MEMORANDUM

February 4, 2011

To: File No. S7-08-10

From: Scott H. Kimpel
Office of Commissioner Troy A. Paredes

Re: Proposed Rules Regarding Asset Backed Securities

On February 3, 2011, Commissioner Troy A. Paredes and Scott H. Kimpel, Counsel to the Commissioner, met with the following representatives of Hertz: Bryan Corbett, R. Scott Massengill, Kirk Shryoc, and Rich Broome. The participants discussed the Commission’s proposed rules regarding asset-backed securities.

Attachment
Rental Car Securitization Discussion Materials

February 3, 2011
Hertz and other large non-investment grade car rental companies rely on the ABS market to finance their fleet.

As of September 30, 2010, approximately $8.8 billion of term rental car ABS was outstanding and the large non-investment grade car rental companies maintain billions of dollars of securitized revolving credit facilities from bank conduits for fleet liquidity.

Rental car ABS securitizes vehicles, the primary operating asset of the car rental business:
- Fundamentally different from financial asset securitizations
- Facilitates car rental companies purchasing billions of dollars of vehicles every year.
Rental Car ABS Considerations

Structure Diagram

Mark-to-Market Feature
- Monthly mark-to-market of all risk cars against NADA or certain other vehicle valuation services
- Required credit enhancement increases if market value < book value

Significant Risk Retention By Hertz*

Other "Operating Asset" ABS
- Cargo container
- Railcars
- Cell towers
- Timber and other natural resources
- Intellectual property
- Potentially project finance

Unique Structural Features and Incentives Suggest Different Treatment
Proposing Release broad enough to apply to rental car ABS but did not specifically address required disclosure for rental car ABS

Unclear how rental car ABS sponsors could comply with Reg AB II as proposed, resulting in potential for rental car ABS sponsors to be unable to access the ABS market

“General Item Requirements” almost uniformly inapplicable to rental car ABS, for example:

| Item (1)(a)(7)               | Original Asset Term       |
| Item (1)(a)(8)               | Asset Maturity Date       |
| Item (1)(a)(19)              | Defined Underwriting Indicator |
| Item (1)(b)(1)               | Cut-Off Date              |
| Item (1)(b)(3)               | Current Interest Rate     |
| Item (1)(b)(5)               | Current Delinquency Status|

No precedent for rental car ABS in the public market

Concerned Access to the ABS Market Might be Obstructed
Potential Approaches

- Exclude rental car ABS from Structured Finance Product definition in Reg AB II
  - Fundamentally different incentives than financial asset securitization sponsors

- Adopt ASF “SQIB” approach
  - Maintain public/private distinction that has been an historic feature of securities laws

- Accept existing rental car securitization disclosure regime

- Tailor disclosure to appropriately balance investor information against operational, competitive and economic costs of compliance

If Final Rule Requires Disclosure Changes, Need Lead Time
Fundamental Question: Upon default, could investors generate sufficient proceeds to pay off outstanding debt by selling the fleet and collecting the outstanding manufacturer receivables?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Asset Characteristics</th>
<th>Current Disclosure</th>
<th>Potential Additional Disclosure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cars / Receivables</td>
<td>Mix by OEM</td>
<td>Mix by Car Type <em>(SUV, etc.)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk / Program</td>
<td>Mix by Risk/Program</td>
<td>Mix by Model Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Type</td>
<td>Receivable balances</td>
<td>Note – mileage not possible from a technical perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEMs</td>
<td>Mark-to-Market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Year</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assuming Reg AB II Applies to Rental Car ABS, Then SEC Should Allow For Tailored Disclosure Requirements
Hertz’s securitized fleet size ranged from ~266,000 to ~316,000 vehicles during 2010.

Rental cars are relatively homogenous collateral, capable of being grouped into categories without losing fundamental information required for reasonable valuation.

Disclosing data on a car-by-car basis would:

- Provide sensitive information to competitors and business customers;
- Increase reporting costs substantially; and
- Potentially overwhelm investors.

Grouped Disclosure Approach Appropriate for Rental Car ABS
Hertz believes that it would be appropriate to exclude rental car ABS or adopt the ASF “SQIB” approach.

If the SEC determines that enhanced disclosure is appropriate for rental car ABS, then the SEC should determine an appropriate grouped disclosure regime for rental car ABS.

Thank You For Listening