

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 4610/February 17, 2017

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-17820

In the Matter of

DELVERTON RESORTS
INTERNATIONAL INC.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On February 2, 2017, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondent under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, alleging that Respondent has securities registered with the Commission and failed to file required periodic reports. A telephonic prehearing conference is scheduled for February 28, 2017, at 10:00 a.m. Eastern.

On February 13, 2017, the Division of Enforcement submitted a corrected declaration concerning service of the OIP on respondent. According to Respondent's most recent filing with the Commission, it is a Delaware corporation with offices in Vietnam. The Division conducted a Delaware corporate records search and determined that Respondent has void status under Delaware corporate law and has no officers, directors, places of business, or any other corporate presence in Delaware. A Delaware corporation in void status loses all corporate powers and therefore has no registered agent. *See* Del. Code tit. 8, § 510. Under Delaware law, if a corporation cannot be served in Delaware by other means, it is lawful to serve process on the Delaware Secretary of State. Del. Code tit. 8, § 321(b).

A process server served the OIP on an authorized person in the Division of Corporations within the Delaware Department of State on February 6, 2017. I therefore find that service of the OIP was made to an "agent authorized by appointment or law to receive . . . notice" and that service was effected on February 6. 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii). Respondent's answer was due February 16, 2017. OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b). To date, no answer has been filed.

I ORDER Respondent to SHOW CAUSE by February 27, 2017, why the registration of its registered securities should not be revoked by default for failing to file an answer or otherwise defend the proceeding. *See* OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f).

James E. Grimes
Administrative Law Judge