


From: Mr. [REDACTED]
To: The SEC, Whom I Do Not Respect
c/o Brent J. Fields, Secretary
Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090



Regarding: Comment to File Number S7-26-18
Release No. 33-10588; 34-84842

Dear SEC,

I would like to first express my sincerest appreciation for Jay Clayton, who correctly recognized how the "skills and support of certain individuals may be important to the future success of a company". Rightfully so, I, er, there are, nay, there is, a genius who has founded and built, mostly, nay, almost all by himself, one of the only two American car companies that has not gone bankrupt. So Jay is a being a good boy in cutting slack for highly important executives whose mission is to save the world and humanity.

I would also like to thank Hester Peirce for her hard work towards dismantling the SEC. You see, @SEC_Enforcement has been a constant thorn in the rears of genius CEO's who were first ignored, then laughed at, then fought against, and then won. Peirce is doing a splendid job of neutering @SEC_Enforcement as much as possible, and turning the SEC into the perfect tool for businesses to "hunt for profits" in pretty much any way that they see fit – especially those selling dreams that will come true in 3 months maybe, 6 months definitely.

Now, let's talk about disclosures. I say to hell with all that legalese, the 8K's, 10K's, 10Q's, and TSLAQ's. I say a well-crafted Tweet is worth a thousand words (and \$20 M in fines was "Worth It" as well). The SEC should get with the times. The really loyal investors don't care to watch paint dry in all those tables and disclosures, they are all perfectly happy with Twitter being the outlet for a company's most important news. And who knows - or cares - about 10b-5 when a stock is trading at \$300/share and soon rising to \$420/share (until the SEC decided to enrich the short sellers)?

The SEC should heed Peirce's words. Whenever the SEC decided to stick its nose into a company's affairs, things always get messy. Remember ENRON? When the SEC butted in, 19,000 employees lost their jobs, and billions in shares were wiped out. Do you dare let that happen again, SEC, to 40,000 (\pm 10,000 depending on the layoff, no 8K needed) employees?

And yes, shareholders can certainly sell their shares in disagreement with how a company operates. But as long as shareholders are enjoying an appreciating stock price, why should the SEC intrude upon shareholders' "hunt for profit"?

So why not go all the way with this, SEC. Just get rid of 10K's, 10Q's, 8K's, and all that other paperwork that nobody reads anyway. Why not just let companies report whatever they want, whenever they want, and in any format that they want, such as GAAP or non-GAAP or "community adjusted EBITDA"? As long as shareholders are happy and a stock price is pumped, who cares?

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]