AppersonCrump

The Law in Memphis Since 1865

Charles D. Reaves
Direct Dial 901-260-5147
creaves@appersoncrump.com

6070 Poplar Avenue
Sixth Floor
Memphis, TN 38119-3954
Tel 901-756-6300
Fax 901-757-1296

May 28, 2013

Unites States Securities and Exchange Commission
Attention: Ms. Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary
Station Place
100 F Street, NE
Washington, DC 20549-1090

Re: File No. 81-939; Release No. 34-69477
Comment on Notice of Application of W2007 Grace Acquisition I, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "W2007 Grace") Under Section 12(h) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This commentator is any attorney who represents one of the brokerage firm "street name" or beneficial owners of the W2007 Grace 8.75% Series B Cumulative Preferred Stock (hereinafter referred to collectively with the W2007 Grace 9.0% Series C Cumulative Preferred Stock as "the Preferred Shares" and the shareholders thereof as the "Preferred Shareholders"). This commentator was also a nominee for one of the vacant positions on the W2007 Grace board of directors to be elected by holders of the Preferred Stock in the first two meetings of the Preferred Shareholders in which no quorum was achieved, and in that capacity the commentator has had numerous communications with other such preferred director nominees and also with W2007 Grace's Tennessee counsel.

The exemptive application submitted by Sullivan & Cromwell (the "Application") on the part of W2007 Grace is technically correct in stating the basic facts and circumstances surrounding the creation and current status of the Preferred Shares. But the Application omits large portions of other relevant facts and circumstances which, when considered in total, show an entirely different situation than that painted by the Application. Perhaps the most glaring omission is the absence of any disclosure that W2007 Grace is a Goldman Sachs & Co. owned sub-subsidiary, and its directors and officers are all drawn from Goldman affiliates. When all of the relevant issues and facts are considered together, there is a strong case that the public interest and the proper protection of investors require a denial of W2007 Grace's Application.

The core of W2007 Grace's argument in support of its request for exemption is that the actions of Mr. Joseph M. Sullivan in creating 300 separately registered trusts (each allegedly with a separate beneficiary) of which Mr. Sullivan is the single trustee, does not change W2007 Grace's present non-reporting status, because these separate trusts should be treated as a single trust. That argument is based on the discretionary exemptive provisions of Sec. 12(h) of the Exchange Act, under which the Commission upon application of an interested person may exempt in whole or in part any issuer from the provisions of Sec. 12(g) and therefore the reporting requirements of Sec. 15(d), predicated upon, among other considerations, (i) the number of public investors, (ii) the amount of trading interest in the securities, (iii) the nature and extent of the business of the issuer, and (iv) the income or assets of the issuer. In making these arguments, discussed in Section II hereof, the Application wholly overlooks the extensive background and history of the original issuance of the two series of Equity Inns preferred shares (collectively the "ENN Preferred Shares") that were involuntarily, without the consent of the holders, exchanged for the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares in November of 2007.

I. Background of Equity Inns and Original "ENN Preferred Shares"

Equity Inns, Inc. characterized itself as the third largest hotel REIT or Real Estate Investment Trust in the United States in 2007. As reported in its Form 10K for the period ended December 31, 2006, it owned 129 hotel properties in 35 states with 15,366 rooms. As a qualified non-taxable REIT, Equity Inns could not operate the hotels that it owned, but such operations took place through certain wholly owned taxable REIT subsidiaries termed "TRS Lessees" that leased these hotels in a manner that allowed Equity Inns to realize the economic benefits of operating these hotels. All hotel revenues and expenses of operations were reported in the consolidated financial statements of Equity Inns. Some of the well-known hotel brands under which these hotels were operated are Hampton Inns (45 hotels), Ameri-Suites (18 hotels), Residence Inns (22 hotels), Courtyard (15 hotels), Homewood Suites (10 hotels), as well as Embassy Suites and Holiday Inns. The total investment in hotel properties was $1.4 billion.

The two series of Equity Inns preferred shares, the ENN Preferred Shares that were involuntarily exchanged for the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares, were registered under Sec. 12(b) of the Exchange Act and were listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Equity Inns was registered under Sec. 12(g). After the year 2000 and through 2007 until the ENN Preferred Shares were delisted, offerings of such preferred shares were a significant source of new capital used by Equity Inns for the acquisition of new hotel properties. At December 31, 2006, total shareholders equity was $439,764,000; shareholders' equity attributable to the ENN Series B Preferred Stock was $83,524,000; shareholders' equity attributable to the ENN Series C Preferred Stock was $57,862,000. Together, the shareholders' equity of both series of ENN Preferred Shares totaled $141,386,000, amounting to approximately 32% of total shareholders' equity.

The ENN Preferred Shares were offered to the public in a series of multiple syndicated underwritings. There were usually four or five national and regional brokerage firms serving as underwriters and comprising the underwriting syndicate. The following were some of the members of the various underwriting groups on one or more occasions – Salomon Smith Barney, J.C. Bradford, Morgan Keegan & Co., Prudential Securities, Roney Capital Markets, CIBC Oppenheimer. These brokerage firms, some now merged into other brokerage firms, targeted small, individual investors such as the shareholder that I represent, who purchased less than 1,000 ENN Preferred Shares. Because members of these underwriting syndicates and other brokerage firms supporting these underwriters offered the ENN Preferred Shares to their own individual clients, many of whom were small investors, the brokerage firm clients allowed their shares to be held in "street name" by their brokerage firms, as was the custom with other securities that these small investors held in accounts with these firms. Other brokerage firms that held ENN Preferred Shares and subsequently W2007 Grace Preferred Shares in street name are TD Ameritrade, Charles Schwab, Pershing, Scott Trade, and National Financial Services, all brokerage firms that serve small investors or do business with other brokerage firms that serve small investors.

These public underwritings raising necessary capital for the purchase of hotel properties could not have succeeded had the ENN Preferred Shares being offered to the general public not been NYSE listed shares registered under Exchange Act Sec. 12(b), with Equity Inns being a company registered under Exchange Act Sec. 12(g) that was required to file financial statements and business information with the SEC under Sec. 15 of the Exchange Act. Such listings, registrations, and public financial reporting were crucial to the raising through preferred stock issues of the $141,386,000 in new capital for hotel purchases for the Equity Inns REIT, represented to be the third largest hotel REIT in late 2007.

Reorganization/Plan of Merger. The Application outlines the basics of the plan of merger, beginning at the bottom of p. 2 and continuing to the bottom of p. 3. In summary, the common shares were purchased by the W2007 Grace parent corporation, Whitehall, a Goldman Sachs subsidiary or affiliate, at a premium to the then trading price, with the effect that there were no common shares held by public shareholders. But the ENN Preferred Shares were not purchased or redeemed. Instead, the Equity Inns preferred shares were exchanged for W2007 Grace Preferred Shares. It should be emphasized that immediately after the consummation of the merger and the exchange of the ENN Preferred Shares for the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares, there were the same number of W2007 Grace Preferred Shareholders as there had been ENN Preferred Shareholders, and the identities and the brokerage accounts in which these shares were held were the same. At the end of the carryover paragraph, the Application makes the following statement:

> "Each share of Series B and Series C [W2007 Grace Preferred Shares] has identical rights, preferences, limitations, and restrictions as compared to the predecessor shares of ENN Series B and ENN Series C [the Equity Inns preferred shares] respectively."

This statement is materially misleading for at least two reasons. First, while the corporate or structural aspects of the ENN Preferred Shares the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares are identical, the ENN Preferred Shares were NYSE listed and had been registered with the SEC under Sec. 12 (b) of the Exchange Act. As a result, Equity Inns as the issuer had Exchange Act Sec. 15 financial reporting obligations that, through the public financial and business information disclosed, would support an active trading market. In sharp contrast, the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares into which the ENN Preferred Shares were converted, apparently through an exchange exempt from registration, *were not NYSE listed and W2007 Grace, as the new issuer, was not a Sec. 15 reporting corporation.*

Secondly, this statement, as well as the entire discussion on p. 4 of the Application, fails to make it clear that the Equity Inns preferred shareholders owing the ENN Series B and ENN Series C Preferred Shares <u>were not allowed to vote</u> on the plan of reorganization presented to the Equity Inns common shareholders for approval. The common shareholders (including the principal officers and many of the directors of Equity Inns who owned only common stock but no preferred stock) were voting on a proposal that each common share would receive an above-market buyout price of $23 per common share. In contrast, the ENN Preferred Shareholders who were not being allowed to vote were instead to receive the new W2007 Grace Preferred Shares, which would not be NYSE listed shares or SEC registered shares of an SEC reporting company. The discussion on p. 4 of the Application with respect to the structural aspects of the preferred shares states that the preferred shares were not voting shares, <u>but fails to make it clear that the preferred shares were not allowed to vote on the plan of reorganization.</u>

Because the corporate or structural aspects of the ENN Preferred Shares and the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares were identical, the Equity Inns board of directors took the position that the Tennessee corporate statutes did not require a shareholder vote by the ENN Preferred Shares, even though the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares received were not identical to the Equity Inns preferred shares given up <u>because of the lack of a NYSE listing and the lack of an issuer, W2007 Grace, with Sec. 15 reporting requirements.</u> This commentator is of the view that the intent of the Tennessee corporate statutes was not to allow such an extreme change in beneficial shareholder rights without the approval of the shareholders of the affected shares (listed, registered shares of an SEC reporting company being involuntarily exchanged for non-listed, non-registered shares in an entirely different, non-reporting company). And there is a pending class action with respect to an alleged breach of fiduciary duty on the part of the board of directors of Equity Inns in approving such a plan of reorganization. See <u>Exhibit 1</u>, which is a recent Order by a state circuit court in Tennessee approving the class certification in this class action alleging breach of fiduciary duty on the part of the Equity Inns' directors in approving the plan of reorganization that so adversely affected the W2007 Grace Preferred Shareholders.

Effects of the Reorganization/Merger on the Market for W2007 Grace Preferred Shares. In the Application, the effects of the reorganization and plan of merger are treated as almost a non-event. But the effects on the new W2007 Grace Preferred Shareholders, formerly the ENN Preferred Shareholders, were gargantuan. Immediately, the price per share of the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares began a steep and continuing decline, from the range of $25 per share before announcement of the merger/reorganization to $17 after the announcement. After the implementation of the merger/reorganization, with the concomitant exchange to the un-registered, non-listed W2007 Grace Preferred Shares, the share prices declined to the range of $10. After W2007 Grace suspended dividend payments, the price dropped to the single digits, and on 2/25/2009, traded as low as $0.05 per share. See **Exhibit 2** for a listing of the price and trading volume for the W2007 Grace Series B Preferred Shares.

In the absence of an obligation by W2007 Grace to file financial statements with the SEC, potential purchasers of the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares and their brokers have no possible method of obtaining reasonable financial information on W2007 Grace, which obviously has caused the trading volume to decline. The Application wholly fails to discuss the dearth of public financial information available on W2007 Grace's subsequent business operations and the accompanying adverse effects on the trading market for the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares.

While W2007 Grace performs an annual audit of its financial statements, a W2007 Grace Preferred Shareholder seeking a copy must make a formal, written request on a specified form, pay a fee and, pursuant to the required form, agree that the financial statements will be kept confidential and not disclosed to the general public. In some years, the December 31 financial statements have not been issued until late in the following year, and almost never are the financial statements released within a reasonable time after the end of the fiscal year. So when eventually released, the statements are stale and no intervening financial information such as would be provided on Form 10Q is ever provided. Further, these are simply GAAP financial statements and do not contain the types of business and operational information that would be included in Forms 10K and 8K. Perhaps more significantly, a non-shareholder who might be interested in purchasing W2007 Grace Preferred Shares cannot obtain copies of the W2007 Grace GAAP financial statements, either independently or from a shareholder interested in selling.

The Application seems to admit that the Staff anticipated and expected that W2007 Grace would file financial statements with the SEC after the merger and reorganization, when on page 8 at the end of the first full paragraph under the caption "Reporting Requirements" the Application makes the following surprising statement:

> "... Although the Company [W2007 Grace] believes that Rule 15d-5 of the Exchange Act is not entirely clear, the Company acknowledges based on its prior conversations with the Staff that it is the Staff's position that pursuant to Rule

> 15d-5 of the Exchange Act, *the Company succeeded to Equity Inns' duty to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.*" (Emphasis added)

Because W2007 Grace has never filed financial reports pursuant to the requirements of Sec. 15 (d) since its inception in November of 2007, it appears that it has elected to ignore the Staff's views on its possible duty to provide public financial information in the public interest of the W2007 Grace Preferred Shareholders. Instead, W2007 Grace has proceeded in a manner that has substantially inhibited trading in W2007 Grace Preferred Shares. The effect of the destruction of the trading market has enabled an unnamed affiliate of W2007 Grace to acquire substantial positions in the two series of Preferred Shares, apparently at the depressed stock prices created by the destruction of the trading market. In the last paragraph on page 3 of the Application, it is stated that the unnamed W2007 Grace affiliate "holds beneficially" 1,018,250 shares of the 3,450,000 Series B Preferred shares outstanding, or 29.5%. And the unnamed W2007 Grace affiliate "holds beneficially" 1,000,000 shares of the 2,400,000 shares of the Series C Preferred that are outstanding, or 41.7%. [1]

There has been no clear public disclosure on precisely when or how beneficial ownership of these shares was acquired. But the price per share paid by the W2007 Grace affiliate would presumably be in the range of the prices reported after November of 2007, ranging as low as $0.05 per share, and recently in the range of $8 per share. Even at the improved price of $8, the share price is less than the unpaid, accrued dividends per share to date.

Additionally, there has been no public information released on whether these acquisitions of Preferred Shares were privately negotiated purchases from large sellers or were over the counter purchases from small, individual shareholders selling out as the result of the suspension of the W2007 Grace Preferred Stock dividends. It is therefore not known what types of information the W2007 Grace affiliate provided or whether the W2007 Grace affiliate complied with the requirements that issuing companies must make when purchasing shares issued to their own shareholders. In this regard, the Commission in granting an exemption in BF Enterprises, Inc. (Release No. 34-66541 (March 14, 2012), made the following comment with respect to a company "going dark" and then repurchasing its own shares.

> "Several commentators expressed their concern that a company "going dark" can repurchase their securities from stranded shareholders at very substantial discounts to intrinsic value. While an illiquid market can result in a market price lower that that available in a more liquid market, we note that the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws apply to company repurchases from its shareholders [citing Sec. 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Exchange Act.] Accordingly, while the availability of current Exchange Act information about a

[1] There is some indication that the unnamed affiliate is "PFD Holdings, LLC".

> company may benefit its shareholders who seek to sell their shares into a public market, shareholders of all companies – whether or not subject to Exchange Act reporting – are protected against fraud in connection with their sales or purchases of company stock". BF Enterprises at p. 12.

Here, the subject shares were purchased by an unnamed W2007 Grace affiliate rather than by W2007 Grace. It is therefore possible that W2007 Grace and/or its unnamed affiliate, which may be controlled by or under the common control of the Goldman Sachs entities that also control W2007 Grace, may not have been providing sufficient public information in connection with its numerous ENN Preferred Shares purchases, and the Staff should investigate these circumstances before granting the requested Exemption.

II. Factors Affecting Exemption Under Sec. 12 (h) of the Exchange Act

Under the provisions of Reg.12g5-1 under the Exchange Act, defining the meaning of "securities held of record", only securities held by a person identified as the owner on the records of securities holders maintained by or on behalf of the issuer, subject to certain exceptions, are deemed to be held "of record". Under the current interpretation, this definition does not include accounts in which shares are held beneficially in "street name" by a brokerage firm on behalf of its clients or customers, and therefore such accounts are not recognized for purposes of Sec. 12(g) registrations or for purposes of Sec. 15(d) financial reporting requirements. If there are more than 300 direct shareholders, the Sec. 15(d) financial reporting requirements continue to be applicable. As discussed in the Application, Mr. Joseph M. Sullivan, allegedly a "street name" beneficial owner, has created and directly registered as owner on the records of the issuer approximately 300 separate trust accounts allegedly with different beneficial owners, purportedly, according to the Application, to force W2007 Grace to file financial reports with the SEC.

The Application seeks to avoid this result by requesting that the Staff determine that these trusts were created solely for that purpose, and to treat them as a single trust and therefore a single direct account predicated on certain tests specified in Sec. 12 (h) of the Exchange Act, discussed on pp. 9 through 12 of the Application. These areas of consideration are as follows:

(i) Number of Public Investors. The Application points out that as of March 2008 there were 260 direct holders of record and that this number had increased to 280 direct holders as of January of 2013. But if a mere 21 of the numerous additional "street name" shareholders should re-register their shares directly during 2013, there would be a total of more than 300 direct shareholders. As a result, this is not a situation where the number of direct shareholders is far less than the required 300 and cannot possibly reach 300. In fact, the number tends to be increasing even without the actions of Mr. Sullivan. And as previously stated, it ignores the hundreds of relatively small "street name" shareholders who purchased ENN Preferred Shares (now W2007 Grace Preferred Shares) in the multiple public underwritings and who allowed their brokers to hold their shares for them in street name, as was their custom with other purchases.

As a result, this is a special situation. Equity Inns as a REIT could not have raised the capital needed for the construction of its large hotel empire that it subsequently sold to the W2007 Grace parent for $2.2 billion in 2007 without offering publicly through multiple underwriting syndicates the ENN Preferred Shares that were registered under Sec. 12(b) of the Exchange Act, were NYSE listed, and that were issued by a Sec. 15(d) reporting issuer. And, as discussed above, it appears that the SEC Staff also took the position that it expected that W2007 Grace succeeded to the obligations of Equity Inns to report under the provisions of Sec. 15(d).

Additionally, this commentator believes that the refusal to allow the preferred shareholders to vote separately as classes is contrary to intent of the Tennessee corporation statutes. If the ENN Preferred Shareholders had been allowed to vote as a class on the proposed reorganization, it is highly unlikely that it would have been approved. And had Equity Inns redeemed the ENN Preferred Shares at the stated redemption price, it is highly likely that W2007 Grace would have been willing or able to pay the premium offered on the common stock. So depriving the ENN Preferred Shareholders of both a vote and a redemption was crucial to the approval of the proposed reorganization and the payment of an above-market premium to the Equity Inns common shareholders that included Equity Inns' management and directors.

Accordingly, the Staff should be willing to recognize that in these types of circumstances, the public interest, in the form of the interests of the W2007 Grace Preferred Shareholders, should take precedence over the alleged burdensome interests of the corporation in complying with the reporting standards of Sec. 15.

(ii). Trading Interest. The Application goes to great lengths to support its argument that there is limited trading interest in the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares, pointing out in detail the alleged limited numbers of days on which trading took place, and the percentages of trading occurring on those days. But this argument overlooks two crucial elements. First, the total volume of trades, even though reduced as the result of the lack of an exchange listing, lack of SEC registration and SEC public reporting, and suspension of the preferred stock dividends nevertheless was not insignificant. See **Exhibit** 2 for daily trading information and **Exhibit 3** for summary information on total trades. Even with all of these trading disadvantages, this is simply not an inactive trading market.

Secondly and perhaps more importantly, any alleged reduction in trading volume is directly traceable to the actions of W2007 Grace together with the support of

Goldman Sachs affiliates and with the support of Equity Inns' management that owned common shares, in engineering the contrived reorganization, which was implemented without the approval or consent of the Preferred Shareholders. W2007 Grace should not be allowed to argue that the allegedly reduced trading volume, for which it together with its affiliates and Equity Inns is directly responsible, can be used as a justification to exempt W2007 Grace from the Sec. 15 reporting requirements.

(iii). Nature of Issuer. Again, the Application at p. 11 goes to great lengths to attempt to minimize the operations of W2007 Grace, in effect arguing that it is only a small corporation with limited functions and no employees, thereby justifying a non-reporting status. But its own statistics cited in support of this argument rebut that characterization. W2007 Grace's total assets are said to be $1.6 billion, with a total shareholders' equity of $65.7 million. It has some sort of interest in 130 hotels. These assets, derived from the $2.2 billion purchase of Equity Inns, the 3rd largest hotel REIT, have now been transferred to and subdivided among various W2007 Grace and Goldman affiliates, with W2007 Grace apparently now purportedly holding only a small percentage of the total ownership and not participating actively in the management and operations of the 130 hotels. But the manner and degree of this fractionalization of the original Equity Inns assets and management operations are masked by the absence of periodic reports on Forms 10K, 10Q, and 8K.

Nevertheless W2007 Grace and its affiliates are now operating this large, integrated business, even though it has been subdivided among various related entities. Further, an unnamed W2007 Grace affiliate is actively purchasing the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares. These statistics and this information are not indicative of a small corporation with only limited assets and operations, with little interest in its combined operating results.

The argument being made in the Application that W2007 Grace is simply a separate real estate investment firm unrelated to the hotel ownership or other hotel management operations and is accordingly simply too small and insignificant to require it to report pursuant to the provisions of Sec. 15 of the Exchange Act is wholly disingenuous. And the companion argument that W2007 Grace "... is an issuer which operated for more than five years without being subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act." (p. 11 of the Application) is equally disingenuous. The salient point is that in the public interest and for the protection of investors, W2007 Grace should have been making such filings for all of these five years, predicated not only on the Staff's view that W2007 Grace succeeded to the obligations of Equity Inns to make such filings for the benefit of the preferred shareholders, but also on general equitable principles.

In summary, these tests or principles advanced by W2007 Grace in the Application do not, as W2007 Grace alleges at pp. 9 and 10 of the Application, support the conclusion that exemption from the reporting requirements of Sec. 15(d) " ... is not inconsistent with public interest or the protection of investors." To the contrary, granting such exemption at this stage would clearly be inconsistent with public interest and the protection of investors, regardless of whether Mr. Sullivan's 300 trusts are collapsed into one account for purposes of this determination.

Finally, this matter is substantially different from the situation set forth in Order Granting an Application of BF Enterprises, Inc. Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, *supra,* in which the Commission granted an exemption under Sec. 12(h):

1. In BF Enterprises, the company had only 25 direct "holders" of record, compared with 280 for W2007 Grace. But there, the company also disclosed that it had only 85 beneficial owners of its common stock, for a combined total of only 110 direct and beneficial holders, other than the 500 specially created trusts. Here, W2007 Grace has not disclosed in the Application the number of "street name" or beneficial holders, either at December 31, 2012 or currently, and should be required to do so before this Application is granted. It is highly likely that the combined number of W2007 Grace direct owners and beneficial holders will substantially exceed the minimum number of 300. [2]

2. The trading volume for BF Enterprises was truly limited. There were only 47 trades covering fewer than 27,000 shares for a three-year period, in comparison with a substantial amount of trading in W2007 Grace Preferred Shares over an approximately five-year period. See Exhibits 2 and 3.

3. BF Enterprises had total assets of only $13.3 million, and its primary business comprised two properties, with annual net income of $103,000. W2007 Grace has total assets of $1.8 billion and is operating or participating in the operation, directly and/or through affiliates, the hotel empire of the 3rd largest hotel REIT, comprising approximately 130 hotel properties under a variety of major, national brands. Its net worth is $65.7 million. W2007 Grace's gross revenues are not reported in the Application, although much is made of a relatively small operating loss. Its gross revenues should be disclosed as a measure of significance in connection with the consideration of the Application.

Summarizing the results in BF Enterprises, these statistics caused the Commission to conclude that its business was "limited" under Commission precedent, citing another situation where gross operating income of $446,888 was also deemed to be "limited". Note, however, that in the same citation (footnote 41 on p. 12), the Commission recognized that retail sales in the range of $3.5 million from operations of three

[2] Another commentator, Mr. Art Chandler (Comment Dated May 23, 2013), recently acquired a listing of both direct and beneficial shareholders at April 1, 2013, which indicates that the number of direct owners, excluding the 300 Sullivan trusts, is more than 300, and that there are more than 1,000 beneficial or "street name" shareholders. The Staff should obtain the same list for its review of the Application.

diversified hardware stores in one city were deemed to be "substantial". If $3.5 million in sales from 3 stores in one city is deemed by the Commission to be substantial, then the continuing full business operations of the 130 major hotel properties located in numerous cities throughout the U. S. that were acquired by W2007 Grace from Equity Inns cannot be deemed to be insubstantial.

CONCLUSION

The Application fails to disclose too many facts and circumstances to justify granting the exemption being requested without more investigation, including the following:

1. Obtaining full information on the number of direct and the number of beneficial or "street name" holders of the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares at 12/31/12 and currently in 2013. As previously stated, another commentator has learned that as of April 1, 2013, there are now, not counting the 300 separate trusts created by Mr. Sullivan, more than 300 direct owners and more than 1,000 beneficial or "street name" owners. Even though the statutory measuring point may be the fiscal year-end at 12/31/12, if in 2013 there are more than the minimum required number of direct holders, excluding Mr. Sullivan's trusts, the exemption should not be granted.

2. With respect to the size and significance of W2007 Grace and its current separate operations, there needs to be an investigation of how and whether the substantial assets of Equity Inns, Inc., the 3rd largest hotel REIT before being acquired by W2007 Grace, have been subdivided and the operating functions outsourced to affiliates of Goldman Sachs and W2007 Grace in such a manner that the full business of operating the 130 hotels is currently being continued thorough other affiliated entities, even though no longer contained solely within W2007 Grace and its subsidiaries. Total annual revenues from the entire business should be obtained and reviewed. The exemption should not be granted simply because W2007 Grace, considered separately, may not appear to be a significant operating entity if, in fact, the entire hotel business that it acquired from Equity Inns is being continued through other related or controlled affiliates.

3. Information on the substantial purchases of ENN Preferred Shares by a W2007 Grace or Goldman Sachs affiliate should be investigated to determine whether appropriate financial and business information was provided in connection with these purchases and, in any event, these substantial purchases/sales should be considered with respect to the review of the total trading volume of ENN Preferred Shares.

The Staff is accordingly respectfully requested to deny the requested exemption if the additional information discussed above demonstrates that W2007 Grace and/or its affiliates that are controlled by the same up-stream parent or are under common control are continuing to operate the large hotel business acquired from Equity Inns, Inc. and that there are a substantial number of both direct and beneficial owners of the W2007 Grace Preferred Shares. In these circumstances, requiring W2007 Grace to become a reporting issuer under Sec. 15(d) of the Exchange Act would accordingly be in the public interest and would serve to protect investors.

Private Conference with the Staff Requested by W2007 Grace Counsel. Finally, the Staff is requested not to grant the request of W2007 Grace's counsel to confer privately with members of the Staff prior to any written response to the Application, unless all commentators are also invited to participate in such conference, either in person or by conference telephone call. The comment process is supposed to be a public process. An applicant should not have the opportunity *ex parte* to make new arguments that have not been submitted to the public for comment, or to seek privately to rebut comments made by persons participating in the pubic comment process, or to seek to influence the Staff's written response to the Application, when other commentators will not have the same right of rebuttal or influence.

Very truly yours,

Charles D. Reaves

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TENNESSEE
FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS

FILED
APR 26 2013
CIRCUIT COURT CLERK
BY mlm D.C

DONALD J. ROBERTS IRA, DR. JAMES M. BYERS IRA ROLLOVER, PATRICK SVOBODA IRA AND SVOBODA REALTY INC. DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, JACK FULTON, and ERIC CLARKE, AS TRUSTEE OF CLARKE REVOCABLE TRUST, On Behalf of Themselves, and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Docket No. CT-004955-07
CLASS ACTION
DIVISION VI

PHILLIP H. McNEILL, SR., HOWARD A. SILVER, HARRY S. HAYS, RAYMOND E. SCHULTZ, ROBERT P. BOWEN and JOSEPH W. McLEARY,

Defendants.

ORDER ON PLANTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

This cause came on to be heard on the Renewed Motion for Class Certification filed by Plaintiffs, Donald J. Roberts IRA, Dr. James M. Byers IRA Rollover, Jack Fulton and Eric Clarke, as Trustee of Clarke Revocable Trust, on behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated, upon the Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification filed by Defendants Phillip H. McNeill, Sr., Howard A. Silver, Raymond E. Schultz, Robert P. Bowen, and Joseph McLeary, upon Plaintiffs' Reply to Opposition for Class Certification, upon the oral arguments of counsel and the entire record in this cause.

FACTUAL HISTORY

This case arises out of a merger of Equity Inns, Inc. (Company), a publicly-traded Tennessee Corporation, based in Memphis, Tennessee, and Grace Acquisition I, Inc. (Grace), a non-public company. The announcement of the planned merger occurred on June 21, 2007. The merger closed on October 25, 2007. The Company had three classes of stock: common stock; Series B preferred stock; and Series C preferred stock. Defendants were members of the board of directors of the Company at all relevant times leading to the time of the merger. At the time of the announcement of the merge, June 21, 2007, Plaintiffs Donald J. Roberts IRA (Roberts) and Dr. James M. Byers (Byers) IRA Rollover owned shares of the Company's Series B preferred stock and no other stock of the Company. Also at the time, Plaintiff Eric Clarke, as Trustee of Clarke Revocable Trust (Clarke), owned the Company's Series C preferred stock and no common stock. Plaintiff Jack Fulton owned the Company's Series B and C preferred stock as well as common stock. Series B and C preferred stockholders were issued certificates which set forth divided rights, liquidation preference, redemption rights and voting rights. Both Series B and C preferred stock inferred no voting rights to stockholders in case of merger. In case of merger, Series B holders would be given mere equivalent stock in the new company. Series C holders would be given the equivalent stock in the new company, plus a one percent (1%) increase in dividends. However, common stockholders, all of whom afforded voting rights, were given a price of $23.00 a share in case of this merger with Grace.

Again, on June 21, 2007, the Company issued a press release announcing the merger. The Company's board of directors approved the merger on October 2, 2007. Common stockholders voted nearly 99% in favor of the merger. The merger closed on October 25, 2007.

Post-merger, the value of preferred stock dropped significantly in price. On September 28, 2007, Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit against the Defendants' board of directors to recover damage due to the diminution of the price suffered by preferred shareholders.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On September 28, 2007, Plaintiffs filed this lawsuit. On December 4, 2007, Defendants moved to dismiss complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. On March 17, 2008, this Court denied the motion. On April 28, 2008, Defendants filed a motion under Rule 9(a) *Tennessee Rules of Appellate Practice* in the trial court for permission for an interlocutory appeal to which this Court granted on August 19, 2008. On September 3, 2008, Defendants filed their Application for Interlocutory Appeal with the Tennessee Court of Appeals which was denied by that court on September 30, 2008. Defendants then made application to the Tennessee Supreme Court which that court also denied.

On May 28, 2009, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Certification of the Class and sought the appointment on Roberts IRA, Byers IRA and later Fulton as class representatives. On April 26, 2010, this Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Certification from which the Defendants appealed.

On February 23, 2011, the Court of Appeals vacated this Court's order certifying the class and remanded the case for further consideration.

On April 30, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint to add Eric Clarke of Clarke Revocable Trust as an additional named Plaintiff. On May 22, 2012, Defendants filed their answer to the Second Amended Complaint.

On June 6, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a Renewed Motion for Class Certification. Defendants then filed Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification. After a lengthy hearing, this Court took the matter under advisement and looked at the typed transcript of the hearing, all other relevant filings in this case, as well as other legal authorities that were submitted by the parties to the Court for consideration.

PLAINTIFFS' CONTENTIONS

Plaintiffs contend that Defendants breached their fiduciary duty to the Series B and C preferred stockholders by failing to submit the merger terms to a special committee as well as to an investment banker for a fairness opinion to determine whether the merger of the company would be fair to the preferred stockholders. Plaintiffs also allege that Defendants favored their own financial interest over those of the Company's preferred stockholders, since they (Defendants) owned in excess of 1.2 million common shares and no preferred shares. Finally, Plaintiffs allege that the preferred shareholders were injured financially as a result of the Defendants' actions and/or inactions.

Plaintiffs now seek class certification of: all preferred stockholders (global class); and/or subclass certification of all Series B Preferred shareholders who owned neither Series C preferred stock nor common stock as of June 21, 2007; and/or subclass certification of all Series C shareholders who owned neither Series B preferred nor common stock as of June 21, 2007; and/or subclass certification of all Series B & C preferred shareholders who also owned common stock as of June 21, 2007.

Plaintiffs also seek class certification of all preferred stockholders, as of June 21, 2007, who did not own common stock on or after June 21, 2007. Also, Plaintiffs seek class certification of all preferred stockholders who owned common stock on or after June 21, 2007.

Plaintiffs also request that this Court certify this lawsuit as a class action on behalf of a class consisting of all holders of the Company's preferred stock as of June 21, 2007, and certify the preferred stock subclass and the preferred/common stock subclass. Plaintiffs request that Roberts IRA, Byers IRA, and Eric Clarke be appointed as representatives of the global class of preferred shareholders; and that Jack Fulton be appointed as a representative of the global class of the preferred/common stock subclass. Excluded from the class and subclasses are the named Defendants and any person, firm, trust, corporation or other entity related to, or affiliated with, any Defendant. Finally, Plaintiffs request that Berns Weiss, LLP be appointed as legal counsel for the class and subclasses and Crone & McEvoy, PLC as liaison counsel for the class and subclasses.

DEFENDANTS' CONTENTIONS

Defendants contend that the preferred stockholders' rights are governed by the rights as outlined in the Company's stock certificates. Series B & C preferred stocks conferred no voting rights for holders thereof. Specifically, Series B preferred stockholders' certificates state that such holders are not afforded any rights in the event of merger. Further, in the event of a merger, Series B preferred shareholders would receive in the post-merger company rights mirroring the pre-merger company. Series C shareholders receive the same as Series B shareholders in the event of merger, plus an increased dividend rate as stated in the certificates. In this merger, Defendants contend Plaintiffs received contractually everything to which they were entitled.

Defendants' positions are that Plaintiffs' proposed class and subclass cannot be satisfied because they do not satisfy the numerosity, commonality, typicality and adequacy requirements of Rule 23 of the *Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure*. Defendants contend that one of Plaintiffs' proposed class representatives (Jack Fulton) has been rejected by the Tennessee Court of Appeals as being potentially atypical of the class or classes for which he seeks to represent. Further, Defendants contend that Fulton has unique defenses against him that make him atypical and otherwise unsuitable as a class representative.

Also, Defendants contend, *inter alia,* that Plaintiff Clarke is not an adequate class representative; and that he purchased preferred shares following the announcement of the merger which subject him to unique defenses.

Also, Defendants contend, *inter alia*, that Plaintiffs Roberts and Byers are not suitable class representatives because they are not adequate because of their limited understanding of their responsibilities as class representatives.

Finally, Defendants contend that there are irreconcilable conflicts across proposed class representative lines that make class certification imprudent.

CLASS CERTIFICATION

"The class action 'is an exception to the usual rule that litigation is conducted by and on behalf of the individual named parties only'." *Wal-Mart Stores v. Dukes*, 131 S.Ct. 2541, 2550, 180 L.Ed 2d 374 (2011).

However, an action may be maintainable as a class action if the prerequisites of *Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure* (TRCP) 23.01 are satisfied. TRCP 23.01 states as follows:

> 'One or more members of a class may sue..as representative parties on behalf of all only if: (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (2) there are questions of law and fact common to the class; (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class; and (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the class."

An action may be maintainable as a class action if the Court finds that the questions of law or fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a class action is superior to the other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The matters pertinent to the findings include: (a) the interest of members of the class in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions; (b) the exact nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by members of the class; (c) the desirability or undesirability of concentrating the litigation of the claims in the particular forum; and (d) the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of a class action. TRCP 23.02(3). There are few reported opinions from Tennessee state courts addressing class certification under Rule 23. Therefore, "federal cases addressing class certification under the *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure* are persuasive authority". *Roberts,* No. W2010-01000-COA-R9-CV, 2011 WL 662648, at 5 (Tenn.Ct.App. Feb. 23, 2011).

The trial court has broad discretion in deciding whether to certify a class, however, it is the responsibility of the party desiring to have the case proceed as a class action to prove that the requirements of Rule 23 are met. Simply put, the party seeking class certification bears the burden of proof. In considering class certification, the trial court must engage in a rigorous analysis to insure satisfaction of Rule 23's requirements. "The class certification generally involves considerations that are enmeshed in the factual and legal issues comprising the Plaintiffs' cause of action." *Wal-Mart, 131 S.Ct. at 2551-2.*

In this action Plaintiffs seek certification under Rule 23.02(3). A trial court may certify a class under F.R.C.P. 23(b)(3) if the requirements of Rule 23(a) are satisfied and the Court finds that the questions of law and fact common to class members predominate over any questions affecting other individual members, and that a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy. *Beattie v. CenturyTel, Inc.*, 511 F.3d SS4 (6th Cir. 2007). "Predominance is usually decided on questions of liability, so that if the liability issue is common to the class, common questions are held to predominate over individual ones." *Weinberg v. InstitutformTechs, Inc.*, No. 93-2742G/BRO, 1995 WL 368002, *7 (W.D.Tenn. Apr. 7, 1995) (citations omitted); Also see In re: *Cardizem CD Antitrust Litig.*, 200 F.R.D. 297, 307 (E.D. Mich. 2001) ("finding the predominance requirement is satisfied unless it is clear that individual issues will overwhelm the common questions and render the class action valueless").

"To be certified, a class action must satisfy all four of the Rule 23.01 prerequisites - numerosity, commonality, typicality and adequate representation, and fall within one of the three types of class action listed in Rule 23.02." *Sprague v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 133 F.3rd 388, 397 (6th Cir. 1998) (*en banc*). The party seeking class certification has the burden to prove the Rule 23 certification requirements. In re: *Am. Med. Sys., Inc.*, 75 F.3rd 1069, 1079 (6th Cir. 1996).

RULE 23.01 REQUIREMENT

a. Numerosity

Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure 23.01(1) requires as a prerequisite to class action that "the class [be] so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable." *See* In re *Am. Med.* at 1079. While no test exists, "substantial numbers of affected consumers are sufficient to satisfy the requirement." In re *Whirlpool Corp. Front-Loading Washer Prods., Liab.*, 678 F.3rd 409, 418.

With regard to the issue of numerosity, Plaintiffs have identified over 500 members of the preferred stock class and 71 members of the preferred/common stock class. See Affidavit of Lee A. Weiss and Fidelity's response to Defendants' subpoena *duces tecum*. Also, at the time of the merger, the Company Equity Inn, Inc. Series B and C shares traded openly on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE).

Defendants contend Plaintiffs' attempts to avoid numerosity by simply extrapolating the number of affected shareholders in each class. As a result, such numbers are speculative.

This Court finds that Plaintiffs' number are not speculative and overbroad. In fact, where the number of class members exceeds forty, Rule 23.01(1) is generally deemed satisfied. *Isabel v. Velsicol Chem. Corp.*, No. 04-2297DV, 2006 WL 1745053 at *4 (W.D. Tenn. June 20, 2006). Also numerosity can be based upon mere extrapolation. *Arreola v. Godinez*, 546 F.3rd 788, 798 (7th Cir. 2008). In ruling on a class action a judge may consider reasonable inferences drawn from facts before him at the certification stage of the proceedings. *Senter v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 532 F.2d 511, 523 (6th Cir. 1976). Therefore, this Court finds that Plaintiffs have satisfied the requirement of Rule 23.01(1), as there is a sufficient number of a preferred stockholder class and a preferred/common stockholder class identified who would have been affected adversely under Plaintiffs' claims and theories of breach of fiduciary duty by Defendants as it relates to Equity Inn, Inc. preferred shareholders of B & C Series stock.

b. Commonality & Typicality

The trial court must next consider whether "there are questions of law or fact common to the class." T.R.C.P. 23.01(2). Plaintiff in a class action lawsuit must show that "[t]heir claims… [and]..[t]hat common contention, moreover, must be of such a nature that is capable of class-wide resolution–which means that determination of its truth or falsity will resolve an issue that is central to the validity of each one of the claims in one stroke." *Walmart*, 131 Sup.Ct. at 2251.

Rule 23.01(3) requires proof that Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members' claim. Commonality and typicality "tend to merge" because both of them "serve as guideposts for determining whether under the particular circumstances maintenance of a class action is economical and whether the Plaintiffs' claim and the class claims are so interrelated that the interest of the class members will be fairly and adequately protected in their absence." *Walmart*, 131 Sup. Ct. at 2251 n.5. Similarly, "[a] necessary consequence of the typicality requirement is that the representative's interest will be aligned with those of the represented group, and in pursuing his own claims, the named Plaintiff will also advance the interest of the class member." *Sprague*, 133 F.3rd at 399.

Here, Plaintiffs claim that Defendants breached their fiduciary duties, owed equally to all of the Company's preferred shareholders, in connection with the negotiation and approval of the merger transaction. Specifically, Plaintiffs allege Defendants favored their own financial interest over those of the Company's preferred stockholders by taking a public company private with the knowledge that such a merger transaction would diminish the value of the Company's preferred shares. As a consequence, Defendants' actions affected adversely all preferred shareholders of the class similarly. Plaintiffs further contend Defendants breached their duty in the following respects: duty of loyalty, due care and candor; duty to determine in advance of the merger whether merger was fair to the members of the various classes; and duty to determine whether the members of the various classes would be harmed as a result of what Plaintiffs' claim was wrongful conduct; and if so, what is the appropriate measure of damages. Plaintiffs' contentions are that these are the common questions of law and fact.

As additional common issues that are common to members of the preferred/common stock class are the potential defenses of ratification, acquiescence and waiver; and whether common stock profits are relevant to damages sustained by the preferred/common stock class as a result of Defendants' alleged wrongful conduct.

Defendants contend that Plaintiffs' proposed representatives cannot satisfy the commonality and predominance requirements of 23.01(2) and 23.02(3) because there are clashing legal and factual positions of the classes of proposed Series B, Series C, and common stock shareholders. Defendants contend there are dissimilarities in the proposed classes.

Defendants state that the consideration received by the common shareholders is different from the consideration received by the Series B and Series C shareholders. Further, that each of the three groups of shareholders within Plaintiffs' proposed classes has different rights and entitlements in the event of a merger. Thus, each group is subject to different defenses and prevents the class-wide resolution that Plaintiffs' claims subject to a common answer. Defendants also state that because of the different classes and claims of Plaintiffs that there are not common questions that predominate over questions affecting only individual members. As a result, Defendants contend the proposed classes lack cohesiveness and fail the predominance requirement of Rule 23.02(3).

In this cause, Plaintiffs' claims and theories are predicated on one central question: did Defendants breach their fiduciary duty to preferred shareholders by voting for the merger without first determining whether merger would be fair to the preferred shareholders? It matters not at this stage of the proceeding whether Plaintiffs can prove such that claim. The Defendants, on the other hand in this cause, have asserted many defenses to the Plaintiffs' claims and theories of breach of fiduciary duty including, but not limited to, the contractual provisions in the preferred stock certificates which spell out various rights of such shareholders.

"To demonstrate commonality, the class must depend upon a common contention of such a nature that it is capable of a class-wide resolution which means that a determination of its truth or falsity will resolve an issue that is central to the validity of each one of the claims in one stroke". *Wal-Mart*, 131 S. Ct. at 2551. Though it is apparent that Defendants will have some individualized defenses against some proposed class representative or even among individual members of the class, the existence of these defenses does not defeat the commonality requirement under the claims and theories of Plaintiffs. See *Sterling v. Velsicol Chem. Corp.*, 855 F.2d 1188, 1197 (6th Cir. 1988) (holding that the presence of questions peculiar to each individual member of the class was no bar when liability arose from a single course of conduct). As a result, this Court finds that the commonality requirement under Rule 23.01(2) has been satisfied.

Under Rule 23.01(3), to certify a class the trial court must also find that the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class. This is often referred to as the typicality requirement. "A Plaintiffs claim is typical if it arises from the same event or practice or course of conduct that gives rise to the claims of other class members, and if his or her claims are based on the same legal theory." *Am Med. Sys*, 75 F.3rd at 1082 (quoting 1 Herbert B. Newberg and Alba Conte, Newberg on Class Actions §3-13, at 3-76 (3rd ed. 1992) (footnote omitted)). As with the commonality requirement, the claims and defenses among class members do not have to be identical, provided there exists "a common element of fact or law between the claims." *Cervantes v. Sugar Creek Packing Co., Inc.*, 210 F.R.D. 611, 624 (S.D. Ohio 2002). *See*, e.g., *Daffin v. Ford Motor Co.*, 458 F.3rd 549- 552-53 (6th Cir. 2006). As mentioned earlier, the United States Supreme Court has recognized that "[t]he commonality and typicality requirement under Rule 23 tend to merge." *Wal-Mart*, 131 Sup. Ct. at 2551 n.5 (quoting *Gen. Tel. Co. v. Falcon*, 457 U.S. 147 at 157-58 n.13, 102 S. Ct. 2364).

Again, "[a] necessary consequence of the typicality requirement is that the representative's interest will be aligned with those of the represented group, and in pursuing his own claims, the named Plaintiff will also advance the interest of the class member." *Sprague*, 133 F.3rd at 399. To that point, Defendants raise an interesting point as it relates to all proposed representatives representing the entire class. Defendants insist that there exist the potential for apparent antagonism between various classes of stockholders. The questions then become: how can a Series B shareholder represent the interests of Series C shareholders? Also, how can a Series C shareholder represent the interest of Series B shareholders? Finally, how can individual owners of Series B & C stock represent the interests of shareholders who also owned common stockholders and who voted for the merger? (Remember that over 90% of common stockholders voted for the merger). There is a potential common conflict of the proposed representatives representing this so-called global class of preferred shareholders. There are inherent conflicts that weigh against finding typicality in this so-called global class as to a proposed representative who did not own the very stock that is typical of the members he is proposing to represent. "To have standing to sue as a class representative it is essential that a Plaintiff must be a part of that class, that is, he must possess the same interest and suffer the same injury shared by all members of the class he represents." *Schlesinger v. Reservist Comm. to Stop the War*, 418 U.S. 208, 216 (1974). Certifying this proposed global class as constituted would invite intra-class conflict, a point that the Tennessee Court of Appeals cautioned this Court to avoid. *Roberts at 9.*

Here, Plaintiffs' claims arise from the same course of conduct that gives rise to the claims of the absent class members. Put another way, Plaintiffs claim that Defendants did not undertake any reasonable steps to protect the interest of preferred shareholders before it voted for the merger in this case; and that Defendants' vote for the merger was born out of an effort to enrich themselves post-merger because they owned large blocks of common shares which projected a large profit for those who own them. Thus, Plaintiffs' claims are that Defendants breached their fiduciary duty to those who own preferred stocks.

In this case Byers IRA and Robert IRA cannot represent Series C shareholders because they never owned C shares and because they allege no injury to their own legal rights and interests as it relates to Series C shares. For the same reasons proposed representative Clarke who owned only Series C shares cannot represent Series B shareholders.

This Court finds that the typicality requirement has not been satisfied as to the global class certification. However, proposed representatives Robert IRA and Byers IRA were owners of Series B stock and did not own any Series C stock or common stock. As a result, their claim for injuries from Defendants' alleged breach of fiduciary would be typical of all other Series B shareholders as of the announcement of the merger on June 21, 2007. Rule 23.03(4) of the

Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure permits the division of a proposed into subclasses. "Courts may employ subclasses to address conflicts that arise among definable groups of a larger class". *Roberts* at 8. This Court hereby creates the subclass of Series B preferred shareholders for shareholders who did not own Series C stock or common stock as of June 21, 2007, and all persons similarly situated. The Court finds that this subclass satisfies the typicality requirement of Rule 23.01(3) by creating such a subclass.

In addition, proposed class representative Eric Clarke, as Trustee of Clarke Revocable Trust, owned Series C preferred stock but no Series B or common stock as of June 21, 2007. The Court finds that Clarke's injuries would be typical of all other Series C stockholders similarly situated on June 21, 2007. As a result, this Court hereby creates the subclass of Series C shareholders comprised of only holders of Series C shareholders as of June 21, 2007. As a result of this creation, the typicality requirement of Rule 23.01(3) has been satisfied.

With regard to a proposed class representative for holders of Series B and C stock who also owned common stock on June 21, 2007 and also voted for the merger, it appears that Jack Fulton is one such holder of these three stocks. Any and all persons similarly situated would form a subclass of preferred/common stockholders. Jack Fulton, and those like him, can assert the same alleged claims of breach of fiduciary duty by the Defendants. Likewise, all such members of this subclass of preferred/common stockholders will be subject to the same asserted affirmative defenses of informed consent, acquiescence, ratification of possibly waiver, and other defenses. This is but a glimpse of how this case could advance at trial. However, Rule 23 "grants courts no license to engage in free-ranging merits inquires at the certification stage. Merits questions may be considered to the extent---but only to the extent---that they are relevant to determining whether the Rule 23 prerequisites for class certification are satisfied'. *Wal-Mart*, 131 Sup.Ct. at n.6. Whether, the claims of Plaintiffs can withstand a later challenge is a topic for another proceeding and another day. For now, this Court finds that the creation of this subclass of stockholders who own, as of June 21, 2007, Series B and C as well as common stocks satisfies the typicality requirements with Fulton as the named representative.

With regard to all three subclasses, the Court finds that's there exist numerous questions of a substantial nature common across the putative classes. The facts surrounding the claims of the lead Plaintiffs in the instant action are typical of those of the absent class members. Accordingly, Plaintiffs satisfy the typicality requirements of Rule 23.02(3).

c. Adequacy of Representation

Finally, F.R.C.P. 23(a)(4) (just as on T.R.C.P. 23.01(4) requires the trial court to find that 'the representative party will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. This requirement is essential to due process as a final judgment is binding on all class members." *Bradberry v. John Hancock Mut. Life Ins.Co.*, 217 F.R.D. 408, 414 (W.D. Tenn. 2003). There are two criteria for determining whether the representation of the class will be adequate: 1) the representative must have common interests with unnamed members of the class, and 2) it must appear that the representatives will vigorously prosecute the interest of the class through qualified counsel." *Senter*, 532 F.2d *at* 524-25. "Adequacy of representation mandates that the named Plaintiffs not have a conflict of interest in representing the absent class members". *Am. Med. Sys.*, 75F.3rd at 1083.

As discussed above, the interest of the absent class members of the respective subclass are the same as those of the named plaintiff, as all alleged injuries as a result of the same actions or inactions of the same Defendants.

Defendants argue that all of the named Plaintiffs of the various subclasses do not understand and appreciate the responsibility of their role as class representative. Defendants argue that the representatives are inadequate because they depend upon Plaintiffs' counsel and investment advisors for decisions in advancing the cause of the respective classes. Further, Defendants contend that Clarke Revocable Trust purchased Series B & C shares after the merger had been announced, thereby making that transaction a major focus of the litigation. Also, Defendants argue that Fulton had not read the Complaint or the stock prospectus at the time of his deposition.

This Court rejects Defendants' contention as each representative's interests co-exist with the interest of the class members. Byers, a retired physician, testified in his deposition that he believed that Defendants should have done the kind of evaluation they did for common stockholders. Roberts, owner of a publishing company, testified that Defendants did not do what he thought they should do to protect his interest but they (Defendants) "came out very nice." Clarke, a retired CPA, has spent over 100 hours and produced thousands of pages of documents in connection with discovery in this case. As it relates to Clarke's purchase of additional preferred stock after the announcement of the merger, Clarke is a proposed representative of Series C preferred shareholders who owned Series C preferred only on the date of June 21, 2007, the announcement of the merger, not for any period thereafter. With regard to Fulton, the record reflects that Fulton is a former small business owner, who testified about his ownership of common stock and the profits he derived from the merger and losses suffered from his ownership of preferred stocks after the merger. In addition, Fulton has spent over 10 hours in responding to the discovery in this case. All of the Plaintiffs in question have kept in contact with Plaintiffs' counsel regarding their roles and responsibilities to the unnamed class members. This litigation has been going forward for five years with all indications the Plaintiffs are willing to pursue these claims for themselves and for others unnamed.

Also, "[i]t is well established that a named plaintiff's lack of knowledge and understanding of the case is insufficient to deny class certification, unless his ignorance unduly impacts his ability to vigorously prosecute the action." In re *Ocean Bank*, No. 06 C 3515, 2007 WL 1063042, *5, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29443 at * 14 (N.D. ILL. April 9, 2007) (citation omitted). "The burden in demonstrating that the class representative meets this standard is not difficult." *id.* Therefore, this Court finds that the Byers, Roberts, Clarke and Fulton are adequate representatives (not perfect representatives) of the various classes mentioned above.

Further, this Court has also reviewed the affidavit of class counsel and finds that class counsel is qualified and experienced and generally able to conduct the litigation. Therefore, this Court finds that the remaining adequacy requirements of Rule 23.01(4) have been satisfied.

d. Predominance

This Court has already found that the issues in this case subject to generalized proof and applicable to the class as a whole predominate over those issues that are subject to only individualized proof. It is necessary that "[a] plaintiff must show that those issues subject to generalized proof outweigh those issues that are subject to individual proof. *Heerwagen v. Clear Channel Commc'ns*, 435 F.3d 219, 226 (2dCir. 2006)"The fact a defense may arise and may affect different class members differently does not compel a finding that individual issues predominate over common ones." *Beattie*, 511 F.3[rd] at 564 (citation and internal quotation mark omitted).

Finally, this Court finds that the allege breach of fiduciary duty is the common issue in this case. The defenses of informed consent, acquiescence, ratification, and waiver are the major common defenses. As a result, the Court finds the common issues predominate over individual ones and that the predominate requirement has been satisfied.

Rule 23.02(3) Superiority

The trial court is required to ask whether Plaintiffs have established that adjudication on a class-wide basis is superior to any other method. Given the numerous common issues in this case, the prospect of duplication of this litigation in possibly scores, if not hundreds, of cases would in this Court's view be an inefficient use of judicial resources as well as inefficient use of resources of the parties. The office of a class action under Rule 23(b)(3) certification is not to adjudicate the case; rather, it is to select the"metho[d]"best suited to adjudication of the controversy"fairly and efficiently". *Amgen Inc., v. Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds*, No. 11-1085, 2013 WL 691001, (slip op., at 8) (U.S. Feb. 27, 2013) For reasons discussed above, this Court finds that class action is a well-suited method to advance the common issues in this case in the most efficient way.

As to the existence of other litigation, the record is devoid of any other lawsuits in this or any other jurisdictions regarding issues being addressed in this litigation.

This Court finds class action is the superior method in the case alleging a single course of alleged wrongful conduct in this case, that is, whether Defendants breached a fiduciary duty owed to Plaintiffs and others similarly situated. This Court further finds nothing about this litigation that would make it any more challenging to manage than the traditional class action. Rule 23.02(3) again, has been satisfied. Shelby County, Tennessee is an appropriate forum for this litigation, as the Company is a Tennessee corporation has its headquarters in Shelby County. Tennessee.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court hereby certifies the proposed preferred class stockholders under Rule 23. As a part of that certification, the Court creates and certifies subclasses under Rule 23.03(4) and appoints Byers and Roberts as class representatives of all Series B stockholders who owned such stock on June 21, 2007. The Court appoints Clarke as class representative of all Series C stockholders who owned such stock on June 21, 2007. In addition, this Court appoints Fulton as class representative of all stockholders who owned Series B and C stock as well as common stock on June 21, 2007. All other request, for class certification is denied. Finally, this Court appoints Bern Weiss, LLP as legal counsel for the class and subclass. Further, this Court appoints Crone and McEnvoy, PLC as liaison counsel for the class and subclasses discussed above, as the record is devoid of evidence, at this stage, of a conflict of interest by these law firms representing these separate classes.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUDGE

DATE: 4/26/2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the above order was mailed, postage prepaid, to the parties of record at the addresses shown in the file, or, if they were represented, to their attorney of record at his or her last known address.

Jennifer Stonecipher
DEPUTY CLERK

EXHIBIT 2

SOURCE WWW.NASDAQ.COM

WGCBP "B" PREFERRRED SHARES

date	close	volume	open	high	low
10:11	$ 8.00	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
5/7/2013	$ 8.00	500	8	8	8
5/6/2013	$ 8.15	0	8.15	8.15	8.15
5/3/2013	$ 8.15	3675	8.2	8.25	8.15
5/2/2013	$ 8.50	0	8.5	8.5	8.5
5/1/2013	$ 8.50	0	8.5	8.5	8.5
4/30/2013	$ 8.50	2000	8.5	8.5	8.5
4/29/2013	$ 7.25	0	7.25	7.25	7.25
4/26/2013	$ 7.25	0	7.25	7.25	7.25
4/25/2013	$ 7.25	0	7.25	7.25	7.25
4/24/2013	$ 7.25	200	7.25	7.25	7.25
4/23/2013	$ 7.85	500	7.85	7.85	7.85
4/22/2013	$ 7.85	0	7.85	7.85	7.85
4/19/2013	$ 7.85	500	7.85	7.85	7.85
4/18/2013	$ 7.70	1516	7.7	7.7	7.7
4/17/2013	$ 7.80	7904	7.85	7.85	7.8
4/16/2013	$ 7.70	0	7.7	7.7	7.7
4/15/2013	$ 7.70	0	7.7	7.7	7.7
4/12/2013	$ 7.70	1425	7.75	7.75	7.7
4/11/2013	$ 7.76	4320	7.65	7.76	7.6
4/10/2013	$ 7.50	300	7.5	7.5	7.5
4/9/2013	$ 7.50	3200	7.65	7.65	7.25
4/8/2013	$ 7.45	1500	7.5	7.5	7.45
4/5/2013	$ 7.50	0	7.5	7.5	7.5
4/4/2013	$ 7.50	1788	7.52	7.52	7.5
4/3/2013	$ 7.50	1932	7.6	7.6	7.5
4/2/2013	$ 7.60	0	7.6	7.6	7.6
4/1/2013	$ 7.60	0	7.6	7.6	7.6
3/28/2013	$ 7.60	4773	7.5	7.6	7.5
3/27/2013	$ 7.45	2400	7.45	7.45	7.45
3/26/2013	$ 7.25	0	7.25	7.25	7.25
3/25/2013	$ 7.25	0	7.25	7.25	7.25
3/22/2013	$ 7.25	0	7.25	7.25	7.25
3/21/2013	$ 7.25	0	7.25	7.25	7.25
3/20/2013	$ 7.25	100	7.25	7.25	7.25
3/19/2013	$ 7.00	400	7	7	7
3/18/2013	$ 8.00	0	8	8	8
3/15/2013	$ 8.00	0	8	8	8
3/14/2013	$ 8.00	3000	7.95	8	7.95
3/13/2013	$ 7.31	0	7.31	7.31	7.31
3/12/2013	$ 7.31	0	7.31	7.31	7.31
3/11/2013	$ 7.31	0	7.31	7.31	7.31
3/8/2013	$ 7.31	1000	7.31	7.31	7.31
3/7/2013	$ 7.36	300	7.36	7.36	7.36
3/6/2013	$ 7.20	0	7.2	7.2	7.2
3/5/2013	$ 7.20	675	7.2	7.2	7.2
3/4/2013	$ 7.20	200	7.2	7.2	7.2
3/1/2013	$ 7.40	1500	7.11	7.4	7.11
2/28/2013	$ 7.00	130	7	7	7

2/27/2013	$	7.00	2150	7	7	7
2/26/2013	$	6.75	0	6.75	6.75	6.75
2/25/2013	$	6.75	0	6.75	6.75	6.75
2/22/2013	$	6.75	600	6.75	6.75	6.75
2/21/2013	$	7.50	0	7.5	7.5	7.5
2/20/2013	$	7.50	7483	7	7.5	7
2/19/2013	$	7.06	1400	7	7.06	7
2/15/2013	$	7.00	0	7	7	7
2/14/2013	$	7.00	0	7	7	7
2/13/2013	$	7.00	0	7	7	7
2/12/2013	$	7.00	600	7	7	7
2/11/2013	$	7.20	1900	7.16	7.2	7.15
2/8/2013	$	7.15	0	7.15	7.15	7.15
2/7/2013	$	7.15	1000	7.15	7.15	7.15
2/6/2013	$	7.15	1400	7	7.15	7
2/5/2013	$	7.15	400	7.15	7.15	7.15
2/4/2013	$	7.15	0	7.15	7.15	7.15
2/1/2013	$	7.15	0	7.15	7.15	7.15
1/31/2013	$	7.15	400	7.15	7.15	7.15
1/30/2013	$	7.00	0	7	7	7
1/29/2013	$	7.00	1373	7	7	7
1/28/2013	$	8.00	1331	8	8	8
1/25/2013	$	8.00	0	8	8	8
1/24/2013	$	8.00	0	8	8	8
1/23/2013	$	8.00	400	8	8	8
1/22/2013	$	7.00	0	7	7	7
1/18/2013	$	7.00	0	7	7	7
1/17/2013	$	7.00	100	7	7	7
1/16/2013	$	7.00	3000	6.75	7	6.75
1/15/2013	$	6.50	0	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/14/2013	$	6.50	0	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/11/2013	$	6.50	0	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/10/2013	$	6.50	200	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/9/2013	$	6.50	1671	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/8/2013	$	6.50	0	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/7/2013	$	6.50	5400	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/4/2013	$	6.50	0	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/3/2013	$	6.50	0	6.5	6.5	6.5
1/2/2013	$	6.50	450	6.5	6.5	6.5
12/31/2012	$	6.00	1700	6.5	6.5	6
12/28/2012	$	6.05	0	6.05	6.05	6.05
12/27/2012	$	6.05	300	6.05	6.05	6.05
12/26/2012	$	6.10	2677	6.1	6.1	6
12/24/2012	$	6.10	0	6.1	6.1	6.1
12/21/2012	$	6.10	2200	5.99	6.1	5.5
12/20/2012	$	6.10	2000	6.1	6.1	6.1
12/19/2012	$	5.46	0	5.46	5.46	5.46
12/18/2012	$	5.46	0	5.46	5.46	5.46
12/17/2012	$	5.46	200	5.46	5.46	5.46
12/14/2012	$	5.00	5695	5.5	5.5	5
12/13/2012	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
12/12/2012	$	5.00	1000	5	5	5

12/11/2012	$	5.50	4486	5.5	5.5	4.75
12/10/2012	$	4.75	0	4.75	4.75	4.75
12/7/2012	$	4.75	1336	4.75	4.75	4.75
12/6/2012	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
12/5/2012	$	5.00	600	5	5	5
12/4/2012	$	5.50	2550	5.5	5.5	5.5
12/3/2012	$	5.10	0	5.1	5.1	5.1
11/30/2012	$	5.10	700	5.1	5.1	5.1
11/29/2012	$	5.42	16725	5.4	5.42	5.4
11/28/2012	$	5.25	3100	5.31	5.32	5.25
11/27/2012	$	5.35	0	5.35	5.35	5.35
11/26/2012	$	5.35	0	5.35	5.35	5.35
11/23/2012	$	5.35	1050	5.26	5.35	5.26
11/21/2012	$	5.25	1100	5.25	5.25	5.25
11/20/2012	$	4.55	600	4.55	4.55	4.55
11/19/2012	$	5.00	500	5	5	5
11/16/2012	$	5.30	0	5.3	5.3	5.3
11/15/2012	$	5.30	600	5.25	5.3	5.25
11/14/2012	$	5.15	1455	4.55	5.25	4.55
11/13/2012	$	5.16	0	5.16	5.16	5.16
11/12/2012	$	5.16	0	5.16	5.16	5.16
11/9/2012	$	5.16	0	5.16	5.16	5.16
11/8/2012	$	5.16	0	5.16	5.16	5.16
11/7/2012	$	5.16	400	5.16	5.16	5.16
11/6/2012	$	5.35	500	5.35	5.35	5.35
11/5/2012	$	5.25	0	5.25	5.25	5.25
11/2/2012	$	5.25	0	5.25	5.25	5.25
11/1/2012	$	5.25	0	5.25	5.25	5.25
10/31/2012	$	5.25	0	5.25	5.25	5.25
10/26/2012	$	5.25	200	5.25	5.25	5.25
10/25/2012	$	5.35	0	5.35	5.35	5.35
10/24/2012	$	5.35	0	5.35	5.35	5.35
10/23/2012	$	5.35	0	5.35	5.35	5.35
10/22/2012	$	5.35	4700	5.11	5.35	5
10/19/2012	$	5.10	0	5.1	5.1	5.1
10/18/2012	$	5.10	0	5.1	5.1	5.1
10/17/2012	$	5.10	890	4.91	5.1	4.91
10/16/2012	$	4.91	4973	4.95	4.95	4.85
10/15/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
10/12/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
10/11/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
10/10/2012	$	4.00	1134	4	4	4
10/9/2012	$	4.80	0	4.8	4.8	4.8
10/8/2012	$	4.80	500	4.8	4.8	4.8
10/5/2012	$	4.75	1400	4.56	4.75	4.56
10/4/2012	$	4.60	0	4.6	4.6	4.6
10/3/2012	$	4.60	6000	4.35	4.6	4.34
10/2/2012	$	4.00	561	4	4	4
10/1/2012	$	4.67	1100	4.67	4.67	4.67
9/28/2012	$	4.43	7400	4.44	4.44	4.43
9/27/2012	$	4.34	1650	4.34	4.34	4.34
9/26/2012	$	4.26	2400	4.01	4.26	4.01

9/25/2012	$	4.10	850	4.01	4.1	4.01
9/24/2012	$	3.00	0	3	3	3
9/21/2012	$	3.00	0	3	3	3
9/20/2012	$	3.00	0	3	3	3
9/19/2012	$	3.00	150	3	3	3
9/18/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
9/17/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
9/14/2012	$	4.00	1000	4	4	4
9/13/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
9/12/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
9/11/2012	$	4.00	300	4	4	4
9/10/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
9/7/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
9/6/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
9/5/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
9/4/2012	$	3.75	700	3.75	3.75	3.75
8/31/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
8/30/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
8/29/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
8/28/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
8/27/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
8/24/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
8/23/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
8/22/2012	$	3.50	470	3.95	3.95	3.5
8/21/2012	$	3.95	1000	3.95	3.95	3.95
8/20/2012	$	3.95	1161	4	4	3.95
8/17/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
8/16/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
8/15/2012	$	4.00	1800	4.01	4.25	4
8/14/2012	$	3.95	0	3.95	3.95	3.95
8/13/2012	$	3.95	300	3.95	3.95	3.95
8/10/2012	$	3.05	500	3.05	3.05	3.05
8/9/2012	$	3.87	0	3.87	3.87	3.87
8/8/2012	$	3.87	0	3.87	3.87	3.87
8/7/2012	$	3.87	1000	3.87	3.87	3.87
8/6/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
8/3/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
8/2/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
8/1/2012	$	4.00	800	4	4	4
7/31/2012	$	3.75	2000	3.75	3.75	3.75
7/30/2012	$	4.05	5900	3	4.05	3
7/27/2012	$	3.80	0	3.8	3.8	3.8
7/26/2012	$	3.80	0	3.8	3.8	3.8
7/25/2012	$	3.80	0	3.8	3.8	3.8
7/24/2012	$	3.80	400	3.8	3.8	3.8
7/23/2012	$	3.78	0	3.78	3.78	3.78
7/20/2012	$	3.78	0	3.78	3.78	3.78
7/19/2012	$	3.78	730	3.8	3.8	3.78
7/18/2012	$	3.80	0	3.8	3.8	3.8
7/17/2012	$	3.80	1070	3.8	3.8	3.8
7/16/2012	$	3.80	0	3.8	3.8	3.8
7/13/2012	$	3.80	61000	3.88	3.88	3.8

7/12/2012	$	3.75	333	3.75	3.75	3.75
7/11/2012	$	4.00	1000	4	4	4
7/10/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
7/9/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
7/6/2012	$	4.00	1000	4	4	4
7/5/2012	$	3.25	0	3.25	3.25	3.25
7/3/2012	$	3.25	0	3.25	3.25	3.25
7/2/2012	$	3.25	267600	3.24	3.25	3.24
6/29/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
6/28/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
6/27/2012	$	3.75	200	3.75	3.75	3.75
6/26/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
6/25/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
6/22/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
6/21/2012	$	4.00	2000	4	4	4
6/20/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
6/19/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
6/18/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
6/15/2012	$	4.00	273	4	4	4
6/14/2012	$	3.98	1000	3.98	3.98	3.98
6/13/2012	$	4.00	100	4	4	4
6/12/2012	$	3.95	0	3.95	3.95	3.95
6/11/2012	$	3.95	0	3.95	3.95	3.95
6/8/2012	$	3.95	0	3.95	3.95	3.95
6/7/2012	$	3.95	500	3.95	3.95	3.95
6/6/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
6/5/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
6/4/2012	$	4.00	500	4	4	4
6/1/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
5/31/2012	$	3.50	300	3.5	3.5	3.5
5/30/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
5/29/2012	$	3.75	1500	3.75	3.75	3.75
5/25/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
5/24/2012	$	3.50	0	3.5	3.5	3.5
5/23/2012	$	3.50	775	3.5	3.5	3.5
5/22/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
5/21/2012	$	3.75	900	3.75	3.75	3.75
5/18/2012	$	3.78	0	3.78	3.78	3.78
5/17/2012	$	3.78	4159	3.76	3.78	3.76
5/16/2012	$	3.80	550	3.8	3.8	3.8
5/15/2012	$	3.90	122000	4	4.25	3.9
5/14/2012	$	4.25	0	4.25	4.25	4.25
5/11/2012	$	4.25	0	4.25	4.25	4.25
5/10/2012	$	4.25	0	4.25	4.25	4.25
5/9/2012	$	4.25	0	4.25	4.25	4.25
5/8/2012	$	4.25	3000	3.93	4.25	3.93
5/7/2012	$	4.00	845	4	4	4
5/4/2012	$	3.95	410	3.95	3.95	3.95
5/3/2012	$	3.95	1150	3.95	3.95	3.95
5/2/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
5/1/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/30/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4

4/27/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/26/2012	$	4.00	1000	4	4	4
4/25/2012	$	4.00	930	4	4	4
4/24/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/23/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/20/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/19/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/18/2012	$	4.00	250	4	4	4
4/17/2012	$	4.00	7100	3.05	4	3
4/16/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/13/2012	$	4.00	300	4	4	4
4/12/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/11/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/10/2012	$	4.00	1050	3.05	4	3.05
4/9/2012	$	4.00	500	4	4	4
4/5/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/4/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/3/2012	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
4/2/2012	$	4.00	500	4	4	4
3/30/2012	$	3.72	0	3.72	3.72	3.72
3/29/2012	$	3.72	301600	3.7	3.85	3.7
3/28/2012	$	3.72	379712	3.82	3.86	3.72
3/27/2012	$	3.75	0	3.75	3.75	3.75
3/26/2012	$	3.75	1426	3.75	3.75	3.75
3/23/2012	$	3.76	200	3.76	3.76	3.76
3/22/2012	$	3.76	0	3.76	3.76	3.76
3/21/2012	$	3.76	0	3.76	3.76	3.76
3/20/2012	$	3.76	0	3.76	3.76	3.76
3/19/2012	$	3.76	1205	3.7	3.76	3.7
3/16/2012	$	3.70	0	3.7	3.7	3.7
3/15/2012	$	3.70	1200	3.51	3.7	3.51
3/14/2012	$	3.70	0	3.7	3.7	3.7
3/13/2012	$	3.70	0	3.7	3.7	3.7
3/12/2012	$	3.70	0	3.7	3.7	3.7
3/9/2012	$	3.70	200	3.7	3.7	3.7
3/8/2012	$	3.40	0	3.4	3.4	3.4
3/7/2012	$	3.40	0	3.4	3.4	3.4
3/6/2012	$	3.40	0	3.4	3.4	3.4
3/5/2012	$	3.40	0	3.4	3.4	3.4
3/2/2012	$	3.40	0	3.4	3.4	3.4
3/1/2012	$	3.40	0	3.4	3.4	3.4
2/29/2012	$	3.40	17760	3.85	3.85	3.35
2/28/2012	$	3.40	0	3.4	3.4	3.4
2/27/2012	$	3.40	100	3.4	3.4	3.4
2/24/2012	$	3.48	47950	3.25	3.55	3.25
2/23/2012	$	3.12	4225	3.11	3.12	3.11
2/22/2012	$	3.03	0	3.03	3.03	3.03
2/21/2012	$	3.03	0	3.03	3.03	3.03
2/17/2012	$	3.03	0	3.03	3.03	3.03
2/16/2012	$	3.03	0	3.03	3.03	3.03
2/15/2012	$	3.03	0	3.03	3.03	3.03
2/14/2012	$	3.03	0	3.03	3.03	3.03

2/13/2012	$	3.03	3451	3.03	3.03	3.03
2/10/2012	$	3.06	0	3.06	3.06	3.06
2/9/2012	$	3.06	1900	3.03	3.06	3.03
2/8/2012	$	3.07	2000	3.05	3.07	3.03
2/7/2012	$	3.07	0	3.07	3.07	3.07
2/6/2012	$	3.07	0	3.07	3.07	3.07
2/3/2012	$	3.07	2000	3.03	3.07	3.03
2/2/2012	$	3.07	24445	3.03	3.5	3.03
2/1/2012	$	2.65	585	2.65	2.65	2.65
1/31/2012	$	2.90	0	2.9	2.9	2.9
1/30/2012	$	2.90	0	2.9	2.9	2.9
1/27/2012	$	2.90	0	2.9	2.9	2.9
1/26/2012	$	2.90	0	2.9	2.9	2.9
1/25/2012	$	2.90	300	2.9	2.9	2.9
1/24/2012	$	2.55	0	2.55	2.55	2.55
1/23/2012	$	2.55	0	2.55	2.55	2.55
1/20/2012	$	2.55	0	2.55	2.55	2.55
1/19/2012	$	2.55	700	2.55	2.55	2.55
1/18/2012	$	2.50	165	2.5	2.5	2.5
1/17/2012	$	2.93	0	2.93	2.93	2.93
1/13/2012	$	2.93	0	2.93	2.93	2.93
1/12/2012	$	2.93	165	2.93	2.93	2.93
1/11/2012	$	2.55	0	2.55	2.55	2.55
1/10/2012	$	2.55	1500	2.55	2.55	2.55
1/9/2012	$	2.72	0	2.72	2.72	2.72
1/6/2012	$	2.72	222500	2.66	2.72	2.66
1/5/2012	$	2.65	0	2.65	2.65	2.65
1/4/2012	$	2.65	4100	2.65	2.65	2.65
1/3/2012	$	2.65	15110	2.65	2.65	2.65
12/30/2011	$	2.42	2850	2.35	2.42	2.35
12/29/2011	$	2.00	84150	2.4	2.45	2
12/28/2011	$	1.25	2000	1.25	1.25	1.25
12/27/2011	$	1.75	250	1.75	1.75	1.75
12/23/2011	$	2.50	0	2.5	2.5	2.5
12/22/2011	$	2.50	1265	1.75	2.5	1.75
12/21/2011	$	2.50	1600	2.6	2.6	2.5
12/20/2011	$	2.50	300	2.5	2.5	2.5
12/19/2011	$	2.25	300	2.25	2.25	2.25
12/16/2011	$	2.65	0	2.65	2.65	2.65
12/15/2011	$	2.65	1100	2.5	2.65	2.5
12/14/2011	$	2.65	900	2.65	2.65	2.65
12/13/2011	$	2.65	100	2.65	2.65	2.65
12/12/2011	$	1.75	300	1.75	1.75	1.75
12/9/2011	$	2.56	3015	2.1	2.56	2.1
12/8/2011	$	2.65	4720	2.65	2.65	2.65
12/7/2011	$	2.05	546	2.05	2.05	2.05
12/6/2011	$	2.65	1100	2.65	2.65	2.65
12/5/2011	$	2.65	0	2.65	2.65	2.65
12/2/2011	$	2.65	588	2.65	2.65	2.65
12/1/2011	$	2.65	2600	2.5	2.65	2.5
11/30/2011	$	2.50	300	2.65	2.65	2.5
11/29/2011	$	2.60	42247	2.1	2.65	1.85

11/28/2011	$	2.03	0	2.03	2.03	2.03
11/25/2011	$	2.03	0	2.03	2.03	2.03
11/23/2011	$	2.03	1000	2.03	2.03	2.03
11/22/2011	$	1.50	2000	2.02	2.02	1.5
11/21/2011	$	2.00	800	2	2	2
11/18/2011	$	2.00	2100	2	2	2
11/17/2011	$	2.00	300	2	2	2
11/16/2011	$	2.10	1950	2.1	2.1	2.1
11/15/2011	$	1.50	200	1.5	1.5	1.5
11/14/2011	$	2.00	300	2	2	2
11/11/2011	$	1.98	0	1.98	1.98	1.98
11/10/2011	$	1.98	3630	1.95	1.98	1.95
11/9/2011	$	1.96	300	1.96	1.96	1.96
11/8/2011	$	1.97	1000	1.97	1.97	1.97
11/7/2011	$	2.00	2800	2	2	2
11/4/2011	$	1.96	500	1.96	1.96	1.96
11/3/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
11/2/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
11/1/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/31/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/28/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/27/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/26/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/25/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/24/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/21/2011	$	1.96	1000	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/20/2011	$	1.90	0	1.9	1.9	1.9
10/19/2011	$	1.90	650	1.9	1.9	1.9
10/18/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/17/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/14/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/13/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/12/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/11/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/10/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/7/2011	$	1.96	700	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/6/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/5/2011	$	1.96	0	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/4/2011	$	1.96	1200	1.96	1.96	1.96
10/3/2011	$	1.96	5100	1.96	1.96	1.9
9/30/2011	$	1.73	0	1.73	1.73	1.73
9/29/2011	$	1.73	0	1.73	1.73	1.73
9/28/2011	$	1.73	0	1.73	1.73	1.73
9/27/2011	$	1.73	0	1.73	1.73	1.73
9/26/2011	$	1.73	0	1.73	1.73	1.73
9/23/2011	$	1.73	0	1.73	1.73	1.73
9/22/2011	$	1.73	81820	1.67	1.73	1.67
9/21/2011	$	1.85	0	1.85	1.85	1.85
9/20/2011	$	1.85	200	1.85	1.85	1.85
9/19/2011	$	1.95	3400	1.95	1.95	1.95
9/16/2011	$	1.95	1000	1.95	1.95	1.95
9/15/2011	$	1.95	1100	1.95	1.95	1.95

9/14/2011	$	0.60	0	0.6	0.6	0.6
9/13/2011	$	0.60	0	0.6	0.6	0.6
9/12/2011	$	0.60	1430	0.6	0.6	0.6
9/9/2011	$	1.95	0	1.95	1.95	1.95
9/8/2011	$	1.95	5500	1.94	1.95	1.94
9/7/2011	$	1.95	0	1.95	1.95	1.95
9/6/2011	$	1.95	0	1.95	1.95	1.95
9/2/2011	$	1.95	4525	1.95	1.95	1.95
9/1/2011	$	1.95	0	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/31/2011	$	1.95	0	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/30/2011	$	1.95	0	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/29/2011	$	1.95	1200	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/26/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/25/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/24/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/23/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/22/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/19/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/18/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/17/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/16/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/15/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/12/2011	$	2.00	7600	1.95	2	1.95
8/11/2011	$	1.95	0	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/10/2011	$	1.95	0	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/9/2011	$	1.95	5175	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/8/2011	$	1.95	11185	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/5/2011	$	1.95	500	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/4/2011	$	1.95	400	1.95	1.95	1.95
8/3/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
8/2/2011	$	2.00	1000	2	2	2
8/1/2011	$	1.92	0	1.92	1.92	1.92
7/29/2011	$	1.92	0	1.92	1.92	1.92
7/28/2011	$	1.92	3600	1.85	1.92	1.7
7/27/2011	$	1.92	75000	1.97	2.03	1.88
7/26/2011	$	1.95	44010	1.95	2	1.9
7/25/2011	$	1.94	1200	1.94	1.94	1.94
7/22/2011	$	1.95	3300	1.93	1.95	1.93
7/21/2011	$	1.40	2400	1.95	1.95	1.4
7/20/2011	$	2.00	50900	1.95	2	1.95
7/19/2011	$	1.61	0	1.61	1.61	1.61
7/18/2011	$	1.61	0	1.61	1.61	1.61
7/15/2011	$	1.61	0	1.61	1.61	1.61
7/14/2011	$	1.61	0	1.61	1.61	1.61
7/13/2011	$	1.61	150	1.61	1.61	1.61
7/12/2011	$	1.60	0	1.6	1.6	1.6
7/11/2011	$	1.60	0	1.6	1.6	1.6
7/8/2011	$	1.60	0	1.6	1.6	1.6
7/7/2011	$	1.60	0	1.6	1.6	1.6
7/6/2011	$	1.60	0	1.6	1.6	1.6
7/5/2011	$	1.60	0	1.6	1.6	1.6
7/1/2011	$	1.60	0	1.6	1.6	1.6

6/30/2011	$	1.60	0	1.6	1.6	1.6
6/29/2011	$	1.60	2000	1.6	1.65	1.6
6/28/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
6/27/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
6/24/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
6/23/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
6/22/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
6/21/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
6/20/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
6/17/2011	$	1.50	400	1.5	1.5	1.5
6/16/2011	$	1.55	510	1.55	1.55	1.55
6/15/2011	$	1.65	0	1.65	1.65	1.65
6/14/2011	$	1.65	0	1.65	1.65	1.65
6/13/2011	$	1.65	0	1.65	1.65	1.65
6/10/2011	$	1.65	14005	1.65	1.65	1.65
6/9/2011	$	1.56	0	1.56	1.56	1.56
6/8/2011	$	1.56	385	1.56	1.56	1.56
6/7/2011	$	1.55	0	1.55	1.55	1.55
6/6/2011	$	1.55	5400	1.55	1.55	1.55
6/3/2011	$	1.55	0	1.55	1.55	1.55
6/2/2011	$	1.55	300	1.55	1.55	1.55
6/1/2011	$	1.55	0	1.55	1.55	1.55
5/31/2011	$	1.55	8705	0.55	1.55	0.55
5/27/2011	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
5/26/2011	$	0.55	250	0.55	0.55	0.55
5/25/2011	$	1.80	0	1.8	1.8	1.8
5/24/2011	$	1.80	0	1.8	1.8	1.8
5/23/2011	$	1.80	0	1.8	1.8	1.8
5/20/2011	$	1.80	0	1.8	1.8	1.8
5/19/2011	$	1.80	0	1.8	1.8	1.8
5/18/2011	$	1.80	70000	1.8	1.8	1.8
5/17/2011	$	1.05	0	1.05	1.05	1.05
5/16/2011	$	1.05	2020	0.55	1.05	0.55
5/13/2011	$	1.40	0	1.4	1.4	1.4
5/12/2011	$	1.40	0	1.4	1.4	1.4
5/11/2011	$	1.40	100	1.4	1.4	1.4
5/10/2011	$	1.40	4426	1.35	1.4	1.3
5/9/2011	$	1.25	0	1.25	1.25	1.25
5/6/2011	$	1.25	0	1.25	1.25	1.25
5/5/2011	$	1.25	1000	1.25	1.25	1.25
5/4/2011	$	1.25	0	1.25	1.25	1.25
5/3/2011	$	1.25	600	0.55	1.25	0.55
5/2/2011	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
4/29/2011	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
4/28/2011	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
4/27/2011	$	0.55	250	0.55	0.55	0.55
4/26/2011	$	1.30	5800	1.6	1.6	1.3
4/25/2011	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
4/21/2011	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
4/20/2011	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
4/19/2011	$	0.65	1000	0.65	0.65	0.65
4/18/2011	$	0.55	1500	0.55	0.55	0.55

4/15/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/14/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/13/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/12/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/11/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/8/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/7/2011	$	1.50	0	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/6/2011	$	1.50	900	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/5/2011	$	0.55	2254	0.55	0.55	0.55
4/4/2011	$	1.50	1900	1.5	1.5	1.5
4/1/2011	$	1.55	0	1.55	1.55	1.55
3/31/2011	$	1.55	0	1.55	1.55	1.55
3/30/2011	$	1.55	3100	1.55	1.55	1.55
3/29/2011	$	1.50	1000	1.5	1.5	1.5
3/28/2011	$	1.50	900	1.4	1.5	1.4
3/25/2011	$	1.40	1031	1.4	1.4	1.4
3/24/2011	$	1.25	0	1.25	1.25	1.25
3/23/2011	$	1.25	0	1.25	1.25	1.25
3/22/2011	$	1.25	0	1.25	1.25	1.25
3/21/2011	$	1.25	200	1.25	1.25	1.25
3/18/2011	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
3/17/2011	$	0.55	400	0.55	0.55	0.55
3/16/2011	$	1.40	0	1.4	1.4	1.4
3/15/2011	$	1.40	0	1.4	1.4	1.4
3/14/2011	$	1.40	0	1.4	1.4	1.4
3/11/2011	$	1.40	15545	1.38	1.4	1.38
3/10/2011	$	1.26	0	1.26	1.26	1.26
3/9/2011	$	1.26	0	1.26	1.26	1.26
3/8/2011	$	1.26	0	1.26	1.26	1.26
3/7/2011	$	1.26	0	1.26	1.26	1.26
3/4/2011	$	1.26	1000	1.26	1.26	1.26
3/3/2011	$	1.10	1000	1.1	1.1	1.1
3/2/2011	$	1.35	0	1.35	1.35	1.35
3/1/2011	$	1.35	0	1.35	1.35	1.35
2/28/2011	$	1.35	30600	1.24	1.45	1.24
2/25/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/24/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/23/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/22/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/18/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/17/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/16/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/15/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/14/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/11/2011	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
2/10/2011	$	2.00	1100	1	2	1
2/9/2011	$	0.90	500	0.9	0.9	0.9
2/8/2011	$	0.90	500	0.9	0.9	0.9
2/7/2011	$	0.77	783	0.77	0.77	0.77
2/4/2011	$	0.76	0	0.76	0.76	0.76
2/3/2011	$	0.76	0	0.76	0.76	0.76
2/2/2011	$	0.76	600	0.76	0.76	0.76

2/1/2011	$	0.75	75900	1.22	1.22	0.75
1/31/2011	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
1/28/2011	$	0.55	10000	0.55	0.55	0.55
1/27/2011	$	0.60	0	0.6	0.6	0.6
1/26/2011	$	0.60	0	0.6	0.6	0.6
1/25/2011	$	0.60	800	0.6	0.6	0.6
1/24/2011	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
1/21/2011	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
1/20/2011	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
1/19/2011	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
1/18/2011	$	0.35	250	0.35	0.35	0.35
1/14/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/13/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/12/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/11/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/10/2011	$	0.66	1000	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/7/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/6/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/5/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/4/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
1/3/2011	$	0.66	0	0.66	0.66	0.66
12/31/2010	$	0.66	125	0.66	0.66	0.66
12/30/2010	$	0.60	48700	0.73	0.77	0.6
12/29/2010	$	0.25	300	0.25	0.25	0.25
12/28/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
12/27/2010	$	0.25	300	0.25	0.25	0.25
12/23/2010	$	0.75	3000	0.75	0.75	0.75
12/22/2010	$	1.10	0	1.1	1.1	1.1
12/21/2010	$	1.10	1351	1.1	1.1	1.1
12/20/2010	$	0.51	500	0.51	0.51	0.51
12/17/2010	$	0.52	850	0.52	0.52	0.52
12/16/2010	$	0.52	950	0.52	0.52	0.52
12/15/2010	$	0.50	120	0.5	0.5	0.5
12/14/2010	$	0.50	500	0.5	0.5	0.5
12/13/2010	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
12/10/2010	$	0.50	1950	0.5	0.5	0.05
12/9/2010	$	0.05	400	0.05	0.05	0.05
12/8/2010	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
12/7/2010	$	0.50	500	0.5	0.5	0.5
12/6/2010	$	0.50	1200	0.5	0.5	0.5
12/3/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
12/2/2010	$	0.05	1351	0.05	0.05	0.05
12/1/2010	$	0.56	0	0.56	0.56	0.56
11/30/2010	$	0.56	625	0.55	0.56	0.55
11/29/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
11/26/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
11/24/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
11/23/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
11/22/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
11/19/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
11/18/2010	$	0.05	355	0.05	0.05	0.05
11/17/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25

11/16/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
11/15/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
11/12/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
11/11/2010	$	0.25	100	0.25	0.25	0.25
11/10/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
11/9/2010	$	0.25	400	0.25	0.25	0.25
11/8/2010	$	0.25	3470	0.75	0.75	0.25
11/5/2010	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
11/4/2010	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
11/3/2010	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
11/2/2010	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
11/1/2010	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
10/29/2010	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
10/28/2010	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
10/27/2010	$	0.35	2800	0.35	0.35	0.35
10/26/2010	$	0.20	1500	0.5	0.5	0.2
10/25/2010	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
10/22/2010	$	0.30	1000	0.3	0.3	0.3
10/21/2010	$	0.74	1000	0.74	0.74	0.74
10/20/2010	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
10/19/2010	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
10/18/2010	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
10/15/2010	$	0.50	200	0.5	0.5	0.5
10/14/2010	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
10/13/2010	$	0.50	3300	0.21	0.5	0.1
10/12/2010	$	0.60	0	0.6	0.6	0.6
10/11/2010	$	0.60	0	0.6	0.6	0.6
10/8/2010	$	0.60	54500	0.25	0.78	0.25
10/7/2010	$	0.70	50000	0.7	0.7	0.7
10/6/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
10/5/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
10/4/2010	$	0.40	42900	0.4	0.4	0.4
10/1/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/30/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/29/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/28/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/27/2010	$	0.20	400	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/24/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/23/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/22/2010	$	0.20	300	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/21/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/20/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/17/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/16/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/15/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/14/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/13/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/10/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/9/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/8/2010	$	0.15	800	0.15	0.15	0.15
9/7/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/3/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2

9/2/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
9/1/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
8/31/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
8/30/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
8/27/2010	$	0.20	1600	0.2	0.2	0.2
8/26/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/25/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/24/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/23/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/20/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/19/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/18/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/17/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/16/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/13/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/12/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/11/2010	$	0.05	600	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/10/2010	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
8/9/2010	$	0.12	1400	0.12	0.12	0.12
8/6/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/5/2010	$	0.05	600	0.05	0.05	0.05
8/4/2010	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
8/3/2010	$	0.10	4750	0.1	0.1	0.1
8/2/2010	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
7/30/2010	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
7/29/2010	$	0.12	1000	0.12	0.12	0.12
7/28/2010	$	0.50	1000	0.5	0.5	0.5
7/27/2010	$	0.01	0	0.01	0.01	0.01
7/26/2010	$	0.01	0	0.01	0.01	0.01
7/23/2010	$	0.01	76500	0.02	0.02	0.01
7/22/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
7/21/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
7/20/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
7/19/2010	$	0.15	250	0.15	0.15	0.15
7/16/2010	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
7/15/2010	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
7/14/2010	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
7/13/2010	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
7/12/2010	$	0.12	400	0.12	0.12	0.12
7/9/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/8/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/7/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/6/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/2/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/1/2010	$	0.20	200	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/30/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
6/29/2010	$	0.05	25000	0.05	0.05	0.05
6/28/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/25/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/24/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/23/2010	$	0.20	8700	0.2	0.25	0.2
6/22/2010	$	0.20	1200	0.2	0.2	0.2

6/21/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
6/18/2010	$	0.05	1000	0.05	0.05	0.05
6/17/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/16/2010	$	0.20	1000	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/15/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/14/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/11/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/10/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/9/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/8/2010	$	0.20	200	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/7/2010	$	0.20	1000	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/4/2010	$	0.25	250	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/3/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/2/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/1/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/28/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/27/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/26/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/25/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/24/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/21/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/20/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/19/2010	$	0.25	400	0.25	0.25	0.25
5/18/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
5/17/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
5/14/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
5/13/2010	$	0.20	200	0.2	0.2	0.2
5/12/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
5/11/2010	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
5/10/2010	$	0.05	250	0.05	0.05	0.05
5/7/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
5/6/2010	$	0.15	14600	0.21	0.21	0.15
5/5/2010	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
5/4/2010	$	0.10	500	0.1	0.1	0.1
5/3/2010	$	0.14	0	0.14	0.14	0.14
4/30/2010	$	0.14	1293	0.135	0.14	0.135
4/29/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
4/28/2010	$	0.40	100	0.4	0.4	0.4
4/27/2010	$	0.13	0	0.125	0.125	0.125
4/26/2010	$	0.13	33720	0.2	0.2	0.125
4/23/2010	$	0.15	600	0.15	0.15	0.15
4/22/2010	$	0.22	6000	0.2	0.22	0.2
4/21/2010	$	0.20	500	0.2	0.2	0.2
4/20/2010	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
4/19/2010	$	0.12	550	0.12	0.12	0.12
4/16/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
4/15/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
4/14/2010	$	0.20	3912	0.25	0.25	0.2
4/13/2010	$	0.15	600	0.15	0.15	0.15
4/12/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/9/2010	$	0.25	10000	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/8/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2

4/7/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
4/6/2010	$	0.20	18000	0.24	0.24	0.2
4/5/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/1/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
3/31/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
3/30/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
3/29/2010	$	0.25	400	0.25	0.25	0.25
3/26/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
3/25/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
3/24/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
3/23/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
3/22/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
3/19/2010	$	0.40	12900	0.4	0.4	0.35
3/18/2010	$	0.30	400	0.3	0.3	0.3
3/17/2010	$	0.26	330	0.26	0.26	0.26
3/16/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
3/15/2010	$	0.25	2900	0.3	0.4	0.25
3/12/2010	$	0.26	900	0.15	0.26	0.15
3/11/2010	$	0.23	600	0.23	0.23	0.23
3/10/2010	$	0.22	0	0.22	0.22	0.22
3/9/2010	$	0.22	0	0.22	0.22	0.22
3/8/2010	$	0.22	0	0.22	0.22	0.22
3/5/2010	$	0.22	0	0.22	0.22	0.22
3/4/2010	$	0.22	0	0.22	0.22	0.22
3/3/2010	$	0.22	3333	0.22	0.22	0.22
3/2/2010	$	0.10	4800	0.3	0.3	0.1
3/1/2010	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
2/26/2010	$	0.40	1200	0.4	0.4	0.4
2/25/2010	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
2/24/2010	$	0.30	1925	0.25	0.3	0.25
2/23/2010	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
2/22/2010	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
2/19/2010	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
2/18/2010	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
2/17/2010	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
2/16/2010	$	0.65	0	0.65	0.65	0.65
2/12/2010	$	0.65	500	0.65	0.65	0.65
2/11/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
2/10/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
2/9/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
2/8/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
2/5/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
2/4/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
2/3/2010	$	0.25	200	0.25	0.25	0.25
2/2/2010	$	0.30	1453	0.3	0.3	0.3
2/1/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/29/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/28/2010	$	0.20	500	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/27/2010	$	0.65	150	0.65	0.65	0.65
1/26/2010	$	0.20	1400	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/25/2010	$	0.10	190	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/22/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25

1/21/2010	$	0.25	100	0.25	0.25	0.25
1/20/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/19/2010	$	0.20	900	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/15/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
1/14/2010	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
1/13/2010	$	0.25	11100	0.2	0.25	0.2
1/12/2010	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/11/2010	$	0.20	450	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/8/2010	$	0.20	830	0.2	0.2	0.2
1/7/2010	$	0.25	800	0.25	0.25	0.25
1/6/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
1/5/2010	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
1/4/2010	$	0.15	9500	0.11	0.15	0.11
12/31/2009	$	0.30	200	0.3	0.3	0.3
12/30/2009	$	0.30	2000	0.3	0.3	0.1
12/29/2009	$	0.10	1000	0.1	0.1	0.1
12/28/2009	$	0.30	500	0.3	0.3	0.3
12/24/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
12/23/2009	$	0.25	700	0.25	0.25	0.25
12/22/2009	$	0.31	3800	0.32	0.32	0.31
12/21/2009	$	0.32	350	0.32	0.32	0.32
12/18/2009	$	0.34	5550	0.3	0.35	0.3
12/17/2009	$	0.30	200	0.3	0.3	0.3
12/16/2009	$	0.60	2600	0.3	0.6	0.3
12/15/2009	$	0.25	1900	0.3	0.3	0.25
12/14/2009	$	0.50	500	0.5	0.5	0.5
12/11/2009	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
12/10/2009	$	0.30	10994	0.23	0.3	0.23
12/9/2009	$	0.30	1270	0.3	0.3	0.3
12/8/2009	$	0.31	60960	0.23	0.31	0.23
12/7/2009	$	0.30	500	0.3	0.3	0.3
12/4/2009	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
12/3/2009	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
12/2/2009	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
12/1/2009	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
11/30/2009	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
11/27/2009	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
11/25/2009	$	0.40	0	0.4	0.4	0.4
11/24/2009	$	0.40	500	0.4	0.4	0.4
11/23/2009	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
11/20/2009	$	0.35	290	0.35	0.35	0.35
11/19/2009	$	0.50	600	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/18/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/17/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/16/2009	$	0.50	2400	0.6	0.6	0.5
11/13/2009	$	0.56	0	0.56	0.56	0.56
11/12/2009	$	0.56	100	0.56	0.56	0.56
11/11/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/10/2009	$	0.50	400	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/9/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/6/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/5/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5

11/4/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/3/2009	$	0.50	3830	0.5	0.5	0.5
11/2/2009	$	0.40	1950	0.4	0.4	0.4
10/30/2009	$	0.56	0	0.56	0.56	0.56
10/29/2009	$	0.56	1200	0.56	0.56	0.56
10/28/2009	$	0.56	700	0.56	0.56	0.56
10/27/2009	$	0.55	6000	0.55	0.55	0.55
10/26/2009	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
10/23/2009	$	0.35	14440	0.51	0.55	0.35
10/22/2009	$	0.50	8775	0.6	0.65	0.5
10/21/2009	$	0.52	1350	0.6	0.6	0.52
10/20/2009	$	0.60	1000	0.6	0.6	0.6
10/19/2009	$	0.60	0	0.6	0.6	0.6
10/16/2009	$	0.60	0	0.6	0.6	0.6
10/15/2009	$	0.60	300	0.6	0.6	0.6
10/14/2009	$	0.52	0	0.52	0.52	0.52
10/13/2009	$	0.52	231000	0.55	0.55	0.5
10/12/2009	$	0.52	0	0.52	0.52	0.52
10/9/2009	$	0.52	0	0.52	0.52	0.52
10/8/2009	$	0.52	0	0.52	0.52	0.52
10/7/2009	$	0.52	100	0.52	0.52	0.52
10/6/2009	$	0.50	225	0.5	0.5	0.5
10/5/2009	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
10/2/2009	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
10/1/2009	$	0.55	0	0.55	0.55	0.55
9/30/2009	$	0.55	2900	0.55	0.55	0.55
9/29/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
9/28/2009	$	0.50	100	0.5	0.5	0.5
9/25/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/24/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/23/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/22/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/21/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/18/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/17/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/16/2009	$	0.51	400	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/15/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/14/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/11/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
9/10/2009	$	0.51	2570	0.5	0.51	0.5
9/9/2009	$	0.45	0	0.45	0.45	0.45
9/8/2009	$	0.45	0	0.45	0.45	0.45
9/4/2009	$	0.45	0	0.45	0.45	0.45
9/3/2009	$	0.45	200	0.45	0.45	0.45
9/2/2009	$	0.50	3500	0.5	0.5	0.5
9/1/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
8/31/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
8/28/2009	$	0.50	500	0.5	0.5	0.5
8/27/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
8/26/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
8/25/2009	$	0.25	125	0.25	0.25	0.25
8/24/2009	$	0.31	5000	0.31	0.31	0.31

8/21/2009	$	0.32	0	0.32	0.32	0.32
8/20/2009	$	0.32	0	0.32	0.32	0.32
8/19/2009	$	0.32	200	0.32	0.32	0.32
8/18/2009	$	0.49	0	0.485	0.485	0.485
8/17/2009	$	0.49	280800	0.485	0.485	0.485
8/14/2009	$	0.50	1150	0.5	0.5	0.5
8/13/2009	$	0.51	0	0.51	0.51	0.51
8/12/2009	$	0.51	500	0.28	0.51	0.28
8/11/2009	$	0.51	100	0.51	0.51	0.51
8/10/2009	$	0.50	1900	0.5	0.5	0.5
8/7/2009	$	0.41	0	0.41	0.41	0.41
8/6/2009	$	0.41	1000	0.41	0.41	0.41
8/5/2009	$	0.40	8200	0.25	0.4	0.25
8/4/2009	$	0.35	100	0.35	0.35	0.35
8/3/2009	$	0.31	0	0.31	0.31	0.31
7/31/2009	$	0.31	0	0.31	0.31	0.31
7/30/2009	$	0.31	100	0.31	0.31	0.31
7/29/2009	$	0.30	100	0.3	0.3	0.3
7/28/2009	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
7/27/2009	$	0.30	300	0.3	0.3	0.3
7/24/2009	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
7/23/2009	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
7/22/2009	$	0.30	2891	0.2	0.3	0.2
7/21/2009	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/20/2009	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/17/2009	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/16/2009	$	0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/15/2009	$	0.20	2100	0.2	0.2	0.2
7/14/2009	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
7/13/2009	$	0.35	0	0.35	0.35	0.35
7/10/2009	$	0.35	2200	0.25	0.35	0.25
7/9/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
7/8/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
7/7/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
7/6/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
7/2/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
7/1/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/30/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/29/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/26/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/25/2009	$	0.25	2000	0.26	0.26	0.25
6/24/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/23/2009	$	0.25	600	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/22/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/19/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/18/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/17/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/16/2009	$	0.25	435	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/15/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/12/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/11/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/10/2009	$	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25

6/9/2009	$ 0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/8/2009	$ 0.25	200	0.25	0.25	0.25
6/5/2009	$ 0.20	600	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/4/2009	$ 0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/3/2009	$ 0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/2/2009	$ 0.20	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
6/1/2009	$ 0.20	200	0.2	0.2	0.2
5/29/2009	$ 0.20	200	0.2	0.2	0.2
5/28/2009	$ 0.20	200	0.2	0.2	0.2
5/27/2009	$ 0.20	100	0.2	0.2	0.2
5/26/2009	$ 0.18	0	0.18	0.18	0.18
5/22/2009	$ 0.18	0	0.18	0.18	0.18
5/21/2009	$ 0.18	0	0.18	0.18	0.18
5/20/2009	$ 0.18	0	0.18	0.18	0.18
5/19/2009	$ 0.18	0	0.18	0.18	0.18
5/18/2009	$ 0.18	0	0.18	0.18	0.18
5/15/2009	$ 0.18	0	0.18	0.18	0.18
5/14/2009	$ 0.18	300	0.18	0.18	0.18
5/13/2009	$ 0.17	200	0.17	0.17	0.17
5/12/2009	$ 0.19	500	0.19	0.19	0.19
5/11/2009	$ 0.16	0	0.16	0.16	0.16
5/8/2009	$ 0.16	0	0.16	0.16	0.16
5/7/2009	$ 0.16	1600	0.16	0.16	0.16
5/6/2009	$ 0.15	3000	0.15	0.15	0.15
5/5/2009	$ 0.16	0	0.16	0.16	0.16
5/4/2009	$ 0.16	1000	0.16	0.16	0.16
5/1/2009	$ 0.18	0	0.18	0.18	0.18
4/30/2009	$ 0.18	4900	0.17	0.18	0.17
4/29/2009	$ 0.17	1200	0.49	0.49	0.17
4/28/2009	$ 0.15	200	0.15	0.15	0.15
4/27/2009	$ 0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/24/2009	$ 0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/23/2009	$ 0.25	908	0.4	0.4	0.25
4/22/2009	$ 0.28	1150	0.3	0.3	0.275
4/21/2009	$ 0.30	500	0.3	0.3	0.3
4/20/2009	$ 0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/17/2009	$ 0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/16/2009	$ 0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/15/2009	$ 0.25	240	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/14/2009	$ 0.22	0	0.215	0.215	0.215
4/13/2009	$ 0.22	144300	0.215	0.215	0.215
4/9/2009	$ 0.35	973350	0.3	0.35	0.27
4/8/2009	$ 0.25	1000	0.25	0.25	0.25
4/7/2009	$ 0.44	376	0.44	0.44	0.44
4/6/2009	$ 0.30	400000	0.52	0.52	0.3
4/3/2009	$ 0.32	2000	0.32	0.32	0.32
4/2/2009	$ 0.26	0	0.26	0.26	0.26
4/1/2009	$ 0.26	0	0.26	0.26	0.26
3/31/2009	$ 0.26	300	0.26	0.26	0.26
3/30/2009	$ 0.26	300	0.26	0.26	0.26
3/27/2009	$ 0.25	600	0.17	0.25	0.17
3/26/2009	$ 0.30	4000	0.25	0.3	0.25

3/25/2009	$	0.15	199927	0.5	0.5	0.15
3/24/2009	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
3/23/2009	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
3/20/2009	$	0.30	0	0.3	0.3	0.3
3/19/2009	$	0.30	6100	0.25	0.3	0.25
3/18/2009	$	0.15	15425	0.27	0.27	0.15
3/17/2009	$	0.30	1100	0.3	0.3	0.3
3/16/2009	$	0.31	300	0.31	0.31	0.31
3/13/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
3/12/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
3/11/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
3/10/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
3/9/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
3/6/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
3/5/2009	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
3/4/2009	$	0.50	1000	0.5	0.5	0.5
3/3/2009	$	0.28	0	0.28	0.28	0.28
3/2/2009	$	0.28	0	0.28	0.28	0.28
2/27/2009	$	0.28	1000	0.12	0.28	0.12
2/26/2009	$	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
2/25/2009	$	0.05	700	0.05	0.05	0.05
2/24/2009	$	0.75	300	0.75	0.75	0.75
2/23/2009	$	0.30	7000	0.25	0.3	0.2
2/20/2009	$	0.31	0	0.31	0.31	0.31
2/19/2009	$	0.31	0	0.31	0.31	0.31
2/18/2009	$	0.31	0	0.31	0.31	0.31
2/17/2009	$	0.31	0	0.31	0.31	0.31
2/13/2009	$	0.31	0	0.31	0.31	0.31
2/12/2009	$	0.31	275	0.31	0.31	0.31
2/11/2009	$	0.27	510	0.27	0.27	0.27
2/10/2009	$	0.32	0	0.32	0.32	0.32
2/9/2009	$	0.32	1600	0.3	0.32	0.3
2/6/2009	$	0.15	0	0.15	0.15	0.15
2/5/2009	$	0.15	200	0.15	0.15	0.15
2/4/2009	$	0.30	200	0.3	0.3	0.3
2/3/2009	$	0.27	0	0.27	0.27	0.27
2/2/2009	$	0.27	0	0.27	0.27	0.27
1/30/2009	$	0.27	1064	0.1	0.27	0.1
1/29/2009	$	0.05	100	0.05	0.05	0.05
1/28/2009	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/27/2009	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/26/2009	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/23/2009	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/22/2009	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/21/2009	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/20/2009	$	0.10	1000	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/16/2009	$	0.27	0	0.27	0.27	0.27
1/15/2009	$	0.27	0	0.27	0.27	0.27
1/14/2009	$	0.27	300	0.27	0.27	0.27
1/13/2009	$	0.36	400	0.36	0.36	0.36
1/12/2009	$	0.35	1000	0.25	0.35	0.25
1/9/2009	$	0.31	0	0.31	0.31	0.31

1/8/2009	$	0.31	200	0.31	0.31	0.31
1/7/2009	$	0.10	0	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/6/2009	$	0.10	500	0.1	0.1	0.1
1/5/2009	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
1/2/2009	$	0.12	0	0.12	0.12	0.12
12/31/2008	$	0.12	2400	0.27	0.27	0.12
12/30/2008	$	0.10	400	0.1	0.1	0.1
12/29/2008	$	0.50	0	0.5	0.5	0.5
12/26/2008	$	0.50	2902	0.6	0.6	0.5
12/24/2008	$	0.30	1960	0.3	0.3	0.3
12/23/2008	$	0.31	6925	0.3	0.31	0.3
12/22/2008	$	0.31	3750	0.41	0.41	0.31
12/19/2008	$	0.31	2000	0.31	0.31	0.31
12/18/2008	$	0.75	0	0.75	0.75	0.75
12/17/2008	$	0.75	475	0.75	0.75	0.75
12/16/2008	$	0.28	740	0.28	0.28	0.28
12/15/2008	$	0.26	0	0.26	0.26	0.26
12/12/2008	$	0.26	0	0.26	0.26	0.26
12/11/2008	$	0.26	0	0.26	0.26	0.26
12/10/2008	$	0.26	1700	0.3	0.3	0.26
12/9/2008	$	0.75	900	0.5	0.75	0.5
12/8/2008	$	1.00	200	1	1	1
12/5/2008	$	1.00	0	1	1	1
12/4/2008	$	1.00	0	1	1	1
12/3/2008	$	1.00	3500	1	1	1
12/2/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
12/1/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/28/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/26/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/25/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/24/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/21/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/20/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/19/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/18/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/17/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/14/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/13/2008	$	2.00	1200	0.95	2	0.95
11/12/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/11/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/10/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
11/7/2008	$	2.00	1000	2	2	2
11/6/2008	$	1.25	740	1	1.25	1
11/5/2008	$	2.50	425	1.1	2.5	1.1
11/4/2008	$	1.25	0	1.25	1.25	1.25
11/3/2008	$	1.25	394	1	1.25	1
10/31/2008	$	1.26	0	1.26	1.26	1.26
10/30/2008	$	1.26	0	1.26	1.26	1.26
10/29/2008	$	1.26	400	1.26	1.26	1.26
10/28/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
10/27/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
10/24/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2

10/23/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
10/22/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
10/21/2008	$	2.00	0	2	2	2
10/20/2008	$	2.00	500	2	2	2
10/17/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/16/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/15/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/14/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/13/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/10/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/9/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/8/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/7/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/6/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/3/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/2/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
10/1/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
9/30/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
9/29/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
9/26/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
9/25/2008	$	4.50	1900	2.99	4.5	1.5
9/24/2008	$	4.15	0	4.15	4.15	4.15
9/23/2008	$	4.15	0	4.15	4.15	4.15
9/22/2008	$	4.15	0	4.15	4.15	4.15
9/19/2008	$	4.15	0	4.15	4.15	4.15
9/18/2008	$	4.15	0	4.15	4.15	4.15
9/17/2008	$	4.15	1400	3	4.15	3
9/16/2008	$	3.00	0	3	3	3
9/15/2008	$	3.00	0	3	3	3
9/12/2008	$	3.00	0	3	3	3
9/11/2008	$	3.00	225	3	3	3
9/10/2008	$	3.30	400	3.1	3.3	3.1
9/9/2008	$	3.00	3675	3	3.25	3
9/8/2008	$	4.50	0	4.5	4.5	4.5
9/5/2008	$	4.50	200	4.5	4.5	4.5
9/4/2008	$	5.50	0	5.5	5.5	5.5
9/3/2008	$	5.50	4400	5.5	5.5	5.5
9/2/2008	$	3.25	1100	3	5.9	3
8/29/2008	$	5.50	0	5.5	5.5	5.5
8/28/2008	$	5.50	0	5.5	5.5	5.5
8/27/2008	$	5.50	4129	5.5	6.15	4.5
8/26/2008	$	5.25	1000	5.15	5.25	5.15
8/25/2008	$	5.25	1000	5	5.25	5
8/22/2008	$	5.00	1000	6	6	5
8/21/2008	$	4.00	0	4	4	4
8/20/2008	$	4.00	200	4	4	4
8/19/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
8/18/2008	$	5.00	100	5	5	5
8/15/2008	$	6.00	400	6	6	6
8/14/2008	$	7.00	3920	7	7	5
8/13/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
8/12/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5

8/11/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
8/8/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
8/7/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
8/6/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
8/5/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
8/4/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
8/1/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
7/31/2008	$	5.00	380	5	5	5
7/30/2008	$	5.00	400	5	5	5
7/29/2008	$	6.50	0	6.5	6.5	6.5
7/28/2008	$	6.50	300	6.5	6.5	6.5
7/25/2008	$	4.96	0	4.96	4.96	4.96
7/24/2008	$	4.96	0	4.96	4.96	4.96
7/23/2008	$	4.96	1500	4.99	4.99	4.5
7/22/2008	$	5.00	1000	5	5	5
7/21/2008	$	5.00	1500	5.5	5.5	5
7/18/2008	$	5.05	100	5.05	5.05	5.05
7/17/2008	$	7.00	243	7	7	7
7/16/2008	$	5.00	0	5	5	5
7/15/2008	$	5.00	318500	6	6	5
7/14/2008	$	5.50	0	5.5	5.5	5.5
7/11/2008	$	5.50	0	5.5	5.5	5.5
7/10/2008	$	5.50	0	5.5	5.5	5.5
7/9/2008	$	5.50	2400	5	5.5	5
7/8/2008	$	6.00	200	6	6	6
7/7/2008	$	6.00	11710	10.05	10.05	6
7/3/2008	$	10.55	0	10.55	10.55	10.55
7/2/2008	$	10.55	200	10.55	10.55	10.55
7/1/2008	$	10.55	960	10.5	10.55	10.5
6/30/2008	$	10.00	0	10	10	10
6/27/2008	$	10.00	0	10	10	10
6/26/2008	$	10.00	0	10	10	10
6/25/2008	$	10.00	400	10	10	10
6/24/2008	$	10.60	1960	11.05	11.15	10.55
6/23/2008	$	11.11	0	11.11	11.11	11.11
6/20/2008	$	11.11	0	11.11	11.11	11.11
6/19/2008	$	11.11	760	10	11.11	10
6/18/2008	$	11.30	0	11.3	11.3	11.3
6/17/2008	$	11.30	0	11.3	11.3	11.3
6/16/2008	$	11.30	1100	11.5	11.5	11.3
6/13/2008	$	10.90	0	10.9	10.9	10.9
6/12/2008	$	10.90	0	10.9	10.9	10.9
6/11/2008	$	10.90	0	10.9	10.9	10.9
6/10/2008	$	10.90	0	10.9	10.9	10.9
6/9/2008	$	10.90	0	10.9	10.9	10.9
6/6/2008	$	10.90	0	10.9	10.9	10.9
6/5/2008	$	10.90	8250	11	11.3	10
6/4/2008	$	10.56	0	10.56	10.56	10.56
6/3/2008	$	10.56	200	10.56	10.56	10.56
6/2/2008	$	11.30	200	11.29	11.3	11.29
5/30/2008	$	11.25	400	11.11	11.25	11.11
5/29/2008	$	11.50	300	11.5	11.5	11.5

5/28/2008	$	11.50	2000	10	11.5	10
5/27/2008	$	11.01	0	11.01	11.01	11.01
5/23/2008	$	11.01	0	11.01	11.01	11.01
5/22/2008	$	11.01	2814	11.5	11.75	11
5/21/2008	$	11.27	2620	10	11.27	10
5/20/2008	$	11.15	0	11.15	11.15	11.15
5/19/2008	$	11.15	0	11.15	11.15	11.15
5/16/2008	$	11.15	200	11	11.15	11
5/15/2008	$	11.00	2800	11	11	11
5/14/2008	$	11.00	2600	14.15	14.5	11
5/13/2008	$	14.15	200	14	14.15	14
5/12/2008	$	13.72	0	13.719	13.719	13.719
5/9/2008	$	13.72	0	13.719	13.719	13.719
5/8/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
5/7/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
5/6/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
5/5/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
5/2/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
5/1/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
4/30/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
4/29/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
4/28/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
4/25/2008	$	13.59	0	13.594	13.594	13.594
4/24/2008	$	13.59	0	13.594	13.594	13.594
4/23/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
4/22/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
4/21/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
4/18/2008	$	13.56	0	13.563	13.563	13.563
4/17/2008	$	13.59	0	13.594	13.594	13.594
4/16/2008	$	13.59	0	13.594	13.594	13.594
4/15/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
4/14/2008	$	13.75	0	13.75	13.75	13.75
4/11/2008	$	13.75	0	13.75	13.75	13.75
4/10/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
4/9/2008	$	13.72	0	13.719	13.719	13.719
4/8/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
4/7/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
4/4/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
4/3/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
4/2/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
4/1/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
3/31/2008	$	13.72	0	13.719	13.719	13.719
3/28/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
3/27/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
3/26/2008	$	13.63	0	13.625	13.625	13.625
3/25/2008	$	14.19	0	14.188	14.188	14.188
3/24/2008	$	14.19	0	14.188	14.188	14.188
3/20/2008	$	14.28	0	14.281	14.281	14.281
3/19/2008	$	14.19	0	14.188	14.188	14.188
3/18/2008	$	14.13	0	14.125	14.125	14.125
3/17/2008	$	14.16	0	14.156	14.156	14.156
3/14/2008	$	14.09	0	14.094	14.094	14.094

3/13/2008	$	14.00	0	14	14	14
3/12/2008	$	14.00	0	14	14	14
3/11/2008	$	13.91	0	13.906	13.906	13.906
3/10/2008	$	13.97	0	13.969	13.969	13.969
3/7/2008	$	13.91	0	13.906	13.906	13.906
3/6/2008	$	13.84	0	13.844	13.844	13.844
3/5/2008	$	13.81	0	13.813	13.813	13.813
3/4/2008	$	13.94	0	13.938	13.938	13.938
3/3/2008	$	13.94	0	13.938	13.938	13.938
2/29/2008	$	13.94	0	13.938	13.938	13.938
2/28/2008	$	13.81	0	13.813	13.813	13.813
2/27/2008	$	13.72	0	13.719	13.719	13.719
2/26/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
2/25/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
2/22/2008	$	13.75	0	13.75	13.75	13.75
2/21/2008	$	13.78	0	13.781	13.781	13.781
2/20/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
2/19/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
2/15/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
2/14/2008	$	13.66	0	13.656	13.656	13.656
2/13/2008	$	13.75	0	13.75	13.75	13.75
2/12/2008	$	13.78	0	13.781	13.781	13.781
2/11/2008	$	13.81	0	13.813	13.813	13.813
2/8/2008	$	13.78	0	13.781	13.781	13.781
2/7/2008	$	13.72	0	13.719	13.719	13.719
2/6/2008	$	13.81	0	13.813	13.813	13.813
2/5/2008	$	13.84	0	13.844	13.844	13.844
2/4/2008	$	13.81	0	13.813	13.813	13.813
2/1/2008	$	13.84	0	13.844	13.844	13.844
1/31/2008	$	13.75	0	13.75	13.75	13.75
1/30/2008	$	13.69	0	13.688	13.688	13.688
1/29/2008	$	13.56	0	13.563	13.563	13.563
1/28/2008	$	13.56	0	13.563	13.563	13.563

EXHIBIT 3

trading Summary Preferred Series "B" shares - WGCBP -	2013	80,996	1/1/2013 - May 3, 2013
	2012	1,621,737	Full Year
	2011	752,270	Full Year
	2010	501,383	Full Year
	2009	2,471,780	Full Year
	2008	423,817	May 18 - Dec 31, 2008

trading figures exclude private transactions, such as the reported purchase by PFD Holding, LLC, an affiliate of Whitehall, in August 2012 of 2,018,000 shares, representing over 1,000,000 of this Preferred Series B shares

---

trading Summary Preferred Series "C" shares - WGCCP-	2013	31,278	1/1/2013 - May 3, 2013
	2012	966,699	Full Year
	2011	369,902	Full Year
	2010	281,564	Full Year
	2009	4,086,038	Full Year
	2008	93,363	May 18 - Dec 31, 2008

trading figures exclude private transactions, such as the reported purchase by PFD Holding, LLC, an affiliate of Whitehall, in August 2012 of 2,018,000 shares, representing over 1,000,000 of this Preferred Series C shares