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Comments on Qualifying Venture Capital Funds Inflation Adjustment

[Release No. IC-35129; File No. S7-2024-01]

Dear Gary Gensler & Securities and Exchange Commission:

Apes Together Strong (“ATS”) appreciate appreciates the opportunity to submit this letter to the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or the “Commission”) in response to the above captioned rule proposal (the “Proposal”) on Rule Change by Qualifying Venture Capital Funds Inflation Adjustment.

Financial Stability Oversight Council Annual Report 2023 (FSOC) “interconnections between the crypto-asset ecosystem and traditional finance”. “On March 9, Silicon Valley Bank (SVB) experienced a deposit run” this was caused by false reports of a “Bank Run” created by FTX/Binance Equity Holders & Venture Capital whom had substantial Deposits in SVB and other California Banks. Same California Banks allowing Crypto & Tokenized Assets as Collateral for Venture Loans with California Banks. The deliberate Collapse of the Banks were to facilitate planned “Venture Book” Loan Avoidance “included in 438 investments, totaling approximately $4.5B in funded assets”.

Origination of Margin Loans Swap Positions from i.e. Archegos, whom positions transferred to Credit Suisse & UBS; used Synthetic Swap Margin Collateral to obtain Venture Capital Loans. Archegos’s Synthetic Margin Collateral Loans from swaps, Naked & Notional Positions, (3 times) the Counter Parties Banks Custodial Holdings of shares, per Single Family Office, and per counter party banks; examples & exhibits can be found here.
dollar and risk limits for crypto-assets. The risks faced by banks that manage large concentrations of deposits related to crypto-assets related entities were visible during the March 2023 bank stress. When California-based Signature Bank announced its closure on March 10, 2023, the FDIC reported that Silvergate bank had substantial deposits from cryptocurrency-related entities. However, deposits with these entities were not disclosed. In addition, the FDIC noted that Silvergate's failure could lead to a loss of deposits and a potential failure of the crypto-assets used by the bank.

Interconnections Between the Crypto-Asset Ecosystem and Traditional Finance

The crypto-asset market experiences a higher level of volatility than does the traditional financial system. This volatility is due to the nature of digital assets, which can be influenced by news events, policy changes, and other factors. The interconnections between traditional and crypto-assets can lead to significant risks, such as liquidity, counterparty, and reputational risks. As the crypto-asset market grows, these interconnections will become even more pronounced.

Failures to Traditional Financial Institutions

The failure of Silvergate is just one example of the potential risks associated with crypto-assets. The failure of other crypto-exchanges and platforms could lead to significant losses for users and the wider financial system. The FDIC noted that Silvergate's failure could lead to a loss of deposits and a potential failure of the crypto-assets used by the bank.

Regulatory Challenges

The regulatory landscape for crypto-assets is complex and rapidly evolving. Governments and financial regulatory bodies are working to develop frameworks to ensure that crypto-assets are not used for illegal activities and that they do not pose a systemic risk to traditional financial institutions. The FDIC noted that Silvergate's failure could lead to a loss of deposits and a potential failure of the crypto-assets used by the bank.

Concluding Remarks

In conclusion, the failure of Silvergate and other crypto-exchanges and platforms highlights the need for greater regulation and oversight of the crypto-asset market. The risks posed by crypto-assets are significant and require a coordinated approach by governments and financial regulatory bodies to ensure that they do not pose a systemic risk to traditional financial institutions.
In its role as a clearing agency, OCC guarantees the performance of its Clearing Members for all transactions cleared by OCC by becoming the buyer to every seller and the seller to every buyer (or the lender to every borrower and the borrower to every lender, in the case of stock loan transactions). The OCC failed to facilitate Clearing Members’ “$23.6 quintillion” obligations to OCC and failed to liquidate those positions held by Clearing Members. The Proposal in regards to Margin Requirements will not mandate the OCC to perform their duties with Clearing Members.

“The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items II which have been prepared primarily by OCC”

“(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change”

“OCC is the sole clearing agency for standardized equity options listed on national securities exchanges registered with the Commission. OCC also clears certain stock loan and futures transactions”

“OCC also performs model backtesting on all risk factors with listed derivatives or stock loan positions, or securities pledged as collateral within Clearing Member accounts, including for risk factors subject to high volatility control settings”

These statements by “OCC is the sole clearing agency” and the Proposal rules changes highlights “OCC also clears certain stock loan and futures transactions” and is the “sole” responsible party for a “$23.6 quintillion dollar” “stock loan” pledge, rehypothecated, commingled collateral Margin Liquidation Failure and Fraud.

ATS appreciates the Immortalization of confessions from Financial Institutions, Market Makers, Family Offices, Hedge Funds, ISDA Members, & Respective Legal Counsel on the SEC’s Proposed Rule Change by The Options Clearing Corporation Concerning Its Process for Adjusting Certain Parameters in Its Proprietary System for Calculating Margin Requirements During Periods When the Products It Clears and the Markets It Serves Experience High Volatility. We oppose the implementation or considerations to the Proposal rule changes to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC” or “Commission”) in connection with Its Process for Adjusting Certain Parameters in Its Proprietary System for Calculating Margin Requirements During Periods When the Products It Clears and the Markets It Serves Experience High Volatility. To further highlight & support ATS’s position, the following fact based and documented issued as follows:
On 01/31/2024 AlixPartners Forensic Investigation Invoices for FTX & Related Entities states:

"reconciliation of historical digital asset balances to balance sheet produced by Caroline Ellison"

"Caroline 3/31/2022 balance sheet related to specific tokenized stock loans"

"Alameda's tokenized equities arrangement with specific Entity"

John J. Ray III  
Chief Executive Officer  
FTX Trading Ltd. and its affiliated debtors-in-possession  
c/o Sullivan & Cromwell LLP  
125 Broad Street  
New York, New York 10004

Re: Financial Statement Reconstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>PROFESSIONAL</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES</th>
<th>HOURS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>BFM</td>
<td>Working session with B. Mackay, F. Liang, J. LaBella, K. Wessel, L. Goldman (AlixPartners) re: discuss Alameda's tokenized equities arrangement with specific entity</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>Internal meeting with B. Robison, T. Hofner, T. Kang (AlixPartners) re: review of suggested changes for preparing draft documentation of cash database for financial reconstruction</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>Design analyses to reconcile exchange ledger information to independent third-party information in support of the financial statement reconstruction</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>Review the scope of data analytics work performed that contributed to the financial statement reconstruction, preparing documentation to support future court filings</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Update net stablecoin deposit calculation based on updated stablecoin population for the FTX.com Exchange fiat and stablecoin shortfall calculation</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Working session with B. Mackay, C. Chen, J. Chin, J. LaBella, M. Birtwell (AlixPartners) re: discuss the stable coin population update and the impact to the Dotcom Shortfall</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Working session with C. Chen, F. Liang, K. Wessel (AlixPartners) re: workpapers leveraged in reconstructing intercompany balances impacted by the FTX.com Exchange fiat and stablecoin shortfall</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Analyze Alameda's accounts on third party exchange for digital assets workstream</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Perform quality control review on the latest version of Debtors' historical wallets balances</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Perform quality control review on workpaper for FTX Trading shortfall calculation</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Working session with B. Mackay, F. Liang, J. LaBella, K. Wessel, L. Beischer, L. Goldman (AlixPartners) re: discuss additional sources to validate current pricing database for digital assets</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Working session with B. Mackay, F. Liang, J. LaBella, K. Wessel, L. Goldman (AlixPartners) re: discuss Alameda's tokenized equities arrangement with specific entity</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Working session with C. Chen, F. Liang, K. Wessel (AlixPartners) re: workpapers leveraged in reconstructing intercompany balances impacted by the FTX.com Exchange fiat and stablecoin shortfall</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Analyze Alameda's tokenized equity arrangements with entity of interest for the digital assets workstream</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Initial false statements by Sabrina Howell during hearing {Time Stamp 1:47:17} states “Brian Glueckstein and his team at Sullivan & Cromwell”.

Lawyer Question: “When you completed did you send it to anyone for review, before it was filed?”

Sabrina Howell Answer: “I was the finally reviewer”

As 01/31/2024 Alvarez & Marsal Invoices states:

“12/8/2023 2.7 Review and provide comments to Howell expert report”
“12/14/2023 2.6 Review the draft Howell Report and provide comments”
“12/14/2023 1.1 Review the Appendix C for the Howell Report and provide comments”
“12/18/2023 2.9 Review the draft of the Howell report and provide feedback”
“12/21/2023 2.8 Review the last draft of the Howell report and provide feedback”
“12/22/2023 2.8 Review the latest Howell report and provide feedback”
These Alvarez & Marsal Invoice Entries Contradicts Sabrina Howell’s statements (Time Stamp 1:47:17) “I was the finally reviewer”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walia, Gaurav</td>
<td>12/14/2023</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Review the Appendix C for the Howell Report and provide comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walia, Gaurav</td>
<td>12/18/2023</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Update the list of tokenized stock in the pricing master</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walia, Gaurav</td>
<td>12/18/2023</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Review the draft of the Howell report and provide feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston, David</td>
<td>12/1/2023</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Call with D. Johnston, E. Mosley (A&amp;M) to discuss tokenized stocks and next steps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Tokenized Stocks” “mimic the price movement of publicly trade stocks”.

SABRINA T. HOWELL
Associate Professor
Stern School of Business Finance Department
New York University

Website: www.sabrina-howell.com
Email: sabrina.howell@nyu.edu
Phone: (212) 998-0719

ACADEMIC POSITIONS & AFFILIATIONS
Associate Professor, NYU Stern (with tenure) (2022-)
Assistant Professor, NYU Stern (2015-2022)
Research Associate, NBER (2022-)
ABFER Fellow (2022-)
Faculty Research Fellow, NBER (2017-2022)
IPC PERC Research Fellow (2021-)
Associate Editor, Review of Financial Studies (2022-25)
Associate Editor, Review of Corporate Finance Studies (2022-25)
Associate Editor, Management Science (2020-22)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tokenized Stocks</th>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>770. AAPL</td>
<td>$164.1105734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>771. ABNB</td>
<td>$96.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>772. ACB</td>
<td>$0.99999615</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>773. AMC</td>
<td>$2.3736839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>774. AMD</td>
<td>$71.5300000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775. AMZN</td>
<td>$141.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>776. AMZNPRE**</td>
<td>$0.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>777. APEAMC</td>
<td>$2.8512387</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>778. APHA</td>
<td>$3.3000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>779. ARKK</td>
<td>$36.7500000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780. BABA</td>
<td>$69.6482759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>781. BB</td>
<td>$4.3800000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>782. BILI</td>
<td>$11.5000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>783. BITO</td>
<td>$141.1510000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>784. BITW</td>
<td>$654.0250000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>785. BNTX</td>
<td>$130.0069841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>786. BYND</td>
<td>$10.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>787. CBSE**</td>
<td>$0.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>788. CGC</td>
<td>$1.9770210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789. COIN</td>
<td>$30.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790. CRON</td>
<td>$3.5830000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>791. DKNG</td>
<td>$10.2000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>792. ETHE</td>
<td>$9.9990000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>793. FB</td>
<td>$118.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>794. GBTC</td>
<td>$8.4053969</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>795. GDX</td>
<td>$28.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>796. GDXJ</td>
<td>$34.6500000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>797. GLD</td>
<td>$164.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>798. GLX</td>
<td>$2.8534083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>799. GME</td>
<td>$21.7725000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800. GMEPRE**</td>
<td>$0.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>801. GOOGL</td>
<td>$140.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>802. GOOGLPRE**</td>
<td>$0.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>803. HOOD</td>
<td>$8.9000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>804. HOOD PRE**</td>
<td>$0.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>805. MRNA</td>
<td>$162.2837500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>806. MSTR</td>
<td>$176.0022727</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>807. NFLX</td>
<td>$221.5600000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tokenized Stocks (Cont.)</th>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>808. NIO</td>
<td>$7.1215967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>809. NOK</td>
<td>$192.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>810. NVDA</td>
<td>$179.2500000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>811. NVDA_PRE**</td>
<td>$0.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>812. PENN</td>
<td>$35.0300000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>813. PFE</td>
<td>$57.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>814. PYPL</td>
<td>$82.7457524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>815. SLV</td>
<td>$21.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>816. SPY</td>
<td>$459.2800000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>817. TSLA</td>
<td>$683.4769563</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>818. TLRY</td>
<td>$1.0888269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>819. TSLA</td>
<td>$218.9500000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820. TSLAPRE**</td>
<td>$0.0000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>821. TSM</td>
<td>$86.2900000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>822. TWTR</td>
<td>$54.2000000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823. UBER</td>
<td>$20.0300000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>824. USO</td>
<td>$339.3253569</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825. WNDR</td>
<td>$0.1800000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826. ZM</td>
<td>$87.9242857</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"As explained by Professor Howell, tokenized stocks are derivatives that aim to mimic the price movements of publicly traded stocks"

"Professor Howell considered tokenized stocks to amount to a financial contract between a customer and the Debtors to track a stock price"

"FTT, simulating a stock repurchase/dividend"

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Court should overrule the Objections, grant the Motion and enter the revised Order, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Dated: January 28, 2024
Wilmington, Delaware

LANDIS RATH & COBB LLP

/s/ Matthew R. Pierce
Adam G. Landis (No. 3407)
Kimberly A. Brown (No. 5138)
Matthew R. Pierce (No. 5946)
919 Market Street, Suite 1800
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 467-4400
Facsimile: (302) 467-4450
E-mail: landis@lrclaw.com
browna@lrclaw.com
pierce@lrclaw.com

-and-

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

Andrew G. Dietderich (admitted pro hac vice)
James L. Bromley (admitted pro hac vice)
Brian D. Glueckstein (admitted pro hac vice)
Alexa J. Kranzley (admitted pro hac vice)
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004
Telephone: (212) 558-4000
Facsimile: (212) 558-3588
E-mail: dietderich@sullcrom.com
bromleyj@sullcrom.com
gluecksteinb@sullcrom.com
kranzleya@sullcrom.com

Counsel for the Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession
01/31/2024 AlixPartners Forensic Investigation Invoices for FTX & Related Entities “Caroline 3/31/2022 balance sheet” "related to specific tokenized stock loans", Sullivan & Cromwell’s Andrew Dietderich would have had access to the (57) “tokenized stock loans” & “Futures”, whom now the “OCC also clears certain stock loan and futures transactions” requesting SEC’s “Adjusting Certain Parameters in Its Proprietary System for Calculating Margin Requirements”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Futures (Cont.)</th>
<th>[A]</th>
<th>[B]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALGO-PERP</td>
<td>$0.3022000</td>
<td>EOS-1230</td>
<td>1133.</td>
<td>$0.837500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALICE-PERP</td>
<td>$1.0079500</td>
<td>EOS-PERP</td>
<td>1134.</td>
<td>$0.847750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALPHA-PERP</td>
<td>$0.0095900</td>
<td>ETC-PERP</td>
<td>1135.</td>
<td>$21.121500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT-1230</td>
<td>$1.817000000</td>
<td>ETH-0331</td>
<td>1136.</td>
<td>$1.19500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT-PERP</td>
<td>$1.817000000</td>
<td>ETH-1230</td>
<td>1137.</td>
<td>$1.22000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPL-PERP</td>
<td>$0.9584000</td>
<td>ETH-PERP</td>
<td>1138.</td>
<td>$1.22350000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMZM-1230</td>
<td>$055000000</td>
<td>EXCH-1230</td>
<td>1139.</td>
<td>$5.58000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC-PERP</td>
<td>$01.1809500</td>
<td>EXCH-PERP</td>
<td>1140.</td>
<td>$5.58000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIO-PERP</td>
<td>$3.0022000</td>
<td>ETHE-PERP</td>
<td>1141.</td>
<td>$5.60000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASP-PERP</td>
<td>$0.9584000</td>
<td>ETHE-PERP</td>
<td>1142.</td>
<td>$6.70000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATOM-1230</td>
<td>$59.2200000</td>
<td>ETH-PERP</td>
<td>1143.</td>
<td>$5.60000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATOM-PERP</td>
<td>$11.0250000</td>
<td>ETH-PERP</td>
<td>1144.</td>
<td>$5.60000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIO-PERP</td>
<td>$3.0050000</td>
<td>FTX-PERP</td>
<td>1145.</td>
<td>$3.05900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVAX-1230</td>
<td>$12.7420000</td>
<td>FTX-PERP</td>
<td>1146.</td>
<td>$3.05900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXS-1230</td>
<td>$6.8559005</td>
<td>GALAX-PERP</td>
<td>1147.</td>
<td>$1.11450000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXS-PERP</td>
<td>$6.8020000</td>
<td>GALAX-PERP</td>
<td>1148.</td>
<td>$0.02380000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BABA-1230</td>
<td>$67.3115233</td>
<td>GTC-PERP</td>
<td>1149.</td>
<td>$9.71250000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADGER-PERP</td>
<td>$2.7400000</td>
<td>GDAX-1230</td>
<td>1150.</td>
<td>$2.24000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL-1230</td>
<td>$5.5250000</td>
<td>GDAX-1230</td>
<td>1151.</td>
<td>$3.02600000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL-PERP</td>
<td>$5.0950000</td>
<td>GLD-1230</td>
<td>1152.</td>
<td>$1.19150000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAND-PERP</td>
<td>$2.0280000</td>
<td>GLD-1230</td>
<td>1153.</td>
<td>$1.06150000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT-PERP</td>
<td>$0.0240100</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1154.</td>
<td>$0.02401000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.0047150</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1155.</td>
<td>$0.00471500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.3078000</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1156.</td>
<td>$0.30780000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.2099804</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1157.</td>
<td>$0.20998040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.2070000</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1158.</td>
<td>$0.20700000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.2035000</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1159.</td>
<td>$0.20350000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.0159950</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1160.</td>
<td>$0.01599500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.0588975</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1161.</td>
<td>$0.05889750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.0506800</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1162.</td>
<td>$0.05068000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCE-PERP</td>
<td>$0.27520000</td>
<td>GME-1230</td>
<td>1163.</td>
<td>$0.27520000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further highlighting Sullivan & Cromwell’s Andrew Dietderich (TimeStamp 29:25) full knowledge & participation of “amount of claims” “$23.6 quintillion” “stock loan” “futures” fraud.

Sullivan & Cromwell’s Andrew Dietderich false statements under oath are highlighted in his Opening Statement to the Court on 1/31/2024 (TimeStamp 18:48):

1. “We Remember What it was it like November 2022”

2. "Emergency Filing on No Notice"

3. “No Adequate books & records”

4. "Assets Transfer Favored Insiders at Last Minute"
Sullivan & Cromwell’s Andrew Dieterich’s False Statement (1), (2), (3) “We Remember What it was like November 2022” & “Emergency Filing on No Notice” contradicts Emails dated July 27, 2022. Sullivan & Cromwell Andrew Dieterich Cc: Brian Glueckstein “Subject-Voyager” “FTX has a bottomless sea of ordinary cryptocurrency” Which also contradicts with having access to “Caroline 3/31/2022 balance sheet” “related to specific tokenized stock loans”
Sam Bankman-Fried Tweets a comparison balance chart between SBF and S&C [Sullivan & Cromwell] for Nov 10 & 11 stating “FTX US is solvent, as it always as been”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Creator</th>
<th>Customer balances</th>
<th>Tokens</th>
<th>FBO bank</th>
<th>Corp bank</th>
<th>LedgerX</th>
<th>Corp Nov 11</th>
<th>LedgerX Nov 11</th>
<th>Other Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 10th</td>
<td>SBF</td>
<td>497,323,421</td>
<td>484,676,723</td>
<td>23,918,495</td>
<td>90,577,638</td>
<td>250,000,000</td>
<td>90,577,638</td>
<td>250,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 11th</td>
<td>S&amp;C</td>
<td>More than $181m</td>
<td>181,000,000</td>
<td>25,400,000</td>
<td>235,900,000</td>
<td>128,400,000</td>
<td>114,300,000</td>
<td>250,000,000</td>
<td>34,400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Creator</th>
<th>Total bank</th>
<th>Wallet</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Max Customer</th>
<th>Est Customer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 10th</td>
<td>SBF</td>
<td>364,496,133</td>
<td>484,676,723</td>
<td>849,172,856</td>
<td>497,323,421</td>
<td>497,323,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 11th</td>
<td>S&amp;C</td>
<td>428,100,000</td>
<td>181,000,000</td>
<td>609,100,000</td>
<td>497,323,421</td>
<td>199,128,204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Creator</th>
<th>Min NAV</th>
<th>Est NAV</th>
<th>FBO+Token-Customer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 10th</td>
<td>SBF</td>
<td>351,849,434</td>
<td>351,849,434</td>
<td>11,271,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 11th</td>
<td>S&amp;C</td>
<td>111,776,579</td>
<td>409,971,796</td>
<td>11,271,796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7:31 PM · 1/17/23 from Earth · 15.2M Views
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>PROFESSIONAL</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES</th>
<th>HOURS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/08/2023</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>Analyze SOL transactional block chain data related to Debtor accounts for use in the recreation of the historical financial statements</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/08/2023</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>Working session with A. Vanderkamp, D. White, F. Liang, J. LaBella, K. Wessel, L. Goldman, M. Birtwell, T. Phelan (AlixPartners) re: discuss timing and to-dos for next production of the historical balances of Debtors' wallets</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/08/2023</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>Working session with D. White, J. Berg, M. Birtwell, R. Backus, T. Phelan (AlixPartners) re: Liquidity pool token pricing script methodology</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/08/2023</td>
<td>XS</td>
<td>Update Blockfolio workpaper based on Quality Check feedback re: investment in subsidiaries</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/10/2023</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Review workpaper overview in loan payable workpaper</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2023</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Meeting with A. Patti, J. LaBella, M. Birtwell, O. Braat (AlixPartners) re: discuss potential updates to the adjusted balance sheet footnotes and disclosures</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2023</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Perform reconciliation of Caroline 3/31/2022 balance sheet (pointer data) related to specific tokenized stock loans</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2023</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Continue to perform reconciliation of Caroline 3/31/2022 balance sheet (pointer data) related to specific tokenized stock loans</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2023</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Update Other Investments guide documentation based on QC review notes</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2023</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Working session with A. Patti, B. Mackay, F. Mostoff, F. Liang, K. Wessel, M. Birtwell, T. Toaso (AlixPartners) re: reconciliation of historical digital asset balances to balance sheet produced by Caroline Ellison</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/11/2023</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Working session with A. Patti, E. Mostof, F. Liang, K. Wessel (AlixPartners) re: reconciliation of historical loan balances to balance sheet produced by Caroline Ellison</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further highlighting knowledge & participation of Sullivan & Cromwell’s Andrew Dieterich fraud & False Statement (4) "Assets Transfer Favored Insiders at Last Minute". On Nov 07, 2022, Andrew Dietderich states: "That’s just Binance silliness. FTX is rock solid, doesn’t use customer funds or take credit risk at all. It [FTX] cannot have “liquidity” issues because it doesn’t lend.”

From: Dietderich, Andrew G. <dietdericha@sullcrom.com>
Date: Monday, Nov 07, 2022, 1:55 PM
To: Azman, Darren <Dazman@mwe.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Town Hall

That’s just Binance silliness. FTX is rock solid, doesn’t use customer funds or take credit risk at all. It cannot have “liquidity” issues because it doesn’t lend.

I’ll get back to you on town hall. Discussing calendar and sequence for closing with debtor later this week.

From: Azman, Darren <Dazman@mwe.com>
Date: Monday, Nov 07, 2022, 1:09 PM
To: Dietderich, Andrew G. <dietdericha@sullcrom.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Town Hall

We are getting a lot of inbounds regarding liquidity issues at FTX/Alameda. We also had a lot of leftover questions from the last town hall. I’m thinking we’d like to do another one next week and would like you for your team to be a part of it. We can’t be silent on these issues and I don’t want to speak for FTX. Let me know your thoughts on timing and who on your side would be best to join and handle FTX-related questions.

DARREN AZMAN
Partner
McDermott Will & Emery LLP One Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017-3852
Tel +1 212 547 5615 Mobile +1 410 409 7591 Email dazman@mwe.com
From: Dietderich, Andrew G. <dietdericha@sullcrom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2022 8:34 AM
To: Azman, Darren
Cc: gluecksteinb; FTI_Voyager; Steinman, Gregg; Williams, Grayson; Evans, Joseph
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Town Hall

[ External Email ]
First I've heard of it. Talking with them later today on Voyager migration protocol and will ask. Assume Binance nonsense. This is getting crazy.

From: Azman, Darren <Dazman@mwe.com>
Date: Tuesday, Nov 08, 2022, 9:29 AM
To: Dietderich, Andrew G. <dietdericha@sullcrom.com>
Cc: Glueckstein, Brian D. <gluecksteinb@sullcrom.com>, FTI_Voyager <FTI_Voyager@fticonsulting.com>, Steinman, Gregg <Gsteinman@mwe.com>, Williams, Grayson <Gwilliams@mwe.com>, Evans, Joseph <Jbevans@mwe.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Town Hall

Andy: Can you let me know whether this story is accurate about FTX withdrawals being paused (removing your client from this email).

https://www.theblock.co/post/184176/ftx-appears-to-have-stopped-processing-withdrawals-on-chain-data-show [theblock.co]

DARREN AZMAN
Partner
McDermott Will & Emery LLP  One Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017-3852
Tel +1 212 547 5615  Mobile +1 410 409 7591  Email dazman@mwe.com
This LOAN AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) is entered into as of June 21, 2022 (the “Effective Date”) by and among ALAMEDA VENTURES LTD (“Lender”), VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC. (“Borrower”), and VOYAGER DIGITAL LTD (“Guarantor”). Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given them on Schedule B. The parties agree as follows:

1. Loans. Lender will make extensions of credit or other financial accommodations for Borrower’s benefit (each, a “Loan” and collectively, the “Loans”) under two facilities, the cash revolving facility and the BTC facility, in the amounts and as otherwise identified on Schedule A, and Borrower promises to pay Lender the amount of all Loans and all debts, liabilities, obligations, covenants, indemnifications, interest, expenses and fees, created hereunder, whether arising before or after the commencement of any bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding (collectively, the “Obligations”) pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, and as set forth herein and on Schedule A.
| **SCHEDULE A** 
**LOAN TERMS** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BORROWER:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Revolving Loan Amount:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BTC Revolving Loan Amount:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guarantor:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Draw Period:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maturity Date:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Revolving Loan:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BTC Revolving Loan:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Repayment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
July 22, 2022

Re: Customer Liquidity and Asset Purchase Agreement

Dear Jared and Josh,

FTX Trading Ltd and West Realm Shires Inc. (collectively, “FTX”) and Alameda Ventures Ltd (“Alameda”) have asked us to convey their joint proposal to enter into a Customer Liquidity and Asset Purchase Agreement, subject to the terms and conditions we describe in this letter and more fully in the attached term sheet.

A few other notes with respect to the proposal. First, FTX and Alameda are not interested in purchasing, and plan to leave behind in the bankruptcy estate, all 3AC-related litigation claims. The chapter 11 case is the best place to pursue recoveries relating to Voyager’s loan to 3AC. We expect Voyager will use its bankruptcy powers to monetize these claims and provide supplemental recoveries to customers.

Second, Alameda will write off its own $75 million loan claim as part of the proposed transaction. This claim would otherwise share in supplemental recoveries. By writing off our loan, we will permit customers and other unsecured creditors to receive 100% of any incremental recoveries from the enforcement of the 3AC claim and any related litigation claims.

Attached is a term sheet describing the legal and financial terms of the customer liquidity transaction, as well as a short annex describing some of its benefits for FTX and Voyager customers. As with other financial institution insolvencies, we would seek expedited approval from the Bankruptcy Court of the customer liquidity transaction. We would aim to have a closing by August 17, so that we can begin customer migration promptly.

We are available to discuss this proposal with you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Andy Dietderich

cc: Mitchell S. Eitel (Sullivan & Cromwell LLP)
Since prior Emails dated July 27, 2022; Sullivan & Cromwell Andrew Dieterich Cc: Brian Glueckstein “Subject-Voyager” worked on the FTX/Voyager/BlockFi/Celsius Agreements which contradicts Dieterich’s Court Opening statement “No Notice” & Supports Dietderich own statements “Assets Transfer Favored Insiders at Last Minute” whom Sullivan & Cromwell are party to.

DOJ Internal FTX Slack Small Group Communication’s highlights Sam Bankman-Fried “need to have a discussion with her [Christina Rolle “SEC of the Bahamas”] and SullCrom [Sullivan & Cromwell]” “will bring in the appropriate counsel”.

“Cohen: The larger exchanges used omnibus wallets?
SBF [Sam Bankman-Fried]: Yes.
Cohen: One more topic. The Bahamas regulator, yes or no, did you attend the meeting?
SBF: Yes. With my father and Krystal Rolle {sic Christina Rolle}... Gary Wang was in the building but not in the meeting”

“Rolle: It was to transfer all the assets. And we did so, to the wallets they’d set up, until 2 in the morning. We offered to have Mr. Bankman-Fried be interviewed the following week. He turned over his passport. Mr. Gary Wang's too”
TOGETHER

small group chat

Caroline Ellison

hm it looks like my account on Wireless Table 1pass got suspended. any chance I could get that reenabled?

Tim Wilson

Can someone please confirm that all trading on all exchanges is turned off? I just saw a message on Slack suggesting that people were still trading CHZ.

Zach Dexter

You did this get sent? Just curious.

Don't think so

Sam Bankman-Fried

The SCB—Christina Rolle—is directing us to transfer the assets to a custodian in their name; they say "we are doing so to protect the interest of creditors and clients of FTX Digital Markets and other entities that might be commingled". We are being directed to do so.

This is a significant question of who owns the assets. FDM does not. We will need to have a discussion with her and SullCrom.

Cannot transfer any funds that are the subject of the bankruptcy estate (i.e., assets owned by FTX Trading Ltd., WRSS, WRS, Alameda, etc.). These are all not assets of the Bahamian entity (including, i.e., the Robinhood shares, which technically roll up under Alameda).

Before folks transfer to Bahamas, absolutely consult with me and I will bring in the appropriate counsel.
Sullivan & Cromwell on 02/01/2023 “Genesis/BlockFi and FTX transactions” whom also work the November 8 & 9, 2022 FTX/Alameda & BlockFi Pledge Agreements allowing “pledge, rehypothecate, assign, use, commingle, or otherwise dispose of or use any Collateral” including “Tokenized Stocks” “mimic the price movements of publicly traded stocks” two day prior to FTX/Alameda Petition for Bankruptcy on November 11, 2022, which highlights Sullivan & Cromwell’s Andrew Dietrich Courts Opening Statement full knowledge and participation in (4) “Assets Transfer Favored Insiders at Last Minute”.

This PLEDGE AGREEMENT (“Agreement”) is entered into as of November 9, 2022 by and among BlockFi Inc., a Delaware corporation, as collateral agent (“Collateral Agent”) for BLOCKFI LENDING LLC and BLOCKFI INTERNATIONAL LTD. (“BlockFi International” and together with BlockFi Lending, the “Lenders” and together with Collateral Agent, the, “Secured Party”) and EMERGENT FIDELITY TECHNOLOGIES LTD. (“Pledgor”).

WHEREAS, ALAMEDA RESEARCH LIMITED (“Borrower”) and BlockFi Lending entered into that certain Master Digital Currency Loan Agreement dated as of July 15, 2019 (together with any loan agreement and any loan term sheet thereunder, and as amended by the Forbearance Agreement referred to below and as amended hereby, and as may have heretofore been or may hereafter be further amended, modified, supplemented, extended, renewed, restated or replaced) (the “BlockFi Lending Master Agreement”);

Rights and Powers of Secured Party. Secured Party shall be free to pledge, rehypothecate, assign, use, commingle or otherwise dispose of or use any Collateral.
EXHIBIT A
TO
UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE FINANCING STATEMENT

Debtor: EMERGENT FIDELITY TECHNOLOGIES LTD.
Secured Party: BLOCKFI INC., as collateral agent
Additional Secured Party: BLOCKFI LENDING LLC
Additional Secured Party: BLOCKFI INTERNATIONAL LTD.

This financing statement covers all of Debtor’s right, title and interest in, to and under all of the following property, in each case whether now owned or existing or hereafter acquired or arising, and wherever located (collectively, the “Collateral”):

a) all shares of common equity of Robinhood Markets, Inc. (ticker: HOOD) (the “Collateral Shares”);
b) any security entitlements in respect of the Collateral Shares credited to the Current Collateral Account or the Perfection Collateral Account;
c) all dividends, distributions or return of capital, including any extraordinary dividend, split-off, spin-off or other exchange on or from the Collateral Shares;
d) the Current Collateral Account, the Perfection Collateral Account, and any cash, cash equivalents, securities (including the Collateral Shares), general intangibles, investment property, financial assets, and other property that may from time to time be deposited, credited, held or carried in the Current Collateral Account or Perfection Collateral Account and all security entitlements, as defined in §8-102(a)(17) of the UCC with respect to any of the foregoing; and
e) the proceeds of all of the foregoing.

The capitalized terms in the above-described Collateral shall have the following meanings:

“Current Collateral Account” means the account of Debtor with account number 499-30500COMBINED maintained with ED&F Man Capital Markets Inc. or any affiliate thereof.

“Perfection Collateral Account” has the meaning set forth in the Pledge Agreement.

“Pledge Agreement” means that certain Pledge Agreement, dated on or about November 9, 2022, by and among Debtor, BlockFi Inc., as collateral agent, BLOCKFI LENDING LLC and BLOCKFI INTERNATIONAL LTD.
Hi Caroline

Are you guys able to make some incremental pay-downs, in meantime, eg **75m to complete yesterday's 200m amount**

A few quick questions on HOOD, a) would that be the pledged shares in EDF account, b) how many shares are there in total in EDF, c) what do you think would be estimated proceeds? d) any ballpark on timing for potential buyer

Since EDF HOOD shares are pledged as collateral, just need to double check how that works (eg maybe buyer could settle with blockfi directly?)
On Thu, 10 Nov 2022 at 00:49, Caroline Ellison wrote:

great thanks!

we are talking to a couple buyers interested in buying the remaining HOOD OTC, if that comes through, would it work to just use the proceeds from that to repay the loan?

I think that would get a majority of the remaining loan notional though it would be in USD and not BTC

Caroline Ellison

On November 10, 2022 at 12:04 PM GMT+8 Yuri wrote:

Thanks a lot Caroline, acknowledging signed pledges.

We are up - if you want to sync up on anything, or if we can help in any way.

Our team is working with ED&F so that ACA can be setup for the pledges.

On Wed, 9 Nov 2022 at 19:13, Yuri Mushkin wrote:

hi Caroline, we heard Binmee is holding your funds, another idea (after signing the pledge) is to give us instructions to sell some of the pledged collateral (or other ED&F collateral which you have listed) and we can use proceeds for loan repayment.

Yuri

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 5:59 PM Flori Marquez wrote:

Caroline,
Are you able to sign this tonight?

Flori Marquez
Founder, COO
The attempted transfer of assets with Pledge Agreements between Alameda & BlockFi containing assets Totaling $1.2 billion shows the intended fraud to “pledge, rehypothecation, assign, use, commingle, or otherwise dispose of or use any Collateral” within the Terms of Alameda & BlockFi “Pledge Agreements” dated November 9, 2022; whom Sullivan & Cromwell authored. When only “Loans Receivable of $250 million at FTX US consists of loan to BlockFi Inc. of $250 million in FTT tokens” illustrates whom orchestrated “Assets Transfer Favored Insiders at Last Minute” {TimeStamp 18:48}. Further Supporting the Fraud was on Oct 13, 2023 Sam Bankman-Fried Criminal Trial where BlockFi’s CEO Zac Prince testified “overall, $1.1 billion” when only “$250M loan made in FTT”.

“BlockFi’s [CEO] Prince: They posted as collateral Greyscale Trust and Robin Hood.

AUSA: How much did BlockFi have on the FTX exchange at time of bankruptcy?
Prince: $350 million. So overall, $1.1 billion

AUSA: So BlockFi declared bankruptcy - why?

Prince: Alameda & FTX”
Clement changed their profile name to C.

I will spend today working on transferring assets to BitGo Trust and let you know updates
11:58 AM

Zach Dexter
excellent thank you
11:58 AM

Sam Bankman-Fried
Hey guys — @Gary Wang will get to it later today — talking with regulators
12:00 PM

Ryne Miller
Privileged - Attorney Client Communication
"BlockFi $250M Loan made in FTT"
FTX Communications, filings, Invoices, & DOJ Slack messaging Exhibits details conflicts of interest with Sullivan & Cromwell including "intercompany loans of inside counsel" of which Andrew Dietrich was already retained by FTX for BlockFi and Voyager Agreements since July 27, 2022.

Prepare 2004 law firm requests, review and analyze invoices and retainers detailing services of potential targets and emails with QE leadership team re: same (3.5); correspondence with K. Lemire and J. Young re: bank targets for 2004 requests (0.1); review issue documents related to intercompany loans of inside counsel (1.0); review draft in house counsel module and analyze for interview targets (1.0); review and revise family investigation team’s political and charitable module (0.9); correspondence with investigation reviewers re: findings related to political and charitable contributions and analyze documents of interest re: the same (1.0); prepare case management topics for upcoming
“OCC” whom “clears certain stock loan and futures transactions” FTX & Alameda used (57) Tokenized Stock which “mimic the price movements of publicly traded stocks" for “Caroline 3/31/2022" “tokenized stock loans”. Moving "$23.6 quintillion” of Real Stock Equity Value to FTX through entities owned by FTX (IEX, CM-Equity, Embed, LedgerX, Liquid, Bitocto, DAAG, Zybr), with a loophole in regulations called the “Riskless Principal” as illustrated with SEC proposed rule equating to 1,845,436,811 per month of Jan 2023. These same Venture Capital firms & Start-Ups used the same “Tokenized Stocks” that were paid with Real Cash converted to “Stablecoin” means any cryptocurrency pegged to the US Dollar, including, Gemini Dollar (GUSD), Circle’s USD Coin (USDC) and Paxos Standard (PAX) from Real Equity Investors, as Collateral for Venture Loans & Funding with Banks like SVB (Silicon Valley Bank), Signature, Silver Gate, & First Republic.
TABLE 4—EXCHANGE TRADING VOLUME AND SHARE BY LIQUIDITY TYPE, JAN. 2023

[The following table breaks apart the total buy and sell executed order flow from all exchange members using a sample of CAT data for the month of Jan 2023. Exchange members are identified as the set of unique CRD IDs in CAT which have directly routed orders to any of the national equities exchanges in the month. Exchange member CRDs are also verified in the CAT Industry Member Identifier List daily reference data. For each exchange the number of shares executed under the CAT allowable trade capacities of Agency, Principal, and Riskless Principal are reported. Trade capacity in CAT is defined by the exchange member for its side of a trade and represents the capacity in which the exchange member acted at trade time. Trades with the sale condition codes—M—Market Center Official Close, —Q—Market Center Official Open, —V—Contingent Trade, —R—Qualified Contingent Trade (QCT), —B—Placeholder for Exempt, and —C—Corrected Consolidated Close (per listing market) were excluded. The share of total trading volume across all exchanges for orders of a specific capacity are reported under the trading volume. The fourth column, “Total” reports the total trading volume for each exchange with the exchange’s volume-based exchange market share reported below.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Riskless Principal</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nasdaqb (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>42,381,231,425</td>
<td>26,084,186,949</td>
<td>256,443,292</td>
<td>68,721,861,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYSEa (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>23,578,087,344</td>
<td>15,663,850,087</td>
<td>145,114,774</td>
<td>39,397,052,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYSE Arca (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>19,581,712,054</td>
<td>12,309,669,528</td>
<td>129,269,068</td>
<td>39,311,251,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cboe EDGXc (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>13,478,973,097</td>
<td>12,519,933,159</td>
<td>677,345,568</td>
<td>26,669,251,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cboe BZXc (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>9,612,667,006</td>
<td>10,243,339,878</td>
<td>367,462</td>
<td>19,855,374,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMX (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>6,306,673,864</td>
<td>6,746,470,107</td>
<td>186,541,931</td>
<td>13,241,685,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEX (Flat)</td>
<td>6,860,652,435</td>
<td>3,905,276,620</td>
<td>7,011,129</td>
<td>10,772,940,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cboe EDGAc (Inverted)</td>
<td>3,401,951,122</td>
<td>2,289,187,280</td>
<td>109,407,328</td>
<td>5,800,545,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cboe BYXc (Inverted)</td>
<td>1,950,854,778</td>
<td>2,582,413,642</td>
<td>131,506,520</td>
<td>4,664,774,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIAX Pearl (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>1,803,716,409</td>
<td>2,527,733,474</td>
<td>153,910,919</td>
<td>4,485,360,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYSE Nationala (Inverted)</td>
<td>827,209,968</td>
<td>1,489,403,927</td>
<td>1,340,645</td>
<td>2,317,954,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlx (PSX)b (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>877,534,988</td>
<td>1,342,954,596</td>
<td>53,580</td>
<td>2,220,543,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BXb (Inverted)</td>
<td>713,708,690</td>
<td>965,538,116</td>
<td>32,818,578</td>
<td>1,712,065,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYSE Americana (Maker-Taker)</td>
<td>712,130,625</td>
<td>818,767,495</td>
<td>141,855,250</td>
<td>1,545,083,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYSE Chicagoa (Flat)</td>
<td>177,946,002</td>
<td>254,499,006</td>
<td>120,789</td>
<td>432,565,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTSE (Free)</td>
<td>10,749,491</td>
<td>1,411,063</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12,160,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>132,277,400,448</td>
<td>107,027,634,927</td>
<td>1,845,436,811</td>
<td>241,150,472,186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[The table continues with additional columns for Agency, Principal, Riskless Principal, and Total trading volume for each exchange.]
Market Markers, Brokerages, Prime Brokers, Financial Institutions & ISDA Members using the “riskless” principal because MM & Financial Institutions controls majority of the trades and has the ability to see Payment for Order flow including limit orders. MM & Other Financial Participants, group All Retail Orders on Buy Sides and Never Report Transaction on “10 sec” tape.

The Following references highlights the manipulation used with “riskless principal” in conjunction with “block trades” which are hidden from view of regulators & retail & on the “10 sec” tape FINRA Trade Reporting Rule.

Q102.2: Does the 10-second reporting requirement apply to the submission of non-tape reports to FINRA?

A102.2: No. Members are not required to submit non-tape reports to FINRA within 10 seconds of trade execution; however, regulatory reports generally are required to be submitted within specified time frames. For example, members must submit the non-tape report for the offsetting "riskless" leg of a riskless principal transaction as soon as practicable after the offsetting leg is executed, but no later than the time the FINRA Facility closes for the trading day. See NTM 00-79 Complying With Riskless Principal Trade-Reporting Rules (November 2000). However, to qualify for the exemption from the requirements of Rule 5320 (Prohibition Against Trading Ahead of Customer Orders) for riskless principal transactions, a member must submit, contemporaneously with the execution of the facilitated order, a non-tape report reflecting the offsetting "riskless" leg of the transaction. See Rule 5320.03. For purposes of this exception, "contemporaneously" has been interpreted to require execution as soon as possible, but absent reasonable and documented justification, within one minute. See NTMs [95-67] Notices (August 1995) and NTMs [98-78] Notices (September 1998).

Non-tape reports that are submitted for regulatory transaction fee purposes under Section 3 of Schedule A to the By-Laws must be submitted by the end of the reporting session for the FINRA Facility. See Rules 7130(c), 7230A(g), 7230B(f) and 7330(g).

Clearing reports must be submitted to the FINRA Facilities in conformance with the trade reporting rules, as well as all applicable rules of other self-regulatory organizations, including the rules of the National Securities Clearing Corporation (NSCC) requiring that locked-in trade data be submitted in real time and prohibiting pre-netting and other practices that prevent real-time trade submission. See DTCC/NSCC Important Notice A#7663, S#7333, dated January 7, 2014.

Q100.7: What is a "non-tape" report (also referred to as a "non-media" report)?

A100.7: A non-tape report can be either a "regulatory" report or a "clearing" report, neither of which is publicly disseminated. A regulatory report, sometimes referred to in the trade reporting rules as a "non-tape, non-clearing" report, is submitted to FINRA solely to fulfill a regulatory requirement (e.g., to report certain transactions subject to a
regulatory transaction fee or, where applicable, to report the offsetting "riskless" leg of a riskless principal transaction). A clearing report, sometimes referred to in the trade reporting rules as a "clearing-only" report, is used by members to clear and settle transactions; information reported to FINRA in a clearing report is transmitted by FINRA to the National Securities Clearing Corporation (NSCC). Clearing reports also can be used to satisfy a member's obligation to provide regulatory information to FINRA, if applicable.

FINRA Rules Guidance Nasdaq Adopts Alternative Approach For Complying With Riskless Principal Trade-Reporting Rules And Issues Net Trading Interpretation

Alternative Approach To Riskless Principal Trade Reporting

After reviewing concerns raised by the firms, and consultation with the SEC and NASD Regulation, Nasdaq has adopted a different method for reporting riskless principal trades that can be used as an alternative to the original approach set forth in the Notices. This new approach can be utilized by both market makers, which for the first time must adhere to Riskless Principal Trade-Reporting Rules, and by non-market makers, which have been subject to the Rules for some time.

Under the alternative approach, member firms may report a riskless principal transaction by submitting either one or two reports to ACT. The first report would be required only if the member is the party with a reporting obligation under the relevant Nasdaq trade-reporting rule. The second report, representing the offsetting, "riskless" portion of the transaction with the customer, must be submitted by all members electing to use the alternative method for riskless principal trade reporting, regardless of whether the firm has a reporting obligation, when the firm effects the offsetting trade with its customer. This report will be either a non-tape, non-clearing report (if there is no need to submit clearing information to ACT) or a clearing only report. In either case, the report must be marked with a capacity indicator of "riskless principal." Because this is not a last sale report, it does not have to be submitted within 90 seconds after the transaction is executed, but should be submitted as soon as practicable after the trade is executed but no later than by the time ACT closes for the trading day (currently 6:30 p.m., Eastern Time). The effect of the new rule can be illustrated by the following examples.

Example 1

A market maker (MM1) holds a customer limit order to sell 1,000 shares of ABCD at $10 that is displayed in its quote. MM1 sells 1,000 shares to a second market maker (MM2) at $10. (MM2's bid represents proprietary interest, not a customer order.) When there is a trade between two market makers, the Nasdaq trade-reporting rules require the member representing the sell side to report the transaction. MM1, the seller in this transaction, reports the sale of 1,000 shares by submitting a last sale report to ACT marked "principal." MM1 then fills its customer order for 1,000 shares. Under the new
alternative approach, MM1 would submit either one of the two following reports marked "riskless principal" to ACT for the offsetting, riskless portion of the transaction:

- a clearing-only report if necessary to clear the transaction with the customer; or
- a non-tape, non-clearing report (if a clearing entry is not necessary because, for example, the trade is internalized).

This submission is not entered for reporting purposes and thus there will be no public trade report for this leg of the transaction. Because MM2 did not enter into a riskless principal transaction, MM2 does not have an obligation to submit the second report.

Example 2

Both MM1 and MM2 hold customer limit orders: MM1 holds a marketable customer limit order to sell 1,000 shares of ABCD and MM2 holds a customer limit order to buy 1,000 shares of ABCD, both of which are displayed in the market makers' quotes. MM1 sells 1,000 shares to MM2 at $10. MM1 and MM2 then fill both of their customer orders. MM1 submits two reports to ACT—a last sale report and either a clearing-only report or a non-tape, non-clearing report—as described above. MM2 does not have a reporting obligation under the Nasdaq trade-reporting rules because it bought 1,000 shares from MM1. Therefore, it does not submit a last sale report for the transaction with MM1. However, for the offsetting transaction with its customer, MM2 is obligated to submit to ACT either a clearing-only report or a non-tape, non-clearing report marked "riskless principal."

SEC.gov keyword searches “riskless” highlights the many issues on subject including a study by Laura Tuttle · Senior Financial Economist at U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission March, 2014 Market Structure Research OTC Trading.

“Broker-dealers effect trades for customers acting in a principal, agency, or riskless principal capacity. The capacity in which a broker-dealer acts can affect how the volume of OATS execution reports relates to the volume of trades on the consolidated tape. Generally, a principal trade is one in which the BD trades for the firm’s own account. In an agency trade, the transaction is conducted on behalf of a customer; the BD does not own the position at any point in time. A trade can generally be classified as riskless principal when the BD acquires the position for the firm’s account with the intention of using it to fill (at the same price) a customer order it has already received.

These three capacities can be similar economically but have different reporting requirements to OATS and the Consolidated Tape. For example, agency and principal trades generally require one execution report in OATS for each side, and one report to the consolidated tape per trade. The second leg of a riskless principal trade,
however, would generally have an OATS execution report for each side but no associated consolidated tape report. In view of the different ways in which a client order can be executed and their differential impact on consolidated tape volume, I interpret volume figures cautiously. In addition, I provide detail regarding the percentage of volume being transacted as riskless principal to allow for interpretation by readers.”


“We also are concerned that delayed dissemination of block trade reports can mislead the market about supply and demand conditions when dealers distribute the block in smaller trades whose reports are immediately disseminated. For example, if a dealer crosses $20 million in bonds from one seller to four buyers each buying $5 million on a riskless-principal basis, under the recommended proposal, FINRA would delay dissemination of the $20 million dealer buy report but would immediately disseminate reports each of the $5M dealer sales. The immediately disseminated reports would give the appearance of surplus buying demand and the possibility that one or more dealers have been left short facilitating this customer demand. The response to such reports could artificially push the price of the bonds higher, at least until FINRA disseminates the “$10MM+” dealer buy trade two days later.”

SEC Rules June 10, 2021 SRO CboeBYX offer to sell tax payer paid for information of Short Sale data and “riskless” principle data which could be used in market manipulation.

“The Exchange proposes to offer Short Sale Volume data on an end-of-day and intraday basis which will be available for purchase by Members and non-Members. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to offer an end-of-day short sale volume report that includes the date, session (i.e., Pre-Opening Session, Regular Trading Hours, or After Hours Trading Session), symbol, trade count, buy and sell volume, type of sale (i.e., sell, sell short, or sell short exempt), capacity (i.e., principal, agent, or riskless principal), and retail order indicator. The end-of-day Short Sale Volume data would include same day corrections to short sale volume.”

SEC v Citadel Cease and Desist January 13, 2017

Many wholesale market makers largely handle marketable orders on a fully automated basis, using proprietary algorithms to determine whether to execute the order, in whole or in part, as a principal (i.e., internalize, or take the other side of the trade) or whether to attempt to fill all or part of the order on a riskless principal basis by sending orders to
a variety of other trading centers, including exchanges, dark pools, and other wholesale market makers.

SEC v Credit Suisse Cease and Desist Sept. 28, 2018

9. The RES desk executed order flow on either a “principal” basis or a “riskless principal” basis. In a principal execution, also referred to as “internalization,” RES took a proprietary position with risk by either buying from or selling to the customer. In a riskless principal execution, RES also bought from or sold to a customer, but RES did not take on any meaningful risk because RES, with a customer order in hand, first obtained the position in the marketplace (e.g., by trading principally on lit markets or in a dark pool), and then provided a corresponding execution to its customer at the same price (or better). RES executed held customer orders in one of three ways: (i) RES traded as principal to fill the entire order; (ii) RES executed the entire order on a riskless principal basis; or (iii) RES executed some of the order on a principal basis and some on a riskless principal basis (referred to herein as “split fills”).

10. For the held orders at issue, RES did not charge customers commissions or markups, and instead sought to profit from its principal trading. RES considered two elements of potential profit: (i) spread capture (i.e., capturing the difference between the bid and ask for a security at the time the order was received); and (ii) impact capture (as set forth below). RES also considered the potential risk associated with internalizing all or part of the order.

11. The RES desk executed over 15 million held orders (over 8.5 billion shares) with a total market value of approximately $227 billion during the Relevant Period.

Financial Institutions, Market Makers, ISDA Members, Prime Brokers can group all Retail orders together reclassify as "limit orders" to effectuate "Block Trades". Then apply “riskless principal” “10 sec” non-tape transactions. Meaning transactions delayed or non-existent reporting requirement. Effectively Sending Millions of Retail Trading Volume plus limit orders to Dark Pools or Crypto Tokenized Exchanges such FTX & Binance. Under this “riskless principal” only have to report by 6:30pm. To categorize “Riskless” principal transactions can have no-tape “10 sec” (record) or trail of transactions or at minimal delayed in reporting until 6:30pm Eastern after market hours. This can help facilitate inaccurate volume and true supply and demand pricing of a stonks, bonds, and so forth.
Financial Institutions, Market Makers, ISDA Members, Prime Brokers using “riskless principal” move all transaction as “block trades” to Crypto Exchanges that offers Tokenized Stocks; whom repledge, reloan, & commingle assets with convoluted Company Ownership Structures i.e. (FTX Contract Controlling Ownership by Binance). These Complex Ownership Structure for example have Covenants that only Binance “Pass any resolution approving liquidation, dissolution or winding up or the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings or apply for the appointment of a receiver, judicial manager or like”, which bankruptcy was used to hide Venture Loans obtained i.e. Silicon Valley Bank with same Collateral reused over & over.
promptly as practicable take such actions as are necessary to remove such Disqualified Designee from the Board and designate a replacement designee who is not a Disqualified Designee.

2. **Vote to Increase Authorized Common Shares.** Each Shareholder agrees to vote or cause to be voted all Shares owned by such Shareholder, or over which such Shareholder has voting control, from time to time and at all times, in whatever manner as shall be necessary to increase the number of authorized shares of Common Shares from time to time to ensure that there will be sufficient shares of Common Shares available for conversion of all of the shares of Preferred Shares outstanding at any given time.

3. **Covenants.** For so long as Binance holds more than 25% of the Series A Preferred Shares originally issued to Binance pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Company will not, without the written consent of Binance, take any of the following actions:
   
   (a) Accept an investment in capital equity or any instrument convertible or exchangeable for capital equity from a digital asset exchange;

   (b) For the first $200,000,000 of cumulative profits, with such computation commencing from the date of Closing (the “Initial Profits”), award bonuses to employees that are equal to or greater than 25% of the Company’s annual profits for the immediately preceding fiscal year;

   (c) For profits in excess of the Initial Profits, award bonuses to employees that are equal to or greater than 50% of the Company’s annual profits for the immediately preceding fiscal year. Annual profits shall be reasonably determined by the Board in accordance with the information delivered by the Company to Binance under Section 3 (Information Rights) of the Investor’s Rights Agreement entered into between the Company and Binance concurrently with the execution of this Agreement;

   (d) Accept an investment in capital equity or any instrument convertible or exchangeable for capital equity from an unaffiliated third party, other than Binance (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld);

   (e) Alter or change the rights, preferences or privileges of the Preferred Shares in a manner that is materially adverse to the Preferred Shares, provided, that the creation of any new class or series of shares shall be deemed to not be materially adverse to the Preferred Shares;

   (f) Effect a recapitalization, reclassification, split-off or spin-off that would be reasonably expected to have any material adverse effect on the holders of Preferred Shares shareholding or rights in the Company;

   (g) Amend any provision of the Restated Articles that would be reasonably expected to have any material adverse effect on the holders of Preferred Shares shareholding or rights in the Company; or

   (h) Pass any resolution approving liquidation, dissolution or winding up or the initiation of bankruptcy proceedings or apply for the appointment of a receiver, judicial manager, or like officer, provided, that the Company shall not need the written consent of Binance to pass a resolution enacting any of the foregoing actions that are taken in connection with any merger, acquisition or similar transaction involving a Sale of the Company or all or substantially all of its assets.

Except as otherwise provided in the foregoing, Binance agrees that it shall execute such consents, waivers, documents and/or instruments and to take such actions as may be reasonably necessary to ensure that the Company will have full operational independence.
VOTING AGREEMENT

THIS VOTING AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”), is made and entered into as of this 27th day of November, 2019 (the “Effective Date”), by and among FTX Trading Ltd., a company established under the laws of Antigua and Barbuda (the “Company”), each holder of the Series A Preferred Shares of the Company (“Series A Preferred Shares” or “Preferred Shares”) listed on Schedule A (together with any subsequent investors, or transferees, who become parties hereto as “Investors” pursuant to Subsections 7.1(a) or 7.2 below, the “Investors”), and those certain shareholders of the Company listed on Schedule B (together with any subsequent shareholders, or any transferees, who become parties hereto as “Key Holders” pursuant to Subsection 7.2 below, the “Key Holders,” and together collectively with the Investors, the “Shareholders”).

RECTITALS

A. Concurrently with the execution of this Agreement, the Company and the Investors are entering into a Series A Preferred Share Purchase Agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”) providing for the sale of shares of the Series A Preferred Shares, and in connection with that agreement the parties desire to provide the Investors with the right, among other rights, to designate the election of certain members of the board of directors of the Company (the “Board”) in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

B. The Amended and Restated Memorandum and Articles of Association of the Company (the “Restated Articles”) provides that the holders of record of the shares of Common Shares, voting as a separate class, shall be entitled to elect one director of the Company.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

1. Voting Provisions Regarding the Board.

1.1 Size of the Board. Subject to Subsection 1.2(b) below, each Shareholder agrees to vote, or cause to be voted, all Shares (as defined below) owned by such Shareholder, or over which such Shareholder has voting control, from time to time and at all times, in whatever manner as shall be necessary to ensure that the size of the Board shall be set and remain at one director. For purposes of this Agreement, the term “Shares” shall mean and include any securities of the Company that the holders of which are entitled to vote for members of the Board, including without limitation, all Common Shares and Series A Preferred Shares, by whatever name called, now owned or subsequently acquired by a Shareholder, however acquired, whether through share splits, share dividends, reclassifications, recapitalizations, similar events or otherwise.

1.2 Board Composition. Each Shareholder agrees to vote, or cause to be voted, all Shares owned by such Shareholder, or over which such Shareholder has voting control, from time to time and at all times, in whatever manner as shall be necessary to ensure that at each annual or special meeting of shareholders at which an election of directors is held or pursuant to any written consent of the shareholders, subject to Section 5, the following persons shall be elected to the Board:

(a) Samuel Bankman-Fried (the “Founder”) for so long as he remains an officer of the Company, except that if he declines or is unable to serve, his successor shall be designated by the holders of a majority of the Common Shares outstanding; and

(b) If, in connection with an investment in the equity capital of the Company from a third-party unaffiliated with the Company, any investor designee is elected to serve on the Board, then Binance Capital Management Co. Ltd. (“Binance”) shall have the right to appoint one (1) individual to serve on the Board, for so long as such other incoming investor has a designee serving on the Board.
SOFTWARE LICENSE, TOKENIZATION, AND CO-MARKETING AGREEMENT

This Software License, Tokenization, and Co-Marketing Agreement (this “Agreement”), effective as of April 15, 2019 (the “Effective Date”), is by and between Cottonwood Grove Limited, a Hong Kong company (“Licensor”) and FTX Trading Ltd, a company established under the laws of Antigua and Barbuda (“Licensee”). Licensor and Licensee may be referred to herein collectively as the “Parties” or individually as a “Party”.

WHEREAS, Licensor has developed a proprietary software platform described in Exhibit A attached hereto (the “Cottonwood Platform”);

WHEREAS, FTX is a cryptocurrency derivatives exchange that offers futures, leveraged tokens, and OTC trading who wishes to use the Cottonwood Platform as part of its business activities (the “Exchange”);

WHEREAS, Licensor desires to license the Cottonwood Platform to Licensee, and Licensee desires to purchase a license to use the Cottonwood Platform, and in each case, subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement;

WHEREAS, Licensor desires to sell blockchain-based cryptographic tokens (the “Tokens”) to the public that provide holders an economic return linked to payments made to Licensor by Licensee in consideration of the Cottonwood Platform license;

WHEREAS, Licensor desires Licensee’s assistance in marketing and promoting the Token and Licensee desires Licensor’s assistance in marketing and promoting the Exchange;

WHEREAS, in connection with marketing the Tokens, Licensee is willing to list the Tokens for trading on the Exchange, treat the Tokens as good collateral on the exchange, and provide Token holders with promotional rates for trading costs;
Silicon Valley Banks & other Bank Failures were due to a Fake Bank Run Narrative created by the Top Depositors & Venture Capital firms whom were using Circle's USDC as conduit to transfer cash from NYSE Stock Orders (IEX, Embed, Robinhood & Others) to FTX (57) “Tokenized Stocks” which has a Zero ($0) Value beyond FTX/Binance Affiliated Exchanges. Essentially laundering Real Customer Asset Value and transferring to Circle's USDC making Circle one of the Top Depositor at Silicon Valley Bank.

---

**Top 10 Depositors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Total Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIRCLE INTERNET FINANCIAL</td>
<td>$3,340,061,357.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SILICON VALLEY BANK</td>
<td>$2,497,556,580.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVB FINANCIAL GROUP</td>
<td>$2,118,179,865.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQUOIA CAPITAL FUND</td>
<td>$1,011,479,029.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KANZHUN LIMITED</td>
<td>$902,875,700.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BILL.COM LLC</td>
<td>$761,109,263.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTOS LABS</td>
<td>$680,346,027.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARQETA</td>
<td>$634,534,332.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROKU INC</td>
<td>$420,004,504.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTRAFI / PROMONTORY</td>
<td>$410,856,940.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Top 10 Accounts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner Name</th>
<th>Total Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIRCLE INTERNET FINANCIAL, LLC</td>
<td>$3,276,604,827.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVB FINANCIAL GROUP</td>
<td>$1,953,395,231.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQUOIA CAPITAL FUND, L.P.</td>
<td>$1,011,479,029.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVB F BENEFIT OF VOICEPAY, INC. CLIENTS</td>
<td>$995,451,708.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KANZHUN LIMITED</td>
<td>$701,608,671.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARQETA, INC. 6TH FLO</td>
<td>$632,034,327.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTOS LABS, INC.</td>
<td>$601,022,262.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVB F BENEFIT OF PEOPLE CENTER, INC. CLIENTS DBA RIPPLING BILL.COM, LLC</td>
<td>$544,083,624.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROKU INC ATTN TREASURY ROKU INC</td>
<td>$444,085,284.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$415,602,338.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Alameda Research, FTX Ventures invested in more than 250 crypto startups,” including Trading Brokerages Anchorage Digital, Skybridge, Paradigm, & Voyager; Crypto Financial Circle (USDC Stable Coin), Paxos; Infrastructure Aptos, Bridge, Liquidity, LayerZero, Polygon, & Solana. All of which allow “transfer principal to foreign jurisdictions, lend principal, pledge principal, sell principal, rehypothecate principal, transfer principal to unidentified third parties and engage in any other transaction at Voyager’s discretion” and the depositing investor’s sole risk.
"The disparate bundle of nearly 500 illiquid investments is split across 10 holding companies. The total investment value is given on the spreadsheet as in excess of $5.4bn"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Investment Type</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Valuation (Post)</th>
<th>Investment amount</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Investment Entity</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genesis Digital Assets (5/10, Jan 2022)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>$5,000,00,000</td>
<td>$500,000,00</td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Alameda Research LLC</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Assets DA AG</td>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>FTX Europe</td>
<td>$320,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiphere</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>$409,999,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$370,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ks</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$300,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alameda Research LLC</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis Digital Assets (2/10, Feb 2022)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>$250,555,93</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alameda Research LLC</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis Digital Assets (3/10, Apr 2022)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>$250,555,93</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alameda Research LLC</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulo Capital (Second Subscription)</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$170,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Research Investments Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulo Capital</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$170,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Research Investments Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pion (T)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Digital Banking</td>
<td>$113,700,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis Digital Assets (1/10, Aug 2021)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>$100,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Inc</td>
<td>Convertible Note</td>
<td>Digital Banking</td>
<td>$100,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>Convertible Note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoia Capital Heritage Fund / SCHF</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$100,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoia Capital Fund, L.P</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$100,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myster Labs</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$99,999,84</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOYAGER DIGITAL LTD</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Borrowing/Lending</td>
<td>$75,000,00</td>
<td>CDN</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOYAGER DIGITAL LTD, (Loan)</td>
<td>Promissory Note</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apto / Matone Inc (Series A)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$74,999,94</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOLE Tokens</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$87,500,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Value Fund I.P</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$65,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TripleDot</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$50,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoga Labs (BAYC)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>NFT</td>
<td>$4,000,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polygon Network</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$50,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAR (FTX follow up)</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$50,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existus</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Wafer</td>
<td>$49,999,90</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyBridge Capital K. LLC</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$40,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LayerZero Labs Ltd</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Bridge, Infrastructure</td>
<td>$40,000,00</td>
<td>BVI</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Ideas, Inc (Clipper Cash)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Bridge, Infrastructure</td>
<td>$40,000,00</td>
<td>BVI</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch Draven</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$30,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Island Bay Ventures Inc</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clipper Cash / Clipper Ideas Inc (additional investment)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$35,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Island Bay Ventures Inc</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voyager Digital PIPE</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$45,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Island Bay Ventures Inc</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Finance (Bikin Swap) / Contrarian Dell LLC</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$30,000,00</td>
<td>BVI</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAR (Mattress)</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$30,000,00</td>
<td>BVI</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LayerZero Labs Ltd (Secondary shares)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$29,999,90</td>
<td>BVI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 Acres</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$25,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storybook</td>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000,00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West Realm Shires Inc</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTC Africa, S. A., (dba A2A Finance)</td>
<td>Promissory Note</td>
<td>Fiat</td>
<td>$25,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alameda Research Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$2,700,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradigm One (Cayman) Feeder LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mina</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankerly</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$300,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Sea Research</td>
<td>Promissory Note</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPL</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fantasy</td>
<td>$15,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bally Media</td>
<td>Convertible Note</td>
<td>Promissory Note</td>
<td>$15,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DriveWealth</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$2,400,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offshore Labs (Aribum)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$1,200,000,00</td>
<td>US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Citron Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation (Post)</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPE</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Digital Banking</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashchek</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genomics</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,200,031</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MobileCoin</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,037,500</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zub Exchange</td>
<td>Acquirer, Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$1,000,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrews</td>
<td>Conventional Note</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle</td>
<td>Conventional Note</td>
<td>Stablecoin</td>
<td>$3,500,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macauinvestments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eConsult Ltd</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>NFT</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockbird LLC</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave Mobile Money Holdings</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyBridge Cole Fund I</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macauinvestments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VY DHARMA EM TECHNOLOGY FUND, LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macauinvestments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inch</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX, Exchange</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macauinvestments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECRET Network / Enigma MPC, Inc.</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macauinvestments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanatics</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,999,995</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macauinvestments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkware</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$500,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction Data Ltd</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Promissory Note</td>
<td>$700,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BoDex</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$7,499,999</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MetaTheory</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming, NFT</td>
<td>$7,405,451</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electro (exchange)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$6,262,000</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axia</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraday</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Community, Flat</td>
<td>$5,625,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether (Token)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DFI</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXEX</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Community, Flat</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stackex</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidden Road Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Platform</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Alameda Research Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Commas Technologies</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicoin Venture Fund III US, LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Genesis Block</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robotbank (SC)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Genesis Block</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond III Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraken Ventures Fund I</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Alameda Research Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVM Signum Blockchain Fund VCC</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Alameda Research Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Capital Offshore Fund Ltd</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Alameda Research Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ComIT (C2X Tokens)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Warrant</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paperless</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Stablecoin</td>
<td>$4,999,995</td>
<td>Cayman</td>
<td>Macauinvestments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradigm One (Cayman) Feeder LP (Purchase from Chaloon)</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$4,967,794</td>
<td>Cayman</td>
<td>Macauinvestments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachi / zpool inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation (Post)</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codercraft Inc / Soteria</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 4,000,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopely</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 4,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mythical Games</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 4,000,001</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRL Proprietary Limited</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 4,000,000 South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>MacauInvestments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOU Trading Ltd (JoePEGS NFT Project)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Defi / Dex</td>
<td>$ 68,727,272</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swm</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 4,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>MacauInvestments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFEToken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figma Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$ 20,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>MacauInvestments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVECRIS Research Corporation Pte. Ltd (Project Door</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 3,015,410</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3,692,900</td>
<td></td>
<td>MacauInvestments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackbeats News</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>$ 12,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Televerse (Settles Secured)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX / Exchange</td>
<td>$ 3,500,362</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFEToken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payson (round-Q) / Off the Chain Defi Ltd</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,328,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFEToken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KTR Glose Corporation</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dapper Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Island Bay Ventures Inc</td>
<td>SAFEToken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKF Ventures LLC</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>MacauInvestments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFEToken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGG Fund II LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFEToken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear and Far</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>NFT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGX Protocol Limited / GGX Token</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaplex</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Infrastructure NFT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Up Series A</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 2,999,999</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFEToken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saba Studio / Good Game Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 2,999,999</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrum Technologies Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,666,666</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pragm</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFEToken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlphaMater Growth Partners Fund VI LP</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6529 NFT Fund Q2 2022</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6529 NFT Fund Q1 2022</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juiced / Basis Yield Corp</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptos / Matanews Inc (Series Seed)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Levier</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyll Inc</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade/Find</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOD / Series A</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VerityWASP Pte Ltd</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>$ 100,000,000</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAC</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognit</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Digital Banking</td>
<td>$ 50,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binomial</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>West Realm Shire Inc</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiChain</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>MENA</td>
<td>MacauInvestments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sky Matrix (Auto Infinity)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VY Space / LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethereal Ventures Fund I LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pangea Cayman Fund I Ltd</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promisory Note</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Promisory Note</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xarla</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PlanetQuest</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomelleri (2nd round)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifty Island</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomelleri (Sep 2021)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation (Post)</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delphi Holdings Corp</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$ 1,400,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,999,954</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tealbit</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$ 1,999,954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherlock Bioscience</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Tax</td>
<td>$ 1,904,740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starbrave - Series D / Ordinary</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$ 9,027,773</td>
<td></td>
<td>Isreal</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kos Therapeutics Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 1,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts Depository Corporation</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 1,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celuloka Network</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 1,750,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana Restricted Token Purchase</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 1,635,953</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFAS / Kaplan Spark Industries</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 1,620,499</td>
<td></td>
<td>BVY</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivy Natural</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browser Capital</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axer Protocol / MATEA LOOP LTD (SAFE)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000,000</td>
<td>US, BVY</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor Systems Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiat Capital / Rubicon Global Ltd</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercurial</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi Dec</td>
<td>$ 1,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugarwork</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$ 1,415,094</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum Safe Inc (SAFE)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$ 25,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,260,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trustless Media</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$ 20,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social / Social Research</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX Exchange</td>
<td>$ 1,200,000</td>
<td>$ 1,200,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payoption (round 1) / Off The Chain DEX Ltd</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>DEX Exchange</td>
<td>$ 1,100,000</td>
<td>$ 1,100,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit Break</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 250,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frosted Inc (Whap)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$ 86,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,001</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEOW</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>$ 86,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLT Climate Tech (SAFE)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Clienttech</td>
<td>$ 20,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://solidpipe.zyz">http://solidpipe.zyz</a> (Sniper Labs)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Data Analysis</td>
<td>$ 20,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fem Labs Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alder Labs</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$ 7,500,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confim Solutions Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$ 5,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manifold Markets</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$ 5,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monet Voulets</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$ 5,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tails Bioscience</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$ 5,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancara</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$ 5,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEBA Bank</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Bank</td>
<td>$ 450,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Macairum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP50 Cash</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 500,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GamerGains</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 25,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soros (Fantasy Cricket) / WEBUTECH PTE LTD - SAFE</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 18,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vite Labs Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safi Research</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torus</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anwiz</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samodel</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Ventures (WonderFi)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKia (Series A - Class C)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation (Post)</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta One (DELT A ONE LABS, CORP.)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$80,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid 2 Venture Fund III L.P.</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$40,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Nona Fund / LN Sports &amp; Health Tech Fund I LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expion Founders Capital I LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH Fund</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curated</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>NFT</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race Capital II, LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightspeed Faction</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticko</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avana Labs / LENS</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Social</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NodeGuardians (JDC token)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Community, DAO</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxen / LKN</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SelfFarm</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$40,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalix (EF)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry (2nd round)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIP</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirror World</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delysam / KUROSEMI INC.</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orurdy</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sui Taken Warrant (FTX Ventures)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messi Holdings (Series B)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$999,999</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Island Bay Ventures Inc</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Transactions and Technology LLC / Edge Tradeworks</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$40,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>$999,999</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluence Labs</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$602,935</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound Financial</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krewen</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools For Humanity</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caved In</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$974,492</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confluent / NFT Bank</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure, $2,056,000,000</td>
<td>$617,102</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemis</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Labs (SAFE)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Staking</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starware - Series C / Ordinary (Nov 21)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleo / BUZZ DEVELOPMENT INC</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus</td>
<td>Convertible Note</td>
<td>$3,333,333</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMTDA, Inc / Star Atlas</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changepipe SAFE (Migrated to Series A)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$210,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoiPay</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Marketplace, NFT</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boncel Finance</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Infrastructure, P</td>
<td>$150,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebos</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming, NFT</td>
<td>$720,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genopipe / Witty ELITE LIMITED</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Equity, Token Warrant</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stex</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jot Labs Inc Round 1</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemis (Arts Market Limited)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Marketplace, NFT</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibe</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$675,000</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zero one</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Maclaurin Investments Ltd (Ka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation (Post)</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dune Analytics</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>EMEA</td>
<td>MacauInvestment Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacer</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DAO, Gaming</td>
<td>$40,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>MacauInvestment Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTN (EVS/verse)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>MacauInvestment Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alethea (Ind)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>MacauInvestment Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>MacauInvestment Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDEX</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>MacauInvestment Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurion</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>MacauInvestment Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multitask Coinshift</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$150,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>India, US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet Tech</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>$5,050,061</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Island Bay Ventures Inc</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Wallet</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kegan Labs, Inc (Mattan wallet)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Wallet</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip.Lee</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>$40,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dithro</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Wallet</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravala</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$600,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subspace Network</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$800,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>MACAU</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HyperNative Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>NFT</td>
<td>$24,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI, FYI, Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$26,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoiMARA</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equator Therapeutics</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solscan</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Wallet</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SatCoin</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Wallet</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Trading</td>
<td>$60,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundeeswap</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$35,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaverse / Combat Lab, Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolumeFi Software, Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$40,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tali Network Pte Ltd</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pivox</td>
<td>Equity, Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetric Technologies LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redshift</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Investments LLC (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colby Capital Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th Man Ventures Fund</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak</td>
<td>OTC, Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Technologies (BTR Token)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKX</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sommerler (Jew 2021)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solnet</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry (Strategic)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tron</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel Finance</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chingari</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magic Eden</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockchain Space / Solutions Ltd Consultant</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Clifford Bay Ventures Ltd (s AIA.meda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- SAFE: Secure Asset Fund
- SPA: Secure Private Agreement
- OTC: Over-the-Counter
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Investment Type</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Valuation (Post)</th>
<th>Investment amount</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Investment Entity</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agur/ I / Venus Limited</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Borrowing/Lending, Defi</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackstar / O GL Group Ltd</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Social</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnt (follow-up) / Wandila Holdings Ltd</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dake/Crypto / ENTRIPY PROTOCOL LTD</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrySpace / SPACE Melaverse AG</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleskow Cities (Praxis)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$ 65,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ko Almeda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solos</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Infrastructure, T.</td>
<td>$ 50,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ko Almeda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questbook / CreatorOS</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$ 65,999 US</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hassari</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>$ 456,545</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiberian</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 194,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step Finance</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$ 400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnt Finance / Wandila Holdings Ltd</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$ 400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetiq</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$ 400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairside</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$ 400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dai / Pari Ventures Limited</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonekyBank</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$ 400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry (Private)</td>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>ZK</td>
<td>$ 35,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponta - ZK Oracle (42 Labs Inc)</td>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>$ 65,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirece Systems Inc</td>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>$ 175,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS Labs Inc (Round 2)</td>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>Infrastructure, License, Payments</td>
<td>$ 349,930 EMEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urnas</td>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>$ 25,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uras (2)</td>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>$ 500,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalink</td>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>$ 30,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA / Alten</td>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>$ 300,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macauhill Investments Ltd (Ko Almeda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet Protocol</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 25,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulpfi / CRYPTO MIND LAB PTE LTD</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GroupW3 / Frame Round Ltd</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hashflow / Cipher</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomrat Network (Polish)</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twilight / Cyberkeep Corp</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsocial</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impossible Finance</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgepodge</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sipher</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOG (Guild of Guardians)</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xipper Chain</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oplin (Seed)</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey Kingdom / Kingdom Metaverse Limited</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connex3 / Lab3 Technology Limited</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WriteLab Inc (SAFE)</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtuex Inc (SAFE)</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>http / Owner.com</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental DeFi Inc</td>
<td>Taken</td>
<td>Gaming, Guild</td>
<td>$ 10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Token VInariant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation (Post)</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodls Media - SAFE</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradigm Connect Holdings LLC (SAFE-4)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nestle</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melo</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Alliance DAO</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edenworks / Worldspark Studios, Inc</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanfu</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAEV</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal Alliance Delaware Feeder LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auris Capital</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QF-Fund I, a系 of Generalist Capital LP</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiveVX</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Marketplace, NFT</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordax</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCard (Seed)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dCard (Private)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidity</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axeler Network</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phantasia</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rico Finance</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polka</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamba / Project Chili Limited (10 Dec 2021)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamba / Project Chili Limited (21 Dec 2021)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUTER PROTOCOL / Kalaava Infotech Pte Ltd</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop (Strategic) / GM GN RL Limited</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop (Private) / GM GN RL Limited</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diliend / BLUE HORIZON GLOBAL CORP</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meji (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://lom.gg">http://lom.gg</a> (Swift Media Entertainment Inc)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuraCard: Inc</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sofo</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Macaulay Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP3 Crepop (Line Friends) Private</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decimated (Strategic)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkware - Secondary Sale (Mar 22)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$51,515,151</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imm大陆 Token Private</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$51,515,151</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal (Nexus Prin)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wordcard</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Web3</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglican Inc</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Planetrise</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galaxy Protocol (Galaxis)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraswap</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiChain</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi, DEX</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eon</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DeFi, DEX</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only 1 (Seed)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Marketplace, NFT</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-locj 2,991 EMEA</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$833,333,333</td>
<td>$249,991</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AraCard: Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$230,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sofo</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP3 Crepop (Line Friends) Private</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decimated (Strategic)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkware - Secondary Sale (Mar 22)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imm大陆 Token Private</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal (Nexus Prin)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wordcard</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglican Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Planetrise</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galaxy Protocol (Galaxis)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraswap</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiChain</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eon</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only 1 (Seed)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation (Post)</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arion</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio Finance</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana / Concurrent C Inc</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$290,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elumia Private</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$290,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GamesPad</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$290,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUMBO EXCHANGE (LTD Private)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>$290,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUMBO EXCHANGE (LTD Private) - possible dupe</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>$137,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust Labs (Token)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidic</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$152,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senate</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$152,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIND</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Infrastructure, N</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
<td>$150,000 HK, US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valnet</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automata</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SwitchBoard</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocket</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeF1 Land</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeF1</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryosan</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeF1 Land Seed Aia</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeF1 Land Seed Game Fund</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainmaker</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabel Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aligned AI</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$146,000</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonant Health Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ra Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry (Seed)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Margin</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$109,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (Ra Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archine (Second Tranche)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$164,205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katana Labs / Blade Labs Inc</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>$190,000 US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nas Education Pte Ltd</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SAFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bitdigan io</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000 US</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uchitchat seed (Uchitchat Holdings Pte Ltd)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>DEX, Exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cega Pte Ltd</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xDeFi (Seed)</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,000,000</td>
<td>$100,000 BVI</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stope</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Wallet</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steaph (Find Satoshi Lab)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>$100,000 Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancordi</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Guild</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DrDex</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>DEX</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xDeFi (Private)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manta (Private)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manta (Private)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxenlab (Token)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxenlab (Token)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macquarie Investments Ltd (Ra Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation (Post)</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontem Network (Seed)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composable</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeta</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Dex, Exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structin seed</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saddle Finance / Incite Technologies Inc</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addin DAO</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$99,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejoiner</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>Saudi Arabian Investment Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flourishing Humanity Corporation Ltd</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Dex, Exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So-Filer</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Defi</td>
<td></td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Network / MASKBOOK</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clover</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only (Private)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Marketplace, NFT</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>succoined (seed)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONACO / BaSDEX / STREAMSH PROTOCOL LTD</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$41,576</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P) Cripto (Like Friends) Seed</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td>$37,500</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkware Tokens (Starknet) TPA</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td>$31,723</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Oiling Block</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mystic / Sifu Foundation Token Warrant</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,316</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mania (Seed)</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xccle</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JTO</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,628</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edenbrell / WorksPark Studios Inc (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immie (J) Token Warrant</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subspace Network</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Layer1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta One (DELTA ONE LABS. CORP.)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axero Protocol (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codexnet Inc. (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$899</td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkware Tokens (Starknet) TPA</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zelda (LayerZero)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentain Seif (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtuosity inc (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fipple</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Payments</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplos (Token Warrant) - ARV LLC</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$600</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontem - 2K Oogap (AK Labs Inc.)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal (Nexus Pro) (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questbook / CreatorOS (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastilion / Bangin, Inc. - Token Warrant</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibe Labs Inc. (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GamerGreens</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Gaming, Web3</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull inc (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faktor Token Warrant</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soba Studios / Good Game Inc (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaxaro TPA</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td>$495</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macaurin Investments Ltd (fka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argonite (LayerZero)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td>$305</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snickerdoodle Labs</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Data, Infrastructure</td>
<td>$218</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (fka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Investment Type</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Valuation Post</td>
<td>Investment amount</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Investment Entity</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458 Snickerdoodle Labs</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>459 Worldbit (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$200</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460 Aplos (Token Warrant) - FTX Trading Ltd</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461 Aplos (Token Warrant) - Cottonwood</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cottonwood Grove Limited</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>462 Ceres Protocol Inc / Mythos Token</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$46</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clifton Bay Investments LLC (ka Alameda Research Ventures LLC)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463 Move Labs</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>Staking</td>
<td></td>
<td>$25</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>464 Toxie DAC (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$25</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>SPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465 Katana Labs / Bladex Labs Inc (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macallum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466 <a href="http://om.be">http://om.be</a> (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>DaFi</td>
<td></td>
<td>$17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macallum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467 Talis Network Pty Ltd (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>DaFi</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macallum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468 Eukle Equity Warrant</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>DaFi</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>Equity Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469 Size</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Trading</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alameda Research Ltd</td>
<td>Side Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470 Pacer / Para Health Pte Ltd (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>471 Vibe (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macallum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472 Vibe (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macallum Investments Ltd (ka Alameda Ventures Ltd)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473 Vibe (Token Warrant)</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>474 Soop / WEBUTECH PTE, LTD - Token Warrant</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Ventures Ltd</td>
<td>Token Warrant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Trial excerpts from FTX’s Sam Bankman-Fried trial, highlights the $23.6 quintillion dollars “Venture Book” Bank Loan Fraud which used Real Customers Assets to rehypothecate, repledge, reloan, & commingle over & over again, in which the same Venture Capital owns the startups and California Banks. Terms within the Venture Funding were to deposit the Start-Ups Funding Checks back into the very same Banks issuing funds. Essentially making the Venture Capitalist the Majority Creditor & Debtors as well as the Majority Depositor & Lender with the Banks and Venture Start-Ups, while using customers assets for collateral and loan payments. FTX’s Caroline Ellison on Nov 9, 2022 reaffirms on a “All Hands Meeting” vast amounts of “Open Terms” “Venture Loans” {TimeStamp 0:40}.

AUSA Roos: The defendant WAS Alameda. 90% owner. It took out loans to do crypto trading. Then the defendant started FTX and started getting customer money. He thought this was a new source of money for Alameda. Caroline Ellison told you so

AUSA Rehn: He gave stolen money to a non-profit his brother controlled. But then Alameda was losing money. The defendant doubled down. He pulled more customer money out of FTX to pay off Alameda's loans. He directed creation of false financial statement

AUSA Sassoon: When the defendant said FTX had enough to cover all customer holdings, that was a lie. That was taking into account illiquid investments not on the exchange. He said privately they had one-third...

SBF’s Cohen: Sam worked at Jane Street, a highly regarded trading firm. He wanted to create a Jane Street for crypto. From Jane Street he learned you could fund it with third party loans. Then they created the futures exchange, FTX, unsurprisingly called

AUSA: What was his involvement in the crimes?
Ellison: He was the head of Alameda then FTX. He directed me to commit these crimes.
AUSA: What makes you guilty?
Ellison: Alameda took several billions of dollars from FTX customers and used it for investments.

Ellison: We were discussing having borrowed $10 billion
AUSA: From where?
Ellison: FTX customers. Sam was screaming that it was my fault
AUSA: How did you feel?
Ellison: I was crying. But it was Sam who made all these investments that put us in this situation
AUSA: What was the volume of Alameda's loans at the time?
Ellison: Over $9 billion.
AUSA: When you wrote "FTX borrows" what did you mean?
Ellison: The money on the FTX exchange that Alameda could make use of: $7 billion.
We had done it before.

AUSA: Did you get any loans from Alameda?
Ellison: One loan of $3.5 million for a gambling company people at FTX wanted to put in my name since I wasn't on the books of FTX. Money also went to political contributions.
Ryan Salame got a $35 million loan

AUSA: What did the defendant tell you to do?
Ellison: To continue repaying Alameda's loans.
AUSA: How did you?
Ellison: Taking money from FTX customer funds.

AUSA: At this time, what was FTX's equity value?
Ellison: $20 billion.
AUSA: Did you share your conclusions on possible impacts on Alameda with the defendant?
Ellison: Yes. He suggested we shift our loans to fixed term...
AUSA: Did he dispute the risk?
Ellison: No

AUSA: Please read this. What is a USD return?
Ellison: Return of our US Dollar loans, to this crypto currency address.
AUSA: What is an OT loans?
Ellison: An open term loan. Genesis wanted $400 million back. I was very stressed out.
We would have to take from FTX

Ellison: Alameda by Sept 2022 was borrowing $13 billion from FTX customers.
AUSA: How did it go up?
Ellison: We used it to repay loans, and as collateral for other investments.

AUSA: What did you do?
Ellison: Sam said don't sent the balance sheet to Genesis. We were borrowing $10 billion from FTX and we had $5 billion in loans to our own executives and affiliated entities. We thought Genesis might share the info
Ellison: I withdrew $10 million for an investment in a start up. $100,000 for a loan to my parents. And $2 million for my own donor-advised fund.

AUSA: Did you have equity in Alameda?
Ellison: No. And 0.5% of FTX.

AUSA: You calculated a 30% chance Alameda could not repay its loans - was that of concern?
Ellison: Yes.
AUSA: Even if you used FTX customer funds?
SBF's lawyer: Objection!
Judge Kaplan: Overruled
AUSA: And you still wouldn't be able to repay
Ellison: That's right

AUSA: Did Alameda still have lenders?
Ellison: Yes. They were recalling the loans. I wasn't sure how to balance with responsibilities to FTX customers.
AUSA: GX 410. Mr. Arora, an agent of the defendant.
SBF's lawyer: Not in the scope of the agency
Judge: Denied

AUSA: Were you able to convert over to fixed term loans?
Ellison: Not much.
AUSA: Did you tell the defendant?
Ellison: Yes, I regularly updated him. He wanted to expand investments.
AUSA: And if Genesis recalled the loans?
Ellison: We'd borrow from FTX

AUSA: You were assuming that FTX customer money would be available to Alameda?
Ellison: That's right.
AUSA: What does this mean, "Genesis freaks out immediately?"
Ellison: That Genesis would call in all our loans.

SBF's Cohen: You discussed them with Mr. Bankman-Fried?
Ellison: Some.
Cohen: What was your concern with the third party loans?
Ellison: It might look like Alameda was funneling money to FTX executives.
SBF's Cohen: What is this?
Ellison: Loan agreement with Voyager. I signed it.
Cohen: Did you know Ramnik Arora?
Ellison: Yes. I heard him making a lot of calls to investors. FTX was selling shares.
Cohen: You didn't prepare materials to investors?
A: I did not

SBF's Cohen: Let's move forward to November 6. You put up a tweet, that Alameda had returned most of its loans - as that true?
Ellison: Not really. We'd returned 3rd party loans, by taking out more loans from FTX.

AUSA: What did the defendant say in this tweet?
Ellison: That FTX was starting a venture fund. This was part of Sam's general push to do more investments.
AUSA: If the money was coming from Alameda, why was it called FTX?
Ellison: The brand.

Cohen: How many Signal channels were you on?
SBF: A few hundred, I think.
Cohen: Did the growth of FTX impact your relationship with Alameda?
SBF: I had to stop being CEO. But I was still involved in ventures. I turned it over to Caroline Ellison and Sam Trabucco

SBF's Cohen: The Robin Hood shares you mentioned, did you know who owned them?
BlockFi's Prince: It turns out I wasn't aware of the nuances.
Cohen: Why did BlockFi seek a loan from FTX in the summer of 2022?
AUSA Roos: Objection! Cumulative!
Judge Kaplan: It is

AUSA Roos: If you'd known Alameda was using FTX customer money, would you have lent?
BlockFi's Prince: No. That is not appropriate.
AUSA: And when FTT dropped what did BlockFi do?
Prince: We called some of the loans. But there were still $650 million outstanding

AUSA Roos: Were you extending loans to Alameda because of your deal with FTX?
SBF's lawyer Cohen: Objection! Leading!
Judge Kaplan: Sustained.
AUSA: Did BlockFi lend to Alameda in 2022?
BlockFi’s Prince: Yes, from July to early November, $850 million of loans
AUSA: If you'd known of FTX - Alameda loans would BlockFi have still extended credit?  
BlockFi's Prince: No. They would have been insolvent.  
AUSA: & if you'd known of Alameda's loans to Sam Bankman-Fried?  
Prince: That's not generally done, we'd have been concerned

BlockFi’s Prince: It also provided for FTX being able to acquire BlockFi in July 2023. We thought that would happen  
AUSA: Did that happen?  
Prince: No.  
AUSA: But did your arrangement with FTX influence loans to Alameda?  
Prince: It was a data point

AUSA: What about his, Skybridge Capital?  
Singh: That's Anthony Scaramucci's firm.  
AUSA: And this was in September, after your talk?  
Singh: Yes... In this one, Sam, Gary and me were supposed to take loans and then give it to FTX US, this was just on-paper

SBF's Cohen: You got cash bonuses of 1 to 2 million?  
Singh: I think that's right.  
SBF's Cohen: And loans from FTX - let me give you the foundation. You borrowed $477 million from FTX?  
Singh: In a sense.  
SBF's Cohen: To buy equity in FTX?  
Singh: My first

Everdell: You got over $200 million in loans from Alameda?  
Gary Wang: Yes.  
Everdell: To make venture investments, and to buy a house, right?  
Wang: I used $200,000 for a house.  
Everdell: What lawyers worked on the promissory notes?  
Wang: General counsel, under Dan

AUSA Sassoon: What if anything did you approve about lending to Alameda?  
SBF's lawyer Cohen: Same objection.  
Judge: Overruled  
Sun: I never approved loans of FTX customer funds to Alameda. It was segregated.  
AUSA: What was the purpose?  
Sun: So not misappropriated
SBF's Cohen: Have you heard of Signal and Slack?
Can Sun: Yes.
Cohen: Were they used by the FTX legal dep't?
Sun: Yes.
Cohen: You said Nishad Singh told you he was concerned about this loans?
Sun: He was worried about repaying
Cohen: Understood

Cohen: After you took the $2.3 million loans, you got a $2.3 million bonus from FTX?
Can Sun: Actually I think it was $3.5 million.
SBF's Cohen: And they were connected?
Can Sun: Well they were both about my employment.

Yedidia: I learned that Alameda used FTX depositors money to pay back Almeda's loans.
AUSA: Were you subpoenaed to be here today?
Yedidia: No.
AUSA: Do you have an immunity order?
Yedidia: Yes.
Sam Bankman-Fried
which lenders?
9:54 AM

can certainly do it for all the smaller ones
9:54 AM

would prefer not to do it for blockfi yet
9:54 AM

Caroline Ellison
ah cool
9:54 AM

yeah not blockfi yet
9:54 AM

Sam Bankman-Fried
what would the total be to return?
9:55 AM

I guess Genesis is also substantial?
9:55 AM

GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 410B
22 Cr. 673 (LAK)
The same Venture Capital & Companies whom reloan, repledge, rehypothecate & commingle assets with "BlockFi's largest creditor is Ankura Trust, which represents creditors in stressed situations and is owed $729 million. Valar Ventures, a Peter Thiel-linked venture capital fund, owns 19% of BlockFi equity shares" owns FTX Equity Stake with prior knowledge "Venture Book Avoidance" prior to the Silicon Valley Banks & other California Banks collapses (obtaining Venture Loans).
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From: Washburn, Charles  
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 10:57 AM  
To: 'Nourafshan, Alexander@DBO'  
Subject: RE: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi  
Importance: High

CONFIDENTIAL

Alex,

I hope this message finds you well.

I am following up to check on the status of our request for an interpretive opinion, a copy of which request I have attached for ease of reference. As you know, it was received by the Department on May 15.

As discussed in more detail in the opinion request, the position taken by the Department to-date that a licensee under the California Financing Law ("CFL") cannot hold collateral I respectfully believe is based on a clear misreading of vestigial language in Section 22009 of the CFL defining the term "finance lender," which hopefully you see as well.

As also discussed in the request, this incorrect Department position is causing substantial harm to our client, BlockFi Lending LLC. In particular and as noted in the request for expedited treatment, I understand from the client that a competitor, Unchained Capital, Inc., was granted a CFL license by the Department (60DBO-78867) and based on the Department's web site that license is still active. The client further advises that Unchained Capital is still doing a lending business in California and is still holding crypto currency as collateral in connection with those loans, while at the same time BlockFi Lending is unable to lend in California on similar terms with respect to holding collateral based on this Department position.

Thank you in advance for your assistance, and I would again be happy to discuss any questions you may have regarding the analysis.

Best regards,

Chuck
### Avoidance Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aly Helal</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Collecting financial documents and agreements on FTX's venture investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aly Helal</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Updating the counterparties for Silvergate's bank transactions to standardize the counterparties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aly Helal</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Editing and populating the Silvergate bank transactions based on transaction description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aly Helal</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Analyzing and standardizing the unique counterparties for Silvergate bank transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Sloan</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Processing various additional bank statements received in Valid8, re: cash database construction progress and reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Sloan</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Creating summary load file for various banks bank statements, re: cash database construction progress and reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Sloan</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Processing additional signature bank statements received in Valid8, re: cash database construction progress and reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Sloan</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Creating summary load file for signature bank statements, re: cash database construction progress and reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Sloan</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Teleconference with C. Radis, A. Sloan and E. Hoffer (A&amp;M) discussing Silvergate summary cash database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Sloan</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Teleconference with C. Radis, A. Sloan, M. Shanahan, and E. Hoffer (A&amp;M) discussing Silvergate cash database summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Conducted Relativity searches related to the HOLE and Terraform relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Added new data to the Alameda venture investment interest payment analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Teleconference with S. Minms and B. Price (A&amp;M) regarding HOLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Teleconference with E. Hoffer and B. Price (A&amp;M) regarding the new data received from debtors and banks on 2/2/2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Added new bank data received from SBI bank to the bank statement tracker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Added new bank data received from Nium bank to the bank statement tracker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Added new bank data received from Prime Trust to the bank data tracker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway and B. Price (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment interest payment and margin call data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Added new bank data received from First Republic Bank to the bank statement tracker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Continued the document review process related to debtor entity financials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Completed the thorough review of &quot;Blockchain.com&quot; on Relativity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breanna Price</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Added new data to the Alameda venture investment margin call analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Avoidance Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Teleconference with S. Mimms, A. Dobbs, and B. Price (A&amp;M) regarding the plan to gather relevant Alameda venture investment loan payment information via Relativity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway and S. Mimms (A&amp;M) regarding questions on Alameda venture investment presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway, S. Mimms, A. Dobbs, and B. Price (A&amp;M) regarding Relativity searching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway and S. Mimms (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment loan history documentation and Relativity review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Performing targeted Relativity searches around Alameda venture investment open items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway and S. Mimms (A&amp;M) regarding next steps with Alameda venture investment loan analysis and presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Updating and Analyzing Alameda venture investment Loan Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Developing draft presentation on Alameda venture investment transactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway and S. Mimms (A&amp;M) regarding updates to Alameda venture investment loan history spreadsheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Mimms</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Teleconference with S. Mimms, A. Dobbs, and B. Price (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment loan payment findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Peoples</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Discussion with A. Canale and S. Peoples (A&amp;M) regarding financial considerations for avoidance actions update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Peoples</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Call with M. Blanchard, A. Canale, S. Peoples, K. Baker, and J. Chan (A&amp;M) to discuss FTT Float balances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Peoples</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Discussion with S. Peoples and M. Blanchard regarding loan analysis for Alameda Ventures Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Coverick</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Develop plan for upcoming preference analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Dobbs</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Teleconference with S. Mimms and A. Dobbs (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment loan term sheet review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Dobbs</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Relativity Search and Summary of Alameda venture investment and FTX Loan and Option Agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Dobbs</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Prepare notes and materials for upcoming meeting re: Alameda venture investment transactions analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Dobbs</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Alameda venture investment Funds tracing, Loan History Analysis and Transaction Confirmation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Dobbs</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Teleconference with S. Mimms and A. Dobbs (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment loan analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Dobbs</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Continue Alameda venture investment Payment Confirmation Tracker and Wallet Tracking Documents in Relativity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Dobbs</td>
<td>2/2/2023</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>EDAF Man Capital Markets Funds tracing, Loan History Analysis and Transaction Confirmation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sullivan & Cromwell on 02/01/2023 “Genesis/BlockFi and FTX transactions” with “Purchase Agreements” “venture book target” for “Venture Book Avoidance” Loans with California Banks.

Re: 
Forensic Analysis 
Code: 200081000P0001.1.5

02/01/2023 MB
Discussion with A. Walker (AlixPartners) re: addition of department to query on Genesis/BlockFi and FTX transactions
0.4
02/01/2023 MB
Attend meeting with D. Schwartz (AlixPartners) re: review of transactions of Genesis and BlockFi with FTX entities
0.5
02/01/2023 MB
Analyze bank transaction information to trace cash flows between Genesis and FTX
2.4
02/01/2023 MB
Analyze Genesis/BlockFi transactions with FTX
0.7
02/01/2023 MB
Analyze large cash transfers to Genesis from FTX
1.7
02/01/2023 MB
Analyze large loans to from Genesis from/to FTX
0.6
02/01/2023 MB
Prepare bank statement request re: BlockFi/Genesis Transactions with FTX
0.6
correspond with A. Kutscher re same (.1); conference with S. Rand, QE team re status update, avoidance actions (.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/01/23</td>
<td>JY1</td>
<td>Prepare notes to file regarding decision not to pursue preference claim (1).</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1,183.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/01/23</td>
<td>EK</td>
<td>Analysis of investigation needs for avoidance action and correspondence with O. Yeffet re same (1.9); review and analysis of materials in connection with additional avoidance action analysis (0.8).</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>3,365.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/01/23</td>
<td>APA</td>
<td>Review Alameda complaint against voyager (0.4); review Purchase Agreements for venture book target (0.8).</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1,728.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/01/23</td>
<td>AK2</td>
<td>Confer with S. Rand re: upcoming call on avoidance action next steps (.1); attend same (.2); analyze potential avoidance actions and conduct research re: same (4.8); confer with S. Seneckzo re: same (.1); confer with Alvarez and Marsal re: upcoming call re: potential avoidance actions and venture book data (.1); attend same (.5); confer with S. Rand re: results of same (.1); confer with I. Nesser re: avoidance action research and next steps re: potential avoidance actions (.1).</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>7,290.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Avoidance Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Medway</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Call with A. Canale, D. Medway (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Medway</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Review summary of findings from analysis of Alameda venture investment Loan and Option Agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Medway</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Call with A. Canale, D. Medway (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Medway</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway and S. Mimms (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment loan history documentation and Relativity review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Medway</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway and S. Mimms (A&amp;M) regarding updates to Alameda venture investment loan history spreadsheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Medway</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Teleconference with D. Medway and A. Helal (A&amp;M) regarding Alameda venture investment collateral transactions analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Medway</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Prepare materials summarizing historical Alameda venture investment loan accrued interest and payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Medway</td>
<td>2/1/2023</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Investigate cash transactions with Alameda loan counterparty during the preference period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### April 05, 2023

#### Review of engagement letters and populate the summary chart for purpose of Rule 2004 document request (4.8); call with S. Rand related to the review (0.5); call with A. Alden and S. Seneczko for avoidance action analysis (0.6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/02/23</td>
<td>NH2</td>
<td>NH2</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5,336.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/23</td>
<td>OBY</td>
<td>OBY</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>452.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/23</td>
<td>SS6</td>
<td>SS6</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>7,785.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>02/02/23</strong></td>
<td><strong>APA</strong></td>
<td><strong>Review Token Purchase Agreement and memo regarding same (0.8); review memo regarding Venture investments (0.5); teleconference with N. Huh and S. Seneczko regarding tasks and research (0.6).</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.90</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,736.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/23</td>
<td>EK</td>
<td>EK</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1,994.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>02/02/23</strong></td>
<td><strong>AK2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Confer with J. Young re: venture book avoidance action targets (0.2); conduct research and determine next steps re: same (3.7); confer with Alvarez and Marsal re: same (1.1); confer with S. Seneczko re: same (1.1).</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.10</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,981.50</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Hours</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/23</td>
<td>Shane Yeargan</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>Bi-weekly S&amp;C senior lawyers’ call re: ongoing investigations workstreams (.50); review A&amp;M analysis of SDNY relevant third party requests (.20); review correspondence with third party exchanges (.30); revise draft letters to third party exchanges (.30).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/23</td>
<td>Michele Materni</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>Review documents of interest binder (3.7); bi-weekly S&amp;C senior lawyers’ call re: ongoing investigations workstreams (.50); meeting with S&amp;C team re: ongoing chronology workstreams (1.0); meeting with S. Cohen Levin re: call to relevant third party (.10); review Slack messages re: financial issue (.90).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/02/23</td>
<td>Mark Bennett</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>Bi-weekly S&amp;C senior lawyers’ call re: ongoing investigations workstreams (.50); review correspondence from S. Wheeler re: intercompany loans (.30); review correspondence from J. Croke re: relevant third party (.10); review documents identified as interesting to investigation and correspondence with S&amp;C team re: same (.80).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the list of the professionals and bucketing for priority (0.4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/05/23</td>
<td>Analyze relevant documents; Draft 2004 Motion, Document Requests, and Meet and Confer letter (3.2).</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2,894.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/05/23</td>
<td>Team call on 2004 requests (.5).</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>452.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/05/23</td>
<td>Call with J. Palmreon, N. Huh on 2004 document requests (.2).</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>180.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/05/23</td>
<td>Analysis of engagement letters including identification of specific targets and jurisdictional concerns (1.4).</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1,266.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/05/23</td>
<td>Review and analyze draft document for venture book target analysis (.2); determine potential revisions to same (.2); confer with I. Nesser, S. Rand, J. Shaffer, and E. Winston re: same (.1); revise same (.1).</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>729.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/23</td>
<td>Meeting to discuss review of electronic documents related to venture book target (.6).</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>542.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/23</td>
<td>Correspond with M. Meadows, N. Huh, A. Alden re avoidance action coordination (.3); conference with M. Meadows, N. Huh, A. Alden re same (.3).</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>591.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/23</td>
<td>Call discussing 2004 requests on target assets (.3).</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>271.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/23</td>
<td>Draft 2004 meet and confer requests and motions (3.9).</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3,527.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/23</td>
<td>Group meeting to discuss Venture Book Review (3); Review in connection with Venture Book materials (1.1).</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1,045.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/23</td>
<td>Research venture book target platform and agreements with FIX (.7).</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>522.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/23</td>
<td>Analysis of and correspondence regarding avoidance action facts (1.8); conference with team re avoidance</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2,617.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/07/23</td>
<td>Conference with A&amp;M re avoidance action analysis (0.4); analyze data in connection with avoidance actions (0.5); correspond with team regarding avoidance action analysis (0.3).</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1,495.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/07/23</td>
<td>Confer with S. Rand re: upcoming call with Alvarez and Marsal re: avoidance action targets in venture book (.1); confer with Alvarez and Marsal re: venture book targets for avoidance actions and next steps re: same (.1); confer with E. Sutton and Alvarez and Marsal re: same (.1); confer with I. Nesser re: upcoming call re: same (.1); conduct research re: potential avoidance action targets between $10 million and $20 million and determine next steps re: same (2.3); confer with S. Rand and Alvarez and Marsal re: upcoming call re: avoidance action targets in venture book (.1); attend same (1.3); confer with team re: results of same (.1); analyze venture book and revisions to priority cases (2.8); confer with team re: results of same (.2); confer with Alvarez and Marsal re: same (.1); confer with S. Rand re: same (.2); confer with A. Alden re: same (.1); confer with Alvarez and Marsal re: upcoming call on avoidance targets and next steps re: same (.1); confer with I. Nesser re: potential avoidance action target and next steps for avoidance actions generally (.3); confer with M. Smith</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>10,570.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Hours</td>
<td>Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>MM2</td>
<td>Attend QE team call re avoidance analyses (0.3); reviewing and revising</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1,217.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>search parameters in consultation with A&amp;M and FTI and evaluating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>search results (0.8).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>OBY</td>
<td>Legal research regarding reasonably equivalent value in the context of</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1,537.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>avoidance actions. (1.7).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>OBY</td>
<td>Conduct and draft analysis on venture book target.</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2,442.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>OBY</td>
<td>Revisions to 2004 motion for potential target; correspondence with J.</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1,537.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shaffer, J. Palmerson, S. Rand, and D. Grable regarding same. (1.7).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>SS6</td>
<td>Conference with A. Alden, QE team re avoidance action analysis call (0.3);</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>5,715.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>research, analyze diligence re avoidance transaction (3.8); draft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>memorandum re same (1.7).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>OBY</td>
<td>Analyze potential claims regarding potential venture book target.</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>904.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>APA</td>
<td>Attend weekly call with N. Huls, M. Meadows and S. Seneczko regarding</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1,728.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>status of venture investment analyses (0.3); email to investigator regarding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>venture investment (0.1); research regarding promoter claims (0.8).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>EK</td>
<td>Correspondence with J. Nesser, J. Reed, and O. Yeffet re: venture book</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>623.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>analysis (0.5).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/23</td>
<td>AK2</td>
<td>Review draft Rule 2004 motion and proposed revisions to same and assess</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4,981.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>potential further revisions (4); confer with I. Nesser re: upcoming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>call and attend same re: avoidance action evaluation, status, next steps,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and team call re: same (6); evaluate potential avoidance action re: token</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>swap agreement and review documents re: same (2); confer with</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alvarez and Marsal re: financial evaluation of potential avoidance target and stock received from purchase, confer with I. Nesser re: same, and confer with Alvarez and Marsal and I. Nesser re: upcoming call re: same (.3); revise draft memorandum on avoidance action target, conduct research re: same, and confer with S. Rand, J. Shaffer, and I. Nesser re: same (.5); confer with A. Roytenberg re: avoidance action target and timing of memorandum re: same (.1); confer with I. Nesser re: memorandum on potential avoidance action, revise comments on same, confer with E. Winston re: same (.3); confer with M. Meadows re: avoidance action document review and documents to provide to investigations team (.1); confer with S. Rand, J. Shaffer, I. Nesser, E. Winston, E. Sutton, E. Kapur, and A. Alden re: upcoming call on status of avoidance action analysis and next steps re: same (.2); draft venture book avoidance analysis status document and confer with team re: same (.4); review and revise draft memorandum on potential avoidance action target, determine next steps re: same, confer with S. Turk and M. Smith re: same, and confer with I. Nesser re: same (.7); draft communication to Sullivan and Cromwell re: data source, confer with S. Rand re: same, and confer with E. Sutton re: same (.3).

Prepare draft complaint (5.2), fact research re: same (1.2). 6.40 6,710.40

Drafted a memo for a target - draft fact sections and legal analysis (2.7), finalized a memo for another target and reviewed investigation result (1.5). 4.20 3,798.90
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rates</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/28/23</td>
<td>RP</td>
<td>Review documents related to investigations targets (7.7).</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>6,964.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/23</td>
<td>ET3</td>
<td>Drafting and editing memorandum on results of law firm review (5.1).</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>2,593.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/23</td>
<td>KS7</td>
<td>Analyze documents and communications to evaluate in-house counsel targets (summarize same) (5.9).</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5,336.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/23</td>
<td>TCM</td>
<td>Investigations team calls re., prioritization of bank and law firm 2004s (.5); review of SBF superseding indictment and SEC and CFTC complaints, and associated dockets (3).</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4,252.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/23</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Research regarding law firm review (6.8); Analyze court filings and other documents related to potential claims (1.6).</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>4,271.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/23</td>
<td>JA4</td>
<td>Review of documents for family investigations targets (7.5).</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>3,813.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/23</td>
<td>SH6</td>
<td>Prepare 2004 law firm requests, review and analyze invoices and retainers detailing services of potential targets and emails with QE leadership team re: same (3.5); correspondence with K. Lenire and J. Young re: bank targets for 2004 requests (0.1); review issue documents related to intercompany loans of inside counsel (1.0); review draft in house counsel module and analyze for interview targets (1.0); review and revise family investigation team's political and charitable module (0.9); correspondence with investigation reviewers re: findings related to political and charitable contributions and analyze documents of interest re: the same (1.0); prepare case management topics for upcoming</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>6,732.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Matter #: 11807-00001**  
**Invoice Number: 101-0000149656**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>PROFESSIONAL</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES</th>
<th>HOURS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/27/2023</td>
<td>LMG</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. White and A. Searles (all AlixPartners) re: Genesis workstream</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/2023</td>
<td>LMG</td>
<td>Attend meeting with B. Mackay (AlixPartners) re: Genesis Global Trading analysis and next steps</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/2023</td>
<td>LMG</td>
<td>Review Genesis Capital files from A&amp;M</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/2023</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Prepare summary re: Class B share purchases of WRS</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/2023</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Prepare summary re: acquisition</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/27/2023</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Update summary re: acquisition</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. Schwartz, M. Birtwell (all AlixPartners) re: workplan for political donations workstream</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. Schwartz (all AlixPartners) to discuss status of investigation workstreams related to Genesis</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. Schwartz, A. Vanderkamp, B. Robison, B. Mackay (all AlixPartners) re: Genesis workstream</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. Schwartz, A. Searles, B. Robison, B. Mackay (all AlixPartners) re: Genesis workstream</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. Schwartz, and B. Robison (partial), (all AlixPartners) re: document review and analysis related to Genesis investigation</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>AV</td>
<td>Analyze Genesis workstream</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>BFM</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. Schwartz, A. Vanderkamp, A. Searles, B. Robison (all AlixPartners) re: Genesis workstream</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. Schwartz, A. Vanderkamp, (partial), (all AlixPartners) re: document review and analysis related to Genesis investigation</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>Attend meeting with D. Schwartz, A. Vanderkamp, A. Searles, B. Mackay (all AlixPartners) re: Genesis workstream</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>Attend meeting with S. Thompson (AlixPartners) re: Genesis workstream</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>Summarize data contained in term sheets re: Genesis</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td><strong>Analyze loan agreements and other associated documentation re: Genesis loans</strong></td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/2023</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>Conduct unstructured searches in ESI database re: Genesis loans</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The same “Venture Book” Loan Capital & Companies “included in 438 investments” "funded assets" whom on Nov. 9, 2022 FTX/Alameda & BlockFi Pledge Agreements allowing “pledge, rehypocate, assign, use, commingle, or otherwise dispose of or use any Collateral” including "Tokenized Stocks" & "Peter Thiel" "owns 19% of BlockFi equity shares" owning FTX Equity Stake prior knowledge “Venture Book Avoidance” prior California Banks collapses. These same Venture Book Avoidance/Capital issues comments “Coatue, USV, Founder Collective joined Thiel in advising companies to pull SVB funds” which echoed across all “Venture Book Avoidance” Participants. On March 13, 2023, these actions prompted responses from POTUS, Secretary Yellen and FDIC Acts to Protect All Depositors of the former Silicon Valley Bank, which accomplished these initial goal on Feb. 02, 2023 “Venture Book” “438 investments, totaling approximately $4.5B in funded assets” Loan Avoidance objectives.

Bloomberg Today
Coatue, USV, Founder Collective joined Thiel in advising companies to pull SVB funds.

@jas on Twitter

YOU SHOULD BE ABSOLUTELY TERRIFIED RIGHT NOW — THAT IS THE PROPER REACTION TO A BANK RUN & CONTAGION

@POTUS & @SecYellen MUST GET ON TV TOMORROW AND GUARENTEE ALL DEPOSITS UP TO $10M OR THIS WILL SPIRAL INTO CHAOS

@DavidSacks

Where is Powell? Where is Yellen? Stop this crisis NOW. Announce that all depositors will be safe. Place SVB with a Top 4 bank. Do this before Monday open or there will be contagion and the crisis will spread.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Total Wine Amount</th>
<th>Total Shores</th>
<th>Preferred</th>
<th>Preferred_1</th>
<th>Common</th>
<th>Investing Entity</th>
<th>Wines Amount Received</th>
<th>Wine Received</th>
<th>FTX US Share Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>率</td>
<td>$7,900,000.00</td>
<td>2859</td>
<td>365,500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YESINVESTMENT LLC</td>
<td>272,000.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>率</td>
<td>$7,900,000.00</td>
<td>2859</td>
<td>365,500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YESINVESTMENT LLC</td>
<td>272,000.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>率</td>
<td>$7,900,000.00</td>
<td>2859</td>
<td>365,500</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YESINVESTMENT LLC</td>
<td>272,000.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, the total wine amount across different wines and shore preferences is $7,900,000.00, with a total of 2859 wines and 365,500 shore preferences. The investing entities, such as YESINVESTMENT LLC, have received 272,000 wines, indicating a significant investment in the wine industry.
The same Crypto Exchange Equity Holders whom obtained Venture Loans from California Banks allowing Tokenized Stocks/Assets as Collateral, whom also redeposit the Venture Loan Funding into the very same issuers of the Venture Bank Loans whom initiated “Bank Run” narratives for Avoidance Venture Loan Repayments; Created Tokenized Stocks “mimic the price movements of publicly traded stocks”.

F. Tokenized Stock Prices Are Specific to the Debtors’ Exchanges.

57. As explained by Professor Howell, tokenized stocks are derivatives that aim to mimic the price movements of publicly traded stocks. (Howell Rep. ¶ 37.) The tokenized stocks on the Debtors’ exchanges were not otherwise tradeable outside of the Debtors’ exchanges (Id. ¶ 38.) Therefore, Professor Howell considered tokenized stocks to amount to a financial contract between a customer and the Debtors to track a stock price. (Id.) Accordingly, the price of the tokenized stocks on the Debtors’ exchanges—rather than same tokenized stocks on other exchanges or the underlying stocks themselves—best reflect the Petition Time value of such tokenized stocks. (Id.)

58. Two pro se objectors take issue with this approach. (See D.I. 5542, 5594.) These objectors argue that the tokenized stocks should be valued at the price of the underlying stock itself rather than the price of the tokenized stock on the Debtors’ exchanges. For the reasons set forth in the Howell Report, this is not appropriate. While tokenized stocks were intended to track the underlying stock, they are not, in fact, the underlying stock; they are a completely separate instrument. The Debtors are pricing Claims based on the tokenized stocks offered by the Debtors, not the underlying stock itself.
(57) Tokenized Stock on FTX/Binance Exchanges which were rehypothecated, repledged, reloaned, & commingle with BlockFi & other Crypto Exchanges as “Lending business in California and is still holding crypto currency as collateral” to obtain Venture Loans i.e. Silver Gate, Signature, First Republic, Silicon Valley Banks.
Sullivan & Cromwell, Andrew Dietderich misrepresents his involvement in the FTX Asset Collateral Venture Bank Loan Laundering Operations. “July 27, 2022” “FTX has a bottomless sea of ordinary cryptocurrency” versus “December 21, 2022” declaration filed “under penalty of perjury”. Attached to Dietderich’s filing shows Sullivan & Cromwell representation of Deltec Bank whom “Alameda transferred money to its Deltec accounts in order to fund the creation of billions of dollars in Tether (USDT)”. 
Sullivan & Cromwell’s declaration highlights clients whom had Massive Synthetic Swaps with Family Offices, Hedge Funds & Counter Party Banks. These clients moved their Naked Short Swap Positions to FTX Related Entities & Obtained Collateral Loans Against minimal (57) Tokenized Stocks with $0 Valuations. Basically Stealing, Converting, & Laundering Fiat/Dollars used to Purchase Stocks on the New York Stock Exchange into Crypto Values such as FTX (FTT) or Celsius (CEL), whom uses CoinBase as custodian which these organizations also own. The Same Family Offices/Hedge Funds/Venture Capital/Banks issuing the Loans, Obtaining Loans, & Eventually Collapsing/Buying Bankruptcy Assets; all represented by Sullivan & Cromwell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Relationship to Debtor</th>
<th>S&amp;C Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name on File</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docono</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced Digital</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bittrex, Inc.</td>
<td>Banks/Lender/UCC Lien Parties/Administrative Agents</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis Global Capital, LLC</td>
<td>Banks/Lender/UCC Lien Parties/Administrative Agents</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Sigma Ventures III</td>
<td>Claimant</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name on File</td>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantor Fitzgerald Technology Markets LLC</td>
<td>Interested Parties</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Street Holdings LLC</td>
<td>Interested Parties</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walton Enterprises</td>
<td>Interested Parties</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Thornton</td>
<td>Ordinary Course Professionals</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deltec International Group</td>
<td>Scheduled Claimant</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEFFERIES FINANCIAL GROUP INC.</td>
<td>UCC Professionals</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD Ameritrade Clearing, Inc.</td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Former Client</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Relationship to Debtor</th>
<th>S&amp;C Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FANATICS HOLDINGS, INC.</td>
<td>Investments/Acquisitions</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX VENTURES BAHAMAS LIMITED</td>
<td>Investments/Acquisitions</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANTERA BLOCKCHAIN GP LLC</td>
<td>Investments/Acquisitions</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROTEGO TRUST COMPANY</td>
<td>Investments/Acquisitions</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIPLINK CORP</td>
<td>Investments/Acquisitions</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WENEW, INC.</td>
<td>Investments/Acquisitions</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX GENERAL PARTNERS AG</td>
<td>Known Affiliates - JV</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOLLO CAPITAL OPPORTUNITIES FUND LTD</td>
<td>Other Significant Creditors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlockFi Lending LLC</td>
<td>Other Significant Creditors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iBit PTE. LTD (Paxos)</td>
<td>Other Significant Creditors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JONAS PARTNERS PTE LTD</td>
<td>Other Significant Creditors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEDGERPRIME DIGITAL ASSET OPPORTUNITIES OFFSHORE FUND</td>
<td>Other Significant Creditors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIPPLE LABS, INC.</td>
<td>Other Significant Creditors</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Department of Treasury</td>
<td>Taxing Authority/Governmental/Regulatory Agencies</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Lynch</td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPEG Live</td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARADIGM ONE LP</td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasserman Media Group</td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASSERMAN MEDIA GROUP LLC</td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASSERMAN MUSIC LLC</td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entity</td>
<td>Relationship to Debtor</td>
<td>S&amp;C Relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rothschild &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Ad Hoc Committee (Non US Customers of FTX.com)</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACX</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Traders</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galaxy Digital</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC Flowers</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYDIG</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name on File</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rakuten</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinhood</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StoneX</td>
<td>363 Sale Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Bank</td>
<td>Banks/Lender/UCC Lien Parties/Administrative Agents</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Republic Bank</td>
<td>Banks/Lender/UCC Lien Parties/Administrative Agents</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBI Clearing Trust</td>
<td>Banks/Lender/UCC Lien Parties/Administrative Agents</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artz Fund Investments PTE Ltd.</td>
<td>Claimant</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name on File</td>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name on File</td>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name on File</td>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name on File</td>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Schwartz</td>
<td>Director/Officer</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX PHILANTHROPY INC.</td>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>Ultimate Parent is Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galaxy Digital Holdings Limited Partnership (a/k/a Galaxy Digital Holdings Ltd.)</td>
<td>Interested Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalshi, Inc.</td>
<td>Interested Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinhood Markets, Inc.</td>
<td>Interested Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVB Financial Group</td>
<td>Interested Parties</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock Inc</td>
<td>Investments/Acquisitions</td>
<td>Current Client</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Retail Investors appreciates the immortalization of confessions from Financial Institutions, Market Makers, Family Offices, Hedge Funds, ISDA Members, Crypto Exchanges, Swaps Counter-Parties, Venture Capital, & respective Legal Counsel on the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission’s (the “SEC” or “Commission”) release on proposed Rule 13f-2 (“Proposal”) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Financial Institutions ISDA Counter-Parties, Hedge Funds, Crypto Exchanges, & Family Offices full knowledge Signed ISDA and Swaps Contract “directly or indirectly, actually or synthetically, relating to such Transaction or any connected hedging activity” “that can be used to create a long or short exposure to the Shares or Index” thereby creating “Synthetic Buyer” or “Synthetic Seller”. Utilizing “Share Swap Transaction”, “Share Basket Swap Transaction”, “Index Swap Transaction”, “Index Basket Swap Transaction” a “Custom Index Basket”, “Broker-Dealer Swap Tokenized Shares on Exchange”, & “Digital Asset Securities-Based Swap” without having to own the underlying Shares/Assets or deposit reserves. 

Broker-dealer customer protection rule to require certain broker-dealers to perform their customer and broker-dealer reserve computations and make any required deposits into their reserve bank accounts daily rather than weekly. These Rules for daily reserve computation requirements should apply to Broker-Dealers, Security-Based Swap, Crypto-Based Swap, USD/Stable Coin-Swaps, Digital Asset Securities-Based Swap, & Dealers with respect to their Digital Asset/Security-Based Swap customers. 

The documentation & confession using financial instruments such as “rate swap transaction, basis swap, forward rate transaction, commodity swap, commodity option, equity or equity index swap, equity or equity index option, bond option, interest rate option, foreign exchange transaction, cap transaction, floor transaction, collar transaction, currency swap transaction, cross-currency rate swap transaction, currency option, swap tokenized stock, swap dollar pegged Circle’s USDC, USD/Stable Coin-Swaps, Digital Asset Securities-Based Swap or any other similar transaction (including any option with respect to any of these transactions)” as defined within ISDA agreements. These instruments & “securities-based swaps underlying certain” EquiLend, FTX, Alameda Research, & Archegos’ “positions in order to raise or depress the price of and induce others to purchase those securities”

Daniel L. Brockett (Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP)

“Credit Suisse executive who sat on the EquiLend board told SLX that EquiLend was like the Mafia run by five families. He also stated that nothing would happen in the market with regard to SLX’s platform unless the five families agreed jointly that it should happen”

“Credit Suisse managing director recommended getting together all of the members of the family to discuss AQS and SLX in light of regulatory developments. At or about the
same time, the head of securities lending at Bank of America also expressed an intent to convene a meeting of the five families’

“There was similar pressure applied to customers. The dealers pressured existing participants not to trade on AQS or SLX and made similar threats to entities about withholding banking services if they were to use either of these platforms. For example, major hedge funds – Renaissance Technologies, D.E. Shaw, Millennium, and SAC Capital – were all refused access to these platforms, and each were told the same thing: If you don't like this decision, you could take your business elsewhere. That is what all of the prime brokers told the hedge funds when they sought access to the AQS and SLX platform”

“Goldman Sachs also threatened Bank of New York Mellon when it learned that BNY intended to use AQS. Goldman threatened to cancel all open stock lending trades and to refuse to do business with BNY in the future if BNY were to support either one of these platforms. So, again, you have common threats that were made to market participants who sought access to these platforms”

“All of the dealers caused EquiLend, which they controlled, not to support these new platforms. And then, in the end, all of the banks on the EquiLend board supported the acquisition of the assets of both of these platforms, not for the purpose of making any commercial use out of them, but for the purpose of shelving them down as independent competitive forces in the marketplace”

Charles Washburn (Partner at Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP):

“Indeed, internal counsel from the various prime brokers held a call among themselves earlier that day, agreeing that lawyers would be present on any calls between the brokers, and that the lawyers would read a script on each call making clear that no broker was permitted to disclose its Archegos-related positions”, including Counter-Parties HUDSON BAY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LP, BARCLAYS CAPITAL INC., BNP PARIBAS, MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL, NATIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES LLC, STATE STREET BANK AND TRUST CO., UBS SECURITIES LLC, BNP PARIBAS SECURITIES CORP., GOLDMAN SACHS & CO. LLC, MERRILL LYNCH PROFESSIONAL CLEARING CORP., UBS AG, PALOMA PARTNERS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, BOFA SECURITIES, INC., CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC., DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES INC., J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LLC, MERRILL LYNCH PROFESSIONAL CLEARING CORP., MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC, BARCLAYS BANK PLC, CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC., CREDIT SUISSE SECURITIES (USA) LLC, DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES INC., GOLDMAN SACHS INTERNATIONAL, J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, NA, MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL, MERRILL LYNCH PROFESSIONAL
CLEARING CORP., SG AMERICAS SECURITIES, LLC, THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, THE BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA, UBS SECURITIES LLC, UBS SWITZERLAND AG, WELLS FARGO BANK NA, SS&C TECHNOLOGIES, INC., SAMLYN CAPITAL, LLC, BARCLAYS CAPITAL INC., FIDELITY PRIME SERVICES, J.P. MORGAN CLEARING CORP., MORGAN STANLEY & CO., INC., NATIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES LLC, BARCLAYS CAPITAL INC., FIDELITY PRIME SERVICES, MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL, MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL SERVICES, LLC, THE NORTHERN TRUST INTERNATIONAL BANKING CORPORATION, MORGAN STANLEY FUND SERVICES (CAYMAN) LTD., “CS participated in block sales of overlapping positions on April 5 and 14, 2021, liquidating approximately $3 billion and $2.2 billion, respectively, on those dates. Otherwise, CS liquidated its other historic Archegos positions through open-market, algorithmic trading. As of April 22, 2021, CS had liquidated 97% of its Archegos exposure.” “Goldman was organizing block sales of certain ADR positions and invited CS to participate. CS ultimately participated in three such Goldman-led block trades, selling shares in Baidu, Tencent, and Vipshop Holdings. In these trades, Goldman did not disclose to CS the number of shares it was putting up in the block, and there was no agreement with Goldman as to which broker’s shares were being sold first and/or how the sales would be distributed. Apart from the block, CS engaged in algorithmic trading that day, aiming to stay within 2-3% of average daily volume. Ultimately, CS sold just over $3 billion notional on March 26, approximately $1.27 billion of which was sold in the Goldman-led block sales.”

Prime Brokers Dealers/Family Office/Major Hedge Funds Member confession and admissions

“…I and others executed trades that allowed the fund to amass market power and certain securities traded on U.S. exchanges. Archegos used security-based swaps to gain exposure to these securities while concealing the true size of the fund’s positions from the market and our trading counterparties. Once Archegos gained market power in these securities, I and others used this power to trade in such a way as to artificially manipulate the prices of the securities. Acting at the direction of the head of the fund [Hwang], I traded to increase the prices of names in which Archegos held long positions and reduced the prices of securities in which the fund held short positions. I did this by, for example, buying large amounts of a stock when the price dropped in response to negative news or trading premarket when I knew the fund’s activity would have a greater impact on price. I manipulated the prices of these securities in order to influence others in the market to buy or sell the securities in ways that would benefit Archegos’ key positions and increase Archegos’ purchasing power through variation margin.” “each were told the same thing: If you don’t like this decision, you could take your business elsewhere. That is what all of the prime brokers told the hedge funds”
Using positions in “highly-liquid, larger cap issuers” towards “less liquid, China-based issuers, as well as relatively smaller cap U.S. media and technology companies” “artificially increase the market value” & “artificially inflate the share prices”.

These ISDA Counter-Parties & Swaps Contracts use “manipulative trading in those stocks interrupted the natural interplay of supply and demand for those stocks – and distorted their underlying economic value for the Counterparties and other market participants – by causing at least two sets of false pricing signals in the market: (1) that the Top 10 Holdings stocks were held by a relatively broad range of market participants, reflecting a relatively broad supply and demand for those stocks – when, in fact, a single buyer (Archegos) was surreptitiously, and by fraudulent means, dominating the market for those stocks; and (2) that the prices of those stocks, both daily and over time, reflected normal market forces when, in fact, their steep climb (and ultimate precipitous fall) was due at least largely to Archegos’s fraudulently dominating the market for, and engaging in manipulative trading of, those stocks.”

“These swaps allow clients to obtain "synthetic" leveraged exposure to the underlying stocks without actually owning them.” Also known instruments “derivative known as a total return swap ("TRS")”.

Before making recommendations regarding the Proposal, it is important to put some ISDA members, Prime Broker Banks, Family Offices, Crypto Exchanges, & Counter-Parties own confession, admissions and documentation around the shortcomings of the current system and the Commission’s goals with this proposal in order to evaluate whether the proposal will be successful.

“Short selling volume and transactions data cannot easily explain changes in short interest, exposing a gap between these two types of existing data.” Furthermore, these data sets are subject to differences in reporting lag, and can misrepresent the amount of short selling due to miskarking, manipulation & method by Hedge Funds, Family Offices, Brokerages, Financial Institution, Crypto Exchanges, Counter-Parties & ISDA members.
Crypto Exchanges Sam Bankman-Fried FTX, Alameda Research Response to Signal Auto Deleting Messages with Ryne Miller (Sullivan & Cromwell Partner; Lead Bankruptcy Counsel/Former CFTC Legal Counsel) & Zach Dexter (CEO LedgerX received licenses from CFTC) in regards to “RH [Robinhood] wants to acquire derivatives” “Caroline [Ellison] doesn’t have access” “she [Caroline Ellison] should not be blocked”
Crypto Exchanges confessions, admissions, exhibits (Presentation to Paradigm on Tokenized Stocks); Sam Bankman-Fried FTX, Alameda Research, Coinbase Custodian w/ LMK Labs (OTC Swaps), Maclaurin Investment, West Realm Shires, Clifton Bay, & Island Bay Ventures:

“Say you Tokenize Stocks. Instead of waiting 2 days to settle, you can swap AAPL-token <> USD-token on a blockchain”

Zixiao Wang (Gary Wang) FTX, Alameda Research, Coinbase Custodian w/ LMK Labs (OTC Swaps), Maclaurin Investment, West Realm Shires, Clifton Bay, & Island Bay Ventures Guilty Plea Transcript:

“THE COURT: First, in connection with any swap or contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce or contracts for future delivery on or subject the rules of any registered entity”
“there are three elements to this crime:
First, in connection with any swap or contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce or contract for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity”

“THE COURT: Thank you. Do you understand, Mr. Singh, the elements of the charge of conspiracy to commit commodities fraud as distinguished from the substantive offense of commodities fraud?”

“THE DEFENDANT: I do”

“IEX has asserted that the FTX entities fraudulently induced IEX into entering into the Share Exchange Agreement”

“I, Alex Mashinsky, Chief Executive Officer of Celsius Network LLC (together with the above captioned debtors and debtors in possession, the “Debtors”), and certain of its Debtor and non-Debtor affiliates (collectively, with the Debtors, “Celsius” or the “Company”), hereby declare under penalty of perjury”

“Swap. In addition to offering consumers the ability to purchase cryptocurrencies on its platform, Celsius offered users the ability to “swap” (“trade” or “convert”) eligible cryptocurrencies for another type of eligible cryptocurrency without paying a fee (the “Swap Service”)”
These are significant and material shortcomings in the transparency of US capital markets, but the Commission neglects to acknowledge the impact of these shortcomings. The lack of transparency into short positions has led to deep mistrust & manipulation by these Financial Institutions in markets for retail investors, and especially for newer retail investors. The Commission risks alienating these investors and driving them away from US capital markets if they do not act to provide transparency and certainty for them.

We Need Increased Transparency

Despite the pushback from industry firms who face increased compliance costs & spotlight shining on the method of manipulation, we fully support the Commission in this rulemaking, and urge the Commission to go further with these disclosures. Our movement is born from frustration over the many complex and conflicted aspects of market structure, with a lack of transparency and visibility into the inner workings around short selling being a primary driver of our retail investor supporters. The lack of transparency around short positions, the inability to adequately quantify short interest, and the ability for firms to skirt regulation through derivative positions such as options and security-based swaps are making a mockery of our free and open markets. The inadequate ability to properly measure and understand economic short exposure leads to supply/demand imbalances in markets and affects trading prices.

We often lament the fact that regulators in other jurisdictions have done more, moved further, and advanced the cause of transparency far more significantly than we have in the US. As other commentators have noted, the EU adopted a short sale reporting regime that essentially requires “immediate public disclosure of large short positions,” by individual issuers. Despite this onerous disclosure regime that goes much further than the Proposal, we agree that “a study of the impact of the EU’s regulation finds no evidence that the disclosure requirements have resulted in increased coordination or have resulted in short sellers being targeted for short squeezes.” The concerns from the industry and from the short selling community are simply not valid.

Harmonizing the Proposal with European standards would provide significant benefits, both from a transparency perspective and from the short-selling investment manager’s perspective - it is far easier to comply with the same rule across multiple jurisdictions than to manage varying standards and rules from country to country.

It is also important to note, from the perspective of how to set an appropriate threshold for disclosure that, as the Commission acknowledges, the European threshold of 0.5% is being gamed, and therefore setting a threshold substantially higher than that will lead to even further gaming of the threshold and disclosure avoidance. There should be little doubt that firms will attempt to game any threshold that is set, as has happened with 13F long disclosures for many
years. Given the European experience with a very low threshold, we would argue that it is important to set the threshold as low as possible to mitigate any effects and impacts from firms attempting to game the threshold.

Despite the constant concerns expressed in comment letters about “reverse engineering trading strategies” and the concern voiced in the proposal that there would be a “risk of retaliation towards short individual sellers… as well as the ability for market participants to engage in copy-cat strategies,” the same can be said of current 13F disclosures. Indeed there is an entire industry that follows 13F and other similar disclosures (e.g., politician trades) and allows for copy-cat strategies.

The value of transparency and the need for investors, both retail and institutional, to understand the holdings of investment managers, as well as to form an accurate picture of short interest and short trading dynamics should far outweigh these concerns. The Commission has agreed with this view in crafting 13F policies, the EU has agreed with this view with their disclosure regime, and the Proposal should be expanded to include robust public disclosure at the individual manager level of this information.

Finally, we would further urge the Commission to set a goal to harmonize reporting & Deposit Reserve Computation timelines for all relevant disclosures, from 13F long and short disclosures to reporting timelines for FINRA and the SROs to ensure that data is released consistently, to avoid misunderstandings and misconceptions.

**Choice and Control are Fundamental Investor Rights**

Much like the reasoning behind recent proposals from the Commission around ESG Disclosures, retail and institutional investors want to know the composition of the positions of the funds that they are investing in. While retail investors may not always have access to the type of funds that accumulate significant short positions, they may still be in the position of doing business with such firms, and they deserve to know when such firms are betting against core portfolio positions that they may be holding and may be very passionate about.

The feedback from the industry has several consistent themes, but primarily it is focused on disguising short selling activity and reducing transparency. This is antithetical to the Commission’s objectives with the Proposal. Investors, both retail and institutional, cannot properly exercise their right to choose investments, counterparties and other relationships without visibility into the firms that they are investing in or doing business with. An appropriate level of transparency is absolutely required to empower investors to act in their own best interests in an informed manner.
All Short Exposure Must Be Included

The Proposal as currently crafted has a huge hole that must be remedied, one that the Commission is well aware of - “an investor wishing to profit from the decline of a security’s value can also trade in various derivative contracts, including options and security-based swaps.” The failure to include derivative exposure in this rule will inevitably result in firms exploiting the loophole and will drive more and more firms into the less regulated and less transparent space of derivatives. As the Commission acknowledges in the proposal, “trading in derivatives frequently leads to related trading in the stock market as derivatives' counterparties seek to hedge their risk.” Derivatives have an impact on the market, and can have a detrimental effect on the price of stocks, as EquiLend, Archegos, FTX, Alameda Research, & Celsius demonstrated so clearly. While the positions held by EquiLend, Archegos, FTX, Alameda Research, & Celsius were not disclosed anywhere publicly because they had exploited a loophole in 13F disclosures, the impact on the market was material and overwhelming. Indeed, had these derivative positions been adequately disclosed, it is likely that institutional broker-dealers would have had enough information to mitigate the impact of EquiLend, Archegos’, FTX, Alameda Research, & Celsius trading would have been able to recognize the significant exposure that resulted from the leverage they extended via total return swaps & Digital Asset Securities-Based Swap, and would have prevented the crisis from developing in the first place.

In much the same way, it is critical for institutional broker-dealers and for retail and institutional investors to understand the extent to which individual firms have high levels of short exposure to individual stocks or ETFs, regardless of whether that exposure is via equity, through the use of derivatives or through other novel mechanisms that the Commission has not considered. Markets are changing and evolving, and as regulators impose new disclosure requirements on firms, those firms will figure out ways to game or avoid those disclosures. That’s what EquiLend Prime Brokers, Archegos, FTX, Alameda Research, BlockFi, Voyager, & Celsius did with swaps, and that’s what other firms might do with other novel ways of gaining short exposure. One example of this could be through security tokens on crypto exchanges. Another could be through the use of fungible or nearly fungible holdings in foreign affiliates - all equity, derivatives, & Digital Asset Securities.

If one of the primary goals that the Commission is seeking to achieve with the Proposal is to give retail and institutional investors, along with regulators, better visibility into economic short exposure, it is imperative that all short exposure is included.

We would also encourage the Commission to include ETF creation and redemption activities. “ETFs constitute 10% of U.S. equity market capitalization but over 20% of short interest and 78% of failures-to-deliver.” Authorized participants are incentivized to “operationally short” ETFs, and often fail to deliver these shares. This is a potential source of stress on financial markets, and “the potential source of stress on the financial system appears to have shifted from common stocks during the pre-crisis period to ETFs during the post-crisis period.”
As such, transparency into the ETF creation and redemption process is more important now than ever before. Whether that transparency starts strictly with regulatory transparency versus public disclosure is one that the Commission will have to decide - we would urge full public disclosure of ETF activities in order for the public to more accurately and adequately evaluate the risks involved in trading ETFs, and to better understand the short interest numbers in ETFs that can vary wildly.

**Hedging Indicator**

If the Commission insists on continuing with the aggregated disclosures, we would offer one suggestion for an important change. The current proposal for categorizing a position as not hedged, partially hedged or fully hedged could lead to serious problems and misrepresentations of actual economic short exposure, which is the first shortcoming identified by the Commission.

Aggregated information could actually end up being very misleading, by painting an inaccurate picture of the size of short positions despite the “hedging” distribution disclosure. “Partial” hedging could be manipulated or abused to mask true short positions (e.g., by hedging an immaterial portion of the position to flag it as “partially hedged”), and overall gross position disclosures could overstate short positions when net positions are not accounted for. A better solution would be to have the actual amount of position hedged, which could range from 0% to 100%+ if the manager’s long position is larger than the manager’s short position. This is similar to one of the alternatives proposed by the Commission, to report the delta value of hedged positions. This would be a critically important addition to the Proposal and make it far more informative if aggregation is the direction the Commission goes.

**Bona Fide Market Making Reporting**

We believe it is important that the Proposal’s provision that would “require CAT reporting firms that are reporting short sales to indicate whether such reporting firm is asserting use of the bona fide market making exception under Regulation SHO” is included in the final rule proposal. While we are encouraged by this, as it signals that surveillance teams and regulators are finally trying to better understand the use of this exception, we believe it to be an antiquated exception that is no longer applicable in modern markets, and which should be eliminated. The bona fide market making exemption is being abused, as illustrated by recent enforcement actions, and provides an unreasonable competitive advantage for firms who do not have affirmative obligations to make continuous markets on lit exchanges. As the Commission acknowledges in the proposal, “[f]irms that do not need to obtain a locate prior to effecting a short sale, on the basis of the bona fide market making exception, have a competitive advantage over firms that are required to obtain a locate because these firms can trade more quickly and more easily adjust to or take advantage of changing market conditions.”
It is also possible that market makers are using the bona fide market making exception to include transactions and arrangements where other broker-dealers or customers are using the market maker’s exception to avoid compliance with Regulation SHO. It is important that the SEC and FINRA have the surveillance tools and data necessary to police markets, and including this data in CAT should be an easy decision.

While it is outside the scope of the Proposal, we believe that market structure reform should focus on leveling the playing field, and fostering more robust and verdant competition in markets. Repealing regulation that affirmatively advantages certain firms over other firms is an important step in that direction.

Conclusion

Retail Investors appreciate the opportunity to respond to the Proposal & Immortalization of confessions from Financial Institutions, Market Makers, Family Offices, Hedge Funds, Crypto Exchanges, ISDA Members, & Swaps Counter-Parties. Market Markers, Brokerages, Prime Brokers, Financial Institutions & ISDA Members using the “riskless” principal & “block trades” in connection with Volume-Based Exchange Transaction Pricing for NMS Stocks.

Market Markers, Brokerages, Prime Brokers, Financial Institutions, ISDA Members, Venture Capital/Private Equity controls majority of the trades and has the ability to move those orders classified under “riskless principal” to “block trades” and then use Swaps & Crypto Exchanges to “Tokenized Stocks/Assets” “mimic the price movements of publicly traded stocks” & “tokenized stocks to amount to a financial contract between a customer” and “track a stock price”. Then using “Caroline 3/31/2022” “tokenized stock loans”, repledge & commingled Digital Assets as Collateral for Venture Loans with California Banks (Silicon Valley Bank, Signature, SilverGate, First Republic).

Especially, Sam Bankman-Fried (CEO FTX) Signal Messages with Ryne Miller (Sullivan & Cromwell Partner/Former CFTC Legal Counsel) & Zach Dexter (CEO LedgerX), Binance with Controlling Interest in regards to how Equities from Companies where “RH [Robinhood] wants to acquire derivatives” swapped for Digital Asset derivatives. Once Physical Equities Swapped with Broker-Dealer USD Pegged Stable-Coin will never perform reserve computations and make any required deposits into their reserve bank loan accounts daily or weekly or eternally; due to misleading information; example GUSD/USDC/Paxos/USDT are Backed 1 to 1 with US Dollar Values. Charles Washburn (Partner at Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP) “a lending business in California and is still holding crypto currency as collateral in connection with those loans”. Which governmental body/agency will confirm those Digital Asset/Security or USD-Pegged Stable-Coin are backed with Physical Assets with Same Values used (ONCE) as Collateral for Loans?
Allowing Self-Regulatory Organizations “OCC” “the sole clearing agency” for “stock loan and futures transactions” and changing Margin Requirements with the Proposal when “OCC” failure to liquidated “$23.6 quintillion dollar” from Celisus, Voyager, BlockFi, FTX, Binance & Hundreds of Rehypothecated & Commingle Venture Investment Loans & Collateral obtained through Fraud & Manipulation; would allow a greater Black Hole which the World’s Financial Stability & Economy can not recover from. For the reasons above & For Immortalization of history in remembrance of the criminals and criminal’s enablers that will or will not allow this to occur, ATS opposes the Proposal.

Thank you for considering our comments and we would be happy for Prime Broker Dealers, ISDA Members, Crypto Exchanges, & Swaps Counter-Parties contracts and admission to answer any questions or further explain any of the points.

Sincerely,

Ape Together Strong
Kam Elvis

[Sun Tzu: Know the enemy and know yourself in a hundred battles you will never be in peril. When you are ignorant of the enemy but know yourself, your chances of winning or losing are equal. If ignorant both of your enemy and of yourself, you are certain in every battle to be in peril.]
EXHIBIT R
Good evening gents – working on getting the VIAC additional capacity request from Archegos approved by the NSTC committee. One of the risks is that we may need to restrict trading in the name should our actual ownership get close to 10%. Currently we are giving the client exposure to 9.50% of the company, however Nomura’s physical ownership of the stock is 5.5%.

I will continue to manage our hedge as much as I can via cash settled swaps to avoid getting close to 10%, forcing us to restrict trading. If you are comfortable with this, am I ok to proceed with the memo using the below language?

- **Risk:** Nomura’s position in Valley resulting from the contemplated transaction could become large enough that Nomura must place Valley (i.e., both Valley’s Class B shares and Valley’s Class A shares) on a trading restricted list.

- **Mitigant:** If Nomura’s beneficial ownership of Valley Class B shares exceeds 9%, both Valley’s Class B shares and Valley’s Class A shares will be placed on the restricted list. Mike Caperonis and Samir Patel have evaluated and approved this risk. The Delta One Team will ensure this risk is managed.

Thanks
Hi Charles,

I will call in a few minutes.

Best,

Alex

Alexander M. Nourafshan
Counsel, Legal Division
Department of Business Oversight

(415) 263-8503 | alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov

Thank you, Alex, and I am available any time this afternoon until 6:30 p.m., including now.

Charles Washburn
Partner

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
Hi Charles,

Sincere apologies for my delayed reply. Are you available this afternoon or anytime tomorrow to chat for a few minutes? Please let me know your availability for a brief phone call. Thanks!

Best,

Alex

Alexander M. Nourafshan
Counsel, Legal Division
Department of Business Oversight
t (415) 263-8503 | e alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov
I thought I would check in on the status of management review of the opinion request, and also my question regarding whether you could share your view on the issue. Thank you.

Charles Washburn
Partner

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
11355 W. Olympic Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90064
D (310) 312-4372  F (310) 914-5761
cwashburn@manatt.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply email and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk. Thank you.

From: Washburn, Charles
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2018 5:05 PM
To: 'Nourafshan, Alexander@DBO'
Subject: RE: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi

I appreciate your prompt review of the request, and I also appreciate your conveying to management the urgency here. Would you be able to share your view with me, which I would of course recognize is subject to management review and so is not binding on the Department?

Charles Washburn
Partner

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
11355 W. Olympic Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90064
D (310) 312-4372  F (310) 914-5761
cwashburn@manatt.com
Hi Charles,

I have completed my review and sent my analysis to management for approval. I am not sure when management review will be completed, but I will send a note along requesting expedited review. Hopefully that will help speed the process along, though I cannot provide any more specific timeline.

Best,

Alex

Alexander M. Nourafshan
Counsel, Financial Institutions Division
Department of Business Oversight

I appreciate the quick response, Alex. Again, due to the urgency of the request from the client’s perspective, can you give me a feel for when the review will be completed, and whether there is anything that can be done to expedite the review?

Charles Washburn
Partner
CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply email and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk. Thank you.

From: Nourafshan, Alexander@DBO [mailto:alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2018 4:07 PM
To: Washburn, Charles
Subject: Re: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi

Dear Charles,

Thank you for your email. We are still reviewing your request. I will let you know if we have any questions or can provide a more detailed update. Thank you for your patience.

Best,

Alex

Alexander M. Nourafshan
Counsel, Financial Institutions Division
Department of Business Oversight

From: Washburn, Charles <cwashburn@manatt.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2018 3:42:53 PM
To: Nourafshan, Alexander@DBO
Subject: FW: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi

Alex,

Sorry to bother you (and I got a bounce back to my message on Tuesday), but again I want to check in on the status of this request. As noted below, the client has been placed at a severe disadvantage due to a competitor with a similar business that apparently is being allowed to operate under the CFL by the Department. If the Department sees a distinction between the two operations, please let me know as soon as possible.

Chuck
CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply email and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk. Thank you.

From: Washburn, Charles
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 10:57 AM
To: 'Nourafshan, Alexander@DBO'
Subject: RE: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi
Importance: High

CONFIDENTIAL

Alex,

I hope this message finds you well.

I am following up to check on the status of our request for an interpretive opinion, a copy of which request I have attached for ease of reference. As you know, it was received by the Department on May 15.

As discussed in more detail in the opinion request, the position taken by the Department to-date that a licensee under the California Financing Law ("CFL") cannot hold collateral I respectfully believe is based on a clear misreading of vestigial language in Section 22009 of the CFL defining the term "finance lender," which hopefully you see as well.

As also discussed in the request, this incorrect Department position is causing substantial harm to our client BlockFi Lending LLC. In particular and as noted in the request for expedited treatment, I understand from the client that a competitor, Unchained Capital, Inc., was granted a CFL license by the Department (60DBO-78867) and based on the Department's web site that license is still active. The client further advises that Unchained Capital is still doing a lending business in California and is still holding crypto currency as collateral in connection
with those loans, while at the same time BlockFi Lending is unable to lend in California on similar terms with respect to holding collateral based on this Department position.

Thank you in advance for your assistance, and I would again be happy to discuss any questions you may have regarding the analysis.

Best regards,

Chuck

Charles Washburn
Partner

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
11355 W. Olympic Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90064
D (310) 312-4372  F (310) 914-5761
cwashburn@manatt.com
manatt.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply email and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk. Thank you.

From: Nourafshan, Alexander@DBO [mailto:alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2018 1:44 PM
To: Washburn, Charles
Subject: RE: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi

Interpretive opinion requests generally take at least 60-90 days. I will do my best to provide any updates that I can.

Alexander M. Nourafshan
Counsel, Legal Division
Department of Business Oversight
t (415) 263-8503 | e alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov
From: Washburn, Charles [mailto:cwashburn@manatt.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2018 11:47 AM
To: Nourafshan, Alexander@DBO <alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi

Thank you, Alex. Is it possible to give me an idea when the initial review may be completed?

Charles Washburn
Partner

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
11355 W. Olympic Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90064
D (310) 312-4372  F (310) 914-5761
cwashburn@manatt.com
manatt.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply email and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk. Thank you.

From: Nourafshan, Alexander@DBO [mailto:alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2018 11:24 AM
To: Washburn, Charles
Subject: RE: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi

Hi Mr. Washburn,

Thank you for your email. Your request is currently under review. I will let you know if we have any further questions or need additional information.

Best,

Alex
From: Washburn, Charles [mailto: cwashburn@manatt.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2018 11:08 AM
To: Nourafshan, Alexander<alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Confidential Treatment Re: BlockFi

Alex,

I thought I would take the liberty of checking on the status of our request for an interpretive opinion, and reiterate my offer to discuss any questions you may have regarding our analysis. Please let me know, and thank you.

Best regards,

Chuck

Charles Washburn
Partner

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
11355 W. Olympic Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90064
D (310) 312-4372  F (310) 914-5761
cwashburn@manatt.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply email and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk. Thank you.
Thank you very much, Alex. (I have yet to receive the letter sent by USPS, so I appreciate the PDF.) Also, I would be happy to discuss with you the request for an interpretive opinion, including any questions you may have regarding the legal analysis. Again, thank you, and have a good weekend.

Charles Washburn
Partner

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
11355 W. Olympic Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90064
D (310) 312-4372 F (310) 914-5761
cwashburn@manatt.com
manatt.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply email and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them to disk. Thank you.

Dear Mr. Washburn,

A letter granting confidential treatment of your request regarding BlockFi Lending LLC was sent in the mail on Monday, June 11, 2018. Please find a copy of this letter attached. I will let you know if any additional information is needed in connection with this request.

Best regards,

Alex
Alexander M. Nourafshan
Counsel, Legal Division
Department of Business Oversight

t (415) 263-8503 | e alexander.nourafshan@dbo.ca.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.
Overview

FTX is the leading digital assets exchange, servicing crypto spot, futures, tokenized equity and prediction markets, among other novel digital assets.

We are the largest non-Chinese crypto exchange, fourth largest crypto exchange and the fastest growing crypto exchange in the world by volume.

2017 • Core team gets into crypto launching a market maker
Founders leave Jane Street Capital, Google

2019 • After years of using other exchanges, team realizes they could build a better exchange. FTX is launched
$50m ADV from handful of users in the first few months

2020 • FTX onboards more users and institutions, scales exchange capacity and team. Acquires Blockfolio
Became largest non-Chinese crypto exchange by volume

2021 • Focus on onboarding retail investors by integrating trading into Blockfolio. Launch new product lines like options and prediction markets
$400m+ annualized run-rate based on January 2021 revenues
Crypto = Bitcoin = Crypto Exchanges

Crypto exchanges are synonymous with the industry. Compared to our counterparts in traditional finance, crypto exchanges play a more significant and encompassing role. We are the infrastructure layer of crypto providing:

1. Tech infrastructure for order-book management, matching service, API for connectivity
2. The gateway for crypto investor, both retail and institutional
3. Initial Exchange Offerings, which are the crypto equivalent of IPOs and often happen on centralized exchanges
4. Exchange wallets, serving as full-service settlement infrastructure:
   a. Prime broker
   b. Clearing firm
   c. Custodian
   d. Execution service
5. Unique structured products, including ETFs and nuanced products like perpetuals, volatility contracts etc.
6. Access to an OTC desk for the industry with both white-glove and automated solutions
2020 vs 2019

$1B ADV
$150m average daily volume

7x
In daily active users

$150M for Blockfolio
Zero inorganic growth

15x*
Exchange capacity

1,100 Markets
410 in 2019

$0**
Almost no paid marketing

72 employees
15 in 2019

* While our competitors have outages stretching for hours during surges of high volatility, we’ve kept stable and active while our volumes have exceeded $1GB.
** Our paid marketing until Q1 2021 has been mostly defensive in order to protect our customers from phishing attacks.
Statistics in 2021*

$640M  $7.9B  $400M
Annualized Run Rate  Average Daily Volume  Estimated Profit

Growth has primarily come from our core userbase demographic: high-volume, engaged, active traders and institutions.

More room to grow: top 3 exchanges each have $20B+ of ADV.

* numbers are approximate, based on recent performance. They do not incorporate any additional growth.
Growing faster than competitors
24.6x growth in volume between start of 2020 and now

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>Starting ADV</th>
<th>Ending ADV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTX</td>
<td>24.6x</td>
<td>239.7M</td>
<td>5,900.5M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coinbase</td>
<td>24x</td>
<td>139.2M</td>
<td>3,339.4M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binance</td>
<td>23.7x</td>
<td>2,051.8M</td>
<td>48,646.8M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraken</td>
<td>17.3x</td>
<td>92.9M</td>
<td>1,608.7M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huobi</td>
<td>8.8x</td>
<td>3,530.4M</td>
<td>31,242.9M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deribit</td>
<td>6.8x</td>
<td>277.3M</td>
<td>1,887.3M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OkEx</td>
<td>5.9x</td>
<td>4,075.2M</td>
<td>23,965.3M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BitMex</td>
<td>2.2x</td>
<td>2,253.9M</td>
<td>4,919.9M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current product offering

- Futures
- Spot
- Leveraged Tokens
- OTC
- Spot Margin trading and P2P Lending
- Tokenized Stocks

More products, less mess: A single wallet where all assets can be cross-marginned.
2021: New customers and new products

Rapidly grow retail customer base by integrating trading within the Blockfolio app.

Expand into new product lines including options, sportsbook and prediction markets.

- Reviews

- Daily Active Users

We listed prediction markets on elections which settle to $1 if Trump wins and $0 if Trump loses.

On election night, we saw a surge in active users, and almost $300M contracts were traded on election night.
Compliance Framework

Work closely with policymakers and regulators to operate in a compliant manner*.

Comprehensive anti-money laundering policies and procedures. KYC and AML conducted by full-time employees. Mandatory AML, Cyber Security training for all employees.

Use multiple third party identity verification services including Jumio, WorldCheck and ChainAnalysis.

Offering tokenized stocks in partnership with CM-Equity, a licensed broker-dealer in Germany.

West Realm, an independent US-regulated entity acts as the back end for US Blockfolio users, has MSB, MTLs and a US broker-dealer license.

Member of the Blockchain Association, Future of Digital Currency Initiative.

* FTX Intl. blocks restricted jurisdictions and does not service US customers.
OUR TEAM

Sam Bankman-Fried
CEO
Before founding Alameda and FTX, Sam was a trader on Jane Street Capital’s international ETF desk. He traded a variety of ETFs, futures, currencies, and equities, and designed their automated OTC trading system. He graduated from MIT with a degree in physics.

Dan Friedberg
General Counsel
Prior to joining FTX, Dan was a partner at Fenwick & West LLP. There, he was the chair of the Payment Systems group, counseling clients from entrepreneurs and startup companies to publicly traded companies in various industries including manufacturing, consumer products and services, biotechnology, gaming and software. Dan received his J.D. from the University of Wisconsin, cum laude.

Gary Wang
CTO
Gary was a software engineer at Google prior to founding Alameda and FTX. There, he built systems to aggregate prices across millions of flights, decreasing latency and memory usage by over 50%. He graduated from MIT with a degree in Mathematics with Computer Science.

Ramnik Arora
Head of Product
Ramnik joined FTX from Facebook where he built ads products. He was also on the Facebook Libra team and was a co-author of the whitepaper. Prior to Facebook, Ramnik was at Investment Management at Goldman Sachs. He is an alum of IIT Kanpur, NYU and Stanford.

Nishad Singh
Head of Engineering
Nishad joined Alameda Research in 2017 and is now the Director of Engineering in FTX. Prior to joining Alameda, Nishad was a software engineer on Facebook’s Applied Machine Learning team. He graduated summa cum laude from Berkeley with a degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science.

And a team of 75 and growing.
Our highest goal is to leave the world a better place than we inherited it.

1% of all net revenues are donated to the world’s most effective charities, and many of our members have given substantially more.

Contact
invest@ftx.com
In LA last weekend, I met with [Michael Kives](#) and his firm, [K5](#).

He is, probably, the most connected person I’ve ever met. In attendance at the dinner at his house were:

1) Hillary Clinton  
2) Doug Emhoff  
3) Katy Perry  
4) Orlando Bloom  
5) Kate Hudson  
6) Leonardo DiCaprio  
7) Jeff Bezos  
8) Ted Sarandos  
9) Kendall Jenner  
10) Kris Jenner  
11) Corey Gamble  

Etc.

He seems to be genuinely close to them—e.g. is vacationing with Katy/Orlando/Kate right now, Bill/Hillary spoke at his wedding, etc.

He’s been very friendly to us, and already started to create [valuable relationships](#). He intro’d me to everyone I asked at the party and was open/active in doing so.

[K5](#) is his firm with his cofounder, Bryan Baum. It’s a joint VC-firm and incubator, for e.g. [818 Tequila](#) (with Kendall Jenner).

They want with us:

1) A guide to the crypto industry  
2) Co-investments and sharing dealflow  
3) Us to consider endorsements with their friends  
4) Us to be added to their [club](#)  
5) Us to work with them on Democratic politics  
6) Maybe us to invest in them or some stuff, idk

We can get from them:

1) Essentially infinite connections. I think that if we asked them to arrange a dinner with us, Elon, Obama, Rihanna, and Zuckerberg in a month, they would probably succeed.  
2) Potential endorsement deals  
3) Potential [unpaid partnerships](#) with celebrities  
4) Working together on electoral politics
5) Political relationships

FWIW I've generally gotten good vibes from them.

Bryan is going to be visiting the office tomorrow; he lives in Miami most of the time, the rest mostly live in LA.

I think this should substantially change some things. In particular I think it’s something of a one-stop shop for relationships that we should utilize, and can supersede a lot of other things we have.
- trading has been better lately
  - general themes:
    - lots of activity in altcoins
    - putting on good deltas
    - OTC going well
  - specifics:
    - Stepn team selling us 100m GMT tokens OTC ($9m)
      - came from Terence chatting with them at conference
    - got short APE before the land drop ($15m)
    - sold MOB into binance listing ($5m)
    - general decent trading around crashes/liquidations
    - putting on SOL deltas around downtime
- putting a lot of effort into managing market making closely
  - I've been managing it a lot more actively:
    - weekly meetings
    - slack channels to discuss proposals before we send them out and track feedback/requests from projects
    - been digging into details with Gabbie and Diana a lot
  - I think things are going better:
    - resolved drama with LOOKS, SCRT, and NUC
    - been improving a bunch of stuff in response to feedback
    - we unfortunately didn't get Project Galaxy (worth $20m?)
  - takeaways:
    - bid higher strikes
    - work on Chinese language networking/relationship building
- personnel
  - cautiously optimistic about T'Shae
    - getting her more involved in Alameda stuff etc
  - planning to fire Stephen this week
  - Emma is coming to Bahamas this week
- to do:
  - want to be more connected to crypto projects and aware of crypto news, feel like most of our good trading has been related to that
    - setting up regular chats with the ventures team
  - feel like there's a lot of good half-baked ideas for trading projects etc
    - and maybe need to follow through with execution a bit more
  - should probably be better at managing now that I don't have an insane number of reports

Commented [1]: nice!
Commented [2]: how are they doing?
Commented [3]: Diana: super proactive and enthusiastic, smart, def still learning and misses a lot of stuff. Trying to get her to be more careful and run more stuff by me
Commented [4]: Gabbie: ton of potential, smart and good at a wide range of things. I think main thing is that she tries to take too much on and feels shy to ask for help (eg, promising a bunch of stuff to projects, not delivering on time and not making other people aware of it)
Commented [5]: I gave her some feedback on it
Commented [6]: nice
Commented [7]:
Commented [8]: nice; permanently or temporarily?
Commented [9]: Temporary I think
Commented [10]: maybe also Ma?
- worries/questions
  - office locations
    - Asia hours coverage has been tough
    - should people go to HK/Singapore/Dubai/stay here??
    - note a bunch of the office is planning to be in CA for a month this summer
  - Nate
    - burnout doesn’t seem to be improving
  - leverage
    - both actual leverage, and presenting on our balance sheet

Commented [11]: oof, any idea why?
Commented [12]: Not sure, should talk to him more. Adithya being at FTX prob doesn’t help
Commented [13]: yup, and could also get worse – do you put Paper Birds/FTX Equity on the balance sheet?
Commented [14]: Uh I don’t think so
Donation Processing

13 members

For your security, conversation history isn't transferred to new linked devices.

Mar 28, 2022

A member set the disappearing message time to 1 week.

Apr 8, 2022

Salam set the disappearing message time to 4 weeks.

Apr 10, 2022

Jo accepted an invitation to the group from Caroline Ellison.

Apr 11, 2022

Jo added J.

Apr 27, 2022

Salam set the disappearing message time to 1 week.

Tue, May 17

Salam set the disappearing message time to 4 weeks.

Thu, Sep 22

Nick Beckstead added Avital.
Donation Processing

4 weeks

Mon, Oct 17

Keenan Lantz

@Salam: can we get the $20k from @Nishad Singh to the Delaware Democratic Party today? In the sheet:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1n1s3nfDzDrU1QQodjX2VHRK6g-G3-h_gvY2akd468zMedfFgjxd=0

2:08 PM

Salam

on it 2:06 PM

Salam

this is queued up 2:11 PM

Salam

also resent the last 5 verification emails 2:11 PM

so 6 in total pending 2:11 PM

Keenan Lantz

Sam BF

there's a claim, made by Texas, that I gave $1m to Beto

alright, update on this is that through the application of social, political, and legal pressure we're getting 800k back - which is probably the best possible outcome. They'll hang onto it until 11/4 - at which point the refund won't be reported until January.

This gives plausibility to the type of error (extra zero on the contribution), and minimizes PR issues for all involved parties 6:03 PM

Tue, Oct 18

Keenan Lantz

@Sam BF sent you a docusign re the above. MOU between us & the Beto campaign 4:15 PM

Main pertinent callout is mutual non-disclosure of the matter - if they fail in their obligations we're no longer bound the non-disclosure

Sam BF

working on it 4:18 PM

Keenan Lantz

ty 4:24 PM

Tue, Oct 25

Keenan Lantz

Google Sheets: Sign-in
Donation Processing

Keenan Lantz

Google Sheets: Sign-in
Access Google Sheets with a personal Google account or Google Workspace account (for business use).
docs.google.com

@Salams could you queue up the small dollar contributions for @Nishad Singh (~$117k) & @Sam BF (~$52k) here?
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1n1s3nFDzDrU1QoofjX2V1RKGCG-E3-h_qyZoLu43QzM/edit#gid=0

Keenan Lantz

@Salams bumping this! 6:43 AM

Salams

apologize on this, will get these out this morning 9:38 AM

Keenan Lantz

Ty! 9:39 AM

Keenan Lantz

@Sam BF would you be cool with me being able to set up wires via PT etc? As long as it's dual control (email approval etc). Would still flag asks in this thread for viz 9:52 AM

Really appreciate all the work Ryan has been doing, but think it's helpful to load balance/have another backup for wires 9:53 AM

Sam BF

SD think so! 9:59 AM

Salams

SBFs are all queued and sent 11:44 AM

@Nishad Singh you got about 30 small dollar ones rolling in if you can confirm in your email 11:44 AM

Salams

@Nishad Singh all queued up! once you click through ping and i double check they were all hit 12:35 PM

Salams

@Nishad Singh just bumping if you can approve today 2:03 PM
Keenan Lantz: Would you be cool with me being able to set up wires via PT etc? As long as it’s dual control (email approval etc). Would still flag asks in this thread for viz.

Sam BF: think so!

Keenan Lantz: Really appreciate all the work Ryan has been doing, but think it’s helpful to load balance/have another backup for wires.

SB: think so!

Salam: SBFs are all queued and sent.

Salam: @Nishad Singh, you got about 30 small dollar ones rolling in if you can confirm in your email.

Salam: @Nishad Singh, all queued up! Once you click through ping and I double check they were all hit.

Salam: @Nishad Singh, just bumping if you can approve today.

Salam: @Nishad Singh, not really.

Salam: @Nishad Singh, one more up, @Keenan Lantz, the elect katie hobbs will get a 500$ wire and a 4,500$ wire.

Keenan Lantz: I'm impressed.

Salam: bet you're impressed, I did that in under 2 minutes.

Salam: Shhh.

Salam: done!
Donation Processing

Salams
@Nishad Singh one more up, @Keenan Lantz the elect katie hobbs will get a 500$ wire and a 4,500$ wire 9:53 AM

Fri, Oct 28

Keenan Lantz

Google Sheets: Sign-in
Access Google Sheets with a personal Google account or Google Workspace account (for business use).
docs.google.com

@Salams can you please wire $107k from @Sam BF personal to New York State Democratic Committee? Already discussed & approved. Thanks!

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1n153nfDoZDr11QQaadjX2VtRKGGg-C3-h_qvYzqUt48zMrItr#gid=0

10:13 AM

Salams
Needs to land today 10:16 AM

Salams
on it 10:17 AM

Salams
if she does lose we have an A+ relationship with zledin haha 10:28 AM

Salams
queued up in your email! 11:44 AM

Salams

A Las Vegas cryptocurrency startup, Prime Trust, gave $500,000 to the Democratic Party of Oregon PAC, which has largely been paying for campaign mailers for state House and Senate candidates, according to state campaign finance records. Brad Martin, executive director of the Democratic Party of Oregon, did not directly answer a question about why Prime Trust is interested in Oregon elections. "When Phil Knight and other well-heeled special interests spend millions of dollars to elect extreme MAGA Republicans and flip the Oregon Legislature with anti-choice Republicans and climate deniers, it gets a lot of national attention — and donors"
A Las Vegas cryptocurrency startup, Prime Trust, gave $500,000 to the Democratic Party of Oregon PAC, which has largely been paying for campaign mailers for state House and Senate candidates, according to state campaign finance records. Brad Martin, executive director of the Democratic Party of Oregon, did not directly answer a question about why Prime Trust is interested in Oregon elections. "When Phil Knight and other well-heeled special interests spend millions of dollars to elect extreme MAGA Republicans and flip the Oregon Legislature with anti-choice Republicans and climate deniers, it gets a lot of national attention — and donors interested in moving our state and country forward instead of yanking us backward respond," Martin said in a statement. Prime Trust did not respond to an email.
Keenan Lantz: we’re going to have to get that updated to a contribution from an individual - should that be you? Based on my chat with Susan, sounds like that’s correct.

Keenan Lantz: okay, just confirmed w/ compliance that actually it could be from FTX US if that’s preferable.

Keenan Lantz: but I don’t know literally who’s $ it was for the contribution.

Keenan Lantz: alright cool – looks like it did come directly from Nishad – so will get it updated to say that.

Keenan Lantz: @Sam BF updated access to that spreadsheet.

Sam BF: think so!

Sam BF: so this grants full alameda access as well (with the way the account is setup).

Salams: we ok with this? not trying to be dick just ensuring no issues with that level of access.

Sam BF: Salams: so this grants full alameda access as well (with the way the account is setup).

Sam BF: didn’t know that.
Donation Processing
4 weeks

SB didn't know that 9:43 AM

I can see if I can get pt to break em out, will do that 9:47 AM

Yeah I'm not super comfortable with us having full alameda access 10:00 AM

yeah I would prefer not to have that 10:01 AM

@Salams can we get the ~$30k of @Nishad Singh contributions out? As well as the $510k for @Sam BF (last of Sam's DNC commitment + 500k to SMP)?

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1n1s3nFZDrU1QQqeXl2VTRRGGs-G3-h_qVzq0u48zMr/edit#gid=0

Salams

Yes! 3:03 PM

also could you confirm the 107k to NY State Dems from Nishad went out? I believe it did but don't see the box ticked so just want to confirm

ty! 3:04 PM

@Sam BF talked to Murray folks, they gave us most of what we wanted but we still want to do a partial fill of $500k dark. We're gonna send the wire in 30 minutes unless you object

(This is half of what they asked) 3:10 PM

@Sam BF talked to Murray folks, they gave us most of what we wanted but we still want to do a partial fill of $500k dark. We're gonna send the wire in 30 minutes unless you object

got it, is that better than $250k lit? 3:50 PM

In general we end up having to give more to the other side when we give publicly, so I think it costs you less in the long run to go dark

GB

@Sam BF talked to Murray folks, they gave us most of what we wanted but we still want to do a partial fill of $500k dark. We're gonna send the wire in 30 minutes unless you object

got it, is that better than $250k lit? 3:50 PM

In general we end up having to give more to the other side when we give publicly, so I think it costs you less in the long run to go dark

GB

GB
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Gabe Bankman-Fried
but I dunno, it's a close call 3:55 PM

Sam BF
ah 3:59 PM

Is that true of Nishad as well? 3:59 PM

Michael Sedowsky
Nishad national donations still costs us with Rs, less than you, but I think it's maybe 75% as much. 4:00 PM

Salams

Salams
why did this get attributed to prime trust at first? we send a ton now via PT and they've all been correctly attributed under our current method 4:05 PM

did we do something different on this one? 4:05 PM

Salams
@Nishad Singh all set in your inbox 4:14 PM

Salams
Keenan Lantz
@Salams can we get the ~$30k of @Nishad Singh contributions out? As well as the $510k for @Sam BF (last of Sam's DNC commitment + 500k to SMP)? ...

done 4:14 PM

Keenan Lantz
also could you confirm the 107k to NY State Dems from Nishad went out? I believe it did but don't see the box ticked so just want to confirm

confirmed 4:14 PM

Keenan Lantz
did we do something different on this one?

yes, a mistake was made in how the reporting details were shared with the Democratic Party of Oregon. I discussed w/ Mark & Susan and encouraged both of them to ask me if they have any questions about campaign finance rules in the future.

We have a lot of counsel/compliance in the political arm, and want to make sure that's legible and available to the FTX advocacy team as well 4:20 PM
Keenan Lantz

Salam

did we do something different on this one?

yes, a mistake was made in how the reporting details were shared with the Democratic Party of Oregon. I discussed w/ Mark & Susan and encouraged both of them to ask me if they have any questions about campaign finance rules in the future.

We have a lot of counsel/compliance in the political arm, and want to make sure that's legible and available to the FTX advocacy team as well

4:20 PM

Gabe Bankman-Fried

To be clear, @Sam BF, we moved $500k dark to help Murray since you pre-approved it and didn't object quickly. Just wanted to tie the loop in case there was a misunderstanding

7:31 PM

Fri, Nov 4

Keenan Lantz

@Salam two small @Nishad Singh contributions ($7800 total) when you have a minute

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1n1s3nfDzDrU1QQodjX2vRKGGg-G3-h_yVZquuA8zMrO#gid=0

4:04 PM

Would be great if we could get out today

4:04 PM

Salam

oof no chance on today sorry :( @Nishad Singh you have a second to queue them up?

4:05 PM

I pinged Natalie to start separately out the accounts

4:06 PM

Keenan Lantz

Salam

oof no chance on today sorry :( @Nishad Singh you have a second to queue them up?

4:41 PM

Yesterday

• Jen changed their profile name to J.

Today

• Josephine changed their profile name to Jo.

• Elizabeth changed their profile name to E.L.
Disappearing messages

When enabled, messages sent and received in this group will disappear after they've been seen.

Chat color

Notifications:

13 members

Add members

You

Sam BF

Avital

Caroline Ellison

E.L.

Gabe Bankman-Fried

Gary Wang

Jo

Keenan Lantz

Michael Sadowsky

Nick Beckstead

Salams

Requests & Invites
in general I'm averse to ~explicitly-woke stuff, but if it's especially good or if it's hard to interact productively with democrats without that, I understand

but that's mostly besides the point, the specifics of this case are what matter more :)

anyway, what's up with the LGBT victory fund?

this is just giving BB superPAC $, but we should chat broadly on this

this specific case is a passthrough to run ads in favor of Becca Ballint
this specific case is a pass-through to run ads in favor of Bec. Jul 5, 2022

she's been good on pandemics; put stuff on her website

Molly Gray said she wouldn't do anything on it

the winner here is favored to be the next senator of VT

once someone retires

this is both to help BB win, and to get on her good side

1:30 PM

ah makes sense, got it 1:31 PM

we are not doing it ourselves, because Gray got her to commit to denouncing super PAC spend at a debate

so we would take a negative press hit

but, in general, you being the center left face of our spending will mean you giving to a lot of woke

for transactional purposes

so, if you're not comfortable about it, you should think about that a lot

*you should think about how comfortable you're going to be with it

1:32 PM
*you should think about it Jul 5, 2022.

you're going to be with it

Michael Sadowsky

so, if you're not comfortable about it, you should think about that a lot

yeah, I think I need to do some of this, and prob see if there are other viable people at FTX for it

cool; but you're ok for now?

don't love boxing myself into only associating with people I don't like :P

No one trusted at FTX is bi/gay, right?

shoot, unfortunately not

You
don't love boxing myself into only associating with people I don't like :P

Most of it would not be especially woke to be clear, just a large proportion

nobody that'd also give, that is
October 9, 2023

VIA ECF

The Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
United States Courthouse
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007

Re: United States v. Samuel Bankman-Fried, S6 22 Cr. 673 (LAK)

Dear Judge Kaplan:

On behalf of our client, Samuel Bankman-Fried, we respectfully submit this letter pursuant to the Court’s order dated October 1, 2023 (ECF No. 305). In its order, the Court precluded the defense from eliciting evidence concerning the presence or involvement of attorneys absent prior notice to the Court and the Government outside of the presence of the jury. Id. at 9-10. We write to seek the Court’s permission to elicit on cross-examination of Gary Wang evidence concerning the involvement of counsel in structuring the loans issued to Mr. Wang by Alameda Research.

On direct examination, the Government questioned Mr. Wang about a series of personal loans worth approximately $200-$300 million that he received from Alameda to fund venture investments by FTX and to fund his purchase of a house in the Bahamas. Tr. at 324:25-326:17. In the course of that examination, the Government and Mr. Wang engaged in the following colloquy:

Q: And who presented those loans to you?
A: Either Sam or a round of lawyers at the company.

Q: What did you do when you were presented with the loans?
A: I signed the paperwork.

Q: Why did you sign them?
A: I was told to.
Q: What did you believe the expectation was when you were presented with one of these loans?

A: That I would sign it.

Tr. 325:17-25.

The Government's direct examination of Mr. Wang has already elicited that FTX attorneys were present and involved in structuring and executing the loans, and that Mr. Bankman-Fried was aware of their involvement. Accordingly, the defense seeks to cross-examine Mr. Wang further about his knowledge of the lawyers' involvement, including on the following topics:

- Which attorneys were involved in the loans?
- What was the nature of their involvement?
- What documents did they prepare?
- What were the terms of the loan and Mr. Wang's obligations under the loan?
- Whether Mr. Wang had any concerns about the loans at the time he signed them.

The defense may also seek to introduce the promissory notes memorializing the loans to Mr. Wang. Further, Mr. Wang previously described his interactions with the lawyers concerning the loans in his proffer sessions with the Government. FBI 302 reports provided to the defense in discovery indicate that Mr. Wang told the Government that he relied on the lawyers and "didn't think the loans were designed to hide the fact that money was coming from Alameda [and] didn't think the lawyers would tell him to sign something that was illegal." 3585-028 at 4.

Mr. Wang's understanding of the lawyers' involvement in the loans is directly relevant to Mr. Bankman-Fried's good faith and lack of criminal intent. The Government has alleged as part of its theory of the money laundering conspiracy charged in Count Seven that Mr. Bankman-Fried "took steps to conceal that [] investments and expenditures were funded by transfers originating with Alameda, and therefore funded with FTX customer funds." S6 Indictment ¶ 8. The Indictment further alleges that Mr. Bankman-Fried accomplished this by borrowing over $1 billion from Alameda and overseeing "similar borrowing by other FTX executives." Id.

Mr. Wang's understanding that these were actual loans - structured by lawyers and memorialized in formal promissory notes that imposed real interest payment obligations - is

---

1 Should the Court require, we can provide a copy of the 302 tomorrow.
The Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan  
October 9, 2023  
Page 3

relevant to rebut the inference that these were simply sham loans directed by Mr. Bankman-Fried to conceal the source of the funds.

Further, as set forth in the FBI 302, the fact that Mr. Wang had no reason to believe, based on the involvement of the lawyers, that the loans were illegal or were designed to conceal that Alameda was the source of the funds undercuts the Government’s money laundering theory and corroborates Mr. Bankman-Fried’s own understanding that the loans were not improper.

For these reasons, we respectfully request that the Court allow the defense to elicit the above-referenced evidence in its cross-examination of Mr. Wang.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christian R. Everdell
Mark S. Cohen
Christian R. Everdell
COHEN & GRESSER LLP
800 Third Avenue, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 957-7600
mcohen@cohengresser.com
ceverdell@cohengresser.com

cc: All counsel of record (via ECF)
I only started thinking about this today, and so haven’t vetted it much yet.

But: I think it might be time for Alameda Research to shut down.

Honestly, it was probably time to do that a year ago.

The reasons:

1. The PR hit from Alameda and FTX both existing is really large
2. The current Alameda leadership is good, but not good enough to be able to trust with such a big operation.
   a) The fact that we didn’t hedge as much as we should have alone cost more in EV than all the money Alameda has ever made or ever will make, and that’s the kind of critical mistake we’re likely to make if I’m not actually running the show there.
   b) Caroline is not a natural leader, and probably never will be
   c) She’s also unhappy at Alameda, and is doing it because she thinks it’s important
3. Alameda’s culture has become mediocre at best
   a) people don’t bother coming into the office or being co-located
   b) There’s a brain drain—we’ve hit a downward spiral where good people leave, and so then there aren’t any good people, so future good people leave (or don’t join)
   c) There isn’t sufficiently strong leadership to reverse this
4. In the current environment, capital is really expensive, and Alameda doesn’t justify it
   a) There are no longer really many borrow/lenders left
5. Alameda is making some money trading, but not enough to justify its existence
6. To the extent that there is a niche for a trading firm, that firm should be Modulo
   a) It has much stronger culture and leadership than Alameda
   b) It has much lower PR cost

The main downside here is that, given the amount that Alameda is doing, we can’t really shut it down.

So instead I think we would do something like:

a) Announce publicly that Alameda was no longer going to trade, and instead just be a research/infrastructure firm
   i) This should resolve most of the FTX/Alameda PR issues
b) Keep around entities for holdings/etc.
   c) Transition almost everyone off of Alameda; either we let them go, they join Modulo, or they join FTX

There are large downsides to this, too!
a) Less liquidity on FTX  
   b) Really hard to unwind Alameda  
   c) There is large profit potential we’d be giving up  
   d) Harder to get market making deals, etc.  
       i) And e.g. Aptos/Sui venture stuff—we’d have to think about how to phrase that  

I feel really uncertain what's right!  

But I also don't know that we're going to get more information here.  

So I guess my plan is that, this coming weekend, we should just make a call, and enact it before next Monday, one way or another.  

Thoughts?  

---  

A possible tweet thread:  

1) We Came  
   We Saw  
   We Researched  

2) Alameda Research was a huge part of my life. It was one of my largest successes—and then, briefly, largest failures—and then again successes.  

   The time I spent there will always be a part of me.  

3) I think—and I may be a little biased—that Alameda has contributed a lot to the digital asset ecosystem.  

   It’s contributed liquidity, of course—in markets, and stablecoins, and venture.  

   But it’s done more than that.  

4) Alameda has been one piece backstopping the ecosystem.  

   It’s ironic, sometimes, to see people asking if Alameda sold Solana when markets crashed.  

   Ironic because the answer, almost always, is: it bought.
5) Alameda has been a buyer when no one else is ready to buy—when markets are wild
and volatile and prices are crashing and capital is scarce—whether that’s projects,
tokens, or companies.

6) Possibly no time as prominent as Sushiswap.

During its darkest days—the time when no one wanted to help, when everyone had
pitchforks—we were given the task of bailing it out.

And we did: we cleared our calendars and helped stabilize the protocol, supporting it
until it was healthy enough to stand on its own feet again.

7) And Alameda has built.

It’s built infrastructure. It’s built nodes. And it’s helped guide a huge number of projects.

8) I’m proud of what I was able to do at Alameda. But I’m even more proud of what
Alameda has been able to do since I left:

Becoming a large global source of liquidity, guidance, and backstopping for the entire
ecosystem.

9) And, you know, doing a good trade now and then—sometimes helping customers can be
profitable.

(When Japanese traders were charged 10% more for each BTC, Alameda jumped in
and provided liquidity—giving them better prices, and briefly doing an incredibly excited
arbitrage.)

10) I think that liquidity is incredibly important for any ecosystem, but especially so for a
nascent one like crypto.

The number of sophisticated liquidity providers has increased rapidly over the last few
years, rendering Alameda’s on-exchange trading less important for the ecosystem than it
once was.

11) But less important doesn’t mean unimportant, and it still plays a valuable role.

12) Which is one of the reasons that I’m sad to announce that, today, Alameda Research is
doing its final trade.

13) There are a lot of pretenses I could give—but the truth is none of them are really true.

For the past few years, the FUD around Alameda’s relationship with FTX has been too
much of a burden to justify its existence.

14) This FUD has been largely spread by competitors of FTX, looking to distract from their
problems.

And, it’s not true.

I can say that until I’m blue in the face, but in the end I have to face reality: the PR cost
is not worth it.
15) So, to clear the air once and for all:

Alameda’s role, previously, was providing liquidity. It did so at its own expense, going through the same orderbook as everyone else.

Going forward, Alameda will continue to not do nefarious trading activity on FTX, because it won’t do any trades on FTX.

Or anywhere else.
16) It’s too bad, for the space, that this is where we’ve ended up.

It’s especially galling because some competitors have internal trading desks that are an (open) secret, which specifically use confidential customer information to manipulate their own markets.

And then try to distract by talking about legitimate liquidity providers in the space.
17) But I’m done fighting that PR battle.

And in the end, what’s done is done.
18) Alameda had a great run.

And it will continue to engage in the ecosystem, as an investor and supporter and infrastructure developer. But no longer as a general exchange liquidity provider.
19) I’ll end with four last fun facts about Alameda.
20) First, Mashing.

For a glorious month in January 2018, every weekday Alameda would wake up, buy BTC at ~$10k in the US, and sell it at ~$11k in Japan.

One step of this process was turning JPY into USD.
21) The banking interface was incredibly slow for doing this, and limited to $100k per transaction.

Also, we only had about 10 minutes between when the JPY hit our bank account and the wire transfer deadline to send out USD.
22) So, around midnight every night, we would all stop what we were doing and load the bank website.

Peter, who built a huge amount of Alameda’s initial operations, would start playing https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vNuVifA7DSU&t=5s

And we would all simultaneously click, as fast as we could, through the prompts; until we ran out of time and send out whatever USD we’d been able to buy.
Second, my biggest failure:

In February 2018, we got lazy—and our accounting was lazy—and we lost most of what we’d made.

Employees were sad and angry and frustrated, and I had no idea what to do about that.

It wasn’t until the company split in two that I came to terms with the following facts:

a) In the end, everything is my responsibility
b) I can’t make everyone happy, and if people are unhappy they should leave
c) The most important thing is that I make sure we do the right things as a company

(For those conspiracy theorists wondering if Alameda is secretly Lantern:

No, Lantern was created four years ago by those who left over differences in management style; we’ve not been on speaking terms.)

A third story is one I wrote in summer of 2019 on a hot, muggy day in Taipei:

Tonight We Are Young

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1o-94nH0kFgSCkjXkIK3oLzyHxdU1HBT8ITN_aSbczt8/edit?usp=sharing

And, finally, a fourth story, one you guys already know.

Because nothing is more exciting to me than finding a great team building a great project and going all in on it:

https://twitter.com/SBF_FTX/status/1347964322459262977

Alameda Research is dead.

Long live FTX.
work priorities:
- hedging/getting more capital
  - sell NQAs
  - **tokenizing stocks**
  - Blockfi
  - raising from Saudis
- make SBF’s delta visibility better
- trading JGBs
- serum governance thing/swordfish
- PERP governance thing
- fixing our upbit
- **getting more loans**
- deep dive for ventures stuff we could sell
- politics stuff
- **testing FTX US stocks**
- look into Willie’s bc accm desposes
- how much does it cost not to do PFOF?
- follow up on RH wormhole 0x thing
- figure out policy on location ($100m)
- IAR plans
- follow up on Deltec stuff ($5m)
- Playup ($5m)
  - finish the rest of the DD docs
- GHS ($2m)
- **paradigm trading** ($10k/day)
- understanding WAVES stuff ($10m)
integrating Ledger Prime

data retention
  - go through my telegram DMs
  - go through my telegram groups

security
  - remove people’s AR emails
  - security checklist

things Sam is freaking out about:

- hedging
- bad PR in the next 6 months
  - Alameda/FTX
- user experience
  - VIPs: API throughput
  - click traders: front end lag
- getting regulators to crack down on binance
- raising from MBS
- getting capital from Blockfi
- Alameda/Modulo relationship
- Willie being happy
- insto stock onboarding
- reddit
- buying SNAP
- trading JGBs
- bad English lanugage content
- making bonus process better for next semester

projects I’m excited about:

- hedging our overall deltas
- understanding the ETH merge
Current state

Pnl:
- In the year so far, we’ve been averaging
  - 1.6m/day trading
  - 1.7m/day farming
  - 1.2m/day loan interest
- In the past month, it’s been
  - 1.2m/day trading
  - 2.5m/day farming
  - 2m/day loan interest
- Notable/idiosyncratic pnl stuff:
  - 10b from FTT
  - 5b from SOL
  - 4b from SRM
  - 4b from MAPS/OXY/FIDA
  - -850m from BTMX thing
  - +500m from various seed round investments (including still unvested)
  - +200m from market making payments
    - lost 80m from AVAX, hoping to avoid losing another 80m
  - +150m from big otc trades
  - -150m from the thing?
  - +100m from BIT/FTT token swap
  - -100m from mdex hack
- Biggest trading pnl days:
  - 12/4: 61m
  - 5/19: 56m
  - 9/7: 44m
  - 11/4: 28m (FIDA, etc)
  - 4/16: 22m
- Right now:
  - 2% of overall crypto volume
  - 20-50 bps of binance/hb/ok/bybit/kucoin/bitflyer
  - 1-2% of upbit, gateio, gdax, deribit, bitstamp, cme
  - 3-5% of bitmex, bitfinex, kraken, binanceus, dydx
  - 10% of FTX
  - 50% of FTXUS and serum
  - Very little on dexes besides serum
  - Average cost of capital = 6.7%
  - Average roc = 11.2%

Past couple months:
- Continued to increase our loan base but not done much to deploy it
  - Also paying to borrow on FTX spot margin, etc
- Farming continues to get better
  - David, Victor, Handi, Charlie doing most there
  - Big examples:
    - MZM: Right now dropping $20m/day
    - 20% of the pool, seems good now
    - For first couple days were constrained by operational stuff
  - Dydx rewards
    - $3m/day?
  - $2-4m per binance launchpad
- A lot of expensive trading bugs
  - Flash crash caused by USD fair override: -20m
  - Bc despos incident: -15m
  - Getting liquidated on ETH_PERP_BFX: -6m
  - Bad colobot fairs thing: -4m
  - Liquid FTT thing: -2.5m
  - Sending ENS to a smart contract: -1m
  - Bug with PERP fast model coefficients: -1m
  - USDC FE being bad: -200k
• commonalities in trading bugs:
  o not enough trading coverage
  o newish people making mistakes with not enough review

• fixes:
  o alerts and pauses for high pct of volume and negative pnl
  o validation for fair overrides
  o having traders audit margin calculators
  o bounds for bc desposes
  o detecting bbo flickering
• also lots of good trading if we can do it!
  o arbs
    ■ FIDA
    ■ coins listing on upbit; a lot of upbit vs coinbase vs binance
• trading stuff I’m excited about:
  o preventing bad trades
    ■ rapid models for everything
    ■ improving validation and alerts
  o better behavior re: arbs
    ■ widening out if we buy a lot of coin on an exchange
    ■ model improvements when coins are trading differently on different exchanges
  o testing out lead-lag bot lol
  o tracking pnl and noting bad stuff
• dev stuff I’m excited about:
  o UI improvements
    ■ better alerts
    ■ command palette
  o otcportal overhaul
  o twitter bot
  o farming automation?
• market making
  o room for improvement in BD and relationship stuff
• hiring
  o hoping to get Lucian and Ross
  o GC
• Bahamas
  o great to be around FTX
  o not so great
    ■ logistics have been painful to deal with
      ● food
      ● housing
      ● driving
      ● buying stuff
    ■ office (no side rooms/phone booths)
      ■ seems to make people more chill/spend less time on work
      ■ high concentration of coverage during Bahamas hours
• personnel
  o Elwin being gone is painful
    ■ a lot more things just get dropped
  o Tony is p important
  o trading being carried by Ben, David, Charlie, Handi/Victor sorta
  o Aravind, Richard, Alice doing their things
  o Terence and Lena on ops
  o Emma: not doing much yet?
  o Nate obviously, other devs doing some
  o Karthik is Karthik
• serum stuff
  • Chinese wall ...

action items:
• spread out coverage?
• do more stuff to fix bugs?
Since last year we’ve:

- Fixed our capital base in BTC terms
  - prob put the extra 50% into farming, 15% into bigger positions, 35% into keeping more free
- gone from doing our first couple mm deals, to being one of the top market makers and prob doing a decent fraction of the deals
- gone from buying our first [redacted] to buying a lot of [redacted]
- gotten decent trading coverage that doesn’t rely on Trabby and me
  - unfortunately Elwin was a lot of this
  - but hopefully we are ok with Charlie/Ben/David
  - also Tony doing more coverage
- gotten other traders to mostly take over spreadsheet/new listing stuff
- expanded dev beyond “Nate putting out fires”
  - Christian is great, Oliver is decent, plus two more dev hires
  - most pointer posts get addressed
  - Nate seems fairly happy
- basically gotten the settlement team to take all settlement/ops from traders, and do a lot independently/without being asked
  - Charlie and Terence crush it during HK day
  - Anton seems promising as well
  - still weaker during US day: have less of a sense of how much falls through the cracks then
- fraction of volume across exchanges and on otc portal has decreased
- got on upbit which has been worth a ton
- other exchanges have been ehh: lmax + a bunch of dexes
- accounting/pnl tracking just as much of a mess as ever

how much room do we have to scale?

When I try to think about this object level, for many of these things I have the sense that we’re “doing pretty well,” “already doing most of what we could be,” etc. I feel like a lot of this is prob complacency/status quo bias so I’m actually missing a lot of room for improvement. But just putting down anyway.

- exchange trading
  - being 5% of binance/hb/ok/bybit making 1 bp -> 900k/day
  - potential avenues for this
    - Karthik stuff
    - iterating on current models/tech stack
- futures positions
  - I think we have lately tended to be around 20% of futures OI
    - making on average 400k/day maybe?
    - ranges from 0-1m depending on interest rate environment
    - not usually limited by capital, more likely our impact or laziness/bad models
  - I’d say potential improvements -> 150k/day
    - we are working on futures model overhaul that might get a lot of this
- farming
  - currently have ~5b/day of farming TVL
  - out of ~90b total, but most of that on stuff with returns < our cost of capital
  - on good farms, we generally aim to get marginal bps close to cost of capital
  - rarely capital limited I think
  - biggest limiting factors prob:
    - finding out about farms
    - actually doing them
    - ongoing maintenance/reallocation
  - (if we had cheaper borrows could also do more on big farms)
  - improvements here: 300k/day?
    - David/Charlie/Victor/Handi/Aravind seem to handle this pretty well
    - surprisingly little supervision from Trabby/me, I’ve largely stopped paying that much attention to this since it seems to do pretty well without me
- OTC trading
  - there’s prob a lot of room in getting portal whitelabeled for a ton of stuff?
  - also a lot in getting really big trades/whales/etc
- deltas
  - feel like there’s maybe a ton of room here, though idk how to quantify
- eg just FTT deltas: really important pnl wise, kinda haphazardly executed, often don’t even do a good job being aware of what they are and how they change
- right now deltas = kinda just Trabby, which doesn’t really scale
- maybe stuff here:
  - better tracking/awareness
  - automating some stuff
  - more news-based/fundamentals-based trading

- seed round stuff
  - we seem to do around 10 a month
  - Brian seems to do most of these, with some other BD people involved too
  - I honestly don’t feel like I have a great idea of how much more we could be getting

- market making
  - we started doing our first mm agreements about a year ago
  - now I think we’re known as one of top 3 market makers
  - maybe we get like 40% of projects or something?
  - room to grow: 300k/day?
  - Aravind and John have been handling this
    - they seem to do okay on the business side without a ton of supervision, need to step in sometimes mostly to make sure they’re not playing too hardball/up relationships
    - okay on the logistics side (getting models/making sure we have capital/etc), still need someone double checking them but getting better

- NFTs
  - did like a bit of trying to buy some, seems like it didn’t go great

- new areas to expand into
  - what in crypto am I missing?
  - tradFi?

limiting factors in scaling:
- management/vision
  - Honestly, I think this might be the biggest limiting factor in our scaling.
  - I feel like neither Trabucco nor I has been doing a great job of pushing on stuff: we’re more in the mode of maintaining status quo and trying to fix problems/make sure we execute well than pushing on areas for growth.
  - As a result things do get done but kind of slowly/casually.

- location
  - Thinking more, I feel like the costs from being in different locations are pretty high.
  - Ben is really good. But he’s prob only 50% or less as effective as he would be if he were in the same office as others.
  - Similarly Victor and Handi have prob been < 50% as effective while traveling
  - I think the new traders would all benefit a lot from being physically around Trabby more

- trading team
  - feels like I have to spend a lot of time vetting/supervising/arguing with newer traders, though it’s getting better over time
  - could use more of like, traders having good ideas and executing on them

maybe limiting:
- devs
  - this feels kind of in between

not limiting factors:
- capital
  - at least it’s not limiting our day-to-day operations currently
  - once we spend 3b on ventures might be more limiting
    - current default plan for if we get more loans is to leave on an exchange for once-a-year crazy event
    - Trabby thinks this is still worth 20% on the margin so higher than cost of capital
  - Richard is doing a great job on getting more loans
- settlement/ops team/manual labor
  - I think we have okay capacity here these days

action items:
- try to just push more on stuff generally I guess
  - and be more active in encouraging/praising stuff that seems valuable
  - idk feels like I’ve been spending a lot of time in a kind of reactive mode, where all my brainspace is taken up by random messages/pings/stuff that gets put on my plate, so don’t do enough things actively
- go to Bahamas soon
- people going back and forth from the US without quarantine would be great
- maybe I should move up my trip
- try and get Ben to visit for a few weeks
- push more on other people going as well
- kinda want to create more of an Alameda leadership team that includes Ben and Richard
  - Ben
    - want him to feel more included/special
    - think he’d have good thoughts about a lot of high-level stuff
    - maybe he can do more of the day-to-day pushing on like “hey this trading thing seems really important, let’s drop everything and crush this”
  - Richard
    - wanna make sure he has all the context and gets looped into stuff
    - I think he’s a good person to own a lot of basically Alameda BD/corp dev stuff
    - also pretty good at pushing on/for things though only okay at making those the right things
- it feels like there might be a lot of room on the BD side of things—OTC, seed round stuff, finding more projects to mm for—but that’s something I feel like I have less understanding of/more uncertain about
  - maybe FTX side has this covered?
  - otherwise could try to get Richard on more of it
- try to hire more JS people/other really good traders?
Payments to Sam Bankman-Fried to be Used for Political Contributions

Alameda Research Ltd., Prime Trust x-4016
1/14/2022-4/14/2022
7 Wires
$46,000,000

2/3/22, 3/15/22, & 4/14/22
3 Wires
$23,000,000

3/1/2022
Wire
$10,000,000

4/14/2022
Wire
$6,000,000

3/11/2022
Wire
$10,000,000

3/1/2022
Wire
$5,000,000

1/31/2022
Wire
$5,000,000

1/14/2022
Wire
$2,000,000

8/15/2022
3 Wires
$10,000,000

8/16/2022
Wire
$10,000,000

10/3/2022
Wire
$6,000,000

10/3/2022
Wire
$6,000,000

Samuel Bankman Fried
Prime Trust x-1377
Samuel Bankman Fried
Prime Trust x-1377
Samuel Bankman Fried
Prime Trust x-1377
Samuel Bankman Fried
Prime Trust x-1377
Samuel Bankman Fried
Signature x-0218
Samuel Bankman Fried
Signature x-0218

Protect Our Future PAC
Guarding Against
Pandemics
House Majority PAC
Building a Stronger
Future Inc.
GMI PAC
One Nation
Majority Forward

Alameda Research Ltd.,
Silvergate x-4456
8/15/2022 & 10/3/2022
4 Wires
$16,000,000

8/15/2022
3 Wires
$10,000,000

10/3/2022
Wire
$6,000,000

10/3/2022
Wire
$6,000,000
Use of Customer Funds to Pay for Political Donation by Ryan Salame

May 23, 2022 – June 3, 2022

Customer Funds from Customer Bank Accounts

5/23/22 – 5/25/22
$11.0 M

Other Inflows

5/23/22 – 5/25/22
$0.2 M

North Dimension Inc.
Silvergate x-8738

5/25/22
$5.5 M

Ryan Salame
Signature x-0072

6/3/22
$0.5 M

GMI PAC Inc.

Sources: GX-1320, GX-1333, GX-1338
Political Donation by Ryan Salame
May 23, 2022 – June 3, 2022

Sam | Ryan | Mark
3 members
Mar 2, 2022

Mark Wetien
The GMI PAC needs some more funding in order to support Sen.
Boozman in his primary. Spoke to Sam yesterday. Ryan do you have any
appetite for giving more to GMI?
Boozman is quite important to us, is
trying to avoid a run off in primary, will
very likely win anyway in the general
and so it's a pretty good investment
that would be impactful and helpful to
FTX

5:09 AM

How much is "some more money"

5:10 AM

Mark Wetien

$500k-1m

5:10 AM

@Sam Bankman-Fried I can route
more funds through my name if we
want to do that or if you feel strongly I
can make the personal contribution
from my funds just noting it wasn't on
the radar. The PAC has 9m already so
I'm a bit surprised with our
contributions already it wouldn't be
feasible

5:13 AM

@Sam Bankman-Fried set disappearing message time to
1 week.

5:14 AM

Source: GX 506
On Sun, Sep 4, 2022, 12:38 PM Sam Bankman-Fried <sam@ftx.com> wrote:

I'd be happy to!

Christopher—I'm 650 906 9179, or happy to zoom/etc.

---

Sam

Sam Bankman-Fried

On September 4, 2022 at 8:42 AM EDT pdavis51@gmail.com wrote:

Sam -- my son Christopher is being engaged as a consultant for an NFT entity that is considering your platform - grateful if you were to take a call to discuss -- my son is copied on this email -- thanks

Hon. Philip E. "Brave" Davis, Q.C., M.P.
Prime Minister & Minister of Finance
Office of The Prime Minister
Sir Cecil Wallace-Whitfield Centre
Cable Beach
P.O. Box CB-10980
Nassau, The Bahamas
Updated invitation: Meeting w/President Clinton @ Tue Sep 20, 2022 4pm - 5pm (EDT) (sam@ftx.com)

Location: New York Hilton Midtown, 1335 6th Ave, New York, NY 10019, USA

Start: 9/20/2022 8:00:00 PM
End: 9/20/2022 9:00:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
From: Mike McKay [mckay@ecg-us.com]
Sent: 8/18/2022 1:08:26 AM
To: Mark Wetjen [mark@ftx.us]; brett@ftx.us; Natalie Tien [natalie@ftx.com]; ryne@ftx.us; sam@ftx.us; zach@ftx.us; Eliora Katz [eliora@ftx.us]

Subject: SBF meeting w/NY Governor Hochul
Location: LTBD (most likely Capital Grille on E 42nd Street but waiting on Gov's scheduling to confirm location)

Start: 9/16/2022 9:00:00 PM
End: 9/16/2022 9:30:00 PM
Show Time As: Busy
This event has been changed.
Dinner with the mayor
When
Thu Mar 3, 2022 8:30pm - 10pm Eastern Time - Toronto
Where
Changed: Osteria La Baia, 129 W 52nd St, New York, NY 10019, USA (Learn More.
You have been invited to the following event:
Quick photoshoot for Forbes story (at Equinox hotel)
When
Fri Sep 17, 2021 8:30am - 8:45am Hong Kong Standard Time

Joining info
Join with Google Meet

Learn More.
you said a lot of stuff about how you wanted to make regulations, just good ones - was that pretty much just PR too?

6:07 AM · Nov 16, 2022

there's no one really out there making sure good things happen and bad things don't usually there's only one toggle--do more or do less

yeah just PR regulators

6:07 AM · Nov 16, 2022
a sorry

didn't mean those to all be on the record

uh

any chance you could take it down?

8:57 PM · Nov 16, 2022
I know you’re trying to pull back from all this but wanted to see if you could clarify something.

What do you mean by not pushing against the community's strategy. Like will you stop lobbying for the Stabenow-Boozman bill if crypto twitter tells you to stop?

5:34 PM · Oct 29, 2022

OFF THE RECORD yeah I'm basically going to stop lobbying either way

think they're dumb and about to hand the industry to gensler on a silver platter

but idk hopefully I'm wrong

(I'm not wrong)

5:50 PM · Oct 29, 2022
Hi guys: It was great talking to you both. A couple of follow-up items.

(ii) Your $1 million pledge to our operating expenses: Originally, we talked about your wiring one-half of it now, one-half of it down the road. As far as I know, Sam, you haven’t wired anything yet (just as well). We are getting very close to the final selection of the new ED, and it would be fabulous to have the full $1 mill in the bank by the time we make that announcement. Could we ask you to wire the whole $1 million in the next week or two? Since this is going to our 527, and hence is disclosed, I’m assuming that Nishad would be the better person to have his name on it. We’d have a slight preference for that on our end, now that my connection to Sam is publicly known, because we don’t want to create the impression that funding MTG is a family affair, as opposed to a collective effort by many people (including some mystery guy Nishad Singh :)). If that works on your end, I’ll have Beth Benjamin send you instructions.

How amazing to have you both in MTG’s life, not to mention ours.

xo B.

Barbara Fried
President and Co-founder

---

Sounds good, I’m happy to pledge the $1m for MTG operating, agreed on optpica! Mind sending the wire instructions?
Buyback of FTX Stock from Binance

July 15, 2021

SHARE TRANSFER AGREEMENT

This Share Transfer Agreement (this “Agreement”), dated as of July 15, 2021, is entered into by and between FTX Trading Ltd., a company established under the laws of Antigua and Barbuda ("FTX"), Binance Capital Management Co. Ltd., a company established under the laws of the British Virgin Islands ("Seller") and Ercuid Way Ltd., a company established under the laws of Antigua and Barbuda ("Purchaser").

WHEREAS, Purchaser desires to purchase, and Seller desires to sell, 96,456,750 Series A preferred shares, par value $0.00000026 per share (the “Transferred Shares”) of FTX.

FTX hereby conveys, sets and transfers the Transferred Shares (together with all rights, benefits and privileges of Seller thereunder), as beneficially owned by it, free and clear of claims, liens or other encumbrances, to Purchaser, and Purchaser hereby purchases and accepts the Transferred Shares and all such rights, benefits and privileges, FTX hereby consents to such transfer and waives any

FTX Trading Ltd

By: __________________________
Name: Samuel Bankman-Fried
Title: CEO

Seller, free and clear of any liens, security interests or any other encumbrances;

(b) Purchaser shall transfer good and marketable title to such number of cryptographic tokens with the symbol "FTT" with value equal to US$554,868.50 in accordance with Section 1.4 to Seller, free and clear of any liens, security interests or any other encumbrances; and

(c) Purchaser shall transfer good and marketable title to such number of cryptographic tokens with the symbol "BNB" with value equal to US$554,868.50 in accordance with Section 1.4 to Seller, free and clear of any liens, security interests or any other encumbrances.

Message

From: Sam Bankman-Fried [sam@ftx.com]
on behalf of Sam Bankman-Fried [sam@ftx.com]sam@ftx.com
Sent: 7/15/2021 12:10:16 PM
To: Brooke Xue [brooke.xue@binance.com]; Hon Ng [hon.ng@binance.com]; Can Sun [csun@ferwick.com];
Hele n Ha i [he le n.h@b inance.com]; danny.f@binance.com; Xiong, Jialing [jialingxiong@paulhastings.com]; susan li [susan.li@binance.com]; Daniel Fried berg [dan@ftx.com]
CC: [fang , David S. { davidwang@paulhastings.com}; Hon Ng {h on.ng@binance.com}; Can Sun {cs un@ferwick.com};
He len Hai {helen.h@binance.com}; danny.f@binance.com; Xiong, Jialing {jialingxiong@paulhastings.com}; susan li
{susan.li@binance.com}]
Subject: FTX/Binance /FTX closing funds flow memo

Please transfer:
1) 1,764,040 96088 BNB
2) 500,000,000 BUSD, in addition to all currently pending BUSD (roughly 571,250,000.00 as of writing)

from: email is evergreennorthenterprises@gmail.com and UID is 94086678
To: address is bnb139ns8flf4z45h594r85vdthaad7hq5m4gkfg23, memo is 556635257

please do these transfers if and only if you promise to send both a scan and the hard copy of the necessary notarized documents to us in the next hour.

— Sam Bankman-Fried

Source: GX-213, GX-317
Use of Customer Funds to Buy FTX Stock from Binance

July 15, 2021

Source of Customer Funds: Alameda FTX Account 9
Balance of Account on 7/15/21: -$1.8 B
Investment in Skybridge Capital
September 2, 2022 – September 8, 2022

SUBSCRIPTION AGREEMENT

This SUBSCRIPTION AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) by and between SkyBridge Capital II, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“SkyBridge II”), SkyBridge GP Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“SkyBridge GP”) and, together with SkyBridge II, the “SkyBridge Parties”, and Alameda Research Ventures LLC (“Subscriber”) is entered into and dated as of September 7, 2022.

WHEREAS, on the terms and subject to the conditions hereof, (i) Subscriber has agreed to subscribe for and acquire from SkyBridge II the Membership Interest (as defined in the SkyBridge II LLC Agreement) set forth on Schedule I (such Membership Interest, the “SkyBridge II Membership Interest”) in exchange for the investment amount set forth on Schedule I (the “SkyBridge II Purchase Price”), and (ii) SkyBridge II shall accept such subscription and issue the SkyBridge II Membership Interest as set forth in this Agreement; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in order to implement the foregoing and in consideration of the mutual representations, warranties and agreements contained herein, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. DEFINITIONS
   As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings:
   1.1. Affiliate. The term “Affiliate” shall have the meaning set forth in the SkyBridge II LLC Agreement.

Alameda Research Ventures LLC

By: Samuel Bankman-Fried
Name: Samuel Bankman-Fried
Title: Founder

Use of Customer Funds to Pay for Investment in Skybridge Capital
September 2, 2022 – September 8, 2022

Customer Funds from Customer Bank Accounts
9/2/22 – 9/7/22 $434.8 M

Other Inflows
9/6/22 $18.0 M

Alameda Research Ltd. Silvergate x-4605
9/2/22 – 9/7/22 $58.0 M
2 TRANSFERS

Alameda Research Ltd. Silvergate x-4464
9/7/22 $45.0 M
TRANSFER

Alameda Research Ventures LLC Signature x-2677
9/8/22 $45.0 M
WIRE

Skybridge Capital II, LLC

WAYE
Use of Customer Funds to Pay for Investment in Anthropic PBC

September 30, 2021 – October 5, 2021

Customer Funds from Customer Bank Accounts

9/30/21
$469.9 M

Other Inflows

9/30/21
$115.6 M

Alameda Research
LLC Silvergate x-6080
LTD Silvergate x-4605

9/30/21
$540.0 M

7 TRANSFERS

Alameda Research
LTD Silvergate x-4456
LTD Silvergate x-6056
LCC Signature Bank x-5489
LCC Signet x-5489

10/1/21
$540.0 M

2 TRANSFERS

Alameda Research Ltd
Signet x-9485

10/2/21
$200.0 M & $300.0 M

Alameda Research Ltd
Prime Trust x-4016

10/2/21
$500.0 M

Alameda Research Ventures
Prime Trust x-5820

10/5/21
$500.0 M

Anthropic PBC
Sorry if I was being cagey about the FTT convo. FTT price is definitely something SBF’s gotten upset at me for talking too publicly about before so I was feeling stressed out about that/struggling how to respond

Obv important to get right though

And I think we def up by not taking it down more earlier

Caroline Ellison set disappearing message time to 1 week
Leopold was going to look online to try to figure out who in the Bahamas government we should be talking to.

Sam Bankman-Fried

His name is Ryan Salame :p

To be clear you guys should definitely NOT contact the Bahamas govt yourself — it’ll be slow and confuse people — just ping Ryan and Valdez and they can backchannel.

Nick Beckstead

Cool - we were planning to preview the communication anyway.

NB

Nick Beckstead

amazing
6) We are deeply grateful for what The Bahamas has done for us, and deeply committed to it. We are also deeply sorry about this mess.

As part of this: we have segregated funds for all Bahamian customers on FTX. And we would be more than happy to open up withdrawals for all Bahamian customers on FTX, so that they can, tomorrow, fully withdraw all of their assets, making them fully whole. It's your call whether you want us to do this--but we are more than happy to and would consider it the very least of our duty to the country, and could open it up immediately if you reply saying you want us to. If we don't hear back from you, we are going to go ahead and do it tomorrow.

Sam Bankman-Fried
7) Notes on PR:

   a) Three things happened:
      i) $8b of leverage
      ii) 75% market crash
      iii) FTX-specific crash
   b) That lead to clawbacks
   c) FTX US not included
3) Should I try calling up the broker HOOD is with and see if they'll just give me the shares without thinking about it?
   a) EDF Man

5) Nishad
   a) Lots of the complaints/etc. filed at this point make claims like "the 3 co-conspirators" in a way that doesn't really seem to leave much room for them adding on a 4th – they don't seem to be keeping a seat warm for him as a defendant
   b) Also there's no plea deal, no nothing, yet
   c) What does this mean?
      i) He got immunity?
      ii) They aren't bothering with him?
      iii) They'll just have a separate, parallel set of complaints for him once they get past whatever the blocker is?
      iv) Something else?
Non-Customer Claims Overview

Since the passage of the non-customer bar date on June 30, 2023, over 2,300 non-customer claims have been filed for over $379B. Removal of $313B of duplicates results in $65B of remaining non-customer claims, including:

1. $4.1 Billion Litigation & Preference Claims
2. $2.0 Billion Litigation Claims
3. $2.4 Billion Misc. Fraud Claims
4. $1.4 Billion Contracts / 503(b)(9)
5. $1.1 Billion Loans Payable
6. $0.6 Billion (1%) Contract Claim
7. $0.4 Billion (1%) Equity Claims
8. $43.5 Billion (67%) Assumed to be subordinated
9. $9.2 Billion (14%) Assumed to be invalid / redundant
10. $0.3 Billion (6%) All Other

1. Current adjustments reflect a preliminary analysis to remove what appear on their face to be duplicative claims, claims that are amended and superseded by later filed claims and certain adjustments to selected unliquidated claims. This analysis is preliminary, incomplete and further efforts, including a formal claims process, are expected to lead to material adjustment.
2. Excludes $1.7B of claims that have been formally withdrawn by Green Healthy House.
The analysis herein details Debtor assets marshalled to date at latest available pricing, values per the ongoing reconciliation of the Debtors' books and records, and based on ongoing marketing efforts:

- **Government Recovered Assets**: $0.8B
  - Asset seizures by SDNY including cash and public equity investments
- **Brokerage Assets**: $0.5B
  - Venture brokerage assets secured and managed by the Debtors
- **Crypto Assets**: $3.4B
  - Category A crypto assets secured and managed by the Debtors
- **Postpetition Cash Identified and Secured**: $1.5B
  - Cash assets identified, secured and managed by the Debtors
- **Cash at Petition Date**: $1.1B
  - Cash balances identified at Petition Date, secured and managed by the Debtors
- **Potential Incremental Estate Value**: TBD
  - Includes venture investments, digital assets B, tokens receivable, counterclaims recoveries, potential avoidance/preference actions, FTX 2.0, and investments in subsidiaries
### Estate Assets Overview

The below summarizes current asset values by Plan pool, both Debtor and Non-Debtor assets, bifurcated by marshalled assets and potential incremental value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USD in Millions</th>
<th>Pg.</th>
<th>US Pool</th>
<th>Dotcom Pool</th>
<th>General Pool</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digital Assets A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$538</td>
<td>$148</td>
<td>$2,748</td>
<td>$3,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$2,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBO Account Balances</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brokerage Investments</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Investments</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Assets B</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Token Investments</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX Bahamas Properties</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in Subsidiaries</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX 2.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference / Potential Avoidance</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Includes Debtor and Non-Debtor cash as of August 31, 2023 (see p. 13)
2. Venture and token investments have a total cost basis of $4,538M (inclusive of exits to date, see p. 26, excludes Non-Debtor assets, see p. 32), should not serve as a proxy for recoverable value
3. Digital Assets B valuation to be determined following retention and analysis of a valuation expert
4. FTX Bahamas values based on appraisal provided by the FTX Digital Markets JPLs via PwC with a sale range of between $185M and $214M
5. Reflects projected residual value from two Investments in Subsidiaries in the process of winding down and liquidating
Digital Asset A Holdings

$3.4 billion of Digital Assets A marshalled to date at August 31 pricing

The below includes all FTX.com, FTX.US, and Alameda Digital Assets A. Top 10 holdings currently represent ~72% of Digital Asset A market value.¹

Top 10 Digital Asset Holdings

¹ Remaining 28% composed of over 400 additional tokens
Estate Cash

$2.6 billion of Debtor & Non-Debtor cash confirmed to date

The Debtors have secured cash throughout the Chapter 11 process, within a postpetition cash management system.

- The Debtors navigated the Q1 2023 financial banking turmoil and secured fiat from over 30 separate banking institutions globally
- Cash has been located and pooled within a Master account for purposes of safeguarding estate assets. Unrestricted cash has increased primarily due to venture investment monetization and stablecoin conversions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USD in Millions</th>
<th>US1</th>
<th>Dotcom</th>
<th>Alameda / Ventures</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted Cash</td>
<td>$475</td>
<td>$333</td>
<td>$1,606</td>
<td>$2,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodial Cash</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Restricted Cash</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Debtor Cash</td>
<td>$507</td>
<td>$436</td>
<td>$1,606</td>
<td>$2,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Debtor Cash</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cash</td>
<td>$511</td>
<td>$440</td>
<td>$1,606</td>
<td>$2,557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. US cash includes master pooling account balances
Brokerage Investments

$529 million in securities held in Debtor brokerage accounts

Grayscale

- $70 Million Grayscale Ethereum Trust
- $417 Million Grayscale Bitcoin Trust

Bitwise

- $36 Million Bitwise 10 Crypto Index Fund

Grayscale

- $6 Million Across Ethereum Classic, Litecoin Trust, and Digital Large Cap

BlackRock

- <$0.1 Million BlackRock Equity

All values as of August 31, 2023 Pricing
## Venture Portfolio Summary

As of the Petition Date, the Venture Portfolio included 438 investments, totaling approximately $4.5B in funded assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Key Investments</th>
<th>Count¹</th>
<th>Funded²</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity Investments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genesis</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>$2,930</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digital Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stocktwits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>trppedot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANTHRYPIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yugalabs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HiddenRoad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>brinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limited Partnerships/Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$732</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skybridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paradigm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L2 Ventures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethereal Ventures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PANGEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kraken VENTURES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CURATED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canonical Crypto</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tokens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>174</td>
<td>$507</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PLAYUP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HelixNano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$368</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consensys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Venture Portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td>438</td>
<td>$4,538</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Number of investments based on individual investment type (equity, fund, token, loan)
2. Funded capital includes cash, crypto and other transferred assets. Investment totals exclude non-debtor investments
3. Includes funded values for investments exited to date (see p. 26); excludes $7.82B of non-debtor assets (see p. 32), including Mt. Olympus ($400M funded), K5 Global ($300M funded); value of funded investment not indicative of potential recoverable value
# Token Investments

$506 million in funded amounts in remaining token investments

The Debtors are contractual counterparties in agreements in which tokens have been or are being delivered on a vesting schedule:

- Post-ICO tokens are vesting and are deemed less risky and, when received, become assets in the Debtors’ Digital Asset portfolio.
- Pre-ICO tokens are early-stage tokens that are deemed riskier as they have not been minted and therefore their value is uncertain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USD in Millions</th>
<th>Post-ICO Tokens</th>
<th>Pre-ICO Tokens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOL</td>
<td>NEAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$137</td>
<td>$80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note the table shows the USD in millions for various tokens and their respective investments.
Real Estate in The Bahamas

38 properties in the Bahamas with $222 million book value appraised at $199 million

FTX Bahamas properties appraised by the FTX Digital Markets JPLs via PwC at a range of $185M to $214M

USD in Millions

A $151 Million¹
15 Properties
Orchid Penthouse & Units
Honeycomb – Condo Units

B $7 Million¹
7 Properties
Goldwynn

C $25 Million¹
6 Properties
Veridian Corporate Centre

D $5 Million¹
4 Properties
ONE Cable Beach

+ $34 million¹ across 5 additional properties

Nassau

Albany Marina Residences

1. All values listed are book value and are not indicative of potential recoveries
$588 million monetized and $16.6 billion potential avoidance actions identified

Investments: 430+ potential actions
$588M¹ monetized and additional investments being investigated approximating $5.3B² (partially reflected in venture investment analysis)

Non-Debtor Affiliates: 7+ potential actions
$3.2B was received by FTX Digital Markets Ltd and six additional Non-Debtor affiliates

Insiders: 50+ potential actions
$2.2B³ in cash, crypto, equity and real estate value was received by Samuel Bankman-Fried, Nishad Singh, Zixiao ("Gary") Wang, and Caroline Ellison among 46 others

Lenders: 37+ potential actions
BlockFi, Genesis, and Voyager Digital received or settled amounts of $5.0B; the Debtors are assessing claims related to other lenders. A portion of this amount is reflected within the preference analysis on page 20

Political and Charitable Donations
$86.6M in donations were paid to third parties (SOFA 9)

Vendors: 884+ potential actions
Vendors received a total of $190.3M during the preference period

---

1. Investments representative of $588M in cash proceeds received to date for exited investments and excludes non-cash consideration (see p. 26)
2. Includes $782M of non-debtor assets, including Mt. Olympus ($400M funded), KS Global ($300M funded) and other investments (see p. 32)
3. Excludes certain transfers made on behalf of Insiders reported as Investments, as well as other transfers reported on SOFA 4 for the same value, recipient, and date of transfer on Alameda Research Ltd., FTX Trading Ltd., and West Realm Shires Inc. These transfers were reported on SOFA 4 to disclose both sides of the transfer with the transfer of cash for the benefit of the Insider, and the transfer of SAFE Notes / Equity / Options
## Venture Portfolio Summary

As of the Petition Date, the Venture Portfolio included 438 investments, totaling approximately $4.5B in funded assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Highlights</th>
<th>Count&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Funded&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity Investments</strong></td>
<td>Top 10 positions comprise $2.3B (78% of equity investments)</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>$2,930</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limited Partnerships / Funds</strong></td>
<td>Top 10 positions comprise $691M (94% of limited partnerships / fund investments)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$732</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tokens</strong></td>
<td>Top 10 positions comprise $410M (81% of token investments)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>$507</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loans</strong></td>
<td>Top 3 positions comprise $229M (62% of loan investments)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$368</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Venture Portfolio</strong></td>
<td>Over $4.5 billion in funded investments</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>$4,538&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Status as of Petition Date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Events Since Petition Date</th>
<th>Highlights</th>
<th>Count&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Funded&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exited Investments&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sale processes and settlements since Petition Date have resulted in 87% recovery to date of funded amount</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Changes</td>
<td>Includes non-cash settlements and return of capital from fund positions net of post-petition capital fundings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Exits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$752</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Portfolio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>416</td>
<td>$3,787&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Number of investments based on individual investment type (equity, fund, token, loan)
2. Funded capital includes cash, crypto and other transferred assets. Investment totals exclude non-debtor investments
3. Includes investment settlements, sales, dissolutions and mergers as of August 31, 2023
4. Excludes various non-debtor assets (see p. 32), including Mt. Olympus ($400M funded), K5 Global ($300M funded), and GreyScale trusts ($246M market value as of petition date)
Venture Portfolio

Change in Venture Portfolio to Date

USD in Millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funded Amount of Portfolio as of Petition Date¹</th>
<th>Modulo Capital</th>
<th>MystenLabs</th>
<th>SEQUOIA</th>
<th>SEQUOIA HERITAGE</th>
<th>All Other Exits</th>
<th>Other Changes²</th>
<th>Venture Portfolio as of 8/31 (funded amounts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$4,538</td>
<td>$(474)</td>
<td>$(101)</td>
<td>$(50)</td>
<td>$(25)</td>
<td>$(23)</td>
<td>$(79)</td>
<td>$3,787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$673M of funded investments monetized for $588M (87% of funded amounts), plus elimination of $137M unfunded commitments

¹. Number of investments based on individual investment type (equity, fund, token, loan)
². Includes return of capital, capital call funding, and non-cash settlement with IEX representative of reduction in shares based on original cost-base funding
Debtor Venture Portfolio Remaining

Top 10 investments represent 66% of remaining funded token, equity, fund, and loan investments.

### Funded Amounts as of August 31, 2023

**USD in Millions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Size</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Percent of Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$1M</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>$74M</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1M - $10M</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>$406M</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10M+</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>$3,307M</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** $1,271

---

- Genesis Digital Assets
- Anthropic
- Voyager
- Solana
- Toss
- Dave
- Near
- Aptos
- Chipper Cash
- Hole
- All Other
# Equity Investments Overview

Equity investments make up 73% of remaining funded venture investments comprising 202 investments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USD in Millions</th>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Funded($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USD in Millions</td>
<td>Investment 1</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Funded($M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Genesis Digital</td>
<td>Bitcoin Mining</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>• GDA operates as one of the largest Bitcoin mining companies worldwide with sites in the US, Sweden and Kazakhstan</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Anthropic</td>
<td>Tech</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>• Anthropic is an AI safety and research company focused on developing interpretable and steerable AI systems including Claude, an AI assistant that can perform a wide variety of conversational and text processing tasks</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Voyager Digital</td>
<td>Brokerage</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>• Voyager Digital Ltd. provides crypto-currency brokerage services. The Company offers an access to assets and commission-free trading.</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Aptos</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>• Aptos is a developer of a blockchain network intended to provide universal and centralized access to decentralized assets for developers</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Chipper Cash</td>
<td>FinTech</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>• Chipper Cash offers a no-fee peer-to-peer cross-border payment service in Africa via its app</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Toss</td>
<td>FinTech</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>• Toss is a South Korea-based mobile financial service platform operated by fintech startup Viva Republica</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Tripledot</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>• Tripledot is a gaming studio that develops casual mobile games for android and iOS devices</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Yuga Labs</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>• Yuga Labs operates as a web3 platform and developer of NFT collections</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Exodus</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>• Exodus gives blockchain asset investors a platform to secure, exchange and manage wealth inside one application</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Brine Drones</td>
<td>Tech</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>• Brine Drones is company developing tech in the service of public safety known for the LEMUR 2 indoor tactical drone</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**All Other Equity Positions (192)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USD in Millions</th>
<th>Total Equity Investment (202)</th>
<th>Funded($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other Equity Positions (192)</td>
<td>$570</td>
<td>$2,746</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Number of investments based on individual investment type (equity, fund, token, loan)
2. Remaining funded amount as of August 31, 2023
3. Exclude Greysca le investments reflected on p. 14 under brokerage assets
LP / Fund Investments Overview

The Debtors have sold certain limited partnerships and reduced unfunded capital by $137M. Sales in process will result in another ~$8M of unfunded capital related liability released. Remaining LP positions, excluding sold positions, amount to $167M or 4% of the venture portfolio.

### USD in Millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Committed ($M)</th>
<th>Funded ($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SkyBridge Capital</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>SkyBridge Capital invests in the common stocks and American Depositary Receipts (&quot;ADRs&quot;) of Crypto Industry Companies and Digital Economy Companies</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Liquid Value Fund</td>
<td>Blockchain</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Liquid Value Fund invests in DeFi and NFT infrastructure projects, as well as projects within the Solana and Ethereum ecosystems</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Paradigm One</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Paradigm One Fund invests in crypto companies at all stages, particularly investments aimed at transforming finance and tech</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Toy Ventures</td>
<td>FinTech</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Toy Ventures is an operator-led early-stage venture fund that seeks investments in seed and early-stage companies</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. SkyBridge Coin Fund</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>SkyBridge Coin Fund is a diversified and actively managed portfolio of liquid tokens across the digital currency platform</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Multicoin Venture Fund</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Multicoin Venture Fund II targets early investments in the cryptocurrency and blockchain sectors.</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 6529 Capital</td>
<td>NFT</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>6529 Capital venture fund focused on targeting diversified set of NFTs across the PFP, generative art, 1of1 and photography categories</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. ROK Capital</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>ROK Capital is a multi-strategy hedge fund and accelerator aiming to grow the Korean crypto ecosystem</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Kraken Ventures</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Kraken Ventures is an early stage investment firm focused on businesses and projects at the nexus of both FinTech and Blockchain / Crypto</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. IOSG Venture</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>IOSG venture is an early-stage fund for decentralized protocols and companies and early-stage algorithm-based ventures</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sales in Process: 15

All Other Fund Positions (22): 13

Total Fund Investment (32): $225

% of Venture Portfolio: 4%

Average Fund Investment Size: $5M

1. Number of investments based on individual investment type (equity, fund, token, loan)
2. Remaining funded amount as of August 31, 2023
3. Excludes K5 partnership interests shown on p. 32 under Non-Debtor assets, subject to pending litigation
# Token Investments Overview

Token investments make up 13% of remaining funded venture investments comprising 171 investments with an average investment size of $3M.

## USD in Millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment 1,2</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Funded($M) 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Solana</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Solana builds products, tools, and reference implementations to further expand the Solana ecosystem</td>
<td>$137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Near</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>The NEAR protocol is a sharded, proof-of-stake, layer-one blockchain that is simple to use, secure and scalable</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hole</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>Cayman Islands</td>
<td>Wormhole allows developers to easily build decentralized applications that span the entire blockchain ecosystem</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Polygon</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Polygon is a blockchain platform which aims to create a multi-chain blockchain system compatible with Ethereum</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Mina</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Mina builds the privacy and security layer for web3 with zero knowledge proofs</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Fuel</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>BVI</td>
<td>Fuel is the fastest execution layer for the modular blockchain stack that delivers maximum security and the highest flexible throughput</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Port Finance</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Port Finance is a Defi protocol that aims to provide an entire suite of Defi products including borrowing &amp; lending, swap aggregator, and Portfolio tracking</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 1Inch</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>1Inch analyzes thousands of quotes and fees instantly across multiple DEXes to provide users with the best rates</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Enigma</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Secret Network is the main net blockchain with privacy-preserving smart contracts</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. OTOY Int’l</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>OTOY is a cloud rendering company delivering real-time cinematic quality 3D graphics through the browser</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Other Token Positions (161)</th>
<th>$96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Token Investment (171)</strong></td>
<td><strong>$506</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Number of investments based on individual investment type (equity, fund, token, loan)
2. Includes SAFT investments and funded amount of token warrants
3. Remaining funded amount as of August 31, 2023
# Loan Investments Overview

Loan investments make up 10% of remaining funded venture investments comprising 11 investments with an average investment size of $33M

USD in Millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Funded($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Dave</td>
<td>FinTech</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Dave operates a banking app that offers a suite of financial services and products. Key offerings include debit card, spending account, cash advances and financial management tools</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Voyager Digital</td>
<td>Brokerage</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Voyager Digital Ltd. provides crypto-currency brokerage services. The Company offers an access to assets and commission-free trading.</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Toss (Pionic)</td>
<td>FinTech</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Toss is a South Korea-based mobile financial service platform operated by fintech startup Viva Republica</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. BTC Africa (AZA Finance)</td>
<td>FinTech</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>AZA Finance empowers companies from 115+ countries to accelerate their operations in frontier markets through better foreign exchange, treasury services, payments, and last-mile settlement</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. PlayUp</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>PlayUp is an entertainment and technology group</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Red Sea Research</td>
<td>Media</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Red Sea Research is associated with Michael J. McCaffrey, former CEO of the Block</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Lonely Road Capital</td>
<td>Media</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Lonely Road Capital is associated with Michael J. McCaffrey, former CEO of the Block</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. MJMcCaffrey Holdings</td>
<td>Media</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>MJMcCaffrey Holdings is associated with Michael J. McCaffrey, former CEO of the Block</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Helix Nanotechnology</td>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>HelixNano is building an advanced mRNA platform to enable applications across human and nonhuman biology</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Genesis Block</td>
<td>FinTech</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Genesis Block is a developer of a Blockchain-powered online banking application to provide a full-service banking experience</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Consensys</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Consensys is a developer of a blockchain technology-based platform designed to assist enterprises to launch more powerful financial infrastructure</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Loan Investment (11) $368**

1. Number of investments based on individual investment type (equity, fund, token, loan)
2. Represents a loan to an affiliate of Toss
3. Remaining funded amount as of August 31, 2023
## Non-Debtor Overview

Non-Debtor investments amounts to $782M in funding, comprising 9 investments with an average investment size of $87M

### USD in Millions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment</th>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Funded($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Mount Olympus Capital</td>
<td>Consumer Goods / Services</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td><strong>Mount Olympus</strong> fund is an early-stage venture capital fund managed by Zeus Venture Capital. The fund targets consumer products and services (B2C), business products and services (B2B) sectors.</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. K5 Global Holdings</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td><strong>K5 Global</strong> is a venture capital firm and incubator that provides capital and guidance to startups across all sectors.</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Latona Biosciences</td>
<td>Bioscience</td>
<td>Loan</td>
<td><strong>Latona Biosciences</strong> is the debtor’s former philanthropic arm, used for disputed charitable donations to bioscience related firms.</td>
<td>$68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Semafor</td>
<td>Media</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td><strong>Semafor</strong> is a news website founded in 2022 by Ben Smith, a former editor-in-chief of BuzzFeed News and media columnist at The New York Times, and Justin B. Smith, the former CEO of Bloomberg Media Group.</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Nifty Island</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td><strong>Nifty Island</strong> is an open social gaming platform and virtual world being developed by Nyft Studios.</td>
<td>$2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Browder Capital</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>Fund</td>
<td><strong>Browder Capital</strong> is a venture capital investment firm based in San Francisco, California. The firm prefers to invest in early-stage companies.</td>
<td>$2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Sifchain</td>
<td>Crypto</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td><strong>Sifchain</strong> is the omni-chain decentralized exchange (DEX), unlocking liquidity in various chains to free people from egregious fees and inefficient trades.</td>
<td>$0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Cryowar</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td><strong>Cryowar</strong> is a real-time multiplayer PVP arena NFT game developed in Unreal Engine and on the Solana network.</td>
<td>$0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. QFlow</td>
<td>FinTech</td>
<td>Token</td>
<td><strong>QFlow</strong> delivers powerful workflows for finance teams to turn operational data into insights (normalized) at 1/5 the cost of the alternative.</td>
<td>$0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Average Non-Debtor Investment Size

**$87M**

1. Number of investments based on individual investment type (equity, fund, token, loan)
2. Remaining funded amount as of August 31, 2023
### Selected Top Investments for Discussion

**Non-Digital Asset Equity and Debt Investments over $10M**

($ in millions, unless otherwise stated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Investment Amount ($M) / Type</th>
<th>Date of Investment</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Dialogue with Company</th>
<th>Unsolicited External Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Genesis Digital Assets</td>
<td>$1,152.1 Equity</td>
<td>August 2021</td>
<td>Cryptocurrency</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Anthropic</td>
<td>$500.0 Equity</td>
<td>September 2021</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Toss</td>
<td>$713.3 Equity, $54.5 Founder Loan</td>
<td>October 2021</td>
<td>Personal Finance</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Dave</td>
<td>$100.0 Convertible Note, $15.0 Common Equity</td>
<td>August 2021</td>
<td>Digital Banking</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. IEX</td>
<td>$112.6 Equity</td>
<td>April 2022</td>
<td>Exchange</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Chipper Cash</td>
<td>$75.0 Equity</td>
<td>October 2021</td>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. TripleDot</td>
<td>$75.0 Equity</td>
<td>June 2021</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. AZA Finance (BTC Africa)</td>
<td>$46.0 Loan</td>
<td>December 2021</td>
<td>Payments</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Brinc Drones</td>
<td>$40.0 Equity</td>
<td>December 2021</td>
<td>Drone Manufacturing</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. PlayUp</td>
<td>$35.0 CLN</td>
<td>January 2022</td>
<td>Online Sports Betting</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. 80 Acres</td>
<td>$35.0 Equity</td>
<td>March 2022</td>
<td>Vertical Farming</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. StockTwits</td>
<td>$20.0 Equity</td>
<td>May 2021</td>
<td>Finance Social Media</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Mobile Premier League</td>
<td>$15.0 Equity</td>
<td>September 2021</td>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. DriveWealth</td>
<td>$15.0 Equity</td>
<td>August 2021</td>
<td>Brokerage Technology</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. HelixNano</td>
<td>$10.0 Loan</td>
<td>January 2022</td>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Protego Trust</td>
<td>$10.0 Equity</td>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td>Banking</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Wave Mobile Money</td>
<td>$10.0 Equity</td>
<td>March 2022</td>
<td>Personal Finance</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Fanatics</td>
<td>$10.0 Equity</td>
<td>April 2022</td>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Includes Genesis Digital Assets for discussion purposes
2. Loan made to founder, Seung Gun Lee, and secured by shares in Toss

---

FTX

[Image: FTX logo]
We've put together a spreadsheet of our liquid assets and our outstanding loans.

We have $1.1b of shares in HOOD+GBTC+ETHE+BITW that we could post as collateral.

Here's a proposed repayment schedule; would this work?

___

Caroline Ellison
On Thu, Nov 10, 2022 at 5:07 AM Yuri Mushkin wrote:

Hi Caroline

Are you guys able to make some incremental pay-downs, in meantime, eg 75m to complete yesterdays 200m amount

A few quick questions on HOOD, a) would that be the pledged shares in EDF account, b) how many shares are there in total in EDF, c) what do you think would be estimated proceeds? d) any ballpark on timing for potential buyer

since EDF HOOD shares are pledged as collateral, just need to double check how that works (eg maybe buyer could settle with blockfi directly?)
On Thu, 10 Nov 2022 at 00:49, Caroline Ellison wrote:

great thanks!

we are talking to a couple buyers interested in buying the remaining HOOD OTC if that comes through, would it work to just use the proceeds from that to repay the loan?

I think that would get a majority of the remaining loan notional though it would be in USD and not BTC

___
Caroline Ellison

On November 10, 2022 at 12:04 PM GMT+8 yuri wrote:

Thanks a lot Caroline, acknowledging signed pledges.

We are up - if you want to sync up on anything, or if we can help in any way.

Our team is working with ED&F so that ACA can be setup for the pledges.

On Wed, 9 Nov 2022 at 19:13, Yuri Mushkin wrote:

hi Caroline, we heard Binance is holding your funds, another idea (after signing the pledge) is to give us instructions to sell some of the pledged collateral (or other ED&F collateral which you have listed) and we can use proceeds for loan repayment.

Yuri

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 5:59 PM Flori Marquez wrote:

Caroline,
Are you able to sign this tonight?

Flori Marquez
Founder, COO

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 6:56 PM <usec.rho wrote:

Caroline,
Attached please find the following agreements:

1. Amendment and Forbearance Agreement between BF Lending, BF International and Alameda.  
   a. BF forbears exercising remedies in return for additional pledge and payments made per 
      the payment schedule in Exhibit B

2. Pledge Agreement between BF Lending, BF International, and Alameda  
   a. Pledges GBTC, ETHE, and BITW Shares. **We need the Number of Shares filled in Schedule A**

3. Pledge Agreement between BF Lending, BF International and Emergent Fidelity Technologies Ltd (who we assume holds HOOD shares, please confirm)  
   a. Pledges HOOD Shares. **We need Notice information on page 8, and the number of shares in Schedule A**

Please note that we are in the process of setting up a brokerage account to accept the additional collateral. The Pledge Agreements contemplate that the shares will be transferred to the account upon notice from BlockFi that set up is done.
On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 5:14 PM Zac Prince wrote:

Got it - any commentary you can give us on next steps re timing for the remaining 75M for today or payments for tomorrow? We have our next board session at 630 and would love to speak before then if you are available

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 4:35 PM Caroline Ellison wrote:

Hi sorry, hearing that we can do another 75m today. One of our exchange accounts that we were counting on just got frozen so we aren't able to withdraw.

Sorry if this makes things tougher with the board
On November 9, 2022 at 3:14 PM EST Flori wrote:

Hey Caroline,

Thank you for completing the first repayment. We're meeting with the board again tonight because at the time of the meeting we had not received the $50M. Can you give us an estimate on timing for the $150M so that we can communicate that to them? Does 5PM EST work?

Best,

Flori

Flori Marquez
Founder, COO

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 11:06 AM Zac Prince wrote:

Hi Caroline - we just heard from our trading team that Terence communicated that you would only be able to send 50M USDT by 12 ET. Is that correct? We have critical decisions and regulatory conversations happening starting at 12 so an update would be appreciated.

Zac Prince
CEO
On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 10:30 AM Zac Prince wrote:

Hi Caroline - one small adjustment we need to make to the repayment schedule would be the timing - 5 PM is a bit too late, could we move that to 9 (preferred) or 12 ET for each repayment?

Zac Prince
CEO

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 7:15 AM Zac Prince wrote:

Sounds good, just sent an invite

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 6:59 AM Caroline Ellison wrote:

Great news, sounds good!

A call at 9 am ET sounds good; feel free to invite me and I can add whoever is relevant from our side.

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 7:56 PM Zac Prince wrote:

Hi Caroline,

Thanks for sharing this information. We should be able to make the repayment schedule work if we can get the HOOD/GBTC/ETHE/BITW shares pledged and the first payment done today. Ideally before 12 ET.
Would a call at 9 or 10 ET work? if we are agreed on what needs to happen it could maybe just be a call w lawyers to make sure the paperwork is in order.

Don’t hesitate to ping / call us anytime and thanks for the attention here.

Best,
Zac

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 4:21 AM Caroline Ellison wrote:

We've put together a spreadsheet of our liquid assets and our outstanding loans

We have $1.1b of shares in HOOD+GBTC+ETHE+BITW that we could post as collateral.

Here's a proposed repayment schedule: would this work?

—

Caroline Ellison

—

Zac Prince
CEO

Unless specifically indicated, this e-mail is not an offer to sell or a solicitation of any investment products or other financial product or service, an official confirmation of any transaction, or an official statement of BlockFi Inc or its affiliated entities. To the extent this e-mail contains a specifically indicated offer, such offer shall be immediately terminated upon the occurrence of either (i) a 1% movement in the value of the relevant cryptocurrency or (ii) 48 hours from the time of offer.
Zac Prince
CEO
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This e-mail is meant only for the intended recipient of this transmission, and may contain trade secrets and strictly confidential information belonging to the sender. It is unlawful for unauthorized individuals to review, use, copy, disclose, or disseminate confidential information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (646) 779-9688 or by return email and promptly delete this message from your system.

For more information, please see BlockFi’s Terms of Service.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notional</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interactive brokers balance</td>
<td>598,327,809</td>
<td>(this is a subset of liquid assets but represents the most major ones)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBTC + ETHE + BITW</td>
<td><strong>381,810,430</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDF additional collateral</td>
<td>409,405,732</td>
<td>(some are more liquid than others; eg GBTC less liquid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOD</td>
<td><strong>739,358,487</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binance account</td>
<td>180,651,817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKX account</td>
<td>119,305,665</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bybit account</td>
<td>117,635,115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kucoin account</td>
<td>66,712,670</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitfinex account</td>
<td>49,424,777</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td><strong>2,662,632,502</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hi Caroline, @Terence Choo
thank you! Could we ask Alameda ops team to fill out the units (e.g., #HOOD shares) and include a pdf/copy of statements in another tab in gsheet here from the EDF account.

Alameda Pledge - Google Sheets
tvm,

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 10:37 AM Caroline Ellison wrote:

confirmed!

—
Caroline Ellison

On November 10, 2022 at 12:33 AM GMT+8 Jonathan Mayers wrote:

Hi Caroline,
Please confirm by way of reply to this email that you have the power to and do pledge on behalf of Alameda Research Limited, all of the HOOD shares held in EDF account COMBINED as well as all of the assets in EDF is account COMBINED including, but not limited to, all of the GBTC, ETHE and BITW held therein to BlockFi Lending LLC and BlockFi International as Collateral under their respective Master Loan Agreements and the Loans thereunder. This pledge will be binding upon acceptance by reply to this email and will later be further memorialized via documentation.

Best,
Jonathan

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 11:20 AM Yuri Mushkin wrote:

yes pledge all of it works.
+ Zac and Jonathan

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 10:18 AM Caroline Ellison wrote:

ah I don't think I have edit access, but:

- HOOD in EDF account COMBINED
- other two EDF is account COMBINED
- amount pledged: can do all of it?

—
Caroline Ellison

On November 10, 2022 at 12:04 AM GMT+8 Yuri Mushkin wrote:

@Terence Choo @Richard Chang @Caroline Ellison pls see below, could you add
On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 9:56 AM Yuri Mushkin wrote:

+ Kit (we are adding columns to your gsheet, to let you specify account numbers/and quantity you are pledging)

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 8:55 AM Terence Choo wrote:

Hey Joe,

Sorry for the delay. Will give you a ring later.

On Wed, Nov 9, 2022 at 10:30 PM Joe Hickey wrote:

Hi Terence -
Let me know when is good to synchronize and what medium

Thank you.

--

Joseph Hickey, CFA, FRM
Managing Director, Global Head of Trading
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MASTER DIGITAL CURRENCY LOAN AGREEMENT

This Master Digital Currency Loan Agreement (“Agreement”) is made on this July 15th, 2019 (the “Effective Date”) by and between Alameda Research LTD, (“Borrower”), a company organized and existing under [Delaware law] and BlockFi Lending LLC (“Lender”) a limited liability company organized and existing under Delaware law.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, Borrower may, from time to time, seek to initiate a transaction pursuant to which Lender will lend certain Digital Currency (defined herein) to Borrower and Borrower will return such Digital Currency to Lender upon the termination of the Loan pursuant to the terms and conditions in this Agreement.

Now, therefore, in consideration of the foregoing, the mutual covenants contained herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Borrower and Lender hereby agree as follows:

I. Definitions. For purposes of this Agreement, the following terms shall have the respective meanings set forth in this Article I.

“Applicable Law” means (regardless of jurisdiction) any applicable (i) federal, national, state and local laws, ordinances, regulations, orders, statutory instrument, rules, treaties, codes of practice, decrees, injunctions, or judgments and any applicable (ii) ruling, declaration, regulation, requirement, or interpretation issued by any regulatory, judicial, administrative or governmental body or person;

“Authorized Agent” has the meaning set forth in Exhibit A.

“Borrow Fee” means the fee that is proposed by Borrower when making a Lending Request and accepted by Lender in connection with making the Loan; such Fee shall be paid by Borrower to the Lender for the Loan.

“Borrower” has the meaning set forth in the first paragraph of this Agreement.

“Business Day” means any day other than a Saturday, Sunday or other day on which Lender is closed for business. For purposes of this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereunder, Lender follows the New York Stock Exchange calendar of holidays.

“Callable Option” means the Borrower and Lender each have the option to redeliver or recall an Open Deal Loan at any time during the term of the Loan.

“Confirmation Protocol” means the requirement that the Transfer of a Digital Currency, may not be deemed settled and completed until (i) the transaction has been recorded in a block and five (5) consecutive subsequent blocks referring back to such block (meaning six (6) blocks in total) have
been added to the applicable blockchain; or (ii) the transaction has met a different protocol for a specific Digital Currency agreed to by the parties in writing and added hereto as Exhibit C.

“Default Fee” has the meaning set forth in Section III(b).

“Digital Currency” means Bitcoin (BTC), Bitcoin Cash (BCH), Ether (ETH), Ether Classic (ETC), or Litecoin (LTC), any New Currency and any digital currency that the Borrower and Lender agree upon.

“Digital Currency Address” means an identifier of 26-34 alphanumeric characters that represents a possible destination for a Transfer of Digital Currency.

“Dollars” and “$” mean lawful money of the United States of America.

“Excluded Taxes” means, with respect to the Lender or any other recipient of any payment to be made by or on account of any obligation of Borrower under this Agreement, Taxes imposed on or measured by its overall net income, overall gross income or overall gross receipts (however denominated), and franchise taxes imposed on it (in lieu of net income taxes) or capital taxes, by the applicable jurisdiction (or any political subdivision thereof) under the laws of which such recipient is organized, in which it is resident for tax purposes or in which its principal office is located.

“Fees” mean the Borrow Fee and the Default Fee.

“Fork” means a permanent divergence in the relevant Digital Currency blockchain, that for example commonly occurs when non-upgraded nodes cannot validate blocks created by upgraded nodes that follow newer consensus rules.

“Governmental Authority” means the government of any nation, or of any political subdivision thereof, whether state or local, and any agency, authority, instrumentality, regulatory body, court or other entity exercising executive, legislative, judicial, taxing, regulatory or administrative powers or functions of or pertaining to government.

“Hard Fork” has the meaning set forth in Section V.

“Indemnified Taxes” means Taxes other than Excluded Taxes.

“Lender” means BlockFi Lending LLC.

“Liquidity Exchange” means Coinbase in the first instance but if for any reason Coinbase is not available online (whether due to technical maintenance or otherwise), it shall then mean Gemini.

“Loan” means a loan of Digital Currency made pursuant to and subject to this Agreement.

“Loan Documents” shall collectively mean this Agreement, all Lending Requests that are accepted by the Lender, and all exhibits and schedules hereto.

“Maturity Date” means, with respect to a Loan, the specified maturity date with respect to such
Loan upon which such Loan will terminate, unless such Loan is (i) terminated prior to such maturity date pursuant to Section (II)(d) or (ii) as may be extended as agreed to by the parties. In the case of an Open Deal Loan that is automatically renewed for a successive one-year term, the next anniversary of the Maturity Date shall be deemed to be the Maturity Date.

“New Currency” has the meaning set forth in Section V.

“Open Deal” means a Loan where Borrower may redeliver the Digital Currency and Lender may recall the Digital Currency at any time, subject to terms of this Agreement. Unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the parties, each Open Deal Loan will automatically be renewed for successive one-year terms upon each anniversary of the Maturity Date, unless either party provides written notice to terminate such Open Deal Loan no less than ten (10) days prior to the end of the current one-year term.

“Other Taxes” means all present or future stamp, registration, documentation or other excise or property taxes, or similar taxes, charges or levies imposed by any Governmental Authority, including any interest, additions thereto or penalties applicable thereto.

“Stablecoin” means any cryptocurrency pegged to the US Dollar, including, but not limited to the Gemini Dollar (GUSD), USD Coin (USDC) and Paxos Standard (PAX).

“Taxes” means all present or future taxes, levies, imposts, duties, deductions, withholdings, assessments, fees or other charges imposed by any Governmental Authority, including any interest, additions to Tax or penalties applicable thereto.

“Term” shall have the meaning set forth in Section XX.

“Term Deal” means a Loan where only Borrower has the right to redeliver the Digital Currency prior to the Maturity Date subject to this Agreement (except in the case of a termination of such Loan pursuant to Section XX).

“Transfer” shall mean, as applicable, the delivery of Digital Currency by Lender or the redelivery of Digital Currency by Borrower hereunder and the crediting of such Digital Currency to the recipient’s account in accordance with this Agreement.

“Value” means, with respect to any Collateral consisting of Dollars, the actual Dollar amount thereof, and with respect to any borrowed Digital Currency or any Collateral consisting of Stablecoins, the value of such Digital Currency or Stablecoin, as applicable, as determined by Lender in good faith and reasonable discretion by reference to recognized pricing sources for the relevant borrowed Digital Currency or Stablecoin, as applicable (provided that, for purposes of Section IV, the Value of Digital Currencies or Collateral consisting of Stablecoin will be determined based on the Spot Rate).

II. General Operation.

(a) Loans of Digital Currency
LENDER:

BLOCK ELLENDING LLC

By: Zac Prince

Name: Zac Prince
Title: CEO

BORROWER:

Alameda Research LTD

By: Sam Bankman-Fried

Name: Sam Bankman-Fried
Title: CEO
EXHIBIT A

Authorized Agents. The following are authorized to deliver Lending Requests on behalf of Borrower in accordance with Section III hereof:

Name: Sam Bankman-Fried
Email: [REDACTED]

Borrower may change its Authorized Agents by notice given to Lender as provided in Section XIII.
EXHIBIT B LOAN TERM SHEET

The following loan agreement dated [insert date] incorporates all of the terms of the Master Digital Currency Loan Agreement entered into by Alameda Research LTD ("Borrower") and BlockFi Lending LLC ("Lender") on July 15th, 2019 and the following specific terms:

Borrower: ALAMEDA RESEARCH LTD

Lender: BLOCKFI LENDING LLC

Digital Currency

Amount of Digital Currency:

Borrow Fee:

Loan Type: Open Ended

Loan Term:

Initial Margin Percentage __%

Margin Requirement Percentage __%

Release Margin Percentage __%

Allowable Stablecoin Collateral [GUSD][USDC][PAX]

Digital Currency Payment to Lender: [insert Lender’s Digital Currency Address]

Dollar Payment to Lender: [insert Lender’s Bank Details and stable coin blockchain address]

ALAMEDA RESEARCH LTD

By: _____________________
Name: Sam Bankman-Fried
Title: CEO

BLOCKFI LENDING LLC

By: _____________________
Name: Kenneth DePre
Title: Director of Operations
PLEDGE AGREEMENT

This PLEDGE AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is entered into as of November 9, 2022, by and among BlockFi Inc, a Delaware corporation, as collateral agent ("Collateral Agent") for BLOCKFI LENDING LLC ("BlockFi Lending") and BLOCKFI INTERNATIONAL LTD. ("BlockFi International" and, together with BlockFi Lending, the "Lenders" and, together with Collateral Agent, the, "Secured Party") and ALAMEDA RESEARCH LIMITED ("Pledgor").

WHEREAS, Pledgor and BlockFi Lending entered into that certain Master Digital Currency Loan Agreement dated as of July 15, 2019 (together with any loan agreement and any loan term sheet thereunder, and as amended by the Forbearance Agreement referred to below and as amended hereby, and as may have heretofore been or may hereafter be further amended, modified, supplemented, extended, renewed, restated or replaced) (the “BlockFi Lending Master Agreement”);

WHEREAS, Pledgor and BlockFi International entered into that certain Amended and Restated Master Digital Currency Loan Agreement dated as of January 26, 2022 (together with any loan agreement and any loan term sheet thereunder, and as amended by the Forbearance Agreement referred to below and as amended hereby, and as may have heretofore been or may hereafter be further amended, modified, supplemented, extended, renewed, restated or replaced) (the “BlockFi International Master Agreement” and, together with the BlockFi Lending Master Agreement, each, a “Master Agreement” and, collectively, the “Master Agreements”); unless specified otherwise, capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings assigned in each Master Agreement; and

WHEREAS, certain defaults and events of default have occurred under each Master Agreement, and in connection therewith, Pledgor and Lenders have entered into that certain Amendment & Forbearance Agreement dated as of even date herewith (as amended from time to time, the “Forbearance Agreement”) pursuant to which, among other things, subject to the terms therein, Lenders agreed to forbear from exercising its rights under each Master Agreement and Pledgor has agreed to enter in to this Agreement to grant Secured Party a security interest over additional collateral as security for the Secured Obligations (as hereinafter defined);

NOW, THEREFORE, for valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged and agreed, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Security Interest. To secure the payment and the performance of the Secured Obligations (hereinafter defined), Pledgor hereby pledges, assigns and grants to Secured Party a first priority security interest and lien in all of Pledgor’s rights, titles, interests in the following, whether now existing or hereafter acquired (collectively, the “Collateral”): (a) the Equity Interests (hereinafter defined) in the trusts (the “Collateral Shares”) listed on Schedule A (as the same may be updated from time to time) (each, a “Trust”, and collectively, the “Trusts”), (b) any security entitlements in respect of the Collateral Shares credited to the Current Collateral Account or the Perfection Collateral Account, (c) all dividends, distributions or return of capital, including any extraordinary dividend, split-off, spin-off or other exchange on or form the Collateral Shares, (d) the accounts set forth on Schedule A (as the same may be updated from time to time) (the “Current Collateral Account” and the “Perfection Collateral Account”) and any cash, cash equivalents, securities (including the Collateral Shares), general intangibles, investment property, financial assets, and other property that may from time to time be deposited, credited, held or carried in the Current Collateral Account or Perfection Collateral Account and all security entitlements, as defined in §8-102(a)(17) of the UCC with respect to any of the foregoing and (d) the proceeds of all of the foregoing.

2. Secured Obligations. “Secured Obligations” means, in each case, whether now in existence or hereafter arising: (a) all obligations and any applicable interest thereon (including interest accruing after the filing of any bankruptcy or similar petition) under any Master Agreement or any other Loan Document, (b) all obligations of Pledgor or any affiliate thereof to Secured Party or any affiliate thereof under any
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized representatives as of the date first above written.
PLEDGOR:

ALAMEDA RESEARCH LIMITED

SECURED PARTY:

BLOCKFI INC., in its capacity as Collateral Agent

By: [Signature]
Name: Zachary Prince
Title: CEO

BLOCKFI LENDING LLC

By: [Signature]
Name: Zachary Prince
Title: President

BLOCKFI INTERNATIONAL LTD.

By: [Signature]
Name: Zachary Prince
Title: CEO
Schedule A

Pledged Equity Interests in Trusts

All of Pledgor’s Equity Interests in the following Trusts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trust or Common Shares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grayscale Bitcoin Trust (GBTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayscale Ethereum Trust (ETHE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitwise 10 Crypto Index Fund (BITW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Collateral Accounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Collateral Account</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Banking or Custodial Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED&amp;F Man Capital Markets Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perfection Collateral Account

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Banking or Custodial Entity</th>
<th>Account Number</th>
<th>Account Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To Come</td>
<td>To Come</td>
<td>BlockFi Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMENDMENT & FORBEARANCE AGREEMENT

AMENDMENT & FORBEARANCE AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) dated as of November 9, 2022, by and among ALAMEDA RESEARCH LIMITED, a limited company organized and existing under the law of the British Virgin Islands, as Borrower (“Borrower”), BLOCKFI LENDING LLC, as Lender (“US Lender”) under the US Loan Agreement (as defined below), and BLOCKFI INTERNATIONAL LTD., as Lender (“International Lender”) under the International Loan Agreement (as defined below).

RECATALS:

WHEREAS, Borrower and Lender entered into that certain Master Digital Currency Loan Agreement dated as of July 15, 2019 (as amended hereby, and as the same may have heretofore been or may hereafter be further amended, modified, supplemented, extended, renewed, restated or replaced) (the “US Loan Agreement”);

WHEREAS, Borrower and International Lender entered into that certain Amended and Restated Master Loan Agreement dated as of January 26, 2022 (as amended hereby, and as the same may have heretofore been or may hereafter be further amended, modified, supplemented, extended, renewed, restated or replaced) (the “International Loan Agreement”);

WHEREAS, in connection with the International Loan Agreement, Borrower, International Lender and Coinbase Custody International Limited entered into that certain Security Agreement over a Custody Account dated as of January 26, 2022 (as amended hereby, and as the same may have heretofore been or may hereafter be further amended, modified, supplemented, extended, renewed, restated or replaced) (the “Irish Security Agreement”);

WHEREAS, as of the date hereof, the Borrower is in default under the Loan Agreement and the International Loan Agreement as more particularly described below;

WHEREAS, the circumstances described herein constitute multiple Events of Default under the Loan Agreement, the International Loan Agreement and the other Loan Documents (used herein as defined in each of the US Loan Agreement and the International Loan Agreement);

WHEREAS, Borrower has requested that US Lender and International Lender forbear from exercising their rights under the Loan Agreement, the International Loan Agreement and the other Loan Documents or applicable law, as applicable in respect of such Events of Default, which are continuing, notwithstanding such Events of Default; and

WHEREAS, subject to the terms and conditions herein, US Lender and International Lender are willing to forbear from exercising their rights under the Loan Agreement, the International Loan Agreement and the other Loan Documents or applicable law, as applicable, in respect of the Existing Default (as defined below) solely for the period and on the terms and conditions specified herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and the respective agreements, warranties and covenants contained herein, the parties hereto agree as follows:

SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement is executed and delivered as of the day and year first above written.

BORROWER:

ALAMEDA RESEARCH LTD.

By: [Signature]

Name: Caroline Ellison
Title: Co-CEO
BLOCKFILE LENDING LLC, as US Lender

By:

Zachary Prince

Name: Zachary Prince
Title: President
BLOCKFi INTERNATIONAL LTD., as
International Lender

By: Zachary Prince
Title: CEO
EXHIBIT A to FORBEARANCE AGREEMENT

Existing Defaults

1. Under the US Loan Agreement, an Event of Default under Section IV(b) arising from Borrower’s failure to deliver Additional Collateral.

2. Under the International Loan Agreement, an Event of Default under Section IX(a) arising from Borrower’s failure to return the Loaned Asset upon exercise by Lender of the Callable Option.
EXHIBIT B to FORBEARANCE AGREEMENT

**Payment Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due Date (Eastern Standard Time)</th>
<th>USD</th>
<th>BTC</th>
<th>ETH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/10/22 5:00 PM</td>
<td>90,000,000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/11/22 5:00 PM</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/12/22 5:00 PM</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>13960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/13/22 5:00 PM</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/14/22 5:00 PM</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/15/22 5:00 PM</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/22 5:00 PM</td>
<td>2466</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCHEDULE 1 to FORBEARANCE AGREEMENT

Borrowed Amounts due under BlockFi Lending LLC Loan Agreement

- 1,800 BTC
- 90,000,000 USDC

Borrowed Amounts due under BlockFi International Ltd. Loan Agreement

- 23,666 BTC
- 133,960 ETH
November 14, 2022

Thomas A. Hayes Jr.  via email
Senior Vice President
General Counsel
E D & F Man Capital Markets Inc.
140 East 45th Street, 10th Floor
New York, New York 10017
thayes@edfmancapital.com

RE:  E D & F Man Capital Markets Inc (“EDFM”). Account Number 49*-305**COMBINED (the “Account”) holding Collateral Shares of Class A Common Stock of Robinhood (Ticker: HOOD) (the “Collateral”) securing that certain Pledge Agreement (the “Emergent Pledge Agreement”) entered into as of November 9, 2022, by and among BlockFi Lending LLC (“BlockFi Lending”), BlockFi International Ltd (“BlockFi International” and together, “BlockFi”), and Emergent Fidelity Technologies Ltd. (“Emergent”). Unless specified otherwise, capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the same meanings assigned in the Emergent Pledge Agreement.

Dear Mr. Hayes:

We represent BlockFi Lending and BlockFi International. Emergent has guaranteed the repayment of certain obligations of Alameda Research Limited to BlockFi and has pledged a first priority security interest in and to all of Emergent’s rights, titles and interests in the Collateral pursuant to the terms of the Emergent Pledge Agreement, a copy of which is attached. This notice follows up on your email communications with, among others, Jonathan Mayers and Zac Prince at BlockFi on November 10 and 11, 2022 (the “Communications”).

As EDFM was notified in the Communications, BlockFi notified Emergent of an Event of Default under the Emergent Pledge Agreement, that Emergent’s Guaranteed Obligations were immediately due and payable, and that BlockFi intended to exercise all available remedies thereunder.

Pursuant to Section 6 of the Emergent Pledge Agreement, Emergent irrevocably appointed BlockFi and any of its officers or agents as its lawful attorney-in-fact with irrevocable power and authority in the name of Emergent or in its own name, to cause, among other things, the Collateral to be transferred or sold after the occurrence of an Event of Default. BlockFi hereby demands, pursuant to the powers granted to it as attorney-in-fact for Emergent pursuant to Section 6 of the Emergent Pledge Agreement that EDFM immediately transfer to it all of the Collateral. Upon EDFM’s confirmation that it will comply with this demand, BlockFi will provide written instructions for the transfer of the Collateral.
In the event that EDFM refuses to comply with this demand, BlockFi hereby demands based on its first priority security interest in the Collateral, that EDFM take all steps necessary to preserve the Collateral and to confirm that none of the Collateral will be transferred to any party other than BlockFi absent a valid, enforceable, and non-appealable order from a court of competent jurisdiction. The transfer of all or any part of the Collateral to any party other than BlockFi will cause BlockFi to suffer irreparable harm.

Please confirm that EDFM will either (i) comply with our demand to transfer the Collateral to us, or (ii) hold all Collateral subject to (a) further instructions from BlockFi in accordance with its rights as attorney-in-fact under Section 6 of the Emergent Pledge Agreement (which may include instructions to liquidate the Collateral) and/or (b) court order as described herein.

Time is of the essence with respect to these matters. Therefore, BlockFi requests a response to this demand and request at your earliest convenience, but no later than 12 noon, New York time, on Tuesday, November 15, 2022.

Should you have any questions or wish to discuss this matter in more detail, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Richard D. Anigian
Direct Phone Number: (214) 651-5633
Direct Fax Number: (214) 200-0354
rick.anigian@haynesboone.com

RDA/pam

cc: Emergent Fidelity Technologies Ltd. via email
    Unit 3B Bryson’s Commercial Complex
    Friars Hill Road
    St. Johns, Antigua
    Attn: Sam Bankman-Fried
    sam@ftx.com

Attachment
LOAN AGREEMENT

This LOAN AGREEMENT (this "Agreement") is entered into as of June 21, 2022 (the "Effective Date") by and among ALAMEDA VENTURES LTD ("Lender"), VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC. ("Borrower") and VOYAGER DIGITAL LTD ("Guarantor"). Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given them on Schedule B. The parties agree as follows:

1. Loans. Lender will make extensions of credit or other financial accommodations for Borrower’s benefit (each, a "Loan" and collectively, the "Loans") under two facilities, the cash revolving facility and the BTC facility, in the amounts and as otherwise identified on Schedule A, and Borrower promises to pay Lender the amount of all Loans and all debts, liabilities, obligations, covenants, indemnifications, interest, expenses and fees, created hereunder, whether arising before or after the commencement of any bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding (collectively, the "Obligations") pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, and as set forth herein and on Schedule A.

2. Borrowings.

2.1 Notice. Borrower shall give Lender notice from a Responsible Officer by 2:00 PM Eastern Time on each proposed Funding Date as hereinafter provided of each borrowing under this Agreement, which shall specify (i) the aggregate amount of such borrowing, (ii) the date of the proposed borrowing, (iii) the Applicable Currency of such borrowing and (iv) the uses of such borrowing. If notice is received by 2:00 PM Eastern Time, then the applicable Loan shall be advanced (i) for all Loans advanced in any Applicable Currency other than Dollars, on the same calendar day, or if received thereafter, on the next calendar day, and (ii) for all Loans advanced in Dollars, on the same Business Day, or if received thereafter, on the next Business Day.

2.2 Funding Currency. Lender shall make available the amount of the Loan to be made by it on such date to Borrower in the Applicable Currency so requested by Borrower.

2.3 Funding Restrictions. Such Loans shall be limited to the Cash Revolving Loan Amount and the BTC Revolving Loan Amount, each set forth on Schedule A, and in no event shall more than $75,000,000 be funded in any rolling thirty (30) day period in the aggregate for both Loans (for determining this threshold, the BTC value shall be determined on the day the loan request is made by Borrower based upon prevailing BTC prices as listed on Coin Market Cap or another market valuation as otherwise agreed upon by the parties). There will be no more draw downs of Loans permitted at any time that the aggregate value of all Platform Assets of Borrower is less than $600,000,000 as reflected on Borrower’s books and records using methodology consistent with past practice ("Platform Asset Funding Restriction").

2.4 Prepayments. Prepayments of the Loans shall be made in Borrower’s sole and absolute discretion, without premium or penalty.

2.5 Payments. All Loans that are funded in a type of Applicable Currency shall be re-paid in the same Applicable Currency as which they were funded.

2.6 Use of Proceeds. The Borrower shall only use the proceeds of the Loans solely to pay customers for crypto assets that counterparties to the Borrower’s (or its Affiliates) lending and related activities (i) fail to return to the Borrower (or its Affiliates) when such return is demanded by the Borrower, (ii) fail to return to the Borrower (or its Affiliates) when required to be returned by such counterparties, (iii) become insolvent or (iv) are unable, for whatever reason (including without limitation any unilateral imposition of a limit or prohibition on withdrawals) to return the type and amount of crypto assets lent by the Borrower (or its Affiliates).

2.7 Hard Fork. With respect to any BTC Revolving Loans, in the event of a Hard Fork in the blockchain for BTC or an Airdrop, then in addition to repayment of such BTC
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed as of the date initially set forth above.

BORROWER:

VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC.

By: 

Name: Stephen Ehrlich
Title: Chief Executive Officer
E-mail: sehrlich@investvoyager.com

GUARANTOR:

VOYAGER DIGITAL LTD.

By: 

Name: Stephen Ehrlich
Title: Chief Executive Officer
E-mail: sehrlich@investvoyager.com

LENDER:

ALAMEDA VENTURES LTD

By: 

Name: Sam Bankman-Fried
Title: Chief Executive Officer
E-mail: sam@ftx.com
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed as of the date initially set forth above.

BORROWER:

VOYAGER DIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC.

By: ________________
Name: Stephen Ehrlich
Title: Chief Executive Officer
E-mail: sehrlieh@investvoyager.com

GUARANTOR:

VOYAGER DIGITAL LTD.

By: ________________
Name: Stephen Ehrlich
Title: Chief Executive Officer
E-mail: sehrlieh@investvoyager.com

LENDER:

ALAMEDA VENTURES LTD

By: ___________________________
Name: Sam Bankman-Fried
Title: Chief Executive Officer
E-mail: sama@ftx.com
# Schedule A
## Loan Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>borrower:</th>
<th>Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash Revolving Loan Amount: Two Hundred Million Dollars ($200,000,000.00) (the “Cash Revolving Loan Amount”).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTC Revolving Loan Amount: Fifteen Thousand (15,000) BTC (the “BTC Revolving Loan Amount”).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guarantor: Voyager Digital LTD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draw Period: The period of time commencing upon the Effective Date and continuing through the earlier to occur of (a) December 30, 2024 or (b) the earlier termination of this Agreement in accordance with the terms thereof (“Draw Period”).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity Date: December 31, 2024 (the “Maturity Date”).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Revolving Loan: Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, during the Draw Period, and upon the delivery by Borrower to Lender of a completed and executed irrevocable loan request (in a form acceptable to Lender), Lender shall make cash loans available to Borrower in an aggregate original principal amount not to exceed the Cash Revolving Loan Amount, subject to the funding restrictions set forth in this Agreement. Prior to the Maturity Date, Cash Revolving Loans may be repaid and reborrowed. Lender will only be obligated to make a Cash Revolving Loan so long as the Conditions to Funding set forth in Section 3 of this Agreement have been met.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTC Revolving Loan: Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, during the Draw Period, and upon the delivery by Borrower to Lender of a completed and executed irrevocable loan request (in a form acceptable to Lender), Lender shall make loans available to Borrower in an aggregate original principal amount not to exceed the BTC Revolving Loan Amount, subject to the funding restrictions set forth in this Agreement. Prior to the Maturity Date, BTC Revolving Loans may be repaid and reborrowed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment: For the Cash Revolving Loan, the repayment shall be in the form of Dollars or USDC, depending on the Applicable Currency in which such Loan was funded. For the BTC Revolving Loan, the repayment shall be in the form of BTC in an amount equal to the amount drawn down and outstanding at the time of repayment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest: The Loans shall accrue interest on the outstanding principal balance at a rate equal to five percent (5.0%) per annum and shall be payable on the Maturity Date (provided that under the BTC Revolving Loan the interest shall be paid in kind based on the number of BTC outstanding at the Maturity Date). Interest is computed on a three hundred sixty (360) day year for the actual number of days elapsed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCHEDULE B
DEFINITIONS

As used in this Agreement, the following words shall have the following meanings:

"Affiliate" is, with respect to any Person, any other Person that owns or controls directly or indirectly ten percent (10%) or more of the stock of another entity of such Person, any other Person that controls or is controlled by or is under common control with such Person and each of such Person's officers, directors, managers, joint ventures or partners. For purposes of this definition, the term "control" of a Person means the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of such Person, whether through the ownership of voting equity securities, by contract or otherwise and the terms "controlled by" and "under common control with" shall have correlative meanings.

"Agreement" is defined in the preamble hereof.

"Airdrop" is a distribution of a New Token or tokens resulting from the ownership of a preexisting token for which the distribution of New Tokens can be definitively calculated according to its distribution method, such as a pro rata distribution based on the amount of the relevant BTC held at a specified time.

"Applicable Currency" is (i) for the Cash Revolving Loans, Dollars or USDC, and (ii) for the BTC Revolving Loans, BTC.

"Borrower" is defined in the preamble hereof.

"BTC" is BITCOIN, a digital currency.

"BTC Revolving Loan Amount" is defined in Schedule A.

"BTC Revolving Loans" is all Loans advanced in BTC up to the BTC Revolving Loan Amount.

"Business Day" is a day other than Saturday, Sunday or any day on which banks located in the State of New York are authorized or obligated to close.

"Cash Revolving Loan Amount" is defined in Schedule A.

"Cash Revolving Loans" is all Loans advanced in Dollars or USDC up to the Cash Revolving Loan Amount.

"Contingent Obligation" is, for any Person, any direct or indirect liability, contingent or not, of that Person for (a) any indebtedness, lease, dividend, letter of credit or other obligation of another such as an obligation directly or indirectly guaranteed, endorsed, co made, discounted or sold with recourse by that Person, or for which that Person is directly or indirectly liable; (b) any obligations for undrawn letters of credit for the account of that Person; and (c) all obligations from any interest rate, currency or commodity swap agreement, interest rate cap or collar agreement, or other agreement or arrangement designated to protect a Person against fluctuation in interest rates, currency exchange rates or commodity prices; but "Contingent Obligation" does not include endorsements in the ordinary course of business. The amount of a Contingent Obligation is the stated or determined amount of the primary obligation for which the Contingent Obligation is made or, if not determinable, the maximum reasonably anticipated liability for it determined by the Person in good faith in accordance with IFRS; but the amount may not exceed the maximum of the obligations under any guarantee or other support arrangement.

"Corporate Debt" is all forms of loans, notes and other indebtedness for borrowed money of Borrower and its Subsidiaries including guaranteed indebtedness of Borrower and its Subsidiaries, whether in fiat or digital assets, as measured in Dollars at the time of measurement. For the avoidance of doubt, Customer Liabilities shall not be considered Corporate Debt.

"Customer Liabilities" obligations to Borrower's customers incurred in connection with the provision of services by Borrower to its customers which are reflected on Borrower's books and records as liabilities.

"Dollars" and "$" is the lawful currency of the United States of America.

"Draw Period" is defined in Schedule A.

"Effective Date" is defined in the preamble hereof.
"Event of Default" is defined in Section 6.1.

"Funding Date" is any date on which a Loan is made to or for the account of Borrower which shall be a Business Day for Loans advanced in Dollars, and any calendar day for all Loans advanced in any other Applicable Currency.

"Guarantor" is defined in the preamble hereof.

"Hard Fork" is a permanent divergence in the blockchain (e.g., when non-upgraded nodes cannot validate blocks created by upgraded nodes that follow newer consensus rules, or an airdrop or any other event which results in the creation of a New Token).

"IFRS" is international financial reporting standards as in effect from time to time.

"Indebtedness" is (a) indebtedness for borrowed money or the deferred price of property or services, such as reimbursement and other obligations for surety bonds and letters of credit, (b) obligations evidenced by notes, bonds, debentures or similar instruments, (c) capital lease obligations, (d) non-contingent obligations of such Person to reimburse any bank or other Person in respect of amounts paid under a letter of credit, banker’s acceptance or similar instrument, (e) equity securities of such Person subject to repurchase or redemption other than at the sole option of such Person, (f) obligations secured by a Lien on any asset of such Person, whether or not such obligation is otherwise an obligation of such Person, (g) "earnouts", purchase price adjustments, profit sharing arrangements, deferred purchase money amounts and similar payment obligations or continuing obligations of any nature of such Person arising out of purchase and sale contracts, (h) all Indebtedness of others guaranteed by such Person, (i) off-balance sheet liabilities and/or pension plan or multiemployer plan liabilities of such Person, (j) obligations arising under non-compete agreements, (k) obligations arising under bonus, deferred compensation, incentive compensation or similar arrangements, other than those arising in the ordinary course of business and (l) Contingent Obligations.

"Lender" is defined in the preamble hereof.

"Liens" is a claim, hypothecation, mortgage, deed of trust, levy, charge, pledge, security interest, or other encumbrance of any kind, whether voluntarily incurred or arising by operation of law otherwise against any property.

"Loan" and "Loans" are defined in Section 1.

"Loan Documents" are, collectively, this Agreement, and any other present or future agreement between any Loan Party and/or for the benefit of Lender in connection with this Agreement, all as amended, extended or restated from time to time.

"Loan Party" means, collectively, Borrower and Guarantor.

"Material Adverse Change" is (a) a material adverse change in the business, operations or condition (financial or otherwise) or reasonable prospects of Borrower and its Subsidiaries; or (b) a material impairment of (i) the reasonable prospect of repayment of any portion of the Obligations, (ii) the Loan Parties' ability to perform or otherwise comply with all or any of its or their obligations hereunder; (iii) the legality, validity or enforceability of any Loan Document or (iv) the rights and remedies of the Lender under any Loan Document except as the result of the action or inaction of the Lender, in each case in the opinion of the Lender acting in good faith. For the avoidance of doubt any diminution of value, failure to recover, impairment or other loss of greater than 25% of the value of the Loan Parties’ loans made to Three Arrows Capital Ltd. and its Affiliates shall be deemed to be a Material Adverse Change.

"Maturity Date" is defined in Schedule A.

"New Token(s)" is defined in Section 2.7.

"Obligations" is defined in Section 1.

"Permitted Liens" is (a) liens for taxes, fees, assessments or other government charges or levies, either not delinquent or being contested in good faith and for which such Loan Party maintains adequate reserves on its books, if they have no priority over any of Lender’s security interests; (b) statutory and common law rights of set-off and other similar rights as to deposits of cash and securities in favor of banks, other depository institutions; (c) liens securing claims or demands of materialmen, artisans, mechanics, carriers, warehousemen, landlords and other like Persons arising in the ordinary course of Borrower’s business and imposed without action of such parties; provided, that the payment thereof is not yet required, (d) pledges and deposits made...
in the ordinary course of business in compliance with workers’ compensation, unemployment and other social security laws or regulations; (e) statutory liens imposed by law arising in the ordinary course; and (f) purchase money liens in an amount not to exceed $500,000 in the aggregate (i) on equipment and related software acquired or held by any Loan Party or its Subsidiaries incurred for financing the acquisition of the equipment and related software, if any, including the financing of the costs of shipping, taxes and installation, or (ii) existing on equipment and related software when acquired, if the lien is confined to such property, improvements thereon, and proceeds thereof, in the aggregate not exceeding Five Millions Dollars ($5,000,000).

“Person” is any individual, sole proprietorship, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, company association, trust, unincorporated organization, association, corporation, institution, public benefit corporation, firm, joint stock company, estate, entity or government agency.

“Platform Assets” is cash held for customers, crypto assets held, crypto assets loaned and crypto asset collateral received by Borrower as currently used for reporting purposes in Guarantor’s financial statements.

“Responsible Officer” is each of the Chief Executive Officer, the President, and the Chief Financial Officer of Borrower.

“Specified Assets” are the total Platform Assets of Borrower and its Subsidiaries, as measured in Dollars at the time of measurement, as reflected in Borrower’s books and records consistent with past practice, less $500,000,000.

“Subsidiaries” is any entity of which more than fifty percent (50.0%) of the voting stock or other equity interests is owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by a Loan Party.

“Transactions” are the transactions contemplated under this Agreement and the other Loan Documents.

“USDC” is cryptocurrency pegged to the Dollar.
**Assets - Real and Personal Property**

Part 4, Question 15: Non-publicly traded stock interests in incorporated and unincorporated businesses, including any interest in an LLC, partnership, or joint venture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of entity:</th>
<th>% of Ownership</th>
<th>Valuation method used for current value</th>
<th>Current value of debtor's interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALLSTON WAY LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANCROFT WAY LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLOCKFOLIO HOLDINGS, INC</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYPTO BAHAMAS LLC</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEEP CREEK LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX (GIBRALTAR) LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX AUSTRALIA PTY LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX CANADA INC</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX DIGITAL HOLDINGS (SINGAPORE) PTE LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX DIGITAL MARKETS LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX EMEA LTD.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX EQUITY RECORD HOLDINGS LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX EUROPE AG</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX HONG KONG LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX JAPAN HOLDINGS K.K.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX JAPAN SERVICES KK</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX MALTA HOLDINGS LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX PRODUCTS (SINGAPORE) PTE LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX PROPERTY HOLDINGS LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Contract Description</td>
<td>Counterparty Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>Sponsorship Agreement Dated 1/31/2022</td>
<td>Blockchain Australia Limited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
<td>Steve Vallas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State the term remaining</td>
<td>ABN 63 169 053 534 of PO Box 153, Albert Park VIC 3206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List the contract number of any government contract</td>
<td>AUSTRALIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>Sponsor Contract Dated 6/6/2022</td>
<td>Blockchain Summit LATAM SpA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
<td>Cerro El Plomo #5931</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State the term remaining</td>
<td>office 1213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List the contract number of any government contract</td>
<td>City of Santiago, CHILE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>Option Agreement Dated 6/30/2022</td>
<td>Blockfi Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
<td>Address Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State the term remaining</td>
<td>Address unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List the contract number of any government contract</td>
<td>Address unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>Contribution Agreement Dated 9/15/2021</td>
<td>Blockfolio Holdings, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State the term remaining</td>
<td>Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List the contract number of any government contract</td>
<td>Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State the term remaining</td>
<td>Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List the contract number of any government contract</td>
<td>Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>Line of Credit Agreement</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State the term remaining</td>
<td>Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List the contract number of any government contract</td>
<td>Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>Negotiated Transaction Letter Dated 7/6/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State the term remaining</td>
<td>Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List the contract number of any government contract</td>
<td>Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Additional Page if Debtor Has More Executory Contracts or Unexpired Leases

Copy this page only if more space is needed. Continue numbering the lines sequentially from the previous page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Number</th>
<th>Contract Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Debtor</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL AGREEMENT</td>
<td>10/13/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>INTERCOMPANY COMMERCIAL AGREEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>STOCKHOLDERS' AGREEMENT</td>
<td>9/9/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.100</td>
<td>USER REFERRAL AGREEMENT</td>
<td>10/13/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.101</td>
<td>JOINDER AGREEMENT</td>
<td>9/9/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>ADDRESS UNKNOWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>JOINDER AGREEMENT</td>
<td>9/9/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>JOINDER AGREEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

1. **Does the debtor have any executory contracts or unexpired leases?**
   - ☐ No. Check this box and file this form with the court with the debtor’s other schedules. There is nothing else to report on this form.
   - ☑ Yes. Fill in all of the information below even if the contracts or leases are listed on Schedule A/B: Assets - Real and Personal Property (Official Form 206A/B).

2. **List all contracts and unexpired leases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Type</th>
<th>Debtor Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Nature of Debtor's Interest</th>
<th>Term Remaining</th>
<th>Agreement Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>JOINDER AGREEMENT</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>IRREVOCABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY AND PROXY DATED 11/23/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>ORDINARY SHARE TRANSFER AGREEMENT DATED 7/20/2020</td>
<td>FTX Equity Record Holder Ltd (Seychelles)</td>
<td>Tortola Pier Park Building 1 Road Town</td>
<td>BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>NOMINEE AND VOTING AGREEMENT DATED 11/23/2020</td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd.</td>
<td>10-11 Mandolin Place, Friars Hill Road St. John's AG-04, ANTIGUA &amp; BARBUDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>IRREVOCABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY AND PROXY DATED 11/23/2020</td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd.</td>
<td>10-11 Mandolin Place, Friars Hill Road St. John's AG-04, ANTIGUA &amp; BARBUDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Intercompany

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jack Faett</td>
<td>6/5/2023</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Review of intercompany contracts for intercompany impact and other unrecorded petition date balances for Alameda and Venture silos - Contract 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Kearney</td>
<td>6/5/2023</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Working session over intercompany balances related to Blockfolio purchase liabilities with D. Hainline, K. Kearney, J. Faett (A&amp;M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Kearney</td>
<td>6/5/2023</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Review of Intercompany Token Loan Agreement between Cottonwood Grove Ltd and Paper Bird Inc with respect to FTT for Blockfolio purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Kearney</td>
<td>6/5/2023</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Review of Call Option Agreement between Alameda Research Ltd and FTX Trading Ltd with respect to FTT for Blockfolio purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Kearney</td>
<td>6/5/2023</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Preparation of intercompany contractual arrangements file for FTT loans associated with Blockfolio purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Kearney</td>
<td>6/5/2023</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Review of FTX.com exchange data to identify transfers of FTT from Alameda to FTX Trading in order to meet obligations for Blockfolio agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Kearney</td>
<td>6/5/2023</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Review of Intercompany Token Loan agreement between Alameda Research Ltd and Cottonwood Grove Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Kearney</td>
<td>6/5/2023</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Meeting to discuss status of intercompany contracts review and open items with K. Kearney and J. Faett (A&amp;M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Kuruvilla</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Working session to summarize intra-silo intercompany transfers for statements and schedules amendments with D. Hainline, D. Kuruvilla (A&amp;M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Kuruvilla</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Call with S. Kojima (FTX), S. Li, D. Hainline, and D. Kuruvilla (A&amp;M) to discuss open Liquid group intercompany items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Summarize inter-silo exchange based transfers with Alameda/Ventures entities on FTX.com to support amended statements and schedules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Working session to summarize intra-silo intercompany transfers for statements and schedules amendments with D. Hainline, D. Kuruvilla (A&amp;M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Summarize DOTCOM intra-silo fiat transfers to support amended statements and schedules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Call with S. Kojima (FTX), S. Li, D. Hainline, and D. Kuruvilla (A&amp;M) to discuss open Liquid group intercompany items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Update FTT Loan analysis for liabilities from Liquid group entities to Alameda Research Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Review information for contract 49 to support intercompany balances for DOTCOM-silo entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Review contractual agreements between Alameda and Liquid group entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Review information for contract 86 to support intercompany balances for DOTCOM-silo entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drew Hainline</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Draft summary of FTT loan liabilities for review to Liquid group representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Faett</td>
<td>6/6/2023</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Review of intercompany contracts for intercompany impact and other unrecorded petition date balances for Alameda and Venture silos - Contract 52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List all contracts and unexpired leases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Debtor Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Nature of Debtor's Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>MUTUAL NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT DATED 10/16/2019</td>
<td>Bittrex, Inc.</td>
<td>ADDRESS UNKNOWN</td>
<td>Bittrex, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT DATED 10/10/2018</td>
<td>Blockfolio LLC</td>
<td>4325 Glencoe Ave P.O. Box 11565 Marina Del Rey, CA 90295</td>
<td>Blockfolio LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>LETTER AGREEMENT RE: OWNERSHIP OF IDEAS DATED 6/14/2021</td>
<td>BrandFire</td>
<td>555 Eighth Avenue Ste 1802 New York, NY 10018</td>
<td>BrandFire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>INVENTION ASSIGNMENT AND ARBITRATION AGREEMENT DATED 5/16/2017</td>
<td>Brandon Goldman</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Brandon Goldman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>PROPRIETARY INFORMATION AND INVENTIONS AGREEMENT DATED 4/26/2018</td>
<td>Brian C. Dilley</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Brian C. Dilley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Counterparty</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Nature of Debtor's Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>Subscription Agreement Dated 7/8/2022</td>
<td>BlockFi Inc.</td>
<td>ADDRESS UNKNOWN</td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>Waiver and Amendment Dated 7/8/2022</td>
<td>BLOCKFI INC.</td>
<td>ADDRESS UNKNOWN</td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>Loan Agreement Dated 6/30/2022</td>
<td>BLOCKFI LENDING LLC</td>
<td>ADDRESS UNKNOWN</td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>Loan Agreement Dated 6/30/2022</td>
<td>BLOCKFI TRADING LLC</td>
<td>ADDRESS UNKNOWN</td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>Commercial Agreement</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Retention Incentive Award Agreement Dated 9/30/2022</td>
<td>Brandon Mann</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>Retention Incentive Award Agreement Dated 9/30/2022</td>
<td>Breanna Phillips</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Number</td>
<td>Contract Description</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>Address Details</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE INVENTION ASSIGNMENT AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT</td>
<td>Bert Scott</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>BRAND AMBASSADOR AGREEMENT</td>
<td>Bethaney Keen</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>SALES AGREEMENT DATED 1/20/2022</td>
<td>BHouse USA LLC</td>
<td>255 Giralda Avenue 5th floor Coral Gables, FL 33134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>SPONSORSHIP AGREEMENT DATED 3/25/2022</td>
<td>Billboard Media, LLC</td>
<td>11175 Santa Monica Blvd. Attn: General Counsel Los Angeles, CA 90025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>USER REFERRAL AGREEMENT</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>COMMERCIAL AGREEMENT DATED 1/1/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>MUTUAL NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT DATED 6/1/2020</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy**

**SOFA Question 28:** List the debtor's officers, directors, managing members, general partners, members in control, controlling shareholders, or other people in control of the debtor at the time of the filing of this case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>% Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTX TRADING LTD.</td>
<td>10-11 MANDOLIN PLACE, FRIARS HILL ROAD ST. JOHN'S AG-04, ANTIGUA &amp; BARBUDA</td>
<td>Controlling Shareholder</td>
<td>52.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Moncada</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Director, Shareholder</td>
<td>6.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andy Fisher</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Boo</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Bankman-Fried</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Director, President</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Reference</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Nature of Debtor's Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>Blockfolio, Inc.</td>
<td>3500 South Dupont Highway, Dover, DE 19901</td>
<td>Services Agreement Dated 1/1/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>Bonham Capital Ltd</td>
<td>Room 1405, 135 Bonham Strand Trade Centre, 135 Bonham Strand, Sheung Wan, Hong Kong, CHINA</td>
<td>Start-up Services Agreement Dated 4/15/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>Brett Harrison</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Letter Agreement Re: Additional Compensation Provided Dated 4/11/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>Bryan Thomas</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement Dated 12/30/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>Bryan Thomas</td>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td>Consulting Agreement Dated 1/4/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>Champ Shine Limited</td>
<td>1 Garden Road, Bank of China Tower, 55th Floor, Hong Kong, CHINA</td>
<td>Licence Agreement for 18 Lun Fat Street, Wanchai, Hong Kong Dated 5/20/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>Champ Shine Limited</td>
<td>1 Garden Road, Bank of China Tower, 55th Floor, Hong Kong, CHINA</td>
<td>Licence Agreement for 18 Lun Fat Street, Wanchai, Hong Kong Dated 7/8/2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reflected in recent crypto-asset related sanctions and anti-money laundering cases, crypto-assets remain susceptible to misuse by terrorist organizations and other sanctioned individuals’ efforts to move funds in support of illicit activities.61

Interactions among crypto-asset vulnerabilities can amplify shocks within the crypto-asset ecosystem, which was the case with Curve Finance this year. As with many crypto-assets, Curve Finance’s native token, CRV, experienced price volatility, losing over half of its value in one year.64 On July 30, 2023, the price received a further shock after it was reported that $73.5M worth of crypto-assets were stolen in a hack of Curve Finance.65 CRV lost almost 30 percent of its value in the days following the hack.66 At the time of the incident, 47 percent of the circulating supply of CRV was used to back loans according to public reports.67 The drop in CRV’s price reportedly put over $100 million worth of loans taken out by Curve Finance’s founder at risk of being liquidated on other decentralized finance (DeFi) platforms.68 Given that DeFi protocols sell underlying collateral in the market if a user is unable to maintain their position, platforms holding CRV as collateral were at risk of experiencing significant losses if the loans liquidated and the price of CRV continually declined. While the DeFi protocols holding CRV as collateral have remained stable and Curve Finance has been able to recover a majority of the funds stolen in the July hack, this sequence of events highlights how the aforementioned vulnerabilities within the crypto-asset ecosystem can interact and potentially result in financial losses.

Investor and Consumer Protection

Speculative crypto-assets and related services may pose a range of investor protection and market integrity concerns. As the Council has previously noted, many crypto-asset firms may be acting outside of or out of compliance with applicable law and may also lack sufficient risk governance and control frameworks. This increases the potential for fraud, illicit finance, sanctions evasion, operational failures, liquidity and maturity mismatches, and risk to investors and consumers, as well as contagion within the crypto-asset market.

In the crypto-asset ecosystem, it is common for crypto-asset platforms to be structured as vertically integrated entities that offer multiple types of products and services like issuance, trading, asset management, custody, and brokerage services. In traditional finance, these products and services are usually offered by entities that are separately registered and regulated. Such vertically integrated entities may not be in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Additionally, many of these crypto-asset entities are centrally controlled, even when purporting to operate as a decentralized protocol. The provision of multiple types of products and services through one entity or a group of affiliated entities can create conflicts of interest that could cause investor and market harm.69 Potential vulnerabilities arising out of vertical integration, as well as the lack of regulatory compliance and oversight, include the absence of transparency with regard to corporate structure and key function holders, conflicts of interest, inappropriate use of clients’ funds, and market manipulation.

To address these issues, regulators have undertaken rulemaking to strengthen existing investor and consumer protections. The SEC has proposed rule changes to expand the scope of the current custody rule for investment advisers to include a broader array of client assets, including crypto-assets that are not funds or securities.70 The SEC has also reopened the comment period on proposed amendments to the definition of “exchange” under Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) Rule 3b-16. As part of the reopening, the SEC provided supplemental information regarding trading systems for crypto-asset securities, including DeFi systems, that already are included in the exchange definition and those that would be included in the proposed definition.71 CFTC staff issued an advisory on clearing of crypto-assets by derivatives clearing organizations this year.72 States have also taken action. For example, the New York State Department of Financial Services (NYDFS) published guidance clarifying its expectations for New York-based virtual currency businesses regarding the custody of customer assets.73

Council members have also brought actions against entities and persons violating applicable federal and state laws. At the state level, for example, a multistate task force composed of 10 state securities regulators issued orders alleging
state securities law violations in relation to a firm’s staking rewards programs. Federal agencies, specifically the SEC and CFTC, have continued to bring enforcement actions due to fraud, manipulation, and failure to register with the appropriate agency, among other types of misconduct.

In fiscal year 2023, the CFTC brought 47 actions charging a wide range of violations, including fraud, manipulation, failure to register, failure to supervise, and lack of adequate know-your-customer and anti-money laundering controls. The defendants in these actions included, among others, digital asset trading platforms, the operators of DeFi protocols, and a digital asset lending platform. The allegations in these cases exemplify the consumer and investor risks that arise from using unregistered platforms. The SEC brought actions this year against companies for operating as unregistered exchanges, broker-dealers, and clearing agencies. The SEC also charged entities with the unregistered offer and sale of securities, including the offer and sale of securities in connection with staking and lending programs.

Both the SEC and the CFTC have brought charges related to a firm’s failure to comply with anti-money laundering laws.

**Interconnections Between the Crypto-Asset Ecosystem and Traditional Finance**

The crypto-asset market experiences a higher level of volatility than does the traditional finance system and is also prone to shocks that may impact traditional financial institutions that partner or otherwise interact with the crypto-asset market. Such shocks may include the collapse of fraudulent schemes, cybersecurity issues, technology-related disruptions, and governance or decision-making breakdowns, among other events.

**Banking**

In January and February 2023, the FDIC, OCC, and Federal Reserve issued joint statements on crypto-asset risks to banking organizations and liquidity risks related to the crypto-asset market. The statements noted, among other things, that the stability of deposits placed by crypto-asset-related entities may be influenced by vulnerabilities in the crypto-asset sector.

The risks faced by banks that maintain a high concentration of deposit accounts for crypto-asset-related entities were visible during the March 2023 bank stress, when California-based Silvergate Bank announced its voluntary liquidation. In the last quarterly report it filed in 2022, Silvergate noted that substantially all of its deposits were derived from crypto-asset customers. However, depositors withdrew over $8 billion (68 percent of Silvergate’s deposits) as stress within the crypto-asset market was exacerbated by the shock of the collapse of crypto-asset trading platform FTX. On January 4, 2023, Judge John Dorsey, the presiding official in the FTX bankruptcy, also ordered the seizure of FTX’s funds held at Silvergate. Silvergate’s SEC filings show that in response to the outflow, it secured a $4.3 billion advance from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of San Francisco. To pay back the FHLB and address remaining liquidity issues posed by the decline in deposits, Silvergate sold assets at a loss. In a March 1 SEC filing, Silvergate disclosed that it might be unable to continue as a going concern.

Silvergate began the process of self-liquidation on March 8. The disruption created by Silvergate’s self-liquidation made evident the potential for further knock-on effects arising from interconnections between the crypto-asset ecosystem and traditional finance. On March 9, Silicon Valley Bank (SVB) experienced a deposit run and was closed by the California Department of Financial Protection and Innovation the next day, March 10. Signature Bank also experienced a run and was closed by the NYDFS on March 12. The NYDFS noted in its report that in the case of Signature Bank, the percentage of crypto-asset customer withdrawals on March 10 was relatively proportional to the percentage of crypto-asset customers in the deposit base overall. The NYDFS also noted that the perceived public association between Signature and the crypto-asset ecosystem, as well as the timing of SVB’s failure and Silvergate’s voluntary liquidation, were factors in Signature’s failure.

Separately, the FDIC noted in its internal review that the root cause of Signature’s failure was poor management, including its failure to understand the risks associated with its reliance on crypto-asset industry deposits and its vulnerability to contagion from the crypto-asset industry turmoil that occurred in late 2022 and into 2023. According to the FDIC’s report, crypto-asset-related...
deposits represented 23.5 percent of total deposits at Signature Bank as of September 30, 2022. In addition to showing the effects the crypto-asset market could have on the traditional financial system, the March bank stress revealed how the interconnections between the crypto-asset and banking sectors, as well as concentration risk, can cause stress in the crypto-asset market. Hours after SVB closed on March 10, Circle Internet Financial LLC (Circle), the issuer of the stablecoin USDC, revealed that $3.3 billion (approximately 8 percent) of the reserves purportedly backing USDC were held at SVB. The news sparked a run on USDC, with $1.6 billion of USDC redemptions occurring on the same day as Circle’s announcement. Coinbase and Binance announced that the platforms would temporarily pause conversions between USDC and U.S. dollars as well. Over the weekend after SVB’s closure, USDC temporarily lost its 1-to-1 peg with the dollar, with the value of USDC falling as low as $0.89. By March 15, Circle reported that crypto-asset market participants redeemed some $3 billion of USDC. Circle’s problems triggered MakerDAO’s DAI stablecoin to de-peg over the weekend as well. DAI, a stablecoin backed by other crypto-assets, relied on USDC for approximately 52 percent of the collateral supporting its circulating stablecoins.

To help ensure the safety and soundness of the banking system as banks explore crypto-asset related activities, the Federal Reserve announced a novel-activities supervision program in August 2023. The program will be integrated into the Federal Reserve’s existing supervisory process to help address risks of novel activities related to crypto-assets, DLT, and complex technology-driven partnerships with nonbanks.

**Stablecoins**

The Council has previously noted that interconnections between the financial system and the crypto-asset trading markets that are created by stablecoins could serve as conduits for contagion to traditional financial institutions. A stablecoin’s impact on the financial system depends on the scale of the stablecoin. For example, if a stablecoin were to scale significantly, a run on the stablecoin could lead to fire sales of the traditional assets backing the stablecoin like bank deposits, MMFs, Treasury securities, and commercial paper (CP). The President’s Working Group on Financial Markets (PWG), FDIC, and OCC have also published an assessment of the risks related to stablecoins (2021 PWG Report). In the 2021 PWG Report, the PWG, FDIC, and OCC noted that the failure of stablecoins to maintain a stable value could expose stablecoin users to unexpected losses and lead to stablecoin runs that damage financial stability. The 2021 PWG Report also flagged that disruptions to the payment chain that allow stablecoins to be transferred among users could lead to a loss of payments efficiency and, depending on the extent to which stablecoins are used, undermine functioning in the broader economy. Like the Council’s Digital Asset Report, the 2021 PWG Report detailed systemic concerns related to the potential risk of stablecoin arrangements to rapidly scale.

In addition to these issues, some stablecoin issuers do not provide adequate or accurate information about their asset holdings and rights of redemption. A lack of information about these holdings and issuers’ reserve management practices may pose a challenge for accurate market analysis of the impact of a stablecoin issuer’s holdings, as well as a risk of fraud if the extent of the stablecoin’s reserves is misrepresented. The lack of information on reserves can contribute to outsize market reactions to news about an issuer, which can manifest in outsize volatility and potential losses. Regulatory requirements for reserves, capitalization, and reporting may mitigate some of these risks. Like the traditional payment system, stablecoins, if used as a payment instrument, may pose credit risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, risks arising from ineffective system governance, and settlement risk.

**Recent Developments**

**Tokenization**

Tokenization, the process of digitally representing an existing reference asset on a ledger, involves linking a digital token’s price to the value of its reference asset. Tokenization that occurs on blockchains, a type of DLT, aspires to introduce DLT into clearing and settlement processes, as well as payment systems. This year, some firms have offered tokenized products, including digital forms of securities. To date, the current uses of tokenized traditional assets are limited in their size and impact. The overall value of tokenized
Interconnections between the traditional financial sector and the crypto-asset ecosystem may include financial institutions providing crypto-asset custodial services, funding, and banking services (among other things) to crypto-asset companies.


Silvergate also had extensive connections to the crypto-asset ecosystem outside of its highly concentrated deposit base, which were publicly known prior to March 2023. The bank stated in its 2021 10K that it "offers a loan product collateralized by certain cryptocurrencies which currently include Bitcoin." In later SEC filings, the bank also noted loans tied to private equity/venture and its partners accounted for 41 percent, 43 percent, and 39 percent of total loans in 2022 first quarter, second quarter, and third quarter, respectively.


To the extent that a given stablecoin’s activity falls within the jurisdiction of the SEC or CFTC, that activity must be conducted in compliance with applicable provisions of the federal securities laws and/or the CEA. See 2021 PWG Report, p. 11. Stablecoin arrangements are also subject to the Bank Secrecy Act.

Ibid.


Ibid.


See Joint Statement on Crypto-Asset Risks to Banking Organizations: “[l]issuing or holding as principal crypto-assets that are issued, stored, or transferred on an open, public, and/or centralized network, or similar system is highly likely to be inconsistent with safe and sound banking practices.” See also Federal Reserve Order No. 2023-02: Custodia Bank, Inc. Cheyenne, Wyoming Order Denying Application for Membership, Federal Reserve System (Jan. 27, 2023). https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/orders20230324a1.pdf. p. 33–34: “The pseudo-anonymity of crypto-asset transactions may also lead to financial institutions unknowingly but directly engaging in what may result in illicit financial activity. Crypto-asset transactions on some blockchains, including Ethereum, rely on distributed networks of anonymous persons for validation. Validators perform this service in exchange for earning crypto-assets, which may take the form of an award for validation (for bitcoin "miners") or a tip from transactors as payment for the validation ("transaction processing fees"). These transaction processing fees on some blockchains, including Ethereum, go to unknown validators, which may include illicit actors or sanctioned entities. To the extent a financial institution pays such transaction processing fees, it is risking making payments that support illicit financial or terrorist activity or to a prohibited jurisdiction or entity.”
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Executive Summary

Introduction

On March 12, 2023, the New York State Department of Financial Services (NYSDFS) closed Signature Bank of New York (SBNY) and appointed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as receiver of the bank. SBNY was a full-service, commercial bank founded in 2001. As of December 31, 2022, SBNY had total deposits of $88.6 billion and total assets of $110.4 billion. SBNY was the 29th largest bank in the country, and its failure constituted the third largest bank failure in United States history. As of March 19, 2023, the FDIC estimated the cost of SBNY’s failure to the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) to be approximately $2.5 billion. The exact cost will be determined when the FDIC terminates the receivership.

The FDIC was the primary federal regulator of SBNY and, in late March, FDIC Chairman Martin J. Gruenberg commissioned the FDIC’s Chief Risk Officer to conduct an internal review of the agency’s supervision of SBNY and produce a report to the FDIC Board of Directors for release to the public by May 1, 2023.

Background

SBNY was a state nonmember commercial bank headquartered in New York, New York. SBNY began operations in 2001 as a de novo bank. SBNY’s main lines of business were commercial real estate (CRE) and commercial and industrial (C&I) lending, which were principally funded through uninsured deposits gathered from mid-sized commercial companies. Starting in 2018, SBNY began to expand its business model by launching other lending and deposit gathering initiatives, including a Fund Banking Division dedicated to providing financing and banking services to the private equity industry and a Digital Assets Banking Group to collect cash deposits and maintain operating accounts for various digital asset-related businesses. SBNY experienced tremendous deposit growth, primarily in large uninsured deposits, during 2020 and 2021, resulting in the bank’s size more than doubling. In 2022, as interest rates began to rise and deposits began to contract due to volatility in the digital assets market, the bank experienced significant digital asset-related deposit outflows.

Causes of Failure and Material Loss

The primary cause of SBNY’s failure was illiquidity precipitated by contagion effects in the wake of the announced self-liquidation of Silvergate Bank, La Jolla, California (Silvergate), on March 8, 2023, and the failure of Silicon Valley Bank, Santa Clara, California (SVB), on March 10, 2023, after both experienced deposit runs. However, the root cause of SBNY’s failure was poor management. SBNY’s board of directors and management pursued rapid, unrestrained growth without developing and maintaining adequate risk management practices and controls appropriate for the size, complexity and risk profile of the institution. SBNY management did not prioritize good corporate governance practices, did not always heed FDIC examiner concerns, and was not always responsive or timely in addressing FDIC supervisory recommendations (SRs). SBNY funded its rapid growth through an overreliance on uninsured deposits without implementing fundamental liquidity risk management practices and controls. Additionally, SBNY failed to understand the risk of its association with and reliance on crypto industry deposits or its vulnerability to contagion from crypto industry turmoil that occurred in late 2022 and into 2023. Although fallout from the liquidation of Silvergate and the failure
industry; a Digital Assets Banking Group to collect cash deposits and maintain operating accounts for various digital asset-related businesses; and Signet, a blockchain-based digital payment platform for SBNY customers.

SBNY experienced tremendous deposit growth, primarily in large uninsured deposits, during 2020 and 2021, resulting in the bank’s size more than doubling. During this time, SBNY’s digital assets deposits increased substantially. In 2022, as interest rates began to rise and deposits began to contract due to volatility in the digital assets market, the bank decided to reduce its digital asset-related deposits. SBNY experienced $17.6 billion in deposit outflow in 2022, mostly in the fourth quarter, with digital asset-related deposits representing 62 percent of the 2022 outflow.

**Causes of Failure and Material Loss**

The primary cause of SBNY’s failure was illiquidity precipitated by contagion effects in the wake of the announced self-liquidation of Silvergate and the failure of SVB, after both experienced deposit runs. However, the root cause of SBNY’s failure was poor management. SBNY board and management pursued rapid, unrestrained growth without adequate risk management practices; funded growth through an overreliance on uninsured deposits without implementing fundamental liquidity risk management practices; and failed to understand the risk of its association with the crypto industry. SBNY management was also not always responsive in addressing FDIC SRs.

**Rapid Growth without Commensurate Risk Management Practices**

SBNY board and management pursued a strategy of rapid growth, with total assets increasing by 175 percent from the end of 2017 ($43.1 billion) to the end of 2021 ($118.4 billion), before declining to $110.4 billion at the end of 2022. In April 2023, the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) reported that from 2019 through 2021, SBNY grew significantly faster than its group of peer banks. Specifically, SBNY grew by 134 percent while peer banks grew by 33 percent.\(^3\) GAO noted that rapid growth can be an indicator of risk in a bank’s business and that, in these cases, regulators are concerned with whether a bank’s risk management practices can maintain pace with rapid growth.

SBNY’s growth model was based on attracting experienced bankers and forming them into cohesive, Private Client Groups (PCGs). The PCG became the sole point of contact serving SBNY’s clients. FDIC ROEs indicated SBNY’s PCG model had proven to be successful over the years in expanding the bank’s client base. The 2021 ROE reported that SBNY had 125 PCGs.

SBNY’s rapid growth and shift in strategy resulted in a bank whose profile changed significantly over a short period of time. SBNY grew from $12 billion to $43 billion in assets from 2010 through 2017, with loans representing 77 percent of total assets, and it had a significant CRE concentration representing 593 percent of Tier 1 capital and the allowance for credit loss. In 2018, the bank began to alter its business model, in part to diversify away from its highly concentrated CRE loan portfolio, by launching other lending and deposit gathering initiatives.

---

\(^3\) *Bank Regulation, Preliminary Review of Agency Actions Related to March 2023 Bank Failures*, April 2023, GAO-23-106736. The peer group included 19 banking institutions with reported deposit balances and total assets between $100 and $250 million each at year-end 2022.
Overreliance on Uninsured Deposits and Liquidity Risk Management

SBNY’s primary source of growth was through uninsured deposits gathered from mid-sized companies. Uninsured deposits can be an unstable source of funding for banks because customers with uninsured deposits may be more likely to withdraw their funds during times of financial market stress. SBNY maintained substantial on-balance sheet liquidity through 2021 as deposits were growing, but experienced sharp deposit outflows in 2022 and made lending decisions that materially reduced the bank’s liquidity.

Overreliance on Uninsured Deposits

Uninsured deposits are considered higher risk as they are more prone to rapid runoff during reputational or financial stress than insured deposits. SBNY’s uninsured deposits ranged from 63 percent to 82 percent of total assets during our period of review. In an April 2023 report, GAO noted that the median uninsured deposits to total assets percentage for a group of SBNY’s peer banks ranged from 31 to 41 percent during a similar time period.4 SBNY’s overreliance on this funding source was the primary driver of the bank’s elevated liquidity risk profile. However, SBNY did not sufficiently establish policies and controls to address this key risk. Establishing a limit on the allowable level of uninsured deposits was not considered a viable solution, as management’s strategy was based on the generation of large commercial deposits. In fact, SBNY targeted potential clients for PCGs based on the clients’ ability and willingness to place large deposits with the bank.

Notwithstanding the inherent riskiness of the bank’s funding structure, management expressed its belief that the deposit base was largely stable based on its client-centric business model. Large depositors typically also maintained their operating account and/or lending relationship with the bank and it was therefore assumed their deposits were “sticky”—that is, unlikely to move. However, SBNY never fully developed liquidity stress testing deposit assumptions or a deposit runoff framework to substantiate this assumption. SBNY management should have gathered applicable industry and bank-specific uninsured deposits data that could have been used to model the potential degree of uninsured deposit volatility during adverse liquidity events.

As noted above, SBNY experienced expansive growth in 2020 and 2021. As companies in new industries like crypto formed relationships with SBNY and various depositors were fleeing to the safety of U.S. depository bank accounts, SBNY reaped the benefits and grew assets by 46 percent and 60 percent year-over-year in 2020 and 2021, respectively. SBNY experienced deposit growth of $22.9 billion in 2020, a 57 percent increase. Growth in deposits in 2020 in particular was likely due, in large part, to pandemic-related economic stimulus programs.

Rapid deposit growth continued in 2021, with deposit growth of $42.9 billion representing a 68 percent increase. Deposit growth was primarily driven by large uninsured deposits gathered by the Digital Assets Group, as well as traditional commercial deposit gathering by PCGs. The Digital Assets Group experienced the largest influx of deposits in 2021 with a growth of $19.7 billion or a 219 percent increase, bringing digital asset-related deposits to a total of $28.7 billion, as shown in Figure 2.

---

4 GAO-23-106736.
Similar to SVB, SBNY had also developed a concentration of very large depositors. Approximately 60 clients held deposit account balances in excess of $250 million, representing about 40 percent of total deposits. Digital asset-related deposits alone represented 27 percent of total deposits at year-end 2021. Four separate depositors, each comprised greater than 2 percent of total assets, and together held 14 percent of total assets. Three of these depositors were digital asset-related clients.

Despite the significant volume of uninsured deposits and the concentration of deposits in a few key accounts, SBNY management did not acknowledge the risks this profile presented. When the FDIC raised concerns about the deposit concentrations, SBNY management did not heed the FDIC’s concerns and responded that the close relationship that SBNY cultivated with these large depositor clients made them less likely to leave SBNY. When examiners presented a white paper about the risks of maintaining high levels of uninsured deposits as it related to the failures of Washington Mutual Bank and IndyMac Bank in 2008, SBNY management emphasized how different its bank’s profile was from those two banks as they were failing. Rather than conceding how they were similar, particularly in relation to the risks the high level of uninsured deposits could pose to their institution, SBNY management stressed the strength of their client relationships. There was little acknowledgement on the part of SBNY management about how risky and potentially volatile it was to have such a large concentration of uninsured deposits, without sufficient funds management contingency plans, in case of unanticipated financial market stress.
**Loss of Liquidity**
Throughout the time period in which SBNY was experiencing rapid growth and more volatility in deposits, management never developed appropriate and sufficient funds management policies or an adequate contingency funding plan. From the early days of the pandemic, when growth was accelerating, management relied more on its increasingly liquid asset position, with greater volumes of cash and liquid investments held on its balance sheet, than on a well-developed and thoroughly tested funding contingency plan. Thus, in late 2022, when its liquidity position deteriorated in large part due to stress in the crypto industry, SBNY was not prepared for the shock of an uninsured deposit run.

As noted above, during most of the pandemic, SBNY maintained more liquid assets to offset the influx of newer, large uninsured deposits. For example, SBNY’s liquid assets represented 44 percent of total assets as of year-end 2021, and SBNY had almost $30 billion in cash on hand. However, in 2022, the amount of deposits began to contract due to the combination of volatility in the digital assets marketplace and rising interest rates. As a result of these changes, the bank significantly reduced its cash position and became much more reliant on collateralized borrowings.

SBNY experienced deposit runoff from its larger deposits and started deploying more deposits to higher-earning, but less liquid, assets. Over the course of 2022, total deposits declined by $17.6 billion, cash and interest-bearing bank balances declined by nearly $24 billion, and loans and investments increased by almost $10 billion and $4 billion, respectively. SBNY’s on-balance sheet liquidity position declined materially. By the end of 2022, on-balance sheet liquidity consisted of $6.1 billion in cash and cash equivalents and approximately $24 billion in U.S. Treasury and Agency securities, representing 34 percent of total deposits, while uninsured deposits represented 90 percent of total deposits.

As mentioned earlier, during 2021 and 2022, SBNY increased lending in the form of capital call/subscription loans. These loans further reduced SBNY’s liquidity. Capital call loans provided short-term C&I funding on a revolving basis to bridge the time between when an investment is made by an obligor or fund and when capital contributions are received from investors to finance the investment. A Capital Call Facility typically includes a General Partner which oversees the investment strategy and Limited Partners. The Limited Partners provided substantially all of the capital and typically consisted of qualified institutional investors such as insurance companies, endowment funds, foundations, banks, retirement/pension funds, family investments, and qualified high net worth individuals.

SBNY intended to pledge these loans to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRB-NY) as collateral for Discount Window lending. However, FRB-NY would not accept the loans as collateral because they were not eligible as many of them had foreign limited partners. SBNY pursued efforts to pledge these loans for months, hiring two law firms to make the case for FRB-NY to accept the loans. During the weekend SBNY failed, management again tried, unsuccessfully, to pledge this portfolio to FRB-NY. SBNY also unsuccessfully tried to identify alternate entities that would accept the portfolio as collateral for a borrowing line. Even though SBNY management knew they did not have a formally-confirmed avenue to obtain liquidity from this portfolio, they continued to try to include these loans in collateral calculations just hours before the institution failed.
In February 2023, examiners questioned SBNY's regulatory reporting of pledged securities, which required SBNY to refile its year-end 2022 Call Report with a multi-billion upward adjustment to pledged securities. Prior to and during the weekend of SBNY's failure, examiners informed SBNY that pledged securities were still misstated on the bank’s liquidity monitoring reports, because management continued to under-report pledged securities and overstate on-balance sheet liquidity on information provided to examiners. This issue persisted until the day SBNY failed, when management finally produced an accurate report of unpledged securities.

**Reputation Risk and Contagion from Crypto Industry Turmoil**

SBNY’s board and management employed a strategy of rapid growth and expansion into the digital asset markets. The strategy exposed SBNY to greater susceptibility to liquidity, reputation, and regulatory risk due to the uncertainty and volatility of the digital asset space. The growth fueled by its pursuit of digital marketplace players exposed SBNY to bank runs and contagion, particularly in regards to crypto-related entities such as FTX, Alameda, and Silvergate. Pursuit of this strategy also increased the volatility and susceptibility of SBNY’s more traditional depositor sources to event shocks and depositor runs. Management was not sufficiently prepared to ameliorate the risks posed by its concentration of deposits and lending relationships in the digital assets marketplace and seemed unaware of the potential damage it could inflict on its more traditional depositor customers.

The Digital Assets Group was closely aligned with a new blockchain-based internal digital payment platform called Signet, which SBNY developed with blockchain developer Tassat in 2018 and officially launched in January 2019. Touted as the first to market for an FDIC-insured bank, Signet enabled SBNY clients to settle USD payments globally 24 hours a day/7 days a week/365 days a year within the bank. The platform operated in-house and allowed bank customers to send payments in real time to fellow SBNY customers. Because all parties to the transactions had to be customers of SBNY, Signet created an incentive for existing bank customers to recruit their existing business relationships to become new SBNY customers in order to use the Signet technology. Although the Signet platform was separate and distinct from the Digital Assets Group, the group shared the goals of furthering the adoption of blockchain technology within the bank.

SBNY’s significant client concentration of digital asset companies put it in a precarious position when the “crypto winter” hit in 2022. News articles scrutinized SBNY’s involvement in the industry. SBNY experienced depositor run-off from both crypto customers as well as traditional depositors. However, management did not acknowledge that its exposure to the crypto industry might entice other customers to pull or reduce their own deposits. Two cryptocurrencies collapsed in May 2022 (TerraUSD and Luna), and additional turbulence in the industry surfaced shortly thereafter. Most crypto companies and currencies had declined in value precipitously from their highs in November 2021, which led to digital asset company layoffs within the industry. Celsius Network halted withdrawals in June 2022, around the same time that Voyager Digital failed and filed for bankruptcy. Finally, in November 2022, both FTX and its related hedge fund, Alameda Research, failed.

Due to its reputation as a banker to many in the crypto industry, SBNY’s stock price closely tracked these tumultuous events in the crypto industry space and dropped significantly during 2022 as shown in Figure 3.
By the time of FTX’s failure, SBNY was well known as a bank that provided deposit services to crypto businesses. Bank executives had sought to cater to digital asset companies through a PCG dedicated to crypto companies and digital asset-related entities. Thus, there was increased scrutiny on SBNY with each successive failure and collapse in the crypto space. In an attempt to blunt the impact of these events on its stock price, SBNY issued a press release on November 15, 2022, to identify that it only had a deposit relationship with FTX and related companies, and that their deposits comprised a mere 0.1 percent of SBNY’s overall deposits.

SBNY management countered a Wall Street Journal article in a January 23, 2023, press release, trying to correct the perception that SBNY was a “crypto lender” or that it invested in, held, or was a custodian of crypto assets. SBNY disclosed plans to limit its digital assets industry deposits to less than 20 percent of total deposits and run off between $8 billion and $12 billion of digital deposits over the succeeding several months, to decrease its exposure to less than 15 percent of total deposits. The Digital Assets Group deposit concentration was approximately 23.5 percent as of September 30, 2022. SBNY’s attempt to reduce its exposure to the crypto space was market- and media-driven and was not prompted by FDIC supervisory activities.

In February 2023, SBNY was again subjected to media attention when a lawsuit was filed alleging it had facilitated FTX’s commingling of accounts. SBNY was sued in the putative class-action lawsuit, in which the plaintiffs claimed that the bank had “actual knowledge of and substantially facilitated that now-infamous FTX fraud.”

SBNY was also frequently associated with Silvergate in media reports, as these two banks were seen as most closely tied to the crypto industry. Following the March 1, 2023, announcement by Silvergate regarding the delay in filing its year-end 2022 financial statements and comments about its ability to continue as a going concern, SBNY once again experienced negative media attention, which raised
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(Case called)

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

Counsel, agents, pretrial services officer, would you please introduce yourselves.

MR. PODOLSKY: Good afternoon, your Honor. Matthew Podolsky and Andrew Thomas, for the government. And with us at counsel table is Special Agent Andreas Economou-Ellison, of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Podolsky, Mr. Thomas, and Special Agent Economou-Ellison. You may be seated.

MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon, your Honor.

MR. OVALLES: Marlon Ovalles, on behalf of pretrial services. Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Officer Ovalles. You may be seated.

MS. CANTWELL: Good afternoon, your Honor. Helen Cantwell and Adele Stichel, from Debevoise & Plimpton, on behalf of Mr. Tomita. Nice to see you.

THE COURT: Nice to see you.

Good afternoon, Ms. Cantwell; good afternoon, Ms. Stichel; and good afternoon, Mr. Tomita.

Is the gentleman in the back of the courtroom with either of the parties?

MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, your Honor. I can represent that he is also a special agent with the FBI.
violating Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations,
Section 240.10b-5, Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections
78j(b) and 78ff, and Section 2 of Title 18 of the United States
Code, by engaging in and aiding and abetting a scheme to
secretly amass market power in numerous securities traded on
United States securities exchanges, and to use that market
power and manipulative and abusive trading techniques for the
purpose of fraudulently altering the prices of those
securities, from at least in or about 2020 up to and including
at least in or about March of 2021?

THE DEFENDANT: That is correct, your Honor, I
understand it.

THE COURT: So you understand that that is the charge?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that that's the charge,
correct.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do you understand that Count Three charges you with
violating Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections
78i(a)(2) and 78ff, as well as Title 18, Section 2, by engaging
in and aiding and abetting a series of transactions in
securities and securities-based swaps underlying certain of
Archegos' positions in order to raise or depress the price of
and induce others to purchase those securities, from at least
in or about 2020 up to and including at least in or about March
of 2021?
THE DEFENDANT: I understand it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Count Four charges you with violating Title 15 of the United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, Title 17, CFR, Section 240.10b-5, and Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2, by engaging in and aiding and abetting a scheme to defraud Archegos' counterparties through false and misleading statements regarding aspects of Archegos' business, portfolio, and assets, from at least in or about 2020 up to and including at least in or about March of 2021?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand what it means, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that -- when you say you understand what it means, you understand what the charge written in the information means?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand what the charge is and agree to the charge.

That's the question, right?

THE COURT: Yes, the question is: Do you understand what you're charged with?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand what I'm charged with.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: The one we just read, I understand it.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you. So we have one more now.
Second, that the defendant acted willfully, knowingly, and with the intent to defraud;

And, third, that the defendant knowingly used or caused to be used any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

As to Count Three, market manipulation, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant effected a series of transactions in a security;

Second, that the series of transactions either created -- either (a) created actual or apparent active trading in the security, or (b) raised or depressed the price of the security;

Third, that the conduct involved, directly or indirectly, the use of the mails, any means of interstate commerce, or any facility of a national securities exchange;

And, fourth, that the defendant acted willfully and with the purpose of inducing the purchase or sale of a security by others.

As to Count Five, wire fraud, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud or to obtain money or property by materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;
persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible combined penalty for the five crimes to which you propose to plead guilty is 100 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of $15,500,000, or, if greater, the sums of the relevant gains, losses, and statutory amounts associated with your offenses, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus a total of $500 as the mandatory special assessment, plus supervised release for three years after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will now give you some information and verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of the potential penalty.

Supervised release means that you will be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison. Terms and conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set terms and conditions, you can be sent back to prison without a jury trial.

If you are on supervised release, and you do not comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be sent back to prison for up to two years. You will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for any time spent on postrelease
under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 3553(a)?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorneys or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if your sentence is different from what your attorneys or anyone else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your guilty plea, and you will not be allowed to withdraw your guilty plea?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be imposed will be determined solely by the Court, and that I can only determine the sentence to be imposed after the probation office prepares a presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has discretion, while taking into account the specific provisions and policy statements in the guidelines, to sentence you to any period of imprisonment between time served, at the low end of the range, and the 100-year combined statutory maximums, at the high end?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand this, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal
not be permitted to plead guilty?

    MS. CANTWELL:  No, your Honor.

    THE COURT:  Mr. Tomita, would you and your attorneys please stand, and would you tell me what makes you guilty of each of the crimes to which you are pleading guilty today.

    MS. CANTWELL:  And, your Honor, just to be clear, he is going to read from a prepared statement that we worked on together.

    THE COURT:  Very good. And I may have some questions for him following the reading of the statement.

    MS. CANTWELL:  Okay.

    THE DEFENDANT:  Okay.

    From March 2020 through March 2021, I was head trader at Archegos Capital Management. During this time, I and others executed trades that allowed the fund to amass market power and certain securities traded on U.S. exchanges. Archegos used security-based swaps to gain exposure to these securities while concealing the true size of the fund's positions from the market and our trading counterparties.

    Once Archegos gained market power in these securities, I and others used this power to trade in such a way as to artificially manipulate the prices of the securities. Acting at the direction of the head of the fund, I traded to increase the prices of names in which Archegos held long positions and reduced the prices of securities in which
the fund helped short positions. I did this by, for example, buying large amounts of a stock when the price dropped in response to negative news or trading premarket when I knew the fund's activity would have a greater impact on price.

I manipulated the prices of these securities in order to influence others in the market to buy or sell the securities in ways that would benefit Archegos' key positions and increase Archegos' purchasing power through variation margin.

In addition to manipulating the prices of certain securities, I also made misrepresentations to Archegos' trading counterparties. These counterparties were banks and brokers who extended the fund credit to trade on margin and entered into swap agreements with the fund.

I knew that the fund's counterparties considered Archegos' portfolio and assets when setting margin rates and limits on swap capacity. In order to maintain favorable margin rates and gain additional swap capacity, I made false and misleading statements and omissions regarding the size and the composition of the fund's portfolio. I knew that doing so would mislead counterparties as to the true risks presented by the fund. I made these false and misleading statements and omissions during phone calls and email exchanges with representatives from the banks.

While engaged in the activities I described, I worked under the supervision of Sung Kook Hwang, also known as Bill
The Court: Thank you.

Mr. Tomita and your counsel, you can be seated for a moment, and I am going to ask Mr. Podolsky to summarize the government's evidence against Mr. Tomita.

Mr. Podolsky: Thank you, your Honor.

If we were to proceed to trial in this case, the evidence offered by the government would include: Testimony by law enforcement officials and percipient witnesses, extensive email Bloomberg message and text message records, notes and recordings of telephone calls, and corporate bank and other financial and trading records.

The Court: And it is the government's position that that body of evidence would be sufficient to establish guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

Mr. Podolsky: Very much, your Honor, yes.

The Court: Thank you.

Mr. Tomita, would you please stand again.

How do you now plead to the charge in Count One of the information, guilty or not guilty?

The Defendant: Guilty, your Honor.

The Court: How do you plead to the charge in Count Two of the information?

The Defendant: Guilty, your Honor.

The Court: How do you plead to the charge in Count Three of the information?
THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. I'll keep up with my vaccinations, as I have been.

THE COURT: Thank you. Glad to hear it.

I didn't just single you out for that. I encourage everybody.

Is there anything else that we need to take up together this afternoon?

MR. PODOLSKY: No, your Honor. Thank you.

MS. CANTWELL: No, your Honor. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Thank you, all. Stay safe and be well. We're adjourned.

* * *
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LAW CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MS. SASSOON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Danielle Sassoon and Nick Roos for the United States. And with us at counsel's table is Lea Harmon from pretrial services.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to all of you.

MR. SAHNI: Good afternoon, your Honor. Anjan Sahni, Peter Neiman, Stephanie Avakian and Nick Werle from WilmerHale on behalf of Ms. Caroline Ellison.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to all of you.

I do want to note for the record that Mr. Sahni and I worked together at the US attorney's office many years ago.

You can be seated.

As I said in my endorsement earlier today, I do not believe that Ms. Ellison has met the high standard for closing the courtroom. I intend to file her letter requesting as much together with my endorsement once the other filings in this matter have been unsealed. And I'll address the related sealing issues at the end of this proceeding.

So, Ms. Ellison, I understand that you wish to plead guilty to Counts One through Seven of the superseding information; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So before deciding whether to accept you
plea, I'm going to ask you certain questions so that I can be
sure you understand your rights and that you are pleading
 guilty voluntarily and because you are guilty and not for any
other reason. It's important that you answer my questions
honestly and completely, but if at any time you have questions
about anything, feel free to ask me or feel free to consult
with your counsel; okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: Could you please place Ms. Ellison under
oath.

(Defendant sworn)

THE COURT: So you are now under oath. You should
know if you answer any of my questions falsely, you could be
charged with a separate crime of perjury.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So I'm going to start by asking you
questions to ensure that you are competent to plead guilty.

These are questions I ask of everyone in your situation.

How old are you?

THE DEFENDANT: 28.

THE COURT: How far did you go in school?

THE DEFENDANT: I got a bachelor's degree.
THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized for mental illness, alcoholism or drug addiction?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any drugs, medicine or pills or drunk any alcoholic beverages?

THE DEFENDANT: I had one beer at about 8:00 p.m. last night. That's it.

THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand what's happening in these proceedings?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Does either counsel have any doubt as to Ms. Ellison's competence to plead guilty at this time?

MS. SASSOON: No doubt, your Honor.

MR. SAHNI: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: On the basis of Ms. Ellison's responses to my questions and my observations of her demeanor here in court...
THE COURT: If you plead guilty, I will sentence you at the appropriate time based on your admissions, after considering whatever submissions I get from you and from your lawyers and from the government, as well as a presentence report prepared by the probation department. But there will be no appeal with respect to whether the government could use the evidence it has against you or with respect to whether you did or did not commit the crime.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, you also have to understand that you are giving up your right not to incriminate yourself since I'm going to ask you certain questions here in court today in order to satisfy myself that you are in fact guilty as charged.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So I understand that you intend to plead guilty to Counts One through Seven of the superseding information, and that includes conspiracy to commit wire fraud on customers, wire fraud on customers, conspiracy to commit wire fraud on lenders, wire fraud on lenders, conspiracy to commit commodities fraud, conspiracy to commit securities fraud and conspiracy to commit money laundering.

Would the government please state the elements of the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
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Count Five charges conspiracy to commit commodities fraud in violation of 18 USC §371. Conspiracy under 371 has three elements:

First, that two or more persons entered the unlawful agreement charged in the specific count of the indictment;

Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of that conspiracy; and

Third, that one of the members of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Count Five charges the defendant with conspiracy to commit commodities fraud in violation of Title 7 United States Code §91 and 13(a)(5) and Title 17 Code of Federal Regulations §180.1. And there are three elements to this crime:

First, in connection with any swap or contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce or contract for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity;

Second, the defendant or one of her coconspirators did any one of the following: A, employed or attempted to use or employ a manipulative device, scheme or artifice to defraud; B, made or attempted to make an untrue or misleading statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made not untrue or misleading; or C, engaged or attempted to engage in an act, practice or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or
Second, that the monetary transaction involved criminally derived property of a value greater than $10,000;

Third, that the property was derived from specified unlawful activity;

Fourth, that the defendant acted knowing that the transaction involved proceeds of a criminal offense; and

Fifth, that the transaction took place in the United States.

If this case proceeded to trial, the government would also have to prove venue in the Southern District of New York by a preponderance of the evidence.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Ellison, I know that was a lot of legalese, but the real question is: Do you understand if you were to go to trial, the government would need to prove all of the elements of those crimes to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt, as well as venue at a lower standard, by a preponderance of the evidence?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So now let’s discuss the maximum penalties. The maximum means the most that could possibly be imposed. It doesn't necessarily mean it is the sentence you will receive. But you have to understand that by pleading guilty, are you exposing yourself to the possibility of receiving any combination of punishments up to the maximums I'm
about to describe.

So the maximum sentences for Counts One, Two, Three and Four are all the same, so I’m going to read them together, okay, at once. **So with respect to your liberty, the maximum term of imprisonment for each of the four counts, One through Four, is 20 years in prison.**

Do you understand that?

**THE DEFENDANT:** Yup.

**THE COURT:** Any term of imprisonment that you do receive may be followed by a term of supervised release of three years on each count.

Do you understand that?

**THE DEFENDANT:** Yup.

**THE COURT:** Supervised release means that, if you are sentenced to prison, after you are released from prison, you will be subject to the supervision of the probation department, you will be required to obey certain rules, and if you violate those rules, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial to serve additional time even beyond your original sentence.

Do you understand that?

**THE DEFENDANT:** Yup.

**THE COURT:** You should also understand that there is no parole in the federal system. So if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released early on parole. Although, there is a limited opportunity to earn credit for good
about them together.

With respect to your liberty on Counts Five and Six, the maximum term of imprisonment for each count is five years.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Any term of imprisonment may be followed by a term of supervised release of three years on each count. And in addition, the punishment, again, includes certain financial penalties. The maximum allowable penalty is, again, $250,000 for each of Counts Five and Six or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than yourself resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Again, I'm required to impose a mandatory special assessment of $100 on each count. And I must order restitution to any persons or entities injured as a result of your criminal conduct, and I can order you to forfeit all property derived from the offense or used to facilitate the offense.

And then lastly, on Count Seven, that has a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years and a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum allowable fine of $500,000 or twice the value of the property involved in the
transaction, whichever is greater.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm also required to impose the mandatory special assessment of $100, as I mentioned earlier, on each of these counts. And I must, again, order restitution to any persons or entities injured as a result of your criminal conduct, and I can order you to forfeit all property derived from the offense or used to facilitate the offense.

Do you understand that these are the maximum penalties for each of the counts, Counts One through Seven?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the total maximum sentence of incarceration on Counts One through Seven of the superseding information is 110 years in prison?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Is Ms. Ellison now serving a state or federal sentence or otherwise being prosecuted or investigated elsewhere, as far as you know?

MS. SASSOON: No, your Honor, not criminally investigated.

THE COURT: Understood. Thank you.

So you should be aware that the punishments that I have just described are those that may be part of a sentence. Being convicted of a felony may have other consequences.
was later appointed by Mr. Bankman-Fried as the co-CEO and eventually CEO of Alameda Research Ltd., the subsidiary that housed the firm's main trading and market making operations. In those roles, I reported to Mr. Bankman-Fried.

From 2019 through 2022, I was aware that Alameda was provided access to a borrowing facility on FTX.com, the cryptocurrency exchange run by Mr. Bankman-Fried. I understood that FTX executives had implemented special settings on Alameda's FTX.com account that permitted Alameda to maintain negative balances in various fiat currencies and crypto currencies. In practical terms, this arrangement permitted Alameda access to an unlimited line of credit without being required to post collateral, without having to pay interest on negative balances and without being subject to margin calls or FTX.com's liquidation protocols. I understood that if Alameda's FTX accounts had significant negative balances in any particular currency, it meant that Alameda was borrowing funds that FTX's customers had deposited onto the exchange.

While I was co-CEO and then CEO, I understood that Alameda had made numerous large illiquid venture investments and had lent money to Mr. Bankman-Fried and other FTX executives. I also understood that Alameda had financed these investments with short-term and open-term loans worth several billion dollars from external lenders in the cryptocurrency industry. When many of those loans were recalled by Alameda's
lenders in and around June 2022, I agreed with others to borrow several billion dollars from FTX to repay those loans. I understood that FTX would need to use customer funds to finance its loans to Alameda. I also understood that many FTX customers invested in crypto derivatives and that most FTX customers did not expect that FTX would lend out their digital asset holdings and fiat currency deposits to Alameda in this fashion.

From in and around July 2022 through at least October 2022, I agreed with Mr. Bankman-Fried and others to provide materially misleading financial statements to Alameda's lenders. In furtherance of this agreement, for example, we prepared certain quarterly balance sheets that concealed the extent of Alameda's borrowing and the billions of dollars in loans that Alameda had made to FTX executives and to related parties. I also understood that FTX had not disclosed to FTX's equity investors that Alameda could borrow a potentially unlimited amount from FTX, thereby putting customer assets at risk. I agreed with Mr. Bankman-Fried and others not to publicly disclose the true nature of the relationship between Alameda and FTX, including Alameda's credit arrangement.

I also understood that Mr. Bankman-Fried and others funded certain investments in amounts more than $10,000 with customer funds that FTX had lent to Alameda. The investments were done in the name of Alameda instead of FTX in order to
In terms of wires, the proof at trial would include evidence of wires transmitted in furtherance of the charges, including emails, transmission of funds and Tweets.

THE COURT: And what would the government's evidence beyond that be if you were to go to trial against Ms. Ellison?

MS. SASSOON: With respect to wires?

THE COURT: With respect to all of the seven counts.

MS. SASSOON: I see, your Honor.

The evidence against Ms. Ellison would include witness testimony, as well as documentary and physical evidence, to include signal communications, emails, documents transmitted to investors and lenders, documents collected from FTX, including evidence from FTX's software database and its code.

THE COURT: Are there any additional questions you would like me to ask Ms. Ellison?

MS. SASSOON: No. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do both parties agree that there's a sufficient factual predicate for the guilty plea?

MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor.

MR. SAHNI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Ellison, do you also admit to the forfeiture allegation in the superseding information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Ellison, because you acknowledge that you are in fact guilty as charged in Counts One through Seven...
continue the ongoing investigation and, in addition, may affect
Mr. Bankman-Fried's decision to waive extradition in this case.

Although there is a qualified right of public access
to court documents, the Second Circuit has recognized that
documents may be filed under seal to protect, among other
things, further ongoing law enforcement efforts. And the
Second Circuit has specifically recognized that the docketing
and the applications to seal those materials could themselves
be prejudicial and that, in such cases, the applications
themselves and related notes to the docket could be sealed.

And I'm just going to cite *Alacantara* for that.

So the transcript of this proceeding shall thus remain
sealed and docketing delayed until -- and you don't want it
based on tomorrow, just until Mr. Bankman-Fried is presented
here in this district; is that correct?

MS. SASSOON: That's correct.

And at this point, I think it's unlikely that it will
be by noon tomorrow.

THE COURT: Understood, for the reasons I just noted.

Are there any further applications on either side?

MS. SASSOON: Not from the government. Thank you,
your Honor.

MR. SAHNI: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: We're adjourned. Thank you.

(Adjourned)
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(Case called)

MR. ROOS: Good morning, your Honor.

Nick Roos, Danielle Sassoon, and Evelyn Alvayero, from pretrial services.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

MR. GRAFF: Good morning, your Honor. Ilan Graff for Mr. Wang, who is standing to my right.

I am joined by my colleague Alex Miller.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you. You can be seated. So are we all ready to get started?

MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wang, I understand that you wish to plead guilty to Counts One through Four of the information. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Before deciding whether to accept your plea, I am going to ask you certain questions so that I can be sure that you understand your rights and that you are pleading guilty voluntarily and because you are guilty and not for some other reason. So it is important that you answer my questions honestly and completely.

If at any time you are having trouble understanding anything or you want to talk to your lawyer, just let me know.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Fields, could you please place
Third, that one of the members of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

So for Count Three the object of the conspiracy is a conspiracy to commit commodities fraud, in violation of Title 7, United States Code, Section 9(1)(13)(a)(5) and Title 17 Code of Federal Regulations 180.1.

There are three elements to this crime:

First, in connection with any swap or contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce or contracts for future delivery on or subject the rules of any registered entity;

Second, the defendant or any of his coconspirators did any one or more of the following:

(a) employed, attempted, to use or employ a manipulative device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;

(b) made or attempted to make an untrue or misleading statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make statements not untrue or misleading; or

(c) engaged or attempted to engage in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a person; and

Third, that defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud.

Finally, for Count Four, the object of the 371
to court documents, the Second Circuit has recognized that
documents may be filed under seal to protect, among other
things, ongoing law enforcement efforts. See United States v.
Cojab and Haller.

The Second Circuit has also recognized that even
docketing the applications to seal can be prejudicial, and in
such cases the applications themselves and related notes to the
docket could be sealed. See United States v. Alcantara.

I am going to ask you to reach out to my chambers as
soon as these documents can be unsealed by way of letter, and
we will do so promptly.

Are there any other applications at this time?

MR. ROOS: Not from the government, your Honor.

MR. GRAFF: Nor from the defense. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. We are adjourned.

(Adjourned)
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THE COURT:  Good morning. I understand that your client wishes to waive indictment and enter a plea; is that right?

MR. GOLDSFORTH: That's correct, Judge Kaplan.

THE COURT: Okay. Andy, please swear the defendant.

(Defendant sworn)

THE COURT: Mr. Singh, I understand you want to enter a plea of guilty; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Before I accept your plea, I'm going to ask you some questions to establish to my satisfaction that you are pleading guilty because you are guilty and not for some other reason. If you don't understand anything I ask or you have a desire, at any point, to talk to your attorney, just let me know, and we will take care of whatever the problem is. All right?

THE DEFENDANT: Understood.

THE COURT: OK. I take it you were born in the United States, and that English is your first language; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Do you understand that you are now under oath, and that if you answer any of my questions falsely, your answers later could be used against you in a further prosecution for perjury or making a false statement?
knowingly committed at least one overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy.

The object of the conspiracy charged in Count Three, as your Honor noted, is commodities fraud. In violation of Title 7, United States Code, Sections 9, 1, and 13(a)(5) and Title 17 CFR section 180.1.

There are three elements to this crime:

First, in connection with any swap or contract of sale of any commodity or interstate commerce or contract for future delivery to on or subject the rules of any registered entity.

And, second, the defendant or any of his coconspirators did anyone or more of the following:

A, employed or attempted to use or employ a manipulative, device, scheme or artifice to defraud.

B, made or attempted to make an untrue or misleading statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made not untrue or misleading;

Or C, engaged or attempted to engage in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person;

And, third, that the defendant acted knowingly, willfully and with the intent to defraud.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Do you understand, Mr. Singh, the elements of the
comply with them, yes.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you understand if you don't show up as required for sentencing, you could be prosecuted for escape?

THE DEFENDANT: I missed a word from that, your Honor.

Sorry. If I don't show up at what for sentencing?

THE COURT: If you don't show up, as directed, for sentencing, you could be prosecuted for escape and subject to another prison term?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Anything else this morning?

MS. SASSOON: May I have one moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Please.

MS. SASSOON: Nothing from the government. Thank you, your Honor.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Your Honor, we understand that Mr. Singh will sign the bond and be released today.

THE COURT: Say again, please?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: We understand that Mr. Singh will be able to sign the bond and be released on those conditions today.

THE COURT: OK.

MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Fine. OK. I thank you all. And we'll sort out all the paper here in due course. * * *
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trading or the size of its positions, as opposed to other confounding factors, the prosecution
cannot invite the jury to infer that such a relationship exists. See Ferguson, 676 F.3d at 274-75
(reversing conviction where stock price drop chart admitted without adequate expert foundation); Martoma, 993 F. Supp. 2d at 458 (event studies are necessary to analyze whether “events can be
linked in a statistically significant way to variations in stock price”).

Here, Taveras has failed to undertake any quantitative or statistical analysis to determine
the cause for stock prices increases, and whether those increases were due to Archegos or other
market forces. Taveras Discl. ¶ 4.D. This is improper under Ferguson, and it is incredibly
misleading and prejudicial. Myriad market forces affected stock prices in 2020 and 2021. For
example, the COVID pandemic led to increased stock prices in companies that benefited from
the stay at-home-environment, such as streaming companies, and the GameStop movement
caused extreme volatility in the stock prices of certain companies, including some of the at-issue
securities. Taveras’s testimony takes none of that into account, and as a result, creates a real
danger of misleading the jury.

Much of her other proposed testimony suffers the same flaw. For example, the proposed
testimony on Archegos’s use and “pattern” of limit prices, and the purported impact on market
prices, is wholly conclusory and lacks any disclosed analysis. Id. ¶¶ 6, 7. Likewise, her vague
opinion that certain limit and market price activity was “highly unusual” (id. ¶ 6) is unsupported
by literature of any kind, let alone a statistical or quantitative analysis that would give the term
“unusual” any meaning. She also fails to provide a baseline for comparison. See id. Even more
egregious, Taveras’s proposed testimony showing that the stock prices of Archegos Top Long
Positions did not recover after Archegos’s collapse (see id. ¶ 9) is precisely the type of baseless
and misleading expert testimony Ferguson precludes. 676 F.3d at 274-75. Indeed, the
of market volume.” Mason Discl. ¶ 5. According to his disclosure, this analysis is based on Mason’s “personal and professional experience,” as well as Archegos trading records and “tail unwind analyses,” and public trading records. *Id.*

Such vague, high-level summaries are insufficient. Far from disclosing the “bases and reasons” for Mason’s opinion, the government merely presents “the *ipse dixit* of the expert.” *Gen. Elec. Co. v. Joiner*, 522 U.S. 136, 146 (1997). Mason’s disclosure provides no insight into the nature of his analysis, making it impossible for the defense to evaluate it in advance of trial. Instead, he leaves the defense to guess how he might analyze 13 months of trading records across 12 securities. More is required. *See Riegel*, 451 F.3d at 127.

**CONCLUSION**

For the above-stated reasons, Mr. Hwang respectfully requests that the Court grant his motion to exclude the prosecution’s proposed expert witnesses Robert Battalio, Amit Seru, Carmen Taveras, and Joseph Mason.
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Respectfully submitted,
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Credit Suisse First Boston (Europe) Limited (“CSFB”) and Tiger Asia Fund, L.P. (the “Counterparty”) have entered into a 1992 ISDA Master Agreement, with related schedules (the “Master Agreement”). This Annex (the “Standard Terms”) supplements and forms part of the Master Agreement and is intended to govern the parties’ relationship when entering into Swap Agreements on Securities (“Securities Swaps”). Each Contract shall be deemed a “Transaction” for the purposes of the Master Agreement.

In order to enter into a Securities Swap, the Counterparty must notify (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties) CSFB of its request for an offer, specifying the name of the relevant Security and the proposed quantity, and whether the Counterparty wishes to act as Synthetic Buyer or Synthetic Seller. If CSFB agrees to provide such offer, it must then notify (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties) the Counterparty of the proposed Opening Price. Should the Counterparty wish to accept this offer, it must immediately notify CSFB (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties) of its acceptance. This acceptance gives rise to a binding Contract between the parties. An offer by CSFB that is not immediately accepted shall be deemed to lapse unless CSFB specifically states that it shall remain open.

A Confirmation will be prepared and posted by CSFB on its client access website within one Business Day of the Transaction being entered into between the parties. The Counterparty shall be deemed to have accepted the terms of the Confirmation if it does not dispute its terms within 24 hours (one Business Day) of such posting. Failure to dispute the terms within one Business Day shall constitute the Counterparty’s full acceptance of the Contract upon the terms, and subject to the conditions, as set out in the Confirmation and within these Standard Terms. In the event of any inconsistency between the provisions of the Standard Terms and any Confirmation, the Confirmation shall prevail. In the event of any inconsistency between the provisions of the Standard Terms and the Master Agreement, the Standard Terms shall prevail for the purposes of the relevant Transaction.
1. DEFINITIONS

1.1. Additional Payments

is defined in Section 5.1.

Appendix

is the document substantially in the form attached hereto. The Appendix referred to in the Standard Terms is distinct from all other schedules incorporated into the Master Agreement.

Applicable Cycle Date

is as defined in the Appendix.

Applicable Interest Rate

is the rate for the Contract Currency as defined in the Appendix for the Applicable Interest Rate Cycle on the Applicable Cycle Date.

Applicable Interest Rate Cycle

is as defined in the Appendix or as otherwise specified in the Confirmation.

Bond

is a bond or other debt instrument.

Bond Pricing Factor

is as set forth in the Confirmation.

Breakage Amount

is as defined in Section 9.4.

Business Day

is, for each Contract, a day on which the Security is traded on the relevant Exchange or, for non-Exchange traded Securities, each day quotations are available to CSFB.

CEA

means the U.S. Commodity Exchange Act, as amended.

Close of Business

is the time of the official close of trading (without regard to any "after hours" trading) on the Exchange on which the Security is traded as specified in the Confirmation or if the Security is not traded on an Exchange as reasonably determined by CSFB.

Closing Date

is the earliest of:

(i) the Original Closing Date;

(ii) the date determined in accordance with Section 7, Section 8 or Section 11; and

(iii) the Business Day on which the Counterparty accepts the Closing Price quoted by CSFB and gives notice that it wishes to
(ii) a distribution or dividend to existing holders of a Security of additional shares of the Security, other share capital or securities granting the right to payment of dividends and/or the proceeds of liquidation of the issuer equally or proportionately with such payments to holders of the Security or other types of securities, rights or warrants or other assets, in any case for payment (cash or other) at less than the prevailing market price as determined by CSFB;

(iii) a call by the issuer in respect of shares of a Security that are not fully paid;

(iv) a repurchase by the issuer of a Security, whether out of profits or capital and whether the consideration for such repurchase is cash, securities or otherwise; or

(v) any other similar event that may have a diluting or concentrative effect on the value of a Security.

Reference Price

is in relation to the valuation of any Security on any date:

(i) shall be either the closing auction price or the last regular way trade on the Exchange for that Security as of the Close of Business (without regard to any "after hours" trading) on the date of such valuation;

(ii) if the Security is a bond, or if for any reason such quotation is unavailable, or if CSFB has reasonably concluded that such last regular way trade price is not a fair reflection of market value, the price shall be reasonably determined by CSFB as at Close of Business on the date of such valuation taking into account, in the case of Bonds, any accrued interest; or

(iii) if specified as a non-exchange traded Security then as reasonably determined by CSFB.

(iv) if for any reason no such quotation is available (or if CSFB reasonably concludes that the last regular way trade price is not a fair reflection of the market value), the price as
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Related Exchange</td>
<td>is the principal exchange(s) on which futures or options related to the Security are traded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Closing Date</td>
<td>is the date (if any) identified as such in the Confirmation in relation to a Contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>is the security specified in the Confirmation. In the case of any Security that is a Bond, each Security will represent a nominal amount of such Security equal to the Bond Pricing Factor (of such Security specified in the Confirmation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Balance</td>
<td>shall be an amount determined in accordance with Section 3.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Cycle Date</td>
<td>is the settlement period mandated by the relevant Exchange, and specified in the Appendix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Payment</td>
<td>is a payment required to be made pursuant to Section 3.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Payment Date</td>
<td>is each date specified in the Appendix and the Termination Date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spread</td>
<td>is the percentage specified in the Confirmation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swap Fee Amount</td>
<td>is the amount represented in basis points, as agreed between the parties as, specified in the Confirmation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swap Fee</td>
<td>is as defined in Section 3.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic Buyer</td>
<td>is the party specified as such in the Confirmation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic Seller</td>
<td>is the party specified as such in the Confirmation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination Date</td>
<td>is the number of Business Days following the Closing Date as specified in the Appendix for the relevant Exchange or as otherwise agreed between parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Date</td>
<td>is, for each Contract, the date specified as such in the Confirmation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Valuation Date
is, for each Contract, each successive Business Day from but excluding the Trade Date up to and including the Closing Date.

2. CONTRACT TERMS

2.1. The purpose of each Contract is to allow the Counterparty synthetically to gain exposure to fluctuations in the price of the relevant Security. Accordingly, CSFB and the Counterparty agree that it is an express term of the Contract that:

(i) neither party acquires any interest in or right to acquire or dispose of any Security or any right to vote or give any consent with respect to any Security by virtue of any Contract; and

(ii) neither party is obliged to sell, purchase, hold, deliver or receive any Security by virtue of any Contract.

3. SECURITY AND CALCULATION OF INTEREST

3.1. For each Contract, the Opening Security Balance adjusted as provided in 3.3 is referred to as the Security Balance. On the Trade Date the Opening Security Balance shall be zero. For the avoidance of doubt a Security Balance may be positive or negative.

3.2. On each Valuation Date for a Contract, CSFB shall determine the Reference Price.

3.3. On each Security Payment Date (up to but excluding any Closing Date) for a Contract, a Security Payment shall be made to take into account any change in the Reference Price of the Security. Security Payments shall adjust the Security Balance. The Security Payment shall be an amount equal to $Q \times (P_2 - P_1)$, where:

$Q =$ the Contract Quantity;

$P_1 =$ the Reference Price on the immediately prior Security Cycle Date or in respect of the first Security Payment Date, the Opening Price; and

$P_2 =$ the Reference Price on the most recent Security Cycle Date.

If $P_2$ is greater than $P_1$, then the Synthetic Seller shall make the Security Payment to the Synthetic Buyer and the Security Balance shall be increased by an equal amount; or

If $P_2$ is less than $P_1$, then the Synthetic Buyer shall make the Security Payment to the Synthetic Seller and the Security Balance shall be reduced by an equal amount and may be reduced below zero.

3.4. On each Interest Payment Date for a Contract, the Synthetic Buyer shall pay to the Synthetic Seller an amount equal to the Interest Payment. The Interest Payment shall be the aggregate amount of Interest accrued daily from, and including, the immediately preceding Interest Payment Date (or the Effective Date in the case of the first payment made under this Section 3.4) to, but excluding, the next Interest Payment Date (or Closing Date as the case may be).
Each day's Interest shall be calculated as \( ((Q \times P_0) + N) \times (R + C) \times (1/F) \), where:

- \( Q \) = the Contract Quantity;
- \( P_0 \) = the Opening Price;
- \( N \) = the Security Balance on the previous Interest Payment Date;
- \( R \) = the Applicable Interest Rate on such day;
- \( C \) = the Spread, which may be positive or negative, and may depend on whether Counterparty is Synthetic Buyer or Synthetic Seller, as set out in the Appendix; and
- \( F \) = the Day Count Fraction.

3.5. On the first Security Payment Date only, the Counterparty shall pay an amount equal to the Swap Fee to CSFB. The Swap Fee is calculated as \( Q \times P_0 \times Z \), where:

- \( Q \) = the Contract Quantity;
- \( P_0 \) = the Opening Price; and
- \( Z \) = Swap Fee Amount

4. PAYMENTS NETTING

4.1. If, on any Payment Date the same amounts would otherwise be payable by each party to the other (under any provision of a Security Swap with respect to any Contract), then on such date, each party's obligation to make such payment shall be netted against each other, and automatically satisfied and discharged. If the aggregate amount otherwise payable by one party exceeds the aggregate amount payable by the other, then the party with the larger aggregate amount shall be obligated to pay the difference on the Payment Date.

5. ADDITIONAL PAYMENTS AND ADJUSTMENTS

5.1. Additional payments shall be made by the Synthetic Seller if, during the period from but not including the Trade Date to and including the Termination Date, any of the following circumstances shall occur (each being an "Additional Payment"):

(i) If the issuer of a Security pays a dividend or makes another distribution in respect of such Security, the payment to be made by the Synthetic Seller shall be equal to the gross amount of the cash dividend or distribution per share (excluding for the avoidance of doubt any tax credits) as the case may be, multiplied by the Dividend Percentage, multiplied by the Contract Quantity, and in respect of a Security which is a Bond, an amount equal to the coupon amount payable to persons who would be holders of record of the Security multiplied by the Contract Quantity.
(ii) If the issuer of a Security shall, by way of preferential rights, offer, grant or issue to the holders of such Security generally such additional shares of the Security or any other securities, which by their terms of issue are convertible into or exchangeable for or carry rights to subscribe for or otherwise acquire such additional shares of the Security or any options, warrants or rights to subscribe for or otherwise acquire such additional shares of the Security or any such convertible or exchangeable securities, the payment to be made by the Synthetic Seller shall be equal to the aggregate value of the rights as determined by CSFB in its reasonable discretion on the Business Day on which the rights are first traded.

(iii) If the issuer of a Security shall distribute to holders of such Security generally any of its assets (including cash or portfolio securities) out of its reserves (but excluding cash dividends payable out of distributable reserves), the payment to be made by the Synthetic Seller shall be equal to the value of the cash or securities obtained by way of distribution as determined by CSFB in its reasonable discretion on the Business Day on which the Security is marked ex the distribution.

5.2 If CSFB reasonably determines that there has been, within the term of a Contract or the 12 months following the date of a distribution, a change in any applicable law or regulation (or a change in the interpretation or application by any court, governmental or other authority of such law or regulation) which would have had the effect of reducing or increasing the amount of the ordinary cash dividend per Security actually due to the holder of the Security in the Counterparty's jurisdiction, CSFB may adjust the Dividend Percentage with immediate effect by notice in writing to the Counterparty. If any such change is to take effect prior to the date upon which CSFB gives such notice, CSFB may make such adjustments to the payment obligations of the parties in respect of any Contract to which it considers such change applicable. In the event that the Contract shall have been previously closed, the Counterparty shall indemnify CSFB in respect of any such change on a full indemnity basis.

All Additional Payments made under this Section shall be payable on the date of the relevant distribution to holders of the relevant Security, or as otherwise reasonably determined by CSFB.

6. POTENTIAL ADJUSTMENT EVENTS

6.1. In case of a Potential Adjustment Event affecting a Security, CSFB shall determine (in its own discretion) the appropriate adjustment, if any, to be made to the Security's Reference Price (or Opening Price as the case may be) and/or to its Contract Quantity. CSFB shall consider the diluting or concentrating effect of the Potential Adjustment Event, and attempt to preserve the economic equivalent of the rights and obligations of the parties as in effect immediately prior to the Potential Adjustment Event. CSFB shall also determine the date of adjustment.

6.2. In determining whether an adjustment should be made as a result of a Potential Adjustment Event, CSFB may have regard to, but shall not be bound by, any adjustment to the terms of the relevant options contracts made and announced by a Related Exchange.
8.3. If at any time prior to the Termination Date, the issuer of a Security shall have entered into insolvency or liquidation proceedings (whether following suspension or otherwise), then the Termination Date shall be deemed to be the date on which the liquidator or official receiver gives notice of the final distribution to the holders of shares in the insolvent issuer. If no such distribution is declared, the Termination Date shall be deemed to be the date of the final declaration of dividends to the unsecured creditors of the insolvent issuer. The Closing Price of the Security for the purposes of Section 9 shall be equal to the amount receivable through the distribution to each such holder of each share held by it or, if none, zero.

9. CONTRACT CLOSING AND MATURITY

9.1. On any Business Day when CSFB or the Counterparty wishes to close any Contract (whether in whole or in part), it shall give notice of that fact to the other party (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties) specifying the Security and the proportion of such Contract it wishes to close.

9.2. CSFB shall then calculate and notify the Counterparty of the Closing Price (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties), which shall be binding upon the Counterparty. CSFB shall then settle the portion of the Contract to be closed in accordance with Section 9.3, and such date shall be deemed the Closing Date. In the event that a Contract is closed only in part, CSFB shall make any necessary adjustments to the Contract Quantity.

9.3. On the Closing Date (be it the Termination Date or otherwise), CSFB shall calculate the Contract Price Difference as an amount equal to: 

\[ (Q \times (P_3 - P_0)) \]

where:

- \( Q \) = the amount of the Contract Quantity to be closed;
- \( P_0 \) = the Opening Price; and
- \( P_3 \) = the Closing Price.

If \( P_3 \) is greater than \( P_0 \), then the Synthetic Seller shall pay the Synthetic Buyer an amount equal to the Contract Price Difference.

If \( P_3 \) is less than \( P_0 \), then the Synthetic Buyer shall pay such amount to the Synthetic Seller an amount equal to the absolute value of the Contract Price Difference.

Additionally, if Security Balance is (i) positive then that amount shall be paid by the Synthetic Buyer to Synthetic Seller; (ii) negative then that amount shall be paid by Synthetic Seller to the Synthetic Buyer.

9.4. In addition, if the Counterparty has elected to close the Contract, in whole or in part, before the Scheduled Closing Date, then CSFB (on the Closing Date) shall calculate the Breakage Amount, which shall be due from the Counterparty to CSFB.

The Breakage Amount shall be an amount equal to \( (Q \times Z \times P_0) \), where:

- \( Q \) = the amount of the Contract Quantity being closed;
\[ Z = \text{the Swap Fee Amount}; \] and
\[ P_3 = \text{the Closing Price}. \]

9.5. All payments due under 9.3 (Contract Price Difference), 9.4 (Breakage Amount) and the final Interest Payment under 3.4 shall be netted against each other, and the balance shall be due on the Termination Date. However, where agreed between the parties the balance, together with interest calculated at a rate agreed between the parties from time to time, may be paid on the following Security Payment Date according to the Appendix (as if such Contract had not terminated).

9.6. If CSFB or the Counterparty gives notice to close only a portion of the Contract Quantity, then the provisions of this Section shall apply only to that portion. The remainder of the Contract Quantity shall continue to be governed by these Standard Terms.

10. **CALCULATION AND SETTLEMENT OF PAYMENTS**

10.1. All payments made under a Contract shall be made in accordance with the account details specified in the relevant Confirmation.

10.2. All payments shall be in the Contract Currency.

11. **ADJUSTMENT AND TERMINATION**

11.1. Should CSFB wish to borrow, buy, sell or lend a Security and either is at any time unable to do so, or if CSFB's ability to do so becomes, in the reasonable opinion of CSFB, materially impaired or restricted at any time for whatever reason including, without limitation, for reasons of material increase in the cost of borrowing, then CSFB shall notify the Counterparty. On the Counterparty's request, CSFB shall provide reasonable evidence of such circumstances. However, CSFB's determination of impairment shall be conclusive.

11.2. At any time following a notification under Section 11.1, CSFB may, at its election, close any Contract affected by the operation of Section 11.1, in whole or in part CSFB shall immediately give the Counterparty notice of its action, including the Closing Price and, if relevant, the date of notice shall serve as the Closing Date. Sections 9.3 and 9.4 shall apply. Counterparty shall have the option to avoid the close of any Contract pursuant to this Section due to a material increase in the generally prevailing cost of borrowing by agreeing to adjust the Spread by an amount reflecting the increase in the cost of borrowing as reasonably determined by CSFB.

11.3. Where a Contract references Convertibles such Contract shall terminate automatically upon the effective date of a conversion (however described in the terms of such Convertible) and such date shall be deemed to be the Termination Date in respect of such Contract.

12. **ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS AND AGREEMENTS**

12.1. Each party represents to the other party:
Appendix to Portfolio Swaps (Standard Terms)
Dated February 4, 2005 which supplements the Master Agreement
dated as of February 4, 2005 between
Credit Suisse First Boston (Europe) Limited
and
Tiger Fund Asia, L.P. ("Counterparty")

Spreads:
- Counterparty is Synthetic Buyer
  - As determined in the relevant Confirmation.
- Counterparty is Synthetic Seller
  - As determined in the relevant Confirmation.

Dividend Percentages:
- Counterparty is Synthetic Buyer
  - As determined in the relevant Confirmation.
- Counterparty is Synthetic Seller
  - As determined in the relevant Confirmation.

Applicable Interest Rate: The London Interbank Offered Rate as set forth in the relevant Confirmation and as specified on Reuters pages LIBOR01 and LIBOR02, as applicable.

Applicable Cycle Date: [Daily]

Applicable Interest Rate Cycle: [1 week]

Security Payment Date: Last Business Day in each calendar month

Interest Payment Date: each Security Payment Date

Business Day Convention: In the event any relevant date falls on a day that is not a Business Day, such date shall be the first following day which is a Business Day unless that day falls in the next calendar month in which that date will be the first preceding day that is a Business Day.

Day Count Fraction: Corresponding to the Contract Currency specified as such in the relevant Confirmation as listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Currency</th>
<th>GBP</th>
<th>USD</th>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>BRL</th>
<th>CAD</th>
<th>CZK</th>
<th>DKK</th>
<th>EUR</th>
<th>GRD</th>
<th>HKD</th>
<th>ISK</th>
<th>INR</th>
<th>JPY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day Count Fraction</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Currency</th>
<th>KPW</th>
<th>KRW</th>
<th>MYR</th>
<th>MXN</th>
<th>Nzd</th>
<th>PLN</th>
<th>SGD</th>
<th>ZAR</th>
<th>SEK</th>
<th>CHF</th>
<th>TWD</th>
<th>THB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day Count Fraction</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Currency</td>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>Day Count</td>
<td>Fraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Security Cycle Date:**

Unless specified otherwise in the Confirmation, number of Business Days before the Security Payment Date as specified in the table below for the Exchange as specified in the Confirmation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Business Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>London Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASDAQ</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athena Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amsterdam Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolsa de Madrid</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Business Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bombay Stock Exchange</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borsa Italiana S.p.A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copenhagen Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASDAQ</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euronext Belgium</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icelandic Stock Exchange</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Business Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KOSDAQ</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange</td>
<td>4 - buy 5 - sell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolsa Mexicana de Valores</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Paulo Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johannesburg Stock Exchange</td>
<td>As specified in the Confirmation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Business Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock Exchange of Hong Kong</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock Exchange of Singapore</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock Exchange of Thailand</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholmsborsen</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWX</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan Stock Exchange</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Business Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiener Borse AG</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warsaw Stock Exchange</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euronext Paris S.A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prague Stock Exchange</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Business Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xetra</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borsa de Valores de Lisboa e Porto</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CREDIT SUISSE FIRST BOSTON (EUROPE) LIMITED

Name
Title:

Name
Title:

TIGER ASIA FUND, L.P.

Name: Siry Kock Tiuseng
Title: Managing Member of the General Partner

Name:
Title:
Credit Suisse International ("CS") and Archegos Fund, LP (the "Counterparty") have entered into a 1992 ISDA Master Agreement dated as of December 15, 2020, including the Schedule and any annexes thereto (as amended, amended and restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Master Agreement"). This Portfolio Swaps (Standard Terms) Annex, including the Schedule attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Standard Terms"), supplements and forms part of the Master Agreement and is intended to govern the parties’ relationship when entering into an equity swap transaction through "Primeview" (or successor system) that the parties agree to be governed by the Standard Terms in relation to a single Share, a basket of Shares treated together (a “Custom Basket”) a single Index or a basket of Indices treated together (a “Custom Index Basket”) (each, an “Equity Swap Transaction”). Each Equity Swap Transaction shall be deemed a “Transaction” for the purposes of the Master Agreement.

The definitions and provisions contained in the 2006 ISDA Definitions (the “Swap Definitions”) and in the 2002 ISDA Equity Derivatives Definitions (the “Equity Definitions,” and together with the Swap Definitions, the “Definitions”), in each case as published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc., as amended and supplemented from time to time, are incorporated into these Standard Terms. In the event of any inconsistency between the Swap Definitions and the Equity Definitions, the Equity Definitions will govern. In the event of any inconsistency between either set of Definitions and the Standard Terms, the Standard Terms shall prevail. The confirmation applicable to each Transaction, which shall constitute a "Confirmation" for the purposes of, and will supplement, form a part of, and be subject to, the Master Agreement, shall consist of the Standard Terms (including the Schedule hereto), as supplemented by the trade details applicable to such Transaction as set forth in the Confirmation for that Transaction.

In order to enter into a Transaction, the Counterparty must notify (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties) CS of its request for an offer, specifying the name of the relevant Shares, Custom Basket, Index or Custom Index Basket, and the proposed Number of Shares or the proposed Number of Units, as applicable, and whether the Counterparty wishes to act as Equity Amount Receiver/Synthetic Buyer or Equity Amount Payer/Synthetic Seller. If CS agrees to provide such offer, it must then notify (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties) the Counterparty of the proposed Initial Price or formula for determining the Initial Price. Should the Counterparty wish to accept this offer, it must immediately notify CS (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties) of its acceptance. This acceptance gives rise to a binding Transaction between the parties. An offer by CS that is not immediately accepted shall be deemed to lapse unless CS specifically states that it shall remain open.

A Confirmation will be prepared and either (i) posted by CS on its client access website or (ii) delivered by CS to the Counterparty by other electronic means, in each case, within one Business Day of the Transaction being entered into between the parties. The Counterparty shall be deemed to have accepted the terms of the Confirmation if it does not dispute its terms within one Business Day of such posting or delivery, as the case may be. Failure to dispute the terms within one Business Day shall constitute the Counterparty’s full acceptance of the Transaction upon the terms, absent manifest error, and subject to the conditions, as set out in the Confirmation and within these Standard Terms. In the event of any inconsistency between the
Exchange Business Day:

Any Scheduled Trading Day on which each Exchange and Related Exchange, if any, are open for trading during their respective regular trading sessions, notwithstanding such Exchange or Related Exchange, if any, closing prior to its Scheduled Closing Time; provided that (i) for non-Exchange traded Shares, each day on which price quotations are available to (or provided by) CS in respect of such Shares, (ii) for an Index Swap Transaction or an Index Basket Transaction, it shall also mean each day the Index Sponsor(s) publishes the level of the Index or Indices and (iii) for a Share Basket Swap Transaction or Index Basket Swap Transaction, Exchange Business Day shall be determined on a per Share or per Index, as applicable, basis.

Settlement Currency:

As specified in the Confirmation.

Calculation Agent:

Notwithstanding any provision of the Master Agreement, CS shall be the sole Calculation Agent.

Depository Receipt Election:

Applicable with respect to any of the Shares that are depositary shares or receipts, unless otherwise specified in the Confirmation.

In the event that Depository Receipt Election is Applicable, the 2002 Definitions shall be supplemented by the 2007 Partial Lookthrough Depository Receipt Supplement to the Equity Definitions or the 2007 Full Lookthrough Depository Receipt Supplement to the Equity Definitions, as specified in the Confirmation.

2. Equity Amounts

Equity Amount Receiver: The party specified as the Synthetic Buyer in the Confirmation.

Equity Amount Payer: The party specified as the Synthetic Seller in the Confirmation.

Equity Amount Payment Date: Unless otherwise specified in the Confirmation, in respect of each Valuation
3. **Floating Amounts:**

**Floating Amount Payer:** The Equity Amount Receiver.

**Floating Amount Receiver:** The Equity Amount Payer.

**Calculation Amount:** [Equity Notional Amount]

**Floating Amount Payment Dates:** Each date specified in the Schedule and the Final Settlement Date; subject to adjustment in accordance with the Business Day Convention.

**Business Day Convention:** As specified in the Confirmation.

**Floating Rate Option:** As specified in the Confirmation.

**Business Day:** As appropriate, based on the jurisdiction related to the specified Floating Rate Option and the jurisdiction related to the Settlement Currency.

**Designated Maturity:** As specified in the Schedule or as otherwise specified in the Confirmation.

**Spread:** The percentage specified in the Confirmation as such rate may be adjusted in accordance with the Equity Definitions or the terms hereof following the occurrence of a Potential Adjustment Event or Extraordinary Event (including, for the avoidance of doubt, any Increased Cost of Hedging).

**Floating Rate Day Count Fraction:** As specified in Section 6.2(g) of the 2006 Definitions in respect of the relevant Floating Rate Option, unless otherwise specified in the Confirmation.

**Reset Dates (Interest):** As specified in the Schedule.

**Compounding:** Not Applicable, unless otherwise specified in the Confirmation.

**Compounding Dates:** If Applicable, each day in the Calculation Period.

provisions of Section 6.1 of the Equity Definitions.

In respect of an Index Swap Transaction or an Index Basket Swap Transaction, Not Applicable.

**In respect of an Index Swap Transaction or an Index Basket Swap Transaction, Not Applicable.**
4. **Swap Fees:**

(a) On the first Equity Amount Payment Date only, the Counterparty shall pay an amount equal to the Initial Swap Fee to CS. The Initial Swap Fee is calculated as \( Q \times P_0 \times Z \), where:

\[
Q = \text{Number of Shares; in respect of a Share Basket Swap Transaction, an Index Swap Transaction or an Index Basket Swap Transaction, the Number of Units;}
\]
\[
P_0 = \text{the Initial Price;}
\]
\[
Z = \text{Initial Swap Fee Percentage; and}
\]

\( \text{Initial Swap Fee Percentage} \) a percentage, represented in basis points, as agreed between the parties and as specified in the Confirmation.

(b) On the Termination Date, the Counterparty shall pay an amount equal to the Final Swap Fee, to CS. The Final Swap Fee is calculated as \( (Q \times P_3 \times Z) \), where:

\[
Q = \text{In respect of a Share Swap Transaction, the Number of Shares; in respect of a Share Basket Swap Transaction, an Index Swap Transaction or an Index Basket Swap Transaction, the Number of Units, or, if such Transaction is terminated in part, then the Number of Shares or Number of Units, as applicable, being terminated;}
\]
\[
P_3 = \text{the Final Price;}
\]
\[
Z = \text{Final Swap Fee Percentage; and}
\]

\( \text{Final Swap Fee Percentage} \) a percentage, represented in basis points, as agreed between the parties and as specified in the Confirmation.

(c) If the Transaction is terminated, in whole or in part, before the scheduled Termination Date by the Counterparty, and the Breakage Option is Applicable, then CS (on the Termination Date) shall calculate the Breakage Amount, which shall be due from the Counterparty to CS. The Breakage Amount is an amount equal to the Floating Amount for the Calculation Period beginning on and including the last Reset Date to and excluding the next scheduled Reset Date; provided that the Calculation Amount for such calculation is the Equity Notional Amount in respect of the Number of Shares for a Share Swap Transaction or the Number of Units for a Share Basket Swap Transaction, an Index Swap Transaction or an Index Swap Transaction being closed.

\[ \text{Breakage Amount} = \text{Floating Amount for Calculation Period} \]
11. Optional Termination and Maturity

(i) Unless otherwise specified in the Confirmation for a particular Transaction, on any Exchange Business Day when CS or the Counterparty wishes to terminate any Transaction (whether in whole or in part), it shall give one (1) Exchange Business Day’s notice of that fact to the other party (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties) specifying the proportion of such Transaction it wishes to terminate; subject to Section 10 hereto.

(ii) In respect of a Share Swap Transaction or a Share Basket Swap Transaction, if the Shares or any Shares included in the Custom Basket are registered pursuant to Section 12 of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) or convertible into securities registered pursuant to the Exchange Act and, if at any time the aggregate number of such Shares beneficially owned by CS and its affiliates (the “Transaction Equity”) exceeds or could exceed 8% of the number of outstanding, voting Shares of an Issuer at such time (such event, the “Partial Termination Event”), CS may notify the Counterparty of its desire to effect an early settlement with respect to a portion of the Transactions on such Shares or Custom Basket including such Shares, as determined by CS subject to the conditions set forth below, so that, after completion of the unwind related to the Partial Termination Event, the Transaction Equity would not exceed 8% of the number of outstanding Shares of such Issuer.

(iii) Upon sending or receiving notice on any date as discussed in (i) or (ii) above, CS shall then calculate the Final Price on such date to the extent practicable and commercially reasonable, or the next Exchange Business Day, as determined by CS (the “Optional Termination Date”) and notify the Counterparty of the Final Price (by telephone or as otherwise agreed between the parties), which shall be binding upon the Counterparty. CS shall then settle the portion of the Transaction to be terminated in accordance with these Standard Terms on the Final Settlement Date with respect to such portion.

(iv) All payments due on the Final Settlement Date shall be netted against each other, and the balance shall be due on the Final Settlement Date, unless otherwise agreed between the parties.

(v) If CS or the Counterparty gives notice to terminate only a portion of the Number of Shares in respect of a Share Swap Transaction or the Number of Units in respect of an Index Swap Transaction or an Index Basket Swap Transaction, then the provisions of this Section shall apply only to that portion of the relevant Transaction. In the event that a Transaction is terminated only in part, CS shall make any necessary adjustments to the Number of Shares in respect of a Share Swap Transaction or the Number of Units in respect of a Share Basket Swap Transaction, an Index Swap Transaction or an Index Basket Swap Transaction. The remainder of the Transaction shall continue to be governed by these Standard Terms.
CREDIT SUISSE INTERNATIONAL
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Name: Steven J. Reis
Title: Authorized Signatory
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ARCHEGOS FUND, LP

Name: Sung Kook Hwang
Title: Managing Member of the General Partner
Date: November 16, 2017

Dear Sirs,

This notice is directed to the undersigned (hereinafter referred to as “you” and the terms “your” and “yourself” are to be construed accordingly) in connection with and in consideration of all transactions which you may enter into or have entered into, from time to time, with Jefferies Financial Products, LLC (“Jefferies”) or any of its affiliates (each an “Issuer”, and together the “Issuers”) that is linked to the performance of shares, bonds, warrants or other securities traded and listed on a stock exchange in the People’s Republic of China (for these purposes, excluding the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, the Macao Special Administrative Region and the Taiwan area, the “PRC”), securities investment funds quoted in Renminbi or any other financial instruments, in each case, eligible for investment under the PRC Qualified Foreign Institutional Investor scheme, the PRC Renminbi Qualified Foreign Institutional Investor scheme or the Shanghai-Hong Kong Stock Connect programme, the Shenzhen-Hong Kong Stock or any other stock connect programme separately notified to you by Jefferies (in each case, “PRC Securities”), or indices relating to PRC Securities (each a “Relevant Transaction”), whether in the form of:

(i) over-the-counter derivatives transactions; and/or

(ii) notes, certificates, warrants or any other structured products or instruments by whatever name they are called.

For the purposes of this notice, the term “Relevant Transaction” refers to any future or currently outstanding Relevant Transaction(s) as of the date of this notice as well as any previous transaction which has been transferred, terminated, matured, redeemed or otherwise unwound prior to the date of this notice.

Notwithstanding any agreements between the Issuer and/or its associates/affiliates and you or any regulatory rules applicable to the Issuer or the Issuer’s associates/affiliates or you, in respect of the Relevant Transactions entered into by you, you hereby agree, undertake and acknowledge to each Issuer that:

1. Investor status

1.1 In relation to each Relevant Transaction linked to the performance of PRC Securities which are eligible for investment under the PRC Qualified Foreign Institutional Investor scheme or the PRC Renminbi Qualified Foreign Institutional Investor scheme:

1.1.1 you are not (1) a person holding a resident identification card of the PRC (a “PRC Citizen”) resident in the PRC, (2) a PRC Citizen resident outside the PRC who is not a permanent resident of another country or permanent resident of Hong Kong, Macau or Taiwan, or (3) an entity incorporated or organized in the PRC (a “Legal Person Registered in the PRC”) (each a “Domestic Investor”); and

1.1.2 to the best of your knowledge and belief after enquiries that you reasonably deem necessary, all amounts paid or to be paid by you under such Relevant Transaction did not and will not involve moneys financed by or sourced from any Domestic Investor in contravention of the laws and regulations of the PRC.

1.2 In relation to each Relevant Transaction linked to the performance of PRC Securities which are eligible for investment under the Shanghai-Hong Kong Stock Connect programme, the Shenzhen-Hong Kong Stock or any other stock connect programme separately notified to you by Jefferies, you are not (1) a natural person holding a resident identification card or other equivalent government issued identification of the PRC who is not a permanent resident of another jurisdiction or permanent resident of Hong Kong, Macau or Taiwan or (2) a Legal Person Registered in the PRC, or if you are such a person or legal entity, then your entry into the Transaction does not violate the laws and regulations of the PRC including those in relation to foreign exchange control and reporting.
AMENDMENT dated as of May 18, 2004
to the ISDA Master Agreement
dated as of November 8, 2001 between
MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL LIMITED ("Party A") and
TIGER ASIA FUND LP ("Party B" or "Counterparty")

This Amendment Agreement supplements, forms part of, and is subject in all respects to, that certain
ISDA Master Agreement including the Schedule and Credit Support Annex thereto (if any), dated as of November
8, 2001 by and between Party A and Party B (collectively, the “Agreement”). Capitalized terms used herein,
unless otherwise defined, have the meanings specified in the Agreement.

1. Party A and Party B hereby agree that the Agreement is amended as of the date hereof by adding
the Automated Transactions Supplement attached hereto.

2. Each of the signatories below represents and warrants that he or she is duly authorized to sign this
Amendment Agreement on behalf of the party set forth above his or her signature. Each of the parties
represents and warrants that (a) its execution and delivery of this Amendment Agreement have been duly
authorized by all requisite action by such party and do not and will not (i) violate its relevant organizational
documents or (ii) result in a breach of, constitute a default under, or give any party the right to modify, amend,
cancel, terminate or otherwise affect any contract, agreement, indenture, lease, license or other instrument to
which it is a party or by which it or any of its assets is bound, and (b) this Amendment Agreement has been
duly executed by it and is enforceable against it.

3. The Amendment Agreement may be signed in two or more counterparts. Each counterpart will
constitute an original but all the counterparts together will constitute one and the same instrument.

4. This Amendment Agreement will be governed by the governing law of the Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Amendment Agreement by their duly authorized officers
as of the date hereof.

TIGER ASIA FUND LP

By:
Name: Song Yook Hwang
Title: Managing Member of the General Partner

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL LIMITED

By:
Name:
Title:
Transaction Request;

(v) the Valuation Date(s), Termination Date, Settlement Currency, Dividend Ratio, Floating Rate Option, Designated Maturity, Floating Rate Day Count Fraction and Fee Adjustment are each as determined with respect to the initial ATS Transaction(s) of the relevant ATS Series by Party A acting in a commercially reasonable manner;

(vi) the Number of Shares is initially the number specified in the relevant ATS Transaction Request as the same may be reduced by Party A pursuant to paragraph 5(c) of this Supplement; and

(vii) the other terms are as provided for in Schedule 2 (in the case of the initial ATS Transaction(s) of any ATS Series) or Schedule 3 (in any other case) to this Supplement.

(f) **Confirmation of initial ATS Transactions of an ATS Series:** Where Party A accepts an ATS Transaction Request which is the first request in relation to an ATS Series, Party A shall prepare and deliver to Party B, and Party B shall promptly execute and deliver by way of exchange to Party A, a Confirmation with respect thereto in or substantially in the form set out in Schedule 2 to this Supplement with such modifications as Party A shall determine to be appropriate in the circumstances.

(g) **Deemed confirmation of other ATS Transactions:** Where Party A accepts an ATS Transaction Request (other than the first such request in relation to an ATS Series), the parties shall be deemed to have executed and exchanged a Confirmation with respect to the ATS Transaction thereby constituted on the terms set out in Schedule 3 to this Supplement.

(h) **Acceptance of ATS Unwind Request:** Acceptance by Party A of an ATS Unwind Request shall constitute an agreement between the parties to adjust the relevant ATS Transaction(s) by reducing the Number of Shares by the number specified by Party B in such request (as the same may be reduced pursuant to paragraph 5(d) of this Supplement) (the "Unwind Number of Shares") and on the basis of the further adjustments and payments provided for in paragraph 6 of this Supplement.

6. ATS Transaction Unwind

(a) **Unwind Adjustment:** Where an ATS Unwind Request is accepted by Party A, the terms of the relevant ATS Transaction(s) shall be adjusted by (i) reducing the Number of Shares by the relevant Unwind Number of Shares and (ii) reducing each of the Equity Notional Amount and Notional Amount, by multiplying each such amount by (x) one minus (y) the quotient of the Unwind Number of Shares and the Number of Shares immediately prior to such adjustment.

(b) **Order of ATS Transactions to be unwound:** If an ATS Unwind Request relates to a particular Share in respect of which more than one ATS Transaction within the relevant ATS Series is outstanding, then the adjustments required by paragraph 6(a) of this Supplement shall be applied to such ATS
Schedule 3

Form of Deemed Confirmation

This Confirmation confirms the terms and conditions of the transaction entered into between Morgan Stanley & Co. International Limited ("Party A") and Tiger Asia Fund LP ("Party B" and, together with Party A, the "Parties") on the Trade Date specified below (the "Transaction") and constitutes a "Confirmation" as referred to in the ISDA Master Agreement specified below.

The definitions and provisions contained in the 2000 ISDA Definitions (other than Articles 10 through 17) (the "Swap Definitions") and in the 1996 ISDA Equity Derivatives Definitions as amended by the 1998 ISDA Euro Definitions (the "Equity Definitions", and together with the Swap Definitions, the "Definitions"), in each case as published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA"), are incorporated into this Confirmation subject as specified herein. In the event of any inconsistency between the Swap Definitions and the Equity Definitions, the Equity Definitions will govern. In the event of any inconsistency between either set of Definitions and this Confirmation, this Confirmation will govern. Any reference to a currency shall have the meaning contained in the 1998 ISDA FX and Currency Option Definitions, as published by ISDA.

This Confirmation supplements, forms part of, and is subject to, the ISDA Master Agreement dated as of November 8, 2001 as amended and supplemented from time to time (the "Agreement") between the Parties including any Supplement thereto referencing ATS Transactions (the "Supplement"). All provisions in the Agreement (excluding the Equity Option Annex (if any) thereto) govern this Confirmation subject to any express modification below. Terms defined in the Supplement have the same meanings herein and shall prevail in the event of any inconsistency with the Definitions.

As used herein, the "relevant ATS Transaction Request" means the ATS Transaction Request specifying proposed terms for the Transaction and "Initial Confirmation" means the Confirmation with respect to the initial ATS Transaction(s) of the ATS Series having the ATS Series Reference specified in the relevant ATS Transaction Request.

General Terms:

ATS Series Reference: As specified in the relevant ATS Transaction Request.

Trade Date: The date of Party A's acceptance of the relevant ATS Transaction Request.

Equity Amount Payer: As specified in the Initial Confirmation.

Effective Date: The date determined as such by Party A with respect to the Transaction.

Termination Date: The date specified as such in the Initial Confirmation.
AMENDED AND RESTATED SYNTHETIC PRIME BROKERAGE MASTER CONFIRMATION

This Amended and Restated Synthetic Prime Brokerage Master Confirmation (this "SPBMC") is dated as of March 18, 2016. It sets out the terms and conditions on which Nomura International plc ("Nomura") agrees to provide synthetic prime brokerage services to Archegos Fund, LP ("Counterparty" and, together with Nomura, each a "Party" and collectively the "Parties").

The Parties previously entered into a Synthetic Prime Brokerage Master Confirmation dated March 22, 2006, as amended and supplemented from time to time (the “Original SPBMC”). The Parties hereby agree to amend and restate the Original SPBMC in its entirety as follows and to have this SPBMC govern the terms of all existing and future SPB Transactions and SPB Transaction Unwinds.

1. Definitions and Interpretation.

1.1 The definitions and provisions contained in the 2006 ISDA Definitions (the "Swap Definitions") and in the 2002 ISDA Equity Derivatives Definitions (the "Equity Definitions", and together with the Swap Definitions, the "Definitions"), in each case as published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA"), are incorporated into this SPBMC and the documents comprising the Confirmation (as hereinafter defined) with respect to each SPB Transaction entered into hereunder subject as specified herein. Any capitalized term not otherwise defined herein (including in Schedule 1 hereto) shall have the meaning assigned to such term in the Definitions.

1.2 In the event of any inconsistency between any of the Swap Definitions, the Equity Definitions, this SPBMC, the SPB General Terms Supplement, an SPB Product Supplement, an SPB Transaction Supplement or the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable), the following documents will prevail in relation to the relevant Transaction in the following order of precedence: the SPB Transaction Supplement, the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable), the applicable SPB Product Supplement, the SPB General Terms Supplement, this SPBMC, the Equity Definitions and the Swap Definitions.

1.3 Any reference to a currency shall have the meaning contained in the 1998 ISDA FX and Currency Option Definitions, as published by ISDA.

1.4 This SPBMC supplements, forms part of, and is subject to, the ISDA Master Agreement (including the Schedule and Credit Support Annex) entered into between the Parties and dated as of March 22, 2006, as amended and supplemented from time to time (the "Agreement"). All provisions in the Agreement govern this SPBMC, except as expressly modified below.

1.5 For the purposes of the Definitions and for the Agreement:
(a) each SPB Transaction (subject to full or partial termination from time to time pursuant to any SPB Transaction Unwind) shall be a "Transaction" for the purposes of the Agreement and an Equity Swap Transaction for the purposes of the Equity Definitions; and

(b) the "Confirmation" with respect to each such SPB Transaction shall comprise this SPBMC, the SPB General Terms Supplement, the applicable SPB Product Supplement, the SPB Transaction Supplement relating to that SPB Transaction and, if applicable, the Jurisdiction Supplement.

2. Services.

Nomura may, in its absolute discretion, agree to enter into equity derivative transactions pursuant to this SPBMC. Nomura will not provide any advice (investment, financial, accounting legal or otherwise) in relation to this Agreement or any SPB Transaction or SPB Transaction Unwind.


3.1 SPB Transaction Request

(a) Counterparty may request on any Scheduled Trading Day after the date of this SPBMC that Nomura enter into an SPB Transaction or effect an SPB Transaction Unwind (each an "SPB Transaction Request"). Such SPB Transaction Request shall specify, at a minimum, the number of Units, the Underlying(s) and whether such transaction is to be an SPB Transaction (and if so whether a Long SPB Transaction or a Short SPB Transaction) or an SPB Transaction Unwind.

(b) Any SPB Transaction Request shall constitute an offer made upon the terms of this SPBMC to Nomura to enter into such SPB Transaction or effect such SPB Transaction Unwind. Counterparty may, by notice to Nomura (such notice being effective only when actually received by Nomura), revoke the offer at any time until Nomura accepts the offer.

(c) At any time whilst the offer constituted by an SPB Transaction Request for an SPB Transaction or an SPB Transaction Unwind is outstanding Nomura may accept such SPB Transaction Request and shall promptly acknowledge acceptance of such SPB Transaction Request subject to the terms described hereinafter.

(d) Notwithstanding its acceptance of an SPB Transaction Request Nomura, acting in good faith and in a commercially reasonable manner, may reduce the number of Units applicable to such SPB Transaction or SPB
4. **Synthetic Payment.**

Counterparty shall pay to Nomura a Synthetic Payment (a) for each accepted SPB Transaction Request, (b) in respect of each termination (whether under its terms or otherwise) of an SPB Transaction and (c) as otherwise specified in the applicable SPB Product Supplement. A Synthetic Payment shall be calculated by Nomura and will be equal to the product of the Synthetic Payment Adjustment and the Synthetic Payment Notional Amount ("Synthetic Payment Amount"). If Combined Synthetic Payment is specified as "Not Applicable" in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, Counterparty shall pay all Synthetic Payment Amounts that have accrued but remain unpaid since the previous Synthetic Payment Date on the following Synthetic Payment Date. If Combined Synthetic Payment is specified as "Applicable" in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, then such Synthetic Payment Amounts will be included in the Initial Price and Final Price stated in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement.

5. **Margin.**

With respect to any SPB Transaction an Independent Amount with respect to the Counterparty shall be applicable which shall be an amount equal to the Equity Notional Amount multiplied by the Margin Percentage specified in the SPB Transaction Supplement or as otherwise agreed by the parties from time to time.

6. **Determinations.**

Where any fact, criterion or qualitative issue is required to be determined by Nomura or the Calculation Agent under any Terms, unless otherwise stated herein, Nomura or the Calculation Agent, as applicable, shall exercise such determination in good faith and in its commercially reasonable discretion.

7. **No Rights in Underlying.**

The entry into an SPB Transaction does not confer on either Party any rights (whether in respect of voting, distributions or otherwise) attaching to the relevant Underlying.

8. **Termination of Agreement.**

If there are no SPB Transactions outstanding under this SPBMC, either Party may terminate this SPBMC by giving two SPB Business Days' notice to the other Party in writing.

9. **Miscellaneous.**

(a) The Parties hereto intend for:
IN WITNESS WHEREOF the Parties have executed this document with effect from the date specified on the first page of this document.

NOMURA INTERNATIONAL PLC
By: Chris Antonelli
Name: Chris Antonelli
Title: Managing Director

ARCHEGOS FUND, LP
By: Sung Kook Young
Name: Sung Kook Young
Title: Managing Member of the General Partner
SCHEDULE 1

Definitions

For the purposes of all terms under this SPBMC, the following definitions shall apply:

"Bonds" means the bonds or debt securities specified in the SPB Bonds Supplement.

"Cash Settlement Payment Date(s)" means, each Scheduled Cash Settlement Payment Date and with respect to any Units subject to an SPB Transaction Unwind each Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date.

"Closed Market Country" means each of the People's Republic of China, India, Indonesia, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, Russia, Taiwan, Thailand and each other country as may be agreed in writing by the parties from time to time.

"Combined Synthetic Payment" has the meaning given to it in Clause 4 of the SPBMC.

"Corporate Action" has the meaning given to it in Clause 3.4(b) of the SPBMC.

"Currency Day Count Fraction" means, with respect to a currency, 1 divided by such denominator as the Calculation Agent may elect in order to convert the applicable benchmark overnight rate corresponding to that currency into a rate per day.

"Custom Basket Shares" means the Shares of any Issuer included in an SPB Custom Basket.

"Designated Maturity" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Distribution Receipt Date" means as defined in the SPB Bonds Supplement.

"Effective Date" means as specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement.

"Equity Amount Payer" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Equity Definitions" means as defined in the SPBMC.

"Equity Notional Amount" means as defined in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Equity Notional Reset" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Ex Amount Market" means each market as determined by the Calculation Agent where the customary practice is that a holder of shares entitlement to a dividend is determined by reference to the date that the Shares have commenced trading ex-dividend on the Exchange.
"Short Position" means with respect to an Underlying that the Counterparty has one or more SPB Transactions where the Counterparty is the Equity Amount Payer.

"Short SPB Transaction" means with respect to an Underlying, an SPB Transaction where the Counterparty is the Equity Amount Payer.

"SPB Bonds" means an SPB Transaction that references Bonds and to which the SPB Bonds Supplement applies.

"SPB Business Day" means each day on which commercial banks and foreign exchange markets settle payments and are open for business (including dealings in foreign exchange and foreign exchange deposits) in London.

"SPB Custom Baskets" means an SPB Transaction that references a basket of Shares and to which the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement applies.

"SPB Futures" means an SPB Transaction that references Futures and to which the SPB Index/Futures Supplement applies.

"SPB General Terms Supplement" means the general terms supplement appended at Schedule 3 hereto.

"SPB Index" means an SPB Transaction that references an Index and to which the SPB Index/Futures Supplement applies.

"SPB Product Supplement" means each of the SPB Bonds Supplement, the SPB Index/Futures Supplement and the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement appended to this SPBMC.

"SPB Product Type" means the "Product Type" specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, being SPB Bonds, SPB Futures, SPB Index, SPB Shares or SPB Custom Baskets, as applicable.

"SPB Shares" means an SPB Transaction that references Shares and to which the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement applies.

"SPB Transaction" means an Equity Swap Transaction entered into under the terms of this SPBMC.

"SPB Transaction Request" means as defined in Clause 3.1 of the SPBMC.

"SPB Transaction Supplement" means as defined in Clause 3.2 of the SPBMC.

"SPB Transaction Unwind" means, with respect to an Underlying referenced in one or more SPB Transactions, the termination (in whole or in part) of one or more SPB Transactions in respect of that Underlying, as effected on the Termination Valuation Date.
with respect to that SPB Transaction Unwind. The Underlying, number of Units and Termination Valuation Date with respect to each SPB Transaction Unwind shall be set out in an SPB Transaction Supplement.

"Spread" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Synthetic Payment Adjustment" means the value specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as may be adjusted by Nomura from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the SPBMC in relation to the Terms.

"Synthetic Payment Amount" has the meaning given to it in Clause 4 of the SPBMC.

"Synthetic Payment Notional Amount" means for the purposes of determining a Synthetic Payment Amount (i) in respect of an accepted SPB Transaction Request, the Initial Price prior to the first Valuation Date multiplied by the number of Units for the SPB Transaction with respect to that SPB Transaction Request specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, (ii) in respect of the termination of an SPB Transaction pursuant to an SPB Unwind or Clause 3.3 of the SPBMC, the Final Price determined for the purposes of such SPB Transaction Unwind or termination multiplied by the number of Units subject to such SPB Transaction Unwind or termination, (iii) in respect of the termination of an SPB Transaction other than where Sub-clause (ii) applies, the Final Price determined for the purposes of such termination multiplied by the Number of Units under that SPB Transaction as of the Termination Date; and (iv) expressed to be payable under the SPB General Terms Supplement as defined in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Termination Date" means as defined in the General Terms Supplement.

"Termination Valuation Date" has the meaning given to it in Clause 3.3 of the SPBMC. Other than for the purposes of Clause 3.3 of the SPBMC, a Termination Valuation Date shall not be a Valuation Date.

"Terms" means each of the following terms: the Transaction Spread, Floating Rate, Dividend/Distribution Percentage, Fee Adjustment and the Synthetic Payment Adjustment.

"Trade Date" means as specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement.

"Transaction Spread" means for each SPB Transaction, the relevant Transaction Spread as defined in the SPB General Terms Supplement unless otherwise specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as may be adjusted by Nomura from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the SPBMC in relation to the Terms.

"Type of Return" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.
This SPB Transaction Supplement is entered into between Nomura International plc ("Nomura") and [•] ("Counterparty" and, together with Nomura, the "Parties") on the Trade Date set forth below.

The purpose of this communication is to confirm the terms and conditions of the SPB Transaction entered into between Nomura and Counterparty on the Trade Date specified below. This SPB Transaction Supplement supplements, forms part of and is subject to the Synthetic Prime Brokerage Master Confirmation between the Parties dated [•], as may be amended and supplemented from time to time (the "SPBMC"), and, together with the SPBMC, the SPB General Terms Supplement, the applicable SPB Product Supplement and the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable) constitutes a "Confirmation" as referred to in the Agreement.

The terms of the SPB Transaction to which this SPB Transaction Supplement relates are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Identifier</th>
<th>RIC Code / ISIN</th>
<th>Underlying</th>
<th>SPB Product Type</th>
<th>Futures Price Valuation</th>
<th>Multiplier</th>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Trade Date</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Long / Short / Unwind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[SPB Bonds]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[SPB Shares]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[SPB Custom Basket]</td>
<td>[SPB Index]</td>
<td>[SPB Futures]</td>
<td>[Applicable]</td>
<td>[Not Applicable]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 This will be "Applicable" where the SPB Product Type is SPB Futures. Futures Price Valuation may also be applied via the SPB Transaction Supplement to SPB Shares. For SPB Custom Baskets, please insert "N/A".
| Number of Units | Initial Price / Final Price
d | FX Rate | Dividend / Distribution Percentage | Transaction Spread (bps) | Fee Adjustment (bps) | Related Exchange | Combined Synthetic Payment | Synthetic Payment Adjustment | Synthetic Payment Date |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[Applicable] [Not Applicable]</td>
<td>[ ], or otherwise notified by Nomura to Counterparty from time to time in accordance with the SPBMC.</td>
<td>[Each Cash Settlement Payment Date] [3 Currency Business Days after the next Valuation Date defined in the SPB Bonds General Terms Supplement] [The Termination Date]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Floating Amount Payer Payment Dates**

[Each Cash Settlement Payment Date] [1st Business Day of each month and each Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date] [Last SPB Business Day of each month and each Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date]

**Valuation Dates**

[Each] / [1st Scheduled Trading Day of each month] / [Each Scheduled Trading Day] / [1st February, 1st May, 1st August and 1st November in each year from the Effective Date to the Termination Date, or in each case if not a Scheduled Trading Day, the next following Scheduled Trading Day and (b) the Scheduled Trading Day falling one Settlement Cycle prior to the Termination Date]

**Floating Rate Option**

[ALPS-SWAP-OIS-RBA] [HIBOR-HKAB] [JPY-LIBOR-ICE] [NZD-OFR-RBNZ] [SGD-SIBOR-ABS] [USD-LIBOR-ICE] [GBP-LIBOR-ICE] [EUR-EURIBOR-EBF]

**Notifications of Calculation Agent amendments:**

Any notification of amendments by the Calculation Agent, including, without limitation, amendments resulting from any Corporate Action will not constitute a Confirmation but shall be a notice only.

---

2 This will be the "Initial" where the SPB Transaction is a short or long and Final Price where the SPB Transaction is an Unwind.
SCHEDULE 3

SPB General Terms Supplement

This SPB General Terms Supplement supplements, forms part of and is subject to the SPBMC and, together with the SPBMC, the applicable SPB Product Supplement, the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement (as each such term is defined in the SPBMC) and the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable) constitutes a "Confirmation" with respect to the relevant SPB Transaction as referred to in the Agreement. This SPB General Terms Supplement shall be construed in accordance with Clause 1 of the SPBMC.

General Terms:

Termination Date: The date which is five (5) calendar years after the date of the SPBMC, unless otherwise agreed between the parties, or the final Cash Settlement Payment Date, whichever is later.

Unit: A trading unit of the Underlying (or, in the case of an Underlying that is Custom Basket Shares, the number of Shares of each Issuer included in a single SPB Custom Basket).

Multiplier: In respect of SPB Transactions to which Futures Price Valuation applies, a "Multiplier" may be specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement for the purpose only of informing the Counterparty of the multiplier embedded in the relevant Exchange-traded Contract. Notwithstanding any SPB Transaction Supplement, the Multiplier for the purposes of the Equity Definitions shall be 1 for all SPB Transactions. A "Multiplier" specified in any SPB Transaction Supplement is not intended to take operative effect per the Equity Definitions.

Equity Amounts payable by Equity Amount Payer:

Equity Amount Payer: As specified with respect to the relevant Units in the SPB Transaction Supplement.

Number of Units: As specified with respect to the relevant Underlying in the SPB Transaction Supplement (subject to reduction from time to time pursuant to any SPB Transaction Unwind).

Equity Notional Amount: The product of the Initial Price and the Number of Units.

Schedule 3 - 1
SCHEDULE 4

PRODUCT SUPPLEMENTS

SPB Bonds Supplement

The following terms shall apply where the SPB Transaction Supplement specifies that the SPB Product Type for an SPB Transaction is SPB Bonds.

This SPB Bonds Supplement supplements, forms part of and is subject to the SPBMC and, together with the SPBMC, the SPB General Terms Supplement, the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement (as each such term is defined in the SPBMC) and the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable) constitutes a "Confirmation" with respect to the relevant SPB Transaction as referred to in the Agreement. This SPB Bonds Supplement shall be construed in accordance with Clause 1 of the SPBMC.

General Terms:

Issuer: The Issuer of the Bonds.

Bonds: Subject to "Additional Provisions − Conversions" below, the Underlying specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement.

Underlying Shares: In respect only of Bonds that are exchangeable or convertible bonds, the shares, if any, into or for which the Bonds are or may be convertible or exchangeable at a specified time, or from time to time, whether at the option of the Issuer or any holders thereof or on a mandatory basis.

Transaction Face Amount: Number of Units multiplied by the principal amount outstanding of a Bond on the Effective Date. The Transaction Face Amount shall be subject to reduction by the Calculation Agent on account of any Partial Redemption.

Relevant Jurisdiction: With respect to any amount payable under an SPB Transaction, such of the jurisdictions specified in sub-paragraphs (i), (ii) and (iii) of "Dividend/Distribution Adjustment Event" in this SPB Bonds Supplement as the Calculation Agent may determine to be material for the purposes of such payment.

Scheduled Trading Day: (a) In respect of Bonds that are not exchangeable or convertible bonds, not applicable; and (b) in respect
of Bonds that are exchangeable or convertible bonds, as determined in accordance with Section 1.31 of the Equity Definitions.

**Bond Business Day:**
Each day which is both an SPB Business Day and (if applicable) a Scheduled Trading Day.

**Observation Date:**
In respect of a payment under Bonds (a) that are bearer bonds, the date of such payment and (b) that are registered bonds, whichever of the record date for such payment under such Bonds (as specified by the Issuer or in the legal instrument governing the Bonds or as otherwise determined by the Calculation Agent) and the date of such payment that the Calculation Agent determines to be the more appropriate in connection with such Bonds.

**Exchange:**
(a) In respect of Bonds that are not exchangeable or convertible bonds, not applicable; and (b) in respect of Bonds that are exchangeable or convertible bonds, the exchange or quotation system on which the largest volume of Underlying Shares normally trade.

**Related Exchange:**
Not Applicable

**Equity Amounts payable by Equity Amount Payer:**

**Equity Amount:**
Each Equity Amount shall be determined as if this SPB Transaction were a Share Transaction; provided that if the Equity Amount is payable on a Cash Settlement Payment Date falling on or after the Full Redemption Date or the Scheduled Maturity Date, the Equity Amount payable on the corresponding Cash Settlement Payment Date shall be equal to:

(i) any amounts of principal actually paid by the Issuer in cash to holders of the Bonds in the Relevant Jurisdiction on the Full Redemption Date or Scheduled Maturity Date, as applicable, in respect of Bonds with an outstanding principal amount equal to the Transaction Face Amount as of the Observation Date relating to the Full Redemption Date or the Scheduled Maturity Date of the Bonds (prior to giving effect to such principal payment), as applicable, minus
Synthetic Payment for Full Redemptions:

The Synthetic Payment Notional Amount for the purposes of determining a Synthetic Payment pursuant to the occurrence of a Full Redemption shall be equal to the sum of the Full Redemption Amount and any Proceeds Value determined by the Calculation Agent in connection with such Full Redemption (or that would have been so determined if "Non-Cash Distributions, Redemptions and Conversions Occurring Following the Final Valuation Date" had applied thereto).

Additional Equity Amounts:

- **Payer:** As specified in the Consequences of Cash Partial Redemptions provision below.
- **Period:** The period which commences on, and includes, the Effective Date and ends on, but excludes, the final Cash Settlement Payment Date.
- **Amount:** In respect of any Partial Redemption that consists of cash (in whole or in part), the Additional Equity Amount shall be an amount equal to:
  
  (i) the Cash Partial Redemption Amount; minus
  
  (ii) the Initial Price as of the Valuation Date immediately preceding the Observation Date for such Cash Partial Redemption multiplied by the portion of the Transaction Face Amount to which such Cash Partial Redemption Amount relates (as determined by the Calculation Agent).

- **Payment Dates:** The Additional Equity Amounts Payer shall pay to the other Party any Additional Equity Amount five SPB Business Days following the related Partial Redemption Date, notwithstanding the occurrence of the final Cash Settlement Payment Date (unless the Partial Redemption Date occurs more than six months following the final Cash Settlement Payment Date, in which case the Additional Equity Amount will not be paid).

Partial Redemption: Any repayments of principal on the Bonds or
to an obligation for either Party on account of such Non-Cash Partial Redemption which is a delivery rather than a payment obligation, and subject to the "Non-Cash Distributions and Redemptions Occurring Following the Final Valuation Date" provision of this SPB Bonds Supplement.

**Synthetic Payment for Partial Redemptions:**

Counterparty, shall pay to Nomura a Synthetic Payment in respect of each Additional Equity Amount Payment Date. The Synthetic Payment Notional Amount for the purposes of determining such Synthetic Payment shall be equal to the sum of the Cash Partial Redemption Amount and the cash value of the Non-Cash Partial Redemption Amount, as determined by the Calculation Agent, (or as would have been so determined if "Non-Cash Distributions, Redemptions and Conversions Occurring Following the Final Valuation Date" had applied thereto).

**Distribution Amounts:**

- **Distribution Amounts Payer:** Equity Amount Payer
- **Distribution Amounts Period:** The period that commences on, and includes the Effective Date and ends on, but excludes, the final Cash Settlement Payment Date.
- **Distribution Amount:** Any and all payments or distributions, including, without limitation, interest and coupon payments and consent fees, but excluding Partial Redemption Amounts or Full Redemption Amounts, that are actually made by the Issuer to holders of the Bonds in the Relevant Jurisdiction in respect of an outstanding principal amount of the Bonds equal to the Transaction Face Amount as of the relevant Observation Date and for which the Observation Date occurs during the Distribution Amounts Period.
- **Actual Cash Distribution Amount:** The portion of a Distribution Amount, if any, that consists of cash.
- **Non-Cash Distribution Amount:** That portion of a Distribution Amount, if any, that consists of property other than cash including, without limitation, securities or other non-cash assets.
SCHEDULE 1

Definitions

For the purposes of all terms under this SPBMC, the following definitions shall apply:

"Bonds" means the bonds or debt securities specified in the SPB Bonds Supplement.

"Cash Settlement Payment Date(s)" means, each Scheduled Cash Settlement Payment Date and with respect to any Units subject to an SPB Transaction Unwind each Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date.

"Closed Market Country" means each of the People's Republic of China, India, Indonesia, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, Russia, Taiwan, Thailand and each other country as may be agreed in writing by the parties from time to time.

"Combined Synthetic Payment" has the meaning given to it in Clause 4 of the SPBMC.

"Corporate Action" has the meaning given to it in Clause 3.4(b) of the SPBMC.

"Currency Day Count Fraction" means, with respect to a currency, 1 divided by such denominator as the Calculation Agent may elect in order to convert the applicable benchmark overnight rate corresponding to that currency into a rate per day.

"Custom Basket Shares" means the Shares of any Issuer included in an SPB Custom Basket.

"Designated Maturity" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Distribution Receipt Date" means as defined in the SPB Bonds Supplement.

"Effective Date" means as specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement.

"Equity Amount Payer" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Equity Definitions" means as defined in the SPBMC.

"Equity Notional Amount" means as defined in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Equity Notional Reset" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Ex Amount Market" means each market as determined by the Calculation Agent where the customary practice is that a holder of shares entitlement to a dividend is determined by reference to the date that the Shares have commenced trading ex-dividend on the Exchange.
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"Short Position" means with respect to an Underlying that the Counterparty has one or more SPB Transactions where the Counterparty is the Equity Amount Payer.

"Short SPB Transaction" means with respect to an Underlying, an SPB Transaction where the Counterparty is the Equity Amount Payer.

"SPB Bonds" means an SPB Transaction that references Bonds and to which the SPB Bonds Supplement applies.

"SPB Business Day" means each day on which commercial banks and foreign exchange markets settle payments and are open for business (including dealings in foreign exchange and foreign exchange deposits) in London.

"SPB Custom Baskets" means an SPB Transaction that references a basket of Shares and to which the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement applies.

"SPB Futures" means an SPB Transaction that references Futures and to which the SPB Index/Futures Supplement applies.

"SPB General Terms Supplement" means the general terms supplement appended at Schedule 3 hereto.

"SPB Index" means an SPB Transaction that references an Index and to which the SPB Index/Futures Supplement applies.

"SPB Product Supplement" means each of the SPB Bonds Supplement, the SPB Index/Futures Supplement and the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement appended to this SPBMC.

"SPB Product Type" means the "Product Type" specified in the relevant SPB Supplement, being SPB Bonds, SPB Futures, SPB Index, SPB Shares or SPB Custom Baskets, as applicable.

"SPB Shares" means an SPB Transaction that references Shares and to which the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement applies.

"SPB Transaction" means an Equity Swap Transaction entered into under the terms of this SPBMC.

"SPB Transaction Request" means as defined in Clause 3.1 of the SPBMC.

"SPB Transaction Supplement" means as defined in Clause 3.2 of the SPBMC.

"SPB Transaction Unwind" means, with respect to an Underlying referenced in one or more SPB Transactions, the termination (in whole or in part) of one or more SPB Transactions in respect of that Underlying, as effected on the Termination Valuation Date.
with respect to that SPB Transaction Unwind. The Underlying, number of Units and Termination Valuation Date with respect to each SPB Transaction Unwind shall be set out in an SPB Transaction Supplement.

"Spread" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Synthetic Payment Adjustment" means the value specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as may be adjusted by Nomura from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the SPBMC in relation to the Terms.

"Synthetic Payment Amount" has the meaning given to it in Clause 4 of the SPBMC.

"Synthetic Payment Notional Amount" means for the purposes of determining a Synthetic Payment Amount (i) in respect of an accepted SPB Transaction Request, the Initial Price prior to the first Valuation Date multiplied by the number of Units for the SPB Transaction with respect to that SPB Transaction Request specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, (ii) in respect of the termination of an SPB Transaction pursuant to an SPB Unwind or Clause 3.3 of the SPBMC, the Final Price determined for the purposes of such SPB Transaction Unwind or termination multiplied by the number of Units subject to such SPB Transaction Unwind or termination, (iii) in respect of the termination of an SPB Transaction other than where Sub-clause (ii) applies, the Final Price determined for the purposes of such termination multiplied by the Number of Units under that SPB Transaction as of the Termination Date; and (iv) expressed to be payable under the SPB General Terms Supplement as defined in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Termination Date" means as defined in the General Terms Supplement.

"Termination Valuation Date" has the meaning given to it in Clause 3.3 of the SPBMC. Other than for the purposes of Clause 3.3 of the SPBMC, a Termination Valuation Date shall not be a Valuation Date.

"Terms" means each of the following terms: the Transaction Spread, Floating Rate, Dividend/Distribution Percentage, Fee Adjustment and the Synthetic Payment Adjustment.

"Trade Date" means as specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement.

"Transaction Spread" means for each SPB Transaction, the relevant Transaction Spread as defined in the SPB General Terms Supplement unless otherwise specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as may be adjusted by Nomura from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the SPBMC in relation to the Terms.

"Type of Return" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.
"Underlying" means (i) in respect of an SPB Transaction to which Futures Price Valuation does not apply, the bonds, debt securities, index or shares specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as applicable in each case, and (ii) in respect of SPB Transactions to which Futures Price Valuation applies, the Futures or the relevant Exchange-traded Contract relating to the Shares or Index specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as applicable in each case.

"Underlying Currency" means the currency in which the relevant Underlying (or, in the case of Custom Basket Shares, the Shares of any Issuer included in the SPB Custom Baskets) is denominated except that if the Underlying is an Index the Underlying Currency means the relevant currency of the Index as described on the relevant Bloomberg Page or such other source as the Calculation Agent shall reasonably determine.

"Underlying Jurisdiction" means, with respect to any SPB Transaction that has an SPB Product Type of (a) SPB Shares, SPB Futures or SPB Index, the jurisdiction of incorporation of the issuer of the Underlying, and (b) SPB Custom Baskets, each of the jurisdictions of incorporation of the issuers of the Shares included in the SPB Custom Basket.

"Units" means as specified in the SPB General Terms Supplement.

"Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date" means 1 Settlement Cycle following each Termination Valuation Date, or if not a Currency Business Day, the next following Currency Business Day.

"U.S. Underlyings" mean publicly traded equities or exchange traded funds issued by U.S. issuers or traded on U.S. exchanges (including American depository receipts), baskets of such equities or exchange traded funds, or regularly quoted and published third party-sponsored indices that include any of such equities or exchange traded funds.

"Valuation Date" means as specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement and, if applicable, the relevant SPB Product Supplement.

"Valuation Time" means as defined in the SPB General Terms Supplement.
SCHEDULE 2

Form of SPB Transaction Supplement

This SPB Transaction Supplement is entered into between Nomura International plc ("Nomura") and [●] ("Counterparty" and, together with Nomura, the "Parties") on the Trade Date set forth below.

The purpose of this communication is to confirm the terms and conditions of the SPB Transaction entered into between Nomura and Counterparty on the Trade Date specified below. This SPB Transaction Supplement supplements, forms part of and is subject to the Synthetic Prime Brokerage Master Confirmation between the Parties dated [●], as may be amended and supplemented from time to time (the "SPBMC"), and, together with the SPBMC, the SPB General Terms Supplement, the applicable SPB Product Supplement and the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable) constitutes a "Confirmation" as referred to in the Agreement.

The terms of the SPB Transaction to which this SPB Transaction Supplement relates are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Identifier</th>
<th>RIC Code / ISIN</th>
<th>Underlying</th>
<th>SPB Product Type</th>
<th>Futures Price Valuation¹</th>
<th>Multiplier</th>
<th>Maturity Date</th>
<th>Trade Date</th>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Long / Short / Unwind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SPB Bonds)</td>
<td>[Applicable][Not Applicable]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SPB Shares)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SPB Custom Basket)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SPB Index)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(SPB Futures)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ This will be "Applicable" where the SPB Product Type is SPB Futures. Futures Price Valuation may also be applied via the SPB Transaction Supplement to SPB Shares. For SPB Custom Baskets, please insert "N/A".
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Units</th>
<th>Initial Price / Final Price</th>
<th>FX Rate</th>
<th>Dividend / Distribution Percentage</th>
<th>Transaction Spread (bps)</th>
<th>Fee Adjustment (bps)</th>
<th>Related Exchange</th>
<th>Combined Synthetic Payment</th>
<th>Synthetic Payment Adjustment</th>
<th>Synthetic Payment Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Floating Amount Payer Payment Dates**

[Each Cash Settlement Payment Date] (1st Business Day of each month and each Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date) [Last SPB Business Day of each month and each Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date]

**Valuation Dates**

[*] / [1st Scheduled Trading Day of each month] / [Each Scheduled Trading Day] / [a) 1st February, 1st May, 1st August and 1st November in each year from the Effective Date to the Termination Date, or in each case if not a Scheduled Trading Day, the next following Scheduled Trading Day and (b) the Scheduled Trading Day falling one Settlement Cycle prior to the Termination Date] [AUD-SWAP-OIS-RBA] [GBP-LIBOR-ICE] [EUR-EURIBOR-EBF]

**Notifications of Calculation Agent amendments:**

Any notification of amendments by the Calculation Agent, including, without limitation, amendments resulting from any Corporate Action will not constitute a Confirmation but shall be a notice only.

---

2 This will be the "Initial" where the SPB Transaction is a short or long and Final Price where the SPB Transaction is an Unwind.
Valuation Time:
The time selected by the Calculation Agent.

Valuation Dates:
As specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement; provided that any reference to "Scheduled Trading Day" in the definition of Valuation Dates as specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement shall be construed as a reference to "Bond Business Day".

Disrupted Day:
Section 6.4 of the Equity Definitions shall not apply. "Disrupted Day" means any Scheduled Trading Day on which (a) any event that disrupts or impairs (as determined by the Calculation Agent) the ability of market participants in general to effect transactions in or obtain market values for the Bonds or (in the case of a Bond which is a convertible bond) any Underlying Shares has occurred or (b) in the case of a Bond which is a convertible or exchangeable bond, the Exchange fails to open for trading during its regular trading session. The Calculation Agent shall as soon as reasonably practicable under the circumstances notify the Parties or other Party, as the case may be, of the occurrence of a Disrupted Day on any day that, but for the occurrence of a Disrupted Day, would have been a Valuation Date. Without limiting the obligation of the Calculation Agent to notify the Parties as set forth...
the Transaction Face Amount as of the Observation Date relating to the Full Redemption Date.

**Synthetic Payment for Full Redemptions:**
The Synthetic Payment Notional Amount for the purposes of determining a Synthetic Payment pursuant to the occurrence of a Full Redemption shall be equal to the sum of the Full Redemption Amount and any Proceeds Value determined by the Calculation Agent in connection with such Full Redemption (or that would have been so determined if "Non-Cash Distributions, Redemptions and Conversions Occurring Following the Final Valuation Date" had applied thereto).

**Additional Equity Amounts:**

- **Additional Equity Amounts Payer:** As specified in the Consequences of Cash Partial Redemptions provision below.

- **Additional Equity Amounts Period:** The period which commences on, and includes, the Effective Date and ends on, but excludes, the final Cash Settlement Payment Date.

- **Additional Equity Amount:** In respect of any Partial Redemption that consists of cash (in whole or in part), the Additional Equity Amount shall be an amount equal to:
  
  (i) the Cash Partial Redemption Amount; minus
  
  (ii) the Initial Price as of the Valuation Date immediately preceding the Observation Date for such Cash Partial Redemption multiplied by the portion of the Transaction Face Amount to which such Cash Partial Redemption Amount relates (as determined by the Calculation Agent).

- **Additional Equity Amounts Payment Dates:** The Additional Equity Amounts Payer shall pay to the other Party any Additional Equity Amount five SPB Business Days following the related Partial Redemption Date, notwithstanding the occurrence of the final Cash Settlement Payment Date (unless the Partial Redemption Date occurs more than six months following the final Cash Settlement Payment Date, in which case the Additional Equity Amount will not be paid).

- **Partial Redemption:** Any repayments of principal on the Bonds or
to an obligation for either Party on account of such Non-Cash Partial Redemption which is a delivery rather than a payment obligation, and subject to the "Non-Cash Distributions and Redemptions Occurring Following the Final Valuation Date" provision of this SPB Bonds Supplement.

**Synthetic Payment for Partial Redemptions:** Counterparty, shall pay to Nomura a Synthetic Payment in respect of each Additional Equity Amount Payment Date. The Synthetic Payment Notional Amount for the purposes of determining such Synthetic Payment shall be equal to the sum of the Cash Partial Redemption Amount and the cash value of the Non-Cash Partial Redemption Amount, as determined by the Calculation Agent, (or as would have been so determined if "Non-Cash Distributions, Redemptions and Conversions Occurring Following the Final Valuation Date" had applied thereto).

**Distribution Amounts:**

- **Distribution Amounts Payer:** Equity Amount Payer
- **Distribution Amounts Period:** The period that commences on, and includes the Effective Date and ends on, but excludes, the final Cash Settlement Payment Date.
- **Distribution Amount:** Any and all payments or distributions, including, without limitation, interest and coupon payments and consent fees, but excluding Partial Redemption Amounts or Full Redemption Amounts, that are actually made by the Issuer to holders of the Bonds in the Relevant Jurisdiction in respect of an outstanding principal amount of the Bonds equal to the Transaction Face Amount as of the relevant Observation Date and for which the Observation Date occurs during the Distribution Amounts Period.
- **Actual Cash Distribution Amount:** The portion of a Distribution Amount, if any, that consists of cash.
- **Non-Cash Distribution Amount:** That portion of a Distribution Amount, if any, that consists of property other than cash including, without limitation, securities or other non-cash assets.
Consequences of Actual Cash Distribution Amounts: The Distribution Amounts Payer shall pay the Floating Amount Payer an amount (the "Cash Distribution Amount") equal to the product of the applicable Dividend/Distribution Percentage and the Actual Cash Distribution Amount five SPB Business Days following the relevant Distribution Receipt Date, notwithstanding the occurrence of the final Cash Settlement Payment Date (unless the Distribution Receipt Date occurs more than six (6) months following the final Cash Settlement Payment Date, in which case the Cash Distribution Amount will not be paid).

Consequences of Non-Cash Distribution Amounts: The Calculation Agent shall adjust the terms of the Transaction, effective as of the relevant Distribution Receipt Date, to account for any Non-Cash Distribution Amount(s), subject to the "Non-Cash Distributions and Redemptions Occurring Following the Final Valuation Date" provision of this SPB Bonds Supplement.

Distribution Receipt Dates: In respect of a Distribution Amount, the date on which the payment or distribution by the Issuer in respect of that Distribution Amount would have been received by holders of the Bonds in the Relevant Jurisdiction.

Additional Disruption Events:

Insolvency Filing: Applicable

Additional Provisions:

(a) **Conversions.** This provision shall apply only in respect of Bonds that are convertible or exchangeable bonds. If the Issuer converts the Bonds of holders in the Relevant Jurisdiction, whether pursuant to a mandatory conversion or optional conversion rights of the issuer or a holder, in whole or in part, into any securities or assets other than cash (including, without limitation, any Underlying Shares) (a "Conversion"), as of any Observation Date that occurs during the period from and including the Effective Date to but excluding the final Cash Settlement Payment Date, then, subject to the "Non-Cash Distributions and Redemptions Occurring Following the Final Valuation Date" provision of this SPB Bonds Supplement:

(i) if such conversion is in respect of less than all of the SPB Bonds
SPB MASTER CONFIRMATION

This SPB Master Confirmation (this “SPBMC”) is dated as of March 10, 2021. It sets out the terms and conditions on which Nomura Global Financial Products Inc. ("Nomura") agrees to provide a swap transaction facility to Archegos Fund, LP ("Counterparty" and, together with Nomura, each a "Party" and collectively the “Parties”).

1. Definitions and Interpretation.

1.1 The definitions and provisions contained in the 2006 ISDA Definitions (the “Swap Definitions”) and in the 2002 ISDA Equity Derivatives Definitions (the “Equity Definitions”, and together with the Swap Definitions, the “Definitions”), in each case as published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (“ISDA”), are incorporated into this SPBMC and the documents comprising the Confirmation (as hereinafter defined) with respect to each SPB Transaction entered into hereunder subject as specified herein. Any capitalized term not otherwise defined herein (including in Schedule 1 hereto) shall have the meaning assigned to such term in the Definitions.

1.2 In the event of any inconsistency between any of the Swap Definitions, the Equity Definitions, this SPBMC, the SPB General Terms Supplement, an SPB Product Supplement, an SPB Transaction Supplement or the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable), the following documents will prevail in relation to the relevant Transaction in the following order of precedence: the SPB Transaction Supplement, the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable), the applicable SPB Product Supplement, the SPB General Terms Supplement, this SPBMC, the Equity Definitions and the Swap Definitions.

1.3 Any reference to a currency shall have the meaning contained in the 1998 ISDA FX and Currency Option Definitions, as published by ISDA.

1.4 This SPBMC supplements, forms part of, and is subject to, the ISDA Master Agreement (including the Schedule and Credit Support Annex) entered into between the Parties and dated as of March 8, 2021, as amended and supplemented from time to time (the “Agreement”). All provisions in the Agreement govern this SPBMC, except as expressly modified below.

1.5 For the purposes of the Definitions and for the Agreement:

   (a) each SPB Transaction (subject to full or partial termination from time to time pursuant to any SPB Transaction Unwind) shall be a “Transaction” for the purposes of the Agreement and an Equity Swap Transaction for the purposes of the Equity Definitions; and

   (b) the “Confirmation” with respect to each such SPB Transaction shall comprise this SPBMC, the SPB General Terms Supplement, the applicable SPB Product Supplement, the SPB Transaction Supplement relating to that SPB Transaction and, if applicable, the Jurisdiction Supplement.

2. Confirmation Process

2.1 SPB Transaction Request

   (a) Counterparty may request on any Scheduled Trading Day after the date of this SPBMC that Nomura enter into an SPB Transaction or effect an SPB Transaction Unwind (each an “SPB Transaction Request”). Such SPB Transaction Request shall specify, at a minimum, the number of Units, the Underlying(s) and whether such transaction is to be
an SPB Transaction (and if so whether a Long SPB Transaction or a Short SPB
Transaction) or an SPB Transaction Unwind.

(b) Any SPB Transaction Request shall constitute an offer made upon the terms of this
SPBMC to Nomura to enter into such SPB Transaction or effect such SPB Transaction
Unwind. Counterparty may, by notice to Nomura (such notice being effective only when
actually received by Nomura), revoke the offer at any time until Nomura accepts the
offer.

(c) At any time whilst the offer constituted by an SPB Transaction Request for an SPB
Transaction or an SPB Transaction Unwind is outstanding Nomura may accept such SPB
Transaction Request and shall promptly acknowledge acceptance of such SPB
Transaction Request subject to the terms described hereinafter.

2.2 SPB Transaction Supplements

(a) For each SPB Transaction entered into and SPB Transaction Unwind effected on any date
Nomura will prepare and make available to Counterparty a transaction supplement
substantially in the form appended at Schedule 2 (an “SPB Transaction Supplement”).

(b) Following the provision of an SPB Transaction Supplement, Counterparty shall promptly
notify Nomura of any disagreements as to any terms. If Counterparty has not contacted
Nomura specifying the disagreements within one (1) SPB Business Day of the day on
which such SPB Transaction Supplement was made available to it then Counterparty shall
d be deemed to have agreed to such SPB Transaction Supplement and its terms shall be
final and conclusive absent manifest error.

2.3 SPB Transaction Unwinds

Under each SPB Transaction against which an SPB Transaction Unwind is applied (but only in
respect of the part of that SPB Transaction which is terminated pursuant to such application), a
Valuation Date shall occur (the “Termination Valuation Date”), which shall be the Scheduled
Trading Day agreed between the Parties or, if no agreement has been reached between the Parties at
the time of the relevant SPB Transaction Request then the Termination Valuation Date shall be
determined by the Calculation Agent. The Final Price, the Cash Settlement Payment Date and
Payment Date with respect to the Units of the relevant SPB Transaction(s) (or part thereof) to be
unwound shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of the relevant SPB Product
Supplement or Jurisdiction Supplement. The number of Units the subject of each SPB Transaction
affected by an SPB Transaction Unwind shall be amended by the Calculation Agent to reflect such
unwind on each applicable Termination Valuation Date.

2.4 Adjustments and modifications to SPB Transaction Supplements

(a) If Nomura determines, in its good faith discretion, that any adjustments or modifications
are required to any terms of any SPB Transaction Supplement, Nomura may:

(i) if the relevant adjustment or modification relates to any Terms, make such
adjustment or modification upon at least five (5) SPB Business Days’ written
notification to Counterparty of the revised Terms; provided that (x) the
foregoing is without prejudice to any right that Nomura may have to make a
Price Adjustment to any SPB Transaction in accordance with the other terms
hereof and (y) Nomura may make adjustments or modifications to the Terms of
any Short SPB Transaction related to a security that is classified by Nomura as a
hard-to-borrow or non-GC security or an index that includes any such security as
a constituent upon notice to Counterparty; or
(ii) if the relevant adjustment or modification relates to any other term of an SPB Transaction Supplement, make the relevant adjustment or modification to the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement and make an amended and restated version of such SPB Transaction Supplement available to Counterparty.

(b) Any notification of amendments to an SPB Transaction made by the Calculation Agent to the Parties, including, without limitation, amendments resulting from any Merger Events, Tender Offers, other Extraordinary Events or Potential Adjustment Events (each a “Corporate Action”), will (notwithstanding that such amendments may be notified in an amended and restated SPB Transaction Supplement) not constitute an SPB Transaction Supplement but shall be a notice only (and accordingly clause 2.4(c) shall not apply thereto).

(c) Following the provision of an amended and restated SPB Transaction Supplement or any adjustment or modification to Terms pursuant to Clause 2.4(a) above, Counterparty shall promptly notify Nomura of any disagreements as to any terms. If Counterparty has not contacted Nomura specifying the disagreements within three (3) SPB Business Days of the day on which such amended and restated SPB Transaction Supplement was made available to it (or, if earlier, the latest day by which the relevant Transaction must be confirmed in accordance with Applicable Law), then Counterparty shall be deemed to have agreed to such amended and restated SPB Transaction Supplement and its terms shall be final and conclusive absent manifest error.

2.5 Early Termination

Nomura may, in its sole discretion, by giving at least five SPB Business Days’ prior written notice to Counterparty (or such lesser period if required to comply with Applicable Law), terminate any outstanding SPB Transactions at a reasonable market rate determined by the Calculation Agent as if Counterparty had made and Nomura had accepted an SPB Transaction Unwind in relation to those SPB Transactions on the day such notice is effective.

3. Synthetic Payment

Counterparty shall pay to Nomura a Synthetic Payment (a) for each accepted SPB Transaction Request, (b) in respect of each termination (whether under its terms or otherwise) of an SPB Transaction and (c) as otherwise specified in the applicable SPB Product Supplement. A Synthetic Payment shall be calculated by Nomura and will be equal to the product of the Synthetic Payment Adjustment and the Synthetic Payment Notional Amount (“Synthetic Payment Amount”). If Combined Synthetic Payment is specified as “Not Applicable” in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, Counterparty shall pay all Synthetic Payment Amounts that have accrued but remain unpaid since the previous Synthetic Payment Date on the following Synthetic Payment Date. If Combined Synthetic Payment is specified as “Applicable” in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, then such Synthetic Payment Amounts will be included in the Initial Price and Final Price stated in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement.

4. Margin

With respect to any SPB Transaction, an Independent Amount with respect to the Counterparty shall be applicable, which Independent Amount shall be determined as follows: (a) if the parties have agreed that SPB Transactions will be margined in accordance with a rules-based margin methodology provided by Nomura to the Counterparty from time to time (the “Margin Methodology”), an amount equal to the Equity Value multiplied by a margin rate determined by Nomura in accordance with the Margin Methodology (which Independent Amount may be specified as an aggregate amount in respect of all SPB Transactions and/or other margin positions
IN WITNESS WHEREOF the Parties have executed this document with effect from the date specified on the first page of this document.

NOMURA GLOBAL FINANCIAL PRODUCTS INC.

By: [Signature]
Name: Joshua Kurek
Title: Authorized Representative

ARCHEGOS FUND, LP

By: [Signature]
Name: Sung Kook Hwang
Title: Managing Member of the General Partner

Nomura Global Financial Products Inc. / Archegos Fund, L.P
SPB Master Confirmation
“Lender” means, with respect to an SPB Transaction, any third party entity whom the Calculation Agent determines is engaged in securities lending transactions with Nomura or any of its Affiliates in connection with the SPB Transaction (including on a portfolio basis) involving securities identical or equivalent to (or involving securities of the same issuer in respect of) the relevant Underlying.

“Long Position” means with respect to an Underlying that the Counterparty has one or more SPB Transactions where the Counterparty is the Floating Amount Payer.

“Long SPB Transaction” means with respect to an Underlying, an SPB Transaction where the Counterparty is the Floating Amount Payer.

“Multiple Exchange Index” means, in relation to an SPB Transaction, an Index to which the Calculation Agent determines the provisions of the SPB Index/Futures Supplement relating to “Multiple Exchange Indices” shall apply.

“Open Market Country” means any country that is not a Closed Market Country.

“Record Amount Market” means each market as determined by the Calculation Agent where the customary practice is that a holder of shares entitlement to a dividend is determined by reference to the applicable record date for such dividend.

“Relevant Exchange Rate” means the exchange rate for converting one unit of the relevant Underlying Currency into 1 unit of the Settlement Currency at a time on the relevant date as determined by the Calculation Agent in a commercially reasonable manner.

“Scheduled Cash Settlement Payment Date” means 1 Settlement Cycle following each Valuation Date, or if not a Currency Business Day, the next following Currency Business Day.

“Short Position” means with respect to an Underlying that the Counterparty has one or more SPB Transactions where the Counterparty is the Equity Amount Payer.

“Short SPB Transaction” means with respect to an Underlying, an SPB Transaction where the Counterparty is the Equity Amount Payer.

“SPB Bonds” means an SPB Transaction that references Bonds and to which the SPB Bonds Supplement applies.

“SPB Business Day” means each day on which commercial banks and foreign exchange markets settle payments and are open for business (including dealings in foreign exchange and foreign exchange deposits) in London.

“SPB Custom Baskets” means an SPB Transaction that references a basket of Shares and to which the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement applies.

“SPB Futures” means an SPB Transaction that references Futures and to which the SPB Index/Futures Supplement applies.

“SPB Index” means an SPB Transaction that references an Index and to which the SPB Index/Futures Supplement applies.

“SPB Product Supplement” means each of the SPB Bonds Supplement, the SPB Index/Futures Supplement and the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement appended to this SPBMC.

“SPB Product Type” means the “Product Type” specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, being SPB Bonds, SPB Futures, SPB Index, SPB Shares or SPB Custom Baskets, as applicable.
“SPB Shares” means an SPB Transaction that references Shares and to which the SPB Shares/Custom Baskets Supplement applies.

“SPB Transaction” means an Equity Swap Transaction entered into under the terms of this SPBMC.

“SPB Transaction Unwind” means, with respect to an Underlying referenced in one or more SPB Transactions, the termination (in whole or in part) of one or more SPB Transactions in respect of that Underlying, as effected on the Termination Valuation Date with respect to that SPB Transaction Unwind. The Underlying, number of Units and Termination Valuation Date with respect to each SPB Transaction Unwind shall be set out in an SPB Transaction Supplement.

“Synthetic Payment Adjustment” means the value specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as may be adjusted by Nomura from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the SPBMC in relation to the Terms.

“Synthetic Payment Notional Amount” means for the purposes of determining a Synthetic Payment Amount (i) in respect of an accepted SPB Transaction Request, the Initial Price prior to the first Valuation Date multiplied by the number of Units for the SPB Transaction with respect to that SPB Transaction Request specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, (ii) in respect of the termination of an SPB Transaction pursuant to an SPB Unwind or Clause 2.3 of the SPBMC, the Final Price determined for the purposes of such SPB Transaction Unwind or termination multiplied by the number of Units subject to such SPB Transaction Unwind or termination, (iii) in respect of the termination of an SPB Transaction other than where Sub-clause (ii) applies, the Final Price determined for the purposes of such termination multiplied by the Number of Units under that SPB Transaction as of the Termination Date and expressed to be payable under the SPB Transaction Supplement.

“Termination Valuation Date” has the meaning given to it in Clause 2.3 of the SPBMC. Other than for the purposes of Clause 2.3 of the SPBMC, a Termination Valuation Date shall not be a Valuation Date.

“Terms” means each of the following terms: the Transaction Spread, Floating Rate, Dividend/Distribution Percentage, Fee Adjustment and the Synthetic Payment Adjustment.

“Transaction Spread” means for each SPB Transaction, the relevant Transaction Spread as specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as may be adjusted by Nomura from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the SPBMC in relation to the Terms.

“Underlying” means (i) in respect of an SPB Transaction to which Futures Price Valuation does not apply, the bonds, debt securities, index or shares specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as applicable in each case, and (ii) in respect of SPB Transactions to which Futures Price Valuation applies, the Futures or the relevant Exchange-traded Contract relating to the Shares or Index specified in the relevant SPB Transaction Supplement, as applicable in each case.

“Underlying Currency” means the currency in which the relevant Underlying (or, in the case of Custom Basket Shares, the Shares of any Issuer included in the SPB Custom Baskets) is denominated except that if the Underlying is an Index the Underlying Currency means the relevant currency of the Index as described on the relevant Bloomberg Page or such other source as the Calculation Agent shall reasonably determine.

“Underlying Jurisdiction” means, with respect to any SPB Transaction that has an SPB Product Type of (a) SPB Shares, SPB Futures or SPB Index, the jurisdiction of incorporation of the issuer of the Underlying, and (b) SPB Custom Baskets, each of the jurisdictions of incorporation of the issuers of the Shares included in the SPB Custom Basket.

“Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date” means 1 Settlement Cycle following each Termination Valuation Date, or if not a Currency Business Day, the next following Currency Business Day.
This SPB Transaction Supplement is entered into between Nomura Global Financial Products Inc. ("Nomura") and Counterparty ("Counterparty" and, together with Nomura, the "Parties") on the Trade Date set forth below.

The purpose of this communication is to confirm the terms and conditions of the SPB Transaction entered into between Nomura and Counterparty on the Trade Date specified below. This SPB Transaction Supplement supplement, forms part of and is subject to the SPB Master Confirmation between the Parties dated as of [●], as may be amended and supplemented from time to time (the "SPBMC"), and, together with the SPBMC, the SPB General Terms Supplement, the applicable SPB Product Supplement and the Jurisdiction Supplement (if applicable) constitutes a “Confirmation” as referred to in the Agreement.

The terms of the SPB Transaction to which this SPB Transaction Supplement relates are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floating Amount Payer Payment Dates</th>
<th>Valuation Dates</th>
<th>Floating Rate Option</th>
<th>Combined Synthetic Payment</th>
<th>Margin Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Each Cash Settlement Payment Date] [1st Business Day of each month and each Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date] [Last SPB Business Day of each month and each Unwind Cash Settlement Payment Date]</td>
<td>[*] / [1st Scheduled Trading Day of each month] / [Each Scheduled Trading Day] / [(a) 1st February, 1st May, 1st August and 1st November in each year from the Effective Date to the Termination Date, or in each case if not a Scheduled Trading Day, the next following Scheduled Trading Day and (b) the Scheduled Trading Day falling one Settlement Cycle prior to the Termination Date]</td>
<td>[AUD-SWAP-OIS-RBA] [HIBOR-HKAB] [JPY-LIBOR-ICE] [NZD-OCR-RBNZ] [SGD-SIBOR-ABS] [USD-LIBOR-ICE] [GBP-LIBOR-ICE] [EUR-LIBOR-ICE] [EUR-EURIBOR-EBF]</td>
<td>[Applicable] [Not Applicable]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Identifier</td>
<td>RIC Code / ISIN</td>
<td>Underlying</td>
<td>SPB Product Type</td>
<td>Trade Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[SPB Bonds]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[SPB Shares]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[SPB Custom Basket]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[SPB Bonds]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[SPB Shares]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[SPB Custom Basket]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 This will be “Applicable” where the SPB Product Type is SPB Futures. Futures Price Valuation may also be applied via the SPB Transaction Supplement to SPB Shares. For SPB Custom Baskets, please insert “N/A”.

2 This will be “Applicable” where the SPB Product Type is SPB Futures. Futures Price Valuation may also be applied via the SPB Transaction Supplement to SPB Shares. For SPB Custom Baskets, please insert “N/A”.

3 This will be “Applicable” where the SPB Product Type is SPB Futures. Futures Price Valuation may also be applied via the SPB Transaction Supplement to SPB Shares. For SPB Custom Baskets, please insert “N/A”.

4 Where the Product Type is SPB Custom Basket, the following shall apply:

The information provided to you as counterparty to an SPB Master Confirmation (the “Information” and the “Counterparty” respectively) in relation to any custom baskets of shares or other relevant financial instruments created by Nomura International plc (an “NIP Custom Basket”), is provided by the Prime Finance department of Nomura International plc (“NIP”). NIP is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority (“PRA”), regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority and the PRA and is a member of the London Stock Exchange.

For the avoidance of doubt and without limitation, Information shall include: (i) any materials relating to the NIP Custom Basket; and (ii) in particular, the level(s) of any such NIP Custom Baskets or the level(s) of transaction(s) linked to the NIP Custom Basket which may be published or communicated by NIP from time to time (including on any page, platform or source, such as Bloomberg) and any corresponding page ticker or identifier (such level and/or ticker together, the “Level”).

Information relating to the NIP Custom Basket (or any part of it) is confidential and has been furnished solely for the Counterparty’s information, it should be held in complete and strict confidence, and must not be referred to, disclosed, transmitted, reproduced or redistributed, in whole or in part, to another person. By receiving such Information the Counterparty agrees and represents that, (a) unless the Counterparty first obtains written consent from NIP, the Counterparty shall not disclose the Level to any person (including any of the Counterparty’s affiliates or group companies) other than those of the Counterparty’s own directors, officers and employees whose knowledge of such information is strictly necessary for these purposes and (b) the Counterparty shall inform NIP immediately upon becoming aware of any suspected or actual breach of this requirement. Neither
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Units</th>
<th>Initial Price / Final Price</th>
<th>FX Rate</th>
<th>Synthetic Payment Adjustment</th>
<th>Dividend / Distribution Percentage</th>
<th>Transaction Spread (bps)</th>
<th>Fee Adjustment (bps)</th>
<th>Related Exchange</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notifications of Calculation Agent amendments:

Any notification of amendments by the Calculation Agent, including, without limitation, amendments resulting from any Corporate Action will not constitute a Confirmation but shall be a notice only.

the Custom Baskets nor Levels are administered as a benchmark for the purposes of the European Benchmark Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 ("EU BMR")) or the Benchmarks (Amendment and Transitional Provisions) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 ("UK BMR").

Unless otherwise agreed in writing between the Counterparty and NIP, the Counterparty shall not use any information, NIP Custom Baskets or Levels in any manner which would amount to the use of such information or Levels as a benchmark within the scope of the EU BMR, UK BMR, or within the scope of the IOSCO Principles for Financial Benchmarks, which for the avoidance of doubt includes, use of information, NIP Custom Baskets or Levels: 1. As reference for the issuance of a financial instrument; 2. As reference for the determination of the amount payable under a financial instrument or a financial contract; 3. As reference by a party to a financial contract; and 4. For measuring the performance of an investment fund.

This will be the “Initial” where the SPB Transaction is a short or long and Final Price where the SPB Transaction is an Unwind.
**Part 4, Question 15:** Non-publicly traded stock interests in incorporated and unincorporated businesses, including any interest in an LLC, partnership, or joint venture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-publicly traded stock and interests in incorporated and unincorporated businesses, including any interest in an LLC, partnership, or joint venture (Name of entity:)</th>
<th>% of Ownership</th>
<th>Valuation method used for current value</th>
<th>Current value of debtor's interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCOIN DIGITAL ASSETS LTD</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-EQUITY AG</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCEDUS DIGITAL ASSETS</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAAG TRADING, DMCC</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX CERTIFICATES GMBH</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX CRYPTO SERVICES LTD.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX EU LTD.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX EXCHANGE FZE</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX STRUCTURED PRODUCTS AG</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX SWITZERLAND GMBH</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX TRADING GMBH</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [DI: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ Undetermined Amounts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Assets - Real and Personal Property

### Part 4, Question 15: Non-publicly traded stock interests in incorporated and unincorporated businesses, including any interest in an LLC, partnership, or joint venture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-publicly traded stock and interests in incorporated and unincorporated businesses, including any interest in an LLC, partnership, or joint venture (Name of entity:)</th>
<th>% of Ownership</th>
<th>Valuation method used for current value</th>
<th>Current value of debtor's interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIGITAL CUSTODY INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMBED FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX LEND INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX MARKETPLACE, INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD LUCK GAMES, LLC</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAWAII DIGITAL ASSETS INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEDGER HOLDINGS INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIONEER STREET INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST REALM SHIRES FINANCIAL SERVICES INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST REALM SHIRES SERVICES INC.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>% Ownership Per Corporate Org Structure [Di: #92]</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BITNOMIAL, INC.</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Funded Amount</td>
<td>$2,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEX GROUP, INC.</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Funded Amount</td>
<td>$112,554,985.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** |  |  | **$114,554,985.67**

+ Undetermined Amounts
**Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy**

**SOFA Question 25:** Other businesses in which the debtor has or has had an interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name and Address</th>
<th>Nature of Business Operation</th>
<th>EIN</th>
<th>Existed From</th>
<th>Existed To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIGITAL CUSTODY INC. 122 S PHILLIPS AVE</td>
<td>Financial offerings</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/17/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUITE 250 SIOUX FALLS, SD 57104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMBED FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGIES INC. 651 N BROAD STREET</td>
<td>Securities Clearing Firm</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>03/01/2022</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUITE 206B MIDDLETOWN, DE 19709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX LEND INC. 167 N GREEN STREET SUITE 1102</td>
<td>Non-Operating Lending Business</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>03/25/2022</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICAGO, IL 60607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX MARKETPLACE INC. 167 N GREEN STREET SUITE 1102</td>
<td>Dormant Entity</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>10/13/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICAGO, IL 60607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD LUCK GAMES, LLC 167 N GREEN STREET SUITE 1102</td>
<td>Operates a virtual gaming business.; Creator of the crypto card auto battle game Storybook Brawl</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>09/07/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICAGO, IL 60607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAWAII DIGITAL ASSETS INC. 167 N GREEN STREET SUITE 1102</td>
<td>Dormant Entity</td>
<td>35-2669879</td>
<td>08/09/2022</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICAGO, IL 60607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEDGER HOLDINGS INC. 1110 BRICKELL AVE SUITE 430K-200 MAMI, FL 33131</td>
<td>Holding Company</td>
<td>87-2550264</td>
<td>09/07/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIONEER STREET INC. 167 N GREEN STREET SUITE 1102</td>
<td>Dormant Entity</td>
<td>84-455402</td>
<td>01/29/2020</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICAGO, IL 60607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST REALM SHIRES FINANCIAL SERVICES INC. 167 N. GREEN STREET SUITE 1102</td>
<td>Holding company of FTX Capital Markets LLC</td>
<td>88-2663993</td>
<td>05/27/2022</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICAGO, IL 60607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST REALM SHIRES SERVICES INC. 167 N. GREEN STREET SUITE 1102</td>
<td>Operator of On-line Digital Currency exchange marketplace</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>05/05/2020</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICAGO, IL 60607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BITNOMIAL, INC. 318 W ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEX GROUP, INC. 3 WORLD TRADE CENTER 58TH FLOOR NY, NY 10007</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Name and Address</td>
<td>Nature of Business Operation</td>
<td>EIN</td>
<td>Existed From</td>
<td>Existed To</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCOIN DIGITAL ASSETS LTD 3 Cavendish Row Dublin I, D0 I KY26 IRELAND (EIRE)</td>
<td>Dormant Entity</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/14/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-EQUITY AG KAUFRINGERSTRAßE 20 MUNICH, 80331 GERMANY</td>
<td>Majority owned by Third Party</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>09/30/2022</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCEDUS DIGITAL ASSETS Schlehenstrasse 6 90542 Eckental GERMANY</td>
<td>Financial offerings</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/14/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAAQ TRADING, DMCC UNIT 2617 DMCC BUSINESS CENTRE LEVEL NO. 1, JEWELRY &amp; GEMPLEX 3 DUBAI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</td>
<td>Proprietary trading firm</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/14/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX CERTIFICATES GMBH CHURERSTRASSE 135 8808 PFÄFFIKON SWITZERLAND</td>
<td>Issuer of Structured Products.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/14/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX CRYPTO SERVICES LTD. AVE 23 SPYROU KYPRIANOU 3RD FLOOR, 4001 LIMASSOL, CYPRUS</td>
<td>Crypto Asset Service Provider</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/24/2020</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX EU LTD. Churerstrasse 135 8808 Pfäffikon, SWITZERLAND</td>
<td>Investment Services</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/14/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX EXCHANGE FZE c/o FTX Europe AG Churerstrasse 135 8808 Pfäffikon, SWITZERLAND</td>
<td>Trading Vehicle</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/14/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX STRUCTURED PRODUCTS AG 13 SCHAANERSTRASSE VADUZ, 9490 LIECHTENSTEIN</td>
<td>Services for banks and credit institutions</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/14/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX SWITZERLAND GMBH CHURERSTRASSE 135 8808 PFÄFFIKON SWITZERLAND</td>
<td>Financial Intermediary</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11/14/2021</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTX TRADING GMBH 63 Wülfeler Straße Hanover, 30539 GERMANY</td>
<td>Fintech product collaboration vehicle</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>02/03/2020</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Official Form 206G

### Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

Be as complete and accurate as possible. If more space is needed, copy and attach the additional page, numbering the entries consecutively.

1. **Does the debtor have any executory contracts or unexpired leases?**
   - [ ] No. Check this box and file this form with the court with the debtor’s other schedules. There is nothing else to report on this form.
   - [x] Yes. Fill in all of the information below even if the contracts or leases are listed on Schedule A/B: Assets - Real and Personal Property (Official Form 206A/B).

2. **List all contracts and unexpired leases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Counterparty Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>JOINT VENTURE AGREEMENT DATED 10/27/2020</td>
<td>CM-Equity</td>
<td>Kaufingerstrafie 20 Munich, 80331 GERMANY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>ENGAGEMENT FOR TAXATION AND PAYROLL SERVICES DATED 5/5/2022</td>
<td>Deloitte Limited</td>
<td>24 Spyrou Kyprianou Avenue P.O.Box 21675 Nicosia, CY-1075 CYPRUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>JOINT VENTURE AGREEMENT DATED 10/27/2020</td>
<td>Digital Assets DA AG</td>
<td>IndustriestraBe 28 Herisau, 9100 SWITZERLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>LIQUIDITY SERVICES PROVIDER AGREEMENT DATED 3/1/2022</td>
<td>FTX EU Ltd.</td>
<td>Churerstrasse 135 8808 Pfaffikon, SWITZERLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>MANAGEMENT CONSULTING CONTRACT DATED 1/5/2022</td>
<td>FTX Europe AG</td>
<td>135 Churerstrasse Pfaffikon, 8808 SWITZERLAND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Part 2: List All Creditors with NONPRIORITY Unsecured Claims

3. List in alphabetical order all of the creditors with nonpriority unsecured claims. If the debtor has more than 6 creditors with nonpriority unsecured claims, fill out and attach the Additional Page of Part 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonpriority creditor’s name and mailing address</th>
<th>Amount of claim</th>
<th>Date or dates debt was incurred</th>
<th>Last 4 digits of account number</th>
<th>Basis for the claim</th>
<th>Is the claim subject to offset?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allianz Suisse Versicherung-Gesellschaft AG</td>
<td>$ 965.29</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trade Payable</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richtipl. 1, 8904 Wallisellen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWITZERLAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AristaFlow GmbH</td>
<td>$ 19,403.35</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trade Payable</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talfinger Str. 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulm, 89073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERMANY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kephas Corporation</td>
<td>$ Undetermined</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stablecoin Collateral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1254 Bay St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florence, OR 97439</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-EQUITY</td>
<td>$ Undetermined</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAUFINGERSTRABE 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUNICH, 80331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERMANY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLL MEYERLUSTENBERGER</td>
<td>$ 5,013.56</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trade Payable</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRABENSTRASSE 2 6340 BAAR/ZUG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZURICH, SWITZERLAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Dr. Dirk Zetzschke, Düsseldorf</td>
<td>$ 32,076.00</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trade Payable</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Counterparty Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>LEASE AGREEMENT - THE OFFICES</td>
<td>DATED 9/1/2022</td>
<td>Dubai World Trade Centre LLC Sheikh Zayed Road P.O.Box 9292 Dubai, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>LEASE SURRENDER AGREEMENT</td>
<td>DATED 8/10/2022</td>
<td>Dubai World Trade Centre LLC Sheikh Zayed Road P.O. Box 9292 Dubai, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>INTERNET PRO BUSINESS EDGE</td>
<td>DATED 10/27/2022</td>
<td>EMIRATES TELECOMMUNICATIONS GROUP COMPANY P.J.S.C. ETISALAT TOWER 1 DIERA PO BOX 3838 ABU DHABI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>ACCOUNTING WITH VAT SERVICES</td>
<td>DATED 8/5/2022</td>
<td>FARAHAT &amp; CO. PO BOX 4647 DUBAI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>FRANCHISE AGREEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTX Europe AG 135 Churerstrasse Pfaffikon, 8808, SWITZERLAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>INTERCOMPANY SERVICE AGREEMENT</td>
<td>DATED 10/1/2022</td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd. 10-11 Mandolín Place, Friars Hill Road St. John’s AG-04, ANTIGUA &amp; BARBUDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>MARKETING AGREEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hoko Agency Middle East FZ LLC TwoFour54 PO Box 2454 Abu Dhabi, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>List all contracts and unexpired leases</strong></td>
<td><strong>State the name and mailing address for all other parties with whom the debtor has an executory contract or unexpired lease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>TERM SHEET AGREEMENT DATED 12/23/2021</td>
<td>DMAP Vendor (Giorgio Antonucci and René Robert Wandfluh) ADDRESS UNKNOWN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td><a href="#">FRANCHISE AGREEMENT DATED 5/12/2021</a></td>
<td>FTX EU Ltd. Churerstrasse 135 8808 Pfäffikon, SWITZERLAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td><a href="#">INTERCOMPANY AMENDMENT TO THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT DATED 12/5/2021</a></td>
<td>FTX EU Ltd. Churerstrasse 135 8808 Pfäffikon, SWITZERLAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10</td>
<td><a href="#">FRANCHISE AGREEMENT</a></td>
<td>FTX Exchange FZE c/o FTX Europe AG Churerstrasse 135 8808 Pfäffikon, SWITZERLAND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>MANAGEMENT CONSULTING CONTRACT DATED 1/5/2022</td>
<td>FTX Trading GmbH 63 Wülfeler Straße Hanover, 30539 GERMANY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>POWER OF ATTORNEY AGREEMENT DATED 9/5/2022</td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd. 10-11 Mandolin Place, Friars Hill Road St. John’s AG-04, ANTIGUA &amp; BARBUDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Additional Page if Debtor Has More Executory Contracts or Unexpired Leases

Copy this page only if more space is needed. Continue numbering the lines sequentially from the previous page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Contract Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT DATED 5/2/2022</td>
<td>Constantinos Charalampous &lt;br&gt;ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT DATED 5/26/2021</td>
<td>Erini Athinodorou &lt;br&gt;ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT DATED 11/19/2021</td>
<td>Frini Fournari &lt;br&gt;ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>FRANCHISE AGREEMENT DATED 5/12/2021</td>
<td>FTX Europe AG &lt;br&gt;135 Churerstrasse &lt;br&gt;Pfaffikon, 8808, SWITZERLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>INTERCOMPANY AMENDMENT TO THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT DATED 12/5/2021</td>
<td>FTX Europe AG &lt;br&gt;135 Churerstrasse &lt;br&gt;Pfaffikon, 8808, SWITZERLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>LIQUIDITY SERVICES PROVIDER AGREEMENT DATED 3/1/2022</td>
<td>FTX Trading GmbH &lt;br&gt;63 Wülfler Straße &lt;br&gt;Hanover, 30539, GERMANY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>SERVICE AGREEMENT DATED 4/1/2022</td>
<td>FTX Trading Ltd. &lt;br&gt;10-11 Mandolin Place, Friars Hill Road &lt;br&gt;St. John's AG-04, ANTIGUA &amp; BARBUDA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Nr</th>
<th>Party Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2.20        | SIDE AGREEMENT  
James Eugene Manczak  
ADDRESS ON FILE |
| 2.21        | AMENDMENT TO THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT NO.2 DATED 2/11/2022  
K-DNA Financial Services Ltd  
Griva Digeni  
Anna Tower, 1st Floor  
Limassol, CY-3063  
CYPRUS |
| 2.22        | AMENDMENT TO THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT DATED 2/9/2022  
K-DNA Financial Services Ltd  
Griva Digeni  
Anna Tower, 1st Floor  
Limassol, CY-3063  
CYPRUS |
| 2.23        | SIDE LETTER TO THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT DATED 5/12/2021  
K-DNA Financial Services Ltd  
56, Griva Digeni  
Anna Tower, 1st Floor  
Limassol, CY-3063  
CYPRUS |
| 2.24        | QUOTA PURCHASE AGREEMENT  
light year capital GmbH  
Schlehenstraße 6  
Eckental, 90542  
GERMANY |
| 2.25        | LETTER RE: MATTER AGREEMENT DATED 8/22/2022  
Loyens & Loeff Advocaten-Avocats CVBA/SCRL  
Tervurenaan 2  
Brussels, 1040  
BELGIUM |
| 2.26        | CONSULTANCY AND EXCLUSIVITY AGREEMENT DATED 4/29/2022  
Matthew Robert Straughen  
ADDRESS ON FILE |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debtor</th>
<th>FTX EU Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case number (if known): 22-11166 (JTD)**

---

## Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases

Copy this page only if more space is needed. Continue numbering the lines sequentially from the previous page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List all contracts and unexpired leases</th>
<th>State the name and mailing address for all other parties with whom the debtor has an executory contract or unexpired lease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.13</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest | ...
| State the term remaining | ...
| List the contract number of any government contract | ...
| **EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT DATED 4/4/2022** | Irene Kitrou
| ADDRESS ON FILE | |
| **2.14** | | |
| State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest | ...
| State the term remaining | ...
| List the contract number of any government contract | ...
| **AFFILIATE AGREEMENT** | JAUME MORENO ROVIRA
| ADDRESS ON FILE | |
| **2.15** | | |
| State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest | ...
| State the term remaining | ...
| List the contract number of any government contract | ...
| **EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT DATED 3/28/2022** | Joanna Argyridou
| ADDRESS ON FILE | |
| **2.16** | | |
| State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest | ...
| State the term remaining | ...
| List the contract number of any government contract | ...
| **AMENDMENT TO THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT DATED 2/9/2022** | K-DNA Financial Services Ltd
| Griva Digeni | Anna Tower
| 1st Floor | Limassol, CY-3063
| CYPRUS | |
| **2.17** | | |
| State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest | ...
| State the term remaining | ...
| List the contract number of any government contract | ...
| **AMENDMENT TO THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT NO.2 DATED 2/11/2022** | K-DNA Financial Services Ltd
| Griva Digeni | Anna Tower
| 1st Floor | Limassol, CY-3063
| CYPRUS | |
| **2.18** | | |
| State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest | ...
| State the term remaining | ...
| List the contract number of any government contract | ...
| **SIDE LETTER TO THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT DATED 5/12/2021** | K-DNA Financial Services Ltd
| 56, Griva Digeni | Anna Tower, 1st Floor
| Limassol, CY-3063 | CYPRUS |
| **2.19** | | |
| State what the contract or lease is for and the nature of the debtor's interest | ...
| State the term remaining | ...
| List the contract number of any government contract | ...
| **ENGAGEMENT LETTER DATED 10/1/2021** | Konkrit Accounting Services Ltd
| Williamson House, Aiolou & Panagioti Diomidous 9
| P.O. Box 59511 | Katholiki
| Limassol, CYPRUS | |
### Part 2: Additional Page

Copy this page only if more space is needed. Continue numbering the lines sequentially from the previous page. If no additional NONPRIORITY creditors exist, do not fill out or submit this page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonpriority creditor’s name and mailing address</th>
<th>As of the petition filing date, the claim is:</th>
<th>Amount of claim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3.7 IEX GROUP, INC.</strong>&lt;br&gt;3 WORLD TRADE CENTER&lt;br&gt;58TH FLOOR&lt;br&gt;NEW YORK, NY 10007</td>
<td><strong>$</strong>&lt;br&gt;Undetermined</td>
<td><strong>Basis for the claim:</strong> Threatened Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date or dates debt was incurred</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td><strong>Is the claim subject to offset?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last 4 digits of account number</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **3.8 INSIGHT DIRECT USA INC**<br>2701 E INSIGHT WAY<br.CHANDLER, AZ 85286 | **$**<br>756.32 | **Basis for the claim:** Trade Payable |
| Date or dates debt was incurred | Various | **Is the claim subject to offset?** |
| Last 4 digits of account number | | No |

| **3.9 INTRINIO, INC.**<br>76 4TH STREET N #150<br.SAINT PETERSBURG, FL 33731 | **$**<br>1,750.00 | **Basis for the claim:** Trade Payable |
| Date or dates debt was incurred | Various | **Is the claim subject to offset?** |
| Last 4 digits of account number | | No |

| **3.10 PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS**<br>1 EMBANKMENT PLACE<br.LONDON, WC2N 6RH<br.UNITED KINGDOM | **$**<br>2,091.84 | **Basis for the claim:** Trade Payable |
| Date or dates debt was incurred | Various | **Is the claim subject to offset?** |
| Last 4 digits of account number | | No |

<p>| <strong>3.11 SINA NADER</strong>&lt;br.ADDRESS ON FILE | <strong>$</strong>&lt;br&gt;Undetermined | <strong>Basis for the claim:</strong> Indemnity Agreement |
| Date or dates debt was incurred | Undetermined | <strong>Is the claim subject to offset?</strong> |
| Last 4 digits of account number | | No |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Name/Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>Retention Incentive Award Agreement</td>
<td>Gregory Sandman, ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>CONSULTING AGREEMENT DATED 3/31/2021</td>
<td>HAROLD BOO, ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>COLLABORATION AGREEMENT DATED 3/18/2022</td>
<td>IEX DAP Group LLC, 3 World Trade Center, 58th Floor, New York, NY 10007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>IEX GROUP, INC. TERM SHEET FOR WEST REALM SHIRES INC. DATED 1/4/2022</td>
<td>IEX GROUP, INC., ADDRESS UNKNOWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT DATED 1/7/2022</td>
<td>Intrinio, Inc., 76 4th Street N #150, Saint Petersburg, FL 33731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>CONSULTING AGREEMENT DATED 3/31/2021</td>
<td>Ivana Milicic, ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>CONSULTING AGREEMENT DATED 3/31/2021</td>
<td>JASON HAMLIN, ADDRESS ON FILE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SETTLEMENT AND STOCK EXCHANGE AGREEMENT

BY AND BETWEEN

IEX GROUP, INC.,

WEST REALM SHIRES INC.

AND

FTX TRADING LTD.

dated as of

July 31, 2023
This SETTLEMENT AND STOCK EXCHANGE AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) is made as of July 31, 2023, by and between FTX Trading Ltd., a company established under the laws of Antigua and Barbuda (“FTXT”), West Realm Shires Inc. (“WRS” and, together with FTXT, collectively with any of their successors or assigns, “FTX” and each, an “FTX Entity”), and IEX Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("IEX"). The signatories to this Agreement are collectively referred to as the “Parties” and individually as a “Party”. Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in Article IX below.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, pursuant to a Share Exchange Agreement, dated as of March 18, 2022, as amended as of May 17, 2022, by and among FTX and IEX, WRS acquired 1,570,142 shares of Common Stock, $0.01 par value per share, of IEX (the “IEX Shares”) and IEX acquired 5,663,211 shares of Common Shares, par value US$0.0000026 per share, of FTXT (the “FTXT Shares”) and 49,234,136 shares of Class A Common Stock, $0.00001 par value per share (the “WRS Shares” and, together with the FTXT Shares, the “FTX Shares”), of WRS (the “Prior Transaction”);

WHEREAS, IEX has asserted that the FTX entities fraudulently induced IEX into entering into the Share Exchange Agreement by, among other things, making false statements during the negotiations therefor and by making knowingly false representations in the Share Exchange Agreement and at the closing thereof;

WHEREAS, on November 11, 2022 and November 14, 2022, FTX and certain of its Affiliates (collectively, the “Debtors”) commenced voluntary proceedings under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (11 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq., as amended, the “Bankruptcy Code”) by filing petitions for relief in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Court”), which cases are being jointly administered as In re FTX Trading Ltd., et al. (Case No. 22-11068 (JTD)) (the “Bankruptcy Proceedings”);

WHEREAS, WRS asserts that it continues to own the IEX Shares and IEX continues to own the FTX Shares;

WHEREAS, IEX has asserted that the IEX Shares are not property of the WRS bankruptcy estate nor any of the other Debtors’ estates;

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to effect an exchange of all the FTX Shares for 991,542 of the IEX Shares (the “Transferred IEX Shares”) pursuant to Sections 105 and 363 of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”);

WHEREAS, the Parties desire that FTX will retain 578,600 of the IEX Shares (the “Retained IEX Shares”);

WHEREAS, the Parties desire that, promptly following the Bankruptcy Court’s approval of the Exchange and Settlement Order, IEX and FTX will, commence a sale process for the Retained IEX Shares, as provided in this Agreement, including by soliciting IEX’s existing
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have executed this Agreement as of the date first above written.

FTX1:

FTX TRADING, LTD.

By: ____________________________

Name: John J. Ray III
Title: Authorized Signatory

WRS:

WEST REALM SHIRES INC.

By: ____________________________

Name: John J. Ray III
Title: Authorized Signatory

[Signature Page to Settlement and Stock Exchange Agreement]
IEX:

IEX GROUP, INC.

By: __________________________________
Name: Brad Katsuyama
Title: CEO
IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE  

In re:  
FTX TRADING LTD., et al.,  
Debtors.  

Chapter 11  
Case No. 22-11068 (JTD)  
(Jointly Administered)

ALAMEDA RESEARCH LTD., WEST REALM SHIRES, INC., and WEST REALM SHIRES SERVICES, INC.,  

Plaintiffs,  
- against -  

MICHAEL GILES, et al.,  
Defendants.  

Adv. Pro. No. 23-_____ (JTD)


Plaintiffs Alameda Research Ltd. ("Alameda"), West Realm Shires, Inc. ("WRS"), and West Realm Shires Services, Inc. ("WRSS") (together, the "Plaintiffs"), through their undersigned counsel, for their Complaint against Michael Giles and certain former holders

---

1 The last four digits of FTX Trading Ltd.’s and Alameda Research LLC’s tax identification numbers are 3288 and 4063 respectively. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these Chapter 11 cases, a complete list of the Debtors and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent at https://cases.ra.kroll.com/FTX.

2 Due to the large number of Defendants (defined below) in this adversary proceeding, a complete list is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
of equity of Embed Financial Technologies Inc. ("Embed") (together, the “Defendants”), state as follows:

**NATURE OF THE CASE**

1. Plaintiffs bring this adversary proceeding pursuant to Sections 105, 544, 547, 548, and 550 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the “Bankruptcy Code”), and Sections 1304 and 1305 of Title 6 of the Delaware Code, Del. Code Ann. tit. 6, §§ 1304(a)(1)-(2) and 1305, to avoid and recover from Defendants, or from any other person or entity for whose benefit the transfers were made or obligations incurred, all transfers of property of Plaintiffs and all obligations of Plaintiffs to Defendants made on or around September 30, 2022, prior to commencement of the above-captioned bankruptcy cases (collectively, the “Chapter 11 Cases” and each a “Chapter 11 Case”), by the above-captioned debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the “Debtors” and each a “Debtor”).

2. On November 11 and November 14, 2022 (as applicable, the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Court”) voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee has been appointed for Plaintiffs or any other Debtor in the Chapter 11 Cases, and the Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors-in-possession pursuant to Sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Joint administration of the Chapter 11

---

Defendants include former holders of Embed (a) shares, (b) options, and (c) simple agreements for future equity ("SAFEs"). Although SAFEs are entitlements to receive equity upon the occurrence of a future conversion event, Plaintiffs are categorizing SAFE holders as equity holders for purposes of this Complaint for ease of reference. Two separate adversary proceedings are being filed contemporaneously against the following additional former Embed equity holders: (i) Rocket Internet Capital Partners II SCS, Rocket Internet Capital Partners (Euro) II SCS, GFC Global Founders Capital GMBH, GFC Global Founders Capital GMBH & Co. Beteiligungs KG Nr. 1, William Hockey Living Trust, and 9Yards Capital Investments II LP, and (ii) Samuel Bankman-Fried, Zixiao Wang, and Nishad Singh.
Cases was authorized by the Court by an order entered on November 22, 2022 [D.I. 128]. Accordingly, Plaintiffs have the authority to file this Complaint to commence, and thereafter to prosecute, this adversary proceeding.

3. Prior to the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, Alameda was a cryptocurrency trading firm owned by Samuel Bankman-Fried and Zixiao “Gary” Wang. Caroline Ellison was initially co-CEO and later the sole CEO of Alameda. WRS is a Delaware holding company owned by Samuel Bankman-Fried, Nishad Singh, and Gary Wang, with a number of subsidiaries, including WRSS, which did business as FTX.US, the cryptocurrency exchange founded by Bankman-Fried, Singh, and Wang to offer cryptocurrency trading services to U.S. customers. Embed is a stock clearing firm and FINRA licensed broker-dealer founded by Michael Giles.

4. On or about March 15, 2022, WRS began discussions to acquire Embed, ostensibly in order to provide FTX.US customers with the ability to trade stocks, in addition to cryptocurrency, on the FTX.US exchange platform. The contemplated product was to be called FTX Stocks.

5. Through a series of self-dealing transactions orchestrated by Bankman-Fried, Ellison, Singh, and Wang (hereinafter, the “FTX Insiders”), WRS paid Defendants $236,764,105.34 of misappropriated FTX Group funds to acquire Defendants’ equity interests in Embed on or around September 30, 2022—mere weeks before the Petition Date. WRS also agreed, in “Retention Incentive Award Agreements” effective as of September 30, 2022, to pay

---

4 The FTX Group is comprised of four silos. These silos include: (a) a group composed of Plaintiffs and Debtors WRS, WRSS, and their Debtor and non-Debtor subsidiaries; (b) a group composed of Plaintiff and Debtor Alameda, Debtor Alameda Research LLC, and their Debtor subsidiaries; (c) a group composed of Debtor Clifton Bay Investments LLC, Debtor Clifton Bay Investments Ltd., Debtor Island Bay Ventures Inc., and Debtor FTX Ventures Ltd.; and (d) a group composed of Debtor FTX Trading Ltd. and its Debtor and non-Debtor subsidiaries.

26. The FTX Insiders, among others, took advantage of the FTX Group’s lack of controls and recordkeeping to perpetrate a massive fraud—lavishly spending the FTX Group’s assets on, among other things, private homes and jets, political and “charitable” contributions, and various investments. The acquisition of Embed was one such transaction.

27. All of the funding for the Embed acquisition came from Alameda, which, at the FTX Insiders’ direction, had surreptitiously and unlawfully diverted and transferred assets belonging to FTX.com, the principal international cryptocurrency exchange operated by the FTX Group, to spend on the FTX Insiders’ pet projects. By causing Alameda to take money belonging to FTX.com and spend it on the FTX Insiders’ pet projects, the FTX Insiders defrauded FTX.com’s creditors, including customers and investors.

28. The FTX Insiders purportedly pursued the Embed acquisition because they believed it would help expand FTX.US’s operations into conventional securities markets, thereby enriching themselves as WRS shareholders. In pursuing the Embed acquisition, the FTX Insiders prioritized speed above all else. They performed almost no due diligence on Embed and accepted the significant terms proposed by Giles, Embed’s founder, CEO, and sole representative during the negotiation, who personally received approximately $157 million in connection with the acquisition. As a consequence, WRS paid far more than fair or reasonably equivalent value for Embed, and awarded Giles an extravagant and unwarranted retention bonus as an incentive to complete the acquisition quickly.

29. All of the FTX Insiders, except for Bankman-Fried, have pleaded guilty to crimes perpetrated through the very practices that facilitated the acquisition of Embed. On December
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@AlderLaneEggs same crime as KCG "mismarking short sale orders as long"
https://twitter.com/AlderLaneEggs/status/1533099224224628737?s=20&t=VaHWUqXZx-rBiayEExF8hA

http://media.economist.com/sites/default/files/pdfs/Plaintiffs%20Opp%20to%20MSJ.pdf
https://www.cnbc.com/video/2021/03/30/evaluating-the-fallout-from-the-archegos-margin-call.html

APEX Clearing bypass NBBO https://www.codafuse.com/
https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/four-charged-connection-multibillion-dollar-collapse-archegos-capital-management

FTX Sam Bankman-Fried
@tim_cook @Apple
https://twitter.com/sbf_ftx/status/1548292823799148544?s=61&t=ZI6mH2NMEWt78z8w_T3zQ

https://twitter.com/i/spaces/1rmxPgkEkLEJN

https://twitter.com/marionawfal/status/1592874296967598083?s=46&t=l时刻2pbJfCGejTdIsT49sw

BlockFi Modulo https://restructuring.ra.kroll.com/blockfi/Home-DocketInfo
https://twitter.com/mattgoldstein26/status/1617844967023562754?s=46&t=iONzfxygJi66wPbVKejtA

9/23/22 @Brett_FTXUS on “1 Token, There 1 GME Share” Embed Stock Clearing @Reddit
SuperStonk @PlatnumSparkles Interview https://youtu.be/pbjiHt73Nkg?t=571

12/12/22 @unusual_whales SBF_FTX Interview "Tokenized Shares" "Backed One to One?"
@SBF_FTX "To My Knowledge They Were" https://youtu.be/mHikzIr6Gq8?t=2114

5/04/2023 @Citi #NakedShorts “Whom They Borrowed” “Will Need to Deliver the APE Dividend”
“Convert to Equity/Repaid for Cash” “Compensate Lenders/Economic Equivalent”?

9/02/2023  @innercitypress “Bankman-Fried’s lawyers at midnight file a Signal message” “RH
wants to acquire derivatives”
https://twitter.com/innercitypress/status/1697830538705260572?s=20

https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/s/Dr45H6dg9m
https://www.reddit.com/r/Superstonk/s/ryGwty7Xz2
https://www.reddit.com/r/wallstreetbets/s/Hr8w7ZAEc1

https://x.com/innercitypress/status/1719743739206230349?s=46&t=hwiyFGafbnCZ7cW8lm9Jg
https://x.com/innercitypress/status/171181179344833316?s=46&t=hwiyFGafbnCZ7cW8lm9Jg
https://x.com/innercitypress/status/1714664657049243856?s=46&t=hwiyFGafbnCZ7cW8lm9Jg
https://x.com/innercitypress/status/1711837990161403953?s=46&t=hwiyFGafbnCZ7cW8lm9Jg
https://x.com/innercitypress/status/1717610628179771502?s=46&t=hwiyFGafbnCZ7cW8lm9Jg
https://x.com/innercitypress/status/1714658743504294195?s=46&t=hwiyFGafbnCZ7cW8lm9Jg