

# COMMUNITY SHORES BANK CORPORATION



NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS, PROXY STATEMENT AND 2010 ANNUAL REPORT



500 WOODWARD AVENUE, SUITE 4000 DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3425 TELEPHONE: (313) 223-3500 FACSIMILE: (313) 223-3598 http://www.dickinsonwright.com

JEROME M. SCHWARTZ

JSchwartz@dickinsonwright.com
(313) 223-3628

April 8, 2011

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20549

Re: Community Shores Bank Corporation 2010 annual report to shareholders Commission File No. 000-51166



Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Rule 14a-3(c) under the Securities Exchange Act, we have enclosed, solely for information purposes, seven copies of Community Shores Bank Corporation's 2010 annual report to its shareholders, that was mailed to Community Shores' shareholders on or about April 8, 2011 in connection with Community Shores' annual meeting of shareholders that is scheduled to be held on May 12, 2011.

It would be appreciated if you would confirm your receipt of this letter and its enclosures by time stamping the enclosed copy of this letter, and returning it to me in the enclosed stamped, self-addressed envelope.

If you have any questions regarding the annual report to shareholders, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

Gerone M. Schwartz

Jerome M. Schwartz

JMS/km

cc: (w/o encl.):

Ms. Heather D. Brolick

Ms. Tracey A. Welsh

**Enclosures** 

DETROIT 25725-48 1197609v1



#### 1030 W. Norton Avenue Muskegon, Michigan 49441

#### Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders To Be Held on May 12, 2011

#### To our Shareholders:

The 2011 annual meeting of shareholders of Community Shores Bank Corporation will be held at the Muskegon Country Club, 2801 Lakeshore Drive, Muskegon, Michigan 49441, on Thursday, May 12, 2011, at 2:00 p.m., local time. The meeting is being held for the purpose of considering and voting on the following matters:

- 1. Election of two class I directors, each for a three year term.
- 2. Ratification of the appointment of Crowe Horwath LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2011.
- 3. Such other business as may properly be brought before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

All shareholders of record at the close of business on Friday, March 18, 2011 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting, and any postponements or adjournments of the meeting.

Your vote is important. We urge you to submit your proxy (1) over the internet, (2) by telephone or (3) by mail, whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person. For specific instructions, please refer to the questions and answers beginning on the first page of the proxy statement and the instructions on the proxy card relating to the annual meeting. We would appreciate receiving your proxy by Thursday, May 5, 2011.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Leacher D. Bholick

Heather D. Brolick

President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: April 8, 2011

#### **Community Shores Bank Corporation**

# Proxy Statement For the Annual Meeting of Shareholders To Be Held on May 12, 2011

#### **Table of Contents**

	Page
Proxy Statement	1
Information About the Annual Meeting and Voting	1
Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	5
Election of Directors*	7
Corporate Governance.	10
Audit Committee Report	14
Executive Compensation	15
Transactions with Related Persons	17
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	17
Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm*	18
Shareholder Proposals for 2012 Annual Meeting	19
Other Matters	19

<sup>\*</sup>To be voted on at the meeting

#### Community Shores Bank Corporation 1030 W. Norton Avenue Muskegon, Michigan 49441

April 8, 2011

# Proxy Statement For the Annual Meeting of Shareholders To Be Held on May 12, 2011

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Community Shores Bank Corporation ("we," "our" or "Community Shores"). The proxies are being solicited for use at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on Thursday, May 12, 2011 at 2:00 p.m., local time, at the Muskegon Country Club, 2801 Lakeshore Drive, Muskegon, Michigan 49441, and at any and all adjournments of the meeting. Distribution of this proxy statement is scheduled to begin on or about April 8, 2011.

#### **Information About the Annual Meeting and Voting**

#### What is the purpose of the annual meeting?

At our annual meeting, shareholders will act upon the matters outlined in the accompanying notice of the meeting and described in this proxy statement. These matters include the election of directors and the ratification of the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm.

Please read this proxy statement carefully. You should consider the information contained in this proxy statement when deciding how to vote your shares.

#### Who is entitled to vote?

The Board of Directors has set March 18, 2011 as the record date for the annual meeting. If you were a shareholder of record at the close of business on the record date, March 18, 2011, you are entitled to receive notice of the meeting and to vote your shares at the meeting. Holders of Community Shores common stock are entitled to one vote per share.

#### What is the difference between a "shareholder of record" and a "street name" holder?

These terms describe how your shares are held. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Registrar and Transfer Company, you are a "shareholder of record." If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank, trust or other nominee, then the broker, bank, trust or other nominee is considered to be the shareholder of record with respect to those shares. However, you still are considered the beneficial owner of those shares, and your shares are said to be held in "street name." Street name holders generally cannot vote their shares directly and must instead instruct the broker, bank, trust or other nominee how to vote their shares using the voting instructions provided by it.

#### Who can attend the meeting?

All shareholders as of the record date, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the meeting.

#### What is a proxy?

A proxy is your designation of another person to vote on your behalf. The other person is called a proxy. If you designate someone as your proxy in a written document, that document also is called a proxy or a proxy card. When you designate a proxy, you also may direct the proxy how to vote your shares. We sometimes refer to this as your "proxy vote." By completing and returning the enclosed proxy card, or voting by internet or telephone, you are giving the persons appointed as proxies by our Board of Directors the authority to vote your shares.

#### What is a proxy statement?

It is a document that we are required to give you, or provide you access to, in accordance with regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), when we ask you to designate proxies to vote your shares of our common stock at a meeting of our shareholders. The proxy statement includes information regarding the matters to be acted upon at the meeting and certain other information required by regulations of the SEC.

#### How many shares must be present to hold the meeting?

At least a majority of the shares of our common stock outstanding on the record date must be present at the meeting in order to hold the meeting and conduct business. This is called a quorum. Your shares are counted as present at the meeting if:

- you are present and vote in person at the meeting; or
- you have properly submitted a proxy by mail, telephone or internet.

As of the record date, 1,468,800 shares of our common stock were outstanding and entitled to vote. Proxies that are received and voted as withholding authority, abstentions, and broker non-votes (where a bank, broker or nominee does not exercise discretionary authority to vote on a matter) will be included in the calculation of the number of shares considered to be present at the meeting.

#### How do I vote my shares?

If you are a shareholder of record as of the record date, you can give a proxy to be voted at the meeting in any of the following ways:

- over the telephone by calling a toll-free number;
- electronically, using the internet; or
- by completing, signing and mailing the enclosed proxy card.

The telephone and internet voting procedures have been set up for your convenience. We encourage you to reduce corporate expense by submitting your vote by telephone or internet. The procedures have been designed to authenticate your identity, to allow you to give voting instructions, and to confirm that those instructions have been recorded properly. If you are a shareholder of record and you would like to submit your proxy by telephone or internet, please refer to the specific instructions provided on the enclosed proxy card. If you wish to submit your proxy by mail, please return your signed proxy card to us before the annual meeting.

If the shares you own are held in street name, your broker, bank or other nominee, as the record holder of your shares, is required to vote your shares according to your instructions. Your broker, bank or other nominee is required to send you directions on how to vote those shares. If you do not give instructions to your broker, bank or other nominee, it will still be able to vote your shares with respect to certain "discretionary" items, but will not be allowed to vote your shares with respect to certain "non-discretionary" items. In the case of non-discretionary items, the shares that do not receive voting instructions will be treated as "broker non-votes."

If, as of the record date, you are a shareholder of record and you attend the meeting, you may vote in person at the meeting. Even if you currently plan to attend the meeting, we recommend that you also submit your proxy as described above so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the meeting. If you are a street name holder, you may vote your shares in person at the meeting only if you obtain a signed letter or other document from your broker, bank, trust or other nominee giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting. If you have questions about attending or would like directions to the annual meeting, please write to the Secretary, Community Shores Bank Corporation, 1030 W. Norton Avenue, Muskegon, Michigan 49441 or call 231-780-1858.

#### What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy card or voting instruction form?

If you receive more than one proxy card or voting instruction form, it means that you hold shares registered in more than one account. To ensure that all of your shares are voted, sign and return each proxy card, or if you submit your proxy vote by telephone or internet, vote once for each proxy card or voting instruction form you receive.

#### What if I do not specify how I want my shares voted?

If you submit a signed proxy card or submit your proxy by telephone or internet and do not specify how you want to vote your shares, the proxies will vote your shares:

- FOR the election of all of the nominees for director;
- FOR the ratification of the appointment of Crowe Horwath LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2011; and
- In the discretion of the persons named as proxies as to all other matters that may be properly presented at the annual meeting.

#### Can I change my proxy after submitting my proxy?

Yes, you may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before your proxy is voted at the annual meeting. If you are a shareholder of record, you may revoke your proxy and change your vote by submitting a later-dated proxy by telephone, internet or mail, by voting in person at the meeting, or by delivering to our Secretary a written notice of revocation. Attending the meeting will not revoke your proxy unless you specifically request to revoke it.

#### What is the vote required to approve each matter?

*Election of Directors.* The affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the meeting is required for nominees to be elected as directors. Votes withheld and broker non-votes are not counted toward a nominee's total.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The affirmative vote of a majority of the common stock present in person or by proxy at the meeting and voting on the matter is necessary to approve the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm. For purposes of counting votes on this matter, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as shares voted on the matter.

#### Are there other matters to be voted on at the meeting?

As of the date of this proxy statement, our Board of Directors does not know of any matters which may come before the meeting, other than the matters described in this proxy statement. Should any other matter requiring a vote of the shareholders arise and be properly presented at the annual meeting, the proxy gives the persons named in the proxy and designated to vote the shares discretionary authority to vote or otherwise act with respect to any such matter in accordance with their best judgment.

#### How does the Board recommend that I vote?

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote:

- FOR the election of all of the nominees for director; and
- FOR the ratification of the appointment of Crowe Horwath LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2011.

#### Who pays for this proxy solicitation?

All costs of soliciting proxies will be borne by us. Our directors, officers, and other employees, and employees of our subsidiary, Community Shores Bank (the "Bank"), may, without compensation other than their regular compensation, solicit proxies by further mailing or personal conversation, or by telephone, facsimile or electronic means. We will reimburse brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding soliciting material to the beneficial owners of our common stock.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on May 12, 2011:

Our proxy statement and 2010 annual report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

#### Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table presents information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock by each person known to us to own beneficially more than 5% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of February 14, 2011.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class Beneficially Owned
Bruce J. Essex, Jr. and Muskegon Castings Corp. 1985 E. Laketon Avenue Muskegon, Michigan 49442 (1)	125,250	8.5%
Gordon H. Girod Trust and its trustees, Norma J. Girod, Stephen J. Girod and Gerald J. Girod 3677 Lakeshore Drive North Holland, Michigan 49424 (2)	100,000	6.8%
Bruce J. Essex 1985 E. Laketon Avenue Muskegon, Michigan 49442 (3)	81,362	5.5%

<sup>(1)</sup> This information is based on a Schedule 13G filed by Bruce J. Essex, Jr. and Muskegon Castings Corp. on February 8, 2010, and subsequently confirmed with Mr. Essex, Jr. The Schedule 13G discloses that Mr. Essex, Jr. has sole voting and dispositive power for these 125,250 shares. It also discloses that Muskegon Castings Corp. owns 75,000 of these shares, which is 5.1% of our outstanding shares, and that Mr. Essex, Jr. is the Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer, and a substantial majority owner of Muskegon Castings Corp. Mr. Essex, Jr.'s father, Bruce J. Essex, is a member of our Board of Directors.

- (2) This information is based on a Schedule 13G filed by the Gordon H. Girod Trust and its trustees, Norma J. Girod, Stephen J. Girod and Gerald J. Girod, and subsequently confirmed by one of the trustees. The Schedule 13G discloses that the trust has sole voting and dispositive power for these 100,000 shares, and that each of the trustees has shared voting and dispositive power for these 100,000 shares. The Schedule 13G discloses the address set forth in the table for the trust and Mr. Gerald Girod, for Mrs. Norma Girod, 2207 Lanco Drive N.W., Grand Rapids, Michigan 49504, and for Mr. Stephen Girod, 673 Lakeside Drive, Macatawa, Michigan 49434.
- (3) This information is based on a Schedule 13G filed by Bruce J. Essex, who is a member of our Board of Directors, on February 16, 2010, and subsequently confirmed with Mr. Essex. The Schedule 13G discloses that Mr. Essex has sole voting and dispositive power for 23,595 of these shares, and shared voting and dispositive power for 57,767 of these shares.

The following table presents information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock, as of February 14, 2011, by each of our directors, each nominee for election as a director, our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, and all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount Beneficially Owned (1)	Percent of Class Beneficially Owned (6)
Gary F. Bogner•	64,130	4.4%
Heather D. Brolick•	21,691(2)	1.5%
Robert L. Chandonnet•	67,630	4.6%
Bruce J. Essex•	81,362(3)	5.5%
Julie K. Greene•	0	*
Steven P. Moreland•	0	*
John M. Clark	0	*
Tracey A. Welsh	12,226(4)	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (8 persons)	247,039(5)	16.5%

<sup>•</sup> Member of our Board of Directors.

(1) The number of shares beneficially owned includes any shares over which the person has sole or shared voting power or investment power and also any shares that the person can acquire within 60 days of February 14, 2011 through the exercise of any stock options or other right. Unless otherwise indicated, each person has sole investment and voting power (or shares such power with his or her spouse) over the shares set forth in the table. For each person, the number of shares included in the table because the person has options to acquire the shares is set forth below.

Name	Shares	Name	<b>Shares</b>	Name	Shares
Mr. Bogner	4,000	Mr. Clark	0	Mr. Moreland	0
Ms. Brolick	10,000	Mr. Essex	4,000	Ms. Welsh	7,500
Mr. Chandonnet	4,000	Ms. Greene	0		

- (2) Includes 9,191 shares that Ms. Brolick owns under the Bank's 401(k) plan.
- (3) Includes 6,250 shares owned by Port City Die Cast, a corporation solely owned by Mr. Essex, and 767 shares owned by Mr. Essex's spouse.
- (4) Includes 4,616 shares that Ms. Welsh owns under the Bank's 401(k) plan.
- (5) Includes 29,500 shares that such persons have the right to acquire within 60 days of February 14, 2011 pursuant to our 1998 Employee Stock Option Plan or Director Stock Option Plans, and 13,807 shares that such persons own under the Bank's 401(k) plan.
- (6) The percentages shown are based on the 1,468,800 shares of our common stock outstanding as of February 14, 2011, plus the number of shares that the named person or group has the right to acquire within 60 days of February 14, 2011. For purposes of computing the percentage of outstanding shares of common stock held by each person or group, any shares that the person or group has the right to acquire within 60 days after February 14, 2011 are deemed to be outstanding with respect to such person or group but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of ownership of any other person or group.

<sup>\*</sup> Less than one percent.

#### **Election of Directors**

#### Classes of Directors and Nominees

Our articles of incorporation and bylaws provide that our Board of Directors will consist of between six and fifteen directors, with the exact number of directors determined from time to time by our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors has presently fixed the number of directors at six. Our articles of incorporation and bylaws also provide that the directors will be divided into three classes, class I, class II and class III; with each class serving a staggered three year term, and with the number of directors in each class being as nearly equal as possible.

There are now two directors in each of class I, class II, and class III. The class I, class II and class III directors are currently serving until the annual meeting of shareholders that will be held in 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively, and until their successors are elected and qualified. At each annual meeting of shareholders, directors of one of the three classes are elected for a term of three years to succeed the directors whose terms are expiring.

Our Board of Directors has nominated Gary F. Bogner and Robert L. Chandonnet as class I directors for three year terms expiring at the 2014 annual meeting. Each of the nominees is presently a class I director whose term expires at the May 12, 2011 annual meeting. The other members of our Board, who are class II and class III directors, will continue in office in accordance with their previous elections until the expiration of their terms at the 2012 or 2013 annual meetings.

#### Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR each of the two nominees named above.

Unless otherwise instructed, the persons named as proxies intend to vote all proxies received for the election of the two class I nominees. All of the nominees have indicated their willingness to continue to serve. If any nominee should become unwilling or unavailable to serve, our Board of Directors may select a substitute nominee, and in that event the proxies intend to vote all proxies for the person selected. If a substitute nominee is not selected, the proxies intend to vote for the election of the remaining nominees. Our Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any of the nominees will become unavailable.

#### Information About Directors, Nominees and Executive Officers

Information about our directors, nominees as a director, and executive officers is set forth below. Each nominee and continuing member of our Board of Directors is also a director of the Bank. There are no family relationships among any of our directors, nominees for director and executive officers.

Name, Age, and Position with Community Shores and the Bank	Has Served As Director Since	Year When Term As a Director Expires
Class I Directors		
(And Nominees for Terms Expiring in	2014)	
Class I Directors		
Gary F. Bogner, 68, Director,		
Non-officer Chairman of the Boards of		
Community Shores and the Bank	1998	2011
Robert L. Chandonnet, 66, Director, Non-officer Vice Chairman		
of the Boards of Community Shores and the Bank	1998	2011
Class II Directors		
Steven P. Moreland, 54, Director	2006	2012
Julie K. Greene, 52, Director	2011	2012
Class III Directors		
Heather D. Brolick, 51, Director,		
President and Chief Executive Officer		
of Community Shores and the Bank	2006	2013
Bruce J. Essex, 61, Director	1998	2013
Executive Officers (Who Are Not Also Di	rectors)	

Tracey A. Welsh, 45, Senior Vice President,

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

of Community Shores and the Bank

John M. Clark, 49, Senior Vice President and Secretary of

Community Shores, Senior Vice President, Commercial

Loan Department Head and Secretary of the Bank

Our executive officers are generally elected each year at the annual meeting of our Board of Directors that follows the annual meeting of our shareholders. Their terms of office are at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

The factual information below for each nominee for election as a director, each director whose term of office will continue after the annual meeting, and for each executive officer, has been provided by that person. The particular experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led our Board of Directors to conclude that each should serve on our Board, in light of our business and structure, was determined by our Board or its Governance Committee.

Gary F. Bogner (Director and Non-Officer Chairman of the Boards of Community Shores and the Bank) is a lifelong resident of Muskegon County. Mr. Bogner has been engaged in the business of real estate development since 1973, and during the past 25 years has also engaged in a number of commercial enterprises and served them in various director and officer positions. During 2001 and 2002, Mr. Bogner also served as President and a director of Safari Club International and Safari Club International Foundation, which are hunting and wildlife organizations. In addition, during the period from 1967 to 1983, Mr. Bogner was an airline captain with Northwest Airlines, and from 1978 to 1982 was an executive officer of the Airlines Pilot Association. We determined that Mr. Bogner should be a member of our Board based on a number of factors. Mr. Bogner has many years of experience in real estate development, and has been involved in setting up and operating many other businesses. He has demonstrated valuable leadership skills as Chairman of our Board.

Heather D. Brolick (President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Community Shores and the Bank) has over 30 years of commercial banking experience. Ms. Brolick has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Community Shores and the Bank since 2006. From 1998 until 2006, Ms. Brolick served as Senior Vice President of Community Shores, and served as Secretary of Community Shores from 2000 through April of 2007. From 2003 until 2006, Ms. Brolick served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank, and from 1999 until 2003, served as Senior Vice President Retail Lending and Operations of the Bank. Ms. Brolick served as Secretary of the Bank from 2000 through April of 2007. Ms. Brolick joined the Board of Directors of the Bank in 2003 and the Board of Directors of Community Shores in 2006. Ms. Brolick is the past Board President and current Resource Committee Member of Harbor Hospice and a Board member, Chairman Elect and Ambassador Emeritus of The Chamber of Commerce Grand Haven, Spring Lake and Ferrysburg. Ms. Brolick's broad range of experience in substantially all aspects of community banking, together with her well developed leadership skills and service as our President and Chief Executive Officer, led us to conclude that she should serve on our Board.

Robert L. Chandonnet (Director and Non-officer Vice Chairman of the Boards of Community Shores and the Bank) is the owner and President of The Nugent Sand Company, Inc. ("Nugent Sand"), which provides foundry sand to many foundries in the Great Lakes Region. Mr. Chandonnet has worked in the foundry industry since 1966. He began working at Nugent Sand as Sales Manager in 1980, and progressed to President of Nugent Sand in 1989. Mr. Chandonnet purchased Nugent Sand from the prior owners in 1989. He is a member of the National Industrial Sand Association, American Foundry Society, and Muskegon Country Club. Mr. Chandonnet's many years of experience leading a foundry sand business, and his involvement in many charitable and non-profit organizations in the local community led us to conclude that he should be a member of our Board.

Bruce J. Essex (Director) is Chairman of Port City Die Cast. From 1982 until 2001, Mr. Essex owned and operated the Port City Group, a group of companies including Port City Die Cast, Port City Metal Products, Muskegon Castings Corp., and Mirror Image Tool. Mr. Essex has over 40 years experience in the die casting industry. He is a principal in Port City Custom Plastics and Port City Castings Corporation, and serves as a Director on the Boards of Reid Tool, and Supreme Machines. He is also a principal in Buck Snort Products, a rustic hardware and furnishings retail store, and Snow Protect, a manufacturer of snow retention products. We determined that Mr. Essex should be a member of our Board based on his many years of experience leading and operating successful die casting businesses, and his relationships in the business community.

Julie K. Greene (Director) is Chief Executive Officer of Muskegon SC, LLC, doing business as Muskegon Surgery Center, and has served in that position since 2009. Muskegon Surgery Center is a free standing surgical facility jointly owned by several Muskegon area physicians and Mercy Health Partners. From 2004 until 2009, she served as the Executive Director of Grand Valley Health Management, Inc. and Grand Valley Surgical center, LLC. Ms. Greene serves as the President of St. Thomas Educational Foundation, is past President and a member of Michigan Ambulatory Surgery Association, a member of Ambulatory Surgery Center Association, member of the National Medical Group Management Association and Michigan Medical Group Management Association. Ms. Greene's considerable experience in the health care field and an extensive familiarity with regulations, audit requirements and compliance, as well as her professional management and leadership skills were major factors in our determination that she should be a member of our Board.

Steven P. Moreland (Director) is President and Chief Executive Officer of Automatic Spring Products Corporation ("ASPC") in Grand Haven, and has served in that position since 1996. From 1987 to 1996, he served as Vice President of Engineering for ASPC. ASPC is a technology focused high volume manufacturer of custom designed compression, extension, and torsion springs, wire forms, flat springs, stampings, spring and shim washers, and assemblies. Mr. Moreland has served on the Board of Directors of ASPC since 1985, and as President of its Board since 1997. He currently serves as Site Committee Chairman of the Spring Manufacturer's Institute, and as both a Board member and Executive Committee member for First Priority of the Lakeshore, Lakeside Spring Company, and Spring Manufacturer's Institute. He also serves on the Grand

Haven Township IFT Sub-Committee, Northwest Ottawa County Manufacturer's Council Steering Committee, and Johnson Controls Inc. Supplier Council. Mr. Moreland is active in the Grand Haven community and has been involved in AYSO Kids Soccer Teams, TCKL Kids Baseball Teams, and YBL Kids Basketball Teams. We determined that Mr. Moreland should be a member of our Board based on a number of factors. Mr. Moreland has many years of experience leading a custom spring manufacturing business. His involvement in a number of organizations in the Grand Haven community is also valuable to us.

Tracey A. Welsh (Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Community Shores and the Bank) is a certified public accountant and has 21 years of bank accounting experience. Ms. Welsh joined Community Shores in 1998, before its initial public offering. She served as Controller of the Bank from early 1999, when the Bank commenced operations, until January of 2002. From 2002 through November of 2003, Ms. Welsh served as Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Community Shores and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Bank; and since November of 2003 has served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Community Shores and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Bank. Ms. Welsh has also served as Treasurer of the Bank since 2007. She is a member of the AICPA and serves on the Michigan Bankers Association's Funds Management Committee. Ms. Welsh is the Treasurer and a Board member of the Humane Society of West Michigan, the Treasurer and a Board member of the Timberland Charter Academy in Muskegon, and a member of the Advisory Committee of Mercy Health Partners Life Counseling of Muskegon.

John M. Clark (Senior Vice President and Secretary of Community Shores and Senior Vice President, Commercial Loan Department Head, and Secretary of the Bank) has over 11 years of commercial banking experience. Mr. Clark joined the Bank in 2006 as a Vice President in the Commercial Loan Department. He served as a commercial lender for the Bank until 2008 when he assumed the additional role of Commercial Loan Department Head for the Bank. In 2009, Mr. Clark was promoted to his present positions of Senior Vice President, Commercial Loan Department Head and Secretary of the Bank, and Senior Vice President and Secretary of Community Shores. From 1991 to 2006, prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Clark served as President of Barrett-Clark, Incorporated, a remanufacturer of automotive parts and a producer of wood products. Mr. Clark is a Board member of the Tri-Cities Youth Soccer Organization and Harbor Hospice, and a member of the Fund Development Committee for the Muskegon County Catholic Education Foundation.

#### **Corporate Governance**

#### **Director Independence**

A majority of our Board of Directors is independent, as that term is defined in the rules of The Nasdaq Stock Market ("Nasdaq"). In March of 2011, our Board of Directors reviewed the independence of our directors and determined that each of our directors, including those nominated for election at the annual meeting, are independent as defined by applicable Nasdaq rules, with the exception of Ms. Brolick. In making this determination, our Board of Directors has concluded that none of the independent directors has a relationship that in the opinion of our Board, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. Ms. Brolick is not considered independent because she is one of our executive officers.

#### **Board Meetings**

During 2010, our Board of Directors held a total of 14 meetings. During 2010, each director attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of our Board and its committees on which he or she then served.

#### **Board Committees**

Our Board of Directors has, and appoints members to, three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Governance Committee. The membership of these committees, as of March 1, 2011, was as follows:

Audit Committee	<b>Compensation Committee</b>	Governance Committee
Bruce J. Essex	Robert L. Chandonnet	Gary F. Bogner
Julie K. Greene	Bruce J. Essex*	Robert L. Chandonnet*
Steven P. Moreland*	Julie K. Greene	Bruce J. Essex
	Steven P. Moreland	

<sup>\*</sup>Committee chairman

Each of the members of these committees is an independent director as defined by Nasdaq rules. Each of these committees has a charter that has been approved by our Board of Directors and is available in the investor relations section of our website, www.communityshores.com.

#### **Board of Directors Meetings and Committees**

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has three members and met five times in 2010. The Audit Committee assists our Board of Directors in overseeing our financial reporting process, internal controls and audit functions, and is directly responsible for the appointment, evaluation, retention and compensation of our independent registered public accounting firm. More information about the Audit Committee is included below under the heading "Audit Committee Report."

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has four members and met once in 2010. The Compensation Committee assists our Board of Directors in carrying out its responsibilities relating to our compensation and benefit programs and policies. Subject to the authority of our Board of Directors, the Compensation Committee determines our overall compensation philosophy, and seeks to ensure that our compensation and benefit programs are competitive and closely related to our performance and that of our employees. The Compensation Committee's responsibilities include:

- reviewing and recommending to our Board of Directors corporate and personal goals for the most senior executive officers of Community Shores and the Bank, evaluating their performance based on those goals, and recommending or determining their compensation based on the evaluation;
- reviewing and approving individual compensation, including salaries, bonuses, stock options and benefits for our other executive officers;
- administering and making recommendations to our Board of Directors regarding cash and stock-based compensation and incentive plans;
- reviewing and making recommendations to our Board of Directors regarding compensation of our directors; and
- reviewing and making recommendations to our Board of Directors regarding our personnel policies and programs.

The Compensation Committee charter grants the Compensation Committee the authority, in its discretion, to delegate its powers and authority to subcommittees of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee may confer with our President and Chief Executive Officer regarding her compensation, and receives recommendations from her regarding the compensation for our other executive officers. The Compensation Committee considers the information and recommendations that it receives, and independently determines, or recommends to the Board of Directors for determination, the compensation of our executive officers.

Governance Committee. The Governance Committee has three members and met five times in 2010. The Governance Committee assists our Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities that relate to our corporate governance principles and procedures. The Governance Committee's responsibilities include:

- recommending candidates for election to our Board of Directors;
- making recommendations for the composition of our Board of Directors and its committees;
- monitoring the process to assess Board effectiveness; and
- developing, implementing and updating our corporate governance guidelines.

The Governance Committee will consider as potential nominees persons that our shareholders recommend. Recommendations should be submitted to the Governance Committee in care of the Secretary of Community Shores Bank Corporation, 1030 W. Norton Avenue, Muskegon, Michigan 49441. Recommendations of nominees should be received by our Secretary at least 120 days before the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of our shareholders. Each recommendation should include:

- the name and address of the shareholder making the recommendation;
- the name, age, business address and, if known, residence address of each nominee being recommended;
- the principal occupation or employment of each nominee being recommended;
- the number of shares of our stock that are beneficially owned by each nominee being recommended, and by the recommending shareholder;
- any other information about each nominee being recommended that must be disclosed by nominees in a proxy solicitation pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;
- that the recommendation is that our Board of Directors or Governance Committee consider, nominate, and present the nominees to the shareholders as nominees of the Board of Directors, and whether the shareholder intends to nominate the nominees directly at a meeting of the shareholders;
- whether the shareholder and the nominees being recommended do or do not intend to solicit proxies from other shareholders to vote at a meeting of shareholders, and a description of the intended solicitation; and
- the executed consent of each nominee being recommended to serve as a director if elected.

The Governance Committee intends to consider every nominee recommended by a shareholder in accordance with the notice requirement and procedures described above. In addition, the Governance Committee may, in its discretion, consider informal suggestions by our shareholders of possible nominees.

Shareholders who wish to effectively nominate a person for election to our Board of Directors themselves, as contrasted with recommending a potential nominee to the Governance Committee for it to consider and nominate, must comply with the advance notice and other requirements presently set forth in article IV of our articles of incorporation.

Our Board of Directors has used an informal process to identify potential candidates for nomination as directors. Candidates for nomination have been recommended by an executive officer or director, and considered by the Governance Committee. Generally, candidates have been members of the West Michigan community who have been known to one or more of our Board members. The Governance Committee has not adopted specific minimum qualifications that it believes must be met by a person it recommends for nomination as a director. In evaluating candidates for nomination, the Governance Committee will consider the factors it believes to be appropriate. These factors would generally include the candidate's independence, personal and professional integrity, business judgment, relevant experience and skills, and potential to be an effective director in conjunction with the rest of our Board of Directors in collectively serving the long-term interests of our shareholders. We do not have a specific policy relating to the consideration of diversity in

identifying director candidates. However, the Governance Committee does consider the diversity of our Board when identifying director candidates. Among the types of diversity that it considers are diversity in viewpoint, professional experience, education, race, gender and national origin. The amount of consideration given to diversity varies with the Governance Committee's determination of the extent to which we would benefit from expanding the Board's diversity in a particular area.

Although the Governance Committee has the authority to retain a search firm to assist it in identifying director candidates, there has to date been no need to employ a search firm. The Governance Committee does not evaluate potential nominees for director differently based on whether they are recommended to the Governance Committee by a shareholder.

#### Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

Currently, and for the past several years, our Board of Directors has separated the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. Our Chairman of the Board is Mr. Bogner, who is an independent director. Our Chief Executive Officer is Ms. Brolick. In addition, our Board periodically meets in executive session, without the presence of management.

Our Board of Directors oversees our risk management, and is actively involved in enterprise-wide risk management. The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors evaluates risk on an annual basis using a rolling three-year Internal Audit Risk Matrix. Risk levels in a particular area are rated as low, moderate or high based upon the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency's Community Bank Risk Assessment System. Input on the risk factors, recent trends, changing economic factors and regulatory issues are considered and discussed with our internal audit firm, Plante & Moran, PLLC. The scope of each area's audit work is then determined.

The members of the Audit Committee also discuss various financial reporting and accounting risk factors with our independent registered public accounting firm, Crowe Horwath LLP ("Crowe Horwath").

The Board of Directors regularly receives reports from the Chairman of the Audit Committee on risk factors, audit findings and related corrective measures.

#### **Communications with Directors**

We have a process for our shareholders to send communications to our Board of Directors. Communications should be sent to the Secretary of Community Shores. Shareholder communications may be directed to our Board of Directors or to specific individual directors. Our Secretary has discretion to screen and not forward to directors communications that he determines, in his discretion, are unrelated to our business or governance, commercial solicitations, offensive, obscene or otherwise inappropriate. Our Secretary collects and organizes all shareholder communications that are not forwarded to the directors, and they are available to any director upon request.

#### **Attendance at Annual Meetings**

Our Board of Directors has a policy that states that all directors are expected to attend each annual meeting of our shareholders unless compelling personal circumstances prevent attendance. Six of our eight then serving directors attended last year's annual meeting.

#### **Code of Ethics**

We have adopted a written code of ethics that applies to all our directors, officers and employees, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial and accounting officer. We have filed a copy of our code of ethics as an exhibit to our annual report to the SEC on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### **Audit Committee Report**

Each member of the Audit Committee is independent, as independence for audit committee members is defined in the Nasdaq listing standards and the rules of the SEC. The Audit Committee's primary purpose is to assist the Board of Directors in overseeing:

- the accounting and financial reporting process;
- audits of financial statements;
- internal accounting and disclosure controls; and
- the internal audit functions.

In carrying out its responsibilities, the Audit Committee supervises the relationship between Community Shores and its independent registered public accounting firm, including having direct responsibility for the independent registered public accounting firm's appointment, compensation and retention, and reviewing the scope of its audit services, and approving audit and permissible non-audit services. The Audit Committee reviews and discusses the annual and quarterly financial statements, as well as the internal audit plan.

Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of Community Shores' financial statements and for the appropriateness of the accounting principles and reporting policies that are used. Management is also responsible for Community Shores' system of internal controls, and reporting to the Audit Committee on any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses that are found. Our independent registered public accounting firm for 2010, Crowe Horwath, is responsible for auditing the financial statements and for reviewing the unaudited quarterly financial statements.

The Audit Committee reviewed with Crowe Horwath the overall scope and plan of the audit. In addition, the Audit Committee met with Crowe Horwath, with and without management present, to discuss the results of Crowe Horwath's audit, the overall quality of Community Shores' financial reporting and such other matters as are required to be discussed with Audit Committees under standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). The Audit Committee has also received from, and discussed with Crowe Horwath the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communications with Audit Committees) as amended.

The Audit Committee has discussed with Crowe Horwath that firm's independence from management and Community Shores, and has received from Crowe Horwath the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Crowe Horwath's communications with the Audit Committee regarding independence. The Audit Committee has also considered the compatibility of audit related, tax, and other services with Crowe Horwath's independence.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements in the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 with both management and our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee's review included a discussion of the quality and integrity of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant estimates and judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee evaluated and appointed Crowe Horwath as Community Shores' independent registered public accounting firm for 2011.

Audit Committee

Bruce J. Essex Julie K. Greene Steven P. Moreland

#### **Executive Compensation**

#### **Summary Compensation Table**

The following table provides information regarding the compensation earned by the named executive officers for the two years ended December 31, 2010.

Name and Principal Position	<u>Year</u>	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$) (1)	Total (\$)
Heather D. Brolick	2010	195,300	0	0	0	0	0	944	196,244
President and Chief Executive Officer of Community Shores and the Bank	2009	195,300	0	0	0	0	0	4,354	199,654
Tracey A. Welsh	2010	129,300	0	0	0	0	0	252	129,552
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Community Shores and the Bank	2009	129,300	0	0	0	0	0	3,245	132,545
John M. Clark	2010	106,554	0	0	0	0	0	304	106,858
Senior Vice President and Secretary of Community Shores, Senior Vice President, Commercial Loan Department Head and Secretary of the Bank	2009	89,058	0	0	0	0	0	2,437	91,495

<sup>(1)</sup> Consists of the matching contribution made by the Bank to the named executive officer's 401(k) plan account in 2009, group term life insurance premiums paid by the Bank on behalf of the named executive officers, and nominal product sales referral incentive.

#### Base Salary and Bonus

Consistent with our objective of attracting and retaining highly qualified and experienced employees, we establish base salary ranges for our executive officers that are intended to be slightly above the market for comparable positions. Base salary data for comparable industry positions are reviewed annually from survey data obtained from the Michigan Bankers Association and Crowe Horwath's Financial Institutions Compensation Surveys. The SNL Executive Compensation Review is used biannually for comparative evaluation to like-sized companies located in surrounding Midwestern states and Michigan.

#### **Employment Agreements**

We do not have employment agreements or change in control agreements with any of our executive officers or other employees.

#### 401(k) Plan

Our executive officers and most of our other employees are eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan. For 2009, through May 31, we provided for each eligible participant a matching contribution to the plan. The matching contribution was equal to 100% of the first 3%, and 50% of the next 3%, of the amount of compensation that the participant contributed to the plan. All matching contributions are 100% vested when contributed to the plan. We may also make additional discretionary matching contributions or a discretionary profit-sharing contribution to the plan. We did not make an additional matching or profit-sharing contribution to the plan for 2009 or 2010. To help reduce our compensation expenses, we suspended our matching

contribution effective June 1, 2009. All of our executive officers participated in the 401(k) plan during 2009 and 2010 and received matching contributions through May 31, 2009.

#### Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2010 regarding equity awards, including unexercised stock options, for each of the named executive officers.

		Opti	on Awards				Stock A	wards	
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (1)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Heather D. Brolick	10,000	0	0	10.00	7/30/12	0	0	0	0
Tracey A. Welsh	7,500	0	0	10.00	9/24/12	0	0	0	0
John M. Clark	0	0	0			0	0	0	0

<sup>(1)</sup> The option for 10,000 shares granted to Ms. Brolick vested in four installments over a three year period at the rate of 2,500 shares per year, commencing on the July 31, 2002 grant date. The option for 7,500 shares granted to Ms. Welsh vested in four installments over a three year period at the rate of 1,875 shares per year, commencing on the July 31, 2002 grant date.

#### **Director Compensation for 2010**

The following table provides information about the compensation of our directors for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Name (1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Gary F. Bogner	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robert L. Chandonnet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bruce J. Essex	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Steven P. Moreland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bruce C. Rice	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jonathan L. Smith	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roger W. Spoelman	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0

- (1) Our President and Chief Executive Officer, Ms. Brolick, who is also a director, has been omitted from this table because she received no special compensation for serving on our Board of Directors. Her compensation is included in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) No option awards were made to our directors during 2010. As of December 31, 2010, our current non-employee directors held the following option awards to acquire our common stock: Messrs. Bogner, Chandonnet, and Essex, two option awards each, covering for each an aggregate of 4,000 shares. Mr. Moreland did not hold any option awards as of December 31, 2010. Our former directors, Messrs. Rice and Spoelman, held one option award each, covering for each 2,000 shares. Our former director, Mr. Smith, did not hold any option awards as of December 31, 2010.

#### **Compensation Arrangements for Non-Employee Directors**

Each of our current directors is also a director of the Bank, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Community Shores. Our directors received no compensation for 2010 for serving as a director of Community Shores or the Bank, and we do not expect that they will receive any compensation for 2011. The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors reviews director compensation at least annually, and recommends to our Board of Directors for approval any changes that the Compensation Committee deems appropriate.

#### Transactions with Related Persons

The Bank has had, and expects in the future to have, loan transactions in the ordinary course of business with our directors, executive officers, or their immediate family, or companies they have a material interest in, on substantially the same terms as those prevailing for comparable transactions with others. All such transactions (i) were made in the ordinary course of business, (ii) were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans with persons not related to the Bank, and (iii) did not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Based on a review of filings, we believe that all reports required to be filed under Section 16(a) for 2010 were timely filed.

#### Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors has appointed Crowe Horwath as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011. Crowe Horwath has served as our independent auditor since we were formed in 1998. Services provided to us by Crowe Horwath in 2010 are described under the heading "Principal Accountant Fees and Services," below.

Our Board of Directors is asking our shareholders to ratify the selection of Crowe Horwath as our independent registered public accounting firm. Although ratification is not required by our bylaws or otherwise, our Board is submitting the selection of Crowe Horwath to our shareholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice.

Representatives of Crowe Horwath plan to attend the annual meeting of shareholders, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so, and will respond to appropriate questions by shareholders.

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR ratification of the appointment of Crowe Horwath as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2011.

In the event shareholders do not ratify the appointment, the appointment will be reconsidered by the Audit Committee and our Board of Directors. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may select a different registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in our best interest and the best interest of our shareholders.

#### **Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

The following table shows the fees for professional services of Crowe Horwath for audit and other services they provided to us for 2010 and 2009.

	2010	2009
Audit Fees (1)	\$73,000	\$79,500
Audit-Related Fees (2)	1,500	6,000
Tax Fees (3)	2,900	18,100
All other fees (4)	785	41,595

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by Crowe Horwath for 2010 and 2009 for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all audit services and non-audit services that are to be performed for us by our independent auditors. This duty has not been delegated to any one or more designated members of the Audit Committee. All of the services described in the table above were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes additional fees billed for consultation related to loan losses for 2010 and adjustments made to the financial statements for 2009.

<sup>(3)</sup> Principally tax compliance services for 2010. Principally tax compliance services (including U.S. federal and state tax returns), review of quarterly tax computations and consultations regarding various tax strategies for 2009.

<sup>(4)</sup> Principally deferred tax asset valuation review services for 2010. Principally regulatory compliance review services, deferred tax asset valuation review services and SOX 404 planning for 2009.

#### **Shareholder Proposals for 2012 Annual Meeting**

A proposal submitted by a shareholder for the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders must be sent to the Secretary, Community Shores Bank Corporation, 1030 W. Norton Avenue, Muskegon, Michigan 49441, and received by December 10, 2011 in order to be eligible to be included in our proxy statement for that meeting.

A shareholder who intends to present a proposal for the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders, other than pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, must provide us with notice of such intention by February 23, 2012, or the persons named in the proxy to vote the proxies will have discretionary voting authority at the 2012 annual meeting with respect to any such proposal without discussion of the matter in our proxy statement. A shareholder intending to present a proposal for the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders must also comply with the advance notice and other requirements set forth in our bylaws.

#### **Other Matters**

Our Board of Directors does not know of any other matters to be brought before the annual meeting. If other matters are presented upon which a vote may properly be taken, it is the intention of the persons named in the proxy to vote the proxies in accordance with their best judgment.

# COMMUNITY SHORES BANK CORPORATION

**2010 ANNUAL REPORT** 

### **Selected Financial Information**

At or For the Year-Ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
<b>Results of Operations:</b>			
Net interest income	\$ 6,951	\$ 6,786	\$ 6,890
Provision for loan losses	6,025	2,608	1,944
Non-interest income	1,569	1,972	2,122
Non-interest expense	11,389	10,993	8,727
Loss before income tax	(8,894)	(4,843)	(1,659)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(11)	119	(632)
Net loss	(8,883)	(4,962)	(1,027)
Financial Condition:			
Total assets	237,945	231,430	255,612
Total loans	165,244	183,248	205,153
Allowance for loan losses	4,792	3,782	4,351
Securities	36,504	27,491	25,380
Deposits	219,263	198,577	219,566
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	7,461	7,000	5,814
Notes payable and other borrowings	9,500	15,500	14,700
Shareholders' equity	846	9,740	14,946
Performance Ratios:			
Return on average assets	(3.57%)	(1.97%)	(0.38%)
Return on average shareholders' equity	(109.72)	(36.34)	(6.55)
Net interest margin (tax equivalent)	3.06	3.01	2.76
Efficiency ratio	133.67	125.53	96.84
Per Share Data:			
Earnings per share — basic	\$ (6.05)	\$ (3.38)	\$ (0.70)
Earnings per share — diluted	(6.05)	(3.38)	(0.70)
Book value per share	0.58	6.63	10.18
Capital Ratios of Bank:			
Tier 1 risk-based capital	5.79%	9.15%	9.70%
Total risk-based capital	7.06	10.41	10.96

#### Dear Fellow Community Shores Shareholders,

The journey toward recovery has been long and arduous. Last fiscal year proved to be the Bank's hardest of the recession. We recorded significant losses in the fourth quarter and for the year as a whole. Counter-intuitively, while initial signs of economic vitality and improving conditions were emerging, the commercial real estate market in Western Michigan proved to take its most precipitous decline since values began dropping in 2008. This significantly impacted the performance of the Bank and resulted in the erosion of capital and, unfortunately, the value of your investment.

#### Retrospective

The hardest hit sectors in our market area were residential land developments and non-owner occupied properties, where vacancy rates have risen while rental rates have declined. Oddly enough, a contributing factor to a "lag" in the recognition of potential losses is the quality, integrity and commitment of our clients. Given the generally conservative underwriting of a community bank, combined with our philosophy of really knowing and judging the character of our clients, many of our borrowers were stronger and maintained the ability to sustain key financial performance through the first two years of the downturn. Unfortunately, when the worst happened, and we contemplated troubled debt restructure agreements with these clients, further erosion of their underlying collateral values required greater provision for impairment.

Consistent with the first signs of recovery, market value indicators are mixed and vary widely by sector and location. We are hopeful that, as the recovery continues to take hold, we will see more consistent stabilization in values across all property types; thereby negating the possibility that market adjustments, of the magnitude experienced in 2009 and 2010, will be necessary.

#### **Positive Progress**

Looking beyond loan related losses, management and your board of directors have worked diligently to reduce overall risk and lay a foundation upon which Community Shores Bank's recovery can begin. We set a target of reducing bank owned real estate holdings by 50% in 2010. I'm pleased to report that we achieved this goal, selling thirty properties and reducing outstanding balances \$3MM since year-end 2009. We also successfully reduced classified or "problem loans" \$3.8MM over the prior year-end. Importantly, we have seen a cessation in credit quality downgrades and an increase in upgrades. We anticipate that this will continue throughout 2011 as we are able to assess the improving financial performance of our borrowers.

We also made substantive progress toward the future improvement of our net interest margin, realizing a 67 basis point reduction in the Company's cost of funds. Since year-end 2009, the weighted average rate on the time deposit portfolio declined 126 basis points. This improvement has been made despite maintaining significant on balance sheet liquidity, a strategic decision to safeguard our depositors during this difficult period. As interest reversals on nonaccrual loans begin to abate, we anticipate visible margin improvement in the second half of 2011, with almost 35 percent of interest bearing deposits scheduled to re-price, primarily during the third and fourth quarters.

Throughout this economic cycle, we have continually and proactively reduced overhead costs while striving to sustain critical components of our earnings infrastructure. Of note to you, our shareholders, was the decision to delist from the NASDAQ exchange. After careful consideration, the Board of Directors determined that the costs of the exchange outweighed the current benefits. Therefore, as of December 16, 2010, Community Shores Bank Corporation returned to the OTCBB. Critical to this decision was the Bulletin Board's ability to continue to provide liquidity and ease of trading for your CSHB shares. While not readily apparent in a review of the Bank's financial performance, efforts toward improved efficiency have served to offset increased costs associated with the administration and liquidation of problem loans, escalating insurance expense and regulatory burden.

#### On the Horizon

We are beginning to see positive trends in loan delinquencies and the early signs of performance stabilization within both our commercial and consumer loan portfolios. While these indicators bode well, the economic recovery is still in its infancy and it is too premature to anticipate that all of our market areas have hit "bottom" in terms of real estate market valuations. That said, the economic outlook for Western Michigan is comparatively optimistic and we anticipate significant performance improvement in 2011, including a reversal in capital erosion by year-end. Our recovery will be hard earned and measured incrementally over time.

As we navigate through this transitional year, we believe it important to bring new perspective and insight to your Board of Directors. In February of this year, we were pleased to announce the appointment of Julie K. Greene, C.E.O. of Muskegon Surgery Center, to the Boards of the Company and Bank. Ms. Greene is replacing Roger Spoelman as a class II director and has been appointed to serve on the Audit and Compensation Committees of the Board.

Community banking is a genre of its own, distinctly different from that of larger regional, national and international banks. Community banks, as the name implies, are generally smaller and focused intently on businesses, people and the well being of a rather narrowly defined geographic area. Community banks tend to be "home-grown" and consequently, are a direct reflection of the micro-economic health of the community itself. There are, obviously, benefits and detractors to this model. We firmly believe that the past few years have highlighted the reasons that a community centric financial services provider is a benefit: we support small business, we work tirelessly with clients, we listen and we respond quickly. We share in our communities' pain and successes.

Our customers have demonstrated that the community banking business model has intrinsic value and is positioned, given sufficient capital and elements of economic recovery, to provide a return on your investment. This past year, in spite of very difficult operating conditions, Community Shores Bank surpassed another superregional competitor to attain the third largest deposit market share in Muskegon County. We believe this validates the potential of the community banking franchise and are committed to ensuring that we continue to maintain our business focus and values as we work toward improved financial performance in the coming year.

Sincerely.

Heather D. Brolick President and CEO

Leacher D. Brouch

# Community Shores Bank Corporation Muskegon, Michigan

#### 2010 Annual Report

#### Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis	6
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	29
Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	30
Consolidated Statements of Income	31
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	32
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	33
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	34
Shareholder Information	69
Directors and Officers	71

#### INTRODUCTION

Community Shores Bank Corporation ("the Company") is a Michigan corporation and is the holding company for Community Shores Bank ("the Bank"). The Bank owns all of the outstanding capital stock of Community Shores Mortgage Company ("the Mortgage Company"). The Mortgage Company has one wholly-owned subsidiary, Berryfield Development, LLC ("Berryfield"). On September 27, 2002, the Company created Community Shores Financial Services ("CS Financial Services"). In December 2004, a business trust subsidiary was formed called Community Shores Capital Trust I ("the Trust").

The Bank commenced operations on January 18, 1999. The Bank is a Michigan chartered bank with depository accounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Bank currently has four locations and provides a full range of commercial and consumer banking services in Muskegon County and Northern Ottawa County, Michigan.

The Mortgage Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, was formed on March 1, 2002 by transferring a majority of the Bank's commercial and residential real estate loans at that date in exchange for 100% of the equity capital of the Mortgage Company. On the day of formation, the Mortgage Company commenced operations and became legally able to originate residential mortgage loans. The Bank services all of the portfolio loans held by the Mortgage Company pursuant to a servicing agreement. Management chose to form the Mortgage Company to provide better customer service and to increase the profitability of the mortgage function as well as the consolidated Company.

Berryfield, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Mortgage Company, is a limited liability company that was created in October 2010. The entity's sole purpose is to oversee the development and sale of vacant lots that have been foreclosed on by the Mortgage Company.

The Company filed an election to become a financial holding company pursuant to Title I of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and on September 27, 2002 received regulatory approval. At that time the Company formed CS Financial Services. Currently the only source of revenue that CS Financial Services receives is referral fee income from a local insurance agency, Lakeshore Employee Benefits, formerly Lead Financial. Lakeshore Employee Benefits offers amongst other things employer-sponsored benefit plans. CS Financial Services has the opportunity to earn a referral fee for each sale of employer-sponsored benefits that is transacted by Lakeshore Employee Benefits as a result of a referral made by CS Financial Services. On April 16, 2009, the Company withdrew its election to be a financial holding company. The election was acknowledged by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. The passive income derived from CS Financial Services' affiliation with Lakeshore Employee Benefits is unaffected by this change.

In December of 2004, the Company formed Community Shores Capital Trust I, a Delaware business trust. The Trust is administered by a Delaware trust company, and two individual administrative trustees who are employees and officers of the Company. The Trust was established for the purpose of issuing and selling its preferred securities and common securities and used the proceeds from the sales of those securities to acquire subordinated debentures issued by the Company. A majority of the net proceeds received by the Company was used to pay down the outstanding balance on the Company's line of credit. The remaining proceeds were used to contribute capital to the Bank as well as support the general operating expenses of the Company, including the debt service on the Company's subordinated debentures.

The Company's balance sheet increased by \$6.5 million in 2010 compared to a decrease of \$24.2 million in 2009. Although loans decreased in both years, the higher levels of on balance sheet liquidity more than offset the decrease in 2010. In both 2009 and 2010, the Company had consolidated losses stemming from deterioration in credit quality and the need for large loan loss provisions and devaluation of foreclosed real estate. As a result of

the losses sustained in 2010 the Bank's capital ratios declined. The Bank was less than adequately capitalized at December 31, 2010 according to regulatory capital standards. The Bank's total risk based capital ratio was 7.06% at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2009, the Bank was deemed to be well capitalized with a total risk based capital ratio of 10.41%.

As a result of deteriorating asset quality, poor earnings and falling capital ratios, the Bank endured additional regulatory scrutiny and entered into a Consent Order with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the State of Michigan's Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation ("OFIR"), its primary regulators, on September 2, 2010. The Bank agreed to the terms of the Consent Order without admitting or denying any charge of unsafe or unsound banking practices relating to capital, asset quality, or earnings. The Consent Order imposes no fines or penalties on the Bank. The Consent Order will remain in effect and enforceable until it is modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC and OFIR. Under the Consent Order the Bank was required, within 90 days of September 2, 2010, to have and maintain its level of Tier 1 capital, as a percentage of its total assets, at a minimum of 8.5%, and its level of qualifying total capital, as a percentage of risk-weighted assets, at a minimum of 11%. The Bank was not able to meet this requirement within the required 90-day period and remains out of compliance with the Consent Order as of December 31, 2010.

The lack of financial soundness of the Bank and the Company's inability to serve as a source of strength for the Bank resulted in the board of directors entering into a Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (the "FRB"), the Company's primary regulator. The Written Agreement became effective on December 16, 2010, when it was executed by the FRB. The Written Agreement provides that: (i) the Company must take appropriate steps to fully utilize its financial and managerial resources to serve as a source of strength to the Bank; (ii) the Company may not declare or pay any dividends or take dividends or any other payment representing a reduction in capital from the Bank or make any distributions of interest, principal or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without prior FRB approval; (iii) the Company may not incur, increase or guarantee any debt or purchase or redeem any shares of its stock without prior FRB approval: (iv) the Company must submit a written statement of its planned sources and uses of cash for debt service, operating expenses and other purposes to the FRB within 30 days of the Written Agreement; and (v) the Company shall take all necessary actions to ensure that the Bank, the Company and all nonbank subsidiaries of both the Bank and the Company complies with sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation W of the Board of Governors (12 C.F.R. Part 223) in all transactions between affiliates; (vi) the Company may not appoint any new director or senior executive officer, or change the responsibilities of any senior executive officer so that the officer would assume a different senior executive officer position, without prior regulatory approval; and finally (vii) within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter following the date of the Written Agreement, the board of directors shall submit to the FRB written progress reports detailing the form and manner of all actions taken to secure compliance with the provisions of the Written Agreement as well as current copies of the parent company only financial statements. The Company has not yet been able to meet the obligation detailed in part (i) above. The Company currently has limited resources with which to support the capital needs of the Bank. The Company's main liquidity resource is its cash account balance of approximately \$125,000 and its dividend receivable from CS Financial Services of \$30,000.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company was not in compliance with certain debt covenants of its term loan from Fifth Third Bank. A breach of the covenants are considered events of default which allows Fifth Third the option to demand immediately all or any part of the unpaid principal and interest balance. The Company does not have the resources to pay the outstanding principal and does not expect to have it in the near future. The Company did not make either of the last two quarterly interest payments which were due on September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010 and could not pay principal when the term loan matured on January 3, 2011. The total interest due to Fifth Third at year-end 2010 was \$153,410. Since the Company presently does not have sufficient funds to continue to pay interest or to pay off the term loan, Fifth Third has a right to foreclose on the Bank's stock which collateralizes the term loan. Management met with Fifth Third on February 17, 2011, to discuss the

term loan (which matured on January 3, 2011). Fifth Third indicated that it is interested in having the term loan be part of a comprehensive recapitalization plan but that it has no intention, in the short term, to foreclose on the stock of the Bank.

The Company's net losses, non-compliance with debt covenants, non-compliance with the higher capital ratios of the Consent Order, and the provisions of the Written Agreement creates an uncertainty about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern. Discussion regarding the Company's plans for continuing operations and consideration of the Company as a going concern are discussed further in Note 20.

Management's progress towards compliance with the Consent Order and the Written Agreement and to become in compliance with the debt covenants are further discussed in Notes 10 and 15.

As of December 31, 2010, the Bank had 58 full-time employees and 24 part time employees, a decrease of 1 full-time equivalent position since December 31, 2009. Management does not anticipate increasing staff in 2011.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The purpose of this section of the Annual Report is to provide a narrative discussion about the Company's financial condition and results of operations during 2010. The "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" as well as disclosures found elsewhere in the Annual Report are based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. One material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relates to the determination of the allowance for loan losses. Actual results could differ from the estimate.

Allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed adequate by management to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the consolidated loan portfolio. Management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is an estimate based on reviews of individual loans and loan groupings, assessments of the impact of current and anticipated economic conditions on the portfolio and historical loss experience. See the Financial Condition section of Management's Discussion and Analysis and Notes 1 and 3 to the Company's consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Management believes the accounting estimate related to the allowance for loan losses is a "critical accounting estimate" because (1) the estimate is highly susceptible to change from period to period because of assumptions concerning the changes in the types and volumes of the portfolios and anticipated economic conditions and (2) the impact of recognizing an impairment or loan loss could have a material effect on the Company's assets reported on the balance sheet as well as its net income. Management has discussed the development of this critical accounting estimate with the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee.

Income Taxes. Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company adopted guidance issued by the FASB with respect to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes as of January 1, 2007. A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. The adoption had no effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Net deferred tax assets are recorded to the extent it is believed that they will more likely than not be realized. In making such a determination, all available positive and negative evidence is considered, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. In the event it is determined that deferred income tax assets are in excess of their realizable amount, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would be made which would increase the provision for income taxes.

In determining the possible realization of deferred tax assets, future taxable income from operations exclusive of reversing temporary differences and tax planning strategies that, if necessary, would be implemented to accelerate taxable income into periods in which net operating losses might otherwise expire is considered.

Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are recognized within the federal income tax expense (benefit) line in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Accrued interest and penalties are included within the related tax liability line in the consolidated balance sheets.

Foreclosed Assets. Foreclosed assets are acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure and are initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. During the time that foreclosed assets are waiting to be sold, there will be occasions that the Bank will need to reevaluate the individual market values of each asset. If there is evidence that the fair value has declined since the last evaluation, the Bank will incur an impairment charge in order to properly reflect the estimated fair value of the asset at the end of the reporting period. On a quarterly basis, the Bank's Credit Department analyzes foreclosed asset values to determine the level at which they should be held on our books.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, and other sections of the Annual Report contain forward-looking statements that are based on management's beliefs, assumptions, current expectations, estimates and projections about the financial services industry, the economy, and about the Company, the Bank, the Mortgage Company, Berryfield and CS Financial Services. Words such as "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "expects", "forecasts", "intends", "is likely", "plans", "projects", variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are intended to be covered by the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions ("Future Factors") that are difficult to predict with regard to timing, extent, likelihood and degree of occurrence. Therefore, actual results and outcomes may materially differ from what may be expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update, amend, or clarify forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events (whether anticipated or unanticipated), or otherwise.

Future Factors include, among others, changes in interest rates and interest rate relationships; demand for products and services; the degree of competition by traditional and non-traditional competitors; changes in banking regulation or actions by bank regulators; changes in tax laws; changes in prices, levies, and assessments; the impact of technological advances; governmental and regulatory policy changes; the outcomes of contingencies; trends in customer behavior as well as their ability to repay loans; changes in local real estate

values; changes in the national and local economy; the ability of the Company to borrow money or raise additional capital to maintain or increase its or the Bank's capital position or when desired to support future growth; action that Fifth Third may take in connection with its \$5.0 million term loan to the Company, the repayment of which is now overdue; lack of adequate cash by the Company to continue its business or pay its debts; failure to comply with provisions of the Consent Order or Written Agreement may result in further regulatory action that could have a material adverse effect on us and our shareholders, as well as the Bank; and other factors, including risk factors, referred to from time to time in filings made by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These are representative of the Future Factors that could cause a difference between an ultimate actual outcome and a preceding forward-looking statement. These risks and uncertainties should be considered when evaluating forward-looking statements. Undue reliance should not be placed on such statements.

#### **2010 OVERVIEW**

The Company's total assets increased by 2.8% to \$237.9 million at December 31, 2010 and there was a net loss recorded of \$8,883,000. For 2010, diluted losses per share of the Company were \$6.05. Calculated loan loss provision escalated due to declining collateral valuations on impaired loans and the high level of borrowers who defaulted or ceased ability to service their debts. Conversely, the Company's overall net interest margin increased 5 basis points because of a reduction in the Company's cost of funds. Mortgage related non-interest income was down because of the lower margins earned on sold loans. The Bank realized gains from selling investments in the first quarter of the year. Operating expenses rose dramatically because of increased burdens associated with troubled credit relationships and declining values on foreclosed asset holdings.

#### FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets increased by \$6.5 million to \$237.9 million at December 31, 2010 from \$231.4 million at December 31, 2009. The year over year increase in assets was mostly attributable to an intentional build up of liquidity in the Bank's cash and investment accounts. Cash and investments increased \$29.8 million from year end 2009 to year end 2010. However, this increase was to a large extent offset by a \$20.9 million reduction in loans and foreclosed assets.

Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$20.8 million to \$23.6 million at December 31, 2010 from \$2.8 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was essentially from higher balances on deposit at the FRB on the last business day of 2010 compared to the last business day of 2009. Over the last couple of years, the core principles of cash management have been greatly affected by the current rate and regulatory environment and the need to preserve capital. Balances held at the FRB are given a preferential risk rating when computing risk based capital ratios. The risk rating is lower than either federal funds or other correspondent bank balances. So when the FRB began paying interest on excess deposits in 2008, it became a prudent capital strategy to keep excess liquidity on deposit at the FRB. Additionally, regulatory agencies have emphatically encouraged banks to proactively strengthen both on and off balance sheet funding resources in order to be perceived as operating in a safe and sound manner given the dramatic increase in bank failures. All of these factors contributed to management's decision to increase cash in 2010.

Securities increased by \$9.0 million during 2010. The activity included purchases of \$24.3 million, maturities, prepayments and calls of \$11.4 million and sales of \$3.8 million. The net gain associated with the sales was \$80,000. In the first quarter of 2010, a majority of the Bank's municipal portfolio was transferred to available for sale and sold. The Company analyzed the potential risk of the entire municipal portfolio given the dwindling property tax base in most areas and concluded that the risk associated with several of the Bank's municipal holdings was not commensurate with the tax benefits since the Company does not expect to be in a taxable position in the near term. As such, management chose to sell a majority of its municipal portfolio and realize

market value gains to supplement earnings and capital. Many of the municipal securities were in the held to maturity category of the investment portfolio. Accounting rules are such that selling held to maturity investments prior to maturity disallows utilization of the held to maturity category until management can again assert that it has the intent and ability to hold such securities until maturity. It also requires the remaining securities to be transferred into the available for sale category causing them to be carried at fair value. All of the securities had an unrealized gain on March 31, 2010, the date of transfer, so there was no detrimental impact. In general, the entire investment portfolio is strong and has an overall unrealized gain. Future security purchases will not be classified as held-to-maturity for the foreseeable future. Furthermore, the Company's present intent does not include further liquidation of securities but occasionally liquidation strategies may be selectively utilized to enhance earnings and capital, to provide liquidity or to proactively decrease the Bank's risk.

At year-end 2010 there were securities with a market value of \$29.2 million pledged to secure public fund customers, the Federal Reserve Discount Window ("Discount Window"), Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") overdraft line of credit, customer repurchase agreements, and treasury tax and loan balances. This was an increase of \$3.1 million over 2009. The Bank strives to have between 10% and 20% of its investment portfolio unpledged. On December 31, 2010, 20.0% of investments were unencumbered. On December 31, 2009, 5.5% was unencumbered. In order to provide opportunity for additional pledging, to secure access to future liquidity and to maximize the return on the Bank's deposits, securities are being strategically purchased. It is likely that the Bank will make additional security purchases in 2011 if the level of unencumbered investments drops.

The fair value of investments has received much scrutiny over the past three years. The plight of the bond market in general and the weakened position of several government sponsored entities have affected market values. At year end 2010, there were 16 securities with an unrealized loss; none of these unrealized losses were longer than 12 months. The securities were not deemed to have other-than- temporary impairment. At December 31, 2010, the unrealized losses totaled approximately \$145,000 on securities with an amortized cost of \$10.9 million. To reduce exposure to loss (both realized and unrealized) the investment policy has prudent diversification principles; one of them being issuer concentration. There were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and federal agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of the Bank's shareholders' equity.

Loans, including held for sale and portfolio loans, decreased 10% since year-end 2009. This is comparable to the 11% decline experienced in both 2009 and 2008. Loans held for sale were \$1.3 million at December 31, 2010; up somewhat from \$1.1 million at December 31, 2009. Loans held for sale reflect residential mortgages and Small Business Administration (SBA) loans that have been originated and are in the process of being sold to an investor. Portfolio loan balances were \$165.2 million at December 31, 2010 down from \$183.2 million at December 31, 2009.

Decreases to the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios comprised 79% of the total decline but there were decreases to every category of loans. Although the reduction in total loans outstanding was significant, the commercial oriented concentration of the portfolio did not materially change. At December 31, 2010, the concentration of commercial and commercial real estate loans was 76% of the Bank's total loan portfolio, one percentage less than year-end 2009. The wholesale focus of the Bank has remained since opening in 1999. Unfortunately, the economic crisis has severely affected many borrowers in the Company's two largest portfolio segments causing a significant increase in the Bank's overall risk profile.

To help mitigate the credit risk stemming from the economic condition of the country in general and Michigan specifically, the Bank continues to develop and educate lenders and credit staff, and to invest time into the design and overall strengthening of the Company's credit risk assessment processes. Simply put credit risk is the risk of borrower nonpayment typically on loans although it can be applicable to the investment portfolio as well. In both cases, avoiding portfolio concentrations in any one type of credit or in a specific industry helps to decrease risk;

however, the risk of nonpayment for any reason exists with respect to all loans and investments. The Bank recognizes that credit losses will be experienced and will vary with, among other things, general economic conditions; the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the debt; and in the case of a collateralized loan, the quality of the collateral.

There is a very detailed process that has been developed by the Bank to estimate credit risk. The process is discussed at length in Note 1 to the Company's financial statements. At each period end, the balance in the allowance for loan losses is based on management's estimation of probable incurred credit losses. The estimation is the result of loan portfolio analysis completed utilizing a detailed methodology prescribed in the Bank's credit procedures. The loan portfolio is reviewed and analyzed on a regular basis for the purpose of estimating probable incurred credit losses. The analysis of the allowance for loan losses is comprised of two portions: general credit allocations and specific credit allocations. General credit allocations are made to various categories of loans based on loan ratings, delinquency trends, historical loss experience as well as current economic conditions. The specific credit allocation includes a detailed review of a borrower and its entire relationship resulting in an allocation being made to the allowance for that particular borrower. A loan becomes specifically identified when, based on current information and events related to that particular borrower, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

The allowance for loan losses is adjusted accordingly to maintain an adequate level based on the conclusion of the general and specific analysis. There are occasions when a specifically identified loan requires no allocated allowance for loan losses. To have no allocated allowance for loan loss, a specifically identified loan must be well secured and have a collateral analysis that supports a loan loss reserve allocation of zero.

At December 31, 2010, the allowance for loan losses totaled \$4.8 million. The ratio of allowance to gross loans outstanding increased to a level of 2.90% at December 31, 2010 compared to 2.06% at year-end 2009. At December 31, 2010, the allowance contained \$2,120,000 in specific allocations for impaired loans whereas at December 31, 2009 there was \$1,727,000 specifically allocated. In both cases, there was just over \$14 million of unpaid principal on specifically identified loans. During 2010, the Bank chose in several cases to charge off unpaid principal that had specific allocations; leaving the remaining loan balance requiring no reserves. At year-end 2010, there was \$8.0 million of unpaid principal on specifically identified loans requiring no reserves, an increase of \$846,000 over year-end 2009, and \$6.3 million of unpaid principal requiring specific allocations, a decrease of \$709,000 compared to the previous year-end.

in 2010, there were charge offs made of \$1.3 million (25% of total charge offs) related to loans that held specific reserves at year end 2009. Additionally, two borrowers that were specifically identified at both year-end 2010 and 2009 required \$1.6 million more charge downs than what had been originally allocated as a result of updated third party real estate appraisals received in the last few months of 2010. Also, several relationships that were performing in 2009 became impaired in 2010. The collateral analysis in five of these relationships resulted in specific allocations of \$1.9 million in the allowance during the year as the credit deterioration was identified. By year-end 2010, these allocations were charged off, consistent with our practice.

The general component of the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-specifically identified loans was 1.21% at December 31, 2009. The charge-offs that occurred in 2010 on loans that were not previously specifically identified were \$1.9 million or 1.04% of non-specifically identified loans at December 31, 2009. As a result of the continued uncertainty in the economy as well as a \$2.5 million increase in credits that are on the Bank's internal watchlist from year-end 2009 to year-end 2010, the general component of the allowance for loan losses was increased to 1.76% of total non-specifically identified loans at December 31, 2010.

The total of all allocations included in the allowance by loan segment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

	2010		2009	
Balance at End of Period Applicable to:	Amount	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Amount	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
Commercial	1,218,865	35.3%	1,526,084	37.8%
Commercial Real Estate	2,896,176	41.0	1,828,022	38.8
Consumer	546,603	11.9	319,033	12.4
Residential	130,263	11.8	108,993	11.0
	\$4,791,907	100.0%	\$3,782,132	100.0%

The methodology used to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is consistent with the prior year. However, in light of 2010's deterioration in credit quality, the Bank continues to enhance the process employed to calculate its historical loss migration as well as its process to evaluate collateral in the case of a specifically identified credit. One such enhancement to the general component of the allowance for loan losses related to the treatment of historical losses on land development loans. In 2010, management chose to consider separately the historical loss on land development loans because of the extraordinary impact that the economic downturn had on vacant land. In 2010, the Bank incurred losses of \$1.5 million on land development loans which was roughly 30% of the total losses recorded for the year. The charge offs to this loan class was roughly 39% of the total outstanding at the end of 2009. The current balance of land development loans has been reduced to \$2.7 million at December 31, 2010.

Recognizing that losses within the land development class were not necessarily indicative of those that are likely to occur in the entire commercial and commercial real estate segments, they were segmented in historical loss calculations. The land development losses were not segregated from the commercial and commercial real estate segment's historical loss calculation in 2009. Management will continue to monitor the allocation and make necessary adjustments based on portfolio concentration levels, actual loss experience, the financial condition of the borrowers and the economy. For more detailed information related to the calculation of the allowance for loan losses, see Note 1 to the financial statements.

Another factor considered in the assessment of the adequacy of the allowance is the quality of the loan portfolio from a past due standpoint. Year over year, the Bank observed a decrease in overall past due and non-accrual loans of \$2,000,000 with a majority of the decrease occurring in the greater than 90 days past due category.

The recorded investment<sup>1</sup> in loans past due 30-59 days was \$970,000 at December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$360,000 since year end 2009. Bank staff is working diligently with customers to keep the level of past due payments down. This level of communication often assists lenders in proactively identifying troubled credits early which helps to minimize the magnitude of loss in some cases. In addition to our lenders, the Bank has two full-time employees dedicated to overseeing past due customer relationships. As a result of this diligence, the average number of days past due of the loans in the 30-59 day category was 37 in 2010 compared to 41 days in 2009. Additionally, it is important to note that 33% of the aggregate total of loans past due 30-59 days at 2010 year-end had paid current by January 31, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes unpaid principal, accrued interest and net deferred, unearned fees.

The 60-89 day past due category consisted of two loans at December 31, 2010. The recorded investment was \$253,000 at December 31, 2010. This past due category declined by \$535,000 when compared to the year-end 2009 balance of \$788,000. A commercial note with real estate as collateral makes up a majority of the past due balance in this category.

The recorded investment in notes 90 days and greater past due and non accrual together totaled \$8.0 million at December 31, 2010. This was an overall decrease of \$1.1 million compared to 2009 year-end totals. Essentially all of the reduction between the year-end periods stems from a reduction in the recorded investment in loans 90 days and greater past due. At December 31, 2010 there was only one note in this category compared to eight at year-end 2009. One loan comprising 75% of the total past due at December 31, 2009, was brought current in January 2010 when the Bank successfully negotiated a troubled debt restructure. The loan performed according to the renegotiated terms for all of 2010.

Non-accrual notes decreased slightly when comparing December 31, 2010 balances to those at December 31, 2009. Non-accrual notes were \$8.0 million at year-end 2010 and \$8.1 million at year-end 2009. The majority of the non-accrual notes are secured by developed real estate, only 5% of the total is secured by undeveloped real estate. At December 31, 2010, there were specific allocations of \$1.5 million in the allowance for any estimated collateral deficiency on non-accrual loans. There are three non-accrual notes totaling less than \$1 million that the Bank is in the process of foreclosing on the associated collateral. Once the foreclosure period ends the collateral will be moved to the foreclosed assets category of the Bank's balance sheet and the land will be marketed for sale by the Bank.

In addition to the specifically identified impaired loans quantified in Note 3, the Bank also has other potential problem loans of approximately \$12.7 million which are currently performing but management has classified them in categories that will subject them to a higher level of scrutiny. These particular classifications relate to specific concerns for each individual borrower and do not relate to concentration risk for a particular industry. The classification of these loans, however, does not necessarily imply that management expects losses but that the nature of the borrower's projects in the current economic environment deserves closer monitoring. One particular loan segment that received much scrutiny over the last few years is land development. After charge-offs recorded of \$1.5 million in 2010, the Bank's remaining balance of land development loans was \$2.7 million at year end 2010. Management will continue to examine the remaining credits in this segment periodically as specified by our loan policy.

Contrary to the decrease in past due and non-accrual loans from 2009 to 2010, the ratio of net charge-offs to average loans rose to 2.82% in 2010 from 1.64% in 2009. Net charge-offs increased \$1,839,000. The net principal balances of these charge-offs aggregated \$5.0 million, which is up from \$3.2 million charged-off in 2009. During 2010, 96 loans were charged-off compared to 72 loans in 2009. Many considerations are made prior to charging off a loan. The Bank's loan policies have very specific guidelines. The Bank's declining past due levels would normally indicate that there are likely to be fewer charge offs going forward however declining collateral values on specifically identified loans also contribute to the charge off totals. Given the uncertain direction of real estate values, the level of charged off loans anticipated going forward is difficult to predict.

With the rise in non-performing loans, a solid credit process is essential. Management has an experienced officer leading the Bank's credit area. All lenders are focused on working with current customers to improve the quality of the Bank's loan portfolio. Bi-weekly meetings occur among loan personnel to discuss identified weak credits. In addition to frequent internal loan review sessions, the Bank expanded the third party credit review engagement. In addition to more coverage, the review is now split into two sessions to assist in capturing the effects of the rapidly changing credit environment. Management will continue to look for ways to enhance the credit process.

Foreclosed assets decreased \$3.1 million since December 31, 2009 and were \$3.4 million at December 31, 2010. These assets consist of relinquished properties through the collection process which were previously customer collateral supporting various borrowings. These properties are held until they can be sold. In 2010, 23 properties were added and two properties required improvements which added \$3.1 million to the total of foreclosed assets. Additionally, 28 properties and nine lots were sold for \$3.4 million during the same year. There were net losses on these sales of \$194,000. The inventory of held properties were written down by \$2.6 million during the year. Each quarter foreclosed assets are written down to fair value based on a professional appraisal or other common means of valuation. At December 31, 2010, there were 32 real estate holdings compared to 37 at December 31, 2009. If any foreclosed asset is sold for less than it is being held further losses could result. It is probable that additional valuation adjustments will occur if real estate values continue to decline.

Other assets declined by \$986,000 from year end 2009 to year end 2010. In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company received its federal tax refund and therefore reduced the federal tax receivable of \$857,000 that was carried in the other asset totals on December 31, 2009.

Deposit balances were \$219.3 million at December 31, 2010, up from \$198.6 million at December 31, 2009. There were marked increases in both interest-bearing and non-interest-bearing accounts.

Non-interest-bearing balances were \$33.3 million at December 31, 2010; a year over year increase of \$8.4 million or 33.9%. The number of non-interest-bearing demand accounts rose by nearly 4% in the same time period. The main reason for the growth is changes to the FDIC insurance programs. In addition to increasing deposit insurance coverage from \$100,000 to \$250,000, there is an FDIC program which provides temporary unlimited coverage for non interest bearing transaction accounts. This program has a sunset date of December 31, 2012. In order to attain maximum insurance coverage, it is possible that customers with balances in excess of \$250,000 could forego interest bearing deposit options to seek unlimited coverage in a non interest bearing account given the low rate environment that currently exists.

Interest-bearing demand accounts, consisting of both checking and money market, decreased 9.7% during the year. The combined balances were \$42.4 million at December 31, 2010 and \$47.0 million at year-end 2009. Eighty-two percent of the \$4.6 million decrease was in the interest bearing checking category. At year-end 2010, the FDIC no longer offered full deposit insurance coverage on interest bearing checking accounts and as expected, several large public fund customers switched to non interest bearing accounts to protect their deposits.

Savings accounts were down \$1.2 million between the two year-end periods of 2010 and 2009. The balance at December 31, 2010 was \$7.4 million. Existing customers had lower balances between year end 2009 and 2010.

Time deposits rose by \$18.0 million. None of the net growth was brokered time deposits. In December of 2009, the Bank began utilizing an internet time deposit listing service. In 2010, the Bank solicited and obtained time deposits totaling \$49.9 million using this service. These deposits had an average maturity of over 26 months and an average rate of 1.44%. The proceeds from these deposits were used to increase the liquidity of the Bank and to fund \$15.4 million of brokered deposit maturities. The weighted average rate on the maturing brokered deposits was 4.63%.

Since the Bank has not been categorized as "well capitalized" since June 30, 2010, a regulatory waiver is required to accept, renew or rollover brokered deposits. Additionally the Bank's Consent Order with the FDIC prohibits the use of brokered deposits. The Bank has not issued any brokered deposits since January of 2010. At December 31, 2010, the concentration of brokered deposits to total deposits was 17%; a decrease of 9% since December 31, 2009 when the concentration was 26%. In 2011, 91% or \$33.8 million of the Bank's remaining brokered deposits will mature. The weighted average rate is 3.64% on these maturities. It is likely that a majority of the maturing brokered deposits will be replaced with deposits solicited from the internet. The Bank's strategy

of lowering its cost of funds and extending the duration of its time deposit portfolio is more successfully achieved using the internet deposit listing service. Rates in the Bank's own local market for longer term maturities are significantly higher.

Non-deposit funding sources for the Bank, at December 31, 2010, included customer repurchase agreements, an FHLB overdraft line of credit, FHLB advances and FRB Discount Window borrowings. Typically unexpected fluctuations in the Bank's daily liquidity position drive borrowings from these resources. In most cases, the Bank is required to pledge assets to collateralize potential borrowings. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had \$10.3 million of assets pledged to the FHLB providing the ability to borrow up to \$9.6 million. The collateral consisted of both securities and residential mortgages. The Bank has roughly \$10.3 million of borrowing capacity at the Discount Window to support unforeseen short-term liquidity fluctuations. To secure Discount Window borrowings, the Bank has pledged securities and eligible home equity loans. As a result of the Consent Order, the Bank is only eligible for secondary credit at the Discount Window. Secondary credit basically mandates that the Bank must fully utilize its other resources before it requests a borrowing. Given the high levels of on balance sheet liquidity that are being maintained, utilization of any of these resources is not anticipated.

Repurchase agreement balances were \$7.5 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$460,000 since the same period end in 2009. A repurchase agreement is treated like a short-term borrowing of the Bank. To secure the short-term borrowing (repurchase agreement), balances held by customers are typically collateralized by high quality government securities held in a subaccount within the Bank's security portfolio. The balance increase was due to several customers increasing their carrying balances between the two year-end periods.

The Company had no outstanding FHLB advances at December 31, 2010. There were \$6.0 million outstanding at December 31, 2009. One advance for \$1.5 million matured on March 24th and was not renewed. The two remaining advances which were scheduled to mature in the fourth quarter of 2010 were prepaid by the Bank on July 6, 2010. The computed prepayment penalty was approximately \$4,000 less than what the computed interest until maturity would have been net of the interest that could have been earned had the money remained on deposit at the FRB. Management chose to pay off the advances early to utilize a portion of the Bank's liquidity. At this time, it is not the Company's intention to borrow funds from the FHLB.

Subordinated debentures outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2010 remained at \$4.5 million. On December 17, 2004, the Trust, a business trust subsidiary of the Company, using the proceeds from the sale of 4,500 Cumulative Preferred Securities ("trust preferred securities") at \$1,000 per security, purchased an equivalent amount (\$4.5 million) of subordinated debentures from the Company. Similar to the rate on the trust preferred securities, the subordinated debentures carry a floating rate of 2.05% over the 3-month LIBOR and was initially set at 4.55125%. The current rate of interest is 2.352810%. The stated maturity is December 30, 2034. Interest payments on the subordinated debentures are payable quarterly on March 30<sup>th</sup>, June 30<sup>th</sup>, September 30<sup>th</sup> and December 30<sup>th</sup>.

The terms of the subordinated debentures, the trust preferred securities and the agreements under which they were issued, give the Company the right, from time to time, to defer payment of interest for up to 20 consecutive quarters, unless certain specified events of default have occurred and are continuing. The deferral of interest payments on the subordinated debentures results in the deferral of distributions on the trust preferred securities. In May, the Company exercised its option to defer regularly scheduled quarterly interest payments beginning with the quarterly interest payment that was scheduled to be paid on June 30, 2010. The Company's deferral of interest does not constitute an event of default.

During the deferral period, interest will continue to accrue on the subordinated debentures. Also, the deferred interest will accrue interest. At the expiration of the deferral period, all accrued and unpaid interest will be due and payable and a corresponding amount of distributions will be payable on the trust preferred securities.

The indenture under which the subordinated debentures were issued prohibits certain actions by the Company during the deferral period. Among other things, and subject to certain exceptions, during the deferral period, the Company is prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on, or redeeming, purchasing, acquiring or making any liquidation payment with respect to, any shares of its capital stock. Although the Company has not determined the duration of the deferral period, as of December 16, 2010, under the FRB Written Agreement, the Company is prohibited from making any distributions of interest, principal or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without prior FRB approval. At December 31, 2010, the accrued interest payable on the subordinated debentures was \$86,951.

Under applicable Federal Reserve Board guidelines, the trust preferred securities constitute a restricted core capital element. The guidelines provide that the aggregate amount of restricted core elements that may be included in tier one capital must not exceed 25% of the sum of all core capital elements, including restricted core capital elements, net of goodwill less any associated deferred tax liability. Any remaining amount is treated as tier two capital for risk-based capital purposes. Additionally, tier two capital cannot exceed tier one capital. At December 31, 2010, only \$227,000 of the \$4.5 million qualified for tier one capital at the Company. The other \$4.3 million was disallowed and not used for the capital calculation because of the regulation mentioned above regarding tier two capital. At December 31, 2009, all but \$1.3 million of the \$4.5 million qualified for tier one capital at the Company. The significant decline in the portion of the trust preferred securities qualifying as tier one capital is directly related to the erosion of core capital experienced as a result of the losses recorded in 2010.

The Company has a \$5.0 million term loan with Fifth Third Bank ("Fifth Third") secured by the common stock of the Bank. The total principal balance outstanding at both December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was \$5,000,000. The outstanding principal bears interest at a rate of 275 basis points above Fifth Third's prime rate. The current interest rate on the outstanding principal balance is 6.00% per annum. The term loan matured on January 3, 2011. In addition to the \$5 million principal being due, the Company had unpaid interest accrued of \$155,000 on January 3, 2011. The Company does not have the resources to pay the outstanding principal and accrued interest and does not expect to have it in the near future; therefore the Company is in default. Management met with Fifth Third on February 17, 2011, to discuss the past maturity loan. Although no formal forbearance was received, Fifth Third indicated that it has no intention, in the short term, to foreclose on the stock of the Bank that it is interested in having the term loan be part of a comprehensive recapitalization plan for the Company.

At December 31, 2010, shareholders' equity was \$846,000 and had decreased by a net amount of \$8.9 million in 2010. Nearly the entire change was the result of the Company's 2010 consolidated loss of \$8,883,000. Additionally there was a slight decrease in accumulated comprehensive income of \$11,000 from a decline in the market value of the investment portfolio.

As a result of the significant losses incurred in 2010, the Bank's capital ratios have dramatically declined. At December 31, 2010, the Bank's total risk based capital ratio was 7.06% and its tier one to average assets ratio was 4.25%. According to prompt corrective action regulations, the Bank is now considered undercapitalized. Conversely, the Bank was in the well capitalized category for both ratios at year-end 2009. The Bank's total risk based capital ratio at December 31, 2009 was 10.41%. Its tier one to average assets ratio was 7.79% at year-end 2009.

Under the Consent Order, the Bank was required to have and maintain its level of tier 1 capital, as a percentage of its total assets, at a minimum of 8.5%, and its level of qualifying total capital, as a percentage of risk-weighted assets, at a minimum of 11% by the end of the fourth quarter of 2010. The Bank did not meet the capital requirements required by the Consent Order. In order to fully comply, the Bank would have needed approximately \$10,250,000 of capital based on its asset size on December 31, 2010.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company recorded a net loss for 2010 of \$8,883,000 which was \$3,920,000 more than the net loss of \$4,963,000 recorded a year earlier. The difference represents a 79% decrease in earnings. On a per share basis there was a decline of \$2.67, as the Company's diluted earnings per share decreased from \$(3.38) in 2009 to \$(6.05) in 2010. The Company had a retained deficit of \$12.6 million at December 31, 2010 compared to a retained deficit of \$3.7 million at December 31, 2009. The losses in both years translated into poor operating ratios. The table below illustrates three key performance measures for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	2010	<u>2009</u>
Return on average assets	(3.57)%	(1.97)%
Return on average shareholders' equity	(109.72)	(36.32)
Average equity to average assets	3.25	5.42

There were similar contributing factors to the losses in both years — deteriorating credit quality, declining property values and increased credit administration expenses. To offset some of these less controllable economic events, the Company continued its cost cutting measures and focused largely on increasing liquidity and improving the Bank's deposit mix. The benefit of lowering the Bank's cost of funds was somewhat offset by increased liquidity however, there was slight improvement in the Company's net interest margin.

For 2010, net interest income was \$7.0 million compared to \$6.8 million for 2009. The change represents a 2% increase compared to 2009's results. Interest income generated during the year was derived from the loan portfolio, the securities portfolio and interest-bearing correspondent accounts. The loan portfolio makes up roughly 74% of the Company's earning assets and its income provided 82% of the Company's annual revenue in 2010, thus making its composition critically important from an interest rate risk standpoint.

The Company attempts to mitigate interest rate risk in its loan portfolio in many ways. Two of the methods used are to balance the rate sensitivity of the portfolio and to avoid extension risk. At December 31, 2010, there were 73% of the loan balances carrying a fixed rate and 27% a floating rate. Since December 31, 2009, the proportion of fixed rate loans in the portfolio decreased one percent. Shifts in the rate sensitivity of the loan portfolio are typically the result of the types of loans that paid off during the year and shifts in customer preference. In 2007 and 2008, while rates were declining, there was noticeable shift in the rate sensitivity of the portfolio as customer preference leaned more towards fixed rate products. The interest rate sensitivity of the portfolio has gone from nearly balanced to the mix described above. Future interest rate movements are not foreseen in the short term however, it is widely believed that eventually rates will begin to increase. Too many fixed rate loans in a rising rate environment can be detrimental to earnings. A more equitable balance between fixed and floating rate loans is useful for protecting net interest income during upward or downward movements in rates.

Management strives to optimize the repricing mix in an effort to protect the earnings of the Company but the duration of this low rate environment has had a notable impact on these internal goals.

Avoidance of extension risk is the other important means to mitigate interest rate risk. In periods of low interest rates it is generally not advantageous for a financial institution to book long-term, fixed rate notes like 15 or 30 year residential mortgage loans. Since the Bank enhanced its mortgage line of business in 2007 the intention has been to sell 75-90% of all long-term residential mortgages originated. In 2010, residential loan sales climbed to 83% of the total originated but for the two previous years, residential loan sales had been closer to 71% of the total originated. Any increase in the residential mortgage loan portfolio is not expected to markedly change the maturity distribution of the Bank's total loan portfolio. At December 31, 2010, the maturity distribution of the Bank's loan portfolio was relatively balanced between short-term (less than one year) and long-term (greater than one year) maturities. Less than 20% of the loan portfolio has a maturity greater than five years.

The contractual loan maturities and rate sensitivity of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2010 have been included below:

Within	Three to	One to	After	
Three Months	Twelve Months	Five Years	Five Years	Total
\$ 5,470,297	\$29,612,454	\$20,163,228	\$ 3,121,655	\$ 58,367,634
7,156,151	10,177,141	38,883,839	2,779,280	58,996,411
5,234,836	2,914,342	633,584	0	8,782,762
722,241	1,546,774	7,373,390	6,312,461	15,954,866
243,801	278,628	1,980,607	584,373	3,087,409
59,278	182,855	277,962	0	520,095
450,538	463,323	418,548	18,202,295	19,534,704
\$19,337,142	\$45,175,517	\$69,731,158	\$31,000,064	\$165,243,881
\$12,452,020	\$24,268,588	\$60,292,838	\$23,932,571	\$120,946,017
6,885,122	20,906,929	9,438,320	7,067,493	44,297,864
\$19,337,142	\$45,175,517	\$69,731,158	\$31,000,064	\$165,243,881
	Three Months \$ 5,470,297  7,156,151 5,234,836  722,241 243,801 59,278 450,538 \$19,337,142  \$12,452,020 6,885,122	Three Months	Three Months         Twelve Months         Five Years           \$ 5,470,297         \$29,612,454         \$20,163,228           7,156,151         10,177,141         38,883,839           5,234,836         2,914,342         633,584           722,241         1,546,774         7,373,390           243,801         278,628         1,980,607           59,278         182,855         277,962           450,538         463,323         418,548           \$19,337,142         \$45,175,517         \$69,731,158           \$12,452,020         \$24,268,588         \$60,292,838           6,885,122         20,906,929         9,438,320	Three Months         Twelve Months         Five Years         Five Years           \$ 5,470,297         \$29,612,454         \$20,163,228         \$ 3,121,655           7,156,151         10,177,141         38,883,839         2,779,280           5,234,836         2,914,342         633,584         0           722,241         1,546,774         7,373,390         6,312,461           243,801         278,628         1,980,607         584,373           59,278         182,855         277,962         0           450,538         463,323         418,548         18,202,295           \$19,337,142         \$45,175,517         \$69,731,158         \$31,000,064           \$12,452,020         \$24,268,588         \$60,292,838         \$23,932,571           6,885,122         20,906,929         9,438,320         7,067,493

For 2010, average earning assets remained roughly the same however the distribution of the categories changed noticeably. The average balance of interest-bearing deposits at other financial institutions increased \$11.4 million from 2009 to 2010. The concentration of these earning assets increased to nine percent of the total for 2010 compared to four percent of the total in 2009. The rate earned on these deposits declined 13 basis points year over year. The growth in these balances represents increased liquidity for the Bank. Management recognizes the detrimental impact to the Company's net interest margin as a result of increasing liquidity but feels it is a prudent strategy given the regulatory restrictions imposed on the Bank due to its declining capital ratios and FDIC Consent Order.

For a variety of reasons the Bank increased its investment portfolio during 2010. The average of this earning asset group increased \$3.8 million over 2009. In spite of increased holdings the average rate earned declined 99 basis points, decreasing interest income in 2010 by \$168,000. To increase the Bank's on balance sheet liquidity in order to comply with internal policy limits as well as to provide more pledging options for rate-bearing public fund customers, bonds were purchased. The bonds purchased throughout 2010 were at rates that were consistently below 2009's average portfolio rate. Additionally, the municipal securities sold for a gain in the first quarter of 2010, were replaced with lower yielding bonds.

Average loans outstanding decreased \$15.8 million during 2010. In addition to fewer loans on the books, the average rate earned on the loan portfolio declined 12 basis points. The internal prime lending rate remained the same for both 2009 and 2010. Fewer loans outstanding produced \$1.2 million less interest income. Also included in the loan interest outcome is the reversal of \$188,000 of interest income for loans that were either charged-off or put on non-accrual status in the year. The interest reversal had a 10 basis point effect on the average rate earned on loans. Currently, the Bank's internal prime lending rate is 5%; 175 basis points higher than the Wall Street Journal prime rate. Management believes that it is not prudent to reduce the internal prime lending rate given the escalated credit risk in the marketplace and the high cost of funds in the Bank's local market.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Including personal and home equity lines of credit.

Interest-bearing liabilities are made up of deposits, Discount Window borrowings, repurchase agreements, FHLB advances, notes payable and subordinated debentures. These average interest-bearing liabilities decreased only \$1.0 million during 2010. Similar to the changes in the composition of interest earning assets, there were changes in the mix of the Company's cost of funds. The average rate paid on funding costs decreased 67 basis points year over year. The largest category of interest-bearing liabilities is deposits. The Bank reduced its blended rate paid on deposits by 76 basis points year over year. A majority of the progress achieved was on time deposits, the largest deposit category and typically the most expensive. In 2010, \$65 million in time deposits with an average rate of 3.32% matured and were repriced. The time deposit portfolio weighted average rate was reduced by 126 basis points. There are similar repricing opportunities for 2011. Over the next twelve months, over \$72 million in time deposits (33% of total deposits) will reprice to current market rates which are currently over 100 basis points lower.

Some of the factors affecting both net interest spread and net interest margin were mentioned above, including the mix of interest-earning assets and the interest rate sensitivity of the various categories. To illustrate the Company's condition, the following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company's consolidated average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and reflects the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the period indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expenses by the average daily balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented:

37	T . 1 . 1	December	~ ~ 1
Yeare	нплел	I IECEMBEI	
icais	LIIUUU	DOCUME	

			rears Ended	December 31:		
		2010			2009	
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/Rate
Assets						,
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits						
with banks	\$ 20,301,004	\$ 49,882	0.25%	\$ 8,883,563	\$ 34,022	0.38%
Securities <sup>1,2</sup> Loans <sup>3</sup>	31,926,359 177,551,516	927,582 11,075,774	2.91 6.24	28,101,164 193,354,546	1,095,665 12,297,691	3.90 6.36
Loans						
Other assets	229,778,879 19,185,648	12,053,238	5.25	230,339,273 21,829,189	13,427,378	5.83
	\$248,964,527	_		\$252,168,462		
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		-		,	-	
Interest-bearing deposits FRB Borrowings and	\$194,013,849	\$ 4,304,290	2.22	\$193,243,953	\$ 5,749,296	2.98
repurchase agreements Subordinated debentures, notes payable and FHLB	8,913,430	75,837	0.85	7,640,761	59,065	0.77
advances	12,142,466	652,616	5.37	15,228,219	690,454	4.53
	215,069,745	5,032,743	2.34	216,112,933	6,498,815	3.01
Noninterest-bearing deposits	25,027,916		•	21,694,511		•
Other liabilities	770,814			703,732		
Shareholders' Equity	8,096,052	-		13,657,286	-	
	\$248,964,527	<b>=</b>		\$252,168,462	=	
Net interest income (tax equivalent basis)		7,020,495	•		6,928,563	
Net interest spread on earning assets (tax equivalent basis)			2.91%			2.82%
Net interest margin on earning assets (tax equivalent basis)			3.06			3.01
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities			106.84			106.58
Tax equivalent adjustment		69,184			142,727	
Net interest income		\$ 6,951,311	•		\$ 6,785,836	•
			:			:

Includes Federal Home Loan Bank Stock.
 Adjusted to a fully tax equivalent basis.
 Includes loans held for sale and non-accrual loans.

As displayed in the preceding table, in 2010 the Company's net interest spread (tax equivalent) improved by 9 basis points, from 2.82% in 2009 to 2.91% in 2010, and the Company's net interest margin (tax equivalent) on earning assets increased by 5 basis points. The margin was 3.06% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 and 3.01% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009.

As a further demonstration of the effect of rates and volume on this outcome, below is a table displaying the change in interest income and interest expense on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities segregated between change due to volume and change due to rate:

Year-ended December 31, 2010 over 2009

	Total	Volume	Rate
Increase (decrease) in interest income			
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing			
deposits with banks	\$ 15,860	\$ 31,472	\$ (15,612)
Securities <sup>1</sup>	(168,083)	135,911	(303,994)
Loans	(1,221,917)	(989,474)	(232,443)
Net change in interest income	(1,374,140)	(822,091)	(552,049)
Increase (decrease) in interest expense			
Interest-bearing deposits	(1,445,006)	(98,756)	(1,346,250)
Federal funds purchased, repurchase			
agreements and FRB discount window	16,772	10,455	6,317
Subordinated debentures, notes payable and			
FHLB advances	(37,838)	(153,455)	115,617
Net change in interest expense	(1,466,072)	(241,756)	(1,224,316)
Net change in net interest income	\$ 91,932	<u>\$(580,335)</u>	\$ 672,267

The Bank's internal prime lending rate is not likely to decline from the current level of 5% in 2011 and as mentioned above there is a fair amount of repricing opportunity in the time deposit portfolio over the next twelve months. As such, net interest margin improvement is anticipated in 2011.

The provision for loan losses was \$6.0 million for 2010 and \$2.6 million for 2009. In both years the expense was significantly impacted by credit quality, increased charge off activity and deteriorating collateral values on impaired loans. Loan quality downgrades or impairments on commercial and commercial real estate loans often occur for reasons such as past due payments or poor financials. Management continues to review the allowance with the intent of maintaining it at an appropriate level. The provision may be increased or decreased in the future as management continues to monitor the loan portfolio, actual loan loss experience and economic conditions. At December 31, 2010, management believes that the allowance level was adequate and justifiable based on the factors discussed earlier (see Financial Condition).

Non-interest income recorded in 2010 was \$1.6 million, which reflects a \$403,000 decrease since 2009. Service charge income on deposit accounts decreased 13% in the twelve months of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. The \$118,000 decrease in this category was essentially from fewer overdraft fees between the two years. Overdraft fees decreased \$122,000 in 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Adjusted to a fully tax equivalent basis.

Recorded gains on loan sales were \$317,000 in 2010 compared to \$344,000 in 2009. The Bank actively sells both residential mortgages and the guaranteed portion of its SBA loans. In neither 2009 nor 2010, were there any gains on SBA loan sales. Residential mortgage gains were down slightly year over year. Although the housing market in general is very sluggish, the Bank remains optimistic about continued gain on sale income because of the repricing opportunities for homeowners when rates occasionally decrease.

Net gains on securities sales were \$80,000 in 2010 compared to \$269,000 in 2009. In the first quarter of 2010, the Company sold a large portion of its municipal securities portfolio to reduce perceived risk. In that transaction ten securities were sold. In the first half of 2009, seven securities were sold to realize market gains and supplement capital.

There were 28 foreclosed properties sold in 2010 compared to six in 2009. There was a net loss of \$194,000 on the sale of foreclosed property in 2010 compared to a net loss of \$74,000 in 2009. Currently the Bank is holding 32 foreclosed properties. Management is focused on liquidating as many properties as possible in 2011. In spite of the properties being marked to fair value each quarter, it is likely that there will be more losses on future sale transactions.

Other non-interest income increased by \$69,000 between 2009 and 2010; 2010's total included \$36,000 more debit card income and \$41,000 more brokerage income. In May, the Bank rolled out a new debit card reward program that has increased the number of qualifying transactions. Due to upcoming regulatory changes, income from debit card transactions may be severely reduced.

Non-interest expenses increased \$395,000 for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2010 compared to the similar period in 2009. Total non-interest expenses were \$11.4 million for 2010 and \$11.0 million in 2009. Market value decreases on foreclosed property and higher FDIC and credit administration expenses were the main factors for the 3.6% increase.

Salaries and benefit expenses totaled \$4.1 million for 2010 and \$4.2 million for 2009; a reduction of \$114,000. Since year-end 2009, full time equivalent employees have been reduced by one and there have been no Company-wide salary increases. Additionally, the Bank had no 401(k) matching expense in 2010. In 2009, there was matching expense of \$55,000 for the first five months. The Bank continues to look for cost saving opportunities within the health and life insurance plans annually at contract renewal. At this time, management has no plans to increase staff or salaries or resume matching on the 401(k) plan in 2011.

Occupancy expenses totaled \$643,000 for 2010 which was an increase of 1% compared to 2009 expenses which were \$636,000.

Furniture and equipment expenses were \$578,000 in 2010; down \$90,000 compared to 2009. Depreciation expense on capital assets declined by nearly \$96,000 between 2009 and 2010. As the Bank's equipment ages, the assets become fully depreciated and no longer require a monthly expense. The benefit of lower depreciation was somewhat offset by higher maintenance contract expenses.

Advertising expenses were \$58,000 in 2010 compared to \$76,000 in 2009. The \$18,000 decrease between the years is mostly due to the fact that the Bank was intentionally trying to reduce its expenses to help offset increases in credit administration expenses.

Foreclosed asset impairment charges were \$2.6 million in 2010 compared to \$1.9 million in 2009. During the time that foreclosed real properties are waiting to be sold, there will be occasions that the Bank will need to reevaluate the individual market values of each property. If there is evidence that the fair value has declined since the last evaluation, the Bank will incur an impairment charge in order to properly reflect the fair value of

the asset at the end of the reporting period. Management believes that there will continue to be foreclosed real property impairment charges in 2011 but the expectation is that they will not be as significant as 2010. However, that belief is predicated on the assumption that the economy in Michigan will not continue to decline at the pace that it did in 2010. At December 31, 2010, there were 32 properties being held in foreclosed assets.

FDIC premiums increased 16% to \$739,000 in 2010 from \$635,000 in 2009. Beginning in the second quarter of 2010, the Bank was in a higher FDIC risk category due to its deteriorating capital position and its Consent Order. This change in risk category was largely responsible for the rise in premiums paid between 2010 and 2009. 2009's total included a special assessment of \$114,000. In the second quarter of 2011, the Bank anticipates a reduction in deposit insurance premiums assessed on smaller banks as a result of regulatory changes made to the calculation. This reduction is applicable to all smaller banks, regardless of risk category.

Other expenses were \$1.6 million in 2010 compared to \$1.8 million in 2009. The \$218,000 decrease between the years was primarily related to a reduction in credit administration expenses. Credit administration expenses declined \$157,000 in 2010. They aggregated \$761,000 in 2010 compared to \$918,000 in 2009. A large portion of the expense is property tax due on foreclosed real property. Often when the Bank receives legal title to a property, the taxes are seriously delinquent. The Bank is required to get the taxes caught up to a certain point to preserve its legal position as the temporary property holder. The decline in property tax obligations is directly proportional to the decrease in the number of foreclosed properties on the books. The Bank reduced the number of foreclosed properties being held by five during 2010. Many of the other expenses falling into this category had small decreases. The Bank's management as well as the staff are continually scrutinizing expenses and implementing all viable cost cutting measures.

The Company had a federal tax benefit of \$11,000 in 2010. The Company had a consolidated federal tax expense of \$119,000 in 2009 in spite of having pre-tax losses of \$5.0 million. Two significant federal tax transactions occurred in 2009. The first transaction occurred in the second quarter of 2009 when the Company established a full valuation allowance for its deferred tax assets. The effect of this was a federal tax expense of \$986,000. Future profits of the Company will not be subject to federal taxes until there is a trend of profitability. The second transaction occurred in the fourth quarter of 2009. The Federal government enacted a temporary change to the Internal Revenue Service Tax Code allowing companies a longer period in which to carry back current period losses. Taking advantage of this opportunity the Company was able to realize a current period benefit of \$867,000. As of December 31, 2009, the Company has fully utilized all of its loss carryback ability.

#### LIQUIDITY AND INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY

The Company's Asset Liability Committee ("ALCO"), which includes Senior Management, the Bank's Controller, and Assistant Controller, monitors and manages liquidity and interest rate risk. ALCO reports to the Board of Directors and operates within Board approved policy limits. Liquidity management involves the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of the Company's customers. These customers may be either borrowers with credit needs or depositors wanting to withdraw funds.

In addition to normal loan funding and deposit flow, the Bank needs to maintain liquidity to meet the demands of certain unfunded loan commitments and standby letters of credit. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had a total of \$24.9 million in unfunded loan commitments and \$1.3 million in unfunded standby letters of credit. Of the total unfunded loan commitments, nearly all were commitments available as lines of credit to be drawn at any time as customers' cash needs vary. The Bank monitors fluctuations in credit line balances and commitment levels, and includes such data in a liquidity snapshot that is distributed monthly to members of ALCO.

Many correspondent banks have actively reduced their credit exposure to other banks by either reducing or cancelling unsecured federal funds lines of credit. At both year-end 2009 and 2010, the Bank had no established

over night federal funds purchase lines through correspondent banks. The Bank's main sources of liquidity in times of unexpected customer activity are its excess liquidity at the FRB, the FHLB, its unencumbered securities, the Federal Reserve Discount Window Secondary Credit borrowing program and the internet time deposit listing service.

In 2010, the Bank strategically chose to increase its excess liquidity at the FRB and to increase unencumbered securities. Additionally, the Bank made efforts to obtain a secured overdraft line of credit with the FHLB.

At December 31, 2010, the FRB balance was \$21.5 million; the average balance held at the FRB for 2010 was \$19.9 million. This compares to a balance of \$618,000 on December 31, 2009 and an average balance of \$6.5 million. The entire FRB balance less reserve and clearing requirements is available for liquidity purposes.

The Bank had unencumbered securities of \$7.3 million on December 31, 2010. This was an increase in securities available to be sold for liquidity purposes of \$5.8 million compared to the prior year end. This method typically takes a few days. The Bank needs to find a buyer for the offerings and then the transaction needs a day to settle. In most cases a security sale can occur in 2-3 days but sometimes it will take longer. The downside of security sales is the potential realization in earnings of market value and book value differences. Currently there are \$188,000 of unrealized gains and \$35,000 of unrealized losses on securities available to be sold for liquidity purposes.

In the second quarter of 2010, the Bank applied for and was granted a fully secured \$5 million overdraft line of credit with the FHLB. There are securities totaling \$5.5 million pledged to that line. The Bank also has the capacity to borrow an additional \$4 million from the FHLB based on a pledge of \$6.1 million of residential mortgages. Based on Board approval, the Bank has the authority to borrow up to \$20 million from the FHLB. In order to maximize this opportunity, the Bank would need to pledge more collateral. To do this, management could consider pledging qualifying loans within the Bank's commercial real estate portfolio. At December 31, 2010, the balance of the commercial real estate portfolio was \$67.8 million. FHLB guidelines related to pledging these types of loans is very strict thus the Bank has not chosen to actively pursue this possibility.

The borrowing capacity at the Discount Window was \$10.3 million at December 31, 2010. This is a reduction from the \$17.5 million borrowing capacity at December 31, 2009. The Bank sold several of its pledged municipal securities securitizing the credit facility. During 2010, when the Bank ceased being well capitalized according to prompt corrective action regulations, the Bank became ineligible for the FRB primary credit borrowing program. The Bank is now in the secondary credit borrowing program. The most important differences between the two programs are the increased level of collateral required, the increased rates on the borrowing and the fact that this facility can only be considered once all other alternative liquidity sources have been exhausted and approval is given by the Bank's primary regulator. Prior to the Bank moving to the FRB secondary credit borrowing program, the Bank borrowed 25 times in the first quarter of 2010 under the primary credit terms. The daily average borrowing for the year was \$315,000. The Bank does not intend to utilize the Federal Reserve Discount Window in 2011 but will maintain the facility for emergency purposes. Currently the Bank has \$2.8 million of municipal securities and \$7.5 million of qualifying home equity loans pledged to support this credit facility.

It will always be the mission of the Bank to gather local deposits however, these deposits usually are slower to accumulate and are often more costly. When liquidity is needed, internet deposits are considered a viable resource. The Bank has been a member of an internet subscription service since December 2009. The internet subscription service allows the Bank to post rates for various terms within a closed network of pre-screened investors across the country Deposits gathered this way are generally between \$50,000 and \$99,000 each; up to a maximum of \$250,000. These deposits are not considered brokered. In 2010, the Bank gathered \$49.9 million of these deposits to fund brokered maturities and to increase balances at the FRB. The average term for these

deposits was over 26 months and the average rate paid was 1.44%. This source of deposits has been instrumental as an ALCO tool because the Bank is able to add longer term funding at rates that are significantly below the local market. ALCO strives to maximize earnings and will make decisions about targeted deposit gathering using these external sources based on many factors including comparative rate data.

Another important responsibility of the ALCO is to monitor interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the exposure to adverse changes in net interest income due to changes in interest rates. The Company employs a variety of measurement techniques to identify and manage this risk. A sophisticated simulation model is used to analyze net interest income sensitivity. The model incorporates both actual cash flows and contractual repricing behavior as well as economic and market based assumptions provided by Senior Management. ALCO strives to maintain a balance between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Overnight investments, on which rates change daily, and loans tied to the prime rate, differ considerably from long-term investment securities and fixed rate loans. Time deposits over \$100,000 and money market accounts are more interest rate sensitive than regular savings accounts. Comparison of the repricing intervals of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities is a measure of interest sensitivity gap.

Details of the Company's repricing gap at December 31, 2010 were:

#### Interest Rate Sensitivity Period

	Within Three	Three to	One to	After	
	Months	Twelve Months	Five Years	Five Years	Total
Earning assets	TVIOITIS	Wolling	icars	Tears	10(a)
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial					
institutions	\$21,565,572	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 21,565,572
Securities (includes					
FHLB stock)	3,909,852	7,329,993	17,236,139	8,507,719	36,983,703
Loans	69,914,313	23,335,382	55,454,975	17,802,475	166,507,145
	95,389,737	30,665,375	72,691,114	26,310,194	225,056,420
Interest-bearing liabilities	, ,	, ,	, - ,	,	,
Savings and checking	49,826,062	0	0	0	49,826,062
Time deposits <\$100,000	7,543,104	17,629,040	53,721,080	0	78,893,224
Time deposits >\$100,000	7,010,132	40,700,732	9,506,344	Û	57,217,208
Repurchase agreements and Federal funds					
purchased	7,460,795	0	0	0	7,460,795
Notes payable and other				•	
borrowings	9,500,000	0	0	0	9,500,000
	81,340,093	58,329,772	63,227,424	0	202,897,289
Net asset (liability) repricing		, ,	, ,		<b>,,</b>
gap	\$14,049,644	\$(27,664,397)	\$ 9,463,690	\$26,310,194	\$ 22,159,131
Cumulative net asset					
(liability) repricing gap	\$14,049,644	\$(13,614,753)	\$ (4,151,063)	\$22,159,131	:

The interest rate sensitivity table simply illustrates what the Company is contractually able to change in certain time frames. Currently the Company has a negative twelve-month repricing gap which indicates that the Company is liability sensitive in the next twelve-month period. This position implies that decreases to the national federal funds rate would have more of an impact on interest expense than on interest income during this period if there were a parallel shift in rates. For instance, if the Company's internal prime rate went down by 25 basis points and every interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability on the Company's December 31, 2010 balance sheet, repricing in the next twelve months, adjusted simultaneously by the same 25 basis points, more liabilities would be affected than assets. The short term repricing opportunities of time deposit liabilities will be helpful to the net interest margin and net interest income assuming the rate environment remains similar to that experienced in 2010. In 2011, over \$72 million in time deposits are scheduled to reprice to rates that are currently 100 basis points lower. Since management intends to keep the Bank's internal prime lending rate at a level of 5% or more in 2011 regardless of any changes to the national prime rate, the reduction in the cost of funding will help improve the net interest margin. Balancing the repricing and maturity gaps and managing interest rate sensitivity is a continual challenge that has been magnified by this economy.

#### CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company and the Bank are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. In general, capital amounts and classifications are subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weighting, and other factors, and the regulators can lower classifications in certain cases. Failure to meet various capital requirements can initiate regulatory action that could have a direct material effect on the financial statements.

Prompt corrective action regulations provide five classifications, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If a bank is not well capitalized, regulatory approval is required to accept brokered deposits. Subject to limited exceptions, a bank may not make a capital distribution if, after making the distribution, it would be undercapitalized. If a bank is undercapitalized, it is subject to being closely monitored by its principal federal regulator, its asset growth and expansion are restricted, and plans for capital restoration are required. In addition, further specific types of restrictions may be imposed on the bank at the discretion of the federal regulator.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's total risk-based capital ratio was 1.02%, or critically undercapitalized according to the regulatory risk-based capital guidelines. Consequently, the significant losses recorded in 2010 eroded the core capital of the Company which triggered large supplemental capital disallowances. Trust preferred securities and allowance for loan losses are the main supplemental capital components of the Company. At year-end 2010, \$4.3 million of trust preferred securities and \$1.3 million of qualifying allowance for loan losses were disallowed as tier two capital. At December 31, 2009, the total risk based capital ratio of the Company was 8.23% or adequately capitalized. In that year, the full value of trust preferred securities and qualifying allowance for loan losses were able to be included in total risk based capital calculations. These two items contributed \$7.0 million at December 31, 2009.

The total risk-based capital ratio of the Bank at December 31, 2010 was 7.06%, or undercapitalized. Year over year there was a \$24.9 million reduction of the Bank's risk weighted assets however that was not enough to offset the Bank's recorded net loss for the year. For more details about prompt corrective action regulations see Note 15 to the Company's Financial Statements.

During 2010, the Company did not have excess liquidity to contribute capital into the Bank. The Company's only capital resource is its cash balance of approximately \$125,000 and its dividend receivable from CS Financial Services. These are also the main liquidity resources for paying the Company's expenses. The cash on hand at the Company as of year-end 2010 is anticipated to cover the basic overhead expenses for a majority of the 2011 operating year. In addition to deferring interest payments on the subordinated debt and defaulting on interest obligations with Fifth Third, the Company voluntarily delisted from NASDAQ and reorganized the entire expense base of the Company to support efforts to preserve its limited cash resource.

Limited cash at the Company concerns the Board. Pursuing capital for liquidity purposes at the Company and contributing capital to the Bank is a priority; however the ability to fully comply with all of the requirements of the Consent Order, including maintaining specified capital levels, is not entirely within the control of the directors or management, and is not assured. Failure to comply with provisions of the Consent Order may result in further regulatory action that could have a material adverse effect on the Company and its shareholders, as well as the Bank. For now, the Board of Directors along with management intend to continue seeking capital raising possibilities, reducing problem assets and implementing cost saving tactics to make progress towards reducing controllable overhead expenses.

#### RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

Effect of Newly Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Standards:

Management has reviewed the issued but not yet effective accounting standards and has concluded that none are material to the Company's financial statements.





#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders Community Shores Bank Corporation Muskegon, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Community Shores Bank Corporation as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Corporation is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Community Shores Bank Corporation as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 20 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred significant recurring operating losses, is in default of its note payable collateralized by the stock of its wholly-owned bank subsidiary, and the subsidiary bank is undercapitalized and is not in compliance with revised minimum regulatory capital requirements under a formal regulatory agreement which has imposed limitations on certain operations. These events raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 20. These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Crowe Howath LLP

Grand Rapids, Michigan March 25, 2011

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS December 31, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009
ASSETS		
Cash and due from financial institutions	\$ 2,074,301	\$ 2,161,388
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	21,565,572	662,700
Cash and cash equivalents	23,639,873	2,824,088
Securities		
Available for sale (at fair value)	36,503,903	21,650,026
Held to maturity (fair value of \$5,945,437 at December 31, 2009)	0	5,841,421
Total securities	36,503,903	27,491,447
Loans held for sale	1,263,263	1,070,692
Loans	165,243,881	183,247,827
Less: Allowance for loan losses	4,791,907	3,782,132
Net loans	160,451,974	179,465,695
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	479,800	404,100
Premises and equipment, net	10,874,176	11,293,169
Accrued interest receivable	781,334	885,103
Foreclosed assets	3,382,594	6,440,916
Other assets	568,580	1,554,849
Total assets	\$237,945,497	\$231,430,059
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		100
Deposits		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 33,326,683	\$ 24,884,625
Interest-bearing	185,936,494	173,691,984
Total deposits	219,263,177	198,576,609
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	7,460,795	7,000,327
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	0	6,000,000
Notes payable	5,000,000	5,000,000
Subordinated debentures	4,500,000	4,500,000
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	875,738	613,132
Total liabilities	237,099,710	221,690,068
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock, no par value 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued	0	0
Common stock, no par value; 9,000,000 shares authorized 1,468,800 issued and outstanding	12.006.601	12 206 601
Retained deficit	13,296,691 (12,617,022)	13,296,691
Accumulated other comprehensive income	166,118	(3,734,295) 177,595
Total shareholders' equity	845,787	9,739,991
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity		
rotal natifices and shareholders equity	<u>\$237,945,497</u>	\$231,430,059

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009
Interest and dividend income		
Loans, including fees	\$11,075,774	\$12,297,691
Securities, taxable	724,834	685,029
Securities, tax exempt	124,868	258,319
Federal funds sold, FHLB dividends and other income	58,578	43,612
Total interest and dividend income	11,984,054	13,284,651
Interest expense	4 204 200	5,749,296
Deposits	4,304,290 75,837	59,065
Repurchase agreements, federal funds purchased, and other debt	652,616	690,454
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and notes payable		
Total interest expense	5,032,743	6,498,815
Net Interest Income	6,951,311	6,785,836
Provision for loan losses	6,024,775	2,607,643
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	926,536	4,178,193
Non-interest income		
Service charges on deposit accounts	787,980	905,983
Mortgage loan referral fees	0	17,114
Gain on sale of loans	316,525	344,459
Gain on sale of securities	79,814	268,635
Loss on the sale of foreclosed assets	(193,559)	(73,833)
Other	578,020	509,086
Total non-interest income	1,568,780	1,971,444
Non-interest expense		4.040.750
Salaries and employee benefits	4,146,318	4,260,752
Occupancy	643,159	635,502
Furniture and equipment	578,156	667,985
Advertising	58,411	76,448
Data processing	532,103	493,495
Professional services	513,458 2,575,129	497,357 1,905,622
Foreclosed asset impairment	738,940	634,639
FDIC Insurance Other	1,602,987	1,821,390
Total non-interest expense	11,388,661	10,993,190
Loss Before Federal Income Taxes	(8,893,345)	(4,843,553)
Federal income tax (benefit) expense	(10,618)	118,826
Net Loss	\$(8,882,727)	\$ (4,962,379)
Weighted average shares outstanding	1,468,800	1,468,800
Diluted average shares outstanding	1,468,800	1,468,800
Basic loss per share	\$ (6.05)	\$ (3.38)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (6.05)	\$ (3.38)

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY December 31, 2010 and 2009

	Shares	Common Stock	Retained Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance at January 1, 2009	1,468,800	\$13,296,691	\$ 1,228,084	\$ 421,679	\$14,946,454
Comprehensive income (loss):  Net loss Unrealized loss on securities available for			(4,962,379)		(4,962,379)
sale, net				(244,084)	(244,084)
Total comprehensive income (loss)					(5,206,463)
Balance at December 31, 2009 Comprehensive income (loss):	1,468,800	13,296,691	(3,734,295)	177,595	9,739,991
Net loss			(8,882,727)		(8,882,727)
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale, net				(11,477)	(11,477)
Total comprehensive income (loss)					(8,894,204)
Balance at December 31, 2010	1,468,800	\$13,296,691	\$(12,617,022)	\$ 166,118	\$ 845,787

### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (8,882,727)	\$ (4,962,379)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	6,024,775	2,607,643
Depreciation	579,553	675,738
Net amortization of securities	199,959	113,687
Net realized gain on sale of securities	(79,814)	(268,635)
Net realized gain on sale of loans	(316,525)	(344,459)
Net realized loss on the sale of foreclosed assets	193,559	73,833
Foreclosed asset impairment	2,575,129	1,905,622
Originations of loans for sale	(19,707,901)	(26,944,078)
Proceeds from loan sales	19,831,855	28,572,801
Deferred federal income tax expense	0	985,959
Net change in:		
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	1,090,040	(180,528)
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	262,606	26,767
Net cash from operating activities	1,770,509	2,261,971
Cash flows from investing activities		
Activity in available for sale securities:		
Sales	3,751,027	5,430,311
Maturities, prepayments and calls	11,445,595	5,195,090
Purchases	(24,340,702)	(13,331,394)
Activity in held to maturity securities:	, , , ,	, , , ,
Maturities, prepayments and calls	0	505,000
Loan originations and payments, net	10,798,221	15,894,173
Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank stock, net	(75,700)	0
Additions to premises and equipment, net	(160,560)	(99,166)
Proceeds from the sale of foreclosed assets	2,480,359	298,511
Net cash from investing activities	3,898,240	13,892,525
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net change in deposits	20,686,568	(20,988,931)
Net change in federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	460,468	1,186,722
Draws on notes payable	0	800,000
Repayment of FHLB advances	(6,000,000)	0
Net cash from financing activities	15,147,036	(19,002,209)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	20,815,785	(2,847,713)
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	2,824,088	5,671,801
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ 23,639,873	\$ 2,824,088
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 4,834,163	\$ 6,660,671
Transfers from loans to foreclosed assets	3,073,725	2,928,689
Transfers from securities held to maturity to available for sale	5,839,614	250,000
Foreclosed asset sales financed by the Bank	883,000	93,900

#### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Community Shores Bank Corporation (the "Company") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Community Shores Financial Services ("CS Financial Services"), and Community Shores Bank (the "Bank"), and the Bank's wholly-owned subsidiary, Community Shores Mortgage Company (the "Mortgage Company") and the Mortgage Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Berryfield Development, LLC ("Berryfield"), after elimination of significant intercompany transactions and accounts.

NATURE OF OPERATIONS: The Company was incorporated on July 23, 1998 under Michigan law and is a bank holding company owning all of the common stock of the Bank. The Bank is a Michigan banking corporation with depository accounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). The Bank provides a range of commercial and consumer banking services in West Michigan, primarily in Muskegon County, which includes the cities of Muskegon and North Muskegon, and Northern Ottawa County, which includes the city of Grand Haven. Those services reflect the Bank's strategy of serving small to medium-sized businesses, and individual customers in its market area. Services for businesses include traditional business accounts and both commercial and commercial real estate loans. At year-end 2010, the loan portfolio was 35% commercial and 41% commercial real estate. There were 5% of total commercial real estate loans classified as land development. There are no significant concentrations of loans to any one industry or customer; however, the borrowers' ability to repay their loans is affected by the real estate market and general market conditions in the Bank's market area. Management focuses the Bank's retail banking strategy on providing traditional banking products and services, including consumer and residential loans, automated teller machines, computer banking, telephone banking and automated bill-paying services to individuals and businesses in the Bank's market area. The Bank began operations on January 18, 1999.

The Mortgage Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, was formed on March 1, 2002 by transferring a majority of the Bank's commercial and residential real estate loans at that date in exchange for 100% of the equity capital of the Mortgage Company. On the day of formation, the Mortgage Company commenced operations and became legally able to originate residential mortgage loans. The Bank services the entire portfolio of loans held by the Mortgage Company pursuant to a servicing agreement.

Berryfield, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Mortgage Company, is a limited liability company that was created in October 2010. The entity's sole purpose is to oversee the development and sale of vacant lots that have been foreclosed on by the Mortgage Company.

The Company filed an election to become a financial holding company pursuant to Title I of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and on September 27, 2002 received regulatory approval. At that time the Company formed CS Financial Services. Currently the only source of revenue that CS Financial Services receives is referral fee income from a local insurance agency, Lakeshore Employee Benefits. Lakeshore Employee Benefits offers, among other things, employer-sponsored benefit plans. CS Financial Services has the opportunity to earn a referral fee for each sale of employer-sponsored benefits that is transacted by Lakeshore Employee Benefits as a result of a referral made by CS Financial Services. On April 16, 2009, the Company withdrew its election to be a financial holding company. The election was acknowledged by the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. The passive income derived from CS Financial Services affiliation with Lakeshore Employee Benefits is unaffected by this change.

Community Shores Capital Trust I, ("the Trust") was formed in December 2004. The Company owns all of the common securities of this special purpose trust. The Trust is not consolidated and exists solely to issue capital securities.

#### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>USE OF ESTIMATES</u>: To prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The primary estimates incorporated into the Company's consolidated financial statements, which are susceptible to change in the near term, include the allowance for loan losses; the fair value of financial instruments, the carrying value of foreclosed assets and a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets.

CASH FLOW REPORTING: Cash and cash equivalents includes cash, demand deposits with other financial institutions, short-term investments (securities with daily put provisions) and federal funds sold. Cash flows are reported net for customer loan and deposit transactions, interest-bearing time deposits with other financial institutions and short-term borrowings with maturities of 90 days or less.

<u>INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS IN OTHER FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS</u>: Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions are carried at cost.

SECURITIES: Securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income. Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for mortgage-backed securities where prepayments are expected. Gains and losses on sales are based on the amortized cost of the security sold.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when the economic conditions warrant such evaluation. Declines in the fair value of securities below their cost that are other-than-temporary are reflected in realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary losses, management considers: the length of time and extent that fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, and whether the Company has the intent to sell or is likely to be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery.

<u>LOANS</u>: Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at the principal balance outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs and an allowance for loan losses. Loans held for sale consist of both residential mortgage loans as well as Small Business Administration ("SBA") guaranteed loans. Loans held for sale are reported at the lower of cost or market, on an aggregated basis. Residential mortgage loans are sold to outside investors servicing released, however the Bank retains servicing on SBA loans which are sold. There were loans totaling \$1,263,263 held for sale at December 31, 2010 compared to \$1,070,692 at December 31, 2009.

The loan portfolio consists of the following segments:

Commercial and Industrial- Loans to businesses that are sole proprietorships, partnerships, limited liability companies and corporations. These loans are for commercial, industrial, or professional purposes. The risk characteristics of these loans vary based on the borrowers business and industry as repayment is typically dependent on cash flows generated from the underlying business.

Commercial Real Estate- Loans to individuals or businesses that are secured by improved and unimproved vacant land, farmland, commercial real property, multifamily residential properties, and all

#### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

other conforming, nonresidential properties. Proceeds may be used for land acquisition, development or construction. These loans typically fall into two general categories: property that is owner occupied and income or investment property. Owner occupied commercial real estate loans typically involve the same risks as commercial and industrial loans however the underlying collateral is the real estate which is subject to changes in market value after the loan's origination. Adverse economic events and changes in real estate market valuations generally describe the risks that accompany commercial real estate loans involving income or investment property. The ability of the borrower to repay tends to depend on the success of the underlying project or the ability of the borrower to sell or lease the property at certain anticipated values.

Consumer-Term loans or lines of credit for the purchase of consumer goods, vehicles or home improvement. The risk characteristics of the loans in this segment vary depending on the type of collateral but for the most part repayment is expected from an individual continuing to generate a cash flow that supports the calculated payment obligation. Secondary support could involve liquidation of collateral.

Residential Real Estate-Loans to purchase or refinance single family residences. The risks associated with this segment are similar to the risks for consumer loans as far as individual payment obligations however the underlying collateral is the real estate. Real estate is subject to changes in market valuation and can be unstable for a variety of reasons.

For all loan segments, interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal using the interest method assigned to the loan product and includes amortization of net deferred loan fees and costs over the loan term. Interest income is not reported when full loan repayment is in doubt. A loan is moved to non-accrual status when it is past due over 90 days unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. If a loan is not past due but deemed to be impaired it will also be moved to non-accrual status. These rules apply to loans in all segments. However certain classes of loans in the consumer segment may simply get charged off as opposed to moving to non accrual status.

All interest accrued but not received for a loan placed on non-accrual is reversed against interest income at the time the loan is assigned non-accrual status. Payments received on such loans are applied to principal when there is doubt about recovering the full principal outstanding. Loans are eligible to return to accrual status after six months of timely payment and future payments are reasonably assured.

<u>ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES</u>: The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses, increased by the provision for loan losses and from recoveries of previously charged-off loans and decreased by charge-offs.

The allowance for loan loss analysis is performed monthly. Management's methodology consists of specific and general components. The general component covers non-impaired loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current economic factors.

The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Bank over the most recent 3 years. The historical loss experience is recalculated at the end of each quarter. This actual loss experience is supplemented with current economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These current economic factors are also revisited at the end of each quarter and include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk

#### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; quality of loan review system; degree of oversight by the Board of Directors; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; competition and legal and regulatory requirements; and effects of changes in credit concentrations. There were no changes to this methodology in 2010.

For the commercial and commercial real estate portfolio segments, the historical loss is tracked by original loan grade. The Bank utilizes a numeric grading system for commercial and commercial real estate loans. Grades are assigned to each commercial and commercial real estate loan by assessing information about the specific borrower's situation and the estimated collateral values. The description of the loan grade criteria is included in Note 3. The most significant risk to the commercial segments is the development and sales and the collateral values. In the current economic environment, development and sales activity has slowed down and collateral values have declined which has required more frequent collateral analysis of impaired loans and has increases the historical loss factors in the general allocation.

Within the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate portfolios, there are classes of loans with like risk characteristics that are periodically segregated because management has determined that the historical losses or current factors are unique and ought to be considered separately from the entire segment. In 2010, management chose to consider separately the historical loss on land development loans because of the extraordinary impact that the economic downturn had on vacant land and losses within that class were not necessarily indicative of those in the entire commercial and industrial and commercial real estate segments. The land development losses were not previously segregated from the commercial and commercial real estate segments historical loss calculation.

For the consumer segment, historical loss experience is based on the actual loss history of the following four classes; general consumer loans, personal lines of credit, home equity lines of credit and credit cards. The level of delinquencies and charge off experience directly impacts the general allocations to the consumer classes. Home equity loans have been significantly impacted by declining real estate values, which has increased general allocations in the allowance for loan losses.

The residential segment loss experience is not segregated by grades or classes. The level of delinquencies, charge off experience, and direction of real estate values directly impacts the general allocations to the residential real estate segment. Declining real estate values have resulted in increased general allocations in the allowance for loan losses.

The specific component of the allowance for loan losses relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which the terms have been modified resulting in a concession, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and are classified as impaired.

Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired.

Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of

#### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Any loan within a segment can be considered for individual impairment if it meets the above criteria. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Troubled debt restructurings are separately identified for impairment disclosures and are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception. If a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be a collateral dependent loan, the loan is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral.

Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans and groups, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged-off. Loan balances are generally charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Statutorily the Bank must charge off any bad debt that reaches delinquency of 360 days. In the case of an impaired loan, management typically charges off any portion of the debt that is unsecured based on an internal analysis of future cash flows and or collateral.

SERVICING RIGHTS: Servicing rights are recognized separately when they are acquired through the sales of loans where servicing is retained by the institution. At this time SBA guaranteed loans are the only loans that are sold where servicing is retained. When loans are sold, servicing rights are initially recorded at fair value with the income statement effect recorded in gains on sales of loans. Under the fair value measurement method used by the Company, earnings are adjusted for the change in fair value in the period in which the change occurs, and the amount is included with other non-interest income on the income statement. The Company uses a third party valuation at each quarter end to adjust servicing assets to their fair value as of the end of the reporting period. The fair value of servicing rights is subject to fluctuation as a result of changes in the underlying assumptions used by the third party to conduct its valuation. Servicing rights were \$38,858 at December 31, 2010 and \$46,602 at December 31, 2009.

Servicing fee income is recorded for fees earned for servicing loans and is reported on the income statement as other non-interest income. The fees are based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal of the guaranteed portion. Servicing fees totaled \$40,339 for the year ended December 31, 2010 and \$51,411 for the year ended December 31, 2009.

TRANSFERS OF FINANCIAL ASSETS: Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been relinquished. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when the assets have been isolated from the Company, the transferred obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

<u>FORECLOSED ASSETS</u>: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling cost when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines subsequent to foreclosure, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Operating costs after acquisition are expensed.

<u>PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT:</u> Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Buildings and related components are depreciated using the straight-line method with

#### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

useful lives ranging from 5 to 40 years. Furniture, fixtures and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method with useful lives ranging from 3 to 7 years.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK (FHLB) STOCK: The Bank is a member of the FHLB system. Members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on the level of borrowings and other factors. FHLB stock is carried at cost, classified as a restricted security, and periodically evaluated for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. Both cash and stock dividends are reported as income.

<u>LONG-TERM ASSETS</u>: Premises and equipment and other long-term assets are reviewed for impairment when events indicate their carrying amount may not be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value.

<u>REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS</u>: Substantially all repurchase agreement liabilities represent amounts advanced by various customers. These balances are not deposits and are not covered by federal deposit insurance. Securities are pledged to cover these liabilities.

STOCK COMPENSATION: Compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock awards issued to employees, based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period. For awards with graded vesting, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

INCOME TAXES: Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. The Company is only subject to examinations of federal taxing authorities for years after 2006. The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax. The Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase in the next twelve months. The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. The Company did not have any amounts accrued for interest and penalties at either December 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS: Financial instruments include off-balance sheet credit instruments, such as commitments to make loans and standby letters of credit, issued to meet customer financing needs. The face amount for these items represents the exposure to loss, before considering customer collateral or ability to repay. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded. Standby letters of credit are considered guarantees in accordance with guidance issued by FASB and are recorded at fair value.

EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE: Basic earnings per common share is net income (loss) divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares issuable under stock options. In both 2009 and

#### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

2010, stock options for 47,300 shares of common stock were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive.

<u>COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)</u>: Comprehensive income consists of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, which are also recognized as separate components of equity.

LOSS CONTINGENCIES: Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Currently, management does not believe there now are such matters that will have a material effect on the financial statements.

RESTRICTIONS ON CASH: The Bank was required to have \$1,211,000 of cash on hand, or on deposit, with the Federal Reserve Bank to meet regulatory reserve and clearing requirements at year-end 2010. The requirement at year-end 2009 was \$1,132,000.

<u>DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS</u>: Holders of the Company's common stock are entitled to receive dividends that the Board of Directors may declare from time to time. The Company may only pay dividends out of funds that are legally available for that purpose. The Company's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders depends primarily on the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company. Dividend payments and extensions of credit to the Company from the Bank are subject to legal and regulatory limitations, generally based on capital levels and current and retained earnings.

On December 16, 2010, the Company entered into a Written Agreement with the FRB. The Written Agreement contained several provisions related to dividends and distributions. Essentially the Company shall not declare or pay any dividends without the prior written approval of the FRB, and the Company shall not accept dividends or any other form of payment from the Bank without the prior written approval of the FRB. Similarly the Bank is prohibited from paying dividends. The Bank entered into a Consent Order with the FDIC and the OFIR, its primary banking regulators, which became effective on September 2, 2010. The Consent Order, among other things, explicitly prohibits the Bank from declaring or paying a dividend without the prior written consent of the regulators.

Prior to the regulatory dividend restrictions, there were several other restrictions in place as a result of various debt covenants. For instance, under the terms of the subordinated debentures, the Company is precluded from paying dividends on its common stock because the Company exercised its right to defer payments of interest on the subordinated debentures in June of 2010. No dividends may be issued until the deferral ends. Additionally, the Company's dividends are limited by its lender imposed debt covenant if the resource of the cash was from loan proceeds.

<u>FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS</u>: Fair value of financial instruments is estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in a separate note. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

#### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS:

In June 2009, the FASB amended previous guidance relating to transfers of financial assets, and for consolidation of variable interest entity by replacing the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. Additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities are also required. This guidance was effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. This guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. The disclosure provisions were also amended and apply to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date of this guidance. Early adoption was prohibited. Adoption of this new guidance by the Company on January 1, 2010 did not have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

Also in June 2009, the FASB amended previous guidance relating to transfers of financial assets and eliminates the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. This guidance must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. This guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. Additionally, on and after the effective date, the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity is no longer relevant for accounting purposes. Therefore, formerly qualifying special-purpose entities should be evaluated for consolidation by reporting entities on and after the effective date in accordance with the applicable consolidation guidance. The disclosure provisions were also amended and apply to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date of this guidance. The effect of adopting this new guidance was not material to the Company.

In July 2010, the FASB issued an Accounting Standards Update, "Receivables: Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." The objective of this update is for an entity to provide disclosures that facilitate financial statement users' evaluation of the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity's portfolio of financing receivables, how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. An entity should provide disclosures on a disaggregated basis on two defined levels: (1) portfolio segment; and (2) class of financing receivable. The update makes changes to existing disclosure requirements and includes additional disclosure requirements about financing receivables, including credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables. For public entities, the disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010 and have been added to Note 3. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The effect of adopting this new guidance on December 31, 2010 was disclosure-related only and had no impact on the Company's results of operations.

#### EFFECT OF NEWLY ISSUED BUT NOT YET EFFECTIVE ACCOUNTING STANDARDS:

Management has reviewed the issued but not yet effective accounting standards and has concluded that none are material to the Company's financial statements.

### NOTE 1 — SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>OPERATING SEGMENTS</u>: While Management monitors the revenue streams of the various products and services, the identifiable segments are not material and operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Company-wide basis. Accordingly, all of the financial service operations are considered to be aggregated in one reportable segment, banking.

<u>RECLASSIFICATION</u>: Some items in the prior year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

#### NOTE 2 — SECURITIES

The fair value of available for sale securities and the related gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Available for Sale				
2010				
US Treasury	\$ 1,004,240	\$ 6,854	\$ 0	\$ 1,011,094
US Government and federal agency	16,696,952	222,261	(10,497)	16,908,716
Municipals	3,179,898	62,864	(4,468)	3,238,294
Mortgage-backed and collateralized				
mortgage obligations — residential	15,239,466	236,840	(130,507)	15,345,799
	\$36,120,556	\$528,819	\$(145,472)	\$36,503,903
2009			<del></del>	
US Government and federal agency	\$14,357,370	\$138,037	\$ 0	\$14,495,407
Municipals	1,144,121	33,165	0	1,177,286
Mortgage-backed — residential	5,753,711	226,766	(3,144)	5,977,333
	\$21,255,202	\$397,968	\$ (3,144)	\$21,650,026

Periodically the Company will implement a strategy to realize market value gains within its securities portfolio to supplement earnings and capital. Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities in 2010 totaled \$3,751,027 resulting in a realized gain of \$80,079 and realized loss of \$265. Proceeds from sales of available for sale securities in 2009 totaled \$5,430,311 resulting in a realized gain of \$273,010 and realized loss of \$4,375.

#### **NOTE 2 — SECURITIES (Continued)**

The carrying amount, unrecognized gains and losses and fair value of securities held to maturity were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrecognized Gains	Gross Unrecognized Losses	Fair Value
2009 Municipals	\$5,841,421	\$104,016	\$0	\$5,945,437
Withitipais	Ψ5,041,421	φ10-1,010	<b>₩</b>	Ψο,ν το, το τ

In 2010, several of the securities sold were transferred out of the held to maturity portfolio. As a result, the Company needed to transfer all of its remaining held to maturity securities into the available for sale portfolio in order to comply with accounting guidance. The carrying amount of the securities transferred during 2010 was \$5,839,614. Of that total, \$3,551,506 was sold. The remaining \$2,288,108 had an unrealized gain of \$28,192 that was recorded through other comprehensive income upon transfer.

The fair value of debt securities and carrying amount, if different, at year-end 2010 and year-end 2009 by contractual maturity were as follows. Securities not due at a single maturity date, primarily mortgage-backed securities, are shown separately:

	Available	e for Sale		
2010	Amortized Cost	Fair Value		
Due in one year or less	\$ 4,423,982	\$ 4,455,691		
Due from one to five years	14,701,010	14,916,082		
Due in more than five years	1,756,098	1,786,331		
Due in more than ten years	0	0		
Mortgage-backed and collateralized				
mortgage obligations — residential	15,239,466	15,345,799		
	\$36,120,556	\$36,503,903		
	Available	for Sale	Held to	Maturity
	Amortized	Fair	Amortized	Fair
2009	Cost	Value	Cost	Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 1,005,004	\$ 1,011,077	\$ 0	\$ 0
Due from one to five years	13,957,329	14,116,787	1,391,503	1,449,340
Due in more than five years	539,158	544,829	4,449,918	4,496,097
Due in more than ten years	0	0	0	0
Mortgage-backed — residential	5,753,711	5,977,333	0	0
	\$21,255,202	\$21,650,026	\$5,841,421	\$5,945,437

#### **NOTE 2** — **SECURITIES** (Continued)

Securities with unrealized losses not recognized in income at year-end 2010 and 2009, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position are as follows:

<u>2010</u>	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total		
Available for Sale	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	
US Government and federal agency	\$ 2,489,266	\$ (10,497)	\$0	\$0	\$ 2,489,266	\$ (10,497)	
Municipals Mortgage-backed and	350,532	(4,468)	0	0	350,532	(4,468)	
collateralized mortgage obligations—residential	7,887,368	(130,507)	0	0	7,887,368	(130,507)	
	\$10,727,166	<u>\$(145,472)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$10,727,166	<u>\$(145,472)</u>	
2009	Less than 1	12 Months	12 Moi	nths or Longer	To	otal	
Available for Sale	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	
Mortgage-backed-residential	\$237,655	\$(3,144)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$237,655	<u>\$(3,144)</u>	
	<u>\$237,655</u>	<u>\$(3,144)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$237,655</u>	<u>\$(3,144)</u>	

#### Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for OTTI at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. The investment securities portfolio is evaluated for OTTI following guidance issued by FASB.

In determining OTTI under the FASB model, management considers many factors, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether an other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

When OTTI occurs, the amount of the OTTI recognized in earnings depends on whether an entity intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss. If an entity intends to sell or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss, the OTTI will be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period loss, the OTTI will be separated into the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the total OTTI related to the credit loss is determined based on the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total OTTI

#### **NOTE 2** — **SECURITIES** (Continued)

related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. The previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings becomes the new amortized cost basis of the investment.

At both year-end 2010 and 2009, approximately 100% of the mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, primarily Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae, institutions which the government has affirmed its commitment to support. At December 31, 2010, 16 debt securities had unrealized losses with aggregate depreciation of 1.34% from the amortized cost basis. 15 of the 16 securities are issued by a government agency. During the first half of the year, the Company implemented a strategy to realize market value gains within its securities portfolio to supplement earnings and capital. Going forward it is not the Company's intent to continue this practice. It is likely that these debt securities will be retained given the fact that they are pledged to various public funds. The reported decline in value is not material and is deemed to be market driven. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and illiquidity, and not credit quality, and because the Company does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is likely that it will not be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

At year-end 2010 and 2009, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than U.S. Government and federal agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders' equity. Securities pledged at year-end 2010 had a carrying amount of \$29,206,793 and were pledged to secure public fund customers, the Federal Reserve Discount Window, the Federal Home Loan Bank overdraft line of credit, customer repurchase agreements, and treasury tax and loan balances. Pledged securities at year-end 2009 had a carrying amount of \$26,086,672.

#### NOTE 3 — LOANS

Loans at year-end were as follows:

·	2010	2009
Commercial	\$ 58,416,643	\$ 69,385,747
Commercial Real Estate:		
General	58,996,411	61,308,578
Construction	8,782,762	9,737,032
Consumer:		
Lines of credit	15,954,866	18,063,471
Other	3,087,409	4,076,991
Credit card	520,095	596,584
Residential	19,534,704	20,143,952
	165,292,890	183,312,355
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(4,791,907)	(3,782,132)
Net deferred loan fees	(49,009)	(64,528)
Loans, net	<u>\$160,451,974</u>	\$179,465,695

#### NOTE 3 — LOANS (Continued)

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the year was as follows:

	2010	2009
Beginning balance	\$ 3,782,132	\$ 4,350,903
Charge-offs	(5,090,138)	(3,228,567)
Recoveries	75,138	52,153
Provision for loan losses	6,024,775	2,607,643
Ending balance	\$ 4,791,907	\$ 3,782,132

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of year-end:

2010	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Consumer	Residential	Total
Allowances for loan losses:					
Ending allowance balance					
attributable to loans:					
Individually evaluated for					*
impairment	\$ 195,684	\$ 1,789,936	\$ 85,233	\$ 49,145	\$ 2,119,998
Collectively evaluated for					
impairment	1,023,181	1,106,240	461,370	81,118	2,671,909
Total ending allowance					
balance	\$ 1,218,865	\$ 2,896,176	\$ 546,603	\$ 130,263	\$ 4,791,907
Loans:					
Individually evaluated for					
impairment	\$ 4,276,850	\$ 8,405,141	\$ 307,307	\$ 1,339,741	\$ 14,329,039
Collectively evaluated for					
impairment	54,326,131	59,724,688	19,096,341	18,508,671	151,655,831
Total ending loans balance	\$58,602,981	\$68,129,829	\$19,403,648	\$19,848,412	\$165,984,870
		<del></del>			
		Commercial			
2009	Commercial	Real Estate	Consumer	Residential	Total
Allowances for loan losses:				<u></u>	
Ending allowance balance					
attributable to loans:					
Individually evaluated for					
impairment	\$ 587,950	\$ 1,072,388	\$ 66,232	\$ 0	\$ 1,726,570
Collectively evaluated for	000.101				
impairment	938,134	755,634	252,801	108,993	2,055,562
Total ending allowance					
balance	\$ 1,526,084	\$ 1,828,022	\$ 319,033	\$ 108,993	\$ 3,782,132
Loans:					
Individually evaluated for					
impairment	\$ 3,563,028	\$10,166,701	\$ 346,512	\$ 170,410	\$ 14,246,651
Collectively evaluated for	66.050.110				
impairment	66,050,149	61,221,520	22,157,841	20,059,819	169,489,329
Total ending loans balance	\$69,613,177	<u>\$71,388,221</u>	\$22,504,353	\$20,230,229	\$183,735,980

NOTE 3 — LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of year-end:

2010	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial	\$ 3,692,148	\$ 3,689,868	\$	0
Commercial Real Estate:				
General	2,684,164	2,681,391		0
Construction	664,866	664,866		0
Consumer:				
Lines of credit	108,274	108,274		0
Other	18,900	18,900		0
Residential	848,983	849,457		0
Subtotal	\$ 8,017,335	\$ 8,012,756	\$	0
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial	584,702	582,331	19:	5,684
Commercial Real Estate:				
General	3,088,254	3,078,481		5,175
Construction	1,967,857	1,967,857	1,21	4,761
Consumer:				
Lines of credit	131,515	131,149		2,799
Other	45,829	45,696		9,645
Credit card	2,789	2,789		2,789
Residential	490,758	490,385	4	9,145
Subtotal	\$ 6,311,704	\$ 6,298,688	\$2,11	9,998
Total	<u>\$14,329,039</u>	<u>\$14,311,444</u>	\$2,11	9,998
		Unpaid		
	Recorded	Principal	Rela	ated
2009	 Investment	Balance	Allov	
<del></del>				
With no related allowance recorded:	¢2 620 296	¢2 507 115	\$	0
Commercial Commercial Real Estate:	\$2,629,286	\$2,597,115	Ф	U
General General	3,228,810	3,197,459		0
Construction	1,036,329	1,036,048		0
Consumer:	1,030,329	1,030,040		J
Lines of credit	158,477	158,477		0
Other	6,801	6,801		0
Residential	170,410	170,410		0
			•	0
Subtotal	\$7,230,113	\$7,166,310	\$	U

**NOTE 3 — LOANS (Continued)** 

<u>2009</u>	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial	\$ 933,742	\$ 934,578	\$ 587,950	
Commercial Real Estate:				
General	4,504,004	4,495,262	681,641	
Construction	1,397,558	1,397,558	390,747	
Consumer:				
Lines of credit	181,066	180,374	66,064	
Credit card	168	168	168	
Subtotal	\$ 7,016,538	\$ 7,007,940	\$1,726,570	
Total	\$14,246,651	\$14,174,250	\$1,726,570	
		2010	2009	
Average of impaired loans during the year		\$14,323,860	\$11,754,330	
Interest income recognized during impairment		238,647	389,548	
Cash-basis interest income recognized		214,270	339,636	

Non-performing loans and impaired loans are defined differently. Some loans may be included in both categories, whereas other loans may only be included in one category. Non-accrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of year-end:

	20	010	20	2009	
	Non Accrual	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing	Non Accrual	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing	
Commercial	\$1,316,168	\$ 0	\$1,866,179	\$ 814,340	
Commercial Real Estate:					
General	3,143,819	0	3,660,602	. 0	
Construction	2,632,723	0	2,190,703	0	
Consumer:					
Lines of credit	214,489	0	223,131	170,622	
Other	0	1,776	6,801	26,406	
Credit card	0	0	168	4,635	
Residential	748,166	0	170,410	0	
Total	\$8,055,365	\$1,776	\$8,117,994	\$1,016,003	

#### NOTE 3 — LOANS (Continued)

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of year-end by class of loans:

	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater Than 90 Days and	Total	~	Total Recorded
2010	Past Due	Past Due	Non Accrual	Past Due	Current	Investment
Commercial	\$ 627,034	\$ 0	\$ 1,316,168	\$ 1,943,202	\$ 56,659,779	\$ 58,602,981
Commercial Real Estate:						
General	157,637	217,803	3,143,819	3,519,259	55,802,388	59,321,647
Construction	0	0	2,632,723	2,632,723	6,175,459	8,808,182
Consumer:						
Lines of credit	79,191	35,460	214,489	329,140	15,619,003	15,948,143
Other	12,054	0	1,776	13,830	2,921,580	2,935,410
Credit card	0	0	0	0	520,095	520,095
Residential	94,404	0	748,166	842,570	19,005,842	19,848,412
Total	\$970,320	<u>\$253,263</u>	<u>\$8,057,141</u>	\$9,280,724	<u>\$156,704,146</u>	<u>\$165,984,870</u>
		(0.00.7	Greater Than	- T		Total
2000	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	90 Days and	Total	<b>a</b> .	Recorded
2009	Past Due	Past Due	Non Accrual	Past Due	Current	Investment
Commercial	\$ 607,770	\$ 62,939	\$ 2,680,519	\$ 3,351,228	\$ 66,261,949	\$ 69,613,177
Commercial Real Estate:						
General	56,368	173,383	3,660,602	3,890,353	57,735,221	61,625,574
Construction	0	0	2,190,703	2,190,703	7,571,944	9,762,647
Consumer:						
Lines of credit	51,791	168,705	393,753	614,249	17,272,283	17,886,532
Other	29,169	8,177	33,207	70,553	3,950,684	4,021,237
Credit card	29,665	7,400	4,803	41,868	554,716	596,584
Residential	555,813	367,479	170,410	1,093,702	19,136,527	20,230,229
Total	\$1,330,576	\$788,083	\$9,133,997	\$11,252,656	\$172,483,324	\$183,735,980

#### Troubled Debt Restructurings:

The Company has allocated \$447,947 and \$360,443 of specific reserves on \$5,370,581 and \$2,657,649 of loans to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. The Company has also committed \$1,146,342 and \$1,185,958 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 to customers whose loans are classified as a troubled debt restructuring. These two customers are paying as agreed on those loans.

#### Credit Quality Indicators:

The Bank utilizes a numeric grading system for commercial and commercial real estate loans to indicate the strength of the credit. Grades are assigned to each commercial and commercial real estate loan by assessing information about the specific borrower's situation and the estimated collateral values. The loan grades are as follows:

### NOTE 3 — LOANS (Continued)

- 1. Exceptional. Loans with an exceptional credit rating.
- 2. Quality. Loans with excellent sources of repayment that conform, in all respects, to Bank policy and regulatory requirements. These are loans for which little repayment risk has been identified.
- 3. Above Average. Loans with above average sources of repayment and minimal identified credit or collateral exceptions and minimal repayment risk.
- 4. Average. Loans with average sources of repayment that materially conform to Bank policy and regulatory requirements. Repayment risk is considered average.
- 5. Acceptable. Loans with acceptable sources of repayment and risk.
- 5W. Watch. Loans considered to be below average quality. The loans are often fundamentally sound but require more frequent management review because of an adverse financial event. Risk of non payment is elevated.
- 6. Special Mention. Loans that have potential weaknesses and deserve close attention. If uncorrected, further deterioration is likely. Risk of non payment is above average.
- 7. Substandard. Loans that are inadequately protected by the borrower's capacity to pay or the collateral pledged. Risk of non payment is high.
- 8. Doubtful. Loans in this grade have identified weaknesses that make full repayment highly questionable and improbable.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and based on the most recent analysis performed in the fourth quarter of each year, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

	Comn	nercial		Real Estate eral		Real Estate uction
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
1	\$ 0	\$ 50,824	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
2	583,364	630,450	0	0	0	0
3	1,722,896	4,655,279	3,443,220	4,500,309	30,927	33,290
4	21,109,601	24,053,200	17,362,231	21,590,396	2,727,615	587,450
5	19,659,157	24,601,177	24,656,543	22,663,335	1,702,025	6,194,875
5W	1,687,613	4,618,976	4,399,618	2,981,616	1,484,710	513,142
6	9,349,408	7,190,269	4,777,202	2,631,346	190,333	0
7	3,292,002	2,296,810	2,328,376	6,337,435	39,849	243,187
8	1,198,940	1,516,192	2,354,457	921,137	2,632,723	2,190,703
Total	<u>\$58,602,981</u>	\$69,613,177	\$59,321,647	\$61,625,574	\$8,808,182	\$9,762,647

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For residential and consumer loan classes, the Company evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented on page 49, and by payment activity.

### **NOTE 3 — LOANS (Continued)**

The following tables present the recorded investment in residential and consumer loans based on payment activity as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

_						
	Resid	ential				
	2010	2009				
Performing	\$18,508,671	\$20,059,819				
Impaired	1,339,741	170,410				
Total	\$19,848,412	\$20,230,229				
	Consumer —	Lines of credit	Consume	r — Other	Consumer –	<ul><li>Credit card</li></ul>
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Performing	\$15,708,354	\$17,546,989	\$2,870,681	\$4,014,436	\$517,306	\$596,416
Impaired	239,789	339,543	64,729	6,801	2,789	<u> 168</u>
Total	\$15,948,143	\$17,886,532	\$2,935,410	<u>\$4,021,237</u>	\$520,095	<u>\$596,584</u>
NOTE 4 — FORECL	OSED ASSETS	<b>;</b>				
Foreclosed asset activit	y:					2000
				-	2010	2009
Beginning of year					140,916 073,725	\$ 5,884,093 2,928,689
Additions Proceeds from sales				•	363,359)	(392,411)
Losses from sales				• •	193,559)	(73,833)
Direct write-downs				•	575,129)	(1,905,622)
End of year				\$ 3,	382,594	\$ 6,440,916
Expenses related to for	eclosed assets in	iclude:			2010	2000
				<del>.</del>	2010	2009
Operating expenses, ne	t of rental incon	ne		\$	445,682	\$565,905
NOTE 5 — PREMIS	ES AND EQUI	PMENT				
Year-end premises and	equipment were	as follows:				
				2	2010	2009
Land & land improven	nents			\$ 5,	447,328	\$ 5,447,328
Buildings & building is	mprovements				132,163	5,959,371
Furniture, fixtures and				3,	652,390	3,648,675
Construction in process	S				17,618	61,432
					249,499	15,116,806
Less: accumulated dep	reciation			4,	375,323	3,823,637
				<u>\$10,</u>	874,176	\$11,293,169

Depreciation expense was \$579,553 for 2010 and \$675,738 for 2009.

#### **NOTE 6 — DEPOSITS**

Deposits at year-end are summarized as follows:

	2010	2009
Non-interest-bearing DDA	\$ 33,326,683	\$ 24,884,625
Interest-bearing DDA	23,944,608	27,677,774
Money market	18,493,059	19,330,781
Savings	7,388,395	8,611,038
Certificate of deposit	136,110,432	118,072,391
	\$219,263,177	\$198,576,609

Time deposits of \$100,000 or more were \$57,217,208 at year-end 2010 and \$82,976,909 at year-end 2009.1

Scheduled maturities of time deposits, as of year-end 2010, were as follows:

2011	\$ 72,883,007
2012	44,883,048
2013	15,890,801
2014	2,296,047
2015	157,529
	\$136,110,432

Brokered time deposits were \$37,307,000 at year-end 2010 and \$52,683,579 at year-end 2009. Since the Bank was not categorized as "well capitalized" at December 31, 2010 and is under a Consent Order, a regulatory waiver is required to accept, renew or rollover brokered deposits. The Bank has not issued brokered deposits since January 2010. At December 31, 2010, maturities of brokered deposits were as follows:

Due in 3 months or less	\$ 3,453,000
Due in 3-12 months	\$30,392,000
Due in one or more years	\$ 3,462,000

#### NOTE 7 — SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Short-term borrowings are generally comprised of repurchase agreements and Discount Window borrowings. Repurchase agreements are advances by customers that are not covered by federal deposit insurance. This obligation of the Bank is secured by bank-owned securities held by a third-party safekeeping agent. Discount Window borrowings are collateralized by securities and home equity loans. The Bank can borrow overnight from the Discount Window under the secondary credit program for up to 60 days in any 120-day period at a current rate of 1.25%, which is 75 basis points over the Federal Open Market Committee's target federal funds rate which is currently 0.50%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes brokered deposits.

### NOTE 7 — SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS (Continued)

The balances at year-end are shown below:

	Repurchase Agreements	Discou Windo	
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	\$ 7,460,795	\$	0
Average interest rate at year-end	0.72%		0%
Average balance during year	8,598,005	315,	425
Average interest rate during year	0.86		).50
Maximum month end balance during year	10,132,049		0
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	\$ 7,000,327	\$	0
Average interest rate at year-end	0.66%		0%
Average balance during year	7,489,802	150,	959
Average interest rate during year	0.78	C	).50
Maximum month end balance during year	10,393,960	2,120,	000

The Bank had securities of \$9,684,310 pledged to repurchase agreements at December 31, 2010 and \$9,377,322 pledged at December 31, 2009.

Collateral pledged to the Discount Window at December 31, 2010 consisted of \$2,821,946 in securities and \$7,442,413 in home equity loans. At December 31, 2009, collateral consisted of \$6,893,230 in securities and \$10,636,917 in home equity loans.

#### NOTE 8 — FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BORROWINGS

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. Based on its current Federal Home Loan Bank Stock holdings and collateral, the Bank has the capacity to borrow \$4,016,680. Each borrowing requires a direct pledge of securities and or loans. The Bank had loans with a fair market value of \$4,806,678 pledged to the Federal Home Loan Bank to support future borrowings at year-end 2010. At year-end 2009, the Bank had both loans and securities with a fair market value of \$7,547,828 pledged.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank also had securities with a fair market value of \$5,513,014 pledged to the Federal Home Loan Bank to collateralize a \$5,000,000 overdraft line of credit. At December 31, 2010 there was no balance outstanding on the line of credit.

Year-end advances from the FHLB are as follows:

Maturity Date	Interest Rate	<u>2010</u>	2009
March 24, 2010	5.99%	\$0	\$1,500,000
November 3, 2010	5.95	0	2,000,000
December 13, 2010	5.10	0	2,500,000
		<u>\$0</u>	\$6,000,000

The first advance matured and was not renewed. On July 6, 2010, the Bank chose to prepay the last two of its outstanding advances. The computed prepayment penalty was \$88,336 and is reflected in interest expense. Although the prepayment penalty was significant, it was actually a savings of roughly \$4,000 to the Bank when

### NOTE 8 — FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BORROWINGS (Continued)

comparing the interest payments owed to maturity with the return that would have been received had the funds remained on deposit at the Federal Reserve Bank.

### NOTE 9 — SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

The Trust, a business trust formed by the Company, sold 4,500 Cumulative Preferred Securities ("trust preferred securities") at \$1,000 per security in a December 2004 offering. The proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred securities were used by the Trust to purchase an equivalent amount of subordinated debentures from the Company. The trust preferred securities and subordinated debentures carry a floating rate of 2.05% over the 3-month LIBOR and was 2.35% at December 31, 2010 and 2.30% at December 31, 2009. The stated maturity is December 30, 2034. The trust preferred securities are redeemable at par on any interest payment date and are, in effect, guaranteed by the Company. Interest on the subordinated debentures are payable quarterly on March 30<sup>th</sup>, June 30<sup>th</sup>, September 30<sup>th</sup> and December 30<sup>th</sup>. The Company is not considered the primary beneficiary of the Trust (variable interest entity), therefore the Trust is not consolidated in the Company's financial statements, but rather the subordinated debentures are shown as a liability, and the interest expense is recorded on the Company's consolidated statement of income.

The terms of the subordinated debentures, the trust preferred securities and the agreements under which they were issued, give the Company the right, from time to time, to defer payment of interest for up to 20 consecutive quarters, unless certain specified events of default have occurred and are continuing. The deferral of interest payments on the subordinated debentures results in the deferral of distributions on the trust preferred securities. In May, the Company exercised its option to defer regularly scheduled quarterly interest payments beginning with the quarterly interest payment that was scheduled to be paid on June 30, 2010. The Company's deferral of interest does not constitute an event of default.

During the deferral period, interest will continue to accrue on the subordinated debentures. Also, the deferred interest will accrue interest. At the expiration of the deferral period, all accrued and unpaid interest will be due and payable and a corresponding amount of distributions will be payable on the trust preferred securities.

The indenture under which the subordinated debentures were issued prohibits certain actions by the Company during the deferral period. Among other things, and subject to certain exceptions, during the deferral period, the Company is prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on, or redeeming, purchasing, acquiring or making any liquidation payment with respect to, any shares of its capital stock. Although the Company has not determined the duration of the deferral period, as of December 16, 2010, under the FRB Written Agreement, the Company is prohibited from making any distributions of interest, principal or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without prior FRB approval. At December 31, 2010, the accrued interest payable on the subordinated debentures was \$86,951.

#### **NOTE 10 — NOTES PAYABLE**

The Company has a \$5,000,000 term loan with Fifth Third Bank ("Fifth Third") secured by the common stock of the Bank. The total principal balance outstanding at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was \$5,000,000. The outstanding principal bears interest at a rate of 275 basis points above Fifth Third's prime rate. The current interest rate on the outstanding principal balance is 6.00% per annum. The maturity date of the term loan was January 3, 2011. The loan is not amortizing. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on the last business day of March, June, September, and December.

### **NOTE 10 — NOTES PAYABLE (Continued)**

The loans financial covenants include: the Company may not use the loan proceeds to pay dividends in excess of \$500,000 annually; the Company must have cash available to service quarterly interest; the Bank must be well capitalized and the Company must be adequately capitalized; capped ratios of non-performing loans to total loans and non-performing assets to total assets and a Bank return on average assets ratio. While the Company was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010, the Company has not been in compliance with the capital ratios or the return on average assets ratio since June 30, 2010. In addition to the capital and performance ratio deficiencies, at December 31, 2010, the Company no longer had the required cash available to be able to service the interest accrued on the note.

On January 3, 2011, the loan matured. In addition to the \$5,000,000 principal being due, the Company had unpaid interest accrued of \$155,000 on that day. The loan is in default, and the Company does not have the resources to pay the outstanding principal and accrued interest and does not expect to have it in the near future. Under the terms of the note, Fifth Third has the right to foreclose on the Bank's stock which collateralizes the loan.

### **NOTE 11 — BENEFIT PLANS**

The Company's 401(k) benefit plan allows employee contributions up to the dollar limit set by law which was \$16,500 in both 2009 and 2010. The Company had a Safe Harbor 401(k) Plan in both 2010 and 2009. The matching formula for the first five months of 2009 was 100% of the first 3% of compensation contributed and 50% of the next 3%. Effective June 1, 2009, the Company suspended the match. There was no match for the entire year in 2010. The match expense for 2009 was \$55,361.

#### **NOTE 12 — INCOME TAXES**

The consolidated provision for federal income tax expense (benefit) was as follows:

Current payable (receivable)	\$(10,618)	\$(867,133)
Deferred liability (benefit)	0	0
Valuation allowance establishment	0	985,959
	<u>\$(10,618)</u>	<u>\$ 118,826</u>

The net deferred tax asset recorded includes the following amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	2010	2009
Deferred tax asset		,
Allowance for loan losses	\$1,195,446	\$ 982,970
Non-accrual loans	276,715	34,715
Deferred loan costs, net	9,975	11,828
Accrued expenses	7,115	0
Foreclosed assets	1,276,595	707,400
Other	25,432	20,137
Net operating loss carryforward	2,587,922	580,253
	5,379,200	2,337,303

### **NOTE 12 — INCOME TAXES (Continued)**

	2010		2009
Deferred tax liabilities			
Depreciation	\$ (240,455)		\$ (237,661)
Accretion on securities	(191)		(565)
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	(217,229)		(217,229)
Prepaid expenses	(32,942)		(35,494)
Other	(12,394)	_	(13,526)
	(503,211)	_	(504,475)
	\$ 4,875,989		\$ 1,832,828
Valuation allowance	(4,875,989)	_	(1,832,828)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 0		\$ 0

Accounting guidance related to income taxes requires that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be established against their deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. In making such judgments, we consider both positive and negative evidence and analyze changes in near-term market conditions as well as other factors which may impact future operating results. Significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. The continuing recent losses resulting from the distressed operating environment in Michigan have significantly restricted our ability under the accounting rules to rely on projections of future taxable income to support the recovery of our deferred tax assets. Consequently, we determined it necessary to carry a valuation allowance against our entire net deferred tax asset. The valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the related deferred income tax assets are realized or the valuation allowance is otherwise no longer required. We will continue to monitor our deferred tax assets quarterly for changes affecting their realizability.

A reconciliation of the difference between federal income tax expense (benefit) and the amount computed by applying the statutory rate of 34% in 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

	2010	2009
Tax at statutory rate	\$(3,023,737)	\$(1,646,808)
Tax-exempt interest income	(42,438)	(75,698)
Other	12,396	8,504
Valuation allowance	3,043,161	1,832,828
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (10,618)	\$ 118,826

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2010, and the Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company has a net operating loss carryover of \$7,611,537 to be utilized to offset future taxable income that will begin expiring in 2029.

### NOTE 13 — RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Loans and commitments to principal officers, directors and their affiliates in 2010 were as follows:

Beginning balance		\$ 4,428,893
New loans and line advances		2,314,276
*Effect of changes in related parties		(351,000)
Repayments		(3,002,008)
		\$ 3,390,161
Ending balance		Ψ 5,570,101

<sup>\*</sup> The effect of a director(s) retiring and no longer being considered a related party.

Deposits from principal officers, directors and their affiliates were \$3,143,260 at year-end 2010 and \$2,924,518 at year-end 2009.

### **NOTE 14 — STOCK OPTIONS**

The Company has three share-based compensation plans as described below. Total compensation cost that has been charged against income for those plans was \$0 for both 2009 and 2010. Consequently, there was no income tax benefit recorded for either 2009 or 2010.

### Stock Option Plans

Options to buy stock were granted to officers under the 1998 Employee Stock Option Plan, which provided for issue of options for up to 150,000 shares of stock of the Company. Exercise price is not less than the market price at date of grant. The maximum option term is ten years, and presently outstanding options vested over three years.

Options to buy stock were granted to nonemployee directors of the Company under the *Director Stock Option Plans of 2003 and 2005*. Both plans provided for the issuance of options for up to 20,000 shares of stock of the Company. The exercise price for options issued under these plans was not less than the market price per share as of the date of grant. The maximum option term is ten years for both plans. Outstanding options under the plans were exercisable in full as of the date the options were granted.

A summary of the activity in the plans for 2010 is as follows:

	Number of Shares Subject to Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value*
Outstanding at beginning of year	47,300	\$11.01		
Granted	0	. 0		•
Exercised	0	0		
Forfeited or expired	0	0		
Outstanding at end of year	47,300	<u>\$11.01</u>	2.5	<u>\$0</u>
Exercisable at end of year	<u>47,300</u>	<u>\$11.01</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<sup>\*</sup> The stock price at December 31, 2010 did not exceed the weighted average option exercise price.

### NOTE 14 — STOCK OPTIONS (Continued)

All outstanding options are fully vested, therefore there is no unrecognized compensation cost related to options.

As of December 31, 2010, there were 2,000 shares available for grant in the Director Stock Option Plan of 2005 and 53,000 shares available to grant in the Employee Stock Option Plan of 2005.

### NOTE 15 — CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS ON RETAINED EARNINGS

Banks are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Since the Company is a one bank holding company with consolidated assets less than \$500 million, regulatory minimum capital ratios are applied only to the Bank. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators. Failure to meet various capital requirements can initiate regulatory action.

Prompt corrective action regulations provide five classifications, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If a bank is not well capitalized, regulatory approval is required to accept brokered deposits. Subject to limited exceptions, a bank may not make a capital distribution if, after making the distribution, it would be undercapitalized. If a bank is undercapitalized, it is subject to being closely monitored by its principal federal regulator, its asset growth and expansion are restricted, acquisitions, new activities, new branches, payment of dividends or management fees are prohibited and plans for capital restoration are required. In addition, further specific types of restrictions may be imposed on the bank at the discretion of the federal regulator. The Bank was in the well-capitalized category under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action at December 31, 2009 however the Bank was in the undercapitalized category at December 31, 2010.

The Bank's Consent Order with the FDIC and the OFIR, its primary banking regulators, became effective on September 2, 2010. The Bank agreed to the terms of the Consent Order without admitting or denying any charge of unsafe or unsound banking practices relating to capital, asset quality, or earnings. The Consent Order imposes no fines or penalties on the Bank. The Consent Order will remain in effect and enforceable until it is modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC and OFIR.

The Consent Order, among other things, requires the Bank to implement a written profit plan, a written contingency funding plan, a written plan to reduce the Bank's reliance on brokered deposits, a comprehensive strategic plan; and to develop an analysis and assessment of the Bank's management needs. Under the Consent Order the Bank is required to maintain higher capital levels than requested under prompt corrective action and the Bank may not declare or pay any dividend without the prior written consent of the regulators.

Prior to the issuance of the Consent Order, the Bank's Board of Directors and management had already commenced initiatives and strategies to address a number of the requirements of the Consent Order. The Bank continues to work in cooperation with its regulators. Our ability to fully comply with all of the requirements of the Consent Order, including maintaining specified capital levels, is not entirely within our control, and is not assured. Our ability to comply with the requirements of the Consent Order may be affected by many factors, including the availability of capital and other funds, the extent of repayment of loans by borrowers, declines in the value of collateral including real estate, the Bank's ability to realize on collateral, actions that may be taken by our lender in connection with our outstanding \$5,000,000 term loan, and actions by bank regulators. Failure to comply with provisions of the Consent Order may result in further regulatory action that could have a material adverse effect on us and our shareholders, as well as the Bank.

### NOTE 15 — CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS ON RETAINED EARNINGS (Continued)

As of October 1, 2010, the Bank had retained a third party consultant, acceptable to the regulators, who was hired to develop a written analysis and assessment of the Bank's management needs for the purpose of providing qualified management for the Bank. The consultant completed the analysis by the December 1, 2010 deadline. On January 6, the FDIC and OFIR acknowledged the timely filing of the report and concurred with the consultant, that the members of the present executive management team have the ability, experience and qualifications to perform their respective duties in a capable manner. No changes in the Bank's management were recommended.

The Bank's Board of Directors continues to participate in the governance of the Bank and has developed a program for monitoring compliance with the Consent Order. The template is updated periodically as necessary and is distributed to all Board members for discussion at the monthly board meeting.

There were several directives related to loans contained in the Consent Order. The Bank was ordered to charge off all loans classified as "loss" by the FDIC during the March 2010 examination. The charge offs were completed as directed. The Bank was prohibited from extending additional credit to any impaired borrower. The Bank is in compliance with this mandate. The Bank was asked to develop, implement and submit to the FDIC, written action plans to reduce the risk position in impaired credits. All action plans have been submitted to the FDIC. Management continues to update the action plans and is working diligently to reduce the risk position as outlined in each action plan. All recommended loan and collection policy revisions have been adopted and submitted to the FDIC.

Under the Consent Order the Bank was required, within 90 days of September 2, 2010, to have and maintain its level of tier one capital, as a percentage of its total assets, at a minimum of 8.5%, and its level of qualifying total capital, as a percentage of risk-weighted assets, at a minimum of 11%. The Bank was not in compliance with this requirement at December 31, 2010. Management continues to explore options to raise the capital required for full compliance. At December 31, 2010, a capital contribution of \$10,250,000 would have been needed to meet the capital ratios specified in the Consent Order.

Included in the table are the actual capital amounts and ratios for the Bank and the required capital amounts and ratios for the Bank. For December 31, 2010 the required amounts shown are the level to be adequately capitalized and the level mandated by the Consent Order. At December 31, 2009 the required levels were adequately capitalized and well capitalized.

	Actual		Minimum Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes		Minimum Required Under Consent Order	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
2010						
Total Capital to risk-weighted assets of the Bank	\$12,501,302	7.06%	\$14,173,103	8.00%	\$19,488,017	11.00%
Tier 1 (Core) Capital to risk- weighted assets of the Bank	10,254,935	5.79	7,086,552	4.00	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 (Core) Capital to average assets of the Bank	10,254,935	4.25	9,646,274	4.00	20,498,333	8.50

### NOTE 15 — CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS ON RETAINED EARNINGS (Continued)

	Actual	<u> </u>	Minimum Re for Capi Adequacy Pu	tal	Minimum Re to be Well Ca Under Pro Corrective Action	pitalized mpt
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
2009						
Total Capital to risk-weighted						
assets of the Bank	\$21,035,207	10.41%	\$16,167,491	8.00%	\$20,209,363	10.00%
Tier 1 (Core) Capital to risk-						
weighted assets of the Bank	18,493,531	9.15	8,083,745	4.00	12,125,618	6.00
Tier 1 (Core) Capital to average assets of the Bank	18,493,531	7.79	9,500,313	4.00	11,875,391	5.00

Federal Reserve guidelines limit the amount of allowance for loan losses that can be included in tier two capital. In general only 1.25% of net risk-weighted assets are allowed to be included. At December 31, 2010, only \$2,246,366 was counted as tier two capital and \$2,545,541 was disallowed. At December 31, 2009, \$2,541,676 was counted as tier two capital and \$1,240,456 was disallowed.

Under the Consent Order the Bank is restricted from declaring or paying dividends without prior written authorization of the FDIC. The Bank was in full compliance with this restriction.

The Bank was ordered to adopt, implement and adhere to a realistic, comprehensive written profit plan and budget for 2011. The Bank adopted a written profit plan and budget for 2011 on November 17, 2010. Management intends on informing the Board on a monthly basis as to how the Bank's performance compared to the budget.

Per the Consent Order the Board must be given the opportunity to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses prior to the submission of any reports of condition and statements of income to the FDIC. The Board is given the opportunity each month to review the details of the allowance for loan loss calculation and the resulting provision expense and to provide feedback to management as to the sufficiency.

As required by the Consent Order, the Bank adopted a detailed liquidity plan on November 17, 2010 which provided for intended liquidity sources to meet the Bank's assessed liquidity needs over the time horizons of 6, 12 and 18 months. A significant portion of the upcoming funding needs are related to the brokered deposit maturities discussed below.

As a condition of the Consent Order, the Bank is unable to accept brokered deposits. On October 27, 2010, the Board, as required by the Consent Order, approved a written plan to reduce the Bank's reliance on brokered deposits. The plan to reduce brokered deposits includes details of the volume and maturities of the existing brokered deposits over the next eight quarters and includes a specific strategy to fund their maturities.

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$37,307,000 of brokered deposits outstanding. They mature as follows:

Due in 3 months or less	\$ 3,453,000
Due in 3-12 months	\$30,392,000
Due in one or more years	\$ 3,462,000

### NOTE 15 — CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS ON RETAINED EARNINGS (Continued)

Throughout a majority of 2010, the Bank has been able to replace \$15,376,579 of maturing brokered deposits with local deposits, including internet based time deposits and core deposits. The plan to reduce brokered deposits mainly consists of continuing this strategy. Management does not believe that the restriction on issuing brokered deposits imposes a significant liquidity problem for the Bank. Monthly written progress reports are being provided to the Board.

On November 17, 2010, the Board of Directors adopted a new strategic plan. The plan was submitted to the FDIC. The Board intends to monitor the performance of the plan on a quarterly basis.

On December 16, 2010, the Company entered into a Written Agreement with the FRB. The Written Agreement contains provisions relating to a number of matters, including dividends and distributions. Pursuant to the Written Agreement, the Company has agreed not to declare or pay any dividends without the prior written approval of the FRB and the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision and Regulation of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and not to take any dividends or other form of payment from the Bank that represents a reduction in its capital without the prior written approval of the FRB.

### NOTE 16 — OFF-BALANCE SHEET ACTIVITIES

Some financial instruments, such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit, and overdraft protection, are issued to meet customer financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or to support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Risk to credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments, although material losses are not anticipated. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral at exercise of the commitment.

The contractual amount of financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk was as follows at year-end:

	2010		2009	
	Fixed Rate	Variable Rate	Fixed Rate	Variable Rate
Unused lines of credit	\$1,427,195	\$23,425,296	\$790,487	\$26,415,850
Unused standby letters of credit	0	1,266,735	0	1,050,000
Commitments to make loans	95,470	0	866,340	0

Commitments to make loans are generally made for periods of 60 days or less. At year-end 2010, the fixed rate loan commitments had interest rates ranging from 0.00% to 8.75% and maturities ranging from 1 month to 7 years.

### NOTE 17 — PARENT COMPANY ONLY CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Following are condensed parent company only financial statements:

# CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS December 31,

	2010	2009
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 125,459	\$ 1,037,316
Investment in subsidiaries	10,471,075	18,711,438
Other assets	23,139	8,841
Total assets	\$10,619,673	\$19,757,595
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$ 273,886	\$ 517,604
Notes payable	5,000,000	5,000,000
Subordinated debentures	4,500,000	4,500,000
Shareholders' equity	845,787	9,739,991
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$10,619,673	\$19,757,595
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF	INCOME	
Years Ended December 31		
	2010	2009
Other income	\$ 4,023	\$ 5,958
Interest expense	(416,455)	(349,431)
Other expense	(249,421)	(338,590)
Loss before income tax benefit and undistributed		
subsidiary income	(661,853)	(682,063)
Equity in undistributed subsidiary income (loss)	(8,231,492)	(4,280,316)
Federal income tax benefit	(10,618)	0
Net loss	\$(8,882,727)	\$(4,962,379)

### NOTE 17 — PARENT COMPANY ONLY CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)

### CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS Years Ended December 31,

	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$(8,882,727)	\$(4,962,379)
Equity in undistributed subsidiary income (loss)	8,231,492	4,280,316
Net change in:		
Other assets	(14,298)	5,050
Other liabilities	(243,718)	371,639
Net cash from operating activities	(909,251)	(305,374)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Capital investment into subsidiaries	(2,606)	9
Net cash from investing activities	(2,606)	9
Cash flows from financing activities		
Draws on notes payable and line of credit	0	800,000
Paydown on notes payable	0	0
Net cash from financing activities	0	800,000
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(911,857)	494,635
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	1,037,316	542,681
Ending cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 125,459</u>	<u>\$ 1,037,316</u>

### NOTE 18 — OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Other comprehensive income (loss) components and related tax effects were as follows:

Unrealized holding gains on held to maturity securities	4.00.400	<b>.</b>
transferred to available for sale	\$ 28,192	\$ 0
Unrealized holding gains on available for sale securities	40,145	24,551
Less reclassification adjustments for (gains) and losses		
later recognized in income	(79,814)	(268,635)
Net unrealized gain (loss)	(11,477)	(244,084)
Tax effect	0	0
Other comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$(11,477)</u>	<u>\$(244,084)</u>

### **NOTE 19 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

#### NOTE 19 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Company used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate fair value:

Securities: The fair values of securities are obtained from a third party who utilizes quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing (Level 2 inputs), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities.

Servicing Rights: The fair value of SBA servicing rights is obtained from a third party using assumptions provided by the Company. The individual servicing rights are valued individually taking into consideration the original term to maturity, the current age of the loan and the remaining term to maturity. Their valuation methodology utilized for the servicing rights begins with projecting future cash flows for each servicing asset, based on its unique characteristics and market-based assumptions for prepayment speeds. The present value of the future cash flows are then calculated utilizing a market-based discount rate assumption. These inputs are generally observable in the marketplace resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Impaired Loans: The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals, broker market opinions or internal evaluations. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Foreclosed Assets: Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as foreclosed assets are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on recent real estate appraisals, broker market opinions or internal evaluations. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Adjustments of the carrying amount utilizing this process result in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

### NOTE 19 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below for the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009:

		Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
December 31, 2010				
Available for sale securities:				
US Treasury	\$ 1,011,094	\$1,011,094	\$ 0	<b>\$0</b>
US Government and federal agency	16,908,716	1,000,000	15,908,716	0
Municipal securities	3,238,294	0	3,238,294	0
Mortgage-backed and collateralized				
mortgage obligations — residential	15,345,799	0	15,345,799	0
Servicing assets	38,858	0	38,858	0
December 31, 2009				
Available for sale securities:				
US Government and federal agency	\$14,495,407	\$ 500,000	\$13,995,407	<b>\$0</b>
Municipal securities	1,177,286	0	1,177,286	0
Mortgage-backed — residential	5,977,333	0	5,977,333	0
Servicing assets	45,602	0	45,602	0

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below for the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009:

		Significant Unobservable Inputs
	Total	(Level 3)
December 31, 2010		
Impaired loans:		
Commercial	\$ 386,647	\$ 386,647
Commercial Real Estate:		
General	2,503,306	2,503,306
Construction	753,096	753,096
Consumer:		
Lines of credit	88,350	88,350
Other	6,051	6,051
Residential	441,240	441,240
Foreclosed assets:		
Commercial Real Estate:		
General	1,061,170	1,061,170
Construction	2,005,831	2,005,831
Residential	163,786	163,786

### NOTE 19 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

		Significant
		Unobservable
		Inputs
	Total	(Level 3)
December 31, 2009		
Impaired loans:		
Commercial	\$ 346,628	\$ 346,628
Commercial Real Estate:		
General	3,813,621	3,813,621
Construction	1,006,811	1,006,811
Consumer:		
Lines of credit	114,310	114,310
Foreclosed assets:		
Commercial Real Estate:		
General	1,406,029	1,406,029
Construction	3,993,199	3,993,199
Residential	811,422	811,422

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during 2010.

The following two paragraphs describe the impairment charges recognized during the period:

Collateral dependent impaired loans are measured using the fair value of the collateral. At December 31, 2010, such impaired loans had a principal amount of \$6,298,688, with a valuation allowance of \$2,119,988 compared to impaired loans with a principal amount of \$7,007,940 and a valuation allowance of \$1,726,570 at December 31, 2009. The fair values of the collateral on these loans were determined generally using independent appraisals, broker market opinions or internal evaluations which were adjusted for anticipated disposition costs. The impairment charges, recorded to the provision for loan losses, on collateral dependent loans were \$1,565,000 for the year ending December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, foreclosed assets carried a fair value of \$3,230,787 and \$6,210,650 respectively. During the year ending December 31, 2010, thirty-six properties included in this total were written down by \$2,575,129. During the year ending December 31, 2009, thirty properties were written down by \$1,905,622. There were also twenty-three properties totaling \$3,073,725 (at fair value) added to other real estate owned during the year ending December 31, 2010, while during the year ending December 31, 2009 there were eighteen properties totaling \$2,928,689 added. The fair value of other real estate owned was determined primarily using independent appraisals, broker market opinions or internal evaluations which were adjusted for anticipated disposition costs.

### NOTE 19 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Carrying amount and estimated fair values of financial instruments not previously presented were as follows at year-end:

	20	10	2009	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
		(in tho	usands)	
Financial assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 23,640	\$ 23,640	\$ 2,824	\$ 2,824
Securities held to maturity	0	0	5,841	5,945
Loans held for sale	1,263	1,273	1,071	1,078
Loans, net (including impaired)	160,452	154,484	179,466	176,157
FHLB stock	480	N/A	404	N/A
Accrued interest receivable	781	781	885	885
Financial liabilities				
Deposits	219,263	220,711	198,577	202,151
Federal funds purchased and repurchase				
agreements	7,461	7,461	7,000	7,000
FHLB advances	0	0	6,000	6,020
Subordinated debentures	4,500	3,172	4,500	3,758
Notes payable	5,000	2,500	5,000	5,000
Accrued interest payable	362	362	164	164

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, short-term borrowings, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk including consideration for widening credit spreads. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis or underlying collateral values. Fair value of loans held for sale is based on market quotes. Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practical to determine the fair value of Federal Home Loan Bank stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. Estimated fair value for other financial instruments and off-balance sheet loan commitments are considered to approximate carrying value.

#### NOTE 20 — GOING CONCERN CONSIDERATION AND MANAGEMENT'S PLANS

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and discharges of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future, and do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects of recoverability and classification of assets, or the amounts and classifications of liabilities, that may result should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

The Company recorded net losses of \$8,882,727 and \$4,962,379 for year-end 2010 and 2009, respectively. The losses were primarily the result of increases in the provision for loan losses, higher FDIC insurance premiums,

### NOTE 20 — GOING CONCERN CONSIDERATION AND MANAGEMENT'S PLANS (Continued)

and higher administrative costs associated with managing nonperforming assets. The Bank was undercapitalized at December 31, 2010 as further discussed in Note 15. As a result of these conditions, the Bank does not have the ability to pay dividends to the holding company without regulatory approval. The deteriorated capital position puts the Bank at significant risk of further regulatory enforcement action. The Consent Agreement, referenced in Note 15, imposes, among other things, adjusted regulatory minimum capital requirements and prohibits the Bank from paying dividends without regulatory approval.

The Company has limited cash to cover its operating expenses for 2011 and would be dependent on dividends from the Bank in order to service principal and interest on its borrowings, including the \$5,000,000 note payable, which is collateralized by the Bank's stock. As described in Note 10, the Company is in default of its note payable, which matured on January 3, 2011. The Company does not have the ability to pay interest or principal due. If the lender forecloses on the Bank's stock, the Company would be rendered insolvent.

Management is using alternative liquidity sources to fund brokered deposits as they mature and did not encounter any difficulties funding over \$15.3 million in maturities during 2010. Additionally, management has implemented many strategies to reduce overhead expenses and risk weighted assets since its first year of losses in 2007. A few of these improvements and the results were:

- The work force has been reduced by 22% with 16 positions eliminated. Most staff salaries have been frozen for three years and the 401(k) matching contributions were suspended in 2009. Benefits expenses have been reapportioned with the employees bearing more of the expense. As a result, salary and benefit expenses decreased 17% or over \$800,000.
- Renegotiated vendor contracts as well as the reduction and elimination of a variety of miscellaneous expenses allowed the Bank to absorb 75% of the nearly \$600,000 increase in FDIC premiums since 2007.
- The Bank's balance sheet management successfully reduced total risk weighted assets by over \$73 million since year-end 2007. A majority of the decrease was to loans.

Management plans to continue exploring opportunities to reduce expenses and manage the balance sheet to achieve compliance with the Consent Order.

In the past year, the Bank has also reduced non-performing assets. In 2010, 28 properties and nine vacant lots were sold and past due and non-accrual notes were reduced by nearly \$2.0 million. Management will continue its efforts to improve asset quality in 2011.

Due to the conditions and events discussed in this annual report, substantial doubt exists as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company has determined that significant additional capital is required to continue operations and avoid additional regulatory action. The Board and management continue to seek opportunities to raise capital.

These financial statements do not include any adjustments that may result should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

#### SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

### SEC Form 10-K

Copies of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, are available to shareholders without charge, upon written request. Please mail your request to Tracey A. Welsh, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, at Community Shores Bank Corporation, 1030 W. Norton Avenue, Muskegon, Michigan 49441.

#### **Stock Information**

The Company's common stock was traded on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the ticker symbol "CSHB" through December 15, 2010. Beginning December 16, 2010, the Company's common stock has been quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board, under the same symbol, and the Company has voluntarily delisted its common stock from the Nasdaq Capital Market. At March 18, 2011, there were approximately 181 record holders of the Company's common stock. The Company has paid no dividends since its formation in 1998.

The following tables show the high and low sales prices for the common stock of the Company by quarter during 2010 and 2009, as reported by the Nasdaq Capital Market through December 15, 2010, and the high and low bid prices as obtained from one of the market makers for the Company's stock on the OTC Bulletin Board from December 16, 2010 through December 31, 2010. The bid quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

### Sales Prices

	High	Low
Calendar Year 2010		
First Quarter	\$1.75	\$0.60
Second Quarter	2.24	1.02
Third Quarter	1.55	0.77
Fourth Quarter (Oct 1 — Dec 15)	1.04	0.45
Calendar Year 2009		
First Quarter	\$2.95	\$1.27
Second Quarter	3.50	1.75
Third Quarter	2.44	1.16
Fourth Quarter	1.90	0.50
Bid Prices		
	High	Low
Calendar Year 2010		
Fourth Quarter (Dec 16 — Dec 31)	\$1.05	\$0.01

#### **Market Makers**

At March 18, 2011 the following firms were registered with OTC Bulletin Board as market makers in common stock of the Company:

Howe Barnes Investments, Inc. 222 S Riverside Plz, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606

Hudson Securities, Inc. 111 Town Square Place Suite 1500A Jersey City, NJ 07310

### Stock Registrar and Transfer Agent

Registrar and Transfer Company 10 Commerce Drive Cranford, New Jersey 07016-3572 1-800-368-5948, via e-mail at info@rtco.com or online at their website, www.rtco.com

### **Legal Counsel**

Dickinson Wright PLLC 500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 4000 Detroit, Michigan 48226 and 200 Ottawa Avenue, N.W., Suite 1000 Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503 www.dickinsonwright.com

### **Independent Auditors**

Crowe Horwath LLP 55 Campau Avenue N.W., Suite 300 Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503

### **Additional Information**

News media representatives and those seeking additional information about the Company should contact Heather D. Brolick, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, at (231) 780-1800, or by writing her at 1030 W. Norton Avenue, Muskegon, Michigan 49441.

### **Annual Meeting**

This year's Annual Meeting will be held at 2:00 p.m., on Thursday, May 12, 2011, at the Muskegon Country Club, 2801 Lakeshore Drive, Muskegon, Michigan.

### OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

### **Community Shores Bank Corporation Board of Directors**

Gary F. Bogner

Real Estate Developer

(Chairman, non-officer)

Heather D. Brolick

President and Chief Executive Officer

Robert L. Chandonnet (Vice Chairman, non-officer)

Owner and President, The Nugent Sand Company, Inc.

Bruce J. Essex

Chairman, Port City Die Cast

Julie K. Greene

Chief Executive Officer, Muskegon Surgery Center

Steven P. Moreland

President and Chief Executive Officer, Automatic Spring Products Corporation

**Executive Officers** 

Heather D. Brolick

President and Chief Executive Officer

John M. Clark

Senior Vice President and Secretary

Tracey A. Welsh

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

### OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

### **Community Shores Bank Board of Directors**

Gary F. Bogner

Real Estate Developer

(Chairman, non-officer)

Heather D. Brolick

President and Chief Executive Officer

Robert L. Chandonnet

Owner and President, The Nugent Sand Company, Inc.

(Vice Chairman, non-officer)

Bruce J. Essex

Chairman, Port City Die Cast

Julie K. Greene

Chief Executive Officer, Muskegon Surgery Center

Steven P. Moreland

President and Chief Executive Officer, Automatic Spring Products Corporation

**Management Team** 

Ralph R. Berggren

Senior Vice President

Heather D. Brolick

President and Chief Executive Officer

John M. Clark

Senior Vice President/Commercial Loan Department Head and Secretary

Amy L. Schultz

Senior Vice President and Technology/Operations Manager

Cerise A. Semrinec

Vice President and Credit Administrator

Lori E. Versalle

Senior Vice President and Branch Administrator

Tracey A. Welsh

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

#### OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

#### Officers of the Bank

Joel M. Andersen Assistant Vice President / Credit Manager

Faith A. Biros Deposit Processing Manager

Monica J. Bixeman Retail Banking Officer

Sherri S. Campbell Vice President / Deposit Operations Manager

Kelly M. Christian Assistant Vice President / Controller

Jennifer L. Egeler Assistant Controller

Thomas A. Ellis Senior Vice President / Mortgage Loan Officer

Sharon L. Gary Human Resources Manager

Martin B. Hillila Vice President / Commercial Lending Officer

Jon M. Huizenga Assistant Vice President / Mortgage Loan Officer

Robert J. Jacobs Senior Vice President / Business Development Officer

Susan M. Kane Vice President / Mortgage Loan Operations Manager

Alan W. Kowalski Assistant Vice President / Loan Adjustment—Collections Manager

Kimberli A. LaVallee Assistant Vice President / Grand Haven Branch Manager

Ronald Maciejewski Vice President / Commercial Lending Officer

Patricia A. McKenney Assistant Vice President / Loan Operations Manager

Renee L. Nyblade Vice President / Mortgage Loan Officer

Sharon Prus Assistant Vice President / North Muskegon Branch Manager

Benjamin D. Robbins Vice President / Commercial Lending Officer

Jamie J. Sheffer Harvey Office Branch Manager

Clinton A. Todd Vice President / Retail Lending

Laurie J. White Vice President / Retail Deposit Sales Manager