TED POE **2NO DISTRICT, TEXAS** 

COMMITTEES: **FOREIGN AFFAIRS** TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE FOUNDER OF CONGRESSIONAL **VICTIM'S RIGHTS CAUCUS** 



1605 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 PHONE: (202) 225-6565 FAX: (202) 225-5547

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

HUMBLE 20202 U.S. HIGHWAY 59 NORTH SUITE 105 HUMBLE, TX 77338

FAX: (281) 446-0252

BEAUMONT 505 ORLEANS SUITE 100 BEAUMONT, TX 77701 PHONE: (409) 212-1997 FAX: (409) 212-8711

## Congress of the United States AN'S House of Representatives SPONDENCE UNIT

Washington, DC 20515-4302

November 25, 2008

Honorable Christopher Cox Chairman U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20549

Dear Chairman Cox:

I am writing to express my opposition to the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 151A on Indexed Annuities. I, along with several of my colleagues, believe that this rule change would not benefit consumers but merely add unnecessary federal regulation to a product that is already adequately regulated at the state level.

New federal regulations on top of current state regulations would only increase the burden of additional filing and compliance costs on businesses. The rule change would require these products to be distributed by registered representatives of SEC-licensed broker-dealers instead of the current independent insurance agents that are state-licensed like my constituent Aaron Freeman.

Mr. Freeman is the vice president of a small company in Beaumont, Texas that would adversely be impacted by the proposed rule change. More government regulation will only make it harder for small business owners like Mr. Freeman to operate, especially during the current economic downturn that our nation is facing. We have a saying in Texas that sums up our opposition to this proposed rule change: If it ain't broke, don't fix it.

Our nation's current financial meltdown should provide plenty of regulation and oversight opportunities for the SEC. I, along with my colleagues, believe that the current financial crisis should be addressed first before considering expansion of authority into other areas. In conclusion, I urge you to withdraw the proposed rule for the sake of protecting our nation's small business owners from unnecessary and costly federal regulations.

God and Texas

y<sup>#</sup>dalia a li

A LESS CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

Partitions are the second of the TED POE - A Late of the second of the s 

The state of the large of the last of the property of the state of the