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New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc.
 the parent company of Lake Sunapee Bank,fsb, a federal stock savings bank providing financial services throughout central and western New Hampshire and central Vermont.

The Bank encourages and supports the personal and professional development of its employees, dedicates itself to consistent service of the highest level for all customers, and recognizes its responsibility to be an active participant in, and advocate for, community growth and prosperity.
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## Letter to Stockholders

The Company realized a modest growth in assets year-over-year during 2010 while at the same time showing a substantial increase in net income. It is interesting to note that we crossed the much-anticipated $\$ 1$ billion mark for the first time at the end of the third quarter, dipping just slightly below that level at year end as the result of a planned reduction in short-term advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank. The process of crafting and drafting forwardlooking business plans and/or strategic plans in any company involves an embedded element of speculation and, while the components of our balance sheet were different than we envisioned they might be by the end of 2010, the net results met our anticipated predictions.

Despite the storm-clouds of regulatory uncertainty that have been gathering over the banking industry for the last couple of years, we are pleased that the Company showed significant growth in our loan portfolio at a time when we all heard repeatedly through a variety of media that 'banks aren't lending' and more needs to be done. In addition to originating a variety of retail and commercial loans for our own portfolio, the Company was an active producer and seller of residential mortgage loans for the secondary market. With interest rates falling to historically record lows at several points in 2010, the opportunity for qualified borrowers to either enter the market for the first time or to refinance their existing indebtedness was a door that will soon close as interest rates begin their expected... and inevitable...rise in the not too distant future.

As the economy begins to climb out of the depths of this most recent recessionary period, it is anticipated that the next few years will be a time of healing... protracted as this may turn out to be...that will return us to a fuller and more sustainable period of employment in most sectors. Fortunately, during this window of financial crises, the Company is well-positioned in an area of the country...two of the three Northern New England states...that are consistently shown by various measures to be the most economically stable, with both the lowest levels of unemployment and the lowest percentage of troubled loans in our portfolio.

## COMPANY EARNINGS

Earnings for 2010 increased by just over $20.00 \%$ over the previous year with consolidated net income for the year-end December 31, 2010 of $\$ 7,947,435$, or $\$ 1.29$ per share of common stock (assuming dilution). This compares to $\$ 6,597,706$, or $\$ 1.06$ per share of common stock (assuming dilution) that the Company reported for 2009. Interest rates remained exceptionally low during most of the year, bringing renewed activity for the refinancing of residential mortgage loans by the Company during the last two quarters of the year. While it appears that net interest spreads will now be under pressure for some time to come, the Company was able to maintain a favorable margin between interest income and interest expense throughout the year that helped to shape the underlying strength in core income. Rising interest rates, which are commonly expected to begin within the next year or so to combat fears of inflation, are generally not 'net income friendly' to financial institutions and concerted efforts will need to be made to retain the margins that the Company has been able to achieve and maintain over the last couple of years.

The Company's participation in the Treasury Department's Capital Purchase Program early in 2009 continued to support both the growth in assets and the portfolio diversification throughout 2010. Strategic shifts between asset classifications provided funding sources for greater activity in the lending area than in our most recent prior periods, leading the Company to a more traditional community-based balance sheet. For a more in depth analysis of the Company's financials, please refer to the "Management's Discussion and Analysis" section of our annual report.

## STOCKHOLDER VALUE

The fundamental strength of any financial institution like ours can be found in the successes of its communities and its customers...individuals, families and businesses. It is here that we see the embedded link that sustains the Company's continuing growth in the areas and markets we serve through the customer-centric interactions of our staff as the touchstone of a lasting and rewarding relationship. While it is clear to all that the fire under the financial crisis was fueled far from 'Main Street' America, it is also quite clear that a concerted effort is now needed to help restore banking to the position of respect and trust that it held not so very long ago.

As the Company moves forward, we find ourselves focused on the meaningful differences between the quality of earnings quality and the quantity of earnings...a particularly important distinction when unemployment is high, property values are low and businesses remain reluctant to invest in their futures. Growth, in these still uncertain times,
needs to be as durable as possible so that a stream of predictable and recurring earnings can be created that will lead to increases in shareholder value that are based on core, and not transient, income streams.

The Company's stock price as of December 31,2010 was $\$ 12.55$ per share, a significant increase over last year when the stock price closed-out 2009 at $\$ 9.69$ per share... with daily sales prices having ranged from a low of $\$ 9.40$ per share during the first quarter of 2010 to a high of a $\$ 13.10$ per share during the latter part of the fourth quarter of 2010 . Stockholder's equity stood at $\$ 92,391,419$ and resulted in a book value of $\$ 14.26$ per share at December 31, 2010 based on $5,773,772$ shares of common stock outstanding as of that date, an increase of $\$ 0.78$, or $5.79 \%$ over year-end 2009 . Tangible book value grew to $\$ 9.27$ per share at year-end 2010 , an increase of $\$ 0.87$, or $10.36 \%$, per share from a year ago.

As a premise for our future growth and expanding marketplace presence, the Company continues to envision and present itself as a longer-term investment and manages its daily operations so that all stockholders, no matter what their entry point may be or has been, are rewarded with consistent stream of quarterly dividends, as well as stock value appreciation over time.

## BALANCE SHEET HIGHLIGHTS

Total assets of the Company stood at $\$ 995,053,884$ on December 31, 2010 as compared to $\$ 962,601,187$ at December 31, 2009. By the end of the year, loans held in portfolio had increased by just over $\$ 50,000,000$ to a total of $\$ 675,513,787$ from $\$ 620,332,606$ at year-end 2009 . Total gross loan production for the twelve months of 2010 totaled $\$ 341,457,443$ as compared to $\$ 309,592,066$ for calendar year 2009. In addition, the Company's loan servicing portfolio increased to $\$ 370,331,523$ at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 352,066,692$ at year-end 2009.

With concerns over the stock market still overshadowing the economy, deposits increased by nearly $\$ 44,000,000$ to $\$ 778,219,117$ by the end of the year, thereby helping to support the growth in loans as we continue to transform core deposits intc new loans within our communities. Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston were decreased by over $\$ 20,000,000$ from $\$ 95,962,006$ at December 31,2009 to $\$ 75,959,361$ at December 31, 2010.

Going forward, the Company will continue to further diversify its balance sheet positioning by capturing new commercial and retail customers and by expanding our presence with existing relationships. These initiatives, when coupled with the timely and effective resolution of any credit issues, will help to further strengthen the underlying quality of our portfolio. Delivering quality service and providing appropriate financial solutions to the communities we serve is a primary goal of the Company.

## REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

The community banking business model is threatened once again by the push-down of new rules and regulations. . .many as yet unwritten... as a direct result of last year's passage of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. This sweeping piece of legislation, which incorporates both the Durbin Amendment on debit card interchange fees and the creation of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, will incrementally increase the oversight and operating costs of all financial institutions regardless of size or complexity.

Filled with regulatory unknowns and uncertainties, the world of community banking awaits the release of the next wave of pronouncements. As a Company, we are preparing ourselves for what this 'new world' will bring and to shift resources to those places where they will be most needed. While technology may help address some of this, it will be our people who will rise. as always, to the occasion and address the newest of rules governing our industry. The consequences of the financial meltdown and resulting recession appear to be falling quite hard on the banking community as more than 200 new...or yet-to-be-written...regulations begin to appear. It is inevitable that these new reforms will have a lasting impact on all of us.

As a direct result of the Dodd-Frank legislation, our primary regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS), will cease to exist effective July 21, 2011 and the oversight functions for the Bank will be folded into the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC). Regional meetings have been scheduled and are now being held for companies like ours to meet with representatives of the OCC to discuss, review and prepare for this change. Both the Company and the Bank have been regulated by the same agency in the past, but this will change as well, with the Company being regulated in the future by the Federal Reserve following the July 21st transition date.

## ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

The current outlook for the regional economy of the Northeast shows strong signs of gradual...sometimes almost imperceptible...improvement. Unemployment has now begun to stabilize, real estate in certain markets shows signs of positive movement and consumer spending appears to be resurfacing. When combined, these factors may help us sidestep the deeper fears held by some that there will be a 'double dip' in the economy.

This is not to say that there won't be modest interruptions in the early patterns of economic growth, as there are still clouds on the horizon that take the form of inflationary fears and the certainty of higher interest rates. Controlled growth should lead us all down the path to a sustainable recovery. There will be a new economic landscape to navigate as we move forward from here, but 'staying the course' as we have always done should lead the Company through this period of change.

As indicated earlier in this letter, northern New England has shown an economic strength and stability not seen elsewhere during these difficult last couple of years and should, as a result, continue to be an area that leads instead of follows when it comes to benefiting from a return to what more and more are referring to as the 'new' normal.

## OUR BUSINESS MODEL

The Company's operating platform is based on a relatively simple approach that serves as the building block for what has been created over the years and what will keep us such a strong and vibrant institution into the future. We have three primary clear and brief tenants... (1) support and strengthen our existing business relationships...(2) focus our efforts on enhancing our core competencies...and...(3) build upon a reputation that will clearly differentiate us from the competition.

In addition, as a continuing complement to our core business, the Bank increased its ownership position in Charter Holding Corporation from a one-third interest to a $50 / 50$ ownership relationship shared with Meredith Village Savings Bank of Meredith, New Hampshire. Charter Holding Corporation is the parent company of Charter Trust Company, an independent wealth management and trust company headquartered in Concord, New Hampshire.

A recent survey about community banks revealed clear recognition by those responding of the following traits...we know and interact with our customers, we provide support for local activities and organizations...we are seen as inter-connected with our communities...and... we are viewed as one the most essential partners for growth, success and prosperity for all concerned.

Building and nurturing a 'relationship' culture with and among our customers and communities versus a simply a 'transactional' culture is... and will be...the backbone of our business model. Shared values, then, are the undeniable basis for a long and lasting road of successful growth.

## A CLOSING COMMENT

The Company continually seeks to enhance its strategic positioning to advance and build upon its underlying strengths. We will continue to be a 'people helping people' business and, as such, we fully recognize our responsibility to leverage the talents of our people in a way that best meets the expectations of our employees...our customers...and our stockholders.

On behalf of all those involved with furthering the success of our banking franchise, I want to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the continued support and confidence you have shown in and for the Company.
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## Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results

Certain statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not statements of historical fact constitute forwardlooking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Act"), notwithstanding that such statements are not specifically identified as such. In addition, certain statements may be contained in the Company's future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("the SEC"), in press releases, and in oral and written statements made by or with the approval of the Company that are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: (i) projections of revenues, expenses, income or loss, earnings or loss per share, the payment or nonpayment of dividends, capital structure and other financial items; (ii) statements of plans, objectives and expectations of the Company or its management or Board of Directors, including those relating to products or services or the impact or expected outcome of any legal proceedings; (iii) statements of future economic performance; and (iv) statements of assumptions underlying such statements. Words such as "believes", "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "targeted", "continue", "remain", "will", "should", "may" and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those in such statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- Local, regional, national and international economic conditions and the impact they may have on the Company and its customers and the Company's assessment of that impact.
- Volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets.
- Government intervention in the U.S. financial system.
- Changes in the level of non-performing assets and charge-offs.
- Changes in estimates of future reserve requirements based upon the periodic review thereof under relevant regulatory and accounting requirements.
- Adverse conditions in the securities markets that lead to impairment in the value of securities in the Company's investment portfolio.
- Inflation, interest rate, securities market and monetary fluctuations.
- The timely development and acceptance of new products and services and perceived overall value of these products and services by users.
- Changes in consumer spending, borrowings and savings habits.
- Technological changes.
- The ability to increase market share and control expenses.
- Changes in the competitive environment among banks, financial holding companies and other financial service providers.
- The effect of changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance) with which the Company and its subsidiaries must comply, including under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.
- The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters.
- The costs and effects of legal and regulatory developments including the resolution of legal proceedings or regulatory or other governmental inquiries and the results of regulatory examinations or reviews.
- The Company's success at managing the risks involved in the foregoing items.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

## Item 1. Business

## GENERAL

## Organization

New Hampshire Thrift Banc shares, Inc. (NHTB or the Company), a Delaware holding company organized on July 5, 1989, is the parent company of Lake Sunapee Bank, fsb (the Bank), a federally chartered savings bank. The Bank was originally chartered by the State of New Hampshire in 1858 as the Newport Savings Bank. The Bank became a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) in 1959 and a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston in 1978. On December 1, 1980, the Bank was the first bank in the United States oconvert from a state-chartered mutual savings bank to a federally-chartered mutual savings bank. In 1981, the Bank changed its nane to "Lake Sunapee Savings Bank, fsb" and in 1994, refined its name to "Lake Sunapee Bank, fsb." The Bank's deposits are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC.

The Bank is a thrift institution established for the purposes of providing the public with a convenient and safe place to invest funds, for the financing of housing, consumer-oriented products and commercial loans, and for providing a variety of other consumeroriented financial services. The B $n k$ is a full-service community institution promoting the ideals of thrift, security, home ownership and financial independence for its customers. The Bank's operations are conducted from its home office located in Newport, New Hampshire and its branch offices located in Sunapee, Newbury, New London, Bradford, Grantham, Guild, Lebanon, West Lebanon, Hillsboro, Peterborough, Andover Claremont, Enfield, and Milford, New Hampshire, and Brandon, Pittsford, Rutland, West Rutland, and Woodstock, Vermont. The Company had assets of approximately $\$ 995.1$ million as of December 31, 2010.

Through its subsidiary, Lake: Sunapee Financial Services Corporation, the Bank offers brokerage services to its customers.

## Market Area

The Bank's market area is concentrated in the counties of Merrimack, Sullivan, Hillsborough, Grafton and Cheshire in central and western New Hampshire and the counties of Rutland and Windsor in Vermont. These areas are best known for their recreational facilities and their resort/retirement environment.

There are several distinct regions within the Bank's market area. The Upper Valley region is located in the northwest-central area of New Hampshire, and includes the towns of Lebanon, a commerce and manufacturing center, home to Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, New Hampshire's only academic medical center, and Hanover, home of Dartmouth College. The central and southeast portion of the Bank's market irea in New Hampshire is Lake Sunapee, a popular year-round recreation and resort area that includes both Lake Sunapee and Mount Sunapee. Finally, the Monadnock region, in southwestern New Hampshire, is named after Mount Monadnock, the major geo graphic landmark in the region, and consists of Cheshire, southern Sullivan and western Hillsborough counties.

Rutland and Windsor counties are located in south central Vermont. This region is home to many attractions, including Killington Mountain, Okemo Resort, and the city of Rutland. Popular vacation destinations in this region include Woodstock, Brandon, Ludlow and Quechee.

## Available Information

The Company files annual, ¢uarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). You may read and copy any reports, proxy statements or other information filed by the Company at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C., which is located at the following address: Public Reference Room, 100 F Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Yıu can request copies of these documents by writing to the SEC. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC0330 for further information on the operation of the SEC's public reference room. The Company's SEC filings are also available to the public from document retrieva services and at the SEC's Internet website (http://www.sec.gov). We also make our filings available free of charge on our website (http://www.nhthrift.com) by clicking on "SEC Filings."

## LENDING ACTIVITIES

The Bank's net loan portfolio was $\$ 675,513,787$ at December 31, 2010, representing approximately $68 \%$ of total assets. As of December 31, 2010, approximately $70 \%$ of the mortgage loan portfolio had adjustable rates. As of December 31, 2010, the Bank had sold $\$ 370,331,523$ in fixed-rate mortgage loans in an effort to meet customer demands for fixed-rate loans, minimize the Bank's interest rate risk, provide liquidity and build a servicing portfolio.

REAL ESTATE LOANS. The Bank's loan origination team solicits conventional residential mortgage loans in the local real estate marketplace. Residential borrowers are frequently referred to the Bank by its existing customers or real estate agents. Generally, the Bank makes conventional mortgage loans (loans of $80 \%$ of value or less that are neither insured nor partially guaranteed by government agencies) on one- to four-family owner occupied dwellings. The Bank also makes residential loans up to $95 \%$ of the appraised value if the top $20 \%$ of the loan is covered by private mortgage insurance. Residential mortgage loans typically have terms up to 30 years and are amortized on a monthly basis with principal and interest due each month. Currently, the Bank offers one-year, three-year and five-year adjustable-rate mortgage loans and long-term fixed-rate loans. Borrowers may prepay loans at their option or refinance their loans on terms agreeable to the Bank. The Bank's management believes that, due to prepayments in connection with refinancing and sales of property, the average length of the Bank's long-term residential loans is approximately seven years.

The terms of conventional residential mortgage loans originated by the Bank contain a "due-on-sale" clause which permits the Bank to accelerate the indebtedness of a loan upon the sale or other disposition of the mortgaged property. Due-on-sale clauses are an important means of increasing the turnover of mortgage loans in the Bank's portfolio.

Commercial real estate loans are solicited by the Bank's commercial banking team in the Bank's local real estate market. In addition, commercial borrowers are frequently referred to the Bank by its existing customers, local accountants, and attorneys. Generally, the Bank makes commercial real estate loans up to $75 \%$ of value with terms up to twenty years, amortizing the loans on a monthly basis with principal and interest due each month. Debt service coverage (the amount of cash left over after expenses have been paid) required to cover the Bank's interest and principal payments generally must equal or exceed $125 \%$ of the loan payments.

REAL ESTATE CONSTRUCTION LOANS. The Bank offers construction loan financing on one- to four-family owner occupied dwellings in the Bank's local real estate market. Generally, the Bank makes construction up to $80 \%$ of value with terms of up to nine months. During the construction phase, inspections are made to assess construction progress and monitor the disbursement of loan proceeds. The Bank also offers a "one-step" construction loan, which provides construction and permanent financing with one loan closing. The "one-step" is provided under the same terms and conditions of the Bank's conventional residential program.

CONSUMER LOANS. The Bank makes various types of secured and unsecured consumer loans, including home improvement loans. The Bank offers loans secured by automobiles, boats and other recreational vehicles. The Bank believes that the shorter terms and the normally higher interest rates available on various types of consumer loans is helpful in maintaining a more profitable spread between the Bank's average loan yield and its cost of funds.

The Bank provides home equity loans secured by liens on residential real estate located within the Bank's market area. These include loans with regularly scheduled principal and interest payments as well as revolving credit agreements. The interest rate on these loans is adjusted quarterly and tied to the movement of the prime rate.

COMMERCIAL LOANS. The Bank offers commercial loans in accordance with regulatory requirements. Under current regulation, the Bank's commercial loan portfolio is limited to 20\% of total assets.

MUNICIPAL LOANS. The Bank's activity in the municipal lending market is limited to those towns and school districts located within its primary lending area and such loans are extended for the purposes of either tax anticipation, building improvements or other capital spending requirements. Municipal lending is considered to be an area of accommodation and part of the Bank's continuing involvement with the communities it serves.

The following table sets forth the somposition of the Bank's loan portfolio in dollar amounts and as a percentage of the portfolio at December 31:


|  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount |  | \% of Total |  | Amount | \% of Total |
|  | (S in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional. | \$ | 335,812 | 53.47\% | \$ | 281,755 | 56.93\% |
| Commercial. |  | 142,440 | 22.67 |  | 103,247 | 20.86 |
| Home equity.. |  | 60,896 | 9.70 |  | 51,436 | 10.39 |
| Construction.. |  | 21,704 | 3.46 |  | 17,109 | 3.46 |
| Consumer loans. |  | 14,723 | 2.34 |  | 11,841 | 2.39 |
| Commercial and municipal loans ...................................... |  | 52,515 | 8.36 |  | 29,522 | 5.97 |
| Total loans ............................................................ |  | 628,090 | 100.00\% |  | 494,910 | 100.00\% |
| Unamortized adjustment to fair value ................................ |  | 1,496 |  |  | 36 |  |
| Allowance for loan losses.... .......................................... |  | $(5,181)$ |  |  | $(3,975)$ |  |
| Deferred loan origination cosits, net................................... |  | 1,869 |  |  | 1,741 |  |
| Loans receivable, net...................................................... | \$ | 626,274 |  | \$ | 492,712 |  |

Each loan type represents different levels of general and inherent risk within the loan portfolio. The Bank prepares an analysis of this risk by applying loss factors to outstanding loans by type. This analysis stratifies the loan portfolio by loan type and assigns a loss factor to each type based on an assessment of the risk associated with each type. The factors assessed include delinquency trends, charge-off experience, economic conditions, portfolio trends, and portfolio concentration. Loss factors may be adjusted for qualitative factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio. These factors are calculated and assessed independently within each identified loan category. Based on these loss factors, $\$ 2.9$ million, or $29.61 \%$ of the total allowance, is allocated to the commercial real estate portfolio. The commercial real estate portfolio represents $22.68 \%$ of total loans. In particular, the commercial real estate portfolio has a higher delinquency trend and concentration assessment than the other categories resulting in an overall higher comparative loss factor. For the same period, $\$ 4.0$ million, or $40.37 \%$ of the total allowance, is allocated to the residential real estate and home equity loan portfolio. The residential real estate and home equity loan portfolios represent $63.16 \%$ of total loans. Due to the volume of this category and the underlying collateral, the overall loss factor results in an allocation percentage that is below the percentage of the category to total loans. For the same period, $\$ 2.2$ million, or $21.98 \%$ of the total allowance, is allocated to the commercial and municipal loan portfolio. The commercial and municipal loan portfolio represents $8.36 \%$ of total loans. The commercial and municipal loan portfolio has a moderate delinquency trend compared to other loan type within the loan portfolio, representing $7.60 \%$ of the six-month average of delinquencies, higher charge-off factors than other categories, the highest portfolio trend factor based a $43.24 \%$ growth within the category year-over-year, and a higher concentration assessment than the other categories, with the exception of commercial real estate, resulting in an overall higher comparative loss factor.

The following table sets forth the maturities of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2010 and indicates whether such loans have fixed or adjustable interest rates:

| Maturities | One year or less |  | One through five years |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Over } \\ \text { five years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real Estate Loans with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predetermined interest rates......................... | \$ | 3,347,731 | \$ | 9,371,029 | \$ | 140,092,818 | \$ | 152,811,578 |
| Adjustable interest rates............................. |  | 8,638,238 |  | 33,741,715 |  | 390,275,857 |  | 432,655,810 |
|  |  | 11,985,969 |  | 43,112,744 |  | 530,368,675 |  | 585,467,388 |
| Collateral/Consumer Loans with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predetermined interest rates. |  | 1,228,731 |  | 4,069,036 |  | 411,882 |  | 5,709,649 |
| Adjustable interest rates............................. |  | 474,215 |  | 1,305,527 |  | 589,555 |  | 2,369,297 |
|  |  | 1,702,946 |  | 5,374,563 |  | 1,001,437 |  | 8,078,946 |
| Commercial/Municipal Loans with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predetermined interest rates.... |  | 22,050,943 |  | 11,578,409 |  | 28,549,652 |  | 62,179,004 |
| Adjustable interest rates. |  | 4,028,457 |  | 6,475,273 |  | 16,678,375 |  | 27,182,105 |
|  |  | 26,079,400 |  | 18,053,682 |  | 45,228,027 |  | 89,361,109 |
| Unamortized adjustment to fair value ................... |  | - |  | - |  | 1,202,491 |  | 1,202,491 |
| Totals............................................................. | \$ | 39,768,315 | \$ | 66,540,989 | \$ | 577,800,630 | \$ | 684,109,934 |

The preceding schedule includes $\$ 10,419,926$ of non-performing loans categorized within the respective loan types.

## Origination, Purchase and Sale of Loans

The primary lending activity of the Bank is the origination of conventional loans (i.e., loans of $80 \%$ of value or less that are neither insured nor partially guaranteed by government agencies) secured by first mortgage liens on residential properties, principally single-family residences, substantially all of which are located in the west-central area of New Hampshire and Rutland and Windsor counties in Vermont.

The Bank evaluates the security for each new loan made. Appraisals, when required, are made for the Bank by qualified subcontracted appraisers. The appraisal of the real property upon which the Bank makes a mortgage loan is of particular significance to the Bank in the event that the loan is foreclosed, since an improper appraisal may contribute to a loss by, or other financial detriment to, the Bank in the disposition of the loan.

Detailed applications for mortgage loans are verified through the use of credit reports, financial statements and confirmations. Depending upon the size of the loan involved, a varying number of senior officers of the Bank must approve the application before the loan can be granted. The Loan Review Committee of the Bank's Board of Directors reviews particularly large loans.

The Bank requires title certification on all first mortgage loans and the borrower is required to maintain hazard insurance on the security property.

## Delinquent Loans, Classified Assets and Other Real Estate Owned

Reports listing delinquent accounts are generated and reviewed by management and the Board of Directors on a monthly basis. The procedures taken by the Bank when a loan becomes delinquent vary depending on the nature of the loan. When a borrower fails to make a required loan payment, the Bank takes a number of steps to ensure that the borrower will cure the delinquency. The Bank generally sends the borrower a notice of non-payment. The Bank then follows up with telephone and/or written correspondence. When contact is made, the Bank attempts to obtain full payment, work out a repayment schedule, or in certain instances obtain a deed in lieu of foreclosure. If foreclosure action is instituted and the loan is not brought current, paid in full, or refinanced before the foreclosure sale, the property securing the loan generally is sold at foreclosure. If the Bank purchases the property, it becomes other real estate owned.

Federal regulations and the Bank's Assets Classification Policy require that the Bank utilize an internal asset classification system as a means of reporting problem assets and potential problem assets. The Bank has incorporated the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS) internal asset classifications as part of its credit monitoring system. The Bank currently classifies problem and potential problem assets as substandard, doubtful, or loss assets. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the insured institution will sustain "some loss" if the deficiency is not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the additional characteristics that the weaknesses present make collection and liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Assets classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted. Assets which do not currently expose the insured institution to sufficient risk to war cant classification in one of the aforementioned categories but possess weaknesses are required to be designated special mention.

When an insured institution classifies one or more assets or portions thereof as substandard or doubtful, it is required to establish a general valuation allowance for oan losses in an amount deemed prudent by management. General valuation allowances represent loss allowances, which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with activities, but which, unlike specific allowances, have not been allocated to particular problem assets. When an insured institution classifies one or more assets or portions thereof as loss, it is required to establish a specific allowance for losses equal to $100 \%$ of the amount of the asset so classified or to charge off such amount.

A savings institution's determination as to the classification of its assets and the amount of its valuation allowances is subject to review by the OTS, which can order the classification of additional assets and establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances. The OTS, in conjunction with the other federal banking agencies, has adopted an interagency policy statement on the allowance for loan and lease losses. The policy statement provides guidance for financial institutions on both the responsibilities of management for the assessment ard establishment of adequate allowances and guidance for banking agency examiners to use in determining the adequacy of general valuation guidelines. Generally, the policy statement recommends that institutions have effective systems and controls to identify, monitor and address asset quality problems; that management has analyzed all significant factors that affect the collectability of the portfolio in a reasonable manner; and that management has established acceptable allowance evaluation processes that meet the objectives set forth in the policy statement.

Although management believes that, based on information currently available to it at this time, the Bank's allowance for loan losses is adequate, actual losses are dependent upon future events and, as such, further additions to the allowance for loan losses may become necessary.

The Bank classifies assets in accordance with the management guidelines described above. The total carrying value of classified loans, excluding special mention, as of December 31,2010 and 2009 were $\$ 18,998,657$ and $\$ 11,876,373$, respectively. For further discussion regarding nonperforming assets, impaired loans and the allowance for loan losses, please see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations herein.

## SUBSIDIARY ACTIVITIES

## Service Corporations

The Bank has an expanded ervice corporation authority because of its conversion from a state-chartered mutual savings bank to a federal institution in 1980. This authority, grandfathered in that conversion, permits the Bank to invest $15 \%$ of its deposits, plus an amount of approximately $\$ 825,001$ ), in service corporation activities permitted by New Hampshire law. However, the first $3 \%$ of these activities is subject to federal regulation and the remainder is subject to state law. This permits a $3 \%$ investment in activities not permitted by state law.

As of December 31, 2010, the Bank owned two service corporations, the Lake Sunapee Group, Inc., and the Lake Sunapee Financial Services Corporation. The Lake Sunapee Group owns and maintains the Bank's buildings and investment properties. The Lake Sunapee Financial Services Corporation sells brokerage, securities, and insurance products to its customers.

## NHTB Capital Trust II and III

NHTB Capital Trust II (Trust II) and NHTB Capital Trust III (Trust III) are statutory business trusts formed under the laws of the State of Connecticut and are wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company. On March 30, 2004, Trust III issued $\$ 10.0$ million of $6.06 \%$, 5-year Fixed-Floating Capital Securities. On March 30, 2004, Trust II issued $\$ 10.0$ million of Floating Capital Securities, adjustable every three months at LIBOR plus $2.79 \%$. On May 1,2008 , the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with PNC Bank to convert the floating-rate payments on Trust II to fixed-rate payments. The terms of the interest rate swap agreement are as follows:

| Notional amount: | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trade date: | May 1,2008 |
| Effective date: | June 17,2008 |
| Termination date: | June 17,2013 |
| Fixed-rate payer: | New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. |
| Payment dates: | Quarterly |
| Fixed-rate: | $6.65 \%$ |
| Floating rate payer: | PNC Bank |
| Payment dates: | Quarterly |
| Index: | Three-month LIBOR |

For more information, see Note 2 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## COMPETITION

The Bank faces strong competition in the attraction of deposits. Its most direct competition for deposits comes from the other thrifts and commercial banks as well as credit unions located in its primary market areas. The Bank faces additional significant competition for investors' funds from mutual funds and other corporate and government securities.

The Bank competes for deposits principally by offering depositors a wide variety of savings programs, a market rate of return, tax-deferred retirement programs and other related services. The Bank does not rely upon any individual, group or entity for a material portion of its deposits.

The Bank's competition for real estate loans comes from mortgage banking companies, other thrift institutions and commercial banks. The Bank competes for loan originations primarily through the interest rates and loan fees it charges and the efficiency and quality of services it provides borrowers, real estate brokers and builders. The Bank's competition for loans varies from time to time depending upon the general availability of lendable funds and credit, general and local economic conditions, current interest rate levels, volatility in the mortgage markets and other factors which are not readily predictable. The Bank has six loan originators on staff who call on real estate agents, follow leads, and are available seven days a week to service the mortgage loan market.

## INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES

Federally chartered savings institutions have the authority to invest in various types of liquid assets including United States Treasury obligations, securities of various federal agencies, certificates of deposit of insured banks and savings institutions, bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements and federal funds. Subject to various restrictions, federally chartered savings institutions may also invest their assets in commercial paper, investment-grade corporate debt securities and mutual funds whose assets conform to the investments that a federally chartered savings institution is otherwise authorized to make directly.

The Bank categorizes its securities as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or held-for-trading according to management intent. Please refer to Note 3 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for certain information regarding amortized costs, fair values and maturities of securities.

Maturities of debt securities, exclıding mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, are as follows as of December 31, 2010:

|  | Fair Value |  | Amortized Cost |  | Weighted Average Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-sale securities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 3,010,680 | \$ | 3,009,558 | 1.87\% |
| Other bonds and debentures. |  | 7,166,507 |  | 7,092,933 | 1.98 |
| Total due in less than one year.................................. |  | 10,177,187 |  | 10,102,491 | 1.95 |
| Municipal bonds . |  | 2,850,722 |  | 2,842,423 | 2.46 |
| Other bonds and debentures. |  | 29,531,083 |  | 28,751,134 | 2.80 |
| Total due after one year through five years................. |  | 32,381,805 |  | 31,593,557 | 4.42 |
| U.S Government, including agencies. |  | 5,817,188 |  | 6,000,000 | 2.75 |
| Municipal bonds |  | 7,620,186 |  | 7,902,407 | 3.15 |
| Total due after five years through ten years ................. |  | 13,437,374 |  | 13,902,407 | 2.97 |
| Municipal bonds ......... ................................................... |  | 18,085,489 |  | 19,109,823 | 2.89 |
| Preferred stock with maturities .......................................... |  | 3,403,121 |  | 3,445,850 | 6.75 |
| Other bonds and debentures |  | 186,660 |  | 225,000 | 6.79 |
| Total due after ten years ........................................... |  | 21,675,270 |  | 22,780,673 | 3.56 |
|  | \$ | 77,671,636 | \$ | 78,379,128 | 2.92\% |

The amortized cost of securities and their approximate fair values are summarized as follows:

| December 31, 2010 | Amortized Cost |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains } \end{gathered}$ |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds and notes-....... ......................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government, including |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities.......... |  | 117,428,093 |  | 659,893 |  | 298,977 |  | 117,789,009 |
| Municipal bonds ......................... |  | 32,864,211 |  | 38,718 |  | 1,335,852 |  | 31,567,077 |
| Other bonds and debentures ......... |  | 36,069,067 |  | 853,523 |  | 38,340 |  | 36,884,250 |
| Preferred stock with maturities |  | 3,445,850 |  | - |  | 42,729 |  | 3,403,121 |
| Equity securities.................................. |  | 494,666 |  | 30,414 |  | 1,210 |  | 523,870 |
| Total available-for-sale securities. | \$ | 196,301,887 | \$ | 1,582,548 | \$ | 1,899,920 | \$ | 195,984,515 |
| December 31, 2009 |  | mortized Cost |  | Gross <br> realized <br> Gains |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |
| Available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds and notes-................................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government, including agencies $\qquad$ | \$ | 2,004,184 | \$ | 45,504 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,049,688 |
| Mortgage-backed securities.......... |  | 169,448,355 |  | 367,048 |  | 991,800 |  | 168,823,603 |
| Municipal bonds......................... |  | 1,361,988 |  | 69,486 |  | - |  | 1,431,474 |
| Other bonds and debentures .......... |  | 40,821,399 |  | 215,896 |  | 162,789 |  | 40,874,506 |
| Preferred stock with maturities .............. |  | 5,000,000 |  | - |  | 348,000 |  | 4,652,000 |
| Equity securities................................. |  | 494,666 |  | 23,380 |  | 56,216 |  | 461,830 |
| Total available-for-sale securities................... | \$ | 219,130,592 | \$ | 721,314 | \$ | 1,558,805 | \$ | 218,293,101 |


| December 31, 2008 | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross UnrealizedLosses |  | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds and notes- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government, including agencies $\qquad$ | \$ | 13,015,080 | \$ | 157,733 | \$ | - | \$ | 13,172,813 |
| Mortgage-backed securities.............. |  | 59,209,471 |  | 1,334,771 |  | 40,545 |  | 60,503,697 |
| Asset-backed securities ................... |  | 1,499,732 |  | - |  | 305,642 |  | 1,194,090 |
| Municipal bonds............................. |  | 1,836,098 |  | 70,695 |  | - |  | 1,906,793 |
| Other bonds and debentures ............. |  | 565,000 |  | 425 |  | - |  | 565,425 |
| Preferred stock with maturities......... |  | 5,000,000 |  | - |  | 722,000 |  | 4,278,000 |
| Equity securities...................................... |  | 494,666 |  | 540 |  | 127,536 |  | 367,670 |
| Total available-for-sale securities...................... | \$ | 81,620,047 | \$ | 1,564,164 | \$ | 1,195,723 | \$ | 81,988,488 |

## DEPOSIT ACTIVITIES AND OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS

The Bank offers a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms. The Bank's deposits consist of business checking, money market accounts, savings, NOW and certificate accounts. The flow of deposits is influenced by general economic conditions, changes in money market rates, prevailing interest rates and competition. The Bank's deposits are obtained predominantly from within the Bank's primary market areas. The Bank uses traditional means to advertise its deposit products, including print media, and generally does not solicit deposits from outside its primary market areas. The Bank offers negotiated rates on some of its certificate accounts. At December 31, 2010, time deposits represented approximately $45 \%$ of total deposits. Time deposits included $\$ 162,069,863$ of certificates of deposit in excess of $\$ 100,000$.

The following table presents deposit activity of the Bank for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Thousands) |  |  |
| Net deposits (withdrawals) | \$ | 37,155 | \$ | 72,313 | \$ | $(12,537)$ |
| Interest credited on deposit accounts. |  | 6,635 |  | 8,762 |  | 12,938 |
| Total increase in deposit accounts | \$ | 43,790 | \$ | 81,075 | \$ | 401 |

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had approximately $\$ 162.1$ million in certificate of deposit accounts in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more maturing as follows:

| Maturity Period | Amount |  | Weighted Average Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$ in thousands) |  |  |
| 3 months or less. | \$ | 59,558 | 0.97\% |
| Over 3 through 6 months............................................. |  | 21,978 | 1.29\% |
| Over 6 through 12 months........................................... |  | 38,397 | 1.45\% |
| Over 12 months ......................................................... |  | 42,137 | 2.54\% |
| Total............................................................... | \$ | 162,070 | 1.54\% |

The following table sets for $h$ the distribution of the Bank's deposit accounts as of December 31 of the years indicated and the percentage to total deposits:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | \% of Total | Amount | $\%$ of Total | Amount | $\%$ of Total |
|  | (\$ in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Checking accounts | \$ 53,265 | 6.8\% | \$ 48,430 | 6.6\% | \$ 45,968 | 7.0\% |
| NOW accounts. | 201,469 | 25.9 | 178,049 | 24.2 | 155,662 | 23.9 |
| Money market accounts. | 36,328 | 4.7 | 38,806 | 5.3 | 40,424 | 6.2 |
| Regular savings accounts. | 10,956 | 1.4 | 10,958 | 1.5 | 11,063 | 1.7 |
| Treasury savings accounts | 124,004 | 15.9 | 116,817 | 15.9 | 107,110 | 16.4 |
| Club deposits............................. ......................... | 95 | - | 96 | - | 93 | - |
| Total | 426,117 | 54.7 | 393,156 | 53.5 | 360,320 | 55.2 |
| Time deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 12 months ........... ....................... | 261,854 | 33.7 | 268,298 | 36.5 | 261,556 | 40.0 |
| Over 12 through 36 months | 57,102 | 7.3 | 68,686 | 9.4 | 29,248 | 4.5 |
| Over 36 months ................. ......................... | 33,146 | 4.3 | 4,289 | 0.6 | 2,229 | 0.3 |
| Total time deposits ............ ......................... | 352,102 | 45.3 | 341,273 | 46.5 | 293,033 | 44.8 |
| Total Deposits.. | \$778,219 | 100.0\% | \$734,429 | 100.0\% | \$653,353 | 100.0\% |

The following table present: the average balance of each type of deposit and the average rate paid on each type of deposit for the year indicated.

|  | For the Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
|  | Average Balance | Average Rate Paid | Average Balance | Average Rate Paid | Average Balance | Average Rate Paid |
|  | ( $\$$ in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOW. | \$196,566 | 0.12\% | \$170,268 | 0.10\% | \$169,835 | 0.27\% |
| Savings deposits. | 132,166 | 0.28 | 126,015 | 0.51 | 122,516 | 1.06 |
| Money market deposits. | 34,675 | 0.50 | 37,069 | 0.70 | 39,235 | 1.46 |
| Time deposits............................ ............................. | 344,875 | 1.70 | 310,994 | 2.47 | 290,648 | 3.59 |
| Demand deposits....................... ............................. | 26,517 | - | 27,917 | - | 29,286 | - |
| Total Deposits ............................................... | \$734,799 |  | \$672,263 |  | \$651,520 |  |

The following table presents, by various rate categories, the amount of time deposits as of December 31:

| Time Deposits | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ousands) |  |  |
| 0.00\% - 0.99\%. | \$ | 106,804 | S | 3,130 | S | 1,117 |
| 1.00\% - 1.99\%. |  | 153,202 |  | 257,229 |  | 18,563 |
| 2.00\% - $2.99 \%$ |  | 41,668 |  | 42,670 |  | 118,986 |
| 3.00\% - $3.99 \%$. |  | 49,399 |  | 36,041 |  | 134,865 |
| 4.00\% - 4.99\%. |  | 1,026 |  | 2,201 |  | 19,256 |
| $5.00 \%-5.99 \%$. |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 246 |
| Total | \$ | 352,102 | \$ | 341,273 | \$ | 293,033 |

## Borrowings

The Bank utilizes advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (FHLB) as a funding source alternative to retail deposits. By utilizing FHLB advances, the Bank can meet its liquidity needs without otherwise being dependent upon retail deposits. These advances are collateralized primarily by mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities held by the Bank and secondarily by the Bank's investment in capital slock of the FHLB. The maximum amount that the FHLB will advance to member institutions fluctuates from time-to-time in accordance with the policies of the FHLB. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had outstanding advances of $\$ 76.0$ million from the FHLB compared to advances outstanding of $\$ 96.0$ million from the FHLB at December 31, 2009.

The following table represents the balances, average amount outstanding, maximum outstanding, and average interest rates for short-term borrowings reported in Note 8 of the financial statements for the year indicated:


## REGULATION

General. NHTB is regulated as a savings and loan holding company by the OTS. NHTB is required to file reports with, and otherwise comply with the rules and regulations of, the OTS and the SEC under the federal securities laws. The Bank, as a federal savings bank, is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the OTS, as its primary regulator, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as its deposit insurer. The Bank must file reports with the OTS and the FDIC concerning its activities and financial condition. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), enacted on July 21, 2010, the OTS will be abolished as of July 21, 2011, and its rights and duties transferred to the Federal Reserve, as to savings and loan holding companies, and to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), as to savings banks. Therefore, as of that date (the "Transfer Date"), NHTB will be regulated by the Federal Reserve rather than the OTS, and the Bank will be regulated by the OCC rather than the OTS. The Dodd-Frank Act also creates a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) as an independent bureau of the Federal Reserve, to begin operations on the Transfer Date. The CFPB will have broad authority to issue regulations implementing numerous consumer laws, and we will be subject to those regulations.

The regulations to which NHTB and the Bank will be subject may, therefore, change after the Transfer Date. Our description here of the laws, regulations, and supervisory and enforcement regime to which NHTB and the Bank are subject are those to which NHTB and the Bank are currently subject. We cannot predict at this time how these may change after the Transfer Date.

The following references to the laws and regulations under which NHTB and the Bank are regulated are brief summaries thereof, do not purport to be complete, and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such laws and regulations. The OTS and the FDIC have significant discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies under the applicable laws and regulations. Any change in such laws, regulations or policies, whether by the OTS, the FDIC, the Federal Reserve, the OCC, the SEC or the Congress, could have a material adverse impact on NHTB and the Bank, and their operations and stockholders.

## Regulation of Federal Savings Associations

Business Activities. The Bank derives its lending and investment powers from the Home Owners' Loan Act, as amended (the "HOLA"), and the regulations of the OTS. Under these laws and regulations, the Bank may invest in mortgage loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, commercial and consumer loans, certain types of debt securities, and certain other assets. The Bank may also establish service corporations that may engage in activities not otherwise permissible for the Bank, including certain real estate equity investments. The Bank's authority to invest in certain types of loans or other investments is limited by federal law and regulation.

Loans to One Borrower. The Bank is generally subject to the same limits on loans to one borrower as a national bank. With specified exceptions, the Bank's total loans or extensions of credit to a single borrower cannot exceed $15 \%$ of the Bank's unimpaired capital and surplus which does not include accumulated other comprehensive income. The Bank may lend additional amounts up to $10 \%$ of its unimpaired capital and surplus which does not include accumulated other comprehensive income, if the loans or extensions of credit are fully-secured by readily-marketable collateral. The Bank currently complies with applicable loans-to-one borrower limitations.

QTL Test. Under federal law, the Bank must comply with the qualified thrift lender, or "QTL" test. Under the QTL test, the Bank is required to maintain at least $65 \%$ of its "portfolio assets" in certain "qualified thrift investments" in at least nine months of the most recent 12-month period. "Portfolio assets" means, in general, the Bank's total assets less the sum of:

- specified liquid assets up to $20 \%$ of total assets;
- goodwill and other intangible assets; and
- the value of property used to conduct the Bank's business.
"Qualified thrift investment;" include certain assets that are includable without limit, such as residential and manufactured housing loans, home equity loans, education loans, small business loans, credit card loans, mortgage backed securities, Federal Home Loan Bank stock and certain U.S. government obligations. The term also includes assets that are includable up to $20 \%$ of portfolio assets, such as certain consumer luans and loans in "credit-needy" areas.

The Bank may also satisfy the QTL test by qualifying as a "domestic building and loan association" as defined in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The Bank met the QTL test at December 31, 2010, and in each of the prior 12 months, and, therefore, is a "qualified thrift lender." If the Bank fails the QTL test, and is unable to correct that failure for a period of time, it must either operate under certain restrictions on its activities or convert to a bank charter.

Capital Requirements. OTS regulations require savings associations to meet three minimum capital standards:
(1) a tangible capital ratic requirement of $1.5 \%$ of total assets as adjusted under the OTS regulations;
(2) a leverage ratio requirement of $3.0 \%$ of core capital to such adjusted total assets, if the Bank has been assigned the highest composite rating of 1 (one) under the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System; the minimum leverage capital ratio for any other depository institution that does not have a composite rating of 1 (one) will be $4.0 \%$;
(3) a risk-based capital ratio requirement of $8.0 \%$ of core and supplementary capital to total risk-based assets, provided that the amount of supplernentary capital used to satisfy this requirement shall not exceed the amount of core capital.

Higher capital ratios may be required if warranted by particular circumstances, including the risk profile of the depository institution. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets for purposes of the risk-based capital requirement, a savings association must compute its risk-based assett by multiplying its assets and certain off-balance sheet items by risk weights, which range from $0 \%$ for cash and obligations issued by the United States Government or its agencies to $100 \%$ for consumer, commercial loans, home equity and construction loans and certain other assets as assigned by the OTS capital regulations based on the risks found by the OTS to be inherent in the type of asset. The risk weight for certain positions in eligible rated securities ranges from $20 \%$ for the highest or second highest rating category to $200 \%$ for one rating category below investment grade.

Tangible capital is defined, zenerally, as common stockholder's equity (including retained earnings), certain noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related earnings, minority interests in equity accounts of fully consolidated subsidiaries, less intangible assets (other than certain servicing rights and nonsecurity financial instruments) and investments in and loans to subsidiaries engaged in activities not permissible for a national bank. Core capital (or tier 1 capital) is defined similarly to tangible capital, but core capital also includes certain qualifying supervisory goodwill and certain purchased credit card relationships. Supplementary capital (or tier 2 capital) includes cumulative and other preferred stock, mandatory convertible debt securities, subordinated debt and intermediate preferred stock and the allowance for loan and lease losses. In addition, up to $45 \%$ of unrealized gains on available-for-sale equity securities with a readily determinable fair value may be included in tier 2 capital. The allowance for loan and lease losses includable in tier 2 capital is limited to a maximum of $1.25 \%$ of risk-weighted assets.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank met each of its capital requirements. The table below presents the Bank's regulatory capital as compared to the OTS regulatory capital requirements at December 31, 2010:

|  | Bank |  | Capital Requirements( $\mathbf{S}$ in thousands) |  | Excess Capital |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tangible capital | \$ | 79,763 | \$ | 14,454 | \$ | 65,309 |
| Core capital. |  | 79,763 |  | 38,543 |  | 41,220 |
| Risk-based capital... |  | 83,956 |  | 53,003 |  | 30,953 |

Community Reinvestment Act. Under the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA), as implemented by OTS regulations, the Bank has a continuing and affirmative obligation consistent with its safe and sound operation to help meet the credit needs of its entire community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for the Bank nor does it limit the Bank's discretion to develop the types of products and services that it believes are best suited to its particular community, consistent with the CRA. The CRA requires the OTS, in connection with its examination of a savings association, to assess the association's record of meeting the credit needs of its community and to take such record into account in its evaluation of certain applications by such association. The CRA also requires all institutions to make public disclosure of their CRA ratings. The Bank reseived a "Satisfactory" rating in its most recent CRA examination, dated March 31, 2010.

The CRA regulations establish an assessment system that bases an association's rating on its actual performance in meeting community needs. The assessment system for institutions of the Bank's size focuses on two tests:

- a lending test, to evaluate the institution's record of making loans in its assessment areas;
- a community development test, to evaluate the institution's record of investing in community development projects, affordable housing, and programs benefiting low or moderate income individuals and businesses in its assessment area or a broader area that includes its assessment area; and to evaluate the institution's delivery of services through its retail banking channels and the extent and innovativeness of its community development services.

Transactions with Affiliates. The Bank's authority to engage in transactions with its "affiliates" is limited by the OTS regulations, the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation W and Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act (FRA). In general, these transactions must be on terms which are at least as favorable to the Bank as comparable transactions with non-affiliates. In addition, certain types of these transactions referred to as "covered transactions" are subject to quantitative limits based on a percentage of the Bank's capital, thereby restricting the total dollar amount of transactions the Bank may engage in with each individual affiliate and with all affiliates in the aggregate. Affiliates must pledge qualifying collateral in amounts between $100 \%$ and $130 \%$ of the covered transaction in order to receive loans from the Bank. In addition, applicable regulations prohibit a savings association from lending to any of its affiliates that engage in activities that are not permissible for bank holding companies and from purchasing low-quality (e.g., non-performing) assets from an affiliate or purchasing the securities of any affiliate, other than a subsidiary.

Loans to Insiders. The Bank's authority to extend credit to its directors, executive officers and principal stockholders, as well as to entities controlled by such persons, is governed by the requirements of Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the FRA and Regulation O of the Federal Reserve Board. Among other things, these provisions require that extensions of credit to insiders:

- be made on terms that are substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing for comparable transactions with non-insiders and that do not involve more that the normal risk of repayment or present other features that are unfavorable to the Bank; and
- not exceed certain limitations on the amount of credit extended to such persons, individually and in the aggregate, which limits are based, in part, on the amount of the Bank's capital.

The regulations allow small discounts on fees on residential mortgages for directors, officers and employees, but, generally, specialized terms must be made widely available to all employees rather than to a select subset of insiders, such as executive officers. In addition, extensions for credit to insiders in excess of certain limits must be approved by the Bank's Board of Directors.

Enforcement. The OTS has primary enforcement responsibility over savings associations, including the Bank. This enforcement authority includes, among other things, the ability to assess civil money penalties, to issue cease and desist orders and to remove directors and officers. In general, these enforcement actions may be initiated in response to violations of laws and regulations and to unsafe or unsound practices.

Prompt Corrective Action Regulations. Under the prompt corrective action (PCA) statute and regulations implemented by the OTS, the OTS is required to take certain, and is authorized to take other, supervisory actions against savings associations whose capital falls below certain levels. For this purpose, a savings association is placed in one of the following four categories based on the association's capital:

- well capitalized;
- adequately capitalized;
- undercapitalized; or
- critically undercapitalized.

The PCA statute and regulations provide for progressively more stringent supervisory measures as a savings association's capital category declines. At December 31, 2010, the Bank met the criteria for being considered "well-capitalized."

Standards for Safety and Soundness. Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, the OTS has adopted a set of guidelines prescribing safety and soundness standards. These guidelines establish general standards relating to areas including internal controls, information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings standards, compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines.

In addition, the OTS adopted regulations that authorize, but do not require, the OTS to order an institution that has been given notice that it is not satisfying these safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If, after being notified, an institution fails to submit an acceptable plan or fails in any material respect to implement an accepted plan, the OTS must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency. Further, the OTS may issue an order directing corrective actions and may issue an order directing other actions of the types to which an undercapitalized association is subject under the "prompt corrective action" provisions of federal law. If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the OTS may seek to enforce such order in judicial proceedings and to impose civil money penalties.

Limitations on Capital Distributions. The OTS imposes various restrictions or requirements on the Bank's ability to make capital distributions, including cash dividends. A savings institution that is the subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company must file a notice with the OTS at least 30 days before making a capital distribution. The Bank must file an application for prior approval if the total amount of its capital distributions, including the proposed distribution, for the applicable calendar year would exceed an amount equal to the Bank's net income for that year plus the Bank's retained net income for the previous two years.

The OTS may disapprove a notice or application if:

- The Bank would be undercapitalized following the distribution;
- the proposed capital distribution raises safety and soundness concerns; or
- the capital distribution would violate a prohibition contained in any statute, regulation or agreement.

NHTB's ability to pay dividends, service debt obligations and repurchase common stock is dependent upon receipt of dividend payments from the Bank.

Liquidity. The Bank is requ ired to maintain a sufficient amount of liquid assets to ensure its safe and sound operation.
Insurance of Deposit Accounts. The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC up to the applicable limits established by law and are subject to the deposit insurance premium assessments of the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"). The FDIC currently maintains a risk-based assessment system under which assessment rates vary based on the level of risk posed by the institution to the DIF. The assessment rate may, the refore, change when that level of risk changes.

In February 2011, the FDIC adopted a final rule making certain changes to the deposit insurance assessment system, many of which were made as a result of provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule also revises the assessment rate schedule effective April 1, 2011, and adopts additional rate schedules that will go into effect when the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) reserve ratio reaches various milestones. The final rule changes the deposit insurance assessment system from one that is based on domestic deposits to one that is based on average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity. In addition, the rule suspends FDIC dividend payments if the DIF rese ve ratio exceeds 1.5 percent but provides for decreasing assessment rates when the DIF reserve ratio reaches certain thresholds.

In calculating assessment rates, the rule adopts a new "scorecard" assessment scheme for insured depository institutions with $\$ 10$ billion or more in assets. It retains the risk category system for insured depository institutions with less than $\$ 10$ billion in assets, assigning each institution to one of four risk categories based upon the institution's capital evaluation and supervisory evaluation, as defined by the rule. It is possible that our deposit insurance premiums may increase in the future.

The rule will take effect for the quarter beginning April 1, 2011, and will be reflected in the invoices for assessments due September 30, 2011. Assessments under the new rule will be netted out against any amounts already prepaid.

On October 14, 2008, the FI)IC announced a new program, the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program ("TLGP"), that provides unlimited deposit insurance on funds invested in noninterest-bearing transaction deposit accounts in excess of the existing deposit insurance limit of $\$ 250,000$. Participating institutions are assessed a $\$ 0.10$ surcharge per $\$ 100$ of deposits above the existing deposit insurance limit. The TLGF also provides that the FDIC, for an additional fee, will guarantee qualifying senior unsecured debt issued prior to October 2009 by participating banks and certain qualifying holding companies. The Bank opted to remain in the transaction account guarantee portion of the TLGP, but opted out of the debt guarantee portion.

In addition, all FDIC-insured institutions are required to pay a pro rata portion of the interest due on obligations issued by the Financing Corporation to fund the closing and disposal of failed thrift institutions by the Resolution Trust Corporation. At December 31, 2010, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation assessed Deposit Insurance Fund-insured deposits 1.30 basis points per $\$ 100$ of deposits to cover those obligations. The Financing Corporation rate is adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in assessment bases of the Deposit Insurance Fund. This obligation will continue until the Financing Corporation bonds mature in 2017.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston, which is one of the regional FHLBs comprising the FHLB System. Each FHLB provides a central credit facility primarily for its member institutions. The Bank, as a member of the FHLB of Boston, is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the FHLB of Boston. While the required percentages of stock ownership are subject to change by the FHLB, the Bank was in compliance with this requirement with an investment in FHLB of Boston stock at December 31, 2010 of $\$ 7.6$ million. Any advances from a FHLB must be secured by specified types of collateral, and all long-term advances may be obtained only for the purpose of providing funds for residential housing finance.

The FHLBs are required to provide funds for the resolution of insolvent thrifts and to contribute funds for affordable housing programs. These requirements could reduce the amount of earnings that the FHLBs can pay as dividends to their members and could also result in the FHLBs imposing a higher rate of interest on advances to their members. If dividends were reduced, or interest on future FHLB advances increased, the Bank's net interest income would be affected.

Federal Reserve System. Under regulations of the FRB, the Bank is required to maintain noninterest-earning reserves against its transaction accounts (primarily NOW and regular checking accounts). The FRB regulations exempt $\$ 8,500,000$ of otherwise reservable balances from the reserve requirements. A $3 \%$ reserve is required for transaction account balances over $\$ 9,300,000$ and up to $\$ 34,600,000$. Transaction account balances over $\$ 34,600,000$ are subject to a reserve requirement of $\$ 1,038,000$ plus $10 \%$ of any amount over $\$ 34,600,000$. The Bank is in compliance with the foregoing reserve requirements. Because required reserves must be maintained in the form of vault cash, a noninterest-bearing account at a Federal Reserve Bank, or a pass-through account as defined by the FRB, the effect of this reserve requirement is to reduce the Bank's interest-earning assets. The balances maintained to meet the reserve requirements imposed by the FRB may be used to satisfy liquidity requirements imposed by the OTS. FHLB System members are also authorized to borrow from the Federal Reserve discount window.

Prohibitions Against Tying Arrangements. The Bank is subject to prohibitions on certain tying arrangements. A depository institution is prohibited, subject to certain exceptions, from extending credit to or offering any other service, or fixing or varying the consideration for such extension of credit or service, on the condition that the customer obtain some additional product or service from the institution or its affiliates or not obtain services of a competitor of the institution.

The Bank Secrecy Act. The Bank and NHTB are subject to the Bank Secrecy Act, as amended by the USA PATRIOT Act, which gives the federal government powers to address money laundering and terrorist threats through enhanced domestic security measures, expanded surveillance powers, and mandatory transaction reporting obligations. By way of example, the Bank Secrecy Act imposes an affirmative obligation on the Bank to report currency transactions that exceed certain thresholds and to report other transactions determined to be suspicious.

Title III of the USA PATRIOT Act takes measures intended to encourage information sharing among financial institutions, bank regulatory agencies and law enforcement bodies. Further, certain provisions of Title III impose affirmative obligations on a broad range of financial institutions, including banks, thrifts, brokers, dealers, credit unions, money transfer agents and parties registered under the Commodity Exchange Act. Among other requirements, the USA PATRIOT Act imposes the following obligations on financial institutions:

- financial institutions must establish anti-money laundering programs that include, at minimum: (i) internal policies, procedures, and controls, (ii) specific designation of an anti-money laundering compliance officer, (iii) ongoing employee training programs, and (iv) an independent audit function to test the anti-money laundering program;
- financial institutions must establish and meet minimum standards for customer due diligence, identification and verification;
- financial institutions that establish, maintain, administer, or manage private banking accounts or correspondent accounts in the United States for non-United States persons or their representatives (including foreign individuals visiting the United States) must establish appropriate, specific, and, where necessary, enhanced due diligence policies, procedures, and controls designed to detect and report money laundering through those accounts;
- financial institutions are prohibited from establishing, maintaining, administering or managing correspondent accounts for foreign shell banks (foreign banks that do not have a physical presence in any country), and are subject to certain recordkeeping obligations with respect to correspondent accounts of foreign banks; and
- bank regulators are directed to consider a bank's or holding company's effectiveness in combating money laundering when ruling on Federal Reserve Act and Bank Merger Act applications.

Office of Foreign Assets Control. The Bank and NHTB, like all United States companies and individuals, are prohibited from transacting business with certain individuals and entities named on the Office of Foreign Assets Control's list of Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons. Failure to comply may result in fines and other penalties. The Office of Foreign Asset Control issued guidance directed at financial institutions in which it asserted that it may, in its discretion, examine institutions determined to be highrisk or to be lacking in their efforts to comply with these prohibitions.

## Holding Company Regulation

NHTB is a savings and loar holding company regulated by the OTS. As such, NHTB is registered with and subject to OTS examination and supervision, as well as certain reporting requirements. In addition, the OTS has enforcement authority over NHTB and any of its non-savings institution subsidiaries. Among other things, this authority permits the OTS to restrict or prohibit activities that are determined to be a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of a subsidiary savings institution. Unlike bank holding companies, federal savings and loan holding companies are not currently subject to regulatory capital requirements or to supervision by the FRB, but, as noted above, this will change as of the Transfer Date.

HOLA prohibits a savings and loan holding company, directly or indirectly, or through one or more subsidiaries, from acquiring control (as defined under HOLA) of another savings institution without prior OTS approval. In addition, a savings and loan holding company is prohibited from directly or indirectly acquiring, (i) through mergers, consolidation or purchase of assets, another savings association or a holding company thereof, or acquiring all or substantially all of the assets of such association or company without prior OTS approval; and (ii) control of any depository institution not insured by the FDIC (except through a merger with and into the holding company's savings institution association that is approved by the OTS).

A savings and loan holding company may not acquire as a separate subsidiary an insured institution that has a principal office outside of the state where the principal office of its subsidiary institution in located, except, (i) in the case of certain emergency acquisitions approved by the FDIC; (ii) if the holding company controls a savings institution subsidiary that operated a home or branch office in such additional state as of March 5, 1987; or (iii) if the laws of the home state of the savings institution to be acquired specifically authorize a savings institution chartered by that state to be acquired by a savings institution chartered by the state where the acquiring savings institution or savings and loan holding company is located or by a holding company that controls such a state chartered association.

Laws governing savings and loan holding companies historically have classified such entities based upon the number of thrift institutions which they control. NHTB is classified as a unitary savings and loan holding company because it controls only one thrift, the Bank. Under the Gramm Leach Bliley Act of 1999 (GLB Act), any company which becomes a unitary savings and loan holding company pursuant to a charter application filed with the OTS after May 4, 1999, is prohibited from engaging in non-financial activities or affiliating with non-financial companies. All unitary savings and loan holding companies in existence prior to May 4, 1999, such as NHTB, are "grandfathered" under the GLB Act and may continue to operate as unitary savings and loan holding companies without any limitations: in the types of businesses with which they may engage at the holding company level, provided that the thrift subsidiary of the holding company continues to satisfy the QTL test.

Transactions between the Bank and NHTB and its other subsidiaries are subject to various conditions and limitations. See "Regulation of Federal Savings A;sociations - Transactions with Affiliates" and "Regulation of Federal Savings Associations Limitation on Capital Distributions."

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act. As a public company, NHTB is subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Sarbanes-Oxley Act-related principal legislation and the derivitive regulation and rule making promulgated by the SEC includes:

- the creation of an indspendent accounting oversight board;
- auditor independence provisions which restrict non-audit services that accountants may provide to their audit clients;
- additional corporate governance and responsibility measures, including the requirement that the chief executive officer and chief financial officer certify financial statements;
- a requirement that cornanaies establish and maintain a system of internal control over financial reporting and that a company's management provide an annual report regarding its assessment of the effectiveness of such internal control over financial reporting to the company's independent accountants and that such accountants provide an attestation report with respect to management's assessment of the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting;
- the forfeiture of bonu:es or other incentive-based compensation and profits from the sale of an issuer's securities by directors and senior officers in the twelve month period following initial publication of any financial statements that later require restatement;
- an increase in the oversight of, and enhancement of certain requirements relating to audit committees of public companies and how they interact with the company's independent auditors;
- the requirement that a a dit committee members must be independent and are absolutely barred from accepting consulting, advisory or other compensatory fees from the issuer;
- the requirement that companies disclose whether at least one member of the audit committee is a "financial expert" (as such term is defined by the SEC) and if not, why not;
- expanded disclosure requirements for corporate insiders, including accelerated reporting of stock transactions by insiders and a prohibition on insider trading during pension blackout periods;
- a prohibition on personal loans to directors and officers, except certain loans made by insured financial institutions;
- disclosure of a code of ethics and filing a Form 8-K to notify investors of a change or waiver of such code;
- mandatory disclosure by analysts of potential conflicts of interest; and
- a range of enhanced penalties for fraud and other violations.

Section 402 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 prohibits the extension of personal loans to directors and executive officers of issuers (as defined in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act). The prohibition, however, does not apply to mortgages advanced by an insured depository institution, such as the Bank, that are subject to the insider lending restrictions of Section 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act.

Federal Securities Laws. NHTB's common stock is registered with the SEC under Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). NHTB is subject to information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Exchange Act.

Quotation on Nasdaq. NHTB's common stock is quoted on The Nasdaq Global Market of the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ). In order to maintain such quotation, NHTB is subject to certain corporate governance requirements, including:

- a majority of its board must be composed of independent directors;
- the requirement to have an audit committee composed of at least three directors, each of whom is an independent director, as such term is defined by both the rules of NASDAQ and by the Exchange Act, as amended, and regulations promulgated thereunder;
- that the nominating committee and compensation committee must also be composed entirely of independent directors; and
- that the audit committee and nominating committee must each have a publicly available written charter.


## Taxation

A thrift institution organized in stock form which utilizes the bad debt reserve method for bad debt will be subject to certain recapture taxes on such reserves in the event it makes certain types of distributions to its stockholders. Dividends may be paid out of appropriated retained income without the imposition of any tax on an institution to the extent that the amounts paid as dividends do not exceed such current and accumulated earnings and profits as calculated for federal income tax purposes. Stock redemptions, dividends paid in excess of an institution's current and accumulated earnings and profits as calculated for tax purposes, and partial or complete liquidation distributions made with respect to an institution's stock, however, are deemed under applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code to be made from the institution's bad debt reserve, to the extent that such reserve exceeds the amount that could have been accumulated under the actual experience method. In the event a thrift institution makes a distribution that is treated as having been made from the tax bad debt reserve, the distribution is treated as an after tax distribution and the institution will be liable for tax on the gross amount before tax at the then current tax rate. Amounts added to the bad debt reserves for federal income tax purposes are also used by the Bank to meet the OTS reserve requirements described under "Regulation-Insurance of Accounts."

The Bank's tax returns have been audited and accepted through December 31, 1996 by the Internal Revenue Service.

## State Income Tax

The Bank is subject to an annual Business Profits Tax imposed by the State of New Hampshire at the rate of $8.50 \%$ of the total amount of federal taxable income, less deductions for interest earned on United States government securities. The State of New Hampshire has also instituted a Business Enterprise Tax which places a tax on certain expense items, including interest, dividends, wages, benefits and pensions which are each taxed at a rate of $0.75 \%$. Business Enterprise Taxes are allowed as a credit against the Business Profits Tax.

Upon conversion to a holding company, NHTB became subject to a state franchise tax imposed by Delaware. For the year ended 2010, the Delaware franchise tax amounted to $\$ 101,944$.

## EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2010, Lake Sunapee Bank had a total of 215 full-time employees and 34 part-time employees. These employees are not represented by collective bargaining agents. The Bank believes that its relationship with its employees is good.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

## Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

## Item 2. Properties

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had 28 banking offices located in New Hampshire and Vermont as follows:

| Location | Leased | Owned | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Hampshire: |  |  |  |
| 1. Andover........ | 1 | - | 1 |
| 2. Bradford ........................................................................................... | - | 1 | 1 |
| 3. Claremont...... | 1 | - | 1 |
| 4. Enfield... | 1 | - | 1 |
| 5. Grantham.. | - | 1 | 1 |
| 6. Hanover......... | 1 | - | 1 |
| 7. Hillsboro........ | - | 1 | 1 |
| 8. Lebanon......... | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 9. Milford .. | - | 1 | 1 |
| 10. New London | - | 2 | 2 |
| 11. Newbury...... | 1 | - | 1 |
| 12. Newport....... | - | 2 | 2 |
| 13. Peterborough | 1 | - | 1 |
| 14. Sunapee ....... | - | 1 | 1 |
| 15. West Lebanol.. | 1 | - | 1 |
| Vermont: |  |  |  |
| 1. Brandon........ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2. Pittsford.. | - | 1 | 1 |
| 3. Rutland.. | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 4. West Rutland. | - | 1 | 1 |
| 5. Woodstock. | - | 2 | 2 |
| Total Banking Offices. | 10 | 18 | 28 |

Lease expiration dates range from 1 to 5 years with renewal options of 1 to 5 years.
Lake Sunapee Group, Inc. is: headquartered in Newport, New Hampshire and has no other offices. Lake Sunapee Financial Services Corp. is headquartered in Newport, New Hampshire and has no other offices.

The total net book value of premises and equipment at December 31, 2010 was $\$ 16.7$ million. See Note 5 - Premises and equipment in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this report for additional information.

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

There is no material litigation pending in which the Company or any of its subsidiaries is a party or of which any of their property is subject, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the Company's business.

## Item 4. Removed and Reserved

## PART II.

## Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table shows the market range for the Company's common stock based on reported sales prices on the NASDAQ Global Market. New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. is traded under the symbol "NHTB."

|  | Period | High |  | Low |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | First Quarter | \$ | 10.88 | \$ | 9.40 |
|  | Second Quarter |  | 11.65 |  | 9.90 |
|  | Third Quarter |  | 10.71 |  | 9.79 |
|  | Fourth Quarter |  | 13.10 |  | 10.95 |
| 2009 | First Quarter | \$ | 8.30 | \$ | 6.40 |
|  | Second Quarter |  | 10.40 |  | 6.95 |
|  | Third Quarter |  | 10.38 |  | 9.21 |
|  | Fourth Quarter |  | 9.99 |  | 8.77 |

As of March 16, 2011, the Company had approximately 738 stockholders of record. The number of stockholders does not reflect the number of persons or entities who held their stock in nominee or "street" name through various brokerage firms.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding per share dividends declared on the Company's common stock:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Quarter | \$ | 0.1300 | \$ | 0.1300 |
| Second Quarter |  | 0.1300 |  | 0.1300 |
| Third Quarter.. |  | 0.1300 |  | 0.1300 |
| Fourth Quarter |  | 0.1300 |  | 0.1300 |

For information regarding limitations on the declaration and payment of dividends by New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc., see Note 16 of the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company anticipates continuing to pay dividends in 2011.

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## General

The Company's profitability is derived from the Bank. The Bank's earnings are primarily generated from the difference between the yield on its loans and investments and the cost of its deposit accounts and borrowings. Loan origination fees, retail-banking service fees, and gains on security and loan transactions supplement these core earnings.

## Overview

- Total assets stood at $\$ 995,053,884$ at December 31, 2010, an increase of $\$ 32,452,697$, or $3.37 \%$, from $\$ 962,601,187$ at December 31, 2009.
- Net loans increased $\$ 55,181,181$, or $8.90 \%$, to $\$ 675,513,787$ at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 620,332,606$ at December 31, 2009.
- In 2010, the Bank originated $\$ 341,457,443$ in loans, compared to $\$ 309,592,066$ in 2009.
- The Bank's loan servicing portfolio increased to $\$ 370,331,523$ at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 352,066,692$ at December 31, 2009 , an increase of $\$ 18,264,831$, or $5.19 \%$.
- The Company earned $\$ 7,947,435$, or $\$ 1.29$ per common share, assuming dilution, for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to $\$ 6,597,706$, or $\$ 1.06$ per common share, assuming dilution, for the year ended December 31, 2009.
- Net interest and dividend income for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased $\$ 1,604,272$, or $5.87 \%$, to $\$ 28,912,232$ from $\$ 27,307,960$ for the same period in 2009.
- The Bank's interest rate spread decreased to 3.17\% at December 31, 2010 from 3.32\% at December 31, 2009.


## Economic Conditions

Nationally, concerns remain regarding discretionary consumer spending. Consumers may have more of a willingness to spend, but they continue to be encumbered by debt. The impact of higher debt levels and lower incomes is expected to continue to restrict consumer spending. The economic outlook remains cautious as the national unemployment rate remains high and is expected to continue to decrease gradually. Overall, economic conditions are expected to improve slowly in a half-speed recovery. Unemployment affects all lending categories through its impact on consumer spending, commercial cash flows and capacities for debt repayment. In addition as general market conditions improve, a certain sector of deposits historically returns to the investment market leaving the security of banks behind.

The states of New Hampshire and Vermont benefit from unemployment rates that are within the lowest $10 \%$ across the country at December 31, 2010. The seasonally adjusted unemployment rate in New Hampshire was $5.50 \%$ in December 2010, remaining well below the $9.40 \%$ seasonally adjusied National rate. The December 2010 rate in New Hampshire decreased 20.29\% compared to the December 2009 rate of $6.90 \%$, while the National rate decreased $5.05 \%$ during the same period. In December 2010, Vermont's seasonally adjusted unemployment rate was $5.80 \%$, a decrease of $13.43 \%$ over the rate of $6.70 \%$ in December 2009.

The AP Economic Stress Index (AP-ESI) measures the relative impact of the recession and its recovery by integrating the cumulative effect of three economic indicators: unemployment, foreclosures, and bankruptcy. Higher stress indices indicate a worsening economy, while decrea ses indicate an improving economy. The December 2010 AP-ESI for New Hampshire was $6.95 \%$, or 123 basis points lower than December 2009, a decrease of $15.04 \%$. The December 2010 AP-ESI for Vermont was $6.19 \%$, or 95 basis points lower than December 2009, a decrease of $13.31 \%$. Foreclosures and bankruptcies in both states measured at December 31, 2010 were up compared December 31, 2009.

On the residential real estate front, New Hampshire home sales statewide were down $1.47 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 while the median home price was flat comparing the same periods. There were $3.38 \%$ more New Hampshire listings on the market during the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, and the time on the market increased $2.29 \%$ to 134 days for the same year-to-date period comparison. Vermont home sales statewide were up $1.72 \%$ for the year ended December 31,2010 compared to the same period in 2009 while the median home price increased $\$ 1,500$, or $0.82 \%$, during the same period. There were $4.59 \%$ fewer Vermont listings on the market during the year ended December 31,2010 compared to the same period in 2009 , and the time on the market increased $13.91 \%$ to 172 days for the same year-to-year comparison.

Management expects these economic factors to continue to impact lending, deposits and net interest income during 2011. Management continually assesses current and changing economic conditions and their impacts on the financial instruments of the institution including, but not limited to, the collectability of loans, delinquencies, asset quality, funding, liquidity, and specific and general earnings impacts.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The Company considers the following accounting policies to be most critical in their potential effect on its financial position or results of operations:

## Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a charge to the provision for loan losses. Provisions are made to reserve for estimated losses in outstanding loan balances. The allowance for loan losses is a significant estimate and is regularly reviewed by the Company for adequacy by assessiag such factors as changes in the mix and volume of the loan portfolio; trends in portfolio credit quality, including delinquency and charge-off rates; and current economic conditions that may affect a borrower's ability to repay. The Company's methodology with respect to the assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is more fully discussed on pages 30-34 of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations.

## Income Taxes

The Company must estimate income tax expense for each period for which a statement of operations is presented. This involves estimating the Company's actual current tax exposure as well as assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as timing of the deduction of expenses, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company must also assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent that recovery is not likely, a valuation allowance must be established. Significant management judgment is required in determining income tax expense and deferred tax assets and liabilities. At December 31, 2010, there were no valuation allowances set aside against any deferred tax assets.

## Interest Income Recognition

Interest on loans is included in income as earned based upon interest rates applied to unpaid principal. Interest is not accrued on loans 90 days or more past due. Interest is not accrued on other loans when management believes collection is doubtful. All loans considered impaired are nonaccruing. Interest on nonaccruing loans is recognized as payments are received when the ultimate collectibility of interest is no longer considered doubtful. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, all interest previously accrued is reversed against current-period interest income.

## Capital Securities

On March 30, 2004, NHTB Capital Trust II ("Trust II"), a Connecticut statutory trust formed by the Company, completed the sale of $\$ 10.0$ million of Floating Capital Securities, adjustable every three months at LIBOR plus $2.79 \%$ ("Capital Securities II"). Trust II also issued common securities to the Company and used the net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures ("Debentures II") of the Company. Debentures II are the sole assets of Trust II. Total expenses associated with the offering of $\$ 160,402$ are included in other assets and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of Debentures II.

Capital Securities II accrue and pay distributions quarterly based on the stated liquidation amount of $\$ 10$ per capital security. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of Trust II. The guaranty covers the quarterly distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption of Capital Securities II, but only to the extent that Trust II has funds necessary to make these payments.

Capital Securities II are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturing of Debentures II on March 30, 2034 or upon earlier redemption as provided in the Indenture. The Company has the right to redeem Debentures II, in whole or in part, on or after March 30, 2009 at the liquidation amount plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date.

On March 30, 2004, NHTB Capital Trust III ("Trust III"), a Connecticut statutory trust formed by the Company, completed the sale of $\$ 10.0$ million of $6.06 \% 5$ Year Fixed-Floating Capital Securities ("Capital Securities III"). Trust III also issued common securities to the Company and used the net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of $6.06 \%$ Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures ("Debentures III") of the Company. Debentures III are the sole assets of Trust III. Total expenses associated with the offering of $\$ 160,402$ are included in other assets and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of Debentures III.

Capital Securities III accrue and pay distributions quarterly at an annual rate of $6.06 \%$ for the first 5 years of the stated liquidation amount of $\$ 10$ per capital security. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of the Trust. The guaranty covers the quarterly distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption of Capital Securities III, but only to the extent that the Trust has funds necessary to make these payments.

Capital Securities III are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturing of Debentures III on March 30, 2034 or upon earlier redemption as provided in the Indenture. The Company has the right to redeem Debentures III, in whole or in part, on or after March 30, 2009 at the liquidation amount plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date.

## Interest Rate Swap

On May 1, 2008, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with PNC Bank, effective on June 17, 2008. The interest rate agreement converts Trust II's interest rate from a floating rate to a fixed-rate basis. The interest rate swap agreement has a notional amount of $\$ 10$ million maturing June 17, 2013. Under the swap agreement, the Company is to receive quarterly interest payments at a floating rate based on three month LIBOR plus $2.79 \%$ and is obligated to make quarterly interest payments at a fixedrate of $6.65 \%$.

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

## Financial Condition

Total assets increased $\$ 32,452,697$, or $3.37 \%$, to $\$ 995,053,884$ at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 962,601,187$ at December 31, 2009. Cash and Federal Home Loan Bank overnight deposits decreased $\$ 4,825,758$, or $12.69 \%$, as cash was used to partially fund loan growth.

Total net loans receivable excluding loans held-for-sale increased $\$ 55,181,181$, or $8.90 \%$, to $\$ 675,513,787$ at December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 620,332,606$ at December 31, 2009. The Bank's conventional real estate loan portfolio increased $\$ 19,914,619$, or $6.08 \%$, to $\$ 347,605,965$ at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 327,691,346$ at December 31, 2009. The outstanding balances on home equity loans and lines of credit increased $\$ 1,273,296$ to $\$ 74,883,507$ over the same period. Construction loans increased $\$ 902,225$, or $4.93 \%$, to $\$ 19,210,102$. Commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 7,929,275$ over the same period, or $5.84 \%$, to $\$ 143,767,814$. The increase in commercial real estate loans represents loans to existing commercial customers, new commercials customers and a participation loan
purchase of $\$ 5,000,000$ offset by riormal amortizations and prepayments as well as principal pay-downs. Additionally, consumer loans decreased $\$ 1,292,531$, or $13.79 \%$, to $\$ 8,078,946$ and commercial and municipal loans increased $\$ 26,974,283$, or $43.24 \%$, to $\$ 89,361,109$. In particular, the Bank saw an increase of $\$ 21,438,925$ in loans to municipalities. Sold loans totaled $\$ 370,331,523$ at December 31, 2010, an increase of $\$ 18,264,831$, or $5.19 \%$, compared to $\$ 352,066,692$ at December 31,2009 . Sold loans are loans originated by the Bank and sold to the secondary market with the Bank retaining the majority of servicing of these loans. The Bank expects to continue to sell fixed-rate loans into the secondary market, retaining the servicing, in order to manage interest rate risk and control growth. Typically, the Bark holds adjustable-rate loans in portfolio. At December 31, 2010, adjustable-rate mortgages comprised approximately $70 \%$ of the Bank's real estate mortgage loan portfolio, which is lower than in prior years as the Bank in 2010 originated shorter-term loans,, such as the ten-year fixed mortgage loan, which are held in portfolio. Non-performing assets were $1.01 \%$ of total assets and $1.46 \%$ o total loans at December 31, 2010, compared to $0.64 \%$ and $0.98 \%$, respectively, at December 31 , 2009, primarily due to an increase of $\$ 4,501,229$ in loans classified as troubled debt restructured.

The fair value of investment securities available-for-sale decreased $\$ 22,308,586$, or $10.22 \%$, to $\$ 195,984,515$ at December 31 , 2010 , from $\$ 218,293,101$ at December 31, 2009. The Bank realized $\$ 2,082,910$ in the gains on the sales and calls of securities during 2010 , compared to $\$ 3,648,795$ in gains on the sales and calls of securities recorded during 2009. At December 31, 2010, the Bank's investment portfolio had a net unrealized holding loss of $\$ 317,372$, compared to a net unrealized holding loss of $\$ 837,491$ at December 31, 2009. The securitie:s in the Bank's investment portfolio that are temporarily impaired at December 31, 2010 consist of debt securities issued by U.S. Government corporations or agencies, corporate debt with investment-grade credit ratings, municipal bonds and preferred stock issued by corporations. Management does not intend to sell these securities in the near term. As management has the ability to holi debt securities until maturity and equity securities for the foreseeable future, no declines are deemed to be other than temporary.

Real estate owned and property acquired in settlement of loans was at $\$ 75,000$ at December 31, 2010, representing one property located in New Hampshire, compared to $\$ 100,000$ at December 31, 2009, representing one property located in New Hampshire.

Goodwill was unchanged at $\$ 27,293,470$ at December 31, 2010, compared to December 31, 2009. Goodwill includes $\$ 7,503,046$ related to the acquisition of First Brandon Financial Corporation and $\$ 7,650,408$ related to the acquisition of First Community Bank, both of which occurred in 2007. Goodwill also includes $\$ 2,471,560$ relating to the acquisition of Landmark Bank in 1998 and $\$ 9,668,456$ relating to the acquisition of three branch offices of New London Trust in 2001. An independent third-party analysis of goodwill indicates no impairment at December 31, 2010.

Core deposit intangibles decreased to $\$ 1,550,139$ at December 31, 2010, compared to $\$ 2,023,806$ at December 31, 2009. The Bank amortized $\$ 473,667$ during 2010 and utilized the sum-of-the-years-digits method over ten years to amortize the core deposit intangibles. An independent third-party analysis of core deposit intangibles indicates no impairment at December 31, 2010.

Total deposits increased $\$ 4,790,349$, or $5.96 \%$, to $\$ 778,219,117$ at December 31,2010 from $\$ 734,428,768$ at December 31, 2009. The Bank was able to retain and attract deposits as customers were drawn to the safety and guarantee of FDIC insurance resulting from uncertain credit markets and a lingering national recession. In addition, the Bank saw increases in deposits by municipalities as more of its bids for such deposits were accepted.

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) decreased \$20,002,645, or 20.84\%, to \$75,959,361 from \$95,962,006 at December 31, 2009. The Bank prepaid $\$ 30$ million during December 2010 utilizing excess cash generated by deposit increases and investment activity. The weighted average interest rate for the outstanding FHLB advances was $2.40 \%$ at December 31, 2010, compared to $1.71 \%$ at December ${ }^{\circ} 1,2009$.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Bank is required to maintain sufficient liquidity for safe and sound operations. At year-end 2010, the Bank's liquidity was sufficient to cover the Bank's anticipated needs for funding new loan commitments of approximately $\$ 39.8$ million. The Bank's source of funds is derived primarily from net deposit inflows, loan amortizations, principal pay downs from loans, sold loan proceeds, and advances from the FHLB. At December 31, 2010, the Bank had approximately $\$ 161.6$ million in additional borrowing capacity from the FHLB.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's stockholders' equity totaled $\$ 92,391,419$, compared to $\$ 87,776,253$ at December 31 , 2009. The increase of $\$ 4,615,166$ reflects net income of $\$ 7,947,435$, the payout of $\$ 3,001,321$ in common stock dividends, the payout of $\$ 500,000$ in preferred stock dividends, the exercise of stock options in the amount of $\$ 19,901$, including a tax benefit, and other comprehensive income in the amount of $\$ 149,151$.

On June 12, 2007, the Company reactivated a previously adopted but incomplete stock repurchase program to repurchase up to an additional 253,776 shares of common stock. At December 31, 2010, 148,088 shares remained to be repurchased under the plan. The Board of Directors of the Company has determined that a share buyback is appropriate to enhance stockholder value because such repurchases generally increase earnings per common share, return on average assets and on average equity; three performing benchmarks against which bank and thrift holding companies are measured. The Company buys stock in the open market whenever the price of the stock is deemed reasonable and the Company has funds available for the purchase. During 2010, no shares were repurchased. As a participant in the Capital Purchase Program established by the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury") under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2009 (the "EESA"), the Company is prohibited from repurchasing shares of its common stock, except in certain circumstances, without the consent of the Treasury prior to January $16,2012$.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had funds in the amount of $\$ 5,599,507$. Total cash needs for the Company during 2011 are estimated to be approximately $\$ 4.8$ million with $\$ 3.0$ million projected to be used to pay dividends on the Company's common stock, $\$ 1.0$ million to pay interest on the Company's capital securities, $\$ 0.5$ million to pay interest dividends on the Company's Series A Preferred Stock (as defined below), and approximately $\$ 300,000$ for ordinary operating expense. The Bank pays dividends to the Company as its sole stockholder, within guidelines set forth by the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS). Since the Bank is well capitalized and has capital in excess of regulatory requirements, it is anticipated that funds will be available to cover additional Company cash requirements for 2011 , if needed, as long as earnings at the Bank are sufficient to maintain adequate Tier I capital.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased $\$ 4,620,500$ to $\$ 10,400,950$ in 2010 from $\$ 6,140,450$ in 2009. The increase includes a decrease in the amount of $\$ 3,770,000$ in provision for loan losses, a decrease in gains on sales and calls of securities of $\$ 1,565,885$, an increase in amortization of securities, net, of $\$ 678,698$, a decrease in the change in mortgage servicing rights of $\$ 703,657$, an increase in loans held-for sale of $\$ 3,669,091$, a decrease in deferred tax benefit of $\$ 1,096,422$, a decrease in accrued interest receivable and other assets of $\$ 2,494,966$ in 2010 as compared to an increase in 2009 , and an increase of $\$ 1,443,270$ in the change in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

Net cash flows used in investing activities totaled $\$ 37,502,607$ in 2010, compared to net cash flows used in investing activities of $\$ 105,093,049$ in 2009 , a decrease of $\$ 67,590,442$. During 2010 , net cash used by loan originations and net principal collections increased by $\$ 59,358,954$ as the Bank's loans held in portfolio increased while net cash provided by securities available-for-sale increased $\$ 137,226,307$. In addition, $\$ 1,765,000$ was used to purchase an additional investment in Charter Holding Corp. during 2010.

Net cash flows provided by financing activities totaled $\$ 22,275,899$ in 2010 , compared to net cash flows provided by financing activities of $\$ 114,429,560$ in 2009 , a change of $\$ 92,153,661$. Net cash used for FHLB advances increased $\$ 49,617,754$ during 2010 compared to 2009 as cash provided by deposits decreased $\$ 37,285,094$.

The Bank expects to be able to fund loan demand and other investing activities during 2011 by continuing to utilize the FHLB's advance program and cash flows from securities and loans. On December 31, 2010, approximately $\$ 39.8$ million in commitments to fund loans had been made. Management is not aware of any trends, events, or uncertainties that will have, or that are reasonably likely to have, a material effect on the Bank's liquidity, capital resources or results of operations.

On January 16, 2009, as part of the Capital Purchase Program, the Company entered into a Letter Agreement with the Treasury pursuant to which the Company issued and sold to Treasury 10,000 shares of the Company's Fixed-rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, par value $\$ 0.01$ per preferred share, having a liquidation reference of $\$ 1,000$ per preferred share (the "Series A Preferred Stock") and a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 184,275 shares of the Company's common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per common share (the "Common Stock"), at an initial exercise price of $\$ 8.14$ per common share (the "Warrant"), for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 10.0$ million in cash. All of the proceeds from the sale of the Series A Preferred Stock are treated as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes.

Cumulative dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock accrue on the liquidation preference at a rate of 5\% per annum for the first five years, and at a rate of $9 \%$ per annum thereafter, but will be paid only when declared by the Company's Board of Directors. The Series A Preferred Stock has no maturity date and ranks senior to the Common Stock with respect to the payment of dividends and distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution and winding up of the Company.

The Series A Preferred Stock generally is non-voting, other than class voting on certain matters that could adversely affect the Series A Preferred Stock. Please refer to Note 19 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

The OTS requires that the Bank maintain tangible, core, and total risk-based capital ratios of $1.50 \%, 4.00 \%$, and $8.00 \%$, respectively. At December 31, 2010, the Bank's ratios were $8.28 \%, 8.28 \%$, and $12.67 \%$, respectively, well in excess of the OTS requirements for well-capitalized banks.

Book value per common share was $\$ 14.26$ at December 31, 2010, compared to $\$ 13.48$ per common share at December 31, 2009. Tangible book value per common share was $\$ 9.27$ at December 31, 2010. Tangible book value per common share is a non-GAAP financial measure calculated using GAAP amounts. Tangible book value per common share is calculated by dividing tangible common equity by the total number of shares outstanding at a point in time. Tangible common equity is calculated by excluding the balance of goodwill, other intangible assets and preferred stock from the calculation of shareholder's equity. The Company believes that tangible book value per comr on share provides information to investors that is useful in understanding its financial condition. Because not all companies use the same calculation of tangible common equity and tangible book value per common share, this presentation may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures calculated by other companies.

A reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures is provided below:

|  |  | December 31, 2010 | December 30, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shareholders' equity. | \$ | 92,391,419 | \$ | 87,776,253 |
| Less goodwill. |  | 27,293,470 |  | 27,293,470 |
| Less other intangible assets |  | 1,550,139 |  | 2,023,806 |
| Less preferred stock |  | 10,000,000 |  | 10,000,000 |
| Tangible common equity. | \$ | 53,547,810 | \$ | 48,458,977 |
| Ending common shares outstanding.. |  | 5,773,772 |  | 5,771,772 |
| Tangible book value per common share......................... | \$ | 9.27 | \$ | 8.40 |

## Impact of Inflation

The financial statements and related data presented elsewhere herein are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), which require the measurement of the Company's financial position and operating results generally in terms of historical dollars and current market value, for certain loans and investments, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of operations.

Unlike other companies, nearly all of the assets and liabilities of a bank are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a far greater impact on a bank's performance than the effects of the general level of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the price of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation. Liquidity and the maturity structure of the Bank s assets and liabilities are important to the maintenance of acceptable performance levels.

## Interest Rate Sensitivity

The principal objective of the Bank's interest rate management function is to evaluate the interest rate risk inherent in certain balance sheet accounts and determine the appropriate level of risk given the Bank's business strategies, operating environment, capital and liquidity requirements and performance objectives, and to manage the risk consistent with the Board of Director's approved guidelines. The Bank's Board of Directors has established an Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) to review its asset/liability policies and interest rate position. Trends and interest rate positions are reported to the Board of Directors monthly.

Gap analysis is used to examine the extent to which assets and liabilities are "rate sensitive". An asset or liability is said to be interest rate sensitive within a specific time period if it will mature or reprice within that time. The interest rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between the amount of interest-earning assets maturing or repricing within a specified period of time and the amount of interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within the same specified period of time. The strategy of matching rate sensitive assets with similar liabilities stabilizes profitability during periods of interest rate fluctuations.

The Bank's one-year cumulative interest-rate gap at December 31, 2010, was positive $0.60 \%$, compared to the December 31, 2009 gap of negative $7.10 \%$. At December 31,2010 , repricing liabilities over the next twelve months were $\$ 5.3$ million less than repricing assets for the same period compared to repricing liabilities exceeding repricing assets in the amount of $\$ 60.8$ million at December 31, 2009. With an asset sensitive (positive) gap, if rates were to rise, net interest margin would likely increase and if rates were to fall, the net interest margin would likely decrease. At $0.60 \%$, the assets and liabilities scheduled to reprice during 2011 are fairly well-matched.

The Bank continues to offer adjustable-rate mortgages, which reprice at one, three, five and seven-year intervals. In addition, the Bank sells most fixed-rate mortgages into the secondary market in order to minimize interest rate risk and provide liquidity.

As another part of its interest rate risk analysis, the Bank uses an interest rate sensitivity model, which generates estimates of the change in the Bank's net portfolio value (NPV) over a range of interest rate scenarios. The OTS produces the data quarterly using its own model and data submitted by the Bank.

NPV is the present value of expected cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet contracts. The NPV ratio, under any rate scenario, is defined as the NPV in that scenario divided by the market value of assets in the same scenario. Modeling changes require making certain assumptions, which may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to the changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the NPV model assumes that the composition of the Bank's interest sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remain constant over the period being measured and that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve. Accordingly, although the NPV measurements and net interest income models provide an indication of the Bank's interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market rates on the Bank's net interest income and will likely differ from actual results.

The following table shows the Bank's interest rate sensitivity (gap) table at December 31, 2010:

|  | 0-3 Months | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3-6 } \\ \text { Months } \end{gathered}$ | 6 Months1 Year | $\begin{gathered} 1-3 \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Beyond <br> 3 Years | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$ in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans................................................................ \$ | \$ 151,246 | \$ 59,453 | \$ 108,791 | \$ 185,823 | \$ 170,201 | \$ 675,514 |
| Investments and overnight deposit. | 21,004 | 11,319 | 20,601 | 55,931 | 98,830 | 207,685 |
| Total. | 172,250 | 70,772 | 129,392 | 241,754 | 269,031 | 883,199 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits ........................................................... | 192,883 | 56,287 | 86,795 | 57,102 | 331,887 | 724,954 |
| Repurchase agreements....................................... | 16,165 | - | - | - | - | 16,165 |
| Borrowings ....................................................... | - | 5,000 | 10,000 | 30,000 | 30,959 | 75,959 |
| Total. | 209,048 | 61,287 | 96,795 | 87,102 | 362,846 | 817,078 |
| Period sensitivity gap......................................... | $(36,798)$ | 9,485 | 32,597 | 154,652 | $(93,815)$ | \$ 66,121 |
| Cumulative sensitivity gap................................... \$ | \$ $(36,798)$ | \$ $(27,313)$ | \$ 5,284 | \$ 159,936 | \$ 66,121 |  |
| Cumulative sensitivity gap as a percentage of interest-earning assets $\qquad$ | -4.17\% | -3.09\% | 0.60\% | 18.11\% | 7.49\% | 7.49\% |

The following table sets forth the Bank's NPV at December 31, 2010, as calculated by the OTS:

| Change in Rates | Net Portfolio Value |  |  | NPV as \% of PV Assets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SAmount |  | \% Change |  |  |
|  | (\$ in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| +300 bp. | \$ 69,323 | \$-38,808 | -36\% | 7.28\% | -352bp |
| +200 bp. | 85,589 | -22,542 | -21\% | 8.81\% | -199bp |
| +100 bp. | 99,434 | -8,697 | -8\% | 10.05\% | -75bp |
| +50 bp. | 104,808 | -3,323 | -3\% | 10.52\% | -28bp |
| 0 bp | 108,131 | - | - | 10.80\% | - |
| -50 bp | 110,211 | 2,080 | +2\% | 10.96\% | +16bp |
| -100 bp . | 115,505 | 7,374 | +7\% | 11.41\% | +61bp |

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

## Net Interest and Dividend Income

Net interest and dividend income for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased $\$ 1,604,272$, or $5.87 \%$, to $\$ 28,912,232$. The increase was primarily due to the Bank's lower cost of funds during 2010. Total interest and dividend income decreased $\$ 727,610$, or $1.85 \%$, to $\$ 38,656,532$, despite higher average balances on interest-earning assets during 2010, as the yield on interest-earning assets decreased to $4.32 \%$ from $4.92 \%$. Interest and fees on loans decreased $\$ 1,220,289$, or $3.67 \%$, to $\$ 31,955,755$ in 2010, despite an increase in average balances of $\$ 13.7$ million, due primarily to a decrease in the average yield on loans to $4.87 \%$ from $5.16 \%$.

Interest on taxable investments increased $\$ 5,221$, or $0.09 \%$, to $\$ 6,084,711$ in 2010 compared to $\$ 6,079,490$ in 2009 . Dividends decreased $\$ 3,663$, or $17.71 \%$, to $\$ 17,026$. Interest on other investments increased $\$ 491,121$, or $455.08 \%$, to $\$ 599,040$ due primarily to increase in tax-exempt municipal bonds of $\$ 35,452,766$ (fair value) during 2010. The yield on the Bank's investment portfolio declined from $3.94 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2009 to $2.80 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2010 due to lower yielding investments purchased as well as the lower rates on tax-exempt investments.

Total interest expense decreased $\$ 2,331,882$, or $19.31 \%$, to $\$ 9,744,300$ for the year ended December 31,2010 . The decrease is primarily due to the $28.75 \%$ decrease in the combined cost of funds on deposits and borrowings to $1.14 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2010 from $1.60 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, interest on deposits decreased $\$ 2,127,613$, or $24.28 \%$, to $\$ 6,634,538$, despite an increase in average deposits as the cost of deposits decreased to $0.94 \%$ from $1.36 \%$ compared to the same period in 2009 . Interest on FHLB advances and other borrowed money decreased $\$ 58,505$, or $2.79 \%$, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 to $\$ 2,039,544$ compared to the same period in 2009 as FHLB advances outstanding decreased to $\$ 75,959,361$ at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 95,962,006$ at December 31, 2009.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Bank's combined cost of funds decreased to $1.14 \%$ as compared to $1.60 \%$ for 2009 . The cost of deposits, including repurchase agreements, decreased 41 basis points for 2010 to $0.93 \%$ compared to $1.34 \%$ in 2009 , due primarily to the downward repricing of maturing time deposits and advances.

The Bank's interest rate spread, which represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, decreased to $3.18 \%$ in 2010 from $3.32 \%$ in 2009 . The Bank's net interest margin, representing net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets, decreased to $3.23 \%$ during 2010 , from $3.41 \%$ during 2009.

The following table sets forth the average yield on loans and investments, the average interest rate paid on deposits and borrowings, the interest rate spread, and the net interest rate margin:

|  | 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { For the } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ended } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mber 31, } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yield on loans | 4.87\% | 5.16\% | 5.86\% | 6.20\% | 5.80\% |
| Yield on investment securities | 2.80\% | 3.94\% | 4.67\% | 4.93\% | 4.41\% |
| Combined yield on loans and investments. | 4.32\% | 4.92\% | 5.69\% | 5.99\% | 5.53\% |
| Cost of deposits, including repurchase agreements | 0.93\% | 1.34\% | 2.07\% | 2.59\% | 1.77\% |
| Cost of other borrowed funds. | 2.33\% | 3.29\% | 4.19\% | 5.37\% | 5.22\% |
| Combined cost of deposits and borrowings | 1.14\% | 1.60\% | 2.32\% | 3.08\% | 2.66\% |
| Interest rate spread. | 3.18\% | 3.32\% | 3.37\% | 2.91\% | 2.87\% |
| Net interest margin.. | 3.23\% | 3.41\% | 3.46\% | 3.06\% | 3.02\% |

The following table presents, for the years indicated, the total dollar amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the resultant yields as well as the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities, and the resultant costs:


[^0]The following table sets forth, for the years indicated, a summary of the changes in interest earned and interest paid resulting from changes in volume and rates The net change attributable to changes in both volume and rate, which cannot be segregated, has been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

|  | Year ended December 31, 2010 vs. 2009 Increase (Decrease) due to |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | thousands) |  |  |
| Interest income on loats | \$ | 740 | \$ | $(1,960)$ | \$ | $(1,220)$ |
| Interest income on investments. |  | 1,111 |  | (618) |  | 493 |
| Total interest income............................................................. |  | 1,851 |  | $(2,578)$ |  | (727) |
| Interest expense on savings, NOW and MMAs................................... |  | 113 |  | (427) |  | (314) |
| Interest expense on time deposits .................................................... |  | 977 |  | $(2,790)$ |  | $(1,813)$ |
| Interest expense on repurchase agreements ........................................ |  | (1) |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| Interest expense on capital securities and other borrowings.................. |  | $(2,139)$ |  | 1,934 |  | (205) |
| Total interest expense............................................................. |  | $(1,050)$ |  | $(1,281)$ |  | $(2,331)$ |
| Net interest incorne ................................................................ | \$ | 2,901 | \$ | $(1,297)$ | \$ | 1,604 |


|  | Year ended December 31, 2009 vs. 2008 Increase (Decrease) due to |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume |  | Rate |  | Total |  |
|  | (\$ in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income on loans | \$ | 80 | \$ | $(4,475)$ | \$ | $(4,395)$ |
| Interest income on investments........................................................ |  | 1,927 |  | (784) |  | 1,143 |
| Total interest income |  | 2,007 |  | $(5,259)$ |  | $(3,252)$ |
| Interest expense on savings, NOW and MMAs ................................... |  | 6 |  | $(1,438)$ |  | $(1,432)$ |
| Interest expense on time deposits |  | 503 |  | $(3,246)$ |  | $(2,743)$ |
| Interest expense on repurchase agreements ........................................ |  | (2) |  | (185) |  | (187) |
| Interest expense on capital securities and other borrowings.................. |  | 482 |  | (757) |  | (275) |
| Total interest expense............................................................. |  | 989 |  | $(5,626)$ |  | $(4,637)$ |
| Net interest incorne ................................................................. | \$ | 1,018 | \$ | 367 | \$ | 1,385 |

## Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses

Lake Sunapee Bank maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Adjustments to the allowance for loan losses are charged to income through the provision for loan losses. The Bank tests the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses at least quarterly by preparing an analysis applying loss factors to outstanding loans by type. This analysis stratifies the loan portfolio by loan type and assigns a loss factor to each type based on an assessment of the risk associated with each type. In determining the loss factors, the Bank considers historical losses and market conditions. Loss factors may be adjusted for qualitative factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectibility of the portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses incorporates the results of measuring impairment for specifically identified non-homogenous problem loans in accordance with ASC 310-10-35, "Receivables-Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality-Subsequent Measurement." In accordance with ASC 310-10-35, the specific allowance reduces the carrying amount of the impaired loans to their estimated fair value. A loan is recognized as impaired when it is probable that principal and/or interest are not collectible in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Measurement of impairment can be based on the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the market price of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Measurement of impairment does not apply to large groups of smaller balance homogenous loans such as residential mortgage, home equity, or installment loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment. Please refer to Note 4 of the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding impaired loans.

The Bank's commercial loan officers review the financial condition of commercial loan customers on a regular basis and perform visual inspections of facilities and inventories. The Bank also has loan review, internal audit, and compliance programs with results reported directly to the Audit Committee of the Bank's Board of Directors.

The allowance for loan losses (not including allowance for losses from the overdraft program described below) at December 31, 2010 was $\$ 9,841,030$ compared to $\$ 9,494,007$ at December 31,2009 . At $\$ 9.8$ million, the allowance for loan losses represents $1.44 \%$ of total loans, down from $1.51 \%$ at December 31, 2009. Total non-performing assets at December 31, 2010 were $\$ 10.0$ million, representing $101.86 \%$ of the allowance for loan losses. Modestly improving economic and market conditions, coupled with internal risk rating changes, resulted in the Bank adding $\$ 2,100,000$ to the allowance for loan and lease losses during 2010 compared to $\$ 5,846,000$ in 2009. The provisions during the twelve months ended December 31,2010 have been offset by loan charge-offs of $\$ 1,627,137$ and recoveries of $\$ 49,160$ during the same period. The overall increase is not specific to any individual credit. The effects of national economic issues continue to be felt in the Bank's local communities and the national recession as well as portfolio performance and charge-offs influenced the Bank's decision to increase its allowance for loan losses during 2010. The provisions made in 2010 reflect loan loss experience in 2010 and changes in economic conditions that increase the risk of loss inherent in the loan portfolio. Management anticipates making additional provisions in 2011 to maintain the allowance at an adequate level.

In addition to the allowance for loan losses, there is an allowance for losses from the fee for service overdraft program. The Bank seeks to maintain an allowance equal to $100 \%$ of the aggregate balance of negative balance accounts that have remained negative for 30 days or more. Negative balance accounts are charged-off when the balance has remained negative for 60 consecutive days. At December 31, 2010, the overdraft allowance was $\$ 22,874$ compared to $\$ 24,625$ at year-end 2009. Provisions for overdraft losses were $\$ 82,000$ during the twelve month period ended December 31, 2010, compared to $\$ 106,000$ for the same period during 2009. On-going provisions are anticipated as overdraft charge-offs continue and the Bank adheres to its policy to maintain an allowance for overdraft losses equal to $100 \%$ of the aggregate negative balance of accounts remaining negative for 30 days or more.

Loan charge-offs (excluding the overdraft program) were $\$ 1,627,137$ during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 2,131,758$ for the same period in 2009 . Recoveries were $\$ 49,160$ during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 211,906$ for the same period in 2009 . This activity resulted in net charge-offs of $\$ 1,577,977$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2010 compared to $\$ 1,919,852$ for the same period in 2009. One-to-four family residential mortgages, commercial real estate mortgages and commercial loans accounted for $61 \%, 20 \%$, and $13 \%$, respectively, of the amounts charged-off during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010.

The following is a summary of activity in the allowance for loan losses account for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of year ...................................... | \$ 9,494,007 | \$ 5,567,859 | \$ 5,160,628 | \$ 3,950,986 | \$ 3,990,503 |
| Charge-offs and writedowns: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate. | 998,883 | 296,801 | 243,480 | 89,872 | - |
| Commercial real estate | 323,459 | 1,387,525 | 133,447 | - | - |
| Land and Construction | 45,434 | 45,102 | - | - | - |
| Consumer loans | 45,908 | 105,326 | 78,812 | 33,530 | 18,498 |
| Commercial loans | 213,453 | 297,004 | 89,665 | 5,164 | 56,698 |
| Total charged-off loans .......................... | 1,627,137 | 2,131,758 | 545,404 | 128,566 | 75,196 |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | 9,177 | 99,570 | 31,838 | 5,317 | - |
| Commercial real estate | - | 1,000 | - | - | - |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer loans | 13,739 | 11,000 | 10,797 | 29,530 | 5,979 |
| Commercial loans | 26,244 | 100,336 | - | - | - |
| Total recoveries. | 49,160 | 211,906 | 42,635 | 34,847 | 5,979 |
| Net charge-offs ..................................................... | 1,577,977 | 1,919,852 | 502,769 | 93,719 | 69,217 |
| Allowance from Acquisitions ................................. | - | - | - | 1,303,361 | - |
| Transfer............................................................... | $(175,000)$ | - | - | - | - |
| Provision for loan loss charged to income ................ | 2,100,000 | 5,846,000 | 910,000 | - | 29,700 |
| Balance, end of year............................................... | \$ 9,841,030 | \$ 9,494,007 | \$ 5,567,859 | \$ 5,160,628 | \$ 3,950,986 |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans.................. | 0.25\% | 0.30\% | 0.08\% | 0.02\% | 0.01\% |

The following is a summary of activity in the allowance for overdraft privilege account for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Balance. | \$ | 24,625 | \$ | 26,453 | \$ | 20,843 | \$ | 24,136 | \$ | 31,838 |
| Overdraft Charge-offs. |  | 250,288 |  | 313,736 |  | 373,598 |  | 273,872 |  | 391,821 |
| Overdraft Recoveries . |  | 166,537 |  | 205,908 |  | 188,108 |  | 148,079 |  | 183,809 |
| Net Overdraft Losses |  | 83,751 |  | 107,828 |  | 185,490 |  | 125,793 |  | 208,012 |
| Provisions for Overdrafts |  | 82,000 |  | 106,000 |  | 191,100 |  | 122,500 |  | 200,310 |
| Ending Balance. | \$ | 22,874 | \$ | 24,625 | \$ | 26,453 | \$ | 20,843 | \$ | 24,136 |

The following table sets for the allocation of the loan loss allowance (excluding overdraft allowances), the percentage of allowance to the total allowance and the percentage of loans in each category to total loans at December 31 ( $\$$ in thousands):

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate loans - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential, 1-4 family |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and home equity loans ...................... \$3,887 | 40\% | 64\% | \$3,984 | 42\% | 64\% | \$1,965 | 35\% | 64\% |
| Residential, 5 or more units ............... 142 | 1\% | 2\% | 151 | 2\% | 2\% | 74 | 1\% | 2\% |
| Commercial.................................... 2,683 | 27\% | 19\% | 2,855 | 30\% | 20\% | 1,408 | 25\% | 20\% |
| Land and Construction ..................... 575 | 6\% | 3\% | 227 | 2\% | 3\% | 421 | 8\% | 2\% |
| Collateral and consumer loans ................... 70 | 1\% | 1\% | 100 | 1\% | 3\% | 139 | 2\% | 2\% |
| Commercial and municipal loans. .............. 2,004 | 20\% | 11\% | 2,012 | 21\% | 8\% | 1,376 | 25\% | 9\% |
| Impaired loans........................... .............. 480 | 5\% | - | 165 | 2\% | - | 210 | 4\% | 1\% |
| Unallocated .............................. ............. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Allowance ................................ .............. \$9,841 | 100\% | 100\% | \$9,494 | 100\% | 100\% | \$5,593 | 100\% | 100\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of tota loans ..... | 1.44\% |  |  | 1.51\% |  |  | 0.87\% |  |
| Non-performing loans as a percentage of allowance $\qquad$ | 101.86\% |  |  | 64.87\% |  |  | 131.38\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |
| Real estate loans - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential, 1-4 family and home equity loans. |  |  | \$1,723 | 33\% | 65\% | \$1,477 | 37\% | 65\% |
| Residential, 5 or more units. |  |  | 65 | 1\% | 2\% | 56 | 1\% | 2\% |
| Commercial. |  |  | 1,235 | 24\% | 19\% | 1,059 | 27\% | 19\% |
| Land and Construction. |  |  | 501 | 10\% | 2\% | 357 | 9\% | 2\% |
| Collateral and consumer loans |  |  | 199 | 4\% | 3\% | 130 | 4\% | 3\% |
| Commercial and municipal loans. |  |  | 1,413 | 27\% | 8\% | 850 | 21\% | 9\% |
| Impaired loans.. |  |  | 45 | 1\% | 1\% | 46 | 1\% | - |
| Unallocated. |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Allowance. |  |  | \$5,181 | 100\% | 100\% | \$3,975 | 100\% | 100\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of total loans ............... | ....... |  |  | 0.82\% |  |  | 0.80\% |  |
| Non-performing loans as a percentage of allowance | ......... | .... |  | 96.62\% |  |  | 19.08\% |  |

Classified loans include non-performing loans and performing loans that have been adversely classified, net of specific reserves. Total classified loans at carrying value were $\$ 18,998,657$ at December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 12,049,895$ at December 31 , 2009. The increase comes from the change in risk ratings of some commercial relationships due to the weaker cash flows those borrowers are experiencing. In addition, the Bank had $\$ 75,000$ of OREO at December 31,2010 representing one property acquired during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, compared to $\$ 100,000$ at December 31, 2009. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, the Bank sold two properties, one of which was classified as OREO at December 31, 2009 while the other was acquired during 2010. Losses are incurred in the liquidation process and the Bank's loss experience suggests it is prudent for the Bank to continue funding provisions to build the allowance for loan losses. While, for the most part, quantifiable loss amounts have not been identified with individual credits, the Bank anticipates more charge-offs as loan issues are resolved. The impaired loans meet the criteria established under ASC 310-10-35. Eleven loans considered impaired loans at December 31, 2010 have specific reserves identified and assigned. The eleven loans are secured by real estate, business assets or a combination of both. At December 31, 2010, the allowance included $\$ 479,960$ allocated to impaired loans. The portion of the allowance allocated to impaired loans at December 31, 2009 was \$165,000.

Loans over 90 days past due were $\$ 2,106,659$ at December 31 , 2010 compared to $\$ 2,753,645$ at December 31, 2009. Loans 30 to 89 days past due were $\$ 8,955,612$ at December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 9,883,831$ at December 31, 2009. The level of loan losses and loan delinquencies and changes in loan risk ratings resulting in more classified loans, combined with weaker economic and commercial and residential real estate market conditions all contributed to the decision to increase the amount of the allowance. As previously noted, the Bank anticipates more charge-offs as loan issues are resolved. As a percentage of assets, non-performing loans increased from $0.65 \%$ at December 31, 2009 to $1.00 \%$ at December 31, 2010, and as a percentage of total loans, increased from $0.98 \%$ at the end of 2009 to $1.46 \%$ at the end of 2010.

Loans classified for regulatory purposes as loss, doubtful, substandard, or special mention do not reflect trends or uncertainties which the Bank reasonably expects will materially impact future operating results, liquidity, or capital resources. For the period ended December 31, 2010, all loans about which management possesses information regarding possible borrower credit problems and doubts as to borrowers' ability to comply with present loan repayment terms or to repay a loan through liquidation of collateral are included in the tables below or discussed herein.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank had twenty-one loans with net carrying values of $\$ 7,970,889$ considered to be "troubled debt restructurings" as defined in ASC 310-40, "Receivables-Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors." At December 31, 2010, the majority of "troubled debt restructurings" were performing under contractual terms and are included in impaired loans Of the twentyone loans classified as troubled debt restructured, six were more than thirty days past due at December 31, 2010. The balances of these loans were $\$ 2.5$ million and the loans have assigned specific allowances of $\$ 67,743$. One $\$ 2.0$ million loan was considered troubled debt restructured at both December 31, 2010 and 2009. The loan was not considered collateral dependent at December 31, 2010, but the appraised value of the commercial real estate suggests no loss of principal and supports the current valuation. At December 31, 2009, the Bank had eight loans with net carrying values of $\$ 3,469,660$ considered to be "troubled debt restructurings" as defined in ASC 310-40, "Receivables-Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors."

At December 31, 2010 there were no other loans excluded in the tables below or discussed above where known information about possible credit problems of the borrowers caused management to have doubts as to the ability of the borrowers to comply with present loan repayment terms and which may result in disclosure of such loans in the future.

The following table shows the breakdown of the carrying value of non-performing assets and non-performing assets (dollars in thousands) as a percentage of the total allowance and total assets for the periods indicated:

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Value |  | Percentage to Total Allowance | Percentage to Total Assets |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arrying } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage to Total Allowance | Percentage to Total Assets |
| 90 day delinquent loans ${ }^{(1)}$. | \$ | 600 | 6.10\% | 0.06\% | \$ | 2,152 | 22.67\% | 0.22\% |
| Non-accrual impaired loans .............................. |  | 1,378 | 14.00\% | 0.14\% |  | 437 | 4.60\% | 0.04\% |
| Trouble debt restructured. |  | 7,971 | 81.00\% | 0.80\% |  | 3,470 | 36.55\% | 0.36\% |
| Other real estate owned. |  | 75 | 0.76\% | 0.01\% |  | 100 | 1.05\% | 0.01\% |
| Total non-performing assets ......................... | \$ | 10,024 | 101.86\% | 1.01\% | \$ | 6,159 | 64.87\% | 0.63\% |

[^1]The following table sets forth the breakdown of non-performing assets at December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 9,948,311 | \$ | 6,059,103 | \$ | 7,026,992 | \$ | 4,744,729 | \$ | 753,992 |
| Real estate and chattel property owned........................ |  | 75,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 288,305 |  | 241,346 |  | - |
| Total nonperforming assets. | \$ | 10,023,311 | \$ | 6,159,103 | \$ | 7,315,297 | \$ | 4,986,075 | \$ | 753,992 |

(1) All loans 90 days or more delinquent are placed on a nonaccruing status.

The following table sets for $h$ nonaccrual ${ }^{(1)}$ loans by category at December 31:

|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate loans - ................................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional | \$ | 1,645,254 | \$ | 3,161,330 | \$ | 2,249,146 | \$ | 578,068 | \$ | 278,322 |
| Commercial |  | 7,448,570 |  | 2,844,581 |  | 4,198,840 |  | 4,068,944 |  | 361,184 |
| Home equity .. |  | 119,999 |  | 42,179 |  | 47,257 |  | - |  | 361,184 |
| Land and construction |  | 140,401 |  | 140,401 |  | - |  | - |  | 87,500 |
| Consumer loans. |  | 18,074 |  | 35,612 |  | 7,622 |  | 97,717 |  |  |
| Commercial and municipal loans. |  | 1,047,628 |  | - |  | 524,127 |  | - |  | 26,986 |
| Total | \$ | 10,419,926 | \$ | 6,224,103 | \$ | 7,026,992 | \$ | 4,744,729 | \$ | 753,992 |

## (1) All loans 90 days or more delinquent are placed on a nonaccruing status.

The Bank believes the allowance for loan losses is at a level sufficient to cover inherent losses, given the current level of risk in the loan portfolio. At the same time, the Bank recognizes that the determination of future loss potential is intrinsically uncertain. Future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if economic, real estate, and other conditions differ substantially from the current operating environment and result in increased levels of non-performing loans and substantial differences between estimated and actual losses. Adjustments to the allowance are charged to income through the provision for loan losses.

## Noninterest Income and Expense

Total noninterest income decreased $\$ 2,571,792$, or $20.02 \%$, to $\$ 10,274,243$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The net gain on the sales and calls of securities and the net gain on the sales of loans (each discussed below) accounted for $94.30 \%$ of the decrease.

- Customer service fees decreased $\$ 239,190$, or $4.40 \%$, due primarily to a reduction of $14.96 \%$ in fees assessed on the Bank's overdraft protection program during 2010 offset in part by increased volume and the related revenue from ATM and debit card usage.
- Net gain on sales and calls of securities decreased $\$ 1,565,885$, or $42.92 \%$, due to a lower volume of sales of securities in the amount of $\$ 109,5,59,228$ in 2010 compared to $\$ 249,601,020$ during 2009 and the related lower gains recorded, respectively. As market conditions changed, the Company elected to hold investments during 2010 versus taking advantage of the market conditions for sales present in 2009.
- Net gain on sales of loans decreased $\$ 859,213$, or $33.68 \%$, as the Bank sold $\$ 96.3$ million of 1-4 family conventional mortgage loans into the secondary market during 2010, down from $\$ 136.5$ million of loans sold during 2009 resulting in lower resulting revente. The Bank retained a higher portion of originated mortgage loans within their portfolio during 2010, resulting in balance sheet increases of $\$ 25,806,758$ and $\$ 8,178,867$ of conventional real estate loans and commercial real estate loans, respectively.
- Net loss on sale of other real estate owned and fixed assets increased $\$ 59,038$ during 2010 as the Bank recognized gains of $\$ 49,603$ on other real estate and chattel property owned during 2010 compared to the recognition of losses in 2009 that included losses of $\$ 9,435$ on other real estate and chattel property owned.
- Rental income decreased $\$ 2,727$, or $0.39 \%$, as revenue within this category remained relatively unchanged.
- The realized gain in Charter Holding Corp. increased $\$ 85,558$, or $80.49 \%$, to $\$ 191,853$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, from $\$ 106,295$ for the same period in 2009, as a direct reflection of earnings reported by Charter Holding Corp. On September 30, 2010, the Bank increased its investment in CHC from a one-third owner to one-half owner.
- Brokerage service income decreased in the amount of $\$ 7,430$ to $\$ 2,402$ for the year ended December 31, 2010.
- Other income decreased to zero for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to $\$ 26,348$ for the same period in 2009. The revenue recorded in 2009 represents the recovery of real estate tax payments from a long-term tenant.

Total noninterest expenses increased $\$ 1,021,714$, or $4.17 \%$, to $\$ 25,513,494$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2010 , from $\$ 24,491,780$ for the same period in 2009.

- Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 1,012,294$, or $8.19 \%$, to $\$ 13,370,442$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 12,358,148$ for the same period in 2009 . Gross salaries and benefits paid, which exclude the deferral of expenses associated with the origination of loans, increased $\$ 901,343$, or $6.54 \%$, to $\$ 14,674,403$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, from $\$ 13,773,060$ for the same period in 2009 . Gross salaries increased $\$ 724,690$, or $6.82 \%$, to $\$ 11,352,125$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2010 , compared to the same period in 2009. Average full time equivalents decreased to 231 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, compared to 234 for the same period in 2009. Benefits costs increased $\$ 152,915$. This increase includes an increase of $\$ 191,151$ related to retirement costs offset in part by decreases in health insurance costs and pension costs. The deferral of expenses associated with the origination of loans decreased $\$ 110,951$, or $7.84 \%$, to $\$ 1,303,961$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, from $\$ 1,414,912$ for the same period in 2009 . This deferral represents salary and employee benefits expenses associated with origination costs which are recognized over the life of the loan.
- Occupancy and equipment expenses decreased $\$ 72,692$, or $1.90 \%$, to $\$ 3,743,232$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2010 from $\$ 3,815,924$ for the same period in 2009 , due primarily to savings on depreciation as a number of fixed assets became fully depreciated and a reduction in maintenance expenses including lower costs for seasonal expenses such as snow removal and heating fuel during 2010.
- Advertising and promotion increased $\$ 55,632$, or $14.78 \%$, to $\$ 432,063$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2010 from $\$ 376,431$ for the same period in 2009 , due primarily to increases in the utilization of print, television, and web media and associated costs as well as the direct mail costs associated with the implementation of changes pursuant to Regulation E .
- Depositors' insurance decreased $\$ 157,288$ to $\$ 1,020,231$ at December 31, 2010, compared to $\$ 1,177,519$ at December 31, 2009.
- Professional fees decreased $\$ 58,506$, or $5.78 \%$ to $\$ 953,355$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 1,011,861$ for the same period in 2009 , due to decreased legal fees of $\$ 114,095$ offset in part by increases in exam, audit and consulting fees during 2010.
- Data processing and outside services fees increased $\$ 16,726$, or $1.82 \%$, to $\$ 933,896$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 917,170$ for the same period in 2009 due to increases in core processing, statement rendering and service expenses offset in part by decreases in contingency and correspondent expenses as well as expenses associated with the overdraft protection program.
- ATM processing fees decreased $\$ 94,444$, or $15.37 \%$, to $\$ 520,072$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2010 from $\$ 614,516$ for the same period in 2009 , due to the increase in part to the negotiation of reduced processing costs.
- Net (benefit) amortization of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) and mortgage servicing income increased $\$ 234,693$ to a benefit of $\$ 112,965$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 from an expense of $\$ 121,728$ for the same period in 2009, due to an increase of $\$ 75,271$ in mortgage service income as the servicing portfolio grew from $\$ 352,066,692$ at December 31, 2009 to $\$ 370,331,523$ at December 31, 2010 and a decrease of $\$ 159,422$ in amortization expense during 2010.
- Other expenses increased $\$ 534,315$, or $14.40 \%$, to $\$ 4,245,538$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2010 from $\$ 3,711,223$ for the same period in 2009. In particular, periodic impairment benefits associated with mortgage servicing rights decreased $\$ 490,563$ to $\$ 97,013$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to periodic impairment benefits of $\$ 587,576$ for the same period in 2009 , and contributions increased $\$ 236,603$ to $\$ 433,020$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The contributions for 2010 include tax credit-qualified contributions of $\$ 231,000$ and $\$ 40,500$ in the states of New Hampshire and Vermont, respectively.

| For the Years Ended December 31, |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands, except per share and percentage data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income................................................ | \$ | 7,947 | \$ | 6,598 | \$ | 5,725 | \$ | 4,516 | S | 5,040 |
| Per Share Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Earnings ${ }^{(1)}$............................... |  | 1.29 |  | 1.06 |  | 1.00 |  | 0.93 |  | 1.20 |
| Diluted Earnings................................ |  | 1.29 |  | 1.06 |  | 0.99 |  | 0.92 |  | 1.17 |
| Dividends Paid ................................. |  | 0.52 |  | 0.52 |  | 0.52 |  | 0.52 |  | 0.52 |
| Dividend Payout Ratio ....................... |  | 40.31 |  | 49.06 |  | 52.00 |  | 55.91 |  | 43.33 |
| Return on Average Assets........................... |  | 0.79\% |  | 0.73\% |  | 0.69\% |  | 0.61\% |  | 0.75\% |
| Return on Average Equity .......................... |  | 8.71\% |  | 7.75\% |  | 7.84\% |  | 7.98\% |  | 11.04\% |
| As of December 31, | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
|  | (In thousands, except per share, percentage and branch data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Assets | \$ | 994,536 | \$ | 962,601 | \$ | 843,198 | S | 834,210 | \$ | 672,031 |
| Total Securities ${ }^{(2)}$....................................... |  | 203,599 |  | 224,469 |  | 86,935 |  | 94,343 |  | 99,063 |
| Loans, Net................................................ |  | 675,514 |  | 620,333 |  | 636,720 |  | 626,274 |  | 492,712 |
| Total Deposits ......................................... |  | 778,219 |  | 734,429 |  | 653,353 |  | 652,952 |  | 465,506 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Advances.. |  | 75,959 |  | 95,962 |  | 66,317 |  | 63,387 |  | 120,000 |
| Stockholders' Equity.................................. |  | 92,391 |  | 87,776 |  | 74,677 |  | 72,667 |  | 48,409 |
| Book Value per Common Share ................... | \$ | 14.26 | \$ | 13.48 | \$ | 12.99 | S | 12.69 | \$ | 11.58 |
| Average Common Equity to Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets .................................................. |  | 9.11\% |  | 9.45\% |  | 8.76\% |  | 7.84\% |  | 6.91\% |
| Shares Outstanding .................................... |  | 5,773,772 |  | 5,771,772 |  | 5,747,772 |  | 5,726,772 |  | 4,180,080 |
| Number of Branch Locations....................... |  | 28 |  | 28 |  | 28 |  | 29 |  | 18 |

(1) See Note 1 to Consolidated Financial Statements regarding earnings per share.
(2) Includes available-for-sale securities shown at fair value, held-to-maturity securities at cost and Federal Home Loan Bank stock at cost.

## Impact of New Accounting Standards

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" issued SFAS No. 166, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets," and SFAS No. 167, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)." These standards are effective for the first interim reporting period of 2010. SFAS No. 166 amends the guidance in ASC 860 to eliminate the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") and changes some of the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. SFAS No. 167 amends the consolidation guidance in ASC 810-10. Specifically, the amendments will (a) eliminate the exemption for QSPEs from the new guidance, (b) shift the determination of which enterprise should consolidate a variable interest entity ("VIE") to a current control approach, such that an entity that has both the power to make decisions and right to receive benefits or absorb losses that could potentially be significant, will consolidate a VIE, and (c) change: when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a VIE. These standards did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-11, "Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives." The ASU clarifies that certain embedded derivatives, such as those contained in certain securitizations, CDOs and structured notes, should be considered embedded credit derivatives subject to potential bifurcation and separate fair value accounting. The ASU allows any beneficial interest issued by a securitization vehicle to be accounted for under the fair value option at transition. At transition, the Company may elect to reclassify various debt securities (on an instrument-by-instrument basis) from held-to-maturity (HTM) or available-for-sale (AFS) to trading. The new rules are effective July 1,2010 . The ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." The ASU requires disclosing the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and describing the reasons for the transfers. The disclosures are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. The Company adopted ASU 2010-06 as of January 1, 2010. The required disclosures are included in Note 15. Additionally, disclosures of the gross purchases, sales, issuances and settlements activity in the Level 3 of the fair value measurement hierarchy will be required for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-18, "Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan is Part of a Pool That is Accounted for as a Single Asset." As a result of this ASU, modifications of loans that are accounted for within a pool under Subtopic 310-30 do not result in the removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled debt restructuring. An entity will continue to be required to consider whether the pool of assets in which the loan is included is impaired if expected cash flows for the pool change. The amendments in this ASU are effective for modifications of loans accounted for within pools under Subtopic 310-30 occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after July 15, 2010.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." This ASU is created to provide financial statement users with greater transparency about an entity's allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. This ASU is intended to provide additional information to assist financial statement users in assessing the entity's credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. The amendments in this ASU are effective as of the end of a reporting period for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15,2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-28, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other." This ASU addresses when to perform step 2 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For public entities, the amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-29, "Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations." This ASU addresses diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The amendments in this ASU are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December $15,2010$.

For additional information on the above-referenced new accounting standards, refer to Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page 43 of this report.

## Accounting for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 includes net deferred income tax benefit of $\$ 104,521, \$ 1,200,943$, and $\$ 681,082$, respectively. These amounts were determined by the asset and liability method in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for each year.

The Bank has provided deferred income taxes on the difference between the provision for loan losses permitted for income tax purposes and the provision recorded for financial reporting purposes.

## Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

The Company earned $\$ 6,597,706$, or $\$ 1.06$ per common share, assuming dilution, for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 5,725,072$, or $\$ 0.99$ per common share, assuming dilution, for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## Financial Condition

Total assets increased $\$ 19,402,773$, or $14.16 \%$, to $\$ 962,601,187$ at December 31, 2009 from $\$ 843,198,414$ at December 31, 2008. Cash and Federal Home Loan Bank overnight deposits increased $\$ 15,476,961$.

Total net loans receivable excluding loans held-for-sale decreased $\$ 16,387,684$, or $2.57 \%$, to $\$ 620,332,606$ at December 31 , 2009. The Bank's conventional real estate loan portfolio decreased $\$ 10,469,964$, or $3.04 \%$, to $\$ 333,531,436$. Construction loans decreased $\$ 1,047,422$, or $7.75 \%$, to $\$ 12,467,786$. Commercial real estate loans decreased $\$ 3,753,796$, or $2.69 \%$, to $\$ 135,838,539$. Additionally, consumer loans increased $\$ 3,513,309$, or $4.42 \%$, to $\$ 82,981,689$ and commercial and municipal loans decreased $\$ 104,519$, or $0.17 \%$, to $\$ 62,386,826$. Sold loans totaled $\$ 352,066,692$ at year-end 2009 , compared to $\$ 311,228,362$, at year-end 2008 . Sold loans are loans originated by the Bank and sold to the secondary market with the Bank retaining the majority of servicing of these loans. At December 31, 2009, the Bank expected to continue to sell fixed-rate loans into the secondary market, retaining the servicing, in order to manage interest rate risk and control growth. Typically, the Bank holds adjustable-rate loans in portfolio. At December 31, 2009, adjustable-rate mortgages comprised approximately $80 \%$ of the Bank's real estate mortgage loan portfolio, which was consistent with prior years. Non-performing assets were $0.29 \%$ of total assets and $0.98 \%$ of total loans at December 31, 2009, compared to $0.83 \%$ and $1.10 \%$, respectively, at December 31,2008 , as the Bank continued to originate loans using conservative, standardized underwriting.

The fair value of investment securities available-for-sale increased $\$ 136,304,613$, or $166.25 \%$, to $\$ 218,293,101$ at December 31 , 2009, from $\$ 81,988,488$ at December 31, 2008. The Bank realized $\$ 3,648,795$ in the gains on the sales and calls of securities during 2009 , compared to $\$ 909,919$ in gains on the sales and calls of securities recorded during 2008. The U.S. Treasury's actions during 2008 to place Fannie Mae under conservatorship resulted in an other-than-temporary impairment to the fair market value of 20,000
shares of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock, Series F held by the Bank as previously disclosed in Current Report Form 8-K dated October 1, 2008. As a result, the Company recorded a writedown of $\$ 879,720$ due to the other-than-temporary impairment of Fannie Mae Preferred Stock during 2008. At December 31, 2009, the Bank's investment portfolio had a net unrealized holding loss of $\$ 837,491$, compared to a net unrealized holding gain of $\$ 368,441$ at December 31, 2008. The securities in the Bank's investment portfolio that are temporarily impaired at December 31, 2009 consist of debt securities issued by U.S. Government corporations or agencies, corporate debt with investment-grade credit ratings and preferred stock issued by corporations and government sponsored agencies. At December 31, 2009, one investment held in the Company's portfolio as available-for-sale, U.S. Bank Capital Trust Preferred VIII, had an unrealized market loss of $\$ 348,000$ compared an unrealized market loss of $\$ 722,000$ at December 31,2008 . The unrealized loss was primarily attributable to changes in market interest rates. At December 31, 2009, management did not intend to sell these securities in the near term. As management had the ability to hold debt securities until maturity and equity securities for the foreseeable future, no declines were deemed to be other than temporary.

Real estate owned and property acquired in settlement of loans was at $\$ 100,000$ at December 31, 2009 compared to $\$ 263,000$ at December 31, 2008.

Goodwill was unchanged at $\$ 27,293,470$ at December 31, 2009, compared to December 31, 2008. Goodwill includes $\$ 7,503,046$ related to the acquisition of First Brandon Financial Corporation and $\$ 7,650,408$ related to the acquisition of First Community Bank, both in 2007. Goodwill also includes $\$ 2,471,560$ relating to the acquisition of Landmark Bank in 1998 and $\$ 9,668,456$ relating to the acquisition of three branch offices of New London Trust in 2001.

Core deposit intangibles decreased to $\$ 2,023,806$ at December 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 2,560,527$ at December 31, 2008. The Bank amortized $\$ 536,721$ during 2009 and utilized the sum-of-the-years-digits method over ten years to amortize the core deposit intangibles.

Total deposits increased $\$ 81,075,443$, or $12.41 \%$, to $\$ 734,428,768$ at December 31, 2009 from $\$ 653,353,325$ at December 31, 2008. The Bank was able to retain and attract deposits as customers were drawn to the safety and guarantee of FDIC insurance resulting from uncertain credit markets and a lingering national recession.

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) increased $\$ 29,644,521$, or $44.70 \%$, to $\$ 95,962,006$ from $\$ 66,317,485$ at December 31, 2008. The weighted average interest rate for the outstanding FHLB advances was $1.71 \%$ at December 31, 2009, compared to $2.94 \%$ at December 31, 2008.

## Net Interest and Dividend Income

Net interest and dividend income for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased $\$ 1,386,231$, or $5.35 \%$, to $\$ 27,307,960$. The increase was primarily due to the Bank's lower cost of funds during 2009. Total interest and dividend income decreased $\$ 3,251,635$, or $7.63 \%$, to $\$ 39,384,142$ as the yield on interest-earning assets decreased to $4.92 \%$ from $5.69 \%$. Interest and fees on loans decreased $\$ 4,394,628$, or $11.70 \%$, to $\$ 33,176,044$ in 2009 , due primarily to a decrease in the average yield on loans to $5.16 \%$ from $5.86 \%$.

Interest on taxable investments increased $\$ 1,671,709$, or $37.48 \%$, to $\$ 6,131,899$, due primarily to an increase in average taxable investments as the Bank leveraged the capital funds to purchase investments, primarily mortgage-backed securities issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Dividends decreased $\$ 309,188$, or $93.73 \%$, to $\$ 20,689$. Interest on other investments decreased $\$ 219,528$, or $79.82 \%$, to $\$ 55,510$. The yield on the Bank's investment portfolio declined from $4.67 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2008 to $3.94 \%$ the year ended December 31, 2009 due to lower yielding investments purchased to replace maturing and called securities in a falling interest rate environment.

Total interest expense decreased $\$ 4,637,866$, or $27.75 \%$, to $\$ 12,076,182$ for the year ended December 31,2009 . For the year ended December 31, 2009, interest on deposits decreased $\$ 4,175,506$, or $32.27 \%$, to $\$ 8,762,151$, despite an increase in average deposits as the cost of deposits decreased to $1.36 \%$ from $2.08 \%$, compared to the same period in 2008 . The increase on average deposits resulted primarily from customers seeking the safety of insured deposits. Interest on FHLB advances and other borrowed money decreased $\$ 128,507$, or $5.77 \%$, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, to $\$ 2,098,049$ for the same period in 2008, as FHLB advances outstanding increased to $\$ 95,962,006$ at December 31, 2009, from $\$ 66,317,485$ at December 31, 2008. The Bank was able to replace maturing advances at substantially lower rates during 2009 resulting in overall lower costs due to the falling interest rate environment.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Bank's combined cost of funds decreased to $1.60 \%$ as compared to $2.32 \%$ for 2008 . The cost of deposits, including repurchase agreements, decreased 73 basis points for 2009 to $1.34 \%$ compared to $2.07 \%$ in 2008 , due primarily to the downward repricing of maturing time deposits and advances.

The Bank's interest rate spread, which represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, decreased to $3.32 \%$ in 2009 from $3.37 \%$ in 2008. The Bank's net interest margin, representing net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets decreased to $3.41 \%$ during 2009 , from $3.46 \%$ during 2008.

## Allowance and Provision for Loan Losses

At December 31, 2009, the allowance for loan losses was $\$ 9,494,007$ compared to $\$ 5,567,859$ at year-end 2008. The increase was due to provisions of $\$ 5,846,000$ and recoveries of $\$ 211,906$, which were partially offset by loan charge-offs of $\$ 2,131,758$. The amounts shown in this paragraph and the next two paragraphs do not include amounts associated with overdrafts on checking accounts.

The $\$ 5,846,000$ provision made in 2009 reflected both the higher loan loss experience in 2009 and the changes in economic conditions that increase the risk of loss inherent in the loan portfolio. The higher loan loss experience was largely attributable to weaker real estate market conditions. Management anticipated making additional provisions in 2010 to maintain the allowance at an adequate level.

Loan charge-offs were $\$ 2,131,758$ in 2009 compared to $\$ 545,404$ in 2008 . The increase reflects a writedown of approximately $\$ 1.0$ million in commercial real estate in addition to higher charge-offs in all other categories. Recoveries were $\$ 211,906$ for the period ended December 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 42,635$ for the same period in 2008 resulting in net charge-offs for 2009 and 2008 of $\$ 1,919,852$ and $\$ 502,769$, respectively. The increase in the amount of the allowance for loan losses, due to the provisions made during 2009 , increased the allowance from $0.87 \%$ to $1.51 \%$ of total loans.

In addition to the allowance for loan losses, the Bank maintains an allowance for losses associated with the fee for service overdraft privilege program, which was introduced in July 2005. The Bank seeks to maintain an allowance equal to $100 \%$ of the aggregate balance of negative balance accounts that have remained negative for 30 days or more. Negative balance accounts are charged-off when the balance has remained negative for 60 consecutive days.

The allowance for overdrafts was $\$ 24,626$ at December 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 26,453$ at year-end 2008. The tables in this section show the activity in the accounts for both the allowance for loan losses and the allowance for overdraft losses.

Total classified loans, excluding special mention loans, at December 31, 2009 and 2008, were $\$ 11,876,373$ and $\$ 9,122,365$, respectively. Special mention loans were $\$ 14,054,577$ at December 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 12,153,366$ at year-end 2008. These changes were a reflection of the lingering national recession which adversely affected borrowers' capacity to service debt. At December 31, 2009, the special mention loans continued to perform and did not warrant adverse classification at that time.

The classified loans included $\$ 4,237,087$ of impaired loans at December 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 4,547,504$ at December 31, 2008. The impaired loans met the criteria established under ASC 310-10-35, although one $\$ 2.0$ million loan considered restructured at year-end 2009 and impaired at year-end 2008 continued to perform. The $\$ 2.0$ million loan was not considered collateral dependent at December 31, 2009, but the appraised value of the commercial real estate suggested no loss of principal and supported the current valuation. Four loans considered impaired loans at December 31, 2009, with specific reserves, were all under $\$ 200,000$ and are secured with real estate. At December 31, 2009, the allowance included $\$ 165,000$ allocated to impaired loans. The portion of the allowance allocated to impaired loans at December 31, 2008 was $\$ 209,500$.

Loans 30 to 89 days past due were $\$ 9,883,831$ and $\$ 10,018,803$ at December 31,2009 and 2008, respectively. Total nonperforming loans amounted to $\$ 2,754,443$ and $\$ 7,026,992$ at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Loans over 90 days past due are placed on nonaccrual status and are included in non-performing loans. Loans over 90 days past due were $\$ 2,754,443$ at December 31, 2009 compared to $\$ 3,003,615$ at December 31, 2008. At year-end 2009, there were 18 loans over 90 days past due compared to 28 at the end of 2008.

As a percentage of assets, non-performing loans decreased from $0.87 \%$ at the year-end 2008 to $0.29 \%$ at December 31, 2009, and as a percentage of total loans, decreased from $1.10 \%$ at the end of 2008 to $0.98 \%$ at the end of 2009 . At December 31, 2009, the Bank held $\$ 100,000$ of other real estate owned and repossessed assets. This represented a decrease over the $\$ 263,000$ held at the end of 2008. During 2009, the Bank sold three properties that were acquired in previous periods and sold two properties that were acquired during 2009. One property acquired during 2009 remained in OREO at December 31, 2009 with a book value of $\$ 100,000$. Adjustments to the valuation of OREO are charged against earnings and do not impact the allowance for loan losses. If all nonaccruing loans had been current in accordance with their terms during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, interest income realized on such loans would have amounted to approximately $\$ 139,520$ and $\$ 118,700$, respectively.

At December 31, 2009, the Bank had eight loans with net carrying values of $\$ 3,469,660$ considered to be "troubled debt restructurings" as defined in ASC 310-40, "Receivables-Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors." At December 31, 2009, all "troubled debt restructurings" were performing under contractual terms and are included in impaired loans. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had no loans considered "troubled debt restructures."

## Noninterest Income and Expense

Total noninterest income increased $\$ 5,148,937$, or $66.89 \%$, to $\$ 12,846,035$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. The net gain on the sales and calls of securities and the net gain on the sales of loans accounted for $86.46 \%$ of the increase.

- Customer service fees decreased $\$ 55,686$, or $1.01 \%$, due primarily to reduced fees assessed on the Bank's overdraft protection program during 2009.
- Net gain on sales and calls of securities increased $\$ 2,738,876$ due to the sales, maturities and calls of securities in the amount of $\$ 289,998,085$ as the Bank took advantage of interest rate volatility on the long-end yield curve.
- Net gain on sales of loans increased $\$ 1,713,145$, or $204.34 \%$, as the Bank sold $\$ 136.5$ million in loans to the secondary market during 2009, up from $\$ 48.3$ million of loans sold during 2008.
- Net loss on sale of other real estate owned and fixed assets decreased \$50,443 during 2009 as the Bank recognized losses of $\$ 9,435$ on other real estate and chattel property owned during 2009 compared to the recognition of losses in 2008 that included losses on leasehold improvements of $\$ 38,535$ as a result of closing the retail branch in Killington, Vermont, in August 2008, and losses on other real estate owned in the amount of $\$ 27,644$ during 2008.
- Rental income increased $\$ 28,316$, or $4.19 \%$, primarily as a result of ordinary consumer price index-based increases during 2009.
- The realized gain in Charter Holding Corp. decreased $\$ 146,819$, or $58.01 \%$, to $\$ 106,295$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, from $\$ 253,114$ for the same period in 2008, as a direct reflection of earnings reported by Charter Holding Corp. This includes a non-recurring expense of $\$ 54,983$ recorded during the second quarter of 2009.
- Brokerage service income decreased in the amount of $\$ 75,469$, or $88.47 \%$, to $\$ 9,832$ for the year ended December 31, 2009, as the Company saw a decline due to the resignation of the Bank's principal broker who was not replaced.

Total noninterest expenses decreased $\$ 126,549$, or $0.51 \%$, to $\$ 24,491,780$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2009 , from $\$ 24,618,329$ for the same period in 2008.

- Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 167,684$, or $1.38 \%$, to $\$ 12,358,148$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 from $\$ 12,190,464$ for the same period in 2008 . Gross salaries and benefits paid, which exclude the deferral of expenses associated with the origination of loans, increased $\$ 582,326$, or $4.41 \%$, to $\$ 13,773,060$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, from $\$ 13,190,734$ for the same period in 2008. Gross salaries increased $\$ 181,730$, or $1.74 \%$, to $\$ 10,627,440$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, compared to the same period in 2008. Average full time equivalents decreased to 234 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009, compared to 250 for the same period in 2008. Benefits costs, particularly associated with retirement and pension benefits, increased $\$ 454,119$. This increase included an increase of $\$ 324,528$ related to periodic pension costs. The deferral of expenses associated with the origination of loans increased $\$ 414,642$, or $41.45 \%$, to $\$ 1,414,912$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2009 , from $\$ 1,000,270$ for the same period in 2008 . This increase was due to higher volume of loans originated in 2009 compared to 2008, resulting in a higher amount of deferred salary and employee benefits expenses associated with origination costs.
- Occupancy and equipment expenses decreased $\$ 173,398$, or $4.35 \%$, to $\$ 3,815,924$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 from $\$ 3,989,322$ for the same period in 2008 , due primarily to savings on depreciation as a number of fixed assets became fully depreciated and a reduction in maintenance expenses.
- Advertising and promotion decreased $\$ 106,998$, or $22.13 \%$, to $\$ 376,431$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2009 from $\$ 483,429$ for the same period in 2008, due primarily to a reduction in the utilization of print media and associated costs.
- Depositors' insurance increased $\$ 1,048,902$ to $\$ 1,117,519$ at December 31, 2009, compared to $\$ 128,617$ at December 31, 2008, due primarily to an FDIC Special Assessment of $\$ 415,887$ recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and the depletion of deposit insurance premium credits.
- Professional fees increased $\$ 176,905$, or $21.19 \%$ to $\$ 1,011,861$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 from $\$ 834,956$ for the same period in 2008 , due to increased audit, accounting and legal fees during 2009.
- Data processing and outside services fees decreased $\$ 65,237$, or $6.64 \%$, to $\$ 917,170$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 from \$982,407 for the same period in 2008.
- ATM processing fees increased $\$ 31,649$, or $5.43 \%$, to $\$ 614,516$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2009 from $\$ 582,867$ for the same period in 2008 , due to the increase in ATM transaction activity, which was offset by fees generated from ATM transaction activity.
- Amortization of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) in excess of mortgage servicing income increased $\$ 95,885$, or $371.03 \%$, to $\$ 121,728$ for the twelve months ended December 31,2009 from $\$ 25,843$ for the same period in 2008 , due to a higher volume of prepayments of sold loans during 2009.
- Other expenses decreased $\$ 1,229,461$, or $24.88 \%$, to $\$ 3,711,223$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 from $\$ 4,940,684$ for the same period in 2008 . In particular, periodic impairment benefits associated with mortgage servicing rights increased $\$ 1,306,849$ to $\$ 587,576$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 compared to periodic impairment costs of $\$ 719,273$ for the same period in 2008.


## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to originate loans, standby letters of credit and unadvanced funds on loans. The instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheets. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments. Further detail on the financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to which the Company is party is contained in Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.


The Board of Directors
New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc.
Newport, New Hampshire

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, comprehensive income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# Shatwed, inacterd + Company, P.C. 

SHATSWELL, MacLEOD \& COMPANY, P.C.
West Peabody, Massachusetts
March 25, 2011

## New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

| As of December 31, | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 21,512,894 | S | 20,338,652 |
| Federal Reserve Bank interest bearing deposit. |  | 11,700,000 |  | 17,700,000 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 33,212,894 |  | 38,038,652 |
| Securities available-for-sale |  | 195,984,515 |  | 218,293,101 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock |  | 7,614,600 |  | 6,175,800 |
| Loans held-for-sale |  | 5,887,141 |  | 2,077,900 |
| Loans receivable, net of the allowance for loan losses of $\$ 9,863,904$ as of December 31, 2010 and $\$ 9,518,632$ as of December 31, 2009 |  | 675,513,787 |  | 620,332,606 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 2,986,348 |  | 2,972,403 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 16,671,896 |  | 17,034,220 |
| Investments in real estate |  | 3,550,427 |  | 3,505,828 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 75,000 |  | 100,000 |
| Goodwill. |  | 27,293,470 |  | 27,293,470 |
| Intangible assets - core deposits |  | 1,550,139 |  | 2,023,806 |
| Investment in partially owned Charter Holding Corp., at equity |  | 4,898,869 |  | 3,083,084 |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | 10,358,288 |  | 9,965,068 |
| Other assets |  | 9,456,510 |  | 11,705,249 |
| Total assets. | \$ | 995,053,884 | \$ | 962,601,187 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ | 53,265,124 | \$ | 48,430,291 |
| Interest-bearing. |  | 724,953,993 |  | 685,998,477 |
| Total deposits. |  | 778,219,117 |  | 734,428,768 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | 75,959,361 |  | 95,962,006 |
| Other borrowings. |  | - |  | 2,077,500 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase |  | 16,165,074 |  | 12,118,953 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 20,620,000 |  | 20,620,000 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities |  | 11,698,913 |  | 9,617,707 |
| Total liabilities |  | 902,662,465 |  | 874,824,934 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, $\$ .01$ par value, per share: $2,500,000$ shares authorized, fixed rate cumulative perpetual Series A; 10,000 shares issued and outstanding; liquidation value $\$ 1,000$ per share. |  | 100 |  | 100 |
| Common stock, $\$ .01$ par value, per share: $10,000,000$ shares authorized, $6,234,051$ shares issued and 5,773,772 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and 6,232,051 shares issued and 5,771,772 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2009 $\qquad$ |  | 62,341 |  | 62,321 |
| Warrants .................................................................................................................. |  | 85,020 |  | 85,020 |
| Paid-in capital........................................................................................................... |  | 55,920,664 |  | 55,884,604 |
| Retained earnings ...................................................................................................... |  | 46,000,732 |  | 41,570,797 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss ........................................................................ |  | $(2,526,715)$ |  | $(2,675,866)$ |
| Treasury stock, 460,279 shares as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, at cost........................ |  | $(7,150,723)$ |  | (7,150,723) |
| Total stockholders' equity................................................................................... |  | 92,391,419 |  | 87,776,253 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity. | \$ | 995,053,884 | \$ | 962,601,187 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Income

| For the years ended December 31, | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME...................................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ | 31,955,755 | \$ | 33,176,044 | \$ | 37,570,672 |
| Interest on debt investme |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 6,084,711 |  | 6,079,490 |  | 4,460,190 |
| Dividends |  | 17,026 |  | 20,689 |  | 329,877 |
| Other |  | 599,040 |  | 107,919 |  | 275,038 |
| Total interest and dividend income |  | 38,656,532 |  | 39,384,142 |  | 42,635,777 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits. |  | 6,634,538 |  | 8,762,151 |  | 12,937,657 |
| Interest on advances and other borrowed money |  | 2,039,544 |  | 2,098,049 |  | 2,226,556 |
| Interest on debentures. |  | 1,011,037 |  | 1,157,953 |  | 1,315,365 |
| Interest on securities sold under agreements to repurchase |  | 59,181 |  | 58,029 |  | 234,470 |
| Total interest expense |  | 9,744,300 |  | 12,076,182 |  | 16,714,048 |
| Net interest and dividend income. |  | 28,912,232 |  | 27,307,960 |  | 25,921,729 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES |  | 2,182,000 |  | 5,952,000 |  | 1,101,100 |
| Net interest and dividend income after provision for loan losses $\qquad$ |  | 26,730,232 |  | 21,355,960 |  | 24,820,629 |
| NONINTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer service fees. |  | 5,197,963 |  | 5,437,153 |  | 5,492,839 |
| Net gain on sales and calls of securities |  | 2,082,910 |  | 3,648,795 |  | 909,919 |
| Writedown of securities. |  | -- |  | - |  | $(879,720)$ |
| Net gain on sales of loans. |  | 1,692,332 |  | 2,551,545 |  | 838,400 |
| Net gain (loss) on sales of other real estate owned, other assets and fixed assets. $\qquad$ |  | 49,603 |  | $(9,435)$ |  | $(59,878)$ |
| Rental income. |  | 700,845 |  | 703,572 |  | 675,256 |
| Realized gain in Charter Holding Corp. |  | 191,853 |  | 106,295 |  | 253,114 |
| Brokerage service income |  | 2,402 |  | 9,832 |  | 85,301 |
| Bank owned life insurance income. |  | 356,335 |  | 371,930 |  | 380,195 |
| Other income |  | - |  | 26,348 |  | 1,672 |
| Total noninterest income. |  | 10,274,243 |  | 12,846,035 |  | 7,697,098 |
| NONINTEREST EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 13,370,442 |  | 12,358,148 |  | 12,190,464 |
| Occupancy and equipment expenses. |  | 3,743,232 |  | 3,815,924 |  | 3,989,322 |
| Advertising and promotion |  | 432,063 |  | 376,431 |  | 483,429 |
| Depositors' insurance. |  | 1,020,231 |  | 1,177,519 |  | 128,617 |
| Professional services |  | 953,355 |  | 1,011,861 |  | 834,956 |
| Data processing and outside services fees |  | 933,896 |  | 917,170 |  | 982,407 |
| ATM processing fees................. |  | 520,072 |  | 614,516 |  | 582,867 |
| Net (benefit) amortization of mortgage servicing rights and mortgage servicing income. $\qquad$ |  | $(112,965)$ |  | 121,728 |  | 25,843 |
| Supplies... |  | 407,630 |  | 387,260 |  | 459,740 |
| Other expenses |  | 4,245,538 |  | 3,711,223 |  | 4,940,684 |
| Total noninterest expenses |  | 25,513,494 |  | 24,491,780 |  | 24,618,329 |
| INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES. |  | 11,490,981 |  | 9,710,215 |  | 7,899,398 |
| PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES |  | 3,543,546 |  | 3,112,509 |  | 2,174,326 |
| NET INCOME | \$ | 7,947,435 | \$ | 6,597,706 | S | 5,725,072 |
| NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS | \$ | 7,431,256 | S | 6,103,445 | S | 5,725,072 |
| Earnings per common share. | \$ | 1.29 | S | 1.06 | \$ | 1.00 |
| Earnings per common share, assuming dilution | \$ | 1.29 | S | 1.06 | \$ | 0.99 |
| Dividends declared per common share .. | \$ | 0.52 | S | 0.52 | \$ | 0.52 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

| For the years ended December 31, | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PREFERRED STOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 100 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Issuance of preferred stock. |  | - |  | 100 |  | - |
| Balance, end of year. | \$ | 100 | \$ | 100 | \$ | - |
| COMMON STOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 62,321 | \$ | 62,081 | \$ | 61,821 |
| Exercise of stock options ( 2,000 shares in 2010, 24,000 shares in 2009 and 26,000 shares in 2008) $\qquad$ |  | 20 |  | 240 |  | 260 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | 62,341 | \$ | 62,321 | \$ | 62,081 |
| WARRANTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 85,020 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Issuance of warrants |  | - |  | 85,020 |  | - |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | 85,020 | \$ | 85,020 | \$ | - |
| PAID-IN CAPITAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 55,884,604 | \$ | 45,756,112 | \$ | 45,480,955 |
| Increase on issuance of common stock from the exercise of stock options..... |  | 18,230 |  | 180,260 |  | 248,865 |
| Tax benefit for stock options |  | 1,651 |  | 17,173 |  | 26,292 |
| Issuance of preferred stock |  | - |  | 9,914,880 |  | - |
| Preferred stock net accretion ................................................................... |  | 16,179 |  | 16,179 |  | - |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | 55,920,664 | \$ | 55,884,604 | \$ | 45,756,112 |
| RETAINED EARNINGS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 41,570,797 | \$ | 38,399,369 | \$ | 35,982,392 |
| Net income |  | 7,947,435 |  | 6,597,706 |  | 5,725,072 |
| Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle - initial application of ASC 715-60 |  | - |  | - |  | $(320,034)$ |
| Preferred stock net accretion |  | $(16,179)$ |  | $(16,179)$ |  | - |
| Cash dividends paid, preferred stock |  | $(500,000)$ |  | $(415,278)$ |  | - |
| Cash dividends paid, common stock |  | $(3,001,321)$ |  | (2,994,821) |  | (2,988,061) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | 46,000,732 | \$ | 41,570,797 | \$ | 38,399,369 |
| ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year... | \$ | $(2,675,866)$ | \$ | $(2,389,747)$ | \$ | $(1,768,076)$ |
| Unrealized holding gain (loss) on securities available- for- sale, net of tax effect |  | 314,100 |  | $(728,263)$ |  | 1,226,878 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income - pension plan, net of tax effect............. |  | $(131,665)$ |  | 291,498 |  | $(1,356,767)$ |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income - derivative, net of tax effect................. |  | $(95,682)$ |  | 146,845 |  | $(480,741)$ |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) - equity investment............................. |  | 62,398 |  | 3,801 |  | $(11,041)$ |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | $(2,526,715)$ | \$ | $(2,675,866)$ | \$ | $(2,389,747)$ |
| TREASURY STOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of year..................................................................... | \$ | $(7,150,723)$ | \$ | $(7,150,723)$ | \$ | $(7,089,769)$ |
| Shares repurchased (5,000 shares) |  | - |  | - |  | $(60,954)$ |
| Balance, end of year .............................................................................. | \$ | $(7,150,723)$ | \$ | (7,150,723) | \$ | $(7,150,723)$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| For the years ended December 31, | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 7,947,435 | \$ | 6,597,706 | \$ | 5,725,072 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax effect |  | 149,151 |  | $(286,119)$ |  | $(621,671)$ |
| Comprehensive income.. | \$ | 8,096,586 | \$ | 6,311,587 | \$ | 5,103,401 |

Reclassification disclosure for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities. | \$ | 2,603,029 | \$ | 2,442,863 | \$ | 2,061,789 |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized gains in net income ................................. |  | $(2,082,910)$ |  | $(3,648,795)$ |  | $(30,199)$ |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before income tax effect. |  | 520,119 |  | $(1,205,932)$ |  | 2,031,590 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit ........................................................................... |  | $(206,019)$ |  | 477,669 |  | $(804,712)$ |
|  |  | 314,100 |  | $(728,263)$ |  | 1,226,878 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income - pension plan |  | $(218,025)$ |  | 482,693 |  | $(2,246,677)$ |
| Income tax benefit (expense) ........................................................................... |  | 86,360 |  | $(191,195)$ |  | 889,910 |
|  |  | $(131,665)$ |  | 291,498 |  | $(1,356,767)$ |
| Change in fair value of derivatives used for cash flow hedges |  | $(158,438)$ |  | 243,162 |  | $(796,061)$ |
| Income tax benefit (expense) .......................................................................... |  | 62,756 |  | $(96,317)$ |  | 315,320 |
|  |  | $(95,682)$ |  | 146,845 |  | $(480,741)$ |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) - equity investment |  | 62,398 |  | 11,042 |  | $(18,282)$ |
| Income tax (expense) benefit .......................................................................... |  | - |  | $(7,241)$ |  | 7,241 |
|  |  | 62,398 |  | 3,801 |  | $(11,041)$ |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax effect.......................................... | \$ | 149,151 | \$ | $(286,119)$ | \$ | $(621,671)$ |

Accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of the following as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net unrealized holding (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes ... | \$ | $(191,661)$ | \$ | $(505,761)$ | \$ | 222,502 |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss, defined benefit pension plan, net of tax ................. |  | $(1,960,634)$ |  | $(1,828,969)$ |  | $(2,120,467)$ |
| Unrecognized net loss, derivative, net of tax |  | $(429,578)$ |  | $(333,896)$ |  | $(480,741)$ |
| Unrecognized net income (loss), equity investment, net of tax ............................. |  | 55,158 |  | $(7,240)$ |  | $(11,041)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss. | \$ | (2,526,715) | \$ | $(2,675,866)$ | \$ | $(2,389,747)$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| For the years ended December 31, | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 7,947,435 | \$ | 6,597,706 | \$ | 5,725,072 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 1,418,381 |  | 1,497,721 |  | 1,521,290 |
| Net (increase) decrease in mortgage servicing rights. |  | $(279,318)$ |  | $(982,975)$ |  | 953,619 |
| Amortization of securities, net. |  | 1,069,916 |  | 391,218 |  | 8,541 |
| Amortization of deferred expenses relating to issuance of capital securities and subordinated debentures |  | 10,693 |  | 10,694 |  | 10,693 |
| Amortization of fair value adjustments, net (loans, deposits and borrowings) $\qquad$ |  | 98,122 |  | 123,409 |  | 273,863 |
| Amortization of core deposit intangible.................................... |  | 473,667 |  | 536,721 |  | 599,776 |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans held-for-sale |  | $(3,809,241)$ |  | $(140,150)$ |  | 570,130 |
| Writedown of other real estate. |  | - |  | - |  | 53,741 |
| Net (gain) loss on sales of premises, equipment, investment in real estate, other real estate owned and other assets. |  | $(49,603)$ |  | 9,435 |  | 59,878 |
| Net gain on sales and calls of securities. |  | $(2,082,910)$ |  | $(3,648,795)$ |  | $(909,919)$ |
| Writedown of securities |  | - |  | - |  | 879,720 |
| Equity in gain of partially owned Charter Holding Corp. |  | $(191,853)$ |  | $(117,334)$ |  | $(253,116)$ |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 2,182,000 |  | 5,952,000 |  | 1,101,100 |
| Deferred tax benefit |  | $(104,521)$ |  | $(1,200,943)$ |  | $(681,082)$ |
| Change in cash surrender value of life insurance. |  | $(382,125)$ |  | $(397,806)$ |  | $(403,223)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable and other assets |  | 2,494,966 |  | $(2,982,905)$ |  | $(340,816)$ |
| Change in deferred loan origination costs, ne |  | 73,947 |  | 404,330 |  | 123,037 |
| Increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities........................ |  | 1,531,394 |  | 88,124 |  | 2,093,924 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 10,400,950 |  | 6,140,450 |  | 11,386,228 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment. |  | - |  | - |  | 16,000 |
| Capital expenditures - investment in real estate |  | $(140,448)$ |  | $(12,503)$ |  | $(164,692)$ |
| Capital expenditures - software |  | $(76,452)$ |  | $(32,148)$ |  | $(191,779)$ |
| Capital expenditures - premises and equipment |  | $(868,185)$ |  | $(681,617)$ |  | $(1,381,003)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale |  | 109,539,228 |  | 249,601,020 |  | 20,191,593 |
| Purchases of securities available-for-sale |  | $(131,963,998)$ |  | $(403,382,693)$ |  | $(76,008,596)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities available-for-sale |  | 46,266,469 |  | 40,397,065 |  | 41,823,406 |
| Purchases of Federal Home Loan Bank stock ..................................... |  | (1,438,800) |  | $(1,229,500)$ |  | $(660,700)$ |
| Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock.................................. |  | - |  | - |  | 3,247,100 |
| Capital distribution - Charter Holding Corp., at equity |  | 203,466 |  | 170,145 |  | 251,171 |
| Additional investment in Charter Holding Corp. |  | $(1,765,000)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Loan originations and principal collections, net. |  | $(48,789,593)$ |  | 10,569,361 |  | $(10,918,367)$ |
| Purchases of loans |  | (8,980,000) |  | $(1,176,964)$ |  | $(1,326,296)$ |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged off |  | 215,698 |  | 417,815 |  | 230,744 |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate and other assets |  | 306,103 |  | 278,065 |  | 173,318 |
| Premium paid on life insurance policies. |  | $(11,095)$ |  | $(11,095)$ |  | $(11,095)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities .. |  | $(37,502,607)$ |  | $(105,093,049)$ |  | $(24,729,196)$ |

## New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows <br> (Continued)



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## NOTE 1. Summary of significant accounting policies:

Nature of operations - New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") is a savings and loan holding company headquartered in Newport, New Hampshire. The Company's subsidiary, Lake Sunapee Bank, fsb (the "Bank"), a federal stock savings bank, operates twenty eight branches primarily in Grafton, Hillsborough, Sullivan, Chester and Merrimack counties in west central New Hampshire and Rutland and Windsor Counties in Vermont. Although the Company has a diversified portfolio, a substantial portion of its debtors' abilities to honor their contracts is dependent on the economic health of the region. Its primary source of revenue is providing loans to customers who are predominately small and middle-market businesses and individuals.

Use of estimates in the preparation of financial statements - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Bank, Lake Sunapee Group, Inc. (LSGI), which owns and maintains all buildings and investment properties, and Lake Sunapee Financial Services Corp. (LSFSC), which sells brokerage securities and insurance products to customers. LSGI and LSFSC are wholly owned subsidiaries of the Bank. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NHTB Capital Trust II and NHTB Capital Trust III, affiliates of the Company, were formed to sell capital securities to the public through a third party trust pool. In accordance with ASC $810-10$, "Consolidation - Overall," the subsidiaries have not been included in the consolidated financial statements.

As described in recent accounting pronouncements, in June 2009 ASC 810-10 was amended by SFAS No. 166 and SFAS No. 167, which were effective for the Company in the first interim reporting period of 2010. SFAS No. 167 amended the consolidation guidance in ASC 810-10. These amendments to ASC 810-10 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Cash and cash equivalents - For purposes of reporting cash flows, the Company considers cash and due from banks and Federal Reserve Bank interest bearing deposit to be cash equivalents. Cash and due from banks as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 includes $\$ 9,747,000$ and $\$ 9,049,000$, respectively, which is subject to withdrawal and usage restrictions to satisfy the reserve requirements of the Federal Reserve Bank and PNC Bank.

Securities available-for-sale - Available-for-sale securities consist of bonds, notes, debentures, and certain equity securities. Unrealized holding gains and losses, net of tax, on available-for-sale securities are reported as a net amount in a separate component of stockholders' equity until realized. Gains and losses on the sale of available-for-sale securities are determined using the specificidentification method.

Securities held-to-maturity - Bonds, notes and debentures which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are reported at cost, adjusted for premiums and discounts recognized in interest income using the interest method over the period to maturity. No write-downs have occurred for securities held-to-maturity.

For any debt security with a fair value less than its amortized cost basis, the Company will determine whether it has the intent to sell the debt security or whether it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the debt security before the recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either condition is met, the Company will recognize a full impairment charge to earnings. For all other debt securities that are considered other-than-temporarily impaired and do not meet either condition, the credit loss portion of impairment will be recognized in earnings as realized losses. The other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors will be recorded in other comprehensive income.

Declines in marketable equity securities below their cost that are deemed other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses.

Securities held for trading - Trading securities are carried at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets. Unrealized holding gains and losses for trading securities are included in earnings.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock - As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), the Company is required to invest in $\$ 100$ par value stock of FHLB. The FHLB capital structure mandates that members must own stock as determined by their Total Stock Investment Requirement which is the sum of a member's Membership Stock Investment Requirement and Activity-Based Stock Investment Requirement. The Membership Stock Investment Requirement is calculated as $0.35 \%$ of member's Stock Investment Base, subject to a minimum investment of $\$ 10,000$ and a maximum investment of $\$ 25,000,000$. The Stock Investment Base is an amount calculated based on certain assets held by a member that are reflected on call reports submitted to applicable regulatory authorities. The Activity-Based Stock Investınent Requirement is calculated as $4.5 \%$ of a member's outstanding principal balances of FHLB advances plus a percentage of advance commitments, $4.5 \%$ of standby letters of credit issued by the FHLB and $4.5 \%$ of the value of intermediated derivative contracts. Management evaluates the Company's investment in FHLB of Boston stock for other-thantemporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such evaluation. Based on its analysis of the FHLB of Boston as of December 31, 2010, management deems its investment in FHLB of Boston stock to be not other-than-temporarily impaired.

On December 8, 2008, the FHLB of Boston announced a moratorium on the repurchase of excess stock held by its members. The moratorium will remain in effect indefinitely.

Investment in Charter Holding Corp. - As of December 31, 1999, the Company had an investment of $\$ 79,999$ in the common stock of Charter Holding Corp. (CHC). This investment was included in other investments on the consolidated balance sheet and was accounted for under the cost method of accounting for investments. On October 2, 2000, the Bank and two other New Hampshire banks acquired CHC and Phoenix New England Trust Company (PNET) from the Phoenix Home Life Mutual Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut. Contemporaneous with the acquisition, CHC and PNET merged under the continuing name of Charter Holding Corp. with assets of approximately $\$ 1.7$ billion under management. As a result of the acquisitions and merger, the Bank and each of the other two banks owned one-third of CHC at an additional cost of $\$ 3,033,337$ each. Goodwill resulting from the acquisition was "pushed down" to the financial statements of CHC. On September 30, 2010, the Company and one of the other banks purchased the third bank's ownership interest. As a result, the Company and one other bank each hold $50 \%$ ownership of CHC at December 31, 2010. Headquartered in Concord, New Hampshire, CHC provides trust and investment services from seven offices across New Hampshire. Charter New England Agency, a subsidiary of CHC, provides life insurance, fixed and variable annuities and mutual fund products, in addition to full brokerage services.

The Bank uses the equity method of accounting to account for its investment in CHC . An investor using the equity method initially records an investment at cost. Subsequently, the carrying amount of the investment is increased to reflect the investor's share of income of the investee and is reduced to reflect the investor's share of losses of the investee or dividends received from the investee. The investor's share of the income or losses of the investee is included in the investor's net income as the investee reports them. Adjustments similar to those made in preparing consolidated financial statements, such as elimination of intercompany gains and losses, also are applicable to the equity method.

Loans held-for-sale - Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated market value in the aggregate. Net unrealized losses are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income. No losses have been recorded.

Nonaccrual loans - Residential real estate loans and consumer loans are placed on nonaccrual status when they become 90 days past due. Commercial loans are placed on nonaccrual status when they become 90 days past due or when it becomes probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due pursuant to the terms of the loan agreement. When a loan has been placed on nonaccrual status, previously accrued interest is reversed with a charge against interest income on loans. Interest received on nonaccrual loans is generally booked to interest income on a cash basis. Residential real estate loans and consumer loans generally are returned to accrual status when they are no longer over 90 days past due. Commercial loans are generally returned to accrual status when the collectibility of principal and interest is reasonably assured.

Allowance for loan losses - The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

General component - The general component of the allowance for loan losses is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors stratified by the following loan segments: residential real estate, commercial real estate, construction, commercial and consumer. Management uses a rolling average of historical losses based on a time frame appropriate to capture relevant loss data for each loan segment. This historical loss factor is adjusted for the following qualitative factors: levels/trends in delinquencies; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting standards and other changes in lending policies, procedures and practices; experience/ability/depth of lending management and staff; concentration of credit risk and national and local economic trends and conditions. There were no significant changes in the Company's policies or methodology pertaining to the general component of the allowance for loan losses during 2010.

The qualitative factors are determined based on the various risk characteristics of each loan segment. Risk characteristics relevant to each portfolio segment are as follows:

Residential real estate: The Company generally does not originate loans with a loan-to-value ratio greater than 80 percent and does not grant subprime loans. All loans in this segment are collateralized by owner-occupied residential real estate and repayment is dependent on the credit quality of the individual borrower. The overall health of the economy, including unemployment rates and housing prices, will have an effect on the credit quality in this segment. This segment also includes home equity loans.

Commercial real estate: Loans in this segment are primarily income-producing properties throughout New Hampshire and Vermont. The underlying cash flows generated by the properties are adversely impacted by a downturn in the economy as evidenced by increased vacancy rates, which in turn, will have an effect on the credit quality in this segment. Management periodically obtains rent rolls and continually monitors the cash flows of these loans.

Construction loans: The Bank offers construction loan financing on one-to-four family owner occupied dwellings in the Bank's local real estate market. Generally, the Bank makes construction up to $80 \%$ of value with terms of up to nine months. During the construction phase, inspections are made to assess construction progress and monitor the disbursement of loan proceeds. The Bank also offers a "one-step" construction loan, which provides construction and permanent financing with one loan closing. The "onestep" is provided under the same terms and conditions of the Bank's conventional residential program.

Commercial loans: Loans in this segment are made to businesses and are generally secured by assets of the business. Repayment is expected from the cash flows of the business. A weakened economy, and resultant decreased consumer spending, will have an effect on the credit quality in this segment.

Consumer loans: Loans in this segment are unsecured or secured by collateral such as automobiles, boats and other recreational vehicles. Repayment is dependent on the credit quality of the individual borrower.

Allocated component - The allocated component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial, commercial real estate and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. An allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential real estate loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are subject to a troubled debt restructuring agreement.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and construction loans be either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures.

The Company periodically may agree to modify the contractual terms of loans. When a loan is modified and a concession is made to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty, the modification is considered a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR"). All TDRs are initially classified as impaired.

Unallocated component - An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating allocated and general reserves in the portfolio.

Deferred loan origination fees - Loan origination, commitment fees and certain direct origination costs are deferred, and the net amount is amortized as an adjustment of the related loan's yield. The Company amortizes these amounts over the contractual life of the related loans.

Loan servicing - The Company recognizes as separate assets from their related loans the rights to service mortgage loans for others, either through acquisition of those rights or from the sale or securitization of loans with the servicing rights retained on those loans, based on their relative fair values. To determine the fair value of the servicing rights created, the Company uses the market prices under comparable servicing sale contracts, when available, or alternatively uses a valuation model that calculates the present value of future cash flows to determine the fair value of the servicing rights. In using this valuation method, the Company incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, which includes estimates of the cost of servicing loans, the discount rate ancillary income, prepayment speeds and default rates.

Mortgage servicing rights are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated net servicing revenues. Refinance activities are considered in estimating the period of net servicing revenues. Impairment of mortgage servicing rights is assessed based on the fair value of those rights. Fair values are estimated using discounted cash flows based on a current market interest rate. For purposes of measuring impairment, the rights are stratified based on the interest rate risk characteristics of the underlying loans. The amount of impairment recognized is the amount by which the capitalized mortgage servicing rights for a stratum exceed their fair value.

Concentration of credit risk - Most of the Company's business activity is with customers located within the states of New Hampshire and Vermont. There are no concentrations of credit to borrowers that have similar economic characteristics. The majority of the Company's loan portfolio is comprised of loans collateralized by real estate located in the states of New Hampshire and Vermont.

Premises and equipment - Company premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using straight-line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Estimated lives are 5 to 40 years for buildings and premises and 3 to 15 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Expenditures for replacements or major improvements are capitalized; expenditures for normal maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon the sale or retirement of Company premises and equipment, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts and any gain or loss is included in income.

Investment in real estate - Investment in real estate is carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. The buildings are being depreciated over their useful lives. The properties consist of three buildings that the Company rents for commercial purposes. Rental income is recorded in income when received and expenses for maintaining these assets are charged to expense as incurred.

Real estate owned and property acquired in settlement of loans - The Company classifies loans as in-substance repossessed or foreclosed if the Company receives physical possession of the debtor's assets regardless of whether formal foreclosure proceedings take place. At the time of foreclosure or possession, the Company records the property at the lower of fair value minus estimated costs to sell or the outstanding balance of the loan. All properties are periodically reviewed and declines in the value of the property are charged against income.

Earnings per share - Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share, if applicable, reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the entity.

Advertising - The Company directly expenses costs associated with advertising as they are incurred.
Income taxes - The Company recognizes income taxes under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for the temporary differences between the accounting basis and the tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when the amounts related to such temporary differences are realized or settled.

Fair value of financial instruments - The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair values of financial instruments as disclosed herein:

Cash and cash equivalents - The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair value.
Available-for-sale securities - Fair values for available-for-sale securities are based on quoted market prices.
Other investments - The carrying amounts of other investments approximate their fair values.

Loans held-for-sale - Fair values of loans held-for-sale are based on estimated market values.
Loans receivable - For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for all other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses or underlying collateral values, where applicable.

Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries - Fair value of investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for similar investments.

Accrued interest receivable - The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable approximate their fair values.
Deposit liabilities - The fair values disclosed for demand deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (that is, their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable-rate, fixed term money-market accounts and certificates of deposits (CDs) approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed-rate CDs are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances - Fair values for FHLB advances are estimated using a discounted cash flow technique that applies interest rates currently being offered on advances to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on FHLB advances.

Other borrowed funds - The carrying amounts of other borrowed funds approximate their fair values.
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase - The carrying amounts of securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximate their fair values.

Subordinated debentures - Fair values of subordinated debentures are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for debentures with similar terms.

Derivative financial instruments - Fair values for interest rate swap agreements are based upon the amounts required to settle the contracts.

Off-balance sheet instruments - Fair values for loan commitments have not been presented as the future revenue derived from such financial instruments is not significant.

Interest rate swap agreement - For asset/liability management purposes, the Company uses interest rate swap agreements to hedge various exposures or to modify interest rate characteristics of various balance sheet accounts. Such derivatives are used as part of the asset/liability management process and are linked to specific assets or liabilities, and have high correlation between the contract and the underlying item being hedged, both at inception and throughout the hedge period.

The Company utilizes interest rate swap agreements to convert a portion of its variable-rate debt to a fixed rate (cash flow hedge). Interest rate swaps are contracts in which a series of interest rate flows are exchanged over a prescribed period. The notional amount on which the interest payments are based is not exchanged.

The effective portion of the gain or loss on a derivative designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedging instrument is initially reported as a component of other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument, if any, is recognized currently in earnings.

Interest rate derivative financial instruments receive hedge accounting treatment only if they are designated as a hedge and are expected to be, and are, effective in substantially reducing interest rate risk arising from the assets and liabilities identified as exposing the Company to risk. Those derivative financial instruments that do not meet the hedging criteria discussed below would be classified as trading activities and would be recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in income. Derivative hedge contracts must meet specific effectiveness tests (i.e., over time the change in their fair values due to the designated hedge risk must be within 80 to 125 percent of the opposite change in the fair values of the hedged assets or liabilities). Changes in fair value of the derivative financial instruments must be effective at offsetting changes in the fair value of the hedged items due to the designated hedge risk during the term of the hedge. Further, if the underlying financial instrument differs from the hedged asset or liability, there must be a clear economic relationship between the prices of the two financial instruments. If periodic assessment indicates derivatives no longer provide an effective hedge, the derivatives contracts would be closed out and settled or classified as a trading activity.

Hedges of variable-rate debt are accounted for as cash flow hedges, with changes in fair value recorded in other assets or liabilities and other comprehensive income. The net settlement (upon close out or termination) that offsets changes in the value of the hedged debt is deferred and amortized into net interest income over the life of the hedged debt. The portion, if any, of the net settlement amount that did not offset changes in the value of the hedged asset or liability is recognized immediately in non-interest income.

Cash flows resulting from the derivative financial instruments that are accounted for as hedges of assets and liabilities are classified in the cash flow statement in the same category as the cash flows of the items being hedged.

Stock based compensation - At December 31, 2010, the Company has two stock-based employee compensation plans. The Company accounts for those plans under ASC 718-10, "Compensation - Stock Compensation - Overall."

Recent accounting pronouncements - In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board "FASB" issued SFAS No. 166, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets," and SFAS No. 167, "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)." These standards are effective for the first interim reporting period of 2010. SFAS No. 166 amends the guidance in ASC 860 to eliminate the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity ("QSPE") and changes some of the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. SFAS No. 167 amends the consolidation guidance in ASC 810-10. Specifically, the amendments will (a) eliminate the exemption for QSPEs from the new guidance, (b) shift the determination of which enterprise should consolidate a variable interest entity ("VIE") to a current control approach, such that an entity that has both the power to make decisions and right to receive benefits or absorb losses that could potentially be significant, will consolidate a VIE, and (c) change when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a VIE. These standards did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-11, "Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives." The ASU clarifies that certain embedded derivatives, such as those contained in certain securitizations, CDOs and structured notes, should be considered embedded credit derivatives subject to potential bifurcation and separate fair value accounting. The ASU allows any beneficial interest issued by a securitization vehicle to be accounted for under the fair value option at transition. At transition, the Company may elect to reclassify various debt securities (on an instrument-by-instrument basis) from held-to-maturity (HTM) or available-for-sale (AFS) to trading. The new rules are effective July 1, 2010. The ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." The ASU requires disclosing the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and describing the reasons for the transfers. The disclosures are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. The Company adopted ASU 2010-06 as of January 1, 2010. The required disclosures are included in Note 15. Additionally, disclosures of the gross purchases, sales, issuances and settlements activity in the Level 3 of the fair value measurement hierarchy will be required for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-18, "Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan is Part of a Pool That is Accounted for as a Single Asset." As a result of this ASU, modifications of loans that are accounted for within a pool under Subtopic 310-30 do not result in the removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled debt restructuring. An entity will continue to be required to consider whether the pool of assets in which the loan is included is impaired if expected cash flows for the pool change. The amendments in this ASU are effective for modifications of loans accounted for within pools under Subtopic 310-30 occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after July 15, 2010.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, "Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." This ASU is created to provide financial statement users with greater transparency about an entity's allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. This ASU is intended to provide additional information to assist financial statement users in assessing the entity's credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. The amendments in this ASU are effective as of the end of a reporting period for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15,2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-28, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other." This ASU addresses when to perform step 2 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For public entities, the amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-29, "Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations." This ASU addresses diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The amendments in this ASU are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

## NOTE 2. Issuance of Capital Securities:

On March 30, 2004, NHTB Capital Trust II ("Trust II"), a Connecticut statutory trust formed by the Company, completed the sale of $\$ 10.0$ million of Floating Capital Securities, adjustable every three months at LIBOR plus 2.79\% ("Capital Securities II"). Trust II also issued common securities to the Company and used the net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures ("Debentures II") of the Company. Debentures II are the sole assets of Trust II. The Company used a portion of the proceeds to redeem the balance of securities issued by NHTB Capital Trust I, which were callable on September 30, 2004. The balance of the proceeds of Trust II are being used for general corporate purposes. Total expenses associated with the offering of $\$ 160,402$ are included in other assets and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of Debentures II.

Capital Securities II accrue and pay distributions quarterly based on the stated liquidation amount of $\$ 10$ per Capital Security. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of Trust II. The guaranty covers the quarterly distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption of Capital Securities II, but only to the extent that Trust II has funds necessary to make these payments.

Capital Securities II are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturing of Debentures II on March 30, 2034 or upon earlier redemption as provided in the Indenture. The Company has the right to redeem Debentures II, in whole or in part, on or after March 30, 2009 at the liquidation amount plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date.

On March 30, 2004, NHTB Capital Trust III ("Trust III"), a Connecticut statutory trust formed by the Company, completed the sale of $\$ 10.0$ million of $6.06 \% 5$ Year Fixed-Floating Capital Securities ("Capital Securities III"). Trust III also issued common securities to the Company and used the net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of $6.06 \%$ Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures ("Debentures III") of the Company. Debentures III are the sole assets of Trust III. The Company used the proceeds to redeem the securities issued by NHTB Capital Trust I, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, which were callable on September 30, 2004. Total expenses associated with the offering of $\$ 160,402$ are included in other assets and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of Debentures III.

Capital Securities III accrue and pay distributions quarterly at an annual rate of $6.06 \%$ for the first 5 years of the stated liquidation amount of $\$ 10$ per Capital Security. The Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of the Trust. The guaranty covers the quarterly distributions and payments on liquidation or redemption of Capital Securities III, but only to the extent that the Trust has funds necessary to make these payments.

Capital Securities III are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturing of Debentures III on March 30, 2034 or upon earlier redemption as provided in the Indenture. The Company has the right to redeem Debentures III, in whole or in part, on or after March 30, 2009 at the liquidation amount plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date.

On May 1, 2008, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with PNC Bank to convert the floating rate payments on Trust II to fixed rate payments. The terms of the interest rate swap agreement are as follows:

| Notional amount: | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trade date: | May 1,2008 |
| Effective date: | June 17,2008 |
| Termination date: | June 17,2013 |
| Fixed rate payer: | New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. |
| Payment dates: | Quarterly |
| Fixed rate: | $6.65 \%$ |
| Floating rate payer: | PNC Bank |
| Payment dates: | Quarterly |
| Index: | Three month LIBOR |

## NOTE 3. Securities:

Debt and equity securities have been classified in the consolidated balance sheets according to management's intent.
The amortized cost of securities and their approximate fair values are summarized as follows:

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gross } \\ & \text { Unrealized } \\ & \text { Gains } \end{aligned}$ |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-sale: <br> December 31, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. Government, including |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities ...... |  | 117,428,093 |  | 659,893 |  | 298,977 |  | 117,789,009 |
| Municipal bonds ..................... |  | 32,864,211 |  | 38,718 |  | 1,335,852 |  | 31,567,077 |
| Other bonds and debentures...... |  | 36,069,067 |  | 853,523 |  | 38,340 |  | 36,884,250 |
| Preferred stock with maturities.......... |  | 3,445,850 |  | - |  | 42,729 |  | 3,403,121 |
| Equity securities .............................. |  | 494,666 |  | 30,414 |  | 1,210 |  | 523,870 |
| Total available-for-sale............ | \$ | 196,301,887 | \$ | 1,582,548 | \$ | 1,899,920 | \$ | 195,984,515 |
|  |  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |
| Available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2009: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government, including agencies. | . \$ | 2,004,184 | \$ | 45,504 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,049,688 |
| Mortgage-backed securities ......... |  | 169,448,355 |  | 367,048 |  | 991,800 |  | 168,823,603 |
| Municipal bonds .............. |  | 1,361,988 |  | 69,486 |  | - |  | 1,431,474 |
| Other bonds and debentures........ |  | 40,821,399 |  | 215,896 |  | 162,789 |  | 40,874,506 |
| Preferred stock with maturities............. |  | 5,000,000 |  | - |  | 348,000 |  | 4,652,000 |
| Equity securities ................................ |  | 494,666 |  | 23,380 |  | 56,216 |  | 461,830 |
| Total available-for-sale............... | . \$ | 219,130,592 | \$ | 721,314 | \$ | 1,558,805 | \$ | 218,293,101 |

For the year ended December 31, 2010, proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale amounted to $\$ 109,539,228$. Gross gains of $\$ 2,038,171$ and gross losses of $\$ 2,910$ were realized during 2010 on sales of available-for-sale securities. The tax provision applicable to these net realized gains amounted to $\$ 806,167$. For the year ended December 31,2009 , proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale amounted to $\$ 249,601,020$. Gross gains of $\$ 3,664,809$ and gross losses of $\$ 27,889$ were realized during 2009 on sales of available-for-sale securities. The tax provision applicable to these net realized gains amounted to $\$ 1,440,584$. For the year ended December 31, 2008, proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale amounted to $\$ 20,191,593$. Gross gains of $\$ 909,919$ were realized during 2008 on sales of available-for-sale securities. The tax provision applicable to these gross realized gains amounted to $\$ 360,419$.

Maturities of debt securities, excluding mortgage-backed securities, classified as available-for-sale are as follows as of December 31, 2010:

|  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 3,010,680 |
| Other bonds and debentures............................................................... |  | 7,166,507 |
| Total due in less than one year. | \$ | 10,177,187 |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 2,850,722 |
| Other bonds and debentures................................................................ |  | 29,531,083 |
| Total due after one year through five years................................. | \$ | 32,381,805 |
| U.S. Government, including agencies | \$ | 5,817,188 |
| Municipal bonds ............................................................................... |  | 7,620,186 |
| Total due after five years through ten years .................................. | \$ | 13,437,374 |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 18,085,489 |
| Preferred stock with maturities ........................................................... |  | 3,403,121 |
| Other bonds and debentures............................................................... |  | 186,660 |
| Total due after ten years. | \$ | 21,675,270 |

There were no issuers of securities, other than U.S. government and agency obligations, whose aggregate carrying value exceeded $10 \%$ of equity as of December $31,2010$.

Securities, carried at $\$ 123,451,446$ and $\$ 154,067,231$ were pledged to secure public deposits, the treasury, tax and loan account and securities sold under agreements to repurchase as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The aggregate fair value and unrealized losses of securities that have been in a continuous unrealized-loss position for less than twelve months and for twelve months or more, and are not other than temporarily impaired, are as follows as of December 31:

|  | Less than 12 Months |  |  |  | 12 Months or Longer |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| December 31, 2010: <br> Bonds and notes - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government, including agencies... | \$ | 5,817,188 | \$ | 182,812 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 5,817,188 | \$ | 182,812 |
| Mortgage-backed securities $\qquad$ |  | 34,743,025 |  | 298,977 |  | - |  | - |  | 34,743,025 |  | 298,977 |
| Municipal bonds .......... |  | 25,420,287 |  | 1,335,852 |  | - |  | - |  | 25,420,287 |  | 1,335,852 |
| Other bonds and debentures $\qquad$ |  | - |  | - |  | 186,660 |  | 38,340 |  | 186,660 |  | 38,340 |
| Preferred stock with maturities $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3,403,121 |  | 42,729 |  | 3,403,121 |  | 42,729 |
| Equity securities .................. |  | - |  | - |  | 147,015 |  | 1,210 |  | 147,015 |  | 1,210 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities .. | \$ | 65,980,500 | \$ | 1,817,641 | \$ | 3,736,796 | \$ | 82,279 | \$ | 69,717,296 | \$ | 1,899,920 |
| December 31, 2009: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ | 136,208,493 | \$ | 991,800 | \$ | -- | \$ | - | \$ | 136,208,493 | \$ | 991,800 |
| Other bonds and debentures $\qquad$ |  | $13,625,504$ |  | 162,789 |  | - |  | - |  | 13,625,504 |  | 162,789 |
| Preferred stock with maturities |  | - |  | - |  | 4,652,000 |  | 348,000 |  | 4,652,000 |  | 348,000 |
| Equity securities .................. |  | - |  | - |  | 432,630 |  | 56,216 |  | 432,630 |  | 56,216 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities .. | \$ | 149,833,997 | \$ | 1,154,589 | \$ | 5,084,630 | \$ | 404,216 | S | 154,918,627 | \$ | 1,558,805 |

The investments in the Company's investment portfolio that are temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2010 consist primarily of debt securities and mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government sponsored enterprises and agencies, a trust preferred security issued by a bank, and municipal bonds. The unrealized losses on debt securities are primarily attributable to changes in market interest rates and current market inefficiencies. The unrealized losses in equity securities are due to general stock market declines and are not specific to the issuer of the security. As Company management has the ability and intent to hold debt securities until maturity and equity securities for the foreseeable future, no declines are deemed to be other than temporary

## NOTE 4. Loans receivable:

Loans receivable consisted of the following as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate loans |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional. | \$ | 347,605,965 | \$ | 327,691,346 |
| Home equity |  | 74,883,507 |  | 73,610,211 |
| Construction |  | 19,210,102 |  | 18,307,877 |
| Commercial |  | 143,767,814 |  | 135,838,539 |
|  |  | 585,467,388 |  | 555,447,973 |
| Consumer loans. |  | 8,078,946 |  | 9,371,477 |
| Commercial and municipal loans. |  | 89,361,109 |  | 62,386,826 |
| Unamortized adjustment to fair value |  | 1,202,491 |  | 1,303,258 |
| Total loans |  | 684,109,934 |  | 628,509,534 |
| Allowance for loan losses. |  | $(9,863,904)$ |  | $(9,518,632)$ |
| Deferred loan origination costs, net .................... |  | 1,267,757 |  | 1,341,704 |
| Loans receivable, net. | \$ | 675,513,787 | \$ | 620,332,606 |

The following is a summary of activity of the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BALANCE, beginning of year | \$ | 9,518,632 | \$ | 5,594,312 | \$ | 5,181,471 |
| Charged-off loans. |  | $(1,877,426)$ |  | $(2,445,495)$ |  | $(919,003)$ |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged-off. |  | 215,698 |  | 417,815 |  | 230,744 |
| Transfer to allowance for unfunded commitments ........ |  | $(175,000)$ |  | - |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses charged to income. |  | 2,182,000 |  | 5,952,000 |  | 1,101,100 |
| BALANCE, end of year. | \$ | 9,863,904 | \$ | 9,518,632 | \$ | 5,594,312 |

Certain directors and executive officers of the Company and companies in which they have significant ownership interest were customers of the Bank during 2010. Total loans to such persons and their companies amounted to $\$ 378,568$ as of December 31, 2010. During 2010, principal advances of $\$ 644,500$ were made and principal payments totaled $\$ 998,187$.

The following table sets forth information regarding the allowance for loan and lease losses by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2010:


Loans:
Ending balance:
Individually
evaluated for
impairment........ $\$ 1,191,771 \$ 7,859,713 \quad \$ \quad 140,401 \quad \$ 767,776 \quad \$ \quad-\quad \$ \quad-\quad \$ 9,959,661$
Ending balance:
Collectively
evaluated for


The following table sets forth information regarding nonaccrual loans and past-due loans as of December 31, 2010:

|  | 30-59 Days | 60-89 Days | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Recorded Investments Nonaccrual Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional ............................................... | \$ 4,150,213 | \$ 1,768,041 | \$ 461,828 | \$ 6,380,082 | \$ 1,645,254 |
| Commercial | 1,579,749 | 382,920 | 1,086,505 | 3,049,174 | 7,448,570 |
| Home equity. | 395,674 | 39,986 | 119,999 | 555,659 | 119,999 |
| Land and construction .................................. | 21,348 | -- | 140,401 | 161,749 | 140,401 |
| Commercial........................................................ | 268,777 | 283,313 | 279,852 | 831,942 | 1,047,628 |
| Consumer........................................................... | 65,591 | - | 18,074 | 83,665 | 18,074 |
| Total................................................ | \$ 6,481,352 | \$ 2,474,260 | \$ 2,106,659 | \$11,062,271 | \$10,419,926 |

The following table sets forth information regarding nonaccrual loans and accruing loans 90 days or more overdue as of December 31, 2009:
Total nonaccrual loans............................................................................... \$ 2,754,443
Accruing loans which are 90 days or more overdue..................................... \$

Information about loans that meet the definition of an impaired loan in ASC 310-10-35 is as follows as of December 31, 2010:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recorded } \\ & \text { Investment } \end{aligned}$ |  | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2010: <br> With no related allowance recorded: <br> Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional.................................... | \$ | 651,222 | \$ | 651,222 | \$ | - |
| Commercial |  | 6,313,120 |  | 6,313,120 |  | - |
| Total impaired with no related allowance $\qquad$ | \$ | 6,964,342 | \$ | 6,964,342 | \$ | - |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conventional. | \$ | 540,549 | \$ | 540,549 | \$ | 10,856 |
| Commercial .............................................. |  | 1,546,593 |  | 1,546,593 |  | 199,240 |
| Land and construction................................ |  | 140,401 |  | 140,401 |  | 76,651 |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 767,776 |  | 767,776 |  | 193,213 |
| Total impaired with an allowance recorded $\qquad$ | \$ | 2,995,319 | \$ | 2,995,319 | \$ | 479,960 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential............................................... | \$ | 1,191,771 | \$ | 1,191,771 | \$ | 10,856 |
| Commercial .............................................. |  | 7,859,713 |  | 7,859,713 |  | 199,240 |
| Land and construction................................ |  | 140,401 |  | 140,401 |  | 76,651 |
| Commercial and industrial .................................. |  | 767,776 |  | 767,776 |  | 193,213 |
| Total impaired loans ................. | \$ | 9,959,661 | \$ | 9,959,661 | \$ | 479,960 |

Information about loans that meet the definition of an impaired loan in ASC 310-10-35 is as follows as of December 31, 2009:

|  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RecordedInvestment InImpairedLoans |  | Related Allowance For Credit Losses |  |
| Loans for which there is a related allowance for credit losses. | \$ | 602,118 | \$ | 165,000 |
| Loans for which there is no related allowance for credit losses ........... |  | 3,634,969 |  | - |
| Totals ................................................................................. | \$ | 4,237,087 | \$ | 165,000 |

The average recorded investment and the related amount of interest income recognized during the time the loans were impaired as defined in ASC 310-10-35 is as follows for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded Investment In Impaired Loans |  | Recorded Investment In Impaired Loans |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recorded } \\ & \text { Investment In } \\ & \text { Impaired } \\ & \text { Loans } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Average recorded investment in impaired loans during the year ended December 31 $\qquad$ | \$ | 7,862,724 | \$ | 4,990,862 | \$ | 3,923,353 |
| Related amount of interest income recognized during the time, in the year ended December 31 that the loans were impaired |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recognized..................................................... | \$ | 452,540 | \$ | 114,353 | \$ | 144,512 |
| Amount recognized using a cash-basis method of accounting $\qquad$ | \$ | 452,540 | \$ | 52,482 | \$ | 144,512 |

The following table presents the Company's loans by risk rating as of December 31, 2010:
Credit quality indicators

|  | Real Estate |  |  |  |  | Commercial |  | Consumer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential |  | Commercial |  | Land and Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass............................. | \$ 421,918,297 | \$ | 116,963,627 | \$ | 19,069,701 | S | 87,445,365 | \$ | 8,087,103 | \$ | 653,484,093 |
| Special Mention............. | - |  | 10,032,201 |  | - |  | 1,115,023 |  | - |  | 11,147,224 |
| Substandard.................. | 1,642,307 |  | 16,693,762 |  | 140,401 |  | 984,073 |  | 18,074 |  | 19,478,617 |
| Total................... | \$ 423,560,604 | \$ | 143,689,590 | \$ | 19,210,102 | \$ | 89,544,461 | \$ | 8,105,177 | \$ | 684,109,934 |

## Credit Quality Information

The Company utilizes a nine grade internal loan rating system for commercial real estate, construction and commercial loans as follows:

Loans rated 10-35: Loans in these categories are considered "pass" rated loans with low to average risk.
Loans rated 40: Loans in this category are considered "special mention." These loans are starting to show signs of potential weakness and are being closely monitored by management.

Loans rated 50: Loans in this category are considered "substandard." Generally, a loan is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligors and/or the collateral pledged. There is a distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the weakness is not corrected.

Loans rated 60: Loans in this category are considered "doubtful." Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans rated 70: Loans in this category are considered uncollectible ("loss") and of such little value that their continuance as loans is not warranted.

On an annual basis, or more often if needed, the Company formally reviews the ratings on all commercial real estate, construction and commercial loans over $\$ 250,000$.

In addition to total loans previously shown, the Company services loans for other financial institutions. Participation loans are loans originated by the Company for a group of banks. Sold loans are loans originated by the Company and sold to the secondary market. The Company services these loans and remits the payments received to the buyer. The Company specifically originates longterm, fixed-rate loans to sell. The amount of loans sold and participated out which are serviced by the Company are as follows as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sold loans.. | S | 370,331,523 | \$ | 352,066,692 |
| Participation loans. | \$ | 32,307,800 | \$ | 27,168,509 |

The balance of capitalized servicing rights, net of valuation allowances, included in other assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 1,983,488$ and $\$ 1,704,170$, respectively.

Servicing rights of $\$ 976,653, \$ 1,349,169$ and $\$ 583,738$ were capitalized in 2010,2009 and 2008 , respectively. Amortization of capitalized servicing rights was $\$ 794,348$ in $2010, \$ 953,770$ in 2009 and $\$ 818,084$ in 2008.

The fair value of capitalized servicing rights was $\$ 2,294,012$ and $\$ 2,077,317$ as of December 31,2010 and 2009, respectively. Following is an analysis of the aggregate changes in the valuation allowances for capitalized servicing rights:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of year. | \$ | 142,241 | \$ | 729,817 |
| Decrease. |  | $(97,013)$ |  | $(587,576)$ |
| Balance, end of year. | \$ | 45,228 | \$ | 142,241 |

## NOTE 5. Premises and equipment:

Premises and equipment are shown on the consolidated balance sheets at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, as follows as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land and land improvements ................................................... | \$ | 2,437,784 | \$ | 2,437,784 |
| Buildings and premises |  | 18,819,118 |  | 18,509,782 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment ............................................. |  | 9,213,215 |  | 8,654,366 |
|  |  | 30,470,117 |  | 29,601,932 |
| Less - Accumulated depreciation .............................................. |  | 13,798,221 |  | 12,567,712 |
|  | \$ | 16,671,896 | \$ | 17,034,220 |

Depreciation expense amounted to $\$ 1,230,509, \$ 1,297,021$ and $\$ 1,345,106$ for the years ending December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## NOTE 6. Investment in real estate:

The balance in investment in real estate consisted of the following as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land and land improvements | \$ | 411,828 | \$ | 411,828 |
| Building.................................................................................. |  | 3,656,572 |  | 3,516,124 |
|  |  | 4,068,400 |  | 3,927,952 |
| Less - Accumulated depreciation ................................................ |  | 517,973 |  | 422,124 |
|  | \$ | 3,550,427 | \$ | 3,505,828 |

Rental income from investment in real estate amounted to $\$ 248,865, \$ 267,983$ and $\$ 210,918$ for the years ended December 31 , 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Depreciation expense amounted to $\$ 95,849, \$ 93,695$ and $\$ 89,798$ for the years ending December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## NOTE 7. Deposits:

Deposits are summarized as follows as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand deposits . | \$ | 53,265,124 | \$ | 48,430,291 |
| Savings. |  | 135,055,221 |  | 127,871,076 |
| N.O.W. |  | 201,468,728 |  | 178,048,057 |
| Money market |  | 36,328,490 |  | 38,806,464 |
| Tirne deposits |  | 352,101,554 |  | 341,272,880 |
|  | \$ | 778,219,117 | \$ | 734,428,768 |

The following is a summary of maturities of time deposits as of December 31, 2010:

| 2011 | 261,853,714 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 47,291,296 |
| 2013 | 9,810,620 |
| 2014 | 2,397,480 |
| 2015 | 30,748,444 |
|  | 352,101,554 |

Interest expense by major category of interest-bearing deposits is summarized as follows for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time deposits | \$ | 5,868,991 | \$ | 7,682,078 | \$ | 10,425,592 |
| N.O.W. |  | 227,270 |  | 162,079 |  | 466,225 |
| Money market |  | 173,170 |  | 258,054 |  | 572,185 |
| Savings. |  | 365,107 |  | 659,940 |  | 1,473,655 |
|  | \$ | 6,634,538 | \$ | 8,762,151 | \$ | 12,937,657 |

Deposits from related parties held by the Bank as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 amounted to $\$ 2,438,476$ and $\$ 2,485,630$, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, time deposits include $\$ 162,069,863$ and $\$ 149,961,410$, respectively, of certificates of deposit with a minimum balance of $\$ 100,000$. Generally, deposits in excess of $\$ 250,000$ are not federally insured.

## NOTE 8. Federal Home Loan Bank Advances:

Advances consist of funds borrowed from the FHLB.
Maturities of advances from the FHLB for the years ending after December 31, 2010 are summarized as follows:

| 2011. | S <br>  <br>  <br> $\$$ | 15,000,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013. |  | 30,000,000 |
| 2014. |  | 5,000,000 |
| 2015. |  | 16,000,000 |
| Thereafter |  | 10,000,000 |
| Fair value adjustment |  | $(40,639)$ |
|  |  | 75,959,361 |

As of December 31, 2010, the following advances from the FHLB were redeemable at par at the option of the FHLB:

| MATURITY DATE | OPTIONAL REDEMPTION DATE | AMOUNT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 28, 2013 | January 28, 2011 | \$ | 10,000,000 |
| April 30, 2018 | January 28, 2011 and quarterly thereafter |  | 10,000,000 |
|  |  | \$ | 20,000,000 |

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had a $\$ 1,000,000$ putable advance (Knock-out Advance) from the FHLB which matures on September 1,2015 , and has a fixed interest rate of $4.13 \%$. The FHLB will require that this borrowing become due immediately upon its Strike Date (most recent strike date was March 1, 2011 and quarterly thereafter) if the three month LIBOR equals or exceeds the Strike Rate of $6.75 \%$. As of December 31, 2010, the three month LIBOR was at $0.30 \%$.

At December 31, 2010, the interest rates on FHLB advances ranged from $0.94 \%$ to $4.13 \%$. The weighted average interest rate at December 31, 2010 is $2.40 \%$.

Amortizing advances are being repaid in equal monthly payments and are being amortized from the date of the advance to the maturity date on a direct reduction basis.

Borrowings from the FHLB3 are secured by a blanket lien on qualified collateral, consisting primarily of loans with first mortgages secured by one to four family properties, certain unencumbered investment securities and other qualified assets.

## NOTE 9. Other borrowed funds:

Other borrowed funds consist of a line of credit from PNC Bank with no outstanding balance at December 31, 2010. The terms of the line of credit are as follows:

| ORIGINAL DATE | EXPIRATION DATE | INTEREST RATE |  | LINE OF CREDIT AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 28, 2008 | March 25, 2011 | 3 month LIBOR plus 2.00\% | \$ | 2,000,000 |

## NOTE 10. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase:

The securities sold under agreements to repurchase as of December 31, 2010 are securities sold on a short-term basis by the Bank that have been accounted for not as sales but as borrowings. The securities consisted of U.S. agencies. The securities were held in the Bank's safekeeping account at Bank of America under the control of the Bank and pledged to the purchasers of the securities. The purchasers have agreed to sell to the Bank substantially identical securities at the maturity of the agreements.

## NOTE 11. Income taxes:

The components of income tax expense are as follows for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current tax expense | \$ | 3,716,467 | \$ | 4,537,591 | \$ | 3,079,548 |
| Benefit from net operating loss carryovers..................... |  | $(68,400)$ |  | $(224,139)$ |  | $(224,140)$ |
| Deferred tax benefit |  | $(104,521)$ |  | $(1,200,943)$ |  | $(681,082)$ |
| Total income tax expense. | \$ | 3,543,546 | \$ | 3,112,509 | \$ | 2,174,326 |

The reasons for the differences between the tax at the statutory federal income tax rate and the effective tax rates are summarized as follows for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal income tax at statutory rate. | 34.0\% | 34.0\% | 34.0\% |
| Increase (decrease) in tax resulting from: |  |  |  |
| Tax-exempt income. | (4.8) | (3.2) | (4.5) |
| Dividends received deduction | (.5) | (.6) | (2.1) |
| Federal tax credits .......................................................................... | (.3) | (.4) | (1.3) |
| Other, net....................................................................................... | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| Effective tax rates ................................................................... | 30.8\% | 32.1\% | 27.5\% |

The Company had gross deferred tax assets and gross deferred tax liabilities as follows as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred tax assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on non-performing loans.. | \$ | 41,920 | \$ | 41,888 |
| Allowance for loan losses. |  | 3,763,824 |  | 3,559,598 |
| Deferred compensation. |  | 652,760 |  | 576,368 |
| Deferred retirement expense |  | 629,354 |  | 480,416 |
| Accrued directors fees |  | 38,550 |  | 44,207 |
| Accrued group health contingency .................................... |  | 27,992 |  | 38,770 |
| Writedown of securities.................................................. |  | 345,235 |  | 367,772 |
| Net operating loss carryforwards. |  | - |  | 68,400 |
| Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities. |  | 125,711 |  | 331,730 |
| Net unrealized loss on derivative.. |  | 281,759 |  | 219,003 |
| Unrecognized employee benefits under ASC 715-10........... |  | 1,285,987 |  | 1,199,627 |
| Other. |  | 44,992 |  | 40,111 |
| Gross deferred tax assets |  | 7,238,084 |  | 6,967,890 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred loan costs, net of fees......................................... |  | $(499,166)$ |  | $(530,987)$ |
| Prepaid pension............................................................. |  | $(1,417,366)$ |  | $(1,427,265)$ |
| Accelerated depreciation ................................................ |  | $(538,673)$ |  | $(459,381)$ |
| Purchased goodwill........................................................ |  | $(2,664,650)$ |  | $(2,368,579)$ |
| Mortgage servicing rights ............................................... |  | $(785,659)$ |  | $(675,022)$ |
| Core deposit intangibles and other market value adjustments $\qquad$ |  | $(1,111,196)$ |  | $(1,332,900)$ |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities .................................... |  | (7,016,710) |  | $(6,794,134)$ |
| Net deferred tax asset. | S | 221,374 | \$ | 173,756 |

The Company adopted ASC 740-20, "Income Taxes - Intraperiod Tax Allocation," as of December 31, 2009. It is the Company's policy to provide for uncertain tax positions and the related interest and penalties based upon management's assessment of whether a tax benefit is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009 , there were no material uncertain tax positions related to federal and state income tax matters. The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service and state taxing authorities for the years ended December 31, 2007 through December 31, 2010. There was no effect on the Company's balance sheet or income statement from adoption of ASC 740-20.

## NOTE 12. Stock compensation plans:

At December 31, 2010, the Company has two fixed stock-based employee compensation plans under which options are outstanding. As of December 31, 2010, 209,000 options are available to be granted. Under the plans, the exercise price of each option equals the market price of the Company's stock on the date of grant and an option's maximum term is 10 years. Options are exercisable immediately.

No modifications have been made to the terms of the option agreements.

A summary of the status of the Company's fixed stock option plans as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and changes during the years ending on those dates is presented below:

|  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |  | Shares | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |  | Shares | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise Price |  |
| Outstanding at beginning of year ........................................ | 308,042 | \$ | 12.64 | 337,042 | \$ | 12.28 | 378,042 | \$ | 12.10 |
| Forfeited. | - |  | - | $(5,000)$ |  | 13.13 | $(15,000)$ |  | 12.27 |
| Exercised....................................................................... | $(2,000)$ |  | 9.13 | $(24,000)$ |  | 7.52 | $(26,000)$ |  | 9.58 |
| Outstanding at end of year ................................................. | 306,042 | \$ | 12.66 | 308,042 | \$ | 12.64 | 337,042 | \$ | 12.28 |
| Options exercisable at year-end ......................................... | 306,042 |  |  | 308,042 |  |  | 337,042 |  |  |
| Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year. $\qquad$ | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |

The following table summarizes information about fixed stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2010:

| Options Outstanding and Exercisable |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Exercise Prices | Number <br> Outstanding <br> as of $12 / 31 / 10$ |  |  | Remaining <br> Contractual Life |
| $\$$ | 9.13 | 42,042 | 1.5 years |  |
| 13.05 | 107,500 | 2.8 years |  |  |
| 13.25 | 149,000 | 4.9 years |  |  |
| 15.30 | 7,500 | 5.1 years |  |  |
| 12.66 |  | 306,042 | 3.7 years |  |

## NOTE 13. Employee benefit plans:

## Defined Benefit Pension Plan

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all full-time employees who have attained age 21 and have completed one year of service. Annual contributions to the plan are based on actuarial estimates. In December 2006, the Company elected to suspend the plan so that employees no longer earn additional benefits for future service under this plan.

The following tables set forth information about the plan for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Change in projected benefit obligation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year. | \$ | 5,566,363 | \$ | 5,232,843 | \$ | 5,074,576 |
| Interest cost |  | 332,590 |  | 319,947 |  | 312,584 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) |  | 528,402 |  | 153,100 |  | $(3,577)$ |
| Benefits paid. |  | $(404,875)$ |  | $(139,527)$ |  | $(150,740)$ |
| Benefit obligation at end of year. |  | 6,022,480 |  | 5,566,363 |  | 5,232,843 |
| Change in plan assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plan assets at estimated fair value at beginning of year $\qquad$ |  | 6,141,061 |  | 5,422,254 |  | 7,283,542 |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | 617,975 |  | 858,334 |  | $(1,710,548)$ |
| Benefits paid........................................................ |  | $(404,875)$ |  | $(139,527)$ |  | $(150,740)$ |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year............. |  | 6,354,161 |  | 6,141,061 |  | 5,422,254 |
| Funded status | \$ | 331,681 | \$ | 574,698 | \$ | 189,411 |

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss, before tax effect, consist of unrecognized net actuarial losses of $\$ 3,246,621$ and $\$ 3,028,596$ as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The discount rate and rate of increase in future compensation levels used in determining the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation were $5.50 \%$ and $0 \%$, respectively, at December $31,2010,6.00 \%$ and $0 \%$ at December 31, 2009, respectively and $6.25 \%$ and $0 \%$ at December 31, 2008, respectively.

The accumulated benefit obligation for the defined benefit pension plan was $\$ 6,022,480$ and $\$ 5,566,363$ at December 31, 2010 and 2009 , respectively.

Components of net periodic cost and other comprehensive loss:

|  | Years Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| Interest cost on benefit obligation. | \$ | 332,590 | \$ | 319,947 | \$ | 312,584 |
| Expected return on assets |  | $(485,231)$ |  | $(428,082)$ |  | $(576,915)$ |
| Amortization of unrecognized net loss .................................. |  | 177,633 |  | 205,541 |  | 37,209 |
| Net periodic cost (benefit) |  | 24,992 |  | 97,406 |  | $(227,122)$ |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive loss, before tax effect: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial loss (gain).......................................... |  | 395,658 |  | $(277,152)$ |  | 2,283,886 |
| Amortization of unrecognized actuarial loss ........... |  | $(177,633)$ |  | $(205,541)$ |  | $(37,209)$ |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive loss ......... |  | 218,025 |  | $(482,693)$ |  | 2,246,677 |
| Total recognized in net periodic cost (benefit) and other comprehensive loss.... | \$ | 243,017 | \$ | $(385,287)$ | \$ | 2,019,555 |

The estimated net loss that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic pension cost over the year ended December 31, 2011 is $\$ 209,399$.

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the assumptions used to determine the net period pension cost are as follows:


The Bank has examined the historical benchmarks for returns in each asset class in its portfolio, and based on the target asset mix has developed a weighted-average expected return for the portfolio as a whole, partly taking into consideration forecasts of longterm expected inflation rates of $2.0 \%$ to $3.5 \%$. The long-term rate of return used by the Bank is $8.0 \%$. This rate was determined by adding the expected inflation rates to the weighted sum of the expected long-term return on each asset allocation.

## Plan Assets

The Company's pension plan assets measured at fair value at December 31, 2010, by asset category, are as follows:

| Asset Category | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 31, 2010 |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 |  | Significant <br> Other Observable <br> Inputs <br> Level 2 |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |  |
| U.S. equity securities. | \$ | 986,932 | \$ | 986,932 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Registered investment companies (a).......... |  | 2,795,043 |  | - |  | 2,795,043 |  | - |
| Corporate debt securities........................... |  | 976,601 |  | - |  | 976,601 |  | - |
| U.S. Government and agency securities ....... |  | 1,197,392 |  | - |  | 1,197,392 |  | - |
| Money market ......................................... |  | 398,193 |  | 398,193 |  | - |  | - |
| Totals. | \$ | 6,354,161 | \$ | 1,385,125 | \$ | 4,969,036 | \$ | - |

(a) Includes $5.9 \%$ invested in fixed income funds and $94.1 \%$ invested in equity and index funds.

The Company's pension plan assets measured at fair value at December 31, 2009, by asset category, are as follows:

| Asset Category | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 31, 2009 |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 |  | Significant <br> Other Observable <br> Inputs <br> Level 2 |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |  |
| U.S. equity securities. | \$ | 1,028,967 | \$ | 1,028,967 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Registered investment companies (a)........... |  | 2,436,494 |  | - |  | 2,436,494 |  | - |
| Corporate debt securities............................ |  | 955,144 |  | - |  | 955,144 |  | - |
| U.S. Government and agency securities ........ |  | 1,508,118 |  | - |  | 1,508,118 |  | - |
| Money market .......................................... |  | 212,338 |  | 212,338 |  | - |  | - |
| Totals .............................................. | \$ | 6,141,061 | \$ | 1,241,305 | \$ | 4,899,756 | \$ | - |

(a) Includes $6.5 \%$ invested in fixed income funds and $93.5 \%$ invested in equity and index funds.

The Company's pension plan assets are generally classified within level 1 or level 2 of the fair value hierarchy (See Note 15, "Fair Value Measurements," to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the fair value hierarchy) because they are valued using quoted market prices, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency.

Equity securities include 30,294 shares of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The fair values of the shares on those dates were $\$ 380,190$ ( $6.0 \%$ of total plan assets) and $\$ 293,549(4.8 \%$ of total plan assets), respectively.

The investment policy for the defined benefit pension plan sponsored by the Bank is based on ERISA standards for prudent investing. The Bank seeks maximum return while limiting risk, through a balanced portfolio of equity and fixed income investments. The investment objectives also include appreciation of principal with modest requirement for current income. The investment horizon varies with circumstances. Within each asset class, a diversified mix of individual securities and bonds is selected.

To maximize the ability of achieving the Company's overall goals for the plan's assets and to provide the required level of income each year, the allocation between common stocks, bonds and cash equivalents shall adhere to the following target allocation based on market value:

|  | Target Allocation |
| :---: | :---: |
| Equities. | 40-60\% |
| Fixed income | 40-60\% |
| Money market | 0-10\% |

The Bank does not expect to contribute to the defined benefit pension plan in 2011.
Estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are as follows:

| 2011 | \$ | 156,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  | 235,000 |
| 2013 |  | 232,000 |
| 2014 |  | 246,000 |
| 2015 |  | 311,000 |
| Years |  | 1,845,000 |

## Defined Contribution Plan

The Bank sponsors a Profit Sharing - Stock Ownership Plan. The Bank may elect, but is not required, to make discretionary and/or matching contributions to the Plan.

For 2010, 2009 and 2008, participating employees' contributions totaled $\$ 634,563, \$ 582,800$ and $\$ 535,985$, respectively. The Bank made contributions totaling $\$ 543,584$ for $2010, \$ 527,798$ for 2009 and $\$ 468,370$ for 2008 . A participant's retirement benefit will depend on the amount of the contributions to the Plan together with the gains or losses on the investments.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Bank amended the Profit Sharing - Stock Ownership Plan whereby employees will receive a safe harbor, nonelective contribution equal to $3 \%$ of compensation for the plan year, as defined in the plan. In addition, the Bank shall make a matching contribution in an amount equal to employees' elective deferrals up to a percentage of compensation for the plan year, to be determined annually, not to exceed $4 \%$. Finally, the Bank may make an additional profit sharing contribution, determined annually, to be allocated on a pro rata basis to eligible employees based on their compensation in relation to the compensation of all participants.

The Company has entered into salary continuation agreements for supplemental retirement income with certain executives and senior officers. The total liability for these agreements included in other liabilities was $\$ 1,864,755$ and $\$ 1,390,196$ for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Expense recorded under these agreements was $\$ 506,327, \$ 258,874$ and $\$ 191,330$ in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company and the Bank have entered into parallel employment agreements (the "Agreements") with the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and with the President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company. The Agreements are for a period of five years and extend automatically each day unless either the Company or the executive give contrary written notice in advance. The Agreements provide for a guaranteed minimum salary and certain benefits.

The Agreements also provide for severance benefits upon termination without cause or following a change in control as defined in the agreements in an amount equal to the present value of the cash compensation and fringe benefits that the executive(s) would have received if the executive(s) would have continued working for an additional five years.

The severance payments described above are limited to the extent required by virtue of the Company's participation in the United States Department of the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program.

In 2008, the Company adopted ASC 715, "Compensation - Retirement Benefits," and recognized a liability for the Company's future postretirement benefit obligations under endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements. The Company recognized this change in accounting principles as a cumulative effect adjustment in 2008 to retained earnings of $\$ 320,034$. The total liability for the arrangements included in other liabilities was $\$ 470,818$ at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 394,095$ at December 31, 2009. The Company recorded expense under this arrangement of \$76,723 in 2010, \$63,039 in 2009 and $\$ 11,022$ in 2008.

## NOTE 14. Commitments and contingencies:

In the normal course of business, the Company has outstanding various commitments and contingent liabilities, such as legal claims, which are not reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Management does not anticipate any material loss as a result of these transactions.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company was obligated under non-cancelable operating leases for bank premises and equipment expiring between February 22, 2011 and December 20, 2016. The total minimum rent commitments due in future periods under these existing agreements is as follows as of December 31, 2010:

| 2011 | \$ | 397,941 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  | 295,378 |
| 2013 |  | 223,729 |
| 2014 ................................................................................................. |  | 213,155 |
| 2015 ................................................................................................ |  | 31,655 |
| Years thereafter.................................................................................. |  | 31,655 |
| Total minimum lease payments .................................................... | \$ | 1,193,513 |

Certain leases contain provisions for escalation of minimum lease payments contingent upon increases in real estate taxes and percentage increases in the consumer price index. The total rental expense amounted to $\$ 481,321, \$ 428,133$ and $\$ 404,704$ for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## NOTE 15. Fair value measurements:

ASC 820-10, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," provides a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles. This guidance also allows an entity the irrevocable option to elect fair value for the initial and subsequent measurement for certain financial assets and liabilities on a contract-by-contract basis.

In accordance with ASC 820-10, the Company groups its financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value.

Level 1 - Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange. Level 1 also includes U.S. Treasury, other U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active markets. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in less active dealer or broker markets. Valuations are obtained from third party pricing services for identical or comparable assets or liabilities.

Level 3 - Valuations for assets and liabilities that are derived from other methodologies, including option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques, are not based on market exchange, dealer, or broker traded transactions. Level 3 valuations incorporate certain assumptions and projections in determining the fair value assigned to such assets and liabilities.

A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

A description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below. These valuation methodologies were applied to all of the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities carried at fair value for December 31, 2010 and 2009. The Company did not have any significant transfers of assets between level 1 and level 2 of the fair value hierarchy during the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company's cash instruments are generally classified within level 1 or level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency.

The Company's investment in mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities, preferred stock with maturities and other debt securities available-for-sale are generally classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from independent pricing services. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. treasury yield curve, trading levels, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the instrument's terms and conditions.

The Company's derivative financial instruments are generally classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. For these financial instruments, the Company obtains fair value measurements from independent pricing services. The fair value measurements utilize a discounted cash flow model that incorporates and considers observable data, that may include publicly available third party market quotes, in developing the curve utilized for discounting future cash flows.

Level 3 is for positions that are not traded in active markets or are subject to transfer restrictions, valuations are adjusted to reflect illiquidity and/or non-transferability, and such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used. Subsequent to inception, management only changes level 3 inputs and assumptions when corroborated by evidence such as transactions in similar instruments, completed or pending third-party transactions in the underlying investment or comparable entities, subsequent rounds of financing, recapitalization and other transactions across the capital structure, offerings in the equity or debt markets, and changes in financial ratios or cash flows.

The Company's impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using level 2 inputs based upon appraisals of similar properties obtained from a third party. For level 3 inputs, fair value is based upon management estimates of the value of the underlying collateral or the present value of the expected cash flows.

Other real estate owned values are estimated using level 2 inputs based upon appraisals of similar properties obtained from a third party. For level 3 inputs fair values are based on management estimates.

The following summarizes assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the period ending December 31, 2010 and 2009.

## Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis

| December 31, 2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 |  | SignificantOther ObservableInputsLevel 2 |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |  |
| Securities available-for-sale | \$ | 195,984,515 | \$ | 523,870 | \$ | 195,460,645 | \$ | - |
| Totals. | S | 195,984,515 | \$ | 523,870 | \$ | 195,460,645 | \$ | - |


| December 31, 2009 | Total |  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities available-for-sale | \$ | 218,293,101 | \$ | 461,830 | \$ | 217,831,271 | \$ | - |
| Totals. | \$ | 218,293,101 | \$ | 461,830 | \$ | 217,831,271 | \$ | - |

## Liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

| December 31, 2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 |  | Significant <br> Other Observable <br> Inputs <br> Level 2 |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |  |
| Derivative - interest rate swap. | \$ | 711,337 | \$ | - | \$ | 711,337 | \$ | - |
| Totals .. | \$ | 711,337 | $\$$ | - | \$ | 711,337 | \$ | - |


| December 31, 2009 | Total |  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derivative - interest rate swap. | \$ | 552,899 | \$ | - | \$ | 552,899 | \$ | - |
| Totals | \$ | 552,899 | \$ | - | \$ | 552,899 | \$ | - |

## Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

The following table presents the financial instruments carried on the consolidated balance sheet by caption and by level in the fair value hierarchy at December 31, 2010 and 2009, for which a nonrecurring change in fair value has been recorded:

| December 31, 2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1 |  | Significant <br> Other Observable <br> Inputs <br> Level 2 |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3 |  |
| Impaired loans ................................................ | \$ | 2,515,359 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,515,359 |
| Other real estate owned |  | 75,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 75,000 |
| Totals ................................................... | \$ | 2,590,359 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,590,359 |
| December 31, 2009 | Total |  | Level I |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  |
| Impaired loans ............................................... | \$ | 437,118 | \$ | - | \$ | 437,118 | \$ | - |
| Totals ................................................... | \$ | 437,118 | \$ | - | \$ | 437,118 | \$ | - |



The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments, all of which are held or issued for purposes other than trading, were as follows as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Fair Value |  | Carrying Amount |  | Fair Value |  |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents. | \$ | 33,212,894 | \$ | 33,212,894 | \$ | 38,038,652 | \$ | 38,038,652 |
| Securities available-for-sale |  | 195,984,515 |  | 195,984,515 |  | 218,293,101 |  | 218,293,101 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock |  | 7,614,600 |  | 7,614,600 |  | 6,175,800 |  | 6,175,800 |
| Loans held-for-sale |  | 5,887,141 |  | 5,926,801 |  | 2,077,900 |  | 2,102,912 |
| Loans, net |  | 675,513,787 |  | 680,808,000 |  | 620,332,606 |  | 626,101,000 |
| Other investment |  | - |  | - |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |
| Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries |  | 620,000 |  | 546,332 |  | 620,000 |  | 546,828 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 2,986,348 |  | 2,986,348 |  | 2,972,403 |  | 2,972,403 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits ................................................................. |  | 778,219,117 |  | 782,619,000 |  | 734,428,768 |  | 737,282,000 |
| FHLB advances |  | 75,959,361 |  | 76,983,000 |  | 95,962,006 |  | 96,598,000 |
| Other borrowings..................................................... |  | - |  | - |  | 2,077,500 |  | 2,077,500 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase ........... |  | 16,165,074 |  | 16,165,074 |  | 12,118,593 |  | 12,118,593 |
| Subordinated debentures ........................................... |  | 20,620,000 |  | 18,170,062 |  | 20,620,000 |  | 18,186,529 |
| Derivative - interest rate swap................................... |  | 711,337 |  | 711,337 |  | 552,899 |  | 552,899 |

The carrying amounts of financial instruments shown in the above table are included in the consolidated balance sheets under the indicated captions, except for investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries and other investment which are included in other assets and derivatives which are included in other liabilities. Accounting policies related to financial instruments are described in Note 1.

## NOTE 16. Shareholders' equity:

Liquidation account - On May 22, 1986, Lake Sunapee Bank, fsb received approval from the Federal Home Loan Bank Board and converted from a federally chartered mutual savings bank to a federally chartered stock savings bank. At the time of conversion, the Bank established a liquidation account in an amount of $\$ 4,292,510$ (equal to the Bank's net worth as of the date of the latest financial statement included in the final offering circular used in connection with the conversion). The liquidation account will be maintained for the benefit of eligible account holders who maintain their deposit accounts in the Bank after conversion. In the event of a complete liquidation of the Bank subsequent to conversion (and only in such event), each eligible account holder will be entitled to receive a liquidation distribution from the liquidation account before any liquidation distribution may be made with respect to capital stock. The amount of the liquidation account is reduced to the extent that the balances of eligible deposit accounts are reduced on any year-end closing date subsequent to the conversion. Company management believes the balance in the liquidation account would be immaterial to the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2010.

Dividends - The Bank may not declare or pay a cash dividend on or purchase any of its stock if the effect would be to reduce the net worth of the Bank below either the amount of the liquidation account or the net worth requirements of the banking regulators.

Special bad debts deduction - In prior years, the Bank, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, was allowed a special taxbasis under certain provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, retained income of the Bank, as of December 31, 2010, includes $\$ 2,069,878$ for which federal and state income taxes have not been provided. If the Bank no longer qualifies as a bank as defined in certain provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, this amount will be subject to recapture in taxable income ratably over four (4) years, subject to a combined federal and state tax rate of approximately $40 \%$.

## NOTE 17. Earnings per share (EPS):

Reconciliation of the numerators and the denominators of the basic and diluted per share computations for net income are as follows:

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Income } \\ \text { (Numerator) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Shares (Denominator) | Per-Share Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic EPS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income as reported. |  | 7,947,435 | - |  |  |
| Preferred stock net accretion . | \$ | $(16,179)$ |  |  |  |
| Cumulative preferred stock dividend earned....................................................... |  | $(500,000)$ |  |  |  |
| Net income available to common stockholders |  | 7,431,256 | 5,772,123 | \$ | 1.29 |
| Effect of dilutive securities, options |  | - | 6,912 |  |  |
| Diluted EPS. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common stockholders and assumed conversions. | \$ | 7,431,256 | 5,779,035 | \$ | 1.29 |
| Year ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic EPS | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,597,706 \\ (16,179) \end{array}$ | - |  |  |
| Net income as reported..................................................................................... |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock net accretion ............................................................................. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative preferred stock dividend earned...................................................... |  | $(478,082)$ |  |  |  |
| Net income available to common stockholders ........................................... |  | 6,103,445 | 5,760,240 | \$ | 1.06 |
| Effect of dilutive securities, options ......................................................... |  | - | 1,708 |  |  |
| Diluted EPS.. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common stockholders and assumed conversions............. | \$ | 6,103,445 | 5,761,948 | \$ | 1.06 |
| Year ended December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic EPS ....................... ............................................................................... | \$ | 5,725,072 |  | \$ 1.00 |  |
| Net income and income available to common stockholders ........................... |  |  | 5,745,735 |  |  |  |
| Effect of dilutive securities, options |  |  | 12,495 |  |  |  |
| Diluted EPS. | \$ | 5,725,072 |  |  |  |
| Income available to common stockholders and assumed conversions............. |  |  | 5,758,230 | \$ | 0.99 |

## NOTE 18. Regulatory matters:

The Bank is subject to various capital requirements administered by its primary federal regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS). Failure to meet minimum regulatory requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's and the Bank's consolidated financial statements. Under the regulatory capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines involving quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classifications under the prompt corrective action guidelines are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total capital to risk-weighted assets (as defined in the regulations), core capital to adjusted tangible assets (as defined) and tangible capital to tangible assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, that the Bank meets all capital requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2010, the most recent notification from the Office of Thrift Supervision categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

|  | Actual |  |  | For Capital Adequacy Purposes |  |  |  | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount |  | Ratio |  | Amount |  | Ratio |  | Amount |  | Ratio |
|  | (Dollar amounts in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| As of December 31, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) ...................... | \$ | 83,956 | 12.67\% | \$ | 53,003 | $\geq$ | 8.0\% | \$ | 66,254 | $\geq$ | 10.0\% |
| Core Capital (to Adjusted Tangible Assets) .................. |  | 79,763 | 8.28 |  | 38,543 | $\geq$ | 4.0 |  | 48,179 | $\geq$ | 5.0 |
| Tangible Capital (to Tangible Assets)........................ |  | 79,763 | 8.28 |  | 14,454 | $\geq$ | 1.5 |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)..................... |  | 79,763 | 12.04 |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | 39,749 | $\geq$ | 6.0 |
| As of December 31, 2009: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) ..................... | \$ | 82,891 | 13.27\% | \$ | 49,964 | $\geq$ | 8.0\% | \$ | 62,457 | $\geq$ | 10.0\% |
| Core Capital (to Adjusted Tangible Assets) ................. |  | 78,571 | 8.45 |  | 37,201 | $\geq$ | 4.0 |  | 46,498 | $\geq$ | 5.0 |
| Tangible Capital (to Tangible Assets) ......................... |  | 78,571 | 8.45 |  | 13,949 | $\geq$ | 1.5 |  | N/A |  | N/A |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) ..................... |  | 78,571 | 12.58 |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | 37,474 | $\geq$ | 6.0 |

The following is a reconcilement of the Bank's total equity included in the consolidated balance sheet to the regulatory capital ratios disclosed in the table above:

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tier 1 Capital |  | Total Capital |  | Tier 1 Capital |  | Total Capital |  |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total equity | \$ | 106,708 | \$ | 106,708 | \$ | 105,716 | \$ | 105,716 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss ............................... |  | 2,097 |  | 2,097 |  | 2,342 |  | 2,342 |
| Allowable allowance for loan losses .................................... |  | - |  | 8,267 |  | - |  | 7,826 |
| Goodwill and core deposit intangible................................... |  | $(28,844)$ |  | $(28,844)$ |  | $(29,317)$ |  | $(29,317)$ |
| Mortgage servicing asset................................................... |  | (198) |  | (198) |  | (170) |  | (170) |
| Equity investments and other assets ..................................... |  | - |  | $(4,074)$ |  | - |  | $(3,506)$ |
|  | \$ | 79,763 | \$ | 83,956 | \$ | 78,571 | \$ | 82,891 |

## NOTE 19. Preferred stock:

On January 16, 2009, as part of the Capital Purchase Program established by the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury") under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the "EESA"), the Company entered into a Letter Agreement (including the Securities Purchase Agreement-Standard Terms incorporated by reference therein, the "Purchase Agreement") with the Treasury pursuant to which the Company issued and sold to Treasury (i) 10,000 shares of the Company's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share, having a liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ per share (the "Series A Preferred Stock") and (ii) a ten-year warrant to purchase up to 184,275 shares of the Company's common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share (the "Common Stock"), at an initial exercise price of $\$ 8.14$ per share (the "Warrant"), for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 10$ million in cash. All of the proceeds from the sale of the Series A Preferred Stock is treated as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. The Warrant is immediately exercisable.

Cumulative dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock accrue on the liquidation preference at a rate of $5 \%$ per annum for the first five years, and at a rate of $9 \%$ per annum thereafter, but will be paid only when declared by the Company's Board of Directors. The Series A Preferred Stock has no maturity date and ranks senior to the Common Stock with respect to the payment of dividends and distributions and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution and winding up of the Company. The Series A Preferred Stock generally is non-voting, other than class voting on certain matters that could adversely affect the Series A Preferred Stock. The Company may redeem the Series A Preferred Stock upon payment of the Redemption Amount which is the liquidation amount plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

The Company has allocated the $\$ 10$ million in proceeds received from the U.S. Treasury Department between Series A Preferred Stock and the Warrants assuming that the Preferred Stock would be replaced with a qualifying equity offering and the Preferred Stock would therefore be redeemed at the end of 5 years. The allocation has been recorded assuming a discount rate of $13 \%$ on the cash flows of each instrument.

The allocation of the proceeds is as follows:


The Series A Preferred Stock is being accreted over a 5 year period, so that at the end of 5 years, the balance in Preferred Stock would equal $\$ 10,000,000$.

Estimated accretion from retained earnings for each of the four remaining years succeeding 2010 is as follows:

| 2011 | \$ | 16,929 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  | 17,004 |
| 2013 |  | 16,986 |
| 2014 |  | 1,743 |
|  | \$ | 52,662 |

## NOTE 20. Financial instruments:

The Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to originate loans, standby letters of credit and unadvanced funds on loans. The instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheets. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for loan commitments and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amounts of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to originate loans are agreements to lend to a customer provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies, but may include secured interests in mortgages, accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment and income-producing properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance by a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the maximum potential amount of the Company's obligation was $\$ 637,185$ and $\$ 1,276,703$, respectively, for financial and standby letters of credit. The Company's outstanding letters of credit generally have a term of less than one year. If a letter of credit is drawn upon, the Company may seek recourse through the customer's underlying line of credit. If the customer's line of credit is also in default, the Company may take possession of the collateral, if any, securing the line of credit.

Notional amounts of financial liabilities with off-balance sheet credit risk are as follows as of December 31:

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commitments to extend credit. | \$ | 39,774,935 | \$ | 18,292,104 |
| Letters of credit | \$ | 637,185 | \$ | 1,276,703 |
| Lines of credit | \$ | 90,840,967 | \$ | 89,715,566 |
| Unadvanced portion of construction loans ................................. | \$ | 4,617,881 | \$ | 3,114,383 |

## NOTE 21. Goodwill and intangible assets:

The Company's assets as of December 31, 2010 include goodwill of $\$ 15,153,454$ relating to the acquisitions of First Brandon and First Community in 2007. Goodwill also includes $\$ 2,471,560$ relating to the acquisition of Landmark Bank and $\$ 9,668,456$ relating to the acquisition of New London Trust in prior years.

The Company evaluated its goodwill and intangible assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and found no impairment.
A summary of acquired amortizing intangible assets is as follows:


Aggregate amortization expense was $\$ 473,667$ in $2010, \$ 536,721$ in 2009 and $\$ 599,776$ in 2008 . Amortization is being calculated on the sum-of-the-years digit method over ten years.

Estimated amortization expense for each of the five years succeeding 2010 is as follows:

| 2011 | \$ | 410,612 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 |  | 347,558 |
| 2013 |  | 284,503 |
| 2014 |  | 221,448 |
| 2015 |  | 158,394 |

## NOTE 22. Condensed parent company only financial statements:

The following are condensed balance sheets, statements of income and cash flows for New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. ("Parent Company") as of and for the years ended December 31:

## CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash. | \$ | 5,599,507 | S | 1,887,922 |
| Investment in subsidiary, Lake Sunapee Bank |  | 106,708,401 |  | 105,715,992 |
| Investment in affiliate, NHTB Capital Trust II. |  | 310,000 |  | 310,000 |
| Investment in affiliate, NHTB Capital Trust III .............. |  | 310,000 |  | 310,000 |
| Other investment. |  | - |  | 2,000,000 |
| Deferred expenses...................................................... |  | 251,296 |  | 261,989 |
| Advances to Lake Sunapee Bank. |  | 34,864 |  | 44,246 |
| Other assets. |  | 559,873 |  | 467,021 |
| Total assets. | \$ | 113,773,941 | \$ | 110,997,170 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Subordinated debentures.............................................. | \$ | 20,620,000 | \$ | 20,620,000 |
| Loan payable - PNC.................................................. |  | - |  | 2,000,000 |
| Other liabilities .......................................................... |  | 762,522 |  | 600,917 |
| Total liabilities .................................................. |  | 21,382,522 |  | 23,220,917 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  | 92,391,419 |  | 87,776,253 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity ............... | S | 113,773,941 | \$ | 110,997,170 |

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends from subsidiary, Lake Sunapee Bank | \$ | 8,000,000 | \$ | 5,000,000 | \$ | 4,500,000 |
| Dividends from subsidiaries, NHTB Capital Trust II and III |  | 19,651 |  | 26,484 |  | 38,144 |
| Investment interest income |  | 166,895 |  | 240,000 |  | 182,000 |
| Interest expense on subordinated debentures. |  | 1,011,037 |  | 1,157,953 |  | 1,315,365 |
| Interest expense on other borrowings |  | 33,227 |  | 61,644 |  | 71,129 |
| Net operating income including tax benefit |  | 57,574 |  | 134,026 |  | 242,574 |
| Income before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries. |  | 7,199,856 |  | 4,180,913 |  | 3,576,224 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries |  | 747,579 |  | 2,416,793 |  | 2,148,848 |
| Net income. | \$ | 7,947,435 | \$ | 6,597,706 | \$ | 5,725,072 |

## CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 7,947,435 | \$ | 6,597,706 | S | 5,725,072 |
| Decrease (increase) in other assets |  | 20,000 |  | - |  | $(20,000)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable and other liabilities $\qquad$ |  | 3,167 |  | $(42,143)$ |  | 34,668 |
| Increase in taxes receivable |  | $(56,957)$ |  | $(104,315)$ |  | $(60,160)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses. |  | 8,515 |  | $(9,180)$ |  | 10,169 |
| Amortization of deferred expenses relating to issuance of capital securities and subordinated debentures. |  | 10,693 |  | 10,694 |  | 10,693 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries...... |  | $(747,579)$ |  | $(2,416,793)$ |  | $(2,148,848)$ |
| Net cash provided by operating activities .............. |  | 7,185,274 |  | 4,035,969 |  | 3,551,594 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of other investment . |  | - |  | - |  | $(2,000,000)$ |
| Redemption of other investment |  | 2,000,000 |  | - |  | - |
| Investment in subsidiary, Lake Sunapee Bank........ |  | - |  | $(10,000,000)$ |  | - |
| Net change in advances to subsidiary, Lake Sunapee Bank $\qquad$ |  | 9,382 |  | 8,418 |  | 1,075 |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | 2,009,382 |  | $(9,991,582)$ |  | $(1,998,925)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options ................ |  | 18,250 |  | 180,500 |  | 249,125 |
| Issuance of preferred stock. |  | - |  | 10,000,000 |  | - |
| Proceeds from other borrowed money .................. |  | - |  | - |  | 2,000,000 |
| Repayment of loan payable |  | $(2,000,000)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock. |  | $(500,000)$ |  | $(415,278)$ |  | - |
| Dividends paid on common stock ........................ |  | (3,001,321) |  | $(2,994,821)$ |  | $(2,988,061)$ |
| Repurchase of treasury stock............................... |  | - |  | - |  | $(60,954)$ |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities $\qquad$ |  | (5,483,071) |  | 6,770,401 |  | $(799,890)$ |
| Net increase in cash |  | 3,711,585 |  | 814,788 |  | 752,779 |
| Cash, beginning of year .............................................. |  | 1,887,922 |  | 1,073,134 |  | 320,355 |
| Cash, end of year ........................................................ | \$ | 5,599,507 | S | 1,887,922 | \$ | 1,073,134 |

The Parent Company Only Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity are identical to the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, and therefore are not reprinted here.

## NOTE 23. Quarterly Results of Operations (UNAUDITED)

Summarized quarterly financial data for 2010 and 2009 follows:

|  | (In thousands, except earnings per share) 2010 Quarters Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31 |  | June 30 |  | Sept. 30 |  | Dec. 31 |  |
| Interest and dividend income | \$ | 10,027 | \$ | 9,981 | \$ | 9,607 | \$ | 9,041 |
| Interest expense |  | 2,449 |  | 2,380 |  | 2,496 |  | 2,419 |
| Net interest and dividend income |  | 7,578 |  | 7,601 |  | 7,111 |  | 6,622 |
| Provision for loan losses. |  | 1,014 |  | 531 |  | 475 |  | 162 |
| Noninterest income |  | 2,186 |  | 2,145 |  | 3,205 |  | 2,738 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 6,102 |  | 6,399 |  | 6,662 |  | 6,350 |
| Income before income taxes ..................................................... |  | 2,648 |  | 2,816 |  | 3,179 |  | 2,848 |
| Income tax expense ......................................................................... |  | 927 |  | 814 |  | 1,076 |  | 727 |
| Net income............................................................................ | S | 1,721 | \$ | 2,002 | \$ | 2,103 | \$ | 2,121 |
| Net income available to common stockholders ........................... | \$ | 1,594 | \$ | 1,869 | \$ | 1,978 | \$ | 1,990 |
| Basic earnings per common share ..................................................... | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 |
| Earnings per common share, assuming dilution.................................. | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.32 | \$ | 0.34 | \$ | 0.34 |


|  | (In thousands, except earnings per share)2009 Quarters Ended 2009 Quarters Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31 |  | June 30 |  | Sept. 30 |  | Dec. 31 |  |
| Interest and dividend income | \$ | 9,892 | \$ | 9,766 | \$ | 9,879 | \$ | 9,847 |
| Interest expense |  | 3,316 |  | 3,199 |  | 2,950 |  | 2,611 |
| Net interest and dividend income |  | 6,576 |  | 6,567 |  | 6,929 |  | 7,236 |
| Provision for loan losses. |  | 1,765 |  | 630 |  | 931 |  | 2,626 |
| Noninterest income |  | 2,835 |  | 2,827 |  | 2,916 |  | 4,268 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 5,695 |  | 6,300 |  | 6,164 |  | 6,333 |
| Income before income taxes |  | 1,951 |  | 2,464 |  | 2,750 |  | 2,545 |
| Income tax expense ......................................................................... |  | 619 |  | 782 |  | 941 |  | 770 |
| Net income. | \$ | 1,332 | \$ | 1,682 | \$ | 1,809 | S | 1,775 |
| Net income available to common stockholders ........................... | \$ | 1,227 | \$ | 1,554 | \$ | 1,679 | \$ | 1,644 |
| Basic earnings per common share ..................................................... | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | 0.27 | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.28 |
| Earnings per common share, assuming dilution................................... | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | 0.27 | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.28 |

## NOTE 24. Reclassification

Certain amounts in the prior year have been reclassified to be consistent with the current year's statement presentation.

## Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management, including the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files and submits under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules $13 \mathrm{a}-15(\mathrm{f})$ and $15 \mathrm{~d}-15(\mathrm{f})$ of the Exchange Act) of the Company. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment we believe that, as of December 31, 2010, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective at a reasonable assurance level based on those criteria.
This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or that is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Item 9B. Other Information

None.

## PART III.

## Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Incorporated by reference to "Information about Nominees and Continuing Directors," "Committees of the Board," "Executive Officers," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," from the Company's definitive proxy statement in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011, which definitive proxy statement will be filed with the SEC on or about March 25, 2011.

Code of Ethics. The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics Policy, which applies to all employees, directors and officers of the Company and Lake Sunapee Bank. The Company has also adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers of the Company, which applies to the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller or person performing similar functions for the Company and Lake Sunapee Bank, and which requires compliance with the Conflict of Interest Policy and Code of Conduct. The Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers of the Company meets the requirements of a "code of ethics" as defined by Item 406 of Regulation S-K.

You may obtain a copy of the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, free of charge, by sending a request in writing to Laura Jacobi at the following address:

Laura Jacobi
Corporate Secretary
New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc.
9 Main Street
P.O. Box 9

Newport, New Hampshire 03773-0009

## Item 11. Executive Compensation

Incorporated by reference to "Executive Compensation," "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table" and "Directors" Compensation" from the Company's definitive proxy statement in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011, which definitive proxy statement will be filed with the SEC on or about March 25, 2011.

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters

Incorporated by reference to "Security Ownership of Management" from the Company's definitive proxy statement in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011, which definitive proxy statement will be filed with the SEC on or about March 25, 2011.

The following table sets forth the aggregate information of our equity compensation plans in effect as of December 31, 2010.


## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Incorporated by reference to "Certain Transactions with Management and Others" and "Information about Nominees and Continuing Directors" from the Company's definitive proxy statement in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011, which definitive proxy statement will be filed with the SEC on or about March 25, 2011.

## Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Incorporated by reference to "Information about our Relationship with our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" from the Company's definitive proxy statement in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011, which definitive proxy statement will be filed with the SEC on or about March 25, 2011.

## PART IV.

## Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The exhibits filed as a part of this Registration Statement are as follows:
(a) Listed below are all financial statements filed as part of this report:
(1) The consolidated balance sheets of New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, together with the related notes and the report of independent registered public accounting firm of Shatswell, MacLeod \& Company, P.C. independent public accountants.
(2) Schedules omitted as they are not applicable.
(3) A list of the exhibits to this Form 10-K is set forth on the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such exhibits and is incorporated herein by reference.
(b) Exhibits to this Form 10-K are attached or incorporated herein by reference as stated above.
(c) Not applicable.

## Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or $15(\mathrm{~d})$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc.

By: $\qquad$ Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

March 25, 2011

- Act of 1934 this
has been signed below by the following persons on Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, th
behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Name | Title | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /s/ Stephen W. Ensign | Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | March 25, 2011 |
| (Stephen W. Ensigu) |  |  |
| /s/ Stephen R. Theroux | Vice Chairman of the Board, <br> President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | March 25, 2011 |
| (Stephen R. Theroux) |  |  |
| /s/ LAURA JACOBI | Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Secretary (Principal Accounting Officer) | March 25, 2011 |
| (Laura Jacobi) |  |  |
| /s/ Leonard R. Cashman | Director | March 25, 2011 |
| (Leonard R. Cashman) |  |  |
| /s/ William C. Horn | Director | March 25, 2011 |
| (William C. Horn) |  |  |
| /s/ Peter R. Lovely | Director | March 25, 2011 |
| (Peter R. Lovely) |  |  |
| /s/ Jack H. Nelson | Director | March 25, 2011 |
| (Jack H. Nelson) |  |  |
| /s/ Michael T. Putziger | Director | March 25, 2011 |
| (Michael T. Putziger) |  |  |
| /s/ Joseph B. Williey | Director | March 25, 2011 |
| (Joseph B. Willey) |  |  |

## Exhibit Index

3.1.1 Certificate of Incorporation of NHTB (as amended).
3.1.2 Certificate of Designations establishing the rights of NHTB's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 22, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of NHTB (as amended).
4.1 Stock Certificate of NHTB (filed as Exhibit to NHTB's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the Commission on March 1, 1989 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.2 Indenture by and between NHTB, as Issuer, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, dated March 30, 2004 for Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to NHTB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed with the Commission on March 29, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3 Form of Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures issued by NHTB to U.S. Bank National Association dated March 30, 2004 (filed as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.2 to NHTB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 filed with the Commission on March 29, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.4 Indenture by and between New NHTB, as Issuer, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, dated March 30, 2004 for Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to NHTB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed with the Commission on March 29, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.5 Form of Fixed/Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures issued by NHTB to U.S. Bank National Association dated March 30, 2004 (filed as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.4 to NHTB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed with the Commission on March 29, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.6 Form of specimen stock certificate for NHTB's Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 22, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.7 Warrant to purchase shares of NHTB common stock (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 22, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1 Profit Sharing-Stock Ownership Plan of Lake Sunapee Bank, fsb (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to NHTB's Registration Statement on Form S-4, as amended, filed with the Commission on November 5, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference).*
10.2 New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to NHTB's Registration Statement on Form S-4, as amended, filed with the Commission on November 5, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference).*
10.3 Employment Agreement between NHTB and Stephen W. Ensign (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to NHTB's Registration Statement on Form S-4, as amended, filed with the Commission on November 5, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference).*
10.4 Employment Agreement between Lake Sunapee Bank, fsb and Stephen R. Theroux (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to NHTB's Registration Statement on Form S-4, as amended, filed with the Commission on November 5, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference).*
10.5 Guarantee Agreement by and between NHTB and U.S. Bank National Association dated March 30, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to NHTB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed with the Commission on March 29, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.6 Guarantee Agreement by and between New NHTB and U.S. Bank National Association dated March 30, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to NHTB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 filed with the Commission on March 29, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.7 NHTB's 1998 Stock Option Plan (filed as Appendix A to NHTB's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on March 6, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).*
10.8 NHTB's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (filed as Appendix B to NHTB's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on April 8, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).*
10.9 Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan of New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with Commission on December 14, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).*
10.10 Amendment to the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan of New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with Commission on March 14, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference).*
10.11 Amendment to the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan of New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 2, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference). *
10.12 Forms of Executive Salary Continuation Agreement among NHTB, Lake Sunapee Bank, fsb and Stephen W. Ensign and Stephen R. Theroux (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 21, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference). *
10.13 First Amendment to Executive Salary Continuation Agreement between Lake Sunapee Bank, fsb and Stephen R. Theroux (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference). *
10.14 Letter Agreement, dated as of January 16, 2009, between New Hampshire Thrift Bancshares, Inc. and the United States Department of the Treasury, and the Securities Purchase Agreement - Standard Terms attached thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to NHTB's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on January 22, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
21.1 Subsidiaries of NHTB
23.1 Consent of Shatswell, MacLeod \& Company, P.C.
31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by the Principal Executive Officer.
31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by the Principal Financial Officer.
32.1 Written statement pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by the Principal Executive Officer.
32.2 Written statement pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by the Principal Financial Officer.
99.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section III (b) (4) of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.
99.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section III (b) (4) of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.

* Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.


[^0]:    (1) Monthly average balances have been used for all periods.
    (2) Loans include 90-day delinquent loans which have been placed on a non-accruing status. Management does not believe that including the 90-day delinquent loans in loans caused any material difference in the information presented.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Other noninterest-earning assets include non-earning assets and other real estate owned.

[^1]:    (1) All loans 90 days or more delinquent are placed on non-accruing status.

