

UNL

SEGURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

BUILDING

FEB 25 2013

DIVISION OF TRADING & MARKETS

ANNUAL AUDITED REPORT FORM X-17A-5 PART III OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0123 Expires: February 28, 2014

Estimated average burden hours per response. 12.00

SEC FILE NUMBER
8- 65390

FACING PAGE

Information Required of Brokers and Dealers Pursuant to Section 17 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 17a-5 Thereunder

| REPORT FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING | 01/01/12 | AND ENDING | 12/31/12 |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| AND ONE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE | MM/DD/YY | | MM/DD/YY |
| A. R | EGISTRANT IDI | ENTIFICATION | |
| NAME OF BROKER-DEALER: Arque Capit | al, Ltd. | | OFFICIAL USE ONLY |
| ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSIN | NESS: (Do not use P.O | . Box No.) | FIRM LD. NO. |
| 7501 East McCormick Parkwa | y Suite 111N | • | |
| 94-1-1- | (No. and Street) Arizona | | 85258 |
| Scottsdale (City) | (State) | | (Zip Code) |
| NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PER Michael Ning | SON TO CONTACT | IN REGARD TO THIS RI 602-971-9000 | EPORT |
| wichaer Ning | | 00= 212 3002 | (Area Code - Telephone Number) |
| B. A. | CCOUNTANT ID | ENTIFICATION | |
| INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT wh Brian W. Ar | ose opinion is containe | ed in this Report* | |
| (Na | me — if individual, state last, | first, middle name) | |
| 18425 Burbank Blvd., #606 | Tarzana | <u>California</u> | 91356 |
| (Address) | (City) | (State) | (Zip Code) |
| CHECK ONE: | | | |
| ☑ Certified Public Accountant | | | |
| ☐ Public Accountant | | | • |
| ☐ Accountant not resident in Un | ted States or any of its | possessions. | |
| | FOR OFFICIAL U | ISE ONLY | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

*Claims for exemption from the requirement that the annual report be covered by the opinion of an independent public accountant must be supported by a statement of facts and circumstances relied on as the basis for the exemption. See Section 240.17a-5(e)(2)

Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1410 (06-02)

3/13

OATH OR AFFIRMATION

| I, | I, Michael Ning | | swear (or affirm) that, to the best |
|-------------------|--|-----------------|---|
| of | of my knowledge and belief the accompanying financial statement and s | upporting sch | edules pertaining to the firm of |
| | Arque Capital, Ltd. | | , are true and correct. I further swear (or |
| of. | of December 31 | , 2012 | , are true and contect. I tutulet swear (of |
| aff cla | affirm) that neither the company nor any partner, proprietor, principal of classified solely as that of a customer, except as follows: | Heer of direc | on has any propriotary microst in any account |
| | - | | |
| | | Q | Marian Signature |
| | | Pre | crichat & CEO |
| | See attacked CA downt | | 1106 |
| | Notary Public | | |
| Th | This report ** contains (check all applicable boxes): | | |
| × | | | |
| X | _ | | |
| X | _ | | |
| × | | | |
| 図 | | ole Proprietor | s' Capital. |
| $\overline{\Box}$ | | reditors. | |
| \boxtimes | | | |
| X | | ant to Rule 1 | 5c3-3. |
| 区 | | under Rule | 15c3-3. |
| | | putation of N | et Capital Under Rule 15c3-1 and the |
| X | | of Financial | Condition with respect to methods of |
| X | (I) An Oath or Affirmation. | | |
| X | XI (m) A conv of the SIPC Supplemental Report. | | <u> </u> |
| | ☐ (n) A report describing any material inadequacies found to exist or | found to hav | e existed since the date of the previous audit. |
| ** <i>]</i> | *For conditions of confidential treatment of certain portions of this fil | ing, see sectio | m 240.17a-5(e)(3). |

Jurat

| State of California |
|--|
| County of Los Angeles |
| Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this |
| 20 13 by - Michael Ning |
| proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me. |
| Signature (Notary scal) |
| K. C. JONES COMM. #1977193 Notary Public - California Los Angeles County My Comm. Expires June 1, 2016 |

OPTIONAL INFORMATION

| DESCRIPTION OF THE ATTACHED DOCUMENT |
|--|
| (Title or description of attached socument) |
| (Title or description of attached document continued) Number of Pages Document Date |
| (Additional information) |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM

Any Jurat completed in California must contain verbiage that indicates the notary public either personally knew the document signer (affiant) or that the identity was satisfactorily proven to the notary with acceptable identification in accordance with California notary law. Any jurat completed in California which does not have such verbiage must have add the wording either with a jurat stamp or with a jurat form which does include proper wording. There are no exceptions to this law for any jurat performed in California addition, the notary must require an oath or affirmation from the document signer regarding the truthfulness of the contents of the document. The document must be signed AFTER the oath or affirmation. If the document was previously signed, it must be re-signed in front of the notary public during the jurat process.

- State and County information must be the State and County where the document signer(s) personally appeared before the notary public.
- Date of notarization must be the date that the signer(s) personally appeared which must also be the same date the jurat process is completed.
- Print the name(s) of document signer(s) who personally appear at the time of notarization.
- Signature of the notary public must match the signature on file with the office of the county clerk.
- The notary scal impression must be clear and photographically reproducible.
 Impression must not cover text or lines. If scal impression smudges, re-scal if a sufficient area permits, otherwise complete a different jurat form.
 - Additional information is not required but could help to ensure this jurat is not misused or attached to a different document.
- Indicate title or type of attached document, number of pages and date.
 Securely attach this document to the signed document

2008 Version CAPA v1.9.07 800-873-9865 www.NotaryClasses.com

BRIAN W. ANSON

Certified Public Accountant

18425 Burbank Blvd., Suite 606, Tarzana, CA 91356 • Tel. (818) 401-8800 • Fax (818) 401-8818

Report of Independent Registered Public Accountant

Board of Directors Arque Capital, Ltd. Scottsdale, Arizona

I have audited the accompanying statement of financial condition of Arque Capital, Ltd. as of December 31, 2012 and the related statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended that you are filing pursuant to Rule 17a-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the related notes to financial statements.

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit. I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Company's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, I express no such opinion. An audit also included evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. I believe that the audit evident I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Arque Capital, Ltd. as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

My audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The information contained on Schedules I-IV is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by Rule 17a-5 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived form and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare t financials statements. The information in Schedules I-IV has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In my opinion, the information in Schedules I-IV is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

This opinion is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other regulatory agencies which rely on Rule 17a-5(g) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in their regulation of registered brokers and dealers, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Brian W. Anson

Certified Public Accountant

Tarzana, California February 15, 2013

Statement of Financial Condition December 31, 2012

ASSETS

| Cash Accounts receivable Deposit with clearing broker Securities Office equipment, net of | \$ 65,748 616,801 50,000 8,067 |
|---|--|
| accumulated depreciation of \$30,318 | 67,195 |
| Other assets | 34,911 |
| Total assets | \$ 842,722 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | |
| Liabilites | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 90,623 |
| Commissions payable | 186,989 |
| Due to clearing firm | 644 |
| Total liabilities | 278,256 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 564,466 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 842,722 |

Statement of Income For the year ended December 31, 2012

REVENUES:

| Commission income | \$ 6,660,117 |
|--------------------|--------------|
| Interest income | 6,926 |
| Total revenues | 6,667,043 |
| | |
| EXPENSES: | |
| Commissions | 4,583,247 |
| Clearing expenses | 205,639 |
| Occupancy | 178,743 |
| Professional fees | 146,198 |
| Salaries and wages | 593,116 |
| Other expenses | 805,907 |
| Total expenses | 6,512,850 |
| | |
| NET INCOME | \$ 154,193 |

Statement of Stockholders' Equity For the year ended December 31, 2012

| | Stockholders' Equity | Net Income | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Beginning balance January 1, 2012 | \$408,123 | | \$408,123 |
| Capital contributions | 2,150 | | 2,150 |
| Net Income | | 154,193 | 154,193 |
| Ending balance December 31, 2012 | \$ 410,273 | \$154,193 | \$564,466 |

Statement of Cash Flows For the year ended December 31, 2012

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

| Net income | \$ 154,193 |
|---|---------------|
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash | |
| used in operating activities: | |
| Depreciation | 10 /11/ |
| (Increase) decrease in: | 18,414 |
| Accounts receivable | (224,033) |
| Securities | (2,041) |
| Other assets | (19,647) |
| Increase (decrease) in: | (') ' ') |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 46,989 |
| Commissions payable | 39,728 |
| Due to clearing firm | (3,481) |
| Total adjustments | (144,071) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | 10,122 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | |
| Purchase of office equipment | (45,353) |
| | (45,353) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | |
| Capital contributions | 2,150 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 2,150 |
| Decrease in cash | (33,081) |
| Cash - beginning of year | 98,829 |
| Cash - end of year | \$ 65,748 |
| Supplemental cash flow disclosures | |
| Interest | 0 |
| Income taxes | 0 |
| | - |

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2012

Note 1: GENERAL AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

Arque Capital, Ltd (the "Company") is a corporation that was formed on November 18, 2005 under the laws of the State of California and received its independent broker dealer registration. The Company is currently registered in fifty (50) states as well as with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

The Company conducts business as an introducing broker dealer. The Company deals on an agency basis in the trading of equities, municipal bonds, mutual funds, life insurance products, other fixed income instruments, options, variable annuities, and partnerships. Trades are cleared on a fully disclosed basis through a clearing agreement with National Financial Services, Inc.

FASB ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritized the inputs to valuation techniques. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. Valuation techniques that are consistent with the market, income or cost approach, as specified by FASB ASC 820 are used to measure fair value.

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2 inputs are inputs (other than quoted prices included within Level 1) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability and rely on management's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. (The unobservable inputs should be developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and may include the Company's own data.)

Summary of significant accounting policies:

Cash equivalents include highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less. The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts which at times, may exceed uninsured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comprehensive income:

The Company adopted SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income," which requires that an enterprise report, by major components and as a single total, the changes in equity. There were no other comprehensive income items for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The firm did not have any adjustments that would have made comprehensive income different from net income.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2012

Note 1: GENERAL AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Concentrations of credit risk:

The Company is engaged in various trading and brokerage activities in which counterparties primarily include broker-dealers, banks, and other financial institutions. In the event counterparties do not fulfill their obligations, the Company may be exposed to risk.

Leases:

The Company committed to an office lease in Scottsdale Arizona in May, 2010 for a period of sixty-five months. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|-------------|---------------|
| 2013 | 152,799 |
| 2014 | 158,911 |
| 2015 | 137,174 |

The Company committed to an office lease in Los Angeles, California in July, 2012 for a period of forty-eight months. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Amount</u> |
|-------------|---------------|
| 2013 | 65,964 |
| 2014 | 65,964 |
| 2015 | 65,964 |
| 2016 | 32,982 |

The Company committed to an office lease in Tehachapi, California in July, 2012 for a period of twelve months. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

| Year | Amoun |
|------|-------|
| 2013 | 3,600 |

Commitments and contingencies:

On May 13, 2010, the Company was added as a Respondent to a complaint filed against an unrelated entity alleging damages of \$904,780. There was a FINRA arbitration hearing held on February 1, 2012 and concluded on February 7, 2012 with the company prevailing. On or about September 19, 2012, the Company filed an action seeking repayment of expenses incurred on the grounds that Claimants had no basis to bring an action against them. The case is currently pending.

Note 2: NET CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Company is subject to the uniform net capital rule (Rule 15c3-1) of the Securities and Exchange Commission, which requires both the maintenance of minimum net capital and the maintenance of a maximum ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital. Net capital and aggregate indebtedness change day by day, but by December 31, 2012 the Company's net capital of \$85,364 exceeded the minimum net capital requirement by \$66,812; and the Company's ratio of aggregate indebtedness (\$278,257) to net capital was 3.26 to 1, which is less than 15:1 ceiling for a broker dealer.

Statement of Net Capital Schedule I For the year ended December 31, 2012

| | Focus 12/31/12 | | Audit 12/31/12 | | Change | |
|--|----------------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|--|
| Stockholders' equity, December 31, 2012 | \$ 59 | 7,108 | \$ | 564,466 | 32,642 | |
| Subtract - Non allowable assets: | | | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 35 | 4,210 | | 372,529 | 18,319 | |
| Office equipment | 6 | 7,195 | | 67,195 | 0 | |
| Other assets | 3 | 4,911 | | 34,911 | 0 | |
| Tentative net capital | 14 | 0,792 | | 89,831 | 50,961 | |
| Haircuts: | | 4,467 | | 4,467 | 0 | |
| NET CAPITAL | 13 | 6,325 | | 85,364 | 50,961 | |
| Minimum net capital | 1 | 5,656 | | 18,552 | 2,896 | |
| Excess net capital | \$12 | 0,669 | | \$66,812 | 48,065 | |
| Aggregate indebtedness | 23 | 4,834 | | 278,256 | 43,422 | |
| Ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital | 1. | 72 | | 3.26 | | |

The differences were caused by additional accruals at December 31, 2012

December 31, 2012

Schedule II

Determination of Reserve Requirements
Under Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities and Exchange Commission

The Company is exempt from the Reserve Requirement of computation according to the provision of Rule 15c3-3 (k)(2)(ii).

Schedule III
Information Relating to Possession or Control
Requirements Under Rule 15c3-3

The Company is exempt from the Rule 15c3-3 as it relates to Possession and Control requirements under the (k)(2)(ii) exemptive provision.

(33-REV 7/10)

P.O. Box 92185 Washington, D.C. 20090-2185 202-371-8300 General Assessment Reconciliation

(33-REV 7/10)

For the fiscal year ended 12/31/2012 (Read carefully the instructions in your Working Copy before completing this Form)

TO BE FILED BY ALL SIPC MEMBERS WITH FISCAL YEAR ENDINGS

| Name of Member, address, Designated Examining Aupurposes of the audit requirement of SEC Rule 17a-5: O6639D FINRA DEC ARQUE CAPITAL LTD 19*19 7501 E MCCORMICK PKWY STE 111N SCOTTSDALE AZ 85258-8408 | rthority, 1934 Act registration | Note: If any of the information shown on the mailing label requires correction, please e-mail any corrections to form@sipc.org and so indicate on the form filed. Name and telephone number of person to contact respecting this form. |
|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 2. A. General Assessment (item 2e from page 2) B. Less payment made with SIPC-6 filed (exclude int | erest) | \$ 1,197 (655) |
| Date Paid * C. Less prior overpayment applied | | 542 |
| D. Assessment balance due or (overpayment) | ion El for days at 20% | ner annum |
| E. Interest computed on late payment (see instruct F. Total assessment balance and interest due (or c | | \$ 342 |
| G. PAID WITH THIS FORM: Check enclosed, payable to SIPC Total (must be same as F above) H. Overpayment carried forward 3. Subsidiaries (S) and predecessors (P) included in the | \$ 542 \$(| Act registration number): |
| The SIPC member submitting this form and the person by whom it is executed represent thereby that all information contained herein is true, correct and complete. Dated the 30 day of Jawary, 2013. This form and the assessment payment is due 60 day. | VICE PR | CAPTAL LTD of Corgonation, Partnership or other organization) (Authorized Signature) (Title) cal year. Retain the Working Copy of this form |
| for a period of not less than 6 years, the latest 2 ye | eals III an cashy accession | |
| Dates: Postmarked Received Calculations Exceptions: Disposition of exceptions: | Reviewed Documentation | Forward Copy |
| c. c | | |

beginning 1/1/2012 and ending 12/31/2012

| | Eliminate cents |
|---|------------------------|
| Item No. 2a. Total revenue (FOCUS Line 12/Part IIA Line 9, Code 4030) | <u>6,657,779</u> |
| 2b. Additions: (1) Total revenues from the securities business of subsidiaries (except foreign subsidiaries) and predecessors not included above. | |
| (2) Net loss from principal transactions in securities in trading accounts. | 9 |
| (3) Net loss from principal transactions in commodities in trading accounts. | |
| (4) Interest and dividend expense deducted in determining item 2a. | |
| (5) Net loss from management of or participation in the underwriting or distribution of securities. | |
| (6) Expenses other than advertising, printing, registration fees and legal fees deducted in determining net profit from management of or participation in underwriting or distribution of securities. | <u> </u> |
| (7) Net loss from securities in investment accounts. | |
| Total additions | |
| Deductions: (1) Revenues from the distribution of shares of a registered open end investment company or unit investment trust, from the sale of variable annuities, from the business of insurance, from investment advisory services rendered to registered investment companies or insurance company separate accounts, and from transactions in security futures products. | 1,332,669 |
| (2) Revenues from commodity transactions. | |
| (3) Commissions, floor brokerage and clearance paid to other SIPC members in connection with securities transactions. | 4,846,152 |
| (4) Reimbursements for postage in connection with proxy solicitation. | |
| (5) Net gain from securities in investment accounts. | |
| (6) t00% of commissions and markups earned from transactions in (i) certificates of deposit and (ii) Treasury bills, bankers acceptances or commercial paper that mature nine months or less from issuance date. | |
| (7) Direct expenses of printing advertising and legal fees incurred in connection with other revenue related to the securities business (revenue defined by Section 16(9)(L) of the Act). | |
| (8) Other revenue not related either directly or indirectly to the securities business. (See Instruction C): | |
| (Deductions in excess of \$100,000 require documentation) | |
| (9) (i) Total interest and dividend expense (FOCUS Line 22/PART IIA Line 13, Code 4075 plus line 2b(4) above) but not in excess of total interest and dividend income. | |
| (ii) 40% of margin interest earned on customers securities accounts (40% of FOCUS line 5, Code 3960). | 8 |
| Enter the greater of line (i) or (ii) | 6172 221 |
| Total deductions | 422 67 2 |
| 2d. SIPC Net Operating Revenues | 1107 |
| 2e. General Assessment @ .0025 | (to page 1, line 2.A.) |
| | for hade of |

18425 Burbank Blvd., Suite 606, Tarzana, CA 91356 • Tel. (818) 401-8800 • Fax (818) 401-8818

Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed – Upon Procedures Related to an Entity's SIPC Assessment Reconciliation.

Board of Directors Arque Capital, Ltd. Scottsdale, Arizona

In accordance with Rule 17a-5 (e)(4) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, I have performed the procedures enumerated below with respect to the accompanying Scheduled of Assessment and Payments [General Assessment Reconciliation (Form SIPC-7)] to the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) for the Year Ended December 31, 2012, which were agreed to by Arque Capital, Ltd. and the Securities and Exchange Commission, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., and SIPC, solely to assist you and the other specified parties in evaluating Arque Capital, Ltd.'s compliance with the applicable instructions of the General Assessment Reconciliation (Form SIPC-7). Arque Capital, Ltd.'s management is responsible for Arque Capital, Ltd.'s compliance with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, I make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures I performed and my findings are as follows:

- 1. Compared the listed assessment payment in Form SIPC-7 with respective cash disbursement records entries from the cash disbursements journal and related bank statements and reconciliations, noting no differences;
- 2. Compared the amounts reported on the audited Form X-17a-5 for the year ended December 31, 2012, as applicable with the amounts reported in Form SIPC-7 for the year ended December 31, 2012 noting no differences;
- 3. Compared any adjustments reported in Form SIPC-7 with supporting schedules and working papers, such as clearing firms records supporting securities revenues, noting no differences;
- 4. Proved the arithmetical accuracy of the calculations reflected in Form SIPC-7 and in the related schedules and working papers, such as revenues from third party support and bank records supporting the adjustments, noting no differences.

I was not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, I do not express such an opinion. Had I performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to my attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified parties listed above and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Brian W. Anson

Certified Public Accountant

Tarzana, California February 15, 2013 18425 Burbank Blvd., Suite 606, Tarzana, CA 91356 • Tel. (818) 401-8800 • Fax (818) 401-8818

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT ON INTERNAL CONTROL STRUCTURE REQUIRED BY SEC RULE 17A-5

Board of Directors, Arque Capital, Ltd. Scottsdale, Arizona

In planning and performing my audit of the financial statements of Arque Capital, Ltd for the year ended December 31, 2012 in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, I considered its internal control structure, for the purpose for safeguarding securities, in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure. Accordingly, I do not express an opinion effectiveness of the Company's internal control.

Also, as required by Rule 17a-5(g)(1) of the Securities and Exchange Commission, I have made a study of the practices and procedures including tests of such practices and procedures followed by Arque Capital, Ltd including test of compliance with such practices and procedures that I considered relevant to objectives stated in Rule 17a-5(g)(1) in making the periodic computations of aggregate indebtedness (or aggregate debits) and net capital under Rule 17a-3(a)(11) and for determining compliance with the exemptive provisions of Rule 15c3-3. Because the Company does not carry securities accounts for customers or perform custodial functions relating to customer securities, I did not review the practices and procedures followed by the Company in any of the following: (i) in making the quarterly securities examinations, counts, verifications and comparisons, (ii) recordation of differences required by Rule 17a-13, or (iii) in complying with the requirements for prompt payment for securities of Section 8 of Regulation T of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control structure and the practice and procedures referred to in the preceding paragraph in fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures and of the practices and procedures referred to in the proceeding paragraph and to assess whether those practices and procedures can be expected to achieve the Commission's above mentioned objectives. Two of the objectives of an internal control structure and the practices and procedures are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets for which the Company has responsibility are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Rule 17-5(g) lists additional objectives of the practices and procedures listed in the preceding paragraph.

Board of Directors, Arque Capital, Ltd. Page Two

Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure or the practices and procedures referred to above, errors or irregularities may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of them to future periods is subject to the risk they may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of their design and operation may deteriorate.

My consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of the specific internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. However, I noted no matters involving the internal control structure, including control activities for safeguarding securities, which I consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.

In addition, my consideration of the internal control structure indicated that the Company was in compliance with the conditions of the exemption under Paragraph (k) (2) (ii) of Rule 15c3-3, and no facts came to my attention indicating that such conditions had not been complied with during the period. The scope of my engagement did not include the Anti Money Laundering provision of the U.S. Patriot Act.

I understand that practices and procedures that accomplish the objectives referred to in the second paragraph of this report are considered by the Commission to be adequate for its purpose in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and related regulations, and that practices and procedures that do not accomplish such objectives in all material respects indicate a material inadequacy for such purposes. Based on this understanding and on my study, I believe that the Company's practices and procedures were adequate at December 31, 2012 to meet the Commission's objectives.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other regulatory agencies which rely on Rule 17a-5(g) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in their regulation of registered brokers and dealers, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Brian W. Anson

Certified Public Accountant

Tarzana, California February 15, 2013