

SANFORD J. LEWIS, ATTORNEY

January 28, 2021
Via electronic mail

Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549

Re: Shareholder Proposal to Pfizer Inc. Regarding congruency of political contributions on Behalf of Tara Health Foundation

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Tara Health Foundation (the “Proponent”) is beneficial owner of common stock of Pfizer Inc. (the “Company”) and has submitted a shareholder proposal (the “Proposal”) to the Company. I have been asked by the Proponent to respond to the supplemental letter dated January 25, 2021 (“Supplemental Letter”) sent to the Securities and Exchange Commission by Margaret M. Madden. A copy of this response letter is being emailed concurrently to Margaret M. Madden.

The Company continues to assert that the proposal is substantially implemented. In essence, the Company’s original and supplemental letters imply that under the substantial implementation doctrine as the company understands it, shareholders are not entitled to make the request of this proposal for an annual examination of congruency, but that a simple written acknowledgment that Pfizer contributions will sometimes conflict with company values is all on this topic that investors are entitled to request through a shareholder proposal.

The Supplemental letter makes much of the claim that the proposal does not seek reporting on “instances of incongruency” but rather on how Pfizer’s political and electioneering expenditures *aligned* during the preceding year against publicly stated company values and policies.”

While the company has provided a blanket disclaimer of why its contributions may sometimes be incongruent, the proposal calls for an annual assessment of congruency. The whereas clauses of the proposal contains three examples of incongruency, and as noted in our initial letter of January 15, 2021 the company has been highlighted in media coverage for significant issues of incongruent concern, as well as the company’s apparent choice to not follow the lead of other companies that have ceased to support politicians who fostered the falsehood that the presidential election was stolen and who have supported a violent assault on Congress.

The proposal calls for much more than a generic disclaimer, but rather an annual review and the transparency and accountability that this provides.

The supplemental letter also makes overblown distinctions to *CVS Health Corp.* (February 9,


2015). Although the language of the current proposal is different, the same essential purpose is apparent, and the same lack of fulfillment of that purpose is clear. We respectfully assert that the existence of a generic disclaimer and the focus on “congruency analysis” rather than listing specific incongruencies are not sufficient distinctions to merit a different outcome in this matter.

The Company’s legalistic view that its generic disclaimer that even frequent incongruent contributions should not be seen to contradict its values and ideals underscores why the company needs to issue the requested annual report.

There is ample context in the proposal for the company's shareholders to conclude that the company's disclaimer is not enough, and that the annual assessment and report requested by the proposal is appropriate. Many investors may differ in perspective from the Company’s apparent view that it can make political contributions for a single purpose and ignore the need to reassess the impact on brand, reputation and shareholder value when those supported politicians also turn out to be election falsifiers, supporters or even purveyors of violence in the halls of Congress, climate change deniers, or antiabortion demagogues. The annual report requested by the Proposal provides a necessary opportunity for midcourse correction and accountability lacking in the company’s current reporting.

In all other respects, we stand by our initial response.

Sincerely,



Sanford Lewis

cc:

Margaret M. Madden

Marc S. Gerber

Shelley Alpern



Margaret M. Madden
Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary
Chief Governance Counsel

Pfizer Inc. – Legal Division
235 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10017
Tel 212 733 3451 Fax 646 563 9681
margaret.m.madden@pfizer.com

BY EMAIL (shareholderproposals@sec.gov)

January 25, 2021

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Division of Corporation Finance
Office of Chief Counsel
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549

RE: Pfizer Inc. – 2021 Annual Meeting
Supplement to Letter dated December 18, 2020
Relating to Shareholder Proposal of
Tara Health Foundation

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We refer to our letter dated December 18, 2020 (the “No-Action Request”), pursuant to which we requested that the Staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the “Staff”) of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) concur with our view that the shareholder proposal and supporting statement (the “Proposal”) submitted by Tara Health Foundation (the “Proponent”) may be excluded from the proxy materials to be distributed by Pfizer Inc. (“Pfizer”) in connection with its 2021 annual meeting of shareholders (the “2021 proxy materials”).

This letter is in response to the letter to the Staff, dated January 15, 2021, submitted by the Proponent (the “Proponent’s Letter”), and supplements the No-Action Request. In accordance with Rule 14a-8(j), a copy of this letter also is being sent to the Proponents.

The Proponent’s Letter seeks to recharacterize the Proposal as a request for an annual report analyzing “instances of incongruity of political and electioneering expenditures during the preceding year against publicly stated Company values and policies.” Contrary to the Proponent’s contention, however, the Proposal requests a report on how Pfizer’s political and electioneering expenditures align – not a report on how such expenditures misalign – with its values and policies. In this respect, the Proposal requests “an annual report ... analyzing the *congruency* of political and electioneering expenditures during the preceding year against publicly stated company values and policies” (emphasis added). As described in the No-Action Request, Pfizer already publicly discloses how its political and electioneering expenditures align with its values and policies, and therefore Pfizer has substantially implemented the Proposal.


In addition, the Proponent’s Letter misguidedly cites to *CVS Health Corp.* (Feb. 9, 2015, *recon. denied*, March 23, 2015) as “key staff precedent,” mistakenly arguing that

“CVS had asserted that the [c]ompany’s existing disclosures would allow shareholders to assess for themselves the issues of congruency should they choose to,” and the “same is true in the present instance.” The Proponent’s Letter, however, fails to acknowledge that in *CVS Health Corp.* – unlike in the No-Action Request – the company did not provide public disclosure of the alignment of such expenditures with the company’s corporate values. Instead, the company argued that it had substantially implemented the proposal because it published a list of the company’s political contributions and “established committees that analyze political contributions to ensure ... compliance with corporate values.” In contrast, as described in the No-Action Request, Pfizer has publicly disclosed how its political and electioneering expenditures align with Pfizer’s policy priorities. Therefore, contrary to the Proponent’s Letter, Pfizer’s shareholders do not need to “assess for themselves” the manner in which Pfizer’s political expenditures are aligned with its policies and values, as Pfizer already publicly discloses such information.

Further, there are significant distinctions between the instant Proposal and the proposal at issue in *CVS Health Corp.* Specifically, the proposal in *CVS Health Corp.* requested “a list of [political and electioneering] contributions occurring during the prior year which raise an issue of misalignment with corporate values, and ... the justification for such exceptions.” In contrast, the instant Proposal requests a report on how Pfizer’s political and electioneering contributions align with Pfizer’s values. Therefore, these are two very different proposals and *CVS Health Corp.* does not particularly inform whether Pfizer has substantially implemented the Proposal. As demonstrated in the No-Action Request, the Proposal is excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(10).

For the reasons stated above and in the No-Action Request, we respectfully request that the Staff concur that it will take no action if Pfizer excludes the Proposal from its 2021 proxy materials. Should the Staff disagree with the conclusions set forth in this letter, or should any additional information be desired in support of Pfizer’s position, we would appreciate the opportunity to confer with the Staff concerning these matters prior to the issuance of the Staff’s response. Please do not hesitate to contact me at (212) 733-3451 or Marc S. Gerber of Skadden Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP at (202) 371-7233.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Margaret M. Madden", with a stylized, flowing script.

Margaret M. Madden

Enclosures

cc: Ruth Shaber, MD
Tara Health Foundation

SANFORD J. LEWIS, ATTORNEY

January 15, 2021
Via electronic mail

Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549

Re: Shareholder Proposal to Pfizer Inc. Regarding Annual Report on Congruency of
Political Contributions on Behalf of Tara Health

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Tara Health Foundation (the "Proponent") is beneficial owner of common stock of Pfizer Inc. (the "Company") and has submitted a shareholder proposal (the "Proposal") to the Company. I have been asked by the Proponent to respond to the letter dated December 18, 2020 ("Company Letter") sent to the Securities and Exchange Commission by Margaret M. Madden. In that letter, the Company contends that the Proposal may be excluded from the Company's 2021 proxy statement.

I have reviewed the Proposal, as well as the Company Letter, and based upon the foregoing, as well as the relevant rules, the Proposal must be included in the Company's 2021 proxy materials and that it is not excludable under Rule 14a-8. A copy of this letter is being emailed concurrently to Margaret M. Madden.

SUMMARY

The proposal requests that Pfizer publish an annual report, at reasonable expense, analyzing the congruency of political and electioneering expenditures during the preceding year against publicly stated company values and policies. In the supporting statement proponents recommend that such report also contain management's analysis of risks to the company's brand, reputation, or shareholder value of expenditures in conflict with publicly stated company values. (Full proposal attached as Appendix.)

The Company Letter asserts that its existing actions, consisting of internal review of Company and PAC contributions, disclosures of the amount of PAC contributions, and acknowledgment of the *potential* for incongruities, constitutes substantial implementation of the Proposal. However, the company has fulfilled neither the guidelines nor the essential purpose of the proposal, and therefore the proposal is not excludable pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10).

While Company publishes certain information regarding political contributions, it offers no annual analysis, or otherwise, as requested by the proposal, of the board or management's analysis of instances of incongruency of political and electioneering expenditures during the preceding year against publicly stated Company values and policies.

As demonstrated by the current controversies relevant to the Company's political contributions, such a congruency analysis by the management is quite distinct from the company's generic statement that incongruities *may* happen, and is of vital interest to investors.

While political coffers may be supported by the company's donations because recipients advocate for some public policies that the company seeks, the same recipients may also engage in high-profile or contradictory behavior that undermines company values and that threatens the company's reputation or business interests.

The most prominent current issue is that some politicians contributed to by the Company may have supported the "Big Lie" that the 2020 presidential election was stolen, and taken other action in support of the January 6 attack on the Capitol building and related extralegal and norm-defying efforts to overturn the recent Presidential election. Many other companies have announced changes to their political contributions policies as a result of these developments, but the Company has not as yet explained the incongruency of supporting politicians who are promoting and inflaming dangerous conspiracy theories.

Similarly, several legislators supported by Pfizer donations have been leading advocates for severe abortion restriction measures in states. Such donations may both tarnish the Company's reputation, and even cut off markets for Company products used for medical (pharmaceutical) abortions for which the company is a leading provider.

It is appropriate for shareholders to request an annual report on the extent of values incongruities discovered in company political contributions, and midcourse corrections by the company.

Thus, the Company's argument that it has substantially implemented the proposal is inaccurate. It has neither implemented the guidelines nor essential purpose of the proposal.

ANALYSIS

I. The Proposal is not excludable pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10).

The Company argues that the Proposal may be excluded from the 2021 Proxy Materials under Rule 14a-8(i)(10). The Company argues that its internal policies for review of congruency prior to granting of contributions, and its publication of the amount of contributions made, together with an acknowledgment of the potential for incongruities, constitutes substantial implementation.

In order for the Company to meet its burden of proving substantial implementation pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10), it must show that its activities meet the guidelines and essential purpose of the Proposal. The Staff has noted that a determination that a company has substantially implemented a proposal depends upon whether a company's particular policies, practices, and procedures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal. *Texaco, Inc.* (Mar. 28, 1991). Substantial implementation under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) requires a company's actions to have satisfactorily

addressed *both* the proposal's guidelines and its essential objective. See, e.g., *Exelon Corp.* (Feb. 26, 2010).

Thus, when a company can demonstrate that it has already taken actions that meet most of the guidelines of a proposal and meet the proposal's essential purpose, the Staff has concurred that the proposal has been "substantially implemented." In the current instance, the Company has substantially fulfilled *neither* the guidelines nor the essential purpose of the Proposal.

The Company's Letter notably focuses on whether it has implemented the Proposal's essential objectives, no doubt because its "particular policies, practices and procedures" do not compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal.

A. The Proposal requires analysis of the last year's incongruities and trade association donations

The Proposal at its core requests that the company publish an annual report analyzing the congruency of political and electioneering expenditures during the preceding year against publicly stated company values and policies, with a further recommendation that such report also contain management's analysis of risks to our company's brand, reputation, or shareholder value of expenditures in conflict with publicly stated company values. The Company has done nothing to publish such an analysis. Further it does not substantially implement the proposal for the company to only disclose trade associations supported by the company that are required to report certain nondeductible expenditures

The Company Letter asserts that the Proposal is fulfilled by the publications on its website:

In particular, Pfizer publishes on its website an annual report titled the Pfizer PAC and Corporate Contributions Report (the "Report"). The Report lists the candidates and political committees supported by either Pfizer Inc. or the Pfizer political action committee (the "Pfizer PAC") and clearly explains Pfizer's rationale and motivation for making such political expenditures.

The Company Letter states that the Report indicates that the driving force behind Pfizer's political and electioneering expenditures is the "support [of] candidates from both political parties who value Pfizer's purpose to discover, develop and deliver breakthroughs that change patients' lives." This statement of the company's internal policy for deciding how to direct political contributions is not equivalent to a statement by management explaining glaring incongruities in donations, some of which might threaten to overwhelm the benefits to the company associated with company donations.

The Company Letter notes "Further, the Report acknowledges that politicians will have a range of views about policy that are both related and unrelated to Pfizer's business. While the Report identifies recipients of contributions who hold a diversity of political positions, it clearly states that contributions made to such recipients "[do] not imply an endorsement of a candidate's position on any social, religious or political issue."

While this language might provide the equivalent of a fine print legal disclaimer, it does not negate or respond to investor concern about the existence and impact of incongruent contributions, nor the need for an annual assessment.

The Company mischaracterizes the essential goal of the Proposal as ensuring that management is analyzing the congruencies between expenditures and corporate values, when it is clear that the essential purpose of the Proposal is to ensure *transparency* regarding such analyses. The Proposal is not satisfied by the current practice by which the Company makes seemingly incongruent contribution decisions behind closed doors, and then does not publicly acknowledge and assess the incongruencies.

B. Examples of Unexplained Incongruencies

While the Company may support politicians who advance company-supportive policies on some matters, if those same politicians are well known as leaders of policy initiatives that directly undercut company interests or values, investors may appropriately ask for an explanation of whether support for those individuals is appropriate and congruent and could reasonably seek explanation from management.

The Company implies that disclosing its internal review process and acknowledging the potential for incongruencies qualifies as implementation of the proposal's essential objective. But review of numerous unexplained political contributions which are in direct contrast to company policies and values demonstrates the continued need of investors for the report sought by the proposal, and demonstrates the need and value for an annual review to assess the extent of incongruencies, and to make midcourse corrections.

1. The attack on the Capitol and on democratic norms

Currently, a top issue for many US companies is whether their contributions to politicians have inadvertently supported the January 6 attack on the US Capitol, as well as the underlying attempt of some legislators to advance the Big Lie told by Donald Trump and his radical supporters that the presidential election was stolen, despite extensive testing and rejection of that theory in the courts.

One report calculates that Pfizer gave \$405,500 to members of Congress who voted to challenge the electoral college vote.¹ Numerous other companies have stated that they are suspending political contributions in light of the recent developments² but we are not aware of any such statements from Pfizer other than a reported statement from the company's chief executive calling the events "deeply disturbing."³ The National Association of Manufacturers, of which Pfizer is a member, also posted a statement encouraging removal of Trump from office and

¹ See <https://mkus3lurbh3lbztg254fzode-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/Bankrolling-the-Disenfranchisers.pdf>

² <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/11/business/dealbook/corporate-political-donations.html>

³ <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/09/business/national-association-manufacturers-trump.html>

noting:

Armed violent protestors who support the baseless claim by outgoing president Trump that he somehow won an election that he overwhelmingly lost have stormed the U.S. Capitol today, attacking police officers and first responders, because Trump refused to accept defeat in a free and fair election. Throughout this whole disgusting episode, Trump has been cheered on by members of his own party, adding fuel to the distrust that has enflamed violent anger. This is not law and order. This is chaos. It is mob rule. It is dangerous. This is sedition and should be treated as such. The outgoing president incited violence in an attempt to retain power, and any elected leader defending him is violating their oath to the Constitution and rejecting democracy in favor of anarchy. **Anyone indulging conspiracy theories to raise campaign dollars is complicit.**⁴ [emphasis added]

In light of this statement by the Company's own trade association, the congruency analysis requested by the report seems an essential first step to making corrections in where its donations are directed.

Moreover, the same disinformation environment that is propagating false information about a stolen presidential election is also promoting misinformation about vaccines, and may even be discouraging the public from getting vaccinated, even with the Company's vaccines. The proponent believes that the Company owes its investors a careful accounting as to whether it has inadvertently supported leaders of conspiracy theories that run against Company values and interests.

2. Health Insurance Coverage

Pfizer has stated that "Expanded access to health insurance coverage will help ensure that patients with under-diagnosed and undertreated conditions are able to address them; and that those who will benefit from Pfizer medicines are better able to have access to them." Yet the report *Conflicted Consequences* revealed that in 2018, Pfizer was a top contributor to a 527 organization that has been leading efforts to strike down the Affordable Care Act, which has made prescription drugs more accessible for millions of Americans.⁵

3. Access To Contraceptives and Abortion

Pfizer manufactures contraceptives and a drug commonly prescribed for use as an abortifacient. The contradictory and potentially self-defeating impact of Pfizer's support for anti-choice politicians has been noted in media coverage.⁶

⁴ <https://www.nam.org/manufacturers-call-on-armed-thugs-to-cease-violence-at-capitol-11628/?stream=series-press-releases>

⁵ <https://politicalaccountability.net/hifi/files/Conflicted-Consequences.pdf>

⁶ <https://www.statnews.com/pharmalot/2019/07/24/merck-pfizer-jnj-abortion-republicans/> and "These six corporations are financing the war on women in six states," *Popular Info*, May 20, 2019 at

Yet *Conflicted Consequences* notes that Pfizer was a top contributor to a 527 organization that funds state legislators' efforts to implement extreme anti-abortion measures. The 2019 report *Funding the Bans* estimated that Pfizer contributed at least \$206,725 to politicians and committees supporting extreme state-level abortion bans, including \$195,825 to the Republican State Leadership committee (2018), \$2500 to Georgia politicians (2017) and \$7500 to Alabama politicians (2017, 2018).⁷

Medication-based abortion currently comprises roughly 41% of all abortions at 8 weeks' gestation or less.⁸ Cytotec, a Pfizer product is registered in more than 80 countries, was the first misoprostol product on the market and is the most widely available. Misoprostol stimulates strong contractions of the uterus, expelling the products of conception.⁹

The proponent estimates that in the last three election cycles, Pfizer and its employee PACs have made political donations totaling at least \$8.4 million to politicians and political organizations working to weaken women's access to reproductive health care.

4. Climate Change

Pfizer has committed to achieving science-based greenhouse gas reduction targets, yet is a member of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, which has consistently lobbied to roll back specific US climate regulations and promote regulatory frameworks that would slow the transition towards a low GHG emissions energy mix. This raises questions about whether Pfizer is also supporting electioneering efforts that conflict with its environmental commitments.

5. Trade Associations

The trade associations that the company participates in and to through which the company channels substantial funds for lobbying include the US Chamber of Commerce (\$383,000 in 2019) and PhRMA (\$2.7 million in 2019). Although these sums are disclosed on the company's website, the substantial likelihood that the US Chamber in particular engages in lobbying that contradicts the company's ostensible policy positions are quite substantial.

As the *Economist* notes:

In a letter to a Philip Morris executive just after he took over, Mr. Donohue [of the US Chamber of Commerce] said that small firms “provide the foot soldiers, and often the political cover, for issues big companies want pursued,” because Congress listens more to them than to big business.

<https://popular.info/p/these-six-corporations-are-financing>.

⁷ https://equityfwd.org/sites/default/files/equity_forward_funding_the_bans_report_august_2019.pdf

⁸ Kaiser Family Foundation, Availability and Use of Medication Abortion, June 8, 2020.

⁹ Reproductive Health Supplies Coalition product brief.

That is not the only cover the Chamber provides. Oil and drug companies, among others, use it as a proxy through which to pursue their less popular causes anonymously, avoiding the pillorying they might incur if they spoke up directly.

Mr. Donohue...once told the Washington Monthly: ... “I want to give [members] all the deniability they need.”

The black-box nature of the Chamber makes deniability easier. As a “501(c)(6)” non-profit, it has to list all donations over \$5,000 but not the names of the givers. Its latest tax filing, for 2010, includes dozens of pages of individual contributions, each with a blank in the “name” field. (Only a handful of companies have voluntarily published their contributions.) Donations of \$1m or more accounted for over half of total contributions, suggesting that large firms dominate its funding.¹⁰

Concerns have been raised regarding positions taken by trade organizations that contradict an individual company’s policy positions, espoused values and public profiles. For instance, companies may assert they are giving priority to solving climate change, while simultaneously supporting trade groups that oppose legislative or regulatory climate change solutions. A pharmaceutical company like Pfizer may find that by supporting the US Chamber of Commerce, they are indirectly lobbying in defense of tobacco even though such positions undermine public health.

C. The Company’s existing reports do not fulfill the Proposal

The existing reports from the Company do not analyze the incongruity of these exemplary donations. Proponents believe that Pfizer should establish policies and reporting systems that minimize risk to the firm's reputation and brand by providing an accounting of any possible missteps in corporate electioneering and political spending in the prior year that are in contrast to its stated healthcare and environmental objectives, and ensuring midcourse corrections when needed.

Under the framework of the Proposal, it does not rest with the Proponent to determine which donations were incongruent; rather it rests with the company to explain the incongruity of specific donations, and what overriding considerations cause it to provide donations despite incongruent voting records of some donation recipients.

The Proponent believes that these examples illustrate that while the Company may have put in place evaluation procedures in advance of making political contributions, the Company has not implemented the needed publication of an analysis explaining its assessments of congruency and whether and where it is making exceptions in contributions between its stated values priorities and the contributions to political candidates and campaigns.

¹⁰ <http://www.economist.com/node/21553020>

D. Review of Staff precedents confirms that failure to publish a core analysis requested by a Proposal, especially on political contributions precludes substantial implementation.

The Staff has confirmed repeatedly that proposals will not be excluded despite a claim of substantial implementation if a core analysis requested by the proposal has not been performed and published.

The courts have long acknowledged the challenges posed by the corporate form that board and management might use the corporate treasury to advance their own political predilections, and therefore the right of shareholders to weigh in and demand transparency. For instance, in *Medical Committee for Human Rights v. SEC*, 432 F.2d 659 (D.C. Cir. 1985) in which the D.C. Circuit Court found that shareholder proposals are proper (not ordinary business) when they raise issues of corporate social responsibility or question the unaccountable exercise of "political and moral predilections" of board or management in the management of the company.

In more recent years, this responsibility and right of shareholders was amplified and echoed by Justice Anthony Kennedy in *Citizens United*, who described the need and potential for shareholders to hold their companies accountable for misdirected corporate political spending. The *Citizens United* majority wrote that the rights of shareholders dissenting to political spending by board and management would be protected "through the procedures of corporate democracy." *Citizens United*, 558 U.S. 310 (2010).

Since *Citizens United*, institutional and individual investors and coalitions have recognized their responsibility to monitor political spending transparency and to demand disclosure across all publicly traded companies. A rulemaking petition to the SEC for standardized mandatory disclosure of corporate political spending, including disclosure of trade association funding and other lobbying initiatives, received a record level of support: more than 1.2 million comment letters have been submitted on the petition, the vast majority in support of the proposed rule.¹¹

Key precedent: CVS Health Corporation

A key staff precedent is *CVS Health Corporation* (February 9, 2015, recon denied, March 23, 2015) where the company made similar assertions on a very similar proposal requesting a report on congruency between the corporate values and electioneering contributions. CVS had asserted that the Company's existing disclosures would allow shareholders to assess for themselves the issues of congruency should they choose to. ***Proponents successfully argued that since the essential purpose of the Proposal is for the management to publish its own analysis of the congruency of its donations and to explain the exceptions made, the Company's actions fail to constitute substantial implementation for purposes of Rule 14a-8(i)(10). The same is true in the present instance.***

¹¹ <https://corpgov.law.harvard.edu/2014/09/04/the-million-comment-letter-petition-the-rulemaking-petition-on-disclosure-of-political-spending-attracts-more-than-1000000-sec-comment-letters/>

Strict scrutiny of substantial implementation in proposals on political contributions

The staff has used rigorous standards in assessing substantial implementation on proposals relating to political contributions and lobbying, because these are issues that are of major concern to many investors, and implicated by *Citizens United* as issues meriting engagement through the “instruments of shareholder democracy.”

Investors have frequently asserted in recent years that in light of the Supreme Court ruling of *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, and ongoing public backlash against corporate political spending, disclosure of how companies are managing these issues and risks of merits rigorous and comparable disclosure for shareholders to assess potential exposure to risks caused by our future electioneering contributions.

Numerous efforts by companies to claim substantial implementation of political spending disclosure proposals when the companies had only done disclosure that partially fulfilled the request have been rejected by the Staff. For example, see *NextEra Energy, Inc.* (February 24, 2020); *Exxon Mobil Corp.* (April 2, 2019); *Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.* (March 14, 2013); *EQT Corp.* (January 23, 2013); *NIKE Inc.* (July 5, 2012); *Southwestern Energy Co.* (March 15, 2011); *The Boeing Co.* (February 14, 2011); *Citigroup Inc.* (March 9, 2007); *Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.* (February 18, 2005); *Exxon Mobil Corp.* (March 5, 2004); *Wells Fargo Co.* (February 11, 2004).

The decision in *Southwestern Energy* (March 15, 2011) illustrates why the Company cannot successfully assert substantial implementation without meeting the Proposal's disclosure guidelines. Southwestern Energy had asserted substantial implementation of a political contributions disclosure proposal that followed a similar model to the current Proposal, including accounting of direct and indirect expenditures. However, Southwestern Energy only disclosed direct expenditures and therefore the SEC Staff found that the proposal was not excludable. Similarly, in the present case, the Company's reporting does not fulfill the request of the proposal to report “Payments by Dominion... in each case including the amount of the payment and the recipient.”

Failure of the Company to provide a coordinated and comprehensive disclosure is a basis for finding lack of substantial implementation. The Company's current reporting model requires anyone who wishes to obtain information on the Company's lobbying expenditures to search out the information on various websites on the Internet, and thereby gather the information that would be contained in a report requested by the Proposal.

The Company cites *General Electric* (February 24, 2011) in support of exclusion, but in that case the company had addressed the essential objective of each guideline of the proposal regarding lobbying activities through public disclosures on its own website. General Electric successfully argued for reconsideration, because it was able to go through each of the points in the proposal and show how it had been essentially implemented. This is not the case with the current Proposal, where the Company has not provided the information on its website for at least two of the four elements of the Proposal. Thus, the present matter is more like *Nike, Inc.* (July 5, 2012) where Nike's failure to provide a breakdown of itemized political contributions, as was requested

in that proposal, led the SEC Staff to find that the company had not substantially implemented the proposal.

Many other Staff precedents demonstrate the need for a company to do more than report on its policies or expenditures where the guidelines of the proposal and essential purpose require more.

For instance, in *McDonalds Corp.* (March 14, 2012) the proposal requested the board issue a report assessing the company's policy responses to growing evidence of linkages between fast food and childhood obesity, diet related diseases and other impacts on children's health. The proposal also specified that the report should include an assessment of the potential impacts of public concerns and evolving public policy on the company's finances and operations. The company's substantial implementation argument was rejected, even though the company may have internally or implicitly conducted some of the assessments requested by the Proposal. Its reporting to shareholders did not fulfill the guidelines of the Proposal in disclosure of an assessment.

Another example shows that publishing related information from which shareholders might undertake their own analysis is not equivalent to publishing the requested analysis. In *Verizon Communications, Inc.* (February 5, 2013) the proposal requested that the company's board of directors' report on how Verizon is responding to regulatory, competitive, legislative and public pressure to ensure that its network management policies and practices support network neutrality, an Open Internet and the social values described in the proposal. Even though the company was able to cite a variety of internal management policies located on its website regarding net neutrality, the actions reported did not include the requested analysis by the board directed to shareholders.

Similarly, in *Alpha Natural Resources, Inc.* (March 19, 2013) the proposal requested that the company prepare a report on the company's goals and plans to address global concerns regarding fossil fuels and their contribution to climate change, including analysis of long- and short-term financial and operational risks to the company and society. The Staff did not find substantial implementation where the company had failed to disclose any analysis of long and short term financial and operational risks to the company and society.

In addition, numerous other company attempts to exclude proposals under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) have failed where the company has provided public disclosure of some, but not all, of the elements of reporting requested. See for instance *Marathon Oil Corporation* (January 22, 2013); *Dominion Resources, Inc.* (February 28, 2014), *NIKE, Inc.* (July 5, 2012) (requesting reports on lobbying or political contributions and expenditures).

The Company Letter cites a series of precedents for finding substantial implementation of a proposal that addresses the issues in a proposal but in a manner that fall short of what is requested by the proposal. Examination of the most relevant of precedents cited by the company demonstrates that they are inapposite, due to the facts of the cases.

Notably, the company cites a decision of 2020 in which it was allowed to exclude a proposal on

substantial implementation. In *Pfizer Inc.* (Jan 31, 2020) the Staff allowed exclusion (without issuing a written decision) of a proposal requesting that Pfizer Inc.'s ("Company") Board adopt a disclosure policy that provides a description of the specific minimum qualifications that the Board's nominating committee believes must be met by a nominee to be on the Board of Directors and discloses each nominee's skills, ideological perspectives, and experience presented in a chart or a matrix form.

The company's argument that the proposal was substantially implemented was based on the Company's Corporate Governance Principles and definitive proxy statement listed on their website. These materials include a description of the specific minimum qualifications that the Board committee believes must be met by a nominee - this satisfies the first prong. Secondly, Section 6 of the Principles, known as Selection Criteria. This section expresses Board's view that the selection should ensure that the Board, "maintains its diverse composition, with diversity reflecting gender, age, race, ethnicity, background, professional experience and perspectives." Further, the Proxy statement discloses in chart and graphical form information regarding each nominee's skill set and experience. These attributes include experiences in government and public policy – this satisfies the second prong. Thus, the company in that instance had fulfilled the guidelines and essential purpose of the proposal to provide particular descriptive materials regarding criteria for diversity and background on its board members.

In contrast, the Company has not fulfilled the guidelines are essential purpose of the current proposal for an annual in congruency assessment.¹²

Pharmaceutical sector's heightened concerns

As a pharmaceutical company, political contributions and congruency concerns are heightened. In the pharmaceutical sector, these issues are of heightened concern due to the sector's status as one of the biggest spenders in lobbying and political contributions. The pharmaceutical industry through its trade associations as well as individual firms spends "far more than any other industry to influence politicians."¹³ The pharma industry is the largest lobbyist in the country *spending more than tobacco for a number of years.*

Moreover, this high visibility perch as the big spending sector is undermining pharmaceutical

¹² Similarly, in *Johnson & Johnson*. (Jan 31, 2020) the Staff allowed exclusion (without a written decision) of the same proposal on essentially the same basis. Company's Principles of Corporate Governance and definitive proxy statement listed on their website. These materials state:

1. General Criteria for Nomination to the Board of Directors of Johnson & Johnson - This satisfies the first prong.
2. The Proxy statement discloses information about the Board's current composition in graphical representations disclosing certain elements of diversity and disclosing director skills and experiences, including, "International business strategy, regulatory, healthcare industry, science/technology and academia/government experience". – This satisfies the second prong.

¹³ <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2017/oct/19/big-pharma-money-lobbying-us-opioid-crisis>

sector companies' reputation with the consuming public. For instance, the journal *Nature Biotechnology* published an article in 2014 about the pharmaceutical industry's flagging reputation and consumer mistrust:

In the United States, big business has an increasingly long reach into policymaking in Washington, DC. As large corporations, US drug companies spend more than any other sector on lobbying each year: \$234 million in 2012, according to the Center for Responsive Politics (CRP), a nonprofit research group in Washington, DC. Prominent companies have sought to influence the outcome of elections through campaign donations and the activities of elected legislators. It is doubtful that the public perceives this lobbying power as fostering patient interests over industry profits.

* * *

The public's trust in big pharma is likely to worsen unless both individual companies and the industry sector as a whole make a concerted effort to address the fundamental problems that are eroding reputation. Rebuilding this lost reputation will be difficult and will take years. In addition, as the reputation of a single company is affected by the actions of others in the same industry, rebuilding reputations in an industry that is itself declining will be even more arduous.¹⁴

Forbes has also written about the flagging reputation of the pharmaceutical sector:

In terms of reputation, the pharma industry was 7th of the 8 healthcare sectors evaluated. Only 34% of respondents gave pharma a "good" or "excellent" rating for reputation. Pharma trailed retail pharmacists (62%), medical device companies (50%), private healthcare services (46%), biotechs (44%), not-for-profit health insurers (39%) and generic drug makers (37%). Only for-profit health insurers trailed pharma with 24%

What is driving this negative view of pharma from a patient's perspective? The patient groups listed a number of areas where pharma was rated as having a "poor" record including:

- 1) a lack of fair pricing policies leading to unseemly profits (50%);
- 2) a lack of transparency in all corporate activities (48%);
- 3) management of adverse event news (37%);
- 4) acting with integrity (32%).¹⁵


¹⁴ Mark Kessel, "Restoring the pharmaceutical industry's reputation, Big pharma's storehouse of trouble has fostered consumer mistrust and a negative view of the industry. How does the industry go about restoring its flagging reputation?" *Nature Biotechnology* 32, 983-990 (2014)

¹⁵ John LaMattina, Pharma's Reputation Continues to Suffer -- What Can Be Done To Fix It?, *Forbes*, JAN 18, 2013 P.

CONCLUSION

The Company has not met its burden that the Proposal is excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(10). Therefore, we request that the Staff inform the Company that the SEC proxy rules require denial of the Company's no-action request. Please call me at (413) 549-7333 with respect to any questions in connection with this matter, or if the Staff wishes any further information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Sanford Lewis", written over a light gray circular background.

Sanford Lewis

cc: Margaret M. Madden

THE PROPOSAL

Whereas:

The Pfizer Inc. Board's Governance and Sustainability Committee is responsible for "maintain[ing] an informed status an the Company's issues related to public policy, including political spending policies and practices, through...periodic reports from management; and [for] monitor[ing] emerging issues potentially affecting the reputation of the pharmaceutical industry and the Company." Company policy states that "political contributions are made to support the election of candidates, political parties and committees that support public policies important to the industry, such as innovation and access to medicines."

However, Pfizer's politically focused expenditures appear to be misaligned with the company's values and interests.

- Pfizer has stated that "Expanded access to health insurance coverage will help ensure that patients with under-diagnosed and undertreated conditions are able to address them; and that those who will benefit from Pfizer medicines are better able to have access to them." Yet the report *Conflicted Consequences* revealed that in 2018, Pfizer was a top contributor to a 527 organization that has been leading efforts to strike down the Affordable Care Act, which has made prescription drugs more accessible for millions of Americans.
- Pfizer manufactures contraceptives and a drug commonly prescribed for use as an abortifacient. Yet the above-cited report notes that Pfizer was a top contributor to a 527 organization that funds state legislators' efforts to implement extreme anti-abortion measures. The 2019 report *Funding the Bans* estimated that Pfizer donated more than \$50,000 to politicians in six states responsible for that year's wave of abortion bans. The proponent estimates that in the last three election cycles, Pfizer and its employee PACs have made political donations totaling at least \$8.4 million to politicians and political organizations working to weaken women's access to reproductive health care.
- Pfizer has committed to achieving science-based greenhouse gas reduction targets, yet is a member of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, which has consistently lobbied to roll back specific US climate regulations and promote regulatory frameworks that would slow the transition towards a low GHG emissions energy mix. This raises questions about whether Pfizer is also supporting electioneering efforts that conflict with its environmental commitments.

Proponents believe that Pfizer should establish policies and reporting systems that minimize risk to the firm's reputation and brand by addressing possible missteps in corporate electioneering and political spending that are in contrast to its stated healthcare and environmental objectives.



Margaret M. Madden
Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary
Chief Governance Counsel

Pfizer Inc. – Legal Division
235 East 42nd Street, New York, NY 10017
Tel 212 733 3451 Fax 646 563 9681
margaret.m.madden@pfizer.com

BY EMAIL (shareholderproposals@sec.gov)

December 18, 2020

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Division of Corporation Finance
Office of Chief Counsel
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549

RE: Pfizer Inc. – 2021 Annual Meeting
Omission of Shareholder Proposal of Tara Health Foundation

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are writing pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), to request that the Staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the “Staff”) of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) concur with our view that, for the reasons stated below, Pfizer Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Pfizer”), may exclude the shareholder proposal and supporting statement (the “Proposal”) submitted by Tara Health Foundation (the “Proponent”) from the proxy materials to be distributed by Pfizer in connection with its 2021 annual meeting of shareholders (the “2021 proxy materials”).

In accordance with Section C of Staff Legal Bulletin No. 14D (Nov. 7, 2008) (“SLB 14D”), we are emailing this letter and its attachments to the Staff at shareholderproposals@sec.gov. In accordance with Rule 14a-8(j), we are simultaneously sending a copy of this letter and its attachments to the Proponent as notice of Pfizer’s intent to omit the Proposal from the 2021 proxy materials.

Rule 14a-8(k) and Section E of SLB 14D provide that shareholder proponents are required to send companies a copy of any correspondence that the shareholder proponents elect to submit to the Commission or the Staff. Accordingly, we are taking this opportunity to remind the Proponent that if the Proponent submits correspondence to the Commission or the Staff with respect to the Proposal, a copy of that correspondence should concurrently be furnished to the undersigned.

I. The Proposal

The text of the resolution contained in the Proposal is set forth below:

Resolved:

Pfizer publish an annual report, at reasonable expense, analyzing the congruency of political and electioneering expenditures during the preceding year against publicly stated company values and policies.

II. Basis for Exclusion

We hereby respectfully request that the Staff concur with Pfizer's view that the Proposal may be excluded from the 2021 proxy materials pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) because Pfizer has substantially implemented the Proposal.

III. Background

Pfizer received the Proposal, accompanied by a cover letter from the Proponent, on November 12, 2020. Copies of the Proposal, the cover letter, the Broker Letter and related correspondence are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

IV. The Proposal May be Excluded Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) Because Pfizer has Substantially Implemented the Proposal.

Rule 14a-8(i)(10) permits a company to exclude a shareholder proposal if the company has already substantially implemented the proposal. The Commission adopted the "substantially implemented" standard in 1983 after determining that the "previous formalistic application" of the rule defeated its purpose, which is to "avoid the possibility of shareholders having to consider matters which already have been favorably acted upon by the management." See Exchange Act Release No. 34-20091 (Aug. 16, 1983) ("1983 Release") and Exchange Act Release No. 34-12598 (July 7, 1976). Accordingly, the actions requested by a proposal need not be "fully effected" provided that they have been "substantially implemented" by the company. See 1983 Release.

Applying this standard, the Staff has consistently permitted the exclusion of a proposal when it has determined that the company's policies, practices and procedures or public disclosures compare favorably with the guidelines of the proposal. See, e.g., *Devon Energy Corp.* (Apr. 1, 2020)*; *Johnson & Johnson* (Jan. 31, 2020)*; *Pfizer Inc.* (Jan. 31, 2020)*; *The Allstate Corp.* (Mar. 15, 2019); *Johnson & Johnson* (Feb. 6, 2019); *United Cont'l Holdings, Inc.* (Apr. 13, 2018); *eBay Inc.* (Mar. 29, 2018); *Kewaunee Scientific Corp.*

* Citations marked with an asterisk indicate Staff decisions issued without a letter.

(May 31, 2017); *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* (Mar. 16, 2017); *Dominion Resources, Inc.* (Feb. 9, 2016); *Ryder System, Inc.* (Feb. 11, 2015); *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.* (Mar. 27, 2014).

In addition, the Staff has permitted exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) where a company already addressed the underlying concerns and satisfied the essential objective of the proposal, even if the proposal had not been implemented exactly as proposed by the proponent. For example, in *Exelon Corp.* (Feb. 26, 2010), the Staff permitted exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of a proposal requesting that the company prepare a report disclosing its policies and procedures for political contributions and its monetary and non-monetary political contributions. In arguing that the proposal had been substantially implemented, the company referenced its political contributions guidelines and report, which provided information regarding the company's political contributions policies and procedures and monetary and non-monetary political contributions. Although the actions taken by the company may not have been exactly as envisaged by the proponent, the Staff concluded that the company had substantially implemented the proposal. Similarly, in *PG&E Corp.* (Mar. 10, 2010), the Staff permitted exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of a proposal requesting that the company provide a report disclosing, among other things, the company's standards for choosing the organizations to which the company makes charitable contributions and specifically asked for disclosure of the "business rationale and purpose for each of the charitable contributions." In arguing that the proposal had been substantially implemented, the company referred to a website where the company had described its policies and guidelines for determining the types of grants that it makes and the types of requests that the company typically does not fund. Although the proposal appeared to contemplate disclosure of each and every charitable contribution, the Staff concluded that the company had substantially implemented the proposal. *See also, e.g., The Wendy's Co.* (Apr. 10, 2019) (permitting exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of a proposal requesting a report assessing human rights risks of the company's operations, including the principles and methodology used to make the assessment, the frequency of assessment and how the company would use the assessment's results, where the company had a code of ethics and a code of conduct for suppliers and disclosed on its website the frequency and methodology of its human rights risk assessments); *Alcoa Inc.* (Feb. 3, 2009) (permitting exclusion under Rule 14a-8(i)(10) of a proposal requesting a report that describes how the company's actions to reduce its impact on global climate change may have altered the current and future global climate, where the company published general reports on climate change, sustainability and emissions data on its website).

In this case, Pfizer has substantially implemented the Proposal, the essential objective of which is to obtain a report concerning the congruency of Pfizer's political and electioneering expenditures relative to its publicly stated values and policies. In this regard, the Proposal's preamble expresses the view that "Pfizer's politically focused expenditures appear to be misaligned with the company's values and interests" and the concern that Pfizer's "corporate electioneering and political spending ... are in contrast to its stated ...

objectives.” As described below, Pfizer already publicly discloses how its political and electioneering expenditures align with its values and policies.

In particular, Pfizer publishes on its website an annual report titled the Pfizer PAC and Corporate Contributions Report (the “Report”). The Report lists the candidates and political committees supported by either Pfizer Inc. or the Pfizer political action committee (the “Pfizer PAC”) and clearly explains Pfizer’s rationale and motivation for making such political expenditures.¹ In this regard, the Report indicates that the driving force behind Pfizer’s political and electioneering expenditures is the “support [of] candidates from both political parties who value Pfizer’s purpose to discover, develop and deliver breakthroughs that change patients’ lives.” Pfizer also explains in the Report how its political and electioneering expenditures align with Pfizer’s policy priorities, stating that in making the “decision to contribute to any elected official,” Pfizer’s “policy priorities include the protection of intellectual property, supporting a patient centric healthcare system that enables access and encourages innovation, protecting patients from dangerous insurance barriers and counterfeit medicines, protecting Medicare Part D and ensuring patients have modern insurance that includes affordable out-of-pocket costs.” The Report, therefore, clearly describes the manner in which Pfizer’s political expenditures are aligned with its values and policies.

Further, the Report acknowledges that politicians will have a range of views about policy that are both related and unrelated to Pfizer’s business. While the Report identifies recipients of contributions who hold a diversity of political positions, it clearly states that contributions made to such recipients “[do] not imply an endorsement of a candidate’s position on any social, religious or political issue.” Instead of focusing on candidates’ political views concerning matters unrelated to Pfizer’s business, the Report states that Pfizer’s “decision to contribute to any elected official is made based on ethical, responsible, and just policies that protect innovation incentives and patients’ access to breakthrough medicines and vaccines.”

The Report also describes Pfizer’s corporate policy requiring “all PAC and corporate political contributions to be compiled and published annually in a report that is made available to employees, shareholders, and the public, and posted on the Company’s website.” In addition, the Report describes that Pfizer requests trade associations receiving \$100,000 or more from Pfizer in a given year report to Pfizer the portion of Pfizer dues or payments used for certain non-deductible expenditures, which amounts Pfizer discloses in the Report.

Moreover, the Report describes the PAC Steering Committee’s process of “evaluat[ing] candidates on a basis of their views on the issues that impact Pfizer and its

¹ See Pfizer PAC and Corporate Contributions Report, available at https://www.pfizer.com/about/corporate_governance/political_action_committee_report and attached hereto as Exhibit B.

colleagues” and “tak[ing] note on one’s voting record on policies critical to Pfizer’s purpose.”

In addition, the Report describes that Pfizer traditionally does not make contributions to 527 Issue Organizations and that to the extent Pfizer does make those expenditures, such expenditures “would have to be reviewed and approved by the Political Contributions Policy Committee and subsequently disclosed. The Report also states that Pfizer “has determined that it will not make any direct independent expenditures.”

Given the extensive disclosure in the Report, Pfizer has publicly disclosed how its political expenditures align with Pfizer’s values and policies. Therefore, Pfizer has satisfied the Proposal’s essential objective – obtaining a report assessing the congruency of Pfizer’s political expenditures with its values and policies – and thus its public disclosures compare favorably with those requested by the Proposal.


Accordingly, consistent with the precedent described above, Pfizer believes that the Proposal may be excluded from its 2021 proxy materials pursuant to Rule 14a-8(i)(10) as substantially implemented.

V. Conclusion

Based upon the foregoing analysis, we respectfully request that the Staff concur that it will take no action if Pfizer excludes the Proposal from its 2021 proxy materials.

Should the Staff disagree with the conclusions set forth in this letter, or should any additional information be desired in support of Pfizer’s position, we would appreciate the opportunity to confer with the Staff concerning these matters prior to the issuance of the Staff’s response. Please do not hesitate to contact me at (212) 733-3451 or Marc S. Gerber of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP at (202) 371-7233.

Very truly yours,



Margaret M. Madden

Enclosures

cc: Ruth Shaber, MD
Tara Health Foundation

EXHIBIT A

(see attached)



TARA
HEALTH FOUNDATION

November 11, 2020

Margaret M. Madden
Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Chief Governance Counsel
Pfizer Inc.
235 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017

Dear Ms. Madden:

Tara Health Foundation is the long term beneficial owner of more than \$2,000 of Pfizer Inc. common stock, which it acquired more than one year prior to today's date and has held continuously for this time (we will submit a verification of the holding separately).

In accordance with Rule 14a-8 of the General Rules and Regulations of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-8), as president of Tara Health Foundation, I am submitting the enclosed shareholder proposal for inclusion in the 2021 proxy statement. The proposal requests that our company prepare a report analyzing the congruency of political and electioneering expenditures during the preceding year against publicly stated company values and policies.

Tara Health Foundation will remain invested in this position continuously through the date of the 2021 annual meeting. We will send a representative to the stockholders' meeting to move the shareholder proposal as required by the SEC rules.

We would welcome discussion with you about the contents of our proposal. On behalf of Tara Health Foundation, I grant Shelley Alpern at Rhia Ventures full authority to deal with any and all aspects of the aforementioned proposal. I understand that the Foundation may be identified in the 2021 proxy statement as the proponent of the proposal.

Please direct any written communications to both me at rshaber@tarahealthfoundation.org and Shelley Alpern shelley@rhiaventures.org. Please also confirm receipt of this letter via email.

Sincerely,

Ruth Shaber, MD
c/o Tara Health Foundation
47 Kearny Street
San Francisco, CA 94108

Cc: Shelley Alpern, Rhia Ventures

Enclosures

Whereas:

The Pfizer Inc. Board's Governance and Sustainability Committee is responsible for "maintain[ing] an informed status on the Company's issues related to public policy, including political spending policies and practices, through...periodic reports from management; and [for] monitor[ing] emerging issues potentially affecting the reputation of the pharmaceutical industry and the Company." Company policy states that "political contributions are made to support the election of candidates, political parties and committees that support public policies important to the industry, such as innovation and access to medicines."

However, Pfizer's politically focused expenditures appear to be misaligned with the company's values and interests.

- Pfizer has stated that "Expanded access to health insurance coverage will help ensure that patients with under-diagnosed and undertreated conditions are able to address them; and that those who will benefit from Pfizer medicines are better able to have access to them." Yet the report *Conflicted Consequences* revealed that in 2018, Pfizer was a top contributor to a 527 organization that has been leading efforts to strike down the Affordable Care Act, which has made prescription drugs more accessible for millions of Americans.
- Pfizer manufactures contraceptives and a drug commonly prescribed for use as an abortifacient. Yet the above-cited report notes that Pfizer was a top contributor to a 527 organization that funds state legislators' efforts to implement extreme anti-abortion measures. The 2019 report *Funding the Bans* estimated that Pfizer donated more than \$50,000 to politicians in six states responsible for that year's wave of abortion bans. The proponent estimates that in the last three election cycles, Pfizer and its employee PACs have made political donations totaling at least \$8.4 million to politicians and political organizations working to weaken women's access to reproductive health care.
- Pfizer has committed to achieving science-based greenhouse gas reduction targets, yet is a member of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, which has consistently lobbied to roll back specific US climate regulations and promote regulatory frameworks that would slow the transition towards a low GHG emissions energy mix. This raises questions about whether Pfizer is also supporting electioneering efforts that conflict with its environmental commitments.

Proponents believe that Pfizer should establish policies and reporting systems that minimize risk to the firm's reputation and brand by addressing possible missteps in corporate electioneering and political spending that are in contrast to its stated healthcare and environmental objectives.

Resolved:

Pfizer publish an annual report, at reasonable expense, analyzing the congruency of political and electioneering expenditures during the preceding year against publicly stated company values and policies.

Supporting Statement:

Proponents recommend that such report also contain management's analysis of risks to our company's brand, reputation, or shareholder value of expenditures in conflict with publicly stated company values. "Expenditures for electioneering communications" means spending, from the corporate treasury and from the PACs, directly or through a third party, at any time during the year, on printed, internet or broadcast communications, which are reasonably susceptible to interpretation as in support of or opposition to a specific candidate.

EXHIBIT B

(see attached)

Pfizer PAC

Leading the Conversation.



Pfizer PAC and Corporate Political Contributions Report

January 2019 – December 2019

U.S. Government Relations & Pfizer PAC

Leading the Conversation and Making an Impact

A Message from Sally Susman & Rady Johnson, Co-Chairs, Pfizer PAC

We are pleased to share our annual 2019 election cycle political contributions report, which includes a list of candidates and political committees supported either by Pfizer Inc. or the Pfizer PAC from January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019.

Like our Pfizer employees, we are proud that the Pfizer and Pfizer PAC are bipartisan; we contribute to candidates of both political parties and we do not contribute to Presidential candidates or super PACs. Pfizer PAC and Pfizer Inc. supported 1,349 candidates and multiple political committees at all levels of the government during the 2019 election cycle. Of the candidates our PAC supported who were up for election in 2019, 89.3% were elected or re-elected. Fifty-four percent of the Pfizer PAC disbursements went to Republicans and 46% went to Democrats. We continue to advance our purpose to bring breakthroughs that change patients' lives, thanks to you and our Pfizer U.S. Government Relations team, who are on the ground educating and engaging elected officials who champion what we do.



As Pfizer's Chief Compliance & Corporate Affairs Officers, we can confidently tell you that our decision to contribute to any elected official is made based on ethical, responsible, and just policies that protect innovation incentives and patients' access to breakthrough medicines and vaccines. Our PAC support does not imply an endorsement of a candidate's position on any social, religious or political issue.

We consider our support of the PAC a vote for Pfizer; we make this vote with the same pride that we do when we show up at the voting booth and vote for candidates who we believe are best for our profession and our families. No breakthrough can get to patients without a solid regulatory and legislative framework. The Pfizer PAC helps ensure that framework is strong.

We hope you will take some time to review the report to see which candidates the PAC supported in your state and community.

Patients continue to face significant legislative and regulatory challenges, and each election cycle is a new opportunity for lawmakers to either help or hinder patient access to innovative breakthroughs. We are focused on making sure it's the former. Our elected officials are making difficult choices, including focusing on cost reductions that could fundamentally alter our ability to discover and develop new breakthroughs for those who are counting on us to help make their lives better.

Our priority is to help build a constructive discourse in the political and regulatory environment while supporting policies – and policymakers – who share these same values. Those policy priorities include the protection of intellectual property, supporting a patient centric healthcare system that enables access and encourages innovation, protecting patients from dangerous insurance barriers and counterfeit medicines, protecting Medicare Part D and ensuring patients have modern insurance that includes affordable out-of-pocket costs.

At the state level, we are working to reduce out-of-pocket costs for patients, reform insurer barriers that delay and deny care, eliminate regulatory and legislative barriers to accessing biosimilars, innovative vaccines and pass civil justice and tax reform legislation.

As Pfizer's PAC Co-Chairs, and through our interactions with elected officials, we see first-hand how decisions are made that impact our ability to bring breakthrough medicines and vaccines to patients and physicians who rely on us every day. We must ensure our voice is heard so that we can continue to put patients first in everything we do.

Thank you for your support,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sally Susman".

Sally Susman
Executive Vice President
Chief Corporate Affairs Officer
Co-Chair, Pfizer PAC

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Rady Johnson".

Rady Johnson
Executive Vice President
Chief Compliance, Quality & Risk Officer
Co-Chair, Pfizer PAC

PFIZER PAC

Leading the Conversation.

What is a PAC?

PAC stands for Political Action Committee. A political action committee is a government-regulated organization that anyone can form to raise money for political campaign donations. PACs are formed by individuals, non-profits, and even many major corporations.

How Does Pfizer PAC Work?

The Pfizer political action committee, Pfizer PAC, is a nonpartisan organization that provides opportunities for employees to participate in the American political process. The Pfizer PAC is an employee-run organization with a Steering Committee made up of Pfizer employees from different divisions of the company. All corporate PACs are funded by voluntary employee contributions. Pfizer PAC is no different; it relies on the participation of Pfizer colleagues.

Who Receives Pfizer PAC Contributions?

Pfizer PAC is nonpartisan. It supports Democrats and Republicans alike. From January 2019 through December 2019, Pfizer PAC supported 1,349 candidates. True to its nonpartisan values, Pfizer PAC is committed to support candidates from both political parties who value Pfizer's purpose to discover, develop and deliver breakthroughs that change patients' lives. When choosing to make a contribution to a candidate, the Pfizer PAC considers candidates' views on issues that impact Pfizer and its employees as well as the presence of Pfizer facilities or employees in the candidate's district or state. A complete list of Pfizer PAC and state corporate political contributions for January 2019 - December 2019 is included in this report.

PFIZER PAC & POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS GOVERNANCE POLICY

Pfizer complies fully with all federal, state, and local laws and reporting requirements governing PAC and corporate political contributions.

Pfizer has a Corporate Policy Procedure (#802a) that requires all PAC and corporate political contributions to be compiled and published annually in a report that is made available to employees, shareholders, and the public, and posted on the Company's website at www.pfizer.com in "Lobbying and Political Contributions" under the "About Pfizer" tab within the "Corporate Governance" section. At the end of each Federal cycle (every two years), the Pfizer PAC is audited by Withum, a certified public accounting and advisory firm.

Pfizer also requests that trade associations receiving total payments of \$100,000 or more from Pfizer in a given year report the portion of Pfizer dues or payments used for expenditures or contributions that, if made directly by Pfizer, would not be deductible under section 162(e)(1)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code. We are disclosing such information received from our trade associations in this report.

Pfizer has a PAC Steering Committee comprised of seven colleagues who review and approve all PAC and corporate political contributions on a monthly basis. To ensure adequate representation, Steering Committee members represent different divisions within the Pfizer organization. The PAC Steering Committee evaluates candidates on a basis of their views on issues that impact Pfizer and its colleagues. It also takes note of one's voting record on policies critical to Pfizer's purpose, their leadership on committees of jurisdiction, and whether Pfizer facilities or colleagues reside in a candidate's district or state. In addition, all PAC and corporate contribution requests are shared with the Pfizer Political Contributions Policy Committee for review. The Political Contributions Policy Committee is responsible for governing the Pfizer PAC.

Pfizer does not traditionally make contributions to 527 Issue Organizations. If we were asked to make such a contribution, it would have to be reviewed and approved by the Political Contributions Policy Committee and subsequently disclosed in our semi-annual report.

Finally, in light of the Supreme Court decision in the Citizens United case, Pfizer has determined that it will not make any direct independent expenditures, a decision which has been discussed at the executive level as well as with the Corporate Governance Committee of our Board.

Political Contributions Policy Committee

CO CHAIR

[Sally Susman](#)

Executive Vice President

Chief Corporate Affairs Officer

[Josh Brown](#)

Vice President

National Government Relations

Corporate Affairs

[Margaret Madden](#)

Senior Vice President

& Corporate Secretary

Chief Governance Counsel

CO CHAIR

[Rady Johnson](#)

Executive Vice President

Chief Compliance, Quality

& Risk Officer

[Robert Jones](#)

Senior Vice President

U.S. Government Relations

Corporate Affairs

[Rod MacKenzie](#)

Executive Vice President

Chief Development Officer

Global Product Development

Group

TREASURER

[Joseph Gruber](#)

Senior Vice President

Global Tax

[Navin Katyal](#)

General Manager

Pfizer Sterile Injectables

Innovative Medicines

[Andrew Schmeltz](#)

Global President

Pfizer Oncology

Pfizer Biopharma Group

SECRETARY

[Barbara Bonfiglio](#)

Assistant General Counsel

Corporate Governance

[John Kelly](#)

Vice President

Quality Operations & EHS

Pfizer Global Supply

[Susan Silbermann](#)

President

Emerging Markets

Pfizer Biopharma Group

[Nick Lagunowich](#)

Regional President

Rare Disease North America

Pfizer Biopharma Group

[John Young](#)

Group President

Chief Business Officer

Pfizer PAC Steering Committee

[David Blackwell](#)

Senior Director

Research & Development

Groton, CT

[Richard Dudek](#)

Vice President

National Sales

Pfizer Oncology

Itasca, IL

[Mike Hoffman](#)

Vice President

Global Procurement

Peapack, NJ

[Jim Cafone](#)

Vice President

Pfizer Global Supply

Collegeville, PA

[Sam Gonzalez](#)

Business and Scientific

Operations Lead

Oncology Global Product

Development

New York, NY

[Dennis Ryan](#)

Key Account Manager

Pfizer Internal Medicine

La Jolla, CA

[Sheryl Colyer](#)

Vice President

Human Resources

New York, NY

Pfizer PAC

Leading the Conversation.



Political
Contributions
Recipients

January 2019 – December 2019

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
ALABAMA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Doug Jones	▲			D			\$10,000.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Bradley Roberts Byrne				R	001		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Terri Andrea Sewell				D	007		\$3,000.00
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
		AG Steve T. Marshall	▲	●		R		\$5,000.00	
ALASKA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Daniel Scott Sullivan	▲			R			\$2,500.00
ARIZONA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Martha Elizabeth McSally	▲			R			\$2,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Ann L. Kirkpatrick				D	002		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Tom O'Halleran				D	001		\$2,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Sylvia Tenney Allen				R	006		\$350.00
		Sen. Lela Alston				D	024		\$350.00
		Sen. Sean Bowie				D	018		\$350.00
		Sen. Paul Boyer				R	020		\$350.00
		Sen. David T. Bradley				D	010		\$350.00
		Sen. Kate Brophy McGee				R	028		\$350.00
		Sen. Heather Carter				R	015		\$500.00
		Sen. Lupe Chavira Contreras				D	019		\$350.00
		Sen. Karen Elizabeth Fann				R	001		\$350.00
		Sen. David M. Gowan, Sr.				R	014		\$350.00
		Sen. Rick Gray				R	021		\$350.00
		Sen. Sine Kerr				R	013		\$350.00
		Sen. Venden Leach				R	011		\$350.00
		Sen. David Livingston				R	022		\$350.00
		Sen. Javan D. Mesnard				R	017		\$350.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. Otoniel Navarrete				D	030		\$350.00
		Sen. Lisa Anne Otondo				D	004		\$350.00
		Sen. Tyler Pace				R	025		\$600.00
		Rep. Warren Petersen				R	012		\$350.00
		Sen. Rebecca Rios				D	027		\$350.00
		Rep. Thomas Shope				R	008		\$350.00
		Sen. Michelle Ugenti-Rita				R	023		\$250.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Nancy K. Barto				R	015		\$500.00
		Rep. Leo Biasiucci				R	005		\$350.00
		Rep. Walter Blackman				R	006		\$200.00
		Rep. Reginald Bolding				D	027		\$250.00
		Rep. Shawnna Bolick				R	020		\$200.00
		Rep. Russell W. Bowers				R	025		\$350.00
		Rep. Kelli Butler				D	028		\$250.00
		Rep. Cesar Chavez				D	029		\$300.00
		Rep. Regina Cobb				R	005		\$500.00
		Rep. David Cook				R	008		\$350.00
		Rep. J. Diego Espinoza				D	019		\$350.00
		Rep. Charlene R. Fernandez				D	004		\$350.00
		Rep. Mark W. Finchem				R	011		\$350.00
		Rep. Randall S. Frieze				D	009		\$350.00
		Rep. Travis W. Grantham				R	012		\$350.00
		Rep. Alma Hernandez				D	003		\$350.00
		Rep. Daniel Hernandez, Jr.				D	002		\$350.00
		Rep. John Kavanagh				R	023		\$200.00
		Rep. Anthony T. Kern				R	020		\$350.00
		Rep. Jay Lawrence				R	023		\$300.00
		Rep. Aaron Lieberman				D	028		\$350.00
		Rep. Robert Meza				D	030		\$350.00
		Rep. Becky Ann Nutt				R	014		\$350.00
		Rep. Joanne Osborne				R	013		\$350.00
		Rep. Jennifer Pawlik				D	017		\$250.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Kevin Payne				R	021		\$300.00
		Sen. Frank M. Pratt				R	008		\$350.00
		Rep. Tony Rivero				R	021		\$150.00
		Rep. Bret Roberts				R	011		\$350.00
		Rep. Ben Toma				R	022		\$350.00
		Rep. Michelle Udall				R	025		\$300.00
		Rep. Jeff Weninger				R	017		\$350.00
ARKANSAS									
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Cecile Bledsoe			Up in '22	R	003		\$500.00
		Sen. Will Bond				D	032		\$500.00
		Sen. Ronald Caldwell				R	023		\$500.00
		Sen. John R. Cooper				R	021		\$500.00
		Sen. Breanne Davis				R	016		\$500.00
		Sen. Kim D. Hammer			Up in '22	R	033		\$500.00
		Sen. Jimmy Hickey, Jr.				R	011		\$500.00
		Sen. Keith M. Ingram			Up in '22	D	024		\$500.00
		Sen. Missy Thomas Irvin			Up in '22	R	018		\$500.00
		Sen. Mark Johnson			Up in '22	R	015		\$500.00
		Sen. Bill Sample			Up in '22	R	014		\$500.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Justin Boyd				R	077		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Deborah Ferguson				D	051		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Denise Garner				D	084		\$500.00
		Rep. Michelle Gray				R	062		\$500.00
		Rep. Lee Johnson				R	075		\$500.00
		Rep. Fredrick J. Love				D	029		\$500.00
		Rep. Stephen Magie				D	072		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Reginald Murdock				D	048		\$500.00
		Rep. Chris Richey				D	012		\$500.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
CALIFORNIA									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Nanette Diaz Barragan				D	044		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Amerish B. Bera				D	007		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Kenneth S. Calvert				R	042		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Tony Cardenas				D	029		\$4,000.00
		Rep. Paul Joseph Cook				R	008		\$1,000.00
		Rep. J. Luis Correa				D	046		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Anna G. Eshoo	▲			D	018		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Jimmy Gomez				D	034		\$3,000.00
		Rep. Barbara Jean Lee				D	013		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Doris O. Matsui	▲			D	006		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Kevin Owen McCarthy				R	023		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Devin G. Nunes				R	022		\$4,500.00
		Rep. James V. Panetta				D	020		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Nancy Pelosi	▲			D	012		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Scott H. Peters	▲			D	052		\$4,500.00
		Rep. Raul Ruiz				D	036		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Linda T. Sanchez	▲			D	038		\$3,500.00
		Rep. Michael C. Thompson				D	005		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Norma Judith Torres				D	035		\$3,000.00
		Rep. Maxine Waters				D	043		\$1,000.00
STATE CONTROLLER									
		Sen. Cathleen Galgiani			Up in '22	D			\$1,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Toni G. Atkins	▲			D	039		\$2,000.00
		Sen. Anna Marie Caballero			Up in '22	D	012		\$1,500.00
		Sen. Bill Dodd				D	003		\$1,700.00
		Sen. Maria Elena Durazo			Up in '22	D	024		\$1,500.00
		Sen. Steven M. Glazer				D	007		\$1,500.00
●		Sen. Lena A. Gonzalez		●		D	033		\$1,500.00
		Sen. Shannon L. Grove			Up in '22	R	016		\$1,500.00
		Sen. Melissa Hurtado			Up in '22	D	014		\$1,500.00

●
Winner

●
Non-Partisan

●
Debt-Retirement

▲
Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		John Laird	▲			D	017	\$500.00	
		Sen. Anthony J. Portantino				D	025	\$2,000.00	
		Sen. Susan Rubio			Up in '22	D	022	\$1,500.00	
		Jeff Stone			Up in '22	R	028	\$1,500.00	
		Sen. Thomas J. Umberg		●		D	034	\$2,000.00	
		Sen. Scott Wiener	▲			D	011	\$1,500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Assm. Cecilia M. Aguiar-Curry				D	004	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Joaquin Arambula				D	031	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Frank Bigelow				R	005	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Tasha Boerner Horvath				D	076	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Rob Bonta				D	018	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. William P. Brough	▲			R	073	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Autumn R. Burke				D	062	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Jim Cooper				D	009	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Jordan Cunningham				R	035	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Tom Daly				D	069	\$4,700.00	
		Assm. Heath Flora				R	012	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Vince Fong				R	034	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Jim Frazier				D	011	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Mike A. Gipson				D	064	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Lorena Gonzalez-Fletcher				D	080	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Adam C. Gray				D	021	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Timothy S. Grayson				D	014	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Jacqui Irwin				D	044	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Sydney K. Kamlager-Dove				D	054	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Marc Levine				D	010	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Monique Limon				D	037	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Evan Low				D	028	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Brian Maienschein	▲			R	077	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Chad Mayes				R	042	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Jose Medina				D	061	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Kevin Mullin	▲			D	022	\$2,000.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Assm. Adrin Nazarian				D	046	\$4,000.00	
		Assm. James C. Ramos				D	040	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Robert Rivas				D	030	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Freddie Rodriguez				D	052	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Blanca E. Rubio				D	048	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Rudy Salas				D	032	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Miguel Santiago				D	053	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Marie Waldron				R	075	\$2,000.00	
MAYOR									
		May. Darrell S. Steinberg				D		\$200.00	
COLORADO									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Cory Gardner	▲			R			\$3,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Diana L. DeGette	▲			D	001		\$1,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Rhonda Fields				D	029		\$200.00
		Sen. Bob Gardner				R	012		\$200.00
		Sen. Dennis Hisey			Up in '22	R	002		\$200.00
		Sen. Dominick Moreno				D	021		\$200.00
		Sen. Brittany L. Pettersen			Up in '22	D	022		\$200.00
		Sen. Jim Smallwood				R	004		\$200.00
		Sen. Rob Woodward			Up in '22	R	015		\$200.00
		Sen. Rachel Zenzinger	▲			D	019		\$200.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Mark Baisley				R	039		\$200.00
		Rep. K.C. Becker				D	013		\$200.00
		Rep. Shannon K. Bird				D	035		\$200.00
		Rep. Yadira Caraveo				D	031		\$200.00
		Rep. Daneya Esgar				D	046		\$200.00
		Rep. Alec Garnett				D	002		\$200.00
		Rep. Matt Gray				D	033		\$200.00
		Sen. Chris Hansen				D	006		\$200.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Dominique Jackson				D	042		\$200.00
		Rep. Julie McCluskie				D	061		\$200.00
		Rep. Dafna Michaelson Jenet				D	030		\$200.00
		Rep. Kim Ransom				R	044		\$200.00
		Rep. Janice Rich				R	055		\$200.00
		Rep. Dylan Roberts				D	026		\$200.00
		Rep. Matt Soper				R	054		\$200.00
CONNECTICUT									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Richard Blumenthal	▲		Up in '22	D			\$1,000.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Joseph D. Courtney	▲			D	002		\$1,000.00
		Rep. James Andrew Himes	▲			D	004		\$1,000.00
		Rep. John Barry Larson	▲			D	001		\$1,000.00
DELAWARE									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Christopher Andrew Coons	▲			D			\$4,000.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Lisa Blunt Rochester	▲			D	001		\$1,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Nicole Poore	▲			D	012	\$200.00	
		Sen. John Walsh				D	009	\$200.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. William Bush				D	029	\$200.00	
		Rep. Krista Griffith				D	012	\$200.00	
		Rep. Earl G. Jaques, Jr.				D	027	\$200.00	
		Rep. Valerie J. Longhurst	▲			D	015	\$200.00	
		Rep. Sean Matthews				D	010	\$200.00	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA									
CITY COUNCIL (DC)									
		Cnclm Brandon Todd				D	004	\$500.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
FLORIDA									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Vernon Gale Buchanan				R	016		\$3,000.00
		Rep. Valdez B. Demings				D	010		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Mario Rafael Diaz-Balart				R	025		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Lois Jane Frankel				D	021		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Stephanie N. Murphy				D	007		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Darren Michael Soto				D	009		\$3,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Gayle B. Harrell				R	025	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Shevrin D. Jones				D	035	\$1,000.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Vance Arthur Aloupis, Jr.				R	115	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. James Vernon Buchanan				R	074	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Colleen Burton				R	040	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Michael A. Caruso				R	089	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Ben Diamond				D	068	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Nick DiCeglie				R	066	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Nicholas X. Duran				D	112	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Juan A. Fernandez-Barquin				R	119	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Elizabeth Anne Fetterhoff				R	026	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Lawrence McClure				R	058	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Anthony Rodriguez				R	118	\$1,000.00	
		Allison Tant				D	009	\$1,000.00	
GEORGIA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. David Alfred Perdue, Jr.	▲			R			\$1,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Earl L. B. Carter				R	001		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Douglas Allen Collins				R	009		\$1,000.00
		Rep. A. Drew Ferguson, IV				R	003		\$6,500.00
		Rep. Tom Graves				R	014		\$3,000.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR									
		Hon. Geoffrey L. Duncan	▲		Up in '22	R		\$5,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Matthew Freeman Brass				R	028	\$500.00	
		Sen. Dean Burke				R	011	\$500.00	
		Sen. Gloria Singleton Butler				D	055	\$1,500.00	
		Sen. Gail Davenport				D	044	\$500.00	
		Sen. Michael Dugan				R	030	\$750.00	
		Sen. Ed Harbison				D	015	\$500.00	
		Sen. Steve Henson	▲			D	041	\$500.00	
		Sen. Chuck Hufstetler				R	052	\$500.00	
		Sen. Lester G. Jackson, III.				D	002	\$500.00	
		Sen. John F. Kennedy				R	018	\$500.00	
		Sen. Kay Kirkpatrick	▲			R	032	\$500.00	
		Sen. P.K. Martin				R	009	\$500.00	
		Sen. Cecil Terrell Miller				R	049	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Nancy Grogan Orrock	▲			D	036	\$500.00	
		Sen. Valencia M. Seay				D	034	\$300.00	
		Sen. Jesse Collins Stone				R	023	\$500.00	
		Sen. Horacena Tate				D	038	\$500.00	
		Sen. Ben L. Watson				R	001	\$750.00	
		Sen. Nikema Williams				D	039	\$500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Patty J. Bentley				D	139	\$250.00	
		Rep. Shaw Blackmon				R	146	\$250.00	
		Rep. Jon G. Burns				R	159	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Sharon M. Cooper				R	043	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Katie M. Dempsey				R	013	\$500.00	
		Rep. Pamela Dickerson				D	113	\$250.00	
		Rep. Chuck Efstrotation				R	104	\$500.00	
		Rep. Terry England				R	116	\$750.00	
		Rep. Barry A. Fleming				R	117	\$750.00	
		Rep. Gloria J. Frazier				D	126	\$300.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Patricia Park Gardner				D	057	\$300.00	
		Rep. Brett Harrell				R	106	\$500.00	
		Rep. Matthew Hatchett				R	150	\$500.00	
		Rep. Carolyn Hugley				D	136	\$500.00	
		Rep. Sheila Jones				D	053	\$300.00	
		Rep. Angelika Kausche				D	050	\$500.00	
		Rep. Trey Kelley				R	016	\$750.00	
		Rep. Pedro R. Marin				D	096	\$500.00	
		Rep. William W. Mitchell	▲			D	088	\$750.00	
		Rep. W. Mark Newton				R	123	\$750.00	
		Rep. Mary Margaret Oliver				D	082	\$300.00	
		Rep. Larry J. Parrish				R	158	\$500.00	
		Jay Powell				R	171	\$500.00	
		Rep. Alan T. Powell				R	032	\$500.00	
		Rep. David Ralston				R	007	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Richard H. Smith				R	134	\$500.00	
		Rep. Calvin Smyre				D	135	\$500.00	
		Rep. Kevin Kermit Tanner				R	009	\$250.00	
		Rep. Robert T. Trammell, Jr				D	132	\$500.00	
		Rep. Cyril Algernon Williams				D	168	\$250.00	
		Rep. Bruce Williamson				R	115	\$500.00	
HAWAII									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Mazie Keiko Hirono	▲		Up in '24	D		\$5,000.00	
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR									
		Hon. Joshua B. Green	▲		Up in '22	D		\$1,500.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Rosalyn H. Baker			Up in '22	D	006	\$500.00	
		Sen. Donovan M. Dela Cruz				D	022	\$500.00	
		Sen. J. Kalani English			Up in '22	D	007	\$500.00	
		Sen. Gilbert S.C. Keith-Agaran				D	005	\$250.00	
		Sen. Michelle N. Kidani			Up in '22	D	018	\$250.00	
		Sen. Ronald D. Kouchi				D	008	\$500.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. Clarence K. Nishihara			Up in '22	D	017	\$200.00	
		Sen. Rosalyn H. Baker			Up in '22	D	006	\$500.00	
		Sen. Donovan M. Dela Cruz				D	022	\$500.00	
		Sen. J. Kalani English			Up in '22	D	007	\$500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Henry James C. Aquino				D	038	\$100.00	
		Rep. Della Au Belatti				D	024	\$500.00	
		Rep. Rida Cabanilla Arakawa				D	041	\$100.00	
		Rep. Ty Diaz Cullen				D	039	\$250.00	
		Rep. Lynn DeCoite				D	013	\$100.00	
		Rep. Linda E. Ichiyama				D	032	\$250.00	
		Rep. Bertrand Kobayashi				D	019	\$200.00	
		Rep. Nicole E. Lowen				D	006	\$100.00	
		Rep. Sylvia J. Luke				D	025	\$500.00	
		Rep. John M. Mizuno				D	028	\$500.00	
		Rep. Daynette Morikawa				D	016	\$250.00	
		Rep. Nadine K. Nakamura				D	014	\$100.00	
		Rep. Scott Y. Nishimoto				D	021	\$500.00	
		Rep. Richard H.K. Onishi				D	003	\$100.00	
		Rep. Scott K. Saiki				D	026	\$500.00	
		Rep. Joy A. San Buenaventura				D	004	\$100.00	
		Rep. Calvin K. Y. Say				D	020	\$100.00	
		Rep. Roy M. Takumi				D	035	\$250.00	
		Rep. Justin H. Woodson				D	009	\$100.00	
IDAHO									
US SENATE									
		Sen. James E. Risch	▲			R		\$1,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Jeff C. Agenbroad				R	013	\$300.00	
		Sen. Grant Burgoyne				D	016	\$200.00	
		Sen. Jim Guthrie				R	028	\$300.00	
		Sen. Mark R. Harris				R	032	\$500.00	
		Sen. Brent Hill				R	034	\$500.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. Maryanne Jordan				D	017	\$200.00	
		Sen. Abby Lee				R	009	\$300.00	
		Sen. Fred S. Martin				R	015	\$700.00	
		Sen. James Patrick				R	025	\$300.00	
		Sen. Mary Souza				R	004	\$300.00	
		Sen. Chuck Winder				R	020	\$500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Scott C. Bedke				R	027	\$500.00	
		Rep. Megan C. Blanksma				R	023	\$500.00	
		Rep. Susan B. Chew				D	017	\$200.00	
		Mathew Erpelding	▲			D	019	\$500.00	
		Rep. Marc Gibbs				R	032	\$300.00	
		Rep. Laurie Lickley				R	025	\$300.00	
		Rep. Britt Raybould				R	034	\$300.00	
		Rep. Ilana S. Rubel				D	018	\$200.00	
		Rep. John Vander Woude				R	022	\$300.00	
		Rep. Jarom Wagoner				R	010	\$300.00	
		Rep. Fred Wood				R	027	\$500.00	
ILLINOIS									
US SENATE									
		Sen. L. Tammy Duckworth	▲		Up in '22	D			\$2,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Michael J. Bost				R	012		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Cheryl L. Bustos				D	017		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Robin Lynne Kelly				D	002		\$3,000.00
		Rep. Adam Daniel Kinzinger				R	016		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Darin M. LaHood				R	018		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Bradley Scott Schneider	▲			D	010		\$1,000.00
		Rep. John M. Shimkus				R	015		\$2,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Omar Aquino			Up in '22	D	002	\$250.00	
		Sen. William E. Brady, Jr.			Up in '22	R	044	\$750.00	
		Sen. William Cunningham			Up in '22	D	018	\$250.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. Laura Fine			Up in '22	D	009	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Don Harmon			Up in '22	D	039	\$500.00	
		Sen. Michael E. Hastings				D	019	\$250.00	
		Sen. Linda Holmes			Up in '22	D	042	\$250.00	
		Sen. Mattie Hunter			Up in '22	D	003	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Emil Jones, III			Up in '22	D	014	\$250.00	
		Sen. Steven M. Landek			Up in '22	D	012	\$500.00	
		Sen. Kimberly A. Lightford				D	004	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Terry Link			Up in '22	D	030	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Iris Y. Martinez			Up in '22	D	020	\$250.00	
		Sen. Dan McConchie			Up in '22	R	026	\$500.00	
		Sen. Pat McGuire				D	043	\$250.00	
		Sen. Julie A. Morrison	▲		Up in '22	D	029	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Antonio Munoz				D	001	\$2,500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Jaime M. Andrade, Jr.				D	040	\$500.00	
		Luis Arroyo				D	003	\$500.00	
		Rep. Dan E. Brady				R	105	\$250.00	
		Rep. Kelly M. Burke				D	036	\$250.00	
		Rep. John M. Cabello				R	068	\$500.00	
		Rep. Dan Caulkins				R	101	\$250.00	
		Rep. Deborah O'Keefe Conroy				D	046	\$250.00	
		Rep. Fred Crespo				D	044	\$250.00	
		Rep. William Davis				D	030	\$250.00	
		Rep. Anthony DeLuca				D	080	\$500.00	
		Rep. Tom Demmer				R	090	\$500.00	
		Rep. Daniel Didech				D	059	\$500.00	
		Rep. Jim Durkin				R	082	\$750.00	
		Rep. Marcus C. Evans, Jr.				D	033	\$250.00	
		Sen. Sara Feigenholtz				D	012	\$500.00	
		Rep. Mary E. Flowers				D	031	\$500.00	
		Rep. La Shawn K. Ford				D	008	\$250.00	
		Rep. Robyn Gabel				D	018	\$1,000.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Jehan A. Gordon-Booth				D	092	\$250.00	
		Rep. Latoya N. Greenwood				D	114	\$250.00	
		Rep. Gregory Harris				D	013	\$1,500.00	
		Rep. Jay C. Hoffman				D	113	\$500.00	
		Rep. Yehiel Kalish				D	016	\$1,500.00	
		Rep. Camille Lilly				D	078	\$250.00	
		Rep. Michael J. Madigan				D	022	\$1,500.00	
		Rep. Natalie A. Manley				D	098	\$250.00	
		Rep. Rita Mayfield				D	060	\$500.00	
		Rep. Deanne Marie Mazzochi				R	047	\$250.00	
		Rep. Bob Morgan	▲			D	058	\$500.00	
		Rep. Robert Rita				D	028	\$500.00	
		Rep. Lamont J. Robinson, Jr.				D	005	\$500.00	
		Rep. Nicholas K. Smith				D	034	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Ryan Spain				R	073	\$250.00	
		Rep. Bradley Stephens				R	020	\$500.00	
		Rep. Andre M. Thapedi				D	032	\$250.00	
		Rep. Arthur Turner, Jr.				D	009	\$250.00	
		Rep. Lawrence M. Walsh, Jr.				D	086	\$500.00	
		Rep. Emanuel Chris Welch				D	007	\$500.00	
		Rep. Keith R. Wheeler				R	050	\$250.00	
		Rep. Ann M. Williams				D	011	\$250.00	
		Rep. Michael J. Zalewski				D	023	\$1,000.00	
CITY COUNCIL (Chicago)									
		Ald. Howard B. Brookins, Jr.			Up in '23	D	021	\$250.00	
		Ald. Brian Hopkins			Up in '23	●	002	\$250.00	
		Ald. Brendan Reilly			Up in '23	D	042	\$250.00	
		Ald. Thomas M. Tunney			Up in '23	D	044	\$250.00	
		Ald. Gilbert Villegas			Up in '23	D	036	\$250.00	
INDIANA									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Larry Dean Bucshon	▲			R	008		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Gregory J. Pence				R	006		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Jacqueline Walorski				R	002		\$3,500.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Rodric D. Bray				R	037		\$500.00
		Sen. Jean D. Breaux				D	034		\$500.00
		Sen. Elizabeth Brown			Up in '22	R	015		\$250.00
		Sen. Edward E. Charbonneau				R	005		\$500.00
		Sen. Michael R. Crider				R	028		\$250.00
		Sen. James D. Ford			Up in '22	D	029		\$250.00
		Sen. Ronald T. Grooms			Up in '22	R	046		\$250.00
		Sen. Travis Holdman			Up in '22	R	019		\$250.00
		Sen. Erin Houchin			Up in '22	R	047		\$250.00
		Sen. Timothy S. Lanane			Up in '22	D	025		\$250.00
		Sen. Jean Leising				R	042		\$250.00
		Sen. Ryan D. Mishler				R	009		\$250.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Ronald Bacon				R	075		\$250.00
		Rep. Bradford J. Barrett				R	056		\$250.00
		Rep. Brian C. Bosma				R	088		\$500.00
		Rep. Timothy N. Brown				R	041		\$250.00
		Rep. Martin J. Carbaugh				R	081		\$500.00
		Rep. Steven Joseph Davisson				R	073		\$250.00
		Rep. Rita Ann Fleming				D	071		\$250.00
		Rep. Philip Kelly GiaQuinta				D	080		\$250.00
		Rep. Dave Allen Heine				R	085		\$250.00
		Rep. Todd Michael Huston				R	037		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Cindy Lynn Kirchhofer				R	089		\$500.00
		Rep. Donald Joseph Lehe				R	025		\$250.00
		Rep. Shane Michael Lindauer				R	063		\$250.00
		Rep. Ethan E. Manning				R	023		\$250.00
		Rep. Gregory W. Porter				D	096		\$250.00
		Rep. Robin Cheri Shackelford				D	098		\$500.00
		Rep. Dennis James Zent				R	051		\$250.00
		Rep. Cindy Meyer Ziemke				R	055		\$250.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
IOWA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Joni Kay Ernst	▲			R			\$4,500.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Kimberly Reynolds	▲		Up in '22	R			\$1,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Tony Bisignano			Up in '22	D	017		\$500.00
		Sen. Kevin Kinney			Up in '22	D	039		\$500.00
		Sen. Amanda Ragan			Up in '22	D	027		\$250.00
		Sen. Jason Schultz			Up in '22	R	009		\$250.00
		Sen. Amy Sinclair				R	014		\$250.00
		Sen. Todd E. Taylor			Up in '22	D	035		\$250.00
		Sen. Jack Whitver			Up in '22	R	019		\$1,500.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Marti Anderson				D	036		\$250.00
		Rep. Joel Fry				R	027		\$500.00
		Rep. Pat Grassley				R	050		\$500.00
		Rep. Chris Hagenow				R	043		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Bruce L. Hunter				D	034		\$250.00
		Rep. Megan Hess Jones				R	002		\$500.00
		Rep. Kenan Judge				D	044		\$250.00
		Rep. Jarad Klein				R	078		\$500.00
		Rep. Shannon Lundgren				R	057		\$500.00
		Rep. Rick L. Olson				D	031		\$250.00
		Rep. Scott Ourth				D	026		\$250.00
		Rep. Todd Prichard				D	052		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Kristin Sunde				D	042		\$500.00
		Rep. Linda L. Upmeyer				R	054		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Matt W. Windschitl				R	017		\$250.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
KANSAS									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Jerry W. Moran	▲		Up in '22	R			\$5,000.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Ron Estes	▲			R	004		\$4,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Edward E. Berger				R	034	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Gene Suellentrop				R	027	\$1,000.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. John R. Eplee				R	063	\$500.00	
		Rep. Daniel R. Hawkins				R	100	\$500.00	
		Rep. Brenda K. Landwehr				R	105	\$500.00	
		Rep. Monica Murnan				D	003	\$500.00	
		Rep. Tom Sawyer				D	095	\$500.00	
KENTUCKY									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Mitch McConnell	▲			R			\$386.11
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Garland Hale Barr, IV				R	006		\$2,500.00
		Rep. S. Brett Guthrie				R	002		\$3,500.00
GOVERNOR									
		Matthew Griswold Bevin	▲			R			\$4,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. David P. Givens				R	009		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Christian McDaniel				R	023		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Bertram Robert Stivers				R	025		\$2,000.00
		Sen. Damon Thayer				R	017		\$1,000.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. John Carney				R	051		\$1,000.00
		Rep. David Meade				R	080		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Kimberly Poore Moser				R	064		\$1,000.00
		Rep. David Osborne				R	059		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Bart T. Rowland				R	053		\$1,000.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
LOUISIANA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. William Cassidy	▲			R			\$2,000.00
		Sen. John Neely Kennedy	▲		Up in '22	R			\$2,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Stephen Joseph Scalise				R	001		\$7,500.00
SECRETARY OF STATE (STATE LEVEL)									
	●	Hon. R. Kyle Ardoin	▲			R			\$500.00
STATE SENATE									
	●	Sen. R.L. Allain, II				R	021		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Regina Ashford Barrow				D	015		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Gerald Boudreaux				D	024		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Troy A. Carter				D	007		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Patrick Page Cortez				R	023		\$500.00
		Reid Falconer				R	011		\$500.00
		James Roy Fannin				R	035		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Jimmy Harris				D	004		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Bob Hensgens				R	026		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Sharon W. Hewitt				R	001		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Katrina R. Jackson				D	034		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Ronnie Johns				R	027		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Jay Luneau				D	029		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Fred H. Mills, Jr.				R	022		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Barrow Peacock				R	037		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Edward J. Price				D	002		\$500.00
		Patricia Haynes Smith	▲			D	014		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Gary L. Smith, Jr.				D	019		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Gregory W. Tarver, Sr.				D	039		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Rick Ward, III				R	017		\$500.00
	●	Sen. Mack A. White, Jr.				R	006		\$500.00
STATE HOUSE									
	●	Rep. Tony Bacala				R	059		\$250.00
	●	Rep. Lawrence A. Bagley				R	007		\$250.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
	●	Rep. Ken Brass				D	058	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Chad Brown				D	060	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Gary M. Carter, Jr.				D	102	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Jean-Paul Coussan				R	045	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Kenny Ray Cox				D	023	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Paula Davis				R	069	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Phillip DeVillier				R	041	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Mary DuBuisson				R	090	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Royce Duplessis				D	093	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Stephen Dwight				R	035	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Rick Edmonds				R	066	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Julie Emerson				R	039	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Randal L. Gaines				D	057	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Ray Garofalo				R	103	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Lance Harris				R	025	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Stephanie Hilferty				R	094	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Barry Ivey				R	065	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Edward C. James				D	101	\$250.00	
		Christopher J. Leopold				R	105	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Sherman Q. Mack				R	095	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Tanner Magee				R	053	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Jack G. McFarland				R	013	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Wayne McMahan				R	010	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Blake Miguez				R	049	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Dustin Miller				D	040	\$250.00	
		Rep. Gregory A. Miller				R	056	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Nicholas Muscarello, Jr.				R	086	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Vincent J. Pierre				D	044	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Joseph A. Stagni				R	092	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Polly J. Thomas				R	080	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Christopher Turner				R	012	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Mark Wright				R	077	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Jerome Zeringue				R	052	\$250.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
MAINE									
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. G. William Diamond				D	026	\$400.00	
MARYLAND									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Anthony Gregory Brown				D	004		\$3,500.00
		Rep. Steny Hamilton Hoyer	▲			D	005		\$5,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Malcolm L. Augustine			Up in '22	D	047	\$250.00	
		Sen. Jack Daniel Bailey			Up in '22	R	029	\$250.00	
		Sen. Pamela G. Beidle			Up in '22	D	032	\$250.00	
		Sen. Joanne Claybon Benson			Up in '22	D	024	\$250.00	
		Sen. Mary Beth Carozza			Up in '22	R	038	\$250.00	
		Sen. Arthur Carr Ellis			Up in '22	D	028	\$250.00	
		Sen. Brian Jeffrey Feldman			Up in '22	D	015	\$500.00	
		Sen. Bill Ferguson			Up in '22	D	046	\$2,500.00	
		Sen. Jason Charles Gallion			Up in '22	R	035	\$250.00	
		Sen. Antonio L Hayes			Up in '22	D	040	\$500.00	
		Sen. Stephen S. Hershey, Jr.			Up in '22	R	036	\$750.00	
		Sen. Michael Joseph Hough			Up in '22	R	004	\$250.00	
		Sen. J. B. Jennings			Up in '22	R	007	\$750.00	
		Sen. Cheryl Corinne Kagan			Up in '22	D	017	\$250.00	
		Sen. Delores G. Kelley			Up in '22	D	010	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Katherine Klausmeier			Up in '22	D	008	\$250.00	
		Sen. Benjamin F. Kramer			Up in '22	D	019	\$250.00	
		Sen. Clarence K. Lam			Up in '22	D	012	\$250.00	
		Sen. Thomas V. Miller, Jr.			Up in '22	D	027	\$1,000.00	
		Shirley Nathan-Pulliam			Up in '22	D	044	\$250.00	
		Sen. Obie Patterson			Up in '22	D	026	\$250.00	
		Sen. Paul G. Pinsky			Up in '22	D	022	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Edward Robert Reilly			Up in '22	R	033	\$250.00	
		Sen. Bryan W. Simonaire			Up in '22	R	031	\$250.00	
		Sen. Ronald Nelson Young			Up in '22	D	003	\$250.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
STATE HOUSE									
		Del. Heather Alice Bagnall			Up in '22	D	033	\$125.00	
		Del. Ereik L. Barron			Up in '22	D	024	\$125.00	
		Del. Harry Bhandari			Up in '22	D	008	\$100.00	
		Del. Alfred Clinton Carr, Jr			Up in '22	D	018	\$100.00	
		Del. Nick Charles			Up in '22	D	025	\$100.00	
		Del. Brian A. Chisholm			Up in '22	R	31B	\$125.00	
		Del. Bonnie L. Cullison			Up in '22	D	019	\$500.00	
		Del. Terri L. Hill			Up in '22	D	012	\$125.00	
		Del. Steve C. Johnson			Up in '22	D	34A	\$125.00	
		Del. Adrienne A. Jones			Up in '22	D	010	\$1,500.00	
		Del. Ariana Brannigan Kelly			Up in '22	D	016	\$125.00	
		Del. Ken Kerr			Up in '22	D	03B	\$125.00	
		Del. Nicholas R. Kipke			Up in '22	R	31B	\$550.00	
		Del. Susan W. Krebs			Up in '22	R	09B	\$250.00	
		Del. Robbyn Lewis			Up in '22	D	046	\$125.00	
		Del. Richard W. Metzgar			Up in '22	R	006	\$100.00	
		Del. James Matthew Morgan			Up in '22	R	29A	\$325.00	
		Del. Joseline A. Pena-Melnyk			Up in '22	D	021	\$500.00	
		Del. Shane E. Pendergrass	▲		Up in '22	D	013	\$1,000.00	
		Del. Samuel I. Rosenberg			Up in '22	D	042	\$250.00	
		Del. Sid Saab			Up in '22	R	033	\$250.00	
		Del. Sheree Sample-Hughes			Up in '22	D	37A	\$125.00	
		Del. Kathryn Y. Szeliga			Up in '22	R	007	\$550.00	
		Del. Karen Lewis Young			Up in '22	D	03A	\$250.00	
MASSACHUSETTS									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Katherine M. Clark				D	005		\$1,000.00
		Rep. William Richard Keating				D	009		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Richard Edmund Neal	▲			D	001		\$2,500.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
MICHIGAN									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Gary Charles Peters	▲			D			\$5,000.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Daniel Timothy Kildee				D	005		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Brenda L. Lawrence				D	014		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Frederick Stephen Upton	▲			R	006		\$10,000.00
		Rep. Timothy L. Walberg				R	007		\$1,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. John Bizon			Up in '22	R	019		\$500.00
		Sen. Curtis Hertel, Jr.	▲		Up in '22	D	023		\$1,500.00
		Sen. Sean A. McCann	▲		Up in '22	D	020		\$500.00
		Sen. Jim Stamas			Up in '22	R	036		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Curt VanderWall			Up in '22	R	035		\$1,000.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Julie Calley				R	087		\$500.00
		Rep. Roger Hauck				R	099		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Brandt Iden	▲			R	061		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Sarah Lightner				R	065		\$500.00
		Rep. Jim Lilly				R	089		\$500.00
		Rep. Brad Paquette				R	078		\$500.00
		Rep. Jason M. Sheppard				R	056		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Hank Vaupel				R	047		\$500.00
		Rep. Rebekah Warren				D	053		\$500.00
		Rep. Jason Wentworth				R	097		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Mary Whiteford				R	080		\$500.00
MINNESOTA									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Thomas Earl Emmer, Jr.				R	006		\$1,000.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
MISSISSIPPI									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Bennie G. Thompson				D	002		\$3,500.00
GOVERNOR									
	●	Gov. Tate Reeves	▲			R		\$1,000.00	
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR									
	●	Hon. Delbert Hosemann	▲			R		\$1,000.00	
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER									
	●	Hon. Delbert Hosemann	▲			R		\$1,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
	●	Sen. Kevin Blackwell				R	019	\$500.00	
	●	Sen. Hob Bryan				D	007	\$250.00	
	●	Sen. Joel R. Carter, Jr.				R	049	\$500.00	
	●	Sen. Joey Fillingane				R	041	\$250.00	
	●	Sen. Josh Harkins				R	020	\$750.00	
	●	Sen. Angela Burks Hill				R	040	\$500.00	
	●	Sen. John A. Horhn				D	026	\$250.00	
	●	Sen. M. Dean Kirby				R	030	\$250.00	
	●	Sen. Chad McMahan				R	006	\$500.00	
	●	Sen. J. Walter Michel				R	025	\$750.00	
	●	Sen. Rita Potts Parks				R	004	\$500.00	
	●	Sen. John A. Polk				R	044	\$250.00	
	●	Sen. Brice Wiggins				R	052	\$500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
	●	Rep. Richard Bennett				R	120	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. C. Scott Bounds				R	044	\$500.00	
	●	Rep. Chris Brown				R	020	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Charles T. Busby				R	111	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Gary Alan Chism				R	037	\$500.00	
		William C. Denny, Jr.				R	064	\$500.00	
	●	Rep. Philip Gunn				R	056	\$1,000.00	
	●	Rep. John Wesley Hines, Sr.				D	050	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Joey Hood				R	035	\$500.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
	●	Rep. Elton Mac Huddleston				R	015	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. John Thomas Lamar				R	008	\$500.00	
	●	Rep. Missy Warren McGee				R	102	\$500.00	
	●	Rep. Sam C. Mims, V				R	097	\$500.00	
	●	Rep. John O. Read				R	112	\$500.00	
		Jeffrey C. Smith				R	039	\$1,000.00	
	●	Rep. Percy W. Watson				D	103	\$250.00	
	●	Rep. Jason M. White				R	048	\$500.00	
	●	Rep. Lee Yancey				R	074	\$250.00	
MISSOURI									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. William Lacy Clay, Jr.	▲			D	001	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. William H. Long, II				R	007	\$3,000.00	
		Rep. Jason Thomas Smith				R	008	\$7,500.00	
		Rep. Ann Louise Wagner	▲			R	002	\$7,000.00	
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR									
		Hon. Mike Kehoe	▲			R		\$2,600.00	
SECRETARY OF STATE (STATE LEVEL)									
		Hon. John R. Ashcroft	▲			R		\$1,000.00	
STATE TREASURER									
		Hon. Scott Fitzpatrick	▲			R		\$1,000.00	
AUDITOR GENERAL									
		Hon. Nicole Galloway	▲		Up in '22	D		\$2,600.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Tony Luetkemeyer			Up in '22	R	034	\$1,200.00	
		Sen. Caleb Rowden				R	019	\$500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Elijah Haahr				R	134	\$1,000.00	
COUNTY EXECUTIVE (St. Louis)									
		Sam Page				D		\$1,000.00	

● Winner

● Non-Partisan

○ Debt-Retirement

▲ Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
MONTANA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Steve David Daines	▲			R			\$5,000.00
GOVERNOR									
		AG Tim Fox	▲			R			\$680.00
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER									
		Rep. Shane A. Morigeau	▲			D			\$340.00
STATE SENATE									
		Rep. Nancy Ballance				R	044		\$180.00
		Sen. Mark W. Blasdel			Up in '22	R	004		\$180.00
		Sen. Cydnie Boland			Up in '22	D	012		\$180.00
		Sen. Janet Ellis			Up in '22	D	041		\$180.00
		Sen. Terry J. Gauthier				R	040		\$180.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Barbara Bessette				D	024		\$180.00
		Rep. Zach Brown				D	063		\$180.00
		Rep. Ed Buttrey				R	021		\$180.00
		Rep. Greg Hertz				R	012		\$180.00
		Rep. Llewelyn E. Jones				R	018		\$180.00
		Rep. Connie Keogh				D	091		\$180.00
NEBRASKA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Debra S. Fischer	▲		Up in '24	R			\$2,500.00
		Sen. Benjamin Eric Sasse	▲			R			\$1,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Jeffrey Lane Fortenberry	▲			R	001		\$3,500.00
		Rep. Adrian Michael Smith				R	003		\$2,500.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Pete Ricketts	▲		Up in '22	R		\$2,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. John Arch			Up in '22	R	014	\$300.00	
		Sen. Machaela Cavanaugh			Up in '22	D	006	\$300.00	
		Sen. Myron Dorn			Up in '22	R	030	\$300.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. Suzanne Geist				R	025	\$300.00	
		Sen. Ben Hansen			Up in '22	R	016	\$300.00	
		Sen. Mike Hilgers	▲			R	021	\$300.00	
		Sen. Mark A. Kolterman			Up in '22	R	024	\$300.00	
		Sen. Andrew La Grone				R	049	\$300.00	
		Sen. Steve Lathrop			Up in '22	●	012	\$300.00	
		Sen. Brett Lindstrom			Up in '22	R	018	\$300.00	
		Sen. Lou Ann Linehan				R	039	\$300.00	
		Sen. John S. Lowe, Sr.				R	037	\$300.00	
		Sen. Mike McDonnell				D	005	\$300.00	
		Sen. Mike Moser			Up in '22	R	022	\$300.00	
		Sen. Dave Murman			Up in '22	R	038	\$300.00	
		Sen. Dan Quick				D	035	\$300.00	
		Sen. Julie Slama				R	001	\$300.00	
		Sen. Tony Vargas				D	007	\$300.00	
		Sen. Matt Williams			Up in '22	R	036	\$300.00	
		Sen. Anna Wishart				D	027	\$300.00	
NEVADA									
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Stephen F. Sisolak	▲		Up in '22	D		\$5,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Christopher Lee Brooks				D	003	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Nicole Jeanette Cannizzaro				D	006	\$2,500.00	
		Sen. Marilyn Dondero Loop			Up in '22	D	008	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Scott Thomas Hammond				R	018	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Joseph Paul Hardy, M.D.			Up in '22	R	012	\$2,500.00	
		Sen. Heidi Seevers Gansert				R	015	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. James Arnold Settlemeyer			Up in '22	R	017	\$2,500.00	
		Sen. Patricia Ann Spearman				D	001	\$2,000.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Assm. Teresa Benitez-Thompson				D	027	\$2,000.00	
		Assm. Shannon Mary Bilbray-Axelrod				D	034	\$1,000.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Assm. Lesley E. Cohen				D	029	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Christopher Thomas Edwards				R	019	\$1,000.00	
		Assm. Melissa Hardy				R	022	\$1,000.00	
		Assm. Glen Kaimi Leavitt				R	023	\$750.00	
		Assm. Connie S. Munk				D	004	\$1,000.00	
		Assm. Dina A. Neal				D	007	\$1,000.00	
		Assm. Rochelle T. Nguyen				D	010	\$750.00	
		Assm. Thomas A. Roberts				R	013	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Ellen B. Spiegel				D	020	\$1,000.00	
		Assm. Jill Tolles				R	025	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. Steven James Yeager				D	009	\$1,500.00	
NEW HAMPSHIRE									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Ann McLane Kuster	▲			D	002		\$1,000.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Chris Sununu	▲			R		\$7,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Regina M. Birdsell				R	019	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Joseph E. Bradley, III				R	003	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Sharon M. Carson				R	014	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Kevin J. Cavanaugh				D	016	\$2,000.00	
		Sen. Shannon E. Chandley	▲			D	011	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Lou D'Allesandro				D	020	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Harold F. French				R	007	\$1,500.00	
		Sen. Robert J. Giuda				R	002	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. James P. Gray				R	006	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Jay Kahn				D	010	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Jon Morgan				D	023	\$2,500.00	
		Sen. Charles W. Morse				R	022	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. John M. Reagan				R	017	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Donna M. Soucy				D	018	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Ruth B. Ward				R	008	\$500.00	
		Sen. David H. Watters				D	004	\$1,000.00	
●	●		●			▲			
Winner	Non-Partisan		Debt-Retirement			Facility			

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
NEW JERSEY									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Joshua S. Gottheimer				D	005		\$4,000.00
		Rep. Frank Pallone, Jr.	▲			D	006		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Donald Milford Payne, Jr.				D	010		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Mikie Sherrill	▲			D	011		\$7,500.00
		Rep. Jeff Van Drew, D.D.S.				R	002		\$3,000.00
		Rep. Bonnie Watson Coleman				D	012		\$1,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Anthony R. Bucco			Up in '21	R	025	\$500.00	
		Sen. Richard J. Codey	▲		Up in '21	D	027	\$500.00	
		Sen. Joseph P. Cryan			Up in '21	D	020	\$500.00	
		Sen. Sandra Bolden Cunningham			Up in '21	D	031	\$700.00	
		Sen. Vin Gopal			Up in '21	D	011	\$500.00	
		Sen. Joseph A. Lagana			Up in '21	D	038	\$500.00	
		Sen. Fred H. Madden			Up in '21	D	004	\$500.00	
		Sen. Steven V. Oroho			Up in '21	R	024	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Nelida Pou			Up in '21	D	035	\$500.00	
		Sen. M. Teresa Ruiz			Up in '21	D	029	\$500.00	
		Sen. Paul A. Sarlo			Up in '21	D	036	\$500.00	
		Sen. Robert W. Singer			Up in '21	R	030	\$500.00	
		Sen. Troy Singleton			Up in '21	D	007	\$500.00	
		Sen. Stephen M. Sweeney			Up in '21	D	003	\$500.00	
		Sen. Joseph F. Vitale	▲		Up in '21	D	019	\$500.00	
		Sen. Loretta Weinberg			Up in '21	D	037	\$500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
	●	Assm. Daniel R. Benson				D	014	\$1,500.00	
	●	Assm. Jon M. Bramnick				R	021	\$2,000.00	
	●	Sen. Anthony M. Bucco				R	025	\$1,500.00	
		Assm. John J. Burzichelli			Up in '21	D	003	\$1,000.00	
	●	Assm. John J. Burzichelli				D	003	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Herbert Conaway				D	007	\$1,000.00	
		Assm. Craig J. Coughlin	▲		Up in '21	D	019	\$1,000.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
	●	Assm. Craig J. Coughlin	▲			D	019	\$2,000.00	
	●	Assm. Joseph F. Danielsen	▲			D	017	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. BettyLou DeCroce	▲			R	026	\$1,000.00	
	●	Assm. Christopher P. DePhillips				R	040	\$750.00	
	●	Assm. John DiMaio	▲			R	023	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Joann Downey				D	011	\$750.00	
	●	Assm. Roy Freiman				D	016	\$750.00	
		Assm. Louis D. Greenwald			Up in '21	D	006	\$1,000.00	
	●	Assm. Louis D. Greenwald				D	006	\$1,500.00	
	●	Assm. Jamel C. Holley				D	020	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Mila M. Jasey	▲			D	027	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Gordon M. Johnson				D	037	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Pamela Rosen Lampitt				D	006	\$1,000.00	
	●	Assm. Yvonne M. Lopez	▲			D	019	\$300.00	
	●	Assm. John Francis McKeon	▲			D	027	\$1,000.00	
	●	Assm. Paul D. Moriarty				D	004	\$700.00	
	●	Assm. Gabriela M. Mosquera				D	004	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Raj Mukherji				D	033	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Nancy F. Munoz				R	021	\$1,000.00	
	●	Assm. Carol Murphy				D	007	\$350.00	
	●	Assm. Erik Peterson	▲			R	023	\$1,000.00	
	●	Assm. Nancy Pinkin				D	018	\$800.00	
		Assm. Eliana Pintor Marin			Up in '21	D	029	\$1,000.00	
	●	Assm. Eliana Pintor Marin				D	029	\$1,500.00	
	●	Assm. Annette Quijano				D	020	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Kevin J. Rooney				R	040	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Gary S. Schaer				D	036	\$750.00	
	●	Assm. Holly T. Schepisi				R	039	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Shavonda E. Sumter				D	035	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Adam J. Taliaferro				D	003	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Anthony S. Verrelli				D	015	\$350.00	
	●	Assm. Jay Webber	▲			R	026	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Benjie E. Wimberly				D	035	\$500.00	
	●	Assm. Andrew Zwicker				D	016	\$800.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
NEW MEXICO									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Martin Heinrich	▲		Up in '24	D			\$2,500.00
		Rep. Ben Ray Lujan	▲			D			\$10,000.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Michelle Lujan Grisham	▲		Up in '22	D		\$5,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Craig W. Brandt				R	040	\$500.00	
		Sen. William F. Burt				R	033	\$250.00	
		Sen. Jacob R. Candelaria				D	026	\$500.00	
		Sen. Joseph Cervantes				D	031	\$500.00	
		Sen. Candace Ruth Gould				R	010	\$500.00	
		Sen. Ron Griggs				R	034	\$250.00	
		Sen. Daniel A. Ivey-Soto				D	015	\$500.00	
		Sen. Gay G. Kernan				R	042	\$500.00	
		Sen. Richard C. Martinez				D	005	\$500.00	
		Sen. Mark D. Moores				R	021	\$250.00	
		Sen. Bill B. O'Neill				D	013	\$250.00	
		Sen. Michael Padilla				D	014	\$500.00	
		Sen. Mary Kay Papen				D	038	\$500.00	
		Sen. Cliff R. Pirtle				R	032	\$250.00	
		Sen. Sander Rue				R	023	\$500.00	
		Sen. Clemente Sanchez				D	030	\$500.00	
		Sen. John M. Sapien				D	009	\$500.00	
		Sen. John Arthur Smith				D	035	\$500.00	
		Sen. Elizabeth Stefanics				D	039	\$500.00	
		Sen. John Patrick Woods				R	007	\$200.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Deborah A. Armstrong				D	017	\$750.00	
		Rep. Gail Armstrong				R	049	\$500.00	
		Rep. Alonzo Baldonado				R	008	\$250.00	
		Rep. Zachary J. Cook				R	056	\$500.00	
		Rep. Rebecca L. Dow				R	038	\$250.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Brian F. Egolf, Jr.				D	047	\$750.00	
		Rep. Damon B. Ely				D	023	\$250.00	
		Rep. Candy Spence Ezzell				R	058	\$200.00	
		Rep. Kelly K. Fajardo				R	007	\$500.00	
		Rep. Natalie R. Figueroa				D	030	\$250.00	
		Rep. Doreen Yvonne Gallegos				D	052	\$200.00	
		Rep. Jason Carl Harper				R	057	\$400.00	
		Rep. Dayan M. Hochman-Vigil				D	015	\$200.00	
		Rep. Georgene Louis				D	026	\$200.00	
		Rep. Patricia A. Lundstrom				D	009	\$500.00	
		Rep. Antonio Maestas				D	016	\$250.00	
		Rep. Javier I. Martinez				D	011	\$250.00	
		Rep. Rodney D. Montoya				R	001	\$250.00	
		Rep. William R. Rehm				R	031	\$250.00	
		Rep. Patricio R. Ruiloba				D	012	\$250.00	
		Rep. Gregg William Schmedes				R	022	\$500.00	
		Rep. Sheryl Williams Stapleton				D	019	\$250.00	
		Rep. Candie G. Sweetser				D	032	\$250.00	
		Rep. Elizabeth L. Thomson				D	024	\$500.00	
NEW YORK									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Yvette Diana Clarke				D	009		\$3,000.00
		Rep. Eliot Lance Engel	▲			D	016		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Adriano Espaillat				D	013		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Carolyn B. Maloney	▲			D	012		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Gregory Weldon Meeks				D	005		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Grace Meng				D	006		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Thomas W. Reed, II.				R	023		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Thomas R. Suozzi				D	003		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Paul David Tonko	▲			D	020		\$1,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Neil D. Breslin	▲			D	044		\$500.00
		Sen. David S. Carlucci	▲			D	038		\$500.00

● Winner

● Non-Partisan

○ Debt-Retirement

▲ Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.				D	014		\$500.00
		Sen. John J. Flanagan, Jr.				R	002		\$500.00
		Sen. Patrick M. Gallivan				R	059		\$500.00
		Sen. Michael N. Gianaris				D	012		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Andrew S. Gounardes				D	022		\$500.00
		Sen. Joseph A. Griffo				R	047		\$500.00
		Sen. Brad M. Hoylman	▲			D	027		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Todd D. Kaminsky				D	009		\$500.00
		Sen. Timothy M. Kennedy				D	063		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Andrew J. Lanza				R	024		\$500.00
		Sen. Elizabeth O'Connor Little	▲			R	045		\$500.00
		Sen. Shelley B. Mayer				D	037		\$500.00
		Sen. Robert G. Ortt				R	062		\$500.00
		Sen. Kevin S. Parker				D	021		\$500.00
		Sen. J. Gustavo Rivera				D	033		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Joseph E. Robach				R	056		\$500.00
		Sen. Diane J. Savino				D	023		\$500.00
		Sen. James G. Skoufis				D	039		\$500.00
		Sen. Toby Ann Stavisky				D	016		\$500.00
		Sen. Andrea Stewart-Cousins				D	035		\$1,700.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Assm. Peter J. Abbate, Jr.				D	049		\$500.00
		Assm. Thomas J. Abinanti				D	092		\$300.00
		Assm. William A. Barclay				R	120		\$500.00
		Assm. Edward C. Braunstein				D	026		\$500.00
		Assm. Kevin A. Cahill				D	103		\$500.00
		Assm. Marcos A. Crespo				D	085		\$500.00
		Assm. Michael J. Cusick				D	063		\$500.00
		Assm. Steven H. Cymbrowitz				D	045		\$500.00
		Assm. Erik Martin Dilan				D	054		\$500.00
		Assm. Jeffrey Dinowitz				D	081		\$500.00
		Assm. Andrew R. Garbarino				R	007		\$500.00
		Assm. Richard N. Gottfried				D	075		\$1,000.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Assm. Aileen M. Gunther				D	100		\$500.00
		Assm. Andrew D. Hevesi				D	028		\$500.00
		Assm. Alicia L. Hyndman				D	029		\$500.00
		Assm. Ellen C. Jaffee	▲			D	097		\$500.00
		Assm. Brian M. Kolb				R	131		\$500.00
		Assm. Charles D. Lavine				D	013		\$500.00
		Assm. Joseph R. Lentol				D	050		\$500.00
		Assm. William B. Magnarelli				D	129		\$500.00
		Assm. John T. McDonald, III				D	108		\$500.00
		Assm. Amy R. Paulin				D	088		\$500.00
		Assm. Crystal D. Peoples-Stokes				D	141		\$1,500.00
		Assm. N. Nick Perry				D	058		\$500.00
		Assm. Stacey G. Pheffer Amato				D	023		\$500.00
		Assm. Victor M. Pichardo				D	086		\$500.00
		Assm. J. Gary Pretlow				D	089		\$500.00
		Assm. Dan Quart	▲			D	073		\$1,000.00
		Assm. Andrew P. Raia				R	012		\$500.00
		Assm. Robert J. Rodriguez				D	068		\$1,000.00
		Assm. Robin Schimminger				D	140		\$500.00
		Assm. Aravella Simotas				D	036		\$1,000.00
		Assm. Helene E. Weinstein				D	041		\$500.00
		Assm. David I. Weprin				D	024		\$500.00
		Assm. Kenneth Paul Zebrowski				D	096		\$500.00
CITY COUNCIL (New York City)									
		Cnclm Alicka Ampry-Samuel			Up in '21	D	041		\$500.00
		Cnclm Diana Ayala			Up in '21	D	008		\$500.00
		Cnclm Andrew Cohen			Up in '21	D	011		\$500.00
		Cnclm Robert Cornegy			Up in '21	D	036		\$500.00
		Cnclm Mathieu Eugene			Up in '21	D	040		\$500.00
		Cnclm Robert Holden			Up in '21	D	030		\$500.00
		Cnclm Mark Levine			Up in '21	D	007		\$1,000.00
		Cnclm Alan N. Maisel			Up in '21	D	046		\$500.00
		Cnclm Keith Powers			Up in '21	D	004		\$1,000.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Cnclm Carlina Rivera			Up in '21	D	002		\$200.00
		Cnclm Paul Vallone			Up in '21	D	019		\$700.00
NORTH CAROLINA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Thomas Roland Tillis	▲			R			\$2,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Theodore Paul Budd				R	013		\$1,000.00
		Rep. George Kenneth Butterfield, Jr.				D	001		\$1,000.00
		Rep. George E. Holding	▲			R	002		\$3,500.00
		Rep. Richard Lane Hudson, Jr.				R	008		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Patrick Timothy McHenry	▲			R	010		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Mark Randall Meadows				R	011		\$3,500.00
		Rep. Bradley Mark Walker	▲			R	006		\$1,000.00
NORTH DAKOTA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Kevin John Cramer	▲		Up in '24	R			\$1,000.00
		Sen. John Henry Hoeven, III	▲		Up in '22	R			\$2,500.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Doug Burgum	▲			R			\$1,000.00
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER									
		Hon. Jon Godfread	▲			R			\$1,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Judith Lee			Up in '22	R	013		\$500.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Robin Weisz				R	014		\$500.00
OHIO									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Rob J. Portman	▲		Up in '22	R			\$3,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Joyce Beatty				D	003		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Marcia Louise Fudge	▲			D	011		\$2,000.00
		Rep. James Daniel Jordan				R	004		\$10,000.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
JUDGE (State Supreme Court)									
		Hon. Judith French				R			\$2,500.00
		Hon. Sharon Kennedy				R			\$2,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Nickie J. Antonio			Up in '22	D	023		\$350.00
		Sen. Andrew O. Brenner			Up in '22	R	019		\$350.00
		Sen. David E. Burke				R	026		\$350.00
		Sen. Hearcel Ford Craig			Up in '22	D	015		\$300.00
		Sen. Matthew J. Dolan				R	024		\$500.00
		Sen. Theresa A. Gavarone	▲			R	002		\$350.00
		Sen. Robert D. Hackett				R	010		\$350.00
		Sen. Jay Hottinger	▲		Up in '22	R	031		\$350.00
		Sen. Matt Huffman				R	012		\$350.00
		Sen. Stephen A. Huffman			Up in '22	R	005		\$350.00
		Sen. Terry A. Johnson				R	014		\$350.00
		Sen. Stephanie L. Kunze	▲			R	016		\$350.00
		Sen. Peggy Lehner				R	006		\$350.00
		Sen. Larry J. Obhof				R	022		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Bob Peterson			Up in '22	R	017		\$500.00
		Sen. J. Kirk Schuring			Up in '22	R	029		\$350.00
		Sen. Cecil Thomas	▲		Up in '22	D	009		\$300.00
		Sen. Sandra R. Williams	▲		Up in '22	D	021		\$300.00
		Sen. Steve Wilson	▲		Up in '22	R	007		\$350.00
		Sen. Kenny Yuko	▲		Up in '22	D	025		\$350.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Niraj J. Antani				R	042		\$350.00
		Rep. Kristin Boggs				D	018		\$300.00
		Rep. Janine R. Boyd				D	009		\$300.00
		Rep. Thomas E. Brinkman, Jr.				R	027		\$350.00
		Rep. James Butler				R	041		\$350.00
		Rep. Sara P. Carruthers				R	051		\$350.00
		Rep. Jack Cera				D	096		\$350.00
		Rep. Randi Clites				D	075		\$350.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Jay Edwards				R	094		\$350.00
		Rep. Haraz N. Ghanbari	▲			R	003		\$350.00
		Rep. Timothy Edward Ginter				R	005		\$350.00
		Rep. Diane V. Grendell				R	076		\$350.00
		Rep. Larry Householder				R	072		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Stephanie D. Howse	▲			D	011		\$300.00
		Rep. George F. Lang	▲			R	052		\$350.00
		Rep. Jeff LaRe				R	077		\$350.00
		Rep. Michele Lepore-Hagan				D	058		\$300.00
		Rep. P. Scott Lipps	▲			R	062		\$500.00
		Rep. Beth W. Liston	▲			D	021		\$350.00
		Rep. Don Manning				R	059		\$350.00
		Rep. Derek Merrin				R	047		\$350.00
		Rep. W. Scott Oelslager				R	048		\$500.00
		Rep. Phil Plummer				R	040		\$350.00
		Rep. William F. Reineke, Jr.				R	088		\$300.00
		Rep. Mark J. Romanchuk				R	002		\$350.00
		Rep. Allison Russo				D	024		\$350.00
		Rep. Gary K. Scherer				R	092		\$350.00
		Rep. William F. Seitz				R	030		\$350.00
		Rep. Bride Rose Sweeney				D	014		\$300.00
		Rep. Emilia Strong Sykes				D	034		\$550.00
		Rep. Terrence Upchurch				D	010		\$350.00
		Rep. Thomas E. West				D	049		\$500.00
OKLAHOMA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. James M. Inhofe	▲			R			\$1,000.00
		Sen. James Paul Lankford	▲		Up in '22	R			\$2,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Thomas Jeffery Cole				R	004		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Markwayne Mullin				R	002		\$3,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Mary B. Boren			Up in '22	D	016		\$200.00



Winner







Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. Tom J. Dugger				R	021		\$300.00
		Sen. Carri Hicks			Up in '22	D	040		\$200.00
		Sen. Greg McCortney				R	013		\$300.00
		Sen. Lonnie Paxton				R	023		\$300.00
		Sen. Adam Pugh				R	041		\$300.00
		Sen. J. David Rader				R	039		\$500.00
		Sen. Paul Rosino				R	045		\$500.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Jeff Boatman				R	067		\$300.00
		Rep. Ty D. Burns				R	035		\$300.00
		Rep. Trey Caldwell				R	063		\$300.00
		Rep. Rusty Cornwell				R	006		\$300.00
		Rep. Sheila Dills				R	069		\$300.00
		Rep. Mickey Dollens				D	093		\$200.00
		Rep. Jason Dunnington				D	088		\$200.00
		Rep. Derrel Fincher				R	011		\$300.00
		Rep. Andy Fugate				D	094		\$200.00
		Rep. Jim Grego				R	017		\$300.00
		Rep. Toni Hasenbeck				R	065		\$300.00
		Rep. Brian Hill				R	047		\$300.00
		Rep. Justin Humphrey				R	019		\$300.00
		Rep. Lundy Kiger				R	003		\$300.00
		Rep. T.J. Marti				R	075		\$300.00
		Rep. Nicole Miller				R	082		\$300.00
		Rep. Garry Mize				R	031		\$300.00
		Rep. Cyndi Munson				D	085		\$500.00
		Rep. Kenton Patzkowsky				R	061		\$300.00
		Rep. Randy Randleman				R	015		\$300.00
		Rep. Cynthia J. Roe				R	042		\$300.00
		Rep. Lonnie Sims				R	068		\$300.00
		Rep. Chris Sneed				R	014		\$300.00
		Rep. Marilyn M. Stark				R	100		\$300.00
		Rep. Tammy Townley				R	048		\$300.00
		Rep. Emily Virgin				D	044		\$200.00
									
Winner			Non-Partisan		Debt-Retirement		Facility		

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
OREGON									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Suzanne Marie Bonamici	▲			D	001		\$3,000.00
		Rep. Kurt Schrader	▲			D	005		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Gregory Paul Walden				R	002		\$6,000.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Kate Brown	▲		Up in '22	D		\$5,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Herman Ernst Baertschiger, Jr.				R	002	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Denyc Nicole Boles			Up in '22	R	010	\$2,000.00	
		Sen. Ginny Burdick				D	018	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Peter Courtney			Up in '22	D	011	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Fred F. Girod				R	009	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. William S. Hansell				R	029	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Mark D. Hass				D	014	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Betsy Johnson			Up in '22	D	016	\$2,000.00	
		Sen. Tim R. Knopp				R	027	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Arnold Roblan				D	005	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Chuck William Thomsen			Up in '22	R	026	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Rob Wagner			Up in '22	D	019	\$1,000.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Gregory L. Barreto				R	058	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Shelly Boshart Davis				R	015	\$2,000.00	
		Rep. Brian L. Clem				D	021	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Christine Drazan				R	039	\$2,000.00	
		Rep. Paul L. Evans				D	020	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Lynn P. Findley				R	060	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Cedric R. Hayden				R	007	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Cheri Helt				R	054	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Alissa Keny-Guyer				D	046	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Tina Kotek				D	044	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Rick Lewis				R	018	\$1,000.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Caddy H. McKeown				D	009	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Nancy L. Nathanson				D	013	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Robert A. Nosse				D	042	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Daniel A. Rayfield				D	016	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Jeff Reardon				D	048	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Sheri L. Schouten				D	027	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. David Brock Smith				R	001	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Gregory Vincent Smith				R	057	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Barbara Smith Warner				D	045	\$2,000.00	
		Rep. Carl W. Wilson				R	003	\$1,000.00	
PENNSYLVANIA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Patrick Joseph Toomey	▲		Up in '22	R			\$5,000.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Brendan Francis Boyle				D	002		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Dwight Evans	▲			D	003		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Christina J. Houlahan	▲			D	006		\$3,000.00
		Rep. G. Mike J. Kelly				R	016		\$4,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. John Patrick Blake			Up in '22	D	022		\$500.00
		Sen. Michele Brooks			Up in '22	R	050		\$500.00
		Sen. Patrick M. Browne			Up in '22	R	016		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Jacob D. Corman, III			Up in '22	R	034		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Jay Costa, Jr.				D	043		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Andrew E. Dinniman	▲			D	019		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Vincent J. Hughes				D	007		\$500.00
		Sen. Thomas H. Killion				R	009		\$500.00
		Sen. Robert B. Mensch			Up in '22	R	024		\$1,500.00
		Sen. Katie J. Muth	▲		Up in '22	D	044		\$500.00
		Sen. Kristin Lee Phillips-Hill			Up in '22	R	028		\$500.00
		Sen. Michael R. Regan	▲			R	031		\$700.00
		Sen. Joseph B. Scarnati, III				R	025		\$2,000.00
		Sen. Mario M. Scavella			Up in '22	R	040		\$500.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. Judith L. Schwank				D	011		\$500.00
		Sen. Judith F. Ward			Up in '22	R	030		\$500.00
		Sen. Kim L. Ward				R	039		\$500.00
		Sen. Gene Yaw				R	023		\$500.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Kerry A. Benninghoff				R	171		\$750.00
		Rep. Matthew D. Bradford				D	070		\$250.00
		Rep. Tim P. Briggs	▲			D	149		\$500.00
		Rep. Bryan D. Cutler				R	100		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Mary Jo Daley				D	148		\$300.00
		Rep. Anthony M. DeLuca				D	032		\$500.00
		Rep. Frank J. Dermody				D	033		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Doyle M. Heffley				R	122		\$500.00
		Rep. Mark A. Longietti				D	007		\$500.00
		Rep. Steven C. Mentzer				R	097		\$500.00
		Rep. Eric R. Nelson				R	057		\$500.00
		Rep. Donna R. Oberlander				R	063		\$500.00
		Rep. Tina L. Pickett				R	110		\$500.00
		Rep. Kathy L. Rapp				R	065		\$700.00
		Rep. Stanley E. Saylor				R	094		\$500.00
		Rep. Michael H. Schlossberg				D	132		\$300.00
		Rep. Melissa Shusterman	▲			D	157		\$500.00
		Rep. Marcy Toepel				R	147		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Michael C. Turzai				R	028		\$2,000.00
SOUTH CAROLINA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Lindsey Olin Graham	▲			R			\$2,500.00
		Sen. Timothy Eugene Scott	▲		Up in '22	R			\$1,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. James E. Clyburn	▲			D	006		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Tom Rice				R	007		\$2,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Tom Davis				R	046	\$1,000.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Sen. C. Bradley Hutto				D	040	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Darrell Jackson				D	021	\$500.00	
		Sen. Daniel B. Verdin, III				R	009	\$1,000.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Leon Howard				D	076	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Robert L. Ridgeway, III				D	064	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. J. Todd Rutherford				D	074	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. G. Murrell Smith, Jr.				R	067	\$1,000.00	
SOUTH DAKOTA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. M. Michael Rounds	▲			R			\$1,500.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Kristi Lynn Noem	▲		Up in '22	R			\$5,000.00
TENNESSEE									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Mark E. Green				R	007		\$2,000.00
		Rep. John W. Rose				R	006		\$1,000.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Paul Bailey			Up in '22	R	015		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Richard Briggs			Up in '22	R	007		\$500.00
		Sen. Rusty Crowe, II			Up in '22	R	003		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Ferrell Haile				R	018		\$500.00
		Sen. Joey Hensley				R	028		\$500.00
		Sen. Jack Johnson			Up in '22	R	023		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Jon C. Lundberg	▲			R	004		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Shane Reeves				R	014		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Art Swann				R	002		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Bo Watson			Up in '22	R	011		\$500.00
		Sen. Ken Yager				R	012		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Jeff Yarbrow			Up in '22	D	021		\$500.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Clark Boyd				R	046		\$500.00
		Rep. Michael G. Curcio				R	069		\$500.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Jeremy Faison				R	011		\$2,500.00
		Rep. Ron M. Gant				R	094		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Patsy Hazlewood				R	027		\$500.00
		Rep. Esther Helton				R	030		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Gary W. Hicks, Jr.				R	009		\$500.00
		Rep. Matthew Hill				R	007		\$500.00
		Rep. Timothy Hill				R	003		\$500.00
		Rep. Andrew H. Holt				R	076		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Chris Hurt				R	082		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Curtis G. Johnson				R	068		\$500.00
		Rep. Kelly T. Keisling				R	038		\$500.00
		Rep. Sabi Kumar				R	066		\$500.00
		Rep. Thomas F. Leatherwood, III				R	099		\$500.00
		Rep. Pat Marsh				R	062		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Cameron Sexton				R	025		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Robin Smith				R	026		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Mike Stewart				D	052		\$500.00
		Rep. Bryan Terry				R	048		\$500.00
		Rep. Kevin Vaughan				R	095		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Mark White	▲			R	083		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Sam Whitson				R	065		\$500.00
TEXAS									
US SENATE									
		Sen. John Cornyn, III	▲			R			\$6,000.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Jodey Cook Arrington				R	019		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Kevin Patrick Brady				R	008		\$2,500.00
		Rep. John R. Carter				R	031		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Daniel Crenshaw				R	002		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Veronica Escobar				D	016		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Sylvia R. Garcia				D	029		\$5,000.00
		Rep. Vicente Gonzalez				D	015		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Kenny Ewell Marchant	▲			R	024		\$2,000.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Marc A. Veasey				D	033		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Filemon Bartolome Vela, Jr.				D	034		\$1,000.00
LAND COMMISSIONER									
		Hon. George P. Bush	▲		Up in '22	R			\$2,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Dawn Buckingham				R	024		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Donna Campbell			Up in '22	R	025		\$1,000.00
		Sen. C. Brandon Creighton				R	004		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Kelly Hancock	▲		Up in '22	R	009		\$2,000.00
		Sen. Nathan Johnson	▲		Up in '22	D	016		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Lois W. Kolkhorst				R	018		\$2,000.00
		Sen. Borris L. Miles				D	013		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Jane Nelson				R	012		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Robert Nichols			Up in '22	R	003		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Angela Paxton			Up in '22	R	008		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Charles Perry				R	028		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Kelton G. Seliger			Up in '22	R	031		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Kirk Watson	▲		Up in '22	D	014		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Judith Zaffirini	▲			D	021		\$1,000.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Steve Allison				R	121		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Sarah Davis				R	134		\$1,500.00
		Rep. James Frank				R	069		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Craig Goldman				R	097		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Mary E. Gonzalez				D	075		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Matt Krause				R	093		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Stan Lambert				R	071		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Jeff Leach				R	067		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Eddie Lucio, III				D	038		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Joseph E. Moody				D	078		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Tom Oliverson				R	130		\$1,500.00
		Rep. W. Four Price				R	087		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Richard Pena Raymond				D	042		\$2,000.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Eduardo Rodriguez	▲			D	051		\$1,000.00
		Rep. J.D. Sheffield				R	059		\$2,000.00
		Rep. Lynn Stucky				R	064		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Senfronia Thompson				D	141		\$1,500.00
		Rep. Chris Turner				D	101		\$1,500.00
		Rep. Armando L. Walle				D	140		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Gene Wu				D	137		\$1,000.00
CITY COUNCIL (Houston)									
	●	Cnclm Abbie Kamin				D	C		\$2,500.00
UTAH									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. John R. Curtis				R	003		\$1,000.00
GOVERNOR									
		Hon. Spencer J. Cox	▲			R		\$2,000.00	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL									
		AG Sean D. Reyes	▲			R		\$5,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. J. Stuart Adams			Up in '22	R	022	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Curtis S. Bramble				R	016	\$750.00	
		Sen. Kirk A. Cullimore, Jr.			Up in '22	R	009	\$500.00	
		Sen. Daniel Hemmert				R	014	\$750.00	
		Sen. Evan J. Vickers			Up in '22	R	028	\$1,000.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. James A. Dunnigan				R	039	\$750.00	
		Rep. Francis D. Gibson				R	065	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Kelly B. Miles				R	011	\$300.00	
		Rep. Calvin R. Musselman				R	009	\$500.00	
		Rep. Paul Ray				R	013	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Mike Schultz				R	012	\$750.00	
		Rep. Robert Spendlove				R	049	\$500.00	
		Rep. Steve Waldrip				R	008	\$200.00	
		Rep. Brad R. Wilson				R	015	\$1,000.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
VIRGIN ISLANDS									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Stacey Elizabeth Plaskett				D	001		\$1,000.00
VIRGINIA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Timothy Michael Kaine	▲		Up in '24	D			\$2,500.00
		Sen. Mark Robert Warner	▲			D			\$5,000.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Gerald E. Connolly	▲			D	011		\$1,000.00
		Rep. H. Morgan Griffith	▲			R	009		\$2,500.00
STATE SENATE									
●		Sen. George L. Barker				D	039		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. John J. Bell				D	013		\$750.00
●		Sen. Jennifer B. Boysko				D	033		\$1,000.00
		Rosalyn R. Dance				D	016		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. William R. DeSteph				R	008		\$750.00
●		Sen. Siobhan S. Dunnivant				R	012		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Barbara A. Favola				D	031		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Emmett W. Hanger, Jr.				R	024		\$1,500.00
●		Sen. Janet Denison Howell	▲			D	032		\$1,000.00
		Jennifer Kiggans				R	007		\$750.00
●		Sen. Lynwood W. Lewis, Jr.				D	006		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Mamie E. Locke				D	002		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. L. Louise Lucas				D	018		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Ryan T. McDougale				R	004		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Jeremy S. McPike				D	029		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Joseph D. Morrissey				D	016		\$750.00
●		Sen. Stephen D. Newman				R	023		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Thomas K. Norment, Jr.				R	003		\$1,250.00
●		Sen. Todd E. Pillion				R	040		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Bryce E. Reeves				R	017		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Frank M. Ruff, Jr.				R	015		\$1,000.00
●		Sen. Richard L. Saslaw				D	035		\$2,000.00
●		Sen. Lionell Spruill, Sr.				D	005		\$750.00
●				●			▲		
Winner		Non-Partisan		Debt-Retirement			Facility		

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
	●	Sen. William M. Stanley, Jr.	▲			R	020	\$750.00	
		Glen H. Sturtevant, Jr.				R	010	\$1,000.00	
	●	Sen. Scott A. Surovell				D	036	\$1,000.00	
STATE HOUSE									
	●	Del. Lashrecse D. Aird				D	063	\$1,000.00	
		John Avoli				R	020	\$500.00	
		Amanda Batten				R	096	\$500.00	
	●	Del. Jeff M. Bourne	▲			D	071	\$500.00	
	●	Del. Kathy J. Byron				R	022	\$500.00	
	●	Del. M. Kirkland Cox				R	066	\$2,250.00	
		Carrie Coyner				R	062	\$500.00	
	●	Del. Glenn R. Davis				R	084	\$500.00	
	●	Del. Eileen Filler-Corn				D	041	\$1,000.00	
	●	Del. C. Todd Gilbert				R	015	\$750.00	
	●	Del. Christopher T. Head				R	017	\$500.00	
	●	Del. M. Keith Hodges				R	098	\$1,000.00	
	●	Del. Patrick A. Hope				D	047	\$750.00	
		Timothy D. Hugo				R	040	\$1,000.00	
	●	Del. Chris L. Hurst	▲			D	012	\$500.00	
		Steven Christopher Jones				R	076	\$1,000.00	
	●	Del. Mark Herbert Levine				D	045	\$750.00	
	●	Del. Alfonso H. Lopez				D	049	\$1,000.00	
		Martha Mugler				D	091	\$500.00	
	●	Del. Michael P. Mullin				D	093	\$500.00	
	●	Del. Robert D. Orrock, Sr.				R	054	\$750.00	
		Chris Runion				R	025	\$500.00	
	●	Del. Larry Nick Rush				R	007	\$750.00	
		Don Scott				D	080	\$500.00	
	●	Del. Mark D. Sickles				D	043	\$1,000.00	
		Christopher P. Stolle				R	083	\$750.00	
	●	Del. Richard C. Sullivan, Jr.				D	048	\$750.00	
	●	Del. Luke E. Torian				D	052	\$1,000.00	

●
Winner

●
Non-Partisan

○
Debt-Retirement

▲
Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
	●	Del. Jeion A. Ward				D	092	\$750.00	
		Scott Wyatt				R	097	\$500.00	
WASHINGTON									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Patricia Lynn Murray	▲		Up in '22	D			\$1,500.00
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Derek Kilmer				D	006		\$2,000.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Jay Inslee	▲			D		\$4,000.00	
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Annette Cleveland				D	048	\$1,000.00	
		Sen. Curtis King				R	014	\$500.00	
		Sen. Steve O'Ban				R	028	\$500.00	
		Sen. Kevin Van De Wege				D	024	\$500.00	
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Andrew K. Barkis				R	002	\$500.00	
		Rep. Kelly Chambers				R	025	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Paul Harris				R	017	\$2,000.00	
		Rep. Laurie Jenkins				D	027	\$2,000.00	
		Rep. Christine Kilduff				D	028	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. John Lovick				D	044	\$500.00	
		Rep. Nicole Macri				D	043	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Jacquelin Maycumber				R	007	\$500.00	
		Kristine Reeves				D	030	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. June Robinson				D	038	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Skyler Rude				R	016	\$500.00	
		Rep. Joe Schmick				R	009	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Vandana Slatter				D	048	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Drew Stokesbary				R	031	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Monica Jurado Stonier				D	049	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Pat Sullivan				D	047	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. My-Linh Thai				D	041	\$1,000.00	



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
		Rep. Brandon Vick				R	018	\$1,000.00	
		Rep. Amy Walen				D	048	\$1,000.00	
WEST VIRGINIA									
US SENATE									
		Sen. Shelley Moore Capito	▲			R			\$2,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Craig P. Blair				R	015		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Glenn D. Jeffries				D	008		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Kenny W. Mann				R	010		\$1,000.00
		Del. Fredrik Eric Nelson				R	017		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Robert H. Plymale				D	005		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Roman W. Prezioso, Jr.				D	013		\$1,000.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Del. Moore Capito				R	035		\$1,000.00
		Del. Joe C. Ellington, Jr.				R	027		\$1,000.00
		Del. Paul A. Espinosa				R	066		\$1,000.00
		Del. Roger Glen Hanshaw				R	033		\$1,000.00
		Del. Matthew Alan Rohrbach				R	017		\$1,000.00
		Del. Margaret Anne Staggers				D	032		\$1,000.00
		Del. Erikka Lynn Storch				R	003		\$1,000.00
WISCONSIN									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Michael John Gallagher				R	008		\$1,000.00
		Rep. Ronald James Kind				D	003		\$4,500.00
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Scott L. Fitzgerald			Up in '22	R	013		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Jennifer Shilling				D	032		\$1,000.00
		Sen. Patrick Testin				R	024		\$1,000.00
WYOMING									
US HOUSE									
		Rep. Elizabeth Cheney	▲			R	001		\$1,000.00
GOVERNOR									
		Gov. Mark Gordon	▲		Up in '22	R			\$500.00



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer Political Contributions Recipients January 2019 – December 2019

State/Office	Win	Full Name	Facility	Debt Retirement	Future Election	Party	District	Corp	PAC
STATE SENATE									
		Sen. Brian S. Boner				R	002		\$200.00
		Sen. Affie Ellis				R	008		\$250.00
		Sen. Glenn Moniz				R	010		\$200.00
		Sen. Tara Nethercott				R	004		\$200.00
		Sen. Charles K. Scott				R	030		\$200.00
STATE HOUSE									
		Rep. Landon J. Brown				R	009		\$200.00
		Rep. John Eklund, Jr.				R	010		\$150.00
		Rep. Mike Greear				R	027		\$150.00
		Rep. Bill Henderson				R	041		\$150.00
		Rep. Dan R. Kirkbride				R	004		\$200.00
		Rep. Lloyd Charles Larsen				R	054		\$200.00
		Rep. Dan Laursen				R	025		\$150.00
		Rep. Tyler Lindholm				R	001		\$250.00
		Rep. Jared Olsen				R	011		\$200.00
		Rep. Tom Walters				R	038		\$200.00
		Rep. Cyrus Western				R	051		\$200.00
		Rep. Sue Wilson				R	007		\$200.00
		Rep. Dan Zwonitzer				R	043		\$200.00
Grand Total								\$526,750.00	\$746,836.11



Winner



Non-Partisan



Debt-Retirement



Facility

Pfizer PAC

Leading the Conversation.



Leadership PAC's,
Trade Associations and
Party Committees

January 2019 – December 2019

State	Committee Name	Party	Corp	PAC
ALABAMA	Alabama Republican Party (Non-Federal)	R	\$5,000.00	
	Reaching For A Brighter America PAC (Rep. Robert Brown Aderholt)	R		\$2,500.00
	Seeking Justice PAC (Sen. Doug Jones)	D		\$5,000.00
ARIZONA	Arizona Democratic Party (Non-Federal)	D	\$1,000.00	
	Arizona Republican Party (Non-Federal)	R	\$3,000.00	\$500.00
CALIFORNIA	BioCom PAC	●	\$3,000.00	
	California Armenian Legislative Caucus	●	\$1,000.00	
	California Democratic Party	D	\$30,000.00	
	California Latino Caucus Leadership PAC	D	\$1,500.00	
	California Life Sciences Association PAC (CLSA PAC)	●	\$6,000.00	
	California Republican Party (Non-Federal)	R	\$10,000.00	
	Conference of Western Attorneys General (CWAG)	●	\$625,000.00	
	Democrats Reshaping America (DREAMPAC) (Rep. Linda T. Sanchez)	D		\$1,500.00
	Dr. Richard Pan Senate 2018 Officeholder Account (Sen. Richard Pan)	D	\$3,900.00	
	Majority Committee PAC--Mc PAC (Rep. Kevin Owen McCarthy)	R		\$5,000.00
	PAC To the Future (Rep. Nancy Pelosi)	D		\$1,000.00
	Pharmaceutical Research & Manufacturers Association of America PAC (PhRMA PAC)	●	\$7,800.00	
COLORADO	Better Colorado Alliance	D	\$5,000.00	
	Colorado Democratic Latino Caucus	D	\$2,500.00	
	Fenberg Leadership Fund (Sen. Steven Fenberg)	D		\$200.00
	Garnett Leadership Fund (Rep. Alec Garnett)	D		\$500.00
	Gotta Have Faith (Sen. Faith Winter)	D		\$200.00
	Leading Colorado Forward	D	\$5,000.00	
	Lundeen Leadership Fund (Sen. Paul Lundeen)	R		\$300.00
	Patrick PAC (Rep. Patrick Neville)	R		\$575.00
	Project West Political Action Committee (Sen. Cory Gardner)	R		\$2,500.00
	Senate Majority Fund	R	\$4,000.00	
	The Right Leadership PAC	R		\$200.00
	Values First Colorado	R	\$2,000.00	
CONNECTICUT	Connecticut Democratic State Central Committee	D		\$5,000.00
	Connecticut Republican Party	R		\$5,000.00



Non-Partisan

State	Committee Name	Party	Corp	PAC
	Husky PAC (Rep. Joseph D. Courtney)	D		\$1,000.00
	Leadership Connecticut PAC	●		\$5,000.00
	Nutmeg PAC (Sen. Richard Blumenthal)	D		\$2,500.00
	Synergy PAC (Rep. John Barry Larson)	D		\$1,000.00
DELAWARE	Blue Hen Federal PAC (Sen. Christopher Andrew Coons)	D		\$5,000.00
	Delaware House Democrats	D	\$450.00	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	Aspire PAC	D		\$5,000.00
	Biotechnology Innovation Organization PAC (BIO PAC)	●		\$5,000.00
	Chamber Of Commerce Of The United States Of America PAC (US CHAMBER PAC)	●		\$5,000.00
	CHC BOLD PAC	D		\$5,000.00
	Congressional Black Caucus PAC	D		\$5,000.00
	DCCC	D		\$15,000.00
	DCCC (Building Fund)	D		\$10,000.00
	Democratic Attorneys General Association (DAGA)	D	\$150,000.00	
	Democratic Governors Association (DGA)	D	\$705,000.00	
	DNC Services Corporation/Democratic National Committee	D		\$15,000.00
	DSCC	D		\$15,000.00
	DSCC (Building Fund)	D		\$38,900.00
	Elect Democratic Women	●		\$5,000.00
	Equality PAC	●		\$5,000.00
	LGBTQ Victory Fund Federal PAC	D		\$2,500.00
	Moderate Democrats PAC	D		\$5,000.00
	National Association Of Manufacturers PAC (NAM-PAC)	●		\$5,000.00
	New Democrat Coalition Action Fund	D		\$5,000.00
	NRCC	R		\$15,000.00
	NRCC (Recount Fund)	R		\$5,500.00
	NRSC	R		\$15,000.00
	NRSC (Building Fund)	R		\$30,000.00
	Pharmaceutical Research & Manufacturers of America (PhRMA)	●	\$283,187.00	
	Pharmaceutical Research & Manufacturers Of America Better Government Committee	●		\$5,000.00



Non-Partisan

State	Committee Name	Party	Corp	PAC
FLORIDA	Republican Attorneys General Association (RAGA)	R	\$10,000.00	
	Republican Governors Association (RGA)	R	\$415,000.00	
	Republican National Committee	R		\$15,000.00
	Republican State Leadership Committee (RSLC)	R	\$160,000.00	
	Conservatives for a Better Florida (Rep. Daniel Anthony Perez)	R	\$8,000.00	
	Conservatives for Principled Leadership (Rep. Paul M. Renner)	R	\$7,500.00	
	Democrats Win Seats (DWS PAC) (Rep. Debbie Wasserman Schultz)	D		\$2,500.00
	Florida Conservative Committee (Rep. Bob Rommel)	R	\$2,500.00	
	Florida Democratic Party (Non-Federal)	D	\$10,500.00	
	Florida Republican Senatorial Campaign Committee	R	\$15,000.00	
	Floridians for Economic Freedom (Rep. Chris Sprowls)	R	\$7,500.00	
	Floridians for Limited Government (Sen. Douglas Vaughn Broxson)	R	\$3,000.00	
	Free Markets for Florida (Rep. Ray Wesley Rodrigues)	R	\$2,000.00	
	Friends of Jason Brodeur (Jason T. Brodeur)	R	\$5,000.00	
	Reclaim America PAC (Sen. Marco Antonio Rubio)	R		\$1,500.00
	Republican Party of Florida (Non-Federal)	R	\$15,000.00	
	Women Building the Future (Jennifer Bradley)	R	\$5,000.00	
	Working Together for Florida PAC (Sen. Kathleen C. Passidomo)	R	\$5,000.00	
	21st Century Majority Fund (Johnny H. Isakson)	R		\$5,000.00
	DPG - Senate Majority Fund	D	\$3,000.00	
GEORGIA	Georgia House Republican Trust, Inc.	R	\$2,750.00	
	Georgia Republican Senatorial Committee, Inc.	R	\$4,000.00	
	One Georgia PAC (Sen. David Alfred Perdue, Jr.)	R		\$3,500.00
IDAHO	Freedom Fund (Sen. Michael Dean Crapo)	R		\$5,000.00
ILLINOIS	CHERPAC (Rep. Cheryl L. Bustos)	D		\$5,000.00
	Citizens for Thaddeus Jones (Rep. Thaddeus M. Jones)	D	\$1,000.00	
	Perimeter PAC (Sen. L. Tammy Duckworth)	D		\$5,000.00
INDIANA	Hoosier PAC (Sen. Michael K. Braun)	R		\$2,500.00
	Oorah! Political Action Committee (Sen. Todd Christopher Young)	R		\$5,000.00
	Team Holcomb (Gov. Eric Joseph Holcomb)	R	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
IOWA	The Hawkeye PAC (Sen. Charles E. Grassley)	R		\$1,000.00



Non-Partisan

State	Committee Name	Party	Corp	PAC
KANSAS	Future Vision	R	\$2,500.00	
	Kansas Senate Democrats	D	\$1,000.00	
	Lift up Kansas PAC	R	\$1,000.00	
	Preserving America's Traditions (PATPAC) (Sen. Pat Roberts)	R		\$2,500.00
	Right Way Kansas Action Fund Inc.	R	\$5,000.00	
	The Kansas Truth Caucus	R	\$1,000.00	
KENTUCKY	Bluegrass Committee (Sen. Mitch McConnell)	R		\$5,000.00
	House Republican Caucus Campaign Committee	R		\$5,000.00
	Republican Party Of Kentucky	R		\$5,000.00
	Republican Party of Kentucky (Non-Federal)	R		\$5,000.00
	Senate Republican Caucus Campaign Committee	R		\$5,000.00
LOUISIANA	Bishop PAC (Rep. Stuart J. Bishop)	R	\$250.00	
	Continuing America's Strength And Security PAC (Sen. William Cassidy)	R		\$3,000.00
	Louisiana House Democratic Campaign Committee	D	\$5,000.00	
	Louisiana Republican Legislative Delegation Campaign Committee	R	\$6,000.00	
	Louisiana Senate Democratic Campaign Committee	D	\$5,000.00	
MAINE	Fecteau for Leadership PAC (Rep. Ryan M. Fecteau)	D	\$500.00	
	House Democratic Campaign Committee	D	\$1,000.00	
	House Republican Majority Fund	R	\$3,000.00	
	Maine Senate Republican Majority	R	\$8,000.00	
	Prosperity for Maine's Future PAC (Sen. Matthew G. Pouliot)	R	\$1,000.00	
	The Star City PAC (Rep. Harold Trey L. Stewart, III)	R	\$1,500.00	
	Western Maine Strong PAC (Rep. Nathan J. Wadsworth)	R	\$600.00	
MARYLAND	AMERIPAC The Fund for a Greater America (Rep. Steny Hamilton Hoyer)	D		\$5,000.00
	Blue Dog Political Action Committee	D		\$5,000.00
	Future Forum PAC	●		\$5,000.00
	Maryland House Democratic Caucus Committee	D	\$1,500.00	
	Republican House Caucus Committee	R	\$1,500.00	
MASSACHUSETTS	Massachusetts Biotechnology Council Inc. Federal Political Action Committee	●		\$5,000.00
	Massachusetts Democratic State Committee	D		\$5,000.00
	The Madison PAC (Rep. Richard Edmund Neal)	D		\$5,000.00
MICHIGAN	Blue Water Liberty Fund (Rep. Shane Hernandez)	R		\$1,000.00



Non-Partisan

State	Committee Name	Party	Corp	PAC
MINNESOTA	Chatfield Majority Fund (Rep. Lee Chatfield)	R		\$1,000.00
	Compete Michigan PAC (Sen. Mike Shirkey)	R		\$1,000.00
	MAC PAC (Sen. Peter MacGregor)	R		\$1,000.00
	Maintaining Majority Fund (Rep. Brandt Iden)	R		\$1,000.00
	Motor City PAC (Sen. Gary Charles Peters)	D		\$5,000.00
	VanderWall Majority Fund (Sen. Curt VanderWall)	R		\$1,000.00
	House Republican Campaign Committee	R		\$1,000.00
	Minnesota DFL Senate Caucus	D		\$1,000.00
	Minnesota House DFL Caucus	D		\$1,000.00
	Senate Victory PAC	R		\$5,000.00
MISSOURI	DougPAC (Rep. Doug Beck)	D	\$1,000.00	
	Missouri House Republican Campaign Committee	R	\$5,000.00	
	Missouri Senate Campaign Committee	R	\$5,000.00	
	Rely On Your Beliefs Fund (Sen. Roy Dean Blunt)	R		\$5,000.00
	Uniting Missouri PAC	R	\$10,000.00	
MONTANA	Montana Democratic Legislative Campaign Committee (MDLCC)	D		\$1,500.00
	Montana Democratic Party	D		\$1,000.00
	Montana Republican Legislative Campaign Committee (MRLCC)	R		\$1,500.00
NEVADA	All For Our Country Leadership PAC (Sen. Catherine Cortez Masto)	D		\$5,000.00
	Leadership in Nevada (Assm. Jason M. Frierson)	D	\$4,000.00	
	Smart Solutions PAC (Sen. Jacky Rosen)	D		\$5,000.00
NEW HAMPSHIRE	Committee to Elect House Republicans	R	\$1,000.00	
	Madam President PAC (Sen. Donna M. Soucy)	D	\$5,500.00	
	New Hampshire Senate Democratic Caucus	D	\$5,500.00	
	New Hampshire Senate Republicans Political Action Committee	R	\$500.00	
	Senate Republican Majority PAC	R	\$5,000.00	
NEW JERSEY	Andrzejczak, Land and Milam	D	\$500.00	
	New Jobs	●	\$500.00	
	New Millennium PAC (Sen. Robert Menendez)	D		\$2,500.00
	Shore PAC (Rep. Frank Pallone, Jr.)	D		\$2,500.00
	Swain and Tully for Assembly	D	\$500.00	



Non-Partisan

State	Committee Name	Party	Corp	PAC
NEW MEXICO	Democratic Party of New Mexico (Non-Federal)	D	\$3,700.00	
NEW YORK	Democratic Assembly Campaign Committee	D	\$2,500.00	\$3,500.00
	Democratic Assembly Campaign Committee Housekeeping Account	D	\$8,500.00	
	IMPACT (Sen. Charles E. Schumer)	D		\$5,000.00
	New York State Democratic Committee - Housekeeping Account	D	\$20,000.00	
	New York State Democratic Committee (Non-Federal)	D		\$9,500.00
	NYS Democratic Senate Campaign Committee	D	\$2,500.00	\$2,000.00
	NYS Democratic Senate Campaign Committee - Housekeeping	D	\$8,500.00	
	NYS Senate Republican Campaign Committee	R		\$1,000.00
	Republican Assembly Campaign Committee	R		\$1,000.00
	Speaker Heastie PAC (Assm. Carl E. Heastie)	D		\$1,000.00
NORTH CAROLINA	Conservative Roundtable (Rep. George E. Holding)	R		\$2,500.00
	Next Century Fund (Sen. Richard M. Burr)	R		\$2,500.00
	Together Holding Our Majority PAC (Sen. Thomas Roland Tillis)	R		\$5,000.00
NORTH DAKOTA	North Dakota House Democratic-NPL Caucus	D		\$500.00
	North Dakota Senate Democratic - NPL Caucus	D		\$500.00
	North Dakota Senate Republican Caucus	R		\$1,000.00
	Republican House Caucus	R		\$1,000.00
OHIO	Promoting Our Republican Team PAC (Sen. Rob J. Portman)	R		\$1,500.00
	Securing Ohio's Future	R	\$13,000.00	
OKLAHOMA	Marathon PAC			\$5,000.00
OREGON	Three Rivers Political Action Committee (Rep. Kurt Schrader)	D		\$5,000.00
	Votevets	D		\$2,500.00
PENNSYLVANIA	House Democratic Campaign Committee (HDCC)	D		\$1,000.00
	House Republican Campaign Committee (HRCC)	R		\$2,000.00
	Keystone America PAC (Sen. Robert P. Casey, Jr.)	D		\$5,000.00
	Senate Democratic Campaign Committee	D		\$1,000.00
	Senate Republican Campaign Committee (SRCC)	R		\$2,000.00
SOUTH CAROLINA	Building Relationships In Diverse Geographic Environments PAC (BRIDGE PAC) (Rep. James E. Clyburn)	D		\$5,000.00
	South Carolina Democratic Party (Non-Federal)	D	\$3,500.00	



Non-Partisan

State	Committee Name	Party	Corp	PAC
	South Carolina House Democratic Caucus	D	\$2,250.00	
	South Carolina Republican Party (Non-Federal)	R	\$3,500.00	
	South Carolina Senate Democratic Caucus	D	\$3,500.00	
	South Carolina Senate Republican Caucus	R	\$3,500.00	
	Tomorrow Is Meaningful PAC (Sen. Timothy Eugene Scott)	R		\$4,000.00
SOUTH DAKOTA	Heartland Values PAC (Sen. John Randolph Thune)	R		\$5,000.00
	House GOP PAC	R		\$2,000.00
	Senate Republican Campaign Committee	R		\$2,000.00
TENNESSEE	CAM PAC (Rep. Cameron Sexton)	R		\$5,000.00
	Healthcare Freedom Fund (Rep. David Philip Roe)	R		\$2,500.00
	Lamberth PAC (Rep. William G. Lamberth)	R		\$1,000.00
	Making A Responsible Stand For Households In America PAC (Sen. Marsha Wedgeworth Blackburn)	R		\$2,500.00
	McPAC (Sen. Randy McNally, III)	R		\$1,000.00
	Sargent Legacy Fund	R		\$1,000.00
	Tenn Political Action Committee Inc (TENN PAC) (Sen. Lamar Alexander)	R		\$2,500.00
	Tennessee Chamber of Commerce and Industry PAC	●		\$1,000.00
	Tennessee Republican Party (Non-Federal)	R		\$5,000.00
TEXAS	Manufacturers PAC of Texas (MPACT)	●		\$500.00
UTAH	Believe In America PAC (Sen. Mitt Romney)	R		\$2,500.00
	Utah House Republican Election Committee	R	\$2,000.00	
	Utah Republican Senate Campaign Committee	R	\$2,000.00	
VERMONT	Green Mountain PAC (Sen. Patrick Joseph Leahy)	D		\$1,000.00
VIRGINIA	Common Ground PAC (Sen. Timothy Michael Kaine)	D		\$5,000.00
	Forward Together PAC (Sen. Mark Robert Warner)	D		\$5,000.00
WASHINGTON	Citizens Alliance for a Legislative Majority	●	\$3,000.00	
	Harry Truman Fund	D	\$3,500.00	
	Kennedy Fund	D	\$3,000.00	
	M-PAC (Sen. Patricia Lynn Murray)	D		\$5,000.00
	The Reagan Fund	R	\$3,000.00	
WEST VIRGINIA	West Virginia Republican Senate Committee	R		\$500.00
WISCONSIN	Assembly Democratic Campaign Committee	D		\$1,000.00



Non-Partisan

State	Committee Name	Party	Corp	PAC
	Committee to Elect a Republican Senate	R		\$6,000.00
	Republican Assembly Campaign Committee	R		\$8,000.00
	State Senate Democratic Committee	D		\$1,000.00
WYOMING	Common Values PAC (Sen. John Anthony Barrasso)	R		\$5,000.00
	Making Business Excel Political Action Committee (Sen. Michael Bradley Enzi)	R		\$2,000.00
Total - Leadership PAC's, Trade Associations and Party Committees			\$2,721,887.00	\$563,875.00

Summary of 2019 Political Contributions:

Total - Candidate Committees	\$526,750.00	\$746,836.11
Total - Leadership PAC's, Trade Associations and Party Committees	\$2,721,887.00	\$563,875.00
Total 2019 Political Contributions	\$3,248,637.00	\$1,310,711.11
Total PAC and Corporate Political Contributions in 2019		\$4,559,348.11



Non-Partisan

The background of the top half of the cover is a photograph of the Supreme Court building. Large, fluted columns are in the foreground, and an American flag flies on a tall pole in the distance. The sky is clear and blue.

Pfizer PAC

Leading the Conversation.



Financial Statements

January 2019 – December 2019

Pfizer Inc. PAC January 2019 - December 2019
Combined Statement of Assets and Liabilities
Arising from Cash Transactions

Assets		2019
Cash		\$764,056.04
Net Assets		\$764,056.04
Revenues: Contributions		
Payroll Deductions		\$1,551,004.99
Checks		\$32,000.00
Credit Cards		\$11,889.00
Refunds from Candidates		\$0.00
Other (Ret Checks Prior Cycle)		\$14,150.07
Total Revenues		\$1,609,044.06
Expenditures: Support of Candidates		
Federal		\$444,886.11
State & Local		\$301,950.00
Political Parties & Other PAC's		\$563,875.00
Fundraising Expense		\$0.00
Interest & Other		\$0.00
Total Expenditures		\$1,310,711.11
Excess of Support over Expenditures		\$298,332.95
Fund Available: Cash Balance		
Beginning of Year		\$465,723.09
End of Year		\$764,056.04

See accompanying notes to the combined financial statements.

Disbursements

Support of Candidates:		2019
Contributions to:		
U.S. Senate Candidates		\$117,886.11
U.S. House Candidates		\$327,000.00
State & Local Candidates		\$301,950.00
Total		\$746,836.11
Other Expenditures:		
Political Parties & Other PAC's		\$563,875.00
Fundraising Expense		\$0.00
Interest & Other		\$0.00
Total		\$563,875.00
Total Expenditures		\$1,310,711.11

Notes to the Financial Statements

1. FUND DESCRIPTION

The Pfizer Inc. PAC (the Fund) was formed by Pfizer Inc. (the Company) to solicit and receive voluntary political contributions from employees and stockholders of the Company and certain subsidiaries to assist candidates for elective office. The Fund was registered with the Federal Election Commission in April 1976.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The combined financial statement activities of the Fund include several political action committees which were formed to comply with certain state laws. The accounts of the Fund are maintained on a cash basis. All administrative costs of the Fund are borne by the Company in accordance with federal law.

Tax Status

The Fund is registered as a political organization and, as such, is subject to tax on non-exempt function income, which includes interest income, if any.

3. EXTERNAL FINANCING

The Fund may borrow cash, as necessary, to contribute to candidates in anticipation of employee contributions. The fund did not have any borrowings during the period January 2019 – December 2019.



Pfizer PAC

Leading the Conversation.

Pfizer PAC 
Political Action Committee

235 East 42nd Street,
New York, NY 10017

www.pfizer.com/about/corporate_governance/political_action_committee_report