billions of search queries, 500 hours of content uploaded to YouTube every minute, billions of monthly direct connections between businesses and customers, and many hundreds of billions of dollars of economic activity. Behind these numbers are countless stories of discovery and connection, communities finding support, and people finding answers to their questions big and small.

We feel a great responsibility to our users when they place their trust in us to deliver them trustworthy, helpful information that meets their needs. Like every form of communication before it, the internet can be misused. The same low barriers to entry that fueled its growth around the world have enabled its manipulation by bad actors seeking to inflict harm on others, whether seeking profit or promoting ideology. The right way to manage a decentralized internet to favor the good while reducing the bad has been actively debated since its creation.

One focus of these discussions is the content people share – text, images, videos, and web pages – generated in increasing frequency and made accessible ever more rapidly around the world.

Our mission at Google is to organize this information and make it universally accessible and useful. Core to this mission is a focus on the relevance and quality of the information we present to users. In different ways across our different platforms, we strive to connect people with ‘high-quality information’; the most useful, trustworthy, and helpful content at the moment a person needs it. At the same time, we work to prevent user and societal harm and limit the reach of ‘low-quality information’; content that strays furthest from those qualities.

---

1 See e.g., https://economicimpact.google.com/
2 https://www.blog.google/around-the-globe/google-europe/helping-europeans-succeed-googles-impact-in-europe/
Sorting ‘high-quality’ from ‘low-quality’ information is a large, dynamic challenge without a perfect answer. The breadth of information available online makes it impossible to give each piece of content an equal amount of attention, human review, and deliberation. Even if that were possible, reasonable people could disagree on appropriate outcomes. Similarly, no ranking system can be perfect, nor will everyone agree on the values for which they should optimize.

Still, this is a challenge we are dedicated to tackling—as we have been since Google’s founding. With this paper, we aim to share our approach to information quality and content moderation. It outlines the key considerations that guide our product, policy, and enforcement decisions, as well as the four complementary levers we use to implement those principles across our services. It also provides a look into the vital work being done in collaboration with other technology companies, civil society, academia, and government to support information quality across the internet.

We welcome and look forward to the feedback we will receive in response to this paper and will continue to provide additional transparency on this topic in the future.
Our approach to information quality

There are inherent tensions that come with fulfilling our mission to organize the world’s information and make it universally accessible and useful. We must strike a careful balance between the free flow of information, safety, efficiency, accuracy, and other competing values.

The product, policy, and enforcement decisions we make in this complex environment are guided by a set of considerations that are consistent across the spectrum of our products and services:

- **Value openness and accessibility**: We aim to provide access to an open and diverse information ecosystem. But that doesn’t mean that anything goes on our services. As we will describe later in the paper, removal of content is an important lever we use to address information quality. However, it is not the only lever at our disposal, and we use it with caution, particularly in the context of Search. We believe that a healthy and responsible approach to supporting information quality should aim toward keeping content accessible.

- **Respect user choice**: Users who express an intent to explore content that is not illegal or prohibited by our policies should be able to find it, even if all available indicators suggest it is of relatively low quality. We set a higher bar for information quality where users have not clearly expressed what they are looking for.

- **Build for everyone**: Our services are used around the world by users from different cultures, languages, and backgrounds, and at different stages in their lives. Some have always known a world with smartphones, while others have lived most of their lives without access to the web. Our product and policy development, as well as our policy enforcement decisions, take into account the diversity of our users and seek to address their needs appropriately.

These have been priorities since our founding. They have guided our evolving approach toward information quality, taking into account shifting user expectations and norms, increasing sophistication of malicious actors, and the evolving nature of the web.

Each of the products and services we offer has a different purpose, and people have different expectations of what kind of content they will interact
with on each. So, we tailor our approach to the content that should be available on each product and service carefully.

Our products and services fall on a spectrum, from least to most restrictive. Google Chrome is a tool for viewing the breadth of content on the internet, warning only of pages potentially infected by malware. Google Search serves as an index of all pages available on the open web, where users expect to find every legal webpage pertaining to their query. Therefore, it leans toward the least restrictive end of that spectrum. On the other end, our advertising products are among the most restrictive, as we do not want to profit from those who create harmful content or experiences. Other products fall elsewhere on the spectrum. For instance, Gmail involves minimal limitations on content, while YouTube is a platform for uploading and sharing content as part of a community, which requires broader prohibitions than Google Search.

Similarly, specific features within a product may fall at different points on that spectrum. For instance, some features of Google Search, like Autocomplete, provide information to help people get to the results they are looking for as quickly as possible. But we also want to be careful not to show potentially upsetting content to people when they haven’t asked for it. For these features, we have developed policies to exclude things like pornography, hate speech, or violence from appearing. Actions taken on these features do not limit what users can search for.

We rely on four complementary levers to support information quality and moderate content across many of our products and services:

- **Remove**: We set responsible rules for each of our products and services and take action against content and behaviors that infringe on them. We also comply with legal obligations requiring the removal of content.
- **Raise**: We elevate high-quality content and authoritative sources where it matters most.
- **Reduce**: We reduce the spread of potentially harmful information where we feature or recommend content.
- **Reward**: We set a high standard of quality and reliability for publishers and content creators who would like to monetize or advertise their content.

These levers allow us to be consistent in our methodology across products while tailoring their implementation to fit the uses and needs of each. In the following sections, we explore how each of them works in practice.

---

2 https://support.google.com/websearch/answer/106230?hl=en
As such, they have guided our response to the Coronavirus pandemic in 2020 – a summary of our response to Coronavirus misinformation is available immediately before the conclusion of this paper.
Remove: developing and enforcing our ‘rules of the road’

One lever we deploy in our effort to support information quality on our products and services is the removal of content from a given platform entirely. Removal of content may occur for two reasons: it violates the law, or it violates the ‘rules of the road’ for that product or service.

We comply with the law in each country in which we operate and remove illegal content on our platforms in that country. In every country in which we operate, the unique cultures, histories, and forms of government have produced different laws governing what is considered permissible expression. For instance, in France, Austria, and Germany, regulatory frameworks prohibit denial of the Holocaust. Some countries provide individuals with broad rights against alleged defamation, while others take a more limited view. The European Union and Russia have adopted data protection regimes that afford individuals a so-called “right to be forgotten” by requesting platforms to delist specific outdated material about them.

In addition, we develop and maintain ‘rules of the road,’ which outline what types of content and behaviors are acceptable for each product or service. Known as ‘content policies’ or ‘community guidelines,’ we aim to make them clear and easily accessible to all users and content creators – whether those are video creators, webmasters, app developers, or advertisers. These ‘rules of the road’ articulate the purpose and intended use of a given product or service and represent a crucial part of what makes that product unique. They also explain what types of content and behaviors are not allowed, and the process by which a piece of content, or its creator, may be removed from the service.

Let’s take a look at how these policies are developed and enforced.

**Content removals at scale**

We enforce our content policies at scale and take tens of millions of actions every day against content that does not abide by the ‘rules of the road’ for one or more of our products.
Developing policies and designing for safety

We design the ‘rules of the road’ across all our products and services to protect users from harm while supporting the purpose of the product. For each product and service, we tailor these policies to strike the appropriate balance between providing access to a diversity of voices and limiting harmful content and behaviors.

This balance can differ from one product to the next, in part because harm manifests differently in each service and context. While a universally recognized harm may be prohibited across all our products and services, it can appear on each product and service differently. So, we must evaluate the potential for harm specific to each product and design our policies accordingly. This includes harm to an individual and harm that may affect an entire society, such as an attempt to interfere with elections or civic processes.

- In 2019, **more than 30 million videos** were removed from YouTube for violating our community guidelines.

- In 2019, we removed more than **2.7 billion bad ads** from our systems and took action against almost **1 million** bad advertiser accounts. On the publisher side, we terminated over 1.2 million accounts and removed ads from over 21 million web pages that are part of our publisher network for violating our policies.

- Google Play’s **policies** prohibit numerous types of deceptive behaviors and misleading content, especially when it relates to the dissemination of applications related to medicine or personal health. When developers are found to infringe on these policies, their apps may be removed from the Google Play store. Throughout 2019, Google Play stopped over **790,000** policy-violating apps before they were ever published to the Play Store.

- In 2019, Google Maps detected and removed more than **75 million** policy-violating reviews and **4 million** fake business profiles, and took down more than **580,000** reviews and **258,000** business listings that were directly reported to us for violating our policies. We also reviewed and removed more than **10 million** photos and **3 million** videos that violated our content policies on Google Maps, and disabled more than **475,000** user accounts that were found to be abusive.
Among others, we consider the following types of risks when considering what safeguards and rules may be needed for each product and service:

- **Encouraging harmful or dangerous behavior**: content that either depicts particularly harmful or dangerous behaviors, or encourages users to engage in those behaviors.

- **Hateful content**: Content that promotes or condones violence against individuals or groups based on characteristics like race, ethnicity, gender identity, religion, and veteran status.

- **Threats, harassment, and bullying**: Content that involves direct threats to others, blackmail, exposure of private data, or is intended to harass or silence.

- **Violent or graphic content**: Content for which the primary purpose is to be shocking, sensational, gratuitous, or offensive, including content produced by, or in support of, a terrorist organization.

- **Sexually explicit content**: Written or visual depictions of nudity or graphic sex acts, with the exception of nudity for educational, documentary, or scientific purposes.

- **Spam, abuse, and deceptive practices**: Activities that attempt to abuse our products, circumvent protections to safeguard user data, manipulate ranking systems, or cause broadly invalid traffic that doesn’t derive from genuine user interest.

- **Impersonation, misrepresentation, and scams**: Activities that misrepresent an individual’s identity, place of business, country of operations, or the sale of goods and services.

To help us identify emerging harms and gaps in our existing policies, we consider expert input, user feedback, and regulatory guidance. We rely on research performed by analysts who study the evolving tactics deployed by bad actors, trends observed on other platforms, and emerging cultural issues that require further observation. We also engage in conversations with regulators around the world. Their perspectives and concerns directly inform our policy process.
Next, we gather as many examples of how a particular harm has manifested on our services, or might manifest in the future, and look for common threads. We also consider counter-examples of content that may look similar to the harmful content we wish to address, but is actually benign or of significant public interest. This helps us define the common traits that make the content or behavior harmful, as well as the risks that an overbroad policy would pose.

With that, we develop draft standards and enforcement guidelines, test them against the counter-examples to minimize false positive enforcement, consult with many experts across disciplines at Google, and further consider perspectives from experts outside of Google. We then work to resolve conflicts within the diverse feedback and synthesize the draft standards and guidelines into coherent policy. Finally, we ‘incubate’ policies by testing them until we are confident that we can ensure a high level of consistency in their application before rolling them out further.

We continue this process of exploration and refinement until we have an approach that is clear, predictable, and repeatable. We strive to ensure that reasonable users or content creators, upon being informed of any change to our policies, can understand what it refers to (clear), and can determine whether their content or behavior is likely to be affected by this rule (predictable). The new rule should also be sufficiently generic that it can be applied consistently across multiple independent cases globally (repeatable).

Finally, before we begin implementation and enforcement of the new policy, we determine whether it has addressed the harm it targeted, measure the impact of the change on existing users, assess how to provide proper notice of the change, and provide the proper mechanisms for enforcement.

This is a time-consuming process. It can take months before we feel comfortable taking action on a new policy. This collaborative approach taps into multiple areas of expertise within and beyond our company and is typically
driven by our Trust and Safety teams. Their mission includes tackling online abuse by developing and enforcing the policies that keep our products safe and reliable. The team includes product specialists, engineers, lawyers, data scientists, and others who work together around the world and with a network of in-house and external safety and subject matter experts.

As we engage in this process, we know that some may disagree with the decisions we have made in our attempt to strike the right balance between reducing harm and upholding principles of user access and choice. There is rarely a simple, correct answer to these questions, and reasonable people can disagree on how to moderate content. This is especially true as the internet ecosystem evolves and users’ expectations change with them. We believe that an inclusive process, transparency in our work, and a willingness to reassess our policies will best serve our users and the societies in which we operate.

Developing a policy to prohibit speculative and experimental medical treatments in Google Ads

While developing our policies, we weigh multiple viewpoints and considerations. This was true, for instance, in September 2019 when we updated our Ads policies to prohibit speculative and experimental medical treatments, including stem cell therapy or gene therapy.3

While we are aware that important medical discoveries often start as unproven ideas, we must also consider the impact to the safety of our users. Although several treatments had been approved by some regulatory bodies, we observed a rise in bad actors attempting to take advantage of individuals by offering untested and deceptive treatments. The deception could cause people to spend large amounts of money on unproven treatments that may not provide a medical benefit, or could even cause serious health issues. After consulting third-party experts, we moved to prohibit ads for these treatments to prevent our advertising platforms from being misused in ways that could lead to serious financial and physical harm to our users.

Proactive detection & enforcement

By the numbers
From January to March 2020:

3 https://support.google.com/google-ads/answer/9475042?hl=en
● **More than 6.1 million videos** were removed from YouTube for violating our community guidelines.

● **93%** of these videos were first flagged by machines rather than humans. Of those detected by machines, **53%** never received a single view, and just over **81%** received fewer than 10.

● In this same period, YouTube removed more than **693 million comments**, the majority of which were spam. **99%** of removed comments were detected automatically.

**Case study: using machine learning to help detect extremist content on YouTube**

● We introduced machine learning technology to detect extremist content on YouTube in June 2017. To train our machine learning classifiers, our teams reviewed **over 2 million pieces of content**.

● **In Q1 2017, 8%** of videos removed for violating the violent extremism policy had fewer than 10 views at the time of removal.

● By **Q1 2018**, that figure reached more than **50%**.

● **In Q4 2019**, approximately **90%** of the videos uploaded that were removed for violating the violent extremism policy were taken down before they had 10 views.

---

**Increased detection using machine learning**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q1 2017</th>
<th>Q1 2018</th>
<th>Q4 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Over 50%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percentage of extremist videos removed on YouTube with fewer than 10 views at the time of removal**

To enforce our policies at the scale of the web, we rely on a mix of automated and human efforts to spot problematic content. In addition to flags by
individual users, sophisticated automated technology helps us detect problematic content at scale. Our automated systems are carefully trained to quickly identify and take action against spam and violative content. This includes flagging potentially problematic content for human reviewers, whose judgement is needed for the many decisions that require a more nuanced determination. The context in which a piece of content is created or shared is an important factor in any assessment about its quality or its purpose. We are attentive to educational, scientific, artistic, or documentary contexts, including journalistic intent, where the content might otherwise violate our policies.

In addition, our expert teams around the world handle the investigations of more sophisticated threat actors that are adept at circumventing the automated defenses we build into our products. New forms of abuse and threats are constantly emerging that require human ingenuity to assess and plan for action before an automated system can address them at scale. So, we operate dedicated threat intelligence and monitoring teams (e.g., Google’s Threat Analysis Group⁴) which provide insights and intelligence to our policy development and enforcement teams so they can stay ahead of bad actors.

Over the past two decades, we have invested in and refined our approach to detection and enforcement at scale. However, because of the open nature and scale of our products and the web, and because motivated bad actors are nimble and not often deterred, catching all problematic content and activity with perfect accuracy is not feasible. We could expand our detection efforts by relying more heavily on our automated systems to catch more content faster. But, this comes with trade-offs.

If we expand our detection efforts in this way, we increase the risk of ‘false positives,’ or incorrectly removing a piece of content that does not actually violate our policies. This might include important expressions from diverse voices, or content of relevance to the public interest. Its removal could then introduce confusion for content creators and other partners who need our policies to be clear.

We also risk diverting the attention of our Trust and Safety enforcement teams to content that may be innocuous, giving more room for savvy bad actors to slip under the radar. Conversely, if we were to focus our efforts on a narrower set of challenges, we would risk missing the bigger picture, creating blind spots that others could exploit while introducing harm to our users.

While there is no silver bullet to address this challenge, we are aware of the responsibility that comes with operating at this scale. A wrong decision can have a significant impact on our users, developers, creators, or advertisers. As such, we continue to develop the tools and resources that comprise our detection and enforcement efforts.

⁴ https://blog.google/threat-analysis-group
To complement our own efforts, we enable users and trusted organizations to flag content that may be problematic. We take action on content flagged by users after it has been reviewed by a member of our Trust and Safety team to ensure the content does indeed warrant action.

In addition to individual pieces of content and behaviors, we have dedicated responses to take on bad actors themselves. By taking action at the account level when faced with severe or repeated policy violations, we address the root cause of infringements of our policies, and better protect our users. For instance, in our Bad Ads reports, we have described how using machine learning technology allowed us to identify and terminate bad advertiser accounts.\(^5\)

Enabling User Feedback

Removing Content From YouTube

What is the issue?
- Abuse/harassment
- Hate Speech
- Privacy
- Trademark infringement
- Copyright infringement
- Defamation
- Counterfeit
- Circumvention of technological measures
- I have an issue that is not mentioned above

Sometimes, bad actors try to evade detection of their efforts by using private channels for sharing content. We continue to work with safety and privacy experts to ensure we are using best-in-class techniques to both improve detection and respect the privacy of our users.

Providing transparency into our policy enforcement

Our policies work best when users are aware of the rules and understand how we enforce them. That is why we work to make this information clear and easily available to all.

\(^5\) For 2020: https://www.blog.google/products/ads/stopping-bad-ads-to-protect-users/
We develop comprehensive help centers, community guidelines websites, and blog posts that detail the specific provisions of our policies. In addition, we regularly release reports that detail how we enforce those policies or review content reported to be in violation of local law.

- The **YouTube Community Guidelines Enforcement Transparency Report** provides quarterly updates on the number of videos, channels, and comments removed from YouTube, including a breakdown of the policies under which this content was removed. It also details how we detect infringing videos (e.g., with automated systems, via user flags) and how many offending videos were removed without any user viewing them.⁶

- Our annual ‘Bad Ads’ report outlines the scale of our work to enforce our advertising policies, including the number of ads that were removed, the number of pages that we stopped showing ads on, the number of advertiser and publisher accounts that were terminated throughout the year, and the number of updates we made to our policies over the course of the year.⁷

- Our Threat Analysis Group’s **Quarterly Coordinated Influence Operations Bulletin** provides information about actions we take against accounts that we attribute to coordinated influence campaigns (foreign and domestic).

- Reports made available on the **Google Transparency Report Website** provide information regarding government requests to remove content from our services, and how the actions of governments and corporations affect privacy, security, and access to information online.⁹

- We also provide a publicly accessible, searchable, and downloadable **Google Transparency Report of election ad content** and spending on our platforms.¹⁰ Given recent concerns and debates about political advertising, and the importance of shared trust in the democratic process, we hope to improve voters’ confidence in the political ads they may see on our ad platforms.

We will continue building upon these transparency efforts in the future, as they are an important component of ensuring an informed public dialogue about the role that our services play in society.

### Appealing an enforcement action

---

⁶ [https://transparencyreport.google.com/youtube-policy/removals](https://transparencyreport.google.com/youtube-policy/removals)
⁸ See all bulletins on the Threat Analysis Group Blog: [https://blog.google/threat-analysis-group](https://blog.google/threat-analysis-group)
⁹ [https://transparencyreport.google.com/](https://transparencyreport.google.com/)
¹⁰ [https://transparencyreport.google.com/political-ads/home?hl=en](https://transparencyreport.google.com/political-ads/home?hl=en)
Sometimes, we make mistakes in our decisions to enforce our policies, which may result in the unwarranted removal of content from our services. To address that risk, wherever possible, we make it clear to creators that we have taken action on their content and provide them the opportunity to appeal that decision and give us clarifications. The decision will then be evaluated by a different member of our Trust and Safety team.

**Appeals under our misrepresentation policy**

We review ads using a combination of automatic and manual processes, and occasionally re-review them for compliance. In some scenarios, an advertiser’s business model may, upon initial review, be considered non-compliant with our policies. This can happen when we cannot determine the details of the services or products offered. In these cases, we may verify policy compliance by learning more about the advertiser’s business practices as a whole when they appeal our decisions. For example, our Misrepresentation Policy ensures that ads do not deceive our users. When claims of relationships with another business cannot be verified directly from the advertiser’s landing page, the ads may initially be disallowed. Additional evidence may be able to support and confirm the validity of such claims. In some advertiser appeals, the advertiser has provided us with additional information that helps us better understand their business model and service delivery chain. This can include official documentation proving their relationship with another business or their official participation in an affiliate network.

We want to make it easy for good-faith actors to understand and abide by our rules, while making it challenging for bad actors to flout them. That is why we seek to make room for good-faith errors as we enforce our rules.

We recognize that anyone can inadvertently take a joke too far or not immediately realize the problematic nature of something they have done or shared. For example, if an individual app infringes on our policies, we typically take action on that specific app rather than sanctioning the account of the developer.

On the other hand, in cases of serious, repeated, or deceptive violations, we may take action that affects an entire website, channel, or app. In the most serious cases, we will shut down user accounts.

---

On YouTube, some violations of our community guidelines may result in a ‘strike’ which restricts a creator’s ability to post or create content on the platform for one week. If the creator’s behavior warrants another ‘strike’ within 90 days from the first, a new two-week prohibition from posting or creating content is implemented. A third strike within 90 days results in permanent removal of a channel from YouTube. Creators can appeal those strikes if they believe we are mistaken.

Between January and March 2020, more than 1.9 million channels were removed from YouTube for violating our community guidelines.

Supporting reviewer wellness

As we work to reach our goals on information quality and content moderation we rely heavily on machines and technology, but human reviewers play a critical role. These reviewers perform over billions of reviews every year, working to make the right enforcement decisions and helping build training data for machine learning models.

While most content moderation is not violent or graphic, some of the material these moderators review can be disturbing and upsetting. Some moderators chose to work in areas that might be particularly challenging because they seek to have a positive impact on finding and removing this content from the web.

To assist them, we use technology to take on some of the hardest tasks. Today, automated flagging allows us to identify and act more quickly and accurately to remove content, lessening both the burden on human reviewers and the time it takes to remove violative content. For example, more than 90% of the videos we removed from YouTube for violating our community guidelines in Q4 2019 were first flagged by our automated systems. We are constantly making those systems better and more accurate. The people who review this content do vital work to keep digital platforms safer for everyone, and it can be difficult or emotionally challenging. Google is determined to support the wellness of these workers through a comprehensive wellness program, verification of vendors’ compliance with those standards, and research and technological innovation to promote wellness and ensure that those doing this work have access to the resources they need for their wellbeing and mental health.
Wellness standards
Content moderators help us assess context and nuance, to evaluate content we’ve never seen before, and make distinctions and decisions. We are committed to ensuring they have the highest standard of support and have invested significantly in these teams. We do this by:

● Providing access to on- and off-site counselling for workers who need it via individual and group sessions, dedicated wellness spaces, and 24/7 phone or on-site counsellor support.
● Limiting work hours for those focusing on sensitive content: reviewers moderating sensitive content also work abbreviated hours, spending no more than 5-6 hours reviewing content in an 8-hour work day.
● Providing the ability for reviewers to opt-out of viewing highly egregious content.
● Providing for physical well-being activities (both available as opt-in and scheduled).
● Providing access to quiet rooms and community spaces, which are required at all sites.

Verification of compliance
We work with third-party vendors and contractors to help us scale our content moderation efforts, and provide the native language expertise and the 24-hour coverage required of a global platform. When we work with these providers, we engage in regular site visits and audits to ensure that our guidelines and Supplier Code of Conduct are respected. Those visits include one-on-one conversations and focus groups with reviewers to provide for direct and confidential feedback. All the third parties we work with provide their employees with grievance reporting and redressal fora, as well as with access to an ombudsperson. We also give employees of our vendors access to the same helpline as Google employees to report concerns, including the option to report anonymously.

Research & technological innovation
In addition to gathering feedback directly from workers and soliciting professional input and advice, we are committed to driving industry-leading research and technological innovation in the field of content moderation. For instance, we published a research paper in 2019 detailing how the use of “grayscale transformations” (converting an image to black and white) can help reduce the emotional impact on moderators. Our research tells us that moderators reviewing violent and extremist content reported an improvement in emotional wellbeing when reviewing content with grayscaling turned on. Given
these findings, we’ve now built grayscaling into review tools. Because every reviewer is different, grayscaling is an option left open to reviewers, giving them more flexibility when performing reviews. Today, 70% of moderators reviewing violent extremist content on Google Drive, Photos, and others choose to review images in grayscale and keep the grayscale option turned on. We’re committed to rolling out this option more broadly.

Grayscaling has its limitations and the same positive effect was not true for all reviewers working on all types of content. That’s why we continue to investigate other areas. For instance, blurring content during a review is an approach we thought could be helpful for reviewers. Instead, many reviewers reported feeling nauseous or even irritated from blurring, and preferred to toggle the option off. We’re now experimenting with a slider to give moderators the option to adjust the level of blurring when reviewing content and an option that gives them the ability to mouse-over content to unblur key parts of an image. Early results are promising and may lead to a positive impact for some moderators.

More needs to be done to understand the long-term emotional impact of this work. We’re conducting new research in 2020 and will continue to share our findings and collaborate closely with the industry.

Content moderation is a relatively new industry and the number of people working in this area has grown significantly in recent years – including within our abuse-fighting teams. This expansion has been an important component of our ongoing work to combat malicious actors and to protect our users from harmful content online. However, we also have an important responsibility to take care of this growing abuse-fighting team as it helps keep our users safe. We are committed to continuing our efforts on both of these fronts.
Raise: Connecting users to authoritative content

Whether on Google Search, YouTube, Google Play, Google Maps, or other consumer services, our products meet user needs by sifting through immense amounts of information. This information comes from well established publishers, new and emerging creators, and individual users who create content as a part of their online journey via comments, reviews, public forums, and social media. We use algorithms to organize that content according to our best understanding of usefulness in addressing the intent and needs of our users.

- The Google Search index represents more than 100 million gigabytes of data, mapping hundreds of billions of webpages. If it were to be printed out as books and stacked, there would be enough for 12 round trips to the moon.
- There are billions of Search queries around the world every day, and 15% of the searches we see each day are searches we’ve never seen before.
- More than 500 hours of content are uploaded to YouTube every minute.

To determine whether a piece of content is useful, we must first establish a user’s intent. That intent may have been expressed by typing something into a Google Search bar or by watching a cricket match on YouTube, thus passively showing an interest in videos about other cricket games or sporting events.

Usually, multiple pieces of content are relevant to a user’s intent, which is why we look to a variety of other factors to rank these pieces of content. Our ranking algorithms look for signals that indicate the expertise, authoritativeness, and trustworthiness of every piece of content so that the best results for the user at that time are at the top. One early and well-known example of this type of algorithm is PageRank, which uses links on the web to assess the importance of a given website.

We are constantly improving these ranking systems. In 2019, Google Search ran more than 383,605 tests to measure the quality of search results and launched more than 3,600 updates to the algorithms that produce them.12

---

12 For more information on these tests, how they are run, and how we rate Google Search results: see www.google.com/search/howsearchworks
To ensure Search algorithms meet high standards of relevance and quality, we have a rigorous process that involves both live tests and thousands of trained external Search Quality Raters from around the world. Our Search Quality Rater Guidelines which we first published in 2013, define the goals of our ranking systems as they evolve over time, and include the criteria that our raters use to assess the expertise, authority, and trustworthiness of pages. The ratings provided by our Search Quality Raters help us benchmark the quality of our results so that we can meet a high bar for users of Google Search all around the world.

The authority or scientific accuracy of a page is not equally important to all user experiences or all contexts. When a user searches for, or interacts with, entertainment content on YouTube, the reliability of that content matters less. On the other hand, when a user interacts with content related to topics such as their livelihood, civic participation, or news, the trustworthiness of the content provided in response matters considerably more. In such contexts, the health, financial stability, future happiness, or safety of an individual may be directly affected by unreliable information. We refer to these types of topics as “Your Money or Your Life” (YMYL).

For these “YMYL” topics, we assume that users expect us to operate with our strictest standards of trustworthiness and safety. As such, where our algorithms detect that a user’s query relates to a “YMYL” topic, we give more weight in our ranking systems to factors like our understanding of the authoritativeness, expertise, or trustworthiness of the pages we present in response. For example, when a user is looking for specific medical information or advice, we work to provide content from authoritative sources like health professionals and medical organizations.

Elevating authoritative information
We develop features or ranking changes that elevate authoritative information, including:

- **TOP AND BREAKING NEWS SHELVES ON YOUTUBE:** On YouTube, a ‘Top News shelf’ and a ‘Breaking News shelf’ prominently display authoritative political news information. The Top News shelf triggers in response to searches that have political news-seeking intent and provides content from verified news channels. The Breaking News shelf triggers on

---

13 https://www.google.com/search/howsearchworks/mission/users/
14 For more on Search Quality Raters Guidelines, see www.google.com/search/howsearchworks
the YouTube homepage automatically when there is a significant news event happening in a specific country and is similarly restricted to authoritative and verified news sources.

- The Breaking and Top News shelves are currently available in more than **40 countries**.
- In 2019, consumption on authoritative news partners’ channels on YouTube grew by **more than 60%**.

---

**ADDITIONAL PROTECTIONS DURING BREAKING NEWS EVENTS:** On both **Google Search and YouTube**, breaking news events, and the heightened level of interest that they elicit, are magnets for bad behavior by bad actors. Speculation can outrun facts as legitimate news outlets on the ground are still investigating. At the same time, bad actors are publishing content on forums and social media with the intent to mislead and capture people’s attention as they rush to find trusted information. To reduce the visibility of this type of content, we
Another way we connect users to authoritative content is by providing contextual information that can be used to help them determine for themselves the trustworthiness of the content they are provided. This isn’t possible everywhere, but where we have it, these features let users dig deeper on a story or piece of content.

For example:

- On Google and YouTube, Knowledge and Information Panels may appear in search results to provide context and basic information about people, places, or things that Google knows about.

- On YouTube, for channels operated by broadcasters that are funded or operated by their country’s governments, an Information Panel under each video from that channel clearly indicates that the channel receives government or public funding.

- On YouTube, there have been billions of impressions of Information Panels around the world since June 2018.

- On Google News, we provide a ‘Full Coverage’ button under links to individual articles so that users who seek to explore a story further can easily access a non-personalized, comprehensive set of articles published on the topic. This often features a timeline, tweets, or fact-checks that help further contextualize the story.

- On Google Search and News, we have highlighted fact checks for almost three years as a way to help people make more informed judgments about the content they encounter online. People come across these fact checks billions of times per year, and we have been expanding similar features to YouTube and Google Image Search.

How we work to avoid personal bias in our ranking system and beyond

We build our products for everyone. While our more than 100,000 employees around the world hold a wide variety of views, we have safeguards in place to ensure that we design and enforce our policies in a way that is free from improper bias.
As mentioned earlier, to ensure Search algorithms meet high standards of relevance and quality, we have a rigorous process that involves both live tests and feedback from thousands of trained external Search Quality Raters from around the world.16

The Search Quality Rater Guidelines that define the goals of our ranking systems include the criteria that our raters use to assess the expertise, authority, and trustworthiness of pages.17 These criteria do not include political ideology and specifically provide guidance for raters that “ratings should be based on the instructions and examples given in these guidelines. Ratings should not be based on your personal opinions, preferences, religious beliefs, or political views.” Furthermore, whether a business, individual, or organization buys ads is not a factor in our search algorithms. We never provide special treatment to advertisers in how our search algorithms rank their websites, nor how our policies are enforced, and nobody can pay us to do so.

In addition, we conduct live traffic experiments to measure how users interact with a new feature before releasing it more widely. Results from these experiments are reviewed by experienced engineers and search analysts. They collectively determine whether the change is approved to launch. In 2019, we conducted over 460,000 experiments with trained external Search Quality Raters and live tests, which resulted in more than 3,600 improvements to Google Search.

This commitment goes beyond ranking. A diverse set of external and internal stakeholders are consulted during policy development. Our process involves multiple Google teams, and leaders are involved in finalizing a new or updated policy. We outline our product policies and guidelines in help centers and other fora so that our users can understand the rules that apply to our products.

In addition, we enforce our policies consistently, regardless of who or what is involved. “Gray area” cases – those that approach a policy boundary – are reviewed by multiple people to ensure that an appropriate decision is made, and we have a rigorous quality assurance process for all cases across our products. We approach with similar caution the development and use of the safety lists that help us ensure, for instance, that a website we demonetised for the most

---

16 [https://www.google.com/search/howsearchworks/mission/users/](https://www.google.com/search/howsearchworks/mission/users/)
17 For more on Search Quality Raters Guidelines, see [www.google.com/search/howsearchworks](www.google.com/search/howsearchworks)
Sometimes, there are no expert, authoritative sources we can elevate in response to a search or perceived user intent. This class of situations, where the data available to respond to a user’s query is limited, non-existent, or deeply problematic, have been referred to by researchers as “data voids.” We have made progress addressing such data voids during breaking news events. The ranking systems on Google Search and YouTube are trained to detect breaking news events and emphasize authority in search results while a crisis is developing. We continue to explore other ways of addressing the issue of “data voids” across our products and services.

Furthermore, users may decide to seek and select content that our signals determine to be of low-quality, which still appears on our platforms because it does not infringe on our policies. If and when they do, as stated earlier in this paper, we believe it is of fundamental importance to respect their choices.
Reduce: Limiting the reach of borderline content

We set a high bar for amplifying content on our platforms. While every piece of content that is available on our services should be discoverable if users are actively looking for it, not all content is appropriate to recommend to a user – and we have no obligation to do so. That is why our systems and policies seek to ensure that we do not proactively expose users to content that is potentially harmful.

This applies to the features of our products and services where we recommend content to users (e.g., YouTube’s recommendations feature), where we give prominent treatment to a piece of content (e.g., Featured Snippets in Google Search results), where content is determined by partnerships or curation (e.g., Knowledge Panels in Google Search or Information Panels on YouTube), and where we may help people complete an intended search based on real searches that happen on Google (e.g., Autocomplete).

Reducing recommendations of borderline content and harmful misinformation on YouTube

In January 2019, we announced that we would begin reducing recommendations on YouTube of borderline content or videos that could misinform users in harmful ways. We continue to extend these efforts to more countries outside the United States, including the United Kingdom, Ireland, South Africa, and other English-language markets. In addition, we have begun expanding this effort to non-English-language markets, starting with Brazil, France, Germany, Mexico, and Spain. We have launched over 30 different changes to our recommendations systems on YouTube in order to reduce recommendations of borderline content and harmful misinformation. In 2019, we saw a more than 70% average drop in “Watch time” of this content coming from non-subscribed recommendations in the United States.

Determining what is harmful misinformation or borderline content is challenging, especially given the wide variety of videos uploaded to YouTube. To do it, we rely on external evaluators from around the world to provide input on the quality of a set of videos. These
evaluators use the same rater guidelines as Google Search to guide their work. Each evaluated video receives up to nine different ratings, with some content requiring ratings from certified experts in the field. For example, medical doctors provide guidance on the validity of videos about specific medical treatments to limit the spread of medical misinformation. Based on consensus input from these raters, we use well-tested machine learning systems to build models that help review hundreds of thousands of hours of videos every day to identify and limit the spread of borderline content. The accuracy of these systems continues to improve over time.

Building safer experiences for kids and families
We build products for kids and families from the ground up to help parents and educators support safer experiences for their children and students.

- **Family Link** is available by default on the latest Android operating system and helps parents stay in-the-loop as their child explores the internet on a compatible device. The app lets parents set digital ground rules for their family, like managing the apps their child can use, keeping an eye on screen time, or setting a bedtime and daily limit for their child’s device.

- **Assistant for Families**: Children signed in with their own account, created through Family Link, are given a more kid-friendly experience using the Google Assistant – with access to answers and features created especially for them.

- **YouTube Kids** provides a separate YouTube experience designed especially for children, which parents can control. The app uses a mix of filters, user feedback, and content moderators to keep the videos in YouTube Kids family-friendly, allowing children to explore a catalog of content in a safer environment. In addition, parental control tools allow families to hand-select all of the content their children watch, or to choose content from third-party collections assembled by experts, like UNICEF and PBS Kids.
Google Play has designed policies aimed to ensure that apps for children have appropriate content, show suitable ads, and handle personally identifiable information (PII) correctly. They also reduce the chance that apps not intended for children could unintentionally attract them. We are now asking every developer to thoughtfully consider whether children are part of their target audience via our new policy requirements, and requesting that in-app ads served to children are from an ads network that has certified compliance.

- Expedition and Socratic support kids’ classroom and learning experiences.

For more information on our work, visit https://safety.google/families/
Reward: Setting a higher standard for monetization

We set a particularly high bar for information quality on services that involve advertising and content monetization, which includes Google Ads and AdSense. We have no desire to derive revenue for ourselves, or any other business, from harmful content or behaviors. In addition, given that many bad actors seek to make money by spreading harmful content, raising the bar for monetization can also diminish their incentives to misuse our services.

We prohibit hateful content and deceptive behavior on our advertising products. This includes prohibiting publishers that seek to use our services from displaying ads on pages aimed at harassing and bullying, or otherwise promoting dangerous or derogatory content. We also prohibit publishers that seek to misrepresent the primary purpose of their web destination. For instance, in 2017, we discovered that a group of publishers in Macedonia had created a group of websites all presenting themselves as American news outlets. Upon investigation of that group, it became clear that the sites were not legitimate news outlets and we demonetized them.

We also restrict certain kinds of businesses from using our advertising products in order to prevent users from being exploited. For example, studies show that for-profit bail bond providers in the United States make most of their revenue from communities of color and low-income neighborhoods when they are at their most vulnerable, including through opaque financing offers that can keep people in debt for months or years. After working with experts in this space, we decided to take action to protect our users by creating a new policy to restrict ads that promote bail bond services on our platforms.

On YouTube, our partner program allows creators to monetize their content and access additional tools to build their channels. Creators must meet a threshold of subscribers and public Watch time and follow YouTube’s monetization policies. We closely monitor signals like community strikes, spam, and other abuse flags. Violations of these policies may result in disabling ads from certain videos, disabling a channel’s AdSense account, suspension from the YouTube Partner Program, or channel termination.
We continue to improve our policies to ensure that these and other services are not used to create or propagate harmful information experiences that lead to monetization for anyone, especially Google.

Preventing the monetization of low-quality content

In addition to it not being consistent with our company purpose, it is also not in our business interest to allow for the advertising or monetization of low-quality content. Advertisers typically prefer not to profit from this sort of content, or enable it for monetization, and we have a vested interest in ensuring that they view us as trustworthy partners in protecting the integrity of their brands. We invest significantly in human and technology resources to prevent it. Neither we, nor the advertisers that rely on our platforms, wish to be associated with such low-quality content.

For instance, in 2018:

- We terminated nearly **734,000 publishers and app developers** from our ad network.
- We removed ads completely from nearly **1.5 million apps**.
- We also modified the YouTube Partner Program eligibility requirement for monetization to **4,000 hours of Watch time** within the past 12 months and **1,000 subscribers**.

And in 2019, we **terminated over 1.2 million accounts and removed ads from over 21 million web pages** that are part of our publisher network for violating our policies.
Working with others

Managing information quality and content moderation across our products and services requires significant resources and effort. The speed at which content is created and shared, and the sophisticated efforts of bad actors who wish to cause harm, compound the challenge of fulfilling our mission. Fortunately, we are not alone.

We work with many talented experts and organizations across the technology industry, government, and civil society to ensure that we are doing everything we can to set good policies, establish, share, and learn from industry best practices, as well as get ahead of emerging challenges. Here are some examples of that work.

Collaboratively identifying violative content
Building on our own efforts, we rely on a community of partners who have specific subject-matter expertise to help us identify content that violates our rules of the road.

The YouTube Trusted Flagger program was developed by YouTube to help provide robust tools for individuals, government agencies, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that are particularly effective at notifying YouTube of content that violates our Community Guidelines. The program provides these partners with a bulk-flagging tool and provides a channel for ongoing discussion and feedback about YouTube’s approach to various content areas.

The program is part of a network of over 180 academics, government partners, and NGOs that bring valuable expertise to our enforcement systems. For instance, to help address violent extremism, these partners include the International Center for the Study of Radicalization at King’s College London, the Institute for Strategic Dialogue, the Wahid Institute in Indonesia, and government agencies focused on counterterrorism.

Participants in the Trusted Flagger program receive training in enforcing YouTube’s Community Guidelines. Because their flags have a higher action rate than the average user, we prioritize them for review. Content flagged by Trusted Flaggers is subject to the same policies as content flagged by any other user and is reviewed by our
We also commission or partner with organizations specialized in tracking and documenting the work of threat actors who seek to target our products and services around the world. We typically do not share much information about these partnerships in order to protect these companies and their employees from the threat actors they monitor. Some examples of this work are public, such as our work with FireEye, a cybersecurity company, to detect a number of security incidents and influence-operations.
Evolving and improving our policies

We must always work to improve our policies in light of changing user behaviors or expectations, and in response to the constantly evolving tactics of malicious actors. We often seek the advice of subject-matter experts in the appropriate field, gaining important perspectives from academic researchers, civil society organizations, and others in the industry.

Hate speech policies on YouTube

After consulting with dozens of experts in subjects like violent extremism, supremacism, civil rights, and free speech, we updated our policies on YouTube to prohibit videos which allege that a group is superior in order to justify discrimination, segregation, or exclusion based on qualities like age, gender, race, caste, religion, sexual orientation, or veteran status.

We also worked with experts to develop more stringent harassment policies, and, as a result of these changes and our ongoing enforcement, we removed over 100,000 videos and 100 million comments for hate and harassment in the first quarter of 2020 alone. That said, we know there’s more work to do, and we continue to examine how our policies and products are working for everyone.

Developing best practices to improve the internet ecosystem

We work with other technology companies and industry partners to address challenges that span multiple products and ecosystems by identifying where cooperation would be beneficial and where the resources of a company like
Google can help increase the capacity of others. This type of collaboration is often the most effective mechanism for fighting bad actors at scale.

**Fighting child sexual abuse material**

In order to help eradicate the horrors of Child Sexual Abuse Material (CSAM), Google joined with other industry members in the Technology Coalition in 2006. We make cutting-edge technology available to qualifying industry and non-governmental organizations for free in order to help identify, remove, and report illegal CSAM more quickly and at a greater scale. In the last decade-plus, member companies have made progress with the development and roll-out of innovative technology to combat CSAM, and, in 2020, the Coalition announced ‘Project Protect,’ a renewed investment and strategic plan to enhance our collective work.²⁰

Tools like CSAI Match and Content Safety API, which were developed by Google and YouTube engineers, help prioritize potentially illegal content for review while identifying known and never-before-seen CSAM. In addition to being used on our platforms, these tools are also being used by companies like Adobe, Tumblr, and Reddit to aid in the faster identification of potential victims of CSAM, while reducing the toll on content moderators.

**Countering terrorism content**

In order to substantially disrupt terrorists’ ability to promote terrorism, disseminate violent extremist propaganda, and exploit or glorify real-world acts of violence using our platforms, we have partnered with others in the industry to establish the Global Internet Forum to Counter Terrorism (GIFCT).

²⁰ [https://www.technologycoalition.org/2020/05/28/a-plan-to-combat-online-child-sexual-abuse/](https://www.technologycoalition.org/2020/05/28/a-plan-to-combat-online-child-sexual-abuse/)
and violent extremist content to a database so that it can be swiftly removed from all participating platforms.

By sharing best practices and collaborating on cross-platform tools we have been able to:

- Increase our hash-sharing database to 200,000 hashes.
- Build a global research network that aims to better understand the ways in which terrorists use technology.
- Bring new members to the GIFCT and engage more than 100 smaller technology companies through workshops around the world.

This is a quickly evolving challenge that requires us to continue improving our tools and approach alongside the threats we face. GIFCT is a crucial part of this. For example, in 2019, the tragic events of Christchurch underscored the urgent need to improve the exchange of information between platforms to address the challenge posed by live uploads and coordinated reuploads of terrorist content.

We were proud to be part of the Christchurch Call to Action to Eliminate Terrorist and Violent Extremist Content Online and to make progress toward its commitments. For instance, GIFCT developed and implemented a protocol for responding to real-world events involving the murder of defenseless innocents and civilians, which has since been activated to address a violent attack featuring perpetrator-filmed content. At the end of 2019, YouTube co-organized a crisis prevention workshop with the New Zealand Government in Wellington, NZ, attended by participants from around the globe, during which the protocols were further refined.

In 2020, GIFCT is growing into an independent organization, led by an executive director and supported by dedicated technology, counterterrorism, and operations teams. This new, independent GIFCT continues to support a program of knowledge-sharing, technical innovation, and shared research in collaboration with experts, civil society, and government, building on lessons from 2019. It also continues its progress in fulfilling the commitments of the Christchurch Call to Action, supporting academic research, promoting counterspeech efforts online, and empowering a broad range of technology companies to prevent and respond to abuse of their platforms.

We continue to develop and learn from these collaborations over time and seek more opportunities to develop best practices jointly with partners in industry and government.
Supporting information quality through regulation

Thoughtful regulation is good for society and the internet, and nowhere is it more important to get the balance right than in the debate over content online.

Many laws, from consumer protection to defamation to privacy, already govern content online. A smart legal framework for online platforms has been essential to enabling a reasonable approach to illegal content. For instance, appropriate safe havens spell out how online platforms can fulfill their legal responsibilities when notified about illegal content, and ensure an online platform that takes other voluntary steps to address illegal or otherwise harmful content is not penalized. These laws have promoted the free flow of information, innovation, and economic growth, while giving platforms the legal certainty they need to combat problematic content.

Effective oversight of content moderation practices can also play a complementary role. Throughout the internet’s history, industries, policymakers, and civil society have worked on codes of practice to guide appropriate behavior by online services. As content sharing services like social media and video sharing sites have become more important to public discourse, oversight methods will continue to evolve as well, so as to better review platforms’ efforts in light of best practices.

We think new forms of oversight can work well when they focus on a specific, clearly defined problem and do three things:

- **Set out standards for transparency and best practices:** Transparency provides the starting point for effective practices and the basis for an informed discussion. Because technology is not static and new forms of communication continue to evolve, oversight should take a flexible, collaborative approach that supports best practices, and promotes research and innovation.

- **Address systemic, recurring failures, not one-offs:** The scope and complexity of modern platforms requires an approach that focuses on overall results rather than anecdotes. While we will never eliminate all problematic content, we should recognize progress in making that content less prominent and use data-driven approaches to understand whether particular errors are outliers or representative of a more significant problem.

- **Foster international cooperation:** Given the multinational nature of modern platforms, and recognizing people’s abilities to communicate and access information from other people across the world, countries
should share best practices with one another and avoid conflicting approaches that impose undue compliance burdens. International coordination should strive to align on broad principles and practices. That said, individual countries will make different choices about permissible speech based on their legal traditions, history, and values, consistent with international human rights obligations. Content that is illegal in one country may be lawful in another, and no one country should be able to impose its rules on the citizens of another country.

Responding to misinformation about the COVID-19 Pandemic

We rely on the principles and levers outlined above to address all new developments and challenges that relate to information quality or content moderation across our services. The coronavirus pandemic has been one such development – unexpected and unprecedented in its magnitude.

To address it, we carefully examined our policies and practices to ensure we were addressing emerging issues. For instance, as we found that COVID-19 was becoming a lure for scams of various sorts, we dedicated a microsite to help users identify and protect themselves from COVID-19-related scams.

One particularly pertinent area of our work for purposes of this paper is how built upon our prior work against dis- and misinformation in order to make sure that we elevate authoritative health information and that we combat harmful medical misinformation across our services.

Elevating trustworthy information around COVID-19

We have worked to surface trusted information and partner with health organizations and governments in order to bring our users authoritative information in a rapidly changing environment:

- **In Search**, we have introduced a comprehensive experience for COVID-19 that provides easy access to information from health authorities alongside new data and visualizations. This new format organized the search results page to help people easily navigate resources and makes it possible to add more information as it becomes available over time. This experience came as a complement to pre-existing work on [Google Search](https://www.google.com) and [Google]

---

21 https://safety.google/securitytips-covid19/

News to recognize sensitive events and contexts, and our systems are designed to elevate authoritative sources for those classes of queries.

- **On the Google Homepage**, in partnership with the World Health Organization and other health authorities, we have promoted important guidance to prevent the spread of COVID-19. The efforts, including "Stay Home" doodles and messaging on our homepage, have launched in more than 100 countries to date.

- **Across YouTube**, we elevated authoritative sources such as the WHO and local authorities to help users get the latest COVID-19 information. We’ve launched a COVID-19 news shelf on our homepage that features stories from authoritative publishers and local health authorities, health information panels in search results that feature information on COVID-19 symptoms, prevention, and treatment, and links to local health authorities on the watch pages of COVID-19 related videos. In addition, YouTube elevates content from authoritative channels such as news organizations or health authorities when our systems detect that a user’s search is health-related.

- **In Google News**, we have created a new COVID-19 section with links to up-to-date, relevant stories from the international to local levels from a variety of authoritative sources. The section is now available to users across 40 top impacted markets and puts local news front and center by highlighting stories about the virus from local publishers in the reader’s area.
On Google Maps, we have made it easier to find authoritative information about local health resources, including COVID-19 testing sites, shelters, food banks and virtual healthcare options where available. We also used authoritative data sources to display updated information about whether local businesses are open during COVID-19. In addition, we have provided businesses with new ways to update their listing information and service offerings such as restaurants that are offering takeout or delivery, but are closed for dine-in.

On Google Play, we prioritized the review and publication of policy-compliant apps published, commissioned or authorized by official government entities and public health organizations. Authorized COVID-19 apps must comply with all Play Developer policies, including User Data, Permissions, and Malicious Behavior. We also launched a “stay informed” page in the Play Store with apps that can help users stay informed and prepared during the crisis, using authoritative sources such as the WHO app.

A new website, which provided resources dedicated to COVID-19 education and prevention, has also been released. As of the release of this paper, it continues to be available on www.google.com/COVID-19 in more than twenty languages and we’re continually working to expand its coverage.

Combating health misinformation across our services:
In addition to elevating authoritative information, we have taken active steps to detect and remove COVID-19 related misinformation that contradicts guidance from health authorities and may result in real-world harm:

On YouTube, our Community Guidelines prohibit content that encourages dangerous or illegal activities that risk serious physical harm or death, including certain types of medical misinformation. As the COVID-19 situation has evolved, we have partnered closely with the World Health Organization and local health authorities to ensure that our policy enforcement is effective in preventing the spread of harmful misinformation relating to COVID-19. Our policies prohibit, for example, content that explicitly disputes the efficacy of WHO or local health authority advice regarding social distancing that may lead people to act against that guidance. We enforce these policies diligently and, in addition, continue the work we initiated in 2019 to reduce recommendations of borderline content or videos that could misinform users in harmful ways.
• On Google Play, our policies prohibit developers from capitalizing on sensitive events. Our long-standing content policies strictly prohibit apps that feature health-related content or functionalities that are misleading or potentially harmful, including about COVID-19. Apps that violate these policies will be removed.

• On Maps, our policies prohibit misinformation about prevention, transmission and treatment services, as well as allegations that an individual contracted COVID-19 at a particular location. These types of contributed content will be removed.

• On Google Ads, our policies do not allow ads that potentially capitalize on or lack reasonable sensitivity towards a sensitive event, such as a public health emergency. Over time, we started phasing in allowances for COVID-related ads from government organizations, healthcare providers, non-governmental organizations, intergovernmental organizations, verified election ads advertisers and managed private sector accounts with a history of policy compliance who want to get relevant information out to the public. Ads that were allowed still had to abide by our policies, which also disallow the promotion of harmful medical or health claims and practices. In addition, we enforced a temporary restriction on personal protective equipment and we are taking additional steps to prevent artificially inflated prices that limit or prohibit access to other essential items on Google’s network. More information can be found in our Google Ads Help Center.

Over the course of the pandemic, we have continuously reviewed and improved these policies and our enforcement in order to respond to the changing landscape of COVID-19 related misinformation.

Supporting content moderators:
In the face of temporary reductions in our extended workforce, we reallocated employees to prioritize addressing egregious content and supported their doing this work onsite, taking extra precautions on hygiene and providing private transportation. These content moderators ensured we still had capacity to action high priority workflows and flags for egregious content, including flags from our Trusted Flagger program and governments.

Where feasible, we relied more heavily on automated systems, to reduce the need for people to come into the office. Given the resulting risk of false positives (more legitimate content being automatically but incorrectly removed), we also worked to ensure content creators
could appeal and would not wrongly receive strikes against their accounts.

**Helping users stay abreast of our work:**
Over the course of the crisis, we have provided publicly available information so as to help users, civil society, and other interested parties abreast of our work via dedicated pages on Google's blog and on YouTube’s Help Center.
Conclusion

Information quality and content moderation are crucial to Google’s mission. They embody a commitment to our users to provide trustworthy, useful information that meets their needs and protects them from harm. It is a commitment on which we are judged every day and in every user interaction.

They are also unique, significant challenges. Reasonable people can disagree on desirable outcomes in addressing them. Bad actors are persistent and creative. And the breadth of content available online makes it impossible to give each piece of content an equal amount of attention, human review, and deliberation. Our work to address them will not soon be complete.

Never in our short history has the impact of our work mattered more to society. We continue to invest in developing and improving the policies, products, tools, processes, and teams that handle information quality and content moderation across our platforms. It is critical to our business and to the societies in which we operate that we get it right.

We are confident and optimistic that the approach we have described in this paper is meeting the challenge with measurable success. This approach to supporting information quality across our various products and services will continue to adapt to the changing nature of the challenge, using these four complementary levers:

- **Remove**: we set responsible rules for each of our products and services and take action against content and behaviors that infringe on them. We also comply with legal obligations requiring the removal of content.
- **Raise**: we elevate high-quality content and authoritative sources where it matters most.
- **Reduce**: we reduce the spread of potentially harmful information where we feature or recommend content.
- **Reward**: we set a high standard of quality and reliability for publishers and content creators who would like to monetize or advertise their content.

We will continue exercising these four levers across our products and services, learning and improving over time, while collaborating with industry partners, civil society, and governments. Together, we will chart a future that preserves users’ rights and upholds the values of the open web while providing a better and safer experience for everyone. These efforts are in direct alignment with Google’s founding mission, with the demands of our users, and the societies in which we operate.
We welcome your feedback on this approach and our progress.
Welcome to the Google Advertising Policies Center

Overview of our policies

We want to support a healthy digital advertising ecosystem—one that is trustworthy and transparent, and works for users, advertisers, and publishers. The purpose of this help center is to help you build Google Ads campaigns that align with our advertising policies listed below. These policies are designed not only to abide by laws but to ensure a safe and positive experience for our users. This means that our policies prohibit some content that we believe to be harmful to users and the overall advertising ecosystem.

We use a combination of automated and human evaluation to ensure Google Ads comply with these policies.

Our advertising policies cover four broad areas:

- **Prohibited content:** Content you can’t advertise on the Google Network
- **Prohibited practices:** Things you can’t do if you want to advertise with us
- **Restricted content and features:** Content you can advertise, but with limitations
- **Editorial and technical:** Quality standards for your ads, websites, and apps

Click through the policies below for policy definitions, examples, and troubleshooting steps.

Prohibited content

**Counterfeit goods**
Google Ads prohibits the sale or promotion for sale of counterfeit goods. Counterfeit goods contain a trademark or logo that is identical to or substantially indistinguishable from the trademark of another. They mimic the brand features of the product in an attempt to pass themselves off as a genuine product of the brand owner. This policy applies to the content of your ad and your website or app.

**Dangerous products or services**

We want to help keep people safe both online and offline, so we don’t allow the promotion of some products or services that cause damage, harm, or injury.

*Examples of dangerous content:* Recreational drugs (chemical or herbal); psychoactive substances; equipment to facilitate drug use; weapons, ammunition, explosive materials and fireworks; instructions for making explosives or other harmful products; tobacco products

**Enabling dishonest behavior**

We value honesty and fairness, so we don’t allow the promotion of products or services that are designed to enable dishonest behavior.

*Examples of products or services that enable dishonest behavior:* Hacking software or instructions; services designed to artificially inflate ad or website traffic; fake documents; academic cheating services

**Inappropriate content**

We value diversity and respect for others, and we strive to avoid offending users, so we don’t allow ads or destinations that display shocking content or promote hatred, intolerance, discrimination, or violence.

*Examples of inappropriate or offensive content:* bullying or intimidation of an individual or group, racial discrimination, hate group paraphernalia, graphic crime scene or accident images, cruelty to animals, murder, self-harm, extortion or blackmail, sale or trade of endangered species, ads using profane language

**Prohibited practices**

**Abusing the ad network**

We want ads across the Google Network to be useful, varied, relevant, and safe for users. We don’t allow advertisers to run ads, content, or destinations that attempt to trick or circumvent our ad review processes.

*Examples of abuse of the ad network:* promoting content that contains malware; “cloaking” or using other techniques to hide the true destination that users are directed to; “arbitrage” or promoting destinations for the sole or primary purpose of showing ads; promoting “bridge” or “gateway” destinations that are solely designed to send users elsewhere; advertising with the sole or primary intent of gaining public social network endorsements from the user; “gaming” or manipulating settings in an attempt to circumvent our policy review systems

**Data collection and use**

We want users to trust that information about them will be respected and handled with appropriate care. As such, our advertising partners should not misuse this information, nor collect it for unclear purposes or without appropriate disclosures or security measures.

Note that additional policies apply when using personalized advertising, which includes remarketing and custom audiences. If you use personalized advertising targeting features, be sure to review the personalized ads data collection and use policies.

*Examples of user information that should be handled with care:* full name; email address; mailing address; phone number; national identity, pension, social security, tax ID, health care, or driver’s license number; birth date or mother’s maiden name in addition to any of the above information; financial status; political affiliation; sexual orientation; race or ethnicity; religion

*Examples of irresponsible data collection & use:* obtaining credit card information over a non-secure server, promotions that claim to know a user’s sexual orientation or financial status, violations of our policies that apply to interest-based advertising and remarketing
Misrepresentation

We want users to trust the ads on our platform, so we strive to ensure ads are clear and honest, and provide the information that users need to make informed decisions. We don’t allow ads or destinations that deceive users by excluding relevant product information or providing misleading information about products, services, or businesses.

Examples of misrepresentation: omitting or obscuring billing details such as how, what, and when users will be charged; omitting or obscuring charges associated with financial services such as interest rates, fees, and penalties; failing to display tax or licence numbers, contact information, or physical address where relevant; making offers that aren’t actually available; making misleading or unrealistic claims regarding weight loss or financial gain; collecting donations under false pretenses; “phishing” or falsely purporting to be a reputable company in order to get users to part with valuable personal or financial information.

Restricted content and features

The policies below cover content that is sometimes legally or culturally sensitive. Online advertising can be a powerful way to reach customers, but in sensitive areas, we also work hard to avoid showing these ads when and where they might be inappropriate.

For that reason, we allow the promotion of the content below, but on a limited basis. These promotions may not show to every user in every location, and advertisers may need to meet additional requirements before their ads are eligible to run. Note that not all ad products, features, or networks are able to support this restricted content. Further details can be found in the Policy Center.

Default Ads Treatment

Google is committed to delivering a safe and trustworthy ad experience for all users. That’s why we limit serving certain types of ad categories for users that aren’t signed in or users that our systems indicate are under 18.

Sexual content

Ads should respect user preferences and comply with legal regulations, so we don’t allow certain kinds of sexual content in ads and destinations. Some kinds of sexual content in ads and destinations are allowed only if they comply with the policies below and don’t target minors, but they will only show in limited scenarios based on user search queries, user age, and local laws where the ad is being served.

Learn about what happens if you violate our policies.

Examples of restricted sexual content: Visible genitalia and female breasts, hook-up dating, sex toys, strip clubs, sexually suggestive live chat, and models in sexualized poses.

Alcohol

We abide by local alcohol laws and industry standards, so we don’t allow certain kinds of alcohol-related advertising, both for alcohol and drinks that resemble alcohol. Some types of alcohol-related ads are allowed if they meet the policies below, don’t target minors, and target only countries that are explicitly allowed to show alcohol ads.

Examples of restricted alcoholic beverages: beer, wine, sake, spirits or hard alcohol, Champagne, foiled wine, non-alcoholic beer, non-alcoholic wine, and non-alcoholic distilled spirits.

Copyrights

We abide by local copyright laws and protect the rights of copyright holders, so we don’t allow ads that are unauthorized to use copyrighted content. If you are legally authorized to use copyrighted content, apply for certification to advertise. If you see unauthorized content, submit a copyright-related complaint.

Gambling and games

We support responsible gambling advertising and abide by local gambling laws and industry standards, so we don’t allow certain kinds of gambling-related advertising. Gambling-related ads are allowed if they comply with the policies below and the advertiser has received the proper Google Ads certification. Gambling ads must target approved countries, have a landing page that displays information about responsible gambling, and never target minors. Check local regulations for the areas you want to target.
Examples of restricted gambling-related content: physical casinos; sites where users can bet on poker, bingo, roulette, or sports events; national or private lotteries; sports odds aggregator sites; sites offering bonus codes or promotional offers for gambling sites; online educational materials for casino-based games; sites offering “poker-for-fun” games; non-casino-based cash game sites

Healthcare and medicines

We are dedicated to following advertising regulations for healthcare and medicine, so we expect that ads and destinations follow appropriate laws and industry standards. Some healthcare-related content can’t be advertised at all, while others can only be advertised if the advertiser is certified with Google and targets only approved countries. Check local regulations for the areas you want to target.

Political content

We support responsible political advertising and expect all political ads and destinations to comply with local campaign and election laws for any areas they target. This policy includes legally mandated election “silence periods.”

Examples of political content: promotion of political parties or candidates, political issue advocacy

Financial services

We want users to have adequate information to make informed financial decisions. Our policies are designed to give users information to weigh the costs associated with financial products and services, and to protect users from harmful or deceitful practices. For the purposes of this policy, we consider financial products and services to be those related to the management or investment of money and cryptocurrencies, including personalized advice.

When promoting financial products and services, you must comply with state and local regulations for any region or country that your ads target — for example, include specific disclosures required by local law. Refer to our non-exhaustive list of country-specific requirements for more information but note that advertisers are expected to do their own research on the local regulations for any location their ads target.

Trademarks

There are multiple factors that determine when trademarks can be used in ads. Along with the factors described in our Policy Center, these policies apply only when a trademark owner has submitted a valid complaint to Google.

Legal requirements

You’re always responsible for ensuring that you comply with all applicable laws and regulations, in addition to Google’s advertising policies, for all of the locations where your ads are showing.

Other restricted businesses

We restrict certain kinds of businesses from advertising with us to prevent users from being exploited, even if individual businesses appear to comply with our other policies. Based on our own continuous reviews, and feedback from users, regulators, and consumer protection authorities, we occasionally identify products or services that are prone to abuse. If we feel that certain kinds of businesses pose an unreasonable risk to user safety or user experience, then we may limit or stop related ads from running.

Restricted ad formats and features

There are multiple factors that determine access to advanced ad formats and features on Google Ads. Certain ad formats are not available for all advertisers until they meet our specific requirements or complete the certification process.

Requirements for made for kids content

Advertisers may not run personalized ads on content set as made for kids. See here for categories restricted for advertising on made for kids content.

Editorial & technical requirements
We want to deliver ads that are engaging for users without being annoying or difficult to interact with, so we've developed editorial requirements to help keep your ads appealing to users. We've also specified technical requirements to help users and advertisers get the most out of the variety of ad formats we offer.

**Editorial**

In order to provide a quality user experience, Google requires that all ads, extensions, and destinations meet high professional and editorial standards. We only allow ads that are clear, professional in appearance, and that lead users to content that is relevant, useful, and easy to interact with.

*Examples of promotions that don’t meet these editorial and professional requirements:*

- overly generic ads that contain vague phrases such as “Buy products here”
- gimmicky use of words, numbers, letters, punctuation, or symbols such as FREE, f-r-e-e, and ₹€€!!

**Destination requirements**

We want consumers to have a good experience when they click on an ad, so ad destinations must offer unique value to users and be functional, useful, and easy to navigate.

*Examples of promotions that don’t meet destination requirements:*

- a display URL that does not accurately reflect the URL of the landing page, such as “google.com”
  taking users to “gmail.com”
- sites or apps that are under construction, parked domains, or are just not working
- sites that are not viewable in commonly used browsers
- sites that have disabled the browser’s back button

**Technical requirements**

To help us keep ads clear and functional, advertisers must meet our technical requirements.

**Ad format requirements**

In order to help you provide a quality user experience and deliver attractive, professional-looking ads, we only allow ads that comply with specific requirements for each ad format. Review the requirements for all ad formats that you’re using.

Note that we don’t allow Non-family safe ads in image ads, video ads, and other non-text ad formats. Read more about our Adult content policy.

Advertisers participating in beta programs of new ad formats should reach out to their Google Ads representatives or Google Ads customer support to learn about format-specific policy requirements.

*Examples of ad format requirements:* character limits for the ad headline or body, image size requirements, file size limits, video length limits, aspect ratios

**About our policies**

Google Ads enables businesses of all sizes, from around the world, to promote a wide variety of products, services, applications, and websites on Google and across our network. We want to help you reach existing and potential customers and audiences. However, to help create a safe and positive experience for users, we listen to their feedback and concerns about the types of ads they see. We also regularly review changes in online trends and practices, industry norms, and regulations. And finally, in crafting our policies, we also think about our values and culture as a company, as well as operational, technical, and business considerations. As a result, we have created a set of policies that apply to all promotions on the Google Network.

Google requires that advertisers comply with all applicable laws and regulations and the Google policies described above. It’s important that you familiarize yourself with and keep up to date on these requirements for the places where your business operates, as well as any other places your ads are showing. When we find content that violates these requirements, we may block it from appearing, and in cases of repeated or egregious violations, we may stop you from advertising with us.

https://support.google.com/adspolicy/answer/6008942?hl=en
Need help?
If you have questions about our policies, let us know: Contact Google Ads Support

Tell us what you think
Rate how helpful this page is and share your feedback with us below:
Exhibit E

Audit and Compliance Committee Charter
Board of Directors

Larry Page  
Sergey Brin  
Sundar Pichai  
John L. Hennessy  
Frances Arnold  
L. John Doerr  
Roger W. Ferguson, Jr.  
Ann Mather  
Alan R. Mulally  
K. Ram Shriram  
Robin L. Washington

Board Committees

Audit and Compliance Committee  
Leadership Development and Compensation Committee  
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee  
Executive Committee

Audit and Compliance Committee

Ann Mather, Chair  
Roger W. Ferguson, Jr.  
Alan R. Mulally

Audit and Compliance Committee Charter

Purpose

The purpose of the Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Directors of Alphabet Inc. (“Alphabet”) is to:
• Oversee Alphabet’s accounting and financial reporting processes, including Alphabet’s disclosure controls and procedures and system of internal controls and audits of Alphabet’s consolidated financial statements.

• Oversee Alphabet’s relationship with its independent auditors, including appointing or changing Alphabet’s auditors and ensuring their independence.

• Provide oversight regarding significant financial matters, including Alphabet’s tax planning, treasury policies, currency exposures, dividends and share issuance and repurchases.

• Review and discuss with management Alphabet’s major risk exposures, including financial, operational, data privacy and security, competition, legal, regulatory, compliance, civil and human rights, sustainability, and reputational risks, and the steps Alphabet takes to prevent, detect, monitor, and actively manage such exposures.

In carrying out Audit and Compliance Committee functions, the Audit and Compliance Committee must maintain free and open communication with Alphabet’s independent auditors and Alphabet’s management.

Appointment and Membership Requirements

The Audit and Compliance Committee shall be made up of at least the minimum number of independent members of the Board of Directors as required under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market (NASDAQ). Audit and Compliance Committee members are appointed by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors decides the Audit and Compliance Committee’s exact number and can at any time remove or replace a Committee member. The Board of Directors will also make all determinations regarding satisfaction of the membership requirements described below.

The Audit and Compliance Committee will comply with all applicable provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the NASDAQ and any other requirements of applicable law, including those related to independence and committee composition.

At least one member of the Audit and Compliance Committee must have past employment experience in finance or accounting, or comparable experience or background, which results in an understanding of GAAP and financial statements, an ability to apply GAAP principles in assessing accounting policies and accounting for estimates, accruals and reserves, experience in preparing, auditing and
evaluating financial statements with a level of complexity comparable to Alphabet's financial statements, an understanding of audit committee functions and an understanding of internal control over financial reporting. Having been a CEO, CFO or other senior officer with financial oversight responsibilities for a public company, for instance, would qualify.

Each member of the Audit and Compliance Committee must be able to read and understand fundamental financial statements, including Alphabet’s balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement.

Responsibilities

The Audit and Compliance Committee’s main responsibility is to oversee Alphabet’s financial reporting process (including Alphabet’s disclosure controls and procedures and system of internal controls). The Audit and Compliance Committee believes that Alphabet's policies and procedures should remain flexible in order to best react to changing conditions and circumstances. The following list includes the Audit and Compliance Committee’s main recurring processes in carrying out its responsibilities. This list is intended as a guide, with the understanding that the Audit and Compliance Committee can supplement it as appropriate, consistent with the requirements of the SEC and the NASDAQ.

1. Hiring and Selection of Auditors. The Audit and Compliance Committee will directly appoint, retain and compensate Alphabet's independent auditors. These independent auditors will report directly to, and be responsible to, the Audit and Compliance Committee.

2. Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services. The Audit and Compliance Committee is responsible for overseeing services provided by the independent auditors, including establishing a policy to decide what services will be performed and the approval requirements for these services.

3. Auditor Independence. The Audit and Compliance Committee is responsible for making sure it reviews at least annually the qualifications, performance and independence of the auditors. In addition, the Audit and Compliance Committee shall review a formal written statement explaining all relationships between the outside auditors and Alphabet consistent with the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditor’s communications with the Audit and Compliance Committee concerning independence. The Audit and Compliance Committee will maintain an active dialogue with the independent auditors, covering any disclosed relationships or services that may impact their objectivity and independence. The Audit and Compliance Committee will review all proposed hires by Alphabet or any of its
subsidiaries or controlled affiliates of management level or higher individuals formerly employed by the independent auditors who provided services to Alphabet or any of its subsidiaries or controlled affiliates. The Audit and Compliance Committee will take, or recommend to the Board of Directors that it take, appropriate actions to oversee the independence of Alphabet’s outside auditors.

4. Oversight of Auditors; Audit Plan. The Audit and Compliance Committee will be responsible for Alphabet’s relationship with its independent auditors. The Audit and Compliance Committee will discuss with the independent auditors the overall scope and plans for their audits and other financial reviews, as well as any other matters that are required to be discussed by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The Audit and Compliance Committee will oversee the rotation of the audit partners of Alphabet’s independent auditors as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the rules of the SEC. The Audit and Compliance Committee will be responsible for reviewing and resolving any disagreements between Alphabet’s management and the independent auditors regarding financial controls or financial reporting.

5. Risk Assessment. The Audit and Compliance Committee has responsibility for oversight of risks and exposures associated with (1) financial matters, particularly strategy, financial reporting, tax, accounting, disclosure, internal control over financial reporting, investment guidelines and credit and liquidity matters; (2) data privacy and security, competition, legal, regulatory, compliance, civil and human rights, sustainability, and reputational risks; and (3) our operations and infrastructure, particularly reliability, business continuity, and capacity. In order to facilitate this review, the Audit and Compliance Committee will meet in executive session with key management personnel and representatives of outside advisors as required.

6. Internal Controls. The Audit and Compliance Committee will discuss with management and the independent auditors the design, implementation, adequacy and effectiveness of Alphabet’s internal controls. The Audit and Compliance Committee will also meet separately with the independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations. The Audit and Compliance Committee will provide oversight over the system of internal controls, relying upon management’s and the independent auditors’ representations and assessments of, and recommendations regarding, these controls. The Audit and Compliance Committee will review any required disclosures regarding Alphabet’s internal controls.

7. Internal Audit Processes. The Audit and Compliance Committee will review the appointment of an internal auditing executive and the Chair of the Audit and Compliance Committee will meet separately with such executive at least once every
quarter. The Audit and Compliance Committee will review any significant issues raised in reports to management by the internal audit team. The Audit and Compliance Committee will also provide oversight of the internal audit department objectives, its mission, responsibilities, independence, performance and annual plan.

8. Quarterly and Annual Financial Statements. The Audit and Compliance Committee will review and discuss the annual audited financial statements and quarterly financial statements with management. The Audit and Compliance Committee will be responsible for making a recommendation to the Board of Directors as to whether Alphabet’s annual audited financial statements should be included in Alphabet’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

9. Proxy Report. The Audit and Compliance Committee will prepare any report required to be prepared by it for inclusion in any proxy statement of Alphabet under SEC rules and regulations.

10. Earnings Announcements. The Audit and Compliance Committee will review and discuss with management Alphabet’s quarterly earnings announcements and other public announcements regarding Alphabet’s results of operations.

11. Critical Accounting Policies. The Audit and Compliance Committee will obtain, review and discuss reports from the independent auditors about:

- all critical accounting policies and practices which Alphabet will use, and the qualities of those policies and practices;

- all alternative treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles that the auditors have discussed with management officials of Alphabet, ramifications of the use of these alternative disclosures and treatments, the treatment preferred by the independent auditors and the reasons for favoring that treatment; and

- other material written communications between the independent auditors and Alphabet management, such as any management letter or schedule of unadjusted differences.

The Audit and Compliance Committee will also discuss with the independent auditors and then disclose those matters whose disclosure is required by applicable auditing standards, including any critical audit matters, difficulties the independent auditors encountered in the course of the audit work, any restrictions on the scope of the independent auditors’ activities or on their access to requested information, and any significant disagreements with management.
12. **CEOs and CFO Certifications.** The Audit and Compliance Committee will review the CEOs and CFOs’ disclosure and certifications under Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

13. **Related Party Transactions.** The Audit and Compliance Committee will review and approve all related party transactions.

14. **Anonymous Complaint Handling Process.** The Audit and Compliance Committee will have responsibility for establishment and oversight of processes and procedures for (a) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints about accounting, internal accounting controls or audit matters, and (b) confidential and anonymous submissions by employees concerning questionable accounting, auditing and internal control matters. All such relevant complaints and submissions must be reported to the Audit and Compliance Committee.

15. **Ability to Investigate; Retention of Advisors.** The Audit and Compliance Committee has the power to investigate any matter brought to its attention, with full access to all Alphabet books, records, facilities and employees. The Audit and Compliance Committee has the sole authority to select, retain and terminate consultants, legal counsel or other advisors to advise the Audit and Compliance Committee, at the expense of Alphabet, and to approve the terms of any such engagement and the fees of any such consultants, legal counsel or advisors. In selecting a consultant or other advisor, the Audit and Compliance Committee will take into account factors it considers appropriate or as may be required by applicable law or listing standards.

16. **Review of Alphabet Policies.** The Audit and Compliance Committee will be responsible for reviewing and approving all changes to Alphabet’s Policy Against Insider Trading, Related Party Transaction Policy, Investment in Marketable Securities and Accounting for Marketable Securities Policy, Foreign Exchange and Accounting for Foreign Currency Hedges Policy, Code of Conduct, and Global Commitment and Signature Authority Policy. The Audit and Compliance Committee will review the implementation and effectiveness of these policies and Alphabet’s overall compliance program at least annually with management and Alphabet’s compliance and securities counsel. The Chief Legal Officer has express authority to communicate personally at any time with the Chair of the Audit and Compliance Committee about compliance matters.

The Audit and Compliance Committee will also periodically review and discuss with management, Alphabet’s overall hedging strategy and the use of swaps and other derivative instruments by Alphabet or any of its subsidiaries for hedging risks pursuant to Alphabet’s Investment Policy, other hedging policies, or otherwise. The Audit and Compliance Committee will have the authority to review and approve, at least annually, decisions by Alphabet or any of its subsidiaries to enter into swaps,
including those that may not be subject to clearing and exchange trading and execution requirements in reliance on the “end-user exception” under the Commodity Exchange Act, or other rules and regulations promulgated from time to time.

17. Review of Charter. The Audit and Compliance Committee will review and reassess the adequacy of this charter at least once a year and make recommendations to the Board regarding any proposed changes.

It is not the Audit and Compliance Committee’s responsibility to prepare and certify Alphabet’s financial statements, to guarantee the independent auditors’ report, or to guarantee other disclosures by Alphabet. These are the fundamental responsibilities of management and the independent auditors. The Audit and Compliance Committee members are not full-time Alphabet employees and do not perform the functions of auditors and accountants.

Restrictions on Independent Auditors Services

Alphabet’s independent auditors cannot perform any of the following services:

- bookkeeping or other services related to Alphabet’s accounting records or financial statements;
- financial information systems design and implementation;
- appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions or contribution-in-kind reports;
- actuarial services;
- internal audit outsourcing services;
- management or human resources functions;
- broker or dealer, investment adviser or investment banking services;
- legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit; and
- any other service that the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board of Directors determines, by regulation, would impair the independence of Alphabet’s auditors.

Meetings and Minutes

The Audit and Compliance Committee will meet at least four times each year, and will keep minutes of each meeting. The Audit and Compliance Committee decides
when and where it will meet, and must deliver a copy of this schedule in advance to the Board of Directors.

Unless the Board of Directors or this Charter provides otherwise, the Audit and Compliance Committee can make, alter or repeal rules for the conduct of its business. In the absence of these rules, the Audit and Compliance Committee will conduct its business in the same way the Board of Directors conducts its business.

Delegation of Authority; Chair of Audit and Compliance Committee

The Audit and Compliance Committee can delegate to one or more members of the Audit and Compliance Committee the authority to pre-approve audit and permissible non-audit services, as long as any pre-approval of services is presented to the full Audit and Compliance Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

The Audit and Compliance Committee can delegate to one or more members of the Audit and Compliance Committee the authority to pre-approve related party transactions, as long as any pre-approval of a transaction is presented to the full Audit and Compliance Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

The Audit and Compliance Committee cannot delegate its responsibilities to non-committee members.

Unless the chair of the Audit and Compliance Committee is elected by the full Board of Directors, the members of the Audit and Compliance Committee shall designate a chair by the majority vote of the full Committee membership. The Audit and Compliance Committee may change the chair at any time.

Last revised October 21, 2020

Leadership Development and Compensation Committee

Robin L. Washington, Chair
L. John Doerr
K. Ram Shriram

Leadership Development and Compensation Committee Charter
Purpose

The purpose of the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee (the “Committee”) is to oversee the compensation of the members of the Alphabet Board of Directors (the “Board of Directors”) and employees (“Employees”) (including executive officers) of Alphabet and its affiliates (“Alphabet”). The Committee will provide this oversight through a process that supports Alphabet’s business objectives and incorporates sound corporate governance principles. The Committee will also broadly oversee matters relating to the attraction, motivation, development and retention of Employees.

In undertaking these responsibilities, the Committee shall take into account factors it deems appropriate from time to time, including Alphabet’s business strategy, the risks to Alphabet and its business implied by the operation and structure of its compensation and incentive programs and awards, and the results of any shareholder advisory votes with respect thereto.

To this end, the Committee shall (i) establish, oversee and administer compensation policies and programs for Employees, (ii) review and approve compensation and incentive programs and awards for Alphabet’s executive officers, the Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors and such other Employees as it feels necessary or advisable (“senior management”), and the non-employee members of Alphabet’s Board of Directors (together with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee), (iii) administer Alphabet’s equity compensation plans, and (iv) perform other tasks necessary to promote sound corporate governance principles related to leadership development and compensation at Alphabet.

Appointment, Membership and Organization

The members of the Committee will be appointed by Alphabet’s Board of Directors and will consist of at least the minimum number of independent members of Alphabet’s Board of Directors as required under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market. Each member of the Committee will be “independent” in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, and will be a “non-employee director” within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Unless the chair of the Committee is elected by Alphabet’s Board of Directors, the members of the Committee shall designate a chair by the majority vote of the full Committee membership. The Committee may change the chair at any time.

The Chairman of Alphabet’s Board of Directors, any member of the Committee or the Secretary of Alphabet may call meetings of the Committee. Each appointed
Committee member will be subject to annual reconfirmation and may be removed by Alphabet’s Board of Directors at any time.

Responsibilities and Authority
The Committee will have the following responsibilities and authority:

1. Review and approve Alphabet’s general compensation strategy.

2. Establish annual and long-term performance goals for Alphabet’s senior management.

3. Conduct and review with the Board of Directors an annual evaluation of the performance of Alphabet’s senior management as appropriate.

4. Evaluate the competitiveness of the compensation of Alphabet’s senior management.

5. Review and approve the selection of Alphabet’s peer companies for the purposes of benchmarking compensation.

6. Review and approve all salaries, bonuses, equity awards, perquisites, post-service arrangements, stock ownership requirements and other compensation and benefit plans for Alphabet’s Chief Executive Officer and other members of senior management. Alphabet’s Chief Executive Officer shall not be present during voting or deliberations regarding his or her compensation.

7. Review and approve the terms of any offer letters, employment agreements, termination agreements or arrangements, change-in-control agreements, indemnification agreements and other material agreements between Alphabet, on the one hand, and its Chief Executive Officer or member of senior management, on the other.

8. Act as the administering Committee for Alphabet’s stock and bonus plans and for any equity, cash or similar compensation arrangements that may be adopted by Alphabet from time to time, with such authority and powers as are set forth in the respective instrument establishing such arrangements, including establishing performance metrics, determining bonus payouts and granting equity awards to Employees.

9. Provide oversight for overall compensation plans and benefit programs for Employees, monitor trends in executive and overall compensation and make recommendations to Alphabet’s Board of Directors with respect to improvements to such plans and programs or the adoption of new plans and programs. Review and
recommend to the Board of Directors for approval of compensation programs and stock ownership requirements as well as salaries, fees, bonuses and equity awards for the non-employee members of Alphabet’s Board of Directors.

10. Review plans for the development, retention and succession of Alphabet’s senior management.

11. Review Alphabet’s executive education and development programs.

12. Monitor Alphabet’s total equity usage for compensation and establish appropriate equity dilution levels.

13. Report regularly to Alphabet’s Board of Directors on the Committee’s activities.

14. Review and discuss with Alphabet management the annual Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) disclosure and the related tabular presentations regarding named executive officer compensation and, based on this review and discussions, recommend including the CD&A disclosure and related tabular presentations in Alphabet’s annual public filings.

15. Prepare and approve the annual Leadership Development and Compensation Committee Report to be included in Alphabet’s annual public filings.

16. Oversee risks and exposures associated with leadership assessment, management succession planning, and the operation and structure of compensation programs and arrangements, including incentive plans. The Committee shall provide regular reports to Alphabet’s Board of Directors. In order to facilitate this review, the Committee shall meet in executive session with key management personnel and/or representatives of outside advisors as required.

17. Perform a review, at least annually, of the performance of the Committee and its members, and report to Alphabet’s Board of Directors on the results of each review. In addition, the Committee shall review and reassess this Charter at least on an annual basis and recommend to Alphabet’s Board of Directors any improvements to this Charter that the Committee considers necessary or valuable.

18. The Committee has the power to investigate any matter brought to its attention, with full access to all of Alphabet’s books, records, facilities and Employees.

19. The Committee has the sole authority to select, retain, oversee and terminate compensation consultants, legal counsel or other advisors to advise the Committee, at the expense of Alphabet, and to approve the terms of any such engagement and the fees of any such consultant, legal counsel or advisor. In selecting any compensation consultant, legal counsel or other advisor, the Committee will take
into account factors it considers appropriate or as may be required by applicable law or listing standards.

Minutes and Meetings
The Committee will meet at least four times each year, and will keep minutes of each meeting. The Committee decides when and where it will meet, and must deliver a copy of this schedule in advance to Alphabet’s Board of Directors.

Unless Alphabet’s Board of Directors or this Charter provide otherwise, the Committee can make, alter or repeal rules for the conduct of its business. In the absence of these rules, the Committee will conduct its business in the same way the Alphabet’s Board of Directors conducts its business.

Delegation of Authority
The Committee can delegate any of its responsibilities to the extent allowed under applicable law. The Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees if the Committee feels this is appropriate.

Last revised April 24, 2019
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Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
John L. Hennessy, Chair
Frances Arnold

Alphabet Inc. Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter

Purpose
The purpose of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the “Committee”) of the Board of Directors of Alphabet Inc. (the “Board”) is to:

• Assist the Board by identifying and evaluating individuals qualified to become Board members, consistent with criteria approved by the Board and as provided in Alphabet’s Corporate Governance Guidelines.
• Recommend for the Board’s approval the slate of nominees to be proposed by the Board to stockholders for election to the Board or nominees for election to fill interim vacancies on the Board.

• Develop, update as necessary and recommend to the Board the governance principles applicable to Alphabet.

• Oversee the evaluation of the Board and management.

• Recommend to the Board the directors who will serve on each committee of the Board.

Appointment, Membership and Organization

The Committee will be made up of no fewer than the minimum number of independent members as may be required under the NASDAQ Stock Market rules. The Board decides the exact number of members and can at any time remove or replace a Committee member.

Each of the Committee's members will be appointed by the Board. The Committee will comply with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the NASDAQ Stock Market, and any other requirements of applicable law, including those related to independence.

Unless the Chair of the Committee is elected by the Board, the members of the Committee shall designate a chair by the majority vote of the full Committee membership. The Committee may change the chair at any time.

Responsibilities and Authority

The Committee will:

1. Evaluate the composition, size, tenure, organization and governance of the Board and its committees and take steps and make recommendations to the Board as necessary; determine future requirements; make recommendations to the Board about the appointment of directors to committees of the Board; and recommend the selection of chairs of these committees to the Board. The Committee will also review the chair of each committee of the Board at least every three years and make recommendations to the Board.

2. Periodically review and recommend to the Board for approval the compensation programs for non-employee members of the Board in conjunction with the Leadership Development and Compensation Committee.
3. Review and recommend to the Board director independence determinations made with respect to continuing and prospective directors.

4. Review and recommend to the Board Section 16 officer determinations with respect to Alphabet’s executive officers.

5. Develop, update as necessary and recommend to the Board policies for considering director nominees for election to the Board.

6. Recommend ways to enhance communications and relations with stockholders.

7. Evaluate and recommend candidates for election to the Board consistent with criteria approved by the Board and as provided by the Corporate Governance Guidelines, including nominees recommended by stockholders and nominees to fill interim vacancies. In this regard, in the event that the Committee will not be recommending an incumbent director for inclusion in the slate of nominees to be proposed by the Board to the stockholders for election to the Board, and provided that the incumbent director has not notified the Committee that he or she will be resigning (excluding any resignation tendered in accordance with Section II, Paragraph 6 of the Corporate Governance Guidelines) or that he or she does not intend to stand for re-election to the Board, then, in the case of an election to be held at an annual meeting of stockholders, the Committee will recommend the slate of nominees to the Board at least thirty (30) days prior to the latest date required by the provisions of Sections 2.14 (advance notice of stockholder business) and 2.15 (advance notice of director nominations) of Alphabet’s Bylaws (as such provisions may be amended from time to time) for stockholders to submit nominations for directors at such annual meeting, or in the case of an election to be held at a special meeting of stockholders, at least ten (10) days prior to the latest date required by the provisions of Sections 2.14 and 2.15 of the Bylaws for stockholders to submit nominations for directors at a special meeting. The Committee also will review, and determine whether to recommend that the Board accept, the resignation of any director who has tendered his or her resignation in accordance with Section II, Paragraph 6 of the Corporate Governance Guidelines, such resignation to be accepted, refused or refused subject to conditions by the Board in its discretion.

8. Oversee the Board’s performance and annual self-evaluation process, including conducting surveys of director observations, suggestions and preferences regarding how effectively the board operates. The Committee also will evaluate the participation of members of the Board in continuing education activities in accordance with NASDAQ rules.

9. Evaluate whether a director who notifies the Board of a change in job responsibilities continues to satisfy the Board’s membership criteria and
independence requirements and recommend action to be taken, if any, with respect to the director.

10. Evaluate and recommend termination of service of individual members of the Board as appropriate, in accordance with the Board’s governance principles, for cause or for other proper reasons.

11. Oversee risks and exposures associated with director and management succession planning, corporate governance, and overall board effectiveness. In order to facilitate this review, the Committee shall meet in executive session with key management personnel and representatives of outside advisors as required.

12. Make regular oral or written reports to the Board.

13. Review and re-examine this Charter and governance practices at least on an annual basis and make recommendations to the Board regarding any proposed changes.

14. Review annually the Committee’s own performance against responsibilities outlined in this Charter and as otherwise established by the Board.

The Committee has the power to investigate any matter brought to its attention, with full access to all books, records, facilities and employees of Alphabet. The Committee has the sole authority to select, retain and terminate consultants, legal counsel or other advisors, including director search firms, to advise the Committee, at the expense of Alphabet, and to approve the terms of any such engagement and the fees of any such consultants, legal counsel or advisors. In selecting a consultant or other advisor, the Committee will take into account factors that may be required by applicable law or listing standards or that it otherwise considers appropriate.

Meetings and Minutes

The Committee will meet at least four times each year, and will keep minutes of each meeting. The Committee decides when and where it will meet, and must deliver a copy of this schedule in advance to the Board.

Unless the Board or this Charter provides otherwise, the Committee can make, alter or repeal rules for the conduct of its business. In the absence of these rules, the Committee will conduct its business in the same way the Board conducts its business.

Delegation of Authority

The Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees comprised of other members of the Board qualified to perform such responsibilities in accordance
with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the NASDAQ Stock Market, and any other requirements of applicable law if the Committee feels this is appropriate.

Last revised January 20, 2021

Executive Committee

Larry Page, Chair
Sergey Brin
Sundar Pichai

Executive Committee Charter

Purpose
The Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of Alphabet serves as an administrative committee of the Board to facilitate approval of certain corporate actions that do not require consideration by the full Board, as outlined in this Charter.

Membership
The Executive Committee will consist of at least three members of the Board. The members of the Executive Committee will be appointed by and serve at the discretion of the Board.

Authority
The Executive Committee will have and may exercise such powers and authority in the management of the business and affairs of Alphabet as are specifically delegated to it by resolution of the full Board.

Meetings
Meetings of the Executive Committee will be held from time to time as determined by the Board and/or the members of the Executive Committee, in response to the needs of the Board.
Minutes

The Executive Committee will maintain written minutes of its meetings, which will be distributed to the Board at its next meeting following any meeting of the Executive Committee and filed in Alphabet's minute books along with the minutes of the meetings of the Board.

Adopted October 2, 2015
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March 7, 2022
Via electronic mail

Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549

Re: Shareholder Proposal to Alphabet Inc. regarding Human Rights Impact Assessment on behalf of the W. Andrew Mims Trust, together with NEI Investments and Robeco

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge on behalf of the W. Andrew Mims Trust, together with NEI Investments and Robeco (together, the “Proponents”) who are beneficial owners of Alphabet Inc., (the “Company”) submitted a shareholder proposal (the “Proposal”) to the Company. I have been asked by the Proponents to respond to the letter dated February 1, 2022 ("Company Letter") sent to the Securities and Exchange Commission by Jeffrey D. Karp of Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP. In that letter, the Company contends that the Proposal may be excluded from the Company’s 2022 proxy statement. A copy of this letter is being emailed concurrently to Mr. Karp.

SUMMARY

The Proposal requests that the Audit and Compliance Committee commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report ("the Report"), conducted by a reputable third party at reasonable cost, evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet's existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms.

The Company Letter contends that the Proposal can be omitted for two reasons:

• **Rule 14a-8(i)(7)** The Company Letter asserts that the Proposal may be excluded because it relates to the Company’s ordinary business. The Company asserts that the Proposal is excludable either because it relates to fundamental matters including the content, services and policies of its platforms, because it micromanages by supplanting the judgement of management and the board, and because it does not raise policy issues that transcend the Company’s ordinary business.

• **Rule 14a-8(i)(10)** The Company Letter also asserts that the Proposal is substantially implemented based on the Company’s existing disclosures.

In response, the Proponents argue that the Proposal addresses a significant policy issue and issue of broader societal impact for the Company that transcends ordinary business, namely, the human rights impact of the Company’s content management policies related to misinformation and disinformation. Given the well-established potential risks to the Company and investors related to misinformation spread by and through its technologies—and the distinct risks that disinformation can pose to whole societies, as the Company recognizes—one—the Proposal does not constitute an ordinary business matter.

---

The Proponents recognize that addressing misinformation and disinformation in our information system is both an enormous problem that goes beyond Alphabet and its platforms and is central to the Company’s ability to retain users and advertisers. We applaud the Company’s efforts to partner with experts and address these issues but are concerned they currently do not go far enough. This Proposal does not purport to provide easy answers to this exceedingly complex issue. Instead, this Proposal is centered on improving transparency on a key risk by using an independent expert and explicit human rights lens to evaluate the efficacy of the Company’s current approach to provide the Company, the board, and investors more information on this vital issue.

While the Company provides some narrative examples of its approach to this issue and discloses some policies and practices related to misinformation and disinformation, including outcomes and enforcement actions, the Proponents are concerned its existing disclosures are inadequate to allow investors to assess their efficacy. In particular, it is unclear whether an independent reviewer would find that the Company’s “existing policies are effectively limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform” and “potential recommendations, if necessary, to strengthen measures to mitigate human rights harms associated with the dissemination of misinformation and disinformation.” As such, the Proposal is not substantially implemented.

Further, the Proposal does not dictate content of Company services, policies or platforms or otherwise impose inflexible constraints on the board or management. Instead, the Proposal asks for a third-party assessment, utilizing the well-known form of a Human Rights Impact Assessment, to evaluate the efficacy of the Company’s existing policies and practices to protect human rights. Therefore, the Proposal does not micromanage but instead requests an evaluation on behalf of investors which is appropriate based on staff precedents for Human Rights Impact Assessments, the current state of policy, the investor and Alphabet’s responsibilities related to human rights and the scope of the risks these pose to the Company, society and investors.

THE PROPOSAL

Whereas:
Alphabet Inc. (“Alphabet” or “the Company”) recognizes that misinformation and disinformation can harm society and negatively impact human rights.

Google, Alphabet’s largest subsidiary, “holds a market share of around 90 percent in a wide range of digital markets,” a position that generated over $145 billion in 2020 advertising revenue worldwide.2 With such market dominance, Alphabet’s policies and practices—especially those related to misinformation and disinformation—significantly shape our information environment and have profound impacts on society.

To retain the trust of users, advertisers, employees, stockholders, regulators and the public, Alphabet’s policies must be sufficiently robust, enforced, and independently verified. Moreover, its Audit and Compliance Committee (“the Committee”) has the obligation to review “civil and human rights, sustainability, and reputational risks.”3

Commissioning an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report assessing whether existing policies and practices sufficiently address potential human rights abuses and societal impacts posed by misinformation and disinformation would provide the Committee an independent evaluation of the Company’s existing policies and implemented practices and help determine if they are sufficient to protect human rights and stockholder value.

---

3 Charter of the Alphabet Inc. Audit Committee. Available at: abc.xyz/investor/other/board/#audit-committee
Further, such a report is consistent with Google’s commitment to upholding the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (“UNGPs”). The Company has disclosed certain efforts to address information quality, combat misinformation and disinformation, provides some transparency via Global Network Initiative assessments, and has conducted a narrow Human Rights Impact Assessment for Google’s Celebrity Recognition tool. However, these all fall short of the expectations set forth in the UNGPs, which state: “In order to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their adverse human rights impacts, business enterprises should carry out human rights due diligence,” a process of “assessing actual and potential human rights impacts, integrating and acting upon the findings, tracking responses, and communicating how impacts are addressed.”

**Resolved:** Stockholders request the Audit and Compliance Committee commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report (“the Report”), conducted by a reputable third party at reasonable cost, evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet’s existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms. A summary of its findings should be published, omitting confidential, proprietary, or legally privileged information, or admissions relevant to pending litigation.

**Supporting Statement:**
The Report should reflect international standards and guidelines such as the UNGPs, and evaluate:

- Existing governance and oversight mechanisms to evaluate how Alphabet’s senior leadership and directors incorporate human rights due diligence—especially related to misinformation and disinformation—in their decision-making;
- Whether existing policies are effectively limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform;
- Potential recommendations, if necessary, to strengthen measures to mitigate human rights harms associated with the dissemination of misinformation and disinformation.

**BACKGROUND**

**Companies have the responsibility to protect human rights**

Alphabet—like all companies—has the responsibility to respect human rights. In 2011, governments around the world came together in the UN Human Rights Council to unanimously endorse the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) which establishes that all companies, including Alphabet, have a responsibility to respect human rights, including civil, political, economic, social, cultural and labor rights. According to the United Nations High Commissioner on Human Rights: “In order to meet their responsibility to respect human rights, business enterprises should have in place policies and processes appropriate to their size and circumstances, including:

(a) A policy commitment to meet their responsibility to respect human rights;
(b) A human rights due diligence process to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for how they address their impacts on human rights;

---

4 “Human Rights at Google.” Available at: [https://about.google/human-rights/](https://about.google/human-rights/)
(c) Processes to enable the remediation of any adverse human rights impacts they cause or to which they contribute.\textsuperscript{8}

According to documents produced by the United Nations High Commissioner on Human Rights to clarify the responsibilities of companies, the responsibility to respect human rights is not optional. The document makes clear the following:

“The responsibility to respect human rights is not, however, limited to compliance with such domestic law provisions. It exists over and above legal compliance, constituting a global standard of expected conduct applicable to all businesses in all situations. It therefore also exists independently of an enterprise’s own commitment to human rights... There can be legal, financial and reputational consequences if enterprises fail to meet the responsibility to respect. Such failure may also hamper an enterprise’s ability to recruit and retain staff, to gain permits, investment, new project opportunities or similar benefits essential to a successful, sustainable business. As a result, where business poses a risk to human rights, it increasingly also poses a risk to its own long-term interests.”\textsuperscript{9} (emphasis added.)

Moreover, there is increasing focus on the unique role technology companies play in relation to human rights. According to the United Nations Human Rights B-Tech Project:

“1. Technology company business models, and the commercial underpinnings of 21\textsuperscript{st} century technological advances, are being increasingly criticized for creating or exacerbating negative impacts on a range of human rights. Business executives and entrepreneurs across the technology industry are being called on to address this concern. That companies do so in credible ways is fast becoming essential to gain (or regain) trust from stakeholders, build resilience into business models and sustain their legal and social license to operate.

2. Under the UNGPs, companies are expected to conduct human rights due diligence across all of their business activities and relationships. This includes addressing situations in which business model-driven practices and technology design decisions create or exacerbate human rights risks. This will require engagement from boards of directors, executives, entrepreneurs, and founders that have an influence on company strategy, not only individuals traditionally leading the implementation of a company’s human rights, ethical or responsible business programs.

3. Institutional investors—including asset managers, pension funds, private equity firms, and venture capitalists—have a responsibility to respect human rights consistent with the UNGPs.\textsuperscript{10} (Emphasis in the original.)

As a result, Alphabet’s responsibility to protect human rights—and conduct adequate due diligence which can include Human Rights Impact Assessments—transcends statutory compliance, and the ability of its shareholders to request human rights-related disclosure is consistent with these obligations.

\textsuperscript{8} \textit{Ibid}
Disinformation and misinformation on Alphabet’s platforms can undercut human rights, including those related to health and to free, fair and safe elections

Disinformation and misinformation on Alphabet platforms can undercut the human right to health

Disinformation and misinformation proliferating regarding COVID-19 has been, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) shaping health outcomes with, at times, deadly consequences. According to the Director General of the WHO, “we’re not just fighting an epidemic; we’re fighting an infodemic. Fake news spreads faster and more easily than this virus, and is just as dangerous.” The Company has relevant policies and has taken constructive action to address COVID-19-related misinformation and disinformation. However, to date, the Company has not publicly released any third-party assessments of the efficacy of such policies and whether they are sufficient to protect against human rights risks.12

In another very current example, in March 2022, the United States Surgeon General requested information from tech giants about the spread of misinformation related to COVID-19 on its sites. According to the New York Times:

“President Biden’s surgeon general on Thursday formally requested that the major tech platforms submit information about the scale of Covid-19 misinformation on social networks, search engines, crowdsourced platforms, e-commerce platforms and instant messaging systems.

A request for information from the surgeon general’s office demanded that tech platforms send data and analysis on the prevalence of Covid-19 misinformation on their sites, starting with common examples of vaccine misinformation documented by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

The notice asks the companies to submit ‘exactly how many users saw or may have been exposed to instances of Covid-19 misinformation,’ as well as aggregate data on demographics that may have been disproportionately exposed to or affected by the misinformation.

The surgeon general, Dr. Vivek Murthy, also demanded information from the platforms about the major sources of Covid-19 misinformation, including those that engaged in the sale of unproven Covid-19 products, services and treatments.

‘Technology companies now have the opportunity to be open and transparent with the American people about the misinformation on their platforms,’ Dr. Murthy said in an emailed statement. He added: ‘This is about protecting the nation’s health.’”13

Misinformation and disinformation on Alphabet platforms can interfere with the human right to free, fair and safe elections

The human right to free, fair and safe elections14 is jeopardized by disinformation campaigns that have proliferated on Alphabet platforms. For example, in the United States, Alphabet’s YouTube was

---

11 Address by Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Munich Security Conference, February 15, 2020. Available at: https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/munich-security-conference
12 The United States is signatory to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, which includes article 12: The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health.
14 The European Parliament published “The impact of disinformation on democratic processes and human rights in the world” in April 2021. The report notes that Article 21 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) states: ‘1. Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives’; ...
subpoenaed by the Committee of the House of Representatives investigating the violent insurrection that occurred at the US Capitol on January 6th, 2021 in part because “YouTube was a platform for significant communications by its users that were relevant to the planning and execution of January 6th attack on the United States Capitol.” Further, the letter continued by saying, "The Select Committee believes Alphabet has significant undisclosed information that is critical to its investigation, concerning how Alphabet developed, implemented, and reviewed its content moderation, algorithmic promotion, demonetization, and other policies that may have affected the January 6, 2021 events.”

The Company is cooperating with the congressional committee and has noted: “We have strict policies prohibiting content that incites violence or undermines trust in elections across YouTube and Google's products, and we enforced these policies in the run-up to January 6 and continue to do so today.”

Again, the efficacy of Company efforts to control disinformation and misinformation that can distort and discredit election outcomes is an open question.

Global geopolitics is affected by disinformation on Alphabet platforms.

In the current crisis in the Ukraine, world leaders are coming together to encourage social media—including Alphabet’s platforms—to do more to address misinformation related to Russia. In a February 27, 2022 joint letter to the chief executives of Alphabet’s Google and YouTube units along with Twitter and Facebook, the premiers of Poland, Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia contend that “Although the online platforms have undertaken significant efforts to address the Russian government’s unprecedented assault on truth, they have not done enough.” Further, the letter states, “Russia’s disinformation has been tolerated on online platforms for years; they are now an accessory to the criminal war of aggression the Russian government is conducting against Ukraine and the free world.”

Further, on February 25, 2022 Senator Mark Warner sent a letter to Alphabet’s CEO noting that “your platforms continue to be key vectors for malign actors—including, notably, those affiliated with the Russian government—to not only spread disinformation, but to profit from it. YouTube, for instance, continues to monetize the content of prominent influence actors that have been publicly connected to Russian influence campaigns. Just yesterday, for instance, my staff was able to find RT, Sputnik and TASS channels’ content specifically focused on the Ukraine conflict to be monetized with YouTube ads.” Sen. Warner made clear “As one of the world’s largest communications platforms, your company has a clear responsibility to ensure that your products are not used to facilitate human rights abuses, undermine humanitarian and emergency service responses, or advance harmful disinformation.”

---

3. The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government; this will shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures.

The report also notes that according to the UN Human Rights Committee, states are obliged to ensure that ‘Voters should be able to form opinions independently, free of violence or threat of violence, compulsion, inducement or manipulative interference of any kind’. Available at: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2021/653635/EXPO_STU(2021)653635_EN.pdf
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19 Letter from Senator Mark R. Warner, Virginia, to Mr. Sundar Pichai, Chief Executive Officer of Alphabet, dated February 25, 2022 and available at: https://www.warner.senate.gov/public/_cache/files/4/a/4a37293e2-0b07c-49fe-b052-3b557c5e56b/598A05F2F5B91764B6652B9E5516AD3B.pdf
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urged the companies, among other things, to “Conduct an audit of Google and YouTube’s advertising business, including its compliance with sanctions.”

**Given the size and influence of Alphabet’s platforms, it has outsized influence on our information environment**

At the core of why Google, along with social media peers, receives outsized attention in the content moderation debate right now lies the fact that it has “an effective monopoly on online information flows in certain segments of society,” writes Danah Boyd, founder of *Data & Society.*

Further, one expert, Kate Starbird, co-founder and researcher at the Center for an Informed Public at the University of Washington, told NPR:

“We've done research on disinformation around [the] 2016 election, around the civil war in Syria, conspiracy theories of crisis events. I've got a bunch of different cases. Over and over again, YouTube is the dominant domain in those conversations. It's not Facebook. They're all pulling in content from YouTube. So what YouTube does is it creates these content resources that get mobilized on other platforms. And so it's not just a problem within YouTube; it's actually a problem for the whole information ecosystem—the fact that YouTube hosts and allows those videos to be resources that are repeatedly mobilized in these other platforms at opportunistic times to spread mis- and disinformation.” (emphasis added.)

Additionally, she noted:

“We don’t have great insight into YouTube because it is harder to see. It’s one of the platforms that’s hardest to collect data about. And it’s very—it’s almost inscrutable for us compared to some other platforms, like Twitter, where we can collect lots of data and look at things. So YouTube fits centrally into the phenomenon but it actually—it is harder to access for our research teams.”

Further, one review by the Anti-defamation League has suggested that among many of the social media platforms, YouTube has unique challenges:

“YouTube’s design and architecture suggest numerous reasons for concern. First, YouTube is an open platform that depends on user-generated content and thus allows people with fringe or extremist views to compete directly with established media and information sources. Second, the financial incentives that YouTube provides based on viewership and watch time may encourage creators to appeal to people with extreme views and provoke controversy. Third, YouTube’s algorithm makes recommendations based in part on past user behavior. These recommendations can influence user behavior, especially because the top recommendation is played after the current video concludes by default. Fourth, video requires transcription and takes longer for humans to review than text, posing difficult content moderation challenges.”

The Proponents contend that such characteristics make the need for an independent assessment imperative.

---
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Threat of regulatory risk warrants increased disclosure to investors

At a top level, global technology companies like Google are facing pressure from regulators and pushback from users over how they moderate the constant stream of information on which their platforms depend, whether in the form of user-generated content, news and agency reports, or advertisements. According to a recent Wall Street Journal article:

“Last year, authorities in at least 48 countries pursued new rules for tech companies on content, data or competition, according to Freedom House, a nonprofit group that tracks the global state of democracy and internet policy…

The changing landscape has pinched sales and cut into profits by forcing companies to increase spending on compliance with local laws, according to analysts, former executives and legal scholars. They said the phenomenon has curtailed some internet users’ access to services and information, and forced companies to assess whether they should subscribe to largely U.S. values about freedom of information or adhere to local laws that are often in conflict with those principles.

‘This was decades in the making and it is getting worse because countries are adopting more and more serious rules around content,’ said David Kaye, a law professor at University of California at Irvine and author of “Speech Police: The Global Struggle to Govern the Internet.”

In the US, controversy erupted regarding the Communications Decency Act Section 230, which provides a safe harbor against liability for internet hosting companies like Alphabet. Proposals have been surfacing to amend that law, based on the inadequacy of current safeguards by the tech companies against harmful misinformation.

Misinformation controls considered inadequate and independent assessments are warranted

In news reports, concern has been raised regarding the efficacy of Alphabet’s existing policies and practices. For example, in January 2022 an international group of more than 80 fact-checking organizations—which Google cites as an example of an outside group with whom it partners—sent a letter to YouTube CEO Susan Wojcicki claiming that YouTube’s misinformation policies are insufficient and called for increased transparency around their application.

The open letter stated:

“It’s been almost two years since the COVID-19 pandemic started. The world has seen time and time again how destructive disinformation and misinformation can be for social harmony, democracy, and public health; too many lives and livelihoods have been ruined, and far too many people have lost loved ones to disinformation. As an international network of fact-checking organizations, we monitor how lies spread online—and every day, we see that YouTube is one of the major conduits of online disinformation and misinformation worldwide. This is a significant concern among our global fact-checking community.

What we do not see is much effort by YouTube to implement policies that address the problem. On the contrary, YouTube is allowing its platform to be weaponized by unscrupulous actors to manipulate and exploit others, and to organize and fundraise

---


themselves. Current measures are proving insufficient. That is why we urge you to take effective action against disinformation and misinformation, and to elaborate a roadmap of policy and product interventions to improve the information ecosystem—and to do so with the world’s independent, nonpartisan fact-checking organizations.

The examples are too many to count. Many of those videos and channels remain online today, and they all went under the radar of YouTube’s policies, especially in non-English speaking countries and the Global South. We are glad that the company has made some moves to try to address this problem lately, but based on what we see daily on the platform, we think these efforts are not working—nor has YouTube produced any quality data to prove their effectiveness.”

Company disclosures are currently insufficient

As noted in the Company Letter, the Company provides some disclosures regarding these concerns. For example, it publishes requirements for advertising on the Google Network, and Transparency and Content Moderation reports with data on how the Company handles content that violates its policies. It has also assigned a board committee to oversee risk exposures, including civil and human rights and maintains a ‘Human Rights Program,’ although how these efforts relate to one another is not clear.

While the Company’s existing disclosures reveal to investors the degree to which the Company has rules in place ostensibly restricting or prohibiting certain forms of content, and data that reveals the volumes of content that the Company has had to remove for violating community guidelines, it is impossible for investors or other stakeholders to understand with existing disclosures the extent to which the current policies are efficacious in actually obstructing the flow of disinformation and misinformation that has a substantial impact on human rights. How much harmful information proliferates on the Company’s platforms before it is removed? How much harm is it doing? Are there additional approaches the Company could deploy to more effectively manage this issue, which has grown with the scale of the Company’s now-ubiquitous digital presence? Recent developments amplify investor concerns about the efficacy of the Company’s current content management systems. Having policies prohibiting such content and disclosing the number of takedowns is important, however recent events make clear that an independent assessment verifying the efficacy of such policies is warranted.

In short, the Proponents recognize that the Company has policies and practices related to misinformation and provides some reporting on outcomes. However, the act of disclosing these policies and takedown outcomes publicly does not necessarily demonstrate that such polices are effective, let alone sufficient. Concerns from multiple directions including the infodemic, election interference, proliferation of Russian misinformation regarding Ukraine, and others, demonstrate that the Company’s platforms still have broad societal impact.

Therefore, the current Proposal asks the Company to commission an independent assessment of the efficacy of the Company’s content management practices in controlling disinformation and misinformation that is harmful to human rights, and to make recommendations for any measures that could strengthen the efficacy of the Company’s efforts. This information would help the Company, the board, and investors evaluate whether the company is taking sufficient action to protect against risk.

ANALYSIS

I. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT EXCLUDABLE PURSUANT TO RULE 14A-8(i)(7).

The Company Letter asserts that the Proposal may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) because it relates to the Company’s ordinary business. The Company asserts that the Proposal either is excludable because it relates to the nature of content on the platform, because it seeks to micromanage the Company by requesting additional accountability on human rights impacts beyond the information that the Company has voluntarily disclosed, or because it does not raise policy issues that transcend the Company’s ordinary business.

However, when examining the Proposal against the Commission and Staff’s guidance on shareholder proposals, including ordinary business and micromanagement, it is evident that the Proposal addresses a transcendent policy issue and does not micromanage or otherwise inappropriately address the Company’s ordinary business.

Ordinary Business According to the Commission

In 1998, the Commission issued a rulemaking release (“1998 Release”) updating and interpreting the ordinary business rule, by both reiterating and clarifying past precedents. That release was the last time that the Commission discussed and explained at length the meaning of the ordinary business exclusion. The Commission summarized two central considerations in making ordinary business determinations—whether the Proposal addresses a significant social policy issue, and whether it micromanages.

First, the Commission noted that certain tasks were generally considered so fundamental to management's ability to run a company on a day-to-day basis that they could not be subject to direct shareholder oversight (e.g. the hiring, promotion, and termination of employees, as well as decisions on retention of suppliers, and production quality and quantity). However, proposals related to such matters but focused on sufficiently significant social policy issues (i.e. significant discrimination matters) generally would not be excludable.

How much social impact does the Proposal have to encompass in order for it to transcend ordinary business? The recent Staff Legal Bulletin 14 L made it clear that the key issue is whether the Proposal focuses on societal impacts:

Going forward, the Staff will realign its approach for determining whether a proposal relates to "ordinary business" with the standard the Commission initially articulated in 1976, which provided an exception for certain proposals that raise significant social policy issues, and which the Commission subsequently reaffirmed in the 1998 Release. This exception is essential for preserving shareholders' right to bring important issues before other shareholders by means of the company's proxy statement, while also recognizing the board's authority over most day-to-day business matters. For these reasons, the Staff will no longer focus on determining the nexus between a policy issue and the company, but will instead focus on the social policy significance of the issue that is the subject of the shareholder proposal. In making this determination, the Staff will consider whether the proposal raises issues with a broad societal impact, such that they transcend the ordinary business of the company. [emphasis added]

In a wide array of rulings, the Staff has established that a proposal can touch on nitty-gritty issues without being excludable under the ordinary business rule. The Proposal exclusively addresses a significant policy issue, substantial and recognized human rights impacts, and as demonstrated in the background section of this letter, above, the issues raised by the Proposal implicate very significant societal impacts.
Broad societal impact: Alphabet’s size and influence over our information environment
demonstrate the social impact of the Company’s management of these issues

Alphabet’s size and influence shape our information environment, therefore, this request transcends ordinary business, and is relevant to the Company’s shareholders. It is hard to overstate the influence and pervasiveness of Alphabet’s products. For example, after recently reporting record financial results, the New York Times noted the following:

“Google’s strong results were a reminder of the underlying power of its business and how, regardless of the circumstances surrounding it, the Company will continue to thrive as long as people are active on the internet.

Google search remains the preferred on-ramp to the internet. YouTube is an essential online destination for entertainment, information and music. While it lags Amazon and Microsoft, Google is well positioned to capitalize on the seismic shift of businesses outsourcing technology infrastructure to the cloud.”

Further, as stated in the proposal “Google, Alphabet's largest subsidiary, "holds a market share of around 90 percent in a wide range of digital markets," a position that generated over $145 billion in 2020 advertising revenue worldwide.” Additionally, according to Global Media Insights, “Technically, YouTube is the second-largest search engine, after Google.” Further, according to YouTube, “500 hours of content are uploaded to YouTube every minute.”

Moreover, Alphabet is the largest digital ad company in the world and 85% of smartphone users worldwide utilize its Android operating system, something the Company uses to secure its reach. According to the Wall Street Journal, “Google uses its Android operating system as a vehicle to extend its advertising reach by putting its search engine, mapping system and YouTube video network into the hands of mobile users.”

As a result, Alphabet’s platforms have tremendous influence shaping our information environment. Therefore, the request of the Proposal transcends ordinary business.

Staff precedents demonstrate that the focus of the Proposal addresses a transcendent policy issue and does not micromanage.

The focus of the Proposal is consistent with numerous Staff determinations that have found proposals seeking assessments of human rights impacts, which were found to both address a transcendent policy issue and not to micromanage.

In Amazon.com Inc. (Feb. 7, 2020), the Staff did not allow exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) for a proposal that requested that Amazon publish a Human Rights Impact Assessment(s) to examine the actual and potential impact of one or more high-risk products sold by the Company or its subsidiaries. The proposal recommended that the assessment include human rights standards and principles used to frame
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33 “YouTube by the Numbers.” Available at: https://blog.youtube/press/
the assessment, actual and potential adverse impacts associated with the high-risk products, and an overview of how the findings would be acted upon in order to prevent, mitigate and/or remedy impacts.

In Amazon.com Inc. (March 25, 2015), the proposal urged the board to report on Amazon’s process for comprehensively identifying and analyzing potential and actual human rights risks of Amazon’s entire operations and supply chain (a human rights risk assessment) addressing the following: human rights principles used to frame the assessment; methodology used to track and measure performance; nature and extent of consultation with relevant stakeholders in connection with the assessment; and actual and/or potential human rights risks identified in the course of the human rights risk assessment related to Amazon’s use of labor contractors/subcontractors, temporary staffing agencies or similar employment arrangements (or a statement that no such risks have been identified). The Staff did not allow exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7), noting that “[i]n our view, the proposal focuses on the significant policy issue of human rights.”

In Apple Inc. (Dec. 20, 2021), the proposal asked that the board prepare a public report assessing the potential risks to the Company associated with its use of concealment clauses in the context of harassment, discrimination, and other unlawful acts. The Staff did not allow exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7), noting that “[i]n our view, the Proposal transcends ordinary business matters and does not seek to micromanage the Company.”

In Xcel Energy Inc. (March 7, 2002), the Staff did not allow exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) for a proposal that recommended to the board that it develop and implement policies and practices requiring that the company obtain future power supplies from increased efficiencies and renewable resources that do not have undue adverse environmental, socioeconomic, and human rights impacts upon Pimicikamak Cree Nation and other indigenous peoples.

In Citigroup Inc. (Feb. 21, 2008), the Staff did not allow exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) for a proposal that requested that the board authorize and prepare a report which discussed how policies address or could address human rights issues. The proposal stated that the report should review the current investment policies of Citigroup with a view toward adding appropriate policies and procedures to apply when a company in which Citigroup is invested, or its subsidiaries or affiliates, is identified as contributing to human rights violations through their businesses or operations in a country with a clear pattern of mass atrocities or genocide.

In MasterCard Inc. (April 25, 2019), the proposal requested that the board direct the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to create a standing committee to oversee the company’s responses to domestic and international developments in human rights that affect Mastercard’s business. The proposal stated that, since none of the company’s current board committees had been assigned responsibility for overseeing human rights issues, the significant risks associated with adverse human rights impacts at Mastercard warranted specific accountability and responsibility at the board level. The Staff did not allow exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7), noting that “[i]n our view, the proposal transcends ordinary business matters.”

In Bank of America Corp. (Feb. 29, 2008), the Staff did not allow exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) for a proposal that resolved to amend the company’s bylaws to establish a board committee on human rights that would review the implications of company policies, above and beyond matters of legal compliance, for the human rights of individuals in the United States and worldwide. The proposal also stated that the board would make policy recommendations regarding human rights issues raised by the company’s activities and policies as they emerge anywhere in the world.
In addition, also relevant to the current Proposal is recent Staff decisions that have determined that requests to a company to conduct a civil rights or racial equity audit are not excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7). Amazon.com, Inc. (New York State Common Retirement Fund) (April 7, 2021). Levi Strauss & Co. (February 10, 2022).

**The Proposal is not excludable as addressing products and services**

The Company Letter inaccurately asserts that the Staff should allow exclusion of the Proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) as relating to the products and services offered for sale. The Proposal does not attempt to dictate the content of items on the Company’s platform or who its customers are, but only asks the Company to provide an assessment of efficacy of misinformation and disinformation controls. Although one could argue that such decisions are “nitty-gritty” for the Company, where the focus of the Proposal is entirely on a significant policy issue, the fact that it may touch on issues related to products and services offered does not cause it to be excludable. Staff Legal Bulletin 14H, October 22, 2015, made this clear:

> [T]he Commission has stated that proposals focusing on a significant policy issue are not excludable under the ordinary business exception “because the proposals would transcend the day-to-day business matters and raise policy issues so significant that it would be appropriate for a shareholder vote.” [Release No. 34-40018] Thus, a proposal may transcend a company’s ordinary business operations even if the significant policy issue relates to the “nitty-gritty of its core business.” [Emphasis added].

The potential for the Proposal to touch on a company’s products or services is one such “nitty-gritty” issue that does not lead to exclusion when the Proposal clearly focuses on a significant policy issue facing the Company. Contrary to the Company’s assertion, the Staff has made it clear in legal bulletins and in precedents that proposals directed to “nitty-gritty” aspects of the Company’s business, including products or services offered, are not excludable to the extent they are focused on significant policy issues and do not attempt to micromanage business relationships.

Significantly, the focus of a proposal on analysis of the effectiveness of policies rather than directing the Company’s relations with particular suppliers or customers is sufficient to avoid the products and services exclusion. For example, in *TJX Companies* (April 9, 2020) the proposal requested that the board commission an independent analysis of any material risks of continuing operations without a company-wide animal welfare policy or restrictions on animal-sourced products associated with animal cruelty. The company objected that the proposal was excludable as relating to sales of particular products, but the proponent effectively argued that the focus of the proposal on a clear, significant policy issue for the company caused the proposal to transcend ordinary business.

This followed a long line of prior Staff decisions. It is well-established that a proposal is not excludable merely because it deals with the sale of a company’s products or services where significant social policy issues are implicated—as they are here. The current Proposal is in some ways similar to the proposal in *J.P. Morgan Chase* (March 13, 2020) where the proposal asked JPMorgan Chase to describe how it plans to respond to rising reputational risks for the company and questions about its role in society related to its involvement in Canadian oil sands production, oil sands pipeline companies, and Arctic oil and gas exploration and production. This was not excludable as focused on ordinary business despite a similar relationship to products and services as in the current Proposal. We see the same logic applied in *Bank of America Corporation* (February 23, 2006) where the proposal requested that the board develop higher standards for the securitization of subprime loans to preclude the securitization of loans involving predatory practices. Despite the focus on establishment of a particular policy, the staff nevertheless rejected the ordinary business/products and services argument. If a proposal addresses a transcendent social policy issue, and even if it addresses products and services, shareholders are expected to describe it
as clearly as possible in terms of what they would like the company to do, as was done in the precedent and the current Proposal.

Even a proposal that expressly seeks to ban a particular product or service of a company, a more restrictive request than the current Proposal, may transcend ordinary business if it clearly focuses on a significant policy issue relevant to the company. For example, in Amazon.com Inc. (March 28, 2019) a proposal that was clearly directed toward a company product was found non-excludable. The proposal requested that the board prohibit sales of facial recognition technology to government agencies unless the board concludes, after an evaluation using independent evidence, that the technology does not cause or contribute to actual or potential violations of civil and human rights. An ordinary business claim similar to the Company Letter on the current Proposal was rejected, and rejected again on request for reconsideration. The proponent in opposition to the request for reconsideration wrote: “The Company’s Amazon Web Services (AWS) segment is the leading cloud computing company, and is integrating facial recognition software to its services, which the proposals assert is being done at risk to civil liberties, privacy and public trust in the Company’s products and services.”

Similarly, proposals seeking to halt the sale of food containing GMO’s have been found not to be excludable as addressing ordinary business because of the transcendent policy issue - public concern about the use of and safety of GMO’s. Relevant to the present matter is Quaker Oats Company (March 28, 2000), in which the proposal requested that the board (1) adopt a policy of removing genetically engineered crops, organisms, or products thereof from all products sold or manufactured by Quaker, where feasible, until long-term testing has shown that they are not harmful to humans, animals, and the environment, with the interim step of labeling and identifying these products, and (2) report to shareholders by August 2000. The Staff was unable to concur that the company was entitled to exclude the proposal in reliance on Rule 14a-8(i)(7), due to the presence of significant policy issues. The context—a lack of proven safety—is relevant in the present instance as well.

Another example was the request of Yahoo! Inc. (April 5, 2011) where the company requested permission to omit a shareholder proposal from its 2011 proxy materials, which directed the company to formally adopt human rights principles to guide its business in China and other repressive countries. Despite the potential impact on products and services offered in China and elsewhere, the Staff concluded that the proposal focused on the significant policy issue of human rights and was not excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

Analogous to the current Proposal was the proposal in Bank of America Corporation (February 22, 2008) on implementation of the equator principles. The proposal requested a report to “describe and discuss how Bank of America’s implementation of the Equator Principles has led to improved environmental and social outcomes in its project finance transactions.” Bank of America Corporation argued among other things that the proposal related to the Company’s ordinary business operations, namely the extension of credit and credit decisions. The staff was unable to accept these views and concluded that exclusion of the proposal from proxy materials was not appropriate.

Similarly, in Bank of America (February 26, 2009) the proposal directly focused on requesting a report to shareholders evaluating with respect to practices commonly deemed to be predatory, the company’s credit card marketing, lending and collection practices and the impact these practices have on borrowers. Despite the focus on products and services, the prominence of predatory and subprime lending as an issue of concern transcended the ordinary business concern.

The Staff has long recognized that shareholder proposals may properly address business decisions regarding the sale of products where significant policy issues are at issue. See e.g., Kimberly-Clark Corp. (Jan. 12, 1988); Texaco, Inc. (February 28, 1984); American Telephone and Telegraph Company
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(December 12, 1985); Harsco Corporation (January 4, 1993); Firstar Corporation (February 25, 1993). In Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14C, the Division considered proposals related to the environment and public health, which it had previously found to involve significant policy considerations, and advised that “[t]o the extent that a proposal and supporting statement focus on the company minimizing or eliminating operations that may adversely affect the environment or the public’s health, we do not concur with the company’s view that there is a basis for it to exclude the proposal under rule 14a-8(i)(7).” SEC, Division of Corporation Finance, Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14C.

The Proposal does not implicate “content regulation” of the type suggested by precedents cited by the Company Letter

The Company Letter refers to proposals that attempted to impose content specific regulation (“nature, presentation and content of a company's products”) at Gannett and Walt Disney. In contrast to the current Proposal, these proposals attempted to control artistic content under the control of publishers. This is not consistent with the role or nature of the type of forum that social media platforms provide. In contrast to those proposals, Alphabet is not principally generating the content, and therefore the precedents relating to content management by Walt Disney as a media producer that may utilize racial or gender stereotypes in its productions, or Gannett as a journalistic organization that allows cigarette advertising, are inapplicable to the context of Alphabet as a social media platform that principally affects misinformation and disinformation content by its content management approaches including its use of algorithms, advertising, content monitoring etc. The role that Alphabet plays in relation to disinformation or misinformation is not analogous to the role of Gannett or Walt Disney in producing content or advertising.

Examination of the Proposal demonstrates that it does not micromanage

According to the Commission and the Staff, proposals which address a societal impact but which are written in a manner that seeks to micromanage the business of the company could still be excludable if they are found to probe too deeply for shareholder deliberation. The Staff’s interpretation of micromanagement has evolved over the years, most recently articulated in the November 3, 2021 Staff Legal Bulletin 14 L. To assess micromanagement going forward, the bulletin notes that the Staff:

“will focus on the level of granularity sought in the proposal and whether and to what extent it inappropriately limits discretion of the board or management. We would expect the level of detail included in a shareholder proposal to be consistent with that needed to enable investors to assess an issuer's impacts, progress towards goals, risks or other strategic matters appropriate for shareholder input.

***

Additionally, in order to assess whether a proposal probes matters "too complex" for shareholders, as a group, to make an informed judgment, we may consider the sophistication of investors generally on the matter, the availability of data, and the robustness of public discussion and analysis on the topic. The Staff may also consider references to well-established national or international frameworks when assessing proposals related to disclosure, target setting, and timeframes as indicative of topics that shareholders are well-equipped to evaluate.”

The Company Letter’s micromanagement argument focuses on the idea that the Company has already provided disclosure that it deems appropriate and that requiring further assessment would interfere with the ability of the board and management to make their own determinations regarding balancing complex factors — the intersection of free speech, product quality and technology. The implication of the Company Letter is that only the board and management, and not the shareholders, have the capacity to assess whether controls on disinformation and misinformation are adequate.
In order to make this argument, the Company Letter also diminishes the extent of the problem. Instead of recognizing the enormous impacts on society, it focuses on the idea that these are issues of “media literacy or low quality internet content,” matters which the Company Letter notes do not transcend ordinary business (Company Letter page 7). In short, the Company Letter attempts to downplay the severity of the concerns, exaggerates the degree to which the Company is choosing to be accountable for the issues and fails to recognize the legitimacy of the request for a third-party assessment of the efficacy of disinformation and misinformation control systems. The Company Letter’s reference to mundane issues of “media literacy and low-quality internet content” stridently downplays the Company’s enormous human rights risks and responsibilities and the enormity of the social impacts that are evident.

The Proposal is not micromanagement because these issues are of obvious and legitimate concern to investors. Human rights, including those that can be directly impacted by disinformation and disinformation, create risks that are material for companies, investors and markets. Given Alphabet’s seemingly ubiquitous products and the tremendous influence its technologies have in shaping our information environment, the attendant human rights-related risks articulated above transcend day-to-day operations and ordinary business. The Proponents are pleased that the Company does report on how it addresses disinformation\(^\text{36}\) and works to improve information quality and content moderation.\(^\text{37}\) However, the Company has not demonstrated it is utilizing independent experts to apply a human rights-based framework to evaluate whether its existing efforts are sufficient to protect the Company from risk. Without this key piece, investors have insufficient information to determine whether the Company is effectively managing these risks.

Importantly, the Proposal does not interfere with Company assessments, supplant the judgment of the board or use a form that is overly complex for investors. Instead, the Proponents request a Human Rights Impact Assessment, a report type familiar to investors that is used increasingly by tech peers and other large companies and even Google itself. Therefore, it is a known form with clear boundaries that is not too complex for investors to consider, while also being flexible enough to allow for management to shape its specific parameters. The Proposal recommends that the assessment be done in line with international standards and guidelines such as the United Nations Guiding Principles. These are respected criteria for Human Rights Impact Assessment that are well known to investors and experts, and which are in line with the Staff’s micromanagement criterion to rely on credible international guidelines in a proposal.

Conducting an independent assessment, guided by the known form inherent to a Human Rights Impact Assessment, would enable investors for the first time to evaluate whether Alphabet’s existing policies to address mis- and disinformation are sufficient and effective as written and implemented.

**Investors are increasingly focused on human rights**

Investors are increasingly seeking clarity on how the companies in which they invest are managing their responsibilities toward human rights. For example, the tenth anniversary of the UNGPs in 2021 provided an opportunity to reflect on how companies and investors should be incorporating these expectations into their operations and assessments.

As part of this reflective process, the Working Group on the Issue of Human Rights and Transnational Corporations and Other Business Enterprises stated: ‘There is no doubt that the Guiding Principles have succeeded in providing a globally agreed-upon authoritative standard for what States and businesses need

---


to do to respectively protect and respect the full range of human rights across all business contexts — something which did not exist before 2011.”

As part of this process, the UN released a report, “Taking Stock of Investor Implementation of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights,” clarifying the investor role in upholding the UNGPs. In particular it notes:

“A number of investor initiatives and associations have emerged to support rights-respecting investment practices. The Investor Alliance for Human Rights, launched in 2018 as an initiative of the Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR), seeks to provide a collective action platform for responsible investment that is grounded in respect for human rights. The Investor Alliance, whose membership has grown to represent over US$5 trillion AUM, published the Investor Toolkit on Human Rights, which provides practical guidance and tools to support investor uptake of the Guiding Principles. In addition, the UN-supported Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) – which supports over 3,000 signatory investors representing more than US$103 trillion AUM – issued a human rights framework in 2020, outlining a multi-year agenda to work towards respect for human rights across the financial system and a commitment to increase accountability among investor signatories by introducing human rights questions into the PRI Reporting Framework. Sustainable Investment Forums (SIFs) are also playing an increasing role in promoting investor respect for human rights, such as Dansif’s partnership with the Danish Institute for Human Rights to build the capacity of ESG professionals on human rights and Eurosif’s input into the EU process toward a mandatory human rights and environmental due diligence directive.”

The request of the Proposal would aid in the due diligence process by providing independent, third-party information that would help the Company, the board and investors determine if the relevant Alphabet policies are sufficient to uphold its responsibilities under the UNGPs.

The value of conducting Human Rights Impact Assessments is becoming widely recognized by investors and companies

As recognition of company and investor obligations to protect human rights grows, investors are increasingly seeking Human Rights Impact Assessments in order to ensure companies are providing sufficient transparency. According to the Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility, 14 proposals expressly calling for a Human Rights Impact Assessment have been filed since 2016 with most clustered in 2020-2022, making clear this is a form familiar to investors. It is fair to say that a significant portion of Alphabet investors are ESG or screened socially responsible investment offerings for whom this assessment may be critical.

The value of conducting Human Rights Impact Assessments is becoming widely recognized and many Alphabet peers are conducting them as well. Beyond Google’s use of a Human Rights Impact Assessment to evaluate its celebrity recognition tool, many other tech peers are using them to evaluate the human rights implications of their policies.

For example, Meta Platforms Inc. has conducted HRIAs to assess their operations in a number of countries whether they have been the center of controversy. Its subsidiary Facebook has recently released “three independent human rights impact assessments we commissioned in 2018 to evaluate the role of our services in Sri Lanka, Indonesia and Cambodia, along with details on how we’ve responded to the recommendations in each assessment. The assessments build on the work we’ve done over the last two years, beginning with creation of a human rights team to inform our policies, products, programs and partnerships around the world.”

Additionally, Microsoft has a strong commitment to conducting Human Rights Impact Assessments. According to Article One, “From 2017 to 2018, Microsoft partnered with Article One to conduct the first-ever human rights impact assessment (HRIA) of the human rights risks and opportunities related to artificial intelligence (AI).” More recently, after receiving a shareholder proposal on the topic, in October 2021 Microsoft committed to conduct another HRIA. According to Microsoft, “We recently decided to conduct additional human rights due diligence regarding the role of our technology and its potential impact on certain communities in select situations.”

Just as the Company deemed it to be valuable to conduct a Human Rights Impact Assessment in order to evaluate the risk profile of its celebrity recognition tool, the Proponents believe Alphabet would derive value from undertaking a similar process to conduct a Human Rights Impact Assessment to evaluate the efficacy of its policies to address misinformation and disinformation.

As discussed in detail above, numerous prior Staff decisions recognize the propriety of seeking an assessment and disclosure of impacts and mitigation measures without such requests constituting micromanagement. For example, see Amazon.com Inc. (Feb. 7, 2020), Amazon.com Inc. (March 25, 2015), Xcel Energy Inc. (March 7, 2002).

In sum, the Proposal is not excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) based on any of the arguments in the Company Letter.

II. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT EXCLUDABLE PURSUANT TO RULE 14A-8(i)(10).

The Company argues that the Proposal may be excluded from the 2022 proxy materials under Rule 14a-8(i)(10). In order for the Company to meet its burden of proving substantial implementation pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10), it must show that its activities meet the guidelines and essential purpose of the Proposal. The Staff has noted that a determination that a company has substantially implemented a proposal depends upon whether a company’s particular policies, practices, and procedures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal. Texaco, Inc. (Mar. 28, 1991). Substantial implementation under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) requires a company’s actions to have satisfactorily addressed both the proposal’s guidelines and its essential objective. Exelon Corp. (Feb. 26, 2010).

Thus, when a company can demonstrate that it has already taken actions that meet most of the guidelines of a proposal and meet the proposal’s essential purpose, the Staff has concurred that the proposal has been “substantially implemented.” In the current instance, the Company has substantially fulfilled neither the guidelines nor the essential purpose of the Proposal.

---


43 Article One Case Studies, available here: https://www.articleoneadvisors.com/case-studies-microsoft

Company Reporting does not meet the Guidelines of the Proposal

The resolved clause of the Proposal clearly asks that the Company commission an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment report ("the Report"), conducted by a reputable third party evaluating the efficacy of Alphabet's existing policies and practices to address the human rights impacts of its content management policies to address misinformation and disinformation across its platforms. The supporting statement provides additional guidelines that the report should reflect international standards and guidelines such as the UNGPs, and should assess:

- Existing governance and oversight mechanisms to evaluate how Alphabet's senior leadership and directors incorporate human rights due diligence—especially related to misinformation and disinformation—in their decision-making.
- Whether existing policies are effectively limiting the proliferation of misinformation and disinformation on its platform;
- Potential recommendations, if necessary, to strengthen measures to mitigate human rights harms associated with the dissemination of misinformation and disinformation.

As discussed earlier, the Proposal is focused on improving transparency and ensuring Alphabet is using the human rights lens to evaluate the efficacy of its existing policies and practices. The Company's existing disclosures do not fulfill the guidelines of the Proposal— the Company has provided no independent assessment of the efficacy of its content management policies with regard to human rights impacts.

Company Reporting does not meet the Essential Purpose of the Proposal, nor does the Company Letter demonstrate that the current Company actions meet the essential purpose of the Proposal—to bring an independent review to the Company’s content management strategy focused on misinformation and disinformation to effectively protect human rights. Instead, the Company Letter’s Rule 14a-8(i)(10) response amounts to an attempt to argue that the Company’s existing data and policy disclosures should suffice for investors and that asking for the additional assessment requested by the Proposal is somehow problematic or inappropriate. The Company has not made an effective argument that the independent assessment is unnecessary or that it probes too deeply into the business of the Company for the sake of investors.

The Company puts forth the existence of policies, oversight mechanisms, data disclosures and white papers as evidence that the Proposal is substantially implemented. This misconstrues the “essential objective” of the Proposal: to ensure investors have the information necessary to evaluate the efficacy of the existing policies. Currently, the Company does not have any independent, third party verified assessments of whether the Company’s existing policies and practices are effective and sufficient to protect against potential human rights abuses related to misinformation and disinformation. The Proponents acknowledge that policies exist, some governance structures are in place, and the Company is taking steps to address and remove content. However, none of these steps fills or replaces the need for an independent, third party assessment evaluating the efficacy of such policies and governance structures.

1. **Existing policies cited by the Company:** Transparency Report, the AdWords Policy Center, and the YouTube Community Guidelines are policies and guidelines used to evaluate harmful, inappropriate and prohibited information. The Company attempts to argue that the existence of these policies effectuates the resolved clause of the Proposal. This is a fundamental misreading of the intent of the Proposal which seeks to ensure investors receive an independent assessment of whether the Company’s existing policies and mechanisms are effective and sufficient.

2. **Existing governance structures:** Further, the Company argues that the Audit and Compliance Committee charter demonstrates the Company has “robust governance and oversight mechanisms in place for assessing human rights impacts arising from products and services by delegating
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to the Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board.” Again, this fails to address the central ask of the Proposal which seeks information on the effectiveness of its existing oversight structures and how directors incorporate due diligence into their decision-making. The Proponents believe that commissioning a Human Rights Impact Assessment will provide the board with a vital tool to fulfil its mandate by receiving independent, third-party reporting to augment its existing due diligence process.

3. **Existing outcomes from such policies:** The *Information Quality and Content Moderation* white paper makes clear that the Company is working hard to improve its content moderation policies, improve transparency around content removals and reduce bias. The existing work is laudable and related but does not address the heart of the Proposal’s ask: independently evaluating the efficacy of all that the Company is doing to address misinformation and disinformation.

Denial of an exclusion on the basis of substantial argumentation is consistent with numerous other Staff decisions where similar requests for an assessment were found not to be substantially implemented, despite extensive disclosures by the companies receiving the proposals.

For example, in *Northrop Grumman Corporation* (February 5, 2021) the proposal requested a Human Rights Impact Assessment “examining the actual and potential human rights impacts associated with high risk products and services, including those in conflict affected areas.” The Company attempted to argue that its existing disclosures of policies, commitment and practices should suffice to fulfill the essential objective of the proposal. But because the proposal was focused on assessment of *impacts*, rather than policies and commitments, the general disclosures did not fulfill the essential objective. Similar result, *Lear Corp.* (January 29, 2020).

See also *Chesapeake Company* (April 13, 2010): the company asserted that its extensive web publications constituted substantial implementation of the proposal on natural gas extraction. The Staff concluded that despite a volume of writing by the company on hydraulic fracturing, the matter was not substantially implemented given the guidelines of the proposal. Numerous other company attempts to exclude proposals under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) have failed where the company has provided public disclosure of some, but not all, of the elements of reporting requested. See, for instance, *Southern Company* (March 16, 2011) (proposal requesting a report on the company’s efforts, above and beyond current compliance, to reduce environmental and health hazards associated with coal combustion waste was not substantially implemented by the existing report on coal combustion byproducts or other disclosures associated with the impacts of coal where reports did not provide the specific information requested in the proposal); *3M Company* (March 2, 2005) (proposal seeking actions relating to eleven principles on human and labor rights in China was not substantially implemented despite the fact that the company had its own set of comprehensive policies and guidelines on these issues); *ConocoPhillips* (January 31, 2011) (proposal asking the company to prepare a report on public safety, including “the Board’s oversight of” a variety of related issues, was not substantially implemented where company had taken a significant number of steps to reduce the risk of accidents and reported to stockholders and the public, but only made passing reference to the board’s role).

These stand in contrast to *The Wendy’s Company* (April 10, 2019) where the proposal focused on requesting disclosure of the process that the company uses for identifying and analyzing human rights risks of operations and the supply chain, and the company’s disclosures fulfilled the proposal’s guidelines, leading to a finding of substantial implementation.

In summary, the Company Letter does not make a persuasive case that its existing disclosures fulfill the Proposal’s request for an independent assessment of the efficacy of its misinformation and disinformation controls in preventing impacts on human rights. Therefore, the Proposal is not excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(10).
CONCLUSION

Given the profound impacts that misinformation and disinformation can have on human rights, the Proponents believe it is imperative for the Company to provide transparency on whether it is using a human rights lens and independent experts to evaluate whether its policies and practices are sufficient to protect against risk—something Alphabet has not currently done. Based on the foregoing, we believe it is clear that the Company has provided no basis for the conclusion that the Proposal is excludable from the 2022 proxy statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8. As such, we respectfully request that the Staff inform the Company that it is denying the no action letter request. If you have any questions, please contact me at 413 549-7333 or sanfordlewis@strategiccounsel.net.

Sincerely,
Sanford Lewis