

LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM COUDERT RAND

**501 Fifth Ave., 15th Floor
New York, New York 10017**

**(Phone) [REDACTED]; (Fax) [REDACTED]
email: [REDACTED]**

May 14, 2019

Via Electronic Submission

Vanessa Countryman, Acting Secretary
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549-1090

Re: Investment Company Act Release No. 33329 (File No. S7-27-18); Fund of Funds Arrangements

Dear Ms. Countryman:

I represent a registered investment adviser and am writing to express my client's response to the request by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") for comments regarding proposed Rule 12d1-4 (the "Proposed Rule" or "12d1-4") under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act"), governing the operation of fund of fund arrangements.¹ Generally, the client supports and commends the Commission's efforts to streamline and enhance the regulatory framework applicable to fund of fund arrangements. In particular, as discussed more fully below, the client strongly supports (1) the disclosure of acquired fund fees and expenses ("AFFE") in the prospectus fee table of the acquiring funds and (2) the exclusion of funds that rely on section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of the 1940 Act ("Unregistered Funds") from the Proposed Rule's scope of acquiring funds.

Disclosure of AFFE

The client strongly supports the disclosure of AFFE in each acquiring fund's prospectus fee table. The client believes that the disclosure of AFFE in the fee table provides investors with the necessary information to understand the potential layering of fees in a fund of funds arrangement. By including the AFFE in the fee table, investors are able to clearly see, in tabular format, the fees directly associated with the acquiring fund and the fees of the underlying funds

¹ Fund of Funds Arrangements, SEC Rel. No. IC-33329, 84 Fed. Reg. 1286 (Feb. 1, 2019).

in which it invests. Further, by including AFFE in the fee table, and consistent with the original intent of the fee table,² investors are better able to compare fund expenses among funds.

The Exclusion of Unregistered Funds from the Scope of Acquiring Funds

The client believes it is appropriate for the Commission to exclude Unregistered Funds from the scope of acquiring funds in the Proposed Rule, and strongly supports that aspect of the Proposed Rule. The client notes that, to date, no Commission exemptive orders have permitted Unregistered Funds to invest in registered funds beyond the three percent limit in section 12(d)(1)(A)(i).³ Consequently, unlike the “decades of experience” the Commission has with registered funds as acquiring funds in a fund of funds arrangement, the Commission has no similar experience with Unregistered Funds investing in registered funds beyond the three percent limit. Thus, the client believes that it would be imprudent to include Unregistered Funds in the comprehensive exemption provided by the Proposed Rule. Instead, in full agreement with the Commission,⁴ the client believes it would be more appropriate for the Commission to provide relief to Unregistered Funds through the exemptive application process, where the Commission can weigh the specific facts and circumstances of each particular applicant, and the type of underlying fund in the proposed fund of funds arrangement.

Conclusion

The client appreciates the opportunity to provide, and respectfully requests that the Commission consider, the comments set forth above. Specifically, the client requests that Private Funds continue to be excluded from the Proposed Rule’s scope of acquiring funds, and that acquiring funds continue to be required to disclose AFFE in the prospectus fee table. If you would like to discuss any of the comments in further detail or if you have any questions, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

s/William C. Rand

William C. Rand, Esq.

² Consolidated Disclosure of Mutual Fund Expenses, Investment Company Act Release Number 15932, 52 Fed. Reg. 32018 (August 25, 1987) (“The fee table was intended to present fund investors with expense disclosure that could be understood easily and would facilitate comparison of expenses among funds”).

³ See the Proposal at 20, n. 50.

⁴ See the Proposal at 20.