

August 2, 2008

If naked short selling is not legal to start with, why does it take a special order to enforce it and then only selectively enforce it? Enforce the laws against naked shorting, and not selectively and not in word only.

Another suggestion. Force the borrower of stock to pay the lender interest and require the lender give up voting privileges on the stock lent. If every "owner" actually cast a vote on a company issue and there are shorted shares, there would be more votes than shares issued which would not be legitimate. In saying the owner of the shares, the true owner, not the brokerage holding the shares. Brokerages should be forced to, without penalty to the owner, allow the owner to stipulate whether the shares are allowed to be lent out or not. This should be in an easy to follow and understandable process.

Doug Hall