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## DEAR FELLOW SHAREHOLDERS

The financial meltdown that began in 2008 caused continued fallout during 20Io. However, by the end of the year confidence in overcoming these challenges was building. Pinnacle and many other U.S. companies whose performance was impacted by the crisis started turning the corner.

Throughout 2010, associates at Pinnacle focused on two overarching priorities: dealing very aggressively with credit issues and continuing to grow the core earnings capacity of the firm. The results of their hard work and determination allowed us to report two consecutive quarters of profitability in the second half of the year.

Progress on the firm's soundness front has been substantial. Asset quality metrics such as past dues, non-performing loans and criticized asset inflows demonstrated a positive trend as we went through the year.

Exposure to residential construction and development loans, a major factor in our past credit issues, has been reduced significantly during each quarter of the year. Most importantly, we have the systems and resources in place to assure we maintain a stringent credit discipline long-term.

On the second priority of growing core earnings, we stayed the course with the strategic model that has served us well since our founding. We concentrated even more on organic growth and targeting individuals and small businesses who value the effective advice and distinctive service our financial advisors offer. Our seasoned professionals have built a cadre of highly loyal clients who consider us "Nashville's bank" and "Knoxville's bank."

## SIGNIFICANT ACHIEVEMENTS IN THE FACE OF 2010'S HEADWINDS

High level of client satisfaction. Maintaining high levels of client satisfaction during a challenging year is a remarkable testament to the commitment of our associates and their ability to deliver distinctive service and effective advice regardless of the situation. More than 97 percent of our clients again this year reported on their annual surveys that "Pinnacle is recognizably better than the competition." A 2010 report from Greenwich Associates on business clients found "Pinnacle is unchallenged by regional competitors in client loyalty and satisfaction," validating that, even late in this credit cycle, we have maintained our reputation for being a reliable provider of credit. Going forward, this is a strategic advantage we expect to exploit in order to capitalize on vulnerabilities that persist at our primary competitors.

Return to profitability. In the third and fourth quarters of 2010, we reported modest yet growing profits. Our intent is to continue this trend in 2011 and beyond.
Strong market share. We are the largest independent financial services firm headquartered in Nashville with the No. 4 market share position. Our Knoxville operation is quickly growing its staff and distribution with new offices and, in terms of market share, moved all the way to No. 7 in less than three full years of operation.
Record core deposit growth. The most important measure of our organic growth is our growth in core deposits, which increased by 20.5 percent during 2010.

Deposit growth. Total deposits grew to $\$ 3.83$ billion, making Pinnacle the second-largest bank headquartered in the state of Tennessee.

Margin improvement. An intense focus on deposit and loan pricing resulted in a net interest margin of 3.29 percent, compared to 3.19 percent at the end of 2009.

Expanded distribution system. With the opening of our 100 Oaks location in Nashville, we now have a fully built-out branch network of 31 Middle Tennessee offices with significant capacity to seek new business in our markets. Pinnacle now has three offices in Knoxville, the market we entered on a de novo basis in 2007, with plans to build a fourth by 2012.

## PINNACLE'S ADVANTAGES IN A RECOVERING ECONOMY

Two great markets in a strong state. The economy in Tennessee is stabilizing faster than and outperforming the nation in critical measures such as unemployment trends and increase in sales tax revenues. In Conway Data's New Plant Database, the state ranked No. 2 for the Best Business Climate in 2010 and has been in the top six for the past eight years.

Major presence in Nashville. Nashville is considered to be one of the hottest cities in the nation for corporate relocations and expansions. in 2010 more than 50 companies invested new or additional resources in the Nashville region. Five corporations - Nissan, HealthSpring, Jackson National Life and Insurance Company, IB-Tech and Loews Hotels alone announced $\$ 100$ million in capital investments and more than 1,600 new jobs.

Vulnerable competition. Pinnacle continues to take advantage of the weaknesses at the large regional and national franchises as evidenced by strong core earnings growth and new client acquisition. The 2010 report by Greenwich Associates showed Pinnacle has developed relationships with 23 percent of businesses in Nashville with annual revenues between $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 500$ million, a very high number relative to our size and age. In fact, we are now the lead bank for 17 percent of that market, more than any of our larger competitors. This validates that we are indeed the preferred bank for businesses in Nashville. We believe that our primary competitors are as vulnerable as ever, creating opportunities for us to gather new clients and offer them the type of service that our current clients value and recommend.

## RENEWED DETERMINATION IN 2011

The events of the past two years have affected Americans far beyond the financial system. We are facing drastic changes in the way we do business and live our lives.

Government at every level has a full plate with no easy decisions, whether it's the deficit, the unemployment rate, improvements in education, international relations or a host of other issues.


Although solutions to this staggering list will require collaboration and compromise on all fronts, we are confident the nation will solve the problems we currently face and build new frontiers just as it has for 235 years. As Tennessee's largest community bank, we understand the broad array of financial needs and challenges individuals and businesses face every day - health care, retirement, investment options, education and more. We are more determined than ever to earn their business and loyalty with the same distinctive service and effective advice that has resulted in 91 percent of our existing clients recommending us to others.

As you review our thoughts and the information in this report, we hope you share our confidence in Pinnacle's ability and strategies to take advantage of the great opportunities ahead. Your comments, feedback and visits at any time are encouraged.

Sincerely,

M. Terry Turner

President and CEO


Robert A. McCabe, Jr.
Chairman

## PinNACLE'S SuCRESS FロRMLLA

ENCACED ASSOCATES

ENCACED CLENTS

## THE PINNACLE DIFFERENCE

Ahigh level of associate engagement is an important driver of our ability to deliver "a different banking experience." We work hard to protect our reputation as the workplace of choice. Internal surveys confirm this advantage, while numerous external workplace awards further validate that Pinnacle is viewed as the best place to work in Tennessee. We start by hiring and retaining the best and assuring they connect with our mission, values and purpose. Because all associates are shareholders, we are able to approach our work as owners - owning any problem, truly recognizing the value of client relationships and taking the firm's performance personally. Our workplace shares information freely, encouraging candid associate feedback at every level. Managers are evaluated on their skills and performance in listening to their associates and successfully engaging their hearts and minds. Providing opportunities for personal and professional growth helps us create a learning organization. With this high level of associate engagement, we are able to delight clients and deliver more than they expect. This, in turn, leads to engaged clients, whose loyalty ultimately translates to engaged shareholders.

## HIGH ENGAGEMENT NOT IMPACTED BY 2010 CHALLENGES

Year in and year out the annual work environment survey validates the high level of associate engagement at Pinnacle. 2010 was no different. Even one of the most challenging years in Pinnacle's history has not changed the strong engagement and the passion associates have for their jobs and their clients.

Richard Boyer with ModernThink LLC, the nationally recognized company that administers Pinnacle's work environment survey, sums up the economic environment and Pinnacle's scores on engagement:
> "The economic challenges of the past 24 months have presented financial challenges that have been widely documented and acutely experienced by many: Indeed, it's not just the 'bottom lines' that are affected, but the day-to-day experience of employees and even the very culture of many organizations. While it's relatively easy to pay attention to issues of workplace quality and organization culture when times are good, maintaining that same level of commitment during difficult times poses the real test. Perhaps not surprisingly given Pinnacle's long-standing commitment to its unique culture, results from Pinnacle's 2010 Work Environment Survey indicate that Pinnacle has passed that test with flying colors. In a year when employee engagement levels were down in some industries by as much as 30 percent, the fact that Pinnacle's survey results are virtually identical to the 2009 survey results is a fact not to be taken lightly."

## HIGH MARKS FROM ASSOCIATES

In addition to assessing their opinions on critical drivers of engagement, associates were asked the open-ended question, "What do you value most at Pinnacle?" Their responses were encouraging and provided further evidence that engaged associates will create shareholder value.

## ASSOCIATES ON WHAT THEY VALUE

I'm grateful to be a part of a company that treats its employees like they matter, to be able to do my job and be rewarded by clients who miss you when you are not here and make your day by sharing a story with you. I never want to miss a day of work at Pinnacle because 1 feel like I have missed out on something special.

When I talk about Pinnacle being of higher quality than competitor firms it is not just lip service.

I really like how well everyone pulls together in hard times. For example, in May when downtown flooded, many of us were more than willing to do whatever we could to help our fellow associates. It's nice that we not only wow our clients but co-workers as well.

Everyone is an expert in their position. There are no trainees. We hit the ground running.

## Externit recocninon reflects workplace or choice:

## 2010 AWAROS

No. 1 among large businesses in Business TN magazine's annual "Best Employers in Tennossee"

No. 1 in NashvillePost's "Best Employers in Middle Tennessee":
Finalist in Nashville Business Journal's
"Healthiest Employers of Middle Tennessee"
Nashville Arts and Business Counclis first
Bowtie Award in the "Work Environment" category

## PARTNERS WITH OUR COMMUNITIES

TThe firm's commitment in its mission "to make a significant impact on the community" continued in 2010, even in a year of difficult financial conditions. We understand that our success and the success of the many communities we serve throughout Tennessee are intimately bound together.

Since our inception we have taken a special interest in ways we can help to ensure increasing numbers of people have access to affordable housing.

With the assistance of more than $\$ 6.9$ millon in grants from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincimnati, Pimnacle has:

- Provided financing and grant money for 265 new affordable single-family units, 52 new affordable rental units and 82 rehabilitated rental units in total since 2003.
- Provided down payment assistance for 143 affordable single-family homes.
- Helped 140 families in 2009 and 2010 remain in their homes using grant funding and counseling services to catch the families up on late payments and to re-work their loans io make them more affordable:

Last year, Pinnacle associates helped build Habitat for Humanity homes in four of the counties we serve. In addition to providing volunteer labor, we service the mortgages at no cost to the borrower or the entity. We are currently servicing more than 200 Habitat loans.

The Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati has recognized Pimnacle's contributions in this area with its prestigious Community Partnership Award.


Pinnacle's financial contributions in the nine counties we serve focus on four priority categories: education; health and human services; arts; and economic development. Organizations where an associate is actively involved receive preference. By supporting our associates and the initiatives they care about, we are able to create a stronger comnection to our mission.

In addition to financial support, Pinnacle encourages every associate to volunteer time for causes they care about. All in all, Pimnacle associates logged 26,860 hours for 530 not-for-profits in 2010.

Special Olympics has been a favorite Pimnacle volunteer project since the firm's beginning. Every year, Pimnacle associates and their families take responsibility for managing the bocce ball competition at the spring event.

The volunteer roles and the range of organizations served are very broad. For example, some associates use their financial skills as members of finance committees of philanthropic organizations, religious organizations and varous community groups. Others volunteer their time for important needs such as teaching Junior Achievement classes or supporting races for breast cancer research. Several associates have completed


Financial literacy seminar participants at Tennessee State University
the IRS tax preparation classes to prepare income taxes for seniors or low-income individuals through one of the Volunteer Income Tax Assistance sites.

Pimnacle has also made financial literacy a strong component of our community service. Tennessee will require all graduating seniors to have a semester of financial literacy beginning in 2013. To help facilitate this process, Pinnacle associates are working with Tennessee Jump\$tart to train teachers across the state for competency in teaching financial literacy.

## "WE ARE PINNACLE"

More than 13 inches of rain fell in less than 48 hours in May, causing severe flooding that destroyed many homes and businesses in the Nashville community. Estimates of damage were at least $\$ 1.5$ billion.

The government and thousands of volunteers mobilized immediately to help the victims. This heroic effort and demonstration of community commitment soon took on the mantra "We are Nashville." Pinnacle associates created a second mantra - "We are Pimnacle."

The flood placed unique demands on Pinnacle's outreach. Associates donated their time on every front - supporting those impacted with volunteer and financial aid, checking to see which clients needed help and lending a hand throughout the community. Several team members' homes were flooded. One tean spent three days at an associates condo ripping our carpet, removing drywall and stripping the place down to prepare the home for renovation. An associate took a day off to help clear away debris and clean out four homes in the Hendersonville community. Several other associates staffed special clinics to help guide victims through the processes required for aid and to complete FEMA forms.

Pinnacle's headquarters in downtown Nashville was closed for several weeks, displacing more than 200 associates. Almost before the rain ended, these associates were serving clients from temporary locations, and the operations team had implemented an emergency plan to get phones and systems back up and running. We never missed a beat. On every front the voices of "We are Pimnacle" came through loud and clear.


A young man helped stranded cars during the height of the flood. (Courtesy of the Tennessean)

## STAYINE THE REUREE

Pimncte's commuing high levels of chent atrection, retention and satisfection once again confinmed the value of staying the colnse with the same key business strategies deplowed since the fitm' inception:

1. Fecus on busthesces and consumers that destre adeap reationship with her financel parther
2. Provide distinctive senvice and elfecive advee:
3. Hire and retan hehl experienced and yualtred financial services proterstonels.

4: Offer a full inc of inancilisenvices, including banking investments mortgege trust insurance and inencel panning:

## STRATEEY 1

Focus on businesses and consumers that desire a deep relationship with their financial partner.

Each year Pinnacle asks clients to respond to the same 14 measures of client satisfaction to evaluate current performance and track any year-toyear changes. With an unusually high survey response rate of 40 percent, Pinnacle has a solid understanding of clients' satisfaction with every aspect of the firm's performance. The one we take the most pride in is that over 96 percent of respondents indicate that "Pimnacle is recognizably better than its competition." The chart below shows five of the 14 measures that are indicative of the kind of client satisfaction our associates continue to achieve.


| My Financial Advisor..... | Q1 | O2 | Q3 | Q4 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Is in touch with me frequently enough to know and meet my needs. | 4.65 | 4.72 | 473 | 471 | 4.70 |
| 2. Demonstrates knowledge and experience necessary to meet my needs. | 4.82 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.87 | 4.86 |
| 3. Provides timely responses to my requests. | 4.82 | 4.85 | 4.87 | 4.87 | 4.85 |
| 4. Ensures accuracy in all our work. | 4.81 | 4.85 | 4.85 | 4.84 | 4.84 |
| 5 is recognizably better than his her competitors. | 4.76 | 4.81 | 4.79 | 4.82 | 4.80 |
| Number of Respondents 3,084 |  |  |  |  |  |

Clients are asked to rate Pinnacle on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 indicating "Strongly Agree"
"Currently, we have accounts with four other banks with both national and local operations. None of the customer service we receive at any of these banks comes close to our consistent experience with Pinnacle's Brande Thomas (we are getting really spoiled). She also totally impressed our business partners. This has been fun to watch because they are investors and have a relationship with another bank, but even they said they don't get that kind of service there."

Shawn Pelletier, Senior Account Director Express Scripts: he.
"I am a Pinnacle client based in London. If only my banking in the UK were like my banking at Pinnacle. Working with a multi-national in London is usually an incredibly painful and frustrating experience every time I need something done. And don't even get me started on calling in London and getting customer service in Bombay. But Pinnacle is not like that. Every time I need something, I email Linda Norton and typically receive a response within five minutes, if not one minute! I just wanted to let you know how much I appreciate Linda. She reflects very well on your business."

Rachel Laffer<br>Director of Investor Development \& Funding FRIUM Finance LId

"When we decided to move our business accounts from another bank, I expected more than a little agony during the transition process. However, the staff at Pinnacle made the move much easier than I imagined. Not only have we streamlined our banking processes, but we're also saving money with lower bank costs. Working with the employees at Pinnacle is always a pleasure. They are very responsive and willing to go the extra mile to ensure that our needs are met."

David A. Zaczyk, CPA, Controller

PME Communications
"When our office manager had an unexpected illness, the job of getting the cash and checks deposited in a timely manner fell to me. I had not had the opportunity to use Pinnacle's remote deposit system. Another staff member took on the credit card process and with the help of the Pinnacle team, we were able to make the remote deposits and the credit card deposits happen. Your wonderful team's help made a very stressful situation manageable."

# Provide distinctive service and effective advice. 

Staying the course also involves kecping our deep commitment to distinctive service and effective advice. Every associnte focuses every day on what it takes to deliver this important brand promise.

## Always Memorable

Information Security Officer Mark Brown referred a young professional couple to Melissa Dawson for a mortgage. They had locked in on a rate, with closing scheduled right after Thanksgiving. Over the holidays, Melissa called them to let them know the rates had dropped; she went in on her day off and locked them in on the lower rate. She then held their hands through closing. Mark encouraged the couple to see Green Hilis financial advisor Cheryl Plummer for the rest of their financial needs. In their first meeting with Cheryl, they opened a safe deposit box and multiple accounts and indicated they would use Pinnacle for the wife's medical practice when she became a partner.

In a follow-up email, the client referenced Melissa's exemplary client service, saying "It was all well beyond anything we'd been receiving from any of our other financial service providers (big or small). It was only a matter of time before we brought more of our business to Pinnacle."


Melissa Dawson, Cheryl Plummer, Mark Brown

## Delivering an

## Unexpected Response



Susan Clinard

Knoxville-based environmental project management firm de maximis. inc, has multiple accounts in an unusually complex banking relationship with Pinnacle and needed help to manage its many accounts through online banking.

Pinnacle associates worked with the company to accomplish their goals on an interim basis, but a better solution was needed. So, information technology specialist Susan Clinard took it upon herself to visit with the company's CFO to fully understand his needs.

She then developed a customized program to provide him a comprehensive daily electronic communication that gives him the information he needs.

Pinnacle's treasury management team makes special arrangements with many clients like de maximis to get them the information they need to function efficiently each and every day.

Our ulimate goal is to "wow" clients. This means going beyond what is expected, such as good service, and doing more than something that is sometimes memorable such as being proactive in correcting a mistake. To wow clients, we have to do things that are always memorable, delight them, provide unexpected answers and anticipate their unspoken needs:

## Delighting Clients

One of our elderly clients just couldn't get into the holiday spirit. Her husband had passed away, and eight months later her house was hit by the May flood. She told Bellevue client service specialist Vickie Watts that she'd been waiting for her granddaughter to help her put up her Christmas tree.

Our client is 77 years old and can't get her tree from the top shelf of her garage without help. Vickie shared this with others on the Bellevue team, and on a Wednesday morning a group of them headed to the client's house, got her tree off the shelf, put it up and had a little tree decorating party.


The Bellevue team (let to right): Leanne Eaton, Vickie Watts, Leeann Nokes, Linda Riggs, Sheila Harris, Etra Kaye Pewit, Edie Reeves, Melissa Blea. Seated: Lora Owens and Amy Henderson.

## Anticipating Unspoken Needs

Today our systems help us check literally hundreds of transactions every day for suspicious activity. Virtually all clear with no problem; however, every now and then a Pinnacle associate who knows their client is able to detect fraud based on something unusual.

Ashland City client service specialist Mistie Ross had asked deposit operations associate Sherry Bowen to help review a suspected fraudulent charge on one of her clients' accounts.

After the client confirmed that the transaction was a fraud, Sherry looked further and discovered a second one on the same account. The client was able to close that account quickly and open another to avoid further fraud attempts. But Sherry didn't stop there. She went through a forensic-like approach to see if there were similar transactions on accounts of other Pinnacle clients. She, in fact, found two, and Pinnacle was able to proactively call these clients, tell them what had happened and get the accounts closed before further fraud attempts occurred. Of course, the client's response was, "You guys do a great job. I'm impressed that you caught this and called me."


Mistie Ross


Sherry Bowen

## ETRATEEY

Hire and retain
highly experienced and qualified financial services professionals.

Another important strategy is Pinnacle's ability to recruit and retain the most experienced financial professionals in our markets. Every financial advisor has a long history of success in serving clients and in-depth knowledge of the marketplace. They average 24 years of experience. Combined with the length of experience is Pinnacle's associate retention rate of greater than 93 percent. These factors give our clients confidence they will have continuity and long-term relationships with their partners at Pimnacle.

ANNUAL ASSOCIATE RETENTION RATE


Industry Average (Euramoflabor statisics)

Pinnacle Financial Partners

## STRATEEY 4

Offer a full ine of financial services, including banking, investments, mortgage, trust, insurance and financial planning.

When we started Pinnacle Financial Partners, we set out to be "more than a bank." To do this, we had to be able to meet all the financial needs of a client - whether personal needs, business needs or help in choosing and managing assets.

The firm organizes its comprehensive platform of financial services around three units: personal banking, business banking and wealth management. Each client has a financial advisor who "quarterbacks" coordination with all
three units. This gives clients the benefit of one primary contact who can bring in a team with a variety of expertise of provide a total solution.

Financial advisors in personal banking wear many hats. In the course of a day, they may be opening a checking account or setting up a savings account, CD or money market deposit account. Or they may be assisting with a loan, line of credit, online banking or connecting the client with a mortgage advisor.

TThe wealth management function demonstrates Pinnacle's intent to be "more than a bank." The associates in wealth management are experts in financial planning, investment management, trust and insurance services. Their goal is to help clients grow, manage and distribute assets.

Pinnacle's business banking team offers a similar range of banking services to businesses and their owners. Many of the financial advisors within business banking have expertise in certain industry sectors or in key business functions. Pinnacle's more sophisticated business services, such as treasury management, are typically only available at large regional and national institutions. Pinnacle employs a variety of financial services to ensure that we provide distinctive service and effective advice to our clients. The difference at Pinnacle is the local hands-on client care and decision making. The chart at right shows the number of products and services utilized by commercial clients with $\$ 1$ million to $\$ 500$ million in annual sales versus the cross-sell effectiveness of our major competitors. This demonstrates that Pinnacle is highly effective at meeting all the needs of its business clients.

Small businesses are a major target audience for Pinnacle's business banking. Pinnacle has been recognized as the top small business lender in Middle Tennessee, according to a December 2010 report by the Nashville Business Journal.

The charts below, obtained from Greenwich Associates, show the percentage of commercial clients with annual sales between $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 500$ million utilizing the various products and services at Pinnacle compared to the usage at four regional or national competitors in Nashville.

## PINNACLE EFFECTIVELY DEPLOYS A FULL LINE OF SOPHISTICATED FINANCIAL SERVICES TO BETTER MEET CLIENTS' NEEDS WHEN COMPARED TO LARGER COMPETITORS *

Treasury Management Services


401K and Retirement Plan Services


Short Term Investment Products


International Services


* Source for charts: Responses are from the 2010 Greenwich Associates Market Tracking Program, which asked commercial bank customers, "Which bank does your company use for these products and services?"


## 2ロ1ロ PRIロRITIES

## AGGRESSIVELY DEALING WITH CREDIT ISSUES， GROWING EARNINGS EAPACITY

To reinforce the importance of soundness and core earnings to Pinnacle＇s current and future performance and assure all associates understood，management established and continually highlighted five priorities：

1．Continue to reduce the risk in the loan portfolio．
2．Gather low cost core deposits．
3．Obtain premium pricing in return for premium service．
4．Be vigilant about expense control．
5．Meet all the needs of every client．

## PRIGRITY 1

Continue to reduce the risk in the loan portfolio.

As noted in the following chart, during 2010 Pinnacle significantly reduced the level of nonperforming loans (NPLs). NPLs decreased from $\$ 125$ million at year end 2009 to $\$ 81$ million at year end 2010 , an annual reduction of more than one third. We also saw improvement in the levels of potential problem loans and past due metrics during 2010 and expect continued reductions to the overall risk of our loan portfolio into 2011 .

DEELINE IN NPLS RESULTS IN
INGREASED ALLOWANCE CDVERAGE


## Pribrity 2

## Gather low cost core deposits.

During 2010, our financial advisors did a remarkable job of increasing our core funding base. Core deposits increased by 20.5 percent. Core funding traditionally represents the most stable form of funding for banks and is typically the most difficult to acquire. Concurrently, our financial advisors were able to reduce the costs of these deposits from an amnualized rate of 1.50 percent during the fourth quarter of 2009 to 1.22 percent in the fourth quarter of 2010. We expect continued reductions in our cost of funds during 2011.

## GQRE DEPDSIT GROWTH AND REDUCTIGN IN COST GF FUNDS VALIDATES STRONE COMPETITIVE PGSITIONINE



## PRIGRITY 3

## Obtain premium pricing in return for premium service.

For banks, perhaps the most important element of operating profitability is the net interest margin. An increasing margin reflects better pricing for loans and deposits. We grew our margin from 3.19 percent in the fourth quarter of 2009 to 3.29 percent in the fourth quarter of 2010 . This should translate into increased profitability in future periods.

## MAREIN IMPRDVEMENT IS THE PRIMARY LEVER FQR IMPRQVED PRUFITABILITY



## PRIDRITY 4

## Be vigilant about expense control.

We were able to reduce our operating expense burden in relation to operating revenues from 76.3 percent during the fourth quarter of 2009 to 63.9 percent in the fourth quarter of 2010. As the Nashville branch network is now complete, we believe we can further enhance our operating leverage. We continue to look for further opportunities to reduce expenses without damaging our ability to grow the franchise in future periods.


## PRIERITY 5

Meet all the needs of every client.

## VULNERABLE COMPETITION

Pinnacle continues to demonstrate its ability to exploit the vulnerabilities of the large regional and national franchises. Pinnacle was among a small number of financial firms nationally recognized with Greenwich Associates' prestigious Excellence Award for the highest level of client satisfaction among small and mid-sized businesses. Simply said, the level of overall satisfaction expressed by our clients is among the highest in the nation. In its 2010 report on $\$ 1-\$ 500$ million commercial clients in Nashville, Greenwich found:


LEAD RELATIONSHIPS AS A \% DF THE Tutal business Market in NashVille

The fact that more business cilents call Pinnacle their fead bank than any of our competitors demonstrates our ability to meet all of our clients' needs, not just some of them.

GREENWICH REPORT FINDINGS

- Pinnacle's penetration of this segment is 23 percent
- Compared to four regional or national compettors in Nashivile with whom we primarily compete, Pinnacle has the largest number of survey respondents who consider it their lead bank
- Pinnacle is literally unchallenged by competiors in client satisfaction
- 66 percent of small and mid-sized businesses will consider switching banks: however. 94 percent of Pinnacle clients intend to continue with Pinnacle, by far the strongest client loyally of all major competifors


## TENNESSEE: RECOVERING AHEAD OF CURVE

Although Temnessee is experiencing the impact of national economic problems, the state seems to be stabilizing faster than most. The 8.1 percent unemployment rate at year-end 2010 in Nashville is below the national average, and Knoxville, at 7.3 percent, is even lower.

2nd Best Business Clinate
(site Solection) (Sile Seleclion)

[^0]Reciplent of Race to the Iop: bne: ol tuo $\$ 500$ nillon federal grants te states for education erhancements

## PINNACLE'S FOOTPRINT IN STATE'S MOST DYNAMIC URBAN MARKETS

At the end of December 2010, Pinnacle was the second-largest bank holding company headquattered in Tennessee with 31 offices in eight Middle Tennessee counties and three offices in Knox ville. Pinnacle holds the fourth-largest market share in Nashville behind three regional or national franchises. The firm entered the Knoxville market in 2007 on a de novo basis and has grown to be the seventh-largest financial institution in that market.

The three charts below demonstrate how favorably the projected population and household income growth in Pinnacle's markets compare to Tennessee, the Southeast and the U.S.

10 - 15 Projected Population Crowh (P)
40-45 Profected Median Hil crowh (\%)



2015 Project Medlan H11 (G)


Source: SNL Financial - Deposit data as of 6/30/10-
Population and median household income are deposil weighted by county

## NASHVILLE

More than 50 companies ranging from insurance to hospitality and health care relocated or expanded their operations in Nashville during the year. Among the area's many accolades:

- America's 5th Most Affordable City (Forbes)
- Top 5 Cities for Entrepreneurs (entrepreneur.com)


K NaXVILIE

## KNOXVILLE

Knoxville enjoys a very healthy and diverse economy that also attracts significant relocations such as Brinks Security and SYSCO. The Knoxville community has been recognized as:

- 8th Best Place to Raise Family (Forbes)
- Top 30 City for New College Grads
(Business Week)


## SHAREHOLDER ALIGNMENT

A critical factor in creating long-term shareholder value is aligning associate interests with those of our shareholders. Three commitments assure that everyone involved with the firm has a stake as an "owner.".

- Inside ownership, including directors, is approximately 12.40 percent
- All associates participate in some form of equity compensation, and substantially all are already shareholders
- Annual cash incentives for all our associates are based primarily on firm performance targets - loan quality and earnings - consequently, no executive or associate cash incentives were paid during 2009 or 2010

We remain confident that all of our sharcholders will contimue to enjoy benefits from this strong alignment and the long-term results it should continue to deliver.

## FEFWARD LEDKINE ETATEMENTE
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Statement of Financial Condition Data (as of December 31):
Total assets
Loans, net of unearned income
Allowance for loan losses
Total securities
Goodwill, core deposit and other intangible assets
Deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase
Advances from FHLB and other borrowings
Subordinated debt
Stockholders' equity
Statement of Operations Data:
Interest income
Interest expense
Net interest income
Provision for loan losses
Net interest income after provision for loan losses
Noninterest income
Noninterest expense
Income (loss) before income taxes
Income tax expense (benefit)
Net income (loss)
Preferred dividends and accretion on common stock warrants
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders
Per Share Data:
Earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders - basic
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic
Earnings (loss) per share available to common stockholders - diluted
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted
Book value per share
Common shares outstanding at end of period
Performance Ratios and Other Data:
Return on average assets
Return on average stockholders' equity
Net interest margin ${ }^{(3)}$
Net interest spread ${ }^{(4)}$
Noninterest income to average assets
Noninterest expense to average assets
Efficiency ratio ${ }^{(5)}$
Average loan to average deposit ratio
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities
Average equity to average total assets ratio

## Asset Quality Ratios:

Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans
Allowance for loan losses to total loans
Nonperforming assets to total assets
Nonperforming assets to total loans and other real estate
Net loan charge-offs to average loans
Capital Ratios (Pinnacle Financial):
Leverage ${ }^{(6)}$
Tier 1 risk-based capital
Total risk-based capital

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | $2007{ }^{(1)}$ | $2006{ }^{(2)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$ in 000s except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 4,909,004 | \$5,128,811 | \$4,754,075 | \$3,794,170 | \$2,142,187 |
|  | 3,212,440 | 3,563,382 | 3,354,907 | 2,749,641 | 1,497,735 |
|  | 82,575 | 91,959 | 36,484 | 28,470 | 16,118 |
|  | 1,018,637 | 937,555 | 849,781 | 522,685 | 346,494 |
|  | 254,795 | 257,793 | 261,032 | 260,900 | 125,673 |
|  | 3,979,352 | 4,099,064 | 3,717,544 | 3,081,390 | 1,763,427 |
|  | 121,393 | 212,655 | 273,609 | 141,666 | 53,726 |
|  | 97,476 | 97,476 | 97,476 | 82,476 | 51,548 |
|  | 677,457 | 701,020 | 627,298 | 466,610 | 256,017 |
| \$ | 203,348 | \$ 205,716 | \$ 206,082 | \$ 150,931 | \$ 109,696 |
|  | 58,975 | 74,925 | 91,867 | 75,219 | 48,743 |
|  | 144,373 | 130,791 | 114,215 | 75,712 | 60,953 |
|  | 53,695 | 116,758 | 11,214 | 4,720 | 3,732 |
|  | 90,678 | 14,033 | 103,001 | 70,992 | 57,221 |
|  | 36,315 | 39,651 | 34,718 | 22,521 | 15,786 |
|  | 146,883 | 118,577 | 94,478 | 60,480 | 46,624 |
|  | $(19,890)$ | $(64,893)$ | 43,241 | 33,033 | 26,383 |
|  | 4,410 | $(29,393)$ | 12,367 | 9,992 | 8,456 |
|  | $(24,300)$ | $(35,500)$ | 30,874 | 23,041 | 17,927 |
|  | 6,142 | 5,930 | 309 | - | - |
| \$ | $(30,442)$ | \$ (41,430) | \$ 30,565 | \$ 23,041 | \$ 17,927 |
| \$ | (0.93) | \$ (1.46) | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.43 | \$ 1.28 |
|  | 32,789,871 | 28,395,618 | 22,793,699 | 16,100,076 | 13,954,077 |
| \$ | (0.93) | \$ (1.46) | \$ 1.27 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.18 |
|  | 32,789,871 | 28,395,618 | 24,053,972 | 17,255,543 | 15,156,837 |
| \$ | $17.22$ | \$ 18.41 | \$ 22.40 | \$ 20.96 | \$ 16.57 |
|  | 33,870,380 | 33,029,719 | 23,762,124 | 22,264,817 | 15,446,074 |
|  | (0.61\%) | (0.82\%) | 0.74\% | 0.96\% | 1.01\% |
|  | (4.37\%) | (6.10\%) | 6.13\% | 8.34\% | 8.66\% |
|  | 3.25\% | 2.93\% | 3.17\% | 3.55\% | 3.90\% |
|  | 2.99\% | 2.64\% | 2.78\% | 2.88\% | 3.20\% |
|  | 0.72\% | 0.79\% | 0.84\% | 0.94\% | 0.89\% |
|  | 2.93\% | 2.34\% | 2.30\% | 2.53\% | 2.61\% |
|  | 81.29\% | 69.57\% | 63.43\% | 61.57\% | 60.76\% |
|  | 87.64\% | 94.51\% | 97.70\% | 94.88\% | 88.73\% |
|  | 120.27\% | 117.52\% | 115.27\% | 119.46\% | 122.10\% |
|  | 13.90\% | 13.55\% | 12.15\% | 11.56\% | 11.64\% |
|  | 102.1\% | 73.7\% | 335.95\% | 144.69\% | 227.98\% |
|  | 2.57\% | 2.58\% | 1.09\% | 1.04\% | 1.08\% |
|  | 2.86\% | 3.01\% | 0.61\% | 0.56\% | 0.37\% |
|  | 4.29\% | 4.29\% | 0.86\% | 0.78\% | 0.54\% |
|  | 1.96\% | 1.71\% | 0.11\% | 0.06\% | 0.05\% |
|  | 10.7\% | 10.7\% | 10.5\% | 11.6\% | 9.5\% |
|  | 13.8\% | 13.1\% | 12.1\% | 9.5\% | 10.9\% |
|  | 15.4\% | 14.8\% | 13.5\% | 10.4\% | 11.8\% |

[^1]
## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion of our financial condition at December 31, 2010 and 2009 and our results of operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. The purpose of this discussion is to focus on information about our financial condition and results of operations which is not otherwise apparent from the consolidated financial statements. The following discussion and analysis should be read along with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere herein.

## Overview

General. During 2010, our focus has been to aggressively deal with troubled assets while also striving to improve the core earnings capacity of the firm. The deterioration in the economy in our principal markets over the past two years, particularly the residential real estate market, has had a material impact on Pinnacle Financial's financial condition and results of operations in 2010 and 2009 as compared to 2008. Our fully diluted net loss for the year ended December 31, 2010 was $\$ 0.93$ per common share compared to fully diluted net loss per common share of $\$ 1.46$ for the year ended December 31, 2009 and fully diluted net income per common share of $\$ 1.27$ for the year ended December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2010, net loans totaled $\$ 3.212$ billion, as compared to $\$ 3.563$ billion at December 31, 2009, while total deposits increased slightly to $\$ 3.833$ billion at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 3.824$ billion at December 31, 2009.

Results of operations. Our net interest income increased to $\$ 144.4$ million for 2010 compared to $\$ 130.8$ million for 2009 and $\$ 114.2$ million for 2008. The net interest margin (the ratio of net interest income to average earning assets) for 2010 was $3.25 \%$ compared to $2.93 \%$ for 2009 and $3.17 \%$ for 2008. Our net interest margin was impacted favorably in 2009 and 2010 by our decreased dependency on wholesale funding. Growth within our lower cost money market account balances and a decrease within our time deposits also favorably impacted our net interest margin.

Our provision for loan losses was $\$ 53.7$ million for 2010 compared to $\$ 116.8$ million in 2009 and $\$ 11.2$ million in 2008. Our net charge-offs were $\$ 63.1$ million during 2010 compared to $\$ 61.3$ million in 2009 and $\$ 3.2$ million in 2008. During 2010, we decreased our allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans slightly from $2.58 \%$ at December 31, 2009 to $2.57 \%$ at December 31, 2010 primarily due to the ongoing resolution of non-performing loans and the reduction of our construction and development portfolio during 2010.

Impacting the provision for loan losses in any accounting period are several matters including the change in outstanding loan balances during the period, the level of charge-offs during the period, the changes in the amount of impaired loans, changes in the risk ratings assigned to our loans, results of regulatory examinations, credit quality comparison to peer banks and the industry at large, and, ultimately, the results of our quarterly assessment of the inherent risks of our loan portfolio. The economic downturn and excess supply forced degradation in the local real estate market; that deterioration impacted the amount of charge-offs, impaired loans, risk rating downgrades and our level of assessed risk inherent in the loan portfolio. Our 2009 provisioning expense was also negatively impacted by a single $\$ 21.55$ million loan to a bank holding company located in Georgia that was charged off in the second quarter of 2009 as a result of its subsidiary bank being placed in receivership by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC).

Noninterest income for 2010 compared to 2009 decreased by $\$ 3.3$ million, or $8.4 \%$, which was primarily attributable to substantially higher gains on the sale of investment securities for the year ended 2009 as compared to 2010. Noninterest income for 2009 compared to 2008 increased by $\$ 4.9$ million, or $14.2 \%$, which was primarily attributable to increased production in the mortgage origination, trust and insurance divisions.

Noninterest expense for 2010 compared to 2009 increased by $\$ 28.3$ million primarily due to increased other real estate owned expenses which increased by $\$ 15.0$ million over the 2009 levels, and increased salaries and employee benefits expense which increased by $\$ 7.9$ million. Noninterest expense for 2009 compared to 2008 increased by $\$ 24.1$ million, largely due to the $\$ 12.9$ million increase in other real estate owned expense, a $\$ 5.7$ million increase in insurance expense (including FDIC assessment fees) and increased salaries and employee benefits. The number of full-time equivalent employees increased from 719.0 at December 31, 2008 to 777.0 at December 31, 2009. There were 769.0 full-time equivalent employees at December 31, 2010.

Income tax expense for 2010 was $\$ 4.4$ million compared to income tax benefit of $\$ 29.4$ million in 2009 and expense of $\$ 12.4$ million in 2008. The effective income tax rate for the year ended December 31,2010 was approximately $22.2 \%$, compared to an income tax benefit rate of $45.3 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our income tax expense rate for 2010 was principally impacted by the recognition of a deferred tax valuation allowance during 2010. We realized an effective income tax expense rate of $28.6 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Our efficiency ratio (the ratio of noninterest expense to the sum of net interest income and noninterest income) was $81.3 \%$ in 2010 compared to $69.6 \%$ in 2009 and $63.4 \%$ in 2008. Our efficiency ratio was negatively impacted by other real estate owned expenses and other credit related costs, including the increase in associates dedicated to problem loan resolution.

Net loss available to common shareholders for 2010 was $\$ 30.4$ million compared to $\$ 41.4$ million in net loss available to common shareholders in 2009, and net income available to common shareholders of $\$ 30.6$ million in 2008. Fully-diluted net loss per common share available to common stockholders was $\$ 0.93$ for 2010 and $\$ 1.46$ for 2009 compared to fully-diluted net income per common share available to common shareholders of $\$ 1.27$ for 2008. Included in net loss available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2010 was approximately $\$ 4.8$ million and $\$ 1.3$ million, respectively, of charges related to preferred stock dividends and accretion of the preferred stock discount related to our participation in the U.S. Treasury's CPP, as compared to $\$ 4.8$ million and $\$ 1.1$ million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2009 and $\$ 264,000$ and $\$ 45,000$, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## Financial Condition.

Our loan balances decreased by $\$ 350.9$ million during 2010 compared to an increase of $\$ 208.5$ million in 2009 and $\$ 605.3$ million in 2008. Our loan balances decreased in 2010 due to reduced loan demand and a targeted reduction of loan balances in distressed portfolios. We reduced our construction and development portfolio by $\$ 194$ million during 2010 . We continue to focus on the timely resolution of nonperforming assets. We also continue to seek and fund new credit relationships and to renew existing ones. but the level of overall loan demand has generally been much weaker in 2010 , and we believe it will continue to be weak in 2011. Net loan growth in 2011 will also continue to be impacted by charge-offs, foreclosures, and other problem loan resolutions, but these factors are not expected to continue at the levels we experienced in 2010.

Total deposits grew from $\$ 3.824$ billion at December 31, 2009 to $\$ 3.833$ billion at December 31, 2010. Within our deposits, the ratio of core funding to total deposits increased from $58.7 \%$ at December 31, 2009 to $74.3 \%$ at December 31, 2010. The increase in our core funding percentage contributed significantly to our improved cost of funds during 2010. Additionally, we reduced our brokered deposit balance from $\$ 331.4$ million at December 31,2009 to $\$ 14.2$ million at December 31, 2010. This reduction was largely due to the efforts of our sales force. We hire experienced relationship managers that have significant client portfolios and longstanding reputations within the communities we serve. As such, we believe they will attract new small-and middle-market clients as our economy starts to recover.

Capital and Liquidity. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, our capital ratios, including our bank's capital ratios, exceeded regulatory minimum capital requirements. Additionally, we believe our bank would be considered to be "well-capitalized" pursuant to banking regulations at these dates. Our bank may require additional capital from us over that which can be earned through operations. To support the capital needs of Pinnacle National, at December 31, 2010, we had approximately $\$ 64.1$ million of cash and cash qquivalents at the holding company. Additionally, we would continue to use various capital raising techniques in order to support the apital needs of our bank, if necessary.

During the third quarter of 2008 , we sold 1.0 million shares of our common stock for $\$ 21.5$ million. During the fourth quarter of 2008 , we further increased our capital through our participation in the CPP, issuing 95,000 shares of Series A preferred stock for $\$ 95$ million. Additionally, we issued warrants to acquire 534,910 shares of our common stock to the U.S. Treasury. The warrants have an exercise price of $\$ 26.64$ each, are immediately exercisable and expire 10 years from the date of issuance. The common stock warrants were assigned a fair value of $\$ 6.7$ million, as of December 12,2008 . The resulting $\$ 88.3$ million was assigned to the Series A preferred stock issued in the CPP and will be accreted up to the redemption amount of $\$ 95$ million prior to the redemption of the Series A preferred stock. Approximately $\$ 2.5$ million of the discount recorded on the preferred stock has been accreted as a reduction to net income available to common shareholders through December 31, 2010. The remaining discount is $\$ 4.2$ million at December 31, 2010. This discount will be accreted as a reduction to net income available to common shareholders over the next three years at approximately $\$ 1.3$ million to $\$ 1.4$ million per year unless the preferred stock is redeemed earlier at which time the remaining balance will be reflected as a reduction to net income available to common shareholders.

On June 16,2009 , we issued $8,855,000$ shares of our common stock through a public offering resulting in net proceeds to us of approximately $\$ 109.0$ million further increasing our capital position. As a result, and pursuant to the terms of the warrants issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with our participation in the CPP, the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with the CPP was reduced by $50 \%$, or 267,455 shares.

Pinnacle National is a national bank chartered under the Federal National Bank Act. As a result, it is subject to the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the National Bank Act and the regulations of the OCC. In January 2010, Pinnacle National informally agreed with the OCC that it would maintain a minimum Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of $8 \%$ and a minimum total
capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of $12 \%$. At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle National had a $9.19 \%$ Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio and a $13.41 \%$ total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio. Information concerning our and Pinnacle National's regulatory ratios at December 31, 2010 is included in Note 21 to the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Critical Accounting Estimates

The accounting principles we follow and our methods of applying these principles conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and with general practices within the banking industry. In connection with the application of those principles, we have made judgments and estimates which, in the case of the determination of our allowance for loan losses, the valuation of other real estate owned, the assessment of the valuation of deferred tax assets and the assessment of impairment of the intangibles have been critical to the determination of our financial position and results of operations.

Allowance for Loan Losses (allowance). Our management assesses the adequacy of the allowance prior to the end of each calendar quarter. This assessment includes procedures to estimate the allowance and test the adequacy and appropriateness of the resulting balance. The level of the allowance is based upon management's evaluation of the loan portfolio, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers' ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, industry and peer bank loan quality indications and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged off when management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. A loan may be partially charged-off after a "confirming event" has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely. Allocation of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, is deemed to be uncollectible.

Loans are impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Collection of all amounts due according to the contractual terms means that both the interest and principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement.

An impairment allowance is recognized if the fair value of the loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (recorded investment in the loan is the principal balance plus any accrued interest, net of deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount). The impairment is recognized through the provision for loan losses and is a component of the allowance. Loans that are impaired are recorded at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or if the loan is collateral dependent, the fair value of the collateral, less estimated disposal costs. If the loan is collateral dependent, the principal balance of the loan is charged-off in an amount equal to the impairment measurement. The fair value of collateral dependent loans is derived primarily from independent appraisers. Management believes it follows appropriate accounting and regulatory guidance in determining impairment and accrual status of impaired loans.

The level of allowance maintained is believed by management to be adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries of amounts previously charged-off.

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, we also consider the results of our ongoing independent loan review process. We undertake this process both to seek to ascertain those loans in the portfolio with credit risk and to assist in our overall evaluation of the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio. Our loan review process includes the judgment of management, independent internal loan reviewers, and reviews that may have been conducted by third-party reviewers including regulatory examiners. We incorporate relevant loan review results in the loan impairment determination.

As part of management's quarterly assessment of the allowance, management divides the loan portfolio into five segments: commercial, commercial real estate, small business lending, consumer and consumer real estate. Each segment is then analyzed such that an allocation of the allowance is estimated for each loan segment. During 2010, we incorporated the results of our proprietary historical loan loss migration analysis into our determination of the allowance for loan losses. We believe the increased emphasis on our historical loss experience metrics provides a better estimate of losses inherent in our portfolio. This refinement of our methodology did not result in a material change in our allowance.

The allowance allocation for commercial and commercial real estate loans begins with a process of estimating the probable losses inherent for these types of loans. The estimates for these loans are established by category and based on our internal system of credit risk ratings and historical loss data. The estimated loan loss allocation rate for our internal system of credit risk grades for commercial
and commercial real estate loans is based on our historical loss experience adjusted for current economic conditions and industry loss factors. We weighted the allocation methodologies for the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios and determined a weighted average allocation for these portfolios.

The allowance allocation for the small business lending unit is determined consistent with the methodology followed for the commercial portfolio. The small business lending unit underwrites relationships less than $\$ 250,000$ in business loans and no more than $\$ 500,000$ in combined business and consumer purpose loans. These relationships will be centrally underwritten to increase consistency and mitigate risk associated with individually underwritten loans.

The allowance allocation for consumer and consumer real estate loans which includes installment, home equity, consumer mortgages, automobiles and others is established for each of the categories by estimating probable losses inherent in that particular category of consumer and consumer real estate loans. The estimated loan loss allocation rate for each category is based on consideration of our actual loss rates, and industry loss rates. Consumer and consumer real estate loans are evaluated as a group by category (i.e. retail real estate, installment, etc.) rather than on a loan credit risk rating basis because these loans are smaller and homogeneous. We weight the allocation methodologies for the consumer and consumer real estate portfolios and determine a weighted average allocation for these portfolios.
The estimated loan loss allocation for all five loan portfolio segments is then adjusted for management's estimate of probable losses for several environmental factors. The allocation for environmental factors is particularly subjective and does not lend itself to exact mathematical calculation. This amount represents estimated probable inherent credit losses which exist, but have not yet been identified, as of the balance sheet date, and are based upon quarterly trend assessments in delinquent and nonaccrual loans, unanticipated charge-offs, credit concentration changes, prevailing economic conditions, changes in lending personnel experience, changes in lending policies or procedures and other influencing factors. These environmental factors are considered for each of the five loan segments and the allowance allocation, as determined by the processes noted above for each component, is increased or decreased based on the incremental assessment of these various environmental factors.

The assessment also includes an unallocated component. We believe that the unallocated amount is warranted for inherent factors that cannot be practically assigned to individual loan categories, such as imprecision in the overall measurement process, in particular the volatility of the local economies in the markets we serve and imprecision in assigning credit risk ratings.

We then test the resulting allowance by comparing the balance in the allowance to historical trends and industry and peer information. Our management then evaluates the result of the procedures performed, including the results of our testing, and decides on the appropriateness of the balance of the allowance in its entirety. The audit committee of our board of directors reviews and approves the assessment prior to the filing of quarterly and annual financial information.

While our policies and procedures used to estimate the allowance for loan losses, as well as the resultant provision for loan losses charged to operations, are considered adequate by management and are reviewed from time to time by our regulators, they are necessarily approximate and imprecise. There are factors beyond our control, such as conditions in the local and national economy, a local real estate market or particular industry conditions which may negatively impact, materially, our asset quality and the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses and, thus, the resulting provision for loan losses.

Other Real Estate Owned. Other real estate owned (OREO), consists of properties obtained through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans, is reported at the lower of cost or fair value based on appraised valued, less selling costs estimated as of the date acquired, with any loss recognized as a charge-off through the allowance for loan losses. Additional OREO losses for subsequent valuation downward adjustments are determined on a specific property basis and are included as a component of other noninterest expense along with holding costs. The fair value of other real estate owned is derived primarily from independent appraisers. Any gains or losses on disposal realized at the time of disposal are reflected in noninterest expense. Significant judgments and complex estimates are required in estimating the fair value of other real estate owned, and the period of time within which such estimates can be considered current is significantly shortened during periods of market volatility, as experienced during the last two years. As a result, the net proceeds realized from sales transactions could differ significantly from appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates used to determine the fair value of other real estate owned.

Deferred Tax Asset Valuation. A valuation allowance is recognized for a deferred tax asset if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. As a result of its increased credit losses, Pinnacle Financial entered into a three-year cumulative pre-tax loss position as of June 30, 2010. A cumulative loss position is considered significant negative evidence in assessing the realizability of a deferred tax asset which is difficult to overcome. Pinnacle Financial's estimate of the realization of its deferred tax assets was based on the scheduled reversal of
deferred tax liabilities and taxable income available in prior carry back years. Pinnacle Financial did not consider future taxable income in determining the realizability of its deferred tax assets, and as such, has recorded a valuation allowance to reduce our net deferred tax asset to $\$ 0$. Although Pinnacle Financial was profitable in both the third and the fourth quarters of 2010, management determined this did not meet the threshold of sustained profitability. However, if profitability continues to a point that is considered sustainable, some or all of the valuation allowance may be reversed. The timing of the reversal of the valuation allowance is dependent on an assessment of future events and will be based on the circumstances that exist as of that future date

Impairment of Intangible Assets. Long-lived assets, including purchased intangible assets subject to amortization, such as our core deposit intangible asset, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of would be separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated.

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. That annual assessment date is September 30. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the asset's fair value. The goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first step, used to identify potential impairment, involves comparing each reporting unit's estimated fair value to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not considered impaired. If the carrying value exceeds estimated fair value, there is an indication of potential impairment and a second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment.

If required, the second step involves calculating an implied fair value of goodwill for each reporting unit for which the first step indicated potential impairment. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in a manner similar to the amount of goodwill calculated in a business combination, by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit, as determined in the first step, over the aggregate estimated fair values of the individual assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying value of goodwill assigned to the reporting unit, there is no impairment. If the carrying value of goodwill assigned to a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess. Subsequent reversal of goodwill impairment losses is not permitted.

Our stock price has historically traded above its book value per common share and tangible book value per common share. At September 30, 2010, the stock price was trading below its book value per common share, which could be an indicator of impairment. We performed our annual evaluation of whether there were indications of potential goodwill impairment as of September 30, 2010. We determined that there was no impairment. At December 31, 2010, our stock price was trading at levels higher than those that were utilized in our impairment testing at September 30, 2010. We determined that there were no other indicators of impairment and concluded that no further assessment of goodwill impairment was required as of December 31, 2010. Should our stock price decline further below our book value per common share, an impairment charge to goodwill may be required. Should it be determined in a future period that the goodwill has been impaired, then a charge to earnings will be recorded in the period such determination is made.

## Results of Operations

The following is a summary of our results of operations for 2010, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands except per share data):

Interest income
Interest expense
Net interest income
Provision for loan losses
Net interest income after provision for loan losses
Noninterest income
Noninterest expense
Net income (loss) before income taxes
Income tax expense (benefit)
Net income (loss)
Preferred dividends and preferred stock discount accretion
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders
Basic net income (loss) per common share available to common stockholders
Diluted net income (loss) per common share available to common stockholders

| Years ended <br> December 31, |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010-2009 \\ \text { Percent } \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { (Decrease) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Year ended December 31,$\qquad$ 2008 | 2009-2008 <br> Percent Increase (Decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 2009 |  |  |  |
| \$ 203,348 | \$ 205,716 | (1.2\%) | \$ 206,082 | (0.2\%) |
| 58,975 | 74,925 | (21.3\%) | 91,867 | (18.4\%) |
| 144,373 | 130,791 | 10.4\% | 114,215 | 14.5\% |
| 53,695 | 116,758 | (54.0\%) | 11,214 | 941.1\% |
| 90,678 | 14,033 | 546.2\% | 103,001 | (86.4\%) |
| 36,315 | 39,651 | (8.4\%) | 34,718 | 14.2\% |
| 146,883 | 118,577 | 23.9\% | 94,478 | 25.5\% |
| $(19,890)$ | $(64,893)$ | (69.3\%) | 43,241 | (250.1\%) |
| 4,410 | $(29,393)$ | (115.0\%) | 12,367 | (337.7\%) |
| $(24,300)$ | $(35,500)$ | (31.5\%) | 30,874 | (215.0\%) |
| 6,142 | 5,930 | 3.6\% | 309 | 1819.1\% |
| \$ $(30,442)$ | \$ $(41,430)$ | (26.5\%) | \$ 30,565 | (235.5\%) |
| \$ (0.93) | \$ (1.46) | (36.4\%) | \$ 1.34 | (208.8\%) |
| \$ (0.93) | \$(1.46) | (36.4\%) | \$1.27 | (214.8\%) |

Net Interest Income. Net interest income represents the amount by which interest earned on various earning assets exceeds interest paid on deposits and other interest bearing liabilities and is the most significant component of our earnings. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded net interest income of $\$ 144,373,000$, which resulted in a net interest margin of $3.25 \%$. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded net interest income of $\$ 130,791,000$, which resulted in a net interest margin of $2.93 \%$ for the year. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded net interest income of $\$ 114,215,000$, which resulted in a net interest margin of $3.17 \%$.

The following table sets forth the amount of our average balances, interest income or interest expense for each category of interestearning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the average interest rate for total interest-earning assets and total interest-bearing liabilities, net interest spread and net interest margin for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

(1) Average balances of nonperforming loans are included in average loan balances.
(2) Yields based on the carrying value of those tax exempt instruments are shown on a fully tax equivalent basis.
(3) Yields realized on interest-bearing assets less the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The net interest spread calculation excludes the impact of demand deposits. Had the impact of demand deposits been included, the net interest spread for the year ended December 31, 2010 would have been $3.18 \%$ compared to a net interest spread for the years ended December 31,2009 and 2008 of $2.85 \%$ and $3.11 \%$, respectively.
(4) Net interest margin is the result of net interest income calculated on a tax-equivalent basis divided by average interest earning assets for the period.

As noted above, the net interest margin for 2010 was $3.25 \%$ compared to a net interest margin of $2.93 \%$ in 2009 . The improved margin is attributable to the 42 basis point decrease in the average rate paid on deposits in 2010 as compared to 2009. The loan yield realized increased by 24 basis points in 2010 as compared to 2009 . The increased loan yields were offset by decreases in the yields realized on our securities portfolio. The net interest margin for 2008 was $3.17 \%$. Other matters related to the changes in net interest income, net interest yields and rates, and net interest margin are presented below:

- Our loan yields increased by 24 basis points between 2010 and 2009 while they decreased by 117 basis points between 2009 and 2008. A significant amount of our loan portfolio has daily floating rate pricing tied to our prime lending rate or a national interest rate index. Our weighted average prime rate for 2010 and 2009 was $3.25 \%$ as compared to $5.09 \%$ for 2008 .

However, the weighted average rate being assessed on these daily floating rate loans was $4.99 \%$ in 2010. The difference is largely due to our implementing interest rate floors throughout 2009 and 2010 , of which $\$ 1.298$ billion of loans are currently priced at their contractual interest rate floor. Other factors that impact our loan yields in any period are our evaluation of the credit worthiness, collateral and other factors related to the borrower when we agree to make a loan, the term of the loan and the ongoing relationship we have with a particular borrower.

- Nonperforming loans continued to negatively impact our net interest margin during 2010 due to lost interest on these loans. Average nonperforming loans were $\$ 111.7$ million in 2010 compared to $\$ 117.9$ million in 2009 and $\$ 25.5$ million in 2008. Had nonaccruing loans been on accruing status, interest income would have been higher by approximately $\$ 7.6$ million, $\$ 7.1$ million, and $\$ 1.6$ million for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, respectively. This interest income would have increased our margin by 23 basis points, 20 basis points, and 5 basis points for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, respectively. We endeavor to identify potential problem loans prior to the progression to nonaccrual status and are taking action to resolve our nonperforming loans to improve loan yield.
- During 2010, overall deposit rates were 42 basis points less than those rates for the comparable period in 2009. The net decreases were largely impacted by our efforts to increase lower cost core deposits while reducing levels of wholesale funding-which are associated with higher funding costs. Our non-core funding as a percentage of total funding has decreased from $41.3 \%$ at December 31, 2009 to $25.7 \%$ at December 31, 2010. Also positively impacting our funding costs are time deposits repricing during 2010 at lower rates than those that were in effect in previous periods.

Rates paid on such products as interest checking, savings and money market accounts and securities sold under agreements to repurchase increased as compared to the same period in the prior year. Competitive deposit pricing pressures in our market limited our ability to reduce our funding costs more aggressively, and rate increases within transaction and savings classifications negatively impacted our net interest margin. We routinely monitor the pricing of deposit products by our primary competitors and believe that our markets are very competitive banking markets with several market participants seeking deposit growth. As a result, competitive limitations on our ability to more significantly lower rates paid on our deposit products had a negative impact on our net interest margin during 2010.

- During 2010, the average balances of noninterest bearing deposit balances, interest bearing transaction accounts, savings and money market accounts and securities sold under agreements to repurchase amounted to $61.4 \%$ of our total funding compared to $45.3 \%$ in 2009 and $46.7 \%$ in 2008. These funding sources generally have lower rates than do other funding sources, such as certificates of deposit and other borrowings. Additionally, noninterest bearing deposits comprised $12.3 \%$ of total funding in 2010 as compared to $10.7 \%$ in 2009 and 2008. Maintaining our noninterest bearing deposit balances in relation to total funding is critical to maintaining and growing our net interest margin.
- The average balance of subordinated debt outstanding was consistent between 2010 and 2009 ; however, the variable rates tied to the subordinated debt decreased resulting in a 69 basis point decrease in the year over year rate paid. The interest rate charged on this indebtedness is generally higher than other funding sources and is typically based on a spread plus LIBOR.

We continue to deploy various asset liability management strategies to manage our risk to interest rate fluctuations. We believe that short term rates will remain flat for most of 2011. It is our current belief that rates may eventually begin to rise by the end of 2011 or first quarter of 2012. Due primarily to the percentage of variable rate loans with loan floors currently in place, our balance sheet would be considered slightly liability-sensitive should rates increase by a small amount. Our current loan pricing seeks to increase spreads to loan indices, and if successful, we expect our margins to be better positioned for a rising rate environment. Irrespective of any increase in interest rates generally, we believe our net interest margin should increase in 2011 due to several factors related to pricing adjustments for certain loans and deposits as well as better results from bond yields. Offsetting the positive impact of any initiative we deploy to enhance our net interest margin will be the ongoing negative impact of nonperforming assets.

Rate and Volume Analysis. Net interest income increased by $\$ 13,582,000$ between the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 and by $\$ 16,576,000$ between the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009. The following is an analysis of the changes in our net interest income comparing the changes attributable to rates and those attributable to volumes (dollars in thousands):

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Interest-earning assets:} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{2010 Compared to 2009 Increase (decrease) due to} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{2009 Compared to 2008 Increase (decrease) due to} <br>
\hline \& Rate \& Volume \& Net \& Rate \& Volume \& Net <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Loans \& \$ 8,460 \& \$ $(7,829)$ \& \$ 631 \& $(35,439)$ \& \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline Taxable \& $(5,811)$ \& 1,060 \& $(4,751)$ \& $(2,600)$ \& 14,225 \& <br>
\hline Tax-exempt \& (199) \& 1,575 \& 1,376 \& (81) \& 14,225
1,222 \& 11,625
1,141 <br>
\hline Federal funds sold \& (830) \& 1,206 \& 1,376 \& $(1,081)$ \& 1,206 \& (275) <br>
\hline Total interest-earning assets \& 1,620 \& $(3,988)$ \& $(2,368)$ \& $(39,201)$ \& 38,835 \& (366) <br>
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Interest-bearing liabilities:} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Interest-bearing deposits:} <br>
\hline Interest checking \& 432 \& 1,076 \& 1,508 \& $(3,173)$ \& (35) \& (3,208) <br>
\hline Savings and money market \& 796 \& 6,465 \& 7,261 \& $(3,106)$ \& 2,201 \& <br>
\hline Certificates of deposit \& $(10,111)$ \& (11,930) \& $(22,041)$ \& (19,610) \& 9,854 \& $(9,756)$
$(13)$ <br>
\hline Total deposits \& $(8,883)$ \& $(4,389)$ \& $(13,272)$ \& $(25,889)$ \& 12,020 \& <br>
\hline Securities sold under agreements to repurchase \& 301 \& (240) \& 61 \& \& 12,020 \& $(13,869)$ <br>
\hline Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings \& 893 \& $(240)$
$(2,955)$ \& 61
$(2,062)$ \& $(1,357)$
$(1,927)$ \& 379

1 \& (978) <br>

\hline Subordinated debt \& (673) \& \& \& $(1,712)$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
1,163 \\
381
\end{array}
$$ \& $\begin{array}{r}(764) \\ (1,331) \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>

\hline Total interest-bearing liabilities \& $(8,362)$ \& $(7,588)$ \& (15,950) \& $(30,885)$ \& 13,943 \& (16,942) <br>
\hline Net interest income \& \$ 9,982 \& \$ 3,600 \& \$13,582 \& \$ $(8,316)$ \& \$24,892 \& \$16,576 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Changes in net interest income are attributed to either changes in average balances (volume change) or changes in average rates (rate change) for earning assets and sources of funds on which interest is received or paid. Volume change is calculated as change in volume times the previous rate while rate change is change in rate times the previous volume. The change attributed to rates and volumes (change in rate times change in volume) is considered above as a change in volume

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses represents a charge to earnings necessary to establish an allowance for loan losses that, in our management's evaluation, should be adequate to provide coverage for the inherent losses on outstanding loans. The provision for loan losses amounted to $\$ 53,695,000, \$ 116,758,000$, and $\$ 11,214,000$ for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

The impact of the continuing economic distress, elevated net-charge offs and increased non-performing assets were the primary reasons for the provisioning expense in 2010. Our construction and development loan portfolio has experienced credit deterioration due to depressed real estate sales. For substantially all construction and development loans, our collateral is our primary source of repayment and as the value of the collateral deteriorates, ultimate repayment by the borrower becomes increasingly difficult. As a result, we have continued to maintain an allowance for loan losses at a rate that is reasonably consistent with the December 31, 2009 allowance for loan losses percentage. Our provisioning expense for 2010 as compared to 2009 has decreased due to the slowing of non-performing and troubled loan inflows. Also, our 2009 provisioning expense was impacted by the $\$ 21.55$ million loan to a bank holding company in Georgia that was charged off as a result of its subsidiary bank being placed in receivership by the OCC in the second quarter of 2009 and an overall increase in the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans from $1.08 \%$ to $2.58 \%$.

Based upon management's assessment of the loan portfolio, we adjust our allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans to an amount deemed appropriate to adequately cover probable losses in the loan portfolio. Our allowance for loan losses decreased nominally from $2.58 \%$ at December 31, 2009 to $2.57 \%$ at December 31, 2010. Based upon our evaluation of the loan portfolio, we believe the allowance for loan losses to be adequate to absorb our estimate of probable losses existing in the loan portfolio at December 31, 2010. While our policies and procedures used to estimate the allowance for loan losses, as well as the resultant provision for loan losses charged to operations, are considered adequate by management, they are necessarily approximate and imprecise. There are factors beyond our control, such as conditions in the local and national economy, a local real estate market or particular industry conditions which may negatively impact, materially, our asset quality and the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses and, thus, the resulting provision for loan losses.

Noninterest Income. Our noninterest income is composed of several components, some of which vary significantly between quarterly and annual periods. Service charges on deposit accounts and other noninterest income generally reflect our growth and market conditions, while investment services and fees from the origination of mortgage loans and gains on the sale of securities will often
reflect market conditions and fluctuate from period to period. The opportunities for recognition of gains on loan sales and net gains on sales of investment securities may also vary widely from quarter to quarter and year to year.

The following is the makeup of our noninterest income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 (dollars in thousands):

## Noninterest income:

Service charges on deposit accounts
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Years ended } \\ \text { December 31, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2010-2009 } \\ \text { Percent }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Year ended } \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { (December 31, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2009-2008 } \\ \text { Percent } \\ \text { Increase }\end{array} \\ \text { (Decrease) }\end{array}\right]$

The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts in 2010 compared to 2009 and 2008 is primarily related to decreased overdraft protection and insufficient fund charges on individual retail consumer accounts. In November 2009, the Federal Reserve Board issued a final rule that, effective July 1, 2010, prohibits financial institutions from charging consumers fees for paying overdrafts on automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions unless a consumer consents or opts in to the overdraft service for those types of transactions, commonly referred to as "Reg-E". Consumers must be provided a notice that explains the financial institution's overdraft services, including the fees associated with the service, and the consumer's choices. We implemented the provisions of RegE in the third quarter of 2010 . Because our customers must provide advance consent to the overdraft service for automated teller machine and one-time debit card transactions, we cannot provide any assurance as to the ultimate impact of this rule on the amount of insufficient funds charges reported in future periods.

Also included in noninterest income are commissions and fees from our financial advisory unit, Pinnacle Asset Management, a division of Pinnacle National. At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Asset Management was receiving commissions and fees in connection with approximately $\$ 1.04$ billion in brokerage assets held with Raymond James Financial Services, Inc. compared to $\$ 933$ million at December 31, 2009 and $\$ 738$ million at December 31, 2008. Additionally, at December 31, 2010, our trust department was receiving fees on approximately $\$ 693$ million in assets compared to $\$ 635$ million at December 31,2009 and $\$ 588$ million at December 31, 2008. In 2010, we earned $\$ 3.9$ million in insurance commissions compared to $\$ 4.0$ million in 2009 and $\$ 3.5$ million in 2008. Following our merger with Cavalry in March of 2006, we began to offer trust services through Pinnacle National's trust division and insurance services through Miller and Loughry Insurance Services, Inc. On July 2, 2008, we acquired Murfreesboro, Tennessee based Beach \& Gentry Insurance LLC (Beach \& Gentry) which subsequently merged with Miler \& Loughry to form Miller Loughry Beach Insurance Services.

Additionally, fees from the origination and sale of mortgage loans provided for a significant portion of the increase in noninterest income. These mortgage fees are for loans originated in both the middle Tennessee and Knoxville markets that are subsequently sold to third-party investors, who are generally responsible for the underwriting of these loans. All of these loan sales transfer servicing rights to the buyer. Generally, mortgage origination fees increase in lower interest rate environments and more robust housing markets and decrease in rising interest rate environments and more challenging housing markets. As a result, mortgage origination fees may fluctuate greatly in different rate or housing environments. Based on current market conditions, we anticipate that such fees will be lower in 2011 than in 2010. The gross fees from the origination and sale of mortgage loans have been offset by the commission expense associated with these originations.

We also sell certain commercial loan participations to our correspondent banks. Such sales are primarily related to new lending transactions in excess of internal loan limits or industry concentration limits. At December 31, 2010 and pursuant to participation
agreements with these correspondents, we had participated approximately $\$ 55.6$ million of originated commercial loans to other banks compared to $\$ 84.6$ million at December 31, 2009. The participation agreements have various provisions regarding collateral position, pricing and other matters. Pursuant to FASB ASC 860, in those transactions whereby the correspondent is receiving less interest than the amount owed by the customer, we record a net gain along with a corresponding asset representing the present value of our net retained cash flows, which is amortized over the term of the loan. At each period end, we evaluate the discount rate we are using to measure the present value of these future cash flows and adjust this discount rate to a market based rate. If a loan is paid prior to maturity, any remaining unamortized balance is charged as a reduction to gains on loan participations sold. We recorded losses, net of amortization expense related to the aforementioned retained cash flow asset, of $\$ 73,000$ and $\$ 269,000$ for the years ended December 31,2010 and 2009, respectively, and net gains of $\$ 276,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2008 related to the loan participation transactions. Additionally, Pinnacle Financial recognized a gain of $\$ 695,000$ during 2008 related to the sale of impaired loans to a group of outside investors. We intend to maintain relationships with our correspondents in order to sell participations in future loans to these or other correspondents primarily due to limitations on loans to a single borrower or industry concentrations. In any event, the timing of participations may cause the level of gains, if any, to vary significantly.

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we sold approximately $\$ 146.1$ million and $\$ 346.9$ million of our available-forsale investment securities, respectively, in order to reposition our bond portfolio for asset liability management purposes. Also, during 2010, we sold approximately $\$ 954,000$ of municipal securities within our held-to-maturity portfolio as a result of the underlying credit support for these securities being terminated and, after evaluation, we elected not to maintain these securities in our portfolio. As a result of the sale of these securities, we realized net gains of $\$ 2.6$ million and $\$ 6.9$ million for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 , respectively. During the second quarter of 2009 , we determined that an available-for-sale corporate security was other than temporarily impaired because the credit worthiness of the security had deteriorated. This security was a bank holding company trust preferred security. This impairment resulted in a $\$ 400,000$ charge during 2009 , which partially offset the gains on the sale of investment securities.

Included in other noninterest income are miscellaneous consumer fees, such as ATM revenues and other consumer fees. The fees realized in 2010 have increased as compared to 2009 and 2008 due to increased check card usage. Based on the pending changes under the Dodd-Frank Act, we expect income from check card and interchange fees to materially decline as these policies are implemented by banks and retail merchants.

Additionally, noninterest income from the cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance was $\$ 912,000$ during 2010 compared to $\$ 518,000$ during 2009 and $\$ 869,000$ during 2008. The assets that support these policies are administered by the life insurance carriers and the income we receive (i.e., increases or decreases in the cash surrender value of the policies) on these policies is dependent upon the returns the insurance carriers are able to earn on the underlying investments that support the policies. Earnings on these policies are not taxable.

During the second quarter of 2008 and as a result of our merger with Mid-America, we sold two branch buildings for a combined net gain of $\$ 1.0$ million. These branch divestures were related to facilities only and did not include any financial assets or deposit accounts.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense consists of salaries and employee benefits, equipment and occupancy expenses, and other operating expenses. The following is the makeup of our noninterest expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands):

|  | Years ended <br> December 31, |  |  | 2010-2009 <br> Percent Increase (Decrease) | Year ended December 31, <br> 2008 | 2009-2008 <br> Percent <br> Increase <br> (Decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |  |  |
| Noninterest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | \$ 44,994 | \$ | 38,478 | 16.93\% | \$ 32,391 | 18.79\% |
| Commissions | 2,834 |  | 2,479 | 14.32\% | 2,695 | (8.01\%) |
| Incentives |  |  | 45 | ( $100.00 \%$ ) | 1,706 | (97.36\%) |
| Employee benefits and other | 16,801 |  | 15,708 | 6.96\% | 12,604 | 24.63\% |
| Total salaries and employee benefits | 64,629 |  | 56,710 | 13.96\% | 49,396 | 14.81\% |
| Equipment and occupancy | 21,077 |  | 18,056 | 16.73\% | 16,601 | 8.76\% |
| Other real estate expense | 29,210 |  | 14,257 | 104.88\% | 1,403 | 916.18\% |
| Marketing and business development | 3,233 |  | 2,534 | 27.58\% | 1,916 | $32.25 \%$ |
| Postage and supplies | 2,538 |  | 2,929 | (13.35\%) | 2,953 | (0.81\%) |
| Amortization of intangibles | 2,981 |  | 3,185 | (6.41\%) | 3,101 | 2.71\% |
| Other noninterest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposit related expenses | 12,507 |  | 11,492 | 8.83\% | 4,725 | 143.22\% |
| Lending related expenses | 2,175 |  | 1,074 | 102.51\% | 1,343 | (20.03)\% |
| Investment sales expense | 316 |  | 425 | (25.65\%) | 315 | 34.92\% |
| Trust expenses | 343 |  | 305 | 12.46\% | 114 | 167.54\% |
| Administrative and other expenses | 7,874 |  | 7,610 | 3.47\% | 5,495 | 38.49\% |
| Total other noninterest expense | 23,215 |  | 20,906 | 11.04\% | 11,992 | 74.33\% |
| Merger related expense | - |  | - | NA | 7,116 | (100.00\%) |
| Total noninterest expense | \$146,883 |  | 118,577 | 23.87\% | \$ 94,478 | $25.51 \%$ |

Expenses have generally increased between the above periods primarily because of increases in other real estate expense associated with deterioration in real estate loans. In addition, increased expenses reflect the mix of personnel additions occurring throughout each period and the continued development of our branch network.

At December 31, 2010, we employed 769.0 full-time equivalent employees compared to 777.0 at December 31, 2009 and 719.0 at the end of 2008. Although our overall headcount has decreased in 2010 as compared to 2009 , we have increased our levels of special asset, credit administration and other experienced personnel focused on the resolution of problem assets.

Also included in employee benefits and other expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, were approximately, $\$ 3,981,000, \$ 3,250,000$ and $\$ 2,347,000$, respectively, of compensation expenses related to stock options and time vested restricted share awards. Pinnacle Financial did not grant any stock options in 2010 or 2009. We expect to continue to grant restricted shares to all associates annually.

Due to the losses we incurred during 2010 and 2009 and the continued weakness in our loan portfolio, we did not pay any variable cash incentives based on 2010 and 2009 performance. Furthermore, so long as the preferred stock we sold to the U.S. Treasury in the CPP is held by the U.S. Treasury, we cannot pay cash incentives to our five most highly compensated employees from the previous fiscal year, and stock incentives to such executives are limited. Included in the salary and employee benefits amounts for the year ended December 31, 2008 was $\$ 1,706,000$ related to variable cash awards. In 2008, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee approved the payment of cash incentive awards under the 2008 plan at a percentage that was generally higher than would have been otherwise payable under the terms of the plans. For 2008, qualifying associates received approximately $25 \%$ of their targeted award. Also in 2008, certain officers, including five executive officers, who did not receive a cash incentive award for the 2007 fiscal year, received special cash incentive payments following the integration of the Mid-American bank subsidiaries with Pinnacle National.

In connection with our merger with Mid-America, all former associates of Mid-America were granted a retention bonus award provided they worked through a predetermined date. Also, those associates that continued as Pinnacle Financial associates following the merger were eligible for a retention bonus should they continue their employment through December 31, 2008. This retention bonus award was paid to the former associates of Mid-America in January 2009 and amounted to approximately $\$ 4.7$ million. This
award was classified as a merger related expense in 2008. As a result of these associates receiving a retention bonus award, they did not participate in any of our other cash or equity incentive award plans in 2008.

The incentive plan for 2011 is structured similarly to prior year plans in that the award is based on the achievement of certain performance objectives. We currently believe we will achieve our performance objectives in 2011 and we therefore expect, our incentive costs will likely increase in 2011 as compared to previous periods. Because of the relative experience of our associates, our compensation costs are, and we expect will continue to be, higher on a per associate basis than other financial institutions of a similar asset size; however, we believe the experience and engagement of our associates also allows us to employ fewer people than most financial institutions our size.

Equipment and occupancy expenses in 2010 were $\$ 3.0$ million greater than in 2009 and in 2009 these expenses were greater by $\$ 1.5$ million than in 2008. These increases are primarily attributable to our continued market expansion to Knoxville, Tennessee, and increased presence in the Nashville MSA. In January 2010, we consolidated our two Brentwood, Tennessee locations into one larger facility and closed the two former offices. Additionally, we completed our relocation to our new headquarters in downtown Nashville and opened a new office in the 100 Oaks area of Nashville in the second quarter of 2010. Also contributing to the increase in 2010 expenses as compared to 2009 and 2008 was that Pinnacle opened two new full-service offices in the Fountain City and Farragut areas of Knoxville and one new full service office in the Belle Meade area of Nashville. These actions contributed to the increase in our equipment and occupancy expenses throughout the three-year period. At this time, we believe our retail distribution network is substantially complete in the Nashville MSA. We expect further expansion into the Knoxville MSA beginning in 2012 but do not anticipate the construction of any new facilities in Knoxville during 2011.

Other real estate expense was $\$ 29.2$ million for 2010 compared to $\$ 14.3$ million for 2009 and $\$ 1.4$ million for 2008. The increase in other real estate expense is related to the continued decline of local real estate values, particularly with respect to properties acquired from builders and residential land developers as well as our electing to dispose of assets more quickly rather than holding assets for an extended selling period to seek a potentially higher price. Approximately $\$ 25.7$ million, $\$ 11.9$ million, and $\$ 850,000$ of the other real estate expense incurred during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were realized losses on dispositions and holding losses on valuations of OREO properties. Other real estate expense will likely remain elevated as we maintain and market for sale various foreclosed properties. Additionally these properties could be subject to further valuation adjustments thus causing potential fluctuations in our quarterly other real estate expense. At December 31, 2010, we had $\$ 59.6$ million in other real estate owned compared to $\$ 29.6$ million at December 31, 2009.

Marketing and other business development and postage and supplies expenses are higher in 2010 compared to 2009 and 2008 due to increases in the number of customers and prospective customers, increases in the number of customer contact personnel and the corresponding increases in customer entertainment, and other business development expenses. Additionally, late in 2009, we entered into a sponsorship with a professional football franchise that has increased our 2010 marketing and other business development expenses as compared to prior periods.

Noninterest expense related to the amortization of intangibles in 2010, 2009, and 2008 was $\$ 3.0$ million, $\$ 3.2$ million, and $\$ 3.1$ million, respectively, related primarily to the intangibles acquired in the Mid-America and Cavalry mergers. This identified intangible is being amortized over ten years for Mid-America and over seven years for Cavalry, in each case using an accelerated method which anticipates the life of the underlying deposits to which the intangible is attributable. Amortization expense associated with these core deposit intangibles will approximate $\$ 700,000$ to $\$ 2.9$ million per year for the next seven years with lesser amounts for the remaining amortization period. Additionally, in connection with our acquisition of Beach and Gentry in July of 2008, we recorded a customer list intangible of $\$ 1,270,000$ which is being amortized over 20 years on an accelerated basis. Amortization of this intangible amounted to $\$ 115,000, \$ 118,000$ and $\$ 60,000$ during 2010,2009 and 2008, respectively.

Other noninterest expenses increased $11.0 \%$ in 2010 over 2009 and $74.3 \%$ in 2009 over 2008 . A substantial portion of this expense is attributable to FDIC deposit insurance assessments and to a lesser extent to insurance expense, lending related expenses related to problem assets, including appraisal, legal and other charges, and other expenses which are incidental variable costs related to deposit gathering and lending. Also included are expenses related to ATM networks, correspondent bank service charges, check losses, and closing attorney expenses.

Additionally, for the year ended December 31, 2008, we incurred $\$ 7,116,000$ of merger related expenses directly associated with the Mid-America merger. The merger related expenses consisted of integration costs incurred in connection with the merger, including approximately $\$ 4.7$ million of retention bonuses for Mid-America associates, $\$ 1.0$ million in conversion-related incentive payments and other personnel costs, $\$ 826,000$ in information technology conversion costs and $\$ 559,000$ in other integration charges.

Our efficiency ratio (ratio of noninterest expense to the sum of net interest income and noninterest income) was $81.3 \%$ in 2010 compared to $69.6 \%$ in 2009 and $63.4 \%$ in 2008 . The efficiency ratio measures the amount of expense that is incurred to generate a dollar of revenue and was substantially impacted by increased other real estate expense in 2010.

Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010 was approximately $22.2 \%$, compared to an income tax benefit rate of $45.3 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our income tax expense rate for 2010 was principally impacted by the recognition of a valuation allowance during 2010 as more fully discussed in Note 11 in the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" and under "Critical Accounting Estimates" above. We realized an effective income tax expense rate of $28.6 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Preferred stock dividends and preferred stock discount accretion. Net (loss) income available for common shareholders was reduced (increased) by preferred stock dividends of $\$ 4,816,000$ in 2010 and 2009 and $\$ 264,000$ in 2008; accretion on the preferred stock discount of $\$ 1,326,000, \$ 1,114,000$ and $\$ 45,000$, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, also impacted net (loss) income available to common shareholders. On December 12, 2008, we received $\$ 95.0$ million from the sale of preferred stock to the U.S. Treasury as a result of our participation in the CPP. The Series A preferred stock we sold the U.S. Treasury pays cumulative dividends quarterly at a rate of 5 percent per annum for the first five years and 9 percent thereafter.

Additionally, we issued 534,910 common stock warrants to the U.S. Treasury as a condition to our participation in the CPP. The warrants have an exercise price of $\$ 26.64$ each, are immediately exercisable and expire 10 years from the date of issuance. Based on a Black-Scholes options pricing model, the warrants were assigned a fair value of $\$ 11.86$ per warrant as of December 12, 2008. The common stock warrants have been assigned a fair value of $\$ 6.7$ million, as of December 12, 2008 and that amount has been recorded as the discount on the preferred stock which will be accreted as a reduction in net income available to common shareholders over the next four years at approximately $\$ 1.3$ million to $\$ 1.4$ million per year. The resulting $\$ 88.3$ million was assigned to the Series A preferred stock issued in the CPP and will be accreted up to the redemption amount of $\$ 95$ million over the next four years, a further increase of capital.

On June 16,2009 , we issued $8,855,000$ shares of common stock through a public offering resulting in net proceeds to us of approximately $\$ 109.0$ million. As a result, and pursuant to the terms of the warrants issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with our participation in the CPP, the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with the CPP was reduced by $50 \%$, or 267,455 shares.

## Financial Condition

Our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 reflects a decrease of $\$ 350.9$ million in outstanding loans to $\$ 3.21$ billion and an increase in total deposits of $\$ 9.5$ million to $\$ 3.83$ billion at December 31, 2010. Total assets were $\$ 4.91$ billion at December 31, 2010 as compared to $\$ 5.13$ billion at December 31, 2009. The decrease in total loans outstanding is largely the result of low loan demand due to market conditions. However, in 2010, average earning assets remained consistent with 2009 levels. While the growth in total deposits was minimal, our core funding percentages increased significantly as compared to previous periods. The allocation of our deposit portfolio reflects an increase in low cost core deposits as compared to higher cost time deposits.

Loans. The composition of loans at December 31 for each of the past five years and the percentage (\%) of each classification to total loans are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |  | mount | Percent |  | mount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Commercial real estate - Mortgage | \$ 1,094,615 | 34.1\% | \$ 1,118,068 | 31.4\% | \$ | 963,530 | 28.7\% | \$ | 710,546 | 25.9\% | \$ 284,302 | 19.0\% |
| Consumer real estate - Mortgage | 705,487 | 22.0\% | 756,015 | 21.2\% |  | 675,606 | 20.1\% |  | 539,768 | 19.6\% | 299,627 | 20.0\% |
| Consumer real esta - Morgage | 331,261 | 10.3\% | 525,271 | 14.7\% |  | 658,799 | 19.6\% |  | 582,959 | 21.2\% | 253,097 | 16.9\% |
|  | $1,012,091$ |  | 1,071,444 | 30.0\% |  | 966,563 | 28.8\% |  | 794,419 | 28.9\% | 608,530 | 40.6\% |
| Commercial and industrial |  |  |  | 2.7\% |  | 90,409 | 2.8\% |  | 121,949 | 4.4\% | 52,179 | 3.5\% |
| Consumer and other | 68,986 | 2.1\% | 92,584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0\% |
| Total loans | \$ 3,212,440 | 100.0\% | \$3,563,382 | 100.0\% |  | 3,354,907 | 100.0\% |  | ,749,641 | 100.0\% | 1,497,735 | 10.0\% |

The primary change within the composition of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2010 as compared to previous periods is the percentage of construction and land development loans in our portfolio. The decrease in the construction and land development loans is due in part to our decision to reduce our exposure to this particular segment. Our continued reduction of these type loans will likely restrain our loan growth in the future in comparison to historical periods. The commercial real estate - mortgage category includes owner-occupied commercial real estate loans. Owner-occupied commercial real estate is similar in many ways to our commercial and industrial lending in that these loans are generally made to businesses on the basis of the cash flows of the business rather than on the
valuation of the real estate. We continue to consider commercial real estate mortgage products to be desirable. At December 31, 2010 , approximately $48.6 \%$ of the outstanding principal balance of our commercial real estate loans was secured by owner-occupied properties.

Loan Origination Risk Management. We maintain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and non-performing and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions.

Underwriting standards are designed to promote relationship banking rather than transactional banking. Our management examines current and projected cash flows to determine the expected ability of the borrower to repay their obligations as agreed. Commercial and industrial loans are primarily made based on the identified cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Most commercial and industrial loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets such as accounts receivable, inventory or equipment and may incorporate a personal guarantee; however, some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the availability of funds for the repayment of these loans may be substantially dependent on the ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers.

Commercial real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and industrial loans. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts and the repayment of these loans is generally largely dependent on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. Commercial real estate loans may be adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy. As detailed in the discussion of real estate loans below, the properties securing our commercial real estate portfolio generally are diverse in terms of type and industry. This diversity helps reduce our exposure to adverse economic events that affect any single industry or type of real estate product. Management monitors and evaluates commercial real estate loans based on cash flow, collateral, geography and risk grade criteria. As a general rule, we avoid financing single-purpose projects unless other underwriting factors are present to help mitigate risk. We also utilize third-party experts to provide insight and guidance about economic conditions and trends affecting market areas we serve.

With respect to loans to developers and builders that are secured by non-owner occupied properties that we may originate from time to time, we generally require the borrower to have had an existing relationship with us and have a proven record of success. Construction loans are underwritten utilizing feasibility studies, independent appraisal reviews, sensitivity analysis of absorption and lease rates and financial analysis of the developers and property owners. Construction loans are generally based upon estimates of costs and value associated with the complete project. These estimates may be inaccurate. Construction loans often involve the disbursement of funds with repayment substantially dependent on the success of the ultimate project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long-term lenders, sales of developed property or an interim loan commitment from us until permanent financing is obtained. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, general economic conditions and the availability of long-term financing. We continue to pursue sound commercial construction and development projects.

We also originate consumer loans, including consumer real-estate loans, where we typically use a computer-based credit scoring analysis to supplement the underwriting process. To monitor and manage consumer loan risk, policies and procedures are developed and modified, as needed, jointly by line and staff personnel. This activity, coupled with relatively small loan amounts that are spread across many individual borrowers, seeks to minimize risk. Additionally, trend and outlook reports are reviewed by management on a regular basis. Underwriting standards for home equity loans are heavily influenced by statutory requirements.

We also maintain an independent loan review department that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management and the audit committee. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as our policies and procedures.

The following table classifies our fixed and variable rate loans at December 31, 2010 according to contractual maturities of (1) one year or less, (2) after one year through five years, and (3) after five years. The table also classifies our variable rate loans pursuant to the contractual repricing dates of the underlying loans (dollars in thousands):

|  | Amounts at December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { At December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { At December 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fixed Rates |  | Variable Rates |  | Totals |  |  |  |
| Based on contractual maturity: |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ | 213,763 | \$ | 800,872 | \$ |  | 31.6\% | 43.7\% |
| Due in one year to five years |  | 768,521 |  | 744,990 |  | 1,513,511 | 47.1\% | 20.6\% |
| Due after five years |  | 85,429 |  | 598,865 |  | 684,294 | 21.3\% | 20.6\% |
| Totals | \$ | 1,067,713 | \$ | 2,144,727 | \$ | 3,212,440 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Based on contractual repricing dates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily floating rate ${ }^{(*)}$ | \$ | 213,763 | \$ | 1,175,889 | \$ | 1,175,889 | 36.6\% | 38.9\% |
| Due within one year |  | 213,763 |  | 758,320 |  | 972,083 | 30.3\% | 28.8\% |
| Due in one year to five years |  | 768,521 |  | 205,720 |  | 974,241 | 30.3\% | 28.8\% |
| Due after five years |  | 85,429 |  | 4,798 |  | 90,227 | 2.8\% | 3.5\% |
| Totals |  | 1,067,713 | \$ | 2,144,727 | \$ | 3,212,440 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

The above information does not consider the impact of scheduled principal payments.
$\left({ }^{*}\right)$ Daily floating rate loans are tied to Pinnacle National's prime lending rate or a national interest rate index with the underlying loan rates changing in relation to changes in these indexes. Included in variable rate loans are $\$ 1.298$ billion of loans which are currently priced at their contractual floors with a weighted average rate of $4.99 \%$. As a result, interest income on these loans will not adjust until the contractual rate on the underlying loan exceeds the interest rate floor.

Performing Loans in Past Due Status. The following table is a summary of our performing loans that were past due at least 30 days but less than 90 and greater than 90 days past due as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (dollars in thousands):
Performing loans past due 30 to 90 days:
Commercial real estate - mortgage
Consumer real estate - mortgage
Construction and land development
Commercial and industrial
Consumer and other
Total performing loans past due 30 to 90 days

Performing loans past due 90 days or more:
Commercial real estate - mortgage
Consumer real estate - mortgage
Construction and land development
Commercial and industrial
Consumer and other
Total performing loans past due 90 days or more

| December 31, |  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| \$ | 1,964 | \$ | \$ 3,790 |
|  | 3,544 |  | 5,442 |
|  | 2,157 |  | 2,936 |
|  | 1,636 |  | 3,595 |
|  | 152 |  | 506 |
| \$ | 9,453 |  | 16,269 |

## Ratios:

| Performing loans past due 30 to 90 days as a percentage of total loans | $0.29 \%$ | $0.45 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performing loans past due 90 days or more as a percentage of total loans | $0.01 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| Total performing loans in past due status as a percentage of total loans | $0.30 \%$ | $0.46 \%$ |

Potential Problem Loans. Potential problem loans, which are not included in nonperforming loans, amounted to approximately $\$ 223.1$ million, or $7.0 \%$ of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2010, compared to $\$ 257.0$ million, or $7.2 \%$ of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2009. Potential problem loans represent those loans with a well-defined weakness and where information about possible credit problems of borrowers has caused management to have serious doubts about the borrower's ability to comply with present repayment terms. This definition is believed to be substantially consistent with the standards established by the OCC, Pinnacle National's primary regulator, for loans classified as substandard or worse, excluding the impact of nonperforming loans. Approximately $\$ 5.9$ million of potential problem loans were past due at least 30 but less than 90 days as of December 31, 2010. There were approximately $\$ 38,000$ of potential problem loans that were past due greater than 90 days as of December 31, 2010. Additional information on various clarifications by loan type is set forth in Note 6 of the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements."

Non-Performing Assets. At December 31, 2010, we had $\$ 140.5$ million in nonperforming assets compared to $\$ 154.3$ million at December 31, 2009. Included in nonperforming assets were $\$ 80.9$ million in nonperforming loans and $\$ 59.6$ million in other real estate owned at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 124.7$ million and $\$ 29.6$ million, respectively at December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2010, there were $\$ 20.5$ million of accruing restructured loans compared to $\$ 27.0$ million accruing restructured loans at December 31, 2009. Home builders and developers and sub-dividers of land have continued to experience stress due to a combination of declining residential demand for new housing and resulting price and collateral value declines in Pinnacle Financial's market areas.

The following table is a summary of our nonperforming assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively (dollars in thousands):

|  | $\begin{gathered} A t \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Increases ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | Decreases ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming loans ${ }^{(1)}$ : $\quad 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate - mortgage | \$ | 22,240 | \$ | 26,988 | \$ | 36,686 | \$ 12,542 |
| Consumer real estate - mortgage |  | 12,721 |  | 27,889 |  | 31,575 | 9,035 |
| Construction and land development |  | 72,528 |  | 76,109 |  | 105,123 | 43,514 |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 16,230 |  | 34,944 |  | 36,434 | 14,740 |
| Consumer and other |  | 990 |  | 963 |  | 921 | 1,032 |
| Total nonperforming loans ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 124,709 |  | 166,893 |  | 210,739 | 80,863 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 29,603 |  | 94,325 |  | 64,320 | 59,608 |
| Total nonperforming assets |  | 154,312 |  | 261,218 |  | 275,059 | 140,471 |
| Restructured accruing loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate - mortgage |  | 14.229 |  | 10,023 |  | 8,123 | 16,129 |
| Consumer real estate - mortgage |  | 749 |  | 561 |  | 749 | 561 |
| Construction and land development |  | - |  | 223 |  | 223 |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 12,000 |  | 3,960 |  | 12,182 | 3,778 |
| Consumer and other |  | - |  | ,960 |  | 12,182 | 3,7 |
| Total restructured accruing loans |  | 26,978 |  | 14,767 |  | 21,277 | 20,468 |
| Total nonperforming assets and restructured accruing loans | \$ | 181,290 | \$ | 275,985 | \$ | 296,336 | \$ 160,939 |

Ratios:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Nonperforming loans to total loans } & 3.50 \%\end{array}$
Nonperforming assets to total loans plus other real estate owned
4.29\%
4.29\%

Nonperforming loans plus restructured accruing loans to total
loans and other real estate owned
$4.22 \%$
$3.10 \%$
(1) Nonperforming loans exclude loans that have been restructured and remain on accruing status. These loans are not considered to be nonperforming because they were performing loans immediately prior to their restructuring and are currently performing in accordance with the restructured terms.
(2) Approximately $\$ 33.2$ million of nonperforming loans included above are currently performing pursuant to their contractual terms.
(3) Increases in nonperforming loans are attributable to loans where we have discontinued the accrual of interest at some point during the year ended December 31, 2010. Increases in other real estate owned represent the value of properties that have been foreclosed upon during 2010. Increases in restructured accruing loans are those loans where we have granted the borrower a concession due to the deteriorating financial condition of the borrower during the year ended December 31, 2010. These concessions can be in the form of a reduced interest rate, extended maturity date or other matters.
(4) Decreases in nonperforming loans are primarily attributable to payments we have collected from borrowers, charge-offs of recorded balances and transfers of balances to other real estate owned during the year ended December 31, 2010. Decreases in other real estate owned represent either the sale, disposition or valuation adjustment on properties which had previously been foreclosed upon. Decreases in restructured accruing loans are those loans which were previously restructured in a prior calendar year whereby the borrower has satisfactorily performed in accordance with the restructured terms.

We have enhanced our credit administration resources dedicated to the residential construction and residential development portfolios by assigning senior executives and bankers to these portfolios. These individuals meet frequently to discuss the performance of the portfolio and specific relationships with emphasis on underperforming assets. Their objective is to identify relationships that warrant continued support and remediate those relationships that will tend to cause our portfolio to underperform over the long term. We reappraise real-estate related nonperforming assets to ascertain appropriate valuations, and we continue to systematically review these valuations as new data is received. Our policy is to maintain current appraisals with a maximum age of 9 months.

All nonaccruing loans are reviewed by and, in many cases, reassigned to a special assets officer that was not the individual responsible for originating the loan. If the loan is reassigned, the special assets officer is responsible for developing an action plan designed to minimize any future losses that may accrue to us. Typically, these special assets officers review our loan files, interview past loan officers assigned to the relationship, meet with borrowers, inspect collateral, reappraise collateral and/or consult with legal counsel.

The special assets officer then recommends an action plan to a committee of directors and/or senior associates including lenders and workout specialists, which could include foreclosure, restructuring the loan, issuing demand letters or other actions.

We discontinue the accrual of interest income when (1) there is a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower and full repayment of principal and interest is not expected or (2) the principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection.

Due to the weakening credit status of a borrower, we may elect to formally restructure certain loans to facilitate a repayment plan that minimizes the potential losses, if any, that we might incur. Restructured loans are classified as impaired loans and, if on nonaccruing status as of the date of restructuring, the loans are included in the nonperforming loan balances. Not included in nonperforming loans are loans that have been restructured that were performing as of the restructure date. At December 31, 2010, there were $\$ 20.5$ million of accruing restructured loans compared to $\$ 27.0$ million accruing restructured loans at December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2010, we owned $\$ 59.6$ million in real estate which we had acquired, usually through foreclosure, from borrowers compared to $\$ 29.6$ million at December 31, 2009; all of this real estate is located within our principal markets. We categorize other real estate owned into four types: new home construction, developed lots, undeveloped land, and other. Included in the "other" category are primarily condos, office buildings and existing homes. The following table shows the amounts of our other real estate owned (dollars in thousands) in such categories:

> New home construction Developed lots Undeveloped land Other

| December 31, |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 | 2009 |  |
| $\$$ | 10,370 | $\$$ |
|  | 14,829 |  |
|  | 18,675 | 656 |
|  | 16,526 | 22,317 |
| $\$$ | 59,608 | $\$ 29,601$ |

Lending Concentrations. We periodically analyze our commercial loan portfolio to determine if a concentration of credit risk exists to any one or more industries. We use broadly accepted industry classification systems in order to classify borrowers into various industry classifications. We have a credit exposure (loans outstanding plus unfunded commitments) exceeding $25 \%$ of Pinnacle National's total risk-based capital to borrowers in the following industries at December 31,2010 and the comparative balances for 2009 (dollars in thousands):

|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |  |  | Total Exposure at December 31, 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | tanding ncipal ances | Unfunded <br> Commitments | Total exposure |  |
| Lessors of nonresidential buildings | \$ | 461,547 | 40,721 | 502,268 | \$ 497,534 |
| Land subdividers |  | 124,556 | 19,994 | 144,550 | 218,634 |
| Lessors of residential buildings |  | 120,177 | 12,491 | 132,668 | 159,292 |

The decrease in our credit exposure to land subdividers is primarily due to our focused reduction of the construction and land development portfolio, in which the majority of these loans were included.

We also acquire certain loans from other banks. At December 31, 2010, we had acquired approximately $\$ 125.7$ million of commercial loans which were originated by other banks. Substantially all of these loans are to Nashville or Knoxville based businesses and were acquired in order to potentially develop other business opportunities with these firms.

Allowance for Loan Losses (allowance). We maintain the allowance at a level that our management deems appropriate to adequately cover the probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. As of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our allowance for loan losses was $\$ 82.6$ million and $\$ 92.0$ million, respectively, which our management deemed to be adequate at each of the respective dates. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses in 2010 as compared to 2009 is primarily the result of the decrease in the size of the loan portfolio. Our allowance for loan loss as a percentage of total loans has decreased from 2.58\% at December 31, 2009 to $2.57 \%$ at December 31,2010. The judgments and estimates associated with our allowance determination are described under "Critical Accounting Estimates" above.

The following table sets forth, based on management's best estimate, the allocation of the allowance to types of loans as well as the unallocated portion as of December 31 for each of the past five years and the percentage of loans in each category to total loans (dollars in thousands):

|  | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
|  | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Commercial real estate - Mortgage | \$19,252 | 34.1\% | \$ 22,505 | 31.4\% | \$11,523 | 28.7\% | \$ 8,068 | 25.9\% | \$ 4,550 | 19.0\% |
| Consumer real estate - Mortgage | 9,898 | 22.0\% | 10,725 | 21.2\% | 5,149 | 20.1\% | 1,890 | 19.6\% | 913 | 20.0\% |
| Construction and land development | 19,122 | 10.3\% | 23,027 | 14.7\% | 7,899 | 19.6\% | 4,897 | 21.2\% | 2,869 | 16.9\% |
| Commercial and industrial | 21,426 | 31.5\% | 26,332 | 30.0\% | 9,966 | 28.8\% | 11,660 | 28.9\% | 6,517 | 40.6\% |
| Consumer and other | 1,874 | 2.1\% | 2,456 | 2.7\% | 1,372 | 2.8\% | 1,400 | 4.4\% | 870 | 3.5\% |
| Unallocated | 11,003 | NA | 6,914 | NA | 575 | NA | 555 | NA | 399 | NA |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$82,575 | 100.0\% | \$91,959 | 100.0\% | \$36,484 | 100.0\% | \$ 28,470 | 100.0\% | \$ 16,118 | 100.0\% |

As the table reflects, our allowance for loan losses has decreased in each category except for the unallocated component which has increased as compared to previous periods due to the continued uncertainty in the economy. The unallocated category is intended to allow for losses that are inherent in our portfolio that we have not yet identified. The allocation by category is determined based on the assigned risk rating, if applicable, and environmental factors applicable to each category of loans. During 2010, we incorporated the results of our historical loan loss migration analysis into our determination of the allowance for loan losses. We believe the increased emphasis on our historical loss experience metrics provides a better estimate of losses inherent in our portfolio. This refinement of our methodology did not result in a material change in our allowance, although the amount allocated to each category was redistributed. During 2009, the allowance allocated to all loan categories increased compared to 2008 primarily due to an increase in historical loss allocation factors as well as increased weakness in the various environmental factors used to assess inherent risk in our loan portfolio. Additionally, increased specific loss allocations for individual impaired loans in the various loan categories contributed to the increased reserve allocations. Specific valuation allowances related to all impaired loans were approximately $\$ 8.9$ million at December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 19.3$ million at December 31, 2009. The decrease in the specific allocation for impaired assets between 2010 and 2009 was due to many factors, including a more critical review of secondary sources of repayment for certain collateral based real estate loans. In prior periods, the difference between the fair value of the property less costs to sell and the carrying amount may have been reflected as a specific allocation in our allowance. At December 31, 2010, no such specific allocation is included in our allowance for these particular impaired loans and the amount previously specifically allocated was charged off and contributed to increased charge-offs for these real estate related loans during 2010. Approximately $47 \%$ of the 2010 specific allocations were to commercial and industrial loans and $47 \%$ were to construction and land development loans. Additional information on the breakdown of the allowance between performance and impaired loans in various loan categories is provided in Note 6 to the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements."

The following is a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses for each of the years in the five year period ended December 31,2010 and the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans as of the end of each period (dollars in thousands):

Balance at beginning of period
Provision for loan losses
Allowance from acquisitions
Charged-off loans:
Commercial real estate - Mortgage
Consumer real estate - Mortgage
Construction and land development
Commercial and industrial (*)
Consumer and other Total charged-off loans

| For the year ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| $\$ 91,959$ | $\$ 36,484$ | $\$ 28,470$ | $\$ 16,118$ | $\$ 7,858$ |  |
| 53,695 | 116,758 | 11,214 | 4,720 | 3,732 |  |
| - | - | - | 8,695 | 5,102 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(9,041)$ | $(986)$ | $(62)$ | $(22)$ | - |  |
| $(6,769)$ | $(4,881)$ | $(1,144)$ | $(364)$ | $(46)$ |  |
| $(27,526)$ | $(23,952)$ | $(2,172)$ | $(271)$ | - |  |
| $(23,555)$ | $(31,134)$ | $(773)$ | $(326)$ | $(436)$ |  |
| $(652)$ | $(1,646)$ | $(982)$ | $(359)$ | $(336)$ |  |
| $(67,543)$ | $(62,599)$ | $(5,133)$ | $(1,342)$ | $(818)$ |  |

Recoveries of previously charged-off loans:
Commercial real estate - Mortgage
Consumer real estate - Mortgage

| 343 |  | 731 | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 377 | 622 | 3 | 125 | - |
| 2,618 | 139 | 55 | 1 | - |
| 874 | 258 | 844 | 51 | 166 |
| 252 | 297 | 300 | 102 | 78 |
| 4,464 | 1,316 | 1,933 | 279 | 244 |
| $(63,079)$ | $(61,283)$ | $(3,200)$ | $(1,063)$ | $(574)$ |
| $\$ 82,575$ | $\$ 91,959$ | $\$ 36,484$ | $\$ 28,470$ | $\$ 16,118$ |

Balance at end of period

| $2.57 \%$ | $2.58 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | $1.04 \%$ | $1.08 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$\left(^{*}\right)$ Included in commercial and industrial charged off loans in 2009 was a single $\$ 21.5$ million loan to a bank holding company located in Georgia.
As noted in our critical accounting policies, management assesses the adequacy of the allowance prior to the end of each calendar quarter. This assessment includes procedures to estimate the allowance and test the adequacy and appropriateness of the resulting balance. The level of the allowance is based upon management's evaluation of the loan portfolios, past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, the views of Pinnacle National's regulators, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay (including the timing of future payments), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, historical loss experience, industry and peer bank loan quality indications and other pertinent factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change.

Investments. Our investment portfolio, consisting primarily of Federal agency bonds, state and municipal securities and mortgagebacked securities, amounted to $\$ 1.0$ billion and $\$ 937.6$ million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our investment portfolio serves many purposes including serving as a stable source of income, collateral for public funds and as a potential liquidity source. A summary of certain aspects of our investment portfolio at December 31, 2010 follows:
Weighted average life
Weighted average coupo

| December 31, $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: |
| 4.09 years |
| $4.36 \%$ |
| $3.75 \%$ |

The following table shows the carrying value of investment securities according to contractual maturity classifications of (1) one year or less, (2) after one year through five years, (3) after five years through ten years, and (4) after ten years. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities of mortgage-backed securities because the mortgages underlying the securities may be called or prepaid with or without penalty. Therefore, these securities are not included in the maturity categories but are listed below these categories as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

## At December 31, 2010:

Securities available-for-sale:
Due in one year or less
Due in one year to five years
Due in five years to ten years
Due after ten years

Mortgage-backed securities Total available-for-sale securities

| U.S. Treasury <br> securities | U.S. government <br> agency securities | State and Municipal <br> securities | Corporate securities |  | Totals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Securities held-to-maturity:
Due in one year or less
Due in one year to five years
Due in five years to ten years
Due after ten years
Mortgage-backed securities
Total held-for-sale securities

| $\$$ | - | $-\%$ | $\$$ | - | $-\%$ | $\$$ | 1,839 | $3.4 \%$ | $\$$ | - | $-\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | 2,481 | $3.5 \%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |
|  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |
|  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | 1,839 | $3.4 \%$ |
| $\$$ | - | $-\%$ | $\$$ | - | $-\%$ | $\$$ | 4,320 | $3.5 \%$ | $\$$ | - | $-\%$ |

At December 31, 2009:
Securities available-for-sale:
Due in one year or less
Due in one year to five years
Due in five years to ten years
Due after ten years

Mortgage-backed securities
Total available-for-sale securities

| \$ | - | - \% | \$ 765 | 2.5\% | \$ | 588 | 3.7\% | \$ | - | - \% | \$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - \% | 2,560 | 1.7\% |  | 20,943 | 3.7\% |  | 1,773 | 3.4\% |  | 1,353 25,276 | $3.0 \%$ |
|  | - | - \% | 68,654 | 3.7\% |  | 50,853 | 3.9\% |  | 8,824 | 5.0\% |  | 128,331 | 3.9\% |
|  | - | -\% | 123,449 | 5.0\% |  | 134,911 | 4.3\% |  | - | - \% |  | 258,360 | 4.6\% |
| \$ | - | -\% | \$ 195,428 | 4.5\% | \$ | 207,295 | 4.1\% | \$ | 10,597 | 4.7\% |  | 413,320 | 4.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 517,692 | 4.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 931,012 | 4.5\% |

Securities held-to-maturity:
Due in one year or less
Due in one year to five years
Due in five years to ten years
Due after ten years

Mortgage-backed securities
Total held-for-sale securities

| $\$$ | - | $-\%$ | $\$$ | - | $-\%$ | $\$$ | 765 | $3.1 \%$ | $\$$ | - | $-\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | 5,117 | $3.4 \%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |
|  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | 660 | $3.8 \%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |
|  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | - | $-\%$ |  | 765 | 3.117 |
| $\$$ | - | $-\%$ | $\$$ | - | $-\%$ | $\$$ | 6,542 | $3.4 \%$ | $\$$ | - | $-\%$ |

We computed yields using coupon interest, adding discount accretion or subtracting premium amortization, as appropriate, on a ratable basis over the life of each security. We computed the weighted average yield for each maturity range using the acquisition price of each security in that range.

Deposits and Other Borrowings. We had approximately $\$ 3.83$ billion of deposits at December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 3.82$ billion at December 31, 2009. Our deposits consist of noninterest and interest-bearing demand accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts and time deposits. Additionally, we entered into agreements with certain customers to sell certain of our securities under agreements to repurchase the security the following day. These agreements (which are typically associated with comprehensive treasury management programs for our commercial clients and provide the client with short-term returns for their excess funds) amounted to $\$ 146.3$ million at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 275.5$ million at December 31, 2009. Additionally, at December 31, 2010, we had borrowed $\$ 121.4$ million in advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati compared to $\$ 212.7$ million at December 31, 2009.

Generally, we have classified our funding as core funding or non-core funding. Core funding consists of all deposits other than time deposits issued in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or greater. All other funding is deemed to be non-core. Non-core is further segmented between relationship based non-core funding and wholesale funding. The following table represents the balances of our deposits and other fundings and the percentage of each type to the total at December 31, 2010 and 2009 (dollars in thousands):

## Core funding:

Noninterest-bearing deposit accounts Interest-bearing demand accounts

| December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Percent | December 31, <br> 2009 | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 586,517$ | $14.0 \%$ | $\$$ | 498,087 | $11.3 \%$ |
|  | 573,670 | $13.7 \%$ | 483,274 | $11.0 \%$ |
| $1,596,306$ | $38.0 \%$ | $1,198,012$ | $27.2 \%$ |  |
| 361,476 | $8.6 \%$ | 407,312 | $9.2 \%$ |  |
|  | $3,117,969$ | $74.3 \%$ | $2,586,685$ | $58.7 \%$ |

Time deposit accounts less than $\$ 100,000$ Total core funding

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 188,510 | $4.5 \%$ | 228,941 | $5.2 \%$ |
| 512,349 | $12.2 \%$ | 636,521 | $14.4 \%$ |
| 146,294 | $3.5 \%$ | 275,465 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 847,153 | $20.2 \%$ | $1,140,927$ | $25.9 \%$ |

## Non-core funding: <br> Relationship based non-core funding:

Time deposit accounts greater than $\$ 100,000$ Reciprocating time deposits Other time deposits
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase Total relationship based non-core funding

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | $0.0 \%$ | 40,005 | $0.9 \%$ |
|  | 14,229 | $0.3 \%$ | 331,447 |
|  | 121,393 | $2.9 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
|  | 15,000 | $0.4 \%$ | 212,655 |
| 82,476 | $1.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |  |
| 233,098 | $5.5 \%$ | 15,000 | $0.3 \%$ |
|  | $1,080,251$ | $25.7 \%$ | 68,476 |
| $\$$ | $4,198,220$ | $100.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |

Our funding policies limit the amount of non-core funding we can utilize. Periodically, we may exceed our policy limitations, at which time management will develop plans to bring our core funding ratios back within compliance. At December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our core funding policies. As noted in the table above, our core funding as a percentage of total funding increased from $58.7 \%$ at December 31, 2009 to $74.3 \%$ at December 31, 2010. The reciprocating time deposit category consists of deposits we receive from a bank network (the "CDARS network") in connection with deposits of our customers in excess of our FDIC coverage limit that we place with the CDARS network. With the increase in FDIC coverage from $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 250,000$, the CDARS network which manages the reciprocating time deposit programs began placing funds in time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$ increments. The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increases deposit insurance coverage from $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 250,000$, and we expect this will cause us to change our deposit categories to reflect the new deposit limit including our core deposit definition. Continuing to grow our core deposit base is a key strategic objective of our firm.

The amount of time deposits as of December 31, 2010 amounted to $\$ 1.08$ billion. The following table shows our time deposits in denominations of under $\$ 100,000$ and those of denominations of $\$ 100,000$ and greater by category based on time remaining until maturity of (1) three months or less, (2) over three but less than six months, (3) over six but less than twelve months and (4) over twelve months and the weighted average rate for each category (dollars in thousands):

> Denominations less than $\$ 100,000$
> Three months or less
> Over three but less than six months Over six but less than twelve months Over twelve months

> Denomination \$100,000 and greater Three months or less Over three but less than six months Over six but less than twelve months Over twelve months

## Totals

| Balances | Weighted Avg. Rate |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 89,885 | $2.02 \%$ |
|  | 84,375 | $1.76 \%$ |
| 113,399 | $1.82 \%$ |  |
| 73,817 | $2.42 \%$ |  |
| 361,476 | $1.98 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| 308,799 | $1.40 \%$ |  |
| 164,574 | $2.04 \%$ |  |
| 157,397 | $2.14 \%$ |  |
|  | 84,318 | $2.66 \%$ |
|  | 715,088 | $1.86 \%$ |
| $\$$ | $1,076,564$ | $1.90 \%$ |

Subordinated debt. On December 29, 2003, we established PNFP Statutory Trust I; on September 15, 2005 we established PNFP Statutory Trust II; on September 7, 2006 we established PNFP Statutory Trust III and on October 31, 2007 we established PNFP Statutory Trust IV (Trust I; Trust II; Trust III, Trust IV or collectively, the Trusts). All are wholly-owned Pinnacle Financial subsidiaries that are statutory business trusts. We are the sole sponsor of the Trusts and acquired each Trust's common securities for $\$ 310,000 ; \$ 619,000 ; \$ 619,000$; and $\$ 928,000$, respectively. The Trusts were created for the exclusive purpose of issuing 30 -year capital trust preferred securities (Trust Preferred Securities) in the aggregate amount of $\$ 10,000,000$ for Trust I; $\$ 20,000,000$ for Trust II; $\$ 20,000,000$ for Trust III; and $\$ 30,000,000$ for Trust IV and using the proceeds to acquire junior subordinated debentures (Subordinated Debentures) issued by Pinnacle Financial. The sole assets of the Trusts are the Subordinated Debentures. At December 31,2010, our $\$ 2,476,000$ investment in the Trusts is included in other investments in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and our $\$ 82,476,000$ obligation is reflected as subordinated debt.

The Trust I Preferred Securities bear a floating interest rate based on a spread over 3-month LIBOR (3.10\% at December 31, 2010) which is set each quarter and matures on December 30, 2033. The Trust II Preferred Securities bear a floating interest rate based on a spread over 3-month LIBOR ( $1.70 \%$ at December 31, 2010) which is set each quarter and matures on September 30, 2035. The Trust III Preferred Securities bear a floating interest rate based on a spread over 3-month LIBOR ( $1.95 \%$ at December 31, 2010) which is set each quarter and mature on September 30, 2036. The Trust IV Preferred Securities bear a floating interest rate based on a spread over 3-month LIBOR ( $3.15 \%$ at December 31, 2010) which is set each quarter and matures on September 30, 2037.

Distributions are payable quarterly. Pinnacle Financial has informally agreed to obtain prior approval of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta before making these quarterly payments. To date all subordinated debt payments were approved by the Federal Reserve Bank including the payments due for the first quarter of 2011. The Trust Preferred Securities are subject to mandatory redemption upon repayment of the Subordinated Debentures at their stated maturity date or their earlier redemption in an amount equal to their liquidation amount plus accumulated and unpaid distributions to the date of redemption. We guarantee the payment of distributions and payments for redemption or liquidation of the Trust Preferred Securities to the extent of funds held by the Trusts. Pinnacle Financial's obligations under the Subordinated Debentures together with the guarantee and other back-up obligations, in the aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by Pinnacle Financial of the obligations of the Trusts under the Trust Preferred Securities.

The Subordinated Debentures are unsecured; bear interest at a rate equal to the rates paid by the Trusts on the Trust Preferred Securities and mature on the same dates as those noted above for the Trust Preferred Securities. Interest is payable quarterly. We may defer the payment of interest at any time for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters provided that the deferral period does not extend past the stated maturity. During any such deferral period, distributions on the Trust Preferred Securities will also be deferred and our ability to pay dividends on our common shares will be restricted.

Subject to approval by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Federal Reserve Bank) and the limitations on repurchase resulting from Pinnacle Financial's participation in the CPP, the Trust Preferred Securities may be redeemed prior to maturity at our option on or after September 17, 2008 for Trust I; on or after September 30, 2010 for Trust II; September 30, 2011 for Trust III and September 30, 2012 for Trust IV. The Trust Preferred Securities may also be redeemed at any time in whole (but not in part) in the event of
unfavorable changes in laws or regulations that result in (1) the Trust becoming subject to federal income tax on income received on the Subordinated Debentures, (2) interest payable by the parent company on the Subordinated Debentures becoming non-deductible for federal tax purposes, (3) the requirement for the Trust to register under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or (4) loss of the ability to treat the Trust Preferred Securities as "Tier I capital" under the Federal Reserve capital adequacy guidelines.

The Trust Preferred Securities for the Trusts qualify as Tier I capital under current regulatory definitions subject to certain limitations, however, under the Dodd-Frank Act, any additional issuances of trust preferred securities would not be eligible for Tier 1 Capital treatment. Debt issuance costs associated with Trust I of $\$ 45,000$ consisting primarily of underwriting discounts and professional fees are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. These debt issuance costs are being amortized over ten years using the straight-line method. There were no debt issuance costs associated with Trust II, Trust III or Trust IV.

On August 5, 2008, Pinnacle National entered into a $\$ 15$ million subordinated term loan with a regional bank. The loan bears interest at three month LIBOR plus $3.5 \%$, matures in 2015 and at December 31, 2010, $\$ 12$ million qualified as Tier 2 Capital for regulatory capital. The portion that qualifies as Tier 2 capital decreases by $\$ 3$ million at August 2011 and at each subsequent anniversary.

Capital Resources. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, our stockholders' equity amounted to $\$ 677.5$ million and $\$ 701.0$ million, respectively. Substantially all of the decrease in stockholders' equity resulted from our net loss in 2010.

On December 12, 2008, we issued 95,000 shares of preferred stock to the U.S. Treasury for $\$ 95$ million pursuant to the CPP. Additionally, we issued 534,910 common stock warrants to the U.S. Treasury as a condition to our participation in the CPP. The warrants have an exercise price of $\$ 26.64$ each, are immediately exercisable and expire 10 years from the date of issuance. The accrued dividend costs and the accretion of the discount recorded on the preferred stock totaled $\$ 6,142,000$ and $\$ 5,930,000$ during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Proceeds from this sale of preferred stock were contributed to Pinnacle National for general corporate purposes, including its lending activities.

The Series A preferred stock sold pursuant to the CPP is non-voting, other than having class voting rights on certain matters, and pays cumulative dividends quarterly at a rate of 5 percent per annum for the first five years and 9 percent thereafter. The preferred shares are only redeemable at our option under certain circumstances during the first three years and are redeemable thereafter without restriction. As a result of our participation in the CPP, our capital ratios have been further enhanced.

As stated previously, on June 16,2009 , we completed the sale of $8,855,000$ shares of our common stock in a public offering, resulting in net proceeds to Pinnacle Financial of approximately $\$ 109.0$ million. As a result, and pursuant to the terms of the warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with our participation in the CPP, the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with the CPP was reduced by $50 \%$, or 267,455 shares.

In the first quarter of 2010 , Pinnacle National informally agreed with the OCC that it would maintain a minimum Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of $8 \%$ and a minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of $12 \%$. At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle National's Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was $11.8 \%$, the total risk-based capital ratio was $13.4 \%$ and the leverage ratio was $9.2 \%$, compared to $10.7 \%, 12.3 \%$ and $8.7 \%$ at December 31,2009 , respectively.

Dividends. Pinnacle National is subject to restrictions on the payment of dividends to Pinnacle Financial under federal banking laws and the regulations of the OCC.

Pinnacle National is required by federal law to obtain the prior approval of the OCC for payments of dividends if the total of all dividends declared by its board of directors in any year will exceed (1) the total of Pinnacle National's net profits for that year, plus (2) Pinnacle National's retained net profits of the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. However, given the losses experienced by Pinnacle National during 2009 and 2010, Pinnacle National may not, without the prior approval of the OCC, pay any dividends to Pinnacle Financial until such time that current year profits exceed the net losses and dividends of the prior two years. Generally, federal regulatory policy discourages payment of holding company or bank dividends if the holding company or its subsidiaries are experiencing losses. Accordingly, until such time as it may receive dividends from Pinnacle National, Pinnacle Financial anticipates servicing its preferred stock dividend and subordinated indebtedness requirements from its available cash balances which totaled approximately $\$ 64.1$ million as of December 31, 2010. Pinnacle Financial has informally agreed to obtain prior approval of the Federal Reserve Bank before making such dividend payments. To date all dividend payments were approved by the Federal Reserve Bank including the payments due for the first quarter of 2011.

Pinnacle Financial has not paid any common stock dividends to date, nor does it anticipate paying dividends to its common shareholders for the foreseeable future. Future dividend policy will depend on Pinnacle Financial's earnings, capital position, financial condition and other factors.

## Market and Liquidity Risk Management

Our objective is to manage assets and liabilities to provide a satisfactory, consistent level of profitability within the framework of established liquidity, loan, investment, borrowing, and capital policies. Our Asset Liability Management Committee ("ALCO") is charged with the responsibility of monitoring these policies, which are designed to ensure acceptable composition of asset/liability mix. Two critical areas of focus for ALCO are interest rate sensitivity and liquidity risk management.

Interest Rate Sensitivity. In the normal course of business, we are exposed to market risk arising from fluctuations in interest rates. ALCO measures and evaluates the interest rate risk so that we can meet customer demands for various types of loans and deposits. ALCO determines the most appropriate amounts of on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet items. Measurements which we use to help us manage interest rate sensitivity include an earnings simulation model and an economic value of equity model. These measurements are used in conjunction with competitive pricing analysis.

Earnings simulation model. We believe that interest rate risk is best measured by our earnings simulation modeling. Forecasted levels of earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities, and off-balance sheet financial instruments are combined with ALCO forecasts of interest rates for the next 12 months and are combined with other factors in order to produce various earnings simulations. To limit interest rate risk, we have guidelines for our earnings at risk which seek to limit the variance of net interest income to less than a 20 percent decline for a gradual 300 basis point change up or down in rates from management's flat interest rate forecast over the next twelve months; to less than a 10 percent decline for a gradual 200 basis point change up or down in rates from management's flat interest rate forecast over the next twelve months; and to less than a 5 percent decline for a gradual 100 basis point change up or down in rates from management's flat interest rate forecast over the next twelve months.

Economic value of equity. Our economic value of equity model measures the extent that estimated economic values of our assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items will change as a result of interest rate changes. Economic values are determined by discounting expected cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, which establishes a base case economic value of equity. To help limit interest rate risk, we have a guideline stating that for an instantaneous 300 basis point change in interest rates up or down, the economic value of equity should not decrease by more than 30 percent from the base case; for a 200 basis point instantaneous change in interest rates up or down, the economic value of equity should not decrease by more than 20 percent; and for a 100 basis point instantaneous change in interest rates up or down, the economic value of equity should not decrease by more than 10 percent.

At December 31, 2010, our model results indicated that our balance sheet is slightly liability sensitive to parallel shifts in interest rates in increments of 100 to 200 basis points. The slight liability sensitivity present at the 100 to 200 bps increment level is primarily attributable to the fact that our loan floors will prevent any rise in yields on our loan portfolio from out-pacing the potential rise in deposit costs. We become asset-sensitive once those rate increments reach 200 to 250 basis points as we break through interest rate floors placed on variable and floating rate loans at a more substantial level. Absent any other asset liability strategies an interest rate increase of a 200 to 250 basis point level could result in slightly increased margins.

Each of the above analyses may not, on its own, be an accurate indicator of how our net interest income will be affected by changes in interest rates. Income associated with interest-earning assets and costs associated with interest-bearing liabilities may not be affected uniformly by changes in interest rates. In addition, the magnitude and duration of changes in interest rates may have a significant impact on net interest income. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods of repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities fluctuate in advance of changes in general market rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in general market rates. In addition, certain assets, such as adjustable rate mortgage loans, have features (generally referred to as interest rate caps and floors) which limit changes in interest rates. Prepayment and early withdrawal levels also could deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the maturity of certain instruments. The ability of many borrowers to service their debts also may decrease during periods of rising interest rates. ALCO reviews each of the above interest rate sensitivity analyses along with several different interest rate scenarios as part of its responsibility to provide a satisfactory, consistent level of profitability within the framework of established liquidity, loan, investment, borrowing, and capital policies.

We may also use derivative financial instruments to improve the balance between interest-sensitive assets and interest-sensitive liabilities and as one tool to manage our interest rate sensitivity while continuing to meet the credit and deposit needs of our customers. We enter into interest rate swaps ("swaps") to facilitate customer transactions and meet their financing needs. These swaps qualify as derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had not entered into any derivative contracts to assist managing our interest rate sensitivity.

Liquidity Risk Management. The purpose of liquidity risk management is to ensure that there are sufficient cash flows to satisfy loan demand, deposit withdrawals, and our other needs. Traditional sources of liquidity for a bank include asset maturities and growth in core deposits. A bank may achieve its desired liquidity objectives from the management of its assets and liabilities and by internally generated funding through its operations. Funds invested in marketable instruments that can be readily sold and the continuous maturing of other earning assets are sources of liquidity from an asset perspective. The liability base provides sources of liquidity through attraction of increased deposits and borrowing funds from various other institutions.

Changes in interest rates also affect our liquidity position. We currently price deposits in response to market rates and our management intends to continue this policy. If deposits are not priced in response to market rates, a loss of deposits could occur which would negatively affect our liquidity position.

Scheduled loan payments are a relatively stable source of funds, but loan payoffs and deposit flows fluctuate significantly, being influenced by interest rates, general economic conditions and competition. Additionally, debt security investments are subject to prepayment and call provisions that could accelerate their payoff prior to stated maturity. We attempt to price our deposit products to meet our asset/liability objectives consistent with local market conditions. Our ALCO is responsible for monitoring our ongoing liquidity needs. Our regulators also monitor our liquidity and capital resources on a periodic basis.

In addition, Pinnacle National is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati ("FHLB"). As a result, Pinnacle National receives advances from the FHLB, pursuant to the terms of various borrowing agreements, which assist it in the funding of its home mortgage and commercial real estate loan portfolios. Under the borrowing agreements with the FHLB, Pinnacle National has pledged certain qualifying residential mortgage loans and, pursuant to a blanket lien, all qualifying commercial mortgage loans as collateral. Additionally, Pinnacle Financial recognized a discount on FHLB advances in conjunction with previous acquisitions. The remaining discount was $\$ 406,000$ and $\$ 518,000$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectfully. At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle National had received advances from the FHLB totaling $\$ 120.99$ million at the following rates and maturities (dollars in thousands):

|  | Scheduled <br> Maturities | Weighted Average Interest Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | \$ 10,000 | 1.90\% |
| 2012 | 25,000 | 3.36\% |
| 2013 | 20,000 | 2.67\% |
| 2014 | 5,000 | 0.38\% |
| 2015 | 25,000 | 2.46\% |
| Thereafter | 35,987 | 2.81\% |
|  | \$ 120,987 |  |
| Weighted average interest rate |  | 2.72\% |

Pinnacle National also has accommodations of $\$ 100$ million with upstream correspondent banks for unsecured short-term advances. These accommodations have various covenants related to their term and availability, and in most cases must be repaid within less than a month. Although there were no amounts outstanding at December 31, 2010, for the year ended December 31, 2010, we averaged borrowings from correspondent banks of $\$ 260,000$ under such agreements. Additionally, Pinnacle National has approximately $\$ 820$ million in accommodations available through the Federal Reserve Bank discount window.

At December 31, 2010, brokered certificates of deposit approximated $\$ 14.2$ million which represented $0.3 \%$ of total fundings compared to $\$ 331.4$ million and $7.5 \%$ at December 31,2009 . We issue these brokered certificates through several different brokerage houses based on competitive bid. Typically, these funds are for varying maturities up to two years and are issued at rates which are competitive to rates we would be required to pay to attract similar deposits from the local market as well as rates for FHLB advances of similar maturities. Although we consider these deposits to be a ready source of liquidity under current market conditions, we began to reduce our reliance on these deposits throughout 2010.

Our short-term borrowings (borrowings which mature within the next fiscal year) consist primarily of securities sold under agreements to repurchase (these agreements are typically associated with comprehensive treasury management programs for our clients and provide them with short-term returns on their excess funds) and FHLB advances. Information concerning our short-term borrowings as of and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | At December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Amounts outstanding at year-end: |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 146,294 | \$ 275,465 | \$ 184,298 |
| Federal funds purchased | - | - | 71,643 |
| Holding Company line of credit | - |  | 18,000 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank short-term advances | 10,000 | 91,072 | 15,000 |
| Weighted average interest rates at year-end: |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 0.75\% | 0.71\% | 0.38\% |
| Federal funds purchased | - | - | 0.68\% |
| Holding Company line of credit | - | - | 1.71\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank short-term advances | 1.90\% | 2.41\% | 5.01\% |
| Maximum amount of borrowings at any month-end: |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 284,323 | \$ 321,508 | \$ 256,472 |
| Federal funds purchased |  | 38,255 | 81,545 |
| Holding Company line of credit | - | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank short-term advances | 75,980 | 116,436 | 55,000 |
| Average balances for the year: |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ 222,179 | \$ 250,435 | \$ 196,601 |
| Federal funds purchased | 260 | 13,422 | 25,835 |
| Holding Company line of credit |  | 8,877 | 13,525 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank short-term advances | 30,288 | 76,662 | 42,083 |
| Weighted average interest rates for the year: |  |  |  |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 0.79\% | 0.67\% | 1.36\% |
| Federal funds purchased | 1.04\% | 0.49\% | 2.47\% |
| Holding Company line of credit | - | 2.26\% | 4.19\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank short-term advances | 2.66\% | 2.20\% | 4.23\% |

At December 31, 2010, we had no significant commitments for capital expenditures. At this time, we believe our retail distribution network is substantially complete in the Nashville MSA. We expect further expansion into the Knoxville MSA beginning in 2012 but do not anticipate the construction of any new facilities in Knoxville during 2011. As a result, we anticipate that we will enter into contracts to buy property or construct branch facilities and/or lease agreements to lease facilities in the Knoxville MSA.

The following table presents additional information about our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010, which by their terms have contractual maturity and termination dates subsequent to December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Next 12 months | $\begin{gathered} 13-36 \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37-60 \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ | More than 60 months | Totals |
| Contractual obligations: $\quad$ - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 918,429 | 148,863 | 9,260 | 12 | \$ 1,076,564 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 146,294 |  | - | - | 146,294 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 10,000 | 45,000 | 30,000 | 35,987 | 120,987 |
| Subordinated debt |  | - | - | 97,476 | 97,476 |
| Minimum operating lease commitments | 3,771 | 7,464 | 7,044 | 36,570 | 54,849 |
| Totals | \$1,078,494 | 201,327 | 46,304 | 170,045 | \$ 1,496,170 |

Our management believes that we have adequate liquidity to meet all known contractual obligations and unfunded commitments, including loan commitments and reasonable borrower, depositor, and creditor requirements over the next twelve months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. At December 31, 2010, we had outstanding standby letters of credit of $\$ 75.2$ million and unfunded loan commitments outstanding of $\$ 848.0$ million. Because these commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and many will
expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment level does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. If needed to fund these outstanding commitments, Pinnacle National has the ability to liquidate Federal funds sold or securities available-for-sale, or on a short-term basis to borrow and purchase Federal funds from other financial institutions. The following table presents additional information about our unfunded commitments as of December 31,2010, which by their terms, have contractual maturity dates subsequent to December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

## Unfunded commitments:

Lines of credit
Letters of credit Totals

| At December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Next 12 <br> months | 13-36 <br> months | $\mathbf{3 7 - 6 0}$ <br> months | More than <br> 60 months | Totals |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | 492,486 | 99,843 | 110,488 | 145,206 | $\$$ | 848,023 |
|  | 71,082 | 4,090 | - | - | 75,172 |  |
| $\$$ | 563,568 | 103,933 | 110,488 | 145,206 | $\$$ | 923,195 |

## Impact of Inflation

The consolidated financial statements and related consolidated financial data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and practices within the banking industry which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than the effects of general levels of inflation.

## Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. ASU 2010-20 provides enhanced disclosures related to the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses, and provides that new and existing disclosures should be disaggregated based on how an entity develops its allowance for credit losses and how it manages credit exposures. Under the provisions of ASU 2010-20, additional disclosures required for financing receivables include information regarding the aging of past due receivables, credit quality indicators, and modifications of financing receivables. The provisions of ASU 2010-20 are effective for periods ending after December 15,2010 , with the exception of the amendments to the rollforward of the allowance for credit losses which are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2010. Comparative disclosures are required only for periods ending subsequent to initial adoption. Pinnacle Financial adopted the provisions of ASU 2010-20 and has provided the required disclosures in the consolidated financial statements provided herein. The disclosures about the modifications have been deferred to align with the proposed ASU, Receivables: Clarifications to Accounting for Troubled Debt Restructuring by Creditors, which has not yet been issued.

In 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-11, Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives. ASU 2010-11 amends ASC 815 to provide clarifying language regarding when embedded credit derivative features are not considered embedded derivatives subject to potential bifurcation and separate accounting. The provisions of ASU 2010-11 are effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2010 and require re-evaluation of certain preexisting contracts to determine whether the accounting for such contracts is consistent with the amended guidance in ASU 2010-11. If the fair value option is elected for an instrument upon adoption of the amendments to ASC 815 , re-evaluation of such preexisting contracts is not required. The adoption of this standard did not impact the operating results of Pinnacle Financial.

In 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events. This guidance amends FASB ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events. so that SEC filers no longer are required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in originally issued and revised financial statements. SEC filers must evaluate subsequent events through the date the financial statements are issued.

In 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This update requires reporting entities to make new disclosures about recurring or nonrecurring fair-value measurements including significant transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair-value measurements and information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair-value measurements. The ASU also clarified existing fair-value measurement disclosure guidance about the level of disaggregation, inputs, and valuation techniques. This guidance related to transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 fair-value measurements was effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. The guidance related to Level 3 fair-value measurements is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of the Level 1
and Level 2 guidance did not have a material effect on the financial statements. Pinnacle Financial does not expect the adoption of the Level 3 guidance to have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-17, Consolidations which amended guidance on accounting for variable interest entities (VIEs). This guidance replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise might have a controlling financial interest in a VIE. The new, more qualitative evaluation focuses on who has the power to direct the significant economic activities of the VIE and also who has the obligation to absorb losses or rights to receive benefits from the VIE. It also requires an ongoing reassessment of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE and calls for certain expanded disclosures about an enterprise's involvement with variable interest entities. The new guidance was adopted by Pinnacle Financial on January 1,2010 . The new guidance did not have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-16, Transfers and Servicing amended previous guidance on accounting for transfers of financial assets. The amended guidance eliminates the concept of qualifying special-purpose entities and requires that these entities be evaluated for consolidation under applicable accounting guidance, and it also removes the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitizations when control over the transferred assets had not been surrendered. Based on this new standard, many types of transferred financial assets that would previously have been derecognized will now remain on the transferor's financial statements. The guidance also requires enhanced disclosures about transfers of financial assets and the transferor's continuing involvement with those assets and related risk exposure. Pinnacle Financial adopted this new guidance on January 1, 2010. Adoption of this new guidance did not have a significant impact on its financial condition or results of operations, given Pinnacle Financial's limited involvement in financial asset transfer activities.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Other than those pronouncements issued and adopted during 2010 as discussed above, there were no other recently issued accounting pronouncements that are expected to impact Pinnacle Financial.

## PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## ASSETS

Cash and noninterest-bearing due from banks
Interest-bearing due from banks
Federal funds sold and other
Short-term discount notes
Cash and cash equivalents
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value
Securities held-to-maturity (fair value of $\$ 4,411,856$ and $\$ 6,737,336$ at
December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively)
Mortgage loans held-for-sale
Loans
Less allowance for loan losses
Loans, net
Premises and equipment, net
Other investments
Accrued interest receivable
Goodwill
Core deposits and other intangible assets
Other real estate owned
Other assets
Total assets

| December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| \$ | 40,154,247 | \$ | 55,651,737 |
|  | 140,647,481 |  | 19,338,499 |
|  | 7,284,685 |  | 41,611,838 |
|  | 499,768 |  | 50,000,000 |
|  | 188,586,181 |  | 166,602,074 |
|  | 1,014,316,831 |  | 931,012,091 |
|  | 4,320,486 |  | 6,542,496 |
|  | 16,206,034 |  | 12,440,984 |
|  | 3,212,440,190 |  | 3,563,381,741 |
|  | $(82,575,235)$ |  | (91,958,789) |
|  | 3,129,864,955 |  | 3,471,422,952 |
|  | 82,374,228 |  | 80,650,936 |
|  | 42,282,255 |  | 40,138,660 |
|  | 16,364,573 |  | 19,083,468 |
|  | 244,090,311 |  | 244,107,086 |
|  | 10,705,105 |  | 13,686,091 |
|  | 59,608,224 |  | 29,603,439 |
|  | 100,284,697 |  | 113,520,727 |
| \$ | 4,909,003,880 | \$ | 5,128,811,004 |

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EOUITY

Deposits:
Non-interest-bearing
Interest-bearing
Savings and money market accounts
Time
Total deposits
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase
Federal Home Loan Bank advances
Subordinated debt
Accrued interest payable
Other liabilities
Total liabilities

| $\$$ | $586,516,637$ | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $57,67,188$ | $498,087,015$ |  |
|  | $483,273,551$ |  |
| $1,596,306,386$ |  | $1,198,012,445$ |
| $1,076,564,179$ |  | $1,644,226,290$ |
| $3,833,057,390$ |  | $3,823,599,301$ |
| $146,294,379$ |  | $275,465,096$ |
|  | $121,393,026$ |  |
| $97,476,000$ |  | $212,654,782$ |
| $5,197,925$ |  | $9,476,000$ |
|  | $28,127,875$ | $12,039,801$ |
| $4,231,546,595$ | $4,427,790,823$ |  |

Stockholders' equity:
Preferred stock, no par value; $10,000,000$ shares authorized; 95,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009

| $90,788,682$ | $89,462,633$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $33,870,380$ | $33,029,719$ |
| $3,348,402$ | $3,348,402$ |
| $530,829,019$ | $524,366,603$ |
| $12,996,202$ | $43,372,743$ |
| $5,624,600$ | $7,440,081$ |
|  | $677,457,285$ |
| $\$ 4,909,003,880$ | $\$ 8,128,820,181$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## Interest income:

Loans, including fees
Securities:
Taxable
Tax-exempt
Federal funds sold and other Total interest income

| For the years ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |
| \$ | 162,901,763 | \$ | 162,271,036 | \$ | 175,128,097 |
|  | 30,306,189 |  | 35,056,848 |  | 23,431,746 |
|  | 7,916,596 |  | 6,540,653 |  | 5,399,312 |
|  | 2,223,816 |  | 1,847,661 |  | 2,122,343 |
|  | 203,348,364 |  | 205,716,198 |  | 206,081,498 |
|  | 49,856,815 |  | 63,128,940 |  | 76,998,042 |
|  | 1,749,905 |  | 1,689,073 |  | 2,666,760 |
|  | 7,368,258 |  | 10,106,922 |  | 12,201,797 |
|  | 58,974,978 |  | 74,924,935 |  | 91,866,599 |
|  | 144,373,386 |  | 130,791,263 |  | 114,214,899 |
|  | 53,695,454 |  | 116,758,231 |  | 11,213,543 |
|  | 90,677,932 |  | 14,033,032 |  | 103,001,356 |
|  | 9,591,543 |  | 10,199,838 |  | 10,735,080 |
|  | 5,050,105 |  | 4,181,101 |  | 4,923,840 |
|  | 3,864,340 |  | 4,025,839 |  | 3,520,205 |
|  | 2,872,490 |  | 2,590,997 |  | 2,178,112 |
|  | 4,085,657 |  | 4,928,542 |  | 4,044,441 |
|  | 2,623,674 |  | 6,462,241 |  | - |
|  | 20,702 |  | 15,970 |  | 1,030,231 |
|  | 8,206,535 |  | 7,247,098 |  | 8,286,458 |
|  | 36,315,046 |  | 39,651,626 |  | 34,718,367 |

## Noninterest expense:

Salaries and employee benefits
Equipment and occupancy
Other real estate expense
Marketing and other business development
Postage and supplies
Amortization of intangibles
Merger related expense
Other noninterest expense
Total noninterest expense
Income (loss) before income taxes
Income tax expense (benefit)
Net income (loss)
Preferred stock dividends
Accretion on preferred stock discount
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders

| $64,628,991$ | $56,709,814$ | $49,396,022$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $21,077,223$ | $18,056,080$ | $16,600,272$ |
| $29,210,197$ | $14,257,005$ | $1,403,022$ |
| $3,233,224$ | $2,533,953$ | $1,915,747$ |
| $2,538,021$ | $2,929,447$ | $2,953,013$ |
| $2,980,986$ | $3,185,111$ | $3,100,599$ |
| - | - | $7,116,770$ |
| $23,214,670$ | $20,906,040$ | $11,993,345$ |
| $146,883,312$ | $118,577,450$ | $94,478,790$ |
| $(19,890,334)$ | $(64,892,792)$ | $43,240,933$ |
| $4,410,158$ | $(29,392,825)$ | $12,367,015$ |
| $(24,300,492)$ | $(35,499,967)$ | $30,873,918$ |
| $4,815,973$ | $4,815,972$ | 263,889 |
|  | $1,326,049$ | $1,113,986$ |
| $\$(30,442,514)$ | $\$(41,429,925)$ | $\$ 30,55,451$ |

Per share information:
Basic net income (loss) per common share available to common stockholders
Diluted net income (loss) per common share available to common stockholders
Weighted average common shares outstanding:
Basic

Diluted

| $(\$ 0.93)$ | $(\$ 1.46)$ | $\$ 1.34$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(\$ 0.93)$ | $(\$ 1.46)$ | $\$ 1.27$ |
| $32,789,871$ | $28,395,618$ | $22,793,699$ |
| $32,789,871$ | $28,395,618$ | $24,053,972$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

For the each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010


See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|  | For the years ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ $(24,300,492)$ | \$ $(35,499,967)$ | \$ 30,873,918 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Net amortization/accretion of premium/discount on securities | 5,667,069 | 4,735,074 | 726,538 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,500,075 | 10,804,664 | 7,285,781 |
| Provision for loan losses | 53,695,454 | 116,758,231 | 11,213,543 |
| Net gains on sale of premises and equipment | $(20,702)$ | $(15,970)$ | (1,030,231) |
| Gains on sales of investment securities, net | $(2,623,674)$ | $(6,462,241)$ | - |
| Gain on loan sales, net | $(4,085,657)$ | $(4,928,542)$ | (4,044,441) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 3,981,013 | 3,251,003 | 2,347,429 |
| Deferred tax expense (benefit) | 17,822,071 | $(24,645,791)$ | $(2,619,989)$ |
| Losses on foreclosed real estate and other investments | 25,459,851 | 11,987,395 | 1,165,145 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock compensation | $(16,776)$ | $(53,538)$ | $(875,114)$ |
| Mortgage loans held for sale: |  |  |  |
| Loans originated | $(444,833,043)$ | $(626,402,322)$ | $(293,906,669)$ |
| Loans sold | 445,227,014 | 644,098,081 | 283,449,870 |
| Increase (decrease) in other assets | 27,386,750 | 16,230,863 | $(15,654,171)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 14,730,158 | $(19,551,401)$ | 14,701,265 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 129,589,112 | 90,305,539 | 33,632,874 |
| Investing activities: |  |  |  |
| Activities in available-for-sale securities: |  |  |  |
| Purchases | $(548,916,132)$ | (721,011,285) | $(531,736,803)$ |
| Sales | 146,082,535 | 346,895,583 | - |
| Maturities, prepayments and calls | $322,414,157$ | 284,950,245 | 200,164,277 |
| Activities in held-to-maturity securities: |  |  |  |
| Sales | 954,388 | - | - |
| Maturities, prepayments and calls | 1,235,612 | 3,960,000 | 16,420,000 |
| Increase (decrease) in loans, net | 194,133,354 | $(329,573,695)$ | $(636,979,248)$ |
| Purchases of premises and equipment and software | $(9,608,409)$ | $(19,191,810)$ | $(9,449,780)$ |
| Proceeds from the sale of premises and equipment | 20,702 | 15,970 | 2,821,702 |
| Cash and cash equivalents used for acquisitions | - | - | $(3,800,000)$ |
| Other investments | (1,878,676) | $(6,859,089)$ | $(9,712,133)$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 104,437,531 | $(440,814,081)$ | $(972,271,985)$ |
| Financing activities: |  |  |  |
| Net increase in deposits | 9,688,996 | 290,833,250 | 610,090,035 |
| Net increase (decrease) in repurchase agreements | (129,170,717) | 91,167,303 | 28,226,963 |
| Net increase (decrease) in Federal funds purchased | - | $(71,643,000)$ | 31,781,000 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank: |  |  |  |
| Issuances | 90,000,000 | 70,000,000 | 120,531,743 |
| Payments | $(181,149,655)$ | $(41,153,298)$ | $(29,163,002)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in borrowings under lines of credit | - | $(18,000,000)$ | 9,000,000 |
| Proceeds from issuance of subordinated debt | - |  | 15,000,000 |
| Exercise of common stock warrants | 285,000 | 300,000 | 250,000 |
| Exercise of common stock options and stock appreciation rights | 3,037,064 | 666,034 | 3,403,457 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock compensation | 16,776 | 53,538 | 875,114 |
| Preferred dividends paid | $(4,750,000)$ | (4,393,751) | - |
| Proceeds from the sale of common stock, net of expenses | - | 109,027,785 | 21,454,758 |
| Proceeds from issuances of preferred stock and common stock |  |  |  |
| warrants, net of expenses | - | - | 94,937,935 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (212,042,536) | 426,857,861 | 906,388,003 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 21,984,107 | 76,349,319 | $(32,251,108)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 166,602,074 | 90,252,755 | 122,503,863 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 188,586,181 | \$166,602,074 | \$ 90,252,755 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business - Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. (Pinnacle Financial) is a bank holding company whose primary business is conducted by its wholly-owned subsidiary, Pinnacle National Bank (Pinnacle National). Pinnacle National is a commercial bank headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee. Pinnacle National provides a full range of banking services, including investment, mortgage, and insurance services, and comprehensive wealth management services, in its primary market areas of the Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin, Tennessee and Knoxville, Tennessee Metropolitan Statistical Areas.

Basis of Presentation - These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Pinnacle Financial and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. PNFP Statutory Trust I, PNFP Statutory Trust II, PNFP Statutory Trust III, PNFP Statutory Trust IV and Collateral Plus, LLC, are affiliates of Pinnacle Financial and are included in these consolidated financial statements pursuant to the equity method of accounting. Significant intercompany transactions and accounts are eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the balance sheet date and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term include the determination of the allowance for loan losses, determination of any impairment of intangible assets, the valuation of other real estate owned and the determination of the valuation of deferred tax assets.

Impairment - Long-lived assets, including purchased intangible assets subject to amortization, such as core deposit intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of would be separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated.

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment at least annually and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. That annual assessment date for Pinnacle Financial is September 30. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds fair value. The goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first step, used to identify potential impairment, involves comparing each reporting unit's estimated fair value to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the carrying value exceeds estimated fair value, there is an indication of potential impairment and the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment.

If required, the second step involves calculating an implied fair value of goodwill for each reporting unit for which the first step indicated potential impairment. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in a manner similar to the amount of goodwill calculated in a business combination, by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit, as determined in the first step, over the aggregate estimated fair values of the individual assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying value of goodwill assigned to the reporting unit, there is no impairment. If the carrying value of goodwill assigned to a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess. Subsequent reversal of goodwill impairment losses is not permitted.

Pinnacle Financial's stock price has historically traded above its book value per common share and tangible book value per common share. At September 30, 2010, the stock price was trading below its book value per common share which could be an indicator of goodwill impairment. Pinnacle Financial performed its annual evaluation of whether there was goodwill impairment as of September 30, 2010, and determined that there was no impairment. At December 31, 2010, the stock price was trading at levels that were higher than those that were utilized in our impairment testing at September 30, 2010. Pinnacle Financial determined that there were no other indicators of impairment and concluded that no further assessment of goodwill impairment was required as of December 31, 2010. Should our stock price decline further below our book value per common share, an impairment charge to goodwill may be required. Should it be determined in a future period that the goodwill has been impaired, then a charge to earnings will be recorded in the period such determination is made.

## PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash Equivalents and Cash Flows - Cash on hand, cash items in process of collection, amounts due from banks, Federal funds sold, short-term discount notes and securities purchased under agreements to resell, with original maturities within ninety days, are included in cash and cash equivalents. The following supplemental cash flow information addresses certain cash payments and noncash transactions for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 as follows:
Cash Payments:
Interest
Income taxes paid (refunded)
Noncash Transactions:
Loans charged-off to the allowance for loan losses
Loans foreclosed upon with repossessions transferred to other real estate
ents:
Interest
Income taxes paid (refunded)

Loans charged-off to the allowance for loan losses
Loans foreclosed upon with repossessions transferred to other real estate

| For the years ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| \$60,675,865 | \$77,333,798 | \$ 96,284,366 |
| $(4,722,776)$ | 3,200,000 | 12,600,000 |
| 67,543,395 | 62,598,965 | 5,133,274 |
| 92,873,551 | 58,974,257 | 29,127,163 |

Securities - Securities are classified based on management's intention on the date of purchase. All debt securities classified as available-for-sale are recorded at fair value with any unrealized gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of the deferred income tax effects. Securities that Pinnacle Financial has both the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and are carried at historical cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts.

Interest and dividends on securities, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts calculated under the effective interest method, are included in interest income. For certain securities, amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts is computed based on the anticipated life of the security which may not be the stated life of the security. Realized gains and losses from the sale of securities are determined using the specific identification method, and are recorded on the trade date of the sale.

Other-than-temporary Impairment - A decline in the fair value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security below cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary results in a reduction in the carrying amount of the security. To determine whether impairment is other-than-temporary, management considers whether the entity expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security by reviewing the present value of the future cash flows associated with the security. The shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis is referred to as a credit loss and is deemed to be other-thantemporary impairment. If a credit loss is identified, the credit loss is recognized as a charge to earnings and a new cost basis for the security is established. If management concludes that no credit loss exists and it is not more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell the security before maturity, then the security is not other-than-temporarily impaired and the shortfall is recorded as a component of equity.

Mortgage loans held-for-sale - Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value as determined on a loan-by-loan basis. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income. Realized gains and losses are recognized when legal title to the loans has been transferred to the purchaser and payments have been received and are reflected in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in gains on loans sold. Pinnacle Financial does not securitize mortgage loans and does not retain the servicing for loans sold.

Loans - Loans are reported at their outstanding principal balances less unearned income, the allowance for loan losses and any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income on loans is accrued based on the principal balance outstanding. Loan origination fees, net of certain loan origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to the related loan yield using a method which approximates the interest method. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, net deferred loan fees of $\$ 579,000$ and $\$ 855,000$, respectively, were included in loans on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when there is a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower and full repayment of principal and interest is not expected or the principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Generally, all interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonacerual status is reversed against current income. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received while the loan is classified as nonaccrual, but is reviewed on a case-by-case basis. A nonaccrual loan is returned to accruing status once the loan has been brought current and collection is reasonably assured or the loan has been "well-secured" through other techniques. Past due status is determined based on the contractual due date per the underlying loan agreement.

Generally, all loans that are placed on nonaccrual are further classified as impaired loans. At December 31, 2010, there were no loans classified as nonaccrual that were not deemed to be impaired. A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable Pinnacle
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Financial will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Individually identified impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected payments using the loan's original effective rate as the discount rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds the measure of fair value, a valuation allowance is established as a component of the allowance for loan losses or the excess is charged off. Changes to the valuation allowance are recorded as a component of the provision for loan losses. Pinnacle Financial does not have any loan portfolios that are collectively evaluated for impairment.

Loan losses are charged off when management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. As such, a loan may be partially charged-off after a "confirming event" has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely.

Allowance for loan losses - The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that management believes to be adequate to absorb probable losses in the loan portfolio. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when they are known. Subsequent recoveries are credited to the allowance. Management's determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on an evaluation of the portfolio, current economic conditions, volume, growth, composition of the loan portfolio, homogeneous pools of loans, risk ratings of specific loans, historical loan loss factors, loss experience of various loan segments, identified impaired loans and other factors related to the portfolio. This evaluation is performed quarterly and is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that are susceptible to significant change including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on any impaired loans.

In assessing the adequacy of the allowance, we also consider the results of our ongoing independent loan review process. We undertake this process both to ascertain whether there are loans in the portfolio whose credit quality has weakened over time and to assist in our overall evaluation of the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio. Our loan review process includes the judgment of management, independent loan reviewers, and reviews that may have been conducted by third-party reviewers. We incorporate relevant loan review results in the loan impairment determination. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, will periodically review Pinnacle Financial's allowance for loan losses, and may require Pinnacle Financial to record adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

As part of management's quarterly assessment of the allowance, management divides the loan portfolio into five segments: commercial, commercial real estate, small business lending, consumer and consumer real estate. Each segment is then analyzed such that an allocation of the allowance is estimated for each loan segment. During 2010, we incorporated the results of our proprietary historical loan loss migration analysis into our determination of the allowance for loan losses. We believe the increased emphasis on our historical loss experience metrics provides a better estimate of losses inherent in our portfolio. This refinement of our methodology did not result in a material change in our allowance.

The allowance allocation for commercial, commercial real estate loans and small business lending begins with a process of estimating the probable losses inherent for these types of loans. The estimates for these loans are established by category and based on our internal system of credit risk ratings and historical loss data. The estimated loan loss allocation rate for our internal system of credit risk grades for commercial and commercial real estate loans is based our historical loss experience adjusted for current factors and industry loss factors. Our historical loss experience is based on a migration analysis of all loans that were charged-off during prior years. The migration analysis assists in evaluating loan loss allocation rates for the various risk grades assigned to loans in our portfolio. We compare the migration analysis results to the other factors used to determine the loss allocation rates for the commercial, commercial real estate and small business lending portfolios. The loss allocation rates from our migration analysis and the industry loss factors are weighted to determine a weighted average loss allocation rate for these portfolios.

The allowance allocation for consumer and consumer real estate loans which includes installment, home equity, consumer mortgages, automobiles and others is established for each of the categories by estimating probable losses inherent in that particular category of consumer and consumer real estate loans. The estimated loan loss allocation rate for each category is based on consideration of our actual historical loss rates and industry loss rates. Consumer and consumer real estate loans are evaluated as a group by category (i.e. retail real estate, installment, etc.) rather than on a loan credit risk rating basis because these loans are smaller and homogeneous. We weight the allocation methodologies for the consumer and consumer real estate portfolios and determine a weighted average allocation for these portfolios.

The estimated loan loss allocation for all five loan portfolio segments is then adjusted for management's estimate of probable losses for several environmental factors. The allocation for environmental factors is particularly subjective and does not lend itself to exact mathematical calculation. This amount represents estimated probable inherent credit losses which exist, but have not yet been
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identified, as of the balance sheet date, and is based upon quarterly trend assessments in delinquent and nonaccrual loans, unanticipated charge-offs, credit concentration changes, prevailing economic conditions, changes in lending personnel experience, changes in lending policies or procedures and other influencing factors. These environmental factors are considered for each of the five loan segments, and the allowance allocation, as determined by the processes noted above for each component, is increased or decreased based on the incremental assessment of these various environmental factors. The assessment also includes an unallocated component. We believe that the unallocated amount is warranted for inherent factors that cannot be practically assigned to individual loan categories, such as the imprecision in the overall loss allocation measurement process, the volatility of the local economies in the markets we serve and imprecision in our credit risk ratings process.

Transfers of Financial Assets - Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from Pinnacle Financial, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) Pinnacle Financial does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before maturity.

Premises and Equipment and Leaseholds - Premises and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization computed principally by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets or the expected lease terms for leasehold improvements, whichever is shorter. Useful lives for all premises and equipment range between three and thirty years.

Pinnacle National is the lessee with respect to several office locations. All such leases are being accounted for as operating leases within the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Several of these leases include rent escalation clauses. Pinnacle National expenses the costs associated with these escalating payments over the life of the expected lease term using the straight-line method. At December 31, 2010, the deferred liability associated with these escalating rentals was approximately $\$ 1,455,000$ and is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Other Investments -Pinnacle Financial is required to maintain certain minimum levels of equity investments with certain regulatory and other entities in which Pinnacle Financial has an ongoing business relationship based on the common stock and surplus (Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta) or outstanding borrowings (Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati) of Pinnacle National. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the cost of these investments was $\$ 33,018,000$ and $\$ 31,393,000$, respectively. Pinnacle Financial determined that it is not practicable to estimate the fair value of these investments. Additionally, Pinnacle Financial has recorded certain investments in other entities, at fair value, of $\$ 2,693,000$ and $\$ 1,999,000$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. During 2010 and 2009 , Pinnacle Financial recorded gains of $\$ 272,000$ and losses of $\$ 126,000$, respectively, due to changes in the fair value of these investments. These investments are reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets in other investments.

Other Real Estate Owned - Other real estate owned (OREO) represents real estate foreclosed upon by Pinnacle National through loan defaults by customers. Substantially all of these amounts relate to lots, homes and residential development projects that are either completed or are in various stages of construction for which Pinnacle Financial believes it has adequate collateral. Upon foreclosure, the property is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, based on appraised value, less selling costs estimated as of the date acquired with any loss recognized as a charge-off through the allowance for loan losses. Additional OREO losses for subsequent valuation downward adjustments are determined on a specific property basis and are included as a component of noninterest expense along with holding costs. Any gains or losses realized at the time of disposal are reflected in noninterest income or noninterest expense, as applicable.

Included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 is $\$ 72,530,000$ of OREO with a related valuation allowance of $\$ 12,922,000$. At December 31, 2009, Pinnacle had OREO of $\$ 32,607,000$ with a related valuation allowance of $\$ 3,004,000$. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, Pinnacle Financial incurred $\$ 29,210,000, \$ 14,257,000$, and $\$ 1,403,000$, respectively, of foreclosed real estate expense, of which $\$ 25,732,000, \$ 11,861,000$, and $\$ 847,000$ were realized losses on dispositions and holding losses on valuations of OREO properties during 2010,2009 and 2008, respectively.

Other Assets - Included in other assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, is approximately $\$ 1,509,000$ and $\$ 1,540,000$, respectively, of computer software related assets, net of amortization. This software supports Pinnacle Financial's primary data systems and relates to amounts paid to vendors for installation and development of such systems. These amounts are amortized on a straight-line basis over periods of three to seven years. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, Pinnacle Financial's amortization expense was approximately $\$ 754,000, \$ 550,000$, and $\$ 453,000$, respectively. Software maintenance fees are capitalized in other assets and amortized over the term of the maintenance agreement.
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Pinnacle National is the owner and beneficiary of various life insurance policies on certain key executives and former directors of Cavalry Bancorp, Inc. (Cavalry) which Pinnacle Financial acquired in 2006, including policies that were acquired in its merger with Cavalry. Collectively, these policies are reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at their respective cash surrender values. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the aggregate cash surrender value of these policies, which is reflected in other assets, was $\$ 47,724,000$ and $\$ 46,811,000$, respectively. Noninterest income related to these policies was $\$ 913,000, \$ 518,000$, and $\$ 869,000$ during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Also included in other assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009 is $\$ 544,000$ and $\$ 708,000$, respectively, which is related to loan participations which have been sold to correspondent banks. These amounts represent the present value, net of amortization, of the future net cash flows retained by Pinnacle Financial and is referred to as the loan participation interest rate spread. These amounts are amortized against net interest income over the life of the loan. Amortization of these amounts was $\$ 91,000, \$ 155,000$, and $\$ 358,000$ for 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

In November 2009, the FDIC issued a rule that required all insured depository institutions, with limited exceptions, to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010,2011 and 2012. The remaining $\$ 13.6$ million in pre-paid deposit insurance is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010.

Derivative Instruments - In accordance with ASC Topic 815 Derivatives and Hedging, all derivative instruments are recorded on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at their respective fair values.

The accounting for changes in fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and if so, on the reason for holding it. If the derivative instrument is not designated as a hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recognized in earnings in the period of change. None of the derivatives utilized by Pinnacle Financial have been designated as a hedge.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase - Pinnacle National routinely sells securities to certain treasury management customers and then repurchases these securities the next day. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are reflected as a secured borrowing in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at the amount of cash received in connection with each transaction.

Investment Services and Trust Fees - Investment services and trust fees are recognized when earned. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, Pinnacle Financial had accumulated approximately $\$ 1.04$ billion and $\$ 933$ million, respectively, in brokerage assets under management. Additionally, the trust department had accumulated approximately $\$ 693$ million and $\$ 635$ million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, in trust assets under management.

Insurance Sales Commissions - Insurance sales commissions are recognized as of the effective date of the policy and when the premium due under the policy can be reasonably estimated and when the premium is billable to the client, less a provision for commission refunds in the event of policy cancellation prior to termination date.

Advertising - Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expenses are included as a component of marketing and other business development and totaled $\$ 259,000, \$ 43,000$ and $\$ 24,000$ for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Income Taxes - Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. The net deferred tax asset is reflected as a component of other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. A valuation allowance is required for deferred tax assets if, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the asset may not be realized due to the inability to generate sufficient taxable income in the period and/or of the character necessary to utilize the benefit of the deferred tax asset.

Income tax expense or benefit for the year is allocated among continuing operations and other comprehensive income (loss), as applicable. The amount allocated to continuing operations is the income tax effect of the pretax income or loss from continuing operations that occurred during the year, plus or minus income tax effects of (a) changes in certain circumstances that cause a change in judgment about the realization of deferred tax assets in future years, (b) changes in income tax laws or rates, and (c) changes in income tax status, subject to certain exceptions. The amount allocated to other comprehensive income (loss) is related solely to changes in the valuation allowance on items that are normally accounted for in other comprehensive income (loss) such as unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities.
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Pursuant to ASC 740, Income Taxes, a tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than $50 \%$ likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. Pinnacle Financial and its subsidiaries file consolidated U.S. Federal and state of Tennessee income tax returns. Pinnacle Financial is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service for the years ended December 31, 2007 through 2010, and the state of Tennessee for the years ended December 31, 2007 through 2010. Pinnacle Financial is currently undergoing an examination of its 2007, 2008 and 2009 federal tax returns.

Pinnacle Financial's policy is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.
Pinnacle Financial and its wholly-owned subsidiaries file a consolidated income tax return. Each entity provides for income taxes based on its contribution to income or loss of the consolidated group. Pinnacle Financial has a Real Estate Investment Trust that files a separate federal tax return, but its income is included in the consolidated group's return as required by the federal tax laws.

Income (Loss) Per Common Share - Basic income (loss) per share available to common stockholders (EPS) is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period. Weighted average common shares outstanding for the period includes restricted shares that have been issued to associates and outside directors. Diluted EPS reflects the dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted. The difference between basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding is attributable to common stock options, common stock appreciation rights, warrants, and restricted stock awards with performance based criteria. The dilutive effect of outstanding options, common stock appreciation rights, warrants and restricted stock awards with performance based criteria is reflected in diluted EPS by application of the treasury stock method.

As of December 31, 2010, there were approximately $1,788,000$ stock options and 8,200 stock appreciation rights outstanding to purchase common shares. Most of these options and stock appreciation rights have exercise prices and compensation costs attributable to current services, which is less than the average market price of Pinnacle Financial's common stock. As of December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial had 267,445 outstanding warrants to purchase common shares. These warrants were issued in conjunction with Pinnacle Financial's participation in the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program (CPP) as more fully discussed in Note 3. Due to the net loss available to common stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, no potentially dilutive shares related to these stock options, stock appreciation rights, and warrants were included in the loss per share calculations, as including such shares would have an antidilutive effect on loss per share. For the year ended December 31, 2008, there were common stock options of 626,000 outstanding which were considered anti-dilutive and thus have not been considered in the fullydiluted share calculations below. Additionally, as of December 31, 2008, Pinnacle Financial had outstanding warrants to purchase 345,000 of common shares which have been considered in the calculation of Pinnacle Financial's diluted income per share for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The following is a summary of the basic and diluted earnings per share calculation for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010:

## Basic earnings per share calculation:

Numerator - Net income (loss) available to common stockholders
Denominator - Average common shares outstanding Basic net income (loss) per share available to common stockholders

Diluted earnings per share calculation:
Numerator - Net income (loss) available to common stockholders
Denominator - Average common shares outstanding Dilutive shares contingently issuable Average diluted common shares outstanding
Diluted net income (loss) per share available to common stockholders

| 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | $(30,442,514)$ | \$ | $(41,429,925)$ | \$ | 30,564,578 |
|  | 32,789,871 |  | 28,395,618 |  | 22,793,699 |
| \$ | (0.93) | \$ | (1.46) | \$ | 1.34 |
| \$ | $(30,442,514)$ | \$ | $(41,429,925)$ | \$ | 30,564,578 |
|  | 32,789,871 |  | 28,395,618 |  | 22,793,699 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 1,260,273 |
|  | 32,789,871 |  | 28,395,618 |  | 24,053,972 |
| \$ | (0.93) | \$ | (1.46) | \$ | 1.27 |

Stock-Based Compensation - Stock-based compensation expense recognized is based on the fair value of the portion of stockbased payment awards that are ultimately expected to vest, reduced for estimated forfeitures. ASC 718-20 Compensation - Stock
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Compensation Awards Classified as Equity requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Service based awards with multiple vesting periods are expensed over the entire requisite period as if the award were a single award.

Comprehensive Income (Loss) - Comprehensive income (loss) consists of the total of all components of comprehensive income (loss) including net income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) refers to revenues, expenses, gains and losses that under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles are included in comprehensive income (loss) but excluded from net income (loss). Currently, Pinnacle Financial's other comprehensive income (loss) consists of unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred income taxes, on available-for-sale securities.

Fair Value Measurement - ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 applies only to fair-value measurements that are already required or permitted by other accounting standards and is expected to increase the consistency of those measurements. The definition of fair value focuses on the exit price, i.e., the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, not the entry price, (i.e., the price that would be paid to acquire the asset or received to assume the liability at the measurement date). The statement emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement; not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, the fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Pinnacle Financial has an established process for determining fair values. Fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models or processes that use primarily market-based or independently-sourced market data, including interest rate yield curves, option volatilities and third party information. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. Furthermore, while Pinnacle Financial believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

Subsequent Events - ASC Topic 855, Subsequent Events, as amended by ASU No. 2010-90, establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. Pinnacle Financial evaluated all events or transactions that occurred after December 31, 2010, through the date of the issued financial statements. During this period there were no material recognizable subsequent events that required recognition in our disclosures to the December 31, 2010 financial statements.

## Note 2. Acquisitions

Acquisition - Mid-America Bancshares, Inc. On November 30, 2007, we consummated a merger with Mid-America Bancshares, Inc. (Mid-America). Pinnacle Financial recognized $\$ 9.4$ million as a core deposit intangible. This identified intangible is being amortized over ten years using an accelerated method which anticipates the life of the underlying deposits to which the intangible is attributable. For the years ended December $31,2010,2009$, and 2008 approximately $\$ 1,062,000, \$ 1,097,000$ and $\$ 1,133,000$, respectively, was recognized in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations as amortization of intangibles. Amortization expense associated with this identified intangible will approximate $\$ 700,000$ to $\$ 1.0$ million per year for the next seven years.

Pinnacle Financial also recorded other adjustments to the carrying value of Mid-America's assets and liabilities in order to reflect the fair value at the date of acquisition. The discounts and premiums related to financial assets and liabilities are being accreted and amortized into the consolidated statements of operations using a method that approximates the level yield over the anticipated lives of the underlying financial assets or liabilities. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the accretion and amortization of the fair value discounts and premiums related to the acquired assets and liabilities increased net interest income by approximately $\$ 259,000, \$ 686,000$, and $\$ 532,000$, respectively. Based on the estimated useful lives of the acquired loans, deposits and FHLB advances, Pinnacle Financial will recognize increases in net interest income related to amortization and accretion of these purchase accounting adjustments of approximately $\$ 650,000$ over the next seven years.

Acquisition - Cavalry Bancorp, Inc. On March 15, 2006, Pinnacle Financial consummated its merger with Cavalry, a one-bank holding company located in Murfreesboro, Tennessee. Pinnacle Financial recognized $\$ 13.2$ million as a core deposit intangible. This identified intangible is being amortized over seven years using an accelerated method which anticipates the life of the underlying deposits to which the intangible is attributable. For each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, approximately $\$ 1.8$ million, $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million, respectively, was recognized in the accompanying consolidated statements
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of operations as amortization of intangibles. Amortization expense associated with this identified intangible will approximate $\$ 1.6$ million to $\$ 1.8$ million per year for the next two years with a lesser amount for the remaining year.

Acquisition - Beach \& Gentry. During the third quarter of 2008, Pinnacle National acquired Murfreesboro, Tennessee based Beach \& Gentry Insurance LLC (Beach \& Gentry). Concurrently, Beach \& Gentry merged with Miller \& Loughry Insurance \& Services Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pinnacle National, also located in Murfreesboro. In connection with this acquisition, Pinnacle Financial recorded a customer list intangible of $\$ 1,270,000$ which is being amortized over 20 years on an accelerated basis. Amortization of this intangible amounted to $\$ 115,000, \$ 118,000$ and $\$ 60,000$, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. Additionally, if certain performance thresholds are met over the three years following the date of acquisition, Pinnacle National will be required to pay up to an additional $\$ 1.0$ million to the former principal of Beach \& Gentry. No payments were made during 2010, 2009 or 2008 related to these performance thresholds.

## Note 3. Participation in U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program and Sale of Common Stock

On December 12, 2008, Pinnacle Financial issued 95,000 shares of preferred stock to the U.S. Treasury for $\$ 95$ million pursuant to the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program ("CPP") under the Troubled Assets Relief Program ("TARP"). Additionally, Pinnacle Financial issued warrants to purchase 534,910 shares of common stock to the U.S. Treasury as a condition to its participation in the CPP. The warrants have an exercise price of $\$ 26.64$ each, are immediately exercisable and expire 10 years from the date of issuance. Management calculated the accretion amount of the Series A preferred stock discount using the effective interest method which resulted in an effective rate of $6.51 \%$. That is, to accrete the $\$ 6.7$ million discount on the Series A preferred stock over the next five years on an effective interest method resulted in a calculation of $6.51 \%$ for the five year period. The $\$ 6.7$ million will be accreted as a reduction in net income available for common stockholders over the next five years at approximately $\$ 1.3$ million to $\$ 1.4$ million per year. On June 16, 2009, Pinnacle Financial completed the sale of $8,855,000$ shares of its common stock in a public offering, resulting in net proceeds to Pinnacle Financial of approximately $\$ 109.0$ million. As a result, and pursuant to the terms of the warrants issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with Pinnacle Financial's participation in the CPP, the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the warrants issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with the CPP was reduced by $50 \%$, or 267,455 shares.

Proceeds from this sale of the preferred stock were contributed to Pinnacle National for general corporate purposes, including its lending activities. The CPP preferred stock is non-voting, other than having class voting rights on certain matters, and pays cumulative dividends quarterly at a rate of $5 \%$ per annum for the first five years and $9 \%$ thereafter. Pinnacle Financial can redeem the preferred shares issued to the U.S. Treasury under the CPP at any time subject to a requirement that it must obtain approval from its primary federal regulators before redemption.

Management used a cost of capital model to calculate the fair value of the Series A preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury in connection with the CPP. The cost of capital model involved estimating a reasonable return for a similar $\$ 95$ million capital investment in Pinnacle Financial. The model incorporated a risk free rate (Long Term U.S. Treasury bond rate) added to a market premium for Pinnacle Financial's common stock. For the market premium for Pinnacle Financial's common stock, Pinnacle Financial multiplied its beta factor as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Markets' website as of December 11, 2008 by $5 \%$ (the result of which was the estimated market risk premium). Additionally, due to the relatively small size of the offering, Pinnacle Financial added an additional risk premium of $2.3 \%$ to the total. The result was a cost of capital calculation of $8.3 \%$. Pinnacle Financial believed $8.3 \%$ was a reasonable after-tax return to an investor who might be willing to acquire a $\$ 95$ million interest in Pinnacle Financial. Pinnacle Financial then forecasted the cash outflows of the preferred stock issuance at the $5 \%$ dividend rate assuming a terminal payment of $\$ 95$ million five years from issuance prior to the dividend payment rate's increase from $5 \%$ to $9 \%$. Using a discounted cash flow model with a discount rate of $8.3 \%$, the result was a fair value for the Series A preferred stock of $\$ 83.7$ million.

The fair value of the common stock warrants issued in tandem with the Series A preferred stock was determined to be approximately $\$ 6.7$ million. The fair value of the common stock warrants as of December 12,2008 was estimated using the BlackScholes option pricing model and the following assumptions:

| Risk free interest rate | $2.64 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Expected life of warrants | 10 years |
| Expected dividend yield | $0.00 \%$ |
| Expected volatility | $30.3 \%$ |
| Weighted average fair value | $\$ 11.86$ |
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Pinnacle Financial's computation of expected volatility was based on weekly historical volatility since September of 2002. The risk free interest rate of the warrants were based on the closing market bid for U.S. Treasury securities corresponding to the expected life of the common stock warrants in effect at the time of grant.

The fair value of the Series A preferred stock and the fair value of the common stock warrants were summed and the initial carrying amounts for the Series A preferred stock and the common stock warrants were calculated based on an allocation of the two fair value components. The aggregate fair value result for both the Series A preferred stock and the common stock warrants was calculated to be $\$ 90.0$ million, with $7 \%$ of this aggregate total allocated to the warrants and $93 \%$ allocated to the Preferred Stock. As a result of this allocation, the $\$ 95$ million issuance resulted in the warrants having a value of $\$ 6.7$ million and the Series A preferred stock having an initial value of $\$ 88.3$ million.

## Note 4. Restricted Cash Balances

Regulation D of the Federal Reserve Act requires that banks maintain reserve balances with the Federal Reserve Bank based principally on the type and amount of their deposits. At its option, Pinnacle Financial maintains additional balances to compensate for clearing and other services. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the average daily balance maintained at the Federal Reserve was approximately $\$ 93,972,000$ and $\$ 31,409,000$, respectively.

## Note 5. Securities

The amortized cost and fair value of securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows:

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | GrossUnrealizedGains |  | GrossUnrealizedLosses |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government agency securities | \$ 90,214,825 |  | 487,320 | \$ | 286,707 |  | 90,415,438 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 686,938,731 |  | 16,742,783 |  | 2,419,943 |  | 701,261,571 |
| State and municipal securities | 208,562,713 |  | 4,580,704 |  | 1,662,378 |  | 211,481,039 |
| Corporate notes | 10,474,074 |  | 761,487 |  | 76,778 |  | 11,158,783 |
|  | \$996,190,343 |  | \$ 22,572,294 | \$ | 4,445,806 |  | 1,014,316,831 |
| Securities held-to-maturity: <br> U.S. Government agency securities State and municipal securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  | 4,320,486 |  | 104,643 |  | 13,273 |  | 4,411,856 |
|  | \$ 4,320,486 |  | \$ 104,643 | \$ | 13,273 |  | 4,411,856 |
|  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | $\qquad$ |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government agency securities | \$ 196,927,928 |  | \$ 959,805 | \$ | 2,459,428 |  | \$ 195,428,305 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 507,443,622 |  | 11,799,596 |  | 1,551,804 |  | 517,691,414 |
| State and municipal securities | 204,028,645 |  | 4,489,162 |  | 1,222,955 |  | 207,294,852 |
| Corporate notes | 10,411,342 |  | 327,975 |  | 141,797 |  | 10,597,520 |
|  | \$ 918,811,537 |  | \$ 17,576,538 | \$ | 5,375,984 |  | \$ 931,012,091 |
| Securities held-to-maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government agency securities | \$ |  | \$ 237300 | \$ | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | , |
| State and municipal securities | 6,542,496 |  | 237,300 |  | 42,460 |  | 6,737,336 |
|  | \$ 6,542,496 |  | \$ 237,300 | \$ | 42,460 |  | -6,737,336 |

During the year ended December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial realized approximately $\$ 2.9$ million in gains and $\$ 266,000$ in losses from the sale of $\$ 146.1$ million of available-for-sale securities and $\$ 954,000$ of held-to-maturity securities. These sales were intended to reposition our bond portfolio and to divest of securities that have lost their underlying credit support. During the year ended December 31, 2009, Pinnacle Financial sold approximately $\$ 346.9$ million of our available-for-sale investment securities in order to reposition our bond portfolio for asset liability management purposes and realized approximately $\$ 8.45$ million in gains and $\$ 1.59$ million in losses related to this sale. During the second quarter of 2009, Pinnacle Financial determined that an available-for-sale
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corporate security was other than temporarily impaired as the credit worthiness of the security had deteriorated. This impairment analysis resulted in a $\$ 400,000$ charge during the second quarter of 2009 with this amount offsetting the gain on the sale of investment securities. Pinnacle Financial had no sales of investment securities during the year ended December 31, 2008.

At December 31,2010, approximately $\$ 788.8$ million of Pinnacle Financial's investment portfolio was pledged to secure public funds and other deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities as of December 31, 2010 by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities of mortgage-backed securities since the mortgages underlying the securities may be called or prepaid with or without penalty. Therefore, these securities are not included in the maturity categories in the following summary.

|  | Available-for-sale |  |  |  | Held-to-maturity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | Amortized Cost |  | Fair Value |  |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | 3,043,511 | \$ | 3,069,908 | S | 1,838,702 | \$ | 1,842,663 |
| Due in one year to five years |  | 55,114,751 |  | 55,933,244 |  | 2,481,784 |  | 2,569,193 |
| Due in five years to ten years |  | 118,909,284 |  | 122,076,364 |  | - |  |  |
| Due after ten years |  | 132,184,066 |  | 131,975,744 |  | - |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 686,938,731 |  | 701,261,571 |  | - |  |  |
|  | \$ | 996,190,343 | \$ | 1,014,316,831 | \$ | 4,320,486 | \$ | 4,411,856 |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, included in securities were the following investments with unrealized losses. The information below classifies these investments according to the term of the unrealized loss of less than twelve months or twelve months or longer:

|  | Investments with an Unrealized Loss of less than 12 months |  | Investments with an Unrealized Loss of 12 months or longer |  | Total Investments with an Unrealized Loss |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Unrealized } \\ & \text { Losses } \end{aligned}$ | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| At December 31, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ 22,011,159 | \$ 286,707 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 22,011,159 | \$ 286,707 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 275,389,573 | 2,418,995 | 225,984 | 948 | 275,615,557 | 2,419,943 |
| State and municipal securities | 53,420,235 | 880,615 | 6,979,207 | 795,036 | 60,399,442 | 1,675,651 |
| Corporate notes | 258,282 | 823 | 424,046 | 75,955 | 682,328 | 76,778 |
| Total temporarily-impaired securities | \$351,079,249 | \$ 3,587,140 | \$ 7,629,237 | S 871,939 | \$ 358,708,486 | \$ 4,459,079 |

At December 31, 2009 :
U.S. government agency securities

Mortgage-backed securities
State and municipal securities
Corporate notes
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Total temporarily-impaired securities } & \$ 304,494,533 & \$ 4,824,447 & \$ & 8,456,020 & \$ & 593,997 & \$ 312,950,553 & \$ & 5,418,444 \\ \end{array}$
The applicable date for determining when securities are in an unrealized loss position is December 31, 2010. As such, it is possible that a security had a market value that exceeded its amortized cost on other days during the past twelve-month period.

The unrealized losses associated with these investment securities are primarily driven by changes in interest rates and are not due to the credit quality of the securities. These securities will continue to be monitored as a part of our ongoing impairment analysis, but are expected to perform even if the rating agencies reduce the credit rating of the bond insurers. Management evaluates the financial performance of the issuers on a quarterly basis to determine if it is probable that the issuers can make all contractual principal and interest payments.

Periodically, available-for-sale securities may be sold or the composition of the portfolio realigned to improve yields, quality or marketability, or to implement changes in investment or asset/liability strategy, including maintaining collateral requirements, raising funds for liquidity purposes and in the event of a bank merger where certain investment holdings acquired via the merger are outside of the firm's investment policy. Additionally, if an available-for-sale security loses its investment grade, tax-exempt status, the underlying credit support is terminated or collection otherwise becomes uncertain based on factors known to management, Pinnacle

## 74 Pinnacle Financial Partners

## PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Financial will consider selling the security, but will review each security on a case by case basis. As noted in the table above, at December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial had unrealized losses of $\$ 4.5$ million on $\$ 359.7$ million of available-for-sale securities. Because Pinnacle Financial does not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that Pinnacle Financial will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be to maturity, Pinnacle Financial does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010.

The carrying values of Pinnacle Financial's investment securities could decline in the future if the financial condition of issuers deteriorate and management determines it is probable that Pinnacle Financial will not recover the entire amortized cost bases of the securities. As a result, there is a risk that other-than-temporary impairment charges may occur in the future given the current economic environment.

## Note 6. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

The composition of loans by primary loan classification as well as impaired and performing loan status at December 31, 2010 and 2009 is summarized in the table below:

|  | At December 31, (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial real estate mortgage | Consumer real estate mortgage |  | Construction and land development |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { industrial } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumer } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { other } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing loans | \$ 1,082,073 | \$ | 696,452 | \$ | 287,747 | 997,351 | \$ | 67,954 | \$ 3,131,577 |
| Impaired loans | 12,542 |  | 9,035 |  | 43,514 | 14,740 |  | 1,032 | 80,863 |
|  | \$ 1,094,615 | \$ | 705,487 | \$ | 331,261 | \$ 1,012,091 | S | 68,986 | \$3,212,440 |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing loans | \$ 1,095,828 | \$ | 743,294 | \$ | 452,743 | \$ 1,055,214 | \$ | 91,594 | \$ 3,438,673 |
| Impaired loans | 22,240 |  | 12,721 |  | 72,528 | 16,230 |  | 990 | 124,709 |
|  | \$ 1,118,068 |  | 756,015 | \$ | 525,271 | \$ 1,071,444 | \$ | 92,584 | \$ 3,563,382 |

The following table shows the allowance allocation by loan classification for impaired and performing loans at December 31:

2010
Allowance related to:
Performing loans
Impaired loans

| Commercial real estate mortgage | Consumer real estate mortgage | Construction and land development | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Commercial } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { industrial } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumer } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { other } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Unallocated | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 19,076 | \$ 5,900 | \$ 18,554 | \$ 17,601 | \$ 1,484 | \$ 11,003 | \$ 73,618 |
| 176 | 3,998 | 568 | 3,825 | 390 | - 11 | 8,957 |
| \$ 19,252 | \$ 9,898 | \$ 19,122 | \$ 21,426 | \$ 1,874 | \$ 11,003 | \$82,575 |

## 2009

Allowance related to: Performing loans Impaired loans

| $\$ 18,951$ | $\$ 8,605$ | $\$ 14,688$ | $\$ 21,404$ | $\$ 2,113$ | $\$$ | 6,914 | $\$ 2,675$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3,554 |  | 2,120 | 8,339 | 4,928 |  | 343 |  | - | 19,284 |
| $\$ 22,505$ | $\$ 10,725$ | $\$ 23,027$ | $\$ 26,332$ | $\$$ | 2,456 | $\$$ | 6,914 | $\$ 81,959$ |  |
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Changes in the allowance for loan losses for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 are as follows:

|  | For the year ended December 31, <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 91,959 | \$ 36,484 | \$ 28,470 |
| Charged-off loans | $(67,543)$ | $(62,599)$ | $(5,133)$ |
| Recovery of previously charged-off loans | 4,464 | 1,316 | 1,933 |
| Provision for loan losses | 53,695 | 116,758 | 11,214 |
| Ending balance | \$ 82,575 | \$91,959 | \$ 36,484 |

The following table details the changes in the allowance for loan losses from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010 by loan classification:

|  | For the year ended December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial real estate mortgage |  | Consumer real estate mortgage |  | Construction and land development |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { industrial } \end{gathered}$ |  | Consumer and other |  | Unallocated |  | Total |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 22,505 | \$ | 10,725 | \$ | 23,027 |  | 26,332 | \$ | 2,456 | \$ | 6,914 | \$ | 91,959 |
| Charged-off loans |  | $(9,041)$ |  | $(6,769)$ |  | $(27,526)$ |  | $(23,555)$ |  | (652) |  |  |  | $(67,543)$ |
| Recovery of previously charged-off loans |  | 343 |  | 377 |  | 2,618 |  | 874 |  | 252 |  | - |  | 4,464 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 5,445 |  | 5,565 |  | 21,003 |  | 17,775 |  | (182) |  | 4,089 |  | 53,695 |
| Ending balance | S | 19,252 | S | 9,898 | \$ | 19,122 |  | 21,426 | \$ | 1,874 |  | 11,003 | \$ | 82,575 |

The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is assessed prior to the end of each calendar quarter. The level of the allowance is based upon evaluation of the loan portfolio, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers' ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, historical loss experience, industry and peer bank loan quality indications and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations.

In assessing the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses management analyzes three broad categories of loans: Commercial, Consumer and Impaired Loans. Commercial real estate, construction and land development, and commercial and industrial loans are subject to underwriting standards and receive risk ratings by the assigned financial advisor that are subject to validation by our independent loan review department. Risk ratings are categorized as pass, special mention, substandard, substandard-impaired or doubtful-impaired. Pinnacle Financial believes that our categories follow those outlined by our primary regulator. Pass rated loans include all risk rated credits other than those included in special mention, substandard and doubtful which are defined as follows:

- Special Mention loans have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in Pinnacle Financial's credit position at some future date.
- Substandard loans are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize liquidation of the debt. Substandard loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that Pinnacle Financial will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.
- Substandard-impaired loans are substandard loans that have been place on nonaccrual.
- Doubtful-impaired loans have all the characteristics of substandard loans with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Pinnacle Financial considers all doubtful loans to be impaired and places the loan on nonaccrual status.
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The following table outlines the amount of each loan classification that receives risk ratings and the amount categorized into each risk rating class as of December 31, 2010:

|  | At December 31, (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial real estate - mortgage |  | Construction and land development |  | Commercial and industrial |  | Total risk rated loans |  |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Risk Rated Loans Pass | \$ 947,591 | \$ 956,652 | \$ 188,471 | \$316,805 | \$ 918,415 | \$ 930,051 | \$2,054,477 | \$2,203,508 |
| Special mention | 46,522 | 60,009 | 29,670 | 56,293 | 13,510 | 27,185 | 89,702 | 143,487 |
| Substandard | 87,960 | 79,167 | 69,606 | 79,645 | 65,426 | 97,978 | 222,992 | 256,790 |
| Substandard-Impaired | 12,056 | 22,240 | 43,206 | 67,997 | 13,547 | 13,038 | 68,809 | 103,275 |
| Doubtful-Impaired | 486 | - | 308 | 4,531 | 1,193 | 3,192 | 1,987 | 7,723 |
|  | \$1,094,615 | \$1,118,068 | \$ 331,261 | \$ 525,271 | \$1,012,091 | \$1,071,444 | \$2,437,967 | \$2,714,783 |

Our performing consumer loans are not subjected to individual risk ratings but are evaluated in homogenous loan pools. Impaired consumer loans are individually evaluated for impairment. The table below shows the non-risk rated portion of our portfolio by classification and by the performing and impaired categorization:

|  | At December 31, (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consumer real estate - mortgage |  |  |  | Consumer and other |  |  |  | Total non risk rated loans |  |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Non Risk Rated Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | \$ | 696,452 | \$ | 743,294 | \$ | 67,954 | \$ | 91,594 | \$764,406 | \$834,888 |
| Impaired |  | 9,035 |  | 12,721 |  | 1,032 |  | 990 | 10,067 | 13,711 |
|  |  | 705,487 | \$ | 756,015 | \$ | 68,986 |  | 92,584 | \$774,473 | \$848,599 |

The third component of our determination of the allowance for loan loss is impaired loans, which are individually evaluated for impairment. Pinnacle Financial does not have any loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment. The principal balance of these nonaccrual loans amounted to $\$ 80,863,000$ and $\$ 124,709,000$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively and are included in the risk rated and non-risk rated tables. For each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, the average balance of impaired loans was $\$ 108,426,000, \$ 95,157,000$ and $\$ 15,694,000$, respectively.

At December 31, 2010, there were no loans classified as nonaccrual that were not deemed to be impaired. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, all impaired loans were on nonaccruing interest status. At the date such loans were placed on nonaccrual status, Pinnacle Financial reversed all previously accrued interest income against current year earnings. Had nonaccruing loans been on accruing status, interest income would have been higher by $\$ 7,589,000, \$ 7,087,000$ and $\$ 1,574,000$ for each of the years in the threeyear period ended December 31, 2010, respectively. Pinnacle Financial's policy is that once a loan is classified as impaired and placed on nonaccrual status, interest income is subsequently recognized to the extent cash payments are received while the loan is classified as nonaccrual, but is reviewed on a case-by-case basis. As shown below, Pinnacle Financial recognized $\$ 1,340,000$ of interest income from cash payments received during 2010 while the underlying loans were placed on impaired status.
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The following table details the recorded investment, unpaid principal balance and related allowance and average recorded investment of our impaired loans at December 31, 2010 by loan category and the amount of interest income recognized on these loans on a cash basis throughout 2010 (dollars in thousands):

|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | For the year ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded investment |  | Unpaid principal balance |  | Relatedallowance ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Average recorded investment |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { income } \\ \text { recognized } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Impaired loans with no recorded allowance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate - mortgage | \$ | 10,585 | \$ | 12,468 | \$ | - | \$ | 12,478 | \$ | 278 |
| Consumer real estate - mortgage |  | 4,063 |  | 5,041 |  | - |  | 5,041 |  | 83 |
| Construction and land development |  | 31,106 |  | 35,525 |  | - |  | 35,631 |  | 188 |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 2,865 |  | 5,501 |  | - |  | 5,501 |  | 9 |
| Consumer and other |  | 272 |  | 368 |  | - |  | 368 |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 48,891 | \$ | 58,903 | \$ | - | \$ | 59,019 | \$ | 558 |
| Impaired loans with a recorded allowance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate - mortgage | \$ | 1,957 | \$ | 2,328 | \$ | 176 | \$ | 2,328 | \$ | 55 |
| Consumer real estate - mortgage |  | 4,972 |  | 5,869 |  | 3,998 |  | 5,875 |  | 143 |
| Construction and land development |  | 12,408 |  | 12,619 |  | 568 |  | 12,623 |  | 234 |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 11,875 |  | 13,005 |  | 3,825 |  | 12,996 |  | 324 |
| Consumer and other |  | 760 |  | 846 |  | 390 |  | 846 |  | 17 |
| Total | \$ | 31,972 | \$ | 34,667 | \$ | 8,957 | \$ | 34,668 | \$ | 773 |
| Total Impaired Loans | \$ | 80,863 | \$ | 93,570 | \$ | 8,957 | \$ | 93,687 | \$ | 1,331 |

(1) Collateral dependent loans are typically charged-off to their net realizable value pursuant to regulatory requirements and no specific allowance is carried related to those loans.

Impaired loans also include loans that Pinnacle National may elect to formally restructure due to the weakening credit status of a borrower such that the restructuring may facilitate a repayment plan that minimizes the potential losses, if any, that Pinnacle National may have to otherwise incur. These loans are classified as impaired loans and, if on nonaccruing status as of the date of restructuring, the loans are included in the nonperforming loan balances noted above. Not included in nonperforming loans are loans that have been restructured that were performing as of the restructure date. At December 31, 2010, there were $\$ 20.5$ million of accruing restructured loans that remain in a performing status. There were $\$ 27.0$ million accruing restructured loans at December 31, 2009.

In addition to the loan metrics above, Pinnacle Financial analyzes its commercial loan portfolio to determine if a concentration of credit risk exists to any one or more industries. Pinnacle Financial utilizes broadly accepted industry classification systems in order to classify borrowers into various industry classifications. Pinnacle Financial has a credit exposure (loans outstanding plus unfunded lines of credit) exceeding $25 \%$ of Pinnacle National's total risk-based capital to borrowers in the following industries at December 31, 2010 with the comparative exposures for 2009:

|  | At December 31, <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
| Lessors of nonresidential buildings | $\$ 502,268$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Land subdividers | 144,550 | 497,534 |
| Lessors of residential buildings | 132,668 | 159,634 |
|  |  |  |
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The table below presents past due balances at December 31, 2010, by loan classification allocated between performing and impaired status:

|  | At December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 30-89 \text { days } \\ \text { past due } \end{gathered}$ | Greater than 90 days and performing | Total past due and performing | Impaired ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { performing } \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Loans |
| Commercial real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner-occupied | \$ 1,602 | \$ | \$ 1,602 | \$ 10,037 | \$ 520,260 | \$ 531,899 |
| All other | 362 | - | 362 | 2,505 | 559,849 | 562,716 |
| Consumer real estate - mortgage | 3,544 | - | 3,544 | 9,035 | 692,908 | 705,487 |
| Construction and land development | 2,157 | 38 | 2,195 | 43,514 | 285,552 | 331,261 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,636 | 100 | 1,736 | 14,740 | 995,615 | 1,012,091 |
| Consumer and other | 152 | - | 152 | 1,032 | 67,802 | 68,986 |
|  | \$ 9,453 | \$ 138 | \$ 9,591 | \$ 80,863 | \$ 3,121,986 | \$ 3,212,440 |

(1) Approximately $\$ 33.2$ million of impaired loans included above are currently performing pursuant to their contractual terms. All impaired loans are on nonaccrual status as of December 31, 2010.

Potential problem loans, which are not included in nonperforming or restructured loans, amounted to approximately $\$ 223.1$ million at December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 257.0$ million at December 31, 2009. Potential problem loans represent those loans with a well-defined weakness and where information about possible credit problems of borrowers has caused management to have serious doubts about the borrower's ability to comply with present repayment terms. This definition is believed to be substantially consistent with the standards established by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Pinnacle National's primary regulator, for loans classified as substandard or worse, excluding the impact of nonperforming loans.

At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial had granted loans and other extensions of credit amounting to approximately $\$ 22,543,000$ to certain directors, executive officers, and their related entities, of which $\$ 18,103,000$ had been drawn upon. At December 31, 2009, Pinnacle Financial had granted loans and other extensions of credit amounting to approximately $\$ 40,471,000$ to certain directors, executive officers, and their related entities, of which approximately $\$ 30,293,000$ had been drawn upon. During $2010, \$ 160,000$ of new loans and extensions on existing lines were made and repayments totaled $\$ 2,623,000$.

During the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial sold participations in certain loans to correspondent banks and other investors at an interest rate that was less than that of the borrower's rate of interest. Pinnacle Financial expensed $\$ 73,000$ and $\$ 269,000$ during the years ended December 31,2010 and 2009 , respectively and recognized a $\$ 276,000$ gain during the year ended December 31, 2008. These amounts, referred to as the loan participation interest rate spread, are attributable to changes in the fair value and the present value of the future net cash flows of the difference between the interest payments the borrower is projected to pay Pinnacle Financial and the amount of interest that will be owed the correspondent bank based on their participation in the loans.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, Pinnacle Financial had $\$ 16.2$ million and $\$ 12.4$ million in mortgage loans held-for-sale. These loans are marketed to potential investors prior to closing the loan with the borrower such that there is an agreement for the subsequent sale of the loan between the eventual investor and Pinnacle National prior to the loan being closed with the borrower. Pinnacle Financial sells loans to investors on a loan-by-loan basis and has not entered into any forward commitments with investors for future loan sales. All of these loan sales transfer servicing rights to the buyer. During 2010, Pinnacle Financial recognized $\$ 4.2$ million in gains on the sale of $\$ 445.2$ million in mortgage loans held-for-sale, compared to $\$ 5.2$ million in gains on the sale of $\$ 644.1$ million in mortgage loans held-for-sale in 2009 and $\$ 3.1$ million in gains on the sale of $\$ 283.5$ million in mortgage loans held for sale in 2008.
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## Note 7. Premises and Equipment and Lease Commitments

Premises and equipment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows:

|  | Range of Useful Lives | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land | - | $\$ 17,323,873$ | $\$ 17,217,814$ |
| Buildings | 15 to 30 years | $47,835,645$ | $45,997,299$ |
| Leasehold improvements | 15 to 20 years | $19,134,353$ | $16,701,515$ |
| Furniture and equipment | 3 to 15 years | $47,451,473$ | $42,730,536$ |
|  |  | $131,745,344$ | $122,647,164$ |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization |  | $(49,371,116)$ | $(41,996,228)$ |
|  |  | $\$ 82,374,228$ | $\$ 80,650,936$ |

Depreciation and amortization expense was approximately $\$ 7,374,000, \$ 6,452,000$, and $\$ 6,280,000$ for the years ended December $31,2010,2009$ and 2008, respectively.

Pinnacle Financial has entered into various operating leases, primarily for office space and branch facilities. Rent expense related to these leases for 2010,2009 and 2008 totaled $\$ 4,327,000, \$ 2,633,000$ and $\$ 1,785,000$, respectively. At December 31, 2010, the approximate future minimum lease payments due under the aforementioned operating leases for their base term are as follows:

| 2011 | $\$ 3,770,593$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2012 | $3,841,652$ |
| 2013 | $3,622,398$ |
| 2014 | $3,579,135$ |
| 2015 | $3,464,535$ |
| Thereafter | $36,570,287$ |
|  | $\$ 54,848,600$ |

## Note 8. Deposits

At December 31, 2010, the scheduled maturities of time deposits are as follows:

| 2011 | $\$$ | $918,428,518$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2012 | $108,133,237$ |  |
| 2013 | $40,730,164$ |  |
| 2014 | $2,811,178$ |  |
| 2015 | $6,449,160$ |  |
| Thereafter | 11,922 |  |
|  |  | $\$ \quad 1,076,564,179$ |
|  |  |  |

Additionally, at December 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately $\$ 715.1$ million and $\$ 1.24$ billion, respectively, of time deposits had been issued in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or greater.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, Pinnacle Financial had $\$ 1,048,000$ and $\$ 952,000$, respectively, of deposit accounts in overdraft status and thus have been reclassified to loans on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

## Note 9. Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

Pinnacle National is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati ("FHLB") and as a result, is eligible for advances from the FHLB, pursuant to the terms of various borrowing agreements, which assists Pinnacle National in the funding of its home mortgage and commercial real estate loan portfolios. Pinnacle National has pledged certain qualifying residential mortgage loans and, pursuant to a blanket lien, all qualifying commercial mortgage loans with an aggregate carrying value of approximately $\$ 1.0$ billion as collateral under the borrowing agreements with the FHLB.
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At December 31, 2010 and 2009, Pinnacle Financial had received advances from the FHLB totaling $\$ 120,986,919$ and $\$ 212,137,000$, respectively. Additionally, Pinnacle Financial recognized a discount on FHLB advances in conjunction with previous acquisitions. The remaining discount was $\$ 406,000$ and $\$ 518,000$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectfully. At December 31, 2010, the scheduled maturities of these advances and interest rates are as follows:

|  | Scheduled <br> Maturities | Weighted average <br> interest rates |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2011 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | $\$ 10,000,000$ | $1.90 \%$ |  |
| 2013 | $25,000,000$ | $3.36 \%$ |  |
| 2014 | $20,000,000$ | $2.67 \%$ |  |
| 2015 | $5,000,000$ | $0.38 \%$ |  |
| Thereafter |  | $25,000,000$ | $2.46 \%$ |
|  |  | $35,986,919$ | $2.81 \%$ |
|  |  | $\$ 120,986,919$ |  |
|  | Weighted average interest rate |  | $2.72 \%$ |

At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle National had accommodations which allow it to borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta's discount window and purchase Federal funds from several of its correspondent banks on an overnight basis at prevailing overnight market rates. These accommodations are subject to various restrictions as to their term and availability, and in most cases, must be repaid within less than a month. At December 31, 2010, there was no balance owed to correspondents under these agreements. At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial had approximately $\$ 920$ million in borrowing availability with the FHLB, the Federal Reserve Bank discount window, and other correspondent banks with whom Pinnacle National has arranged lines of credit. At December 31, 2009, Pinnacle National was not carrying any balances under these arrangements.

## Note 10. Investments in Affiliated Companies and Subordinated Debt

On August 5, 2008, Pinnacle National also entered into a $\$ 15$ million subordinated term loan with a regional bank. This loan bears interest at three month LIBOR plus $3.5 \%$, matures in 2015 and at December 31, 2010, $\$ 12$ million qualified as Tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes. The portion that qualifies as Tier 2 capital decreases by $\$ 3$ million at August 2011 and each subsequent anniversary.

On December 29, 2003, Pinnacle Financial established PNFP Statutory Trust I; on September 15, 2005 Pinnacle Financial established PNFP Statutory Trust II; on September 7, 2006 Pinnacle Financial established PNFP Statutory Trust III and on October 31, 2007 Pinnacle Financial established PNFP Statutory Trust IV (Trust I; Trust II; Trust III; Trust IV or collectively, the Trusts). All are wholly-owned statutory business trusts. Pinnacle Financial is the sole sponsor of the Trusts and acquired each Trust's common securities for $\$ 310,000 ; \$ 619,000 ; \$ 619,000$, and $\$ 928,000$, respectively. The Trusts were created for the exclusive purpose of issuing 30 -year capital trust preferred securities (Trust Preferred Securities) in the aggregate amount of $\$ 10,000,000$ for Trust I; $\$ 20,000,000$ for Trust II; $\$ 20,000,000$ for Trust III and $\$ 30,000,000$ for Trust IV and using the proceeds to acquire junior subordinated debentures (Subordinated Debentures) issued by Pinnacle Financial. The sole assets of the Trusts are the Subordinated Debentures. The $\$ 2,476,000$ investment in the Trusts is included in other investments in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the $\$ 82,476,000$ obligation is reflected as subordinated debt.

The Trust I Preferred Securities bear a floating interest rate based on a spread over 3-month LIBOR (3.10\% at December 31, 2010) which is set each quarter and mature on December 30, 2033. The Trust II Preferred Securities bear a floating interest rate based on a spread over 3-month LIBOR ( $1.70 \%$ at December 31, 2010) which is set each quarter and matures on September 30, 2035. The Trust III Preferred Securities bear a floating interest rate based on a spread over 3-month LIBOR (1.95\% at December 31, 2010) which is set each quarter and mature on September 30, 2036. The Trust IV Preferred Securities bear a floating interest rate based on a spread over 3-month LIBOR (3.15\% at December 31, 2010) which is set each quarter and matures on September 30, 2037.

Distributions are payable quarterly. Pinnacle Financial has informally agreed to obtain prior approval of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta before making these quarterly payments. The Trust Preferred Securities are subject to mandatory redemption upon repayment of the Subordinated Debentures at their stated maturity date or their earlier redemption in an amount equal to their liquidation amount plus accumulated and unpaid distributions to the date of redemption. Pinnacle Financial guarantees the payment of distributions and payments for redemption or liquidation of the Trust Preferred Securities to the extent of funds held by the Trusts. Pinnacle Financial's obligations under the Subordinated Debentures together with the guarantee and other back-up obligations, in the aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by Pinnacle Financial of the obligations of the Trusts under the Trust Preferred Securities.

The Subordinated Debentures are unsecured; bear interest at a rate equal to the rates paid by the Trusts on the Trust Preferred Securities; and mature on the same dates as those noted above for the Trust Preferred Securities. Interest is payable quarterly. We
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may defer the payment of interest at any time for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters provided that the deferral period does not extend past the stated maturity. During any such deferral period, distributions on the Trust Preferred Securities will also be deferred and our ability to pay dividends on our common shares and preferred shares will be restricted.

Subject to approval by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta and the limitations on repurchase resulting from Pinnacle Financial's participation in the CPP, the Trust Preferred Securities may be redeemed prior to maturity at Pinnacle Financial's option on or after September 17, 2008 for Trust I; on or after September 30, 2010 for Trust II; September 30, 2011 for Trust III and September 30, 2012 for Trust IV. The Trust Preferred Securities may also be redeemed, subject to the limitations imposed under the CPP, at any time in whole (but not in part) in the event of unfavorable changes in laws or regulations that result in (1) the Trust becoming subject to Federal income tax on income received on the Subordinated Debentures, (2) interest payable by the parent company on the Subordinated Debentures becoming non-deductible for Federal tax purposes, (3) the requirement for the Trust to register under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or (4) loss of the ability to treat the Trust Preferred Securities as "Tier I capital" under the Federal Reserve capital adequacy guidelines.

The Trust Preferred Securities for the Trusts qualify as Tier I capital under current regulatory definitions subject to certain limitations; however, under the Dodd-Frank Act, any additional issuances of trust preferred securities, would not be eligible for Tier 1 capital treatment. Debt issuance costs associated with Trust I of $\$ 45,000$ consisting primarily of underwriting discounts and professional fees are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. These debt issuance costs are being amortized over ten years using the straight-line method. There were no debt issuance costs associated with Trust II; Trust III or Trust IV.
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Combined summary financial information for the Trusts follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset - Investment in subordinated debentures issued by Pinnacle Financial | \$82,476 | \$ 82,476 |
| Liabilities | \$ | \$ |
| Stockholder's equity - Trust preferred securities | 80,000 | 80,000 |
| Common securities ( $100 \%$ owned by Pinnacle Financial) | 2,476 | 2,476 |
| Total stockholder's equity | 82,476 | 82,476 |
| Total liabilities and stockholder's equity | \$ 82,476 | \$82,476 |


|  | Year ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Income - Interest income from subordinated debentures issued by Pinnacle Financial | \$ 2,749 | \$ 3,319 | \$4,903 |
| Net Income | \$ 2,749 | \$3,319 | \$4,903 |


|  | Trust Preferred Securities | Total Common Stock | Retained Earnings | Stockholder's Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balances, December 31, 2007 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 2,476 | \$ | \$ 82,476 |
| Net income | - | - | 4,903 | 4,903 |
| Issuance of trust preferred securities | - | - | - | - |
| Dividends: |  |  |  |  |
| Trust preferred securities | - | - | $(4,756)$ | $(4,756)$ |
| Common- paid to Pinnacle Financial | - | - | (147) | (147) |
| Balances, December 31, 2008 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 2,476 | \$ | \$ 82,476 |
| Net income | - | - | 3,319 | 3,319 |
| Issuance of trust preferred securities | - | - | - | - |
| Dividends: |  |  |  |  |
| Trust preferred securities | - | - | $(3,217)$ | (3,217) |
| Common- paid to Pinnacle Financial | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | (102) | (102) |
| Balances, December 31, 2009 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 2,476 | \$ | \$ 82,476 |
| Net income | - | - | 2,749 | 2,749 |
| Issuance of trust preferred securities | - | - | - | - |
| Dividends: |  |  |  |  |
| Trust preferred securities | - | - | $(2,669)$ | $(2,669)$ |
| Common- paid to Pinnacle Financial | - | - 2. | (80) | (80) |
| Balances, December 31, 2010 | \$ 80,000 | \$ 2,476 | \$ | S 82,476 |

## Note 11. Income Taxes

ASC 740, Income Taxes, defines the threshold for recognizing the benefits of tax return positions in the financial statements as "more-likely-than-not" to be sustained by the taxing authority. This section also provides guidance on the derecognition, measurement and classification of income tax uncertainties, along with any related interest and penalties, and includes guidance concerning accounting for income tax uncertainties in interim periods. As of December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial had no unrecognized tax benefits related to Federal or State income tax matters and does not anticipate any material increase or decrease in unrecognized tax benefits relative to any tax positions taken prior to December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial has accrued no interest and no penalties related to uncertain tax positions.
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Income tax expense attributable to continuing operations for each of the years ended December 31 is as follows:

|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current tax expense (benefit): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ | $(13,411,913)$ | \$ | $(4,747,034)$ |  | 14,830,936 |
| State |  | - |  | - |  | 156,068 |
| Total current tax expense (benefit) |  | $(13,411,913)$ |  | (4,747,034) |  | 14,987,004 |
| Deferred tax expense (benefit): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal |  | 13,482,183 |  | $(18,366,392)$ |  | (2,071,411) |
| State |  | 4,339,888 |  | $(6,279,399)$ |  | $(548,578)$ |
| Total deferred tax expense (benefit) |  | 17,822,071 |  | $(24,645,791)$ |  | $(2,619,989)$ |
|  | \$ | 4,410,158 |  | $(29,392,825)$ |  | \$ 12,367,015 |

Additionally, during 2010 and pursuant to ASC 740-20-45, Pinnacle Financial had income tax expense related to changes in the unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available-for-sale totaling $\$ 5,398,900$. This expense was recorded through accumulated other comprehensive income and increased our deferred tax valuation allowance.

Pinnacle Financial's income tax expense (benefit) differs from the amounts computed by applying the Federal income tax statutory rates of $35 \%$ to income (loss) before income taxes. A reconciliation of the differences for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 is as follows:

Income tax expense (benefit) at statutory rate State tax expense (benefit), net of federal tax effect
Tax-exempt securities
Federal tax credits Bank owned life insurance
Insurance premiums
Other items
Valuation allowance in continuing operations Income tax expense (benefit)

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$(6,961,616)$ | $\$(22,712,477)$ | $\$ 15,134,326$ |
| $(2,305,459)$ | $(4,081,609)$ | $(259,056)$ |
| $(3,017,557)$ | $(2,302,621)$ | $(1,703,794)$ |
| $(360,000)$ | $(360,000)$ | $(360,000)$ |
| $(319,530)$ | $(181,320)$ | $(301,020)$ |
| $(300,647)$ | $(384,914)$ | $(370,782)$ |
| 600,050 | 630,116 | 227,341 |
| $17,074,917$ | - | - |
| $\$ 4,410,158$ | $\$(29,392,825)$ | $\$ 12,367,015$ |

Pinnacle Financial's effective tax rate differs from the Federal income tax statutory rate of $35 \%$ primarily due to state tax expense (benefit), investments in bank qualified municipal securities, federal tax credits, bank owned life insurance, tax savings from our captive insurance subsidiary, PNFP Insurance, Inc., and, in 2010, the recognition of a valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets. The recognition of a deferred tax valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets in 2010 had the most significant impact on the effective tax rate in 2010. The Federal tax credits are related to the New Markets Tax Credit program whereby a subsidiary of Pinnacle National has been awarded approximately $\$ 2.3$ million in future Federal tax credits which are available through 2010 . The credit that was available for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31,2010 was $\$ 360,000$.
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The components of deferred income taxes included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred tax assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Loan loss allowance | \$ | 32,067,944 | \$ | 35,726,925 |
| Loans |  | 268,133 |  | 360,812 |
| Insurance |  | 623,540 |  | 594,603 |
| Accrued liability for supplemental retirement agreements |  | 390,089 |  | 408,682 |
| Restricted stock and stock options |  | 2,895,921 |  | 2,006,378 |
| Net operating loss carryforward |  | 4,090,896 |  | 2,433,172 |
| Other deferred tax assets |  | 3,272,900 |  | 3,110,098 |
| Gross deferred tax assets |  | 43,609,423 |  | 44,640,670 |
| Less valuation allowance |  | $(22,479,553)$ |  | - |
| Total deferred tax assets |  | 21,129,870 |  | 44,640,670 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 7,696,464 |  | 6,362,439 |
| Core deposit intangible asset |  | 3,815,330 |  | 4,940,884 |
| Securities |  | 7,116,205 |  | 4,802,935 |
| REIT dividends |  | 258,845 |  | 1,058,721 |
| FHLB dividends |  | 987,824 |  | 987,824 |
| Other deferred tax liabilities |  | 1,255,202 |  | 953,629 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities |  | 21,129,870 |  | 19,106,432 |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ | S - | \$ | 25,534,238 |

A valuation allowance is recognized for a deferred tax asset if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more-likely-thannot that some portion of the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. As a result of increased credit losses, Pinnacle Financial entered into a three-year cumulative pre-tax loss position in 2010. A cumulative loss position is considered significant negative evidence in assessing the reliability of a deferred tax asset which is difficult to overcome. Pinnacle Financial's estimate of the realization of its deferred tax assets was based on future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and taxable income in prior carry back years. Pinnacle Financial did not consider future taxable income in determining the reliability of its deferred tax assets. During 2010, Pinnacle Financial recorded a valuation allowance of $\$ 22,480,000$. Although Pinnacle Financial was profitable in both the third and the fourth quarters of 2010, management determined this did not meet the threshold of sustained profitability. However, if profitability continues to a point that is considered sustainable, some portion or all of the valuation allowance may be reversed. The timing of the reversal of the valuation allowance is dependent on our assessment of future events and will be based on the circumstances that exist as of that future date.

## Note 12. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

In the normal course of business, Pinnacle Financial has entered into off-balance sheet financial instruments which include commitments to extend credit (i.e., including unfunded lines of credit) and standby letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit are usually the result of lines of credit granted to existing borrowers under agreements that the total outstanding indebtedness will not exceed a specific amount during the term of the indebtedness. Typical borrowers are commercial concerns that use lines of credit to supplement their treasury management functions, thus their total outstanding indebtedness may fluctuate during any time period based on the seasonality of their business and the resultant timing of their cash flows. Other typical lines of credit are related to home equity loans granted to consumers. Commitments to extend credit generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee.

Standby letters of credit are generally issued on behalf of an applicant (customer) to a specifically named beneficiary and are the result of a particular business arrangement that exists between the applicant and the beneficiary. Standby letters of credit have fixed expiration dates and are usually for terms of two years or less unless terminated beforehand due to criteria specified in the standby letter of credit. A typical arrangement involves the applicant routinely being indebted to the beneficiary for such items as inventory purchases, insurance, utilities, lease guarantees or other third party commercial transactions. The standby letter of credit would permit the beneficiary to obtain payment from Pinnacle Financial under certain prescribed circumstances. Subsequently, Pinnacle Financial would then seek reimbursement from the applicant pursuant to the terms of the standby letter of credit.

Pinnacle Financial follows the same credit policies and underwriting practices when making these commitments as it does for onbalance sheet instruments. Each customer's creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis and the amount of collateral
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obtained, if any, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include cash, real estate and improvements, marketable securities, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, and personal property.

The contractual amounts of these commitments are not reflected in the consolidated financial statements and would only be reflected if drawn upon. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the contractual amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. However, should the commitments be drawn upon and should our customers default on their resulting obligation to us, Pinnacle Financial's maximum exposure to credit loss, without consideration of collateral, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial had accrued $\$ 998,000$ for the inherent risks associated with off balance sheet commitments.

A summary of Pinnacle Financial's total contractual amount for all off-balance sheet commitments at December 31, 2010 is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Commitments to extend credit } & \$ 848,023,000 \\
\text { Standby letters of credit } & \$ 75,172,000
\end{array}
$$

At December 31, 2010, the fair value of Pinnacle Financial's standby letters of credit was $\$ 275,000$. This amount represents the unamortized fee associated with these standby letters of credit and is included in the consolidated balance sheet of Pinnacle Financial. This fair value will decrease over time as the existing standby letters of credit approach their expiration dates.

In May 2010, the Middle Tennessee area experienced significant rainfall which caused substantial flooding, in many cases above previously mapped flood plain boundaries (i.e., exceeded the 100 -year flood plain). Pinnacle National experienced minimal damage to its facilities and equipment but was required to temporarily relocate personnel to other offices throughout its footprint. These matters did not have a material impact on Pinnacle National's financial position or results of operations. In addition, a number of Pinnacle National's borrowers, both residential and commercial, were displaced as a result of flooding. In some cases, the real estate that collateralizes Pinnacle National's loans to these borrowers was damaged and, in some cases, completely destroyed. Because some of this collateral was not in a designated flood zone, it is likely that certain borrowers did not carry a valid flood insurance policy to reimburse them for flood losses. Based on our current assessment and the extent of borrower's losses or the resulting impact of these events, we do not expect to incur significant losses due to the flood.

Pinnacle National originates residential mortgage loans, sells them to third-party purchasers, and does not retain the servicing rights. These loans are originated internally and are primarily to borrowers in Pinnacle National's geographic market footprint. These sales are typically on a best efforts basis to investors that follow conventional government sponsored entities (GSE) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development/U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (HUD/VA) guidelines. Generally, loans sold to the HUD/VA are underwritten by Pinnacle National while the majority of the loans sold to other investors are underwritten by the purchaser.

Each purchaser has specific guidelines and criteria for sellers of loans, and the risk of credit loss with regard to the principal amount of the loans sold is generally transferred to the purchasers upon sale. While the loans are sold without recourse the purchase agreements require Pinnacle National to make certain representations and warranties regarding the existence and sufficiency of file documentation and the absence of fraud by borrowers or other third parties such as appraisers in connection with obtaining the loan. If it is determined that the loans sold were in breach of these representations or warranties, Pinnacle National has obligations to either repurchase the loan for the unpaid principal balance and related investor fees or make the purchaser whole for the economic benefits of the loan.

From inception of Pinnacle National's mortgage department through December 31, 2010, Pinnacle National originated and sold approximately 9,000 mortgage loans totaling $\$ 1.9$ billion which were sold to third-party purchasers. Of the approximately 9,000 mortgage loans, Pinnacle underwrote approximately 2,300 conventional loans at a $80 \%$ or less loan-to-value that were sold to other investors and 1,600 loans that were sold to the HUD/VA. To date, repurchase activity pursuant to the terms of these representations and warranties has been insignificant and has resulted in no losses to Pinnacle National. The remaining mortgage loans were underwritten by the purchaser of those loans.

Based on information currently available, management believes that it does not have significant exposure to contingent losses that may arise relating to the representations and warranties that it has made in connection with its mortgage loan sales.

Due to the current focus in foreclosure practices of financial institutions nationwide, Pinnacle National evaluated its foreclosure process related to home equity and consumer mortgage loans within its loan portfolio. At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle National has
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$\$ 691.3$ million of home equity and consumer mortgage loans which are secured by first and second liens on residential properties. Foreclosure activity in this portfolio is minimal. Any foreclosures on these loans are handled by designated Pinnacle National personnel and external legal counsel, as appropriate, following established policies regarding legal and regulatory requirements. Pinnacle National has not imposed any freezes on foreclosures. Based on information currently available, management believes that it does not have significant exposure to faulty foreclosure practices. In addition, management believes that the nationwide foreclosure moratorium will not have a material adverse impact to our business.

Various legal claims also arise from time to time in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these claims outstanding at December 31, 2010 will not have a material impact on Pinnacle Financial's financial statements.

## Note 13. Organizer Common Stock Warrants

Three executives of Pinnacle Financial (the Chairman of the Board, the President and Chief Executive Officer, and the Chief Administrative Officer) along with three current members of Pinnacle Financial's Board of Directors and seven other organizers of Pinnacle Financial were awarded, in 2000 , warrants to acquire 406,000 shares of common stock at $\$ 5.00$ per share in connection with guarantees of organizational expenses. Prior to 2010, 121,000 warrants were exercised. During 2010 and prior to the August 17, 2010 expiration date of the warrants, the remaining 285,000 unexercised warrants were exercised and, as a result, there were no unexercised warrants issued to organizers outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010.

## Note 14. Salary Deferral Plans and Cavalry Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreements

Pinnacle Financial has a 401 (k) retirement plan (the 401 k Plan) covering all employees who elect to participate, subject to certain eligibility requirements. The Plan allows employees to defer up to $50 \%$ of their salary subject to regulatory limitations with Pinnacle Financial matching $100 \%$ of the first $4 \%$ of employee self-directed contributions during 2010, 2009, and 2008. Pinnacle Financial's expense associated with the matching component of the plan(s) for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 was approximately $\$ 1,931,000, \$ 1,844,000$ and $\$ 1,615,000$, respectively, and is included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations in salaries and employee benefits expense.

Prior to the merger with Pinnacle Financial, Cavalry maintained an employee stock ownership plan for the benefit of certain employees (the Cavalry ESOP). The Cavalry ESOP was a noncontributory retirement plan adopted by Cavalry in 1998 for the benefit of certain employees who meet minimum eligibility requirements. Cavalry was the plan sponsor and with the merger with Pinnacle Financial, Pinnacle Financial became the plan sponsor on March 15, 2006. On March 15, 2006, the Cavalry ESOP owned approximately 683,000 common shares of Pinnacle Financial. The Cavalry ESOP had no liabilities as of March 15, 2006, thus all of the Pinnacle Financial shares owned by the Cavalry ESOP were available for distribution to the participants in the Cavalry ESOP pursuant to the terms of the plan. The terms of the Cavalry ESOP did not change as a result of the merger with Pinnacle Financial.

Pursuant to the terms of the Cavalry ESOP, participation in the plan has been frozen as of March 15, 2006 and all participants in the plan were fully vested prior to the merger date. All assets of the plan were allocated to the participants pursuant to the plan's provisions. Thus, Pinnacle Financial is not required to make future contributions to the Cavalry ESOP. Distributions to participants are only made upon the termination from employment from Pinnacle Financial or the participant's death, at which time distributions will be made to the participant's beneficiaries.

Pinnacle National serves as the Trustee of the Cavalry ESOP. During the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, Pinnacle National assessed the Cavalry ESOP no fees as Trustee. Additionally, Pinnacle National incurred administrative expenses, primarily auditing and consulting expenses, of $\$ 10,000$ to maintain the Calvary ESOP in 2009 and 2008. During 2009, the Cavalry ESOP was terminated and all participant accounts were merged with and into the respective participant accounts of the Pinnacle 401k Plan.

Prior to the merger with Pinnacle Financial, Cavalry had adopted nonqualified noncontributory supplemental retirement agreements (the Cavalry SRAs) for certain directors and executive officers of Cavalry. Cavalry invested in and, as a result of the Cavalry merger, Pinnacle Financial is the owner of single premium life insurance policies on the life of each participant and is the beneficiary of the policy value. When a participant retires, the accumulated gains on the policy allocated to such participant, if any, will be distributed to the participant in equal installments for 15 years (the Primary Benefit). In addition, any annual gains after the retirement date of the participant will be distributed on an annual basis for the lifetime of the participant (the Secondary Benefit). As a result of the merger with Pinnacle Financial, all participants became fully vested in the Cavalry SRAs. No new participants have been added to the Cavalry SRAs following the merger with Pinnacle Financial.

The Cavalry SRAs also provide the participants with death benefits, which is a percentage of the net death proceeds for the policy, if any, applicable to the participant. The death benefits are not taxable to Pinnacle Financial or the participant's beneficiary.
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Pinnacle Financial recognized approximately $\$ 63,000, \$ 22,000$, and $\$ 59,000$ in compensation expense in each year of the threeyear period ended December 31, 2010 related to the Cavalry SRAs. During 2007, Pinnacle Financial offered a settlement to all participants in the Cavalry SRAs with eleven participants accepting the settlement. Two individuals remain as participants in the Cavalry SRAs. Additionally, Pinnacle Financial incurred approximately $\$ 4,000$ in administrative expenses to maintain the Cavalry SRA during the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, included in other liabilities is $\$ 994,000, \$ 1,042,000$, and $\$ 1,117,000$, respectively, which represents the net present value of the future obligations owed the two remaining participants in the Cavalry SRAs using a discount rate of 5\% at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

In June 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force issued ASC 715-60 Compensation - Retirement Benefits, Defined Benefit Plans, and Other Postretirement Split Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. ASC 715-60 concluded that deferred compensation or postretirement benefit aspects of an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement should be recognized as a liability by the employer and the obligation is not effectively settled by the purchase of a life insurance policy. The effective date was for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. On January 1, 2008, Pinnacle Financial accounted for ASC 715-60 as a change in accounting principle and recorded a liability of $\$ 985,000$ along with a corresponding adjustment of $\$ 599,000$ to beginning retained earnings, net of tax, related to Cavalry SRAs.

## Note 15. Stock Options, Stock Appreciation Rights and Restricted Shares

Pinnacle Financial has two equity incentive plans under which it has granted stock options to its employees to purchase common stock at or above the fair market value on the date of grant and granted restricted share awards to employees and directors. At December 31, 2010, there were approximately 717,000 shares available for issue under these plans.

During the first quarter of 2006 and in connection with its merger with Cavalry, Pinnacle Financial assumed a third equity incentive plan, the 1999 Cavalry Bancorp, Inc. Stock Option Plan (the "Cavalry Plan"). All options granted under the Cavalry Plan were fully vested prior to Pinnacle Financial's merger with Cavalry and expire at various dates between January 2011 and June 2012. In connection with the merger, all options to acquire Cavalry common stock were converted to options to acquire Pinnacle Financial common stock at the 0.95 exchange ratio. The exercise price of the outstanding options under the Cavalry Plan was adjusted using the same exchange ratio. All other terms of the Cavalry options were unchanged. At December 31, 2010, there were 23,788 Pinnacle shares which could be acquired by the participants in the Cavalry Plan at exercise prices that ranged between $\$ 10.26$ per share and $\$ 13.68$ per share.

On November 30, 2007 and in connection with its merger with Mid-America, Pinnacle Financial assumed several equity incentive plans, including the Mid-America Bancshares, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the Mid-America Plans). All options and stock appreciation rights granted under the Mid-America Plans were fully vested prior to Pinnacle Financial's merger with MidAmerica and expire at various dates between June 2011 and July 2017. In connection with the merger, all options and stock appreciation rights to acquire Mid-America common stock were converted to options or stock appreciation rights, as applicable, to acquire Pinnacle Financial common stock at the 0.4655 exchange ratio. The exercise price of the outstanding options and stock appreciation rights under the Mid-America Plans were adjusted using the same exchange ratio with the exercise price also being reduced by $\$ 1.50$ per share. All other terms of the Mid-America options and stock appreciation rights were unchanged. At December 31, 2010, there were 216,536 Pinnacle shares which could be acquired by the participants in the Mid-America Plan at exercise prices that ranged between $\$ 7.52$ per share and $\$ 20.41$ per share. At December 31, 2010, there were approximately 82,800 shares available for issue under the Mid-America Plans to associates of Pinnacle Financial that were associates of Mid-America or its affiliates at the time of the merger.

## Common Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights

As of December 31,2010, of the approximately $1,788,000$ stock options and 8,200 stock appreciation rights outstanding, 874,000 options were granted with the intention to be incentive stock options qualifying under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code for favorable tax treatment to the option holder while 914,000 options would be deemed non-qualified stock options or stock appreciation rights and thus not subject to favorable tax treatment to the option holder. Favorable treatment generally refers to the recipient of the award not having to report ordinary income at the date of exercise. All stock options granted under the Pinnacle Financial equity incentive plans vest in equal increments over five years from the date of grant and are exercisable over a period of ten years from the date of grant. All stock options and stock appreciation rights granted under the Cavalry Plan and Mid-America Plans were fullyvested at the date of those mergers.
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A summary of stock option and stock appreciation right activity within the equity incentive plans during each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 and information regarding expected vesting, contractual terms remaining, intrinsic values and other matters was as follows:

## Outstanding at December 31, 2007

Granted
Stock options exercised
Stock appreciation rights exercised ${ }^{(2)}$
Forfeited
Outstanding at December 31, 2008
Granted
Stock options exercised

| Number | Weighted- <br> Average Exercise Price | WeightedAverage Contractual Remaining Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value ${ }^{(1)}$ (000's) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,398,823 | \$ 16.84 |  |  |
| 163,360 | 21.51 |  |  |
| $(264,104)$ | 12.81 |  |  |
| $(3,738)$ | 15.60 |  |  |
| $(62,241)$ | 23.76 |  |  |
| 2,232,100 | \$ 17.41 |  |  |

Stock appreciation rights exercised ${ }^{(2)}$
Forfeited
Outstanding at December 31, 2009

| - | - |
| ---: | ---: |
| $(63,754)$ | 9.67 |
| - | - |
| $(18,572)$ | 26.80 |
| $\mathbf{2 , 1 4 9 , 7 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 . 5 4}$ |

Granted
Stock options exercised

| $(316,014)$ | 6.45 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(232)$ | 15.60 |  |  |  |
| $(37,743)$ | 21.89 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 , 7 9 5 , 7 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 9 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 7 6 3 , 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8 2}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 7 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 8 , 5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 5 3}$ |

(1) The aggregate intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying awards and the quoted price of Pinnacle Financial common stock of $\$ 13.58$ per common share at December 31, 2010 for the 542,200 options and stock appreciation rights that were in-the-money at December 3I, 2010.
(2) The 3,738 stock appreciation rights exercised during 2008 settled in 1,208 shares of Pinnacle Financial common stock. There were no stock appreciation rights exercised during 2009. The 232 stock appreciation rights exercised during 2010 settled in 13 shares of Pinnacle Financial common stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, approximately 418,000 option awards vested at an average exercise price of $\$ 26.49$. Those awards which vested and were in the money had an intrinsic value of approximately $\$ 32,000$.

During each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, the aggregate intrinsic value of options and stock appreciation rights exercised under Pinnacle Financial's equity incentive plans was $\$ 1,919,000, \$ 640,000$ and $\$ 3,409,000$, respectively, determined as of the date of option exercise. As of December 31, 2010, there was approximately $\$ 1.6$ million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options granted under our equity incentive plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.
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Pinnacle Financial adopted ASC 718-20 Compensation using the modified prospective transition method on January 1, 2006. Accordingly, during the three-years ended December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial recorded stock-based compensation expense using the Black-Scholes valuation model for awards granted prior to, but not yet vested, as of January 1, 2006 and for stock-based awards granted after January 1, 2006, based on fair value estimates using the Black-Scholes valuation model. For these awards, Pinnacle Financial has recognized compensation expense using a straight-line amortization method. As ASC 718-20 requires that stock-based compensation expense be based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest, stock-based compensation for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. The impact on the results of operations (compensation and employee benefits expense) and earnings per share of recording stock-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718-20 (related to stock option awards) for the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 was as follows:


Impact on income per share: Basic
Fully diluted

| $\$ 0.01$ | $\$ 0.04$ | $\$ 0.06$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 0.01$ | $\$ 0.04$ | $\$ 0.05$ |

There were no options granted in the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. The fair value of options granted for the year ended December 31, 2008 were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions:

|  | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Risk free interest rate | $3.20 \%$ |
| Expected life of options | 6.50 years |
| Expected dividend yield | $0.00 \%$ |
| Expected volatility | $28.5 \%$ |
| Weighted average fair value | $\$ 7.76$ |

Pinnacle Financial's computation of expected volatility is based on weekly historical volatility since September of 2002. Pinnacle Financial used the simplified method in determining the estimated life of stock option issuances. The risk free interest rate of the award is based on the closing market bid for U.S. Treasury securities corresponding to the expected life of the stock option issuances in effect at the time of grant.
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## Restricted Shares

Additionally, Pinnacle Financial's 2004 Equity Incentive Plan provides for the granting of restricted share awards and other performance or market-based awards. There were no market-based awards or stock appreciation rights outstanding as of December 31, 2010 under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. During the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial awarded 190,718 shares in $2008,310,773$ shares in 2009 and 312,219 shares in 2010 of restricted common stock awards to certain Pinnacle Financial associates and outside directors.

A summary of activity for unvested restricted share awards for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 follows:

|  | Number | Grant Date WeightedAverage Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unvested at December 31, 2007 | 60,039 | \$ 29.94 |
| Shares awarded | 190,718 | 23.30 |
| Restrictions lapsed and shares released to associates/directors | $(11,403)$ | 27.56 |
| Shares forfeited | $(7,473)$ | 25.20 |
| Unvested at December 31, 2008 | 231,881 | \$ 24.76 |
| Shares awarded | 310,733 | 19.13 |
| Restrictions lapsed and shares released to associates/directors | $(39,838)$ | 24.20 |
| Shares forfeited | $(21,892)$ | 29.48 |
| Unvested at December 31, 2009 | 480,884 | \$ 21.03 |
| Shares awarded | 312,219 | 14.35 |
| Restrictions lapsed and shares released to associates/directors | $(80,328)$ | 18.60 |
| Shares forfeited | $(75,231)$ | 22.37 |
| Unvested at December 31, 2010 | 637,544 | \$ 17.63 |

Pinnacle Financial grants restricted share awards to associates, executive management and outside directors with a combination of time and performance vesting criteria. The following tables outline restricted stock grants that were made by grant year, grouped by similar vesting criteria, during the three year period ended December 31, 2010. The table below reflects the life-to-date activity for these awards:

| Grant Year | Group ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ | Vesting <br> Period in years | Shares awarded | Restrictions <br> Lapsed and shares released to participants ${ }^{(1)}$ | Shares Withheld for taxes by participants ${ }^{(1)}$ | Shares Forfeited by participants | Shares Unvested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Based Awards ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Associates | 5 | 127,095 | 49,533 | 5,428 | 14,475 | 57,659 |
| 2009 | Associates | 5 | 173,114 | 35,852 | 6,119 | 14,132 | 117,011 |
| 2010 | Associates | 5 | 140,849 | 175 | 46 | 7,500 | 133,128 |
| 2008 | Leadership team | 10 | 26,805 | 6,522 | 509 | - | 19,774 |
| Performance Based Awards ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Leadership team ${ }^{(4)}$ | 3 | 26,805 | - | - | 26,805 | - |
| 2009 | Leadership team ${ }^{(5)}$ | 10 | 92,669 | - | - | 12,675 | 79,994 |
| 2009 | Leadership team | 3 | 30,878 | - | - | - | 30,878 |
| 2010 | Leadership team ${ }^{(6)}$ | 3 | 39,250 | - | - |  | 39,250 |
| 2010 | Leadership team ${ }^{(7)}$ | 10 | 59,568 | - | - | - | 59,568 |
| 2010 | Leadership team ${ }^{(7)}$ | 2 | 58,203 | - | - | - | 58,203 |
| Outside Director Awards ${ }^{(8)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Outside directors | 1 | 9,763 | 7,508 | 1,504 | 751 | - |
| 2009 | Outside directors | 1 | 14,112 | 12,348 | 1,764 | - | - |
| 2010 | Outside directors ${ }^{(9)}$ | 1 | 17,199 | 17,199 | - | - |  |

(1) Groups include our employees (referred to as associates above), our executive managers (referred to as our Leadership Team above) and our outside directors. Included in the Leadership Team awards noted above are awards to our named executive officers. When the restricted shares are awarded, a participant receives voting rights with respect to the shares, but is not able to transfer the shares until the restrictions have lapsed. Once the restrictions lapse, the participant is taxed on the value of the award and, subject to the limitations of the CPP, may elect to sell shares to pay the applicable income taxes associated with the award
(2) These shares vest in equal annual installments on the anniversary date of the grant. For those grants with a 10 year vesting period, the vesting period for individual awards is equal to ten years or the number of years remaining before an associate reaches the age of 65 whichever is less.
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(3) The forfeiture restrictions on these restricted share awards lapse in separate equal installments should Pinnacle Financial achieve certain earnings and soundness targets over each year of the subsequent vesting period (or alternatively, the cumulative vesting period), excluding the impact of any merger related expenses.
(4) These awards were forfeited in February 2011 as a result of 2010 financial performance as well as the Company's cumulative performance over the last three years.
(5) These awards include a provision that the shares do not vest if Pinnacle Financial is not profitable for the fiscal year immediately preceding the vesting date.
(6) The forfeiture restrictions on 19,397 restricted share awards of the 39,250 awards lapse in installments as follows: $66.6 \%$ on the second anniversary date should Pinnacle Financial achieve certain earnings and soundness targets, and $33.4 \%$ on the third anniversary date should Pinnacle Financial achieve certain earnings and soundness targets in each of these periods (or, alternatively, the cumulative three-year period).
(7) The forfeiture restriction on 58,203 restricted share awards in 2010 lapse in one lump sum on the second anniversary date of the grant so long as Pinnacle Financial is profitable for the fiscal year immediately preceding the vesting date.
(8) Restricted share awards are issued to the outside members of the board of directors in accordance with their board compensation plan. Restrictions lapsed on the one year anniversary date of the award based on each individual board member meeting their attendance goals for the various board and board committee meetings to which each member was scheduled to attend. All board members who had been granted these restricted shares met their attendance goals with the exception of one board member during 2008 who resigned his board seat and forfeited his restricted share award of 751 shares.
(9) Represents shares that will be released in February 2011, net of taxes.

Compensation expense associated with the performance based restricted share awards is recognized over the time period that the restrictions associated with the awards are anticipated to lapse based on a graded vesting schedule such that each traunche is amortized separately. Compensation expense associated with the time based restricted share awards is recognized over the time period that the restrictions associated with the awards lapse based on the total cost of the award.

A summary of compensation expense, net of the impact of income taxes, related to restricted stock awards for the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, follows:

Stock-based compensation expense ${ }^{(1)}$
Income tax benefit
Impact of stock-based compensation expense, net of income tax benefit
Impact on income (loss) per share:
Basic
Fully diluted

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,303,720$ | $\$ 1,444,274$ | $\$ 425,050$ |
| 903,749 | 566,589 | 166,747 |
|  |  |  |
| $\$ 1,399,971$ | $\$ 877,685$ | $\$ 258,303$ |

(1) During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, $\$ 149,000, \$ 172,000$ and $\$ 328,000$, respectively, in previously expensed compensation associated with certain traunches of restricted share awards was reversed when Pinnacle Financial determined that the performance targets required to vest the awards were unlikely to be achieved.

## Note 16. Derivative Instruments

Financial derivatives are reported at fair value in other assets or other liabilities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship. For derivatives not designated as hedges, the gain or loss is recognized in current earnings. Beginning in 2007, Pinnacle Financial entered into interest rate swaps ("swaps") to facilitate customer transactions and meet their financing needs. Upon entering into these instruments to meet customer needs, Pinnacle Financial enters into offsetting positions in order to minimize the risk to Pinnacle Financial. These swaps qualify as derivatives, but are not designated as hedging instruments.

Interest rate swap contracts involve the risk of dealing with counterparties and their ability to meet contractual terms. When the fair value of a derivative instrument contract is positive, this generally indicates that the counter party or customer owes Pinnacle Financial, and results in credit risk to Pinnacle Financial. When the fair value of a derivative instrument contract is negative, Pinnacle Financial owes the customer or counterparty and therefore, has no credit risk.
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A summary of Pinnacle Financial's interest rate swaps as of December 31, 2010 is included in the following table (dollars in thousands):

|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Interest rate swap agreements: |  |  |
| Pay fixed/receive variable swaps | \$ 254,048 | \$ (14,441) |
| Pay variable / receive fixed swaps | 254,048 | 14,639 |
| Total | \$ 508,096 | \$ 198 |

## Note 17. Employment Contracts

Pinnacle Financial has entered into, and subsequently amended, four continuously automatic-renewing three-year employment agreements with four of its senior executives: the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chairman of the Board, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. These agreements, as amended, will always have a three-year term unless any of the parties to the agreements gives notice of intent not to renew the agreement. The agreements specify that in certain defined "Terminating Events," Pinnacle Financial will be obligated to pay each of the four senior executives certain amounts, which vary according to the Terminating Event, which is based on their annual salaries and bonuses. These Terminating Events include disability, cause, without cause and other events. In connection with the CPP, the agreements were modified in 2008 to comply with certain limitations specified in the CPP for payment upon certain terminations of employment. In 2009, and in connection with the ongoing developments and updated and revised regulations related to executive compensation issued by the U.S. Treasury under the CPP, the four senior executives again waived their right to receive certain benefits from Pinnacle Financial should payment of the benefit be prohibited by the CPP's limitations on executive compensation at the time of payment would have otherwise been triggered.

Pinnacle Financial has business protection agreements with three former executive officers and directors of Mid-America. Under the terms of these agreements, the former executive officer and directors have agreed that they will not actively participate or engage directly or indirectly in a competing business within the Nashville MSA and the counties contiguous to the Nashville MSA until the earlier of (1) voluntary retirement after reaching age 65; (2) any transaction whereby Pinnacle Financial is acquired; or (3) August 31, 2011. In exchange for this agreement, each executive is entitled to receive their future monthly salary while employed or $\$ 10,000$ per month after their employment until the occurrence of one of the terminating events.

## Note 18. Related Party Transactions

A local public relations company, of which one of Pinnacle Financial's directors is a principal, provides various services for Pinnacle Financial. For each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial incurred approximately $\$ 234,000, \$ 282,000$, and $\$ 287,000$, respectively, in expense for services rendered by this public relations company. Another former director is an officer in an insurance firm that serves as an agent in securing insurance in such areas as Pinnacle Financial's property and casualty insurance and other insurance policies.

During 2004, Pinnacle Financial's wholly-owned subsidiary, Pinnacle Credit Enhancement Holdings, Inc. ("PCEH"), acquired a $24.5 \%$ membership interest in Collateral Plus, LLC. Collateral Plus, LLC serves as an intermediary between investors and borrowers in certain financial transactions whereby the borrowers require enhanced collateral in the form of guarantees or letters of credit issued by the investors for the benefit of banks and other financial institutions. An employee of Pinnacle National also owns a $24.5 \%$ interest in Collateral Plus, LLC. PCEH's $24.5 \%$ ownership of Collateral Plus, LLC resulted in pre-tax earnings of $\$ 113,000$ in 2010, $\$ 309,000$ in 2009, and $\$ 95,000$ in 2008.

Also see Note 6-Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses, concerning loans and other extensions of credit to certain directors, officers, and their related entities and Note 14 - Salary Deferral Plans and Calvary Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreements regarding supplemental retirement agreement obligations to two directors who were formerly directors of Cavalry.

## Note 19. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The definition of fair value focuses on the exit price, i.e., the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, not the entry price, i.e., the price that would be paid to acquire the
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asset or received to assume the liability at the measurement date. The statement emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement; not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, the fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

## Valuation Hierarchy

ASC 820 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 - inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 - inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
- Level 3 - inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such assets and liabilities pursuant to the valuation hierarchy. ASC 820 further requires distinction between assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis. Assets and liabilities that require recurring fair value measurement include items that are typically financial in nature and are recorded at fair value through the financial statements such as available-for-sale securities. Assets and liabilities fair valued on a nonrecurring basis include items that are initially valued in the financial statements using fair value, but the values are not routinely adjusted, such as impaired assets and other real estate owned.


#### Abstract

Assets Securities available-for-sale - Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include highly liquid government securities and certain other products. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. In certain cases where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, securities are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Impaired loans - A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable Pinnacle Financial will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected payments using the loan's original effective rate as the discount rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds the measure of fair value, a valuation allowance may be established as a component of the allowance for loan losses or the expense is recognized as a charge-off. Impaired loans are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy.


Other investments - Included in other investments are investments in certain nonpublic private equity funds. The valuation of nonpublic private equity investments requires significant management judgment due to the absence of quoted market prices, inherent lack of liquidity and the long-term nature of such assets. These investments are valued initially based upon transaction price. The carrying values of other investments are adjusted either upwards or downwards from the transaction price to reflect expected exit values as evidenced by financing and sale transactions with third parties, or when determination of a valuation adjustment is confirmed through ongoing reviews by senior investment managers. A variety of factors are reviewed and monitored to assess positive and negative changes in valuation including, but not limited to, current operating performance and future expectations of the particular investment, industry valuations of comparable public companies, changes in market outlook and the third-party financing environment over time. In determining valuation adjustments resulting from the investment review process, emphasis is placed on current company performance and market conditions. These investments are included in Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Other real estate owned - Other real estate owned, consisting of properties obtained through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans, is initially recorded at fair value, determined on the basis of current appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates of value obtained principally from independent sources, adjusted for estimated selling costs. At the time of foreclosure, any excess of the loan
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balance over the fair value of the real estate held as collateral is treated as a charge against the allowance for loan losses. Gains or losses on sale and any subsequent adjustments to the fair value are recorded as a component of foreclosed real estate expense. Other real estate owned is included in Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Other assets - Included in other assets are certain assets carried at fair value, including the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance policies, loan participation interest rate spreads, and interest rate swap agreements. The carrying amount of the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance is based on information received from the insurance carriers indicating the financial performance of the policies and the amount Pinnacle Financial would receive should the policies be surrendered. Pinnacle Financial reflects these assets within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The loan participation interest rate spreads discussed more fully in Note 6 are also included within other assets in Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The carrying amount of interest rate swap agreements is based on information obtained from a third party bank. Pinnacle Financial reflects these assets within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

## Liabilities

Other liabilities - Pinnacle Financial has certain liabilities carried at fair value including certain interest rate swap agreements. The fair value of these liabilities is based on information obtained from a third party bank and is reflected within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

The following tables present the financial instruments carried at fair value as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, by caption on the consolidated balance sheets and by ASC Topic 820 valuation hierarchy (as described above) (dollars in thousands):

## Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31,

|  | Total carrying value in the consolidated balance sheet | Quoted market prices in an active market$\qquad$ |  |  | Models with significant observable market parameters (Level 2) |  | Models with significant unobservable market parameters (Level 3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| U.S. government agency securities | 90,415 |  |  | - |  | 90,415 |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 701,262 |  |  |  |  | 701,262 |  |  |
| State and municipal securities | 211,481 |  |  | - |  | 211,481 |  |  |
| Corporate notes and other | 11,159 |  |  | - |  | 11,159 |  |  |
|  | 1,014,317 |  |  |  |  | ,014,317 |  |  |
| Other investments | 2,693 |  |  | - |  | - |  | 2,693 |
| Other assets | 62,710 |  |  | - |  | 14,441 |  | 48,269 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$1,079,720 | \$ |  | - |  | ,028,758 | \$ | 50,962 |
| Other liabilities | \$14,639 | \$ |  | - | \$ | 14,639 | \$ | - |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$14,639 | \$ |  | - | \$ | 14,639 | \$ |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| U.S. government agency securities | 195,428 |  |  | - |  | 195,428 |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 517,691 |  |  | - |  | 517,691 |  | - |
| State and municipal securities | 207,295 |  |  | - |  | 207,295 |  | - |
| Corporate notes and other | 10,598 |  |  | - |  | 10,598 |  | - |
|  | 931,012 |  |  |  |  | 931,012 |  |  |
| Other investments | 1,999 |  |  | - |  |  |  | 1,999 |
| Other assets | 57,391 |  |  | - |  | 9,872 |  | 47,519 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 990,402 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 940,884 | \$ | 49,518 |
| Other liabilities | \$ 10,054 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 10,054 | \$ | - |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ 10,054 |  | \$ | - | \$ | 10,054 | \$ | - |
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## Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of December 31,

| 2010 | Total carrying value in the consolidated balance sheet |  |  | Quoted market prices in an active market (Level 1) |  | Models with significant observable market parameters (Level 2) |  | Models with significant unobservable market parameters (Level 3) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans, net ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | \$ | 71,906 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | 71,906 |
| Other real estate owned |  |  | 59,608 |  | - |  |  |  |  | 59,608 |
|  | \$ |  | 131,514 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ |  | 131,514 |

2009
Impaired loans, net ${ }^{(1)}$
Other real estate owned

| $\$ 105,425$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$ 105,425$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 29,603 |  | - |  | - |  |
| $\$ 135,028$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$ 135,028$ |

[^2]
## Level changes in fair value measurements

In January 2010, the FASB updated ASC 820-10 to include disclosure requirements surrounding transfers of assets and liabilities in and out of Levels 1 and 2. Previous guidance only required transfer disclosures for Level 3 assets and liabilities. Pinnacle Financial monitors the valuation technique utilized by various pricing agencies, in the case of the bond portfolio to ascertain when transfers between levels have been affected. The nature of the remaining assets and liabilities is such that transfers in and out of any level are expected to be rare. For the year ended December 31, 2010, there were no transfers between levels. The new standard also requires an increased level of disaggregation within asset/liability classes. Pinnacle Financial has disaggregated other assets and liabilities as shown to comply with the requirements of this standard.

The table below includes a rollforward of the balance sheet amounts for the year ended December 31, 2010 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified by Pinnacle Financial within level 3 of the valuation hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, since level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources), the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Twelve months ended December 31, (dollars in thousands) | Other assets | Other liabilities | Other assets | Other liabilities |
| Fair value, January 1 | \$ 49,518 | \$ | \$ 48,974 | \$ |
| Total realized gains included in income | 1,022 | - | 245 | - |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income for assets and liabilities still held at December 31 | - | - | - |  |
| Purchases, issuances and settlements, net | 422 | - | 577 | - |
| Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 | - | - | (278) |  |
| Fair value, December 31 | \$ 50,962 | \$ | \$49,518 | \$ |
| Total realized gains included in income related to financial assets and liabilities still on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31 | \$ 1,022 | \$ | \$ 245 | \$ |

The following methods and assumptions were used by Pinnacle Financial in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments that are not measured at fair value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using discounted cash flow models. Those models are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rates and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The use of different methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. Such amounts have not been revalued for
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purposes of these consolidated financial statements since those dates and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

Cash and cash equivalents - The carrying amounts of cash, due from banks, federal funds sold, and short-term discount notes approximate their fair value.

Securities held-to-maturity and available-for-sale - Estimated fair values for securities held-to-maturity are based on quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

Loans - For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values approximate carrying values. For other loans, fair values are estimated using discounted cash flow models, using current market interest rates offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. This method of estimating fair value does not incorporate the exit-price/market-participant concept of fair value prescribed by ASC $820-10$ and generally produces a higher value than an exit approach/market-participant approach. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using discounted cash flow models or based on the fair value of the underlying collateral.

Mortgage loans held-for-sale - The inputs for valuation of these assets are based on the anticipated sales price of these loans as the loans are usually sold within a few weeks of their origination.

Deposits, Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase, Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Other Borrowings and Subordinated Debt - The carrying amounts of demand deposits, savings deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, floating rate advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank and floating rate subordinated debt approximate their fair values. Fair values for certificates of deposit, fixed rate advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank and fixed rate subordinated debt are estimated using discounted cash flow models, using current market interest rates offered on certificates, advances and other borrowings with similar remaining maturities. For fixed rate subordinated debt, the maturity is assumed to be as of the earliest date that the indebtedness will be repriced.

Off-Balance Sheet Instruments - The fair values of Pinnacle Financial's off-balance-sheet financial instruments are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements. However, commitments to extend credit do not represent a significant value to Pinnacle Financial until such commitments are funded. Pinnacle Financial has determined that the fair value of commitments to extend credit is not significant.
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The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of Pinnacle Financial's financial instruments at December 31, 2010 and December 31,2009 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | arrying mount | Estimated Fair Value |  | Carrying Amount |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash, due from banks, and Federal funds sold | \$ | 188,586 | \$ | 188,586 | \$ | 166,602 | \$ | 166,602 |
| Securities available-for-sale |  | 1,014,317 |  | 1,014,317 |  | 931,012 |  | 931,012 |
| Securities held-to-maturity |  | 4,320 |  | 4,412 |  | 6,542 |  | 6,737 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale |  | 16,206 |  | 16,206 |  | 12,441 |  | 12,441 |
| Loans, net |  | 3,129,865 |  | 3,184,437 |  | 3,471,423 |  | 3,477,104 |
| Derivative assets |  | 14,441 |  | 14,441 |  | 9,872 |  | 9,872 |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | 47,724 |  | 47,724 |  | 46,811 |  | 46,811 |
| Other Investments |  | 2,693 |  | 2,693 |  | 1,999 |  | 1,999 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | \$ | 3,979,352 | \$ | 3,974,408 | \$ | 4,099,064 | \$ | 4,119,262 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings |  | 121,393 |  | 126,399 |  | 212,655 |  | 215,503 |
| Subordinated debt |  | 97,476 |  | 75,360 |  | $97,476$ |  | $102,607$ |
| Derivative liabilities |  | 14,639 |  | 14,639 |  | 10,054 |  | 10,054 |
|  |  | tional mount |  |  |  | otional mount |  |  |
| Off-balance sheet instruments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitments to extend credit |  | 848,023 | \$ | - |  | 946,888 | \$ | - |
| Standby letters of credit |  | 75,172 |  | 275 |  | 89,732 |  | 312 |

## Note 20. Variable Interest Entities

Effective January 1, 2010, Pinnacle Financial adopted the provisions of ASC Topic 860 and ASC Topic 810. ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing, provides for the removal of the qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE) concept from GAAP, resulting in these entities being considered Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) which must be evaluated for consolidation on and after its effective date. ASC 810 , Consolidation, revises the criteria for determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE by replacing the quantitative-based risks and rewards test previously required with a qualitative analysis. The updated provisions of ASC 810 clarify that a VIE exists when the equity investors as a group lack either the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or the right to receive the expected benefits of the entity, or when the equity investors as a group do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities by itself. A variable interest is a contractual, ownership or other interest that fluctuates with changes in the fair value of the VIE's net assets exclusive of variable interests.

Under ASC 810, as amended, Pinnacle Financial is deemed to be the primary beneficiary and required to consolidate a VIE if it has a variable interest in the VIE that provides it with a controlling financial interest. For such purposes, the determination of whether a controlling financial interest exists is based on whether a single party has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant. ASC 810, as amended, requires continual reconsideration of conclusions reached regarding which interest holder is a VIE's primary beneficiary and disclosures surrounding those VIE's which have not been consolidated. The consolidation methodology provided in this footnote for the year ended December 31, 2010, has been prepared in accordance with ASC 810.

The adoption of the above standards impacted many financial institutions that previously securitized mortgage loans and subsequently sold those loans to a QSPE. Pinnacle Financial does not securitize loans. Because Pinnacle Financial originates and sells mortgage loans to independent third-party institutions, the elimination of the QSPE concept did not have a material impact on our financial statements and did not result in the consolidation of any QSPE's. Further, at December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial did not have any consolidated VIEs to disclose but did have several nonconsolidated VIEs, discussed below.
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## Non-consolidated Variable Interest Entities

Since 2003, Pinnacle Financial has made equity investments as a limited partner in various partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital and to support Pinnacle Financial's community reinvestment initiatives. The activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development, and operation of multi-family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants generally within Pinnacle Financial's primary geographic region. These partnerships are considered VIEs because Pinnacle Financial, as the holder of the equity investment at risk, does not have the ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the success of the entity through voting rights or similar rights. While Pinnacle Financial could absorb losses that are significant to these partnerships as it has a risk of loss for its initial capital contributions and funding commitments to each partnership, it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the partnerships as the general partners whose managerial functions give them the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the partnerships' economic performance and who are exposed to all losses beyond Pinnacle Financial's initial capital contributions and funding commitments are considered the primary beneficiaries.

Pinnacle Financial has previously issued subordinated debt totaling $\$ 82.5$ million to PNFP Statutory Trust I, II, III, and IV. These trusts are considered VIEs because Pinnacle Financial's capital contributions to these trusts are not considered "at risk" in evaluating whether the holders of the equity investments at risk in the trusts have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. These trusts were not consolidated by Pinnacle Financial because the holders of the securities issued by the trusts absorb a majority of expected losses and residual returns.

For certain troubled commercial loans, Pinnacle Financial restructures the terms of the borrower's debt in an effort to increase the probability of receipt of amounts contractually due. However, Pinnacle Financial does not assume decision-making power or responsibility over the borrower's operations. Following a debt restructuring, the borrowing entity typically meets the definition of a VIE as the initial determination of whether the entity is a VIE must be reconsidered and economic events have proven that the entity's equity is not sufficient to permit it to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or a restructuring of the terms of its financing. As Pinnacle Financial does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact such troubled commercial borrowers' operations, it is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where, based on the size of the financing provided, Pinnacle Financial is exposed to potentially significant benefits and losses of the borrowing entity. Pinnacle Financial has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the borrowing entities beyond certain funding commitments established upon restructuring of the terms of the debt to allow for completion of activities which prepare the collateral related to the debt for sale.

Pinnacle Financial serves as manager over certain discretionary trusts, for which it makes investment decisions on behalf of the trusts' beneficiaries in return for a reasonable management fee. The trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. However, since the management fees Pinnacle Financial receives are not considered variable interests in the trusts as all of the requirements related to permitted levels of decision maker fees are met, such VIEs are not consolidated by Pinnacle Financial because it cannot be the trusts' primary beneficiary. Pinnacle Financial has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

The following table summarizes VIE's that are not consolidated by Pinnacle Financial as of December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

| Type | Maximum <br> Loss Exposure | Liability <br> Recognized | Classification |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Low Income Housing Partnerships | $\$ 4,095$ | $\$$ | - | Other Assets |
| Trust Preferred Issuances | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | 82,476 | Subordinated Debt |
| Accruing Restructured Commercial Loans | 19,907 |  | - | Loans |
| Managed Discretionary Trusts | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Note 21. Regulatory Matters

Pinnacle National is subject to restrictions on the payment of dividends to Pinnacle Financial under federal banking laws and the regulations of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ( OCC ). Pinnacle Financial is also subject to limits on payment of dividends to its shareholders by the rules, regulations and policies of federal banking authorities and by its participation in the CPP. Pinnacle Financial has not paid any cash dividends since inception, and it does not anticipate that it will consider paying dividends until Pinnacle Financial generates sufficient capital through net income from operations to support both anticipated asset growth and
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dividend payments. Pursuant to federal banking regulations and due to losses incurred in 2009 and 2010. Pinnacle National may not, without the prior consent of the OCC, pay any dividends to Pinnacle Financial until such time that current year profits exceed the net losses and dividends of the prior two years. Until such time as it may receive dividends from Pinnacle National, Pinnacle Financial anticipates servicing its preferred stock dividend and subordinated indebtedness requirements from its available cash balances. Pinnacle Financial has informally agreed to obtain prior approval of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta before making such dividend and subordinated debt payments.

Pinnacle Financial and its banking subsidiary are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions, by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, Pinnacle Financial and Pinnacle National must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Pinnacle Financial's and Pinnacle National's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require Pinnacle Financial and its banking subsidiary to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total and Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets and of Tier I capital to average assets. Management believes, as of December 31, 2010, that Pinnacle Financial and Pinnacle National met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. To be categorized as well-capitalized, Pinnacle National must maintain minimum Total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. Pinnacle Financial and Pinnacle National's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the following table (dollars in thousands):


[^3]In January 2010, Pinnacle National informally agreed to an OCC requirement to maintain a minimum Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of $8 \%$ and a minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of $12 \%$. As noted above, Pinnacle National had $9.19 \%$ of Tier 1 capital to average assets and $13.41 \%$ of total capital to risk-weighted assets at December 31, 2010. Pinnacle Financial contributed approximately $\$ 25$ million to Pinnacle National as of the quarter ended June 30, 2010. At December 31, 2010, Pinnacle Financial has $\$ 64.1$ million of cash available, if required, for further capital support of Pinnacle National.
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## Note 22. Parent Company Only Financial Information

The following information presents the condensed balance sheets, statements of operations, and cash flows of Pinnacle Financial as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010:

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 64,144,219 | \$ | 99,847,913 |
| Investments in consolidated subsidiaries |  | 690,046,284 |  | 686,710,164 |
| Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries: |  |  |  |  |
| PNFP Statutory Trust I |  | 310,000 |  | 310,000 |
| PNFP Statutory Trust II |  | 619,000 |  | 619,000 |
| PNFP Statutory Trust III |  | 619,000 |  | 619,000 |
| PNFP Statutory Trust IV |  | 928,000 |  | 928,000 |
| Other investments |  | 2,693,315 |  | 1,999,123 |
| Current income tax receivable |  | 10,365,547 |  | 7,613,652 |
| Other assets |  | 5,822,711 |  | 4,256,269 |
|  | \$ | 775,548,076 | \$ | 802,903,121 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |
| Income taxes payable to subsidiaries | \$ | 15,548,096 | \$ | 19,344,038 |
| Subordinated debt and other borrowings |  | 82,476,000 |  | 82,476,000 |
| Other liabilities |  | 66,694 |  | 62,902 |
| Stockholders' equity |  | 677,457,286 |  | 701,020,181 |
|  | \$ | 775,548,076 | \$ | 802,903,121 |

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Revenues - Interest income
Expenses:
Interest expense - subordinated debentures
Stock-based compensation expense
Other expense
Loss before income taxes and equity in undistributed (loss) income of subsidiaries
Income tax benefit
Loss before equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries and accretion on preferred stock discount
Equity in undistributed income (loss) of subsidiaries
Net income (loss)
Preferred stock dividends
Accretion on preferred stock discount
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $1,054,997$ | $\$$ | 364,501 |
|  | $\$$ | 242,546 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $3,749,085$ | $3,318,982$ | $5,470,827$ |  |
| $3,981,013$ | $3,251,003$ | $2,347,429$ |  |
| 702,729 | 888,709 | 442,654 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $(6,377,829)$ | $(7,094,193)$ | $(8,018,364)$ |  |
| $(2,125,035)$ | $(2,420,852)$ | $(3,021,794)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $(4,252,794)$ | $(4,673,341)$ | $(4,996,570)$ |  |
| $(20,047,698)$ | $(30,826,626)$ | $35,870,488$ |  |
| $(24,300,492)$ | $(35,499,967)$ | $30,873,918$ |  |
| $4,815,972$ | $4,815,972$ | 263,889 |  |
| $1,326,049$ | $1,113,986$ | 45,451 |  |
| $\$(30,442,514)$ | $\$(41,429,925)$ | $\$ 30,564,578$ |  |
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## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ $(24,300,492)$ | \$ $(35,499,967)$ | \$ $30,873,918$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 3,981,013 | 3,251,003 | 2,347,429 |
| Loss (gain) on other investments | $(272,116)$ | 126,181 | 253,153 |
| Decrease in income tax payable, net | 12,796,200 | $(6,996,798)$ | $(1,171,651)$ |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | $(2,408,735)$ | $(3,345,104)$ | 2,839,142 |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | $(19,339,542)$ | 19,098,558 | $(1,104,509)$ |
| Excess tax benefit from stock compensation | $(16,776)$ | $(53,538)$ | $(875,114)$ |
| Deferred tax benefit | 842,294 | 1,161,845 | 3,818,553 |
| Equity in undistributed (income) loss of subsidiaries | 20,047,698 | 30,826,626 | $(35,870,488)$ |
| Net cash provided (used) by operating activities | $(8,670,458)$ | 8,568,806 | 1,110,433 |
| Investing activities: |  |  |  |
| Investment in consolidated subsidiaries: |  |  |  |
| Banking subsidiaries | $(25,000,000)$ | $(80,787,000)$ | $(54,975,000)$ |
| Other subsidiaries | $(200,000)$ | $(100,000)$ | $(250,000)$ |
| Investments in other entities | $(422,076)$ | $(576,689)$ | $(546,633)$ |
| Net cash used by investing activities | $(25,622,076)$ | $(81,463,689)$ | $(55,771,633)$ |
| Financing activities: |  |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in borrowings from line of credit | - | $(18,000,000)$ | 9,000,000 |
| Exercise of common stock warrants | 285,000 | 300,000 | 250,000 |
| Exercise of common stock options | 3,037,064 | 666,034 | 3,403,457 |
| Preferred dividends paid | $(4,750,000)$ | (4,393,751) | - |
| Excess tax benefit from stock compensation arrangements | 16,776 | 53,538 | 875,114 |
| Issuance of common stock, net of offering costs | - | 109,027,785 | 21,454,758 |
| Issuance of preferred stock, net of offering costs | - | - | 94,937,935 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | $(1,411,160)$ | 87,653,606 | 129,921,264 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | $(35,703,694)$ | 14,758,723 | 75,260,064 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 99,847,913 | 85,089,190 | 9,829,126 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 64,144,219 | \$ 99,847,913 | \$85,089,190 |

Pinnacle National is subject to restrictions on the payment of dividends to Pinnacle Financial under federal banking laws and the regulations of the OCC. Pinnacle National did not pay any dividends to Pinnacle Financial during 2010. During each of the years ended in the two-year period December 31, 2009, Pinnacle National paid dividends of $\$ 8,213,000$ and $\$ 5,025,000$, respectively, to Pinnacle Financial.

## PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Note 22. Quarterly Financial Results (unaudited)

A summary of selected consolidated quarterly financial data for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 follows:

| (in thousands, except per share data) | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 52,690 | \$ 50,929 | \$ 50,650 | \$ 49,079 |
| Net interest income | 36,560 | 35,697 | 36,060 | 36,056 |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,226 | 30,509 | 4,789 | 5,171 |
| Net income (loss) before taxes | $(4,347)$ | $(20,734)$ | 2,091 | 3,098 |
| Net income (loss) | $(3,822)$ | $(26,364)$ | 2,091 | 3,796 |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | $(5,368)$ | $(27,871)$ | 549 | 2,248 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share available to common stockholders | \$ (0.16) | 8 (0.85) | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.07 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share per share available to common stockholders | \$ (0.16) | \$ (0.85) | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.07 |
| $\underline{2009}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 49,518 | \$ 50,028 | \$ 52,442 | \$ 53,727 |
| Net interest income | 28,700 | 30,512 | 34,548 | 37,030 |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,610 | 65,320 | 22,134 | 15,694 |
| Net income (loss) before taxes | 2,983 | $(54,813)$ | $(7,130)$ | $(5,935)$ |
| Net income (loss) | 2,090 | $(31,776)$ | $(3,347)$ | $(2,467)$ |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | 643 | $(33,247)$ | $(4,852)$ | $(3,977)$ |
| Basic net income (loss) per share available to common stockholders | 80.03 | \$ (1.33) | \$ (0.15) | \$ (0.12) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share per share available to common stockholders | $\$ 0.03$ | 8 (1.33) | \$ (0.15) | \$ (0.12) |
| $\underline{2008}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 52,161 | \$ 48,774 | \$ 51,873 | \$ 53,273 |
| Net interest income | 27,359 | 27,682 | 29,282 | 29,892 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,591 | 2,787 | 3,125 | 3,710 |
| Net income before taxes | 8,644 | 10,878 | 12,083 | 11,636 |
| Net income | 6,065 | 7,961 | 8,795 | 8,053 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 6,065 | 7,961 | 8,795 | 7,744 |
| Basic net income per share available to common stockholders | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.33 |
| Diluted net income per share per share available to common stockholders | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 031 |

## MANAGEMENT REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc.'s internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc.'s management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment we believe that, as of December 31, 2010, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc.'s independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on Pinnacle Financial Partners Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page 106 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 23, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

> KPMG UP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 23, 2011

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc.:

We have audited Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc.'s (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 , and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, and our report dated February 23, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

## KPMG LIP

Nashville, Tennessee
February 23, 2011

## INVESTOR INFORMATION

Pinnacle Financial's common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "PNFP" and has traded on that market since July 3, 2006. The following table shows the high and low closing sales price information for Pinnacle Financial's common stock for each quarter in 2010 and 2009 as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

|  | Price Per Share |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2010: | High | Low |
| First quarter | $\$ 16.88$ |  |
| Second quarter | 18.93 | 13.10 |
| Third quarter | 14.33 | 11.81 |
| Fourth quarter | 13.74 | 8.51 |
| 2009: | $\$ 29.90$ | 9.27 |
| First quarter | 24.01 | $\$ 13.32$ |
| Second quarter | 17.03 | 12.86 |
| Third quarter | 14.47 | 12.15 |
| Fourth quarter |  | 11.45 |

As of February 23, 2011, Pinnacle Financial had approximately 3,744 shareholders of record.
Pinnacle Financial has not paid any cash dividends on our common stock since inception, and it does not anticipate that it will consider paying dividends until Pinnacle National has achieved a level of profitability appropriate to fund such dividends and support asset growth. Pinnacle Financial did not repurchase any shares of its common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2010.

## STOCKHOLDER RETURN PERFORMANCE GROWTH

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the yearly percentage change in the cumulative total shareholder return on the Company's Common Stock against the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Composite Index and our peer group for the period commencing on December 31, 2005 and ending December 31, 2010 (the "Measuring Period"). The graph assumes that the value of the investment in the Company's Common Stock and each index was $\$ 100$ on December 31, 2005. The change in cumulative total return is measured by dividing the change in share price between the beginning and end of each Measuring Period by the share price at the beginning of the Measuring Period. As the Company paid no cash dividends, the impact of the dividends is not applicable to the Company's total return. However, cash dividends may impact the cumulative returns of the two indices.

## Cumulative Total Returns ${ }^{(1)}$ Comparison of <br> PINNACLE FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC. <br> NASDAQ COMPOSITE INDEX <br> AND PEER GROUP ${ }^{(2)}$


(1) Assumes $\$ 100$ invested on December 31, 2005 in Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. Common Stock (PNFP) and the two indices noted above.
(2) The peer group was developed by SNL and is a composite of 53 banking institutions headquartered in the Southeastern United States with assets of $\$ 1$ billion to $\$ 5$ billion. SNL Financial is a research firm focused on banking and other industries and is located in Charlottesville, Virginia.
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[^0]:    Top State Ior Doing Business (Avea Developmem)

[^1]:    (1) Information for 2007 fiscal year includes the operations of Mid-America, which Pinnacle Financial merged with on November 30 , 2007 and reflects approximately 6.7 million shares of Pinnacle Financial common stock issued in connection with the merger.
    (2) Information for 2006 fiscal year includes the operations of Cavalry, which Pinnacle Financial merged with on March 15, 2006 and reflects approximately 6.9 million shares of Pinnacle Financial common stock issued in connection with the merger.
    (3) Net interest margin is the result of net interest income for the period divided by average interest earning assets.
    (4) Net interest spread is the result of the difference between the interest earned on interest earning assets less the interest paid on interest bearing liabilities.
    (5) Efficiency ratio is the result of noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.
    (6) Leverage ratio is computed by dividing Tier 1 capital by average total assets for the fourth quarter of each year.

[^2]:    (1) Amount is net of a valuation allowance of $\$ 8.9$ million and $\$ 19.3$ million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, as required by ASC 310-10, "Receivables."

[^3]:    (*) Average assets for the above calculations were based on the most recent quarter.

