

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS  
Release No. 4006/July 20, 2016

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING  
File No. 3-17336

In the Matter of

BREITLING ENERGY CORPORATION

ORDER POSTPONING HEARING

On July 11, 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, alleging that Respondent has a class of securities registered with the Commission and is delinquent in its periodic filings. A hearing is currently scheduled for July 29, 2016.

The Division is directed to file a declaration of service establishing that Respondent was served with the OIP in accordance with Rule of Practice 141(a)(2), 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2), by July 29, 2016. If Respondent fails to timely file an answer, it will be deemed in default and the registration of its securities will be revoked. OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(a), .220(f).

It is ORDERED that the hearing is postponed, and if Respondent files an answer, the parties shall hold an initial prehearing conference without the hearing officer by August 5, 2016, to discuss each numbered item in Rule 221(c), 17 C.F.R. § 201.221(c), including the date by which each item will be accomplished. By August 8, 2016, the parties shall file a joint prehearing conference statement, which addresses each numbered item in Rule 221(c), and includes proposed due dates where applicable.<sup>1</sup> Based on this prehearing conference statement, a subsequent prehearing conference with the hearing officer may be scheduled. If the parties are unable to hold a prehearing conference by August 5, 2016, the Division shall notify this office.

The parties are also asked to email [alj@sec.gov](mailto:alj@sec.gov) courtesy copies of any filings in PDF text-searchable format and, when possible, Word format. Email, however, is not a substitute for the required hardcopy filing with the Commission's Office of the Secretary.

---

Jason S. Patil  
Administrative Law Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties may denote that an item is "not applicable" in their filing.