March 10, 2022

Thomas J. Kim
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Re:  AT&T Inc. (the “Company”)
    Incoming letter dated December 6, 2021

Dear Mr. Kim:

This letter is in response to your correspondence concerning the shareholder proposal (the “Proposal”) submitted to the Company by John Chevedden for inclusion in the Company’s proxy materials for its upcoming annual meeting of security holders.

The Proposal requests that the board take each step necessary so that each voting requirement in the Company’s charter and bylaws (that is explicit or implicit due to default to state law) that calls for a greater than simple majority vote be eliminated, and replaced by a requirement for a majority of the votes cast for and against applicable proposals, or a simple majority in compliance with applicable laws.

There appears to be some basis for your view that the Company may exclude the Proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(10). Based on the information you have presented, it appears that the Company has already substantially implemented the Proposal. Accordingly, we will not recommend enforcement action to the Commission if the Company omits the Proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on Rule 14a-8(i)(10).

Copies of all of the correspondence on which this response is based will be made available on our website at https://www.sec.gov/corpfin/2021-2022-shareholder-proposals-no-action.

Sincerely,

Rule 14a-8 Review Team

cc:  John Chevedden
December 6, 2021

VIA E-MAIL

Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

Re: AT&T Inc.
Stockholder Proposal Submitted by John Chevedden
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”)—Rule 14a-8

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is to inform you that our client, AT&T Inc. (“AT&T” or the “Company”), intends to omit from its proxy statement and form of proxy for its 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (collectively, the “2022 Proxy Materials”) a stockholder proposal (the “Proposal”) and statements in support thereof (the “Supporting Statement”) received from John Chevedden (the “Proponent”).

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j), we have:

- filed this letter with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) no later than eighty (80) calendar days before the Company intends to file its definitive 2022 Proxy Materials with the Commission; and
- concurrently sent copies of this correspondence to the Proponent.

Rule 14a-8(k) and Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14D (Nov. 7, 2008) (“SLB 14D”) provide that stockholder proponents are required to send companies a copy of any correspondence that the proponents elect to submit to the Commission or the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the “Staff”). Accordingly, we are taking this opportunity to inform the Proponent that if the Proponent elects to submit additional correspondence to the Commission or the Staff with respect to this Proposal, a copy of that correspondence should be furnished concurrently to the undersigned on behalf of the Company pursuant to Rule 14a-8(k) and SLB 14D.
THE PROPOSAL

The Proposal sets forth the following resolution and Supporting Statement to be voted on at the 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

RESOLVED, Shareholders request that our board take each step necessary so that each voting requirement in our charter and bylaws (that is explicit or implicit due to default to state law) that calls for a greater than simple majority vote be eliminated, and replaced by a requirement for a majority of the votes cast for and against applicable proposals, or a simple majority in compliance with applicable laws. If necessary this means the closest standard to a majority of the votes cast for and against such proposals consistent with applicable laws. This includes any existing supermajority vote requirement that result from default to state law and can be subject to elimination.

Shareholders are willing to pay a premium for shares of companies that have excellent corporate governance. Supermajority voting requirements have been found to be one of 6 entrenching mechanisms that are negatively related to company performance according to “What Matters in Corporate Governance” by Lucien Bebchuk, Alma Cohen and Allen Ferrell of the Harvard Law School. Supermajority requirements are used to block initiatives supported by most shareholders but opposed by a status quo management.

This proposal topic won from 74% to 88% support at Weyerhaeuser, Alcoa, Waste Management, Goldman Sachs, FirstEnergy, McGraw-Hill and Macy's. The proponents of these proposals included Ray T. Chevedden and William Steiner. The votes would have been higher than 74% to 88% if more shareholders had access to independent proxy voting advice.

Church & Dwight shareholders gave 99% support to a 2020 proposal on this same topic. This proposal topic also won 99%-support at the 2021 ConocoPhillips annual meeting.

There should be urgency in reforming our outdated corporate governance given that our stock has done little since its $50 price in 2001.

AT&T shareholders would have been far better off investing in an index fund. Plus a majority of AT&T shares rejected management pay with 1.8 Billion negative votes in 2021.
A copy of the full Proposal and related correspondence with the Proponent is attached to this letter as Exhibit A.

ANALYSIS

**The Proposal May Be Excluded Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) Because the Company Has Already Substantially Implemented the Proposal**

Rule 14a-8(i)(10) permits a company to exclude a proposal from its proxy statement if the company “has already substantially implemented the proposal.” The Company has already substantially implemented the Proposal and addressed the underlying concerns expressed therein, and may therefore exclude the Proposal from its 2022 Proxy Statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10).

1. **Background On Rule 14a-8(i)(10)**

Rule 14a-8(i)(10) permits a company to exclude a stockholder proposal from its proxy materials if the company has substantially implemented the proposal. The Commission stated in 1976 that the predecessor to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) was “designed to avoid the possibility of shareholders having to consider matters which already have been favorably acted upon by the management.” Exchange Act Release No. 12598 (July 7, 1976). Originally, the Staff narrowly interpreted this predecessor rule and granted no-action relief only when proposals were “fully effected” by the company. See Exchange Act Release No. 19135 (Oct. 14, 1982). By 1983, the Commission recognized that the “previous formalistic application of [the rule] defeated its purpose” because proponents were successfully avoiding exclusion by submitting proposals that differed from existing company policy by only a few words. Exchange Act Release No. 20091, at § II.E.6. (Aug. 16, 1983) (the “1983 Release”). Therefore, in the 1983 Release, the Commission adopted a revised interpretation of the rule to permit the omission of proposals that had been “substantially implemented,” and the Commission codified this revised interpretation in Exchange Act Release No. 40018 at n.30 (May 21, 1998). Thus, when a company can demonstrate that it already has taken actions to address the underlying concerns and essential objectives of a stockholder proposal, the Staff has concurred that the proposal has been “substantially implemented” and may be excluded as moot. See, e.g., Exelon Corp. (avail. Feb. 26, 2010); Exxon Mobil Corp. (Burt) (avail. Mar. 23, 2009); Exxon Mobil Corp. (avail. Jan. 24, 2001); Masco Corp. (avail. Mar. 29, 1999); The Gap, Inc. (avail. Mar. 8, 1996). The Staff has noted that “a determination that the company has substantially implemented the proposal depends upon whether [the company’s] particular policies, practices and procedures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal.” Texaco, Inc. (avail. Mar. 28, 1991).

The fourth paragraph of Section 6 of Article I of the Company’s Bylaws\(^1\) (the “Bylaws”) provides as follows:

All matters, except as provided below, shall be determined by a majority of the votes cast, unless a greater number is required by law or the Certificate of Incorporation for the action proposed. In an election of Directors, each Director shall be elected by the vote of the majority of the votes cast with respect to that Director’s election.

The only non-majority stockholder voting standard in the Bylaws is set forth in the fifth paragraph of Section 6 of Article I of the Bylaws. It provides for a plurality voting standard that applies when the number of director nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected—in other words, when there is a contested election. This provision does not affect AT&T’s substantial implementation of the Proposal, which requests that a simple majority standard replace “each voting requirement in our charter and bylaws . . . that calls for a greater than simple majority vote” [emphasis added]. A plurality standard does not call for votes “greater than” a majority vote. The Staff has found bases for exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of “simple majority vote” proposals similar to the Proposal, despite the retention of plurality vote provisions for contested elections of directors. See, e.g., Northrup Grumman (avail. Mar. 16, 2006) and Pfizer, Inc. (avail. Jan. 31, 2006).

Furthermore, there are no supermajority voting provisions in the Company’s Restated Certificate of Incorporation\(^2\) (the “Certificate”). We note that Article Eight of the Certificate provides:

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the corporation, no action which is required to be taken or which may be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders of the corporation may be taken by written consent without a meeting, except where such consent is signed by stockholders representing at least two-thirds of the total number of shares of stock of the corporation then outstanding and entitled to vote thereon.

This provision is not inconsistent with the Proposal, which calls for “each voting requirement in our charter and bylaws . . . that calls for a greater than simple majority vote be eliminated, and replaced by a requirement for a majority of the votes cast for and against applicable proposals, or a simple majority in compliance with applicable laws” [emphasis added]. Article Eight of the

---

\(^1\) A copy of the Company’s Bylaws is attached to this letter as Exhibit B.

\(^2\) A copy of the Company’s Restated Certificate of Incorporation is attached to this letter as Exhibit C.
Certificate is not a “voting requirement,” but instead governs actions taken by written consent. Action by written consent is an alternative procedure to voting. It permits a subset of stockholders to take a particular action, with the remaining stockholders (as well as the company) merely being notified of the action without having a vote or voice in its outcome. Accordingly, Article Eight does not affect AT&T’s substantial implementation of the Proposal.

Underscoring this point: the Proposal calls for a “requirement for a majority of the votes cast for and against applicable proposals, or a simple majority in compliance with applicable laws. If necessary this means the closest standard to a majority of the votes cast for and against such proposals consistent with applicable laws.” The concept of “votes cast for and against” is inapposite to action by written consent. There are no “against” votes.

We further note that Section 2 of Article I of the Company’s Bylaws requires the Board of Directors of the Company to call a special meeting “whenever requested in writing to do so by stockholders representing 15 percent of the shares of the corporation, then outstanding, and entitled to vote at such meeting.” Accordingly, there is an existing mechanism for stockholders to take action outside of the Company’s annual meetings with no associated supermajority voting requirements. Any matters properly raised at a special meeting may be voted upon pursuant to the Company’s general majority voting standard. Therefore, if stockholders holding a majority of votes wish to take action, they have the means to do so.

3. The Staff Has Permitted The Exclusion Of A Similar Proposal From The Company’s Past Proxy Statement On The Basis Of Rule 14a-8(i)(10)

The Staff permitted the Company to exclude similar proposals regarding a simple majority vote from its proxy materials for its 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “2020 Proposal”) and for its 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “2007 Proposal”), which were submitted by the same Proponent or his associate. See AT&T Inc. (avail. Jan. 9, 2020); AT&T Inc. (avail. Jan. 18, 2007). The only difference between the first paragraphs of the Proposal and the 2020 Proposal is the addition of the final sentence of the first paragraph of the Proposal: “This includes any existing supermajority vote requirement that result from default to state law and can be subject to elimination.” This sentence does not materially change the substance of the Proposal as compared to the 2020 Proposal because the 2007 Proposal also contained a reference to voting requirements “that [are] explicit or implicit due to default to state law.” The Company’s certificate of incorporation in effect at the time of the 2007 Proposal and 2020 Proposal included the same provision relating to written consent set forth in Article Eight. Both the 2007 Proposal and 2020 Proposal included language similar to that in the Proposal requesting that the Board take the necessary steps to adopt a simple majority vote. In both cases, the Company argued that it had substantially implemented the majority vote proposal and stated its belief that retention of the consent provision is in the interests of stockholders, including “by increasing the likelihood that, in the absence of overwhelming shareholder support, important
shareholder actions are accompanied by the dialogue and opportunity for each shareholder’s vote to count that are associated with shareholder meetings.” *AT&T Inc.* (avail. Jan. 18, 2007). The Staff found a basis for the Company to exclude the 2007 Proposal and the 2020 Proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(10). This is consistent with Staff precedents that have similarly found substantial implementation of simple majority vote proposals where the company’s bylaws and certificate of incorporation did not contain any supermajority voting requirements. See *KeyCorp.* (avail. Mar. 22, 2019) (concurring with exclusion where the company did not propose making any further changes because its governing documents did not contain any supermajority voting provisions with respect to its common stock); *Ferro Corp.* (avail Feb. 6, 2019) (concurring with exclusion where all supermajority voting provisions had already been eliminated from the company’s governing documents, so no further company action was required); *General Motors Corp.* (avail. Mar. 25, 2008) (concurring in exclusion where the company argued that it had met the essential objectives of the proposal even though one provision still permitted the company to dispose of certain assets by seeking the approval of two thirds of its outstanding shares because the two-thirds vote did not have the effect of requiring supermajority voting, but rather gave stockholders the right to vote on the disposition of specific assets, which the DGCL otherwise permits a company to do with no stockholder vote); *Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.* (avail. Feb. 14, 2005) (concurring with exclusion where the company’s proxy statement included a management proposal to remove supermajority voting provisions).
CONCLUSION

Because (1) the Company’s Certificate and Bylaws contain no supermajority voting requirements and (2) the Company’s stockholders have the means to take action by majority both at and outside annual meetings, the Company has substantially implemented the Proposal and addressed its underlying concerns. For the foregoing reasons, we believe that the Proposal may be properly excluded from the Company’s 2022 Proxy Statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10).

Based on the foregoing analysis, we respectfully request that the Staff concur that it will take no action if the Company excludes the Proposal from its 2022 Proxy Statement. We would be happy to provide you with any additional information and answer any questions that you may have regarding this subject. Correspondence regarding this letter should be sent to shareholderproposals@gibsondunn.com. If we can be of any further assistance in this matter, please do not hesitate to call me at (202) 887-3550.

Sincerely,

/s/ Thomas J. Kim

Thomas J. Kim

Enclosures

cc: Paul Wilson, Assistant Vice President – Senior Legal Counsel, AT&T Inc.
    Moni DeWalt, Manager – SEC Compliance, AT&T Inc.
    John Chevedden
Dear Mr. Wilson,

Please see the attached rule 14a-8 proposal to improve corporate governance and enhance long-term shareholder value at de minimis up-front cost – especially considering the substantial market capitalization of the company.

If you confirm proposal receipt in the next day a broker letter can be promptly forwarded that will save you from making a formal request.

This is the only 2022 AT&T proposal that I represent.

Sincerely,

John Chevedden
Ms. Stacey Maris  
Corporate Secretary  
AT&T Inc. (T)  
208 S. Akard Street  
Dallas TX 75202  
PH: 210-821-4105  
FX: 214-746-2273

Dear Ms. Maris,

This Rule 14a-8 proposal is respectfully submitted in support of the long-term performance of our company.

This Rule 14a-8 proposal is intended as a low-cost method to improve company performance—especially compared to the substantial capitalization of our company.

This proposal is for the next annual shareholder meeting.

I intend to continue to hold through the date of the Company’s 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders the requisite amount of Company shares used to satisfy the applicable ownership requirement.

This submitted format, with the shareholder-supplied emphasis, is intended to be used for definitive proxy publication.

Please assign the proper sequential proposal number in each appropriate place.

I expect to forward a broker letter soon so if you acknowledge this proposal in an email message it may very well save you from requesting a broker letter from me.

Sincerely,

John Chevedden  

[Signature]  

Date  

November 11, 2021

cc: Paul Wilson <paul.wilson.7@att.com>  
Moni Dewalt <md075v@att.com>
RESOLVED, Shareholders request that our board take each step necessary so that each voting requirement in our charter and bylaws (that is explicit or implicit due to default to state law) that calls for a greater than simple majority vote be eliminated, and replaced by a requirement for a majority of the votes cast for and against applicable proposals, or a simple majority in compliance with applicable laws. If necessary this means the closest standard to a majority of the votes cast for and against such proposals consistent with applicable laws. This includes any existing supermajority vote requirement that result from default to state law and can be subject to elimination.

Shareholders are willing to pay a premium for shares of companies that have excellent corporate governance. Supermajority voting requirements have been found to be one of 6 entrenching mechanisms that are negatively related to company performance according to “What Matters in Corporate Governance” by Lucien Bebchuk, Alma Cohen and Allen Ferrell of the Harvard Law School. Supermajority requirements are used to block initiatives supported by most shareholders but opposed by a status quo management.

This proposal topic won from 74% to 88% support at Weyerhaeuser, Alcoa, Waste Management, Goldman Sachs, FirstEnergy, McGraw-Hill and Macy’s. The proponents of these proposals included Ray T. Chevedden and William Steiner. The votes would have been higher than 74% to 88% if more shareholders had access to independent proxy voting advice.

Church & Dwight shareholders gave 99% support to a 2020 proposal on this same topic. This proposal topic also won 99%-support at the 2021 ConocoPhillips annual meeting.

There should be urgency in reforming our outdated corporate governance given that our stock has done little since its $50 price in 2001.

AT&T shareholders would have been far better off investing in an index fund. Plus a majority of AT&T shares rejected management pay with 1.8 Billion negative votes in 2021.

Please vote yes:

**Simple Majority Vote – Proposal 4**

[The line above – Is for publication. Please assign the correct proposal number in 2 places.]
Notes:
"Proposal 4" stands in for the final proposal number that management will assign.

This proposal is believed to conform with Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14B (CF), September 15, 2004 including (emphasis added):

Accordingly, going forward, we believe that it would not be appropriate for companies to exclude supporting statement language and/or an entire proposal in reliance on rule 14a-8(I)(3) in the following circumstances:

• the company objects to factual assertions because they are not supported;
• the company objects to factual assertions that, while not materially false or misleading, may be disputed or countered;
• the company objects to factual assertions because those assertions may be interpreted by shareholders in a manner that is unfavorable to the company, its directors, or its officers; and/or
• the company objects to statements because they represent the opinion of the shareholder proponent or a referenced source, but the statements are not identified specifically as such.

We believe that it is appropriate under rule 14a-8 for companies to address these objections in their statements of opposition.

See also: Sun Microsystems, Inc. (July 21, 2005).

The stock supporting this proposal will be held until after the annual meeting and the proposal will be presented at the annual meeting. Please acknowledge this proposal promptly by email.

The color version of the below graphic is to be published immediately after the bold title line of the proposal.
Will consider withdrawal of the graphic if management commits to a fair presentation of the proposal which includes:
No management graphic in connection with the rule 14a-8 proposals in the proxy or ballot.
No proxy or ballot text suggesting that the proposal will be moot due to lack of presentation.
No ballot electioneering text repeating the negative management recommendation.
Management will give me the opportunity to correct any typographical errors.
Management will give me advance notice if it does a special solicitation that mentions this proposal.
Mr. Chevedden,

Please see attached deficiency notice and enclosure. We ask that you confirm receipt of both the letter and attachment.

Regards,

Moni J. DeWait
(she, her, hers)

Manager – SEC Compliance | Legal Department

AT&T Management Services, LLC
208 S. Akard St, 29th Floor, Dallas, TX 75202
o 214.757.3264 | md075v@att.com
VIA EMAIL
John Chevedden

Dear Mr. Chevedden:

AT&T Inc. (the “Company”) has received the following proposals from you pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Rule 14a-8 for inclusion in the proxy statement for the Company’s 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

(1) the stockholder proposal entitled “Independent Board Chairman” (the “First Proposal”), which was sent to the Company via email on November 1, 2021; and

(2) the stockholder proposal entitled “Simple Majority Vote” (the “Second Proposal” and together with the First Proposal, the “Proposals”), which you sent to the Company via email on November 11, 2021 (the “Second Proposal Submission Date”).

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, a person may submit no more than one proposal, directly or indirectly, to a company for a particular stockholders’ meeting. In addition, under the rule, a person may not rely on the securities holdings of another person for the purpose of meeting the eligibility requirements and submitting multiple proposals for a single stockholders’ meeting. The SEC stated this means that a stockholder-proponent is not permitted to submit one proposal in his or her own name and simultaneously serve as a representative to submit a different proposal on another stockholder’s behalf for consideration at the same meeting.

The First Proposal was accompanied by a letter from Mr. Steiner in which Mr. Steiner granted you proxy authority to “forward this Rule 14a-8 proposal to the company and to act on my behalf regarding this Rule 14a-8 proposal, and/or modification of it, for the forthcoming shareholder meeting before, during and after the forthcoming shareholder meeting. Please direct all future communications regarding my rule 14a-8 proposal to John Chevedden at: to facilitate prompt and verifiable communications.” As noted above, ten days after the First Proposal was submitted to the Company, you directly submitted the Second Proposal to the Company. We believe these facts demonstrate that you have submitted more than one proposal for consideration at the Company’s 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in violation of Rule 14a-8(c). This deficiency can be corrected by notifying the Company which of

1 The Company overnighted and emailed you a letter detailing certain procedural deficiencies the First Proposal contained on November 9, 2021.
the Proposals you wish to withdraw. Your response must be postmarked, or transmitted electronically, no later than 14 days from the date you receive this letter. Please note that if you do not timely advise the Company which of the Proposals you wish to withdraw, the Company intends to request the SEC Staff to concur that it may omit both Proposals from the proxy statement for the Company’s 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in accordance with SEC rules.

In additional, the Second Proposal contains certain procedural deficiencies, which SEC regulations require us to bring to your attention. Rule 14a-8(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, provides that a stockholder proponent must submit sufficient proof of its continuous ownership of company shares. Thus, with respect to the Second Proposal, Rule 14a-8 requires that you demonstrate that you continuously owned at least:

(1) $2,000 in market value of the Company’s shares entitled to vote on the Second Proposal for at least three years preceding and including the Second Proposal Submission Date;

(2) $15,000 in market value of the Company’s shares entitled to vote on the Second Proposal for at least two years preceding and including the Second Proposal Submission Date;

(3) $25,000 in market value of the Company’s shares entitled to vote on the Second Proposal for at least one year preceding and including the Second Proposal Submission Date; or

(4) $2,000 of the Company’s shares entitled to vote on the Second Proposal for at least one year as of January 4, 2021, and that you have continuously maintained a minimum investment amount of at least $2,000 of such shares from January 4, 2021 through the Second Proposal Submission Date (each an “Ownership Requirement,” and collectively, the “Ownership Requirements”).

The Company’s stock records do not indicate that you are the record owner of sufficient shares to satisfy any of the Ownership Requirements. In addition, to date we have not received proof that you have satisfied any of the Ownership Requirements.

To remedy this defect, you must submit sufficient proof that you have satisfied at least one of the Ownership Requirements. As explained in Rule 14a-8(b) and in SEC staff guidance, sufficient proof must be in the form of either:

(1) a written statement from the “record” holder of your shares (usually a broker or a bank) verifying that, at the time you submitted the Second Proposal (the Second Proposal Submission Date), you continuously held the requisite amount of Company shares to satisfy at least one of the Ownership Requirements above; or
(2) If you were required to and have filed with the SEC a Schedule 13D, Schedule 13G, Form 3, Form 4 or Form 5, or amendments to those documents or updated forms, demonstrating that you met at least one of the Ownership Requirements above, a copy of the schedule and/or form, and any subsequent amendments reporting a change in the ownership level and a written statement that you continuously held the requisite amount of Company shares to satisfy at least one of the Ownership Requirements above.

If you intend to demonstrate ownership by submitting a written statement from the “record” holder of your shares as set forth in (1) above, please note that most large U.S. brokers and banks deposit their customers’ securities with, and hold those securities through, the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), a registered clearing agency that acts as a securities depository (DTC is also known through the account name of Cede & Co.). Under SEC Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14F, only DTC participants are viewed as record holders of securities that are deposited at DTC. You can confirm whether your broker or bank is a DTC participant by asking your broker or bank or by checking DTC’s participant list, which is available at http://www.dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/client-center/DTC/alpha.ashx. In these situations, stockholders need to obtain proof of ownership from the DTC participant through which the securities are held, as follows:

(1) If your broker or bank is a DTC participant, then you need to submit a written statement from your broker or bank verifying that you continuously held the requisite amount of Company shares to satisfy at least one of the Ownership Requirements above.

(2) If your broker or bank is not a DTC participant, then you need to submit proof of ownership from the DTC participant through which the shares are held verifying that you continuously held the requisite amount of Company shares to satisfy at least one of the Ownership Requirements above. You should be able to find out the identity of the DTC participant by asking your broker or bank. If your broker is an introducing broker, you may also be able to learn the identity and telephone number of the DTC participant through your account statements, because the clearing broker identified on your account statements will generally be a DTC participant. If the DTC participant that holds your shares is not able to confirm your individual holdings but is able to confirm the holdings of your broker or bank, then you need to satisfy the proof of ownership requirements by obtaining and submitting two proof of ownership statements verifying that you continuously held Company shares satisfying at least one of the Ownership Requirements above: (i) one from your broker or bank confirming your ownership, and (ii) the other from the DTC participant confirming the broker or bank’s ownership.

Rule 14a-8(b)(1)(iii) of the Exchange Act also requires a stockholder to provide the company with a written statement that it is able to meet with the company in person or via
teleconference no less than 10 calendar days, nor more than 30 calendar days, after submission of the stockholder proposal, including the stockholder’s contact information and the business days and specific times during the company’s regular business hours that such stockholder is available to discuss the proposal with the company. We note that you have not provided such a statement to the Company. Accordingly, to remedy this defect, you must provide such a statement to the Company and include your contact information as well as business days and specific times between 10 and 30 days after the Second Proposal Submission Date that you are available to discuss the Second Proposal with the Company. As explained in Rule 14a-8(b), you must also identify times that are within the regular business hours of the Company’s principal executive office (i.e., between 9 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. Central Time).

The SEC’s rules require that any response to this letter be postmarked or transmitted electronically no later than 14 calendar days from the date you receive this letter. Please address any response to me at 208 S. Akard St., Dallas, Texas 75202. Alternatively, you may transmit any response by email to me at md075v@att.com. Note that you are responsible for confirming our receipt of any correspondence you transmit in response to this letter.

If you have any questions with respect to the foregoing, please contact me at (214) 757-3264. For your reference, I enclose a copy of Rule 14a-8 as amended for meetings that occur on or after January 1, 2022 but before January 1, 2023, Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14F, and Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14L.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Moni J. DeWalt
Manager – SEC Compliance

Enclosures
Rule 14a-8 – Shareholder proposals.

This section addresses when a company must include a shareholder’s proposal in its proxy statement and identify the proposal in its form of proxy when the company holds an annual or special meeting of shareholders. In summary, in order to have your shareholder proposal included on a company’s proxy card, and included along with any supporting statement in its proxy statement, you must be eligible and follow certain procedures. Under a few specific circumstances, the company is permitted to exclude your proposal, but only after submitting its reasons to the Commission. We structured this section in a question-and-answer format so that it is easier to understand. The references to “you” are to a shareholder seeking to submit the proposal.

(a) Question 1: What is a proposal? A shareholder proposal is your recommendation or requirement that the company and/or its board of directors take action, which you intend to present at a meeting of the company’s shareholders. Your proposal should state as clearly as possible the course of action that you believe the company should follow. If your proposal is placed on the company’s proxy card, the company must also provide in the form of proxy means for shareholders to specify by boxes a choice between approval or disapproval, or abstention. Unless otherwise indicated, the word “proposal” as used in this section refers both to your proposal, and to your corresponding statement in support of your proposal (if any).

(b) Question 2: Who is eligible to submit a proposal, and how do I demonstrate to the company that I am eligible? (1) To be eligible to submit a proposal, you must satisfy the following requirements:

(i) You must have continuously held:

(A) At least $2,000 in market value of the company’s securities entitled to vote on the proposal for at least three years; or

(B) At least $15,000 in market value of the company’s securities entitled to vote on the proposal for at least two years; or

(C) At least $25,000 in market value of the company’s securities entitled to vote on the proposal for at least one year; or

(D) The amounts specified in paragraph (b)(3) of this section. This paragraph (b)(1)(i)(D) will expire on the same date that §240.14a-8(b)(3) expires; and

(ii) You must provide the company with a written statement that you intend to continue to hold the requisite amount of securities, determined in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(i)(A) through (C) of this section, through the date of the shareholders’ meeting for which the proposal is submitted; and

(iii) You must provide the company with a written statement that you are able to meet with the company in person or via teleconference no less than 10 calendar days, nor more than 30 calendar days, after submission of the shareholder proposal. You must include your contact information as well as business days and specific times that you are available to discuss the proposal with the company. You must identify times that are within the regular business hours of the company’s principal executive offices. If these hours are not disclosed in the company’s proxy statement for the prior year’s annual meeting, you must identify times that are between 9 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. in the
time zone of the company’s principal executive offices. If you elect to co-file a proposal, all co-filers must either:

(A) Agree to the same dates and times of availability, or

(B) Identify a single lead filer who will provide dates and times of the lead filer’s availability to engage on behalf of all co-filers; and

(iv) If you use a representative to submit a shareholder proposal on your behalf, you must provide the company with written documentation that:

(A) Identifies the company to which the proposal is directed;

(B) Identifies the annual or special meeting for which the proposal is submitted;

(C) Identifies you as the proponent and identifies the person acting on your behalf as your representative;

(D) Includes your statement authorizing the designated representative to submit the proposal and otherwise act on your behalf;

(E) Identifies the specific topic of the proposal to be submitted;

(F) Includes your statement supporting the proposal; and

(G) Is signed and dated by you.

(v) The requirements of paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section shall not apply to shareholders that are entities so long as the representative’s authority to act on the shareholder’s behalf is apparent and self-evident such that a reasonable person would understand that the agent has authority to submit the proposal and otherwise act on the shareholder’s behalf.

(vi) For purposes of paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section, you may not aggregate your holdings with those of another shareholder or group of shareholders to meet the requisite amount of securities necessary to be eligible to submit a proposal.

(2) One of the following methods must be used to demonstrate your eligibility to submit a proposal:

(i) If you are the registered holder of your securities, which means that your name appears in the company’s records as a shareholder, the company can verify your eligibility on its own, although you will still have to provide the company with a written statement that you intend to continue to hold the requisite amount of securities, determined in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(i)(A) through (C) of this section, through the date of the meeting of shareholders.

(ii) If, like many shareholders, you are not a registered holder, the company likely does not know that you are a shareholder, or how many shares you own. In this case, at the time you submit your proposal, you must prove your eligibility to the company in one of two ways:

(A) The first way is to submit to the company a written statement from the “record” holder of your securities (usually a broker or bank) verifying that, at the time you submitted your proposal, you
continuously held at least $2,000, $15,000, or $25,000 in market value of the company’s securities entitled to vote on the proposal for at least three years, two years, or one year, respectively. You must also include your own written statement that you intend to continue to hold the requisite amount of securities, determined in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(i)(A) through (C) of this section, through the date of the shareholders’ meeting for which the proposal is submitted; or

(B) The second way to prove ownership applies only if you were required to file, and filed, a Schedule 13D (§240.13d-101), Schedule 13G (§240.13d-102), Form 3 (§249.103 of this chapter), Form 4 (§249.104 of this chapter), and/or Form 5 (§249.105 of this chapter), or amendments to those documents or updated forms, demonstrating that you meet at least one of the share ownership requirements under paragraph (b)(1)(i)(A) through (C) of this section. If you have filed one or more of these documents with the SEC, you may demonstrate your eligibility to submit a proposal by submitting to the company:

(1) A copy of the schedule(s) and/or form(s), and any subsequent amendments reporting a change in your ownership level;

(2) Your written statement that you continuously held at least $2,000, $15,000, or $25,000 in market value of the company’s securities entitled to vote on the proposal for at least three years, two years, or one year, respectively; and

(3) Your written statement that you intend to continue to hold the requisite amount of securities, determined in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(i)(A) through (C) of this section, through the date of the company’s annual or special meeting.

(3) If you continuously held at least $2,000 of a company’s securities entitled to vote on the proposal for at least one year as of January 4, 2021, and you have continuously maintained a minimum investment of at least $2,000 of such securities from January 4, 2021 through the date the proposal is submitted to the company, you will be eligible to submit a proposal to such company for an annual or special meeting to be held prior to January 1, 2023. If you rely on this provision, you must provide the company with your written statement that you intend to continue to hold at least $2,000 of such securities through the date of the shareholders’ meeting for which the proposal is submitted. You must also follow the procedures set forth in paragraph (b)(2) of this section to demonstrate that:

(i) You continuously held at least $2,000 of the company’s securities entitled to vote on the proposal for at least one year as of January 4, 2021; and

(ii) You have continuously maintained a minimum investment of at least $2,000 of such securities from January 4, 2021 through the date the proposal is submitted to the company.

(iii) This paragraph (b)(3) will expire on January 1, 2023.

(c) Question 3: How many proposals may I submit? Each person may submit no more than one proposal, directly or indirectly, to a company for a particular shareholders’ meeting. A person may not rely on the securities holdings of another person for the purpose of meeting the eligibility requirements and submitting multiple proposals for a particular shareholders’ meeting.

(d) Question 4: How long can my proposal be? The proposal, including any accompanying supporting statement, may not exceed 500 words.
(e) Question 5: What is the deadline for submitting a proposal? (1) If you are submitting your proposal for the company's annual meeting, you can in most cases find the deadline in last year's proxy statement. However, if the company did not hold an annual meeting last year, or has changed the date of its meeting for this year more than 30 days from last year's meeting, you can usually find the deadline in one of the company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q (§249.308a of this chapter), or in shareholder reports of investment companies under §270.30d-1 of this chapter of the Investment Company Act of 1940. In order to avoid controversy, shareholders should submit their proposals by means, including electronic means, that permit them to prove the date of delivery.

(2) The deadline is calculated in the following manner if the proposal is submitted for a regularly scheduled annual meeting. The proposal must be received at the company's principal executive offices not less than 120 calendar days before the date of the company's proxy statement released to shareholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting. However, if the company did not hold an annual meeting the previous year, or if the date of this year's annual meeting has been changed by more than 30 days from the date of the previous year's meeting, then the deadline is a reasonable time before the company begins to print and send its proxy materials.

(3) If you are submitting your proposal for a meeting of shareholders other than a regularly scheduled annual meeting, the deadline is a reasonable time before the company begins to print and send its proxy materials.

(f) Question 6: What if I fail to follow one of the eligibility or procedural requirements explained in answers to Questions 1 through 4 of this section? (1) The company may exclude your proposal, but only after it has notified you of the problem, and you have failed adequately to correct it. Within 14 calendar days of receiving your proposal, the company must notify you in writing of any procedural or eligibility deficiencies, as well as of the time frame for your response. Your response must be postmarked, or transmitted electronically, no later than 14 days from the date you received the company's notification. A company need not provide you such notice of a deficiency if the deficiency cannot be remedied, such as if you fail to submit a proposal by the company's properly determined deadline. If the company intends to exclude the proposal, it will later have to make a submission under §240.14a-8 and provide you with a copy under Question 10 below, §240.14a-8(j).

(2) If you fail in your promise to hold the required number of securities through the date of the meeting of shareholders, then the company will be permitted to exclude all of your proposals from its proxy materials for any meeting held in the following two calendar years.

(g) Question 7: Who has the burden of persuading the Commission or its staff that my proposal can be excluded? Except as otherwise noted, the burden is on the company to demonstrate that it is entitled to exclude a proposal.

(h) Question 8: Must I appear personally at the shareholders' meeting to present the proposal? (1) Either you, or your representative who is qualified under state law to present the proposal on your behalf, must attend the meeting to present the proposal. Whether you attend the meeting yourself or send a qualified representative to the meeting in your place, you should make sure that you, or your representative, follow the proper state law procedures for attending the meeting and/or presenting your proposal.

(2) If the company holds its shareholder meeting in whole or in part via electronic media, and the company permits you or your representative to present your proposal via such media, then you may appear through electronic media rather than traveling to the meeting to appear in person.
If you or your qualified representative fail to appear and present the proposal, without good cause, the company will be permitted to exclude all of your proposals from its proxy materials for any meetings held in the following two calendar years.

(i) Question 9: If I have complied with the procedural requirements, on what other bases may a company rely to exclude my proposal? (1) Improper under state law: If the proposal is not a proper subject for action by shareholders under the laws of the jurisdiction of the company's organization;

NOTE TO PARAGRAPH (i)(1): Depending on the subject matter, some proposals are not considered proper under state law if they would be binding on the company if approved by shareholders. In our experience, most proposals that are cast as recommendations or requests that the board of directors take specified action are proper under state law. Accordingly, we will assume that a proposal drafted as a recommendation or suggestion is proper unless the company demonstrates otherwise.

(2) Violation of law: If the proposal would, if implemented, cause the company to violate any state, federal, or foreign law to which it is subject;

NOTE TO PARAGRAPH (i)(2): We will not apply this basis for exclusion to permit exclusion of a proposal on grounds that it would violate foreign law if compliance with the foreign law would result in a violation of any state or federal law.

(3) Violation of proxy rules: If the proposal or supporting statement is contrary to any of the Commission's proxy rules, including §240.14a-9, which prohibits materially false or misleading statements in proxy soliciting materials;

(4) Personal grievance; special interest: If the proposal relates to the redress of a personal claim or grievance against the company or any other person, or if it is designed to result in a benefit to you, or to further a personal interest, which is not shared by the other shareholders at large;

(5) Relevance: If the proposal relates to operations which account for less than 5 percent of the company's total assets at the end of its most recent fiscal year, and for less than 5 percent of its net earnings and gross sales for its most recent fiscal year, and is not otherwise significantly related to the company's business;

(6) Absence of power/authority: If the company would lack the power or authority to implement the proposal;

(7) Management functions: If the proposal deals with a matter relating to the company's ordinary business operations;

(8) Director elections: If the proposal:

(i) Would disqualify a nominee who is standing for election;

(ii) Would remove a director from office before his or her term expired;

(iii) Questions the competence, business judgment, or character of one or more nominees or directors;

(iv) Seeks to include a specific individual in the company's proxy materials for election to the board of directors; or
(v) Otherwise could affect the outcome of the upcoming election of directors.

(9) **Conflicts with company’s proposal:** If the proposal directly conflicts with one of the company’s own proposals to be submitted to shareholders at the same meeting:

**NOTE TO PARAGRAPH (i)(9):** A company’s submission to the Commission under this section should specify the points of conflict with the company’s proposal.

(10) **Substantially implemented:** If the company has already substantially implemented the proposal;

**NOTE TO PARAGRAPH (i)(10):** A company may exclude a shareholder proposal that would provide an advisory vote or seek future advisory votes to approve the compensation of executives as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K (§229.402 of this chapter) or any successor to Item 402 (a “say-on-pay vote”) or that relates to the frequency of say-on-pay votes, provided that in the most recent shareholder vote required by §240.14a-21(b) of this chapter a single year (i.e., one, two, or three years) received approval of a majority of votes cast on the matter and the company has adopted a policy on the frequency of say-on-pay votes that is consistent with the choice of the majority of votes cast in the most recent shareholder vote required by §240.14a-21(b) of this chapter.

(11) **Duplication:** If the proposal substantially duplicates another proposal previously submitted to the company by another proponent that will be included in the company’s proxy materials for the same meeting;

(12) **Resubmissions.** If the proposal addresses substantially the same subject matter as a proposal, or proposals, previously included in the company’s proxy materials within the preceding five calendar years if the most recent vote occurred within the preceding three calendar years and the most recent vote was:

(i) Less than 5 percent of the votes cast if previously voted on once;

(ii) Less than 15 percent of the votes cast if previously voted on twice; or

(iii) Less than 25 percent of the votes cast if previously voted on three or more times.

(13) **Specific amount of dividends:** If the proposal relates to specific amounts of cash or stock dividends.

(j) **Question 10:** What procedures must the company follow if it intends to exclude my proposal?

(1) If the company intends to exclude a proposal from its proxy materials, it must file its reasons with the Commission no later than 80 calendar days before it files its definitive proxy statement and form of proxy with the Commission. The company must simultaneously provide you with a copy of its submission. The Commission staff may permit the company to make its submission later than 80 days before the company files its definitive proxy statement and form of proxy, if the company demonstrates good cause for missing the deadline.

(2) The company must file six paper copies of the following:

(i) The proposal;

(ii) An explanation of why the company believes that it may exclude the proposal, which should, if possible, refer to the most recent applicable authority, such as prior Division letters issued under the rule; and
(iii) A supporting opinion of counsel when such reasons are based on matters of state or foreign law.

(k) Question 11: May I submit my own statement to the Commission responding to the company's arguments?

Yes, you may submit a response, but it is not required. You should try to submit any response to us, with a copy to the company, as soon as possible after the company makes its submission. This way, the Commission staff will have time to consider fully your submission before it issues its response. You should submit six paper copies of your response.

(I) Question 12: If the company includes my shareholder proposal in its proxy materials, what information about me must it include along with the proposal itself?

1. The company's proxy statement must include your name and address, as well as the number of the company's voting securities that you hold. However, instead of providing that information, the company may instead include a statement that it will provide the information to shareholders promptly upon receiving an oral or written request.

2. The company is not responsible for the contents of your proposal or supporting statement.

(m) Question 13: What can I do if the company includes in its proxy statement reasons why it believes shareholders should not vote in favor of my proposal, and I disagree with some of its statements?

1. The company may elect to include in its proxy statement reasons why it believes shareholders should vote against your proposal. The company is allowed to make arguments reflecting its own point of view, just as you may express your own point of view in your proposal's supporting statement.

2. However, if you believe that the company's opposition to your proposal contains materially false or misleading statements that may violate our anti-fraud rule, §240.14a-9, you should promptly send to the Commission staff and the company a letter explaining the reasons for your view, along with a copy of the company's statements opposing your proposal. To the extent possible, your letter should include specific factual information demonstrating the inaccuracy of the company's claims. Time permitting, you may wish to try to work out your differences with the company by yourself before contacting the Commission staff.

3. We require the company to send you a copy of its statements opposing your proposal before it sends its proxy materials, so that you may bring to our attention any materially false or misleading statements, under the following timeframes:

   (i) If our no-action response requires that you make revisions to your proposal or supporting statement as a condition to requiring the company to include it in its proxy materials, then the company must provide you with a copy of its opposition statements no later than 5 calendar days after the company receives a copy of your revised proposal; or

   (ii) In all other cases, the company must provide you with a copy of its opposition statements no later than 30 calendar days before its files definitive copies of its proxy statement and form of proxy under §240.14a-6.
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A. The purpose of this bulletin  

This bulletin is part of a continuing effort by the Division to provide guidance on important issues arising under Exchange Act Rule 14a-8. Specifically, this bulletin contains information regarding:  

- Brokers and banks that constitute “record” holders under Rule 14a-8 (b)(2)(i) for purposes of verifying whether a beneficial owner is eligible to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8;  
- Common errors shareholders can avoid when submitting proof of ownership to companies;  
- The submission of revised proposals;  
- Procedures for withdrawing no-action requests regarding proposals submitted by multiple proponents; and  
- The Division’s new process for transmitting Rule 14a-8 no-action responses by email.  

You can find additional guidance regarding Rule 14a-8 in the following bulletins that are available on the Commission’s website: SLB No. 14, SLB No. 14A, SLB No. 14B, SLB No. 14C, SLB No. 14D and SLB No. 14E.
B. The types of brokers and banks that constitute “record” holders under Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) for purposes of verifying whether a beneficial owner is eligible to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8

1. Eligibility to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8

To be eligible to submit a shareholder proposal, a shareholder must have continuously held at least $2,000 in market value, or 1%, of the company’s securities entitled to be voted on the proposal at the shareholder meeting for at least one year as of the date the shareholder submits the proposal. The shareholder must also continue to hold the required amount of securities through the date of the meeting and must provide the company with a written statement of intent to do so.\(^1\)

The steps that a shareholder must take to verify his or her eligibility to submit a proposal depend on how the shareholder owns the securities. There are two types of security holders in the U.S.: registered owners and beneficial owners.\(^2\) Registered owners have a direct relationship with the issuer because their ownership of shares is listed on the records maintained by the issuer or its transfer agent. If a shareholder is a registered owner, the company can independently confirm that the shareholder’s holdings satisfy Rule 14a-8(b)’s eligibility requirement.

The vast majority of investors in shares issued by U.S. companies, however, are beneficial owners, which means that they hold their securities in book-entry form through a securities intermediary, such as a broker or a bank. Beneficial owners are sometimes referred to as “street name” holders. Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) provides that a beneficial owner can provide proof of ownership to support his or her eligibility to submit a proposal by submitting a written statement “from the ‘record’ holder of [the] securities (usually a broker or bank),” verifying that, at the time the proposal was submitted, the shareholder held the required amount of securities continuously for at least one year.\(^3\)

2. The role of the Depository Trust Company

Most large U.S. brokers and banks deposit their customers’ securities with, and hold those securities through, the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), a registered clearing agency acting as a securities depository. Such brokers and banks are often referred to as “participants” in DTC. The names of these DTC participants, however, do not appear as the registered owners of the securities deposited with DTC on the list of shareholders maintained by the company or, more typically, by its transfer agent. Rather, DTC’s nominee, Cede & Co., appears on the shareholder list as the sole registered owner of securities deposited with DTC by the DTC participants. A company can request from DTC a “securities position listing” as of a specified date, which identifies the DTC participants having a position in the company’s securities and the number of securities held by each DTC participant on that date.\(^5\)

3. Brokers and banks that constitute “record” holders under Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) for purposes of verifying whether a beneficial owner is eligible to submit a proposal under Rule 14a-8

In The Hain Celestial Group, Inc. (Oct. 1, 2008), we took the position that an introducing broker could be considered a “record” holder for purposes of
Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i). An introducing broker is a broker that engages in sales and other activities involving customer contact, such as opening customer accounts and accepting customer orders, but is not permitted to maintain custody of customer funds and securities. Instead, an introducing broker engages another broker, known as a “clearing broker,” to hold custody of client funds and securities, to clear and execute customer trades, and to handle other functions such as issuing confirmations of customer trades and customer account statements. Clearing brokers generally are DTC participants; introducing brokers generally are not. As introducing brokers generally are not DTC participants, and therefore typically do not appear on DTC’s securities position listing, Hain Celestial has required companies to accept proof of ownership letters from brokers in cases where, unlike the positions of registered owners and brokers and banks that are DTC participants, the company is unable to verify the positions against its own or its transfer agent’s records or against DTC’s securities position listing.

In light of questions we have received following two recent court cases relating to proof of ownership under Rule 14a-8 and in light of the Commission’s discussion of registered and beneficial owners in the Proxy Mechanics Concept Release, we have reconsidered our views as to what types of brokers and banks should be considered “record” holders under Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i). Because of the transparency of DTC participants’ positions in a company’s securities, we will take the view going forward that, for Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) purposes, only DTC participants should be viewed as “record” holders of securities that are deposited at DTC. As a result, we will no longer follow Hain Celestial.

We believe that taking this approach as to who constitutes a “record” holder for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) will provide greater certainty to beneficial owners and companies. We also note that this approach is consistent with Exchange Act Rule 12g5-1 and a 1988 staff no-action letter addressing that rule, under which brokers and banks that are DTC participants are considered to be the record holders of securities on deposit with DTC when calculating the number of record holders for purposes of Sections 12(g) and 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Companies have occasionally expressed the view that, because DTC’s nominee, Cede & Co., appears on the shareholder list as the sole registered owner of securities deposited with DTC by the DTC participants, only DTC or Cede & Co. should be viewed as the “record” holder of the securities held on deposit at DTC for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i). We have never interpreted the rule to require a shareholder to obtain a proof of ownership letter from DTC or Cede & Co., and nothing in this guidance should be construed as changing that view.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How can a shareholder determine whether his or her broker or bank is a DTC participant?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shareholders and companies can confirm whether a particular broker or bank is a DTC participant by checking DTC’s participant list, which is currently available on the Internet at <a href="http://www.dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/client-center/DTC/alpha.ashx">http://www.dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/client-center/DTC/alpha.ashx</a>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| What if a shareholder’s broker or bank is not on DTC’s participant list? |
The shareholder will need to obtain proof of ownership from the DTC participant through which the securities are held. The shareholder should be able to find out who this DTC participant is by asking the shareholder’s broker or bank.2

If the DTC participant knows the shareholder’s broker or bank’s holdings, but does not know the shareholder’s holdings, a shareholder could satisfy Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(i) by obtaining and submitting two proof of ownership statements verifying that, at the time the proposal was submitted, the required amount of securities were continuously held for at least one year – one from the shareholder’s broker or bank confirming the shareholder’s ownership, and the other from the DTC participant confirming the broker or bank’s ownership.

How will the staff process no-action requests that argue for exclusion on the basis that the shareholder’s proof of ownership is not from a DTC participant?

The staff will grant no-action relief to a company on the basis that the shareholder’s proof of ownership is not from a DTC participant only if the company’s notice of defect describes the required proof of ownership in a manner that is consistent with the guidance contained in this bulletin. Under Rule 14a-8(f)(1), the shareholder will have an opportunity to obtain the requisite proof of ownership after receiving the notice of defect.

C. Common errors shareholders can avoid when submitting proof of ownership to companies

In this section, we describe two common errors shareholders make when submitting proof of ownership for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b)(2), and we provide guidance on how to avoid these errors.

First, Rule 14a-8(b) requires a shareholder to provide proof of ownership that he or she has “continuously held at least $2,000 in market value, or 1%, of the company’s securities entitled to be voted on the proposal at the meeting for at least one year by the date you submit the proposal” (emphasis added).10 We note that many proof of ownership letters do not satisfy this requirement because they do not verify the shareholder’s beneficial ownership for the entire one-year period preceding and including the date the proposal is submitted. In some cases, the letter speaks as of a date before the date the proposal is submitted, thereby leaving a gap between the date of the verification and the date the proposal is submitted. In other cases, the letter speaks as of a date after the date the proposal was submitted but covers a period of only one year, thus failing to verify the shareholder’s beneficial ownership over the required full one-year period preceding the date of the proposal’s submission.

Second, many letters fail to confirm continuous ownership of the securities. This can occur when a broker or bank submits a letter that confirms the shareholder’s beneficial ownership only as of a specified date but omits any reference to continuous ownership for a one-year period.

We recognize that the requirements of Rule 14a-8(b) are highly prescriptive and can cause inconvenience for shareholders when submitting proposals.
Although our administration of Rule 14a-8(b) is constrained by the terms of the rule, we believe that shareholders can avoid the two errors highlighted above by arranging to have their broker or bank provide the required verification of ownership as of the date they plan to submit the proposal using the following format:

"As of [date the proposal is submitted], [name of shareholder] held, and has held continuously for at least one year, [number of securities] shares of [company name] [class of securities]."  

As discussed above, a shareholder may also need to provide a separate written statement from the DTC participant through which the shareholder’s securities are held if the shareholder’s broker or bank is not a DTC participant.

D. The submission of revised proposals

On occasion, a shareholder will revise a proposal after submitting it to a company. This section addresses questions we have received regarding revisions to a proposal or supporting statement.

1. A shareholder submits a timely proposal. The shareholder then submits a revised proposal before the company’s deadline for receiving proposals. Must the company accept the revisions?

Yes. In this situation, we believe the revised proposal serves as a replacement of the initial proposal. By submitting a revised proposal, the shareholder has effectively withdrawn the initial proposal. Therefore, the shareholder is not in violation of the one-proposal limitation in Rule 14a-8(c). If the company intends to submit a no-action request, it must do so with respect to the revised proposal.

We recognize that in Question and Answer E.2 of SLB No. 14, we indicated that if a shareholder makes revisions to a proposal before the company submits its no-action request, the company can choose whether to accept the revisions. However, this guidance has led some companies to believe that, in cases where shareholders attempt to make changes to an initial proposal, the company is free to ignore such revisions even if the revised proposal is submitted before the company’s deadline for receiving shareholder proposals. We are revising our guidance on this issue to make clear that a company may not ignore a revised proposal in this situation.

2. A shareholder submits a timely proposal. After the deadline for receiving proposals, the shareholder submits a revised proposal. Must the company accept the revisions?

No. If a shareholder submits revisions to a proposal after the deadline for receiving proposals under Rule 14a-8(e), the company is not required to accept the revisions. However, if the company does not accept the revisions, it must treat the revised proposal as a second proposal and submit a notice stating its intention to exclude the revised proposal, as required by Rule 14a-8(j). The company’s notice may cite Rule 14a-8(e) as the reason for excluding the revised proposal. If the company does not accept the revisions and intends to exclude the initial proposal, it would also need to submit its reasons for excluding the initial proposal.
3. If a shareholder submits a revised proposal, as of which date must the shareholder prove his or her share ownership?

A shareholder must prove ownership as of the date the original proposal is submitted. When the Commission has discussed revisions to proposals, it has not suggested that a revision triggers a requirement to provide proof of ownership a second time. As outlined in Rule 14a-8(b), proving ownership includes providing a written statement that the shareholder intends to continue to hold the securities through the date of the shareholder meeting. Rule 14a-8(f)(2) provides that if the shareholder “fails in [his or her] promise to hold the required number of securities through the date of the meeting of shareholders, then the company will be permitted to exclude all of [the same shareholder’s] proposals from its proxy materials for any meeting held in the following two calendar years.” With these provisions in mind, we do not interpret Rule 14a-8 as requiring additional proof of ownership when a shareholder submits a revised proposal.

E. Procedures for withdrawing no-action requests for proposals submitted by multiple proponents

We have previously addressed the requirements for withdrawing a Rule 14a-8 no-action request in SLB Nos. 14 and 14C. SLB No. 14 notes that a company should include with a withdrawal letter documentation demonstrating that a shareholder has withdrawn the proposal. In cases where a proposal submitted by multiple shareholders is withdrawn, SLB No. 14C states that, if each shareholder has designated a lead individual to act on its behalf and the company is able to demonstrate that the individual is authorized to act on behalf of all of the proponents, the company need only provide a letter from that lead individual indicating that the lead individual is withdrawing the proposal on behalf of all of the proponents.

Because there is no relief granted by the staff in cases where a no-action request is withdrawn following the withdrawal of the related proposal, we recognize that the threshold for withdrawing a no-action request need not be overly burdensome. Going forward, we will process a withdrawal request if the company provides a letter from the lead filer that includes a representation that the lead filer is authorized to withdraw the proposal on behalf of each proponent identified in the company’s no-action request.

F. Use of email to transmit our Rule 14a-8 no-action responses to companies and proponents

To date, the Division has transmitted copies of our Rule 14a-8 no-action responses, including copies of the correspondence we have received in connection with such requests, by U.S. mail to companies and proponents. We also post our response and the related correspondence to the Commission’s website shortly after issuance of our response.

In order to accelerate delivery of staff responses to companies and proponents, and to reduce our copying and postage costs, going forward, we intend to transmit our Rule 14a-8 no-action responses by email to companies and proponents. We therefore encourage both companies and proponents to include email contact information in any correspondence to each other and to us. We will use U.S. mail to transmit our no-action response to any company or proponent for which we do not have email contact information.
Given the availability of our responses and the related correspondence on the Commission's website and the requirement under Rule 14a-8 for companies and proponents to copy each other on correspondence submitted to the Commission, we believe it is unnecessary to transmit copies of the related correspondence along with our no-action response. Therefore, we intend to transmit only our staff response and not the correspondence we receive from the parties. We will continue to post to the Commission's website copies of this correspondence at the same time that we post our staff no-action response.

1 See Rule 14a-8(b).

2 For an explanation of the types of share ownership in the U.S., see Concept Release on U.S. Proxy System, Release No. 34-62495 (July 14, 2010) [75 FR 42982] (“Proxy Mechanics Concept Release”), at Section II.A. The term “beneficial owner” does not have a uniform meaning under the federal securities laws. It has a different meaning in this bulletin as compared to “beneficial owner” and “beneficial ownership” in Sections 13 and 16 of the Exchange Act. Our use of the term in this bulletin is not intended to suggest that registered owners are not beneficial owners for purposes of those Exchange Act provisions. See Proposed Amendments to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Relating to Proposals by Security Holders, Release No. 34-12598 (July 7, 1976) [41 FR 29982], at n.2 (“The term ‘beneficial owner’ when used in the context of the proxy rules, and in light of the purposes of those rules, may be interpreted to have a broader meaning than it would for certain other purpose[s] under the federal securities laws, such as reporting pursuant to the Williams Act.”).

3 If a shareholder has filed a Schedule 13D, Schedule 13G, Form 3, Form 4 or Form 5 reflecting ownership of the required amount of shares, the shareholder may instead prove ownership by submitting a copy of such filings and providing the additional information that is described in Rule 14a-8(b)(2)(ii).

4 DTC holds the deposited securities in “fungible bulk,” meaning that there are no specifically identifiable shares directly owned by the DTC participants. Rather, each DTC participant holds a pro rata interest or position in the aggregate number of shares of a particular issuer held at DTC. Correspondingly, each customer of a DTC participant – such as an individual investor – owns a pro rata interest in the shares in which the DTC participant has a pro rata interest. See Proxy Mechanics Concept Release, at Section II.B.2.a.


7 See KBR Inc. v. Chevedden, Civil Action No. H-11-0196, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36431, 2011 WL 1463611 (S.D. Tex. Apr. 4, 2011); Apache Corp. v. Chevedden, 696 F. Supp. 2d 723 (S.D. Tex. 2010). In both cases, the court concluded that a securities intermediary was not a record holder for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b) because it did not appear on a list of the
company's non-objecting beneficial owners or on any DTC securities position listing, nor was the intermediary a DTC participant.

8 *Techne Corp.* (Sept. 20, 1988).

9 In addition, if the shareholder's broker is an introducing broker, the shareholder's account statements should include the clearing broker's identity and telephone number. See Net Capital Rule Release, at Section II.C.(iii). The clearing broker will generally be a DTC participant.

10 For purposes of Rule 14a-8(b), the submission date of a proposal will generally precede the company's receipt date of the proposal, absent the use of electronic or other means of same-day delivery.

11 This format is acceptable for purposes of Rule 14a-8(b), but it is not mandatory or exclusive.

12 As such, it is not appropriate for a company to send a notice of defect for multiple proposals under Rule 14a-8(c) upon receiving a revised proposal.

13 This position will apply to all proposals submitted after an initial proposal but before the company's deadline for receiving proposals, regardless of whether they are explicitly labeled as "revisions" to an initial proposal, unless the shareholder affirmatively indicates an intent to submit a second, additional proposal for inclusion in the company's proxy materials. In that case, the company must send the shareholder a notice of defect pursuant to Rule 14a-8(f)(1) if it intends to exclude either proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on Rule 14a-8(c). In light of this guidance, with respect to proposals or revisions received before a company's deadline for submission, we will no longer follow *Layne Christensen Co.* (Mar. 21, 2011) and other prior staff no-action letters in which we took the view that a proposal would violate the Rule 14a-8(c) one-proposal limitation if such proposal is submitted to a company after the company has either submitted a Rule 14a-8 no-action request to exclude an earlier proposal submitted by the same proponent or notified the proponent that the earlier proposal was excludable under the rule.


15 Because the relevant date for proving ownership under Rule 14a-8(b) is the date the proposal is submitted, a proponent who does not adequately prove ownership in connection with a proposal is not permitted to submit another proposal for the same meeting on a later date.

16 Nothing in this staff position has any effect on the status of any shareholder proposal that is not withdrawn by the proponent or its authorized representative.
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Division of Corporation Finance
Securities and Exchange Commission

Action: Publication of CF Staff Legal Bulletin

Date: November 3, 2021

Summary: This staff legal bulletin provides information for companies and shareholders regarding Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Supplementary Information: The statements in this bulletin represent the views of the Division of Corporation Finance (the “Division”). This bulletin is not a rule, regulation or statement of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”). Further, the Commission has neither approved nor disapproved its content. This bulletin, like all staff guidance, has no legal force or effect: it does not alter or amend applicable law, and it creates no new or additional obligations for any person.

Contacts: For further information, please contact the Division’s Office of Chief Counsel by submitting a web-based request form at https://www.sec.gov/forms/corp_fin_interpretive.

A. The Purpose of This Bulletin

The Division is rescinding Staff Legal Bulletin Nos. 14I, 14J and 14K (the “rescinded SLBs”) after a review of staff experience applying the guidance in them. In addition, to the extent the views expressed in any other prior Division staff legal bulletin could be viewed as contrary to those expressed herein, this staff legal bulletin controls.

This bulletin outlines the Division’s views on Rule 14a-8(i)(7), the ordinary business exception, and Rule 14a-8(i)(5), the economic relevance exception. We are also republishing, with primarily technical, conforming changes, the guidance contained in SLB Nos. 14I and 14K relating to the use of graphics and images, and proof of ownership letters. In addition, we are providing new guidance on the use of e-mail for submission of proposals, delivery of notice of defects, and responses to those notices.

In Rule 14a-8, the Commission has provided a means by which shareholders can present proposals for the shareholders’ consideration in the company’s proxy statement. This process has become a cornerstone of shareholder engagement on important matters. Rule 14a-8 sets forth several bases for exclusion of such proposals. Companies often request assurance that the staff will not recommend enforcement action if they omit a proposal based on one of these exclusions (“no-action relief”). The Division is issuing this bulletin to streamline and simplify our process for reviewing no-action requests, and to clarify the standards staff will apply when evaluating these requests.

B. Rule 14a-8(i)(7)
1. Background

Rule 14a-8(i)(7), the ordinary business exception, is one of the substantive bases for exclusion of a shareholder proposal in Rule 14a-8. It permits a company to exclude a proposal that “deals with a matter relating to the company’s ordinary business operations.” The purpose of the exception is “to confine the resolution of ordinary business problems to management and the board of directors, since it is impracticable for shareholders to decide how to solve such problems at an annual shareholders meeting.”[1]

2. Significant Social Policy Exception

Based on a review of the rescinded SLBs and staff experience applying the guidance in them, we recognize that an undue emphasis was placed on evaluating the significance of a policy issue to a particular company at the expense of whether the proposal focuses on a significant social policy,[2] complicating the application of Commission policy to proposals. In particular, we have found that focusing on the significance of a policy issue to a particular company has drawn the staff into factual considerations that do not advance the policy objectives behind the ordinary business exception. We have also concluded that such analysis did not yield consistent, predictable results.

Going forward, the staff will realign its approach for determining whether a proposal relates to “ordinary business” with the standard the Commission initially articulated in 1976, which provided an exception for certain proposals that raise significant social policy issues,[3] and which the Commission subsequently reaffirmed in the 1998 Release. This exception is essential for preserving shareholders’ right to bring important issues before other shareholders by means of the company’s proxy statement, while also recognizing the board’s authority over most day-to-day business matters. For these reasons, staff will no longer focus on determining the nexus between a policy issue and the company, but will instead focus on the social policy significance of the issue that is the subject of the shareholder proposal. In making this determination, the staff will consider whether the proposal raises issues with a broad societal impact, such that they transcend the ordinary business of the company.[4]

Under this realigned approach, proposals that the staff previously viewed as excludable because they did not appear to raise a policy issue of significance for the company may no longer be viewed as excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(7). For example, proposals squarely raising human capital management issues with a broad societal impact would not be subject to exclusion solely because the proponent did not demonstrate that the human capital management issue was significant to the company.[5]

Because the staff is no longer taking a company-specific approach to evaluating the significance of a policy issue under Rule 14a-8(i)(7), it will no longer expect a board analysis as described in the rescinded SLBs as part of demonstrating that the proposal is excludable under the ordinary business exclusion. Based on our experience, we believe that board analysis may distract the company and the staff from the proper application of the exclusion. Additionally, the “delta” component of board analysis – demonstrating that the difference between the company’s existing actions addressing the policy issue and the proposal’s request is insignificant – sometimes confounded the application of Rule 14a-8(i)(10)’s substantial implementation standard.

3. Micromanagement

Upon further consideration, the staff has determined that its recent application of the micromanagement concept, as outlined in SLB Nos. 14J and 14K, expanded the concept of micromanagement beyond the Commission’s policy directives. Specifically, we believe that the rescinded guidance may have been taken to mean that any limit on company or board discretion constitutes micromanagement.

The Commission has stated that the policy underlying the ordinary business exception rests on two central considerations. The first relates to the proposal’s subject matter; the second relates to the degree to which the proposal “micromanages” the company “by probing too deeply into matters of a complex nature upon which shareholders, as a group, would not be in a position to make an informed judgment.”[6] The Commission clarified in the 1998 Release that specific methods, timelines, or detail do not necessarily amount to micromanagement and are not dispositive of excludability.
Consistent with Commission guidance, the staff will take a measured approach to evaluating companies’ micromanagement arguments – recognizing that proposals seeking detail or seeking to promote timeframes or methods do not per se constitute micromanagement. Instead, we will focus on the level of granularity sought in the proposal and whether and to what extent it inappropriately limits discretion of the board or management. We would expect the level of detail included in a shareholder proposal to be consistent with that needed to enable investors to assess an issuer’s impacts, progress towards goals, risks or other strategic matters appropriate for shareholder input.

Our recent letter to ConocoPhillips Company[7] provides an example of our current approach to micromanagement. In that letter the staff denied no-action relief for a proposal requesting that the company set targets covering the greenhouse gas emissions of the company’s operations and products. The proposal requested that the company set emission reduction targets and it did not impose a specific method for doing so. The staff concluded this proposal did not micromanage to such a degree to justify exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7).

Additionally, in order to assess whether a proposal probes matters “too complex” for shareholders, as a group, to make an informed judgment,[8] we may consider the sophistication of investors generally on the matter, the availability of data, and the robustness of public discussion and analysis on the topic. The staff may also consider references to well-established national or international frameworks when assessing proposals related to disclosure, target setting, and timeframes as indicative of topics that shareholders are well-equipped to evaluate.

This approach is consistent with the Commission’s views on the ordinary business exclusion, which is designed to preserve management’s discretion on ordinary business matters but not prevent shareholders from providing high-level direction on large strategic corporate matters. As the Commission stated in its 1998 Release:

‘[In] the Proposing Release we explained that one of the considerations in making the ordinary business determination was the degree to which the proposal seeks to micro-manage the company. We cited examples such as where the proposal seeks intricate detail, or seeks to impose specific time-frames or to impose specific methods for implementing complex policies. Some commenters thought that the examples cited seemed to imply that all proposals seeking detail, or seeking to promote time-frames or methods, necessarily amount to ‘ordinary business.’ We did not intend such an implication. Timing questions, for instance, could involve significant policy where large differences are at stake, and proposals may seek a reasonable level of detail without running afoul of these considerations.

While the analysis in this bulletin may apply to any subject matter, many of the proposals addressed in the rescinded SLBs requested companies adopt timeframes or targets to address climate change that the staff concurred were excludable on micromanagement grounds.[9] Going forward we would not concur in the exclusion of similar proposals that suggest targets or timelines so long as the proposals afford discretion to management as to how to achieve such goals.[10] We believe our current approach to micromanagement will help to avoid the dilemma many proponents faced when seeking to craft proposals with sufficient specificity and direction to avoid being excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(10), substantial implementation, while being general enough to avoid exclusion for “micromanagement.”[11]

C. Rule 14a-8(i)(5)

Rule 14a-8(i)(5), the “economic relevance” exception, permits a company to exclude a proposal that “relates to operations which account for less than 5 percent of the company’s total assets at the end of its most recent fiscal year, and for less than 5 percent of its net earnings and gross sales for its most recent fiscal year, and is not otherwise significantly related to the company’s business.”

Based on a review of the rescinded SLBs and staff experience applying the guidance in them, we are returning to our longstanding approach, prior to SLB No. 141, of analyzing Rule 14a-8(i)(5) in a manner we believe is consistent with Lovenheim v. Iroquois Brands, Ltd.[12] As a result, and consistent with our pre-SLB No. 141 approach and Lovenheim, proposals that raise issues of broad social or ethical concern related to the company’s business may
not be excluded, even if the relevant business falls below the economic thresholds of Rule 14a-8(i)(5). In light of this approach, the staff will no longer expect a board analysis for its consideration of a no-action request under Rule 14a-8(i)(5).

D. Rule 14a-8(d)

1. Background
Rule 14a-8(d) is one of the procedural bases for exclusion of a shareholder proposal in Rule 14a-8. It provides that a "proposal, including any accompanying supporting statement, may not exceed 500 words."

2. The Use of Images in Shareholder Proposals
Questions have arisen concerning the application of Rule 14a-8(d) to proposals that include graphs and/or images. The staff has expressed the view that the use of "500 words" and absence of express reference to graphics or images in Rule 14a-8(d) do not prohibit the inclusion of graphs and/or images in proposals. Just as companies include graphics that are not expressly permitted under the disclosure rules, the Division is of the view that Rule 14a-8(d) does not preclude shareholders from using graphics to convey information about their proposals.

The Division recognizes the potential for abuse in this area. The Division believes, however, that these potential abuses can be addressed through other provisions of Rule 14a-8. For example, exclusion of graphs and/or images would be appropriate under Rule 14a-8(i)(3) where they:

- make the proposal materially false or misleading;
- render the proposal so inherently vague or indefinite that neither the stockholders voting on the proposal, nor the company in implementing it, would be able to determine with any reasonable certainty exactly what actions or measures the proposal requires;
- directly or indirectly impugn character, integrity or personal reputation, or directly or indirectly make charges concerning improper, illegal, or immoral conduct or association, without factual foundation; or
- are irrelevant to a consideration of the subject matter of the proposal, such that there is a strong likelihood that a reasonable shareholder would be uncertain as to the matter on which he or she is being asked to vote.

Exclusion would also be appropriate under Rule 14a-8(d) if the total number of words in a proposal, including words in the graphics, exceeds 500.

E. Proof of Ownership Letters
In relevant part, Rule 14a-8(b) provides that a proponent must prove eligibility to submit a proposal by offering proof that it "continuously held" the required amount of securities for the required amount of time.

In Section C of SLB No. 14F, we identified two common errors shareholders make when submitting proof of ownership for purposes of satisfying Rule 14a-8(b)(2). In an effort to reduce such errors, we provided a suggested format for shareholders and their brokers or banks to follow when supplying the required verification of ownership. Below, we have updated the suggested format to reflect recent changes to the ownership thresholds due to the Commission's 2020 rulemaking. We note that brokers and banks are not required to follow this format.

"As of [date the proposal is submitted], [name of shareholder] held, and has held continuously for at least [one year] [two years] [three years], [number of securities] shares of [company name] [class of securities]."
Some companies apply an overly technical reading of proof of ownership letters as a means to exclude a proposal. We generally do not find arguments along these lines to be persuasive. For example, we did not concur with the excludability of a proposal based on Rule 14a-8(b) where the proof of ownership letter deviated from the format set forth in SLB No. 14F. In those cases, we concluded that the proponent nonetheless had supplied documentary support sufficiently evidencing the requisite minimum ownership requirements, as required by Rule 14a-8(b). We took a plain meaning approach to interpreting the text of the proof of ownership letter, and we expect companies to apply a similar approach in their review of such letters.

While we encourage shareholders and their brokers or banks to use the sample language provided above to avoid this issue, such formulation is neither mandatory nor the exclusive means of demonstrating the ownership requirements of Rule 14a-8(b). We recognize that the requirements of Rule 14a-8(b) can be quite technical. Accordingly, companies should not seek to exclude a shareholder proposal based on drafting variances in the proof of ownership letter if the language used in such letter is clear and sufficiently evidences the requisite minimum ownership requirements.

We also do not interpret the recent amendments to Rule 14a-8(b) to contemplate a change in how brokers or banks fulfill their role. In our view, they may continue to provide confirmation as to how many shares the proponent held continuously and need not separately calculate the share valuation, which may instead be done by the proponent and presented to the receiving issuer consistent with the Commission's 2020 rulemaking. Finally, we believe that companies should identify any specific defects in the proof of ownership letter, even if the company previously sent a deficiency notice prior to receiving the proponent's proof of ownership if such deficiency notice did not identify the specific defect(s).

F. Use of E-mail

Over the past few years, and particularly during the pandemic, both proponents and companies have increasingly relied on the use of emails to submit proposals and make other communications. Some companies and proponents have expressed a preference for emails, particularly in cases where offices are closed. Unlike the use of third-party mail delivery that provides the sender with a proof of delivery, parties should keep in mind that methods for the confirmation of email delivery may differ. Email delivery confirmations and company server logs may not be sufficient to prove receipt of emails as they only serve to prove that emails were sent. In addition, spam filters or incorrect email addresses can prevent an email from being delivered to the appropriate recipient. The staff therefore suggests that to prove delivery of an email for purposes of Rule 14a-8, the sender should seek a reply email from the recipient in which the recipient acknowledges receipt of the e-mail. The staff also encourages both companies and shareholder proponents to acknowledge receipt of emails when requested. Email read receipts, if received by the sender, may also help to establish that emails were received.

1. Submission of Proposals

Rule 14a-8(e)(1) provides that in order to avoid controversy, shareholders should submit their proposals by means, including electronic means, that permit them to prove the date of delivery. Therefore, where a dispute arises regarding a proposal's timely delivery, shareholder proponents risk exclusion of their proposals if they do not receive a confirmation of receipt from the company in order to prove timely delivery with email submissions. Additionally, in those instances where the company does not disclose in its proxy statement an email address for submitting proposals, we encourage shareholder proponents to contact the company to obtain the correct email address for submitting proposals before doing so and we encourage companies to provide such email addresses upon request.

2. Delivery of Notices of Defects

Similarly, if companies use email to deliver deficiency notices to proponents, we encourage them to seek a confirmation of receipt from the proponent or the representative in order to prove timely delivery. Rule 14a-8(f)(1) provides that the company must notify the shareholder of any defects within 14 calendar days of receipt of the proposal, and accordingly, the company has the burden to prove timely delivery of the notice.
3. Submitting Responses to Notices of Defects

Rule 14a-8(f)(1) also provides that a shareholder’s response to a deficiency notice must be postmarked, or transmitted electronically, no later than 14 days from the date of receipt of the company’s notification. If a shareholder uses email to respond to a company’s deficiency notice, the burden is on the shareholder or representative to use an appropriate email address (e.g., an email address provided by the company, or the email address of the counsel who sent the deficiency notice), and we encourage them to seek confirmation of receipt.


[2] For example, SLB No. 14K explained that the staff “takes a company-specific approach in evaluating significance, rather than recognizing particular issues or categories of issues as universally ‘significant.’” Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14K (Oct. 16, 2019).

[3] Release No. 34-12999 (Nov. 22, 1976) (the “1976 Release”) (stating, in part, “proposals of that nature [relating to the economic and safety considerations of a nuclear power plant], as well as others that have major implications, will in the future be considered beyond the realm of an issuer’s ordinary business operations”).

[4] 1998 Release (“[P]roposals . . . focusing on sufficiently significant social policy issues . . . generally would not be considered to be excludable, because the proposals would transcend the day-to-day business matters and raise policy issues so significant that it would be appropriate for a shareholder vote”).

[5] See, e.g., Dollar General Corporation (Mar. 6, 2020) (granting no-action relief for exclusion of a proposal requesting the board to issue a report on the use of contractual provisions requiring employees to arbitrate employment-related claims because the proposal did not focus on specific policy implications of the use of arbitration at the company). We note that in the 1998 Release the Commission stated: “[P]roposals relating to [workforce management] but focusing on sufficiently significant social policy issues (e.g., significant discrimination matters) generally would not be considered to be excludable, because the proposals would transcend the day-to-day business matters and raise policy issues so significant that it would be appropriate for a shareholder vote.” Matters related to employment discrimination are but one example of the workforce management proposals that may rise to the level of transcending the company’s ordinary business operations.


[9] See, e.g., PayPal Holdings, Inc. (Mar. 6, 2018) (granting no-action relief for exclusion of a proposal asking the company to prepare a report on the feasibility of achieving net-zero emissions by 2030 because the staff concluded it micromanaged the company); Devon Energy Corporation (Mar. 4, 2019) (granting no-action relief for exclusion of a proposal requesting that the board in annual reporting include disclosure of short-, medium- and long-term greenhouse gas targets aligned with the Paris Climate Agreement because the staff viewed the proposal as requiring the adoption of time-bound targets).


[11] To be more specific, shareholder proponents have expressed concerns that a proposal that was broadly worded might face exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10). Conversely, if a proposal was too specific it risked exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(7) for micromanagement.

This section previously appeared in SLB No. 141 (Nov. 1, 2017) and is republished here with only minor, conforming changes.

Rule 14a-8(d) is intended to limit the amount of space a shareholder proposal may occupy in a company’s proxy statement. See 1976 Release.

These decisions were consistent with a longstanding Division position. See Ferrofluidics Corp. (Sept. 18, 1992).

Companies should not minimize or otherwise diminish the appearance of a shareholder’s graphic. For example, if the company includes its own graphics in its proxy statement, it should give similar prominence to a shareholder’s graphics. If a company’s proxy statement appears in black and white, however, the shareholder proposal and accompanying graphics may also appear in black and white.

This section previously appeared in SLB No. 14K (Oct. 16, 2019) and is republished here with minor, conforming changes. Additional discussion is provided in the final paragraph.

Rule 14a-8(b) requires proponents to have continuously held at least $2,000, $15,000, or $25,000 in market value of the company’s securities entitled to vote on the proposal for at least three years, two years, or one year, respectively.


The Division suggested the following formulation: “As of [date the proposal is submitted], [name of shareholder] held, and has held continuously for at least one year, [number of securities] shares of [company name] [class of securities].”


See Amazon.com, Inc. (Apr. 3, 2019); Gilead Sciences, Inc. (Mar. 7, 2019).

See Staff Legal Bulletin No.14F, n.11.

See 2020 Release.

2020 Release at n.55 (“Due to market fluctuations, the value of a shareholder’s investment in a company may vary throughout the applicable holding period before the shareholder submits the proposal. In order to determine whether the shareholder satisfies the relevant ownership threshold, the shareholder should look at whether, on any date within the 60 calendar days before the date the shareholder submits the proposal, the shareholder’s investment is valued at the relevant threshold or greater. For these purposes, companies and shareholders should determine the market value by multiplying the number of securities the shareholder continuously held for the relevant period by the highest selling price during the 60 calendar days before the shareholder submitted the proposal. For purposes of this calculation, it is important to note that a security’s highest selling price is not necessarily the same as its highest closing price.”) (citations omitted).
Dear Ms. DeWalt,

Please see the attached broker letter.

Please confirm receipt.

John Chevedden
November 19, 2021

John R. Chevedden

Dear John R. Chevedden:

This letter is provided at the request of Mr. John R. Chevedden, a customer of Fidelity investments.

Please accept this letter as confirmation that as of the market close on November 18, 2021, Mr. Chevedden has continuously owned no fewer than the shares quantities of the securities shown on the below table since September 1, 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Qty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AES Corp</td>
<td>AES</td>
<td>200.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JetBlue Airways Corporation</td>
<td>JBLU</td>
<td>200.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Air Group, Inc.</td>
<td>ALK</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T Inc.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>150.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Corporation of America Holdings</td>
<td>LH</td>
<td>25.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVS Caremark Corporation</td>
<td>CVS</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Airlines Co.</td>
<td>LUV</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FleetCor Technologies, Inc.</td>
<td>FLT</td>
<td>25.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northrop Grumman Corporation</td>
<td>NOC</td>
<td>10.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Since at least December 15, 2019

These securities are registered in the name of National Financial Services LLC, a DTC participant (DTC number 0226) a Fidelity Investments subsidiary.

The DTC clearinghouse number for Fidelity is 0266.

I hope this information is helpful. For any other issues or general inquiries, please call your Private Client Group at 1-800-544-5704. Thank you for choosing Fidelity Investments.

Sincerely,

Kris Miner
Operations Specialist

Our File: W471182-15NOV21

Fidelity Brokerage Services LLC, Members NYSE, SIPC.
Mr. Chevedden,

This email serves as confirmation of receipt of proof of shares.

Kind regards,

Moni J. DeWait
(she, her, hers)

Manager – SEC Compliance | Legal Department

AT&T Management Services, LLC
208 S. Akard St, 29th Floor, Dallas, TX 75202
Tel 214.757.3264 | md075v@att.com
Available for an off the record telephone meeting with one company employee:
Nov. 29  1:30 pm PT
Nov. 30  1:30 pm PT

Confirmation requested by:
Nov. 24
Please provide the name of the one company employee.
I have no need for a meeting.

John Chevedden
AT&T INC.
Incorporated under the Laws of the State of Delaware, October 5, 1983

Bylaws

Article I

Stockholders

Section 1. Annual Meeting

An annual meeting of the stockholders, for the election of Directors to succeed those whose terms expire and for the transaction of such other business as may properly come before the meeting, shall be held at such place, on such date, and at such time as the Board of Directors shall fix each year.

Section 2. Special Meeting

Special meetings of the stockholders may be called at any time, either by the Board of Directors or by the Chairman of the Board, and the Chairman of the Board shall call a special meeting whenever requested in writing to do so by stockholders representing 15 percent of the shares of the corporation, then outstanding, and entitled to vote at such meeting. This request must specify the time, place and object of the proposed meeting, and, when requested by stockholders, must include the Stockholder Information (as defined in Section 7 of this Article I). Only such business as is specified in the notice may be conducted at a special meeting of the stockholders.

Section 3. Notice of Meetings

Notice of all meetings of the stockholders shall be given to each stockholder entitled to vote at such meeting not less than ten (10) nor more than sixty (60) days before the date on which the meeting is to be held. The notice shall state the place, date and hour of the meeting, and, in the case of a special meeting, the purpose or purposes for which the meeting is called. If mailed, such notice shall be deemed to be delivered when deposited in the United States mail with postage thereon prepaid, addressed to the stockholder at his address as it appears on the stock transfer books of the corporation. Any previously scheduled meeting of the stockholders may be postponed by resolution of the Board of Directors upon public notice given prior to the time previously scheduled for such meeting of stockholders.

When a meeting is adjourned to another place, date, or time, notice need not be given of the meeting when reconvened, if the place, date, and time thereof are announced at the meeting at which the adjournment is taken. If the date of the meeting to be reconvened is more than thirty (30) days after the date for which notice of the meeting was originally given or if a new record date is fixed for the meeting, notice of the place, date and time of the meeting to be reconvened shall be given in conformity herewith. At any reconvened meeting, any business may be transacted that might have been transacted at the original meeting.
Section 4. Quorum

At any meeting of the stockholders, the holders of forty percent (40%) of all of the shares of the stock entitled to vote at the meeting, present in person or by proxy, shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.

If a quorum shall fail to attend any meeting, the chairman of the meeting or the holders of a majority of the shares of the stock entitled to vote who are present, in person or by proxy, may adjourn the meeting to another place, date, or time.

Section 5. Organization

The Chairman of the Board, or a Director or officer as the Chairman of the Board may designate, shall act as chairman of the stockholders’ meeting. The chairman of the meeting shall designate an officer to act as a secretary for the meeting in the absence of the corporation’s Secretary.

Section 6. Proxies and Voting

At any meeting of the stockholders, every stockholder entitled to vote may vote in person or by proxy.

Each holder of common stock represented in person or by proxy at any such meeting and entitled to vote on a matter shall have one vote on such matter for every share of common stock that is registered in the stockholder’s name on the record date for the meeting.

All voting may be by a voice vote, provided that upon demand of a stockholder entitled to vote in person or by proxy, a recorded vote of all shares of stock at the meeting shall be taken.

All matters, except as provided below, shall be determined by a majority of the votes cast, unless a greater number is required by law or the Certificate of Incorporation for the action proposed. In an election of Directors, each Director shall be elected by the vote of the majority of the votes cast with respect to that Director’s election. If a nominee for Director is not elected and the nominee is an incumbent Director, the Director shall promptly tender his or her resignation to the Board of Directors, subject to acceptance by the Board of Directors. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will make a recommendation to the Board of Directors as to whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation, or whether other action should be taken. The Board of Directors will act on the tendered resignation, taking into account the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee’s recommendation, and publicly disclose (by a press release, a filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission or other broadly disseminated means of communication) its decision regarding the tendered resignation and the rationale behind the decision within ninety (90) days from the date of the certification of the election results. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee in making its recommendation and the Board of Directors in making its decision may each consider any factors or other information that they consider appropriate and relevant. Any Director who tenders his or her resignation in accordance with this Section will not participate in the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee or the decision of the Board of Directors with respect to his or her resignation.
If the number of persons properly nominated for election as Directors as of the date that is ten (10) days before the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at such meeting shall exceed the number of Directors to be elected, then the Directors shall be elected by a plurality of the votes cast.

For purposes of this Section, a majority of votes cast shall mean that the number of shares voted "for" a matter or "for" the election of a Director exceeds the number of votes cast "against" such matter or "against" the election of such Director.

Section 7. Nomination of Directors

Only persons who are nominated in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Section 7 or in Section 9 of this Article I shall be eligible for election as Directors. Nomination of persons for election to the Board of Directors may be made at any annual meeting of stockholders (a) by or at the direction of the Board of Directors or any duly authorized committee thereof or (b) by any stockholder of the corporation entitled to vote for the election of Directors at the annual meeting. In addition to any other applicable requirements, and except as provided in Section 9 of this Article I, a nomination made by a stockholder shall be pursuant to timely notice in proper written form to the Secretary of the Corporation.

To be timely, a stockholder's notice to the Secretary must be received at the principal executive offices of the corporation not later than the close of business on the ninetieth (90th) day, nor earlier than the close of business on the one hundred twentieth (120th) day, prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting (provided, however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is more than thirty (30) days before or more than seventy (70) days after such anniversary date, notice by the stockholder must be so delivered not earlier than the close of business on the one hundred twentieth (120th) day prior to such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the ninetieth (90th) day prior to such annual meeting or the tenth (10th) day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made by the corporation). In no event shall the public announcement of an adjournment or postponement of an annual meeting commence a new time period (or extend any time period) for the giving of a stockholder's notice as described above.

To be in proper written form, a stockholder's notice to the Secretary must set forth the following information, all of which shall be true and accurate: (a) as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election as Director (i) the name, age, business address, and residence address of the person, (ii) the principal occupation or employment of the person, (iii) the class or series and number of shares of capital stock of the corporation which are owned beneficially or of record by the person, and (iv) a written questionnaire with respect to the background and qualifications of such nominee in the form required by the corporation, which the corporation's Secretary shall provide to any stockholder proposing to nominate a person for election as Director reasonably promptly following a written request, and (v) any other information relating to the person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of Directors pursuant to Section 14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and (b) as to the stockholder giving the notice (i) the name and record address of such stockholder, (ii) the class or series and number of shares of capital stock of the corporation which are owned beneficially or of record by such stockholder, the nominee holder for, and number of, any such
shares owned beneficially but not of record by such stockholder, the date(s) on which such shares were acquired, and evidence of such beneficial or record ownership, (iii) any material interest of the stockholder or any of its affiliates in the business to be brought at the applicable meeting of stockholders, (iv) a complete description of any agreement, arrangement or understanding between such stockholder or any of its affiliates, on the one hand, and any other person or entity, on the other hand, with respect to the applicable meeting of stockholders or the capital stock of the corporation, including any stock lending, rights of recall, stock borrowing, short selling or hedging arrangements with respect to any shares owned beneficially or of record by such stockholder or any of its affiliates, and (v) any other information relating to such stockholder or its affiliates that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitation of proxies pursuant to Section 14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the information described in this clause (b), the “Stockholder Information”). Such notice must be accompanied by a written consent of each proposed nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a Director if elected.

No person shall be eligible for election as a Director of the corporation unless nominated in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Section 7 or in Section 9 of this Article I. If the chairman of the meeting determines that a nomination was not made in accordance with such procedures, the chairman of the meeting shall declare to the meeting that the nomination was defective and such defective nomination shall be disregarded.

Section 8. Conduct of Annual Meeting

No business may be transacted at an annual meeting of stockholders, other than business that is either (a) specified in the notice of meeting (or any supplement thereto) given by or at the direction of the Board of Directors (or any duly authorized committee thereof), (b) otherwise properly brought before the meeting by or at the direction of the Board of Directors (or any duly authorized committee thereof), or (c) otherwise properly brought before the meeting by a stockholder as of the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to vote at such annual meeting. In addition to any other applicable requirements for business to be properly brought before an annual meeting by a stockholder, such stockholder must have given timely notice thereof in proper written form to the Secretary of the Corporation.

To be timely, a stockholder’s notice to the Secretary must be received at the principal executive offices of the corporation not later than the close of business on the ninetieth (90th) day, nor earlier than the close of business on the one hundred twentieth (120th) day, prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year’s annual meeting (provided, however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is more than thirty (30) days before or more than seventy (70) days after such anniversary date, notice by the stockholder must be so delivered not earlier than the close of business on the one hundred twentieth (120th) day prior to such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the ninetieth (90th) day prior to such annual meeting or the tenth (10th) day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made by the corporation). In no event shall the public announcement of an adjournment or postponement of an annual meeting commence a new time period (or extend any time period) for the giving of a stockholder’s notice as described above.
The foregoing notice requirements of this Section shall be deemed satisfied by a stockholder if the stockholder has notified the corporation of his or her intention to present a proposal at an annual meeting in compliance with applicable rules and regulations promulgated under the Exchange Act and such stockholder's proposal has been included in a proxy statement that has been prepared by the corporation to solicit proxies for such annual meeting.

To be in proper written form, stockholder's notice to the Secretary must set forth, as to each matter such stockholder proposes to bring before the annual meeting, (i) a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the annual meeting and the reasons for conducting such business at the annual meeting, and (ii) unless the stockholder’s proposal is to be included in a proxy statement that has been prepared by the corporation to solicit proxies for an annual meeting, the Stockholder Information.

No business shall be conducted at the annual meeting of stockholders except in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Section 8; provided, however, that nothing in this Section 8 shall be deemed to preclude discussion by any stockholder of any business properly brought before the annual meeting. If the chairman of the meeting determines that business was not properly brought before the annual meeting in accordance with the foregoing procedures, the chairman of the meeting shall declare to the meeting that the business was not brought properly before the meeting and such business shall not be transacted.

Section 9. Stockholder Nominations Included in the Corporation’s Proxy Statement

(a) Inclusion of Proxy Access Nominee in Proxy Statement. Subject to the provisions of this Section 9, if expressly requested in the relevant Nomination Notice (as defined below), the corporation shall include in its proxy statement for any annual meeting of stockholders (but not for any special meeting of stockholders):

(i) the name of any person nominated for election (the “Proxy Access Nominee”), which shall also be included on the corporation’s form of proxy and ballot, by any Nominating Stockholder (as defined below);

(ii) disclosure about the Proxy Access Nominee and the Nominating Stockholder required under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission or other applicable law to be included in the proxy statement; and

(iii) any statement included by the Nominating Stockholder in the Nomination Notice for inclusion in the proxy statement in support of the Proxy Access Nominee’s election to the Board of Directors (subject, without limitation, to Section 9(e)(ii)), if such statement does not exceed 500 words and fully complies with Section 14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations thereunder, including Rule 14a-9.

The corporation may include any other information that the corporation or the Board of Directors determines, in their discretion, to include in the proxy statement relating to the nomination of the Proxy Access Nominee, including, without limitation, (1) any statement in opposition to the nomination, (2) any of the information provided pursuant to this Section 9 and (3) any solicitation materials or related information with respect to the Proxy Access Nominee.
For purposes of this Section 9, any determination to be made by the Board of Directors may be made by the Board of Directors, a committee thereof or any officer of the corporation designated by the Board of Directors or a committee thereof, and any such determination shall be final and binding on the corporation, any Eligible Holder (as defined below), any Nominating Stockholder, any Proxy Access Nominee and any other person so long as made in good faith (without any further requirements). The chairman of any annual meeting of stockholders, in addition to making any other determinations that may be appropriate to the conduct of the meeting, shall have the power and duty to determine whether a Proxy Access Nominee has been nominated in accordance with the requirements of this Section 9 and, if not so nominated, shall direct and declare at the meeting that such Proxy Access Nominee shall not be considered.

(b) Maximum Number of Proxy Access Nominees.

(i) The corporation shall not be required to include in the proxy statement for an annual meeting of stockholders more Proxy Access Nominees than the greater of: (1) two or (2) twenty percent of the total number of Directors of the corporation on the last day on which a Nomination Notice may be submitted pursuant to this Section 9 (rounded down to the nearest whole number) (the “Maximum Number”). In the event that one or more vacancies for any reason occurs on the Board of Directors after the deadline for submitting a Nomination Notice as set forth in Section 9(d) below but before the date of the annual meeting, and the Board of Directors resolves to reduce the size of the Board of Directors in connection therewith, the Maximum Number shall be calculated based on the number of Directors in office as so reduced. The Maximum Number for a particular annual meeting shall be reduced by (1) Proxy Access Nominees who are subsequently withdrawn, (2) Proxy Access Nominees that the Board of Directors itself decides to nominate for election at such annual meeting and (3) the number of incumbent Directors of the corporation who had been Proxy Access Nominees with respect to any of the preceding two (2) annual meetings of stockholders and whose reelection at the upcoming annual meeting is being recommended by the Board of Directors.

(ii) If the number of Proxy Access Nominees pursuant to this Section 9 for any annual meeting of stockholders exceeds the Maximum Number, then, promptly upon notice from the corporation, each Nominating Stockholder will select one Proxy Access Nominee for inclusion in the proxy statement until the Maximum Number is reached, going in order of the amount (largest to smallest) of the ownership position as disclosed in each Nominating Stockholder’s Nomination Notice, with the process repeated if the Maximum Number is not reached after each Nominating Stockholder has selected one Proxy Access Nominee. If, after the deadline for submitting a Nomination Notice as set forth in Section 9(d), a Nominating Stockholder becomes ineligible or withdraws its nomination or a Proxy Access Nominee becomes ineligible or unwilling to serve on the Board of Directors, whether before or after the mailing of the definitive proxy statement, then the nomination shall be disregarded, and the corporation (1) shall not be required to include in its proxy statement or on any ballot or form of proxy the disregarded Proxy Access Nominee or any successor or replacement nominee proposed by the Nominating Stockholder or by any other Nominating Stockholder.
and (2) may otherwise communicate to its stockholders, including without limitation by amending or supplementing its proxy statement or ballot or form of proxy, that the Proxy Access Nominee will not be included as a Proxy Access Nominee in the proxy statement or on any ballot or form of proxy and will not be voted on at the annual meeting.

(c) Eligibility of Nominating Stockholder.

(i) An “Eligible Holder” is a person who has either (1) been a record holder of the shares of common stock used to satisfy the eligibility requirements in this Section 9(c) continuously for the three-year period specified in Subsection (ii) below or (2) provides to the Secretary of the Corporation, within the time period referred to in Section 9(d), evidence of continuous ownership of such shares for such three-year period from one or more securities intermediaries in a form that the Board of Directors determines would be deemed acceptable for purposes of a shareholder proposal under Rule 14a-8(b)(2) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (or any successor rule).

(ii) An Eligible Holder or group of up to twenty (20) Eligible Holders may submit a nomination in accordance with this Section 9 only if the person or group (in the aggregate) has continuously owned at least the Minimum Number (as defined below) of shares of the corporation’s common stock throughout the three-year period preceding and including the date of submission of the Nomination Notice, and continues to own at least the Minimum Number through the date of the annual meeting. Each Eligible Holder or group of up to twenty Eligible Holders that submits a nomination in accordance with this Section 9 and has satisfied, as determined by the Board of Directors, all applicable conditions and complied with all applicable procedures set forth in this Section 9 is a “Nominating Stockholder”. A group of two (2) or more funds that are (1) under common management and investment control, (2) under common management and funded primarily by the same employer (or by a group of related employers that are under common control), or (3) a “group of investment companies,” as such term is defined in Section 12(d)(1)(G)(ii) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, shall be treated as one Eligible Holder if such Eligible Holder shall provide, together with the Nomination Notice, documentation reasonably satisfactory to the corporation that demonstrates such status. For the avoidance of doubt, in the event of a nomination by a Nominating Stockholder that includes more than one Eligible Holder, any and all requirements and obligations for an individual Eligible Holder that are set forth in this Section 9, including the minimum holding period, shall apply to each individual Eligible Holder comprising the Nominating Stockholder; provided, however, that the Minimum Number shall apply to the ownership of the Nominating Stockholder in the aggregate. Should any Eligible Holder withdraw from a group of Eligible Holders constituting a Nominating Stockholder at any time prior to the annual meeting of stockholders, the Nominating Stockholder shall only be deemed to own the shares held by the remaining Eligible Holders. As used in this Section 9, any reference to a “group” or “group of Eligible Holders” refers to
any Nominating Stockholder that consists of more than one Eligible Holder and to all the Eligible Holders that make up such Nominating Stockholder.

(iii) The “Minimum Number” of shares of the corporation’s common stock means three percent (3%) of the number of outstanding shares of common stock as of the most recent date for which such amount is given in any filing by the corporation with the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to the submission of the Nomination Notice.

(iv) For purposes of this Section 9, an Eligible Holder “owns” only those outstanding shares of the corporation as to which the Eligible Holder possesses both (1) the full voting and investment rights pertaining to the shares and (2) the full economic interest in (including the opportunity for profit and risk of loss on) such shares; provided that the number of shares calculated in accordance with clauses (1) and (2) shall not include (and shall be reduced by the amount of) any shares (A) sold by such Eligible Holder or any of its affiliates in any transaction that has not been settled or closed, (B) purchased by such Eligible Holder or any of its affiliates in any transaction that has not been settled or closed, (C) borrowed by such Eligible Holder or any of its affiliates for any purpose or purchased by such Eligible Holder or any of its affiliates pursuant to an agreement to resell or subject to any other obligation to resell to another person, or (D) subject to any option, warrant, forward contract, swap, contract of sale, other derivative or similar instrument or agreement (including any short sale or other offsetting transaction) entered into by such Eligible Holder or any of its affiliates, whether any such instrument or agreement described in this clause (D) is to be settled with shares or with cash based on the notional amount or value of outstanding shares of the corporation, in any such case which instrument or agreement described in this clause (D) has, or is intended to have, the purpose or effect of (x) reducing in any manner, to any extent or at any time in the future, such Eligible Holder’s or any of its affiliates’ full right to vote or direct the voting of any such shares, and/or (y) hedging, offsetting, or altering to any degree, gain or loss arising from the full economic ownership of such shares by such Eligible Holder or any of its affiliates.

An Eligible Holder “owns” shares held in the name of a nominee or other intermediary so long as the Eligible Holder retains the right to instruct how the shares are voted with respect to the election of Directors and possesses the full economic interest in the shares. An Eligible Holder’s ownership of shares shall be deemed to continue during any period in which the Eligible Holder has delegated any voting power by means of a proxy, power of attorney, or other similar instrument or arrangement that is revocable at any time by the Eligible Holder. An Eligible Holder’s ownership of shares shall be deemed to continue during any period in which the Eligible Holder has loaned such shares provided that the Eligible Holder has the power to recall such loaned shares within five (5) business days and will recall such loaned shares as of the date of the annual meeting. The terms “owned,” “owning” and other variations of the word “own” shall have correlative meanings. Whether outstanding shares of the corporation are “owned” for these purposes shall be determined by the Board of Directors.
(v) No Eligible Holder shall be permitted to be a part of more than one group of Eligible Holders constituting a Nominating Stockholder, and if any Eligible Holder appears as a member of more than one group, such Eligible Holder shall be deemed to be a member of the group of Eligible Holders that has the largest ownership position as reflected in the Nomination Notice.

(d) Nomination Notice. To nominate a Proxy Access Nominee, the Nominating Stockholder must, no earlier than one hundred and fifty (150) days and no later than one hundred and twenty (120) days before the anniversary of the date that the corporation mailed its proxy statement for the prior year's annual meeting of stockholders, submit to the Secretary of the Corporation at the principal executive office of the corporation all of the following information and documents (collectively, the “Nomination Notice”); provided, however, that if (and only if) the annual meeting is not scheduled to be held within a period that commences thirty (30) days before such anniversary date and ends thirty (30) days after such anniversary date (such annual meeting date shall be referred to herein as an “Other Meeting Date”), the Nomination Notice shall be given in the manner provided herein by the later of (1) one hundred and fifty (150) days prior to such Other Meeting Date and (2) the tenth (10th) day following the date such Other Meeting Date is first publicly announced or disclosed:

(i) A Schedule 14N (or any successor form) relating to the Proxy Access Nominee, completed and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by the Nominating Stockholder as applicable, in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission rules;

(ii) A written notice of the nomination of such Proxy Access Nominee that includes the following additional information, agreements, representations and warranties by the Nominating Stockholder (including each group member):

(1) the information required with respect to the nomination of Directors pursuant to Section 7 of this Article I;

(2) the details of any relationship that existed within the past three (3) years and that would have been described pursuant to Item 6(e) of Schedule 14N (or any successor item) if it existed on the date of submission of the Schedule 14N;

(3) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder did not acquire, and is not holding, securities of the corporation for the purpose or with the effect of influencing or changing control of the corporation;

(4) a representation and warranty that the Proxy Access Nominee’s candidacy or, if elected, membership on the Board of Directors would not violate applicable state or federal law or the rules of any stock exchange on which the corporation’s securities are traded;

(5) a representation and warranty that the Proxy Access Nominee:
(A) is not aware of any direct or indirect relationship the Proxy Access Nominee has with the corporation that would cause the Proxy Access Nominee to not be independent under the listing standards of the primary stock exchange upon which the common stock of the corporation is listed, and any applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or any publicly disclosed standards used by the Board of Directors in determining and disclosing independence of the Directors of the corporation, in each case as determined by the Board of Directors; and

(B) is not and has not been subject to any event specified in Rule 506(d) (1) of Regulation D (or any successor rule) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Item 401(f) of Regulation S-K (or any successor rule) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, without reference to whether the event is material to an evaluation of the ability or integrity of the Proxy Access Nominee;

(6) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder satisfies the eligibility requirements set forth in Section 9(c) and has provided evidence of ownership to the extent required by Section 9(c)(i);

(7) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder intends to continue to satisfy the eligibility requirements described in Section 9(c) through the date of the annual meeting;

(8) details of any position of the Proxy Access Nominee as an officer or director of any competitor of the corporation (that is, any entity that produces products or provides services that compete with or are alternatives to the principal products produced or services provided by the corporation or its affiliates), within the three (3) years preceding the submission of the Nomination Notice;

(9) details of any relationship between the Proxy Access Nominee and any entity that would require disclosure on Schedule 13D as if the Proxy Access Nominee was required to file a Schedule 13D with respect to the corporation;

(10) details of any shares of the corporation owned by the Proxy Access Nominee that are (A) pledged by the Proxy Access Nominee or otherwise subject to a lien, charge or other encumbrance or (B) subject to any option, warrant, forward contract, swap, contract of sale, other derivative or similar instrument or agreement entered into by such Proxy Access Nominee, whether any such instrument or agreement described in this clause (B) is to be settled with shares or with cash based on the notional amount or value of outstanding shares of the corporation, in any such case which instrument or agreement described in this clause (B) has, or is intended to have, the
purpose or effect of (x) reducing in any manner, to any extent or at any time in the future, such Proxy Access Nominee’s full right to vote or direct the voting of any such shares, and/or (y) hedging, offsetting, or altering to any degree, gain or loss arising from the full economic ownership of such shares by such Proxy Access Nominee;

(11) details of any compensatory, payment or other financial agreement, arrangement or understanding with any person or entity in connection with service or action as a Director of the corporation;

(12) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder will not engage in a “solicitation” within the meaning of Rule 14a-1(1) (without reference to the exception in Section 14a-(1)(2)(iv)) (or any successor rules) with respect to the annual meeting, other than with respect to its Proxy Access Nominee or any nominee of the Board of Directors;

(13) a representation and warranty that the Nominating Stockholder will not use any proxy card other than the corporation’s proxy card in soliciting stockholders in connection with the election of a Proxy Access Nominee at the annual meeting;

(14) if desired, a statement for inclusion in the proxy statement in support of the Proxy Access Nominee’s election to the Board of Directors as provided in Section 9(a)(iii); and (15) in the case of a nomination by a Nominating Stockholder comprised of a group, the designation by all such Eligible Holders of one Eligible Holder that is authorized to act on behalf of the Nominating Stockholder with respect to matters relating to the nomination, including withdrawal of the nomination;

(iii) An executed agreement, in a form deemed satisfactory by the Board of Directors, pursuant to which the Nominating Stockholder (including in the case of a group, each Eligible Holder in that group) agrees:

(1) to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations in connection with the nomination, solicitation and election;

(2) to file any written solicitation or other communication with the corporation’s stockholders relating to one or more of the corporation’s Directors or Director nominees or any Proxy Access Nominee with the Securities and Exchange Commission, regardless of whether any such filing is required under rule or regulation or whether any exemption from filing is available for such materials under any rule or regulation;

(3) to assume all liability stemming from an action, suit or proceeding concerning any actual or alleged legal or regulatory violation arising out of any communication by the Nominating Stockholder with the corporation, its stockholders or any other person in connection with the nomination or election of Directors, including, without limitation, the Nomination Notice;
to indemnify and hold harmless (jointly with all other Eligible Holders, in the case of a group of Eligible Holders) the corporation and each of its Directors, officers and employees individually against any liability, loss, damages, expenses or other costs (including attorneys’ fees) incurred in connection with any threatened or pending action, suit or proceeding, whether legal, administrative or investigative, against the corporation or any of its Directors, officers or employees arising out of or relating to a failure or alleged failure of the Nominating Stockholder to comply with, or any breach or alleged breach of, its obligations, agreements or representations under this Section 9;

(5) in the event that any information included in the Nomination Notice, or in any other communication by the Nominating Stockholder (including with respect to any Eligible Holder included in a group), with the corporation, its stockholders or any other person in connection with the nomination or election ceases to be true and accurate in all material respects (or due to a subsequent development omits a material fact necessary to make the statements made not misleading), or that the Nominating Stockholder (including any Eligible Holder included in a group) has failed to continue to satisfy the eligibility requirements described in Section 9(c), to promptly (and in any event within forty-eight (48) hours of discovering such misstatement or omission) notify the corporation and any other recipient of such communication of the misstatement or omission in such previously provided information and of the information that is required to correct the misstatement or omission; and

(iv) An executed agreement, in a form deemed satisfactory by the Board of Directors, by the Proxy Access Nominee:

(1) to provide to the corporation such other information, including completion of the corporation’s Director questionnaire, as it may reasonably request;

(2) that the Proxy Access Nominee has read and agrees, if elected, to serve as a member of the Board of Directors, to adhere to the corporation’s Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics and any other corporation policies and guidelines applicable to Directors;

(3) that the Proxy Access Nominee is not and will not become a party to any agreement, arrangement or understanding with any person or entity as to how the Proxy Access Nominee would vote or act on any issue or question as a Director; and

(4) at the reasonable request of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, to meet with the committee to discuss matters relating to the nomination of such Proxy Access Nominee to the Board of Directors, including the information provided by such Proxy Access
Nominee to the corporation in connection with his or her nomination and such Proxy Access Nominee’s eligibility to serve as a member of the Board of Directors.

The information and documents required by this Section 9(d) shall be (A) provided with respect to and executed by each Eligible Holder or, in the case of a Nominating Stockholder comprised of a group of Eligible Holders, each Eligible Holder in that group; and (B) provided with respect to the persons specified in Instruction 1 to Items 6(c) and (d) of Schedule 14N (or any successor item) in the case of a Nominating Stockholder or Eligible Holder that is an entity. The Nomination Notice shall be deemed submitted on the date on which all the information and documents referred to in this Section 9(d) (other than such information and documents contemplated to be provided after the date the Nomination Notice is provided) have been delivered to or, if sent by mail, received by the Secretary of the Corporation.

(e) Exceptions.

(i) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 9, the corporation may omit from its proxy statement any Proxy Access Nominee and any information concerning such Proxy Access Nominee (including a Nominating Stockholder’s statement in support) and no vote on such Proxy Access Nominee will occur (notwithstanding that proxies in respect of such vote may have been received by the corporation), and the Nominating Stockholder may not, after the last day on which a Nomination Notice would be timely, cure in any way any defect preventing the nomination of the Proxy Access Nominee, if:

(1) the corporation receives a notice pursuant to Section 7 of this Article I that any stockholder intends to nominate a candidate for Director at the annual meeting;

(2) if another person is engaging in a “solicitation” within the meaning of Rule 14a-1(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, in support of the election of any individual as a Director at the applicable annual meeting of stockholders other than a nominee of the Board of Directors and other than as permitted by this Section 9;

(3) the Nominating Stockholder or the designated Eligible Holder that is authorized to act on behalf of the Nominating Stockholder, as applicable, or any qualified representative thereof, does not appear at the annual meeting of stockholders to present the nomination submitted pursuant to this Section 9 or the Nominating Stockholder withdraws its nomination;

(4) the Board of Directors determines that such Proxy Access Nominee’s nomination or election to the Board of Directors would result in the corporation violating or failing to be in compliance with the corporation’s Bylaws or Certificate of Incorporation or any applicable law, rule or regulation to which the corporation is subject, including any rules or
regulations of any stock exchange on which the corporation's securities are traded;

(5) the Proxy Access Nominee was nominated for election to the Board of Directors pursuant to this Section 9 at one of the corporation's two (2) preceding annual meetings of stockholders and either (A) withdrew or became ineligible or (B) received a vote of less than twenty five percent (25%) of the shares of common stock entitled to vote for such Proxy Access Nominee at such preceding annual meeting;

(6) the Board of Directors determines that the Proxy Access Nominee is an officer or director of a competitor, as defined for purposes of Section 8 of the Clayton Antitrust Act of 1914, as amended; or

(7) the corporation is notified, or the Board of Directors determines, that a Nominating Stockholder has failed to continue to satisfy the eligibility requirements described in Section 9(c), any of the representations and warranties made in the Nomination Notice ceases to be true and accurate in all material respects (or omits a material fact necessary to make the statement not misleading), the Proxy Access Nominee becomes unwilling or unable to serve on the Board of Directors or any material violation or breach occurs of the obligations, agreements, representations or warranties of the Nominating Stockholder or the Proxy Access Nominee under this Section 9.

(ii) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 9, the corporation may omit from its proxy statement, or may supplement or correct, any information, including all or any portion of the statement in support of the Proxy Access Nominee included in the Nomination Notice, if the Board of Directors determines that:

(1) such information is not true in all material respects or omits a material statement necessary to make the statements made not misleading;

(2) such information directly or indirectly impugns character, integrity or personal reputation of, or directly or indirectly makes charges concerning improper, illegal or immoral conduct or associations, without factual foundation, with respect to any person; or

(3) the inclusion of such information in the proxy statement would otherwise violate the Securities and Exchange Commission proxy rules or any other applicable law, rule or regulation.
Article II

Board of Directors

Section 1. Number and Terms of Office

The business and affairs of the corporation shall be under the direction of a Board of Directors. The number of Directors shall be set from time to time by a majority vote of the total number of Directors then serving in office.

At each annual meeting of stockholders, the Directors shall be elected to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors shall have been duly elected and qualified, subject, however, to prior death, resignation, retirement, disqualification or removal from office.

The Directors shall elect a Chairman of the Board from among their members. The Chairman of the Board shall preside at meetings of the Board of Directors. In the absence of the Chairman of the Board, the Lead Director (if applicable) or the Chief Executive Officer shall preside at meetings of the Board of Directors provided that such Chief Executive Officer is also a Director.

Section 2. Increases and Decreases in Directors

The Board of Directors may increase or decrease the number of Directors as provided in Section 1 of this Article II. Any vacancies created by an increase in the number of Directors shall be filled as provided in Section 3 of this Article II.

Section 3. Vacancies and Newly Created Directorships

Vacancies and newly created directorships resulting from an increase in the authorized number of Directors may be filled by a majority of Directors then in office.

Section 4. Regular Meetings

Regular meetings of the Board of Directors shall be held at such place or places, on such date or dates, and at such time or times as shall be established by the Board of Directors. A notice of each regular meeting shall not be required.

Section 5. Special Meetings

Special meetings of the Board of Directors may be called by one-third of the Directors or by the Chairman of the Board and shall be held at such place, on such date, and at such time as the Directors calling the meeting or the Chairman of the Board shall fix. Notice of a special meeting shall be given to each Director in any of the following ways: in person, by telephone, in writing or by electronic transmission. Notice given in writing or by electronic transmission must be delivered at least twenty-four (24) hours before such meeting. Notice given by telephone or in person shall be given at least twelve (12) hours prior to the time set for the meeting. However, if the Chairman of the Board determines in his/her sole discretion that it is necessary to hold a
meeting sooner, the Chairman of the Board may give less than 12 hours' notice. Notice in writing or by facsimile transmission shall be directed to the Director's business or residence or to any other location provided by the Director; notice by e-mail shall be directed to the e-mail address provided by the Director. Neither the business to be transacted at, nor the purpose of, any meeting of the Board of Directors need be specified in the notice of such meeting. A written waiver of any notice, signed by a Director, or a waiver by electronic transmission by a Director, whether before or after the time of the event for which notice is to be given, shall be equivalent to the notice required to be given to such person.

As used in this Article, the term “electronic transmission” has the meaning set forth in the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, including, without limitation, any facsimile transmission, e-mail communication or communication made by posting on an electronic network together with separate notice to the Director of such posting.

Section 6. Quorum

At any meeting of the Board of Directors, a majority of the total number of the Directors shall constitute a quorum.

Section 7. Committees of the Board of Directors

The corporation elects to be governed by the provisions of Section 141(c)(2) of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, as amended effective July 1, 1996. The Board of Directors may from time to time designate committees of the Board of Directors, with such lawfully delegable powers and duties as it thereby confers, to serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors and shall elect a Director or Directors to serve as the member or members, designating, if it desires, other Directors as alternate members who may replace any absent or disqualified members at any meeting of the committee. Any committee so designated may exercise the power and authority of the Board of Directors as permitted by law. In the absence or disqualification of any member of any committee and any alternate member designated to replace such member, the members of the committee present at the meeting and not disqualified from voting may by unanimous vote appoint another member of the Board of Directors to act at the meeting in the place of the absent or disqualified member. Meetings of a committee may be set by the committee or may be called by one-third of the Directors then serving on the committee, the chairman of the committee, or the Chairman of the Board and shall be held at such place, on such date, and at such time as the person or persons calling the meeting shall fix. Notice of a committee meeting shall be given to a Director in any of the following ways: in person, by telephone, in writing or by electronic transmission. Notice given in writing or by electronic transmission must be delivered at least twenty-four (24) hours before such meeting. Notice given by telephone or in person shall be given at least twelve (12) hours prior to the time set for the meeting. However, if the Chairman of the Board determines in his/her sole discretion that it is necessary to hold a meeting sooner, the Chairman of the Board may give less than 12 hours' notice. Notice in writing or by facsimile transmission shall be directed to the Director's business or residence or to any other location provided by the Director; notice by e-mail shall be directed to the e-mail address provided by the Director. Neither the business to be transacted at, nor the purpose of, any meeting of a committee need be specified in the notice of such meeting. A written waiver of any notice, signed by a Director, or a waiver by electronic transmission by a Director, whether before or after the time of
the event for which notice is to be given, shall be equivalent to the notice required to be given to such person. No notice is required if a committee meeting is set by the committee.

If not otherwise fixed by the Board of Directors, the number of members making up a committee shall equal the number of Directors then serving on the committee from time to time. At any meeting of a committee, a majority of the number of Directors then serving on the committee shall constitute a quorum.

Each committee may determine procedural rules for the conduct of its meetings and business, and shall act in accordance therewith, unless otherwise provided by the Board of Directors in the resolution establishing the committee.

Article III

Officers of the Company

Section 1. Generally

The officers of the corporation shall consist of a Chief Executive Officer, a President, one or more Vice Presidents, a Secretary, a Treasurer, and a Chief Financial Officer appointed by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors may also appoint one or more Assistant Secretaries, Assistant Treasurers, and such other officers and agents as the Board of Directors may desire. Officers shall be appointed by the Board of Directors at its first meeting after every annual meeting of stockholders. Each officer or agent appointed by the Board of Directors shall hold office until a successor is elected and qualified or until such person’s earlier resignation or removal. Any number of offices may be held by the same person.

Section 2. Duties of the Chief Executive Officer

The Chief Executive Officer shall have the responsibility for the general management of the affairs of the Corporation and shall execute all the powers and perform all the duties usual to such office. The Chief Executive Officer shall perform such other duties usual to such office. The Chief Executive Officer shall perform such other duties as may be prescribed or assigned to him or her from time to time by the Board of Directors.

Unless otherwise directed by the Board of Directors, the Chief Executive Officer or his or her delegate shall have authority to vote and otherwise act on behalf of the corporation, in person or by proxy, at any meeting of stockholders, or with respect to any action of stockholders of any other corporation in which this corporation may hold securities, and otherwise to exercise any and all rights and powers that this corporation may possess by reason of its ownership of securities in any other corporation.

Section 3. Duties of the President

The President shall perform the duties as usually pertain to the office and such other duties as may from time to time be assigned.
Section 4. Duties of Vice Presidents

Each Vice President shall perform the duties as usually pertain to the office to which appointed and such other duties as may from time to time be assigned.

Section 5. Duties of Secretary and Assistant Secretaries

The Secretary shall make a record of the proceedings of all meetings of the stockholders, Board of Directors and any committee of Directors, in books to be kept for that purpose. The Secretary shall also give and publish all necessary notices of all meetings, have custody of the corporate seal and affix it when authorized, and preserve and keep all general contracts, papers and documents. In general, the Secretary shall perform all duties incident to the office of Secretary and such other duties as from time to time may be assigned.

Each Assistant Secretary shall perform such duties of the Secretary as may from time to time be assigned.

Section 6. Duties of Treasurer and Assistant Treasurers

The Treasurer shall have charge of all monies, funds and securities which may come into the Treasurer’s possession, maintain deposits of the corporation’s monies and funds in such depositories as the Board of Directors, the Chief Executive Officer or the President shall approve, make disbursements of such monies and funds under direction of the Board of Directors, the Chief Executive Officer, or the President, keep an account of all receipts and disbursements, and make such reports as may be required. The Treasurer shall also maintain a record of the outstanding shares of stock in the corporation, a stock transfer record and a list of the stockholders of the corporation. In general, the Treasurer shall perform all duties incident to the office of Treasurer and such other duties as from time to time may be assigned.

Each Assistant Treasurer shall perform such duties of the Treasurer as may from time to time be assigned.

Section 7. Duties of the Chief Financial Officer

The Chief Financial Officer shall be the principal officer in charge of the accounts of the corporation and shall perform all duties incident to the office of Chief Financial Officer and such other duties as from time to time may be assigned.

Section 8. Delegation of Authority

The Board of Directors may from time to time assign or delegate the powers, authorities or duties of the Chairman of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer, the President or any officer or agent to any other officers or agents, notwithstanding any provision hereof.
Article IV

Indemnification

The corporation shall indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigatory (including any action or suit by or in the right of the corporation) by reason of the fact that such person is or was a Director, officer or employee of the corporation, or, while such person is or was a Director, officer or employee of the corporation, such person is or was serving at the request of the corporation as a Director, officer, employee, or agent of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines, and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by such person in connection with such action, suit, or proceeding, but in each case only if and to the extent permitted under applicable state or federal law.

The indemnification provided herein shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which those indemnified may be entitled, and shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be a Director, officer, employee, or agent, and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs and personal representatives of such a person.

Article V

Stock

Section 1. Stock Certificates; Uncertificated Shares

The shares of the corporation shall be represented by certificates, provided that the Board of Directors of the corporation may provide by resolution or resolutions that some or all of any or all classes or series of its stock shall be uncertificated shares. Any such resolution shall not apply to shares represented by a certificate until such certificate is surrendered to the corporation. Notwithstanding the adoption of such a resolution by the Board of Directors, every holder of stock represented by certificates and upon request every holder of uncertificated shares shall be entitled to have a certificate signed by, or in the name of the corporation by the Chairman or Vice-Chairman of the Board of Directors, the Chief Executive Officer, or the President or Vice-President, and by the Treasurer or an Assistant Treasurer, or the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary of such corporation representing the number of shares registered in certificate form. Any or all of the signatures on the certificate may be a facsimile. In case any officer, transfer agent or registrar who has signed or whose facsimile signature has been placed upon a certificate shall have ceased to be such officer, transfer agent or registrar before such certificate is issued, it may be issued by the corporation with the same effect as if he or she were such officer, transfer agent or registrar at the date of issue.

Section 2. Transfers of Stock

Transfers of stock shall be made only on the stock transfer record of the corporation and upon surrender of the certificate previously issued therefore which is outstanding and not canceled, except in the case of uncertificated shares.
Section 3. Transfer on Death Directions

At the request of a stockholder residing in a state that permits transfer on death directions by law, the Treasurer shall record on the stockholder’s certificate, or, in the case of uncertificated shares, upon the account statements evidencing the shares, a direction to transfer the stockholder’s interest in the corporation to a person designated by the stockholder on death of the stockholder. The Treasurer shall execute such direction upon proof of death of the stockholder, surrender of the outstanding certificate with the direction written thereon, and under such regulations as may be prescribed by the Treasurer.

Article VI

Forum

Unless the Board of Directors, acting on behalf of the Corporation, consents in writing to the selection of an alternative forum, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (or, if the Court of Chancery does not have jurisdiction, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware) shall be the sole and exclusive forum for (i) any derivative action or proceeding brought on behalf of the Corporation, (ii) any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any Director, officer, other employee or agent of the Corporation to the Corporation or the stockholders, (iii) any action asserting a claim against the Corporation or any of its stockholders, Directors, officers or other employees arising pursuant to any provision of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, the Certificate of Incorporation or these Bylaws, (iv) any action asserting a claim against the Corporation or any of its stockholders, Directors, officers or other employees governed by the internal affairs doctrine, (v) any action, suit or proceeding regarding indemnification or advancement or reimbursement of expenses arising out of the Certificate of Incorporation, these Bylaws or otherwise, in all cases to the fullest extent permitted by law, (vi) any complaint asserting a cause of action arising under the Securities Act of 1933, or (vii) any action asserting an “internal corporate claim,” as that term is defined in Section 115 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

If any action the subject matter of which is within the scope of this Article VI is filed in a court other than a court located within the State of Delaware (a “Foreign Action”) in the name of any stockholder, such stockholder shall be deemed to have consented to: (x) the personal jurisdiction of the state and federal courts located within the State of Delaware in connection with any action brought in any such court to enforce this Article VI (an “Enforcement Action”), and (y) having service of process made upon such stockholder in any such Enforcement Action by service upon such stockholder’s counsel in the Foreign Action as agent for such stockholder. Any person or entity purchasing or otherwise acquiring or holding any interest in shares of capital stock of the Corporation shall be deemed to have notice of and consented to the provisions of this Article VI.
Article VII

Miscellaneous

Section 1. Facsimile Signatures

In addition to the provision for the use of facsimile signatures on stock certificates as provided in Section 1 of Article V, facsimile signatures of any officer or officers of the corporation may be used whenever and as authorized by the Board of Directors.

Section 2. Corporate Seal

The Board of Directors shall provide a suitable seal for the corporation that contains the name of the corporation and the state of incorporation, which seal shall be kept by the Secretary.

Section 3. Fiscal Year

The fiscal year of the corporation shall be identical with the calendar year unless otherwise established by the Board of Directors.

Section 4. Time Periods

In applying any provision of these Bylaws which requires that an act be done or not be done in a specified number of days prior to an event, or that an act be done during a period of a specified number of days prior to an event, calendar days shall be used. The day of the doing of the act shall be excluded and the day of the event shall be included.

Article VIII

Amendments

These Bylaws may be amended or repealed in accordance with the Certificate of Incorporation by the Board of Directors at any meeting or by the stockholders at any meeting.
I, JEFFREY W. BULLOCK, SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THE ATTACHED IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF "AT&T INC.", FILED IN THIS OFFICE ON THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER, A.D. 2013, AT 3 O'CLOCK P.M.

A FILED COPY OF THIS CERTIFICATE HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO THE NEW CASTLE COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS.
RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

OF

AT&T INC.

AT&T INC., a Corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, hereby certifies as follows:

1. The name of the corporation is AT&T Inc., and the name under which the corporation was originally incorporated was Southwestern Bell Corporation. The date of filing of its original Certificate of Incorporation with the Secretary of State was October 5, 1983.

2. This Restated Certificate of Incorporation only restates and integrates and does not further amend the provisions of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of this corporation as heretofore amended or supplemented and there is no discrepancy between those provisions and the provisions of this Restated Certificate of Incorporation.

3. The text of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation as amended or supplemented heretofore is hereby restated without further amendments or changes to read as herein set forth in full.

4. This Restated Certificate of Incorporation was duly adopted by the Board of Directors on December 13, 2013, in accordance with Section 245 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.
ARTICLE ONE

The name of the corporation is AT&T Inc.

ARTICLE TWO

The address of the registered office of the corporation in the State of Delaware is 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, County of New Castle. The name of the registered agent of the corporation at such address is The Corporation Trust Company.

ARTICLE THREE

The purpose of the corporation is to engage in any business, lawful act or activity for which corporations may be organized under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

ARTICLE FOUR

The corporation shall have perpetual existence.

ARTICLE FIVE

The aggregate number of shares which the corporation is authorized to issue is 14,010,000,000 shares, consisting of 14,000,000,000 common shares having a par value of $1 per share and 10,000,000 preferred shares having a par value of $1 per share.

The preferred shares may be issued from time to time in one or more series. The Board of Directors is authorized to establish by resolution the number of preferred shares in each series, the designation thereof, the powers, preferences, and rights and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions of each series and the variations, if any, as between each series.

No holder of any class or series of shares shall have any preemptive right to purchase any additional issue of shares of the corporation of any class or series or any security convertible into any class or series of shares.

ARTICLE SIX

The business and affairs of the corporation shall be under direction of a Board of Directors. The number of directors, their terms and the manner of their election shall be fixed by the Bylaws of the corporation. The directors need not be elected by written ballot unless required by the Bylaws of the corporation.

No director of this corporation shall be liable to this corporation or its stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except for liability 1) for any breach of the director’s duty of loyalty to the corporation or its stockholders; 2) for acts or omissions not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or knowing violation of the law; 3) under Section 174 of the Delaware General Corporation Law; or 4) for any transaction from which a director derived an improper benefit.
ARTICLE SEVEN

The Board of Directors is expressly authorized to adopt, amend or repeal the Bylaws of the corporation.

ARTICLE EIGHT

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the corporation, no action which is required to be taken or which may be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders of the corporation may be taken by written consent without a meeting, except where such consent is signed by stockholders representing at least two-thirds of the total number of shares of stock of the corporation then outstanding and entitled to vote thereon.

ARTICLE NINE

The corporation reserves the right to amend and repeal any provision contained in this Certificate of Incorporation in the manner prescribed by the laws of the State of Delaware. All rights herein conferred are granted subject to this reservation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said AT&T Inc. has caused this Restated Certificate of Incorporation to be signed by Randall L. Stephenson, its Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, and attested by Ann E. Meuleman, its Senior Vice President and Secretary, this 13th day of December 2013.

AT&T INC.

(seal) By: /s/ Randall L. Stephenson
Randall L. Stephenson
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

Attest: /s/ Ann E. Meuleman
Ann E. Meuleman
Senior Vice President and Secretary
December 6, 2021

Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

# 1 Rule 14a-8 Proposal
AT&T Inc. (T)
Simple Majority Vote
John Chevedden

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This is a counterpoint to the December 6, 2021 no-action request.

The Certificate has a “two-thirds of the total number of shares of stock” provision.

Written consents can be a substitute for a vote at a meeting according the Certificate of the company.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
John Chevedden

cc: Paul Wilson <paul.wilson.7@att.com>

The fourth paragraph of Section 6 of Article I of the Company’s Bylaws (the “Bylaws”) provides as follows:

All matters, except as provided below, shall be determined by a majority of the votes cast, unless a greater number is required by law or the Certificate of Incorporation for the action proposed. In an election of Directors, each Director shall be elected by the vote of the majority of the votes cast with respect to that Director’s election.

The only non-majority stockholder voting standard in the Bylaws is set forth in the fifth paragraph of Section 6 of Article I of the Bylaws. It provides for a plurality voting standard that applies when the number of director nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected—in other words, when there is a contested election. This provision does not affect AT&T’s substantial implementation of the Proposal, which requests that a simple majority standard replace “each voting requirement in our charter and bylaws . . . that calls for a greater than simple majority vote” [emphasis added]. A plurality standard does not call for votes “greater than” a majority vote. The Staff has found bases for exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of “simple majority vote” proposals similar to the Proposal, despite the retention of plurality vote provisions for contested elections of directors. See, e.g., Northrop Grumman (avail. Mar. 16, 2006) and Pfizer, Inc. (avail. Jan. 31, 2006).

Furthermore, there are no supermajority voting provisions in the Company’s Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the “Certificate”). We note that Article Eight of the Certificate provides:

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws of the corporation, no action which is required to be taken or which may be taken at any annual or special meeting of stockholders of the corporation may be taken by written consent without a meeting, except where such consent is signed by stockholders representing at least two-thirds of the total number of shares of stock of the corporation then outstanding and entitled to vote thereon.

This provision is not inconsistent with the Proposal, which calls for “each voting requirement in our charter and bylaws . . . that calls for a greater than simple majority vote be eliminated, and replaced by a requirement for a majority of the votes cast for and against applicable proposals, or a simple majority in compliance with applicable laws” [emphasis added]. Article Eight of the

---

1 A copy of the Company’s Bylaws is attached to this letter as Exhibit B.

2 A copy of the Company’s Restated Certificate of Incorporation is attached to this letter as Exhibit C.
January 31, 2022

Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

# 2 Rule 14a-8 Proposal
AT&T Inc. (T)
Simple Majority Vote
John Chevedden

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This is a counterpoint to the December 6, 2021 no-action request.

The Certificate has a provision regarding “two-thirds of the total number of shares of stock.”

The intent of the rule 14a-8 proposal is to address this supermajority provision. Management does not claim otherwise.

Management does not claim that there are other supermajority provisions in the governing documents of the company.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John Chevedden

cc: Paul Wilson
February 8, 2022

Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549

# 3 Rule 14a-8 Proposal
AT&T Inc. (T)
Simple Majority Vote
John Chevedden

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This is a counterpoint to the December 6, 2021 no-action request.

The Certificate has a provision regarding “two-thirds of the total number of shares of stock.”

The intent of the rule 14a-8 proposal is to address this supermajority provision. Management does not claim otherwise.

Management does not claim that there are other supermajority provisions in the governing documents of the company.

Companies often argue that the intent of the proposal is important. For instance a company will argue (successfully in many cases) that the intent of a proposal was x and the company has taken steps to address x and therefore the company does not need to include the proposal in the proxy.

The intent of the proposal concept should work for shareholders to match its success in working for management.

Sincerely,

John Chevedden

cc: Paul Wilson