




11005097

2010 ANNUAL REPORT

SPX[®]
WHERE IDEAS MEET INDUSTRY

GREATER THAN

**DEAR SHAREHOLDERS:**

“We are pleased with our 2010 financial results, as we were able to meet or exceed all the financial expectations we set at the beginning of the year and made significant progress toward our long-term goals.”

Christopher J. Kearney

Chairman, President &
Chief Executive Officer,
SPX Corporation

2010 was a positive transition year for SPX. Despite the global economy's slow pace of growth coming out of the recession, we began to see signs of recovery in many of our early-cycle businesses and some modest signs of improvement in our mid-to-late cycle businesses. During the year, we continued to invest in our businesses by expanding our geographic presence in key markets, driving increased innovation and

operational efficiencies across the company, enhancing our capabilities to serve our customers' business needs, and advancing the skills and future leadership potential of our employees around the world.

Today, we believe that SPX is well positioned to take advantage of a broader recovery in each of our end markets.





2010 FINANCIAL RESULTS

We are pleased with our 2010 financial results, as we were able to meet or exceed all the financial expectations we set at the beginning of the year and made significant progress toward our long-term goals.

We achieved total annual revenues of \$4.9 billion in 2010, about flat to 2009. Improvement in many of our early-cycle businesses, growth in emerging markets and the contributions from recent acquisitions were offset by a decline in revenue from our late-cycle power and energy businesses, most notably power transformers. Segment income and margins in 2010 were \$560.3 million and 11.5 percent, and diluted net income per share from continuing operations in 2010 was \$3.86.


Our order backlog totaled \$2.9 billion as of the end of 2010, and we anticipate that

approximately \$2 billion of this backlog will be converted to revenue in 2011.


We ended 2010 in a strong financial position with sufficient flexibility to continue to make strategic investments as opportunities arise. We had another strong year of cash flow generation which allowed us to take some strategic actions that will help us meet our long-term goals. Included in this was a \$100 million voluntary contribution to our domestic pension plans, which helped maintain an adequate level of funding in these plans. We also invested about \$130 million in acquisitions and other business ventures, the most significant being the purchases of Gerstenberg Schröder and Anhydro. Additionally, we refinanced a significant portion of our outstanding debt, reduced our total debt by six percent, and paid our annual dividend of approximately \$50 million.

EXPANDED FOOD AND BEVERAGE PROCESSING CAPABILITIES AND TECHNOLOGIES

Our 2010 acquisitions of Anhydro and Gerstenberg Schröder expanded our Flow Technology segment's food processing system capabilities, and have helped us win multi-million dollar contracts for designing and installing custom dairy processing lines for customers in Norway, Chile and Turkey. Meanwhile, our APV business continues to develop technologies that enhance food




processing efficiencies and safety. For example, APV Instant Infusion™ helps food manufacturers leverage the right amount of heat to destroy harmful bacteria with minimal impact on the taste, texture and essential nutrients of sensitive products such as milk-based infant formula, whey protein concentrates and processed cheese. APV Flex-Mix™ Instant utilizes a patent-pending vacuum technology to remove air during liquid and powder mixing processes.



"During our many years of working closely with SPX, they have consistently delivered state-of-the-art equipment to help optimize our processing systems and help enhance the quality of our dairy products, while helping to reduce our operational costs," said **Svend Andersen, Dairy Manager of the Them Dairy in Silkeborg, Denmark.** "The SPX systems and technologies have also contributed to our sustainability efforts, allowing us to clean and re-use water during our production process. Now we are able to operate our pressed cheese production with less power consumption, automated systems and increased product safety for our customers."

Above Workers at Them Co-operative Dairy's state-of-the-art cheese production facility in Silkeborg, Denmark, utilize APV food processing systems and technology from SPX. The systems have enabled the plant to reduce annual water treatment costs by as much as 15 percent.

HELPING TO MEET THREE CRITICAL NEEDS OF A GROWING WORLD POPULATION




Over the past six years, we have worked diligently to position SPX as a provider of effective solutions serving three core global markets: infrastructure; process equipment; and diagnostic tools. We believe we have a strong competitive advantage and good growth potential over the long-term in each of these markets. Our primary technologies in these markets support three critical needs of modern society: electricity; processed foods and beverages; and vehicle services.

There are common macroeconomic drivers in these markets, particularly in regions with the highest GDP growth. A rising world population and emerging middle class directly impact the need for more energy, food, and transportation. These needs are increasing the most in emerging markets. However, there is a significant need to upgrade or replace aged infrastructure

in mature markets. In all parts of the world, enhanced government regulation and growing concern about protecting the environment will likely continue to play an important role in determining how these needs are met.

Globalization has been a key driver for SPX, and we have worked hard to expand our geographic presence since 2004. Today, we have operations in more than 35 countries and more than 50 percent of our revenue is generated outside North America. In many of our businesses, our expanded global presence and emerging market growth have been key factors in revenue development. In fact, last year, approximately 25 percent of our revenue was driven by sales into emerging markets. We continue to take steps to strengthen our presence in India, Africa, China and other markets around the world.

CYCLICAL ANALYSIS BY END MARKET




Data from continuing operations; 2010 as reported.

~2/3rds of SPX Business is Mid-to-Late Cycle




REVENUE BY REGION



Data from continuing operations; as reported.

2010 REVENUE BY END MARKET



Data from continuing operations; 2010 as reported.

GREATER THAN 





NEW POWER GENERATION AND AGING INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT

Investment in new power generation capacity has been vital to emerging market development, and SPX has been playing a role in this investment. Our global power and energy offerings include a diverse array of cooling solutions for a wide range of power plants, large scale heat exchangers, and pollution control systems.

Our technologies are critical for power generation, electricity transmission and distribution, as well as oil and gas processing. The majority of our power business supports conventional power generation. However, in recent years, we've seen growth due to renewed global interest in nuclear power and an increased focus on renewable energy. The need for power infrastructure investment remains significant and is closely linked to worldwide GDP growth. The economic advancement of emerging markets is expected to drive increased energy demand, and we're participating in the power development in many of these regions. In mature markets, the need for replacement of aging power plants grows every day. We believe we are well-positioned to benefit from attractive rebuild and retrofit opportunities in these regions.

During 2010, we continued to successfully integrate recent strategic acquisitions and expand our power and energy capabilities. These acquisitions have already begun to yield orders and validate the revenue synergies we anticipated. For example, SPX Heat Transfer Inc., which acquired the assets of Yuba Heat Transfer, contributed to our ability to win an \$18 million contract to supply 20 feedwater heaters for two new coal-fired plants being built in India.

In North America, we are a leading provider of medium power transformers. Last year, we announced plans to invest approximately \$70 million to expand the size of our Waukesha Electric Systems facility in Wisconsin by approximately 50 percent and extend our ability to produce large, high-voltage power transformers for the North American power market.

The International Energy Agency's (IEA) *2010 World Energy Outlook* estimates that \$33 trillion will be spent globally on energy infrastructure from 2010 through 2035. About a third of that, or roughly \$10 trillion, is expected to be invested in power-generating infrastructure, with the majority projected to be spent in China, Western Europe, North America and India.

The IEA predicts this level of investment is necessary just to meet rising electricity demand and replace aged capacity. The engineered lifetime of a power plant is about 40 years. At that age, the efficiency of the plant is significantly lower than that of new plants. The life of older plants can be extended by replacing or upgrading certain technologies. Worldwide, more than 400 gigawatts of power is generated by thermal power plants more than 40 years old, and an additional 585 gigawatts of power is generated by plants between 30 and 40 years of age. The majority of these older plants are in the United States and Europe. Our thermal products and pollution control systems are among the technologies that can serve to extend the life and increase the overall efficiency of these power plants.

DEEPLY COMMITTED TO CHINA'S FUTURE

SPX's thermal products and services have long supported China's rapidly growing energy infrastructure. For example, since 2002, we have been awarded more than 55 contracts to supply dry cooling systems to power plants across the country, and our highly specialized squib valves are critical to four new nuclear power plants being built there. To facilitate our continued growth in China and the Asia-Pacific region, we are



constructing a new 53-acre, centralized manufacturing campus in Shanghai. The campus is intended to foster greater collaboration across our global infrastructure, process equipment and diagnostic tools businesses; provide integrated support services; and feature facilities dedicated to research and development, logistics and customer service functions. Most importantly, we believe that it will enable us to deliver enhanced solutions and support services to our customers in China and the greater region, while also further developing the skills of our employees across the country.

Opposite page SPX is a leading provider of wet and dry cooling systems, heat exchangers, feedwater heaters, filter systems and other components utilized by thousands of power plants worldwide. The Duisburg-Walsum plant in Germany (pictured on the left-hand side of this photo), is one such plant.



Above SPX manufactures, engineers and installs components and turnkey solutions for the global food processing industry. Our broad portfolio of technology, equipment offerings and fully automated, complete systems are utilized by food and beverage companies around the world.

PROCESSING EQUIPMENT FOR THE GLOBAL FOOD AND BEVERAGE MARKET

SPX is now a leading global provider of food and beverage processing equipment, and we view this market as highly attractive. About 65 percent of our food and beverage revenue in 2010 was from sales of components. Fifty-two percent of our total food process equipment revenues in 2010 was related to dairy processing, while the remaining 48 percent was related to equipment for making food and beverage products such as soft drinks and ketchup.

Our acquisitions of Anhydro and Gerstenberg Schröder in 2010 served to expand

our system capabilities and have already contributed to our winning several multi-million-dollar contracts for the design and delivery of full line processing plants to major dairy producers in Norway, Chile and Turkey. These orders have helped to validate the synergies we saw in these businesses. These acquisitions also extended our expertise into the processing of powders, fats and oils.

We now have a large installed base of processing equipment components that provides attractive replacement opportunities. In most regions, there are strict

government regulations and safety standards that dictate how food and beverages are processed. We work with our customers to devise solutions that help them meet or exceed these standards. We also work with customers to provide solutions that enhance the value of their products or increase the efficiency of their operations.

Since 2002, global retail sales of food and beverages have increased 85 percent, according to research from Frost & Sullivan. This growth was steady through 2008. In 2009, sales declined by an unprecedented

KEY FOOD AND BEVERAGE MILESTONES

2011E

~\$800m of revenue, >50% international

2010 expanded systems capabilities

Gerstenberg Schröder, Anhydro acquisitions

2005 to 2007 systems & globalization


APV, Johnson Pump acquisitions

2001 process components

Waukesha Cherry-Burrell, Bran & Luebbe acquisitions

2000


<\$50m revenue





Above and below SPX provided a complete dairy processing system to the Them Co-operative Dairy at its modern cheese production facility in Silkeborg, Denmark, which can now produce up to 14 tons of cheese a day for local and export markets.

four percent due to the economic recession. However, growth in the industry resumed in 2010 and is expected to continue. Frost & Sullivan projects that retail food and beverage sales will grow, on average, by about five to six percent per year over the next four years. Emerging markets are expected to lead this growth, driven largely by Asia Pacific, where the average growth rate is expected to be seven percent. Capital investment in processing equipment has historically followed these trends, which suggests positive future trends for SPX in the global food and beverage market.







INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR THE GLOBAL VEHICLE SERVICE MARKET

SPX has been active in the vehicle service market for nearly 100 years, dating back to the time of Henry Ford. Since then, we have evolved from a North American supplier of specialty service tools to a global business supporting nearly every aspect of service readiness. We have made significant strategic investments to expand our capabilities and position this business for future market opportunities. Acquisitions have primarily targeted expanding our global customer base, and today we have established relationships with nearly all the major OEMs in the world. This has generated increased international revenue for SPX.

We are a leading global supplier of diagnostic equipment, repair tools and technical information. We estimate that about 60 percent of our 2010 revenue for this business was generated from sales to OEMs and their dealership networks, with the aftermarket accounting for the remainder. The primary drivers for our business are new vehicle introductions, the ever-increasing electronic content in vehicles, and changing regulations. Global competition among OEMs and heightened regulations around emissions, safety, and fuel economy are driving continued innovation in an industry that has shown clear signs of a post-recession resurgence. Global automakers are poised to deliver new platforms into the marketplace as they compete for market share, and independent research projects that OEMs will launch 180 new models over the next four years, or about 45 per year.

Electric vehicles and hybrids are playing a significant role in this next wave of innovation. To develop market opportunities in this space, we established an Electric Vehicle Solutions Team dedicated to developing the charging technologies and infrastructure necessary to meet the demands of the fleet of new electric cars currently in development. As evidence of our growing service delivery expertise in support of electric vehicles, last year we were selected as the exclusive home charging installation provider for the new Chevrolet Volt, which has garnered widespread critical acclaim and strong consumer demand.

OEMs today are competing on a global basis and want to work with a global partner to assist their dealers in service readiness. We work closely with OEMs to engineer custom diagnostics and service tools that dealers use in their service shops as soon as they start selling a new model. The globalization of our business has helped us become a key worldwide supplier of diagnostic tools and service equipment, and we continue to invest in this business to maintain our position. Last year we entered into a strategic alliance with Launch Tech Company Limited of China, a leading global provider of automotive aftermarket diagnostic tools that currently serves 400 distributors in 60 countries. We purchased a minority equity position in the company and agreed to explore ways to collaborate through global co-marketing programs and use of our respective distribution networks.

MEET THE NANO'S SCANO®

India is one of the world's fastest-growing markets for automobiles, with annual vehicle sales reportedly having the potential to reach three million units annually by 2015. A contributor to this rapid growth is India's Tata Motors, which made headlines in 2008 when it announced it would start producing the Nano, billed as the world's most affordable car. In 2010, Tata asked SPX Service Solutions to put its best engineering expertise to work designing a technician-friendly, affordable handheld diagnostic tool just for them. SPX answered the challenge with the custom-designed Scano. With SPX's Scano, technicians' productivity at Tata dealerships has increased. They can now quickly and efficiently diagnose and service the growing fleet of Nano and other Tata cars.

"Tata Motors Limited is an innovative company, always looking for better solutions. We challenged SPX Service Solutions to develop a low-cost diagnostic tool for a very price sensitive dealer network and SPX responded with Scano for Nano and other models of Tata cars. Scano technology and price is just right for Indian market."
Mr. Ashok Kangude, General Manager, Service Engineering and Technical Support, Tata Motors Ltd.

Opposite page SPX custom-designed the Scano diagnostic scan tool expressly for India's Tata Motors in order to help its dealership shop technicians more quickly and easily access vital information about the company's popular, affordable new automobile, the Tata Nano.

EXPANDING ON OUR HERITAGE OF INNOVATIVE SERVICES

Given our engineering expertise, our businesses have a long history of success in incremental new product development. To further elevate our R&D efforts, we launched a centralized Innovation Council in 2010 to help spur increased product development and innovation across the company. The Council is focused on identifying and developing game-changing technologies. Over the past year,

we generated more than 160 exciting new ideas from across the organization, including some intriguing ideas related to energy storage, emissions reduction, and water management. From that pool of ideas, the Council has selected the 10 most promising opportunities, which we intend to fund with a total investment of between \$10 million and \$15 million. Over time, we expect this investment to yield high impact results.

Below SPX is helping the global auto industry establish the charging technologies infrastructure necessary for electric vehicles, and was selected in 2010 as the exclusive provider of turnkey electric home charging installation services for the new Chevrolet Volt. SPX's wall-mounted home charging unit can be seen below.



Examples of other recent promising SPX innovations include our 240-volt home charging unit for the new Chevrolet Volt, which significantly reduces charging time compared to conventional 120-volt charging. Another is a new wireless antenna system that can improve coverage for wireless devices by a factor of ten compared to traditional cell phone towers. We believe this technology has the potential to vastly


improve wireless operator reach and service delivery in rural regions around the world, while lowering operating costs. We currently have a trial site in India that has been operational in a live network since the fourth quarter of 2010, and our early trial results indicate significant potential savings can accrue for operators deploying our system. We are presently targeting commercialization of this technology in 2011.

RACING FOR A CURE

For many years, SPX has supported the meaningful work of St. Jude Children's Research Hospital and its dedicated treatment of children with cancer and other diseases. In 2010, for the second consecutive year, SPX rallied together members of the automotive and racing communities to join forces in raising funds for the organization through a special charity auction at the annual Barrett-Jackson Collector Car Auction in Scottsdale, Arizona. Through this joint effort, a unique, custom-crafted Ford Mustang FRS500 race car

Right Richard C. Shadyac Jr., CEO of ALSAC/St. Jude (left) and Chris Kearney, Chairman, President & Chief Executive Officer of SPX Corporation (right), with St. Jude patient Audra Koelewyn, age 10 (center) in front of a 2008 Ford Mustang Challenge race car that SPX donated for auction to raise funds for St. Jude Children's Research Hospital.

PHOTO CREDIT: Mark Duran



and prize package was created, giving the highest bidder the opportunity to actually get behind the wheel and race the car in the SCCA 2011 Pro Racing World Challenge Championship. In the end, the SPX-donated race car attracted a winning bid of \$175,000 and helped bring SPX's 2009-2010 fundraising total for St. Jude Children's Research Hospital to more than \$450,000.







DRIVING EFFICIENCIES THROUGH LEAN MANUFACTURING AND SHARED SERVICES

We remain focused on our key operating initiatives to drive continuous improvements across all our businesses. We have consistently embraced these initiatives, and they have greatly enhanced our operational efficiencies. As we have broadened our emerging market presence, we have enhanced our operating performance by implementing lean principles and more effective global supply chain management.

the 2007 introduction of our Asia Pacific Center in Shanghai, which supports all our businesses in the region and has helped drive our continued expansion there. The Asia Pacific Center is also a focal point for global research and development, training, and customer service activities.

In late 2010, we officially broke ground on our \$40 million, 53-acre centralized manufacturing campus in Shanghai intended to ultimately house more than 1,000 of our employees in China. We expect the construction of this facility to be completed by 2012, and, as we consolidate and grow into this campus, we expect to improve our cost structure and leverage our resources to support future growth.

TRANSFORMING THE NORTH AMERICAN POWER GRID

For 40 years, our Waukesha Electric Systems business in Waukesha, Wisconsin, has built high-quality power transformers for large electric utilities and independent power producers throughout the U.S. and Canada. In 2010, we announced plans to invest approximately \$70 million to expand Waukesha's main manufacturing facility by 50 percent. This addition is aimed at increasing our manufacturing capacity to produce the medium and large transformers that play such a critical role in the North American power grid. Moving forward, large, high-voltage transformers, in particular, are expected to see greater demand among utilities and others seeking to upgrade aging U.S. electricity transmission lines, many of which are now 30-40 years old. In addition to creating hundreds of construction and skilled engineering jobs in the surrounding community, we anticipate that our expanded Waukesha facilities will help ensure that SPX transformers play a meaningful role in the development of a highly efficient and more reliable "Smart Grid" in the future.

We have also been steadily migrating to a regional shared service structure to support our businesses. Last year, we centralized our segment leadership and consolidated our North American IT support into our global headquarters in Charlotte, North Carolina. We also introduced a shared services center in Manchester, England to support our businesses in EMEA. These actions build on



Opposite page SPX's broad portfolio of proven, innovative power generation components and systems are designed to help expand energy capacity, drive increased efficiency and reduce costs, while supporting nearly all fossil fuels and alternative energy sources.



FINANCIAL STABILITY AND FLEXIBILITY TO ACT ON STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES

Our approach to capital allocation has remained disciplined, balanced, and consistent. Since 2005, 50 percent of our allocated capital has been returned to shareholders. We've invested just over \$1 billion on acquisitions, all of which have met our acquisition criteria. Consistent with our long-term strategy, we target acquisitions in our three core markets that expand our product offerings, geographic presence, and customer base.

From a financial perspective, we target acquisitions that are accretive to earnings

and have returns above our cost of capital. Last year, our primary use of available capital was acquisitions. Today, we are financially strong and flexible enough to continue making strategic investments as opportunities arise, and believe there are attractive targets in each of our core markets.


As we move forward in 2011, we are encouraged by many positive trends across our end markets, and remain confident in our long-term strategy and how we have positioned SPX for further growth as our end markets recover.

On behalf of SPX's Board of Directors and our executive leadership team, I would like to thank our customers for putting their continued trust in our products, services, and people, and for collaborating with us to help solve their most pressing business issues. I also want to thank our valued shareholders for their continued confidence in our longer-term growth potential, and most importantly, our more than 15,000 employees around the world for their many achievements and for demonstrating an unwavering commitment to our customers and to SPX each and every day.

Sincerely,

Christopher J. Kearney
Chairman, President & Chief Executive Officer

2005 TO 2010 CAPITAL ALLOCATION



- Share Repurchases 42%
- Debt Reduction 28%
- Acquisitions 22%
- Dividends 8%

Data from continuing operations; 2010 as reported.

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

SEC
Mail Processing
Section

Form 10-K

MAR 24 2011

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-6948

SPX Corporation

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

38-1016240

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**13515 Ballantyne Corporate Place
Charlotte, NC 28277**

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **704-752-4400**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, Par Value \$10.00

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of July 3, 2010 was \$2,504,973,907. The determination of affiliate status for purposes of the foregoing calculation is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of February 17, 2011 was 50,585,672.

Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting to be held on May 5, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART I

ITEM 1. Business

(All dollar and share amounts are in millions, except per share data)

Forward-Looking Information

Some of the statements in this document and any documents incorporated by reference constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our businesses’ or our industries’ actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. Such statements may address our plans, our strategies, our prospects, changes and trends in our business and the markets in which we operate under the heading “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” (“MD&A”) or in other sections of this document. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “could,” “would,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “project,” “potential” or “continue” or the negative of those terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions. Actual events or results may differ materially because of market conditions in our industries or other factors, and forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as a prediction of actual results. In addition, management’s estimates of future operating results are based on our current complement of businesses, which is subject to change. All the forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the factors discussed in this document under the heading “Risk Factors” and in any documents incorporated by reference that describe risks and factors that could cause results to differ materially from those projected in these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this document.

Business

We were incorporated in Muskegon, Michigan in 1912 as the Piston Ring Company and adopted our current name in 1988. Since 1968, we have been incorporated under the laws of Delaware, and we have been listed on the New York Stock Exchange since 1972.

We are a global, multi-industry manufacturer of highly specialized, engineered solutions with operations in over 35 countries and sales in over 150 countries around the world. We have positioned ourselves to serve three core global markets: infrastructure; process equipment; and diagnostic tools to support three critical needs of modern societies (i) electricity, (ii) processed foods and beverages, and (iii) vehicle service. There are common macroeconomic drivers of demand in these markets, particularly in regions where gross domestic product growth is high. A rising world population and emerging middle class directly impact the need for energy, food and transportation with the needs being greatest in emerging markets. Last year, an estimated 25% of our revenues were from sales into emerging markets. In mature markets, there is significant need to upgrade or replace aged infrastructure. In all parts of the world, enhanced government regulation and growing concern about protecting the environment are expected to play an important role in determining how these needs are met. Approximately 53% of our 2010 revenues were driven by infrastructure development and maintenance, with over 50% of these revenues generated outside North America. Revenues from the sale of power and energy infrastructure were 36% of total 2010 revenues. Our technologies are critical for power generation, electricity transmission and distribution, as well as oil and gas processing. The majority of our power business supports conventional power generation; however, in recent years we have seen growth through the resurgence of nuclear power and increased focus on renewable energy. Our power and energy infrastructure offerings include wet and dry cooling systems, stationary and rotating heat exchangers, pollution control systems, power transformers and thermal services and repair. Sales of our HVAC and other infrastructure-related products accounted for 17% of 2010 revenues. These offerings include packaged cooling towers, boilers, heating and ventilation equipment and filters. We continue to focus on developing and acquiring products and services to serve global infrastructure development, as we believe that future investments in these end markets in both developing and developed economies around the world provide significant opportunities for growth.

Our other two key global end markets are food and beverage and vehicle service. During 2010, approximately 33% of our revenues were generated from serving these two end markets.

The products we provide to the food and beverage market include a variety of process equipment used to control flow and temperature during manufacturing, including pumps, heat exchangers, valves and mixers. We expect growth of the food and

beverage market to continue throughout the globe, with the highest growth in emerging regions of the world. In 2010, over 50% of our food and beverage market revenues were generated outside North America.

Our primary offerings to the vehicle services end market include electronic diagnostic systems, specialty service tools, service equipment and technical information services. Our strategy includes partnering with manufacturers of automobiles, agricultural and construction equipment and recreational vehicles, among others, to provide solutions for maintaining and servicing these vehicles, with a continued focus on global expansion. In 2010, we generated over 42% of our revenues for the vehicle service market outside North America. With the expanding global population and demand for vehicles, we believe there are significant international opportunities in this market, particularly in China and India.

Our operating strategy is focused on creating shareholder value through:

- Continued development and optimization of our global systems and services and migration to regional shared service centers;
- Increased globalization with a focus on developing economies and markets;
- Innovation focused on providing new or enhanced solutions to our customers;
- Continuous operating improvement through lean initiatives and supply chain management; and
- Strategic acquisitions focused on expanding our presence in our core markets.

Our strategy to create shareholder value also focuses on environmental sustainability and conducting our business with a high level of ethics and integrity.

Unless otherwise indicated, amounts provided throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K relate to continuing operations only.

Segments

Over the last few years, we have implemented a number of operating initiatives, including expansion in developing markets, innovation and new product development, continuous improvement driven by lean methodologies, supply chain management, information technology infrastructure improvement, and organizational and talent development. These initiatives are intended to, among other things, capture synergies within our businesses to ultimately drive revenues, profit margin and cash flow growth. We believe that our businesses are well positioned for long-term growth in these financial metrics based on our current continuous improvement initiatives, the potential within the current markets they serve and the potential for expansion into additional markets.

We aggregate our operating segments into four reportable segments: Flow Technology, Test and Measurement, Thermal Equipment and Services, and Industrial Products and Services. The factors considered in determining our aggregated segments are the economic similarity of the businesses, the nature of products sold or services provided, production processes, types of customers and distribution methods. In determining our segments, we apply the threshold criteria of the Segment Reporting Topic of the Accounting Standards Codification (“Codification”) to operating income or loss of each segment before considering impairment and special charges, pensions and postretirement expense, stock-based compensation and other indirect corporate expenses. This is consistent with the way our chief operating decision maker evaluates the results of each segment. For more information on the results of our segments, including revenues by geographic area, see Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements.

Flow Technology

Our Flow Technology segment had revenues of \$1,662.2, \$1,634.1 and \$1,998.7 in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The Flow Technology segment designs, manufactures and markets products and solutions that are used to process, blend, filter, dry, meter and transport fluids with a focus on creating innovative products and systems as well as providing comprehensive aftermarket support services. Primary offerings include engineered pumps, mixers, process systems, heat exchangers, valves, and dehydration and drying technologies. Global end markets, including food and beverage, general industrial, and power and energy are served by core brands, such as APV, Lightnin, Waukesha Cherry-Burrell, Anhydro, Bran&Luebbe, Copes-Vulcan, Johnson Pump, M&J Valves, Plenty, Hankison, Gerstenberg Schröder, GD Engineering, Dollinger Filtration, Pneumatic Products, Delair, Deltech and Jemaco. Competitors in these diversified end markets include GEA Group AG, Flowserve, Alfa Laval AB, and IDEX Corporation. Channels to market consist of stocking distributors, manufacturers’ representatives and direct sales. The segment continues to focus on optimizing its global footprint while taking advantage of cross-product integration

opportunities and increasing its competitive position in global end markets. Flow Technology's solutions focus on key business drivers, such as product flexibility, process optimization, sustainability and safety.

Test and Measurement

Our Test and Measurement segment had revenues of \$924.0, \$810.4 and \$1,100.3 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. This segment engineers and manufactures branded, technologically advanced test and measurement products used on a global basis across the transportation, telecommunications and utility industries. Our technology supports the introduction of new systems, expanded services and sophisticated testing and validation. Products of the segment include specialty diagnostic service tools, fare-collection systems and portable cable and pipe locators. Our specialty diagnostic service tool products include diagnostic systems and service equipment, as well as specialty tools. We sell specialty diagnostic service tools to franchised vehicle dealers of original equipment manufacturers ("OEM"s), as well as aftermarket franchised and independent repair facilities, under brand names including OTC, Actron, AutoXray, Tecnotest, Autoboss and Robinair. The major competitors to these product lines are Snap-on Incorporated and the Bosch Group. We are a primary global provider of diagnostic service tools for motor vehicle manufacturers' dealership networks such as those associated with General Motors, Volkswagen, Chrysler Group LLC, Ford, Renault, Nissan, BMW, Jaguar, Land Rover, John Deere, Honda and Harley Davidson. Sales of specialty service tools essential to dealerships tend to vary with changes in vehicle systems design and the number of dealerships. The past two years have been quite challenging for the vehicle service market due to the economic stress on the global OEMs and the lack of demand within the global aftermarket. The changes that have occurred in the automotive industry are leading to increased global competition among OEMs. Recently there have been signs of recovery within the vehicle service market resulting in organic growth in 2010. We optimized our global footprint by reducing our North American cost structure and integrating our overseas operations. We also have transitioned key internal resources to Europe and Asia Pacific to focus on our expansion in these regions. Over 42% of our 2010 vehicle service revenues related to sales outside North America. Over time, we expect this percentage to increase as we expand our relationships with OEMs globally. Specifically, we see significant opportunities in China and India as market data suggests that the number of vehicles in these countries will increase greatly over the next decade.

The segment also sells automated fare-collection systems to municipal bus and rail transit systems, as well as ride ticket vending systems, primarily to municipalities within the North American market. Our portable cable and pipe locator line is composed of electronic testing, monitoring and inspection equipment for locating and identifying metallic sheathed fiber optic cable, horizontal boring guidance systems and inspection cameras. The segment sells this product line globally to a wide customer base, including utility and construction companies, municipalities and telecommunication companies. The primary distribution channels for the Test and Measurement segment are direct to OEMs and OEM dealers, aftermarket tool and equipment providers and retailers.

Thermal Equipment and Services

Our Thermal Equipment and Services segment had revenues of \$1,602.1, \$1,595.5 and \$1,679.1 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. This segment engineers, manufactures and services cooling, heating and ventilation products for markets throughout the world. Products of the segment include dry, wet and hybrid cooling systems for the power generation, refrigeration, HVAC and industrial markets, as well as boilers and heating and ventilation products for the commercial and residential markets. This segment also provides thermal components for power generation plants and engineered services to maintain, refurbish, upgrade and modernize power stations. Approximately two-thirds of the segment's 2010 revenues were from sales to the power generation market. The segment has a balanced presence geographically, with a strong presence in North America, Europe, China, and South Africa. The segment continues to expand its product offerings from a geographic perspective. In December 2009, the segment's SPX Heat Transfer Inc. subsidiary acquired substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Yuba Heat Transfer, LLC (the "SPX Heat Transfer acquisition"), a leading supplier of heat transfer technology to the U.S. power generation market. In addition, during 2009, the segment entered into a joint venture with Thermax Limited to market certain of our thermal components to India's rapidly growing power sector and selected regions in Southeast Asia. Thermax Limited is a leader in energy and environmental management in the Indian market. The segment's products and services are sold under the brand names of Marley, Balcke-Duerr, Ceramic, Yuba, Ecolaire and Recold, among others, with the major competitors to these product and service lines being Evapco, Inc., GEA Group AG, Alstom SA, Siemens AG, Hamon & Cie, Baltimore Aircoil Company, Thermal Engineering International, SHOUHANG IHW Resources Saving Technology Co., Harbin Air Conditioning Co., and Beijing Longyuan Cooling Technology Co.

The segment's boiler products include a complete line of gas and oil fired cast iron boilers for heating in residential and commercial applications, as well as ancillary equipment. The segment's primary boiler products competitors are Burnham Holdings, Inc. and Buderus. The segment's heating and ventilation product line includes i) baseboard, wall unit and portable

heaters, ii) commercial cabinet and infrared heaters, iii) thermostats and controls, iv) air curtains and v) circulating fans. The segment sells heating and ventilation products under the Berko, Qmark, Farenheat and Leading Edge brand names, with the principal competitors being TPI Corporation, Ouellet, King Electric, Systemair Mfg. LLC, Cadet Manufacturing Company and Dimplex North America Ltd. for heating products and TPI Corporation, Broan-NuTone LLC and Airmaster Fan Company for ventilation products. The segment continues to focus on expanding its global reach, including increasing its dry cooling, heating and ventilation presence in Asia, as well as its thermal components and service offerings.

The segment's South African subsidiary has a Black Economic Empowerment noncontrolling interest shareholder, which holds a 25.1% interest.

The primary distribution channels for the Thermal Equipment and Services segment are direct to customers, independent manufacturing representatives, third-party distributors and retailers.

Industrial Products and Services

Our Industrial Products and Services segment had revenues of \$698.5, \$805.6 and \$1,048.5 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Of the segment's 2010 revenues, approximately 36% was from the sale of power transformers into the U.S. transmission and distribution market. We are a leading provider of medium sized transformers (Megavolt-Amphere between 10 and 60) in the United States. We sell transformers under the Waukesha Electric brand name. Typical customers for this product line are public and privately held utilities. Our key competitors in this market include ABB Ltd. (Kuhlman Electric Corporation) and GE-Prolec. During 2010, we announced the expansion of our Waukesha, WI manufacturing facility which, upon completion, is designed to enhance our ability to provide larger capacity transformers.

Additionally, this segment designs and manufactures industrial tools and hydraulic units, precision machine components for the aerospace industry, crystal growing machines for the solar power generation market, television, radio, cell phone and data transmission broadcast antenna systems, communications and signal monitoring systems, and precision controlled industrial ovens and chambers. The primary distribution channels for the Industrial Products and Services segment are direct to customers, independent manufacturing representatives and third-party distributors.

Acquisitions

We regularly review and negotiate potential acquisitions in the ordinary course of business, some of which are or may be material. We will continue to pursue acquisitions and we may consider acquisitions of businesses with more than \$1,000.0 in annual revenues.

In July 2010, in the Flow Technology segment, we completed the acquisition of the Anhydro business ("Anhydro"), a global supplier of liquid concentration equipment, powder processing solutions, and dewatering plants and equipment, for a purchase price of \$59.1, net of cash acquired of \$10.9. Anhydro had revenues of approximately \$71.0 in the twelve months prior to the date of acquisition.

In April 2010, in the Industrial Products and Services segment, we completed the acquisition of Torque Tension Systems Ltd. ("TTS"), a leading global supplier of hydraulic torque wrench and tensioner tool products, for a purchase price of \$15.7, net of cash acquired of \$2.4. TTS had revenues of approximately \$9.0 in the twelve months prior to the date of acquisition.

In February 2010, in the Flow Technology segment, we completed the acquisition of Gerstenberg Schröder A/S ("Gerstenberg"), a leading designer, manufacturer, installer and servicer of processing systems and components serving the global food industry, for a purchase price of \$30.9, net of cash acquired of \$3.5 and including debt assumed of \$3.9. Gerstenberg had revenues of approximately \$57.0 in the twelve months prior to the date of acquisition.

The pro forma effects of the acquisitions of Anhydro, TTS, and Gerstenberg were not material individually or in the aggregate to our results of operations.

On February 16, 2011, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire all the assets of Teradyne Inc.'s Diagnostic Solutions business ("TDS"), a leading global supplier of diagnostic solutions for transportation OEMs and automotive dealerships. TDS had revenues of approximately \$40.0 in 2010. We expect to complete the acquisition during the first half of 2011.

Divestitures

As part of our operating strategy, we regularly review and negotiate potential divestitures in the ordinary course of business, some of which are or may be material. As a result of this continuous review, we determined that certain of our businesses would be better strategic fits with other companies or investors. We report businesses or asset groups as discontinued operations when the operations and cash flows of the business or asset group have been or are expected to be eliminated, when we do not expect to have any continuing involvement with the business or asset group after the disposal transaction, and when we have met these additional six criteria:

- Management has approved a plan to sell the business or asset group;
- The business or asset group is available for immediate sale;
- An active program to sell the business or asset group has been initiated;
- The sale of the business or asset group is probable within one year;
- The marketed sales value of the business or asset group is reasonable in relation to its current fair value; and
- It is unlikely that the plan to divest the business or asset group will be significantly altered or withdrawn.

The following businesses, all of which had been sold by December 31, 2010, met the above requirements and therefore have been reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented:

<u>Business</u>	<u>Quarter Discontinued</u>	<u>Quarter of Sale</u>
Cooling Spain Packaging business ("Cooling Spain")	Q4 2010	Q4 2010
P.S.D., Inc. ("PSD")	Q2 2009	Q1 2010
Automotive Filtration Solutions business ("Filtran")	Q4 2008	Q4 2009
Dezurik	Q3 2008	Q1 2009
Scales and Counting Systems business ("Scales")	Q3 2008	Q4 2008
Vibration Testing and Data Acquisition Equipment business ("LDS")	Q1 2008	Q4 2008
Air Filtration	Q3 2007	Q3 2008

Joint Venture

We have a joint venture, EGS Electrical Group, LLC and Subsidiaries ("EGS"), with Emerson Electric Co., in which we hold a 44.5% interest. Emerson Electric Co. controls and operates the joint venture. EGS operates primarily in the United States, Brazil, Canada and France, and is engaged in the manufacture of electrical fittings, hazardous location lighting and power conditioning products. We account for our investment under the equity method of accounting, on a three-month lag basis. We typically receive our share of this joint venture's earnings in cash dividends paid quarterly.

See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements for more information on EGS.

International Operations

We are a multinational corporation with operations in over 35 countries. Our export sales from the United States were \$328.2, \$289.6, and \$553.5 in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

See Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements for more information on our international operations.

Research and Development

We are actively engaged in research and development programs designed to improve existing products and manufacturing methods and to develop new products to better serve our current and future customers. These efforts encompass all our products with divisional engineering teams coordinating their resources. We place particular emphasis on the development of new products that are compatible with, and build upon, our manufacturing and marketing capabilities.

We spent \$69.5, \$58.7 and \$67.2 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, on research activities relating to the development and improvement of our products.

Patents/Trademarks

We own over 700 domestic patents and 200 foreign patents, including approximately 50 patents that were issued in 2010, covering a variety of our products and manufacturing methods. We also own a number of registered trademarks. Although in the aggregate our patents and trademarks are of considerable importance in the operation of our business, we do not consider any single patent or trademark to be of such importance that its absence would adversely affect our ability to conduct business as presently constituted. We are both a licensor and licensee of patents. For more information, please refer to "Risk Factors."

Outsourcing and Raw Materials

We manufacture many of the components used in our products; however, our strategy includes outsourcing some components and sub-assemblies to other companies where strategically and economically beneficial. In instances where we depend on third-party suppliers for outsourced products or components, we are subject to the risk of customer dissatisfaction with the quality or performance of the products we sell due to supplier failure. In addition, business difficulties experienced by a third-party supplier can lead to the interruption of our ability to obtain the outsourced product and ultimately to our inability to supply products to our customers. We believe that we generally will be able to continue to obtain adequate supplies of key products or appropriate substitutes at reasonable costs.

We are subject to potential increases in the prices of many of our key raw materials, including petroleum-based products, steel and copper. In recent years we have generally been able to offset increases in raw material costs across our segments mainly through effective price increases. Occasionally, we are subject to long-term supplier contracts, which may increase our exposure to pricing fluctuations.

Because of our diverse products and services, as well as the wide geographic dispersion of our production facilities, we use numerous sources for the raw materials needed in our operations. We are not significantly dependent on any one or a limited number of suppliers, and we have been able to obtain suitable quantities of necessary raw materials at competitive prices.

Competition

Although our businesses are in highly competitive markets, our competitive position cannot be determined accurately in the aggregate or by segment since our competitors do not offer all the same product lines or serve all the same markets as we do. In addition, specific reliable comparative figures are not available for many of our competitors. In most product groups, competition comes from numerous concerns, both large and small. The principal methods of competition are service, product performance, technical innovation and price. These methods vary with the type of product sold. We believe that we can compete effectively on the basis of each of these factors as they apply to the various products and services offered. See "Segments" above for a discussion of our competitors.

Environmental Matters

See "MD&A — Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates — Contingent Liabilities," "Risk Factors" and Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for information regarding environmental matters.

Employment

At December 31, 2010, we had approximately 15,500 employees. Nine domestic collective bargaining agreements cover approximately 1,000 employees. We also have various collective labor arrangements covering certain non-U.S. employee groups. While we generally have experienced satisfactory labor relations, we are subject to potential union campaigns, work stoppages, union negotiations and other potential labor disputes.

Executive Officers

See Part III, Item 10 of this report for information about our executive officers.

Other Matters

No customer or group of customers that, to our knowledge, are under common control accounted for more than 10% of our consolidated revenues for any period presented.

Our businesses maintain sufficient levels of working capital to support customer requirements, particularly inventory. We believe that our businesses' sales and payment terms are generally similar to those of our competitors.

Many of our businesses closely follow changes in the industries and end markets that they serve. In addition, certain businesses have seasonal fluctuations. Revenues for our Test and Measurement segment primarily follow customer-specified program launch timing for diagnostic systems and service equipment. Demand for products in our Thermal Equipment and Services segment is correlated to contract timing on large construction contracts and is also driven by seasonal weather patterns, both of which may cause significant fluctuations from period to period. Historically, our businesses generally tend to be stronger in the second half of the year.

Our website address is www.spx.com. Information on our website is not incorporated by reference herein. We file reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to these reports. Copies of these reports are available free of charge on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we file the reports with the SEC. The SEC also maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that website is www.sec.gov. Additionally, you may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

(All amounts are in millions, except per share data)

You should consider the risks described below and elsewhere in our documents filed with the SEC before investing in any of our securities. We may amend, supplement or add to the risk factors described below from time to time in future reports filed with the SEC.

Many of the industries in which we operate are cyclical or are subject to industry events, and our results have been and could be affected as a result.

Many of the business areas in which we operate are subject to general economic cycles or industry events. Certain of our businesses are subject to specific industry cycles or events, including, but not limited to:

- Customer demand for electricity transformers, which impacts our Industrial Products and Services segment;
- The oil and gas, chemical and petrochemical markets, which influence our Flow Technology segment;
- The electric power and infrastructure markets and events, which influence our Thermal Equipment and Services and Industrial Products and Services segments; and
- The correlation between demand for cooling systems and towers within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment and contract timing on large construction projects, which may cause significant fluctuations in revenues and profits from period to period.

Cyclical changes and specific market events could also affect sales of products in our other businesses. The downturns in the business cycles of our different operations may occur at the same time, which could exacerbate any adverse effects on our business. See “MD&A — Segment Results of Operations.” In addition, certain of our businesses have seasonal fluctuations. Historically, our businesses generally tend to be stronger in the second half of the year.

Difficulties presented by international economic, political, legal, accounting and business factors could negatively affect our interests and business effort.

We are an increasingly global company, with a significant portion of our sales taking place outside the United States. In 2010, approximately 55% of our revenues were generated outside the United States and we expect that approximately 50% of our revenues will be generated outside the United States in 2011. We have placed a particular emphasis on expanding our presence in developing markets.

As part of our strategy, we manage businesses with manufacturing facilities worldwide, many of which are located outside the United States.

Our reliance on non-U.S. revenues and non-U.S. manufacturing bases exposes us to a number of risks, including:

- Significant competition from local or long-time participants in non-U.S. markets who may have significantly greater market knowledge and substantially greater resources than we do;
- Local customers may have a preference for locally-produced products. For example, we continue to face significant competition from local suppliers in China;
- Failure to comply with U.S. or non-U.S. laws regulating trade, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, could result in adverse consequences, including fines, criminal sanctions, or loss of access to markets;
- Regulatory or political systems or barriers may make it difficult or impossible to enter or remain in new markets. In addition, these barriers may impact our existing businesses, including making it more difficult for them to grow;
- Domestic and foreign customs and tariffs may make it difficult or impossible for us to move our products or profits across borders in a cost-effective manner;
- Transportation and shipping expenses add cost to our products;
- Complications related to shipping, including delays due to weather, labor action, or customs, may impact our profit margins or lead to lost business;
- Credit risk or financial condition of local customers and distributors could affect our ability to market our products or collect receivables;

- Nationalization of private enterprises could harm our business;
- Government embargoes or foreign trade restrictions such as anti-dumping duties, as well as the imposition of trade sanctions by the United States or the European Union against a class of products imported by us from, sold by us and exported to, or the loss of “normal trade relations” status with, countries in which we conduct business could significantly increase our cost of products imported into the United States or Europe or reduce our sales and harm our business;
- Environmental and other laws and regulations could increase our costs or limit our ability to run our business;
- Our ability to obtain supplies from foreign vendors and ship products internationally may be impaired during times of crisis or otherwise;
- It may be difficult or impossible to protect our intellectual property;
- Local, regional or worldwide hostilities could impact our operations;
- Distance, language and cultural differences may make it more difficult to manage the business and employees, and to effectively market our products and services;
- Potential imposition of restrictions on investments; and
- Local political, economic and social conditions, including the possibility of hyperinflationary conditions and political instability could adversely impact our operations.

As an increasing percentage of our products is manufactured in China, South Africa and other developing countries, health conditions and other factors affecting social and economic activity in these countries or affecting the movement of people and products into and from these countries to our major markets, including North America and Europe, could have a significant negative effect on our operations.

Given the importance of our international sales and sourcing of manufacturing, the occurrence of any risk described above could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Currency conversion risk could have a material impact on our reported results of business operations.

Our sales are translated into U.S. dollars for reporting purposes. The strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar could result in unfavorable translation effects as the results of transactions in foreign countries are translated into U.S. dollars. In addition, sales and purchases in currencies other than the U.S. dollar expose us to fluctuations in foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar. Increased strength of the U.S. dollar will increase the effective price of our products sold in U.S. dollars into other countries, which may have a material adverse effect on sales or require us to lower our prices, and also decrease our reported revenues or margins in respect of sales conducted in foreign currencies to the extent we are unable or determine not to increase local currency prices. Likewise, decreased strength of the U.S. dollar could have a material adverse effect on the cost of materials and products purchased overseas.

A portion of our revenues is generated through long-term fixed-price contracts, which entail risks including cost overruns, inflation, delays and credit and other counterparty risks.

A portion of our revenues and earnings is generated through long-term fixed-price contracts, particularly in our Thermal Equipment and Services and Flow Technology segments. We recognize revenues from certain of these contracts using the percentage-of-completion method of accounting whereby revenues and expenses, and thereby profit, in a given period are determined based on our estimates as to the project status and the costs remaining to complete a particular project.

Estimates of total revenues and cost at completion are subject to many variables, including the length of time to complete a contract. In addition, contract delays may negatively impact these estimates and our revenues and earnings results for affected periods.

To the extent that we underestimate the remaining cost to complete a project, we may overstate the revenues and profit in a particular period. Further, certain of these contracts provide for penalties or liquidated damages for failure to timely perform our obligations under the contract, or require that we, at our expense, correct and remedy to the satisfaction of the other party certain defects. Because some of our long-term contracts are at a fixed price, we face the risk that cost overruns or inflation may exceed, erode or eliminate our expected profit margin, or cause us to record a loss on our projects. Additionally, customers of our long-term contracts may suffer financial difficulties that make them unable to pay for a project when completed, or they may decide not to pay us, either as a matter of corporate decision-making or in response to changes in local laws and regulations.

We cannot assure you that expenses or losses for uncollectible billings relating to our long-term fixed-price contracts will not have a material adverse effect on our revenues and earnings.

Worldwide economic conditions could negatively impact our businesses.

The general worldwide depressed economic conditions that began in 2008 continue to affect many industries, including industries in which we or our customers operate. These conditions could negatively impact our businesses by adversely affecting, among other things, our:

- Revenues;
- Profits;
- Margins;
- Cash flows;
- Suppliers' and distributors' ability to perform and the availability and costs of materials and subcontracted services;
- Customers' orders;
- Order cancellation activity or delays on existing orders;
- Customers' ability to access credit; and
- Customers' ability to pay amounts due to us.

While it is difficult to predict the duration or severity of these conditions, our projections for 2011 assume a generally improving economy. If economic conditions fail to improve, the negative impact on our businesses could increase or continue for longer than we expect. See MD&A for further discussion of how these conditions have affected our businesses to date and how they may affect them in the future.

Our indebtedness may affect our business and may restrict our operating flexibility.

At December 31, 2010, we had \$1,197.6 in total indebtedness. On that same date, we had \$503.6 of available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facilities after giving effect to \$96.4 reserved for outstanding letters of credit. In addition, we had \$179.8 of available issuance capacity under our foreign trade facility after giving effect to \$770.2 reserved for outstanding letters of credit. At December 31, 2010, our cash and equivalents balance was \$455.4. See MD&A and Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion. We may incur additional indebtedness in the future, including indebtedness incurred to finance, or which is assumed in connection with, acquisitions. We may in the future renegotiate or refinance our senior credit facilities, senior notes or other debt facilities, or enter into additional agreements that have different or more stringent terms. The level of our indebtedness could:

- Limit our ability to obtain, or obtain on favorable terms, additional debt financing for working capital, capital expenditures or acquisitions;
- Limit our flexibility in reacting to competitive and other changes in the industry and economic conditions;
- Limit our ability to pay dividends on our common stock;
- Coupled with a substantial decrease in net operating cash flows due to economic developments or adverse developments in our business, make it difficult to meet debt service requirements; and
- Expose us to interest rate fluctuations to the extent existing borrowings are, and any new borrowings may be, at variable rates of interest, which could result in higher interest expense and interest payments in the event of increases in interest rates.

Our ability to make scheduled payments of principal or pay interest on, or to refinance, our indebtedness and to satisfy our other debt obligations will depend upon our future operating performance, which may be affected by general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory, business and other factors beyond our control. In addition, we cannot assure that future borrowings or equity financing will be available for the payment or refinancing of our indebtedness. If we are unable to service our indebtedness, whether in the ordinary course of business or upon an acceleration of such indebtedness, we may pursue one or more alternative strategies, such as restructuring or refinancing our indebtedness, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital expenditures, revising implementation of or delaying strategic plans or seeking additional equity capital. Any of these actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and stock price. In

addition, we cannot assure that we would be able to take any of these actions, that these actions would enable us to continue to satisfy our capital requirements, or that these actions would be permitted under the terms of our various debt agreements.

Numerous banks in many countries are syndicate members in our credit facility. Failure of one or more of our larger lenders, or several of our smaller lenders, could reduce availability of our credit, which could harm our liquidity.

We may not be able to finance future needs or adapt our business plan to react to changes in economic or business conditions because of restrictions placed on us by our senior credit facilities and any existing or future instruments governing our other indebtedness.

Our senior credit facilities, the indentures governing our senior notes and agreements governing our other indebtedness contain, or future or revised instruments may contain, a number of restrictions and covenants that limit our ability to make distributions or other payments to our investors and creditors unless certain financial tests or other criteria are satisfied. We also must comply with certain specified financial ratios and tests. Our subsidiaries may also be subject to restrictions on their ability to make distributions to us. In addition, our senior credit facilities, indentures governing our senior notes and any other agreements contain or may contain additional affirmative and negative covenants. Existing restrictions are described more fully in the MD&A and Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements. Each of these restrictions could affect our ability to operate our business and may limit our ability to take advantage of potential business opportunities, such as acquisitions.

If we do not comply with the covenants and restrictions contained in our senior credit facilities, indentures governing our senior notes and agreements governing our other indebtedness, we could be in default under those agreements, and the debt, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If we default under our senior credit facilities, the lenders could cause all our outstanding debt obligations under our senior credit facilities to become due and payable or require us to apply all of our cash to repay the indebtedness we owe. If our debt is accelerated, we may not be able to repay or refinance our debt. Even if we are able to obtain new financing, we may not be able to repay our debt or borrow sufficient funds to refinance it. In addition, any default under our senior credit facilities, indentures governing our senior notes or agreements governing our other indebtedness could lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross-acceleration or cross-default provisions. If the indebtedness under our senior credit facilities is accelerated, we may not have sufficient assets to repay amounts due under our senior credit facilities, senior notes or other debt securities then outstanding. Our ability to comply with these provisions of our senior credit facilities, indentures governing our senior notes and agreements governing our other indebtedness will be affected by changes in the economic or business conditions or other events beyond our control. Complying with our covenants may also cause us to take actions that are not favorable to us and may make it more difficult for us to successfully execute our business strategy and compete, including against companies that are not subject to such restrictions.

We are subject to laws, regulations and potential liability relating to claims, complaints and proceedings, including those relating to environmental and other matters.

We are subject to various laws, ordinances, regulations and other requirements of government authorities in the United States and other nations. With respect to acquisitions, divestitures and continuing operations, we may acquire or retain liabilities of which we are not aware, or of a different character or magnitude than expected. Additionally, changes in laws, ordinances, regulations or other governmental policies may significantly increase our expenses and liabilities.

We face environmental exposures including, for example, those relating to discharges from and materials handled as part of our operations, the remediation of soil and groundwater contaminated by petroleum products or hazardous substances or wastes, and the health and safety of our employees. We may be liable for the costs of investigation, removal or remediation of hazardous substances or petroleum products on, under, or in our current or formerly owned or leased property, or from a third-party disposal facility that we may have used, without regard to whether we knew of, or caused, the presence of the contaminants. The presence of, or failure to properly remediate, these substances may have adverse effects, including, for example, substantial investigative or remedial obligations and limitations on the ability to sell or rent affected property or to borrow funds using affected property as collateral. New or existing environmental matters or changes in environmental laws or policies could lead to material costs for environmental compliance or cleanup. There can be no assurance that these liabilities and costs will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Numerous claims, complaints and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including those relating to litigation matters (e.g., class actions, derivative lawsuits and contracts, intellectual property and competitive claims), environmental matters, and risk management matters (e.g., product and general liability, automobile, and workers' compensation claims), have been filed or are pending against us and certain of our subsidiaries. From time to time, we face

actions by governmental authorities, both in and outside the United States. Additionally, we may become subject to significant claims, of which we are currently unaware or the claims of which we are aware may result in our incurring a significantly greater liability than we anticipate. Our insurance may be insufficient or unavailable to protect us against potential loss exposures. We have increased our self-insurance limits over the past several years, which has increased our uninsured exposure.

We devote significant time and expense to defense against the various claims, complaints and proceedings brought against us, and we cannot assure you that the expenses or distractions from operating our businesses arising from these defenses will not increase materially.

We cannot assure you that our accruals and right to indemnity and insurance will be sufficient, that recoveries from insurance or indemnification claims will be available or that any of our current or future claims or other matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. See “MD&A — Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates — Contingent Liabilities.”

Changes in tax laws and regulations or other factors could cause our income tax rate to increase, potentially reducing our net income and adversely affecting our cash flows.

As a global manufacturing company, we are subject to taxation in various jurisdictions around the world. In preparing our financial statements, we calculate our effective income tax rate based on current tax laws and regulations and the estimated taxable income within each of these jurisdictions. Our effective income tax rate, however, may be higher due to numerous factors, including changes in tax laws or regulations. An effective income tax rate significantly higher than our expectations could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and liquidity.

Officials in some of the jurisdictions in which we do business have proposed, or announced that they are reviewing, tax increases and other revenue-raising laws and regulations. Any resulting changes in tax laws or regulations could impose new restrictions, costs or prohibitions on our current practices and reduce our net income and adversely affect our cash flows.

Changes in key estimates and assumptions, such as discount rates, assumed long-term return on assets, assumed long-term trends of future cost, and accounting and legislative changes, as well as actual investment returns on our pension plan assets and other actuarial factors, could affect our results of operations and cash flows.

We have defined benefit pension and postretirement plans, including both qualified and non-qualified plans, that cover a portion of our salaried and hourly employees and retirees including a portion of our employees and retirees in foreign countries. As of December 31, 2010, these plans were underfunded by \$463.5. The determination of funding requirements and pension expense or income associated with these plans involves significant judgment, particularly with respect to discount rates, long-term returns on assets, long-term trends of future costs and other actuarial assumptions. If our assumptions change significantly due to changes in economic, legislative and/or demographic experience or circumstances, our pension and other benefit plans' expense, funded status and our cash contributions to such plans could be negatively impacted. In addition, the difference between our actual investment returns and our long-term return on assets assumptions could result in a change to our pension plans' expense, funded status and our required contributions to the plans. Changes in regulations or law could also significantly impact our obligations. For example, See “MD&A — Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates” for the impact that changes in certain assumptions used in the calculation of our costs and obligations associated with these plans could have on our results of operations and financial position.

The price and availability of raw materials may adversely affect our results.

We are exposed to a variety of market risks, including inflation in the prices and shortages of raw materials. In recent years, we have faced significant volatility in the prices of many of our key raw materials, including petroleum-based products, steel and copper. Increases in the prices of raw materials or shortages or allocations of materials may have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, as we may not be able to pass cost increases on to our customers, or our sales may be reduced. We are subject to long-term supplier contracts that may increase our exposure to pricing fluctuations.

Our failure to successfully integrate acquisitions could have a negative effect on our operations; our acquisitions could cause financial difficulties.

Our acquisitions involve a number of risks and present financial, managerial and operational challenges, including:

- Adverse effects on our reported operating results due to charges to earnings, including impairment charges associated with goodwill and other intangibles;
- Diversion of management attention from running our businesses;
- Integration of technology, operations, personnel and financial and other systems;
- Increased expenses;
- Increased foreign operations, often with unique issues relating to corporate culture, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and other challenges;
- Assumption of known and unknown liabilities and exposure to litigation;
- Increased levels of debt or dilution to existing shareholders; and
- Potential disputes with the sellers of acquired businesses, technology, services or products.

In addition, internal controls over financial reporting of acquired companies may not be up to required standards. Issues may exist that could rise to the level of significant deficiencies or, in some cases, material weaknesses, particularly with respect to foreign companies or non-public U.S. companies.

Our integration activities may place substantial demands on our management, operational resources and financial and internal control systems. Customer dissatisfaction or performance problems with an acquired business, technology, service or product could also have a material adverse effect on our reputation and business.

We may not achieve the expected cost savings and other benefits of our acquisitions.

We strive for and expect to achieve cost savings in connection with our acquisitions, including: (i) manufacturing process and supply chain rationalization; (ii) streamlining redundant administrative overhead and support activities; and (iii) restructuring and repositioning sales and marketing organizations to eliminate redundancies. Cost savings expectations are estimates that are inherently difficult to predict and are necessarily speculative in nature, and we cannot assure you that we will achieve expected, or any, cost savings. In addition, we cannot assure you that unforeseen factors will not offset the estimated cost savings or other benefits from our acquisitions. As a result, anticipated benefits could be delayed, differ significantly from our estimates and the other information contained in this report, or not be realized.

Our failure to successfully complete acquisitions could negatively affect us.

We may not be able to consummate desired acquisitions, which could materially impact our growth rate, results of operations, future cash flows and stock price. Our ability to achieve our goals depends upon, among other things, our ability to identify and successfully acquire companies, businesses and product lines, to effectively integrate them and to achieve cost effectiveness. We may also be unable to raise any additional funds necessary to consummate these acquisitions. In addition, decreases in our stock price may adversely affect our ability to consummate acquisitions. Competition for acquisitions in our business areas may be significant and result in higher prices for businesses, including businesses that we may target, which may also affect our acquisition rate or benefits achieved from our acquisitions.

We operate in highly competitive industries. Our failure to compete effectively could harm our business.

We operate in a highly competitive environment, competing on the basis of product offerings, technical capabilities, quality, service and pricing. We have a number of competitors, some of which are large, with substantial technological and financial resources, brand recognition and established relationships with global service providers. Some of our competitors have low cost structures, support from governments in their home countries, or both. In addition, new competitors may enter the industry. Competitors may be able to offer lower prices, additional products or services or a more attractive mix of products or services, or services or other incentives that we cannot or will not match. These competitors may be in a stronger position to respond quickly to new or emerging technologies and may be able to undertake more extensive marketing campaigns, and make more attractive offers to potential customers, employees and strategic partners.

Our strategy to outsource various elements of the products we sell subjects us to the business risks of our suppliers, which could have a material adverse impact on our operations.

In areas where we depend on third-party suppliers for outsourced products or components, we are subject to the risk of customer dissatisfaction with the quality or performance of the products we sell due to supplier failure. In addition, business difficulties experienced by a third-party supplier can lead to the interruption of our ability to obtain the outsourced product and ultimately our inability to supply products to our customers. Third-party supplier business interruptions can include, but are not limited to, work stoppages and union negotiations and other labor disputes. Current economic conditions could impact the ability of suppliers to access credit and, thus, impair their ability to provide us quality product in a timely manner, or at all.

Dispositions or our failure to successfully complete dispositions could negatively affect us.

Our dispositions involve a number of risks and present financial, managerial and operational challenges, including diversion of management attention from running our core businesses, increased expense associated with the dispositions, potential disputes with the customers or suppliers of the disposed businesses, potential disputes with the acquirers of the disposed businesses and a potential dilutive effect on our earnings per share. If dispositions are not completed in a timely manner there may be a negative effect on our cash flows and/or our ability to execute our strategy. See “Business”, “MD&A — Results of Discontinued Operations”, and Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for the status of our divestitures.

Increases in the number of shares of our outstanding common stock could adversely affect our common stock price or dilute our earnings per share.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of common stock into the public market, or the perception that these sales could occur, could have a material adverse effect on our stock price. As of December 31, 2010, we had the ability to issue up to an additional 5.0 shares as restricted stock, restricted stock units, or stock options under our 2002 Stock Compensation Plan, as amended in 2006. Additionally, we may issue a significant number of additional shares, in connection with acquisitions or otherwise. We also have a shelf registration statement for 8.3 shares of common stock that may be issued in connection with acquisitions. Additional shares issued would have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share.

The loss of key personnel and any inability to attract and retain qualified employees could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

We are dependent on the continued services of our leadership team. The loss of these personnel without adequate replacement could have a material adverse effect on our operations. Additionally, we need qualified managers and skilled employees with technical and manufacturing industry experience in many locations in order to operate our business successfully. From time to time, there may be a shortage of skilled labor, which may make it more difficult and expensive for us to attract and retain qualified employees. If we were unable to attract and retain sufficient numbers of qualified individuals or our costs to do so were to increase significantly, our operations could be materially adversely affected.

If the fair value of any of our reporting units is insufficient to recover the carrying value of the goodwill and other intangibles of the respective reporting unit, a material non-cash charge to earnings could result.

At December 31, 2010, we had goodwill and other intangible assets, net of \$2,354.1. We conduct annual impairment testing to determine if we will be able to recover all or a portion of the carrying value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles. In addition, we review goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment more frequently if impairment indicators arise. If the fair value is insufficient to recover the carrying value of our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles, we may be required to record a material non-cash charge to earnings.

The fair values of our reporting units generally are based on discounted cash flow projections that are believed to be reasonable under current and forecasted circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about carrying values of the reported net assets of our reporting units. Other considerations are also incorporated, including comparable industry price multiples. Many of our businesses closely follow changes in the industries and end markets that they serve. Accordingly, we consider estimates and judgments that affect the future cash flow projections, including principal methods of competition such as volume, price, service, product performance and technical innovations and estimates associated with cost improvement initiatives, capacity utilization, and assumptions for inflation and foreign currency changes. We monitor impairment indicators across all of our businesses. Significant changes in market conditions and estimates or judgments used to determine expected future cash flows that indicate a reduction in carrying value may give, and have given, rise to impairments in the period that the change becomes known.

In connection with our annual goodwill impairment testing in 2010, we determined that the estimated fair value of our SPX Heat Transfer and Anhydro reporting units, businesses that were acquired in the last 18 months, were comparable to the carrying value of their respective net assets. Goodwill attributable to these reporting units totaled \$64.1 at December 31, 2010.

We are subject to work stoppages, union negotiations, labor disputes and other matters associated with our labor force, which may adversely impact our operations and cause us to incur incremental costs.

At December 31, 2010, we had approximately 15,500 employees. Nine domestic collective bargaining agreements cover approximately 1,000 employees. We also have various collective labor arrangements covering certain non-U.S. employee groups. We are subject to potential union campaigns, work stoppages, union negotiations and other potential labor disputes. Further, we may be subject to work stoppages, which are beyond our control, at our suppliers or customers.

We could be affected by laws or regulations enacted in response to concerns regarding climate change.

Changes in laws or regulations enacted in response to concerns over potential climate change could increase our costs or impact markets for our products. However, we cannot currently estimate the effects, if any, of changes in climate change-related laws or regulations on our business.

Our technology is important to our success, and failure to develop new products may result in a significant competitive disadvantage.

We believe that the development and protection of our intellectual property rights is critical to the success of our business. In order to maintain our market positions and margins, we need to continually develop and introduce high quality, technologically advanced and cost effective products on a timely basis, in many cases in multiple jurisdictions around the world. The failure to do so could result in a significant competitive disadvantage.

Additionally, despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties or competitors may copy or otherwise obtain and use our products or technology. The steps we have taken may not prevent unauthorized use of our technology, particularly in foreign countries where the laws may not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the United States. Expenses in connection with defending our rights may be material.

If we are unable to protect our information systems against data corruption, cyber-based attacks or network security breaches, our operations could be disrupted.

We are increasingly dependent on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information. In particular, we depend on our information technology infrastructure for electronic communications among our locations around the world and between our personnel and suppliers and customers. Security breaches of this infrastructure can create system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. If we are unable to prevent such breaches, our operations could be disrupted or we may suffer financial damage or loss because of lost or misappropriated information.

Cost reduction actions may affect our business.

Cost reduction actions often result in charges against earnings. These charges can vary significantly from period to period and, as a result, we may experience fluctuations in our reported net income and earnings per share due to the timing of restructuring actions, which in turn can have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Our current and planned products may contain defects or errors that are detected only after delivery to customers. If that occurs, our reputation may be harmed and we may face additional costs.

We cannot assure you that our product development, manufacturing and integration testing will be adequate to detect all defects, errors, failures and quality issues that could impact customer satisfaction or result in claims against us with regard to our products. As a result, we may have, and from time to time have had, to replace certain components and/or provide remediation in response to the discovery of defects in products that are shipped. The occurrence of any defects, errors, failures or quality issues could result in cancellation of orders, product returns, diversion of our resources, legal actions by our customers or our customers' end users and other losses to us or to our customers or end users, and could also result in the loss of or delay in market acceptance of our products and loss of sales, which would harm our business and adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

Provisions in our corporate documents and Delaware law may delay or prevent a change in control of our company, and accordingly, we may not consummate a transaction that our shareholders consider favorable.

Provisions of our Certificate of Incorporation and By-laws may inhibit changes in control of our company not approved by our Board. These provisions include, for example: a staggered board of directors; a prohibition on shareholder action by written consent; a requirement that special shareholder meetings be called only by our Chairman, President or Board; advance notice requirements for shareholder proposals and nominations; limitations on shareholders' ability to amend, alter or repeal the By-laws; enhanced voting requirements for certain business combinations involving substantial shareholders; the authority of our Board to issue, without shareholder approval, preferred stock with terms determined in its discretion; and limitations on shareholders ability to remove directors. In addition, we are afforded the protections of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which could have similar effects. In general, Section 203 prohibits us from engaging in a "business combination" with an "interested shareholder" (each as defined in Section 203) for at least three years after the time the person became an interested shareholder unless certain conditions are met. These protective provisions could result in our not consummating a transaction that our shareholders consider favorable or discourage entities from attempting to acquire us, potentially at a significant premium to our then-existing stock price.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. Properties

The following is a summary of our principal properties, as of December 31, 2010, classified by segment:

	Location	No. of Facilities	Approximate Square Footage	
			Owned	Leased
			(in millions)	
Flow Technology	9 states and 21 foreign countries	60	2.8	1.8
Test and Measurement	6 states and 6 foreign countries	28	0.7	0.9
Thermal Equipment and Services	12 states and 7 foreign countries	35	4.4	2.4
Industrial Products and Services	12 states and 4 foreign countries	28	1.3	0.7
Total		<u>151</u>	<u>9.2</u>	<u>5.8</u>

In addition to manufacturing plants, we lease our corporate office in Charlotte, NC, our Asia Pacific center in Shanghai, China, our European shared service center in Manchester, United Kingdom and various sales, service and other locations throughout the world. We consider these properties, as well as the related machinery and equipment, to be well maintained and suitable and adequate for their intended purposes.

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the normal course of business. In our opinion, these matters are either without merit or of a kind that should not have a material adverse effect individually or in the aggregate on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. However, we cannot assure you that these proceedings or claims will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

See "Risk Factors," "MD&A — Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates — Contingent Liabilities," and Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion of legal proceedings.

P A R T I I

ITEM 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "SPW."

Set forth below are the high and low sales prices for our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange composite transaction reporting system for each quarterly period during the years 2010 and 2009, together with dividend information.

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Dividends per Share</u>
2010			
4 th Quarter	\$71.83	\$62.06	\$0.25
3 rd Quarter	64.14	51.36	0.25
2 nd Quarter	70.85	51.45	0.25
1 st Quarter	67.21	54.16	0.25
	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Dividends per Share</u>
2009			
4 th Quarter	\$61.94	\$52.78	\$0.25
3 rd Quarter	64.72	46.86	0.25
2 nd Quarter	53.63	41.20	0.25
1 st Quarter	52.67	38.75	0.25

The actual amount of each quarterly dividend, as well as each declaration date, record date and payment date is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, and the target dividend level may be adjusted during the year at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The factors the Board of Directors consider in determining the actual amount of each quarterly dividend includes our financial performance and on-going capital needs, our ability to declare and pay dividends under the terms of our credit facilities and any other debt instruments, and other factors deemed relevant.

There were no repurchases of common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2010. The approximate number of shareholders of record of our common stock as of February 17, 2011 was 3,776.

Company Performance

This graph shows a five year comparison of cumulative total returns for SPX, the S&P Composite Index and the S&P Capital Goods Index. The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 on December 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of dividends.



	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
— SPX Corporation	\$100.00	\$136.12	\$231.62	\$92.61	\$127.32	\$169.11
- - - S&P 500	100.00	115.79	122.16	76.96	97.33	111.99
..... S&P Capital Goods	100.00	114.86	132.74	73.50	89.16	113.00

ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data

	As of and for the year ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(In millions, except per share amounts)				
Summary of Operations					
Revenues ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$4,886.8	\$4,845.6	\$5,826.6	\$4,532.5	\$3,916.5
Operating income ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	343.2	171.0	471.6	407.5	298.8
Other expense, net ⁽⁴⁾	(21.3)	(19.7)	(1.2)	(2.3)	(26.5)
Interest expense, net ⁽⁵⁾	(107.4)	(84.6)	(105.1)	(71.1)	(50.2)
Equity earnings in joint ventures	30.2	29.4	45.5	39.9	40.8
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	244.7	96.1	410.8	374.0	262.9
Income tax provision ⁽⁶⁾	(53.1)	(47.1)	(152.3)	(84.2)	(50.6)
Income from continuing operations	191.6	49.0	258.5	289.8	212.3
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	11.2	(32.8)	14.3	6.3	(60.9)
Net income	202.8	16.2	272.8	296.1	151.4
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2.8)	(15.5)	24.9	1.9	0.7
Net income attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	<u>\$ 205.6</u>	<u>\$ 31.7</u>	<u>\$ 247.9</u>	<u>\$ 294.2</u>	<u>\$ 150.7</u>
Basic income per share of common stock:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 3.91	\$ 0.96	\$ 4.70	\$ 5.22	\$ 3.62
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.23	(0.32)	(0.07)	0.09	(1.05)
Net income per share	<u>\$ 4.14</u>	<u>\$ 0.64</u>	<u>\$ 4.63</u>	<u>\$ 5.31</u>	<u>\$ 2.57</u>
Diluted income per share of common stock:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 3.86	\$ 0.95	\$ 4.63	\$ 5.13	\$ 3.55
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.22	(0.31)	(0.07)	0.08	(1.03)
Net income per share	<u>\$ 4.08</u>	<u>\$ 0.64</u>	<u>\$ 4.56</u>	<u>\$ 5.21</u>	<u>\$ 2.52</u>
Dividends declared per share	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00
Other financial data:					
Total assets	\$5,993.3	\$5,725.0	\$6,138.1	\$6,237.4	\$5,437.1
Total debt	1,197.6	1,279.0	1,344.7	1,567.8	962.5
Other long-term obligations	1,056.5	1,055.0	912.4	812.8	831.0
SPX shareholders' equity	2,097.7	1,870.8	1,990.8	1,986.0	2,089.4
Noncontrolling interests	6.3	10.7	34.0	10.4	3.5
Capital expenditures	75.7	92.8	116.4	82.6	49.1
Depreciation and amortization	113.0	105.9	104.5	73.4	67.6

⁽¹⁾ On December 31, 2007, we completed the acquisition of APV within our Flow Technology segment. Revenues for APV in 2007 and 2006, which are not included above, totaled approximately \$876.0 and \$753.0, respectively.

⁽²⁾ During 2009, operating income was reduced by \$9.5 related to the settlement of two product liability matters. During 2007, an internal audit of an operation in Japan uncovered employee misconduct and improper accounting entries. Correction of these matters resulted in a charge of \$7.4 during 2007, with a reduction of \$2.3 to revenues, \$4.5 recorded to cost of products sold and \$0.6 recorded to selling, general and administrative expense.

During 2007, we recorded charges related to the settlement of a legacy product liability matter within our Industrial Products and Services segment of \$8.5. We also recorded a benefit of \$5.0 during 2007 within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment as a result of cost improvements associated with a state-approved environmental remediation plan at a site in California.

⁽³⁾ In 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we incurred net special charges of \$36.4, \$73.1, \$17.2, \$5.2 and \$3.8, respectively, associated with restructuring initiatives to consolidate manufacturing and other facilities, as well as asset impairments. See Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements for further details.

In 2010, we recorded a charge of \$1.7 related to the impairment of trademarks for a business within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment.

In 2009, we recorded a charge of \$187.7 related to the impairment of goodwill and \$1.0 related to the impairment of other intangible assets for our Service Solutions reporting unit. Additionally, we recorded a charge of \$6.1 related to the impairment of trademarks for a business within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment.

In 2008, we recorded \$123.0 of charges related to the impairment of goodwill (\$114.1) and other intangible assets (\$8.9) for our Weil-McLain subsidiary.

In 2007, we recorded charges of \$5.0 within corporate expense related to legacy legal matters.

In 2007, we recorded an impairment charge of \$4.0 associated with other intangible assets held by a business within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment.

See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion of impairment charges associated with goodwill and intangible assets.

- (4) In 2010 and 2009, we incurred charges of \$17.3 and \$7.7, respectively, associated with net declines in fair value of FX forward contracts and FX embedded derivatives, while in 2008 we recorded income of \$4.5 for these instruments associated with an increase in the related fair values.

In 2008, we recorded a charge of \$9.5 relating to the settlement of a lawsuit arising out of a 1997 business disposition. In 2006, we recorded a charge of \$20.0 relating to the settlement of a lawsuit with VSI Holdings, Inc.

- (5) Interest expense, net included charges in 2010 of \$25.6 associated with the loss on early extinguishment of interest rate protection agreements and term loan (see Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements) and losses on early extinguishment of debt of \$3.3 in 2007.

- (6) During 2010, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$18.2 in connection with the completion of the field examinations of our 2006 to 2007 federal income tax returns and a tax benefit of \$16.0 related to a reduction in liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with various foreign and domestic statute expirations and settlement of state examinations. These benefits were offset partially by domestic charges of \$6.2 associated with the taxation of prescription drug costs for retirees under Medicare Part D as a result of the 2010 enactment of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and \$3.6 associated with the repatriation of foreign earnings.

During 2009, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$4.9 associated with the loss on an investment in a foreign subsidiary. In addition, we recorded income tax benefits of \$7.9 during 2009 related to a reduction in liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with statute expirations and audit settlements in certain tax jurisdictions. Lastly, the tax benefit associated with the aggregate impairment charges of \$194.8 noted above was only \$25.6.

During 2008, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$25.6 associated with the audit settlement of our federal income tax returns for 2003 through 2005. In addition, the tax benefit associated with the \$123.0 of impairment charges was only \$3.6.

During 2007, in connection with the resolution of certain matters related to our federal income tax returns for the years 1995 through 2002, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$16.8. In addition, during 2007, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$11.5 associated with a reduction in the statutory tax rates in Germany and the United Kingdom. Lastly, during 2007, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$3.5 associated with the settlement of certain income tax matters in the United Kingdom, a \$4.9 benefit for the reduction of taxes accrued in prior years, and a \$3.7 refund in China related to an earnings reinvestment plan.

During 2006, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$34.7 principally associated with the settlement of certain matters relating to our 1998 to 2002 federal income tax returns.

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

(All dollar and share amounts are in millions)

The following should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes.

Executive Overview

The global economic recession had a significant impact on our 2009 operating results, as organic revenues and segment income declined 14.4% and 26.5%, respectively. During 2010, we saw signs of improvement in our operating results as three of our four segments experienced increases in revenues and operating profit during the year. Specifically, our diagnostic service tools business and the early-cycle businesses within our Flow Technology and Industrial Products and Services segments experienced increases in organic revenues and operating profit. In addition, profits for our cooling systems and products and thermal services and equipment businesses were impacted favorably by organic revenue growth and favorable project

execution in their China and South Africa regions. We have yet to experience noticeable improvement in the operating results of our mid to late-cycle businesses (e.g., our power transformer business and the large scale system business within our Flow Technology segment). However, we are encouraged by the recent favorable trend in backlog and orders, particularly within our Flow Technology segment.

On a consolidated basis, organic revenues for 2010 decreased 2.4%, while segment income totaled \$560.3 (versus \$587.8 in 2009). These overall declines were due primarily to softness in the end markets served by our mid to late-cycle businesses. Operating cash flows for 2010 totaled \$256.7, compared to \$463.2 for 2009. The decrease in operating cash flows for 2010 was attributable to additional investments in working capital, particularly accounts receivable, and \$100.0 of voluntary contributions made to fund our domestic pension plans during 2010.

Other matters of note, including significant items that impacted our 2010 financial performance, are as follows:

Significant Items that Impacted 2010 Financial Results

- Acquisitions:
 - In February and July of 2010, in the Flow Technology segment, we completed the acquisitions of Gerstenberg and the Anhydro, respectively; and
 - In December 2009, in the Thermal Equipment and Services segment, our SPX Heat Transfer Inc. subsidiary (“SPX Heat Transfer”) completed the acquisition of substantially all the assets and certain liabilities of Yuba Heat Transfer, LLC (the “SPX Heat Transfer acquisition”).
- Restructuring Activities — We incurred special charges of \$36.4 for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to \$73.1 for the year ended December 31, 2009. During 2009, we implemented significant restructuring initiatives at a number of our businesses in response to the global economic recession.
- Senior Debt Offering — In August 2010, we issued, in a private placement, \$600.0 aggregate principal amount of 6.875% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017.
 - The proceeds from the offering were used to repay the remaining balance (\$562.5) under the term loan of our senior credit facilities, to pay approximately \$26.9 in termination costs (including \$2.6 of accrued interest) for the interest rate protection agreements (“Swaps”) related to the term loan, and the remainder to pay the majority of the financing costs incurred in connection with the offering.
 - We recorded a charge during 2010 of \$24.3 for the extinguishment of the Swaps, \$1.1 for the write-off of deferred financing costs associated with the term loan, and \$0.2 of an early termination fee.
- Income Taxes:
 - During 2010, in connection with the completion of the field examinations of our 2006 and 2007 federal income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”), we recorded income tax benefits of \$18.2 to continuing operations and \$7.3 to discontinued operations;
 - We recorded income tax benefits in 2010 of \$16.0 related to a reduction in liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with various foreign and domestic statute expirations and settlement of state examinations;
 - During 2010, we recorded an income tax charge of \$6.2 associated with the taxation of prescription drug costs for retirees under Medicare Part D as a result of the enactment of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act during the first quarter of 2010; and
 - We recorded income tax charges in 2010 of \$3.6 related to the repatriation of foreign earnings.

Other Items of Note

- Globalization
 - Approximately 55% of our 2010 revenues were generated outside the United States.
 - Approximately 25% of our 2010 revenues were from sales into emerging markets.
 - In South Africa, we are working on two long-term projects (Kusile and Medupi) that generated revenues of approximately \$201.0 in 2010 and represent approximately \$758.0 of our backlog as of December 31, 2010, \$261.0 of which is expected to be converted to revenue in 2011.

- Continued Migration to Regional Support Centers
 - In 2010, we began constructing a centralized manufacturing center outside Shanghai, China. We are targeting construction of the facility to be completed in 2012. As we consolidate and grow into this facility, we expect to improve our cost structure and leverage our resources to support future growth.
 - Additionally, during 2010, we opened a European shared service center in Manchester, United Kingdom.
- Liquidity
 - Inclusive of cash on hand and committed credit lines, our available liquidity was \$1,010.8 at December 31, 2010.
 - Scheduled principal debt payments for 2011, under our senior credit facilities and senior notes, are \$21.3. In addition, in January 2011 we redeemed the 7.50% senior notes, with an original maturity of January 1, 2013, resulting in a principal payment of \$28.2.

Results of Continuing Operations

Seasonality and Competition — Many of our businesses follow changes in the industries and end markets that they serve. In addition, certain businesses have seasonal fluctuations. Our heating and ventilation products businesses tend to be stronger during the third and fourth quarters, as customer buying habits are driven largely by seasonal weather patterns. Demand for cooling towers and related services is highly correlated to contract timing on large construction contracts, which may cause significant fluctuations from period to period. Revenues for our Service Solutions business typically follow program launch timing for diagnostic systems and service equipment. In aggregate, our businesses generally tend to be stronger in the second half of the year.

Although our businesses operate in highly competitive markets, our competitive position cannot be determined accurately in the aggregate or by segment in part because our competitors do not offer all the same product lines or serve all the same markets. In addition, specific reliable comparative figures are not available for many of our competitors. In most product groups, competition comes from numerous concerns, both large and small. The principal methods of competition are service, product performance, technical innovations and price. These methods vary with the type of product sold. We believe we can compete effectively on the basis of each of these factors as they apply to the various products and services we offer. See “Business — Segments” for a discussion of our competitors.

Non-GAAP Measures — Organic revenue growth (decline) presented herein is defined as revenue growth (decline) excluding the effects of foreign currency fluctuations, acquisitions and divestitures. We believe that this metric is a useful financial measure for investors in evaluating our operating performance for the periods presented, as when read in conjunction with our revenues it presents a useful tool to evaluate our ongoing operations and provides investors with a tool to evaluate our management of assets held from period to period. In addition, organic revenue growth (decline) is one of the factors we use in internal evaluations of the overall performance of our business. This metric, however, is not a measure of financial performance under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) and should not be considered a substitute for revenue growth (decline) as determined in accordance with GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

The following table provides selected financial information for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, including the reconciliation of organic revenue growth (decline) to net revenue growth (decline), as defined herein:

	2010	2009	2008	2010 vs. 2009%	2009 vs. 2008%
Revenues	\$4,886.8	\$4,845.6	\$5,826.6	0.9	(16.8)
Gross profit	1,432.8	1,419.6	1,765.4	0.9	(19.6)
% of revenues	29.3%	29.3%	30.3%		
Selling, general and administrative expense	1,024.4	959.2	1,127.9	6.8	(15.0)
% of revenues	21.0%	19.8%	19.4%		
Intangible amortization	27.1	21.5	25.7	26.0	(16.3)
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets	1.7	194.8	123.0	*	*
Special charges, net	36.4	73.1	17.2	*	*
Other expense, net	(21.3)	(19.7)	(1.2)	8.1	*
Interest expense, net	(81.8)	(84.6)	(105.1)	(3.3)	(19.5)
Loss on early extinguishment of interest rate protection agreements and term loan	(25.6)	—	—	*	*
Equity earnings in joint ventures	30.2	29.4	45.5	2.7	(35.4)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	244.7	96.1	410.8	154.6	(76.6)
Income tax provision	(53.1)	(47.1)	(152.3)	12.7	(69.1)
Income from continuing operations	191.6	49.0	258.5	291.0	(81.0)
Components of consolidated revenues growth (decline):					
Organic decline				(2.4)	(14.4)
Foreign currency				(0.5)	(2.6)
Acquisitions				3.8	0.2
Net revenues growth (decline)				0.9	(16.8)

* Not meaningful for comparison purposes.

Revenues — For 2010, the increase in revenues was primarily the result of \$182.5 of incremental revenues associated with (i) the SPX Heat Transfer acquisition in December 2009 and (ii) the acquisitions of Gerstenberg, TTS and Anhydro in 2010.

These increases in revenues were offset partially by organic declines resulting from lower sales of (i) power transformers, (ii) cooling systems and products and thermal services and equipment in the Americas and Europe, (iii) products into the oil and gas end market served by our Flow Technology segment, and (iv) large scale systems to the food and beverage end market served by our Flow Technology segment. These declines in organic revenues were partially offset by organic growth attributable to sales of (i) cooling systems and products and thermal services and equipment in China and South Africa and (ii) diagnostic service tools to automotive OEMs and the aftermarket.

For 2009, the decrease in revenues was the result of organic revenue declines and a stronger U.S. dollar against most foreign currencies (e.g., the Euro and British Pound) in 2009 when compared to 2008. The decline in organic revenue was directly attributable to the substantial changes in the global economic environment, which significantly impacted many of the end markets in which we participate, with the most significant impact on our automotive, food and beverage, general industrial, power transformers, and solar power product lines.

Gross Profit — The increase in gross profit for 2010 (when compared to 2009) was due primarily to the revenue performance described above. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues was impacted favorably by:

- Improved project execution and favorable project mix within our cooling systems and products and thermal services and equipment businesses;
- The favorable impact of cost reductions in 2010 associated with 2009 restructuring initiatives at a number of our businesses; and
- Charges of \$9.5 in 2009 related to the settlement of two product liability matters.

The increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues for 2010 (when compared to 2009) was offset almost entirely by lower pricing on power transformers.

The decrease in gross profit for 2009 (when compared to 2008) was due primarily to the revenue performance described above. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues for 2009 was impacted negatively by:

- Unfavorable project mix at the cooling systems and products and thermal services and equipment businesses;
- Lower absorption of fixed costs due to the declines in revenues;
- A decrease in sales prices for power transformers due to decline in demand; and
- Charges of \$9.5 related to the settlement of two product liability matters.

The decline in gross profit as a percentage of revenues for 2009 (compared to 2008) was offset partially by an increase in gross profit at APV, a business acquired on December 31, 2007, as a result of cost reductions associated with integration efforts and improved project execution. In addition, APV's gross profit for 2008 included an incremental charge of \$7.5 for the excess fair value (over historical value) of inventory acquired in the APV transaction that was subsequently sold in 2008.

Selling, General and Administrative ("SG&A") Expense — For 2010, the increase in SG&A expense, as compared to 2009, of \$65.2 was due primarily to:

- Incremental SG&A associated with the SPX Heat Transfer, Gerstenberg, TTS and Anhydro acquisitions of \$35.2;
- A higher amount of pension expense primarily attributable to a decrease in the discount rate used to value our various plans;
- Higher corporate expense primarily as a result of marketing expenditures associated with our SPX branding initiative and increases in deferred compensation associated with current year earnings on participant account balances; and
- A higher amount of stock compensation expense attributable primarily to a higher fair value for the 2010 stock compensation awards resulting from an increase in the grant date share price.

For 2009, the decrease in SG&A expense, as compared to 2008, of \$168.7 was due primarily to:

- A decrease in variable (e.g., commissions and incentive compensation) and discretionary (e.g., travel, promotions, and professional fees) expenses as a result of a decline in revenues and profitability;
- Cost reductions associated with integration efforts at APV and restructuring initiatives at a number of our businesses, including Service Solutions;
- A lower amount of stock compensation expense attributable to a lower fair value for the 2009 stock compensation awards resulting from a decline in our share price; and

- A stronger U.S. dollar against most foreign currencies in 2009 when compared to 2008, which resulted in a decrease in SG&A of approximately \$30.0.

Intangible Amortization — For 2010, the increase in intangible amortization, as compared to 2009, was due to incremental amortization expense associated with the intangible assets purchased in the SPX Heat Transfer, Gerstenberg, TTS and Anhydro acquisitions.

For 2009, the decrease in intangible amortization, as compared to 2008, was due to the stronger U.S. dollar in 2009 and certain intangible assets becoming fully amortized in 2008.

Impairment of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets — In connection with our annual 2010 impairment testing of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, we recorded an impairment charge of \$1.7 related to trademarks of a business within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment.

In connection with our annual 2009 impairment testing of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, we determined that the fair value of our Service Solutions reporting unit was less than the carrying value of its net assets. As a result of this determination, we recorded an impairment charge of \$187.7 to reduce the goodwill of the reporting unit to its implied fair value. We also recorded an additional impairment charge of \$1.0 relating to certain intangible assets at our Service Solutions reporting unit. Lastly, we recorded an impairment charge of \$6.1 related to trademarks of a business within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment. See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

In connection with our 2008 impairment testing of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangibles, we determined that the fair value of our Weil-McLain subsidiary was less than the carrying value of its net assets. As a result, we recorded \$123.0 of charges related to the impairment of goodwill (\$114.1) and other intangible assets (\$8.9). See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Special Charges, Net — Special charges related primarily to restructuring initiatives to consolidate manufacturing, distribution, sales and administrative facilities, reduce workforce and rationalize certain product lines. See Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements for the details of actions taken in 2010, 2009 and 2008. The components of special charges, net, are as follows:

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Employee termination costs	\$21.2	\$48.0	\$ 5.5
Facility consolidation costs	5.1	5.6	2.5
Other cash costs	2.2	8.4	4.9
Non-cash asset write-downs	7.9	11.1	4.3
Total special charges, net	<u>\$36.4</u>	<u>\$73.1</u>	<u>\$17.2</u>

Other Expense, Net — For 2010, other expense, net, was composed primarily of a charge associated with the net decline in fair value of our foreign exchange (“FX”) forward contracts and FX embedded derivatives of \$17.3 (see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements) and foreign currency transaction losses of \$10.3, partially offset by investment related income of \$9.5.

For 2009, other expense, net, was composed primarily of foreign currency transaction losses of \$13.3 and a charge associated with the net decline in fair value of our FX forward contracts and FX embedded derivatives of \$7.7 (see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements), partially offset by a \$1.4 gain associated with the final settlement of a product line sale that occurred in 2006.

For 2008, other expense, net, was composed primarily of a charge of \$9.5 relating to the settlement of a lawsuit arising out of a 1997 business disposition, partially offset by the impact of a net increase in the fair value of our FX forward contracts and FX embedded derivatives of \$4.5 and foreign currency transaction gains of \$1.2.

Interest Expense, Net — Interest expense, net, includes both interest expense and interest income. The decline in interest expense, net for 2010 (when compared to 2009) and 2009 (when compared to 2008) was the result of lower average debt balances and a lower average interest rate on the variable rate portion of our senior credit facilities.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Interest Rate Protection Agreements and Term Loan — During 2010, we incurred \$25.6 of charges in connection with the August 2010 repayment of the term loan under our senior credit facilities (see Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements), with \$24.3 associated with the early termination of the related Swaps and the remainder with the write-off of deferred financing costs and early termination fees.

Equity Earnings in Joint Ventures — Our equity earnings in joint ventures are attributable primarily to our investment in EGS, as earnings from this investment totaled \$28.8, \$28.0 and \$43.7 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decline in operational performance at our EGS joint venture during 2009 was primarily attributable to the challenging global economic environment, which continued to impact operations in 2010.

Income Taxes — For 2010, we recorded an income tax provision of \$53.1 on \$244.7 of pre-tax income from continuing operations, resulting in an effective tax rate of 21.7%. The effective tax rate for 2010 was impacted favorably by a tax benefit of \$18.2 that was recorded in connection with the completion of the field examinations of our 2006 and 2007 federal income tax returns and tax benefits of \$16.0 related to the reduction in liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with various foreign and domestic statute expirations and settlement of state examinations. These benefits were offset partially by domestic charges of \$6.2 associated with the taxation of prescription drug costs for retirees under Medicare Part D as a result of enactment of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act during the year and \$3.6 associated with the repatriation of foreign earnings.

For 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$47.1 on \$96.1 of pre-tax income from continuing operations, resulting in an effective tax rate of 49.0%. The effective tax rate for 2009 was negatively impacted by the impairment charges of \$194.8 (see above), which generated an income tax benefit of only \$25.6. The increase in the 2009 effective tax rate was offset partially by an income tax benefit of \$4.9 associated with the loss on an investment in a foreign subsidiary. In addition, we recorded tax benefits of \$7.9 during 2009 related to a reduction in liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with statute expirations and audit settlements in certain tax jurisdictions.

For 2008, we recorded an income tax provision of \$152.3 on \$410.8 of pre-tax income from continuing operations, resulting in an effective tax rate of 37.1%. The effective tax rate for 2008 was negatively impacted by the impairment charges of \$123.0 (see above), which generated an income tax benefit of only \$3.6. The increase in the 2008 effective tax rate was offset partially by a tax benefit of \$25.6 that was recorded in connection with the finalization of the audits of our 2003 through 2005 federal income tax returns.

Results of Discontinued Operations

For 2010, 2009 and 2008, income (loss) from discontinued operations and the related income taxes are shown below:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (1.2)	\$(53.7)	\$ 25.2
Income tax (provision) benefit	12.4	20.9	(10.9)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net	<u>\$11.2</u>	<u>\$(32.8)</u>	<u>\$ 14.3</u>

For 2010, 2009 and 2008 results of operations from our businesses reported as discontinued operations were as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues	\$ 5.9	\$ 90.3	\$317.4
Pre-tax income (loss)	(0.5)	(4.7)	12.7

Discontinued Operations

We report businesses or asset groups as discontinued operations when, among other things, we commit to a plan to divest the business or asset group, actively begin marketing the business or asset group, and when the sale of the business or asset group is deemed probable within the next 12 months. The following businesses, which have been sold, met these requirements and therefore have been reported as discontinued operations for the periods presented.

Business	Quarter Discontinued	Quarter of Sale
Cooling Spain Packaging business ("Cooling Spain")	Q4 2010	Q4 2010
P.S.D., Inc. ("PSD")	Q2 2009	Q1 2010
Automotive Filtration Solutions business ("Filtran")	Q4 2008	Q4 2009
Dezurik	Q3 2008	Q1 2009
Scales and Counting Systems business ("Scales")	Q3 2008	Q4 2008
Vibration Testing and Data Acquisition Equipment business ("LDS")	Q1 2008	Q4 2008
Air Filtration	Q3 2007	Q3 2008

Cooling Spain — Sold for cash consideration of one Euro (exclusive of cash transferred with the business of \$2.3), resulting in a loss, net of taxes, of \$1.9 during 2010.

PSD — Sold for cash consideration of \$3.0, resulting in a gain, net of taxes, of \$3.6 during 2010. During 2009, we recorded a net charge of \$7.3 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our consolidated statements of operations in order to reduce the carrying value of the net assets to be sold to their estimated net realizable value.

Filtran — Our original plan for disposition contemplated the buyout of the minority interest shareholder in order to allow us to sell 100% of the Filtran business. As a result of the planned divestiture, and in consideration of the contemplated buyout of the minority interest shareholder, we recorded a total impairment charge attributable to SPX common shareholders of \$23.0 during 2008 in order to reduce the carrying value of the Filtran net assets to be sold to their estimated net realizable value. Of the \$23.0 charge, \$6.5 was recorded to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our 2008 consolidated statement of operations.

In October 2009, we completed the sale of the Filtran business for total consideration of approximately \$15.0, including \$10.0 in cash and a promissory note of \$5.0. In connection with the sale, we did not buy out the minority interest shareholder and, thus, sold only our share of the Filtran business. As a result, we reclassified \$16.5 of the impairment charge incurred during 2008 from “Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests” to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our consolidated statements of operations in 2009. This reclassification had no impact on net income attributable to SPX common shareholders. In addition, based on the amount of consideration received and adjustments to certain liabilities that we retained, we recorded an additional charge of \$7.7 during 2009 to “Gain (Loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax.”

During 2010, we recorded a net gain of \$1.3 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our consolidated statements of operations related primarily to adjustments to certain tax liabilities that we retained. In addition, we were paid in full (i.e., \$5.0) for the promissory note previously mentioned.

Dezurik — Sold for total consideration of \$23.5, including \$18.8 in cash and a promissory note of \$4.7, resulting in a loss, net of taxes, of \$1.6 during 2009. During 2008, we recorded a net charge of \$6.0 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our consolidated statements of operations in order to reduce the carrying value of the net assets to be sold to their estimated net realizable value. During 2010, we recorded net charges of \$0.1 in connection with adjustments to certain liabilities that we retained and received payments of \$3.7 against the promissory note mentioned above.

Scales — Sold for cash consideration of \$16.8, resulting in a loss, net of taxes, of \$4.7 during 2008.

LDS — Sold for cash consideration of \$82.5, resulting in a gain, net of taxes, of \$17.1 during 2008. During 2009, we recorded a net charge of \$5.1 in connection with an adjustment to certain liabilities that we retained and additional income tax expense related to the disposition.

Air Filtration — Sold for cash consideration of \$35.7, resulting in a loss, net of taxes, of \$0.8 during 2008. During 2007, we recorded a net charge of \$11.0 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” in order to reduce the carrying value of the net assets to be sold to their estimated net realizable value.

During 2010, the field examinations of our 2006 and 2007 federal income tax returns were completed by the IRS. In connection with the completion of these examinations, we reduced our liability for uncertain tax positions and recognized an income tax benefit of \$7.3 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” associated with a business previously disposed of and reported as a discontinued operation.

During 2008, we reached an agreement with the IRS regarding audits of our 2003 through 2005 federal income tax returns. Upon the resolution of the examinations, we reduced our liability for uncertain tax positions and recognized an income tax benefit of \$5.0 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” associated with a business previously disposed of and reported as a discontinued operation.

In addition to the businesses discussed above, we recognized net gains of \$1.5, \$11.8 and \$0.5 during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, from businesses that were previously discontinued, resulting primarily from the reduction of liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with statute expirations in certain tax jurisdictions.

The final purchase price for certain of the divested businesses is subject to adjustment based on working capital existing at the respective closing dates. The working capital figures are subject to agreement with the buyers or, if we cannot come to agreement with the buyers, an arbitration process. Final agreement of the working capital figures with the buyers for some of these transactions has yet to occur. In addition, changes in estimates associated with liabilities retained in connection with a business divestiture (e.g., income taxes) may occur. It is possible that the purchase price and resulting gains/(losses) on these

and other previous divestitures may be materially adjusted in subsequent periods. Refer to Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements for the tax implications associated with our dispositions.

Segment Results of Operations

The following information should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes. The segment results exclude the operating results of discontinued operations for all periods presented. See Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements for a description of each of our reportable segments.

Non-GAAP Measures — Throughout the following discussion of segment results, we use “organic revenue” growth (decline) to facilitate explanation of the operating performance of our segments. Organic revenue growth (decline) is a non-GAAP financial measure, and is not a substitute for revenue growth (decline). Refer to the explanation of this measure and purpose of use by management under “Results of Continuing Operations — Non-GAAP Measures.”

Flow Technology

	2010	2009	2008	2010 vs. 2009%	2009 vs. 2008%
Revenues	\$1,662.2	\$1,634.1	\$1,998.7	1.7	(18.2)
Segment income	215.6	210.9	243.4	2.2	(13.4)
% of revenues	13.0%	12.9%	12.2%		
Components of segment revenue growth (decline):					
Organic decline				(4.1)	(13.9)
Foreign currency				0.2	(4.3)
Acquisitions				5.6	—
Net segment revenue growth (decline)				1.7	(18.2)

Revenues — For 2010, the increase in revenues was due primarily to \$91.6 of incremental revenues associated with the acquisitions of Gerstenberg and Anhydro in 2010. This increase was offset partially by a decline in organic revenue primarily associated with lower demand within the oil and gas end market and for large scale systems within the food and beverage end market.

For 2009, the decrease in revenues was due to the decline in organic revenue and a stronger U.S. dollar. Specifically, the challenging global economic environment negatively impacted the food and beverage, general industrial, dehydration, mining, and oil and gas end markets served by the segment, resulting in the decline in organic revenue.

Segment Income — For 2010, segment income and margins were impacted favorably by the benefits of 2009 restructuring actions and other operating initiatives. In addition, segment income for 2010 was impacted favorably by incremental profits of \$3.6 associated with the Gerstenberg and Anhydro acquisitions. This increase in segment income and margin generally was offset by the impact of the decline in organic revenue noted above.

For 2009, segment income decreased primarily as a result of the decline in organic revenues noted above. In addition, segment income for 2009 included a charge of \$4.3 related to the settlement of a product liability matter. Despite the decline in organic revenues, segment margins for 2009 increased over the prior year as a result of the impact of cost reductions associated with the APV integration activities and various restructuring actions and favorable project mix within the segment’s oil and gas product lines. In addition, segment income for 2008 included a charge of \$7.5, representing the excess fair value (over historical cost) of inventory acquired in the APV transaction that was subsequently sold during 2008.

Test and Measurement

	2010	2009	2008	2010 vs. 2009%	2009 vs. 2008%
Revenues	\$924.0	\$810.4	\$1,100.3	14.0	(26.3)
Segment income	76.6	51.4	108.8	49.0	(52.8)
% of revenues	8.3%	6.3%	9.9%		
Components of segment revenue growth (decline):					
Organic growth (decline)				15.1	(23.8)
Foreign currency				(1.1)	(3.1)
Acquisitions				—	0.6
Net segment revenue growth (decline)				14.0	(26.3)

Revenues — For 2010, the increase in revenues was due to organic revenue growth associated primarily with additional sales to the automotive OEMs and aftermarket.

For 2009, the decrease in revenues was due primarily to an organic revenue decline and the impact of the stronger U.S. dollar. The decline in organic revenues related primarily to the continued difficulties being experienced by global vehicle manufacturers and their dealer service networks as a result of the challenging economic environment.

Segment Income — For 2010, the increase in segment income and margin was due primarily to the organic revenue growth noted above and benefits associated with 2009 restructuring actions.

For 2009, segment income and margin decreased, compared to 2008, primarily as a result of a decline in organic revenues and lower absorption of fixed costs as a result of the revenue decline, partially offset by cost reductions associated with restructuring initiatives.

Thermal Equipment and Services

	2010	2009	2008	2010 vs. 2009%	2009 vs. 2008%
Revenues	\$1,602.1	\$1,595.5	\$1,679.1	0.4	(5.0)
Segment income	193.7	171.8	203.9	12.7	(15.7)
% of revenues	12.1%	10.8%	12.1%		
Components of segment revenue growth (decline):					
Organic decline				(4.0)	(3.8)
Foreign currency				(1.1)	(1.5)
Acquisitions				5.5	0.3
Net segment revenue growth (decline)				0.4	(5.0)

Revenues — For 2010, the increase in revenues was due to \$87.4 of incremental revenues associated with the December 2009 SPX Heat Transfer acquisition. This increase was offset partially by a decline in organic revenue associated with lower sales of cooling systems and products and thermal services and equipment in the Americas and Europe which more than offset organic growth in China and South Africa.

For 2009, the decrease in revenues was primarily due to a decline in organic revenues and a stronger U.S. dollar. The decline in organic revenues was due primarily to the impact of the global economic recession, which resulted in delays of certain projects and a decrease in demand for the segment's heating and ventilation products.

Segment Income — For 2010, the increase in segment income and margin was due primarily to improved project execution and favorable project mix. In addition, segment income for 2010 included incremental profits associated with the SPX Heat Transfer acquisition of \$9.4.

For 2009, segment income and margin decreased as a result of the decline in organic revenues noted above. In addition, segment margins for 2009 were impacted negatively by lower margin project mix at our cooling systems and products and thermal services and equipment businesses.

Industrial Products and Services

	2010	2009	2008	2010 vs. 2009%	2009 vs. 2008%
Revenues	\$698.5	\$805.6	\$1,048.5	(13.3)	(23.2)
Segment income	74.4	153.7	243.7	(51.6)	(36.9)
% of revenues	10.7%	19.1%	23.2%		
Components of segment revenue decline:					
Organic decline				(13.6)	(22.7)
Foreign currency				(0.1)	(0.5)
Acquisitions				0.4	—
Net segment revenue decline				(13.3)	(23.2)

Revenues — For 2010, the decrease in revenues was due to a decline in organic revenues associated primarily with a reduction in power transformer pricing and volume. These decreases in organic revenues were offset partially by increases in sales of hydraulic tools and equipment, communication equipment, and solar power products.

For 2009, the decrease in revenues was due primarily to a decline in organic revenues associated with lower demand for the majority of the segment's product lines, driven by the challenging economic environment, with the most significant impact to our power transformers, hydraulic tool and equipment, and solar power product lines.

Segment Income — For 2010, the decrease in segment income and margin was due to the organic revenue decline noted above, including the impact of reduced sales prices on power transformers.

For 2009, the decrease in segment income was due to the organic revenue decline described above, while the decline in segment margins resulted from lower absorption of fixed costs associated with the revenue decline and a reduction in sales prices for power transformers. In addition, segment income for 2009 included a charge of \$5.2 related to the settlement of a product liability matter.

Corporate Expense and Other Expense

	2010	2009	2008	2010 vs. 2009%	2009 vs. 2008%
Total consolidated revenues	\$4,886.8	\$4,845.6	\$5,826.6	0.9	(16.8)
Corporate expense	95.5	83.8	107.7	14.0	(22.2)
% of revenues	2.0%	1.7%	1.8%		
Stock-based compensation expense	31.1	27.6	41.5	12.7	(33.5)
Pension and postretirement expense	52.4	37.5	38.8	39.7	(3.4)

Corporate Expense — Corporate expense generally relates to the cost of our Charlotte, NC corporate headquarters and our Asia Pacific center in Shanghai, China. For 2010, the increase in corporate expense (compared to 2009) was due primarily to marketing expenditures associated with our SPX branding initiative and increases in deferred compensation associated with current year earnings on participant account balances. For 2009, the decrease in corporate expense was due primarily to lower incentive compensation relating to a decline in company performance during 2009 (in comparison to 2008). In addition, 2008 included higher professional fees relating to various income tax related projects and costs relating to the settlement of a legacy legal matter.

Stock-based Compensation Expense — For 2010, the increase in stock-based compensation (compared to 2009) was due primarily to an increase in the fair value of our 2010 restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards. The weighted-average fair value of our 2010 stock-based compensation awards, which is directly correlated to changes in our grant date share price (see Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our valuation technique), increased approximately 47% compared to the weighted-average fair value of our 2009 awards.

The decrease in stock-based compensation expense for 2009 (compared to 2008) was due primarily to a decrease in the fair value of our 2009 restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards. The weighted-average fair value of our 2009 stock-based compensation awards decreased approximately 55% compared to the weighted-average fair value of our 2008 awards.

Pension and Postretirement Expense — Pension and postretirement expense represents our consolidated expense, which we do not allocate for segment reporting purposes. The increase in pension and postretirement expense for 2010 (versus 2009) was due primarily to a decrease in the discount rate used to value our various plans. The decrease in pension and postretirement expense for 2009 (versus 2008) was due primarily to a charge of \$7.1 that we recorded during 2008 in connection with lump-sum payments that were made in August 2008 to settle all remaining pension obligations of our non-qualified domestic pension plans to our former Chief Executive Officer. These decreases were offset partially by lower expected returns on plan assets in 2009 driven by lower plan asset values.

Outlook

The following table highlights our backlog as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the revenues and gross margin expectations for our segments during 2011 based on information available at the time of this report.

Segment	Comments
Flow Technology	We are projecting 2011 revenues to increase between 10% and 15% primarily as a result of increased demand in food and beverage products, particularly in emerging markets and, to a lesser extent, incremental revenues from the 2010 acquisitions. We are projecting margins to be in the low double digits for 2011. The segment had backlog of \$789.2 and \$578.9 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Of the \$210.3 increase in backlog, \$109.4 is attributable to the 2010 acquisitions. We expect to convert approximately 84% of the segment's year-end 2010 backlog to revenues in 2011.
Test and Measurement	We are projecting a low to mid single digit increase in 2011 revenues driven primarily by organic growth associated with increased new model introductions and our own new product launches. We are projecting margins to be in the high single digits for 2011. Backlog for the segment is not material, as its businesses are primarily short-cycle in nature.
Thermal Equipment and Services	We are projecting 2011 revenues to increase by low single digits, with growth concentrated in the second half of the year. We are projecting margins to be slightly over 10% for 2011. We had backlog of \$1,625.1 and \$1,973.4 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, across the segment, with the majority in our cooling systems and products and thermal services and equipment businesses. The geographic mix of the year-end 2010 backlog is concentrated in South Africa, the U.S. and Europe. As a result, we expect an increase in revenues in these regions during 2011, partially offset by a decline in China. We expect to convert approximately 59% of the segment's year-end 2010 backlog to revenues in 2011. Portions of this backlog are long-term in nature, with the related revenues expected to be recorded through 2014. We expect large contracts to continue to be significant for this segment, which may contribute to large fluctuations in revenues and profits from period to period.
Industrial Products and Services	We are projecting a low to mid single digit increase in 2011 revenues driven primarily by organic growth associated with increased sales of communication technology products and hydraulic tools and equipment. Revenues for our power transformer business are expected to be flat versus 2010. We are projecting margins to be in the high single digits for 2011. Backlog for the segment totaled \$359.4 and \$393.3 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We expect to convert approximately 81% of the segment's year-end 2010 backlog to revenues in 2011.

Liquidity and Financial Condition

Listed below are the cash flows from (used in) operating, investing and financing activities, and discontinued operations, as well as the net change in cash and equivalents for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

	2010	2009	2008
Continuing operations:			
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ 256.7	\$ 463.2	\$ 404.9
Cash flows used in investing activities	(193.2)	(212.2)	(144.1)
Cash flows used in financing activities	(147.0)	(239.4)	(312.6)
Cash flows from discontinued operations	7.0	32.1	131.1
Increase in cash and equivalents due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates	9.0	3.3	42.5
Net change in cash and equivalents	<u>\$ (67.5)</u>	<u>\$ 47.0</u>	<u>\$ 121.8</u>

2010 Compared to 2009

Operating Activities — The decrease in cash flows from operating activities during 2010 was attributable primarily to additional investments in working capital, particularly accounts receivable, as a result of the recent organic revenue growth. During 2009, our investment in accounts receivable and inventories decreased significantly due primarily to a decline in organic revenue during the year of 14.4%, resulting in significant cash inflows during the period. In addition, during 2010, we made voluntary contributions to our domestic pension plans of \$100.0 and paid \$26.9 (including \$2.6 of accrued interest) to terminate our Swaps. These items were offset partially by a decline in annual incentive compensation payments of \$36.5 and cash spending on restructuring actions (2010 — \$28.2 and 2009 — \$67.1).

Investing Activities — The decrease in cash used in investing activities for 2010 was due primarily to a decline in capital expenditures from \$92.8 in 2009 to \$75.7 in 2010. Investing cash flows for 2010 and 2009 included cash used for business acquisitions and investments of \$130.6 (i.e., the Gerstenberg, TTS, and Anhydro acquisitions, and the EGS investment — see Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the EGS investment) and \$131.4 (\$129.2 related to the SPX Heat Transfer acquisition), respectively.

Financing Activities — The decrease in cash flows used in financing activities for 2010 was due primarily to decreases in stock repurchases. In 2009, we repurchased \$113.2 of SPX common stock, compared to no open market stock repurchases in 2010. This decrease in cash flows used in financing activities was offset partially by (i) increases in net repayments on our various debt facilities during 2010 (net repayments totaled \$85.2 and \$74.1 in 2010 and 2009, respectively) and (ii) financing fees of \$13.0 paid in 2010 relating to the \$600.0 of 6.875% senior notes that were issued during 2010. There were no financing fees paid in 2009.

Discontinued Operations — The decrease in cash flows from discontinued operations for 2010 was due primarily to cash proceeds during 2009 of (i) \$28.8 received in connection with the sale of Dezurik and Filtran and (ii) \$17.4 related to an income tax refund associated with the disposition of the Air Filtration business. The cash flows from discontinued operations for 2010 were composed primarily of \$3.0 in proceeds received in connection with the sale of PSD, a \$3.7 repayment of a note receivable that was received in connection with the sale of Dezurik, and a \$5.0 repayment of a note receivable that was received in connection with the sale of Filtran, partially offset by cash of \$2.3 transferred with the sale of Cooling Spain.

2009 Compared to 2008

Operating Activities — The increase in cash flows from operating activities during 2009 as compared to 2008 was due primarily to (i) a decrease in net income tax payments of \$60.0 in 2009, (ii) pension and other payments of \$39.8 that were made in August 2008 to our former Chief Executive Officer, and (iii) other reductions in working capital during 2009. These increases in operating cash flows were offset partially by lower operating earnings in 2009 and cash outflows in 2009 associated with the integration of APV and our various restructuring actions.

Investing Activities — The increase in cash used in investing activities during 2009 as compared to 2008 was due to an increase in cash used for business acquisitions (\$131.4 in 2009 compared to \$15.0 in 2008), with \$129.2 related to the December 2009 SPX Heat Transfer acquisition. This increase in cash used in investing activities was offset partially by a decrease in capital expenditures of \$23.6 (2009 — \$92.8 and 2008 — \$116.4) and a decrease in restricted cash of \$8.4 in 2009, compared to an increase in 2008 of \$14.0.

Financing Activities — The decrease in cash flows used in financing activities during 2009 as compared to 2008 was due primarily to net repayments on our various debt facilities of \$74.1 during 2009, compared to \$223.3 during 2008, partially offset by cash receipts from the exercise of stock options and other of only \$1.2 in 2009, compared to \$81.5 in 2008.

Discontinued Operations — Cash flows from discontinued operations in 2009 were comprised primarily of cash proceeds received in connection with the sale of the Dezurik and Filtran of \$28.8 and an income tax refund of \$17.4 received in 2009 that related to the disposition of the Air Filtration business, partially offset by operating cash outflows associated with discontinued operations. Cash flows from discontinued operations during 2008 were composed primarily of cash proceeds from the sale of Air Filtration, LDS and Scales of \$135.0, partially offset by operating cash outflows associated with discontinued operations.

Borrowings

The following summarizes our debt activity (both current and non-current) for the year ended December 31, 2010.

	December 31, 2009	Borrowings	Repayments	Other ⁽⁸⁾	December 31, 2010
Term loan ⁽¹⁾	\$ 600.0	\$ —	\$(600.0)	\$ —	\$ —
Domestic revolving loan facility ⁽²⁾	61.5	164.0	(225.5)	—	—
6.875% senior notes ⁽³⁾	—	600.0	—	—	600.0
7.625% senior notes	500.0	—	—	—	500.0
7.50% senior notes ⁽⁴⁾	28.2	—	—	—	28.2
6.25% senior notes ⁽⁵⁾	21.3	—	—	—	21.3
Trade receivables financing arrangement ⁽⁶⁾	22.0	90.0	(112.0)	—	—
Other indebtedness ⁽⁷⁾	46.0	13.8	(15.5)	3.8	48.1
Total debt	1,279.0	\$867.8	\$(953.0)	\$3.8	1,197.6
Less: short-term debt	74.4				36.3
Less: current maturities of long-term debt	76.0				50.8
Total long-term debt	\$1,128.6				\$1,110.5

(1) Repayments include required principal payments during the first two quarters of 2010 that aggregated to \$37.5 and the repayment of the remaining balance under the term loan of \$562.5 in connection with issuing \$600.0 of 6.875% senior unsecured notes in August 2010 (see below for additional details on this issuance).

(2) At December 31, 2009, \$20.5 of the balance was included in short-term debt and the remaining \$41.0 in long-term debt.

(3) In August 2010, we issued \$600.0 of 6.875% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017 (see below for additional details).

(4) In December 2010, we gave notice of our intention to redeem, in full, the outstanding balance of \$28.2 and in January 2011 completed such redemption; therefore, the total balance has been included in "Current maturities of long-term debt" within our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010.

(5) The notes mature on June 15, 2011 and, accordingly, the total balance has been included in "Current maturities of long-term debt" within our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010.

(6) As of December 31, 2010, we had \$51.8 available to borrow on a continuous basis under the arrangement.

(7) Includes balances under a purchase card program of \$36.1 and \$31.5 at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(8) "Other" includes debt assumed of \$3.9 during the year ended December 31, 2010 and foreign currency translation on debt instruments denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar.

Credit Facilities

We have senior credit facilities with a syndicate of lenders that provided for committed senior secured financing in the aggregate amount of \$1,550.0, consisting of the following:

- A domestic revolving credit facility, available for loans and letters of credit, in an aggregate principal amount up to \$400.0 with a final maturity of September 2012;
- A global revolving credit facility, available for loans in Euros, British Pounds and other currencies in an aggregate principal amount up to the equivalent of \$200.0 with a final maturity of September 2012; and
- A foreign credit instrument facility, available for performance letters of credit and guarantees, in an aggregate principal amount in various currencies up to the equivalent of \$950.0 with a final maturity of September 2012.

In connection with the August 2010 termination of our Swaps and the term loan under our senior credit facilities, we incurred \$25.6 of costs, including \$24.3 associated with the early termination of Swaps (see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements), \$1.1 for the write-off of deferred financing costs, and \$0.2 related to an early termination fee.

We also may seek additional commitments for incremental term loan facilities or increases in commitments in respect of the domestic revolving credit facility, the global revolving credit facility and/or the foreign credit instrument facility by up to an aggregate principal amount of \$400.0 without the need for consent from the existing lenders.

We are the eligible borrower under the revolving loan facilities, and certain of our foreign subsidiaries are borrowers under the foreign credit instrument facility.

All borrowings and other extensions of credit under our senior credit facilities are subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions, including absence of defaults and accuracy in material respects of representations and warranties.

The letters of credit under the domestic revolving credit facility are stand-by letters of credit requested by any borrower on behalf of itself or any of its subsidiaries. The foreign credit instrument facility is used to issue foreign credit instruments, including bank undertakings to support our foreign operations.

At December 31, 2010, we had \$4.0 of letters of credit outstanding under separate arrangements in China and South Africa.

The interest rates applicable to loans under our senior credit facilities are, at our option, equal to either an alternate base rate (the higher of (a) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5% and (b) the prime rate of Bank of America) or a reserve adjusted LIBOR rate for dollars (Eurodollar) plus, in each case, an applicable margin percentage, which varies based on our Consolidated Leverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement generally as the ratio of consolidated total debt (net of cash equivalents in excess of \$50.0) at the date of determination to consolidated adjusted EBITDA for the four fiscal quarters ended on such date). We may elect interest periods of one, two, three or six months for Eurodollar borrowings. The fees charged and the interest rate margins applicable to Eurodollar and base rate loans are (all on a per annum basis) as follows:

Consolidated Leverage Ratio	Domestic Revolving Commitment Fee	Global Revolving Commitment Fee	Letter of Credit Fee	Foreign Credit Commitment Fee	Foreign Credit Instrument Fee	LIBOR Rate Loans	ABR Loans
Greater than or equal to 3.00 to 1.0	0.35%	0.35%	1.75%	0.35%	1.3125%	1.75%	0.75%
Between 2.00 to 1.0 and 3.00 to 1.0	0.30%	0.30%	1.50%	0.30%	1.125%	1.50%	0.50%
Between 1.50 to 1.0 and 2.00 to 1.0	0.25%	0.25%	1.25%	0.25%	0.9375%	1.25%	0.25%
Between 1.00 to 1.0 and 1.50 to 1.0	0.20%	0.20%	1.00%	0.20%	0.75%	1.00%	0.00%
Less than 1.00 to 1.0	0.175%	0.175%	0.875%	0.175%	0.65625%	0.875%	0.00%

Our senior credit facilities require mandatory prepayments in amounts equal to the net proceeds from the sale or other disposition of, including from any casualty to, or governmental taking of, property in excess of specified values (other than in the ordinary course of business and subject to other exceptions) by us or our subsidiaries. Mandatory prepayments will be applied to repay amounts (or cash collateralize letters of credit) outstanding under the global revolving credit facility or the domestic revolving credit facility (without reducing the commitments thereunder). No prepayment is required to the extent the net proceeds are reinvested in permitted acquisitions, permitted investments or assets to be used in our business within 360 days of the receipt of such proceeds.

We may voluntarily prepay loans under our senior credit facilities, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Any voluntary prepayment of loans will be subject to reimbursement of the lenders' breakage costs in the case of a prepayment of Eurodollar rate borrowings other than on the last day of the relevant interest period.

Indebtedness under our senior credit facilities is guaranteed by:

- Each existing and subsequently acquired or organized domestic material subsidiary with specified exceptions; and
- Us with respect to the obligations of our foreign borrower subsidiaries under the global revolving credit facility and the foreign credit instrument facility.

Indebtedness under our senior credit facilities is secured by a first priority pledge and security interest in 100% of the capital stock of our domestic subsidiaries (with certain exceptions) and 65% of the capital stock of our material first tier foreign subsidiaries. If our corporate credit rating is "Ba2" or less by Moody's and "BB" or less by S&P, then we and our domestic subsidiary guarantors are required to grant security interests, mortgages and other liens on substantially all our and their assets.

Our senior credit facilities require that we maintain:

- A Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement generally as the ratio of consolidated adjusted EBITDA for the four fiscal quarters ended on such date to consolidated interest expense for such period) as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of at least 3.50 to 1.00; and
- A Consolidated Leverage Ratio as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of not more than 3.25 to 1.00.

Our senior credit facilities also contain covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness, grant liens, make investments, loans, guarantees or advances, make restricted junior payments, including dividends, redemptions of capital stock and voluntary prepayments or repurchase of certain other indebtedness, engage in mergers, acquisitions or sales of assets, enter into sale and leaseback transactions or engage in certain transactions with affiliates and otherwise restrict certain corporate activities. We do not expect these covenants to restrict our liquidity, financial condition or access to capital resources in the foreseeable future. Lastly, our senior credit facilities contain customary representations, warranties, affirmative covenants and events of default.

We are permitted under our senior credit facilities to repurchase our capital stock and pay cash dividends in an unlimited amount if our gross Consolidated Leverage Ratio is less than 2.50 to 1.00. If our gross Consolidated Leverage Ratio is greater than or equal to 2.50 to 1.00, the aggregate amount of such repurchases and dividend declarations cannot exceed (A) \$100.0 in any fiscal year plus (B) an additional amount for all such repurchases and dividend declarations made after September 21, 2007 equal to the sum of (i) \$300.0 and (ii) a positive amount equal to 50% of cumulative consolidated net income during the period from July 1, 2007 to the end of the most recent fiscal quarter for which financial information is available preceding the date of such repurchase or dividend declaration (or, in case such consolidated net income is a deficit, minus 100% of such deficit).

At December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenant provisions of our senior credit facilities, and the senior credit facilities did not impose any restrictions on our ability to repurchase shares or pay dividends, other than those inherent in the credit agreement. While the impact of continued market volatility cannot be predicted, we do not expect an impact on our ability to comply with the covenant provisions of our senior credit facilities in the near or long-term.

Senior Notes

In August 2010, we issued, in a private placement, \$600.0 aggregate principal amount of 6.875% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017. We used the proceeds from the offering to repay the remaining balance under the term loan of our senior credit facilities (\$562.5), to pay \$26.9 of termination costs (including \$2.6 of accrued interest) for Swaps related to the term loan, and the remainder to pay the majority of the financing costs incurred in connection with the offering. The interest payment dates for these notes are March 1 and September 1 of each year, commencing on March 1, 2011. The notes are redeemable, in whole or in part, at any time prior to maturity at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus an applicable premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, at any time prior to September 1, 2013, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 106.875%, plus accrued and unpaid interest. If we experience certain types of change of control transactions, we must offer to repurchase the notes at 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes outstanding, plus accrued and unpaid interest. These notes are unsecured and rank equally with all our existing and future unsubordinated unsecured senior indebtedness, but are effectively junior to our senior credit facilities. The indenture governing these notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur liens, enter into sale and leaseback transactions and consummate some mergers. We have agreed, in certain instances, to conduct a registered exchange offer for the notes and use commercially reasonable efforts to exchange the notes for a new issue of identical debt securities and file under certain circumstances a shelf registration statement to cover resales of the notes and to cause the registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC. If we fail to satisfy these obligations within 150 days from August 10, 2011, we have agreed to pay additional interest to holders of the notes under certain circumstances. Payment of the principal, premium, if any, and interest on these notes is guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by our domestic subsidiaries. The likelihood of having to make payments under the guarantee is considered remote.

In December 2007, we issued, in a private placement, \$500.0 aggregate principal amount of 7.625% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2014. We used the net proceeds from the offering for general corporate purposes, including the financing of our acquisition of APV. The interest payment dates for these notes are June 15 and December 15 of each year. The notes are redeemable, in whole, or in part, at any time prior to maturity at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus a premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest. If we experience certain types of change of control transactions, we must offer to repurchase the notes at 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest. These notes are unsecured and rank equally with all our existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness, but are effectively junior to our senior credit facilities. The indenture governing these notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our

ability to incur liens, enter into sale and leaseback transactions and consummate some mergers. During the first quarter of 2009, these senior notes became freely tradable.

In June 2003, we issued \$300.0 of non-callable 6.25% senior notes that mature on June 15, 2011. The interest payment dates for these notes are June 15 and December 15 of each year. At December 31, 2010, \$21.3 of these notes were outstanding. In December 2002, we issued \$500.0 of callable 7.50% senior notes that mature on January 1, 2013. The interest payment dates for these notes are January 1 and July 1 of each year. At December 31, 2010, \$28.2 of these notes were outstanding. Both of these note issuances are unsecured and rank equally with all of our existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness, but are effectively junior to our senior credit facilities. In January 2011, we redeemed the 7.50% senior notes, resulting in a principal payment of \$28.2.

At December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenant provisions of our senior notes.

Other Borrowings and Financing Activities

Certain of our businesses purchase goods and services under a purchase card program allowing for payment beyond their normal payment terms. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the participating businesses had \$36.1 and \$31.5, respectively, outstanding under this arrangement. As this arrangement extends the payment of our businesses' payables beyond their normal payment terms through third-party lending institutions, we have classified these amounts as short-term debt.

We are party to a trade receivables financing agreement, whereby we can borrow, on a continuous basis, up to \$130.0. Availability of funds may fluctuate over time given changes in eligible receivable balances, but will not exceed the \$130.0 program limit. The facility contains representations, warranties, covenants and indemnities customary for facilities of this type. The facility does not contain any covenants that we view as materially constraining to the activities of our business. As of December 31, 2010, there were no amounts outstanding under this financing agreement, while \$22.0 was outstanding under this financing agreement at December 31, 2009.

Availability

At December 31, 2010, we had \$503.6 of available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facilities after giving effect to \$96.4 reserved for outstanding letters of credit. In addition, at December 31, 2010, we had \$179.8 of available issuance capacity under our foreign trade facility after giving effect to \$770.2 reserved for outstanding letters of credit. Lastly, at December 31, 2010, we had \$51.8 of available borrowing capacity under the trade receivables financing agreement.

Additionally, we have a shelf registration statement for 8.3 shares of common stock that may be issued for acquisitions. In addition, other financing instruments may be used from time to time, including, but not limited to, private placement instruments, operating leases, capital leases and securitizations. We expect that we will continue to access these markets as appropriate to maintain liquidity and to provide sources of funds for general corporate purposes, acquisitions or to refinance existing debt.

Distress in the financial markets over the last two years has had an adverse impact on financial market activities around the world including, among other things, extreme volatility in security prices, diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations of others. We have assessed the implications of these factors on our business, are closely monitoring the impact on our customers and suppliers, and have determined that there has not been a significant impact on our liquidity during 2010 and do not currently expect a significant impact in the near or long-term. While the impact of continued market volatility cannot be predicted, we believe that cash and equivalents, which totaled \$455.4 at December 31, 2010, cash flows from operations and our availability under our revolving credit facilities and existing trade receivables financing agreement will be sufficient to fund working capital needs, planned capital expenditures, equity repurchases, dividend payments, other operational cash requirements and required debt service obligations for the foreseeable future.

Financial Instruments

We measure our financial assets and liabilities on a recurring basis, and nonfinancial assets and liabilities on a non-recurring basis, at fair value. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We utilize market data or assumptions that we believe market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1), significant other observable inputs (Level 2) or significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Our financial derivative assets and liabilities include FX forward contracts, FX embedded derivatives and forward contracts that manage the exposure on forecasted purchases of commodity raw materials (“commodity contracts”) that are measured at fair value using observable market inputs such as forward rates, interest rates, our own credit risk and our counterparties’ credit risks. Based on these inputs, the derivative assets and liabilities are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Based on our continued ability to enter into forward contracts, we consider the markets for our fair value instruments to be active.

As of December 31, 2010, there has been no significant impact to the fair value of our derivative liabilities due to our own credit risk as the related instruments are collateralized under our senior credit facilities. Similarly, there has been no significant impact to the fair value of our derivative assets based on our evaluation of our counterparties’ credit risk.

We primarily use the income approach, which uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are further discussed below.

Interest Rate Swaps

Prior to repayment of our variable rate term loan, we maintained Swaps to hedge the associated interest rate risk. These Swaps, which we designated and accounted for as cash flow hedges, had maturities through December 2011 and effectively converted the majority of our borrowings under our variable rate term loan to a fixed rate of 4.795% plus the applicable margin. In connection with the repayment of our term loan (see Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements), we terminated all our Swaps, resulting in a cash payment of \$26.9 (including \$2.6 of accrued interest) and a charge to earnings of \$24.3 during 2010. The unrealized loss, net of taxes recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss (“AOCI”) was \$16.8 as of December 31, 2009. In addition, as of December 31, 2009, we recorded a long-term liability of \$28.0 to recognize the fair value of the Swaps.

Currency Forward Contracts

We manufacture and sell our products in a number of countries and, as a result, are exposed to movements in foreign currency exchange rates. Our objective is to preserve the economic value of non-functional currency denominated cash flows and to minimize their impact. Our principal currency exposures relate to the Euro, Chinese Yuan, South African Rand and British Pound.

From time to time, we enter into FX forward contracts to manage the exposure on contracts with forecasted transactions denominated in non-functional currencies and to manage the risk of transaction gains and losses associated with assets/liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of certain subsidiaries. In addition, some of our contracts contain FX embedded derivatives, as the currency of exchange is not “clearly and closely” related to the functional currency of either party to the transaction. Certain of these FX forward contracts are designated as cash flow hedges, as deemed appropriate. To the extent these derivatives are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, changes in the derivatives’ fair value are included in AOCI. These changes in fair value will subsequently be reclassified into earnings as a component of revenues or cost of goods sold, as applicable, when the forecasted transaction impacts earnings. In addition, if the forecasted transaction is no longer probable the cumulative change in the derivatives’ fair value will be recorded as a component of other expense, net in the period it occurs. To the extent that a previously designated hedging transaction is no longer an effective hedge, any ineffectiveness measured in the hedging relationship is recorded in earnings in the period it occurs. We had FX forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$199.5 and \$100.2 outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with scheduled maturities of \$175.3, \$22.1 and \$2.1 in 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. We had FX embedded derivatives with an aggregate notional amount of \$200.9 and \$106.2 outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with scheduled maturities of \$141.1, \$42.0, \$15.2 and \$2.6 in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. The unrealized loss, net of taxes, recorded in AOCI related to FX embedded derivatives and FX forward contracts was \$4.1 and \$4.4 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The net gain (loss) recorded in “Other expense, net” from the change in the fair value of FX forward contracts and embedded derivatives totaled (\$17.3) for 2010, (\$7.7) for 2009 and \$4.5 for 2008.

The fair values of our FX forward contracts and embedded derivatives were as follows:

	December 31, 2010				December 31, 2009			
	Current Assets	Noncurrent Assets	Current Liabilities	Long-Term Liabilities	Current Assets	Noncurrent Assets	Current Liabilities	Long-Term Liabilities
FX forward contracts	\$0.5	\$—	\$4.3	\$ —	\$0.2	\$ —	\$1.4	\$ —
FX embedded derivatives	2.6	—	1.8	33.2	0.2	0.9	0.3	10.1

Commodity Contracts

From time to time, we enter into commodity contracts to manage the exposure on forecasted purchases of commodity raw materials. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the outstanding notional amount of commodity contracts was 1.8 million and 1.3 million pounds of copper, respectively. We designate and account for these contracts as cash flow hedges and, to the extent these commodity contracts are effective in offsetting the variability of the forecasted purchases, the change in fair value is included in AOCI. We reclassify the AOCI associated with our commodity contracts to cost of products sold when the forecasted transaction impacts earnings. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the fair values of these contracts were \$1.0 and \$0.9, respectively, recorded as a current asset. The unrealized gain, net of taxes, recorded in AOCI was \$0.8 and \$0.5 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We anticipate reclassifying the unrealized gain to income over the next 12 months. The amount of gain (loss) recognized during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 related to the ineffectiveness of the hedges was not material.

Investments in Equity Securities and Available-for-Sale Securities

Our available-for-sale securities include equity investments that are traded in active international markets. They are measured at fair value using closing stock prices from active markets and are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of these investments was \$12.8 million, recorded as a noncurrent asset.

We elected to account for certain other investments in equity securities that are not readily marketable under the fair value option. At December 31, 2010, these assets had a fair value of \$8.5 million, which was estimated using valuation models, including the Monte-Carlo simulation model.

The table below presents a reconciliation of our investment in equity securities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the year ended December 31, 2010, including net unrealized losses included in earnings.

	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2010</u>
Balance at beginning of year	\$ —
Purchases	9.8
Losses included in earnings	<u>(1.3)</u>
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 8.5</u>

Other Fair Value Financial Assets and Liabilities

The carrying amount of cash and equivalents and receivables reported in the consolidated balance sheets approximates fair value because of the short maturity of those instruments.

The fair value of our debt instruments, based on borrowing rates available to us at December 31, 2010 for similar debt, was \$1,281.6 compared to our carrying value of \$1,197.6.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, foreign currency forward and commodity contracts. These financial instruments, other than trade accounts receivable, are placed with high-quality financial institutions throughout the world. We periodically evaluate the credit standing of these financial institutions.

We are exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to the above financial instruments, but have no other off-balance-sheet credit risk of accounting loss. We anticipate, however, that counterparties will be able to fully satisfy their obligations under the contracts. We do not obtain collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk, but we do monitor the credit standing of counterparties.

Concentrations of credit risk arising from trade accounts receivable are due to selling to a large number of customers in a particular industry. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial conditions and obtain collateral or other security when appropriate. No one customer, or group of customers that to our knowledge are under common control, accounted for more than 10% of our revenues for any period presented.

Cash and Other Commitments

Balances, if any, under the revolving credit and foreign credit instrument facilities of our senior credit facilities are payable in full in September 2012, the maturity date of the facilities.

We use operating leases to finance certain equipment and other purchases. At December 31, 2010, we had \$202.4 of future minimum rental payments under operating leases with remaining non-cancelable terms in excess of one year.

In 2003, our Board of Directors approved the implementation of a quarterly dividend program. The actual amount of each quarterly dividend, as well as each declaration date, record date and payment date is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, and the target dividend level may be adjusted during the year at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The factors that the Board of Directors consider in determining the actual amount of each quarterly dividend include our financial performance and on-going capital needs, our ability to declare and pay dividends under the terms of our credit facilities and any other debt instruments, and other factors deemed relevant. During 2010, we declared and paid dividends of \$50.0 and \$49.7, respectively, while in 2009 we declared and paid dividends of \$49.2 and \$49.9, respectively.

Capital expenditures for 2010 totaled \$75.7, compared to \$92.8 and \$116.4 in 2009 and 2008, respectively. Capital expenditures in 2010 related primarily to the implementation of new ERP software systems across our company in connection with our ERP rationalization initiative, as well as upgrades of manufacturing facilities and replacement of equipment. We expect 2011 capital expenditures to approximate \$150.0, with a significant portion of such expenditures related to the expansion of our power transformer facility in Waukesha, WI, the construction of the centralized manufacturing center near Shanghai, China and the implementation of new ERP software systems. While the impact of continued market volatility cannot be predicted, we believe we have sufficient operating flexibility, cash reserves and funding sources to maintain adequate amounts of liquidity and to meet our future operating cash needs and internal growth opportunities.

In 2010, we made contributions and direct benefit payments of \$154.2 to our defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans, which included \$100.0 of voluntary contributions to our domestic pension plans and \$1.9 of contributions that related to businesses that have been classified as discontinued operations. We expect to make \$48.2 of contributions and direct benefit payments in 2011, including \$1.6 of contributions that relate to businesses that have been classified as discontinued operations. Our pension plans have not experienced any significant impact on liquidity or counterparty exposure due to the volatility in the credit markets. Our domestic pension funds experienced a positive return on assets of approximately 13.6% in 2010. See Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements for further disclosure of expected future contributions and benefit payments.

On a net basis, both from continuing and discontinued operations, we paid \$30.0, \$35.7 and \$95.7 in cash taxes for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In 2010, we made payments of \$55.9 associated with the actual and estimated tax liability for federal, state and foreign tax obligations and received refunds of \$25.9. The amount of income taxes that we pay annually is dependent on various factors, including the timing of certain deductions. Deductions and the amount of income taxes can and do vary from year to year.

As of December 31, 2010, except as discussed in Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements, we did not have any material guarantees, off-balance sheet arrangements or purchase commitments other than the following: (1) \$96.4 of certain standby letters of credit outstanding, all of which reduce the available borrowing capacity on our revolving credit facility; and (2) approximately \$368.7 of surety bonds. In addition, \$53.2 of our standby letters of credit relate to self-insurance matters and originate from workers' compensation, auto, or general liability claims made against us. We account for each of these claims as part of our self-insurance accruals.

Our Certificate of Incorporation provides that we indemnify our officers and directors to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law for any personal liability in connection with their employment or service with us, subject to limited exceptions. While we maintain insurance for this type of liability, the liability could exceed the amount of the insurance coverage.

We continually review each of our businesses in order to determine their long-term strategic fit. These reviews could result in selected acquisitions to expand an existing business or result in the disposition of an existing business. Additionally, we have stated that we may consider a larger acquisition, more than \$1,000.0 in revenues, if certain criteria were met. In addition, you should read "Risk Factors", "Segment Results of Operations" included in this MD&A, and "Business" for an understanding of the risks, uncertainties and trends facing our businesses.

Contractual Obligations:

The following is a summary of our primary contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010:

	Total	Due within 1 year	Due in 1-3 years	Due in 3-5 years	Due after 5 years
Short-term debt obligations	\$ 36.3	\$ 36.3	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Long-term debt obligations	1,161.3	50.8	10.5	500.0	600.0
Pension and postretirement benefit plan contributions and payments ⁽¹⁾	789.2	46.4	171.1	185.2	386.5
Purchase and other contractual obligations ⁽²⁾	761.3	504.1	250.7	3.3	3.2
Future minimum lease payments ⁽³⁾	202.4	43.8	60.3	38.9	59.4
Interest payments	432.9	80.9	159.1	120.7	72.2
Total contractual cash obligations ⁽⁴⁾	<u>\$3,383.4</u>	<u>\$762.3</u>	<u>\$651.7</u>	<u>\$848.1</u>	<u>\$1,121.3</u>

(1) Estimated minimum required pension funding and pension and postretirement benefit payments are based on actuarial estimates using current assumptions for, among other things, discount rates, expected long-term rates of return on plan assets (where applicable), rate of compensation increases, and health care cost trend rates. The expected pension contributions in 2011 and thereafter reflect the minimum required contributions under the Pension Protection Act of 2006 and the Worker, Retiree, and Employer Recovery Act of 2008. See Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information on expected future contributions and benefit payments.

(2) Represents contractual commitments to purchase goods and services at specified dates.

(3) Represents rental payments under operating leases with remaining non-cancelable terms in excess of one year.

(4) Contingent obligations, such as environmental accruals and those relating to uncertain tax positions generally do not have specific payment dates and accordingly have been excluded from the above table. We believe that within the next 12 months it is reasonably possible that we could pay approximately \$15.0 to \$20.0 relating to uncertain tax positions, which includes an estimate for interest and penalties. In addition, the above table does not include potential payments under our derivative financial instruments.

Critical Accounting Policies and Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The accounting policies that we believe are most critical to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and that require our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments in estimating the effect of inherent uncertainties, are listed below. This section should be read in conjunction with Notes 1 and 2 to our consolidated financial statements, which include a detailed discussion of these and other accounting policies.

Long-Term Contract Accounting

Certain of our businesses, primarily within the Flow Technology, Test and Measurement and Thermal Equipment and Services segments, recognize revenues and profits from long-term contracts under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. The percentage-of-completion method requires estimates of future revenues and costs over the full term of product delivery. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized \$1,319.0, \$1,342.5 and \$1,364.7 of revenues under the percentage-of-completion method, respectively.

Provisions for losses, if any, on uncompleted long-term contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined. In the case of customer change orders for uncompleted long-term contracts, estimated recoveries are included for work performed in forecasting ultimate profitability on certain contracts. Due to uncertainties inherent in the estimation process, it is reasonably possible that completion costs, including those arising from contract penalty provisions and final contract settlements, will be revised in the near-term. Such revisions to costs and income are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined.

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts arise when revenues have been recorded but the amounts have not been billed under the terms of the contracts. These amounts are recoverable from customers upon various measures of performance, including achievement of certain milestones, completion of specified units or completion of the contract.

Claims related to long-term contracts are recognized as revenues only after we have determined that collection is probable and the amount can be reliably estimated. Claims made by us may involve negotiation and, in certain cases, litigation. In the event we incur litigation costs in connection with claims, such litigation costs are expensed as incurred, although we may seek to recover these costs. Claims against us are recognized when a loss is considered probable and amounts are reasonably determinable.

Impairment of Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are not amortized, but instead are subject to annual impairment testing. We monitor the results of each of our reporting units as a means of identifying trends and/or matters that may impact their financial results and, thus, be an indicator of a potential impairment. The trends and/or matters that we specifically monitor for each of our reporting units are as follows:

- Significant variances in financial performance (e.g., revenues, earnings and cash flows) in relation to expectations and historical performance;
- Significant changes in end markets or other economic factors;
- Significant changes or planned changes in our use of a reporting unit's assets; and
- Significant changes in customer relationships and competitive conditions.

The identification and measurement of goodwill impairment involves the estimation of the fair value of reporting units. We consider a number of factors, including the input of an independent appraisal firm, in conducting the impairment testing of our reporting units. We perform our impairment testing by comparing the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to the carrying value of the reported net assets, with such testing occurring during the fourth quarter of each year in conjunction with our annual financial planning process (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise), based primarily on events and circumstances existing as of the end of the third quarter. Fair value is generally based on the income approach using a calculation of discounted cash flows, based on the most recent financial projections for the reporting units. The revenue growth rates included in the financial projections are our best estimates based on current and forecasted market conditions, and the profit margin assumptions are projected by each reporting unit based on current cost structure and anticipated net cost reductions.

The calculation of fair value for our reporting units incorporates many assumptions including future growth rates, profit margin and discount factors. Changes in economic and operating conditions impacting these assumptions could result in impairment charges in future periods.

In connection with our annual goodwill impairment testing in 2010, we determined that the estimated fair value of our SPX Heat Transfer Inc. and Anhydro reporting units, businesses that were acquired in the last 18 months, were comparable to the carrying value of their respective net assets. Goodwill attributable to these reporting units totaled \$64.1 at December 31, 2010. In addition, we determined that the estimated fair value for each of our other reporting units exceeds the carrying value of their respective net assets by at least 10%. We will continue to monitor impairment indicators across all of our reporting units.

Employee Benefit Plans

We have defined benefit pension plans that cover a portion of our salaried and hourly paid employees, including certain employees in foreign countries. Additionally, we have domestic postretirement plans that provide health and life insurance benefits for certain retirees and their dependents. The costs and obligations associated with these plans are calculated based on actuarial valuations. The critical assumptions used in determining these obligations and related expenses are discount rates, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and healthcare cost projections. These critical assumptions are determined based on company data and appropriate market indicators, and are evaluated at least annually by us in consultation with outside actuaries and investment advisors. Other assumptions involving demographic factors such as retirement patterns, mortality, turnover and the rate of compensation increases are evaluated periodically and are updated to reflect our experience and expectations for the future. While management believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, actual results may differ.

To determine the expected long-term rate of return on pension plan assets, we consider the current and expected asset allocations, as well as historical and expected returns on various categories of plan assets. A lower expected rate of return on plan assets would increase pension expense. Our domestic qualified pension plans accounted for approximately 74.0% of our total projected benefit obligations at December 31, 2010. A 50 basis point change in the expected long-term rate of return for our domestic qualified pension plans would impact our estimated 2011 pension expense by approximately \$4.5. Our pension

plans have not experienced any significant impact on liquidity or counterparty exposure due to the volatility in the credit markets. Our domestic pension funds experienced a positive return on assets of approximately 13.6% in 2010.

The discount rate enables us to state expected future cash flows at a present value on the measurement date. This rate is the yield on high-quality fixed income investments at the measurement date. A lower discount rate increases the present value of benefit obligations and increases pension expense. A 50 basis point change in the discount rate for our domestic plans would impact our estimated 2011 pension expense by approximately \$0.9.

The trend in healthcare costs is difficult to estimate, and it has an important effect on postretirement liabilities. The 2010 healthcare cost trend rate, which is the weighted-average annual projected rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered benefits, was 7.86%. This rate is assumed to decrease to 5.0% by 2019 and then remain at that level. A one-percentage point increase in the healthcare cost trend rate would increase our estimated 2011 postretirement expense by \$0.5.

In 2010, an increase in the number of inactive participants in one of our domestic pension plans resulted in almost all of the plan participants being inactive. Accordingly, in 2011, we will begin amortizing the unrecognized gains (losses) over the average remaining life expectancy of the inactive participants as opposed to the average remaining service period of the participants. This change is expected to reduce our pension expense by approximately \$20.0 in 2011.

See Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements for further information on our pension and postretirement benefit plans.

Income Taxes

We record our income taxes based on the Income Taxes Topic of the Codification, which includes an estimate of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. We periodically assess the realizability of deferred tax assets and the adequacy of deferred tax liabilities, including the results of local, state, federal or foreign statutory tax audits or estimates and judgments used.

Realization of deferred tax assets associated with net operating loss and credit carryforwards is dependent upon generating sufficient taxable income prior to their expiration by taxing jurisdiction. We believe that it is more likely than not that certain of these net operating loss and credit carryforwards may expire unused and, accordingly, have established a valuation allowance against them. Although realization is not assured for the remaining deferred tax assets, we believe it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized through future taxable earnings or alternative tax strategies. However, deferred tax assets could be reduced in the near term if our estimates of taxable income during the carryforward period are significantly reduced or alternative tax strategies are no longer viable.

The amount of income tax that we pay annually is dependent on various factors, including the timing of certain deductions and ongoing audits by federal, state and foreign tax authorities, which may result in proposed adjustments. We perform reviews of our income tax positions on a quarterly basis and accrue for potential uncertain tax positions. Accruals for these uncertain tax positions are recorded based on an expectation as to the timing of when the matter will be resolved. As events change or resolution occurs, these accruals are adjusted, such as in the case of audit settlements with taxing authorities. We believe we have adequately provided for any reasonably foreseeable outcome related to these matters.

Our future results may include favorable or unfavorable adjustments to our estimated tax liabilities due to closure of income tax examinations, statute expirations, new regulatory or judicial pronouncements, changes in tax laws, changes in projected levels of taxable income, future tax planning strategies, or other relevant events. See Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements for additional details regarding our uncertain tax positions.

Product Warranty

In the normal course of business, we issue product warranties for specific product lines and provide for the estimated future warranty cost in the period in which the sale is recorded. We provide for the estimate of warranty cost based on contract terms and historical warranty loss experience. Because warranty estimates are forecasts that are based on the best available information, claims costs may differ from amounts provided. In addition, due to the seasonal fluctuations at certain of our businesses, the timing of warranty provisions and the usage of warranty accruals can vary period to period. We make adjustments to warranty liabilities as changes in the obligations become reasonably estimable.

Contingent Liabilities

Numerous claims, complaints and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including those relating to litigation matters (e.g., class actions, derivative lawsuits and contracts, intellectual property, and competitive claims), environmental matters, and risk management matters (e.g., product and general liability, automobile, and workers' compensation claims) have been filed or are pending against us and certain of our subsidiaries. Additionally, we may become subject to significant claims, of which we are unaware currently, or the claims of which we are aware may result in us incurring a significantly greater liability than we anticipate. This may also be true in connection with past or future acquisitions. While we maintain property, cargo, auto, product, general liability, environmental, and directors' and officers' liability insurance and have acquired rights under similar policies in connection with acquisitions that we believe cover a portion of these claims, this insurance may be insufficient or unavailable (e.g., because of insurer insolvency) to protect us against potential loss exposures. In addition, we have increased our self-insurance limits over the past several years. While we believe we are entitled to indemnification from third parties for some of these claims, these rights may be insufficient or unavailable to protect us against potential loss exposures. However, we believe that our accruals related to these items are sufficient and that these items and our rights to available insurance and indemnity will be resolved without a material adverse effect, individually or in the aggregate, on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. These accruals totaled \$436.2 (including \$366.1 for risk management matters) and \$308.5 (including \$231.8 for risk management matters) at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 includes "Other long-term liabilities" of \$110.0 (along with "Other assets" for the same amount) associated with certain insured risk management matters. Prior to December 31, 2010, the asset and liability associated with these matters was not reflected on our consolidated balance sheets as the amounts were not reasonably estimable.

It is our policy to comply fully with applicable environmental requirements. We are currently involved in various investigatory and remedial actions at our facilities and at third-party waste disposal sites. It is our policy to accrue for estimated losses from legal actions or claims when events exist that make the realization of the losses or expenses probable and they can be reasonably estimated. Our environmental accruals cover anticipated costs, including investigation, remediation, and operation and maintenance of clean-up sites. Accordingly, our estimates may change based on future developments, including new or changes in existing environmental laws or policies, differences in costs required to complete anticipated actions from estimates provided, future findings of investigation or remediation actions, or alteration to the expected remediation plans. We expense costs incurred to investigate and remediate environmental issues unless they extend the economic useful life of related assets. We record liabilities and report expenses when it is probable that an obligation has been incurred and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. Our estimates are based primarily on investigations and remediation plans established by independent consultants, regulatory agencies and potentially responsible third parties. It is our policy to realize a change in estimates once it becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. In determining our accruals, we do not discount environmental or other legal accruals and do not reduce them by anticipated insurance, litigation and other recoveries. We do take into account third-party indemnification from financially viable parties in determining our accruals where there is no dispute regarding the right to indemnification.

We are self-insured for certain of our workers' compensation, automobile, product and general liability, disability and health costs, and we believe that we maintain adequate accruals to cover our retained liability. Our accruals for self-insurance liabilities are determined by us, are based on claims filed and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported, and generally are not discounted. We consider a number of factors, including third-party actuarial valuations, when making these determinations. We maintain third-party stop-loss insurance policies to cover certain liability costs in excess of predetermined retained amounts; however, this insurance may be insufficient or unavailable (e.g., because of insurer insolvency) to protect us against potential loss exposures. The key assumptions considered in estimating the ultimate cost to settle reported claims and the estimated costs associated with incurred but not yet reported claims include, among other things, our historical and industry claims experience, trends in health care and administrative costs, our current and future risk management programs, and historical lag studies with regard to the timing between when a claim is incurred versus when it is reported.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements for a complete discussion of recent accounting pronouncements. The following summarizes only those pronouncements that could have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations in future periods.

In September 2009, the FASB issued guidance with the objective of amending revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables. The guidance eliminates one previous revenue recognition criterion so that objective and reliable evidence of fair value for undelivered item(s), in a multiple element deliverable arrangement in which the delivered item or items are considered a separate unit or units, is no longer required. The guidance also determines a hierarchy for an entity to use

when estimating the selling price of deliverables that meet the other two conditions for separation as follows: (1) vendor-specific objective evidence of the selling price, (2) third-party evidence of the selling price, or (3) an estimate of the selling price. In addition, the term “selling price” replaces all references to fair value in the guidance. The guidance also has eliminated the residual allocation method and requires an entity to apply the relative selling price allocation method in all circumstances where there is an absence of objective and reliable evidence for the delivered item(s) in an arrangement. Lastly, the guidance requires enhanced disclosures about the judgments and assumptions used in evaluating arrangements. Entities may elect to apply this guidance (1) prospectively to new or materially modified arrangements after the effective date or (2) retrospectively for all periods presented. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. While early application is permitted, if early adoption is made after the first interim reporting period, application must be made retrospectively to the beginning of that year and transition disclosures made. We do not plan on adopting this guidance early and do not expect the adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to guidance related to revenue recognition for certain revenue arrangements that include software elements. The amendment was to the scope of prior guidance, such that all tangible products containing both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product’s essential functionality will no longer be within the scope of the Software Revenue Recognition Topic of the Codification. That is, the entire product (including the software deliverables and non-software deliverables) would be outside the scope of revenue recognition guidance specific to software and would be accounted for under other accounting literature. Lastly, the guidance requires enhanced disclosures about the judgments and assumptions used in evaluating arrangements. Entities may elect to apply this guidance (1) prospectively to new or materially modified arrangements after the effective date or (2) retrospectively for all periods presented. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. While early application is permitted, if early adoption is made after the first interim reporting period, application must be made retrospectively to the beginning of that year and transition disclosures made. Further, this guidance may not be adopted until the guidance that amends revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables (as described above) is adopted. We do not plan on adopting this guidance early and do not expect the adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

(All dollar amounts are in millions)

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity raw material prices, and we selectively use financial instruments to manage these risks. We do not enter into financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes; however, such instruments may become speculative if the future cash flows originally hedged are no longer probable of occurring as anticipated. Our currency exposures vary, but are primarily concentrated in the Euro, Chinese Yuan, South African Rand and British Pound. We generally do not hedge currency translation exposures. Our exposures for commodity raw materials vary, with the highest concentration relating to steel, copper and oil. See Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements for further details.

The following table provides information, as of December 31, 2010, about our primary outstanding debt obligations and presents principal cash flows by expected maturity dates, weighted-average interest rates and fair values.

	Expected Maturity Date						Total	Fair Value
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	After		
Long-term debt:								
6.875% senior notes	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$600.0	\$600.0	\$636.8
Average interest rate							6.875%	
7.625% senior notes	—	—	—	500.0	—	—	500.0	546.9
Average interest rate							7.625%	
7.50% senior notes ⁽¹⁾	28.2	—	—	—	—	—	28.2	28.2
Average interest rate							7.50%	
6.25% senior notes	21.3	—	—	—	—	—	21.3	21.6
Average interest rate							6.25%	

⁽¹⁾ The 7.50% senior notes had a stated maturity of January 1, 2013. We redeemed these notes in January 2011, resulting in a principal payment of \$28.2.

We believe that current cash and equivalents, cash flows from operations, availability under revolving credit facilities and availability under our trade receivables financing agreement will be sufficient to fund working capital needs, planned capital expenditures, equity repurchases, dividend payments, other operational cash requirements and required debt service obligations for the foreseeable future.

We had FX forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$199.5 outstanding as of December 31, 2010, with scheduled maturities of \$175.3, \$22.1 and \$2.1 in 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. The fair value of our open contracts was a net liability of \$3.8, with \$0.5 recorded as a current asset and \$4.3 as a current liability as of December 31, 2010. We had FX embedded derivatives with an aggregate notional amount of \$200.9 outstanding at December 31, 2010, with scheduled maturities of \$141.1, \$42.0, \$15.2 and \$2.6 in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. The fair value of the associated embedded derivatives was a net liability of \$32.4, with \$2.6 recorded as a current asset, \$1.8 recorded as a current liability and \$33.2 recorded as a noncurrent liability, as of December 31, 2010.

We had commodity contracts with an unrealized gain, net of tax, recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$0.8 at December 31, 2010. We expect to reclassify the 2010 unrealized gain to cost of products sold over the next 12 months as the hedged transactions impact earnings. The fair value of these contracts was \$1.0 (recorded as a current asset) as of December 31, 2010.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements And Supplementary Data

SPX Corporation and Subsidiaries Index To Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2010

	<u>Page</u>
SPX Corporation and Subsidiaries	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm — Deloitte & Touche LLP	48
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	49
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009	50
Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	51
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	53
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	54

All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not required or because the required information is included in our consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of SPX Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of SPX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (the "Company") as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related Consolidated Statements of Operations, Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss), and of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We did not audit the financial statements of EGS Electrical Group, LLC and Subsidiaries ("EGS") for the years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company's investment in which is accounted for by use of the equity method (see Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements). The Company's equity in income of EGS for the years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$28.8 million, \$28.0 million and \$43.7 million, respectively. The financial statements of EGS were audited by other auditors whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for such company, is based solely on the report of such auditors.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of the other auditors, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of SPX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, beginning January 1, 2009, the Company changed its method of accounting for assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 22, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina
February 22, 2011

SPX Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(\$ and shares in millions, except per share amounts)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues	\$4,886.8	\$4,845.6	\$5,826.6
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of products sold	3,454.0	3,426.0	4,061.2
Selling, general and administrative	1,024.4	959.2	1,127.9
Intangible amortization	27.1	21.5	25.7
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets	1.7	194.8	123.0
Special charges, net	36.4	73.1	17.2
Operating income	343.2	171.0	471.6
Other expense, net	(21.3)	(19.7)	(1.2)
Interest expense	(87.2)	(92.1)	(116.0)
Interest income	5.4	7.5	10.9
Loss on early extinguishment of interest rate protection agreements and term loan	(25.6)	—	—
Equity earnings in joint ventures	30.2	29.4	45.5
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	244.7	96.1	410.8
Income tax provision	(53.1)	(47.1)	(152.3)
Income from continuing operations	191.6	49.0	258.5
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.5)	(6.4)	9.7
Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax	11.7	(26.4)	4.6
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	11.2	(32.8)	14.3
Net income	202.8	16.2	272.8
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2.8)	(15.5)	24.9
Net income attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	<u>\$ 205.6</u>	<u>\$ 31.7</u>	<u>\$ 247.9</u>
Amounts attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders:			
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 194.4	\$ 47.2	\$ 251.9
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	11.2	(15.5)	(4.0)
Net income	<u>\$ 205.6</u>	<u>\$ 31.7</u>	<u>\$ 247.9</u>
Basic income per share of common stock:			
Income from continuing operations attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	\$ 3.91	\$ 0.96	\$ 4.70
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	0.23	(0.32)	(0.07)
Net income per share attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	<u>\$ 4.14</u>	<u>\$ 0.64</u>	<u>\$ 4.63</u>
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding — basic	49.718	49.363	53.596
Diluted income per share of common stock:			
Income from continuing operations attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	\$ 3.86	\$ 0.95	\$ 4.63
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	0.22	(0.31)	(0.07)
Net income per share attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	<u>\$ 4.08</u>	<u>\$ 0.64</u>	<u>\$ 4.56</u>
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding — diluted	50.347	49.797	54.359

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

SPX Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(\$ in millions)

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2009</u>
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 455.4	\$ 522.9
Accounts receivable, net	1,164.8	1,044.7
Inventories	564.3	559.3
Other current assets	176.1	121.2
Deferred income taxes	67.9	56.1
Assets of discontinued operations	—	8.4
Total current assets	<u>2,428.5</u>	<u>2,312.6</u>
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land	40.8	39.1
Buildings and leasehold improvements	264.1	250.4
Machinery and equipment	767.1	712.0
Accumulated depreciation	<u>1,072.0</u> (526.8)	<u>1,001.5</u> (455.2)
Property, plant and equipment, net	545.2	546.3
Goodwill	1,634.6	1,600.0
Intangibles, net	719.5	708.3
Deferred income taxes	—	115.3
Other assets	665.5	442.5
TOTAL ASSETS	<u><u>\$ 5,993.3</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 5,725.0</u></u>
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 538.8	\$ 475.0
Accrued expenses	1,080.1	986.6
Income taxes payable	16.3	40.3
Short-term debt	36.3	74.4
Current maturities of long-term debt	50.8	76.0
Liabilities of discontinued operations	—	7.6
Total current liabilities	<u>1,722.3</u>	<u>1,659.9</u>
Long-term debt	1,110.5	1,128.6
Deferred and other income taxes	86.9	92.1
Other long-term liabilities	969.6	962.9
Total long-term liabilities	<u>2,167.0</u>	<u>2,183.6</u>
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 14)		
Equity:		
SPX Corporation shareholders' equity		
Common stock (98,068,416 and 50,294,261 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010, respectively, and 97,283,521 and 49,367,689 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009, respectively)	986.7	979.0
Paid-in capital	1,461.1	1,425.7
Retained earnings	2,358.6	2,203.0
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(192.6)	(213.6)
Common stock in treasury (47,774,155 and 47,915,832 shares at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)	<u>(2,516.1)</u>	<u>(2,523.3)</u>
Total SPX Corporation shareholders' equity	2,097.7	1,870.8
Noncontrolling interests	6.3	10.7
Total equity	<u>2,104.0</u>	<u>1,881.5</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	<u><u>\$ 5,993.3</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 5,725.0</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

SPX Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)

	Common Stock	Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accum. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Common Stock In Treasury	SPX Corporation Shareholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$963.5	\$1,296.0	\$2,025.9	\$ 38.1	\$(2,337.5)	\$1,986.0	\$ 10.4	\$1,996.4
Net income	—	—	247.9	—	—	247.9	24.9	272.8
Net unrealized loss on qualifying cash flow hedges, net of tax of \$13.7	—	—	—	(21.9)	—	(21.9)	—	(21.9)
Pension liability adjustment, net of tax of \$62.2	—	—	—	(101.7)	—	(101.7)	—	(101.7)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, including \$6.3 of translation gains recognized upon sale of discontinued operations	—	—	—	(94.4)	—	(94.4)	(1.8)	(96.2)
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	53.0
Dividends declared (\$1.00 per share)	—	—	(53.3)	—	—	(53.3)	—	(53.3)
Exercise of stock options and other incentive plan activity, including related tax benefit of \$36.0	5.4	81.0	—	—	42.3	128.7	—	128.7
Amortization of restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants (includes \$0.7 recorded to discontinued operations)	—	42.2	—	—	—	42.2	—	42.2
Restricted stock and restricted stock unit vesting, net of tax withholdings	3.4	(25.3)	—	—	(5.6)	(27.5)	—	(27.5)
Treasury stock repurchased	—	—	—	—	(115.2)	(115.2)	—	(115.2)
Purchase of noncontrolling interest shares	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.5	1.5
Dividends attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(0.9)	(0.9)
Other changes in noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(0.1)	(0.1)
Balance at December 31, 2008	972.3	1,393.9	2,220.5	(179.9)	(2,416.0)	1,990.8	34.0	2,024.8
Net income	—	—	31.7	—	—	31.7	(15.5)	16.2
Net unrealized gain on qualifying cash flow hedges, net of tax of \$6.7	—	—	—	10.9	—	10.9	—	10.9
Pension liability adjustment, net of tax of \$56.5	—	—	—	(95.2)	—	(95.2)	—	(95.2)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, including \$5.7 of translation gains recognized upon sale of discontinued operations	—	—	—	50.6	—	50.6	0.8	51.4
Total comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(16.7)
Dividends declared (\$1.00 per share)	—	—	(49.2)	—	—	(49.2)	—	(49.2)
Exercise of stock options and other incentive plan activity, including related tax benefit of \$1.7	5.0	20.2	—	—	—	25.2	—	25.2
Amortization of restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants (includes \$0.1 recorded to discontinued operations)	—	27.7	—	—	—	27.7	—	27.7
Restricted stock and restricted stock unit vesting, net of tax withholdings	1.7	(14.3)	—	—	5.9	(6.7)	—	(6.7)
Treasury stock repurchased	—	—	—	—	(113.2)	(113.2)	—	(113.2)
Dividends attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(0.4)	(0.4)
Liquidation of noncontrolling interest due to disposition of Filtran (See Note 4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	(5.1)	(5.1)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interest	—	(1.8)	—	—	—	(1.8)	(1.2)	(3.0)
Other changes in noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1.9)	(1.9)
Balance at December 31, 2009	979.0	1,425.7	2,203.0	(213.6)	(2,523.3)	1,870.8	10.7	1,881.5

	Common Stock	Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accum. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Common Stock In Treasury	SPX Corporation Shareholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
Net income	—	—	205.6	—	—	205.6	(2.8)	202.8
Net unrealized gain on qualifying cash flow hedges, net of tax of \$10.8	—	—	—	17.4	—	17.4	—	17.4
Net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	—	—	—	6.1	—	6.1	—	6.1
Pension liability adjustment, net of tax of \$1.4	—	—	—	28.9	—	28.9	—	28.9
Foreign currency translation adjustments . .	—	—	—	(31.4)	—	(31.4)	0.3	(31.1)
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	224.1
Dividends declared (\$1.00 per share)	—	—	(50.0)	—	—	(50.0)	—	(50.0)
Exercise of stock options and other incentive plan activity, including related tax benefit of \$3.2	5.1	26.3	—	—	—	31.4	—	31.4
Amortization of restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants	—	31.1	—	—	—	31.1	—	31.1
Restricted stock and restricted stock unit vesting, net of tax withholdings	2.6	(22.0)	—	—	7.2	(12.2)	—	(12.2)
Dividends attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2.6)	(2.6)
Other changes in noncontrolling interests . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.7	0.7
Balance at December 31, 2010	<u>\$986.7</u>	<u>\$1,461.1</u>	<u>\$2,358.6</u>	<u>\$(192.6)</u>	<u>\$(2,516.1)</u>	<u>\$2,097.7</u>	<u>\$6.3</u>	<u>\$2,104.0</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

SPX Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(\$ in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Cash flows from (used in) operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 202.8	\$ 16.2	\$ 272.8
Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	11.2	(32.8)	14.3
Income from continuing operations	191.6	49.0	258.5
Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing operations to net cash from (used in) operating activities			
Special charges, net	36.4	73.1	17.2
Gain on sale of product line	—	(1.1)	—
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets	1.7	194.8	123.0
Loss on early extinguishment of interest rate protection agreements and term loan	25.6	—	—
Deferred and other income taxes	61.0	(21.0)	49.4
Depreciation and amortization	113.0	105.9	104.5
Pension and other employee benefits	68.4	53.5	58.0
Stock-based compensation	31.1	27.6	41.5
Other, net	15.5	16.3	25.9
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions and divestitures			
Accounts receivable and other assets	(218.3)	319.4	(255.0)
Inventories	16.3	160.1	(47.7)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other	(57.4)	(447.3)	57.7
Cash spending on restructuring actions	(28.2)	(67.1)	(28.1)
Net cash from continuing operations	256.7	463.2	404.9
Net cash from (used in) discontinued operations	(3.1)	7.9	1.0
Net cash from operating activities	253.6	471.1	405.9
Cash flows from (used in) investing activities:			
Proceeds from asset sales and other	9.6	3.6	1.3
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	3.5	8.4	(14.0)
Business acquisitions and other investments, net of cash acquired	(130.6)	(131.4)	(15.0)
Capital expenditures	(75.7)	(92.8)	(116.4)
Net cash used in continuing operations	(193.2)	(212.2)	(144.1)
Net cash from discontinued operations (includes net cash proceeds from dispositions of \$10.1, \$28.8 and \$135.0 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively)	10.1	24.0	130.5
Net cash used in investing activities	(183.1)	(188.2)	(13.6)
Cash flows from (used in) financing activities:			
Borrowings under senior credit facilities	164.0	424.5	585.5
Repayments under senior credit facilities	(825.5)	(503.0)	(710.5)
Borrowings under senior notes	600.0	—	—
Borrowing under trade receivables agreement	90.0	138.0	261.0
Repayments under trade receivables agreement	(112.0)	(116.0)	(331.0)
Net repayments under other financing arrangements	(1.7)	(17.6)	(28.3)
Purchases of common stock	—	(113.2)	(115.2)
Proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options and other, net of minimum withholdings paid on behalf of employees for net share settlements	3.5	1.2	81.5
Purchase of noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	—	(3.0)	—
Dividends paid (includes noncontrolling interest distributions of \$2.6, \$0.4 and \$0.9 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively)	(52.3)	(50.3)	(54.4)
Financing fees paid	(13.0)	—	(1.2)
Net cash used in continuing operations	(147.0)	(239.4)	(312.6)
Net cash from (used in) discontinued operations	—	0.2	(0.4)
Net cash used in financing activities	(147.0)	(239.2)	(313.0)
Changes in cash and equivalents due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates	9.0	3.3	42.5
Net change in cash and equivalents	(67.5)	47.0	121.8
Consolidated cash and equivalents, beginning of period	522.9	475.9	354.1
Consolidated cash and equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 455.4</u>	<u>\$ 522.9</u>	<u>\$ 475.9</u>
Cash and equivalents of continuing operations	\$ 455.4	\$ 522.9	\$ 475.9
Cash and equivalents of discontinued operations	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$ 73.9	\$ 94.2	\$ 113.3
Income taxes paid, net of refunds of \$25.9, \$66.4 and \$17.6 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively	\$ 30.0	\$ 35.7	\$ 95.7
Non-cash investing and financing activity:			
Debt assumed	\$ 3.9	\$ —	\$ 1.2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are described below, as well as in other Notes that follow.

Basis of Presentation — The consolidated financial statements include SPX Corporation's ("our" or "we") accounts after the elimination of intercompany transactions. Investments in unconsolidated companies where we exercise significant influence but do not have control are accounted for using the equity method. In determining whether we are the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ("VIE"), we perform a qualitative analysis that considers the design of the VIE, the nature of our involvement and the variable interests held by other parties to determine which party has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. We do have interests in VIEs, primarily joint ventures, in which we are the primary beneficiary and others in which we are not. All our VIEs are considered immaterial, individually and in aggregate, to our consolidated financial statements.

We have reclassified certain prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation, including the results of discontinued operations. Unless otherwise indicated, amounts provided in these Notes pertain to continuing operations only (see Note 4 for information on discontinued operations).

Foreign Currency Translation — The financial statements of our foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars in accordance with the Foreign Currency Matters Topic of the Accounting Standards Codification ("Codification"). Balance sheet accounts are translated at the current rate at the end of each period and income statement accounts are translated at the average rate for each period. Gains and losses on foreign currency translations are reflected as a separate component of shareholders' equity and other comprehensive income (loss). Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in other expense, net, with the related net gains (losses) totaling \$(27.6), \$(21.0) and \$5.7 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Cash Equivalents — We consider highly liquid money market investments with original maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Revenue Recognition — We recognize revenues from product sales upon shipment to the customer (FOB shipping point) or upon receipt by the customer (FOB destination), in accordance with the agreed upon customer terms. Revenues from service contracts and long-term maintenance arrangements are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the agreement period. Sales with FOB destination terms are primarily to automotive and power transformer industry customers. Sales to distributors with return rights are recognized upon shipment to the distributor with expected returns estimated and accrued at the time of sale. The accrual considers restocking charges for returns and in some cases the distributor must issue a replacement order before the return is authorized. Actual return experience may vary from our estimates. Amounts billed for shipping and handling are included in revenues. Costs incurred for shipping and handling are recorded in cost of products sold. We recognize revenues separately for arrangements with multiple deliverables that meet the criteria for separate units of accounting as defined by the Revenue Recognition Topic of the Codification.

Sales incentive programs offered to our customers relate primarily to volume rebates and promotional and advertising allowances and are only significant to two of our business units. The liability for these programs, and the resulting reduction to reported revenues, is determined primarily through trend analysis, historical experience and expectations regarding customer participation. Taxes assessed by a governmental authority that are directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer are presented on a net basis (excluded from revenues) in our consolidated statements of operations.

Certain of our businesses, primarily within the Flow Technology, Test and Measurement and Thermal Equipment and Services segments, recognize revenues from long-term construction/installation contracts under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting. The percentage-of-completion is measured principally by the percentage of costs incurred to date for each contract to the estimated total costs for such contract at completion. We also recognize revenues for similar short-term contracts using the completed-contract method of accounting.

Provisions for estimated losses, if any, on uncompleted long-term contracts, are made in the period in which such losses are determined. In the case of customer change orders for uncompleted long-term contracts, estimated recoveries are included for work performed in forecasting ultimate profitability on certain contracts. Due to uncertainties inherent in the estimation process, it is possible that completion costs, including those arising from contract penalty provisions and final contract settlements, may be revised in the near-term. Such revisions to costs and income are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings arise when revenues have been recorded but the amounts have not been billed under the terms of the contracts. These amounts are recoverable from customers upon various measures of performance, including achievement of certain milestones, completion of specified units or completion of the contract. Claims related to long-term contracts are recognized as revenue only after we have determined that collection is probable and the amount can be reliably estimated. Claims made by us involve negotiation and, in certain cases, litigation. In the event we incur litigation costs in connection with claims, such litigation costs are expensed as incurred, although we may seek to recover these costs. Claims against us are recognized when a loss is considered probable and amounts are reasonably determinable.

We recognized \$1,319.0, \$1,342.5 and \$1,364.7 in revenues under the percentage-of-completion method for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts, from their inception, and related amounts billed as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts	\$ 2,394.7	\$ 2,176.1
Estimated earnings to date	662.9	460.6
	<u>3,057.6</u>	<u>2,636.7</u>
Less: Billings to date	<u>(3,203.4)</u>	<u>(2,811.1)</u>
Net billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	<u>\$ (145.8)</u>	<u>\$ (174.4)</u>

These amounts are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009 as shown below. Amounts for billed retainages and receivables to be collected in excess of one year are not significant for the periods presented.

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings ⁽¹⁾	\$ 228.1	\$ 193.6
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts ⁽²⁾	<u>(373.9)</u>	<u>(368.0)</u>
Net billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	<u>\$ (145.8)</u>	<u>\$ (174.4)</u>

(1) The December 31, 2010 and 2009 balances include \$226.3 and \$191.8 reported as a component of "Accounts receivable, net", respectively, and \$1.8 as a component of "Other long-term assets" in the consolidated balance sheets.

(2) The December 31, 2010 and 2009 balances include \$364.5 and \$357.0 reported as a component of "Accrued expenses", respectively, and \$9.4 and \$11.0 as a component of "Other long-term liabilities" in the consolidated balance sheets.

Research and Development Costs — We expense research and development costs as incurred. We charge costs incurred in the research and development of new software included in products to expense until technological feasibility is established. After technological feasibility is established, additional eligible costs are capitalized until the product is available for general release. These costs are amortized over the economic life of the related products and we include the amortization in cost of products sold. We perform periodic reviews of the recoverability of these capitalized software costs. At the time we determine that capitalized amounts are not recoverable based on the estimated cash flows to be generated from the applicable software, we write off any unrecoverable capitalized amounts. We expensed research activities relating to the development and improvement of our products of \$69.5, \$58.7 and \$67.2 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Property, Plant and Equipment — Property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. We use the straight-line method for computing depreciation expense over the useful lives of PP&E, which do not exceed 40 years for buildings and range from 3 to 15 years for machinery and equipment. Depreciation expense was \$72.0, \$69.7 and \$67.3 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the life of the related asset or the life of the lease, whichever is shorter. Interest is capitalized on significant construction or installation projects. Interest capitalized during 2010 totaled \$3.9, while there was no interest capitalized during 2009 and 2008.

Income Taxes — We account for our income taxes based on the requirements of the Income Taxes Topic of the Codification, which includes an estimate of the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

returns. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. We periodically assess the realizability of deferred tax assets and the adequacy of deferred tax liabilities, including the results of local, state, federal or foreign statutory tax audits or estimates and judgments used.

Derivative Financial Instruments — We use foreign currency forward contracts (“FX forward contracts”) to manage our exposures to fluctuating currency exchange rates, and forward contracts to manage the exposure on forecasted purchases of commodity raw materials (“commodity contracts”) to manage our exposures to fluctuation in certain raw material costs. We have used interest rate protection agreements (“Swaps”) to manage our exposures to fluctuating interest rate risk on variable rate debt. Derivatives are recorded on the balance sheet and measured at fair value. For derivatives designated as hedges of the fair value of assets or liabilities, the changes in fair values of both the derivatives and the hedged items are recorded in current earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the changes in fair value of the derivatives is recorded in other comprehensive loss and subsequently recognized in earnings when the hedged items impact earnings. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated as hedges, and the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges, are recorded in current earnings. We do not enter into financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

For those transactions that are designated as cash flow hedges, on the date the derivative contract is entered into, we document our hedge relationship, including identification of the hedging instruments and the hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge transaction. We also assess, both at inception and quarterly thereafter, whether such derivatives are highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value of the hedged item. See Notes 13 and 16 for further information.

(2) Use Of Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) requires us to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues (e.g., our percentage-of-completion estimates described above) and expenses during the reporting period. We evaluate these estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis and base our estimates on experience, current and expected future conditions, third-party evaluations and various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. The results of these estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities as well as identifying and assessing the accounting treatment with respect to commitments and contingencies. Actual results may differ from the estimates and assumptions used in the financial statements and related notes.

Listed below are certain significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Certain other estimates and assumptions are further explained in the related notes.

Accounts Receivable Allowances — We provide allowances for estimated losses on uncollectible accounts based on our historical experience and the evaluation of the likelihood of success in collecting specific customer receivables. In addition, we maintain allowances for customer returns, discounts and invoice pricing discrepancies, with such allowances primarily based on historical experience. Summarized below is the activity for these allowance accounts.

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 60.2	\$ 62.4	\$ 55.4
Acquisitions	1.2	0.2	5.0
Allowances provided	17.7	14.4	20.6
Write-offs, net of recoveries and credits issued	(22.3)	(16.8)	(18.6)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 56.8</u>	<u>\$ 60.2</u>	<u>\$ 62.4</u>

Inventory — We estimate losses for excess and/or obsolete inventory and the net realizable value of inventory based on the aging of the inventory and the evaluation of the likelihood of recovering the inventory costs based on anticipated demand and selling price.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Impairment of Long-Lived and Intangible Assets Subject to Amortization — We continually review whether events and circumstances subsequent to the acquisition of any long-lived assets, or intangible assets subject to amortization, have occurred that indicate the remaining estimated useful lives of those assets may warrant revision or that the remaining balance of those assets may not be recoverable. If events and circumstances indicate that the long-lived assets should be reviewed for possible impairment, we use projections to assess whether future cash flows on an undiscounted basis related to the assets are likely to exceed the related carrying amount to determine if a write-down is appropriate. We will record an impairment charge to the extent that the carrying value of the assets exceed their fair values as determined by valuation techniques appropriate in the circumstances, which could include the use of similar projections on a discounted basis.

In determining the estimated useful lives of definite-lived intangibles, we consider the nature, competitive position, life cycle position, and historical and expected future operating cash flows of each acquired asset, as well as our commitment to support these assets through continued investment and legal infringement protection.

Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets — We test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually during the fourth quarter and continually assess whether a triggering event has occurred to determine whether the carrying value exceeds the implied value. The fair value of reporting units is based generally on discounted projected cash flows, but we also consider factors such as comparable industry price multiples. We employ cash flow projections that we believe to be reasonable under current and forecasted circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of the reported net assets of our reporting units. Many of our businesses closely follow changes in the industries and end markets that they serve. Accordingly, we consider estimates and judgments that affect the future cash flow projections, including principal methods of competition, such as volume, price, service, product performance and technical innovations, as well as estimates associated with cost improvement initiatives, capacity utilization and assumptions for inflation and foreign currency changes. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. See Note 8 for further information, including discussion of impairment charges recorded in 2009 for our Service Solutions reporting unit and in 2008 for our Weil-McLain subsidiary.

Accrued Expenses — We make estimates and judgments in establishing accruals as required under GAAP. Summarized in the table below are accrued expenses at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Employee benefits	\$ 217.8	\$199.5
Unearned revenue ⁽¹⁾	501.7	461.5
Warranty	45.6	43.7
Other ⁽²⁾	315.0	281.9
Total	\$1,080.1	\$986.6

⁽¹⁾ Unearned revenue includes billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method of revenue recognition, customer deposits and unearned amounts on service contracts.

⁽²⁾ Other consists of various items, including legal, interest, restructuring and dividends payable, none of which individually require separate disclosure.

Legal — It is our policy to accrue for estimated losses from legal actions or claims when events exist that make the realization of the losses probable and they can be reasonably estimated. We do not discount legal obligations or reduce them by anticipated insurance recoveries.

Environmental Remediation Costs — We expense costs incurred to investigate and remediate environmental issues unless they extend the economic useful life of related assets. We record liabilities and report expenses when it is probable that an obligation has been incurred and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. Our environmental accruals cover anticipated costs, including investigation, remediation and operation and maintenance of clean-up sites. Our estimates are based primarily on investigations and remediation plans established by independent consultants, regulatory agencies and potentially responsible third parties. We do not discount environmental obligations or reduce them by anticipated insurance recoveries.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Self-Insurance — We are self-insured for certain of our workers' compensation, automobile, product, general liability, disability and health costs, and we maintain adequate accruals to cover our retained liabilities. Our accruals for self-insurance liabilities are based on claims filed and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported, and generally are not discounted. We consider a number of factors, including third-party actuarial valuations, when making these determinations. We maintain third-party stop-loss insurance policies to cover certain liability costs in excess of predetermined retained amounts; however, this insurance may be insufficient or unavailable to protect us against potential loss exposures. The key assumptions considered in estimating the ultimate cost to settle reported claims and the estimated costs associated with incurred but not yet reported claims includes, among other things, our historical and industry claims experience, trends in health care and administrative costs, our current and future risk management programs, and historical lag studies with regard to the timing between when a claim is incurred and reported.

Warranty — In the normal course of business, we issue product warranties for specific products and provide for the estimated future warranty cost in the period in which the sale is recorded. We provide for the estimate of warranty cost based on contract terms and historical warranty loss experience that is periodically adjusted for recent actual experience. Because warranty estimates are forecasts that are based on the best available information, claims costs may differ from amounts provided. In addition, due to the seasonal fluctuations at certain of our businesses, the timing of warranty provisions and the usage of warranty accruals can vary period to period. We make adjustments to initial obligations for warranties as changes in the obligations become reasonably estimable. The following is an analysis of our product warranty accrual for the periods presented:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 56.7	\$ 58.8	\$ 60.0
Acquisitions	1.7	3.6	2.9
Provisions	26.9	24.0	20.2
Usage	(29.5)	(29.7)	(24.3)
Balance at end of year	55.8	56.7	58.8
Less: Current portion of warranty	45.6	43.7	44.0
Non-current portion of warranty	<u>\$ 10.2</u>	<u>\$ 13.0</u>	<u>\$ 14.8</u>

Income Taxes — We perform reviews of our income tax positions on a continuous basis and accrue for potential uncertain tax positions in accordance with the Income Taxes Topic of the Codification. Accruals for these uncertain tax positions are classified as "Income taxes payable" and "Deferred and other income taxes" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets based on an expectation as to the timing of when the matter will be resolved. As events change or resolution occurs, these accruals are adjusted, such as in the case of audit settlements with taxing authorities. These reviews also entail analyzing the realization of deferred tax assets associated with net operating loss and credit carryforwards. When we believe that it is more likely than not that a net operating loss or credit carryforward may expire unused, we establish a valuation allowance against them. For tax positions where it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will be sustained, we record the largest amount of tax benefit with a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information.

Employee Benefit Plans — We have defined benefit plans that cover a portion of our salaried and hourly employees, including certain employees in foreign countries. We derive pension expense from an actuarial calculation based on the defined benefit plans' provisions and our assumptions regarding discount rate, rate of increase in compensation levels and expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. We determine the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets based upon historical actual asset returns and the expectations of asset returns over the expected period to fund participant benefits based on the current investment mix of our plans. We determine the discount rate by matching the expected projected benefit obligation cash flows for each of the plans to a yield curve that is representative of long-term, high-quality (rated AA or higher) fixed income debt instruments as of the measurement date. The rate of increase in compensation levels is established based on our expectations of current and foreseeable future increases in compensation. We also consult with independent actuaries in determining these assumptions. See Note 10 for more information.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

(3) New Accounting Pronouncements

The following is a summary of new accounting pronouncements that apply or may apply to our business.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance that requires an acquiring entity to recognize all the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction at the acquisition-date fair value with limited exceptions. In addition, under this guidance, acquisition costs are required to be expensed as incurred, acquired contingent liabilities are to be recorded at fair value at the acquisition date and subsequently measured at either the higher of such amount or the amount determined under existing guidance for non-acquired contingencies, in-process research and development are to be recorded at fair value as an indefinite-lived intangible asset (until completion or abandonment of the research and development efforts) at the acquisition date, restructuring costs associated with a business combination are to be generally expensed subsequent to the acquisition date and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally affect income tax expense. A substantial number of new disclosures are also required under this guidance. The guidance is effective for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We adopted the guidance on January 1, 2009 and have applied the accounting and disclosure provisions to all of our acquisitions in 2010 and 2009 (see Note 4 for a summary of such acquisitions). During 2010 and 2009, we recorded no settlements of acquisition-related liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits (see Note 11 for additional details) and there were no reductions of acquisition-related valuation allowances.

In December 2007, the FASB issued guidance that established new accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Specifically, noncontrolling interest (minority interest) is required to be recognized as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent's equity. The amount of net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest is to be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement. In addition, a parent is required to recognize a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. Such gain or loss is measured using the fair value of the noncontrolling equity investment on the deconsolidation date. The guidance establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation by clarifying that all of those transactions are equity transactions if the parent retains its controlling financial interest in the subsidiary. Expanded disclosures regarding the interests of the parent and the noncontrolling interest are required. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We adopted the guidance on January 1, 2009. Upon adoption, we reclassified noncontrolling interest of \$34.0 to a separate component of total equity within our consolidated balance sheet.

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance clarifying the requirements for the initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. The guidance reiterates that an asset acquired or a liability assumed in a business combination that arises from a contingency shall be recognized at fair value at the acquisition date during the measurement period. However, in the event the fair value cannot be determined during the measurement period, the Contingencies Topic of the Codification shall be applied. Contingent consideration arrangements of an acquiree assumed by the acquirer shall be recognized initially at fair value, and the acquirer shall develop a systematic and rational basis for subsequently measuring and accounting for assets and liabilities arising from contingencies. In addition, the disclosed information should enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of a business combination that occurs either during the current reporting period or after the reporting period but before the financial statements are issued. This guidance is effective for assets or liabilities arising from contingencies in business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We adopted the guidance on January 1, 2009 and have applied the accounting and disclosure provisions to all of our acquisitions in 2010 and 2009 (see Note 4 for a summary of such acquisitions).

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance to improve financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities ("VIE") and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. The guidance no longer exempts qualifying special-purpose entities from the scope of the Consolidation Topic of the Codification. In addition, the amended guidance requires continuous reconsideration for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of another entity, and ignores kick-out rights unless the rights are held by a single enterprise. Consolidation is required if an entity has power and receives benefits or absorbs losses that are potentially significant to the VIE. However, consolidation is not necessary if power is equally shared amongst unrelated parties. The guidance requires enhanced disclosures that will provide

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise's involvement in a VIE. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after November 15, 2009. We adopted the guidance on January 1, 2010 with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2009, the FASB issued guidance with the objective of amending revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables. The guidance eliminates one previous revenue recognition criterion so that objective and reliable evidence of fair value for undelivered item(s), in a multiple element deliverable arrangement in which the delivered item or items are considered a separate unit or units, is no longer required. The guidance also determines a hierarchy for an entity to use when estimating the selling price of deliverables that meet the other two conditions for separation as follows: (1) vendor-specific objective evidence of the selling price, (2) third-party evidence of the selling price, or (3) an estimate of the selling price. In addition, the term "selling price" replaces all references to fair value in the guidance. The guidance also has eliminated the residual allocation method and requires an entity to apply the relative selling price allocation method in all circumstances where there is an absence of objective and reliable evidence for the delivered item(s) in an arrangement. Lastly, the guidance requires enhanced disclosures about the judgments and assumptions used in evaluating arrangements. Entities may elect to apply this guidance (1) prospectively to new or materially modified arrangements after the effective date or (2) retrospectively for all periods presented. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. While early application is permitted, if early adoption is made after the first interim reporting period, application must be made retrospectively to the beginning of that year and transition disclosures made. We did not adopt this guidance early and we do not expect the adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to guidance related to revenue recognition for certain revenue arrangements that include software elements. The amendment was to the scope of prior guidance, such that all tangible products containing both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality will no longer be within the scope of the Software Revenue Recognition Topic of the Codification. That is, the entire product (including the software deliverables and non-software deliverables) would be outside the scope of revenue recognition guidance specific to software and would be accounted for under other accounting literature. Lastly, the guidance requires enhanced disclosures about the judgments and assumptions used in evaluating arrangements. Entities may elect to apply this guidance (1) prospectively to new or materially modified arrangements after the effective date or (2) retrospectively for all periods presented. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. While early application is permitted, if early adoption is made after the first interim reporting period, application must be made retrospectively to the beginning of that year and transition disclosures made. Further, this guidance may not be adopted until the guidance that amends revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables (as described above) is adopted. We did not adopt this guidance early and we do not expect the adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to guidance related to fair value disclosures. The amendment adds new requirements for disclosures about (1) transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements in which a reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 fair value measurements and the reasons for the transfers, and (2) the activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, including the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs in which an entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements. This amendment provides clarification for existing disclosures for (1) the level of disaggregation for fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities and (2) as it relates to Levels 2 and 3 fair value measurements, disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements required for Levels 2 or 3. Lastly, this update amends guidance on employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets to require that disclosures be provided by classes of assets instead of by major categories of assets. The requirement to provide the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and we do not expect the adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. The disclosure requirements for significant transfers in and out of Levels 1 and 2 are effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2009. We adopted the guidance on January 1, 2010 with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued guidance to provide greater transparency about an entity's allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. The objective is to provide disclosures that facilitate financial statement users' evaluation of (1) the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity's portfolio of financing receivables, (2) how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and (3) the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. These objectives are achieved by providing disclosures on a disaggregated basis. This guidance also amends

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

existing disclosure requirements to include (1) a rollforward schedule of the allowance for credit losses from the beginning of the reporting period to the end of the reporting period on a disaggregated basis, (2) the related recorded investment in financing receivables for each disaggregated ending balance, (3) the nonaccrual status of financing receivables by class of financing receivables, and (4) the impaired financing receivables by class of financing receivables. Finally, the following additional disclosures are required (by class of financing receivables unless otherwise noted): (1) credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period, (2) the aging of past due financing receivables at the end of the reporting period, (3) the nature and extent of troubled debt restructuring that occurred during the period and its effect on the allowance for credit losses, (4) the nature and extent of financing receivables modified as troubled debt restructuring within the previous 12 months that defaulted during the reporting period and their effect on the allowance for credit losses, and (5) any significant purchases and sales of financing receivables during the reporting period disaggregated by portfolio segment. The guidance is effective for the first reporting period ending on or after December 15, 2010. We adopted the guidance on December 31, 2010 with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued guidance to modify the goodwill impairment test by eliminating an entity's ability to assert that a reporting unit is not required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if the carrying amount of the reporting unit is zero or negative, despite the existence of qualitative factors that indicate that the goodwill is more likely than not impaired. For such reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance, which require that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between interim or annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The guidance is effective for the first reporting period beginning after December 15, 2010. We do not expect adoption of the guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued guidance to clarify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements for business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis, the entity should disclose revenues and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. Additionally, the guidance expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring, adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The guidance is effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted, however, we did not adopt this guidance early. The adoption of the guidance will have an impact on our consolidated financial statements for material acquisitions, individually or in the aggregate, consummated after January 1, 2011.

(4) Acquisitions and Discontinued Operations

We use acquisitions as a part of our strategy to gain access to customer relationships, new technology, expand our geographical reach, penetrate new markets and leverage our existing product, market, manufacturing and technical expertise. Further, as part of our operating strategy, we regularly review and negotiate potential divestitures, some of which are or may be material. As a result of this continuous review, we determined that certain of our businesses would be better strategic fits with other companies or investors. Acquisitions and divestitures for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are described below.

All business acquisitions consummated in 2010 and 2009 have been accounted for in accordance with the Business Combinations Topic of the Codification and those acquisitions consummated prior to 2009 in accordance with the appropriate accounting guidance applicable at the time. The consolidated statements of operations include the results of each acquired business since the date of acquisition. The assets acquired and liabilities assumed are recorded at estimates of fair values as determined by us based on information available at the acquisition date. We consider a number of factors, including third-party valuations or appraisals, when making these determinations. We will recognize additional assets or liabilities if new information is obtained during the measurement period about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have resulted in the recognition of those assets and liabilities as of that date. The measurement period shall not exceed one year from the acquisition date. Refer to Note 8 for additional disclosure on the purchase price adjustments of the following acquisitions.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Acquisitions — 2010

In July 2010, in the Flow Technology segment, we completed the acquisition of the Anhydro business (“Anhydro”), a global supplier of liquid concentration equipment, powder processing solutions, and dewatering plants and equipment, for a purchase price of \$59.1, net of cash acquired of \$10.9. Anhydro had revenues of approximately \$71.0 in the twelve months prior to the date of acquisition.

In April 2010, in the Industrial Products and Services segment, we completed the acquisition of Torque Tension Systems Ltd. (“TTS”), a leading global supplier of hydraulic torque wrench and tensioner tool products, for a purchase price of \$15.7, net of cash acquired of \$2.4. TTS had revenues of approximately \$9.0 in the twelve months prior to the date of acquisition.

In February 2010, in the Flow Technology segment, we completed the acquisition of Gerstenberg Schröder A/S (“Gerstenberg”), a leading designer, manufacturer, installer and servicer of processing systems and components serving the global food industry, for a purchase price of \$30.9, net of cash acquired of \$3.5 and including debt assumed of \$3.9. Gerstenberg had revenues of approximately \$57.0 in the twelve months prior to the date of acquisition.

The pro forma effects of the acquisitions of Anhydro, TTS and Gerstenberg were not material, individually or in the aggregate, to our results of operations.

Acquisitions — 2009

In December 2009, in the Thermal Equipment and Services segment, our SPX Heat Transfer Inc. subsidiary completed the acquisition of substantially all the assets and certain liabilities of Yuba Heat Transfer, LLC (“Yuba”), a leading global supplier of heat transfer equipment utilized by nuclear, solar, geothermal, gas and coal power generation facilities, for a purchase price of \$127.8, after adjusting for a working capital settlement during 2010 of \$1.4. Yuba had revenues of approximately \$129.0 in the twelve months prior to the date of acquisition. The pro forma effect of the acquisition was not material to our results of operations.

Acquisitions — 2008

During September 2008, in the Test and Measurement segment, we completed the acquisition of Autoboss Tech, Inc., a China-based manufacturer of diagnostic tools and equipment serving China’s vehicle maintenance and repair market, for a purchase price of \$9.7. The acquired business had revenues of approximately \$7.9 in the twelve months prior to its acquisition. The pro forma effect of the acquisition was not material to our results of operations.

Discontinued Operations

We report businesses or asset groups as discontinued operations when, among other things, we commit to a plan to divest the business or asset group, actively begin marketing the business or asset group, and when the sale of the business or asset group is deemed probable within the next twelve months. The following businesses, which have been sold, met these requirements and therefore have been reported as discontinued operations for the periods presented.

<u>Business</u>	<u>Quarter Discontinued</u>	<u>Quarter of Sale</u>
Cooling Spain Packaging business (“Cooling Spain”)	Q4 2010	Q4 2010
P.S.D., Inc. (“PSD”)	Q2 2009	Q1 2010
Automotive Filtration Solutions business (“Filtran”)	Q4 2008	Q4 2009
Dezurik	Q3 2008	Q1 2009
Scales and Counting Systems business (“Scales”)	Q3 2008	Q4 2008
Vibration Testing and Data Acquisition Equipment business (“LDS”)	Q1 2008	Q4 2008
Air Filtration	Q3 2007	Q3 2008

Cooling Spain — Sold for cash consideration of one Euro (exclusive of cash transferred with the business of \$2.3), resulting in a loss, net of taxes, of \$1.9 during 2010.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

PSD — Sold for cash consideration of \$3.0, resulting in a gain, net of taxes, of \$3.6 during 2010. During 2009, we recorded a net charge of \$7.3 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our consolidated statements of operations in order to reduce the carrying value of the net assets to be sold to their estimated net realizable value.

Filtran — Our original plan for disposition contemplated the buyout of the minority interest shareholder in order to allow us to sell 100% of the Filtran business. As a result of the planned divestiture, and in consideration of the contemplated buyout of the minority interest shareholder, we recorded a total impairment charge attributable to SPX common shareholders of \$23.0 during 2008 in order to reduce the carrying value of the Filtran net assets to be sold to their estimated net realizable value. Of the \$23.0 charge, \$6.5 was recorded to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our 2008 consolidated statement of operations.

In October 2009, we completed the sale of the Filtran business for total consideration of \$15.0, including \$10.0 in cash and a promissory note of \$5.0. In connection with the sale, we did not buy the minority interest shareholder out and, thus, sold only our share of the Filtran business. As a result, we reclassified \$16.5 of the impairment charge incurred in 2008 from “Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest” to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our consolidated statements of operations in 2009. This reclassification had no impact on net income attributable to SPX common shareholders. In addition, based on the amount of consideration received and adjustments to certain liabilities that we retained, we recorded an additional charge of \$7.7 during 2009 to “Gain (Loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax.”

During 2010, we recorded a net gain of \$1.3 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our consolidated statements of operations related primarily to adjustments to certain tax liabilities that we retained. In addition we were paid in full (i.e., \$5.0) for the promissory note previously mentioned.

Dezurik — Sold for total consideration of \$23.5, including \$18.8 in cash and a promissory note of \$4.7, resulting in a loss, net of taxes, of \$1.6 during 2009. During 2008, we recorded a net charge of \$6.0 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” within our consolidated statements of operations in order to reduce the carrying value of the net assets to be sold to their estimated net realizable value. During 2010, we recorded net charges of \$0.1 in connection with adjustments to certain liabilities that we retained and we received payments of \$3.7 against the promissory note mentioned above.

Scales — Sold for cash consideration of \$16.8, resulting in a loss, net of taxes, of \$4.7 during 2008.

LDS — Sold for cash consideration of \$82.5, resulting in a gain, net of taxes, of \$17.1 during 2008. During 2009, we recorded a net charge of \$5.1 in connection with an adjustment to certain liabilities that we retained and additional income tax expense related to the disposition.

Air Filtration — Sold for cash consideration of \$35.7, resulting in a loss, net of taxes, of \$0.8 during 2008. During 2007, we recorded a net charge of \$11.0 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” in order to reduce the carrying value of the net assets to be sold to their estimated net realizable value.

During 2010, the field examinations of our 2006 and 2007 federal income tax returns were completed by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”). In connection with the completion of these examinations, we reduced our liability for uncertain tax positions and recognized an income tax benefit of \$7.3 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” associated with a business previously disposed of and reported as a discontinued operation.

During 2008, we reached an agreement with the IRS regarding audits of our 2003 through 2005 federal income tax returns. Upon the resolution of the examinations, we reduced our liability for uncertain tax positions and recognized an income tax benefit of \$5.0 to “Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax” associated with a business previously disposed of and reported as a discontinued operation.

In addition to the businesses discussed above, we recognized net gains of \$1.5, \$11.8 and \$0.5 during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, from businesses that were previously discontinued, resulting primarily from the reduction of liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with statute expirations in certain tax jurisdictions.

The final purchase price for certain of the divested businesses is subject to adjustment based on working capital existing at the respective closing dates. The working capital figures are subject to agreement with the buyers or, if we cannot come to agreement with the buyers, an arbitration process. Final agreement of the working capital figures with the buyers for some of these transactions has yet to occur. In addition, changes in estimates associated with liabilities retained in connection with a business divestiture (e.g., income taxes) may occur. It is possible that the purchase price and resulting gains/(losses) on these

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

and other previous divestitures may be materially adjusted in subsequent periods. Refer to Note 11 for the tax implications associated with our dispositions.

For 2010, 2009 and 2008, income (loss) from discontinued operations and the related income taxes are shown below:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ (1.2)	\$(53.7)	\$ 25.2
Income tax (provision) benefit	12.4	20.9	(10.9)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net	<u>\$11.2</u>	<u>\$(32.8)</u>	<u>\$ 14.3</u>

For 2010, 2009 and 2008, results of operations from our businesses reported as discontinued operations were as follows:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues	\$ 5.9	\$90.3	\$317.4
Pre-tax income (loss)	(0.5)	(4.7)	12.7

The major classes of assets and liabilities, excluding intercompany balances, of the businesses reported as discontinued operations included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 are shown below:

	December 31, 2009
Assets:	
Accounts receivable, net	\$3.9
Inventories, net	1.2
Other current assets	0.4
Net property, plant and equipment	0.3
Goodwill and intangibles, net	2.6
Assets of discontinued operations	<u>\$8.4</u>
Liabilities:	
Accounts payable	\$2.2
Accrued expenses and other	4.8
Deferred income taxes	0.6
Liabilities of discontinued operations	<u>\$7.6</u>

There were no assets or liabilities attributable to discontinued operations at December 31, 2010.

(5) Business Segment Information

We are a global provider of flow technology, test and measurement products and services, thermal equipment and services and industrial products and services with operations in over 35 countries. We offer a diverse collection of products, which include, but are not limited to, valves, fluid handling equipment, metering and mixing solutions, specialty service tools, diagnostic systems, service equipment and technical information services, cooling, heating and ventilation products, power transformers, and TV and radio broadcast antennas. Our products are used by a broad array of customers in various industries, including power generation, chemical processing, pharmaceuticals, infrastructure, mineral processing, petrochemical, automotive service, telecommunications and transportation.

We aggregate our operating segments into four reportable segments: Flow Technology, Test and Measurement, Thermal Equipment and Services and Industrial Products and Services. The factors considered in determining our aggregated segments are the economic similarity of the businesses, the nature of products sold or services provided, production processes, types of customers and distribution methods. In determining our segments, we apply the threshold criteria of the

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Segment Reporting Topic of the Codification to operating income or loss of each segment before considering impairment and special charges, pensions and postretirement expense, stock-based compensation and other indirect corporate expenses. This is consistent with the way our chief operating decision maker evaluates the results of each segment.

Revenues by business segment, group of products and geographic area represent sales to unaffiliated customers, and no one customer or group of customers that, to our knowledge, are under common control accounted for more than 10% of our consolidated revenues for any period presented. Intercompany revenues among segments are not significant. Identifiable assets by business segment are those used in our operations in each segment. General corporate assets are principally cash, pension assets, deferred tax assets, certain prepaid expenses, fixed assets, and our 44.5% interest in the EGS Electrical Group, LLC and Subsidiaries ("EGS") joint venture. See Note 9 for financial information relating to EGS.

Flow Technology

Our Flow Technology segment designs, manufactures and markets products and solutions that are used to process, blend, filter, dry, meter and transport fluids with a focus on creating innovative new products and systems as well as providing comprehensive aftermarket support services. Primary offerings include engineered pumps, mixers, process systems, heat exchangers, valves, and dehydration and drying technologies. The segment continues to focus on optimizing its global footprint while taking advantage of cross-product integration opportunities and increasing its competitive position in global end markets. Flow Technology's solutions focus on key business drivers, such as product flexibility, process optimization, sustainability and safety.

Test and Measurement

Our Test and Measurement segment engineers and manufactures branded, technologically advanced test and measurement products used on a global basis across the transportation, telecommunications and utility industries. Our technology supports the introduction of new systems, expanded services, and sophisticated testing and validation. Products of the segment include specialty automotive diagnostic service tools, fare-collection systems and portable cable and pipe locators. The segment continues to focus on global expansion, with a specific focus on China and India.

Thermal Equipment and Services

Our Thermal Equipment and Services segment engineers, manufactures and services cooling, heating and ventilation products for markets throughout the world. Products of the segment include dry, wet and hybrid cooling systems for the power generation, refrigeration, HVAC and industrial markets, as well as boilers, heating and ventilation products for the commercial and residential markets. This segment also provides thermal components for power generation plants and engineered services to maintain, refurbish, upgrade and modernize power stations. The segment continues to focus on expanding its global reach, including increasing its dry cooling, heating and ventilation presence in Asia, as well as its thermal components and service offerings. The segment's South African subsidiary has a Black Economic Empowerment noncontrolling interest shareholder, which holds a 25.1% interest.

Industrial Products and Services

Our Industrial Products and Services segment comprises businesses that design, manufacture and market power systems, industrial tools and hydraulic units, precision machine components for the aerospace industry, crystal growing machines for the solar power generation market, television, radio and cell phone and data transmission broadcast antenna systems, communications and signal monitoring systems, and precision controlled industrial ovens and chambers. This segment continues to focus on global expansion opportunities.

Corporate Expense

Corporate expense generally relates to the cost of our Charlotte, NC corporate headquarters and our Asia Pacific center in Shanghai, China.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Financial data for our business segments, including the results of acquisitions from the dates of the respective acquisitions, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Revenues:			
Flow Technology	\$1,662.2	\$1,634.1	\$1,998.7
Test and Measurement	924.0	810.4	1,100.3
Thermal Equipment and Services	1,602.1	1,595.5	1,679.1
Industrial Products and Services	698.5	805.6	1,048.5
Total	<u>\$4,886.8</u>	<u>\$4,845.6</u>	<u>\$5,826.6</u>
Segment income:			
Flow Technology	\$ 215.6	\$ 210.9	\$ 243.4
Test and Measurement	76.6	51.4	108.8
Thermal Equipment and Services	193.7	171.8	203.9
Industrial Products and Services	74.4	153.7	243.7
Total segment income	560.3	587.8	799.8
Corporate expense	95.5	83.8	107.7
Pension and postretirement expense	52.4	37.5	38.8
Stock-based compensation expense	31.1	27.6	41.5
Special charges, net	36.4	73.1	17.2
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets	1.7	194.8	123.0
Consolidated operating income	<u>\$ 343.2</u>	<u>\$ 171.0</u>	<u>\$ 471.6</u>
Capital expenditures:			
Flow Technology	\$ 23.2	\$ 17.6	\$ 29.3
Test and Measurement	5.1	7.5	14.2
Thermal Equipment and Services	13.1	22.0	31.4
Industrial Products and Services	14.0	10.7	28.2
General corporate	20.3	35.0	13.3
Total	<u>\$ 75.7</u>	<u>\$ 92.8</u>	<u>\$ 116.4</u>
Depreciation and amortization:			
Flow Technology	\$ 36.5	\$ 34.0	\$ 35.6
Test and Measurement	31.8	31.8	29.6
Thermal Equipment and Services	24.2	21.0	23.7
Industrial Products and Services	14.7	13.5	11.1
General corporate	5.8	5.6	4.5
Total	<u>\$ 113.0</u>	<u>\$ 105.9</u>	<u>\$ 104.5</u>
Identifiable assets:			
Flow Technology	\$2,098.0	\$1,988.3	\$2,096.2
Test and Measurement	764.1	787.7	1,104.9
Thermal Equipment and Services	1,809.1	1,789.5	1,798.6
Industrial Products and Services	562.2	600.3	676.9
General corporate	759.9	550.8	346.5
Discontinued operations	—	8.4	115.0
Total	<u>\$5,993.3</u>	<u>\$5,725.0</u>	<u>\$6,138.1</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Revenues by Groups of Products:			
Flow Technology	\$1,662.2	\$1,634.1	\$1,998.7
Test and Measurement	924.0	810.4	1,100.3
Thermal Equipment and Services	1,602.1	1,595.5	1,679.1
Industrial Products and Services:			
Power transformers and services	249.3	376.5	481.2
Industrial tools and equipment	120.8	101.3	153.9
Aerospace components	98.8	106.7	121.7
Broadcast antenna systems	118.3	124.7	141.0
Laboratory equipment	111.3	96.4	150.7
Total Industrial Products and Services	<u>698.5</u>	<u>805.6</u>	<u>1,048.5</u>
Total	<u>\$4,886.8</u>	<u>\$4,845.6</u>	<u>\$5,826.6</u>
Geographic Areas:			
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Revenues:⁽¹⁾			
United States	\$2,467.4	\$2,469.6	\$3,037.4
Germany	559.4	717.2	864.9
China	387.6	288.2	301.2
South Africa	241.5	138.1	66.0
United Kingdom	242.0	253.0	278.0
Other	988.9	979.5	1,279.1
	<u>\$4,886.8</u>	<u>\$4,845.6</u>	<u>\$5,826.6</u>
Tangible Long-Lived Assets:			
United States ⁽²⁾	\$ 908.0	\$ 742.9	\$ 623.9
Other	302.7	245.9	258.7
Long-lived assets of continuing operations	<u>1,210.7</u>	<u>988.8</u>	<u>882.6</u>
Long-lived assets of discontinued operations	<u>—</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>25.9</u>
Total tangible long-lived assets	<u>\$1,210.7</u>	<u>\$ 989.1</u>	<u>\$ 908.5</u>

(1) Revenues are included in the above geographic areas based on the country that recorded the customer revenue.

(2) Includes insurance recovery assets related to risk management matters of \$320.0 at December 31, 2010.

(6) Special Charges, Net

As part of our business strategy, we right-size and consolidate operations to improve long-term results. Additionally, from time to time, we alter our business model to better serve customer demand, fix or discontinue lower-margin product lines and rationalize and consolidate manufacturing capacity. Our restructuring and integration decisions are based, in part, on discounted cash flows to achieve our goals of increased outsourcing, reduced structural footprint, and maintaining profitability in a difficult economic environment. As a result of our strategic review process, we recorded net special charges of \$36.4 in 2010, \$73.1 in 2009 and \$17.2 in 2008. These net special charges were primarily for restructuring initiatives to consolidate manufacturing and sales facilities, reduce workforce, and rationalize certain product lines.

The components of the charges have been computed based on actual cash payouts, including severance and other employee benefits based on existing severance policies, local laws, and other estimated exit costs, and our estimate of the realizable value of the affected tangible and intangible assets.

Impairments of long-lived assets, including amortizable intangibles, which represent non-cash asset write-downs, typically arise from business restructuring decisions that lead to the disposition of assets no longer required in the restructured

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

business. For these situations, we recognize a loss when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. Fair values for assets subject to impairment testing are determined primarily by management, taking into consideration various factors including third-party appraisals, quoted market prices and previous experience. If an asset remains in service at the decision date, the asset is written down to its fair value and the resulting net book value is depreciated over its remaining economic useful life. When we commit to a plan to sell an asset, including the initiation of a plan to locate a buyer, and it is probable that the asset will be sold within one year based on its current condition and sales price, depreciation of the asset is discontinued and the asset is classified as an asset held for sale. The asset is written down to its fair value less any selling costs.

Liabilities for exit costs, including, among other things, severance, other employee benefit costs and operating lease obligations on idle facilities, are measured initially at their fair value and recorded when incurred.

With the exception of certain multi-year operating lease obligations and other contractual obligations, which are not material to our consolidated financial statements, we anticipate that the liabilities related to restructuring actions will be paid within one year from the period in which the action was initiated.

Special charges for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are described in more detail below and in the applicable sections that follow.

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Employee termination costs	\$21.2	\$48.0	\$ 5.5
Facility consolidation costs	5.1	5.6	2.5
Other cash costs	2.2	8.4	4.9
Non-cash asset write-downs	7.9	11.1	4.3
Total	<u>\$36.4</u>	<u>\$73.1</u>	<u>\$17.2</u>

2010 Charges:

	<u>Employee Termination Costs</u>	<u>Facility Consolidation Costs</u>	<u>Other Cash Costs</u>	<u>Non-Cash Asset Write-downs</u>	<u>Total Special Charges</u>
Flow Technology	\$ 6.1	\$3.0	\$0.5	\$2.1	\$11.7
Test and Measurement	2.9	1.9	0.7	1.6	7.1
Thermal Equipment and Services	11.9	—	0.3	4.0	16.2
Industrial Products and Services	0.3	—	—	—	0.3
Corporate	—	0.2	0.7	0.2	1.1
Total	<u>\$21.2</u>	<u>\$5.1</u>	<u>\$2.2</u>	<u>\$7.9</u>	<u>\$36.4</u>

Flow Technology segment — Charges for 2010 related primarily to headcount reduction costs at various facilities in Europe, lease exit costs for facilities in Australia (one) and New Zealand (two), additional costs associated with restructuring activities initiated in 2009, and asset impairment charges associated with an idle facility in Lake Mills, WI (\$2.1 for 2010), as well as costs associated with the segment's regional reorganization, the movement of certain functions to the new European shared service center in Manchester, United Kingdom, and integration activities related to the Gerstenberg and Anhydro acquisitions. These activities resulted in the termination of 152 employees.

Test and Measurement segment — Charges for 2010 related primarily to costs associated with headcount reductions and consolidation activities that impacted facilities in North America, Europe and Asia Pacific. Additionally, charges for 2010 included impairment charges of \$1.6. These activities resulted in the termination of 134 employees.

Thermal Equipment and Services segment — Charges for 2010 related primarily to costs associated with headcount reductions at facilities in Leipzig, Germany; Ratingen, Germany; Rothemuhle, Germany; Michigan City, IN; and Tulsa, OK. Additionally, charges for 2010 included asset impairment charges of \$4.0. These activities resulted in the termination of 269 employees.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Industrial Products and Services segment — Charges for 2010 related primarily to costs associated with headcount reductions at facilities in White Deer, PA and Rochester, NY. These activities resulted in the termination of 81 employees.

Corporate — Charges for 2010 related primarily to asset impairment and facility exit charges of \$0.6 and costs related to our legal entity reduction initiative.

2009 Charges:

	<u>Employee Termination Costs</u>	<u>Facility Consolidation Costs</u>	<u>Other Cash Costs</u>	<u>Non-Cash Asset Write-downs</u>	<u>Total Special Charges</u>
Flow Technology	\$16.1	\$4.1	\$ 2.7	\$ 1.8	\$24.7
Test and Measurement	19.5	1.1	4.6	2.8	28.0
Thermal Equipment and Services	8.7	—	(0.3)	5.5	13.9
Industrial Products and Services	3.1	—	—	—	3.1
Corporate	0.6	0.4	1.4	1.0	3.4
Total	<u>\$48.0</u>	<u>\$5.6</u>	<u>\$ 8.4</u>	<u>\$11.1</u>	<u>\$73.1</u>

Flow Technology segment — Charges for 2009 related primarily to costs associated with headcount reductions at facilities in Orebro, Sweden; Mexico City, Mexico; Rochester, NY; Buffalo, NY; and Houston, TX, as well as additional integration costs (primarily headcount reductions) associated with the December 31, 2007 acquisition of APV. These activities resulted in the termination of 636 employees.

Test and Measurement segment — Charges for 2009 related to costs associated with headcount reductions and consolidation activities that impacted facilities in Sala Baganza, Italy; Osny, France; Hainburg, Germany; Pollenfeld, Germany; Ingolstadt, Germany; Garching, Germany; Lugo, Italy; Owatonna, MN; Warren, MI; Allen Park, MI; and Bridgton, ME, primarily in response to the difficulties that were being experienced by the global vehicle manufacturers and their dealer service networks. These activities resulted in the termination of 616 employees.

Thermal Equipment and Services segment — Charges for 2009 related primarily to costs associated with headcount reductions at facilities in Ratingen, Germany; Gallarate, Italy; Guangzhou, China; Worcester, UK; Overland Park, KS; Michigan City, IN; and Eden, NC. These activities resulted in the termination of 294 employees. In addition, the segment recorded impairment charges of \$5.2 for assets at two facilities whose carrying amounts exceeded the expected future undiscounted cash flows.

Industrial Products and Services segment — Charges for 2009 related primarily to costs associated with headcount reductions at facilities in Rockford, IL; Raymond, ME; Rochester, NY; and Waukesha, WI. These activities resulted in the termination of 331 employees.

Corporate — Charges for 2009 related primarily to our legal entity reduction initiative, the closure of our information technology data center in Horsham, PA, and an additional asset impairment charge related to the expected sale of a facility in Newtown, CT.

2008 Charges:

	<u>Employee Termination Costs</u>	<u>Facility Consolidation Costs</u>	<u>Other Cash Costs</u>	<u>Non-Cash Asset Write-downs</u>	<u>Total Special Charges</u>
Flow Technology	\$0.1	\$0.9	\$2.3	\$0.3	\$ 3.6
Test and Measurement	2.3	0.6	0.7	0.6	4.2
Thermal Equipment and Services	2.0	0.8	1.3	1.4	5.5
Industrial Products and Services	—	—	—	—	—
Corporate	1.1	0.2	0.6	2.0	3.9
Total	<u>\$5.5</u>	<u>\$2.5</u>	<u>\$4.9</u>	<u>\$4.3</u>	<u>\$17.2</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Flow Technology segment — The charges for 2008 were driven mainly by the relocation of the segment's headquarters from Delavan, WI to Charlotte, NC, which totaled \$2.0, and charges related to facility closures and sales office consolidation efforts. These activities resulted in the termination of four employees.

Test and Measurement segment — Charges for 2008 related primarily to exit costs associated with a plan to consolidate distribution activities within the segment, costs associated with the closure of a manufacturing facility in Owatonna, MN, and costs associated with integrating the segment's technical and training service line into its diagnostic tools business. These activities resulted in the termination of 91 employees.

Thermal Equipment and Services segment — Charges for 2008 related primarily to the relocation of the segment's headquarters from Overland Park, KS to Charlotte, NC, which totaled \$1.1, as well as severance, asset impairment and other charges associated with outsourcing functions related to a manufacturing facility in China and the shut-down of facilities in Houston, TX and Gennevilliers, France. These activities resulted in the termination of 169 employees.

Corporate — Charges for 2008 related primarily to an impairment charge of \$1.4 associated with an idle facility that was sold during the year, expenses of \$0.9 for outsourcing certain functions associated with the scheduled shut-down of our IT Center in Horsham, PA, an impairment charge of \$0.6 related to the expected sale of a facility in Newtown, CT and \$0.4 associated with our legal entity reduction initiative. These activities resulted in the termination of 36 employees.

The following is an analysis of our restructuring and integration liabilities for years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 26.0	\$ 31.5	\$ 12.6
Special charges — cash ⁽¹⁾	28.5	62.7	13.6
Adjustments related to acquisition accounting	—	—	31.5
Utilization — cash	(28.2)	(67.1)	(28.1)
Currency translation adjustment and other	(5.6)	(1.1)	1.9
Ending balance	<u>\$ 20.7</u>	<u>\$ 26.0</u>	<u>\$ 31.5</u>

⁽¹⁾ The years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 exclude \$7.9, \$10.4 and \$3.6, respectively, of non-cash special charges that impact special charges but not the restructuring and integration related liabilities.

(7) Inventories

Inventories at December 31, 2010 and 2009 comprise the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Finished goods	\$190.3	\$196.7
Work in process	113.9	117.6
Raw materials and purchased parts	292.5	276.7
Total FIFO cost	596.7	591.0
Excess of FIFO cost over LIFO inventory value	(32.4)	(31.7)
Total inventories	<u>\$564.3</u>	<u>\$559.3</u>

Inventories include material, labor and factory overhead costs and are reduced, when necessary, to estimated realizable values. Certain domestic inventories are valued using the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method. These inventories were approximately 29% and 35% of total inventory at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Other inventories are valued using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method. Progress payments, which are netted against work in process at year-end, were \$5.9 and \$3.9 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. During 2010 and 2009, inventory reduction resulted in a liquidation of LIFO inventory quantities carried at lower costs prevailing in prior years as compared with the cost of 2010 purchases, the effect of

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

which increased operating income by approximately \$4.0 and \$3.4 during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(8) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill, by segment, are as follows:

	December 31, 2008	Goodwill resulting from business combinations	Impairments ⁽¹⁾	Foreign Currency Translation and other ⁽²⁾	December 31, 2009	Goodwill resulting from business combinations	Impairments	Foreign Currency Translation and other ⁽²⁾	December 31, 2010
Flow Technology									
Gross Goodwill . . .	\$ 664.6	\$ —	\$ —	\$(12.4)	\$ 652.2	\$53.8	\$—	\$ (3.3)	\$ 702.7
Accumulated Impairments . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Goodwill	<u>664.6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(12.4)</u>	<u>652.2</u>	<u>53.8</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(3.3)</u>	<u>702.7</u>
Test & Measurement									
Gross Goodwill . . .	460.8	—	—	7.7	468.5	—	—	(34.0)	434.5
Accumulated Impairments . . .	(96.2)	—	(187.7)	(3.7)	(287.6)	—	—	30.6	(257.0)
Goodwill	<u>364.6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(187.7)</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>180.9</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(3.4)</u>	<u>177.5</u>
Thermal Equipment and Services									
Gross Goodwill . . .	595.7	24.6	—	2.3	622.6	—	—	(20.0)	602.6
Accumulated Impairments . . .	(114.1)	—	—	—	(114.1)	—	—	—	(114.1)
Goodwill	<u>481.6</u>	<u>24.6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>2.3</u>	<u>508.5</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(20.0)</u>	<u>488.5</u>
Industrial Products and Services									
Gross Goodwill . . .	344.9	—	—	(0.6)	344.3	7.3	—	0.2	351.8
Accumulated Impairments . . .	(85.9)	—	—	—	(85.9)	—	—	—	(85.9)
Goodwill	<u>259.0</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(0.6)</u>	<u>258.4</u>	<u>7.3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>265.9</u>
Total									
Gross Goodwill . . .	2,066.0	24.6	—	(3.0)	2,087.6	61.1	—	(57.1)	2,091.6
Accumulated Impairments . . .	(296.2)	—	(187.7)	(3.7)	(487.6)	—	—	30.6	(457.0)
Goodwill	<u>\$1,769.8</u>	<u>\$24.6</u>	<u>\$(187.7)</u>	<u>\$ (6.7)</u>	<u>\$1,600.0</u>	<u>\$61.1</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$(26.5)</u>	<u>\$1,634.6</u>

⁽¹⁾ Impairment charges totaled \$187.7 during the year ended December 31, 2009 and related to our Service Solutions reporting unit.

⁽²⁾ For the year ended December 31, 2010, adjustments to acquisition accounting and changes from foreign currency translation totaled \$(6.4) and \$(20.1), respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2009, adjustments to acquisition accounting and changes from foreign currency translation totaled \$(26.3) and \$19.6, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Identifiable intangible assets comprise the following:

	December 31, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
Intangible assets with determinable lives:						
Patents	\$ 24.6	\$ (21.6)	\$ 3.0	\$ 25.9	\$(20.7)	\$ 5.2
Technology	116.7	(23.3)	93.4	94.7	(16.2)	78.5
Customer relationships	239.7	(51.6)	188.1	237.5	(43.1)	194.4
Other ⁽¹⁾ :	35.4	(13.5)	21.9	23.6	(9.1)	14.5
	416.4	(110.0)	306.4	381.7	(89.1)	292.6
Trademarks with indefinite lives ⁽²⁾ :	413.1	—	413.1	415.7	—	415.7
Total	\$829.5	\$(110.0)	\$719.5	\$797.4	\$(89.1)	\$708.3

⁽¹⁾ Balance reflects an impairment charge of \$1.0 recorded in 2009 associated with the Service Solutions reporting unit within our Test and Measurement segment.

⁽²⁾ Balance reflects impairment charges associated with businesses within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment of \$1.7 and \$6.1 in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Amortization expense was \$27.1, \$21.5 and \$25.7 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Estimated amortization expense related to these intangible assets is \$27.6 in 2011, \$26.1 in 2012, and \$24.1 in 2013, \$23.4 in 2014 and \$23.1 in 2015.

At December 31, 2010, the carrying value of net intangible assets with determinable lives consisted of \$168.9 in the Flow Technology segment, \$62.1 in the Test and Measurement segment, \$63.4 in the Thermal Equipment and Services segment, and \$12.0 in the Industrial Products and Services segment. Trademarks with indefinite lives consisted of \$206.9 in the Flow Technology segment, \$53.2 in the Test and Measurement segment, \$138.0 in the Thermal Equipment and Services segment, and \$15.0 in the Industrial Products and Services segment.

Consistent with the requirements of the Intangible — Goodwill and Other Topic of the Codification, the fair values of our reporting units generally are estimated using discounted cash flow projections that we believe to be reasonable under current and forecasted circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about carrying values of the reported net assets of our reporting units. Other considerations are also incorporated, including comparable industry price multiples. Many of our reporting units closely follow changes in the industries and end markets that they serve. Accordingly, we consider estimates and judgments that affect the future cash flow projections, including principal methods of competition such as volume, price, service, product performance and technical innovations and estimates associated with cost improvement initiatives, capacity utilization and assumptions for inflation and foreign currency changes. Any significant change in market conditions and estimates or judgments used to determine expected future cash flows that indicate a reduction in carrying value may give rise to impairment in the period that the change becomes known.

We perform our annual goodwill impairment testing during the fourth quarter in conjunction with our annual financial planning process, with such testing based primarily on events and circumstances existing as of the end of the third quarter. In addition, we test goodwill for impairment on a more frequent basis if there are indications of potential impairment.

In connection with our annual goodwill impairment testing in 2010, we determined that the estimated fair value of our SPX Heat Transfer Inc. and Anhydro reporting units, businesses that were acquired in the last 18 months, were comparable to the carrying value of their respective net assets. Goodwill attributable to these reporting units totaled \$64.1 at December 31, 2010. In addition, we determined that the estimated fair value for each of our other reporting units exceeds the carrying value of their respective net assets by at least 10%. We will continue to monitor impairment indicators across all our reporting units.

In connection with our annual goodwill impairment testing in 2009, our analysis indicated that the fair value of our Service Solutions reporting unit was less than the carrying value of its net assets. We then estimated the implied fair value of Service Solutions' goodwill, which resulted in an impairment charge related to such goodwill of \$187.7. The impairment charge of

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

\$187.7 was composed of (i) a \$78.1 difference between the estimated fair value of Service Solutions compared to the carrying value of its net assets, and (ii) an allocation to certain tangible and intangible assets of \$109.6 for the estimated increases in fair value for these assets solely for purposes of applying the impairment provisions of the Intangible — Goodwill and Other Topic of the Codification.

In addition to the goodwill impairment charge of \$187.7, our Service Solutions reporting unit recorded an impairment charge related to other intangible assets of \$1.0 during 2009.

As of December 31, 2008, we determined that the fair value of our Weil-McLain subsidiary was less than the carrying value of its net assets. As a result, we recorded charges of \$123.0 related to the impairment of goodwill (\$114.1) and other intangible assets (\$8.9).

(9) Investment in Joint Venture

We have a joint venture, EGS, with Emerson Electric Co., in which we hold a 44.5% interest. Emerson Electric Co. controls and operates the joint venture. EGS operates primarily in the United States, Brazil, Canada and France and is engaged in the manufacture of electrical fittings, hazardous location lighting and power conditioning products. We account for our investment under the equity method of accounting, on a three-month lag basis, and we typically receive our share of the joint venture's earnings in cash dividends paid quarterly. EGS's results of operations and selected other information for its fiscal years ended September 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Net sales	\$445.4	\$429.4	\$575.5
Gross profit	189.2	182.3	245.7
Net income	62.7	62.5	97.4
Capital expenditures	11.9	11.8	10.9
Depreciation and amortization	9.6	8.8	8.2
Dividends received	30.3	30.7	56.5
Undistributed earnings attributable to SPX Corporation	5.5	6.8	9.5
SPX's equity earnings in EGS	28.8	28.0	43.7

Condensed balance sheet information of EGS as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Current assets	\$170.2	\$134.6
Non-current assets	337.5	297.2
Current liabilities	114.2	70.3
Non-current liabilities	29.0	25.9

The carrying value of our investment in EGS was \$69.3 and \$56.5 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and is recorded in "other assets" in our consolidated balance sheets. We contributed non-monetary assets to EGS upon its formation. We recorded these contributed assets at their historical cost while EGS recorded these assets at their fair value. As a result of this basis difference in the goodwill recorded by EGS upon formation, our investment in EGS is less than our proportionate share of EGS's net assets, with such difference totaling \$84.9 at December 31, 2010. During the second quarter of 2010, EGS acquired Nutsteel Industria Metalurgica Ltda for \$35.4. We contributed \$15.8 to EGS to fund our portion of the acquisition price. For the year ended September 30, 2009, certain amounts were reclassified from current assets to current liabilities to conform to current year presentation.

(10) Employee Benefit Plans

Overview — We have defined benefit pension plans that cover a portion of our salaried and hourly paid employees, including certain employees in foreign countries. Beginning in 2001, we discontinued providing these pension benefits generally to newly hired employees. In addition, we no longer provide service credits to certain active participants. Of the U.S. employees covered by a defined benefit pension and actively accruing a benefit, most are covered by an account balance plan or are part of a collectively bargained plan.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

We have domestic postretirement plans that provide health and life insurance benefits for certain retirees and their dependents. Beginning in 2001, we discontinued providing these postretirement benefits generally to newly hired employees. Some of these plans require retiree contributions at varying rates. Not all retirees are eligible to receive these benefits, with eligibility governed by the plan(s) in effect at a particular location.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Plan assets — Our investment strategy is based on the long-term growth of principal while mitigating overall risk to ensure that funds are available to pay benefit obligations. The domestic plan assets are invested in a broad range of investment classes, including domestic and international equities, fixed income securities and other investments. We engage various investment managers who are regularly evaluated on long-term performance, adherence to investment guidelines and ability to manage risk commensurate with the investment style and objective for which they were hired. Allowable investments under the plan agreements include equity securities, fixed income securities, mutual funds, venture capital funds, real estate and cash and equivalents. In addition, investments in futures and option contracts, commodities and other derivatives are allowed in commingled fund allocations managed by professional investment managers. Investments prohibited under the plan agreements include private placements and short selling of stock. No shares of our common stock were held by our defined benefit pension plans as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Actual asset allocation percentages of each class of our domestic and foreign pension plan assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, along with the targeted asset investment allocation percentages, each of which is based on the midpoint of an allocation range, were as follows:

Domestic Pension Plans

	Actual Allocations		Mid-point of Target Allocation Range
	2010	2009	2010
Global equities	19%	23%	17%
Global equity common trust funds	26%	31%	33%
Fixed income common trust funds	17%	22%	20%
Commingled global fund allocations	22%	21%	30%
Short term investments ⁽¹⁾	15%	2%	—
Other ⁽²⁾	1%	1%	—
Total	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>

⁽¹⁾ Short term investments are generally invested in actively managed common trust funds or interest bearing accounts.

⁽²⁾ Assets included in this class at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were comprised primarily of insurance contracts, private equity and publicly traded real estate trusts.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Foreign Pension Plans

	Actual Allocations		Mid-point of Target Allocation Range
	2010	2009	2010
Global equity common trust funds	50%	49%	50%
Fixed income common trust funds	36%	37%	27%
Non-U.S. Government securities	10%	10%	21%
Short term investments ⁽¹⁾	3%	3%	1%
Other ⁽²⁾	1%	1%	1%
Total	100%	100%	100%

(1) Short term investments are generally invested in actively managed common trust funds or interest bearing accounts.

(2) Assets included in this class were comprised primarily of insurance receivables.

The fair value of pension plan assets at December 31, 2010, by asset class, are as follows:

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Asset Class:				
Equity Securities:				
Global Equities:				
Capital equipment	\$ 34.2	\$ 34.2	\$ —	\$ —
Consumer goods	22.4	22.4	—	—
Energy	13.2	13.2	—	—
Finance	15.5	15.5	—	—
Materials	21.4	21.4	—	—
Services	19.1	19.1	—	—
Miscellaneous	40.9	40.9	—	—
Global equity common trust funds ⁽¹⁾	335.3	86.5	248.8	—
Debt securities:				
Fixed income common trust funds ⁽²⁾	232.6	—	231.3	1.3
Non-U.S. Government securities	23.8	—	23.8	—
Alternative investments:				
Commingled global fund allocations ⁽³⁾	193.3	70.9	—	122.4
Other:				
Short term investments ⁽⁴⁾	133.1	133.1	—	—
Other ⁽⁵⁾	10.5	1.1	1.2	8.2
Total	\$1,095.3	\$458.3	\$505.1	\$131.9

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

The fair value of pension plan assets at December 31, 2009, by asset category, were as follows:

Asset Category:	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Equity Securities:				
Global Equities	\$164.5	\$164.5	\$ —	\$ —
Global equity common trust funds ⁽¹⁾	319.8	78.6	241.2	—
Debt securities:				
Fixed income common trust funds ⁽²⁾	232.9	—	231.7	1.2
Non-U.S. Government securities	16.8	—	16.8	—
Alternative investments:				
Commingled global fund allocations ⁽³⁾	151.0	47.3	—	103.7
Other:				
Short term investments ⁽⁴⁾	25.7	25.7	—	—
Other ⁽⁵⁾	9.6	0.6	—	9.0
Total	<u>\$920.3</u>	<u>\$316.7</u>	<u>\$489.7</u>	<u>\$113.9</u>

⁽¹⁾ This class represents investments in actively managed common trust funds that invest primarily in equity securities, which may include common stocks, options and futures. Investments are valued at the net asset value per share multiplied by the number of shares held as of the measurement date. The Level of the fund(s) (Level 1, 2 or 3) is determined based on the classification of the significant holdings within the fund.

⁽²⁾ This class represents investments in actively managed common trust funds that invest in a variety of fixed income investments, which may include corporate bonds, both U.S. and non-U.S. municipal securities, interest rate swaps, options and futures. Investments are valued at the net asset value per share multiplied by the number of shares held as of the measurement date. The Level of the fund(s) (Level 1, 2 or 3) is determined based on the classification of the significant holdings within the fund.

⁽³⁾ This class represents investments in actively managed common trust funds with investments in both equity and debt securities. The investments may include common stock, corporate bonds, U.S. and non-U.S. municipal securities, interest rate swaps, options and futures. Investments are valued at the net asset value per share multiplied by the number of shares held as of the measurement date. The Level of the fund(s) (Level 1, 2 or 3) is determined based on the classification of the significant holdings within the fund.

⁽⁴⁾ Short term investments are valued at \$1.00/unit, which approximates fair value. Amounts are generally invested in actively managed common trust funds or interest bearing accounts.

⁽⁵⁾ This category represents investments in insurance contracts, private equity and publicly traded real estate investment trusts. Our domestic pension plans participate in a securities lending program through J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, National Association. Securities loaned are fully collateralized by cash and other securities. The gross collateral and the related liability to return collateral amounted to \$48.6 at December 31, 2010 and \$54.8 at December 31, 2009, and have been included within "Level 2" of the fair value hierarchy in the tables above.

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy during 2010 and 2009. It is our policy to recognize transfers between Levels at the beginning of the fiscal year.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

The following table summarizes changes in the fair value of Level 3 assets for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	Commingled global fund allocations	Fixed income common trust funds	Other	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 82.7	\$ —	\$10.2	\$ 92.9
Realized losses	—	—	(0.9)	(0.9)
Unrealized gains relating to instruments still held at period end	11.0	—	0.5	11.5
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	10.0	1.2	(0.8)	10.4
Balance at December 31, 2009	103.7	1.2	9.0	113.9
Realized gains	—	—	0.5	0.5
Unrealized gains relating to instruments still held at period end	13.4	0.1	0.4	13.9
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	5.3	—	(1.7)	3.6
Balance at December 31, 2010	<u>\$122.4</u>	<u>\$1.3</u>	<u>\$ 8.2</u>	<u>\$131.9</u>

There were no transfers in or out of Level 3 assets in 2010 and 2009.

Employer Contributions — We currently fund U.S. pension plans in amounts equal to the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, plus additional amounts that may be approved from time to time. During 2010, we made contributions of \$120.1 to our qualified domestic pension plans and direct benefit payments of \$4.2 to our non-qualified domestic pension plans. In 2011, we expect to make contributions of \$15.2 to our qualified domestic pension plans and direct benefit payments of \$4.2 to our non-qualified domestic pension plans.

Many of our foreign plan obligations are unfunded in accordance with local laws. These plans have no assets and instead are funded by us on a pay as you go basis in the form of direct benefit payments. In our foreign plans that are funded, we made contributions of \$10.3 in 2010, which include \$1.9 of contributions that relate to businesses that have been classified as discontinued operations. In addition, in our foreign plans that are unfunded, we made direct benefit payments of \$2.2 in 2010. In 2011, we expect to make \$8.1 of contributions, which include \$1.6 of contributions that relate to businesses that have been classified as discontinued operations, and \$2.3 of direct benefit payments to our foreign pension plans.

Estimated Future Benefit Payments — Following is a summary, as of December 31, 2010, of the estimated future benefit payments for our pension plans in each of the next five fiscal years and in the aggregate for five fiscal years thereafter. Benefit payments are paid from plan assets or directly by us for our non-funded plans. The expected benefit payments are estimated based on the same assumptions used at December 31, 2010 to measure our obligations and include benefits attributable to estimated future employee service.

**Estimated benefit payments:
(Domestic and foreign pension plans)**

	Domestic Pension Benefits	Foreign Pension Benefits
2011	\$ 77.0	\$11.5
2012	81.4	11.5
2013	82.5	12.4
2014	81.4	13.0
2015	139.5	13.5
Subsequent five years	417.4	74.8

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Obligations and Funded Status — The funded status of our pension plans is dependent upon many factors, including returns on invested assets and the level of market interest rates. The combined funded status of our pension plans as of December 31, 2010 has increased since December 31, 2009, primarily as a result of approximately \$120.1 of funding contributions made in 2010, offset by lower discount rates being used to value the plans in 2010 compared to 2009. Our non-funded pension plans account for \$158.5 of the current underfunded status, as these plans are not required to be funded. The following tables show the domestic and foreign pension plans' funded status and amounts recognized in our consolidated balance sheets:

	Domestic Pension Plans		Foreign Pension Plans	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Change in projected benefit obligation:				
Projected benefit obligation — beginning of year	\$1,091.7	\$ 969.9	\$270.3	\$210.9
Service cost	9.3	8.0	2.3	2.5
Interest cost	61.1	66.2	14.1	13.9
Employee contributions	—	—	0.1	0.2
Actuarial losses (gains)	63.9	125.8	(12.5)	33.5
Curtailment loss	—	—	—	0.2
Plan amendments	—	0.7	0.4	0.1
Benefits paid	(77.7)	(78.9)	(11.8)	(11.4)
Foreign exchange and other	—	—	(4.9)	20.4
Projected benefit obligation — end of year	<u>\$1,148.3</u>	<u>\$1,091.7</u>	<u>\$258.0</u>	<u>\$270.3</u>
Change in plan assets:				
Fair value of plan assets — beginning of year	\$ 713.3	\$ 717.6	\$207.0	\$160.7
Return on plan assets	107.6	65.9	22.9	25.8
Benefits paid	(77.7)	(78.9)	(11.8)	(11.4)
Contributions (employer and employee)	124.3	8.7	12.7	14.1
Foreign exchange and other	—	—	(3.0)	17.8
Fair value of plan assets — end of year	<u>\$ 867.5</u>	<u>\$ 713.3</u>	<u>\$227.8</u>	<u>\$207.0</u>
Funded status at year-end	\$ (280.8)	\$ (378.4)	\$ (30.2)	\$ (63.3)
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet consist of:				
Other assets	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 22.3	\$ —
Accrued expenses	(4.2)	(16.9)	(2.2)	(12.2)
Other long-term liabilities	(276.6)	(361.5)	(50.3)	(51.1)
Net amount recognized	<u>\$ (280.8)</u>	<u>\$ (378.4)</u>	<u>\$ (30.2)</u>	<u>\$ (63.3)</u>
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss (pre-tax) consist of:				
Net actuarial loss	\$ 593.3	\$ 605.0	\$ 39.8	\$ 63.4
Net prior service credits	(1.6)	(2.5)	—	(0.4)
Total accumulated comprehensive loss (pre-tax)	<u>\$ 591.7</u>	<u>\$ 602.5</u>	<u>\$ 39.8</u>	<u>\$ 63.0</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

The following is information about our pension plans that had accumulated benefit obligations in excess of the fair value of their plan assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	Domestic Pension Plans		Foreign Pension Plans	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Projected benefit obligation	\$1,148.3	\$1,091.7	\$97.4	\$268.4
Accumulated benefit obligation	1,130.0	1,070.8	95.9	263.7
Fair value of plan assets	867.5	713.3	45.3	205.5

The accumulated benefit obligation for all domestic and foreign pension plans was \$1,130.0 and \$254.5, respectively, at December 31, 2010 and \$1,070.8 and \$265.2, respectively, at December 31, 2009.

Components of Net Periodic Pension Benefit Expense — Net periodic pension benefit expense for our domestic and foreign pension plans included the following components:

Domestic Pension Plans

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Service cost	\$ 9.3	\$ 8.0	\$ 7.6
Interest cost	61.1	66.2	65.7
Expected return on plan assets	(68.4)	(74.2)	(78.0)
Amortization of unrecognized losses	36.4	22.0	21.6
Amortization of unrecognized prior service credits	(0.9)	(0.8)	(0.7)
Curtailment/settlement loss	—	0.3	7.6
Total net periodic pension benefit expense	37.5	21.5	23.8
Less: Net periodic pension expense of discontinued operations	—	(0.3)	(0.5)
Net periodic pension benefit expense of continuing operations	<u>\$ 37.5</u>	<u>\$ 21.2</u>	<u>\$ 23.3</u>

We recorded a pension settlement charge of \$7.1 associated with \$31.8 of lump-sum payments that were made to our former Chief Executive Officer in 2008. A pension settlement is recognized when the total lump-sum pension payments for a plan during the year exceed the sum of the service and interest costs components of pension expense for that year. The amount of the settlement is the immediate recognition of a portion of the plan's overall net loss in proportion to the amount of the benefit obligation being settled.

Foreign Pension Plans

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Service cost	\$ 2.3	\$ 2.5	\$ 3.5
Interest cost	14.1	13.9	15.5
Expected return on plan assets	(14.3)	(13.2)	(16.9)
Amortization of unrecognized losses	1.7	2.1	1.2
Amortization of unrecognized prior service credits	—	(0.1)	(0.1)
Total net periodic pension benefit expense	3.8	5.2	3.2
Less: Net periodic pension benefit expense of discontinued operations	(0.1)	(0.6)	(0.2)
Net periodic pension benefit expense of continuing operations	<u>\$ 3.7</u>	<u>\$ 4.6</u>	<u>\$ 3.0</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive loss in 2010 were as follows:

	<u>Domestic plans</u>	<u>Foreign plans</u>
Current year actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 24.7	\$(21.1)
Amortization of actuarial loss	(36.4)	(1.7)
Current year prior service costs	—	0.4
Amortization of prior service credits	0.9	—
Foreign exchange and other	—	(0.8)
	<u>\$ (10.8)</u>	<u>\$(23.2)</u>

The estimated amounts that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic benefit expense in 2011 are as follows:

	<u>Domestic plans</u>	<u>Foreign plans</u>
Net actuarial loss	\$23.4	\$1.0
Net prior service credits	(0.9)	—
	<u>\$22.5</u>	<u>\$1.0</u>

Assumptions — Actuarial assumptions used in accounting for our domestic and foreign pension plans were as follows:

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
<i>Domestic Pension Plans</i>			
Weighted-average actuarial assumptions used in determining net periodic pension expense:			
Discount rate	5.80%	7.06%	6.59%
Rate of increase in compensation levels	4.00%	4.25%	4.25%
Expected long-term rate of return on assets	8.25%	8.50%	8.50%
Weighted-average actuarial assumptions used in determining year-end benefit obligations:			
Discount rate	5.22%	5.80%	7.06%
Rate of increase in compensation levels	4.00%	4.00%	4.25%
<i>Foreign Pension Plans</i>			
Weighted-average actuarial assumptions used in determining net periodic pension expense:			
Discount rate	5.50%	6.35%	5.67%
Rate of increase in compensation levels	4.10%	4.00%	4.20%
Expected long-term rate of return on assets	7.04%	7.62%	7.65%
Weighted-average actuarial assumptions used in determining year-end benefit obligations:			
Discount rate	5.42%	5.50%	6.35%
Rate of increase in compensation levels	4.15%	4.10%	4.08%

We review the pension assumptions annually. Pension income or expense is determined using assumptions as of the beginning of the year, while the funded status is determined using assumptions as of the end of the year. The assumptions are determined by us and established at the respective balance sheet date using the following principles: (i) the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is established based upon forward looking long-term expectations of asset returns over the expected period to fund participant benefits based on the target investment mix of our plans; (ii) the discount rate is determined by matching the expected projected benefit obligation cash flows for each of the plans to a yield curve that is representative of long-term, high-quality (rated AA or higher) fixed income debt instruments as of the measurement date; and (iii) the rate of increase in compensation levels is established based on our expectations of current and foreseeable future increases in compensation. In addition, we consider advice from independent actuaries.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Postretirement Benefit Plans

Employer Contributions and Future Benefit Payments — Our postretirement medical plans are non-funded and have no plan assets, but are instead funded by us on a pay as you go basis in the form of direct benefit payments. In 2010, we made benefit payments of \$15.9 (net of federal subsidies of \$1.5) to our postretirement benefit plans. Following is a summary, as of December 31, 2010, of the estimated future benefit payments and expected federal subsidies for our postretirement plans in each of the next five fiscal years and in the aggregate for five fiscal years thereafter. The expected benefit payments and federal subsidies are estimated based on the same assumptions used at December 31, 2010 to measure our obligations and include benefits attributable to estimated future employee service.

	Postretirement Payments, net of Subsidies	Postretirement Subsidies
2011	\$16.6	\$1.8
2012	16.1	1.8
2013	15.4	1.8
2014	14.6	1.8
2015	14.1	1.7
Subsequent five years	59.0	7.6

Obligations and Funded Status — The following tables show the postretirement plans' funded status and amounts recognized in our consolidated balance sheets:

	Postretirement Benefits	
	2010	2009
Change in projected benefit obligation:		
Projected benefit obligation — beginning of year	\$ 152.3	\$ 148.3
Service cost	0.3	0.2
Interest cost	8.0	9.8
Actuarial loss	7.8	10.1
Benefits paid	(15.9)	(16.1)
Projected benefit obligation — end of year	<u>\$ 152.5</u>	<u>\$ 152.3</u>
Funded status at year-end	\$(152.5)	\$(152.3)
Amounts recognized in the balance sheet consist of:		
Accrued expenses	\$ (16.2)	\$ (16.4)
Other long-term liabilities	(136.3)	(135.9)
Net amount recognized	<u>\$(152.5)</u>	<u>\$(152.3)</u>
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss (pre-tax) consist of:		
Net actuarial loss	\$ 53.3	\$ 49.8
Net prior service credit	(4.5)	(5.8)
Total accumulated comprehensive loss (pre-tax)	<u>\$ 48.8</u>	<u>\$ 44.0</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

The net periodic postretirement benefit expense included the following components:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Service cost	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2
Interest cost	8.0	9.9	9.8
Amortization of unrecognized loss	4.2	3.0	3.8
Amortization of unrecognized prior service credits	(1.3)	(1.4)	(1.3)
Net periodic postretirement expense	<u>\$11.2</u>	<u>\$11.7</u>	<u>\$12.5</u>

Other changes in benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive loss in 2010 were as follows:

Current year actuarial loss	\$ 7.7
Amortization of actuarial loss	(4.2)
Amortization of prior service credits	1.3
	<u>\$ 4.8</u>

The estimated amounts that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic benefit expense in 2011 include net actuarial losses of \$4.5 and prior service credits of \$1.4.

Actuarial assumptions used in accounting for our domestic postretirement plans are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Assumed health care cost trend rates:			
Health care cost trend rate for next year	7.86%	8.21%	8.57%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend rate)	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2019	2019	2019
Discount rate used in determining net periodic postretirement benefit expense	5.46%	7.07%	6.32%
Discount rate used in determining net year-end postretirement benefit obligation	4.85%	5.46%	7.07%

The accumulated postretirement benefit obligation was determined using the terms and conditions of our various plans, together with relevant actuarial assumptions and health care cost trend rates. It is our policy to review the postretirement assumptions annually. The assumptions are determined by us and are established based on our prior experience and our expectations that future rates will decline. In addition, we consider advice from independent actuaries.

Assumed health care cost trend rates can have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the postretirement benefit plans. A percentage point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have had the following effects on 2010 postretirement expense:

	1% Increase	1% Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest costs	\$0.5	\$(0.4)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	\$9.7	\$(8.7)

Defined Contribution Retirement Plans

We maintain a defined contribution retirement plan (the "Plan") pursuant to Section 401(k) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. Under the Plan, eligible U.S. employees may voluntarily contribute up to 50% of their compensation into the Plan and we match a portion of participating employees' contributions. Our matching contributions are made in newly issued shares of company common stock and are issued at the prevailing market price. The matching contributions vest with the employee immediately upon the date of the match and there are no restrictions on the resale of common stock held by employees.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Under the Plan, we contributed 0.269, 0.347 and 0.252 shares of our common stock to employee accounts in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Compensation expense is recorded based upon the market value of shares as the shares are contributed to employee accounts. We recorded \$16.7 in 2010, \$17.4 in 2009 and \$19.2 in 2008 as compensation expense related to the matching contribution.

We also maintain a Supplemental Retirement Savings Plan ("SRSP"), which permits certain members of our senior management and executive groups to defer eligible compensation in excess of the amounts allowed under the Retirement Savings Plan. We match a portion of participating employees' deferrals to the extent allowable under the plan provisions. The matching contributions vest with the participant immediately. Our funding of the participants' deferrals and our matching contributions are held in certain mutual funds (as allowed under the SRSP), as directed by the participant. The fair values of these assets, which totaled \$47.3 and \$44.7 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1). In addition, the assets under the SRSP are available to the general creditors in the event of our bankruptcy and, thus, are maintained on our consolidated balance sheet within other non-current assets, with a corresponding amount in other long-term liabilities for our obligation to the participants. Lastly, these assets are accounted for as trading securities. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recorded additional compensation expense of \$0.4, \$0.8, and \$0.7, respectively, relating to our matching contributions to the SRSP.

Certain hourly and collectively bargained employees participate in other defined contribution retirement plans maintained pursuant to Section 401(k) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. These plans do not match employees' contributions in shares of company common stock, although company common stock is offered as an investment option under these plans.

(11) Income Taxes

Income before income taxes and the provision for income taxes consisted of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Income (loss) from continuing operations:			
United States	\$ 96.3	\$120.4	\$203.6
Foreign	148.4	(24.3)	207.2
	<u>\$244.7</u>	<u>\$ 96.1</u>	<u>\$410.8</u>
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes:			
Current:			
United States	\$ (24.4)	\$ 54.0	\$ 65.2
Foreign	17.0	14.1	37.7
Total current	<u>(7.4)</u>	<u>68.1</u>	<u>102.9</u>
Deferred and other:			
United States	66.2	(13.6)	19.8
Foreign	(5.7)	(7.4)	29.6
Total deferred and other	<u>60.5</u>	<u>(21.0)</u>	<u>49.4</u>
Total provision	<u>\$ 53.1</u>	<u>\$ 47.1</u>	<u>\$152.3</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

The reconciliation of income tax computed at the U.S. federal statutory tax rate to our effective income tax rate is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Tax at U.S. federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State and local taxes, net of U.S. federal benefit	2.2	3.6	1.2
U.S. credits and exemptions	(1.1)	(5.2)	(1.7)
Foreign earnings taxed at lower rates	(9.2)	(28.1)	(2.0)
Audit settlements with taxing authorities	(0.6)	(2.9)	(6.5)
Adjustments to uncertain tax positions	(4.3)	4.4	2.2
Changes in valuation allowance	(4.9)	6.0	—
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets	—	44.5	9.6
Benefit for loss on investment in foreign subsidiary	—	(4.7)	—
Law change regarding deductibility of Medicare Part D expenses	2.5	—	—
Tax on repatriation of foreign earnings	1.4	—	—
Other	0.7	(3.6)	(0.7)
	<u>21.7%</u>	<u>49.0%</u>	<u>37.1%</u>

Significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Working capital accruals	\$ 42.4	\$ 50.3
Legal, environmental and self-insurance accruals	40.8	45.8
Pension, other postretirement and postemployment benefits	147.5	198.0
NOL and credit carryforwards	221.2	254.3
Payroll and compensation	48.8	46.9
Other	80.9	120.2
Total deferred tax assets	581.6	715.5
Valuation allowance	(167.0)	(211.5)
Net deferred tax assets	<u>414.6</u>	<u>504.0</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Accelerated depreciation	27.0	12.8
Basis difference in affiliates	38.6	34.9
Intangibles recorded in acquisitions	219.0	209.9
Other	65.3	75.6
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>349.9</u>	<u>333.2</u>
	<u>\$ 64.7</u>	<u>\$ 170.8</u>

General Matters

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. We periodically assess deferred tax assets to determine if they will be realized and the adequacy of deferred tax liabilities, incorporating the results of local, state, federal and foreign tax audits in our estimates and judgments.

At December 31, 2010, we had the following tax loss carryforwards available: state tax loss carryforwards of approximately \$332.4 and tax losses of various foreign jurisdictions of approximately \$576.0. We also had U.S. foreign tax, alternative minimum tax

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

and research credit carryforwards of \$27.8, \$1.0 and \$3.3, respectively. Of these amounts, approximately \$2.3 expire in 2011 and \$509.2 expire at various times between 2012 and 2030. The remaining carryforwards have no expiration date.

Realization of deferred tax assets associated with net operating loss and credit carryforwards is dependent upon generating sufficient taxable income prior to their expiration in the appropriate tax jurisdiction. We believe that it is more likely than not that certain of these net operating loss and credit carryforwards will expire unused and, accordingly, have established a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets associated with these carryforwards. Although realization is not assured for the remaining deferred tax assets, we believe it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized through future taxable earnings or tax planning strategies. However, deferred tax assets could be reduced in the near term if our estimates of taxable income during the carryforward period are significantly reduced or tax planning strategies are no longer viable. The valuation allowance decreased by \$44.5 in 2010 and increased by \$26.2 in 2009. Of the decrease in 2010, \$12.0 was recognized as a reduction in tax expense from continuing operations and \$9.5 as a tax benefit from discontinued operations.

The amount of income tax that we pay annually is dependent on various factors, including the timing of certain deductions. These deductions can vary from year to year, and, consequently, the amount of income taxes paid in future years will vary from the amounts paid in the prior year.

Undistributed International Earnings

Undistributed foreign earnings are considered indefinitely reinvested. Accordingly, we have made no provision for U.S. federal and state income taxes or foreign withholding taxes for these foreign earnings. If these earnings were distributed, we would be subject to U.S. income taxes (subject to a reduction for foreign tax credits) and withholding taxes payable to the various foreign countries. At December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$1,700.0 of undistributed foreign earnings for which no U.S. federal or state income taxes have been provided.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

As of December 31, 2010, we had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$95.5 (net unrecognized tax benefits of \$77.4), of which \$75.3, if recognized, would impact our effective tax rate from continuing operations. Similarly, at December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$120.9 (net unrecognized tax benefits of \$105.3) and \$102.9 (net unrecognized benefits of \$81.3), respectively.

We classify interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of our income tax provision. As of December 31, 2010, gross accrued interest, excluded from the amounts above, totaled \$15.6 (net accrued interest of \$11.3), while the related amounts as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$21.9 (net accrued interest of \$16.5) and \$21.3 (net accrued interest of \$15.2), respectively. Our income tax provision for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 included gross interest expense (income) of \$(4.0), \$(0.7) and \$0.9, respectively. There were no significant penalties recorded during any of the years presented.

Based on the outcome of certain examinations or as a result of the expiration of statutes of limitations for certain jurisdictions, we believe that within the next 12 months it is reasonably possible that our previously unrecognized tax benefits could decrease by approximately \$20.0 to \$25.0. The previously unrecognized tax benefits relate to a variety of tax issues including the ability to claim certain U.S. foreign tax credits, deductibility of interest expense in certain jurisdictions and other tax matters relating to prior acquisitions and dispositions.

The aggregate changes in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Unrecognized tax benefit — opening balance	\$120.9	\$102.9	\$120.1
Gross increases — tax positions in prior period	13.9	29.8	19.2
Gross decreases — tax positions in prior period	(13.4)	(3.2)	(0.3)
Gross increases — tax positions in current period	8.7	11.2	7.7
Settlements	(24.5)	(5.8)	(37.9)
Lapse of statute of limitations	(8.3)	(16.8)	(1.9)
Change due to foreign currency exchange rates	(1.8)	2.8	(4.0)
Unrecognized tax benefit — ending balance	<u>\$ 95.5</u>	<u>\$120.9</u>	<u>\$102.9</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Uncertain Tax Positions

We perform reviews of our income tax positions on a continuous basis and accrue for potential uncertain positions when we determine that an uncertain position meets the criteria of the Income Taxes Topic of the Codification. Accruals for these uncertain tax positions are recorded in "Income taxes payable" and "Deferred and other income taxes" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets based on the expectation as to the timing of when the matters will be resolved. As events change and resolution occurs, these accruals are adjusted, such as in the case of audit settlements with taxing authorities.

During 2010, the IRS completed the field examination of our 2006 and 2007 federal income tax returns and issued a Revenue Agent's Report ("RAR"). We disagree with and have protested certain adjustments to the Appeals Office of the IRS. Upon issuance of the RAR, we reduced certain of our valuation allowances and our liability for uncertain tax positions to reflect amounts determined to be effectively settled or that satisfied the more likely than not threshold resulting in the recognition of income tax benefits of \$18.2 and \$7.3 to continuing and discontinued operations, respectively. While the resolution of these adjustments may result in tax liabilities that may differ from the accruals established, we believe that the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In addition, the effective income tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010 was impacted favorably by a \$16.0 tax benefit related to the reduction in liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with various foreign and domestic statute expirations and settlement of state examinations. These benefits were offset partially by a domestic charge of \$6.2 associated with the taxation of prescription drug costs for retirees under Medicare Part D as a result of the 2010 enactment of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and \$3.6 associated with the repatriation of foreign earnings.

In 2009, the statute of limitations expired for various state income tax returns. As a result of these expirations, we recognized net tax benefits of \$18.0 during 2009, with approximately \$7.9 recorded to continuing operations and the remainder of \$10.1 recorded to discontinued operations.

On August 28, 2008, we reached an agreement with the IRS regarding audits of our 2003 through 2005 federal income tax returns. Upon the resolution of these examinations, we reduced our liability for uncertain tax positions by \$30.6. Of the \$30.6 reduction in our liability for uncertain tax positions, \$25.6 was recorded as an income tax benefit from continuing operations and \$5.0 was recorded as an income tax benefit to "Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations" within our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The IRS currently is performing an audit of our 2008 and 2009 federal income tax returns. At this stage, the outcome of the audit is uncertain; however, we believe that any contingencies are adequately provided for. We do not expect to conclude this examination within the next twelve months.

State income tax returns generally are subject to examination for a period of three to five years after filing of the respective tax return. The impact on such tax returns of any federal changes remains subject to examination by various states for a period of up to one year after formal notification to the states. We have various state income tax returns in the process of examination, administrative appeal or litigation.

We have various foreign income tax returns under examination. Currently, significant jurisdictions with audits underway include Canada related to our 2000 to 2006 tax returns and Germany related to tax returns for the 2002 to 2009 tax years. We believe that any uncertain tax positions related to these examinations have been adequately provided for.

An unfavorable resolution of one or more of the above matters could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows in the quarter and year in which an adjustment is recorded or the tax is due or paid. As audits and examinations are still in process or we have not reached the final stages of the appeals process for any of the above matters, the timing of the ultimate resolution and any payments that may be required for the above matters cannot be determined at this time.

Upon the conclusion of our disposition activities, as discussed in Note 4 to these consolidated financial statements, we may recognize an additional income tax provision or benefit, generally, as part of discontinued operations.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

(12) Indebtedness

The following summarizes our debt activity (both current and non-current) for the year ended December 31, 2010.

	December 31, 2009	Borrowings	Repayments	Other ⁽⁸⁾	December 31, 2010
Term loan ⁽¹⁾	\$ 600.0	\$ —	\$(600.0)	\$ —	\$ —
Domestic revolving loan facility ⁽²⁾	61.5	164.0	(225.5)	—	—
6.875% senior notes ⁽³⁾	—	600.0	—	—	600.0
7.625% senior notes	500.0	—	—	—	500.0
7.50% senior notes ⁽⁴⁾	28.2	—	—	—	28.2
6.25% senior notes ⁽⁵⁾	21.3	—	—	—	21.3
Trade receivables financing arrangement ⁽⁶⁾	22.0	90.0	(112.0)	—	—
Other indebtedness ⁽⁷⁾	46.0	13.8	(15.5)	3.8	48.1
Total debt	1,279.0	<u>\$867.8</u>	<u>\$(953.0)</u>	<u>\$3.8</u>	1,197.6
Less: short-term debt	74.4				36.3
Less: current maturities of long-term debt	76.0				50.8
Total long-term debt	<u>\$1,128.6</u>				<u>\$1,110.5</u>

(1) Repayments include required principal payments during the first two quarters of 2010 that aggregated to \$37.5 and the repayment of the remaining balance under the term loan of \$562.5 in connection with issuing \$600.0 of 6.875% senior unsecured notes in August 2010 (see below for additional details on this issuance).

(2) At December 31, 2009, \$20.5 of the balance was included in short-term debt and the remaining \$41.0 in long-term debt.

(3) In August 2010, we issued \$600.0 of 6.875% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017 (see "Senior Notes" below for additional details).

(4) In December 2010, we gave notice of our intention to redeem, in full, the outstanding balance of \$28.2 and in January 2011 completed such redemption; therefore, the total balance has been included in "Current maturities of long-term debt" within our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010.

(5) The notes mature on June 15, 2011 and, accordingly, the total balance has been included in "Current maturities of long-term debt" within our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010.

(6) As of December 31, 2010, we had \$51.8 available to borrow on a continuous basis under the arrangement.

(7) Includes balances under a purchase card program of \$36.1 and \$31.5 at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(8) "Other" includes debt assumed of \$3.9 during 2010 and foreign currency translation on debt instruments denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar.

Credit Facilities

We have senior credit facilities with a syndicate of lenders that provided for committed senior secured financing in the aggregate amount of \$1,550.0, consisting of the following:

- A domestic revolving credit facility, available for loans and letters of credit, in an aggregate principal amount up to \$400.0 with a final maturity of September 2012;

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

- A global revolving credit facility, available for loans in Euros, British Pounds and other currencies in an aggregate principal amount up to the equivalent of \$200.0 with a final maturity of September 2012; and
- A foreign credit instrument facility, available for performance letters of credit and guarantees, in an aggregate principal amount in various currencies up to the equivalent of \$950.0 with a final maturity of September 2012.

In connection with the August 2010 termination of our Swaps and the term loan under our senior credit facilities, we incurred \$25.6 of costs, including \$24.3 associated with the early termination of Swaps (see Note 13), \$1.1 for the write-off of deferred financing costs, and \$0.2 related to an early termination fee.

At December 31, 2010, we had \$503.6 of available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facilities after giving effect to \$96.4 reserved for outstanding letters of credit. In addition, at December 31, 2010, we had \$179.8 of available issuance capacity under our foreign credit instrument facility after giving effect to \$770.2 reserved for outstanding letters of credit.

We also may seek additional commitments for incremental term loan facilities or increases in commitments in respect of the domestic revolving credit facility, the global revolving credit facility and/or the foreign credit instrument facility by up to an aggregate principal amount of \$400.0 without the need for consent from the existing lenders.

We are the eligible borrower under the revolving loan facilities, and certain of our foreign subsidiaries are borrowers under the foreign credit instrument facility.

All borrowings and other extensions of credit under our senior credit facilities are subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions, including absence of defaults and accuracy in material respects of representations and warranties.

The letters of credit under the domestic revolving credit facility are stand-by letters of credit requested by any borrower on behalf of itself or any of its subsidiaries. The foreign credit instrument facility is used to issue foreign credit instruments, including bank undertakings to support our foreign operations.

At December 31, 2010, we had \$4.0 of letters of credit outstanding under separate arrangements in China and South Africa.

The interest rates applicable to loans under our senior credit facilities are, at our option, equal to either an alternate base rate (the higher of (a) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5% and (b) the prime rate of Bank of America) or a reserve adjusted LIBOR rate for dollars (Eurodollar) plus, in each case, an applicable margin percentage, which varies based on our Consolidated Leverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement generally as the ratio of consolidated total debt (net of cash equivalents in excess of \$50.0) at the date of determination to consolidated adjusted EBITDA for the four fiscal quarters ended on such date). We may elect interest periods of one, two, three or six months for Eurodollar borrowings. The fees charged and the interest rate margins applicable to Eurodollar and base rate loans are (all on a per annum basis) as follows:

Consolidated Leverage Ratio	Domestic Revolving Commitment Fee	Global Revolving Commitment Fee	Letter of Credit Fee	Foreign Credit Commitment Fee	Foreign Credit Instrument Fee	LIBOR Rate Loans	ABR Loans
Greater than or equal to 3.00 to 1.0	0.35%	0.35%	1.75%	0.35%	1.3125%	1.75%	0.75%
Between 2.00 to 1.0 and 3.00 to 1.0	0.30%	0.30%	1.50%	0.30%	1.125%	1.50%	0.50%
Between 1.50 to 1.0 and 2.00 to 1.0	0.25%	0.25%	1.25%	0.25%	0.9375%	1.25%	0.25%
Between 1.00 to 1.0 and 1.50 to 1.0	0.20%	0.20%	1.00%	0.20%	0.75%	1.00%	0.00%
Less than 1.00 to 1.0	0.175%	0.175%	0.875%	0.175%	0.65625%	0.875%	0.00%

Our senior credit facilities require mandatory prepayments in amounts equal to the net proceeds from the sale or other disposition of, including from any casualty to, or governmental taking of property in excess of, specified values (other than in the ordinary course of business and subject to other exceptions) by us or our subsidiaries. Mandatory prepayments will be applied to repay amounts (or cash collateralize letters of credit) outstanding under the global revolving credit facility or the domestic revolving credit facility (without reducing the commitments thereunder). No prepayment is required to the extent the net proceeds are reinvested in permitted acquisitions, permitted investments or assets to be used in our business within 360 days of the receipt of such proceeds.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

We may voluntarily prepay loans under our senior credit facilities, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty. Any voluntary prepayment of loans will be subject to reimbursement of the lenders' breakage costs in the case of a prepayment of Eurodollar rate borrowings other than on the last day of the relevant interest period.

Indebtedness under our senior credit facilities is guaranteed by:

- Each existing and subsequently acquired or organized domestic material subsidiary with specified exceptions; and
- Us with respect to the obligations of our foreign borrower subsidiaries under the global revolving credit facility and the foreign credit instrument facility.

Indebtedness under our senior credit facilities is secured by a first priority pledge and security interest in 100% of the capital stock of our domestic subsidiaries (with certain exceptions) and 65% of the capital stock of our material first tier foreign subsidiaries. If our corporate credit rating is "Ba2" or less by Moody's and "BB" or less by S&P, then we and our domestic subsidiary guarantors are required to grant security interests, mortgages and other liens on substantially all our and their assets.

Our senior credit facilities require that we maintain:

- A Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement generally as the ratio of consolidated adjusted EBITDA for the four fiscal quarters ended on such date to consolidated interest expense for such period) as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of at least 3.50 to 1.00; and
- A Consolidated Leverage Ratio as of the last day of any fiscal quarter of not more than 3.25 to 1.00.

Our senior credit facilities also contain covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness, grant liens, make investments, loans, guarantees or advances, make restricted junior payments, including dividends, redemptions of capital stock and voluntary prepayments or repurchase of certain other indebtedness, engage in mergers, acquisitions or sales of assets, enter into sale and leaseback transactions or engage in certain transactions with affiliates and otherwise restrict certain corporate activities. We do not expect these covenants to restrict our liquidity, financial condition or access to capital resources in the foreseeable future. Lastly, our senior credit facilities contain customary representations, warranties, affirmative covenants and events of default.

We are permitted under our senior credit facilities to repurchase our capital stock and pay cash dividends in an unlimited amount if our gross Consolidated Leverage Ratio is less than 2.50 to 1.00. If our gross Consolidated Leverage Ratio is greater than or equal to 2.50 to 1.00, the aggregate amount of such repurchases and dividend declarations cannot exceed (A) \$100.0 in any fiscal year plus (B) an additional amount for all such repurchases and dividend declarations made after September 21, 2007 equal to the sum of (i) \$300.0 and (ii) a positive amount equal to 50% of cumulative consolidated net income during the period from July 1, 2007 to the end of the most recent fiscal quarter for which financial information is available preceding the date of such repurchase or dividend declaration (or, in case such consolidated net income is a deficit, minus 100% of such deficit).

At December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenant provisions of our senior credit facilities, and the senior credit facilities did not impose any restrictions on our ability to repurchase shares or pay dividends, other than those inherent in the credit agreement.

Senior Notes

In August 2010, we issued, in a private placement, \$600.0 aggregate principal amount of 6.875% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2017. We used the proceeds from the offering to repay the remaining balance under the term loan of our senior credit facilities (\$562.5), to pay \$26.9 of termination costs (including \$2.6 of accrued interest) for Swaps related to the term loan, and the remainder to pay the majority of the financing costs incurred in connection with the offering. The interest payment dates for these notes are March 1 and September 1 of each year, commencing on March 1, 2011. The notes are redeemable, in whole or in part, at any time prior to maturity at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus an applicable premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, at any time prior to September 1, 2013, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 106.875%, plus accrued and unpaid interest. If we experience certain types of change of control transactions, we must offer to repurchase the

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

notes at 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes outstanding, plus accrued and unpaid interest. These notes are unsecured and rank equally with all our existing and future unsubordinated unsecured senior indebtedness, but are effectively junior to our senior credit facilities. The indenture governing these notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur liens, enter into sale and leaseback transactions and consummate some mergers. We have agreed, in certain instances, to conduct a registered exchange offer for the notes and use commercially reasonable efforts to exchange the notes for a new issue of identical debt securities and file under certain circumstances a shelf registration statement to cover resales of the notes and to cause the registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC. If we fail to satisfy these obligations within 150 days from August 10, 2011, we have agreed to pay additional interest to holders of the notes under certain circumstances. Payment of the principal, premium, if any, and interest on these notes is guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by our domestic subsidiaries. The likelihood of having to make payments under the guarantee is considered remote.

In December 2007, we issued in a private placement \$500.0 aggregate principal amount of 7.625% senior unsecured notes that mature in 2014. We used the net proceeds from the offering for general corporate purposes, including the financing of our acquisition of APV. The interest payment dates for these notes are June 15 and December 15 of each year. The notes are redeemable, in whole, or in part, at any time prior to maturity at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus a premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest. If we experience certain types of change of control transactions, we must offer to repurchase the notes at 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest. These notes are unsecured and rank equally with all our existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness, but are effectively junior to our senior credit facilities. The indenture governing these notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur liens, enter into sale and leaseback transactions and consummate some mergers. During the first quarter of 2009, these senior notes became freely tradable.

In June 2003, we issued \$300.0 of non-callable 6.25% senior notes that mature on June 15, 2011. The interest payment dates for these notes are June 15 and December 15 of each year. At December 31, 2010, \$21.3 of these notes were outstanding. In December 2002, we issued \$500.0 of callable 7.50% senior notes that mature on January 1, 2013. The interest payment dates for these notes are January 1 and July 1 of each year. At December 31, 2010, \$28.2 of these notes were outstanding. Both of these note issuances are unsecured and rank equally with all of our existing and future unsecured senior indebtedness, but are effectively junior to our senior credit facilities. In January 2011, we redeemed the 7.50% senior notes, resulting in a principal payment of \$28.2.

At December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenant provisions of our senior notes.

Other Borrowings and Financing Activities

Certain of our businesses purchase goods and services under a purchase card program allowing for payment beyond their normal payment terms. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the participating businesses had \$36.1 and \$31.5, respectively, outstanding under this arrangement. As this arrangement extends the payment of our businesses' payables beyond their normal payment terms through third-party lending institutions, we have classified these amounts as short-term debt.

We are party to a trade receivables financing agreement, whereby we can borrow, on a continuous basis, up to \$130.0. Availability of funds may fluctuate over time given changes in eligible receivable balances, but will not exceed the \$130.0 program limit. The facility contains representations, warranties, covenants and indemnities customary for facilities of this type. The facility does not contain any covenants that we view as materially constraining to the activities of our business. As of December 31, 2010, there were no amounts outstanding under this financing agreement, while there was \$22.0 outstanding under this financing agreement at December 31, 2009.

(13) Financial Instruments

Interest Rate Swaps

Prior to repayment of our variable rate term loan, we maintained Swaps to hedge the associated interest rate risk. These Swaps, which we designated and accounted for as cash flow hedges, had maturities through December 2011 and effectively converted the majority of our borrowings under our variable rate term loan to a fixed rate of 4.795% plus the applicable margin. In connection with the repayment of our term loan (see Note 12), we terminated all of our Swaps, resulting in a cash payment of

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

\$26.9 (including \$2.6 of accrued interest) and a charge to earnings of \$24.3 during 2010. The unrealized loss, net of taxes recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss ("AOCI") was \$16.8 as of December 31, 2009. In addition, as of December 31, 2009, we recorded a long-term liability of \$28.0 to recognize the fair value of the Swaps.

Currency Forward Contracts

We manufacture and sell our products in a number of countries and, as a result, are exposed to movements in foreign currency exchange rates. Our objective is to preserve the economic value of non-functional currency denominated cash flows and to minimize their impact. Our principal currency exposures relate to the Euro, Chinese Yuan, South African Rand and British Pound.

From time to time, we enter into FX forward contracts to manage the exposure on contracts with forecasted transactions denominated in non-functional currencies and to manage the risk of transaction gains and losses associated with assets/liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of certain subsidiaries. In addition, some of our contracts contain FX embedded derivatives, as the currency of exchange is not "clearly and closely" related to the functional currency of either party to the transaction. Certain of our FX forward contracts are designated as cash flow hedges, as deemed appropriate. To the extent these derivatives are effective in offsetting the variability of the hedged cash flows, changes in the derivatives' fair value are included in AOCI. These changes in fair value will subsequently be reclassified into earnings as a component of revenues or cost of goods sold, as applicable, when the forecasted transaction impacts earnings. In addition, if the forecasted transaction is no longer probable the cumulative change in the derivatives' fair value will be recorded as a component of other expense, net in the period it occurs. To the extent that a previously designated hedging transaction is no longer an effective hedge, any ineffectiveness measured in the hedging relationship is recorded in earnings in the period it occurs. We had FX forward contracts with an aggregate notional amount of \$199.5 and \$100.2 outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with scheduled maturities of \$175.3, \$22.1 and \$2.1 in 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. We had FX embedded derivatives with an aggregate notional amount outstanding of \$200.9 and \$106.2 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with scheduled maturities of \$141.1, \$42.0, \$15.2 and \$2.6 in 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. The unrealized loss, net of taxes, recorded in AOCI related to FX embedded derivatives and FX forward contracts was \$4.1 and \$4.4 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Commodity Contracts

From time to time, we enter into forward contracts to manage the exposure on forecasted purchases of commodity raw materials ("commodity contracts"). At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the outstanding notional amount of commodity contracts was 1.8 million and 1.3 million pounds of copper, respectively. We designate and account for these contracts as cash flow hedges and, to the extent these commodity contracts are effective in offsetting the variability of the forecasted purchases, the change in fair value is included in AOCI. We reclassify the AOCI associated with our commodity contracts to cost of products sold when the forecasted transaction impacts earnings. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the fair values of these contracts were \$1.0 and \$0.9, respectively, recorded as a current asset. The unrealized gain, net of taxes, recorded in AOCI was \$0.8 and \$0.5 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We anticipate reclassifying the unrealized gain to income over the next 12 months.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

The following summarizes the fair value of our derivative financial instruments:

	December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value
Derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments:				
Commodity contracts	Other current assets	\$ 1.0	Other current assets	\$ 0.9
FX embedded derivatives	—	—	Other assets	0.9
		<u>\$ 1.0</u>		<u>\$ 1.8</u>
FX forward contracts	Accrued expenses	\$ (2.9)	Accrued expenses	\$ (1.0)
Swaps	—	—	Other long-term liabilities	(28.0)
FX embedded derivatives	—	—	Other long-term liabilities	(10.1)
		<u>\$ (2.9)</u>		<u>\$(39.1)</u>
Derivative contracts not designated as hedging instruments:				
FX forward contracts	Other current assets	\$ 0.5	Other current assets	\$ 0.2
FX embedded derivatives	Other current assets	2.6	Other current assets	0.2
		<u>\$ 3.1</u>		<u>\$ 0.4</u>
FX forward contracts	Accrued expenses	\$ (1.4)	Accrued expenses	\$ (0.4)
FX embedded derivatives	Accrued expenses	(1.8)	Accrued expenses	(0.3)
FX embedded derivatives	Other long-term liabilities	(33.2)	—	—
		<u>\$ (36.4)</u>		<u>\$ (0.7)</u>

The following summarizes the effect of derivative financial instruments in cash flow hedging relationships on AOCI and the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in AOCI, pre-tax ⁽¹⁾			Classification of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI	Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI to income, pre-tax ⁽¹⁾		
	2010	2009	2008		2010	2009	2008
Swaps	\$ (9.3)	\$(6.6)	\$(35.8)	Interest Expense	\$(12.7)	\$(20.4)	\$(8.7)
				Loss on early extinguishment of interest rate protection agreements and term loan	(24.3)	—	—
FX Forward contracts	(4.9)	(1.8)	—	—	—	—	—
FX embedded derivatives	2.3	(4.6)	—	Cost of products sold	1.8	—	—
Commodity contracts	1.0	4.0	(8.2)	Cost of products sold	0.7	(6.2)	0.3
	<u>\$(10.9)</u>	<u>\$(9.0)</u>	<u>\$(44.0)</u>		<u>\$(34.5)</u>	<u>\$(26.6)</u>	<u>\$(8.4)</u>

⁽¹⁾ For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, gains (losses) of \$1.1 and (\$0.2), respectively, were recognized in other expense, net relating to derivative ineffectiveness and amounts excluded from effectiveness testing.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

The following summarizes the effect of derivative financial instruments not designated as cash flow hedging relationships on the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	<u>Classification of gain (loss) recognized in income</u>	<u>Amount of gain (loss) recognized in income</u>		
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
FX forward contracts	Other expense, net	\$ 5.0	\$ 5.6	\$3.8
FX embedded derivatives ⁽¹⁾	Other expense, net	(23.4)	(13.1)	0.7
		<u>\$(18.4)</u>	<u>\$ (7.5)</u>	<u>\$4.5</u>

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$4.6 of losses reclassified from AOCI during the year ended December 31, 2010, resulting from the discontinuance of cash flow hedge accounting, as the forecasted transactions were determined to no longer be probable.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, and foreign currency forward and forward commodity contracts. These financial instruments, other than trade accounts receivable, are placed with high-quality financial institutions throughout the world. We periodically evaluate the credit standing of these financial institutions.

We are exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to the above financial instruments, but have no other off-balance-sheet credit risk of accounting loss. We anticipate, however, that counterparties will be able to fully satisfy their obligations under the contracts. We do not obtain collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk, but we do monitor the credit standing of counterparties.

Concentrations of credit risk arising from trade accounts receivable are due to selling to a large number of customers in a particular industry. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial conditions and obtain collateral or other security when appropriate. No one customer, or group of customers that to our knowledge are under common control, accounted for more than 10% of our revenues for any period presented.

(14) Commitments, Contingent Liabilities and Other Matters

Leases

We lease certain manufacturing facilities, offices, sales and service locations, machinery and equipment, vehicles and office equipment under various leasing programs accounted for as operating leases. The future minimum rental payments under operating leases with remaining non-cancelable terms in excess of one year are:

<u>Year Ending December 31,</u>	
2011	\$ 43.8
2012	34.5
2013	25.8
2014	21.7
2015	17.2
Thereafter	59.4
Total minimum payments	<u>\$202.4</u>

Total operating lease expense was \$54.4 in 2010, \$58.0 in 2009 and \$51.4 in 2008. Capital leases were not material to any of the periods presented.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

General

Numerous claims, complaints and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including those relating to litigation matters (e.g., class actions, derivative lawsuits and contracts, intellectual property and competitive claims), environmental matters, and risk management matters (e.g., product and general liability, automobile, and workers' compensation claims), have been filed or are pending against us and certain of our subsidiaries. Additionally, we may become subject to significant claims, of which we are currently unaware, or the claims of which we are aware may result in us incurring a significantly greater liability than we anticipate. This may also be true in connection with past or future acquisitions. While we maintain property, cargo, auto, product, general liability, environmental, and directors' and officers' liability insurance and have acquired rights under similar policies in connection with acquisitions that we believe cover a portion of these claims, this insurance may be insufficient or unavailable (e.g., because of insurer insolvency) to protect us against potential loss exposures. In addition, we have increased our self-insurance limits over the past several years. While we believe we are entitled to indemnification from third parties for some of these claims, these rights may be insufficient or unavailable to protect us against potential loss exposures. However, we believe that our accruals related to these items are sufficient and that these items and our rights to available insurance and indemnity will be resolved without material adverse effect, individually or in the aggregate, on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. These accruals totaled \$436.2 (including \$366.1 for risk management matters) and \$308.5 (including \$231.8 for risk management matters) at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Of these amounts, \$368.0 and \$236.8 are included in "Other long-term liabilities" within our consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with the remainder included in "Accrued expenses." The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 includes "Other long-term liabilities" of \$110.0 (along with "Other assets" for the same amount) associated with certain insured risk management matters. Prior to December 31, 2010, the asset and liability associated with these matters was not reflected on our consolidated balance sheets as the amounts were not reasonably estimable.

Litigation Matters

We are subject to litigation matters that arise in the normal course of business. In our opinion, these matters are either without merit or of a kind that should not have a material adverse effect individually or in the aggregate on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, we cannot assure that these proceedings or claims will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental Matters

Our operations and properties are subject to federal, state, local and foreign regulatory requirements relating to environmental protection. It is our policy to comply fully with all applicable requirements. As part of our effort to comply, we have a comprehensive environmental compliance program that includes environmental audits conducted by internal and external independent professionals, as well as regular communications with our operating units regarding environmental compliance requirements and anticipated regulations. Based on current information, we believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, and we are not aware of any violations that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. We have liabilities for site investigation and/or remediation at 96 sites that we own or control. In addition, while we believe that we maintain adequate accruals to cover the costs of site investigation and/or remediation, we cannot provide assurance that new matters, developments, laws and regulations, or stricter interpretations of existing laws and regulations will not materially affect our business or operations in the future.

Our environmental accruals cover anticipated costs, including investigation, remediation, and operation and maintenance of clean-up sites. Our estimates are based primarily on investigations and remediation plans established by independent consultants, regulatory agencies and potentially responsible third parties. Accordingly, our estimates may change based on future developments, including new or changes in existing environmental laws or policies, differences in costs required to complete anticipated actions from estimates provided, future findings of investigation or remediation actions, or alteration to the expected remediation plans. It is our policy to realize a change in estimate once it becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. We do not discount our environmental accruals and do not reduce them by anticipated insurance recoveries. We do take into account third-party indemnification from financially viable parties in determining our accruals where there is no dispute regarding the right to indemnification.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

In the case of contamination at offsite, third-party disposal sites, we have been notified that we are potentially responsible and have received other notices of potential liability pursuant to various environmental laws at 28 sites at which the liability has not been settled, and only 11 of which have been active in the past few years. These laws may impose liability on certain persons that are considered jointly and severally liable for the costs of investigation and remediation of hazardous substances present at these sites, regardless of fault or legality of the original disposal. These persons include the present or former owners or operators of the site and companies that generated, disposed of or arranged for the disposal of hazardous substances at the site. We are considered a “*de minimis*” potentially responsible party at most of the sites, and we estimate that the aggregate probable remaining liability at these sites is immaterial.

We conduct extensive environmental due diligence with respect to potential acquisitions, including environmental site assessments and such further testing as we may deem warranted. If an environmental problem is identified, we estimate the cost and either establish a liability, purchase insurance or obtain an indemnity from a financially sound seller. However, in connection with our acquisitions or dispositions, we may assume or retain significant environmental liabilities, some of which we may be unaware. The potential costs related to these environmental matters and the possible impact on future operations are uncertain due in part to the complexity of government laws and regulations and their interpretations, the varying costs and effectiveness of various clean-up technologies, the uncertain level of insurance or other types of recovery, and the questionable level of our responsibility. We record a liability when it is both probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Due to the uncertainties previously described, we are unable to reasonably estimate the amount of possible additional losses associated with the resolution of these matters beyond what has been recorded.

In our opinion, after considering accruals established for such purposes, remedial actions for compliance with the present laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment are not expected to have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Risk Management Matters

We are self-insured for certain of our workers' compensation, automobile, product and general liability, disability and health costs, and we believe that we maintain adequate accruals to cover our retained liability. Our accruals for risk management matters are determined by us, are based on claims filed and estimates of claims incurred but not yet reported, and generally are not discounted. We consider a number of factors, including third-party actuarial valuations, when making these determinations. We maintain third-party stop-loss insurance policies to cover certain liability costs in excess of predetermined retained amounts. This insurance may be insufficient or unavailable (e.g., because of insurer insolvency) to protect us against loss exposure.

Collaborative Arrangements

Collaborative arrangements are defined as a contractual arrangement in which the parties are (1) active participants to the arrangements and (2) exposed to significant risks and rewards that depend on the commercial success of the endeavor. Costs incurred and revenues generated from transactions with third parties are required to be reported by the collaborators on the appropriate line item in their respective income statements. Refer to our disclosure on consortium arrangements below.

We enter into consortium arrangements for certain projects within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment. Under such arrangements, each consortium member is responsible for performing certain discrete items of work within the total scope of the contracted work and the consortium expires when all contractual obligations are completed. The revenues for these discrete items of work are defined in the contract with the project owner and each consortium member bearing the profitability risk associated with its own work. Our consortium arrangements typically provide that each consortium member assumes its responsible share of any damages or losses associated with the project; however, the use of a consortium arrangement typically results in joint and several liability for the consortium members. If responsibility cannot be determined or a consortium member defaults, then the consortium members are responsible according to their share of the contract value. Within our consolidated financial statements, we account for our share of the revenues and profits under the consortium arrangements. As of December 31, 2010, our share of the aggregate contract value on open consortium arrangements was \$381.4 (of which approximately 45.1% had been recognized as revenue), and the aggregate contract value on open consortium arrangements was \$948.7. As of December 31, 2009, our share of the aggregate contract value on open consortium arrangements was \$440.7 (of which approximately 40.4% had been recognized as revenue) and the aggregate

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

contract value on open consortium arrangements was \$1,015.2. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we recorded liabilities of \$3.2 and \$5.5, respectively, representing the estimated fair value of our potential obligation under the joint and several liability provisions associated with the consortium arrangements.

Executive Agreements

The Board of Directors has approved employment agreements for ten of our executives. These agreements have rolling terms of either one year or two years and specify the executive's current compensation, benefits and perquisites, the executive's entitlements upon termination of employment or a change in control, and other employment rights and responsibilities. In addition, three executive officers have outstanding non-interest bearing 20-year relocation home loans totaling \$4.5 granted in connection with the 2001 move of our corporate headquarters. In the event of the death or permanent disability of the employee or a change in control of SPX, we will forgive the note and pay the employee or his estate an amount equal to the employee's tax liability as a result of the loan forgiveness.

U.S. Health Care Reform Legislation

In the first quarter of 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (the "Act") was enacted. As discussed in Note 11, the Act eliminated a portion of the federal income tax deduction available to companies that provide prescription drug benefits to retirees under Medicare Part D. We currently are evaluating other prospective effects of the Act and the related effects on our business.

(15) Shareholders' Equity and Stock-Based Compensation

Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computations of the components used for the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Numerator:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 191.6	\$ 49.0	\$ 258.5
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2.8)	1.8	6.6
Income from continuing operations attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 194.4</u>	<u>\$ 47.2</u>	<u>\$ 251.9</u>
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 11.2	\$ (32.8)	\$ 14.3
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	(17.3)	18.3
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 11.2</u>	<u>\$ (15.5)</u>	<u>\$ (4.0)</u>
Denominator:			
Weighted-average number of common shares used in basic earnings per share	49.718	49.363	53.596
Dilutive Securities — Employee stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units . . .	<u>0.629</u>	<u>0.434</u>	<u>0.763</u>
Weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive securities used in diluted earnings per share	<u>50.347</u>	<u>49.797</u>	<u>54.359</u>

The total number of stock options that were not included in the computation of dilutive earnings per share because their exercise price was greater than the average market price of common shares was 0.405, 0.668 and 0.326 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The total number of unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units that were not included in the computation of diluted income per share because required market thresholds for vesting (as discussed below) were not met was 0.102, 0.222 and 0.281 at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of the balance sheet caption “Accumulated other comprehensive loss” were as follows:

	<u>December 31, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ 223.2	\$ 254.6
Net unrealized losses on qualifying cash flow hedges, net of tax benefit of \$2.2 and \$13.0, respectively	(3.3)	(20.7)
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities,	6.1	—
Pension liability adjustment, net of tax benefit of \$266.6 and \$268.0, respectively ⁽¹⁾	<u>(418.6)</u>	<u>(447.5)</u>
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	<u>\$ (192.6)</u>	<u>\$ (213.6)</u>

⁽¹⁾ As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, included \$3.2 and \$6.0, respectively, related to our share of the pension liability adjustment for EGS.

Common Stock and Treasury Stock

At December 31, 2010, we had 200.0 authorized shares of common stock (par value \$10.00). Common shares issued, treasury shares and shares outstanding are summarized in the table below.

	<u>Common Stock Issued</u>	<u>Treasury Stock</u>	<u>Shares Outstanding</u>
Balance at December 31, 2007	95.582	(42.790)	52.792
Stock options exercised	0.281	0.770	1.051
Share repurchases	—	(3.375)	(3.375)
Restricted stock and restricted stock units	0.403	—	0.403
Other	<u>0.257</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0.257</u>
Balance at December 31, 2008	96.523	(45.395)	51.128
Stock options exercised	0.154	—	0.154
Share repurchases	—	(2.625)	(2.625)
Restricted stock and restricted stock units	0.260	0.104	0.364
Other	<u>0.347</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0.347</u>
Balance at December 31, 2009	97.284	(47.916)	49.368
Stock options exercised	0.238	—	0.238
Restricted stock and restricted stock units	0.278	0.142	0.420
Other	<u>0.268</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>0.268</u>
Balance at December 31, 2010	<u>98.068</u>	<u>(47.774)</u>	<u>50.294</u>

Stock-Based Compensation

Under the 2002 Stock Compensation Plan, as amended in 2006, the successor plan to the 1992 Stock Compensation Plan, up to 20.0 shares of our common stock may be granted to key employees and 5.0 of these shares were available for grant at December 31, 2010. The 2002 Stock Compensation Plan permits the issuance of new shares or shares from treasury upon the exercise of options, vesting of restricted stock units or restricted stock.

During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we classified excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation of \$4.2, \$1.7 and \$35.3, respectively, as financing cash flows and included such amounts in “Proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options and other, net of minimum withholdings paid on behalf of employees for net share settlements” within our consolidated statements of cash flows.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Restricted stock or restricted stock units may be granted to certain eligible employees or non-employee directors in accordance with applicable equity compensation plan documents and agreements. Subject to participants' continued employment and other plan terms and conditions, the restrictions lapse and awards vest over three years. Market ("company performance") thresholds have been instituted for vesting of substantially all restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards. This vesting is based on SPX shareholder return versus the S&P 500 composite index. On each vesting date, we compare the SPX shareholder return to the performance of the S&P 500 composite index for the prior year and for the cumulative period since the date of the grant. If SPX outperforms the S&P 500 composite index for the prior year, the one-third portion of the grant associated with that year will vest. If SPX outperforms the S&P composite index for the cumulative period, any unvested portion of the grant that was subject to vesting on or prior to the vesting date will vest. Restricted stock and restricted stock units that do not vest within the three-year vesting period are forfeited.

We grant restricted stock to non-employee directors under the 2006 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan (the "Directors' Plan"). Under the Directors' Plan, up to 0.100 shares of our common stock may be granted to non-employee directors and 0.038 of these shares were available for grant at December 31, 2010. Restricted stock grants have a three-year vesting period based on SPX shareholder return versus the S&P 500 composite index, which are subject to the same company performance thresholds for employee awards described in the preceding paragraph. Restricted stock that does not vest within the three-year vesting period in accordance with these performance requirements is forfeited.

Stock options may be granted to key employees in the form of incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options, generally vest ratably over three years, which vesting may be subject to performance criteria, and expire no later than 10 years from the date of grant. The option price per share may be no less than the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Upon exercise, the employee has the option to surrender previously owned shares at current value in payment of the exercise price and/or for withholding tax obligations, and, subject to certain restrictions, may receive a reload option having an exercise price equal to the current market value for the number of shares so surrendered. The reload option expires at the same time that the exercised option would have expired. Any future issuances of options under the plan will not have a reload feature, pursuant to the terms of the plan. We have not granted options to any of our employees since 2004.

The recognition of compensation expense for share-based awards, including stock options, is based on their grant date fair values. The fair value of each award is amortized over the lesser of the award's requisite or derived service period, which is generally up to three years. There was no stock option expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. Compensation expense related to restricted stock and restricted stock units totaled \$31.1, \$27.6 and \$41.5 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. There were no option grants in 2010, 2009 and 2008.

We use the Monte Carlo simulation model valuation technique to determine fair value of our restricted stock and restricted stock units as they contain a "market condition." The Monte Carlo simulation model utilizes multiple input variables that determine the probability of satisfying the market condition stipulated in the award and calculates the fair value of each restricted stock and restricted stock unit award. We used the following assumptions in determining the fair value of the awards granted on March 1, 2010 and January 2, 2009:

	Annual expected stock price volatility	Annual expected dividend yield	Risk free interest rate	Correlation between total shareholder return for SPX and S&P 500 Composite Index
March 1, 2010:				
SPX Corporation	62.0%	1.64%	1.20%	0.7250
S&P 500 Composite Index	30.8%	n/a	1.20%	
January 2, 2009:				
SPX Corporation	52.7%	2.31%	1.12%	0.7272
S&P 500 Composite Index	26.2%	n/a	1.12%	

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

Annual expected stock price volatility is based on the three-year historical volatility. The annual expected dividend yield is based on annual expected dividend payments and the stock price on the date of grant. The average risk-free interest rate is based on the one-year through three-year daily treasury yield curve rate as of the grant date.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Awards

The following table summarizes the restricted stock and restricted stock unit activity from December 31, 2007 through December 31, 2010:

	<u>Unvested Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units</u>	<u>Weighted-average Grant-Date Fair Value per share</u>
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	1.378	40.49
Granted	0.641	74.62
Vested	(0.703)	38.78
Forfeited	(0.065)	55.93
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	1.251	58.01
Granted	0.667	33.42
Vested	(0.429)	41.75
Forfeited	(0.054)	54.61
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	1.435	51.75
Granted	0.738	48.91
Vested	(0.626)	50.46
Forfeited	(0.031)	47.82
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	<u>1.516</u>	50.97

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$14.0 of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock and restricted stock unit compensation arrangements. We expect this cost to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

Stock Options

The following table shows stock option activity from December 31, 2007 through December 31, 2010:

	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>
Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2007	2.374	66.80
Exercised	(1.051)	69.26
Terminated	(0.015)	60.29
Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2008	1.308	64.89
Exercised	(0.154)	39.69
Terminated	(0.273)	94.80
Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2009	0.881	59.86
Exercised	(0.238)	48.21
Terminated	(0.008)	90.23
Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010	<u>0.635</u>	63.82

The weighted-average remaining term, in years, of options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010 was 1.2. The total number of in-the-money options exercisable on December 31, 2010 was 0.523. Aggregate intrinsic value (market value of stock less option exercise price) represents the total pretax intrinsic value, based on our closing stock price on December 31, 2010, which would have been received by the option holders had all in-the-money option holders exercised their options as of that date. The aggregate intrinsic value of the options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010 was

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

\$7.2. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$4.1, \$2.7 and \$52.5, respectively.

Treasury Stock

In 2009, we repurchased 2.625 shares of our common stock on the open market pursuant to written trading plans under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for total cash consideration of \$113.2. We record common stock repurchases based on the settlement date. The covenants under our senior credit facilities contain certain restrictions on the payment of dividends and the repurchase of our common stock. See Note 12 for discussion of our ability to repurchase shares under our current senior credit facilities.

Preferred Stock

None of our 3.0 shares of authorized no par value preferred stock was outstanding at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(16) Fair Value

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In the absence of active markets for the identical assets or liabilities, such measurements involve developing assumptions based on market observable data and, in the absence of such data, internal information that is consistent with what market participants would use in a hypothetical transaction that occurs at the measurement date. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our market assumptions. Preference is given to observable inputs. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

- Level 1 — Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2 — Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.
- Level 3 — Significant inputs to the valuation model are unobservable.

The following section describes the valuation methodologies we use to measure different financial instruments at fair value on a recurring basis.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Our financial derivative assets and liabilities include FX forward contracts, FX embedded derivatives and commodity contracts, which are valued using valuation models that measure fair value using observable market inputs such as forward rates, interest rates, our own credit risk and our counterparties' credit risks. Based on these inputs, the derivative assets and liabilities are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. We have not made any adjustments to the inputs obtained from the independent sources. Based on our continued ability to enter into forward contracts, we consider the markets for our fair value instruments to be active. We primarily use the income approach, which uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount.

As of December 31, 2010, there has been no significant impact to the fair value of our derivative liabilities due to our own credit risk as the related instruments are collateralized under our senior credit facilities. Similarly, there has been no significant impact to the fair value of our derivative assets based on our evaluation of our counterparties' credit risk.

Investments in Equity Securities

Our available-for-sale securities include equity investments that are traded in active international markets. They are measured at fair value using closing stock prices from active markets and are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy.

Certain of our investments in equity securities that are not readily marketable are accounted for under the fair value option, with such values determined by multidimensional pricing models. These models consider market activity based on modeling of

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

securities with similar credit quality, duration, yield and structure. A variety of inputs are used, including benchmark yields, reported trades, non-binding broker/dealer quotes, issuer spread and reference data including market research publications. Market indicators, industry and economic events are also considered. We have not made any adjustments to the inputs obtained from the independent sources. At December 31, 2010, these assets had a fair value of \$8.5 million, which are estimated using various valuation models, including the Monte-Carlo simulation model.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis included the following as of December 31, 2010:

	Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Current assets — FX embedded derivatives, FX forward contracts and commodity contracts	\$ —	\$ 4.1	\$ —
Noncurrent assets — Investment in equity securities and available-for-sale securities	12.8	—	8.5
Current liabilities — FX forward contracts and FX embedded derivatives	—	6.1	—
Long-term liabilities — FX embedded derivatives	—	33.2	—

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis included the following as of December 31, 2009:

	Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Current assets — FX embedded derivatives, FX forward contracts and commodity contracts	\$—	\$ 1.3	\$—
Noncurrent assets — FX embedded derivatives	—	0.9	—
Current liabilities — FX forward contracts and FX embedded derivatives	—	1.7	—
Long-term liabilities — FX embedded derivatives and Swaps	—	38.1	—

The table below presents a reconciliation of our investment in equity securities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the year ended December 31, 2010, including net unrealized losses included in earnings.

	Year Ended December 31, 2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ —
Purchases	9.8
Losses included in earnings	(1.3)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 8.5</u>

During 2010, we recorded impairment charges of \$7.9 to “Special charges, net” related to assets to be disposed of in connection with certain restructuring initiatives (see Note 6). The fair values of these assets (\$4.7) were based on the estimated selling prices. We determined the estimated selling prices by obtaining information in the specific markets being evaluated, including comparable sales of similar assets and assumptions about demand in the market for these assets (unobservable inputs — Level 3).

In connection with our annual impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets during the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined that trademarks held by a business within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment were impaired and, thus, we recorded an impairment charge of \$1.7 during 2010. The fair value (\$30.1) was determined by applying an estimated royalty rate to projected revenues, with the resulting cash flows discounted at a rate of return that reflects current market conditions (unobservable inputs — Level 3).

In connection with our annual goodwill impairment testing during the fourth quarter of 2009, we determined that the fair value of our Service Solutions reporting unit was less than the carrying value of its net assets (see Note 8). The fair value of the reporting unit was based upon weighting of the income and market approaches, utilizing estimated cash flows and a terminal value discounted at a rate of return that reflects the relative risk of the cash flows, as well as valuation multiples derived from comparable publicly traded companies that are applied to the historical and projected operating results of the reporting unit

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

(unobservable inputs — Level 3). We estimated the implied fair value of Service Solutions' goodwill, which resulted in an impairment charge related to such goodwill of \$187.7 in 2009. In addition, in 2009 we recorded an impairment charge of \$1.0 related to other intangible assets of Service Solutions. The fair value of these intangibles was determined based on a projection of cash flows for the assets discounted at a rate of return that reflects the relative risk of the cash flows (unobservable input — Level 3).

In connection with our annual impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets during the fourth quarter of 2009, we determined that trademarks held by a business within our Thermal Equipment and Services segment were impaired and, thus, we recorded an impairment charge of \$6.1 during 2009. The fair value was determined by applying an estimated royalty rate to projected revenues, with the resulting cash flows discounted at a rate of return that reflects current market conditions (unobservable inputs — Level 3).

We recorded pre-tax impairment charges of \$20.8 during 2009 (to "Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax") in order to reduce the carrying value of the net assets of Filtran and PSD (see Note 4) to their estimated fair values. The fair value of the Filtran business was based primarily on the sales price received in October 2009 (i.e., an observable quoted price in an active market, as adjusted for certain other observable inputs — Level 2), while the fair value for PSD was based on indications of interest (unobservable inputs — Level 3). In addition, we recorded impairment charges of \$11.1 during 2009 to "Special charges, net" related to assets to be disposed in connection with certain restructuring initiatives. The fair value of these assets (\$8.9) was based on the estimated selling prices. We determined the estimated selling prices by obtaining information in the specific markets being evaluated, including comparable sales of similar assets and assumptions about demand in the market for these assets (unobservable inputs — Level 3).

The carrying amount of cash and equivalents and receivables reported in our consolidated balance sheets approximates fair value because of the short maturity of those instruments.

The fair value of our debt instruments, based on borrowing rates available to us at December 31, 2010 for similar debt, was \$1,281.6 at December 31, 2010, compared to our carrying value of \$1,197.6.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

(17) Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

	First ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		Second ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		Third ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		Fourth ⁽⁵⁾	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Operating revenues	\$1,084.6	\$1,158.0	\$1,188.8	\$1,191.7	\$1,288.4	\$1,172.6	\$1,325.0	\$1,323.3
Gross profit ⁽¹⁾	313.2	331.6	353.0	347.6	378.0	354.3	388.6	386.1
Income (loss) from continuing operations ⁽²⁾ . .	17.9	38.5	69.6	39.2	39.7	50.9	64.4	(79.6)
Income (loss) from discontinued operation, net of tax ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	3.4	(14.2)	8.4	(6.1)	—	(18.9)	(0.6)	6.4
Net income (loss)	21.3	24.3	78.0	33.1	39.7	32.0	63.8	(73.2)
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(0.8)	(0.1)	(0.8)	(0.3)	0.3	(14.0)	(1.5)	(1.1)
Net income (loss) attributable to SPX Corporation common shareholders	<u>\$ 22.1</u>	<u>\$ 24.4</u>	<u>\$ 78.8</u>	<u>\$ 33.4</u>	<u>\$ 39.4</u>	<u>\$ 46.0</u>	<u>\$ 65.3</u>	<u>\$ (72.1)</u>
Basic earnings (loss) per share of common stock:								
Continuing operations	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.78	\$ 1.41	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.99	\$ 1.32	\$ (1.62)
Discontinued operations, net of tax	0.08	(0.29)	0.18	(0.13)	—	(0.05)	(0.01)	0.16
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 0.45</u>	<u>\$ 0.49</u>	<u>\$ 1.59</u>	<u>\$ 0.68</u>	<u>\$ 0.79</u>	<u>\$ 0.94</u>	<u>\$ 1.31</u>	<u>\$ (1.46)</u>
Diluted earnings (loss) per share of common stock:								
Continuing operations	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.77	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.98	\$ 1.30	\$ (1.62)
Discontinued operations, net of tax	0.07	(0.29)	0.17	(0.12)	—	(0.05)	(0.01)	0.16
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 0.44</u>	<u>\$ 0.48</u>	<u>\$ 1.57</u>	<u>\$ 0.68</u>	<u>\$ 0.78</u>	<u>\$ 0.93</u>	<u>\$ 1.29</u>	<u>\$ (1.46)</u>

Note: The sum of the quarters' earnings per share may not equal the full year per share amounts.

(1) Gross profit for 2009 included charges related to the settlement of two product liability matters of \$3.5, \$5.5, and \$0.5 in the first, second, and third quarters of 2009, respectively.

(2) The first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2010 included charges of \$6.8, \$4.4, \$8.9 and \$16.3, respectively, associated with restructuring initiatives. The first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2009 included charges of \$11.9, \$23.3, \$19.3 and \$18.6, respectively, associated with restructuring initiatives. See Note 6 for additional information.

The first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2010 included income (expense) for foreign currency transactions and net changes in fair value of our FX forward contracts and FX embedded derivatives of \$(12.9), \$(2.5), \$(7.3) and \$(4.9), respectively, while the related amounts for the four quarters of 2009 were \$(12.1), \$(2.2), \$(7.0), and \$0.3, respectively.

The effective income tax rate for the first quarter of 2010 was impacted unfavorably by a domestic charge of \$6.2 associated with the taxation of prescription drug costs for retirees under Medicare Part D as a result of the enactment of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. In addition, we recorded a domestic charge of \$3.8 during the first quarter of 2010 associated with agreed-upon adjustments in connection with the field examination of our 2006 and 2007 federal income tax returns (see below for further discussion of the examination).

During the second quarter of 2010, the IRS completed the field examination of our 2006 and 2007 federal income tax returns and issued a RAR. We disagree with and have protested certain adjustments to the Appeals Office of the IRS. Upon issuance of the RAR, we reduced certain of our valuation allowances and our liability for uncertain tax positions to reflect amounts determined to be effectively settled or that satisfied the more likely than not threshold resulting in the recognition of income tax benefits of \$22.0 and \$7.3 to continuing and discontinued operations, respectively.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we recorded tax benefits of \$16.0 related to a reduction in liabilities for uncertain tax positions associated with various foreign and domestic statute expirations and settlements of state examinations. In addition, we recorded a domestic charge of \$3.6 associated with the repatriation of foreign earnings during the quarter.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, we recorded tax benefits of \$4.9 associated with the loss on an investment in a foreign subsidiary. In addition, we recorded tax benefits of \$16.5 in the fourth quarter of 2009 related to a reduction in liabilities for

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
December 31, 2010
(All dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

uncertain tax positions associated with statute expirations and audit settlements in certain tax jurisdictions, with \$6.4 related to continuing operations and \$10.1 to discontinued operations.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we recorded \$188.7 of charges related to the impairment of goodwill \$(187.7) and other intangible assets \$(1.0) at our Service Solutions reporting unit. Additionally, we recorded a charge of \$6.1 related to the impairment of trademarks at one of our Thermal Equipment and Services businesses. See Note 8 for further discussion.

- ⁽³⁾ During the first quarter of 2009, we recorded an additional impairment charge of \$8.5 based on current indications of interest for the Filtran business, with all of the charge recorded to "Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax".

During the first quarter of 2010, we completed the sale of PSD for cash consideration of \$3.0, resulting in a gain, net of taxes, of \$3.6 during the quarter. During the second quarter of 2009, we recorded a net charge of \$7.3 to "Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax" within our consolidated statements of operations in order to reduce the carrying value of the PSD net assets to their estimated net realizable value.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we completed the sale of the Filtran business. In connection with the sale, we did not buy out the minority interest shareholder and, thus, sold only our share of the Filtran business. As a result, we reclassified \$16.5 of the impairment charge noted above from "Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest" to "Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued operations, net of tax" within our consolidated statements of operations in 2009 and the third quarter 2009 quarterly results included herein.

- ⁽⁴⁾ Amounts presented differ from amounts previously reported in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q due to the classification of certain of our businesses as discontinued operations.
- ⁽⁵⁾ We establish actual interim closing dates using a "fiscal" calendar, which requires our businesses to close their books on the Saturday closest to the end of the calendar quarter for the first quarter, with the second and third quarters being 91 days in length. Our fourth quarter ends on December 31. The interim closing dates for the first, second and third quarters of 2010 were April 3, July 3 and October 2, compared to March 28, June 27 and September 26 for 2009, respectively. This practice only impacts the quarterly reporting periods and not the annual reporting period. We had six additional days in the first quarter of 2010 and had six fewer days in the fourth quarter of 2010 when compared to the respective 2009 periods.

(18) Subsequent Event

On February 16, 2011, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire all the assets of Teradyne Inc.'s Diagnostic Solutions business ("TDS"), a leading global supplier of diagnostic solutions for transportation OEMs and automotive dealerships. TDS had revenues of approximately \$40.0 in 2010. We expect to complete the acquisition during the first half of 2011.

ITEM 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

ITEM 9A. Controls And Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

SPX management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b), as of December 31, 2010. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In connection with the evaluation by SPX management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of our internal control over financial reporting, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d), no changes during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 were identified that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control framework and processes were designed to provide reasonable assurance to management and the Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded properly to allow for the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and Directors; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changing conditions, effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and concluded that, as of December 31, 2010, such internal control is effective at the reasonable assurance level described above. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control — Integrated Framework.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report included in this Form 10-K.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of SPX Corporation:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of SPX CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (the "Company") as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 of the Company and our report dated February 22, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina
February 22, 2011

ITEM 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

(a) Directors of the company.

This information is included in our definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Election of Directors" and is incorporated herein by reference.

(b) Executive Officers of the company.

Christopher J. Kearney, 55, was named Chairman of the Board in May 2007, and President, Chief Executive Officer and a director in December 2004. He joined SPX in February 1997 as Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel and an officer of the company. He had previously served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Grimes Aerospace Company. Mr. Kearney is a director of Nucor Corporation.

Patrick J. O'Leary, 53, was named Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer in December 2004. He joined SPX in October 1996 as Vice President, Finance, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer and an officer of the company. He had previously served as Chief Financial Officer and a director of Carlisle Plastics, Inc. Mr. O'Leary is a director of Pulte Homes, Inc.

Robert B. Foreman, 53, was named Executive Vice President, Human Resources and Asia Pacific in December 2005 and Executive Vice President, Global Business Systems and Services in June 2008. He joined SPX Corporation in April 1999 as Vice President, Human Resources and an officer of the company. Previously he spent 14 years with PepsiCo, most recently serving as Vice President Human Resources for Frito-Lay International.

Don L. Canterna, 60, was named segment President, Flow Technology and an officer in August 2005. He joined SPX in 2001 when SPX acquired United Dominion Industries, where he had been General Manager of Waukesha Cherry-Burrell since 1997. He was promoted to President of Waukesha Cherry-Burrell in 2001 and was named President of SPX Process Equipment in 2003 when Waukesha Cherry-Burrell, Lightnin and Bran+Luebbe were consolidated.

David A. Kowalski, 52, was named segment President, Test and Measurement and an officer in August 2005. He joined SPX in 1999 as the Vice President and General Manager of Tools and Equipment at Service Solutions and was named President of Service Solutions in 2004. Before joining SPX he held positions with American National Can Company, J.I. Case, Picker International and Warner Swasey.

Kevin L. Lilly, 58, was named Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel and an officer in December 2005 and Senior Vice President in December 2006. Mr. Lilly joined SPX in 2003 as General Counsel for the company's publicly traded subsidiary, Inrange Technologies Corporation. After the sale of Inrange, he was Group General Counsel for the technical and industrial systems businesses and Associate General Counsel for SPX business operations. Previously, Mr. Lilly served as partner at Archer & Greiner, partner at Jamieson, Moore, Peskin & Spicer, and Staff Attorney for the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit in Chicago.

Lee Powell, 52, was named an officer in February 2008. He joined SPX in August 2005 as Segment President, Industrial Products and Services. Prior to joining SPX, he spent nine years with the Invensys PLC appliance controls group.

Drew Ladau, 50, was named segment president, Thermal Equipment and Services in June 2006. He originally joined SPX in 1996, and served as Vice President, Business Development until 2000. After leaving SPX in 2000, he rejoined the company in 2003 to serve as division President of Vance International. Prior to first joining SPX, Mr. Ladau held various positions with GE, Tenneco and Black & Decker.

Jeremy W. Smeltser, 36, is Vice President, and Chief Financial Officer, Flow Technology. Previously he served in various roles for SPX, most recently, as Vice President, Finance. He joined SPX in 2002 from Ernst & Young LLP, where he was an audit manager in Tampa, Florida. Prior to that, he held various positions with Arthur Andersen LLP, in Tampa, Florida, and Chicago, Illinois, focused primarily on assurance services for global manufacturing clients.

Michael Whitted, 39, is Vice President, Business Development for SPX Corporation. He is responsible for identifying, analyzing, and consummating opportunities for profitable growth through expansion of existing SPX businesses, and external opportunities, including mergers, acquisitions, joint ventures, and strategic partnerships. He is also responsible for SPX's divestiture activities. He joined SPX Corporation in June 2001. Prior to joining SPX Corporation, Mr. Whitted was a Vice President at Bear Stearns. While at Bear Stearns, Mr. Whitted worked with industrial and technology clients, but was primarily focused on the consumer products industry. Prior to joining Bear Stearns, Mr. Whitted held a series of positions with investment banking firms, including CIBC World Markets and Bankers Trust.

(c) Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.

This information is included in our definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and is incorporated herein by reference.

(d) Code of Ethics.

This information is included in our definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Corporate Governance" and is incorporated herein by reference.

(e) Information regarding our Audit Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee is set forth in our definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the headings "Corporate Governance" and "Board Committees" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

This information is included in our definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the headings "Executive Compensation" and "Director Compensation" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

This information is included in our definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the headings "Ownership of Common Stock" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

This information is included in our definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Corporate Governance" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. Principal Accountant Fees And Services

This information is included in our definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the heading "Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Public Accountants" and is incorporated herein by reference.

P A R T I V

ITEM 15. Exhibits And Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are filed as part of this Form 10-K:

1. All financial statements. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page 47 of this Form 10-K.
2. Financial Statement Schedules. None required. See page 47 of this Form 10-K.
3. Exhibits. See Index to Exhibits.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Item No.	Description
3.1	— Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, incorporated herein by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 (file no. 1-6948).
3.2	— Certificate of Ownership and Merger dated April 25, 1988, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988 (file no. 1-6948).
3.3	— By-Laws as amended and restated effective November 17, 2008, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 19, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
4.1	— Indenture between SPX Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee, dated as of December 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 3, 2003 (file no. 1-6948).
4.2	— First Supplemental Indenture between SPX Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee, dated as of December 27, 2002, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 3, 2003 (file no. 1-6948).
4.3	— Second Supplemental Indenture between SPX Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Trustee, dated as of June 16, 2003, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 18, 2003 (file no. 1-6948).
4.4	— Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 24, 2005, between SPX Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (f/k/a JPMorgan Chase Bank), as trustee, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on November 7, 2005 (file no. 1-6948).
4.5	— Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 24, 2005, between SPX Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (f/k/a JPMorgan Chase Bank), as trustee, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on November 7, 2005 (file no. 1-6948).
4.6	— Indenture, dated as of December 13, 2007 between SPX Corporation, the Initial Subsidiary Guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, a national banking association, as trustee, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2007 (file no. 1-6948).
4.7	— Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 13, 2007, among SPX Corporation, the Guarantors, and Banc of America Securities LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc., as representatives of the initial purchasers, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2007 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.1	— Form of Loan Note (Primary Residence) for certain executive officers, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.2	— Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan of United Dominion Industries, Inc., effective as of May 24, 2001, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.3	— SPX Corporation 2002 Stock Compensation Plan, as amended and restated, incorporated herein by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.4	— SPX Corporation Executive Long-Term Disability Plan, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 19, 2005 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.5	— Amendment to SPX Corporation 2002 Stock Compensation Plan, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.6	— Form SPX Corporation Confidentiality and Non-Competition Agreement for Executive Officers, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 6, 2006 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.7	— 2002 Stock Compensation Plan (As Amended and Restated), incorporated herein by reference to Appendix C of our definitive proxy statement for our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, filed April 3, 2006 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.8	— Executive Annual Incentive Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Appendix C of our definitive proxy statement for our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, filed April 3, 2006 (file no. 1-6948).

Item No.	Description
*10.9	— 2006 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Appendix C of our definitive proxy statement for our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, filed April 13, 2006 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.10	— Amendment to the SPX Corporation 2006 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 (file no. 1-6948).
10.11	— Credit Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2007, among SPX Corporation, the Foreign Subsidiary Borrowers party thereto, The Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Deutsche Bank AG Deutschlandgeschäft Branch, as Foreign Trade Facility Agent, and the lenders party thereto, incorporated herein by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 3, 2010.
*10.12	— Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the 2002 Stock Compensation Plan, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.13	— Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the SPX Corporation 2006 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.14	— SPX Corporation 2008 Executive Bonus Plan, incorporated herein by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 29, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.15	— SPX Corporation Supplemental Retirement Savings Plan, as Amended and Restated May 31, 2008, incorporated herein by reference from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 28, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.16	— Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the SPX Corporation 2002 Stock Compensation Plan, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.17	— SPX Corporation Supplemental Individual Account Retirement Plan, as amended and restated December 31, 2008, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.18	— SPX Corporation 1997 Non-Employee Director's Compensation Plan, as amended and restated December 17, 2008, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.19	— SPX Corporation 2005 Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan, as amended and restated December 17, 2008, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.20	— Amended and restated Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and Christopher J. Kearney, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.21	— Amended and restated Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and Patrick J. O'Leary, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.22	— Amended and restated Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and Robert B. Foreman, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.23	— Amended and restated Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and Don L. Canterna, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.24	— Amended and restated Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and David A. Kowalski, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.25	— Amended and restated Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and Kevin Lilly, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).

Item No.	Description
*10.26	— Amended and restated Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and Lee S. Powell, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.27	— Amended and restated Executive Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and Christopher J. Kearney, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.28	— Amended and restated Executive Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and Patrick J. O’Leary, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.29	— Amended and restated Executive Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and Robert B. Foreman, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.30	— Amended and restated Executive Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and Don L. Canterna, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.31	— Amended and restated Executive Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and David A. Kowalski, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.32	— Amended and restated Executive Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and Kevin Lilly, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.33	— Amended and restated Executive Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and Lee S. Powell, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.34	— SPX Corporation Supplemental Retirement Plan for Top Management, as Amended and Restated December 31, 2008, incorporated herein by reference from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.35	— SPX Corporation Supplemental Retirement Plan for Top Management, as amended and restated April 22, 2009, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.36	— Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and Jeremy W. Smeltser, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.37	— Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and J. Michael Whitted, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.38	— Employment Agreement between SPX Corporation and Drew T. Ladau, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.39	— Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and Jeremy W. Smeltser, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.40	— Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and J. Michael Whitted, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.41	— Amendment to Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and J. Michael Whitted, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.42	— Change of Control Agreement between SPX Corporation and Drew T. Ladau, incorporated herein by reference to our Quarterly Report on 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.43	— Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the SPX Corporation 2002 Stock Compensation Plan, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).

Item No.	Description
*10.44	— Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the SPX Corporation 2006 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2009 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.45	— First Amendment, dated February 22, 2010, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of September 21, 2007, among SPX Corporation, the Foreign Subsidiary Borrowers party thereto, The Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Deutsche Bank AG Deutschlandgeschäft Branch, as Foreign Trade Facility Agent, and the lenders party thereto, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 23, 2010 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.46	— Indenture, dated as of August 16, 2010 between SPX Corporation, the Initial Subsidiary Guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, a national banking association, as trustee, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 17, 2010 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.47	— Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2010, among SPX Corporation, the Guarantors, and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., as representative of the initial purchasers, incorporated herein by reference from our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 17, 2010 (file no. 1-6948).
*10.48	— Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the SPX Corporation 2006 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan.
*10.49	— Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the SPX Corporation 2002 Stock Compensation Plan.
*10.50	— Amendment to the 1997 Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan.
*10.51	— Amendment to the SPX Corporation Supplemental Retirement Savings Plan.
11.1	— Statement regarding computation of earnings per share. See Consolidated Statements of Operations on page 49 of this Form 10-K.
21.1	— Subsidiaries.
23.1	— Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm — Deloitte & Touche LLP.
23.2	— Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm — KPMG LLP
24.1	— Power of Attorney (included on signature page).
31.1	— Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications.
32.1	— Section 1350 Certifications.
99.1	— Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm — KPMG LLP
101.1	— SPX Corporation Financial information from its Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, formatted in XBRL, including: (i) Consolidated Statements of Operation for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008; (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

* Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Certification

I, Christopher J. Kearney, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of SPX Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)), for the registrant and have:
 - a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 22, 2011

/s/ CHRISTOPHER J. KEARNEY

President and Chief Executive Officer

(This page has been left blank intentionally.)

Certification

I, Patrick J. O'Leary, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of SPX Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)), for the registrant and have:
 - a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 22, 2011

/s/ PATRICK J. O'LEARY

Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer

(This page has been left blank intentionally.)

EXHIBIT 32.1

The following statement is being made to the Securities and Exchange Commission solely for purposes of Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350), which carries with it certain criminal penalties in the event of a knowing or willful misrepresentation.

Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F. Street N.E.
Washington, DC 20549

Re: SPX Corporation

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with the requirements of Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350), each of the undersigned hereby certifies that:

(i) this Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2010, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and

(ii) the information contained in this report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of SPX Corporation.

Dated as of this 22nd day of February, 2011.

/s/ CHRISTOPHER J. KEARNEY

Christopher J. Kearney
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ PATRICK J. O'LEARY

Patrick J. O'Leary
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer

(This page has been left blank intentionally.)

SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

CORPORATE OFFICE

13515 Ballantyne Corporate Place
Charlotte, NC 28277
USA
704-752-4400
www.spx.com

ANNUAL MEETING

SPX Corporation's Annual Meeting of Shareholders will be held at 8:00 a.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, May 5, 2011 at Hendrick Motorsports Team Center, 4433 Papa Joe Hendrick Boulevard, Charlotte, NC 28262.

TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

Computershare
P.O. Box 43069
Providence, RI 02940-3069
Telephone:
 Inside the United States: 877-498-8861
 Outside the United States: 781-575-2879
 TDD/TTY for hearing impaired: 800-952-9245
Operators are available Monday-Friday 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time. An interactive automated system is available around the clock every day.
www.computershare.com

CORPORATE COUNSEL

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
Chicago, IL

AUDITORS

Deloitte & Touche LLP
Charlotte, NC

STOCK EXCHANGE LISTING

New York Stock Exchange Symbol "SPW"



BOARD OF DIRECTORS



J. Kermit Campbell

Former Chairman,
President & Chief Executive Officer,
Herman Miller, Inc.



Emerson U. Fullwood

Former Corporate Vice President,
Xerox Corporation & Former
Executive Chief Staff/Marketing Officer,
Xerox North America, Xerox Corporation



Christopher J. Kearney

Chairman, President &
Chief Executive Officer,
SPX Corporation




Albert A. Koch

Vice Chairman & Managing Director,
AlixPartners, LLP &
President/Chief Executive Officer,
Motors Liquidation Company



Terry Lisenby

Former Chief Financial Officer,
Treasurer & Executive Vice President
Nucor Corporation



Michael J. Mancuso

Vice President & Chief Financial Officer,
Computer Sciences Corporation



Martha B. Wyrsh

President,
Vestas-Americas



13515 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Charlotte, NC 28277 USA
TEL 704 | 752 | 4400 FAX 704 | 752 | 4505 www.spx.com