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## Tri-County Financlal Corp.

Dear Shareholder:
I am pleased to report to you that Tri-County Financial Corporation (the "Company") and its banking subsidiary, Community Bank of Tri-County (the "Bank"), have completed another successful year of operations. Our primary objective for 2010 was to work toward returning our Company to recent performance trends. We made good progress toward that goal by closing the year with fully diluted earnings per share at $\$ 1.30$ or $\$ 0.62$ per share more than last year's earnings. Net income available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31,2010 increased $\$ 1.9$ million, or $93.98 \%$, to $\$ 3.9$ million compared to $\$ 2.0$ million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

One of our strategic objectives for 2010 was to increase our net interest income. The Company increased net interest income by $\$ 4.2$ million, or $19.50 \%$, to $\$ 25.9$ million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 21.7$ million for the year ended December 31, 2009. This was attributable to a reduction in the cost of funding, improved revenues from the growth of the loan portfolio and prudent management of loan pricing. The Company's cost of funds declined 61 basis points to $1.94 \%$ for 2010 from $2.55 \%$ for the prior year.

During 2010, management worked with customers to strengthen the quality of our loan portfolio and resolve nonperforming loans. The Bank restructured viable loans, foreclosed on some properties and charged-off uncollectible balances. At year end, nonperforming loans and overall delinquency were significantly below peer averages at $2.04 \%$ and $2.21 \%$, respectively. Over $98 \%$ of troubled debt restructurings during the year were performing as agreed at year end. At December 31, 2010, 78\% of nonperforming loan balances, or $\$ 10.5$ million, was concentrated with six customers. Nonperforming assets increased from $2.48 \%$ of total assets to $2.71 \%$, due primarily to two foreclosed construction and land development projects that are now working toward satisfactory resolution.

Our growth strategy recognizes the importance of deposits to fund the production of earning assets. According to the FDIC, in the Southern Maryland counties of Charles, Calvert and St. Mary's, the Bank has increased market share from $11.18 \%$ as of June 30, 2006 to $17.67 \%$ as of June 30, 2010. In comparison, the other top four ranked banks, as measured by deposits, in our market lost $5.06 \%$ of market share in the same time period. As the third ranked bank in the Tri-County area, our average branch had deposits of $\$ 68.2$ million, far exceeding average branch deposits of our competition. In 2010, we increased our deposits $\$ 84.2$ million, or $13.14 \%$, from $\$ 640.4$ million at December 31,2009 to $\$ 724.6$ million at December 31, 2010. Our strategy will continue to focus on increasing profitable market share and core deposit growth in Southern Maryland.

In 2011, the Bank is expected to construct our 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ branch in Dahlgren, Virginia, which is contiguous with our current market footprint. In the coming year, we face the challenges of an increasingly competitive marketplace as large banks attempt to recapture market share that they abandoned during the crisis. I am confident that your Company can continue its role as the local market leader by its steadfast commitment to serving our communities in the tradition of community banking. To that point, we celebrated our $60^{\mathrm{th}}$ anniversary in October of this year. With your directorate, management team and talented staff, I look forward to many more successful years for Tri-County Financial Corporation and Community Bank of Tri-County.


Michael L. Middleton
Chairman of the Board
(This page left blank intentionally)

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report contains forward-looking statements that are based on assumptions and may describe future plans, strategies and expectations of Tri-County Financial Corporation (the "Company") and Community Bank of Tri-County (the "Bank"). These forward-looking statements are generally identified by use of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project" or similar expressions.

The Company and the Bank's ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors that could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates, national and regional economic conditions, legislative and regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the quality and composition of the loan or investment portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in the Company and the Bank's market area changes in real estate market values in the Company and the Bank's market area and changes in relevant accounting principles and guidelines. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Except as required by applicable law or regulation, the Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to release publicly the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## OVERVIEW

Since its conversion to a commercial bank charter in 1997, the Bank has sought to increase total assets as well as certain targeted loan types. The Bank believes that its ability to offer fast, flexible and local decision-making will continue to attract significant new loans and enhance asset growth. The Bank's targeted marketing is also directed towards increasing its balances of consumer and business transaction deposit accounts. The Bank believes that increases in these account types will lessen the Bank's dependence on higher-cost funding, such as certificates of deposit and borrowings, to fund loan growth. Although management believes that this strategy will increase financial performance over time, increasing the balances of certain products, such as commercial lending and transaction accounts, may also increase the Bank's noninterest expense. It also recognizes that certain lending and deposit products also increase the possibility of losses from credit and other risks.

In December 2008, the Company elected to participate in the Troubled Asset Relief Program's Capital Purchase Program ("CPP") in order to better serve its market in Southern Maryland. As part of the transaction, the Company received $\$ 15.5$ million from the U.S. Department of the Treasury in exchange for 15,540 shares of preferred stock, which carries a $5 \%$ annual dividend yield for five years, and $9 \%$ thereafter. In addition, the U.S. Treasury also exercised a warrant to purchase an additional 777 shares of preferred stock for $\$ 777,000$, which carries a $9 \%$ annual dividend yield. The Company has used, and intends to continue to use, the additional capital to pursue growth opportunities in line with its strategic initiatives and to increase its lending activities in its market. For more details on the CPP preferred stock see Note 18 of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that involve significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. The Company considers its determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of foreclosed real estate and the valuation of deferred tax assets to be critical accounting policies.

The Company's Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the general practices of the United States banking industry. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, as this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported.

Estimates, assumptions and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried on the financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-ciown or valuation reserve to be established or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. The fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based either on quoted market prices or are provided by other third-party sources, when available. When these sources are not available, management makes estimates based upon what it considers to be the best available information.

## Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of the losses that exist in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on two principles of accounting: (1) Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 450 "Contingencies," which requires that losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and are estimable and (2) FASB ASC 310 "Reccivables", which requires that losses be accrued when it is probable that the Company will not collect all principal and interest payments according to the contractual terms of the loan. The loss, if any, is determined by the difference between the loan balance and the value of collateral, the present value of expected future cash flows and values observable in the secondary markets.

The allowance for loan loss balance is an estimate based upon management's evaluation of the loan portfolio. The allowance is comprised of a specific and a general component. The specific component consists of management's evaluation of certain classified and non-accrual loans and their underlying collateral. Management assesses the ability of the borrower to repay the loan based upon all information available. Loans are examined to determine a specific allowance based upon the borrower's payment history, cconomic conditions specific to the loan or borrower and other factors that would impact the borrower's ability to repay the loan on its contractual basis. Depending on the assessment of the borrower's ability to pay and the type, condition and value of collateral, management will establish an allowance amount specific to the loan.

Management utilizes a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate, construction and land development, commercial loans and commercial equipment loans. Commercial loan rclationships with an aggregate exposure to the Bank of $\$ 350,000$ or greater are risk rated. Residential first mortgages, home equity and second mortgages and consumer loans are evaluated for creditworthiness in underwriting and are monitored on an ongoing basis based on borrower payment history. Consumer loans and residential real estate loans are classified as unrated unless they are part of a larger commercial relationship that requires grading or are troubled debt restructures or nonperforming loans with an Other Assets Especially Mentioned or higher risk rating due to a delinquent payment history. Management annually engages a third party consulting firm to perform independent loan reviews of its commercial loan portfolio, and the results are reported to the Company's Audit Committee.

In establishing the general component of the allowance, management analyzes non-classified and nonimpaired loans in the portfolio including changes in the amount and type of loans. Management also examines the Bank's historical loss experience (charge-offs and recoveries) within each loan category. The state of the local and national economy is also considered. Based upon these factors, the Bank's loan portfolio is categorized and a less factor is applicd to each category. These loss factors may be higher or lower than
the Bank's actual recent average losses in any particular loan category, particularly in loan categories that are increasing or decreasing in size. Based upon these factors, the Bank will adjust the loan loss allowance by increasing or decreasing the provision for loan losses.

Management has significant discretion in making the judgments inherent in the determination of the allowance for loan losses, including in connection with the valuation of collateral, a borrower's prospects of repayment and in establishing loss factors on the general component of the allowance. Changes in loss factors will have a direct impact on the amount of the provision and a corresponding effect on net income. Errors in management's perception and assessment of the global factors and their impact on the portfolio could result in the allowance not being adequate to cover losses in the portfolio, and may result in additional provisions or charge-offs. At December 31, 2010, the allowance for loan losses was $\$ 7,669,147$ or $1.16 \%$ of total loans. An increase or decrease in the allowance could result in a charge or credit to income before income taxes that materially impacts earnings. For additional information regarding the allowance for loan losses, refer to Notes 1 and 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the discussion under the caption "Provision for Loan Losses" below.

## Foreclosed Real Estate

The Company maintains a valuation allowance on its foreclosed real estate. As with the allowance for loan losses, the valuation allowance on foreclosed real estate is based on FASB ASC 450 "Contingencies", as well as the accounting guidance on impairment of long-lived assets. These statements require that the Company establish a valuation allowance when it has determined that the carrying amount of a foreclosed asset exceeds its fair value. Fair value of a foreclosed asset is measured by the cash flows expected to be realized from its subsequent disposition. These cash flows are reduced for the costs of selling or otherwise disposing of the asset.

In estimating the cash flows from the sale of foreclosed real estate, management must make significant assumptions regarding the timing and amount of cash flows. For example, in cases where the real estate acquired is undeveloped land, management must gather the best available evidence regarding the market value of the property, including appraisals, cost estimates of development and broker opinions. Due to the highly subjective nature of this evidence, as well as the limited market, long time periods involved and substantial risks, cash flow estimates are highly subjective and subject to change. Errors regarding any aspect of the costs or proceeds of developing, selling or otherwise disposing of foreclosed real estate could result in the allowance being inadequate to reduce carrying costs to fair value and may require an additional provision for valuation allowances.

## Deferred Tax Assets

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with FASB ASC 740, "Income Taxes," which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. FASB ASC 740 requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had deferred tax assets in excess of deferred tax liabilities of $\$ 5,061,557$ and $\$ 4,364,189$, respectively. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, management determined that it is more likely than not that the entire amount of such assets will be realized in the future.

The Company periodically evaluates the ability of the Company to realize the value of its deferred tax assets. If the Company were to determine that it was not more likely than not that the Company would realize the full amount of the deferred tax assets, it would establish a valuation allowance to reduce the carrying value of the deferred tax asset to the amount it believes would be realized. The factors used to assess the likelihood of realization are the Company's forecast of future taxable income and available tax-planning strategies that could be implemented to realize the net deferred tax assets.

Failure to achieve forccasted taxable income might affect the ultimate realization of the net deferred tax assets. Factors that may affect the Company's ability to achieve sufficient forecasted taxable income include, but are not limited to, the following: increased compctition, a decline in net interest margin, a loss of market share, decreased demand for financial services and national and regional economic conditions.

The Company's provision for income taxes and the determination of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities involve a significant amount of management judgment and are based on the best information available at the time. The Company operates within federal and state taxing jurisdictions and is subject to audit in these jurisdictions. For additional information regarding the deferred tax assets, refer to Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## COMPARISON OF RESUITS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

## General

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company reported consolidated net income of $\$ 4,765,701$ ( $\$ 1.31$ basic and $\$ 1.30$ diluted earnings per common share) compared to consolidated net income of $\$ 2,867,090$ ( $\$ 0.68$ basic and $\$ 0.68$ diluted earnings per common share) for the year ended December 31, 2009. Consolidated net incorne available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased $\$ 1,898,611$, or $93.98 \%$, to $\$ 3,918,771$ compared to $\$ 2,020,160$ for the year ended Deccmber 31, 2009 .

The increase in net income for 2010 was attributable to increases in net interest income of $\$ 4,235,547$ and noninterest income of $\$ 770,357$ partially offset by increases in noninterest expense of $\$ 1,615,505$, income tax expense of $\$ 1,030,948$ and the provision for loan losses of $\$ 460,840$.

Earnings per share were affected in 2010 and 2009 by $\$ 846,930$ per year of preferred stock dividends (See Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

## Net Interest Income

The primary component of the Company's net income is its net interest income, which is the difference between income earned on assets and interest paid on the deposits and borrowings used to fund them. Net interest income is affected by the spread between the yields earned on the Company's intercst-earning assets and the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities as well as the relative amounts of such assets and liabilities. Net interest income, divided by average interest-earning assets, represents the Company's net interest margin.

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2010 was $\$ 25,956,772$ compared to $\$ 21,721,225$ for the year ended December 31, 2009. The $\$ 4,235,547$ increase was due to an increase in interest income of $\$ 1,649,634$ and a decrease in interest expense of $\$ 2,585,913$. Changes in the components of net interest income due to changes in average balances of assets and liabilities and to changes caused by changes in interest rates are presented in the rate volume analysis.

During 2010, interest income increased due to higher average interest-earning asset balances offset by lower rates earned on interes-earning assets. The lower rates on assets were primarily the result of lower yields on investment securities compared to the prior year as average yields on investments decreased 87 basis points from $3.61 \%$ in 2009 to $2.74 \%$ in 2010. The Company's loan portfolio increased earnings primarily due to higher average balance;; and limited the effect of the low interest rate environment over the last year through pricing discipline. The loan portfolio has grown $20.53 \%$ since December 31, 2008. The Company's average loan yield remained at $5.68 \%$ for 2009 and 2010.

Interest expense decre ised as a result of lower interest rates on all material deposit types, the use of lower cost core deposits as a funding source and a reduction in the average balance and rates for borrowings partially offset by higher average deposit balances. The average cost of the Company's interest-bearing liabilities decreased 61 basis points from $2.55 \%$ in 2009 to $1.94 \%$ in 2010. The lower deposit rates were primarily in shorter-term certificates of deposit and money market deposit accounts. The average cost of
certificates of deposits decreased 81 basis points from $3.02 \%$ in 2009 to $2.21 \%$ in 2010 . The rates on these accounts tend to decrease when the federal funds target rate decreases. In the first quarter of 2009, due largely to the financial crisis, market pressures on deposit rates kept deposit rates artificially high. In the second half of 2009, the Company's interest-bearing liabilities, such as savings accounts, returned to more traditional market rates.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company's interest rate spread and net interest margin increased 40 basis points and 30 basis points, respectively.

The following table presents information on the average balances of the Company's interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and interest earned or paid thereon for the past two fiscal years. There are no tax equivalency adjustments.

| dollars in thousands | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> Balance | Interest | Average Yield/ Cost | Average Balance | Interest | Average Yield/ Cost |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan portfolio ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 615,887 | \$ 34,995 | 5.68\% | \$ 574,966 | \$ 32,685 | 5.68\% |
| Investment securities, federal funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest-earning assets | 781,640 | 39,537 | 5.06\% | 718,932 | 37.887 | 5.27\% |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 13,709 |  |  | 13,533 |  |  |
| Other assets | 45,107 |  |  | 30,138 |  |  |
| Total Assets | \$840,456 |  |  | \$ 762,603 |  |  |

## Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity <br> Interest-bearing liabilities

Savings
Interest-bearing demand and money
$\quad$ market accounts
Certificates of deposit
Long-term debt
Short-term borrowings
Guaranteed preferrred beneficial interest
in junior subordinated debentures
Total interest-bearing liabilities
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits
Other liabilities
Stockholders' equity
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

| \$ 30,355 | \$ 123 | 0.41\% | \$ 28,486 | 46 | 0.16\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161,494 | 1,510 | 0.94\% | 142,513 | 1,458 | 1.02\% |
| 421,525 | 9,305 | 2.21\% | 355,489 | 10,727 | 3.02\% |
| 70,823 | 2,278 | 3.22\% | 94,745 | 3,502 | 3.70\% |
| 2,973 | 42 | 1.41\% | 1,421 | 29 | 2.04\% |
| 12,000 | 322 | 2.68\% | 12,000 | 404 | 3.37\% |
| 699,170 | 13,580 | 1.94\% | 634,654 | 16,166 | 2.55\% |
| 65,041 |  |  | 53,584 |  |  |
| 5,772 |  |  | 6,000 |  |  |
| 70,473 |  |  | 68,365 |  |  |
| \$840,456 |  |  | \$762,603 |  |  |

Net interest income
$\$ 25,957$
$\$ 21,721$

| Interest rate spread | $\underline{\underline{3.12 \%}}$ | $\underline{\underline{2.72 \%}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets | $\underline{3.32 \%}$ | $\underline{3.02 \%}$ |
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets |  |  |
| to average interest-bearing liabilities | $\underline{111.80 \%}$ | $\underline{113.28 \%}$ |

[^0]The table below sets forth certain information regarding changes in interest income and interest expense of the Bank for the periods indicated. For each category of interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability, information is provided on changes attributable to (1) changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by old rate); and (2) changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by old volume). Changes in rate-volume (changes in rate multiplied by the change in volume) have been allocated to changes due to volume.

| dollars in thousands | Year ended December 31, 2010 compared to year ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-Earning Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan portfolio | \$ | 2,325 | \$ | (15) | \$ | 2,310 |
| Investment securities, federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits |  | 597 |  | $(1,257)$ |  | (660) |
| Total Interest-Earning Assets | \$ | 2,922 | \$ | $(1,272)$ | \$ | 1,650 |
| Interest-Bearing Iiabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saving; |  | 8 |  | 69 |  | 77 |
| Interest-bearing demand and money |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 1,458 |  | $(2,880)$ |  | $(1,422)$ |
| Long-term borrowings |  | (769) |  | (455) |  | $(1,224)$ |
| Short-1erm debt |  | 22 |  | (9) |  | 13 |
| Guaranteed preferrred beneficial interest in junior subordinated debentures |  | - | Guaranteed preferrred beneficial interest |  |  | (82) |
| Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities | \$ | 895 | \$ | $(3,481)$ | $\$$ | (2,586) |
| Net Change in Net Interest Income | \$ | 2,027 | \$ | 2,209 | \$ | 4,236 |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ Average balance includes non-accrual loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Provision for Loan Losses

Provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2010 was $\$ 3,933,448$, compared to $\$ 3,472,608$ for the year ended December 31, 2009. The loan loss provision increased in 2010 primarily due to increases in net charge-offs which increased $\$ 2,588,647$ from $\$ 1,146,967(0.20 \%$ of average loans) for the year ended December 31, 2009 to $\$ 3,735,614$ ( $0.61 \%$ of average loans) for the year ended December 31, 2010. The loan loss provision also increased as the Bank continued to add loans to its portfolio particularly in commercial real estate, which carry a higher risk of default than other loans in the Bank's portfolio. The Company's allowance for loan losses decreased from $1.20 \%$ of loan balances at December 31, 2009 to $1.16 \%$ of loan balances at December 31, 2010, as specific loans were restructured, foreclosed or charged-off and nonperforming loans and overall delinquency declined. Nonperforming loans decreased $\$ 5,768,704$ to $\$ 13,518,779$ at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 19,287,000$ at December 31, 2009. Overall delinquency, which includes nonperforming loans, decreased $\$ 11,347,508$ to $\$ 14,661,664$ from $\$ 26,009,172$ for the same comparative periods. 'The loan loss allowance and the provision for loan losses is determined based upon an analysis of individual loans and the application of certain loss factors to different loan categories. Individual loans are analyzed for impairment as the facts and circumstances warrant. In addition, a general component of the loan loss allowance is added based on a review of the portfolio's size and composition. At December 31,2010 , the allowance for loan loss equaled $57 \%$ of nonperforming loans compared to $39 \%$ at December 31, 2009.

## Noninterest Income

|  | Years Ended December 31, 20102009 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { change } \\ 2010 \text { vs. } 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recognition of other than temporary decline in value of investment securities | \$ | - | \$ | $(538,614)$ | (100.00\%) |
| Less: portion recorded as comprehensive ircome |  | - |  | 271,870 | (100.00\%) |
| Impairment loss on investment securities, net |  | - |  | $(266,744)$ | (100.00\%) |
| Loan appraisal, credit, and miscellaneous charges |  | 676,805 |  | 688,101 | (1.64\%) |
| Income from bank ow ned life insurance |  | 504,296 |  | 417,110 | 20.90\% |
| Service charges |  | 1,905,949 |  | 1,658,544 | 14.92\% |
| Gain on salc of asset |  | 22,500 |  | - | n/a |
| Gain on sale of loans held for sale |  | 470,626 |  | 325,671 | 44.51\% |
| Loss on the sale of investment securities |  | - |  | $(12,863)$ | (100.00\%) |
| Total Noninterest Income | \$ | 3,580,176 | \$ | 2,809,819 | 27.42\% |

Growth of noninterest income was primarily due to increases in service charge income related to the size, pricing and number of deposits and gains on the sale of residential loans held for sale. Additionally, noninterest income increased in 2010 compared to 2009 because prior year noninterest income included $\$ 266,744$ of investment security impairment charges.

## Noninterest Expenses

|  | Years Ended December 31, 2010 2009 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { change } \\ 2010 \text { vs. } 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary and employee benefits | \$ | 9,769,401 | \$ | 8,607,141 | 13.50\% |
| Occupancy expense |  | 1,772,394 |  | 1,779,497 | (0.40\%) |
| Advertising |  | 399,802 |  | 449,155 | (10.99\%) |
| Data processing expense |  | 1,044,972 |  | 914,250 | 14.30\% |
| Depreciation of furniture, fixtures, and equipment |  | 541,642 |  | 613,205 | (11.67\%) |
| Telephone communications |  | 170,566 |  | 152,649 | 11.74\% |
| Office supplies |  | 170,447 |  | 164,154 | 3.83\% |
| Professional fees |  | 799,377 |  | 749,467 | 6.66\% |
| FDIC insurance |  | 1,338,305 |  | 1,134,926 | 17.92\% |
| Valuation allowance on foreclosed real estate |  | 287,934 |  | - | n/a |
| Other |  | 1,900,343 |  | 2,015,234 | (5.70\%) |
| Total Noninterest Expenses | $\$$ | 18,195,183 | 5 | 16,579,678 | 9.74\% |

The increase in noninterest expense was principally due to increases in staffing to support the Bank's balance sheet growth and an increasing regulatory burden. The increase in salary and employee benefit costs reflect growth in the Bank's workforce to fully staff branches, an increasing need for highly skilled employees due to the higher complexity level of the Bank's business and continued increases in the Bank's benefit costs. Advertising expense decreased as the Bank had fewer advertising campaigns in 2010. Increases in data processing and professional fees reflect the increased cost of regulatory compliance and the growth of the Bank's operations. FDIC insurance costs increased due to higher assessment rates and an increased assessment base due to customer deposit increases of $\$ 199.4$ million since January 1, 2009. In 2010, the Bank recorded a valuation adjustment of $\$ 287,934$ to foreclosed real estate to adjust a property to management's estimate of realizable value.

## Income Tax Expense:

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded income tax expense of $\$ 2,642,616$ compared to $\$ 1,611,668$ in the pior year. The Company's effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were $35.67 \%$ and $35.98 \%$, respectively.

COMPARISON OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AT DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

## Assets

|  |  | December 31, |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { change } \\ 2010 \text { vs. } 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 8,695,590 | \$ | 9,960,787 | (12.70\% $\%$ |
| Federal funds sold |  | 615,000 |  | 695,000 | (11.51\%) |
| Interest-bcaring deposits w th banks |  | 512,846 |  | 592,180 | (13.40\%) |
| Securities available for sale (AFS), at fair value |  | 34,946,225 |  | 53,926,109 | (35.20\%) |
| Sccurities held to maturity HTM), at amortized cost |  | 126,988,316 |  | 90,287,803 | $40.65 \%$ |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Fiederal Reserve Bank stock- at cost |  | 6,315,600 |  | 6,935,500 | (8.94\%) |
| Loans reccivable - net of allowance for loan losses of \$7,669,147 |  |  |  |  |  |
| and \$7,471,314 |  | 654,449,936 |  | 616,592,976 | 6.14\% |
| Premises and equipment, not |  | 12,132,141 |  | 11,987,690 | 1.20\% |
| Forcclosed real estate |  | 10,469,302 |  | 922,934 | 1034.35\% |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 2,784,396 |  | 2,925,271 | (4.82\%) |
| Investment in bank owned life insurance |  | 17,447,692 |  | 10,943,396 | 59.44\% |
| Other assets |  | 10,579,058 |  | 9,272,888 | $14.109 \%$ |
| Total Assets |  | 885,936,102 |  | 815.042 .534 | 8.70\% |

The securities available for salc (AFS) portfolio decreased due to the maturing of asset-backed securities issued by government-sponsored entities. The securities held to maturity (HTM) portfolio increased due to additional purchases of securities offset by principal paydowns, primarily of asset-backed securities issucd by government-sponsored entities. The differences in allocations between the different cash and investment categories reflect operational needs. Investments in bank owned life insurance increased based on the business needs of the Company. The increase in other assets was primarily due to a net increase in deferred tax assets.

The increases in loans receivable reflect the Bank's continuing efforts to build its market share in Southern Maryland. In 2010, the Bank continued to increase its loan portfolio as many banks decreased their loan portfolios. Net loan growth was impacted by the increase in foreclosed real estate. In 2010, the Bank increased its loan portfolios in commercial real estate by $\$ 43,311,873$ to $\$ 336,299,836$ and residential real estate by $\$ 19,822,844$ to $\$ 136,048,577$. The Bank decreased its loan portfolio in construction and land development loans by $\$ 20,005,358$ from $\$ 62,509,558$ to $\$ 42,504,200$ as loans were foreclosed or projects were completed. Other loan classes did not have significant dollar or percentage changes.

Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans amounted to $2.04 \%$ at December 31, 2010 compared to $3.09 \%$ at December 31, 2009. Nonperforming loans decreased from $\$ 19,287,483$ at December 31, 2009 to $\$ 13,518,779$ at Decemler 31, 2010 as loans were worked out or placed into foreclosure. The Company had 32 nonperforming loans at December 31, 2010 of which $78 \%$ of nonperforming loan balances were concentrated with six customers. Foreclosed real estate has grown as the Bank works to resolve problem loans. Two construction and land development properties represent $86 \%$ of the foreclosed real estate balance and are the primary factor in the current year increase in nonperforming assets from $2.48 \%$ of total assets to $2.71 \%$. Foreclosed real estate carrying amounts reflect management's estimate of the realizable value of these properties.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had 19 troubled debt restructured loans of which $\$ 16,584,546$, or $98.2 \%$, were performing according to the terms of their restructured agreements. During 2010, the Company recognized $\$ 720,570$ in interest on these loans.

## Liabilities

|  | December 31, |  |  | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 vs. 2009 |  |  |  |  |

In order to fund the Bank's asset growth and provide a lower cost of funds, the Bank increased its deposit base through marketing efforts focused on small and medium-sized businesses and retail customers in the Southern Maryland area. According to statistics compiled by the FDIC, the Bank was ranked third in deposit market share in the Tri-County area as of June 30, 2010, the latest date for which such data is available. Nonbrokered deposits totaled $\$ 699,601,865$ or $96.55 \%$ of total deposits at December 31, 2010. Deposits have grown $37.97 \%$ or $\$ 199,414,760$ since January 1,2009 and have been a critical factor in decreasing funding costs. Long-term debt and short-term borrowings decreased as retail deposits replaced debt. The Bank paid down net borrowings by $\$ 17,309,694$ or $19.5 \%$, during 2010 , decreasing its reliance on higher cost sources of funds in favor of lower cost retail deposits.

## Equity

|  |  | December 31, |  |  | \% change <br> 2010 vs. 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Scries A par value $\$ 1,000$; authorized 15,540 ; issued 15,540 | \$ | 15,540,000 | \$ | 15,540,000 | 0.00\% |
| Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B par value $\$ 1,000$; authorized 777 ; issued 777 |  | 777,000 |  | 777,000 | 0.00\% |
| Common stock - par value $\$ .01$; authorized - $15,000,000$ shares; issued $3,002,616$ and $2,976,046$ shares, respectively |  | 30,026 |  | 29,760 | 0.89\% |
| Additional paid in capital |  | 16,962,460 |  | 16,754,627 | 1.24\% |
| Retained earnings |  | 37,892,557 |  | 35,193,958 | 7.67\% |
| Accumulated other comprehensive gain |  | 411,188 |  | 284,474 | 44.54\% |
| Unearned ESOP shares |  | $(508,304)$ |  | $(389,970)$ | 30.34\% |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | \$ | 71,104,927 | $\Phi$ | 68,189,849 | 4.27\% |

Total equity increased $\$ 2,915,078$ during the year. The Company earned net income of $\$ 4,765,701$. In addition, the exercise of incentive stock options for $\$ 147,799$, an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of $\$ 126,714$ and other smaller increases of $\$ 60,271$ increased equity. These increases were partially offset by decreases from the payment of dividends of $\$ 1,196,187$ for common shares and the payment of preferred stock dividends of $\$ 846,930$ to the United States Department of the Treasury. Increases in equity were also offset by net decreases for repurchases of common stock of $\$ 24,000$ and decreases to equity for unearned ESOP shares of $\$ 118,290$.

Under the terms of the Treasury purchase of preferred stock, the Company cannot repurchase common stock without Treasury's consent until December 19, 2018 or until the preferred stock issued to the Treasury is redeemed. On November 12, 2010, after approval from the Treasury, the Company approved a repurchase program under which the Company may repurchase shares of common stock equaling up to $1 \%$ of the Company's stockholders' equity. The Company intends to repurchase shares from time to time, depending on market conditions. The Company purchased 1,500 shares in December 2010 at an average price of $\$ 16.00$ per share.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company has no business other than holding the stock of the Bank and does not currently have any material funding requirements, except for the payment of dividends on preferred and common stock, and the payment of interest on subordinated debentures.

The Company's principal sources of liquidity are cash on hand and dividends received from the Bank. The Bank is subject to various regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends.

The Bank's principal scurces of funds for investment and operations are net income, deposits, sales of loans, borrowings, principal and interest payments on loans, principal and interest received on investment securities and proceeds from the maturity and sale of investment securities. Its principal funding commitments are for the origination or purchase of loans, the purchase of securities and the payment of maturing deposits. Deposits are considered the primary source of funds supporting the Bank's lending and investment activities. The Bank also uses borrowings from the FHLB of Atlanta to supplement deposits. The amount of FHI.B advances available to the Bank is limited to the lower of $40 \%$ of Bank assets or the amount supportable by eligible collateral including FHLB stock, loans and securities. In addition, the Bank has established lines of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank and commercial banks. For a discussion of these agreements including collateral see Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Bank's most liquid assets are cash, cash equivalents and federal funds sold. The levels of such assets are dependent on the Bank's operating, financing and investment activities at any given time. The variations in levels of cash and cash equivalents are influenced by deposit flows and anticipated future deposit flows.

Cash and cash equivalents as of December 31,2010 totaled $\$ 9,823,436$, a decrease of $\$ 1,424,531$ or $12.66^{\circ} \%$, from the December 31, 2009 total of $\$ 11,247,967$. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents has minimal impact on operational needs as the Bank has substantial sources of funds available from other sources.

The Bank's principal sources of cash flows are its financing activities including deposits and borrowings. In 2010, the Bank continued to increase its deposits and market share. During 2010, all financing activities provided $\$ 64,876,506$ in cash inflows compared to $\$ 95,669,299$ during 2009 . The decrease in cash flows from financing activity in 2010 of $\$ 30,792,793$ was principally due to a decrease in net deposit growth from $\$ 115,251,223$ in 2009 10 $\$ 84,163,537$ in 2010 . The net decline in borrowings used funds of $\$ 17,309,694$ in 2010 compared to $\$ 17,735,638$ in 2009 . Other financing activities net use of cash for dividends and other equity transactions amounted to $\$ 1,977,337$ in 2010 compared to $\$ 1,846,286$ in 2009.

The Bank's principal use of cash has been in investing activities including its investments in loans, investment securities and other assets. In 2010, the level of net cash used in investing decreased to $\$ 75,128,499$ from $\$ 101,070,448$ in 2009 . In 2010, the Bank continued to increase its loan and investment portfolios. The decrease in net investing activity of $\$ 25,941,944$ in 2010 was primarily due to a decrease in loans originated or acquired from $\$ 274,171,579$ in 2009 to $\$ 244,552,180$ in 2010 . In addition, net cash used in security transactions decreased from $\$ 21,754,334$ in 2009 to $\$ 16,731,319$ in 2010 , with most of the 2010 activity taking proceeds from maturing investments to fund purchases of held to maturity investments. Cash provided from principal collected on loans decreased slightly from $\$ 195,795,216$ in 2009 to $\$ 193,319,561$ in 2010 . (Other investing activities net use of cash for purchases of bank owned life insurance and other asset purchases amounted to $\$ 7,164,561$ in 2010 compared to $\$ 939,751$ in 2009.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had $\$ 18,619,662$ in loan commitments outstanding. Certificates of deposit due within one year of December 31, 2010 totaled $\$ 276,286,346$, representing $63.05 \%$ of certificates of deposit at December 31, 2010. If these maturing deposits do not remain with us, we will be required to seek other sources of funds, including other certificates of deposit and borrowings. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowing than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before December 31, 2011. We believe, however, based on past experience that a significant portion of our certificates of deposit will remain with us. We have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Federal banking regulations require the Company and the Bank to maintain specified levels of capital. At December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with these requirements with a leverage ratio of $9.44 \%$, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $11.84 \%$ and total risk-based capital ratio of $12.94 \%$. At December 31, 2010, the Bank met the criteria for designation as a well-capitalized depository institution under Federal Reserve Bank regulations. See Note 15 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

In the normal course of operations, we engage in a variety of financial transaction that, in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") are not recorded in our financial statements. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used primarily to manage customers' requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments, letters of credit and lines of credit. For a discussion of these agreements, including collateral and other arrangements, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company did not engage in any off-balance sheet transactions reasonably likely to have a material effect on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

In the normal course of its business, the Bank commits to make future payments to others to satisfy contractual obligations. These obligations include commitments to repay short and long-term borrowings and commitments incurred under operating lease agreements.

## IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

The Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto presented herein have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, nearly all of the Company's assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on the Company's performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the prices of goods and services.

| SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dollars in thousands except share data | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| Operations Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 25,957 | \$ | 21,721 | \$ | 19,223 | \$ | 18,992 | \$ | 17,327 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 3,933 |  | 3,473 |  | 1,301 |  | 855 |  | 406 |
| Noninterest income |  | 3,580 |  | 2,810 |  | 2,519 |  | 3,402 |  | 2,247 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 18,195 |  | 16,580 |  | 14,582 |  | 13,459 |  | 12,562 |
| Net income |  | 4,766 |  | 2,867 |  | 3,815 |  | 5,106 |  | 4,441 |
| Net income available to conımon sharcholders | \$ | 3,919 | \$ | 2,020 | \$ | 3,789 | \$ | 5,106 | \$ | 4,441 |
| Share Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic nct income per comm $\rightarrow$ n share |  | \$1.31 |  | \$0.68 |  | \$1.29 |  | \$1.92 |  | \$1.68 |
| Diluted net income per common share |  | \$1.30 |  | \$0.68 |  | \$1.24 |  | \$1.79 |  | \$1.58 |
| Cash dividends paid per conımon share |  | \$0.40 |  | \$0.40 |  | \$0.40 |  | \$0.40 |  | \$0.37 |
| Book value per common share |  | \$18.25 |  | \$17.43 |  | \$17.23 |  | \$16.79 |  | \$14.28 |
| Common shares outstandin:; |  | 3,002,616 |  | 2,976,046 |  | 2,947,759 |  | 2,909,974 |  | 2,642,288 |
| Weighted average common thares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 2,985,080 |  | 2,961,293 |  | 2,943,002 |  | 2,664,036 |  | 2,637,531 |
| Diluted |  | 3,008,279 |  | 2,987,901 |  | 3,053,690 |  | 2,852,494 |  | 2,815,985 |
| Financial Condition Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 885,936 | \$ | 815,043 | \$ | 716,685 | \$ | 598,406 | \$ | 575,496 |
| Loans receivable, net |  | 654,450 |  | 616,593 |  | 542,977 |  | 453,614 |  | 422,480 |
| Foreclosed real estate (ORFO) |  | 10,469 |  | 923 |  | - |  | - |  | 461 |
| Total deposits |  | 724,582 |  | 640,419 |  | 525,168 |  | 444,994 |  | 418,013 |
| Long and short term debt |  | 71,440 |  | 88,750 |  | 106,486 |  | 87,561 |  | 102,614 |
| TARP preferred stock |  | 16,317 |  | 16,317 |  | 16,317 |  | - |  | - |
| 'Total stockholders' equity | \$ | 71,105 | \$ | 68,190 | \$ | 67,114 | \$ | 48,847 | \$ | 37,729 |
| Other Financial Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonperforming loans (NPI.) | \$ | 13,518 | \$ | 19,287 | \$ | 4,936 | \$ | 414 | \$ | 1,046 |
| Troubled debt restructures (TDRs) |  | 16,882 |  | 11,601 |  | - |  | 755 |  | - |
| Performance Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets |  | 0.57\% |  | 0.38\% |  | 0.59\% |  | 0.87\% |  | 0.80\% |
| Return on average equity |  | 6.76\% |  | 4.19\% |  | 7.57\% |  | 12.62\% |  | 12.13\% |
| Net interest margin |  | 3.32\% |  | 3.02\% |  | 3.13\% |  | 3.41\% |  | $3.24 \%$ |
| Efficiency ratio |  | 61.60\% |  | 67.59\% |  | 67.07\% |  | 60.10\% |  | 64.18\% |
| Dividend payout ratio |  | 30.77\% |  | 58.82\% |  | 31.04\% |  | 20.80\% |  | 21.91\% |
| Capital Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average equity to average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| assets |  | 9.44\% |  | 10.03\% |  | 11.54\% |  | 10.41\% |  | 8.74\% |
| Leverage ratio |  | 9.44\% |  | 10.03\% |  | 11.54\% |  | 10.41\% |  | 8.74\% |
| Total risk-based capital ratio |  | 12.94\% |  | 13.46\% |  | 14.73\% |  | 13.80\% |  | 11.98\% |
| Asset Quality Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total loans |  | 1.16\% |  | 1.20\% |  | 0.94\% |  | 0.98\% |  | 0.89\% |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans |  | 2.04\% |  | 3.09\% |  | 0.90\% |  | 0.25\% |  | 0.25\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonperforming loans |  | 56.73\% |  | 38.74\% |  | 104.25\% |  | 383.40 \% |  | 361.59\% |
| Net charge-offs to average hoans |  | 0.61\% |  | 0.20\% |  | 0.13\% |  | 0.04"\# |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Nonperforming assets to toral assets (NPLs + OREO) |  | 2.71\% |  | 2.48\% |  | 0.69\% |  | 0.07"\% |  | 0.26\% |
| Nonpcrforming assets and 7'DRs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| to total assets |  | 4.58\% |  | 3.90\% |  | 0.69\% |  | $0.20 \%$ |  | 0.26\% |

All per share amounts have teen adjusted for the threc-for-two stock split which was effected in December 2006.

## MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED SECURITY HOLDER MATTERS

## Market Information

The following table sets forth high and low bid quotations reported on the OTC Bulletin for the Company's common stock for each quarter during 2010 and 2009. These quotes reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not necessarily reflect actual transactions.

|  | High |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth Quarter | $\$$ | 15.00 | $\$$ | 10.50 |
| Third Quarter | $\$$ | 13.99 | $\$$ | 11.30 |
| Second Quarter | $\$$ | 13.85 | $\$$ | 11.50 |
| First Quarter | $\$$ | 20.00 | $\$$ | 10.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | High |  |  | Low |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth Quarter | $\$$ | 18.00 | $\$$ | 13.25 |
| Third Quarter | $\$$ | 15.00 | $\$$ | 11.20 |
| Second Quarter | $\$$ | 12.01 | $\$$ | 11.25 |
| First Quarter | $\$$ | 15.25 | $\$$ | 10.55 |

## Holders

The number of stockholders of record of the Company at March 4, 2011 was 599.

## Dividends

The Company has paid annual cash dividends since 1994. For each of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, the Company paid an annual cash dividend of $\$ 0.40$ per share. As part of the Company's participation in the Capital Purchase Program of the U.S. Department of Treasury's Troubled Asset Relief Program: (1) before the earlier of (a) December 19, 2011 or (b) the date on which the Series A preferred stock and the Series B preferred stock has been redeemed in full or the Treasury has transferred all of the Series A preferred stock and the Series B preferred stock to non-affiliates, the Company cannot, without consent of the Treasury, increase its per share cash dividend above $\$ 0.40$; (2) during the period beginning on December 19, 2011 and ending on the earlier of (a) December 19, 2018 or (b) the date on which the Series A preferred stock and the Series B preferred stock has been redeemed in full or the Treasury has transferred all of the Series A preferred stock and the Series B preferred stock to non-affiliates, the Company, without the consent of the Treasury, cannot pay any per share cash dividend that is greater than $103 \%$ of the aggregate per share dividends paid for the prior fiscal year; and (3) during the period beginning on December 19, 2018 and ending on the date on which all of the Series A preferred stock and the Series B preferred stock has been redeemed in full or the Treasury has transferred all of the Series A preferred stock and the Series B preferred stock to non-affiliates, the Company, without the consent of the Treasury, cannot pay any cash dividends.

In addition, the Company is required to pay annual preferred stock dividends to the United States Department of the Treasury at $5 \%$ for Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A and at 9\% for Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B. See Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company's ability to pay dividends is governed by the policies and regulations of the Federal Reserve Board (the "FRB"), which prohibits the payment of dividends under certain circumstances dependent on the Company's financial condition and capital adequacy. The Company's ability to pay dividends is also dependent on the receipt of dividends from the Bank.

Federal regulations impose certain limitations on the payment of dividends and other capital distributions by the Bank. The Bank's ability to pay dividends is governed by the Maryland Financial Institutions Code and the regulations of the F RB. Under the Maryland Financial Institutions Code, a Maryland bank (1) may only pay dividends from undivided profits or, with prior regulatory approval, its surplus in excess of $100 \%$ of required capital stock and, (2) may not declare dividends on its common stock until its surplus funds equals the amount of required capital stock, or if the surplus fund does not equal the amount of capital stock, in an amount in excess of $90^{\circ} \%$ of net earnings.

Without the approval of the FRB, a state member bank may not declare or pay a dividend if the total of all dividends declared during the year exceeds its net income during the current calendar year and retained net income for the prior two years. The Bank is further prohibited from making a capital distribution if it would not be adequately capitalized thereafter. In addition, the Bank may not make a capital distribution that would reduce its net worth below the amount required to maintain the liquidation account established for the benefit of its depositors at the time of its conversion to stock form.
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## MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Tri-County Financial Corporation (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The internal control process has been designed under our supervision to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, utilizing the framework established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, is effective.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that accurately and fairly reflect, in reasonable detail, transactions and dispositions of assets; and provide reasonable assurances that: (1) transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States; (2) receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors of the Company; and (3) unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the Company's financial statements are prevented or timely detected.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only the management's report in this annual report.

# REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM 

Board of Directors and Stockholders<br>Tri-County Financial Corporation<br>Waldorf, Maryland

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Tri-County Financial Corporation (the "Company") as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinior, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Tri-County Financial Corporation as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their consolidated operations and cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.


Baltimore, Maryland
March 4, 2011

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS



## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Interest and Dividend Income |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, including fees | \$ | 34,995,425 | \$ | 32,685,178 |
| Taxable interest and div dends on investment securities |  | 4,524,204 |  | 5,180,535 |
| Interest on deposits with banks |  | 17,358 |  | 21,640 |
| Total Interest and Dividend Income |  | 39, 536,987 |  | 37,887,353 |
| Interest Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 10,938,385 |  | 12,230,667 |
| Short-term borrowings |  | 42,151 |  | 29,330 |
| Long-term debt |  | 2,599,679 |  | 3,906,131 |
| Total Interest Expenses |  | 13,580,215 |  | 16,166, 128 |
| Net Interest Income |  | 25,956,772 |  | 21,721,225 |
| Provision for loan losse: |  | 3,933,448 |  | 3,472,608 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision For Loan Losses |  | 22,023,324 |  | 18,248,617 |
| Noninterest Income |  |  |  |  |
| Recognition of other than temporary decline in value of investment securities |  |  |  | $(538,614)$ |
| Less: portion recorded as comprehensive income |  | - |  | 271,870 |
| Impairment loss on investment securitics, net |  | - |  | $(266,744)$ |
| Loan appraisal, credit, and miscellaneous charges |  | 676,805 |  | 688,101 |
| Income from bank owned life insurance |  | 504,296 |  | 417,110 |
| Service charges |  | 1,905,949 |  | 1,658,544 |
| Gain on sale of asset |  | 22,500 |  | - |
| Gain on sale of loans he d for sale |  | 470,626 |  | 325,671 |
| Loss on the sale of investment securities |  |  |  | $(12,863)$ |
| Total Noninterest Income |  | 3,580,176 |  | 2,809,819 |
| Noninterest Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Salary and employee berefits |  | 9,769,401 |  | 8,607,141 |
| Occupancy expense |  | 1,772,394 |  | 1,779,497 |
| Advertising |  | 399,802 |  | 449,155 |
| Data processing expensc |  | 1,044,972 |  | 914,250 |
| Depreciation of furnitur:, fixtures, and equipment |  | 541,642 |  | 613,205 |
| Telephone communicati ns |  | 170,566 |  | 152,649 |
| Office supplies |  | 170,447 |  | 164,154 |
| Professional fees |  | 799,377 |  | 749,467 |
| FDIC insurance |  | 1,338,305 |  | 1,1,34,926 |
| Valuation allowance on foreclosed real estate |  | 287,934 |  |  |
| Other |  | 1,900,343 |  | 2,015,234 |
| Total Noninterest Expenses |  | 18,195,183 |  | 16,579,678 |
| Income before income tixes |  | 7,408,317 |  | 4,478,758 |
| Income tax expense |  | 2,642,616 |  | 1,611,068 |
| Net Income | \$ | 4,765,701 | \$ | 2,867,090 |
| Preferred stock dividend; |  | 846,930 |  | 846,930 |
| Net Income Available to Common Shareholders | \$ | 3,918,771 | $\$$ | 2,020,160 |
| Earnings Per Common Share |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 1.31 | \$ | 0.68 |
| Diluted | \$ | 1.30 | \$ | 0.68 |
| Cash dividend paid per common share | \$ | 0.40 | S | 0.40 |

See notes to Consolidated Iinancial Statements

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009


[^1]
## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2000

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 4,765,701 | \$ | 2,867,090 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,93.3,448 |  | 3,472,608 |
| Depreciation and arrortization | 1,042,610 |  | 1,188,1000 |
| Loans originated for resale | (14,248.912) |  | (20,994, 916 ) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 14,65.3,649 |  | 21,022,010 |
| Gain on sale of loan held for sale | (470,626) |  | $(325,671)$ |
| Gain on sale of asset | (22,500) |  | - |
| loss on sales of investment securities | - |  | 12,86,3 |
| Other than temporaty decline in market value of investment securities | - |  | 266,744 |
| Net amortization of remium/discount on mortgage-backed securitics and investments | $(177,420)$ |  | (204, ) 6, 3) |
| Increase in foreclose 1 real estate valuation allowance | 287,934 |  | - |
| Increase in cash surr nder of bank owned life insurance | $(504,296)$ |  | (417,110) |
| Deferred income tax benefit | $(761,235)$ |  | $(1,6.59,5.34)$ |
| Decrease in accrued nterest receivable | 14(1,875 |  | 40,542 |
| (Decrease) Increase in deferred loan fees | $(38,265)$ |  | $66.3,560$ |
| Increase (Decrease) in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilitics | 1,124,647 |  | (233,394) |
| Increase in other assets | $(898,148)$ |  | $(3,523,305)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 8,827,462 |  | $2,174,584$ |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities |  |  |  |
| Purchase of investment securities available for sale | (129.159) |  | $(4.3,3.35,182)$ |
| Proceeds from sale, redemption or principal payments of investment securitics available for sale | 19,522,952 |  | 4,060, 261 |
| Purchase of investment securities held to maturity | (93,208,717) |  | $(8,377,442)$ |
| Procceds from maturitics or principal payments of investment securitics hold to maturity | 56,463,705 |  | 26,380,529 |
| Net decrease (increase) ,f FHLB and Fiederal Reserve stock | 610,900 |  | $(482,500)$ |
| l oans originated or acy ured | (244,552,180) |  | $(274,171,579)$ |
| Principal collected on lcans | 193,319,561 |  | 195,795,216 |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance policies | (6,000,000) |  | . |
| Proceeds from disposal of asset | 22,500 |  | - |
| Purchase of premises ard equipment | $(1,187,061)$ |  | (9,39,751) |
| Net Cash Used in Investirg Activities | $(75,128,499)$ |  | $(101,070,448)$ |

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
(continued)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities
Net increase in deposits
Proceeds from long-term borrowings

| $\$ 4,163,537$ | $\$$ | $115,251,223$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15,000,000$ | 750,000 |  |
| $(20,045,586)$ | $(30,043,801)$ |  |
| $(12,264,108)$ | $11,558,163$ |  |
| 147,799 | 222,288 |  |
| 4,558 | 14,947 |  |
| $(2,043,117)$ | $(1,953,851)$ |  |
| $(62,577)$ | $(129,670)$ |  |
| $(24,000)$ |  |  |Proceeds from long-term borrowingsPayments of long-term borrowingsNet (decrease) increase in short-tcrm borrowingsExercise of stock optionsExcess tax benefits on stock based compensationDividends paidNet change in unearned ESOP sharesRedemption of common stock

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities
Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents
$(1,424,531)$$95,669,299$
Cash and Cash Equivalents - January 1$11,247,967$$14,474,532$
Cash and Cash Equivalents - December 31
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information
Cash paid during the year forInterestIncome taxes

| \$ | 13,808,041 | \$ | 17,660,936 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 4,597,711 | \$ | 2,797,865 |

## Supplemental Schedule of Non-Cash Operating Activities

Issuance of common stock for payment of accrued compensation
Transfer of loans to foreclosed real estate

| $\$$ |
| :--- |
| $\$ \quad 11,480,843$ |

$\$ \quad 99,280$
$\$ \quad 922,934$
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## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Principles of Consolidation

The Consolidated Finar cial Statements include the accounts of Tri-County Financial Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary Community Bank of Tri-County (the "Bank"), and the Bank's wholly owned subsidiary Community Mortgage Corporation of Tri-County (collectively, the "Company"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and to general f ractices within the banking industry.

## Nature of Operations

The Company provides a varicty of financial services to individuals and businesses through its offices in Southern Maryland. Its primary deposit products are demand, savings and time deposits, and its primary lending products are commercial and consumer mortgage loans, commercial loans, construction and land development loans, home equity and second mortgages and commercial equipment loans.

## Use of Estimates

In preparing Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilitics as of the date of the balance sheet and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are partic larly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan loises, the valuation of forcclosed real estate and deferred tax assets.

## Significant Group Concentrations of Credit Risk

Most of the Company's activities are with customers located in the Southern Maryland area comprising Calvert, Charles and St. Mary's counties. Note 4 discusses the types of securities held by the Company. Note 5 discusses the type of lending in which the Company is engaged. The Company does not have any significant concentration to any onc customer or industry.

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

## Securities

Debt securities that management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as "held to maturity (HTM)" and recorded at amortized cost. Securities purchased and held principally for trading in the near term are classi ied as "trading securities". Securities not classified as held to maturity or trading securities, including equity securities with readily determinable fair values, are classified as "available for sale (AFS)" and recorded at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported in other comprchensive income.

Purchase premiums and liscounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. Decline; in the estimated fair value of held to maturity and available for salc securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses. In estimating other than temporary impairment losses, management considers: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has jeen less than cost; (2) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer; and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recorded on the trade date and are determined using the specific identification method. Investments in Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta stocks are recorded at cost and are considered restricted as to marketability. The Bank is required to maintain investments in the Federal Reserve Bank as a condition of membership and the Federal Home Loan Bank based upon levels of borrowings.

## Loans Held for Sale

Loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, in the aggregate. Fair value is derived from secondary market quotations for similar instruments. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income.

Mortgage loans held for sale are generally sold with the mortgage servicing rights retained by the Company. The carrying value of mortgage loans sold is reduced by the cost allocated to the associated servicing rights. Gains or losses on sales of mortgage loans are recognized based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related mortgage loans sold, using the specific identification method.

## Loans Receivable

The Company originates real estate mortgages, construction and land development loans, commercial loans and consumer loans. A substantial portion of the loan portfolio is comprised of loans throughout Southern Maryland. The ability of the Company's debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the real estate and general economic conditions in this area.

Loans that the Company has the intent and ability to hold for the foresceable future, or until maturity or payoff, are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances, adjusted for the allowance for loan losses and any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment of the related loan yield using the interest method.

The accrual of interest on mortgage and commercial loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the credit is well secured and in the process of collection. Non-accrual loans are evaluated for impairment on a loan-by-loan basis in accordance with the Company's impairment methodology.

Consumer loans are typically charged-off no later than 90 days past due. Mortgage and commercial loans are fully or partially charged-off when in management's judgment all reasonable efforts to return a loan to performing status have occurred. In all cases, loans arc placed on non-accrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

All interest accrued but not collected from loans that are placed on non-accrual or charged-off is reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

## Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established as probable losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes that the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the composition and size of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance for loan losses consists of a specific component and a general component. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as doubtful, substandard or special mention. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than carrying value of that loan. The general component covers the non-classified loans by loan category and is based on historical loss experience, peer
group comparisons, indu stry data and loss percentages used for similarly graded loans adjusted for qualitative factors.

## Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for ce mmercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the l Jan's effective interest rate, the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent.

Large groups of smalle: homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement.

The Company consider: all troubled debt restructured loans (TDRs) to be impaired and defines TDRs as loans whose terms have been modified to provide for a reduction of either interest or principal because of deterioration in the finaticial condition of the borrower. A loan extended or renewed at a stated interest rate equal to the current inte est rate for new debt with similar risk is not considered a TDR. Once an obligation has been classified as a T'DR it continues to be considered a TDR until paid in full or until the loan returns to performing status and yillds a market interest rate equal to the current interest rate for new debt with similar risk. TDRs are evaluated by management on a regular basis utilizing the Company's risk grading matrix and must have a passing loan grade to be removed as a TDR. TDRs are evaluated for impairment on a loan by loan basis in accordance with the Company's impairment methodology.

The Company does not participate in any specific government or Company-sponsored loan modification programs. All restructured loan agreements are individual contracts negotiated with a borrower.

## Servicing

Servicing assets are recognized as separate assets when rights are acquired through purchase or through the sale of financial assets. Generally, purchased servicing rights are capitalized at the cost to acquire the rights. For sales of mortgage loans, a portion of the cost of originating the loan is allocated to the servicing based on relative estimated fair value. Estimated fair value is based on market prices for comparable mortgage servicing contracts, when available, or alternatively, is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net ser icing. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, such as the cost to service, the discount rate, an inflation rate, ancillary income, prepay nent speeds and default rates and losses. Capitalized servicing rights are reported in other assets and are arnortized into noninterest income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying financial assets.

Servicing assets are evalu ated for impairment based upon the estimated fair value of the rights as compared to amortized cost. Impairnent is determined by stratifying rights into tranches based on predominant risk characteristics, such as interest rate, loan type and investor type. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance for in individual tranche, to the extent that fair value is less than the capitalized amount for the tranche. If the Company later determines that all or a portion of the impairment no longer exists for a particular tranche, a reduction of the allowance may be recorded as an increase to income.

Servicing fee income is recorded for fees earned for servicing loans. The fees are based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal, or a fixed amount per loan, and recorded as income when earned. The amortization of mortgage servicing rights is netted against loan servicing fee income.

## Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Premises, improvements and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, computed by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which are as follows:

> Buildings and Improvements: $10-50$ years
> Furniture and Equipment: 3-15 years
> Automobiles: 5 years

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, while improvements that extend the useful life of premises and equipment are capitalized.

## Foreclosed Real Estate

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management, and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or estimated fair value less the cost to sell. Revenue and expenses from operations and changes in the valuation allowance are included in noninterest expense.

## Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when: (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company; (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets; and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

## Advertising Costs

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred.

## Income Taxes

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return with its subsidiaries. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the tax effects of the temporary differences between the book and tax bases of the various balance sheet assets and liabilities and gives current recognition to changes in tax rates and laws. It is the Company's policy to recognize accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of tax expense.

## Off Balance Sheet Credit Related Financial Instruments

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has entered into commitments to extend credit, including commitments under commercial lines of credit, letters of credit and standby letters of credit. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded.

## Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has stock option and incentive arrangements to attract and retain key personnel in order to promote the success of the business. In May 2005, the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the "Plan") was approved by the shareholders, and authorizes the issuance of restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, stock units and stock options to the Board of Directors and key employees.

Compensation cost for all stock-based awards is measured at fair value on date of grant and recognized over the service period for awards expected to vest. Such value is recognized as expense over the service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The estimation of stock awards that ultimately vest requires judgment, and to the
extent actual results or ufdated estimates differ from our current estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period estimates are revised. The Company considers many factors when estimating expected forfe tures, including types of awards, employee class and historical experience.

The Company and the Bank currently maintain incentive compensation plans which provide for payments to be made in cash, stock options or other share-based compensation. The Company has accrued the full amounts due under these plans, but as of year-end, it is not possible to identify the portion that will be paid out in the form of share-hased compensation.

## Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share represents income available to common stockholders, divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued. Potential cornmon shares that may be issued by the Company relate to outstanding stock options and are determined using the treasury stock method. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were 190,479 and 253,359 options respectively, which were excluded from the calculation as their effect would be antidilutive.

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net Income | \$ 4,765,701 | \$ 2,867,090 |
| Less: dividends paid :nd accrued on preferred stock | $(846,930)$ | (846,930) |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 3,918,771 | \$ 2,020,160 |
| Average number of conmon shares outstanding | 2,985,080 | 2,961,293 |
| Fiffect of dilutive optior $s$ | 23,199 | 26,608 |
| Average number of shares used to calculate diluted earnings per share | 3,008,279. | 2,987,901 |

## Comprehensive Income

Accounting principles getıerally require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the equity section of the balance sheet, such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income.

## Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made in the Consolidated Financial Statements for 2009 to conform to the classification presented in 2010.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

FASB ASC TOPIC 105, 'Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" ("GAAP") establishes the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") as the single source of authoritative GAAP in the United States except for rules and interpretive releases of the SEC, which are sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") ASC became effective on July 1, 2009. The provisions of FASB ASC Topic 105 were adopted for the year ended December 31, 2009 and did not have a material effect on the Company's Conso idated Financial Statements.

FASB ASC TOPIC 310, "Receivables" - In April 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-18, Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan is Part of a Pool That is Accounted for as a Single Asset. Modifications of loans that are accounted for within a pool do not result in the removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled debt restructuring. ASU No. 2010-18 is cffective for modifications of loans accounted for within pools for the first interim or annual period ending
on or after July 15, 2010 and are to be applied prospectively although early application is permitted. The Company adopted this guidance effective July 1, 2010, and adoption did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ASU No. 2010-20 (ASC Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. In July 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. ASU 2010-20 requires that more information be disclosed about the credit quality of a company's loans and the allowance for loan losses held against those loans. A company will need to disaggregate new and existing disclosure based on how it develops its allowance for loan losses and how it manages credit exposures. Existing disclosures to be presented on a disaggregated basis include a roll-forward of the allowance for loan losses, the related recorded investment in such loans, the nonaccrual status of loans and impaired loans. Additional disclosure is also required about the credit quality indicators of loans by class at the end of the reporting period, the aging of past due loans, information about troubled debt restructurings and significant purchases and sales of loans during the reporting period by class. ASU 2010-20 requires certain disclosures as of the end of a reporting period effective for periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted this guidance effective December 31, 2010 and reflected additional disclosures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Notes 1 and Notes 5.

Other required disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Additionally, ASU 2011-01 deferred the date for disclosures related to troubled debt restructures to coincide with the effective date of a proposed accounting standards update related to troubled debt restructures, which is currently expected to be effective for periods ending after June 15, 2011. The Company anticipates that adoption of these additional disclosures will not have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

FASB ASC Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Additional guidance (ASU No. 2010-06) issued under ASC Topic 820 requires expanded disclosures related to fair value measurements including (1) the amounts of significant transfers of assets or liabilities between Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the reasons for the transfers, (2) the reasons for transfers of assets or liabilities in or out of Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, with significant transfers disclosed separately, (3) the policy for determining when transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized and (4) for recurring fair value measurements of assets and liabilities in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, a gross presentation of information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. ASU 2010-06 further clarifies that (1) fair value measurement disclosures should be provided for each class of assets and liabilities (rather than major category), which would generally be a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position and (2) company's should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements for each class of assets and liabilities included in Jevels 2 and 3 of the fair value hicrarchy. The disclosures related to the gross presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of assets and liabilities included in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy will be required for the Company beginning January 1, 2011. The remaining disclosure requirements and clarifications made by ASU 2010-06 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2010.

FASB ASC TOPIC 860, "Transfers and Servicing" provides guidance that eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity" from the original accounting guidance and removes the exception from applying FASB guidance on consolidation of variable interest entities, to qualifying special-purpose entities. This guidance is effective at the beginning of a reporting entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2009. Adoption of this new guidance, effective January 1, 2010, did not have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

## NOTE 2 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company adopted F ASB ASC Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements" and FASB ASC Topic 825, "The Fair Value Option for Fi nancial Assets and Financial Liabilitics", which provides a framework for measuring and disclosing fair valuc under generally accepted accounting principles. FASB ASC Topic 820 requires disclosures about the fair value of assets and liabilities recognized in the balance sheet in periods subsequent to initial recognition, whe ther the measurements are made on a recurring basis (for example, available for sale investment securities) or on a nonrecurring basis (for example, impaired loans).

FASB ASC Topic 820 d fines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. FASB ASC Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unot servable inputs when measuring fair value.

The Company utilizes fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and to determine fair value disclosures. Securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, the Company may be required to record at fair value other assets on a nonrecurring basis such is loans held for investment and certain other assets. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower of cost or market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

Under FASB ASC Topic 820, the Company groups assets and liabilities at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine the fair value. 'These hierarchy levels are

Level 1 inputs - Unadjusted quoted process in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access a : the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inpt ts other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs - Unobscrvable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

Transfers between level: of the fair value hierarchy are recognized on the actual date of the event or circumstances that causet the transfer, which generally coincides with the Company's monthly or quarterly valuation process.

There were no transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy and the Company had no Level 3 fair value assets or liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value:

## Securities Available for Sale

Investment securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using independent pricing morlels or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, adjusted for the security's credit rating, prepayment assumptions and other factors such as credit loss assumptions. Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange, Treasury securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets and
money market funds. Level 2 securities include mortgage-backed securitics issued by government-sponsored entities, municipal bonds and corporate debt securities. Securities classified as Level 3 include asset-backed securities in less liquid markets.

## Loans Receivable

The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis, however, from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan loss is established. Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan are considered impaired. Management estimates the fair value of impaired loans using one of several methods, including the collateral value, market value of similar debt, enterprise value, liquidation value and discounted cash flows. Impaired loans not requiring a specific allowance represent loans for which the fair value of expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investment in such loans. At December 31, 2010, substantially all of the impaired loans were evaluated based upon the fair value of the collateral. In accordance with FASB ASC 820, impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral require classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the loan as nonrecurring Level 2 . When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the loan as nonrecurring Level 3.

## Foreclosed Real Estate

Foreclosed assets are adjusted for fair value upon transfer of the loans to foreclosed assets. Subsequently, foreclosed assets are carried at the lower of carrying value and fair value. Fair value is based upon independent market prices, appraised value of the collateral or management's estimation of the value of the collateral. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an obscrvable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the foreclosed asset as nontecurring Level 2 . When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the foreclosed asset at nonrecurring Level 3.

## Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The table below presents the recorded amount of assets as of December 31, 2010, measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

| Description of Asset | Fair Value |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available for sale securitics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assct-backed securities issued by GSEs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CMOs | \$ | 27,584,731 | \$ | \$ | 27,584,731 | \$ |  |
| MBS |  | 3,504,215 | - |  | 3,504,215 |  |  |
| Corporate equity securitics |  | 37,390 | - |  | 37,390 |  |  |
| Bond mutual funds |  | 3,819,889 | - |  | 3,819,889 |  |  |
| Total available for sale securities | \$ | 34,946,225 | \$ |  | 34,946,225 | \$ |  |

## Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Company may be required from time to time to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These include assets that are measured at the lower of cost or market that were recognized at lair value below cost at the end of the period. Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of December 31, 2010 are included in the table below.

|  |  | Quoted Prices in <br> Active Markets for <br> Identical Assets <br> (Level 1) | Significant Other <br> Observable Inputs <br> (Level 2) | Significant <br> Unobservable <br> Inputs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Level 3) |  |  |  |  |

Loans with impairment have unpaid principal balances of $\$ 11,388,016$ at December 31, 2010.

## NOTE 3 - RESTRICTIONS ON CASH AND AMOUNTS DUE FROM BANKS

The Bank is required to maintain average balances on hand or with the Federal Reserve Bank. At December 31,2010 and 2009 , these reserve balances amounted to $\$ 314,000$ and $\$ 477,000$, respectively.

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
| Securities available for sale (AFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset-backed securities issued by GSEs | \$ | 30,327,808 | \$ | 761,138 | \$ | - | \$ | 31,088,946 |
| Corporate equity securities |  | 37,310 |  | 80 |  |  |  | 37,390 |
| Bond mutual funds |  | 3,697,208 |  | 122,681 |  |  |  | 3,819,889 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ | 34,062,326 | $\$$ | 883,899 | \$ |  | \$ | 34,946,225 |
| Securities held to maturity (HTM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset-backed securities issued by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSEs | \$ | 113,772,011 | \$ | 1,761,809 | \$ | 782,270 | \$ | 114,751,550 |
| Other |  | 12,463,500 |  | 132,928 |  | 1,709,386 |  | 10,887,042 |
| Total debt securities held to maturity |  | 126,235,511 |  | 1,894,737 |  | 2,491,656 |  | 125,638,592 |
| U.S. government obligations |  | 752,805 |  | - |  | - |  | 752,805 |
| Other investments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ | 126,988,316 | \$ | 1,894.737 | \$ | 2,491,656 | \$ | 126,391,397 |
|  |  |  |  | Decembe |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ortized <br> Cost |  | nealized ins |  | realized <br> ses |  | mated <br> Value |
| Securities available for sale (AFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset-backed securities issued by GSEs | \$ | 49,617,856 | \$ | 646,198 | \$ | 30,628 | \$ | 50,233,426 |
| Corporate equity securities |  | 37,310 |  | 1,416 |  | 163 |  | 38,563 |
| Bond mutual funds |  | 3,568,050 |  | 86,070 |  | - |  | 3,654,120 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ | 53,223,216 | \$ | 733,684 | \$ | 30,791 | \$ | 53,926,109 |
| Securities held to maturity (HTM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asset-backed securities issued by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSEs | \$ | 71,276,709 | \$ | 1,689,252 | \$ | 137,919 | \$ | 72,828,042 |
| Other |  | 19,005,847 |  | 12,088 |  | 3,353,964 |  | 15,663,971 |
| Total debt securities held to maturity |  | 90,282,556 |  | 1,701,340 |  | 3,491,883 |  | 88,492,013 |
| U.S. government obligations |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Other investments |  | 5,247 |  |  |  | - |  | 5,247 |
| Total securities held to maturity | $\underline{ }$ | 90,287,803 | \$ | 1,701,340 | \$ | 3,491,883 | \$ | 88,497,260 |

At December 31, 2010, certain asset-backed securities with a carrying value of $\$ 12,735,701$ were pledged to secure certain deposits. At December 31, 2010, asset-backed securities with a carrying value of $\$ 27,108,329$ were pledged as collateral for advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta.

At December 31, 2010, the AFS and HTM asset-backed securities investment portfolio was $\$ 157,324,457$, or $97 \%$, of the Company's total AFS and HTM portfolios. Ninety-five percent of the asset-backed securities portfolio was rated AAA by Standard \& Poor's. AFS asset-backed securities issued by GSEs have an average life of 4.67 years and average duration of 4.36 years and are guaranteed by their issuer as to credit risk. HTM asset-backed securities issued by GSEs have an average life of 3.88 years and average duration of 3.58 years and are guaranteed by their issuer as to credit risk.

At December 31, 2010, the $\Lambda F S$ investment portfolio has a fair valuc of $\$ 34,946,225$ with no untealized losses from their amortized cost.

Gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value by length of time that the individual HTM securities have been in a continuous unr zalized loss position at December 31, 2010, are as follows:


The HTM investment portfolio has an estimated fair valuc of $\$ 126,391,397$, of which $\$ 46,117,813$, or $36 \%$ of the securities, had some inrealized losses from their amortized cost. Of these securities, $\$ 37,544,402$, or $81 \%$, are mortgage-backed serurities issued by GSEs and the remaining $\$ 8,573,411$, or $19 \%$, were asset-backed securities issued by others.

HTM securities issucd ly GSEs are guaranteed by the issucr. Total unrealized losses on the asset-backed securitics issued by GSEs were $\$ 782,270$, or $0.69 \%$, of the amortized cost of $\$ 113,772,011$. HTM assetbacked securities issued by GSEs with unrealized losses have an average life of 4.60 ycars and an average duration of 4.08 years. 'We believe that the securities will either recover in market value or be paid off as agreed. The Company in ends to, and has the ability to, hold these securities to maturity.

HTM asset-backed secuities issued by others are mortgage-backed securities. All of the securities have credit support tranches that absorb losses prior to the tranches which the Company owns. The Company reviews credit support positions on its securities regularly. Total unrealized losses on the asset-backed securities issued by others were $\$ 1,709,336$, or $13.72 \%$, of the amortized cost of $\$ 12,463,500$. HTM asset-backed securities issucd by others with unrealized losses have an average life of 3.52 years and an average duration of 2.62 years. We believe that the securities will either recover in market value or be paid off as agreed. The Company intends to, and has the ability to, hold these securities to maturity. We believe that the losses are the result of gencral perceptions of safety and creditworthiness of the entire sector and a general disruption of orderly markets in the asset class.

No charges related to ( ther-than-temporary impairment were made during the year ended December 31, 2010. During the year conded December 31,2009 , the Company recorded a charge of $\$ 118,744$ related to other-than-temporary impairment on Silverton Bank common stock. This charge was recorded in earnings as investment securities losses and eliminated the cost basis. In addition in 2009 , the Company recorded a charge of $\$ 148,000$ related to other-than-temporary impairment on a single CMO issuc. At December 31, 2010 , the CM() issue ha: a par valuc of $\$ 1,066,000$ with a carrying value of $\$ 658,000$.

Management has the alility and intent to hold the securities with unrealized losses classified as held to maturity until they mature, at which time the Company will receive full value for the securities. Because our intention is not to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, management considers the unrealized losses in the held-to-maturity portfolio to be temporary, except for the single (CM() issue noted above, for which an othe $r$-than-temporary charge was recorded in 2009 in the amount of $\$ 148,000$.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities at December 31, 2010, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

|  | Available for Sale |  |  |  | Held to Maturity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AmortizedCost |  | Estimated |  | Amortized |  | Estimated |  |
|  |  |  | Fair |  |  |  | Fair |  |
|  |  |  |  | alue |  | Cost |  | Value |
| Within one year | \$ | 3,697,208 | \$ | 3,819,889 | \$ | 752,805 | \$ | 752,805 |
| Asset-backed securites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within one ycar |  | 11,468,202 |  | 11,756,(120) |  | 36,223,185 |  | 36,051,899 |
| Over onc year through five years |  | 12,903,862 |  | 13,227,711 |  | 61,310,285 |  | 61,020,372 |
| Over five years through ten years |  | 4,326,438 |  | 4,435,019 |  | 21,990,416 |  | 21,886,432 |
| After ten years |  | 1,629,306 |  | 1,670,196 |  | 6,711,625 |  | 6,679,889 |
| Total asset-backed securities |  | 30,327,808 |  | 31,088,946 |  | 126,235,511 |  | 125,638,592 |
|  | \$ | 34,025,016 | S | 34,908,835 | \$ | 126,988,316 | s | 126,391,397 |

During 2010, there were no sales of available for sale securities compared to sales of $\$ 73,200$ in 2009 . The 2009 sales produced a net loss of $\$ 12,863$. Asset-backed securities are comprised of mortgage-backed securities as well as collateralized mortgage obligations and real estate mortgage investment conduits.

The table below presents the Standard \& Poor's credit rating of AFS and HTM asset-backed securities issued by GSEs and others at December 31, 2010 carrying value.

| Credit Rating | Amount |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| AAA | $\$$ | $148,916,364$ |
| AA+ | 404,077 |  |
| MA- | $2,121,938$ |  |
| A- | $1,147,286$ |  |
| BBB+ | 104,005 |  |
| BBB- | 805,668 |  |
| B+ | 657,727 |  |
| CCC+ | $3,167,392$ |  |
| Total |  | $157,324,457$ |

## NOTE 5 - LOANS

Loans consist of the foll owing:

|  | Years Ended December 31,  <br> 2010 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | 336,299,836 | \$ | 292,987,963 |
| Residential first m rttrages |  | 136,048,577 |  | 116,225,733 |
| Construction and Ind development |  | 42,504,200 |  | 62,509,558 |
| Home equity and sccond mortgage |  | 24,379,664 |  | 25,133,155 |
| Commercial loans |  | 104,566,261 |  | 108,657,910 |
| Consumer loans |  | 1,273,080 |  | 1,607,765 |
| Commercial equipınent |  | 17,983,648 |  | 17,916,655 |
|  |  | 663,055,266 |  | 625,038,739 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred loan fies |  | 936,183 |  | 974,449 |
| Allowance for lioan loss |  | 7,669,147 |  | 7,471,314 |
|  |  | 8,605,330 |  | 8,445,763 |
|  | \$ | 654,449,936 | \$ | 616,592,976 |

At December 31, 2010, he Bank's allowance for loan losses totaled $\$ 7,669,147$, or $1.16 \%$, of loan balances as compared to $\$ 7,471,314$, or $1.20 \%$, of loan balances at December 31, 2009. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on a periodic evaluation of the portfolio with consideration given to the overall loss experience, current economic conditions, volume, growth and composition of the loan portfolio, financial condition of the borrowers and other relevant factors that, in management's judgment, warrant recognition in providing an adequate allowance.

The following table details activity in the allowance for loan losses and year-end loan receivable balances for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. An allocation of the allowance to one category of loans does not prevent the Company's ability to utilize the allowance to absorb losses in a different category. The loan receivables are disaggreg ated on the basis of the Company's impairment methodology.


| 2009 | Commercial <br> Real Estate | Residential First Mortgage |  | Construction and Land Development | Home Equity and Second Mtg. | Commercial Loans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumer } \\ \text { Ioans } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Commercial Equipment | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at January 1, | \$ 2,008,872 | \$ 104,993 | \$ | 1,294,918 | \$ 101,994 | \$ 1,247,921 | \$ 42,997 | $\$ 343,978$ | $\$ 5,145,673$ |
| Charge-offs | - |  |  | $(187,395)$ | $(98,225)$ | $(606,313)$ | $(31,933)$ | $(223,201)$ | $(1,147,067)$ |
| Recoverjes | - | - |  | - | - | - | 99 | - | 99 |
| Provisions | 652.499 | 22,855 |  | 588,873 | 126,923 | 1,467,905 | 52,826 | 560,728 | 3,472,608 |
| Balance at December 31, | \$ 2,661,371 | \$ 127,848 | \$ | 1,696,396 | \$ 130,692 | \$ 2,109.513 | \$ 63,989 | \$ 681,505 | \$ 7,471,314 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 50,000 | \$ | \$ | 404,600 |  | \$ 882,745 |  | \$ 500,000 | \$ 1,837,345 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 2,611,371 | \$ 127,848 | \$ | 1,291,796 | \$ 130,692 | \$ 1226,768 | \$ 63,989 | \$ 181,505 | \$ 5,633,969 |
| Loan receivables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fnding balance | \$292,987,263 | \$ 116,225,733 | $\$$ | 62,509,558 | \$25,133,155 | \$108,657,910 | \$1,007,765 | \$17,216,655 | \$625,038,739 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 13,688,541 | \$ 3,008,512 | \$ | 16,500,479 | \$ 169,103 | \$ 8,630,272 | \$ 1,230 | \$ 5,014,362 | \$ 47,192,499 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ $\$ 279,209,422$ | \$113,12, 221 | \$ | 45,919,079 | \$24,964,052 | \$100,027,638 | \$ 1,606,535 | \$12,902,293 | \$577,846,240 |

## Related Party Loans

Included in loans receivable at December 31, 2010 was $\$ 6,250,097$ due from executive officers and directors of the Bank. These loans are made in the ordinary course of business at substantially the same terms and conditions as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons not affiliated with the Bank and are not considered to involve more than the normal risk of collectability. For the year ended December 31, 2010, all oans to directors and executive officers of the Bank performed according to original loan terms.

Activity in loans outstanding to executive officers and directors for the year ended December 31, 2010 is summarized as follows:

Balance, beginning of year
New loans made during year
Repayments made during year
Balance, end of year

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\$ & 5,509,870 \\
1,796,909 \\
& (1,056,682) \\
\hline \$ \quad 6,250,097 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Non-accrual and Past Due Loans

Loans are reviewed on a regular basis and are placed on non-accrual status when, in the opinion of management, the collection of additional interest is doubtful. The accrual of interest on mortgage and commercial loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the credit is well secured and in the process of cellection. Consumer loans are typically charged-off no later than 90 days past due. In all cases, loans are placed on non-accrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. IJon-accrual loans are evaluated for impairment on a loan by loan basis in accordance with the Company's impairment methodology.

All interest accrued but not collected from loans that are placed on non-accrual or charged-off is reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-rccovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all principal and interest amounts contra:tually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Nonaccrual loans by as of De cember 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dollars |  | Number of Loans | Dollars |  | Number of Loans |
| Commercial rcal estate | \$ | 8,244,683 | 12 | \$ | 6,366,672 | 8 |
| Residential first mortgages |  | 1,746,786 | 6 |  | 338,806 | 1 |
| Construction and land devilopment |  | 983,867 | 1 |  | 9,504,414 | 5 |
| Home equity and second nortgage |  | 232,644 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Commercial loans |  | 2,261,642 | 6 |  | 2,192,308 | 5 |
| Consumer loans |  | 701 | 1 |  | 22,884 | 2 |
| Commercial equipment |  | 48,456 | 1 |  | 862,399 | 3 |
|  | \$ | 13,518,779 | 32 |  | 19,287,483 | $\underline{24}$ |

Non-accrual loans on which the recognition of interest has been discontinued, which did not have a specific allowance for impairment, amounted to $\$ 8,715,318$ and $\$ 10,340,310$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. If interest ir come had been recognized on non-accrual loans at their stated rates during 2010 and 2009 interest income would have been increased by $\$ 598,603$ and $\$ 556,202$, respectively. Income in the amount of $\$ 155,842$ and $\$ 160,817$ was recognized on these loans in 2010 and 2009 , respectively.

An analysis of past due loans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:


There were no accruing loans 90 days or greater past due at December 31, 2010 or 2009.

## Credit Quality Indicators

A risk grading matrix is used to assign grades to commercial real estate, construction and land development, commercial loans and commercial equipment loans. Loans are graded at inception, annually thereafter when financial statements are received, and at other times when there is an indication that a credit may have weakened or improved. Only commercial loan relationships with an aggregate exposure to the Bank of $\$ 350,000$ or greater are subject to being risk rated. Relationships that are comprised of only a few loans which are fully amortizing, secured by real estate and have not had a history of delinquency are exempt from the annual review. Loans are graded on a scale of 1 to 10 .

## Ratings 1 thru 6 - Pass

Ratings 1 thru 6 have asset risks ranging from excellent low risk to adequate. The specific rating assigned considers customer history of earnings, cash flows, liquidity, leverage, capitalization, consistency of debt service coverage, the nature and extent of customer relationship and other relevant specific business factors such as the stability of the industry or market area, changes to management, litigation or unexpected events that could have an impact on risks.

## Rating 7-OAEM (Other Assets Especially Mentioned) - Special Mention

These credits, while protected by the financial strength of the borrowers, guarantors or collateral, have reduced quality due to economic conditions, less than adequate earnings performance or other factors which require the Lending Officer to direct more than normal attention to the credit. Financing alternatives may be limited and/or command higher risk interest rates. OAEM classified loans are the first adversely classified assets on our Watch List. These relationships will be reviewed at least quarterly.

## Rating 8 - Substandard

Substandard assets are assets that are inadequately protected by the sound worth or paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged. These assets have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the bank will
sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Loss potential, while existing in the aggregate amount of substandard assets, does not have to exist in individual assets classified substandard. The loans may have a delinquent history or combination of weak collateral, weak guarantor strength or operating losses. These assets listed may includs assets with histories of repossessions or some that are non-performing bankruptcies. These relationships will be reviewed at least quarterly.

## Rating 9 - Doubful

Doubtful assets have rrany of the same characteristics of Substandard with the exception that the Bank has determined that loss is not only possible but is probable and the risk is close to certain that loss will occur. When a loan is assignes to this category the Bank will identify the probable loss and it will receive a specific reserve in the loan loss :llowance analysis. These relationships will be reviewed at least quarterly.

Rating 10 - Loss
Once an asset is identified as a definite loss to the Bank, it will receive the classification of "loss". There may be some future potenial recovery; however it is more practical to write off the loan at the time of classification. Losses w 11 be taken in the period in which they are determined to be uncollectable.

Residential first mortgiges, home equity and second mortgages and consumer loans are evaluated for creditworthiness in underwriting and are monitored on an ongoing basis based on borrower payment history. Consumer loans and residential real estate loans are classified as unrated unless they are part of a larger commercial relationship that requires grading or are troubled debt restructures or nonperforming loans with an OAEM or higher risl: rating due to a delinquent payment history.

Management regularly eviews credit quality indicators in assessing the overall quality of the Bank's loan portfolio including the composition of the loan portfolio, net charge-offs, nonperforming loans, performance of troubled debt restructured loans and general economic conditions in the Southern Maryland market. This review process is assisted by frequent internal reporting of loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencis s and nonperforming and potential problem loans.

Credit quality indicators as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

## Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

|  | Commercial Real Estate |  |  |  | Construction and Land Dev. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| Unrated | \$ | 1,074,330 | \$ | 962,169 | \$ | - | \$ | 635,000 |
| Pass |  | 317,579,637 |  | 279,447,944 |  | 30,274,737 |  | 45,284,080 |
| Special mention |  | 3,628,052 |  | 3,346,443 |  | 1,585,035 |  | 4,496,641 |
| Substandard |  | 14,017,818 |  | 9,231,407 |  | 10,644,428 |  | 1,392,018 |
| Doubtful |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 10,701,819 |
| L.oss |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Total |  | 336,299,836 |  | 292,987,963 |  | 42,504,200 | $\$$ | 62,509.558 |


|  | Commercial Loans |  |  |  | Commercial Equipment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| Unrated | \$ | 50,035 | \$ | 527,703 | \$ | 169,492 | \$ | 293,771 |
| Pass |  | 84,946,678 |  | 94,704,238 |  | 17,765,700 |  | 17,488,030 |
| Special mention |  | 2,814,668 |  | 2,668,800 |  | - |  |  |
| Substandard |  | 12,852,635 |  | 6,765,846 |  | - |  | 74,658 |
| Doubtful |  | 3,793,470 |  | 3,991,323 |  | 48,456 |  | 60,196 |
| Loss |  | 108,775 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total |  | 104,566,261 |  | 08,657,210 | \$ | 17,983,648 |  | 17,916,655 |

## Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity

|  | Residential First Mortgages |  | Home Equity and Second Mtg. |  |  |  | Consumer Loans |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| Performing | \$ 134,301,791 | \$ 115,886,927 | \$ | 24,147,019 | \$ | 25,133,155 | \$ | 1,272,379 | \$ | 1,584,881 |
| Nonperforming | 1,746,786 | 338,806 |  | 232,645 |  |  |  | 701 |  | 22,884 |
| Total | \$136,048,577 | \$ $116,225,733$ |  | 24,379,664 | \$ | 25,133,155 | $\$$ | 1,273,080 |  | 1,607,765 |

## Impaired Loans and Troubled Debt Restructures

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Large groups of smaller homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures unless such loans are the subject of a troubled debt restructuring agreement or are risk rated as OAEM or above or are part of a commercial relationship that requires grading.

Interest payments made on impaired loans are applied to principal unless collectability of the principal amount is reasonably assured. Interest recognized on impaired loans is on a cash basis. Impaired loans at December 31, 2010 were as follows:

|  | Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance | Recorded Investment With No Allowance | Recorded Investment With Allowance | Total Recorded Investment | Related <br> Allowance | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment | Interest <br> Income Recognized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 11,254,896 | \$ 5,624,780 | \$ 5,222,466 | \$ 10,847,246 | \$ 500,000 | \$ 8,710,501 | \$ 329,446 |
| Residential first mortgages | 928,847 | 928,847 | - | 928,847 | - - | 924,163 | 52,516 |
| Construction and land dev. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity and second mtg. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | 9,292,145 | 3,195,567 | 6,096,578 | 9,292,145 | 1,449,179 | 9,308,552 | 464,956 |
| Consumer loans | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial equipment | 319,769 | 271,313 | 48,456 | 319,769 | 48,456 | 300,533 | 13,836 |
| Total | $\$ 21,795,657$ | $\underline{\$ 10,020,507}$ | $\underline{\$ 11,367,500}$ | $\underline{\$ 21,388,007}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,997,635}$ | \$ 19,243,749 | \$ 860,754 |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, impaired loans totaled $\$ 21,388,007$ and $\$ 20,548,388$, respectively. Impaired loans had specific allocations within the allowance for loan losses or have been reduced by charge-offs to recoverable values. Allscations of the allowance for loan losses relative to impaired loans at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were $\$ 1,997,635$ and $\$ 1,837,345$, respectively.

If interest income had been recognized on impaired loans at their stated rates during 2010 and 2009 interest income would have bee i increased by $\$ 276,567$ and $\$ 461,149$.

The Company considess all troubled debt restructured loans (TDRs) to be impaired and defines TDRs as loans whose terms have been modified to provide for a reduction of either interest or principal because of deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower. A loan extended or renewed at a stated interest rate equal to the current interest rate for new debt with similar risk is not considered a TDR. Once an obligation has been classified as a ' $D D$ it continues to be considered a TDR until paid in full or until the loan returns to performing status and yields a market interest rate equal to the current interest rate for new debt with similar risk. TDRs are evaluated by management on a regular basis utilizing the Company's risk grading matrix and must have a passing loan grade to be removed as a TDR. TDRs are evaluated for impairment on a loan-byloan basis in accordance with the Company's impairment methodology. The Company does not participate in any specific government or Company-sponsored loan modification programs. All restructured loan agreements are individual contracts negotiated with a borrower.

TDRs as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

Years Ended December 31, 20102009


At December 31, 2010, $\$ 16,584,546$ or $98.2 \%$ of TDRs were performing according to the terms of their restructured agreements. Interest income in the amount of $\$ 720,570$ was recognized on these loans in 2010 .

## NOTE 6 - LOAN SERVICING

Loans serviced for others are not reflected in the accompanying balance sheets. The unpaid principal balances of mortgages serviced for others were $\$ 43,317,605$ and $\$ 37,204,292$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Servicing loans for others generally consists of collecting mortgage payments, maintaining escrow accounts, disbursing payments to investors and foreclosure processing. Loan servicing income is recorded on the accrual basis and includes servicing fees from investors and certain charges collected from borrowers, such as late payment fees. The following table presents the activity of the mortgage servicing rights.

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Balance at beginning of the year | \$ | 132,859 | \$ |  |
| Additions |  | 103,238 |  | 152,519 |
| Amortization |  | $(39,449)$ |  | $(19,660)$ |
|  | \$ | 196,648 | \$ | 132,859 |

## NOTE 7 - FORECLOSED REAL ESTATE

Foreclosed assets are presented net of an allowance for losses. An analysis of the activity in foreclosed assets is as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |
| Balance at beginning of the year | \$ | 922,934 | \$ |  |
| Additions of underlying property |  | 11,768,778 |  | 922,934 |
| Disposals of underlying property |  | (1,934,476) |  |  |
| Valuation allowance |  | $(287,934)$ |  |  |
| Balance at end of year | \$ | 10,469,302 | \$ | 922,934 |

Expenses applicable to Foreclosed assets include the following:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Valuatio in allowance | \$ | 287,934 | \$ |  |
| Operati ig expenses |  | 128,465 |  | 148,156 |
|  | $\$$ | 416,399 | \$ | 148,156 |

## NOTE 8 - PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of the cost and accumulated depreciation of premises and equipment follows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land | \$ | 3,515,200 | \$ | 3,100,039 |
| Buildiņ and improvements |  | 11,092,569 |  | 10,779,689 |
| Furnitue and equipment |  | 4,435,489 |  | 4,081,595 |
| Autome biles |  | 223,326 |  | 214,849 |
| Total ccst |  | 19,266,584 |  | 18,176,172 |
| Less accumulated depreciation |  | 7,134,443 |  | 6,188,482 |
| Premise a and equipment, net | \$ | 12,132,141 | $\Phi$ | 11,987,690 |

Certain Bank facilities are leased under various operating leases. Rent expense was $\$ 468,320$ and $\$ 458,242$ in 2010 and 2009 , respectively. Future minimum rental commitments under non-cancellable operating leases are as follows:

| 2011 | $\$$ | 478,270 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2012 | 487,978 |  |
| 2013 |  | 435,695 |
| 2014 | 448,458 |  |
| 2015 | 453,336 |  |
| Thereafter |  | $3,178,121$ |
| Total | $\$, 481,858$ |  |

## NOTE 9 - DEPOSIT:

Deposits at December ?1 consist of the following:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noninte rest-bearing demand | \$ | 75,642,197 | \$ | 70,001,444 |
| Interest bearing: |  |  |  |  |
| Demend |  | 56,727,102 |  | 58,650,523 |
| Moncy market deposits |  | 122,330,785 |  | 102,491,488 |
| Savin $\mathrm{p}^{\text {s }}$ |  | 31,683,368 |  | 28,017,418 |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 438,198,874 |  | 381,257,916 |
| Total in erest-bearing |  | 648,940,129 |  | 570,417,345 |
| Total Deposits | \$ | 724,582,326 | \$ | 640,418,789 |

The aggregate amount c f certificates of deposit in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or more at December 31, 2010, and 2009 was $\$ 232,534,791$ and $\$ 192,650,086$, respectively. The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit in denominations of $\$ 250,000$ or more at December 31, 2010, and 2009 was $\$ 84,772,971$ and $\$ 70,605,856$, respectively.

At December 31, 2010, the scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit are as follows:

| 2011 | $\$$ | $276,286,346$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2012 | $88,381,395$ |  |
| 2013 |  | $39,201,130$ |
| 2014 | $10,221,249$ |  |
| 2015 | $24,108,754$ |  |

## NOTE 10 - SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND LONG-TERM DEBT

The Bank's long-term debt consists of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Atlanta. The Bank classifies debt based upon original maturity and does not reclassify debt to short-term status during its life. These include fixed-rate, fixed-rate convertible and variable-rate convertible advances. Rates and maturities on these advances at December 31, 2010 are as follows:

|  | Fixed- <br> Rate | Fixed-Rate <br> Convertible | Variable <br> Convertible |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $4.04 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ |
| Highest rate | $0.84 \%$ | $3.47 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ |
| Lowest rate | $2.74 \%$ | $3.88 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ |
| Weighted average rate | 2036 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Matures through |  |  |  |
|  |  | $4.30 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2009 | $4.19 \%$ | $3.47 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Highest rate | $1.00 \%$ | $3.88 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Lowest rate | $3.71 \%$ | 2018 | 2020 |

Average rates of long-term debt and short-term borrowings were as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2010$2009$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term debt |  |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt outstanding at end of period | \$ | 70,624 | \$ | 75,670 |
| Weighted average rate on outstanding long-term debt |  | 3.24\% |  | 3.26\% |
| Maximum outstanding long-term debt of any month end |  | 70,666 |  | 100,692 |
| Average outstanding long-term debt |  | 70,823 |  | 94,745 |
| Approximate average rate paid on long-term debt |  | $3.22 \%$ |  | 3.70\% |
| Short-term borrowings |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings outstanding at end of period | \$ | 816 | \$ | 13,081 |
| Weighted average rate on short-term borrowings |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.34\% |
| Maximum outstanding short-term borrowings |  |  |  |  |
| at any month end |  | 11,322 |  | 13,081 |
| Average outstanding short-term borrowings |  | 2,973 |  | 1,421 |
| Approximate average rate paid on short-term borrowings |  | 1.41\% |  | 2.06\% |

The Bank's fixed-rate debt generally consists of advances with monthly interest payments and principal due at maturity.

The Bank's fixed-rate convertible long-term debt is callable by the issuer, after an initial period ranging from six months to five year:. The instruments are callable at the date ending the initial period. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had $\$ 10,000,000$ in fixed-rate convertible debt callable in 2013. The balance of fixed-rate convertible debt has passed its call date and is scheduled to mature in 2014. All advances have a prepayment penalty, determined bas ed upon prevailing interest rates.

Variable convertible ad ances have an initial variable rate based on a discount to LIBOR. During 2010, the FHLB exercised its option to convert a $\$ 10,000,000$ variable convertible advance to a fixed-rate advance at a rate of $4.0 \%$ for a term of 10 years.

At December 31, 2010, $\$ 60,624,044$ or $86 \%$, of the Bank's long-term debt is fixed for rate and term, as the conversion optionality of the advances have either been exercised or expired. The contractual maturities of long-term debt are as follows:

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FixedRate |  | Fixed-Rate Convertible |  | Variable <br> Convertible |  | Total |  |
| Due in 2011 | \$ | $10,000,000$ | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | 10,000,000 |
| Due in 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due in 2013 |  | 5,000,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 5,000,000 |
| Due in 2014 |  | 10,750,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  | - |  | 20,750,000 |
| Due in 2015 |  | 14,000,000 |  | - - |  | - |  | 14,000,000 |
| Thereafter |  | 874,044 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 20,874,044 |
|  | S | 40,624,044 | 5 | 20,000,000 | \$ | 10,000,000 | \$ | 70,624,044 |

From time to time, the Bank also has daily advances outstanding, which are classified as short-term borrowings. These adva aces are repayable at the Bank's option at any time and are re-priced daily. There were no amounts outstanding at December 31, 2010. There was $\$ 12,500,000$ outstanding as of December 31, 2009.

Under the terms of an Agreement for Advances and Security Agreement with Blanket Floating Lien (the "Agreement"), the Bank maintains collateral with the FHIB of Atlanta consisting of one-to-four family residential first mortgage loans, second mortgage loans, commercial real estate and securities. The Agreement limits total advances to $40 \%$ of assets or $\$ 354,000,000$.

At December 31, 2010. $\$ 330,000,000$ of loans and securities were pledged or in safekeeping at the FHLB. Loans and securities arc subject to collateral eligibility rules and are adjusted for market value and collateral value factors to arrive at lendable collateral values. At December 31, 2010, FHLB lendable collateral was valued at $\$ 245,000,000$. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had total lendable pledged collateral at the FHI.B of $\$ 134,000,000$, of which $\$ 63,000,000$ was available to borrow in addition to outstanding advances of $\$ 71,000,000$. Unpledged lendable collateral was $\$ 111,000,000$, bringing total available borrowing capacity to $\$ 174,000,000$ at December 31, 2010.

Additionally, the Bank has established a short-term credit facility with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond under its Borrower in C.ustody program. The Bank has segregated collateral sufficient to draw $\$ 21,000,000$ under this agreement. Itı addition, the Bank has established short-term credit facilities with other commercial banks totaling $\$ 7,000,090$ at December 31, 2010. No amounts were outstanding under the Borrower in Custody or commercial ines at December 31, 2010.

The Bank had outstanding notes payable to the U.S. Treasury, which are federal treasury tax and loan deposits accepted by the Bank and remitted on demand to the Federal Reserve Bank. At December 31, 2010 and 2009 , such borrow ings were $\$ 816,422$ and $\$ 580,530$, respectively. The Bank pays interest on these balances at a slight discount to the federal funds rate. The notes are secured by investment securities with an
amortized cost of approximately $\$ 800,000$ and $\$ 1,500,000$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## NOTE 11 - INCOME TAXES

Allocation of federal and state income taxes between current and deferred portions is as follows:


The reasons for the differences between the statutory federal income tax rate and the effective tax rates are summarized as follows:

|  | Amount | 2010 <br> Percent <br> of Pre <br> Tax <br> Income | Amount | 2009 <br> Percent <br> of Pre <br> Tax |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income |  |  |  |  |

The net deferred tax assets in the accompanying balance sheets include the following components:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred tax assets |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred fees | \$ | 1,410 | \$ | 1,470 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 3,025,478 |  | 2,947,433 |
| Deferred compensation |  | 1,624,462 |  | 1,351,433 |
| Other |  | 872,426 |  | 533,217 |
|  |  | 5,523,776 |  | 4,833,553 |
| Deferred tax liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities |  | 211,824 |  | 146,548 |
| FHJ.B stock dividends |  | 156,182 |  | 156,182 |
| Depreciation |  | 94,213 |  | 166,634 |
|  |  | 462,219 |  | 469,364 |
|  | \$ | 5,061,557 | S | 4,364,189 |

Retained earnings at December 31, 2010 included approximately $\$ 1.2$ million of bad debt deductions allowed for federal income tax purposes (the "base year tax reserve") for which no deferred income tax has been recognized. If, in the future, this portion of retained earnings is used for any purpose other than to absorb bad debt losses, it would create income for tax purposes only and income taxes would be imposed at the then prevailing rates. The unrecorded income tax liability on the above amount was approximately $\$ 463,000$ at

December 31, 2010. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. Federal tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007.

## NOTE 12 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Bank is party to $f$ nancial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are commitments to extend credit. These instruments may, but do not necessarily, involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized on the balance sheets. The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformatice by the other party to the financial instrument is represented by the contractual amount of those instru nents. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet loans seceivable.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, in addition to the undisbursed portion of loans receivable of $\$ 8,067,658$ and $\$ 22,960,545$, respectively, the Bank had outstanding loan commitments of approximately $\$ 18,619,662$ and $\$ 12,168,100$, respectively.

Standby letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are issued primarily to support construction borrowing arrangemenis. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending ban facilities to customers. The Bank holds cash or a secured interest in real estate as collateral to support those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. Standby letters of credit outstanding amounted to $\$ 25,053,811$ and $\$ 20,689,434$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition to the commitments noted above, customers had approximately $\$ 81,322,000$ and $\$ 82,307,000$ available under lines of credit at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## NOTE 13 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has stock option and incentive arrangements to attract and retain key personnel. In May 2005, the 2005 Equity Compensation Plan (the "Plan") was approved by the shareholders, and authorizes the issuance of restricted st ock, stock appreciation rights, stock units and stock options to the Board of Directors and key employees.

Stock-based compens:tion expense totaled $\$ 60,150$ and $\$ 36,481$ in 2010 and 2009 , respectively. Compensation expense for service based awards is recognized over the vesting period. Performance based awards are recognized l ased on a vesting, if applicable, and the probability of achieving the goals.

The fair value of the Company's employee stock options granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company estimates expected market price volatility and expected term of the options bas $2 d$ on historical data and other factors.

The exercise price for options granted is set at the discretion of the committee administering the Plan, but is not less than the market value of the shares as of the date of grant. An option's maximum term is 10 years and the options vest at the discretion of the committee. All outstanding options were fully vested at December 31, 2010. There were no stock options granted for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

|  | Shares |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |  | Aggregate Intrinsic Value | Weighted-Average Contractual Life Remaining In Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | 329,243 | \$ | 16.04 | \$ | 222,607 |  |
| Granted at fair value | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(29,413)$ |  | 7.89 |  | 209,132 |  |
| Expired | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Forfeited | (593) |  | 7.91 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 299,237 | \$ | 16.86 | $\$$ | 524,392 | 2.0 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2010 | 299,237 | \$ | 16.86 | \$ | 524,392 | $\underline{2.0}$ |
|  | Shares |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |  | Aggregate Intrinsic Value | Weighted-Average Contractual Life Remaining In Years |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2009 | 353,217 | \$ | 15.49 | \$ | 978,371 |  |
| Granted at fair value | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Exercised | $(22,959)$ |  | 7.97 |  | 77,489 |  |
| Expired | (1,013) |  | 7.88 |  |  |  |
| Forfeited | (2) |  | 7.88 |  |  |  |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 329,243 | \$ | 16.04 | \$ | 222,607 | 1.6 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2009 | 329,243 | \$ | 16.04 | \$ | 222,607 | 1.6 |

Options outstanding are all currently exercisable and are summarized as follows:
Number Outstanding
December 31, 2010
26,931
18,947
62,880
87,955
80,813
$\underline{21,711}$
$\underline{\underline{299,237}}$

| Weighted Average <br> Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average <br> Exercise Price |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 years | $\$$ |
| 2 years | 7.92 |
| 3 years | 11.56 |
| 4 years | 12.93 |
| 5 years | 15.89 |
| 7 years | 22.29 |
|  | 27.70 |
|  | 16.86 |

Aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding stock options and exercisable stock options was $\$ 524,392$ at December 31, 2010. Aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the period, which was $\$ 16.10$ at December 31,2010 , and the exercise price multiplied by the number of options outstanding.

The Company has outitanding restricted stock and stock units granted in accordance with the Plan. The following table summarizes the unvested restricted stock awards and units outstanding at December 31, 2010.


## NOTE 14 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Company has an F mployee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") that covers substantially all its employees. Employees qualify to participate after one year of service and vest in allocated shares after three (3) years of scrvicc. The ESOP accuires stock of Tri-County Financial Corporation. Unencumbered shares held by the ESOP are treated as outstanding in computing earnings per share. Shares issued to the ESOP but pledged as collateral for loans obtained to provide funds to acquire the shares are not treated as outstanding in computing earnings pe1 share. Dividends on ESOP shares are recorded as a reduction of retained earnings. Contributions are made at the discretion of the Board of Directors. ESOP contributions recognized for the years ended 2010 and 2009 totaled $\$ 50,800$ and $\$ 24$, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, the ESOP plan held 190,536 allocated and 33,076 unallocated shares with an approximate market value of $\$ 3,067,630$ and $\$ 532,527$, respectively.

The Company also has a $401(\mathrm{k})$ plan. The Company matches a portion of the employee contributions after one year of employce scrvice. This ratio is determined annually by the Board of Directors. In 2010 and 2009, the Company matched one-half of the employee's first $8 \%$ of deferral. All employees who have completed six months of service anc have reached the age of 21 are covered under this defined contribution plan. Employee's vest in the Company's matching contributions after three (3) years of service. Contributions are determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the expense recorded for this plan totaled $\$ 201,646$ and $\$ 169,175$, respectively.

The Company has a separate nonqualified retirement plan for non-employee directors. Directors are cligible for a maximum benefit of $\$ 3,500$ a year for ten years following retirement from the Board of Community Bank of Tri-County. The maximum benefit is earned at 15 years of service as a non-employee director. Full vesting occurs after twc years of service. Expense recorded for this plan was $\$ 13,407$ and $\$ 8,336$ for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In addition, the Company has established individual supplemental retirement plans and life insurance benefits for certain key executives and officers of the Bank. These plans and benefits provide a retirement income payment for 15 years from the date of the employee's expected retirement date. The payments are set at the discretion of the Board of Directors and vesting occurs ratably from the date of employment to the expected retirement date. Expense recorded for this plan totaled $\$ 303,000$ and $\$ 371,000$ for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

## NOTE 15 - REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of tangible and core capital (as defined in the regulations) to total adjusted assets (as defined) and of risk-based capital (as defined) to riskweighted assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2010, that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of December 31, 2010, the most recent notification from the Federal Reserve categorized the Bank as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as wellcapitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Company's or the Bank's category. The Company's and the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios for 2010 and 2009 are presented in the following tables.
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll} \\ \text { At December 31, 2010 } & \text { Actual } & & \begin{array}{c}\text { To be Considered } \\ \text { Well Capitalized } \\ \text { Under Prompt }\end{array} \\ \text { Required for Capital } \\ \text { Adequacy Purposes }\end{array}\right)$

## NOTE 16 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuat on methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the es imates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amou nts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. Therefore, ary aggregate unrealized gains or losses should not be interpreted as a forecast of future earnings or cash flows. Furthermore, the fair values disclosed should not be interpreted as the aggregate current value of the Company.

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated |  |  |  | Estimated |  |  |  |
|  | Carrying |  | Fair |  | Carrying |  | Fair |  |
|  |  | Amount |  | Value |  | Amount |  | Value |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash cquivalents | \$ | 9,823,436 | \$ | 9,823,436 | \$ | 11,247,967 | \$ | 11,247,967 |
| Investment securities and st ock in FHLB and FRB |  | 168,250,141 |  | 167,654,621 |  | 151,149,412 |  | 148,049,000 |
| Loans receivable, net |  | 654,449,936 |  | 662,813,000 |  | 616,592,976 |  | 610,998,000 |
| Foreclosed real estate |  | 10,469,302 |  | 10,469,302 |  | 922,934 |  | 922,934 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings, NOW and money market accounts |  | 286,383,452 |  | 286,383,453 |  | 259,160,873 |  | 246,139,000 |
| Time certificates |  | 438,198,874 |  | 444,601,000 |  | 381,257,916 |  | 384,848,000 |
| Long-term debt and other borrowed funds |  | 71,440,466 |  | 69,517,422 |  | 88,750,160 |  | 83,381,000 |
| Guaranteed preferred benef cial interest in junior subordinated securities |  | 12,000,000 |  | 2,400,000 |  | 12,000,000 |  | 2,400,000 |

At December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding loan commitments and standby letters of credit of $\$ 18.6$ million and $\$ 2 . .1$ million, respectively. Based on the short-term lives of these instruments, the Company does not be ieve that the fair value of these instruments differs significantly from their carrying values.

## Valuation Methodology

Cash and cash equivalents - For cash and cash equivalents, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Investment securities - Fait values are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes. If a quoted market price is not available, fair valut is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities. FHLB and FRB stock are carried and valued it cost.

Loans receivable - For conforming residential first-mortgage loans, the market price for loans with similar coupons and maturitie: was used. For nonconforming loans with maturities similar to conforming loans, the coupon was adjusted for credit risk. Loans that did not have quoted market prices were priced using the discounted cash flow nethod. The discount rate used was the rate currently offered on similar products. Loans priced using the discounted cash flow method included residential construction loans, commercial real estate loans and consuiner loans. The estimated fair value of loans held for sale is based on the terms of the related sale commitments.

Foreclosed real estate - Far value is based upon independent market prices, appraised value of the collateral or management's estimation of the value of the collateral.

Deposits - The fair valu: of checking accounts, saving accounts and money market accounts were the amount payable on demand at the reporting date.

Time certificates - The fair value was determined using the discounted cash flow method. The discount rate was equal to the rate currently offered on similar products.

Long-term debt and other borrowed funds - These were valued using the discounted cash flow method. The discount rate was equal to the rate currently offered on similar borrowings.

Guaranteed preferred beneficial interest in junior subordinated securities - These were valued using discounted cash flows. The discount rate was equal to the rate currently offered on similar borrowings.

Off-balance sheet instruments - The Company charges fees for commitments to extend credit. Interest rates on loans for which these commitments are extended are normally committed for periods of less than one month. Fees charged on standby letters of credit and other financial guarantees are deemed to be immaterial and these guarantees are expected to be settled at face amount or expire unused. It is impractical to assign any fair value to these commitments.

The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amount presented herein.

## NOTE 17-GUARANTEED PREFERRED BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

On June 15, 2005, Tri-County Capital Trust II ("Capital Trust II"), a Delaware business trust formed, funded and wholly owned by the Company, issued $\$ 5,000,000$ of variable-rate capital in a private pooled transaction. The variable rate is based on the 90 -day LIBOR rate plus $1.70 \%$. The Trust used the proceeds from this issuance, along with the $\$ 155,000$ for Capital Trust II's common securities, to purchase $\$ 5,155,000$ of the Company's junior subordinated debentures. The interest rate on the debentures and the trust preferred securities is variable and adjusts quarterly. The Company has, through various contractual arrangements, fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of Capital Trust II's obligations with respect to the capital securities. These capital securities qualify as Tier I capital and are presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as "Guaranteed Preferred Beneficial Interests in Junior Subordinated Debentures." Both the capital securities of Capital Trust II and the junior subordinated debentures are scheduled to mature on June 15,2035 , unless called by the Company.

On July 22, 2004, Tri-County Capital Trust I ("Capital Trust I"), a Delaware business trust formed, funded and wholly owned by the Company, issued $\$ 7,000,000$ of variable-rate capital securities in a private pooled transaction. The variable rate is based on the 90 -day LIBOR rate plus $2.60 \%$. The Trust used the proceeds from this issuance, along with the Company's $\$ 217,000$ capital contribution for Capital Trust I's common securities, to purchase $\$ 7,217,000$ of the Company's junior subordinated debentures. The interest rate on the debentures and the trust preferred securities is variable and adjusts quarterly. The Company has, through various contractual arrangements, fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of Capital Trust I's obligations with respect to the capital securities. These debentures qualify as Tier I capital and are presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as "Guaranteed Preferred Beneficial Interests in Junior Subordinated Debentures." Both the capital securities of Capital Trust I and the junior subordinated debentures are scheduled to mature on July 22, 2034, unless called by the Company.

## NOTE 18 - PREFERRED STOCK

On December 19, 2098, the United States Department of the Treasury ("Treasury"), acting under the authority granted to it by the Troubled Asset Relicf Program's Capital Purchase Program purchased $\$ 15,540,000$ of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A ("Scrics A Preferred Stock") issued by the Company. The preferred stock has a perpetual life, has liquidation priority over the Company's common shareholders and is cumulative. The dividend rate is $5 \%$ for the first five years, rising to $9 \%$ thereafter. The Series $A$ Preferred Stock may not be redeemed unless the Company has paid all dividends accumulated. As condition to the issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock the Company agreed to accept restrictions on the repurchase of its common stock, the payment of dividends and certain compensation practices.

At the same time the Company issued its Series A Preferred Stock, it issued to the Treasury a warrant to purchase Fixed Rate (umulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock") in the amount of $5 \%$ of the Series A Preferred Stock or 777 shares with a par value of $\$ 777,000$. The warrant had an exercisc price of $\$ .01$ per share. The Series B Preferred Stock has the same rights, preferences and privileges as the Scries A Preferred Stock except, the Scries B Preferred Stock has a dividend rate of $9 \%$. This warrant was immediately exercised.

The Company believes that it is in compliance with all terms of the Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement.

## NOTE 19 - CONDEINSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - PARENT COMPANY ONLY

## Balance Sheets

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash - nonintesest-bearing | \$ | 583,660 | \$ | 86,3,305 |
| Investment in wholly owned subsidiaries |  | 81,155,647 |  | 78,173,565 |
| Other assets |  | 2,417,496 |  | 1,955,554 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 84,156,803 | \$ | $80,992,424$ |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities | \$ | 679,876 | \$ | 430,575 |
| Guaranteed preferred beneficial interest in junior subordinated debentures |  | 12,372,000 |  | 12,372,000 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 13,051,876 |  | 12,802,575 |
| Stockholders' E، ןuity |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred Stock - Series A |  | 15,540,000 |  | 15,540,000 |
| Preferred Stock - Series B |  | 777,000 |  | 777,000 |
| Common stock |  | 30,026 |  | 29,760 |
| Additional paid in capital |  | 16,962,460 |  | 16,754,627 |
| Retained earnings |  | 37,892,557 |  | 35,193,958 |
| Accumulated o her comprehensive income |  | 411,188 |  | 284,474 |
| Unearned ESOP shares |  | (508,304) |  | $(389,970)$ |
| Total Stockhold :rs' Equity |  | 71,104,927 |  | 68,189,849 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ | $84,156,803$ | \$ | 80,992,424 |

## Condensed Statements of Income

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest and Dividend Income |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends from subsidiary | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 2,950,000 |
| Interest income |  | 29,068 |  | 29,284 |
| Interest expense |  | 321,942 |  | 402,914 |
| Net Interest Income |  | 2,207,126 |  | 2,576,370 |
| Loss on sale of investment securities |  | - |  | $(11,916)$ |
| Miscellaneous expenses |  | $(600,562)$ |  | $(513,795)$ |
| Income before income taxes and equiry in undistributed net income of subsidiary |  | 1,606,564 |  | 2,050,659 |
| Federal and state income tax bencfit |  | 303,768 |  | 305,775 |
| Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiary |  | 2,855,369 |  | 510,656 |
| Net Income | \$ | 4,765,701 | \$ | 2,867,090 |

## Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

$\begin{array}{cr}\text { Years Ended December 31, } \\ 2010 & 2009\end{array}$
Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net income
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities

| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiary | $(2,855,369)$ | $(510,656)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Loss on sale of investment security | - | 11,916 |
| Increase in other assets | $(401,159)$ | $(252,150)$ |
| Deferred income tax benefit | $(60,782)$ | $(68,991)$ |
| Increase in current liabilities | 249,301 | 28,970 |
| Cash Provided by Operating Activities | $1,697,092$ | $2,076,179$ |

## Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Redemption of investment securities available for sale
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities

Cash Flows from Financing Activities
Dividends paid
Exercise of stock options
Issuance of stock based compensation
Excess tax benefits on stock based compensation
Net change in ESOP loan
Redemption of common stock
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities
(Decrease) Increase in Cash
Cash at Beginning of Year
Cash at End of Year

| $\$ 4,765,701$ | $\$$ | $2,867,090$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| $(2,855,369)$ | $(510,656)$ |  |
| - | 11,916 |  |
| $(401,159)$ | $(252,150)$ |  |
| $(60,782)$ | $(68,991)$ |  |
| 249,301 | 28,970 |  |
| $1,697,692$ | $2,076,179$ |  |


|  |
| :--- |


|  | $(2,043,117)$ | (1,953,851) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 147,799 | 122,308 |
|  |  | 99,976 |
|  | 4,558 | 14,947 |
|  | $(62,577)$ | ( 129,670 ) |
|  | $(24,000)$ |  |
|  | $(1,977,337)$ | $(1,846,290)$ |
|  | $(279,645)$ | 258,674 |
|  | 863,305 | 604,631 |
| \$ | 583,660 | 863,305 |

## NOTE 20 - QUARTERLY FINANCIAL COMPARISON (Unaudited)

|  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fourth <br> Quarter |  | Third <br> Quarter |  | Second <br> Quarter |  | First <br> Quarter |  |
| Interest and divi lend income | \$ | 9,874,883 | \$ | 9,805,485 | \$ | 9,893,082 | \$ | 9,963,537 |
| Interest expense |  | 3,398,511 |  | 3,372,947 |  | 3,329,856 |  | 3,478,901 |
| Net interest inco ne |  | 6,476,372 |  | 6,432,538 |  | 6,563,226 |  | 6,484,636 |
| Provision for loan loss |  | 1,149,441 |  | 1,121,203 |  | 804,430 |  | 858,374 |
| Net interest inco ne after provision |  | 5,326,931 |  | 5,311,335 |  | 5,758,796 |  | 5,626,262 |
| Noninterest ince me |  | 1,079,848 |  | 994,759 |  | 744,344 |  | 761,225 |
| Noninterest expr nse |  | 4,619,716 |  | 4,437,425 |  | 4,899,633 |  | 4,238,409 |
| Income before it come taxes |  | 1,787,063 |  | 1,868,669 |  | 1,603,507 |  | 2,149,078 |
| Provision for inc ome taxes |  | 621,204 |  | 669,335 |  | 567,423 |  | 784,654 |
| Net income | $\$$ | $\underline{1.165,859}$ | \$ | 1,199,334 | \$ | 1,036,084 | \$ | 1,364,424 |
| Earnings per common share ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.39 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.27 | \$ | 0.38 |
|  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | urth |  | Third |  | Second |  | First |
|  |  | arter |  | Quarter |  | Quarter |  | Quarter |
| Interest and divilend income | \$ | 9,713,417 | \$ | 9,620,495 | \$ | 9,350,365 | \$ | 9,203,076 |
| Interest expense |  | 3,648,007 |  | 4,078,019 |  | 4,180,031 |  | 4,260,071 |
| Net interest inco ne |  | 6,065,410 |  | 5,542,476 |  | 5,170,334 |  | 4,943,005 |
| Provision for loa a loss |  | 1,494,680 |  | 515,555 |  | 929,488 |  | 532,885 |
| Net interest inco ne after provision |  | 4,570,730 |  | 5,026,921 |  | 4,240,846 |  | 4,410,120 |
| Noninterest inco ne |  | 773,244 |  | 668,347 |  | 781,771 |  | 586,457 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 4,219,791 |  | 4,267,052 |  | 4,278,673 |  | 3,814,162 |
| Income before ir come taxes |  | 1,124,183 |  | 1,428,216 |  | 743,944 |  | 1,182,415 |
| Provision for inc me taxes |  | 416,723 |  | 560,640 |  | 221,730 |  | 412,575 |
| Net income | \$ | 707,460 | \$ | 867,576 | \$ | 522,214 | \$ | 769,840 |
| Earnings per common share ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.19 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.19 |

Earnings per shar are based upon quarterly results and may not be additive to the annual earnings per share amounts.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Average balance includes non-accrual loans.

[^1]:    See noter to Consolidated Financial Statements

[^2]:    See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

