		ISSION	
SECU	12062420	ISSION	OMB Number: 3235-01 Expires: April 30, 20 Estimated average burden
AN	NUAL AUDITED RE		hours per response12.
	FORM X-17A-5	Mail Processin Section	IG SEC FILE NUMBE
	PART III	AUG 2 9 2012	· 242,00
	FACING PAGE		8-24626
Information Required o Securities Excha	of Brokers and Dealers P ange Act of 1934 and Ru	le 172-5 Thereun	n 17 of the
EPORT FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING		405 AND ENDING	06/30/12
	MM/DD/YY		MM/DD/YY
A. RE	GISTRANT IDENTIFIC	ATION	
IAME OF BROKER-DEALER: Secur	ity Research Assoc	iates, Inc.	OFFICIAL USE ONL
ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BU	SINESS: (Do not use P.O. Bo	x No.)	FIRM I.D. NO.
Three Embarcadero Center	, Suite 1340 🧭		
	(No. and Street)		
San Francisco, Californi			
(City)	(State)	۰,	(Zip Code)
NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF P Timothy Collins	ERSON TO CONTACT IN R	EGARD TO THIS RI	EPORT (415) 590-4333
			(Area Code - Telephone Num
B. ACC	COUNTANT IDENTIFIC	CATION	
NDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT	whose opinion is contained in	this Report*	
K. H. Wm. Krueger, Certi	fied Public Accoun	tant	
	(Name – if individual, state last, fir		
591 Redwood HIghway, Sui	te 5295, Mill Vall	ey, Californ	ia 94941
(Address)	(City)	(State)	(Zip Code)
CHECK ONE:			
Certified Public Accountant			
Public Accountant			
Accountant not resident in Ur	nited States or any of its posses	ssions.	
	FOR OFFICIAL USE O	NLY	

Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

elle/p

OATH OR AFFIRMATION

Brian Swift		, swear (or affirm) that, to the best of
my knowledge and belief the accompanyin	g financial statement and supporting sci	hedules pertaining to the firm of
Security Research Assoc	ciates, Inc.	, 85
of June 30	, $20\underline{12}$, are true and	correct. I further swear (or affirm) that
neither the company nor any partner, prop	rietor, principal officer or director has a	any proprietary interest in any account
classified solely as that of a customer, exce	ept as follows:	

See attached

Signature 4 in hor Title

Notary Public

This report ** contains (check all applicable boxes):

- (a) Facing Page.
- (b) Statement of Financial Condition. ∇
- (c) Statement of Income (Loss). $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
- (d) Statement of Changes in Financial Condition. X
- (e) Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity or Partners' or Sole Proprietors' Capital. \mathbf{X}
- (f) Statement of Changes in Liabilities Subordinated to Claims of Creditors.
- (g) Computation of Net Capital.
- (h) Computation for Determination of Reserve Requirements Pursuant to Rule 15c3-3.
- (i) Information Relating to the Possession or Control Requirements Under Rule 15c3-3. Ø
- (j) A Reconciliation, including appropriate explanation of the Computation of Net Capital Under Rule 15c3-1 and the K) Computation for Determination of the Reserve Requirements Under Exhibit A of Rule 15c3-3.
- (k) A Reconciliation between the audited and unaudited Statements of Financial Condition with respect to methods of consolidation.
- (1) An Oath or Affirmation. Ø
- (m) A copy of the SIPC Supplemental Report.
- (n) A report describing any material inadequacies found to exist or found to have existed since the date of the previous audit.

**For conditions of confidential treatment of certain portions of this filing, see section 240.17a-5(e)(3).

IFORNIA JURAT WITH AFFIANT	10101010101010101010101010101010101010	
	Life and Cholowi	
See Attached Document (Notary to cross See Statement Below (Lines 1–6 to be co	mpleted only by documen	t signer[s], <i>not</i> Notary)
		a an
		and a second
3	and a second design of the second day and the second day and	ining a state of the second
en e	- The area of the second s	To the state of the second
tt V in a start and a start of the s	a an	
Signature of Document Signer No. 1	Signature of Doct	ument Signer No, 2 (if any)
State of California		to (or affirmed) before me
County of Marin'	on this $\frac{28^{\text{th}}}{\text{Date}}$ day of	$\frac{August}{Month}, 20 \frac{12}{Year},$ $\frac{Surff}{1 - Surff},$ ne of Signer
	(1) Brian C	. Swift, ne of Signer
	proved to me on the ba to be the person who	sis of satisfactory evidence appeared before me (.) (,)
	(and
MARY ELSIK RYAN Commission # 1824898 Notary Public - California	(2)	me of Signer
Marin County My Comm. Expires Dec 24, 2012	proved to me on the ba to be the person wh	sis of satisfactory evidence no appeared before me.)
	Signature Mary	Elsi for
Place Notary Seal Above	℃ s	ignature of Notary Public 🛛 🥥
OF	TIONAL	
	RIGHT T	HUMBPRINT RIGHT THUMBPRINT GNER #1 OF SIGNER #2
Place Notary Seal Above	t may prove valuable Top of t t fraudulent removal ocument.	humb here lop of thumb here
Further Description of Any Attached Docume	ent	
Title or Type of Document: <u>Kpt in Examination</u>	station	
Document Date: 633112 Num	ber of Pages:	
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: / (A		

.

REPORT ON EXAMINATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

K. H. Wm. KRUEGER CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT August 21, 2012

Board of Directors Security Research Associates, Inc. Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1340 San Francisco, California 94111

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

I have audited the statement of financial condition of Security Research Associates, Inc. as of June 30, 2012, and related statements of operations, changes in shareholder's equity and cash flow for the year then ended (that you are filing pursuant to Rule 17a-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of Security Research Associates, Inc. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board of the United States of America. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly the financial position, in all material respects, of **Security Research Associates**, **Inc.** as of **June 30**, **2012**, and the results of its operations, changes in shareholder's equity and cash flow for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that Security Research Associates, Inc. will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note J to the financial statements, under existing circumstances, there is substantial doubt about the ability of Security Research Associates, Inc. to continue as a going concern at June 30, 2012. Management's plans in regard to that matter also are described in Note J. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

My audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The information contained in the Supplementary Schedules is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by Rule 17a-5 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, in my opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Certified Public Accountant

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

JUNE 30, 2012

ASSETS

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		\$	75 668
DEPOSIT - WEDBUSH MORGAN SECURITIES			49 980
RECEIVABLES FROM BROKER-DEALER			4 392
FEES AND OTHER RECEIVABLES			17 501
INVESTMENTS IN AFFILIATED ENTITY			48 156
SECURITIES NOT READILY MARKETABLE			2 618
PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER ASSETS			30 650
FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT, less accumulated depreciation of \$58,260			9 144
		<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>238 109</u>
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER	<u>S EQUITY</u>		
SALARIES AND COMMISSIONS		\$	9 783
ACCRUED EXPENSES	,		42 526
TOTAL LIABILITIES			52 309
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY: Common Stock - no par value: stated value \$10.00 Authorized 20,000 shares Issued and outstanding 16,689 shares Paid in capital	\$ 165 000 134 175		105 000
Retained earnings (deficit)	<u>(113 375</u>)		85 800
		\$ 2	<u>238 109</u>

har o

See notes to financial statements

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

REVENUES:

Commissions and Fees		\$ 252 893
Investment banking fees		1 014 659
Conference		130 500
EXPENSES:		\$ 1 398 052
Commissions, employee compensation & benefits	\$ 902 223	
Operating expenses	350 568	
Trading costs	138 268	
Conference costs	105 540	
Rent	85 377	1 581 976
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES		(183 924)
INCOME TAX		800
NET LOSS		<u>\$ (184 724</u>)

See notes to financial statements

- 3 -

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

	Common <u>Stock</u>	Paid-In <u>Capital</u>	Retained Earnings <u>Deficit</u>	Total Shareholder's <u>Equity</u>
Balance at July 1, 2011	\$ 135 000	\$ 134 175	\$ 71 349	\$ 340 524
Stock Issued	30 000			30 000
Net Loss			(184 724)	(184 724)
Balance at June 30, 2012	<u>\$ 165 000</u>	<u>\$ 134 175</u>	<u>\$(113 375)</u>	<u>\$ 185 800</u>

See notes to financial statements - 4 -

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOW

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:

Net loss from operations		\$ (184 724)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to		
net cash provided (used) by operating		
activities:		
Depreciation	\$ 1518	
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Deposit	50 020	
Receivables from broker dealer/other	327 000	
Marketable securities	312 741	
Securities not readily marketable	(47 495)	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(527)	
Salaries and commissions	(29 691)	
Accrued expenses	(35 347)	
Income taxes	11 693	
Payable broker dealer - margin loan	(312 741)	
Net Adjustments		277 171
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES		92 447
CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from short term bank loans	25 000	
Repayment of short term bank loans	(120 000)	
Stock issued	30 000	
CASH USED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	-	(65 000)
NET INCREASE IN CASH		27 447
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year		48 221
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year		<u>\$ 75.668</u>
INCOME TAXES		<u>\$ 800</u>
INTEREST PAID		<u>\$ 1051</u>

See notes to financial statements - 5 -

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business

Security Research Associates, Inc. (the Company) is a licensed securities broker-dealer registered with the SEC and FINRA and is engaged in investment banking and the sale and trading of marketable securities, primarily in Northern California.

Security Transactions and Commissions

In accordance with industry practice, securities transactions and related commission revenues and expenses are recorded on a settlement date basis. The Company has entered into contracts with Wedbush Morgan Securities who has agreed to act as clearing brokers on a fully disclosed basis for all the Company's dealings with customer's securities accounts. Accordingly, the Company has no direct receivables or payables to customers or brokers as a result of customer securities transactions.

Proprietary securities transactions in regular-way trades are recorded on the trade date, as if they had settled. Profit and loss arising from all securities transactions entered into for the account and risk of the Company are recorded on a trade date basis. Customer's securities transactions are reported on a settlement date basis.

Marketable securities are valued at fair value in accordance with GAAP. Securities not readily marketable include securities for which there is not market on a securities exchange or an independent publicly quoted market, and securities which cannot be offered or sold because of restriction on the transfer of the security are carried at estimated fair value as determined by the Board of Directors.

Investment Banking

Investment banking revenues include gains, losses and fees, net of syndicate expenses, arising from securities offerings in which the Company acts as an underwriter or agent. Investment banking revenues also include fees earned from providing merger-and-acquisition and financial restructuring advisory services. Investment banking management fees are recorded on offering date, sales concessions on settlement date, and underwriting fees at the time the underwriting is completed and the income is reasonably determinable.

Commissions

Commissions and related clearing expenses are recorded on a trade-date basis as securities transactions occur.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2012

NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Furniture and Equipment

Furniture and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed on a straight line basis over estimated useful lives of the related assets.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Income Taxes

Deferred taxes payable or refundable are recognized as of the date of the financial statements, utilizing currently enacted tax laws and rates. Deferred tax expenses or benefits are recognized in the financial statements for the changes in deferred liabilities or assets between years.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions in accordance with GAAP. GAAP prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement process for financial statement recognition of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. GAAP also provides guidance on recognition, derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. There was no impact on total liabilities or stockholders equity as a result of the adoption of these provisions.

The tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions start with the year 2008.

Date of Management's Review

Management has evaluated subsequent events through August 21, 2012, the date on which the financial statements were available to be issued.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2012

NOTE B - DEPOSIT

The Company has deposited \$49,980 with Wedbush Morgan Securities as security for its transactions with them. Interest is paid monthly on the deposit at the average overnight repurchase agreement rate.

NOTE C - LEASE

The Company leases its office under non cancelable operating leases which expiring in December, 2013. Minimum rental payment for the next two years is:

2012:	\$ 88,000
2013:	\$ 40,000

Rent expense for the year was \$85,377.

NOTE D - PENSION PLAN

The Company has established a 401(k) pension plan that covers all full time employees with over one year of service. The Company is required to make a safe harbor 3% contribution to the plan which amounted to \$3,476.

NOTE E - CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of temporary cash investments and commissions receivables. The Company places their temporary cash investments with financial institutions and diversified mutual funds, thereby limiting the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution. Concentrations of credit with respect to commissions receivables are limited due to the fact that most receivables are not payable to registered representatives until collected.

NOTE F - FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET CREDIT RISK

The Company's transactions as a securities broker are executed with and on behalf of customers. The Company introduces these transactions for clearance to an Exchange member firm on a fully disclosed basis.

In the normal course of business, the Company's customer activities involve the execution of securities transactions and settlement by its clearing broker. The agreement between the Company and its clearing broker provides that the Company is obligated to assume any exposure related to nonperformance by its customers. Therefore, these

- 8 -

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2012

NOTE F - FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET CREDIT RISK (Continued)

activities may expose the Company to off-balance sheet credit risk in the event the customer is unable to fulfill its obligations, the Company may be required to purchase or sell financial instruments at prevailing market prices in order to fulfill the customer's obligations, which may result in a gain or a loss to the Company.

The Company seeks to control off-balance sheet credit risk by monitoring its customer transactions and reviewing information it receives from its clearing broker on a daily basis.

NOTE G - CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Company is required to maintain minimum net capital as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission equivalent to the greater of \$5,000 or one-fifteenth of "aggregate indebtedness" as defined under the net capital rules. Net capital and the related net capital ratio fluctuate on a daily basis. At June 30, 2012, the Company had net capital of \$77,731 and aggregate indebtedness of approximately \$52,000, a ratio of .7 to 1.00.

NOTE H - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

GAAP establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market.

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels:

<u>Level 1</u> inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access.

<u>Level 2</u> inputs are inputs (other than quoted prices included within Level 1) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

<u>Level 3</u> are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability and rely on management's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. (The unobservable inputs should be developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and may include the Company's own data).

- 9 -

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2012

NOTE H - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT (Continued)

The following table presents the Company's fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012:

Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 75,668	\$	\$	\$ 75,668
Equity securities		<u>\$ 48,156</u>	<u>\$ 2,618</u>	<u>\$ 50,774</u>
Total	<u>\$ 75,668</u>	<u>\$ 48,156</u>	<u>\$ 2,618</u>	<u>\$ 126,442</u>

NOTE I - NET OPERATING LOSS

The Company has a net operating loss carryforward that can be used to offset taxable income in future years. The Federal carryforward amounts to \$226,122 and State carryforward of \$293,338 expires, unless used in prior years, as follows:

	Federal	State
2031	\$ 41,558	\$ 109,574
2032	184,564	183 764

NOTE J - GOING CONCERN CONSIDERATIONS

The Company has sustained substantial operating losses over the last two years which have resulted in a decrease in shareholder equity to a point that if similar losses were to occur over the next twelve months it would cast substantial doubt on the Company's ability to continue as an going concern.

Management has drafted a plan which calls for the infusion of additional equity capital and/or subordinated loans from current shareholders and employees if the need arises. Additionally, management has initiated a plan that places more emphasis on investment banking fees over traditional brokerage commissions (which have declined over the past several years) as well as reducing annual operating expenses. If management is unable to implement it's plan of either increased revenue, decreased operating expenses or a capital infusion, there is a possibility that the Company will discontinue operations.

For the current fiscal year through August 21, 2012 the Company has a net profit of approximately \$25,000.

- 10 -

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

-

......

.

......

.....

.

--

COMPUTATION OF NET CAPITAL FOR BROKERS AND DEALERS

PURSUANT TO RULE 15c3-1

JUNE 30, 2012

COMPUTATION OF NET CAPITAL: Stockholder's equity		\$ 185 800
		·
NON ALLOWABLE ASSETS:		
Non broker dealer receivables	\$ 17 501	
Investment in affiliated entity	48 156	
Securities not readily marketable	2 618	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	30 650	
Furniture and other equipment	<u> </u>	(100.070)
Total non-allowable assets		<u>(108 069</u>)
NET CAPITAL		<u>\$ 77 731</u>
COMPUTATION OF AGGREGATE INDEBTEDNESS:		
Total aggregate indebtedness - liabilities		
From Statement of Financial Condition		<u>\$ 52 309</u>
Ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital		<u>.7 to 1</u>
COMPUTATION OF BASIC NET CAPITAL REQUIREMENT:		
Minimum net capital required (6 2/3% of aggregate		
indebtedness)		<u>\$ 3487</u>
Minimum dollar net capital requirement		<u>\$ 5 000</u>
Net capital requirement (greater of above two amounts)		<u>\$ 5000</u>
Excess net capital		<u>\$ 72.731</u>

STATEMENT REGARDING RECONCILIATION OF SCHEDULES

WITH THOSE FILED BY BROKER-DEALER

JUNE 30, 2012

The difference between net capital on the respondent's June 30, 2012 focus report as compared to these net capital financial statements is a follows:

Net capital p	er focus report		\$ 78 840
Add:	Investment in affiliated entity	\$ 48 156	48 156
Deduct:	Increase in non allowable assets	49 265	<u>(49 265</u>)

Net capital per this report

<u>\$ 77 731</u>

COMPUTATION FOR DETERMINATION OF RESERVE REQUIREMENTS

FOR BROKERS AND DEALERS PURSUANT TO RULE 15C3-3

JUNE 30, 2012

The Company is exempt from provisions of Rule 15c3-3 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, in that the Company's activities are limited to those set forth in the conditions for exemption appearing in paragraph (k)(2)(ii) of the Rule.

All customary transactions are cleared through Wedbush Morgan Securities on a fully disclosed basis.

August 21, 2012

Board of Directors Security Research Associates, Inc. San Francisco, California

In accordance with Rule 17a-5(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, I have performed the procedures enumerated below with respect to the accompanying Schedule of Assessment and Payments (Form SIPC-7) to the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) for the year ended June 30, 2012, which were agreed to by Security Research Associates, Inc. and the Securities and Exchange Commission, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., and SIPC solely to assist you and the other specified parties in evaluating Security Research Associates, Inc. compliance with applicable instructions of the Assessment Reconciliation (Form SIPC-7). Security Research Associates, Inc. management is responsible for Security Research Associates, Inc. compliance with those requirements. The agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, I make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested, or for any other purposes. The procedures I performed and my findings are as follows:

- 1. Compared the listed assessment payments in Form SIPC-7 with respective cash disbursement records entries noting no differences;
- 2. Compared amounts reported on the audited Form X-17A-5 for the year ended June 30, 2012 as applicable, with the amounts reported in Form SIPC-7 for the year ended June 30, 2012, noting no differences;
- 3. Compared any adjustments reported in Form SIPC-7 with supporting schedules and working papers, noting no differences;
- 4. Proved the arithmetical accuracy of the calculations reflected in Form SIPC-7 and in the related schedules and working papers supporting the adjustments nothing no differences; and
- 5. Computed the amount of any overpayment applied to the current assessment with Form SIPC-7 on which it was originally computed noting no differences.

I was not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, I do not express such an opinion. Had I performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to my attention that would have been reported to you. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified parties listed above and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

K. H. Wm. Krueger Certified Public Accountant

SIPC SCHEDULE OF ASSESSMENTS AND PAYMENTS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Total revenue per focus rep	port	\$ 1 397 949
Deductions per SIPC 7		194 441
SIPC net operating revenue		1 203 508
General assessment		<u>\$ 3009</u>
Payments:		
- SIPC 6	\$ 917	
- SIPC 7	<u>2 092</u>	<u>\$ 3.009</u>

August 21, 2012

Board of Directors Security Research Associates, Inc. Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1340 San Francisco, California 94111

In planning and performing my audit of the financial statements of **Security Research Associates, Inc.** as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, I considered the Company's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, I do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control.

Also, as required by Rule 17a-5(g)(1) of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), I have made a study of the practices and procedures followed by the Company including consideration of control activities for safeguarding securities. This study included tests of such practices and procedures that I considered relevant to the objectives stated in Rule 17a-5(g) in making the periodic computations of aggregate indebtedness and net capital under Rule 17a-3(a)(11) and for determining compliance with the exemptive provisions of Rule 15c3-3. Because the Company does not carry securities accounts for customers or perform custodial functions relating to customer securities, I did not review the practices and procedures followed by the Company in any of the following:

- 1. Making quarterly securities examinations, counts, verifications, and comparisons and recordation of differences required by Rule 17a-13.
- 2. Complying with the requirements for prompt payment for securities under Section 8 of Federal Reserve Regulation T of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure and the practices and procedures referred to in the preceding paragraph. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess whether those practices and procedures referred to in the preceding paragraph can be expected to achieve the Securities and Exchange Commission's above mentioned objectives. Two of the objectives of an internal control structure and the practices and procedures are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets for which the Company has responsibility are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use of disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Rule 17a-5(g) lists additional objectives of the practices and procedures listed in the preceding paragraph.

Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure or the practices and procedures referred to above, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of them to future periods is subject to the risk that they may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of their design and operation may deteriorate.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

My consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first and second paragraphs and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. I did not identify any deficiencies in internal control and control activities for safeguarding securities that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined previously.

I understand that practices and procedures that accomplish the objectives referred to in the second paragraph of this report are considered by the Commission to be adequate for its purposes in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and related regulations, and that practices and procedures that do not accomplish such objectives in all material respects indicate a material inadequacy for such purposes. Based on this understanding and on my study, I believe that the Company's practices and procedures were adequate at June 30, 2012, to meet the Commission's objectives.

This report is intended solely for the use of management, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other regulatory agencies that rely on Rule 17a-5(g) under the Securities Act of 1934 in their regulation of registered brokers and dealers, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Certified Public Accountant