

09010598

SVB Financial Group

RECEIVED SEC

APR 08 2009

Washington, DC-20549








In the midst of these dark days for our industry and the entire economy, the men and women of SVB continue to come to work each day enthused and inspired to be a part of the global innovation community. The entrepreneurial clients we work with push the boundaries of what was previously thought possible. Their ability to see beyond today's limitations to tomorrow's opportunities inspires us. Their optimistic vision for the world we can create makes me personally excited for a better future.

There is no doubt that our clients and their investors will continue to be faced with tough decisions and situations in the coming year. We want you to know, as our client, that we will remain committed, as we have been for more than 25 years, to the technology, life science, venture capital/private equity and wine industries in these turbulent times.

KEN WILCOX, PRESIDENT & CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, SVB FINANCIAL GROUP

YOUR STORY IS OUR STORY.



UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 000-15637

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

3003 Tasman Drive, Santa Clara, California 95054-1191

(Address of principal executive offices including zip code)

91-1962278

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

http://www.svb.com

(Registrant's URL)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (408) 654-7400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Table with 2 columns: Title of each class, Name of each exchange on which registered. Rows include Common Stock and Junior subordinated debentures.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer [X] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company []

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes [] No [X]

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity securities held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2008, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, based upon the closing price of its common stock on such date, on the NASDAQ Global Select Market was \$1,551,661,376.

At January 31, 2009, 32,933,162 shares of the registrant's common stock (\$0.001 par value) were outstanding.

SEC Mail Processing Section APR 08 2009 Washington, DC 105

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Definitive proxy statement for the Company's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

Parts of Form 10-K Into Which Incorporated

Part III

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1 Business | 5 |
| Item 1A Risk Factors | 18 |
| Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments | 29 |
| Item 2 Properties | 29 |
| Item 3 Legal Proceedings | 29 |
| Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 29 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5 Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 30 |
| Item 6 Selected Consolidated Financial Data | 33 |
| Item 7 Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 34 |
| Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 90 |
| Item 8 Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 93 |
| Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 161 |
| Item 9A Controls and Procedures | 161 |
| Item 9B Other Information | 162 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 162 |
| Item 11 Executive Compensation | 162 |
| Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, and Related Stockholder Matters | 162 |
| Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 163 |
| Item 14 Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 163 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | 164 |
| SIGNATURES | 165 |
| Index to Exhibits | 167 |

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including in particular “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” under Part II, Item 7 in this report, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Management has in the past and might in the future make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, the media and others. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not historical facts. Broadly speaking, forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the following:

- Projections of our net interest income, noninterest income, earnings per share, noninterest expenses, including professional service, compliance, compensation and other costs, cash flows, balance sheet positions, capital expenditures, and capitalization or other financial items
- Descriptions of strategic initiatives, plans or objectives of our management for future operations, including pending acquisitions
- Forecasts of venture capital/private equity funding levels
- Forecasts of future interest rates, economic performance, and income on investments
- Forecasts of expected levels of provisions for loan losses, loan growth and client funds
- Descriptions of assumptions underlying or relating to any of the foregoing

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we make forward-looking statements, including but not limited to those discussing our management’s expectations about:

- Economic conditions and associated impact on us
- Extent to which our products and services will meet changing client needs
- Our ability to compete in various markets
- The suitability of our properties for the conduct of our business
- The payment of cash dividends on, or our repurchase of, our common stock
- The adequacy of reserves and appropriateness of methodology
- Sensitivity of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities to interest rates, and the impact to earnings from a change in interest rates
- Realization, timing, valuation and performance of equity or other investments
- Our liquidity position
- Level of client investment fees
- Growth in loan and deposit balances
- Credit quality of our loan portfolio
- Levels and trends of nonperforming loans
- Capital and liquidity provided through retained earnings
- Activities for which capital will be used or required, and use of excess capital
- Financial impact of continued growth of our funds management business
- Expansion and growth of our noninterest income sources
- Profitability of our products and services
- Venture capital and private equity funding and investment levels
- Strategic initiatives
- Effect of application of certain accounting pronouncements
- Effect of lawsuits and claims
- Changes in, or adequacy of, our unrecognized tax benefit and any associated impact
- Adequacy of valuation allowance on deferred tax assets
- Incurrence of losses relating to credit card guarantees and any associated impact
- Cash requirements of unfunded commitments to certain investments
- Manner of satisfaction of stock option exercises

You can identify these and other forward-looking statements by the use of words such as “becoming”, “may”, “will”, “should”, “predicts”, “potential”, “continue”, “anticipates”, “believes”, “estimates”, “seeks”, “expects”, “plans”, “intends”, the negative of such words, or comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we have based these expectations on our beliefs as well as our assumptions, and such expectations may prove to be incorrect. Our actual results of operations and financial performance could differ significantly from those expressed in or implied by our management’s forward-looking statements.

For information with respect to factors that could cause actual results to differ from the expectations stated in the forward-looking statements, see “Risk Factors” under Part I, Item 1A in this report. We urge investors to consider all of these factors carefully in evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report of Form 10-K. All subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. The forward-looking statements included in this filing are made only as of the date of this filing. We assume no obligation and do not intend to revise or update any forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

SVB Financial Group is a diversified financial services company, as well as a bank holding company and financial holding company. The Company was incorporated in the state of Delaware in March 1999. Through our various subsidiaries and divisions, we offer a variety of banking and financial products and services. For over 25 years, we have been dedicated to helping entrepreneurs succeed, especially in the technology, life science, venture capital/private equity and premium wine industries. We provide our clients with a diversity of products and services to support them throughout their life cycles of all sizes and stages.

We offer commercial banking products and services through our principal subsidiary, Silicon Valley Bank (the "Bank"), which is a California state-chartered bank founded in 1983 and a member of the Federal Reserve System. Through its subsidiaries, the Bank also offers brokerage, investment advisory and asset management services. We also offer non-banking products and services, such as funds management, private equity investment and equity valuation services, through our subsidiaries and divisions.

As of December 31, 2008, we had total assets of \$10.02 billion, total loans, net of unearned income of \$5.51 billion, total deposits of \$7.47 billion and total stockholders' equity of \$988.8 million.

We operate through 27 offices in the United States and five internationally in China, India, Israel and the United Kingdom. Our corporate headquarters is located at 3003 Tasman Drive, Santa Clara, California 95054, and our telephone number is 408.654.7400.

When we refer to "SVB Financial Group," the "Company", "we," "our," "us" or use similar words, we mean SVB Financial Group and all of its subsidiaries collectively, including the Bank. When we refer to "SVB Financial" or the "Parent" we are referring only to the parent company, SVB Financial Group.

Business Overview

For reporting purposes, SVB Financial Group has three operating segments for which we report our financial information in this report: Commercial Banking, SVB Capital and Other Business Services. A discussion about our segment reporting, including financial information and results of operation for our operating segments, is set forth in Note 21—"Segment Reporting" of the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" under Part II, Item 8 in this report, and in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Operating Segment Results" under Part II, Item 7 in this report.

In July 2007, we reached a decision to cease operations at SVB Alliant, our investment banking subsidiary, which provided advisory services in the areas of mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance, strategic alliances and private placements. After completion of the remaining client transactions, operations at SVB Alliant were ceased as of March 31, 2008. Accordingly, SVB Alliant was no longer reported as an operating segment as of the second quarter of 2008. We have not presented the results of operations of SVB Alliant in discontinued operations for any period presented based on our assessment of the materiality of SVB Alliant's results to our consolidated results of operations.

Commercial Banking

Our commercial banking products and services are provided by the Bank and its subsidiaries. The Bank provides solutions to the financial needs of commercial clients through lending, deposit products, cash management services, and global banking and trade products and services.

Through lending products and services, the Bank extends loans and other credit facilities to commercial clients. These loans are often secured by clients' assets. Lending products and services include traditional term loans, equipment loans, revolving lines of credit, accounts-receivable-based lines of credit and asset-based loans.

The Bank's deposit and cash management products and services provide commercial clients with short- and long-term cash management solutions. Deposit products include traditional deposit and checking accounts, certificates of deposit, money market accounts and sweep accounts. In connection with deposit services, the Bank provides lockbox and merchant services that facilitate timely depositing of checks and other payments to clients' accounts. Cash management products and services include wire transfer and automated clearing house ("ACH") payment services to enable clients to transfer funds quickly from their deposit accounts. Additionally, the cash management services unit provides collection services, disbursement services, electronic funds transfers, and online banking through SVBeConnect.

The Bank's global banking and trade products and services facilitate clients' global finance and business needs. These products and services include foreign exchange services that allow commercial clients to manage their foreign currency needs and risks through the purchase and sale of currencies, swaps and hedges on the global inter-bank market. To facilitate clients' international trade, the Bank offers a variety of loans and credit facilities guaranteed by the Export-Import Bank of the United States. It also offers letters of credit, including export, import, and standby letters of credit, to enable clients to ship and receive goods globally.

The Bank and its subsidiaries offer a variety of investment services and solutions to its clients that enable companies to effectively manage their assets. Through its broker-dealer subsidiary, SVB Securities, the Bank offers money market mutual funds and fixed-income securities. SVB Securities is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC"). Additionally, through its registered investment advisory subsidiary, SVB Asset Management, the Bank offers investment advisory services, including outsourced treasury services, with customized cash portfolio management and reporting.

SVB Capital

SVB Capital is the private equity arm of SVB Financial Group, which focuses primarily on funds management. SVB Capital manages and sponsors venture capital and private equity funds on behalf of SVB Financial Group and other third party limited partners. The SVB Capital family of funds is comprised of funds it manages, including funds of funds, such as our SVB Strategic Investors funds, and co-investment funds, such as our SVB Capital Partners funds and SVB India Capital Partners fund. It also includes sponsored debt funds, such as Gold Hill Venture Lending funds, which provide secured debt, typically to emerging-technology clients in their earliest stages, and Partners for Growth funds, which provide secured debt primarily to higher-risk, middle-market clients in their later stages. We manage funds which generate income for the Company primarily through management fees, carried interest arrangements and returns through our own investments in the funds. We sponsor debt funds in connection with our strategic or other partnering opportunities primarily for our core banking business. Most of the funds actively managed or sponsored by SVB Capital are consolidated into our financial statements. See Note 2—"Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" of the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

Other Business Services

The Other Business Services segment is primarily comprised of SVB Private Client Services, SVB Global, SVB Analytics and SVB Wine Division. These business units do not individually meet the separate reporting thresholds as defined by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* ("SFAS No. 131") and, as a result, we have aggregated them together as Other Business Services for segment reporting purposes.

SVB Private Client Services

SVB Private Client Services is the private banking division of the Bank, which provides a range of credit services to targeted high-net-worth individuals using both long-term secured and short-term unsecured lines of credit. These products and services include mortgages, home equity lines of credit, restricted stock purchase loans, airplane loans, capital call lines of credit, and other secured and unsecured lines of credit. We also help our private clients meet their cash management needs by providing deposit account products and services, including checking accounts, money market accounts and certificates of deposit, and other personalized banking services.

SVB Global

SVB Global serves the needs of our non-U.S. clients with global banking products, including loans, deposits and global finance, in key foreign entrepreneurial markets, such as the United Kingdom, India, China and Israel. SVB Global includes our subsidiaries focused on our foreign activities, which support our clients and facilitate their activities in those markets.

SVB Analytics

SVB Analytics is our subsidiary that provides equity valuation and equity management services to private companies and venture capital firms. We offer equity management services, including capitalization data management, through eProsper, Inc., a company in which SVB Analytics holds a controlling ownership interest.

SVB Wine Division

SVB Wine Division is a division of the Bank that provides banking products and services to our premium wine industry clients, including vineyard development loans. We offer a variety of financial solutions focused specifically on the needs of our clients' premium wineries and vineyards.

Income Sources

Our total revenue is comprised of our net interest income and noninterest income. Net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis and noninterest income for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$374.3 million and \$156.1 million, respectively.

Net interest income is primarily income generated from interest rate differentials. The difference between the interest rates received on interest-earning assets, such as loans extended to clients and securities held in our investment portfolio, and the interest rates paid by us on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings, accounts for the major portion of our earnings. Our deposits are largely obtained from commercial clients within our technology, life sciences and venture capital/private equity industry sectors. Deposits are also obtained from the premium wine industry commercial clients and individual clients served by our SVB Private Client Services group. We do not obtain deposits from conventional retail sources.

Noninterest income is primarily income generated from our fee-based services and returns on our investments. We market our full range of fee-based financial services to our commercial and venture capital/private equity firm clients, including commercial banking, private client, investment advisory, asset management, global banking and equity valuation services. Our ability to integrate and cross-sell our diverse financial services to our clients is a strength of our business model.

We also seek to obtain returns by making investments. We manage and invest in venture capital/private equity funds that generally invest directly in privately held companies, as well as funds that invest in other private equity funds. We also invest directly in privately held companies. As part of negotiated credit facilities and certain other services, we frequently obtain rights to acquire stock in the form of equity warrants in certain client companies.

Industry Niches

In each of the industry niches we serve, we provide services to meet the needs of our clients throughout their life cycles, beginning with early stage.

Technology and Life Sciences

We serve a variety of clients in the technology and life science industries. Our technology clients generally tend to be in the industries of hardware (semiconductors, communications and electronics), software and related services, and cleantech. Our life science clients generally tend to be in the industries of biotechnology and medical devices. A key component of our technology and life science business strategy is to develop relationships with clients at an early stage and offer them banking services that will continue to meet their needs as they mature and expand. Very generally, we define our technology and life science clients as follows:

- Our “**emerging technology**” clients are companies in the start-up or very early stage of their life cycles. These companies typically are privately-held and funded by friends and family, or “seed” or “angel” investors. They are primarily in the research and development stage and generally have nominal or no revenue. For example, an “emerging technology” hardware company may be comprised of its founders engaged in the initial development of its product, funded by modest capital raised from friends and family investors.
- Our “**early-stage**” clients are companies in the early stage of their life cycles. These companies are privately-held and are generally dependent on initial rounds of venture capital financing for funding. They typically are primarily engaged in research and development, have brought relatively few products or services to market, and have little or no revenue. For example, an “early-stage” software company may be comprised of its founders and a limited number of employees engaged in the development and initial marketing of its product, funded by one or two rounds of venture capital financings.
- Our “**mid-stage**” clients are companies in the intermediate stage of their life cycles. These companies tend to be privately-held, and many are dependent on venture capital for funding. They typically have brought some products or services to market, with modest or meaningful revenue. For example, a “mid-stage” software company may have had some success with licensing its initial products to its customers and is engaged in developing additional products to bring to market.
- Our “**late-stage**” clients are companies in the more advanced stages of their life cycles, but generally have not yet fully matured. These companies may be privately or publicly held. They generally are in the advanced stage of their research and development, and may have brought solid product or service offering to market, with more meaningful or considerable revenue. For example, a “late-stage” semiconductor company may have a suite of semiconductor chip products on the market and be financially dependent on the sale of the products.
- Our “**corporate technology**” clients are mature companies that tend to be more established. These companies tend to be publicly held or poised to become publicly held. They generally may have a more sophisticated product or service offering in the market, with significant revenue. For example, a “corporate technology” semiconductor company may be a publicly-traded company with a broad product offering and an established record of profitability.

Venture Capital/Private Equity

We provide financial services to clients in the venture capital/private equity community. Since our founding, we have cultivated strong relationships with the venture capital/private equity community, particularly with venture capital firms worldwide, many of which are also clients. We serve more than 550 venture capital firms worldwide, as well as other private equity firms, facilitating deal flow to and from these private equity firms and participating in direct investments in their portfolio companies. Unless the context requires otherwise, when we refer to our “private equity” clients, we mean our clients in the venture capital and private equity community.

Premium Wine

We are one of the leading providers of financial services to premium wine producers in the Western United States, with over 300 winery and vineyard clients. We focus on vineyards and wineries that produce grapes and wines of high quality.

Competition

The banking and financial services industry is highly competitive, and evolves as a result of changes in regulation, technology, product delivery systems, and the general market and economic climate. Our current competitors include other banks, debt funds and specialty and diversified financial services companies that offer lending, leasing, other financial products, and advisory services to our target client base. The principal competitive factors in our markets include product offerings, service, and pricing. Given our established market position with the client segments that we serve, and our ability to integrate and cross-sell our diverse financial services to extend the length of our relationships with our clients, we believe we compete favorably in all our markets in these areas.

Employees

As of December 31, 2008, we employed 1,244 full-time equivalent employees.

Supervision and Regulation

Recent Developments

In response to the current economic downturn and financial industry instability, legislative and other governmental initiatives have been, and will likely continue to be, introduced and implemented, which could substantially intensify the regulation of the financial services industry (including a possible comprehensive overhaul of the financial institutions regulatory system). SVB Financial cannot predict whether or when potential legislation will be enacted, and if enacted, the effect that it, or any implemented regulations and supervisory policies, would have on our financial condition or results of operations. Moreover, especially in the current economic environment, bank regulatory agencies have been very aggressive in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations, and this has resulted in the increased issuance of enforcement orders to other financial institutions requiring action to address credit quality, liquidity and risk management and capital adequacy, as well as other safety and soundness concerns. See “Risks Relating to Current Market Environment” in the “Risk Factors” section under Item 1A of Part I of this report.

Through its authority under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the “EESA”), the U.S. Treasury (“Treasury”) announced in October 2008 the TARP Capital Purchase Program (the “CPP”), a program designed to bolster eligible healthy institutions, like SVB Financial, by injecting capital into these institutions. We participated in the CPP in December 2008 so that we could continue to lend and support our current and prospective clients, especially during this unstable economic environment. Under the terms of our participation, we received \$235 million in exchange for the issuance of preferred stock and a warrant to purchase common stock, and became subject to various requirements, including certain restrictions on paying dividends on our common stock and repurchasing our equity securities, unless the U.S. Treasury has consented. Additionally, in order to participate in the CPP, we were required to adopt certain standards for executive compensation and corporate governance. These standards generally apply to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three next most highly compensated senior executive officers, and include: (1) ensuring that incentive compensation for senior executives does not encourage unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the financial institution; (2) required clawback of any bonus or incentive compensation paid to a senior executive based on statements of earnings, gains or other criteria that are later proven to be materially inaccurate;

(3) limiting golden parachute payments to certain senior executives; and (4) agreement not to deduct for tax purposes executive compensation in excess of \$500,000 for each senior executive. To date, SVB Financial has complied with these requirements, but the Secretary of the Treasury is empowered under EESA to adopt other standards, with which SVB Financial would be required to comply. Additionally, the bank regulatory agencies, Treasury and the Office of Special Inspector General, also created by the EESA, have issued guidance and requests to the financial institutions that participated in the CPP to document their plans and use of CPP funds and their plans for addressing the executive compensation requirements associated with the CPP. SVB Financial will respond to such requests accordingly.

In February 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the “ARRA”) was enacted. Among other provisions, the ARRA amended the EESA and contains requirements imposed on financial institutions like us which have already participated in the CPP. These requirements expand the initial executive compensation restrictions under the CPP to include, among other things, application of the required clawback provision to our top 25 most highly compensated employees, prohibition of certain bonuses to our top five most highly compensated employees, expanded limitations on golden parachute payments to top ten most highly compensated employees, implementation of a company-wide policy regarding excessive and luxury expenditures, and requirement of a shareholder advisory vote on our executive compensation. Under the new ARRA requirements, we may early redeem the shares issued to the Treasury under the CPP without any early penalty or requirement to raise new capital, as previously required under the original terms of the CPP. However, until the shares are redeemed and for so long as we continue to participate in the CPP, we will remain subject to these expanded requirements, and any other requirements applicable to CPP participants that may be subsequently adopted.

The EESA also increased Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) deposit insurance on most accounts from \$100,000 to \$250,000. This increase is currently in place until the end of 2009 and is not covered by deposit insurance premiums paid by the banking industry. The FDIC has recently proposed that Congress extend the \$250,000 limit to 2016. In addition, the FDIC has implemented two temporary programs under the Temporary Liquidity Guaranty Program (“TLGP”) to provide deposit insurance for the full amount of most non-interest bearing transaction accounts through the end of 2009 and to guarantee certain unsecured debt of financial institutions and their holding companies through June 2012. The Bank is participating in the deposit insurance program and has the ability to issue guaranteed debt under the program. The FDIC charges “systemic risk special assessments” to depository institutions that participate in the TLGP. The FDIC has recently proposed that Congress give the FDIC expanded authority to charge fees to the holding companies which benefit directly and indirectly from the FDIC guarantees. See “FDIC Deposit Insurance” below.

General

Our bank and holding company operations are subject to extensive regulation by federal and state regulatory agencies. This regulation is intended primarily for the protection of depositors and the deposit insurance fund, and secondarily for the stability of the U.S. banking system. It is not intended for the benefit of stockholders of financial institutions. As a bank holding company that elected to become a financial holding company in November 2000, SVB Financial is subject to inspection, supervision, regulation, and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve Board”) under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the “BHC Act”). The Bank, as a California state-chartered bank and a member of the Federal Reserve System, is subject to primary supervision and examination by the Federal Reserve Board, as well as the California Department of Financial Institutions (the “DFI”). In addition, the Bank’s deposits are insured by the FDIC. SVB Financial’s other nonbank subsidiaries are subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board and other applicable federal and state agencies, such as the SEC and FINRA, and, for our foreign-based subsidiaries, applicable regulatory bodies, such as the Financial Services Authority in the United Kingdom. SVB Financial, the Bank and their subsidiaries are required to file periodic reports with these regulators and provide any additional information that they may require.

The following summary describes some of the more significant laws, regulations, and policies that affect our operations; it is not intended to be a complete listing of all laws that apply to us and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the applicable laws and regulations. From time to time, federal, state and foreign legislation is enacted and regulations are adopted which may have the effect of materially increasing the cost of doing business, limiting or expanding permissible activities, or affecting the competitive balance between banks and other financial services providers. We cannot predict whether or when potential legislation will be enacted, and if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, would have on our financial condition or results of operations.

Regulation of Holding Company

Under the BHC Act, SVB Financial is subject to the Federal Reserve's regulations and its authority to:

- Require periodic reports and such additional information as the Federal Reserve may require;
- Require SVB Financial to maintain certain levels of capital (See "*Regulatory Capital*" below);
- Require that bank holding companies serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to subsidiary banks and commit resources as necessary to support each subsidiary bank. A bank holding company's failure to meet its obligations to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks will generally be considered by the Federal Reserve to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice or a violation of Federal Reserve regulations or both;
- Terminate an activity or terminate control of or liquidate or divest certain subsidiaries, affiliates or investments if the Federal Reserve believes the activity or the control of the subsidiary or affiliate constitutes a significant risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of any bank subsidiary;
- Regulate provisions of certain bank holding company debt, including the authority to impose interest ceilings and reserve requirements on such debt and require prior approval to purchase or redeem our securities in certain situations;
- Approve acquisitions and mergers with banks and consider certain competitive, management, financial and other factors in granting these approvals. Similar California and other state banking agency approvals may also be required.

Bank holding companies are generally prohibited, except in certain statutorily prescribed instances including exceptions for financial holding companies, from acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the outstanding voting shares of any company that is not a bank or bank holding company and from engaging directly or indirectly in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks, or furnishing services to its subsidiaries. However, subject to prior notice or Federal Reserve Board approval, bank holding companies may engage in, or acquire shares of companies engaged in, activities determined by the Federal Reserve Board to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. SVB Financial may engage in these nonbanking activities and broader securities, insurance, merchant banking and other activities that are determined to be "financial in nature" or are incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature without prior Federal Reserve approval pursuant to our election to become a financial holding company in November, 2000. Pursuant to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("GLBA"), in order to elect and retain financial holding company status, all depository institution subsidiaries of a bank holding company must be well capitalized, well managed, and, except in limited circumstances, be in satisfactory compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA"). Failure to sustain compliance with these requirements or correct any non-compliance within a fixed time period could lead to divestiture of subsidiary banks or require all activities to conform to those permissible for a bank holding company.

SVB Financial is also treated as a bank holding company under the California Financial Code. As such, SVB Financial and its subsidiaries are subject to periodic examination by, and may be required to file reports with, the DFI.

Securities Registration and Listing

SVB Financial's securities are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. As such, SVB Financial is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading, corporate governance, and other requirements and restrictions of the Exchange Act, as well as the Marketplace Rules and other requirements promulgated by the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act

SVB Financial is subject to the accounting oversight and corporate governance requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, including, among other things, required executive certification of financial presentations, increased requirements for board audit committees and their members, and enhanced disclosure of controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting.

Regulation of Silicon Valley Bank

The Bank is a California state-chartered bank and a member and stockholder of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco ("Federal Reserve"). The Bank is subject to primary supervision, periodic examination and regulation by the DFI and the Federal Reserve, as the Bank's primary federal regulator. In general, under the California Financial Code, California banks have all the powers of a California corporation, subject to the general limitation of state bank powers under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act ("FDIA") to those permissible for national banks. Specific federal and state laws and regulations which are applicable to banks regulate, among other things, the scope of their business, their investments, their reserves against deposits, the timing of the availability of deposited funds and the nature and amount of and collateral for certain loans. The regulatory structure also gives the bank regulatory agencies extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss reserves for regulatory purposes. If, as a result of an examination, the DFI or the Federal Reserve should determine that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity, or other aspects of the Bank's operations are unsatisfactory or that the Bank or its management is violating or has violated any law or regulation, the DFI and the Federal Reserve, and separately the FDIC as insurer of the Bank's deposits, have residual authority to:

- Require affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice;
- Direct an increase in capital and the maintenance of specific minimum capital ratios;
- Restrict the Bank's growth geographically, by products and services, or by mergers and acquisitions;
- Enter into informal or formal enforcement orders, including memoranda of understanding, written agreements and consent or cease and desist orders to take corrective action and enjoin unsafe and unsound practices;
- Remove officers and directors and assess civil monetary penalties; and
- Take possession of and close and liquidate the Bank.

California law permits state chartered commercial banks to engage in any activity permissible for national banks. Therefore, the Bank may form subsidiaries to engage in the many so-called "closely related to banking" or "nonbanking" activities commonly conducted by national banks in operating subsidiaries, and further, pursuant to GLBA, the Bank may conduct certain "financial" activities in a subsidiary to the same extent as may a national bank, provided the Bank is and remains "well-capitalized," "well-managed" and in satisfactory compliance with the CRA. SVB Asset Management and SVB Securities are financial subsidiaries of the Bank.

Federal Home Loan Bank System

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) of San Francisco. Among other benefits, each FHLB serves as a reserve or central bank for its members within its assigned region and makes available loans or advances to its members. Each FHLB is financed primarily from the sale of consolidated obligations of the FHLB system. As an FHLB member, the Bank is required to own a certain amount of capital stock in the FHLB. At December 31, 2008, the Bank was in compliance with the FHLB’s stock ownership requirement and our investment in FHLB capital stock totaled \$25.8 million.

Regulatory Capital

The federal banking agencies have adopted risk-based capital guidelines for bank holding companies and banks that are expected to provide a measure of capital that reflects the degree of risk associated with a banking organization’s operations for both transactions reported on the balance sheet as assets, such as loans, and those recorded as off-balance sheet items, such as commitments, letters of credit and recourse arrangements. Under these capital guidelines, banking organizations are required to maintain certain minimum capital ratios, which are obtained by dividing its qualifying capital by its total risk-adjusted assets and off-balance sheet items. In general, the dollar amounts of assets and certain off-balance sheet items are “risk-adjusted” and assigned to various risk categories. Qualifying capital is classified depending on the type of capital:

- “Tier 1 capital” consists of common equity, retained earnings, qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, a limited amount of qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock and minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries (including trust-preferred securities), less goodwill and certain other intangible assets. Qualifying Tier 1 capital may consist of trust-preferred securities, subject to certain criteria and quantitative limits for inclusion of restricted core capital elements in Tier 1 capital. The capital received from the U.S. Treasury under the CPP qualifies as Tier 1 capital.
- “Tier 2 capital” includes, among other things, hybrid capital instruments, perpetual debt, mandatory convertible debt securities, qualifying term subordinated debt, preferred stock that does not qualify as Tier 1 capital, a limited amount of allowance for loan and lease losses.
- “Tier 3 capital” consists of qualifying unsecured subordinated debt.

Under the capital guidelines, there are three fundamental capital ratios: a total risk-based capital ratio, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and a Tier 1 leverage ratio. The minimum required ratios for bank holding companies and banks are eight percent, four percent and four percent, respectively. Additionally, for SVB Financial to remain a financial holding company, the Bank must at all times be “well-capitalized,” which requires the Bank to have a total risk-based capital ratio, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least ten percent, six percent and five percent, respectively. Moreover, although not a requirement to maintain financial holding company status, maintaining the financial holding company at “well-capitalized” status provides certain benefits to the company, such as the ability to repurchase stock without prior regulatory approval. To be “well-capitalized,” the holding company must at all times have a total risk-based and Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least ten percent and six percent, respectively. There is no Tier 1 leverage requirement for a holding company to be deemed well-capitalized. At December 31, 2008, the respective capital ratios of SVB Financial and the Bank exceeded these minimum percentage requirements for “well-capitalized” institutions. See Note 20—“Regulatory Matters” of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

SVB Financial is also subject to rules that govern the regulatory capital treatment of equity investments in non-financial companies made on or after March 13, 2000 and held under certain specified legal authorities by a bank or bank holding company. Under the rules, these equity investments will be subject to a separate capital charge that will reduce a bank holding company’s Tier 1 capital and, as a result, will remove these assets from being taken into consideration in establishing a bank holding company’s required capital ratios discussed above. The rules provide for the following incremental Tier 1 capital charges: 8% of the adjusted carrying value of the

portion of aggregate investments that are up to 15% of Tier 1 capital; 12% of the adjusted carrying value of the portion of aggregate investments that are between 15% and 25% of Tier 1 capital; and 25% of the adjusted carrying value of the portion of aggregate investments that exceed 25% of Tier 1 capital.

Further, the federal banking agencies have also adopted a joint agency policy statement, which states that the adequacy and effectiveness of a bank's interest rate risk management process and the level of its interest rate exposures are critical factors in the evaluation of the bank's capital adequacy. A bank with material weaknesses in its interest rate risk management process or high levels of interest rate exposure relative to its capital will be directed by the federal banking agencies to take corrective actions.

Basel and Basel II Accords

The current risk-based capital guidelines which apply to SVB Financial and the Bank are based upon the 1988 capital accord of the International Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, a committee of central banks and bank supervisors and regulators from the major industrialized countries that develops broad policy guidelines for use by each country's supervisors in determining the supervisory policies they apply. A new international accord, referred to as Basel II, became mandatory for large or "core" international banks outside the U.S. in 2008 (total assets of \$250 billion or more or consolidated foreign exposures of \$10 billion or more). Basel II emphasizes internal assessment of credit, market and operational risk, as well as supervisory assessment and market discipline in determining minimum capital requirements. It is optional for other banks, and if adopted, must first be complied with in a "parallel run" for two years along with the existing Basel I standards. In January 2009, the Basel Committee proposed to reconsider regulatory-capital standards, supervisory and risk-management requirements and additional disclosures to further strengthen the Basel II framework in response to recent worldwide developments.

In July 2008, the U.S. federal banking agencies issued a proposed rule for banking organizations that do not use the "advanced approaches" under Basel II. While this proposed rule generally parallels the relevant approaches under Basel II, it diverges where U.S. markets have unique characteristics and risk profiles, most notably with respect to risk weighting residential mortgage exposures. A definitive final rule has not yet been issued. The U.S. banking agencies have indicated, however, that they will retain the minimum leverage requirement for all U.S. banks.

Prompt Correction Action and Other General Enforcement Authority

Federal banking agencies possess broad powers to take corrective and other supervisory action against an insured bank and its holding company. Federal laws require each federal banking agency to take prompt corrective action to resolve the problems of insured banks.

Each federal banking agency has issued regulations defining five categories in which an insured depository institution will be placed, based on the level of its capital ratios: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized. Based upon its capital levels, a bank that is classified as well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, or undercapitalized may be treated as though it were in the next lower capital category if the appropriate federal banking agency, after notice and opportunity for hearing, determines that an unsafe or unsound condition, or an unsafe or unsound practice, warrants such treatment. At each successive lower-capital category, an insured bank is subject to more restrictions, including restrictions on the bank's activities, operational practices or the ability to pay dividends.

In addition to measures taken under the prompt corrective action provisions, bank holding companies and insured banks may be subject to potential enforcement actions by the federal regulators for unsafe or unsound practices in conducting their business, or for violation of any law, rule, regulation, condition imposed in writing by the agency or term of a written agreement with the agency. In more serious cases, enforcement actions may include the appointment of a conservator or receiver for the bank; the issuance of a cease and desist order that can be judicially enforced; the termination of the bank's deposit insurance; the imposition of civil monetary

penalties; the issuance of directives to increase capital; the issuance of formal and informal agreements; the issuance of removal and prohibition orders against officers, directors, and other institution-affiliated parties; and the enforcement of such actions through injunctions or restraining orders based upon a judicial determination that the agency would be harmed if such equitable relief was not granted.

Safety and Soundness Guidelines

Banking regulatory agencies have adopted guidelines to assist in identifying and addressing potential safety and soundness concerns before capital becomes impaired. The guidelines establish operational and managerial standards generally relating to: (1) internal controls, information systems, and internal audit systems; (2) loan documentation; (3) credit underwriting; (4) interest-rate exposure; (5) asset growth and asset quality; and (6) compensation, fees, and benefits. In addition, the banking regulatory agencies have adopted safety and soundness guidelines for asset quality and for evaluating and monitoring earnings to ensure that earnings are sufficient for the maintenance of adequate capital and reserves.

Restrictions on Dividends

Dividends from the Bank constitute a primary source of cash for SVB Financial. The Bank is subject to various federal and state statutory and regulatory restrictions on its ability to pay dividends. In addition, the banking agencies have the authority to prohibit the Bank from paying dividends, depending upon the Bank's financial condition, if such payment is deemed to constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. Furthermore, under the federal prompt corrective action regulations, the Federal Reserve Board may prohibit a bank holding company from paying any dividends if the holding company's bank subsidiary is classified as "undercapitalized."

It is the Federal Reserve's policy that bank holding companies should generally pay dividends on common stock only out of income available over the past year, and only if prospective earnings retention is consistent with the organization's expected future needs and financial condition. It is also the Federal Reserve's policy that bank holding companies should not maintain dividend levels that undermine their ability to be a source of strength to its banking subsidiaries. Additionally, in consideration of the current financial and economic environment, the Federal Reserve has indicated that bank holding companies should carefully review their dividend policy and has discouraged payment ratios that are at maximum allowable levels unless both asset quality and capital are very strong.

Under the terms of the CPP, for so long as any preferred stock issued under the CPP remains outstanding, SVB Financial is restricted from paying cash dividends on our common stock, and from making certain repurchases of equity securities, including common stock, without the U.S. Treasury's consent until the third anniversary of the U.S. Treasury's investment in our preferred stock or until the U.S. Treasury has transferred all of the preferred stock it purchased under the CPP to third parties.

Transactions with Affiliates

Transactions between the Bank and its operating subsidiaries (such as SVB Securities or SVB Asset Management) on the one hand, and the Bank's affiliates (such as SVB Financial, SVB Analytics, or an SVB Global entity) are subject to restrictions imposed by federal and state law, designed to protect the Bank and its subsidiaries from engaging in unfavorable behavior with their affiliates. More specifically, these restrictions, contained in Federal Reserve Board Regulation W, prevent SVB Financial and other affiliates from borrowing from, or entering into other credit transactions with, the Bank or its operating subsidiaries unless the loans or other credit transactions are secured by specified amounts of collateral. All loans and credit transactions and other "covered transactions" by the Bank and its operating subsidiaries with any one affiliate are limited, in the aggregate, to 10% of the Bank's capital and surplus; and all loans and credit transactions and other "covered transactions" by the Bank and its operating subsidiaries with all affiliates are limited, in the aggregate, to 20% of

the Bank's capital and surplus. For this purpose, a "covered transaction" generally includes, among other things, a loan or extension of credit to an affiliate; a purchase of or investment in securities issued by an affiliate; a purchase of assets from an affiliate; the acceptance of a security issued by an affiliate as collateral for an extension of credit to any borrower; and the issuance of a guarantee, acceptance, or letter of credit on behalf of an affiliate. In addition, the Bank and its operating subsidiaries generally may not purchase a low-quality asset from an affiliate. Moreover, covered transactions and other specified transactions by the Bank and its operating subsidiaries with an affiliate must be on terms and conditions, including credit standards, that are substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the Bank or its subsidiaries, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with nonaffiliated companies. An entity that is a direct or indirect subsidiary of the Bank would not be considered to be an "affiliate" of the Bank or its operating subsidiaries for these purposes unless it fell into one of certain categories, such as a "financial subsidiary" authorized under the GLBA.

Loans to Insiders

Extensions of credit by the Bank to insiders of both the Bank and SVB Financial are subject to prohibitions and other restrictions imposed by federal regulations. For purposes of these limits, "insiders" include directors, executive officers and principal shareholders of the Bank or SVB Financial and their related interests. The term "related interest" means a company controlled by a director, executive officer or principal shareholder of the Bank or SVB Financial. The Bank may not extend credit to an insider of the Bank or SVB Financial unless the loan is made on substantially the same terms as, and subject to credit underwriting procedures that are no less stringent than, those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-insiders. Under federal banking regulations, the Bank may not extend a loan to insiders in an amount greater than \$500,000 without prior board approval (with any interested person abstaining from participating directly or indirectly in the voting). The federal regulations place additional restrictions on loans to executive officers, and generally prohibit loans to executive officers other than for certain specified purposes. The Bank is required to maintain records regarding insiders and extensions of credit to them.

Premiums for Deposit Insurance

The FDIC insures our customer deposits through the Deposit Insurance Fund (the "DIF") up to prescribed limits for each depositor. Pursuant to the EESA, the maximum deposit insurance amount has been increased from \$100,000 to \$250,000 through the end of 2009. The amount of FDIC assessments paid by each DIF member institution is based on its relative risk of default as measured by regulatory capital ratios and other supervisory factors. Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005, the FDIC is authorized to set the reserve ratio for the DIF annually at between 1.15% and 1.5% of estimated insured deposits. The FDIC may increase or decrease the assessment rate schedule on a semi-annual basis. In an effort to restore capitalization levels and to ensure the DIF will adequately cover projected losses from future bank failures, the FDIC, in October 2008, proposed a rule to alter the way in which it differentiates for risk in the risk-based assessment system and to revise deposit insurance assessment rates, including base assessment rates. First quarter 2009 assessment rates were increased to between 12 and 50 cents for every \$100 of domestic deposits, with most banks between 12 and 14 cents. The FDIC finalized its proposed rule on risk-based assessments in February 2009 and increased second quarter 2009 assessment rates, with most banks between 12 and 16 cents. It also instituted a special assessment of 20 cents for every \$100 of domestic deposits to restore the DIF reserves, and reserved the right to charge an additional special assessment of up to 10 cents for every \$100 of domestic deposits, should DIF reserves continue to decline. As of December 31, 2008, the Bank's assessment rate was between 5 and 7 cents per \$100 in assessable deposits.

Beginning on November 13, 2008, banks participating in the TLGP become temporarily subject to "systemic risk special assessments" of 10 basis points for transaction account balances in excess of \$250,000 and assessments up to 100 basis points of the amount of TLGP debt issued. Further, all FDIC-insured institutions are required to pay assessments to the FDIC to fund interest payments on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation ("FICO"), an agency of the Federal government established to recapitalize the predecessor to the DIF. The FICO

assessment rates, which are determined quarterly, averaged 0.0113% of insured deposits in fiscal 2008. These assessments will continue until the FICO bonds mature in 2017.

The FDIC may terminate a depository institution's deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution's financial condition is unsafe or unsound or that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices that pose a risk to the DIF or that may prejudice the interest of the bank's depositors. The termination of deposit insurance for a bank would also result in the revocation of the bank's charter by the DFI.

USA PATRIOT Act of 2001

The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and its implementing regulations significantly expanded the anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws, including the Bank Secrecy Act. The Bank has adopted comprehensive policies and procedures to address the requirements of the USA PATRIOT Act. Material deficiencies in anti-money laundering compliance can result in public enforcement actions by the banking agencies, including the imposition of civil money penalties and supervisory restrictions on growth and expansion. Such enforcement actions could also have serious reputation consequences for SVB Financial and the Bank.

Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations

The Bank is subject to many federal consumer protection statutes and regulations, such as the Community Reinvestment Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Truth in Lending Act, the National Flood Insurance Act and various federal and state privacy protection laws. Penalties for violating these laws could subject the Bank to lawsuits and could also result in administrative penalties, including, fines and reimbursements. The Bank and SVB Financial are also subject to federal and state laws prohibiting unfair or fraudulent business practices, untrue or misleading advertising and unfair competition.

In recent years, examination and enforcement by the state and federal banking agencies for non-compliance with consumer protection laws and their implementing regulations have become more intense. Due to these heightened regulatory concerns, the Bank may incur additional compliance costs or be required to expend additional funds for investments in its local community.

Securities Activities

Federal Reserve's Regulation R implements exceptions provided in GLBA for securities activities which banks may conduct without registering with the SEC as securities broker or moving such activities to a broker-dealer affiliate. Regulation R provides exceptions for networking arrangements with third-party broker-dealers and authorizes compensation for bank employees who refer and assist retail and high net worth bank customers with their securities, including sweep accounts to money market funds, and with related trust, fiduciary, custodial and safekeeping needs. The current securities activities which the Bank and its subsidiaries provide customers are conducted in conformance with these rules and regulations.

Regulation of Certain Subsidiaries

SVB Asset Management is registered with the SEC under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended, and is subject to its rules and regulations. SVB Securities is registered as a broker-dealer and is subject to regulation by the SEC and FINRA. As a broker-dealer, it is subject to Rule 15c3-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which is designed to measure the general financial condition and liquidity of a broker-dealer. Under this rule, SVB Securities is required to maintain the minimum net capital deemed necessary to meet its continuing commitments to customers and others. Under certain circumstances, this rule could limit the ability of the Bank to withdraw capital from SVB Securities.

Additionally, our foreign-based subsidiaries are also subject to foreign laws and regulations, such as those promulgated by the Financial Services Authority in the United Kingdom and the Reserve Bank of India.

Available Information

We make available free of charge through our Internet website, <http://www.svb.com>, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. The contents of our website are not incorporated herein by reference and the website address provided is intended to be an inactive textual reference only.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business faces significant risks, including current market environment, credit, market/liquidity, operational, legal/regulatory and strategic/reputation risks. The factors described below may not be the only risks we face and are not intended to serve as a comprehensive listing or be applicable only to the category of risk under which they are disclosed. The risks described below are generally applicable to more than one of the following categories of risks. Additional risks that we do not yet know of or that we currently think are immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the events or circumstances described in the following factors actually occurs, our business, financial condition and/or results of operations could suffer.

Risks Relating to Current Market Environment

The continuation or worsening of current market and economic conditions may adversely affect our industry, business, results of operations and ability to access capital.

The United States is currently in a serious economic downturn, as are economies around the world. Financial markets are volatile, business and consumer spending has declined, and overall business activities have slowed, including a slowdown over the past several quarters in mergers, acquisitions and initial public offerings of companies—events upon which the venture capital and private equity community relies to “exit” their investments. If current market and economic conditions persist, our clients will continue to be adversely impacted, as well as our investment returns, valuations of companies and overall levels of venture capital and private equity investments, which may have a material and adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. A worsening of these conditions could likely exacerbate the adverse affect on us.

As a result of current economic conditions, the capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption. SVB Financial depends on access to equity and debt markets as one of its primary sources to raise capital. If current levels of market disruption and volatility continue or worsen, there can be no assurance that we will not experience an adverse effect, which may be material, on our ability to access capital and on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Recent and future legislation and regulatory initiatives to address current market and economic conditions may not achieve their intended objectives, including stabilizing the U.S. banking system or reviving the overall economy.

Recent and future legislative and regulatory initiatives to address current market and economic conditions, such as the EESA or the ARRA, may not achieve their intended objectives, including stabilizing the U.S. banking system or reviving the overall economy. EESA was enacted in October 2008 to restore confidence and stabilize the volatility in the U.S. banking system and to encourage financial institutions to increase their lending to customers and to each other. Treasury and banking regulators have implemented, and likely will continue to implement, various programs under this legislation to address capital and liquidity issues in the banking system, including TARP, the CPP, President Obama’s Financial Stability Plan announced in February 2009, and the ARRA. There can be no assurance as to the actual impact that any of the recent, or future, legislative and regulatory initiatives will have on the financial markets and the overall economy. Any failure of these initiatives to help stabilize or improve the financial markets and the economy, and a continuation or worsening of current financial market and economic conditions could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of our common stock.

Additional requirements under our regulatory framework, especially those imposed under ARRA, EESA or other legislation intended to strengthen the U.S. financial system, could adversely affect us.

Recent government efforts to strengthen the U.S. financial system, including the implementation of ARRA, EESA, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's ("FDIC") Temporary Liquidity Guaranty Program ("TLGP"), subject participants to additional regulatory fees and requirements, including corporate governance requirements, executive compensation restrictions, restrictions on declaring or paying dividends, restrictions on share repurchases, limits on executive compensation tax deductions and prohibitions against golden parachute payments. These requirements, and any other requirements that may be subsequently imposed, may have a material and adverse affect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Credit Risks

If our clients fail to perform under their loans, our business, profitability and financial condition could be adversely affected.

As a lender, we face the risk that our client borrowers will fail to pay their loans when due. If borrower defaults cause large aggregate losses, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, profitability and financial condition. We reserve for such losses by establishing an allowance for loan losses, the increase of which results in a charge to our earnings as a provision for loan losses. We have established an evaluation process designed to determine the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. While this evaluation process uses historical and other objective information, the classification of loans and the forecasts and establishment of loan losses are dependent to a great extent on our subjective assessment based upon our experience and judgment. Actual losses are difficult to forecast, especially if such losses stem from factors beyond our historical experience or are otherwise inconsistent or out of pattern with regards to our credit quality assessments. There can be no assurance that our allowance for loan losses will be sufficient to absorb future loan losses or prevent a material adverse effect on our business, profitability and financial condition.

Because of the credit profile of our loan portfolio, our levels of nonperforming assets and charge-offs can be volatile. We may need to make material provisions for loan losses in any period, which could reduce net income or increase net losses in that period.

Our loan portfolio has a credit profile different from that of most other banking companies. In addition, the credit profile of our clients varies across our loan portfolio, based on the nature of the lending we do for different market segments. In our portfolios for emerging-technology, early-stage and mid-stage companies, many of our loans are made to companies with modest or negative cash flows and no established record of profitable operations. Repayment of these loans is dependent upon receipt by borrowers of additional equity financing from venture capitalists or others, or in some cases, a successful sale to a third party or a public offering. In recent periods, due to the overall weakening of the economic environment, venture capital financing activity has slowed, and initial public offerings ("IPOs") and merger/acquisition ("M&A") activities have slowed significantly. If economic conditions worsen or do not improve, such activities may slow down even further. Venture capital firms may provide financing at lower levels, more selectively or on less favorable terms, which may have an adverse affect on our borrowers that are otherwise dependent on such financing to repay their loans to us. Moreover, collateral for many of our loans often includes intellectual property, which is difficult to value and may not be readily salable in the case of default. Because of the intense competition and rapid technological change that characterizes the companies in our technology and life science industry sectors, a borrower's financial position can deteriorate rapidly.

Additionally, we may enter into accounts receivable financing arrangements with our company clients. The repayment of these arrangements is dependent on the financial condition, and payment ability, of third parties with whom our clients do business. Such third parties may be unable to meet their financial obligations to our clients, especially in a weakened economic environment.

In our portfolio of venture capital and private equity firm clients, many of our clients have capital call lines of credit, the repayment of which is dependent on the payment of capital calls by the underlying limited partner

investors in the funds managed by these firms. These limited partner investors may face liquidity issues or have difficulties meeting their financial commitments, especially during unstable economic times, which may lead to our clients' inability to meet their repayment obligations to us.

Additionally, we have been increasing our efforts to lend to corporate technology clients, including some companies with greater levels of debt relative to their equity, and have increased the average size of our loans over time. At December 31, 2008, our gross loan portfolio included a total of \$1.15 billion, or 20.7 percent, of individual loans greater than \$20 million. Increasing our larger loan commitments could increase the impact on us of any single borrower default.

For all of these reasons, our level of nonperforming loans, loan charge-offs and additional allowance for loan losses can be volatile and can vary materially from period to period. Increases in our level of nonperforming loans or loan charge-offs may require us to increase our provision for loan losses in any period, which could reduce our net income or cause net losses in that period. Additionally, such increases in our level of nonperforming loans or loan charge-offs may also have an adverse effect on our credit ratings and market perceptions of us.

The borrowing needs of our clients may be volatile, especially during a challenging economic environment. We may not be able to meet our unfunded credit commitments, or adequately reserve for losses associated with our unfunded credit commitments, which could have a material effect on our business, profitability, results of operations and reputation.

A commitment to extend credit is a formal agreement to lend funds to a client as long as there is no violation of any condition established under the agreement. The actual borrowing needs of our clients under these credit commitments have historically been lower than the contractual amount of the commitments. A significant portion of these commitments expire without being drawn upon. Because of the credit profile of our clients, we typically have a substantial amount of total unfunded credit commitments, which is reflected off our balance sheet. At December 31, 2008, we had \$5.6 billion in total unfunded credit commitments. Actual borrowing needs of our clients may exceed our expected funding requirements, especially during a challenging economic environment when our client companies may be more dependent on our credit commitments due to the lack of available credit elsewhere, the increasing costs of credit, or the limited availability of financings from more discerning and selective venture capital/private equity firms. Or, limited partner investors of our venture capital/private equity fund clients facing liquidity or other financing issues may fail to meet their underlying investment commitments, which may impact our clients' borrowing needs. Any failure to meet our unfunded credit commitments in accordance with the actual borrowing needs of our clients, may have a material adverse effect on our business, profitability, results of operations and reputation.

Additionally, we establish a reserve for unfunded credit commitments to reserve for losses associated with our unfunded credit commitments. The level of the reserve for unfunded credit commitments is determined by following a methodology similar to that used to establish our allowance for loan losses in our funded loan portfolio. The reserve is based on credit commitments outstanding, credit quality of the loan commitments, and management's estimates and judgment, and is susceptible to significant changes. There can be no assurance that our reserve for unfunded credit commitments will be adequate to provide for actual losses associated with our unfunded credit commitments. An increase in the reserve in any period may result in a charge to our earnings as a provision for unfunded credit commitments, which could reduce our net income or increase net losses in that period.

Market/Liquidity Risks

Our current level of interest rate spread may decline in the future. Any material reduction in our interest rate spread, or a sustained period of low market interest rates, could have a material adverse effect on our business, profitability or financial condition.

A major portion of our net income comes from our interest rate spread, which is the difference between the interest rates paid by us on amounts used to fund assets and the interest rates and fees we receive on our interest-

earning assets. We fund assets using deposits and other borrowings. While we are increasingly offering more interest-bearing deposit products, a majority of our deposit balances are from our non-interest bearing products. Our interest-earning assets include outstanding loans extended to our clients and securities held in our investment portfolio. Overall, the interest rates we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities and receive on our interest-earning assets could be affected by a variety of factors, including changes in market interest rates, competition, a change over time in the mix of loans comprising our loan portfolio or deposits compromising our deposit portfolio and the mix of loans, investment securities, deposits and other liabilities on our balance sheet.

Changes in market interest rates, such as the Federal Funds rate, generally impact our interest rate spread. While changes in interest rates do not produce equivalent changes in the revenues earned from our interest-earning assets and the expenses associated with our interest-bearing liabilities because of the composition of our balance sheet, increases in market interest rates will likely cause our interest rate spread to increase. Conversely, if interest rates decline, our interest rate spread will likely decline. Recent decreases in market interest rates have caused our interest rate spread to decline significantly, which reduces our net income. Sustained low levels of market interest rates will likely continue to put pressure on our profitability. Unexpected interest rate declines may also adversely affect our business forecasts and expectations. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors beyond our control, such as inflation, recession, global economic disruptions, unemployment and the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and its agencies.

Any material reduction in our interest rate spread could have a material adverse effect on our business, profitability and financial condition.

Liquidity risk could impair our ability to fund operations and jeopardize our financial condition.

Liquidity is essential to our business. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, equity/debt offerings and other sources could have a substantial negative effect on our liquidity. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities, or on terms attractive to us, could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry in general. Factors that could detrimentally impact our access to liquidity sources include a reduction in our credit ratings, an increase in costs of capital in financial capital markets, a decrease in the level of our business activity due to a market downturn or adverse regulatory action against us, or a decrease in depositor or investor confidence in us. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are not specific to us, such as a severe disruption of the financial markets or negative views and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry as a whole as the recent turmoil faced by banking organizations in the domestic and worldwide credit markets deteriorates.

Additionally, our credit ratings are important to our liquidity and our business. A reduction in our credit ratings could adversely affect our liquidity and competitive position, increase our borrowing costs, and limit our access to the capital markets. Moreover, a reduction could increase the interest rates we pay on deposits, or adversely affect perceptions about our creditworthiness or our overall reputation.

Equity warrant asset, venture capital and private equity funds and direct equity investment portfolio gains or losses depend upon the performance of the portfolio investments and the general condition of the public equity markets, which are uncertain and may vary materially by period.

We obtain rights to acquire stock in the form of equity warrant assets in certain clients as part of negotiated credit facilities and for other services. We also make investments in venture capital and private equity funds and direct investments in companies. The fair value of these warrants and investments are reflected in our financial statements and adjusted on a quarterly basis. Fair value changes are generally recorded as unrealized gains or losses through consolidated net income. The timing and amount of changes in fair value, if any, of these financial instruments depend upon factors beyond our control, including the performance of the underlying companies, fluctuations in the market prices of the preferred or common stock of the underlying companies, general volatility and interest rate market factors, and legal and contractual restrictions. The timing and amount of our realization of actual net proceeds, if any, from the disposition of these financial instruments depend upon factors beyond our

control, including investor demand for initial public offerings, levels of merger and acquisition activity, legal and contractual restrictions on our ability to sell, and the perceived and actual performance and future value of portfolio companies. Because of the inherent variability of these financial instruments and the markets in which they are made, the fair market value of these financial instruments might increase or decrease materially, and the net proceeds realized upon disposition might be less than the then-current recorded fair market value.

We cannot predict future realized or unrealized gains or losses, and any such gains or losses are likely to vary materially from period to period. Additionally, the value of our equity warrant asset portfolio depends on the number of warrants we obtain, and in future periods, we may not be able to continue to obtain such equity warrant assets to the same extent we historically have achieved.

Public equity offerings and mergers and acquisitions involving our clients or a slowdown in venture capital investment levels may reduce the borrowing needs of our clients, which could adversely affect our business, profitability and financial condition.

While an active market for public equity offerings and mergers and acquisitions generally has positive implications for our business, one negative consequence is that our clients may pay off or reduce their loans with us if they complete a public equity offering, are acquired by or merge with another entity or otherwise receive a significant equity investment. The current economic conditions reflect a slowdown in such transactions, however if the levels of such transactions were to increase, our total outstanding loans may decline. Moreover, our capital call lines of credit are typically utilized by our venture capital fund clients to make investments prior to receipt of capital called from their respective limited partners. A slowdown in overall venture capital investment levels may reduce the need for our clients to borrow from our capital call lines of credit. Any significant reduction in the outstanding amounts of our loans or lines of credit could have a material adverse effect on our business, profitability and financial condition.

Failure to raise additional funds from third-party investors for our funds managed by SVB Capital may require us to use capital to fund commitments to other funds, which may have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and reputation.

From time to time, we form new investment funds through our funds management division, SVB Capital. These funds include funds that invest in other venture capital and private equity funds (which we refer to as funds of funds) and portfolio companies (which we refer to as direct equity funds). Our managed funds are typically structured as limited partnerships, heavily funded by third party limited partners and ultimately managed by us through our SVB Capital division. We typically will also make a commitment of significant capital to each of these funds as a limited partner.

Prior to forming a new fund of funds, SVB Financial has made and may make investment commitments intended for the new fund, in order to show potential investors the types of funds in which the new fund will invest. Until these investments are transferred to the new fund, which typically will occur upon the acceptance of binding commitments from third-party limited partners (the “closing”), these investments are obligations of SVB Financial. If we fail to attract sufficient capital from third-party investors to conduct the closing of a fund of funds, we may be required to permanently allocate capital to these investments when we otherwise had intended them to be temporary obligations. If, under such circumstances, we decide to sell these investments or fail to meet our obligations, we may lose some or all of the capital that has already been deployed and may be subject to legal claims. Any unexpected permanent allocation of capital toward these investments, loss of capital contributed to these investments or legal claims against us could have a material adverse affect on our business and financial condition, as well as our reputation.

The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. We routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including

brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose us to credit and market risk that may cause our counterparty or client to default. In addition, we are exposed to market risk when the collateral we hold cannot be realized or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the secured obligation. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely affect our results of operations or earnings.

Operational Risks

If we fail to retain our key employees or recruit new employees, our growth and profitability could be adversely affected.

We rely on key personnel, including a substantial number of employees who have technical expertise in their subject matter area and/or a strong network of relationships with individuals and institutions in the markets we serve. If we were to have less success in recruiting and retaining these employees than our competitors, for reasons including regulatory restrictions on compensation practices (such as those under EESA as amended by the ARRA) or the availability of more attractive opportunities elsewhere, our growth and profitability could be adversely affected.

The manner in which we structure our employee compensation could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows, as well as our ability to attract, recruit and retain key employees.

In May 2006, in an effort to align our equity grant rate to that of other financial institutions similar to us, we committed to restrict the total number of shares of our common stock issued under stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, stock bonus awards and any other equity awards granted during a fiscal year as a percentage of the total number of shares outstanding on a prospective basis. In light of this restriction, we may in the future consider taking other actions to modify employee compensation structures, such as granting cash compensation or other cash-settled forms of equity compensation, which may result in an additional charge to our earnings.

How we structure our equity compensation may also have an adverse effect on our ability to attract, recruit and retain key employees. Our decision in May 2006 to reduce equity awards to be granted on a prospective basis, and any other similar changes limiting our equity awards that we may adopt in the future, could negatively impact our hiring and retention strategies. Moreover, current economic conditions have reduced our share price, causing existing employee options and equity awards to have exercise prices higher—in some cases, meaningfully higher—than our current share price. These factors could adversely affect our ability to attract, recruit and retain certain key employees.

The occurrence of breaches of our information security could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Information pertaining to us and our clients are maintained, and transactions are executed, on our internal networks and internet-based systems, such as our online banking system. The secure maintenance and transmission of confidential information, as well as execution of transactions over these systems, are essential to protect us and our clients against fraud and to maintain our clients' confidence. Increases in criminal activity levels, advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries or other developments could result in a compromise or breach of the technology, processes and controls that we use to prevent fraudulent transactions and to protect data about us, our clients and underlying transactions, as well as the technology used by our clients to access our systems. Although we have developed systems and processes that are designed to detect and prevent security breaches and periodically test our security, failure to mitigate breaches of security could result in losses to us or our clients, result in a loss of business and/or clients, cause us to incur additional expenses, affect our ability to grow our online services or other businesses, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

More generally, publicized information security problems could inhibit the growth of the Internet as a means of conducting commercial transactions. Our ability to provide financial services over the Internet would be severely impeded if clients became unwilling to transmit confidential information online. As a result, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We face risks associated with the ability of our information technology systems and our people and processes to support our operations and future growth effectively.

In order to serve our target clients effectively, we have developed a comprehensive array of banking and other products and services. In order to support these products and services, we have developed and purchased or licensed information technology and other systems and processes. As our business continues to grow, we will continue to invest in and enhance these systems, and our people and processes. These investments and enhancements may affect our future profitability and overall effectiveness. From time to time, we may change, consolidate, replace, add or upgrade existing systems or processes, which if not implemented properly to allow for an effective transition, may have an adverse affect on our operations. Or, we may outsource certain operational functions to consultants or other third parties to enhance our overall efficiencies, which if not performed properly, could also have an adverse affect on us. There can be no assurance that we will be able to effectively maintain or improve our systems and processes, or utilize outsourced talent, to meet our business needs efficiently. Any failure of such could adversely affect our operations, financial condition, results of operations, future growth and reputation.

Business disruptions and interruptions due to natural disasters and other external events beyond our control can adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our operations can be subject to natural disasters and other external events beyond our control, such as earthquakes, fires, severe weather, public health issues, power failures, telecommunication loss, major accidents, terrorist attacks, acts of war, and other natural and man-made events. Our corporate headquarters and a portion of our critical business offices are located in California near major earthquake faults. Such events of disaster, whether natural or attributable to human beings, could cause severe destruction, disruption or interruption to our operations or property. Financial institutions, such as us, generally must resume operations promptly following any interruption. If we were to suffer a disruption or interruption and were not able to resume normal operations within a period consistent with industry standards, our business could suffer serious harm. In addition, depending on the nature and duration of the disruption or interruption, we might be vulnerable to fraud, additional expense or other losses, or to a loss of business and/or clients. We are in the process of implementing our business continuity and disaster recovery program, which is a multi-year effort. We began implementing the program during 2005, but it has not yet been completed. There is no assurance that our business continuity and disaster recovery program can adequately mitigate the risks of such business disruptions and interruptions.

Additionally, natural disasters and external events could affect the business and operations of our clients, which could impair their ability to pay their loans or fees when due, impair the value of collateral securing their loans, cause our clients to reduce their deposits with us, or otherwise adversely affect their business dealings with us, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We face reputation and business risks due to our interactions with business partners, service providers and other third parties.

We rely on third parties, both in the United States and internationally in countries such as India, in a variety of ways, including to provide key components of our business infrastructure or to further our business objectives. These third parties may provide services to us and our clients or serve as partners in business activities. We rely on these third parties to fulfill their obligations to us, to accurately inform us of relevant information and to conduct their activities professionally and in a manner that reflects positively on us. Any failure of our business partners, service providers or other third parties to meet their commitments to us or to perform in accordance

with our expectations could harm our business and operations, financial performance, strategic growth or reputation.

We depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about customers and counterparties.

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions with customers and counterparties, we may rely on information furnished to us by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements and other financial information. We also may rely on representations of customers and counterparties as to the accuracy and completeness of that information and, with respect to financial statements, on reports of independent auditors. For example, under our accounts receivable financing arrangements, we rely on information, such as invoices, contracts and other supporting documentation, provided by our clients and their account debtors to determine the amount of credit to extend. Similarly, in deciding whether to extend credit, we may rely upon our customers' representations that their financial statements conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and present fairly, in all material respects, the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the customer. We also may rely on customer representations and certifications, or other audit or accountants' reports, with respect to the business and financial condition of our clients. Our financial condition, results of operations, financial reporting and reputation could be negatively affected if we rely on materially misleading, false, inaccurate or fraudulent information.

Our accounting policies and methods are key to how we report our financial condition and results of operations. They may require management to make estimates about matters that are uncertain.

Our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. Our management must exercise judgment in selecting and applying many of these accounting policies and methods so they comply with GAAP and reflect management's judgment of the most appropriate manner to report our financial condition and results. In some cases, management must select the accounting policy or method to apply from two or more alternatives, any of which might be reasonable under the circumstances yet might result in our reporting materially different amounts than would have been reported under a different alternative.

Changes in accounting standards could materially impact our financial statements.

From time to time, FASB or the SEC may change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. In addition, the bodies that interpret the accounting standards (such as banking regulators or outside auditors) may change their interpretations or positions on how these standards should be applied. These changes may be beyond our control, can be hard to predict and can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, or apply an existing standard differently, also retroactively, in each case resulting in our restating prior period financial statements.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results. As a result, current and potential stockholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which would harm our business and the trading price of our stock.

If we identify material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting or are otherwise required to restate our financial statements, we could be required to implement expensive and time-consuming remedial measures and could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports. We may also face regulatory enforcement or other actions, including the potential delisting of our securities from NASDAQ. This could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, including our stock price, and could potentially subject us to litigation.

Legal/Regulatory Risks

We are subject to extensive regulation that could limit or restrict our activities and impose financial requirements or limitations on the conduct of our business.

SVB Financial Group, including the Bank, is extensively regulated under federal and state laws and regulations governing financial institutions, including those imposed by the Federal Reserve or the DFI. Federal and state laws and regulations govern, limit or otherwise affect the activities in which we may engage and may affect our ability to expand our business over time. In addition, a change in the applicable statutes, regulations or regulatory policy could have a material effect on our business, including limiting the types of financial services and products we may offer or increasing the ability of nonbanks to offer competing financial services and products. These laws and regulations also require financial institutions, including SVB Financial and the Bank, to maintain certain minimum levels of capital, which may affect our ability to use our capital for other business purposes. In addition, increased regulatory requirements, whether due to the adoption of new laws and regulations, changes in existing laws and regulations, or more expansive or aggressive interpretations of existing laws and regulations, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and profitability.

If we were to violate international, federal or state laws or regulations governing financial institutions, we could be subject to disciplinary action that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, profitability and reputation.

International, federal and state banking regulators possess broad powers to take supervisory or enforcement action with respect to financial institutions. Other regulatory bodies, including the SEC, NASDAQ, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) and state securities regulators, regulate broker-dealers, including our subsidiary, SVB Securities. If SVB Financial Group were to violate, even if unintentionally or inadvertently, the laws governing public companies, financial institutions and broker-dealers, the regulatory authorities could take various actions against us, depending on the severity of the violation, such as revoking necessary licenses or authorizations, imposing censures, civil money penalties or fines, issuing cease and desist or other supervisory orders, and suspending or expelling from the securities business a firm, its officers or employees. Supervisory actions could result in higher capital requirements, higher insurance premiums and limitations on the activities of SVB Financial Group. These remedies and supervisory actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, profitability and reputation.

SVB Financial relies on dividends from its subsidiaries for most of its cash revenues.

SVB Financial is a separate and distinct legal entity from its subsidiaries. It receives substantially all of its cash revenues from dividends from its subsidiaries, primarily the Bank. These dividends are the principal source of funds to pay operating costs, borrowings, if any, and dividends, should SVB Financial elect to pay any. Various federal and state laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends that our bank and certain of our nonbank subsidiaries may pay to SVB Financial. Also, SVB Financial’s right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary’s liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary’s creditors.

Strategic/Reputation Risks

Adverse changes in domestic or global economic conditions, especially in our industry niches, could have a material adverse effect on our business, growth and profitability.

Overall deterioration in domestic or global economic conditions, especially in the technology, life science, venture capital/private equity and premium wine industry niches or overall financial capital markets, may materially adversely affect our business, growth and profitability. A global, U.S. or significant regional economic slowdown or recession, such as the current economic downturn, could harm us by adversely affecting our clients’ and prospective clients’ access to capital to fund their businesses, their ability to sustain and grow their

businesses, the level of funds they have available to maintain deposits, their demand for loans, their ability to repay loans and otherwise.

Concentration of risk increases the potential for significant losses.

Concentration of risk increases the potential for significant losses in our business. Our clients are concentrated by industry niches: technology, life science, venture capital/private equity and premium wine. Many of our client companies are concentrated by certain stages within their life cycles, such as early-stage or mid-stage, and many of these companies are venture capital-backed. Our loan concentrations are derived from our borrowers engaging in similar activities or types of loans extended to a diverse group of borrowers that could cause those borrowers to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. Any adverse effect on any of our areas of concentration could have a material impact on our business or results of operations. Due to our concentrations, we may suffer losses even when economic and market conditions are generally favorable for our competitors.

Decreases in the amount of equity capital available to our portfolio companies could adversely affect our business, growth and profitability.

Our core strategy is focused on providing banking products and services to companies, including in particular to emerging technology stage to mid-stage companies, that receive financial support from sophisticated investors, including venture capital or private equity firms, “angels,” and corporate investors. We derive a meaningful share of our deposits from these companies and provide them with loans as well as other banking products and services. In some cases, our lending credit decision is based on our analysis of the likelihood that our venture capital or angel-backed client will receive additional rounds of equity capital from investors. If the amount of capital available to such companies decreases, it is likely that the number of new clients and investor financial support to our existing borrowers could decrease, which could have an adverse effect on our business, profitability and growth prospects.

Among the factors that have affected and could in the future affect the amount of capital available to our portfolio companies are the receptivity of the capital markets, IPO’s or mergers and acquisitions of companies within our technology and life science industry sectors, the availability and return on alternative investments and general economic conditions in the technology, life science and venture capital/private equity industries. Reduced capital markets valuations could reduce the amount of capital available to our client companies, including companies within our technology and life science industry sectors.

Because our business and strategy are largely based on this venture capital/private equity financing framework focused on our particular client niches, any material changes in the framework, including adverse trends in investment or fundraising levels, may have a materially adverse affect on our business, strategy and overall profitability.

We face competitive pressures that could adversely affect our business, profitability, financial condition and future growth.

Other banks and specialty and diversified financial services companies and debt funds, many of which are larger than we are, offer lending, leasing, other financial products and advisory services to our client base. In addition, we compete with hedge funds and private equity funds. In some cases, our competitors focus their marketing on our industry sectors and seek to increase their lending and other financial relationships with technology companies or special industries such as wineries. In other cases, our competitors may offer a broader range of financial products to our clients. When new competitors seek to enter one of our markets, or when existing market participants seek to increase their market share, they sometimes undercut the pricing and credit terms prevalent in that market, which could adversely affect our market share or ability to exploit new market opportunities. Our pricing and credit terms could deteriorate if we act to meet these competitive challenges,

which could adversely affect our business, profitability, financial condition and future growth. Similarly, competitive pressures could adversely affect the business, profitability, financial condition and future growth of our non-banking services, including our access to capital and attractive investment opportunities for our funds business and our ability to secure attractive engagements in our investment banking business.

Our ability to maintain or increase our market share depends on our ability to meet the needs of existing and future clients.

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to adapt our products and services to evolving industry standards and to meet the needs of existing and potential future clients. A failure to achieve market acceptance of any new products we introduce, a failure to introduce products that the market may demand, or the costs associated with developing, introducing and providing new products and services could have an adverse effect on our business, profitability and growth prospects.

We face risks in connection with our strategic undertakings.

If appropriate opportunities present themselves, we may engage in strategic activities, which could include acquisitions, joint ventures, partnerships, investments or other business growth initiatives or undertakings. There can be no assurance that we will successfully identify appropriate opportunities, that we will be able to negotiate or finance such activities or that such activities, if undertaken, will be successful.

In order to finance future strategic undertakings, we might obtain additional equity or debt financing. Such financing might not be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. If obtained, equity financing could be dilutive and the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our ability to execute strategic activities successfully will depend on a variety of factors. These factors likely will vary based on the nature of the activity but may include our success in integrating the operations, services, products, personnel and systems of an acquired company into our business, operating effectively with any partner with whom we elect to do business, retaining key employees, achieving anticipated synergies, meeting management's expectations and otherwise realizing the undertaking's anticipated benefits. Our ability to address these matters successfully cannot be assured. In addition, our strategic efforts may divert resources or management's attention from ongoing business operations and may subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny. If we do not successfully execute a strategic undertaking, it could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, reputation and growth prospects. In addition, if we were to conclude that the value of an acquired business had decreased and that the related goodwill had been impaired, that conclusion would result in an impairment of goodwill charge to us, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

We face risks associated with international operations.

One component of our strategy is to expand internationally. To date, we have opened offices in China, India, Israel and the United Kingdom. We plan to expand our operations in those locations and may expand beyond these countries. Our efforts to expand our business internationally carry with them certain risks, including risks arising from the uncertainty regarding our ability to generate revenues from foreign operations. In addition, there are certain risks inherent in doing business on an international basis, including, among others, legal, regulatory and tax requirements and restrictions, uncertainties regarding liability, tariffs and other trade barriers, difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations, differing technology standards or customer requirements, political and economic risks and financial risks, including currency and payment risks. These risks could adversely affect the success of our international operations and could have a material adverse effect on our overall business, results of operation and financial condition. In addition, we face risks that our employees may fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations governing our international operations, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and foreign laws and regulations, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our business reputation is important and any damage to it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our reputation is very important to sustain our business, as we rely on our relationships with our current, former and potential clients and stockholders, the venture capital and private equity communities and the industries that we serve. Any damage to our reputation, whether arising from regulatory, supervisory or enforcement actions, matters affecting our financial reporting or compliance with SEC and exchange listing requirements, negative publicity, or our conduct of our business or otherwise could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our corporate headquarters facility consists of three buildings and is located at 3003 Tasman Drive, Santa Clara, California. The total square footage of the premises leased under the current lease arrangement is approximately 213,625 square feet. The lease will expire on September 30, 2014, unless terminated earlier.

We currently operate 27 regional offices, including an administrative office, in the United States and five offices outside the United States. We operate throughout the Silicon Valley with offices in Santa Clara, Menlo Park, and Palo Alto. Other regional offices in California include Irvine, Woodland Hills, San Diego, San Francisco, St. Helena, Santa Rosa, and Pleasanton. Office locations outside of California within the United States include: Tempe, Arizona; Broomfield, Colorado; Atlanta, Georgia; Chicago, Illinois; Newton, Massachusetts; Minnetonka, Minnesota; New York, New York; Morrisville, North Carolina; Beaverton, Oregon; Randor, Pennsylvania; Austin, Texas; Dallas, Texas; Salt Lake City, Utah; Vienna, Virginia; and Seattle, Washington. Our five foreign offices are located in: Shanghai, China; Bangalore and Mumbai, India; Hertzelia Pituach, Israel; and London, England. All of our properties are occupied under leases, which expire at various dates through 2014, and in most instances include options to renew or extend at market rates and terms. We also own leasehold improvements, equipment, furniture, and fixtures at our offices, all of which are used in our business activities.

Our Commercial Banking operations are principally conducted out of our corporate headquarters in Santa Clara, and the lending teams operate out of the various regional offices. SVB Capital principally operates out of our office in Palo Alto. Our other businesses operate out of various offices, including SVB Private Client Services in our Santa Clara office, SVB Global in Palo Alto and our international local offices, and SVB Analytics in San Francisco. Prior to our announcement of the cessation of operations at SVB Alliant in July 2007, SVB Alliant operated out of an office in Palo Alto.

We believe that our properties are in good condition and suitable for the conduct of our business.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Certain lawsuits and claims arising in the ordinary course of business have been filed or are pending against us or our affiliates. Based upon information available to us, our review of such claims to date and consultation with our outside legal counsel, management believes the liability relating to these actions, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, consolidated financial position, and/or results of operations. Where appropriate, as we determine, we establish reserves in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies* ("SFAS No. 5"). The outcome of litigation and other legal and regulatory matters is inherently uncertain, however, and it is possible that one or more of the legal or regulatory matters currently pending or threatened could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, consolidated financial position, and/or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol SIVB. The per share range of high and low sale prices for our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, for each full quarterly period over the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, was as follows:

| Three Months Ended: | 2008 | | 2007 | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Low | High | Low | High |
| March 31 | \$41.96 | \$50.82 | \$46.21 | \$50.25 |
| June 30 | 39.68 | 52.20 | 47.63 | 54.13 |
| September 30 | 42.88 | 69.90 | 46.37 | 54.65 |
| December 31 | 23.49 | 63.26 | 46.61 | 52.74 |

Holdings

There were 1,026 registered holders of our stock as of January 31, 2009. Additionally, we believe there were approximately 9,733 beneficial holders of common stock whose shares were held in the name of brokerage firms or other financial institutions. We are not provided with the number or identities of all of these stockholders, but we have estimated the number of such stockholders from the number of stockholder documents requested by these brokerage firms for distribution to their customers.

Dividends

We have not paid cash dividends on our common stock since 1992. Under the terms of our participation in the CPP, we may not, without the prior consent of the Treasury, pay any dividend on our common stock prior to the earlier of December 12, 2011 and the date on which the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock issued to the Treasury have been redeemed in whole or transferred to a third party. Currently, we have no plans to pay, or seek consent from the Treasury to pay, cash dividends on our common stock. Our Board of Directors may periodically evaluate whether to pay cash dividends, subject to the Treasury's consent and taking into consideration such factors as it considers relevant, including our current and projected financial performance, our projected sources and uses of capital, general economic conditions, considerations relating to our current and potential stockholder base, and relevant tax laws. See "Business-Supervision and Regulation—U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program" under Part I, Item 1 of this report.

Our ability to pay cash dividends is also limited by generally applicable corporate and banking laws and regulations. See "Business-Supervision and Regulation—Restrictions on Dividends" under Part I, Item 1 of this report and Note 20- "Regulatory Matters" of the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" under Part II, Item 8 in this report for additional discussion on restrictions and limitations on the payment of dividends imposed on us by government regulations.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this Item regarding equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Stock Repurchases

The following table presents stock repurchases by month during the fourth quarter of 2008:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1) | Maximum Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1) |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| October 1, 2008 – October 31, 2008 | — | \$— | — | \$150,000,000 |
| November 1, 2008 – November 30, 2008 | — | — | — | 150,000,000 |
| December 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008 | — | — | — | 150,000,000 |
| Total | — | \$— | — | |

(1) On July 24, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing us to purchase up to \$150.0 million of our common stock, which expires on December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2008, \$150.0 million of repurchases remain authorized for repurchase under our stock repurchase program, but subject to restrictions in connection with our participation in the CPP, as described below.

Under the terms of our participation in the CPP, subject to certain exceptions, we may not, without the prior consent of the Treasury, repurchase our common stock or other equity securities, prior to the earlier of December 12, 2011 and the date on which the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock issued to the Treasury have been redeemed in whole or transferred to a third party.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.


Performance Graph

The following information is not deemed to be “soliciting material” or “filed” with the SEC or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and the report shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any prior or subsequent filing by the Company under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

The following graph compares, for the period from December 31, 2003 through December 31, 2008, the cumulative total stockholder return on the common stock of the Company with (i) the cumulative total return of the Standard and Poor’s 500 (“S&P 500”) Index, (ii) the cumulative total return of the Nasdaq Composite index, and (iii) the cumulative total return of the Nasdaq Bank Index. The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 and reinvestment of dividends. The graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Comparison of 5 Year Cumulative Total Return*

Among SVB Financial Group, the S&P 500 Index, the NASDAQ Stock Market-US Index, and the NASDAQ Bank Index



* \$100 invested on 12/31/03 in stock & index-including reinvestment of dividends.

Fiscal year ending December 31.

Copyright ©2009 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

| | December 31, | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| SVB Financial Group | \$100.00 | \$124.26 | \$129.86 | \$129.25 | \$139.73 | \$72.72 |
| S&P 500 | 100.00 | 110.88 | 116.33 | 134.70 | 142.10 | 89.53 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 110.08 | 112.88 | 126.51 | 138.13 | 80.47 |
| NASDAQ Bank | 100.00 | 111.11 | 108.64 | 123.74 | 97.71 | 74.73 |

Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and supplementary data as presented in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is derived from audited financial statements presented separately herein, while information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 is derived from audited financial statements not presented separately within.

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts and ratios) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Income Statement Summary: | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 372,009 | \$ 380,933 | \$ 352,457 | \$ 299,293 | \$ 229,477 |
| (Provision for) recovery of loan losses | (100,713) | (16,836) | (9,877) | (237) | 10,289 |
| Noninterest income | 156,148 | 221,384 | 141,206 | 117,495 | 107,774 |
| Noninterest expense excluding impairment of goodwill | (312,887) | (329,265) | (304,069) | (259,860) | (239,920) |
| Impairment of goodwill | — | (17,204) | (18,434) | — | (1,910) |
| Minority interest in net losses (income) of consolidated affiliates | 19,139 | (28,596) | (6,308) | (3,396) | (3,090) |
| Income before income tax expense | 133,696 | 210,416 | 154,975 | 153,295 | 102,620 |
| Income tax expense | (55,068) | (86,778) | (65,782) | (60,758) | (38,754) |
| Net income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 78,628 | 123,638 | 89,193 | 92,537 | 63,866 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax | — | — | 192 | — | — |
| Net income | \$ 78,628 | \$ 123,638 | \$ 89,385 | \$ 92,537 | \$ 63,866 |
| Preferred stock dividend and discount accretion | (707) | — | — | — | — |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 77,921 | \$ 123,638 | \$ 89,385 | \$ 92,537 | \$ 63,866 |
| Common Share Summary: | | | | | |
| Earnings per common share—basic, before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | \$ 2.40 | \$ 3.64 | \$ 2.57 | \$ 2.64 | \$ 1.81 |
| Earnings per common share—diluted, before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 2.29 | 3.37 | 2.37 | 2.40 | 1.70 |
| Earnings per common share—basic | 2.40 | 3.64 | 2.58 | 2.64 | 1.81 |
| Earnings per common share—diluted | 2.29 | 3.37 | 2.38 | 2.40 | 1.70 |
| Book value per common share | \$ 22.92 | \$ 20.71 | \$ 18.27 | \$ 16.19 | \$ 15.07 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding—basic | 32,425 | 33,950 | 34,681 | 35,115 | 35,215 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted | 34,015 | 36,738 | 37,615 | 38,489 | 37,512 |
| Year-End Balance Sheet Summary: | | | | | |
| Investment securities | \$ 1,786,100 | \$ 1,602,574 | \$ 1,692,343 | \$ 2,037,270 | \$ 2,054,202 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 5,506,253 | 4,151,730 | 3,482,402 | 2,843,353 | 2,308,588 |
| Goodwill | 4,092 | 4,092 | 21,296 | 35,638 | 35,638 |
| Total assets | 10,020,892 | 6,692,456 | 6,081,452 | 5,541,715 | 5,145,679 |
| Deposits | 7,473,472 | 4,611,203 | 4,057,625 | 4,252,730 | 4,219,514 |
| Short-term borrowings | 62,120 | 90,000 | 683,537 | 279,475 | 9,820 |
| Long-term debt (1) | 1,000,640 | 875,254 | 352,465 | 195,832 | 196,210 |
| Stockholders' equity | 988,751 | 676,654 | 628,514 | 569,301 | 541,948 |
| Average Balance Sheet Summary: | | | | | |
| Investment securities | \$ 1,338,516 | \$ 1,364,461 | \$ 1,684,178 | \$ 1,984,178 | \$ 1,753,920 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 4,633,048 | 3,522,326 | 2,882,088 | 2,368,362 | 1,951,655 |
| Goodwill | 4,092 | 12,576 | 27,653 | 35,638 | 37,066 |
| Total assets | 7,419,752 | 6,020,117 | 5,387,435 | 5,189,777 | 4,772,909 |
| Deposits | 4,896,324 | 3,962,260 | 3,921,857 | 4,166,476 | 3,905,408 |
| Short-term borrowings | 304,896 | 320,129 | 400,913 | 69,499 | — |
| Long-term debt (1) | 984,309 | 665,588 | 215,966 | 204,525 | 212,226 |
| Stockholders' equity | 719,188 | 669,333 | 589,206 | 541,426 | 495,203 |
| Capital Ratios: | | | | | |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 17.58% | 16.02% | 13.95% | 14.75% | 16.09% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 12.51 | 11.07 | 12.34 | 12.39 | 12.75 |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | 13.00 | 11.91 | 12.46 | 11.64 | 11.17 |
| Average stockholders' equity to average assets | 9.69 | 11.12 | 10.94 | 10.43 | 10.38 |
| Selected Financial Results: | | | | | |
| Return on average assets | 1.06% | 2.05% | 1.66% | 1.78% | 1.34% |
| Return on average stockholders' equity | 11.03 | 18.47 | 15.17 | 17.09 | 12.90 |
| Net interest margin | 5.78 | 7.29 | 7.38 | 6.46 | 5.39 |
| Net charge-offs to average total gross loans | 0.87 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.10 |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets | 0.88 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.29 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total gross loans | 1.93 | 1.13 | 1.22 | 1.28 | 1.62 |

| (Dollars in millions) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Other Data: | | | | | |
| Client investment funds: | | | | | |
| Client directed investment assets | \$11,886 | \$13,049 | \$11,221 | \$ 8,863 | \$ 7,208 |
| Client investment assets under management | 5,881 | 6,422 | 5,166 | 3,857 | 2,678 |
| Sweep money market funds | 813 | 2,721 | 2,573 | 2,247 | 1,351 |
| Total client investment funds | <u>\$18,580</u> | <u>\$22,192</u> | <u>\$18,960</u> | <u>\$14,967</u> | <u>\$11,237</u> |

(1) Included in our senior and subordinated notes balance are shortcut method adjustments for the corresponding interest rate swap hedges recorded as a component of other assets on the balance sheet.

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations below contains forward-looking statements. These statements are based on current expectations and assumptions, which are subject to risks and uncertainties. See our cautionary language at the beginning of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Actual results could differ materially because of various factors, including but not limited to those discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and supplementary data as presented in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain reclassifications have been made to our prior years’ results to conform to 2008 presentations. Such reclassifications had no effect on our results of operations or stockholders’ equity.

Overview of Company Operations

SVB Financial Group is a diversified financial services company, as well as a bank holding company and financial holding company. The company was incorporated in the state of Delaware in March 1999. Through our various subsidiaries and divisions, we offer a variety of banking and financial products and services. For over 25 years, we have been dedicated to helping entrepreneurs succeed, especially in the technology, life science, venture capital/private equity and premium wine industries. We provide our clients of all sizes and stages with a diversity of products and services to support them throughout their life cycles.

We offer commercial banking products and services through our principal subsidiary, the Bank, which is a California-state chartered bank founded in 1983 and a member of the Federal Reserve System. Through its subsidiaries, the Bank also offers brokerage, investment advisory and asset management services. We also offer non-banking products and services, such as funds management, private equity investment and equity valuation services, through our subsidiaries and divisions.

Management’s Overview of 2008 Financial Performance

Our primary or “core” business consists of providing banking products and services to our clients in the technology, life science, venture capital/private equity and premium wine industries. The financial services industry faced unprecedented global economic challenges during 2008. Although our business was impacted by the effects of such economic conditions, particularly in late 2008, we believe we achieved strong financial results in 2008, as evidenced by our strong loan and deposit growth.

Our net income in 2008 was \$78.6 million, or \$2.29 per diluted common share, and our return on average common stockholders’ equity was 11.03 percent. During 2008, market and economic conditions deteriorated, with more rapid deterioration occurring in the fourth quarter of 2008. Dramatic declines in credit conditions in the financial markets have caused widespread illiquidity and elevated levels of volatility, impacting the credit

quality of our loan portfolio. The overall weakening of the economic environment caused significant declines in venture capital financial activity, merger and acquisition (“M&A”) activities and initial public offerings (“IPOs”), which resulted in lower valuations and distributions from our investment fund portfolio and lower gains from valuations of our equity warrant assets. Additionally, the Federal Reserve cut interest rates significantly by 400 basis points during 2008, resulting in decreases in our net interest margin and placed significant downward pressure on our client investment fees.

Overall economic conditions, together with recent deterioration in the overall economy, have adversely impacted our results in 2008, and we expect to continue to see the effects in 2009. Despite these conditions, we continued to see exceptional loan and deposit growth in 2008, along with controlled expenses. Highlights of our 2008 financial results included the following:

- Growth of 31.5 percent in average loans to \$4.63 billion from all client industry segments. Period-end loans were \$5.51 billion.
- Growth of 23.6 percent in average deposit balances to \$4.90 billion, primarily due to our focus on growing on-balance sheet deposits and our efforts to migrate client sweep balances from our off-balance sheet product to our on-balance sheet products. Period-end deposits were \$7.47 billion.
- Increase of \$83.9 million in our provision for loan losses to \$100.7 million. Given the economic environment, we maintained relatively good credit quality with net charge-offs as a percentage of average total gross loans of 87 basis points.
- Decrease of 151 basis points in our net interest margin to 5.78 percent.
- Decrease of \$65.2 million in noninterest income to \$156.1 million, primarily due to net losses on investment securities and lower gains on equity warrant assets. Noninterest income from our core fee-based products increased by \$15.5 million to \$119.7 million.
- Decrease of \$33.6 million in noninterest expense to \$312.9 million, primarily due to lower incentive compensation and Employee Stock Ownership Plan (“ESOP”) expenses as a result of actual 2008 annual financial results being below our expectations.
- Decrease of 260 basis points in our ratio of tangible common equity to tangible assets to 7.52 percent, due largely to the significant increase in total tangible assets as a result of our strong deposit growth.

During 2008, we participated in the U.S. Treasury’s (the “Treasury”) Capital Purchase Program (“CPP”) in the fourth quarter of 2008 whereby we issued \$235.0 million in preferred stock and a common stock warrant. This new capital strengthened our already strong capital position, increasing our Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio to 12.51 percent at December 31, 2008, compared to 11.07 percent at December 31, 2007. The issuance of preferred stock requires us to make certain quarterly cash dividend payments, and increases the number of our outstanding preferred shares. The discussions below under our results of operations provide more information on 2008 performance.

The key highlights of our financial performance in 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data and ratios) | December 31, | | Change |
|--|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Average loans, net of unearned income | \$4,633,048 | \$3,522,326 | 31.5 % |
| Average noninterest-bearing deposits | 2,946,907 | 2,864,153 | 2.9 |
| Average interest-bearing deposits | 1,949,417 | 1,098,107 | 77.5 |
| Average total deposits | 4,896,324 | 3,962,260 | 23.6 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 2.29 | \$ 3.37 | (32.0) |
| Net income | 78,628 | 123,638 | (36.4) |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 77,921 | 123,638 | (37.0) |
| Net interest income | 372,009 | 380,933 | (2.3)% |
| Net interest margin | 5.78% | 7.29% | (151)bps |
| Average SVB prime lending rate | 5.13 | 8.05 | (292)bps |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ 100,713 | \$ 16,836 | 498.2 bps |
| Gross charge-offs as a percentage of average total gross loans | 1.02% | 0.55% | 47 bps |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of average total gross loans | 0.87 | 0.35 | 52 bps |
| Noninterest income (1) | \$ 156,148 | \$ 221,384 | (29.5)% |
| Noninterest expense (2) | 312,887 | 346,469 | (9.7) |
| Return on average common stockholders' equity | 11.03% | 18.47% | (40.3) |
| Return on average assets | 1.06 | 2.05 | (48.3) |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets (3) | 7.52 | 10.12 | (25.7) |
| Operating efficiency ratio (4) | 58.99% | 57.40% | 2.8 |
| Period end full-time equivalent employees | 1,244 | 1,141 | 9.0 % |
| Non-GAAP measures: | | | |
| Non-GAAP operating efficiency ratio (5) | 55.33 % | 56.46 % | (2.0)% |
| Non-GAAP noninterest income, net of minority interest | \$ 164,642 | \$ 183,403 | (10.2) |
| Non-GAAP noninterest expense, net of minority interest | 301,772 | 335,788 | (10.1) |

- (1) Noninterest income included net losses of \$(6.6) million and net gains of \$35.5 million attributable to minority interests for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See "Results of Operations—Noninterest Income" for a description of noninterest income attributable to minority interests.
- (2) Noninterest expense included \$11.1 million and \$10.7 million attributable to minority interests for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.
- (3) Tangible common equity consists of total common stockholders' equity (excluding unrealized gains and losses from our fixed income investments) less acquired intangibles and goodwill. Tangible assets represent total assets (excluding unrealized gains and losses from our fixed income investments) less acquired intangibles and goodwill.
- (4) The operating efficiency ratio is calculated by dividing noninterest expense by total taxable equivalent revenue. Noninterest expense included a non-tax deductible loss of \$3.9 million related to our cash settlement of the conversion of certain zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes in 2008, as well as a \$17.2 million pre-tax goodwill impairment charge in 2007. Noninterest expense also included \$11.1 million and \$10.7 million attributable to minority interests in 2008 and 2007, respectively.
- (5) The non-GAAP operating efficiency ratio is calculated by dividing noninterest expense (excluding (i) the non-tax deductible \$3.9 million loss recorded in 2008 related to our cash settlement of the conversion of certain zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes prior to the notes' maturity, (ii) goodwill impairment charge of \$17.2 million recorded in 2007 and (iii) the portion of noninterest expense attributable to minority interests of \$11.1 million and \$10.7 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively) by total taxable equivalent income (excluding taxable equivalent (loss) income attributable to minority interests of \$(8.0) million and \$39.3 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively).

Reconciliation of Certain Financial Results Previously Announced on January 22, 2009

Since the date of our press release of January 22, 2009, announcing our financial results for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2008 (“Press Release”), we have decreased net income for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2008 by \$1.2 million. This decrease in net income was due to an increase in our allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2008, which has impacted our provision for loan losses, incentive compensation expense, income tax expense, overall net income and earnings per share, as well as certain ratios including earnings and asset quality ratios. The following table highlights certain revised items related to this change in our overall consolidated statements of income and balance sheets for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2008:

| (Dollars in thousands, except EPS) | Three months ended December 31, 2008 | | Year ended December 31, 2008 | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | As reported in Press Release | As reported in 10-K report | As reported in Press Release | As reported in 10-K report |
| INCOME STATEMENT | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ 67,257 | \$ 70,957 | \$ 97,013 | \$ 100,713 |
| Compensation and benefits expense | 24,977 | 23,877 | 178,415 | 177,315 |
| Net income | 3,638 | 2,422 | 79,844 | 78,628 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 2,931 | 1,715 | 79,137 | 77,921 |
| Earnings per common share—diluted | 0.09 | 0.05 | 2.33 | 2.29 |
| BALANCE SHEET | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 103,696 | \$ 107,396 | \$ 103,696 | \$ 107,396 |
| Total assets | 10,023,208 | 10,020,892 | 10,023,208 | 10,020,892 |

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our accounting policies are fundamental to understanding our financial condition and results of operations. We have identified seven policies as being critical because they require our management to make particularly difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because it is likely that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis and we base these estimates on historical experiences and various other factors and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our senior management has discussed the development, selection, application and disclosure of these critical accounting policies with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Fair Value Measurements

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial instruments and to determine fair value disclosures. Our marketable investment securities, non-marketable investment securities and derivatives are financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. We disclose our method and approach for fair value measurements of assets and liabilities in Note 19—“Fair Value Instruments” of the “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (“SFAS No. 157”) defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (the exit price”) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS No. 157 establishes a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value. The classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy is based on whether the inputs to the valuation methodology used for measurement are observable or unobservable.

Observable inputs reflect market-derived or market-based information obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our estimates about market data. The three levels for measuring fair value are based on the reliability of inputs and are as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to instruments utilizing Level 1 inputs. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Assets utilizing Level 1 inputs include exchange-traded equity securities.

Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, directly or indirectly.

Assets and liabilities utilizing Level 2 inputs include: U.S. Treasury and agency securities, investment-grade and high-yield corporate bonds, mortgage products, state and municipal obligations, and Over-the-Counter (“OTC”) derivative instruments and equity warrant assets for shares of public company capital stock.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect our own estimates of assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

Assets utilizing Level 3 inputs include: limited partnership interests in private equity funds, direct equity investments in private companies, and equity warrant assets for shares of private company capital stock.

In accordance with SFAS No. 157, it is our policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. When available, we use quoted market prices to measure fair value. If market prices are not available, fair value measurement is based upon models that use primarily market-based or independently-sourced market parameters, including interest rate yield curves, prepayment speeds, option volatilities and currency rates. Substantially all of our financial instruments use either of the foregoing methodologies, collectively Level 1 and Level 2 measurements, to determine fair value adjustments recorded to our financial statements. However, in certain cases, when market observable inputs for model based valuation techniques may not be readily available, we are required to make judgments about assumptions market participants would use in estimating the fair value of the financial instrument.

The degree of management judgment involved in determining the fair value of a financial instrument is dependent upon the availability of quoted market prices or observable market parameters. For financial instruments that trade actively and have quoted market prices or observable market parameters, there is minimal subjectivity involved in measuring fair value. When observable market prices and parameters are not fully available, management judgment is necessary to estimate fair value. In addition, changes in the market conditions may reduce the availability of quoted prices or observable data. For example, reduced liquidity in the capital markets or changes in secondary market activities could result in observable market inputs becoming unavailable. Therefore, when market data is not available, we use valuation techniques requiring more management judgment to estimate the appropriate fair value measurement. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by management in determining fair value is greater for financial assets and liabilities categorized as Level 3.

At December 31, 2008, approximately 18.2 percent of our total assets, or \$1.82 billion, consisted of financial assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Of these assets, 79.8 percent used valuation methodologies involving market-based or market-derived information, collectively Level 1 and 2 measurements,

to measure fair value, and 20.2 percent of these financial assets were measured using model-based techniques, or Level 3 measurements. Almost all of our financial assets valued using Level 3 measurements at December 31, 2008 represented non-marketable securities. At December 31, 2008, 0.4 percent of total liabilities, or \$32.6 million, consisted of financial liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, which were valued using market-observable inputs. There were no material transfers in or out of Level 3 during 2008. Our valuation processes include a number of key controls that are designed to ensure that fair value is calculated appropriately. Such controls include a model validation policy requiring that models that provide values used in financial statements be validated by qualified personnel and escalation procedures to ensure that valuations using unverifiable inputs are identified and monitored on a regular basis by senior management.

As of December 31, 2008, our available-for-sale investment portfolio, consisting primarily of U.S. agency debentures, investment grade mortgage securities and municipal bonds and notes, represented \$1.32 billion, or 72.3 percent of our portfolio of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis. These instruments were classified as Level 2 because their valuations were based on indicator prices corroborated by observable market quotes or pricing models with all significant inputs derived from or corroborated by observable market data. Since our available-for-sale fixed-income investment securities portfolio consisted primarily of fixed rate securities, the fair value of the portfolio is sensitive to changes in levels of market interest rates and market perceptions of credit quality of the underlying securities. Market valuations and impairment analyses on assets in the fixed-income investment portfolio are reviewed and monitored on an ongoing basis.

To the extent available-for-sale investment securities are used to secure borrowings, changes in the fair value of those securities could have an impact on the total amount of secured financing available. We pledge securities to the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco and the discount window at the Federal Reserve Bank. The market value of collateral pledged to the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco at December 31, 2008 totaled \$693.1 million, of which \$593.1 million was unused. The market value of collateral pledged at the discount window of the Federal Reserve Bank in accordance with our risk management practices at December 31, 2008 totaled \$83.5 million, all of which was unused. We have repurchase agreements in place with multiple securities dealers, which allow us to access short-term borrowings by using fixed income securities as collateral. At December 31, 2008, we had not borrowed against our repurchase lines.

Financial assets valued using Level 3 measurements consist primarily of our investments in venture capital and private equity funds, direct equity investments in privately held companies and certain investments made by our consolidated sponsored debt fund. These funds are investment companies under the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies and accordingly, these funds report their investments at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in fair value reflected as investment gains or losses in our consolidated statements of income. Assets valued using Level 3 measurements also include equity warrant assets in shares of private company capital stock.

During 2008, the Level 3 assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis experienced net unrealized fair value decreases totaling \$6.3 million primarily due to lower valuations in underlying fund investments in our private equity funds. Realized gains related to the Level 3 assets in 2008 of \$15.8 million related primarily to gains from distributions from private equity funds as well as gains on sale and exercises of equity warrant assets.

The valuation of nonmarketable securities and equity warrant assets in shares of private company capital stock is subject to management judgment. The inherent uncertainty in the process of valuing securities for which a ready market does not exist may cause our estimated values of these securities to differ significantly from the values that would have been derived had a ready market for the securities existed, and those differences could be material. The timing and amount of changes in fair value, if any, of these financial instruments depend upon factors beyond our control, including the performance of the underlying companies, fluctuations in the market prices of the preferred or common stock of the underlying companies, general volatility and interest rate market

factors, and legal and contractual restrictions. The timing and amount of actual net proceeds, if any, from the disposition of these financial instruments depend upon factors beyond our control, including investor demand for initial public offerings, levels of merger and acquisition activity, legal and contractual restrictions on our ability to sell, and the perceived and actual performance of portfolio companies. All of these factors are difficult to predict.

Non-Marketable Securities

Non-marketable securities include investments in funds of funds, co-investment funds, sponsored debt funds, direct equity investments in companies and low income housing tax credit funds. Our accounting for investments in non-marketable securities depends on several factors, including our level of ownership/control and the legal structure of our subsidiary making the investment. Based on these factors, we account for our non-marketable securities using one of three different methods: (i) investment company fair value accounting; (ii) equity method accounting; or (iii) cost method accounting. Our non-marketable securities carried under investment company fair value accounting include amounts that are attributable to minority interests. We are required under GAAP to consolidate 100% of these investments that we are deemed to control, even though we may own less than 100% of such entities.

Our non-marketable securities carried under investment company fair value accounting are carried at estimated fair value at each balance sheet date based primarily on financial information obtained as the general partner of the fund or obtained from the fund's respective general partner. We utilize the most recent available financial information available from the investee general partner, for example September 30th, for our December 31st consolidated financial statements, adjusted for any contributions paid or distributions received from the investment during the fourth quarter. Fair value is the amount that would be received to sell the non-marketable securities in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We have retained the specialized accounting of our consolidated funds pursuant to EITF Issue No. 85-12, *Retention of Specialized Accounting for Investments in Consolidation*.

For direct private company investments, valuations are based upon consideration of a range of factors including, but not limited to, the price at which the investment was acquired, the term and nature of the investment, local market conditions, values for comparable securities, current and projected operating performance, exit strategies and financing transactions subsequent to the acquisition of the investment. These valuation methodologies involve a significant degree of management judgment. We consider our accounting policy for our non-marketable securities carried under investment company fair value to be critical as estimating the fair value of these investments requires management to make assumptions regarding future performance, financial condition, and relevant market conditions, along with other pertinent information.

The valuation of our private equity funds is primarily based upon our pro-rata share of the fair market value of the net assets of a private fund as determined by such private fund on the valuation date. There is a time lag of one quarter in our receipt of financial information from the investee's general partner, which we use as the primary basis for valuation of these investments.

Under our equity method accounting, we recognize our proportionate share of the results of operations of each equity method investee in our results of operations.

Under our cost method accounting, we record investments at cost and recognize as income distributions or returns received from net accumulated earnings of the investee since the date of acquisition.

We review our equity and cost method securities at least quarterly for indications of impairment, which requires significant judgment. Indications of impairment include an analysis of facts and circumstances of each investment, the expectations of the investment's future cash flows and capital needs, variability of its business and the company's exit strategy. Investments identified as having an indication of impairment are reviewed

further to determine if the investment is other than temporarily impaired. We reduce the investment value when we consider declines in value to be other than temporary and we recognize the estimated loss as a loss on investment securities, which is a component of noninterest income.

We consider our accounting policy for our non-marketable securities to be critical because the valuation of our non-marketable securities is subject to management judgment and information reasonably available to us. The inherent uncertainty in the process of valuing securities for which a ready market is unavailable may cause our estimated values of these securities to differ significantly from the values that would have been derived had a ready market for the securities existed, and those differences could be material. Our valuation process incorporates financial information of certain investments, such as our venture capital and private equity fund investments, that are typically reported to us on a quarterly lag basis, which could result in materially significant differences in the investment values that could have been derived had current financial information been available on a timelier basis. Future adverse changes in market conditions or poor operating results of underlying investments could result in losses or an inability to recover the carrying value of the investments that may not be reflected in their carrying value, thereby possibly requiring an impairment charge in the future. There can be no assurances that we will realize the full value of our non-marketable securities, which could result in significant losses.

Derivative Assets—Equity Warrant Assets for Shares of Privately- and Publicly-held Companies

As part of negotiated credit facilities and certain other services, we frequently obtain rights to acquire stock in the form of equity warrant assets in certain client companies. Equity warrant assets for shares of private and public companies are recorded at fair value on the grant date and adjusted to fair value on a quarterly basis through consolidated net income. At December 31, 2008, our equity warrant assets totaled \$43.7 million, compared to \$31.3 million at December 31, 2007.

We account for equity warrant assets with net settlement terms in certain private and public client companies as derivatives. In general, equity warrant assets entitle us to buy a specific number of shares of stock at a specific price within a specific time period. Certain equity warrant assets contain contingent provisions, which adjust the underlying number of shares or purchase price upon the occurrence of certain future events. Our warrant agreements contain net share settlement provisions, which permit us to receive at exercise a share count equal to the intrinsic value of the warrant divided by the share price (otherwise known as a “cashless” exercise). Because we can net settle our warrant agreements, our equity warrant assets qualify as derivative instruments in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended (“SFAS No. 133”).

The fair value of the equity warrant assets portfolio is reviewed quarterly. We value our equity warrant assets using a modified Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporates the following material assumptions:

- Underlying asset value was estimated based on information available, including any information regarding subsequent rounds of funding.
- Volatility, or the amount of uncertainty or risk about the size of the changes in the warrant price, was based on guidelines for publicly traded companies within indices similar in nature to the underlying client companies issuing the warrant. A total of six such indices were used. The volatility assumption was based on the median volatility for an individual public company within an index for the past 16 quarters from which an average volatility was derived. The weighted average quarterly median volatility assumption used for the warrant valuation at December 31, 2008 was 45.5%, compared to 39.7% at December 31, 2007.
- Actual data on cancellations and exercises of our equity warrant assets was utilized as the basis for determining the expected remaining life of the equity warrant assets in each financial reporting period. Equity warrant assets may be exercised in the event of acquisitions, mergers or IPOs, and cancelled due

to events such as bankruptcies, restructuring activities or additional financings. These events cause the expected remaining life assumption to be shorter than the contractual term of the warrants. This assumption reduced the reported value of the warrant portfolio by \$17.5 million at December 31, 2008, compared to a reduction of \$11.8 million at December 31, 2007.

- The risk-free interest rate was derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve. The risk-free interest rate was calculated based on a weighted average of the risk-free interest rates that correspond closest to the expected remaining life of the warrant. The risk-free interest rate used for the warrant valuation at December 31, 2008 was 0.9%, compared to 3.2% at December 31, 2007.
- Other adjustments, including a marketability discount, were estimated based on management's judgment about the general industry environment.

The fair value of our equity warrant assets recorded on our balance sheets represents our best estimate of the fair value of these instruments. Changes in the above material assumptions may result in significantly different valuations. For example, the following table demonstrates the effect of changes in the risk-free interest rate and volatility assumptions on the valuation of equity warrant assets held by SVB Financial Group active at December 31, 2008 (1):

| (Dollars in millions) | Volatility Factor | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | 10% Lower (41.0%) | Current (45.5%) | 10% Higher (50.1%) |
| Risk Free Interest Rate: | | | |
| Less 50 basis points | \$39.5 | \$42.7 | \$45.9 |
| Current rate (0.9%) | 40.2 | 43.3 | 46.5 |
| Plus 50 basis points | 40.8 | 44.0 | 47.1 |

(1) The above table does not include equity warrant assets at December 31, 2008 held by Partners for Growth, LP, which were valued at \$0.3 million, based on 47.4% volatility and a 0.8% risk-free interest rate.

The timing and value realized from the disposition of equity warrant assets depend upon factors beyond our control, including the performance of the underlying portfolio companies, investor demand for IPOs, fluctuations in the market prices of the underlying common stock of these companies, levels of mergers and acquisitions activity, and legal and contractual restrictions on our ability to sell the underlying securities. All of these factors are difficult to predict. Many equity warrant assets may be terminated or may expire without compensation and may incur valuation losses from lower-priced funding rounds. We are unable to predict future gains or losses with accuracy, and gains or losses could vary materially from period to period.

We consider accounting policies related to equity warrant assets to be critical as the valuation of these assets is complex and subject to a certain degree of management judgment. Management has the ability to select from several valuation methodologies and has alternative approaches in the calculation of material assumptions. The selection of an alternative valuation methodology or alternative approaches used to calculate material assumptions in the current methodology may cause our estimated values of these assets to differ significantly from the values recorded. Additionally, the inherent uncertainty in the process of valuing these assets for which a ready market is unavailable may cause our estimated values of these assets to differ significantly from the values that would have been derived had a ready market for the assets existed, and those differences could be material. Further, the fair value of equity warrant assets may never be realized, which could result in significant losses.

Allowance for Loan Losses

At December 31, 2008, our allowance for loan losses totaled \$107.4 million, compared to \$47.3 million at December 31, 2007. The allowance for loan losses is management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at a balance sheet date.

We consider our accounting policy for the allowance for loan losses to be critical as estimation of the allowance involves material estimates by our management and is particularly susceptible to significant changes in the near term. Determining the allowance for loan losses requires us to make forecasts that are highly

uncertain and require a high degree of judgment. Our loan loss reserve methodology is applied to our allowance for loan losses and we maintain the balances at levels that we believe are adequate to absorb estimated probable losses inherent in our loan portfolios.

Our allowance for loan losses is established for loan losses that are probable but not yet realized. The process of anticipating loan losses is imprecise. Our management applies a systematic process for the evaluation of individual loans and pools of loans for inherent risk of loan losses. On a quarterly basis, each loan in our portfolio is assigned a credit risk rating through an evaluation process, which includes consideration of such factors as payment status, the financial condition of the borrower, borrower compliance with loan covenants, underlying collateral values, potential loan concentrations, and general economic conditions. The allowance for loan losses is based on a formula allocation for similarly risk-rated loans by client industry sector and individually for impaired loans as determined by SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan* ("SFAS No. 114"), and SFAS No. 5.

Our evaluation process is designed to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. We assess the risk of losses inherent in the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis by utilizing a historical loan loss migration model, which is a statistical model used to estimate an appropriate allowance for outstanding loan balances by calculating the likelihood of a loan becoming charged-off based on its credit risk rating using historical loan performance data from our portfolio. Loan loss factors for each risk-rating category and client industry sector are ultimately applied to the respective period-end client loan balances for each corresponding risk-rating category by client industry sector to provide an estimation of the allowance for loan losses.

We apply macro allocations to the results we obtained through our historical loan loss migration model to ascertain the total allowance for loan losses. These macro allocations are based upon management's assessment of the risks that may lead to a loan loss experience different from our historical loan loss experience. These risks are aggregated to become our macro allocation. Based on management's prediction or estimate of changing risks in the lending environment, the macro allocation may vary significantly from period to period and includes, but is not limited to, consideration of the following factors:

- Changes in lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards and collections, and charge-off and recovery practices;
- Changes in national and local economic business conditions, including the market and economic condition of our clients' industry sectors;
- Changes in the nature of our loan portfolio;
- Changes in experience, ability, and depth of lending management and staff;
- Changes in the trend of the volume and severity of past due and classified loans;
- Changes in the trend of the volume of nonaccrual loans, troubled debt restructurings, and other loan modifications; and
- Other factors as determined by management from time to time.

Finally, we compute several modified versions of the model, which provide additional assurance that the statistical results of the historical loan loss migration model are reasonable. A committee comprised of senior management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses based on the results of our analysis.

Reserve for Unfunded Credit Commitments

The level of the reserve for unfunded credit commitments is determined following a methodology that parallels that used for the allowance for loan losses. We consider our accounting policy for the reserve for unfunded credit commitments to be critical as estimation of the reserve involves material estimates by our management and is particularly susceptible to significant changes in the near term. We record a liability for probable and estimable losses associated with our unfunded credit commitments. Each quarter, every unfunded client credit commitment is allocated to a credit risk-rating category in accordance with each client's credit risk

rating. We use the historical loan loss factors described under our allowance for loan losses to calculate the possible loan loss experience if unfunded credit commitments are funded. Separately, we use historical trends to calculate the probability of an unfunded credit commitment being funded. We apply the loan funding probability factor to risk-factor adjusted unfunded credit commitments by credit risk-rating to derive the reserve for unfunded credit commitments. The reserve for unfunded credit commitments may also include certain macro allocations as deemed appropriate by management. Our reserve for unfunded credit commitments totaled \$14.7 million and \$13.4 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007 respectively, and is reflected in other liabilities on our balance sheet.

Share-Based Compensation

Our share-based compensation expense totaled \$13.6 million, \$14.9 million and \$21.3 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (“SFAS No. 123(R)”), we measure compensation expense for all employee share-based payment awards using a fair value based method, reduced by estimated award forfeitures, and record such expense in our consolidated statements of income.

We consider our accounting policy for share-based compensation to be critical as determining the fair value of awards involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options and employee stock purchase plan shares. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the use of input assumptions, including the expected life, expected price volatility of the underlying stock, expected dividend yield and risk-free interest rate. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. Because our stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, changes to the input assumptions can materially affect the fair value of our employee stock options. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires us to develop an estimate of the number of share-based payment awards which we expect to vest. We consider many factors when estimating expected forfeitures, including the type of award, the employee class and historical experience.

The most significant assumptions impacted by management’s judgment are the expected volatility and the expected life of the options. The expected dividend yield, and expected risk-free interest rate are not as significant to the calculation of fair value. In addition, adjustments to our estimates of the number of share-based payment awards that we expect to vest did not have a significant impact on the recorded share-based compensation expense.

Expected volatility: The value of a stock option is derived from its potential for appreciation. The more volatile the stock, the more valuable the option becomes because of the greater possibility of significant changes in stock price. Our computation of expected volatility is based on a blend of historical volatility of our common stock and implied volatility of traded options to purchase shares of our common stock. Our decision to incorporate implied volatility was based on our assessment that implied volatility of publicly traded options in our common stock is expected to be more reflective of market conditions and, therefore, can reasonably be expected to be a better indicator of expected volatility than historical volatility of our common stock.

Expected life: The expected life also has a significant effect on the value of the option. The longer the term, the more time the option holder has to allow the stock price to increase without a cash investment and thus, the more valuable the option. Further, longer option terms provide more opportunity to exploit market highs. However, employees are not required to wait until the end of the contractual term of a nontransferable option to exercise. Accordingly, we are required to estimate the expected term of the option. When establishing an estimate of the expected life, we bifurcate our option grants into four employee groupings based on exercise behavior and determine the expected life for each group by considering several factors, including historical option exercise behavior, post vesting turnover rates and terms and vesting periods of the options granted.

We review our valuation assumptions at each grant date and, as a result, we are likely to change our valuation assumptions used to value stock based awards granted in future periods. Changes to the input assumptions could materially affect the estimated fair value of our share-based payment awards.

We performed a sensitivity analysis of the impact of increasing and decreasing expected volatility by 10% as well as the impact of increasing and decreasing the weighted average expected life by one year. We performed this analysis on the stock options granted in 2008. The following table shows the impact of these changes on our stock option expense for the options granted in 2008:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Actual stock option expense for 2008 grants | \$ 5,453 |
| Stock option expense increase (decrease) under the following assumption changes: | |
| Volatility decreased by 10% (25.7% to 15.7%) | (1,470) |
| Volatility increased by 10% (25.7% to 35.7%) | 1,499 |
| Average life decreased by 1 year | (746) |
| Average life increased by 1 year | 698 |

Income Taxes

We are subject to income tax laws of the United States, its states and municipalities and those of the foreign jurisdictions in which we operate. Our income tax expense totaled \$55.1 million and \$86.8 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax-basis carrying amount. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

We consider our accounting policy relating to income taxes to be critical as the determination of current and deferred income taxes is based on complex analyses of many factors including interpretation of federal, state and foreign income tax laws, the difference between tax and financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities (temporary differences), estimates of amounts due or owed, the timing of reversals of temporary differences and current financial accounting standards. Actual results could differ significantly from the estimates due to tax law interpretations used in determining the current and deferred income tax liabilities. Additionally, there can be no assurances that estimates and interpretations used in determining income tax liabilities may not be challenged by federal and state taxing authorities.

In establishing a provision for income tax expense, we must make judgments and interpretations about the application of these inherently complex tax laws. We must also make estimates about when in the future certain items will affect taxable income in the various tax jurisdictions, both domestic and foreign. We evaluate our uncertain tax positions in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* ("FIN 48"). We believe that our unrecognized tax benefits, including related interest and penalties, are adequate in relation to the potential for additional tax assessments.

We are also subject to routine corporate tax audits by the various tax jurisdictions. In the preparation of income tax returns, tax positions are taken based on interpretation of federal and state income tax laws as well as foreign tax laws. In accordance with FIN 48, we review our uncertain tax positions quarterly, and we may adjust these unrecognized tax benefits in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or

the refinement of an estimate. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will impact income tax expense in the period in which such determination is made.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Please refer to the discussion of our recent accounting pronouncements in Note 2—“Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income and Margin (Fully Taxable Equivalent Basis)

Net interest income is defined as the difference between interest earned primarily on loans, investment securities, federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities, and interest paid on funding sources. Net interest income is our principal source of revenue. Net interest margin is defined as the amount of annualized net interest income, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. Net interest income and net interest margin are presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis to consistently reflect income from taxable loans and securities and tax-exempt securities based on the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0 percent.

Net Interest Income (Fully Taxable Equivalent Basis)

Net interest income decreased by \$7.9 million to \$374.3 million in 2008, compared to \$382.2 million in 2007. The decrease in net interest income was primarily the result of decreases in yields earned on interest-earning assets, partially offset by decreases in the cost of our total funding sources.

The main factors affecting interest income and interest expense between 2008 and 2007 are discussed below:

- *Interest income* for 2008 decreased by \$2.9 million primarily due to:
 - A \$5.2 million decrease in interest income on short-term investments, primarily driven by declining short-term market interest rates in late 2007 and throughout 2008.

This decrease was partially offset by a \$2.3 million increase in interest income from our loan portfolio driven principally by an increase in average loans of \$1.11 billion. This growth was driven primarily by increased loan growth from all client industry segments, with particularly strong growth in loans to software clients, venture capital funds for capital calls, life science clients, and loans to individual clients of SVB Private Client Services. The impact of increased loan balances was partially offset by a 241 basis point decrease in loan yields due primarily to decreases totaling 325 basis points in our prime-lending rate during 2008 in response to certain Federal Fund rate decreases, as well as the full year effect of decreases totaling 100 basis points during the latter half of 2007. While the Federal Reserve cut rates by 400 basis points in 2008, our net interest margin decreased by only 151 basis points. Our average prime-lending rate was 5.13 percent in 2008, compared to 8.05 percent in 2007. Our prime-lending rate at December 31, 2008 was 4.00 percent, compared to 7.25 percent at December 31, 2007.

- *Interest expense* for 2008 increased by \$5.0 million primarily due to:
 - An increase in interest expense of \$10.6 million from all interest-bearing deposits, primarily due to an \$851.3 million, or 77.5 percent, increase in average interest-bearing deposits as a result of

our focus on growing on-balance sheet deposits. This increase was driven by growth from all our interest-bearing deposit products, with particularly strong growth from our money market deposit product for early stage clients introduced in May 2007 and our sweep deposit product introduced in late October 2007, both of which we introduced to provide funding for our loan growth. In 2008, the average balance of our early stage money market deposit product was \$486.4 million and interest expense was \$7.6 million, compared to \$118.7 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, for 2007. The average balance of our sweep deposit product in 2008 was \$375.6 million and interest expense was \$5.9 million, compared to \$8.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, in 2007.

- An increase of \$4.6 million from long-term debt, primarily due to an increase in average long-term debt balances, partially offset by a decrease in average interest rates. Average long-term debt increased by \$318.7 million to \$984.3 million in 2008, compared to \$665.6 million in 2007, due to the full year effect of our issuance of \$500 million in senior and subordinated notes in May 2007 and due to our issuance of \$250 million in 3.875% convertible senior notes (“2008 Convertible Notes”) in April 2008, which was used to redeem our \$150 million zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes (“2003 Convertible Notes”), which matured in June 2008. Average interest rates on long-term debt decreased due to lower London Interbank Offered Rates (“LIBOR”) rates associated with interest rate swap agreements on the senior and subordinated notes as well as the junior subordinated debt.

These increases were partially offset by a \$10.2 million decrease in interest expense from short-term borrowings, primarily due to declining short-term market interest rates. Our average cost of short-term borrowings decreased to 2.21 percent in 2008, compared to 5.29 percent in 2007.

Net interest income increased by \$28.1 million to \$382.2 million in 2007, compared to \$354.1 million in 2006. The increase in net interest income was the result of a \$445.6 million, or 9.3 percent increase in average balances of our interest-earning assets, partially offset by a \$331.0 million, or 18.9 percent increase in the average balances of our interest-bearing liabilities.

The main factors affecting interest income and interest expense between 2007 and 2006 are discussed below:

- *Interest income* for 2007 increased by \$55.4 million due to:
 - A \$62.9 million increase in interest income from our loan portfolio driven principally by an increase in average loans of \$640.2 million. The impact of increased loan balances was partially offset by a 10 basis point decrease in loan yields due primarily to decreases totaling 100 basis points in our prime-lending rate during the latter half of 2007 in response to Federal Reserve rate cuts.
 - A \$6.7 million increase in interest income on short-term investments primarily due to growth in average balances of these investments.

These increases were partially offset by a \$14.2 million decrease in interest income on investment securities due principally to a decrease in our portfolio balances from scheduled maturities.

- *Interest expense* for 2007 increased by \$27.3 million due to:
 - A \$27.1 million increase in interest expense from long-term debt, primarily due to an increase in average long-term debt balances. Average long-term debt increased by \$449.6 million to \$665.6 million in 2007, compared to \$216.0 million in 2006, primarily due to the issuance of \$500 million in senior and subordinated notes in May 2007 and the utilization of \$150 million of long-term Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) advances beginning in the fourth quarter of 2006.

- A \$4.4 million increase in interest expense from interest-bearing deposits, primarily due to an increase in yields of 43 basis points from 0.78 percent in 2006 to 1.21 percent in 2007. This increase was primarily due to the introduction of our money market deposit product for early stage clients and our sweep deposit product in 2007, both of which bear higher yields compared to our other deposit products.

These increases were partially offset by a \$4.2 million decrease in interest expense from short-term borrowings, primarily due to an \$80.8 million decrease in average balances of short-term borrowings to \$320.1 million in 2007, compared to \$400.9 million in 2006.

Analysis of Interest Changes Due to Volume and Rate (Fully Taxable Equivalent Basis)

Net interest income is affected by changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, referred to as “volume change.” Net interest income is also affected by changes in yields earned on interest-earning assets and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, referred to as “rate change”. Changes in our prime-lending rate also impact the yields on our loans, and, to a certain extent, our interest-bearing deposits. The following table sets forth changes in interest income for each major category of interest-earning assets and interest expense for each major category of interest-bearing liabilities. The table also reflects the amount of simultaneous changes attributable to both volume and rate changes for the years indicated. For this table, changes that are not solely due to either volume or rate are allocated in proportion to the percentage changes in average volume and average rate.

| (Dollars in thousands) | 2008 Compared to 2007 | | | 2007 Compared to 2006 | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Year Ended December 31, | | | Year Ended December 31, | | |
| | Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in | | | Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in | | |
| | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
| Interest income: | | | | | | |
| Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities | \$ 3,708 | \$ (8,952) | \$ (5,244) | \$ 6,208 | \$ 519 | \$ 6,727 |
| Investment securities | (211) | 292 | 81 | (15,242) | 1,003 | (14,239) |
| Loans | 74,263 | (71,974) | 2,289 | 65,808 | (2,906) | 62,902 |
| Increase (decrease) in interest income, net . . | 77,760 | (80,634) | (2,874) | 56,774 | (1,384) | 55,390 |
| Interest expense: | | | | | | |
| NOW deposits | 51 | 44 | 95 | (6) | (7) | (13) |
| Regular money market deposits | — | 291 | 291 | (545) | 666 | 121 |
| Bonus money market deposits | 4,400 | (706) | 3,694 | 75 | 3,190 | 3,265 |
| Foreign money market deposits | 161 | — | 161 | — | — | — |
| Time deposits | 677 | 97 | 774 | 42 | 681 | 723 |
| Sweep deposits | 5,788 | (159) | 5,629 | 284 | — | 284 |
| Short-term borrowings | (770) | (9,406) | (10,176) | (4,270) | 61 | (4,209) |
| Zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | (497) | 29 | (468) | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| 3.875% convertible senior notes | 8,669 | — | 8,669 | — | — | — |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 77 | (933) | (856) | 43 | 150 | 193 |
| Senior and subordinated notes | 7,819 | (7,033) | 786 | 19,619 | — | 19,619 |
| Other long-term debt | (319) | (3,251) | (3,570) | 7,323 | (47) | 7,276 |
| Increase (decrease) in interest expense, net | 26,056 | (21,027) | 5,029 | 22,570 | 4,701 | 27,271 |
| Increase (decrease) in net interest income . . . | \$51,704 | \$(59,607) | \$ (7,903) | \$ 34,204 | \$(6,085) | \$ 28,119 |

Net Interest Margin (Fully Taxable Equivalent Basis)

Our net interest margin was 5.78 percent in 2008, compared to 7.29 percent in 2007 and 7.38 percent in 2006. The decrease in net interest margin in 2008 was primarily due to decreases in yields on our loan portfolio resulting from reductions in our prime-lending rate, which we lowered in response to certain Federal Reserve rate cuts in late 2007 and throughout 2008. Although the Federal Reserve cut rates by 400 basis points in 2008, our net interest margin decreased by only 151 basis points. The decrease in net interest margin in 2008 was also attributable to increases in rates paid on deposits due primarily to our two interest-bearing deposit products introduced in 2007, partially offset by decreases in rates paid on our short-term borrowings and interest rate swap agreements on selective long-term debt.

The decrease in net interest margin in 2007 was primarily due to decreases in yields of our loan portfolio as well as increases in rates paid on our interest-bearing liabilities from the issuance of long-term debt in May 2007 and utilization of long-term FHLB advances, as well as the introduction of two interest-bearing deposit products, partially offset by decreases in short-term borrowings and increases in yields from our short-term investments portfolio.

Average Balances, Yields and Rates Paid (Fully Taxable Equivalent Basis)

The average yield earned on interest-earning assets is the amount of annualized fully taxable equivalent interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. The average rate paid on funding sources is the amount of annualized interest expense expressed as a percentage of average funding sources. The following table sets forth average assets, liabilities, minority interest and stockholders' equity, interest income, interest expense, annualized yields and rates, and the composition of our annualized net interest margin in 2008, 2007 and 2006.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2008 | | | 2007 | | | 2006 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Yield/Rate |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities (1) | \$ 507,365 | \$ 12,572 | 2.48% | \$ 357,673 | \$ 17,816 | 4.98% | \$ 232,634 | \$ 11,089 | 4.77% |
| Investment securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Taxable | 1,235,179 | 58,466 | 4.73 | 1,310,595 | 61,303 | 4.68 | 1,615,807 | 74,523 | 4.61 |
| Non-taxable (2) | 103,337 | 6,555 | 6.34 | 53,866 | 3,637 | 6.75 | 68,371 | 4,656 | 6.81 |
| Total loans, net of unearned income (3) | 4,633,048 | 364,192 | 7.86 | 3,522,326 | 361,903 | 10.27 | 2,882,088 | 299,001 | 10.37 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 6,478,929 | 441,785 | 6.82 | 5,244,460 | 444,659 | 8.48 | 4,798,900 | 389,269 | 8.11 |
| Cash and due from banks | 281,007 | | | 276,352 | | | 242,305 | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (54,982) | | | (43,654) | | | (38,808) | | |
| Goodwill | 4,092 | | | 12,576 | | | 27,653 | | |
| Other assets (4) | 710,706 | | | 530,383 | | | 357,385 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 7,419,752 | | | \$ 6,020,117 | | | \$ 5,387,435 | | |
| Funding sources: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| NOW deposits | \$ 46,339 | \$ 233 | 0.50% | \$ 35,020 | \$ 138 | 0.39% | \$ 36,999 | \$ 151 | 0.41% |
| Regular money market deposits | 152,568 | 2,087 | 1.37 | 152,550 | 1,796 | 1.18 | 210,933 | 1,675 | 0.79 |
| Bonus money market deposits | 969,421 | 11,697 | 1.21 | 577,977 | 8,003 | 1.38 | 569,122 | 4,738 | 0.83 |
| Foreign money market deposits | 11,570 | 161 | 1.39 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Time deposits | 393,963 | 3,838 | 0.97 | 324,250 | 3,064 | 0.94 | 318,855 | 2,341 | 0.73 |
| Sweep deposits | 375,556 | 5,913 | 1.57 | 8,310 | 284 | 3.42 | — | — | — |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 1,949,417 | 23,929 | 1.23 | 1,098,107 | 13,285 | 1.21 | 1,135,909 | 8,905 | 0.78 |
| Short-term borrowings | 304,896 | 6,746 | 2.21 | 320,129 | 16,922 | 5.29 | 400,913 | 21,131 | 5.27 |
| Zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | 70,481 | 473 | 0.67 | 148,877 | 941 | 0.63 | 148,002 | 929 | 0.63 |
| 3.875% convertible senior notes | 182,650 | 8,669 | 4.75 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 53,093 | 2,548 | 4.80 | 50,894 | 3,404 | 6.69 | 50,223 | 3,211 | 6.39 |
| Senior and subordinated notes | 531,523 | 20,405 | 3.84 | 313,148 | 19,619 | 6.27 | — | — | — |
| Other long-term debt | 146,562 | 4,712 | 3.22 | 152,669 | 8,282 | 5.42 | 17,741 | 1,006 | 5.67 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 3,238,622 | 67,482 | 2.08 | 2,083,824 | 62,453 | 3.00 | 1,752,788 | 35,182 | 2.01 |
| Portion of noninterest-bearing funding sources | 3,240,307 | | | 3,160,636 | | | 3,046,112 | | |
| Total funding sources | 6,478,929 | 67,482 | 1.04 | 5,244,460 | 62,453 | 1.19 | 4,798,900 | 35,182 | 0.73 |
| Noninterest-bearing funding sources: | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 2,946,907 | | | 2,864,153 | | | 2,785,948 | | |
| Other liabilities | 221,348 | | | 191,466 | | | 115,516 | | |
| Minority interest in capital of consolidated affiliates | 293,687 | | | 211,341 | | | 143,977 | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 719,188 | | | 669,333 | | | 589,206 | | |
| Portion used to fund interest-earning assets | (3,240,307) | | | (3,160,636) | | | (3,046,112) | | |
| Total liabilities, minority interest and stockholders' equity | \$ 7,419,752 | | | \$ 6,020,117 | | | \$ 5,387,435 | | |
| Net interest income and margin | | \$374,303 | 5.78% | | \$382,206 | 7.29% | | \$354,087 | 7.38% |
| Total deposits | \$ 4,896,324 | | | \$ 3,962,260 | | | \$ 3,921,857 | | |
| Reconciliation to reported net interest income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustment for tax-equivalent basis | | (2,294) | | | (1,273) | | | (1,630) | |
| Net interest income, as reported | | \$372,009 | | | \$380,933 | | | \$352,457 | |

- (1) Includes average interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions of \$99.1 million, \$52.9 million and \$31.0 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- (2) Interest income on non-taxable investment securities is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0 percent for all years presented.
- (3) Nonaccrual loans are reflected in the average balances of loans, and related income, if recognized, is included in interest income.
- (4) Average investment securities of \$380.8 million, \$250.8 million and \$151.2 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, were classified as other assets as they were noninterest-earning assets. These investments primarily consisted of non-marketable securities.

Provision for Loan Losses

Our provision for loan losses is based on our evaluation of the adequacy of the existing allowance for loan losses in relation to total gross loans and on our periodic assessment of the inherent and identified risk dynamics of the loan portfolio resulting from reviews of selected individual loans. For a more detailed discussion of credit quality and the allowance for loan losses, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” and “—Consolidated Financial Condition—Credit Quality and the Allowance for Loan Losses” under Part II, Item 7 in this report. The following table summarizes our provision for loan losses for the year ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Allowance for loan losses, beginning balance | \$ 47,293 | \$ 42,747 | \$ 36,785 |
| Provision for loan losses | 100,713 | 16,836 | 9,877 |
| Gross loan charge-offs | (47,815) | (19,378) | (14,065) |
| Loan recoveries | 7,205 | 7,088 | 10,150 |
| Allowance for loan losses, ending balance | <u>\$ 107,396</u> | <u>\$ 47,293</u> | <u>\$ 42,747</u> |
| Provision as a percentage of period-end total gross loans | 1.81% | 0.40% | 0.28% |
| Gross charge-offs as a percentage of average total gross loans | 1.02 | 0.55 | 0.48 |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of average total gross loans | 0.87 | 0.35 | 0.14 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of period-end total gross loans | 1.93 | 1.13 | 1.22 |
| Period-end total gross loans | \$5,551,636 | \$4,178,098 | \$3,509,560 |
| Average total gross loans | 4,666,025 | 3,547,333 | 2,904,129 |

Our provision for loan losses increased by \$83.9 million to \$100.7 million in 2008, compared to \$16.8 million in 2007. Our provision for 2008 included \$40.6 million for net charge-offs, \$23 million in reserves for HRJ Capital, L.L.C. and its affiliates (“HRJ”) credit facilities, and \$6 million in specific reserves related to other loans. The remaining reflects the need for increased reserves for the overall loan portfolio due to two factors: (i) the deterioration in the macroeconomic environment and its expected impact on our loan portfolio; and (ii) the increase in actual loans outstanding. As a result, our allowance for loan losses increased from 1.13 percent of total gross loans at December 31, 2007 to 1.93 percent at December 31, 2008. Our assessment for impairment and resulting specific reserves for the HRJ loan facilities considers all available relevant information known to the Company and incorporates a range of values for the assets of HRJ. We consider our allowance for loan losses of \$107.4 million adequate to cover credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at December 31, 2008.

Gross charge-offs of \$47.8 million in 2008 came primarily from our early-stage client portfolio, as well as from a single software client and certain HRJ loan facilities.

The increase in provision of \$7.0 million in 2007, compared to 2006 was primarily due to the growth in our loan portfolio, partially offset by a decrease in our allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total gross loans.

Noninterest Income

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| Client investment fees | \$ 50,498 | \$ 51,794 | (2.5)% | \$ 44,345 | 16.8% |
| Foreign exchange fees | 33,106 | 25,750 | 28.6 | 21,045 | 22.4 |
| Deposit service charges | 24,110 | 15,554 | 55.0 | 10,159 | 53.1 |
| Gains on derivative instruments, net | 18,505 | 23,935 | (22.7) | 17,949 | 33.4 |
| Letters of credit and standby letters of credit income | 12,006 | 11,115 | 8.0 | 9,943 | 11.8 |
| Corporate finance fees | 3,640 | 14,199 | (74.4) | 11,649 | 21.9 |
| (Losses) gains on investment securities, net | (14,777) | 46,724 | (131.6) | 2,551 | — |
| Other | 29,060 | 32,313 | (10.1) | 23,565 | 37.1 |
| Total noninterest income | \$156,148 | \$221,384 | (29.5)% | \$141,206 | 56.8% |

Included in net income is income and expense that are attributable to minority interests. We recognize, as part of our investment funds management business through SVB Capital, the entire income or loss from funds where we own significantly less than 100%. We also recognize, as part of equity valuation business through SVB Analytics, the results of eProsper, of which we own 65%. We are required under GAAP to consolidate 100% of the results of entities that we are deemed to control, even though we may own less than 100% of such entities. The relevant amounts attributable to investors other than us are reflected under “Minority Interest in Net Loss (Income) of Consolidated Affiliates” on our statements of income. Our net income includes only the portion of income or loss that is attributable to our ownership interest. The non-GAAP tables presented below, for noninterest income, net gains on derivative instruments, net (losses) gains on investment securities and noninterest expense, all exclude minority interest. We believe these non-GAAP financial measures, when taken together with the corresponding GAAP financial measures, provide meaningful supplemental information regarding our performance by excluding certain items that represent income attributable to investors other than us and our subsidiaries. Our management uses, and believes that investors benefit from referring to, these non-GAAP financial measures in assessing our operating results and when planning, forecasting and analyzing future periods. However, these non-GAAP financial measures should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for or superior to, financial measures prepared in accordance with GAAP.

The following table provides a summary of non-GAAP noninterest income, net of minority interest:

| Non-GAAP noninterest income, net of minority interest (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| GAAP noninterest income | \$156,148 | \$221,384 | (29.5)% | \$141,206 | 56.8% |
| Less: (losses) income attributable to minority interests, including carried interest | (8,494) | 37,981 | (122.4) | 9,903 | 283.5 |
| Non-GAAP noninterest income, net of minority interest | \$164,642 | \$183,403 | (10.2)% | \$131,303 | 39.7% |

Client Investment Fees

We offer a variety of investment products on which we earn fees. These products include sweep money market funds, money market mutual funds, overnight repurchase agreements and fixed income securities available through client-directed accounts and fixed income management services offered through SVB Asset Management, our investment advisory subsidiary.

Client investment fees were \$50.5 million in 2008, compared to \$51.8 million in 2007 and \$44.3 million in 2006. The decrease of \$1.3 million in 2008 was primarily attributable to lower margins earned on certain products owing to historically low rates in the short-term fixed income markets. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we made a decision to discontinue offering a third party off-balance sheet sweep product, primarily due to our decision to utilize our own on-balance sheet sweep product introduced in 2007. In addition, we continue to face challenges in growing off-balance sheet funds due to the success of our new on-balance sheet deposit products, as well as the significant decline of initial public offerings (“IPO”), resulting in less client funds available for investment. This decrease was partially offset by growth in average client investment funds from our later-stage technology clients, as well as an increase in deposits from venture capital and other private equity clients in the first half of 2008. Based on the expectation of continued lower margins on certain client investment products, as well as our decision to discontinue offering a third party off-balance sheet sweep product, we expect to continue to see declining client investment fees in 2009.

The increase of \$7.5 million in 2007 from 2006 was attributable to the growth in average client investment funds, with particularly strong growth in our overnight repurchase agreements as a result of increased deposits from our venture capital/private equity clients, as well as an increase in the number of managed portfolios within our client investment assets under management, as a result of increased deposits from our later-stage technology clients. The following table summarizes average client investment funds for 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| (Dollars in millions) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| Client directed investment assets (1) | \$12,800 | \$12,356 | 3.6% | \$10,605 | 16.5% |
| Client investment assets under management | 6,217 | 5,651 | 10.0 | 4,364 | 29.5 |
| Sweep money market funds | 2,573 | 2,427 | 6.0 | 2,260 | 7.4 |
| Total average client investment funds (2) | <u>\$21,590</u> | <u>\$20,434</u> | 5.7% | <u>\$17,229</u> | 18.6% |

(1) Mutual funds and Repurchase Agreement Program assets.

(2) Client investment funds are maintained at third party financial institutions.

Period-end total client investment funds were \$18.6 billion at December 31, 2008, compared to \$22.2 billion at December 31, 2007, and \$19.0 billion at December 31, 2006.

Foreign Exchange Fees

Foreign exchange fees represent the income differential between purchases and sales of foreign currency exchange on behalf of our clients. Foreign exchange fees were \$33.1 million in 2008, compared to \$25.8 million in 2007 and \$21.0 million in 2006. The increase in foreign exchange fees in 2008 was primarily due to increased client trading activity. While commissioned notional volumes remained stable at \$6.15 billion in 2008, compared to \$6.13 billion in 2007, a substantially higher proportion of that volume came from trades with notional amounts less than \$1 million in 2008, which carry comparatively higher commission rates.

The increase in foreign exchange fees in 2007 reflected higher notional volumes of \$6.13 billion in 2007, compared to \$4.14 billion in 2006.

Deposit Service Charges

Deposit service charges were \$24.1 million in 2008, compared to \$15.6 million in 2007 and \$10.2 million in 2006. The increase in 2008 was primarily attributable to a decrease in the earnings credit rate related to decreases in short-term market interest rates.

The increase in 2007, compared to 2006, was primarily attributable to a decrease in the earnings credit rate, as well as an increase in fee rates and in the volume of transactions.

Gains on Derivative Instruments, Net

A summary of gains on derivative instruments, net, for 2008, 2007, and 2006 is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| Gains (losses) on foreign exchange forward contracts, net (1) | \$ 9,418 | \$ 958 | 883.1% | \$ (219) | (537.4)% |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap (2) | (1,856) | (499) | 271.9 | (3,630) | (86.3) |
| Gains on covered call options, net (3) | 402 | — | — | — | — |
| Equity warrant assets: | | | | | |
| Gains on exercise, net | 7,188 | 18,690 | (61.5) | 11,495 | 62.6 |
| Change in fair value (4): | | | | | |
| Cancellations and expirations | (2,574) | (2,643) | (2.6) | (3,963) | (33.3) |
| Other changes in fair value | 5,927 | 7,429 | (20.2) | 14,266 | (47.9) |
| Total net gains on equity warrant assets (5) | 10,541 | 23,476 | (55.1) | 21,798 | 7.7 |
| Total gains on derivative instruments, net | <u>\$18,505</u> | <u>\$23,935</u> | (22.7)% | <u>\$17,949</u> | 33.4% |

- (1) Represents the net gains for foreign exchange forward contracts executed on behalf of clients and the change in the fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts to economically reduce our foreign exchange exposure risk related to certain foreign currency denominated loans. Revaluations of foreign currency denominated loans are recorded on the line item “Other” as part of noninterest income, a component of consolidated net income.
- (2) Represents the change in the fair value hedge of the hedging relationship from the interest rate swap agreement related to our junior subordinated debentures. In December 2008, our counterparty called this swap for settlement in January 2009. As a result we de-designated the swap as a hedging instrument in December 2008. Please refer to the discussion of our interest rate swap agreement related to our junior subordinated debentures in Note 13—“Derivative Financial Instruments” of the “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements” in Part II, Item 8 in this report.
- (3) Represents net gains on covered call options held by one of our sponsored debt funds.
- (4) At December 31, 2008, we held warrants in 1,307 companies, compared to 1,179 companies at December 31, 2007 and 1,287 companies at December 31, 2006.
- (5) Includes net gains on equity warrant assets held by consolidated investment affiliates. Relevant amounts attributable to minority interests are reflected in the consolidated statements of income under the caption “Minority Interest in Net Loss (Income) of Consolidated Affiliates”.

Gains on derivative instruments, net, were \$18.5 million in 2008, compared to \$23.9 million in 2007 and \$17.9 million in 2006. The decrease of \$5.4 million in 2008 was primarily due to lower gains on exercises of equity warrant assets, lower gains from valuations of our equity warrant assets and higher losses associated with the fair value hedge agreement for our junior subordinated debentures. These decreases were partially offset by higher net gains from changes in the fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts. Net gains from foreign exchange forward contracts in 2008 included \$5.2 million in net gains from changes in the fair value of foreign exchange forward contracts, used to offset net losses of \$7.6 million from revaluation of our foreign currency denominated loans, which are included in other noninterest income.

The lower gains on exercise of equity warrant assets in 2008, compared to 2007, reflect the impact of the slowdown of mergers and acquisitions (“M&A”) and IPO activity as we typically exercise equity warrants upon such “exit” events of the issuing client companies. The changes in the fair value of equity warrant assets for 2008 were primarily attributable to positive changes in the value of the issuing client companies’ stock, as well as from gains due to changes in the volatility of market-comparable public companies, partially offset by losses due to

changes in the risk-free interest rate of the equity warrant assets. Our methodology used to calculate the fair value of equity warrant assets has been applied consistently. Despite the economic conditions during 2008, we continued to take a considerable number of warrants from new clients. In 2008, we took warrants from 343 new clients, compared to 186 new clients in 2007.

The increase of \$6.0 million in 2007, compared to 2006, was primarily due to gains on exercises of equity warrant assets arising from M&A activities by certain issuing client companies and lower losses associated with the fair value hedge agreement for our junior subordinated debentures. These increases were partially offset by lower gains recognized from valuation adjustments of our equity warrant assets.

The following table provides a summary of non-GAAP net gains on derivative instruments, net of minority interest:

| Non-GAAP net gains on derivative instruments, net of minority interest (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| GAAP net gains on derivative instruments | \$18,505 | \$23,935 | (22.7)% | \$17,949 | 33.4% |
| Less: income attributable to minority interests (1) | 231 | 1,070 | (78.4) | 4,297 | (75.1) |
| Non-GAAP net gains on derivative instruments, net of minority interest | <u>\$18,274</u> | <u>\$22,865</u> | (20.1)% | <u>\$13,652</u> | 67.5% |

(1) Represents gains recognized from the exercise of warrants held by one of our sponsored debt funds.

Corporate Finance Fees

Corporate finance fees were \$3.6 million in 2008, compared to \$14.2 million in 2007 and \$11.6 million in 2006. The decrease in 2008 was a result of the decision to cease operations in July 2007. The \$3.6 million in fees represents the completion of all remaining client transactions at SVB Alliant as of March 31, 2008.

The increase in 2007, compared to 2006, was primarily a result of higher income from success fees recognized at SVB Alliant driven by the completion of larger-sized deals.

(Losses) Gains on Investment Securities, Net

We experience variability in the performance of our consolidated investment funds from quarter to quarter due to a number of factors, including changes in the values of our funds' investments, changes in the amount of distributions and general economic and market conditions. Such variability may lead to volatility in the gains (losses) from investment securities and cause our results for a particular period not to be indicative of our performance in a future period. The valuation of our consolidated investment funds continued to be affected by a more discerning venture capital environment, declining M&A activity among our portfolio companies and a significant decline in IPO's in 2008. The net losses in 2008 were primarily due to the effects of the continued downturn in the economy and pressures on valuations for venture capital investments and portfolio companies. As a result, we saw more unrealized losses due to lower valuations and lower realized gains in 2008, compared to 2007. Realized gains from our investment securities are due primarily to net gains from distributions and liquidity events. In 2008, we experienced realized losses in our "other" investments due to sales of our marketable equity securities, which are publicly traded shares acquired upon exercise of equity warrant assets. In 2006, realized losses in our "other" investments were from the sale of certain available-for-sale securities. The following tables provide a summary of net (losses) gains on investment securities for 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| | Year ended December 31, 2008 | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Managed Co-Investment Funds</u> | <u>Managed Funds Of Funds</u> | <u>Sponsored Debt Funds</u> | <u>Other</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| Unrealized (losses) gains | \$ 1,527 | \$(13,080) | \$(8,968) | \$ (93) | \$(20,614) |
| Realized gains (losses) | 1,136 | 7,342 | 1,158 | (3,799) | 5,837 |
| Total (losses) gains on investment securities, net ... | <u>\$ 2,663</u> | <u>\$ (5,738)</u> | <u>\$(7,810)</u> | <u>\$(3,892)</u> | <u>\$(14,777)</u> |
| Less: (losses) income attributable to minority interests, including carried interest | 2,183 | (6,227) | (4,885) | — | (8,929) |
| Non-GAAP net (losses) gains on investment securities, net of minority interest | <u>\$ 480</u> | <u>\$ 489</u> | <u>\$(2,925)</u> | <u>\$(3,892)</u> | <u>\$ (5,848)</u> |

| | Year ended December 31, 2007 | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Managed Co-Investment Funds</u> | <u>Managed Funds Of Funds</u> | <u>Sponsored Debt Funds</u> | <u>Other</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| Unrealized gains (losses) | \$ 1,861 | \$ 10,412 | \$10,800 | \$ — | \$ 23,073 |
| Realized gains (losses) | (2,025) | 19,730 | 4,324 | 1,622 | 23,651 |
| Total gains (losses) on investment securities, net ... | <u>\$ (164)</u> | <u>\$ 30,142</u> | <u>\$15,124</u> | <u>\$ 1,622</u> | <u>\$ 46,724</u> |
| Less: income (losses) attributable to minority interests, including carried interest | (129) | 26,807 | 8,771 | — | 35,449 |
| Non-GAAP net gains (losses) on investment securities, net of minority interest | <u>\$ (35)</u> | <u>\$ 3,335</u> | <u>\$ 6,353</u> | <u>\$ 1,622</u> | <u>\$ 11,275</u> |

| | Year ended December 31, 2006 | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Managed Co-Investment Funds</u> | <u>Managed Funds Of Funds</u> | <u>Sponsored Debt Funds</u> | <u>Other</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| Unrealized gains (losses) | \$ (261) | \$ (353) | \$ 113 | \$ — | \$ (501) |
| Realized gains (losses) | (36) | 6,091 | 764 | (3,767) | 3,052 |
| Total gains (losses) on investment securities, net ... | <u>\$ (297)</u> | <u>\$ 5,738</u> | <u>\$ 877</u> | <u>\$(3,767)</u> | <u>\$ 2,551</u> |
| Less: income (losses) attributable to minority interests | (265) | 5,059 | 238 | — | 5,032 |
| Non-GAAP net (losses) gains on investment securities, net of minority interest | <u>\$ (32)</u> | <u>\$ 679</u> | <u>\$ 639</u> | <u>\$(3,767)</u> | <u>\$ (2,481)</u> |

Other Noninterest Income

A summary of other noninterest income for 2008, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| Service-based fee income (1) | \$ 8,686 | \$ 5,356 | 62.2% | \$ 970 | 452.2% |
| Fund management fees | 8,547 | 8,583 | (0.4) | 4,664 | 84.0 |
| Credit card fees | 6,225 | 5,802 | 7.3 | 4,564 | 27.1 |
| (Losses) gains on foreign exchange loans revaluation, net | (7,567) | 1,905 | (497.2) | 2,680 | (28.9) |
| Other | 13,169 | 10,667 | 23.5 | 10,687 | (0.2) |
| Total other noninterest income | \$29,060 | \$32,313 | (10.1)% | \$23,565 | 37.1% |

(1) Includes income from SVB Analytics and its subsidiary, eProsper.

Other noninterest income was \$29.1 million in 2008, compared to \$32.3 million in 2007 and \$23.6 million in 2006. The decrease of \$3.2 million in 2008 was primarily due to a decrease of \$9.5 million from revaluations of foreign currency denominated loans, due primarily to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar in 2008 against the Euro and Pounds Sterling. Net losses from revaluation of foreign currency denominated loans of \$7.6 million in 2008 were partially offset by net gains from foreign exchange forward contracts of \$5.2 million, which are included in net gains on derivative instruments. This decrease was partially offset by a \$3.8 million increase from revaluations of other foreign currency instruments and a \$3.3 million increase in service-based fee income, primarily due to increased activities from SVB Analytics. SVB Analytics' revenues increased by \$3.3 million to \$6.4 million in 2008, compared to \$3.1 million in 2007, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of clients, from 408 in 2007 to 834 in 2008.

The increase of \$8.7 million in 2007 from 2006 was primarily due to a \$4.4 million increase in service-based fee income and a \$3.9 million increase in fund management fees. The increase in service-based fee income was a result of increased activities from our subsidiary, SVB Analytics, which commenced operations in the second quarter of 2006, and from eProsper, which we acquired a majority ownership in during the third quarter of 2006. SVB Analytics' revenues increased by \$2.7 million to \$3.1 million in 2007, compared to \$0.4 million in 2006, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of clients to 408 in 2007, compared to 36 in 2006. eProsper's revenues increased by \$1.7 million to \$2.3 million in 2007, compared to \$0.6 million in 2006, primarily as a result of consolidating a full year of revenue in 2007. The increase in fund management fees was related to the full-year effect of management fees recognized from two of our consolidated funds established in the third quarter of 2006, as well as from an additional consolidated fund established in the second quarter of 2007.

Noninterest Expense

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| Compensation and benefits | \$177,315 | \$213,892 | (17.1)% | \$188,588 | 13.4% |
| Professional services | 39,480 | 32,905 | 20.0 | 40,791 | (19.3) |
| Premises and equipment | 22,183 | 19,756 | 12.3 | 15,311 | 29.0 |
| Net occupancy | 17,307 | 20,829 | (16.9) | 17,369 | 19.9 |
| Business development and travel | 15,406 | 12,263 | 25.6 | 12,760 | (3.9) |
| Correspondent bank fees | 6,628 | 5,713 | 16.0 | 5,647 | 1.2 |
| Telephone | 5,276 | 5,404 | (2.4) | 4,081 | 32.4 |
| Data processing services | 4,235 | 3,841 | 10.3 | 4,239 | (9.4) |
| Loss from cash settlement of conversion premium of zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | 3,858 | — | — | — | — |
| Provision for (reduction of) unfunded credit commitments | 1,252 | (1,207) | (203.7) | (2,461) | (51.0) |
| Impairment of goodwill | — | 17,204 | (100.0) | 18,434 | (6.7) |
| Other | 19,947 | 15,869 | 25.7 | 17,744 | (10.6) |
| Total noninterest expense | \$312,887 | \$346,469 | (9.7)% | \$322,503 | 7.4% |

The table below provides a summary of non-GAAP noninterest expense, net of minority interest:

| Non-GAAP noninterest expense, net of minority interest (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| GAAP noninterest expense | \$312,887 | \$346,469 | (9.7)% | \$322,503 | 7.4% |
| Less: amounts attributable to minority interests | 11,115 | 10,681 | 4.1 | 5,887 | 81.4 |
| Non-GAAP noninterest expense, net of minority interest | \$301,772 | \$335,788 | (10.1)% | \$316,616 | 6.1% |

Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits expense was \$177.3 million in 2008, compared to \$213.9 million in 2007 and \$188.6 million in 2006. The decrease in compensation and benefits expense of \$36.6 million in 2008 was largely due to a decrease of \$35.7 million in expenses related to our Incentive Compensation Plan and ESOP, as a result of actual 2008 annual financial results being below our expectations. These decreases were partially offset by a \$4.4 million increase in salaries and wages expense, primarily related to an increase in the average number of full-time equivalent (“FTE”) personnel. The average number of FTE personnel increased to 1,210 in 2008, compared to 1,145 in 2007 and 1,087 in 2006. The increase in average FTE was attributable to increases in sales and advisory positions to support our commercial banking operations, as well as from increases at SVB Capital and SVB Analytics to support our growth in these businesses.

The increase in compensation and benefits expense of \$25.3 million in 2007 from 2006 was largely due to higher incentive compensation costs related to our strong financial performance in 2007, as well as increases in the number of average FTE employees and higher rates of employee salaries and wages, partially offset by a decrease in share-based payment expense due to a decrease in stock option grants.

Our variable compensation plans primarily consist of the Incentive Compensation Plans, Direct Drive Incentive Compensation Plan (“Direct Drive”), SVB Financial Group 401(k), ESOP, Retention Program and Warrant Incentive Plan. Total costs incurred under the above plans were \$31.5 million in 2008, compared to

\$67.4 million in 2007 and \$41.1 million in 2006. The decrease of \$35.9 million in 2008 was primarily related to a \$28.5 million decrease in our incentive compensation expense and a \$7.3 million decrease in our ESOP expense. The increase of \$26.3 million in 2007 was primarily related to a \$20.1 million increase in our incentive compensation expense, a \$2.9 million increase in our 401(k) and ESOP expense, a \$1.9 million increase in Direct Drive compensation expense and a \$1.1 million increase in Retention Program compensation expense.

Professional Services

Professional services expense was \$39.5 million in 2008, compared to \$32.9 million in 2007 and \$40.8 million in 2006. The increase of \$6.6 million in 2008 was primarily due to consulting fees related to certain infrastructure projects.

The decrease of \$7.9 million in 2007 from 2006 was primarily related to consulting costs incurred in 2006 as part of ongoing efforts to enhance and maintain legal and regulatory compliance with various regulations as well as expenses associated with certain information technology ("IT") projects.

Net Occupancy

Net occupancy expense was \$17.3 million in 2008, compared to \$20.8 million in 2007 and \$17.4 million in 2006. The decrease of \$3.5 million in 2008 was primarily due \$1.7 million of lease exit costs recognized in 2007, as we exited three domestic offices in a move to improve synergy and efficiency across business units, as well as increased amortization of leasehold improvements in 2007 due to a change in the remaining lease term of certain domestic leases. The increase of \$3.4 million in 2007 from 2006 was primarily due to the \$1.7 million of lease exit costs, as well entry into new domestic office lease agreements.

Business Development and Travel Expense

Business development and travel expense was \$15.4 million in 2008, compared to \$12.3 million in 2007 and \$12.8 million in 2006. The increase of \$3.1 million in 2008 was primarily attributable to our increased focus on global initiatives.

Loss from Cash Settlement of Conversion Premium of Zero-Coupon Convertible Subordinated Notes

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, but prior to the maturity date of our 2003 Convertible Notes, we received a conversion notice to convert notes in the total principal amount of \$7.8 million. Consistent with prior early conversions, we elected to settle the conversion fully in cash and paid a total of \$11.6 million in cash, which included \$3.9 million representing the conversion premium value of the converted notes. Accordingly, we recorded a non-tax deductible loss of \$3.9 million as noninterest expense. In connection with this early conversion settlement payment, we exercised call options pursuant to our call-spread arrangement and received a corresponding cash payment of \$3.9 million from the counterparty which was recorded as an increase in stockholders' equity of \$3.9 million. As a result, the \$3.9 million in noninterest expense we recorded due to this early conversion settlement had no net impact on our total stockholders' equity.

Provision for (Reduction of) Unfunded Credit Commitments

We calculate the provision for (reduction of) unfunded credit commitments based on the credit commitments outstanding, as well as the credit quality of our loan commitments. We recorded a provision of \$1.3 million in 2008, compared to a (reduction of) provision of \$(1.2) million and \$(2.5) million to the reserve for unfunded credit commitments in 2007 and 2006, respectively. The provision in 2008 was primarily reflective of the expected impact from the continuing deterioration in overall economic conditions.

The (reduction of) provision in 2007 was primarily a result of the positive impact of the decrease in our allowance for loan losses as a percentage of gross loans, which decreased by nine basis points from 1.22 percent of total gross loans at December 31, 2006 to 1.13 percent at December 31, 2007. This positive impact was

partially offset by an increase in our total unfunded credit commitments, which increased by \$880.2 million to \$4.94 billion at December 31, 2007, compared to \$4.06 billion at December 31, 2006. The (reduction of) provision in 2006 reflected our historical credit quality experience.

Impairment of Goodwill

In connection with our annual assessments of goodwill of SVB Alliant in the second quarters of 2007 and 2006, we recognized impairment charges of \$17.2 million and \$18.4 million, respectively. The impairments resulted from changes in our outlook for SVB Alliant's future financial performance. After completion of remaining client transactions, all operations at SVB Alliant were ceased as of March 31, 2008.

Other Noninterest Expense

Other noninterest expense largely consisted of tax credit fund amortization, postage and supplies, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") assessments, dues and publications expense and insurance expense. Other noninterest expense was \$19.9 million in 2008, compared to \$15.9 million in 2007 and \$17.7 million in 2006. The increase of \$4.0 million in 2008 was primarily related to increased FDIC assessments of \$2.7 million primarily due to a one-time credit received in 2007, as well as from an increase in FDIC fee assessments due to \$934.1 million in average deposit growth in 2008.

The decrease of \$1.8 million in 2007 was primarily related to a \$1.8 million charge recorded during the second quarter of 2006 in connection with the settlement of a litigation matter and a \$1.0 million decrease in advertising and promotion expenses, partially offset by a \$1.4 million loss recorded in the second quarter of 2007 related to the sale of foreclosed property classified as Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO").

Minority Interest in Net Income of Consolidated Affiliates

Minority interest in net loss (income) of consolidated affiliates is primarily related to the minority interest holders' portion of investment gains or losses and management fees in our managed funds. Net interest income attributable to minority interests represent interest earned on loans held by one of our sponsored debt funds. Noninterest income consists of investment gains and losses from our consolidated funds and gains or losses recognized from the exercise of warrants held by one of our sponsored debt funds. Noninterest expense primarily related to management fees paid by our managed funds to the general partner entities at SVB Capital for funds management. A summary of minority interest in net loss (income) of consolidated affiliates in 2008, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Year ended December 31,</u> | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>% Change 2008/2007</u> | <u>2006</u> | <u>% Change 2007/2006</u> |
| Net interest income (1) | \$ (470) | \$ (1,296) | (63.7)% | \$(2,292) | (43.5)% |
| Noninterest income (1) | 6,631 | (35,504) | (118.7) | (9,903) | 258.5 |
| Noninterest expense (1) | 11,115 | 10,681 | 4.1 | 5,887 | 81.4 |
| Carried interest (2) | 1,863 | (2,477) | (175.2) | — | — |
| Minority interest in net loss (income) of consolidated affiliates | <u>\$19,139</u> | <u>\$(28,596)</u> | <u>(166.9)%</u> | <u>\$(6,308)</u> | <u>353.3%</u> |

- (1) Represents minority interest share in net interest income, noninterest income, and noninterest expense of consolidated affiliates.
- (2) Represents the preferred allocation of income earned by the general partners managing one of our sponsored debt funds and two of our managed funds of funds.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for 2008 was 41.19 percent, compared to 41.24 percent in 2007 and 42.45 percent in 2006. The decrease in the tax rate in 2008 was primarily attributable to the higher impact of tax-advantaged investments on our overall pre-tax income, partially offset by the \$3.9 million non-tax deductible loss related to our cash settlement of certain 2003 Convertible Notes. The decrease in the tax rate in 2007 was primarily attributable to the tax impact of lower amounts of non-deductible share based payment expense on overall pre-tax income.

On January 1, 2007, we adopted the provisions of FIN 48, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the entity's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. Our adoption of FIN 48 did not result in a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings.

Operating Segment Results

We have three operating segments in which we report our financial information: Commercial Banking, SVB Capital and Other Business Services.

In July 2007, we reached a decision to cease operations at SVB Alliant, our investment banking subsidiary, which provided advisory services in the areas of mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance, strategic alliances and private placements. We elected to have SVB Alliant complete a limited number of client transactions before finalizing its shut-down. As of March 31, 2008, all such client transactions had been completed, and all operations at SVB Alliant were ceased. Accordingly, SVB Alliant was no longer reported as an operating segment as of the second quarter of 2008. The results of operations for SVB Alliant have been included as part of the Reconciling Items column for the current as well as all prior periods presented.

In accordance with SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, we report segment information based on the "management" approach. The management approach designates the internal reporting used by management for making decisions and assessing performance as the source of our reportable segments. Please refer to the discussion of our segment organization in Note 21—"Segment Reporting" of the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

Our primary source of revenue is from net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on loans, net of funds transfer pricing ("FTP"), and interest paid on deposits, net of funds transfer pricing. Accordingly, our segments are reported using net interest income, net of FTP. FTP is an internal measurement framework designed to assess the financial impact of a financial institution's sources and uses of funds. It is the mechanism by which an earnings credit is given for deposits raised, and an earnings charge is made for funded loans. FTP is calculated by applying a transfer rate to pooled, or aggregated, loan and deposit volumes, effective January 1, 2008. Prior to January 1, 2008, FTP was calculated at an instrument level based on account characteristics. We have reclassified all prior period amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

We also evaluate performance based on noninterest income and noninterest expense, which are presented as components of segment operating profit or loss.

Effective January 1, 2008, we include all warrant income (cash exercise and valuation) recognized in the Reconciling Items column. Prior to January 1, 2008, cash exercises were recognized in noninterest income under the appropriate segment where the client resided, which was primarily within the Commercial Bank. We have reclassified all prior period amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

In calculating each operating segment's noninterest expense, we consider the direct costs incurred by the operating segment as well as certain allocated direct costs. As part of this review, effective January 1, 2008, we began allocating certain corporate overhead costs to a corporate account. Prior to January 1, 2008, all overhead

and support costs were allocated to the operating segments. We have reclassified all prior period amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

Additionally, effective January 1, 2008 we include our actual accrued incentive compensation expense at the segment level. Prior to January 1, 2008 we recorded the budgeted incentive compensation expense for each segment as its actual and any differences between segment budget and actual for incentive compensation was recorded in the Reconciling Items column. See additional discussion and reconciliation in Note 21- "Segment Reporting" of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

We do not allocate income taxes to our segments. Additionally, our management reporting model is predicated on average asset balances; therefore, period-end asset balances are not presented for segment reporting purposes. Total average assets equals total average assets from the general ledger effective January 1, 2008. Prior to January 1, 2008, total average assets were calculated as the greater of total average assets or total average deposits and total average stockholder's equity combined. We have reclassified all prior period amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

The following is our segment information for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Commercial Banking

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| Net interest income | \$ 319,974 | \$ 338,724 | (5.5)% | \$ 295,125 | 14.8% |
| Noninterest income | 130,088 | 114,752 | 13.4 | 93,886 | 22.2 |
| Noninterest expense | (94,035) | (94,440) | (0.4) | (85,883) | 10.0 |
| Income before income tax expense | <u>\$ 356,027</u> | <u>\$ 359,036</u> | (0.8) | <u>\$ 303,128</u> | 18.4 |
| Total average loans | \$3,603,563 | \$2,674,644 | 34.7 | \$2,135,444 | 25.3 |
| Total average assets | 3,640,562 | 2,696,304 | 35.0 | 2,142,659 | 25.8 |
| Total average deposits | 4,451,405 | 3,700,711 | 20.3 | 3,686,322 | 0.4 |

2008 compared to 2007

Net interest income from the Commercial Bank ("CB") decreased by \$18.8 million in 2008, primarily due to a decrease in interest income from earnings credit received on deposit products, partially offset by an increase in interest income from the CB's loan portfolio. The decrease in interest income from earnings credit received on deposits was primarily related to decreases in short-term market interest rates, partially offset by increased volumes of deposits from our money market deposit product for early stage clients introduced in May 2007 and our sweep deposit product introduced in late October 2007. The increase in interest income from the CB's loan portfolio was primarily due to decreases in the earnings charge incurred by the CB for funded loans and growth in the CB's loan portfolio, particularly from growth in loans to software clients, venture capital funds for capital calls and life science clients. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in our average prime-lending rate to 5.13 percent in 2008, compared to 8.05 percent in 2007.

Noninterest income increased by \$15.3 million in 2008, primarily due to fee income growth, largely driven by an \$8.4 million increase in deposit service charges and a \$7.3 million increase in foreign exchange fees. The increase in deposit service charges was primarily attributable to a decrease in the earnings credit rate obtained by clients to offset deposit service charges, which was related to decreases in short-term market interest rates. The increase in foreign exchange fees was primarily due to increased client trading activity.

Noninterest expense decreased by \$0.4 million in 2008, primarily due to a decrease in net occupancy expense of \$1.9 million, partially offset by an increase in professional services fees of \$1.5 million. The decrease

in net occupancy costs was primarily due to lease exit costs recognized in the second quarter of 2007. The increase in professional services fees was primarily due to consulting fees related to certain infrastructure projects.

Salaries and wages expense increased by \$6.0 million in 2008, primarily due to an increase in the average number of FTE employees at CB, which increased to 494 in 2008, compared to 469 in 2007. The increase in average FTE was attributable to increases in sales and advisory positions to support our commercial banking operations. This increase was partially offset by decreases in our incentive compensation plans and ESOP expense, as a result of actual 2008 annual financial results being below our expectations.

2007 compared to 2006

The CB's net interest income increased by \$43.6 million in 2007, primarily due to an increase in income from CB's loan portfolio and an increase in income from earnings credit received on deposit products. The increase in interest income from the CB's loan portfolio was primarily due to growth in the CB's loan portfolio. The increase in interest income from earnings credit received on deposits was primarily related to the full-year effect of short-term market rate increases in 2006, partially offset by rate decreases in late 2007.

Noninterest income increased by \$20.9 million in 2007, primarily due to solid fee income growth, largely driven by a \$7.6 million increase in client investment fees, a \$5.5 million increase in foreign exchange fees and a \$5.2 million increase in deposit service charges. The increase in client investment fees was primarily attributable to the growth in average client investment funds, with particularly strong growth in our overnight repurchase agreements as a result of increased deposits from our venture capital/private equity clients, as well as an increase in the number of managed portfolios within our client investment assets under management, as a result of increased deposits from our later-stage technology clients. The increase in foreign exchange fees reflected higher notional volumes converted. The increase in deposit service charges was primarily attributable to a decrease in our earnings credit rate obtained by clients to offset deposit service charges, as well as an increase in fee rates and volumes of transactions.

Noninterest expense increased by \$8.6 million in 2007, primarily due to an increase in compensation and benefits expense, both through direct employees of CB's operations, as well as through allocated expenses from support groups. The increase in compensation and benefits expense was primarily a result of increased incentive and direct drive compensation expense due to better than expected overall financial performance for SVB Financial Group and from increases in the average number of FTE employees at CB, which increased to 469 in 2007, compared to 431 in 2006.

SVB Capital

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| Net interest income | \$ 171 | \$ 646 | (73.5)% | \$ 772 | (16.3)% |
| Noninterest income | 5,583 | 20,461 | (72.7) | 10,524 | 94.4 |
| Noninterest expense | (17,380) | (14,557) | 19.4 | (9,140) | 59.3 |
| (Loss) income before income tax expense | <u>\$(11,626)</u> | <u>\$ 6,550</u> | (277.5) | <u>\$ 2,156</u> | 203.8 |
| Total average assets | \$407,728 | \$292,854 | 39.2 | \$212,454 | 37.8 |

SVB Capital's components of noninterest income primarily include net gains (losses) on investment securities, net gains (losses) on derivative instruments, and fund management fees, all net of minority interests and carried interest. When we refer to net gains (losses) on investment securities in the discussion below, we are referring to net gains (losses) from investment securities, net of minority interest and carried interest. When we

refer to net gains (losses) on derivative instruments in the discussion below, we are referring to net gains (losses) from derivative instruments, net of minority interest.

We experience variability in the performance of SVB Capital from quarter to quarter due to a number of factors, including changes in the values of our funds' investments, changes in the amount of distributions and general economic and market conditions. Such variability may lead to volatility in the gains (losses) from investment securities and gains (losses) from derivative instruments and cause our results for a particular period not to be indicative of future performance. The valuation of our consolidated investment funds continued to be affected by a more discerning venture capital environment, declining M&A activity among our portfolio companies in the later half of 2008, and a significant decline in IPO's in 2008.

2008 compared to 2007

Noninterest income decreased by \$14.9 million in 2008, primarily due to net losses on investment securities in 2008, compared to net gains on investment securities in 2007. SVB Capital's components of noninterest income primarily include the following:

- Net losses on investment securities of \$3.1 million in 2008, compared to net gains of \$13.2 million in 2007. The net losses on investment securities of \$3.1 million in 2008 were primarily due to net losses of \$3.3 million from lower valuations at one of our sponsored debt funds mainly attributable to decreases in the share price of certain investments and net losses of \$1.1 million from our SVB Financial private equity fund investments from impairments. These net losses were partially offset by net gains of \$1.0 million from our managed funds, primarily due to realized gains from distributions and carried interest, partially offset by net decreases in the fair value of fund investments.
- Fund management fees of \$8.5 million in 2008, compared to \$8.6 million in 2007.

Noninterest expense increased by \$2.8 million in 2008, primarily due to an increase in compensation and benefits expense. The increase in compensation and benefits expense was primarily a result of growth in the number of average FTE employees to support growth in fund activities at SVB Capital, which increased to 40 in 2008, compared to 23 in 2007.

2007 compared to 2006

Noninterest income increased by \$9.9 million in 2007, primarily due to increases in net gains on investment securities and fund management fees, partially offset by lower net gains on derivative instruments. SVB Capital's components of noninterest income primarily include the following:

- Net gains on investment securities of \$13.2 million in 2007, compared to net gains of \$0.6 million in 2006. The net gains of \$13.2 million in 2007 were primarily related to \$6.0 million of net gains from our sponsored debt funds and \$3.1 million of net gains from two of our managed funds of funds, primarily related to net increases in the fair value of fund investments and realized gains from distributions.
- Fund management fees of \$8.6 million in 2007, compared to \$4.7 million in 2006. The increase was primarily related to the full-year effect of management fees recognized from SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP and SVB Capital Partners II, LP, which were established in the third quarter of 2006, as well as from SVB India Capital Partners I, LP, which was established in the second quarter of 2007.
- Net gains on derivative instruments of \$1.1 million in 2007, compared to \$4.3 million in 2006. The decrease in net gains on derivative instruments of \$3.2 million in 2007 was primarily due to lower net gains recognized from the exercise of warrants from one of our sponsored debt funds.

Noninterest expense increased by \$5.4 million in 2007, primarily due to an increase in compensation and benefits expense. The increase in compensation and benefits expense was primarily a result of increased

incentive compensation expense due to better than expected financial performance overall in 2007 by SVB Financial Group.

Other Business Services

Our Other Business Services group includes SVB Private Client Services, SVB Global, SVB Analytics, and SVB Wine Division.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| Net interest income | \$ 42,004 | \$ 34,165 | 22.9% | \$ 33,018 | 3.5% |
| Noninterest income | 11,193 | 7,650 | 46.3 | 3,974 | 92.5 |
| Noninterest expense | (40,849) | (31,335) | 30.4 | (22,095) | 41.8 |
| Income before income tax expense | \$ 12,348 | \$ 10,480 | 17.8 | \$ 14,897 | (29.7) |
| Total average loans | \$965,515 | \$808,316 | 19.4 | \$716,214 | 12.9 |
| Total average assets | 999,520 | 829,825 | 20.4 | 731,808 | 13.4 |
| Total average deposits | 427,134 | 257,506 | 65.9 | 224,742 | 14.6 |
| Goodwill at period end | 4,092 | 4,092 | — | 4,092 | — |

Net Interest Income—Other Business Services

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| SVB Private Client Services | \$14,990 | \$14,472 | 3.6% | \$13,835 | 4.6% |
| SVB Global | 12,374 | 7,799 | 58.7 | 5,759 | 35.4 |
| SVB Analytics (1) | (136) | (143) | (4.9) | (48) | 197.9 |
| SVB Wine Division | 14,776 | 12,037 | 22.8 | 13,472 | (10.7) |
| Total Other Business Services | \$42,004 | \$34,165 | 22.9% | \$33,018 | 3.5% |

(1) Includes net interest income from SVB Analytics and its subsidiary, eProsper.

The increase in net interest income of \$7.8 million in 2008, compared to 2007 was primarily due to increases from SVB Global and SVB Wine Division. The increase for SVB Global was primarily due to our increased focus on serving our international venture fund clients, which resulted in an increase in average deposit balances. The increase in net interest income for SVB Wine Division was primarily due to decreases in the earnings charge incurred by SVB Wine Division for funded loans related to decreases in short-term market interest rates, as a significant portion of loans in our SVB Wine Division are fixed-rate loans.

The increase in net interest income of \$1.1 million in 2007, compared to 2006 was primarily due to increases for SVB Global and SVB Private Client Services. The increase for SVB Global was primarily due our increased focus on serving our international venture fund clients, which resulted in an increase in average deposit balances. The increase for SVB Private Client Services was primarily due to increased average loan balances due to an increased focus on providing loan solutions to partners of private equity firms.

Noninterest Income—Other Business Services

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| SVB Private Client Services | \$ 825 | \$ 806 | 2.4% | \$1,402 | (42.5)% |
| SVB Global | 1,711 | 1,453 | 17.8 | 1,147 | 26.7 |
| SVB Analytics (1) | 7,809 | 4,555 | 71.4 | 764 | 496.2 |
| SVB Wine Division | 848 | 836 | 1.4 | 661 | 26.5 |
| Total Other Business Services | <u>\$11,193</u> | <u>\$7,650</u> | 46.3% | <u>\$3,974</u> | 92.5% |

(1) Includes noninterest income from SVB Analytics and its subsidiary, eProsper.

The increase in noninterest income of \$3.5 million in 2008, compared to 2007 was primarily due to an increase from SVB Analytics. SVB Analytics' revenues increased by \$3.3 million to \$6.4 million in 2008, compared to \$3.1 million in 2007, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of clients to 834 clients in 2008, compared to 408 in 2007.

The increase in noninterest income of \$3.7 million in 2007, compared to 2006 was primarily due to increases for SVB Analytics, which commenced operations in the second quarter of 2006, and from its subsidiary, eProsper, which we acquired a majority ownership of in the third quarter of 2006. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in noninterest income for SVB Private Client Services. SVB Analytics' revenues increased by \$2.7 million to \$3.1 million in 2007, compared to \$0.4 million in 2006, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of clients to 408 clients in 2007, compared to 36 in 2006. eProsper's revenues, net of minority interest, increased by \$1.1 million to \$1.5 million in 2007, compared to \$0.4 million in 2006, primarily as a result of consolidating a full year of revenue in 2007. The decrease in noninterest income for SVB Private Client Services in 2007 was primarily due to the sale of its subsidiary, Woodside Asset Management, Inc. in early 2006.

Noninterest Expense—Other Business Services

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 | 2006 | % Change 2007/2006 |
| SVB Private Client Services | \$ 9,904 | \$ 7,425 | 33.4% | \$ 7,949 | (6.6)% |
| SVB Global | 16,780 | 10,330 | 62.4 | 6,138 | 68.3 |
| SVB Analytics (1) | 9,626 | 8,563 | 12.4 | 2,899 | 195.4 |
| SVB Wine Division | 4,539 | 5,017 | (9.5) | 5,109 | (1.8) |
| Total Other Business Services | <u>\$40,849</u> | <u>\$31,335</u> | 30.4% | <u>\$22,095</u> | 41.8% |

(1) Includes noninterest expense from SVB Analytics and its subsidiary, eProsper.

The increase in noninterest expense of \$9.5 million in 2008, compared to 2007 was primarily due to increases for SVB Global, SVB Private Client Services, and SVB Analytics. The increase in SVB Global's expense was primarily related to an increase in allocated compensation and benefits expense as a result of our focus on global initiatives. The increase in SVB Private Client Services' expense was primarily related to activities of the SVB Private Equity Relationship group. The increase in SVB Analytics' expense was a result of continued growth in both SVB Analytics' and eProsper's businesses.

The increase in noninterest expense of \$9.2 million in 2007, compared to 2006 was primarily due to increases for SVB Analytics and SVB Global. Expenses for SVB Analytics, which included eProsper's expenses, increased primarily as a result of the development of this division as operations commenced in the second and third quarters of 2006, respectively. The increase in SVB Global's expense was primarily related to an increase in

allocated compensation and benefits expense as a result of our focus on global initiatives, as well as an increase in salaries and wages expense due to increases in the average number of FTE employees at SVB Global, which increased to 24 in 2007, compared to 19 in 2006.

Consolidated Financial Condition

Our total assets were \$10.02 billion at December 31, 2008, an increase of \$3.33 billion, or 49.7 percent, compared to \$6.69 billion at December 31, 2007, which increased \$611.0 million, or 10.0 percent compared to \$6.08 billion at December 31, 2006. The increase in 2008 was primarily a result of our strong deposit growth due to our focus on growing on-balance sheet deposits. The increase in 2007, compared to 2006, was primarily due to increased levels of loans.

Federal Funds Sold, Securities Purchased under Agreements to Resell, and Other Short-Term Investments

Average balances of federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investments were \$507.4 million in 2008, an increase of \$149.7 million, or 41.9 percent, compared to \$357.7 million in 2007, which increased \$125.1 million, or 53.8 percent, compared to \$232.6 million in 2006. The increase in 2008 was primarily due to an increase in interest-earning deposits of \$95.2 million and other short-term investment securities of \$70.9 million. The increase in 2007, compared to 2006, was primarily due to higher levels of other short-term investments, federal funds sold overnight and interest-earning deposits.

Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investments were \$647.4 million at December 31, 2008, compared to \$358.7 million at December 31, 2007 and \$239.3 million at December 31, 2006.

Investment Securities

Investment securities totaled \$1.79 billion at December 31, 2008, an increase of \$183.5 million, or 11.5 percent, compared to \$1.60 billion at December 31, 2007, which decreased \$89.8 million, or 5.3 percent, compared to \$1.69 billion at December 31, 2006. The increase in 2008 was primarily related to increases in our non-marketable securities, mainly due to increases in investments managed by our funds of funds, our co-investment funds, and direct investments managed by SVB Capital, as well as increases in the balances of our marketable securities, particularly our mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. The decrease in 2007, compared to 2006, was primarily related to decreases in our available-for-sale securities portfolio, primarily due to scheduled maturities and regular prepayments. The following table presents a profile of our investment securities portfolio at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Marketable securities: | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities, at fair value: | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ — | \$ 20,128 | \$ 29,712 |
| U.S. agencies and corporations: | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 579,749 | 536,383 | 629,677 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 467,450 | 390,978 | 429,156 |
| U.S. agency debentures | 113,603 | 161,080 | 230,823 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 47,481 | 61,290 | 69,375 |
| Municipal bonds and notes | 108,755 | 81,855 | 56,453 |
| Marketable equity securities | 152 | 7,391 | 257 |
| Venture capital fund investments | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | <u>1,317,191</u> | <u>1,259,106</u> | <u>1,445,455</u> |
| Marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) (1) | 1,703 | 3,591 | 88 |
| Non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting): | | | |
| Private equity fund investments (2) | 242,645 | 194,862 | 126,475 |
| Other private equity investments (3) | 82,444 | 44,872 | 32,913 |
| Other investments (4) | 1,547 | 12,080 | 15,306 |
| Non-marketable securities (equity method accounting): | | | |
| Other investments (5) | 27,000 | 21,299 | 15,710 |
| Low income housing tax credit funds | 31,510 | 24,491 | 22,664 |
| Non-marketable securities (cost method accounting): | | | |
| Private equity fund investments (6) | 69,971 | 35,006 | 27,771 |
| Other private equity investments | 12,089 | 7,267 | 5,961 |
| Total investment securities | <u>\$1,786,100</u> | <u>\$1,602,574</u> | <u>\$1,692,343</u> |

(1) Marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) represent investments managed by us or our consolidated subsidiaries that were originally made within our non-marketable securities portfolio that have been converted into publicly-traded shares. The following table shows the amounts of investments by the following funds and our ownership of each fund at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % |
| Partners for Growth, LP | \$1,233 | 50.0% | \$2,556 | 50.0% | \$88 | 50.0% |
| SVB India Capital Partners I, LP | 470 | 14.4 | 1,035 | 13.9 | — | — |
| Total marketable securities | <u>\$1,703</u> | | <u>\$3,591</u> | | <u>\$88</u> | |

- (2) The following table shows the amounts of investments by the following consolidated fund of funds and our ownership of each fund at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP ... | \$ 65,985 | 12.6% | \$ 68,744 | 12.6% | \$ 65,977 | 12.6% |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP ... | 94,161 | 8.6 | 81,382 | 8.6 | 47,668 | 8.6 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP .. | 80,780 | 5.9 | 44,736 | 5.9 | 12,830 | 6.5 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP .. | 1,719 | 5.0 | — | — | — | — |
| Total private equity fund investments | <u>\$242,645</u> | | <u>\$194,862</u> | | <u>\$126,475</u> | |

- (3) The following table shows the amounts of investments by the following consolidated co-investment funds and our ownership of each fund at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % |
| Silicon Valley BancVentures, LP .. | \$24,188 | 10.7% | \$28,068 | 10.7% | \$29,388 | 10.7% |
| SVB Capital Partners II, LP (i) | 38,234 | 5.1 | 14,458 | 5.1 | 3,525 | 8.5 |
| SVB India Capital Partners I, LP ... | 20,022 | 14.4 | 2,346 | 13.9 | — | — |
| Total other private equity investments | <u>\$82,444</u> | | <u>\$44,872</u> | | <u>\$32,913</u> | |

- (i) At December 31, 2008, we had a direct ownership interest of 1.3% and an indirect ownership interest of 3.8% in the fund through our ownership of SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP.

- (4) Other investments within non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) include our ownership in Partners for Growth, LP, a consolidated sponsored debt fund. At December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 we had a majority ownership interest of approximately 50.0% in the fund. Partners for Growth, LP is managed by a third party and we do not have an ownership interest in the general partner of this fund.

- (5) The following table shows the amounts of investments by the following sponsored debt funds and our ownership of each fund at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % |
| Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP (i) ... | \$18,234 | 9.3% | \$15,915 | 9.3% | \$13,506 | 9.3% |
| Partners for Growth II, LP | 8,559 | 24.2 | 5,384 | 24.2 | 2,204 | 24.2 |
| Other fund investment | 207 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total other investments | <u>\$27,000</u> | | <u>\$21,299</u> | | <u>\$15,710</u> | |

- (i) At December 31, 2008, we had a direct ownership interest of 4.8% in the fund. In addition, we had a 90.7% direct ownership interest in the fund's general partner, Gold Hill Venture Lending Partners 03, LLC ("GHLLC"). GHLLC has a direct ownership interest of 5.0% in Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP and its parallel funds. Our indirect interest in the fund through our investment in GHLLC is 4.5%. Our direct and indirect ownership in the fund is 9.3%.

- (6) Represents investments in 360, 324 and 302 private equity funds at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, where our ownership interest is less than 5% of the voting stock of each such fund.

- (3) Consumer and other loans consist of loans to targeted high-net-worth individuals. These products and services include home equity lines of credit, secured lines of credit, restricted stock purchase loans and capital call lines of credit. This category also includes loans made to eligible employees through our Employee Home Ownership Plan (“EHOP”). Loans secured by real estate at December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were comprised of the following:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Home equity lines of credit (i) | \$ 89,544 | \$ 84,808 | \$ 92,093 | \$ 97,863 | \$ 84,503 |
| Loans for personal residences (ii) | 58,700 | 48,066 | 32,173 | 21,263 | 5,894 |
| Loans to eligible employees (iii) | 74,759 | 48,973 | 35,565 | 31,234 | 24,805 |
| Consumer loans secured by real estate | <u>\$223,003</u> | <u>\$181,847</u> | <u>\$159,831</u> | <u>\$150,360</u> | <u>\$115,202</u> |

- (i) Represents home equity lines of credits, which may have been used to finance real estate investments.
(ii) Represents loans used to purchase, renovate or refinance personal residences.
(iii) Represents loans made to eligible employees through our EHOP.

- (4) Unearned income was \$45.4 million, \$26.4 million, \$27.2 million, \$25.0 million and \$18.4 million in 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Loan Concentration

Loan concentrations may exist when there are borrowers engaged in similar activities or types of loans extended to a diverse group of borrowers that could cause those borrowers or portfolios to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. A substantial percentage of our loans are commercial in nature, and such loans are generally made to emerging-technology, early-stage and mid-stage companies in technology-related industries. The breakdown of total gross loans and total loans as a percentage of gross loans by industry sector is as follows:

| Industry Sector | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Technology (1) | \$2,666,372 | 48.0% | \$1,948,925 | 46.6% |
| Private Equity | 1,065,424 | 19.2 | 773,932 | 18.5 |
| Life Sciences (1) | 601,690 | 10.8 | 407,856 | 9.8 |
| Private Client Services | 523,299 | 9.4 | 402,563 | 9.6 |
| Premium Winery | 419,916 | 7.6 | 375,562 | 9.0 |
| All other sectors | 274,935 | 5.0 | 269,260 | 6.5 |
| Total gross loans | <u>\$5,551,636</u> | <u>100.0%</u> | <u>\$4,178,098</u> | <u>100.0%</u> |

- (1) Included in the technology and life science niches are loans provided to early-stage clients, which represent approximately 12 percent of total gross loans at December 31, 2008, compared to 14 percent at December 31, 2007.

The following table provides a summary of concentration in our loan portfolio by industry sector and size of loan as of December 31, 2008:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | Less than Five Million | More than Five to Ten Million | More than Ten to Twenty Million | More than Twenty to Thirty Million | More than Thirty Million | Total |
| Technology | \$1,236,293 | \$328,518 | \$ 533,694 | \$283,403 | \$284,464 | \$2,666,372 |
| Private Equity | 186,289 | 222,806 | 304,264 | 115,175 | 236,890 | 1,065,424 |
| Life Sciences | 324,915 | 120,249 | 102,325 | 21,800 | 32,401 | 601,690 |
| Private Client Services | 278,330 | 79,360 | 60,433 | 22,719 | 82,457 | 523,299 |
| Premium Winery | 184,798 | 115,841 | 98,967 | 20,310 | — | 419,916 |
| All other sectors | 81,002 | 53,255 | 90,178 | 50,500 | — | 274,935 |
| Total gross loans | <u>\$2,291,627</u> | <u>\$920,029</u> | <u>\$1,189,861</u> | <u>\$513,907</u> | <u>\$636,212</u> | <u>\$5,551,636</u> |

At December 31, 2008, gross loans totaling \$1.15 billion, or 20.7 percent of our portfolio, were individually greater than \$20 million. These loans represented 36 clients, and of these loans \$66.7 million were on nonaccrual status as of December 31, 2008.

The following table provides a summary of concentration in our loan portfolio by industry sector and size of loan as of December 31, 2007:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2007 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | Less than Five Million | More than Five to Ten Million | More than Ten to Twenty Million | More than Twenty to Thirty Million | More than Thirty Million | Total |
| Technology | \$ 959,046 | \$305,721 | \$449,518 | \$ 97,230 | \$137,410 | \$1,948,925 |
| Private Equity | 177,414 | 43,344 | 215,826 | 95,655 | 241,693 | 773,932 |
| Life Sciences | 233,323 | 68,970 | 44,900 | 28,717 | 31,946 | 407,856 |
| Private Client Services | 219,999 | 69,555 | 52,895 | 25,000 | 35,114 | 402,563 |
| Premium Winery | 174,505 | 106,993 | 72,323 | 21,741 | — | 375,562 |
| All other sectors | 90,067 | 31,594 | 89,680 | 24,937 | 32,982 | 269,260 |
| Total gross loans | <u>\$1,854,354</u> | <u>\$626,177</u> | <u>\$925,142</u> | <u>\$293,280</u> | <u>\$479,145</u> | <u>\$4,178,098</u> |

At December 31, 2007, gross loans totaling \$772.4 million, or 18.5 percent of our portfolio, were individually greater than \$20 million. These loans represented 23 clients, and of these loans \$0.3 million were on nonaccrual status as of December 31, 2007.

At December 31, 2008, our asset-based lending, which consists primarily of working capital lines, and our accounts receivable factoring represented 8.2 percent and 6.3 percent, respectively, of total gross loans, compared to 8.4 percent and 7.4 percent, respectively at December 31, 2007. Approximately 44.7 percent and 10.6 percent of our outstanding total gross loan balances as of December 31, 2008 were to entities based in the states of California and Massachusetts, respectively, compared to 43.5 percent and 11.1 percent, respectively, as of December 31, 2007. There are no other states with balances greater than 10 percent.

As of December 31, 2008, 75.3 percent, or \$4.18 billion, of our outstanding total gross loans were variable-rate loans that adjust at a prescribed measurement date upon a change in our prime-lending rate or other variable indices, compared to 73.0 percent, or \$3.05 billion, as of December 31, 2007. The following table sets forth the remaining contractual maturity distribution of our gross loans at December 31, 2008, for fixed and variable rate loans:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>One Year or Less</u> | <u>After One Year and Through Five Years</u> | <u>After Five Years</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Fixed rate loans: | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$ 68,621 | \$ 911,291 | \$ 1,087 | \$ 980,999 |
| Premium wine | 27,434 | 69,311 | 136,876 | 233,621 |
| Community development loans | 7,869 | 12,136 | 1,644 | 21,649 |
| Consumer and other | 22,824 | 42,121 | 67,336 | 132,281 |
| Total fixed-rate loans | \$ 126,748 | \$1,034,859 | \$206,943 | \$1,368,550 |
| Variable-rate loans: | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$1,818,274 | \$1,716,689 | \$ 43,707 | \$3,578,670 |
| Premium wine | 86,130 | 73,365 | 26,799 | 186,294 |
| Community development loans | 21,858 | 3,737 | 1,511 | 27,106 |
| Consumer and other | 278,710 | 27,640 | 84,666 | 391,016 |
| Total variable-rate loans | \$2,204,972 | \$1,821,431 | \$156,683 | \$4,183,086 |

Upon maturity, loans satisfying our credit quality standards may be eligible for renewal. Such renewals are subject to the normal underwriting and credit administration practices associated with new loans. We do not grant loans with unconditional extension terms.

Loan Administration

Through the authority delegated by the Board of Directors, the Directors' Loan Committee ("DLC") oversees our credit policies. Our DLC, comprised of four of our outside directors, periodically reviews and approves our credit policies, our loan underwriting, approval, and monitoring activities.

Subject to the oversight of DLC, lending authority is delegated to the Chief Credit Officer and our management's loan committee, which consists of the Chief Credit Officer and other senior members of our lending management. Requests for new and existing credits that meet certain size and underwriting criteria may be approved outside of our loan committee by designated senior lenders or jointly with a senior credit officer or division risk manager.

Credit Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses

The following table presents a summary of the activity for the allowance for loan losses as of the five most recent year-ends:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 47,293 | \$ 42,747 | \$ 36,785 | \$ 37,613 | \$ 49,862 |
| Charge-offs: | | | | | |
| Commercial | (39,663) | (19,274) | (13,848) | (9,357) | (15,842) |
| Premium wine | (309) | (4) | (18) | (1,523) | (109) |
| Community development loans | (167) | — | — | (3) | (245) |
| Consumer and other | (7,676) | (100) | (199) | (1,533) | — |
| Total charge-offs | (47,815) | (19,378) | (14,065) | (12,416) | (16,196) |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Commercial | 7,010 | 7,022 | 7,553 | 10,481 | 13,673 |
| Premium wine | 170 | 66 | 1,415 | 868 | 559 |
| Community development loans | 21 | — | 1,090 | 2 | 4 |
| Consumer and other | 4 | — | 92 | — | — |
| Total recoveries | 7,205 | 7,088 | 10,150 | 11,351 | 14,236 |
| Net charge-offs | (40,610) | (12,290) | (3,915) | (1,065) | (1,960) |
| Provision for loan losses | 100,713 | 16,836 | 9,877 | 237 | (10,289) |
| Balance, end of year | \$107,396 | \$ 47,293 | \$ 42,747 | \$ 36,785 | \$ 37,613 |
| Net charge-offs to average total gross loans | 0.87% | 0.35% | 0.14% | 0.04% | 0.10% |

The following table summarizes the allocation of the allowance for loan losses among specific classes of loans as of the five most recent year-ends:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
| | Amount | Percent of Total Loans (1) | Amount | Percent of Total Loans (1) | Amount | Percent of Total Loans (1) | Amount | Percent of Total Loans (1) | Amount | Percent of Total Loans (1) |
| Commercial | \$ 94,499 | 82.0% | \$37,896 | 80.0% | \$34,370 | 78.2% | \$28,301 | 76.4% | \$24,450 | 74.3% |
| Premium wine | 7,548 | 7.6 | 6,218 | 9.0 | 5,082 | 10.8 | 5,339 | 12.3 | 6,138 | 14.3 |
| Community development loans | 594 | 0.9 | 500 | 1.3 | 387 | 1.1 | 296 | 1.1 | 1,378 | 1.2 |
| Consumer and other | 4,755 | 9.5 | 2,679 | 9.7 | 2,908 | 9.9 | 2,849 | 10.2 | 5,647 | 10.2 |
| Total | \$107,396 | 100.0% | \$47,293 | 100.0% | \$42,747 | 100.0% | \$36,785 | 100.0% | \$37,613 | 100.0% |

(1) Represents loan type as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income as of year end.

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets consist of loans past due 90 days or more that are still accruing interest, loans on nonaccrual status, and foreclosed property classified as Other Real Estate Owned (“OREO”). We measure all loans placed on nonaccrual status for impairment based on the fair value of the underlying collateral or the net present value of the expected cash flows in accordance with SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan. The table below sets forth certain data and ratios between nonperforming loans, nonperforming assets and the allowance for loan losses.

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Nonperforming loans: | | | | | |
| Loans past due 90 days or more still accruing interest | \$ 2,330 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 1,046 | \$ 616 |
| Nonaccrual loans | 84,919 | 7,634 | 10,977 | 6,499 | 14,322 |
| Total nonperforming loans | 87,249 | 7,634 | 10,977 | 7,545 | 14,938 |
| OREO | 1,250 | 1,908 | 5,677 | 6,255 | — |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 88,499 | \$ 9,542 | \$ 16,654 | \$ 13,800 | \$ 14,938 |
| Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total gross loans | 1.57% | 0.18% | 0.31% | 0.26% | 0.64% |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets | 0.88 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.29 |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$107,396 | \$47,293 | \$42,747 | \$36,785 | \$37,613 |
| As a percentage of total gross loans | 1.93% | 1.13% | 1.22% | 1.28% | 1.62% |
| As a percentage of nonperforming loans | 123.09 | 619.50 | 389.42 | 566.01 | 262.62 |
| Reserve for unfunded credit commitments (1) | \$ 14,698 | \$13,446 | \$14,653 | \$17,115 | \$16,187 |

(1) The “Reserve for unfunded credit commitments” is included as a component of “Other Liabilities”. See “Provision for (Reduction of) Unfunded Credit Commitments” above for a discussion of the changes to the reserve.

Our allowance for loan losses as a percent of total gross loans increased by 80 basis points to 1.93 percent at December 31, 2008, compared to 1.13 at December 31, 2007. The increase was primarily due to two factors: (i) impact of the continuing deterioration of the current economic environment; and (ii) the current estimated impact of loans, including loans to HRJ.

Nonaccrual Loans

The following table presents a detailed composition of nonaccrual loans by industry sector as of the five most recent year-ends:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Technology | \$11,967 | \$2,936 | \$ 4,672 | \$ 127 | \$ 1,385 |
| Private Equity | 43,965 | — | — | — | — |
| Life Sciences | 5,008 | — | — | — | — |
| Private Client Services | 22,719 | 222 | — | — | 4,499 |
| Premium Winery | — | 4,476 | 4,787 | 1,558 | — |
| All other sectors | 1,260 | — | 1,518 | 4,814 | 8,438 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | \$84,919 | \$7,634 | \$10,977 | \$6,499 | \$14,322 |

If the impaired loans for 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 had not been impaired, \$0.5 million, \$0.7 million, \$0.6 million, \$0.4 million and \$1.5 million in interest income would have been recorded, while

interest income actually recognized totaled \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Nonaccrual loans increased by \$77.3 million to \$84.9 million in 2008, compared to \$7.6 million in 2007. The increase of our nonaccrual loans primarily came from HRJ loan facilities, and from loans within our SVB Private Client Services.

Goodwill

Goodwill at both December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was \$4.1 million, which resulted from our acquisition in 2006 of a majority ownership interest in eProsper, an equity ownership data management services company. During the third quarter of 2008, we conducted our annual impairment analysis of eProsper in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, based on forecasted discounted net cash flow analysis. The valuation analysis of eProsper indicated no impairment existed.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Other Assets

A summary of accrued interest receivable and other assets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 |
| Derivative assets, gross (1) | \$174,990 | \$ 65,598 | 166.8% |
| Deferred tax assets and income tax receivable, net | 63,648 | 69,026 | (7.4) |
| FHLB and FRB stock | 35,651 | 27,210 | 31.0 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 35,218 | 30,624 | 15.0 |
| Foreign exchange spot contract assets, gross | 21,333 | 34,917 | (38.9) |
| OREO | 1,250 | 1,908 | (34.5) |
| Other assets | 30,354 | 29,379 | 2.4 |
| Total accrued interest receivable and other assets | <u>\$362,444</u> | <u>\$258,662</u> | 40.1% |

(1) See "Derivatives, Net" section below

Deferred Tax Assets and Income Tax Receivable, Net

Our deferred tax assets balance was \$63.2 million at December 31, 2008, compared to \$67.1 million at December 31, 2007. The decrease in our deferred tax assets balances was primarily attributed to the release of deferred tax assets related to goodwill deductions offset by additional tax assets recorded due to an increase in our provision for loan losses.

We pay quarterly estimated taxes to the Internal Revenue Service and the respective state and foreign taxing authorities. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had \$0.4 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, as income taxes receivable from these authorities.

Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") and Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") Stock

Our FHLB and FRB stock are restricted, as we are required to hold shares of FHLB and FRB stock under the Bank's borrowing agreements. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had \$25.8 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, in FHLB stock, and \$9.9 million and \$9.3 million, respectively, in FRB stock. The increase in FHLB stock was due to higher capital stock requirements at the FHLB based on usage.

The investment in FHLB stock is periodically evaluated for impairment based on, among other things, the capital adequacy of the FHLB and its overall financial condition. No impairment losses have been recorded through December 31, 2008.

Accrued Interest Receivable

Accrued interest receivable consists of interest on investment securities and loans. The increase of \$4.6 million in 2008 was primarily due to an increase in interest receivable on loans due to growth in our loan portfolio.

Derivatives, Net

Derivative instruments are recorded as a component of other assets or other liabilities on the balance sheet. The following table provides a summary of derivative assets (liabilities), net as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | % Change 2008/2007 |
|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Assets (liabilities): | | | |
| Equity warrant assets | \$ 43,659 | \$ 31,317 | 39.4% |
| Interest rate swaps—assets | 94,142 | 21,499 | 337.9 |
| Interest rate swaps—liabilities | — | (1,304) | (100.0) |
| Foreign exchange forward and option contracts—assets | 37,189 | 12,782 | 190.9 |
| Foreign exchange forward and option contracts—liabilities | (32,632) | (11,196) | 191.5 |
| Total derivatives, net | <u>\$142,358</u> | <u>\$ 53,098</u> | 168.1% |

Equity Warrant Assets

As part of negotiated credit facilities and certain other services, we frequently obtain rights to acquire stock in the form of equity warrant assets in certain client companies. At December 31, 2008, we held warrants in 1,307 companies, compared to 1,179 companies at December 31, 2007. The change in fair value of equity warrant assets is recorded in gains on derivatives instruments, net, in noninterest income, a component of consolidated net income. The following table provides a summary of transactions and valuation changes for equity warrant assets for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$31,317 | \$ 37,724 |
| New equity warrant assets | 11,464 | 7,072 |
| Non-cash increases in fair value | 5,927 | 7,429 |
| Exercised equity warrant assets | (2,475) | (18,265) |
| Terminated equity warrant assets | (2,574) | (2,643) |
| Balance, end of period | <u>\$43,659</u> | <u>\$ 31,317</u> |

Interest Rate Swaps

For information on our interest rate swaps, see Note 13—“Derivatives” of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

Foreign Exchange Forward and Foreign Currency Option Contracts

We enter into foreign exchange forward contracts and foreign currency option contracts with clients involved in international activities, either as the purchaser or seller, depending upon the clients’ need. For each forward or option contract entered into with our clients, we enter into an opposite way forward or option contract with a correspondent bank, which mitigates the risk of fluctuations in currency rates. We enter into forward

contracts with correspondent banks to economically hedge currency exposure risk related to certain foreign currency denominated loans. Revaluations of foreign currency denominated loans are recorded on the line item "Other" as part of noninterest income, a component of consolidated net income. We have not experienced nonperformance by a counterparty and therefore have not incurred related losses. Further, we anticipate performance by all counterparties.

At December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the aggregate notional amounts of foreign exchange forward contracts totaled \$772.7 million, \$580.9 million and \$562.2 million, respectively. Our maximum credit risk for counterparty nonperformance for foreign exchange forward contracts with both clients and correspondent banks at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 amounted to \$36.7 million, \$12.3 million and \$7.3 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the aggregate notional amounts of foreign currency option contracts totaled \$51.7 million, \$63.9 million and \$27.6 million, respectively. Our maximum credit risk to nonperformance of counterparties at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$0.5 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Deposits

The following table presents the composition of our deposits as of the three most recent year-ends.

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Deposits: | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$4,419,965 | \$3,226,859 | \$3,039,528 |
| Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) | 58,133 | 35,909 | 35,983 |
| Regular money market | 210,397 | 108,701 | 157,014 |
| Bonus money market | 1,002,689 | 832,541 | 511,780 |
| Foreign money market | 53,123 | — | — |
| Sweep | 1,349,965 | 72,083 | — |
| Time | 379,200 | 335,110 | 313,320 |
| Total deposits | \$7,473,472 | \$4,611,203 | \$4,057,625 |

The increase in our deposits in 2008 was primarily due to increases in our noninterest-bearing demand deposits of \$1.19 billion and our interest-bearing sweep deposits of \$1.28 billion due to the following factors: (i) our decision to utilize our own on-balance sheet sweep product introduced in 2007, and to discontinue offering a third-party, off-balance sheet product, (ii) our efforts to increase the competitiveness of our on-balance sheet product set and (iii) the desire for some clients to benefit from the security provided by FDIC insurance in noninterest-bearing accounts. At December 31, 2008, 40.9 percent of our total deposits were interest-bearing deposits, compared to 30.0 percent at December 31, 2007.

At December 31, 2008, the aggregate amount of time deposit accounts individually exceeding \$100,000 totaled \$326.8 million, compared to \$286.0 million at December 31, 2007 and \$264.8 million at December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2008, substantially all time deposit accounts exceeding \$100,000 were scheduled to mature within one year. No material portion of our deposits has been obtained from a single depositor and the loss of any one depositor would not materially affect our business. The maturity profile of our time deposits as of December 31, 2008 is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | Three months or less | Four to six months | More than six months to twelve months | More than twelve months | Total |
| Time deposits, \$100,000 and over | \$160,286 | \$69,238 | \$ 97,003 | \$300 | \$326,827 |
| Other time deposits | 33,378 | 7,533 | 11,301 | 161 | 52,373 |
| Total time deposits | <u>\$193,664</u> | <u>\$76,771</u> | <u>\$108,304</u> | <u>\$461</u> | <u>\$379,200</u> |

Short-Term Borrowings

The following table summarizes our short-term borrowings that mature in one month or less:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | | 2006 | |
| | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate |
| Other short-term borrowings (1) | \$62,120 | 0.12% | \$ — | —% | \$ — | —% |
| Federal funds purchased | — | — | — | — | 310,000 | 5.38 |
| FHLB advances | — | — | 90,000 | 4.48 | 200,000 | 5.30 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to repurchase | — | — | — | — | 173,537 | 5.31 |
| Total short-term borrowings | <u>\$62,120</u> | <u>0.12%</u> | <u>\$90,000</u> | <u>4.48%</u> | <u>\$683,537</u> | <u>5.34%</u> |

(1) Represents cash collateral called from counterparties for our interest rate swap agreements related to our senior and subordinated notes.

The decrease in short-term borrowings of \$27.9 million in 2008 was primarily due to increases in deposits, which resulted from our decision to utilize our own on-balance sheet sweep product introduced in 2007, and to discontinue offering a third-party, off-balance sheet sweep deposit product. The decrease in short-term borrowings of \$593.5 million in 2007 was primarily attributable to the issuance of our senior and subordinated notes in May 2007, cash inflows from two new deposit products, as well as year-end increases in noninterest-bearing deposit balances.

Average daily balances and maximum month-end balances for our short-term borrowings are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Average daily balances: | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$222,048 | \$178,806 | \$154,847 |
| FHLB advances | 79,740 | 122,233 | 33,466 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to repurchase | 2,818 | 18,845 | 212,129 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 290 | 245 | 471 |
| | <u>\$304,896</u> | <u>\$320,129</u> | <u>\$400,913</u> |
| Maximum month-end balances: | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$640,000 | \$440,000 | \$430,000 |
| FHLB advances | 300,000 | 190,000 | 200,000 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to repurchase | 5,360 | 84,556 | 479,767 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 62,120 | 1,100 | 2,915 |

Long-Term Debt

The following table represents outstanding long-term debt at December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| FHLB advances | \$ 100,000 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 |
| 5.70% senior notes | 279,370 | 259,706 | — |
| 6.05% subordinated notes | 313,953 | 261,099 | — |
| Zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | — | 149,269 | 148,441 |
| 3.875% convertible senior notes | 250,000 | — | — |
| 7.0% junior subordinated debentures | 55,914 | 52,511 | 51,355 |
| 8.0% long-term notes payable | 1,403 | 2,669 | 2,669 |
| Total long-term debt | <u>\$1,000,640</u> | <u>\$875,254</u> | <u>\$352,465</u> |

The increase in our long-term debt in 2008 was primarily due to the issuance of \$250 million of 2008 Convertible Notes due in 2011 in April 2008, partially offset by the maturity of our 2003 Convertible Notes on June 15, 2008. The increase in 2007, compared to 2006, was primarily attributable to the issuance of our senior and subordinated notes in May 2007. For a description of our long-term debt, please refer to Note 12—“Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt” of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

Other Liabilities

A summary of other liabilities as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | % Change 2008/2007 |
| Accrued compensation | \$ 35,957 | \$ 67,484 | (46.7)% |
| Foreign exchange spot contract liabilities, gross | 34,008 | 46,025 | (26.1) |
| Derivative liabilities, gross (1) | 32,632 | 12,500 | 161.1 |
| Reserve for unfunded credit commitments | 14,698 | 13,446 | 9.3 |
| Other | 58,258 | 59,788 | (2.6) |
| Total other liabilities | \$175,553 | \$199,243 | (11.9)% |

(1) See “Derivatives, Net” section above

Accrued Compensation

Accrued compensation include amounts for our Incentive Compensation Plans, vacation, Direct Drive Incentive Compensation Plan, Retention Program, Warrant Incentive Plan and ESOP. The decrease of \$31.5 million in 2008 was primarily due to a \$29.0 million decrease in accruals related to our Incentive Compensation Plan and a \$7.5 million decrease related to our ESOP, primarily due to our actual 2008 annual financial results being below our expectation as a result of impact of the continuing deterioration of the current economic environment. These decreases were partially offset by a \$5.2 million increase in our Direct Drive expense as sales team payout targets were exceeded, primarily due to our strong loan growth. For a description of our variable compensation plans please refer to Note 16—“Employee Compensation and Benefit Plans” of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

Reserve for Unfunded Credit Commitments

The level of reserve for unfunded credit commitments is determined following a methodology that parallels that used for the allowance for loan losses. We recognized a provision for unfunded credit commitments of \$1.3 million in 2008, compared to a reduction of the provision of \$1.2 million in 2007. The provision in 2008 was primarily reflective of the expected impact from the continuing deterioration in overall economic conditions.

Minority Interest In Capital of Consolidated Affiliates

Minority interest in capital of consolidated affiliates totaled \$320.4 million and \$240.1 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase of \$80.3 million was primarily due to equity transactions, which included \$118.6 million of contributed capital, primarily from investors in four of our managed funds for the purpose of investing in limited partnerships and portfolio companies, partially offset by \$19.0 million in distributions to the minority interest holders and \$19.1 million of net losses and carried interest from consolidated affiliates, primarily from our managed funds of funds and one of our sponsored debt funds.

Capital Resources

Our management seeks to maintain adequate capital to support anticipated asset growth, operating needs and unexpected credit risks, and to ensure that SVB Financial and the Bank are in compliance with all regulatory capital guidelines. Our primary sources of new capital include retained earnings and proceeds from the sale and issuance of capital stock or other securities. Our management engages in a regular capital planning process in an effort to make effective use of the capital available to us. The capital plan considers capital needs for the

foreseeable future and allocates capital to both existing and future business activities. Expected future use or activities for which capital may be set aside include balance sheet growth and unexpected credit losses, investment activity, potential product and business expansions and strategic or infrastructure investments.

In December 2008, we participated in the CPP, under which we received \$235 million in exchange for issuing shares of Series B Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase common stock to the Treasury. As a participant in CPP, we are subject to various restrictions and requirements, such as restrictions on our stock repurchases and payment of dividends, and other requirements relating to our executive compensation and corporate governance practices. Moreover under legislation such as the ARRA, we are subject to additional and broader executive compensation requirements. Under the new requirements under ARRA, we may early redeem the shares issued to the Treasury under the CPP early without any penalty or requirement to raise new capital, as previously required under the original terms of the CPP. See “Business—Supervision and Regulation—Recent Governmental Action” under Part I, Item 1 of this Annual Report. Proceeds from this issuance have been allocated primarily for the following purposes: (i) to continue lending to our current and prospective clients in our target markets, (ii) to maintain a sufficient cushion to absorb increased credit risks associated with the current economic environment, and (iii) to further strengthen our overall capital ratios, which we believe will attract higher levels of deposits to support our lending activities.

Common Stock

In 2008, under a stock repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors in July 2007, we repurchased during the first and second quarters of 2008, 1.0 million shares of our common stock totaling \$45.6 million, compared to 2.9 million in 2007 totaling \$146.8 million. In July 2008 upon expiration of the 2007 program, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing us to purchase up to \$150.0 million of our common stock, which expires on December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2008, \$150.0 million of shares remain authorized for repurchase under our current stock repurchase program. However, under the terms of our participation in the CPP, except in connection with benefit plans consistent with past practice and certain other circumstances specified in the underlying purchase agreement of the Series B Preferred Stock, we may not, without the prior consent of the Treasury, repurchase our common stock or other equity securities, prior to the earlier of December 12, 2011 and the date on which the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock have been redeemed in whole or have been transferred to a third party. In light of these restrictions, and given the challenges of the current capital markets environment and our plans for continued investment in our business to support future growth, we do not currently expect to repurchase, or to seek the Treasury’s consent to repurchase, shares of our common stock. We will continue to evaluate this position on an ongoing basis.

If we engage in stock repurchase activities, we may, from time to time, implement a non-discretionary trading plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, under which we will automatically repurchase shares of our common stock pursuant to a predetermined formula for a specified period of time.

Preferred Stock

The Series B Preferred Stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital, and holders are entitled to receive cumulative cash dividends at a rate of 5 percent per year for the first five years, and 9 percent per year thereafter, on a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. Dividends are payable quarterly in arrears on each of February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15, if, as and when declared by our Board of Directors (or its duly authorized committee), out of assets legally available for payment. The common stock warrant entitles the Treasury to purchase 708,116 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$49.78 for a term of ten years. As a result, we recorded a \$221.1 million increase in Series B Preferred Stock, which is net of a discount of \$13.9 million related to the common stock warrant.

Stockholders' Equity

Stockholders' equity totaled \$988.8 million at December 31, 2008, an increase of \$312.1 million, or 46.1 percent, from \$676.7 million at December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily the result of a \$221.2 million increase in Series B Preferred Stock as a result of our participation in CPP. The increase was also attributable to net income and the issuance of stock options during 2008, partially offset by common stock repurchases and the net cost of the convertible note hedge and warrant agreement entered into concurrently with the issuance of our 2008 Convertible Notes. SVB Financial has not paid a cash dividend on our common stock since 1992 and, as of December 31, 2008, there were no plans for any payment of dividends. Under the terms of our participation in the CPP, we may not, without the prior consent of the Treasury, pay any dividend on our common stock prior to the earlier of December 12, 2011 and the date on which the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock have been redeemed in whole or have been transferred to a third party. As of December 31, 2008, we had no plans to pay, or to seek consent from the Treasury to pay, cash dividends on our common stock.

Funds generated through retained earnings are a significant source of capital and liquidity and are expected to continue to be so in the future.

Liquidity

The objective of liquidity management is to ensure that funds are available in a timely manner to meet our financial needs, including paying creditors, meeting depositors' needs, accommodating loan demand and growth, fund investments, repurchasing shares and other capital needs, without incurring undue cost or risk, or causing a disruption to normal operating conditions.

We regularly assess the amount and likelihood of projected funding requirements through a review of factors such as historical deposit volatility and funding patterns, present and forecasted market and economic conditions, individual client funding needs, and existing and planned business activities. Our Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO"), which is a management committee, provides oversight to the liquidity management process and recommends policy guidelines, subject to the approval of the Finance Committee of our Board of Directors, and courses of action to address our actual and projected liquidity needs.

Historically, we have attracted a stable, low-cost deposit base, which has been our primary source of liquidity. From time to time, depending on market conditions, prevailing interest rates or our introduction of additional interest-bearing deposit products, our deposit levels and cost of deposits may fluctuate. We introduced an interest-bearing money market deposit product for early stage clients in the second quarter of 2007 and an interest-bearing sweep deposit product in late October 2007. At December 31, 2008, we grew our sweep deposit balance by \$1.28 billion to \$1.35 billion, compared to \$72.1 million at December 31, 2007. We continue to expand on opportunities to increase our liquidity and take steps to carefully manage our liquidity.

We have increased our use of other sources of liquidity available to us, primarily long-term indebtedness. Our long-term debt outstanding increased by \$125.4 million to \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2008, compared to \$875.3 million at December 31, 2007, due to the issuance of \$250.0 million in 3.875% convertible senior notes in April 2008. We used \$141.9 million of the net proceeds to settle the conversion of our zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes, which matured in June 2008. All of the remaining proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. In addition, on December 12, 2008, we received \$235 million and issued 235,000 shares of preferred stock under the CPP.

Our liquidity requirements can also be met through the use of our portfolio of liquid assets. Our definition of liquid assets includes cash and cash equivalents in excess of the minimum levels necessary to carry out normal business operations, investment securities maturing within six months, investment securities eligible and available for financing or pledging purposes with a maturity in excess of six months and anticipated near-term cash flows from investments.

Our policy guidelines provide that liquid assets as a percentage of total deposits should not fall below 20 percent. Our ratio of liquid assets to total deposits was 37.5 percent and 28.1 percent at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, both well in excess of our minimum policy guidelines. In addition to monitoring the level of liquid assets relative to total deposits, we also utilize other policy measures in liquidity management activities such as the percentage of liquid assets to volatile liabilities and our loan-to-deposit ratio.

On a stand-alone basis, SVB Financial's primary liquidity channels include dividends from the Bank, its investment portfolio assets, and its ability to raise debt and capital. The ability of the Bank to pay dividends is subject to certain regulations described in "Business—Supervision and Regulation—Restriction on Dividends" under Part I, Item 1 in this report.

Consolidated Summary of Cash Flows

Below is a summary of our average cash position and statement of cash flows for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Average cash and due from banks | \$ 281,007 | \$ 276,352 | \$ 242,305 |
| Average federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities | 507,365 | 357,673 | 232,634 |
| Average cash and cash equivalents | <u>\$ 788,372</u> | <u>\$ 634,025</u> | <u>\$ 474,939</u> |
| Percentage of total average assets | 10.6% | 10.5% | 8.8% |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 166,911 | \$ 174,880 | \$ 127,709 |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (1,596,183) | (515,506) | (299,569) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 3,184,019 | 392,104 | 342,347 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | <u>\$ 1,754,747</u> | <u>\$ 51,478</u> | <u>\$ 170,487</u> |

In analyzing our liquidity for 2008, 2007 and 2006, reference is made to our consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006; see "Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplemental Data" under Part II, Item 8 in this report. The statement of cash flows includes separate categories for operating, investing, and financing activities.

2008

Cash provided by operating activities was \$166.9 million in 2008, which included net income of \$78.6 million. Net cash inflows included \$100.7 million related to the provision for loan losses, \$25.1 million of depreciation and amortization, \$14.8 million in net losses on investment securities and \$13.6 million of share-based compensation amortization. Net cash outflows included a \$31.5 million decrease in accrued compensation as a result of actual 2008 annual financial results being below our expectations, \$19.1 million of minority interest in net losses of consolidated affiliates, \$11.5 million of net changes in the fair value of derivatives and \$8.5 million of amortization of deferred warrant-related loan fees.

Cash used for investing activities was \$1.60 billion in 2008. Net cash outflows included a net increase in loans of \$1.41 billion, purchases of available-for-sale securities of \$342.5 million, purchases of non-marketable securities of \$167.2 million and purchases of premises and equipment of \$8.5 million. Net cash inflows included proceeds from the sales, maturities and pay downs of available-for-sale securities of \$284.8 million, and non-marketable securities of \$34.9 million.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$3.19 billion in 2008. Net cash inflows included increases in deposits of \$2.86 billion, net proceeds of \$222.7 million from the issuance of our 2008 Convertible Notes, note

hedge and warrant, \$235.0 million from the issuance of preferred stock and a common stock warrant, net capital contributions from minority interests of \$99.4 million and proceeds from the issuance of our common stock and Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") of \$32.8 million. Net cash outflows included the settlement of our 2003 Convertible Notes of \$149.7 million, principal payments of other long-term debt of \$51.3 million, common stock repurchases of \$45.6 million and decreases in short-term borrowings of \$27.9 million.

Cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2008 were \$2.44 billion.

2007

Cash provided by operating activities was \$174.9 million in 2007, which included net income of \$123.6 million. Net cash inflows included \$28.6 million of minority interest in net income of consolidated affiliates, \$19.5 million of depreciation and amortization, \$17.2 million related to impairment of goodwill, \$16.8 million related to the provision for loan losses and \$15.1 million of share-based compensation amortization. Net cash outflows included \$46.7 million of net gains on investment securities, \$13.8 million of net changes in the fair value of derivatives, \$13.0 million of deferred income tax benefits and \$7.6 million of amortization of deferred warrant-related loan fees.

Cash used for investing activities was \$515.5 million in 2007. Net cash outflows included a net increase in loans of \$688.9 million, purchases of available-for-sale securities of \$77.8 million, purchases of non-marketable securities of \$110.3 million and purchases of premises and equipment of \$12.9 million. Net cash inflows included proceeds from the sales, maturities and pay downs of available-for-sale securities of \$300.7 million and non-marketable securities of \$62.3 million.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$392.1 million in 2007. Net cash inflows included increases in deposits of \$553.6 million, net proceeds of \$495.0 million from the issuance of senior and subordinated notes in May 2008, capital contributions, net of distributions, from minority interests of \$45.5 million, and proceeds from the issuance of common stock and the employee stock purchase plan of \$31.2 million. Net cash outflows included pay downs of short-term borrowings of \$593.5 million and common stock repurchases of \$146.8 million.

Cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2007 were \$684.1 million.

2006

Cash provided by operating activities was \$127.7 million in 2006, which included net income of \$89.4 million. Net cash inflows included \$21.3 million of share-based compensation amortization, \$18.4 million related to impairment of goodwill, \$17.6 million of depreciation and amortization and \$9.9 million related to the provision for loan losses. Net cash outflows included \$10.5 million of net changes in the fair value of derivatives and \$7.1 million of amortization of deferred warrant related loan fees.

Cash used for investing activities was \$299.6 million in 2006. Net cash outflows included a net increase in loans of \$646.0 million, purchases of available-for-sale securities of \$30.1 million, purchases of non marketable securities of \$99.1 million and purchases of premises and equipment of \$20.7 million. Net cash inflows included proceeds from the sale, maturities and pay downs of available-for-sale securities of \$433.8 million and non-marketable securities of \$56.3 million.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$342.3 million in 2006. Net cash inflows included increases in short-term borrowings of \$404.1 million and an increase from proceeds from issuance of other long-term debt of \$150.0 million. Net cash outflows included decreases in deposits of \$195.1 million and common stock repurchases of \$103.8 million.

Cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2006 were \$632.6 million.

Capital Ratios

Both SVB Financial and the Bank are subject to capital adequacy guidelines issued by the Federal Reserve Board. Under these capital guidelines, the minimum total risk-based capital ratio and Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio requirements are 10.0% and 6.0%, respectively, for a well-capitalized depository institution. Under the same capital adequacy guidelines, a well-capitalized depository institution must maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5.0%.

Both the capital ratios of SVB Financial and the Bank were in excess of federal regulatory guidelines for a well-capitalized depository institution as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. See Note 20- "Regulatory Matters" of the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" under Part II, Item 8 in this report for further information. Capital ratios for SVB Financial and the Bank are set forth below:

| | <u>December 31,</u> | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
| SVB Financial: | | | |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 17.58% | 16.02% | 13.95% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 12.51 | 11.07 | 12.34 |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | 13.00 | 11.91 | 12.46 |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio | 7.52 | 10.12 | 10.32 |
| Bank: | | | |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 13.79% | 14.51% | 12.80% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 8.66 | 9.41 | 11.70 |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | 9.20 | 10.19 | 11.83 |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio | 7.45 | 9.62 | 10.80 |

2008 compared to 2007

The increase in the Total risk-based and Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios at December 31, 2008 for SVB Financial compared to December 31, 2007, was primarily due to our participation in the CPP under which we received \$235 million in exchange for issuing shares of Series B Preferred Stock. The increase in the Tier 1 leverage ratio for SVB Financial in 2008, compared to 2007, also benefited from the inclusion of the \$235 million we received under the CPP. These increases in both the Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and the Tier 1 leverage ratio were partially offset by an increase in average assets, particularly due to deposit growth.

Increases in period end risk weighted assets at December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007 and increases in average assets in 2008, compared to 2007 at the Bank, produced lower total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based capital and Tier 1 leverage ratios.

The decrease in the tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio for both SVB Financial and the Bank at December 31, 2008, compared to December 31, 2007 was due largely to the significant increase in total tangible assets. This increase was a result of our strong deposit growth.

2007 compared to 2006

The increase in the Total risk-based capital ratio for both SVB Financial and the Bank at December 31, 2007, compared to December 31, 2006 was primarily due to the issuance of the \$250 million subordinated notes due in 2017 which qualifies as a Tier 2 component of capital under the federal regulatory guidelines. This was

partially offset by the declining eligibility of SVB Financial's contingently convertible debt as it approached maturity as well as growth in period end assets and off-balance sheet items, particularly loans and unfunded credit commitments at both SVB Financial and the Bank.

The decrease in Tier 1 risk-based capital and in the Tier 1 leverage ratio was due primarily to the aforementioned growth for both SVB Financial and the Bank, as well as share repurchases in excess of earnings, and dividends to the holding company in excess of earnings at SVB Financial and the Bank, respectively.

These same factors led to a decrease in the tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio for the Bank at December 31, 2007, compared to December 31, 2006.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

In the normal course of business, we use financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, commercial and standby letters of credit, credit card guarantees and commitments to invest in private equity fund investments. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk. Credit risk is defined as the possibility of sustaining a loss because other parties to the financial instrument fail to perform in accordance with the terms of the contract. Please refer to the discussion of our off-balance sheet arrangements in Note 18—"Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, Guarantees, and Other Commitments" of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

As of December 31, 2008, we, or the funds in which we have an ownership interest and manage, had the following unfunded contractual obligations and commercial commitments. We do not have any material commitments for capital expenditures as of December 31, 2008.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Payments Due By Period | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | After 5 years |
| Contractual obligations: | | | | | |
| Borrowings | \$1,062,760 | \$ 163,523 | \$ 250,000 | \$279,370 | \$369,867 |
| Non-cancelable operating leases, net of income from subleases | 45,404 | 12,621 | 18,279 | 11,759 | 2,745 |
| Remaining unfunded commitments to wholly owned fund investments (1)(2) | 348,452 | 348,452 | — | — | — |
| Remaining unfunded commitments to Partners for Growth, LP | 9,750 | 9,750 | — | — | — |
| Remaining unfunded commitments to Partners for Growth II, LP | 6,450 | 6,450 | — | — | — |
| Remaining unfunded commitments by Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP | 21 | 21 | — | — | — |
| Remaining unfunded commitments to our managed funds: | | | | | |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP (1) | 1,530 | 1,530 | — | — | — |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP (1) | 4,575 | 4,575 | — | — | — |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP (1) | 9,000 | 9,000 | — | — | — |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP (1) | 9,335 | 9,335 | — | — | — |
| Silicon Valley BancVentures, LP (1) | 270 | 270 | — | — | — |
| SVB Capital Partners II, LP (1) | 594 | 594 | — | — | — |
| SVB India Capital Partners I, LP (1) | 4,340 | 4,340 | — | — | — |
| Remaining unfunded commitments to all limited partnership investees of our managed funds to private equity funds by: | | | | | |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP (1) | 12,180 | 12,180 | — | — | — |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP (1) | 53,375 | 53,375 | — | — | — |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP (1) | 153,300 | 153,300 | — | — | — |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP (1) | 186,631 | 186,631 | — | — | — |
| Amount of Commitment Expiring Per Period | | | | | |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | After 5 years |
| Other commercial commitments | | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit | \$6,552,656 | \$5,054,452 | \$1,287,292 | \$165,064 | \$ 45,848 |
| Standby letters of credit | 702,206 | 638,131 | 54,345 | 9,077 | 653 |
| Commercial letters of credit | 3,813 | 3,123 | 690 | — | — |

- (1) See Note 7—"Investment Securities" of the "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements" under Part II, Item 8 in this report, for further disclosure related to investment securities. We make commitments to invest in venture capital and private equity funds. Commitments to invest in these funds are generally made for a ten-year period from the inception of the fund. Although the limited partnership agreements governing these investments typically do not restrict the general partners from calling 100% of committed capital in one year, it is customary for these funds to generally call most of the capital commitment over 5 to 7 years. The

actual timing of future cash requirements to fund such commitments is generally dependent upon the investment cycle, overall market conditions, and the nature and type of industry in which the privately held companies operate.

- (2) Approximately \$298.7 million of our remaining unfunded commitments to wholly owned fund investments represents investment commitments made by SVB Financial on behalf of certain new managed funds of funds that we plan to form ("New Fund Commitments"). The New Fund Commitments are intended to be transferred to, and become the financial obligations of, these new funds once they are formed with the binding commitments of outside investors. While the actual cash requirements of these New Fund Commitments are dependent on various factors as described above, we currently expect capital calls of approximately \$62.0 million for the New Fund Commitments in 2009.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk Management

Market risk is defined as the risk of adverse fluctuations in the market value of financial instruments due to changes in market interest rates. Interest rate risk is our primary market risk and can result from timing and volume differences in the repricing of our rate-sensitive assets and liabilities and changes in the shape and level of the yield curve. Other market risks include foreign currency exchange risk and equity price risk. These risks are not considered significant and no separate quantitative information concerning them is presented herein.

Interest rate risk is managed by the Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO), which is a management committee. ALCO reviews sensitivities of assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates, changes in investment and funding portfolios, loan and deposit activity and current market conditions. Adherence to relevant policies, which are approved by the Finance Committee of our Board of Directors, is monitored on an ongoing basis and decisions related to the management of interest rate exposure are made, as appropriate.

Management of interest rate risk is carried out primarily through strategies involving our investment securities and funding portfolios. In addition, our policies permit off-balance sheet derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk.

We utilize a simulation model to perform sensitivity analysis on the market value of portfolio equity and net interest income under a variety of interest rate scenarios, balance sheet forecasts and proposed strategies. The simulation model provides a dynamic assessment of interest rate sensitivity embedded in our balance sheet. We also use traditional gap analysis to provide a simple indicator of interest rate risk. Gap analysis provides only a static view of interest rate sensitivity at a point in time, while the simulation model measures the potential volatility in forecasted results relating to changes in market interest rates over time. We review our interest rate risk position at a minimum, on a quarterly basis.

Market Value of Portfolio Equity and Net Interest Income

One application of the aforementioned simulation model involves measurement of the impact of market interest rate changes on our market value of portfolio equity ("MVPE"). MVPE is defined as the market value of assets, less the market value of liabilities, adjusted for any off-balance sheet items. A second application of the simulation model measures the impact of market interest rate changes on our net interest income ("NII").

The following table presents our MVPE and NII sensitivity exposure at December 31, 2008 and 2007, related to an instantaneous and sustained parallel shift in market interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points, respectively.

| Change in interest rates (basis points) | Estimated MVPE | Estimated Increase/ (Decrease) In MVPE | | Estimated NII | Estimated Increase/ (Decrease) In NII | |
|---|----------------|--|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| | | Amount | Percent | | Amount | Percent |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2008: | | | | | | |
| +200 | \$1,623,746 | \$119,253 | 7.9% | \$467,955 | \$ 57,865 | 14.1% |
| +100 | 1,554,708 | 50,215 | 3.3 | 425,970 | 15,880 | 3.9 |
| — | 1,504,493 | — | — | 410,090 | — | — |
| -100 | 1,437,606 | (66,887) | (4.4) | 403,890 | (6,200) | (1.5) |
| -200 | 1,457,086 | (47,407) | (3.2) | 401,074 | (9,016) | (2.2) |
| December 31, 2007: | | | | | | |
| +200 | \$1,151,955 | \$ 33,654 | 3.0% | \$461,965 | \$ 45,942 | 11.0% |
| +100 | 1,138,790 | 20,489 | 1.8 | 439,489 | 23,466 | 5.6 |
| — | 1,118,301 | — | — | 416,023 | — | — |
| -100 | 1,081,469 | (36,832) | (3.3) | 393,817 | (22,206) | (5.3) |
| -200 | 1,045,298 | (73,003) | (6.5) | 367,161 | (48,862) | (11.7) |

The estimated MVPE in the preceding table is based on a discounted cash flow analysis using market interest rates provided by independent broker/dealers and other publicly available sources that are deemed reliable. These estimates are highly assumption-dependent and will change regularly as our asset/liability structure changes, as interest rate environments evolve, and as and when we change our assumptions in response to relevant circumstances. These calculations do not reflect changes we may make to reduce our MVPE exposure in response to a change in market interest rates. We expect to continue to manage our interest rate risk utilizing on and off-balance sheet strategies, as appropriate.

As with any method of measuring interest rate risk, certain limitations are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the preceding table. We are exposed to basis risk, yield curve risk, and prepayment risk, which cannot be fully modeled and expressed using the above methodology. Accordingly, the results in the preceding table should not be relied upon as a precise indicator of actual results in the event of changing market interest rates. Additionally, the resulting MVPE and NII estimates are not intended to represent, and should not be construed to represent the underlying value.

Our base case MVPE at December 31, 2008 increased from December 31, 2007 by \$386.2 million primarily due to growth in our loan and investment securities portfolios and lower short-term interest rates. MVPE sensitivity declined in simulated downward interest rate movements due to the historically low level of interest rates. Our simulation model embeds floors in our interest rate change scenarios, which prevent model benchmark rates from resulting in negative rates. Given the low level of interest rates these floors contributed to the lower sensitivity in the down 200 basis point scenario. MVPE increased in simulated upward interest rate movements primarily due to the seasoning of the mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligation investment portfolios, whose cash flows are stable and less sensitive to changes in interest rates due to their mature structures.

Conversely, our expected 12-month NII at December 31, 2008 decreased from December 31, 2007 by \$5.9 million due to the decline in interest rates, the variable rate nature of a significant portion of our loan portfolio, and the increased cost of our deposits. NII sensitivity decreased in simulated downward interest rate movements and increased in up rate scenarios. The change in sensitivity is due to the factors mentioned above as well as the changes in our balance sheet mix, our deposit repricing assumptions, and the current low interest rate environment. Actual changes in our deposit pricing strategies may differ from our current model assumptions and may have an impact on our overall sensitivity.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
SVB Financial Group:

We have audited SVB Financial Group and subsidiaries' (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (Item 9A(b)). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008, and our report dated March 2, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

San Francisco, California
March 2, 2009

Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
SVB Financial Group:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of SVB Financial Group and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 2, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

San Francisco, California
March 2, 2009

**SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| (Dollars in thousands, except par value and share data) | December 31, | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 1,791,396 | \$ 325,399 |
| Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities | 647,414 | 358,664 |
| Investment securities | 1,786,100 | 1,602,574 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 5,506,253 | 4,151,730 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (107,396) | (47,293) |
| Net loans | 5,398,857 | 4,104,437 |
| Premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization | 30,589 | 38,628 |
| Goodwill | 4,092 | 4,092 |
| Accrued interest receivable and other assets | 362,444 | 258,662 |
| Total assets | <u>\$10,020,892</u> | <u>\$6,692,456</u> |
| Liabilities, Minority Interest and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$ 4,419,965 | \$3,226,859 |
| Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) | 58,133 | 35,909 |
| Money market | 1,213,086 | 941,242 |
| Foreign money market | 53,123 | — |
| Time | 379,200 | 335,110 |
| Sweep | 1,349,965 | 72,083 |
| Total deposits | 7,473,472 | 4,611,203 |
| Short-term borrowings | 62,120 | 90,000 |
| Other liabilities | 175,553 | 199,243 |
| Long-term debt | 1,000,640 | 875,254 |
| Total liabilities | <u>8,711,785</u> | <u>5,775,700</u> |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 18) | | |
| Minority interest in capital of consolidated affiliates | 320,356 | 240,102 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding | — | — |
| Preferred stock, Series B Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, \$1,000 liquidation value per share, 235,000 shares authorized; 235,000 shares issued and outstanding, net of discount | 221,185 | — |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized; 32,917,007 shares and 32,670,557 shares outstanding, respectively | 33 | 33 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 45,872 | — |
| Retained earnings | 727,450 | 682,911 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (5,789) | (6,290) |
| Total stockholders' equity | <u>988,751</u> | <u>676,654</u> |
| Total liabilities, minority interest and stockholders' equity | <u>\$10,020,892</u> | <u>\$6,692,456</u> |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Interest income: | | | |
| Loans | \$364,192 | \$361,903 | \$299,001 |
| Investment securities: | | | |
| Taxable | 58,466 | 61,303 | 74,523 |
| Non-taxable | 4,261 | 2,364 | 3,026 |
| Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities | 12,572 | 17,816 | 11,089 |
| Total interest income | 439,491 | 443,386 | 387,639 |
| Interest expense: | | | |
| Deposits | 23,929 | 13,285 | 8,905 |
| Borrowings | 43,553 | 49,168 | 26,277 |
| Total interest expense | 67,482 | 62,453 | 35,182 |
| Net interest income | 372,009 | 380,933 | 352,457 |
| Provision for loan losses | 100,713 | 16,836 | 9,877 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 271,296 | 364,097 | 342,580 |
| Noninterest income: | | | |
| Client investment fees | 50,498 | 51,794 | 44,345 |
| Foreign exchange fees | 33,106 | 25,750 | 21,045 |
| Deposit service charges | 24,110 | 15,554 | 10,159 |
| Gains on derivative instruments, net | 18,505 | 23,935 | 17,949 |
| Letters of credit and standby letters of credit income | 12,006 | 11,115 | 9,943 |
| Corporate finance fees | 3,640 | 14,199 | 11,649 |
| (Losses) gains on investment securities, net | (14,777) | 46,724 | 2,551 |
| Other | 29,060 | 32,313 | 23,565 |
| Total noninterest income | 156,148 | 221,384 | 141,206 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | |
| Compensation and benefits | 177,315 | 213,892 | 188,588 |
| Professional services | 39,480 | 32,905 | 40,791 |
| Premises and equipment | 22,183 | 19,756 | 15,311 |
| Net occupancy | 17,307 | 20,829 | 17,369 |
| Business development and travel | 15,406 | 12,263 | 12,760 |
| Correspondent bank fees | 6,628 | 5,713 | 5,647 |
| Telephone | 5,276 | 5,404 | 4,081 |
| Data processing services | 4,235 | 3,841 | 4,239 |
| Loss from cash settlement of conversion premium of zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | 3,858 | — | — |
| Provision for (reduction of) unfunded credit commitments | 1,252 | (1,207) | (2,461) |
| Impairment of goodwill | — | 17,204 | 18,434 |
| Other | 19,947 | 15,869 | 17,744 |
| Total noninterest expense | 312,887 | 346,469 | 322,503 |
| Income before minority interest in net loss (income) of consolidated affiliates and income tax expense | 114,557 | 239,012 | 161,283 |
| Minority interest in net loss (income) of consolidated affiliates | 19,139 | (28,596) | (6,308) |
| Income before income tax expense | 133,696 | 210,416 | 154,975 |
| Income tax expense | 55,068 | 86,778 | 65,782 |
| Net income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 78,628 | 123,638 | 89,193 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax (1) | — | — | 192 |
| Net income | \$ 78,628 | \$123,638 | \$ 89,385 |
| Preferred stock dividend and discount accretion | (707) | — | — |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 77,921 | \$123,638 | \$ 89,385 |
| Earnings per common share—basic, before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | \$ 2.40 | \$ 3.64 | \$ 2.57 |
| Earnings per common share—diluted, before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | 2.29 | 3.37 | 2.37 |
| Earnings per common share—basic | 2.40 | 3.64 | 2.58 |
| Earnings per common share—diluted | 2.29 | 3.37 | 2.38 |

(1) Represents the cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes, on previously recognized share-based compensation for the effect of adopting Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payment

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net income | \$78,628 | \$ 123,638 | \$ 89,385 |
| Other comprehensive income (losses), net of tax: | | | |
| Cumulative translation (losses) gains: | | | |
| Foreign currency translation (losses) gains | (3,244) | 324 | 462 |
| Related tax effect | 1,331 | (129) | (191) |
| Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale investment securities: | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains | 1,499 | 19,321 | 7,028 |
| Related tax effect | (627) | (8,283) | (2,331) |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income ... | 2,615 | 678 | (3,061) |
| Related tax effect | (1,073) | (280) | 1,266 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | 501 | 11,631 | 3,173 |
| Comprehensive income | \$79,129 | \$ 135,269 | \$ 92,558 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

| (Dollars in thousands) | Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Unearned Compensation | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses | Total |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2005 | — | \$ — | 35,164,680 | \$35 | \$ 8,439 | \$587,713 | \$ (5,792) | \$ (21,094) | \$ 569,301 |
| Common stock issued under employee benefit plans, net of restricted stock cancellations | — | — | 1,380,965 | 1 | 33,871 | — | — | — | 33,872 |
| Income tax benefit from stock options exercised, vesting of restricted stock and other | — | — | — | — | 15,127 | — | — | — | 15,127 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 89,385 | — | — | 89,385 |
| Amortization of unearned compensation | — | — | — | — | (5,792) | — | 5,792 | — | — |
| Net change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale investment securities | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2,902 | 2,902 |
| Translation adjustments | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 271 | 271 |
| Common stock repurchases | — | — | (2,144,415) | (2) | (69,646) | (34,111) | — | — | (103,759) |
| Stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123(R) | — | — | — | — | 21,340 | — | — | — | 21,340 |
| Other-net | — | — | — | — | 1,534 | (1,459) | — | — | 75 |
| Year ended December 31, 2006 | — | \$ — | 34,401,230 | \$34 | \$ 4,873 | \$641,528 | \$ — | \$ (17,921) | \$ 628,514 |
| Common stock issued under employee benefit plans, net of restricted stock cancellations | — | — | 1,184,374 | 1 | 31,211 | — | — | — | 31,212 |
| Income tax benefit from stock options exercised, vesting of restricted stock and other | — | — | — | — | 12,251 | — | — | — | 12,251 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 123,638 | — | — | 123,638 |
| Net change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale investment securities | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 11,436 | 11,436 |
| Translation adjustments | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 195 | 195 |
| Common stock repurchases | — | — | (2,915,047) | (2) | (64,302) | (82,450) | — | — | (146,754) |
| Stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123(R) | — | — | — | — | 15,476 | — | — | — | 15,476 |
| Other-net | — | — | — | — | 491 | 195 | — | — | 686 |
| Year ended December 31, 2007 | — | \$ — | 32,670,557 | \$33 | \$ — | \$682,911 | \$ — | \$ (6,290) | \$ 676,654 |
| Common stock issued under employee benefit plans, net of restricted stock cancellations | — | — | 1,251,078 | 1 | 32,803 | — | — | — | 32,804 |
| Preferred stock and common stock warrant issued under the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program | 235,000 | 221,066 | — | — | 13,934 | — | — | — | 235,000 |
| Income tax benefit from stock options exercised, vesting of restricted stock and other | — | — | — | — | 13,008 | — | — | — | 13,008 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 78,628 | — | — | 78,628 |
| Net change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale investment securities | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2,414 | 2,414 |
| Translation adjustments | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (1,913) | (1,913) |
| Proceeds from cash exercise of call option on zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | — | — | — | — | 3,858 | — | — | — | 3,858 |
| Net cost of convertible note hedge and warrant agreement related to our 3.875% convertible senior notes | — | — | — | — | (20,550) | — | — | — | (20,550) |
| Common stock repurchases | — | — | (1,004,628) | (1) | (12,322) | (33,294) | — | — | (45,617) |
| Stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123(R) | — | — | — | — | 13,926 | — | — | — | 13,926 |
| Preferred stock dividend and discount accretion | — | 119 | — | — | — | (707) | — | — | (588) |
| Other-net | — | — | — | — | 1,215 | (88) | — | — | 1,127 |
| Year ended December 31, 2008 | 235,000 | \$221,185 | 32,917,007 | \$33 | \$ 45,872 | \$727,450 | \$ — | \$ (5,789) | \$ 988,751 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 78,628 | \$ 123,638 | \$ 89,385 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Loss from cash settlement of conversion premium of zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | 3,858 | — | — |
| Impairment of goodwill | — | 17,204 | 18,434 |
| Provision for loan losses | 100,713 | 16,836 | 9,877 |
| Provision for (reduction of) unfunded credit commitments | 1,252 | (1,207) | (2,461) |
| Changes in fair values of derivatives, net | (11,464) | (13,801) | (10,457) |
| Losses (gains) on investment securities, net | 14,777 | (46,724) | (2,551) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,057 | 19,450 | 17,566 |
| Minority interest in net (loss) income of consolidated affiliates | (19,139) | 28,596 | 6,308 |
| Tax benefit of original issue discount | 5,210 | 3,395 | 3,196 |
| Tax benefit (provision) of share-based compensation and other | 1,436 | 1,672 | (103) |
| Amortization of share-based compensation | 13,606 | 15,131 | 21,340 |
| Amortization of deferred warrant-related loan fees | (8,541) | (7,553) | (7,109) |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) expense | 3,572 | (12,973) | (5,236) |
| Loss on sale of and valuation adjustments to other real estate owned property | 371 | 1,524 | — |
| Changes in other assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accrued interest, net | (4,690) | 420 | (1,736) |
| Accounts receivable | (3,267) | (210) | 218 |
| Income tax receivable, net | 1,345 | 3,901 | (5,540) |
| Accrued compensation | (31,527) | 18,977 | (490) |
| Foreign exchange spot contracts, net | 1,567 | 10,663 | — |
| Other, net | (5,853) | (4,059) | (2,932) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 166,911 | 174,880 | 127,709 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (342,455) | (77,764) | (30,107) |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 4,925 | 10,280 | 119,200 |
| Proceeds from maturities and pay downs of available-for-sale securities | 279,895 | 290,411 | 314,642 |
| Purchases of nonmarketable securities (cost and equity method accounting) | (57,742) | (28,432) | (40,304) |
| Proceeds from sales of nonmarketable securities (cost and equity method accounting) | 8,843 | 17,394 | 20,076 |
| Proceeds from nonmarketable securities (cost and equity method accounting) | 2,947 | 11,945 | 23,398 |
| Purchases of nonmarketable securities (investment fair value accounting) | (109,413) | (81,852) | (58,758) |
| Proceeds from sales of nonmarketable securities (investment fair value accounting) | 23,127 | 32,971 | 12,830 |
| Net increase in loans | (1,405,258) | (688,918) | (646,005) |
| Proceeds from recoveries of charged-off loans | 7,205 | 7,088 | 10,150 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 287 | 4,618 | — |
| Payment for acquisition of intangibles, net of cash acquired | — | (395) | (3,994) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (8,544) | (12,852) | (20,697) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (1,596,183) | (515,506) | (299,569) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 2,862,269 | 553,578 | (195,105) |
| Principal payments of other long-term debt | (51,266) | — | — |
| Net payments for settlement of zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | (149,732) | (100) | (104) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of 3.875% convertible senior notes, note hedge and warrant, net of issuance costs | 222,686 | — | — |
| Proceeds from the issuance of senior and subordinated notes, net of issuance costs | — | 495,030 | — |
| Proceeds from issuance of other long-term debt | — | — | 150,000 |
| (Decrease) increase in short-term borrowings | (27,880) | (593,537) | 404,062 |
| Capital contributions from minority interest participants, net of distributions | 99,393 | 45,491 | 41,347 |
| Stock compensation related tax benefits | 6,361 | 7,184 | 12,034 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock and Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 32,805 | 31,212 | 33,872 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrant issued under the Capital Purchase Program | 235,000 | — | — |
| Repurchases of common stock | (45,617) | (146,754) | (103,759) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 3,184,019 | 392,104 | 342,347 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,754,747 | 51,478 | 170,487 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 684,063 | 632,585 | 462,098 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 2,438,810 | \$ 684,063 | \$ 632,585 |
| Supplemental disclosures: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 67,581 | \$ 61,565 | \$ 32,554 |
| Income taxes paid | 37,500 | 83,669 | 61,330 |
| Noncash items during the period: | | | |
| Preferred stock dividends accrued, not yet paid | \$ 588 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Debt assumed for acquisitions | — | — | 2,669 |
| Additions to other real estate owned | — | 2,373 | — |
| Expense associated with loans issued under the Employee Home Ownership Program | 1,148 | 529 | 393 |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities | 872 | 11,038 | 4,697 |
| Net change in fair value of interest rate swaps | 73,947 | 20,951 | 1,890 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Nature of Business

Nature of Business

SVB Financial Group (“SVB Financial” or the “Parent”) is a diversified financial services company, as well as a bank holding company and financial holding company. SVB Financial was incorporated in the state of Delaware in March 1999. Through our various subsidiaries and divisions, we offer a variety of banking and financial products and services to support our clients through all stages of their life cycles. In these notes to our consolidated financial statements, when we refer to “SVB Financial Group,” the “Company,” “we,” “our,” “us” or use similar words, we mean SVB Financial Group and all of its subsidiaries collectively, including Silicon Valley Bank (the “Bank”), unless the context requires otherwise. When we refer to “SVB Financial” or the “Parent” we are referring only to the parent company, SVB Financial Group, unless the context requires otherwise.

We offer commercial banking products and services through our principal subsidiary, the Bank, which is a California-chartered bank founded in 1983 and a member of the Federal Reserve System. Through its subsidiaries, the Bank also offers brokerage, investment advisory and asset management services. We also offer non-banking financial products and services, such as funds management, private equity investment and equity valuation services, through our other subsidiaries and divisions. We primarily focus on serving corporate clients in the following niches: technology, life sciences, venture capital/private equity and premium wine. Our corporate clients range in size and stage of maturity. Additionally, we focus on cultivating strong relationships with firms within the venture capital and private equity community worldwide, many of which are also our clients and may invest in our corporate clients.

We are headquartered in Santa Clara, California, and operate through 27 offices in the United States and five internationally in China, India, Israel and the United Kingdom.

For reporting purposes, SVB Financial Group has three operating segments in which we report our financial information in this report: Commercial Banking, SVB Capital, and Other Business Services. Financial information and results of operations for our operating segments are set forth in Note 21—“Segment Reporting” in this report and in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Operating Segment Results” under Part II, Item 7 of this report.

Our Commercial Banking segment is comprised of the commercial banking and financial products and services of the Bank and its subsidiaries, through which we offer lending, deposit, cash management, global trade, brokerage and investment advisory products and services to our commercial clients, including private equity firms. Our SVB Capital segment consists of our private equity division which focuses primarily on funds management. Funds managed or sponsored by SVB Capital invest in portfolio companies and other funds. Finally, our Other Business Services segment is comprised of all other businesses, such as SVB Private Client Services (private banking), SVB Global (global banking and related products), SVB Analytics (equity valuation and management) and SVB Wine Division (commercial banking for premium wine industry clients).

In July 2007, we reached a decision to cease operations at SVB Alliant, our investment banking subsidiary, which provided advisory services in the areas of mergers and acquisitions, corporate finance, strategic alliances and private placements. After completion of the remaining client transactions, operations at SVB Alliant were ceased as of March 31, 2008. Accordingly, SVB Alliant was no longer reported as an operating segment as of the second quarter of 2008. We have not presented the results of operations of SVB Alliant in discontinued operations for any period presented based on our assessment of the materiality of SVB Alliant’s results to our consolidated results of operations.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (“GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates may change as new information is obtained. Significant items that are subject to such estimates include the valuation of non-marketable securities, the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of equity warrant assets, the recognition and measurement of income tax assets and liabilities, and the adequacy of the reserve for unfunded credit commitments and share-based compensation.

Principles of Consolidation and Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of SVB Financial Group and our majority-owned subsidiaries and variable interest entities (“VIEs”) for which we are the primary beneficiary. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

We determine whether we have a controlling financial interest in an entity by evaluating whether the entity is a VIE for which we are the primary beneficiary as defined by Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Interpretation (“FIN”) No. 46 (revised 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (“FIN 46R”). We consider the following factors in evaluating whether our involvement with the VIE is significant and designates us as the primary beneficiary:

1. The aggregate indirect and direct variable interest absorbs 50% or more of a VIE’s expected losses, expected residual returns, or both; and/or,
2. The VIE’s business activities involve or are conducted substantially on our behalf.

We reassess our initial evaluation of an entity as a VIE and our initial determination of whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE upon the occurrence of certain reconsideration events as defined in FIN 46R. For 2008, there were no changes to our conclusions. We have not provided financial or other support during the periods presented to the VIE that we were not previously contractually required to provide.

We are variable interest holders in certain partnerships for which we are the primary beneficiary as defined by FIN 46R. The following provides a summary of the VIEs in which we have a significant variable interest, and discusses the accounting changes that resulted from the adoption of FIN 46R.

We are the primary beneficiary of Gold Hill Venture Lending Partners 03, LLC (“GHLLC”), which is the general partner of Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP, a venture debt fund, and certain affiliated funds (the “Gold Hill Funds”). GHLLC was formed in 2000 and meets the FIN 46R definition of a VIE and as such we consolidate its results into our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The VIE in GHLLC is financed through equity contributions by its members. Our role in the VIE is passive when it comes to decision making. The total size of the GHLLC is \$10.7 million of which we contributed \$9.7 million or 90.7 percent. (See Note 7—“Investment Securities” for information on the carrying amount and classification of the investments at GHLLC). Creditors of the entity do not have recourse against us, and our exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with GHLLC at December 31, 2008 was limited to \$20.0 million of net equity investment in the entity. There are no other terms of arrangements that would require us to provide financial support to GHLLC.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

SVB Financial owns 100% of the common securities of SVB Capital II, a special-purpose trust formed solely to issue certain 7.0% trust preferred securities in October 2003. SVB Capital II meets the FIN 46R definition of a VIE because the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have adequate decision making ability over the trust's activities and they do not have the voting or similar rights. Since the investors purchased from SVB Capital II the trust preferred securities, they absorb all the expected loss and expected residual return. Additionally, we are not the primary beneficiary as we do not own any of the trust preferred securities issued by the trust. As such, SVB Capital II was not consolidated into our financial statements at December 31, 2008, 2007 or 2006. In addition, we are not exposed to loss related to SVB Capital II. There are no other terms of arrangements that would require us to provide financial support.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand, cash balances due from banks, interest-earning deposits, federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities. For the consolidated statements of cash flows, we consider cash equivalents to be investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash, so near to their maturity that they present an insignificant risk of change in fair value due to changes in market interest rates, and purchased in conjunction with our cash management activities.

Investment Securities

Marketable Securities

Our marketable securities consist of available-for-sale debt and equity securities that we carry at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, net of applicable taxes, are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income, which is a separate component of stockholders' equity, until realized.

We consider a number of factors in determining other-than-temporary impairment. An indicator of impairment for both marketable equity and debt securities is a non-recoverable decline in market price below the amount recorded for that investment. We also consider the following factors:

- Our investment horizon;
- The length of time and the extent to which market value has been less than cost;
- The reasons for the decline in market price, whether industry-wide or issuer-specific;
- Changes in the regulatory, economic or technological environment of the issuer's industry;
- Changes in the general market condition of either the geographic area or the issuer's industry;
- The issuer's financial condition, capital strength and near-term prospects, as well as its ability to make timely future payments; and
- Any changes in credit ratings and any potential actions.

Market valuations represent the current fair value of a security at a specified point in time and do not represent the risk of repayment of the principal due to our ability to hold the security to maturity. Gains and losses on securities are only realized upon the sale of the security prior to maturity. A credit downgrade represents an increased level of risk of other-than-temporary impairment, and will only be recognized if we assess the downgrade to challenge the issuer's ability to service the debt and to repay the principal at contractual maturity.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 91, *Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases* ("SFAS No. 91"), we use actual principal prepayment experience to calculate the constant effective yield necessary to apply the interest method in the amortization of purchase discounts or premiums on mortgage-backed securities. Estimates of future principal prepayments, provided by third-party market-data vendors, are

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

used in addition to actual principal prepayment experience to calculate the constant effective yield necessary to apply the interest method in the amortization of purchase discounts or premiums on collateralized mortgage obligations.

Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on all other debt securities are included in interest income over the contractual terms of the underlying investment securities using the straight-line method, which does not vary materially from the effective interest method.

Non-Marketable Securities

Non-marketable securities include private equity securities that are not publicly traded and securities acquired for various purposes, such as to meet regulatory requirements (for example, Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock). Our accounting for investments in non-marketable securities depends on several factors, including the level of ownership/control and the legal structure of the subsidiary making the investment. As further described below, we base our accounting for such securities on: (i) investment company fair value accounting, (ii) equity method accounting, or (iii) cost method accounting.

Investment Company Fair Value

Our non-marketable securities recorded pursuant to investment company fair value accounting consist of our investments in the following funds:

- Funds of funds, SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP, SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP, SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP and SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP, which make investments in venture capital and private equity funds;
- Co-investment funds, Silicon Valley BancVentures, LP, SVB Capital Partners II, LP, and SVB India Capital Partners I, LP, which make equity investments in privately held companies; and
- A sponsored debt fund, Partners for Growth, LP, which provides financing to companies in the form of structured loans and equity investments.

A summary of our ownership interests in the investments held under investment company fair value accounting is presented in the following table:

| <u>Company Ownership in Limited Partnership</u> | <u>Company Ownership</u> |
|---|--------------------------|
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP (1) | 12.6% |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP (1) | 8.6 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP (1) | 5.9 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP (1) | 5.0 |
| Silicon Valley BancVentures, LP (2) | 10.7 |
| SVB Capital Partners II, LP (2) | 5.1 |
| SVB India Capital Partners I, LP (2) | 14.4 |
| Partners for Growth, LP (3) | 50.0 |

Note—Entity’s results of operations and financial condition are included in the consolidated financial statements of SVB Financial Group net of minority interest.

- (1) The general partner of SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP (“SIF I”), SVB Strategic Investors, LLC, is owned and controlled by SVB Financial and has an ownership interest of 12.6% in SIF I. The general partner of SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP (“SIF II”), SVB Strategic Investors II, LLC, is owned and controlled by SVB Financial and SVB Financial has an ownership interest of 8.6% in SIF II. The general partner of

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP (“SIF III”), SVB Strategic Investors III, LLC, is owned and controlled by SVB Financial and SVB Financial has an ownership interest of 5.9% in SIF III. The general partner of SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP (“SIF IV”), SVB Strategic Investors IV, LLC, is owned and controlled by SVB Financial and SVB Financial has an ownership interest of 5.0% in SIF IV. The limited partners of these funds do not have substantive participating or kick-out rights. Therefore, SIF I, SIF II, SIF III and SIF IV are consolidated and any gains or losses resulting from changes in the estimated fair value of the investments are recorded as investment gains or losses in our consolidated net income.
- (2) The general partner of Silicon Valley BancVentures, LP (“SVBV”), Silicon Valley BancVentures, Inc., is owned and controlled by SVB Financial and has an ownership interest of 10.7% in SVBV. The general partner of SVB Capital Partners II, LP (“SCPII”), SVB Capital II, LLC, is owned and controlled by SVB Financial and SVB Financial has an ownership interest of 0.2% in SCPII. As of December 31, 2008, SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP has a 43.7% ownership in SVB Capital Partners II, LP. The general partner of SVB India Capital Partners I, LP (“SICP”), SVB India Management I, LLC, is owned and controlled by SVB Financial and SVB Financial has an ownership interest of 14.4% in SICP. The limited partners of these funds do not have substantive participating or kick-out rights. Therefore, SVBV, SCPII and SICP are consolidated and any gains or losses resulting from changes in the estimated fair value of the investments are recorded as investment gains or losses in our consolidated net income.
- (3) The general partner of Partners for Growth, LP, Partners for Growth, LLC, is not owned or controlled by SVB Financial. The limited partners of this fund have substantive kick-out rights by which the general partner may be removed without cause by simple majority vote of the limited partners. SVB Financial has an ownership interest of slightly more than 50.0% in Partners for Growth, LP. Accordingly, the fund is consolidated and any gains or losses resulting from changes in the estimated fair value of the investments are recorded as investment gains or losses in our consolidated net income.

Under investment company accounting, investments are carried at estimated fair value based on financial information obtained as the general partner of the fund or obtained from the funds’ respective general partner. For direct private company investments, valuations are based upon consideration of a range of factors including, but not limited to, the price at which the investment was acquired, the term and nature of the investment, local market conditions, values for comparable securities, current and projected operating performance, exit strategies and financing transactions subsequent to the acquisition of the investment. For our fund investments, we utilize the most recent available financial information available from the investee general partner, for example September 30th, for our December 31st consolidated financial statements, adjusted for any contributions paid or distributions received from the investment during the fourth quarter. Gains or losses resulting from changes in the estimated fair value of the investments and from distributions received are recorded as investment gains or losses in our consolidated net income. The portion of any investment gains or losses attributable to the limited partners is reflected as minority interest in net income (loss) of consolidated affiliates and adjusts SVB Financial’s net income to reflect its percentage ownership.

Equity Method

Our equity method non-marketable securities consist of three sponsored debt funds and several qualified affordable housing tax credit funds. Our equity method non-marketable securities and related accounting policies are described as follows:

- In accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, *The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock* (“APB No. 18”), equity securities, such as preferred or common stock in privately-held companies in which we hold a voting interest of at least 20% but less than 50% or in which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over the investees’ operating and financial policies, are accounted for under the equity method.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- In accordance with the provisions of Emerging Issue Task Force (“EITF”) Topic D-46, *Accounting for Limited Partnership Investments* (“EITF Topic D-46”), investments in limited partnerships in which we own more than 5%, but less than 50% or in which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over the partnerships’ operating and financial policies are accounted for using the equity method.

Our sponsored debt funds are in Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP, Partners for Growth II, LP, and Gold Hill Capital 2008, LP and Gold Hill Capital 2008, LLC, all of which, either directly or indirectly, provide financing to privately-held companies in the form of loans and equity investments. These entities exceed the 3% to 5% ownership interest threshold established by EITF Topic D-46 for cost method accounting. Accordingly, they are accounted for under the equity method.

We invest in several qualified affordable housing projects, which provide us benefits in the form of tax credits. Under EITF 94-1, *Accounting for Tax Benefits Resulting From Investments in Affordable Housing Projects*, we account for such investments under the equity method in accordance with the provisions of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (“AICPA”) Statement of Position (“SOP”) 78-9, *Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures*.

We recognize our proportionate share of the results of operations of these equity method investees in our results of operations, based on the most current financial information available from the investee. We review our investments accounted for under the equity method at least quarterly for possible other than temporary impairment. Our review typically includes an analysis of facts and circumstances for each investment, the expectations of the investment’s future cash flows and capital needs, variability of its business and the company’s exit strategy. We reduce our investment value when we consider declines in value to be other than temporary. We recognize the estimated loss as a loss on investment securities, a component of noninterest income.

Cost Method

Our cost method non-marketable securities and related accounting policies are described as follows:

- In accordance with the provisions of APB No. 18, equity securities, such as preferred or common stock in privately-held companies in which we hold an ownership interest of less than 20% and in which we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the investees’ operating and financial policies, are accounted for under the cost method.
- In accordance with the provisions of EITF Topic D-46, investments in limited partnerships in which we hold an ownership interest of less than 5% and in which we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the partnerships’ operating and financial policies, are accounted for under the cost method. These non-marketable securities include investments in private equity funds.

We record these investments at cost and recognize as income, distributions or returns received from net accumulated earnings of the investee since the date of acquisition. Our share of net accumulated earnings of the investee after the date of investment are recognized in consolidated net income only to the extent distributed by the investee. Distributions or returns received in excess of accumulated earnings are considered a return of investment and are recorded as reductions in the cost basis of the investment.

We review our investments accounted for under the cost method at least quarterly for possible other than temporary impairment. Our review typically includes an analysis of facts and circumstances of each investment, the expectations of the investment’s future cash flows and capital needs, variability of its business and the company’s exit strategy. We reduce the investment value when we consider declines in value to be other than temporary. We recognize the estimated loss as a loss on investment securities, a component of noninterest income.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Gains or losses on cost method investment securities that result from a portfolio company being acquired by a publicly traded company are marked to market when the acquisition occurs. The resulting gains or losses are recognized into consolidated net income on that date in accordance with EITF No. 91-5, *Nonmonetary Exchange of Cost—Method Investments*. Further fluctuations in the market value of these equity securities, which are classified as available-for-sale securities, are excluded from consolidated net income and are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes, a component of stockholders' equity. Upon the sale of these equity securities to a third party, gains and losses, which are measured from the acquisition value, are recognized in our consolidated net income.

Loans

Loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned loan fees. Unearned loan fees reflect residual unamortized deferred loan origination and commitment fees net of residual unamortized deferred loan origination costs. In addition to cash loan fees, we often obtain equity warrant assets to purchase a position in a client company's stock in consideration for providing credit facilities. The grant date fair values of these equity warrant assets are deemed to be loan fees and are required to be deferred as unearned income and recognized as an adjustment of loan yield through loan interest income as prescribed by SFAS No. 91. The net amount of unearned loan fees is amortized into loan interest income over the contractual terms of the underlying loans and commitments using the constant effective yield method, adjusted for actual loan prepayment experience, or the straight-line method, as applicable.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense to provide for credit risk. Our allowance for loan losses is established for estimated loan losses that are probable but not yet realized. The process of estimating loan losses is imprecise. The evaluation process we use to estimate the required allowance for loan losses is described below.

We maintain a systematic process for the evaluation of individual loans and pools of loans for inherent risk of loan losses. On a quarterly basis, each loan in our portfolio is assigned a credit risk rating and client niche. Credit risk-ratings are assigned on a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 representing loans with a low risk of nonpayment, 9 representing loans with the highest risk of nonpayment, and 10 representing loans which have been charged-off. This credit risk-rating evaluation process includes, but is not limited to, consideration of such factors as payment status, the financial condition of the borrower, borrower compliance with loan covenants, underlying collateral values, potential loan concentrations, and general economic conditions. Our policies require a committee of senior management to review, at least quarterly, credit relationships that exceed specific dollar values. Our review process evaluates the appropriateness of the credit risk rating and allocation of the allowance for loan losses, as well as other account management functions. The allowance for loan losses is based on a formula allocation for similarly risk-rated loans by client industry sector and individually for impaired loans as determined by SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan* ("SFAS No. 114"), and SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies* ("SFAS No. 5").

Our evaluation process is designed to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. We assess the risk of losses inherent in the loan portfolio by utilizing modeling techniques. For this purpose we have developed a statistical model based on historical loan loss migration to estimate an appropriate allowance for outstanding loan balances. In addition, we apply a macro allocation to the results of the aforementioned model to ascertain the total allowance for loan losses. While this evaluation process uses historical and other objective information, the classification of loans and the establishment of the allowance for loan losses rely, to a great extent, on the judgment and experience of our management.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Uncollectible Loans and Write-offs

Loans are considered for full or partial charge-offs in the event that principal or interest is over 180 days past due, the loan lacks sufficient collateral and it is not in the process of collection. We also consider writing off loans in the event of any of the following circumstances: 1) the impaired loan balances are not covered by the value of the source of repayment; 2) the loan has been identified for charge-off by regulatory authorities; and 3) any overdrafts greater than 90 days.

Other Real Estate Owned

Loans secured by real estate are transferred to Other Real Estate Owned (“OREO”) at the time of foreclosure. OREO is carried on our balance sheet at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or the fair value of the property foreclosed upon less estimated costs of disposal. Upon transfer of a loan to OREO, an appraisal is obtained and any excess of the loan balance over the fair value of the property less estimated costs of disposal is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Revenues and expenses associated with OREO, and subsequent adjustments to the fair value of the property and to the estimated costs of disposal, are realized and reported as a component of noninterest expense when incurred. OREO of \$1.3 million and \$1.9 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, is included in other assets.

Reserve for Unfunded Credit Commitments

We record a liability for probable and estimable losses associated with our unfunded credit commitments being funded and subsequently being charged off. Each quarter, every unfunded client credit commitment is allocated to a credit risk-rating category in accordance with each client’s credit risk rating. We use the historical loan loss factors described under our allowance for loan losses to calculate the possible loan loss experience if unfunded credit commitments are funded. Separately, we use historical trends to calculate a probability of an unfunded credit commitment being funded. We apply the loan funding probability factor to risk-factor adjusted unfunded credit commitments by credit risk-rating to derive the reserve for unfunded credit commitments. The reserve for unfunded credit commitments also includes certain macro allocations as deemed appropriate by our management. We reflect the reserve for unfunded credit commitments in other liabilities and the related provision in other expenses.

Nonaccrual Loans

SFAS No. 114 and SFAS No. 118, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan—Income Recognition and Disclosures—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 114* (“SFAS No. 118”), require us to measure the impairment of a loan based upon the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan’s effective interest rate, except that as a practical expedient, we may measure impairment based on the loan’s observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A loan is considered impaired when, based upon currently known information, it is deemed probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the agreement.

Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when they become 90 days past due as to principal or interest payments (unless the principal and interest are well secured and in the process of collection); or when we have determined, based upon currently known information, that the timely collection of principal or interest is doubtful; or when the loans otherwise become impaired under the provisions of SFAS No. 114.

When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, the accrued interest is reversed against interest income and the loan is accounted for on the cash or cost recovery method thereafter until qualifying for return to accrual status. Generally, a loan will be returned to accrual status when all delinquent principal and interest become current in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement and full collection of the principal and interest appears probable.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

If it is determined that the value of an impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, net of previous charge-offs and payments collected, we recognize impairment through the allowance for loan losses as determined by a SFAS No. 114 analysis.

Standby Letters of Credit

In accordance with the provisions of FIN No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* ("FIN 45"), we recognize a liability at the inception of a standby letter of credit equivalent to the premium of the fee received for such guarantee. The liability recognized at the inception of the guarantee is equivalent to the premium or fee received or receivable. Among the types of guarantee contracts to which the provisions of FIN 45 apply are financial standby letters of credit and performance standby letters of credit.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are reported at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets or the terms of the related leases, whichever is shorter. The maximum estimated useful lives by asset classification are as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Leasehold improvements | Lesser of lease term or asset life |
| Furniture and equipment | 3 years |
| Computer software | 3-5 years |
| Computer hardware | 3 years |

We capitalize the costs of computer software developed or obtained for internal use in accordance with the provisions of SOP 98-1, *Accounting for Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use* ("SOP 98-1"). Capitalized computer software costs consist of developed software, purchased software licenses and certain implementation costs.

For property and equipment that is retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the resulting gain or loss is included in noninterest expense in consolidated net income. We had no capitalized lease obligations at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Lease Obligations

We lease all of our properties. It is our policy to evaluate and record leases in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*, ("SFAS No. 13") and Financial Accounting Standards Board Technical Bulletin No. 88-1, *Issues Related to Accounting for Leases*, ("FTB No. 88-1").

At the inception of the lease, each property is evaluated under SFAS No. 13 to determine whether the lease will be accounted for as an operating or capital lease. We do not have any capital leases. For leases that contain rent escalations or landlord incentives, we record the total rent payable during the lease term, as determined above, using the straight-line method over the term of the lease and record the difference between the minimum rents paid and the straight-line rent as lease obligations in accordance with FTB No. 88-1.

Goodwill

Goodwill, which arises when the purchase price exceeds the assigned value of the net assets of an acquired business, represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements being acquired.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

On an annual basis or as circumstances dictate, our management reviews goodwill and evaluates events or other developments that may indicate impairment in the carrying amount in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (“SFAS No. 142”). The impairment tests for goodwill are performed at the reporting unit level and require us to perform a two-step impairment test. First, we compare the aggregate fair value of our reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, no impairment exists. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, then we perform the second step of the impairment test in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit’s goodwill. We estimate the reporting unit’s implied fair value by using a discounted cash flow approach. These estimates involve many assumptions, including expected results of operations and assumed discount rates. These discount rates are based on standard industry practice, taking into account the expected equity risk premium, the size of the business and the probability of the reporting unit achieving its financial forecasts. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit’s goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, then goodwill impairment is recognized by writing goodwill down to the implied fair value.

Events that may indicate goodwill impairment include significant or adverse changes in results of operations of the business, economic or political climate, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition, and a more-likely-than-not expectation that a reporting unit will be sold or disposed of.

Fair Value Measurements

Our marketable investment securities, non-marketable investment securities and derivatives are financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. We make estimates regarding valuation of assets and liabilities measured at fair value in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurement—Definition and Hierarchy

SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (“SFAS No. 157”) defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (the “exit price”) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability rather than an entity-specific measure.

SFAS No. 157 establishes a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities recorded at fair value. The classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy is based on whether the inputs to the valuation methodology used for measurement are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market-derived or market-based information obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our estimates about market data. The three levels for measuring fair value are based on the reliability of inputs and are as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to instruments utilizing Level 1 inputs. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Assets utilizing Level 1 inputs include exchange-traded equity securities.

Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, directly or indirectly:

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Assets and liabilities utilizing Level 2 inputs include: U.S. treasury and agency securities; mortgage-backed securities (“MBS”); collateralized mortgage obligations (“CMO”); commercial mortgage backed securities (“CMBS”); municipal securities; Over-the-Counter (“OTC”) derivative instruments (foreign exchange forwards and option contracts, interest rate swaps related to our senior notes, subordinated notes and junior subordinated debentures); and equity warrant assets for shares of public company capital stock.

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

Assets utilizing Level 3 inputs include: limited partnership interests in private equity funds, direct equity investments in private companies, and equity warrant assets for shares of private company capital stock.

To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment that we use to determine fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Determination of Fair Value

Fair value measurements for assets and liabilities where there exists limited or no observable market data and, therefore, are based primarily upon our own estimates, are often calculated based on current pricing policy, the economic and competitive environment, the characteristics of the asset or liability and other such factors. Therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability. Additionally, there may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, that could significantly affect the results of current or future values. The following is a description of valuation methodologies used by us for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities, consisting of our available-for-sale fixed income investment securities portfolio and marketable securities accounted for under investment company fair value accounting, are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using broker or dealer quotations, independent pricing models or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, taking into consideration a security’s credit rating, prepayment assumptions and other factors such as credit loss assumptions. Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, such as the NASDAQ Stock Market. Level 2 securities include U.S. Treasuries, U.S. agency debentures, investment grade mortgage securities and state and municipal obligations. Fair value measurement for Level 2 securities are obtained from a third-party pricing service, which uses feeds from active market makers or inter-dealer brokers; matrix pricing methods based upon new issues, secondary trading, or dealer quotes; or spread pricing based upon pool- or tranche-specific evaluations. For certain mortgage securities, broker quotes may be obtained as corroborative data. If a significant divergence exists between the pricing service and the broker quote, then further analysis is performed to determine which price better represents fair value.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Non-Marketable Securities

Our non-marketable securities consist of our investments made by the following funds:

- Funds of funds, such as SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP, SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP, SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP, and SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP, which make investments in private equity funds;
- Co-investment funds, such as Silicon Valley BancVentures, LP, SVB Capital Partners II, LP, and SVB India Capital Partners I, LP, which make equity investments in privately held companies; and
- A sponsored debt fund, Partners for Growth, LP, which provides financing to companies in the form of structured loans and equity investments.

For GAAP purposes, these funds are investment companies under the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (“AICPA”) Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies. Accordingly, these funds report their investments at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in fair value reflected as investment gains or losses in our consolidated net income. We have retained the specialized accounting of our consolidated funds pursuant to EITF Issue No. 85-12, *Retention of Specialized Accounting for Investments in Consolidation*. We have valued our investments, in the absence of observable market prices, using the valuation methodologies described below applied on a consistent basis.

Investments in private equity funds are stated at fair value, based on the information provided by the investee funds’ management, which reflects our share of the fair value of the net assets of the investment fund on the valuation date.

For direct private company investments, valuations are based upon consideration of a range of factors including, but not limited to, the price at which the investment was acquired, the term and nature of the investment, local market conditions, values for comparable securities, and as it relates to the private company issue, the current and projected operating performance, exit strategies and financing transactions subsequent to the acquisition of the investment. These valuation methodologies involve a significant degree of management judgment. Estimating the fair value of these investments requires management to make assumptions regarding future performance, financial condition, and relevant market conditions, along with other pertinent information.

Structured loans made by the sponsored debt fund are measured using pricing models that use observable inputs, such as yield curves and publicly-traded equity prices, and unobservable inputs, such as private company equity prices.

Investments in private equity funds and direct private company investments are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy since pricing inputs are unobservable and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for such investments. Investments in structured loans are categorized within Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy based on the observability and significance of the pricing inputs.

Derivative Instruments

Interest Rate Swaps, Foreign Currency Forward and Option Contracts

Our interest rate swaps, foreign currency forward and option contracts are traded in OTC markets where quoted market prices are not readily available. For these derivatives, we measure fair value using pricing models that use primarily market observable inputs, such as yield curves and option volatilities, and, accordingly, classify these as Level 2. When appropriate, valuations are adjusted for various factors such as liquidity and credit considerations. Such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence. In the absence of such

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

evidence, management's best estimate is used. Consistent with market practice, we have individually negotiated agreements with certain counterparties to exchange collateral based on certain levels of fair values of the derivative contracts they have executed. Through this process, one or both parties to the derivative contract provides the other party with information about the fair value of the derivative contract to calculate the amount of collateral required. This fair value information provides additional support for the recorded fair value.

Equity Warrant Assets

As part of negotiated credit facilities and certain other services, we frequently obtain rights to acquire stock in the form of equity warrant assets in certain client companies. Our warrant agreements contain net share settlement provisions, which permit us to receive upon exercise a share count equal to the intrinsic value of the warrant divided by the share price (otherwise known as a "cashless" exercise). Because we can net settle our warrant agreements, our equity warrant assets qualify as derivative instruments.

Equity warrant assets for shares of private and public company capital stock are recorded at fair value on the grant date and adjusted to fair value on a quarterly basis through consolidated net income. We value our equity warrant assets using a modified Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporates assumptions about underlying asset value, volatility, expected remaining life and risk-free interest rate. Valuation adjustments, such as a marketability discount, are made to equity warrant assets for shares of private company capital stock. These valuation adjustments are estimated based on management's judgment about the general industry environment.

The valuation of equity warrant assets for shares of public company capital stock is based on market observable inputs and these are classified as Level 2. Since the valuation of equity warrant assets for shares of private company capital stock involves significant unobservable inputs they are categorized as Level 3.

Fee-based Services Revenue Recognition

Letters of Credit and Standby Letters of Credit Fee Income

Fees generated from letters of credit and standby letters of credit are deferred as a component of other liabilities and recognized in noninterest income over the commitment period using the straight-line method, based on the likelihood that the commitment being drawn down will be remote.

Client Investment Fees

Client investment fees include fees earned from Rule 12(b)-1 fees and from customer transactional based fees. Rule 12(b)-1 fees are earned and recognized over the period client funds are invested. Transactional base fees are earned and recognized on fixed income and equity securities when the transaction is executed on the clients' behalf.

Foreign Exchange Fees

Foreign exchange fees represent the income differential between purchases and sales of foreign currency on behalf of our clients and are recognized as earned.

Other Fee Income

Credit card fees and deposit service charge fee income are recognized as earned on a monthly basis.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Other Service Revenue

Other service revenue primarily includes revenue from valuation services and equity ownership data management services. We recognize other service revenues in accordance with SAB No. 104. We recognize revenue when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) we have performed the service, provided we have no other remaining obligations to the customer, (iii) the fee is fixed or determinable and, (iv) collectibility is probable.

Fund Management Fees and Carried Interest

Fund management fees are comprised of fees charged directly to our managed funds of funds and co-investment funds. Fund management fees are based upon the contractual terms of the limited partnership agreements and are recognized as earned over the specified contract period, which is generally equal to the life of the individual fund. Annual management fees earned from our managed funds generally range from 0.8% to 2.5% of committed capital during the fund investment period.

Carried interest is comprised of preferential allocations of profits recognizable when the return on assets of one of our managed funds of funds and co-investment funds exceeds certain performance targets. Carried interest is accrued quarterly based on measuring fund performance to date versus the performance target.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Our federal, state and foreign income tax provisions are based upon taxes payable for the current year as well as current year changes in deferred taxes related to temporary differences between the tax basis and financial statement balances of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are included in the consolidated financial statements at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be realized. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. We file a consolidated federal income tax return, and consolidated, combined, or separate state income tax returns as appropriate. Our foreign incorporated subsidiaries file tax returns in the applicable foreign jurisdictions.

Share-Based Compensation

Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for employee stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method supplemented by pro forma disclosures in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (“APB No. 25”) and SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosures*. Under the intrinsic value method, stock options granted with exercise prices equal to the grant date fair value of our stock have no intrinsic value and therefore no expense was actually recorded for these options under APB No. 25. For pro forma disclosure only, we measured the fair value of our stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and expensed the value over the corresponding service period using the straight-line amortization approach. Equity-based awards for which stock-based compensation expense was actually recorded were generally grants of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units which were measured at fair value on the date of grant based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of our common stock. Such value was then recognized as an expense over the corresponding service period using an accelerated amortization approach in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans*.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (“SFAS No. 123(R)”), using the modified prospective transition method. Accordingly, prior periods have not been restated to reflect the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). Under SFAS No. 123(R), stock-based awards that were granted prior to January 1, 2006 are being expensed over the remaining portion of their vesting period under the same amortization method and, for stock options, using the same fair value measurements which were used in calculating pro forma stock-based compensation expense under SFAS No. 123. Under SFAS No. 123(R), the fair value of stock options are being measured using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model while the fair value for restricted stock awards and restricted stock units are based on the quoted price of our common stock on the date of grant. For all stock-based awards granted on or after January 1, 2006, stock-based compensation expense is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. SFAS No. 123(R) requires that the deferred stock-based compensation on the consolidated balance sheet on the date of adoption be netted against additional paid-in capital.

Earnings Per Share

We calculate earnings per common share in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share* (“SFAS No. 128”). SFAS No. 128 requires the presentation of basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share for companies with potential common shares, such as warrants and stock options. Accordingly, basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common stock shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common stock shares and potential common shares outstanding during the period. Potential common shares consist of contingently convertible debt (using the “treasury stock” method), stock options and warrants. Common stock equivalent shares are excluded from the computation if the effect is antidilutive. The dilutive effect of our convertible debt is included in earnings per share in accordance with the provisions of EITF 04-8, *The Effect of Contingently Convertible Instruments on Diluted Earnings Per Share* (“EITF 04-8”).

Derivative Financial Instruments

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended (“SFAS No. 133”). SFAS No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, and requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value.

The accounting for changes in fair value of a derivative depends on whether the derivative instrument is designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and, if so, the nature of the hedging activity. Changes in fair value are recognized through earnings for derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment as defined by SFAS No. 133, or that have not been designated in a hedging relationship.

Fair Value Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the hedging instrument should offset the loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk. Any difference that does arise would be the result of hedge ineffectiveness, which is recognized through earnings. If the derivative instrument meets the qualification for the short-cut treatment, as defined by SFAS No. 133, the period end gross positive fair value is recorded in other assets or gross negative fair values is recorded in other liabilities and an offsetting amount is recorded to the asset or liability being hedged (see Note 13—“Derivative Financial Instruments”).

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Equity Warrant Assets

Equity warrant assets for shares of private and public companies are recorded at fair value on the grant date and adjusted to fair value on a quarterly basis through consolidated net income. We received equity warrant assets from our clients as compensation for services provided. We hold these assets for prospective investment gains. We do not use them to hedge any economic risks nor do we use other derivative instruments to hedge economic risks stemming from equity warrant assets.

We account for equity warrant assets with net settlement terms in certain private and public client companies as derivatives. In general, equity warrant assets entitle us to buy a specific number of shares of stock at a specific price within a specific time period. Certain equity warrant assets contain contingent provisions, which adjust the underlying number of shares or purchase price upon the occurrence of certain future events. Our warrant agreements contain net share settlement provisions, which permit us to receive at exercise a share count equal to the intrinsic value of the warrant divided by the share price (otherwise known as a “cashless” exercise). Because we can net settle our warrant agreements, our equity warrant assets qualify as derivative instruments in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 133.

Under the accounting treatment required by SFAS No. 133, equity warrant assets in private and public companies, which include net share settlement provisions held by SVB Financial Group, are recorded at fair value and are classified as derivative assets, a component of other assets, on SVB Financial Group’s balance sheet at the time they are obtained.

The grant date fair values of equity warrant assets received in connection with the issuance of a credit facility are deemed to be loan fees and recognized as an adjustment of loan yield through loan interest income, as prescribed by SFAS No. 91. Similar to other loan fees, the yield adjustment related to grant date fair value of warrants is recognized over the life of that credit facility.

Any changes in fair value from the grant date fair value of equity warrant assets will be recognized as increases or decreases to other assets on our balance sheet and as net gains or losses on derivative investments, in noninterest income, a component of consolidated net income. When a portfolio company completes an initial public offering (“IPO”) on a publicly reported market or is acquired, we may exercise these equity warrant assets for shares or cash.

In the event of an exercise for shares, the basis or value in the equity securities is reclassified from other assets to investment securities on the balance sheet on the latter of the exercise date or corporate action date. The equity securities are classified as available-for-sale securities under SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities* (“SFAS No. 115”). In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 115, changes in fair value of securities designated as available-for-sale, after applicable taxes, are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income, which is a separate component of stockholders’ equity.

The fair value of the equity warrant assets portfolio is reviewed quarterly. We value our equity warrant assets using a modified Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporates the following material assumptions:

- Underlying asset value was estimated based on information available, including any information regarding subsequent rounds of funding.
- Volatility, or the amount of uncertainty or risk about the size of the changes in the warrant price, was based on guideline publicly traded companies within indices similar in nature to the underlying client companies issuing the warrant. A total of six such indices were used. The volatility assumption was based on the median volatility for an individual public company within an index for the past

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

16 quarters, from which an average volatility was derived. The weighted average quarterly median volatility assumption used for the warrant valuation at December 31, 2008 was 45.5%, compared to 39.7% at December 31, 2007.

- Actual data on cancellations and exercises of our warrants was utilized as the basis for determining the expected remaining life of the warrants in each financial reporting period. Warrants may be exercised in the event of acquisitions, mergers or IPOs, and cancelled due to events such as bankruptcies, restructuring activities or additional financings. These events cause the expected remaining life assumption to be shorter than the contractual term of the warrants. This assumption reduced the reported value of the warrant portfolio by \$17.5 million at December 31, 2008, compared to a reduction of \$11.8 million at December 31, 2007.
- The risk-free interest rates were derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve. The risk-free interest rate was calculated based on a weighted average of the risk-free interest rates that correspond closest to the expected remaining life of the warrant. The risk-free interest rate used for the warrant valuation at December 31, 2008 was 0.9%, compared to 3.2% at December 31, 2007.
- Other adjustments, including a marketability discount, were estimated based on management's judgment about the general industry environment.

Foreign Exchange Forwards and Foreign Currency Option Contracts

We enter into foreign exchange forward contracts and foreign currency option contracts with clients involved in international activities, either as the purchaser or seller, depending upon the clients' need. We also enter into an opposite-way forward or option contract with a correspondent bank to economically hedge client contracts to mitigate the fair value risk from fluctuations in currency rates. Settlement, credit, and operational risks remain. We also enter into forward contracts with correspondent banks to economically hedge currency exposure risk related to certain foreign currency denominated loans. These contracts are not designated as hedging instruments and are recorded at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized immediately in consolidated net income under gains (losses) on derivative instruments, net, a component of noninterest income. Period end gross positive fair values are recorded in other assets and gross negative fair values are recorded in other liabilities.

Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock

We account for non-hedging contracts that are indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company's own stock in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock* ("EITF 00-19"). EITF 00-19 provides for specific treatment of derivative financial instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company's own stock, depending on the settlement method.

EITF 00-19 specifies how a derivative financial instrument indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company's own stock should be recorded as one of permanent equity, temporary equity, an asset or a liability depending on the settlement method. EITF 00-19 also includes provisions governing whether a derivative embedded into a financial instrument indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company's own stock may be exempt from the provisions of SFAS No. 133. We account for convertible note hedges entered into concurrent with the issuance of our zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes and our 3.875% convertible senior notes in stockholders' equity in accordance with the guidance in EITF 00-19.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (“SFAS”) No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (“SFAS No. 157”) on January 1, 2008. In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) decided that an entity need not apply this standard to nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis until 2009. Nonfinancial assets and liabilities for which we have not applied the provisions of SFAS No. 157 include those measured at fair value in impairment testing and those initially measured at fair value in a business combination. We do not expect the full adoption of SFAS No. 157 to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations. Additionally, in early October 2008, the FASB issued a clarification related to the application of SFAS No. 157 for determining the fair value of a financial asset when a market for that asset is not active. We have applied the guidance from the FASB clarification as it is effective upon issuance and requires retrospective application. There was no material effect on our financial assets as a result of this application.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements—an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51* (“SFAS No. 160”). SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent’s ownership interest, and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS No. 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The standard clarifies and increases the disclosure requirements for noncontrolling interest and has no impact on how we account for our ownership interests in subsidiaries.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (“SFAS No. 161”). SFAS No. 161 requires companies with derivative instruments to provide enhanced disclosure information that should enable financial statement users to better understand how and why a company uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (“SFAS No. 133”) and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect a company’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The standard expands the disclosure requirements for derivatives and hedged items and has no impact on how we account for these instruments.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (“FSP”) Accounting Principles Board (“APB”) Opinion No. 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)* (“FSP APB No. 14”). The FSP requires the proceeds from the issuance of such convertible debt instruments to be allocated between a liability and an equity component in a manner that reflects the entity’s non-convertible debt borrowing rate when interest expense is recognized in subsequent periods. The resulting debt discount is amortized over the period the convertible debt is expected to be outstanding as additional non-cash interest expense. FSP APB No. 14-1 is effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and requires retrospective application to the first quarter of 2007. Our 2009 adoption will require historical financial statements for 2007 and 2008 to be adjusted to conform to the FSP’s new accounting treatment for both

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

our zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes, which matured on June 15, 2008, and 3.875% convertible senior notes, due April 15, 2011. Based on our evaluation of the new accounting treatment, our adoption on January 1, 2009 will result in a decrease of \$7.3 million of our long-term debt related to our zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes to be reclassified to equity as of January 1, 2007. In addition, our beginning January 1, 2007 balances will reflect a reclassification of \$16.6 million from retained earnings to equity and a \$0.2 million reclassification from retained earnings to deferred issuance costs, representing cumulative adjustments from 2003 through 2006. At adoption, FSP APB No. 14 will increase our non-cash interest expense by \$5.1 million and \$3.4 million in 2007 and 2008, respectively, resulting in reductions to our consolidated net income for 2007 and 2008 of \$3.0 million, or \$0.08 per diluted common share, and \$2.0 million, or \$0.06 per diluted common share, respectively.

3. Stockholders' Equity and Earnings Per Share ("EPS")

In December 2008, we participated in the U.S. Treasury ("Treasury") Capital Purchase Program (the "CPP"), under which we received \$235 million in exchange for issuing shares of Series B Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock") and a warrant to purchase common stock to the Treasury. As a participant in CPP, we are subject to various restrictions and requirements, such as restrictions on our stock repurchases and payment of dividends, and other requirements relating to our executive compensation and corporate governance practices. Moreover under legislation such as the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "ARRA"), we are subject to additional, broader executive compensation requirements. Under the new requirements under ARRA, we may early redeem the shares issued to the Treasury under the CPP without any early penalty or requirement to raise new capital, as previously required under the original terms of the CPP.

Common Stock

In 2008, under a stock repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors in July 2007, we repurchased during the first and second quarters of 2008, 1.0 million shares of our common stock totaling \$45.6 million, compared to 2.9 million in 2007 totaling \$146.8 million and 2.1 million shares in 2006 totaling \$103.8 million. In July 2008 upon expiration of the 2007 program, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing us to purchase up to \$150.0 million of our common stock, which expires on December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2008, \$150.0 million of shares remain authorized for repurchase under our current stock repurchase program. However, under the terms of our participation in the CPP, except in connection with benefit plans consistent with past practice and certain other circumstances specified in the underlying purchase agreement of the Series B Preferred Stock, we may not, without the prior consent of the Treasury, repurchase our common stock or other equity securities, prior to the earlier of December 12, 2011 and the date on which the outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock have been redeemed in whole or have been transferred to a third party. In light of these restrictions, and given the challenges of the current capital markets environment and our plans for continued investment in our business to support future growth, we do not currently expect to repurchase, or to seek the Treasury's consent to repurchase, shares of our common stock. We will continue to evaluate this position on an ongoing basis.

If we engage in stock repurchase activities, we may, from time to time, implement a non-discretionary trading plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, under which we will automatically repurchase shares of our common stock pursuant to a predetermined formula for a specified period of time.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Preferred Stock

The Series B Preferred Stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital, and holders are entitled to receive cumulative cash dividends at a rate of 5 percent per year for the first five years (or \$50 per share), and 9 percent per year (or \$90 per share) thereafter, on a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. Dividends are payable quarterly in arrears on each of February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15, if, as and when declared by our Board of Directors (or its duly authorized committee), out of assets legally available for payment. The common stock warrant entitles the Treasury to purchase 708,116 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$49.78 for a term of ten years. As a result, we recorded a \$221.1 million increase in Series B Preferred Stock, which is net of a discount of \$13.9 million related to the common stock warrant. At December 31, 2008, we have accrued dividends payable of \$0.6 million on our Series B Preferred Stock.

Stockholders' Rights Plan

Our Board of Directors (the "Board") has approved and adopted a stockholders' rights plan to, among other things, protect our stockholders from coercive takeover tactics. The current stockholders' rights plan is in effect through January 31, 2014 (the "Rights Plan").

Under the Rights Plan, each stockholder of record on November 9, 1998 received a dividend of one right (a "Right") for each outstanding share of common stock of the Company. The Rights are attached to, and presently only traded with, shares of the Company's common stock and are not currently exercisable. Except as specified below, upon becoming exercisable, each Right will entitle the holder to purchase from us 1/1000th of a share of the Company's Series A Participating Preferred Stock at a price of \$175.00 per share.

The Rights will be exercisable on the tenth (10th) business day (or such later date as is determined by our Board) following the announcement that a person or group (other than the Company, its subsidiaries or their employee benefit plans) has acquired or announces a tender or exchange offer to acquire beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's common stock. If a person or group acquires beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's common stock, each Right will then be exercisable for shares of common stock having a value equal to two times the exercise price of the Right. Similarly, in the event the Company is acquired in a merger or other business combination transaction or 50% or more of our consolidated assets or earning power are sold following such time as a person or group has acquired beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's common stock, the rights will be exercisable for shares of the acquirer or its parent having a value equal to two times the exercise price of the Right.

At any time on or prior to the close of business on the earlier of (i) the fifth day following a public announcement that a person or group (other than the Company, its subsidiaries or their employee benefit plans) has acquired beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the Company's outstanding common shares (or such later date as may be determined by action of the Board and publicly announced) or (ii) January 31, 2014, we may redeem the Rights in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$0.001 per Right, subject to adjustment.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is the amount of earnings available to each share of common stock outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is the amount of earnings available to each share of common stock outstanding during the reporting period adjusted to include the effect of potentially dilutive common shares. Potentially dilutive common shares include incremental shares issued for stock options, our Employee Stock Purchase Plan, restricted stock awards and units, our \$150 million zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes (“2003 Convertible Notes”) and related warrants, our \$250 million of 3.875% convertible senior notes (“2008 Convertible Notes”) and related warrants, and our warrant under the CPP. Potentially dilutive common shares are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share in periods in which the effect would be antidilutive. The following is a reconciliation of basic EPS to diluted EPS for 2008, 2007, and 2006:

| (Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income | \$78,628 | \$123,638 | \$89,385 |
| Preferred stock dividend and discount accretion | (707) | — | — |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$77,921 | \$123,638 | \$89,385 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding—basic | 32,425 | 33,950 | 34,681 |
| Weighted average effect of dilutive securities: | | | |
| Stock options | 887 | 1,265 | 1,497 |
| Restricted stock awards and units | 114 | 44 | 116 |
| 2003 Convertible Notes | 589 | 1,479 | 1,321 |
| Warrants associated with 2003 Convertible Notes | — | — | — |
| 2008 Convertible Notes | — | — | — |
| Warrants associated with 2008 Convertible Notes | — | — | — |
| Warrant associated with Capital Purchase Program | — | — | — |
| Denominator for diluted calculation | 34,015 | 36,738 | 37,615 |
| Net income per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.40 | \$ 3.64 | \$ 2.58 |
| Diluted | \$ 2.29 | \$ 3.37 | \$ 2.38 |

Any dilutive effect of our 2003 Convertible Notes and 2008 Convertible Notes are included in the calculation of diluted EPS using the treasury stock method, in accordance with the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) 04-8, *The Effect of Contingently Convertible Instruments on Diluted EPS*, EITF No. 90-19, *Convertible Bonds With Issuer Option to Settle in Cash Upon Conversion* and SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. We included the weighted average dilutive effect of the 2003 Convertible Notes, which matured on June 15, 2008, in our diluted EPS calculation for the 2008 year. The issuance of the 2008 Convertible Notes in April 2008 did not impact our weighted average diluted common shares total as the applicable conversion price was higher than the average daily closing price for the twelve month period. Our warrants associated with the 2003 Convertible Notes, 2008 Convertible Notes and CPP also did not impact our weighted average diluted common shares total as the applicable conversion prices were higher than the average daily closing price for the twelve month period.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table summarizes the common shares excluded from the diluted EPS calculation as they were deemed to be antidilutive for 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| (Shares in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|-------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Stock options | 930 | 729 | 884 |
| Restricted stock awards and units | 2 | — | 24 |
| Warrants associated with 2003 Convertible Notes | 160 | 87 | 329 |
| 2008 Convertible Notes | 400 | — | — |
| Warrants associated with 2008 Convertible Notes | 1,229 | — | — |
| Warrant associated with Capital Purchase Program | 2 | — | — |
| Total | 2,723 | 816 | 1,237 |

4. Share-Based Compensation

In 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded share-based compensation expense of \$13.6 million, \$14.9 million and \$21.3 million, respectively, resulting in the recognition of \$3.4 million, \$3.0 million and \$4.6 million, respectively in related tax benefits. Total compensation costs capitalized in 2008 was \$1.0 million. No compensation cost was capitalized in 2007 and 2006, as such amount was inconsequential. SFAS No. 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from initial estimates. Share-based compensation expense was recorded net of estimated forfeitures for 2008, 2007 and 2006, such that expense was recorded only for those share-based awards that are expected to vest. Previously under APB No. 25, to the extent awards were forfeited prior to vesting, the corresponding previously recognized expense was reversed in the period of forfeiture. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) as of January 1, 2006, we recorded a cumulative adjustment of \$0.2 million to account for the expected forfeitures of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units granted prior to January 1, 2006, for which we previously recorded an expense.

Equity Incentive Plans

On May 11, 2006, stockholders approved the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2006 Incentive Plan”). Our previous 1997 Equity Incentive Plan expired in December 2006. The 2006 Incentive Plan provides for the grant of various types of incentive awards, of which the following have been granted: (i) stock options; (ii) restricted stock; (iii) restricted stock units; and (iv) other stock awards.

Subject to the provisions of Section 14 of the 2006 Incentive Plan, the maximum aggregate number of shares that may be awarded and sold is 3,000,000 shares plus 1,488,361 shares comprised of: (i) any shares that have been reserved but not issued under our 1997 Equity Incentive Plan as of May 11, 2006; and (ii) any shares subject to stock options or similar awards granted under the 1997 Equity Incentive Plan that expire or otherwise terminate without having been exercised in full and shares issued pursuant to awards granted under the 1997 Equity Incentive Plan that are forfeited or repurchased by us. No further awards will be made under the 1997 Equity Incentive Plan, but it will continue to govern awards previously granted thereunder.

Restricted stock awards and restricted stock units will be counted against the numerical limits of the 2006 Incentive Plan as two shares for every one share. Further, if shares acquired under any such award are forfeited or repurchased by us and would otherwise return to the 2006 Incentive Plan, two times the number of such forfeited or repurchased shares will return to the 2006 Incentive Plan and will again become available for issuance.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Eligible participants in the 2006 Incentive Plan include directors, employees, and consultants. Options granted under the 2006 Incentive Plan generally expire seven years after the grant date. Options generally become exercisable over various periods, typically four years, from the grant date based on continued employment, and typically vest annually. Restricted stock awards generally vest over the passage of time and require continued employment through the vesting period. Restricted stock units generally vest upon meeting certain performance-based objectives or the passage of time, or a combination of both, and require continued employment through the vesting period. The vesting period for restricted stock units cannot be less than three years unless they are subject to certain performance-based objectives, in which case the vesting period can be 12 months or longer.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

We maintain an employee stock purchase plan (“ESPP”) under which participating employees may annually contribute up to 10% of their gross compensation to purchase shares of our common stock at 85% of its fair market value at either the beginning or end of each six-month offering period, whichever price is less. To be eligible to participate in the ESPP, an employee must, among other requirements, be employed by the Company on the date of offering and be employed customarily for at least 20 hours per week and at least five months per calendar year. We issued 155,521 shares and received \$5.0 million in cash under the ESPP in 2008. At December 31, 2008, a total of 602,304 shares of our common stock were still available for future issuance under the ESPP. The next purchase will be on June 30, 2009 at the end of the current six-month offering period. Effective January 1, 2006, we began recognizing compensation expense associated with the ESPP in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R).

Unrecognized Compensation Expense

As of December 31, 2008, unrecognized share-based compensation expense was as follows:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Unrecognized Expense</u> | <u>Average Expected Recognition Period-in Years</u> |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Stock options | \$ 6,596 | 1.40 |
| Restricted stock awards and units | <u>11,494</u> | 1.47 |
| Total unrecognized share-based compensation expense | <u><u>\$18,090</u></u> | |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Valuation Assumptions

The fair values of share-based awards for employee stock options and employee stock purchases made under our ESPP were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair values of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units were based on our closing stock price on the date of grant. The following weighted average assumptions and fair values were used:

| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> | <u>2006</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Equity Incentive Plan Awards | | | |
| Weighted average expected term of options in years | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| Weighted average expected volatility of the Company's underlying common stock .. | 25.7% | 25.6% | 29.4% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.07 | 4.60 | 4.77 |
| Expected dividend yield | — | — | — |
| Weighted average grant date fair value-stock options | \$12.85 | \$16.27 | \$18.43 |
| Weighted average grant date fair value-restricted stock awards and restricted stock units | 48.57 | 50.15 | 50.84 |
| ESPP | | | |
| Expected term in years | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Expected volatility of the Company's underlying common stock | 27.5% | 16.2% | 21.3% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.96 | 5.03 | 4.76 |
| Expected dividend yield | — | — | — |
| Weighted average fair value | \$11.45 | \$10.19 | \$10.07 |

The expected term is based on the implied term of the stock options using a lattice option-pricing model with early exercise factors based on historic employee exercise behavior. The expected volatilities are based on a blended rate consisting of our historic volatility and our expected volatility over a five-year term which is an indicator of expected volatility and future stock price trends. For 2008, 2007 and 2006, expected volatilities for ESPP were equal to the historical volatility for the previous six-month periods. The expected risk-free interest rates were based on the yields of U.S. Treasury Securities, as reported by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, with maturities equal to the expected terms of the employee stock options.

Share-Based Payment Award Activity

The table below provides stock option information related to the 1997 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2006 Incentive Plan for the year ended December 31, 2008:

| | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u> | <u>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years</u> | <u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value of In- The-Money Options</u> |
|--|------------------|--|---|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2007 | 3,769,229 | \$33.74 | | |
| Granted | 426,482 | 48.65 | | |
| Exercised | (1,030,521) | 29.05 | | |
| Forfeited | (18,840) | 48.12 | | |
| Expired | (15,421) | 30.39 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2008 | <u>3,130,929</u> | 37.25 | 3.65 | \$3,212,189 |
| Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2008 | <u>3,015,081</u> | 36.79 | 3.55 | 3,212,189 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2008 | <u>2,294,543</u> | 32.98 | 2.90 | 3,212,189 |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding options shown in the table below represents the pretax intrinsic value as of December 31, 2008. This value is based on our closing stock price of \$26.23 as of December 31, 2008. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$24.2 million, \$24.1 million and \$36.8 million, respectively. The total fair value of option grants that vested during 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$17.8 million, \$24.4 million and \$30.6 million, respectively. Cash received from stock option exercises during 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$29.9 million, \$28.0 million and \$33.9 million, respectively. The tax benefit realized from stock options exercised during 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$7.8 million, \$8.9 million and \$11.9 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes information regarding stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2008:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Outstanding Options | | | Exercisable Options | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$8.94-\$22.50 | 347,735 | 3.53 | \$18.59 | 347,735 | \$18.59 |
| 23.69-26.00 | 410,995 | 2.34 | 24.92 | 410,995 | 24.92 |
| 26.06-31.29 | 435,684 | 2.81 | 29.52 | 435,684 | 29.52 |
| 31.69-36.46 | 319,737 | 2.14 | 35.15 | 318,987 | 35.16 |
| 36.56-41.66 | 329,844 | 2.74 | 39.74 | 324,844 | 39.78 |
| 41.87-47.67 | 317,423 | 3.71 | 44.72 | 201,919 | 44.72 |
| 47.76-48.49 | 206,628 | 5.16 | 48.18 | 56,593 | 48.19 |
| 48.76-48.76 | 360,093 | 6.80 | 48.76 | 845 | 48.76 |
| 48.88-53.29 | 380,392 | 4.18 | 51.87 | 193,661 | 51.56 |
| 53.30-60.27 | 22,398 | 5.93 | 56.49 | 3,280 | 53.39 |
| \$8.94-\$60.27 | <u>3,130,929</u> | 3.65 | \$37.25 | <u>2,294,543</u> | \$32.98 |

We expect to satisfy the exercise of stock options by issuing new shares registered under the 1997 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2006 Incentive Plan, as applicable. All future awards of stock options and restricted stock will be issued from the 2006 Incentive Plan. At December 31, 2008, 2,952,391 shares were available for future issuance under the 2006 Incentive Plan.

The table below provides information for restricted stock awards and restricted stock units under the 1997 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2006 Incentive Plan for the year ended December 31, 2008:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Nonvested at December 31, 2007 | 376,181 | \$44.58 |
| Granted | 146,467 | 48.57 |
| Vested | (117,573) | 42.70 |
| Forfeited | (11,612) | 49.04 |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2008 | <u>393,463</u> | \$46.49 |

The total fair value of restricted stock grants that vested during 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$5.0 million, \$4.7 million and \$7.5 million, respectively.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

5. Reserves on Deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Bank Stock

The Bank is required to maintain reserves against customer deposits by keeping balances with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco in a noninterest-bearing cash account. The average required reserve balance totaled \$28.5 million in 2008 and \$32.0 million in 2007. The cash balances at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco are classified as cash and cash equivalents.

As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) and Federal Reserve Bank (“FRB”), we are required to hold shares of FHLB and FRB stock under the Bank’s borrowing agreement. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had \$25.8 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, in FHLB stock, and \$9.9 million and \$9.3 million, respectively, in FRB stock. FHLB and FRB stock are recorded as a component of other assets.

6. Federal Funds Sold, Securities Purchased under Agreements to Resell and Other Short-Term Investment Securities

The following table details the federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | December 31, | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Federal funds sold overnight | \$250,000 | \$ — |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell (1) | 150,910 | 62,181 |
| Interest-earning deposits | 169,022 | 81,553 |
| Other short-term investment securities | <u>77,482</u> | <u>214,930</u> |
| Total federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities | <u>\$647,414</u> | <u>\$358,664</u> |

(1) At December 31, 2008, securities purchased under agreements to resell were collateralized by U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. agency securities. Securities purchased under agreements to resell averaged \$82.3 million and \$79.2 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively. The maximum amount outstanding at any month-end during 2008 and 2007 was \$150.9 million and \$140.8 million, respectively.

In addition, as of December 31, 2008, \$1.08 billion of our cash and due from banks was deposited at the Federal Reserve Bank and was earning interest at the Federal Funds target rate.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

7. Investment Securities

The major components of our investment securities portfolio at December 31, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | | | December 31, 2007 | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Carrying Value | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Carrying Value |
| Marketable securities: | | | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities, at fair value: | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 19,956 | \$ 172 | \$ — | \$ 20,128 |
| U.S. agencies and corporations: | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | | | | | | | |
| obligations | 590,876 | 5,609 | (16,736) | 579,749 | 543,460 | 751 | (7,828) | 536,383 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 459,770 | 9,910 | (2,230) | 467,450 | 395,979 | 711 | (5,712) | 390,978 |
| U.S. agency debentures | 109,981 | 3,622 | — | 113,603 | 159,978 | 1,542 | (440) | 161,080 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | | | | | | | | |
| securities | 54,202 | — | (6,721) | 47,481 | 62,016 | 14 | (740) | 61,290 |
| Municipal bonds and notes | 109,405 | 1,384 | (2,034) | 108,755 | 81,433 | 662 | (240) | 81,855 |
| Marketable equity securities | 157 | — | (5) | 152 | 7,550 | 725 | (884) | 7,391 |
| Venture capital fund investments | — | 1 | — | 1 | 1 | — | — | 1 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$1,324,391 | \$20,526 | \$(27,726) | \$1,317,191 | \$1,270,373 | \$4,577 | \$(15,844) | \$1,259,106 |
| Marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) (1) | | | | 1,703 | | | | 3,591 |
| Non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting): | | | | | | | | |
| Private equity fund investments (2) | | | | 242,645 | | | | 194,862 |
| Other private equity investments (3) | | | | 82,444 | | | | 44,872 |
| Other investments (4) | | | | 1,547 | | | | 12,080 |
| Non-marketable securities (equity method accounting): | | | | | | | | |
| Other investments (5) | | | | 27,000 | | | | 21,299 |
| Low income housing tax credit funds | | | | 31,510 | | | | 24,491 |
| Non-marketable securities (cost method accounting): | | | | | | | | |
| Private equity fund investments (6) | | | | 69,971 | | | | 35,006 |
| Other private equity investments | | | | 12,089 | | | | 7,267 |
| Total investment securities | | | | \$1,786,100 | | | | \$1,602,574 |

- (1) Marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) represent investments managed by us or our consolidated subsidiaries that were originally made within our non-marketable securities portfolio that have been converted into publicly-traded shares. The following table shows the amount of investments by the following funds and our ownership of each fund at December 31, 2008 and 2007:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % |
| Partners for Growth, LP | \$1,233 | 50.0% | \$2,556 | 50.0% |
| SVB India Capital Partners I, LP | 470 | 14.4 | 1,035 | 13.9 |
| Total marketable securities | \$1,703 | | \$3,591 | |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- (2) The following table shows the amount of investments by the following consolidated fund of funds and our ownership of each fund at December 31, 2008 and 2007:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP | \$ 65,985 | 12.6% | \$ 68,744 | 12.6% |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP | 94,161 | 8.6 | 81,382 | 8.6 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP | 80,780 | 5.9 | 44,736 | 5.9 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP | 1,719 | 5.0 | — | — |
| Total private equity fund investments | <u>\$242,645</u> | | <u>\$194,862</u> | |

- (3) The following table shows the amount of investments by the following consolidated co-investment funds and our ownership of each fund at December 31, 2008 and 2007:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % |
| Silicon Valley BancVentures, LP | \$24,188 | 10.7% | \$28,068 | 10.7% |
| SVB Capital Partners II, LP (i) | 38,234 | 5.1 | 14,458 | 5.1 |
| SVB India Capital Partners I, LP | 20,022 | 14.4 | 2,346 | 13.9 |
| Total other private equity investments | <u>\$82,444</u> | | <u>\$44,872</u> | |

- (i) At December 31, 2008, we had a direct ownership interest of 1.3% and an indirect ownership interest of 3.8% in the fund through our ownership of SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP.

- (4) Other investments within non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) include our ownership in Partners for Growth, LP, a consolidated sponsored debt fund. At December 31, 2008 and 2007 we had a majority ownership interest of approximately 50.0% in the fund. Partners for Growth, LP is managed by a third party and we do not have an ownership interest in the general partner of this fund.

- (5) The following table shows the amount of investments by the following sponsored debt funds and our ownership of each fund at December 31, 2008 and 2007:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Amount | Ownership % | Amount | Ownership % |
| Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP (i) | \$18,234 | 9.3% | \$15,915 | 9.3% |
| Partners for Growth II, LP | 8,559 | 24.2 | 5,384 | 24.2 |
| Other fund investment | 207 | — | — | — |
| Total other investments | <u>\$27,000</u> | | <u>\$21,299</u> | |

- (i) At December 31, 2008, we had a direct ownership interest of 4.8% in the fund. In addition, we had a 90.7% direct ownership interest in the fund's general partner, Gold Hill Venture Lending Partners 03, LLC ("GHLLC"). GHLLC has a direct ownership interest of 5.0% in Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP and its parallel funds. Our indirect interest in the fund through our investment in GHLLC is 4.5%. Our direct and indirect ownership in the fund is 9.3%.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

- (6) Represents investments in 360 and 324 private equity funds at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, where our ownership interest is less than 5% of the voting stock of each such fund.

The following table summarizes our unrealized losses on our available-for-sale investment securities portfolio into categories of less than 12 months, or 12 months or longer as of December 31, 2008:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
| | Fair Value of Investments | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value of Investments | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value of Investments | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. agencies and corporations: | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations (1) | \$ 53,618 | \$(2,892) | \$ 94,550 | \$(13,844) | \$148,168 | \$(16,736) |
| Mortgage-backed securities (1) | 4,692 | (1,433) | 19,239 | (797) | 23,931 | (2,230) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities (1) | 9,491 | (404) | 37,990 | (6,317) | 47,481 | (6,721) |
| Municipal bonds and notes (1) | 39,694 | (1,827) | 4,091 | (207) | 43,785 | (2,034) |
| Marketable equity securities | 152 | (5) | — | — | 152 | (5) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | <u>\$107,647</u> | <u>\$(6,561)</u> | <u>\$155,870</u> | <u>\$(21,165)</u> | <u>\$263,517</u> | <u>\$(27,726)</u> |

- (1) As of December 31, 2008, we identified a total of 116 investments that were in unrealized loss positions, of which 42 investments totaling \$155.9 million with unrealized losses of \$21.2 million had fair values less than their adjusted cost for a period of time greater than 12 months. Securities classified as collateralized mortgage obligations totaling \$94.6 million with unrealized losses of \$13.8 million were originally purchased between May 2002 and July 2005. Securities classified as mortgage-backed securities totaling \$19.2 million with unrealized losses of \$0.8 million were originally purchased between June 2003 and March 2005. Securities classified as commercial mortgage-backed securities totaling \$38.0 million with unrealized losses of \$6.3 million were originally purchased between April 2005 and July 2005. Securities classified as municipal bonds and notes totaling \$4.1 million with unrealized losses of \$0.2 million were originally purchased between September 2007 and December 2007. All investments with unrealized losses for a period of time greater than 12 months are either rated AAA by Moody's or S&P or are issued by a government sponsored enterprise. The unrealized losses are primarily due to increases in market spreads to benchmark interest rates relative to rates and spreads at the time of purchase. Based on the underlying credit quality of the investments, we expect these impairments to be temporary, and as such, we expect to recover impairments prior to maturity and we have the intent and ability to hold these investments until recovery or final maturity. Market valuations and impairment analyses on assets in the investment portfolio are reviewed and monitored on an ongoing basis.

The following table summarizes our unrealized losses on our available-for-sale investment securities portfolio into categories of less than 12 months or 12 months or longer as of December 31, 2007:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2007 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
| | Fair Value of Investments | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value of Investments | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value of Investments | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. agencies and corporations: | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | \$ — | \$ — | \$408,238 | \$(7,828) | \$408,238 | \$(7,828) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 9,759 | (12) | 331,300 | (5,700) | 341,059 | (5,712) |
| U.S. agency debentures | — | — | 74,575 | (440) | 74,575 | (440) |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | — | — | 51,380 | (740) | 51,380 | (740) |
| Municipal bonds and notes | 24,327 | (240) | — | — | 24,327 | (240) |
| Marketable equity securities | 7,391 | (884) | — | — | 7,391 | (884) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | <u>\$41,477</u> | <u>\$(1,136)</u> | <u>\$865,493</u> | <u>\$(14,708)</u> | <u>\$906,970</u> | <u>\$(15,844)</u> |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Investment Securities—Remaining Contractual Principal Maturities and Yields (Fully Taxable Equivalent Basis)

The following table summarizes the remaining contractual principal maturities and fully taxable equivalent yields on investment securities as of December 31, 2008. Interest income on certain obligations of states and political subdivision (non-taxable investments) are presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using the federal statutory tax rate of 35.0 percent. The weighted average yield is computed using the amortized cost of available-for-sale securities, which are reported at fair value. Expected remaining maturities of callable U.S. agency securities, mortgage-backed securities, and collateralized mortgage obligations may differ significantly from their contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without penalties. This is most apparent in mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations as contractual maturities are typically 15 to 30 years, whereas expected average lives of these securities are significantly shorter and vary based upon structure. Private equity fund investments, other private equity investments, other investments, and low income housing tax credit funds are included in the table below as maturing after 10 years.

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | Total | | One Year or Less | | After One Year to Five Years | | After Five Years to Ten Years | | After Ten Years | |
| | Carrying Value | Weighted-Average Yield | Carrying Value | Weighted-Average Yield | Carrying Value | Weighted-Average Yield | Carrying Value | Weighted-Average Yield | Carrying Value | Weighted-Average Yield |
| U.S. agencies and corporations: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | \$ 579,749 | 4.77% | \$ 13 | 6.38% | \$ — | —% | \$119,422 | 4.57% | \$ 460,314 | 4.82% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 467,450 | 4.84 | 13 | 5.93 | 3,260 | 6.41 | 88,192 | 4.12 | 375,985 | 5.00 |
| U.S. agency debentures | 113,603 | 4.20 | 66,107 | 3.93 | 47,496 | 4.59 | — | — | — | — |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 47,481 | 4.67 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 47,481 | 4.67 |
| Municipal bonds and notes | 108,755 | 6.18 | 7,341 | 7.35 | 7,820 | 6.92 | 26,572 | 5.71 | 67,022 | 6.15 |
| Low income housing tax credit funds | 31,510 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 31,510 | — |
| Marketable equity securities (1) | 1,856 | — | 1,856 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Private equity fund investments | 312,616 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 312,616 | — |
| Other private equity fund investments | 94,533 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 94,533 | — |
| Other investments | 28,547 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 28,547 | — |
| Total | \$1,786,100 | 3.58% | \$75,330 | 4.17% | \$58,576 | 5.00% | \$234,186 | 4.53% | \$1,418,008 | 3.34% |

(1) Includes available-for-sale securities and securities accounted for under investment company fair value accounting.

Investment securities with a fair value of \$790.7 million and \$737.1 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were pledged to secure certain deposits, current and prospective FHLB borrowings, and to maintain the ability to borrow at the discount window at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. For further information on our available lines of credit, refer to Note 12—“Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt.”

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The cost of investment securities is determined on a specific identification basis. The following table presents the components of gains and losses on investment securities in 2008, 2007 and 2006:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Gross gains on investment securities: | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities, at fair value | \$ 206 | \$ 1,041 | \$ 169 |
| Marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) | 644 | 61 | — |
| Non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting): | | | |
| Private equity fund investments | 20,774 | 45,325 | 14,853 |
| Other private equity investments | 10,917 | 5,574 | 1,145 |
| Other investments | 196 | 20,228 | 1,586 |
| Non-marketable securities (equity method accounting): | | | |
| Other investments | 1,933 | 3,299 | 1,417 |
| Non-marketable securities (cost method accounting): | | | |
| Private equity fund investments | 1,030 | 1,379 | 613 |
| Other private equity investments | 129 | 1,248 | 127 |
| Total gross gains on investment securities | 35,829 | 78,155 | 19,910 |
| Gross losses on investment securities: | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities, at fair value | (2,822) | (363) | (3,230) |
| Marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) | (3,647) | (9) | — |
| Non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting): | | | |
| Private equity fund investments | (26,511) | (15,184) | (9,115) |
| Other private equity investments | (7,725) | (5,790) | (1,443) |
| Other investments | (5,874) | (8,190) | (1,166) |
| Non-marketable securities (equity method accounting): | | | |
| Other investments | (1,685) | (214) | (947) |
| Low income housing tax credit funds | — | (125) | — |
| Non-marketable securities (cost method accounting): | | | |
| Private equity fund investments | (2,084) | (1,055) | (1,401) |
| Other private equity investments | (258) | (501) | (57) |
| Total gross losses on investment securities | (50,606) | (31,431) | (17,359) |
| (Losses) gains on investment securities, net | \$(14,777) | \$ 46,724 | \$ 2,551 |
| (Losses) gains attributable to minority interests, including carried interest | \$ (8,929) | \$ 35,449 | \$ 5,032 |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

8. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

The composition of loans, net of unearned income of \$45.4 million and \$26.4 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, is presented in the following table:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Commercial loans | \$4,515,019 | \$3,321,911 |
| Premium wine (1) | 419,539 | 375,169 |
| Community development loans (2) | 48,293 | 52,094 |
| Consumer and other (3) | 523,402 | 402,556 |
| Total loans, net of unearned income | <u>\$5,506,253</u> | <u>\$4,151,730</u> |

- (1) Premium wine consists of loans for vineyard development as well as working capital and equipment term loans to meet the needs of our clients' premium wineries and vineyards. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, \$269.6 million and \$251.1 million, respectively, of such loans were secured by real estate.
- (2) Community development loans consist of low income housing loans made to fulfill our responsibilities under the Community Reinvestment Act and are primarily secured by real estate.
- (3) Consumer and other loans consist of loans to targeted high-net-worth individuals. These products and services include home equity lines of credit, secured lines of credit, restricted stock purchase loans and capital call lines of credit. This category also includes loans made to eligible employees through our Employee Home Ownership Plan ("EHOP"). Loans secured by real estate at December 31, 2008 and 2007, were comprised of the following:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Home equity lines of credit (i) | \$ 89,544 | \$ 84,808 |
| Loans for personal residences (ii) | 58,700 | 48,066 |
| Loans to eligible employees (iii) | 74,759 | 48,973 |
| Consumer loans secured by real estate | <u>\$223,003</u> | <u>\$181,847</u> |

- (i) Represents home equity lines of credits, which may have been used to finance real estate investments.
- (ii) Represents loans used to purchase, renovate or refinance personal residences.
- (iii) Represents loans made to eligible employees through our EHOP.

The activity in the allowance for loan losses during 2008, 2007 and 2006 was as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Allowance for loan losses, beginning balance | \$ 47,293 | \$ 42,747 | \$ 36,785 |
| Provision for loan losses | 100,713 | 16,836 | 9,877 |
| Gross loan charge-offs | (47,815) | (19,378) | (14,065) |
| Loan recoveries | 7,205 | 7,088 | 10,150 |
| Allowance for loan losses, ending balance | <u>\$107,396</u> | <u>\$ 47,293</u> | <u>\$ 42,747</u> |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Nonaccrual Loans

The aggregate investment in loans for which impairment has been determined in accordance with SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, totaled \$84.9 million, \$7.6 million and \$11.0 million at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. There were no commitments available for funding to any clients with nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2008. The allocation of the allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans was \$25.9 million and \$1.4 million at December 31, 2008, and 2007 respectively. No individual loans were deemed to be impaired under SFAS No. 114 at December 31, 2006; therefore, there was no allocation of the allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans at December 31, 2006. Average impaired loans for 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled \$17.4 million, \$10.3 million and \$8.1 million, respectively. If these loans had not been impaired, \$0.5 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million in interest income would have been recorded, while interest income actually recognized totaled \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.2 million in 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. Our accruing loans past due 90 days or more were \$2.3 million at December 31, 2008 and none for 2007.

9. Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment at December 31, 2008 and 2007, consist of the following:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Computer software | \$ 43,729 | \$ 39,015 |
| Leasehold improvements | 25,893 | 32,616 |
| Computer hardware | 25,829 | 24,232 |
| Furniture and equipment | 9,153 | 9,289 |
| Total | 104,604 | 105,152 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (74,015) | (66,524) |
| Premises and equipment, net | \$ 30,589 | \$ 38,628 |

Depreciation and amortization expense for premises and equipment was \$15.7 million, \$15.0 million, and \$11.1 million in 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

10. Goodwill

Goodwill, which arises when the purchase price exceeds the assigned value of the net assets of an acquired business, represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements being acquired. Goodwill at both December 31, 2008 and 2007 totaled \$4.1 million, which resulted from the acquisition of eProsper.

eProsper

During the third quarter of 2006, through our subsidiary, SVB Analytics, we acquired a majority ownership in eProsper, an equity ownership data management services company. In connection with this acquisition, we recognized \$4.1 million in goodwill. During the third quarter of 2008, we conducted our annual impairment analysis of eProsper in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* ("SFAS No. 142"), based on forecasted discounted net cash flow analysis. The valuation analysis of eProsper indicated no impairment existed.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

SVB Alliant

During the second quarters of 2007 and 2006, we conducted our annual assessment of goodwill of SVB Alliant in accordance with SFAS No. 142. We concluded each time that we had an impairment of goodwill based on forecasted discounted net cash flows for that reporting unit. The impairment resulted from changes in our outlook for SVB Alliant's future financial performance and the remaining \$17.2 million and \$18.4 million of goodwill was expensed as a noncash charge to continuing operations during the second quarters of 2007 and 2006, respectively. All operations at SVB Alliant were ceased as of March 31, 2008.

11. Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposit accounts individually exceeding \$100,000 totaled \$326.8 million and \$286.0 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Interest expense paid on time deposits individually exceeding \$100,000 totaled \$3.0 million, \$2.6 million and \$1.9 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. At December 31, 2008, time deposit accounts, individually exceeding \$100,000 totaling \$326.5 million were scheduled to mature within one year.

12. Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt

The following table represents outstanding short-term borrowings and long-term debt at December 31, 2008 and 2007:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Maturity</u> | <u>December 31, 2008</u> | <u>December 31, 2007</u> |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <i>Short-term borrowings:</i> | | | |
| FHLB advances | Less than One Month (1) | \$ — | \$ 90,000 |
| Other short-term borrowings | (2) | 62,120 | — |
| Total short-term borrowings | | <u>\$ 62,120</u> | <u>\$ 90,000</u> |
| <i>Long-term debt:</i> | | | |
| FHLB advances | (3) | \$ 100,000 | \$150,000 |
| 5.70% senior notes | June 1, 2012 | 279,370 | 259,706 |
| 6.05% subordinated notes | June 1, 2017 | 313,953 | 261,099 |
| Zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | June 15, 2008 | — | 149,269 |
| 3.875% convertible senior notes | April 15, 2011 | 250,000 | — |
| 7.0% junior subordinated debentures | October 15, 2033 | 55,914 | 52,511 |
| 8.0% long-term notes payable | (4) | 1,403 | 2,669 |
| Total long-term debt | | <u>\$1,000,640</u> | <u>\$875,254</u> |

- (1) Represents remaining maturity as of the date reported.
- (2) Represents collateral received from counterparties for our interest rate swap agreements related to our senior and subordinated notes.
- (3) Represents Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances of \$50 million maturing in May 2009 and \$50 million maturing in November 2009. Balance as of December 31, 2007 also included \$50 million in FHLB advances that matured in November 2008.
- (4) Represents long-term notes payable at eProsper and was payable beginning January 1, 2008 with the last payment due in November 2009. SVB purchased a 65% interest in eProsper in 2006.

Interest expense related to short-term borrowings and long-term debt was \$43.6 million, \$49.2 million and \$26.3 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Interest expense shown is net of the cash flow impact from

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

our interest rate swap agreements related to our senior and subordinated notes and junior subordinated debentures. The weighted average interest rates associated with our short-term borrowings as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 were 0.12 percent and 4.48 percent, respectively.

Senior Notes and Subordinated Notes

On May 15, 2007, the Bank issued 5.70% senior notes, due June 1, 2012, in an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million and 6.05% subordinated notes, due June 1, 2017, in an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million (collectively, the “Notes”). The discount and issuance costs related to the Notes were \$0.8 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, and the net proceeds from the offering of the Notes were \$495.0 million. The Notes are not redeemable prior to maturity and interest is payable semi-annually. Proceeds from the issuance of these Notes were used for repayment of certain short-term borrowings. Debt issuance costs of \$2.0 million and \$2.2 million related to the senior and subordinated notes, respectively, were deferred and are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Notes, using the effective interest method. Concurrent with the issuance of the Notes, we entered into fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreements related to both the senior notes and the subordinated notes (see Note 13—“Derivative Financial Instruments”). The fair values of the senior and subordinated notes are included in Note 19—“Fair Value of Financial Instruments”.

Zero-Coupon Convertible Subordinated Notes (“2003 Convertible Notes”)

Our 2003 Convertible Notes, previously issued with an original aggregate total principal amount of \$150 million, matured on June 15, 2008. As of the maturity date, convertible notes for the aggregate total principal amount of \$141.9 million were outstanding and had not yet been converted. Based on the conversion terms of these notes, on June 23, 2008, we made an aggregate conversion settlement payment in cash and in shares of our common stock. The total value of both cash and shares as calculated based on the terms of the notes and as of the payment date was \$212.8 million. Of the \$212.8 million, we paid \$141.9 million in cash, representing the portion of the conversion payment as the total principal amount of the notes converted. We also issued 1,406,034 shares of our common stock, valued at \$70.9 million as calculated based on the terms of the notes, representing the portion of the conversion premium value that exceeded the total principal amount of the notes. In connection with this conversion settlement payment, we exercised call options pursuant to a call-spread arrangement with a certain counterparty, under which the counterparty delivered to us 1,406,043 shares of our common stock, valued at \$70.9 million. Accordingly, there was no net impact on our total stockholders’ equity with respect to settling the conversion premium value.

In May 2008, prior to the maturity date of our 2003 Convertible Notes, we received a conversion notice to convert notes in the total principal amount of \$7.8 million. Consistent with prior early conversions, we elected to settle the conversion fully in cash and paid a total of \$11.6 million in cash, which included \$3.9 million representing the conversion premium value of the converted notes. Accordingly, we recorded a non-tax deductible loss of \$3.9 million as noninterest expense. In connection with this early conversion settlement payment, we exercised call options pursuant to our call-spread arrangement and received a corresponding cash payment of \$3.9 million from the counterparty to the call-spread arrangement. As such, we recorded an increase in additional paid-in capital in stockholders’ equity of \$3.9 million, representing such payment received. Consequently, the \$3.9 million in noninterest expense we recorded due to this early conversion settlement had no net impact on our total stockholders’ equity.

3.875% Convertible Senior Notes (“2008 Convertible Notes”)

In April 2008, we issued our 2008 Convertible Notes, due April 15, 2011, in the aggregate principal amount of \$250 million to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. The

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

issuance costs related to the 2008 Convertible Notes were \$6.8 million, and the net proceeds from the offering were \$243.2 million. We used \$141.9 million of the net proceeds to settle the principal value of our zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes, which matured in June 2008. All remaining proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. The 2008 Convertible Notes are initially convertible, subject to certain conditions, into cash up to the principal amount of notes and, into shares of our common stock or cash or any combination thereof for any excess conversion value, at our option. Holders may convert their 2008 Convertible Notes beginning any fiscal quarter commencing after June 30, 2008, if: (i) the price of our common stock issuable upon conversion of the note reaches a specific threshold, (ii) specified corporate transactions occur, or (iii) the trading price for the note falls below certain thresholds. The notes have an initial conversion rate of 18.8525 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which represents an initial effective conversion price of \$53.04 per share. Upon maturity, we intend to settle the outstanding principal amount in cash, and we have the option to settle any amount exceeding the principal value of the 2008 Convertible Notes in either cash or shares of our common stock. The fair value of the 3.875% convertible senior notes is included in Note 19—“Fair Value of Financial Instruments”.

Concurrent with the issuance of our 2008 Convertible Notes, we entered into a convertible note hedge and warrant agreement (see Note 13—“Derivative Financial Instruments”), which effectively increased the economic conversion price of our 2008 Convertible Notes to \$64.43 per share of common stock. The terms of the hedge and warrant agreement are not part of the terms of the notes and will not affect the rights of the holders of the notes.

7.0% Junior Subordinated Debentures

On October 30, 2003, we issued \$51.5 million in 7.0% junior subordinated debentures to a special-purpose trust, SVB Capital II. Distributions to SVB Capital II are cumulative and are payable quarterly at a fixed rate of 7.0% per annum of the face value of the junior subordinated debentures. Distributions for each of 2008, 2007 and 2006 were \$3.5 million. The junior subordinated debentures are mandatorily redeemable upon maturity on October 15, 2033, or may be redeemed prior to maturity in whole or in part, at our option, at any time on or after October 30, 2008. Issuance costs of \$2.2 million related to the junior subordinated debentures were deferred and are being amortized over the period until mandatory redemption of the debentures in October 2033. We entered into a fixed-to-variable interest rate swap agreement related to these junior subordinated debentures (see Note 13—“Derivative Financial Instruments”). The fair value of the 7.0% junior subordinated debentures is included in Note 19—“Fair Value of Financial Instruments”. We have guaranteed the trust preferred securities issued by SVB Capital II (see Note 18- “Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, Guarantees and Other Commitments”).

Available Lines of Credit

We have certain facilities in place to enable us to access short-term borrowings on a secured (using fixed income securities as collateral) and an unsecured basis. These include repurchase agreements and uncommitted federal funds lines with various financial institutions. As of December 31, 2008, we had not borrowed against our repurchase lines or any of our uncommitted federal funds lines. We also pledge securities to the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco and the discount window at the Federal Reserve Bank. The market value of collateral pledged to the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco at December 31, 2008 totaled \$693.1 million, of which \$593.1 million was unused. The market value of collateral pledged at the discount window of the Federal Reserve Bank at December 31, 2008 totaled \$83.5 million, all of which was unused.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

13. Derivative Financial Instruments

We designate derivative instruments as hedging or free-standing instruments at their respective trade date. We may use interest rate swaps, forward contracts, options and warrants. A swap agreement is a contract between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts, assets or indices. Forward settlement contracts are agreements to buy or sell a quantity of a financial instrument, index, currency or commodity at a predetermined rate or price at a future date. An option or warrant contract is an agreement that conveys to the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a quantity of a financial instrument (including another derivative financial instrument), index, currency or commodity at a predetermined rate or price during a period or at a time in the future. Option or warrant agreements can be transacted on organized exchanges or directly between parties. The gross positive fair values of derivative assets are recorded as a component of the other assets line item on the balance sheet. The gross negative fair values of derivative liabilities are recorded as a component of the other liabilities line item on the balance sheet.

The total notional or contractual amounts, credit risk amount and estimated net fair value for derivatives at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 | | | December 31, 2007 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Notional or contractual amount | Credit risk amount (1) | Estimated net fair value | Notional or contractual amount | Credit risk amount (1) | Estimated net fair value |
| Fair Value Hedges | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap—senior notes . . . | \$250,000 | \$29,543 | \$29,543 | \$250,000 | \$ 9,878 | \$ 9,878 |
| Interest rate swap—subordinated notes | 250,000 | 64,429 | 64,429 | 250,000 | 11,621 | 11,621 |
| Interest rate swap—junior subordinated debt | 50,000 | 170 | 170 | 50,000 | — | (1,304) |
| Derivatives—Other | | | | | | |
| Foreign exchange forwards | \$772,688 | \$36,688 | \$ 4,557 | \$580,861 | \$12,290 | \$ 1,586 |
| Foreign currency options | 51,696 | 501 | — | 63,906 | 492 | — |
| Equity warrant assets | 130,401 | 43,659 | 43,659 | 101,035 | 31,317 | 31,317 |

(1) Credit risk amounts reflect the replacement cost for those contracts in a gain position in the event of nonperformance by all such counterparties. The credit ratings of our institutional counterparties as of December 31, 2008 remain at “A” or higher and there have been no material change in their credit ratings during 2008.

Fair Value Hedges

Derivative instruments that we hold as a part of our interest rate risk management program include interest rate swaps.

Concurrent with the issuance of our 5.70% senior notes and 6.05% subordinated notes, we entered into interest rate swap agreements, whereby we swapped the fixed interest rate of these notes with a variable interest rate based on the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) to hedge against the risk of changes in fair values due to changes in interest rates. We apply the “shortcut” method for these fair value hedges. In order to assume no ineffectiveness, we ensure that all the shortcut method requirements of SFAS No. 133 for this type of hedge relationship are met. The interest rate swap agreement for our 5.70% senior notes provided a cash benefit of \$4.6 million in 2008, compared to a cash outlay of \$0.7 million in 2007. The interest rate swap agreement for our 6.05% subordinated notes provided a cash benefit of \$5.0 million in 2008, compared to a cash outlay of \$0.4

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

million in 2007. The cash benefit was recognized in the consolidated statements of income as a reduction in interest expense, while the cash outlay was recognized as an increase in interest expense. For information on our 5.70% senior notes and 6.05% subordinated notes, see Note 12—“Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt”.

On October 30, 2003, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$50.0 million. This agreement swaps our 7.0% fixed rate on the junior subordinated debentures for a variable rate based on LIBOR to hedge against risk of changes in fair value due to changes in interest rates. For information on our junior subordinated debentures, see Note 12—“Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt”. In April 2006, we designated this interest rate swap as a fair value hedge, which management evaluated for effectiveness using the statistical regression analysis approach for each reporting period. This interest rate swap agreement provided a cash benefit of \$1.0 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which was recognized in the consolidated statements of income as a reduction in interest expense. The swap agreement largely mirrored the terms of the junior subordinated debentures and therefore was callable by the counterparty anytime on or after October 30, 2008. All components of the swap’s gain or loss were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness. Changes in fair value of the fair value hedge agreement, which was primarily dependent on changes in market interest rates, were recognized in net income as gains or losses on derivative instruments. In 2008 and 2007, we recorded non-cash decreases in fair value of the fair value hedge agreement of \$1.9 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, which is reflected in gains on derivative instruments, net. In 2006, we recorded a non cash decrease in fair value of the fair value hedge agreement of \$3.6 million, which is comprised of a decrease in fair value of \$3.3 million for the interest rate swap agreement prior to its designation as a fair value hedge and a \$0.3 million loss for the fair value hedge agreement implemented in April 2006, which were reflected in gains on derivative instruments, net. In December 2008, our counterparty called this swap for settlement in January 2009. As a result we de-designated the swap as a hedging instrument in December 2008.

Derivatives—Other

We enter into various derivative contracts primarily to provide derivative products or services to customers. We do not designate these derivative contracts for hedge accounting. All of these contracts are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded on the line item gains on derivatives, net as part of our noninterest income, a component of consolidated net income.

We enter into foreign exchange forward contracts and foreign currency option contracts with clients involved in international activities, either as the purchaser or seller, depending upon the clients’ need. For each forward or option contract entered into with our clients, we enter into an opposite way forward or option contract with a correspondent bank, which mitigates the risk of fluctuations in currency rates. We enter into forward contracts with correspondent banks to economically hedge currency exposure risk related to certain foreign currency denominated loans. Revaluations of foreign currency denominated loans are recorded on the line item “Other” as part of noninterest income, a component of consolidated net income. These contracts are short-term in nature, typically expiring within one year. We have not experienced nonperformance by counterparties, have not incurred related losses and anticipate performance by all counterparties to such agreements.

We obtain equity warrant assets to purchase an equity position in a client company’s stock in consideration for providing credit facilities and less frequently for providing other services. The change in fair value of equity warrant assets is recorded as gains on derivative instruments, net, in noninterest income, a component of consolidated net income. Total net gains on equity warrant assets from gains on exercises and changes in fair value were \$10.5 million, \$23.5 million and \$21.8 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Derivative Fair Value Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock

2003 Convertible Notes

Concurrent with the issuance of our 2003 Convertible Notes, we entered into a convertible note hedge agreement (purchased call option) at a cost of \$39.3 million, and a warrant agreement providing proceeds of \$17.4 million with respect to our common stock, with the objective of decreasing our exposure to potential dilution from conversion of the 2003 Convertible Notes.

At issuance, under the terms of the convertible note hedge, upon the occurrence of conversion events, we had the right to purchase up to 4,460,610 shares of our common stock from the counterparty at a price of \$33.6277 per common share. The cost of the convertible note hedge was included in stockholders' equity in accordance with the guidance in EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's own Stock* ("EITF 00-19"). Upon maturity of the 2003 Convertible Notes on June 15, 2008, we exercised the right to purchase 1,406,043 shares under the terms of the convertible note hedge agreement. The convertible note hedge agreement expired on June 15, 2008.

At issuance, under the warrant agreement, the counterparty could purchase up to 4,460,608 shares of our common stock at \$51.34 per share, upon the occurrence of conversion events. The remaining warrants under the warrant agreement expired unexercised on June 15, 2008.

2008 Convertible Notes

Concurrent with the issuance of our 2008 Convertible Notes, we entered into a convertible note hedge agreement (purchased call option) at a cost of \$41.8 million, and a warrant agreement providing proceeds of \$21.2 million with respect to our common stock, with the objective of decreasing our exposure to potential dilution from conversion of the 2008 Convertible Notes.

At issuance, under the terms of the convertible note hedge, upon the occurrence of conversion events, we have the right to purchase up to 4,713,125 shares of our common stock from the counterparty at a price of \$53.04 per common share. The convertible note hedge agreement will expire on April 15, 2011. We have the option to settle any amounts due under the convertible hedge either in cash or net shares of our common stock. The cost of the convertible note hedge is included in stockholders' equity in accordance with the guidance in EITF 00-19. The call option under the convertible note hedge is exercisable in the event of a note conversion. During 2008, there were no note conversions and, consequently, no exercises under the call option.

At issuance, under the warrant agreement, the counterparty can purchase up to 4,713,125 shares of our common stock at \$64.43 per share, upon the occurrence of certain conversion events. The warrant agreement will expire ratably on a series of expiration dates commencing on July 15, 2011. The warrant is exercisable in the event of a note conversion. During 2008, there were no note conversions and, consequently, no exercises under the warrant.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

14. Other Noninterest Income

The following table presents the components of other noninterest income for 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Service-based fee income (1) | \$ 8,686 | \$ 5,356 | \$ 970 |
| Fund management fees | 8,547 | 8,583 | 4,664 |
| Credit card fees | 6,225 | 5,802 | 4,564 |
| (Losses) gains on foreign exchange loans revaluation, net | (7,567) | 1,905 | 2,680 |
| Other | 13,169 | 10,667 | 10,687 |
| Total other noninterest income | <u>\$29,060</u> | <u>\$32,313</u> | <u>\$23,565</u> |

(1) Includes noninterest income from SVB Analytics and its subsidiary, eProsper.

15. Income Taxes

On January 1, 2007, we adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes* ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the entity's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. Our adoption of FIN 48 did not result in a cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings.

The following table provides a summary of changes in our unrecognized tax benefit (including interest and penalties) in 2008:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Reconciliation of Unrecognized Tax Benefit | Interest & Penalties | Total |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2008 | \$1,114 | \$ 89 | \$1,203 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to current year | 52 | — | 52 |
| Additions for tax positions for prior years | 15 | 192 | 207 |
| Reduction for tax positions for prior years | — | (126) | (126) |
| Reductions as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations | (925) | (50) | (975) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | <u>\$ 256</u> | <u>\$ 105</u> | <u>\$ 361</u> |

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefit at January 1, 2008 was \$1.1 million. At December 31, 2008, our unrecognized tax benefit was \$0.3 million, the recognition of which would reduce our income tax expense by \$0.3 million. Total accrued interest and penalties at December 31, 2008 were \$0.1 million. We expect that our unrecognized tax benefit will change in the next 12 months; however we do not expect the change to have a significant impact on our financial position or our results of operations.

We are subject to income tax in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions and have identified our federal tax return and tax returns in California and Massachusetts as "major" tax filings. U.S. federal tax examinations through 1998 have been concluded. The U.S. federal tax return for 2005 and subsequent years remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service. Our California and Massachusetts tax returns for the years 2004 and 2005, respectively, and subsequent years remain open to examination.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The amount of current taxes receivable was \$0.4 million and \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The components of our provision for income taxes for 2008, 2007 and 2006, consisted of the following:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Current provision: | | | |
| Federal | \$36,682 | \$ 77,735 | \$54,462 |
| State | 14,815 | 22,016 | 16,556 |
| Deferred expense (benefit): | | | |
| Federal | 4,200 | (10,755) | (4,739) |
| State | (629) | (2,218) | (497) |
| Income tax expense | \$55,068 | \$ 86,778 | \$65,782 |

The reconciliation between the federal statutory income tax rate and our effective income tax rate for 2008, 2007 and 2006, is as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Federal statutory income tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State income taxes, net of the federal tax effect | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| FAS 123(R) expense on incentive stock options and ESPP | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.4 |
| Loss from conversion of certain zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | 1.0 | — | — |
| Meals and entertainment | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Low-income housing tax credit | (3.0) | (1.3) | (1.9) |
| Tax-exempt interest income | (1.1) | (0.4) | (0.7) |
| Other, net | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Effective income tax rate | 41.2% | 41.2% | 42.5% |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) at December 31, 2008 and 2007, consisted of the following:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Year ended December 31,</u> | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 50,467 | \$ 24,986 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 7,282 | 7,017 |
| Other accruals not currently deductible | 5,376 | 5,805 |
| State income taxes | 2,606 | 5,122 |
| Premises and equipment and other intangibles | 8,210 | 6,593 |
| Goodwill impairment | — | 20,976 |
| Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale investment securities | 4,004 | 4,325 |
| Investments | — | 4,627 |
| Net operating loss | 3,404 | 2,869 |
| Research and development credit | 237 | 237 |
| Other | 5 | 1,551 |
| Deferred tax assets | <u>81,591</u> | <u>84,108</u> |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Loan fee income | (3,526) | (8,458) |
| Derivative equity warrant assets | (8,917) | (4,149) |
| Investments | (841) | — |
| Other | (1,435) | (1,271) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | <u>(14,719)</u> | <u>(13,878)</u> |
| Net deferred tax assets | 66,872 | 70,230 |
| Valuation allowance | (3,641) | (3,106) |
| Net deferred tax assets after valuation allowance | <u>\$ 63,231</u> | <u>\$ 67,124</u> |

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, federal net operating loss carryforwards totaled \$9.1 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, and state net operating loss carryforwards totaled \$4.0 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. These net operating loss carryforwards expire at various dates beginning in 2013. A portion of our net operating loss carryforwards will be subject to provisions of the tax law that limits the use of losses that existed at the time there is a change in control of an enterprise. At December 31, 2008, the amount of our federal and state net operating loss carryforwards that would be subject to these limitations was \$7.2 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

We believe that it is more likely than not that the benefit from these net operating loss carryforward and research and development credits associated with eProsper will not be realized due to the lack of future profitability in that business. In recognition of this risk, we have provided a valuation allowance of \$3.6 million and \$3.1 million on the deferred tax assets related to these net operating loss carryforward and research and development credits at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in the valuation allowance resulted from current year losses in that business.

16. Employee Compensation and Benefit Plans

We have the following employee compensation and benefit plans: (i) Equity Incentive Plans; (ii) Employee Stock Purchase Plan; (iii) Incentive Compensation Plans; (iv) Direct Drive Incentive Compensation Plan;

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(v) Retention Program; (vi) Warrant Incentive Plan; (vii) SVB Financial Group 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan; (viii) Employee Home Ownership Plan; (ix) SVB Qualified Investors Fund, LLC and SVB Qualified Investors Fund II, LLC; and (x) Deferred Compensation Plan. The Equity Incentive Plans and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan are described in Note 4—“Share-Based Compensation”.

Incentive Compensation Plans

In general, Incentive Compensation Plans (“ICP”) are bonus programs paid based on our financial results. Awards are distributed based on the employee’s target bonus level, our performance, and management’s assessment of individual employee performance. ICP expense was \$14.8 million, \$43.2 million and \$24.5 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Direct Drive Incentive Compensation Plan

The Direct Drive Incentive Compensation Plan (“Direct Drive”) is an annual sales incentive program. Payments are based on sales teams’ performance to predetermined financial targets. Actual awards for each sales team member under Direct Drive is based on: (i) the actual results and financial performance with respect to the gross profit targets; (ii) the sales team payout targets; and (iii) the sales team member’s sales position and team payout allocation. We define gross profit targets as the revenue goals for total interest income after funds transfer pricing and noninterest income. Income associated with equity warrant assets is not included in the gross profit targets. Additionally, sales team members may receive a discretionary award based on management’s assessment of such member’s contributions and performance during the applicable fiscal year, regardless of achievement of team gross profit targets. Direct Drive expenses were \$9.6 million, \$7.6 million and \$5.7 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Retention Program

The Retention Program (“RP”) is a long-term incentive plan that allows senior management to share directly in our investment success. Plan participants are granted an interest in the distributions made on certain designated investments made by us, as well as certain fees received by us, during the applicable year. Specifically, participants share in: (i) returns from designated investments made by us, including investments in certain private equity funds, venture debt funds, and direct equity investments in companies; (ii) income realized from the exercise of, and the subsequent sale of shares obtained through the exercise of, warrants held by us; and (iii) other designated amounts as determined by us. All designated investments for the program are approved annually by the Board of Directors Compensation Committee. We determine individual allocations in the RP based on individual performance, the individual’s role and the total number of plan participants. Each allocation gives the participant a stated percentage or dollar interest in the future returns on the designated investments and fees in the pool. The interests are not in the underlying investments themselves, but rather in future distributions or returns to us on such investments. Distributions received by us are paid to the participants over the term of the applicable plan, which is generally ten years. RP expenses were \$1.1 million, \$2.7 million and \$1.5 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Warrant Incentive Plan

The Warrant Incentive Plan provides individual and team awards to those employees who negotiate warrants on our behalf. Designated participants share in the cash received from the exercise of equity warrant assets. Warrant Incentive Plan expenses were \$0.8 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.2 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

SVB Financial Group 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan

The SVB Financial Group 401(k) (the “401(k) Plan”) and Employee Stock Ownership (“ESOP”) Plan (collectively referred to as the “Plan”) is a combined 401(k) tax-deferred savings plan and employee stock ownership plan in which all regular employees are eligible to participate.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Employees participating in the 401(k) Plan are allowed to contribute up to 75% of their pre-tax compensation as defined in the Plan, up to the maximum amount allowable under federal income tax regulations of \$15,500, \$15,500 and \$15,000 in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We match the employee's contributions dollar-for-dollar, up to 5% of the employee's pre-tax compensation as defined in the Plan. Our matching contributions vest immediately. Our matching 401(k) Plan expenses totaled \$4.9 million, \$4.9 million and \$4.1 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The amount of salary deferred, up to the allowed maximum, is not subject to federal or state income taxes at the time of deferral.

Discretionary ESOP contributions, based on our consolidated net income, are made by us to all eligible individuals employed by us on the last day of the fiscal year. We may elect to contribute cash, or our common stock, in an amount not exceeding 10% of the employee's eligible compensation earned in the fiscal year. The ESOP contributions vest in equal annual increments over five years during a participant's first five years of service (thereafter all subsequent ESOP contributions are fully vested). Forfeited balances of terminated participants' nonvested accounts are used first to restore previously forfeited amounts of rehired participants' accounts and are then used to pay administrative expenses and to reduce our future contributions to the Plan. Forfeited nonvested accounts totaled \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. During 2008, our contributions to the Plan were reduced by \$0.8 million from forfeited nonvested accounts, and administrative expenses totaling \$0.1 million were paid from forfeited nonvested accounts.

We did not make any contributions to our ESOP in 2008. Our contributions to our ESOP totaled \$7.5 million and \$5.4 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively, and all ESOP contributions for those years were made in the form of cash. At December 31, 2008, our ESOP owned 490,381 shares of our common stock. All shares held by our ESOP are treated as outstanding shares in both our basic and diluted earnings per common share computations. At December 31, 2008, we had not committed any shares to the ESOP program.

Employee Home Ownership Plan

The Employee Home Ownership Plan ("EHOP") is a benefit plan that provides for the issuance of mortgage loans at favorable interest rates to eligible employees. Eligible employees may apply for a fixed-rate mortgage, which is due and payable in either five or seven years on their primary residence and is amortized over a 30 year period. Applicants must qualify for a loan through the usual mortgage review and approval process, which is typical of industry standards. The maximum loan amount cannot be greater than 80.0% of the lesser of the purchase price or the appraised value. The interest rate on the note is written at the then market rate for five year (5/1) or seven year (7/1) mortgage loans as determined by us. However, provided that the applicant continues to meet all the eligibility requirements, including employment, the actual rate charged to the borrower shall be up to 2.0% below the market rate. The loan rate shall not be less than the greater of either the five-year Treasury Note plus 25 basis points (for the five year loan) or the average of the five year and 10 year Treasury Note plus 25 basis points (for the seven year loan) or the monthly Applicable Federal Rate for medium-term loans as published by the Internal Revenue Service. The loan rate will be fixed at the time of approval and locked in for 30 days. We recognize as compensation expense the aggregate dollar amount by which interest charged to an employee under the EHOP is less than the market rate of interest that would be charged for a comparable loan. Compensation expense attributable to loans issued under the EHOP in 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$1.1 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table summarizes the activities of all EHOP loans to employees for 2008 and 2007:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Year ended December 31,</u> | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | \$ 48,973 | \$35,565 |
| Loan proceeds disbursed for EHOP | 36,195 | 18,555 |
| Loan repayments for EHOP | (10,409) | (5,147) |
| Balance at the end of the year | <u>\$ 74,759</u> | <u>\$48,973</u> |

SVB Qualified Investors Fund, LLC and SVB Qualified Investors Fund II, LLC

SVB Qualified Investor Fund LLC, a \$7.6 million investment fund (“QIF”), was formed on behalf of certain eligible employees. QIF was initially fully capitalized by equity contributions by employees. QIF’s principal purpose is to invest in a select number of private equity funds managed primarily by SVB Financial or its affiliates. The fund will continue until December 12, 2013, unless terminated sooner or extended in accordance with the fund operating agreement. In 2005, we formed SVB Qualified Investors Fund II, LLC, a \$5.1 million investment fund for eligible employees (“QIF II”), which is structured similarly to QIF. QIF II will continue until April 8, 2018, unless terminated sooner or extended in accordance with the fund operating agreement. We incurred fund administration costs of \$0.1 million for each of 2008, 2007, and 2006 for both QIF and QIF II.

Deferred Compensation Plan

In October 2004, we established the Deferred Compensation Plan (the “DC Plan”). The DC Plan became effective on January 1, 2005. Under the DC Plan, eligible employees may elect to defer up to 25% of their base salary and/or up to 100% of any bonus payment to which they are entitled, for a period of 12 consecutive months, beginning January 1 and ending December 31. Executive officers and certain senior managers are eligible to participate in the DC Plan, and any amounts deferred under the DC Plan will be invested and administered by us (or such person we designate). We do not match employee deferrals to the DC Plan, nor do we make any other contributions to the DC Plan. Deferrals under the DC Plan were \$0.9 million, \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The DC Plan investment had a loss of \$0.9 million in 2008 and gains of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively.

17. Related Parties

Loan Transactions

SVB Financial (the Parent) has a commitment under a \$75.0 million, partially-syndicated revolving line of credit facility to Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP, a venture debt fund (“Gold Hill”), and its affiliated funds. Of the \$75.0 million, \$35.0 million is syndicated to another lender. SVB Financial has a 9.3% effective ownership interest in Gold Hill, as well as a 90.7% majority interest in its general partner, Gold Hill Venture Lending Partners 03, LLC. The line of credit is secured and bears an interest rate of prime plus one percent. The highest outstanding balance under the facility during 2008, 2007, and 2006 was \$69.0 million, \$59.0 million and \$40.0 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, Gold Hill’s outstanding balance totaled \$34.3 million and \$31.5 million, respectively.

During 2008, the Bank made loans to related parties, including certain companies in which certain of our directors or their affiliated venture funds are beneficial owners of ten percent or more of the equity securities of such companies. Such loans: (a) were made in the ordinary course of business, (b) were made on substantially the

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons, and (c) did not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features.

Fund Investments

Managed Funds

In 2000, we formed two venture investment funds: SIF I and SVBV. SIF I is a \$121.8 million fund that primarily invests in venture capital/private equity funds and SVBV is a \$56.1 million direct equity investment fund that invests in privately-held companies. Both funds are managed by their respective general partners, which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of SVB Financial and hold a minority interest in the respective funds. Certain of our directors have also invested in the funds and hold a minority interest: Messrs. Hardymon (through his family limited partnership) (\$0.9 million) and Porter (\$0.5 million) are limited partners of SIF I, and Messrs. Hardymon (through his family limited partnership) (\$1.5 million) and Kramlich (\$1.0 million) are limited partners of SVBV.

In 2004, we created SIF II, a \$175.0 million fund-of-funds that invests primarily in venture capital/private equity funds. SIF II is managed by its general partner, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of SVB Financial and holds a minority interest in the fund. Certain of our directors have invested in SIF II and hold a minority interest as a limited partner: Messrs. Hardymon (through his family limited partnership) (\$1.0 million) and Porter (\$0.1 million).

In 2006, we created SICP, a \$53.9 million direct equity investment fund that invests in privately-held companies in India. SICP is managed by its general partner, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of SVB Financial and holds a minority interest in the fund. Certain of our directors have invested in SICP and hold a minority interest as a limited partner: Messrs. Benhamou (through Benhamou Global Ventures) (\$0.3 million), Friedman (through his family trust) (\$0.1 million) and Porter (\$0.2 million), and Mmes. Krishnan (through her family trust) (\$0.3 million), and Rodeno (\$0.3 million).

In 2007, we created SCPII, a \$90.1 million fund that invests in privately held companies. SCPII is managed by its general partner, a wholly-owned subsidiary of SVB Financial, which holds a minority interest in the fund. One of our directors has invested in SCPII and holds a minority interest as a limited partner: Mr. Hardymon (through his family limited partnership) (\$0.5 million).

Sponsored Funds

In 2003, Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP, a venture debt fund, and certain affiliated funds (the "Gold Hill Funds") were created. The total size of the Gold Hill Funds is approximately \$214.1 million. We have a majority interest in the general partner of the Gold Hill Funds, in addition to being a limited partner in one of the Gold Hill Funds. Our combined commitment total in the general partner and the Gold Hill Funds is \$20.0 million. Certain of our directors are also limited partners of the Gold Hill Funds and hold a minority interest: Mr. Hardymon (through his family limited partnership) (\$2.5 million) and Ms. Rodeno (\$0.2 million).

In 2005, Partners for Growth II, LP, a sponsored debt fund ("PFG II"), was created. The total size of PFG II is approximately \$62.0 million. The general partner of PFG II is not owned or controlled by us. Certain of our directors are also limited partners in PFG II and hold a minority interest: Mr. Hardymon (\$1.0 million) and Ms. Rodeno (\$0.3 million).

In 2008, Gold Hill Capital 2008, L.P., a venture debt fund in the Gold Hill Funds family, and certain affiliated funds (the "Gold Hill II Funds"), were created. The total target size of the Gold Hill II Funds is over

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

\$200.0 million, of which we have a combined non-controlling commitment total in the general partner and the Gold Hill II Funds of \$20.0 million. Certain of our directors are also limited partners of the Gold Hill II Funds and hold a minority interest: Mr. Hardyman (through his family limited partnership) (\$0.5 million) and Ms. Rodeno (\$0.3 million).

Employee Funds

In 2000, we created SVB Qualified Investors Fund, LLC (“QIF”), a \$7.6 million investment fund for employees that met certain eligibility requirements. To be eligible to participate in QIF, an employee must be of a certain grade level and must be an “accredited investor,” as such term is defined by the SEC. QIF was initially capitalized by commitments and contributions from certain eligible employees including our senior management. All employee participants are required to invest in this fund with their own money, but we manage the fund and pay all administrative costs associated with the fund. QIF’s principal purpose is to invest in a select number of venture capital/private equity funds managed primarily by us or our affiliates. In 2008, the following individuals were executive officers who participated in QIF, each with individual commitment amounts ranging between \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million: Messrs. Wilcox, Becker, Jones, Kellogg, and Verissimo. QIF is also a limited partner of, and holds a minority interest in, each of SIF I (\$2.7 million), SIF II (\$2.1 million) and SVBV (\$2.0 million).

In 2005, we formed SVB Qualified Investors Fund II, LLC (“QIF II”), a \$5.1 million investment fund for employees that met certain eligibility requirements similar to those of QIF. All employee participants are required to invest in this fund with their own money, but we manage the fund and pay all administrative costs associated with the fund. QIF II’s principal purpose is to invest in a select number of venture capital/private equity funds managed primarily by us or our affiliates. In 2008, the following individuals were executive officers who participated in QIF II, each with individual commitment amounts ranging between \$50 thousand and \$0.3 million: Messrs. Wilcox, Becker, Jones, Kellogg, and Verissimo, and Ms. Dent. QIF II is also a limited partner of, and holds a minority interest in each of SIF II (\$0.4 million), SCPII (\$0.75 million), SICP (\$0.5 million), and SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP (“SIF III”) (\$1.0 million). SIF III is a \$255.5 million fund-of-funds that invests primarily in private equity funds. SIF III is managed by its general partner, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of SVB Financial and holds a minority interest in the fund.

Other Transactions

SVB Business Partners (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary of SVB Financial (“SVB Shanghai”), had an agreement with New Enterprise Associates (Beijing), Ltd. (“NEA Beijing”), under which SVB Shanghai had provided business consulting services. The agreement terminated in March 2008. NEA Beijing is a wholly-owned subsidiary of NEA Management Company, LLC (“NEA Management”), a company in which C. Richard Kramlich, a director of SVB Financial, has an ownership interest.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

18. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, Guarantees and Other Commitments

Operating Leases

We are obligated under a number of noncancelable operating leases for premises and equipment that expire at various dates, through 2014, and in most instances, include options to renew or extend at market rates and terms. Such leases may provide for periodic adjustments of rentals during the term of the lease based on changes in various economic indicators. The following table presents minimum payments under noncancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2008:

| <u>Year ended December 31, (Dollars in thousands) :</u> | |
|---|-----------------|
| 2009 | \$12,621 |
| 2010 | 10,101 |
| 2011 | 8,178 |
| 2012 | 6,482 |
| 2013 | 5,277 |
| 2014 and thereafter | <u>2,745</u> |
| Net minimum operating lease payments | <u>\$45,404</u> |

Rent expense for premises and equipment leased under operating leases totaled \$10.7 million, \$13.0 million and \$11.4 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Commitments to Extend Credit

A commitment to extend credit is a formal agreement to lend funds to a client as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the agreement. Such commitments generally have fixed expiration dates, or other termination clauses, and usually require a fee paid by the client upon us issuing the commitment. The following table summarizes information related to our commitments to extend credit at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>December 31,</u> | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
| Commitments available for funding: (1) | | |
| Fixed interest rate commitments | \$ 689,063 | \$ 498,103 |
| Variable interest rate commitments | <u>4,941,423</u> | <u>4,440,522</u> |
| Total commitments available for funding | <u>\$5,630,486</u> | <u>\$4,938,625</u> |
| Commitments unavailable for funding (2) | \$ 922,170 | \$ 726,359 |
| Maximum lending limits for accounts receivable factoring arrangements (3) | 476,329 | 443,835 |
| Reserve for unfunded credit commitments | 14,698 | 13,446 |

- (1) Represents commitments which are available for funding, due to clients meeting all collateral, compliance and financial covenants required under loan commitment agreements.
- (2) Represents commitments which are unavailable for funding, due to clients' failure to meet all collateral, compliance and financial covenants required under loan commitment agreements.
- (3) We extend credit under accounts receivable factoring arrangements when our clients' sales invoices are deemed creditworthy under existing underwriting practices.

Our potential exposure to credit loss for commitments to extend credit, in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument, is the contractual amount of the available unused loan commitment.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

We use the same credit approval and monitoring process in extending credit commitments as we do in making loans. The actual liquidity needs and the credit risk that we have experienced have historically been lower than the contractual amount of commitments to extend credit because a significant portion of these commitments expire without being drawn upon. We evaluate each potential borrower and the necessary collateral on an individual basis. The type of collateral varies, but may include real property, intellectual property, bank deposits, or business and personal assets. The potential credit risk associated with these commitments is considered in management's evaluation of the adequacy of the liability for unfunded credit commitments.

Commercial and Standby Letters of Credit

Commercial and standby letters of credit represent conditional commitments issued by us on behalf of a client to guarantee the performance of the client to a third party when certain specified future events have occurred. Commercial letters of credit are issued primarily for inventory purchases by a client and are typically short-term in nature. We provide two types of standby letters of credit: performance and financial standby letters of credit. Performance standby letters of credit are issued to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party when certain specified future events have occurred and are primarily used to support performance instruments such as bid bonds, performance bonds, lease obligations, repayment of loans, and past due notices. Financial standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the payment by a client to a third party (beneficiary) and are primarily used to support many types of domestic and international payments. These standby letters of credit have fixed expiration dates and generally require a fee to be paid by the client at the time we issue the commitment. Fees generated from these standby letters of credit are recognized in noninterest income over the commitment period using the straight-line method.

The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved with extending credit commitments to clients, and accordingly, we use a credit evaluation process and collateral requirements similar to those for credit commitments. Our standby letters of credit often are cash secured by our clients. The actual liquidity needs and the credit risk that we have experienced historically have been lower than the contractual amount of letters of credit issued because a significant portion of these conditional commitments expire without being drawn upon.

The table below summarizes our commercial and standby letters of credit at December 31, 2008. The maximum potential amount of future payments represents the amount that could be remitted under letters of credit if there were a total default by the guaranteed parties, without consideration of possible recoveries under recourse provisions or from the collateral held or pledged.

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Expires In One Year or Less</u> | <u>Expires After One Year</u> | <u>Total Amount Outstanding</u> | <u>Maximum Amount Of Future Payments</u> |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Financial standby letters of credit | \$614,752 | \$43,927 | \$658,679 | \$658,679 |
| Performance standby letters of credit | 23,379 | 20,148 | 43,527 | 43,527 |
| Commercial letters of credit | 3,123 | 690 | 3,813 | 3,813 |
| Total | <u>\$641,254</u> | <u>\$64,765</u> | <u>\$706,019</u> | <u>\$706,019</u> |

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, deferred fees related to financial and performance standby letters of credit were \$4.8 million and \$3.8 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008, collateral in the form of cash and investment securities available to us to reimburse losses, if any, under financial and performance standby letters of credit was \$279.4 million.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Credit Card Guarantees

The Bank, as a financial provider, routinely guarantees credit cards for some of our customers that have been provided by an unaffiliated financial institution. The Bank has recourse against the customer for any amount it is required to pay to a third party in the event of default under these arrangements. These guarantees are subject to the same credit policies, underwriting standards and approval process as loans made by the Bank. Certain of these amounts are secured by certificates of deposit and other assets, which the Bank has rights to in the event of nonperformance by customers. The total amount of these guarantees were \$87.4 million and \$81.8 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We do not believe that any losses that may be incurred by the Bank as a result of these guarantees will be material in nature. Credit card fees totaled \$6.2 million, \$5.8 million and \$4.6 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Commitments to Invest in Private Equity Funds

We make commitments to invest in venture capital and private equity funds, which in turn make investments generally in, or in some cases make loans to, privately held companies. Commitments to invest in these funds are generally made for a ten-year period from the inception of the fund. Although the limited partnership agreements governing these investments typically do not restrict the general partners from calling 100% of committed capital in one year, it is customary for these funds to generally call most of the capital commitment over 5 to 7 years. The actual timing of future cash requirements to fund such commitments is generally dependent upon the investment cycle, overall market conditions, and the nature and type of industry in which the privately held companies operate. The following table details our total unfunded capital commitments as well as our ownership in each fund based on our total capital commitment at December 31, 2008.

| Our Ownership in Limited Partnership (Dollars in thousands) | Capital Commitments | Unfunded Commitments | Ownership |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Silicon Valley BancVentures, LP | \$ 6,000 | \$ 270 | 10.7% |
| SVB Capital Partners II, LP (1) | 1,200 | 594 | 5.1 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund, LP | 15,300 | 1,530 | 12.6 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP | 15,000 | 4,575 | 8.6 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund III, LP | 15,000 | 9,000 | 5.9 |
| SVB Strategic Investors Fund IV, LP | 9,522 | 9,335 | 5.0 |
| Partners for Growth, LP | 25,000 | 9,750 | 50.0 |
| Partners for Growth II, LP | 15,000 | 6,450 | 24.2 |
| Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP (2) | 20,000 | 21 | 9.3 |
| SVB India Capital Partners I, LP | 7,750 | 4,340 | 14.4 |
| Other Fund Investments (3) | 454,032 | 348,452 | — |
| Total | <u>\$583,804</u> | <u>\$394,317</u> | |

- (1) Our ownership includes 1.3% direct ownership through SVB Capital Partners II, LLC and SVB Financial Group, and 3.8% indirect ownership through our investment in SVB Strategic Investors Fund II, LP.
- (2) Our ownership includes 4.8% direct ownership and 4.5% indirect ownership interest through GHLLC.
- (3) Represents commitments to 362 venture capital and private equity funds where our ownership interest is less than 5% of the voting stock of each such fund. Of the \$348.5 million of unfunded commitments, approximately \$298.7 million represents investment commitments made by SVB Financial on behalf of certain new managed funds of funds that we plan to form ("New Fund Commitments"). The New Fund Commitments are intended to be transferred to, and become the financial obligations of, these new funds once they are formed with the binding commitments of outside investors.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

19. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair Value Measurements

Our marketable investment securities, non-marketable investment securities and derivatives are financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. We make estimates regarding valuation of assets and liabilities measured at fair value in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

The following fair value hierarchy table presents information about our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2008, in accordance with SFAS No. 157:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance as of December 31, 2008 |
|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Marketable securities: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| U.S. agencies and corporations: | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | \$ — | \$ 579,749 | \$ — | \$ 579,749 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | — | 467,450 | — | 467,450 |
| U.S. agency debentures | — | 113,603 | — | 113,603 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | — | 47,481 | — | 47,481 |
| Municipal bonds and notes | — | 108,755 | — | 108,755 |
| Marketable equity securities | 152 | — | — | 152 |
| Venture capital fund investments | 1 | — | — | 1 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 153 | 1,317,038 | — | 1,317,191 |
| Marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) | 1,703 | — | — | 1,703 |
| Total marketable securities | 1,856 | 1,317,038 | — | 1,318,894 |
| Non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting): | | | | |
| Private equity fund investments | — | — | 242,645 | 242,645 |
| Other private equity investments | — | — | 82,444 | 82,444 |
| Other investments | — | — | 1,547 | 1,547 |
| Total non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) | — | — | 326,636 | 326,636 |
| Other assets: | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | — | 94,142 | — | 94,142 |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | — | 37,189 | — | 37,189 |
| Equity warrant assets | — | 1,960 | 41,699 | 43,659 |
| Total assets (1) | <u>\$1,856</u> | <u>\$1,450,329</u> | <u>\$368,335</u> | <u>\$1,820,520</u> |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Foreign exchange forward contracts | \$ — | \$ 32,632 | \$ — | \$ 32,632 |
| Total liabilities | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 32,632</u> | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ 32,632</u> |

(1) Included in Level 1 and Level 3 assets are \$1.0 million and \$297.4 million, respectively, attributable to minority interests calculated based on the ownership percentages of the minority interests.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

The following table presents additional information about Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the year ended December 31, 2008:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Total Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income | | | Total Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income | Purchases, Sales, Other Settlements and Issuances, net | Transfers In and/or (Out) of Level 3 | Ending Balance at December 31, 2008 |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Beginning Balance at January 1, 2008 | Realized Gains (Losses) Included in Income | Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2008: | | | | | | | |
| Non-marketable securities | | | | | | | |
| (investment company fair value accounting): | | | | | | | |
| Private equity fund investments | \$194,862 | \$ 7,343 | \$(13,080) | \$(5,737) | \$53,520 | \$— | \$242,645 |
| Other private equity investments | 44,872 | 1,135 | 2,054 | 3,189 | 34,383 | — | 82,444 |
| Other investments | 3,098 | — | (527) | (527) | (1,024) | — | 1,547 |
| Total non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) (1) | 242,832 | 8,478 | (11,553) | (3,075) | 86,879 | — | 326,636 |
| Other assets: | | | | | | | |
| Equity warrant assets (2) | 26,911 | 7,360 | 5,280 | 12,640 | 2,121 | 27 | 41,699 |
| Total assets | \$269,743 | \$15,838 | \$ (6,273) | \$ 9,565 | \$89,000 | \$27 | \$368,335 |

- (1) Realized and unrealized gains (losses) of our total non-marketable securities are recorded on the line item "(losses) gains on investment securities, net" a component of noninterest income.
- (2) Realized and unrealized gains (losses) of our equity warrant assets are recorded on the line item "gains on derivative instruments, net" a component of noninterest income.

The following table presents the cumulative unrealized gains (losses) for Level 3 assets at December 31, 2008:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2008 |
|---|-------------------|
| Non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting): | |
| Private equity fund investments | \$(16,613) |
| Other private equity investments | 2,210 |
| Other investments | (699) |
| Total non-marketable securities (investment company fair value accounting) | (15,102) |
| Other assets: | |
| Equity warrant assets | 8,474 |
| Total unrealized losses | \$ (6,628) |

SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ("SFAS No. 107"), requires that we disclose estimated fair values for our financial instruments. Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions, set forth below for our financial instruments, are made solely to comply with the requirements of SFAS No. 107.

Fair values are based on estimates or calculations at the transaction level using present value techniques in instances where quoted market prices are not available. Because broadly traded markets do not exist for many of our financial instruments, the fair value calculations attempt to incorporate the effect of current market

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

conditions at a specific time. Fair valuations are management's estimates of the values, and they are calculated based on current pricing policies, the economic and competitive environment, the characteristics of the financial instruments, expected losses, and other such factors. These calculations are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and do not include tax ramifications; therefore, the results cannot be determined with precision or substantiated by comparison to independent markets, and they may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the instruments. There may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique, and changes in the underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results. For all of these reasons, the aggregation of the fair value calculations presented herein does not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the Company.

The following describes the methods and assumptions used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments, excluding financial instruments already recorded at fair value as described above in our SFAS No. 157 disclosures.

Short-Term Financial Assets

Short-term financial assets include cash on hand, cash balances due from banks, interest-earning deposits, securities purchased under agreement to resell and other short-term investment securities. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the insignificant risk of changes in fair value due to changes in market interest rates, and purchased in conjunction with our cash management activities.

Loans

The fair value of fixed and variable rate loans is estimated by discounting contractual cash flows using discount rates that reflect our current pricing for loans and the forward yield curve.

Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest-bearing demand deposits, interest-bearing checking accounts and money market accounts is equal to the amount payable on demand at the measurement date. The fair value of time deposits is estimated by discounting the balances using our cost of borrowings and the forward yield curve over their remaining contractual term.

Short-Term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings include federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and FHLB advances. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its contractual maturity.

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt includes our contingently convertible debt, junior subordinated debentures, senior and subordinated notes, and other long-term debt (see Note 12- "Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt"). The fair value of long-term debt is based on quoted market prices, when available, or is estimated based on calculations utilizing third-party pricing services and current market spread, price indications from reputable dealers or observable market prices of the underlying instrument(s), whichever is deemed more reliable.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

The fair value of unfunded commitments to extend credit is estimated based on the average amount we would receive or pay to execute a new agreement with identical terms, considering current interest rates and taking into account the remaining terms of the agreement and counterparties' credit standing.

Letters of credit are carried at their fair value, which is equivalent to the residual premium or fee at December 31, 2008 and 2007. Commitments to extend credit and letters of credit typically result in loans with a market interest rate if funded.

Limitations

The information presented herein is based on pertinent information available to us as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued since the most recent year end, and the estimated fair values of these financial instruments may have changed significantly since that point in time.

The following table is a summary of the estimated fair values of our financial instruments that are not carried at fair value at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | |
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| <i>Financial assets:</i> | | | | |
| Investment securities—non-marketable | \$ 140,570 | \$ 143,724 | \$ 88,063 | \$ 89,369 |
| Net loans | 5,398,857 | 5,518,431 | 4,104,437 | 4,156,006 |
| <i>Financial liabilities:</i> | | | | |
| Deposits | 7,473,472 | 7,471,614 | 4,611,203 | 4,607,063 |
| 5.70% senior notes | 279,370 | 232,500 | 259,706 | 248,641 |
| 6.05% subordinated notes | 313,953 | 205,000 | 261,099 | 241,727 |
| Zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | — | — | 149,269 | 224,413 |
| 3.875% convertible senior notes | 250,000 | 199,795 | — | — |
| 7.0% junior subordinated debentures | 55,914 | 32,577 | 52,511 | 42,887 |
| Other long-term debt | 101,403 | 101,695 | 152,669 | 154,112 |
| <i>Off-balance sheet financial assets:</i> | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit | — | 17,920 | — | 18,042 |

20. Regulatory Matters

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital adequacy requirements administered by the Federal Reserve Board and the California Department of Financial Institutions ("DFI"). The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA") required that the federal regulatory agencies adopt regulations defining five capital tiers for banks: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Quantitative measures, established by the regulators to ensure capital adequacy, require that SVB Financial Group and the Bank maintain minimum ratios (set forth in the table below) of capital to risk-weighted assets.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

There are three categories of capital under the guidelines. Tier 1 capital includes common stockholders' equity, qualifying preferred stock and trust preferred securities, less goodwill and certain other deductions (including the unrealized net gains and losses, after applicable taxes, on securities available-for-sale carried at fair value). Tier 1 capital must comprise at least half of total capital. Tier 2 capital includes preferred stock not qualifying as Tier 1 capital, subordinated debt, the allowance for credit losses and net unrealized gains on marketable equity securities, subject to limitations by the guidelines. Tier 3 capital includes certain qualifying unsecured subordinated debt.

Under these capital guidelines, the minimum total risk-based capital ratio and Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio requirements are ten percent and six percent, respectively, of risk-weighted assets and certain off-balance sheet items, for a well-capitalized depository institution.

The Federal Reserve Board has also established minimum capital leverage ratio guidelines for state member banks. The ratio is determined using Tier 1 capital divided by quarterly average total assets. The guidelines require a minimum of five percent, for a well-capitalized depository institution.

The most recent joint notification from the DFI and the Federal Reserve Board categorized the Bank as well-capitalized, under the FDICIA prompt corrective action provisions applicable to banks. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The following table presents the capital ratios for the Company and the Bank under federal regulatory guidelines, compared to the minimum regulatory capital requirements for an adequately capitalized depository institution, as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Actual Ratio</u> | <u>Actual Amount</u> | <u>Capital Adequacy Minimum Ratio</u> | <u>Capital Adequacy Minimum Capital Requirement</u> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| December 31, 2008: | | | | |
| Total risk-based capital ratio: | | | | |
| SVB Financial Group | 17.58% | \$1,446,287 | 8.0% | \$657,636 |
| Bank | 13.79 | 1,118,180 | 8.0 | 648,747 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio: | | | | |
| SVB Financial Group | 12.51 | 1,029,340 | 4.0 | 328,818 |
| Bank | 8.66 | 702,606 | 4.0 | 324,373 |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio: | | | | |
| SVB Financial Group | 13.00 | 1,029,340 | 4.0 | 316,431 |
| Bank | 9.20 | 702,606 | 4.0 | 305,518 |
| December 31, 2007: | | | | |
| Total risk-based capital ratio: | | | | |
| SVB Financial Group | 16.02% | \$1,044,918 | 8.0% | \$521,922 |
| Bank | 14.51 | 915,646 | 8.0 | 504,858 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio: | | | | |
| SVB Financial Group | 11.07 | 722,006 | 4.0 | 260,961 |
| Bank | 9.41 | 593,822 | 4.0 | 252,429 |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio: | | | | |
| SVB Financial Group | 11.91 | 722,006 | 4.0 | 242,458 |
| Bank | 10.19 | 593,822 | 4.0 | 233,016 |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

21. Segment Reporting

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (“SFAS No. 131”), requires that we report certain financial and descriptive information about our reportable operating segments, as well as related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers. Our reportable operating segments results are regularly reviewed internally by our chief operating decision maker (“CODM”) when evaluating segment performance and deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our CODM is our Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”).

For management reporting purposes, we offer clients financial products and services through three strategic operating segments: Commercial Banking, SVB Capital and Other Business Services. Our Other Business Services group includes SVB Global, SVB Private Client Services, SVB Analytics and SVB Wine Division. All operations at SVB Alliant were ceased as of March 31, 2008. Accordingly, SVB Alliant was no longer reported as an operating segment as of the second quarter of 2008. The results of operations for SVB Alliant have been included as part of the Reconciling Items column for all prior periods presented.

Unlike financial reporting, which benefits from the comprehensive structure provided by GAAP, the internal profitability reporting process is highly subjective, as there is no comprehensive, authoritative guidance for management reporting. Our management reporting process measures the performance of our operating segments based on our internal operating structure and is not necessarily comparable with similar information for other financial services companies. In addition, changes in an individual client’s primary relationship designation have resulted, and may in the future result, in the inclusion of certain clients in different segments in different periods. We have reclassified certain prior period amounts to conform to the current period’s presentation.

An operating segment is separately reportable if it exceeds any one of several quantitative thresholds specified in SFAS No. 131. Of our operating segments, only Commercial Banking and SVB Capital were determined to be reportable segments as of December 31, 2008. SVB Global, SVB Private Client Services, SVB Analytics and SVB Wine Division did not separately meet the reporting thresholds and as a result, in the table below, have been aggregated in a column labeled “Other Business Services” for segment reporting purposes.

The Reconciling Items column reflects adjustments necessary to reconcile the results of the operating segments based on our internal profitability reporting process to the consolidated financial statements prepared in conformity with GAAP. Net interest income in the Reconciling Items column primarily consisted of interest income recognized from our fixed income investment portfolio. Noninterest income in the Reconciling Items column primarily consisted of noninterest income attributable to minority interests and gains (losses) on equity warrant assets. Noninterest expense in the Reconciling Items column primarily consisted of expenses associated with corporate support functions such as information technology, finance and legal, as well as certain corporate wide adjustments related to compensation expenses. Additionally, average assets in the Reconciling Items column primarily consisted of our fixed income investment portfolio balances.

Our CODM allocates resources to and assesses the performance of each operating segment based on net interest income, noninterest income and noninterest expense, which are presented as components of segment operating profit or loss before income taxes. Net interest income, our primary source of revenue, is reported net of funds transfer pricing (“FTP”). FTP is an internal measurement framework designed to assess the financial impact of a financial institution’s sources and uses of funds. It is the mechanism by which an earnings credit is given for deposits raised and an earnings charge is made for funded loans. In addition, we evaluate assets based on average balances; therefore, period-end asset balances are not presented for segment reporting purposes. We

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

have not reached reportable levels of revenue, net income or assets outside the United States and as such we do not present geographic segment information.

Changes to Segment Reporting Effective January 1, 2008

- FTP is calculated by applying a transfer rate to pooled, or aggregated, loan and deposit volumes. Prior to January 1, 2008, FTP was calculated at an instrument level based on account characteristics.
- Expenses reported under each operating segment relate only to the direct and allocated direct costs associated with each segment. Prior to January 1, 2008, costs associated with corporate support functions were allocated to the operating segments.
- Total average assets equals total average assets from the general ledger. Prior to January 1, 2008, total average assets were calculated as the greater of total average assets or total average deposits and total average stockholders' equity combined.
- We include all warrant income (cash exercise and valuation) recognized in the Reconciling Items column. Prior to January 1, 2008, cash exercises were recognized in noninterest income under the appropriate segment where the client resided, which was primarily within Commercial Bank.

We have reclassified all prior period amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

Reconciliation of Segment and Consolidated Company Noninterest Expense

Effective January 1, 2008, we include our actual incentive compensation expense at the segment level. Prior to January 1, 2008, we recorded the budgeted incentive compensation expense for each segment as its actual and any differences between segment budget and actual for incentive compensation was recorded in the Reconciling Items column. At the consolidated company level, for 2008 compared to 2007, we reported that compensation and benefits costs decreased significantly by \$36.6 million. However, within each operating segment above, we noted that noninterest expense (which primarily consisted of compensation and benefits expense) increased or remained relatively flat for 2008 when compared to 2007. The primary drivers for the overall Company level decrease in compensation and benefits expense from 2007 to 2008 was the result of the following factors, which under our management reporting do not get recorded at the operating segment level but instead are recorded at the administrative / corporate level and are included in the "Reconciling Items" column above.

- Results for 2007 included the compensation and benefits expense related to SVB Alliant, our former investment banking unit that we shut down in March 2008. Total noninterest expense related to SVB Alliant for 2007 was \$34.4 million.
- Incentive compensation in 2007 was significantly higher relative to the 2007 budget as our performance substantially exceeded expected targets for incentive compensation plans. However, the 2008 incentive compensation expense is lower relative to 2007 as a result of actual 2008 annual financial results being below our expectations.
- Additionally, for 2007, we recorded the difference between budget and actual amounts of incentive compensation for all operating segments in the "Reconciling Items" column. This amount totaled \$14.3 million in 2007.
- The above decreases were partially offset by increases in the number of employees to support our growth and annual salary increases in 2008 compared to 2007.

Our Commercial Banking Business Group is comprised of the Bank and its subsidiaries. Through this segment, we provide solutions to the financial needs of commercial clients through lending, deposit accounts, cash management and global banking and trade products and services. We serve venture capital/private equity

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

firms, as well as corporate clients in all stages of maturity ranging from emerging-technology companies to established private and public companies, in the technology and life science industries.

SVB Capital focuses on the business needs of our venture capital/private equity clients, with whom we have established and maintain relationships domestically and internationally. Through this segment, we focus primarily on funds management, as well as developing strategic business relationships with the private equity communities, in particular the venture capital community. Funds managed or sponsored by SVB Capital invest in portfolio companies and other venture capital/private equity funds. The group manages seven venture capital/private equity funds and oversees investments, including our investments in several sponsored debt funds. These sponsored debt funds include Gold Hill Venture Lending 03, LP and its parallel funds, which primarily provide secured debt, typically to emerging-growth clients in their earliest stages; and the Partners for Growth funds, which are sponsored debt funds that provide secured debt primarily to higher-risk, more established middle-market clients in their later stages.

Other Business Services includes SVB Global, SVB Private Client Services, SVB Analytics and SVB Wine Division. SVB Global serves the needs of our non-U.S. clients with global banking products, including loans, deposits and global finance, in key foreign entrepreneurial markets, such as the United Kingdom, India, China and Israel. SVB Global includes our foreign subsidiaries, which support our clients and facilitate their activities in those markets. SVB Private Client Services provides a range of credit services to targeted high-net-worth individuals using both long-term secured and short-term unsecured lines of credit. SVB Private Client Services also helps our clients meet their cash management needs by providing deposit account products and services, including checking accounts, deposit accounts, money market accounts, and certificates of deposit. SVB Analytics provides equity valuation and equity management services, including capitalization data management through eProsper, to private companies and venture capital firms. SVB Analytics holds a controlling interest in eProsper. SVB Wine Division provides banking and financial products and services to our premium wine industry clients, including vineyard development loans.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Our segment information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

| <u>(Dollars in thousands)</u> | <u>Commercial Banking</u> | <u>SVB Capital</u> | <u>Other Business Services</u> | <u>Reconciling Items</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 319,974 | \$ 171 | \$ 42,004 | \$ 9,860 | \$ 372,009 |
| Provision for loan losses | — | — | — | (100,713) | (100,713) |
| Noninterest income | 130,088 | 5,583 | 11,193 | 9,284 | 156,148 |
| Noninterest expense (1) | (94,035) | (17,380) | (40,849) | (160,623) | (312,887) |
| Minority interest in net loss of consolidated affiliates | — | — | — | 19,139 | 19,139 |
| Income (loss) before income tax expense (2) ... | <u>\$ 356,027</u> | <u>\$ (11,626)</u> | <u>\$ 12,348</u> | <u>\$ (223,053)</u> | <u>\$ 133,696</u> |
| Total average loans | \$3,603,563 | \$ — | \$965,515 | \$ 63,970 | \$4,633,048 |
| Total average assets | 3,640,562 | 407,728 | 999,520 | 2,371,942 | 7,419,752 |
| Total average deposits | 4,451,405 | — | 427,134 | 17,785 | 4,896,324 |
| Goodwill at December 31, 2008 | — | — | 4,092 | — | 4,092 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2007 | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 338,724 | \$ 646 | \$ 34,165 | \$ 7,398 | \$ 380,933 |
| Provision for loan losses | — | — | — | (16,836) | (16,836) |
| Noninterest income | 114,752 | 20,461 | 7,650 | 78,521 | 221,384 |
| Noninterest expense, excluding impairment of goodwill (1) | (94,440) | (14,557) | (31,335) | (188,933) | (329,265) |
| Impairment of goodwill | — | — | — | (17,204) | (17,204) |
| Minority interest in net income of consolidated affiliates | — | — | — | (28,596) | (28,596) |
| Income (loss) before income tax expense (2) ... | <u>\$ 359,036</u> | <u>\$ 6,550</u> | <u>\$ 10,480</u> | <u>\$ (165,650)</u> | <u>\$ 210,416</u> |
| Total average loans | \$2,674,644 | \$ — | \$808,316 | \$ 39,366 | \$3,522,326 |
| Total average assets | 2,696,304 | 292,854 | 829,825 | 2,201,134 | 6,020,117 |
| Total average deposits | 3,700,711 | — | 257,506 | 4,043 | 3,962,260 |
| Goodwill at December 31, 2007 | — | — | 4,092 | — | 4,092 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2006 | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 295,125 | \$ 772 | \$ 33,018 | \$ 23,542 | \$ 352,457 |
| Provision for loan losses | — | — | — | (9,877) | (9,877) |
| Noninterest income | 93,886 | 10,524 | 3,974 | 32,822 | 141,206 |
| Noninterest expense, excluding impairment of goodwill (1) | (85,883) | (9,140) | (22,095) | (186,951) | (304,069) |
| Impairment of goodwill | — | — | — | (18,434) | (18,434) |
| Minority interest in net income of consolidated affiliates | — | — | — | (6,308) | (6,308) |
| Income (loss) before income tax expense (2) ... | <u>\$ 303,128</u> | <u>\$ 2,156</u> | <u>\$ 14,897</u> | <u>\$ (165,206)</u> | <u>\$ 154,975</u> |
| Total average loans | \$2,135,444 | \$ — | \$716,214 | \$ 30,430 | \$2,882,088 |
| Total average assets | 2,142,659 | 212,454 | 731,808 | 2,300,514 | 5,387,435 |
| Total average deposits | 3,686,322 | — | 224,742 | 10,793 | 3,921,857 |
| Goodwill at December 31, 2006 | — | — | 4,092 | 17,204 | 21,296 |

- (1) The Commercial Banking segment includes direct depreciation and amortization of \$2.3 million, \$2.8 million, and \$1.8 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Due to the complexity of our cost allocation model, it is not feasible to determine the exact amount of the remaining depreciation and amortization expense allocated to the various business segments (totaling approximately \$13.9 million, \$13.4 million and \$7.5 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively).
- (2) The internal reporting model used by management to assess segment performance does not calculate tax expense by segment. Our effective tax rate is a reasonable approximation of the segment rates.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

22. Parent Company Only Condensed Financial Information

The condensed balance sheets of SVB Financial at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related condensed statements of income and cash flows for 2008, 2007 and 2006, are presented below.

Condensed Balance Sheets

| (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 231,808 | \$ 5,390 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell and other short-term investment securities | 53,500 | 39,496 |
| Investment securities | 130,260 | 82,311 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 34,037 | 31,354 |
| Other assets | 87,251 | 58,438 |
| Investment in subsidiaries: | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 697,966 | 587,214 |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 70,711 | 102,990 |
| Total assets | <u>\$1,305,533</u> | <u>\$907,193</u> |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | \$ — | \$149,269 |
| 3.875% convertible senior notes | 250,000 | — |
| 7.0% junior subordinated debentures | 55,914 | 52,511 |
| Other liabilities | 10,868 | 28,759 |
| Total liabilities | 316,782 | 230,539 |
| Stockholders' equity | 988,751 | 676,654 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$1,305,533</u> | <u>\$907,193</u> |

Condensed Statements of Income

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Interest income | \$ 5,163 | \$ 4,646 | \$ 5,031 |
| Interest expense | (11,767) | (5,678) | (4,083) |
| Dividend income from bank subsidiary | 25,000 | 180,000 | 100,000 |
| Gains on derivative instruments, net | 6,011 | 21,525 | 9,759 |
| (Losses) gains on investment securities, net | (1,087) | 2,558 | (558) |
| General and administrative expenses | (75,474) | (47,149) | (29,187) |
| Income tax benefit | 32,727 | 8,321 | 6,398 |
| (Loss) income before net income of subsidiaries | (19,427) | 164,223 | 87,360 |
| Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of nonbank subsidiaries | 1,971 | (2,320) | (13,033) |
| Equity in undistributed net income (loss) of bank subsidiary | 96,084 | (38,265) | 15,058 |
| Net income | <u>\$ 78,628</u> | <u>\$123,638</u> | <u>\$ 89,385</u> |
| Preferred stock dividend and discount accretion | (707) | — | — |
| Net income available to common stockholders | <u>\$ 77,921</u> | <u>\$123,638</u> | <u>\$ 89,385</u> |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

| (Dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 78,628 | \$ 123,638 | \$ 89,385 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used for) provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Loss from cash settlement of conversion premium of zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | 3,858 | — | — |
| Gains on derivative instruments, net | (6,011) | (21,525) | (9,759) |
| Losses (gains) on investment securities, net | 1,087 | (2,558) | 558 |
| Net (income) loss of bank subsidiary | (96,084) | 38,265 | (15,058) |
| Net (income) loss on nonbank subsidiaries | (1,971) | 2,320 | 13,033 |
| Amortization of share-based compensation | 13,606 | 15,131 | 21,340 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (13,423) | 17,845 | 1,171 |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities | (18,479) | (9,663) | 14,332 |
| Increase in payable to Bank | — | — | (26,456) |
| Other, net | 11,905 | 10,332 | 10,278 |
| Net cash (used for) provided by operating activities | (26,884) | 173,785 | 98,824 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Net (increase) decrease in investment securities | (48,714) | 21,795 | (3,256) |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans | (2,683) | 8,436 | (25,064) |
| Investment in bank subsidiaries | (14,668) | (42,004) | (39,781) |
| Investment in nonbank subsidiaries | 31,870 | (9,687) | (3,550) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (34,195) | (21,460) | (71,651) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| (Decrease) increase in borrowings, net | — | (9,864) | 9,864 |
| Net payments for settlement of zero-coupon convertible subordinated notes | (149,732) | — | — |
| Proceeds from issuance of 3.875% convertible senior notes, note hedge and warrant, net of issuance costs | 222,686 | — | — |
| Stock compensation related tax benefits | 6,361 | 7,184 | 12,034 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock and Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 32,803 | 31,212 | 33,872 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrant issued under the Capital Purchase Program | 235,000 | — | — |
| Repurchases of common stock | (45,617) | (146,754) | (103,759) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | 301,501 | (118,222) | (47,989) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 240,422 | 34,103 | (20,816) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 44,886 | 10,783 | 31,599 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 285,308 | \$ 44,886 | \$ 10,783 |

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

23. Unaudited Quarterly Financial Data

Our supplemental consolidated financial information for each three month period in 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | Three months ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | March 31, | June 30, | September 30, | December 31, |
| 2008: | | | | |
| Interest income | \$108,583 | \$103,863 | \$113,395 | \$113,650 |
| Interest expense | (16,502) | (15,999) | (18,266) | (16,715) |
| Net interest income | 92,081 | 87,864 | 95,129 | 96,935 |
| Provision for loan losses | (7,723) | (8,351) | (13,682) | (70,957) |
| Noninterest income | 41,565 | 43,937 | 41,747 | 28,899 |
| Noninterest expense | (83,437) | (87,189) | (80,431) | (61,830) |
| Minority interest in net loss of consolidated affiliates | 4,218 | 1,534 | 1,693 | 11,694 |
| Income before income tax expense | 46,704 | 37,795 | 44,456 | 4,741 |
| Income tax expense | (18,801) | (16,500) | (17,448) | (2,319) |
| Net income | <u>\$ 27,903</u> | <u>\$ 21,295</u> | <u>\$ 27,008</u> | <u>\$ 2,422</u> |
| Preferred stock dividend and discount accretion | — | — | — | (707) |
| Net income available to common stockholders | <u>\$ 27,903</u> | <u>\$ 21,295</u> | <u>\$ 27,008</u> | <u>\$ 1,715</u> |
| Earnings per common share—basic | \$ 0.86 | \$ 0.66 | \$ 0.83 | \$ 0.05 |
| Earnings per common share—diluted | 0.81 | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.05 |
| Goodwill at period-end | 4,092 | 4,092 | 4,092 | 4,092 |
| 2007: | | | | |
| Interest income | \$105,966 | \$109,731 | \$113,171 | \$114,518 |
| Interest expense | (12,602) | (15,155) | (17,463) | (17,233) |
| Net interest income | 93,364 | 94,576 | 95,708 | 97,285 |
| (Provision for) recovery of loan losses | 407 | (8,117) | (3,155) | (5,971) |
| Noninterest income | 47,461 | 55,700 | 65,034 | 53,189 |
| Noninterest expense | (82,117) | (97,916) | (82,959) | (83,477) |
| Minority interest in net income of consolidated affiliates | (10,356) | (5,825) | (10,458) | (1,957) |
| Income before income tax expense | 48,759 | 38,418 | 64,170 | 59,069 |
| Income tax expense | (20,368) | (15,553) | (26,054) | (24,803) |
| Net income | <u>\$ 28,391</u> | <u>\$ 22,865</u> | <u>\$ 38,116</u> | <u>\$ 34,266</u> |
| Earnings per common share—basic | \$ 0.82 | \$ 0.67 | \$ 1.12 | \$ 1.04 |
| Earnings per common share—diluted | 0.76 | 0.61 | 1.03 | 0.96 |
| Goodwill at period-end | 21,296 | 4,092 | 4,092 | 4,092 |

24. Legal Matters

Certain lawsuits and claims arising in the ordinary course of business have been filed or are pending against us or our affiliates. Based upon information available to us, our review of such claims to date and consultation with our outside legal counsel, management believes the liability relating to these actions, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, consolidated financial position, and/or results of operations. Where appropriate, as we determine, we establish reserves in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting For Contingencies*. The outcome of litigation and other legal and regulatory matters is inherently uncertain, however, and it is possible that one or more of the legal or regulatory matters currently pending or threatened could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, consolidated financial position, and/or results of operation.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, among other things, processes, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2008 pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15b. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2008.

(b) Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting at the Company. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with GAAP. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that accurately and fairly reflect, in reasonable detail, transactions and dispositions of the company's assets, (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of management and the directors of the company, and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the company's financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company carried out an assessment, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Rule 13a-15(c), as adopted by the SEC under the Exchange Act. In evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, management used the framework established in "Internal Control—Integrated Framework," issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on this assessment, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2008, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited and reported on the consolidated financial statements of the Company, has issued an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008.

(c) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in management's evaluation during the fourth quarter of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information set forth under the sections titled "Proposal No. 1—Election of Directors", "Information on Executive Officers", "Board Committees and Meeting Attendance", "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Corporate Governance Principles and Board Matters" contained in the definitive proxy statement for SVB Financial Group's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information set forth under the sections titled "Information on Executive Officers", "Compensation Discussion and Analysis", "Compensation for Named Executive Officers", "Director Compensation", "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" and "Compensation Committee Report" contained in the definitive proxy statement for SVB Financial Group's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information set forth under the sections titled "Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers" and "Security Ownership of Principal Stockholders" contained in the definitive proxy statement for SVB Financial Group's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated herein by reference.

Our stockholders have approved each of our active equity compensation plans. The following table provides certain information as of December 31, 2008 with respect to our equity compensation plans:

| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (2)) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders | 3,130,929 | \$ 37.25 | 2,952,391 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 3,130,929 | \$ 37.25 | 2,952,391 |

-
- (1) Represents options granted under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, Amended and Restated 1997 Equity Incentive Plan and Amended and Restated 1989 Stock Option Plan. This number does not include securities to be issued for unvested restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards of 393,463 shares.
 - (2) Includes shares available for issuance under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. This table does not include 602,304 shares available for issuance under the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

For additional information concerning our equity compensation plans, refer to Note 4—“Share-Based Compensation” of the “Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements” under Part II, Item 8 in this report.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information set forth under the sections titled “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” and “Corporate Governance Principles and Board Matters—Board Independence” in the definitive proxy statement for SVB Financial Group’s 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information set forth under the section titled “Principal Audit Fees and Services” contained in the definitive proxy statement for SVB Financial Group’s 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV.

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Financial Statements and Exhibits:

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| (1) Financial Statements. The following consolidated financial statements of the registrant and its subsidiaries are included in Part II Item 8: | |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 93 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 | 94 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the three years ended December 31, 2008 | 95 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three years ended December 31, 2008 | 96 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the three years ended December 31, 2008 | 97 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2008 | 98 |
| Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements | 99 |
| (2) Financial Statement Schedule. The consolidated financial statements and supplemental data are contained in Part II Item 8. All schedules other than as set forth above are omitted because of the absence of the conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or related notes in Part II Item 8. | 93 |
| (3) Exhibits. See Index to Exhibits included at the end of this Form 10-K | 167 |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP

/s/ KENNETH P. WILCOX

Kenneth P. Wilcox

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Dated: March 2, 2009

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| <u>Signature</u> | <u>Title</u> | <u>Date</u> |
|---|--|---------------|
| <u>/s/ ALEX W. HART</u> Alex W. Hart | Chairman of the Board of Directors and Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ KENNETH P. WILCOX</u> Kenneth P. Wilcox | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ MICHAEL DESCHENEUX</u> Michael Descheneaux | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer) | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ ERIC A. BENHAMOU</u> Eric A. Benhamou | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ DAVID M. CLAPPER</u> David M. Clapper | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ ROGER F. DUNBAR</u> Roger F. Dunbar | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ JOEL P. FRIEDMAN</u> Joel P. Friedman | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ G. FELDA HARDYMON</u> G. Felda Hardymon | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ C. RICHARD KRAMLICH</u> C. Richard Kramlich | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ LATA KRISHNAN</u> Lata Krishnan | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ JAMES R. PORTER</u> James R. Porter | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ MICHAELA K. RODENO</u> Michaela K. Rodeno | Director | March 2, 2009 |
| <u>/s/ KYUNG H. YOON</u> Kyung H. Yoon | Director | March 2, 2009 |

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | | Filed Herewith |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | Form | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 2.1 | Asset Purchase Agreement between SVB Financial and Alliant Partners | 8-K | 000-15637 | 2.1 | October 2, 2001 | |
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation | 8-K | 000-15637 | 3.1 | May 31, 2005 | |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws | 8-K | 000-15637 | 3.2 | January 29, 2007 | |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Designation of Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Series A Participating Preferred Stock | 8-K | 000-15637 | 3.3 | December 8, 2008 | |
| 3.4 | Certificate of Designations for Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B | 8-K | 000-15637 | 3.4 | December 15, 2008 | |
| 4.1 | Indenture dated as of May 20, 2003 between SVB Financial and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, National Association | S-3 | 333-107994 | 4.1 | August 14, 2003 | |
| 4.2 | Form of Note | S-3 | 333-107994 | 4.1 | August 14, 2003 | |
| 4.3 | Registration Rights Agreement dated as of May 20, 2003, between SVB Financial and the initial purchasers named therein | S-3 | 333-107994 | 4.3 | August 14, 2003 | |
| 4.4 | Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of October 30, 2003 between SVB Financial and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.12 | November 19, 2003 | |
| 4.5 | 7.0% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debenture due October 15, 2033 of SVB Financial | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.13 | November 19, 2003 | |
| 4.6 | Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2003, by and among SVB Financial as depositor, Wilmington Trust Company as property trustee, Wilmington Trust Company as Delaware trustee, and the Administrative Trustees named therein | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.14 | November 19, 2003 | |
| 4.7 | Certificate Evidencing 7% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities of SVB Capital II, dated October 30, 2003 | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.15 | November 19, 2003 | |
| 4.8 | Guarantee Agreement, dated October 30, 2003 between SVB Financial and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.16 | November 19, 2003 | |
| 4.9 | Agreement as to Expenses and Liabilities, dated as of October 30, 2003, between SVB Financial and SVB Capital II | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.17 | November 19, 2003 | |
| 4.10 | Certificate Evidencing 7% Common Securities of SVB Capital II, dated October 30, 2003 | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.18 | November 19, 2003 | |
| 4.11 | Officers' Certificate and Company Order, dated October 30, 2003, relating to the 7.0% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due October 15, 2033 | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.19 | November 19, 2003 | |
| 4.12 | Amended and Restated Preferred Stock Rights Agreement dated as of January 29, 2004, between SVB Financial and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, N.A. | 8-A/A | 000-15637 | 4.20 | February 27, 2004 | |
| 4.13 | Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Preferred Stock Rights Agreement, dated as of August 2, 2004, by and between SVB Financial and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 8-A/A | 000-15637 | 4.13 | August 3, 2004 | |

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | | Filed Herewith |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | Form | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 4.14 | Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Preferred Stock Rights Agreement, dated as of August 2, 2004, by and between SVB Financial and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 8-A/A | 000-15637 | 4.14 | January 29, 2008 | |
| 4.15 | Amendment No. 3 to Amended and Restated Preferred Stock Rights Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2008, by and between SVB Financial and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. | 8-A/A | 000-15637 | 4.20 | April 30, 2008 | |
| 4.16 | Indenture for 3.875% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2011, dated as of April 7, 2008, by and between Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee, and SVB Financial | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.1 | April 7, 2008 | |
| 4.17 | Letter Agreement re Call Option Transaction, dated as of April 1, 2008, by and between SVB Financial and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.2 | April 7, 2008 | |
| 4.18 | Letter Agreement re Call Option Transaction, dated as of April 1, 2008, by and between SVB Financial and Bank of America, N.A. | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.3 | April 7, 2008 | |
| 4.19 | Letter Agreement re Warrants, dated as of April 1, 2008 by and between SVB Financial and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.4 | April 7, 2008 | |
| 4.20 | Letter Agreement re Warrants, dated as of April 1, 2008, by and between SVB Financial and Bank of America, N.A. | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.5 | April 7, 2008 | |
| 4.21 | Warrant, dated December 12, 2008 to purchase shares of Common Stock of SVB Financial | 8-K | 000-15637 | 4.21 | December 15, 2008 | |
| 10.1 | Office Lease Agreement, dated as of September 15, 2004, between CA-Lake Marriott Business Park Limited Partnership and Silicon Valley Bank: 3003 Tasman Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95054 | 8-K | 000-15637 | 10.28 | September 20, 2004 | |
| *10.2 | 1989 Stock Option Plan | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.28 | August 13, 1996 | |
| *10.3 | 401(k) and Employee Stock Ownership Plan | 10-K | 000-15637 | 10.6 | March 16, 2005 | |
| *10.4 | Amended and Restated Retention Program Plan (RP Years 1999 – 2007)* | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.4 | August 7, 2008 | |
| *10.5 | 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 10-K | 000-15637 | 10.44 | March 17, 2000 | |
| *10.6 | 1997 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended | DEF 14A | 000-15637 | B-1 | March 16, 2005 | |
| *10.7 | Form of Indemnification Agreement | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.7 | November 10, 2008 | |
| *10.8 | Senior Management Incentive Compensation Plan | 10-K | 000-15637 | 10.18 | March 27, 2006 | |
| *10.9 | Deferred Compensation Plan | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.21 | November 9, 2007 | |
| *10.10 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 1997 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 000-15637 | 10.30 | November 5, 2004 | |
| *10.11 | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under 1997 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.31 | November 9, 2004 | |
| *10.12 | Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under 1997 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.32 | November 9, 2004 | |
| *10.13 | Form of Restricted Stock Award under 1997 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.33 | November 9, 2004 | |
| *10.14 | Change in Control Severance Plan | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.14 | August 7, 2008 | |
| *10.15 | 2006 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.15 | November 10, 2008 | |
| *10.16 | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 000-15637 | 99.1 | June 8, 2006 | |
| *10.17 | Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 000-15637 | 99.2 | June 8, 2006 | |

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | | Filed Herewith |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | Form | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| *10.18 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Executive Officers under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for Executives) | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.18 | November 10, 2008 | |
| *10.19 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Employees under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 000-15637 | 99.4 | June 8, 2006 | |
| *10.20 | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 000-15637 | 99.5 | June 8, 2006 | |
| *10.21 | Offer Letter dated November 2, 2006, for Michael Descheneaux | 8-K | 000-15637 | 10.31 | April 17, 2007 | |
| *10.22 | Offer Letter dated April 25, 2008, for Michael Descheneaux | 8-K/A | 000-15637 | 10.32 | May 2, 2007 | |
| *10.23 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for Directors) | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.23 | November 10, 2008 | |
| *10.24 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Election to Defer Settlement under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for Directors) | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.24 | November 10, 2008 | |
| *10.25 | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Election to Defer Settlement under 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for Executives) | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.27 | November 10, 2008 | |
| 10.26 | Retention Program Plan (RP Years Beginning 2008) | 10-Q | 000-15637 | 10.26 | August 7, 2008 | |
| 10.27 | Purchase Agreement, dated April 1, 2008, by and between SVB Financial and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association | 8-K | 000-15637 | 10.1 | April 7, 2008 | |
| 10.28 | Letter Agreement, dated as of December 12, 2008, between the United States Department of the Treasury and SVB Financial, including Security Purchase Agreement | 8-K | 000-15637 | 10.28 | December 15, 2008 | |
| 10.29 | SVB Capital Carried Interest Long Term Incentive Plan | | | | | X |
| 10.30 | Global Amendment to Benefit Plans to Comply with EESA in Connection with CPP Participation | | | | | X |
| 12.1 | Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends | | | | | X |
| 14.1 | Code of Ethics | 10-K | 000-15637 | 14.1 | March 11, 2004 | |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of SVB Financial Group | | | | | X |
| 23.1 | Consent of KPMG LLP, independent registered public accounting firm. | | | | | X |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer | | | | | X |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer | | | | | X |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications | | | | | ** |

* Denotes management contract or any compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

** Furnished herewith.

RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) CERTIFICATION

I, Kenneth P. Wilcox, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of SVB Financial Group;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 2, 2009

/s/ KENNETH P. WILCOX

Kenneth P. Wilcox
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) CERTIFICATION

I, Michael Descheneaux, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of SVB Financial Group;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 2, 2009

/s/ MICHAEL DESCHENEUX

Michael Descheneaux
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

SECTION 1350 CERTIFICATIONS

I, Kenneth P. Wilcox, certify that, to my knowledge, the Annual Report of SVB Financial Group on Form 10-K for the annual period ended December 31, 2008, (i) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and (ii) that the information contained in such Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of SVB Financial Group.

Date: March 2, 2009

/s/ KENNETH P. WILCOX

Kenneth P. Wilcox
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

I, Michael Descheneaux, certify that, to my knowledge, the Annual Report of SVB Financial Group on Form 10-K for the annual period ended December 31, 2008, (i) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and (ii) that the information contained in such Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of SVB Financial Group.

Date: March 2, 2009

/s/ MICHAEL DESCHENEUX

Michael Descheneaux
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

We are in the midst of unprecedented and unnerving economic times.

In a year like 2008, which saw many household names either disappear or be pushed to the brink, at some level, it feels good just to have weathered the storm.

But at SVB Financial Group, we didn't just survive, nor would we say we were merely lucky to avoid the severe pitfalls that tripped so many institutions in our industry. For several years now, we have worked hard to articulate and execute on a strategy that at its core emphasizes a narrow and deep focus on the technology, life science, venture capital and premium wine markets, keeping companies longer, and measured product and geographic expansion (to be where our clients are with the right product set to meet their unique needs).

That focus, combined with our employees' commitment to our clients' success, allowed us to produce results that we deserve to be proud of and that success continues to feed our enthusiasm. Our capital base is strong, and stronger than it has been for some time. Our liquidity has improved, and our success in attracting deposits onto our balance sheet has driven our loan-to-deposit ratio from 90 percent down to 74 percent (and, we would like to underscore, that we did this while we continued to grow loans significantly).

Our fundamentals continue to be strong:

- *DEPOSITS: At a time when competition for deposits has increased considerably, we grew period-end deposits by \$2.86 billion, a 62 percent increase year-over-year.*
- *LOANS: We grew period-end loans by \$1.35 billion, or 33 percent year-over-year. We accomplished this by maintaining market share in the early-stage market and increasing market share in the later stage market.*
- *NET INTEREST MARGIN: By focusing on pricing, we were able to prevent our net interest margin from dropping in lockstep with the federal funds rate. While the federal funds rate dropped by 400 basis points in 2008, our net interest margin dropped by only 151 basis points.*
- *PRODUCTS: We grew commercial bank noninterest income by 15 percent year-over-year. Fueling this was a significant increase in foreign exchange revenues resulting from a focused sales and marketing effort that we embarked upon in 2008. Foreign exchange revenues increased by \$7.4 million.*

While our fundamentals are strong, we were not immune from the economic turbulence in 2008, nor were we flawless in our execution. We all know what has happened to the economy on a worldwide scale, so we don't need to repeat all of the headlines. And the impact on us is in part, obvious: towards the end of the year, we began to see some of our portfolio companies struggling to a greater extent than has been true for years.

In the fourth quarter, we had multiple problem loans surface in our portfolio, causing us to take approximately \$24 million in net charge-offs, as well as significantly increase our loan loss reserve due to the deterioration in the overall economy and its impact on our loan portfolio.

We have learned from this and are now enhancing our processes to help us avoid such circumstances in the future. Not only are we monitoring our larger credits even more closely than we have in the past, but we are also modeling out for a broader set of future economic scenarios to better understand what extreme changes in the economy (such as those we saw in the fall of 2008) would mean to each individual credit.

There is little doubt that the challenges we faced in 2008 will only continue in 2009, as the short-term prospects for economic recovery appear slim. For over 25 years, SVB has lived through good and bad markets and we are confident that we have the knowledge, talent and discipline to weather this downturn and continue to support our clients.

A STRONG POSITION—THE RIGHT MARKETS

In these economic times, the core strength of any bank begins with capital and liquidity. Throughout 2008, we worked to ensure we had adequate levels of both to protect our safety and soundness, and grow our loan portfolio. These activities, along with our ability to attract more deposits to our balance sheet through new product generation, helped ensure that we had adequate capital and liquidity necessary to continue to support our clients.

In order for us to continue to grow in this time of economic deterioration, we made the decision to conserve capital by stopping all share repurchases early in the second quarter of 2008. To further strengthen our capital position, we went to the capital markets in April and issued \$250 million of convertible senior notes.

In early December, we issued \$235 million of preferred shares and common stock as part of our participation in the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program.

Like many other strong and stable banks in the country, we participated in TARP because of the absence of capital in the public markets. Unfortunately, many of these stable banks are being lumped into the same category with the poor performers. These are banks that are actively providing their clients with access to loans and financial services, helping to keep businesses afloat, and therefore keep people in their jobs, which will eventually help stabilize the economy. That is how we intend to use the TARP money.

We continued to focus on expense control and efficiency, and were able to reduce noninterest expenses by 10 percent in 2008. While much of this was due to lower incentive compensation, we also achieved this in part through outsourcing (we increased our contractor count in India from 75 individuals to more than 225), improved vendor management, and executive-level review of all new hires. We will continue to focus on efficiency and effectiveness in 2009 through continuous improvement, prudent expense management, and responsible headcount growth.

Despite the turbulence in the markets around us, we continue to believe that, even in a recession there is no better part of the economy to be in than the world of technology and life sciences.

We are convinced that it will be the innovation sector that will eventually pull this economy through these tough times. Every year we see growth in the number of fundable ideas, technologies that, among other things, make our lives more efficient, connect people across geographies, and make our world a cleaner and safer place to live. Life science companies continue to push the boundaries of modern medicine, creating new drugs and vaccines to eradicate diseases, and improve the quality of life for people and communities. These are all things the world needs and will pay for.

And that is where we see opportunity in this chaos. While we cannot tell you how long or deep this recession will be, we can tell you that if we continue to support our clients, and invest in our future, we are confident that we will emerge from this far stronger than our competition.





Thank you for your continued support.



KEN WILCOX
President & Chief Executive Officer,
SVB Financial Group




ALEX "PETE" HART
Chairman of the Board, SVB Financial Group




WE'RE WITH YOU

3




NANOGRAM: SMALL IDEAS, BIG IMPACT



"With SVB we get more than a bank — we get a strategic partner. SVB's early capital support has allowed us to validate our technology and value proposition and move into a business growth stage."

KIERAN F. DRAIN, PH.D., PRESIDENT & CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (LEFT)
SHIV CHIRUVOLU, PH.D., VICE PRESIDENT, RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Sometimes, the smallest elements have the biggest impact on life. NanoGram uses microscopic-scale, nano-enabling manufacturing capabilities to improve the performance of materials that are essential to everyday living, from batteries that regulate heartbeats to the LCDs in electronics.



As the leading venue for people to vote on online content and collectively determine what's the best of the best, Digg is changing the way people value and consume information online.


"Regardless of market conditions, I know SVB is going to be there with a financial solution that's flexible enough to fit our needs."

JOHN MOFFETT, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (LEFT)
MIKE MASER, CHIEF STRATEGIST & REVENUE OFFICER (MIDDLE)
KEVIN ROSE, FOUNDER & CHIEF ARCHITECT (RIGHT), QUITO (BELOW)

A black and white photograph of a dog sitting on a floral dog bed, looking up at a large sign that says "digg". The sign is mounted on a wall and has a metallic, perforated appearance. The dog is a medium-sized breed with white fur and dark spots. The background is a plain wall with a dark baseboard.

digg

*ADEPT TECHNOLOGY:
THE ROBOTIC REVOLUTION
BEGAN HERE*



Since 1983, Adept Technology's vision-guided robotics systems and services have transformed automated manufacturing, adding precision and productivity. Today, Adept is the largest U.S. manufacturer of industrial robots.

"It's rare to find a bank that truly cares about and knows its customers—and knows how to help them succeed. SVB does all that for us."

JOHN BULCHINOS, PRESIDENT & CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (OFF-SCREEN)

(LEFT TO RIGHT) JEFF BAIRD, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING,
LISA CUMMINS, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, JOACHIM MELIS,
VICE PRESIDENT OF WORLDWIDE SALES



WE'RE WITH YOU.

TWITTER: DO YOU TWEET?

Crossing over multiple networks and devices, Twitter technology keeps users up-to-date on friends and open to news and information they want most. The Twitter name has already achieved iconic status, coming to mean real-time messaging for millions of users worldwide.



"SVB understands our technical needs and consistently comes up with creative solutions to some unusual financial needs. They have developed a relationship with us that we can rely upon."

EVAN WILLIAMS, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (RIGHT)
BIZ STONE, CO-FOUNDER





*"When the markets were falling last year, SVB was the only bank we wanted to manage our cash reserves! The advice we got was phenomenal—all we wanted was a safe haven, and instead we got impressive, unexpected returns."**

JASON WEISBERGER, CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER (LEFT)
JOHN BATTELE, FOUNDER, CHAIRMAN & CHIEF
EXECUTIVE OFFICER (MIDDLE)
CHAS EDWARDS, CO-FOUNDER, PUBLISHER & CHIEF
REVENUE OFFICER (RIGHT)



FEDERATED MEDIA: NEW MEDIA, NEW MARKETING

Federated Media has turned social media into commercial reality. For independent Web site authors in search of their voice and for Internet marketers in search of their audience, Federated Media provides the vital connection. The next-generation media and publishing company represents more than 100 of the world's most respected Web sites, blogs and social networking applications to brand marketers and agencies.



FivePrime™

FIVEPRIME THERAPEUTICS: TRANSFORMING CANCER THERAPY





FivePrime Therapeutics is transforming—and accelerating—the course of biotherapeutics for cancer and diabetes patients. The company's powerful protein discovery platform enables it to focus on some of the most urgent and drug-resistant medical conditions in the world.

"SVB is the perfect bank for an entrepreneurial company like us—terrific business partners, flexible and committed."


*GAIL MADERIS, PRESIDENT & CHIEF
EXECUTIVE OFFICER (CEO)*

*LEWIS T. "RUSTY" WILLIAMS, FOUNDER
& EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN*



WE'RE WITH YOU.





"SVB has a phenomenal track record in helping build companies. I've always been impressed with their agility and common-sense approach."

CONRAD BURKE, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (MIDDLE)
CHRISTINA TENG, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE (RIGHT),
JOHNNIE PERKINS, PROCESS CONTROL ENGINEER (LEFT)

INNOVALIGHT: TURNING ENERGY GREEN

With its high-efficiency, low-cost solar modules manufactured using breakthrough silicon ink, Innovalight has changed the manufacturing paradigm for solar power.


*PRIZM
PAYMENTS:
INDIA'S
NEW
FINANCIAL
BACKBONE*

Prizm is establishing a self-sufficient nationwide payment ecosystem for financial institutions, retailers and service providers. This unprecedented infrastructure for India includes common-branded ATMs, point-of-sale services and card services.

"We are happy to count on SVB as a trusted friend and partner with its innovative approach to helping young companies such as ours, especially in these challenging times."

LONEY ANTONY, MANAGING DIRECTOR (RIGHT)
C. SHYAM SUNDER, CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER





**UNITED ONLINE: TURNING INTERNET
CONNECTIONS INTO HUMAN CONNECTIONS**

As one of the leading providers of consumer products and services over the Internet, United Online is home to an enviable portfolio of well-known interactive consumer brands, including classmates.com, FTD and Interflora, and even the affordable dial-up providers Juno and NetZero.



classmates.com

NETZERO



MyPoints

JUNO

"Partnering with SVB helped us to secure attractive financing for a strategic acquisition, despite very challenging conditions in the credit markets."

MARK R. GOLDSTON, CHAIRMAN, PRESIDENT & CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (RIGHT)
SCOTT H. RAY, EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT & CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

CORPORATE OFFICES

UNITED STATES

*Atlanta, Georgia
Austin, Texas
Beaverton, Oregon
Broomfield, Colorado
Chicago, Illinois
Dallas, Texas
Irvine, California
Menlo Park, California
Minnetonka, Minnesota*

Morrisville, North Carolina

*New York, New York
Newton, Massachusetts
Palo Alto, California
Pleasanton, California
Radnor, Pennsylvania
Salt Lake City, Utah
San Diego, California
San Francisco, California
Santa Clara, California*

Santa Rosa, California

*Seattle, Washington
St. Helena, California
Tempe, Arizona
Vienna, Virginia
Woodland Hills, California*

UNITED KINGDOM

London

ISRAEL

Herzliya Pituach


INDIA

Bangalore

Mumbai

CHINA

Shanghai



EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SILICON VALLEY BANK



KEN WILCOX
*President &
Chief Executive Officer,
SVB Financial Group*



GREG BECKER
*President,
Silicon Valley Bank*



MARY DENT
General Counsel



**MICHAEL
DESCHENEAUX**
Chief Financial Officer



**CHRIS
EDMONDS-WATERS**
*Head of Human
Resources*



DAVE JONES
Chief Credit Officer



HARRY W. KELLOGG, JR.
*Vice Chairman,
Silicon Valley Bank,
and Head of Strategic
Relationships,
SVB Financial Group*



MARK MACLENNAN
President, SVB Capital



MARC VERISSIMO
*Chief Strategy
& Risk Officer*



DAVID WEBB
*Chief Operations
Officer*

BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SVB FINANCIAL GROUP AND SILICON VALLEY BANK



ALEX "PETE" HART
Chairman of the Board,
SVB Financial Group,
and Independent
Consultant in the Financial
Services Industry



KEN WILCOX
President &
Chief Executive Officer,
SVB Financial Group



ERIC BENHAMOU
Chairman & Chief
Executive Officer,
Benhamou Global
Ventures



DAVID CLAPPER
Former President &
Chief Executive Officer,
SurgRx, Inc.



ROGER F. DUNBAR
Retired,
Former Global Vice
Chairman, Ernst & Young



JOEL P. FRIEDMAN
Retired,
Former President,
Business Process
Outsourcing,
Accenture



G. FELDA HARDYMAN
Senior Partner,
Bessemer Venture
Partners, and Professor
of Management
Practice, Harvard
Business School



HARRY W. KELLOGG, JR.*
Vice Chairman,
Silicon Valley Bank,
and Head of Strategic
Relationships,
SVB Financial Group



C. RICHARD KRAMLICH
Co-founder & General
Partner, New Enterprise
Associates



LATA KRISHNAN
Chief Financial Officer,
Shah Capital Partners



JAMES PORTER
Retired,
Former President
& Chief Executive
Officer, Triad Systems
Corporation



MICHAELA RODENO
Chief Executive Officer,
St. Supéry Vineyards
and Winery



LARRY W. SONSINI†
Chairman, Wilson
Sonsini Goodrich
& Rosati



KYUNG YOON
Chief Executive Officer,
Talent Age Associates

* Director of Silicon Valley Bank only
† Advisory Director

SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

SVB FINANCIAL GROUP

Corporate Headquarters
3005 Tasman Drive
Santa Clara, CA 95054
408.654.7400

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM KPMG LLP

Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111

COMMON STOCK

The company's common stock
trades on the Nasdaq Stock
Market[®] under the symbol *SIVB*.

REGISTRAR AND TRANSFER AGENT

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
P.O. Box 64854
St. Paul, MN 55164-0854

FORM 10-K

SVB Financial Group's 2008
Annual Report on Form 10-K has been
filed with the Securities
and Exchange Commission.
Copies are available at svb.com or upon
written request to:

STOCKHOLDER ADMINISTRATION

Lisa Bertolet
3005 Tasman Drive
Santa Clara, CA 95054
408.654.7282
lbetolet@svb.com

INVESTOR RELATIONS

Meghan O'Leary
3005 Tasman Drive
Santa Clara, CA 95054
408.654.6364
moleary@svb.com

Silicon Valley Bank is the California bank subsidiary and the commercial banking operation of SVB Financial Group. Banking services are provided by Silicon Valley Bank, a member of the FDIC and the Federal Reserve. SVB Financial Group is also a member of the Federal Reserve.

**SVB Securities is a non-bank affiliate of Silicon Valley Bank and member of SVB Financial Group. Member FINRA and SIPC. Products offered by SVB Securities are not FDIC insured, are not deposits or other obligations of Silicon Valley Bank, and may lose value. This testimonial may not be representative of the experience of other clients, and is no guarantee of future performance or success.*

SVB Financial Group and Silicon Valley Bank are registered trademarks of SVB Financial Group.

© 2009 SVB Financial Group. All rights reserved.

Shareholder Information

This document may contain projections or other forward-looking statements regarding the future events or the future financial performance of the company. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not historical facts. We wish to caution you that such statements are just predictions and actual events or results may differ materially, due to changes in economic, business and regulatory factors and trends. We also refer you to the documents the company files from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, specifically the company's last filed Form 10-K, filed on for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. These documents identify important risk factors that could cause the company's actual results to differ materially from those contained in our projections or other forward-looking statements.

