



SI

07002974

MISSION SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

OMB APPROVAL	
OMB Number:	3235-0123
Expires:	January 31, 2007
Estimated average burden hours per response.....	12.00

**ANNUAL AUDITED REPORT
FORM X-17A-5
PART III**

RECEIVED
FEB 27 2007

SEC FILE NUMBER
8- 53298

DIVISION OF MARKET REGULATION

FACING PAGE

Information Required of Brokers and Dealers Pursuant to Section 17 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 17a-5 Thereunder

REPORT FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING 01/01/2006 AND ENDING 12/31/2006
MM/DD/YY MM/DD/YY

A. REGISTRANT IDENTIFICATION

NAME OF BROKER-DEALER: RMG Partners Corporation

ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS: (Do not use P.O. Box No.)

3000 Drakes Landing Road, Suite 290

(No. and Street)

California

94904

(City)

(State)

(Zip Code)

NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO CONTACT IN REGARD TO THIS REPORT

Sanjay Lillaney

(415) 925-4215

(Area Code - Telephone Number)

B. ACCOUNTANT IDENTIFICATION

INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT whose opinion is contained in this Report*

Brian W. Anson, CPA

(Name - if individual, state last, first, middle name)

18425 Burbank Blvd., Suite 606, Tarzana

California

91356

(Address)

(City)

(Zip Code)

CHECK ONE:

- Certified Public Accountant
- Public Accountant
- Accountant not resident in United States or any of its possessions.

PROCESSED

MAR 23 2007

THOMSON FINANCIAL

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

*Claims for exemption from the requirement that the annual report be covered by the opinion of an independent public accountant must be supported by a statement of facts and circumstances relied on as the basis for the exemption. See Section 240.17a-5(e)(2)

AS
3/22

BRIAN W. ANSON

Certified Public Accountant

18425 Burbank Blvd., Suite 606, Tarzana, CA 91356 • Tel. (818) 401-8800 • Fax (818) 401-8818

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Shareholders of
RMG Partners Corporation
(a Delaware Corporation)
Greenbrae, California

I have audited the accompanying statement of financial condition of RMG Partners Corporation, as of December 31, 2006 and the related statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended that you are filing pursuant to Rule 17a-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. An audit includes examining on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of RMG Partners Corporation, as of December 31, 2006, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

My audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The information contained on Schedule I is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not required as part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by Rule 17a-5 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Such information has been subject to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the basic financial statements and, in my opinion, is fairly stated in all material respect in relating to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This opinion is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other regulatory agencies which rely on Rule 17a 5(g) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in their regulation of registered brokers and dealers, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



Brian W. Anson
Certified Public Accountant
Tarzana, California
February 19, 2007

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION

Statement of Financial Condition
December 31, 2006

ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents (Note 1)	\$ 37,135
Investments	342,646
Property & equipment-net of accumulated depreciation of \$33,714 (Note 1)	-
Other assets	12,695
Total assets	<u>\$ 392,476</u>

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

LIABILITIES:

Accounts payable	\$ 24,598
Total liabilities	<u>24,598</u>

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common stock, 100 shares authorized and outstanding	5,000
Additional paid in capital	638,025
Retained deficit	<u>(275,146)</u>
Total shareholders' equity	<u>367,879</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 392,476</u>

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION

Statement of Income
For the year ended December 31, 2006

REVENUES:

Consulting fees (Note 1)	\$ 723,087
Loss on investment	(111,302)
Interest and dividends	6,763
Other income	12,000
Total income	<u>630,548</u>

EXPENSES:

Employee compensation and benefits	\$ 304,868
Legal and professional fees	173,715
Occupancy	60,000
Travel and entertainment	85,420
Communications	29,716
Other	78,814
Total expenses	<u>732,533</u>

Loss before income taxes (101,985)

Provision for income taxes (800)

Net loss \$ (102,785)

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION

Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity
For the year ended December 31, 2006

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity
Beginning balance January 1, 2006	\$5,000	\$638,025	(\$172,361)	\$470,664
Net loss			(102,785)	(102,785)
Ending balance December 31, 2006	\$5,000	\$638,025	(\$275,146)	\$367,879

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION
Statement of Cash Flows
For the year ended December 31, 2006

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Net loss	\$ (102,785)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	
(Increase) decrease in:	
Investments	(117,646)
Other assets	(3,353)
Increase (decrease) in:	
Accounts payable	7,469
Total adjustments	<u>(113,530)</u>
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(216,315)</u>
Decrease in cash	(216,315)
Cash at beginning of year	<u>253,450</u>
Cash at end of year	<u><u>\$ 37,135</u></u>
Cash paid for:	
Interest	-
Income taxes	\$800

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION

Notes to Financial Statements
December 31, 2006

Note 1: GENERAL AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and General Matters:

The Company is a Delaware corporation organized in March 30, 2001 and commenced operations as a licensed broker-dealer October 5, 2001. The Company is a broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and is a member of the National Association of Security Dealers (NASD).

The firm provides analytical tools on securities and derivatives to institutional money managers and securities' dealers. The firm is then compensated upon the execution of its proposed strategy and hence the requirement for a broker-dealer license. The firm is also approved for private placements, under which, the firm would raise institutional monies for hedge funds.

The firm operates on a limited disclosed basis with no clearing firm requirements.

In October 2006, the firm reduced its net capital requirement to \$5,000.

Use of Estimates:

The preparation of financial statement in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comprehensive Income:

The Company adopted SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive income," which requires that an enterprise report, by major components and as a single total, the changes in equity. There were no other comprehensive income items for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Revenue Recognition

Fees from consultancy and investment advisor services are recognized as revenue upon billing of services rendered.

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION

Notes to Financial Statements
December 31, 2006

Note 1: GENERAL AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(Continued)

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and are capitalized and depreciated over the lives dictated by the MACRS methods of depreciation under the Internal Revenue Code (the Code). Additional first year depreciation is taken up to the Section 179 limit provided for in the Code. All the property and equipment is fully depreciated because, since inception, the Company has not purchased property and equipment in any calendar year in excess of the Section 179 limits. The resulting difference between double declining balance method was not material.

Risk Concentrations

The Company is engaged in various brokerage activities in which counter parties primarily include broker-dealers, banks, and other financial institutions. In the event counter parties do not fulfill their obligations, the Company may be exposed to risk. The risk of default depends on the creditworthiness of the counter party or issuer of the instrument. It is the Company's policy to review, as necessary, the credit standing of each counter party.

Cash and Cash Equivalent

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Note 2: LEASE COMMITMENTS

The Company rents its office space under a sublease agreement on a month to month basis for \$5,000.

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION

Notes to Financial Statements
December 31, 2006

Note 3: NET CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Company is subject to the Securities and Exchange Commission Uniform Net Capital Rule (SEC) Rule 15c3-1, which requires the ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital, both as defined, shall not exceed 15 to 1. At December 31, 2006, the Company had net capital of \$179,456 of which \$174,456 was in excess of its required minimum net capital. The Company's aggregate indebtedness (\$24,598) to net capital was 0.14 at December 31, 2006, which is less than the 15:1 limit.

S

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION

Statement of Net Capital
Schedule I
December 31, 2006

	Focus 12/2006	Audit 12/2006	Change
Stockholders' equity, December 31, 2006	\$367,932	\$367,879	\$53
Subtract - Non allowable assets:			
Investment	120,000	114,506	5,494
Other assets	12,695	12,695	0
Tentative net capital	235,237	240,678	(5,441)
Haircuts:	61,385	61,222	
NET CAPITAL	173,852	179,456	(5,604)
Minimum net capital	(5,000)	(5,000)	
Excess net capital	168,852	174,456	(5,604)
Aggregate indebtedness	46,333	24,598	21,735
Ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital	0.27%	0.14%	

The differences were caused by year end adjustments between the audit and focus filed for December 31, 2006.

RMG PARTNERS CORPORATION

December 31, 2006

Schedule II

Determination of Reserve Requirements
Under Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities and Exchange Commission

The Company is exempt from the Reserve Requirement of computation according to the provision of Rule 15c3-3(k)(2)(i).

Schedule III

Information Relating to Possession or Control
Requirements Under Rule 15c3-3

The Company is exempt from the Rule 15c3-3 as it relates to Possession and Control requirements under the (k)(2)(i) exemptive provision.

BRIAN W. ANSON

Certified Public Accountant

18425 Burbank Blvd., Suite 606, Tarzana, CA 91356 • Tel. (818) 401-8800 • Fax (818) 401-8818

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON
INTERNAL CONTROL STRUCTURE
REQUIRED BY SEC RULE 17A-5**

Board of Directors
RMG Partners Corporation
(a Delaware corporation)
Greenbrae, California

In planning and performing my audit of the financial statements of RMG Partners Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2006, I considered its internal control structure, including control activities for safeguarding securities, in order to determine my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure.

Also, as required by Rule 17a-5(g)(1) of the Securities and Exchange Commission, I have made a study of the practices and procedures including tests of such practices and procedures followed by RMG Partners Corporation including test of compliance with such practices and procedures that I considered relevant to objectives stated in Rule 17a-5(g), (1) in making the periodic computations of aggregate indebtedness (or aggregate debits) and net capital under Rule 17a-3(a)(11) and for determining compliance with the exemptive provisions of rule 15c3-3. Because the Company does not carry securities accounts for customers or perform custodial functions relating to customer securities, I did not review the practices and procedures followed by the Company in any of the following: (i) in making the quarterly securities examinations, counts, verifications and comparisons, (ii) recordation of differences required by Rule 17a-13, or (iii) in complying with the requirements for prompt payment for securities of Section 8 of Regulation T of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control and the practices and procedures referred to in the preceding paragraph. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures and of the practices and procedures referred to in the preceding paragraph and to assess whether those practices and procedures can be expected to achieve the Commission's above mentioned objectives. Two of the objectives of internal control and the practices and procedures are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets for which the Company has responsibility are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Rule 17-5(g) lists additional objectives of the practices and procedures listed in the preceding paragraph.

Board of Directors
RMG Partners Corporation
Page Two

Because of inherent limitations in internal control or the practices and procedures referred to above, errors or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of them to future periods is subject to the risk they may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of their design and operation may deteriorate.

My consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of the specific internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. However, I noted no matters involving the internal control structure, including control activities for safeguarding securities, which I consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.

In addition, my consideration of the internal control structure indicated that the Company was in compliance with the conditions of the exemption under Paragraph (k) (2) (i) of Rule 15c3-3, and no facts came to my attention indicating that such conditions had not been complied with during the period. The scope of my engagement did not include the Anti Money Laundering provision of the U.S. Patriot Act.

I understand that practices and procedures that accomplish the objectives referred to in the second paragraph of this report are considered by the Commission to be adequate for its purpose in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and related regulations, and that practices and procedures that do not accomplish such objectives in all material respects indicate a material inadequacy for such purposes. Based on this understanding and on my study, I believe that the Company's practices and procedures were adequate at December 31, 2006 to meet the Commission's objectives.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other regulatory agencies which rely on Rule 17a-5(g) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in their regulation of registered brokers and dealers, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.


Brian W. Anson
Certified Public Accountant
Tarzana, California
February 19, 2007

END