## CHRISTOPHER J. DODD, CONNECTICUT, CHAIRMAN

TIM JOHNSON, SOLITH DAKOTA JACK REED, RHODE ISLAND CHARLES E. SCHLIMER, NEW YORK EVAN BAYH, ROUANA ROBERT MENENDEZ: NEW JERSEY DANIEL K. AKAKA, HAWARI SHERROD BROWN, OHIO JON TESTER, MONTANA HERB KOHL, WISCONSIN MARK WARNER, VIRGINIA JEFF MERKLEY, OREGON MICHAEL BENNET, COLORADO

RICHARD C. SHELBY, ALABAMA ROBERT F. BENNETT, UTAH JIM BUNNING, KENTUCKY MICHAEL CRAPO, IDAHO MEL MARTINEZ, FLORIDA BOB CORKET, TENNESSEE JIM DEMINT, SOUTH CAROLINA DAVID VITTER, LOUISIANA MIKE JOHANNS, KEBRASKA KAY BAILEY HUTCHSON, TEKAS

EDWARD SILVERMAN, STAFF DIRECTOR WILLIAM D. DUHNKE, REPUBLICAN STAFF DIRECTOR AND COUNSEL United States Senate COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6075

February 2, 2010

Ms. Elizabeth M. Murphy Secretary U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20549

RE: File Number S7-18-09, Political Contributions by Certain Investment Advisers

Dear Ms. Secretary:

This letter comments on the Commission's proposed rule "Political Contributions by Certain Investment Advisers" to curtail "pay to play" practices by financial advisors to state and local governments. I strongly support the goals of the Commission's proposal—elected officials violate the public trust when they allow political contributions to play a role in the management of public assets. Investment decisions affecting millions of taxpayers, retirees, and families saving for their children's education must be made on the basis of unbiased professional advice, free from improper political influence.

However, I believe the proposed rule could be improved in one area without weakening the protection of investors, taxpayers, retirees, and beneficiaries. The proposed rule would prohibit an investment adviser from paying a third party, such as a solicitor or placement agent, to solicit a government client on behalf of the investment adviser. Several state and local officials, including the Treasurer of my home State, have indicated in their own comments to the Commission that the employment of placement agents is often a legitimate and beneficial business practice, particularly among smaller investment funds that lack the resources to support an in-house marketing arm. In some cases, the use of third-party placement agents may be the only cost-effective way for smaller funds to get the attention of public fund managers and thereby raise needed capital. I share the concern that a ban on placement agents could reduce the amount of information available to public funds about the full range of investment opportunities.

In my view, the better way to address the issues raised by third-party placement agents is through strong regulation, rather than an across-the-board prohibition. This view is reflected in the approach taken by my draft legislation, the Restoring American Financial Stability Act. While we are still in the preliminary stages of the legislative process, Section 975 of that bill would require all municipal advisors—including financial advisors, guaranteed investment contract brokers, third-party marketers, placement agents, solicitors, and swap advisors—to register with the Commission. Municipal advisors would be subject to business conduct rules. This approach would enable the Commission to monitor the activities of placement agents and other municipal advisors. It would also increase transparency and disclosure in the marketplace, and prevent the abuses targeted by the Commission's proposed rule without prohibiting what many in the municipal securities market describe as useful and legitimate practices.

I commend the Commission for its efforts to reform this important financial market. Thank you for giving careful consideration to an alternative approach.

Sincerely,

Christopher J. Dodd