

Ms. Elizabeth Murphy  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
100 F Street, NE  
Washington, D.C. 20549-1090

Dear Ms. Murphy:

As a mother of three young children, I am working diligently with my husband to provide for them. Part of this desire includes a priority on my ability to stay home with them. To make this possible, I am self-employed as a massage therapist. These ventures have given me what may be a unique perspective on the proposed shareholder proxy access rule change.

Massage therapy and physical therapy can provide similar benefits, yet physical therapists tend to be allied with medical doctors in order to get referrals. As such, most insurance providers already do not cover massage therapy. Although massage therapy has such benefits that some of my clients have been able to cease taking pain medication, many of them pay for the visits out of pocket. In this area, a forty-dollar visit can amount to half of a family's monthly grocery budget.

The reason that I explain this practice and background is simple. Anytime a special interest group has access to decision-making positions within a company and, therefore, an industry, it affects all involved. If a group that disfavors massage therapy, such as the medical community as thus far, were to gain access to the boards of companies who manufacture products that we use in massage therapy, they could strike detrimental blows to our practices. If my overhead costs on lotions or equipment were to rise, it would be difficult for me to cover those costs without losing my clients. Please consider the far-reaching ramifications of a change such as this, and let businesses continue to operate as they see fit so that those who are self-employed can continue at all.

Sincerely,



Angela England