

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS  
Release No. 4072/August 17, 2016

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING  
File No. 3-17363

In the Matter of  
the Registration Statement of

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

SAND INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
13 Stusa Street, Lvov Region  
Zvirkha, Ukraine, 8000

On July 27, 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP), pursuant to Section 8(d) of the Securities Act of 1933. Respondent Sand International, Inc., was served with the OIP on August 1, 2016, and has not, as of the date of this order, filed an answer. *The Registration Statement of Sand Int'l, Inc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 4050, 2016 SEC LEXIS 2719 (ALJ Aug. 8, 2016); OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. § 201.220.

The Division of Enforcement filed a motion for default on August 12, 2016. Sand did not participate in the hearing on August 16, 2016, at which the Division described exhibits A-H, attached to the motion, which support the allegations set out in the OIP. Sand has not made any filings in this proceeding.

Sand is in default. Out of deference to the Commission's directives,<sup>1</sup> however, I ORDER Sand to SHOW CAUSE by September 9, 2016, why a stop order suspending the effectiveness of its registration statement should not be issued by default for its failure to timely file an answer, appear at the hearing, or otherwise defend this proceeding. A failure to respond to the motion for default and this order will be additional support for issuance of a stop order. 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(1)-(2), .220(f), .310; OIP at 2.

---

Brenda P. Murray  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

<sup>1</sup> *RKO Res., Inc.*, Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Release No. 75765, 2015 SEC LEXIS 3540, at \*9 (Aug. 26, 2015) ("[W]e generally consider it a prudent practice for a law judge who is considering the issuance of a default order against a respondent to first order that respondent show cause why a default is not warranted. . . . We reiterate our encouragement of such practice, which we believe furthers fairness in our administrative proceedings and efficiency by facilitating resolution of default-related issues at the hearing level, when they can be most expeditiously addressed." (internal quotation marks and footnote omitted)).

