UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 5452/January 11, 2018

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-17549

In the Matter of

CLIFFE R. BODDEN

ORDER

The Securities and Exchange Commission instituted this proceeding with an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP) on September 16, 2016, pursuant to Section 8A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 15(b) and 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The OIP, pursuant to Respondent’s offer of settlement, made various findings of fact and conclusions of law and imposed a cease-and-desist order and penny stock bar on him and ordered additional proceedings to determine what, if any, disgorgement and civil penalties against him are in the public interest. The OIP ordered the hearing on these issues to be convened following the entry of a final judgment against the last remaining defendant[s] in United States v. Sears, No. 16-cr-301 (D. Colo.). Such final judgment has not yet been entered.

The undersigned has completed the reexamination of the record as ordered by the Commission’s November 30, 2017, order concerning administrative proceedings. See Pending Admin. Proc., Securities Act Release No. 10440, 2017 SEC LEXIS 3724 (Remand Order). As the parties were previously notified, the Remand Order ratified the appointment of the undersigned as an Administrative Law Judge and directed her to “[r]econsider the record, including all substantive and procedural actions taken by an administrative law judge” in pending proceedings. Cliffe R. Bodden, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5419, 2017 SEC LEXIS 4186 (A.L.J. Dec. 21, 2017) (Notification Order). Examination of the record of this proceeding discloses that the Notification Order was the first action taken by the undersigned, and that it postdated the Chief Administrative Law Judge’s December 4, 2017, ratification of her designation of the undersigned as the presiding administrative law judge in this proceeding.1 Accordingly, it is determined that there are no “actions taken by an administrative law judge” subject to ratification or revision by the undersigned. The process required by the Remand Order has been completed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/\ Carol Fox Foelak
Carol Fox Foelak
Administrative Law Judge

---