

Initial Decision Release No. 1255
Administrative Proceeding
File No. 3-18484

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

In the Matter of

**Hedgebrook,
JayHawk Energy, Inc., and
Rubicon Financial, Inc.**

Initial Decision of Default
June 19, 2018

Appearances: Neil J. Welch, Jr., for the Division of Enforcement,
Securities and Exchange Commission

Before: James E. Grimes, Administrative Law Judge

Summary

This initial decision revokes the registrations of the registered securities of Respondents Hedgebrook, JayHawk Energy, Inc., and Rubicon Financial, Inc. The revocation is based on Respondents' failure to timely file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Introduction

On May 15, 2018, the Commission initiated this proceeding under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 with an order instituting proceedings (OIP). The OIP alleges that Respondents have securities registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g) and have repeatedly failed to file timely periodic reports with the Commission, in violation of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder. Respondents were served with the OIP by May 19, 2018, and

their answers were due by June 1, 2018.¹ On June 4, 2018, I noted that Respondents had not filed answers and ordered them to show cause by June 14, 2018, why the registrations of their securities should not be revoked by default due to their failure to file answers or otherwise defend the proceeding.² On June 18, 2018, I held a telephonic prehearing conference but Respondents did not attend. To date, Respondents have not filed answers or responded to the show cause order.

Findings of Fact

Respondents are in default for failing to file answers, attend the prehearing conference, or otherwise defend the proceeding.³ Accordingly, as authorized by Rule of Practice 155(a),⁴ I find the following allegations in the OIP to be true.

Hedgebrook, Central Index Key (CIK) No. 1567852 and ticker symbol HBRK, a defaulted Nevada corporation located in Houston, Texas, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). The company is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014, which reported a net loss of \$37,977 for the prior three months. As of May 8, 2018, the company's stock was quoted on OTC Link operated by OTC Markets Group Inc., had two market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

JayHawk Energy, Inc., CIK No. 1308710 and ticker symbol JYHW, is a permanently revoked Nevada corporation located in Peoria, Arizona, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). The company is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2016, which reported a net loss of \$730,504 for the prior nine months. As of May 8, 2018, the company's stock was quoted on

¹ *Hedgebrook*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5747, 2018 SEC LEXIS 1200 (ALJ May 22, 2018).

² *Hedgebrook*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5771, 2018 SEC LEXIS 1309.

³ See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(1)–(2), .220(f), .221(f).

⁴ 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(a).

OTC Link, had seven market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

Rubicon Financial, Inc., CIK No. 1103977 and ticker symbol RBCF, is a defaulted Nevada corporation located in Irvine, California, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). The company is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2015, which reported a net loss of \$166,931 for the prior six months. As of May 8, 2018, the company’s stock was quoted on OTC Link, had five market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

In addition to their repeated failure to file timely periodic reports, Respondents failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Commission’s Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, did not receive such letters.

Conclusions of Law

Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 require public corporations to file annual and quarterly reports with the Commission. Compliance with these reporting requirements is mandatory.⁵ Scierter is not required to establish violations of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.⁶ Respondents failed to file timely periodic reports. As a result, Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.

Sanction

Under Exchange Act Section 12(j), the Commission is authorized, “as it deems necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors,” to revoke the registration of a security or suspend the registration for a period not exceeding twelve months if it finds, after notice and an opportunity for

⁵ *America’s Sports Voice, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 55511, 2007 SEC LEXIS 1241, at *12 (Mar. 22, 2007), *recons. denied*, Exchange Act Release No. 55867, 2007 SEC LEXIS 1239 (June 6, 2007).

⁶ *See SEC v. McNulty*, 137 F.3d 732, 740–41 (2d Cir. 1998); *SEC v. Wills*, 472 F. Supp. 1250, 1268 (D.D.C. 1978).

hearing, that the issuer of the security has failed to comply with any provision of the Exchange Act or rules thereunder. In determining what sanctions will ensure that investors are adequately protected, the Commission “consider[s], among other things, the seriousness of the issuer’s violations, the isolated or recurrent nature of the violations, the degree of culpability involved, the extent of the issuer’s efforts to remedy its past violations and ensure future compliance, and the credibility of its assurances, if any, against further violations.”⁷

Respondents’ failures to file required periodic reports are serious because they constitute violations of a central provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of periodic reporting is “to supply investors with current and accurate financial information about an issuer so that they may make sound [investment] decisions.”⁸ The reporting requirements are the primary tool that Congress “fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations” in the sale of securities.⁹ Respondents’ violations are also recurrent in that they repeatedly failed to file periodic reports.¹⁰ Respondents are culpable because they failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance. Even if Respondents did not receive such letters due to their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, the other factors weigh in favor of revocation, and scienter is not necessary to establish grounds for revocation.¹¹ In any event, there is no indication that Respondents’ violations were inadvertent or accidental.¹²

⁷ *Gateway Int’l Holdings, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 53907, 2006 SEC LEXIS 1288, at *19–20 (May 31, 2006).

⁸ *Id.* at *26.

⁹ *Eagletech Commc’ns, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 54095, 2006 SEC LEXIS 1534, at *12 (July 5, 2006) (quoting *SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp.*, 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977)).

¹⁰ *See Nature’s Sunshine Prods., Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 59268, 2009 SEC LEXIS 81, at *20 (Jan. 21, 2009) (respondent failed to file seven required periodic reports due over a two-year period); *Impax Labs., Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 57864, 2008 SEC LEXIS 1197, at *25–26 (May 23, 2008) (respondent’s failure to make eight filings over an eighteen-month period considered recurrent).

¹¹ *See China-Biotics, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 70800, 2013 SEC LEXIS 3451, at *37 & n.60 (Nov. 4, 2013).

¹² *Id.* at *37 n.60.

Respondents have not answered the OIP or responded to the show cause order, did not appear at the prehearing conference, and have not otherwise participated in the proceeding to address whether they have made any effort to remedy their past violations or ensure future compliance.

For the reasons described above, it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to revoke the registration of each class of Respondents' registered securities.

Order

It is ORDERED that, under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registration of each class of registered securities of Hedgebrook, JayHawk Energy, Inc., and Rubicon Financial, Inc., is hereby REVOKED.¹³

This initial decision shall become effective in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Rule 360.¹⁴ Under this rule, a party may file a petition for review of this initial decision within twenty-one days after service of the initial decision. A party may also file a motion to correct a manifest error of fact within ten days of the initial decision, under Rule 111.¹⁵ If a motion to correct a manifest error of fact is filed by a party, then a party shall have twenty-one days to file a petition for review from the date of the undersigned's order resolving such motion to correct a manifest error of fact.

This initial decision will not become final until the Commission enters an order of finality.¹⁶ The Commission will enter an order of finality unless a party files a petition for review or a motion to correct a manifest error of fact or the Commission determines on its own initiative to review the initial decision as to a party. If any of these events occur, the initial decision shall not become final as to that party.

A respondent may move to set aside a default. Rule 155(b) permits the Commission, at any time, to set aside a default for good cause, in order to prevent injustice and on such conditions as may be appropriate.¹⁷ A motion to

¹³ This order applies to all classes of Respondents' securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act, whether or not such securities are specifically identified by ticker symbol or otherwise in this initial decision.

¹⁴ 17 C.F.R. § 201.360.

¹⁵ 17 C.F.R. § 201.111(h).

¹⁶ 17 C.F.R. § 201.360(d).

¹⁷ 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(b).

set aside a default shall be made within a reasonable time, state the reasons for the failure to appear or defend, and specify the nature of the proposed defense in the proceeding.

James E. Grimes
Administrative Law Judge