
Since I issued the initial decision, Daspin has submitted a number of motions.¹ Because I have issued an initial decision as to Daspin, the only motion that Daspin could properly have filed with me would have been a motion filed by September 2, 2016, to correct manifest error in the initial decision. See 17 C.F.R. § 201.111(h); Edward M. Daspin, 2016 SEC LEXIS 2928, at *82 (initial decision of August 23, 2016, noting such motion must be filed within ten days of initial decision). Because Daspin has not timely filed any such motion nor sought to correct any error in the initial decision—indeed he never mentions it in any of his motions—his motions are all DENIED insofar as they are directed to me. The motions must be adjudicated, if at all, by the

¹ These motions include: (1) a September 1, 2016 motion (a) to “dissolve or vacate” the March 8, 2016 default order and (b) supporting Agostini’s discovery requests; (2) a September 7, 2016 motion or declaration purporting to support Agostini’s discovery requests; (3) a September 12, 2016 motion to correct a manifest error in the March 8, 2016 default order; and (4) a September 15, 2016 “motion under Rule 111 [to] correct a manifest error” in an order issued September 8, 2016, ruling on Agostini’s discovery request.
Commission. Any future motion, filing, or request that Daspin may make must be addressed to the Commission and will not be adjudicated by me absent Commission order. See Edward M. Daspin, 2016 SEC LEXIS 3401, at *1 n.1.

______________________
James E. Grimes
Administrative Law Judge