





January 24, 2011

Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street NE Washington, D.C. 20549-1090 In Re: File Number S7-42-10

Dear Secretary Murphy:

The "EITI" referenced throughout the SEC proposed and pending rules below is not legitimately established. There appears to be an attempt to inject, relate to or refer to EITI in its stated form as an 'international initiative' which is likely not, under the laws established by the United States Constitution, actionable. Therefore;

In consideration, I respectfully submit that all or part of the proposed action described is improper, premature and deniable. EITI and the industry to which it purports to oversee, may become a legitimate discussion in our discourse throughout all of the United States according to the laws of our land, only at such time as that legitimacy has been lawfully attained as per our system. Until such time, this proposed action should be denied:

17 CFR PARTS 229 AND 249, [RELEASE NO. 34-63549; FILE NO. S7-42-10], RIN 3235-AK85 DISCLOSURE OF PAYMENTS BY RESOURCE EXTRACTION ISSUERS AGENCY: SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ACTION: PROPOSED RULE

If, EITI (EXTRACTIVE INDUSTRY TRANSPARENCY INITIATIVE) is a "voluntary coalition of oil, natural gas, and mining companies, foreign governments, investor groups, and other international organizations" (pg. 7 ft. 18), how can it be foundation for any legislative action under United States laws? Additionally, considering the present state of our economy, oil, gas and mining is not actively producing 'economic growth' due to our domestic 'extractive industry' being choked by an overweight government.

Sincerely,

Becky Lynch

Copy: U.S. House of Representatives, Honorable Speaker John Boehner (OH)
U.S. Senate, Honorable Rob Portman (OH)
Ohio Attorney General, Honorable Mike DeWine