

---

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

---

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 000-17687

**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Georgia**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**58-1755230**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**12405 Powerscourt Drive**

**St. Louis, Missouri 63131**

(Address of principal executive offices including zip code)

**(314) 965-0555**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ☒ NO ☐

---

---

**Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P.**  
**Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Period ended June 30, 2003**  
**Table of Contents**

<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	<b><u>Page No.</u></b>
Item 1. Financial Statements - Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P.	
Condensed Statements of Net Assets in Liquidation as of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002	<u>3</u>
Condensed Statements of Changes in Net Assets in Liquidation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003	<u>4</u>
Condensed Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002	<u>5</u>
Condensed Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2002	<u>6</u>
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements	<u>7</u>
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>11</u>
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>16</u>
 <b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	<u>17</u>
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	<u>17</u>
 <b>SIGNATURES</b>	<u>19</u>
 <b>EXHIBIT INDEX</b>	<u>20</u>

---

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION.**

**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.**

---

**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**

**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS IN LIQUIDATION**  
**(See Note 2)**

	<b>June 30, 2003 (Unaudited)</b>	<b>December 31, 2002</b>
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 605,600	\$ 3,178,500
Accounts receivable, net.....	9,100	6,600
Prepaid expenses .....	27,800	7,600
Property, plant and equipment.....	837,600	837,600
Franchise cost.....	166,000	166,000
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total assets.....	1,646,100	4,196,300
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities.....	93,300	349,300
Due to affiliates.....	900	2,353,100
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total liabilities.....	94,200	2,702,400
<b>NET ASSETS IN LIQUIDATION:</b>		
General Partners.....	15,200	14,600
Limited Partners.....	1,536,700	1,479,300
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$ 1,551,900	\$ 1,493,900
	<hr/>	<hr/>

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**

**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS IN LIQUIDATION  
(Unaudited)**

(See Note 2)

	<u>Three Months Ended June 30, 2003</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30, 2003</u>
Additions:		
Revenues.....	\$ 187,100	\$ 379,100
Interest income.....	<u>3,800</u>	<u>9,200</u>
Total additions.....	<u>190,900</u>	<u>388,300</u>
Deductions:		
Service costs.....	69,500	146,200
General and administrative expenses.....	49,300	96,400
General partner management fees and reimbursed expenses.....	27,700	55,300
Capital expenditures.....	7,500	9,500
Other expense.....	<u>19,100</u>	<u>22,900</u>
Total deductions.....	<u>173,100</u>	<u>330,300</u>
Net increase in net assets in liquidation.....	17,800	58,000
NET ASSETS IN LIQUIDATION, beginning of period.....	<u>1,534,100</u>	<u>1,493,900</u>
NET ASSETS IN LIQUIDATION, end of period.....	\$ <u><u>1,551,900</u></u>	\$ <u><u>1,551,900</u></u>

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**

**CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(Unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2002</b>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2002</b>
REVENUES.....	\$ 263,900	\$ 1,037,100
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Service costs.....	89,400	340,300
General and administrative expenses.....	70,100	183,500
General partner management fees and reimbursed expenses.....	51,200	176,000
Depreciation and amortization.....	89,500	318,000
	<u>300,200</u>	<u>1,017,800</u>
Operating (loss) income.....	<u>(36,300)</u>	<u>19,300</u>
OTHER INCOME:		
Interest income, net.....	27,200	24,500
Gain on sale of cable systems.....	7,534,700	7,462,200
	<u>7,561,900</u>	<u>7,486,700</u>
NET INCOME.....	\$ <u>7,525,600</u>	\$ <u>7,506,000</u>
NET INCOME ALLOCATED TO GENERAL PARTNERS.....	\$ <u>238,800</u>	\$ <u>238,600</u>
NET INCOME ALLOCATED TO LIMITED PARTNERS.....	\$ <u>7,286,800</u>	\$ <u>7,267,400</u>
NET INCOME PER UNIT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP INTEREST.....	\$ <u>91.29</u>	\$ <u>91.05</u>
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP UNITS OUTSTANDING DURING PERIOD.....	<u>79,818</u>	<u>79,818</u>

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**  
**CONDENSED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS**  
**SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002**  
**(Unaudited)**

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	
Net income.....	\$ 7,506,000
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization.....	318,000
Gain on sale of cable systems.....	(7,462,200)
Changes in:	
Accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets.....	96,900
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and due to affiliates.....	<u>302,900</u>
Net cash from operating activities.....	<u>761,600</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	
Capital expenditures.....	(466,400)
Proceeds from sale of cable systems.....	<u>12,042,800</u>
Net cash from investing activities.....	<u>11,576,400</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	
Repayments on note payable to affiliate.....	(250,000)
Distributions to partners.....	<u>(7,175,600)</u>
Net cash from financing activities.....	<u>(7,425,600)</u>
Net increase in cash .....	4,912,400
CASH, beginning of period.....	<u>465,800</u>
CASH, end of period.....	<u><u>\$ 5,378,200</u></u>

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements.

**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**1. INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The accompanying condensed interim financial statements for Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P. (the Partnership), as of June 30, 2003, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, are unaudited. These condensed interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002. In the opinion of management, the condensed interim financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results of such periods. The changes in net assets in liquidation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. These estimates include useful lives of property, plant and equipment, valuation of long-lived assets and allocated operating costs. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements as of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 are presented on a liquidation basis of accounting. Accordingly, the financial information in the condensed statement of changes in net assets in liquidation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 is presented on a different basis of accounting than the financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, which is prepared on the historical cost basis of accounting. As a result, depreciation and amortization ceased upon conversion to liquidation accounting and capital expenditures are expensed as incurred.

Certain reclassifications have been made to conform to current period presentation.

**2. LIQUIDATION BASIS ACCOUNTING AND SALES OF CABLE ASSETS**

Enstar Communications Corporation, the Corporate General Partner, continues to operate the Partnership's Dyer, Tennessee cable television system, the Partnership's only remaining cable system, during the divestiture transactions for the benefit of the unit holders.

On April 10, 2002, pursuant to an asset purchase agreement dated August 29, 2001, the Partnership completed the sale of all of the Partnership's Illinois cable television systems in and around Cisne, Farmersville, Flora, Noble, Raymond, Salem and Xenia, Illinois to Rifkin Acquisition Partners, LLC ("RAP") and Charter Communications Entertainment I, LLC ("CCE-I"), each an affiliate of the Corporate General Partner and an indirect subsidiary of Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter"), for a total sale price of approximately \$12.0 million (the "Charter Sale"). The Charter Sale was part of a larger transaction in which the Partnership and five other affiliated partnerships (which, together with the Partnership are collectively referred to as the "Selling Partnerships") sold all of their assets used in the operation of their respective Illinois cable television systems to RAP, CCE-I and another affiliate of the Corporate General Partner (also referred to as the "Purchasers") for a total cash sale price of \$63.0 million. Each Selling Partnership received the same value per customer. In addition, the Limited Partners of each of the Selling Partnerships approved an amendment to their respective partnership agreement to allow the sale of assets to an affiliate of such partnership's General Partner. The Purchasers are each indirect subsidiaries of the Corporate General Partner's ultimate parent company, Charter, and therefore, are affiliates of the Partnership and each of the other Selling Partnerships.

The Charter Sale resulted from a sale process actively pursued since 1999, when the Corporate General Partner sought purchasers for all of the cable television systems of the Selling Partnerships, as well as eight other, affiliated limited partnership cable operators of which the Corporate General Partner is also the general partner. This effort was undertaken primarily because, based on the Corporate General Partner's experience in the cable television industry, it was concluded that generally applicable market conditions and competitive factors were making (and would increasingly make) it extremely difficult for smaller operators of rural cable systems (such as the Partnership

**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

and the other affiliated Enstar partnerships) to effectively compete and be financially successful. This determination was based on the anticipated cost of electronics and additional equipment to enable the Partnership's systems to operate on a two-way basis with improved technical capacity, insufficiency of Partnership cash reserves and cash flows from operations to finance such expenditures, limited customer growth potential due to the Partnership's systems' rural location, and a general inability of a small cable system operator such as the Partnership to benefit from economies of scale and the ability to combine and integrate systems that large cable operators have. Although limited plant upgrades have been made, the Corporate General Partner projected that if the Partnership made the comprehensive additional upgrades deemed necessary to enable enhanced and competitive services, particularly two-way capability, the Partnership would not recoup the costs or regain its ability to operate profitably within the remaining term of its franchises, and as a result, making these upgrades would not be economically prudent.

After setting aside \$1.0 million to fund the Dyer, Tennessee system's working capital needs and paying or providing for the payment of the expenses of the Charter Sale, the Corporate General Partner made distributions of the allocable share of the remaining net sale proceeds, in accordance with the Partnership Agreement. The Partnership made an initial distribution payment of approximately \$7.2 million on or about May 15, 2002, with a second distribution of approximately \$1.6 million made on or about September 24, 2002.

On November 8, 2002, the Partnership entered into an asset purchase agreement providing for the sale of its Dyer, Tennessee cable system to Telecommunications Management, LLC (Telecommunications Management) for a total sale price of approximately \$1,524,400 (approximately \$825 per customer acquired). This sale is a part of a larger transaction in which the Partnership and eight other affiliated partnerships (which, together with the Partnership are collectively referred to as the "Telecommunications Management Selling Partnerships") would sell all of their remaining assets used in the operation of their respective cable systems to Telecommunications Management for a total cash sale price of approximately \$15,341,600 (before adjustments) (the Telecommunications Management Sale). The Telecommunications Management Sale is subject to the approval of a majority of the holders of the Partnership's units and approval of the holders of the other Telecommunications Management Selling Partnerships. In addition, the transaction is subject to certain closing conditions, including regulatory and franchise approvals. In April 2003, a majority of the Limited Partners approved the Telecommunications Management Sale and a plan of liquidation.

On February 6, 2003, the Partnership entered into a side letter amending the asset purchase agreement providing for the sale of all of its cable systems to Telecommunications Management. The February 6, 2003 side letter amends the asset purchase agreement and Deposit Escrow Agreement to extend the date of the second installment of the deposit and the Outside Closing Date each by 60 days. On April 7, 2003, the second installment of the escrow deposit was due and was not made.

On April 24, 2003, the Partnership entered into another side letter amending the asset purchase agreement providing for the sale of all of its cable systems to Telecommunications Management. The April 24, 2003 side letter amends the asset purchase agreement and Deposit Escrow Agreement to extend the date of the second installment of the deposit to May 15, 2003 and the Outside Closing Date to September 30, 2003.

On June 6, 2003, the Partnership entered into a third side letter amending the asset purchase agreement providing for the sale of all of its cable systems to Telecommunications Management. The June 6, 2003 side letter amends the asset purchase agreement to reduce the purchase price of the remaining cable systems in the Selling Partnerships to approximately \$14,487,200, subject to closing sale price adjustments, of which approximately \$1,477,600 relates to the remaining cable system in the Partnership. In addition, \$250,000 was deposited in the Deposit Escrow Account concurrent with the execution of the side letter.

The Partnership finalized its proposed plan of liquidation in December 2002 in connection with the filing of a proxy to obtain partner approval for the sale of the Partnership's final cable system and the subsequent liquidation and dissolution of the Partnership. In April 2003, the required number of votes necessary to implement the plan of liquidation were obtained. As a result, the Partnership changed its basis of accounting to the liquidation basis as of December 31, 2002. Upon changing to liquidation basis accounting, the Partnership recorded \$30,800 of accrued costs of liquidation representing an estimate of the costs to be incurred after the sale of the final cable system but



**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

prior to dissolution of the Partnership. Because management is unable to develop reliable estimates of future operating results or the ultimate realizable value of property, plant and equipment due to the current uncertainties surrounding the final dissolution of the Partnership, no adjustments have been recorded to reflect assets at estimated realizable values or to reflect estimates of future operating results. These adjustments will be recorded once a sale is imminent and the net sales proceeds are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, the assets in the accompanying statements of net assets in liquidation as of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 have been stated at historical book values. Distributions ultimately made to the partners upon liquidation will differ from the net assets in liquidation recorded in the accompanying statements of net assets in liquidation as a result of future operations, the sale proceeds ultimately received by the Partnership and adjustments if any to estimated costs of liquidation. There was no change to the accrued costs of liquidation during the three and six months ended June 30, 2003.

The Corporate General Partner's intention is to settle the outstanding obligations of the Partnership and terminate the Partnership as expeditiously as possible. Final dissolution of the Partnership and related cash distributions to the partners will occur upon obtaining final resolution of all liquidation issues.

### **3. TRANSACTIONS WITH THE GENERAL PARTNERS AND AFFILIATES**

The Partnership has a management and service agreement (the Management Agreement) with Enstar Cable Corporation (Enstar Cable), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Corporate General Partner, for a monthly management fee of 5% of gross revenues. Management fee expense approximated \$9,400 and \$13,200 for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$19,000 and \$51,900 for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Management fees are non-interest bearing.

In addition to the monthly management fee, the Partnership reimburses Enstar Cable for direct expenses incurred on behalf of the Partnership, and for the Partnership's allocable share of operational costs associated with services provided by Enstar Cable. Additionally, Charter and its affiliates provide other management and operational services for the Partnership. These expenses are charged to the properties served based primarily on the Partnership's allocable share of operational costs associated with the services provided. The total amount charged to the Partnership for these services approximated \$18,300 and \$38,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$36,300 and \$124,100 for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Substantially all programming services are purchased through Charter. Charter charges the Partnership for these costs based on its costs. The Partnership recorded programming fee expense of \$42,000 and \$59,100 for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$86,000 and \$241,600 for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Programming fees are included in service costs in the accompanying condensed statement of changes in net assets in liquidation and statement of operations.

As disclosed in Charter's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the parent of the Corporate General Partner and the Manager is the defendant in twenty-two class action and shareholder lawsuits and is the subject of a grand jury investigation being conducted by the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri and an SEC investigation. The United States Attorney's office has publicly stated that Charter is not currently a target of the investigation. Charter has also been advised by the United States Attorney's office that no member of its board of directors, including its Chief Executive Officer, is a target of the investigation. On July 24, 2003, a federal grand jury charged four former officers of Charter with conspiracy and mail and wire fraud, alleging improper accounting and reporting practices focusing on revenue from digital set-top terminal suppliers and inflated subscriber account numbers. On July 25, 2003, one of the former officers who was indicted entered a guilty plea. Charter has informed the Corporate General Partner that they are fully cooperating with the investigation.

Charter is unable to predict the outcome of the class action lawsuits and government investigations at this time. An unfavorable outcome of these matters could have a material adverse effect on Charter's results of operations and financial condition, which could in turn have a material adverse effect on the Partnership.

**ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

**4. NET INCOME PER UNIT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP INTEREST**

The amended Partnership Agreement generally provides that all cash flows be distributed 1% to the General Partners and 99% to the Limited Partners until the Limited Partners have received aggregate cash distributions equal to their original capital contributions. The amended Partnership Agreement also provides that all partnership operating profits be allocated to the partners in the same proportion as cash flow distributions are made. After the Limited Partners have received cash flow equal to their initial investment, the General Partners will only receive a 1% distribution of proceeds from a disposition or refinancing of a system until the Limited Partners have received an annual simple interest return of at least 8% of their initial investment less any distributions from previous dispositions or refinancing of systems. Thereafter, proceeds from a disposition or refinancing of a system shall be distributed 80% to the Limited Partners and 20% to the General Partners. Gains from dispositions of systems are first allocated in the same manner as the proceeds from such dispositions. This occurs until the dispositions result in the aggregate fair market value of the Partnership's remaining system(s) being less than or equal to 50% of the aggregate contributions to the capital of the Partnership by the partners.

Any losses, whether resulting from operations or the sale or disposition of a system, are allocated 99% to the Limited Partners and 1% to the General Partners until the Limited Partners' capital account balances are equal to zero. Thereafter, all losses are allocated to the Corporate General Partner.

Upon dissolution of the Partnership, distributions are to be made to the partners in accordance with their capital account balances. No partners other than General Partners shall be obligated to restore any negative capital account balance existing upon dissolution of the Partnership. All allocations to individual Limited Partners will be based on their respective limited partnership ownership interests. The Partnership Agreement limits the amount of debt the Partnership may incur.

**5. LONG-TERM DEBT**

The Partnership was party to a loan agreement in the amount of \$250,000 with Falcon Cable Communications, LLC, an affiliate of the Partnership, for general working capital purposes. The loan matured on May 31, 2002 and was repaid rather than extended or replaced.

**6. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS**

In April of 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 will not have an impact on the Partnership's financial condition or results of operations.

In May of 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 will not have an impact on the Partnership's financial condition or results of operations.

## **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **INTRODUCTION**

This report includes certain forward-looking statements regarding, among other things, our future results of operations, regulatory requirements, competition, capital needs and general business conditions applicable to us. Such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties including, without limitation, the uncertainty of legislative and regulatory changes and the rapid developments in the competitive environment facing cable television operators such as us. In addition to the information provided here, reference is made to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, for additional information regarding such matters and the effect thereof on our business.

The Partnership finalized its proposed plan of liquidation in December 2002 in connection with the filing of a proxy to obtain partner approval for the sale of the Partnership's final cable system and the subsequent liquidation and dissolution of the Partnership. In April 2003, the required number of votes necessary to implement the plan of liquidation were obtained. As a result, the Partnership changed its basis of accounting to the liquidation basis as of December 31, 2002. Upon changing to liquidation basis accounting, the Partnership recorded \$30,800 of accrued costs of liquidation representing an estimate of the costs to be incurred after the sale of the final cable system but prior to dissolution of the Partnership. Because management is unable to develop reliable estimates of future operating results or the ultimate realizable value of property, plant and equipment due to the current uncertainties surrounding the final dissolution of the Partnership, no adjustments have been recorded to reflect assets at estimated realizable values or to reflect estimates of future operating results. These adjustments will be recorded once a sale is imminent and the net sales proceeds are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, the assets in the accompanying statements of net assets in liquidation as of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 have been stated at historical book values. Distributions ultimately made to the partners upon liquidation will differ from the net assets in liquidation recorded in the accompanying statement of net assets in liquidation as a result of future operations, the sale proceeds ultimately received by the Partnership and adjustments if any to estimated costs of liquidation. There was no change to the accrued costs of liquidation during the three and six months ended June 30, 2003.

### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

On April 10, 2002, we completed the sale of all of our Illinois cable television systems in and around Cisne, Farmersville, Flora, Noble, Raymond, Salem and Xenia, Illinois. Accordingly, results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 are not comparable to and are on a different basis of accounting than the three and six months ended June 30, 2002.

Revenues decreased \$76,800 from \$263,900 to \$187,100, or 29.1%, and \$658,000 from \$1,037,100 to \$379,100, or 63.4%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in 2002. The decrease was primarily a result of the sale of the Partnership's Illinois cable systems in April 2002. Revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002 included \$57,500 and \$624,200, respectively, related to the Partnership's Illinois cable systems. The remaining decrease is a result of decreases in basic and premium customers. As of June 30, 2003 and 2002, we had approximately 1,700 and 1,900 basic service customers, respectively, and 200 and 300 premium service customers, respectively.

Service costs decreased \$19,900 from \$89,400 to \$69,500, or 22.3%, and \$194,100 from \$340,300 to \$146,200, or 57.0%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in 2002. Service costs represent programming costs and other costs directly attributable to providing cable services to customers. The decrease for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 was primarily due to the sale of the Partnership's Illinois cable systems in April 2002.

General and administrative expenses decreased \$20,800 from \$70,100 to \$49,300, or 29.7%, and \$87,100 from \$183,500 to \$96,400, or 47.5%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in 2002. The decrease was primarily due to the sale of the Partnership's Illinois cable systems in April 2002 offset by an increase in state taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2003.

General partner management fees and reimbursed expenses decreased \$23,500 from \$51,200 to \$27,700, or 45.9%, and \$120,700 from \$176,000 to \$55,300, or 68.6%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in 2002. The decrease for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 compared to the corresponding period in 2002 was primarily due to the sale of the Partnership's Illinois cable system in April 2002.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased from \$89,500 to \$0 and from \$318,000 to \$0 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in 2002. Depreciation and amortization ceased upon adoption of liquidation basis accounting.

Interest income decreased \$23,400 from \$27,200 to \$3,800, or 86.0%, and \$15,300 from \$24,500 to \$9,200, or 62.4%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods in 2002. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in average cash balances available for investment as a result of the distributions to the partners in May and September of 2002.

Gain on sale of cable systems totaled \$7,534,700 and \$7,462,200 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, respectively. Gain on sale of cable systems represents the difference between the net sale proceeds and the net book value of investment in the sold cable systems.

Other expense of \$19,100 and \$22,900 for the six months ended June 30, 2003 represents costs incurred with the proposed sales transaction.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Our primary objective, having invested net offering proceeds in cable television systems, is to distribute to our partners all available cash from the sale of cable systems and all cash flow, if any, from operations after providing for expenses and any planned capital requirements relating to the expansion, improvement and upgrade of our remaining cable systems as required by franchise agreements.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$2,572,900 from \$3,178,500 at December 31, 2002 to \$605,600 at June 30, 2003 primarily due to repayments of \$2,368,000 on the amounts due to affiliates and remittance of \$205,000 in withholding taxes related to the April 2002 sale of the Partnership's Illinois cable systems which had been recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses. Amounts due to affiliates at December 31, 2002 primarily represent accrued and unpaid management fees and other allocated expenses accrued since the fourth quarter of 2000. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$4,912,400 from \$465,800 at December 31, 2001 to \$5,378,200 at June 30, 2002 primarily as a result of proceeds from sale of cable systems of \$12,042,800 offset by distributions to partners of \$7,175,600. Capital expenditures, which are expensed as incurred in the six months ended June 30, 2003, were \$9,500. Capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2002 were \$446,400.

Cash generated by operations of the Partnership, together with available cash balances will be used to fund any capital expenditures as required by franchise authorities. However, our cash reserves will be insufficient to fund a comprehensive upgrade program. If our system is not sold, we will need to rely on increased cash flow from operations or new sources of financing in order to meet our future liquidity requirements. There can be no assurance that such cash flow increases can be attained, or that additional future financing will be available on terms acceptable to us. If we are not able to attain such cash flow increases, or obtain new sources of borrowings, we will not be able to upgrade our cable system. As a result, the value of our system would likely be lower than that of systems built to a higher technical standard.

The estimated cost of these upgrades for our Dyer, Tennessee system would be approximately \$2.2 million (for an upgrade to 550 megahertz capacity) and \$2.6 million (for an upgrade to 870 megahertz capacity). Given the high cost of such a comprehensive upgrade effort, pending sales transaction, the limited funds available to us, and the belief that a comprehensive plan is not economically prudent, the Corporate General Partner does not presently anticipate that it will proceed with a comprehensive upgrade plan. The Corporate General Partner will, however, continue to make upgrades necessary to maintain compliance with franchise agreements and be economically prudent.

We were party to a loan agreement in the amount of \$250,000 with Falcon Cable Communications, LLC, our affiliate, for general working capital purposes. The loan matured on May 31, 2002 and was repaid rather than extended or replaced.

## **LIQUIDATION BASIS ACCOUNTING AND SALES OF CABLE ASSETS**

On April 10, 2002, pursuant to an asset purchase agreement dated August 29, 2001, the Partnership completed the sale of all of the Partnership's Illinois cable television systems in and around Cisne, Farmersville, Flora, Noble, Raymond, Salem and Xenia, Illinois to Rifkin Acquisition Partners, LLC ("RAP") and Charter Communications Entertainment I, LLC ("CCE-I"), each an affiliate of the Corporate General Partner and an indirect subsidiary of Charter, for a total sale price of approximately \$12.0 million (the "Charter Sale"). The Charter Sale was part of a larger transaction in which the Partnership and five other affiliated partnerships (which, together with the Partnership are collectively referred to as the "Selling Partnerships") sold all of their assets used in the operation of their respective Illinois cable television systems to RAP, CCE-I and another affiliate (also referred to as the "Purchasers") for a total cash sale price of \$63.0 million. Each Selling Partnership received the same value per customer. In addition, the Limited Partners of each of the Selling Partnerships approved an amendment to their respective partnership agreement to allow the sale of assets to an affiliate of such partnership's General Partner. The Purchasers are each indirect subsidiaries of the Corporate General Partner's ultimate parent company, Charter, and therefore, are affiliates of the Partnership and each of the other Selling Partnerships.

The Charter Sale resulted from a sale process actively pursued since 1999, when the Corporate General Partner sought purchasers for all of the cable television systems of the Selling Partnerships, as well as eight other, affiliated limited partnership cable operators of which the Corporate General Partner is also the general partner. This effort was undertaken primarily because, based on the Corporate General Partner's experience in the cable television industry, it was concluded that generally applicable market conditions and competitive factors were making (and would increasingly make) it extremely difficult for smaller operators of rural cable systems (such as the Partnership and the other affiliated Enstar partnerships) to effectively compete and be financially successful. This determination was based on the anticipated cost of electronics and additional equipment to enable the Partnership's systems to operate on a two-way basis with improved technical capacity, insufficiency of Partnership cash reserves and cash flows from operations to finance such expenditures, limited customer growth potential due to the Partnership's systems' rural location, and a general inability of a small cable system operator such as the Partnership to benefit from economies of scale and the ability to combine and integrate systems that large cable operators have. Although limited plant upgrades have been made, the Corporate General Partner projected that if the Partnership made the comprehensive additional upgrades deemed necessary to enable enhanced and competitive services, particularly two-way capability, the Partnership would not recoup the costs or regain its ability to operate profitably within the remaining term of its franchises, and as a result, making these upgrades would not be economically prudent.

After setting aside \$1.0 million to fund the Dyer, Tennessee headend's working capital needs and paying or providing for the payment of the expenses of the Charter Sale, the Corporate General Partner made distributions of the allocable share of the remaining net sale proceeds, in accordance with the Partnership Agreement. The Partnership made an initial distribution payment of approximately \$7.2 million on or about May 15, 2002, with a second distribution of \$1.6 million made on or about September 24, 2002.

On November 8, 2002, the Partnership entered into an asset purchase agreement providing for the sale of its Dyer, Tennessee cable system to Telecommunications Management, LLC (Telecommunications Management) for a total sale price of approximately \$1,524,400 (approximately \$825 per customer acquired). This sale is a part of a larger transaction in which the Partnership and eight other affiliated partnerships (which, together with the Partnership are collectively referred to as the "Telecommunications Management Selling Partnerships") would sell all of their remaining assets used in the operation of their respective cable systems to Telecommunications Management for a total cash sale price of approximately \$15,341,600 (before adjustments) (the Telecommunications Management Sale). The Telecommunications Management Sale is subject to the approval of a majority of the holders of the Partnership's units and approval of the holders of the other Telecommunications Management Selling Partnerships. In addition, the transaction is subject to certain closing conditions, including regulatory and franchise approvals. In April 2003, a majority of the Limited Partners approved the Telecommunications Management Sale and a plan of liquidation.

On February 6, 2003, the Partnership entered into a side letter amending the asset purchase agreement providing for the sale of all of its cable systems to Telecommunications Management. The February 6, 2003 side letter amends the asset purchase agreement and Deposit Escrow Agreement to extend the date of the second installment of the deposit and the Outside Closing Date each by 60 days. On April 7, 2003, the second installment of the escrow deposit was due and was not made.

On April 24, 2003, the Partnership entered into another side letter amending the asset purchase agreement providing for the sale of all of its cable systems to Telecommunications Management. The April 24, 2003 side letter amends the asset purchase agreement and Deposit Escrow Agreement to extend the date of the second installment of the deposit to May 15, 2003 and the Outside Closing Date to September 30, 2003.

On June 6, 2003, the Partnership entered into a third side letter amending the asset purchase agreement providing for the sale of all of its cable systems to Telecommunications Management. The June 6, 2003 side letter amends the asset purchase agreement to reduce the purchase price of the remaining cable systems in the Selling Partnerships to approximately \$14,487,200, subject to closing sale price adjustments, of which approximately \$1,477,600 relates to the remaining cable system in the Partnership. In addition, \$250,000 was deposited in the Deposit Escrow Account concurrent with the execution of the side letter.

The Partnership finalized its proposed plan of liquidation in December 2002 in connection with the filing of a proxy to obtain partner approval for the sale of the Partnership's final cable system and the subsequent liquidation and dissolution of the Partnership. In April 2003, the required number of votes necessary to implement the plan of liquidation were obtained. As a result, the Partnership changed its basis of accounting to the liquidation basis as of December 31, 2002. Upon changing to liquidation basis accounting, the Partnership recorded \$30,800 of accrued costs of liquidation representing an estimate of the costs to be incurred after the sale of the final cable system but prior to dissolution of the Partnership. Because management is unable to develop reliable estimates of future operating results or the ultimate realizable value of property, plant and equipment due to the current uncertainties surrounding the final dissolution of the Partnership, no adjustments have been recorded to reflect assets at estimated realizable values or to reflect estimates of future operating results. These adjustments will be recorded once a sale is imminent and the net sales proceeds are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, the assets in the accompanying statements of net assets in liquidation as of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 have been stated at historical book values. Distributions ultimately made to the partners upon liquidation will differ from the net assets in liquidation recorded in the accompanying statements of net assets in liquidation as a result of future operations, the sale proceeds ultimately received by the Partnership and adjustments if any to estimated costs of liquidation. There was no change to the accrued costs of liquidation during the three and six months ended June 30, 2003.

The Corporate General Partner's intention is to settle the outstanding obligations of the Partnership and terminate the Partnership as expeditiously as possible. Final dissolution of the Partnership and related cash distributions to the partners will occur upon obtaining final resolution of all liquidation issues.

## **CERTAIN TRENDS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

Insurance coverage is maintained for all of the cable television properties owned or managed by Charter to cover damage to cable distribution systems, customer connections and against business interruptions resulting from such damage. This coverage is subject to a significant annual deductible that applies to all of the cable television properties owned or managed by Charter, including our properties.

All of our customers are served by our system in Dyer, Tennessee and neighboring communities. Significant damage to the system due to seasonal weather conditions or other events could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and cash flow. We continue to maintain insurance coverage in amounts our management views as appropriate for all other property, liability, automobile, workers' compensation and other insurable risks.

Charter and our Corporate General Partner have had communications and correspondence with representatives of certain limited partners, and others, concerning certain Enstar partnerships of which our Corporate General Partner is also the Corporate General Partner. While we are not aware of any formal litigation which has been filed relating to the communications and correspondence, or the subject matter referred to therein, it is impossible to predict what

actions may be taken in the future or what loss contingencies may result therefrom.

It is difficult to assess the impact that the general economic slowdown will have on future operations. This could result in reduced spending by customers and advertisers, which could reduce our revenues and operating cash flow, as well as the collectibility of accounts receivable.

As disclosed in Charter's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the parent of our Corporate General Partner and our Manager is the defendant in twenty-two class action and shareholder lawsuits and is the subject of a grand jury investigation being conducted by the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri and an SEC investigation. The United States Attorney's office has publicly stated that Charter is not currently a target of the investigation. Charter has also been advised by the United States Attorney's office that no member of its board of directors, including its Chief Executive Officer, is a target of the investigation. On July 24, 2003, a federal grand jury charged four former officers of Charter with conspiracy and mail and wire fraud, alleging improper accounting and reporting practices focusing on revenue from digital set-top terminal suppliers and inflated subscriber account numbers. On July 25, 2003, one of the former officers who was indicted entered a guilty plea. Charter has informed the Corporate General partner that they are fully cooperating with the investigation.

Charter is unable to predict the outcome of the class action lawsuits and government investigations at this time. An unfavorable outcome of these matters could have a material adverse effect on Charter's results of operations and financial condition, which could in turn have a material adverse effect on us.

## **INFLATION**

Certain of our expenses, such as those for wages and benefits, equipment repair and replacement, and billing and marketing generally increase with inflation. However, we do not believe that our financial results have been, or will be, adversely affected by inflation in a material manner, provided that we are able to increase our service prices periodically, of which there can be no assurance.

## **RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS**

In April of 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 will not have an impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In May of 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 will not have an impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

#### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our Corporate General Partner, including our Chief Administrative Officer and Principal Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to the information generated for use in this Quarterly Report. The evaluation was based in part upon reports and affidavits provided by a number of executives. Based upon, and as of the date of that evaluation, our Chief Administrative Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurances that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2003 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based upon the above evaluation, we believe that our controls do provide such reasonable assurances.



## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

**SALES PROPOSAL.** The holders of limited partnership units voted to approve the sale of the Partnership's remaining cable system and the subsequent liquidation and dissolution of the Partnership to Telecommunications Management, LLC.

The voting results to approve the sale are:

<u>FOR</u>	<u>AGAINST</u>	<u>ABSTAIN</u>
45,552	1,084	384

The voting results to approve the plan to liquidate the Partnership are:

<u>FOR</u>	<u>AGAINST</u>	<u>ABSTAIN</u>
45,562	1,104	354

There are 79,818 shares outstanding with 1,777 total holders. 847 total holders voted on this matter. The proxy process expired on May 16, 2003.

### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

#### (a) EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description of Document</u>
2.1a	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated November 8, 2002, by and among Telecommunications Management, LLC and Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P., Enstar Income Program IV-3, L.P., Enstar Income Program 1984-1, L.P., Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P., Enstar VII, L.P., Enstar VIII, L.P., Enstar X, L.P., Enstar XI, L.P., Enstar IV/PBD Systems Venture and Enstar Cable of Cumberland Valley (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the quarterly report of Form 10-Q of Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P. filed on November 12, 2002 (File No. 000-14505)).
2.1b	Letter of Amendment, dated as of February 6, 2003, between Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P., Enstar Income Program IV-3, L.P., Enstar Income Program 1984-1, L.P., Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P., Enstar VII, L.P., Enstar VIII, L.P., Enstar X, L.P., Enstar XI, L.P., Enstar IV/PBD Systems Venture and Enstar Cable of Cumberland Valley and Telecommunications Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the current report on Form 8-K of Enstar Income/Growth Program Five-A, L.P. filed on February 14, 2003 (File No. 000-16779)).
2.1c	Letter of Amendment, dated as of April 24, 2003, between Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P., Enstar Income Program IV-3, L.P., Enstar Income Program 1984-1, L.P., Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P., Enstar VII, L.P., Enstar VIII, L.P., Enstar X, L.P., Enstar XI, L.P., Enstar IV/PBD Systems Venture and Enstar Cable of Cumberland Valley and Telecommunications Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the current report on Form 8-K of Enstar Income/Growth Program Five-A, L.P. filed on April 25, 2003 (File No. 000-16779)).
2.1d	Letter of Amendment, dated as of November 8, 2002, between Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P., Enstar Income Program IV-3, L.P., Enstar Income Program 1984-1, L.P., Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P., Enstar VII, L.P., Enstar VIII, L.P., Enstar X, L.P., Enstar XI, L.P., Enstar IV/PBD Systems Venture and Enstar Cable of Cumberland Valley and Telecommunications Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the current report on Form 8-K of Enstar Income/Growth Program Five-A, L.P. filed on June 9, 2003 (File No. 000-16779)).
31.1	Certificate of Chief Administrative Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) under the

- Securities Exchange Act of 1934. \*
- 31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. \*
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Administrative Officer). \*
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Principal Financial Officer). \*

\* filed herewith

***(b) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K***

On April 25, 2003 the registrant filed a current report on Form 8-K dated April 24, 2003 to announce it had entered into a side letter amending an asset purchase agreement.

On June 9, 2003 the registrant filed a current report on Form 8-K dated November 8, 2002 to announce it had entered into a side letter amending an asset purchase agreement.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ENSTAR INCOME/GROWTH PROGRAM SIX-A, L.P.

By: ENSTAR COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION  
Corporate General Partner

Date: August 13, 2003

By: /s/ Paul E. Martin  
Name: Paul E. Martin  
Title: Senior Vice President and Corporate  
Controller (Principal Financial Officer and  
Principal Accounting Officer)

## ***EXHIBIT INDEX***

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description of Document</u>
2.1a	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated November 8, 2002, by and among Telecommunications Management, LLC and Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P., Enstar Income Program IV-3, L.P., Enstar Income Program 1984-1, L.P., Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P., Enstar VII, L.P., Enstar VIII, L.P., Enstar X, L.P., Enstar XI, L.P., Enstar IV/PBD Systems Venture and Enstar Cable of Cumberland Valley (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the quarterly report of Form 10-Q of Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P. filed on November 12, 2002 (File No. 000-14505)).
2.1b	Letter of Amendment, dated as of February 6, 2003, between Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P., Enstar Income Program IV-3, L.P., Enstar Income Program 1984-1, L.P., Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P., Enstar VII, L.P., Enstar VIII, L.P., Enstar X, L.P., Enstar XI, L.P., Enstar IV/PBD Systems Venture and Enstar Cable of Cumberland Valley and Telecommunications Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the current report on Form 8-K of Enstar Income/Growth Program Five-A, L.P. filed on February 14, 2003 (File No. 000-16779)).
2.1c	Letter of Amendment, dated as of April 24, 2003, between Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P., Enstar Income Program IV-3, L.P., Enstar Income Program 1984-1, L.P., Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P., Enstar VII, L.P., Enstar VIII, L.P., Enstar X, L.P., Enstar XI, L.P., Enstar IV/PBD Systems Venture and Enstar Cable of Cumberland Valley and Telecommunications Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the current report on Form 8-K of Enstar Income/Growth Program Five-A, L.P. filed on April 25, 2003 (File No. 000-16779)). Letter of Amendment, dated as of November 8, 2002, between Enstar Income Program II-2, L.P., Enstar Income Program IV-3, L.P., Enstar Income Program 1984-1, L.P., Enstar Income/Growth Program Six-A, L.P., Enstar VII, L.P., Enstar VIII, L.P., Enstar X, L.P., Enstar XI, L.P., Enstar IV/PBD Systems Venture and Enstar Cable of Cumberland Valley and Telecommunications Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the current report on Form 8-K of Enstar Income/Growth Program Five-A, L.P. filed on June 30, 2003 (File No. 000-16779)).
31.1	Certificate of Chief Administrative Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. *
31.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. *
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Administrative Officer). *
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Principal Financial Officer). *

\* filed herewith