



UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549-0402

DC



05046146

March 2, 2005

John W. Thomson
Senior Attorney
AT&T Corp.
Room 3A140
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921


Act: 1934
Section: _____
Rule: 144-8
Public _____
Availability: 3/2/2005

Re: AT&T Corp.
Incoming letter dated January 4, 2005

Dear Mr. Thomson:

This is in response to your letter dated January 4, 2005 concerning the shareholder proposal submitted to AT&T by Kathryn I. Croke and Lani Gill Flesch. We also have received a letter from the proponents dated January 24, 2005. Our response is attached to the enclosed photocopy of your correspondence. By doing this, we avoid having to recite or summarize the facts set forth in the correspondence. Copies of all of the correspondence also will be provided to the proponents.

In connection with this matter, your attention is directed to the enclosure, which sets forth a brief discussion of the Division's informal procedures regarding shareholder proposals.



Sincerely,

Jonathan A. Ingram

Jonathan A. Ingram
Deputy Chief Counsel

Enclosures

cc: Kathryn I. Croke
52 Ames Street
Onancock, VA 23417

Lani Gill Flesch
1331 Downs Parkway
Libertyville, IL 60048



PROCESSED
MAR 08 2005
THOMSON
FINANCIAL



John W. Thomson
Senior Attorney

Room 3A140
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921
908-532-1901 (Voice)
908-234-7871 (Fax)
jwthomson@att.com

January 4, 2005

Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20549

Re: AT&T Corp.
Shareholder Proposal Submitted by
Kathryn I. Croke; Co-filer Lani G. Flesch
Rule 14a-8/Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, AT&T Corp. ("AT&T" or the "Company") hereby gives notice of its intention to omit from its proxy statement and form of proxy for the Company's 2005 Annual Meeting of Shareowners (collectively the "Proxy Materials") a proposal and supporting statement (the "Proposal") submitted by Kathryn I. Croke (the "Proponent") by letter received by the Company on November 29, 2004. On the same date the Company received a letter from Lani G. Flesch requesting to be named as a co-filer of the Proposal. Enclosed are six copies of the Proposal. A copy of this letter is being mailed concurrently to the Proponent advising her of AT&T's intention to omit the Proposal from its Proxy Materials for the 2005 Annual Meeting.

AT&T requests the concurrence of the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the "Staff") of the Securities and Exchange Commission that no enforcement action will be recommended if AT&T omits the Proposal from its Proxy Materials.

The Proposal is as follows:

“RESOLVED: The shareholders of AT&T urge our Board to seek shareholder approval for future severance agreements with senior executives, including ‘golden parachute’ and ‘golden good-bye’ severance agreements, which provide benefits exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the executive’s base salary plus bonus.”

“We define ‘golden parachutes’ as severance provisions triggered when executives resign or are terminated after a change in corporate control; ‘golden good-byes’ are defined as severance agreements which, absent a change in control, are triggered when executives are terminated, retire or resign. ‘Benefits’ include the present value of all payments (in cash or in kind) not already earned or vested prior to termination, including lump sum payments, perquisites, consulting fees and the accelerated vesting of equity grants.”

AT&T has concluded that the Proposal may be properly omitted from its Proxy Materials pursuant to the provisions of Rule 14a-8(e)(2), Rule 14a-8(i)(11), Rule 14a-8(i)(3) and Rule 14a-9.

The specific reasons why the Company deems omission to be proper and the legal support for such conclusions are discussed below.

I. THE PROPOSAL MAY BE OMITTED UNDER RULE 14a-8(e)(2) SINCE THE PROPOSAL WAS NOT TIMELY SUBMITTED

Under Rule 14a-8(e)(2) a proposal may be omitted if the proposal is not “received at the company’s principal executive offices not less than 120 calendar days before the date of the company’s proxy statement released to shareholders in connection with the previous year’s annual meeting.” The Company’s 2004 Annual Meeting was held on May 19, 2004. The Company’s 2004 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement included the following information:

“Shareowner proposals may be submitted for inclusion in our 2005 proxy statement after the 2004 annual meeting, but must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. EST on Saturday, November 27, 2004. Proposals should be sent via registered, certified, or express mail to: Vice President – Law and Secretary, AT&T Corp., Room 3A123, One AT&T Way, Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752.”

The Proposal, together with the letter from the co-filer, was received by the Company via express delivery at the above address on Monday, November 29, 2004, two days after the deadline. See attached UPS Package Tracking printout. Both the

Proponent and the Co-filer sent copies of the Proposal on Tuesday, November 23, 2004, via UPS 2nd Day Air (see attached letter from Proponent dated December 14, 2004, and letter from Co-filer dated December 2, 2004, with attached UPS Parcel Shipping Order). Due to the Thanksgiving holiday, however, the UPS delivery was not made until the next Monday. Although the deadline was the Saturday of the Thanksgiving holiday weekend, the Staff has on many occasions held the view that when the 120th day falls on a holiday weekend, the proposal must be received prior to the holiday weekend in order to be filed in a timely manner. The Company's offices were closed for the Thanksgiving holiday from Thursday, November 25, through Sunday, November 28, inclusive. UPS personnel asked the Company's mailroom personnel if deliveries would be accepted at our facilities, and UPS was advised that our offices would be closed for all deliveries until Monday, November 29, 2004 (P. Brewster, AT&T Mailroom, personal communication to C. Bourdette of AT&T Corporate Secretary Office, December 23, 2004.) The Proponent certainly had the opportunity to use Next Day Air service with UPS which would have resulted in a timely delivery to the Company's headquarters on Wednesday, November 24, 2004. Additionally, had the Proponent contacted the Corporate Secretary's Office in a timely manner a fax delivery of the Proposal could have been arranged. However, no such contact was made. In any event, the Company believes that the Proposal may be omitted under Rule 14a-8(e)(2) because it was not received by the Company in a timely manner. See AT&T Corp., January 6, 1999 (proposal received on the Monday after the Thanksgiving holiday weekend); Westinghouse Electric Corporation, December 28, 1995 (proposal received one day late due to the Veterans Day holiday weekend); and American Stores Company, February 8, 1994 (proposal received two days late on January 3, 1994 when executive offices reopened after the New Year's holiday weekend).

II. THE PROPOSAL MAY PROPERLY BE OMITTED UNDER RULE 14a-8(i)(11) BECAUSE THE PROPOSAL IS SUBSTANTIALLY DUPLICATIVE OF TWO OTHER PROPOSALS AT LEAST ONE OF WHICH MAY BE INCLUDED IN THE COMPANY'S PROXY MATERIALS

Under Rule 14a-8(i)(11), the Company may omit a proposal if it substantially duplicates a proposal that will be included in the company's proxy materials. AT&T has received two other Proposals which it believes substantially duplicate the Proposal, and it expects that at least one of these two proposals will be included in its Proxy Materials.

A. The Proposal Substantially Duplicates a Proposal Received from Domini Social Investments, on November 24, 2004, Co-filer Jane Banfield (the "Domini Proposal").

The Domini Proposal is as follows:

“RESOLVED: Shareholders request that the Board adopt a policy to seek shareholder approval of any future supplemental executive retirement plan (“SERP”) or individual retirement arrangement for senior executives that provides preferential benefit formulas or supplemental pension benefits not provided to other managers under the Company’s regular tax-qualified plan. Implementation of this policy shall not breach any existing employment agreement or vested benefit.”

The Company believes that the Domini Proposal substantially duplicates the Proposal. Both request shareholder approval for future severance or retirement arrangements with senior executives. And both seek to define severance agreements that are unusually generous, the Domini Proposal as “preferential benefit formulas or supplemental pension benefits not provided to other managers” and the Proposal as “benefits exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the executive’s base salary plus bonus.” The Staff has taken the position that proposals may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(11) where the core issues addressed by the proposals are the same even if the proposals are not identical. E.g., USG Corp., April 7, 2000. The core issue for both proposals is shareholder action regarding excessive pension or retirement benefits for senior executives. Accordingly, the Company requests that the Staff concur that if the Domini Proposal is included in its 2005 Proxy Materials, then the Proposal may be omitted.

B. The Proposal Substantially Duplicates a Proposal Received from the California Public Employees’ Retirement System (“CalPERS”) on November 24, 2004 and amended on December 15, 2004 (the “CalPERS Proposal”).

The CalPERS Proposal is as follows:

“RESOLVED, that the shareholders of AT&T Corporation [*sic*] (the “Company”) amend the Company’s bylaws, in compliance with applicable law, to require that the Board of Directors (“Board”) seek shareholder ratification of any Severance Agreement with any Officer that provides Severance Benefits with a total present value exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the Officer’s base salary plus target bonus. “Severance Agreement” is defined as any agreement that dictates what an Officer can be compensated when AT&T terminates employment without cause or when there is a termination of

employment following a finally approved and implemented change of control. "Severance benefits" shall mean the value of all cash and non-cash benefits, including, but not limited to, the following: (i) cash benefits, (ii) perquisites, (iii) consulting fees, (iv) equity and the accelerated vesting of equity, (v) the value of "gross-up" payments, i.e., payments to off-set taxes, and (vi) the value of additional service credit or other special additional benefits under the Company's retirement system. If the Board determines that it is not practicable to obtain shareholder approval in advance, the Board may seek approval after the material terms have been agreed upon. This bylaw amendment shall take effect upon adoption and apply only to agreements adopted, extended or modified after that date."

The Company believes that the CalPERS Proposal substantially duplicates the Proposal. Both request shareholder action for future severance or retirement arrangements with senior executives. And both seek to define severance agreements that are unusually generous in an identical way, in each case as 2.99 times salary plus bonus. The Staff has taken the position that proposals may be excluded under Rule 14a-8(11) where the core issues addressed by the proposals are the same even if the proposals are not identical. E.g., USG Corp., April 7, 2000. In this case, however, the two proposals are actually virtually identical. The only significant differences are, first, that the CalPERS Proposal seeks implementation through a by-law amendment while the Proposal does not specify the means of implementation. And second, the CalPERS Proposal requires shareholder "ratification" while the Proposal requires "approval." If this is read to mean prior approval, although the Proposal is ambiguous on this point, then the two resolutions also would be mutually exclusive. The Staff has also permitted exclusion of overlapping proposals under Rule 14a-8(i)(11) where the approval of both would lead to inconsistent results. E.g., Monsanto Co., February 7, 2000. Accordingly, the Company also requests that the Staff concur that if the CalPERS Proposal is included in its 2005 Proxy Materials, then the Proposal may be omitted.

III. THE PROPOSAL MAY BE OMITTED UNDER RULE 14a-8(i)(3) SINCE THE PROPOSAL IS CONTRARY TO THE COMMISSION'S PROXY RULE 14a-9 WHICH PROHIBITS MATERIALLY FALSE OR MISLEADING STATEMENTS IN PROXY SOLICITING MATERIALS

Rule 14a-8(i)(3) provides that a proposal may be omitted if it "is contrary to any of the Commission's proxy rules, including 14a-9, which prohibits materially false or misleading statements in proxy soliciting materials." The Proposal contains statements that the Company believes are materially false and misleading.

The supporting statement alleges that "Under AT&T's Senior Officer Separation Plan, eligible officers can receive the following payouts for termination within two years after a 'change in control,' defined to include situations where another entity acquires as little as 20% of the Company's voting stock and never actually takes control: 300% of the fair market value of restricted stock and stock appreciation rights granted the year the change in control occurs." This statement is materially false since effective May 19, 2004, the severance payment after a change in control for covered executives was changed to omit the payment element cited by the Proponent and is limited to "the sum of three times base salary plus three times target annual incentive." See AT&T 2004 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, "Senior Officer Separation Plan," pp. 45-46.


In addition, the supporting statement enumerates a number of additional severance benefits that AT&T's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, David W. Dorman, might be entitled to including accelerated vesting of equity awards and medical and life insurance benefits. This falsely implies that these are special benefits that only Mr. Dorman receives, whereas in fact the Company's other senior executives would have identical or substantially similar benefits. See AT&T 2004 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, pp. 33-48.

Accordingly, AT&T requests that the Staff agree that it may omit the Proposal under Rules 14a-8(i)(3) and 14a-9, or, alternatively, require the Proponent to correct or omit the false and misleading portions of the Proposal.

Should you have any questions or comments regarding the foregoing, please contact the undersigned at (908) 532-1901. Please acknowledge receipt of this letter and enclosures by stamping the enclosed additional copy of this letter.

We appreciate your attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John W. Thomson", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

John W. Thomson
Senior Attorney

Enclosures

**Kathryn I Croke
52 Ames Street
Onancock, VA 23417**

November 20, 2004

Robert S. Feit
Vice President-Law and Secretary
AT&T Corp., Room 3A123
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752

Dear Mr. Feit:


I hereby submit the attached stockholder proposal for inclusion in the Company's next proxy statement as allowed under Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 14a-8. I plan to introduce and speak for our resolution at the Company's 2005 Annual Meeting.

My resolution requests that the Board of Directors seek shareholder approval in advance for all future or renewed severance agreements with the Company's executive officers, including so-called "golden parachute" and "golden good-bye" severance agreements, that provide more generous pay-outs than the retirement plan available to other senior managers. I have included a brief supporting statement for publication in the proxy statement.

I have continuously held the requisite number of shares of common stock for more than one year. I intend to maintain ownership position through the date of the 2004 Annual Meeting. A copy of the Equiserve documentation of one of my AT&T share accounts with 219 shares is attached.

Thank you in advance for including my proposal in the Company's next definitive proxy statement. If you need any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,



Kathryn I. Croke

Enclosures

SHAREHOLDER VOTE ON "GOLDEN PARACHUTE" AGREEMENTS

Kathryn I. Croke, 52 Ames Street, Onancock, Virginia 23417, who owns 219 shares of the Company's common stock, intends to introduce the following proposal for action by the stockholders at the 2005 Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED: The shareholders of AT&T urge our Board to seek shareholder approval for future severance agreements with senior executives, including "golden parachute" and "golden good-bye" severance agreements, which provide benefits exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the executive's base salary plus bonus.

We define "golden parachutes" as severance provisions triggered when executives resign or are terminated after a change in corporate control; "golden good-byes" are defined as severance agreements which, absent a change in control, are triggered when executives are terminated, retire or resign. "Benefits" include the present value of all payments (in cash or in kind) not already earned or vested prior to termination, including lump sum payments, perquisites, consulting fees and the accelerated vesting of equity grants.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

We believe overly generous severance agreements are the most costly, wasteful and counter-productive form of executive compensation.

AT&T's severance agreements are unjustifiably costly and contrary to long-term shareholder interests, in our view. Under AT&T's Senior Officer Separation Plan, eligible officers can receive the following payouts for termination within two years after a "change in control," defined to include situations where another entity acquires as little as 20% of the Company's voting stock and never actually takes control:

- 300% of base salary plus target annual bonus.
- 300% of the fair market value of restricted stock and stock appreciation rights granted the year the change in control occurs.
- A "gross-up payment" to reimburse federal excise tax liability for "excess parachute payments."

On top of this golden parachute, a platinum lining is written into selected individual employment agreements.

For example, if CEO Dorman resigns for "Good Reason," or is terminated "without Cause," he is eligible for the above *plus* accelerated vesting of stock options and restricted stock. Dorman also receives Senior Management medical and life insurance coverage for life. Plus, if he terminates after a change in control, a "pension parachute" triggers extra years of service credit under Dorman's "Special Individual Pension

Arrangement,” increasing his guaranteed annual pension-payment-for-life from 34.7% to 45.5% of final average total cash compensation (assuming termination in 2005).

Shareowners might have a different view about whether Dorman’s severance package, worth over \$10 million, creates value at a company in decline. Bloomberg reported last October that “[s]hares of AT&T have fallen 49% since Dorman, now 50, took over for former chief executive C. Michael Armstrong.”

We believe lucrative parachutes reward the very under-performance that can precipitate a change in control and are unnecessary given AT&T’s high levels of executive compensation.

We also believe multi-million dollar parachutes are inappropriate when AT&T is laying off tens of thousands of workers and cutting the benefits of retirees.

Shareholders should be given a chance to ratify such agreements, in our view, providing valuable feedback to the Board. We expect shareholder scrutiny will encourage restraint and strengthen the hand of the Board’s compensation committee.

Nevertheless, because prior shareholder approval is not always practical, under this proposal the Company has the option to seek approval after the material terms are agreed upon.



[Home](#) | [About UPS](#) | [Contact UPS](#) | [Welcome Center](#)

UPS Uni

Shipping | **Tracking** | **Support** | **Business Solutions**

Tracking

Log-In User ID: Password: | [Forgot Password](#)

- [Track by Tracking Number](#)
- [Track by Reference Number](#)
- [Import Tracking Numbers](#)
- [Track by E-mail](#)
- [Access Quantum View](#)
- [Void a Shipment](#)
- [Help](#)

Track by Tracking Number

View Details

Status: Delivered
Delivered on: Nov 29, 2004 9:38 A.M.
Signed by: J HAVICK
Location: DOCK
Delivered to: BEDMINSTER, NJ, US
Shipped or Billed on: Nov 23, 2004

Tracking Number: 1Z A87 02E 02 4489 321 1
Service Type: 2ND DAY AIR

Package Progress:

Date/Time	Location	Activity
Nov 29, 2004 9:38 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	DELIVERY
Nov 26, 2004 8:35 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	THE RECEIVER IS ON HOLIDAY. DELIVERY ATTEMPTED WHEN TI RECEIVER RETURNS; WILL BE DELIVERED BUSINESS DAY-NO S GUARANTEE
8:35 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	OUT FOR DELIVERY
5:18 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	OUT FOR DELIVERY
5:17 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
Nov 25, 2004 4:54 A.M.	PHILADELPHIA, PA, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
Nov 24, 2004 11:20 P.M.	PHILADELPHIA, PA, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
5:36 A.M.	HODGKINS, IN, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
5:00 A.M.	HODGKINS, IN, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
3:56 A.M.	ADDISON, IL, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
Nov 23, 2004 10:12 P.M.	ADDISON, IL, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
9:45 P.M.	PALATINE, IL, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
8:24 P.M.	PALATINE, IL, US	ORIGIN SCAN
7:16 P.M.	US	BILLING INFORMATI RECEIVED

Tracking results provided by UPS: Jan 4, 2005 12:26 P.M. Eastern Time (USA)

NOTICE: UPS authorizes you to use UPS tracking systems solely to track shipments tende you to UPS for delivery and for no other purpose. Any other use of UPS tracking systems a information is strictly prohibited.

[← Back to Tracking Summary](#)

[↑ Back to Top](#)

Get more from UPS.com. Register for My UPS today.

Find Answers to Your Tracking Questions

→ [Go to Tracking Number FAQ](#)



Donna M. Grillo
Office of the Corporate Secretary

Room 3A154E
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921
908-532-1982 (Voice)
908-234-7833 (Fax)
donnagrillo@att.com

November 30, 2004

Ms. Kathryn I. Croke
52 Ames Street
Onancock VA 23417

Via FedEx

Dear Ms. Croke:

This is in response to your letter postmarked November 20, 2004, to the Vice President - Law and Secretary, which AT&T received on November 29, regarding a request to include a shareowner proposal in the 2005 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

The inclusion of a shareowner proposal in a company's proxy materials, and the conditions that must be met by the proponent, are governed by the rules of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Specifically, Regulation 240.14a-8 (Proposals of Security Holders) requires that the proposal be presented at the annual meeting of shareowners by either the proponent or the proponent's representative, who is qualified under state law to present the proposal on the proponent's behalf.

In the November 20 correspondence, you duly provided proof of ownership as required by the SEC, and our transfer agent, EquiServe, verified your account. You also noted that these shares have been held for more than one year and will be held through the date of the Company's annual meeting.

We appreciate your interest in our Company.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Donna M. Grillo".

cc: Ms. Lani Gill Flesch



Donna M. Grillo
Office of the Corporate Secretary

Room 3A154E
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921
908-532-1982 (Voice)
908-234-7833 (Fax)
donnagrillo@att.com

December 2, 2004

Ms. Kathryn I. Croke
52 Ames Street
Onancock VA 23417

Via FedEx

Dear Ms. Croke:

This letter is a follow up to my previous correspondence dated November 30, 2004, with respect to your request to include a shareowner proposal in the 2005 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

Upon further review, it was determined that the proposal was received late. Under the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rule 14a-8(e), a proposal must be received at the issuer's principal executive offices by the date listed in the previous year's proxy statement as the deadline for receiving such proposals. The Company's 2004 proxy statement listed November 27, 2004, as the deadline for receiving shareowner proposals. Your proposal was not received by the Company until November 29, 2004, which is after the deadline set forth in Rule 14a-8(e) and the 2004 proxy statement.

For the foregoing reason, we respectfully request that you withdraw your proposal.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Donna M. Grillo".

cc: Ms. Lani Gill Flesch

**Kathryn I Croke
52 Ames Street
Onancock, VA 23417**

December 14, 2004

Donna Grillo
Room 3A154E
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921
donnaqrillo@att.com

Dear Ms. Grillo:


This letter is a follow-up to your correspondence on December 2, 2004 in which you stated that my shareholder proposal was received late based on the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rule 14a-8(e).

My shareholder proposal was sent on Tuesday, 11/23, via 2nd day air. UPS scheduled delivery for Friday, 11/26 – within the required deadline. UPS was unable to make the delivery as scheduled because AT&T closed on Friday.

While AT&T did not accept the proposal until November 29, it was sent and delivery attempted prior to the cut-off date. November 26 was not an official federal holiday and a reasonable person would assume that a successful delivery could have been made on that day.

Therefore, I will not withdraw my proposal and I respectfully suggest that you withdraw the determination that the proposal was received late or seek a decision from the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Sincerely,



Kathryn I. Croke

cc: Lani Gill Flesch
Carol Bourdette

December 2, 2004

Carol Bourdette
Director
AT&T Corp.
One AT&T Way
3A130
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752

Re: 2005 Annual Meeting
Shareholder Vote on "Golden Parachute" Agreements

Dear Carol,

As you are aware from our recent voicemail conversations, I submitted the above-noted proposal for shipment, via UPS 2nd Day Air, on Tuesday, November 23. In tracking the delivery of this package, I have identified that the shipment was not delivered until Monday, November 29.

Per your request, I am submitting the following documentation as proof that this package was submitted in time to more than adequately meet the Saturday, November 27 5:00 PM EST deadline:

- The UPS Store Parcel Shipping Order dated November 23, 2004. Note that 2nd Day Air was requested, with NO exemptions.
- The UPS Store Shipping Receipt dated November 23, 2004 and totaling \$19.65.
- UPS Package Tracking Document for Tracking # 1Z A87 02E 02 4489 321 1
 - Shipment Date: November 23, 2004
 - Service Type: 2nd Day Air
 - Actual Delivery Date: November 29, 2004
6 days later and 4 days past the guaranteed delivery date of November 25, 2004

I am concerned why a deadline of November 27 was identified, when no one appears to have been "on site" to receive deliveries on this date or previous dates leading up to this date. In any event, thank you in advance for your anticipated support in this regard.

Sincerely,



Lani Gill Flesch
1331 Downs Parkway
Libertyville, Illinois 60048

Cc: Kathryn I Croke

Enclosures

The UPS Store PARCEL SHIPPING ORDER

Rev. 6/03

10 Years of Excellence

Carol

708-532-1941

CUSTOMER (please print)

PRINT NAME *Lani B. Flesch* DATE *11/23/07*
 STREET *1331 Downs Park* PHONE *849-481-1607*
 CITY/STATE/ZIP *Port Jervis, NY 13457* E-MAIL *lanib@downspark.com*

PARCEL SHIPPING ORDER
 No. *45149094*

PKG	SENT TO:	LIST ALL CONTENTS	DECLARED VALUE	C.O.D. AMT.	ZONE	WT.	DIM.	CK ONE	Pkg. Charges Amt.	Type
A	NAME <i>PROJECTS ACIT</i> STREET <i>Vice President - Law</i> CITY/STATE/ZIP <i>ATIT CORP ROOM 3A1237</i> E-MAIL <i>BADWINSTER@EPSON.J</i>	<i>Secretarium</i> <i>3A1237</i>	\$	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RESIDENTIAL <input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL BREAKABLE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO SEE #3 BELOW			<input type="checkbox"/> PACKED BY CUSTOMER REPLACEABLE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO SEE BACK	<input type="checkbox"/> SONIC AIR <input type="checkbox"/> EARLY A.M. <input type="checkbox"/> NEXT DAY AIR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2ND DAY AIR <input type="checkbox"/> 3 DAY SELECT <input type="checkbox"/> GROUND <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<i>14.65</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> SHIP CHG <input type="checkbox"/> DEC VAL <input type="checkbox"/> COD <input type="checkbox"/> PKG LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> PKG MAT <i>5.00</i>
B	NAME STREET CITY/STATE/ZIP E-MAIL:	<i>LETTERS</i> <i>DRAPES</i>	\$	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENTIAL <input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL BREAKABLE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO SEE #3 BELOW			<input type="checkbox"/> PACKED BY CUSTOMER REPLACEABLE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO SEE BACK	<input type="checkbox"/> SONIC AIR <input type="checkbox"/> EARLY A.M. <input type="checkbox"/> NEXT DAY AIR <input type="checkbox"/> 2ND DAY AIR <input type="checkbox"/> 3 DAY SELECT <input type="checkbox"/> GROUND <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER		<input type="checkbox"/> SHIP CHG <input type="checkbox"/> DEC VAL <input type="checkbox"/> COD <input type="checkbox"/> PKG LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> PKG MAT
C	NAME STREET CITY/STATE/ZIP E-MAIL:	<i>ANNUAL MEET</i>	\$	<input type="checkbox"/> RESIDENTIAL <input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL BREAKABLE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO SEE #3 BELOW			<input type="checkbox"/> PACKED BY CUSTOMER REPLACEABLE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO SEE BACK	<input type="checkbox"/> SONIC AIR <input type="checkbox"/> EARLY A.M. <input type="checkbox"/> NEXT DAY AIR <input type="checkbox"/> 2ND DAY AIR <input type="checkbox"/> 3 DAY SELECT <input type="checkbox"/> GROUND <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER		<input type="checkbox"/> SHIP CHG <input type="checkbox"/> DEC VAL <input type="checkbox"/> COD <input type="checkbox"/> PKG LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> PKG MAT

1. Subject to the terms and conditions herein, this The UPS Store™ center ("we" or "us") will receive and forward parcels for customer ("you"). The carrier for all parcels is accepted by you. We shall be UPS unless otherwise noted. Other carriers accepted from you are subject to refusal for shipment by the carrier. You represent that your true name and address appear above.

2. We do not accept hazardous material, illegal items or articles of unusual value, including but not limited to cash and jewelry for shipment.

3. We assume no liability for the delivery of the parcels accepted for shipment or for damage by any cause to the parcels or their contents while in transit. We are not liable for the failure of the carrier to properly collect or remit funds for C.O.D. parcels. A recipient's form of payment is accepted for C.O.D. by the carrier. You assume all risk. You acknowledge that you have read the CUSTOMER COPY www.theupsstore.com

and understand the instructions on the C.O.D. Tag and further agree that you accept all risk, including but not limited to, risk of nonpayment, insufficient funds and forgery. You further agree that we will not be liable upon any such instrument.
 (Continued on back).

CUSTOMER'S SIGNATURE
[Signature]
 I certify that I agree to the terms and conditions herein and that I understand completely the value of each package listed, its contents and complete contents and I agree to pay for the same.
 SIGN HERE X

SUB-TOTAL \$ *19.65*
 TAX
TOTAL CHARGES \$ *19.65*

CENTER # *Thank You 1319*
 EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

***** SALE *****

Mail Boxes Etc.
Making Business Easier. Worldwide.

Shift:0424 Drw:01 ID:1119 Clerk:Jeff
11/23/04 16:31:39

Center #1319
872 S MILWAUKEE AVE
LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048
Phone 847-918-0181

Qty	Description	Unit	Ext
1	Shipping	14.65	14.65
1	Shipping	5.00	5.00
	Sub Total:		19.65
	Total Sale:		19.65
	Check:		19.65
	Change:		0.00

The UPS Store
formerly Mail Boxes Etc.

Visit our Web Site at: WWW.MBE.COM



[Home](#) | [About UPS](#) | [Contact UPS](#) | [Welcome Center](#)

Tracking

Log-In User ID: Password: [Forgot Password](#) [Reset](#)

- [Track by Tracking Number](#)
- [Track by Reference Number](#)
- [Import Tracking Numbers](#)
- [Track by E-mail](#)
- [Get Quantum View Files](#)
- [Request Quantum View Notify](#)
- [Void a Shipment](#)
- [Help](#)

Track by Tracking Number

[View Details](#)

Status: Delivered
Delivered on: Nov 29, 2004 9:38 A.M.
Signed by: J HAVICK
Location: DOCK
Delivered to: BEDMINSTER, NJ, US
Shipped or Billed on: Nov 23, 2004

Tracking Number: 1Z A87 02E 02 4489 321 1
Service Type: 2ND DAY AIR

Package Progress:

Date/Time	Location	Activity
Nov 29, 2004 9:38 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	DELIVERY
Nov 26, 2004 8:35 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	THE RECEIVER IS ON A HOLIDAY. DELIVERY WILL ATTEMPTED WHEN THE RECEIVER RETURNS;PACK WILL BE DELIVERED NEXT BUSINESS DAY-NO SATUR GUARANTEE
8:35 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	OUT FOR DELIVERY
5:18 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	OUT FOR DELIVERY
5:17 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
Nov 25, 2004 4:54 A.M.	PHILADELPHIA, PA, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
Nov 24, 2004 11:20 P.M.	PHILADELPHIA, PA, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
5:36 A.M.	HODGKINS, IN, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
5:00 A.M.	HODGKINS, IN, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
3:56 A.M.	ADDISON, IL, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
Nov 23, 2004 10:12 P.M.	ADDISON, IL, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
9:45 P.M.	PALATINE, IL, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
8:24 P.M.	PALATINE, IL, US	ORIGIN SCAN
7:16 P.M.	US	BILLING INFORMATION RECEIVED

Tracking results provided by UPS: Nov 30, 2004 12:26 P.M. Eastern Time (USA)

NOTICE: UPS authorizes you to use UPS tracking systems solely to track shipments tendered by you to UPS for delivery and for no other purpose. Any other use of UPS tracking systems and information is strictly prohibited.

[← Back to Tracking Summary](#)

[↑ Back to Top](#)

Get more from UPS.com. Register for My UPS today.

Find Answers to Your Tracking Questions

→ [Go to Tracking Number FAQ](#)

(SEC No-Action Letter)

*1 AT&T Corp.
Publicly Available January 6, 1999

LETTER TO SEC

December 21, 1998

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE

450 FIFTH STREET, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Re: AT&T Corp.

Shareholder Proposal Submitted by

Mark Seidenberg

Rule 14a-8/Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, AT&T Corp. ("AT&T" or the "Company") hereby gives notice of its intention to omit from its proxy statement and form of proxy for the Company's 1999 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (collectively the "Proxy Materials") a proposal and supporting statement (the "Proposal") submitted by Mark Seidenberg (the "Proponent") by letter received on November 30, 1998. Enclosed herewith are six (6) copies of the Proposal.

AT&T requests the concurrence of the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the "Division") that no enforcement action will be recommended if AT&T omits the Proposal from its Proxy Materials.

The Company would appreciate the Division's response to its request prior to January 20, 1999 which is the date of the meeting of the Company's Board of Directors at which the Proxy Materials will be approved.

The Proposal is as follows: "[b]e it resolved by the stockholders to adopt the following by-law on tax reporting in the annual report:

'Section _____ (insert appropriate section number)

1. A tabulation of taxes on the corporation for the fiscal year, including totals for income taxes, payroll taxes, property taxes, sales taxes, bank taxes, utility taxes, and any others that the board of directors determines, and a total of all of these tabulated taxes.
2. A presentation of taxes per share, using the total tax figure described in 1 above and the number of shares that is used in computing earning per share according [to] the generally-accepted accounting principles [.]
3. A tabulation of taxes collected by the corporation, including payroll withholdings from employees, excise and sales taxes from customers, and any others that the board of directors determines.

This section may be amended only by the stockowners, and such amendment shall require
Copr. © 2004 West. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

a majority of shares entitled to vote."'

AT&T has concluded that the Proposal may be properly omitted from its Proxy Materials pursuant to the provisions of Rule 14a-8(e)(2).

The specific reasons why the Company deems omissions to be proper and the legal support for such conclusions are discussed below.

I. THE PROPOSAL MAY BE OMITTED UNDER RULE 14a-8(e)(2) BECAUSE THE PROPOSAL WAS NOT RECEIVED AT THE ISSUER'S PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES IN A TIMELY MANNER

Under Rule 14a-8(e)(2) a proposal may be omitted if the proposal is not received at the issuer's principal executive offices not less than 120 days in advance of the date of the issuer's proxy statement released to security holders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting. The Company's 1998 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement states the following:

*2 "Proposals intended for inclusion in next year's proxy statement should be sent to: Vice President-Law and Secretary, AT&T Corp., 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10013-2412, and must be received by November 26, 1998."

The Proposal, a copy of which is attached hereto, which is dated and post-marked November 25, 1998, was received by the Company on Monday, November 30, 1998, several days after the deadline. Although the deadline was the Thanksgiving holiday, the Division has on many occasions stated that when the 120th day falls on a holiday or weekend, the proposal must be received the prior day in order to be filed in a timely manner. Therefore, the Company believes that the Proposal may be omitted under Rule 14a-8(e)(2) because it was not received by the Company in a timely manner. See: American Stores Company, February 8, 1994 (proposal received two days late on January 3, 1994 when executive offices reopened after the New Year's holiday weekend), Westinghouse Electric Corporation, December 28, 1995 (proposal received one day late due to the Veterans Day holiday weekend), and Bindley Western Industries, Inc., February 21, 1997 (proposal received one day late on Monday, December 2, 1996).

Based on the foregoing, the Company hereby respectfully requests that the Staff agree that it will not recommend any enforcement action if the Proposal is excluded under Rule 14a-8(e)(2).

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(d), the Company, by copy of this letter, is notifying the Proponent of its intention to omit the Proposal from the Proxy Materials.

Should you have any questions or comments regarding the foregoing, please contact the undersigned at (908) 221-7325. Please acknowledge receipt of this letter and enclosures by stamping the enclosed additional copy of this letter and returning it in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope.

We appreciate your attention to this request.

Very truly yours,
John W. Thomson

Senior Attorney

AT&T

Room 1210P2

295 North Maple Avenue

Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

908 221 7325

ENCLOSURE

November 25, 1998

VICE PRESIDENT-LAW AND SECRETARY OF THE CORPORATION
AT&T CORP.
32 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10013-2412

Dear Mr. Secretary:

As a stockowner, I am submitting the enclosed resolution on tax burden reporting at the upcoming 1999 annual meeting. It and the supporting statement should thus be published in the proxy statement for that meeting.

I am the current owner of 100 shares of AT&T common stock which I have owned continuously for several years, and I intend to own these shares at least through the upcoming 1999 annual meeting. I intend to present the resolution either personally or by representative.

Please let me know AT&T management's position.

Sincerely,
Mark Seidenberg

RESOLUTION FOR TAX BURDEN REPORTING

Be it resolved by the stockowners to adopt the following by-law on tax reporting in the annual report:

*3 "Section _____ (insert appropriate section number)

In each annual report there shall be appear in the notes to the financial statements:

1. A tabulation of taxes on the corporation for the fiscal year, including totals for income taxes, payroll taxes, property taxes, sales taxes, bank taxes, utility taxes, and any others that the board of directors determines, and a total of all of these tabulated taxes.
2. A presentation of taxes per share, using the total tax figure described in 1 above and the number of shares that is used in computing earnings per share according the generally-accepted accounting principles
3. A tabulation of taxes collected by the corporation, including payroll withholdings from employees, excise and sales taxes from customers, and any others that the board of directors determines.

This section may be amended only by the stockowners, and such amendment shall require a majority of shares entitled to vote."

Supporting Statement:

Numerous taxes are imposed on our corporation. We deserve to know how much they add up to. We also deserve to know how much the government gets out of our corporation in comparison to us stockowners, i.e. taxes per share in comparison with earnings per share.

Many companies already report on their total taxes and their taxes per share. These include Amoco, DPL, Flowers Industries, General Mills, Johnson & Johnson, Merrill Lynch, and Winn-Dixie Stores.

1999 WL 5184 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter)

(Cite as: 1999 WL 5184 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter))

Taxes are the only kind of expense that we stockowners can help our corporation directly with. We can help influence tax reductions. It would not surprise me to find out that the taxes on Chase equal two or more times our net income.

I can't imagine why AT&T management would not want us to know how much tax burden we sustain. But as you can see, it opposes this resolution. Please read the board's statement to find out why it wants to keep us in the dark.

Decide for yourself. I urge a "Yes" vote.

LETTER TO SEC

December 28, 1998

OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL

DIVISION ON CORPORATION FINANCE

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

Re: Stockowner proposal--AT&T Corp.

Dear Sir:

This letter is in response to a letter of December 21, 1998, from AT&T Senior Attorney John O. Thomson which states management wants to omit my proposal due to a late submission.

I submit that AT&T made itself unavailable to receive the proposal on the deadline date, i.e., Thanksgiving Day, November 26, and that when it re-opened for business, the letter was delivered. Had it been open on the 26th, the letter could well have been delivered then.

Please don't allow this evasive tactic to permit AT&T to avoid its responsibility.

Sincerely,
Mark Seidenberg

SEC LETTER

1934 Act / s -- / Rule 14A-8

January 6, 1999

Publicly Available January 6, 1999

Re: AT&T Corp.

Incoming letter dated December 21, 1998

The proposal, if adopted, would amend AT&T's by-laws to require certain tax reporting in the notes to the financial statements in annual reports.

*4 There appears to be some basis for your view that AT&T may exclude the proposal under rule 14a-8(e)(2) because AT&T received it after the deadline for submitting proposals. We note in particular your representation that AT&T received the proposal after its November 26, 1998 deadline. Accordingly, we will not recommend enforcement action to the Commission if AT&T omits the proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on rule 14a-8(e)(2).

Sincerely,

Carolyn Sherman

Special Counsel

Securities and Exchange Commission (S.E.C.)

1999 WL 5184 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter)

END OF DOCUMENT

(SEC No-Action Letter)

*1 Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Publicly Available December 28, 1995

LETTER TO SEC

December 20, 1995

Securities and Exchange Commission

450 5th Street, N.W.

Judiciary Plaza

Washington, DC 20549

Attn: Office of the Chief Counsel

Division of Corporation Finance

Room 3026

Re: Request Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(d) to Omit Shareholder Proposals from Proxy Statement

Dear Sir/Madam:

The management of Westinghouse Electric Corporation ("Company") intends to omit from its Proxy Statement for its 1996 Annual Meeting of shareholders the proposal submitted by Rollin R. Brandenburg and Elaine M. Brandenburg, 2715 Russell Road, Winthrop Harbor, IL 60096-1103. A copy of the proponents' proposal and supporting statement is attached hereto.

The Company believes that the proposal may be omitted from its Proxy Statement for the 1996 Annual Meeting of Shareholders for the reasons set forth below. The Company requests the concurrence of the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the "Division" or the "Staff") that no enforcement action will be recommended if the Company omits the proposal from its Proxy Statement. To the extent that this letter relates to matters of law, this letter should be deemed to be the supporting opinion of counsel required by Rule 14a-8(d)(4) of the General Rules and Regulations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act").

1. The proposal was not timely submitted.

Rule 14a-8(a)(3) provides that a proposal must be received "at the registrant's principal executive offices not less than 120 calendar days in advance of the date of the registrant's proxy statement released to security holders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting ..." in order to be presented at the annual meeting.

The Company's proxy statement for its 1995 annual meeting, that was dated and mailed to its shareholders on March 10, 1995, clearly stated that, "to be considered for inclusion in the proxy materials relating to the 1996 Annual Meeting, shareholder proposals must be received at the principal executive offices of Westinghouse on or before November 12, 1995." The proponents' proposal, which was dated November 8, 1995, was not received until November 13, 1995, one day past the deadline. Accordingly, the proponents were not in compliance with Rule 14a-8(a)(3). At the time the 1995 Proxy Statement was prepared, the Company contemplated April 24, 1996 as its annual meeting date and it has no intention of changing this date.

The Division has consistently agreed with companies that have decided to omit shareholder proposals from proxy statements which were not timely submitted. See, American Stores Company (February 8, 1994) (company's proxy statement clearly stated that shareholder proposals must be received no later than January 1, 1994, but the company received proponent's letter, dated December 30, 1993, on January 3, 1994, two days late--company's executive offices were closed December 31, 1993 through January 2, 1994 for the New Year's holiday weekend); Texaco Inc. (December 29, 1993) (company's proxy statement clearly stated that shareholders may present proposals if they are received no later than December 6, 1993, but proponent's letter, dated November 29, 1993 and postmarked December 6, 1993, was received on December 7, 1993, one day late); and Rockwell International Corp. (November 24, 1989). Similar to the situation in American Stores Company, the proponents' proposal, which was dated November 8, 1995, was not received at the Company's offices until November 13, 1995, one day past the deadline. There was no mail delivery on either November 11, 1995, because of the Veterans Day holiday, nor Sunday, November 12, 1995.

2. The proposal does not pertain to a proper subject for action by security holders.

*2 This proposal does not pertain to proper subject for action by security holders under the laws of the Company's domicile, and therefore may be omitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8(c)(1). The Company is incorporated in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The subject of employee compensation falls within the general corporate powers to be exercised by the board of directors pursuant to Sections 1502 and 1721 of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Act. See, Kmart Corporation (March 11, 1994) (proposal would not pertain to improper subject for shareholder action if revised as a request or recommendation, rather than a mandate, that the board restructure the executive bonus plan). Since this proposal would interfere with the board's discretion in setting compensation for Company personnel, the proposal may be omitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8(c)(1).

3. The proposal is vague, indefinite and potentially misleading.

This proposal is vague, indefinite and potentially misleading under Rule 14a-9, and therefore may be omitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8(c)(3). Beyond the vague statements that compensation should be tied to the stock performance for the previous year, and that bonuses be held until the basis for the bonus has been proven, the proponents have not specified how they desire management compensation to be calculated. Without a more definitive statement, the Company's shareholders could be misled about the effect of the proposal, if adopted, and the Company would be unable to confirm compliance. The Commission has permitted shareholder proposals to be omitted where the ambiguity of the proposals made it unclear how solicited shareholders would interpret them, or how the company could implement them if approved. Duquesne Light Company (January 6, 1981). Since the proposal at issue is vague, indefinite and potentially misleading, it may be omitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8(c)(3).

4. The proposal deals with matters relating to the conduct of the Company's ordinary business operations.

The proposal may be excluded from the Company's proxy statement for its the 1996 Annual Meeting pursuant to the provisions of Rule 14a-8(c)(7) which provides that an issuer may omit a proposal in its proxy statement "if the proposal deals with a matter relating to the conduct of the ordinary business operations of the issuer." This proposal seeks to hold the payment of bonuses until the basis for the bonus has proven to be profitable. The payment of bonuses to officers and employees are matters relating to the conduct of the ordinary business operations of the Company. The Staff has consistently held that proposals relating to general compensation policy (as distinguished from senior executive compensation) may be properly omitted

under paragraph (c) (7) as dealing with ordinary business operations. See Cracker Barrel Old Country Store Inc. (October 13, 1992); Grumman Corporation (February 13, 1992); Battle Mountain Gold Company (February 13, 1991).

In addition, the Staff has consistently taken the position that the determination of the amount and form of employee compensation, including bonus awards, is part of the conduct of an issuer's ordinary business operations and that such shareholder proposals relating to employee compensation may be omitted from an issuer's proxy materials under Rule 14a-8(c)(7). See, Emerson Radio Corp. (February 29, 1988); Chrysler Corporation (March 28, 1985); and Nuclear Data, Inc. (March 28, 1980). This particular proposal does not limit the withholding of bonuses to senior executive personnel.

*3 The changing of the design and structure of the compensation package provided by the Company to its officers and employees, of which bonuses are a part, requires an evaluation of complex considerations. The determination of bonuses awarded to the officers and employees of the Company requires consideration of each individual's background and contribution to the Company. These matters are not subjects on which shareholders are qualified to make an informed judgment.

A copy of this letter is being mailed to Rollin R. and Elaine M. Brandenburg to notify them that the Company intends to omit their proposal from the Proxy Statement and Form of Proxy for the 1996 Annual Meeting, and to provide them with a statement of the reasons why management deems such omission to be proper. In accordance with Rule 14a-8(d), I am enclosing six copies of this letter and the attached exhibits. I am also enclosing one additional copy to be date-stamped and returned in the enclosed stamped, self-addressed envelope.

Sincerely,
Michael T. Sweeney

Assistant General Counsel

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION

11 Stanwix Street

Pittsburgh Pennsylvania 15222-1384

(412) 642 3343

ENCLOSURE

November 8, 1995

Rollin R. Brandenburg

Elaine M. Brandenburg

2715 Russell Road

Winthrop Harbor, Illinois 60096-1103

Account Number: 14144

Shares: 3700 Plus

This year again, the Stockholders of Westinghouse Electric Corporation will be asked to have faith and trust in the leadership of the Corporation. However, the Stockholders are again paying for the poor management practices that have taken

place over the past decade, i.e. Stock Prices have remained virtually stagnant for the past year and dividends are unchanged. At the same time, the previous leaders of the Corporation have walked away with high salaries and lavish long term bonuses that are not affected by these same poor leadership practices.

It is time for the Board of Directors to hold themselves and Senior Level Executives of the Corporation to the same standard that Hourly and Professional Employees adhere to, namely that their actions must be proven to be correct before they are rewarded for these actions. We as Stockholders have paid a severe price for Lawsuits, Real Estate Investments and Customers replacing equipment made by Competing Companies, while these past leaders are enjoying the fruits of failed faith and trust.

We propose that:

The Board of Directors review their Senior Level Executive compensation basis and consider the Stockholders, that must bear the brunt of poor leadership while making these decisions, similar to the following:

All Annual Base Salaries of Senior Level Executives be tied to stock performance for the previous year, e.g. an increase in stock value for the previous year warrants an increase in base salary, while a similar decrease in stock value warrants an equal reduction in base salary.

All bonuses be held until the basis for the bonus has proven to be profitable, e.g. the proposed CBS purchase may or may not be profitable. If it is, a bonus is proper, if it is not any bonus based on that decision must be rescinded.

*4 Rollin R. Brandenburg

Elaine M. Brandenburg

SEC LETTER

1934 Act / s -- / Rule 14A-8

December 28, 1995

Publicly Available December 28, 1995

Re: Westinghouse Electric Corporation (the "Company")

Incoming letter dated December 20, 1995

The proposal mandates that the board of directors review their senior level executive compensation basis and consider the stockholders, that must bear the brunt of poor leadership while making these decisions.

You state in your letter that the proposal was received by the Company on November 13, 1995. Rule 14a-8(a)(3) requires that a shareholder proposal be "received at the issuer's principal executive offices not less than 120 days in advance of the date of the issuer's proxy statement released to security holders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting of security holders...." According to your letter and the Company's 1995 proxy statement, the subject proposal should have been received by the management on or before November 12, 1995 in order to have been timely filed with the Company. In view of this information, this Division will not recommend any enforcement action to the Commission if the subject proposal is omitted from the Company's proxy materials. In reaching a position, the staff has not found it necessary to address the alternative basis for omission upon which the Company relies.

Sincerely,

Andrew A. Gerber

Attorney-Advisor

ENCLOSURE

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE

INFORMAL PROCEDURES REGARDING SHAREHOLDERS PROPOSALS

The Division of Corporation Finance believes that its responsibility with respect to matters arising under Rule 14a-8 [17 CFR 240.14a-8], as with other matters under the proxy rules, is to aid those who must comply with the rule by offering informal advice and suggestions and to determine, initially, whether or not it may be appropriate in a particular matter to recommend enforcement action to the Commission. In connection with a shareholder proposal under Rule 14a-8, the Division's staff considers the information furnished to it by the Company in support of its intention to exclude the proposals from the Company's proxy material, as well as any information furnished by the proponent or the proponent's representative.

Although Rule 14a-8(d) does not specifically provide for any communications from shareholders to the Commission's staff, the staff will always consider information concerning alleged violations of the statutes administered by the Commission, including argument as to whether or not activities proposed to be taken would be violative of the statute or rule involved. The receipt by the staff of such information, however, should not be construed as changing the staff's informal procedures and proxy review into a formal or adversary procedure.

It is important to note that the staff's and Commission's no-action responses to rule 14a-8(d) submissions reflect only informal views. The determinations reached in these no-action letters do not and cannot adjudicate the merits of a company's position with respect to the proposal. Only a court such as a U.S. District Court can decide whether a company is obligated to include shareholder proposals in its proxy material. Accordingly, a discretionary determination not to recommend or take Commission enforcement action, does not preclude a proponent, or any shareholder of a company, from pursuing any rights he or she may have against the company in court, should the management omit the proposal from the proxy material. The Commission staff's role in the shareholder process is explained further in this statement of the Division's Informal Procedures for Shareholder Proposals.

Securities and Exchange Commission (S.E.C.)

1995 WL 764301 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter)

END OF DOCUMENT

(SEC No-Action Letter)

*1 American Stores Company
Publicly Available February 8, 1994

LETTER TO SEC

January 17, 1994

Securities and Exchange Commission

450 Fifth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20549

Attention: Office of Chief Counsel

Division of Corporation Finance

Gentlemen:

On behalf of American Stores Company (the "Company") and pursuant to Rule 14a-8(d) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we are enclosing six copies of a shareholder proposal filed by Raymondale Investment Corporation (the "Proponent"), together with certain supporting materials, and five copies of this letter. The Company intends to omit the proposal from its proxy materials for its 1994 Annual Meeting [FN1] under Rule 14a-8(a)(3).

FN1 While the proposal states that it is for the 1993 Annual Meeting, the Company is assuming the proponent intended to include it in the proxy materials for the 1994 Annual Meeting.

Rule 14a-8(a)(3)(i) requires that, to be presented to an annual meeting, a proposal must be received "at the registrant's principal executive offices not less than 120 calendar days in advance of the date of the registrant's proxy statement released to security holders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting...." The Company has no current intention of changing the 1994 annual meeting date of June 21, 1994, which is the date contemplated at the time of the 1993 Proxy Statement.

The Company's proxy statement for its 1993 annual meeting was dated and released to stockholders on April 30, 1993, and clearly stated "Any proper shareholder proposal to be included in the Company's proxy statement for the 1994 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must be received by the Company no later than January 1, 1994...." On January 3, 1994, two days late, the Company received Proponent's letter which was dated December 30, 1993. The Company's executive offices were closed on December 31, 1993 through January 2, 1994 for the New Year's holiday weekend. Accordingly, Proponent was not in compliance with Rule 14a-8(a)(3), which required that its Proposal be received by January 1, 1994.

The Division has, on numerous occasions, found that a registrant could omit a shareholder proposal submitted in contravention of Rule 14a-8(a)(3). See Texaco Inc. (December 29, 1993) (one day late); International Business Machines Corporation (January 26, 1993) (one day late); Gillette Co. (available January 2, 1990) (one day late); Philadelphia Electric Company (available January 31, 1990) (one day late); and Rockwell International Corp. (available November 24, 1989) (one day late).

By copy of this letter, we are notifying the Proponent that we intend to omit their proposal from our proxy materials for the reasons set forth herein.

Please acknowledge receipt of the enclosed copy of this letter and return it in the enclosed envelope.

Very truly yours,
Eric S. Robinson

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

51 West 52nd Street

*2 New York, N.Y. 10019

Telephone: (212) 403-1000

ENCLOSURE

December 30, 1993

Secretary,

American Stores Company

PO Box 27447

Salt Lake City UT 84127-0447

709 East South Temple

Salt Lake City, UT 84102

RE: Shareholder Proposal and Supporting Statement for 1993 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Dear Sirs:

As authorized Officer of Raymondale Investment Corporation, I am requesting the Shareholder Proposal and Supporting Statement attached hereto as Exhibit "A" be included within the proxy materials to be presented to the Shareholders at the 1993 American Stores Company Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

In accordance therewith, I have submitted an Officer's Certification of the Resolutions adopted by the Board of Directors of Raymondale Investment Corporation, authorizing the submission of the proposal to American Stores Company, which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

Also attached to this letter as Exhibit "C" is an affidavit of Raymond T. Povalski, President of Raymondale Investment Corporation, verifying the dates upon which the securities of American Stores Company were acquired by Raymondale Investment Corporation and other information required pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

I look forward to the inclusion of this Shareholder Proposal and Supporting Statement in the proxy materials to be submitted to Shareholders and look forward to attending the 1993 Annual Meeting. Any responses or requests for additional information should be directed to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,
Raymond T. Povalski

ENCLOSURE

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL

The Shareholders of American Stores Company request our Board of Directors allow the Rights Agreement dated March 8, 1988, as amended, to lapse without renewal or extension, and not adopt or implement, without Shareholder approval, a new Rights Agreement at the expiration of the current Rights Agreement presently due to expire on March 18, 1998.

SEC LETTER

1934 Act / s -- / Rule 14a-8

February 8, 1994

Publicly Available February 8, 1994

Re: American Stores Company (the "Company")

Incoming letter dated January 17, 1994

The proposal requests that the Company decline to renew the Rights Agreement.

You state in your letter that the proposal was received by the Company on January 3, 1994. Rule 14a-8(a)(3) requires that a shareholder proposal be "received at the issuer's principal executive offices not less than 120 days in advance of the date of the issuer's proxy statement released to security holders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting of security holders ..." According to your letter, the subject proposal should have been received by the management on or before January 1, 1994 in order to have been timely filed with the Company. In view of this information, as set forth in your letter, this Division will not recommend any enforcement action to the Commission if the subject proposal is omitted from the Company's proxy materials.

*3 Sincerely,

Amy Bowerman Freed

Special Counsel

Securities and Exchange Commission (S.E.C.)

1994 WL 35496 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter)

END OF DOCUMENT

November 23, 2004

Vice President – Law and Corporate Secretary
AT&T Corp., Room 3A123
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752


Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing to you on behalf of Domini Social Investments, the manager of a socially responsible family of funds based on the Domini 400 Social Index, including the Domini Social Equity Fund, the nation's oldest and largest socially and environmentally screened index fund. Our funds' portfolio holds more than 219,000 shares of AT&T.

The attached proposal is submitted for inclusion in the next proxy statement in accordance with Rule 14a-8 of the General Rules and Regulations of the Securities Act of 1934. We have held more than \$2,000 worth of AT&T shares for greater than one year, and will maintain ownership of the required number of shares through the date of the next stockholders' annual meeting. A letter verifying our ownership of AT&T shares from Investors Bank and Trust, custodian of our Portfolio, is forthcoming under separate cover. A representative of Domini will attend the stockholders' meeting to move the resolution as required by SEC Rules.

We strongly believe the attached proposal is in the best interests of our company and its shareholders, and would be happy to discuss it with you. I can be reached at (212) 217-1027 or akanzer@domini.com.

Sincerely,


Adam Kanzer
General Counsel and Director of Shareholder Advocacy

Encl.



Executive Pension Benefits

RESOLVED: Shareholders request that the Board adopt a policy to seek shareholder approval of any future supplemental executive retirement plan (“SERP”) or individual retirement arrangement for senior executives that provides preferential benefit formulas or supplemental pension benefits not provided to other managers under the Company’s regular tax-qualified plan. Implementation of this policy shall not breach any existing employment agreement or vested benefit.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Companies establish SERPs to provide supplemental retirement benefits that exceed IRS limitations on benefits that can be paid from tax-qualified pension plans. In addition to its traditional SERP, which provides contributions on salary above the IRS limits, AT&T maintains a second SERP providing officers with additional contributions not available to other managers. AT&T also maintains “individual pensions” for certain officers that guarantee them lifetime pension annuities on far more generous terms than apply to other managers.

These plans together provide a substantial extra component of compensation. AT&T estimated that CEO Dorman and CFO Horton will receive *annual* payments of \$1.99 million and \$1.42 million, respectively, at age 65.

Unlike most companies with SERPs, AT&T also provides “individual non-qualified pension arrangements” to certain executive officers that have the effect of granting extra years of service credit. For example, after just four years of service, CEO Dorman is vested in a supplemental pension equal (in 2005) to 34.7% of his final three-year average total compensation – and he accrues 3.6% for each additional year of service (to a maximum 60%).

Dorman’s employment agreement also includes a “pension parachute.” If he terminates prior to 2010 due to a change in control, his minimum annual pension is boosted by an additional 10.8% of final compensation.

In comparison, prior to 1998, employees accrued 1.6% of final average pay per year of service under AT&T’s Management Pension Plan – and would have needed over 20 years service to replace 34.7% of salary in retirement. Moreover, in 1998 AT&T converted to a cash balance formula, freezing pension contributions for thousands of managers for up to 13 years, and reducing expected total benefits as much as 50% for some employees. A class action lawsuit regarding the conversion is currently pending in federal court.

As AT&T downsizes, we believe these gross disparities between the retirement security offered to senior executives and to other employees create potential morale problems and reputational risk, and may increase employee turnover.

Moreover, because these forms of pension compensation are not performance-based, they do not help to align management incentives with long-term shareholder interests. Shareholder approval of these benefits would help to ensure reasonable formulas for future agreements.

Because prior shareholder approval is often not practical, the Company would have the option to seek approval after the material terms of an executive’s employment agreement are determined.

(SEC No-Action Letter)

*1 USG Corp.
Publicly Available April 7, 2000

LETTER TO SEC

January 11, 2000

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE

450 FIFTH STREET, N. W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Re: USG Corp. - Omission of Stockholder Proposal Under Rule 14a-8(i)(11) -
Stockholder

Proposal Submitted by Jay Buchbinder

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), on behalf of USG Corp., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), we hereby give notice of the Company's intention to omit from its proxy statement and form of proxy for the Company's 2000 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (collectively, the "Proxy Materials") a proposal and supporting statement (the "Second Proposal") submitted by Mr. Jay Buchbinder (the "Proponent"), by a letter dated November 30, 1999 and received by the Company on December 1, 1999. A copy of the Proponent's letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The Company has concluded that the Second Proposal may be properly omitted from its Proxy Materials pursuant to the provisions of Rule 14a-8(i)(11) because it substantially duplicates another proposal previously submitted to the Company by Tom Hacker for Hakatak Enterprises, Inc. on December 1, 1999, attached hereto as Exhibit 2 (the "First Proposal" and, together with the Second Proposal, the "Proposals"), which will be included in the Proxy Materials.

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j) under the Act, enclosed are six (6) copies of this letter including the exhibits. By copy of this letter, the Company also has notified the Proponent of its intention to omit the Second Proposal from the Proxy Materials.

The Company respectfully requests the Staff of the Division of Corporation Finance (the "Staff") to confirm that it will not recommend enforcement action to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") if the Company omits the Second Proposal from the Proxy Materials.

Basis for Omission: The Second Proposal Substantially Duplicates the First
Proposal

Under Rule 14a-8(i)(11), a proposal may be omitted if the proposal substantially duplicates another proposal previously submitted to the company by another proponent that will be included in the company's proxy materials for the same meeting. By its terms, the First Proposal would require the Company to redeem or cancel its existing shareholder rights agreement (the "Rights Agreement") and would prohibit any new shareholder rights agreement from becoming effective unless it has been approved by the Company's stockholders. The Second Proposal requests that the Company redeem the

Rights Agreement and not implement a new shareholder rights agreement.

The Staff has consistently taken the position in various letters that proposals do not have to be identical to be excluded under Rule 14a-8(i)(11). For example, in a situation which is in our view completely analogous to the instant set of facts, the Staff concurred in Masco Corporation's omission of a proposal requesting that the board amend the bylaws to provide that the board consists of a majority of independent directors as substantially duplicative of an earlier proposal which by its terms provided for the adoption of a bylaw that would require a majority of the directors nominated by the board to be independent. Masco Corporation (March 27, 1992) (hereafter, "Masco"). Similarly and more recently, the Staff concurred in BellSouth Corporation's view that a proposal recommending the abolition of the company's incentive award program and its replacement with an incentive award tied to the stock price of the company was substantially duplicative of a prior proposal demanding the abolition of the company's incentive award program and its replacement with an incentive award program tied to revenue or dividend growth. BellSouth Corporation (January 14, 1999) (hereafter, "BellSouth"). In addition, the Staff concurred in General Electric's omission of (i) a proposal requesting that the board form a committee of four outside directors to evaluate the extent of violence in NBC's (a General Electric subsidiary) programming, to address certain significant issues related to violence in television programming, to identify options for modifying or reducing the level of violence in NBC's programming, to prepare recommendations to the board as to whether the corporation should adopt any of those identified options, and to prepare a report for the board and for shareholders who request copies, as substantially duplicative of (ii) a proposal requesting that the board review and report to shareholders about NBC's Program Standards and their implementation with regard to violence on television. General Electric Company (February 9, 1994) (hereafter, "GE"). See also, e.g., UAL Corporation (March 11, 1994) (proposal recommending a policy of secret ballot voting substantially duplicative of a proposal recommending a policy of confidential voting that would be suspended in the case of a proxy contest where non-management groups have access to voting results) (hereafter, "UAL").

*2 The test is whether the core issues to be addressed by the proposals are substantially the same, even though the proposals may differ somewhat in terms or breadth. See, e.g., Pinnacle West Capital Corporation (March 16, 1993) (proposal to tie any bonuses to the amount of dividends paid to shareholders substantially duplicative of a proposal to terminate all bonuses until a dividend of at least \$1.00 per share is paid) (hereafter, "Pinnacle"). The core issue addressed by both Proposals is the Company's maintenance and implementation of a shareholder rights agreement. Both Proposals seek to eliminate the existing Rights Agreement and to restrict the Company's board's ability to implement a new shareholder rights agreement. The Proposals are therefore substantially duplicative.

Though they differ somewhat in terms and breadth, the Proposals have the same thrust or focus and, therefore, are substantially duplicative. See, e.g., Pinnacle; Tri-Continental Corporation (March 2, 1998); Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc. (February 22, 1999); Polaroid Corporation (March 12, 1990). The fact that one Proposal requests an action to be taken while the other Proposal by its terms requires a substantially duplicative action to be taken does not prevent the Proposals from being substantially duplicative. See, e.g., Masco. Nor does the fact that one Proposal by its terms prohibits the implementation of a new shareholder rights agreement without shareholder approval while the other Proposal requests that the Company not implement a new shareholder rights agreement prevent the Proposals from being substantially duplicative. See, e.g., BellSouth; GE; and UAL. The subject matter and goals of the Proposals are substantially duplicative; they do not set forth materially different issues for the shareholders to vote on. Therefore, the Proposals are substantially duplicative. See, e.g., GE.

We believe that the purpose of Rule 14a-8(i)(11) is to prevent proponents from

clogging up management's proxy materials with several versions of essentially the same proposal. Both cover letters for the Proposals refer to the other Proposal; copy the same person (whom the Company believes is likely a commonly retained attorney); have the same date; and, based on the similarity of the format, of the numerical footers at the bottom of each page and of the respective Exhibit A's, may have been created on the same word processing system. To allow these substantially duplicative Proposals to be included in the Proxy Materials would eviscerate, and frustrate the policy behind, Rule 14a-8(i)(11).

The Staff has previously indicated that a registrant does not have the option of selecting between duplicative proposals but must include in its proxy materials the first of such proposals received. See, e.g., Pacific Enterprises (February 26, 1992). While both Proposals were received by the Company on December 1, 1999, the Company has advised us that the First Proposal was received by the Company earlier in the day on December 1, 1999 than the Second Proposal. Consequently, the Company plans to include the First Proposal in its Proxy Materials.

*3 Based on the foregoing, the Company hereby respectfully requests that the Staff agree that it will not recommend any enforcement action if the Second Proposal is in fact excluded from the Company's Proxy Materials under Rule 14a-8 (i) (11).

In the event that the Staff does not concur with the Company's position, we would appreciate an opportunity to confer with the Staff concerning this matter prior to the issuance of its Rule 14a-8 response. In such case, please contact the undersigned at (312) 861-2224.

We appreciate your attention to this request.

Sincerely,
Michael G. Timmers

KIRKLAND & ELLIS

200 East Randolph Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60601

312 861-2000

SEC LETTER

1934 Act / s -- / Rule 14A-8

April 7, 2000

Publicly Available April 7, 2000

Re: USG Corp.

Incoming letter dated January 11, 2000

The proposal requests that the board redeem the rights described in a particular rights agreement and not institute any other form of "poison pill."

There appears to be some basis for your view that USG may exclude the proposal under rule 14a-8(i)(11) as substantially duplicative of a previously submitted proposal, which will be included in USG's proxy materials. Accordingly, we will not recommend enforcement action to the Commission if USG omits the proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on rule 14a-8(i)(11).

2000 WL 382078 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter)
(Cite as: 2000 WL 382078 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter))

Sincerely,

Heather Maples

Attorney-Advisor

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE

INFORMAL PROCEDURES REGARDING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

The Division of Corporation Finance believes that its responsibility with respect to matters arising under Rule 14a-8 [17 CFR 240.14a-8], as with other matters under the proxy rules, is to aid those who must comply with the rule by offering informal advice and suggestions and to determine, initially, whether or not it may be appropriate in a particular matter to recommend enforcement action to the Commission. In connection with a shareholder proposal under Rule 14a-8, the Division's staff considers the information furnished to it by the Company in support of its intention to exclude the proposals from the Company's proxy materials, as well as any information furnished by the proponent or the proponent's representative.

Although Rule 14a-8(k) does not require any communications from shareholders to the Commission's staff, the staff will always consider information concerning alleged violations of the statutes administered by the Commission, including argument as to whether or not activities proposed to be taken would be violative of the statute or rule involved. The receipt by the staff of such information, however, should not be construed as changing the staff's informal procedures and proxy review into a formal or adversary procedure.

It is important to note that the staff's and Commission's no-action responses to Rule 14a-8(j) submissions reflect only informal views. The determinations reached in these no-action letters do not and cannot adjudicate the merits of a company's position with respect to the proposal. Only a court such as a U.S. District Court can decide whether a company is obligated to include shareholder proposals in its proxy materials. Accordingly a discretionary determination not to recommend or take Commission enforcement action, does not preclude a proponent, or any shareholder of a company, from pursuing any rights he or she may have against the company in court, should the management omit the proposal from the company's proxy material.

Securities and Exchange Commission (S.E.C.)

2000 WL 382078 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter)

END OF DOCUMENT



Legal Office
P.O. Box 942707
Sacramento, CA 94229-2707
Telecommunications Device for the Deaf - (916) 795-3240
(916) 795-3675
FAX (916) 795-3659

December 15, 2004

OVERNIGHT MAIL

AT&T Corporation
Attn: Donna M. Grillo
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921


Re: Notice of Shareholder Proposal

Ms. Grillo:

This letter is in response to your December 2, 2004 letter. While we disagree that the proposal is procedurally deficient, we have agreed to amend the proposal to avoid any need for SEC involvement. A copy of the amended proposal is attached. As we stated before, we remain open to the possibility of withdrawing our proposal if and when we become assured that our concerns with the Company are addressed.

If you have any questions concerning this proposal, please contact me.

Very truly yours,


PETER H. MIXON
General Counsel

Enclosures: Amended Proposed Resolution & Supporting Statement

cc: Ted White, Director, Corporate Governance – CalPERS
Robert S. Feit, Vice President – Law and Secretary – AT&T

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of AT&T Corporation (the "Company") amend the Company's bylaws, in compliance with applicable law, to require that the Board of Directors ("Board") seek shareholder ratification of any Severance Agreement with any Officer that provides Severance Benefits with a total present value exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the Officer's base salary plus target bonus. "Severance Agreement" is defined as any agreement that dictates what an Officer can be compensated when AT&T terminates employment without cause or when there is a termination of employment following a finally approved and implemented change of control. "Severance benefits" shall mean the value of all cash and non-cash benefits, including, but not limited to, the following: (i) cash benefits; (ii) perquisites, (iii) consulting fees, (iv) equity and the accelerated vesting of equity, (v) the value of "gross-up" payments, i.e., payments to off-set taxes, and (vi) the value of additional service credit or other special additional benefits under the Company's retirement system. If the Board determines that it is not practicable to obtain shareholder approval in advance, the Board may seek approval after the material terms have been agreed upon. This bylaw amendment shall take effect upon adoption and apply only to agreements adopted, extended or modified after that date.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

As a major shareholder of the Company, CalPERS supports compensation policies for Officers that strongly link pay to performance. CalPERS strongly opposes pay practices that reward under-performing Officers with large payouts when they are terminated for poor-performance, e.g., CalPERS is outraged with the \$140 million

severance payment made by the Disney Corporation to Michael Ovitz after 14 months of employment. The adoption of this by-law amendment, in CalPERS opinion, will put a reasonable cap on what can be paid out to Officers who are terminated for under-performance while allowing the Company the flexibility it needs to attract qualified individuals to serve in demanding positions of senior management.

This proposal, in CalPERS opinion, will also address the risk of egregious severance packages being paid out by the Company as a result of a merger, acquisition or spin-off by limiting: 1) The inappropriate acceleration of the vesting of options for Officers in mergers, etc.; 2) Inappropriate links between severance/change-of-control payments and post-merger economic performance; 3) Recapitalizations where the management and shareholder base does not substantially change but change-in-control payments are triggered; and 4) The payment of "gross-ups" to pay federal taxes owed.

According to CalPERS' Pay-for-Performance Model, for each of the years from 2001 – 2003 the Company's top 5 officers were compensated at least 10 times the median of the industry's top 5 (industry being defined as the four-digit Global Industry Classification System -- 5010). In addition, the Corporate Library graded the Company's CEO Compensation an "F".

Since CalPERS believes the Company is high risk for continuing its weak compensation practices, CalPERS urges shareholders to vote FOR this proposal.



Donna M. Grillo
Office of the Corporate Secretary

Room 3A154E
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921
908-532-1982 (Voice)
908-234-7833 (Fax)
donnagrillo@att.com

December 2, 2004

Mr. Peter H. Mixon
California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS)
Legal Office
Lincoln Plaza
400 P Street
Sacramento CA 95814

Via FedEx

Dear Mr. Mixon:

This is in response to CalPERS letter postmarked November 23, 2004, to the Vice President - Law and Secretary, which AT&T Corp. received on November 24, regarding a request to include a shareowner proposal in the 2005 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

The inclusion of a shareowner proposal in a company's proxy materials, and the conditions that must be met by the proponent, are governed by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Regulation 240.14a-8 (Proposals of Security Holders). Specifically, SEC Rule 14a-8 requires that the proposal be presented at the annual meeting of shareowners by either the proponent or the proponent's representative, who is qualified under state law to present the proposal on the proponent's behalf. In addition, the rule requires the proponent to have continuously held at least \$2,000 in market value, or 1%, of the company's securities entitled to be voted on the proposal at the meeting for at least one year by the date the proposal was submitted. The proponent must continue to hold those securities through the date of the annual meeting. In the November 23 correspondence, on behalf of CalPERS, you duly provided proof of beneficial ownership as required by the SEC. You also noted that these shares have been held for more than one year and will be held through the date of the Company's annual meeting.

Further, SEC Rule 14a-8(c) provides that a proponent may only submit one proposal to a company for a particular shareowner's meeting. The November 23 submission from CalPERS is procedurally deficient because it contains two separate proposals. First, the submission requests

Page 2
Mr. Peter H. Mixon
CalPERS

that the shareholders of AT&T Corporation [*sic*] amend its bylaws to require its Board of Directors to "limit Severance Agreements to instances where a senior executive officer ("Officer") is actually terminated." Additionally, there is a second proposal to amend the bylaws to require the Board of Directors to "seek shareholder ratification of any Severance Agreement with any Officer that provides Severance Benefits with a total present value exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the Officer's base salary plus target bonus."

In accordance with Rule 14a-8(f)(1), AT&T hereby provides you with the opportunity to correct the procedural deficiency by eliminating one of the two proposals. The SEC Rule requires that your response to AT&T must be postmarked, or transmitted electronically, no later than fourteen days from the date you receive this notification.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Donald H. ...", written over the typed name "Donald H. ...".



Legal Office
P.O. Box 942707
Sacramento, CA 94229-2707
Telecommunications Device for the Deaf - (916) 795-3240
(916) 795-3675
FAX (916) 795-3659

November 23, 2004

OVERNIGHT MAIL

AT&T Corporation
Attn: Robert S. Feit, Vice President –
Law and Secretary, Rm. 3A123
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752

Re: Notice of Shareholder Proposal

Mr. Feit:

The purpose of this letter is to submit our shareholder proposal for inclusion in the proxy materials in connection with the Company's next annual meeting pursuant to SEC Rule 14a-8.¹

Our submission of this proposal does not indicate that CalPERS is closed to further communication and negotiation. Although we must file now, in order to comply with the timing requirements of Rule 14a-8, we remain open to the possibility of withdrawing this proposal if and when we become assured that our concerns with the company are addressed.

If you have any questions concerning this proposal, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

PETER H. MIXON
General Counsel

Enclosures: Ownership Record
Proposed Resolution
Supporting Statement

cc: Ted White, Director, Corporate Governance – CalPERS
David W. Dorman, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

¹ CalPERS, whose official address is P.O. Box 942708, Sacramento, California 94229-2708, is the owner of approximately 3,400,000 shares of the Company. Acquisition of this stock has been ongoing and continuous for several years. Specifically, CalPERS has owned shares with a market value in excess of \$2,000 continuously for at least the preceding year. (Documentary evidence of such ownership is enclosed.) Furthermore, CalPERS intends to continue to own such a block of stock at least through the date of the annual shareholders' meeting.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of AT&T Corporation (the "Company") amend the Company's bylaws, in compliance with applicable law, to require that the Board of Directors ("Board") (1) limit Severance Agreements to instances where a senior executive officer ("Officer") is actually terminated and (2) seek shareholder ratification of any Severance Agreement with any Officer that provides Severance Benefits with a total present value exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the Officer's base salary plus target bonus. "Severance Agreement" is defined as any agreement that dictates what an Officer can be compensated when AT&T terminates employment without cause or when there is a termination of employment following a finally approved and implemented change of control. "Severance benefits" shall mean the value of all cash and non-cash benefits, including, but not limited to, the following: (i) cash benefits; (ii) perquisites, (iii) consulting fees, (iv) equity and the accelerated vesting of equity, (v) the value of "gross-up" payments, i.e., payments to off-set taxes, and (vi) the value of additional service credit or other special additional benefits under the Company's retirement system. If the Board determines that it is not practicable to obtain shareholder approval in advance, the Board may seek approval after the material terms have been agreed upon. This bylaw amendment shall take effect upon adoption and apply only to agreements adopted, extended or modified after that date.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

As a major shareholder of the Company, CalPERS supports compensation policies for Officers that strongly link pay to performance. CalPERS strongly opposes pay practices that reward under-performing Officers with large payouts when they are

terminated for poor-performance, e.g., CalPERS is outraged with the \$140 million severance payment made by the Disney Corporation to Michael Ovitz after 14 months of employment. The adoption of this by-law amendment, in CalPERS opinion, will put a reasonable cap on what can be paid out to Officers who are terminated for under-performance while allowing the Company the flexibility it needs to attract qualified individuals to serve in demanding positions of senior management.

This proposal, in CalPERS opinion, will also address the risk of egregious severance packages being paid out by the Company as a result of a merger, acquisition or spin-off by limiting: 1) The inappropriate acceleration of the vesting of options for Officers in mergers, etc.; 2) Inappropriate links between severance/change-of-control payments and post-merger economic performance; 3) Recapitalizations where the management and shareholder base does not substantially change but change-in-control payments are triggered; and 4) The payment of "gross-ups" to pay federal taxes owed.

According to CalPERS' Pay-for-Performance Model, for each of the years from 2001 – 2003 the Company's top 5 officers were compensated at least 10 times the median of the industry's top 5 (industry being defined as the four-digit Global Industry Classification System – 5010). In addition, the Corporate Library graded the Company's CEO Compensation an "F".

Since CalPERS believes the Company is high risk for continuing its weak compensation practices, CalPERS urges shareholders to vote FOR this proposal.

(SEC No-Action Letter)

*1 Monsanto Company
Publicly Available February 7, 2000

LETTER TO SEC

December 15, 1999

OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL
DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
450 FIFTH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Re: Monsanto Company

Rule 14a-8 Proposals

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Monsanto Company ("Monsanto") has received two shareowner proposals related to declassification of its Board of Directors for inclusion in its 2000 Proxy Statement. The Central Laborers' Pension, Welfare and Annuity Funds submitted its proposal and supporting statement in a letter received by fax on November 11, 1999 at approximately 3:59 p.m. (the "Laborers Proposal"), set forth in Exhibit 1. The Laborers Proposal requests that Monsanto's entire Board of Directors be subject to election at every third annual meeting of shareowners. The Plumbers and Pipefitters National Pension Fund submitted its proposal and supporting statement in a letter received by fax on November 12, 1999 at approximately 11:27 a.m. (the "Plumbers Proposal"), set forth in Exhibit 2. The Plumbers Proposal requests that Monsanto's entire Board of Directors be elected annually.

As discussed more fully below, we believe the Plumbers Proposal may properly be omitted from Monsanto's 2000 Proxy Statement on the grounds that it is substantially duplicative of the Laborers Proposal and therefore excludable under Rule 14a-8(i)(11) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act").

Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(j) under the Exchange Act, Monsanto hereby gives notice that it intends to omit the Plumbers Proposal from its 2000 Proxy Statement. Monsanto requests the Division of Corporation Finance to advise us that it will not recommend any enforcement action to the Commission because of Monsanto's exclusion of the Plumbers Proposal from its 2000 Proxy Statement and form of proxy for the reasons set forth below.

In accordance with Rule 14a-8(j), we have enclosed herewith for filing six copies of this letter and the exhibits hereto. An additional copy of this letter is enclosed, which we request that you stamp and return to the messenger to evidence your receipt of this filing and the attached exhibits. We are also sending a copy of this letter and the attached exhibits to the proponent of the Plumbers Proposal as notice of Monsanto's intent to omit the proposal from its 2000 Proxy Statement.

Monsanto tentatively intends to file definitive copies of the 2000 Proxy Statement and form of proxy pursuant to Rule 14a-6 on or about March 15, 2000.

The Proposals

The Laborers Proposal reads as follows:

"Resolution:

"The shareholders of Monsanto Corporation ("Company") hereby request that the Board of Directors take the necessary steps to develop a new Company election system that provides for the election of the entire slate of Company Board of Director nominees at every third annual meeting of shareholders. The proposed new election system should be developed in conformity with state law, stock listing requirements, and Company bylaws and articles, and should be presented for shareholder approval at the next annual meeting of shareholders."

*2 The Plumbers Proposal reads as follows:

"Resolved, that the shareholders of Monsanto Company, request that the Board of Directors take those steps necessary to provide that all directors be elected annually and not by classes as is now provided."

Discussion

We believe the Plumbers Proposal may be properly omitted from Monsanto's 2000 Proxy Statement under Rule 14a-8(i)(11) because it is substantially duplicative of the Laborers Proposal, which was previously submitted, and will be included in Monsanto's 2000 Proxy Statement.

Rule 14a-8(i)(11) allows for the omission of a proposal if it is substantially duplicative of a proposal previously submitted, which proposal will be included in the registrant's proxy material. Rule 14a-8(c)(11) was amended and renumbered as 14a-8(i)(11), but the changes were merely stylistic. See Release No. 34-39093 (September 18, 1997) (proposing "only minor stylistic revisions" to Rule 14a-8(c)(11)); Release No. 34-40018 (May 21, 1998) ("adopting as proposed" the revisions to Rule 14a-8(c)(11)). The Staff has taken the position in various responses to requests for no-action advice that proposals do not have to be identical to be excluded under the current Rule 14a-8(i)(11) and the old Rule 14a-8(c)(11). The test is whether the core issues addressed by the proposals are substantially the same, even though the proposals may differ somewhat in terms or breadth. See, Exxon Corporation (available March 9, 1999) (allowing omission of proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(11) when registrant claimed "[w]hile the specific wording is different, the two proposals are otherwise substantially similar on substantive matters"); Freeport McMoran Copper & Gold Inc. (available February 22, 1999) (allowing omission of a proposal under Rule 14a-8(i)(11) after registrant stated the Staff's position as "consistently permitting the omission of proposals if the principal focus or thrust of such proposals was the same"); Centerior Energy Corporation (available February 27, 1995) (allowing omission of three shareholder proposals which were substantially duplicative of the fourth after registrant argued that "the principle thrust, or focus, of the proposals is the same"); Pacific Enterprises (available February 26, 1992) (allowing omission of a proposal under old Rule 14a-8(c)(11) when registrant argued that the substantially duplicative test is met if the proposals "address the same core issue ... even though the omitted proposal may differ somewhat in terms or breadth"). The core issues addressed by the Laborers and Plumbers Proposals are substantially the same in that they both seek to replace Monsanto's current timing for election of directors. Each proposal seeks to eliminate Monsanto's classified Board of Directors, either with elections of the entire Board every year or every three years, as the case may be.

In addition, inclusion of both proposals, because they are substantially duplicative, would create the possibility of an inconsistent shareowner vote, leaving the Monsanto Board of Directors without a clear expression of shareowner

sentiment on the issue of board declassification. Should both proposals be submitted for a shareowner vote and pass, the Board would be placed in the untenable position of having to guess at whether the shareowners desire for the entire Board to be up for election every year or every three years. The purposes of Rule 14a-8(i)(11) would be served by allowing the omission of the Plumbers Proposal, given that Monsanto will include the Laborers Proposal in its 2000 Proxy Statement.

*3 The Staff has previously allowed the omission of shareholder proposals that might create inconsistent outcomes pursuant to old Rule 14a-8(c)(11). In a response to request for no-action advice from Pacific Telesis Group ("Pacific") (available February 1, 1993) the Staff allowed Pacific to omit one of three shareowner proposals because it was substantially duplicative of one of the others. The Staff summarized the three proposals as "(1) total compensation for the chief executive officer should be tied to the Company's performance; (2) incentive compensation for executive officers should be tied to the Company's performance; and (3) incentive compensation for executive officers should be abolished." The Staff found the third proposal to be substantially duplicative of the second proposal, and permitted its exclusion. If both the second and third proposals had been submitted to the shareholders and passed, Pacific's Board would not have known how to proceed to implement the will of the shareholders. In much the same way, requiring Monsanto to include the Plumbers Proposal in addition to the Laborers Proposal creates the possibility of a conflicting outcome. Therefore, Monsanto should be allowed to omit the Plumbers Proposal, and present the Laborers Proposal alone to obtain a clear indication of shareowner preference to declassify the Board of Directors or retain the current system of classified elections.

The Staff has recommended that the Commission not take enforcement action when a shareholder proposal is omitted because it merely suggests a different method to effectuate the same end as a previously-submitted proposal. In American Electric Power Company ("American") (available December 22, 1993), the Staff allowed American to omit a shareowner proposal which proposed limiting any senior executive's pay to two times the salary of the President of the United States because the proposal was substantially duplicative of another proposal limiting salaries to 150% of the President's salary. The proposals submitted to American met the substantially duplicative test because both sought to limit executive salaries, even though each was not identical in the method proposed to change corporate policy. Similarly, the proposals submitted to Monsanto are substantially duplicative means of presenting the same issue of board declassification to the shareowners, even though one proposes to elect the entire Board each year and the other every three years. The Laborers Proposal, which Monsanto will include in the proxy materials, will give the shareowners an opportunity to vote on declassification of the Board. Therefore, the Plumbers Proposal should be omitted as merely offering a variation of Board declassification which would be largely redundant of the Laborers Proposal.

Conclusion

The Staff's policy on the omission of duplicative proposals has covered a variety of similar proposals, ranging from proposals that are identical in language, Cypress Semiconductor Corporation (available March 11, 1999), to proposals that deal with issues under a general heading, Centerior Energy Corporation (available February 27, 1995) (omitting three of four proposals, each offering a different means to restrain executive compensation). Here, the differences between the Plumbers Proposal and the Laborers Proposal lie between these outer limits where the Staff has previously found proposals to be substantially duplicative, as both seek the common goal of board declassification and differ only as to the frequency of electing the entire Board. Monsanto therefore respectfully requests that the Division Staff concur that it will not recommend any enforcement action to the Commission if Monsanto omits the second-received Plumbers Proposal.

*4 If for any reason the Staff does not agree with the Company's position, or has questions or requires additional information, we would appreciate an opportunity to confer with the Staff prior to the issuance of a formal response. Please call Travis J. Almandinger of this Firm at (314) 259-2425 or the undersigned at (314) 259-2738 if you have any questions or comments with regard to this matter.

Very truly yours,
Michael I. Oberlander

BRYAN CAVE LLP

One Metropolitan Square

211 North Broadway, Suite 3600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2750

(314) 259-2000

EXHIBIT 2

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

Resolved, that the shareholders of Monsanto Company, request that the Board of Directors take those steps necessary to provide that all directors be elected annually and not by classes as is now provided.

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Classified Boards remove the threat of annual elections from directors. As a result, directors may lose their sense of accountability to shareholders and make decisions more in the interests of management than in the interests of shareholders. A classified Board of Directors also maintains the current board and, therefore, current management. This limits the accountability of both the Board and management to stockholders.

A classified Board of Directors also imposes a barrier to control of the company that may make it less attractive as a potential acquisition. In addition to discouraging some potential acquirers and making change more difficult, it could also result in a period of division and controversy on the Board of Directors if some but not all Directors are replaced in connection with a takeover attempt. These consequences do not benefit shareholders.

According to the Investor Responsibility Research Center (IRRC) shareholder proposals to repeal classified boards win high levels of voting support from shareholders while company proposals to classify boards have run into increasing difficulty obtaining support from shareholders. Numerous shareholder resolutions to repeal classified boards won majority votes in 1997, 1998 and 1999.

A number of large companies have moved away from staggered boards in recent years. Time Warner put the repeal of its classified board to a shareholder vote in 1997. The resolution passed with 80.9 percent of the vote. Other companies which have moved away from classified boards include Ameritech, Westinghouse, Lockheed-Martin, Campbell Soups, Atlantic Richfield, Pacific Enterprises and the Travelers Group. In contrast, fewer companies propose to adopt classified Boards. A number of management proposals to adopt classified boards have failed.

The annual election of Directors at Monsanto Company will allow shareholders the

opportunity to register annually their views of the performance of the Board of Directors collectively and of each Director individually. This will enhance the accountability of the Board and management to shareholders.

*5 Please vote for this proposal.

EXHIBIT 1

Triennial Board of Director Election Proposal

Resolution: The shareholders of the Monsanto Corporation ("Company") hereby request that the Board of Directors take the necessary steps to develop a new Company election system that provides for the election of the entire slate of Company Board of Director nominees at every third annual meeting of shareholders. The proposed new election system should be developed in conformity with state law, stock listing requirements, and Company bylaws and articles, and should be presented for shareholder approval at the next annual meeting of shareholders.

Statement of Support:

The role of the Board of Directors and senior management is to develop and implement a long-term strategic plan that will maximize the long-term wealth generating capacity of the Company and ensure its success. In order to foster the proper environment for long-term strategic planning and action, terms of office for Board of Director members must be long enough to allow them to look beyond the upcoming quarter and focus on developing policies and programs that will ensure sustained corporate success.

Annual elections of either the full slate or a single class of directors -- in conjunction with the market pressures -- often promote a short term perspective that undermines the Company's capacity to produce sustained long-term wealth. A company focused on the short-term may fail to invest in new capital investment projects, innovation advancements, employee enrichment programs, and community involvement activities that are integral to the long-term success of the company. In the absence of a long-term strategic plan with clear goals and tactical imperatives, managements and directors often are forced to resort to pursuing short-term stock price targets to the detriment of the corporation and its owners.

Many shareholders consider the annual election of the entire slate of directors or a portion of the board as an important accountability measure. Providing the entire slate of directors three-year terms of office is not a step away from accountability. Rather, the longer director terms of office would establish a system in which the director elections are better aligned with the corporate goal of long-term success. The discipline of corporate elections would then be properly directed to rewarding good long-term corporate performance that best serves the interests of shareholders and the Company generally. Further, the accountability of the Board is promoted by requiring all the members of the Board to run as a team on a non-classified basis.

Shareholders will be challenged to monitor and understand the strategic initiatives of the Company and then exercise their rights in an appropriate fashion. Non-election year annual meetings would provide opportunities to review the strategic plan of the Company, assess accomplishments and shortcomings, and provide for shareholder input on strategic changes. Triennial elections would effectively serve as a referendum for shareholders on the Company's long-term strategic plan and the Board's effectiveness in overseeing and implementing the plan.

SEC LETTER

*6 1934 Act / s -- / Rule 14A-8

February 7, 2000

Publicly Available February 7, 2000

Re: Monsanto Company

Incoming letter dated December 15, 1999

The proposals request that: (1) the entire board of directors be elected at every third annual meeting; and (2) all directors be elected annually, and not by classes as now provided.

There appears to be some basis for your view that Monsanto may omit The Plumbers and Pipefitters' proposal under rule 14a-8(i)(11) as substantially duplicative of the previously submitted Central Laborers' proposal, which will be included in Monsanto's proxy materials. We further note that shareholder approval of both proposals would require the board to choose between an annual and triennial timetable for election of candidates for seats on a declassified board. Accordingly, we will not recommend enforcement action to the Commission if Monsanto omits The Plumbers and Pipefitters' proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on rule 14a-8(i)(11).

Sincerely,

Carolyn Sherman

Special Counsel

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE

INFORMAL PROCEDURES REGARDING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

The Division of Corporation Finance believes that its responsibility with respect to matters arising under Rule 14a-8 [17 CFR 240.14a-8], as with other matters under the proxy rules, is to aid those who must comply with the rule by offering informal advice and suggestions and to determine, initially, whether or not it may be appropriate in a particular matter to recommend enforcement action to the Commission. In connection with a shareholder proposal under Rule 14a-8, the Division's staff considers the information furnished to it by the Company in support of its intention to exclude the proposals from the Company's proxy materials, as well as any information furnished by the proponent or the proponent's representative.

Although Rule 14a-8(k) does not require any communications from shareholders to the Commission's staff, the staff will always consider information concerning alleged violations of the statutes administered by the Commission, including argument as to whether or not activities proposed to be taken would be violative of the statute or rule involved. The receipt by the staff of such information, however, should not be construed as changing the staff's informal procedures and proxy review into a formal or adversary procedure.

It is important to note that the staff's and Commission's no-action responses to Rule 14a-8(j) submissions reflect only informal views. The determinations reached in these no-action letters do not and cannot adjudicate the merits of a company's position with respect to the proposal. Only a court such as a U.S. District Court can decide whether a company is obligated to include shareholder proposals in its proxy materials. Accordingly a discretionary determination not to recommend or take

Commission enforcement action, does not preclude a proponent, or any shareholder of a company, from pursuing any rights he or she may have against the company in court, should the management omit the proposal from the company's proxy material.

Securities and Exchange Commission (S.E.C.)

2000 WL 217449 (S.E.C. No - Action Letter)

END OF DOCUMENT



2004 NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING and PROXY STATEMENT

The 119th Annual Meeting of Shareowners of AT&T Corp. will be held at the Austin Convention Center, 500 East Cesar Chavez Street, Austin, Texas, on Wednesday, May 19, 2004, at 9:30 a.m. local time, for the following purposes:

- to elect ten directors;
- to ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of independent auditors to examine our accounts;
- to approve the AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program; and
- to conduct any other business, including shareowner proposals, as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Shareowners at the close of business on March 25, 2004, are entitled to vote their proxies. Only shareowners with an admission ticket or proof of stock ownership will be admitted to the meeting.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert S. Feit".

Robert S. Feit
Vice President – Law and
Secretary

March 25, 2004

YOU CAN VOTE IN ONE OF THREE WAYS:

- (1) Use the toll-free telephone number on your proxy card to vote by phone;
- (2) Visit the website noted on your proxy card to vote via the Internet; or
- (3) Sign, date and return your proxy card in the enclosed envelope to vote by mail.



One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921-0752

David W. Dorman
Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer

March 25, 2004

Dear Shareowner:

It is a pleasure to invite you to our 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareowners in Austin, Texas, on Wednesday, May 19, 2004, beginning at 9:30 a.m. local time, at the Austin Convention Center. This will be our 119th Annual Meeting of Shareowners. If you plan to join us at the meeting, an admission ticket will be required and is attached to the proxy card. For your convenience, a map of the area and directions to the Convention Center are printed on the back inside cover of the proxy statement.

The proxy statement continues to be a critical element of our corporate governance process. Its purpose is to answer your questions and provide you with important information regarding our Board of Directors and senior management. The material includes proposals that require your vote and information that describes our corporate governance practices.

Whether you own a few or many shares of stock and whether or not you plan to attend, it is important that your shares be voted on matters that come before the meeting. Registered and many broker-managed shareowners can vote their shares by using a toll-free telephone number or the Internet. Instructions for using these convenient services are provided on the proxy card. Of course, you may still vote your shares by marking your votes on the proxy card, signing and dating it and mailing it in the envelope provided. If you sign and return your proxy card without specifying your choices, it will be understood that you wish to have your shares voted in accordance with the directors' recommendations.

I look forward to seeing you on May 19 in Austin.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "David Dorman".

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Information About the Meeting and Voting	1
Information About Our Board and Corporate Governance	5
Board of Directors	5
Election of Directors (Proposal 1 on Proxy Card)	5
Nominees for Election as Directors	5
The Board's Committees and Their Functions	7
Independence of Directors; Financial Expert	8
Compensation of Directors	8
Stock Ownership of Management and Directors	10
Beneficial Ownership of More Than Five Percent	12
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	12
Ratification of the Appointment by the Audit Committee of Independent Auditors (Proposal 2 on Proxy Card)	12
Directors' Proposal (Proposal 3 on Proxy Card)	13
Approve the AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program	13
Summary of AT&T's 2004 Long Term Incentive Program	14
Equity Compensation Plan Information	20
Shareowner Proposals	21
Term Limits for Outside Directors (Proposal 4 on Proxy Card)	21
Poison Pill (Proposal 5 on Proxy Card)	21
Separate the Chair and CEO Position (Proposal 6 on Proxy Card)	23
Executive Compensation (Proposal 7 on Proxy Card)	24
New Executive Compensation Policy Adopted	26
Advance Notice Procedures; Nomination of Directors	26
Other Matters to Come Before the Annual Meeting	26
Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors	26
Our Independent Public Accountants	27
Principal Auditor Fees and Services	27
Preapproval Policies and Procedures	28
Five-Year Performance Comparison	29
Executive Compensation	29
AT&T Board Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation	29
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	32
Summary Compensation Table	33
Aggregated Option/Stock Appreciation Rights Exercises in 2003 and Year-End Values	37
Long-Term Incentive Plans – Awards in 2003	37
Option/SAR Grants in 2003	37
Employment Contracts and Termination of Employment Agreements	38
Senior Officer Separation Plan	45
Pension Plans	46
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	48
Other Information	49
AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program (<i>Appendix A</i>)	A-1
Corporate Governance Guidelines (<i>Appendix B</i>)	B-1
Travel and Parking Directions (<i>inside back cover</i>)	

INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING

When were proxy materials mailed?

This proxy statement and proxy card were first mailed on or about March 25, 2004, to owners of voting shares of AT&T in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors for the 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareowners in Austin, Texas. Proxies are solicited to give all shareowners of record at the close of business on March 25, 2004, an opportunity to vote on matters that come before the annual meeting. This procedure is necessary because shareowners live in all U.S. states and abroad and most will not be able to attend.

What am I voting on?

The Board is soliciting your vote for:

- election of ten directors;
- ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee of independent auditors;
- approval of the AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program (the 2004 Plan); and
- action upon such other matters, including shareowner proposals, as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Who is entitled to vote?

Shareowners of record at the close of business on March 25, 2004, the record date, are entitled to vote on matters that come before the meeting. Shares can be voted only if the shareowner is present in person or is represented by proxy.

How many votes do I have?

Each share of AT&T common stock that you own as of the record date entitles you to one vote. On March 1, 2004, there were 793,522,585 outstanding shares of our common stock.

How do I vote?

All shareowners may vote by mail. Registered shareowners who own their shares in their own name and most beneficial shareowners who own shares through a bank or broker also may vote by telephone or the Internet. If one of these options is available to you, we strongly encourage you to use it because it is faster and less costly. Registered shareowners can vote by telephone by calling **1-800-273-1174** or on the Internet at **<http://att.proxyvoting.com>**. Please have your proxy card in hand when calling or going online. To vote by mail, please sign, date and mail your proxy card in the envelope provided.

If you own your shares through a bank or broker, you should follow the separate instructions they provide you. Although most banks and brokers now offer telephone and Internet voting, availability and specific processes will depend on their voting arrangements.

For participants in the AT&T Shareowner Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan or the AT&T Amended 1996 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, your shares will be voted as you specify on your proxy card and will not be voted if the proxy card is not returned or if you do not vote by telephone or the Internet. For employee shareowners participating in the AT&T Long Term Savings Plan for Management Employees, the AT&T Long Term Savings and Security Plan, the AT&T Retirement Savings and Profit Sharing Plan, the AT&T of Puerto Rico, Inc. Long Term Savings Plan for Management Employees, or the AT&T of Puerto Rico, Inc. Long Term Savings and Security Plan, your shares will be voted by the trustee of each applicable plan as you specify on your voting instruction form. If your voting instruction form is not returned, the trustee will vote your shares in the same proportion as the shares for which instructions were received from all other participants in that plan. If you wish to abstain from voting on any matter, you must indicate this on your voting instruction form. You cannot vote your plan

shares in person at the meeting. To allow sufficient time for voting, the trustee must receive your voting instructions by no later than 9:00 a.m. EST on Friday, May 14, 2004.

If you attend the annual meeting in person, you may request a ballot when you arrive. If your shares are held in the name of your bank, broker, or other nominee, you need to bring an account statement or letter from the nominee indicating that you were the beneficial owner of the shares on March 25, 2004, the record date for voting.

Do we have a policy for confidential voting?

We have a confidential voting policy. All proxies and other voting materials, including telephone and Internet voting, are kept confidential and are not disclosed to us or our officers and directors, subject to standard exceptions. Such documents are available for examination only by the inspector of election and certain personnel associated with processing proxy cards and tabulating the vote. One independent inspector of election, an officer of IVS Associates, Inc., has been appointed.

What if I return my proxy but do not mark it to show how I am voting?

If your proxy card is signed and returned without specifying your choices, the shares will be voted as recommended by the Board.

What if other items come up at the annual meeting and I am not there to vote?

When you return a signed and dated proxy card or provide your voting instructions by telephone or the Internet, you give the Proxy Committee (the members of which are listed on your proxy card) the discretionary authority to vote on your behalf on any other matter that is properly brought before the annual meeting.

Can I change my vote?

You can change your vote by revoking your proxy at any time before it is exercised in one of four ways:

- notify our Corporate Secretary in writing before the annual meeting that you are revoking your proxy;
- submit another proxy with a later date;
- vote again by telephone or the Internet; or
- vote in person at the annual meeting.

What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy card?

Your shares are likely registered differently or are in more than one account. You should vote each of your accounts by telephone, the Internet, or mail. If you mail your proxy cards, please sign, date and return each proxy card to guarantee that all of your shares are voted. If you wish to combine your shareowner accounts in the future, you should contact our transfer agent, EquiServe, at 1-800-348-8288. Combining accounts reduces excess printing and mailing costs, resulting in savings for us that benefits you as a shareowner.

Why did I receive only one set of proxy materials although there are multiple shareowners at my address?

In accordance with a notice sent to eligible shareowners that share a single address, we are sending only one set of proxy materials, that includes a proxy card for each household member, to that address unless we receive instructions to the contrary from any shareowner at that address. This practice, known as householding, is used to reduce our printing and postage costs. If a shareowner of record residing at such an address wishes to receive a separate set of proxy materials in the future, he or she may contact our transfer agent at 1-800-348-8288, or by e-mail to att@equiserve.com, or write to EquiServe, P.O. Box 43007, Providence, RI 02940-3007. If you are a shareowner of record that receives multiple copies of our proxy materials, you can request householding by

contacting us in the same manner. If you own shares through a bank, broker, or other nominee, you can request householding by contacting the nominee.

What constitutes a quorum?

The presence of the owners of 40 percent of the shares entitled to vote at the annual meeting constitutes a quorum. Presence may be in person or by proxy. You will be considered part of the quorum if you return a signed and dated proxy card, if you vote by telephone or the Internet, or if you vote at the annual meeting.

Abstentions and shares voted by a broker or bank holding shares for a beneficial owner are counted as present and entitled to vote for determining a quorum.

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

Election of Directors: The ten nominees who receive the most votes will be elected. Any shares not voted (whether by abstention or otherwise) have no impact on the vote.

Ratification of Independent Auditors: This proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. Any shares not voted (whether by abstention or otherwise) have no impact on the vote.

Approval of the AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program (the 2004 Plan): The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast for or against the proposal is required to approve the 2004 Plan provided that the total vote cast on the proposal represents over 50% of all votes entitled to be cast on the proposal.

Shareowner Proposals: Approval of each of the four shareowner proposals requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. Any shares not voted (whether by abstention or otherwise) have no impact on the vote.

What are the Board's Recommendations?

The Board recommends a vote FOR Election of Directors, Ratification of Independent Auditors and Approval of the 2004 Plan and AGAINST each of the four shareowner proposals.

How were the nominees for director selected?

Each of the ten nominees was approved for inclusion on our slate of directors by our Governance and Nominating Committee. Mr. Dorman, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is one of our executive officers. Mr. Derr, Ms. Eickhoff, Mr. Herringer, Dr. Jackson and Messrs. Madonna, McHenry and White were elected by our shareowners as directors at our June 2003 annual meeting and are standing for re-election.

Mr. Aldinger was elected as a director by our Board on July 16, 2003, to fill a vacancy on the Board. He was recommended to the Board by our Chief Executive Officer.

Mr. Henkel was elected as a director by our Board on February 23, 2004, to fill a newly created Board position. He was recommended to the Board by one of our non-employee directors.

How does the Board determine which directors are independent?

The standards for determining independence are set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines which are available on our Investor Relations Website (www.att.com/ir/cg) and are attached as Appendix B. Our Guidelines meet or exceed the new listing standards adopted in 2003 by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE.)

Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Board undertook its annual review of director independence in March 2004. During this review the Board broadly considered all relevant facts and circumstances, not merely from the standpoint of a director but also from that of persons or organizations with which a director has a relationship. As a result of this review, our Board affirmatively determined that all of the directors nominated for election at our 2004 annual meeting, other than our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Dorman, are independent and have no material relationship with us.

How can I recommend a candidate for election to the Board?

Shareowners who wish to recommend a candidate for election to our Board should write to: Vice President – Law and Secretary, AT&T Corp., Room 3A123, One AT&T Way, Bedminster, NJ 07921-0752, stating in detail the qualifications of a candidate for consideration by the Governance and Nominating Committee. In considering Board candidates, the committee seeks individuals of proven judgment and competence who are outstanding in their respective fields. The committee considers such factors as experience, education, employment history, special talents or personal attributes, anticipated participation in Board activities and geographic and diversity factors. The committee process for identifying and evaluating nominees would include detailed consideration of the recommendations and opinions of members of our Board, our executive officers, our executive human resources department and our shareowners. There would be no difference in the process of evaluation of candidates recommended by a shareowner and those recommended by other sources.

How can I communicate with our Board?

Shareowners interested in communicating directly with our Board of Directors may do so by writing to: Board of Directors, AT&T Corp, P.O. Box 406, Bedminster, NJ 07921-0752. Effective February 18, 2004, the Governance and Nominating Committee of our Board approved a process for handling letters received by us and addressed to members of the Board. Under that process, our Corporate Secretary, or members of his staff, review all such correspondence and regularly forward to each non-employee director a summary of all such correspondence and copies of all correspondence that, in the opinion of the Corporate Secretary, deal with the functions of the Board or the Board's committees, or that he otherwise determines require their attention. Directors may at any time review a log of all correspondence received by us that is addressed to members of the Board and request copies of any such correspondence. Concerns relating to accounting, internal controls or auditing matters are immediately brought to the attention of our internal audit department and handled in accordance with procedures established by the Audit Committee with respect to such matters.

How do I access proxy materials on the Internet?

Shareowners can access our Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement and our 2003 Annual Report on the Internet on our Investor Relations Website at www.att.com/ir. For future shareowner meetings, registered shareowners can further save us expense by consenting to access their proxy statement and annual report electronically. You can choose this option by marking the "Electronic Access" box on your proxy card or by following the instructions provided when you vote by telephone or the Internet. If you choose this option, prior to each shareowner meeting you will receive your proxy card in the mail along with a notice of the meeting and instructions for voting by mail, telephone, or the Internet. You may select Electronic Access for each account held in your name. Your choice will remain in effect unless you revoke it by contacting our transfer agent, EquiServe, at 1-800-348-8288 or visiting our Investor Relations Website at www.att.com/ir.

How do I submit a shareowner proposal for next year's annual meeting?

Shareowner proposals may be submitted for inclusion in our 2005 proxy statement after the 2004 annual meeting, but must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. EST on Saturday, November 27, 2004. Proposals should be sent via registered, certified, or express mail to: Vice President – Law and Secretary, AT&T Corp., Room 3A123, One AT&T Way, Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752.

What do I need to do to attend the annual meeting?

If you are a registered shareowner, you should use the admission ticket that is attached to your proxy card. If you will attend the meeting, please be sure to respond to the "I/We plan to attend the Annual Meeting" question when you vote. A beneficial shareowner may obtain an admission ticket in advance by sending a written request, with proof of ownership such as a bank or brokerage firm account statement, to: Manager – Proxy, AT&T Corp., Room 3A134, One AT&T Way, Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752.

Shareowners who do not bring admission tickets to the meeting may be admitted upon verification of ownership at the admissions counter at the meeting site.

If you attend the meeting, you may be asked to present valid government-issued photo identification, such as a driver's license or passport, before being admitted. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted, and attendees may be subject to security inspections or other security precautions.

The Austin Convention Center is fully accessible to disabled persons, and sign interpretation and wireless headsets will be available for our hearing-impaired shareowners.

Highlights of the annual meeting will be available on our Investor Relations Website at www.att.com/ir.

INFORMATION ABOUT OUR BOARD AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board is responsible for establishing broad corporate policies and monitoring our overall performance. However, in accordance with corporate legal principles, the Board is not involved in day-to-day operating matters. Members of the Board are kept informed of our business by participating in Board and committee meetings, by reviewing analyses and reports sent to them each month and through discussions with our Chairman and other officers.

The Board held 11 meetings and the committees held 23 meetings in 2003. Each of the directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and its committees. The average attendance in the aggregate at the total number of meetings of the Board and the total number of committee meetings was 92%. It is our policy that directors should attend the annual meeting, absent unusual circumstances. Seven of the nine members of our Board attended the 2003 annual meeting.

Our non-employee directors meet in executive session without any management directors or employees present approximately eight times each year. Our Board does not designate any specific director to preside over these meetings.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (Proposal 1 on Proxy Card)

Our Proxy Committee intends to vote for the election of the ten nominees listed on the following pages. These nominees have been selected by the Board on the recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee. If you do not wish your shares to be voted for particular nominees, please identify the exceptions in the designated space provided on the proxy card or, if you are voting by telephone or the Internet, follow the instructions provided when you vote. Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast. Any shares not voted, whether by abstention or otherwise, have no impact on the vote.

If at the time of the meeting one or more of the nominees have become unavailable to serve, shares represented by proxies will be voted for the remaining nominees and for any substitute nominee or nominees designated by the Governance and Nominating Committee or, if none, the size of the Board will be reduced. The Governance and Nominating Committee knows of no reason why any of the nominees will be unavailable or unable to serve.

Directors elected at the annual meeting will hold office until the next annual meeting or until their successors have been elected and qualified. For each nominee there follows a brief listing of principal occupation for at least the past five years, other major affiliations and age as of March 1, 2004.

NOMINEES FOR ELECTION AS DIRECTORS

William F. Aldinger

Age: 56

Director Since: 2003

Mr. Aldinger is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of HSBC North America Holdings Inc., a financial services company. He joined Household International, Inc., a predecessor company, in 1994 as President and Chief

Executive Officer. Mr. Aldinger became Chairman of Household International, Inc. in May 1996. He is a director of HSBC Holdings plc, MasterCard International and Illinois Tool Works Inc. Mr. Aldinger is a member of the boards of Children's Memorial Medical Center/Children's Memorial Hospital and the Children's Memorial Foundation. He also serves on the board of trustees of the J.L. Kellogg Graduate School of Management.

Kenneth T. Derr

Age: 67

Director Since: 1995

Mr. Derr is a retired Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of ChevronTexaco Corporation, an international oil company. He was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from 1989 to 1999, Vice Chairman from 1985 to 1989 and director from 1981 to 1999. Mr. Derr also serves as a director of the American Petroleum Institute, a member of The Business Council, Council on Foreign Relations and the Board of Overseers of the Hoover Institution; Co-Chairman of the Committee to Encourage Corporate Philanthropy; Director of American Productivity and Quality Center; and Trustee Emeritus of Cornell University. Mr. Derr is a director of Citigroup Inc., Halliburton Company and Calpine Corporation.

David W. Dorman

Age: 50

Director Since: 2002

Mr. Dorman has been the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of AT&T since November 2002. He was President of AT&T from 2000 to 2002 and the Chief Executive Officer of Concert, a former global venture created by AT&T and British Telecommunications plc, from 1999 to 2000. Mr. Dorman was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of PointCast Incorporated from 1997 to 1999; Executive Vice President of SBC Communications Inc. in 1997; Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Pacific Bell from 1994 to 1997; and President of Sprint Business from 1990 to 1994. He served as a member of the President's Advisory Committee on High Performance Computing and Communications, Information Technology and the Next Generation Internet. Mr. Dorman is a director of Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.

M. Kathryn Eickhoff

Age: 64

Director Since: 1987

Ms. Eickhoff has been President of Eickhoff Economics, Inc., an economic consulting firm, since 1987. She is a past Associate Director for Economic Policy for the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (1985-1987) and the former Executive Vice President and Treasurer of Townsend-Greenspan & Co., Inc. (1962-1985). Ms. Eickhoff is a director of Tenneco Automotive Inc.

Herbert L. Henkel

Age: 55

Director Since: 2004

Mr. Henkel has been the Chairman of the Board of Ingersoll-Rand Company, a manufacturer of industrial products and components, since 2000 and President and Chief Executive Officer since 1999. He was the President and Chief Operating Officer of Ingersoll-Rand from April 1999 to October 1999. Mr. Henkel was the Chief Operating Officer of Textron Inc. from 1998 to 1999, and Vice President - Industrial Products Segment from 1993 to 1998. Mr. Henkel is a director of Pitney Bowes Inc. and C.R. Bard, Inc.

Frank C. Herring

Age: 61

Director Since: 2002

Mr. Herring has been Chairman of the Board of Transamerica Corporation, a financial services company, since 1995. He served as Chief Executive Officer from 1991 to 1999 and President from 1986 to 1999. From 1999 to May 2000, Mr. Herring served on the Executive Board of Aegon N.V. and as Chairman of the Board of Aegon USA, Inc. Mr. Herring is a director of The Charles Schwab Corporation, Mirapoint Inc. and Unocal Corporation.

Shirley Ann Jackson, Ph.D.

Age: 57

Director Since: 2001

Dr. Jackson is the President of Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI). Prior to becoming President of RPI in 1999, Dr. Jackson was Chairman of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (1995-1999), a Professor of Theoretical Physics at Rutgers University (1991-1995) and a theoretical physicist at the former AT&T Bell Laboratories (1975-1991). Dr. Jackson was elected to the National Academy of Engineering in 2001. She is also a

Fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and the American Physical Society. She is a Life Member of the M.I.T. Corporation Board of Trustees and the Council on Foreign Relations. She is President of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. Dr. Jackson is a director of FedEx Corporation, Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated, Marathon Oil Corporation, United States Steel Corporation and Medtronic, Inc. She has also been a member of the Board of Directors of the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. since November 2003.

Jon C. Madonna

Age: 60

Director Since: 2002

Mr. Madonna is a retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of KPMG, an international accounting and consulting firm. He was with KPMG for 28 years where he held numerous senior leadership positions throughout his career and served as Chairman from 1990 to 1996. Subsequent to his retirement from KPMG, Mr. Madonna served as Vice Chairman of Travelers Group, Inc. from 1997 to 1998 and President and Chief Executive Officer of Carlson Wagonlit Corporate Travel, Inc. from 1999 to 2000. He was Chief Executive Officer of DigitalThink, Inc. from 2001 to 2002, and he has been Chairman of DigitalThink, Inc. since April 2002. Mr. Madonna is a director of Albertson's, Inc., DigitalThink, Inc., Phelps Dodge Corporation and Tidewater Inc.

Donald F. McHenry

Age: 67

Director Since: 1986

Mr. McHenry has been a Distinguished Professor in the Practice of Diplomacy at the School of Foreign Service at Georgetown University, since 1981. He has also been President of IRC Group LLC, international relations consultants, since 1981. Mr. McHenry is a director of FleetBoston Financial Corporation, The Coca-Cola Company, International Paper Company and GlaxoSmithKline plc.

Tony L. White

Age: 57

Director Since: 2002

Mr. White is Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Applera Corporation, a life sciences company. He was elected Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Perkin Elmer Corporation (renamed Applera Corporation) in 1995. Prior to that, he was Executive Vice President and a Member of the Office of the Chief Executive Officer at Baxter International Inc. from 1991 to 1995. Mr. White is a director of C.R. Bard, Inc. and Ingersoll-Rand Company.

THE BOARD'S COMMITTEES AND THEIR FUNCTIONS

The Board has established a number of committees, including the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee, each of which is briefly described below. Another committee of the Board is the Proxy Committee whose members are listed on your proxy card. The Proxy Committee votes the shares represented by proxies at the annual meeting of shareowners.

The Audit Committee assists our Board in maintaining the integrity of our financial statements, its financial reporting processes and systems of internal audit controls, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and overseeing our code of conduct and ethics policies. The Audit Committee reviews the scope of independent and internal audits and assesses the results. The Audit Committee meets with our management to consider the adequacy of the internal controls and the objectivity of financial reporting. The committee also meets with the independent auditors and with appropriate financial personnel and internal auditors concerning these matters. The committee selects, compensates and appoints our independent auditors. Both the internal auditors and the independent auditors periodically meet alone with the committee and always have unrestricted access to the committee. We do not limit the number of audit committees of publicly listed companies on which members of our Audit Committee may serve. One of our Audit Committee members, Mr. Madonna, serves on three other audit committees. In March 2004 our Board affirmatively determined that such simultaneous service would not impair Mr. Madonna's ability to effectively serve on our Audit Committee. The Audit Committee currently consists of five independent non-employee directors. The committee met ten times in 2003.

The Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee administers incentive compensation plans, including stock option plans, and advises our Board regarding employee benefit plans. The committee establishes the

compensation structure for our senior managers, approves the compensation of our senior executives and makes recommendations to our Board with respect to compensation of the Chief Executive Officer. The Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee currently consists of five independent non-employee directors. The committee met nine times in 2003.

The Governance and Nominating Committee advises and makes recommendations to the Board on all matters concerning directorship and corporate governance practices, including compensation of directors and the selection of candidates as nominees for election as directors, and provides guidance with respect to matters of public policy. The Governance and Nominating Committee currently consists of six independent non-employee directors. The committee met four times in 2003. The committee recommended to the Board the slate of directors for election at the 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareowners.

We are committed to the highest standards of corporate governance and ethical behavior. On the recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board has adopted our Corporate Governance Guidelines which are available on our Investor Relations Website at www.att.com/ir/cg and are attached as Appendix B. The Board has also adopted a Financial Officer Code of Ethics which is also available on our Investor Relations Website. All of our directors, officers and employees must act ethically at all times and in accordance with the policies set forth in our code of conduct. Our code includes "Our Common Bond," a set of business values which guide all of our decisions and behavior, and is published on our Investor Relations Website. Our Board did not grant any waivers of any ethics policies in 2003 to our directors or executive officers. The charters of the Audit Committee, Governance and Nominating Committee and Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee are also available on our Investor Relations Website.

The table below provides membership information for each of the Board committees:

Name	Audit	Compensation and Employee Benefits	Governance and Nominating
Mr. Aldinger	•	•	
Mr. Derr		<i>Chair</i>	•
Ms. Eickhoff	•		•
Mr. Herringer	•	•	
Dr. Jackson		•	•
Mr. Madonna	<i>Chair</i>		•
Mr. McHenry	•		<i>Chair</i>
Mr. White		•	•

INDEPENDENCE OF DIRECTORS; FINANCIAL EXPERT

The Board has determined that each of our non-employee directors is "independent" within the definitions contained in current NYSE rules (see "How does the Board determine which directors are independent?" on page 3.) In addition, the Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is "independent" within the definition contained in current Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules. Furthermore, the Board has determined that both Mr. Herringer and Mr. Madonna qualify as "audit committee financial experts" as defined by the SEC.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

In 2003, independent non-employee directors received a retainer at an annual rate of \$50,000 for January through September that was increased to an annual rate of \$70,000 effective October 2003 and prorated to result in a total annual retainer of \$55,000 for 2003. One-half of the annual retainer was received as AT&T common stock

units with a then-current market value of \$27,500 and was deferred automatically and credited to a deferred compensation account under our Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Pursuant to that same Plan, each director had the option of either deferring the remaining \$27,500, either as AT&T common stock units or in a deferred cash account, or receiving it as a cash payment. For the 2004 deferral election, each director did not have to automatically defer half of his or her retainer into AT&T stock units. The chairperson of the Audit Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$15,000 (that was increased to \$25,000 effective October 2003.) The chairpersons of the Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee each received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. No fees are paid for attendance at regularly scheduled Board and committee meetings. Directors received a fee of \$1,500 for each special Board or committee meeting attended. Each independent non-employee director also received an award of AT&T restricted stock units equal in value to \$100,000 on the date of grant: February 23, 2004, for Mr. Henkel, July 16, 2003, for Mr. Aldinger and June 11, 2003, for each other non-employee director. These units will vest upon a director's retirement from the Board.

Directors may elect to defer the receipt of all or part of their cash retainer and other compensation into the AT&T common stock portion or the cash portion of the deferred compensation account. The AT&T common stock portion (the value of which is measured from time to time by the market value of AT&T common stock) is credited quarterly with a number of deferred shares of AT&T common stock equivalent in market value to the amount of the quarterly dividend on the shares also then credited in the accounts. The cash portion of the deferred compensation account earns interest, compounded quarterly, at an annual rate equal to the average interest rate for 10-year United States Treasury Notes for the previous quarter, plus 5%, for amounts deferred prior to January 1, 2001, and plus 2% for amounts deferred on or after January 1, 2001. The restricted stock units awarded to non-employee directors pay dividend equivalents quarterly in cash.

AT&T also provides independent non-employee directors with travel accident insurance when on our business. An independent non-employee director may also enroll in a Directors' Universal Life Insurance Program sponsored by the Company at no cost to the independent non-employee director. The life insurance benefit under the Directors' Universal Life Insurance Program will continue after the independent non-employee director's retirement from the Board.

STOCK OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS

The following table sets forth information concerning the beneficial ownership of AT&T common stock, as of March 1, 2004, for (a) each current director elected to the Board in 2003 and each nominee for election as a director in 2004; (b) each of the executives named in the Summary Compensation Table (the named executives) not listed as a director; and (c) directors and executive officers as a group. No director or executive officer owns any AT&T preferred shares. Except as otherwise noted, the nominee or family members had sole voting and investment power with respect to such securities.

<u>Name</u>	Number of Shares			Percent of Class
	Beneficially Owned(1)	Other Common Stock Equivalents(2)	Total	
(a)				
William F. Aldinger	3,000	5,836	8,836	*
Kenneth T. Derr	2,773(3)	23,695	26,468	*
David W. Dorman	1,252,927(4)	206,264	1,459,191	*
M. Kathryn Eickhoff	3,183(5)	14,586	17,769	*
Herbert L. Henkel(6)	0	5,035	5,035	*
Frank C. Herringer	16,885(7)	11,535	28,420	*
Shirley Ann Jackson	1,450(8)	14,024	15,474	*
Jon C. Madonna	3,151(9)	6,678	9,829	*
Donald F. McHenry	2,664(10)	17,950	20,614	*
Tony L. White	2,851(11)	11,476	14,327	*
(b)				
James W. Cicconi	467,430(12)	64,550	531,980	*
Hossein Eslambolchi	367,312(13)	123,998	491,310	*
Thomas W. Horton	239,779(14)	60,000	299,779	*
John Polumbo	208,869(15)	50,000	258,869	*
(c)				
Directors and Executive Officers as a group (20 persons) . . .	3,455,429(16)	874,803(17)	4,330,232	*

*Less than one percent

Footnotes

- As of March 1, 2004, no individual director or nominee for director or named executive beneficially owned 1% or more of AT&T's outstanding common shares, nor did the directors and executive officers as a group.

2. Includes share units held in deferred compensation accounts that do not constitute beneficially owned securities and restricted stock units. The number of restricted stock units owned by each non-employee director is as follows:

William F. Aldinger	5,168 restricted stock units
Kenneth T. Derr	5,024 restricted stock units
M. Kathryn Eickhoff	5,024 restricted stock units
Herbert L. Henkel	5,035 restricted stock units
Frank C. Herringer	5,024 restricted stock units
Shirley Ann Jackson	5,024 restricted stock units
Jon C. Madonna	5,024 restricted stock units
Donald F. McHenry	5,024 restricted stock units
Tony L. White	5,024 restricted stock units

The number of restricted stock units owned by Mr. Dorman and each of our other named executive officers as of March 1, 2004, is the number set forth in the column "Other Common Stock Equivalents." These include restricted stock units which vested on March 15, 2004, as follows:

James W. Cicconi	14,550 restricted stock units
David W. Dorman	56,264 restricted stock units
Hossein Eslambolchi	5,698 restricted stock units

3. Includes beneficial ownership of 1,683 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under a non-employee director incentive compensation plan.
4. Includes beneficial ownership of 1,075,601 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under employee incentive compensation plans.
5. Includes 1,000 shares held in an IRA account and 100 shares held in a Keogh account. Also includes 200 shares held by a trust, as to which Ms. Eickhoff has disclaimed beneficial ownership. In addition, includes beneficial ownership of 1,683 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under a non-employee director incentive compensation plan.
6. Effective February 23, 2004, Herbert L. Henkel was elected to our Board.
7. Includes 10,000 shares held by trusts, 4,000 shares held in an IRA account, 1,000 shares held in a Keogh account for his spouse, 200 shares held by trusts for each of his two daughters, 100 shares held by a trust for his niece and five shares held by a trust for his spouse. Also includes 30 shares held in a custodial account as to which Mr. Herringer has disclaimed beneficial ownership. In addition, includes beneficial ownership of 1,350 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under a non-employee director incentive compensation plan.
8. Includes 78 shares owned by Dr. Jackson's spouse. Dr. Jackson has disclaimed beneficial ownership of these shares. Also includes beneficial ownership of 1,372 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under a non-employee director incentive compensation plan.
9. Includes beneficial ownership of 751 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under a non-employee director incentive compensation plan.
10. Includes 381 shares held in a Keogh account. In addition, includes beneficial ownership of 1,683 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under a non-employee director incentive compensation plan.
11. Includes beneficial ownership of 1,351 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under a non-employee director incentive compensation plan.
12. Includes beneficial ownership of 449,078 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under employee incentive compensation plans.
13. Includes 0.6 shares held in a 401(k) account. Also includes beneficial ownership of 358,785 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under employee incentive compensation plans.
14. Includes beneficial ownership of 234,779 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under employee incentive compensation plans.
15. Includes beneficial ownership of 187,306 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under employee incentive compensation plans.
16. Includes beneficial ownership of 3,175,227 shares that may be acquired within 60 days pursuant to stock options awarded under employee and non-employee director incentive compensation plans.
17. Includes 806,645 restricted stock units and 68,158 share units held in deferred compensation accounts. The number of restricted stock units includes 98,561 restricted stock units which vested on March 15, 2004, and 4,213 restricted stock units which were converted to share units held in deferred compensation accounts on March 15, 2004.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF MORE THAN FIVE PERCENT

The following table sets forth information as to the beneficial ownership of AT&T common stock by each person or group known by us, based on filings pursuant to Section 13(d) or (g) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares of AT&T common stock as of March 1, 2004.

<u>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Percent of Class</u>
Dodge & Cox One Sansome Street 35th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	83,815,221(1)	10.6%
Capital Research and Management Company 333 South Hope Street 55th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071	82,769,800(2)	10.5%

Footnotes

1. Based on a Schedule 13G filed January 9, 2004, Dodge & Cox beneficially owned these shares on behalf of clients, that may include investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act and/or employee benefit plans, pension funds, endowment funds or other institutional clients. Dodge & Cox has sole voting power for 79,040,754 shares, shared voting power for 818,180 shares, sole dispositive power for 83,815,221 shares and no shared dispositive power for any of the shares.
2. According to an amended Schedule 13G/A filed on February 13, 2004, by Capital Research and Management Company, an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Capital Research is deemed to be the beneficial owner, as a result of acting as investment advisor to various companies, and has sole dispositive power with respect to 82,769,800 shares or approximately 10.5% of our outstanding shares of common stock.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10 percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC and the NYSE, initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership of our equity securities.

To our knowledge, based upon the reports filed and written representations that no other reports were required, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, none of our directors and executive officers failed to file on a timely basis reports required by Section 16(a) with the following exceptions: Betsy J. Bernard, our former President, filed one report containing four late transactions, and Mr. Derr filed a report with respect to one of his quarterly awards of deferred stock units two days late.

RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT BY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS (Proposal 2 on Proxy Card)

The Audit Committee has selected and appointed the firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent auditors to examine our financial statements for the year 2004. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has audited our financial statements for many years. Our Board recommends that our shareowners vote FOR ratification of the appointment. Ratification of the appointment of auditors requires a majority of the votes cast. Any shares not voted, whether by abstention or otherwise, have no impact on the vote.

DIRECTORS' PROPOSAL TO APPROVE THE AT&T 2004 LONG TERM INCENTIVE PROGRAM (Proposal 3 on Proxy Card)

We have provided long term equity compensation under shareowner approved plans since 1984. Our current equity compensation plan, the AT&T 1997 Long Term Incentive Program (the 1997 Plan), provides for the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, performance shares and units and other stock-based compensation. The 1997 Plan will expire on May 31, 2004, and as of March 1, 2004, there were approximately 34,192,000 shares remaining available for future grants under the 1997 Plan. However, we intend to issue no more than 1,000,000 shares for stock options and 2,000,000 shares for awards other than stock options prior to the expiration of the 1997 Plan.

At the 2004 annual meeting, shareowners will be asked to approve the AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program (the 2004 Plan). The 2004 Plan was approved by our Board on March 17, 2004, and will not become effective unless it is approved by our shareowners. Whether or not the 2004 Plan is approved by shareowners, no additional shares can be issued under the 1997 Plan after its expiration on May 31, 2004, although outstanding awards under the 1997 Plan, the AT&T 1987 Long Term Incentive Program (the 1987 Plan) and plans we acquired as a result of past mergers and acquisitions will continue in accordance with their terms. No additional awards can be issued under these acquired plans, but awards granted prior to the mergers and acquisitions remain outstanding. As of March 1, 2004, 117,074,597 stock options and 8,089,688 long term awards other than stock options, remained outstanding under all other prior plans.

Beginning in 2002, the Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee began assessing our equity compensation strategy. The committee specifically focused on the effectiveness of the current stock option strategy, our yearly share usage for our long term incentive awards as compared to our common stock outstanding (our "run rate"), and the potential dilutive impact of the equity programs, as well as the impact of the depressed stock prices within the telecommunications industry. In 2003, the committee, with the assistance of an independent compensation consultant, identified a number of key components of our equity compensation strategy, including:

- *Introducing an equity incentive strategy designed to be effective in recruiting and retaining key talent*
- *Developing a long term equity compensation component that ties the levels of equity compensation to financial performance*
- *Providing an equity compensation program that balances operational performance with stock price appreciation*
- *Reducing the annualized share usage "run rate" and linking long term awards to individual and company performance*
- *Mitigating the potential long term dilution implications of equity compensation*
- *Fostering an ownership environment through the implementation of share retention guidelines*

Overall, the committee and management have determined that a new long term incentive strategy comprised of a blend of performance based awards and restricted stock units will provide the most effective alternative to achieve these objectives. The modified strategy will provide a stronger link to our performance compared to 10-year time-vested stock options. The strategy will also lower the potential share usage levels, directly impacting the annual run rate of shares and mitigating the potential long term dilution implications of 10-year stock options. We believe that the proposed 2004 Plan will better enable us to accomplish these goals and provide a closer link to operational performance and total shareowner value, while keeping the levels of compensation competitive with our industry peers.

The 2004 Plan we are proposing has a number of special terms and limitations that the Board of Directors believes further enhances our pay-for-performance philosophy and ownership culture and that are consistent with the long term interests of shareowners and sound corporate governance practices. These include:

- **Migration to an equity strategy with a stronger link to company performance.** The 2004 Plan will facilitate our migration from our historical reliance on stock options to the use of other types of equity, including performance based awards or other stock awards linked to employment service such as restricted stock units. However, the plan will continue to allow for the use of stock options should our strategy need to be

adjusted with industry practices or future expectations. It is anticipated that within the new strategy, approximately 70% of annual awards to executive officers would be performance based.

- **Significant reduction in annual stock usage (or "run rate").** As described above in our new strategy, we will target the annual share usage level to approximately 0.9% of our common shares outstanding. The actual annual run rate is expected to be as low as 0.7% percent or as high as 1.1% percent per year, depending on the achievement of specified performance targets and objectives.
- **Elimination of evergreen provision.** The 2004 Plan replaces the current 1.75% annual increase in the pool of available shares with a fixed reserve of 36,000,000 shares, or approximately 4.5% of common shares outstanding as of March 1, 2004.
- **Minimum vesting provisions.** The 2004 Plan generally provides for a minimum three-year vesting schedule on all awards which are not subject to performance based criteria. No more than 4,000,000 shares of non-performance based restricted stock can vest in less than three years.
- **Shares terminated under prior plans will not increase plan reserve.** Shares subject to awards under other prior plans that are cancelled, forfeited, or expired will not be available for re-grant in the 2004 Plan. This will include approximately 31.2 million shares that were projected to be available for future grants under the 1997 Plan as of March 1, 2004.
- **No stock option repricings.** The 2004 Plan expressly prohibits the repricing of stock options (other than in connection with certain capital adjustments as described in Section 4(c) of the 2004 Plan) without the approval of shareowners. This provision applies to both direct repricings – lowering the exercise price of an outstanding stock option – and indirect repricings – canceling an outstanding stock option and granting a replacement stock option with a lower exercise price, a replacement grant of restricted stock, or a cash payment for the option.
- **No discounted stock options.** The 2004 Plan prohibits the use of discounted stock options (except in certain merger or acquisition transactions).
- **No reload options or company loans.** The 2004 Plan prohibits the use of reload options or company loans to exercise stock options.
- **Limit on non-employee director awards.** The 2004 Plan incorporates a maximum share value of \$150,000 that can be awarded annually to non-employee directors under the 2004 Plan.
- **Plan administered by independent committee.** The 2004 Plan will be managed by our Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee, which is comprised solely of independent, non-employee directors, and has engaged an independent executive compensation consultant to advise the committee on compensation.

The 2004 Plan is being submitted for approval by shareowners, as we have done with our AT&T 1984 Long Term Incentive Program, our 1987 Plan and our 1997 Plan, in accordance with the NYSE listing requirements and New York law. The plans acquired in past mergers and acquisitions had generally also been approved by shareowners of the other companies, with two exceptions (see footnote 1 under the "Equity Compensation Plan Information" on page 20). The 2004 Plan is also being submitted, among other reasons, to ensure that certain awards granted under the 2004 Plan may qualify as "performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

SUMMARY OF THE 2004 PLAN

The principal features of the 2004 Plan are summarized below. Appendix A to this proxy statement contains a copy of the 2004 Plan as proposed to be adopted. The following summary of the principal features of the 2004 Plan is qualified in its entirety by reference to Appendix A to this proxy statement.

Plan Administration. The 2004 Plan shall be administered by our Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee. The members of the committee consist of outside directors who qualify as "non-employee directors" within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act, and as "outside directors" for purposes of section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The committee may delegate to one or more officers, or an awards committee consisting of one or more of our officers, the power to grant and administer awards under the 2004 Plan to participants who are not executive officers or non-employee directors.

Shares Subject to the 2004 Plan. The 2004 Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 36,000,000 shares of our common stock. Any shares issued under the 2004 Plan may consist, in whole or in part, of authorized and unissued shares, treasury shares, or shares purchased in the open market or otherwise. Any shares subject to an award under the 2004 Plan that are forfeited or settled for cash, or expire or otherwise are terminated without issuance of such shares, the shares subject to such award shall to the extent of such cash settlement, forfeiture or termination be available for awards under the 2004 Plan. In the event that any option or other award granted under the 2004 Plan is exercised through the delivery of shares or in the event that withholding tax liabilities arising from such option or other award are satisfied by the withholding of shares by us, the number of shares available for awards under the 2004 Plan shall be increased by the number of shares so surrendered or withheld. In addition, substitute awards shall not reduce the shares available for grant under the 2004 Plan or to a participant in any calendar year.

In the event of any merger, reorganization, consolidation, recapitalization, stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split, spin-off or similar transaction or other change in corporate structure affecting the shares, such adjustments and other substitutions shall be made to the 2004 Plan and to awards as the committee in its sole discretion deems equitable or appropriate and the number of shares subject to any award shall always be a whole number.

Individual Award Limits. Under the 2004 Plan, commencing with calendar year 2004, (i) no participant may be granted in any 12-month period an aggregate amount of stock options and/or stock appreciation rights with respect to more than two and one-half million shares, and (ii) no participant may be granted in any 12-month period an aggregate amount of restricted stock, performance awards or other stock unit awards, with respect to more than one and one-half million shares (or cash amounts based on the value of more than one and one-half million shares); except that an external hire may be granted up to an aggregate amount of performance awards or other stock unit awards with respect to no more than two and one-half million shares (or cash amounts based on the value of no more than two and one-half million shares).

Eligibility. Any employee or non-employee director shall be eligible to be selected as a participant, provided, however, no non-employee director shall receive awards with an aggregate fair market value in excess of \$150,000 in any calendar year. Incentive stock options may be granted only to employees of AT&T or its subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2003, there were approximately 61,600 employees eligible for grants under the 2004 Plan. The committee, in its discretion, selects the employees to whom awards may be granted, the time or times at which such awards are granted, and the terms of such awards.

Awards. The 2004 Plan provides for the grant of stock options, (including incentive stock options that qualify under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code and non-statutory options), stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, performance awards, other stock unit awards, as such terms are defined in the 2004 Plan.

Stock Options. Stock options may be granted under the 2004 Plan to participants either alone or in addition to other awards granted under the 2004 Plan and may be an incentive stock option or a non-qualified stock option grant. Any option granted under the 2004 Plan shall be evidenced by an award agreement in such form as the committee may from time to time approve. Any such stock option shall be subject to the following terms and conditions and to such additional terms and conditions, not inconsistent with the provisions of the 2004 Plan, as the committee shall deem desirable:

The purchase price per share under a stock option shall be determined by the committee in its sole discretion; however, such purchase price shall not be less than the fair market value of the share on the date of the grant of the stock option. Substitute awards or awards granted in connection with an adjustment provided for in Section 4(c) of the 2004 Plan shall have a purchase price per share that is intended to preserve the economic value of the award which was replaced or adjusted.

The term of each option shall be fixed by the committee in its sole discretion; however no stock option shall be exercisable after the expiration of ten years from the date the stock option is granted. Stock options shall be exercisable at such time or times as determined by the committee at or subsequent to grant, provided that no stock option will vest in full prior to three years from the grant date.

Subject to the other provisions of the 2004 Plan, any stock option may be exercised by the participant in whole or in part at such time or times, and the participant may make payment of the stock option price in such form or forms, including, without limitation, payment by delivery of cash, shares or other consideration (including, where permitted by law and the committee, awards) having a fair market value on the exercise date equal to the total stock option price, or by any combination of cash, shares and other consideration as the committee may specify in the applicable award agreement. In its sole discretion, the committee may provide, at the time of grant, that the shares to be issued upon an option's exercise shall be in the form of restricted stock or other similar securities, or may reserve the right so to provide after the time of grant.

Stock Appreciation Rights. Stock appreciation rights may be granted under the 2004 Plan to participants either alone or in addition to other awards granted under the 2004 Plan and may, but need not, relate to a specific stock option. In the case of any stock appreciation right related to any stock option, the stock appreciation right or applicable portion thereof shall terminate and no longer be exercisable upon the termination or exercise of the related stock option, except that a stock appreciation right granted with respect to less than the full number of shares covered by a related stock option shall not be reduced until the exercise or termination of the related stock option exceeds the number of shares not covered by the stock appreciation right. Any stock option related to any stock appreciation right shall no longer be exercisable to the extent the related stock appreciation right has been exercised. The committee may impose such conditions or restrictions on the exercise of any stock appreciation right as it shall deem appropriate, provided that no stock appreciation right shall have a term that is longer than ten years or an exercise price below the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant.

Restricted Stock. A restricted stock award shall be subject to restrictions imposed by the committee during a period of time specified by the committee (the restriction period). Restricted stock awards may be issued under the 2004 Plan to participants, for no cash consideration or for such minimum consideration as may be required by applicable law, either alone or in addition to other awards granted under the 2004 Plan. The provisions of restricted stock awards need not be the same with respect to each recipient.

The minimum restriction period applicable to any restricted stock award that is not subject to performance conditions restricting the grant size, the transfer of the shares, or the vesting of the award shall be three years from the date of grant. A restriction period of less than three years may be approved under the 2004 Plan for such awards with respect to up to four million shares. Except as otherwise determined by the committee at the time of grant or thereafter, upon termination of employment for any reason during the restriction period, all shares of restricted stock still subject to forfeiture shall be forfeited by the participant and reacquired by us.

Performance Awards. Performance awards (e.g., performance shares, long term cash awards) may be issued under the 2004 Plan to participants, for no cash consideration or for such minimum consideration as may be required by applicable law, either alone or in addition to other awards granted under the 2004 Plan. The performance criteria to be achieved during any performance period and the length of the performance period shall be determined by the committee upon the grant of each performance award. Except as provided in the 2004 Plan, performance awards will be distributed only after the end of the relevant performance period. Performance awards may be paid in cash, shares, other property or any combination thereof, in the sole discretion of the committee at the time of payment. The performance levels to be achieved for each performance period and the amount of the award to be distributed shall be conclusively determined by the committee. Performance awards may be paid in a lump sum or in installments following the close of the performance period or, in accordance with procedures established by the committee, on a deferred basis.

Other Stock Unit Awards. Other awards of shares and other awards that are valued in whole or in part by reference to, or are otherwise based on, shares or other property may be granted under the 2004 Plan to participants, either alone or in addition to other awards granted under the 2004 Plan. The other stock unit awards may be paid in shares, cash or any other form of property as the committee shall determine. Subject to the provisions of the 2004 Plan, the committee shall have sole and complete authority to determine the employees and

non-employee directors to whom and the time or times at which such awards shall be made, the number of shares to be granted pursuant to such awards, and all other conditions of the awards. However, no such award, the vesting of which is conditioned only on the passage of time, will have a minimum of three years for full vesting.

Change in Control. Notwithstanding any other provision of the 2004 Plan to the contrary, unless the committee shall determine otherwise at the time of grant with respect to a particular award, in the event of a change in control (as defined in Section 2(e) of the 2004 Plan), as of the date such change in control is determined to have occurred.

(a) any stock options and stock appreciation rights outstanding as of the date such change in control is determined to have occurred which are not then exercisable and vested shall become fully exercisable and vested;

(b) any stock options and stock appreciation rights outstanding which are then vested and exercisable, including newly vested options and stock appreciation rights as a result of (a) above, shall remain exercisable as provided in the award agreement;

(c) the restrictions and deferral limitations applicable to any restricted stock shall lapse, and such restricted stock shall become free of all restrictions and limitations and become fully vested and transferable;

(d) all performance awards shall be considered to be prorated, and any deferral or other restriction shall lapse and such performance awards shall be immediately settled or distributed in accordance with policies established by the committee; and

(e) the restrictions and deferral limitations and other conditions applicable to any other stock unit awards or any other awards shall lapse, and such other stock unit awards or such other award shall become free of all restrictions, limitations or conditions and become fully vested and transferable to the full extent of the award not previously forfeited or vested.

Section 162(m) Awards. Notwithstanding any other provision of the 2004 Plan, if the committee determines at the time restricted stock, a performance award or an other stock unit award is granted to a participant, who is then an officer or director level employee, that such participant is, or may be as of the end of the tax year in which we would claim a tax deduction in connection with such award, a "covered employee", then the committee may provide performance based awards under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Awards to our chief executive officer and four other most highly compensated executives shall be subject to the achievement of one or more objective performance goals established by the committee, which shall be based on the attainment of specified levels of one or any combination of the following: net cash provided by operating activities, earnings per share from continuing operations, operating income, revenues, gross margin, return on operating assets, return on equity, economic value added, stock price appreciation, total shareholder return, or cost control, of AT&T or the division or a business unit within which the participant is primarily employed. Such performance goals also may be based upon the achievement of specified levels of our performance (or performance of an applicable division or business unit) under one or more of the measures described above relative to the performance of other corporations. Such performance goals shall be set by the committee within the time period prescribed by, and shall otherwise comply with the requirements of, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, or any successor provision, and applicable regulations. The committee may adjust downwards, but not upwards, the amount payable pursuant to such award, and the committee may not waive the achievement of the applicable performance goals except in the case of the death or disability of the participant.

Effective Date of the Plan. The 2004 Plan shall be effective as of the date the shareowners approve the Plan in 2004.

Term of the Plan. No award shall be granted pursuant to the 2004 Plan after May 31, 2009, but any award granted on or prior to May 31, 2009, under the 2004 Plan may extend beyond that date.

New Plan Benefits. Because benefits under the 2004 Plan will depend on the committee's actions and the fair market value of common stock at various future dates, it is not possible to determine the benefits that will be received by directors, executive officers and other employees if the 2004 Plan is approved by the shareowners.

Federal Income Taxes. *ISOs. Incentive Stock Options (ISOs)* granted under the 2004 Plan will be subject to the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, including Section 422. If shares of common stock are

issued to an optionee upon the exercise of an ISO, and if no disqualifying disposition of such shares is made by the optionee within one year after the exercise of the ISO or within two years after the date the ISO was granted (whichever is later), then:

- no income will be recognized by the optionee at the time of the grant of the ISO,
- no income, for regular income tax purposes, will be recognized by the optionee at the date of exercise,
- upon sale of the shares of common stock acquired by exercise of the ISO, any amount realized in excess of the option price will be taxed to the optionee, for regular income tax purposes, as a capital gain (at varying rates depending upon the optionee's holding period in the shares and income level) and any loss sustained will be a capital loss and
- we will not be allowed any deduction for federal income tax purposes.

However, the excess of the fair market value of the shares received upon exercise of the ISO over the option price for such shares will generally constitute an item of adjustment in computing the optionee's alternative minimum taxable income for the year of exercise. Thus, certain optionees may increase their federal income tax liability as a result of the exercise of an ISO under the alternative minimum tax rules of the Internal Revenue Code.

If a disqualifying disposition of shares acquired by exercise of an ISO is made, the optionee will recognize taxable ordinary income at that time in an amount equal to the lesser of (i) the excess of the fair market value of the shares purchased at the time of exercise over the option price or (ii) the excess of the amount realized on disposition over the option price, and we will be entitled to a federal income tax deduction equal to such amount at that time. The amount of any gain in excess of the amount taxable as ordinary income will be taxable as capital gain at that time to the holder (at varying rates depending upon such holder's holding period in the shares and income level), for which we will not be entitled to a federal tax deduction.

Non-ISOs. An optionee will not be taxed at the time a non-ISO is granted. In general, an employee exercising a non-ISO will recognize ordinary income equal to the excess of the fair market value on the exercise date of the stock purchased over the option price. Upon subsequent disposition of the stock purchased, the difference between the amount realized and the fair market value of the stock on the exercise date will constitute capital gain or loss. We will not recognize income, gain or loss upon the granting of a non-ISO. Upon the exercise of such an option, we are entitled to an income tax deduction equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the employee.

Stock Appreciation Rights. An employee will not be taxed at the time a stock appreciation right is granted. Upon exercise of a stock appreciation right, the optionee will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the cash or the fair market value of the stock received on the exercise date (or, if an optionee exercising a stock appreciation right for shares of common stock is subject to certain restrictions, upon lapse of those restrictions, unless the optionee makes a special tax election under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code to have the income recognized at the time of transfer). We generally will be entitled to a deduction in the same amount and at the same time as the optionee recognizes ordinary income.

Restricted Stock. In general, a participant who has received restricted stock, and who has not made an election under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code to be taxed upon receipt, will include in gross income as compensation income an amount equal to the fair market value of the restricted stock at the earlier of the first time the rights of the employee are transferable or the restrictions lapse. We are entitled to a deduction at the time that the employee is required to recognize income, subject to the limitations set forth below.

Performance Awards and Other Stock Unit Awards. A participant who is awarded performance awards and other stock unit awards will not recognize income and we will not be allowed a deduction at the time the award is made. When a participant receives payment for performance awards and other stock unit awards in shares of common stock or cash, the fair market value of the shares or the amount of the cash received will be ordinary income to the participant and we will be allowed a deduction for federal income tax purposes. However, if any shares of common stock used to pay out earned performance awards and other stock unit awards are non-transferable and there is a substantial risk that such shares will be forfeited (for example, because the committee conditions those shares on the performance of future services), the taxable event is deferred until either the risk of forfeiture or the restriction on transferability lapses. In this case, the participant may be able to make an

election under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code to be taxed upon receipt. We are entitled to a corresponding deduction at the time the ordinary income is recognized by the participant.

Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 (OBRA). Under OBRA, our allowable federal income tax deduction for compensation paid with respect to our chief executive officer and our four other most highly compensated executive officers serving as such at the end of our fiscal year is limited to no more than \$1,000,000 per year per individual. This limitation on deductibility is subject to certain exemptions, including an exemption relating to performance based compensation that is payable:

- solely on account of the achievement of one or more performance goals established by a compensation committee consisting exclusively of two or more outside directors,
- under a plan the material terms of which are approved by the shareowners before payment is made, and
- solely upon certification by the compensation committee that the performance goals and other material conditions precedent to the payment have been satisfied.

The 2004 Plan is structured so that compensation paid to the individual is intended to qualify for this performance based exception to the extent practicable to do so. The 2004 Plan provides that each year the committee will determine the individual whose compensation may be subject to the limitation, and a performance goal or goals that we will need to attain in order to permit awards to be granted to these employees. The committee has discretion to eliminate, or reduce the size of, those awards, based on factors it deems appropriate. An employee not designated as being subject to OBRA prior to the beginning of the year for which performance awards are granted may thereafter become covered during the period of the grant, in which case we may not be able to deduct all or a portion of the compensation payable to that individual with respect to awards granted to that employee.

The committee may also grant awards under the 2004 Plan that are not based on the performance criteria specified above, in which case the compensation paid under such awards to the employee may not be deductible.

The foregoing is only a summary of the effect of U.S. federal income taxation upon recipients of awards and AT&T with respect to the grant and exercise of awards under the 2004 Plan. It does not purport to be complete and does not discuss the tax consequences arising in the context of the employee's death or the income tax laws of any municipality, state or foreign country in which the employee's income or gain may be taxable.

Vote Required and Recommendation of Board of Directors.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast is required to approve the 2004 Plan, provided that the total vote cast on the proposal represents over 50% of all votes entitled to be cast on the proposal.

Your Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval of the AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table summarizes information as of December 31, 2003, relating to equity compensation plans. The table does not include the additional shares that would be issuable pursuant to the proposed AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program that is the subject of proposal 3 of this proxy statement.

Shares in thousands

<i>Plan category</i>	<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>	<i>(c)</i>
	<i>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights(2)</i>	<i>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights(2)</i>	<i>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))(2)</i>
Equity compensation plans approved by shareowners	113,950	\$35.9361	19,487
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareowners(1)	0	\$ 0	0
Total	113,950	\$35.9361	19,487

Footnotes

1. With respect to equity compensation plans that we have assumed in connection with mergers, acquisitions or consolidations, the aggregate number of shares of our common stock to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights outstanding under such plans on December 31, 2003, was 4,510,154 shares and the weighted average exercise price of such outstanding options, warrants and rights was \$37.2780, as adjusted for the November 18, 2002, spin-off of AT&T Broadband and our one-for-five reverse stock split. These shares were granted under plans administered by the companies acquired by us and upon acquisition no longer provided shares for future grants. Each of these acquired plans was approved by shareowners of companies acquired by us except for the US WEST Media Group 1997 Stock Option Plan and the MediaOne Group 1999 Supplemental Stock Plan.
2. AT&T's 1997 Long Term Incentive Program (as amended, the 1997 Plan) originally provided for the issuance of 150 million shares of our common stock. In 1999 the 1997 Plan was amended to provide for an annual increase in the number of shares available for awards under the 1997 Plan equal to 1.75% of the number of shares of our common stock outstanding on the first day of each year commencing January 1, 2000. Pursuant to this provision, an additional 13,703,158 shares of our common stock became available for awards on January 1, 2003. The 1997 Plan limits the number of shares which may be used for awards other than stock options or stock appreciation rights. As of December 31, 2003, 2.1 million shares remained available. The 1997 Plan, which expires May 31, 2004, is currently the only equity compensation plan under which AT&T grants awards relating to its equity securities. Effective with the November 18, 2002, spin-off of AT&T Broadband, any grants held under the 1997 Plan by an active AT&T Broadband employee were cancelled effective with the spin-off. Any grants held under the 1997 Plan by an active AT&T employee were adjusted in accordance with footnote 6 as described in the Summary Compensation Table. All other grants held under the 1997 Plan that were outstanding on November 18, 2002, were adjusted into stock options exercisable for our common shares and Comcast common shares effective with the November 18, 2002, spin-off of AT&T Broadband and our one-for-five reverse stock split, whereby the aggregate fair market value of the original award immediately prior to the spin-off of AT&T Broadband was maintained.

SHAREOWNER PROPOSALS

We receive many suggestions from our shareowners, some as formal shareowner proposals. All are given careful consideration and are adopted, if appropriate.

Proponents of four shareowner proposals have stated that they intend to present the following proposals at the annual meeting. Information on the share ownership of the proponents is available by writing to: Manager – Proxy, AT&T Corp., Room 3A134, One AT&T Way, Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752. The proposals and supporting statements are quoted below. The Board has concluded it cannot support these proposals for the reasons given.

Shareowner Proposal (Proposal 4 on Proxy Card)

Mrs. Evelyn Y. Davis, Watergate Office Building, 2600 Virginia Ave., NW, Suite 215, Washington, DC 20037, has resubmitted the following proposal:

"RESOLVED: "That the stockholders of A.T.T. recommend that the Board take the necessary steps so that future outside directors shall not serve for more than six years."

"REASONS: The President of the U.S.A. has a term limit, so do Governors of many states."

"Newer directors may bring in fresh outlooks and different approaches with benefits to all shareholders."

"No director should be able to feel that his or her directorship is until retirement."

"Last year the owners of 40,580,997 shares, representing approximately 7.7% of shares voting, voted FOR this proposal."

"If you AGREE, please mark your proxy FOR this resolution."

Your directors recommend a vote against the above proposal. At AT&T's 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareowners, this proposal was defeated by more than 92% of the votes cast. The Board believes we obtain significant benefits from having directors who, over a period of continuous service, achieve a broad range of industry experience and familiarity with our strategic business goals and objectives and with our domestic and international business operations. The Governance and Nominating Committee, comprised only of independent non-employee directors, develops a proposed slate of nominees that the Board must approve annually to be voted on by all of our shareowners. In identifying and recommending director nominees, the committee considers the merits of its candidates and their contribution to the Board. Each director is subject to re-election by the shareowners annually. Imposing an arbitrary maximum of six years' service for future independent non-employee directors would deprive us of experienced oversight and promote excessive turnover of Board members. The Board believes that the accelerated turnover of directors that would result from the imposition of term limits, as set forth in the proposal, would be disruptive and could significantly diminish our ability to attract the most qualified candidates for Board service. **Therefore, your directors recommend that shareowners vote AGAINST this proposal.**

Shareowner Proposal (Proposal 5 on Proxy Card)

Mr. William Steiner, 112 Abbottsford Gate, Piermont, NY 10968, has submitted the following proposal:

"RESOLVED: Shareholders request that our Directors increase shareholder voting rights and submit the adoption, maintenance or extension of any poison pill to a shareholder vote. Also once this proposal is adopted, dilution or removal of this proposal is requested to be submitted to a shareholder vote at the earliest possible shareholder election. Directors have discretion to set the earliest election date and in responding to shareholder votes.

"This topic won an overall 60% yes-vote at 79 companies in 2003. I believe majority shareholder votes are a strong signal of shareholder concern on this topic. I do not see how our Directors could object to this proposal because it gives our Directors the flexibility to ignore our shareholder vote if our Directors seriously believe they have a *good reason*.

"William Steiner, 112 Abbottsford Gate, Piermont NY 10968 submitted this proposal.

Poison Pill Negative

“The key negative of poison pills is that pills can preserve management deadwood.

Source: *Moringstar.com*

The Potential of a Tender Offer Can Motivate Our Directors

“Hectoring directors to act more independently is a poor substitute for the bracing possibility that shareholders could sell the company out from under its present management.

Source: *Wall Street Journal*, Feb. 24, 2003

Diluted Stock

“An anti-democratic management scheme to flood the market with diluted stock is not a reason that a tender offer for our stock should fail.

Source: *The Motley Fool*

Like a Dictator

“Poison pills are like a dictator who says, “Give up more of your freedom and I’ll take care of you.

“Ultimately if you perform well you remain independent, because your stock price stays up.”

T. J. Dermot Dunphy, CEO of Sealed Air (NYSE) for 25 years

“I believe our Directors could make a token response to this proposal – hoping to gain points in the new corporate governance rating systems. A reversible response, which could still allow our directors to give us a poison pill with not even a subsequent vote, would not substitute for this proposal.

Council of Institutional Investors Recommendation

“The Council of Institutional Investors www.cii.org, whose members have \$2 trillion invested, called for shareholder approval of poison pills.

Director Confidence in Our Management

“I believe that, by our Directors taking the steps to adopt this proposal, our Directors will signal their confidence that our management will be the best management to maintain shareholder value. I believe adoption would be an expression of our Directors’ confidence that our stock will not become an undervalued takeover target.

Shareholder Input on a Poison Pill Yes on 5”

Your directors recommend a vote against the above proposal. The Board believes the action requested in this proposal is unwise and ill-advised since it would limit the flexibility of the Board to act in the best interests of our shareowners. It is important to note that we have not adopted a shareowner rights plan (sometimes called a “poison pill”) and have no intention of adopting one at this time. However, special circumstances could arise in the future that would make such a plan an important tool for protecting the interests of our shareowners from abusive and unfair takeover tactics and for maximizing shareowner value. The delay caused by requiring shareowner approval for the adoption of a rights plan would seriously impede the ability of our Board to use such a plan to benefit shareowners when conditions warrant.

The purpose of a shareowner rights plan is to help the Board maximize shareowner value in the event of a takeover attempt by encouraging negotiations with the Board and by providing the Board with a greater period of time to evaluate the acquisition offer or to explore other alternatives. A study of takeover data from 1992 through 1996 by Georgeson & Company, a nationally recognized proxy solicitation and investor relations firm, determined that the presence of a rights plan neither increased the likelihood of defeat of an unsolicited takeover proposal nor reduced the likelihood of a company becoming a takeover target, but that premiums paid to acquire target companies with shareowner rights plans were on average eight percentage points higher than for target companies that did not have such plans.

In recommending a vote against this proposal, the Board has not determined that a rights plan should be adopted by the Company. In the event that special circumstances warrant the adoption of a rights plan, the determination to adopt such a plan would be made only after consideration of all the relevant circumstances and careful deliberation by the Board while exercising its fiduciary duties. The recommendation against the proposal is based on the Board's belief that it would be inappropriate and unwise to limit the scope of the Board's extensive experience, business judgment and flexibility to act in the best interests of shareowners. **Therefore, your directors recommend that shareowners vote AGAINST this proposal.**

Shareowner Proposal (Proposal 6 on Proxy Card)

The AFL-CIO Reserve Fund, 815 Sixteenth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006, has submitted the following proposal:

"RESOLVED: The shareholders of AT&T Corp. (the "Company") urge the Board of Directors to amend the bylaws to require that an independent director who has not served as an executive officer of the Company shall serve as Chair of the Board of Directors.

"SUPPORTING STATEMENT

"The primary purpose of the Board of Directors is to protect shareholders' interests by providing independent oversight of management, including the CEO. We believe that separating the roles of Chair and CEO will promote greater management accountability to shareholders and lead to a more objective evaluation of the CEO. In our opinion, an independent Board Chair will enhance investor confidence in our Company and strengthen the integrity of the Board of Directors.

"Recent corporate scandals have focused attention on the issue of board independence and the need for an independent board chairman. According to the Wall Street Journal, "in a post-Enron world of tougher corporate-governance standards, the notion of a separate outside chairman is gaining boardroom support as a way to improve monitoring of management and relieve overworked CEOs" (*Splitting Posts of Chairman, CEO Catches on With Boards*, November 11, 2002).

"The National Association of Corporate Directors has recommended that "Boards should consider formally designating an independent director as chairman or lead director." The Conference Board Commission on Public Trust and Private Enterprise has recommended that "each corporation give careful consideration, based on its particular circumstances, to separating the offices of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer."

"At present, our Company's Bylaws require that the Chair of the Board shall be the CEO of the Company. We believe this bylaw requirement unnecessarily restricts the independent leadership of the Board of Directors, and contradicts recent corporate governance trends. According to the Investor Responsibility Research Center, "thirty percent of S&P 1,500 companies now have a CEO who does not simultaneously serve as the company chair, up from 26 percent in 2001."

"By setting agendas, priorities and procedures, the position of Board Chair is critical in shaping the work of the Board of Directors. Accordingly, we believe that having an independent director serve as Board Chair can help ensure the objective functioning of an effective board. Conversely, we fear that combining the positions of Board Chair and CEO may result in a passive and uninvolved board that rubber-stamps the CEO's own decisions.

"For these reasons, we urge a vote FOR this resolution."

Your directors recommend a vote against the above proposal. The Board believes that the proposal is not in the best interests of our company or our shareowners. The Board believes that the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) may appropriately be held by the same person to act as a bridge between the Board and our operating organization and to provide critical leadership for strategic initiatives.

The Board endorses the view that one of its primary functions is to protect shareowners' interests by providing independent oversight of management. The Board believes independence and oversight are best accomplished through the composition of the Board. Our Board is composed of nine independent non-management directors among its ten members, and has mandated in its Corporate Governance Guidelines that 75 percent of its members be independent. In addition, all members of the Audit Committee, Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee are independent, as required by the charters for each of those committees. Accordingly, the Board does not believe that separating the positions of Chairman of the Board and CEO is necessary to achieve effective independence and oversight.

A principal role of the Chairman of the Board is to propose the general agenda for Board meetings from among the many issues facing the Company on a day-to-day basis. As a result, the CEO is in the best position to develop this agenda. Although the agenda provides a framework for discussion, it does not limit consideration of other matters by the Board. In addition, our Board agendas often include matters suggested by our independent directors, who are encouraged to suggest matters for inclusion on the Board agenda by our Corporate Governance Guidelines. Furthermore, our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that independent directors have full and free access to any officer or other employee of our Company with whom they wish to communicate.

Our corporate governance structure, with its strong emphasis on independence, makes it unnecessary to have an absolute requirement that the Chairman of the Board be an independent director. Adopting such a rule would only limit the Board's ability to select the director best suited to serve as Chairman of the Board and would deprive the Board of its flexibility to organize and conduct its strategic business functions effectively. In addition, amending our By-laws to restrict the Board's discretion in this matter could deprive the Board of the freedom and flexibility to select the most qualified and appropriate individual to lead the Board as Chairman. **Therefore, your directors recommend that shareowners vote AGAINST this proposal.**

Shareowner Proposal (Proposal 7 on Proxy Card)

CWA Joe Beirne Foundation, 501 Third Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001-2797, has submitted the following proposal:

"Resolved: the stockholders request:

- "(1) a special review of AT&T's executive compensation policies to determine whether they create an undue incentive to export jobs, restructure operations or make other decisions that may prove to be short-sighted, by linking the compensation of senior executives to measures of performance that are based on corporate income or earnings; and
- "(2) a report to the stockholders that summarizes the scope of the review and any action recommended.

"Supporting Statement

"AT&T uses "operational net earnings" and "earnings before interest and taxes" as factors in determining annual incentive pay. It also uses "three-year cumulative earnings per share" as a factor in awarding certain long-term incentives. I believe these criteria may create undue incentives for executives to make short-sighted decisions that may boost short-term earnings, but have long-term consequences that may be detrimental to the Company and its shareholders.

"One example of the need to review compensation policies is the growing tendency of American corporations to export jobs, either directly or through third party vendors, "to get cheaper employees an ocean away" (USA Today, 8/5/2003). AT&T is part of this trend because it uses third party vendors in other nations to help it provide a significant and increasing percentage of its long-distance services for customers in the United States.

"Time has reported that some managers of American companies "believe they can cut their overall costs 25% to 40%" merely "by taking advantage of lower wages overseas" (8/4/2003). This difference in wage rates has created a temptation for executives to export jobs, whether or not it makes sense in the long run.

"At AT&T, I believe the temptation to export jobs and make other short-sighted decisions is exacerbated by criteria that it uses to determine bonus awards and incentive pay. These criteria give senior executives a personal incentive to boost earnings within one to three year performance periods to maximize their own pay. Consequently, they may be rewarded for making decisions that boost earnings in the short run, before it becomes apparent that the long-term consequences are detrimental.

"Reuters reported that "outsourcing could do more harm than good" (10/31/2003). The report cited on survey in which "66 percent of companies... were disappointed with their outsourcing contracts." It added that "only 39 percent of the companies [in the survey] would renew contracts with their existing outsourcing suppliers, and 15 percent planned to bring services back in-house."

"Reuters also reported the view of a consultant that "only 40 percent of the outsourcing projects are successful." It added, "pitfalls include security hazards, cultural differences, and logistics nightmares."

"Business Week identified similar concerns (2/3/2003). It reported that "many companies [have] ended up repatriating... work because they felt they were losing control of core businesses or found them too hard to coordinate."

"I believe compensation decisions should look beyond income and earnings to consider both the quality of earnings and the quality of executive decision-making. The proposed actions would be a step in that direction."

Your directors recommend a vote against the above proposal. Our Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee is committed to establish fair and equitable compensation policies and make decisions that are in the best interests of AT&T and its shareowners. The committee cannot support a proposal that would arbitrarily and unnecessarily consume the Company's resources and prove to be less than useful to shareowners.

We are sensitive to certain global competitive, geographic and marketplace factors in determining appropriate executive incentive programs and policies. The Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee, composed entirely of independent non-management directors, has the sole responsibility to annually review, approve and administer all executive compensation arrangements that are designed to be competitive with the relevant group of organizations with which we compete in the global marketplace. Our executive compensation program consists of three key components: 1) an annual base salary; 2) an annual incentive component based on our key financial and operational results; and 3) long term incentives, including stock options, long term performance incentive awards and restricted stock or restricted stock units, that provide a mechanism to reward executive officers for maximizing long term shareowner value. Further detail on these key components and our compensation philosophy statement can be found on pages 29 through 32 of this proxy statement.

We describe our executive compensation policies, programs and practices in this proxy statement. The Board believes that the report sought by the proponent would not provide any additional meaningful information to shareowners and would not accomplish the objectives set forth in the proposal. **Therefore, your directors recommend that shareowners vote AGAINST this proposal.**

NEW EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION POLICY ADOPTED

On February 23, 2004, the Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee of our Board of Directors formally adopted a policy that any future awards of performance based compensation to our executive officers will exclude from any measure of our earnings any non-cash pension credits that result from projected returns on employee pension fund assets. We are joining many other companies which are adopting similar compensation policies, which our Board believes comport with evolving best practices for executive compensation. In recognition of our formal adoption of this policy, Domini Social Investments LLC and Jane Banfield, a representative of AT&T Concerned Employees, agreed to withdraw a shareowner proposal on this subject which they had submitted for inclusion in our proxy statement.

ADVANCE NOTICE PROCEDURES; NOMINATION OF DIRECTORS

Under our By-Laws, no nominations of individuals for election as directors or other business may be brought before our annual meeting except as specified in the notice of the meeting (which notice includes shareowner proposals that we are required to set forth in our proxy statement under SEC Rule 14a-8) or as otherwise brought before the meeting by or at the direction of the Board or by a shareowner entitled to vote who has delivered written notice to us (containing certain information specified in the By-Laws) not less than 90 or more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting. These requirements are separate and apart from and in addition to the SEC's requirements that a shareowner must meet to have a shareowner proposal included in our proxy statement under SEC Rule 14a-8.

A copy of the full text of the By-Law provisions discussed above may be obtained by writing to our Office of the Corporate Secretary. Our By-Laws are also available on the AT&T Investor Relations Website at www.att.com/ir.

OTHER MATTERS TO COME BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING

In addition, there will be an address by our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and a general discussion period during which shareowners will have an opportunity to ask questions about our business. In the event that any matter not described herein may properly come before the meeting, or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting, the Proxy Committee will vote the shares represented by it in accordance with its best judgment. At the time this document went to press, we knew of no other matters that might be presented for shareowner action at our AT&T 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareowners.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The following report of the Audit Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates this report by reference therein.

REVIEW OF THE COMPANY'S AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2003, with the Company's management. The Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent accountants, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees), as modified or supplemented.

The Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussion with Audit Committees) and the Committee has discussed the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP with that firm.

Based on the Committee's review and discussions noted above, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Company's audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Submitted by:

Jon C. Madonna (Chairman)
 William F. Aldinger
 M. Kathryn Eickhoff
 Frank C. Herringer
 Donald F. McHenry

OUR INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

PRINCIPAL AUDITOR FEES AND SERVICES (\$ IN 000'S)

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered for the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the years ended December 31, 2003, and 2002, were:

	2003	2002
Audit	\$12,737	\$16,754
Audit Related	2,732	8,746
Tax	13,855	18,634
All Other	374	14,952
Total	\$29,698	\$59,086

The *Audit* fees for the years ended December 31, 2003, and 2002, were for professional services rendered for the audits of the consolidated financial statements of the Company, statutory audits, issuance of comfort letters, consents and assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC.

The *Audit Related* fees for the year ended December 31, 2003, were for professional services rendered for Sarbanes-Oxley 404 readiness assistance and audits of employee benefit plans. Fees in this category for the year ended December 31, 2002, were mainly for assurance services related to employee benefit plan audits, internal control reviews, and consultations concerning financial accounting and reporting standards. Additional fees of \$909 and \$850, respectively, were paid directly by the respective plan trusts for employee benefit plan audits and related services.

Tax fees for the years ended December 31, 2003, and 2002, were for services related to: tax compliance, including the preparation of domestic and foreign income and non-income tax returns, assistance with claims for refunds and tax services performed for executives and expatriates in various countries. Fees for the year ended December 31, 2003, also included tax planning and tax advice related to the restructuring of international operations while fees for the year ended December 31, 2002, included tax planning and tax advice related to mergers, acquisitions and dispositions.

All Other fees were primarily for services rendered for management advisory services.

The de minimis exception (described under "Preapproval Policies and Procedures" on page 28) was not used for any fees paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

The Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of the above services other than audit services is compatible with maintaining PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's independence.

All audit fees, audit related fees, tax fees and all other fees were preapproved by the Audit Committee. The percentage of hours expended on PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's engagement to audit the Company's financial

statements that were performed by other than PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's full-time, permanent employees did not exceed 50%.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to be present at the 2004 annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement and are expected to be available to answer questions.

PREAPPROVAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

All audit and non-audit services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP must be preapproved by the Audit Committee. The non-audit services specified in Section 10A(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 may not be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

The approval of all audit and non-audit services will take place at the last Audit Committee meeting each year for the subsequent fiscal year estimated services. During the course of the fiscal year, any requests for unforeseen or additional audit or non-audit services to be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP must be preapproved by the Audit Committee, except for those qualifying for the de minimis exception which provides that the preapproval requirement for certain non-audit services may be waived if:

- (i) the aggregate amount of such non-audit services provided to AT&T constitutes not more than 5% of the total fees paid by AT&T to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in the fiscal year such non-audit services are provided;
- (ii) such services were not recognized by AT&T as non-audit services at the time they were provided; and
- (iii) such services are promptly brought to the attention of the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee may delegate to the Chairman of the Audit Committee the authority to grant preapprovals. In such event, the decisions of the Chairman regarding preapprovals will be presented to the full Audit Committee at its next meeting.

In order to be presented to the Chairman of the Audit Committee or the full Audit Committee for approval, all unforeseen or additional proposed services must first be approved by the Controller/Chief Accounting Officer (if for a corporate department) or Business Unit Chief Financial Officer, and by the AT&T Chief Financial Officer.


PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will provide a revised estimate for the year, by project, of audit and non-audit services to the Financial Vice President-Internal Audit prior to each regularly scheduled Audit Committee meeting.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will review its revised services estimate at each Audit Committee meeting. The Audit Committee will periodically review such estimate with the full Board of Directors.

Audit Committee approval of audit and non-audit services to be performed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP shall be disclosed to investors in periodic reports required by the SEC.

AT&T COMMON STOCK FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

The graph below provides an indicator of cumulative total shareowner returns for AT&T common stock compared with the S&P 500 Stock Index (S&P 500) and the S&P Composite 1500 Diversified Telecommunications Services Index (Diversified Telecom Services), formerly named the S&P Super-Composite Diversified Telecom Service Index.



	Dec-98	Dec-99	Dec-00	Dec-01	Dec-02	Dec-03
AT&T common stock	100	102	35	48	35	29
S&P 500	100	121	110	97	76	97
Diversified Telecom Services	100	109	67	58	39	38

Explanation

The graph assumes \$100 invested on December 31, 1998, in AT&T common stock, the S&P 500 and Diversified Telecom Services with the reinvestment of all dividends, including our distribution to shareowners of AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. (AWS) common stock on July 9, 2001, and Comcast Corporation (Comcast) Class A common stock on November 18, 2002(1). For the purpose of this chart, the AWS and Comcast distributions are treated as nontaxable cash dividends that would have been converted into additional AT&T shares at the close of business for AWS on July 9, 2001, and at the close of business for Comcast on November 18, 2002. The number of shares of AT&T common stock outstanding and per share data have been adjusted to reflect the three-for-two stock split effective on April 15, 1999, and the one-for-five reverse stock split effective on November 18, 2002.

Footnote

1. Data Source: S&P Compustat

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

BOARD COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee (the Committee) establishes, approves and administers executive compensation policies and practices that govern the compensation paid to all AT&T officers and executives, and approves the compensation of executives who are subject to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, other than the Chief Executive Officer, whose compensation is approved by the Board based upon recommendations of the Committee. (In 2003, the Board also approved the compensation of the named executives in the Summary Compensation Table.) The Committee regularly reports to the Board and is comprised of five independent non-employee directors. During 2003, Kenneth T. Derr chaired the Committee and the other

Committee members were William F. Aldinger, who replaced Amos B. Hostetter, Jr. in July, Frank C. Herringer, Shirley Ann Jackson and Tony L. White. The following sets forth the Committee's policies regarding executive compensation during 2003.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

AT&T operates in a very competitive, dynamic and challenging industry. We believe that the compensation programs for executives should be designed to attract and retain executives who possess the high-quality skills and talent necessary to transform the business. This compensation philosophy seeks to provide a strong link between an executive's total earnings opportunity and the company's short term and long term performance based on the achievement of pre-determined financial targets and operational goals relative to our competitors, as well as to an individual's contributions. The core principles underlying the framework for the programs are:

- *Total compensation opportunities must be competitive* — the value will be based on comparable companies' pay opportunities and will be targeted at levels that will attract, motivate and retain a highly skilled work force and enable AT&T to compete with other premier employers for the best talent.

- *Pay must be performance based* — a significant part of each executive's compensation is directly linked to accomplishing specific results that will create shareowner value in the short and long term.

- *A significant portion of the total compensation opportunity should be equity-based* — We believe that an equity stake effectively aligns employee and shareowner interests and provides proper motivation for enhancing shareowner value.

In 2003, we worked with an independent outside consultant to conduct a comprehensive review of AT&T's compensation philosophy and programs. We engaged the consultant to act as our advisor and report directly to us during the review process.

One outcome of the review was a reaffirmation of the core principles described above, with certain refinements. These refinements strengthened and clarified the link between the competitive position of AT&T's compensation program and the company's performance, against internal operational objectives and the performance of direct competitors.

Executive Compensation Components and Practices

AT&T's executive compensation program consists of three key components: (1) base salary; (2) short term incentives, i.e., annual bonus; and (3) long term incentives, i.e., performance shares, stock options and restricted stock or restricted stock units. The policies and practices for determining executive compensation and specifically that of the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, David W. Dorman, are described below:

(1) Base Salary

The Committee establishes the salary ranges for each of the executive officer positions based upon the job responsibilities and scope, level of expertise and experience required, strategic impact of the position, overall business performance and individual contributions, as well as competitive compensation of similarly positioned executives in comparable companies. Annual salary adjustments recognize sustained individual performance by the executive, while overall salary increase funding is sensitive to both market movement and our performance.

(2) Annual Incentives

All executives are eligible to be considered for annual incentives. The annual bonus for executive officers is based on AT&T key financial and operational results as measured against targets for revenue, earnings (as measured by operating net income, earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT), or other metrics) and certain qualitative measures of performance. Targets for these measures are established in advance and reviewed and approved by the Committee. We also set a minimum performance level that must be met before any awards can be paid. If that minimum level is not achieved, there will be no annual bonuses. The final award amount depends on the

actual level of performance achieved in comparison to the targets; however, we have the discretion to make adjustments to ensure that award payments reflect AT&T's true operating results.

(3) Long Term Incentives

Long term incentives, including stock options, long term performance incentive awards, and restricted stock units, provide a mechanism to reward executive officers for maximizing long term shareowner value. Grants of stock options and performance shares have been made annually. In 2003, AT&T made grants of stock options and restricted stock units under the AT&T 1997 Long Term Incentive Program. Prior to 2003, grants of performance shares were made annually, but no new performance shares were granted in 2003. The size of these annual grants is based on competitive market grant levels for similar positions. The Aggregated Option/Stock Appreciation Rights (SAR) Exercises in 2003 table summarize option grants in fiscal year 2003 to the named executives. Restricted stock units granted in 2003 to the named executives are described in the Summary Compensation Table.

Stock Options: In 2003, all executives were eligible to be considered for stock option awards granted annually. The size of the grant is determined by the Committee based on surveys of competitive grant levels for similar positions. Stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to or greater than the fair market value of our common stock on the day of grant and become exercisable after the expiration of a period of time, typically between one and four years, and continue to be exercisable until ten years from the date granted.

Restricted Stock Units: In 2003, all executives and officers were eligible to be considered for restricted stock unit awards primarily for purposes of retention. Restricted stock is subject to forfeiture and may not be disposed of by the recipient until certain restrictions established by the Committee lapse. Restricted stock unit awards granted in 2003 typically vest 100% three years after the date of grant.

Performance Shares: Performance shares are units equivalent in value to shares of AT&T's common stock. At the end of the performance cycle, the performance shares pay out based upon the achievement of pre-set targets for corporate performance set by the Committee. The performance share award approved by the Committee in 2000 for the 2000-2002 performance period, which paid out in 2003, was based on three-year cumulative earnings per share and EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization) results against pre-established targets and relative total shareowner return, as measured against S&P 500 peer group companies. Based on AT&T's actual performance for the period covering 2000-2002, 96% of the performance shares were earned and distributed as reported in the Summary Compensation Table on page 33.

Deductibility Cap on Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally disallows a tax deduction to public companies, such as AT&T, for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the corporation's Chief Executive Officer and four other most highly compensated executive officers. Section 162(m) provides that qualifying performance-based compensation will not be subject to the deduction limit if certain requirements are met. Elements of compensation under the annual bonus and long term incentive plans qualify for exemption from the annual limit on tax deductibility under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, except for awards of restricted stock units. In addition, AT&T has a salary and incentive award deferral plan that permits compensation deferred under the plan to be exempt from the limit on tax deductibility.

Compensation for the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Dorman became Chief Executive Officer on November 18, 2002, and his performance was reviewed by the Committee at the end of 2003 and discussed with the Board in executive session. We then made recommendations to the Board concerning the annual cash component (base salary and annual bonus) and the long term component (restricted stock units and stock options) of Mr. Dorman's compensation, and the Board approved the recommendations based on the considerations discussed below.

Base Salary: Mr. Dorman's base salary is established based on competitive market rates for a chief executive with his experience and record of accomplishment. The Committee reviews Mr. Dorman's salary annually in

comparison with the salaries of chief executive officers of industry competitors and selected other large market-capitalized companies during its annual compensation survey and review process. Mr. Dorman's salary was increased in 2003 from \$1,250,000 to \$1,325,000 based on the results of the competitive review.

Annual Bonus: We established aggressive market-based performance targets for annual bonuses. Based on AT&T's achievement of certain performance that exceeded targeted financial measures, and the level of achievement on certain operational objectives, the Board authorized an annual bonus for Mr. Dorman of \$2,649,000. In determining the value of the bonus, the Committee also considered Mr. Dorman's leadership in the significant effort of the company's transition. His bonus was equal to the funding available in accordance with the annual bonus plan formula.

Long Term Incentives: During 2003, the Board granted Mr. Dorman options to acquire shares of our common stock of 1,050,000 on May 15, 2003, as described in the Summary Compensation Table. The Board also granted Mr. Dorman 150,000 restricted stock units on February 2, 2003, with a grant date value of \$2,853,000.

**The Compensation and Employee
Benefits Committee**

Kenneth T. Derr (Chairman)
William F. Aldinger
Frank C. Herringer
Shirley Ann Jackson
Tony L. White

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Messrs. Kenneth T. Derr (Chairman), William F. Aldinger, Frank C. Herringer and Tony L. White and Dr. Shirley Ann Jackson, none of whom were officers or employees of the Company or any of the Company's subsidiaries or had any relationship requiring disclosure by the Company under Item 404 of the SEC's Regulation S-K during or prior to 2003.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Named Officers and Principal Position(1)	Year	Annual Compensation(2)			Long-Term Compensation(2)				All Other Compensation(9) (\$)
		Salary(\$)	Bonus(\$)	Other Annual Compensation(3) (\$)	Awards(4)			Payouts	
					Restricted Stock Award(s)(5) (\$)	Options/SARs(#)			
						AT&T(6)	Wireless(7)	LTIP Payouts(8) (\$)	
David W. Dorman Chairman of the Board and CEO	2003	1,268,750	2,649,000	908,264	2,853,000(a)	1,050,000	0	707,090	3,341,283
	2002	1,080,797	2,000,000	594,024	0	1,247,416	0	0	3,128,663(10)
	2001	950,000	820,000	448,862	4,957,212(b)	350,018	0	0	849,137(10)
Thomas W. Horton Senior Exec. Vice President and CFO	2003	625,000	940,000	142,625	1,141,200(a)	520,000	0	0	418,403
	2002	280,000	600,000	1,371,367	0	519,110	0	0	2,491,167
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
John Polumbo (11) President and CEO of AT&T Consumer	2003	562,500	907,900	541,344	951,000(a)	350,000	0	0	110,623
	2002	429,751	500,000	36,842	0	279,596	0	0	607,649
	2001	82,333	56,130	6,622	1,661,030(c)	33,006	0	0	41,740
James W. Cicconi General Counsel & Executive Vice President	2003	605,000	840,300	125,086	951,000(a)	350,000	0	134,419	82,261
	2002	593,750	840,000	139,524	0	406,766	0	268,564	376,970
	2001	556,274	461,700	114,695	1,281,923(b)	123,422	0	239,798	64,539
Hossein Eslambolchi(12) President AT&T Global Network Technology Services - AT&T CTO & CIO	2003	579,167	585,800	136,853	2,250,066(a)(d)	280,000	0	51,804	662,670
	2002	537,500	750,000	177,465	0	428,718	0	56,691	673,207
	2001	419,147	330,000	108,694	1,104,220(b)(e)	187,964	101,400	0	3,707,151

Footnotes

- Includes the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and the four other most highly compensated individuals who were executive officers of AT&T at the end of 2003, as measured by salary and bonus.
- Compensation deferred at the election of the named executives is included in the category (e.g., bonus, 1997 Plan payouts) and year it would have otherwise been reported had it not been deferred.
- Includes (i) payments of above-market interest on deferred compensation, (ii) dividend equivalents with respect to long term compensation paid during the year and (iii) tax payment reimbursements. In addition, includes the aggregate incremental cost to the company of providing perquisites and personal benefits to the named executive officers for the last three years. The amounts reported for 2003, which represent at least 25% of the total amount of Other Annual Compensation reported for 2003, are: (a) financial counseling for Mr. Horton in the amount of \$13,670; (b) personal use of corporate aircraft by the named executive or members of the executive's family for Messrs. Dorman, Horton and Polumbo in the amount of \$305,403, \$20,565 and \$302,695, respectively; and (c) car allowance for Mr. Horton in the amount of \$16,800. The amounts reported for 2002, include: (d) financial counseling for Mr. Dorman in the amount of \$80,740; (e) relocation for Mr. Horton in the amount of \$86,815; (f) personal use of corporate aircraft by the named executive or members of the executive's family for Messrs. Dorman, Horton and Eslambolchi in the amount of \$109,115, \$39,948 and \$76,911, respectively. The amounts reported for 2001, include: (g) financial counseling for Mr. Dorman in the amount of \$62,550; (h) personal use of corporate aircraft by the named executive or members of the executive's family for Messrs. Dorman and Eslambolchi in the amount of \$108,071 and \$34,010, respectively; and (i) car allowance for Mr. Eslambolchi in the amount of \$16,800.
- Share and per share amounts have been adjusted to reflect the distribution of AWS common stock to owners of AT&T common stock in connection with the split-off of AWS from AT&T on July 9, 2001; the November 18, 2002, spin-off of AT&T Broadband and subsequent merger with Comcast; and AT&T's November 18, 2002, one-for-five reverse stock split.
- All outstanding restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards with respect to AT&T common stock were adjusted for the distribution of AWS common stock to owners of AT&T common stock in connection with the split-off of AWS from AT&T on July 9, 2001, to preserve the economic value of the awards immediately prior to the distribution and split-off. These awards were further adjusted at the spin-off of AT&T Broadband and subsequent merger with Comcast on November 18, 2002, into (i) an adjusted AT&T restricted stock or restricted stock unit award, as the case may be, and (ii) a Comcast stock unit award

(as defined below) so that the combined value of the adjusted and new awards immediately after the spin-off was equal to the value of the old award immediately prior to the spin-off. The new stock unit award, awarded under the 1997 Plan, will be paid in cash based on the value of Comcast Corporation Class A common stock upon the vesting of the original award and is referred to as a Comcast stock unit. The adjusted AT&T restricted stock and AT&T restricted stock units were further adjusted for the November 18, 2002, one-for-five reverse stock split. The amounts shown represent the dollar value on the date originally granted.

(a) On February 3, 2003, Messrs. Dorman, Horton, Polumbo, Cicconi and Eslambolchi received restricted stock unit awards of 150,000, 60,000, 50,000, 50,000 and 40,000 units, respectively. These AT&T restricted stock units vest fully on February 3, 2006. Dividend equivalents on the restricted stock units are paid in cash. The value of these awards, as of the original grant date, is reflected in the table.

(b) On March 15, 2001, Messrs. Dorman, Cicconi and Eslambolchi received restricted stock unit awards of 281,213*, 72,721* and 28,479* units, respectively. Following the spin-off of AT&T Broadband and subsequent merger with Comcast and the November 18, 2002, one-for-five reverse stock split, these AT&T restricted stock units were adjusted to 56,264, 14,550 and 5,698 AT&T restricted stock units, respectively, and 89,948, 23,260 and 9,109 Comcast stock units, respectively. These AT&T restricted stock units and Comcast stock units vest fully on March 15, 2004. Dividend equivalents on the restricted stock units are paid in cash. The value of these awards, as of the original grant date, is reflected in the table.

(c) On September 28, 2001, Mr. Polumbo received a grant of 114,000* restricted shares. Following the spin-off of AT&T Broadband and subsequent merger with Comcast and the November 18, 2002, one-for-five reverse stock split, this award was adjusted to 22,809 AT&T restricted shares, and 36,879 Comcast stock units. These AT&T restricted shares and Comcast stock units vested fully on December 31, 2002. Dividends on the restricted shares were paid in cash. The value of these awards, as of the original grant date, is reflected in the table.

(d) On February 3, 2003, Mr. Eslambolchi received a special award of 78,300 restricted stock units. These AT&T restricted stock units vest 50%, or 39,150 units, on February 3, 2006, and the remaining 50%, or 39,150 units, on February 3, 2007. Dividend equivalents on the restricted stock units are paid in cash. The value of these awards, as of the original grant date, is reflected in the table.

(e) On January 31, 2001, Mr. Eslambolchi received a special award of 32,718* restricted stock units which, upon the spin-off of AT&T Broadband and subsequent merger with Comcast and the November 18, 2002, one-for-five reverse stock split, were adjusted to 6,546 restricted stock units and 10,465 Comcast stock units that fully vest on January 31, 2004. The value of these awards, as of their original grant date, is reflected in the table. Dividend equivalents on the restricted stock units are paid in cash to Mr. Eslambolchi.

The aggregate number (and value) with respect to each of the named executives on December 31, 2003, for outstanding AT&T restricted stock and AT&T restricted stock units were: Mr. Dorman, 206,264 (\$4,187,159); Mr. Horton, 60,000 (\$1,218,000); Mr. Polumbo, 50,000 (\$1,015,000); Mr. Cicconi, 64,550 (\$1,310,365); and Mr. Eslambolchi, 130,544 (\$2,650,043). The aggregate number (and value) with respect to each of the named executives on December 31, 2003, for Comcast stock units were: Mr. Dorman, 89,948 (\$2,949,395); Mr. Cicconi, 23,260 (\$762,695); and Mr. Eslambolchi, 19,574 (\$641,831).

6. All stock option awards granted with respect to AT&T common stock were adjusted for the impact of the distribution of AWS common stock to owners of AT&T common stock in connection with the split-off of AWS from AT&T on July 9, 2001. The share amounts shown represent the number of shares of AT&T common stock applicable to the awards following the distribution and split-off adjustments. Each outstanding stock option grant, exercisable for AT&T common stock granted prior to January 1, 2001, was adjusted into (i) an adjusted grant for AT&T common shares and (ii) a new stock option grant for AWS common shares awarded under the AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. Adjustment Plan adopted by AWS. The combined intrinsic value of the two grants immediately after the split-off equaled the intrinsic value of the outstanding grant for AT&T common shares immediately before the split-off. Each outstanding stock option grant, exercisable for AT&T common stock granted on or after January 1, 2001, but prior to July 9, 2001, was adjusted so that the intrinsic value of the grant immediately after the split-off equaled the intrinsic value of the grant immediately prior to the split-off. In all cases, the grant price to market price ratio determined for each grant prior to any adjustment was maintained in the post-split adjusted grants.

Upon the spin-off of AT&T Broadband, each AT&T stock option award held by an active AT&T employee was divided by a factor of 0.3872 reflecting the ratio of the price of AT&T common stock prior to the AT&T Broadband spin-off (\$13.12) versus the assumed price of AT&T common stock immediately after the AT&T Broadband spin-off and prior to the AT&T one-for-five reverse stock split (\$5.08), which was calculated by dividing by five the price at which AT&T common stock actually

commenced trading after both the spin-off and the reverse stock split (\$25.40). All grant prices were multiplied by this same factor. To further adjust for the one-for-five reverse stock split, the stock options were multiplied by 0.2 and the grant price divided by 0.2. All shares were rounded down to the nearest whole share and grant prices rounded to four decimals.

7. All stock option awards granted with respect to AWS tracking stock awarded under the 1997 Plan were cancelled and replaced in connection with the split-off of AWS from AT&T on July 9, 2001. The share amounts shown represent the number of shares of AWS common stock applicable to the awards prior to the cancellation. Each outstanding grant was replaced with a new award under the AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. Adjustment Plan so that the intrinsic value of the grant immediately after the split-off equaled the intrinsic value of the grant immediately prior to the split-off. In all cases, the new awards were fully vested and non-forfeitable, and the grant price to market price ratio determined for each grant prior to cancellation was maintained in the replacement grants. The new awards are obligations of AWS and not of AT&T.
8. Includes distributions in 2003 to Messrs. Dorman, Cicconi and Eslambolchi of performance shares as to which a three-year performance period ended December 31, 2002. Includes distributions in 2002 to Messrs. Cicconi and Eslambolchi of performance shares as to which a three-year performance period ended December 31, 2001. Includes distributions in 2001 to Mr. Cicconi of performance shares as to which a three-year performance period ended December 31, 2000.

Performance share cycles ending on December 31, 2001, and December 31, 2002, were adjusted in connection with the distribution and split-off of AWS from AT&T on July 9, 2001, to preserve the economic value of the awards immediately prior to the distribution and split-off. Each holder of such awards received an adjusted performance share award and a stock unit award under the 1997 Plan. The new stock unit award was distributed based on the value of AWS common stock upon the completion of the performance period of the original performance share award and is referred to as a Wireless Stock Unit.

Upon the spin-off of AT&T Broadband, performance share cycles ending on December 31, 2002, December 31, 2003, and December 31, 2004, were adjusted to preserve the economic value of the awards prior to the spin-off. Each holder of such awards received an adjusted performance share award and a Comcast stock unit award. The new stock unit award will be paid in cash based on the value of Comcast Corporation Class A common stock upon the completion of the performance period of the original performance share award. The performance shares were further adjusted for the AT&T one-for-five reverse stock split by dividing the number of performance shares in each outstanding cycle by five and rounding down to the nearest whole share.

9. In 2003, includes (a) AT&T contributions to savings plans (Mr. Dorman \$8,000, Mr. Polumbo \$8,000, Mr. Cicconi \$8,000 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$8,000); (b) dollar value of the benefit of premiums paid for universal life insurance policies (unrelated to term insurance coverage) calculated on an actuarial basis (Mr. Dorman \$122,051, Mr. Horton \$40,203, Mr. Polumbo \$57,433, Mr. Cicconi \$58,510 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$31,000); (c) payments equal to lost savings plan matching contributions caused by IRS limitations (Mr. Dorman \$35,232, Mr. Horton \$3,200, Mr. Polumbo \$9,190; Mr. Cicconi \$15,750 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$13,500); (d) special payment of \$3,080,000 to Mr. Dorman replacing a long term incentive program offered by Concert, as described on page 38; (e) payment of \$96,000 to Mr. Dorman and \$36,000 to Mr. Polumbo for temporary housing expenses; (f) payment of a special retention bonus of \$375,000 to Mr. Horton, as described on page 41; and (g) special recognition payments of \$610,169 to Mr. Eslambolchi.

In 2002, includes (a) AT&T contributions to savings plans (Mr. Polumbo \$5,366, Mr. Cicconi \$8,000 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$8,000); (b) dollar value of the benefit of premiums paid for universal life insurance policies (unrelated to term insurance coverage) calculated on an actuarial basis (Mr. Dorman \$77,894, Mr. Horton \$2,717, Mr. Polumbo \$3,283, Mr. Cicconi \$56,272 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$40,239); (c) payments equal to lost savings plan matching contributions caused by IRS limitations (Mr. Cicconi \$15,451 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$9,966); (d) payment of \$2,500,000 to Mr. Dorman in recognition of his contributions to the completion of the spin-off of AT&T Broadband and subsequent merger with Comcast; (e) payment of \$72,000 to Mr. Dorman and \$6,000 to Mr. Polumbo for temporary housing expenses; (f) payment of \$593,000 to Mr. Polumbo in replacement of the Concert Founders Grant, as described on page 42; (g) special hiring bonus of \$1,050,000 to Mr. Horton, as described on page 41; (h) payment of \$1,438,450 to Mr. Horton for transition and relocation expenses; (i) special retention payment of \$372,870 to Mr. Eslambolchi; and (j) special recognition payments of \$242,132 to Mr. Eslambolchi. Additionally, 2002 includes the amount of the proceeds of a single one-time Special Deferral Distribution payment in excess of the current balances of the deferred accounts under the AT&T Senior Management Incentive Award Deferral Plan and individual deferral agreements of Messrs. Dorman and Cicconi (Mr. Dorman \$331,866, Mr. Cicconi \$297,247) resulting from their election to receive a payment of an amount equal to 90% of the present value of the future benefit payments from their deferral accounts payable in the form of shares of AT&T common stock, except that in the case of Mr. Dorman, the Board required that the payment was made as an offset to an outstanding loan amount then owed to the Company. In exchange for this payment, they relinquished their rights under all prior deferral elections made including, but not limited to, the right to continued deferral of the balance of their deferral accounts, the right to future guaranteed interest

credits on the cash portions of their accounts and 10% of the present value of the future benefit payments from their deferral accounts.

In 2001, includes (a) AT&T contributions to savings plans (Mr. Cicconi \$6,800 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$4,126); (b) dollar value of the benefit of premiums paid for universal life insurance policies (unrelated to term insurance coverage) calculated on an actuarial basis (Mr. Dorman \$6,175, Mr. Cicconi \$45,038 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$30,662); (c) payments equal to lost savings plan matching contributions caused by IRS limitations (Mr. Cicconi \$12,701 and Mr. Eslambolchi \$5,770); (d) payment of \$500,000 to Mr. Dorman into a special deferral account; (e) payments equal to \$66,000 to Mr. Dorman for temporary housing expenses; (f) special replacement bonus of \$41,740 to Mr. Polumbo, as described on page 42; (g) special retention payment of \$3,462,604 to Mr. Eslambolchi; (h) special cash payment of \$81,748 to Mr. Eslambolchi for the replacement of his performance shares cancelled upon his resignation in 2000; and (i) special recognition payments equal to \$122,241 to Mr. Eslambolchi.

10. Mr. Dorman's all other compensation in 2002 and 2001 included interest in the amounts of \$146,903 for 2002 and \$276,962 for 2001 forgiven by AT&T on loans we had made to him which were outstanding during those two years. These amounts were inadvertently omitted from our reporting of Mr. Dorman's All Other Compensation in our 2003 and 2002 proxy statements. Mr. Dorman repaid these loans during 2002.
11. Mr. Polumbo's salary and bonus for the year 2001 reflect payments only for the time he was employed by AT&T. Mr. Polumbo transferred from AT&T in 1999 to Concert, a former joint venture between AT&T and British Telecom. Mr. Polumbo returned to AT&T in 2001.
12. Mr. Eslambolchi's AT&T stock options, AWS tracking stock options, AT&T restricted stock units, and AT&T restricted shares were cancelled upon his resignation in December 2000. Upon his rehire by AT&T in January 2001, Mr. Eslambolchi received stock option grants in both AT&T and the AWS tracking stock which were then adjusted as noted in footnotes 6 and 7 above.

* Reflects shares/units prior to the adjustment for the AT&T Broadband spin-off and the AT&T one-for-five reverse stock split.

**AGGREGATED OPTION/STOCK APPRECIATION RIGHTS
(SAR) EXERCISES IN 2003 AND YEAR-END VALUES
AT&T Corp. Common Stock**

Name(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	\$ Value Realized	Exercisable/Unexercisable(2)	
			Number of AT&T Unexercised Options/SARs at Year End	\$ Value of AT&T In-the-Money Options/SARs at Year End
David W. Dorman	0	0	625,010	0
Thomas W. Horton	0	0	2,206,619	3,134,250
John Polumbo	0	0	129,779	37,149
James W. Cicconi	0	0	909,331	1,365,145
Hossein Eslambolchi	0	0	86,402	0
			576,200	1,044,750
			277,550	0
			737,103	1,044,750
			220,900	0
			675,782	835,800

Footnotes

1. Includes the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and the four other most highly compensated individuals who were executive officers of AT&T at the end of 2003, as measured by salary and bonus.
2. Share and per share amounts have been adjusted to reflect AT&T's April 15, 1999, three-for-two stock split; the distribution and split-off of AWS on July 9, 2001; the spin-off of AT&T Broadband and subsequent merger with Comcast on November 18, 2002; and AT&T's November 18, 2002, one-for-five reverse stock split, as described in footnote 6 in the Summary Compensation Table.

LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLANS – AWARDS IN 2003

In 2003, AT&T did not grant new performance share awards. Long term incentives in 2003 consisted of stock options (see Option/SAR Grants in 2003) and restricted stock units (see Summary Compensation Table).

OPTION/SAR GRANTS IN 2003

Name(1)	Individual Grants in AT&T				
	Number of Securities Underlying Options/SARs Granted(2)	% of Total Options/SARs Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year	Exercise or Base Price (\$/Share)	Expiration Date	Grant Date Present Value(3) (\$)
David W. Dorman	1,050,000	4.14%	17.3150	05/15/2013	5,757,150
Thomas W. Horton	420,000	1.66%	17.3150	05/15/2013	2,302,860
	100,000	0.39%	21.4300	07/31/2013	672,900
John Polumbo	350,000	1.38%	17.3150	05/15/2013	1,919,050
James W. Cicconi	350,000	1.38%	17.3150	05/15/2013	1,919,050
Hossein Eslambolchi	280,000	1.10%	17.3150	05/15/2013	1,535,240

Footnotes

1. Includes the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and the four other most highly compensated individuals who were executive officers of AT&T at the end of 2003, as measured by salary and bonus.
2. Options granted become exercisable to the extent of one-fourth of the grant on the first, second, third, and fourth anniversaries of the grant date, respectively.

3. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was chosen to estimate the Grant Date Present Value of the options in this table. The Company's use of this model should not be construed as an endorsement of its accuracy in valuing options. All stock option valuation models, including the Black-Scholes model, require a prediction about the future movement of the stock price. The following assumptions were made for purposes of calculating the Grant Date Present Value on the grants awarded on May 15, 2003: an option term of five years, volatility of 48%, dividend yield of 4.00%, and interest rate of 2.52%. The following assumptions were made for purposes of calculating the Grant Date Present Value on the grants awarded on July 31, 2003: an option term of five years, volatility of 48%, dividend yield of 4.43%, and interest rate of 3.31%. The actual value, if any, of the options in this table depends upon the actual performance of the Company's stock during the applicable period.

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS AND TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

(All equity grants and corresponding share prices described in this section have been adjusted for corporate transactions, i.e. stock splits and spin-offs, that occurred after the grant dates and prior to the vesting dates, except as otherwise specifically indicated below. Refer to footnotes in the Summary Compensation Table and the Aggregated Option/Stock Appreciation Rights Table for more details.)

David W. Dorman

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Dorman dated December 1, 2000, with a term of employment ending December 31, 2002. The agreement is subject to automatic annual renewals after that date unless either we or Mr. Dorman provide written notice to terminate at least 60 days prior to each anniversary date. The agreement provided for the following compensation:

- Base salary: \$950,000
- Target annual bonus: 100% of base salary
- Long term incentives:
 - 10,101 performance shares covering the 2000-2002 performance period
 - 16,251 AT&T Wireless stock units covering the 2000-2002 performance period
 - 16,148 Comcast stock units covering the 2000-2002 performance period
 - 184,195 AT&T stock options – purchase price of \$28.912
 - Long term incentive grants for 2001 valued at \$9,500,000
 - Special Retention Bonus of restricted stock units valued at \$3,800,000
 - AT&T Consumer tracking stock: in the event that we issued such a stock, Mr. Dorman would have received a corresponding stock option grant determined in a manner consistent with the long term incentive grants made to the CEO and other senior executives. (No such tracking stock has been issued.)

To address certain long-term incentive forfeitures and retention forfeitures experienced when Mr. Dorman left his previous employer and to incent him to join us, the agreement provided for:

- Special lump sum cash payment: \$800,000
- Two \$500,000 payments that were credited to an existing special deferral account (which has vested and the total account was distributed on April 1, 2002, in the amount of \$1,851,058.33)
- Cash payment: \$3,080,000 (subject to continued employment through December 31, 2002, and paid in April 2003)
- Two restricted share grants totaling 149,366 shares of AT&T common stock and 241,601 shares of Comcast Corporation Class A common stock (such amounts having been adjusted for the AT&T Wireless Services split-off, the AT&T Broadband spin-off and subsequent merger with Comcast Corporation, and the AT&T one-for-five reverse stock split), and 16,789 shares of AT&T common stock (which we redeemed to satisfy Mr. Dorman's tax withholding requirements prior to the AT&T Broadband spin-off and one-for-five reverse stock split, and which is adjusted only for the AT&T Wireless Services split-off). These grants vested on April 1, 2002.

To mitigate the cost of temporarily maintaining a home in the New Jersey area, the agreement provided:

- Special Temporary Allowance (STA) – payable until the sale of Mr. Dorman’s Atlanta home occurs but not later than July 1, 2004, per two later amendments to his agreement.
- STA: January 2001 – August 2003 – \$6,000 per month; September 2003 – July 2004 – \$12,000 per month grossed-up for tax purposes
- Home purchase: We will extend the availability of the AT&T Management Relocation Plan for our purchase of his Atlanta home until July 1, 2004, using the \$2,975,000 appraised value under the Plan.
- Incentive Payment under the Relocation Plan: 1% of the selling price of his Atlanta home and payable if his Atlanta home is sold before July 1, 2004

Mr. Dorman’s agreement (in addition to a standing resolution of our Board) provides for his unlimited use of the AT&T aircraft for personal travel by him and his family, and to the extent this results in imputed income, we will provide him with a tax gross-up payment.

As part of his employment agreement, Mr. Dorman entered into a fully vested supplemental pension arrangement (SERP) with us. This arrangement, along with a 2003 amendment, provides the following:

- Annual benefit commencing at retirement based on a schedule that provides for a benefit equal to 27.5% of his final three-year average total cash compensation for a 2003 retirement, up to a benefit equal to 60% of such compensation for a 2019 retirement
- For termination within two years following a Change in Control (as defined), the schedule of supplemental pension benefits will be accelerated by three years
- Annual benefit will be offset by any pension paid by us or prior employers

Mr. Dorman’s agreement provides for certain entitlements in the event of his termination from us under specified circumstances as follows:

- Death or Disability:
 - Disability benefits: per our then current disability program for senior executives
 - Annual bonus: payout* at target
 - Performance shares plus any corresponding stock units: vest and payout* at target
 - Restricted stock and restricted stock units plus any corresponding stock units: vest and distribute
 - Stock options: vest and exercisable according to terms of grants
 - Cash hiring bonuses: payment of any unpaid bonuses
 - Financial counseling: one year’s participation
 - Special deferral account: vest and distribute
 - SERP: payment of survivor benefits in the case of death, and payment of accrued benefits in the case of disability

* *prorated for the eligible period based on date of death or termination.*

- Termination for Cause (as defined)
 - Compensation: no further compensation will be paid as of termination date
 - Stock options: cancelled
 - Performance shares plus any corresponding stock units: cancelled
 - Restricted shares: cancelled
 - Restricted stock units plus any corresponding stock units: cancelled

- Voluntary resignation (other than a termination due to death or disability or Good Reason termination (as defined), or retirement based on age and service):
 - Base salary: payable through date of termination
 - Stock options: unvested – cancelled; vested – exercisable for 90 days
 - Restricted shares: cancelled
 - Restricted stock units plus any corresponding stock units: cancelled
 - Performance shares plus any corresponding stock units: cancelled
 - AT&T Separation Medical Plan: eligible for benefits if resignation occurs after December 1, 2002, and not eligible for retiree medical benefits from us – Mr. Dorman and we share premiums
 - Termination initiated by us (other than cause (as defined)) or Good Reason termination (as defined):
 - Base salary: payable through date of termination
 - Annual bonus: payout* at target
 - Severance payment: two times the sum of base salary plus target annual bonus for the year of termination
 - Special deferral account: vest and distribute
 - SERP: payment of accrued benefits commences
 - Restricted shares and restricted stock units plus any corresponding stock units: vest and distribute
 - Performance shares* plus any corresponding stock units*: continue vesting
 - Vested AWS stock options: exercisable for the remainder of their original term
 - Unpaid hiring bonuses: paid
 - Executive Life Insurance (formerly known as Senior Manager Life Insurance): continues
 - In addition, the Senior Officer Separation Plan (under which Mr. Dorman is a named participant) provides the following:
 - Severance payment deferral: for up to five years with up to five annual installments, if he so elects
 - Stock options: vest and exercisable for the remainder of the original term of each grant
 - Financial counseling: two years' participation
 - Telephone reimbursement: continues
 - Transition Counseling
 - Benefits: eligible for coverage under AT&T Separation Medical Plan provided Mr. Dorman is not eligible for retiree medical benefits from us – Mr. Dorman and we share premiums
- * *prorated for the eligible period based on date of death or termination*

Thomas W. Horton

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Horton dated June 10, 2002, with a term of employment from June 13, 2002, through June 15, 2006, with automatic annual renewals thereafter. The agreement provided for his participation in employee benefit plans on the same terms as other senior executives, and for the following 2002 annual compensation:

- Base salary: \$600,000

- Target annual bonus: 100% of base salary prorated for partial service – guaranteed minimum \$375,000
- Long-term incentives:
 - 15,506 performance shares covering the 2002-2004 performance period plus 24,789 Comcast stock units covering the same performance period
 - 162,706 stock options – purchase price of \$19.8245

To address certain forfeitures experienced when Mr. Horton left his previous employer and to incent him to join us, the agreement provided for:

- Stock options: 149,793 stock options – purchase price of \$19.8245
- Signing bonus: \$1,050,000
- Retention bonuses: \$375,000 (paid December 31, 2003) and \$375,000 (payable December 31, 2004)

Mr. Horton's agreement provided for a special one-time payment associated with his transition and relocation to New Jersey (the payment equalled \$1,438,450 plus a federal and state tax gross-up.)

Mr. Horton's agreement provides for certain entitlements in the event of his termination from us at or before the end of its term as follows:

- Base Salary: paid through date of termination
- Signing and Retention Compensation: unpaid amounts will be paid
- Equity: treated per the terms of the grant agreements
- Employee Benefit Plans: treated per terms and conditions of such plans

In addition to the above entitlements, the agreement also provides for the following entitlements in each of the circumstances listed:

- Termination initiated by us (other than cause (as defined)) or Good Reason termination (as defined):
 - AT&T Senior Officer Separation Plan provisions (as defined)
 - No duplication of benefits listed in the previous paragraph above
- Death:
 - Annual bonus: prorated payment at target
 - Financial counseling: one year's participation
- Disability:
 - Annual bonus: prorated payment at target
 - Financial counseling: one year's participation
 - Disability benefits: per our then current disability program

Mr. Horton's agreement also includes terms regarding the repayment of portions of his hiring bonuses if he elects to leave us without Good Reason (on September 16, 2002, this was clarified to exclude termination due to death or disability before January 1, 2004), as follows (see additional discussion under "Other Arrangements" on page 48):

- Before January 1, 2004: repayment of \$750,000
- January 1 – December 31, 2004: repayment of \$375,000

We then entered into an agreement with Mr. Horton on July 29, 2003, that provides a special individual non-qualified pension arrangement with the following terms:

- Vesting: January 1, 2008, or death, disability, Good Reason termination, termination initiated by us (for other than cause), or Change in Control (as defined)
 - Benefit: Annual benefit commencing at retirement based on a schedule that provides for a benefit equal to 4% of his final three-year average total cash compensation for a 2003 retirement, up to a benefit equal to 50% of such compensation for a 2026 retirement
- Change in Control: involuntary termination for other than cause (as defined) or termination for Good Reason (as defined) within two years following a Change in Control, the schedule of pension benefits will be accelerated by three years
- Pension offsets: other pensions paid by us and prior employers

John Pumbo

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Pumbo dated September 7, 2001. The agreement provided for his participation in employee benefit plans on the same terms as other similarly situated executives, and for the following 2001 annual compensation:

- Base salary: \$395,200
- Target annual bonus: 60% base salary prorated for partial service
- Long-term incentives:
 - 3,241 performance shares covering the 2001-2003 performance period plus 5,182 Comcast stock units covering the same performance period
 - 33,006 stock options – purchase price of \$37.384
 - AT&T Consumer Services tracking stock: In the event that we issued such a stock and other executives were awarded stock options on such tracking stock, Mr. Pumbo would be provided stock options consistent with those awarded to similarly situated executives. (No such tracking stock has been issued.)

To address certain forfeitures experienced when Mr. Pumbo left his previous employer, and to incent him to join AT&T, the agreement provided for:

- Replacement of previous employer's prorated annual bonus: \$41,739.62 (paid March 15, 2002)
- Replacement of previous employer's Founder's Grant: \$593,000 (paid March 1, 2002)
- 22,809 shares of restricted stock plus 36,879 Comcast stock units

In addition, the agreement provided a special individual pension arrangement with the following terms:

- Vesting: March 31, 2002, or death or disability (as defined)
- Benefit: annual pension benefit (as defined) commencing at his retirement/termination
- Pension offsets: other pensions paid by us and by a previous employer (Concert)

Mr. Pumbo's agreement provides for certain entitlements in the event of his termination from us as follows:

- Termination initiated by us (other than cause or long term disability):
 - Senior Manager Separation Plan provisions apply
 - Restricted stock units granted as part of his agreement: immediate vesting

- Termination following a Change in Control (as defined):
 - Treated under the terms and conditions applicable to other similarly situated executives

In October 2002, our Board approved an addendum to Mr. Polumbo's employment agreement with the following entitlements in connection with his promotion to President and CEO – AT&T Consumer:

- A temporary housing allowance of \$3,000 per month plus a tax gross-up for up to 12 months in lieu of relocation
- An amendment to the special individual pension arrangement to increase the annual pension benefit by adding 2.9% of final average compensation
- Eligibility for benefits under the Senior Officer Separation Plan in the event of a termination initiated by us for other than cause (as defined) or termination for Good Reason (as defined)

We then entered into an agreement with Mr. Polumbo on July 29, 2003, that amended the special individual pension arrangement with the following terms:

- Vesting: immediate
- Benefit: Annual benefit commencing at retirement based on a schedule that provides for a benefit equal to 17.25% of his final three-year average total cash compensation for a 2003 retirement, up to a benefit equal to 50% of such compensation for a 2017 retirement
- Change in Control: involuntary termination for other than cause (as defined) or termination for Good Reason (as defined) within two years following a Change in Control (as defined), the schedule of pension benefits will be accelerated by three years
- Pension offsets: other pensions paid by us and prior employers

On December 1, 2003, AT&T then entered into an agreement with Mr. Polumbo which provided the following benefits in connection with his assignment as President, AT&T Consumer:

- Extension of a temporary housing allowance of \$3,000 per month plus a tax gross-up until December 31, 2004
- Use of the Company aircraft for commutation between California and New Jersey until December 31, 2004, for an average of no more than five one-way trips per month

James W. Cicconi

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Cicconi dated July 29, 1998. The agreement provided for his participation in employee benefit plans on the same terms as other senior executives, and for the following 1998 annual compensation:

- Base salary: \$425,000
- Target annual bonus: 80% of base salary prorated for partial service – guaranteed minimum \$113,400
- Long term incentives:
 - 10,950 performance shares covering the 1998-2000 performance period
 - 24,011 stock options – purchase price of \$49.8760

To incent Mr. Cicconi to join us, the agreement provided for:

- Signing bonus: \$240,000
- Performance shares:
 - 7,300 shares for the 1996-1998 performance period

- 10,950 shares for the 1997-1999 performance period
- 1999 annual bonus: target bonus at 80% of base salary – guaranteed minimum payment at such target amount

Mr. Cicconi's agreement provides for certain entitlements in the event of a termination initiated by us for other than cause (as defined) and Long Term Disability (as defined) within 72 months of his hire date, as follows:

- Severance payment: greater of \$850,000 or 200% of base salary
- Stock options: regular annual grants vest and retain full term
- Performance shares: regular annual grants vest and retain for performance cycle(s)
- Annual bonus: prorated payment based on actual results
- Pension plans and savings plan: if not vested in each plan, entitled to payment equal to the accrued benefits in each plan (Mr. Cicconi is now vested in both plans)

In 2001, the Board approved Mr. Cicconi's participation in the Senior Officer Separation Plan (as described below).

AT&T then entered into a special retention arrangement with Mr. Cicconi on April 2, 2001, that established a \$1,000,000 special deferral account retroactive to December 1, 2000, with the following terms:

- Vesting: December 31, 2002, or upon death, disability, Good Reason termination (as defined), or Company-initiated termination (for other than cause (as defined)). Account forfeited for termination for cause or resignation before December 31, 2002.
- Interest: 30-year U.S. Treasury Note rate plus 2% – compounded quarterly
- Distribution: Lump sum in calendar quarter following termination – unless Mr. Cicconi made an alternate distribution election before June 30, 2002. (He made such election and account was distributed to him in April 2003, in the amount of \$1,188,822.)

Hossein Eslambolchi

We entered into an agreement with Mr. Eslambolchi on January 6, 2000, to mitigate higher housing costs associated with his relocation to California. This agreement provided for the following:

- Base salary: increase from \$235,000 to \$300,000
- Special temporary allowance: \$25,000 per month for 36 months beginning on date of closing on his home in California (March 2000)
- Special lump sum payment of \$800,000 (plus a tax gross-up of \$485,479) for a down payment on his California home (paid February 11, 2000)

Mr. Eslambolchi resigned from the Company on December 3, 2000, and was then rehired on January 8, 2001. In connection with his rehiring, we entered into an employment/retention agreement with Mr. Eslambolchi on January 5, 2001. The agreement provided for the following:

- Base salary: \$450,000 per year
- Targeted annual bonus: 75% of base salary for 2001 performance year
- 2001 long term incentive: grant value of \$1,350,000
- Equity grants:
 - 51,314 stock options – purchase price \$35.6335
 - 2,650 restricted stock units plus 4,237 Comcast stock units

- Special Retention Payment: \$3,835,473.53 (originally paid \$3,462,603.87 on January 10, 2001; agreement was then amended on March 9, 2001, to provide for an additional \$372,869.66 payment on January 11, 2002, to include California state income tax in the gross-up calculation of the January 10, 2001, payment.) These payments require repayment to the Company if Mr. Eslambolchi voluntarily resigns other than for Good Reason (as defined) or is terminated for cause (as defined), prior to January 8, 2006 (see additional discussion under "Other Arrangements" on page 48).

To address certain forfeitures with respect to Mr. Eslambolchi's former employment with and resignation from us prior to his re-employment on January 8, 2001, we agreed to make the following equity grants to Mr. Eslambolchi under a separate retention agreement on January 31, 2001:

- Stock option grants:
 - 11,289 AT&T stock options – purchase price \$42.4150
 - 20,525 AT&T stock options – purchase price \$55.9345
 - 27,846 AT&T stock options – purchase price \$74.1895
 - Above grants vest 25% per year over four years
 - 11,836 AT&T stock options – purchase price \$35.6335
 - Vest 100% after three years
- Restricted stock units:
 - 3,896 AT&T restricted stock units plus 6,228 Comcast stock units
 - Vest 100% after three years
- AT&T Wireless Services (AWS) stock options:
 - 26,400 AWS tracking stock options – purchase price \$26.4350
 - Vest 25% after six months and 6.25% per calendar quarter thereafter
 - 75,000 AWS tracking stock options – purchase price \$26.4350
 - Vest 33.33% per year over three years
- Seasoned Performance Shares for the 1999-2001 and 2000-2002 performance cycles equal to the performance shares that were cancelled upon Mr. Eslambolchi's resignation
- Special cash payment equal to the performance share payment for the 1998-2000 performance cycle for the number of performance shares that were cancelled upon Mr. Eslambolchi's resignation

On July 24, 2003, we entered into a special incentive agreement with Mr. Eslambolchi. In accordance with this Agreement, Mr. Eslambolchi will be eligible to receive two special incentive awards each in the amount of \$600,000, based on the attainment of performance metrics for the years 2003 and 2004. The 2003 performance criteria were met, and a payment of \$600,000 was made on January 26, 2004. No additional payment will be made if Mr. Eslambolchi resigns or is terminated for cause (as defined). In the event of death, disability or termination initiated by us, a prorated portion of the remaining payment will be issued.

SENIOR OFFICER SEPARATION PLAN

In 1997, we adopted the Senior Officer Severance Plan, since renamed the Senior Officer Separation Plan, or Separation Plan, for Senior Officers named by the Board as participants. Under the Separation Plan, if covered executives (i) are terminated by us for other than cause (as defined in the Separation Plan) or (ii) self-initiate termination for Good Reason (as defined in the Separation Plan), they will be provided a severance payment equivalent to two times the sum of their base salary plus target annual incentive in effect at termination. The severance amount payable may be deferred for up to five years with up to five annual payments thereafter. Deferred

amounts will be credited with interest based on the interest rate formula in effect for the Senior Management Incentive Award Deferral Plan on the Separation Plan effective date, October 9, 1997. In addition, covered executives who terminate under the terms of the Separation Plan will be entitled to certain other post-termination benefits that are generally made available from time to time to retired executive officers and senior managers. The Separation Plan was amended and restated as of January 1, 2003, to provide enhanced severance payments in the event of a Change in Control, as approved by our Board in October 2000, and to provide protection in the form of a gross-up in the event payments are subject to excise tax under Sections 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. In the event of a Change in Control, as such term is currently defined in the 1997 Plan and as such term will be defined in the 2004 Plan if it is approved by our shareowners, the severance payment provided to a covered executive terminated within two years following such Change in Control will be changed:

- From: the sum of three times base salary plus three times target annual incentive plus three times the value of the annual grant of restricted stock units at target
- To: the sum of three times base salary plus three times target annual incentive, contingent upon shareowner approval of the 2004 Plan.

Messrs. Dorman, Cicconi, Eslambolchi, Horton and Polumbo are all covered executives under the Separation Plan.

PENSION PLANS

We maintain the AT&T Management Pension Plan, a non-contributory pension plan that covers all management employees, including the named executives listed in the Summary Compensation Table. The normal retirement age under this plan is 65; however, retirement before age 65 can be elected under certain conditions.

The AT&T Management Pension Plan was amended in 1997 to update the adjusted career average pay formula for computing pensions. Effective August 1, 1997, the adjusted career average pay formula was 1.6% of the average annual pay for the three years ending December 31, 1996, times the lesser of (a) 105% of the number of years of service prior to January 1, 1997, or (b) the number of years of service prior to January 1, 1997, plus one. Only the base salary was taken into account in the formula used to compute pension amounts for the named executives and other officers under the adjusted career average pay formula. No service or compensation after December 31, 1996, was used to calculate an employee's normal retirement benefit under the adjusted career average pay formula.

Effective January 1, 1998, the AT&T Management Pension Plan was further amended to convert the plan to a cash balance design. Under the new design, a hypothetical cash balance account was established for each participant for record-keeping purposes. Each year a participant's cash balance account is credited with (a) a pay credit based on the participant's age and eligible pay for that year and (b) an interest credit based on the participant's account balance as of the end of the prior year. Effective January 1, 1998, an eligible participant's cash balance account received an initial credit based on a conversion benefit equal to the participant's normal retirement benefit under the adjusted career average pay formula described above multiplied by a conversion factor based on the participant's age as of December 31, 1996. The initial pay credit was made as of January 1, 1998, based on the participant's eligible pay for 1997, and the initial interest credit was made as of January 1, 1998, based on the conversion benefit. Only base salary is considered eligible pay under the cash balance design for the named executives and other officers. Interest credits are calculated at the effective annual rate of 7% for calendar years 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000; 5.5% for calendar years 2001 and 2002; and 4% thereafter. Under the cash balance design, a participant's benefit is determined by projecting interest credits to his or her cash balance account to age 65, converting the projected cash balance account to an annuity, and reducing that annuity for early commencement. A participant's benefit under the plan after conversion to the cash balance design will be no less than the benefit calculated under the career average pay formula as adjusted in 1997.

Federal laws place limitations on pensions that may be paid from the pension trust related to the AT&T Management Pension Plan. Pension amounts based on the AT&T Management Pension Plan formula that exceed the applicable limitations will be recorded as an operating expense.

We also maintain the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan. Under the plan, annual pensions for Messrs. Cicconi, Dorman, Eslambolchi, Horton and Polumbo, and other officers are computed based on actual annual bonus awards under AT&T's Short-Term Incentive Plan. Pension benefits under this plan will commence at the same time as benefits under the AT&T Management Pension Plan. The annual pension amounts payable under this plan are equal to no less than the greater of the amounts computed under the Basic Formula or Alternate Formula that were amended in 1997 and are described below.

Basic Formula

For the three-year period ending December 31, 1996, 1.6% of the average of the actual annual bonus awards times the lesser of (a) 105% of the number of years of service prior to January 1, 1997, or (b) the number of years of service prior to January 1, 1997, plus one.

Alternate Formula

The excess of (a) 1.7% of the adjusted career average pay over (b) 0.8% of the covered compensation base times the lesser of (i) 105% of the number of years of service prior to January 1, 1997, or (ii) the number of years of service prior to January 1, 1997, plus one, minus the benefit calculated under the AT&T Management Pension Plan formula (without regard to limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code). For purposes of this formula, adjusted career average pay is the average annual compensation for the three-year period ending December 31, 1996, without regard to the limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. The covered compensation base used in this formula is the average of the maximum wage amount for which an employee was liable for Social Security Tax for each year beginning with 1961 and ending with 1996. In 1996, the covered compensation base was \$27,600.

No service or compensation after December 31, 1996, is used to calculate an employee's normal retirement benefit under the Basic Formula or Alternate Formula.

Effective January 1, 1998, the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan was further amended to convert the plan to a cash balance pension design. Under the new design, a hypothetical cash balance account is established for each participant for record-keeping purposes. Each year a participant's cash balance account is credited with (a) an award credit based on the participant's age and short-term award paid in that year and (b) an interest credit based on the participant's account balance as of the end of the prior year. Effective January 1, 1998, an eligible participant's cash balance account received an initial credit based on a conversion benefit equal to the participant's normal retirement benefit under the Basic Formula described above multiplied by a conversion factor based on the participant's age as of December 31, 1996. The initial award credit was made as of January 1, 1998, based on the participant's short-term award paid in 1997, and the initial interest credit was made as of January 1, 1998, based on the conversion benefit. Interest credits are calculated at the effective annual rate of 7% for calendar years 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000; 5.5% for 2001 and 2002; and 4% thereafter. Under the cash balance design, a participant's benefit is determined by projecting interest credits to his or her cash balance account to age 65, converting the projected cash balance account to an annuity, and reducing that annuity for early commencement in the same manner as under the AT&T Management Pension Plan.

Officers and certain other management employees who were hired at age 35 or over prior to January 1, 1997, are covered by a supplemental AT&T Mid-Career Pension Plan. For qualified managers retiring with at least five years at a senior level, the plan provides additional credits at approximately one-half the rate in the AT&T Management Pension Plan. The number of credits is equal to the lesser of (i) actual years of net credited service at retirement or (ii) the employee's age at the time of hire minus 30. Benefits under the Mid Career Pension Plan were frozen as of December 31, 1996. In addition, the AT&T Mid-Career Pension Plan was amended to provide that liability with respect to officers actively employed on January 1, 1998, be transferred to the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan and converted to cash balance as described above.

Pension amounts under the AT&T Management Pension Plan formula, the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan, or the AT&T Mid-Career Pension Plan are not subject to reductions for Social Security Benefits or other offset amounts. If Messrs. Cicconi, Dorman, Eslambolchi, Horton and Polumbo continue in the positions as previously

stated and retire at the normal retirement age of 65, the estimated annual pension amount payable under the AT&T Management Pension Plan formula and the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan would be \$692,500, \$1,988,500, \$1,079,400, \$1,415,700 and \$575,600, respectively. Amounts shown are straight life annuity amounts not reduced by a joint and survivorship provision that is available to these officers.

In 1997, we began purchasing annuity contracts to satisfy our unfunded obligations to retired officers under the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan. In the event we purchase an annuity contract for any of the named executives, the pension payments for such officer would vary from those set forth above. In such instance there would be a tax gross-up payment to the officer, and annuity benefits paid by the annuity provider would be reduced to offset the tax gross-up payment. The after-tax pension benefit would be the same as the after-tax benefit the participant would otherwise have received under the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan. Receipt of the annuity is contingent on the signing of a two-year non-competition agreement that, should competitive activity occur within the two-year period, gives us the right to seek injunctive relief and to recapture any amounts already paid out under the annuity contract.

As part of his employment agreement described above, we entered into a supplemental pension arrangement with Mr. Dorman in 2000 which was amended in 2003. Pursuant to Mr. Dorman's arrangement, if he continues in his position as previously stated and retires at the normal retirement age of 65, the estimated annual pension amount payable under the agreement that supplements the annual pension amount payable under the AT&T Management Pension Plan and the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan, but prior to offsets, if any, due to pension benefits payable by former employers, would be \$1,837,200.

As part of his employment agreement described above, we entered into a supplemental pension arrangement with Mr. Polumbo in 2001 which was amended in 2003. Pursuant to Mr. Polumbo's arrangement, if he continues in his position as previously stated and retires at the normal retirement age of 65, the estimated annual pension amount payable under the agreement that supplements the annual pension amount payable under the AT&T Management Pension Plan and the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan, but prior to offsets, if any, due to pension benefits payable by former employers, would be \$477,100.

We entered into a supplemental pension arrangement with Mr. Horton in 2003. Pursuant to Mr. Horton's arrangement, if he continues in his position as previously stated and retires at the normal retirement age of 65, the estimated annual pension amount payable under the agreement that supplements the annual pension amount payable under the AT&T Management Pension Plan and the AT&T Non-Qualified Pension Plan, but prior to offsets, if any, due to pension benefits payable by former employers, would be \$489,600.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Indebtedness of Management

There was no outstanding indebtedness to us from any of our directors or executive officers during 2003.

Other Arrangements

We do not consider the following arrangements to constitute indebtedness but are disclosing them because they entail potential contingent obligations by executive officers to repay compensation amounts to us.

Mr. Eslambolchi received Special Retention Payments on January 10, 2001, and January 11, 2002, totaling \$3,835,473.53 pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement (refer to page 45.) If, prior to the fifth anniversary of Mr. Eslambolchi's employment agreement (January 8, 2006), he voluntarily resigns his employment with us, other than for Good Reason (as defined), or is terminated for cause (as defined), Mr. Eslambolchi will be required to repay to us the entire Special Retention Payment of \$3,835,473.53 within 90 days of such termination of employment.

Mr. Horton received a hiring bonus, totaling \$1,050,000 pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement (refer to page 41.) If, prior to January 1, 2004, Mr. Horton had voluntarily resigned from us, other than for Good Reason (as defined), death or disability, or was terminated for cause (as defined), he would have been required to repay to us \$750,000 of the hiring bonus. If, after January 1, 2004, but before January 1, 2005, Mr. Horton voluntarily resigns his employment with us, other than for Good Reason (as defined), or is terminated for cause (as defined), he is required to repay to us \$375,000 of the hiring bonus.

OTHER INFORMATION

A Directors' and Officers' liability policy was purchased, effective July 31, 2003, with National Union Fire Insurance Co. of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and other insurance companies. The policy insures AT&T for certain obligations incurred in the indemnification of its Directors and Officers under New York law or under contract and insures Directors and Officers when such indemnification is not provided by us.

The cost of soliciting proxies in the accompanying form is paid by us. In addition to solicitations by mail, a number of regular employees of the Company and of its subsidiaries may solicit proxies in person or by telephone. We have retained Morrow & Co. to aid in the solicitation of proxies, at an estimated cost of \$20,000 plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

The above notice and proxy statement are sent by order of the Board of Directors.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert S. Feit", written in a cursive style.

Robert S. Feit
Vice President – Law and Secretary

Dated: March 25, 2004

APPENDIX A

AT&T 2004 LONG TERM INCENTIVE PROGRAM

SECTION 1. PURPOSE

The purposes of the AT&T 2004 Long Term Incentive Program (the "Plan") are to encourage selected employees and Non-Employee Directors of AT&T Corp. (the "Company") and its Affiliates to acquire a proprietary and vested interest in the growth and performance of the Company, to generate an increased incentive to contribute to the Company's future success and prosperity, thus enhancing the value of the Company for the benefit of shareowners, and to enhance the ability of the Company and its Affiliates to attract and retain individuals of exceptional managerial talent upon whom, in large measure, the sustained progress, growth and profitability of the Company depends.

SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS

As used in the Plan, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth below:

(a) "Affiliate" shall mean (i) any Person that directly, or through one or more intermediaries, controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, the Company or (ii) any entity in which the Company has a significant equity interest, as determined by the Committee.

(b) "Award" shall mean any Option, Stock Appreciation Right, Restricted Stock Award, Performance Share, Performance Unit or Other Stock Unit Award.

(c) "Award Agreement" shall mean any written or electronic agreement, contract, or other instrument or document evidencing any Award granted by the Committee hereunder, which may, but need not, be executed or acknowledged by both the Company and the Participant.

(d) "Board" shall mean the Board of Directors of the Company.

(e) "Change in Control" shall mean the happening of any of the following events:

(i) An acquisition by any individual, entity or group (within the meaning of Section 13 (d) (3) or 14 (d) (2) of the Exchange Act) (an "Entity") of beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act) of 20% or more of either (A) the then outstanding AT&T Shares (the "Outstanding Company Common Stock") or (B) the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting securities of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors (the "Outstanding Company Voting Securities"); excluding, however, the following: (1) any acquisition directly from the Company, other than an acquisition by virtue of the exercise of a conversion privilege unless the security being so converted was itself acquired directly from the Company, (2) any acquisition by the Company, (3) any acquisition by any employee benefit plan (or related trust) sponsored or maintained by the Company or any corporation controlled by the Company, or (4) any acquisition by any corporation pursuant to a transaction which complies with clauses (A), (B) and (C) of subsection (iii) of this Section 2(e);

(ii) A change in the composition of the Board such that the individuals who, as of the effective date of the Plan, constitute the Board (such Board shall be hereinafter referred to as the "Incumbent Board") cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board; provided, however, that for purposes of this definition, any individual who becomes a member of the Board subsequent to the effective date of the Plan, whose election, or nomination for election, by the Company's stockholders was approved by a vote of at least a majority of those individuals who are members of the Board and who were also members of the Incumbent Board (or deemed to be such pursuant to this proviso) shall be considered as though such individual were a member of the Incumbent Board; and provided, further however, that any such individual whose initial assumption of office occurs as a result of or in connection with either an actual or threatened election contest (as such terms are used in Rule 14a-11 of Regulation 14A promulgated under the

Exchange Act) or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of an Entity other than the Board shall not be so considered as a member of the Incumbent Board;

(iii) Consummation of a merger, reorganization or consolidation or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company (each, a "Corporate Transaction"); excluding however, such a Corporate Transaction pursuant to which (A) all or substantially all of the individuals and entities who are the beneficial owners, respectively, of the Outstanding Company Common Stock and Outstanding Company Voting Securities immediately prior to such Corporate Transaction will beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 60% of, respectively, the outstanding shares of common stock, and the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, as the case may be, of the corporation resulting from such Corporate Transaction (including, without limitation, a corporation or other Person which as a result of such transaction owns the Company or all or substantially all of the Company's assets either directly or through one or more subsidiaries (a "Parent Company")) in substantially the same proportions as their ownership, immediately prior to such Corporate Transaction, of the Outstanding Company Common Stock and Outstanding Company Voting Securities, as the case may be, (B) no Entity (other than the Company, any employee benefit plan (or related trust) of the Company, such corporation resulting from such Corporate Transaction or, if reference was made to equity ownership of any Parent Company for purposes of determining whether clause (A) above is satisfied in connection with the applicable Corporate Transaction, such Parent Company) will beneficially own, directly or indirectly, 20% or more of, respectively, the outstanding shares of common stock of the corporation resulting from such Corporate Transaction or the combined voting power of the outstanding voting securities of such corporation entitled to vote generally in the election of directors unless such ownership resulted solely from ownership of securities of the Company prior to the Corporate Transaction, and (C) individuals who were members of the Incumbent Board at the time of the execution of the initial agreement or of the action of the Board providing for such Corporate Transaction will immediately after the consummation of such Corporate Transaction constitute at least a majority of the members of the board of directors of the corporation resulting from such Corporate Transaction (or, if reference was made to equity ownership of any Parent Company for purposes of determining whether clause (A) above is satisfied in connection with the applicable Corporate Transaction, of the Parent Company); or

(iv) The approval by the stockholders of the Company of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

(f) "Code" shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time, and any successor thereto.

(g) "Committee" shall mean the Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee of the Board, or any successor to such committee, composed of no fewer than two directors each of whom is a Non-Employee Director and an "outside director" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code, or any successor provision thereto, and each of whom is "independent" as set forth in the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange.

(h) "Company" shall mean AT&T Corp., a New York corporation.

(i) "Covered Employee" shall mean a "covered employee" within the meaning of Section 162(m)(3) of the Code, or any successor provision thereto.

(j) "Director Level Employee" shall mean any employee of the Company or of any Affiliate holding a position classified at the salary grade level of E-Band, or its equivalent, in a banded environment or Manager E, or its equivalent, in a non-banded environment.

(k) "Employee" shall mean any employee of the Company or of any Affiliate. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee in its sole discretion, for purposes of the Plan, an employee shall be considered to have terminated employment and to have ceased to be an Employee if his or her employer ceases to be an Affiliate, even if he or she continues to be employed by such employer.

(l) "Exchange Act" shall mean the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

(m) "Fair Market Value" shall mean, unless the Committee determines otherwise, as at any date the average of the highest and lowest sale prices on the New York Stock Exchange for the Shares on such date.

(n) "Incentive Stock Option" shall mean an Option granted under Section 6 hereof that is intended to meet the requirements of Section 422 of the Code or any successor provision thereto.

(o) "Non-Employee Director" shall have the meaning set forth in Rule 16b-3(b)(3) promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act, or any successor definition adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(p) "Nonstatutory Stock Option" shall mean an Option granted under Section 6 hereof that is not intended to be an Incentive Stock Option.

(q) "Officer" shall mean any Employee of the Company or any Affiliate holding a position classified as a "Manager O" or higher level in a non-banded environment, or at a salary grade level above "E-band", or its equivalent, in a banded environment (formerly referred to as a "Senior Manager").

(r) "Option" shall mean any right granted to a Participant under Section 6 of the Plan allowing such Participant to purchase Shares at such price or prices and during such period or periods, as the Committee shall determine.

(s) "Other Stock Unit Award" shall mean any right granted to a Participant by the Committee pursuant to Section 10 hereof.

(t) "Participant" shall mean an Employee or Non-Employee Director who is selected by the Committee to receive an Award under the Plan.

(u) "Performance Award" shall mean any Award of Performance Shares or Performance Units pursuant to Section 9 hereof.

(v) "Performance Period" shall mean that period established by the Committee at the time any Award is granted or at any time thereafter during which any performance goals specified by the Committee with respect to such Award are to be measured.

(w) "Performance Share" shall mean any grant pursuant to Section 9 hereof of a unit valued by reference to a designated number of Shares, which value may be paid to the Participant by delivery of such property as the Committee shall determine, including, without limitation, cash, Shares, or any combination thereof, upon achievement of such performance goals during the Performance Period as the Committee shall establish at the time of such grant or thereafter.

(x) "Performance Unit" shall mean any grant pursuant to Section 9 hereof of a unit valued by reference to a designated amount of property other than Shares, which value may be paid to the Participant by delivery of such property as the Committee shall determine, including, without limitation, cash, Shares, or any combination thereof, upon achievement of such performance goals during the Performance Period as the Committee shall establish at the time of such grant or thereafter.

(y) "Person" shall mean any individual, corporation, partnership, association, joint-stock company, trust, unincorporated organization, or government or political subdivision thereof.

(z) "Restricted Stock" shall mean any Share issued with the restriction that the holder may not sell, transfer, pledge, or assign such Share and with such other restrictions as the Committee, in its sole discretion, may impose (including, without limitation, any forfeiture condition or any restriction on the right to vote such Share, and the right to receive any cash dividends), which restrictions may lapse separately or in combination at such time or times, in installments or otherwise, as the Committee may deem appropriate.

(Aa) "Restricted Stock Award" shall mean an award of Restricted Stock under Section 8 hereof.

(Bb) "Shares" shall mean, the shares of AT&T Common Stock of the Company, \$1.00 par value ("AT&T Shares").

(Cc) "Stock Appreciation Right" shall mean any right granted to a Participant pursuant to Section 7 hereof to receive, upon exercise by the Participant, the excess of (i) the Fair Market Value of one Share on the date of

exercise or, if the Committee shall so determine in the case of any such right other than one related to any Incentive Stock Option, at any time during a specified period before the date of exercise over (ii) the grant price of the right on the date of grant, or if granted in connection with an outstanding Option on the date of grant of the related Option, as specified by the Committee in its sole discretion, which, except in the case of Substitute Awards or in connection with an adjustment provided in Section 4(c), shall not be less than the Fair Market Value of one Share on such date of grant of the right or the related Option, as the case may be. Any payment by the Company in respect of such right may be made in cash, Shares, other property, or any combination thereof, as the Committee, in its sole discretion, shall determine.

(Dd) "Subsidiary" shall mean any corporation (other than the Company) in an unbroken chain of corporations beginning with the Company if, at the time of the granting of the Award, each of the corporations other than the last corporation in the unbroken chain owns stock possessing 50 percent or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock in one of the other corporations in the chain.

(Ee) "Substitute Awards" shall mean Awards granted or Shares issued by the Company in assumption of, or in substitution or exchange for, awards previously granted, or the right or obligation to make future awards, by a company acquired by the Company or with which the Company combines.

SECTION 3. ADMINISTRATION

The Plan shall be administered by the Committee. The Committee shall have full power and authority, subject to such orders or resolutions not inconsistent with the provisions of the Plan as may from time to time be adopted by the Board, to: (i) select the Employees of the Company and its Affiliates and Non-Employee Directors of the Company to whom Awards may from time to time be granted hereunder; (ii) determine the type or types of Award to be granted to each Participant hereunder; (iii) determine the number of Shares to be covered by each Award granted hereunder; (iv) determine the terms and conditions, not inconsistent with the provisions of the Plan, of any Award granted hereunder; (v) determine whether, to what extent and under what circumstances Awards may be settled in cash, Shares or other property or canceled or suspended; (vi) determine whether, to what extent and under what circumstances cash, Shares and other property and other amounts payable with respect to an Award under this Plan shall be deferred either automatically or at the election of the Participant; (vii) interpret and administer the Plan and any instrument or agreement entered into under the Plan; (viii) establish such rules and regulations and appoint such agents as it shall deem appropriate for the proper administration of the Plan; and (ix) make any other determination and take any other action that the Committee deems necessary or desirable for administration of the Plan. Decisions of the Committee shall be final, conclusive and binding upon all persons, including the Company, any Participant, any shareowner, and any employee of the Company or of any Affiliate. A majority of the members of the Committee may determine its actions and fix the time and place of its meetings. Notwithstanding the foregoing or anything else to the contrary in the Plan, any action or determination by the Committee specifically affecting or relating to an Award to a Non-Employee Director shall be approved and ratified by the Board.

SECTION 4. SHARES SUBJECT TO THE PLAN

(a) Subject to adjustment as provided in Section 4(c), a total of thirty-six (36) million Shares shall be available for Awards granted under the Plan; provided, that if any Shares subject to an Award under the Plan are forfeited or if any Award under the Plan based on Shares is settled for cash, or expires or otherwise is terminated without issuance of such Shares, the Shares subject to such Award shall to the extent of such cash settlement, forfeiture or termination be available for Awards under the Plan. In the event that any Option or other Award granted hereunder is exercised through the delivery of Shares or in the event that withholding tax liabilities arising from such Option or other Award are satisfied by the withholding of Shares by the Company, the number of Shares available for Awards under the Plan shall be increased by the number of Shares so surrendered or withheld. In addition, Substitute Awards shall not reduce the Shares available for grants under the Plan or to a Participant in any calendar year.

(b) Any Shares issued hereunder may consist, in whole or in part, of authorized and unissued shares, treasury shares, or shares purchased in the open market or otherwise.

(c) In the event of any merger, reorganization, consolidation, recapitalization, stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split, spin-off or similar transaction or other change in corporate structure affecting the Shares, such adjustments and other substitutions shall be made to the Plan and to Awards as the Committee in its sole discretion deems equitable or appropriate, including without limitation such adjustments (i) in the aggregate number, class and kind of securities which may be delivered under the Plan, in the aggregate or to any one Participant, (ii) in the number, class, kind and exercise price of securities or other property subject to outstanding Options, Stock Appreciation Rights or other Awards granted under the Plan, and (iii) in the number, class and kind of securities or other property subject to Awards granted under the Plan (including, if the Committee deems appropriate, the substitution of similar options to purchase the shares of, or other awards denominated in the shares of, another company, or the cancellation of outstanding Awards in exchange for payments of cash, property or a combination thereof, or, in connection with the disaffiliation with the Company of a Subsidiary, arranging for the assumption or replacement with new Awards (by such Subsidiary or by an entity controlling such Subsidiary following such disaffiliation) of Awards held by Participants employed by the affected Subsidiary), as the Committee may determine to be appropriate in its sole discretion provided that the number of Shares subject to any Award shall always be a whole number.

SECTION 5. ELIGIBILITY

Any Employee or Non-Employee Director shall be eligible to be selected as a Participant, provided, however, that Incentive Stock Options shall be granted only to participants who are employees of the Company or a Subsidiary of the Company, and provided that no Non-Employee Director shall receive Awards with an aggregate Fair Market Value in excess of \$150,000 in any calendar year.

SECTION 6. STOCK OPTIONS

Options may be granted hereunder to Participants either alone or in addition to other Awards granted under the Plan. Any Option granted under the Plan shall be evidenced by an Award Agreement in such form as the Committee may from time to time approve. Any such Option shall be subject to the following terms and conditions and to such additional terms and conditions, not inconsistent with the provisions of the Plan, as the Committee shall deem desirable:

(a) **OPTION PRICE.** The purchase price per Share purchasable under an Option shall be determined by the Committee in its sole discretion; provided that, such purchase price shall not be less than the Fair Market Value of the Share on the date of the grant of the Option. Substitute Awards or Awards granted in connection with an adjustment provided for in Section 4(c) shall have a purchase price per Share that is intended to preserve the economic value of the Award which was replaced or adjusted.

(b) **OPTION PERIOD.** The term of each Option shall be fixed by the Committee in its sole discretion; provided that no Option shall be exercisable after the expiration of ten years from the date the Option is granted.

(c) **EXERCISABILITY.** Options shall be exercisable at such time or times as determined by the Committee at or subsequent to grant; provided that, no Option will vest in full prior to three (3) years from the grant date.

(d) **METHOD OF EXERCISE.** Subject to the other provisions of the Plan, any Option may be exercised by the Participant in whole or in part at such time or times, and the Participant may make payment of the option price in such form or forms, including, without limitation, payment by delivery of cash, Shares or other consideration (including, where permitted by law and the Committee, Awards) having a Fair Market Value on the exercise date equal to the total option price, or by any combination of cash, Shares and other consideration as the Committee may specify in the applicable Award Agreement.

(e) **INCENTIVE STOCK OPTIONS.** In accordance with rules and procedures established by the Committee, and except as otherwise provided in Section 11, the aggregate Fair Market Value (determined as of the time of grant) of the Shares with respect to which Incentive Stock Options held by any Participant which are exercisable for the first time by such Participant during any calendar year under the Plan (and under any other benefit plans of the Company or any Subsidiary) shall not exceed \$100,000 or, if different, the maximum limitation in effect at the time of

grant under Section 422 of the Code, or any successor provision, and any regulations promulgated thereunder. Incentive Stock Options shall be granted only to participants who are employees of the Company or a Subsidiary of the Company. The terms of any Incentive Stock Option granted hereunder shall comply in all respects with the provisions of Section 422 of the Code, or any successor provision, and any regulations promulgated thereunder. The expiration date of any Incentive Stock Option granted shall not exceed the period of nine years and 364 days from the grant date. No more than four (4) million shares can be issued as Incentive Stock Options.

(f) **FORM OF SETTLEMENT.** In its sole discretion, the Committee may provide, at the time of grant, that the Shares to be issued upon an Option's exercise shall be in the form of Restricted Stock or other similar securities, or may reserve the right so to provide after the time of grant.

SECTION 7. STOCK APPRECIATION RIGHTS

Stock Appreciation Rights may be granted hereunder to Participants either alone or in addition to other Awards granted under the Plan and may, but need not, relate to a specific Option granted under Section 6. The provisions of Stock Appreciation Rights need not be the same with respect to each recipient. Any Stock Appreciation Right related to a Nonstatutory Stock Option may be granted at the same time such Option is granted or at any time thereafter before exercise or expiration of such Option. Any Stock Appreciation Right related to an Incentive Stock Option must be granted at the same time such Option is granted. In the case of any Stock Appreciation Right related to any Option, the Stock Appreciation Right or applicable portion thereof shall terminate and no longer be exercisable upon the termination or exercise of the related Option, except that a Stock Appreciation Right granted with respect to less than the full number of Shares covered by a related Option shall not be reduced until the exercise or termination of the related Option exceeds the number of Shares not covered by the Stock Appreciation Right. Any Option related to any Stock Appreciation Right shall no longer be exercisable to the extent the related Stock Appreciation Right has been exercised. The Committee may impose such conditions or restrictions on the exercise of any Stock Appreciation Right as it shall deem appropriate, provided that no Stock Appreciation Right shall have a term that is longer than ten (10) years.

SECTION 8. RESTRICTED STOCK

(a) **ISSUANCE.** A Restricted Stock Award shall be subject to restrictions imposed by the Committee during a period of time specified by the Committee (the "Restriction Period"). Restricted Stock Awards may be issued hereunder to Participants, for no cash consideration or for such minimum consideration as may be required by applicable law, either alone or in addition to other Awards granted under the Plan. The provisions of Restricted Stock Awards need not be the same with respect to each recipient.

(b) **REGISTRATION.** Any Restricted Stock issued hereunder may be evidenced in such manner as the Committee in its sole discretion shall deem appropriate, including, without limitation, book-entry registration or issuance of a stock certificate or certificates, unless otherwise specified by the Committee. In the event any stock certificate is issued in respect of shares of Restricted Stock awarded under the Plan, such certificate shall be registered in the name of the Participant, and shall bear an appropriate legend referring to the terms, conditions, and restrictions applicable to such Award.

(c) **FORFEITURE.** Except as otherwise determined by the Committee at the time of grant or thereafter, upon termination of employment for any reason during the Restriction Period, all Shares of Restricted Stock still subject to forfeiture shall be forfeited by the Participant and reacquired by the Company. Unrestricted Shares, evidenced in such manner as the Committee shall deem appropriate, shall be issued to the grantee promptly after the period of forfeiture, as determined or modified by the Committee, shall expire.

(d) **MINIMUM VESTING CONDITION.** The minimum Restriction Period applicable to any Restricted Stock Award that is not subject to performance conditions restricting the grant size, the transfer of the shares, or the vesting of the award shall be three (3) years from the date of grant; *provided, however*, that a Restriction Period of less than three (3) years may be approved under the Plan for such Awards with respect to up to four (4) million Shares.

SECTION 9. PERFORMANCE AWARDS

Performance Awards may be issued hereunder to Participants, for no cash consideration or for such minimum consideration as may be required by applicable law, either alone or in addition to other Awards granted under the Plan. The performance criteria to be achieved during any Performance Period and the length of the Performance Period shall be determined by the Committee upon the grant of each Performance Award. Except as provided in Section 11, Performance Awards will be distributed only after the end of the relevant Performance Period. Performance Awards may be paid in cash, Shares, other property or any combination thereof, in the sole discretion of the Committee at the time of payment. The performance levels to be achieved for each Performance Period and the amount of the Award to be distributed shall be conclusively determined by the Committee. Performance Awards may be paid in a lump sum or in installments following the close of the Performance Period or, in accordance with procedures established by the Committee, on a deferred basis.

SECTION 10. OTHER STOCK UNIT AWARDS

(a) **STOCK AND ADMINISTRATION.** Other Awards of Shares and other Awards that are valued in whole or in part by reference to, or are otherwise based on, Shares or other property ("Other Stock Unit Awards") may be granted hereunder to Participants, either alone or in addition to other Awards granted under the Plan. Other Stock Unit Awards may be paid in Shares, cash or any other form of property as the Committee shall determine. Subject to the provisions of the Plan, the Committee shall have sole and complete authority to determine the Employees of the Company and its Affiliates and Non-Employee Directors to whom and the time or times at which such Awards shall be made, the number of Shares to be granted pursuant to such Awards, and all other conditions of the Awards. The provisions of Other Stock Unit Awards need not be the same with respect to each recipient.

(b) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.** Subject to the provisions of this Plan and any applicable Award Agreement, Awards and Shares subject to Awards made under this Section 10, may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered prior to the date on which the Shares are issued, or, if later, the date on which any applicable restriction, performance or deferral period lapses. For any Award or Shares subject to any Award made under this Section 10 the transferability and vesting of which are conditioned only on the passage of time, such restriction period shall be a minimum of three (3) years for full vesting. Shares (including securities convertible into Shares) subject to Awards granted under this Section 10 may be issued for no cash consideration or for such minimum consideration as may be required by applicable law.

SECTION 11. CHANGE IN CONTROL PROVISIONS

Notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan to the contrary, unless the Committee shall determine otherwise at the time of grant with respect to a particular Award, in the event of a Change in Control, as of the date such Change in Control is determined to have occurred

(a) any Options and Stock Appreciation Rights outstanding as of the date such Change in Control is determined to have occurred which are not then exercisable and vested shall become fully exercisable and vested;

(b) any Options and Stock Appreciation Rights outstanding which are then vested and exercisable, including newly vested Options and Stock Appreciation Rights as a result of (a) above, shall remain exercisable as provided in the Award Agreement;

(c) the restrictions and deferral limitations applicable to any Restricted Stock shall lapse, and such Restricted Stock shall become free of all restrictions and limitations and become fully vested and transferable;

(d) all Performance Awards shall be considered to be prorated, and any deferral or other restriction shall lapse and such Performance Awards shall be immediately settled or distributed in accordance with policies established by the Committee; and

(e) the restrictions and deferral limitations and other conditions applicable to any Other Stock Unit Awards or any other Awards shall lapse, and such Other Stock Unit Awards or such other Award shall become

free of all restrictions, limitations or conditions and become fully vested and transferable to the full extent of the Award not previously forfeited or vested.

SECTION 12. CODE SECTION 162(m) PROVISIONS

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Plan, if the Committee determines at the time Restricted Stock, a Performance Award or an Other Stock Unit Award is granted to a Participant who is then an Officer or Director Level Employee that such Participant is, or may be as of the end of the tax year in which the Company would claim a tax deduction in connection with such Award, a Covered Employee, then the Committee may provide that this Section 12 is applicable to such Award.

(b) If an Award is subject to this Section 12, then the lapsing of restrictions thereon and the distribution of cash, Shares or other property pursuant thereto, as applicable, shall be subject to the achievement of one or more objective performance goals established by the Committee, which shall be based on the attainment of specified levels of one or any combination of the following: net cash provided by operating activities, earnings per share from continuing operations, operating income, revenues, gross margin, return on operating assets, return on equity, economic value added, stock price appreciation, total stockholder return, or cost control, of the Company or the Affiliate or division of the Company for or within which the Participant is primarily employed. Such performance goals also may be based upon the achievement of specified levels of Company performance (or performance of applicable Affiliate or division of the Company) under one or more of the measures described above relative to the performance of other corporations. Such performance goals shall be set by the Committee within the time period prescribed by, and shall otherwise comply with the requirements of, Section 162(m) of the Code, or any successor provision thereto, and the regulations thereunder.

(c) Notwithstanding any provision of this Plan other than Section 11, with respect to any Award that is subject to this Section 12, the Committee may adjust downwards, but not upwards, the amount payable pursuant to such Award, and the Committee may not waive the achievement of the applicable performance goals except in the case of the death or disability of the Participant.

(d) The Committee shall have the power to impose such other restrictions on Awards subject to this Section 12 as it may deem necessary or appropriate to ensure that such Awards satisfy all requirements for "performance-based compensation" within the meaning of Section 162(m) (4) (C) of the Code, or any successor provision thereto.

(e) Notwithstanding any provision of this Plan other than Section 4(c), commencing with calendar year 2004, (i) no Participant may be granted in any twelve (12) month period an aggregate amount of Options and/or Stock Appreciation Rights with respect to more than two and one-half million (2.5M) Shares, and (ii) no Participant may be granted in any twelve (12) month period an aggregate amount of Restricted Stock, Performance Awards or Other Stock Unit Awards, with respect to more than one and one-half million (1.5M) Shares (or cash amounts based on the value of more than one and one-half million (1.5M) Shares); except that an external hire may be granted up to an aggregate amount of Performance Awards or Other Stock Unit Awards with respect to no more than two and one-half million (2.5M) Shares (or cash amounts based on the value of no more than two and one-half million (2.5M) Shares).

SECTION 13. AMENDMENTS AND TERMINATION

The Board may amend, alter, suspend, discontinue or terminate the Plan or any portion thereof at any time; *provided* that no such amendment, alteration, suspension, discontinuation or termination shall be made without (i) shareowner approval if such approval is necessary to qualify for or comply with any tax or regulatory requirement for which or with which the Board deems it necessary or desirable to qualify or comply or (ii) the consent of the affected Participant, if such action would impair the rights of such Participant under any outstanding Award. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the Committee may amend the Plan in such manner as may be necessary so as to have the Plan conform to local rules and regulations in any jurisdiction outside the United States.

The Committee may amend the terms of any Award theretofore granted, prospectively or retroactively, but no such amendment shall impair the rights of any Participant without his or her consent.

Notwithstanding any provision of this plan, the Committee does not have the authority to take any action that would require shareholder approval under applicable Securities and Exchange Commission or New York Stock Exchange rules including amending the terms of any Option to reduce the option price; nor may the Committee, without prior shareowner approval, cancel any outstanding Option and replace it with a new Option with a lower option price, or where the economic effect would be the same as reducing the option price of the canceled Option; nor shall the Committee, without prior shareowner approval, provide for any Option to be exchanged for any other Award under this Plan

SECTION 14. GENERAL PROVISIONS

(a) Unless the Committee determines otherwise at the time the Award is granted or thereafter: (i) no Award, and no Shares subject to Awards described in Section 10 which have not been issued or as to which any applicable restriction, performance or deferral period has not lapsed, may be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered, except by will or by the laws of descent and distribution; *provided that*, if so determined by the Committee, a Participant may, in the manner established by the Committee, designate a beneficiary to exercise the rights of the Participant with respect to any Award upon the death of the Participant; and (ii) each Award shall be exercisable, during the Participant's lifetime, only by the Participant or, if permissible under applicable law, by the Participant's guardian or legal representative.

(b) The term of each Award shall be for such period of months or years from the date of its grant as may be determined by the Committee; *except as provided in Section 6.*

(c) No Employee or Participant shall have any claim to be granted any Award under the Plan and there is no obligation for uniformity of treatment of Employees or Participants under the Plan.

(d) *The prospective recipient of any Award under the Plan shall not, with respect to such Award, be deemed to have become a Participant, or to have any rights with respect to such Award, until and unless such recipient, to the extent required by the Committee, shall have either executed an agreement or other instrument evidencing the Award and delivered a copy thereof to the Company, or otherwise evidenced acceptance of the then applicable terms and conditions.*

(e) Except to the extent that such action would cause an Award subject to Section 12 not to qualify for the exemption from the limitation on deductibility imposed by Section 162(m) of the Code that is set forth in Section 162(m)(4)(C) of the Code, the Committee shall be authorized to make adjustments in performance award criteria or in the terms and conditions of other Awards in recognition of unusual or nonrecurring events affecting the Company or its financial statements or changes in applicable laws, regulations or accounting principles. The Committee may correct any defect, supply any omission or reconcile any inconsistency in the Plan or any Award in the manner and to the extent it shall deem desirable to carry it into effect. In the event the Company shall assume outstanding employee benefit awards or the right or obligation to make future such awards in connection with the acquisition of or combination with another corporation or business entity, the Committee may, in its discretion, make such adjustments in the terms of Awards under the Plan as it shall deem appropriate.

(f) Notwithstanding anything in the Plan to the contrary, the Committee shall have the authority under the Plan to determine (and may so provide in any Award Agreement) that in the event of serious misconduct by the Participant or any activity of a Participant in competition with the business of the Company or any Subsidiary or Affiliate, any outstanding Award granted to such Participant may be cancelled, in whole or in part, whether or not vested or deferred. The determination of whether a Participant has engaged in a serious breach of conduct or any activity in competition with the business of the Company or any Subsidiary or Affiliate shall be determined by the Committee in good faith and in its sole discretion. This Section 14(f) shall have no application following a Change in Control.

(g) All certificates for Shares delivered under the Plan pursuant to any Award shall be subject to such stock-transfer orders and other restrictions as the Committee may deem advisable under the rules, regulations, and other requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, any stock exchange upon which the Shares are then listed, and any applicable Federal or state securities law, and the Committee may cause a legend or legends to be put on any such certificates to make appropriate reference to such restrictions.

(h) No Award granted hereunder shall be construed as an offer to sell securities of the Company, and no such offer shall be outstanding, unless and until the Committee in its sole discretion has determined that any such offer, if made, would be in compliance with all applicable requirements of the U.S. federal securities laws and any other laws to which such offer, if made, would be subject.

(i) The Committee shall be authorized to establish procedures pursuant to which the payment of any Award may be deferred. Subject to the provisions of the Plan and any Award Agreement, the recipient of an Award (including, without limitation, any deferred Award) may, if so determined by the Committee, be entitled to receive, currently or on a deferred basis, cash dividends, or cash payments in amounts equivalent to cash dividends on Shares ("dividend equivalents"), with respect to the number of Shares covered by the Award, as determined by the Committee, in its sole discretion, and the Committee may provide that such amounts (if any) shall be deemed to have been reinvested in additional Shares or otherwise reinvested.

(j) Except as otherwise required in any applicable Award Agreement or by the terms of the Plan, recipients of Awards under the Plan shall not be required to make any payment or provide consideration other than the rendering of services.

(k) The Committee may delegate to one or more Officers or a committee of Officers the right to grant Awards to Employees who are not officers or directors of the Company and to cancel or suspend Awards to Employees who are not officers or directors of the Company.

(l) The Company shall be authorized to withhold from any Award granted or payment due under the Plan the amount of withholding taxes due in respect of an Award or payment hereunder and to take such other action as may be necessary in the opinion of the Company to satisfy all obligations for the payment of such taxes not to exceed the statutory minimum withholding obligation. The Committee shall be authorized to establish procedures for election by Participants to satisfy such obligations for the payment of such taxes by delivery of or transfer of Shares to the Company, or by directing the Company to retain Shares otherwise deliverable in connection with the Award.

(m) Nothing contained in this Plan shall prevent the Board from adopting other or additional compensation arrangements, subject to shareowner approval if such approval is required; and such arrangements may be either generally applicable or applicable only in specific cases.

(n) The validity, construction, and effect of the Plan and any rules and regulations relating to the Plan shall be determined in accordance with the laws of the State of New York and applicable Federal law.

(o) If any provision of this Plan is or becomes or is deemed invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any jurisdiction, or would disqualify the Plan or any Award under any law deemed applicable by the Committee, such provision shall be construed or deemed amended to conform to applicable laws or if it cannot be construed or deemed amended without, in the determination of the Committee, materially altering the intent of the Plan, it shall be stricken and the remainder of the Plan shall remain in full force and effect.

(p) Awards may be granted to Participants who are foreign nationals or employed outside the United States, or both, on such terms and conditions different from those applicable to Awards to Employees employed in the United States as may, in the judgment of the Committee, be necessary or desirable in order to recognize differences in local law or tax policy. The Committee also may impose conditions on the exercise or vesting of Awards in order to minimize the Company's obligation with respect to tax equalization for Employees on assignments outside their home country.

SECTION 15. EFFECTIVE DATE OF PLAN

The Plan shall be effective as of the date the shareowners approve the Plan in 2004.

SECTION 16. TERM OF PLAN

No Award shall be granted pursuant to the Plan after May 31, 2009, but any Award theretofore granted may extend beyond that date.

APPENDIX B

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES

The Board of Directors of AT&T Corp. has adopted the following guidelines to reflect the principles by which the Company operates. The Board will review these guidelines from time to time and make such changes as it deems necessary and appropriate.

Board of Director Responsibility

1. Role of the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors is elected by shareholders to provide oversight and strategic guidance to senior management. The core responsibility of the Board of Directors is to exercise their fiduciary duty to act in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders. In discharging that obligation, the directors should be entitled to rely on the honesty and integrity of the Company's senior management and its outside advisors and auditors. The Board selects and oversees the members of senior management, to whom the Board delegates the authority and responsibility for the conduct of the day-to-day operations of the business.

Directors are expected to attend Board meetings and meetings of committees on which they serve, as well as the Company's annual meeting of shareholders, to ask questions and engage in discussion, and to spend the time needed and meet as frequently as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities.

2. Code of Conduct

Each member of the Board of Directors shall at all times exhibit high standards of integrity and ethical behavior. Each director shall adhere to the applicable Company policies concerning integrity and ethical behavior which the Company's management and employees are subject, including the AT&T Code of Conduct and its policies on competition and insider trading. Directors shall promptly notify the CEO and Corporate Secretary if any actual or potential conflict of interest arises between the director and the Company. If a significant conflict exists and can not be resolved, the director should resign. Directors will recuse themselves from any Board discussion or decision affecting their personal, business or professional interests. The Audit Committee will consider, and the Board will resolve, any conflicts of interest or code of conduct questions concerning directors or senior management, and the CEO will resolve any such questions involving any other officer of the Company. The Governance and Nominating Committee shall consider issues involving possible conflicts of interests of directors.

Board of Director Composition

3. Selection of Board Members

All Board members are elected each year by the Company's shareholders at the annual meeting of shareholders. The Board recommends to the shareholders a slate of nominees for election at the annual meeting. Between annual meetings of shareholders, the board may elect directors to serve until the next annual meeting. Nominees for directorship will be selected by the Governance and Nominating Committee, in accordance with the policies and principles in its charter, and nominated for election by the Board. The Chairman of the Board should extend the Board's invitation to join the Board.

4. Board Membership Criteria

The Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for reviewing with the Board, on an annual basis, the requisite skills and characteristics of individual Board members, as well as the composition of the Board as a whole, in the context of the needs of the Company. The Governance and Nominating Committee will review all nominees for director in accordance with its charter and select those nominees whose attributes it believes would be most beneficial to the Company. This assessment will include such issues as experience, integrity, competence, diversity, skills, and dedication in the context of the needs of the Board.

5. Board Size

The Board presently has 10 members. The Company's by-laws permit the Board to vary in size from 9 to 25. The Board periodically reviews the appropriate size of the Board, which may vary to accommodate the availability of suitable candidates.

6. Directors with Job Changes

The Board believes that directors who retire from their present employment, or materially change their position, should volunteer to resign from the Board concurrent with the next annual meeting of shareholders. The Board, through the Governance and Nominating Committee, would then evaluate whether the Board should accept the resignation or whether the director should be renominated based on an assessment of whether the director continued to meet the Board's membership criteria under the circumstances.

7. Term Limits

The Board does not believe it should limit the number of terms for which an individual may serve as a director. Directors who have served on the board for an extended period of time are able to provide valuable insight into the Company's operations and prospects based on their experience with the and understanding of the Company's history, policies and objectives. The Board believes that it can obtain new ideas and viewpoints through the application of the nominating process described above.

8. Other Board Service

The Board does not believe that its members should be prohibited from serving on the Boards of other companies so long as those commitments do not create material actual or potential conflicts and do not interfere with the director's ability to fulfill his or her duties as a member of the Board. The Governance and Nominating Committee will take into account the nature and time involved in the director's service on other boards in assessing director nominees. Directors should advise the Chairman of the Board, the Chairman of the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Corporate Secretary in advance of accepting an invitation to serve on another public company board.

9. Retirement Policy

No director may be nominated to a new term if he or she would be age 70 or older at the time of the election. A retiring or resigning CEO of the Company or other management director shall generally not continue to serve as a Board member.

10. Director Independence

At least three-quarters of the Board will at all times be comprised of directors who meet the criteria for independence required by the New York Stock Exchange and the Securities and Exchange Commission. It is the objective of the Board that all non-management directors be independent directors. Under proposed New York Stock Exchange rules, the Board must determine that a director does not have any material relationship with the Company. The proposed NYSE rules also provide that a director shall be considered independent if: (1) the director has no material relationship with the Company, either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company; (2) the director, and each of the director's immediate family members, is not and has not been during the last five years employed by the Company; (3) the director has not received any compensation in excess of \$100,000 annually from the Company in any capacity other than as a director, and none of the director's immediate family members has received more than \$100,000 annually in compensation from the Company, in any of the last five years; (4) the director, and each of the director's immediate family members, is not and has not been during the last five years affiliated with or employed by a present or former auditor (or an affiliate of such auditor) of the Company; (5) the director, and each of the director's immediate family members, is not employed as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company's listed executive officers serves on such other company's compensation committee; and (6) the director is not and has not been

during the last five years employed by, and each of the director's immediate family members is not and has not been during the last five years an executive officer of, another company (a) that accounts for the greater of 2% or \$1 million of the Company's revenues or (b) for which the Company accounts for the greater of 2% or \$1 million of such other company's consolidated gross revenues. Under proposed Securities and Exchange Commission rules a director who is a member of the Audit Committee shall not be considered independent if he or she is affiliated with the Company or if he, she or any member of his or her immediate family, or any law firm, accounting firm, consulting firm, investment bank or similar entity with which any of them are affiliated, has accepted any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from the Company or an affiliate, other than for service on the Board or a committee. The Company will not consider as independent any director who is employed by a non-profit organization, a substantial portion of whose funding comes from the Company. The Board will from time to time and no less often than annually make a determination as to which members are independent under applicable requirements.

11. Chairman and CEO

The Company's by-laws provide that the Company's Chief Executive Officer shall also serve as the Company's Chairman of the Board. The Board believes this policy has served it well in the past and continues to serve it well at present.

Conduct of Board Meetings

12. Board Meeting Schedule and Agenda

At the beginning of the year the Chairman will establish a schedule and agenda of subjects to be discussed during the year (to the degree this can be foreseen). The Board of Directors shall have at least six regularly scheduled meetings each year. Additional unscheduled Board meetings may be called upon appropriate notice at any time to address specific needs of the business. The Chairman will establish the agenda for each Board meeting. Each Board member is encouraged to suggest the inclusion of items on the agenda at any time. The Board will review the Company's long-term strategic plan and the principal issues facing the Company during at least one meeting each year. The CEO and Corporate Secretary shall attend all non-executive sessions of the Board, and other members of management may attend non-executive sessions of the Board at the invitation of the CEO or the Board.

13. Advance Distribution of Materials

Information and data that are important to the Board's understanding of the business to be conducted at a Board or committee meeting should generally be distributed in writing to the directors before the meeting. Directors should review these materials in advance of the meeting to preserve time at the meeting and to provoke questions and discussion about the material. On certain occasions where the subject matter is too sensitive to put in writing, the matter will be discussed at the meeting.

14. Executive Session

The non-management directors of the Board will meet in executive session at least quarterly. Executive session discussions may include such topics as the non-management directors determine, but actions of the Board should be taken separately at a Board meeting. The chairmen of the Governance and Nominating Committee shall serve as chairman for any executive session of the Board.

Committees of the Board

15. Number of Committees

The Board will have at all times an Audit Committee, a Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee and a Governance and Nominating Committee. All of the members of these committees will be independent directors. The Board may have additional committees as it determines from time to time are necessary or appropriate.

16. Committee Membership

Committee members will be appointed by the Board upon recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee after taking into account the desires, experiences and expertise of individual directors. The Board believes that consideration should be given to rotating committee members periodically, but the Board does not believe that rotation should be mandated as a policy.

17. Committee Structure

Each committee will have its own charter. The charters will set forth the purposes, goals and responsibilities of the committees as well as qualifications for committee membership, procedures for committee member appointment and removal, committee structure and operations and committee reporting to the Board. The charters will also provide that each committee will annually evaluate its performance.

18. Committee Meetings

The Chairman of each committee, in consultation with the committee members, will determine the frequency and length of the committee meetings consistent with any requirements set forth in the committee's charter. The Chairman of each committee, in consultation with the appropriate members of the committee and management, will develop the committee's agenda.

Director Communications

19. Director Access to Officers and Employees

Directors have full and free access to officers and employees of the Company. Any meetings or contacts that a director wishes to initiate may be arranged through the CEO or the Corporate Secretary or directly by the director. Any such contact should be done in a way that is not disruptive to the business operations of the Company. Any non-routine written communications between a director and an officer or employee of the Company should be copied to the CEO. The Corporate Secretary advises the Board on appropriate procedures for the conduct of meetings and on corporate governance matters, and all Board members shall have access to his advice and services.

20. Director Access to Outside Advisors

The Board and each committee have the power to hire independent legal, financial or other advisors as they may deem necessary, without consulting or obtaining the approval of any officer of the Company in advance.

21. Board's Interaction with Third Parties

The Board believes that the management speaks for the Company. At the request of management, individual Board members may, from time to time, meet or otherwise communicate with various constituencies that are involved with the Company. If comments are appropriate, they should in most circumstances come from the Chairman of the Board.

22. Director Orientation and Continuing Education

All new directors must participate in the Company's Orientation Program, which should be conducted once each year following the annual meeting at which new directors are elected. This orientation will include presentations by senior management to familiarize new directors with the Company's strategic plans, its significant financial, accounting and risk management issues, its compliance programs, its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, its principal officers, and its internal and independent auditors. All other directors are also invited to attend the Orientation Program.

Director Remuneration

23. Director Compensation

The form and amount of director compensation will be determined by the Governance and Nominating Committee in accordance with the policies and principles set forth in its charter and then recommended to the full Board. Management shall report to the Governance and Nominating Committee annually on an assessment of the Company's director compensation measured against comparable companies. Independent directors will receive no additional compensation, in the form of consulting fees or other specific benefits, beyond that provided for service on the Board.

24. Indemnification

The directors shall be entitled to have the Company purchase reasonable directors' and officers' liability insurance on their behalf, to the benefits of indemnification to the fullest extent permitted by law and the Company's charter, by-laws and any indemnification agreements, and to exculpation as provided by state law and the Company's charter.

Performance Evaluations

25. CEO Evaluation and Management Succession

The Board of Directors will conduct an annual review of the CEO's performance. The Board of Directors will evaluate whether the CEO is providing the best leadership for the Company in the long- and short- term. The Compensation and Employee Benefits Committee will then conduct a review of and make a recommendation regarding the CEO's compensation as set forth in its charter, which it will present to the Board. The Board will determine the CEO's compensation.

The Board should make an annual review of management succession planning. The entire Board will work with the Governance and Nominating Committee to nominate and evaluate potential successors to the CEO. The CEO should at all times make available his or her recommendations and evaluations of potential successors, along with a review of any development plans recommended for such individuals.

26. Annual Performance Evaluation

The Board of Directors will conduct an annual self- evaluation to determine whether it and its committees are functioning effectively. The Governance and Nominating Committee will receive comments from all directors and report annually to the Board with an assessment of the Board's performance. The assessment will focus on the Board's contribution to the Company and specifically focus on areas in which the Board or management believes that the Board could improve.

March 1, 2004

TRAVEL AND PARKING DIRECTIONS


The Austin Convention Center Parking Garage is located two blocks west of the Convention Center. The entrance is on San Jacinto Street. Continuous shuttle service will be provided to transport shareowners from the parking garage to the Trinity Street entrance of the Convention Center.

From Congress Avenue (South): Left on Cesar Chavez, Left on Trinity, Left on 2nd Street, Left on San Jacinto. The parking garage is on the right.

From Congress Avenue (North): Right on Cesar Chavez, Left on Trinity, Left on 2nd Street, Left on San Jacinto. The parking garage is on the right.

From Interstate 35 North: Exit 234 B. Left on Cesar Chavez, Right on Trinity, Left on 2nd Street, Left on San Jacinto. The parking garage is on the right.

From Interstate 35 South: Exit 234 A. Right on Cesar Chavez, Right on Trinity, Left on 2nd street, Left on San Jacinto. The parking garage is on the right.





AT&T

One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921-0752



Recycled
Paper

ATT-PS-2004

Kathryn I. Croke
52 Ames Street
Onancock, VA 23417

24 January 2005

Via Overnight Mail

Office of the Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance
Securities and Exchange Commission
450 Fifth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20549

Re: Shareholder proposal submitted by Kathryn I. Croke to AT&T Corporation

Dear Counsel:

I am responding to the request for no-action relief set forth in a letter from AT&T Corp. ("AT&T" or the "Company"), dated 4 January, advising the Division that AT&T intends to omit my resolution from its proxy statement. Lani G. Flesch, who has requested to be named a co-filer of the proposal, joins me in this reply. For the reasons stated below, I respectfully urge the Division to deny AT&T's request.

The Resolution and AT&T's Objections

The shareholder resolution is a garden-variety "golden parachute" proposal. It urges AT&T's Board of Directors "to seek shareholder approval for future severance agreements with senior executives, including 'golden parachute' and 'golden good-bye' severance agreements, which provide benefits exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the executive's base salary plus bonus." The proposal then defines the scope of the "benefits" that are to be included in calculating the threshold triggering shareholder approval. The resolution and supporting statement both focus specifically and exclusively on severance agreements for executive officers, particularly "provisions triggered when executives resign or are terminated after a change in corporate control."

AT&T argues that my proposal should be omitted for three distinct reasons: First, under Rule 14a-8(e)(2), because the proposal was "received" after the proposal submission deadline; second, under Rule 14a-8(i)(11), because the proposal "substantially duplicates" two other proposals received by the Company; and finally, under Rule 14a-8(i)(3) and Rule 14a-9, because two separate items in the Supporting Statement are "materially false or misleading." There is no basis for AT&T's claims in fact or in law.

1. Rule 14a-8(e)(2) – Delivery to AT&T was attempted on the business day prior to the published submission deadline

I am frankly surprised that AT&T's counsel continues to rely on a claim that "[t]he proposal, together with the letter from the co-filer, was received by the Company via express delivery at the above address on Monday, November 29, 2004, two days after

the deadline. See attached UPS Package Tracking printout.” As my attached letter to AT&T shows, I informed the Company by fax on 14 December 2004 – and reiterated again in a second letter faxed 31 December 2004 (also attached) – that the UPS Tracking documentation clearly shows UPS attempted delivery on Friday November 26 – the business day prior to the submission deadline. The UPS Tracking report, which is attached, documents a “delivery [was] attempted” on Friday, November 26 – the day prior to the November 27 submission deadline published in AT&T’s 2004 proxy statement – but that the UPS delivery failed because “Receiver is on a holiday.”

In its letter, AT&T attempts to rely on several prior decisions where a proposal was received after a deadline because delivery was attempted *on a holiday* or *on a weekend*. For example, AT&T first cites AT&T Corp., January 6, 1999. In that case, the Company’s deadline was Thanksgiving Day; proponent attempted delivery *on the national holiday*, not on a business day prior to the deadline – the situation here. The same is true of the other two citations relied on by AT&T, which stand for a general rule that the Commission will not require a Company to accept delivery on a holiday or weekend. See Westinghouse Electric Corporation, December 28, 1995 (proposal received after deadline due to Veteran’s Day national holiday); and American Stories Company, February 8, 1994 (proposal received after deadline due to New Year’s Day national holiday). The facts here are quite different. *My proposal arrived on a business day that was after the national holiday and prior to the Company’s submission deadline* – that is, delivery was attempted on a normal business day and the Company chose not to accept it.

As AT&T’s letter concedes, the Company’s 2004 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement included the following statement:

Shareowner proposals may be submitted for inclusion in our 2005 proxy statement after the 2004 annual meeting, but must be received no later than 5:00 p.m. EST on Saturday, November 27, 2004.

I sent my shareholder proposal by UPS on November 24, with delivery guaranteed on Friday November 26 – the day after Thanksgiving, a national holiday. And, according to the UPS Tracking documentation, UPS attempted delivery on the next business day – Friday November 26, one day prior to AT&T’s published deadline. AT&T refused delivery on that date, and on Saturday November 27, claiming (according to the UPS manifest) that their corporate offices were “on a holiday.” As a result, UPS was forced to return again on Monday November 29 – and thus AT&T’s counsel can claim, however disingenuously, that the corporate secretary did not “receive” the proposal until after the deadline.

The Commission should not permit AT&T to refuse to accept delivery on a *business day prior to the deadline* that AT&T itself established and published in its 2004 proxy, and then claim that the proposal “was not timely submitted.” Shareholders rely on the deadline published in the proxy; and it would be reasonable for a shareholder to assume that AT&T would accept delivery *on the business day prior to the deadline*. Indeed, AT&T had constructive delivery on Friday, November 26, a full day ahead of the

deadline, when UPS attempted delivery by hand. While AT&T has every right to close its offices and refuse to accept deliveries on the business day following a national holiday, it cannot expect shareholders to know this; AT&T should either have provided public notice to shareholders, or changed the deadline to the Wednesday before, or the Monday after, the Company's self-styled "holiday."

2. Rule 14a-8(i)(11) – The Proposal does not duplicate another concerning non-tax-qualified Senior Executive Retirement Plans (SERPs), nor is it a Bylaw Amendment

AT&T next claims that my proposal, a garden-variety Golden Parachute proposal, "substantially duplicates" a proposal concerning non-qualified Senior Executive Retirement Plans, or SERPs, filed by Domini Social Investments. This claim can be quickly dispatched. Severance agreements, triggered by an executive's *termination*, are neither conceptually nor legally identical with SERPs or other retirement saving plans. A *pre-retirement severance package* triggered by a change in control, or by the termination or constructive discharge of an executive "without cause," is an entirely different legal contract – and an entirely different corporate governance issue – than is a *non-tax-qualified supplemental retirement plan* (or SERP). No reasonably informed shareholder would believe the "core issue" posed by these two proposals is identical.

The plain language of the two proposals makes it very clear that each refers specifically to distinct categories of executive compensation. The proposal that I submitted is entitled "Shareholder Vote on 'Golden Parachute' Agreements." It focuses exclusively on distinct agreements and contractual provisions triggering a package of extra compensation upon termination. My proposal states:

RESOLVED: The shareholders of AT&T urge our Board to seek shareholder approval for future *severance agreements* with senior executives, *including 'golden parachute' and 'golden good-bye' severance agreements*, which provide benefits exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the executive's base salary plus bonus.

In contrast, the Domini proposal is entitled "Executive Pension Benefits." It focuses on the non-qualified supplemental pension plans in which senior executives steadily accumulate (and immediately vest in) retirement savings whether or not there is a change of control, or a termination "without cause" prior to normal retirement. That proposal is as follows:

RESOLVED: Shareholders request that the Board adopt a policy to seek shareholder approval of any future *supplemental executive retirement plan ("SERP"), or individual retirement arrangement* for senior executives, that provides preferential benefit formulas or supplemental pension benefits not provided to other managers under the Company's regular tax-qualified plan. Implementation of this policy shall not breach any existing employment agreement or vested benefit.

Moreover, my proposal explicitly *excludes* qualified and non-qualified pension plan benefits from the calculation of “benefits” that must exceed 2.99 base salary plus bonus before shareholder approval is required. The second paragraph of the resolution states:

‘Benefits’ include the present value of all payments (in case or in kind) **not already earned or vested prior to termination,**

Like AT&T’s tax-qualified defined-benefit plans (which provide tax-deferred benefits calculated on salary up to the IRS limit of \$210,000), AT&T’s supplemental pension arrangements are “earned or vested prior to termination” – and are therefore not severance benefits received only if triggered by a qualifying termination. SERPs are also clearly considered separate from the executive severance packages as they are set forth in both the senior executive employment agreements and the report of the Board’s compensation committee in the proxy.

It is not surprising, therefore, that the Investor Responsibility Research Center – an independent and respected corporate governance research institute in Washington, D.C. – tracks and reports on these two shareholder topics separately in its annual reviews of proxy season activity. The only similarities between my proposal and the SERP proposal submitted by Domini is that they both concern categories of executive compensation and seek shareholder approval under certain circumstances. The fact that both proposals request shareholder approval – concerning two entirely different categories of compensation – is not enough to justify omission under Rule 14a-8(i)(11).

The no-action precedents cited by AT&T are clearly distinguishable from the situation here because in those cases – as in all others to our knowledge – the Division agreed that proposals were duplicative only when they addressed the same specific subject matter. For example, AT&T’s letter relies heavily on USG Corp., April 7, 2000. There both proposals related to prohibiting the implementation of a new shareholder rights agreement. The fact that one conditioned implementation on prior shareholder approval, while the other opposed implementation outright, did not prevent them from being substantially duplicative. Indeed, had they both passed, they would also have been in conflict. Similarly, in Monsanto Co., February 7, 2000, both proposals sought to replace the company’s classified director election system with new – and mutually inconsistent – alternatives. Clearly, these two precedents relied on by AT&T’s counsel are inapposite. Here we have two proposals that relate to entirely different categories of compensation – severance following termination and pension plans following retirement. One or both proposals could be adopted by AT&T’s Board with no effect on the other.

AT&T argues that my proposal is also substantially identical to the proposed amendment to the Company’s Bylaws submitted by CalPERS. We concede that both proposals relate to executive officer severance agreements and seek shareowner approval for future agreements with a total present value exceeding 2.99 times salary plus bonus. And both proposals clearly have no relation to the issues raised in the Domini proposal on SERPs. To the extent that the CalPERS proposal and my proposal address the same general issue, the fundamental difference between them is that CalPERS proposes a

binding Bylaw amendment, whereas my proposal is precatory. They are not inconsistent, since if they both passed, the CalPERS proposal would clearly supersede (as my proposal is advisory).

Nonetheless, because AT&T is challenging the CalPERS proposal on other grounds, I urge the Commission to ensure that if one of these two proposals concerning executive severance agreements (“golden parachutes”) is omitted on other grounds, that the other will not be found to violate Rule 14a-8(i)(11). While I believe shareholders should be given the choice between a precatory version and a bylaw amendment concerning approval of executive severance agreements, at least one of them should be included in the AT&T proxy.

3. Rule 14a-8(i)(3) and Rule 14a-9 – The Proposal does not contain materially false or misleading statements that would justify omission

AT&T next argues that two lines in the supporting statement constitute “materially false or misleading statements.” The first concerns one of the three enumerated payouts that executive officers can receive, under AT&T’s Senior Officer Separation Plan, for termination after a change in control. The Supporting Statement includes the following:

In our opinion, AT&T’s severance agreements are unjustifiably costly and contrary to long-term shareholder interests. Under AT&T’s Senior Officer Separation Plan, eligible officers can receive the following payouts for termination within two years after a “change in control,” defined to include situations where another entity acquires as little as 20% of the Company’s voting stock and never actually takes control:

- ❑ 300% of base salary plus target annual bonus.
- ❑ 300% of the fair market value of restricted stock and stock appreciation rights granted the year the change in control occurs.
- ❑ A “gross-up payment” to reimburse federal excise tax liability for “excess parachute payments.”

While the first and third benefits listed above remain accurate, in May 2004 AT&T eliminated the second bulleted benefit above (relating to a multiple of restricted stock and stock appreciation rights granted the year the change in control occurs).

Proponent is perfectly willing – and hereby offers – to update and correct the proposal by entirely deleting the sentence following the second bullet above. By deleting the words “300% of the fair market value of restricted stock and stock appreciation rights granted the year the change in control occurs,” the Supporting Statement will be accurate and up to date with respect to amendments AT&T has made in recent months to its Senior Officer Separation Plan. I believe this correction is straightforward and sufficient; and that since that deletion would not change the accuracy or coherence of the remainder of the resolution or Supporting Statement, there is no reason why this one change should justify omission of the entire proposal.

Finally, AT&T argues that because the Supporting Statement outlines, as an “example,” the severance package that would be received by AT&T Chairman and CEO David Dorman, that this “falsely implies that these are special benefits that only Mr. Dorman receives, whereas in fact the Company’s other senior executives would have identical or substantially similar benefits.” This complaint is hard to credit (or even understand). My Supporting Statement prefaces the description of Dorman’s parachute with the words “For example.” Indeed, since the 500-word limitation precludes describing the precise severance package that applies to each of the executive officers, I believe the CEO is the most appropriate example to use. Moreover, although Dorman’s severance package has the same components as the other four senior executive officers, it also is different – as explicitly described in my Supporting Statement – because “if he terminates after a change in control, a ‘pension parachute’ triggers extra years of service credit under Dorman’s ‘Special Individual Pension Arrangement,’ increasing his guaranteed annual pension-payment-for-life from 34.7% to 45.5% of final average total cash compensation (assuming termination in 2005).”

Although we by no means concede that outlining the particulars of the CEO’s severance package will mislead shareholders in any material manner – and believe that no correction is needed – nevertheless, if the Commission believes a clarification is warranted, I would be perfectly willing – and hereby offer – to add at the end of the paragraph in question: “The other senior executive officers are entitled to substantially similar benefits.”

Conclusion

For these reasons, we respectfully ask the Division to advise AT&T that the Division does not concur with AT&T’s view that my resolution may be excluded. Please do not hesitate to contact me if there is additional information that I can provide.

Very truly yours,




Kathryn I. Croke

cc: John W. Thomson, Esq.
Lani Flesch
Peter H. Mixon (CalPERS)
Adam Kanzer (Domini)

ATTACHMENTS



[Home](#) | [About UPS](#) | [Contact UPS](#) | [Welcome Center](#)



UPS Uni

[Shipping](#) | [Tracking](#) | [Support](#) | [Business Solutions](#)

Tracking

Log-In User ID: Password: | [Forgot Password](#)

- [Track by Tracking Number](#)
- [Track by Reference Number](#)
- [Import Tracking Numbers](#)
- [Track by E-mail](#)
- [Access Quantum View](#)
- [Void a Shipment](#)
- [Help](#)

Track by Tracking Number

View Details

Status: Delivered
Delivered on: Nov 29, 2004 9:38 A.M.
Signed by: J HAVICK
Location: DOCK
Delivered to: BEDMINSTER, NJ, US
Shipped or Billed on: Nov 23, 2004

Tracking Number: 1Z A87 02E 02 4489 321 1
Service Type: 2ND DAY AIR

Package Progress:

Date/Time	Location	Activity
Nov 29, 2004 9:38 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	DELIVERY
Nov 26, 2004 8:35 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	THE RECEIVER IS ON HOLIDAY. DELIVERY ATTEMPTED WHEN TI RECEIVER RETURNS; WILL BE DELIVERED BUSINESS DAY-NO S GUARANTEE
8:35 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	OUT FOR DELIVERY
5:18 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	OUT FOR DELIVERY
5:17 A.M.	BOUND BROOK, NJ, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
Nov 25, 2004 4:54 A.M.	PHILADELPHIA, PA, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
Nov 24, 2004 11:20 P.M.	PHILADELPHIA, PA, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
5:36 A.M.	HODGKINS, IN, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
5:00 A.M.	HODGKINS, IN, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
3:56 A.M.	ADDISON, IL, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
Nov 23, 2004 10:12 P.M.	ADDISON, IL, US	ARRIVAL SCAN
9:45 P.M.	PALATINE, IL, US	DEPARTURE SCAN
8:24 P.M.	PALATINE, IL, US	ORIGIN SCAN
7:16 P.M.	US	BILLING INFORMATION RECEIVED

Tracking results provided by UPS: Jan 4, 2005 12:26 P.M. Eastern Time (USA)

NOTICE: UPS authorizes you to use UPS tracking systems solely to track shipments tendered to UPS for delivery and for no other purpose. Any other use of UPS tracking systems and information is strictly prohibited.

[← Back to Tracking Summary](#)

[↑ Back to Top](#)

Get more from UPS.com. Register for My UPS today. →

Find Answers to Your Tracking Questions

→ [Go to Tracking Number FAQ](#)

**Kathryn I Croke
52 Ames Street
Onancock, VA 23417**

January 3, 2004

Robert S. Feit
Vice President-Law and Secretary
AT&T Corp., Room 3A123
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-0752

Dear Mr. Feit:

I am writing you to request written confirmation as to whether the Company intends to publish my proposal or, alternatively, to seek no-action relief from the SEC's Division of Corporation Finance.


While AT&T's initial assessment, per Donna Grillo, was that the proposal would be rejected because it was received late, I need confirmation that you accept my documentation showing the attempt to deliver on the business day prior to the submission deadline (see attached response sent and received on December 14, 2004).

The UPS Tracking documentation according to the UPS manifest shows that delivery was attempted on Friday, Nov. 26 -- the day prior to the published submission deadline -- but that the UPS delivery failed because "Receiver is on a holiday". Therefore, I do not accept the Company assessment that the proposal was late and need your written agreement by January 11, 2005.

Thank you in advance for confirmation that my proposal will be published or, alternatively, if you will seek no-action relief from the SEC's Division of Corporation Finance.

I need your definitive answer by January 11 or I will be forced to file a complaint with the SEC.

Sincerely yours,



Kathryn I. Croke

Enclosures

**Kathryn I Croke
52 Ames Street
Onancock, VA 23417**

December 14, 2004

Donna Grillo
Room 3A154E
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, NJ 07921
donnagrillo@att.com

Dear Ms. Grillo:


This letter is a follow-up to your correspondence on December 2, 2004 in which you stated that my shareholder proposal was received late based on the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rule 14a-8(e).

My shareholder proposal was sent on Tuesday, 11/23, via 2nd day air. UPS scheduled delivery for Friday, 11/26 – within the required deadline. UPS was unable to make the delivery as scheduled because AT&T closed on Friday,

While AT&T did not accept the proposal until November 29, it was sent and delivery attempted prior to the cut-off date. November 26 was not an official federal holiday and a reasonable person would assume that a successful delivery could have been made on that day.

Therefore, I will not withdraw my proposal and I respectfully suggest that you withdraw the determination that the proposal was received late or seek a decision from the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Sincerely,



Kathryn I. Croke

cc: Lani Gill Flesch
Carol Bourdette

**DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE
INFORMAL PROCEDURES REGARDING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS**

The Division of Corporation Finance believes that its responsibility with respect to matters arising under Rule 14a-8 [17 CFR 240.14a-8], as with other matters under the proxy rules, is to aid those who must comply with the rule by offering informal advice and suggestions and to determine, initially, whether or not it may be appropriate in a particular matter to recommend enforcement action to the Commission. In connection with a shareholder proposal under Rule 14a-8, the Division's staff considers the information furnished to it by the Company in support of its intention to exclude the proposals from the Company's proxy materials, as well as any information furnished by the proponent or the proponent's representative.

Although Rule 14a-8(k) does not require any communications from shareholders to the Commission's staff, the staff will always consider information concerning alleged violations of the statutes administered by the Commission, including argument as to whether or not activities proposed to be taken would be violative of the statute or rule involved. The receipt by the staff of such information, however, should not be construed as changing the staff's informal procedures and proxy review into a formal or adversary procedure.

It is important to note that the staff's and Commission's no-action responses to Rule 14a-8(j) submissions reflect only informal views. The determinations reached in these no-action letters do not and cannot adjudicate the merits of a company's position with respect to the proposal. Only a court such as a U.S. District Court can decide whether a company is obligated to include shareholder proposals in its proxy materials. Accordingly a discretionary determination not to recommend or take Commission enforcement action, does not preclude a proponent, or any shareholder of a company, from pursuing any rights he or she may have against the company in court, should the management omit the proposal from the company's proxy material.

March 2, 2005


**Response of the Office of Chief Counsel
Division of Corporation Finance**

Re: AT&T Corp.
Incoming letter dated January 4, 2005

The proposal urges the board to seek shareholder approval for future severance agreements with senior executives that provide benefits exceeding 2.99 times the sum of the executive's base salary plus bonus.

There appears to be some basis for your view that AT&T may exclude the proposal under rule 14a-8(i)(11), as substantially duplicative of a previously submitted proposal from CalPERS that will be included in AT&T's 2005 proxy materials. Accordingly, we will not recommend enforcement action to the Commission if AT&T omits the proposal from its proxy materials in reliance on rule 14a-8(i)(11). In reaching this position, we have not found it necessary to address the alternative bases for omission upon which AT&T relies.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'RWJ', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Rebekah J. Toton
Attorney-Advisor