



UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-12911

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED

State of Incorporation:
Delaware

I.R.S. Employer Identification Number:
77-0239383

Address of principal executive offices:
585 W. Beach Street
Watsonville, California 95076
(831) 724-1011

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). ☐ Yes ☒ No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of October 26, 2009.

| Class | Outstanding |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | 38,658,847 shares |

Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

- [Item 1. Financial Statements](#)
[Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2008](#)
[Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008](#)
[Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008](#)
[Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements](#)
- [Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations](#)
- [Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk](#)
- [Item 4. Controls and Procedures](#)

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

- [Item 1. Legal Proceedings](#)
- [Item 1A. Risk Factors](#)
- [Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds](#)
- [Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities](#)
- [Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders](#)
- [Item 5. Other Information](#)
- [Item 6. Exhibits](#)

SIGNATURES

[EXHIBIT 10.1](#)

[EXHIBIT 10.2](#)

[EXHIBIT 10.3](#)

[EXHIBIT 10.4](#)

[EXHIBIT 10.5](#)

[EXHIBIT 31.1](#)

[EXHIBIT 31.2](#)

[EXHIBIT 32](#)

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED **CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS** *(Unaudited - in thousands, except share and per share data)*

| | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 371,434 | \$ 460,843 | \$ 281,046 |
| Short-term marketable securities | 27,798 | 38,320 | 101,112 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 382,572 | 314,733 | 480,315 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings | 38,011 | 13,295 | 34,759 |
| Inventories, net | 51,972 | 55,223 | 61,342 |
| Real estate held for development and sale | 135,306 | 75,089 | 52,165 |
| Deferred income taxes | 43,356 | 43,637 | 46,233 |
| Equity in construction joint ventures | 58,450 | 44,681 | 45,219 |
| Other current assets | 41,185 | 56,742 | 65,182 |
| Total current assets | 1,150,084 | 1,102,563 | 1,167,373 |
| Property and equipment, net | 530,661 | 517,678 | 522,733 |
| Long-term marketable securities | 62,612 | 21,239 | 30,209 |
| Investments in affiliates | 21,309 | 19,996 | 27,518 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 80,233 | 81,979 | 73,696 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,844,899 | \$ 1,743,455 | \$ 1,821,529 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 68,194 | \$ 39,692 | \$ 34,886 |
| Accounts payable | 211,670 | 174,626 | 234,126 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings | 187,205 | 227,364 | 251,402 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 209,806 | 184,939 | 227,611 |
| Total current liabilities | 676,875 | 626,621 | 748,025 |
| Long-term debt | 233,582 | 250,687 | 246,487 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 48,884 | 43,604 | 46,178 |
| Deferred income taxes | 17,917 | 18,261 | 18,733 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | |
| Equity | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding | - | - | - |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,669,447 shares as of September 30, 2009, 38,266,791 shares as of December 31, 2008 and 38,264,058 shares as of September 30, 2008 | 387 | 383 | 383 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 92,356 | 85,035 | 83,041 |
| Retained earnings | 724,621 | 682,237 | 655,287 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | - | (146) | (3,334) |
| Total Granite Construction Inc. shareholders' equity | 817,364 | 767,509 | 735,377 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 50,277 | 36,773 | 26,729 |
| Total equity | 867,641 | 804,282 | 762,106 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 1,844,899 | \$ 1,743,455 | \$ 1,821,529 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Unaudited - in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Construction | \$ 647,776 | \$ 771,941 | \$ 1,368,111 | \$ 1,755,457 |
| Construction materials | 71,527 | 124,478 | 158,688 | 283,321 |
| Real estate | 981 | 1,369 | 1,932 | 8,142 |
| Total revenue | 720,284 | 897,788 | 1,528,731 | 2,046,920 |
| Cost of revenue | | | | |
| Construction | 549,053 | 643,531 | 1,123,038 | 1,437,093 |
| Construction materials | 64,528 | 109,068 | 145,991 | 247,959 |
| Real estate | 1,531 | 887 | 3,272 | 9,846 |
| Total cost of revenue | 615,112 | 753,486 | 1,272,301 | 1,694,898 |
| Gross profit | 105,172 | 144,302 | 256,430 | 352,022 |
| General and administrative expenses | 60,465 | 71,933 | 169,766 | 198,344 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | 1,549 | 2,008 | 6,878 | 4,564 |
| Operating income | 46,256 | 74,377 | 93,542 | 158,242 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 744 | 5,439 | 3,914 | 15,087 |
| Interest expense | (4,245) | (5,303) | (10,586) | (12,871) |
| Equity in income (loss) of affiliates | 4,021 | (1,257) | 4,360 | (1,436) |
| Other income, net | 3,062 | 549 | 8,278 | 9,196 |
| Total other income (expense) | 3,582 | (572) | 5,966 | 9,976 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 49,838 | 73,805 | 99,508 | 168,218 |
| Provision for income taxes | 13,300 | 21,473 | 26,316 | 46,681 |
| Net income | 36,538 | 52,332 | 73,192 | 121,537 |
| Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests | (5,940) | (594) | (15,725) | (31,058) |
| Net income attributable to Granite Construction Inc. | \$ 30,598 | \$ 51,738 | \$ 57,467 | \$ 90,479 |
| Net income per share attributable to common shareholders (see Note 13) | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.79 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 1.49 | \$ 2.35 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.79 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 1.49 | \$ 2.35 |
| Weighted average shares of common stock | | | | |
| Basic | 37,595 | 37,430 | 37,552 | 37,664 |
| Diluted | 37,709 | 37,557 | 37,670 | 37,760 |
| Dividends per common share | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.39 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited - in thousands)

| Nine Months Ended September 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 73,192 | \$ 121,537 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Impairment of real estate held for development and sale | 1,686 | 4,500 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 59,048 | 64,036 |
| (Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts, net | (3,844) | 8,914 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | (6,878) | (4,564) |
| Change in deferred income taxes | (518) | 1,116 |
| Stock-based compensation | 7,869 | 5,135 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | (670) | (743) |
| Gain from trading securities | (431) | - |
| Equity in (income) loss of affiliates | (4,360) | 1,436 |
| Acquisition of noncontrolling interest | - | (16,616) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effects of acquisition and consolidations: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | (61,943) | (85,557) |
| Inventories, net | 3,251 | (4,083) |
| Real estate held for development and sale | (13,359) | (13,425) |
| Equity in construction joint ventures | (13,769) | (10,879) |
| Other assets, net | 13,630 | 34,698 |
| Accounts payable | 36,939 | 20,991 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities, net | 32,615 | 19,650 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings | (64,875) | (41,249) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 57,583 | 104,897 |
| Investing activities | | |
| Purchases of marketable securities | (61,974) | (68,732) |
| Maturities of marketable securities | 32,610 | 64,090 |
| Release of funds for acquisition of noncontrolling interest | - | 28,332 |
| Additions to property and equipment | (75,773) | (76,098) |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 10,089 | 12,253 |
| Acquisition of businesses | - | (14,022) |
| Contributions to affiliates | (4,969) | (5,345) |
| Issuance of notes receivable | (4,270) | - |
| Other investing activities | 450 | 626 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (103,837) | (58,896) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 8,384 | 2,660 |
| Long-term debt principal payments | (18,139) | (15,748) |
| Cash dividends paid | (15,031) | (15,081) |
| Purchase of common stock | (2,840) | (45,489) |
| Contributions from noncontrolling partners | 239 | 4,955 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling partners | (16,490) | (37,713) |
| Acquisition of noncontrolling interest | - | (11,716) |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | 670 | 743 |
| Other financing | 52 | - |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (43,155) | (117,389) |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (89,409) | (71,388) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 460,843 | 352,434 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 371,434 | \$ 281,046 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)
(Unaudited - in thousands)

| Nine Months Ended September 30, | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|--|------|--------|------|--------|
| Supplementary Information | | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 11,883 | \$ | 9,204 |
| Income taxes | | 13,964 | | 37,848 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | | |
| Restricted stock issued for services, net | \$ | 19,164 | \$ | 6,934 |
| Restricted stock units issued | | 47 | | 3,208 |
| Accrued cash dividends | | 5,027 | | 4,974 |
| Assets acquired through issuances of debt | | - | | 2,660 |
| Debt payments from sale of assets | | - | | 2,652 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation:

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Granite Construction Incorporated (“we,” “us,” “our” or “Granite”) without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted, although we believe the disclosures which are made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Further, the condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly our financial position at September 30, 2009 and 2008 and the results of our operations and cash flows for the periods presented. In preparing these financial statements, we have evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through October 29, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued. The December 31, 2008 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP.

We prepared the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements on the same basis as our annual consolidated financial statements except for the adoption, in the first quarter of 2009, of two new accounting standards. One of the standards clarified that noncontrolling interests, formerly characterized as minority interests, should be reported as equity on the condensed consolidated balance sheets and requires net income or loss attributable to both the parent and noncontrolling interests to be disclosed separately in the condensed consolidated statements of income. This standard became effective for us on January 1, 2009 and requires prior year amounts related to noncontrolling interests to be reclassified to conform to current year presentation. In addition, it requires a reconciliation of the carrying amount of equity attributable to Granite and the amount of equity attributable to the noncontrolling interests. The second new standard clarified that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards which contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends, whether paid or unpaid, shall be included in the number of shares outstanding in our basic and diluted earnings per share (“EPS”) calculations (see Note 13).

Interim results are subject to significant seasonal variations and the results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

2. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements:

Investments - Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Debt and Equity Securities

In April 2009, the FASB issued new accounting standards to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments on debt and equity securities in the financial statements. The standards do not amend existing recognition and measurement guidance. We did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment on our debt securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and, therefore, the new standards did not affect our financial statements. If we recognize any other-than-temporary impairment on our debt securities in the future, these standards would provide guidance for footnote disclosures.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In April 2009, the FASB issued new accounting standards that require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim and annual reporting periods. We adopted these standards in the second quarter of 2009 and additional disclosure about our financial instruments is included in Note 6.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new standard requiring ongoing analysis to determine whether a company holds a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity ("VIE"). The standard includes a new approach for determining who should consolidate a VIE, requiring a qualitative rather than a quantitative analysis. This standard also changes when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a VIE. Previously an enterprise was required to reconsider whether it was the primary beneficiary of a VIE only when specific events had occurred. The new standard requires continuous reassessment of an enterprise's interest in the VIE to determine who is the primary beneficiary. This statement will be effective for us in 2010. We do not expect the adoption of this accounting standard to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Codification

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new standard, the "Codification", which was effective September 15, 2009 and became the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. Subsequent issuances of new standards will be in the form of Accounting Standards Updates that will be included in the Codification. Generally, the Codification is not expected to change U.S. GAAP. All other accounting literature excluded from the Codification will be considered nonauthoritative. We adopted this standard in the third quarter of 2009 and, accordingly, changed references to authoritative accounting literature included in our financial statements to be in accordance with the Codification.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

3. Change in Accounting Estimates:

Our profit recognition related to construction contracts in any reporting period is derived from estimates of project revenue and costs. Variations in project profitability due to the impact of estimating project uncertainties are a normal part of our business, and in some cases the effect of these variations on our profitability may be significant. Our gross profit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 includes the effects of significant changes in the estimates of the profitability of certain projects.

Granite West

The net impact of significant changes in the estimates of profitability on Granite West projects was to increase gross profit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 as follows:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Increase in gross profit | \$ 24.6 | \$ 26.6 | \$ 51.2 | \$ 65.2 |
| Reduction in gross profit | (10.8) | (7.8) | (11.1) | (11.9) |
| Net increase in gross profit | \$ 13.8 | \$ 18.8 | \$ 40.1 | \$ 53.3 |

Changes in estimates of project profitability on Granite West projects that individually affected gross profit by \$1.0 million or more are summarized as follows:

| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|--------------|--|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Number of projects with upward estimate changes | 4 | 6 | 18 | 11 |
| Range of increase in gross profit from each project, net | \$ 1.4 - 3.8 | \$ 1.5 - 5.1 | \$ 1.0 - 3.8 | \$ 1.2 - 18.4 |
| Number of projects with downward estimate changes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Range of reduction in gross profit from each project, net | \$ 6.1 | \$ 1.1 - 1.7 | \$ 6.1 | \$ 1.1 - 3.0 |

The increased profitability estimates during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were due to the resolution of certain project uncertainties, higher productivity than originally estimated and the settlement of outstanding issues with contract owners. The decreased profitability estimates during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 were due to unanticipated costs, disputed materials performance issues, and owner directed design and scope changes. The decreased profitability estimates during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 were due to lower production than originally estimated.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Granite East

The net impact of significant changes in the estimates of profitability on Granite East gross profit was to increase gross profit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 as follows:

| <i>(in millions)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Increase in gross profit | \$ 8.1 | \$ 12.1 | \$ 42.4 | \$ 68.6 |
| Reduction in gross profit | (4.7) | (6.2) | (8.0) | (16.1) |
| Net increase in gross profit | \$ 3.4 | \$ 5.9 | \$ 34.4 | \$ 52.5 |

Changes in estimates of project profitability on Granite East projects that individually affected gross profit by \$1.0 million or more are summarized as follows:

| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|--------------|--|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Number of projects with upward estimate changes | 1 | 4 | 7 | 6 |
| Range of increase in gross profit from each project, net | \$ 2.2 | \$ 1.1 - 2.8 | \$ 1.5 - 18.0 | \$ 1.2 - 32.2 |
| Number of projects with downward estimate changes | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Range of reduction in gross profit from each project, net | \$ 2.0 | \$ 2.0 - 2.3 | \$ 1.7 - 2.0 | \$ 1.4 - 4.0 |

The increased profitability estimates during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 included resolution of project uncertainties, the settlement of outstanding revenue issues with various contract owners and improved productivity on certain projects. Specifically included in gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 are the results of negotiated claims settlements with contract owners of \$16.0 million and \$28.6 million, respectively. The decreased profitability estimates during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were due to issues with contract owners as well as job level productivity.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

4. Marketable Securities:

The amounts of marketable securities were as follows (in thousands):

| September 30, 2009 | Held to Maturity | Trading Securities | Available-for -Sale | Total |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Municipal bonds | \$ 18,371 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 18,371 |
| Mutual funds | - | 9,427 | - | 9,427 |
| Total short-term marketable securities | 18,371 | 9,427 | - | 27,798 |
| US Government and agency obligations | 50,877 | - | - | 50,877 |
| Municipal bonds | 11,735 | - | - | 11,735 |
| Total long-term marketable securities | 62,612 | - | - | 62,612 |
| Total marketable securities | \$ 80,983 | \$ 9,427 | \$ - | \$ 90,410 |

December 31, 2008

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|------|----------|-----------|
| US Government and agency obligations | \$ 20,194 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 20,194 |
| Municipal bonds | 17,090 | - | - | 17,090 |
| Mutual funds | - | - | 1,036 | 1,036 |
| Total short-term marketable securities | 37,284 | - | 1,036 | 38,320 |
| US Government and agency obligations | 43 | - | - | 43 |
| Municipal bonds | 21,196 | - | - | 21,196 |
| Total long-term marketable securities | 21,239 | - | - | 21,239 |
| Total marketable securities | \$ 58,523 | \$ - | \$ 1,036 | \$ 59,559 |

September 30, 2008

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------|-----------|------------|
| US Government and agency obligations | \$ 26,057 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 26,057 |
| Municipal bonds | 12,722 | - | - | 12,722 |
| Commercial paper | 33,892 | - | - | 33,892 |
| Mutual funds | - | - | 28,441 | 28,441 |
| Total short-term marketable securities | 72,671 | - | 28,441 | \$ 101,112 |
| US Government and agency obligations | 7,573 | - | - | 7,573 |
| Municipal bonds | 22,636 | - | - | 22,636 |
| Commercial paper | - | - | - | - |
| Total long-term marketable securities | 30,209 | - | - | 30,209 |
| Total marketable securities | \$ 102,880 | \$ - | \$ 28,441 | \$ 131,321 |

Short-term investments on our condensed consolidated balance sheet are marketable securities for which we do not have the positive intent to hold to maturity have been designated as trading or available-for-sale securities. Trading securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported in other income, net. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with the unrealized gains and losses, net of income taxes, reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income until realized. Held to maturity securities are carried at amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

At September 30, 2009, scheduled maturities of held-to-maturity investments were as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Due within one year | \$ 18,371 |
| Due in one to five years | 62,612 |
| Total | \$ 80,983 |

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

5. Fair Value Measurement:

The following tables summarize financial assets we measure at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------|
| | Level 1 ¹ | Level 2 ² | Level 3 ³ | Total |
| September 30, 2009 | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 214,312 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 214,312 |
| Trading securities | 9,427 | - | - | 9,427 |
| Total | \$ 223,739 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 223,739 |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 433,121 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 433,121 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 1,036 | - | - | 1,036 |
| Total | \$ 434,157 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 434,157 |
| September 30, 2008 | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 192,490 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 192,490 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 28,441 | - | - | 28,441 |
| Total | \$ 220,931 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 220,931 |

¹Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

²Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

³Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Money market funds are included in cash and cash equivalents on our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Effective in the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we applied the new standard for financial instruments to nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized and disclosed at fair value on a non-recurring basis. As of September 30, 2009, nonfinancial assets or liabilities measured at fair value consisted of our asset retirement obligations, which are initially measured at fair value using internal discounted cash flow calculations based upon our estimates of future retirement costs. The adoption of this standard did not impact our financial position or results of operations.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

6. Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments:

As of June 30, 2009, we adopted a new standard that requires quarterly fair value disclosures for financial instruments in addition to the annual disclosure. We believe the carrying value of receivables, other current assets, and other current liabilities approximate their fair values.

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of senior notes payable were:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Carrying amount: | | |
| Senior notes payable (including current maturities) | \$ 240,000 | \$ 255,000 |
| Fair value: | | |
| Senior notes payable (including current maturities) | \$ 254,423 | \$ 200,851 |

The fair value of the senior notes payable was based on borrowing rates available to us for bank loans with similar terms, average maturities, and credit risk.

7. Inventories:

Inventories consist primarily of quarry products valued at the lower of average cost or market.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

8. Construction and Line Item Joint Ventures:

We participate in various construction joint venture partnerships. We also participate in various “line item” joint venture agreements under which each partner is responsible for performing certain discrete items within the total scope of contracted work.

Our agreements with our joint venture partners for both construction joint ventures and line item joint ventures provide that each party will assume and pay its share of any losses resulting from a project. If one of our partners is unable to pay its share, we would be fully liable under our contract with the project owner. Circumstances that could lead to a loss under our joint venture arrangements beyond our stated ownership interest include a partner’s inability to contribute additional funds to the venture in the event the project incurs a loss or additional costs that we could incur should a partner fail to provide the services and resources toward project completion that had been committed to in the joint venture agreement.

At September 30, 2009, approximately \$984.2 million of work representing our partners’ share of unconsolidated construction joint ventures and line item joint venture contracts in progress had yet to be completed.

Construction Joint Ventures

Generally, each construction joint venture is formed to accomplish a specific project and is jointly controlled by the joint venture partners. The joint venture agreements typically provide that our interests in any profits and assets, and our respective share in any losses and liabilities that may result from the performance of the contract are limited to our stated percentage interest in the project. We have no significant commitments beyond completion of the contract.

We have determined that certain of these joint ventures are VIEs as defined by FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 810 Interpretation, *Consolidation*, and related standards. Under our contractual arrangements, we provide capital to these joint ventures and in return we receive an ownership interest in these entities. Under the “by design model,” as specified in ASC 810, these entities’ risks are designed to be passed along to the holders of variable interests. As we absorb these risks, our investments in these entities are exposed to potential losses. Typically, the determining factor in whether we are the primary beneficiary is the extent of our exposure to variability in the expected cash flows of the entity. Other important criteria that impact the outcome of the analysis are the relationship of activities of the VIE with each party; the significance of the VIE’s activity to each of the parties; and the amount of equity investment as a percentage of total capitalization.

If we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary, we have consolidated these joint ventures in our condensed consolidated financial statements. The construction joint ventures we have consolidated are engaged in two active projects with total contract values of \$434.2 million and \$466.5 million. Our proportionate share of these consolidated joint ventures is 52.5% and 57.3%.

We account for our share of the operations of construction joint ventures in which we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary on a pro rata basis in the condensed consolidated statements of income and as a single line item on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The joint ventures in which we hold a significant interest but are not the primary beneficiary are engaged in six active construction projects with total contract values ranging from \$109.1 million to \$987.3 million. Our proportionate share of equity in these joint ventures ranges from 20.0% to 42.5%.

Each quarter, we evaluate whether certain “reconsideration events” have occurred which cause us to reevaluate our conclusions as to whether an entity is a VIE and whether we are the primary beneficiary. During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, there were no entities for which we became the primary beneficiary, and accordingly, there were no new entities consolidated in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Line Item Joint Ventures

The revenue for each line item joint venture partner’s discrete items of work is defined in the contract with the project owner and each venture partner bearing the profitability risk associated with its own work. There is not a single set of books and records for a line item joint venture. Each partner accounts for its items of work individually as it would for any self-performed contract. We account for our portion of these contracts as project revenues and costs in our accounting system and include receivables and payables associated with our work in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

9. Real Estate Entities and Investments in Affiliates:

We are participants in real estate entities through our Granite Land Company (“GLC”) subsidiary. Generally, each entity is formed to accomplish a specific real estate development project. We have determined that substantially all of these entities are VIEs as defined by ASC Topic 810, and related standards. When we have determined we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE, as described in Note 8, we consolidate that entity in our condensed consolidated financial statements. We account for our share of the operating results of real estate entities in which we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary as investments in affiliates on our condensed consolidated balance sheets and in other income (expense) in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

As discussed in Note 8, each quarter, we evaluate whether certain “reconsideration events” have occurred which cause us to reevaluate our conclusions as to whether an entity is a VIE and whether we are the primary beneficiary. During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, there were no entities for which we became the primary beneficiary, and accordingly, there were no new real estate entities consolidated in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

GLC routinely assists its consolidated and equity-method real estate entities in securing debt financing from various sources. The amount of financial support to be provided by GLC to consolidated VIEs was increased by \$8.2 million to date in 2009 and by \$7.5 million in 2008 as a result of changes in the entities’ business plans. These amounts represent additional financial support in the form of current or future cash contributions to the consolidated entities, beyond what GLC had previously committed to provide. As of September 30, 2009, \$8.5 million of the total increased commitment of \$15.7 million had been contributed to the consolidated entities.

The carrying amounts of all real estate development assets are evaluated for recoverability in accordance with ASC Topic 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*. Based on our evaluations, we recognized a pre-tax, non-cash impairment charge on assets classified as real estate held for development and sale of \$0.7 million and \$1.7 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. We recorded the charge in cost of revenue in our condensed consolidated statements of income in our GLC segment. We recognized no impairment charge during the quarter ended September 30, 2008 and \$4.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Our agreements with our partners in our real estate entities define the management role of each partner and each partner’s financial responsibility in a residential and commercial project. If one of our partners is unable to make its required contribution or fulfill its management role, we may assume full financial and management responsibility for the project. For entities that are currently accounted for under the equity method, this may result in their consolidation in our financial statements.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Consolidated Real Estate Entities

At September 30, 2009, the entities we have consolidated were engaged in residential and commercial development projects with total assets ranging from approximately \$0.8 million to \$45.3 million.

The breakdown by type and location of our real estate held for development and sale is summarized below:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Residential ¹ | \$ 117,888 | \$ 65,298 | \$ 42,576 |
| Commercial | 17,418 | 9,791 | 9,589 |
| Total | \$ 135,306 | \$ 75,089 | \$ 52,165 |
| Washington | \$ 78,803 | \$ 30,126 | \$ 29,134 |
| California | 19,589 | 11,155 | 15,153 |
| Texas | 8,458 | 8,004 | 7,878 |
| Oregon | 28,456 | 25,804 | - |
| Total | \$ 135,306 | \$ 75,089 | \$ 52,165 |

¹The balances at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 include \$44.5 million and \$25.8 million related to two entities that were consolidated during those respective periods.

Additionally, at September 30, 2009 we had \$14.8 million in real estate held for use included in property and equipment on our condensed consolidated balance sheet related to consolidated real estate entities. Of the combined total of real estate held for development, sale and use of \$150.1 million, approximately \$143.7 million was pledged as collateral for the obligations of the real estate entities. The related debt totaled \$61.5 million of which \$53.2 million is included in current maturities of long-term debt and \$8.3 million is included in long-term debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2009. All outstanding debt of the real estate entities is recourse only to our real estate affiliates that incurred the debt, the limited partnership or limited liability company, of which we are a limited partner. Our proportionate share of the results of these entities varies depending on the ultimate profitability of the entities.

Investments in Affiliates

We account for entities where we have determined we are not the primary beneficiaries as investments in affiliates. At September 30, 2009, these entities were engaged in real estate development projects with total assets ranging from approximately \$6.4 million to \$50.1 million. Our proportionate share of the operating results of these entities varies depending on the ultimate profitability of the entities. At September 30, 2009 we had approximately \$13.3 million recorded on our condensed consolidated balance sheet related to our investment in these real estate entities.

Additionally, we have non-real estate investments in affiliates that are accounted for using the equity method. The most significant of these investments is a 50% interest in a limited liability company which owns and operates an asphalt terminal in Nevada. Committed and outstanding advances to the asphalt terminal limited liability company totaled \$4.9 million at September 30, 2009. We are not aware of any joint ventures where we would be obligated to perform our partner's share of remaining work. However, future changes in the financial viability of our joint venture partners could require us to perform their work or make additional financial contributions to the joint venture entity.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Our investments in affiliates balance consists of the following:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Equity method investments in real estate affiliates | \$ 13,343 | \$ 16,308 | \$ 19,797 |
| Equity method investments in other affiliates | 7,966 | 3,688 | 3,550 |
| Total equity method investments | 21,309 | 19,996 | 23,347 |
| Cost method investments | - | - | 4,171 |
| Total investments in affiliates | \$ 21,309 | \$ 19,996 | \$ 27,518 |

The breakdown by type and location of our investments in real estate ventures is summarized below:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Residential | \$ 8,779 | \$ 11,648 | \$ 15,162 |
| Commercial | 4,564 | 4,660 | 4,635 |
| Total | \$ 13,343 | \$ 16,308 | \$ 19,797 |
| Texas | \$ 13,343 | \$ 12,283 | \$ 12,169 |
| Oregon | - | - | 4,766 |
| Washington | - | 4,025 | 2,862 |
| Total | \$ 13,343 | \$ 16,308 | \$ 19,797 |

The following table provides summarized balance sheet information for our affiliates on a combined 100% basis, which primarily relate to our real estate affiliates accounted for under the equity method:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Total assets | \$ 169,134 | \$ 196,702 | \$ 197,591 |
| Net assets | 78,572 | 90,867 | 91,985 |
| Granite's share of net assets | 21,309 | 19,996 | 23,347 |

Substantially all the assets of these real estate entities in which we are participants through GLC are classified as real estate held for sale or use. All outstanding debt of these entities is non-recourse to Granite. However, there is recourse to our real estate affiliates that incurred the debt. Our real estate affiliates include a limited partnership or limited liability company of which we are a limited partner or shareholder.

10. Property and Equipment, net:

Balances of major classes of assets and allowances for depreciation and depletion are included in property and equipment, net on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Land and land improvements | \$ 134,389 | \$ 119,576 | \$ 115,389 |
| Quarry property | 146,529 | 141,638 | 140,917 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 95,630 | 94,579 | 92,661 |
| Equipment and vehicles | 850,114 | 843,045 | 846,629 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 38,287 | 35,021 | 33,262 |
| Property and equipment | 1,264,949 | 1,233,859 | 1,228,858 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and depletion | (734,288) | (716,181) | (706,125) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 530,661 | \$ 517,678 | \$ 522,733 |

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

11. Intangible Assets:

The balances of the following intangible assets from our Granite West segment are included in other noncurrent assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets at carrying value:

Unamortized intangible assets:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Goodwill | \$ 9,900 | \$ 9,900 | \$ 9,900 |
| Use rights | 2,954 | 2,954 | 2,954 |
| Total unamortized intangible assets | \$ 12,854 | \$ 12,854 | \$ 12,854 |

Amortized intangible assets:

| September 30, 2009 <i>(in thousands)</i> | Gross Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net Value |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Permits | \$ 36,070 | \$ (5,041) | \$ 31,029 |
| Trade names | 158 | (51) | 107 |
| Covenants not to compete | 1,588 | (1,003) | 585 |
| Customer lists and other | 3,122 | (1,636) | 1,486 |
| Total amortized intangible assets | \$ 40,938 | \$ (7,731) | \$ 33,207 |

December 31, 2008

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Gross Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Permits | \$ 36,070 | \$ (3,698) | \$ 32,372 |
| Trade names | 1,583 | (1,352) | 231 |
| Covenants not to compete | 1,588 | (695) | 893 |
| Customer lists and other | 3,725 | (1,684) | 2,041 |
| Total amortized intangible assets | \$ 42,966 | \$ (7,429) | \$ 35,537 |

September 30, 2008

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Gross Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Permits | \$ 36,070 | \$ (3,249) | \$ 32,821 |
| Trade names | 1,583 | (1,256) | 327 |
| Covenants not to compete | 1,588 | (593) | 995 |
| Customer lists and other | 3,725 | (1,452) | 2,273 |
| Total amortized intangible assets | \$ 42,966 | \$ (6,550) | \$ 36,416 |

Amortization expense related to intangible assets was approximately \$0.7 million and \$2.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, and approximately \$0.9 million and \$2.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively. Amortization expense expected to be recorded in the future is as follows: \$0.7 million for the balance of 2009, \$2.5 million in 2010, \$2.3 million in 2011, \$2.2 million in 2012, \$1.9 million in 2013 and \$23.6 million thereafter.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

12. Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:

A reconciliation of the weighted average shares outstanding used in calculating basic and diluted net income per share in the condensed consolidated statements of income is as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, | 2008 | Ended September 30, | 2008 |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Weighted average common stock outstanding | 38,672 | 38,272 | 38,560 | 38,486 |
| Less: weighted average unvested restricted stock outstanding | 1,077 | 842 | 1,008 | 822 |
| Total basic weighted average shares outstanding | 37,595 | 37,430 | 37,552 | 37,664 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Weighted average common stock outstanding, basic | 37,595 | 37,430 | 37,552 | 37,664 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Common stock options and units | 114 | 127 | 118 | 96 |
| Total weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution | 37,709 | 37,557 | 37,670 | 37,760 |

13. Earnings Per Share:

The FASB issued a new standard that requires entities to apply the two-class method of computing basic and diluted EPS for awards that accrue cash dividends (whether paid or unpaid) and those dividends do not need to be returned to the entity if the employee forfeits the award. Awards of this nature are considered participating securities and are included in the computation of EPS. This new standard became effective for us on January 1, 2009 and requires retroactive application to all prior period EPS. Unvested restricted stock issued under the Amended and Restated 1999 Equity Incentive Plan carries nonforfeitable dividend rights.

EPS under the two-class method is calculated by dividing the sum of earnings allocated to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. In applying the two-class method, earnings are allocated to both common shares and unvested restricted stock, except when in a net loss position.

Diluted earnings per share is computed giving effect to all dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and conversion of stock units. Prior to the adoption of this new standard, unvested restricted stock units were included in the calculation of diluted net income per share using the treasury stock method.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

The following is a reconciliation of net income attributable to Granite and weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for calculating basic and diluted net income per share:

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Ended September 30, | |
| <i>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</i> | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Basic | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Granite | \$ 30,598 | \$ 51,738 | \$ 57,467 | \$ 90,479 |
| Less: net income allocated to participating securities | 849 | 1,135 | 1,487 | 1,918 |
| Net income allocated to common shareholders for basic calculation | \$ 29,749 | \$ 50,603 | \$ 55,980 | \$ 88,561 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 37,595 | 37,430 | 37,552 | 37,664 |
| Net income per share, basic | \$ 0.79 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 1.49 | \$ 2.35 |
| Diluted | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Granite | \$ 30,598 | \$ 51,738 | \$ 57,467 | \$ 90,479 |
| Less: net income allocated to participating securities | 846 | 1,131 | 1,482 | 1,914 |
| Net income allocated to common shareholders for diluted calculation | \$ 29,752 | \$ 50,607 | \$ 55,985 | \$ 88,565 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 37,709 | 37,557 | 37,670 | 37,760 |
| Net income per share, diluted | \$ 0.79 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 1.49 | \$ 2.35 |

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

14. Equity and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):

The following tables summarize our equity activity for the periods presented, in accordance with the adoption of the new standard that requires a reconciliation of the carrying amount of equity attributable to Granite and the amount of equity attributable to the noncontrolling interests:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Granite Construction Inc. | Noncontrolling Interests | Total Equity |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ 767,509 | \$ 36,773 | \$ 804,282 |
| Purchase of common stock ¹ | (2,840) | - | (2,840) |
| Amortization of restricted stock and other | 10,165 | - | 10,165 |
| Transactions with noncontrolling interests, net | - | (2,221) | (2,221) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net income | 57,467 | 15,725 | 73,192 |
| Other comprehensive income | 146 | - | 146 |
| Total comprehensive income | 57,613 | 15,725 | 73,338 |
| Dividends on common stock | (15,083) | - | (15,083) |
| Balance at September 30, 2009 | \$ 817,364 | \$ 50,277 | \$ 867,641 |

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Granite Construction Inc. | Noncontrolling Interests | Total Equity |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | \$ 700,199 | \$ 23,471 | \$ 723,670 |
| Purchase of common stock ² | (45,489) | - | (45,489) |
| Amortization of restricted stock and other | 9,546 | - | 9,546 |
| Transactions with noncontrolling interests, net | - | (27,800) | (27,800) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net income | 90,479 | 31,058 | 121,537 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) | (4,432) | - | (4,432) |
| Total comprehensive income | 86,047 | 31,058 | 117,105 |
| Dividends on common stock | (14,926) | - | (14,926) |
| Balance at September 30, 2008 | \$ 735,377 | \$ 26,729 | \$ 762,106 |

¹Represents 78,349 shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares vested.

²Includes 76,237 shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares vested and 1,364,370 shares purchased under our share repurchase program.

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Changes in unrealized gain (loss) on investments | \$ - | \$ (3,931) | \$ 238 | \$ (7,280) |
| Tax (provision) benefit on unrealized gain (loss) | - | 1,538 | (92) | 2,848 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ - | \$ (2,393) | \$ 146 | \$ (4,432) |

The decreases in unrealized gains (losses) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 were due to a reduction in the balance of our available-for-sale investments.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

15. Legal Proceedings:

Silica Litigation

Our wholly-owned subsidiary Granite Construction Company (“GCCO”) is one of approximately 100 to 300 defendants in six active California Superior Court lawsuits. Of the six lawsuits, four were filed against GCCO in 2005 and two were filed against GCCO in 2006, in Alameda County (Dominguez vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.; Guido vs. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.; Williams vs. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.; Horne vs. Teichert & Son, Inc.; Kammer vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.; and Solis vs. The 3M Company et al.). Each lawsuit was brought by a single plaintiff who is seeking money damages by way of various causes of action, including strict product and market share liability, and alleges personal injuries caused by exposure to silica products and related materials during the plaintiffs’ use or association with sand blasting or grinding concrete. The plaintiff in each lawsuit has categorized the defendants as equipment defendants, respirator defendants, premises defendants and sand defendants. We have been identified as a sand defendant, meaning a party that manufactured, supplied or distributed silica-containing products. Our investigation revealed that we have not knowingly sold or distributed abrasive silica sand for sandblasting, and therefore, we believe the probability of these lawsuits resulting in an incurrence of a material liability is remote. We have been dismissed from eighteen other similar lawsuits.

Hiawatha Project DBE Issues

The Hiawatha Light Rail Transit (“HLRT”) project was performed by Minnesota Transit Constructors (“MnTC”), a joint venture that consisted of GCCO and other unrelated companies. GCCO was the managing partner of the joint venture, with a 56.5% interest. The Minnesota Department of Transportation (“MnDOT”) is the contracting agency for this federally funded project. The Metropolitan Council is the local agency conduit for providing federal funds to MnDOT for the HLRT project. MnDOT and the U.S. Department of Transportation Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) each conducted a review of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (“DBE”) program maintained by MnTC for the HLRT project. In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice (“USDOJ”) is conducting an investigation into compliance issues with respect to MnTC’s DBE Program for the HLRT project. MnDOT and the OIG (collectively, the “Agencies”) have initially identified certain compliance issues in connection with MnTC’s DBE Program and, as a result, have determined that MnTC failed to meet the DBE utilization criteria as represented by MnTC. Although there has been no formal administrative subpoena issued, nor has a civil complaint been filed in connection with the administrative reviews or the investigation, MnDOT has proposed a monetary sanction of \$4.3 million against MnTC and specified DBE training for personnel from the members of the MnTC joint venture as a condition of awarding future projects to joint venture members of MnTC on MnDOT and Metropolitan Council work. MnTC is fully cooperating with the Agencies and the USDOJ and, on July 2, 2007 and on February 21, 2008, presented its detailed written responses to the initial determinations of the Agencies as well as the investigation by the USDOJ. A letter reply dated September 17, 2009 was received from the USDOJ, to which MnTC responded by letter dated September 25, 2009. MnTC and the USDOJ are continuing to engage in informal discussions in an attempt to resolve the matter. Such discussions, if successful, are expected to include resolution of issues with the USDOJ and with the state agencies. We cannot, however, rule out the possibility of a civil or criminal actions being brought against MnTC or one or more of its members which could result in civil and criminal penalties.

US Highway 20 Project

GCCO and our wholly-owned subsidiary, Granite Northwest, Inc. are the members of a joint venture known as Yaquina River Constructors (“YRC”) which is currently constructing a new road alignment of US Highway 20 near Eddyville, Oregon under contract with the Oregon Department of Transportation (“ODOT”). The project involves constructing seven miles of new road through steep and forested terrain in the Coast Range Mountains. During the fall and winter of 2006, extraordinary rain events produced runoff that overwhelmed erosion control measures installed at the project and resulted in discharges to surface water in alleged violations of YRC’s stormwater permit. In June 2009, YRC was informed that the USDOJ had assumed the criminal investigation that the Oregon Department of Justice had previously been conducting in connection with stormwater runoff from the project. YRC and its members are fully cooperating in the investigation, but we do not know whether criminal charges or civil lawsuits, if any, will be brought or against whom, as a result of the investigation. Therefore, we cannot estimate what if any criminal or civil penalty or conditional assessment may result from this investigation.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

City of San Diego Fire Debris Cleanup

In the aftermath of the 2007 San Diego County wildfires, GCCO bid for and was awarded a fixed unit price, variable quantity contract with the City of San Diego (“the City”) to perform specified debris cleanup work. GCCO began work in November 2007 and completed the work in April 2008. In August 2008, the City announced that it would conduct an independent audit of the project. In December 2008, the City’s audit report was released with findings that, while some GCCO billings contained mistakes, rates paid to GCCO appear to be generally reasonable. GCCO has reimbursed the City the undisputed overbilled amount of less than \$3,000. The former San Diego City Attorney, after conducting a separate investigation of GCCO’s work on the project, filed a civil lawsuit in California Superior Court, County of San Diego on October 17, 2008 against GCCO and the one other contractor that had been awarded a similar cleanup contract with the City. In the complaint, the City alleges that both contractors knowingly presented to the City false claims for payment in violation of the California False Claims Act. The City seeks trebled damages in an amount to be determined, and a civil penalty in the amount of \$10,000 for each false claim made. After the November 2008 election in which a new City Attorney was elected, GCCO and the new City Attorney agreed to suspend the lawsuit to allow the City Attorney time to complete its investigation. GCCO believes the allegations in the City’s complaint to be without factual or legal basis and, therefore, the City’s entitlement to relief sought under the California False Claims Act is remote.

Grand Avenue Project DBE Issues

On March 6, 2009, the U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) served upon our wholly-owned subsidiary, Granite Construction Northeast, Inc., (“Granite Northeast”), a United States District Court Eastern District of New York subpoena to testify before a grand jury by producing documents. The subpoena seeks all documents pertaining to a Granite Northeast Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (“DBE”) subcontractor (“the Subcontractor”), and the Subcontractor’s non-DBE lower tier subcontractor/consultant, relating to the Subcontractor’s work on the Grand Avenue Bus Depot and Central Maintenance Facility for the Borough of Queens Project (the “Grand Avenue Project”). The subpoena also seeks all documents regarding Granite Northeast’s use of the Subcontractor as a DBE on the Grand Avenue Project and all documents related to the Subcontractor as a DBE on any other contract including public works construction. We have complied with the subpoena and are fully cooperating with the OIG’s investigation. To date, Granite Northeast has not been notified that it is either a subject or target of the OIG’s investigation. As a result, we do not know whether criminal charges or civil lawsuits, if any, will be brought or against whom, as a result of the investigation. Therefore, we cannot estimate what, if any, criminal or civil penalty or conditional assessment may result from this investigation.

Other Legal Proceedings/Government Inquiries

We are a party to a number of other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. From time to time, we also receive inquiries from public agencies seeking information concerning our compliance with government construction contracting requirements and related laws and regulations. We believe that the nature and number of these proceedings and compliance inquiries are typical for a construction firm of our size and scope. Our litigation typically involves claims regarding public liability or contract related issues. While management currently believes, after consultation with counsel, that the ultimate outcome of such proceedings and compliance inquiries which are currently pending, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations or cash flows, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations, cash flows and/or financial position for the period in which the ruling occurs. While any one of our pending legal proceedings is subject to early resolution as a result of our ongoing efforts to settle, whether or when any legal proceeding will resolve through settlement is neither predictable nor guaranteed.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

16. Business Segment Information:

Our three reportable business segments are Granite West, Granite East, and Granite Land Company.

Granite West has offices in the western United States that perform various heavy civil construction projects with a large portion of the work focused on new construction and improvement of streets, roads, highways, bridges and airports as well as site preparation for housing and commercial development. Although most Granite West projects are started and completed within a year, the segment also has the capability of constructing larger projects and had five active projects at September 30, 2009, each with total contract revenue greater than \$50.0 million. All of our revenue from the sale of construction materials is generated by Granite West, which mines aggregates and operates plants that process aggregates into construction materials for internal use and for sale to others. These activities are vertically integrated into the Granite West business, providing both a source of profits and a competitive advantage to our construction business.

Granite East operates out of three regional offices in the eastern portion of the United States. Its focus is on large, complex infrastructure projects, primarily east of the Rocky Mountains, and includes major highways, large dams, mass transit facilities, bridges, pipelines, canals, waterway locks and dams, and airport infrastructure. Granite East construction contracts are typically greater than two years in duration.

GLC purchases, develops, operates, sells and otherwise invests in real estate developments as well as provides real estate services for other Granite operations. GLC's current portfolio consists of residential, retail and office site development projects for sale to home and commercial property developers or held for rental income in Washington, California, Texas and Oregon.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies contained in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We evaluate performance based on operating profit or loss (excluding gain on sales of property and equipment), and do not include income taxes, interest income, interest expense or other income (expense). Unallocated other corporate expenses are principally comprised of corporate general and administrative expenses.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Summarized segment information is as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| | Granite West | Granite East | Granite Land Company | Total |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Revenue from external customers | \$ 564,076 | \$ 155,227 | \$ 981 | \$ 720,284 |
| Intersegment revenue transfer | 13 | (13) | - | - |
| Net revenue | 564,089 | 155,214 | 981 | 720,284 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 15,219 | 1,185 | 68 | 16,472 |
| Operating income (loss) | 56,299 | 12,052 | (1,221) | 67,130 |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Revenue from external customers | \$ 749,368 | \$ 147,051 | \$ 1,369 | \$ 897,788 |
| Intersegment revenue transfer | 119 | (119) | - | - |
| Net revenue | 749,487 | 146,932 | 1,369 | 897,788 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 18,865 | 1,949 | 86 | 20,900 |
| Operating income (loss) | 93,570 | 3,819 | (191) | 97,198 |

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| | Granite West | Granite East | Granite Land Company | Total |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Revenue from external customers | \$ 1,109,402 | \$ 417,397 | \$ 1,932 | \$ 1,528,731 |
| Intersegment revenue transfer | 40 | (40) | - | - |
| Net revenue | 1,109,442 | 417,357 | 1,932 | 1,528,731 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 47,871 | 3,732 | 369 | 51,972 |
| Operating income (loss) | 98,073 | 55,136 | (4,159) | 149,050 |
| Segment assets | 481,415 | 13,789 | 150,163 | 645,367 |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Revenue from external customers | \$ 1,504,498 | \$ 534,280 | \$ 8,142 | \$ 2,046,920 |
| Intersegment revenue transfer | 2,454 | (2,454) | - | - |
| Net revenue | 1,506,952 | 531,826 | 8,142 | 2,046,920 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 54,546 | 6,125 | 267 | 60,938 |
| Operating income (loss) | 154,684 | 67,196 | (3,795) | 218,085 |
| Segment assets | 460,347 | 20,575 | 68,284 | 549,206 |

A reconciliation of segment operating income to consolidated income before provision for tax is as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Total operating income for reportable segments | \$ 67,130 | \$ 97,198 | \$ 149,050 | \$ 218,085 |
| Other income (expense), net | 3,582 | (572) | 5,966 | 9,976 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | 1,549 | 2,008 | 6,878 | 4,564 |
| Unallocated other corporate expense | (22,423) | (24,829) | (62,386) | (64,407) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | \$ 49,838 | \$ 73,805 | \$ 99,508 | \$ 168,218 |

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

17. Acquisition:

In January 2008, we purchased certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of a construction materials supplier in Nevada for cash consideration of approximately \$14.0 million. The results of the acquired business's operations are included in our condensed consolidated statement of operations and cash flows from the date of acquisition and were not material. The fair value of the assets acquired approximated the purchase price; therefore, no goodwill was recorded.

18. Share Purchase Authorization:

In 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a plan to purchase, at management's discretion, up to \$200.0 million of our common stock. We did not purchase shares under the share purchase program during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we purchased 1.4 million shares at an average price per share of \$31.65 for a total of \$43.2 million. From the inception of this plan in 2007 through September 30, 2009, a total of 3.8 million shares of our common stock were purchased for an aggregate cost of \$135.9 million. All shares were retired upon acquisition. At September 30, 2009, \$64.1 million of the \$200.0 million authorization was available for additional share purchases.

19. Subsequent Event:

On August 31, 2009, we announced a new organizational structure that will allow us to better leverage internal best practices and gain operational efficiencies in our overall cost structure. As part of the reorganization, we initiated a reduction in force on October 1, 2009 that affected approximately eight percent of our salaried workforce. We estimate that pre-tax charges of approximately \$6.0 million in personnel-related costs will be incurred in the fourth quarter of 2009. The company expects to complete the reorganization by January 1, 2010.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Disclosure

From time to time, Granite makes certain comments and disclosures in reports and statements, including in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or statements made by its officers or directors that are not based on historical facts and which may be forward-looking in nature. Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, a “safe harbor” may be provided to us for certain of these forward-looking statements. Words such as “outlook,” “believes,” “expects,” “appears,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “anticipates” or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, other written or oral statements which constitute forward-looking statements have been made and may in the future be made by or on behalf of Granite. These forward-looking statements are estimates reflecting the best judgment of senior management and are based on our current expectations and projections concerning future events, many of which are outside of our control, and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those more specifically described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K under “Item 1A. Risk Factors.” Granite undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason. As a result, the reader is cautioned not to rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are one of the largest heavy civil contractors and producers of construction materials in the United States. We are engaged in the construction and improvement of streets, roads, highways and bridges as well as dams, airport infrastructure, mass transit facilities and other infrastructure-related projects. We produce construction materials through the use of our extensive aggregate reserves and plant facilities. We also operate a real estate development company on a significantly smaller scale. We have three operating segments: Granite West, Granite East and Granite Land Company (“GLC”). Our offices are located in Alaska, Arizona, California, Florida, Nevada, New York, Oregon, Texas, Utah and Washington.

Our contracts are obtained primarily through competitive bidding in response to advertisements by both public agencies and private parties and to a lesser extent on a negotiated basis as a result of direct solicitation by private parties. Our bidding activity is affected by such factors as contract backlog, available personnel, current utilization of equipment and other resources, our ability to obtain necessary surety bonds and competitive considerations. Bidding activity, contract backlog and revenue resulting from the award of new contracts may vary significantly from period to period.

The three primary economic drivers of our business are (1) the overall health of the economy, (2) federal, state and local public funding levels, both nationally and locally and (3) population growth with the resulting private development. The level of demand for our services will have a direct correlation to these drivers. For example, a stagnant or declining economy will generally result in a reduced demand for construction in the private sector. This reduced demand increases competition for private sector projects and will ultimately also increase competition in the public sector as companies migrate from bidding on scarce private sector work to projects in the public sector. Greater competition can reduce our revenue growth and/or have a downward impact on gross profit margins. In addition, a stagnant or declining economy tends to produce less tax revenue, thereby decreasing a source of funds available for spending on public infrastructure improvements. There are funding sources that have been specifically earmarked for infrastructure spending, such as diesel and gasoline taxes, which are not as directly impacted by a stagnant or declining economy. However, even these funding sources can be temporarily at risk as state and local governments struggle to balance their budgets. Additionally, high fuel prices can have a dampening effect on consumption, resulting in overall lower tax revenue. Conversely, higher public funding as well as an expanding or robust economy will generally increase demand for our services and provide opportunities for revenue growth and margin improvement.

Our general and administrative costs include salaries and related expenses, incentive compensation, discretionary profit sharing, provision for doubtful accounts and other costs to support our business. In general, these costs will increase in response to the growth and the related increased complexity of our business. These costs will vary depending on the number of projects in process in a particular area and the corresponding level of estimating activity. For example, as large projects are completed or if the level of work slows down in a particular area, we will often re-assign project employees to estimating and bidding activities until another project gets underway, temporarily allocating their salaries and related costs from cost of revenue to general and administrative expense. Additionally, our compensation strategy for selected management personnel is to rely heavily on a variable cash and restricted stock performance-based incentive element. The cash portion of these incentives is expensed when earned while the restricted stock portion is expensed over the vesting period of the restricted stock award (generally three to five years).

Results of Operations:

Current Economic Environment

Market conditions remained challenging during the third quarter of 2009 with a highly competitive bidding environment and less work to bid. The economic downturn, the decline in the residential and commercial real estate markets, and various infrastructure funding related issues have continued to have a negative impact on our business.

| Comparative Financial Summary <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Total revenue | \$ 720,284 | \$ 897,788 | \$ 1,528,731 | \$ 2,046,920 |
| Gross profit | 105,172 | 144,302 | 256,430 | 352,022 |
| Operating income | 46,256 | 74,377 | 93,542 | 158,242 |
| Other income (expense) | 3,582 | (572) | 5,966 | 9,976 |
| Provision for income taxes | 13,300 | 21,473 | 26,316 | 46,681 |
| Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests | (5,940) | (594) | (15,725) | (31,058) |
| Net income attributable to Granite | 30,598 | 51,738 | 57,467 | 90,479 |

| Total Revenue <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
| Revenue by Segment: | | | | | | | | |
| Granite West | \$ 564,089 | 78.3% | \$ 749,487 | 83.4% | \$1,109,442 | 72.6% | \$1,506,952 | 73.6% |
| Granite East | 155,214 | 21.5 | 146,932 | 16.4 | 417,357 | 27.3 | 531,826 | 26.0 |
| Granite Land Company | 981 | 0.2 | 1,369 | 0.2 | 1,932 | 0.1 | 8,142 | 0.4 |
| Total | \$ 720,284 | 100.0% | \$ 897,788 | 100.0% | \$1,528,731 | 100.0% | \$2,046,920 | 100.0% |

| Granite West Revenue <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|--------|--|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | | |
| California: | | | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 187,542 | 79.2% | \$ 275,768 | 73.4% | \$ 397,819 | 75.8% | \$ 507,645 | 65.7% | |
| Private sector | 8,908 | 3.8 | 26,930 | 7.2 | 29,991 | 5.7 | 86,047 | 11.1 | |
| Construction materials | 40,477 | 17.0 | 73,065 | 19.4 | 97,214 | 18.5 | 179,653 | 23.2 | |
| Total | \$ 236,927 | 100.0% | \$ 375,763 | 100.0% | \$ 525,024 | 100.0% | \$ 773,345 | 100.0% | |
| West (excluding California): | | | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 286,742 | 87.6% | \$ 293,242 | 78.4% | \$ 498,247 | 85.3% | \$ 554,499 | 75.6% | |
| Private sector | 9,370 | 2.9 | 29,069 | 7.8 | 24,697 | 4.2 | 75,440 | 10.3 | |
| Construction materials | 31,050 | 9.5 | 51,413 | 13.8 | 61,474 | 10.5 | 103,668 | 14.1 | |
| Total | \$ 327,162 | 100.0% | \$ 373,724 | 100.0% | \$ 584,418 | 100.0% | \$ 733,607 | 100.0% | |
| Total Revenue: | | | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 474,284 | 84.1% | \$ 569,010 | 75.9% | \$ 896,066 | 80.8% | \$1,062,144 | 70.5% | |
| Private sector | 18,278 | 3.2 | 55,999 | 7.5 | 54,688 | 4.9 | 161,487 | 10.7 | |
| Construction materials | 71,527 | 12.7 | 124,478 | 16.6 | 158,688 | 14.3 | 283,321 | 18.8 | |
| Total | \$ 564,089 | 100.0% | \$ 749,487 | 100.0% | \$ 1,109,442 | 100.0% | \$1,506,952 | 100.0% | |

Granite West Revenue: Revenue from Granite West for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased by \$185.4 million, or 24.7%, and \$397.5 million, or 26.4% respectively, compared with the same periods in 2008. The decreased revenues were primarily the result of increased competition for public sector work as residential and commercial contractors have migrated from bidding on private sector work to the available public sector work. The decline in residential development in the West has also adversely affected the demand for construction materials and private sector work such as residential and commercial site development and infrastructure projects.

| Granite East Revenue <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|--------|----|---------------------------------|--------|------|---------|--------|----|---------|--------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2008 | | | | | |
| Revenue by Geographic Area: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Midwest | \$ | 44,477 | 28.7% | \$ | 49,520 | 33.7% | \$ | 118,708 | 28.4% | \$ | 133,333 | 25.1% |
| Northeast | | 30,004 | 19.3 | | 25,295 | 17.2 | | 90,955 | 21.8 | | 97,338 | 18.3 |
| South | | 27,305 | 17.6 | | 28,322 | 19.3 | | 80,385 | 19.3 | | 92,417 | 17.4 |
| Southeast | | 53,186 | 34.3 | | 41,520 | 28.3 | | 126,553 | 30.3 | | 164,973 | 31.0 |
| West | | 242 | 0.1 | | 2,275 | 1.5 | | 756 | 0.2 | | 43,765 | 8.2 |
| Total | \$ | 155,214 | 100.0% | \$ | 146,932 | 100.0% | \$ | 417,357 | 100.0% | \$ | 531,826 | 100.0% |
| Revenue by Market Sector: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ | 154,308 | 99.4% | \$ | 143,385 | 97.6% | \$ | 414,301 | 99.3% | \$ | 515,808 | 97.0% |
| Private sector | | 906 | 0.6 | | 3,547 | 2.4 | | 3,056 | 0.7 | | 16,018 | 3.0 |
| Total | \$ | 155,214 | 100.0% | \$ | 146,932 | 100.0% | \$ | 417,357 | 100.0% | \$ | 531,826 | 100.0% |

Granite East Revenue: Revenue from Granite East for the three months ended September 30, 2009 increased by \$8.3 million, or 5.6%, as a result of productivity improvements, resolution of outstanding issues with owners, and progress on certain large projects. Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased by \$114.5 million, or 21.5%, as a result of an increased number of large projects nearing completion in 2009 compared to 2008. Additionally, during the nine months ended in 2009 we had delayed notices to proceed on three projects resulting in less revenue recognized compared to the same period in 2008. Included in Granite East revenue for the nine months ended 2009 and 2008, respectively, are settlements of negotiated claims with two contract owners in the amounts of \$16.0 million and \$28.6 million, respectively, that positively impacted revenue.

The following table provides information about revenue from our large projects for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

| Large Project Revenue | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Ended September 30, | |
| (dollars in thousands) | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Granite West | \$ 47,904 | \$ 107,684 | \$ 117,678 | \$ 206,944 |
| Number of projects* | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| Granite East | \$ 130,531 | \$ 131,075 | \$ 361,367 | \$ 483,702 |
| Number of projects* | 9 | 15 | 15 | 17 |
| Total | \$ 178,435 | \$ 238,759 | \$ 479,045 | \$ 690,646 |
| Number of projects* | 14 | 22 | 21 | 25 |

* Includes only projects with a total contract value greater than \$50.0 million and over \$1.0 million of revenue in the respective periods.

Granite Land Company Revenue: Revenue from GLC for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased by \$0.4 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2008. GLC's revenues have been negatively impacted by the economic downturn with very limited sales activity in 2009. GLC projects have long lead times affording us the flexibility to stage our land entitlement and construction activities to meet market demand. We continue to monitor the economic situation and manage our expenditures on construction activities while continuing to work on the process of obtaining entitlements.

During the nine months ended 2009 and 2008, we recorded impairment charges related to our real estate held for development and sale of \$1.7 million and \$4.5 million, respectively. A continued decline in the residential or commercial real estate markets may decrease the expected profitability for certain development activities to the point that we would be required to recognize additional valuation impairments in the future. Also, upon renewal of currently outstanding mortgage debt, our banks may require us to provide additional funding to our real estate investment entities. If one of our partners is unable to make its required contribution or fulfill its management role, we may assume full financial and management responsibility.

Contract Backlog

Our contract backlog is comprised of the remaining unearned revenue of awarded contracts that have not been completed, including 100% of our consolidated joint ventures and our proportionate share of unconsolidated joint venture contracts. We include a construction project in our contract backlog at such time as a contract is awarded and funding is in place, with the exception of certain federal government contracts for which funding is appropriated on a periodic basis. Substantially all of the contracts in our contract backlog may be canceled or modified at the election of the customer; however, we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past.

The following tables illustrate our contract backlog as of the respective dates:

| Total Contract Backlog | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| (in thousands) | September 30, 2009 | | June 30, 2009 | | September 30, 2008 | |
| Contract Backlog by Segment: | | | | | | |
| Granite West | \$ 553,728 | 34.3% | \$ 824,676 | 53.8% | \$ 915,472 | 50.3% |
| Granite East | 1,058,540 | 65.7 | 707,567 | 46.2 | 906,116 | 49.7 |
| Total | \$ 1,612,268 | 100.0% | \$ 1,532,243 | 100.0% | \$ 1,821,588 | 100.0% |

| Granite West Contract Backlog | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------------------|--------|--|
| (in thousands) | September 30, 2009 | | June 30, 2009 | | September 30, 2008 | | |
| California: | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 249,179 | 96.1% | \$ 341,529 | 95.5% | \$ 408,652 | 93.4% | |
| Private sector | 10,226 | 3.9 | 16,184 | 4.5 | 28,922 | 6.6 | |
| Total | \$ 259,405 | 100.0% | \$ 357,713 | 100.0% | \$ 437,574 | 100.0% | |
| West (excluding California): | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 287,012 | 97.5% | \$ 460,641 | 98.6% | \$ 457,686 | 95.8% | |
| Private sector | 7,311 | 2.5 | 6,322 | 1.4 | 20,212 | 4.2 | |
| Total | \$ 294,323 | 100.0% | \$ 466,963 | 100.0% | \$ 477,898 | 100.0% | |
| Total Contract Backlog: | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 536,191 | 96.8% | \$ 802,170 | 97.3% | \$ 866,338 | 94.6% | |
| Private sector | 17,537 | 3.2 | 22,506 | 2.7 | 49,134 | 5.4 | |
| Total | \$ 553,728 | 100.0% | \$ 824,676 | 100.0% | \$ 915,472 | 100.0% | |

Granite West Contract Backlog: Granite West contract backlog of \$553.7 million at September 30, 2009 was \$270.9 million, or 32.9%, lower than at June 30, 2009 and \$361.7 million, or 39.5%, lower than at September 30, 2008. The decrease in contract backlog for all periods was due to a number of projects nearing completion without the offset of new awards. Also negatively affecting contract backlog is the current bidding environment, infrastructure related funding issues, fewer bidding opportunities, and the impact of the economic downturn in the real estate market on private sector work.

| Granite East Contract Backlog | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------------------|--------|--|
| (in thousands) | September 30, 2009 | | June 30, 2009 | | September 30, 2008 | | |
| Contract Backlog by Geographic Area: | | | | | | | |
| Midwest | \$ 48,521 | 4.6% | \$ 92,201 | 13.0% | \$ 204,166 | 22.5% | |
| Northeast | 463,221 | 43.8 | 226,617 | 32.0 | 107,575 | 11.9 | |
| South | 81,868 | 7.7 | 53,920 | 7.7 | 135,534 | 15.0 | |
| Southeast | 462,971 | 43.7 | 332,629 | 47.0 | 455,260 | 50.2 | |
| West | 1,959 | 0.2 | 2,200 | 0.3 | 3,581 | 0.4 | |
| Total | \$ 1,058,540 | 100.0% | \$ 707,567 | 100.0% | \$ 906,116 | 100.0% | |
| Contract Backlog by Market Sector: | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 1,056,444 | 99.8% | \$ 704,880 | 99.6% | \$ 900,720 | 99.4% | |
| Private sector | 2,096 | 0.2 | 2,687 | 0.4 | 5,396 | 0.6 | |
| Total | \$ 1,058,540 | 100.0% | \$ 707,567 | 100.0% | \$ 906,116 | 100.0% | |

Granite East Contract Backlog: Granite East contract backlog of \$1.1 billion at September 30, 2009 was \$351.0 million, or 49.6%, higher than at June 30, 2009, and \$152.4 million, or 16.8%, higher than at September 30, 2008. These increases reflect new projects awarded in the third quarter of 2009, including our portion of the work for the expansion of Houston's light rail system as well as our participation in joint ventures for a tunnel in New York and a new highway in North Carolina. Not included in contract backlog is approximately \$400.0 million associated with the Houston light rail project that will be booked into contract backlog as notices to proceed are received.

The following tables provide information about our large project contract backlog at September 30, 2009, June 30, 2009, and September 30, 2008:

| Large Project Contract Backlog | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | | | |
| | September 30, 2009 | June 30, 2009 | September 30, 2008 |
| Granite West | \$ 133,129 | \$ 177,086 | \$ 280,901 |
| Number of projects* | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Granite East | \$ 1,006,006 | \$ 688,004 | \$ 832,552 |
| Number of projects* | 13 | 11 | 14 |
| Total | \$ 1,139,135 | \$ 865,090 | \$ 1,113,453 |
| Number of projects* | 17 | 16 | 20 |

*Includes only projects with total contract value greater than \$50.0 million and remaining contract backlog over \$1.0 million at the respective dates.

The following table presents gross profit and loss by business segment for the respective periods:

| Gross Profit (in thousands) | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Ended September 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Granite West | \$ 85,499 | \$ 133,904 | \$ 181,320 | \$ 266,457 |
| Percent of segment revenue | 15.2% | 17.9% | 16.3% | 17.7% |
| Granite East | \$ 20,223 | \$ 9,916 | \$ 76,450 | \$ 87,269 |
| Percent of segment revenue | 13.0% | 6.7% | 18.3% | 16.4% |
| Granite Land Company | \$ (550) | \$ 482 | \$ (1,340) | \$ (1,704) |
| Percent of segment revenue | -56.1% | 35.2% | -69.4% | -20.9% |
| Total gross profit | \$ 105,172 | \$ 144,302 | \$ 256,430 | \$ 352,022 |
| Percent of total revenue | 14.6% | 16.1% | 16.8% | 17.2% |

Gross Profit: We recognize revenue only equal to cost, deferring profit recognition, until a project reaches 25% completion. In the case of large, complex design/build projects, we may continue to defer profit recognition beyond the point of 25% completion until such time as we believe we have enough information to make a reasonably dependable estimate of contract revenue and cost. Because we have a large number of projects at various stages of completion in Granite West, this policy generally has a lesser impact on Granite West's gross profit on a quarterly or annual basis. However, Granite East has fewer projects in process at any given time and those projects tend to be much larger than Granite West projects. As a result, Granite East gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary significantly in periods where one or several very large projects reach our percentage of completion threshold and the deferred profit is recognized or, conversely, in periods where contract backlog is growing rapidly and a higher percentage of projects are in their early stages with no associated gross profit recognition.

Revenue from projects that have not yet reached our profit recognition threshold is as follows:

| Revenue from Contracts with Deferred Profit (in thousands) | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Ended September 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Granite West | \$ 13,018 | \$ 18,070 | \$ 14,804 | \$ 18,757 |
| Granite East | 20,817 | 20,922 | 31,883 | 63,729 |
| Total revenue from contracts with deferred profit | \$ 33,835 | \$ 38,992 | \$ 46,687 | \$ 82,486 |

We do not recognize revenue from contract claims until we have a signed settlement agreement and payment is assured. We do not recognize revenue from contract change orders until the contract owner has agreed to the change order in writing. However, we do recognize the costs related to any contract claims or pending change orders in our forecasts when we are contractually obligated. As a result, our gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary depending on the magnitude and timing of settlement claims and change orders.

When we experience significant contract forecast changes, we undergo a process that includes reviewing the nature of the changes to ensure that there are no material amounts that should have been recorded in a prior period rather than as a change in estimate for the current period. In our review of these changes, we did not identify any material amounts that should have been recorded in a prior period.

Granite West gross profit: Granite West gross profit as a percent of revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased to 15.2% and 16.3%, respectively, from 17.9% and 17.7%, respectively, for the same periods in 2008. Construction gross profit as a percent of construction revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased to 15.9% and 17.7%, respectively, from 18.9% for the same periods in 2008. These decreases were due to lower gross profit margin on projects bid in a more competitive environment, partially offset by the positive effect of project forecast changes. Construction materials gross profit as a percent of construction materials revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased to 9.8% and 8.0%, respectively, from 12.4% and 12.5%, respectively, for the same periods in 2008. Profit margins on our construction material sales have been negatively impacted by the decline in residential construction activity by our private sector customers resulting in reduced sales and production volume and increased unit costs.

Granite East gross profit: Granite East gross profit as a percent of revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 increased to 13.0% and 18.3%, respectively, from 6.7% and 16.4%, respectively, for the same periods in 2008. These increases were primarily related to the resolution of project uncertainties on projects nearing completion as well as improved project productivity. In the first nine months of 2009 and 2008, gross profits were favorably impacted by the negotiated settlements of claims with two contract owners of \$16.0 million and \$28.6 million, respectively.

GLC gross profit: GLC recorded gross losses of \$0.6 million and \$1.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, compared to a gross profit of \$0.5 million and a gross loss of \$1.7 million for the same periods in 2008. Gross losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 included impairment charges of \$1.7 million and \$4.5 million, respectively. Gross losses in both periods were caused by the real estate downturn and the stages of development of our project portfolio, which led to very limited sales activity in 2009 and 2008. (See Note 9 of the “Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements”).

The following table presents the components of general and administrative expenses for the respective periods:

| General and Administrative Expenses (in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Salaries and related expenses | \$ 30,448 | \$ 31,925 | \$ 97,242 | \$ 102,520 |
| Incentive compensation, discretionary profit sharing and other variable compensation | 11,584 | 14,069 | 23,731 | 29,879 |
| (Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts, net | (458) | 7,530 | (3,844) | 8,914 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 18,891 | 18,409 | 52,637 | 57,031 |
| Total | \$ 60,465 | \$ 71,933 | \$ 169,766 | \$ 198,344 |
| Percent of revenue | 8.4% | 8.0% | 11.1% | 9.7% |

Our general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$11.5 million, or 15.9%, and \$28.6 million, or 14.4%, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2008 as we continue to focus on reducing our overall cost structure. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, our provision for doubtful accounts was offset by the recovery of \$4.2 million related to one account with a real estate developer that had been reserved for in the third quarter of 2008.

The following table presents the components of other income (expense) for the respective periods:

| Other Income (Expense) (in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 744 | \$ 5,439 | \$ 3,914 | \$ 15,087 |
| Interest expense | (4,245) | (5,303) | (10,586) | (12,871) |
| Equity in income (loss) of affiliates | 4,021 | (1,257) | 4,360 | (1,436) |
| Other income, net | 3,062 | 549 | 8,278 | 9,196 |
| Total other income (expense) | \$ 3,582 | \$ (572) | \$ 5,966 | \$ 9,976 |

Interest income decreased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with the same periods in 2008, primarily due to a decrease in investment interest income due to lower interest yields on marketable securities. Interest expense decreased due to a decrease in notes payable as we paid down balances. The change in equity in income (loss) of affiliates during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to an increase in income earned on our investment in an entity that owns and operates an asphalt terminal in Nevada, which is accounted for under the equity method. The increase in other income, net during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to gains earned on monies held in a Rabbi Trust related to the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan and a gain of approximately \$1.7 million on the sale of gold, a by-product of one of our aggregate extraction operations. The decrease in other income, net during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was primarily due to a gain of approximately \$5.8 million recognized on the sale of gold compared with a gain of \$9.3 million in the same period of 2008. This decrease was partially offset by gains on monies held in the Rabbi Trust of \$1.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

The following table presents the components of the provision for income taxes for the respective periods:

| Provision for Income Taxes (in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | | | | |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 13,300 | \$ 21,473 | \$ 26,316 | \$ 46,681 |
| Effective tax rate | 26.7% | 29.1% | 26.4% | 27.8% |

We calculate our income tax provision at the end of each interim period by estimating our annual effective tax rate and applying that rate to our year-to-date ordinary earnings. The effect of changes in enacted tax laws, rates or tax status is recognized in the interim period in which the change occurs.

Our effective tax rate decreased to 26.7% and 26.4%, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 from 29.1% and 27.8%, respectively, for the corresponding periods in 2008. The change in our effective tax rate was primarily the result of an increased benefit from depletion, noncontrolling interests, and other items that are discrete to the first three quarters of 2009. Noncontrolling interests are not subject to income taxes on a stand alone basis and are deducted from income before provision for income taxes to arrive at our estimated effective tax rate for the period. We expect our 2009 effective tax rate to be approximately 26.6%.

The following table presents the amount attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries for the respective periods:

| Amount Attributable To Noncontrolling Interests (in thousands) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | | | | |
| Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests | \$ (5,940) | \$ (594) | \$ (15,725) | \$ (31,058) |

The amount attributable to noncontrolling interests represents the noncontrolling owners' share of the income on our consolidated construction joint ventures and real estate development entities. The increase in noncontrolling interests in our consolidated subsidiaries for the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the corresponding period in 2008 was due to a large project that had not reached the profit recognition threshold in 2008, and continued progression and the effect of changes in estimates related to certain joint venture projects. The decrease in the amount due to noncontrolling interests for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the same period of the prior year was largely attributable to \$17.6 million we received in the first nine months of 2008 as a settlement related to the resolution of revenue issues on a large project in Southern California.

Outlook

The current economic climate continues to be challenging for our business as competition for public works construction projects remains intense. While this environment is having a substantial impact on our short-term performance, we continue to target a full pipeline of more complex larger projects that typically have fewer financially qualified competitors and the potential for higher margins. With our financial strength and construction expertise, we believe we are well-positioned to take advantage of these larger projects that have the capacity to drive strong performance over the longer-term.

The funding outlook for our industry continues to be uncertain as states are challenged to meet their transportation infrastructure needs with limited revenues. States are also affected by the delays in funding from the federal government for highway transportation as a result of the expiration of the 2005 Federal Highway Bill in September 2009. At present, the federal highway program is being funded through a continuing resolution as the House and Senate debate the merits of a longer term extension of the current program or the adoption of a full six year reauthorization. We are hopeful that Congress will reauthorize a federal highway bill that is at or above prior funding levels. Also, we expect additional stimulus-related projects will continue to create opportunities for us next year.

In order to meet the challenges ahead, we are realigning our organizational structure to improve our overall effectiveness, streamline our processes, and reduce our cost structure. The objective is to be more competitive in the markets in which we operate.

We anticipate today's competitive environment will continue through 2010. We remain focused on building operational efficiency and expertise throughout our operations. In conjunction with our realignment, we are taking the actions necessary to align our business with current business trends while positioning the company for growth when the environment improves.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe our cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, cash generated from operations and amounts available under our existing committed credit facility will be sufficient to meet our expected working capital needs, capital expenditures, financial commitments, cash dividend payments, and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations through the next twelve months. If we experience a significant change in our business operating results or make a significant acquisition, we may need to seek additional sources of financing, which, if available, may be limited by the terms of our existing debt covenants, or may require the amendment of our existing debt agreements.

| Cash and Marketable Securities <i>(in thousands)</i> | September 30, | |
|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash and cash equivalents excluding consolidated joint ventures | \$ 250,298 | \$ 146,138 |
| Consolidated joint venture cash and cash equivalents | 121,136 | 134,908 |
| Total consolidated cash and cash equivalents | 371,434 | 281,046 |
| Short-term and long-term marketable securities ¹ | 90,410 | 131,321 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities | \$ 461,844 | \$ 412,367 |

¹ See Note 4 of the “Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements” for the composition of our marketable securities.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities. Our cash and cash equivalents consist of deposits and money market funds held with established national financial institutions. Cash and cash equivalents held by our consolidated joint ventures is for the working capital needs of each joint venture’s project. The decision to distribute cash must generally be made jointly by all of the partners and therefore these funds are not available for the working capital needs of Granite.

| Cash Flows (in thousands) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net cash provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 57,583 | \$ 104,897 |
| Investing activities | (103,837) | (58,896) |
| Financing activities | (43,155) | (117,389) |
| Capital expenditures | 75,773 | 76,098 |

Cash provided by operating activities of \$57.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 represents a \$47.3 million decrease from the amount provided during the same period in 2008. The decrease was largely the result of a \$48.3 million reduction in net income.

Cash used in investing activities of \$103.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 represents an increase of \$44.9 million from the amount used in the same period in 2008. This change was due primarily to a decrease in maturities of marketable securities as we moved into longer term investments, net of purchases of marketable securities.

Cash used in financing activities of \$43.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 represents a decrease of \$74.2 million from the amount used in the same period in 2008. This decrease was due to a reduction in the purchase of our common stock.

Capital Expenditures

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we had capital expenditures of \$75.8 million compared to \$76.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Capital expenditures are for aggregate and asphalt production facilities, aggregate reserves, construction equipment, buildings and leasehold improvements, and upgrades to our information technology systems. The timing and amount of such expenditures can vary based on the progress of planned capital projects, the type and size of construction projects, changes in business outlook and other factors. We currently anticipate spending approximately \$105.0 million, which includes significant investments in the fulfillment of prior commitments on certain plant and quarry projects. We expect capital expenditures to be significantly lower in 2010.

Debt and Capital

We have a \$150.0 million bank revolving line of credit (“LOC”), which allows for unsecured borrowings through June 24, 2011. Borrowings under the LOC bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin determined based upon certain financial ratios calculated quarterly. The margin was 0.70% at September 30, 2009. The unused and available portion of the LOC was \$145.8 million at September 30, 2009.

We had standby letters of credit (“Letters”) totaling approximately \$4.2 million outstanding at September 30, 2009, which will expire between March 2010 and October 2010. We are generally required by the beneficiaries of these Letters to replace them upon expiration. Additionally, we are generally required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an additional measure of security under certain public and private sector contracts. At September 30, 2009, approximately \$1.3 billion of our contract backlog was bonded. Approximately \$9.9 billion of total contract value in performance bonds was outstanding as of September 30, 2009, which includes bonds for construction projects, both in process and completed contract awaiting final acceptance by the owner. Generally, performance bonds do not have stated expiration dates; rather, we are generally released from the bonds when each contract is accepted by the owner. The ability to maintain bonding capacity to support our current and future level of contracting requires that we maintain cash and working capital balances satisfactory to our sureties.

A significant portion of our real estate held for development and sale assets are secured by mortgages payable. Due to the current economic situation, banks in some cases are requiring a pay down of principal to refinance the mortgages when they become due. We have had to provide additional funding to our real estate investments upon renewal of certain debt obligations during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 in the amount of \$4.3 million to facilitate refinancing of certain mortgages.

Covenants contained in our debt agreements require the maintenance of certain financial ratios and the maintenance of tangible net worth (as defined by the debt agreements). Our debt agreements define certain events of default such as the failure to observe certain covenants or the failure by us or one of our consolidated subsidiaries, which may include a real estate affiliate of GLC over which we exercise control, to pay its debts as they become due. As of September 30, 2009, we were in compliance with our debt covenants and our affiliates and consolidated subsidiaries were current with their debt agreements. We are not aware of any non-compliance by our unconsolidated entities with their debt agreements as of September 30, 2009. Should we, our affiliates, consolidated subsidiaries, or unconsolidated entities fail to comply with these covenants, or should any other event of default occur, the lenders could cause the amounts due under the debt agreements to become immediately payable and terminate their obligation to make further credit available.

Share Purchase Authorization

In 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a plan to purchase, at management’s discretion, up to \$200.0 million of our common stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we did not purchase shares under the share purchase program. From the inception of this plan in 2007 through September 30, 2009, we have purchased a total of 3.8 million shares of our common stock for an aggregate cost of \$135.9 million. All shares were retired upon acquisition. At September 30, 2009, \$64.1 million of the \$200.0 million authorization was available for additional share purchases.

Acquisitions

In December 2007, we deposited \$28.3 million with an exchange agent in connection with our purchase of the remaining minority shares of Wilder Construction Incorporated. In January 2008, the amount was paid to the Wilder minority shareholders. In 2008, this amount was reflected as an increase in cash from investing activities and a corresponding \$16.6 million decrease in cash from operating activities and an \$11.7 million decrease in cash from financing activities for the estimated amounts attributable to return on investment and return of investment, respectively.

In January 2008, we acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of a construction materials supplier in Nevada for a purchase price of approximately \$14.0 million in cash. The effect of the operating results of the acquired business on our consolidated operating results was not material. The estimated fair value of the assets acquired approximated the purchase price; therefore, no goodwill was recorded.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 of the “Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements” for a description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the actual and expected dates of their adoption and their effects on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, statements of income and statements of cash flows.

Website Access

Our website address is www.graniteconstruction.com. On our website we make available, free of charge, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not incorporated into, and is not part of, this report. These reports, and any amendments to them, are also available at the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission, www.sec.gov.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There was no significant change in our exposure to market risks since December 31, 2008.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2009, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the third quarter of 2009, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements, Note 15 - Legal Proceedings.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

- [10.1](#) † [Key Management Deferred Compensation Plan II \[as amended and restated\] dated August 31, 2009](#)
- [10.2](#) † [Amendment No. 3 to the June 24, 2005 Credit Agreement between Bank of America, N.A. and Granite Construction Incorporated dated as of August 28, 2009](#)
- [10.3](#) † [International Swap Dealers Association, Inc. Master Agreement between BP Products North America Inc. and Granite Construction Incorporated dated as of May 15, 2009](#)
- [10.4](#) † [International Swap Dealers Association, Inc. Master Agreement Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and Granite Construction Incorporated dated as of May 22, 2009](#)
- [10.5](#) † [International Swap Dealers Association, Inc. Master Agreement between Merrill Lynch Commodities, Inc. and Granite Construction Incorporated dated as of June 3, 2009](#)
- [31.1](#) † [Certification of Principal Executive Officer](#)
- [31.2](#) † [Certification of Principal Financial Officer](#)
- [32](#) †† [Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002](#)

† Filed herewith

†† Furnished herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED

Date: October 29, 2009 By:

/s/ LeAnne M. Stewart

LeAnne M. Stewart

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer