

# Bob Salstone

26th February 2007

Office of the Secretariat  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
100 F Street, N. E.  
Washington, D.C. 20549—1090

Attn: Ms. Nancy M. Morris, Secretary

VIA: Electronic Mail ONLY: [Rule-Comments@SEC.gov](mailto:Rule-Comments@SEC.gov)

Ladies and Gentlemen,

RE: FILE NUMBER: SR-CBOE-2006-106

I have a home equity loan. I signed a contract to repay Bank One. Now that Bank One has been acquired by Chase, I'm convinced that I should no longer be required to continue making monthly payments. Now most reasonable people would consider my argument to be utterly ridiculous to say the least! But, I ask you, how is this any different than what the CBOE is asking you to rule on regarding their contractual obligations to the CBOT?

After all, they're (the CBOE) saying that once the CBOT is acquired by the CME, they can renege on a legal contract with CBOT Full members who have the ERP and the necessary shares of BOT stock. To me, the CBOE's position is just as ludicrous as my Bank One/Chase scenario. I trust you'll see it the same way.

Respectfully,

/s/ Robert Salstone

Robert Salstone (Full member CBOT)