



DIVISION OF
CORPORATION FINANCE

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

February 17, 2022

Lillian Brown
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

Re: Moderna, Inc. (the "Company")
Incoming letter dated February 16, 2022

Dear Ms. Brown:

This letter is in regard to your correspondence concerning the shareholder proposal (the "Proposal") submitted to the Company by Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. (the "Proponent") for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for its upcoming annual meeting of security holders. Your letter indicates that the Proponent has withdrawn the Proposal and that the Company therefore withdraws its December 17, 2021 request for a no-action letter from the Division. Because the matter is now moot, we will have no further comment.

Copies of all of the correspondence related to this matter will be made available on our website at <https://www.sec.gov/corpfin/2021-2022-shareholder-proposals-no-action>.

Sincerely,

Rule 14a-8 Review Team

cc: John Hoepfner
Legal & General Investment
Management America, Inc.

Lillian Brown

+1 202 663 6743 (t)

+1 202 663 6363 (f)

lillian.brown@wilmerhale.com

December 17, 2021

Via E-mail to shareholderproposals@sec.gov

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Division of Corporation Finance
Office of Chief Counsel
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

**Re: Moderna, Inc.
Exclusion of Shareholder Proposal by Legal & General Investment Management
America, Inc.**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are writing on behalf of our client, Moderna, Inc. (the “Company”), to inform you of the Company’s intention to exclude from its proxy statement and proxy to be filed and distributed in connection with its 2022 annual meeting of shareholders (the “Proxy Materials”) the enclosed shareholder proposal and supporting statement (collectively, the “Proposal”) submitted by Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. (the “Proponent”) requesting that the Company report to shareholders “on whether and how Moderna’s receipt of government financial support for development and manufacture of a vaccine for COVID-19 is being, or will be, taken into account when making decisions that affect access to such products, such as setting prices.”

The Company respectfully requests that the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the “Staff”) of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) advise the Company that it will not recommend any enforcement action to the Commission if the Company excludes the Proposal from its Proxy Materials for the reasons discussed below.

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) and Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14D (November 7, 2008) (“SLB 14D”), the Company is submitting electronically to the Commission this letter, and the Proposal and related correspondence (attached as Exhibit A to this letter), and is concurrently sending a copy to the Proponent, no later than eighty calendar days before the Company intends to file its definitive Proxy Materials with the Commission.

December 17, 2021

Page 2

Background

On November 10, 2021, the Company received the Proposal from the Proponent, which states in relevant part as follows:

RESOLVED that shareholders of Moderna, Inc. (“Moderna” or the “Company”) ask the Board of Directors to report to shareholders, at reasonable expense and omitting confidential and proprietary information, on whether and how Moderna’s receipt of government financial support for development and manufacture of a vaccine for COVID-19 is being, or will be, taken into account when making decisions that affect access to such products, such as setting prices.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Moderna has received substantial government funding for the development of its COVID-19 vaccine. Prior to the pandemic, Moderna received over \$100 million in federal government funding to develop mRNA technology,¹ now used in its COVID-19 vaccine, and the National Institutes of Health conducted preclinical work on the vaccine.

The Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority provided nearly \$2.5 billion in funding for development and expansion of manufacturing capacity for Moderna’s vaccine,² more than any other vaccine manufacturer in the Operation Warp Speed (“OWS”) program. Federal funding covered all of the vaccine’s development costs. One commentator characterized the government’s role as “essentially remov[ing] the bulk of traditional industry risks related to vaccine development.”³

Universal and low-cost vaccine access is critical to save lives, stabilize the economy, and prevent domestic outbreaks. As of September 2021, Moderna had shipped 88% of its doses to upper- and upper-middle-income countries; it has acknowledged that its manufacturing capacity is “still limited.”⁴ As a result, Moderna is under pressure to share intellectual property with other manufacturers to boost supply. As of October 2021, Moderna had declined to transfer its technology to any manufacturer in a low- or middle-income country.

¹ <https://www.keionline.org/33763> and <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-moderna-investigational-covid-19-vaccine-shows-promise-mouse-studies>

² <https://www.fiercepharma.com/pharma/after-nearly-1b-research-funding-moderna-takes-1-5b-coronavirus-vaccine-order-from-u-s>

³ <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hb10q20210512.191448/full/>

⁴ <https://investors.modernatx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/our-global-commitment-vaccine-access>

December 17, 2021

Page 3

Unlike fellow OWS participants Janssen and AstraZeneca, Moderna has not committed to provide its vaccine on a nonprofit basis during the pandemic. Its pricing does not seem to be linked to income: It has charged Botswana, Thailand, and Colombia \$27 to \$30 per dose, more than the price charged to many high-income countries.⁵ According to one report, “[i]n some cases, Moderna has offered to provide poorer countries the vaccine at relatively low prices, but only after it has fulfilled other countries’ orders.”⁶

Advocates of technology transfers and lower prices often cite the crucial role played by government support in Moderna’s vaccine development. Senators Warren and Merkley and Representative Jayapal wrote in October 2021 to federal government officials, noting the urgent need to expand access and the extensive public funding Moderna received, and seeking clarification of the federal government’s rights to share vaccine technology under its contracts with Moderna.⁷

Moderna states that it aims “to provide effective and affordable vaccines and therapeutics to all populations” and that it will give Gavi-eligible countries its “lowest prices.”⁸ It does not, however, explain whether and how the significant contribution from public entities affects, or will affect, decisions about actions that Moderna could take to ensure access. This Proposal seeks to fill that gap.

Basis for Exclusion

The Proposal may be excluded pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) because the Company will have substantially implemented the Proposal by the time the Company files its Proxy Materials.

The purpose of the Rule 14a-8(i)(10) exclusion is to “avoid the possibility of shareholders having to consider matters which have already been favorably acted upon by management.” Commission Release No. 34-12598 (July 7, 1976). While the exclusion was originally interpreted to allow exclusion of a shareholder proposal only when the proposal was “‘fully’ effected” by the company, the Commission has revised its approach to the exclusion over time to allow for exclusion of proposals that have been “substantially implemented.” Commission Release No. 34-20091 (August 16, 1983) and Commission Release No. 34-40018 (May 21, 1998). In applying this standard, the Staff has noted that “a determination that the [c]ompany

⁵ <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/09/business/moderna-covid-vaccine.html>

⁶ <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/09/business/moderna-covid-vaccine.html>

⁷ <https://www.warren.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/2021.10.12%20Letter%20to%20WH%20and%20BARDA%20on%20Moderna%20Contract.pdf>

⁸ <https://www.modernatx.com/responsibility/medicines-patients>; <https://investors.modernatx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/modernas-commitment-vaccines-and-therapeutics-access>

December 17, 2021

Page 4

has substantially implemented the proposal depends upon whether [the company's] particular policies, practices and procedures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal." *Texaco, Inc.* (March 6, 1991, *recon. granted* March 28, 1991). In addition, when a company can demonstrate that it already has taken actions that address the "essential objective" of a shareholder proposal, the Staff has concurred that the proposal has been "substantially implemented" and may be excluded as moot, even where the company's actions do not precisely mirror the terms of the shareholder proposal.

The Staff has consistently permitted the exclusion of shareholder proposals under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) when it has determined that the company's policies, practices and procedures or public disclosures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal or where the company had addressed the underlying concerns and satisfied the "essential objective" of the proposal, even where the company's actions did not precisely mirror the terms of the shareholder proposal. For example, in *Apple Inc.* (December 17, 2020), the Staff concurred in exclusion pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of a proposal requesting that the company report annually to shareholders on the company's management systems and processes, oversight mechanisms and responsive actions to government or third-party demands with respect to human rights matters regarding free expression and access to information. Despite not publishing a consolidated annual report, the company argued that it had substantially implemented the proposal by recently publishing its human rights policy and through existing disclosures in various public guidelines, reports, policies and charters. *See also Applied Materials, Inc.* (January 17, 2018) (in which the Staff concurred in exclusion of a proposal requesting that the company "improve the method to disclose the Company's executive compensation information with their actual compensation," on the basis that the company's "public disclosures compare favorably with the guidelines of the Proposal and that the Company has, therefore, substantially implemented the Proposal," where the company argued that its current disclosures follow requirements under applicable securities laws for disclosing executive compensation); *Kewaunee Scientific Corporation* (May 31, 2017) (in which the Staff concurred in exclusion of a proposal requesting that nonemployee directors no longer be eligible to participate in the company's health and life insurance programs, on the basis that the company's "policies, practices and procedures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal and that Kewaunee . . . substantially implemented the proposal," where the board had adopted a policy prohibiting nonemployee directors from participating in the company's health and life insurance programs after December 31, 2017); *MGM Resorts International* (February 28, 2012) (in which the Staff concurred in exclusion of a proposal requesting a report on the company's sustainability policies and performance and recommending the use of the Governance Reporting Initiative Sustainability Guidelines, on the basis that the company's "public disclosures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal and that MGM Resorts has, therefore, substantially implemented the proposal," where the company published an annual sustainability report that did not use the Governance Reporting Initiative

December 17, 2021

Page 5

Sustainability Guidelines or include all of the topics covered therein); and *Alcoa Inc.* (February 3, 2009) (in which the Staff concurred in exclusion of a proposal requesting a report describing how the company's actions to reduce its impact on global climate change may have altered the current and future global climate, where the company published general reports on climate change, sustainability and emissions data on its website that did not discuss all topics requested in the proposal).

The Staff also has consistently granted no-action requests pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) in circumstances where a company notifies the Staff that it intends to exclude a shareholder proposal on the basis that the company and/or board of directors is expected to take action that will substantially implement the proposal, and the company follows its initial submission with a supplemental notification to the Staff confirming that such action had been taken. For example, in *Exelon Corporation* (February 26, 2010), the Staff concurred in exclusion of a proposal that the company provide a report disclosing the company's policies and procedures for political contributions and its monetary and non-monetary political contributions, where the company expressed its intention to adopt and disclose such policies and report and subsequently notified the Staff that the actions had been taken. *See also Fortive Corporation* (March 13, 2019) (elimination of supermajority voting); *Invesco Ltd.* (March 8, 2019) (elimination of supermajority voting); *United Technologies Corporation* (March 1, 2019) (elimination of supermajority voting); *AbbVie Inc.* and *Cadence Design Systems, Inc.* (February 27, 2019) (elimination of supermajority voting); *NCR Corporation* (February 15, 2019) (elimination of supermajority voting); *State Street Corporation* (March 5, 2018) (elimination of supermajority voting); *The Southern Company* (February 24, 2017) (elimination of supermajority voting); *OGE Energy Corp.* (March 2, 2016) (elimination of supermajority voting); *The Progressive Corporation* (February 18, 2016) (elimination of supermajority voting); *Berry Plastics Group, Inc.* (December 14, 2016) (proxy access); *The Wendy's Company* (March 2, 2016) (proxy access); *Reliance Steel & Aluminum Co.* and *United Continental Holdings, Inc.* (February 26, 2016) (proxy access); *Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.* (February 12, 2016) (proxy access); and *Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.* (February 10, 2016) (majority voting for director elections proposal).

In this instance, the Company already provides information about: the pricing for the sales of its COVID-19 vaccine to the U.S. Government, which is the sole government to have provided financial assistance toward the development of the vaccine;⁹ its general approach to pricing during the pandemic and anticipated pricing once the COVID-19 pandemic enters an endemic

⁹ See Moderna, Inc., Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 12, 2020, available at <https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/1682852/000119312520216923/d45471d8k.htm>.

December 17, 2021

Page 6

phase;¹⁰ its commitment not to enforce its intellectual property rights during the pandemic;¹¹ and its global commitment to vaccine access.¹² The Company expects to supplement this existing disclosure with a statement to be published on the Company's website before February 15, 2022, and in any event, by the time the Company files its Proxy Materials. Such statement will address whether and how the Company's receipt of government financial support for development and manufacture of a COVID-19 vaccine is being, or will be, taken into account when making decisions that affect access to such products, such as setting prices, as contemplated by the Proposal. Accordingly, the combination of the information that the Company has already released and the new statement will both compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal and satisfy the essential objective of the report requested in the Proposal.

For these reasons, and consistent with the line of precedent cited above, the Company believes it will have substantially implemented the Proposal before it files its Proxy Materials. Accordingly, the Company believes the Proposal may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(10).

We are submitting this letter before the publication of the statement to address the timing requirements of Rule 14a-8(j). Once formal action has been taken by the Board to adopt the statement and the statement has been published on the Company's website, the Company will notify the Staff that this action has been taken and provide the full text of the statement. In addition, we note that we have been in discussions with the Proponent and understand that the Proponent may be willing to withdraw the Proposal following Board adoption of and publication of the statement. If the Proponent withdraws the Proposal before the Staff responds to this no-action request, the Company will promptly notify the Staff and withdraw this request for no-action relief.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, we respectfully request that the Staff concur that it will take no action if the Company excludes the Proposal from its Proxy Materials pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10), on the basis that the Company will have substantially implemented the Proposal by the time the Company files the Proxy Materials.

¹⁰ See, e.g., Moderna, Inc. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, dated August 6, 2020, at page 45, available at <https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/1682852/000168285220000017/mma-20200630.htm>.

¹¹ See Moderna, Inc., "Statement by Moderna on Intellectual Property Matters During the COVID-19 Pandemic," dated October 8, 2020, available at <https://investors.modernatx.com/Statements--Perspectives/Statements--Perspectives-Details/2020/Statement-by-Moderna-on-Intellectual-Property-Matters-during-the-COVID-19-Pandemic/default.aspx>.

¹² See Moderna, Inc., "Our Global Commitment to Vaccine Access," dated October 8, 2021, available at <https://investors.modernatx.com/Statements--Perspectives/Statements--Perspectives-Details/2021/Our-Global-Commitment-to-Vaccine-Access/default.aspx>.

December 17, 2021

Page 7

If the Staff has any questions with respect to the foregoing, or if for any reason the Staff does not agree that the Company may exclude the Proposal from its Proxy Materials, please do not hesitate to contact me at lillian.brown@wilmerhale.com or (202) 663-6743. In addition, should the Proponent choose to submit any response or other correspondence to the Commission, we request that the Proponent concurrently submit that response or other correspondence to the Company, as required pursuant to Rule 14a-8(k) and SLB 14D, and copy the undersigned.

Best regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lillian Brown".

Lillian Brown

Enclosures

cc: Shannon Klinger, Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary
Brian Sandstrom, Vice President, Associate General Counsel, Securities
Moderna, Inc.

John Hoeppe, Head of US Stewardship and Sustainable Investing
Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc.

EXHIBIT A



LGIM America
71 South Wacker Drive
Suite 800
Chicago, IL 60606
www.lgima.com

November 9, 2021

BY EMAIL AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Moderna, Inc.
Attn: Shannon Thyme Klinger
Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary, Chief Governance Counsel

Email: [REDACTED]

Re: Shareholder Proposal for Circulation at 2022 Annual Shareholder Meeting

Dear Ms. Thyme Klinger,

On behalf of Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. ("LGIM America") I am writing to give notice that pursuant to the 2021 Proxy Statement of Moderna, Inc. (the "Company") and Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, LGIM America and other co-filers are presenting the attached proposal (the "Proposal") for inclusion in the proxy statement of the Company at its 2022 annual meeting of shareholders (the "Annual Meeting").

Attached is documentation from Citibank N.A., confirming that Legal & General Russell 1000 Growth CIT Fund (an investment vehicle for which LGIM America serves as investment manager) has continuously held, for at least one year as of the date hereof, at least \$25,000 worth of the Company's voting common stock (the "Shares"). In addition, Legal & General Russell 1000 Growth CIT Fund intends to continue its ownership of the Shares through the date on which the Annual Meeting is held.

LGIM America is the lead filer for this Proposal and may be joined by other shareholders as co-filers. LGIM America as lead filer is authorized to engage with the Company and negotiate on behalf of each co-filer any potential withdrawal of this proposal. The Proposal is attached.

LGIM America welcomes the opportunity to discuss this Proposal with representatives of the Company. We are available on **Wednesday, November 24 between 9am and 1pm ET; Tuesday, November 30 between 12 and 4pm ET; and Wednesday, December 8 between 10am and 4pm ET**. Any co-filers will either (a) be available on those dates and times or (b) in their submission letters, authorize us to engage with the Company on their behalf, within the meaning of Rule 14a-8(b)(iii)(B). Please reach out to John Hoepfner, LGIM America's Head of US Stewardship and Sustainable Investing by phone at [REDACTED] or by email at [REDACTED] to schedule a meeting. Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

Emma Rodriguez-Ayala

Emma Rodriguez-Ayala, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer
Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc.

RESOLVED that shareholders of Moderna, Inc. ("Moderna" or the "Company") ask the Board of Directors to report to shareholders, at reasonable expense and omitting confidential and proprietary information, on whether and how Moderna's receipt of government financial support for development and manufacture of a vaccine for COVID-19 is being, or will be, taken into account when making decisions that affect access to such products, such as setting prices.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Moderna has received substantial government funding for the development of its COVID-19 vaccine. Prior to the pandemic, Moderna received over \$100 million in federal government funding to develop mRNA technology,¹ now used in its COVID-19 vaccine, and the National Institutes of Health conducted preclinical work on the vaccine.

The Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority provided nearly \$2.5 billion in funding for development and expansion of manufacturing capacity for Moderna's vaccine,² more than any other vaccine manufacturer in the Operation Warp Speed ("OWS") program. Federal funding covered all of the vaccine's development costs. One commentator characterized the government's role as "essentially remov[ing] the bulk of traditional industry risks related to vaccine development."³

Universal and low-cost vaccine access is critical to save lives, stabilize the economy, and prevent domestic outbreaks. As of September 2021, Moderna had shipped 88% of its doses to upper- and upper-middle-income countries; it has acknowledged that its manufacturing capacity is "still limited."⁴ As a result, Moderna is under pressure to share intellectual property with other manufacturers to boost supply. As of October 2021, Moderna had declined to transfer its technology to any manufacturer in a low- or middle-income country.

Unlike fellow OWS participants Janssen and AstraZeneca, Moderna has not committed to provide its vaccine on a nonprofit basis during the pandemic. Its pricing does not seem to be linked to income: It has charged Botswana, Thailand, and Colombia \$27 to \$30 per dose, more than the price charged to many high-income countries.⁵ According to one report, "[i]n some cases, Moderna has offered to provide poorer countries the vaccine at relatively low prices, but only after it has fulfilled other countries' orders."⁶

Advocates of technology transfers and lower prices often cite the crucial role played by government support in Moderna's vaccine development. Senators Warren and Merkley and Representative Jayapal wrote in October 2021 to federal government officials, noting the urgent need to expand access and the extensive public funding Moderna received, and seeking clarification of the federal government's rights to share vaccine technology under its contracts with Moderna.⁷

Moderna states that it aims "to provide effective and affordable vaccines and therapeutics to all populations" and that it will give Gavi-eligible countries its "lowest prices."⁸ It does not, however, explain whether and how the significant contribution from public entities affects, or will affect, decisions about actions that Moderna could take to ensure access. This Proposal seeks to fill that gap.

¹ <https://www.keionline.org/33763> and <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-moderna-investigational-covid-19-vaccine-shows-promise-mouse-studies>

² <https://www.fiercepharma.com/pharma/after-nearly-1b-research-funding-moderna-takes-1-5b-coronavirus-vaccine-order-from-u-s>

³ <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hblog20210512.191448/full/>

⁴ <https://investors.modernatx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/our-global-commitment-vaccine-access>

⁵ <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/09/business/moderna-covid-vaccine.html>

⁶ <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/09/business/moderna-covid-vaccine.html>

⁷ <https://www.warren.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/2021.10.12%20Letter%20to%20WH%20and%20BARDA%20on%20Moderna%20Contract.pdf>

⁸ <https://www.modernatx.com/responsibility/medicines-patients;>
<https://investors.modernatx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/modernas-commitment-vaccines-and-therapeutics-access>



January 18, 2022

Via e-mail at shareholderproposals@sec.gov

Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of the Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

LGIM America
71 South Wacker Drive
Suite 800
Chicago, IL 60606
[www.LGIM
America.com](http://www.LGIMAmerica.com)

Re: Request by Moderna, Inc. to omit proposal submitted by Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc.

Ladies and Gentlemen,

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. (“LGIM America” submitted a shareholder proposal (the “Proposal”) to Moderna, Inc. (“Moderna”). The Proposal asks Moderna to report to shareholders on whether and how Moderna’s receipt of government financial support for development and manufacture of its COVID-19 vaccine is being, or will be taken into account when making decisions that affect access to the vaccine, such as setting prices.

In a letter to the Division dated December 17, 2021 (the “No-Action Request”), Moderna stated that it intends to omit the Proposal from its proxy materials to be distributed to shareholders in connection with the 2022 annual meeting of shareholders. Moderna argues that it is entitled to exclude the Proposal in reliance on Rule 14a-8(i)(10), on the ground that the Proposal will be substantially implemented at a future time. Moderna should not be permitted to exclude the Proposal based on disclosure that neither LGIM America nor the Division has had the opportunity to review. Accordingly, LGIM America asks that the Division require Moderna to provide the disclosure to both LGIM America and the Division sufficiently in advance of the proxy filing date to enable LGIM America to analyze it and argue, if appropriate, that the disclosure does not substantially implement the Proposal.

The Proposal

The Proposal states:

RESOLVED that shareholders of Moderna, Inc. (“Moderna” or the “Company”) ask the Board of Directors to report to shareholders, at reasonable expense and omitting confidential and proprietary information, on whether and how Moderna’s receipt of government financial support for development and manufacture of a vaccine for COVID-19 is being, or will be, taken into account when making decisions that affect access to such products, such as setting prices.

Substantial Implementation

Moderna argues that the Proposal is excludable pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) not because it has already taken steps constituting substantial implementation but rather because it represents that it will do so “before February 15, 2022, and in any event, by the time Moderna files its Proxy Materials.”¹ Moderna urges that the disclosure it plans to make will substantially implement the Proposal, asserting that “the combination of the information that Moderna has already released and the new statement will both compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal and satisfy the essential objective of the report requested in the Proposal.”²

¹ No-Action Request, at 6.

² No-Action Request, at 6.

Moderna cites a number of determinations supporting its contention that the Division allows exclusion of a proposal based on actions that have not yet been taken at the time of the company's no-action request.³ In all but one of those determinations, the action the company represented it would take in the future was either (i) a board-adopted bylaw amendment implementing the proposal or (ii) a board resolution approving the submission of one or more amendments to the company's governing documents for shareholder approval at the next annual meeting of shareholders. The companies' requests were made before the amendment and/or resolution was adopted due to the need to put the changes on the agendas for board meetings scheduled to be held after the deadline for seeking no-action relief. In each case, the company promptly submitted to the Division and proponent(s) the text of any changes made unilaterally by the board or the text of the board's resolution, which allowed the proponents to analyze the extent to which the amendments (completed or proposed) substantially implemented the proposals.


In Exelon,⁴ the company supplementally provided all the disclosures it claimed substantially implemented the proposal, including guidelines for political spending and a report of all expenditures. Exelon furnished this disclosure on February 19, well in advance of March 18, the date on which it filed its definitive proxy statement.

Moderna should not be permitted to omit the Proposal based on disclosures that will be made a short time before its proxy statement is filed. None of the determinations on which Moderna relies required the proponent(s) and/or Division to simply trust the company's conclusion that its actions or disclosures substantially implemented the proposal. Such a process would not give LGIM America an opportunity to review and respond; reasonable people can differ about whether disclosure accomplishes a proposal's essential objective. A meaningful opportunity for review is especially important given that several other companies have claimed in no-action requests that they substantially implemented proposals substantially identical to the Proposal through disclosure that does not mention public funding. Thus, to the extent Moderna is requesting that it be allowed to exclude the Proposal without providing the language to LGIM America and the Division ahead of the proxy statement filing date, LGIM America opposes the request. LGIM America does not object, however, to holding the No-Action Request in abeyance pending Moderna's timely supplemental provision of its disclosure on public funding and access to its COVID-19 vaccine.


LGIM America appreciates the opportunity to be of assistance in this matter. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact John Hoepfner, LGIM America's Head of US Stewardship and Sustainable Investing, at john.hoepfner@lgima.com or Emma Rodriguez-Ayala, LGIM America's General Counsel, at emma.rodriquez-ayala@lgima.com.

Sincerely,

LGIM America



John Hoepfner
Head of US Stewardship and Sustainable Investing



Emma Rodriguez-Ayala
General Counsel

cc: Lillian Brown, Esq., Counsel to Moderna, Inc., via email at Lillian.brown@wilmerhale.com

³ No-Action Request, at 5.

⁴ Exelon Corporation (Feb. 26, 2010).

Lillian Brown

+1 202 663 6743 (t)

+1 202 663 6363 (f)

lillian.brown@wilmerhale.com

February 16, 2022

Via E-mail to shareholderproposals@sec.gov

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Division of Corporation Finance
Office of Chief Counsel
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

**Re: Moderna, Inc.
Withdrawal of No-Action Request Dated December 17, 2021, Relating to
Shareholder Proposal Submitted by Legal & General Investment Management
America, Inc.**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are writing on behalf of our client, Moderna, Inc. (the “Company”), with regard to our letter dated December 17, 2021 (the “No-Action Request”) concerning the shareholder proposal and supporting statement (collectively, the “Proposal”), for inclusion in the proxy statement to be distributed to the Company’s shareholders in connection with its 2022 annual meeting of shareholders (the “Proxy Materials”), submitted by Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. (the “Proponent”). The Proposal requests that the Company report to shareholders “on whether and how Moderna’s receipt of government financial support for development and manufacture of a vaccine for COVID-19 is being, or will be, taken into account when making decisions that affect access to such products, such as setting prices.” In the No-Action Request, the Company sought concurrence from the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Staff”) that the Company could exclude the Proposal from its Proxy Materials pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 on the basis that the Company will have substantially implemented the Proposal by the time it files its Proxy Materials.


On February 16, 2022, the Proponent withdrew the Proposal by email (attached as Exhibit A to this letter). In reliance on the Proponent’s email, the Company is withdrawing the No-Action Request.

February 16, 2022

Page 2

If the Staff has any questions with respect to this matter, or requires additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at lillian.brown@wilmerhale.com or (202) 663-6743.

Best regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lillian Brown".

Lillian Brown

Enclosures

cc: Shannon Klinger, Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary
Brian Sandstrom, Vice President, Associate General Counsel, Securities
Moderna, Inc.

John Hoepfner, Head of US Stewardship and Sustainable Investing
Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc.

EXHIBIT A

From: Hoepfner, John <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 8:48 AM
To: Brian Sandstrom
Cc: James Dillon; Rodriguez-Ayala, Emma; Ortino, Maria
Subject: Shareholder Proposal Withdrawal - Government Support and Pricing

EXTERNAL

Confidential

Hello Brian,

Thank you for the productive exchanges over the past few months related to our shareholder proposal. We have reviewed the [report](#) you made public this week and it satisfied the spirit of our request for transparency related to government support and pricing so we are going to withdrawal our shareholder proposal. Please take this as notice and we will also notify the SEC.

Please let me know if you have any further questions. We look forward to future productive engagements.

Best,
John

John Hoepfner
Head of US Stewardship and Sustainable Investing

[REDACTED]
[lgima.com](#) | [LinkedIn](#)



This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not disclose, copy, distribute, disseminate or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this message in error, please reply and tell us and then delete it. Should you wish to communicate with us by e-mail, we cannot guarantee the security of any data outside our own computer systems.

Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. (CRD no 149156, SEC no 801-69862) is registered with the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission, with a registered office at 71 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800, Chicago Illinois, 60606. Any information contained in this message may be subject to applicable terms and conditions and must not be construed as giving investment advice within or outside the United States or the United Kingdom. Telephone conversations may be recorded for your protection and to ensure quality of service.

Our affiliates, Legal & General Investment Management Limited (no 2091894), LGV Capital Limited (no 2091268), LGIM

Real Assets (Operator) Limited (no 05522016), LGIM (International) Limited (no 7716001), Legal & General Property Limited (no 2091897), and LGIM Corporate Director Limited (no 7105051) are authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority in the U.K. Legal & General Assurance (Pensions Management) Limited (no 1006112) is also regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and is regulated and authorized by the Prudential Regulation Authority. All entities are registered in England & Wales, with a registered office at One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA. Legal & General Group PLC (no: 1417162) also has its registered office at One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA.

**** This email has come from the internet and has been scanned for all viruses and potentially offensive content by Messagelabs on behalf of Legal & General ****