	UNITED STATE	S		MB APPROVAL
	SECURITIES AND EXCHANG		OMB Number	
	SEC Washington, D.C.		Expires:	February 28, 2010
	Mail Processingual AUDITED	REPORT -5	Estimated ave	
	PART III MAY 142012	_		SEC FILE NUMBER
12061284	ormation Required of Brokers and Dealers Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Washington DC	Pursuant to Section 1	7 of the	8- 6564S
REPORT FOR THE PERIOD BE	EGINNING 405 1/11		03/31/12	
	MM/DD/YYY			MM/DD/YY
	A. REGISTRANT IDENT	IFICATION		
NAME OF BROKER-DEALER:	SHASTA PARTNERS, LLC			OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ADDRESSS OF PRINCIPLE PL	ACE OF BUSINESS: (Do not use P.O. Box)	No.)		FIRM I.D. NO.
330 BEAR HILL ROAD, SUITE :	302			
330 BEAR HILL ROAD, SUITE 3	302 (No. and Street)		
330 BEAR HILL ROAD, SUITE ()	024	51
	(No. and Street			51 Code)
WALTHAM (City)	(No. and Street MA)	(Zip	
WALTHAM (City)	(No. and Street MA (State))	(Zip	
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM	(No. and Street MA (State))	(Zip RT 784	Code)
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM	(No. and Street MA (State)	ARD TO THIS REPO	(Zip RT 784	Code) *-890-6212
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG	ARD TO THIS REPO	(Zip RT 784	Code) *-890-6212
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN	ARD TO THIS REPO	(Zip RT 784	Code) *-890-6212
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN	ARD TO THIS REPO TIFICATION	(Zip RT 784	Code) *-890-6212
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN UNTANT whose opinion is contained in th (Name – if individual, state first,	ARD TO THIS REPO TIFICATION	(Zip RT 78' (Ard	Code) *-890-6212
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCO LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C.	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN UNTANT whose opinion is contained in th (Name – if individual, state first,	ARD TO THIS REPO TIFICATION is Report* last, middle name)	(Zip RT 78' (Ard	Code) *-890-6212 ea Code – Telephone Numbe
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCO LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C. 11 VANDERBILT AVENUE SUI	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN UNTANT whose opinion is contained in th (Name – if individual, state first, TE 220 NORWOOD	ARD TO THIS REPO TIFICATION is Report* last, middle name)	(Zip RT 78' (Art	Code) *-890-6212 ea Code – Telephone Numbe 02062
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCO LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C. 11 VANDERBILT AVENUE SUI (Address)	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN UNTANT whose opinion is contained in th (Name – if individual, state first, TE 220 NORWOOD (City)	ARD TO THIS REPO TIFICATION is Report* last, middle name)	(Zip RT 78' (Art	Code) *-890-6212 ea Code – Telephone Numbe 02062
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCO LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C. 11 VANDERBILT AVENUE SUI (Address) CHECK ONE:	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN UNTANT whose opinion is contained in th (Name – if individual, state first, TE 220 NORWOOD (City) Accountant	ARD TO THIS REPO TIFICATION is Report* last, middle name)	(Zip RT 78' (Art	Code) *-890-6212 ea Code – Telephone Numbe 02062
WALTHAM (City) NAME AND TELEPHONE NUM GREGORY BURKUS INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCO LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C. 11 VANDERBILT AVENUE SUI (Address) CHECK ONE:	(No. and Street MA (State) BER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REG B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN UNTANT whose opinion is contained in th (Name – if individual, state first, TE 220 NORWOOD (City) Accountant	ARD TO THIS REPORT TIFICATION is Report* iast, middle name) M/ (St	(Zip RT 78' (Art	Code) *-890-6212 ea Code – Telephone Numbe 02062

L

*Claims for exemption from the requirements that the annual report be covered by the opinion of an independent public accountant must be supported by a statement of facts and circumstances relied on as the basis for the exemption. See Section 240.17-a-8(e)(2)

SEC 1410 (05-01) Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number.

OATH OR AFFIRMATION

GREGORY BURKUS		,swear (or affirm) that	at, to the	
best of my knowledge and beli	ef the ac	compa	nying financial statement and supporting schedules pertaining to the firm of	
SHASTA PARTNERS, LLC				, as of
MARCH 31	, 20	12	, are true and correct. I further swear (or affirm) that neither the company	

nor any partner, proprietor, principle officer or director has any proprietary interest in any account classified soley as that of A customer, except as follows:

		Kal
		MEMBER Signature
\frown	^	Title
	L	fasit A. K. HIRI GARETA
<u> </u>		Notary Public
(May 7, 2012
This	repor	t** contains (check all applicable boxes):
\boxtimes	•	Facing page.
\boxtimes	(b)	Statement of Financial Condition.
\boxtimes	(c)	Statement of Income (Loss).
\boxtimes	(d)	Statement of Changes in Financial Condition.
\boxtimes	(e)	Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity or Partners' or Sole Proprietor's Capital.
	(f)	Statement of Changes in Liabilities Subordinated to Claims of Creditors.
\boxtimes	(g)	Computation of Net Capital.
\boxtimes	(h)	Computation for Determination of Reserve Requirements Pursuant to Rule 15c3-3.
	(i)	Information Relating to the Possession or control requirements Under Rule 15c2-3.
\boxtimes	(i)	A Reconciliation. Including appropriate explanation, of the Computation of Net Capital Under Rule 15c3-1 and the Computation for Determination of the Reserve Requirements Under Exhibit A of Rule 15c3-3.
	(k)	A Reconciliation between the audited and unaudited Statements of Financial Condition with respect to methods of consolidation.
\boxtimes	(I)	An Oath or Affirmation.

(m) A copy of the SIPC Supplemental Report.

٢

(n) A report describing any material inadequacies found to exist or found to have existed since the date of the previous audit.

** For conditions of confidential treatment of certain portions of this filing, see section 240.17a-5(e)(3).

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2012

LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS

11 Vanderbilt Avenue, Suite 220, Norwood, Massachusetts 02062 Tel. (781) 255-8800 Fax (781) 255-9217 E-Mail: Info@Liberfarb.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Members of Shasta Partners, LLC

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial condition of Shasta Partners, LLC (the Company) as of March 31, 2012, and the related statements of income, changes in members' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended that you are filing pursuant to rule 17a-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Shasta Partners, LLC as of March 31, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America.

Massachusette

April 20, 2012

· · · · ·

,

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

MARCH 31, 2012

ASSETS

Cash Receivable from non-customers Other assets	\$ <u>\$</u>	204,359 8,000 5,297 217,656
LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY		
Liabilities: Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities	\$	6,325
Members' Equity: Members' capital Total Liabilities and Members' Equity	<u>\$</u>	211,331 217,656

.

.

STATEMENT OF INCOME

FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2012

Revenues: Investment banking and advisory fees Interest and dividends	\$ 352,739 <u>1,168</u> 353,907
Expenses: Communications and data processing Occupancy Other expenses	7,587 21,675 149,701
	178,963
Net income	<u>\$ 174,944</u>

.

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN MEMBERS' EQUITY

FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2012

Balance at April 1, 2011	\$ 776,387
Net income	174,944
Capital withdrawals	(740,000)
Balance at March 31, 2012	<u>\$ 211,331</u>

. . .

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2012

Cash flows from operating activities: Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow from operating activities:	\$ 174,	944
(Increase) Decrease in operating assets: Decrease in Receivable from non-customers Increase in Other assets	•	000 702)
Increase (Decrease) in operating liabilities: Decrease in Accounts payable and accrued expenses Net cash from operating activities	<u>(15,</u> 171,	, <u>968</u>) ,274
Cash flows from investing activities: None		
Cash flows from financing activities: Capital withdrawals	(740,	,000)
Decrease in cash	(568,	,726)
Cash at beginning of the period	773,	,085
Cash at end of the period	<u>\$ 204</u>	,359
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: Cash paid during the year for: Interest Income taxes	\$ \$	0 0

Disclosure of accounting policy:

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

SHASTA PARTNERS, LLC NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MARCH 31, 2012

NOTE 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Nature of Business:

The Company is a broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (FINRA)

Investment Banking and Advisory Fees:

Fees are earned from advisory services including merger and acquisition, restructuring, valuation, and capital raising services for clients.

Revenue Recognition:

The Company typically enters into contracts with clients calling for periodic advisory fees to be paid during the term of the arrangement, and a success fee to be paid out once the merger, acquisition, sale, restructuring, or financing (the "transaction") is successfully completed. This success fee is typically based on a percentage of the total consideration of the transaction, although in certain cases it may be a flat fee. Accordingly, the Company recognizes advisory fees in the period earned, with separate revenue recognition once each transaction is finalized.

Receivables from non-customers:

The Company has not provided an allowance for doubtful accounts, because management believes all amounts are collectible.

Income Taxes:

The Company does not record a provision for income taxes because the partners report their share of the partnership's income or loss on their income tax returns. The financial statements reflect the partnership's transactions without adjustment, if any, required for income tax purposes.

Advertising:

The Company expenses advertising costs as they are incurred.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

MARCH 31, 2012

NOTE 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - continued

Use of Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in these financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 – NET CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Company is subject to the Securities and Exchange Commission Uniform Net Capital Rule (SEC Rule 15c3-1), which requires the maintenance of minimum net capital, and requires that the ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital, both as defined, shall not exceed 15 to 1 (and the rule of the "applicable" exchange also provides that equity capital may not be withdrawn if the resulting net capital ratio would exceed 10 to 1). At March 31, 2012, the Company had net capital of \$198,034, which was \$193,034 in excess of its required net capital of \$5,000. The Company's net capital ratio was .03 to 1.

NOTE 3 – LONG TERM LEASES

The Company leases office space at the rate of \$2,275 per month. The lease expires July 2014. Rent expense for fiscal year 2012 was \$21,675.

Future minimum lease payments for non-cancelable operating leases at March 31, 2012 are as follows...

Year ended March 31,	
2013	\$ 27,846
2014	28,681
2015	<u>9,654</u>
	\$ 66,181

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED

MARCH 31, 2012

NOTE 4 – OFF BALANCE SHEET RISK AND CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

The Company at times maintains cash in bank accounts in excess of the established limit insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

NOTE 5 – FAIR VALUE

FASB ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market. Valuation techniques that are consistent with the market income or cost approach, as specified by FASB ASC 820, are used to measure fair value.

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2 inputs are inputs (other than quoted prices included within level 1) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability and rely on management's own assumption about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. (The unobservable inputs should be developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and may include the Company's own data.)

A qualifying asset or liability's level within the framework is based upon the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's qualifying assets or liabilities are recorded at fair value using Level 1 inputs.

SHASTA PARTNERS, LLC NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED MARCH 31, 2012

1

NOTE 6 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management has evaluated subsequent events through April 30, 2012, the date on which the financial statements were available to be issued. There were no subsequent events that require adjustment or disclosure in the financial statements.

•

SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES

MARCH 31, 2012

LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS

11 Vanderbilt Avenue, Suite 220, Norwood, Massachusetts 02062 Tel. (781) 255-8800 Fax (781) 255-9217 E-Mail: Info@Liberfarb.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Supplementary Information Required by Rule 17a-5 of the Securities and Exchange Commission

To the Members of Shasta Partners, LLC

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Shasta Partners, LLC as of and for the year ended March 31, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated April 30, 2012. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The information contained in Schedules I, and II is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by Rule 17a-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Norwood, Massachusetts April 30, 2012

SCHEDULE I

•

.

. . *.*

SHASTA PARTNERS, LLC

COMPUTATION OF AGGREGATE INDEBTEDNESS AND NET CAPITAL PURSUANT TO RULE 15c3-1

MARCH 31, 2012

Aggregate Indebtedness: Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	6,325
Net Capital Members' capital	\$	211,331
Adjustments to Net Capital Receivable from non-customers Other assets	_	(8,000) (5,297)
Net Capital as defined		198,034
Net Capital Requirement:	_	5,000
Net Capital in Excess of Requirement:	<u>\$</u>	193,034
Ratio of Aggregate Indebtedness to Net Capital		.03 to 1
Reconciliation with Company's computation of net capital:		
Net capital as reported in the Company's Part IIA (unaudited), Focus Report Net audit adjustments Decrease in non-allowables and haircuts Net capital per above		200,000 (1,966)

SCHEDULE II

SHASTA PARTNERS, LLC

COMPUTATION FOR DETERMINATION OF RESERVE REQUIREMENTS FOR BROKER/DEALERS UNDER RULE 15c3-3 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

MARCH 31, 2012

Shasta Partners, LLC is exempt from the reserve requirements of Rule 15c3-3 as its transactions are limited, such that they do not handle customer funds or securities, accordingly, the computation for determination of reserve requirements pursuant to Rule 15c3-3 and information relating to the possession or control requirement pursuant to Rule 15c3-3 are not applicable.

LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS

11 Vanderbilt Avenue, Suite 220, Norwood, Massachusetts 02062 Tel. (781) 255-8800 Fax (781) 255-9217 E-Mail: Info@Liberfarb.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Required by Rule 17a-5

To the Members of Shasta Partners, LLC

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Shasta Partners, LLC (the Company), as of and for the year ended March 31, 2012, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the Company's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control.

Also, as required by rule 17a-5(g)(1) of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we have made a study of the practices and procedures followed by the Company, including consideration of control activities for safeguarding securities. This study included tests of such practices and procedures that we considered relevant to the objectives stated in rule 17a-5(g) in making the periodic computations of aggregate indebtedness (or aggregate debits) and net capital under rule 17a-3(a)(11) and determining compliance with the exemptive provisions of rule 15c3-3. Because the Company does not carry securities accounts for customers or perform custodial functions, we did not review the practices and procedures followed by the Company in any of the following:

- 1. Making quarterly securities examinations, counts, verifications and comparisons and recordations required by rule 17a-13.
- 2. Complying with the requirements for prompt payment for securities under Section 8 of Federal Reserve Regulation T of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control and the practices and procedures referred to in the preceding paragraph. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of controls and of the practices and procedures referred to in the preceding paragraph, and to assess whether those practices and procedures can be expected to achieve the SEC's above-mentioned objectives. Two of the objectives of internal control and the practices and procedures are to provide management with reasonable but not absolute assurance that assets for which the Company has responsibility are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Rule 17a-5(g) lists additional objectives of the practices and procedures listed in the preceding paragraph.

Because of inherent limitations in internal control and the practices and procedures referred to above, error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of them to future periods is subject to the risk that they may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of their design and operation may deteriorate.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first and second paragraphs and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control and control activities for safeguarding securities that we considered to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

We understand that practices and procedures that accomplish the objectives referred to in the second paragraph of this report are considered by the SEC to be adequate for its purposes in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and related regulations, and that practices and procedures that do not accomplish such objectives in all material respects indicate a material inadequacy for such purposes. Based on this understanding and on our study, we believe the Company's practices and procedures, as described in the second paragraph of the report, were adequate at March 31, 2012, to meet the SEC's objectives.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., and other regulatory agencies that rely on Rule 17a-5(g) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in their regulation of registered brokers and dealers, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Liberfarb, P.C.

Norwood, Massachusetts April 30, 2012

LARRY D. LIBERFARB, P.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS

11 Vanderbilt Avenue, Suite 220, Norwood, Massachusetts 02062 Tel. (781) 255-8800 Fax (781) 255-9217 E-Mail: Info@Liberfarb.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Related to an Entity's SIPC Assessment Reconciliation

To the Members of Shasta Partners, LLC

In accordance with rule 17a-5(e)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we have performed the procedures enumerated below with respect to the accompanying schedule of Assessments and Payments to the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) for the year ending March 31, 2012, which were agreed to by Shasta Partners, LLC and the Securities Exchange Commission, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., and SIPC, solely to assist you and the other specified parties in evaluating Shasta Partners, LLC compliance with the applicable instructions of the General Assessment Reconciliation (Form SIPC-7). Shasta Partners, LLC's management is responsible for the Shasta Partners, LLC's compliance with those requirements. The agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures were performed and our findings are as follows:

- 1. Compared the listed assessment payment in Form SIPC-7 with respective cash disbursement record entries noting no differences;
- 2. Compared amounts reported on the audited Form X-17A-5 for the year ended March 31, 2012, as applicable, with the amounts reported in Form SIPC-7 for the year ended March 31, 2012 noting no differences;
- 3. Compared any adjustments reported in Form SIPC-7 with supporting schedules and working papers noting no differences;
- 4. Proved the arithmetical accuracy of the calculations reflected in Form SIPC-7 and in the related schedules and working papers supporting adjustments noting no differences; and
- 5. Compared the amount of any overpayment applied to the current assessment with the Form SIPC-7 on which it was originally computed noting no differences.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, we do no express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the specified parties listed above and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Notwood Massachusetts April 30, 2012

.

.

· r

SCHEDULE OF ASSESSMENTS AND PAYMENTS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2012

Payment Date	To Whom Paid	Amount	
10/22/2011	SIPC	\$	407
4/22/2012	SIPC	\$	478