## Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

August 10, 2012

The Honorable Mary Schapiro Chairman Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549

Re: Proposed Rules on Conflict Minerals Release No. 34-063547; File No. S7-40-10, RIN 3235-AK84

Dear Chairman Schapiro:

We support the fundamental goal of preventing the exploitation of minerals for the purpose of funding human rights violations within the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). In fact, on May 9, 2012, the House Financial Services Committee Subcommittee on International Monetary Policy and Trade held a hearing entitled, Costs and Consequences of Dodd-Frank Section 1502: Impacts on America and the Congo. The Subcommittee's hearing was the first Congressional hearing ever held on the language to create a corporate disclosure regime for minerals mined in the Congo. At the hearing, Republican and Democratic Members of Congress expressed concern that, if poorly implemented, Section 1502 could cost American companies billions of dollars and may result in a de facto embargo on minerals mined in the Congo, leaving many legitimate miners without means to provide for their families. This followed a November 17, 2011 letter from members of the Senate and House Small Business Committee concerning impacts of the proposed rule upon small business.

During the hearing, we were alarmed to hear estimates that the possible compliance costs of the proposed regulations implementing Section 1502 could run between \$8 billion and \$16 billion, but that the SEC had only estimated the costs would be \$71 million. We find this disparity in cost estimates to be especially disturbing since this suggests that the SEC has failed to correct the procedural flaws that have led courts to strike down SEC rulemakings, most recently in Business Roundtable and U.S. Chamber of Commerce v. Securities and Exchange Commission. We were also surprised to learn that while two-thirds of those costs could be borne by small businesses, the SEC failed to assess those impacts as required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA). Many of these same small business issues had been raised by members of the Senate and House Small Business Committee and still remain unresolved.

http://smbiz.house.gov/UploadedFiles/11.17.2011Joint SEC re Conflict Minerals.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> While the SEC estimates that between 1,199 and 5,551 public companies will be impacted by this rule, one public company could have as many as 100,000 vendors who are small businesses. Therefore, the number of businesses impacted could be well over one million.

Page 2 of 6 Chairman Schapiro August 10, 2012

Overly burdensome regulations could make the cost of compliance with Section 1502 outweigh the benefits, and decrease company incentives to source conflict-free minerals from the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). The SEC's regulations should not expand the scope of Section 1502 beyond the boundaries established by this flawed statute. The breadth of Section 1502 is already vast. The four minerals that will be regulated -- tin, tantalum, tungsten and gold -- are used in everyday goods like tin cans, light bulbs, ballpoint pens, and sewing thread. Thousands of companies will bear the cost of implementing Section 1502, and the Congolesc people will face further economic hardship if companies are not able to access these minerals. Furthermore, if the SEC broadens the scope of issuers by requiring companies that "contract to manufacture" to comply with the law, or if recycled materials are not excluded from the reporting requirements, countless more companies will be affected. We do not believe that imposing a reporting burden on the broadest cross-section of U.S. companies regulated by the SEC, and their entire supply chains, is an effective or efficient tool for ending these human rights abuses, which is presumably why the authors of the statute did not include such a requirement.

In implementing Section 1502, we also believe the SEC should be mindful of its mission to protect investors and promote capital formation and efficient U.S. financial markets and adopt rules that limit costs and adverse consequences to U.S. companies. To achieve these goals, we urge the SEC to conduct a SBREFA review of the small business impacts of any proposed regulations and ensure the regulation minimizes or eliminates unnecessary costs and burdens upon small businesses. In addition, we urge the SEC to include a safe harbor that allows public companies to exercise reasonable due diligence and provide measures to reduce potential liability for public companies.

We recognize the challenge you face in implementing a hastily crafted provision that was slipped into a 2,315-page bill on the final night of deliberations by a House-Senate Conference Committee on a complex subject matter beyond the SEC's normal area of expertise. Congress did not have hearings to consider how Section 1502 would be implemented, whether it would help end the conflict in the DRC, and what its effects would be on the Congolese people, on the companies that use these minerals to manufacture goods used by consumers and other manufacturers, or on the broader economy.

Companies complain that the compliance burden of Section 1502 discourages them from buying even conflict-free minerals from the DRC, because sourcing from the region could automatically trigger an expensive SEC report. The proposed Rule requires companies to file a report, even when they source minerals from certified conflict-free mines in the DRC. As a result, companies have concluded it will be less expensive to source minerals from other areas of the world, creating a *de facto* embargo on DRC minerals even before the SEC has finalized its rules. Inflexible and expensive SEC rules could remove future incentives to invest in conflict-free minerals from the Kivus and could also deprive the area of much-needed economic development.

Page 3 of 6 Chairman Schapiro August 10, 2012

While the legislative process was seriously flawed, it is critical that you are deliberate and careful in crafting regulations to implement Section 1502 so the Rule does not have further unintended negative consequences on American companies or the people of the Congo. We believe that this can be achieved through the measures outlined in this letter. Thank you for your careful approach to this sensitive and important matter.

Sincerely,

Page 4 of 6 Chairman Schapiro August 10, 2012

Water B. John ynn Gerkins Page 5 of 6 Chairman Schapiro August 10, 2012

Pate Ver	ByCh
Jan Complell	Janes TX-23
Davil Schwelt	Jant Jant
Msy	Jan Sdal
Tom Graves	Bill Josep
Vichael H. Kleinn	Ken John
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Page 6 of 6 Chairman Schapiro August 10, 2012

## **List of Signers**

Gary G. Miller
Spencer Bachus
Scott Garrett
Randy Neugebauer
Scott Tipton
Peter King
Roscoe Bartlett
Sue Myrick
Walter Jones

Lynn Westmoreland Pete Sessions Donald Manzullo Robert Turner Steve Womack Robert Hurt

Blaine Luetkemeyer Nan Hayworth Bill Huizenga Steven Fincher Patrick Meehan John Campbell David Schweikert

Mark Critz Tom Graves Michael Grimm Tom Reed Patrick McHenry
Sam Graves
Jeb Hensarling
Judy Biggert
Renee Ellmers
John Kline
Dan Burton
Jack Kingston
Lynn Jenkins
Steve King
Steve Stivers
Michele Bachmann

Erik Paulsen
Jim Renacci
Bill Shuster
Richard Hanna
Bill Johnson
Tom Price
Phil Gingrey
Ben Quayle
Francisco Canseco

Frank Guinta
Aaron Schock
Bill Posey
Kevin Yoder