UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

/X/ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 OR / / TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from ______ to ___ Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter, I.R.S. state of incorporation, **Employer** address of principal executive offices, zip code Identification Commission File Number telephone number Number PugetEnergy ____ 1-16305 91-1969407 PUGET ENERGY, INC. A Washington Corporation 10885 NE 4th Street, Suite 1200 Bellevue, Washington 98004-5591 (425) 454-6363 **PUGET SOUND ENERGY** The Energy To Do Great Things 1-4393 91-0374630 PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC. A Washington Corporation 10885 NE 4th Street, Suite 1200 Bellevue, Washington 98004-5591 (425) 454-6363 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark i Puget Energy, Inc.	f the registrant is Yes //	a well-kno No /X		ed issuer, as de Puget Sound			ities Ac	t. No	/ /
Indicate by check mark i Puget Energy, Inc.	f the registrant is Yes //	not require No /X		eports pursuant Puget Sound			of the A	Act. No	/X/
Indicate by check mark	· ·							•	
Exchange Act of 1934 during				•	d that the registrants	were req	uired to	file suc	h reports),
and (2) have been subject to s Puget Energy, Inc.	Yes /X/	ments for t	•		Energy, Inc.	Yes	/X/	No	/ /
Indicate by check mark	whether the reg	istrants ha	ve submit	ted electronica	lly and posted on its	s corpora	te webs	sites, if	any, every
Interactive Data File required	to be submitted	and posted	l pursuant	to Rule 405 of	Regulation S-T duri	ng the pr	eceding	12 mon	ths (or for
such shorter period that the re Puget Energy, Inc.	gistrant was requi	ired to pos No /			Energy, Inc.	Yes	/X/	No	/ /
Indicate by check mark is be contained, to the best of ruthis Form 10-K or any amend	egistrant's knowl	edge, in d	efinitive p		-				
Indicate by check mark	whether registrant	t is a large	accelerate	d filer, an acce	lerated filer, or a non	-accelera	ted filer	. See de	efinition of
"accelerated filer and large ac	celerated filer" in	Rule 12b-	2 of the E	xchange Act.					
Puget Energy, Inc.	Large accelerate filer		/ Accele		Non-accelerated filer	/X/	Small	er report anv	ing / /
Puget Sound Energy, Inc.	Large accelerate filer	ed /	/ Accele	erated //	Non-accelerated filer	/X/		er report	ing / /
Indicate by check mark v	whether the registr	rant is a sh	ell compai	ny (as defined i	n Rule 12b-2 of the A	Act).			
Puget Energy, Inc.	Yes //	No /X	/	Puget Sound	Energy, Inc.	Yes	/ /	No	/X/
As of February 6, 2009,	all of the outstand	ding share	s of voting	stock of Puget	t Energy, Inc. are hel	d by Puge	et Equic	o LLC,	an indirect
wholly-owned subsidiary of F	Puget Holdings LI	LC.							

All of the outstanding shares of voting stock of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. are held by Puget Energy, Inc.

This Report on Form 10-K is a combined report being filed separately by: Puget Energy, Inc. and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Puget Sound Energy, Inc. makes no representation as to the information contained in this report relating to Puget Energy, Inc. and the subsidiaries of Puget Energy, Inc. other than Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

INDEX

D.C		FAGE
Definition Forward	Looking Statements	6
Part I	Looking Statements	
1.	Business	8
	General	8
	Regulation and Rates	9
	Electric Utility Operating Statistics	12
	Electric Supply	14
	Natural Gas Utility Operating Statistics	18
	Natural Gas Supply for Natural Gas Customers	18
	Energy Efficiency	21
	Environment	21
	Recent and Future Environmental Law and Regulation	22
	Executive Officers of the Registrants	25
1A.	Risk Factors	26
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	31
2.	Properties	31
3.	Legal Proceedings	32
4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	32
Part II		
5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	33
6.	Selected Financial Data	34
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	35
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	60
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	65
	Report of Management and Statement of Responsibility	67
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Puget Energy	68
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Puget Energy (Predecessor)	69
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Puget Sound Energy	70
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	139
9A.	Controls and Procedures	139
9B.	Other Information	140
Part III		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	141
11.	Executive Compensation	144
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters	171
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	172
14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	174
Part IV		
15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	174
	Signatures	175
	Exhibit Index	177

DEFINITIONS

NOAA

AFUDC Allowance for Funds Used During Construction

aMW Average Megawatt

ASC Accounting Standards Codification
ASU Accounting Standards Update
BPA Bonneville Power Administration

Colstrip, Montana coal-fired steam electric generation

facility

Dth Dekatherm (one Dth is equal to one MMBtu)
EBITDA Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and

Amortization

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

GHG Greenhouse gases

Goldendale Goldendale electric generating facility

IRP Integrated Resource Plan
IRS Internal Revenue Service

kWh Kilowatt Hour (one kWh equals one thousand watt hours)

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

LNG Liquefied Natural Gas
LTI Plan Long-Term Incentive Plan

Mint Farm Mint Farm Electric Generating Station

MMBtu One Million British Thermal Units

MW Megawatt (one MW equals one thousand kW)

MWh Megawatt Hour (one MWh equals one thousand kWh)

NERC North American Electric Reliability Corporation

Vinth Circuit United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

NPNS Normal Purchase Normal Sale

NWP Northwest Pipeline GP

NYSE New York Stock Exchange

OCI Other Comprehensive Income

PCA Power Cost Adjustment
PCORC Power Cost Only Rate Case
PGA Purchased Gas Adjustment
PSE Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
PTC Production Tax Credit

PUDs Washington Public Utility Districts

Puget Energy Puget Energy, Inc.

Puget Equico Puget Equico LLC

Puget Holdings Puget Holdings LLC

PURPA Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act

REC Renewable Energy Credit

REP Residential Exchange Program

SEC United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Tenaska Tenaska Power Fund, L.P.
VIE Variable Interest Entity

Washington Commission Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

Wild Horse wind project

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Puget Energy, Inc. (Puget Energy) and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (PSE) include the following cautionary statements in this Form 10-K to make applicable and to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for any forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of Puget Energy or PSE. This report includes forward-looking statements, which are statements of expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives and assumptions of future events or performance. Words or phrases such as "anticipates," "believes," "continues," "could," "estimates," "expects," "future," "intends," "may," "might," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "projects," "should," "will likely result," "will continue" or similar expressions are intended to identify certain of these forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements reflect current expectations and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed. Puget Energy's and PSE's expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and are believed by Puget Energy and PSE, as applicable, to have a reasonable basis, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends, data contained in records and other data available from third parties. However, there can be no assurance that Puget Energy's and PSE's expectations, beliefs or projections will be achieved or accomplished. Puget Energy and PSE are collectively referred to herein as "the Company."

In addition to other factors and matters discussed elsewhere in this report, some important factors that could cause actual results or outcomes for Puget Energy and PSE to differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements include:

- Governmental policies and regulatory actions, including those of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Washington Commission), with respect to allowed rates of return, cost recovery, financing, industry and rate structures, transmission and generation business structures within PSE, acquisition and disposal of assets and facilities, operation, maintenance and construction of electric generating facilities, natural gas and electric distribution and transmission facilities, licensing of hydroelectric operations and natural gas storage facilities, recovery of other capital investments, recovery of power and natural gas costs, recovery of regulatory assets, implementation of energy efficiency programs and present or prospective wholesale and retail competition;
- Failure of PSE to comply with the FERC or the Washington Commission standards and/or rules, which could result in penalties based on the discretion of either commission;
- Findings of noncompliance with electric reliability standards developed by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) or the Western Electricity Coordinating Council for users, owners and operators of the power system, which could result in penalties;
- Changes in, adoption of and compliance with laws and regulations, including decisions and policies concerning the environment, climate change, greenhouse gas or other emissions or byproducts of electric generation (including coal ash or other substances), natural resources, and fish and wildlife (including the Endangered Species Act) as well as the risk of litigation arising from such matters, whether involving public or private claimants or regulatory investigative or enforcement measures;
- The ability to recover costs arising from changes in enacted federal, state or local tax laws in a timely manner;
- Changes in tax law, related regulations or differing interpretation or enforcement of applicable law by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) or other taxing jurisdiction;
- Inability to realize deferred tax assets and use production tax credits (PTCs) due to insufficient future taxable income;
- Accidents or natural disasters, such as hurricanes, windstorms, earthquakes, floods, fires and landslides, which can
 interrupt service and lead to lost revenue, cause temporary supply disruptions and/or price spikes in the cost of fuel
 and raw materials and impose extraordinary costs;
- Commodity price risks associated with procuring natural gas and power in wholesale markets or counterparties extending credit to PSE without collateral posting requirements;
- Wholesale market disruption, which may result in a deterioration of market liquidity, increase the risk of counterparty default, affect the regulatory and legislative process in unpredictable ways, negatively affect wholesale energy prices and/or impede PSE's ability to manage its energy portfolio risks and procure energy supply, affect the availability and access to capital and credit markets and/or impact delivery of energy to PSE from its suppliers;
- Financial difficulties of other energy companies and related events, which may affect the regulatory and legislative process in unpredictable ways, adversely affect the availability of and access to capital and credit markets and/or impact delivery of energy to PSE from its suppliers;
- The effect of wholesale market structures (including, but not limited to, regional market designs or transmission organizations) or other related federal initiatives;
- PSE electric or natural gas distribution system failure, which may impact PSE's ability to deliver energy supply to its customers;

- Changes in climate or weather conditions in the Pacific Northwest, which could have effects on customer usage and PSE's revenue and expenses;
- Regional or national weather, which can have a potentially serious impact on PSE's ability to procure adequate supplies of natural gas, fuel or purchased power to serve its customers and on the cost of procuring such supplies;
- Variable hydrological conditions, which can impact streamflow and PSE's ability to generate electricity from hydroelectric facilities;
- Electric plant generation and transmission system outages, which can have an adverse impact on PSE's expenses with respect to repair costs, added costs to replace energy or higher costs associated with dispatching a more expensive generation resource;
- The ability of a natural gas or electric plant to operate as intended;
- The ability to renew contracts for electric and natural gas supply and the price of renewal;
- Blackouts or large curtailments of transmission systems, whether PSE's or others', which can affect PSE's ability to deliver power or natural gas to its customers and generating facilities;
- The ability to restart generation following a regional transmission disruption;
- The failure of the interstate natural gas pipeline delivering to PSE's system, which may impact PSE's ability to adequately deliver natural gas supply or electric power to its customers;
- Industrial, commercial and residential growth and demographic patterns in the service territories of PSE;
- General economic conditions in the Pacific Northwest, which may impact customer consumption or affect PSE's accounts receivable:
- The loss of significant customers, changes in the business of significant customers or the condemnation of PSE's facilities, which may result in changes in demand for PSE's services;
- The failure of information systems or the failure to secure information system data, which may impact the operations and cost of PSE's customer service, generation, distribution and transmission;
- The impact of acts of God, terrorism, flu pandemic or similar significant events;
- Capital market conditions, including changes in the availability of capital and interest rate fluctuations;
- Employee workforce factors, including strikes, work stoppages, availability of qualified employees or the loss of a key executive;
- The ability to obtain insurance coverage and the cost of such insurance;
- The ability to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting and operational processes;
- Changes in Puget Energy's or PSE's credit ratings, which may have an adverse impact on the availability and cost of capital for Puget Energy or PSE generally, or the failure to comply with the covenants in Puget Energy's or PSE's credit facilities, which would limit the Companies' ability to utilize such facilities for capital; and
- Deteriorating values of the equity, fixed income and other markets which could significantly impact the value of investments of PSE's retirement plan, post-retirement medical benefit plan trusts and the funding of obligations thereunder.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and, except as required by law, Puget Energy and PSE undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such factors, nor can it assess the impact of any such factor on the business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. You are also advised to consult the reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as Item 1A - "Risk Factors" on this Form 10-K.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Puget Energy is an energy services holding company incorporated in the state of Washington in 1999. All of its operations are conducted through its subsidiary, PSE, a utility company. Puget Energy has no significant assets other than the stock of PSE.

On February 6, 2009, Puget Holdings LLC (Puget Holdings) completed its merger with Puget Energy. Puget Holdings is a consortium of long-term infrastructure investors including Macquarie Infrastructure Partners I, Macquarie Infrastructure Partners II, Macquarie Capital Group Limited, Macquarie-FSS Infrastructure Trust, the Canada Pension Plan Investment Board (CPPIB), the British Columbia Investment Management Corporation and the Alberta Investment Management Corporation. As a result of the merger, all of Puget Energy's common stock is indirectly owned by Puget Holdings.

CORPORATE STRATEGY

Puget Energy is the direct parent company of PSE, the oldest and largest electric and natural gas utility headquartered in the state of Washington, primarily engaged in the business of electric transmission, distribution, generation and natural gas distribution. Puget Energy's business strategy is to generate stable earnings and cash flow by offering reliable electric and natural gas service in a cost-effective manner through PSE.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

PSE is a public utility incorporated in the state of Washington in 1960. PSE furnishes electric and natural gas service in a territory covering approximately 6,000 square miles, principally in the Puget Sound region.

The following table presents the number of PSE customers as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	ELECTRIC				GAS	
	DECEMBER 31		PERCENT	PERCENT DECEMBER 31		PERCENT
	2011	2010	CHANGE	2011	2010	CHANGE
Customers: 1						_
Residential	959,547	954,898	0.5%	704,134	696,988	1.0%
Commercial	119,610	118,706	0.8	54,106	53,981	0.2
Industrial	3,622	3,637	(0.4)	2,475	2,498	(0.9)
Other	3,503	3,451	1.5	180	169	6.5
Total	1,086,282	1,080,692	0.5%	760,895	753,636	1.0%

At December 31, 2011 approximately 379,874 customers purchased both electricity and natural gas from PSE.

During 2011, PSE's billed retail and transportation revenue from electric utility operations were derived 53.5% from residential customers, 40.0% from commercial customers, 5.1% from industrial customers and 1.4% from other customers. PSE's retail revenue from natural gas utility operations were derived 65.9% from residential customers, 29.8% from commercial customers, 3.0% from industrial customers and 1.3% from transportation customers in 2011. During this period, the largest customer accounted for approximately 1.6% of PSE's operating revenue.

PSE is affected by various seasonal weather patterns and therefore, utility revenue and associated expenses are not generated evenly during the year. Energy usage varies seasonally and monthly, primarily as a result of weather conditions. PSE experiences its highest retail energy sales in the first and fourth quarters of the year. Sales of electricity to wholesale customers also vary by quarter and year depending principally upon fundamental market factors and weather conditions. PSE has a Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) mechanism in retail natural gas rates to recover variations in natural gas supply and transportation costs. PSE also has a Power Cost Adjustment (PCA) mechanism in retail electric rates to recover variations in electricity costs on a shared basis with customers.

In the five-year period ended December 31, 2011, PSE's gross electric utility plant additions were \$3.6 billion and retirements were \$383.3 million. In the same five-year period, PSE's gross natural gas utility plant additions were \$839.0

million and retirements were \$125.0 million and PSE's gross common utility plant additions were \$342.7 million and retirements were \$290.3 million. Gross electric utility plant at December 31, 2011 was approximately \$8.4 billion, which consisted of 43.0% distribution, 31.1% generation, 6.2% transmission and 19.7% general plant and other. Gross natural gas utility plant at December 31, 2011 was approximately \$2.9 billion, which consisted of 93.7% distribution and 6.3% general plant and other. Gross common utility general and intangible plant at December 31, 2011 was approximately \$518.3 million.

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had no employees and PSE had approximately 2,800 full-time employees. Approximately 1,240 PSE employees are represented by the United Association of Plumbers and Pipefitters (UA) and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Union (IBEW). The current contracts with the UA and the IBEW expire September 30, 2013 and March 31, 2014, respectively.

CORPORATE LOCATION

Puget Energy's and PSE's principal executive offices are located at 10885 NE 4th Street, Suite 1200, Bellevue, Washington 98004 and the telephone number is (425) 454-6363.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The information required by Item 101(e) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference to the material under "Additional Information" in Item 10 Part III of this annual report.

REGULATION AND RATES

PSE is subject to the regulatory authority of: (1) the FERC with respect to the transmission of electricity, the sale of electricity at wholesale, accounting and certain other matters; and (2) the Washington Commission as to retail rates, accounting, the issuance of securities and certain other matters. PSE also must comply with mandatory electric system reliability standards developed by the NERC, the electric reliability organization certified by the FERC, which standards are enforced by the Western Electricity Coordinating Council in PSE's operating territory.

FERC TRANSMISSION RATE FILING

On January 6, 2012, PSE filed an electric transmission rate case with FERC as well as an increase in ancillary service charges. PSE is requesting a rate increase of \$3.8 million with an effective date of April 1, 2012. In the filing, PSE requested a formula transmission rate for network and point-to-point transmission service. A formula rate is a fixed methodology for calculating a rate based upon various cost and billing determinant inputs to recover the operating costs of the transmission system. The formula rate is updated annually and posted on PSE's Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS) with an informational filing to FERC. This streamlined process allows PSE to recover its costs on a timely basis, provides for a transparent process with transmission customers and seeks to ensure that there is no under or over collection. Formula transmission rates are encouraged and broadly accepted by FERC.

ELECTRIC REGULATION AND RATES

Electric Rate Case. On June 13, 2011, PSE filed a general rate increase with the Washington Commission which proposed an increase in electric rates of \$160.7 million or 8.1%, to be effective May 2012. PSE requested a weighted cost of capital of 8.42%, or 7.29% after-tax, and a capital structure of 48.0% in common equity with a return on equity of 10.8%. The filing also proposes a conservation savings adjustment mechanism related to energy efficiency services for business and residential customers. On September 1, 2011, PSE filed supplemental testimony to adjust the electric rate increase to \$152.3 million, a 7.7% increase to rates, due to changes in projected power costs. On January 17, 2012, PSE filed rebuttal testimony which included a reduction to the requested electric rate increase to \$126.0 million. The \$26.3 million reduction was primarily due to updates to power costs and to a change to the weighted cost of capital to 8.26%, or 7.17% after-tax, which included a change to the return on equity to 10.75%. Hearings related to this matter were held on February 14 through 17, 2012.

The Washington Commission issued an order in 2010 relating to how Renewable Energy Credit (REC) proceeds should be handled for regulatory accounting and ratemaking purposes. The order required REC proceeds to be recorded as regulatory liabilities and that amounts recorded would accrue interest. In its petition, PSE had sought approval for \$21.1

million of REC proceeds to be used as an offset against its California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset. In response to the order, PSE adjusted the carrying value of its regulatory asset in the second quarter of 2010 by \$17.8 million (from \$21.1 million to \$3.3 million), with the \$3.3 million then offset against the Company's RECs regulatory liability. The Company's California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset represented unpaid bills for power sold into the markets maintained by the California Independent System Operator during the 2000-2001 California Energy Crisis, the claims of which were settled along with all counterclaims against PSE in a settlement agreement approved by the FERC on July 1, 2009.

On May 20, 2010, PSE filed an accounting petition requesting that the Washington Commission approve: (1) the creation of a regulatory asset account for the prepayments made to the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) associated with network upgrades to the Central Ferry substation related to the Lower Snake River wind project; (2) the monthly accrual of carrying charges on that regulatory asset at PSE's approved net of tax rate of return; and (3) the ability to provide customers the BPA interest received through a reduction to transmission expense. The petition is still pending approval by the Washington Commission.

Effective July 1, 2010, the Washington Commission approved a change in PSE's PTC tariff as PSE has not been able to utilize PTCs since 2007, due to insufficient taxable income caused primarily by bonus tax depreciation. The Washington Commission approved PSE suspending its PTC tariff, effective July 1, 2010. This resulted in an overall increase in PSE's electric rates of 1.7%, with no impact to net income.

On September 22, 2010, a joint proposal and accounting petition was filed with the Washington Commission by PSE, Washington Commission Staff and Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities which addressed how to recover PTCs provided to customers that have not been utilized and addresses REC proceeds to be returned to customers. On October 26, 2010, the Washington Commission issued an order granting the joint proposal and accounting petition. The order allows the Company to credit customers for REC revenue received and deferred through November 2009. This credit reduced rates by \$27.7 million, or 2.9%, over five months beginning November 2010 through March 2011. RECs received after November 2009 will be retained by PSE and will be used to recapture the benefit of PTCs previously provided to customers. Once these PTCs are utilized by PSE on its tax return, the customers will receive the benefit. There is no impact to net income related to these items.

On December 30, 2010, the Washington Commission approved revisions to PSE's PTC tariff, effective January 1, 2011, which changed the methodology by which PTCs are passed-through to customers. Due to the uncertainty of realizing the benefit of PTCs, the PTCs will pass-through to customers following the year in which they are able to be utilized on PSE's tax return, rather than in the same year in which they are generated by qualifying wind powered facilities. The rate schedule will pass-through \$5.5 million of the \$28.7 million treasury grant in 2011. The Washington Commission order authorized PSE to pass back one-tenth of the treasury grant on an annual basis and includes 23 months of treasury grant amortization to customers from February 2010 through December 2011, which represents the month the treasury grant funds were received through the end of the period over which the rates will be set. This represents an overall average rate reduction of 0.3%, with no impact to net income. Since the tariff now addresses additional federal incentives, it has been renamed the Federal Incentive Tracker.

The following table sets forth electric rate adjustments approved by the Washington Commission and the corresponding impact on PSE's revenue based on the effective dates:

		AVERAGE	
		PERCENTAGE	INCREASE (DECREASE)
TYPE OF RATE		INCREASE (DECREASE)	IN REVENUE
ADJUSTMENT	EFFECTIVE DATE	IN RATES	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)
Renewable Energy Credit	November 1, 2010 –		
Proceeds	March 31, 2011	(2.9)%	\$ (27.7)
Electric General Rate Case	April 8, 2010, Annual	3.7	74.1

NATURAL GAS REGULATION AND RATES

Natural Gas Rate Case. On June 13, 2011, PSE filed a general rate increase with the Washington Commission which proposed an increase in natural gas rates of \$31.9 million or 3.0%, to be effective May 2012. PSE requested a weighted cost of capital of 8.42%, or 7.29% after-tax, and a capital structure of 48.0% in common equity with a return on equity of 10.8%. The filing also proposes a conservation savings adjustment mechanism related to energy efficiency services for business and residential customers. On January 17, 2012, PSE filed rebuttal testimony which included a reduction to the requested natural

gas rate increase to \$28.6 million. The \$3.3 million reduction was primarily due to a change to the weighted cost of capital to 8.26%, or 7.17% after-tax, which included a change to the return on equity to 10.75%. Hearings related to this matter were held on February 14 through 17, 2012.

On March 14, 2011, the Washington Commission issued its order authorizing PSE to increase its natural gas general tariff rates by \$19.0 million or 1.8% on an annual basis effective April 1, 2011.

On April 26, 2011, PSE filed a new tariff for a Natural Gas Pipeline Integrity Program. This program is intended to enhance pipeline safety by providing for the timely recovery of the Company's cost to replace certain natural gas system infrastructure that would emphasize system reliability, integrity and safety which would increase natural gas revenue by \$1.9 million or 0.2%. The Washington Commission held a hearing on November 17, 2011 and an order from the Washington Commission is pending.

On October 27, 2011, the Washington Commission approved PSE's PGA natural gas tariff filing effective November 1, 2011, to decrease the rates charged to customers under the PGA. The estimated revenue impact of the approved charge is a decrease of \$43.5 million, or 4.3% annually. The rate adjustment has no impact on PSE's net income.

PSE has a PGA mechanism in retail natural gas rates to recover variations in natural gas supply and transportation costs. Variations in natural gas rates are passed through to customers; therefore, PSE's net income is not affected by such variations. Changes in the PGA rates affect PSE's revenue, but do not impact net income as the changes to revenue are offset by increased or decreased purchased gas and gas transportation costs.

The following table sets forth natural gas rate adjustments approved by the Washington Commission and the corresponding impact on PSE's annual revenue based on the effective dates:

		AVERAGE PERCENTAGE	Annual Increase (Decrease)
TYPE OF RATE		INCREASE (DECREASE)	IN REVENUE
ADJUSTMENT	EFFECTIVE DATE	IN RATES	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)
Purchased Gas Adjustment	November 1, 2011	(4.3)%	\$ (43.5)
Natural Gas General Tariff			
Adjustment	April 1, 2011	1.8	19.0
Purchased Gas Adjustment	November 1, 2010 – October 31, 2011	1.9	18.3
Natural Gas General Rate			
Case	April 8, 2010	0.8	10.1
Purchased Gas Adjustment	October 1, 2009 – October 31, 2010	(17.1)	(198.1)
Purchased Gas Adjustment	June 1, 2009 – May 31, 2010	(1.8)	(21.2)
Purchased Gas Adjustment	October 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009	11.1	108.8

ELECTRIC UTILITY OPERATING STATISTICS

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Generation and purchased power, MWh				
Company-controlled resources	7,881,574	11,220,935	10,748,523	
Contracted resources	8,503,356	8,188,156	8,285,761	
Non-firm energy purchased	8,586,066	5,683,635	6,935,600	
Total generation and purchased power	24,970,996	25,092,726	25,969,884	
Less: losses and Company use	(1,655,797)	(1,685,890)	(1,568,372)	
Total energy sales, MWh	23,315,199	23,406,836	24,401,512	
Electric energy sales, MWh				
Residential	11,045,115	10,672,887	11,163,371	
Commercial	9,181,261	9,100,518	9,488,763	
Industrial	1,214,232	1,160,588	1,148,060	
Other customers	101,617	99,679	103,537	
Total energy billed to customers	21,542,225	21,033,672	21,903,731	
Unbilled energy sales – net (decrease) increase	(38,355)	(125,288)	(29,652)	
Total energy sales to customers	21,503,870	20,908,384	21,874,079	
Sales to other utilities and marketers	1,811,328	2,498,452	2,527,433	
Total energy sales, MWh	23,315,198	23,406,836	24,401,512	
Transportation, including unbilled	2,008,542	1,954,913	2,030,110	
Electric energy sales and transportation, MWh	25,323,740	25,361,749	26,431,622	
Electric operating revenue by classes				
(dollars in thousands):				
Residential	\$ 1,144,165	\$ 1,078,262	\$ 1,067,274	
Commercial	853,880	836,957	838,275	
Industrial	108,247	103,678	99,552	
Other customers	19,122	18,694	18,392	
Operating revenue billed to customers	2,125,414	2,037,591	2,023,493	
Unbilled revenue – net (decrease) increase	(1,471)	(5,907)	(1,968)	
Total operating revenue from customers	2,123,943	2,031,684	2,021,525	
Transportation, including unbilled	10,275	11,000	10,623	
Sales to other utilities and marketers	45,725	62,943	78,471	
Miscellaneous operating revenue	(32,723)	1,842	(11,883)	
Total electric operating revenue	\$ 2,147,220	\$ 2,107,469	\$ 2,098,736	
Number of customers served (average):				
Residential	957,205	952,803	947,299	
Commercial	119,266	118,595	118,423	
Industrial	3,633	3,660	3,695	
Other	3,462	3,426	3,403	
Transportation	17	17	17	
Total customers	1,083,583	1,078,501	1,072,837	

YEAR ENDED	DECEMBER	31,
------------	----------	-----

	2011	2010	2009
Average kWh used per customer:			_
Residential	11,539	11,202	11,784
Commercial	76,981	76,736	80,126
Industrial	334,223	317,100	310,706
Other	29,352	29,095	30,425
Average revenue billed per customer:			
Residential	\$ 1,195	\$ 1,132	\$ 1,127
Commercial	7,159	7,057	7,079
Industrial	29,795	28,327	26,942
Other	5,523	5,457	5,405
Average retail revenue per kWh sold:			_
Residential	\$ 0.1036	\$ 0.1010	\$ 0.0956
Commercial	0.0930	0.0920	0.0883
Industrial	0.0891	0.0893	0.0867
Other	0.1882	0.1875	0.1776
Average retail revenue per kWh sold	0.0982	0.0969	0.0924
Heating degree days	5,146	4,549	4,897
Percent of normal – NOAA ¹ 30-year average	107.3%	94.8%	102.1%
Load factor ²	61.2%	56.7%	54.5%

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

² Average usage by customers divided by their maximum usage.

ELECTRIC SUPPLY

At December 31, 2011, PSE's electric power resources, which include company-owned or controlled resources as well as those under long-term contract, had a total capacity of approximately 4,707 megawatts (MW). PSE's historical peak load of approximately 4,912 MW occurred on December 10, 2009. In order to meet an extreme winter peak load, PSE may supplement its electric power resources with winter-peaking call options and other instruments that may include, but are not limited to, weather-related hedges. When it is more economical for PSE to purchase power than to operate its own generation facilities, PSE will purchase spot market energy.

The following table shows PSE's electric energy supply resources and energy production for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	PEAK POWER RESOURCES			ENERGY PRODUCTION				
_	AT DECEMBER 31			AT DECEMBER 31				
	20	11	20	010	2011		2010)
	MW	%	MW	%	MWh	%	MWh	%
Purchased resources:								
Columbia River PUD contracts ¹	843	17.8%	1,027	19.4%	5,610,424	24.2%	4,330,176	19.2%
Other hydroelectric ²	145	3.1	145	2.7	655,371	2.8	635,996	2.8
Other producers ²	752	16.0	1,170	22.0	2,104,612	9.1	3,101,364	13.7
Wind	50	1.1	50	0.9	132,950	0.6	120,632	0.5
Short-term wholesale energy purchases ³	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6,774,737	29.3	3,185,183	14.1
Total purchased	1,790	38.0%	2,392	45.0%	15,278,094	66.0%	11,373,351	50.3%
Company-controlled resources:								
Hydroelectric	192	4.1%	192	3.6%	683,977	3.0%	929,595	4.1%
Coal	677	14.4	677	12.7	4,210,583	18.1	5,198,105	23.0
Natural gas/oil	1,618	34.4	1,627	30.6	1,823,138	7.9	4,102,298	18.2
Wind	430	9.1	430	8.1	1,163,876	5.0	990,925	4.4
Total company-controlled	2,917	62.0%	2,926	55.0%	7,881,574	34.0%	11,220,923	49.7%
Total	4,707	100.0%	5,318	100.0%	23,159,668	100.0%	22,594,274	100.0%

Net of 59 MW of capacity delivered to Canada pursuant to the provisions of a treaty between Canada and the United States and Canadian Entitlement Allocation agreements.

Power received from other utilities and firm contracts are classified between hydroelectric and other producers based on the character of the utility system used to supply the power or, if the power is supplied from a particular resource, the character of that resource.

³ Short-term wholesale purchases, net of resale, of 1,811,328 megawatt hours (MWh) and 2,498,452 MWh account for 29.3% and 14.1% of energy production, for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

COMPANY - OWNED ELECTRIC GENERATION RESOURCES

At December 31, 2011, PSE owns or controls the following plants with an aggregate net generating capacity of 2,917 MW:

		NET MAXIMUM	
PLANT NAME	PLANT TYPE	CAPACITY (MW) ¹	YEAR INSTALLED
Colstrip Units 3 & 4 (25% interest)	Coal	370	1984 & 1986
Colstrip Units 1 & 2 (50% interest)	Coal	307	1975 & 1976
Mint Farm	Natural gas combined cycle	297	2007
Goldendale	Natural gas combined cycle	278	2004
Frederickson Unit 1 (49.85% interest)	Natural gas combined cycle	136	2002; added duct
			firing in 2005
Wild Horse	Wind	273	2006; added 22
			turbines in 2009
Hopkins Ridge	Wind	157	2005; added 4
			turbines in 2008
Fredonia Units 1 & 2	Dual-fuel combustion turbines	207	1984
Frederickson Units 1 & 2	Dual-fuel combustion turbines	149	1981
Whitehorn Units 2 & 3	Dual-fuel combustion turbines	149	1981
Fredonia Units 3 & 4	Dual-fuel combustion turbines	107	2001
Encogen	Natural gas cogeneration	165	1993
Sumas	Natural gas cogeneration	127	1993
Upper Baker River ²	Hydroelectric	91	1959
Lower Baker River ²	Hydroelectric	79	1925; reconstructed
			1960; upgraded 2001
Snoqualmie Falls ³	Hydroelectric		1898 to 1911 &
			1957; currently no
			output due to rebuild
Electron ⁴	Hydroelectric	22	1904 to 1929
Crystal Mountain	Internal combustion	3	1969
Total net capacity		2,917	

Net Maximum Capacity is the capacity a unit can sustain over a specified period of time when not restricted by ambient conditions or deratings, less the losses associated with auxiliary loads.

The FERC jurisdictional facility, operated pursuant to 50-year license granted by the FERC in October 2008, will require net present value funds between \$305.0 million to \$325.0 million for capital expenditures and operations and maintenance costs over 50 years in order to implement the license conditions. The license provides protection and enhancements for fish and wildlife, water quality, recreation and cultural and historic resources.

The FERC jurisdictional facility, operated pursuant to 40-year license granted by the FERC in June 2004, will require net present value funds between \$240.0 million to \$260.0 million for capital expenditures and operations and maintenance costs over 40 years in order to implement the license conditions. Snoqualmie Falls will have partial output upon completion of powerhouse 2 anticipated for March 2013. The plant is expected to be fully operational and provide a net maximum capacity of approximately 54 MW upon completion of powerhouse 1 expected in the second quarter of 2013.

⁴ At December 31, 2011, Electron project output is limited to approximately 7 MW due to the condition of the flume that conveys water to the plant. This limitation is expected through at least late 2013.

COLUMBIA RIVER ELECTRIC ENERGY SUPPLY CONTRACTS

During 2011, approximately 24.2% of PSE's energy requirement was obtained through long-term contracts with three Washington Public Utility Districts (PUDs) that own and operate hydroelectric projects on the Columbia River. PSE agrees to pay a share of the annual debt service, operating and maintenance costs and other expenses associated with each project in proportion to its share of projected output. PSE's payments are not contingent upon the projects being operable.

As of December 31, 2011, PSE was entitled to purchase portions of the power output of the PUDs' projects as set forth below:

COMPANY'S ANNUAL

		_	PURCHASABLE AMOUNT (APPROXIMATE)	
	CONTRACT	LICENSE		
	EXPIRATION	EXPIRATION	PERCENT OF	MEGAWATT
PROJECT	Year	Year	OUTPUT	CAPACITY
Chelan County PUD: 1				
Rock Island Project	2012	2029	50.0%	312
Rocky Reach Project	2031	2052	25.0%	325
Douglas County PUD: ²				
Wells Project	2018	2012	29.9%	251
Grant County PUD: ³				
Priest Rapids Development	2052	2052	0.8%	7
Wanapum Development	2052	2052	0.8%	7
Total				902

On February 3, 2006, PSE and Chelan entered into a new Power Sales Agreement and a related Transmission Agreement for 25.0% of the output of Chelan's Rocky Reach and Rock Island hydroelectric generating facilities, located on the mid-Columbia River, in exchange for PSE paying 25.0% of the operating costs of the facilities. The agreements terminate in 2031 and provide that PSE will begin to receive power upon expiration of PSE's existing long-term contracts with Chelan for the Rocky Reach and Rock Island output (expiring in 2011 and 2012, respectively). PSE made a non-refundable capacity reservation payment of \$89.0 million as required by the agreements. The Washington Commission determined the prudence of PSE entering into the new Chelan contracts and confirmed the treatment of the \$89.0 million as a regulatory asset as part of its order in PSE's general rate case on January 5, 2007.

OTHER ELECTRIC SUPPLY, EXCHANGE AND TRANSMISSION CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

PSE purchases electric energy under long-term firm purchased power contracts with other utilities and marketers in the Western region. PSE is generally not obligated to make payments under these contracts unless power is delivered. PSE has seasonal energy and capacity exchange agreements with the BPA (for 42 average megawatts (aMW) of capacity) and with Pacific Gas & Electric Company (for 300 MW of capacity).

Pursuant to the provisions of the federal Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA) and Washington state regulations, PSE also enters into long-term firm purchased power contracts with non-utility generators. PSE purchases the net electrical output of these projects at fixed and annually escalating prices, intended to approximate PSE's avoided cost of new generation projected at the time these agreements were made.

During 2011, PSE had agreements with March Point Cogeneration Company for 140 MW capacity of power output and 123 aMW of energy; and Tenaska Washington Partners, L.P. for 245 MW capacity of power output and 216 aMW of energy. Both contracts expired December 31, 2011 and there is no obligation to extend the contracts.

Further, PSE has entered into multiple various-term transmission contracts with other utilities to integrate electric generation and contracted resources into PSE's system. These transmission contracts require PSE to pay for transmission service based on the contracted MW level of demand, regardless of actual use.

Other transmission agreements provide actual capacity ownership or capacity ownership rights. PSE's annual charges under these agreements are also based on contracted MW volumes. Capacity on these agreements that is not committed to serve PSE's load is available for sale to third parties. PSE also purchases short-term transmission services from a variety of providers, including the BPA.

In 2011, PSE had 4,020 MW and 619 MW of total transmission demand contracted with the BPA and other utilities, respectively. PSE's remaining transmission capacity needs are met via PSE owned transmission assets.

² Douglas County PUD began the FERC integrated licensing process in 2004 and is progressing on schedule for a new license upon the current license expiration in May 2012.

³ PSE's share of power under the 2001 contract will decline over time as Grant County PUD's load increases. PSE's share of both the Priest Rapids and Wanapum developments was 0.8% at the end of 2011 and will not be less than 0.6% through 2052.

NATURAL GAS SUPPLY FOR ELECTRIC CUSTOMERS

PSE purchases natural gas supplies for its power portfolio to meet demand for its combustion turbine generators. Supplies range from long-term to daily agreements, as the demand for the turbines varies depending on market heat rates. Purchases are made from a diverse group of major and independent natural gas producers and marketers in the United States and Canada. PSE also enters into physical and financial fixed price derivative instruments to hedge the cost of natural gas. PSE utilizes natural gas storage capacity that is dedicated to and paid for by the power portfolio to facilitate increased natural gas supply reliability and intra-day dispatch of PSE's gas-fired generation resources. During 2011, approximately 83.0% of natural gas for power purchased by PSE for power customers originated in British Columbia and 17.0% originated in the United States. Natural gas is either marketed outside PSE's service territory (off-system sales) or injected into the power portfolio's natural gas storage when the natural gas is not needed for the combustion turbines.

INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLANS, RESOURCE ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT

PSE is required by Washington Commission regulations to file electric and natural gas Integrated Resource Plans (IRP) every two years with the next IRP scheduled to be filed by May 30, 2013. PSE filed its most recent IRP with the Washington Commission on May 30, 2011. The 2011 IRP demonstrated PSE's continuing need to acquire significant amounts of new generating resources, driven primarily by the expiration of existing power purchase contracts and by the requirements of the state's renewable portfolio standard. The 2011 IRP, as filed, identified the following capacity needs:

	2012	2013	2014	2015
Projected MW shortfall	917	1,050	1,203	1,203

To meet these expected shortfalls, the 2011 IRP identified a mix of energy efficiency programs, additional renewable resources (primarily wind) and base-load natural gas-fired generation to meet the growing needs of PSE's customers. The specific resources acquired will be determined through the Company's resource acquisition program which examines specific acquisition and development opportunities.

With the planned addition of the Lower Snake River Project Phase 1, PSE has enough renewable resources to meet statutory renewable resource requirements through 2020. The 2009 and 2011 IRP confirmed that there is a cost benefit to customers of building ahead of renewable need and taking advantage of expiring tax incentives rather than waiting until there is a statutory need to develop more renewable energy. In 2009, PSE purchased from RES America, Inc., all of the undivided interest in four development-stage wind projects, collectively known as the Lower Snake River wind project in Columbia and Garfield counties in Washington state. PSE is currently completing construction of Phase 1 of the Lower Snake River wind project, which will total 343 MW of capacity when complete in the first quarter of 2012.

NATURAL GAS UTILITY OPERATING STATISTICS

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,					
		2011		2010		2009
Gas operating revenue by classes (dollars in thousands):						
Residential	\$	760,442	\$	648,649	\$	795,756
Commercial firm		303,267		262,735		303,989
Industrial firm		32,222		28,939		36,141
Interruptible		43,704		42,413		56,511
Total retail gas sales	1,	,139,635		982,736	1	,192,397
Transportation services		15,017		14,082		13,014
Other		14,198		14,713		19,334
Total gas operating revenue	\$ 1,	,168,850	\$ 1	,011,531	\$ 1	,224,745
Number of customers served (average):						
Residential		700,039		694,086		689,438
Commercial firm		53,676		53,703		54,022
Industrial firm		2,465		2,489		2,534
Interruptible		356		381		398
Transportation		175		152		140
Total customers		756,711		750,811		746,532
Gas volumes, therms (thousands):						
Residential		597,471		519,527		585,626
Commercial firm		270,300		239,693		248,321
Industrial firm		32,346		29,812		31,535
Interruptible		54,163		52,771		59,222
Total retail gas volumes, therms		954,280		841,803		924,704
Transportation volumes		224,330		205,516		210,243
Total volumes	1,	178,610	1	,047,319	1	,134,947
Working gas volumes in storage at year end, therms (thousands):						
Jackson Prairie		85,506		70,213		66,948
Clay Basin		89,123		86,891		93,023
Average therms used per customer:		•				,
Residential		853		749		849
Commercial firm		5,036		4,463		4,597
Industrial firm		13,122		11,978		12,445
Interruptible		152,143		138,507		148,799
Transportation		,281,884	1	,352,079	1	,501,739
Average revenue per customer:						
Residential	\$	1,086	\$	935	\$	1,154
Commercial firm		5,650		4,892		5,627
Industrial firm		13,072		11,627		14,262
Interruptible		122,763		111,320		141,987
Transportation		85,810		92,645		92,957
Average revenue per therm sold:						
Residential	\$	1.273	\$	1.249	\$	1.359
Commercial firm		1.122		1.096		1.224
Industrial firm		0.996		0.971		1.146
Interruptible		0.807		0.804		0.954
Average retail revenue per therm sold		1.194		1.167		1.289
Transportation		0.067		0.069		0.062
Heating degree days		5,146		4,549		4,897
Percent of normal – NOAA 30-year average		107.3%		94.8%		102.1%

NATURAL GAS SUPPLY FOR NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS

PSE purchases a portfolio of natural gas supplies ranging from long-term firm to daily from a diverse group of major and independent natural gas producers and marketers in the United States and Canada. PSE also enters into physical and financial fixed-price derivative instruments to hedge the cost of natural gas to serve its customers. All of PSE's natural gas

supply is ultimately transported through the facilities of Northwest Pipeline GP (NWP), the sole interstate pipeline delivering directly into PSE's service territory. Accordingly, delivery of natural gas supply to PSE's natural gas system is dependent upon the reliable operations of NWP.

	AT DECEMBER 31					
	2011	2010				
PEAK FIRM NATURAL GAS SUPPLY 1	DTH PER DAY	%	DTH PER DAY	%		
Purchased gas supply:						
British Columbia	190,000	21.9	199,000	21.3		
Alberta	70,000	8.0	70,000	7.5		
United States	120,000	13.8	177,000	18.9		
Total purchased natural gas supply	380,000	43.7%	446,000	47.7%		
Purchased storage capacity:						
Jackson Prairie	58,000	6.7	58,000	6.2		
Plymouth liquefied natural gas	70,500	8.1	70,500	7.5		
Total purchased storage capacity	128,500	14.8%	128,500	13.7%		
Owned storage capacity:						
Jackson Prairie	348,700	40.1	348,700	37.3		
Propane and LNG	12,500	1.4	12,500	1.3		
Total owned storage capacity	361,200	41.5%	361,200	38.6%		
Total peak firm natural gas supply	869,700	100.0%	935,700	100.0%		
Other and commitments with third parties	(14,400)		(15,500)			
Total net peak firm natural gas supply	855,300	-	920,200			

All peak firm gas supplies and storage are connected to PSE's market with firm transportation capacity.

For baseload, peak management and supply reliability purposes, PSE supplements its firm natural gas supply portfolio by purchasing natural gas in off-peak periods, injecting it into underground storage facilities and withdrawing it during the peak winter heating season. Underground storage facilities at Jackson Prairie in western Washington and at Clay Basin in Utah are used for this purpose. Clay Basin withdrawals are used to supplant purchases from the U.S. Rocky Mountain supply region, while Jackson Prairie provides incremental peak-day resources utilizing storage redelivery transportation capacity. Jackson Prairie is also used for daily balancing of load requirements on PSE's gas system. Peaking needs are also met by; using PSE-owned natural gas held in NWP's liquefied natural gas (LNG) storage facility in Plymouth, Washington; using PSE-owned natural gas held in PSE's LNG peaking facility located within its distribution system in Gig Harbor, Washington; and interrupting service to customers on interruptible service rates.

PSE expects to meet its firm peak-day requirements for residential, commercial and industrial markets through its firm natural gas purchase contracts, firm transportation capacity, firm storage capacity and other firm peaking resources. PSE believes it will be able to acquire incremental firm natural gas supply and capacity to meet anticipated growth in the requirements of its firm customers for the foreseeable future.

During 2011, approximately 49.5% of natural gas supplies purchased by PSE for its gas customers originated in British Columbia, while 14.8% originated in Alberta and 35.7% originated in the United States. PSE's firm natural gas supply portfolio has adequate flexibility in its transportation arrangements to enable it to achieve savings when there are regional price differentials between natural gas supply basins. The geographic mix of suppliers and daily, monthly and annual take requirements permit some degree of flexibility in managing natural gas supplies during off-peak periods to minimize costs. Natural gas is marketed outside PSE's service territory (off-system sales) whenever on-system customer demand requirements permit and the resulting economics of these transactions are reflected in PSE's natural gas customer tariff rates through the PGA mechanism.

NATURAL GAS STORAGE CAPACITY

PSE holds storage capacity in the Jackson Prairie and Clay Basin underground natural gas storage facilities adjacent to NWP's pipeline to serve PSE's natural gas customers. The Jackson Prairie facility is operated and one-third owned by PSE. The facility is used primarily for intermediate peaking purposes since it is able to deliver a large volume of natural gas over a relatively short time period. Combined with capacity contracted from NWP's one-third stake in Jackson Prairie, PSE has peak firm withdrawal capacity in excess of 460,000 Dekatherm (Dth) per day, which, after reduction for a portion temporarily released to the power portfolio represents nearly 46.8% of PSE's expected near-term peak-day requirement.

PSE's total firm storage capacity of the facility is in excess of 10 million Dth. The location of the Jackson Prairie facility in PSE's market area increases supply reliability and provides significant pipeline demand cost savings by reducing the amount of annual pipeline capacity required to meet peak-day natural gas requirements. PSE has been expanding the storage capacity at Jackson Prairie since March 2003. The most recent withdrawal capacity expansion was placed in service in November 2008 and the reservoir expansion activities will continue through 2012. The owned storage capacity at Jackson Prairie was 8.4 million Dth at December 31, 2011. Once the expansion activities have been completed in 2012, the capacity will be 8.5 million Dth.

Due to the recent expansion of Jackson Prairie storage withdrawal capacity and storage capacity, PSE's natural gas storage resources are expected to exceed natural gas customer requirements for the next few years. Therefore, beginning in 2008 and continuing into 2014, 50,000 Dth per day of natural gas storage withdrawal capacity and 500,000 Dth of natural gas storage capacity have been temporarily released at market sensitive rates to PSE's power portfolio, increasing natural gas supply reliability and facilitating intra-day dispatch of PSE's natural gas-fired generation resources.

The Clay Basin storage facility is a supply area storage facility that is used primarily to reduce portfolio costs through supply management efforts that take advantage of market price volatility, and provides system reliability. PSE holds over 12.8 million Dth of Clay Basin storage capacity and approximately 107,000 Dth per day of firm withdrawal capacity under two long-term contracts with remaining terms of one and eight years. PSE's maximum firm withdrawal capacity and total storage capacity at Clay Basin, net of releases, is over 82,000 Dth per day and exceeds 9.8 million Dth, respectively.

LNG AND PROPANE-AIR RESOURCES

LNG and propane-air resources provide firm natural gas supply on short notice for short periods of time. Due to their typically high cost and slow cycle times, these resources are normally utilized as a last resort supply source in extreme peak-demand periods, typically during the coldest hours or days. PSE contracts for LNG storage services of 241,700 Dth of PSE-owned gas at NWP's Plymouth facility, which is approximately three and one-half day's supply at a maximum daily deliverability of 70,500 Dth. PSE owns and operates the Swarr vaporized propane-air station located in Renton, Washington which includes storage capacity for approximately 1.5 million gallons of propane. This propane-air injection facility is designed to deliver the equivalent of 10,000 Dth of natural gas per day for up to 12 days directly into PSE's distribution system. PSE owns and operates an LNG peaking facility in Gig Harbor, Washington, with total capacity of 10,600 Dth, which is capable of delivering the equivalent of 2,500 Dth of natural gas per day.

NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION CAPACITY

PSE currently holds firm transportation capacity on pipelines owned by NWP, Gas Transmission Northwest (GTN), Nova Gas Transmission (NOVA), Foothills Pipe Lines (Foothills) and Westcoast Energy (Westcoast). GTN, NOVA, and Foothills are all TransCanada companies. PSE pays fixed monthly demand charges for the right, but not the obligation, to transport specified quantities of natural gas from receipt points to delivery points on such pipelines each day for the term or terms of the applicable agreements.

PSE holds approximately 522,000 Dth per day of capacity for its natural gas customers on NWP that provides firm year-round delivery to PSE's service territory. In addition, PSE holds approximately 524,000 Dth per day of seasonal firm capacity on NWP to provide for delivery of natural gas stored in Jackson Prairie and the Plymouth LNG facility during the heating season. PSE has firm transportation capacity on NWP through various contracts that supply electric generating facilities with approximately 168,000 Dth per day. PSE participates in the pipeline capacity release market to achieve savings for PSE's customers and has released certain segments of temporarily surplus firm capacity to third parties. PSE's firm transportation capacity contracts with NWP have remaining terms ranging from one to 33 years. However, PSE has either the unilateral right to extend the contracts under the contracts' current terms or the right of first refusal to extend such contracts under current FERC rules.

PSE's firm transportation capacity for its natural gas customers on Westcoast's pipeline is approximately 130,000 Dth per day under various contracts, with remaining terms of one to seven years. PSE has other firm transportation capacity on Westcoast's pipeline, which supplies the electric generating facilities, totaling approximately 73,000 Dth per day, with remaining terms of three to seven years. PSE has firm transportation capacity on NOVA and Foothills pipelines, totaling approximately 80,000 Dth per day, with remaining terms of two to 12 years. PSE has annual renewal rights on this capacity. PSE's firm transportation capacity on the GTN pipeline, totaling approximately 90,000 Dth per day, has a remaining term of 12 years.

CAPACITY RELEASE

The FERC regulates the release of firm pipeline and storage capacity for facilities which fall under its jurisdiction. Capacity releases allow shippers to temporarily or permanently relinquish unutilized capacity to recover all or a portion of the cost of such capacity. The FERC allows capacity to be released through several methods including open bidding and prearrangement. PSE has acquired some firm pipeline and storage service through capacity release provisions to serve its growing service territory and electric generation portfolio. PSE also mitigates a portion of the demand charges related to unutilized storage and pipeline capacity through capacity release. Capacity release benefits derived from the natural gas customer portfolio are passed on to PSE's natural gas customers through the PGA mechanism.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY

PSE is required under Washington state law to pursue feasible, achievable cost-effective electric conservation. PSE offers programs designed to help new and existing residential, commercial and industrial customers use energy efficiently. PSE uses a variety of mechanisms including cost-effective financial incentives, information and technical services to enable customers to make energy efficient choices with respect to building design, equipment and building systems, appliance purchases and operating practices. As described below, PSE recovers the actual costs of electric and natural gas energy efficiency programs through a tracker mechanism (for natural gas) and a rider mechanism (for electric). However, the tracker and rider mechanisms do not provide for any cost recovery of lost sales margin associated with reduced energy sales. A lost margin adjustment is included in PSE's pending general rate case.

PSE's rates are designed to capture most of the approved revenue requirements for fixed costs through volumetric rates. PSE fully recovers these costs only if its customers consume a certain level of natural gas and electricity. This level of consumption is typically established in the utility's most recently completed rate case based upon historical natural gas and electric volumes. When customers use less natural gas or electricity, whether due to conservation, weather or economic conditions, PSE's financial performance is negatively impacted because recovery of fixed costs is reduced in proportion to the reduction in natural gas or electric sales.

Since 1995, PSE has been authorized by the Washington Commission to defer natural gas energy efficiency (or conservation) expenditures and recover them through a tracker mechanism. The tracker mechanism allows PSE to defer efficiency expenditures and recover them in rates over the subsequent year. The tracker mechanism also allows PSE to recover an allowance for funds used to conserve energy on any outstanding balance that is not currently being recovered in rates.

Since May 1997, PSE has recovered direct electric energy efficiency (or conservation) expenditures through a rider mechanism. The rider mechanism allows PSE to defer the efficiency expenditures and amortize them to expense as PSE collects the efficiency expenditures in rates over a one-year period. As a result of the rider mechanism, direct electric energy efficiency expenditures are recovered. PSE does not earn a return on unamortized balances.

ENVIRONMENT

PSE's operations, including generation, transmission, distribution, service and storage facilities, are subject to environmental laws and regulations by federal, state and local authorities. The primary areas of environmental law that have the potential to most significantly impact PSE's operations and costs include:

AIR AND CLIMATE CHANGE PROTECTION

PSE owns numerous thermal generation facilities, including seven natural gas plants and an ownership percentage of a coal plant in Colstrip, Montana (Colstrip). All these facilities are governed by the Clean Air Act (CAA) and all have CAA Title V operation permits that must be renewed every five years. These facilities also emit greenhouse gases (GHGs), and thus are also subject to any current or future GHG or climate change legislation or regulation. Colstrip represents PSE's most significant source of GHG emissions.

SPECIES PROTECTION

PSE owns three hydroelectric plants and three wind farms and numerous miles of above ground electric distribution and transmission lines which can be impacted by laws related to species protection. A number of species of fish have been listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), which influences hydroelectric operations, and may affect PSE operations, potentially representing cost exposure and operational constraints. Similarly, there are a number of avian and terrestrial species that have been listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA or are protected by the Migratory Bird Act. Designations of protected species under these two laws have the potential to influence operation of our wind farms and above ground transmission and distribution systems.

REMEDIATION OF CONTAMINATION

PSE and its predecessors are responsible for environmental remediation at various contaminated sites. These include properties currently and formerly owned by PSE, as well as third party owned properties in which hazardous substances were generated or released. Cleanup laws PSE may be subject to primarily include the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (federal) and the Model Toxics Control Act (state). These laws may hold liable any current or past owner, or operator of a contaminated site, as well as, any generator, arranger, transporter or disposer of regulated substances.

HAZARDOUS AND SOLID WASTE AND PCB HANDLING AND DISPOSAL

Related to certain operations, including power generation and transmission and distribution maintenance, PSE must handle and dispose of certain hazardous and solid wastes, as well as, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) contaminated wastes. These actions are regulated by the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (federal), the Toxic Substances Control Act (federal), and the dangerous waste regulations (state) that impose complex requirements on handling and disposing of regulated substances.

WATER PROTECTION

PSE facilities that discharge wastewater or storm water, or store bulk petroleum products are governed by the Clean Water Act (federal and state) which includes the Oil Pollution Act amendments. This includes most all generation facilities (all of which have water discharges and some of which have bulk fuel storage), and due to recent changes in state storm water regulations also includes many other facilities and construction projects depending on drainage, facility or construction activities, and chemical, petroleum and material storage.

SITING NEW FACILITIES

In siting new generation, transmission or distribution, PSE is subject to the State Environmental Policy Act, and may be subject to the federal National Environmental Policy Act, if there is a federal nexus, as well as, other local siting and zoning ordinances. These requirements may potentially require mitigation of environmental impacts to the fullest extent possible as well as other measures that can add significant cost to new facilities.

RECENT AND FUTURE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW AND REGULATION

Recent and future environmental law and regulations may be imposed at a federal, state or local level and may have a significant impact on cost of PSE operations. PSE monitors legislative and regulatory developments for environmental issues with the potential to alter the operation and cost of our generation plants, transmission and distribution system, and other assets. Recent, pending and potential future environmental law and regulations with the most significant potential impacts to PSE's operations and costs are described below.

CLIMATE CHANGE AND GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

PSE recognizes the growing concern that increased atmospheric concentrations of GHG contribute to climate change. PSE believes that climate change is an important issue that requires careful analysis and considered responses. A climate policy continues to evolve at the state and federal levels and PSE remains involved in state, regional and federal policymaking activities. PSE will continue to monitor the development of any climate change or climate change related air emission reduction initiative at the state and western regional levels. PSE will also consider the impact of any future legislation or new government regulation on the cost of generation in its IRP process.

Most recent definitive federal legislative activity on climate change occurred in June 2009; the United States House of Representatives passed H.R. 2454, the American Clean Energy and Security Act. The bill implements a cap-and-trade system of allowances to reduce GHG emissions 17.0% below 2005 levels by 2020, reaching an eventual target of 83.0% below 2005 levels by 2050. However, the 111th Congress ended without enacting any major law to limit or reduce GHG emissions.

Recent federal climate change regulation includes the Tailoring Rule, which became effective January 2, 2011. Under the rule, new sources that emit more than 100,000 tpv of total GHG and major modifications of existing sources that increase GHG emissions by 74,000 tpv will be required to implement Best Available Control Technology (BACT) to control GHG emissions. Potential impacts on Colstrip are being evaluated and impacts to our gas fleet cannot yet be determined.

Beginning on March 31, 2011, PSE is required to submit, on an annual basis, a report of its GHG emissions to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) including a report of emissions from all individual power plants emitting over 25,000 tons per year of GHGs and from certain natural gas distribution operations. Capital investments to monitor GHGs from the power plants and in the distribution system are not required at this time. Since 2002, PSE has voluntarily undertaken an annual inventory of its GHG emissions associated with PSE's total electric retail load, which was 21.5 million MWh in 2011, served from a supply portfolio of owned and purchased resources. The most recent data indicate that PSE's total GHG emissions (direct and indirect) from its electric supply portfolio in 2009 were 14.4 million tons of carbon dioxide equivalent. Since 2009, new PSE generation facilities have resulted in combined GHG emissions of 591,935 tons of carbon dioxide equivalent. Approximately 36.4% of PSE's total GHG emissions in 2009 (approximately 5.3 million tons) were associated with PSE's ownership and contractual interests in Colstrip.

In November 2010, the EPA released two more GHG reporting rules affecting PSE. The first rule, commonly referred to as Subpart DD, requires owners and operators of electric power system facilities with a total nameplate capacity exceeding 17,820 pounds of sulfur hexafluoride to report emissions from its use of electrical transmission and distribution equipment. The second rule, commonly referred to as Subpart W, requires certain oil and natural gas operations, including distribution and storage, to report GHG emissions from leaks and certain combustions activities. PSE will submit the required information as part of its annual filing to the EPA beginning on March 31, 2012.

While Colstrip remains a significant portion of PSE's GHG emissions, Colstrip is an essential part of the diversified portfolio PSE owns and/or operates for its customers. Consequently, PSE's overall emissions strategy demonstrates a concerted effort to manage customers' needs with an appropriate balance of new renewable generation, existing generation owned and/or operated by PSE and significant energy efficiency efforts.

MERCURY AND AIR TOXICS EMISSIONS

The state of Montana issued regulations limiting mercury emissions from coal-fired plants in October 2006 (with a limit of 0.9 lbs/Trillion British thermal units (lbs/TBtu) for plants burning coal like that used at Colstrip) which took effect on January 1, 2010. Mercury control equipment has been installed at Colstrip and has operated at a level that meets the current Montana requirement. Compliance based on a rolling 12-month average was first confirmed in January 2011 and has continued to meet the requirement during each month of 2011.

The final version of EPA's Mercury and Air Toxics Standard, (MATS rule) was released December 21, 2011. The final rule provides some concessions to electric generators by providing extra compliance time in certain circumstances, but overall the final rule remains largely consistent with the agency's initial proposal in March 2011. MATS sets a new federal emission limitation for mercury (1.2 lb/TBtu), for acid gases, for other toxic metal using a particulate matter (PM) surrogate (0.03 lb/MMBtu), and for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides for steam electric generating units. Colstrip is currently meeting the new mercury standards. Current emissions and available control technologies are currently being evaluated to determine what will be necessary to meet the new standards for acid gases and PM. PSE cannot yet determine the outcome of these analyses.

ADDITIONAL COLSTRIP EMISSION CONTROLS

On June 15, 2005, the EPA issued the Clean Air Visibility rule to address regional haze or regionally-impaired visibility caused by multiple sources over a wide area. The rule defines Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) requirements for electric generating units, including presumptive limits for sulfur dioxide, particulate matter and nitrogen oxide controls for large units. In February 2007, Colstrip was notified by the EPA that Colstrip Units 1 & 2 were determined to be subject to the EPA's BART requirements. A BART engineering analysis for Colstrip Units 1 & 2 was submitted in August 2007 and

additional requested analyses were submitted in June 2008. On November 5, 2010, the EPA issued a request for additional reasonable progress information for Colstrip Units 3 & 4 which has been submitted. EPA has met with Colstrip representatives to discuss possible requirements for Units 1 & 2 to meet EPA's BART requirements, but nothing definitive has been determined. PSE cannot yet determine the outcome of these analyses or information requests.

COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUALS

On June 21, 2010, the EPA issued a proposed rulemaking for the "Identification and Listing of Special Wastes: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities" which proposes different regulatory mechanisms to regulate coal ash. The EPA received numerous comments on the respective proposals in November 2010, including comments from PSE and other Colstrip owners. The EPA has announced that a final rule will not be issued until 2012.

To date, EPA has proposed three regulatory options. Under the first two options, coal ash could be regulated as a solid waste under Subtitle D provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). This would give authority to the states to oversee a set of performance standards for handling and disposal. Coal ash would be listed as non-hazardous and would allow wet handling to continue, and it would allow continued use of surface impoundments provided they are equipped with protective liners. One of these two options would require significantly less modifications to closed, as well as, in-use impoundments.

Under the third option, coal ash could be regulated as a hazardous waste under Subtitle C provisions of the RCRA, which would make coal ash subject to a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. Regulation under Subtitle C would essentially require the phase-out of wet handling and surface impoundments. The EPA estimates over 500 surface impoundments would be affected by this ruling. The EPA is expected to issue a final ruling in late 2012.

Impact to Colstrip operations and PSE, could range from minimal to significant. Due to the wide range in the options proposed by EPA PSE cannot determine impacts with any more certainty at this time, but we are involved with monitoring development of the final rule and advocating for reasonable approach that would be protective of the environment and cost-effective.

PCBs

On April 7, 2010, the EPA issued a Advance Notice of Proposed Rule Making (ANPRM) soliciting information on a broad range of questions concerning inventory, management, use, and disposal of PCB-containing equipment. EPA is using this ANPRM to seek data to better evaluate whether to initiate a rulemaking process geared toward a mandatory phase-out of all PCBs. This would likely remove all existing use authorizations for PCBs in electrical and gas pipeline equipment. As proposed, the ANPRM would mandate a phase out of in-service PCBs through a phased process with full removal achieved by 2025.

The end of the comment period for the ANPRM was initially July 6, 2010 but due to the volume of comments received, an extension was granted to August 20, 2010 with the suggested issuance of a Notice in May 2012. PSE provided comments through both the Utilities Solid Waste Advocacy Group (USWAG) as well as the American Gas Association (AGA). Upon receiving all comments, the EPA has rescheduled the issuance to April 2013. At this time, PSE cannot determine what the impacts of this ANPRM will have on its operations but will continue to work closely with USWAG and AGA to monitor developments and advocate for a reasonable approach that would be protective of the environment and cost-effective.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANTS

The executive officers of Puget Energy as of March 1, 2012 are listed below along with their business experience during the past five years. Officers of Puget Energy are elected for one-year terms.

NAME	AGE	OFFICES
K. J. Harris	47	President and Chief Executive Officer since March 1, 2011; President July 2010 -
		February 2011; Executive Vice President and Chief Resource Officer 2007 – 2010;
		Senior Vice President Regulatory Policy and Energy Efficiency 2005 – 2007.
D. A. Doyle	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since November 2011. Prior to
		PSE, he was President of Wisconsin Sports Development Corporation 2010 -
		November 2011; Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of American
		Transmission Company, LLC 2000 – 2009.
D. E. Gaines	55	Vice President Finance and Treasurer since March 2002.
S. R. Secrist	50	Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer since
		January 2011; Interim General Counsel October 2010 – January 2011; Deputy
		General Counsel 2006 – October 2010.

The executive officers of PSE as of March 1, 2012 are listed below along with their business experience during the past five years. Officers of PSE are elected for one-year terms.

NAME	Age	Offices
K. J. Harris	47	President and Chief Executive Officer since March 1, 2011; President July 2010 -
		February 2011; Executive Vice President and Chief Resource Officer 2007 – 2010;
		Senior Vice President Regulatory Policy and Energy Efficiency 2005 – 2007.
D. A. Doyle	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since November, 2011. Prior to
		PSE, he was President of Wisconsin Sports Development Corporation 2010 -
		November 2011; Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of American
		Transmission Company, LLC 2000 – 2009.
D. E. Gaines	55	Vice President Finance and Treasurer since March 2002.
S. McLain	55	Senior Vice President Delivery Operations since February 2011; Senior Vice
		President Operations 2003 – January 2011.
M. D. Mellies	51	Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer since February 2011; Vice
		President Human Resources 2005 – January 2011.
S. R. Secrist	50	Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer since
		January 2011; Interim General Counsel October 2010 – January 2011; Deputy
		General Counsel 2006 – October 2010.
P. M. Wiegand	59	Senior Vice President Energy Operations since February 2011; Senior Vice President
		Power Generation 2010 – January 2011; Vice President Power Generation 2007 –
		2010; Vice President Project Development & Contract Management 2003 – 2007.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following risk factors, in addition to other factors and matters discussed elsewhere in this report, should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only risks and uncertainties that Puget Energy and PSE may face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known or currently deemed immaterial also may impair PSE's business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, Puget Energy's and PSE's business, results of operations and financial conditions would suffer.

RISKS RELATING TO PSE'S BUSINESS

THE ACTIONS OF REGULATORS CAN SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECT PSE'S EARNINGS, LIQUIDITY AND BUSINESS ACTIVITIES.

The rates that PSE is allowed to charge for its services is the single most important item influencing its financial position, results of operations and liquidity. PSE is highly regulated and the rates that it charges its wholesale and retail customers are determined by both the Washington Commission and the FERC.

PSE is also subject to the regulatory authority of the Washington Commission with respect to accounting, operations, the issuance of securities and certain other matters, and the regulatory authority of the FERC with respect to the transmission of electric energy, the sale of electric energy at the wholesale level, accounting and certain other matters. Policies and regulatory actions by these regulators could have a material impact on PSE's financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

PSE'S RECOVERY OF COSTS IS SUBJECT TO REGULATORY REVIEW AND ITS OPERATING INCOME MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED IF ITS COSTS ARE DISALLOWED.

The Washington Commission determines the rates PSE may charge to its electric retail customers based, in part, on historic test year costs plus normalized assumptions about rate year power costs, weather and hydrological conditions. Non-energy costs for natural gas retail customers are based on historic test year costs. If in a specific year PSE's costs are higher than what is allowed to be recovered in rates, revenue may not be sufficient to permit PSE to earn its allowed return or to cover its costs. In addition, the Washington Commission decides what level of expense and investment is reasonable and prudent in providing electric and natural gas service. If the Washington Commission decides that part of PSE's costs do not meet the standard, those costs may be disallowed partially or entirely and not recovered in rates. For the aforementioned reasons, the rates authorized by the Washington Commission may not be sufficient to earn the allowed return or recover the costs incurred by PSE in a given period.

THE PCA MECHANISM, BY WHICH VARIATIONS IN PSE'S POWER COSTS ARE APPORTIONED BETWEEN PSE AND ITS CUSTOMERS PURSUANT TO A GRADUATED SCALE, COULD RESULT IN SIGNIFICANT INCREASES IN PSE'S EXPENSES IF POWER COSTS ARE SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER THAN THE BASELINE RATE.

PSE has a PCA mechanism that provides for recovery of power costs from customers or refunding of power cost savings to customers, as those costs vary from the "power cost baseline" level of power costs which are set, in part, based on normalized assumptions about weather and hydrological conditions. Excess power costs or power cost savings will be apportioned between PSE and its customers pursuant to the graduated scale set forth in the PCA mechanism. As a result, if power costs are significantly higher than the baseline rate, PSE's expenses could significantly increase.

PSE MAY BE UNABLE TO ACQUIRE ENERGY SUPPLY RESOURCES TO MEET PROJECTED CUSTOMER NEEDS OR MAY FAIL TO SUCCESSFULLY INTEGRATE SUCH ACQUISITIONS.

PSE projects that future energy needs will exceed current purchased and Company owned and controlled power resources. As part of PSE's business strategy, it plans to acquire additional electric generation and delivery infrastructure to meet customer needs. If PSE cannot acquire additional energy supply resources at a reasonable cost, it may be required to purchase additional power in the open market at a cost that could significantly increase its expenses thus reducing earnings and cash flows. Additionally, PSE may not be able to timely recover some or all of those increased expenses through ratemaking. While PSE expects to identify the benefits of new energy supply resources prior to their acquisition and integration, it may not be able to achieve the expected benefits of such energy supply sources.

PSE'S CASH FLOW AND EARNINGS COULD BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY POTENTIAL HIGH PRICES AND VOLATILE MARKETS FOR PURCHASED POWER, INCREASED CUSTOMER DEMAND FOR ENERGY, RECURRENCE OF LOW AVAILABILITY OF HYDROELECTRIC RESOURCES, OUTAGES OF ITS GENERATING FACILITIES OR A FAILURE TO DELIVER ON THE PART OF ITS SUPPLIERS.

The utility business involves many operating risks. If PSE's operating expenses, including the cost of purchased power and natural gas, significantly exceed the levels recovered from retail customers, its cash flow and earnings would be negatively affected. Factors which could cause purchased power and natural gas costs to be higher than anticipated include, but are not limited to, high prices in western wholesale markets during periods when PSE has insufficient energy resources to meet its load requirements and/or high volumes of energy purchased in wholesale markets at prices above the amount recovered in retail rates due to:

- Below normal energy generated by PSE-owned hydroelectric resources due to low streamflow conditions or precipitation;
- Extended outages of any of PSE-owned generating facilities or the transmission lines that deliver energy to load centers;
- Failure to perform on the part of any party from which PSE purchases capacity or energy; and
- The effects of large-scale natural disasters on a substantial portion of distribution infrastructure.

PSE'S ELECTRIC GENERATING FACILITIES ARE SUBJECT TO OPERATIONAL RISKS THAT COULD RESULT IN UNSCHEDULED PLANT OUTAGES, UNANTICIPATED OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES AND INCREASED POWER PURCHASE COSTS.

PSE owns and operates coal, natural gas-fired, hydroelectric, wind-powered and oil-fired generating facilities. Operation of electric generating facilities involves risks that can adversely affect energy output and efficiency levels. Included among these risks are:

- Increased prices for fuel and fuel transportation as existing contracts expire;
- Facility shutdowns due to a breakdown or failure of equipment or processes;
- Disruptions in the delivery of fuel and lack of adequate inventories;
- Labor disputes;
- Inability to comply with regulatory or permit requirements;
- Disruptions in the delivery of electricity;
- Operator error or safety related stoppages;
- Terrorist attacks; and
- Catastrophic events such as fires, explosions, floods or acts of nature.

IF PSE IS UNABLE TO PROTECT OUR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INFRASTRUCTURE AGAINST DATA CORRUPTION, CYBER-BASED ATTACKS OR NETWORK SECURITY BREACHES, OUR OPERATIONS COULD BE DISRUPTED.

PSE operates in a highly regulated industry that requires the continued operation of sophisticated information technology systems and network infrastructure. Despite our implementation of security measures, our technology systems are vulnerable to disability, failures or unauthorized access due to hacking, viruses, acts of war or terrorism and other causes. If our technology systems were to fail or be breached and we were unable to recover in a timely manner, we may be unable to fulfill critical business functions and sensitive, confidential and other data could be compromised, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. In addition, these cyber-based attacks could disrupt our ability to produce or distribute some portion of our energy products and could affect the reliability or operability of the electric and natural gas systems.

PSE IS SUBJECT TO THE COMMODITY PRICE, DELIVERY AND CREDIT RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ENERGY MARKETS AS WELL AS TO SUPPLY AND PRICE RISKS AFFECTING PSE'S CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS.

In connection with matching loads and resources, PSE engages in wholesale sales and purchases of electric capacity and energy, and, accordingly, is subject to commodity price risk, delivery risk, credit risk and other risks associated with these activities. Credit risk includes the risk that counterparties owing PSE money or energy will breach their obligations. Should the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, PSE may be forced to enter into alternative arrangements. In that event, PSE's financial results could be adversely affected. Although PSE takes into account the expected probability of default by counterparties, the actual exposure to a default by a particular counterparty could be greater than predicted.

Further, as a consequence of its electric generation construction and reconstruction programs and investments in its electric and gas distribution systems, PSE contracts to purchase substantial quantities of steel, cable, and similar materials, and thus is subject to supply and price risks affecting these items. To lower its financial exposure related to commodity price fluctuations, PSE may use forward delivery agreements, swaps and option contracts to hedge commodity price risk with a diverse group of counterparties. However, PSE does not always cover the entire exposure of its assets or positions to market price volatility and the coverage will vary over time. To the extent PSE has unhedged positions or its hedging procedures do not work as planned, fluctuating commodity prices could adversely impact its results of operations.

COSTS OF COMPLIANCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL, CLIMATE CHANGE AND ENDANGERED SPECIES LAWS ARE SIGNIFICANT AND THE COST OF COMPLIANCE WITH NEW AND EMERGING LAWS AND REGULATIONS AND THE INCURRENCE OF ASSOCIATED LIABILITIES COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT PSE'S RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

PSE's operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental, including air and climate protection, endangered species protection, remediation of contamination, waste handling and disposal, water protection and siting new facilities. To comply with these legal requirements, PSE must spend significant sums of money on measures including resource planning, remediation, monitoring, analysis, mitigation measures, pollution control equipment and emissions related abatement and fees. New environmental laws and regulations affecting PSE's operations may be adopted, and new interpretations of existing laws and regulations could be adopted or become applicable to PSE or its facilities. Compliance with these or other future regulations could require significant expenditures by PSE and adversely affect PSE's financial position, results of operations, cash flows and liquidity. In addition, PSE may not be able to recover all of its costs for such expenditures through electric and natural gas rates at current levels in the future.

With respect to endangered species laws, the listing or proposed listing of several species of salmon in the Pacific Northwest is causing a number of changes to the operations of hydroelectric generating facilities on Pacific Northwest rivers, including the Columbia River. These changes could reduce the amount, and increase the cost, of power generated by hydroelectric plants owned by PSE, or in which PSE has an interest, and increase the cost of the permitting process for these facilities.

Under current law, PSE is also generally responsible for any on-site liabilities associated with the environmental condition of the facilities that it currently owns or operates or has previously owned or operated. The incurrence of a material environmental liability or the new regulations governing such liability could result in substantial future costs and have a material adverse effect on PSE's results of operations and financial condition.

Specific to climate change, Washington state has adopted both a renewable portfolio standard and greenhouse gas legislation, including an emission performance standard provision. PSE cannot yet determine the costs of compliance with the recently enacted legislation. Recent decisions related to climate change by the United States Supreme Court and the EPA, together with efforts by Congress, have drawn greater attention to this issue at the federal, state and local level. While PSE cannot yet determine costs associated with these or future decisions or potential future legislation, there may be a significant impact on the cost of carbon-intensive coal generation, in particular.

PSE'S OPERATING RESULTS FLUCTUATE ON A SEASONAL AND QUARTERLY BASIS.

PSE's business is seasonal and weather patterns can have a material impact on its revenue, expenses and operating results. Because natural gas is heavily used for residential and commercial heating, demand depends heavily on weather patterns in PSE's service territory, and a significant amount of natural gas revenue is recognized in the first and fourth quarters related to the heating season. However, conservation efforts may result in decreased customer demand, despite normal or lower than normal temperatures. Demand for electricity is also greater in the winter months associated with heating. Accordingly, PSE's operations have historically generated less revenue and income when weather conditions are milder in the winter. In the event that the Company experiences unusually mild winters, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

PSE MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY EXTREME EVENTS IN WHICH PSE IS NOT ABLE TO PROMPTLY RESPOND AND REPAIR THE ELECTRIC AND GAS INFRASTRUCTURE SYSTEM.

PSE must maintain an emergency planning and training program to allow PSE to quickly respond to extreme events. Without emergency planning, PSE is subject to availability of outside contractors during an extreme event which may impact

the quality of service provided to PSE's customers. In addition, a slow response to extreme events may have an adverse affect on earnings as customers may be without electricity and natural gas for an extended period of time.

PSE MAY BE NEGATIVELY AFFECTED BY ITS INABILITY TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL EMPLOYEES.

PSE's ability to implement a workforce succession plan is dependent upon PSE's ability to employ and retain skilled professional and technical workers. Without a skilled workforce, PSE's ability to provide quality service to PSE's customers and to meet regulatory requirements could affect PSE's earnings.

PSE DEPENDS ON AN AGING WORK FORCE AND THIRD PARTY VENDORS TO PERFORM CERTAIN IMPORTANT SERVICES.

PSE continues to be concerned about the availability and aging of skilled workers for special complex utility functions. PSE also hires third parties to perform a variety of normal business functions, such as power plant maintenance, data warehousing and management, electric transmission, electric and gas distribution construction and maintenance, certain billing and metering processes, call center overflow and credit and collections. The unavailability of skilled workers or unavailability of such vendors could adversely affect the quality and cost of PSE's gas and electric service and accordingly PSE's results of operations.

POOR PERFORMANCE OF PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLAN INVESTMENTS AND OTHER FACTORS IMPACTING PLAN COSTS COULD UNFAVORABLY IMPACT PSE'S CASH FLOW AND LIQUIDITY.

PSE provides a defined benefit pension plan to PSE employees and postretirement benefits to certain PSE employees and former employees. Costs of providing these benefits are based in part on the value of the plan's assets and therefore, continued adverse market performance could result in lower rates of return for the investments that fund PSE's pension and postretirement benefits plans and could increase PSE's funding requirements related to the pension plans. Any contributions to PSE's plans in 2012 and beyond as well as the timing of the recovery of such contributions in general rate cases could impact PSE's cash flow and liquidity.

PSE MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY ITS INABILITY TO SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT CERTAIN TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS.

PSE is currently undertaking a multi-year Company-wide business process modernization effort which will replace existing software PSE currently uses for processing customer records and billing, mapping infrastructure assets and handling outage management tasks. These projects, are expected to be fully deployed by 2013, include: (1) a new Customer Information System intended to replace a PSE application that manages customer information and tracks outages; (2) a new Geospatial Information System intended to replace existing maps of our natural gas transmission and distribution systems with electronic databases; and (3) an Outage Management System expected to augment and improve PSE's ability to pinpoint the sources of electric system outages and respond to them more quickly, focus repair efforts and more accurately predict restoration times. Implementation of these information systems is complex, expensive and time consuming. If PSE does not successfully implement the new systems and new processes, or if the systems do not operate as intended, it could result in substantial disruptions to PSE's business, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

RISKS RELATING TO PUGET ENERGY AND PSE OPERATIONS

THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS IS DEPENDENT ON ITS ABILITY TO SUCCESSFULLY ACCESS CAPITAL.

The Company relies on access to internally generated funds, bank borrowings through multi-year committed credit facilities and short-term money markets as sources of liquidity and longer-term debt markets to fund PSE's utility construction program and other capital expenditure requirements of PSE. If Puget Energy or PSE are unable to access capital on reasonable terms, their ability to pursue improvements or acquisitions, including generating capacity, which may be relied on for future growth and to otherwise implement its strategy, could be adversely affected. Capital and credit market disruptions, a downgrade of Puget Energy's or PSE's credit rating or the imposition of restrictions on borrowings under their credit facilities in the event of a deterioration of financial ratios, may increase Puget Energy's and PSE's cost of borrowing or adversely affect the ability to access one or more financial markets.

THE AMOUNT OF THE COMPANY'S DEBT COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT ITS LIQUIDITY AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Puget Energy and PSE have short-term and long-term debt, and may incur additional debt (including secured debt) in the future. Puget Energy has access to a multi-year \$1.0 billion revolving credit facility, secured by substantially all of its assets, of which \$864.0 million was outstanding as of February 10, 2012. PSE has access to three unsecured credit facilities that provide, in the aggregate \$1.15 billion in short-term borrowing capability. In addition, Puget Energy has issued \$950.0 million in senior secured notes, whereas PSE, as of December 31, 2011 had approximately \$3.8 billion outstanding under first mortgage bonds, pollution control bonds, senior notes and junior subordinated notes. The Company's debt level could have important effects on the business, including but not limited to:

- making it difficult to satisfy obligations under the debt agreements and increasing the risk of default on the debt obligations;
- making it difficult to fund non-debt service related operations of the business; and
- limit the Company's financial flexibility, including its ability to borrow additional funds on favorable terms or at all.

A DOWNGRADE IN PUGET ENERGY'S OR PSE'S CREDIT RATING COULD NEGATIVELY AFFECT THE ABILITY TO ACCESS CAPITAL AND THE ABILITY TO HEDGE IN WHOLESALE MARKETS.

Although neither Puget Energy nor PSE has any rating downgrade provisions in its credit facilities that would accelerate the maturity dates of outstanding debt, a downgrade in the Companies' credit ratings could adversely affect the ability to renew existing or obtain access to new credit facilities and could increase the cost of such facilities. For example, under Puget Energy's and PSE's facilities, the borrowing spreads over the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and commitment fees increase if their respective corporate credit ratings decline. A downgrade in commercial paper ratings could increase the cost of commercial paper and limit or preclude PSE's ability to issue commercial paper under its current programs.

Any downgrade below investment grade of PSE's corporate credit rating could cause counterparties in the wholesale electric, wholesale natural gas and financial derivative markets to request PSE to post a letter of credit or other collateral, make cash prepayments, obtain a guarantee agreement or provide other mutually agreeable security, all of which would expose PSE to additional costs.

THE COMPANY MAY BE NEGATIVELY AFFECTED BY UNFAVORABLE CHANGES IN THE TAX LAWS OR THEIR INTERPRETATION.

Changes in tax law, related regulations or differing interpretation or enforcement of applicable law by the IRS or other taxing jurisdiction could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial statements. The tax law, related regulations and case law are inherently complex. The Company must make judgments and interpretations about the application of the law when determining the provision for taxes. Disputes over interpretations of tax laws may be settled with the taxing authority in examination, upon appeal or through litigation. The Company's tax obligations include income, real estate, public utility, municipal, sales and use, business and occupation and employment-related taxes and ongoing appeals issues related to these taxes. These judgments may include reserves for potential adverse outcomes regarding tax positions that may be subject to challenge by the taxing authorities.

POTENTIAL LEGISLATION AND REGULATORY ACTIONS COULD INCREASE THE COMPANY'S COSTS, REDUCE THE COMPANY'S REVENUE AND CASH FLOW, OR OTHERWISE ALTER THE WAY THE COMPANY CONDUCTS BUSINESS.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank) was signed into law. Title VII of the Dodd-Frank law gave regulators including the Commodities Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the Securities Exchange Commission the authority to create new oversight structures for derivative financial instruments, which were widely thought to have contributed to the 2008 financial crisis. The new legislation of certain over-the-counter swaps could expand collateral requirements of swaps, which may make it more costly for companies and/or limit the Company's ability to enter into such transactions. The Dodd-Frank amended section 2(h)(7) of the Commodities Exchange Act to provide an elective exemption from the clearing requirements of Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act for any entity that is not a financial entity, is using swaps to hedge or mitigate commercial risk, and notifies the CFTC, in a manner set forth by the CFTC, how it generally meets its financial obligations associated with entering into non-cleared swaps. The Company is evaluating the legislation and the CFTC's implementation of it to determine its impact, if any, on the Company's hedging program, results

of operations and liquidity. The Company will not know the full impact of the new legislation until the regulations are finalized.

RISKS RELATING TO PUGET ENERGY'S CORPORATE STRUCTURE

AS A HOLDING COMPANY, PUGET ENERGY DEPENDS ON PSE'S ABILITY TO PAY DIVIDENDS.

As a holding company with no significant operations of its own, the primary source of funds for the repayment of debt and other expenses, as well as payment of dividends to its shareholder, is cash dividends PSE pays to Puget Energy. PSE is a separate and distinct legal entity and has no obligation to pay any amounts to Puget Energy, whether by dividends, loans or other payments. The ability of PSE to pay dividends or make distributions to Puget Energy, and accordingly, Puget Energy's ability to pay dividends or repay debt or other expenses, will depend on PSE's earnings, capital requirements and general financial condition. If Puget Energy does not receive adequate distributions from PSE, it may not be able to meet its obligations or pay dividends.

The payment of dividends by PSE to Puget Energy is restricted by provisions of certain covenants applicable to long-term debt contained in PSE's electric and natural gas mortgage indentures. In addition, beginning February 6, 2009, pursuant to the terms of the Washington Commission merger order, PSE may not declare or pay dividends if PSE's common equity ratio, calculated on a regulatory basis, is 44.0% or below except to the extent a lower equity ratio is ordered by the Washington Commission. Also, pursuant to the merger order, PSE may not declare or make any distribution, unless on the date of distribution PSE's corporate credit/issuer rating is investment grade, or if its credit ratings are below investment grade, PSE's ratio of Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) to interest expense for the four most recently ended fiscal quarters prior to such date is equal to or greater than three to one. The common equity ratio, calculated on a regulatory basis, was 48.2% at December 31, 2011 and the EBITDA to interest expense was 4.4 to 1.0 for the 12 months then ended.

PSE's ability to pay dividends is also limited by the terms of its credit facilities, pursuant to which PSE is not permitted to pay dividends during any Event of Default, or if the payment of dividends would result in an Event of Default (as defined in the facilities), such as failure to comply with certain financial covenants.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The principal electric generating plants and underground natural gas storage facilities owned by PSE are described under Item 1, Business – Electric Supply and Gas Supply. PSE owns its transmission and distribution facilities and various other properties. Substantially all properties of PSE are subject to the liens of PSE's mortgage indentures. The Company's corporate headquarters is housed in a leased building located in Bellevue, Washington.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company is involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of business. The following is a description of legal proceedings that are material to PSE's operations:

Residential Exchange. The Northwest Power Act, through the Residential Exchange Program (REP), provides access to the benefits of low-cost federal hydroelectric power to residential and small farm customers of regional utilities, including PSE. The program is administered by the Bonneville Power Administration (the BPA). Pursuant to agreements (including settlement agreements) between the BPA and PSE, the BPA has provided payments of REP benefits to PSE, which PSE has passed through to its residential and small farm customers in the form of electricity bill credits.

In 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ruled that REP agreements of the BPA with PSE and a number of other investor-owned utilities were inconsistent with the Northwest Power Act. Since that time, those investor-owned utilities, including PSE, the BPA and other parties have been involved in ongoing litigation at the Ninth Circuit relating to the amount of REP benefits paid to utilities, including PSE, for the period fiscal year 2002 through fiscal year 2011 and the amount of REP benefits to be paid going forward.

In July 2011, the BPA, PSE and a number of other parties entered into a settlement agreement that by its terms if upheld in their entirety would resolve the disputes between BPA and PSE regarding REP benefits paid for the period fiscal year 2002-fiscal year 2011. In October 2011, certain other parties challenged BPA decisions with regard to its entering into this most recent settlement agreement. Pending disposition of this challenge, the other pending Ninth Circuit litigation regarding REP benefits for the period fiscal year 2002 through fiscal year 2011 has been stayed by the Ninth Circuit.

Due to the pending and ongoing proceedings, PSE is unable to reasonably estimate any amounts of REP payments – either to be recovered by the BPA or to be paid for any future periods to PSE – and is unable to determine the impact, if any, these proceedings and litigation may have on PSE. However, it is unlikely that any unfavorable outcome would have a material adverse effect on PSE because REP benefits received by PSE are passed through to PSE's residential and small farm customers.

Pacific Northwest Refund Proceeding. In October 2000, PSE filed a complaint with the FERC (Docket No. EL01-10) against "all jurisdictional sellers" in the Pacific Northwest seeking prospective price caps consistent with any result the FERC ordered for the California markets. The FERC issued an order including price caps in July 2001, and PSE moved to dismiss the proceeding. In response to PSE's motion, various entities intervened and sought to convert PSE's complaint into one seeking retroactive refunds in the Pacific Northwest. The FERC rejected that effort, after holding what the FERC referred to as a "preliminary evidentiary hearing" before an administrative law judge. On October 3, 2011, after appellate reviews, the FERC issued an Order on Remand and set the matter for hearing before an administrative law judge, but first requiring the parties to engage in settlement talks that began in the fall of 2011. As such, the hearing date itself is not known. PSE intends to vigorously defend its position but is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

All of the outstanding shares of Puget Energy's common stock, the only class of common equity of Puget Energy, are held by its direct parent Puget Equico, which is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Puget Holdings. The outstanding shares of PSE's common stock, the only class of common equity of PSE, are held by Puget Energy and are not publicly traded.

The payment of dividends on PSE common stock to Puget Energy is restricted by provisions of certain covenants applicable to long-term debt contained in PSE's mortgage indentures in addition to terms of the Washington Commission merger order. Puget Energy's ability to pay dividends is also limited by the merger order issued by the Washington Commission as well as by the terms of its credit facilities. For further discussion, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, Risks relating to Puget Energy's Corporate Structure and Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this report.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables show selected financial data. This information should be read in conjunction with the Management Discussion and Analysis and the audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes, included in Items 7 and 8 of this report, respectively.

Operating income 474,940 308,234 474,863 35,410 382,748 441,03 Income from continuing operations 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,67 Net income 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,46 Basic earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.53 19.4 Total assets			SUCCESSOR 1		PREDECESSOR 1		
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 DECEMBER 31, 2009 PEBRUARY 5, 2009 DECEMBER 31, 2009 2008 2007 Operating revenue \$ 3,318,765 \$ 3,122,217 \$ 2,925,148 \$ 403,713 \$ 3,357,773 \$ 3,220,14 Operating income 474,940 308,234 474,863 35,410 382,748 441,03 Income from continuing operations 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,67 Net income 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,67 Net income 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,67 Net income N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1,205 154,929 184,67 Net income N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1,20 1,5 Basic earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1,15 Di				FEBRUARY 6,	JANUARY 1,		_
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) 2011 2010 2009 2009 2008 2007 Operating revenue \$ 3,318,765 \$ 3,122,217 \$ 2,925,148 \$ 403,713 \$3,357,773 \$3,220,14 Operating income 474,940 308,234 474,863 35,410 382,748 441,03 Income from continuing operations 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,67 Net income N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Basic earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	PUGET ENERGY	YEA	AR	2009 -	2009 -	YEAR	Ended
Operating revenue \$ 3,318,765 \$ 3,122,217 \$ 2,925,148 \$ 403,713 \$3,357,773 \$3,220,14 Operating income 474,940 308,234 474,863 35,410 382,748 441,03 Income from continuing operations 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,67 Net income 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,46 Basic earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A<	SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS	ENDED DEC	EMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	FEBRUARY 5,	DECEM	BER 31,
Operating income 474,940 308,234 474,863 35,410 382,748 441,03 Income from continuing operations 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,67 Net income 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,46 Basic earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.53 19.4 Total assets	(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	2009	2008	2007
Income from continuing operations 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,67	Operating revenue	\$ 3,318,765	\$ 3,122,217	\$ 2,925,148	\$ 403,713	\$3,357,773	\$3,220,147
Net income 123,290 30,311 174,015 12,756 154,929 184,46 Basic earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Basic earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 <	Operating income	474,940	308,234	474,863	35,410	382,748	441,034
Basic earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Basic earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption	Income from continuing operations	123,290	30,311	174,015	12,756	154,929	184,676
continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Basic earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption	Net income	123,290	30,311	174,015	12,756	154,929	184,464
Basic earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.20 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.53 19.4 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Basic earnings per common share from						
Diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.53 19.4 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	continuing operations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.20	1.57
continuing operations N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.53 19.4 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Basic earnings per common share	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.20	1.57
Diluted earnings per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.19 1.5 Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.0 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.53 19.4 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Diluted earnings per common share from						
Dividends per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1.00 \$ 1.00 Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.53 19.4 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	continuing operations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.56
Book value per common share N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.53 19.4 Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Diluted earnings per common share	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.19	1.56
Total assets at year end \$12,384,710 \$11,929,336 \$11,900,140 \$8,594,836 \$8,434,102 \$7,598,73 Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Dividends per common share	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00
Long-term debt 5,027,367 4,132,713 3,790,698 2,520,860 2,270,860 2,428,86 Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Book value per common share	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.53	19.45
Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption 1,889 1,889 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Total assets at year end	\$12,384,710	\$11,929,336	\$11,900,140	\$8,594,836	\$8,434,102	\$7,598,736
redemption 1,889 1,88 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Long-term debt	5,027,367	4,132,713	3,790,698	2,520,860	2,270,860	2,428,860
Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000	Preferred stock subject to mandatory						
	redemption					1,889	1,889
G 1.11 111 1	Junior subordinated notes	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000
Capital lease obligations 32,207 42,603 134,229 68,293 68,586 22,91	Capital lease obligations	32,207	42,603	134,229	68,293	68,586	22,910

PUGET SOUND ENERGY							
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,						
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007		
Operating revenue	\$ 3,319,803	\$ 3,122,217	\$ 3,328,501	\$ 3,357,773	\$ 3,220,147		
Operating income	431,043	207,591	383,135	392,386	450,384		
Net income	204,120	26,095	159,252	162,736	191,127		
Total assets at year end	\$10,085,547	\$ 9,310,784	\$ 8,816,571	\$ 8,435,855	\$ 7,592,210		
Long-term debt	3,523,845	2,953,860	2,638,860	2,270,860	2,428,860		
Preferred stock subject to mandatory redemption				1,889	1,889		
Junior subordinated notes	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000		
Capital lease obligations	32,207		54,196	68,586	22,910		

All of the operations of Puget Energy are conducted through its subsidiary PSE. "Predecessor" refers to the operations of Puget Energy and PSE prior to the consummation of the merger. "Successor" refers to the operations of Puget Energy and PSE subsequent to the merger. The merger was accounted for in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 805. For a description of this transaction, see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this report on Form 10-K. The discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, such as Puget Energy and PSE objectives, expectations and intentions. Words or phrases such as "anticipates," "believes," "continues," "could," "estimates," "expects," "future," "intends," "may," "might," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "projects," "should," "will likely result," "will continue" and similar expressions are intended to identify certain of these forward-looking statements. However, these words are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. Puget Energy's and PSE's actual results could differ materially from results that may be anticipated by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the section entitled "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" included elsewhere in this report. Except as required by law, neither Puget Energy nor PSE undertakes an obligation to revise any forward-looking statements in order to reflect events or circumstances that may subsequently arise. Readers are urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made in this report and in Puget Energy's and PSE's other reports filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect Puget Energy's and PSE's business, prospects and results of operations.

OVERVIEW

Puget Energy is an energy services holding company and all of its operations are conducted through its subsidiary PSE, a regulated electric and natural gas utility company. PSE is the largest electric and natural gas utility in the state of Washington, primarily engaged in the business of electric transmission, distribution and generation and natural gas distribution. Puget Energy's business strategy is to generate stable cash flows by offering reliable electric and natural gas service in a cost-effective manner through PSE. On February 6, 2009, Puget Holdings completed its merger with Puget Energy. Puget Holdings is a consortium of long-term infrastructure investors including Macquarie Infrastructure Partners I, Macquarie Infrastructure Partners II, Macquarie Capital Group Limited, Macquarie-FSS Infrastructure Trust, the Canada Pension Plan Investment Board (CPPIB), the British Columbia Investment Management Corporation, and the Alberta Investment Management Corporation. As a result of the merger, all of Puget Energy's common stock is indirectly owned by Puget Holdings. Puget Energy accounted for the merger as a business combination and all its assets and liabilities were recorded at fair value as of the merger date. PSE's basis of accounting continues to be on a historical basis and PSE's financial statements do not include any purchase accounting adjustments. Puget Energy and PSE are collectively referred to herein as "the Company."

PSE generates revenue and cash flow primarily from the sale of electric and natural gas services to residential and commercial customers within a service territory covering approximately 6,000 square miles, principally in the Puget Sound region of the state of Washington. To meet customer growth, to replace expiring power contracts and to meet Washington state's renewable energy portfolio standards, PSE is increasing energy efficiency programs to reduce the demand for additional energy generation and is pursuing additional renewable energy production resources (primarily wind) and base load natural gas-fired generation. The Company's external financing requirements principally reflect the cash needs of its construction program, its schedule of maturing debt and certain operational needs. PSE requires access to bank and capital markets to meet its financing needs.

For the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the prior year, PSE's net income was positively affected by the following four factors; (1) a decrease in net unrealized loss on derivative instruments primarily due to reversal of prior period losses that were settled during the period related to natural gas and power contracts due to declining wholesale electricity and natural gas prices which were slightly offset by losses associated with lower forward wholesale prices of natural gas and electricity; (2) an increase in electric and natural gas retail sales primarily due to cooler temperatures in 2011 as compared to warmer than normal temperatures in 2010 during the first quarter; (3) lower power costs resulting from above-average hydroelectric and wind conditions that positively impacted PSE's electric generation in 2011 as compared to higher costs resulting from below-average hydroelectric and wind conditions in 2010; and (4) an increase in Allowance for

Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) debt and equity components due to higher construction expenditures in 2011 as compared to 2010 which are capitalized to construction projects.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

The following discussion includes financial information prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), as well as return on equity excluding unrealized loss on derivative instruments (net income plus unrealized loss on derivative instruments divided by average common equity) that is considered a "non-GAAP financial measure." This measure is a supplemental financial measure that is not prepared in accordance with GAAP. Generally, a non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a company's financial performance, financial position or cash flows that exclude (or include) amounts that are included in (or excluded from) the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. The presentation of return on equity excluding unrealized loss on derivative instruments is intended to supplement readers' understanding of the Company's operating performance. Return on equity excluding unrealized loss on derivative instrument is used by the Company to determine whether the Company is collecting the appropriate earnings from its customers to allow recovery of investor's capital. Furthermore, this measure is not intended to replace return on equity (net income divided by average common equity) as determined in accordance with GAAP as an indicator of operating performance and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

The Company has faced certain challenges which caused a significant reduction in the return on equity as compared to other years. The following table presents PSE's return on equity for 2011 and 2010:

		2011			2010	
		AVERAGE			AVERAGE	RETURN
		COMMON	RETURN		COMMON	On
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	EARNINGS	EQUITY	On Equity	EARNINGS	EQUITY	EQUITY
Return on equity - GAAP	\$ 204,120	\$3,098,564	6.6%	\$ 26,095	\$3,028,990	0.9%
Plus: Unrealized loss on derivative						
instruments, after-tax	35,195		*	108,519		*
Less: Equity adjustments ¹		341,231	*		269,484	*
Plus: Impact of average of monthly						
average (AMA)		36,242	*		(53,238)	*
AMA regulated return on equity	\$ 239,315	\$3,476,037	6.9%	\$ 134,614	\$3,245,236	4.1%
Authorized regulated return on equity ²			10.1%			10.1%

¹ Equity adjustments related to backing out the impacts of accumulated other comprehensive income, subsidiary retained earnings and retained earnings of derivative instruments.

The Company's 2011 return on equity, excluding derivative instruments, was 6.9%, which is lower than the authorized return on equity due to the following:

- Utility operations and maintenance expense was \$21 million higher than the amount allowed in rates for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was driven by an increase in costs in electric production, administration and general expenses and gas operations costs.
- Depreciation expense was \$30 million higher than the amount allowed in rates for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to additional electric and common utility capital expenditures placed into service.
- Utility rate making process has a delay between incurring expenses and their recovery in ratebase.
 PSE increased ratebase by \$484 million since its last general rate increase effective April 8, 2010.
 On June 13, 2011, PSE filed a general rate increase for electric and gas with the Washington Commission.
- These negative impacts were offset by favorable load which increased natural gas therm sales 7.0% for the year ended December 31, 2011, due to cooler temperatures in the current year as compared

The authorized regulated return on equity was approved by the Washington Commission in its general rate case order which became effective April 8, 2010.

^{*} Not meaningful

to the same period in prior year. Also, favorable electric power costs had a positive impact on net income.

The Company's 2010 return on equity, excluding derivative instruments, was 4.1%, which is lower than the authorized return on equity due to the following:

- Electric retail kilowatt sales and natural gas therm sales for the year ended December 31, 2010 declined 2.3% and 1.9%, respectively, as compared to historical averages due to warmer temperatures in the first quarter of 2010 which was one of its highest revenue quarters for the year and, to a lesser extent, the impact of PSE's residential and commercial customer conservation programs, as well as continued effects of weak economic conditions in the Pacific Northwest.
- The Pacific Northwest experienced below normal hydrological and wind conditions which adversely impacted PSE's power costs in the first quarter of 2010. Hydroelectric and wind generation for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased by 700,511 MWhs, or 11.8%, as compared to historical averages. As a result, PSE's power costs in excess of the baseline rate was \$29.2 million due to purchasing or generating higher cost electricity to replace the decrease in generation from hydroelectric and wind generating projects.
- PSE had requested electric and natural gas rate increases of \$110.3 million and \$27.2 million, respectively in 2009. The Washington Commission approved general rate case increases of \$74.1 million and \$18.3 million for electric and natural gas customers, respectively which were effective April 8, 2010. The difference between the allowed and requested increases included a rate of return with a lower equity return and lower equity component than requested, in addition to stricter interpretation of proforma adjustments from what was previously allowed.
- As a result of the Washington Commission order of May 20, 2010, PSE adjusted the carrying value
 of its California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset in the second quarter of 2010 by \$17.8
 million pre-tax (from \$21.1 million to \$3.3 million), which impacted wholesale energy sales.

Factors and Trends Affecting PSE's Performance. PSE's regulatory requirements and operational needs require the investment of substantial capital in 2012 and future years. Because PSE intends to seek recovery of such investments through the regulatory process, its financial results depend heavily upon favorable outcomes from that process. Further, PSE's financial performance is heavily influenced by general economic conditions in its service territory, which affect customer growth and use-per-customer and thus utility sales, as well as by its customers' conservation investments, which also tend to reduce energy sales. The principal business, economic and other factors that affect PSE's operations and financial performance include:

- The rates PSE is allowed to charge for its services;
- PSE's ability to recover fixed costs that are included in rates which are based on volume;
- Weather conditions, including snow-pack affecting hydrological conditions;
- Demand for electricity and natural gas among customers in PSE's service territory;
- Regulatory decisions allowing PSE to recover costs, including purchased power and fuel costs, on a timely basis;
- PSE's ability to supply electricity and natural gas, either through company-owned generation, power purchase contracts or by procuring natural gas or electricity in wholesale markets;
- Availability and access to capital and the cost of capital;
- Regulatory compliance costs, including those related to new and developing federal regulations of
 electric system reliability, state regulations of natural gas pipelines and federal, state and local
 environmental laws and regulations;
- The impact of energy efficiency programs on sales and margins;
- Wholesale commodity prices of electricity and natural gas;
- Increasing depreciation and related property taxes; and
- Federal, state, and local taxes.

Regulation of PSE Rates and Recovery of PSE Costs. The rates that PSE is allowed to charge for its services influence its financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. PSE is highly regulated and the rates that it charges its retail customers are approved by the Washington Commission. The Washington Commission requires these rates be determined based, to a large extent, on historic test year costs plus weather normalized assumptions about hydroelectric conditions and power costs in the relevant rate year. Incremental customer growth and sales typically do not provide sufficient revenue to cover year-to-year cost growth, thus rate increases are required. If, in a particular year, PSE's costs are higher than what is allowed to be recovered in rates, revenue may not be sufficient to permit PSE to earn its allowed return. In addition, the Washington Commission determines whether expenses and investments are reasonable and prudent in providing electric and natural gas service. If the Washington Commission determines that part of PSE's costs do not meet the standard applied, those costs may be disallowed partially or entirely and not recovered in rates.

ELECTRIC RATES

PSE has a PCA mechanism that provides for the recovery of power costs from customers or refunding of power cost savings to customers in the event those costs vary from the "power cost baseline" level of power costs. The "power cost baseline" levels are set, in part, based on normalized assumptions about weather and hydroelectric conditions. Excess power costs or power cost savings are apportioned between PSE and its customers pursuant to the graduated scale set forth in the PCA mechanism.

The graduated scale is as follows:

	Customers'	COMPANY'S
ANNUAL POWER COST VARIABILITY	SHARE	SHARE
+/- \$20 million	0%	100%
+/- \$20 million - \$40 million	50%	50%
+/- \$40 million - \$120 million	90%	10%
+/- \$120 + million	95%	5%

PSE had a favorable PCA imbalance for the year ended December 31, 2011, which was \$38.1 million below the "power cost baseline" level, \$9.0 million of which was apportioned to customers. This compares to an unfavorable imbalance of \$31.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, \$7.2 million of which was apportioned to customers.

On June 13, 2011, PSE filed a general rate increase with the Washington Commission which proposed an increase in electric rates of \$160.7 million or 8.1%, to be effective May 2012. PSE requested a weighted cost of capital of 8.42%, or 7.29% after-tax, and a capital structure of 48.0% in common equity with a return on equity of 10.8%. The filing also proposes a conservation savings adjustment mechanism related to energy efficiency services for business and residential customers. On September 1, 2011, PSE filed supplemental testimony to adjust the electric rate increase to \$152.3 million, a 7.7% increase, due to changes in projected power costs. On January 17, 2012, PSE filed rebuttal testimony which included a reduction to the requested electric rate increase to \$126.0 million. The \$26.3 million reduction was primarily due to updates to power costs and to a change to the weighted cost of capital to 8.26%, or 7.17% after-tax, which included a change to the return on equity to 10.75%. Hearings related to this matter were held on February 14 through 17, 2012.

The following table sets forth electric rate adjustments approved by the Washington Commission and the corresponding impact on PSE's annual revenue based on the effective dates:

		AVERAGE	Annual
		PERCENTAGE	INCREASE (DECREASE)
Type of Rate		INCREASE (DECREASE)	IN REVENUE
ADJUSTMENT	EFFECTIVE DATE	IN RATES	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)
Renewable Energy Credit	November 1, 2010 –		
Proceeds	March 31, 2011	(2.9)%	\$ (27.7)
Electric General Rate Case	April 8, 2010, Annual	3.7%	74.1

NATURAL GAS RATES

On March 14, 2011, the Washington Commission issued its order authorizing PSE to increase its natural gas general tariff rates by \$19.0 million or 1.8% on an annual basis effective April 1, 2011.

On April 26, 2011, PSE filed a new tariff for a Natural Gas Pipeline Integrity Program. This program is intended to enhance pipeline safety by providing for the timely recovery of the Company's cost to replace certain natural gas system

infrastructure that would emphasize system reliability, integrity and safety which would increase natural gas revenue by \$1.9 million or 0.2%. The Washington Commission held a hearing on November 17, 2011 and a Commission Order is the next awaited step in the proceeding.

On June 13, 2011, PSE filed a general rate increase with the Washington Commission which proposed an increase in natural gas rates of \$31.9 million or 3.0%, to be effective May 2012. PSE requested a weighted cost of capital of 8.42%, or 7.29% after-tax, and a capital structure of 48.0% in common equity with a return on equity of 10.8%. The filing also proposes a conservation savings adjustment mechanism related to energy efficiency services for business and residential customers. On January 17, 2012, PSE filed rebuttal testimony which included a reduction to the requested natural gas rate increase to \$28.6 million. The \$3.3 million reduction was primarily due to a change to the weighted cost of capital to 8.26%, or 7.17% after-tax, which included a change to the return on equity to 10.75%. Hearings related to this matter were held on February 14 through 17, 2012.

On October 27, 2011, the Washington Commission approved PSE's PGA natural gas tariff filing effective November 1, 2011, to decrease the rates charged to customers under the PGA. The estimated revenue impact of the approved charge is a decrease of \$43.5 million, or 4.3% annually. The rate adjustment has no impact on PSE's net income.

PSE has a PGA mechanism in retail natural gas rates to recover variations in natural gas supply and transportation costs. Variations in natural gas rates are passed through to customers; therefore, PSE's net income is not affected by such variations. Changes in the PGA rates affect PSE's revenue, but do not impact net income as the changes to revenue are offset by increased or decreased purchased gas and gas transportation costs.

The following table sets forth natural gas rate adjustments that were approved by the Washington Commission and the corresponding impact to PSE's annual revenue based on the effective dates:

		AVERAGE	ANNUAL
		PERCENTAGE	INCREASE (DECREASE)
TYPE OF RATE		INCREASE (DECREASE)	IN REVENUE
ADJUSTMENT	EFFECTIVE DATE	IN RATES	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)
Purchased Gas Adjustment	November 1, 2011	(4.3)%	\$ (43.5)
Natural Gas General Tariff			
Adjustment	April 1, 2011	1.8	19.0
Purchased Gas Adjustment	November 1, 2010 – October 31, 2011	1.9	18.3
Natural Gas General Rate			
Case	April 8, 2010	0.8	10.1
Purchased Gas Adjustment	October 1, 2009 – October 31, 2010	(17.1)	(198.1)
Purchased Gas Adjustment	June 1, 2009 – May 31, 2010	(1.8)	(21.2)
Purchased Gas Adjustment	October 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009	11.1	108.8

Weather Conditions. Weather conditions in PSE's service territory have a significant impact on customer energy usage, affecting PSE's revenue and energy supply expenses. PSE's operating revenue and associated energy supply expenses are not generated evenly throughout the year. While both PSE's electric and natural gas sales are generally greatest during winter months, variations in energy usage by customers occur from season to season and month to month within a season, primarily as a result of weather conditions. PSE normally experiences its highest retail energy sales, and subsequently higher power costs, during the winter heating season in the first and fourth quarters of the year and its lowest sales in the third quarter of the year. Varying wholesale electric prices and the amount of hydroelectric energy supplies available to PSE also make quarter-to-quarter comparisons difficult. PSE reported higher customer usage in the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily due to Pacific Northwest temperatures being 1.54 degrees cooler, as compared to the same period in 2010, which translates to a 13.1% increase in heating degree days.

Customer Demand. PSE expects the number of natural gas customers to grow at rates slightly above electric customers. PSE also expects energy usage by both residential electric and natural gas customers to continue a long-term trend of slow decline due to continued energy efficiency improvements and the effect of higher retail rates. The effects of the current recession on Washington's economy have exacerbated a decline in customer usage throughout 2011.

Access to Debt Capital. PSE relies on access to bank borrowings and short-term money markets as sources of liquidity and longer-term debt markets to fund its utility construction program, to meet maturing debt obligations and other capital expenditure requirements not satisfied by cash flow from its operations or equity investment from its parent, Puget Energy. Neither Puget Energy nor PSE have any debt outstanding whose maturity would accelerate upon a credit rating downgrade.

However, a ratings downgrade could adversely affect the Company's ability to renew existing, or obtain access to new credit facilities and could increase the cost of such facilities. For example, under Puget Energy's and PSE's credit facilities, the borrowing costs and commitment fees increase as their respective credit ratings decline. If PSE is unable to access debt capital on reasonable terms, its ability to pursue improvements or acquisitions, including generating capacity, which may be relied on for future growth and to otherwise implement its strategy, could be adversely affected. PSE monitors the credit environment and expects to continue to be able to access the capital markets to meet its short-term and long-term borrowing needs. PSE's credit facilities expire in 2014 and Puget Energy's credit facility expires in 2017. (See discussion on credit facilities in "Financing Program" section.)

Regulatory Compliance Costs and Expenditures. PSE's operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations. Such regulations cover electric system reliability, gas pipeline system safety and energy market transparency, among other areas. Environmental laws and regulations related to air and water quality (including climate change) and endangered species protection, waste handling and disposal (including generation byproducts such as coal ash), remediation of contamination and siting new facilities also impact the Company's operations. PSE must spend significant amounts fulfilling requirements by regulatory agencies, many of which have greatly expanded mandates, and on measures including, but not limited to, resource planning, remediation, monitoring, pollution control equipment and emissions-related abatement and fees in order to comply with these regulatory requirements.

Compliance with these or other future regulations, such as those pertaining to climate change and generation byproducts could require significant capital expenditures by PSE and may adversely affect PSE's financial position, results of operations, cash flows and liquidity.

OTHER CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES

Energy Supply. As noted in PSE's IRP filed with the Washington Commission, PSE projects future energy needs will exceed current resources from long-term power purchase agreements and Company-controlled power resources. The IRP identifies reductions in contractual supplies of energy and capacity available under certain long-term power purchase agreements, requiring replacement of supplies to meet projected demands. Therefore, PSE's IRP sets forth a multi-part strategy of implementing energy efficiency programs and pursuing additional renewable resources (primarily wind) and additional base load natural gas-fired generation to meet the growing needs of its customers. If PSE cannot acquire needed energy supply resources at a reasonable cost, it may be required to purchase additional power in the open market at a cost that could, in the absence of regulatory relief, significantly increase its expenses and reduce earnings and cash flows.

Infrastructure Investment. PSE is investing in its utility infrastructure and customer service functions in order to meet regulatory requirements, serve customers' energy needs and replace aging infrastructure. These investments and operating requirements give rise to significant growth in depreciation, amortization and operating expenses, which are not recovered through the ratemaking process in a timely manner. This "regulatory lag" is expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

Operational Risks Associated With Generating Facilities. PSE owns and operates coal, natural gas-fired, hydroelectric, wind-powered and oil-fired generating facilities. Operation of electric generating facilities involves risks that can adversely affect energy output and efficiency levels, including facility shutdowns due to equipment and process failures or fuel supply interruptions. PSE does not have business interruption insurance coverage to cover replacement power costs.

Energy Efficiency Related Lost Sales Margin. PSE's sales, margins, earnings and cash flow are adversely affected by its energy efficiency programs, many of which are mandated by law. The Company is evaluating strategies and other means to reduce or eliminate these adverse financial effects. In 2011, as part of the general rate case, a conservation adjustment was proposed to help recover lost margins.

Markets For Intangible Power Attributes. The Company is actively engaged in monitoring the development of the commercial markets for such intangible power attributes as RECs and carbon financial instruments. The Company supports the development of regional and national markets for such products that are free, open, transparent and liquid.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Puget Sound Energy

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this document. The following discussion provides the significant items that impacted PSE's results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. Set forth below is the consolidated financial results of PSE for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

PUGET SOUND ENERGY	Year I Decem			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	_
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	FAVORABLE/ (UNFAVORABLE)	2009	FAVORABLE/ (UNFAVORABLE)
Operating revenue:	2011	2010	(ON A VORABLE)	2007	(CIVIAVORABLE)
Electric					
Residential sales	\$ 1,144,165	\$ 1,078,262	6.1%	\$ 1,067,274	1.0 %
Commercial sales	853,880	836,957	2.0	838,275	(0.2)
Industrial sales	108,247	103,678	4.4	99,552	4.1
Other retail sales, including unbilled revenue	17,651	12,787	38.0	16,424	(22.1)
Total retail sales	2,123,943	2,031,684	4.5	2,021,525	0.5
Transportation sales	10,275	11,000	(6.6)	10,623	3.5
Sales to other utilities and marketers	45,726	62,943	(27.4)	78,471	(19.8)
Other	(32,724)	1,842	*	(11,883)	115.5
Total electric operating revenue	2,147,220	2,107,469	1.9	2,098,736	0.4
Gas	2,117,220	2,107,109	1.,	2,070,720	0.1
Residential sales	760,441	648,649	17.2	795,756	(18.5)
Commercial sales	344,326	301,083	14.4	357,110	(15.7)
Industrial sales	34,867	33,004	5.6	39,531	(16.5)
Total retail sales	1,139,634	982,736	16.0	1,192,397	(17.6)
Transportation sales	15,017	14,082	6.6	13,014	8.2
Other	14,199	14,713	(3.5)	19,334	(23.9)
Total gas operating revenue	1,168,850	1,011,531	15.6	1,224,745	(17.4)
Non-utility operating revenue	3,733	3,217	16.0	5,020	(35.9)
Total operating revenue	3,319,803	3,122,217	6.3	3,328,501	(6.2)
Operating expenses:	3,317,003	3,122,217	0.5	3,320,301	(0.2)
Energy costs:					
Purchased electricity	771,983	774,007	0.3	887,306	12.8
Electric generation fuel	199,471	268,147	25.6	208,444	(28.6)
Residential exchange	(71,147)	(75,109)	(5.3)	(96,504)	(22.2)
Purchased gas	622,088	535,933	(16.1)	718,860	25.4
Net unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments	54,146	166,953	67.6	(1,254)	*
Utility operations and maintenance	497,921	486,701	(2.3)	487,396	0.1
Non-utility expense and other	11,147	11,159	0.1	14,532	23.2
Merger and related costs			*	23,908	*
Depreciation Depreciation	299,597	292,634	(2.4)	269,386	(8.6)
Amortization	72,381	71,572	(1.1)	63,466	(12.8)
Conservation amortization	107,646	90,109	(19.5)	66,466	(35.6)
Taxes other than income taxes	323,527	292,520	(10.6)	303,360	3.6
Total operating expenses	2,888,760	2,914,626	0.9	2,945,366	1.0
Operating income	431,043	207,591	107.6	383,135	(45.8)
Other income	58,041	45,153	28.5	52,812	(14.5)
Other expense	(5,380)	(5,673)	5.2	(6,524)	13.0
Interest expense	(201,467)	(220,854)	8.8	(202,527)	(9.0)
Income before income taxes	282,237	26,217	*	226,896	(88.4)
Income tax expense	78,117	122	*	67,644	99.8
Net income	\$ 204,120	\$ 26,095	*%	\$ 159,252	(83.6)%
1 tot meome	Ψ 207,120	Ψ 20,073	/0	Ψ 137,434	(03.0)/0

^{*} Not meaningful

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES – ELECTRIC AND GAS MARGINS

The following discussion includes financial information prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), as well as two other financial measures, electric margin and gas margin, that are considered "non-GAAP financial measures." Generally, a non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a company's financial performance, financial position or cash flows that exclude (or include) amounts that are included in (or excluded from) the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. The presentation of electric margin and gas margin is intended to supplement an understanding of PSE's operating performance. Electric margin and gas margin are used by PSE to determine whether PSE is collecting the appropriate amount of energy costs from its customers to allow recovery of operating costs. PSE's electric margin and gas margin measures may not be comparable to other companies' electric margin and gas margin measures. Furthermore, these measures are not intended to replace operating income as determined in accordance with GAAP as an indicator of operating performance.

ELECTRIC MARGIN

The following table displays the details of PSE's electric margin changes from periods 2010 to 2011 and periods 2009 to 2010. Electric margin represents electric sales to retail and transportation customers less pass-through tariff items, revenue-sensitive taxes and the cost of generating and purchasing electric energy sold to customers, including transmission costs to bring electric energy to PSE's service territory.

	Year I	ENDED		YEAR ENDED	
	DECEM	BER 31,		DECEMBER 31,	
ELECTRIC MARGIN			PERCENT		PERCENT
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	Change	2009	CHANGE
Electric operating revenue ¹	\$2,147,220	\$2,107,469	1.9%	\$2,098,736	0.4%
Add (less): Other electric operating revenue	32,723	(1,841)	*	11,883	*
Less: Other electric operating revenue-gas					
supply resale	(58,402)	(36,748)	58.9	(46,626)	(21.2)
Add (less): Other electric operating revenue-					
RECs & PTCs	(15,344)	3,231	*		*
Total electric revenue for margin	2,106,197	2,072,111	1.6	2,063,993	0.4
Adjustments for amounts included in revenue:					
Pass-through tariff items	(101,864)	(90,071)	(13.1)	(69,839)	(29.0)
Pass-through revenue-sensitive taxes	(158,661)	(150,565)	(5.4)	(150,119)	(0.3)
Net electric revenue for margin	1,845,672	1,831,475	0.8	1,844,035	(0.7)
Minus power costs:					
Purchased electricity ¹	(771,983)	(774,007)	0.3	(887,306)	12.8
Electric generation fuel ¹	(199,471)	(268,147)	25.6	(208,444)	(28.6)
Residential exchange ¹	71,147	75,109	5.3	96,504	22.2
Total electric power costs	(900,307)	(967,045)	6.9	(999,246)	3.2
Electric margin ²	\$ 945,365	\$ 864,430	9.4%	\$ 844,789	2.3%

¹ As reported on PSE's Consolidated Statement of Income.

Electric margin increased \$80.9 million and \$19.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Following is a discussion of significant items that impact electric operating revenue and electric energy costs which are included in electric margin:

2011 COMPARED TO 2010

ELECTRIC OPERATING REVENUE

Electric operating revenue increased \$39.8 million, or 1.9%, to \$2,147.2 million from \$2,107.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in operating revenue of \$39.8 million was due to higher electric retail sales of \$92.3 million offset by lower sales to other utilities and marketers of \$17.2 million and by lower miscellaneous operating revenue of \$34.6 million. These items are discussed in detail below.

² Electric margin does not include any allocation for amortization/depreciation expense or electric generation operation and maintenance expense.

^{*} Percent change not applicable or meaningful.

Electric retail sales. Electric retail sales increased \$92.3 million, or 4.5%, to \$2,123.9 million from \$2,031.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in electric retail sales was due to a \$57.7 million increase in retail electricity usage of 595,487 MWhs, or 2.8%, primarily due to cooler temperatures in PSE's service territory during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in the prior year. The average temperature during the year ended December 31, 2011 was 50.7 degrees, or 1.54 degrees colder than the same period in the prior year, which resulted in a 13.1% increase in heating degree days. Additionally, the electric rate increase effective April 8, 2010 contributed \$25.9 million to the increase in electric retail sales. Also contributing to the increase in retail sales were pass-through items with no impact to earnings including a \$11.5 million increase in conservation rider program rates, a \$7.7 million decrease related to the suspension of the PTC tariff credit effective July 1, 2010, a \$4.1 million decrease in the residential exchange rate credit and various other pass-through items. PTCs that are generated and provided to customers are recorded as a reduction in other electric operating revenue until PSE utilizes the tax credit on its tax return, at which time the PTCs will be credited to customers in retail sales. Additionally, PSE's customers were credited \$10.5 million for REC revenue, effective November 1, 2010, resulting in a decrease in electric retail sales. The \$10.5 million credit to customers is offset in other electric operating revenue with no impact to earnings. PSE's customers continued to receive credits through April 30, 2011.

Sales to other utilities and marketers. Sales to other utilities and marketers decreased \$17.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a reduction in sales volumes of 687,124 MWhs, or 27.5% which decreased revenue \$22.2 million and a decline in wholesale electricity prices which decreased revenue by \$12.8 million. Additionally, in the prior year there was a carrying value adjustment of \$17.8 million related to PSE's California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset that did not occur in 2011.

Other electric operating revenue. Other electric operating revenue decreased \$34.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the decrease was primarily due to a decrease in non-core gas sales of \$21.7 million and a decrease of \$85.5 related to PTCs, partially offset by an increase in REC revenue of \$67.0 million, PTCs are deferred until PSE utilizes the tax credit on its tax return. As discussed above, REC revenue is an offset of the REC credit provided to PSE's customers in electric retail sales with no impact to earnings.

ELECTRIC ENERGY COSTS

Purchased electricity expense decreased \$2.0 million, or 0.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease in purchased electricity expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily the result of lower wholesale market prices, which contributed \$180.6 million to the decrease. This decrease was offset by an increase in purchased power of 3,217,631 MWhs, or 23.2%, resulting in an increase of \$160.3 million, which was driven by cooler temperatures during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in the prior year. In addition the decrease was offset by an overrecovery of power costs from customers of \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, which reduced the customer PCA deferral as compared to an underrecovery of power costs of \$7.2 million in the same period in 2010. The overrecovery of power costs was due to above-average hydroelectric and wind generation resulting in decreased power costs associated with purchased electricity and fuel costs of PSE's combustion turbines.

To meet customer demand, PSE economically dispatches resources in its power supply portfolio such as fossil-fuel generation, owned and contracted hydroelectric capacity and energy and long-term contracted power. However, depending principally upon availability of hydroelectric energy, plant availability, fuel prices and/or changing load as a result of weather, PSE may sell surplus power or purchase deficit power in the wholesale market. PSE manages its regulated power portfolio through short-term and intermediate-term off-system physical purchases and sales as well as through other risk management techniques.

Electric generation fuel expense decreased \$68.7 million, or 25.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease was primarily due to lower volumes of electricity generation from PSE's combustion turbine facilities as a result of increases in hydroelectric and wind generation of 1,219,910 MWhs, or 19.2%. Also, coal generation at Colstrip decreased 987,522 MWhs, or 19.0% for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. Generation fuel costs were also lower, due to low wholesale market prices, as it was more economical to purchase wholesale energy than to generate energy from PSE's combustion turbine facilities.

Residential exchange credits decreased \$4.0 million, or 5.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 as a result of lower electric residential and farm customer sales volumes associated with the BPA

Residential Exchange Program (REP). The REP credit is a pass-through tariff item with a corresponding credit in electric operating revenue, with no impact on net income.

2010 COMPARED TO 2009

ELECTRIC OPERATING REVENUE

Electric operating revenue increased \$8.8 million, or 0.4%, to \$2,107.5 million from \$2,098.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The increase in operating revenue of \$8.8 million was due to higher electric retail sales of \$10.1 million and higher miscellaneous operating revenue of \$13.7 million. These increases were offset by lower sales to other utilities and marketers of \$15.5 million. These items are discussed in detail below.

Electric retail sales. Electric retail sales increased \$10.2 million, or 0.5%, to \$2,031.7 million from \$2,021.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The increase in electric retail sales was due to a \$47.9 million electric rate increase effective April 8, 2010. Partially offsetting the increase in electric retail sales was an \$88.8 million decline in retail electricity usage of 965,695 MWhs, or 4.4%, primarily due to warmer than average temperatures in the Pacific Northwest during the first quarter of 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The average temperature during the first quarter of 2010 was 46.8 degrees, or 6.2 degrees warmer than the same period in 2009. As a result of the warmer first quarter of 2010, heating degree days for the year ended December 31, 2010 were 7.1% lower than the same period in 2009. The decline in retail electricity usage was also due to an increase in PSE's residential and commercial customer conservation programs and the continued effects of a weak Pacific Northwest economy. Also contributing to the increase in retail sales are pass-through items with no impact to earnings including a \$22.3 million increase attributable to a decrease in benefits (credits to customers) of the Residential and Small Farm Energy Exchange Benefit, a \$20.2 million increase due to conservation rider program rate increases and a \$17.0 million increase in retail sales related to the suspension of the PTC tariff effective July 1, 2010. PTCs that are generated and provided to customers are recorded as a reduction in other electric operating revenue until PSE utilizes the tax credit on its tax return at which time the PTCs will be credited to customers in retail sales. Additionally, PSE's customers were credited \$10.5 million for REC revenue effective November 1, 2010, resulting in a decrease in electric retail sales. The \$10.5 million credit to customers is offset in other electric operating revenue with no impact to earnings. PSE's customers will continue to receive credits through March 2011.

Sales to other utilities and marketers. Sales to other utilities and marketers decreased \$15.5 million, or 19.8% for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease was primarily due to a carrying value adjustment of \$17.8 million related to PSE's California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset and a reduction in sales volumes of 28,981 MWhs, or 1.1% which decreased revenue by \$1.0 million. Partially offsetting the decline was an increase in wholesale electricity prices which increased by \$3.1 million.

Other electric operating revenue. Other operating revenue increased \$13.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in non-core gas sales of \$9.9 million and REC revenue of \$10.5 million. As discussed above, REC revenue is an offset of the REC credit provided to PSE's customers in electric retail sales with no impact to earnings. Partially offsetting the increase to other operating revenue was \$7.3 million of PTCs which are deferred until PSE utilizes tax credit on its tax return.

ELECTRIC ENERGY COSTS

Purchased electricity expense decreased \$113.3 million, or 12.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily the result of a decrease in purchased power of 1,349,571 MWhs, or 8.9%, resulting in a decrease of \$71.6 million and by lower wholesale market prices which contributed \$44.5 million. The decrease in purchased power for the year ended December 31, 2010 was primarily the result of lower customer usage related to warmer than normal temperatures during 2010, a weak economy in the Pacific Northwest and 18.7% higher generation of electricity from PSE's coal-fired generation facility, Colstrip, due to Colstrip Unit 4 having an extended outage in 2009.

To meet customer demand, PSE economically dispatches resources in its power supply portfolio such as fossil-fuel generation, owned and contracted hydroelectric capacity and energy and long-term contracted power. However, depending principally upon availability of hydroelectric energy, plant availability, fuel prices and/or changing load as a result of weather, PSE may sell surplus power or purchase deficit power in the wholesale market. PSE manages its regulated power

portfolio through short-term and intermediate-term off-system physical purchases and sales as well as through other risk management techniques.

Electric generation fuel expense increased \$59.7 million, or 28.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to a \$44.5 million increase in costs at PSE's combustion turbine facilities and a \$15.2 million increase related to increased generation at Colstrip in 2010 due to the Colstrip Unit 4 extended outage in 2009. Also contributing to the increased electric generation fuel expense at company-owned natural gas facilities was an 8.0% decrease in hydroelectric generation by Company-owned facilities and under take-or-pay purchased electricity contracts partially offset by an increase in wind generation.

Residential exchange credits decreased \$21.4 million, or 22.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of lower electric residential and farm customer sales volumes associated with the BPA REP. The REP credit is a pass-through tariff item with a corresponding credit in electric operating revenue, with no impact on net income.

NATURAL GAS MARGIN

The following table displays the details of PSE's natural gas margin changes from 2010 to 2011 and 2009 to 2010. Gas margin is natural gas sales to retail and transportation customers less pass-through tariff items and revenue-sensitive taxes and the cost of natural gas purchased, including transportation costs to bring natural gas to PSE's service territory.

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
NATURAL GAS MARGIN			PERCENT	_	PERCENT
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	CHANGE	2009	CHANGE
Gas operating revenue ¹	\$1,168,850	\$1,011,531	15.6%	\$1,224,745	(17.4)%
Less: Other gas operating revenue	(14,198)	(14,713)	(3.5)	(19,334)	(23.9)
Total gas revenue for margin	1,154,652	996,818	15.8	1,205,411	(17.3)
Adjustments for amounts included in revenue:					
Pass-through tariff items	(26,441)	(18,927)	39.7	(14,441)	31.1
Pass-through revenue-sensitive taxes	(93,809)	(80,554)	16.5	(97,736)	(17.6)
Net gas revenue for margin	1,034,402	897,337	15.3	1,093,234	(17.9)
Minus purchased gas costs ¹	(622,088)	(535,933)	16.1	(718,860)	(25.4)
Natural gas margin ²	\$ 412,314	\$ 361,404	14.1%	\$ 374,374	(3.5)%

As reported on PSE's Consolidated Statement of Income.

Gas margin increased \$50.9 million and decreased \$13.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Following is a discussion of significant items that impact gas operating revenue and gas energy costs which are included in natural gas margin:

2011 COMPARED TO 2010

GAS OPERATING REVENUE

Gas operating revenue increased \$157.3 million, or 15.6%, to \$1,168.9 million from \$1,011.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in gas operating revenue of \$157.3 million was due primarily to higher natural gas retail sales of \$156.9 million.

Natural gas retail sales. Natural gas retail sales increased \$156.9 million, or 16.0%, to \$1,139.6 million from \$982.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase consists of \$132.6 million due to an increase in gas therms of 131.3 million, or 12.5% as a result of cooler temperatures. Also contributing is an increase of \$42.5 million due to a 1.8% increase in natural gas general rate effective April 8, 2010 and a 0.8% PGA rate increase effective November 1, 2010. The increase was offset \$10.9 million due to a 4.3% PGA rate decrease effective November 1, 2011. The PGA mechanism passes through to customers increases or decreases in the natural gas supply portion of the natural gas service rates based upon changes in the price of natural gas purchased from producers and

² Gas margin does not include any allocation for amortization/depreciation expense or electric generation operations and maintenance expense.

wholesale marketers or changes in natural gas pipeline transportation costs. PSE's net income is not affected by changes under the PGA mechanism.

GAS ENERGY COSTS

Purchased gas expense increased \$86.2 million, or 16.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to higher natural gas costs reflected in PGA rates effective November 1, 2010. In addition, an increase in customer usage of 12.5% for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010 contributed to the increase of costs. The PGA mechanism provides the rates used to determine natural gas costs based on customer usage. The rate increase was the result of increasing costs of wholesale natural gas. The PGA mechanism allows PSE to recover expected natural gas supply and transportation costs and defer, as a receivable or liability, any natural gas supply and transportation costs that exceed or fall short of this expected natural gas cost amount in PGA mechanism rates, including accrued interest. The PGA mechanism payable balance at December 31, 2011 was \$25.9 million as compared to a receivable balance of \$6.0 million at December 31, 2010. PSE is authorized by the Washington Commission to accrue carrying costs on PGA receivable and payable balances. A receivable balance in the PGA mechanism reflects an underrecovery of market natural gas cost through rates.

2010 COMPARED TO 2009

GAS OPERATING REVENUE

Gas operating revenue decreased \$213.2 million, or 17.4%, to \$1,011.5 million from \$1,224.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in gas operating revenue of \$213.2 million was due primarily to lower natural gas retail sales of \$209.7 million.

Natural gas retail sales. Natural gas retail sales decreased \$209.7 million, or 17.6%, to \$982.7 million from \$1,192.4 million during year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease was primarily due to a \$115.4 million decrease in gas operating revenue as a result of PGA rate decreases effective June 1, 2009 and October 1, 2009. The PGA mechanism passes through to customer increases or decreases in the natural gas supply portion of the natural gas service rates based upon changes in the price of natural gas purchased from producers and wholesale marketers or changes in natural gas pipeline transportation costs. PSE's net income is not affected by changes under the PGA mechanism. The decrease in natural gas retail sales was also due to a decrease of 87.6 million in natural gas therm sales, or 7.7%, which decreased revenue by \$107.2 million. The decrease was due primarily to warmer than average temperatures in the Pacific Northwest during the first quarter of 2010 as compared to 2009, an increase in PSE's residential and commercial customer conservation programs and the continued effects of a weak Pacific Northwest economy.

GAS ENERGY COSTS

Purchased gas expense decreased \$182.9 million, or 25.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was due to a 7.7% decrease in customer usage and natural gas costs reflected in PGA rates. The decrease in customer usage was mainly due to a 7.1% decrease in heating degrees days during 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009, the impact of PSE's residential and commercial customer conservation programs and the continued effects of a weak Pacific Northwest economy. The PGA mechanism provides the rates used to determine natural gas costs based on customer usage. The rate decrease was the result of declining costs of wholesale natural gas. The PGA mechanism allows PSE to recover expected natural gas supply and transportation costs and defer, as a receivable or liability, any natural gas supply and transportation costs that exceed or fall short of this expected natural gas cost amount in PGA mechanism rates, including accrued interest. The PGA mechanism receivable balance at December 31, 2010 was \$6.0 million as compared to payable balance of \$49.6 million at December 31, 2009. PSE is authorized by the Washington Commission to accrue carrying costs on PGA receivable and payable balances. A receivable balance in the PGA mechanism reflects an underrecovery of market natural gas cost through rates.

2011 COMPARED TO 2010

OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

Net unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments decreased by \$112.8 million to a loss of \$54.1 million in 2011 as compared to a loss of \$166.9 million during the same period in 2010. In 2011, the derivative portfolio experienced a significant number of 2010 contracts settling. As those contracts settled, the previous losses recorded in 2010 were reversed resulting in reduced losses between years. On July 1, 2009, PSE elected to de-designate its energy related derivative contracts previously designated as cash flow hedges. The de-designated contracts were physical electric supply contracts and natural gas swap contracts used to fix the price of natural gas for electric generation. For these contracts and for contracts initiated after such date, all mark-to-market accounting impacts are recognized through earnings. The amount previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) is transferred to earnings when the contracts settle or sooner, if management determines that the forecasted transaction is probable of not occurring. As a result, PSE will continue to experience the earnings impact of these reversals from OCI in future periods. Over the tenor of PSE's outstanding derivative contracts, the forward wholesale prices of electricity and natural gas declined 25.7% and 23.0%, respectively, from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2011.

Utility operations and maintenance expense increased \$11.2 million, or 2.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was driven by increases of \$11.9 million increase in electric production, \$6.2 in administration and general expenses and \$1.5 million in gas operations costs. Partially offsetting the increase is a \$7.3 million decrease in electric transmission and distribution and a \$1.7 million decrease in customer service expenses.

Depreciation expense increased \$7.0 million, or 2.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to additional electrical and common utility capital expenditures placed into service, net of retirements.

Conservation amortization increased \$17.5 million, or 19.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was due to a higher authorized recovery of electric and natural gas conservation expenditures. Conservation amortization is a pass-through tariff item with no impact on earnings.

Taxes other than income taxes increased \$31.0 million, or 10.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in revenue sensitive taxes due to an increase in retail sales.

OTHER INCOME, INTEREST EXPENSE AND INCOME TAX EXPENSE

Other income increased \$12.9 million, or 28.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase is primarily due to income related to the equity component of AFUDC. AFUDC increased \$21.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, reflecting an increase in the average construction work in progress balance in 2011 due primarily to construction of wind and hydroelectric generation construction projects. This increase was partially offset by decreases in regulatory interest of \$5.4 million, PTC of \$1.2 million and conservative incentive of \$1.2 million.

Interest expense decreased \$19.4 million, or 8.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. Contributing to the decrease was a increase of \$15.8 million in the debt component of AFUDC for the year ended December 31, 2011 which was included as construction expenditures and which was due to an increase in the average construction work in progress balance in 2011. Also contributing to the decrease is \$3.2 million due to lower interest expense on the REC liability owed to customers.

Income tax expense increased \$78.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The increase was primarily related to higher pre-tax income.

2010 COMPARED TO 2009

OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

Net unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments decreased by \$168.2 million to a loss of \$167.0 million in 2010, as compared to a gain of \$(1.3) million in 2009. The loss was primarily due to the decline in wholesale energy prices during 2010 which resulted in unrealized losses on contracts for future deliveries of energy commodities which we record as derivative instruments. On July 1, 2009, PSE elected to de-designate its energy related derivative contracts previously designated as cash flow hedges. The contracts that were de-designated were physical electric supply contracts and natural gas swap contracts used to fix the price of natural gas for electric generation. For these contracts and for contracts initiated

after such date, all mark-to-market accounting impacts are recognized through earnings. The amount previously recorded in accumulated OCI is transferred to earnings when the contracts settle or sooner, if management determines that the forecasted transaction is probable of not occurring. As a result, PSE will continue to experience the earnings impact of these reversals from OCI in future periods. Over the tenor of PSE's outstanding derivative contracts, the forward wholesale prices of electricity and natural gas declined 21.0% and 27.0%, respectively, from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010.

Merger and related costs associated with the merger with Puget Holdings incurred for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased \$23.9 million. These costs were due to one-time PSE employee compensation costs, expenses related to the termination of credit agreements, legal fees and deferred compensation liability increases triggered by the merger in 2009. Pursuant to the Washington Commission merger order commitments, PSE did not seek recovery of these costs in retail rates.

Depreciation expense increased \$23.2 million, or 8.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. This increase was primarily due to new additions of electric, natural gas and common plant which were placed into service in 2010 and the full year effect of plant placed in service throughout 2009.

Amortization expense increased \$8.1 million, or 12.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 due to the inclusion of Mint Farm and Wild Horse expansion operating and ownership costs in general rates effective April 8, 2010. PSE ceased deferral of these costs effective April 8, 2010. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in software amortization.

Conservation amortization increased \$23.6 million, or 35.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The increase was due to a higher authorized recovery of electric and natural gas conservation expenditures. Conservation amortization is a pass-through tariff item with no impact on earnings.

Taxes other than income taxes decreased \$10.8 million, or 3.6%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in revenue sensitive taxes due to lower retail sales which were partially offset by an increase in property taxes.

OTHER INCOME, INTEREST EXPENSE AND INCOME TAX EXPENSE

Other income decreased \$7.7 million, or 14.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily due to the carrying costs associated with the Mint Farm regulatory asset being included in general rates effective April 8, 2010. Prior to April 8, 2010, the Mint Farm regulatory asset was accruing interest income as authorized by the Washington Commission. Also contributing to the decrease was a \$7.0 million decrease due to the Washington Commission AFUDC. These decreases were partially offset by an \$8.5 million increase in AFUDC equity income.

Interest expense increased \$18.3 million, or 9.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to a write off of a regulatory asset of deferred interest paid to the IRS of \$6.9 million related to the Simplified Service Cost Method deduction from prior years which was disallowed in the Washington Commission general rate case order of April 2, 2010. Also impacting the increase was higher long-term debt outstanding and interest on regulatory liability associated with RECs.

Income tax expense decreased \$67.5 million or 99.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily related to lower pre-tax income.

PUGET ENERGY

All the operations of Puget Energy are conducted through its subsidiary PSE. "Predecessor" refers to the operations of Puget Energy and PSE prior to the consummation of the merger on February 6, 2009. "Successor" refers to the operations of Puget Energy and PSE subsequent to the merger. Puget Energy accounted for the merger as a business combination and all its assets and liabilities were recorded at fair value as of the merger date with the remaining consideration recorded as goodwill. The fair values of assets are being amortized over their estimated useful lives in a manner that best reflects the economic benefits derived from such assets. Goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to impairment testing on an annual basis. Such adjustments to fair value and the allocation of purchase price between identifiable intangibles and goodwill will have an impact on Puget Energy's expenses and profitability.

Puget Energy's net income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

				Successor	PREDECESSOR		
				February 6,	JANUARY 1,		
	YEAR E	ENDED	2011-2010	2009 –	2009 –		2010-2009
BENEFIT/(EXPENSE)	DECEME	BER 31,	PERCENT	DECEMBER 31,	FEBRUARY 5,	2009	PERCENT
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	CHANGE	2009	2009	COMBINED	CHANGE
PSE net income	\$ 204,120	\$ 26,095	*%	\$ 127,641	\$ 31,611	\$ 159,252	(83.6)%
Other operating revenue	(1,037)		*	361		361	*
Purchased electricity	578	578		529		529	9.3
Net unrealized gain on							
derivative instruments	42,652	112,858	(62.2)	151,481		151,481	(25.5)
Non-utility expense and other	1,704	(12,793)	(113.3)	(2,249)	(4)	(2,253)	*
Merger and related costs				(2,731)	(20,416)	(23,147)	*
Depreciation and amortization				167		167	*
Charitable contribution expense				(5,000)		(5,000)	*
Other income	10	43	(76.7)				*
Unhedged interest rate							
derivative expense	(28,601)	(7,955)	*				*
Interest expense ¹	(140,493)	(86,156)	63.1	(71,250)	25	(71,225)	21.0
Income tax benefit (expense)	44,357	(2,359)	*	(24,934)	1,540	(23,394)	(89.9)
Puget Energy net income	\$ 123,290	\$ 30,311	*%	\$ 174,015	\$ 12,756	\$ 186,771	(83.8)%

^{*} Not meaningful

2011 COMPARED TO 2010

Summary Results of Operations

Puget Energy's net income for 2011 was \$123.3 million with operating revenue of \$3,318.8 million as compared to net income of \$30.3 million with operating revenue of \$3,122.2 million for 2010. The following are significant factors that impacted Puget Energy's net income which are not included in PSE's discussion:

Net unrealized gain on derivative instruments decreased \$70.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, due to the effects of purchase accounting and the fair value amortization of derivative contracts. The forward prices of electricity and natural gas declined 25.7% and 23%, respectively for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Non-utility expense and other costs decreased \$14.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, due primarily to the write down of SO2 emissions allowance inventory of \$9.0 million in 2010 that did not occur in 2011. Also contributing to this decrease is a \$4.9 million change related to qualified pension plan which resulted in a gain in 2011.

Unhedged interest rate derivative expense increased \$20.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, as a result of paying down a portion of a five-year term-loan due February 2014 in December 2010 and during 2011. The five-year variable rate term-loan was initially fully hedged; however a portion of the hedge was unwound during the current year ended December 31, 2011.

Interest expense increased \$54.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010 due to increased out standing debt. In December 2010 and during 2011, Puget Energy issued fixed rate notes with higher interest rates to refinance and extend the debt maturity of a portion of a five-year term-loan due February 2014.

Income tax expense decreased \$46.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, due primarily to higher pre-tax loss.

2010 COMPARED TO 2009

Summary Results of Operations

Puget Energy's net income for 2010 was \$30.3 million with operating revenue of \$3,122.2 million as compared to net income of \$186.8 million with operating revenue of \$3,328.5 million for 2009. The following are significant factors that impacted Puget Energy's net income which are not included in PSE's discussion:

Net unrealized gain on derivative instruments decreased \$38.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, as a result of the required recognition of all contracts at fair value as part of purchase

Puget Energy's interest expense includes elimination adjustments of intercompany interest on short-term debt.

accounting, including derivative contracts previously designated as Normal Purchase Normal Sale (NPNS). Certain of these contracts were subsequently redesignated as NPNS. The unrealized gain represents the change in fair value of derivative contracts.

Non-utility expense and other costs increased \$10.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, due primarily to the write down of SO2 emissions allowance inventory of \$7.9 million.

Merger and related costs decreased \$23.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, due to one-time merger related costs of compensation triggered by Puget Energy's change of control, excise taxes and financial advisor fees.

Unhedged interest rate derivative expense increased \$8.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, due to the de-designation of interest rate swaps associated with the portion of the term-loan that was paid off on December 6, 2010.

Charitable contribution expense decreased \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, due to a charitable contribution to the PSE Foundation in 2009.

Interest expense increased \$14.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily due to the write-down of unamortized loan issuance costs associated with the portion of the term-loan paid off on December 6, 2010, business combination fair value amortization adjustments related to PSE's long-term debt and deferred debt costs.

Income tax expense decreased \$21.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, primarily due to a decrease in pre-tax income combined with a decrease in the effective tax rate.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

The following are PSE's and Puget Energy's aggregate contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011:

	PAYMENTS DUE PER PERIOD					
CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS			2013-	2015-		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	TOTAL	2012	2014	2016	THEREAFTER	
Energy purchase obligations ¹	\$ 5,428,718	\$ 875,362	\$ 1,377,807	\$ 1,070,421	\$ 2,105,128	
Long-term debt including interest ²	9,172,751	227,602	467,060	838,275	7,639,814	
Short-term debt including interest ^{7,8}	55,076	55,076				
Service contract obligations ³	418,108	70,529	106,466	78,375	162,738	
Non-cancelable operating leases ⁴	128,095	13,873	27,095	27,889	59,238	
PSE capital leases ⁴	35,358	8,160	16,320	10,878		
Pension and other benefits funding and payments ⁵	72,392	30,291	7,955	9,041	25,105	
Total PSE contractual cash obligations	\$15,310,498	\$ 1,280,893	\$ 2,002,703	\$ 2,034,879	\$ 9,992,023	
Long-term debt, including interest ⁶	2,426,122	97,938	1,003,994	118,500	1,205,690	
Less: Inter-company short-term debt and interest						
elimination ⁷	(30,037)	(30,037)				
Total Puget Energy contractual cash obligations	\$17,706,583	\$ 1,348,794	\$ 3,006,697	\$ 2,153,379	\$11,197,713	

Energy purchase contracts were entered into as part of PSE's obligation to serve retail electric and natural gas customers' energy requirements. As a result, costs are generally recovered either through base retail rates or adjustments to retail rates as part of the power and natural gas cost adjustment mechanisms.

The following are PSE's and Puget Energy's aggregate availability under commercial commitments as of December 31, 2011:

	AMOUNT OF AVAILABLE COMMITMENTS							
			Expi	RATION PER PE	RIOD			
COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS				2013-	201	5-		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	TOTAL	20	12	2014	20	16	THE	REAFTER
PSE working capital facility ¹	\$ 362,539	\$		\$ 362,539	\$		\$	
PSE capital expenditures facility ¹	400,000			400,000				
PSE energy hedging facility ¹	350,000			350,000				
Inter-company short-term debt ²								
Total PSE commercial commitments	\$1,112,539	\$		\$1,112,539	\$		\$	
Puget Energy capital expenditures facility ³	455,000			455,000				
Less: Inter-company short-term debt elimination ²								
Total Puget Energy commercial commitments	\$1,567,539	\$		\$1,567,539	\$		\$	

As of December 31, 2011, PSE had credit facilities totaling \$1.15 billion of which \$37.5 million had been drawn. These facilities consisted of \$400.0 million to fund operating expenses, \$400.0 million to fund capital expenditures and \$350.0 million to support electric and natural gas hedging. In addition, a \$12.5 million letter of credit was outstanding under the \$400.0 million working capital facility.

² For individual long-term debt maturities, see Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report. For Puget Energy the amount above excludes the fair value adjustments related to the merger.

³ Represents operational agreements, settlements and other contractual obligations with respect to generation, transmission and distribution facilities. These costs are generally recovered through base retail rates.

⁴ For additional information, see Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

⁵ Pension and other benefit expected contributions represent PSE's estimated cash contributions to the pension plan through 2016.

⁶ As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had fully drawn on a five-year term-loan with a balance of \$298.0 million and incurred a \$545.0 million draw under its \$1.0 billion Puget Energy capital expenditure facility.

As of December 31, 2011, PSE has a revolving credit facility with Puget Energy in the form of a promissory note to borrow up to \$30.0 million of which \$30.0 million was drawn.

As of December 31, 2011, PSE had credit facilities totaling \$1.15 billion of which \$37.5 million had been drawn. These facilities consisted of \$400.0 million to fund operating expenses, \$400.0 million to fund capital expenditures and \$350.0 million to support electric and natural gas hedging. In addition, a \$12.5 million letter of credit was outstanding under the \$400.0 million working capital facility.

As of December 31, 2011, PSE had a revolving credit facility with Puget Energy in the form of a promissory note to borrow up to \$30.0 million of which \$30.0 million was drawn.

³ As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had fully drawn on a five-year term-loan with a balance of \$298.0 million and incurred a \$545.0 million draw under its \$1.0 billion Puget Energy capital expenditure facility.

UTILITY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

PSE's construction programs for generating facilities, the electric transmission system and the natural gas and electric distribution systems are designed to meet regulatory requirements, customer growth and to support reliable energy delivery. Construction expenditures, excluding equity AFUDC, totaled \$976.5 million in 2011. As a result of a general slowing in the economy and changes to the Company's proposed resources, PSE's projected construction expenditures have been reduced. Presently planned utility construction expenditures, excluding AFUDC, for 2012, 2013 and 2014 are:

CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PROJECTIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013	2014
Energy delivery, technology and facilities	\$ 698,458	\$ 632,400	\$ 591,206
Total expenditures	\$ 698,458	\$ 632,400	\$ 591,206

The program is subject to change to respond to general business, economic and regulatory conditions. Utility construction expenditures and any new generation resource expenditures required to meet future electric capacity supply shortfalls may be funded from a combination of sources that may include cash from operations, short-term debt, long-term debt and/or equity. PSE's planned capital expenditures result in a level of spending that will likely exceed its cash flow from operations. As a result, execution of PSE's strategy is dependent in part on continued access to the capital markets.

CAPITAL RESOURCES CASH FROM OPERATIONS

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

Cash generated from operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$903.4 million, an increase of \$327.6 million from the \$575.8 million generated during the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily the result of the following:

- PSE's deferred taxes increased by \$77.7 million in 2011 as compared to a decrease in 2010 of \$16.3 million, causing an operating cash flow increase of \$94.0 million.
- PSE's PGA mechanism had a \$31.9 million overrecovery from customers during the year ended 2011 as compared to \$55.6 million payments to customers related to an over collection of prior year plan-related rates during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow increase of \$87.5 million.
- Net income increased \$178.0 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. This increase was caused by a non-cash unrealized derivative instruments loss reduction of \$112.8 million, which resulted in operating cash flow increase of \$65.2 million.
- Other long term liabilities increased by \$28.8 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to an increase of \$1.7 million during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow increase of \$27.1 million.
- PSE received net tax refunds of \$50.0 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to net tax refunds of \$20.6 million during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow increase of \$29.4 million.
- Material and supplies inventory increased \$8.2 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to a decrease of \$19.6 million during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow increase of \$27.8 million.
- Accounts payable increased by \$0.7 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to a decrease of \$25.8 million during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow increase of \$26.5 million.
- Prepaid income taxes increased by \$50.6 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to an increase of \$37.8 million during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow increase of \$12.7 million.

The increase in cash generated from operating activities in 2011 was partially offset by the following:

- AFUDC (equity component) decreased cash flows by \$32.4 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to a decrease of \$12.7 million during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow decrease of \$19.7 million. AFUDC primarily increased due to an increase in average construction work in progress balances.
- Accounts receivable and unbilled revenue increased by \$6.2 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to a decrease of \$7.6 million during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow decrease of \$13.8 million.

• Other long-term assets decreased by \$60.0 million during the year ended 2011 as compared to a decrease of \$48.3 million during the same period in 2010, causing an operating cash flow decrease of \$11.7 million.

PUGET ENERGY

Cash generated from operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1.0 billion, an increase of \$144.4 million from the \$865.9 million generated in 2010. The increase included \$327.6 million from the cash provided by the operating activities of PSE as previously discussed. Other factors contributing to the increase included the following:

- Puget Energy's net unrealized loss (gain) on derivative instruments was a loss of \$45.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to a loss of \$50.5 million in the same period in 2010, causing an increase in cash from operations of \$107.4 million.
- Puget Energy's accrued expenses and other increased by \$41.3 million compared to an increase of \$10.1 million in the same period in 2010, causing an increase in cash from operations of \$26.2 million.

The increase in cash generated from operating activities in 2011 was partially offset by the following:

- As a result of the merger, \$182.7 million in derivative settlement payments were reclassified to financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$371.6 million during the same period in 2010, resulting in a decrease in operating cash flows of \$188.9 million. This decrease was due to a decline in the number of contracts settled during 2011 as compared to the prior period. These contracts represent proceeds received from derivative instruments that included financing elements at the merger date.
- Puget Energy's deferred tax savings decreased \$27.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period of the prior year, causing a decrease in cash from operations.

FINANCING PROGRAM

The Company's external financing requirements principally reflect the cash needs of its construction program, its schedule of maturing debt and certain operational needs. The Company anticipates refinancing the redemption of bonds or other long-term borrowings with its credit facilities and/or the issuance of new long-term debt. Access to funds depends upon factors such as Puget Energy's and PSE's credit ratings, prevailing interest rates and investor receptivity to investing in the utility industry, Puget Energy and PSE.

CREDIT FACILITIES AND COMMERCIAL PAPER

Proceeds from PSE's short-term borrowings and sales of commercial paper are used to provide working capital and the interim funding of utility construction programs. Puget Energy and PSE continue to have reasonable access to the capital and credit markets.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, PSE had \$25.0 million and \$247.0 million in short-term debt outstanding, respectively, exclusive of the demand promissory note with Puget Energy. Outside of the consolidation of PSE's short-term debt, Puget Energy had no short-term debt outstanding in either year as borrowing under its credit facilities are classified as long-term. PSE's weighted-average interest rate on short-term debt, including borrowing rate, commitment fees and the amortization of debt issuance costs, during 2011 and 2010 was 4.39%, and 5.11%, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, PSE and Puget Energy had several committed credit facilities that are described below.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY CREDIT FACILITIES

PSE maintains three committed unsecured revolving credit facilities that provide, in the aggregate, \$1.15 billion in short-term borrowing capability and which mature concurrently in February 2014. These facilities include a \$400.0 million credit agreement for working capital needs, a \$400.0 million credit facility for funding capital expenditures and a \$350.0 million facility to support energy hedging activities.

PSE's credit agreements contain usual and customary affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, place limitations on PSE's ability to incur additional indebtedness and liens, issue equity, pay dividends, transact with affiliates and make asset dispositions and investments. The credit agreements also contain financial covenants which include a cash flow interest coverage ratio and, in addition, if PSE has a below investment grade credit rating, a cash flow to net debt outstanding

ratio (each as specified in the facilities). PSE certifies its compliance with such covenants to participating banks each quarter. As of December 31, 2011, PSE was in compliance with all applicable covenants.

These credit facilities contain similar terms and conditions and are syndicated among numerous committed lenders. The agreements provide PSE with the ability to borrow at different interest rate options and include variable fee levels. The credit agreements allow PSE to borrow at the bank's prime rate or to make floating rate advances at the LIBOR plus a spread that is based upon PSE's credit rating. The working capital facility, as amended, includes a swing line feature allowing same day availability on borrowings up to \$50.0 million. The \$400.0 million working capital facility and \$350.0 million credit agreement to support energy hedging allow for issuing standby letters of credit. PSE must also pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the credit facilities. The spreads and the commitment fee depend on PSE's credit ratings. As of the date of this report, the spread to the LIBOR is 0.85% and the commitment fee is 0.26%. The \$400.0 million working capital facility also serves as a backstop for PSE's commercial paper program.

As of December 31, 2011, \$25.0 million was drawn and outstanding under PSE's \$400.0 million working capital facility. A \$12.5 million letter of credit supporting contracts was outstanding under the facility and there were no amounts outstanding under the commercial paper program. The \$400.0 million capital expenditure facility had no amounts drawn and outstanding. No amounts were drawn or outstanding (including letters of credit) under PSE's \$350.0 million facility supporting energy hedging. Outside of the credit agreements, PSE had a \$5.3 million letter of credit in support of a long-term transmission contract.

Demand Promissory Note. On June 1, 2006, PSE entered into a revolving credit facility with Puget Energy, in the form of a credit agreement and a Demand Promissory Note (Note) pursuant to which PSE may borrow up to \$30.0 million from Puget Energy subject to approval by Puget Energy. Under the terms of the Note, PSE pays interest on the outstanding borrowings based on the lower of the weighted-average interest rates of PSE's outstanding commercial paper interest rate or PSE's senior unsecured revolving credit facility. Absent such borrowings, interest is charged at one-month LIBOR plus 0.25%. At December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance of the Note was \$30.0 million. The outstanding balance and the related interest under the Note are eliminated by Puget Energy upon consolidation of PSE's financial statements.

PUGET ENERGY CREDIT FACILITIES

At the time of the merger in February 2009, Puget Energy entered into a \$1.225 billion five-year term-loan and a \$1.0 billion capital expenditure facility for funding capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had fully drawn the five-year term-loan which, after previous repayments, had a remaining outstanding balance of \$298.0 million. Also, as of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had drawn \$545.0 million under the \$1.0 billion capital expenditure facility. The term-loan and capital expenditure facility mature in February 2014. These credit agreements, which in May 2010 were amended to include a provision for the sharing of collateral with note holders, contained usual and customary affirmative and negative covenants similar to those in PSE's credit facilities. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy was in compliance with all applicable covenants.

On February 10, 2012, Puget Energy entered into a \$1.0 billion five-year revolving credit facility. Initial borrowings under this facility were used to repay debt outstanding under Puget Energy's term loan and capital expenditure facilities and those agreements were terminated. As a revolving facility, amounts borrowed may be repaid without a reduction in the size of the facility. The revolving credit facility provides Puget Energy the ability to borrow at different interest rate options and includes variable fee levels. Interest rates may be based on the prime rate or LIBOR, plus a spread based on Puget Energy's credit ratings. Puget Energy must pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the facility. At the inception of this facility, \$864.0 million was outstanding, the spread over LIBOR was 2.0% and the commitment fee was 0.375%.

DIVIDEND PAYMENT RESTRICTIONS

The payment of dividends by PSE to Puget Energy is restricted by provisions of certain covenants applicable to long-term debt contained in PSE's electric and natural gas mortgage indentures. At December 31, 2011, approximately \$448.6 million of unrestricted retained earnings was available for the payment of dividends under the most restrictive mortgage indenture covenant.

Beginning February 6, 2009, pursuant to the terms of the Washington Commission merger order, PSE may not declare or pay dividends if PSE's common equity ratio, calculated on a regulatory basis, is 44.0% or below except to the extent a lower equity ratio is ordered by the Washington Commission. Also, pursuant to the merger order, PSE may not declare or make any distribution unless on the date of distribution PSE's corporate credit/issuer rating is investment grade, or, if its credit

ratings are below investment grade, PSE's ratio of EBITDA to interest expense for the most recently ended four fiscal quarter periods prior to such date is equal to or greater than 3 to one. The common equity ratio, calculated on a regulatory basis, was 48.2% at December 31, 2011 and the EBITDA to interest expense was 4.4 to one for the 12 months then ended.

PSE's ability to pay dividends is also limited by the terms of its credit facilities, pursuant to which PSE is not permitted to pay dividends during any Event of Default, or if the payment of dividends would result in an Event of Default (as defined in the facilities), such as failure to comply with certain financial covenants.

Puget Energy's ability to pay dividends is also limited by the merger order issued by the Washington Commission as well as by the terms of its credit facilities. Pursuant to the merger order, Puget Energy may not declare or make a distribution unless on such date Puget Energy's ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense for the four most recently ended fiscal quarters prior to such date is equal to or greater than 2 to one. At December 31, 2011, the EBITDA to interest expense was 2.7 to one for the 12 months then ended.

In accordance with terms of the Puget Energy credit facilities, Puget Energy is limited to paying a dividend within an eight-day period that begins seven days following the delivery of quarterly or annual financial statements to the facility agent. Puget Energy is not permitted to pay dividends during any Event of Default, or if the payment of dividends would result in an Event of Default (as defined in the facilities), such as failure to comply with certain financial covenants. In addition, in order to declare or pay unrestricted dividends, Puget Energy's interest coverage ratio may not be less than 1.5 to one and its cash flow to net debt outstanding ratio may not be less than 8.25% for the 12 months ending each quarter-end. Puget Energy is also subject to other restrictions such as a "lock up" provision that, in certain circumstances, such as failure to meet certain cash flow tests, may further restrict Puget Energy's ability to pay dividends.

At December 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all applicable covenants, including those pertaining to the payment of dividends.

DEBT RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS

The type and amount of future long-term financing for Puget Energy and PSE are limited by provisions in their credit agreements and PSE's mortgage indentures. Under its credit agreements, Puget Energy is generally limited to permitted refinancings and borrowings under its credit facilities and by restrictions placed upon its subsidiaries. One such restriction limits PSE's long-term debt issuances to not exceed \$500.0 million per year, plus any amount needed to refinance maturing bonds. Unused amounts under this limitation may be carried forward into future years. Puget Energy's facilities contain a provision whereby additional capital expenditure loans up to \$750.0 million may, under certain conditions, be made available after the \$1.0 billion capital expenditure commitment has been fully borrowed.

PSE's ability to issue additional secured debt may be limited by certain restrictions contained in its electric and natural gas mortgage indentures. Under the most restrictive tests, at December 31, 2011, PSE could issue:

- Approximately \$1.3 billion of additional first mortgage bonds under PSE's electric mortgage indenture based on approximately \$2.1 billion of electric bondable property available for issuance, subject to an interest coverage ratio limitation of 2.0 times net earnings available for interest (as defined in the electric utility mortgage), which PSE exceeded at December 31, 2011; and
- Approximately \$213.0 million of additional first mortgage bonds under PSE's natural gas mortgage indenture based
 on approximately \$355.0 million of gas bondable property available for issuance, subject to a combined gas and
 electric interest coverage test of 1.75 times net earnings available for interest and a gas interest coverage test of 2.0
 times net earnings available for interest (as defined in the natural gas utility mortgage), both of which PSE exceeded
 at December 31, 2011.

At December 31, 2011, PSE had approximately \$5.8 billion in electric and natural gas ratebase to support the interest coverage ratio limitation test for net earnings available for interest.

SHELF REGISTRATIONS AND LONG-TERM DEBT ACTIVITY

Puget Sound Energy. PSE has in effect a shelf registration statement under which it may issue, from time to time, senior notes secured by first mortgage bonds. The Company remains subject to the restrictions of PSE's indentures and credit agreements on the amount of first mortgage bonds that PSE may issue.

On March 25, 2011, PSE issued \$300.0 million of Senior Notes secured by first mortgage bonds. The notes have a term of 30 years and an interest rate of 5.638%. Net proceeds from the note offering were used by PSE to repay short-term debt outstanding under its capital expenditure credit facility, which debt was incurred to fund utility capital expenditures and replenish cash used to repay the February 2011 maturity of \$260.0 million medium-term notes with a 7.69% interest rate.

On November 16, 2011, PSE issued \$250.0 million of 4.434% Senior Secured Notes at par with a 30-year maturity. Net proceeds from the note offering were used by PSE to repay short-term indebtedness under the Company's capital expenditure credit facility, which was primarily used to finance new facilities such as the Lower Snake River (LSR) Wind Project.

On November 22, 2011, PSE issued \$45.0 million of 4.700% Senior Secured Notes at par with a 40-year maturity. Net proceeds from the note offering were used to redeem early, on December 23, 2011, \$25.0 million of 9.57% first mortgage bonds previously issued under the company's gas mortgage indenture. The remainder of the proceeds were used to pay down short-term indebtedness under the Company's capital expenditure credit facility.

Puget Energy. On June 3, 2011, Puget Energy issued \$500.0 million of senior secured notes in a private placement. The notes have a term of 10 years and 3 months and mature on September 1, 2021. The interest rate on the notes is 6.0%. The notes are secured by an interest in substantially all of Puget Energy's assets, which consists mainly of all the issued and outstanding stock of PSE and the stock of Puget Energy held by Puget Equico. The notes contain a change of control provision pursuant to which holders of the notes may have the right to require Puget Energy to repurchase all or any part of the notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101.0% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest. Net proceeds from the issue of the notes were used to repay a portion of the \$782.0 million remaining balance on the \$1.225 billion Puget Energy five-year term-loan and retire a portion of the interest rate hedges associated with that loan.

On June 17, 2011, Puget Energy exchanged \$449.9 million of its \$450.0 million 6.5% senior secured notes that were originally issued in a December 2010 private placement for registered notes.

On August 10, 2011, Puget Energy exchanged \$500.0 million of its 6.0% senior secured notes that were originally issued in the June 2011 private placement for registered notes of the same amount.

OTHER

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires that management apply accounting policies and make estimates and assumptions that affect results of operations and the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements. The following accounting policies represent those that management believes are particularly important to the financial statements and that require the use of estimates, assumptions and judgment to describe matters that are inherently uncertain.

Revenue Recognition. Operating utility revenue is recognized when service is rendered, and includes estimated unbilled revenue. Unbilled electric revenue is determined by taking system load less estimated losses and billed MWh plus the beginning unbilled MWh balance. The estimated system loss percentage for electricity is determined by reviewing historical billed MWh to system load. The estimated unbilled MWh balance is then multiplied by the estimated average revenue per MWh. Unbilled natural gas revenue is determined by taking therms delivered to PSE less estimated system losses, prior month unbilled therms and billed therms. The estimated system loss percentage for natural gas is determined by reviewing historical billed therms to therms delivered to customers, which vary little from year to year. The estimated current month unbilled therms is then multiplied by an average rate per schedule per therm based on billed revenue for the month.

Regulatory Accounting. As a regulated entity of the Washington Commission and the FERC, PSE prepares its financial statements in accordance with the provisions of ASC 980, "Regulated Operations" (ASC 980). The application of ASC 980 results in differences in the timing and recognition of certain revenue and expenses in comparison with businesses in other industries. The rates that are charged by PSE to its customers are based on cost base regulation reviewed and approved by the Washington Commission and the FERC. Under the authority of these commissions, PSE has recorded certain regulatory assets and liabilities at December 31, 2011 in the amount of \$848.1 million and \$366.8 million, respectively, and regulatory assets and liabilities at December 31, 2010 of \$887.6 million and \$296.9 million, respectively. In conjunction with the merger, Puget Energy recognized additional regulatory assets of \$297.1 million and liabilities of \$1.05 billion, reflecting the regulatory treatment of certain assets and liabilities subject to purchase accounting. Such amounts are amortized through a corresponding liability or asset account, respectively, with no impact to earnings. PSE

expects to fully recover its regulatory assets and liabilities through its rates. If future recovery of costs ceases to be probable, PSE would be required to write off these regulatory assets and liabilities. In addition, if PSE determines that it no longer meets the criteria for continued application of ASC 980, PSE could be required to write off its regulatory assets and liabilities related to those operations not meeting ASC 980 requirements.

Also encompassed by regulatory accounting and subject to ASC 980 are the PCA and PGA mechanisms. The PCA and PGA mechanisms mitigate the impact of commodity price volatility upon the Company and are approved by the Washington Commission. The PCA mechanism provides for a sharing of costs that vary from baseline rates over a graduated scale. For further discussion regarding the PCA mechanism, see Electric Regulation and Rates within Item 1. Business – Regulation and Rates of this report. The PGA mechanism passes increases and decreases in the cost of natural gas supply through to customers. PSE expects to fully recover these regulatory assets through its rates. However, both mechanisms are subject to regulatory review and approval by the Washington Commission on a periodic basis.

Goodwill. On February 6, 2009, Puget Holdings completed its merger with Puget Energy. Puget Energy remeasured the carrying amount of all its assets and liabilities to fair value, which resulted in recognition of approximately \$1.7 billion in goodwill. ASC 350, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other," (ASC 350) requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. These events or circumstances could include a significant change in the Company's business or regulatory outlook, legal factors, a sale or disposition of a significant portion of a reporting unit or significant changes in the financial markets which could influence the Company's access to capital and interest rates. Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, assignment of goodwill to reporting units and the determination of the fair value of the reporting units. Management has determined Puget Energy has only one reporting unit.

The goodwill recorded by Puget Energy represents the potential long-term return to the Company's investors. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually using a two-step process. The first step compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit with its fair value, with a carrying value higher than fair value indicating potential impairment. If the first step test fails, the second step is performed. This would entail a full valuation of Puget Energy's assets and liabilities and comparing the valuation to its carrying amounts, with the aggregate difference indicating the amount of impairment. Goodwill of a reporting unit is required to be tested for impairment on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that would cause the fair value of a reporting unit to fall below its carrying amount.

Puget Energy conducted its most recent annual impairment test as of October 1, 2011. The fair value of Puget Energy's reporting unit was estimated using the weighted-averages from an income valuation method, or discounted cash flow method, and a market valuation approach. These valuations required significant judgments, including: (1) estimation of future cash flows, which is dependent on internal forecasts, (2) estimation of the long-term rate of growth for Puget Energy's business, (3) estimation of the useful life over which cash flows will occur, (4) the selection of utility holding companies determined to be comparable to Puget Energy, and (5) the determination of an appropriate weighted-average cost of capital or discount rate.

Management estimated the fair value of Puget Energy's equity to be approximately \$3.9 billion at the October 1, 2011 measurement date for the annual test of goodwill impairment. The carrying value of Puget Energy's equity was approximately \$3.3 billion with the excess of the fair value over the carrying value representing 16.9%.

The income approach and the market approach valuations resulted in Puget Energy equity values of \$4.1 billion and \$3.6 billion, respectively. The result of the income approach was very sensitive to long-term cash flow growth rates applicable to periods beyond management's five-year business plan and financial forecast period and the weighted-average cost of capital assumptions of 3.0% and 7.0%, respectively.

The following table summarizes the results of the income valuation method:

EQUITY VALUE SENSITIVITY TABLE
(Doll and Dilliona)

Lo	NG-TERM GROWTH	RATE
2.7%	3.0%	3.3%
\$ 3.2	\$ 3.9	\$ 4.5
3.5	4.1	4.8
3.7	4.4	5.1
	2.7%	2.770

Derivatives. ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging" (ASC 815), requires that all contracts considered to be derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value unless the contracts qualify for an exception. The Company

enters into derivative contracts to manage its energy resource portfolio and interest rate exposure including forward physical and financial contracts and swaps. Some of PSE's physical electric supply contracts qualify for the Normal Purchase Normal Sale (NPNS) exception to derivative accounting rules. Generally, NPNS applies to contracts with creditworthy counterparties, for which physical delivery is probable and in quantities that will be used in the normal course of business. Power purchases designated as NPNS must meet additional criteria to determine if the transaction is within PSE's forecasted load requirements and if the counterparty owns or controls energy resources within the western region to allow for physical delivery of the energy. PSE may enter into financial fixed contracts to economically hedge the variability of certain indexbased contracts. Those contracts that do not meet the NPNS exception are marked-to-market to current earnings in the statements of income, subject to deferral under ASC 980, for energy related derivatives due to the PCA mechanism and PGA mechanism.

On July 1, 2009, Puget Energy and PSE elected to de-designate all energy related derivative contracts previously recorded as cash flow hedges for the purpose of simplifying its financial reporting. The contracts that were de-designated related to physical electric supply contracts and natural gas swap contracts used to fix the price of natural gas for electric generation. For these contracts and contracts initiated after such date, all mark-to-market adjustments are recognized through earnings. The amount previously recorded in accumulated OCI is transferred to earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings or sooner if management determines that the forecasted transaction is probable of not occurring. As a result, the Company will continue to experience the earnings impact of these reversals from OCI in future periods.

PSE values derivative instruments based on daily quoted prices from an independent external pricing service. The Company regularly confirms the validity of pricing service quoted prices (e.g. Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy) used to value commodity contracts to the actual prices of commodity contracts entered into during the most recent quarter. When external quoted market prices are not available for derivative contracts, PSE uses a valuation model that uses volatility assumptions relating to future energy prices based on specific energy markets and utilizes externally available forward market price curves. All derivative instruments are sensitive to market price fluctuations that can occur on a daily basis. The Company is focused on commodity price exposure and risks associated with volumetric variability in the natural gas and electric portfolios. It is not engaged in the business of assuming risk for the purpose of speculative trading. The Company economically hedges open natural gas and electric positions to reduce both the portfolio risk and the volatility risk in prices. The exposure position is determined by using a probabilistic risk system that models 250 simulations of how the Company's natural gas and power portfolios will perform under various weather, hydrological and unit performance conditions.

The Company may enter into swap instruments or other financial derivative instruments to manage the interest rate risk associated with its long-term debt financing and debt instruments. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had interest rate swap contracts outstanding related to its long-term debt. For additional information, see Item 7A and Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

Fair Value. ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" (ASC 820), defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). However, as permitted under ASC 820, the Company utilizes a mid-market pricing convention (the mid-point price between bid and ask prices) as a practical expedient for valuing the majority of its assets and liabilities measured and reported at fair value. The Company utilizes market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated or generally unobservable. The Company primarily applies the market approach for recurring fair value measurements as it believes that this approach is used by market participants for these types of assets and liabilities. Accordingly, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. For further discussion on market risk, see Item 7A of this report.

On February 6, 2009, Puget Holdings completed its merger with Puget Energy. Puget Energy remeasured the carrying amount of all its assets and liabilities to fair value, which resulted in recognition of approximately \$1.7 billion in goodwill. For additional information on purchase accounting adjustments and fair value measurements, see Note 3 and Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report, respectively.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits. PSE has a qualified defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees of PSE. PSE recognized qualified pension expense of \$6.6 million, expense of \$8.0 million and income of \$3.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Of these amounts, approximately 61.0%,

61.1% and 61.2% were included in utility operations and maintenance expense in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and the remaining amounts were capitalized. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, Puget Energy recognized incremental qualified pension income of \$1.9 million and pension expense of \$3.0 million, respectively. In 2012, it is expected that PSE and Puget Energy will recognize pension expense of \$14.7 million and \$9.4 million of pension income, respectively.

PSE has a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP). PSE recognized pension and other postretirement benefit expenses of \$5.2 million, \$4.5 million and \$4.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, Puget Energy recognized incremental income of \$1.4 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. In 2012, it is expected PSE and Puget Energy will recognize \$5.0 million of pension expense and \$1.0 million of pension income, respectively.

PSE has other limited postretirement benefit plans. PSE recognized expense of \$0.1 million, expense of \$0.1 million and expense of \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, Puget Energy recognized incremental expense of \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. In 2012, it is expected that PSE and Puget Energy will recognize expense of \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Due to the merger, the pension plan, SERP plan and other poster retirements benefit plans were remeasured in accordance with ASC 805. For further information on the business combination, see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

The Company's pension and other postretirement benefits income or expense depend on several factors and assumptions, including plan design, timing and amount of cash contributions to the plan, earnings on plan assets, discount rate, expected long-term rate of return, mortality and health care cost trends. Changes in any of these factors or assumptions will affect the amount of income or expense that the Company records in its financial statements in future years and its projected benefit obligation. The Company has selected an expected return on plan assets based on a historical analysis of rates of return and the Company's investment mix, market conditions, inflation and other factors. The Company's accounting policy for calculating the market-related value of assets is based on a five-year smoothing of asset gains or losses measured from the expected return on market-related assets. This is a calculated value that recognizes changes in fair value in a systematic and rational manner over five years. The same manner of calculating market-related value is used for all classes of assets, and is applied consistently from year to year. As required by merger accounting rules, market-related value was reset to market value effective with the merger. During 2011, the Company made a cash contribution of \$5.0 million to the qualified defined benefit plan. Management is closely monitoring the funding status of its qualified pension plan given the recent volatility of the financial markets. The aggregate expected contributions and payments by the Company to fund the retirement plan, SERP and other postretirement plans for the year ending December 31, 2012 are expected to be at least \$22.8 million, \$6.1 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

The following tables reflect the estimated sensitivity associated with a change in certain significant actuarial assumptions (each assumption change is presented mutually exclusive of other assumption changes):

		IMPA	ACT ON PROJECT	ED
PUGET ENERGY AND PUGET	CHANGE IN	Ben	EFIT OBLIGATION	ON
SOUND ENERGY	ASSUMPTION	INCREASE /(DECREASE)		SE)
		PENSION		OTHER
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)		BENEFITS	SERP	BENEFITS
Increase in discount rate	50 basis points	\$(29,045)	\$(2,059)	\$(722)
Decrease in discount rate	50 basis points	31,920	2,220	783

	CHANGE IN	IMPACT ON 2011 PENSION EXPENSE		
PUGET ENERGY	ASSUMPTION	Incr	EASE/(DECREA	SE)
		PENSION		OTHER
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)		BENEFITS	SERP	BENEFITS
Increase in discount rate	50 basis points	\$ (15)	\$(187)	\$(53)
Decrease in discount rate	50 basis points	2,189	196	51
Increase in return on plan assets	50 basis points	(2,209)	*	(39)
Decrease in return on plan assets	50 basis points	2,209	*	37

Process Green Process	CHANGE IN	IMPACT ON 2011 PENSION EXPENSE		=
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	ASSUMPTION	INCREASE /(DECREASE)		
		PENSION		OTHER
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)		BENEFITS	SERP	BENEFITS
Increase in discount rate	50 basis points	\$(2,450)	\$(187)	\$(61)
Decrease in discount rate	50 basis points	2,666	196	65
Increase in return on plan assets	50 basis points	(2,758)	*	(38)
Decrease in return on plan assets	50 basis points	2,758	*	38

^{*} Calculation not applicable.

RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For the discussion of recently adopted accounting pronouncements, see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ENERGY PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

PSE maintains energy risk policies and procedures to manage commodity and volatility risks and the related effects on credit, tax accounting, financing and liquidity. PSE's Energy Management Committee establishes PSE's risk management policies and procedures and monitors compliance. The Energy Management Committee is comprised of certain PSE officers and is overseen by the PSE Board of Directors.

PSE is focused on the commodity price exposure and risks associated with volumetric variability in the natural gas and electric portfolios and related effects noted above, and is not engaged in the business of assuming risk for the purpose of speculative trading. PSE hedges open gas and electric positions to reduce both the portfolio risk and the volatility risk in prices. The exposure position is determined by using a probabilistic risk system that models 250 simulations of how PSE's natural gas and power portfolios will perform under various weather, hydroelectric and unit performance conditions. The objectives of the hedging strategy are to:

- Ensure physical energy supplies are available to reliably and cost-effectively serve retail load;
- Manage the energy portfolio prudently to serve retail load at overall least cost and limit undesired impacts on PSE's customers and shareholders;
- Reduce power costs by extracting the value of PSE's assets; and
- Meet the credit, liquidity, financing, tax and accounting requirements of PSE.

ASC 815 requires a significant amount of disclosure regarding PSE's derivative activities and the nature of such derivatives impact on PSE's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The information in this Item 7A should serve as an accompaniment to Management's Discussion and Analysis and Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements included in Items 7 and 8 of this report, respectively.

PSE employs various portfolio optimization strategies but is not in the business of assuming risk for the purpose of realizing speculative trading revenue. PSE's portfolio of owned and contracted electric generation resources exposes PSE and its retail electric customers to volumetric and commodity price risks within the sharing mechanism of the PCA. PSE's natural gas retail customers are served by natural gas purchase contracts which expose PSE's customers to commodity price risks through the PGA mechanism. All purchased natural gas costs are recovered through customer rates with no direct impact on PSE. Therefore, wholesale market transactions are focused on balancing PSE's energy portfolio, reducing costs and risks where feasible and reducing volatility. PSE's energy risk portfolio management function monitors and manages these risks. In order to manage risks effectively, PSE enters into forward physical electricity and natural gas purchase and sale agreements, and floating for fixed swap contracts that are related to its regulated electric and natural gas portfolios. The forward physical electricity contracts are both fixed and variable (at index) while the physical natural gas contracts are

variable with investment grade counterparties that do not require collateral calls on the contracts. To fix the price of natural gas, PSE may enter into natural gas floating for fixed swap (financial) contracts with various counterparties.

On July 1, 2009, Puget Energy and PSE elected to de-designate all energy related derivative contracts previously recorded as cash flow hedges for the purpose of simplifying its financial reporting. The contracts that were de-designated related to physical electric supply contracts and natural gas swap contracts to fix the price of natural gas for electric generation. For these contracts and contracts initiated after such date, all mark-to-market adjustments are recognized through earnings. The amount previously recorded in accumulated OCI is transferred to earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affected earnings or sooner if management determines that the forecasted transaction is probable of not occurring. As a result, the Company will continue to experience the earnings impact of these reversals from OCI in future periods.

The following tables present the Company's energy derivatives instruments that do not meet the NPNS exception at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Energy Derivatives				
PUGET ENERGY AND					
PUGET SOUND ENERGY					
DERIVATIVE PORTFOLIO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	Decembe	ER 31, 2011	DECEMBE	ER 31, 2010	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)					
	ASSETS	Liabilities	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
Electric portfolio:					
Current	\$ 5,212	\$ 173,582	\$ 4,716	\$ 142,780	
Long-term	5,508	90,752	5,046	99,801	
Total electric derivatives	\$ 10,720	\$ 264,334	\$ 9,762	\$ 242,581	
Gas portfolio:					
Current	\$ 1,435	\$ 128,297	\$ 2,784	\$ 100,273	
Long-term	4,576	78,607	3,187	55,378	
Total gas derivatives	\$ 6,011	\$ 206,904	\$ 5,971	\$ 155,651	
Total derivatives	\$ 16,731	\$ 471,238	\$ 15,733	\$ 398,232	

For further details regarding both the fair value of derivative instruments and the impacts such instruments have on current period earnings and OCI (for cash flow hedges), see Notes 13 and 14 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had total assets of \$6.0 million and total liabilities of \$206.9 million related to financial contracts used to economically hedge the cost of physical natural gas purchased to serve natural gas customers. All fair value adjustments of derivatives relating to the natural gas business have been reclassified to a deferred account in accordance with ASC 980 due to the PGA mechanism. All increases and decreases in the cost of natural gas supply are passed on to customers with the PGA mechanism. As the gains and losses on the hedges are realized in future periods, they will be recorded as natural gas costs under the PGA mechanism.

At December 31, 2011, a hypothetical 10.0% increase or decrease in market prices of natural gas and electricity would change the fair value of the Company's derivative contracts by \$46.2 million, with an after-tax impact of \$30.0 million.

The change in fair value of the Company's outstanding energy derivative instruments from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2011 is summarized in the table below:

PUGET ENERGY AND PUGET SOUND ENERGY	
ENERGY DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS GAIN (LOSS)	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	
Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2010	\$ (382,499)
Contracts realized or otherwise settled during 2011	235,390
Change in fair value of derivatives	(307,398)
Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2011	\$ (454,507)

The fair value of the Company's outstanding derivative instruments at December 31, 2011, based on price source and the period during which the instrument will mature, is summarized below:

PUGET ENERGY AND					
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	FA	IR VALUE OF C	ONTRACTS BY	SETTLEMENT YE	EAR
SOURCE OF FAIR VALUE					
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	THEREAFTER	TOTAL
Prices provided by external					_
sources 1	\$ (298,087)	\$ (152,885)	\$ (4,166)	\$ 112	\$ (455,026)
Prices based on internal models					
and valuation methods ²	2,855	2,408	(2,511)	(2,233)	519
Total fair value	\$ (295,232)	\$ (150,477)	\$ (6,677)	\$ (2,121)	\$ (454,507)

¹ Prices provided by external pricing service, which utilizes broker quotes and pricing models.

CONTINGENT FEATURES AND COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK

PSE is exposed to credit risk primarily through buying and selling electricity and natural gas to serve customers. Credit risk is the potential loss resulting from a counterparty's non-performance under an agreement. PSE manages credit risk with policies and procedures for, among other things, counterparty analysis and measurement, monitoring and mitigation of exposure.

Where deemed appropriate, PSE may request collateral or other security from its counterparties to mitigate the potential credit default losses. Criteria employed in this decision include, among other things, the perceived creditworthiness of the counterparty and the expected credit exposure. As of December 31, 2011, PSE held approximately \$11.1 million worth of standby letters of credit in support of various electricity and REC transactions.

It is possible that volatility in energy commodity prices could cause PSE to have material credit risk exposures with one or more counterparties. If such counterparties fail to perform their obligations under one or more agreements, PSE could suffer a material financial loss. However, as of December 31, 2011, approximately 96.0% of PSE's energy and natural gas portfolio exposure, including NPNS transactions, is with counterparties that are rated at least investment grade by the major rating agencies and 4.0% of PSE's portfolio are either rated below investment grade or are not rated by rating agencies. PSE assesses credit risk internally for counterparties that are not rated.

PSE has entered into commodity master arrangements with its counterparties to mitigate credit exposure to those counterparties. PSE generally enters into the following master arrangements: (1) WSPP, Inc. (WSPP) agreements - standardized power sales contracts in the electric industry; (2) International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) agreements - standardized financial gas and electric contracts; and (3) North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) agreements - standardized physical gas contracts. PSE believes that entering into such agreements reduces the risk of default by allowing a counterparty the ability to make only one net payment.

PSE monitors counterparties that are experiencing financial problems, have significant swings in credit default swap rates, have credit rating changes by external rating agencies or have changes in ownership. Counterparty credit risk impacts PSE's decisions on derivative accounting treatment. A counterparty may have a deterioration of credit below investment grade, potentially indicating that it is no longer probable that it will fulfill its obligations under a contract (e.g., make a physical delivery upon the contract's maturity). ASC 815 specifies the requirements for derivative contracts to qualify for the NPNS scope exception. When performance is no longer probable, PSE records the fair value of the contract on the balance sheet with the corresponding amount recorded in the statements of income.

Accumulated OCI related to cash flow hedges is also impacted by a counterparty's deterioration of credit under ASC 815 guidelines. If a forecasted transaction associated with the cash flow hedge is probable of not occurring, PSE will reclassify the amounts deferred in accumulated OCI into earnings.

Should a counterparty file for bankruptcy, which would be considered a default under master arrangements, PSE may terminate related contracts. Derivative accounting entries previously recorded would be reversed in the financial statements. PSE would compute any terminations receivable or payable, based on the terms of existing master agreements.

² Pricing derived from inputs with internally developed methodologies.

The Company computes credit reserves at a master agreement level by counterparty (i.e. WSPP, ISDA or NAESB). The Company considers external credit ratings and market factors, such as credit default swaps and bond spreads, in determination of reserves. The Company recognizes that external ratings may not always reflect how a market participant perceives a counterparty's risk of default. The Company uses both default factors published by Standard & Poor's and factors derived through analysis of market risk, which reflect the application of an industry standard recovery rate. The Company selects a default factor by counterparty at an aggregate master agreement level based on a weighted-average default tenor for that counterparty's deals. The default tenor is used by weighting the fair value and contract tenors for all deals for each counterparty and coming up with an average value. The default factor used is dependent upon whether the counterparty is in a net asset or a net liability position after applying the master agreement levels.

The Company applies the counterparty's default factor to compute credit reserves for counterparties that are in a net asset position. Moreover, the Company calculates a non-performance risk on its derivative liabilities by using its estimated incremental borrowing rate over the risk-free rate. The fair value of derivatives includes the impact of taking into account credit and non-performance reserves. As of December 31, 2011, the Company was in a net liability position with the majority of its counterparties, therefore the default factors of counterparties did not have a significant impact on reserves for the year. Despite its net liability position, PSE was not required to post additional collateral with any of its counterparties. Additionally, PSE did not trigger any collateral requirements with any of its counterparties, nor were any of PSE's counterparties required to post additional collateral resulting from credit rating downgrades.

INTEREST RATE RISK

The Company believes its interest rate risk primarily relates to the use of short-term debt instruments, leases and anticipated long-term debt financing needed to fund capital requirements. The Company manages its interest rate risk through the issuance of mostly fixed-rate debt of various maturities. The Company utilizes internal cash from operations, commercial paper and credit facilities to meet short-term funding needs. Short-term obligations are commonly refinanced with fixed-rate bonds or notes when needed and when interest rates are considered favorable. The Company may enter into swap instruments or other financial hedge instruments to manage the interest rate risk associated with its debt. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had four interest rate swap contracts outstanding with a total notional amount of \$1.28 billion. PSE did not have any outstanding interest rate swap instruments as of December 31, 2011.

In February 2009, Puget Energy entered into the interest rate swap transactions to hedge risk associated with one-month LIBOR floating rate debt. Subsequently, in order to satisfy a commitment the Company made to the Washington Commission and to mitigate refinancing risk, the Company refinanced a portion of the underlying debt hedged by the interest rate swaps during 2010 and again during 2011. As a result of refinancing, the Company de-designated the cash flow hedge accounting relationship between the debt and interest rate swaps in 2010. All fair value gains or losses associated with the interest rate swaps subsequent to the de-designation are recorded in earnings. At December 31, 2011, the outstanding notional balance of the interest rate swaps is \$1.28 billion, compared to the variable rate debt balance of only \$843 million. Under the existing credit agreements, the Company may retain a portion of those swaps that are in excess of the underlying debt (not economic hedges) until June 2012 at which point the Company may decide to unwind or follow other strategies to mitigate the risk of those un-hedged swaps. During the period in which the Company's interest rate swaps are in excess of the Company's variable rate debt, the Company will be subject to additional interest rate risk. The Company has settled approximately \$277 million of the interest rate swaps on February 15, 2012. The transaction did not impact the consolidated statements of income as the fair value losses for those swaps had already been recorded through earnings.

At December 31, 2011, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was a \$52.4 million pre-tax loss. The fair value considers the risk of Puget Energy's non-performance by using its incremental borrowing rate on unsecured debt over the risk-free rate in the valuation estimate. The ending balance in OCI includes a loss of \$22.4 million pre-tax related to the interest rate swaps designated as marked-to-market during the reporting period. The OCI balance relates to the loss that was recorded when the cash flow hedge was de-designated in December 2010.

A hypothetical 10% increase or decrease in the one-month LIBOR would change the fair value of the hedged portion of interest rate swaps by \$1.2 million, or \$0.8 million after tax, recorded in accumulated OCI.

As a result of the cash flow hedge de-designation related to its interest rate swaps, the Company is exposed to additional interest rate risk on the portion of swaps that remain un-hedged. A hypothetical 10% change in the one-month LIBOR would change the fair value of these specific un-hedged swaps by \$0.7 million. This hypothetical change in fair value would directly impact earnings.

The following table presents Puget Energy's interest rate swaps at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

PUGET ENERGY DERIVATIVE PORTFOLIO

(Dana and Transaction a)	D	21 2011	D 21 2010		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	DECEM	DECEMBER 31, 2011		BER 31, 2010	
	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	
Interest rate swaps:					
Current	\$	\$ 25,210	\$	\$ 30,047	
Long-term		27,199		27,956	
Total	\$	\$ 52,409	\$	\$ 58,003	

The change in fair value of Puget Energy's outstanding interest rate swaps from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2011 is summarized in the table below:

INTEREST RATE SWAP CONTRACTS GAIN (LOSS)	PUGET
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	Energy
Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2010	\$ (58,003)
Contracts realized or otherwise settled during 2011	10,290
Change in fair value of derivatives	(4,696)
Fair value of contracts outstanding at December 31, 2011	\$ (52,409)

The fair value of Puget Energy's outstanding interest rate swaps at December 31, 2011, based on price source and the period during which the instrument will mature, is summarized below:

SOURCE OF FAIR VALUE	FAIR VALUE OF CONTRACTS BY SETTLEMENT YEAR			MENT YEAR
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	TOTAL
Prices provided by external sources ¹	\$ (25,210)	\$ (27,199)	\$	\$ (52,409)

Prices provided by external pricing service, which utilizes broker quotes and pricing models. Pricing inputs are based on observable market data.

From time to time PSE may enter into treasury locks or forward starting swap contracts to hedge interest rate exposure related to an anticipated debt issuance. The ending balance in OCI related to the forward starting swaps and previously settled treasury lock contracts at December 31, 2011 is a net loss of \$6.9 million after tax and accumulated amortization. This compares to an after-tax loss of \$7.3 million in OCI as of December 31, 2010. All financial hedge contracts of this type are reviewed by an officer, presented to the Asset Management Committee or the Board of Directors, as applicable and are approved prior to execution. PSE had no treasury locks or forward starting swap contracts outstanding at December 31, 2011.

The following table presents the carrying amounts and the fair value of the Company's debt instruments at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	DECEMBER 31, 2011		DECEMBE	R 31, 2010
	CARRYING	Fair	CARRYING	Fair
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	AMOUNT	VALUE	AMOUNT	VALUE
Financial liabilities:				
Short-term debt	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 247,000	\$ 247,000
Short-term debt owed by PSE to Puget Energy ¹	29,998	29,998	22,598	22,598
Long-term debt – fixed-rate	4,447,511	5,752,154	3,629,660	4,226,639
Long-term debt – variable rate	829,856	829,856	1,013,053	1,083,117

Short-term debt owed by PSE to Puget Energy is eliminated upon consolidation of Puget Energy.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORTS		PAG
	Management and Statement of Responsibility	67
=		67
-	Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Puget Energy	68
-	Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Puget Energy (Predecessor)	69
Report of	Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Puget Sound Energy	70
CONSOLII PUGET EN	DATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: JERGY:	
	ted Statements of Income – Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, February 6, 2009	
to Dece Consolidat	mber 31, 2009 (Successor) and January 1, 2009 to February 5, 2009 (Predecessor) ted Statements of Comprehensive Income – Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, cy 6, 2009 to December 31, 2009 (Successor) and January 1, 2009 to February 5, 2009	71
(Predec	essor)	72
Consolidat	ted Balance Sheets – December 31, 2011 and 2010	73
	ted Statements of Common Shareholder's Equity – Years Ended December 31, 2011,	
	ebruary 6, 2009 to December 31, 2009 (Successor) and January 1, 2009 to February 5,	
`	Predecessor)	75
2009 to	ted Statements of Cash Flows – Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, February 6, December 31, 2009 (Successor) and January 1, 2009 to February 5, 2009	
(Predec	essor)	76
DIICET SO	OUND ENERGY:	
	ted Statements of Income – Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	77
	ted Statements of Comprehensive Income – Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and	78
	ted Balance Sheets – December 31, 2011 and 2010	79
	ted Statements of Common Shareholder's Equity – Years Ended December 31, 2011,	
2010 an	* *	81
Consolidat	ted Statements of Cash Flows - Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	82
NOTES TO	THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF PUGET ENERGY AND PUGET SOUND)
ENERGY:		
Note 1.	Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	83
Note 2.	New Accounting Pronouncements	91
Note 3.	Business Combinations (Puget Energy Only)	92
Note 4.	Regulation and Rates	94
Note 5.	Dividend Payment Restrictions	98
Note 6.	Utility Plant	100
Note 7.	Long-Term Debt	102
Note 8.	Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments	104
Note 9	Liquidity Facilities and Other Financing Arrangements	105
Note 10.	Leases	106
Note 11.	Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities	107
Note 12.	Fair Value Measurements	114
Note 13.	Employee Investment Plans	117
Note 14.	Retirement Benefits	117
Note 15.	Stock-based Compensation Plans	125
Note 16.	Income Taxes	126
Note 17.	Litigation	129
Note 18.	Variable Interest Entities	130
Note 19.	Commitments and Contingencies	130
Note 20.	Related Party Transactions	132
Note 21.	Fair Value of Intangible Assets	132
Note 22	Seoment Information	133

SUPPLEMENTAL QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA	135
SCHEDULE:	
I. Condensed Financial Information of Puget Energy	136
II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves –	
Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	139

All other schedules have been omitted because of the absence of the conditions under which they are required, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

Financial statements of PSE's subsidiaries are not filed herewith inasmuch as the assets, revenue, earnings and earnings reinvested in the business of the subsidiaries are not material in relation to those of the Company.

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT AND STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

PUGET ENERGY, INC.

AND

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

Puget Energy, Inc. and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (the Company) management assumes accountability for maintaining compliance with our established financial accounting policies and for reporting our results with objectivity and integrity. The Company believes it is essential for investors and other users of the consolidated financial statements to have confidence that the financial information we provide is timely, complete, relevant and accurate. Management is also responsible to present fairly Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's consolidated financial statements, prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Management, with oversight of the Board of Directors, established and maintains a strong ethical climate under the guidance of our Corporate Ethics and Compliance Program so that our affairs are conducted to high standards of proper personal and corporate conduct. Management also established an internal control system that provides reasonable assurance as to the integrity and accuracy of the consolidated financial statements. These policies and practices reflect corporate governance initiatives designed to ensure the integrity and independence of our financial reporting processes including:

- Our Board has adopted clear corporate governance guidelines.
- With the exception of the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Board members are independent of management.
- All members of our key Board committees the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Leadership Development
 Committee and the Governance and Public Affairs Committee are independent of management.
- The non-management members of our Board meet regularly without the presence of Puget Energy and Puget Sound Energy management.
- The Charters of our Board committees clearly establish their respective roles and responsibilities.
- The Company has adopted a Corporate Ethics and Compliance Code with a hotline (through an independent third party) available to all employees, and our Audit Committee has procedures in place for the anonymous submission of employee complaints on accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters. The Compliance Program is led by the Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer of the Company.
- Our internal audit control function maintains critical oversight over the key areas of our business and financial processes and controls, and reports directly to our Board Audit Committee.

Management is confident that the internal control structure is operating effectively and will allow the Company to meet the requirements under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, reports directly to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's accompanying report on our consolidated financial statements is based on its audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards prescribed by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, including a review of our internal control structure for purposes of designing their audit procedures. Our independent registered accounting firm has reported on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

We are committed to improving shareholder value and accept our fiduciary oversight responsibilities. We are dedicated to ensuring that our high standards of financial accounting and reporting as well as our underlying system of internal controls are maintained. Our culture demands integrity and we have confidence in our processes, our internal controls and our people, who are objective in their responsibilities and who operate under a high level of ethical standards.

/s/ Kimberly J. Harris

Kimberly J. Harris

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Daniel A. Doyle

Daniel A. Doyle Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholder of Puget Energy, Inc.

In our opinion, the consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, common shareholder's equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Puget Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended and for the period from February 6, 2009 through December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules of Condensed Financial Information of Puget Energy, Inc. and the schedule of Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the period from February 6, 2009 through December 31, 2009, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedules, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Seattle, Washington March 5, 2012

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholder of Puget Energy, Inc.

In our opinion, the consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, common shareholder's equity and cash flows for the period January 1, 2009 to February 5, 2009 present fairly in all material respects the results of operations and cash flows of Puget Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Predecessor Company) for the period from January 1, 2009 to February 5, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the schedule of Condensed Financial Information of Puget Energy, Inc. and the schedule of Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves for the period from January 1, 2009 to February 5, 2009 present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statements schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audit. We conducted our audit of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Seattle, Washington February 25, 2010

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholder of Puget Sound Energy, Inc.

In our opinion, the consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, common shareholder's equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule of Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Seattle, Washington March 5, 2012

PUGET ENERGY, INC.CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in Thousands)

		Successor		PREDECESSOR
	YEAR	YEAR	February 6,	JANUARY 1,
	ENDED	ENDED	2009 –	2009 –
	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	February 5,
	2011	2010	2009	2009
Operating revenue:				
Electric	\$ 2,147,220	\$ 2,107,469	\$ 1,885,118	\$ 213,618
Gas	1,168,850	1,011,531	1,034,744	190,001
Other	2,695	3,217	5,286	94
Total operating revenue	3,318,765	3,122,217	2,925,148	403,713
Operating expenses:				
Energy costs:				
Purchased electricity	771,405	773,429	796,040	90,737
Electric generation fuel	199,471	268,147	196,483	11,961
Residential exchange	(71,147)	(75,109)	(83,962)	(12,542)
Purchased gas	622,088	535,933	597,935	120,925
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments, net	11,494	54,095	(156,601)	3,867
Utility operations and maintenance	497,921	486,701	449,745	37,650
Non-utility expense and other	9,442	23,952	16,672	112
Merger and related costs			2,731	44,324
Depreciation	299,597	292,634	242,477	21,773
Amortization	72,381	71,572	63,466	4,969
Conservation amortization	107,646	90,109	58,875	7,592
Taxes other than income taxes	323,527	292,520	266,424	36,935
Total operating expenses	2,843,825	2,813,983	2,450,285	368,303
Operating income	474,940	308,234	474,863	35,410
Other income (deductions):				
Other income	58,052	45,196	49,158	3,653
Other expense	(5,380)	(5,673)	(6,154)	(369)
Non-hedged interest rate derivative expense	(28,601)	(7,955)		
Charitable contributions			(5,000)	
Interest charges:				
AFUDC	29,949	14,157	8,864	350
Interest expense	(371,910)	(321,167)	(265,675)	(17,291)
Income (loss) before income taxes	157,050	32,792	256,056	21,753
Income tax (benefit) expense	33,760	2,481	82,041	8,997
Net income (loss)	\$ 123,290	\$ 30,311	\$ 174,015	\$ 12,756

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

PUGET ENERGY, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Dollars in Thousands)

	Successor			PREDECESSOR
	YEAR	YEAR	February 6,	January 1,
	ENDED	ENDED	2009 –	2009 –
	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	February 5,
	2011	2010	2009	2009
Net income (loss)	\$ 123,290	\$ 30,311	\$ 174,015	\$ 12,756
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Net unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps during the				
period, net of tax		(58,175)	(22,777)	
Reclassification of net unrealized loss on interest rate swaps				
during the period, net of tax	25,443	22,027	18,884	
Net unrealized gain (loss) from pension and postretirement				
plans, net of tax	(54,826)	5,172	34,458	315
Net unrealized loss on energy derivative instruments during				
the period, net of tax			(26,222)	(24,162)
Reclassification of net unrealized loss on energy derivative				
instruments settled during the period, net of tax	1,545	4,420	19,144	4,509
Amortization of financing cash flow hedge contracts to				
earnings, net of tax				26
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(27,838)	(26,556)	23,487	(19,312)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 95,452	\$ 3,755	\$ 197,502	\$ (6,556)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

PUGET ENERGY, INC.CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in Thousands)

ASSETS

	DECEMBER 31,		
	2011	2010	
Utility plant (including construction work in progress of \$1,282,463 and \$628,387,			
respectively):			
Electric plant	\$ 6,067,672	\$ 5,253,786	
Gas plant	2,238,741	2,129,200	
Common plant	418,236	318,615	
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(674,782)	(429,038)	
Net utility plant	8,049,867	7,272,563	
Other property and investments:			
Goodwill	1,656,513	1,656,513	
Investment in exchange power contract	19,396	22,923	
Other property and investments	123,352	125,918	
Total other property and investments	1,799,261	1,805,354	
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	37,235	36,557	
Restricted cash	4,183	5,470	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and	336,530	327,615	
\$9,784, respectively			
Unbilled revenue	191,150	194,088	
Purchased gas adjustment receivable		5,992	
Materials and supplies, at average cost	76,068	85,413	
Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost	100,491	96,633	
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	6,647	7,500	
Income taxes	11,553	76,183	
Prepaid expense and other	13,969	14,835	
Power contract acquisition adjustment gain	65,096	134,553	
Deferred income taxes	101,934	83,086	
Total current assets	944,856	1,067,925	
Other long-term and regulatory assets:			
Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes	62,304	73,337	
Power cost adjustment mechanism	6,818	15,618	
Regulatory assets related to power contracts	46,202	116,116	
Other regulatory assets	766,825	814,603	
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	10,084	8,233	
Power contract acquisition adjustment gain	517,740	624,667	
Other	180,753	130,920	
Total other long-term and regulatory assets	1,590,726	1,783,494	
Total assets	\$ 12,384,710	\$ 11,929,336	

PUGET ENERGY, INC.CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in Thousands)

CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIE		MBER 31,
	2011	2010
Capitalization:	2011	2010
Common shareholder's equity:		
Common stock \$0.01 par value, 1,000 share authorized, 200 shares		
outstanding	\$	\$
Additional paid-in capital	3,308,957	3,308,957
Earnings reinvested in the business	22,873	17,024
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(30,907)	(3,069)
Total common shareholder's equity	3,300,923	3,322,912
Long-term debt:	3,300,723	3,322,712
First mortgage bonds and senior notes	3,362,000	2,792,000
Pollution control bonds	161,860	161,860
Junior subordinated notes	250,000	250,000
Long-term debt	1,793,000	1,490,000
Debt discount and other	(289,493)	(311,147)
Total long-term debt	5,277,367	4,382,713
Total capitalization	8,578,290	7,705,625
Current liabilities:	0,370,270	7,703,023
Accounts payable	339,361	291,148
Short-term debt	25,000	247,000
Current maturities of long-term debt	23,000	260,000
Purchased gas adjustment liability	25,940	200,000
Accrued expenses:	23,740	
Taxes	90,727	81,505
Salaries and wages	40,892	34,453
Interest	69,329	59,182
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	327,089	273,100
Power contract acquisition adjustment loss	8,547	69,915
Other	74,409	114,409
Total current liabilities	1,001,294	1,430,712
Long-term and regulatory liabilities:	-,00-,	-,,
Deferred income taxes	1,153,755	1,127,611
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	196,558	183,135
Regulatory liabilities	346,225	305,936
Regulatory liabilities related to power contracts	582,836	759,220
Power contract acquisition adjustment loss	37,655	46,779
Other deferred credits	488,097	370,318
Total long-term and regulatory liabilities	2,805,126	2,792,999
Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Total capitalization and liabilities	\$ 12,384,710	\$ 11,929,336
	,,,,, 10	, ,

PUGET ENERGY, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY (Dollars in Thousands)

	Common	STOCK	_			
				EARNINGS	ACCUMULATED	
			ADDITIONAL	REINVESTED	OTHER	
	_		PAID-IN	IN THE	COMPREHENSIVE	TOTAL
	Shares	AMOUNT	CAPITAL	BUSINESS	INCOME (LOSS)	EQUITY
Predecessor						
Balance at December 31, 2008	129,678,489	\$ 1,297	\$ 2,275,225	\$ 259,483	\$ (262,804)	\$ 2,273,201
Net income				12,756		12,756
Common stock dividend				(38,188)		(38,188)
Common stock expense			(455)			(455)
Vesting of employee common						
stock			1,531			1,531
Other comprehensive loss					(19,312)	(19,312)
Balance at February 5, 2009	129,678,489	\$ 1,297	\$ 2,276,301	\$ 234,051	\$ (282,116)	\$ 2,229,533
SUCCESSOR						_
Capitalization at merger	200	\$	\$ 3,308,529	\$	\$	\$ 3,308,529
Net income				174,015		174,015
Common stock dividend				(82,991)		(82,991)
Employee stock plan tax windfall			428			428
Other comprehensive income					23,487	23,487
Balance at December 31, 2009	200	\$	\$ 3,308,957	\$ 91,024	\$ 23,487	\$ 3,423,468
Net income				30,311		30,311
Common stock dividend				(104,311)		(104,311)
Other comprehensive income					(26,556)	(26,556)
Balance at December 31, 2010	200	\$	\$ 3,308,957	\$ 17,024	\$ (3,069)	\$ 3,322,912
Net income				123,290		123,290
Common stock dividend				(117,441)		(117,441)
Other comprehensive income					(27,838)	(27,838)
Balance at December 31, 2011	200	\$	\$ 3,308,957	\$ 22,873	\$ (30,907)	\$ 3,300,923

PUGET ENERGY, INC.CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in Thousands)

					S	UCCESSOR	Pred	ECESSOR
	YEAR			YEAR		BRUARY 6,		UARY 1,
	Ende			Ended		2009 –		009 –
	DECEMBE		DEC	EMBER 31,	DEC	CEMBER 31,		RUARY 5,
	2011			2010		2009		2009
Operating activities:								
Net income (loss)	\$ 123,	290	\$	30,311	\$	174,015	\$	12,756
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by								
operating activities:								
Depreciation	299,			292,634		242,477		21,773
Amortization		381		71,572		63,466		4,969
Conservation amortization	107,			90,109		58,875		7,592
Deferred income taxes and tax credits, net		318		(32,955)		244,216		(512)
Net unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments		043		50,495		(156,601)		3,867
Derivative contracts classified as financing activities due to merger	182,	710		371,621		524,397		
AFUDC - equity	(32,	431)		(12,677)		(4,108)		(69)
Pension funding		000)		(12,000)		(18,400)		
Regulatory assets	26,	631		26,198		(5,276)		(1,668)
Regulatory liabilities	21,	031		28,821		18,436		(126)
Other long-term assets	(59,	094)		(50,009)		(17,963)		2,845
Other long-term liabilities	46,	473		31,944		(12,536)		1,141
Change in certain current assets and liabilities:								
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenue	(5,	977)		7,261		91,515		(31,332)
Materials and supplies	8,	154		(19,378)		808		(3,388)
Fuel and gas inventory	(4,	852)		3,591		16,786		7,605
Income taxes	64,	630		58,434		(133,773)		18,277
Prepayments and other		605		(2,345)		5,745		(3,295)
Purchased gas adjustment	31,	932		(55,579)		38,984		1,711
Accounts payable	1,	098		(26,396)		(85,073)		(40,203)
Taxes payable	9,	222		4,203		4,949		(3,340)
Accrued expenses and other	43,	921		10,094		(40,369)		59,172
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,010,	328		865,949]	1,010,570		57,775
Investing activities:								
Construction expenditures – excluding equity AFUDC	(976,	513)		(859,091)		(726,157)		(49,531)
Energy efficiency expenditures	(94.	405)		(95,726)		(82,258)		(4,918)
Treasury grant payment received				28,675				
Restricted cash	1,	287		14,374		(945)		(10)
Other		184)		6,001		26,284		959
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,076,			(905,767)		(783,076)		(53,500)
Financing activities:	(2,010)	, ,		(, ,,,,,,		(,)		(00,000)
Change in short-term debt and leases, net	(227,	651)		141,941		38,807		(151,800)
Dividends paid	(117,			(104,311)		(121,179)		(101,000)
Long-term notes and bonds issued	1,382,			,025,000		400,211		250,000
Redemption of preferred stock	1,502,		•	,023,000				(1,889)
Redemption of bonds and notes	(769,	000)		(675,000)		(158,000)		(1,007)
Derivative contracts classified as financing activities due to merger	(182,			(371,621)		(524,397)		
Issuance cost of bonds and other		033)		(18,161)		(16,372)		7,133
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		165		(2,152)		(380,930)		103,444
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		678		(41,970)		(153,436)		107,719
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		557		78,527		231,963		38,526
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period Cash and cash equivalents at end of period		235	\$	36,557	\$	78,527	\$	146,245
	Ф 37,	۷33	Ф	30,337	Ф	10,341	•	140,243
Supplemental cash flow information:	Ф 200	0.47	d.	270.027	ф	047.047	Φ.	1.000
Cash payments for interest (net of capitalized interest)	\$ 280,		\$	278,926	\$	247,247	\$	1,239
Cash payments (refunds) for income taxes	(64,	016)		(22,243)		(47,740)		

 $\label{thm:companying} \textit{The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements}.$

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in Thousands)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Operating revenue:				
Electric	\$ 2,147,220	\$ 2,107,469	\$ 2,098,736	
Gas	1,168,850	1,011,531	1,224,745	
Other	3,733	3,217	5,020	
Total operating revenue	3,319,803	3,122,217	3,328,501	
Operating expenses:				
Energy costs:				
Purchased electricity	771,983	774,007	887,306	
Electric generation fuel	199,471	268,147	208,444	
Residential exchange	(71,147)	(75,109)	(96,504)	
Purchased gas	622,088	535,933	718,860	
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments, net	54,146	166,953	(1,254)	
Utility operations and maintenance	497,921	486,701	487,396	
Non-utility expense and other	11,147	11,159	14,532	
Merger and related costs			23,908	
Depreciation	299,597	292,634	269,386	
Amortization	72,381	71,572	63,466	
Conservation amortization	107,646	90,109	66,466	
Taxes other than income taxes	323,527	292,520	303,360	
Total operating expenses	2,888,760	2,914,626	2,945,366	
Operating income (loss)	431,043	207,591	383,135	
Other income (deductions):				
Other income	58,041	45,153	52,812	
Other expense	(5,380)	(5,673)	(6,524)	
Interest charges:				
AFUDC	29,949	14,157	9,215	
Interest expense	(231,212)	(234,793)	(211,478)	
Interest expense on parent note	(204)	(218)	(264)	
Income (loss) before income taxes	282,237	26,217	226,896	
Income tax (benefit) expense	78,117	122	67,644	
Net income (loss)	\$ 204,120	\$ 26,095	\$ 159,252	

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Dollars in Thousands)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2011	2010	2009	
Net income (loss)	\$ 204,120	\$ 26,095	\$ 159,252	
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Net unrealized gain (loss) from pension and postretirement plans, net				
of tax	(52,927)	3,610	23,807	
Net unrealized gain (loss) on energy derivative instruments during the period, net of tax			(61,277)	
Reclassification of net unrealized loss on energy derivative instruments settled during the period, net of tax	21,678	48,546	89,837	
Amortization of financing cash flow hedge contracts to earnings, net				
of tax	317	317	317	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(30,932)	52,473	52,684	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 173,188	\$ 78,568	\$ 211,936	

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in Thousands)

ASSETS

Utility plant (including construction work in progress of \$1,282,463 and \$628,387, respectively): 2010 2010 Electric plant \$8,390,667 \$7,586,208 36,350,749 2,752,962 2,855,794 2,752,962 2,752,962 2,855,794 2,752,962 2,752,962 2,855,794 2,752,962 2,292,777 3,714,912 (3,509,277) 3,714,912 (3,509,277) 3,712,01 3,714,912 3,259,277 3,712,01 3,714,912 3,259,277 3,712,01		DECEMBER 31,		
\$628,387, respectively): \$8,390,667 \$7,586,208 Electric plant \$8,390,667 \$7,586,208 Gas plant 2,855,794 2,752,962 Common plant 518,318 427,227 Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization (3,714,912) (3,509,277) Net utility plant 8,049,867 7,257,120 Other property and investments: 19,396 22,923 Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 113,292 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$36,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable - 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 111,553 62,11		2011	2010	
Electric plant \$ 8,390,667 \$ 7,586,208 Gas plant 2,855,794 2,752,962 Common plant 518,318 427,227 Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization 8,049,867 7,257,120 Net utility plant 8,049,867 7,257,120 Other property and investments: 19,396 22,923 Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$3,648 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 10 billed revenue 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 9,07 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Urrealized gain on derivat	Utility plant (including construction work in progress of \$1,282,463 and			
Gas plant 2,855,794 2,752,962 Common plant 518,318 427,227 Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization (3,714,912) (3,509,277) Net utility plant 8,049,867 7,257,120 Other property and investments: 19,396 22,923 Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 2 13,010 36,320 Restricted cash 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and 336,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable - 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 61,344	\$628,387, respectively):			
Common plant 518,318 427,227 Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization 3,714,912 3,509,277,20,20,207,20 Net utility plant 8,049,867 7,257,120 Other property and investments 19,396 22,923 Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and 336,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable - 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 112,524 80,215 Total current assets 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 66,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 66,818 <td>Electric plant</td> <td>\$ 8,390,667</td> <td>\$ 7,586,208</td>	Electric plant	\$ 8,390,667	\$ 7,586,208	
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization (3,714,912) (3,509,277) Net utility plant 8,049,867 7,257,120 Other property and investments: 119,396 22,923 Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$36,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable - 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 113,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818	Gas plant	2,855,794	2,752,962	
Net utility plant 8,049,867 7,257,120 Other property and investments: 119,396 22,923 Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$36,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 9,70,04 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 34,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 113,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 80,179 90,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,	Common plant	518,318	427,227	
Other property and investments: 19,396 22,923 Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Cash and cash equivalents 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$36,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,79 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618<	Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(3,714,912)	(3,509,277)	
Investment in exchange power contract 19,396 22,923 Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Cash and cash equivalents 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and 336,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 880,179 909,896 Other regulatory assets for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mec	Net utility plant	8,049,867	7,257,120	
Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$9,784, respectively 336,483 327,341 Unbilled revenue 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable - 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	Other property and investments:			
Other property and investments 113,528 115,056 Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$9,784, respectively 336,483 327,341 Unbilled revenue 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable - 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	Investment in exchange power contract	19,396	22,923	
Total other property and investments 132,924 137,979 Current assets: 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$336,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Other regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments		113,528	115,056	
Cash and cash equivalents 31,010 36,320 Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$336,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,005,789 <td></td> <td>132,924</td> <td>137,979</td>		132,924	137,979	
Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$336,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 6,818 15,618 Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,005,789	Current assets:			
Restricted cash 4,183 5,470 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and \$336,483 327,341 \$9,784, respectively 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 6,818 15,618 Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,005,789	Cash and cash equivalents	31,010	36,320	
\$9,784, respectively Unbilled revenue 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Other regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,002,577 1,005,789	Restricted cash	4,183	5,470	
Unbilled revenue 191,150 194,088 Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,002,577 1,005,789	Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$8,495 and	336,483	327,341	
Purchased gas adjustment receivable 5,992 Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,002,577 1,005,789	\$9,784, respectively			
Materials and supplies, at average cost 76,068 84,222 Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Unbilled revenue	191,150	194,088	
Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost 97,074 92,222 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Purchased gas adjustment receivable		5,992	
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 6,647 7,500 Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Materials and supplies, at average cost	76,068	84,222	
Income taxes 11,553 62,114 Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Fuel and gas inventory, at average cost	97,074	92,222	
Prepaid expenses and other 13,807 14,412 Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	6,647	7,500	
Deferred income taxes 112,204 80,215 Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Income taxes	11,553	62,114	
Total current assets 880,179 909,896 Other long-term and regulatory assets: 880,179 909,896 Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Prepaid expenses and other	13,807	14,412	
Other long-term and regulatory assets: 8 Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Deferred income taxes	112,204	80,215	
Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Total current assets	880,179	909,896	
Power cost adjustment mechanism 6,818 15,618 Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789				
Other regulatory assets 760,585 769,744 Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Regulatory asset for deferred income taxes	61,344	73,337	
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments 10,084 8,233 Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Power cost adjustment mechanism	6,818	15,618	
Other 183,746 138,857 Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Other regulatory assets	760,585	769,744	
Total other long-term and regulatory assets 1,022,577 1,005,789	Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	10,084	8,233	
	Other	183,746	138,857	
Total assets \$ 10,085,547 \$ 9,310,784	Total other long-term and regulatory assets	1,022,577	1,005,789	
	Total assets	\$ 10,085,547	\$ 9,310,784	

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in Thousands)

CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

Capitalization: Common shareholder's equity: Common shareholder's equity: Common shareholder's equity: Secondary of the property of		DECEMBER 31,		
Common shareholder's equity: Common stock \$0.01 par value – 150,000,000 shares authorized, 85,903,791 8859 8859 Additional paid-in capital 3,246,205 2,959,205 Earnings reinvested in the business 163,735 172,490 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (188,579) 1(57,647) Total common shareholder's equity 3,222,20 2,974,907 Total common shareholder's equity 3,362,000 2,792,000 Long-term debt: 161,860 161,860 First mortgage bonds and senior notes 250,000 250,000 Pollution control bonds 161,860 161,860 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Debt discount and other (15,64) -696,005 Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,96,005 6,178,767 Current liabilities 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 25,904 2-7 Current maturities of long-term debt 90,727 81,505		2011	2010	
Common stock \$0.01 par value - 150,000,000 shares authorized, \$5,903,791 \$859 \$859 shares outstanding 3,246,205 2,959,205 Earnings reinvested in the business 163,735 172,490 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (188,579) 2,794,007 Total common shareholder's equity 3,362,000 2,792,000 Long-term debt: 161,860 161,860 Pollution control bonds 161,860 161,860 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Debt discount and other (15) - Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 167,867 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Accounts payable 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term debt 29,98 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 9,97 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 <	Capitalization:			
shares outstanding \$ 859 \$ 859 Additional paid-in capital 3,246,205 2,595,205 Earnings reinvested in the business 163,735 172,490 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (188,579) 157,647 Total common shareholder's equity 3,222,220 2,974,007 Long-term debt 3,362,000 2,792,000 Pollution control bonds 161,860 161,860 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Poll tiscount and other (15) Total long-term debt 3,773,845 30,2860 Total capitalization 6,996,055 6,178,767 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453	Common shareholder's equity:			
Additional paid-in capital 3,246,205 2,959,205 Earnings reinvested in the business 163,735 172,490 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (188,579) (157,647) Total common shareholder's equity 3,222,220 2,974,907 Long-term debt: 3,362,000 2,792,000 First mortgage bonds and senior notes 3,362,000 250,000 Pollution control bonds 161,860 161,860 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Pebt discount and other (15) Total long-term debt 3,73,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,98 29,789 Current maturities of long-term debt 29,98 2,980 Current maturities of long-term debt 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 30,887 54,723 <td>Common stock \$0.01 par value – 150,000,000 shares authorized, 85,903,791</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Common stock \$0.01 par value – 150,000,000 shares authorized, 85,903,791			
Earnings reinvested in the business 163,735 172,490 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (188,579) (157,647) Total common shareholder's equity 3,222,220 2,974,907 Long-term debt: 3,362,000 2,792,000 Pollution control bonds 161,860 161,860 161,860 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Debt discount and other (15) - Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Accounts payable 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 25,900 247,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 24,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 30,972 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,822 34,453 55,843 54,723	shares outstanding	\$ 859	\$ 859	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax (188,579) (157,647) Total common shareholder's equity 3,222,220 2,974,907 Long-term debt:	Additional paid-in capital	3,246,205	2,959,205	
Total common shareholder's equity 3,222,220 2,974,907 Long-term debt:	Earnings reinvested in the business	163,735	172,490	
Long-term debt: 3,362,000 2,792,000 Pollution control bonds 161,860 161,860 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Debt discount and other (15) Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Accounts payable 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,753 Long-term and regulatory liabilities 1,115,639 1	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(188,579)	(157,647)	
First mortgage bonds and senior notes 3,362,000 2,792,000 Pollution control bonds 161,860 161,860 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Debt discount and other (15) Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Urrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities 1,115,639 1,534,757 Urrealized loss on derivative instru	Total common shareholder's equity	3,222,220	2,974,907	
Pollution control bonds 161,860 161,860 Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Debt discount and other (15) Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities 2 1,100 2,11,280 2,11,280	Long-term debt:		_	
Junior subordinated notes 250,000 250,000 Debt discount and other (15) Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities: Accounts payable 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: 260,000 Taxes 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,534,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 </td <td>First mortgage bonds and senior notes</td> <td>3,362,000</td> <td>2,792,000</td>	First mortgage bonds and senior notes	3,362,000	2,792,000	
Debt discount and other (15) - Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt - 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 - Accrued expenses: 8 29,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regul	Pollution control bonds	161,860	161,860	
Total long-term debt 3,773,845 3,203,860 Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Accounts payable 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: 260,000 Faxes 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907	Junior subordinated notes	250,000	250,000	
Total capitalization 6,996,065 6,178,767 Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt - 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 - Accrued expenses: 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Debt discount and other	(15)		
Current liabilities: 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Total long-term debt	3,773,845	3,203,860	
Accounts payable 339,568 291,765 Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: 80,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19) 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000<	Total capitalization	6,996,065	6,178,767	
Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: Taxes 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: Deferred income taxes 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Current liabilities:			
Short-term debt 25,000 247,000 Short-term note owed to parent 29,998 22,598 Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: Taxes 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: Deferred income taxes 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Accounts payable	339,568	291,765	
Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: Taxes 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)		25,000	247,000	
Current maturities of long-term debt 260,000 Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: Taxes 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Short-term note owed to parent	29,998	22,598	
Purchased gas adjustment liability 25,940 Accrued expenses: 90,727 81,505 Taxes 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)			260,000	
Accrued expenses: Taxes 90,727 81,505 Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Purchased gas adjustment liability	25,940		
Salaries and wages 40,892 34,453 Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: Total current liabilities 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)				
Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19) \$\$\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	Taxes	90,727	81,505	
Interest 55,843 54,723 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 301,879 243,053 Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19) \$\$\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	Salaries and wages	40,892	34,453	
Other 68,346 49,661 Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)		55,843	54,723	
Total current liabilities 978,193 1,284,758 Long-term and regulatory liabilities: 1,115,639 1,034,517 Deferred income taxes 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19) 1,847,259	Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	301,879	243,053	
Long-term and regulatory liabilities:Deferred income taxes1,115,6391,034,517Unrealized loss on derivative instruments169,359155,179Regulatory liabilities340,907296,884Other deferred credits485,384360,679Total long-term and regulatory liabilities2,111,2891,847,259Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Other	68,346	49,661	
Deferred income taxes 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Total current liabilities	978,193	1,284,758	
Deferred income taxes 1,115,639 1,034,517 Unrealized loss on derivative instruments 169,359 155,179 Regulatory liabilities 340,907 296,884 Other deferred credits 485,384 360,679 Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Long-term and regulatory liabilities:			
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments169,359155,179Regulatory liabilities340,907296,884Other deferred credits485,384360,679Total long-term and regulatory liabilities2,111,2891,847,259Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	• •	1,115,639	1,034,517	
Other deferred credits485,384360,679Total long-term and regulatory liabilities2,111,2891,847,259Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Unrealized loss on derivative instruments			
Other deferred credits485,384360,679Total long-term and regulatory liabilities2,111,2891,847,259Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Regulatory liabilities	340,907	296,884	
Total long-term and regulatory liabilities 2,111,289 1,847,259 Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)				
Commitments and contingencies (Note 19)	Total long-term and regulatory liabilities			
		, ,	, , ,	
	<u> </u>	\$ 10,085,547	\$ 9,310,784	

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY (Dollars in Thousands)

COMMON STOCK

	COMMON	BIOCK				
			Apprenous	EARNINGS	ACCUMULATED	
			ADDITIONAL	REINVESTED	OTHER	_
			PAID-IN	IN THE	COMPREHENSIVE	TOTAL
	Shares	AMOUNT	Capital	BUSINESS	INCOME (LOSS)	EQUITY
Balance at December 31, 2008	85,903,791	\$ 859,038	\$ 1,296,005	\$ 356,947	\$ (262,804)	\$ 2,249,186
Change in par value		(858,179)	858,179			
Net income				159,252		159,252
Common stock dividend				(183,071)		(183,071)
Investment from parent			805,283			805,283
Employee common stock award						
transferred to liability award			(690)			(690)
Employee stock plan tax windfall			428			428
Other comprehensive income					52,684	52,684
Balance at December 31, 2009	85,903,791	\$ 859	\$ 2,959,205	\$ 333,128	\$ (210,120)	\$ 3,083,072
Net income				26,095		26,095
Common stock dividend				(186,733)		(186,733)
Other comprehensive income					52,473	52,473
Balance at December 31, 2010	85,903,791	\$ 859	\$ 2,959,205	\$ 172,490	\$ (157,647)	\$ 2,974,907
Net income				204,120		204,120
Common stock dividend				(212,875)		(212,875)
Capital Contribution			287,000			287,000
Other comprehensive income					(30,932)	(30,932)
Balance at December 31, 2011	85,903,791	\$ 859	\$ 3,246,205	\$ 163,735	\$ (188,579)	\$ 3,222,220

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in Thousands)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 204,120	\$ 26,095	\$ 159,252
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	299,597	292,634	269,386
Amortization	72,381	71,572	63,466
Conservation amortization	107,646	90,109	66,466
Deferred income taxes and tax credits, net	77,757	(16,284)	194,494
Net unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments	54,146	166,953	(1,254)
AFUDC - equity	(32,431)	(12,677)	(4,177)
Pension funding	(5,000)	(12,000)	(18,400)
Regulatory assets	26,631	26,198	(5,821)
Regulatory liabilities	21,031	28,821	18,327
Other long-term assets	(60,046)	(48,258)	(13,757)
Other long-term liabilities	28,818	1,701	(19,003)
Change in certain current assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenue	(6,204)	7,584	64,349
Materials and supplies	8,154	(19,618)	(2,580)
Fuel and gas inventory	(4,852)	3,591	24,391
Income taxes	50,561	37,834	(82,630)
Prepayments and other	605	(2,345)	2,353
Purchased gas adjustment	31,932	(55,579)	40,695
Accounts payable	688	(25,780)	(35,205)
Taxes payable	9,222	4,203	(7,339)
Accrued expenses and other	18,666	11,021	7,678
Net cash provided by operating activities	903,422	575,775	720,691
Investing activities:			
Construction expenditures – excluding equity AFUDC	(976,513)	(859,091)	(775,688)
Energy efficiency expenditures	(94,405)	(95,726)	(87,176)
Treasury grant payment received		28,675	
Restricted cash	1,287	14,374	(955)
Other	9,043	6,001	27,249
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,060,588)	(905,767)	(836,570)
Financing activities:			
Change in short-term debt and leases, net	(227,651)	141,941	(113,286)
Dividends paid	(212,875)	(186,733)	(183,071)
Long-term notes and bonds issued	595,000	575,000	600,000
Loan from (payment to) parent	7,400	(300)	(3,156)
Redemption of preferred stock			(1,889)
Redemption of bonds and notes	(285,000)	(232,000)	(158,000)
Investment from parent	287,000		25,960
Issuance cost of bonds and other	(12,018)	(10,003)	(10,742)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	151,856	287,905	155,816
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(5,310)	(42,087)	39,937
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	36,320	78,407	38,470
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 31,010	\$ 36,320	\$ 78,407
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash payments for interest (net of capitalized interest)	\$ 191,666	\$ 198,496	\$ 183,652
Cash payments (refunds) for income taxes	(50,022)	(20,632)	(44,365)

 $\label{the consolidated financial statements.}$ The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.}

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Puget Energy, Inc. (Puget Energy) is an energy services holding company that owns Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (PSE). PSE is a public utility incorporated in the state of Washington that furnishes electric and natural gas services in a territory covering 6,000 square miles, primarily in the Puget Sound region. On February 6, 2009, Puget Holdings LLC (Puget Holdings), a consortium of long-term infrastructure investors, completed its merger with Puget Energy. As a result of the merger, all of Puget Energy's common stock is indirectly owned by Puget Holdings. The acquisition of Puget Energy was accounted for in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 805, "Business Combinations" (ASC 805), as of the date of the merger. ASC 805 requires the acquirer to recognize and measure identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at fair value as of the merger date. Puget Energy's consolidated financial statements and accompanying footnotes have been segregated to present pre-merger activity as the "Predecessor" Company and post-merger activity as the "Successor" Company.

The consolidated financial statements of Puget Energy reflect the accounts of Puget Energy and its subsidiary, PSE. PSE's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PSE and its subsidiaries. Puget Energy and PSE are collectively referred to herein as "the Company." The consolidated financial statements are presented after elimination of all significant intercompany items and transactions. PSE's consolidated financial statements continue to be accounted for on a historical basis and PSE's financial statements do not include any ASC 805 purchase accounting adjustments. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

UTILITY PLANT

PSE capitalizes, at original cost, additions to utility plant, including renewals and betterments. Costs include indirect costs such as engineering, supervision, certain taxes, pension and other employee benefits and an Allowance For Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC). Replacements of minor items of property and major maintenance are included in maintenance expense when the utility plant is retired and removed from service, the original cost of the property is charged to accumulated depreciation and costs associated with removal of the property, less salvage, are charged to the cost of removal regulatory liability.

Puget Energy remeasured the carrying amount of utility plant to fair value on February 6, 2009, as a result of purchase accounting adjustments. After February 6, 2009, Puget Energy follows the same capitalization policy for utility plan additions as PSE.

NON-UTILITY PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

For PSE, the costs of other property, plant and equipment are stated at historical cost. Expenditures for refurbishment and improvements that significantly add to productive capacity or extend useful life of an asset are capitalized. Replacement of minor items is expensed on a current basis. Gains and losses on assets sold or retired are reflected in earnings.

For Puget Energy, the carrying amount of non-utility property, plant and equipment was remeasured to fair value on February 6, 2009, as a result of purchase accounting adjustments. After February 6, 2009, Puget Energy follows the same capitalization policy for non-utility property, plant and equipment as PSE.

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION

For financial statement purposes, the Company provides for depreciation and amortization on a straight-line basis. Amortization is recorded for intangibles such as regulatory assets and liabilities, computer software and franchises. The depreciation of automobiles, trucks, power-operated equipment, tools and office equipment is allocated to asset and expense accounts based on usage. The annual depreciation provision stated as a percent of a depreciable electric utility plant was 2.7%, 2.7% and 2.6% in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively; depreciable gas utility plant was 3.5%, 3.6% and 3.6% in 2011,

2010 and 2009, respectively; and depreciable common utility plant was 11.3%, 11.8% and 9.6% in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Depreciation on other property, plant and equipment is calculated primarily on a straight-line basis over the useful lives of the assets. The cost of removal is collected from PSE's customers through depreciation expense and any excess is recorded as a regulatory liability.

GOODWILL

On February 6, 2009, Puget Holdings completed its merger with Puget Energy. Puget Energy remeasured the carrying amount of all its assets and liabilities to fair value, which resulted in recognition of approximately \$1.7 billion in goodwill. ASC 350, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other" (ASC 350), requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. These events or circumstances could include a significant change in the Company's business or regulatory outlook, legal factors, a sale or disposition of a significant portion of a reporting unit or significant changes in the financial markets which could influence the Company's access to capital and interest rates. Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, assignment of goodwill to reporting units and the determination of the fair value of the reporting units. Management has determined Puget Energy has only one reporting unit.

The goodwill recorded by Puget Energy represents the potential long-term return to the Company's investors. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually using a two-step process. The first step compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit with its fair value, with a carrying value higher than fair value indicating potential impairment. If the first step test fails, the second step is performed. This would entail a full valuation of Puget Energy's assets and liabilities and comparing the valuation to its carrying amounts, with the aggregate difference indicating the amount of impairment. Goodwill of a reporting unit is required to be tested for impairment on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that would cause the fair value of a reporting unit to fall below its carrying amount.

Puget Energy conducted its annual impairment test in 2011 using an October 1, 2011 measurement date. The fair value of Puget Energy's reporting unit was estimated using both discounted cash flow and market approach. Such approaches are considered methodologies that market participants would use. This analysis requires significant judgments, including estimation of future cash flows, which is dependent on internal forecasts, estimation of long-term rate of growth for Puget Energy business, estimation of the useful life over which cash flows will occur, the selection of utility holding companies determined to be comparable to Puget Energy and determination of an appropriate weighted-average cost of capital or discount rate. The market approach estimates the fair value of the business based on market prices of stocks of comparable companies engaged in the same or similar lines of business. In addition, indications of market value are estimated by deriving multiples of equity or invested capital to various measures of revenue, earnings or cash flow. Changes in these estimates and or assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value and goodwill impairment of the reporting unit. Based on the test performed, management has determined that there was no indication of impairment of Puget Energy's goodwill as of October 1, 2011. There were no events or circumstances from the date of the assessment through December 31, 2011 that would impact management's conclusion.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents consist of demand bank deposits and short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase. The cash and cash equivalents balance at Puget Energy was \$37.2 million and \$36.6 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The 2011 and 2010 balance consisted of cash equivalents, which are reported at cost and approximates fair value, and were \$16.8 million and \$20.6 million, respectively.

RESTRICTED CASH

Restricted cash represents cash to be used for specific purposes. The restricted cash balance was \$4.2 million and \$5.5 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The restricted cash included \$0.7 million, in both 2011 and 2010, which represents funds held by Puget Western, Inc., a PSE subsidiary, for a real estate development project. As of December 31, 2011, other restricted cash includes \$2.0 million in a Benefit Protection Trust and \$1.5 million in other restricted cash accounts.

MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

Materials and supplies are used primarily in the operation and maintenance of electric and natural gas distribution and transmission systems as well as spare parts for combustion turbines used for the generation of electricity. PSE records these items at weighted-average cost.

Puget Energy remeasured the carrying amount of materials and supplies to fair value on February 6, 2009, as a result of purchase accounting adjustments. After February 6, 2009, Puget Energy follows the same policy for recording materials and supplies as PSE.

FUEL AND GAS INVENTORY

Fuel and gas inventory is used in the generation of electricity and for future sales to the Company's natural gas customers. Fuel inventory consists of coal, diesel and natural gas used for generation. Gas inventory consists of natural gas and liquefied natural gas (LNG) held in storage for future sales. PSE records these items at the lower of cost or market value using the weighted-average cost method.

For Puget Energy, the carrying amount of fuel and gas inventory was remeasured to fair value on February 6, 2009, as a result of purchase accounting adjustments. After February 6, 2009, Puget Energy follows the same policy for recording additional inventory as PSE.

REGULATORY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

PSE accounts for its regulated operations in accordance with ASC 980 "Regulated Operations" (ASC 980). ASC 980 requires PSE to defer certain costs that would otherwise be charged to expense, if it were probable that future rates will permit recovery of such costs. It similarly requires deferral of revenues or gains and losses that are expected to be returned to customers in the future. Accounting under ASC 980 is appropriate as long as rates are established by or subject to approval by independent third-party regulators; rates are designed to recover the specific enterprise's cost of service; and in view of demand for service, it is reasonable to assume that rates set at levels that will recover costs can be charged to and collected from customers. In most cases, PSE classifies regulatory assets and liabilities as long-term assets or liabilities. The exception is the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) which can be a current asset or current liability.

Below is a chart with the allowed return on the net regulatory assets and liabilities and the associated time periods:

PERIOD	RATE OF RETURN	After-Tax Return		
April 8, 2010 - present	8.10%	6.90%		
November 1, 2008 - April 7, 2010	8.25	7.00		

REMAINING **PUGET SOUND ENERGY** DECEMBER 31, AMORTIZATION (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) PERIOD 2011 2010 PGA deferral of unrealized losses on derivative instruments \$ 200,893 (a) \$ 149,681 Chelan PUD contract initiation 20 years 140,580 133,888 Storm damage costs electric 2 to 7 years (a) 87,303 103,630 Environmental remediation (a) 65,167 62,240 Baker Dam licensing operating and maintenance costs 47 years 63,272 63,459 Deferred income taxes 61,344 73,337 (a) Deferred Washington Commission AFUDC Varies up to 26 years 56,315 53,378 Energy conservation costs 1 to 2 years 35,111 48,367 1 to 40 years 33,023 18,304 Unamortized loss on reacquired debt 30,993 32,260 White River relicensing and other costs (a) Mint Farm ownership and operating costs 13.3 years 26,582 29,364 Investment in Bonneville Exchange power contract 5.5 years 19,396 22,923 6,818 15,618 PCA mechanism (a) N/A PURPA electric energy supply contract buyout costs 40,629 PGA receivable N/A 5,992 34,544 Varies Various other regulatory assets 21,346 \$ 887,614 \$ 848,143 Total PSE regulatory assets \$ (219,087) \$ (193,765) Cost of removal (b) Production tax credits (c) (93,618)(20,186)PGA payable 1 year (25,940)9 years Summit purchase option buy-out (13,913)(15,488)Varies up to 6.8 years Deferred credit on gas pipeline capacity (7,987)(13,310)Renewable energy credits (2,780)(48,493)Various other regulatory liabilities Up to 4.5 years (3,522)(5,642)Total PSE regulatory liabilities \$ (366,847) \$ (296,884) PSE net regulatory assets and liabilities \$ 481,296 \$ 590,730

⁽c) Amortization will begin once PTCs are utilized by PSE on its tax return.

	REMAINING				
PUGET ENERGY	AMORTIZATION	DECEMBER 31,			1,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	Period		2011		2010
Total PSE regulatory assets	(a)	\$	848,143	\$	887,614
Puget Energy acquisition adjustments:					
Regulatory assets related to power contracts	1 year to 26 years		46,202		116,116
Service provider contracts	1 to 2 years		5,751		15,933
Various other regulatory assets	Varies		1,449		28,926
Total Puget Energy regulatory assets		\$	901,545	\$	1,048,589
Total PSE regulatory liabilities	(a)	\$	(366,847)	\$	(296,884)
Puget Energy acquisition adjustments:					
Regulatory liabilities related to power contracts	1 to 41 years		(582,836)		(759,220)
Various other regulatory liabilities	Varies		(5,318)		(9,052)
Total Puget Energy regulatory liabilities		\$	(955,001)	\$	(1,065,156)
Puget Energy net regulatory asset and liabilities		\$	(53,456)	\$	(16,567)

⁽a) Puget Energy's regulatory assets and liabilities include purchase accounting adjustments as a result of the merger. For additional information, see Note 3.

⁽a) Amortization periods vary depending on timing of underlying transactions or awaiting regulatory approval in a future Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Washington Commission) rate proceeding.

⁽b) The balance is dependent upon the cost of removal of underlying assets and the life of utility plant.

If the Company determines that it no longer meets the criteria for continued application of ASC 980, the Company would be required to write off its regulatory assets and liabilities related to those operations not meeting ASC 980 requirements. Discontinuation of ASC 980 could have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In accordance with guidance provided by ASC 410, "Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations," PSE reclassified from accumulated depreciation to a regulatory liability \$219.1 million and \$193.8 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively, for the cost of removal of utility plant. These amounts are collected from PSE's customers through depreciation rates.

ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS USED DURING CONSTRUCTION

AFUDC represents the cost of both the debt and equity funds used to finance utility plant additions during the construction period. The amount of AFUDC recorded in each accounting period varies depending principally upon the level of construction work in progress and the AFUDC rate used. AFUDC is capitalized as a part of the cost of utility plant and is credited to interest expense and as a non-cash item to other income. Cash inflow related to AFUDC does not occur until these charges are reflected in rates.

The authorized AFUDC rates authorized by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Washington Commission) for natural gas and electric utility plant additions based on the effective dates is as follows:

	WASHINGTON
	COMMISSION
	AFUDC
EFFECTIVE DATE	RATES
April 8, 2010 - present	8.10%
November 1, 2008 - April 7, 2010	8.25

The Washington Commission authorized the Company to calculate AFUDC using its allowed rate of return. To the extent amounts calculated using this rate exceed the AFUDC calculated rate using the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) formula, PSE capitalizes the excess as a deferred asset, crediting other income. The deferred asset is being amortized over the average useful life of PSE's non-project electric utility plant which is approximately 30 years.

The following table presents the AFUDC amounts:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	
Equity AFUDC	\$ 32,431	\$ 12,677	\$ 4,177	
Washington Commission AFUDC	5,108	3,715	10,693	
Total in other income	37,539	16,392	14,870	
Debt AFUDC	29,949	14,157	9,214	
Total AFUDC	\$ 67,488	\$ 30,549	\$ 24,084	

REVENUE RECOGNITION

Operating utility revenue is recognized when the basis of services is rendered, which includes estimated unbilled revenue, in accordance with ASC 605, "Revenue Recognition" (ASC 605). Sales to other utilities are recognized in accordance with ASC 605 and ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging" (ASC 815). Non-utility subsidiaries recognize revenue when services are performed or upon the sale of assets. Revenue from retail sales is billed based on tariff rates approved by the Washington Commission. Sales of RECs are deferred as a regulatory liability.

PSE collected Washington state excise taxes (which are a component of general retail rates) and municipal taxes totaling \$252.5 million, \$231.1 million and \$247.8 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company's policy is to report such taxes on a gross basis in operating revenue and taxes other than income taxes in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

Allowance for doubtful accounts are provided for electric and natural gas customer accounts based upon a historical experience rate of write-offs of energy accounts receivable as compared to operating revenue. The allowance account is adjusted monthly for this experience rate. Other non-energy receivable balances are reserved in the allowance account based on facts and circumstances surrounding the receivable including, among other things, collection trends, prevailing and

anticipated economic conditions and specific customer credit risk, indicating some or all of the balance is uncollectible. The allowance account is maintained until either receipt of payment or the likelihood of collection is considered remote at which time the allowance account and corresponding receivable balance are written off.

The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$8.5 million and \$9.8 million, respectively.

SELF-INSURANCE

PSE currently has no insurance coverage for storm damage and recent environmental contamination occurring on PSE-owned property. PSE is self-insured for a portion of the risk associated with comprehensive liability, workers' compensation claims and catastrophic property losses other than those which are storm related. The Washington Commission has approved the deferral of certain uninsured qualifying storm damage costs that exceed \$8.0 million which will be requested for collection in future rates. Additionally, costs may only be deferred if the outage meets the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) outage criteria for system average interruption duration index.

FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

For presentation in Puget Energy and PSE's separate financial statements, income taxes are allocated to the subsidiaries on the basis of separate company computations of tax, modified by allocating certain consolidated group limitations which are attributed to the separate company. Taxes payable or receivable are settled with Puget Holdings.

RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISMS

PSE has a Power Cost Adjustment (PCA) mechanism that provides for a rate adjustment process if PSE's costs to provide customers' electricity varies from a baseline power cost rate established in a rate proceeding. All significant variable power supply cost drivers are included in the PCA mechanism (hydroelectric generation variability, market price variability for purchased power and surplus power sales, natural gas and coal fuel price variability, generation unit forced outage risk and wheeling cost variability). The PCA mechanism apportions increases or decreases in power costs, on a graduated scale, between PSE and its customers. Any unrealized gains and losses from derivative instruments accounted for under ASC 815, are deferred in proportion to the cost-sharing arrangement under the PCA mechanism. On January 10, 2007, the Washington Commission approved the PCA mechanism with the same annual graduated scale but without a cap on excess power costs.

The graduated scale is as follows:

ANNUAL POWER COST VARIABILITY	CUSTOMERS' SHARE	COMPANY'S SHARE
+/- \$20 million	0%	100%
+/- \$20 million - \$40 million	50%	50%
+/- \$40 million - \$120 million	90%	10%
+/- \$120 + million	95%	5%

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the annual power cost variability was between \$20.0 million and \$40.0 million. Accordingly, PSE and the customer shared the costs in excess of \$20.0 million in equal proportion.

The differences between the actual cost of PSE's natural gas supplies and natural gas transportation contracts and costs currently allowed by the Washington Commission are deferred and recovered or repaid through the PGA mechanism. The PGA mechanism allows PSE to recover expected natural gas and transportation costs, and defer, as a receivable or liability, any gas costs that exceed or fall short of this expected gas cost amount in the PGA mechanism rates, including interest.

NATURAL GAS OFF-SYSTEM SALES AND CAPACITY RELEASE

PSE contracts for firm natural gas supplies and holds firm transportation and storage capacity sufficient to meet the expected peak winter demand for natural gas by its firm customers. Due to the variability in weather, winter peaking consumption of natural gas by most of its customers and other factors, PSE holds contractual rights to natural gas supplies and transportation and storage capacity in excess of its average annual requirements to serve firm customers on its distribution system. For much of the year, there is excess capacity available for third-party natural gas sales, exchanges and capacity releases. PSE sells excess natural gas supplies, enters into natural gas supply exchanges with third parties outside of its distribution area and releases to third parties excess interstate natural gas pipeline capacity and natural gas storage rights on a short-term basis to mitigate the costs of firm transportation and storage capacity for its core natural gas customers. The

proceeds from such activities, net of transactional costs, are accounted for as reductions in the cost of purchased natural gas and passed on to customers through the PGA mechanism, with no direct impact on net income. As a result, PSE nets the sales revenue and associated cost of sales for these transactions in purchased natural gas.

NON-CORE GAS SALES

As part of the Company's electric operations, PSE provides natural gas to its gas-fired generation facilities. The projected volume of natural gas for power is relative to the price of natural gas. Based on the market prices for natural gas, PSE may use the gas it has already purchased to generate power or PSE may sell the already purchased natural gas. The net proceeds from selling natural gas for power are accounted for in other electric operating revenue and are included in the PCA mechanism.

PRODUCTION TAX CREDIT

Production Tax Credits (PTCs) represent federal income tax incentives available to companies that generate energy from qualifying renewable sources. Prior to July 1, 2010, PTCs that were generated were passed-through to customers in retail sales. After July 1, 2010, PTCs which are generated and owed to customers are recorded as a regulatory liability with a corresponding reduction in electric operating revenue until PSE utilizes the tax credit on its tax return, at which time the PTCs will be credited to customers in retail sales.

ACCOUNTING FOR DERIVATIVES

ASC 815 requires that all contracts considered to be derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value unless the contracts qualify for an exception. PSE enters into derivative contracts to manage its energy resource portfolio and interest rate exposure including forward physical and financial contracts and swaps. Some of PSE's physical electric supply contracts qualify for the Normal Purchase Normal Sale (NPNS) exception to derivative accounting rules. PSE may enter into financial fixed contracts to economically hedge the variability of certain index-based contracts. Those contracts that do not meet the NPNS exception are marked-to-market to current earnings in the statements of income, subject to deferral under ASC 980, for energy related derivatives due to the PCA mechanism and PGA mechanism.

On July 1, 2009, Puget Energy and PSE elected to de-designate all energy related derivative contracts previously recorded as cash flow hedges for the purpose of simplifying its financial reporting. The contracts that were de-designated related to physical electric supply contracts and natural gas swap contracts used to fix the price of natural gas for electric generation. For these contracts and for contracts initiated after such date, all mark-to-market adjustments are recognized through earnings. The amount previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) is transferred to earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings or sooner if management determines that the forecasted transaction is probable of not occurring. As a result, the Company will continue to experience the earnings impact of these reversals from OCI in future periods.

The Company may enter into swap instruments or other financial derivative instruments to manage the interest rate risk associated with its long-term debt financing and debt instruments. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy has interest rate swap contracts outstanding related to its long-term debt. For additional information, see Note 11.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS OF DERIVATIVES

ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" (ASC 820), defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). However, as permitted under ASC 820, the Company utilizes a mid-market pricing convention (the mid-point price between bid and ask prices) as a practical expedient for valuing the majority of its assets and liabilities measured and reported at fair value. The Company utilizes market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated or generally unobservable. The Company primarily applies the market approach for recurring fair value measurements as it believes that the approach is used by market participants for these types of assets and liabilities. Accordingly, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

The Company values derivative instruments based on daily quoted prices from an independent external pricing service. When external quoted market prices are not available for derivative contracts, the Company uses a valuation model that uses volatility assumptions relating to future energy prices based on specific energy markets and utilizes externally available forward market price curves. All derivative instruments are sensitive to market price fluctuations that can occur on a daily basis. For additional information, see Note 12.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company applies the fair value approach to stock compensation and estimates fair value in accordance with provisions of ASC 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation." Effective February 6, 2009, as a result of the merger, all outstanding shares of the Company were accelerated and vested, the stock compensation plan was terminated and there was no stock-based compensation. The Company recognized \$14.5 million of stock compensation expense which was recorded in merger and related costs.

DEBT RELATED COSTS

Debt premiums, discounts, expenses and amounts received or incurred to settle hedges are amortized over the life of the related debt for the Company. The premiums and costs associated with reacquired debt are deferred and amortized over the life of the related new issuance, in accordance with ratemaking treatment for PSE.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

PSE funds cash dividends to pay the shareholder of Puget Energy.

The following non-cash investing and financing activities have occurred at the Company:

- PSE incurred capital lease obligations of \$37.9 million for automatic meter reading modules and network for the year ended December 31, 2011. PSE did not incur any capital lease obligations for the year ended December 31, 2010. PSE incurred capital lease obligations of \$15.9 million for vehicles for the year ended December 31, 2009.
- In connection with the February 6, 2009 merger, Puget Energy assumed \$779.3 million of long-term debt in order to pay down PSE short-term debt and assumed \$587.8 million of long-term debt to pay off the previous shareholders. This amount was included as part of the purchase price consideration.

ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following tables set forth the components of the Company's accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31:

PUGET ENERGY	DECEMBER 31,		31,	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)		2011		2010
Net unrealized loss on energy derivatives	\$	(1,113)	\$	(2,658)
Net unrealized loss on interest rate swaps		(14,599)		(40,041)
Net unrealized gain and prior service cost on pension plans		(15,195)		39,630
Total Puget Energy, net of tax	\$	(30,907)	\$	(3,069)

PUGET SOUND ENERGY	DECEMBER 31,	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010
Net unrealized loss on energy derivatives	\$ (12,934)	\$ (34,612)
Net unrealized loss on treasury interest rate swaps	(6,941)	(7,257)
Net unrealized loss and prior service cost on pension plans	(168,704)	(115,778)
Total PSE, net of tax	\$ (188,579)	\$ (157,647)

(2) New Accounting Pronouncements

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS NOT YET ADOPTED

Intangibles - Goodwill and Other. In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-08, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment". ASU 2011-08 allows an entity the option to qualitatively assess whether it must perform the two-step goodwill impairment test in FASB ASC 350-20, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other. An entity has the option to qualitatively assess whether it is more likely than not (more than 50% likelihood) that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity elects to perform the qualitative assessment and determines that it is more likely than not that the reporting unit's fair value is in excess of its carrying amount, no further evaluation is necessary. Otherwise, an entity would perform Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test in ASC 350-20.

ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, and therefore will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2012 for the quarter ending March 31, 2012. Puget Energy is currently assessing the effects to its impairment testing process, although ASU 2011-08 is not expected to have a significant impact on Puget Energy's consolidated financial statements.

Comprehensive Income. In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income." ASU 2011-05 allows an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of OCI either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of OCI along with a total for OCI, and a total amount for comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of OCI as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments to the ASC in the ASU do not change the items that must be reported in OCI or when an item of OCI must be reclassified to net income.

On December 23, 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05." This ASU defers the implementation of only those provisions in ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments. The amendments are intended to allow the FASB time to redeliberate whether it is necessary to require entities to present reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income in both the statement where net income is presented and the statement where other comprehensive income is presented. ASU 2011-12 affects none of the other requirements in ASU 2011-05, including the requirement to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements.

The amendments in ASU 2011-12 and ASU 2011-05 are effective at the same time and should be applied retrospectively. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, and therefore will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2012 for the quarter ending March 31, 2012. The Company already complies with the presentation requirement, as the Company presents the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of OCI in two separate but consecutive statements. Therefore neither ASU 2011-12 nor ASU 2011-05 will have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurement. In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board on fair value measurement. Many of the amendments to ASC 820, eliminate unnecessary wording differences between International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and GAAP. The ASU expands ASC 820's existing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 by requiring (1) a quantitative disclosure of the unobservable inputs and assumptions used in the measurement, (2) a description of the valuation processes in place, and (3) a narrative description of the sensitivity of the fair value to changes in unobservable inputs and the interrelationships between those inputs. In addition, the level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position whose fair value must be disclosed.

Other amendments to ASC 820 include clarifying the highest and best use and valuation premise for nonfinancial assets, net risk position fair value measurement option for financial assets and liabilities with offsetting positions in market risks or counterparty credit risk, premiums and discounts in fair value measurement, and fair value of an instrument classified in a reporting entity's shareholders' equity.

ASU 2011-04 is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and therefore will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2012 for the quarter ending March 31, 2012. Other than the disclosure requirements, ASU 2011-04 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Balance Sheet. On December 16, 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." The ASU is the result of a joint project with the IASB designed to enhance and provide converged disclosures about financial and derivative instruments that are either offset on the balance sheet, or are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement (or other similar arrangement). The ASU does not change the conditions for when offsetting is appropriate in US GAAP.

In general, an entity should disclose the effect or potential effect of any rights of setoff associated with recognized assets and liabilities within the scope of the ASU. This information should enable financial statement users to evaluate the impact or potential impact of netting arrangements on its balance sheet.

The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. Retrospective application of the disclosures is required for all periods presented within the financial statements. Other than the disclosure requirements, ASU 2011-11 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(3) Business Combinations (Puget Energy Only)

On February 6, 2009, Puget Holdings completed its merger with Puget Energy. As a result of the merger, Puget Energy is the direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Puget Equico, which is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Puget Holdings. After the merger, Puget Energy has 1,000 shares authorized, of which 200 shares have been issued at a par value of \$0.01 per share.

At the time of the merger, each issued and outstanding share of common stock of Puget Energy was cancelled and converted automatically into the right to receive \$30.00 in cash, without interest. The fair value of consideration transferred was \$3.9 billion, including funding by Puget Holdings of \$3.0 billion, debt of \$0.6 billion issued by Puget Energy and \$0.3 billion that was the result of the stepped-up basis of the investors' previously owned shares.

The table below is the statement of fair value of assets acquired and accrued liabilities assumed as of February 6, 2009 measured in accordance with ASC 805. There were no adjustments subsequent to the merger transaction date.

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	AMOUNT
Net utility plant	\$ 6,346,032
Other property and investments	151,913
Goodwill	1,656,513
Current assets	1,259,505
Long-term and regulatory assets	2,497,355
Long-term debt	2,490,544
Current liabilities	2,173,079
Long-term liabilities	3,358,000

The following tables present the fair value adjustments to Puget Energy's balance sheet and recognition of goodwill in accordance with ASC 805:

ASSETS

	February 6, 2009 Increase
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	(DECREASE)
Utility plant:	<u> </u>
Electric plant	\$ (2,367,756)
Gas plant	(666,278)
Common plant	(302,015)
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	3,381,095
Net utility plant	45,046
Other property and investments:	_
Goodwill	1,656,513
Non-utility property	4,250
Total other property and investments	1,660,763
Current assets:	
Materials and supplies	13,700
Fuel and gas inventory	(27,561)
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	3,765
Power contract acquisition adjustment gain	123,975
Deferred income taxes	32,772
Total current assets	146,651
Other long-term and regulatory assets:	
Other regulatory assets	145,711
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	1,359
Regulatory asset related to power contracts	317,800
Power contract acquisition adjustment gain	1,016,225
Other	(17,072)
Total other long-term and regulatory assets	1,464,023
Total assets	\$ 3,316,483

CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES

	February 6,
	2009
	INCREASE
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	(DECREASE)
Capitalization:	
Common shareholders' equity	\$ 1,660,160
Long-term debt	(280,315)
Total capitalization	1,379,845
Current liabilities:	
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	84,603
Current portion of deferred income taxes	171
Power contract acquisition adjustment loss	118,167
Other	42,679
Total current liabilities	245,620
Long-term liabilities and regulatory liabilities:	
Deferred income taxes	161,094
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	50,979
Regulatory liabilities	17,417
Regulatory liabilities related to power contracts	1,140,200
Power contract acquisition adjustment loss	199,633
Other deferred credits	121,695
Total long-term liabilities and regulatory liabilities	1,691,018
Total capitalization and liabilities	\$ 3,316,483

The carrying values of net utility plant and the majority of regulatory assets and liabilities were determined to be stated at fair value at the acquisition date based on a conclusion that individual assets are subject to regulation by the Washington Commission and the FERC. As a result, the future cash flows associated with the assets are limited to the carrying value plus a return, and management believes that a market participant would not expect to recover any more or less than the carrying value. Furthermore, management believes that the current rate of return on plant assets is consistent with an amount that market participants would expect. ASC 805 requires that the beginning balance of fixed depreciable assets be shown net, with no accumulated amortization recorded, at the date of acquisition, consistent with fresh start accounting.

Other property and investments includes the carrying value of the investments in PSE subsidiaries and other non-utility assets adjusted to fair value based on a combination of the income approach, the market based approach and the cost approach.

The fair values of materials and supplies, which included emission allowances, RECs and carbon financial instruments, were established using a variety of approaches to estimate the market price. The carrying value of fuel inventory was adjusted to its fair value by applying market cost at the date of acquisition.

Energy derivative contracts were reassessed and revalued at the merger date based on forward market prices and forecasted energy requirements.

The fair value assigned to the power contracts was determined using an income approach comparing the contract rate to the market rate for power over the remaining period of the contracts incorporating nonperformance risk. Management also incorporated certain assumptions related to quantities and market presentation that it believes market participants would make in the valuation. The fair value of the power contracts will be amortized as the contracts settle.

Other regulatory assets include service contracts which were valued using the income approach comparing the contract rate to the market rate over the remaining period of the contract.

The fair value of leases was determined using the income approach which calculated the favorable/unfavorable leasehold interests as the net present value of the difference between the contract lease rent and market lease rent over the remaining terms of the contracted lease obligation.

The fair value assigned to long-term debt was determined using two different methodologies. For those securities which were quoted by a third party pricing service based on observable market data, the best indication of fair value was assumed to be the third party's quoted price. For those securities for which the third party did not provide regular pricing, the fair value of the debt was estimated by forecasting out all coupon and principal payments and discounting them to the present value at an approximated discount rate based on PSE's risk of nonperformance as of the merger date.

The merger also triggered a new basis of accounting for Puget Energy's postretirement benefit plans sponsored by PSE under ASC 805 which required remeasuring plan liabilities without the five year smoothing of market-related asset gains and losses.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, Puget Energy incurred pre-tax merger expenses of \$47.1 million primarily related to legal fees, transaction advisory services, new credit facility fees, change of control provisions and real estate excise tax. Puget Energy's merger costs in 2009 are not indicative for periods following the acquisition.

One day prior to the merger, PSE defeased its preferred stock in the amount of \$1.9 million. In conjunction with the merger on February 6, 2009, Puget Energy contributed \$805.3 million in capital to PSE, of which \$779.3 million was used to pay off short-term debt owed by PSE, including \$188.0 million in short-term debt outstanding through the PSE Funding accounts receivable securitization program that was terminated upon closing of the merger. An additional \$26.0 million of the capital contribution was used to pay change in control costs associated with the merger.

(4) Regulation and Rates

FERC TRANSMISSION RATE FILING

On January 6, 2012, PSE filed an electric transmission rate case with FERC as well as an increase in ancillary service charges. PSE is requesting a rate increase of \$3.8 million with an effective date of April 1, 2012. In the filing, PSE requested a formula transmission rate for network and point-to-point transmission service. A formula rate is a fixed methodology for calculating a rate based upon various cost and billing determinant inputs to recover the operating costs of the transmission system. The formula rate is updated annually and posted on PSE's Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS) with an informational filing to FERC. This streamlined process allows PSE to recover its costs on a timely

basis, provides for a transparent process with transmission customers and seeks to ensure that there is no under or over collection. Formula transmission rates are encouraged and broadly accepted by FERC.

ELECTRIC REGULATION AND RATES

STORM DAMAGE DEFERRAL ACCOUNTING

The Washington Commission issued a general rate case order that defined deferrable catastrophic/extraordinary losses and provided that costs in excess of \$8.0 million annually may be deferred for qualifying storm damage costs that meet the modified IEEE outage criteria for system average interruption duration index. PSE's storm accounting allows deferral of certain storm damage costs. In 2011 and 2010, PSE incurred \$4.6 million and \$23.5 million, respectively, in storm-related electric transmission and distribution system restoration costs, of which \$14.0 million was deferred in 2010. There were no costs deferred in 2011. In January 2012, a storm occurred that resulted in PSE incurring storm damage costs of approximately \$65.0 million. Of this amount, approximately \$55.6 million was deferred as a regulatory asset.

ELECTRIC GENERAL RATE CASE

On June 13, 2011, PSE filed a general rate increase with the Washington Commission which proposed an increase in electric rates of \$160.7 million or 8.1%, to be effective May 2012. PSE requested a weighted cost of capital of 8.42%, or 7.29% after-tax, and a capital structure of 48.0% in common equity with a return on equity of 10.8%. The filing also proposes a conservation savings adjustment mechanism related to energy efficiency services for business and residential customers. On September 1, 2011, PSE filed supplemental testimony to adjust the electric rate increase to \$152.3 million, a 7.7% increase, due to changes in projected power costs. On January 17, 2012, PSE filed rebuttal testimony which included a reduction to the requested electric rate increase to \$126.0 million. The \$26.3 million reduction was primarily due to updates to power costs and to a change to the weighted cost of capital to 8.26%, or 7.17% after-tax, which included a change to the return on equity to 10.75%. Hearings related to this matter were held on February 14 through 17, 2012.

On April 2, 2010, the Washington Commission issued its order in PSE's consolidated electric rate case filed in May 2009 which approved a general rate increase for electric customers of 3.7% annually, or \$74.1 million, effective April 8, 2010. In its order, the Washington Commission approved a weighted cost of capital of 8.1% and a capital structure that included 46.0% common equity with an after-tax return on equity of 10.1%.

POWER COST ONLY RATE CASE

Power Cost Only Rate Case (PCORC), a limited-scope proceeding, was approved in 2002 by the Washington Commission to periodically reset power cost rates. In addition to providing the opportunity to reset all power costs, the PCORC proceeding also provides for timely review of new resource acquisition costs and inclusion of such costs in rates at the time the new resource goes into service. To achieve this objective, the Washington Commission has used an expedited six-month PCORC decision timeline rather than the statutory 11-month timeline for a general rate case.

ACCOUNTING ORDERS AND PETITIONS

On May 21, 2008, PSE filed an accounting petition for a Washington Commission order that authorizes the deferral of a settlement payment of \$10.7 million incurred as a result of the recent settlement of a lawsuit in the state of Montana over alleged damages caused by the operation of the Colstrip Montana coal-fired steam electric generation facility (Colstrip). The payment was expensed pending resolution of the accounting petition. In the April 2, 2010 general rate case order, the Washington Commission allowed recovery of \$8.4 million in PSE's operating costs, which represents the amount of the settlement, net of insurance proceeds.

On November 5, 2008, PSE filed an accounting petition for a Washington Commission order authorizing the deferral and recovery of interest due the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for tax years 2001 to 2006 along with carrying costs incurred in connection with the interest due. In October 2005, the Washington Commission issued an order authorizing the deferral and recovery of costs associated with increased borrowings necessary to remit deferred taxes to the IRS. In the April 2, 2010 general rate case order, the Washington Commission denied recovery of the interest due to the IRS. PSE expensed the interest deferral of \$6.9 million in April 2010.

On November 6, 2008, PSE filed an accounting petition for a Washington Commission order authorizing accounting treatment and amortization related to payments received for taking assignment of Westcoast Pipeline Capacity. The accounting petition seeks deferred accounting treatment and amortization of the regulatory liability to power costs beginning

in November 2009 and extending over the remaining primary term of the pipeline capacity contract through October 31, 2018. In the April 2, 2010 general rate case order, the Washington Commission approved the deferral of \$7.5 million and amortization as proposed.

On April 17, 2009, the Washington Commission issued an order approving and adopting a settlement agreement that authorized PSE to defer certain ownership and operating costs related to its purchase of the Mint Farm Electric Generating Station (Mint Farm) that were incurred prior to PSE recovering such costs in electric customer rates. Under Washington state law, a jurisdictional electric utility may defer the costs associated with purchasing and operating a natural gas plant that complies with the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions performance standard until the plant is included in rates or for two years from the date of purchase, whichever occurs sooner. In the April 2, 2010 general rate case order, the Washington Commission approved the prudence of the Mint Farm acquisition and recovery of the deferred costs from the plant's inservice date to the date of the order. The deferred costs are to be amortized over 15 years. As of December 31, 2011, the balance of the regulatory asset, net of amortization was \$26.3 million.

On March 13, 2009, PSE filed with the Washington Commission an application for authority to sell and transfer certain assets related to the Company's White River Hydroelectric Project (the Project) to the Cascade Water Alliance (CWA). PSE also requested in its application that the Washington Commission waive applicable provisions of the Revised Code of Washington and Washington Administrative Code with regard to certain surplus property related to the Project, which PSE expects to sell in the near future but which is not part of the CWA transaction. On May 14, 2009, the application for authority to transfer certain assets to CWA was approved by the Washington Commission and the application for waiver with regard to the Surplus Property was denied and requires PSE to seek approval prior to the sale of any property.

On September 30, 2009, PSE filed an accounting petition requesting that the Washington Commission authorize PSE to normalize over 10 years a Treasury grant of \$28.7 million received under Section 1603 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 associated with the Wild Horse expansion project. Treasury grants are tax free grants related to certain renewable energy infrastructure that are available in lieu of the PTC allowed under the Internal Revenue Code. The Washington Commission issued an order approving the accounting petition on December 10, 2009.

On October 16, 2009, PSE filed an accounting petition requesting that the Washington Commission authorize the deferral and recovery of incremental costs associated with protecting the Company's infrastructure, facilitating public safety, and preparing PSE's electric and natural gas system in the Green River Valley flood plain in anticipation of release of water from the United States Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) Howard Hanson Dam (Dam). In the event of actual flooding, PSE also petitioned the Washington Commission to allow the deferral of costs associated with the repair and restoration of any electric and gas system infrastructure affected by a flood.

On January 28, 2010, the Washington Commission approved PSE's request for authorization to defer the costs associated with restoring the Company's infrastructure, facilitating public safety, and repairing the Company's electric and natural gas system in the Green River Valley flood plain in the event evacuation is required or flooding occurs due to operations associated with the Dam. This authorization is conditioned on PSE incurring incremental operation and maintenance costs in excess of \$5.0 million per year associated with repair or restoration of the Company's systems around the Green River. The Washington Commission's order will be effective until the date the Corps confirms that the Dam has been permanently repaired and that Corps' operations will return to normal.

The Washington Commission issued an order in 2010 relating to how REC proceeds should be handled for regulatory accounting and ratemaking purposes. The order required REC proceeds to be recorded as regulatory liabilities and that amounts recorded would accrue interest at the Company's approved after-tax rate of return. In its petition, PSE had sought approval for the use of \$21.1 million of REC proceeds to be used as an offset against its California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset. In response to the order, PSE adjusted the carrying value of its regulatory asset in the second quarter of 2010 by \$17.8 million (from \$21.1 million to \$3.3 million), with the \$3.3 million then offset against the Company's RECs regulatory liability. The Company's California wholesale energy sales regulatory asset represented unpaid bills for power sold into the markets maintained by the California Independent System Operator during the 2000-2001 California Energy Crisis, the claims of which were settled along with all counterclaims against PSE in a settlement agreement approved by the FERC on July 1, 2009.

On May 20, 2010, PSE filed an accounting petition requesting that the Washington Commission approve: (1) the creation of a regulatory asset account for the prepayments made to the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) associated with network upgrades to the Central Ferry substation related to the Lower Snake River wind project; (2) the monthly accrual of carrying charges on that regulatory asset at PSE's approved net of tax rate of return; and (3) the ability to provide

customers the BPA interest received through a reduction to transmission expense. The petition is still pending approval by the Washington Commission.

PRODUCTION TAX CREDIT / RENEWABLE ENERGY CREDIT

PSE has a tariff which passes the benefits of the PTCs to customers. The tariff is not subject to the sharing bands in the PCA. Prior to July 1, 2010, PSE could adjust the PTC tariff annually based on differences between the PTC credits provided to the customers and the PTC credits actually earned, plus estimated PTC credits for the following year, less interest associated with the deferred tax balance for the PTC credits. Since customers received the benefit of the tax credits as they were generated and the Company did not receive a credit from the IRS until the tax credits were utilized, the Company will be reimbursed for its carrying costs. PSE was reimbursed for carrying costs through December 31, 2011 when the credits that were provided and not used were fully received from customers.

Effective July 1, 2010, the Washington Commission approved a change in PSE's PTC tariff as PSE has not been able to utilize PTCs since 2007, due to insufficient taxable income caused primarily by bonus tax depreciation. The Washington Commission approved PSE suspending its PTC tariff, effective July 1, 2010. This resulted in an overall increase in PSE's electric rates of 1.7%; however, this will not result in an increase in earnings as the benefit of PTCs will pass-through to customers. The tariff also addresses additional federal incentives and therefore has been renamed the Federal Incentive Tracker.

On September 22, 2010, a joint proposal and accounting petition was filed with the Washington Commission by PSE, Washington Commission Staff and Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities which addressed how to recover PTCs provided to customers that have not been utilized and addresses REC proceeds to be returned to customers. On October 26, 2010, the Washington Commission issued an order granting the joint proposal and accounting petition. The order allows the Company to credit customers for REC revenue received and deferred through November 2009. This credit was set to reduce rates by \$27.7 million, or 2.9%, over five months beginning November 2010 through March 2011. RECs received after November 2009 will be retained by PSE and will be used to recapture the benefit of PTCs previously provided to customers.

Due to the uncertainty of realizing the benefit of PTCs, the PTCs will pass-through to customers following the year in which they are able to be utilized on PSE's tax return, rather than in the same year in which they are generated by qualifying wind powered facilities.

TREASURY GRANT

Section 1603 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Tax Act of 2009 (Section 1603) authorizes the United States Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury) to make grants to corporations who place specified energy property in service provided certain conditions are met. The Wild Horse expansion facility was placed into service on November 9, 2009. The Wild Horse facility was expanded from 229 megawatts (MW) to 273 MW through the addition of wind turbines. On December 22, 2009, PSE filed an application with the U.S. Treasury to request a grant on the expansion in the amount of \$28.7 million. Section 1603 precludes a recipient from claiming PTCs on property for which a grant is claimed. On February 19, 2010, the U.S. Treasury approved the grant and payment was received in February 2010.

On December 30, 2010, the Washington Commission approved revisions to PSE's Federal Incentive Tracker tariff, effective January 1, 2011, which changed the methodology by which federal benefits are passed-through to customers. The rate schedule will pass-through \$5.5 million of the \$28.7 million Treasury Grant in 2011. The order authorized PSE to pass back one-tenth of the Treasury Grant on an annual basis and includes 23 months of Treasury Grant amortization to customers from February 2010 through December 2011, which represents the month the Treasury Grant funds were received through the end of the period over which the rates will be set. This represents an overall average rate reduction of 0.3%, with no impact to net income.

PCA MECHANISM

In 2002, the Washington Commission approved a PCA mechanism that provides for a rate adjustment process if PSE's costs to provide customers' electricity varies from a baseline power cost rate established in a rate proceeding. On January 10, 2007, the Washington Commission approved the continuation of the PCA mechanism under the same annual graduated scale but without a cap on excess power costs. All significant variable power supply cost variables (hydroelectric and wind generation, market price for purchased power and surplus power, natural gas and coal fuel price, generation unit forced outage risk and transmission cost) are included in the PCA mechanism.

The PCA mechanism apportions increases or decreases in power costs, on a calendar year basis, between PSE and its customers on a graduated scale. For a discussion of the accounting policy and PCA graduated scale, see Note 1.

GAS REGULATION AND RATES

GAS GENERAL RATE CASE

On June 13, 2011, PSE filed a general rate increase with the Washington Commission which proposed an increase in natural gas rates of \$31.9 million or 3.0%, to be effective May 2012. PSE requested a weighted cost of capital of 8.42%, or 7.29% after-tax, and a capital structure of 48.0% in common equity with a return on equity of 10.8%. The filing also proposes a conservation savings adjustment mechanism related to energy efficiency services for business and residential customers. On January 17, 2012, PSE filed rebuttal testimony which included a reduction to the requested natural gas rate increase to \$28.6 million. The \$3.3 million reduction was primarily due to a change to the weighted cost of capital to 8.26%, or 7.17% after-tax, which included a change to the return on equity to 10.75%. Hearings related to this matter were held on February 14 through 17, 2012.

On April 26, 2011, PSE filed a new tariff for a Natural Gas Pipeline Integrity Program. This program is intended to enhance pipeline safety by providing for the timely recovery of the Company's cost to replace certain natural gas system infrastructure that would emphasize system reliability, integrity and safety which would increase natural gas revenue by \$1.9 million or 0.2%. The Washington Commission held a hearing for November 17, 2011 and a Commission Order is the next awaited step in the proceeding.

On March 14, 2011, the Washington Commission issued its order authorizing PSE to increase its natural gas general tariff rates by \$19.0 million or 1.8% on an annual basis effective April 1, 2011.

On April 2, 2010, the Washington Commission issued its order, effective April 8, 2010, in PSE's natural gas general rate case filed in May 2009, approving a general rate increase of 0.8% annually or \$10.1 million. In its order, the Washington Commission approved a weighted cost of capital of 8.1% and a capital structure that included 46.0% common equity with an after-tax return on equity of 10.1%.

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT

On October 27, 2011, the Washington Commission approved PSE's PGA natural gas tariff filing effective November 1, 2011, to decrease the rates charged to customers under the PGA. The estimated revenue impact of the approved charge is a decrease of \$43.5 million, or 4.3% annually. The rate adjustment has no impact on PSE's net income.

PSE has a PGA mechanism in retail natural gas rates to recover variations in natural gas supply and transportation costs. Variations in natural gas rates are passed through to customers; therefore, PSE's net income is not affected by such variations. Changes in the PGA rates affect PSE's revenue, but do not impact net income as the changes to revenue are offset by increased or decreased purchased gas and gas transportation costs.

The following table sets for PGA rate adjustments approved by the Washington Commission and the corresponding impact on PSE's annual revenue based on the effective dates:

A NINITI A I

		ANNUAL
	PERCENTAGE	INCREASE (DECREASE)
	INCREASE (DECREASE)	IN REVENUE
EFFECTIVE DATE	IN RATES	(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)
November 1, 2011	(4.3)%	\$ (43.5)
November 1, 2010 – October 31, 2011	1.9	18.3
October 1, 2009 – October 31, 2010	(17.1)	(198.1)
June 1, 2009 – May 31, 2010	(1.8)	(21.2)
October 1, 2008 – September 30, 2009	11.1	108.8

(5) Dividend Payment Restrictions

The payment of dividends by PSE to Puget Energy is restricted by provisions of certain covenants applicable to long-term debt contained in PSE's electric and natural gas mortgage indentures. At December 31, 2011, approximately \$448.6 million of unrestricted retained earnings was available for the payment of dividends under the most restrictive mortgage indenture covenant.

Beginning February 6, 2009, pursuant to the terms of the Washington Commission merger order, PSE may not declare or pay dividends if PSE's common equity ratio, calculated on a regulatory basis, is 44.0% or below except to the extent a lower equity ratio is ordered by the Washington Commission. Also, pursuant to the merger order, PSE may not declare or make any distribution unless on the date of distribution PSE's corporate credit/issuer rating is investment grade, or, if its credit ratings are below investment grade, PSE's ratio of Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) to interest expense for the most recently ended four fiscal quarter periods prior to such date is equal to or greater than 3 to one. The common equity ratio, calculated on a regulatory basis, was 48.2% at December 31, 2011 and the EBITDA to interest expense was 4.4 to one for the 12 months ended December 31, 2011.

PSE's ability to pay dividends is also limited by the terms of its credit facilities pursuant to which, PSE is not permitted to pay dividends during any Event of Default, or if the payment of dividends would result in an Event of Default (as defined in the facilities), such as failure to comply with certain financial covenants.

Puget Energy's ability to pay dividends is also limited by the merger order issued by the Washington Commission as well as by the terms of its credit facilities. Pursuant to the merger order, Puget Energy may not declare or make a distribution unless on such date Puget Energy's ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense for the four most recently ended fiscal quarters prior to such date is equal to or greater than 2 to one. The EBITDA to interest expense was 2.7 to one for the 12 months ended December 21, 2011.

In accordance with terms of the Puget Energy credit facilities, Puget Energy is limited to paying a dividend within an eight-day period that begins seven days following the delivery of quarterly or annual financial statements to the facility agent. Puget Energy is not permitted to pay dividends during any Event of Default, or if the payment of dividends would result in an Event of Default (as defined in the facilities), such as failure to comply with certain financial covenants. In addition, in order to declare or pay unrestricted dividends, Puget Energy's interest coverage ratio may not be less than 1.5 to one and its cash flow to net debt outstanding ratio may not be less than 8.25% for the 12 months ending each quarter-end. Puget Energy is also subject to other restrictions such as a "lock up" provision that, in certain circumstances, such as failure to meet certain cash flow tests, may further restrict Puget Energy's ability to pay dividends.

At December 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all applicable covenants, including those pertaining to the payment of dividends.

(6) Utility Plant

		PUGET ENERGY		PUGET SOUN	D ENERGY
	ESTIMATED				
UTILITY PLANT	USEFUL LIFE	AT DECEMBER 31,		AT DECEM	MBER 31,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	(YEARS)	2011	2010	2011	2010
Electric, gas and common utility plant					
classified by prescribed accounts:					
Distribution plant	10-50	\$ 4,552,087	\$ 4,313,447	\$ 6,279,340	\$ 6,054,961
Production plant	25-125	1,618,196	1,575,694	2,616,855	2,585,864
Transmission plant	45-65	391,080	337,163	516,461	463,546
General plant	5-35	442,216	390,732	499,559	449,980
Intangible plant (including capitalized	3-50				
software)		112,118	97,458	187,948	184,706
Plant acquisition adjustment	7-30	188,628	183,142	228,593	223,108
Underground storage	25-60	27,139	26,869	40,815	40,558
Liquefied natural gas storage	25-45	12,622	12,440	14,492	14,310
Plant held for future use	NA	18,381	53,945	18,534	54,098
Recoverable Cushion Gas	NA	8,514	8,058	8,514	8,057
Plant not classified	NA	38,998	58,822	38,998	58,822
Capital leases, net of accumulated					
amortization ¹	1-5	32,207	15,444	32,207	
Less: accumulated provision for					
depreciation		(674,782)	(429,038)	(3,714,912)	(3,509,277)
Subtotal		\$ 6,767,404	\$ 6,644,176	\$ 6,767,404	\$ 6,628,733
Construction work in progress	NA	1,282,463	628,387	1,282,463	628,387
Net utility plant		\$ 8,049,867	\$ 7,272,563	\$ 8,049,867	\$ 7,257,120

¹ Accumulated amortization of capital leases at Puget Energy was \$5.7 million in 2011 and \$29.6 million in 2010. Accumulated amortization of capital leases at PSE was \$5.7 million in 2011. PSE did not have any capital leases in 2010.

Jointly owned generating plant service costs are included in utility plant service cost. The following table indicates the Company's percentage ownership and the extent of the Company's investment in jointly owned generating plants in service at December 31, 2011. These amounts are also included in the Utility Plant table above.

			PUGET ENERGY'S SHARE		PUGET SOUND	ENERGY'S SHARE
JOINTLY OWNED GENERATING	ENERGY	COMPANY'S	PLANT IN		PLANT IN	
PLANTS	Source	OWNERSHIP	SERVICE AT	ACCUMULATED	SERVICE AT	ACCUMULATED
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	(FUEL)	Share	Cost	DEPRECIATION	Cost	DEPRECIATION
Colstrip Units 1 & 2	Coal	50%	\$ 135,623	\$ (5,153)	\$ 279,391	\$ (148,922)
Colstrip Units 3 & 4	Coal	25%	217,813	(16,246)	501,837	(300,269)
Colstrip Units 1 – 4 Common						
Facilities ¹	Coal	various	83	(10)	252	(179)
Frederickson 1	Gas	49.85%	62,146	570	71,095	(8,379)

The Company's ownership is 50% for Colstrip Units 1 & 2 and 25% for Colstrip Units 3 & 4.

There were no valuation adjustments to asset retirement obligations (ARO) in conjunction with the merger in 2009. The Company recognized a new ARO in 2011 in the amount of \$0.4 million. The Company did not recognize any new AROs in 2010.

The following table describes all changes to the Company's ARO liability:

	AT DECEM	ивек 31,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010
Asset retirement obligation at beginning of period	\$ 25,416	\$ 24,095
New asset retirement obligation recognized in the period	350	
Liability settled in the period	(1,722)	(2,341)
Revisions in estimated cash flows	1,154	2,413
Accretion expense	1,342	1,249
Asset retirement obligation at end of period	\$ 26,540	\$ 25,416

The Company has identified the following obligations, as defined by ASC 410, "Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations," which were not recognized at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

- a legal obligation under Federal Dangerous Waste Regulations to dispose of asbestoscontaining material in facilities that are not scheduled for remodeling, demolition or sales.

 The disposal cost related to these facilities could not be measured since the retirement date
 is indeterminable; therefore, the liability cannot be reasonably estimated;
- an obligation under Washington state law to decommission the wells at the Jackson Prairie
 natural gas storage facility upon termination of the project. Since the project is expected to
 continue as long as the Northwest pipeline continues to operate, the liability cannot be
 reasonably estimated;
- an obligation to pay its share of decommissioning costs at the end of the functional life of the major transmission lines. The major transmission lines are expected to be used indefinitely; therefore, the liability cannot be reasonably estimated;
- a legal obligation under Washington state environmental laws to remove and properly
 dispose of certain under and above ground fuel storage tanks. The disposal costs related to
 under and above ground storage tanks could not be measured since the retirement date is
 indeterminable; therefore, the liability cannot be reasonably estimated;
- a potential legal obligation may arise upon the expiration of an existing FERC hydropower license if FERC orders the project to be decommissioned, although PSE contends that FERC does not have such authority. Given the value of ongoing generation, flood control and other benefits provided by these projects, PSE believes that the potential for decommissioning is remote and cannot be reasonably estimated;

(7) Long-Term Debt

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS, POLLUTION CONTROL BONDS, SENIOR NOTES AND JUNIOR SUBORDINATED NOTES

		AT D	ECEMBER 31,				AT DECI	EMBE	R 31,
Series	Due	2011	2010	Serie	es Due		2011		2010
7.690%	2011	\$	\$ 260,000	5.000%	2031	\$	138,460	\$	138,460
6.830%	2013	3,000	3,000	5.100%	2031		23,400		23,400
6.900%	2013	10,000	10,000	5.483%	2035		250,000		250,000
5.197%	2015	150,000	150,000	6.7249	6 2036		250,000		250,000
7.350%	2015	10,000	10,000	6.2749	% 2037		300,000		300,000
7.360%	2015	2,000	2,000	5.757%	2039		350,000		350,000
6.750%	2016	250,000	250,000	5.764%	2040		250,000		250,000
6.740%	2018	200,000	200,000	5.795%	2040		325,000		325,000
9.570%	2020		25,000	4.434%	2041		250,000		
7.150%	2025	15,000	15,000	5.638%	2041		300,000		
7.200%	2025	2,000	2,000	4.700%	2051		45,000		
7.020%	2027	300,000	300,000	6.974%	$o^2 2067$		250,000		250,000
7.000%	2029	100,000	100,000						
Total PSE 1	ong-term	debt				\$ 3	3,773,860	\$ 3	3,463,860
Unamortize	d discoun	t on senior no	otes				(15)		
Net PSE lor	ng-term de	ebt				\$3	3,773,845	\$3	3,463,860

¹ Pollution Control Bonds

Junior Subordinated Notes

PUGET ENERGY		AT DECEM	MBER 31,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	DUE	2011	2010
PSE long-term debt	Various	\$ 3,773,845	\$ 3,463,860
Fair value adjustment of PSE long-term debt ¹		(276,322)	(284,187)
Term-loan	2014	298,000	782,000
Capital expenditures facility	2014	545,000	258,000
6.500% senior secured note	2020	450,000	450,000
6.000% senior secured note	2021	500,000	
Original discount on Puget Energy term-loan and capital			
expenditures facility	N/A	(13,144)	(26,947)
Unamortized discount on senior secured note	N/A	(12)	(13)
Total Puget Energy long-term debt		\$ 5,277,367	\$ 4,642,713

For additional information regarding fair value adjustments, see Note 3

PUGET SOUND ENERGY LONG-TERM DEBT

PSE has in effect a shelf registration statement under which it may issue, from time to time, senior notes secured by first mortgage bonds. The Company remains subject to the restrictions of PSE's indentures and credit agreements on the amount of first mortgage bonds that PSE may issue.

On November 22, 2011, PSE issued \$45.0 million of senior notes secured by first mortgage bonds. The notes have a term of 40 years and an interest rate of 4.700%. Net proceeds from the offering were used to repay a \$25.0 million PSE bond maturing in 2020, with an interest rate of 9.570%

On November 16, 2011, PSE issued \$250.0 million of senior notes secured by first mortgage bonds. The notes have a term of 30 years and an interest rate of 4.434%. Net proceeds from the offering were used to repay short-term indebtedness under PSE's capital expenditure credit facility.

On March 25, 2011, PSE issued \$300.0 million of senior notes secured by first mortgage bonds. The notes have a term of 30-years and an interest rate of 5.638%. Net proceeds from the note offering were used by PSE to repay short-term debt outstanding under its capital expenditures credit facility, which debt was incurred to fund utility capital expenditures and replenish cash used to repay the February 2011 maturity of \$260.0 million of medium-term notes with a 7.69% interest rate.

On June 29, 2010, PSE issued \$250.0 million of senior notes secured by first mortgage bonds. The notes have a term of 30 years and an interest rate of 5.764%. Net proceeds from the note offering were used to repay \$7.0 million of medium-term notes with a 7.12% interest rate that matured on September 13, 2010 and to repay short-term debt outstanding under the \$400.0 million capital expenditure credit facility.

On March 8, 2010, PSE issued \$325.0 million of senior notes secured by first mortgage bonds. The notes have a term of 30 years and an interest rate of 5.795%. Net proceeds from the offering were used to replenish funds utilized to repay \$225.0 million of senior medium-term notes which matured on February 22, 2010 and carried a 7.96% interest rate. Remaining net proceeds were used to pay down debt under PSE's capital expenditure credit facility.

Substantially all utility properties owned by PSE are subject to the lien of the Company's electric and natural gas mortgage indentures. To issue additional first mortgage bonds under these indentures, PSE's earnings available for interest must exceed certain minimums as defined in the indentures. At December 31, 2011, the earnings available for interest exceeded the required amount.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY POLLUTION CONTROL BONDS

PSE has two series of Pollution Control Bonds outstanding. Amounts outstanding were borrowed from the City of Forsyth, Montana who obtained the funds from the sale of Customized Pollution Control Refunding Bonds issued to finance pollution control facilities at Colstrip Units 3 & 4.

Each series of bonds is collateralized by a pledge of PSE's first mortgage bonds, the terms of which match those of the Pollution Control Bonds. No payment is due with respect to the related series of first mortgage bonds so long as payment is made on the Pollution Control Bonds.

PUGET ENERGY LONG-TERM DEBT

On June 3, 2011, Puget Energy issued \$500.0 million of senior secured notes. The notes are secured by an interest in substantially all of Puget Energy's assets, which consists mainly of all the issued and outstanding stock of PSE and the stock of Puget Energy held by Puget Equico LLC (Puget Equico). The notes mature on September 1, 2021 and have an interest rate of 6.0%. Net proceeds from the note offering were used by Puget Energy to repay \$484.0 million of its five-year termloans and \$9.9 million to unwind three outstanding interest rate swaps.

On December 6, 2010, Puget Energy issued \$450.0 million of senior secured notes. The notes have a term of ten years and an interest rate of 6.5%. The notes are secured by an interest in substantially all of Puget Energy's assets, which consists mainly of all the issued and outstanding stock of PSE and the stock of Puget Energy held by Puget Equico. The notes contain a change of control provision pursuant to which holders of the notes may have the right to require Puget Energy to repurchase all or any part of the notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101.0% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest. Net proceeds from the note offering were used by Puget Energy to repay a portion of Puget Energy's \$1.225 billion five-year term loan.

At the time of the merger in February 2009, Puget Energy entered into a \$1.225 billion five-year term-loan and a \$1.0 billion credit facility for funding capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had fully drawn the five-year term-loan which, after previous repayments, had a remaining outstanding balance of \$298.0 million. Also, as of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had drawn \$545.0 million under the \$1.0 billion capital expenditure facility. The term-loan and capital expenditure facility mature in February 2014. These credit agreements contain usual and customary affirmative and negative covenants which are similar to PSE's credit facilities. Puget Energy's credit agreements contain financial covenants based on the following three ratios: cash flow interest coverage, cash flow to net debt outstanding and debt service coverage (cash available for debt service to borrower interest), each as specified in the facilities. Puget Energy certifies its compliance with these covenants each quarter. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy was in compliance with all applicable covenants.

In May 2010, Puget Energy's credit facilities were amended, in part, to include a provision for the sharing of collateral with future note holders when notes are issued to repay and reduce the size of the credit facilities.

These facilities contain similar terms and conditions and are syndicated among numerous committed lenders. The agreements provide Puget Energy with the ability to borrow at different interest rate options and include variable fee levels. Borrowings may be at the bank's prime rate or at floating rates based on London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a spread based upon Puget Energy's credit rating. Puget Energy must pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the \$1.0 billion facility. The spreads and the commitment fee depend on Puget Energy's credit ratings. As of the date of this report, the spread over prime rate is 1.0%, the spread to the LIBOR is 2.0% and the commitment fee is 0.75%.

LONG-TERM DEBT MATURITIES

The principal amounts of long-term debt maturities for the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	201	2	2013	2014	2015	2016	THEREAFTER	TOTAL
Maturities of:								
PSE long-term debt	\$		\$ 13,000	\$	\$ 162,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 3,348,860	\$ 3,773,860
Puget Energy long-term debt				843,000			950,000	1,793,000
Puget Energy long-term debt	\$		\$ 13,000	\$ 843,000	\$ 162,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 4,298,860	\$ 5,566,860

FINANCIAL COVENANTS

The Company's credit facilities contain financial covenants related to cash flow interest coverage, cash flow to net debt outstanding and debt service coverage, each as specified in the facilities. As of December 31, 2011, the Company is in compliance with its long-term debt financial covenants.

(8) Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

PUGET ENERGY

The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair value of Puget Energy's financial instruments at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	DECEMBER 31, 2011			D	DECEMBER 31, 2010			
	CA	RRYING		Fair	CARRYING			FAIR
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	AMOUNT		VALUE		AMOUNT		VALUE	
Financial assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	37,235	\$	37,235	\$	36,557	\$	36,557
Restricted cash		4,183		4,183		5,470		5,470
Notes receivable and other		73,031		73,031		72,419		72,419
Electric derivatives		10,720		10,720		9,762		9,762
Gas derivatives		6,011		6,011		5,971		5,971
Financial liabilities:								
Short-term debt	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$ 2	247,000	\$	247,000
Junior subordinated notes		250,000		248,583	2	250,000		246,864
Current maturities of long-term debt (fixed-rate)					2	260,000		261,472
Long-term debt (fixed-rate), net of discount	4	,197,511	:	5,503,571	3,	119,660	3.	,718,303
Long-term debt (variable-rate), net of discount		829,856		856,978	1,0	013,053	1.	,083,117
Electric derivatives		264,334		264,334	2	242,581		242,581
Gas derivatives		206,904		206,904		155,651		155,651
Interest rate derivatives		52,409		52,409		58,003		58,003

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair value of PSE's financial instruments at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	DECEMBER 31, 2011			I	DECEMBER 31, 2010			
	CARRYING		Fair		CARRYING			FAIR
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	Aı	MOUNT	V	ALUE	Aı	MOUNT	V	ALUE
Financial assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	31,010	\$	31,010	\$	36,320	\$	36,320
Restricted cash		4,183		4,183		5,470		5,470
Notes receivable and other		73,031		73,031		72,419		72,419
Electric derivatives		10,720		10,720		9,762		9,762
Gas derivatives		6,011		6,011		5,971		5,971
Financial liabilities:								
Short-term debt	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	247,000	\$	247,000
Short-term debt owed by PSE to Puget Energy ¹		29,998		29,998		22,598		22,598
Junior subordinated notes		250,000		248,583		250,000		246,864
Current maturities of long-term debt (fixed-rate)						260,000		261,472
Non-current maturities of long-term debt (fixed-rate)	3	,523,845	4	,499,295	2	,953,860	3,	267,994
Electric derivatives		264,334		264,334		242,581		242,581
Gas derivatives		206,904		206,904		155,651		155,651

Short-term debt owed by PSE to Puget Energy is eliminated upon consolidation of Puget Energy.

The fair value of long-term notes and variable rate notes were estimated using U.S. Treasury yields and related current market credit spreads, interpolating to the maturity date of each issue.

The carrying values of short-term debt and notes receivable are considered to be a reasonable estimate of fair value. The carrying amount of cash, which includes temporary investments with original maturities of three months or less, is also considered to be a reasonable estimate of fair value.

(9) Liquidity Facilities and Other Financing Arrangements

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, PSE had \$25.0 million and \$247.0 million in short-term debt outstanding, respectively, exclusive of the demand promissory note with Puget Energy. Outside of the consolidation of PSE's short-term debt, Puget Energy had no short-term debt outstanding in either year as borrowings under its credit facilities are classified as long-term. PSE's weighted-average interest rate on short-term debt, including borrowing rate, commitment fees and the amortization of debt issuance costs, during 2011 and 2010 was 4.39% and 5.11%, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, PSE and Puget Energy had several committed credit facilities that are described below.

Puget Sound Energy Credit Facilities

PSE maintains three committed unsecured revolving credit facilities that provide, in the aggregate, \$1.15 billion in short-term borrowing capability and which mature concurrently in February 2014. These facilities include a \$400.0 million credit agreement for working capital needs, a \$400.0 million credit facility for funding capital expenditures and a \$350.0 million facility to support energy hedging activities.

PSE's credit agreements contain usual and customary affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, place limitations on PSE's ability to incur additional indebtedness and liens, issue equity, pay dividends, transact with affiliates and make asset dispositions and investments. The credit agreements also contain financial covenants which include a cash flow interest coverage ratio and, in addition, if PSE has a below investment grade credit rating, a cash flow to net debt outstanding ratio (each as specified in the facilities). PSE certifies its compliance with such covenants to participating banks each quarter. As of December 31, 2011, PSE was in compliance with all applicable covenants.

These credit facilities contain similar terms and conditions and are syndicated among numerous committed lenders. The agreements provide PSE with the ability to borrow at different interest rate options and include variable fee levels. The credit agreements allow PSE to borrow at the bank's prime rate or to make floating rate advances at the LIBOR plus a spread that is based upon PSE's credit rating. The working capital facility, as amended, includes a swing line feature allowing same day

availability on borrowings up to \$50.0 million. The \$400.0 million working capital facility and \$350.0 million credit agreement to support energy hedging allow for issuing standby letters of credit. PSE must also pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the credit facilities. The spreads and the commitment fee depend on PSE's credit ratings. As of the date of this report, the spread to the LIBOR is 0.85% and the commitment fee is 0.26%. The \$400.0 million working capital facility also serves as a backstop for PSE's commercial paper program.

As of December 31, 2011, \$25.0 million was drawn and outstanding under PSE's \$400.0 million working capital facility. A \$12.5 million letter of credit supporting contracts was outstanding under the facility and there were no amounts outstanding under the commercial paper program. The \$400.0 million capital expenditure facility had no amounts drawn and outstanding. No amounts were drawn or outstanding (including letters of credit) under PSE's \$350.0 million facility supporting energy hedging. Outside of the credit agreements, PSE had a \$5.3 million letter of credit in support of a long-term transmission contract.

Demand Promissory Note. On June 1, 2006, PSE entered into a revolving credit facility with Puget Energy, in the form of a credit agreement and a Demand Promissory Note (Note) pursuant to which PSE may borrow up to \$30.0 million from Puget Energy subject to approval by Puget Energy. Under the terms of the Note, PSE pays interest on the outstanding borrowings based on the lower of the weighted-average interest rates of PSE's outstanding commercial paper interest rate or PSE's senior unsecured revolving credit facility. Absent such borrowings, interest is charged at one-month LIBOR plus 0.25%. At December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance of the Note was \$30.0 million. The outstanding balance and the related interest under the Note are eliminated by Puget Energy upon consolidation of PSE's financial statements.

Puget Energy Credit Facilities

At the time of the merger in February 2009, Puget Energy entered into a \$1.225 billion five-year term-loan and a \$1.0 billion credit facility for funding capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had fully drawn the five-year term-loan which, after previous repayments, had a remaining outstanding balance of \$298.0 million. Also, as of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had drawn \$545.0 million under the \$1.0 billion capital expenditure facility. The term-loan and capital expenditure facility mature in February 2014. These credit agreements, which in May 2010 were amended to include a provision for the sharing of collateral with note holders, contained usual and customary affirmative and negative covenants similar to those in PSE's credit facilities.

On February 10, 2012, Puget Energy entered into a \$1.0 billion five-year revolving credit facility. Initial borrowings under this facility were used to repay debt outstanding under Puget Energy's term loan and capital expenditure facilities and those agreements were terminated. As a revolving facility, amounts borrowed may be repaid without a reduction in the size of the facility. The revolving credit facility provides Puget Energy the ability to borrow at different interest rate options and includes variable fee levels. Interest rates may be based on the prime rate or LIBOR, plus a spread based on Puget Energy's credit ratings. Puget Energy must pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the facility. At the inception of this facility, \$864.0 million was outstanding, the spread over LIBOR was 2.0% and the commitment fee was 0.375%.

(10) Leases

PSE leases buildings and assets under operating leases. Certain leases contain purchase options, renewal options and escalation provisions. Operating lease expense net of sublease receipts were:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS	5)
AT DECEMBER 31,	
2011	\$ 24,789
2010	22,493
2009	31,747

Payments received for the subleases of properties was approximately \$0.1 million for each of the years ended 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Future minimum lease payments for non-cancelable leases net of sublease receipts are:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)		
AT DECEMBER 31,	OPERATING	CAPITAL
2012	\$ 13,873	\$ 8,160
2013	14,131	8,160
2014	12,964	8,160
2015	13,008	8,160
2016	14,881	2,718
Thereafter	59,238	
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 128,095	\$ 35,358

PSE leased a portion of its owned natural gas transmission pipeline infrastructure under a non-cancelable operating lease to a third party which expired in 2009.

(11) Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

PSE employs various portfolio optimization strategies, but is not in the business of assuming risk for the purpose of realizing speculative trading revenue. The nature of serving regulated electric customers with its portfolio of owned and contracted electric generation resources exposes PSE and its customers to some volumetric and commodity price risks within the sharing mechanism of the PCA. Therefore, wholesale market transactions are focused on balancing PSE's energy portfolio, reducing costs and risks where feasible and reducing volatility in costs and margins in the portfolio. PSE's energy risk portfolio management function monitors and manages these risks using analytical models and tools. In order to manage risks effectively, PSE enters into physical and financial transactions which are appropriate for the service territory of PSE and are relevant to its regulated electric and natural gas portfolios.

On the date of the merger, Puget Energy de-designated its derivative contracts that were designated on PSE's books as NPNS or cash flow hedges and recorded such contracts at fair value as either assets or liabilities. Certain contracts meeting the criteria defined in ASC 815 were subsequently re-designated as NPNS or cash flow hedges.

On July 1, 2009, Puget Energy and PSE elected to de-designate all energy related derivative contracts previously recorded as cash flow hedges for the purpose of simplifying its financial reporting. The contracts that were de-designated related to electric supply contracts and natural gas swap contracts used to fix the price of natural gas for electric generation. For these contracts and for contracts initiated after such date, all mark-to-market adjustments are recognized through earnings. The amount previously recorded in accumulated OCI is transferred to earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings or sooner if management determines that the forecasted transaction is probable of not occurring. As a result, the Company will continue to experience the earnings impact of these reversals from OCI in future periods. The amount of losses reclassified from OCI to earnings as a result of de-designated cash flow hedges specific to transactions that are probable of not occurring during 2011 for Puget Energy and PSE was \$18.4 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

The Company manages its interest rate risk through the issuance of mostly fixed-rate debt of various maturities. The Company utilizes internal cash from operations, commercial paper, and credit facilities to meet short-term funding needs. Short-term obligations are commonly refinanced with fixed-rate bonds or notes when needed and when interest rates are considered favorable. The Company may enter into swap instruments or other financial hedge instruments to manage the interest rate risk associated with these debts. As of December 31, 2011, Puget Energy had four interest rate swap contracts outstanding and PSE did not have any outstanding interest rate swap instruments.

In February 2009, Puget Energy entered into the interest rate swap transactions to hedge risk associated with one-month LIBOR floating rate debt. Subsequently, in order to satisfy a commitment the Company made to the Washington Commission and to mitigate refinancing risk, the Company refinanced a portion of the underlying debt hedged by the interest rate swaps during 2010 and again during 2011. As a result of refinancing, the Company de-designated the cash flow hedge accounting relationship between the debt and interest rate swaps in 2010. All fair value gains or losses associated with the interest rate swaps subsequent to the de-designation are recorded in earnings. At December 31, 2011, the outstanding

notional balance of the interest rate swaps is \$1.28 billion, compared to the variable rate debt balance of only \$843 million. Under the existing credit agreements, the Company may retain a portion of those swaps that are in excess of the underlying debt (not economic hedges) until June 2012 at which point the Company may decide to unwind or follow other strategies to mitigate the risk of those un-hedged swaps. During the period in which the Company's interest rate swaps are in excess of the Company's variable rate debt, the Company will be subject to additional interest rate risk. The Company has settled approximately \$277 million of the interest rate swaps on February 15, 2012. The transaction did not impact the consolidated statements of income as the fair value losses for those swaps had already been recorded through earnings.

The Company refinanced the remaining \$843 million of outstanding variable rate debt on February 10, 2012 in order to further stagger debt maturity dates. Since the refinancing replaced debt with like debt, the original hedged forecast interest payments are still probable of occurring and there is no anticipated reclassification of existing amounts deferred in accumulated OCI to earnings as a result of this transaction. Puget Energy recorded a \$21.2 million loss related to the swaps to interest expense during 2011.

The following tables present the fair value and locations of Puget Energy's derivative instruments recorded on the balance sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

DERIVATIVES NOT DESIGNATED AS HEDGING INSTRUMENTS

PUGET ENERGY	DECEMBER	31, 2011	DECEMBER 31, 2010				
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	ASSETS 1	LIABILITIES 1	ASSETS 1	LIABILITIES 1			
Interest rate swaps:							
Current	\$	\$ 25,210	\$	\$ 30,047			
Long-term		27,199		27,956			
Electric portfolio:							
Current	5,212	173,582	4,716	142,780			
Long-term	5,508	90,752	5,046	99,801			
Gas portfolio: ²							
Current	1,435	128,297	2,784	100,273			
Long-term	4,576	78,607	3,187	55,378			
Total derivatives	\$ 16,731	\$ 523,647	\$ 15,733	\$ 456,235			

Balance sheet location: Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments.

The following table presents the fair value and locations of PSE's derivative instruments recorded on the balance sheet at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

DERIVATIVES NOT DESIGNATED AS HEDGING INSTRUMENTS

PUGET SOUND ENERGY	DECEMBER	31, 2011	DECEMBER 31, 2010			
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	ASSETS 1	ASSETS 1 LIABILITIES 1		LIABILITIES 1		
Electric portfolio:						
Current	\$ 5,212	\$ 173,582	\$ 4,716	\$ 142,780		
Long-term	5,508	90,752	5,046	99,801		
Gas portfolio: ²						
Current	1,435	128,297	2,784	100,273		
Long-term	4,576	78,607	3,187	55,378		
Total derivatives	\$ 16,731	\$ 471,238	\$ 15,733	\$ 398,232		

Balance sheet location: Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments.

For further details regarding the fair value of derivative instruments and their Level categorization, see Note 12.

Puget Energy had a derivative liability and an offsetting regulatory asset of \$200.9 million at December 31, 2011 and \$149.7 million at December 31, 2010 related to financial contracts used to economically hedge the cost of physical gas purchased to serve natural gas customers. All fair value adjustments on derivatives relating to the natural gas business have been reclassified to a deferred account in accordance with ASC 980 due to the PGA mechanism. All increases and decreases in the cost of natural gas supply are passed on to customers with the PGA mechanism and the gains and losses on the hedges in future periods will be recorded as gas costs.

PSE had a derivative liability and an offsetting regulatory asset of \$200.9 million at December 31, 2011 and \$149.7 million at December 31, 2010 related to financial contracts used to economically hedge the cost of physical gas purchased to serve natural gas customers. All fair value adjustments on derivatives relating to the natural gas business have been reclassified to a deferred account in accordance with ASC 980 due to the PGA mechanism. All increases and decreases in the cost of natural gas supply are passed on to customers with the PGA mechanism and the gains and losses on the hedges in future periods will be recorded as gas costs.

The following table presents the net unrealized (gain) loss of Puget Energy's derivative instruments recorded on the statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

		Predecessor		
	YEAR YEAR FEBRUA		February 6,	January 1,
	ENDED	ENDED	2009 –	2009 –
PUGET ENERGY	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	FEBRUARY 5,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	2009
Gas / Power NPNS ¹	\$ (11,677)	\$ (40,564)	\$ (42,328)	\$
Gas for power generation	(23,993)	37,535	(71,921)	3,696
Power exchange		(2,619)	(2,247)	(588)
Power	47,164	59,743	(51,698)	759
Credit reserve ²			11,593	
Total net unrealized (gain) loss on				
derivative instruments	\$ 11,494	\$ 54,095	\$ (156,601)	\$ 3,867
Interest expense – interest rate swaps	\$ 21,159	\$ (10,918)	\$	\$
Other deductions – interest rate swaps	\$ 12,388	\$ 7,319	\$	\$

¹ Amount represents amortization expense related to contracts that were recorded at fair value at the time of the merger order.

The following table presents the net unrealized (gain) loss of PSE's derivative instruments recorded on the statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	Year Ended								
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	DECEMBER 31,								
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011 2010		2010	2009					
Gas for power generation	\$	(4,043)	\$	91,666	\$	(2,835)			
Power exchange				(2,620)		(2,822)			
Power		58,189		77,907		4,321			
Credit reserve ¹						82			
Total net unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments	\$	54,146	\$	166,953	\$	(1,254)			

Beginning in the second quarter 2009, the credit reserve was incorporated as a component of the individual derivative value and not recorded separately.

The following tables present the effect of hedging instruments on Puget Energy's OCI and statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

PUGET ENERGY
(DOLLARS IN

(DOLLING III								
THOUSANDS)	Year Ended December 31, 2011							
DERIVATIVES IN						GAIN (LOSS) RECO	OGNIZED IN	
CASH FLOW	GAIN (LOSS) REC	OGNI	ZED IN	INCOME ON DER	IVATIVES			
HEDGING	OCI on Deriv	'ATIVI	ES 1	ACCUMULATED O	CI INTO INCOME	(INEFFECTIVE PORTION	N AND AMOUN	ΙΤ
RELATIONSHIPS	(EFFECTIVE PC	RTIO	N^2)	(Effective I	PORTION 3)	EXCLUDED FROM EFFECTIVENESS TESTING 3)		
				LOCATION		LOCATION		
Interest rate								
contracts:		\$		Interest expense	\$ (39,143)		\$	
Commodity				-				
contracts:				Electric		Net unrealized gain		
Electric				generation		on derivative		
derivatives				fuel	(679)	instruments		
					` ,	Net unrealized loss		
Electric				Purchased		on derivative		
derivatives				electricity	(1,699)	instruments		
Total		\$			\$ (41,521)		\$	

Beginning in the second quarter 2009, the credit reserve was incorporated as a component of the individual derivative value and not recorded separately.

PUGET	ENERGY
LUGEI	ENERGI

THOUSANDS)		VEAD EXID	ED DECEMBER 21	2010	
DERIVATIVES IN		I EAK ENDI	ED DECEMBER 31,	GAIN (LOSS) RECO	CNIZED IN
CASH FLOW	GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZED	GAIN (LOSS) RECLA	A SCIETED EDOM	INCOME ON DERI	
HEDGING	IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES 1	ACCUMULATED OC		(INEFFECTIVE PORTION	
RELATIONSHIPS	(EFFECTIVE PORTION ²)	(EFFECTIVE PO		EXCLUDED FROM EFFECTIVE	
KELATIONSHIPS	(EFFECTIVE FORTION)	LOCATION	JRTION)	LOCATION LOCATION	VENESS TESTING)
Interest rate		Location		Boermon	
contracts:	\$ (58,175)	Interest expense	\$ (33,887)		\$
		•	,		
Commodity		Electric		Net unrealized gain	
contracts:		generation		on derivative	
Electric derivatives		fuel	(3,347)	instruments	
Electric derivatives		ruer	(3,347)	msuuments	
				Net unrealized loss	
		Purchased		on derivative	
Electric derivatives		electricity	(3,453)	instruments	
Total	\$ (58,175)	electricity	\$ (40,687)	nistruments	\$
Total	\$ (38,173)		\$ (40,087)		φ
PUGET ENERGY					
(DOLLARS IN					
THOUSANDS)		SUCCESSOR FEBRUAR	RY 6, 2009 – DECE	MBER 31, 2009	
DERIVATIVES IN				GAIN (LOSS) RECO	OGNIZED IN
CASH FLOW	GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZED	GAIN (LOSS) RECLA	ASSIFIED FROM	INCOME ON DERI	IVATIVES
HEDGING	IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES ¹	ACCUMULATED OC	I INTO INCOME	(INEFFECTIVE PORTION	AND AMOUNT
RELATIONSHIPS	(Effective Portion ²)	(Effective Po	ORTION ³)	EXCLUDED FROM EFFECTI	VENESS TESTING 3)
		LOCATION		LOCATION	
Interest rate					
contracts:	\$ (22,777)	Interest expense	\$ (29,052)		\$
Commodity		Electric		Net unrealized gain	
contracts:		generation		on derivative	
Electric derivatives	(19,933)	fuel	(25,296)	instruments	325
	. , ,		, ,		
				Net unrealized loss	
				1 tot diffedilled 1055	

(4,157)

\$ (58,505)

on derivative

(2,897)

\$ (2,572)

instruments

Electric derivatives

Total

Purchased

electricity

(6,289)

\$ (48,999)

On July 1, 2009 all electric and gas related cash flow hedge relationships were de-designated. Subsequent measurements of fair value are recorded through earnings, not OCI.

² Changes in OCI are reported in after-tax dollars.

A reclassification of a loss in OCI increases accumulated OCI and decreases earnings. Amounts reported are in pre-tax dollars.

PUGET ENERGY (DOLLARS IN

Predecessor January 1, 2009 - February 5, 2009						
			GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZ	ED IN INCOME ON		
GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZED	GAIN (LOSS) REC	LASSIFIED FROM	Derivati	VES		
IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES	ACCUMULATED O	CI INTO INCOME	(INEFFECTIVE PORTIO	N AND AMOUNT		
(EFFECTIVE PORTION 1,2)	(Effective l	PORTION ³)	EXCLUDED FROM EFFECT	VENESS TESTING 3)		
	LOCATION		LOCATION			
\$	Interest expense	\$ (41)		\$		
			Net unrealized loss			
	Electric		on derivative			
(20,791)	generation fuel	(5,003)	instruments			
			Net unrealized loss			
	Purchased		on derivative			
(3,371)	electricity	(1,934)	instruments	(986)		
\$(24,162)		\$ (6,978)		\$ (986)		
	IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES (EFFECTIVE PORTION 1,2) \$ (20,791) (3,371)	GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZED IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES (EFFECTIVE PORTION 1.2) \$ Interest expense (20,791) Electric generation fuel Purchased electricity	GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZED IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES (EFFECTIVE PORTION 1.2) \$ Interest expense \$ (41) Electric generation fuel (5,003) Purchased (3,371) Purchased electricity (1,934)	GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZED IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES (EFFECTIVE PORTION 1.2) \$ Interest expense \$ (41) Electric generation fuel (5,003) Purchased (3,371) GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZ GAIN (LOSS) RECOGNIZ Derivative (INEFFECTIVE PORTION 2) EXCLUDED FROM EFFECTIVE EXCLUDED FROM EFFECTIVE Net unrealized loss on derivative instruments		

¹ Changes in OCI are reported in after-tax dollars.

The following table presents the effect of hedging instruments on PSE's OCI and statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

(DOLLARS IN

THOUSANDS)							YEAR I	ENDED DECE	MBER 31,							
DERIVATIVES IN										G	AIN (Lo	oss) R	ECOG.	NIZED		
CASH FLOW	(Gain (Loss) Reco	GNIZED		Gain (Loss) I	RECLASSIFIE)	IN I	NCOM	E ON I	D ERIV	ATIVE	S	
HEDGING		IN OC	I on I	Deriva	TIVES 1	FR	OM ACCUMUL	ATED OCI IN	OTO	(INEFFE	CTIVE]	Porti	ON AN	D AM	OUNT	
RELATIONSHIPS		(Effi	ECTIV	E PORT	ION ²)	In	COME (EFFEC	TIVE PORTIO	N ³)	EXCLUDED	FROM]	Effec	TIVEN	ESS T	ESTINO	3 ³)
	20	11	2	010	2009	LOCATION	2011	2010	2009	LOCATION	20	11	20	10	20	009
Interest rate						Interest										,
contracts:	\$		\$		\$	expense	\$ (488)	\$ (488)	\$ (488)		\$		\$		\$	
										Net						
Commodity										unrealized						
contracts:						Electric				gain on						
Electric						generation				derivative						
derivatives:					(49,848) fuel	(20,625)	(57,479)	(117,524)	instruments						
										Net						
										unrealized						
										loss on						
Electric						Purchased				derivative						
derivatives					(11,429)) electricity	(12,726)	(17,207)	(20,686)	instruments					(2	,749)
Total	\$		\$		\$(61,277))	\$(33,839)	\$(75,174)	\$(138,698)		\$		\$		\$ (2	,749)

On July 1, 2009 all electric and gas related cash flow hedge relationships were de-designated. Subsequent measurements of fair value are recorded through earnings, not OCI.

For derivative instruments that meet cash flow hedge criteria, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivatives representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current earnings. Puget Energy expects that \$14.0 million of losses in OCI will be reclassified into earnings within the next twelve months. PSE expects that \$12.9 million of losses in OCI will be reclassified into earnings within the next twelve months. The maximum length of time over which Puget Energy and PSE are hedging their exposure to the variability in future cash flows extends to February 2015 for purchased electricity contracts and to October 2015 for gas for power generation

² The balances associated with the components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on the Predecessor basis were eliminated as a result of push-down accounting effective February 6, 2009, when the Successor period began.

A reclassification of a loss in OCI increases accumulated OCI and decreases earnings. Amounts reported are in pre-tax dollars.

² Changes in OCI are reported in after-tax dollars.

A reclassification of a loss in OCI increases accumulated OCI and decreases earnings. Amounts reported are in pre-tax dollars.

contracts. For Puget Energy interest rate swaps, the maximum length of forecasted transactions deferred in OCI extends to February 2014.

The following tables present the effect of Puget Energy's derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on income during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

_	YEAR ENDED		YEAR ENDED
PUGET ENERGY		DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	LOCATION	2011	2010
Interest rate contracts:			
	Other deductions	\$ (28,601)	\$ (7,955)
	Interest expense	(46,045)	9,423
Commodity contracts:			
Electric derivatives	Net unrealized gain (loss)		
	on derivative instruments	$(23,170)^{1}$	$(94,659)^2$
	Electric generation fuel	(98,208)	(100,514)
	Purchased electricity	(66,845)	(36,886)
Total gain (loss) recognized			
in income on derivatives		\$ (262,869)	\$ (230,591)

		Successor		Predecessor		
		February 6,		JANUARY 1,		
			2009 –	200)9 –	
PUGET ENERGY		DEC	EMBER 31,	Febru	ARY 5,	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	LOCATION		2009	20	09	
Interest rate contracts:						
	Other deductions	\$		\$		
	Interest income	\$		\$		
Commodity contracts:						
Electric derivatives	Net unrealized gain (loss)					
	on derivative instruments		117,515 ³		$(2,881)^4$	
	Electric generation fuel		(88,185)		(863)	
	Purchased electricity		(56,498)		(243)	
Total gain (loss) recognized in						
income on derivatives		\$	(27,168)	\$	(3,987)	

Differs from the amount stated in the statements of income as it does not include \$11.7 million of amortization expense related to contracts that were recorded at fair value at the time of the merger and subsequently designated as NPNS.

The following table presents the effect of PSE's derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on income during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

		YEAR ENDED							
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	DECEMBER 31,								
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	LOCATION	2011	2011 2010						
Commodity contracts:									
Electric derivatives	Net unrealized gain (loss) on								
	derivative instruments	\$ (54,146)	\$ (166,953)	\$ 4,003 1					
	Electric generation fuel	(98,208)	(100,514)	(89,255)					
	Purchased electricity	(66,845)	(36,886)	(40,770)					
Total gain (loss) recognized in income			•	•					
on derivatives		\$ (219,199)	\$ (304,353)	\$ (126,022)					

Differs from the amount stated in the statements of income as it does not include \$(2.7) million related to hedge ineffectiveness

Differs from the amount stated in the statements of income as it does not include \$40.6 million of amortization expense related to contracts that were recorded at fair value at the time of the merger and subsequently designated as NPNS.

Differs from the amount stated in the statements of income as it does not include \$41.7 million of amortization expense related to contracts that were recorded at fair value at the time of the merger and subsequently designated as NPNS and \$(2.6) million related to hedge ineffectiveness.

⁴ Differs from the amount stated in the statements of income as it does not include \$(1.0) million related to hedge ineffectiveness.

The Company had the following outstanding contracts as of December 31, 2011:

PUGET ENERGY	
AT DECEMBER 31, 2011	NUMBER OF UNITS
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:	
Interest rate swaps	\$1.277 billion
PUGET ENERGY AND PUGET SOUND ENERGY AT DECEMBER 31, 2011	Number of Units
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:	
Gas derivatives ¹	486,950,216 MMBtus
Electric generation fuel	140,557,000 MMBtus
	-,,

Unrealized gains (losses) on gas derivatives are offset by a regulatory asset or liability in accordance with ASC 980 due to the PGA mechanism.

The Company is exposed to credit risk primarily through buying and selling electricity and natural gas to serve its customers. Credit risk is the potential loss resulting from a counterparty's non-performance under an agreement. The Company manages credit risk with policies and procedures for, among other things, counterparty credit analysis, exposure measurement, exposure monitoring, and exposure mitigation.

The Company monitors counterparties that have significant swings in credit default swap rates, have credit rating changes by external rating agencies, have changes in ownership or are experiencing financial problems. Where deemed appropriate, the Company may request collateral or other security from its counterparties to mitigate potential credit default losses. Criteria employed in this decision include, among other things, the perceived creditworthiness of the counterparty and the expected credit exposure.

It is possible that volatility in energy commodity prices could cause the Company to have material credit risk exposure with one or more counterparties. If such counterparties fail to perform their obligations under one or more agreements, the Company could suffer a material financial loss. However, as of December 31, 2011, approximately 99.9% of the Company's energy portfolio exposure, excluding NPNS transactions, is with counterparties that are rated at least investment grade by the major rating agencies and 0.1% are either rated below investment grade or not rated by rating agencies. The Company assesses credit risk internally for counterparties that are not rated.

The Company generally enters into the following master agreements: (1) WSPP, Inc. (WSPP) agreements – standardized power sales contract in the electric industry; (2) International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) agreements – standardized financial gas and electric contracts; and (3) North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) agreements – standardized physical gas contracts. The Company believes that such agreements reduce credit risk exposure because such agreements provide for the netting and offset of monthly payments and, in the event of counterparty default, termination payments.

The Company computes credit reserves at a master agreement level by counterparty (i.e., WSPP, ISDA, or NAESB). The Company considers external credit ratings and market factors, such as credit default swaps and bond spreads, in determination of reserves. The Company recognizes that external ratings may not always reflect how a market participant perceives a counterparty's risk of default. The Company uses both default factors published by Standard & Poor's and factors derived through analysis of market risk, which reflect the application of an industry standard recovery rate. The Company selects a default factor by counterparty at an aggregate master agreement level based on a weighted average default tenor for that counterparty's deals. The default tenor is used by weighting the fair value and contract tenors for all deals for each counterparty and coming up with an average value. The default factor used is dependent upon whether the counterparty is in a net asset or a net liability position after applying the master agreement levels.

The Company applies the counterparty's default factor to compute credit reserves for counterparties that are in a net asset position. Moreover, the Company applies its own default factor to compute credit reserves for counterparties that are in a net liability position. Credit reserves are booked as contra accounts to unrealized gain (loss) positions. As of December 31, 2011, the Company was in a net liability position with the majority of counterparties, so the default factors of counterparties did not have a significant impact on reserves for the year. The majority of the Company's derivative contracts are with financial institutions and other utilities operating within the Western Electricity Coordinating Council. Despite its net

liability position, PSE was not required to post any additional collateral with any of its counterparties. Additionally, PSE did not trigger any collateral requirements with any of its counterparties nor were any of PSE's counterparties required to post additional collateral resulting from credit rating downgrades.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company did not have any outstanding energy supply and interest rate swap contracts with counterparties that contained credit risk related contingent features, which could result in a counterparty requesting immediate payment or demanding immediate and ongoing full overnight collateralization on derivative instruments in a net liability position.

The table below presents the fair value of the overall contractual contingent liability positions for the Company's derivative activity at December 31, 2011:

PHCFT	ENERGY A	AND PUGET	SOUND	ENERGY
LUCTEL	DINCKETT	もいけ しししせいし	JUUND	DINDRUTT

CONTINGENT FEATURE	FAIR V ALUE 1	POSTED	CONTINGENT
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	LIABILITY	COLLATERAL	COLLATERAL
Credit rating ²	\$ (52,048)	\$	\$ 52,048
Requested credit for adequate assurance	(95,959)		
Forward value of contract ³	(16,342)		
Total	\$ (164,349)	\$	\$ 52,048

Represents the derivative fair value of contracts with contingent features for counterparties in net derivative liability positions at December 31, 2011. Excludes NPNS, accounts payable and accounts receivable liability.

(12) Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy defined by ASC 820 are as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. Level 1 primarily consists of financial instruments such as exchange-traded derivatives and listed equities. Equity securities that are also classified as cash equivalents are considered Level 1 if there are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. Level 2 includes those financial instruments that are valued using models or other valuation methodologies. These models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors, and current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions are observable in the marketplace throughout the full term of the instrument, can be derived from observable data or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace. Instruments in this category include non-exchange-traded derivatives such as over-the-counter forwards and options.

² Failure by PSE to maintain an investment grade credit rating from each of the major credit rating's agencies provides counterparties a contractual right to demand collateral.

Collateral requirements may vary, based on changes in forward value of underlying transactions relative to contractually defined collateral thresholds.

Level 3 – Pricing inputs include significant inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value. Level 3 instruments include those that may be more structured or otherwise tailored to customers' needs. At each balance sheet date, the Company performs an analysis of all instruments subject to ASC 820 and includes in Level 3 all of those instruments whose fair value is based on significant unobservable inputs.

Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. If a fair value measurement relies on inputs from different levels of the hierarchy, the entire measurement must be placed based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. On a daily basis, the Company obtains quoted forward prices for the electric and natural gas market from an independent external pricing service. Those forward price quotes are then used in addition to other various inputs to determine the reported fair value. Some of the inputs include the credit standing of the counterparties involved and the impact of credit enhancements (such as cash deposits, letters of credit and priority interests), assumptions for time value and also the impact of the Company's nonperformance risk on its liabilities.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company considered the markets for its electric and natural gas Level 2 derivative instruments to be actively traded. Management's assessment is based on the trading activity volume in real-time and forward electric and natural gas markets. The Company regularly confirms the validity of pricing service quoted prices (e.g. Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy) used to value commodity contracts to the actual prices of commodity contracts entered into during the most recent quarter.

The following tables set forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis and the reconciliation of the changes in the fair value of derivatives classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

PUGET ENERGY	Fair Value Measurement At December 31, 2011							Fair Value Measurement At December 31, 2010							
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	Li	EVEL 1	L	EVEL 2	LE	VEL 3		ΓΟΤΑL	L	evel 1	LE	VEL 2	LE	VEL 3	Total
Assets:															
Cash equivalents	\$	14,809	\$	1,958	\$		\$	16,767	\$	15,184	\$	5,450	\$		\$ 20,634
Restricted cash		2,043		735				2,778		3,246					3,246
Electric derivative															
instruments				2,340		8,380		10,720				1,874		7,888	9,762
Gas derivative instruments						6,011		6,011				1,487		4,484	5,971
Interest rate derivative															
instruments															
Total assets	\$	16,852	\$	5,033	\$	14,391	\$	36,276	\$	18,430	\$	8,811	\$	12,372	\$ 39,613
Liabilities:															
Electric derivative															
instruments	\$		\$	165,643	\$	98,691	\$	264,334	\$		\$	147,257	\$	95,324	\$ 242,581
Gas derivative instruments				195,852		11,052		206,904				147,308		8,343	155,651
Interest rate derivative															
instruments				52,409				52,409				58,003			58,003
Total liabilities	\$		\$	413,904	\$	109,743	\$	523,647	\$		\$	352,568	\$ 1	103,667	\$ 456,235

			Predecessor	
	YEAR	YEAR	February 6,	January 1,
PUGET ENERGY	ENDED	ENDED	2009 -	2009 -
LEVEL 3 ROLL-FORWARD NET (LIABILITY)	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	February 5,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$ (91,295)	\$ (100,333)	\$ (185,813) ¹	\$ (132,256)
Changes during period:				
Realized and unrealized energy derivatives				
- included in earnings	(56,499)	(112,180)	(14,832)	(627)
- included in other comprehensive income			(17,429)	(14,821)
- included in regulatory assets/liabilities	(250)	(2,665)	(4,345)	(1,410)
Settlements ²	37,482	29,832	26,374	2,154
Transferred into Level 3	(306)	225	(8,611)	
Transferred out of Level 3	15,516	93,826	104,323	8,560
Balance at end of period	\$ (95,352)	\$ (91,295)	\$ (100,333)	\$ (138,400)

The beginning balance for the Successor period was adjusted to reflect the impact of certain fair value adjustments from the merger transaction.

There were no purchases or issuances for 2011 or prior years.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY	FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT AT DECEMBER 31, 2011						FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT AT DECEMBER 31, 2010					
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	Level 1	Levei	L 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	LEVEL 3	Total			
Assets:												
Cash equivalents	\$ 9,200	\$ 1	,958	\$	\$ 11,158	\$ 15,184	\$ 5,450	\$	\$ 20,634			
Restricted cash	2,043	}	735		2,778	3,246			3,246			
Electric derivative												
instruments		. 2	,340	8,380	10,720		1,874	7,888	9,762			
Gas derivative instruments				6,011	6,011		1,487	4,484	5,971			
Total assets	\$ 11,243	\$ 5	,033	\$ 14,391	\$ 30,667	\$ 18,430	\$ 8,811	\$ 12,372	\$ 39,613			
Liabilities:												
Electric derivative												
instruments	\$	\$ 165	,643	\$ 98,691	\$ 264,334	\$	\$ 147,257	\$ 95,324	\$ 242,581			
Gas derivative instruments		195	,852	11,052	206,904		147,308	8,343	155,651			
Total liabilities	\$	\$ 361	,495	\$ 109,743	\$ 471,238	\$	\$ 294,565	\$ 103,667	\$ 398,232			

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

LEVEL 3 ROLL-FORWARD NET (LIABILITY)	Ended Decembe	ECEMBER 31,		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	
Balance at beginning of period	\$ (91,295)	\$ (100,333)	\$ (132,256)	
Changes during period:				
Realized and unrealized energy derivatives				
- included in earnings	(56,499)	(112,180)	(776)	
- included in other comprehensive income			(38,047)	
- included in regulatory assets/liabilities	(250)	(2,665)	(7,824)	
Settlements ¹	37,482	29,832	28,779	
Transferred into Level 3	(306)	225	(6,778)	
Transferred out of Level 3	15,516	93,826	56,569	
Balance at end of period	\$ (95,352)	\$ (91,295)	\$ (100,333)	

¹ There were no purchases or issuances for 2011 or prior years.

Realized gains and losses on energy derivatives for Level 3 recurring items are included in energy costs in the Company's consolidated statements of income under purchased electricity, electric generation fuel or purchased natural gas when settled.

Unrealized gains and losses on energy derivatives for Level 3 recurring items are included in the net unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments section in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Certain energy derivative instruments are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy because Level 3 inputs are significant to their fair value measurement. Energy derivatives transferred out of Level 3 represent existing assets or liabilities that were classified as Level 3 at the start of the reporting period for which the lowest significant input became observable during the current reporting period and were transferred into Level 2. Conversely, energy derivatives transferred into Level 3 from Level 2 represent scenarios in which the lowest significant input became unobservable during the current reporting period. The Company had no transfers between Level 2 and Level 1 during the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 or 2009.

(13) Employee Investment Plans

The Company has a qualified Employee Investment Plan under which employee salary deferrals and after-tax contributions are used to purchase several different investment fund options. For employees under the Cash Balance formula, PSE will match 100% of an employee retirement plan contribution up to 6% of an employee annual salary and make an additional year-end contribution equal to 1% of base pay. For employees grandfathered under the Final Average Earning formula pension plan, PSE will match 55% of an employee's investment plan contribution up to 6% of an employee annual salary. PSE's contributions to the Employee Investment Plan were \$13.5 million, \$11.8 million and \$11.4 million for the years 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Employee Investment Plan eligibility requirements are set forth in the plan documents.

(14) Retirement Benefits

PSE has a defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all PSE employees. Pension benefits earned are a function of age, salary and years of service. PSE also maintains a non-qualified Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) for its key senior management employees. In addition to providing pension benefits, PSE provides certain health care and life insurance benefits for employees. These benefits are provided principally through an insurance company. The insurance premiums are based on the benefits provided during the year, and are paid primarily by retirees.

The February 6, 2009 merger of Puget Energy with Puget Holdings triggered a new basis of accounting for PSE's retirement benefit plans in the Puget Energy consolidated financial statements. Such purchase accounting adjustments associated with the remeasurement of retirement plans are recorded at Puget Energy.

The following tables summarize Puget Energy's change in benefit obligation, change in plan assets, net periodic benefit cost and other changes in OCI for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Quali	FIED	SE	RP	OTHER		
PUGET ENERGY	PENSION I	BENEFITS	PENSION :	BENEFITS	BENE	FITS	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Change in benefit obligation:							
Benefit obligation at beginning of period	\$ 532,615	\$ 504,786	\$ 44,322	\$ 39,152	\$ 16,579	\$ 15,953	
Service cost	15,822	16,089	1,241	1,024	113	106	
Interest cost	26,263	27,975	2,192	2,165	807	880	
Amendment		(21,866)					
Actuarial loss	18,485	32,163	4,467	3,663	384	867	
Benefits paid	(27,188)	(26,532)	(2,687)	(1,682)	(1,855)	(2,030)	
Medicare part D subsidy received					408	803	
Curtailment loss/(gain)			$(1,165)^1$				
Benefit obligation at end of period	\$ 565,997	\$ 532,615	\$ 48,370	\$ 44,322	\$ 16,436	\$ 16,579	

¹ A curtailment gain was recognized in OCI due to the plan amendment that ceased SERP benefits for non-officers still in the plan as of December 31, 2011.

	Qualified		SE	RP	OTHER			
PUGET ENERGY	PENSION I	PENSION BENEFITS		BENEFITS	BENE	EFITS		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Change in plan assets:								
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of								
period	\$ 526,469	\$ 485,689	\$	\$	\$ 8,288	\$ 8,790		
Actual return on plan assets	(24,495)	55,312			(170)	1,140		
Employer contribution	5,000	12,000	2,687	1,682	943	388		
Benefits paid	(27,188)	(26,532)	(2,687)	(1,682)	(1,855)	(2,030)		
Fair value of plan assets at end of period	\$ 479,786	\$ 526,469	\$	\$	\$ 7,206	\$ 8,288		
Funded status at end of period	\$ (86,211)	\$ (6,146)	\$ (48,370)	\$ (44,322)	\$ (9,230)	\$ (8,291)		

	QUALIFIED				SERP			OTHER				
PUGET ENERGY	PENSION B			BENEFITS PENSION E		BENEFITS			BENEI		FITS	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)		2011		2010	2011		2010		2011		2010	
Amounts recognized in Statement of												
Financial Position consist of:												
Current liabilities	\$		\$		\$	(6,137)	\$	(3,506)	\$	(468)	\$	(44)
Noncurrent liabilities		(86,211)		(6,146)		(42,233)		(40,816)		(8,762)		(8,247)
Total	\$	(86,211)	\$	(6,146)	\$	(48,370)	\$	(44,322)	\$	(9,230)	\$	(8,291)
Amounts recognized in Accumulated												
Other Comprehensive Income consist of:												
		\$										
Net loss/(gain)		34,781	\$	(43,544)	\$	8,038	\$	5,095	\$	282	\$	(820)
Prior service cost		(19,721)		(21,701)								
	\$											
Total		15,060	\$	(65,245)	\$	8,038	\$	5,095	\$	282	\$	(820)

QUALIFIED PENSION BENEFITS

PUGET ENERGY			Successor	Predecessor
	YEAR	YEAR	February 6,	JANUARY 1,
	ENDED	ENDED	2009 –	2009 –
	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	February 5,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	2009
Components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Service cost	\$ 15,822	\$ 16,089	\$ 12,469	\$ 1,090
Interest cost	26,263	27,975	25,912	2,302
Expected return on plan assets	(35,344)	(32,941)	(27,583)	(3,585)
Amortization of prior service cost/(credit)	(1,980)	(165)		95
Amortization of net loss		70	==	269
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 4,761	\$ 11,028	\$ 10,798	\$ 171

SERP PENSION BENEFITS

	PENSION DENEFITS								
PUGET ENERGY		Predecessor							
	YEAR YEAR		February 6,	JANUARY 1,					
	ENDED ENDED DECEMBER 31, DECEMBER 31,		2009 –	2009 –					
			DECEMBER 31,	February 5,					
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	2009					
Components of net periodic benefit cost:									
Service cost	\$ 1,241	\$ 1,024	\$ 951	\$ 89					
Interest cost	2,192	2,165	2,178	193					
Amortization of prior service cost				51					
Amortization of net loss/(gain)	360			74					
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 3,793	\$ 3,189	\$ 3,129	\$ 407					

OTHER BENEFITS

PUGET ENERGY				SUCCESSOR		Predecessor		
	YEAR YEAR F		FEBRUARY 6,		JANUAR	RY 1,		
	Ende	ED	END	ED	2009) _	2009	_
	DECEMBI	ER 31,	DECEMB	ER 31,	DECEMB	ER 31,	FEBRUA	RY 5,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	201	1	201	0	200	9	2009	9
Components of net periodic benefit cost:								
Service cost	\$	113	\$	106	\$	114	\$	11
Interest cost		806		880		894		89
Expected return on plan assets		(502)		(510)		(379)		(37)
Amortization of prior service cost								7
Amortization of net loss/(gain)		(46)		(67)				(15)
Amortization of transition obligation								4
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	371	\$	409	\$	629	\$	59

PUGET ENERGY	•	QUALIFIED SER ISION BENEFITS PENSION B			_				OTHER BENEFITS	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010		011		010	2011			
Other changes (pre-tax) in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income:										
Net loss/(gain)	\$ 78,324	\$ 9,791	\$	3,302	\$	3,663	\$	1,056	\$	236
Amortization of net loss/(gain)		(70)		(360)				46		67
Prior service credit		(21,866)								
Amortization of prior service credit	1,980	165								
Total change in other										
comprehensive income for year	\$ 80,304	\$ (11,980)	\$	2,942	\$	3,663	\$	1,102	\$	303

The estimated net/(loss) gain and prior service/(cost) credit for the pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated OCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2012 are \$(0.6) million and \$2.0 million, respectively. The estimated net (loss)/gain and prior service (cost)/credit for the SERP that will be amortized from accumulated OCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2012 are \$(0.7) million and zero, respectively. The estimated net (loss)/gain, prior service cost/(credit) and transition/(obligation) asset for the other postretirement plans that will be amortized from accumulated OCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2012 are immaterial. The following tables summarize PSE's change in benefit obligation, change in plan assets, net periodic benefit cost and other changes in OCI for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Quali	FIED	SE	RP	Отн	IER	
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	PENSION E	BENEFITS	PENSION I	BENEFITS	BENE	FITS	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	
Change in benefit obligation:							
Benefit obligation at beginning of period	\$ 532,615	\$ 504,786	\$ 44,322	\$ 39,152	\$ 16,579	\$ 15,953	
Service cost	15,822	16,089	1,241	1,024	113	106	
Interest cost	26,263	27,975	2,192	2,165	807	880	
Amendment		(21,866)					
Actuarial loss/(gain)	18,485	32,163	4,467	3,663	384	867	
Benefits paid	(27,188)	(26,532)	(2,687)	(1,682)	(1,855)	(2,030)	
Medicare part D subsidiary received					408	803	
Curtailment loss/(gain)			$(1,165)^1$				
Benefit obligation at end of period	\$ 565,997	\$ 532,615	\$ 48,370	\$ 44,322	\$ 16,436	\$ 16,579	

¹ A curtailment gain was recognized in OCI due to the plan amendment that ceased SERP benefits for non-officers still in the plan as of December 31, 2011.

	Quali	FIED	SERP	•	Отн	ER
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	PENSION E	BENEFITS	PENSION BE	NEFITS	BENE	FITS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Change in plan assets:						_
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period	\$ 526,469	\$ 485,689	\$ \$		\$ 8,288	\$ 8,790
Actual return on plan assets	(24,495)	55,312			(170)	1,140
Employer contribution	5,000	12,000	2,687	1,682	943	388
Benefits paid	(27,188)	(26,532)	(2,687)	(1,682)	(1,855)	(2,030)
Fair value of plan assets at end of period	\$ 479,786	\$ 526,469	\$ \$		\$ 7,206	\$ 8,288
Funded status at end of period	\$ (86,211)	\$ (6,146)	\$ (48,370) \$	(44,322)	\$ (9,230)	\$ (8,291)

	Quali	FIED	SERP		Отн	ER
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	PENSION BENEFITS PENSION BENEFITS			BENE	FITS	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Amounts recognized in Statement of						_
Financial Position consist of:						
Current liabilities	\$	\$	\$ (6,137)	\$ (3,506)	\$ (468)	\$ (44)
Noncurrent liabilities	(86,211)	(6,146)	(42,233)	(40,816)	(8,762)	(8,247)
Total	\$ (86,211)	\$ (6,146)	\$ (48,370)	\$ (44,322)	\$ (9,230)	\$ (8,291)
Amounts recognized in Accumulated Other						
Comprehensive Income consist of:						
Net loss/(gain)	\$ 264,098	\$ 187,240	\$ 13,878	\$ 11,770	\$ (2,955)	\$ (4,492)
Prior service cost/(credit)	(15,671)	(17,245)	305	867	72	134
Transition obligations					50	100
Total	\$ 248,427	\$ 169,995	\$ 14.183	\$ 12.637	\$ (2,833)	\$ (4,258)

	(QUALIFIED			S	ERP				OT	THER		
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	PEN	SION BENEF	FITS	PENSION BENEFITS				BENEFITS					
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009	2011		2010		2009	2011		2010		2009
Components of net periodic													
benefit cost:													
Service cost	\$ 15,822	\$ 16,089	\$ 14,141	\$ 1,241	\$	1,024	\$	1,068	\$ 113	\$	106	\$	125
Interest cost	26,263	27,975	27,734	2,192		2,165		2,315	806		880		960
Expected return on plan assets	(44,128)	(43,892)	(43,453)						(502)		(509)		(455)
Amortization of prior service													
cost/(credit)	(1,573)	548	1,134	563		562		616	63		132		83
Amortization of net loss/(gain)	10,250	7,325	3,702	1,194		769		886	(481)		(553)		(460)
Amortization of transition													
obligation									50		50		50
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 6,634	\$ 8,045	\$ 3,258	\$ 5,190	\$	4,520	\$	4,885	\$ 49	\$	106	\$	303

	Quali	FIED	SEI	RP	Отн	IER
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	PENSION	PENSION BENEFIT PENSION BENEFI			BENE	EFITS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Other changes (pre-tax) in plan assets and benefit						
obligations recognized in other comprehensive						
income:						
Net loss/(gain)	\$ 87,108	\$ 20,743	\$ 3,302	\$ 3,663	\$ 1,056	\$ 236
Amortization of net (loss)/gain	(10,250)	(7,325)	(1,194)	(769)	481	553
Prior service cost/(credit)		(21,867)				
Amortization of prior service cost/(credit)	1,573	(546)	(562)	(562)	(62)	(132)
Amortization of transition obligation					(50)	(50)
Total change in other comprehensive income for year	\$ 78,431	\$ (8,995)	\$ 1,546	\$ 2,332	\$ 1,425	\$ 607

The estimated net (loss)/gain and prior service (cost)/credit for the pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated OCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2012 are \$(14.9) million and \$1.6 million, respectively. The estimated net loss/(gain) and prior service (cost)/credit for the SERP that will be amortized from accumulated OCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2012 are \$(1.4) million and \$(0.3) million, respectively. The estimated net (loss)/gain for the other postretirement

plan that will be amortized from accumulated OCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2012 is \$0.2 million and prior service (cost)/credit and transition (obligation)/asset for the other postretirement plans are immaterial.

The aggregate expected contributions by the Company to fund the retirement plan, SERP and the other postretirement plans for the year ending December 31, 2012 are expected to be at least \$22.8 million, \$6.1 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

As a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, PSE recorded a one-time tax expense of \$0.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010, related to a Medicare D subsidy that PSE receives. These subsidies have been non-taxable in the past and will be subject to federal income taxes after 2012 as a result of the legislation.

As part of PSE's contract with the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 77 union, which took effect September 1, 2010, the benefit calculation formula changed for Company employees covered by the contract. IBEW represented employees hired after August 31, 2010 and employees not vested in a plan benefit as of July 31, 2010 participate in the cash balance formula of the retirement program, with any accrued benefit converted to a beginning cash balance account. Employees who were vested in a plan benefit as of July 31, 2010 had a choice to convert to the cash balance formula or remain on a final average earnings formula based on qualified pay and years of service. All employees accruing benefits under the cash balance formula receive the same investment plan match and Company contribution. Effective December 1, 2010, the IBEW represented employees who accrue benefits under the cash balance formula receive a higher matching contribution and an additional Company contribution as compared to IBEW represented employees who are covered by the final average earnings formula. These are the same formulas applied to non-union represented employees. IBEW represented employees who were rehired after August 31, 2010, will accrue future benefits under the cash balance formula and will be able to elect to convert their prior benefits to the cash balance formula. As a result of these changes to the IBEW contract, approximately 88.0% of the employees are in the cash balance formula and approximately 12.0% of the employees are in the final average earnings formula.

ASSUMPTIONS

In accounting for pension and other benefit obligations and costs under the plans, the following weighted-average actuarial assumptions were used by the Company:

		4					OTHER BENEFITS		
BENEFIT OBLIGATION									
ASSUMPTIONS	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Discount rate ¹	4.75%	5.15%	5.75%	4.75%	5.15%	5.75%	4.75%	5.15%	5.75%
Rate of compensation increase	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%
Medical trend rate							7.50%	8.00%	7.50%
BENEFIT COST ASSUMPTIONS									
Discount rate	5.15%	5.75%	$6.50\%^{-2}$	5.15%	5.75%	$6.50\%^{-2}$	5.15%	5.75%	6.50% 2
Rate of plan assets	7.75%	8.00%	8.25%				7.80%	7.80%	7.60%
Rate of compensation increase	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%
Medical trend rate							8.00%	8.50%	9.00%

The Company calculates the present value of the pension liability using a discount rate of 4.75% which represents the single-rate equivalent of the AA rated corporate bond yield curve.

The assumed medical inflation rate used to determine benefit obligations is 7.5% in 2012 grading down to 4.90% in 2013. A 1.0% change in the assumed medical inflation rate would have the following effects:

	20	011	20	010
	1%	1%	1%	1%
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	INCREASE	DECREASE	INCREASE	DECREASE
Effect on post-retirement benefit obligation	\$ 97	\$ 85	\$ 97	\$ 85
Effect on service and interest cost components	5	4	6	5

^{2 6.50%} is the benefit cost discount rate used by Puget Energy. 6.20% is the benefit cost discount rate use by PSE. The discount rates for the net periodic costs for Puget Energy and PSE were different because of the discount rates in effect as of February 5, 2009, the date of the merger of Puget Energy with Puget Holdings.

The Company has selected the expected return on plan assets based on a historical analysis of rates of return and the Company's investment mix, market conditions, inflation and other factors. The expected rate of return is reviewed annually based on these factors. The Company's accounting policy for calculating the market-related value of assets for the Company's retirement plan is as follows. PSE market-related value of assets is based on a five-year smoothing of asset gains/losses measured from the expected return on market-related assets. This is a calculated value that recognizes changes in fair value in a systematic and rational manner over five years. The same manner of calculating market-related value is used for all classes of assets, and is applied consistently from year to year.

Puget Energy's pension and other postretirement benefits income or costs depend on several factors and assumptions, including plan design, timing and amount of cash contributions to the plan, earnings on plan assets, discount rate, expected long-term rate of return, mortality and health care costs trends. Changes in any of these factors or assumptions will affect the amount of income or expense that Puget Energy records in its financial statements in future years and its projected benefit obligation. Puget Energy has selected an expected return on plan assets based on a historical analysis of rates of return and Puget Energy's investment mix, market conditions, inflation and other factors. As required by merger accounting rules, market-related value was reset to market value effective with the merger.

The discount rates were determined by using market interest rate data and the weighted-average discount rate from Citigroup Pension Liability Index Curve. The Company also takes into account in determining the discount rate the expected changes in market interest rates and anticipated changes in the duration of the plan liabilities.

The aggregate expected contributions and payments by the Company to fund the retirement plan, SERP and the other postretirement plans for the year ending December 31, 2012 are expected to be at least \$22.8 million, \$6.1 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

PLAN BENEFITS

The expected total benefits to be paid under the qualified pension plans for the next five years and the aggregate total to be paid for the five years thereafter are as follows:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017-2021
Total benefits	\$ 47,100	\$ 37,300	\$ 37,000	\$ 38,000	\$ 38,400	\$ 208,800

The expected total benefits to be paid under the SERP for the next five years and the aggregate total to be paid for the five years thereafter are as follows:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017-2021
Total benefits	\$ 6,137	\$ 1,889	\$ 3,492	\$ 3,284	\$ 3,328	\$ 18,652

The expected total benefits to be paid under the other benefits for the next five years and the aggregate total to be paid for the five years thereafter are as follows:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017-2021
Total benefits	\$ 1,354	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,259	\$ 1,198	\$ 1,231	\$ 6,453
Total benefits without Medicare Part D subsidy	\$ 1,778	\$ 1,770	\$ 1,739	\$ 1,700	\$ 1,652	\$ 7,476

PLAN ASSETS

Plan contributions and the actuarial present value of accumulated plan benefits are prepared based on certain assumptions pertaining to interest rates, inflation rates and employee demographics, all of which are subject to change. Due to uncertainties inherent in the estimations and assumptions process, changes in these estimates and assumptions in the near term may be material to the financial statements.

The Company has a Retirement Plan Committee that establishes investment policies, objectives and strategies designed to balance expected return with a prudent level of risk. All changes to the investment policies are reviewed and approved by

the Retirement Plan Committee prior to being implemented.

The Retirement Plan Committee invests trust assets with investment managers who have historically achieved above-median long-term investment performance within the risk and asset allocation limits that have been established. Interim evaluations are routinely performed with the assistance of an outside investment consultant. To obtain the desired return needed to fund the pension benefit plans, the Retirement Plan Committee has established investment allocation percentages by asset classes as follows:

	ALLOCATION				
Asset Class	MINIMUM	TARGET	MAXIMUM		
Domestic large cap equity	25%	32%	40%		
Domestic small cap equity	0%	10%	15%		
Non-U.S. equity	10%	20%	30%		
Tactical asset allocation	0%	5%	10%		
Fixed income	15%	23%	30%		
Real estate	0%	0%	10%		
Absolute return	5%	10%	15%		
Cash	0%	0%	5%		

PLAN FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Effective December 31, 2009, ASC 715, "Compensation – Retirement Benefits" (ASC 715) directs companies to provide additional disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. The objectives of the disclosures are to disclose the following: (1) how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies; (2) major categories of plan assets; (3) inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets; (4) effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on changes in plan assets for the period; and (5) significant concentrations of risk within plan assets.

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-12, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures: Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)." The standard allows the reporting entity, as a practical expedient, to measure the fair value of investments that do not have readily determinable fair values on the basis of the net asset value per share of the investment if the net asset value of the investment is calculated in a matter consistent with ASC 946, "Financial Services – Investment Companies." The standard requires disclosures about the nature and risk of the investments and whether the investments are probable of being sold at amounts different from the net asset value per share.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the qualified pension plan assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASURES AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011				URRING FAIR V As of Decemb		ES	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	Level 1	LEVEL 2	Level 3	Total	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	Total
Assets:								
Equities:								
Non-US equity ¹	\$ 48,382	\$ 42,132	\$	\$ 90,514	\$ 54,298	\$ 52,418	\$	\$ 106,716
Domestic large cap equity ²	124,303	29,547		153,850	144,431	28,376		172,807
Domestic small cap equity ³	45,650			45,650	55,750			55,750
Total equities	218,335	71,679		290,014	254,479	80,794		335,273
Tactical asset allocation ⁴		26,922		26,922		29,566		29,566
Fixed income securities ⁵	106,573	580		107,153	102,314	1,982		104,296
Absolute return ⁶			45,319	45,319			48,100	48,100
Cash and cash equivalents ⁷		9,015		9,015		6,737		6,737
Subtotal	\$ 324,908	\$ 108,196	\$ 45,319	\$ 478,423	\$ 356,793	\$ 119,079	\$ 48,100	\$ 523,972
Net receivables				1,088				2,272
Accrued income				275				225
Total assets				\$ 479,786	•			\$ 526,469

Non – US Equity investments are comprised of a (1) mutual fund; and a (2) commingled fund. The investment in the mutual fund is valued using quoted market prices multiplied by the number of shares owned as of December 31, 2011. The investment in the commingled fund is valued at the net asset value per share multiplied by the number of shares held as of December 31, 2011.

LEVEL 3 ROLL-FORWARD

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of the plan's Level 3 assets for the years ended December, 31, 2011 and 2010:

	As of December 31, 2011		As of D	ECEMBER 31,	2010	
		MUTUAL			MUTUAL	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	PARTNERSHIP	FUNDS	TOTAL	PARTNERSHIP	Funds	TOTAL
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 35,481	\$ 12,619	\$ 48,100	\$ 23,214	\$ 23,012	\$ 46,226
Additional investments	11,635		11,635	10,473		10,473
Distributions		(11,635)	(11,635)		(11,716)	(11,716)
Realized losses on distributions		(290)	(290)		(1,370)	(1,370)
Unrealized gains relating to						
instruments still held at the						
reporting date	(1,797)	599	(1,198)	1,794	2,693	4,487
Transferred out of level 3 ¹		(1,293)	(1,293)			
Balance at end of year	\$ 45,319	\$	\$ 45,319	\$ 35,481	\$ 12,619	\$ 48,100

¹ The plan had no transfers between level 2 and level 1 during the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010.

Domestic large cap equity investments are comprised of (1) common stock, and a (2) commingled fund. Investments in common stock are valued using quoted market prices multiplied by the number of shares owned as of December 31, 2011. The investment in the commingled fund is valued at the net asset value per share multiplied by the number of shares held as of December 31, 2011.

³ Domestic small cap equity investments are comprised of common stock and are valued using quoted market prices multiplied by the number of shares owned as of December 31, 2011.

The tactical asset allocation investment is compromised of a commingled fund, which is valued at the net asset value per share multiplied by the number of shares held as of the measurement date.

Fixed income securities consist of a mutual fund and corporate bonds. The investment in the mutual fund is valued using quoted market prices multiplied by the number of shares owned as of December 31, 2011. The corporate bonds are valued using various valuation techniques such as matrix pricing.

As of December 31, 2011 absolute return investments consist of two partnerships. The partnerships are valued using the financial reports as of December 31, 2011. These investments are a Level 3 under ASC 820 because the significant valuation inputs are primarily internal to the partnerships with little third party involvement.

The investment consists of a money market fund, which is valued at the net asset value per share of \$1.00 per unit as of December 31, 2011. The money market fund invests primarily in commercial paper, notes, repurchase agreements, and other evidences of indebtedness which are payable on demand or which have a maturity date not exceeding thirteen months from the date of purchase.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Other Benefits plan assets which consist of insurance benefits for retired employees, at fair value as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASURES AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011				G FAIR VALUE DECEMBER 31	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	TOTAL	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	TOTAL
Assets:						
Mutual fund ¹	\$ 7,137	\$	\$ 7,137	\$ 8,115	\$	\$ 8,115
Cash equivalents ²		130	130		173	173
Total assets	\$ 7,137	\$ 130	\$ 7,267	\$ 8,115	\$ 173	\$ 8,288

¹ This is a publicly traded balanced mutual fund. The fund seeks regular income, conservation of principal, and an opportunity for long-term growth of principal and income. The fair value is determined by taking the number of shares owned by the plan, and multiplying by the market price as of December 31, 2011.

(15) Stock-based Compensation Plans

Prior to the merger on February 6, 2009, the Company granted equity awards, including stock awards, performance awards, stock options and restricted stock to officers and key employees of the Company under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTI Plan), approved by the shareholders in 2005. Any shares awarded were either purchased on the open market or were a new issuance. With the completion of the merger, all shares outstanding under the LTI Plan were fully vested and settled in cash to plan participants. Puget Energy paid and recognized \$14.5 million of merger expense in connection to the vesting of the LTI Plan shares.

PERFORMANCE SHARE GRANTS

The Company generally awarded performance share grants annually under the LTI Plan to key employees which vested at the end of three years. The number of shares awarded and the amount of expense recorded depended on Puget Energy's performance as compared to other companies and service quality indices for customer service. Compensation expense related to performance share grants was \$9.6 million for 2009.

Performance shares activity from December 31, 2008 to February 5, 2009 was as follows:

		WEIGHTED-AVERAGE
	NUMBER OF	FAIR VALUE
Predecessor	SHARES	PER SHARE
Total at December 31, 2008:	244,390	\$ 25.65
Granted		
Vested	(244,390)	25.65
Forfeited		
Performance Shares Outstanding at February 5, 2009:		\$

Plan participants meeting the Company's stock ownership guidelines could elect to be paid up to 50.0% of the share award in cash. The portion of the performance share grants that could be paid in cash was classified and accounted for as a liability. As a result, the compensation expense of these liability awards was recognized over the performance period based on the fair value (i.e. cash value) of the award, and was periodically updated based on expected ultimate cash payout. Compensation cost recognized during the performance period for the liability portion of the performance grants was based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of measurement and the number of months of service rendered during the period. The equity portion was valued based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date. In connection with the completion of the merger in 2009, all performance shares vested and the Company paid and recognized \$9.6 million recorded in merger and related costs for such shares.

This consists of a deposit fund and a money market fund. The fair value of the deposit fund is calculated by using the financial reports available as of December 31, 2011. The money market fund investments are valued at the net asset value per share of \$1.00 per unit as of December 31, 2011. The money market fund invests primarily in commercial paper, notes, repurchase agreements, and other evidences of indebtedness which are payable on demand or which have a maturity date not exceeding thirteen months from the date or purchase.

STOCK OPTIONS

In 2002, Puget Energy's Board of Directors granted 40,000 stock options under the LTI Plan and an additional 260,000 options outside the LTI Plan (for a total of 300,000 non-qualified stock options) to the former President and Chief Executive Officer. These options could be exercised at the grant date market price of \$22.51 per share and vested annually over four and five years, respectively. The fair value of the stock option award was estimated at \$3.33 per share on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The options were cancelled at the time of the merger and \$2.3 million was paid in cash to the former President and Chief Executive Officer based on the terms of the merger agreement.

RESTRICTED STOCK

Restricted stock activity for the year ended December 31, 2009 was as follows:

	•	WEIGHTED-AVERAGE
	NUMBER OF	FAIR VALUE
Predecessor	SHARES	PER SHARE
Restricted Stock Outstanding at December 31, 2008:	227,643	\$ 24.64
Granted		
Vested	(227,643)	24.64
Forfeited		
Restricted Stock Outstanding at February 5, 2009:		\$

Compensation expense related to the restricted shares was \$2.2 million for 2009.

NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR STOCK PLAN

Prior to February 6, 2009, the Company had a non-employee director stock plan for all non-employee directors of Puget Energy and PSE. An amended and restated plan was approved by shareholders in 2005. Under the plan, non-employee directors received a portion of their quarterly retainer fees in Puget Energy stock except that 100.0% of quarterly retainers were paid in Puget Energy stock until the director held a number of shares equal in value to two years of their retainer fees. Directors could choose to continue to receive their entire retainer in Puget Energy stock. The compensation expense related to the director stock plan was \$0.4 million in 2009. The director stock plan was terminated on February 6, 2009 by action of the Board of Directors upon completion of the merger and outstanding shares thereunder were settled.

(16) Income Taxes

The details of income tax (benefit) expense are as follows:

	SUCCESSOR					PREDEC	CESSOR	
	Y	EAR	Yı	EAR	FEBR	RUARY 6,	JANUA	ARY 1,
	En	NDED	En	DED	2	009 –	200) 9 –
PUGET ENERGY	DECE	MBER 31, D	ECEM	IBER 31,	DECE	MBER 31,	FEBRU	ARY 5,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2	011	20	010	2	2009	20	09
Charged to operating expenses:								
Current:								
Federal	\$	785	\$	42,061	\$	(161,087)	\$	10,185
State		(50)		385		(988)		87
Deferred:								
Federal		32,706		(38,717)		244,116		(1,275)
State		319		(1,248)				
Total income tax expense	\$	33,760	\$	2,481	\$	82,041	\$	8,997

PUGET SOUND ENERGY	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			31,	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011		2010		2009
Charged to operating expenses:					
Current:					
Federal	\$ 6	53	\$ 32,331	\$	(126,156)
State			385		(901)
Deferred:					
Federal	76,3	69	(31,346)		194,701
State	1,0	95	(1,248)		
Total income tax expense	\$ 78,1	17	\$ 122	\$	67,644

The following reconciliation compares pre-tax book income at the federal statutory rate of 35.0% to the actual income tax expense in the Statements of Income:

	SUCCESSOR					PREDE	CESSOR	
	Y	EAR	Y	Year		FEBRUARY 6,		ARY 1,
	EN	IDED	EN	NDED	20	09 –	200	09 –
PUGET ENERGY	DECEM	MBER 31,	DECE	MBER 31,	DECEM	1BER 31,	FEBRU	JARY 5,
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2	011	2	010	20	009	20	009
Income taxes at the statutory rate	\$	54,968	\$	11,477	\$	89,620	\$	7,613
Increase (decrease):								
Production tax credit		(23,310)		(19,972)		(13,871)		(5,870)
AFUDC excluded from taxable income		(22,861)		(9,970)		(5,326)		(1,771)
Capitalized interest		17,592		8,244		5,028		914
Utility plant differences		5,849		6,162		4,323		1,472
Tenaska gas contract		7,094		5,889		3,049		1,429
Transaction costs						201		5,544
Other - net		(5,572)		651		(983)		(334)
Total income tax expense	\$	33,760	\$	2,481	\$	82,041	\$	8,997
Effective tax rate		21.5%		7.6%		32.0%		41.4%

PUGET SOUND ENERGY	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	2009
Income taxes at the statutory rate	\$ 98,783	\$ 9,176	\$ 79,414
Increase (decrease):			
Production tax credit	(23,310)	(19,972)	(19,741)
AFUDC excluded from taxable income	(22,861)	(9,970)	(7,097)
Capitalized interest	17,592	8,244	5,942
Utility plant differences	5,849	6,162	5,795
Tenaska gas contract	7,094	5,889	4,478
Other - net	(5,030)	593	(1,147)
Total income tax expense	\$ 78,117	\$ 122	\$ 67,644
Effective tax rate	27.7%	0.5%	29.8%

The Company's deferred tax liability at December 31, 2011 and 2010 is composed of amounts related to the following types of temporary differences:

PUGET ENERGY	AT DECEMBER 31,		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	
Utility plant and equipment	\$ 1,200,796	\$ 1,099,857	
Fair value of debt instruments	90,535	92,661	
Regulatory asset for income taxes	62,304	73,337	
Pensions and other compensation	14,146	46,084	
Storm damage	30,556	36,286	
Other deferred tax liabilities	85,367	106,714	
Subtotal deferred tax liabilities	1,483,704	1,454,939	
Net operating loss carryforward	(165,088)	(168,463)	
Fair value of derivative instruments	(96,374)	(116,320)	
Production tax credit carryforward	(89,226)	(60,613)	
Other deferred tax assets	(81,194)	(65,018)	
Subtotal deferred tax assets	(431,882)	(410,414)	
Total	\$ 1,051,822	\$ 1,044,525	

PUGET SOUND ENERGY	AT DECEMBER 31,		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	
Utility plant and equipment	\$ 1,200,796	\$ 1,099,857	
Regulatory asset for income taxes	61,344	73,337	
Storm damage	30,556	36,286	
Other deferred tax liabilities	81,928	85,206	
Subtotal deferred tax liabilities	1,374,624	1,294,686	
Fair value of derivative instruments	(92,502)	(85,394)	
Production tax credit carryforward	(89,226)	(60,613)	
Net operating loss carryforward	(50,281)	(105,140)	
Pensions and other compensation	(63,234)	(31,312)	
Other deferred tax assets	(75,946)	(57,925)	
Subtotal deferred tax assets	(371,189)	(340,384)	
Total	\$ 1,003,435	\$ 954,302	

The above amounts have been classified in the Balance Sheets as follows:

PUGET ENERGY	AT DECEMBER 31		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010	
Current deferred taxes	\$ (101,934)	\$ (83,086)	
Non-current deferred taxes	1,153,756	1,127,611	
Total	\$ 1,051,822	\$ 1,044,525	

PUGET SOUND ENERGY	AT DECEM	IBER 31
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2011	2010
Current deferred taxes	\$ (112,204)	\$ (80,215)
Non-current deferred taxes	1,115,639	1,034,517
Total	\$ 1,003,435	\$ 954,302

The Company calculates its deferred tax assets and liabilities under ASC 740, "Income Taxes" (ASC 740). ASC 740 requires recording deferred tax balances, at the currently enacted tax rate, on assets and liabilities that are reported differently for income tax purposes than for financial reporting purposes. The utilization of deferred tax assets requires sufficient taxable income in the future years. ASC 740 requires a valuation allowance on deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company's PTC carryforwards expire from 2026 through 2031. The Company's net operating loss carryforwards expire from 2029 through 2030.

For ratemaking purposes, deferred taxes are not provided for certain temporary differences. PSE has established a regulatory asset for income taxes recoverable through future rates related to those temporary differences for which no deferred taxes have been provided, based on prior and expected future ratemaking treatment.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax position under ASC 740, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements. ASC 740 requires the use of a two-step approach for recognizing and measuring tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. First, a tax position should only be recognized when it is more likely than not, based on technical merits, that the position will be sustained upon challenge by the taxing authorities and taken by management to the court of last resort. Second, a tax position that meets the recognition threshold should be measured at the largest amount that has a greater than 50.0% likelihood of being sustained.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had no material unrecognized tax benefits. As a result, no interest or penalties were accrued for unrecognized tax benefits during the year.

For ASC 740 purposes, the Company has open tax years from 2006 through 2011. The Company is under audit by the IRS for tax years 2006 and 2009. The Company classifies interest as interest expense and penalties as other expense in the financial statements.

(17) Litigation

RESIDENTIAL EXCHANGE

The Northwest Power Act, through the Residential Exchange Program (REP), provides access to the benefits of low-cost federal hydroelectric power to residential and small farm customers of regional utilities, including PSE. The program is administered by the Bonneville Power Administration (the BPA). Pursuant to agreements (including settlement agreements) between the BPA and PSE, the BPA has provided payments of REP benefits to PSE, which PSE has passed through to its residential and small farm customers in the form of electricity bill credits.

In 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ruled that REP agreements of the BPA with PSE and a number of other investor-owned utilities were inconsistent with the Northwest Power Act. Since that time, those investor-owned utilities, including PSE, the BPA and other parties have been involved in ongoing litigation at the Ninth Circuit relating to the amount of REP benefits paid to utilities, including PSE, for the period fiscal year 2002 through fiscal year 2011 and the amount of REP benefits to be paid going forward.

In July 2011, the BPA, PSE and a number of other parties entered into a settlement agreement that by its terms if upheld in their entirety would resolve the disputes between BPA and PSE regarding REP benefits paid for the period fiscal year 2002-fiscal year 2011. In October 2011, certain other parties challenged BPA decisions with regard to its entering into this most recent settlement agreement. Pending disposition of this challenge, the other pending Ninth Circuit litigation regarding REP benefits for the period fiscal year 2002 through fiscal year 2011 has been stayed by the Ninth Circuit.

Due to the pending and ongoing proceedings, PSE is unable to reasonably estimate any amounts of REP payments – either to be recovered by the BPA or to be paid for any future periods to PSE – and is unable to determine the impact, if any, these proceedings and litigation may have on PSE. However, it is unlikely that any unfavorable outcome would have a material adverse effect on PSE because REP benefits received by PSE are passed through to PSE's residential and small farm customers.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST REFUND PROCEEDING

In October 2000, PSE filed a complaint with the FERC (Docket No. EL01-10) against "all jurisdictional sellers" in the Pacific Northwest seeking prospective price caps consistent with any result the FERC ordered for the California markets. The FERC issued an order including price caps in July 2001, and PSE moved to dismiss the proceeding. In response to PSE's motion, various entities intervened and sought to convert PSE's complaint into one seeking retroactive refunds in the Pacific Northwest. The FERC rejected that effort, after holding what the FERC referred to as a "preliminary evidentiary hearing" before an administrative law judge. On October 3, 2011, after appellate reviews, the FERC issued an Order on Remand and set the matter for hearing before an administrative law judge, but first requiring the parties to engage in settlement talks that began in the fall of 2011 and are ongoing. As such, the hearing date itself is not known. PSE has not taken any reserve on this matter as it believes it has no exposure, and intends to vigorously defend its position but is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

OTHER PROCEEDINGS

The Company is also involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of business. The Company has recorded a total of \$3.8 million and \$3.1 million relating to these claims as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(18) Variable Interest Entities

In accordance with ASC 810, "Consolidation" (ASC 810), a business entity that has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity (VIE) should consolidate the VIE in its financial statements. A primary beneficiary of a VIE is the variable interest holder that has both the power to direct matters that significantly impact the activities of the VIE and has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits. The Company enters into a variety of contracts for energy with other counterparties and evaluates all contracts to determine if they are variable interests. The Company's variable interests primarily arise through power purchase agreements where it is required to buy all or a majority of generation from a plant at rates set forth in the agreement.

The Company evaluated its power purchase agreements and determined it was not the primary beneficiary of any VIEs. The Company had previously disclosed two potentially significant variable interests in prior periods; both entities were qualifying facilities contracts that expired at the end of 2011. The Company requested information from the relevant entities; however, they refused to provide the necessary information, as they were not required to do so under their contracts. However, if the variable interests had been determined to be VIEs, the Company concluded it would not have been the primary beneficiary of these entities based on available information and it had no exposure to loss on these contracts. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company's purchased power expense for these entities was \$175.9 million, \$190.3 million and \$181.2 million, respectively.

(19) Commitments and Contingencies

For the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately 24.2% of the Company's energy output was obtained at an average cost of approximately \$0.015 per kilowatt hour (kWh) through long-term contracts with three of the Washington Public Utility Districts (PUDs) that own hydroelectric projects on the Columbia River. The purchase of power from the Columbia River projects is on a pro rata share basis under which the Company pays a proportionate share of the annual debt service, operating and maintenance costs and other expenses associated with each project in proportion to the contractual shares that PSE obtains from that project. In these instances, PSE's payments are not contingent upon the projects being operable; therefore, PSE is required to make the payments even if power is not delivered. These projects are financed through substantially level debt service payments and their annual costs should not vary significantly over the term of the contracts unless additional financing is required to meet the costs of major maintenance, repairs or replacements, or license requirements. The Company's share of the costs and the output of the projects is subject to reduction due to various withdrawal rights of the PUDs and others over the contract lives.

The following table summarizes the Company's estimated payment obligations for power purchases from the Columbia River projects, contracts with other utilities and contracts under non-utility generators under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act. These contracts have varying terms and may include escalation and termination provisions.

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	THEREAFTER	TOTAL
Columbia River projects	\$ 72,634	\$ 71,336	\$ 73,039	\$ 74,888	\$ 74,407	\$ 683,436	\$1,049,740
Other utilities	135,481	75,994	52,432	47,982	40,544	264,981	617,414
Non-utility generators	2,814	3,555	4,277	5,227	2,981		18,854
Total	\$ 210,929	\$ 150,885	\$ 129,748	\$ 128,097	\$ 117,932	\$ 948,417	\$1,686,008

Total purchased power contracts provided the Company with approximately 8.5 million, 8.2 million and 8.3 million megawatt hours (MWh) of firm energy at a cost of approximately \$391.8 million, \$420.6 million and \$363.3 million for the years 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company has natural gas-fired generation facility obligations for natural gas supply amounting to an estimated \$33.3 million in 2012. Longer term agreements for natural gas supply amount to an estimated \$340.4 million for 2013 through 2029.

PSE enters into short-term energy supply contracts to meet its core customer needs. These contracts are sometimes classified as NPNS, however in most cases recorded at fair value in accordance with ASC 815. Commitments under these contracts are \$200.5 million, \$92.5 million and \$25.2 million in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

NATURAL GAS SUPPLY OBLIGATIONS

The Company has also entered into various firm supply, transportation and storage service contracts in order to ensure adequate availability of natural gas supply for its firm customers. Many of these contracts, which have remaining terms from less than one year to 34 years, provide that the Company must pay a fixed demand charge each month, regardless of actual usage. The Company contracts for its long-term natural gas supply on a firm basis, which means the Company has a 100% daily take obligation and the supplier has a 100% daily delivery obligation to ensure service to PSE's customers and generation requirements. The Company incurred demand charges in 2011 for firm natural gas supply, firm transportation service and firm storage and peaking service of \$0.1 million, \$142.8 million and \$6.5 million, respectively. The Company incurred demand charges in 2011 for firm transportation and firm storage service for the natural gas supply for its combustion turbines in the amount of \$32.3 million, which is included in the total Company demand charges.

The following table summarizes the Company's obligations for future demand charges through the primary terms of its existing contracts. The quantified obligations are based on the FERC authorized rates, which are subject to change.

DEMAND CHARGE OBLIGATIONS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	THEREAFTER	TOTAL
Firm transportation service	\$ 142,586	\$ 138,528	\$ 134,357	\$ 126,484	\$ 122,375	\$ 595,779	\$ 1,260,109
Firm storage service	8,822	4,134	1,574	1,574	1,574	6,225	23,903
Total	\$ 151,408	\$ 142,662	\$ 135,931	\$ 128,058	\$ 123,949	\$ 602,004	\$ 1,284,012

SERVICE CONTRACTS

The following table summarizes the Company's estimated obligations for service contracts through the terms of its existing contracts.

SERVICE CONTRACT OBLIGATIONS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	THEREAFTER	TOTAL
Energy production service contracts ¹	\$ 28,815	\$ 24,968	\$ 25,873	\$ 31,843	\$ 10,437	\$ 53,669	\$ 175,605
Information technology service contracts	22,374	13,951					36,325
Automated meter reading system ²	19,340	20,513	21,161	21,897	14,198	109,069	206,178
Total	\$ 70,529	\$ 59,432	\$ 47,034	\$ 53,740	\$ 24,635	\$ 162,738	\$ 418,108

Energy production service contracts include operations and maintenance contracts on Mint Farm, Wild Horse, Goldendale electric generating facility (Goldendale), Hopkins Ridge, Frederickson 1, Sumas and Lower Snake River facilities.

SURETY BOND

The Company has a self-insurance surety bond in the amount of \$3.7 million, which expires on July 1, 2012 and is renewed annually, guaranteeing compliance with the Industrial Insurance Act (workers' compensation) and eight self-insurer's pension bonds totaling \$1.2 million.

ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION

The Company is subject to environmental laws and regulations by the federal, state and local authorities and is required to undertake certain environmental investigative and remedial efforts as a result of these laws and regulations. The Company has been named by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Washington State Department of Ecology and/or other third parties as potentially responsible at several contaminated sites and manufactured gas plant sites. PSE has implemented an ongoing program to test, replace and remediate certain underground storage tanks (UST) as required by federal and state laws. The UST replacement component of this effort is finished, but PSE continues its work remediating and/or monitoring relevant sites. During 1992, the Washington Commission issued orders regarding the treatment of costs incurred by the

² Automated meter reading system contractual obligation is the service component of the Landis and Gyr contract.

Company for certain sites under its environmental remediation program. The orders authorize the Company to accumulate and defer prudently incurred cleanup costs paid to third parties for recovery in rates established in future rate proceedings, subject to Washington Commission review. The Washington Commission consolidated the gas and electric methodological approaches to remediation and deferred accounting in an order issued October 8, 2008. Per the guidance of ASC 450, "Contingencies," the Company reviews its estimated future obligations and adjusts loss reserves quarterly. Management believes it is probable and reasonably estimable that the impact of the potential outcomes of disputes with certain property owners and other potentially responsible parties will result in environmental remediation costs ranging from \$39.1 million to \$57.3 million for gas and from \$8.2 million to \$27.9 million for electric. The Company does not consider any amounts within those ranges as being a better estimate and has therefore accrued \$39.1 million and \$8.2 million for gas and electric, respectively. The Company believes a significant portion of its past and future environmental remediation costs are recoverable from insurance companies, from third parties or from customers under a Washington Commission order. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company incurred deferred electric and natural gas environmental costs of \$9.6 million and \$5.5 million, net of insurance proceeds, respectively.

(20) Related Party Transactions

On June 1, 2006, PSE entered into a revolving credit facility with Puget Energy in the form of a Demand Promissory Note (Note). Through the Note, PSE may borrow up to \$30.0 million from Puget Energy, subject to approval by Puget Energy. Under the terms of the Note, PSE pays interest on the outstanding borrowings based on the lowest of the weighted-average interest rate of PSE's outstanding commercial paper interest rate or PSE's senior unsecured revolving credit facility. Absent such borrowings, interest is charged at one-month LIBOR plus 0.25%. At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the outstanding balance of the Note was \$30.0 million and \$22.6 million, respectively, and the interest rate was 1.6% and 1.1%, respectively. The outstanding balance and the related interest under the Note are eliminated by Puget Energy upon consolidation of PSE's financial statements. The \$30.0 million credit facility with Puget Energy was unaffected by the merger.

On June 3, 2011, Puget Energy issued \$500.0 million of senior secured notes. Macquarie Capital (USA) Inc. acted as a co-manager and underwriter of this issue. Net proceeds of \$484.0 million from these notes were used to repay a portion of the outstanding \$782.0 million term-loan. Puget Energy's term-loan and credit facility for funding capital expenditures both mature in February 2014, contain similar terms and conditions and are syndicated among numerous committed banks and other financial institutions. One of these banks is Macquarie Bank Limited, which as of December 31, 2011 had commitments of \$6.9 million under the term-loan and \$50.6 million under the capital expenditure credit facility. Concurrent with the borrowings under these credit agreements, Puget Energy entered into several interest rate swap instruments to hedge volatility associated with these two loans. Two of the swap instruments were entered into with Macquarie Bank Limited with a total notional amount of \$444.9 million. On June 3, 2011 Puget Energy settled one of the swaps with a notional amount of \$77.4 million, while the other swap instrument, with a notional amount of \$367.5 million, remains outstanding as of December 31, 2011.

(21) Fair Value of Intangible Assets

At the time of merger, Puget Energy recorded the fair value of its intangible assets in accordance with ASC 360, "Property, Plant, and Equipment," (ASC 360). The fair value assigned to the power contracts was determined using an income approach comparing the contract rate to the market rate for power over the remaining period of the contracts incorporating nonperformance risk. Management also incorporated certain assumptions related to quantities and market presentation that it believes market participants would make in the valuation. The fair value of the power contracts is amortized as the contracts settle. ASC 360 requires long-lived assets to be tested for impairment on an on-going basis, whenever events or circumstances would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the long-lived assets below its carrying value. One such triggering event is a significant decrease in market price.

Puget Energy completed a valuation and impairment test as of December 31, 2011 for long-term power purchase contracts. The valuation indicated impairment to two of the purchased power contracts, the WNP-3 BPA Exchange Power

contract and the Rock Island hydro contract. As of December 31, 2011, the carrying value for the WNP-3 BPA intangible asset contract was \$1.9 million but its fair value on a discounted basis was less than zero thereby requiring a full write-off of the intangible asset with a corresponding reduction in the regulatory liability. The carrying value for Rock Island intangible asset contract was \$44.9 million and its fair value on a discounted basis was determined to be \$9.8 million thereby requiring a \$35.1 million write-off of the intangible asset with a corresponding reduction in the regulatory liability.

Puget Energy completed a valuation and impairment test as of December 31, 2010 for long-term power purchase contracts and SO2 emission allowance assets. The carrying value of Puget Energy's power contracts and SO2 emission allowances as of December 31, 2010 was approximately \$864.7 million and \$7.9 million, respectively. The excess of the carrying value over the fair value of the power contracts was \$105.8 million which was written-off against regulatory liabilities at December 31, 2010. The excess of the carrying value over the fair value of the SO2 emissions was \$7.9 million which was expensed at December 31, 2010.

(22) Segment Information

Puget Energy operates one business segment referred to as the regulated utility segment. The regulated utility segment includes the account receivables securitization program which was terminated during the merger. Puget Energy's regulated utility operation generates, purchases and sells electricity and purchases, transports and sells natural gas. The service territory of PSE covers approximately 6,000 square miles in the state of Washington.

Non-utility business segment includes two PSE subsidiaries and Puget Energy, and is described as Other. The PSE subsidiaries are a real estate investment and development company and a holding company for a small non-utility wholesale generator which was sold in 2010. Reconciling items between segments are not significant.

Effective February 6, 2009, all merger related fair value adjustments were retained in Puget Energy. Accordingly, only the financial statements of Puget Energy were adjusted to reflect the purchase accounting. Prior to the merger, the business segment financial statements for Puget Energy and PSE were the same.

	YEAR ENDED			
PUGET ENERGY	DECEMBER 31, 2011 REGULATED			
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	
Revenue	\$ 3,319,105	\$ (340)	\$ 3,318,765	
Depreciation and amortization	371,977	1	371,978	
Income tax (benefit) expense	91,464	(57,704)	33,760	
Operating income	477,730	(2,790)	474,940	
Interest charges, net of AFUDC	210,463	131,498	341,961	
Net income	228,908	(105,618)	123,290	
Total assets	10,648,493	1,736,217	12,384,710	
Construction expenditures - excluding equity AFUDC	976,513		976,513	

	YEAR ENDED			
	DE	CEMBER 31, 20)11	
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	REGULATED			
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL	
Revenue	\$ 3,319,106	\$ 697	\$ 3,319,803	
Depreciation and amortization	371,977	1	371,978	
Income tax expense	78,451	(334)	78,117	
Operating income	431,553	(510)	431,043	
Interest charges, net of AFUDC	201,467		201,467	
Net income	204,740	(620)	204,120	
Total assets	10,042,263	43,284	10,085,547	
Construction expenditures - excluding equity AFUDC	976,513		976,513	

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

		CEBIIIEER CI, =	010
PUGET ENERGY	REGULATED		
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL
Revenue	\$ 3,121,934	\$ 283	\$ 3,122,217
Depreciation and amortization	364,205	1	364,206
Income tax (benefit) expense	35,905	(33,424)	2,481
Operating income	310,130	(1,896)	308,234
Interest charges, net of AFUDC	220,922	86,088	307,010
Net income	92,927	(62,616)	30,311
Total assets	10,180,532	1,748,804	11,929,336
Construction expenditures - excluding equity AFUDC	859,091		859,091

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 PUGET SOUND ENERGY REGULATED (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) UTILITY OTHER TOTAL \$ 3,121,935 282 \$ 3,122,217 Revenue Depreciation and amortization 364,204 2 364,206 62 Income tax (benefit) expense 60 122 Operating income 207,647 207,591 (56)Interest charges, net of AFUDC 220,854 220,854 Net income 26,358 (263)26,095 Total assets 9,260,675 50,109 9,310,784 Construction expenditures - excluding equity AFUDC 859,091 859,091

	SUCCE	ESSOR	Prede	YEAR ENDED	
	February 6, 2009 -		January 1, 2009 -		DECEMBER 31,
	DECEMBER	R 31, 2009	Februal	RY 5, 2009	2009
PUGET ENERGY	REGULATED		REGULATED		_
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	UTILITY	OTHER	UTILITY	OTHER	TOTAL
Revenue	\$ 2,921,550	\$ 3,598	\$ 403,713	\$	\$ 3,328,861
Depreciation and amortization	305,904	39	26,742		332,685
Income tax (benefit) expense	113,241	(31,200)	10,537	(1,540)	91,038
Operating income	477,082	(2,219)	55,830	(20,420)	510,273
Interest charges, net of AFUDC	176,858	79,953	16,966	(25)	273,752
Net income	229,973	(55,958)	31,611	(18,855)	186,771
Total assets	10,117,563	1,782,577	8,507,548	87,288	11,900,140
Construction expenditures - excluding equity AFUDC	726,157		49,531		775,688

YEAR ENDED **DECEMBER 31, 2009** REGULATED PUGET SOUND ENERGY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) UTILITY OTHER TOTAL 3,238 \$ 3,328,501 \$ 3,325,263 Revenue Depreciation and amortization 332,646 206 332,852 Income tax (benefit) expense 69,890 (2,246)67,644 383,135 Operating income 387,652 (4,517)Interest charges, net of AFUDC 202,527 202,527 Net income 161,508 159,252 (2,256)Total assets 8,765,189 51,382 8,816,571 Construction expenditures - excluding equity AFUDC 775,688 775,688

SUPPLEMENTAL QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

The following unaudited amounts, in the opinion of the Company, include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations for the interim periods. Quarterly amounts vary during the year due to the seasonal nature of the utility business.

PUGET ENERGY	2011 Quarter				
(UNAUDITED; DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	First	SECOND	Third	Fourth	
Operating revenue	\$ 1,019,593	\$ 732,675	\$ 597,776	\$ 968,721	
Operating income	218,145	114,693	20,663	121,439	
Net income (loss)	107,431	5,035	(36,470)	47,294	
	2010 Quarter				
(Unaupreed, Dolland in Thouganed)	FIRST			Еспреп	
(UNAUDITED; DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)		SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH	
Operating revenue	\$ 878,206	\$ 673,287	\$ 622,829	\$ 947,895	
Operating income	45,403	71,726	(2,184)	193,289	
Net income	(19,191)	3,663	(37,899)	83,738	
PUGET SOUND ENERGY		2011 Qu	ARTER		
(Unaudited; Dollars in Thousands)	First	SECOND	Third	Fourth	
Operating revenue	\$ 1,019,593	\$ 733,364	\$ 597,776	\$ 969,070	
Operating income	190,436	107,380	17,198	116,029	
Net income (loss)	103,439	50,913	(9,107)	58,875	
		2010 Qu	ARTER		
(Unaudited; Dollars in Thousands)	First	SECOND	Third	FOURTH	
Operating revenue	\$ 878,206	\$ 673,287	\$ 622,829	\$ 947,895	
Operating income	(4,984)	48,794	(16,593)	180,374	
Net income	(38,274)	507	(29,559)	93,421	

SCHEDULE I: CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF PUGET ENERGY

PUGET ENERGY

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Dollars in Thousands)

	Year	Ended	Successor February 6, 2009 -	Predecessor January 1, 2009 -
	DECEM	BER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	February 5,
	2011	2010	2009	2009
Equity in earnings of subsidiary ¹	\$ 228,288	\$ 92,700	\$ 231,978	\$ 31,611
Non-utility expense and other	(2,280)	(1,895)	(1,526)	(4)
Merger and related costs			(2,731)	(20,416)
Other income (deductions):				
Charitable foundation contributions			(5,000)	
Unhedged interest rate derivative expense	(28,601)	(7,955)		
Interest income	215	260	240	25
Interest expense	(131,702)	(86,304)	(80,193)	
Income taxes	57,370	33,505	31,247	1,540
Net income	\$ 123,290	\$ 30,311	\$ 174,015	\$ 12,756

Equity earnings of subsidiary included earnings from PSE of \$204.1 million and \$26.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and purchase accounting adjustments recorded at Puget Energy for PSE of \$24.2 million and \$66.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

PUGET ENERGY

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in Thousands)

	DECEMBER 31,		
	2011	2010	
Assets:			
Investment in subsidiaries ¹	\$ 3,314,195	\$ 3,063,356	
Other property and investments:			
Goodwill	1,656,513	1,656,513	
Current assets:			
Cash	6,224	237	
Receivables from affiliates ²	30,291	23,509	
Income taxes		14,069	
Deferred income taxes	8,824	10,516	
Total current assets	45,339	48,331	
Long-term assets:			
Deferred income taxes	117,110	71,967	
Other	13,544	8,267	
Total long-term assets	130,654	80,234	
Total assets	\$ 5,146,701	\$ 4,848,434	
Capitalization and liabilities:			
Common equity	\$ 3,300,923	\$ 3,322,912	
Long-term debt	1,779,844	1,463,039	
Total capitalization	5,080,767	4,785,951	
Current liabilities:			
Interest	13,525	4,480	
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	25,210	30,047	
Total current liabilities	38,735	34,527	
Long-term liabilities:			
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	27,199	27,956	
Total long-term liabilities	27,199	27,956	
Total capitalization and liabilities	\$ 5,146,701	\$ 4,848,434	

Investment in subsidiaries for successor include Puget Energy business combination accounting adjustments under ASC 805 that are recorded at Puget Energy.

Eliminated in consolidation.

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

PUGET ENERGY CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Dollars in Thousands)

			SUCCESSOR	PREDECESSOR
	FEBRUARY 6, YEAR 2009 - ENDED DECEMBER 31, DECEMBER 31,		January 1, 2009 -	
			DECEMBER 31,	FEBRUARY 5,
	2011	2010	2009	2009
Operating activities:				
Net income	\$ 123,290	\$ 30,311	\$ 174,015	\$ 12,756
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:				
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments	33,549	(3,599)		
Deferred income taxes and tax credits – net	(57,151)	(52,364)	(7,886)	
Equity in earnings of subsidiary ¹	(228,288)	(92,700)	(231,978)	(31,611)
Other	12,837	18,169	3,153	(14)
Dividends received from subsidiaries	212,875	186,733	183,071	
Accounts receivable	618	(891)		
Income taxes	14,069	20,601	(21,951)	(1,539)
Accounts payable		(48)	(88,912)	
Affiliated payables				20,015
Accrued interest	9,045	(926)	5,406	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	120,844	105,286	14,918	(393)
Investing activities:				
Investment in subsidiaries	(287,000)		(25,960)	
(Increase) decrease in loan to subsidiaries	(7,400)	300	2,828	346
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(294,400)	300	(23,132)	346
Financing activities:				
Dividends paid	(117,441)	(104,311)	(121,178)	
Issuance of bond	787,000	450,000	50,211	
Redemption of term-loan	(484,000)	(443,000)		
Issue costs	(6,016)	(8,157)	(6,428)	
Net cash provided by (used in) by financing activities	179,543	(105,468)	(77,395)	
Increase (decrease) in cash	5,987	118	(85,609)	(47)
Cash at beginning of year	237	119	85,728	57
Cash at end of year	\$ 6,224	\$ 237	\$ 119	\$ 10

Equity earnings of subsidiary included earnings from PSE of \$204.1 million and \$26.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and purchase accounting adjustments recorded at Puget Energy for PSE of \$24.2 million and \$66.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

SCHEDULE II: VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS AND RESERVES

	BALANCE AT	ADDITIONS CHARGED TO		BALANCE
PUGET ENERGY	BEGINNING OF	COSTS AND		AT END
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	PERIOD	EXPENSES	DEDUCTIONS	OF PERIOD
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011	1 EMOD	EM ENGES	DEDUCTIONS	Of TEMOD
Accounts deducted from assets on balance sheet:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 9,784	\$ 18,449	\$ 19,738	\$ 8,495
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010	1 2 7 2	1 - 7 -	1 - 7	1 2 2
Accounts deducted from assets on balance sheet:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 8,094	\$ 23,875	\$ 22,185	\$ 9,784
Successor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Period from February 6, 2009 to				
DECEMBER 31, 2009				
Accounts deducted from assets on balance sheet:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$	\$ 25,378	\$ 17,284	\$ 8,094
Predecessor				
Period from January 1, 2009 to				
February 5, 2009				
Accounts deducted from assets on balance sheet:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 6,392	\$ 1,285	\$ 7,677	\$
		Additions		
	BALANCE AT	CHARGED TO		BALANCE
PUGET SOUND ENERGY	BEGINNING OF	COSTS AND		AT END
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	Period	EXPENSES	DEDUCTIONS	OF PERIOD
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011				
Accounts deducted from assets on balance sheet:	A 0 = 0.4	.	A 40	.
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 9,784	\$ 18,449	\$ 19,738	\$ 8,495
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010				
Accounts deducted from assets on balance sheet:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 8,094	\$ 23,875	\$ 22,185	\$ 9,784
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009				
Accounts deducted from assets on balance sheet:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts receivable	\$ 6,392	\$ 20,220	\$ 18,518	\$ 8,094

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

PUGET ENERGY

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of Puget Energy's management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Puget Energy has evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of December 31, 2011, the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Puget Energy concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in Puget Energy's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Puget Energy's internal control over financial reporting.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Puget Energy's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Under the supervision and with the participation of Puget Energy's President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Puget Energy's management assessed the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the assessment, Puget Energy's management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

Puget Energy's effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of PSE's management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, PSE has evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of December 31, 2011, the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of PSE concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in PSE's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, PSE's internal control over financial reporting.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

PSE's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Under the supervision and with the participation of PSE's President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Puget Sound Energy's management assessed the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the assessment, PSE's management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

PSE's effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

As of March 1, 2012, eleven directors constitute Puget Energy's Board of Directors and twelve directors currently constitute PSE's Board of Directors, as set forth below. The directors are selected in accordance with the Amended and Restated Bylaws of each of Puget Energy and PSE, pursuant to which, the investor-owners of Puget Holdings (the indirect parent company of both Puget Energy and PSE) are entitled to select individuals to serve on the boards of Puget Energy and PSE.

William Ayer, age 57, is a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE. Over the past 30 years, Mr. Ayer has served in a variety of leadership positions at Alaska Air Group, most recently as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Alaska Air Group, the parent company of Alaska Airlines and Horizon Air. As the current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Alaska Airlines, Mr. Ayer leads the nation's seventh-largest airline with 9,600 employees. He also oversees regional carrier Horizon Air and its 3,200 employees. Mr. Ayer is also a member of the board of directors of the Museum of Flight and Angel Flight West and serves on the University of Washington's Board of Regents and the NextGen Advisory Committee. Mr. Ayer's leadership in running a successful company recognized nationally for its award-winning customer service and operational performance, coupled with his community involvement in the western Washington region, are among the qualifications and attributes that led to the conclusion that he should serve on the Puget Energy and PSE boards. Mr. Ayer will retire as Chief Executive Officer of Alaska Air Group on May 15, 2012. He will remain Chairman of the board for a period of time.

Andrew Chapman, age 56, has been a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE since February 2009. Mr. Chapman is currently a director on the Board of Duquesne Light Holdings, Inc. and Duquesne Light Company, which position he has held since February 1, 2010. Mr. Chapman is currently a Managing Director in the Macquarie Capital Funds division of the Macquarie Group, which position he has held since 2006. Prior to joining the Macquarie Group, Mr. Chapman was Vice President – Strategy & Regulation for American Water from 2005 to 2006 and Regional Managing Director from 2003 to 2004. Mr. Chapman represents the Company's Macquarie affiliated investors on the boards, in accordance with the terms of the Puget Energy and PSE bylaws, and brings to his service many years of experience in the operational and financial management challenges specific to regulated utilities.

Melanie Dressel, age 59, is a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE, which positions she has held since December 19, 2011. Ms. Dressel is currently President and Chief Executive Officer of Columbia Bank and its parent company, Columbia Banking System, Inc., of Tacoma, Washington, which positions she has held since 2000 and 2003, respectively. An independent director not affiliated with any of the Company's investors, Ms. Dressel's leadership skills, financial experience and many ties to civic and community groups in the Company's service territory are among the reasons for her appointment to the Puget Energy and PSE boards.

Kimberly Harris, age 47, is a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE, which positions she has held since March 1, 2011. Ms. Harris has also been President and Chief Executive Officer since March 1, 2011. Prior to that time, Ms. Harris served as President from July 2010 through February 2011. Ms. Harris also served as Executive Vice President and Chief Resource Officer from May 2007 until July 2010, and was Senior Vice President Regulatory Policy and Energy Efficiency from 2005 until May 2007.

Benjamin Hawkins, age 37, has been a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE since May 21, 2010. Mr. Hawkins is currently a Senior Principal of Infrastructure & Timber Investments for Alberta Investment Management Corporation (AimCo), which position he has held since June 2011. Mr. Hawkins also served as Principal of Infrastructure Investments of AimCo from November 2008 until June 2011, and Portfolio Manager of Infrastructure Investments from May of 2007 until November 2008. Prior to joining AimCo, Mr. Hawkins held various positions with EPCOR Utilities, a Canadian power and water utility company. Mr. Hawkins serves on the boards as a representative of AimCo's ownership

interest in the Company, pursuant to the terms of the Puget Energy and PSE bylaws, and brings to this service his skills in financial oversight of utilities.

Alan James, age 58, has been a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE since February 2009, as a representative of the Company's Macquarie affiliated investors consistent with the Puget Energy and PSE bylaws. Mr. James is currently the Chairman and Senior Managing Director of Macquarie Capital (USA) Inc. based in New York where he specializes in providing M&A advice and capital raising solutions to the utility, power and renewable sectors in North America, which position he has held since 2005. Prior to that time, Mr. James was Managing Director and Head, Investment Banking Australia and New Zealand at Citigroup from 2002 to 2005 and held various positions with Deutsche Bank AG in Australia and Europe from 1993 to 2002 specializing in the energy sector. Mr. James represents the Company's Macquarie affiliated investors in accordance with the Puget Energy and PSE bylaws. Mr. James provides the boards the benefit of his broad experience with the financial needs and operational and regulatory challenges of infrastructure providers.

Alan Kadic, age 40, has been a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE since February 2009. Mr. Kadic is currently a Senior Principal in the Infrastructure Group of the Private Investments department at the Canada Pension Plan Investment Board (CPPIB), which position he has held since 2007. Prior to joining CPPIB, Mr. Kadic served as Vice President at Macquarie Bank Limited in Toronto, Canada from 2001 to 2007. Mr. Kadic is currently an alternate director on the board of Wales and West Utilities, a United Kingdom natural gas distribution company, as well as MGN Gas Networks, the holding company for Wales and West Utilities. Mr. Kadic represents the ownership stake in the Company of the CPPIB, in accordance with the terms of the Puget Energy and PSE bylaws, and brings to such service his expertise in the financial and budgetary management of utility providers.

Christopher Leslie, age 47, has been a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE since February 2009, as a representative of the Company's Macquarie affiliated investors consistent with the Puget Energy and PSE bylaws. Mr. Leslie is currently an Executive Director of Macquarie Group Limited, which position he has held since 2005, President of Macquarie Infrastructure and Real Assets Inc., and since 2006 Chief Executive Officer of Macquarie Infrastructure Partners Inc. Mr. Leslie served as a director on the boards of Duquesne Light Holdings, Inc. and Duquesne Light Company in 2009 and 2010. In addition to his management and banking skills, Mr. Leslie provides the Puget Energy and PSE boards the benefit of his experience with electric utilities, gas distribution systems and other aspects of the infrastructure sector.

Mary McWilliams, age 63, has been a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE since March 1, 2011. Ms. McWilliams is currently the Executive Director at Puget Sound Health Alliance, which position she has held since 2008. She also served as President and Chief Executive Officer at Regence BlueShield from 2000 to 2008. In addition, Ms. McWilliams serves as Chairman of the board of the Seattle Branch of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. Ms. McWilliams's significant experience managing consumer-focused organizations with challenging regulatory and compliance regimes, as well as her extensive knowledge of the western Washington economy generally, are some of the reasons that led to her appointment to the Puget Energy and PSE boards on behalf of the CPPIB.

Herbert Simon, age 68, is a director on the board of PSE, on which he has served since March 2006. Mr. Simon has been a member of Simon Johnson, L.L.C. (real estate and venture capital projects investment company located in Tacoma, Washington) and its predecessor company since 1985. In addition, Mr. Simon serves as a Regent at the University of Washington and as a Board member of Acre, the real estate committee for the University of Washington. Mr. Simon previously served on the Advisory Boards of the University of Washington at Tacoma and its Institute of Technology. An independent director not affiliated with any of the Company's investors, Mr. Simon's long-standing involvement with the commercial, educational, political and philanthropic leadership of western Washington are among the qualifications supporting his appointment to the PSE board.

Christopher Trumpy, age 57, has been a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE since January 12, 2010. Mr. Trumpy is currently the Chairman of the Pacific Carbon Trust, which position he has held since 2008. He also served as Chairman of the British Columbia Investment Management Corporation (or bcIMC) from 2000 to 2008. In addition, Mr. Trumpy served as Deputy Minister at Ministries of Finance, Environment and Provincial Revenue from 1998 to 2009. Mr.

Trumpy represents the ownership stake in the Company of bcIMC, in accordance with the terms of the Puget Energy and PSE bylaws, and provides the boards the benefit of his significant leadership roles in government and policy-making, among other attributes.

Mark Wiseman, age 41, has served as a director on the boards of both Puget Energy and PSE since October 2009. Mr. Wiseman is currently Executive Vice President Investments at the CPPIB, which position he has held since April 2010. He served as Senior Vice President Private Investments of CPPIB from 2005 to April 2010. Mr. Wiseman represents the ownership interest of the CPPIB in the Company, consistent with the Puget Energy and PSE bylaws. Among his qualifications are his experience with the capital needs of infrastructure providers as well as risk management and financial oversight.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The information required by this item with respect to Puget Energy and PSE is incorporated herein by reference to the material under "Executive Officers of the Registrants" in Part I of this report.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Puget Energy and PSE Boards of Directors have both established an Audit Committee. Directors Andrew Chapman, Benjamin Hawkins, Alan Kadic and William S. Ayer are the members of the Audit Committee. The Board has determined that Andrew Chapman meets the definition of "Audit Committee Financial Expert" under SEC rules. Puget Energy and PSE currently do not have any outstanding stock listed on a national securities exchange and, therefore, there are no independence standards applicable to either company in connection with the independence of its Audit Committee members.

CHANGES TO THE PROCEDURES BY WHICH SHAREHOLDERS MAY RECOMMEND NOMINEES TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Following the closing of the merger, members of the Boards of Directors of Puget Energy and PSE are nominated and elected in accordance with the provisions of their respective Amended and Restated Bylaws.

CODE OF ETHICS

Puget Energy and PSE have adopted a Corporate Ethics and Compliance Code applicable to all directors, officers and employees and a Code of Ethics applicable to the Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers, which are available on the website www.pugetenergy.com. If any material provisions of the Corporate Ethics and Compliance Code or the Code of Ethics are waived for the Chief Executive Officer or senior financial officers, or if any substantive changes are made to either code as they relate to any director or executive officer, we will disclose that fact on our website within four business days. In addition, any other material amendments of these codes will be disclosed.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The Company's reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available or may be accessed free of charge at the Company's website, www.pugetenergy.com. Information may also be obtained via the SEC Internet website at www.sec.gov.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD

Interested parties may communicate with an individual director or the Board of Directors as a group via U.S. Postal mail directed to: Chairman of the Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, Puget Energy, Inc., P.O. Box 97034, PSE-12, Bellevue, Washington 98009-9734. Please clearly specify in each communication the applicable addressee or addressees you wish to contact. All such communications will be forwarded to the intended director or Board as a whole, as applicable.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

PUGET ENERGY
PUGET SOUND ENERGY
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION AND LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The members of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committees (referred to as the Committee) of the Boards of Directors (referred to as the Board) of Puget Energy and PSE (referred to as the Company) are named in the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee Report. No members of the Committee were officers or employees of the Company or any of its subsidiaries during 2011, were formerly Company officers or had any relationship otherwise requiring disclosure. Each member meets the independence requirements of the SEC and the NYSE.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This section provides information about the compensation program for the Company's Named Executive Officers who are included in the Summary Compensation Table. For 2011, the Company's Named Executive Officers and titles as of 2011 year end were:

- Kimberly J. Harris, President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO);
- Daniel A. Doyle, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (CFO);
- Eric M. Markell, Senior Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer and Former Chief Financial Officer (Former CFO):
- Susan McLain, Senior Vice President, Delivery Operations;
- Paul M. Wiegand, Senior Vice President, Energy Operations;
- Marla D. Mellies, Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer;
- Donald E. Gaines, Vice President Finance and Treasurer (Former Principal Financial Officer);
- Stephen P. Reynolds, Former Chief Executive Officer (Former CEO); and
- Bertrand A. Valdman, Former Senior Vice President and Former Chief Financial Officer (Former CFO).

During 2011, the following changes occurred among our Named Executive Officers. Effective March 1, 2011, Mr. Reynolds retired as CEO of the Company and Ms. Harris succeeded him as CEO. On February 2, 2011, Mr. Valdman was appointed Chief Financial Officer of the Company, succeeding Mr. Markell to that position. Effective March 11, 2011, Mr. Valdman voluntarily resigned as CFO and Mr. Gaines served as acting Principal Financial Officer until Mr. Doyle's appointment as Senior Vice President and CFO of the Company in November 2011.

This section also includes a discussion and analysis of the overall objectives of our compensation program and each element of compensation the Company provides.

COMPENSATION PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

The Company's executive compensation program has two main objectives:

- Support sustained Company performance by attracting, retaining and motivating talented people to run the business.
- Align compensation payment levels with achievement of Company goals.

The Committee is responsible for developing and monitoring an executive compensation program and philosophy that achieves the foregoing objectives. In performing its duties, the Committee obtains information and advice on various aspects of executive compensation from its outside compensation consultant, Towers Watson. The Committee recommends the salary level for our CEO, based on recommendations from Towers Watson, and recommends the salary levels for the other executives, based on recommendations from our CEO, to the full Board for approval. The Committee also recommends to the Board for its approval annual and long-term incentive compensation plans for the executives, the setting of performance goals and the determination of awards under those plans.

In 2011, the Committee used the following strategies to achieve the objectives of our executive compensation program:

- Design and deliver a competitive total pay opportunity. To attract, retain and motivate a talented executive team, the Committee believes that total pay opportunity should be competitive with similar companies so that new executives will want to join the Company and current executives will be retained. As described below in the discussion of Compensation Program Elements (Review of Pay Element Competitiveness), the Committee annually compares executive compensation to external market data from similar companies in our industry and targets base salary and total direct compensation (which is base salary plus annual and long-term incentive pay) to the 50th percentile of this comparator group. The Committee also recognizes the importance of providing retirement income. Executives choose to work for the Company as opposed to a variety of other alternative organizations, and one financial goal of employees is to provide a secure future for themselves and their families. The Committee reviews the design of retirement programs provided by our comparator group and provides benefits that are commensurate with this group.
- Place a significant portion of each executive's total compensation at risk to align executive compensation with Company financial and operating performance. Under its "pay for performance" philosophy, the Committee works to design and deliver an incentive compensation program that supports the Company's business direction as approved by the Board and aligns executive interests with those of investors and customers. The Committee believes that a significant portion of each executive's compensation should be "at risk" and rewarded solely for meeting and exceeding target levels of annual and long-term performance goals. By establishing goals, monitoring results, and rewarding achievement of goals, the Company focuses executives on actions that will improve the Company and enhance investor value, while also retaining key talent. The Committee annually evaluates the performance factors and targets for our annual and long-term incentive programs and considers adjustments as appropriate to meet the objectives of our executive compensation program. As described below, the Company's policies and practices surrounding incentive pay are structured in a manner to mitigate the risk that employees would seek to take untoward risks in an attempt to increase incentive results.
- Execute the Company's succession planning process to ensure that executive leadership continues uninterrupted by executive retirements or other personnel changes. The CEO leads the talent reviews for leadership succession planning through meetings with her executive team. Each executive conducts talent reviews of senior employees that report to him or her and whom have high potential for assuming greater responsibility in the Company. The talent reviews include evaluations prepared within the Company and by external organizational development consultants. The Committee and the Board annually review these assessments of executive readiness, the plans for development of the Company's key executives, and progress made on these succession plans. The Committee and the Board directly participate in discussion of succession plans for the position of CEO.

RISK ASSESSMENT

A portion of each executive's total direct compensation is variable, at risk and tied to the Company's financial and operational performance to motivate and reward executives for achievement of Company goals. The Company's variable pay program helps focus executives on interests important to the Company and its investors and customers and creates a record of their results. In structuring its incentive programs, the Company also strives to balance and moderate risk to the Company from such programs: individual award opportunities are defined and subject to limits, goal funding is based on collective company performance, annual incentive awards are balanced by long-term incentive awards that measure performance over three years, performance targets are based on management's operating plan (which includes providing good customer service), and all incentive awards to individual executives are subject to discretionary review by management, the Committee and/or the Board. As a result, the Committee and the Board believe that the programs' design do not provide an incentive to executives to take unreasonable risks that could have a material adverse effect relating to the Company's business and also provide appropriate incentive opportunities for executives to achieve Company goals that support the interests of our investors and customers.

COMPENSATION PROGRAM ELEMENTS

The Company's compensation program encompasses a mix of base salary, annual and long-term incentive compensation, retirement programs, health and welfare benefits and a limited number of perquisites. The Company also provides certain post-termination and change in control benefits to executives who were employed by the Company prior to March 2009. Since the Company is no longer publicly listed following its merger in February 2009 and no longer grants equity awards to its executives, it relies on a mix of non-equity compensation elements to achieve its compensation objectives.

The total compensation package is designed to provide participants with appropriate incentives that are competitive with the comparator group and is also designed to achieve current operational performance and customer service goals as well as the long-term objective of enhancing investor value. The Company does not have a specific policy regarding the mix of compensation elements, though long-term incentive programs are designed to comprise the largest portion of each executive's incentive pay. The Company arrives at a mix of pay by setting each compensation element relative to market comparators. The Company delivered cash compensation to the Named Executive Officers in 2011 through base salary to provide liquidity for the executives and through incentive programs to focus performance on important Company goals and to increase the alignment with investors. The Committee annually reviews total compensation opportunity and actual total compensation received over the prior years by each executive officer in the form of a tally sheet. This review helps inform the Committee's decisions on program designs by allowing the Committee to review overall pay received in relation to Company results.

Review of Pay Element Competitiveness

In making compensation decisions on base salary and annual and long-term incentive programs, management prepares comprehensive pay surveys for review by the Committee and the Committee's outside executive pay consultant, Towers Watson. The Committee also received advice from Towers Watson in making 2011 compensation decisions. The surveys summarize data provided by the Towers Watson 2010 Energy Services survey for a selection of utility and other companies that are most similar in scope and size to PSE. For the review of compensation pay levels and practices in 2011, we included the following utility companies in our comparator group that were all of similar scope (generally \$1.5 billion — \$6.0 billion revenue and \$4.0 billion — \$12.0 billion asset size) and also participated in the Towers Watson 2010 Energy Services survey:

1. Allegheny Energy

2. Alliant Energy

3. Atmos Energy

4. Avista

5. CMS Energy

6. **MDU** Resources

New York Power Authority

8. Nicor

9. Northeast Utilities

10. NSTAR/MA

11. **NV** Energy

12.

OGE Energy

Pinnacle West Capital 13.

14. PNM Resources 15. Portland General Electric

16. **SCANA**

17. Southern Union Company

18. Westar Energy

19. Wisconsin Energy

Base pay and total direct compensation (which is base salary plus annual and long-term incentive pay) are targeted to the 50th percentile of the industry comparator group if the Company's performance goals are achieved at target. If results are below expectations, total direct compensation is lower than this targeted level. If achievement of performance goals significantly exceeds target, total cash compensation can approach the 75th percentile of the industry comparator group.

Individual pay adjustments are reviewed to see how they position the executive in relation to the median of market pay, while also considering the executive's recent performance and experience level. The Company may choose to pay an executive above or below the median level of market pay when that individual has a role with greater or lesser responsibility than the best comparison job or when our executive's experience and performance exceed those typically found in the market.

Base Salary

We recognize that it is necessary to provide executives with a fixed amount of total compensation that is delivered each month and provides a balance to other pay elements that are at risk. Base salaries are generally targeted at the 50th percentile of the comparator group and are reviewed annually by the Committee on an individual basis using as a guideline, median salary levels of our comparator group, as well as internal pay equity among executives. Actual salaries vary by individual and depend on additional factors, such as an individual's expertise, level of performance achievement, level of experience and level of contribution relative to others in the organization.

Base Salary Adjustments

The Committee reviewed the base salaries of the Named Executive Officers in early 2011 and, for the first time since 2009, recommended base salary adjustments to the Board. Previously executive base salaries had not changed since 2009 in light of the continued difficult economic environment faced by the Company and many of our customers. The Board approved the Committee's recommendation to increase executive salaries, and base salaries for 2011 generally remained at the median of market among the comparator group. The salary increase percentages approved by the Board were in a range of 2% to 5%, similar to salary increases processed for other non-represented employees.

Effective March 1, 2011, the Board appointed Ms. Harris CEO of the Company and increased her base salary from \$680,000 to \$720,000. In establishing the level of pay for Ms. Harris, the Committee recommended and the Board approved a base salary that was below the median of market among the comparator group, reflecting Ms. Harris's new tenure in 2011 as both President and CEO. Effective November 18, 2011, the Board appointed Mr. Doyle as Senior Vice President and CFO of the Company. Mr. Doyle's base salary was set at \$450,000, slightly below the median of market among the comparator group. Ms. Mellies was promoted to Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer on February 1, 2011 and received a salary increase to \$265,000, which placed her slightly below the median of market among the comparator group.

Incentive Compensation (Annual and Long-Term)

Our annual and long-term incentive plans help focus executives on the priorities of our investors and customers and reward performance that meets or exceeds pre-established goals. Both the Company's annual incentive plan and the long-term incentive plan measure and reward the Company's performance on Service Quality Indices (SQIs). These reporting measures were developed in collaboration with the Company's regulator, the Washington Commission, and provide customers with a report card on the Company's customer service and reliability. In addition to SQI achievement, performance measures used in 2011 for determining incentives were EBITDA in the annual incentive plan and Total Return in the long-term incentive plan. EBITDA and Total Return are important performance measures of economic return to our investors, and their accomplishment indicates to our customers that the Company has the financial strength needed for long-term sustainability.

Based on the recommendations of management and the Committee, the Board approved certain changes to the annual and long-term incentive programs which will take effect in 2012. Although these changes do not apply to our incentive compensation plans as in effect during 2011, they are described briefly below because they were approved in 2011.

2011 Annual Incentive Compensation

All PSE employees, including executive officers, are eligible to participate in an annual incentive program referred to as the "Goals and Incentive Plan." The plan is designed to provide financial incentives to executives for achieving desired annual operating results, measured by EBITDA, while also meeting the Company's service quality commitment to customers. EBITDA was selected as a performance goal because it provides a financial measure of cash flows generated from the Company's annual operating performance.

For 2011, the Company's service quality commitment was measured by performance against 9 SQIs covering three broad categories, set forth below. These are the same SQIs for which the Company is accountable to the Washington Commission. The Company's annual report to the Washington Commission and our customers describes each SQI, how it is measured, the Company's required level of achievement, and performance results. For 2011, the Washington Commission agreed to continued removal of one SQI that had been applicable for prior years relating to limiting disconnects for non-payment; during the Company's current general rate case, the Washington Commission will determine if that measure should be reinstated for future years.

The Company's service quality report cards are available at http://www.PSE.com/PerformanceReportCards.

The SQIs for 2011 were as follows:

• Customer Satisfaction (3 SQIs)

 Customer satisfaction with the telephone access center and gas field services and number of Washington Commission complaints

• Customer Service (2 SQIs)

— Calls answered "live" and on-time appointments

• Safety and Reliability (4 SQIs)

 Gas emergency response, electric emergency response, non-storm outage frequency and nonstorm outage duration

The annual incentive plan for 2011 had a funding level based on customer service, as measured by SQI achievement, and EBITDA as shown in the table below.

ANNUAL INCENTIVE PERFORMANCE PAYOUT SCALE								
2011 EBITDA								
PERFORMANCE	(IN MILLIONS)	SQI*	FUNDING LEVEL					
Maximum	\$1,324.35	9/9	200%					
Target	981.0	9/9	100%					
Threshold Payout Funding	882.9	6/9	35%					

* SQI results of 6/9 or better and minimum EBITDA of \$882.9 million are required for any incentive payout funding. SQI results below 9/9 reduce funding (e.g., 8/9 = 90%, 7/9 = 80%, 6/9 = 70%).

2011 Actual Performance	\$ 1,022.5	9/9	121.2%
-------------------------	------------	-----	--------

The Committee can adjust EBITDA used in the annual incentive calculation to exclude nonrecurring items that are outside the normal course of business for the year, but did not exclude any items for 2011. Individual awards may be adjusted upward or downward based on a subjective evaluation of an executive officer's performance against individual and team goals. Individual goals were developed from the overall corporate goals for 2011, set forth below:

2011 Corporate Goals

- Enhance Customer Service Respond to our customers by listening, leveraging new systems, updating processes and providing innovative and improved services, products and programs.
- Optimize Generation and Delivery Secure and maintain reliable resources, build or replace infrastructure in a way that meets our customers' needs, promotes environmental stewardship and provides a fair return to investors.
- **Be a Good Neighbor** Embrace our role as a leader to protect and improve our natural gas and electric service, promote energy efficiency initiatives, encourage corporate giving and instill community involvement.
- Value Employees Safety is key; work safely. Value diversity, teamwork and open communication. Support
 employees through technology, process improvement, recognition, training and development. Strive to make PSE a
 great place to work.
- Own it Conduct ourselves and our business in a manner that is ethical, responsible and meets or exceeds any internal
 or external compliance obligation. Take personal responsibility for meeting customer needs while using company
 resources and facilities wisely.
- Continue to Learn and Grow Strive to get better at what we do every day. Continuously examine past practices, challenge our assumptions and apply lessons learned to improve our efforts on behalf of customers and the community.

Achievement of the corporate goals for 2011 was above target for EBITDA, and at target for SQI achievement. PSE EBITDA was \$1,022.5 million, and SQI achievement was 9 out of 9, leading to a funding level for 2011 of 121.2%.

For 2011, individual target incentive levels for this plan varied by executive officer as a percentage of base salary as shown in the table below, based on the individual executive's level of responsibility within the Company. With the exception of Ms. Mellies, who received a promotion during 2011, target annual incentive opportunities for participating executives

remained unchanged from 2010 levels. The maximum incentive for exceptional performance in this plan is twice the target incentive. As described above, an executive's individual award amount can be increased or decreased based on a subjective assessment by the CEO (or the Board in the case of the CEO) of the executive's individual and team performance results. After considering performance on individual and team goals, which were determined to be met by each executive, the CEO did not recommend any adjustment to award amounts for the Named Executive Officers (below the CEO) in 2011, except to recommend an increase in award amount for Mr. Gaines' individual performance in 2011, taking into consideration he served as acting Principal Financial Officer for much of the year. The Board approved the amounts shown below, which were paid in March 2012. Mr. Reynolds retired with two months of service in 2011 and was not eligible for an annual incentive award. Mr. Valdman voluntarily terminated employment during 2011 and as a result forfeited eligibility for payment of a 2011 annual incentive award.

	TARGET INCENTIVE		2011 ACTUAL
	(% OF BASE	2011 ACTUAL	INCENTIVE
Name	Salary)	INCENTIVE PAID	(% OF BASE SALARY)
Kimberly J. Harris	85%	\$ 741,744	103%
Daniel A. Doyle*	Not eligible	0	0%
Eric M. Markell	60%	242,158	65%
Susan McLain	45%	160,348	55%
Paul M. Wiegand	45%	147,258	55%
Marla D. Mellies	45%	144,531	55%
Donald E. Gaines	40%	117,855	53%
Stephen P. Reynolds**	Not eligible	0	0%
Bertrand A. Valdman**	60%	0	0%

^{*} Mr. Doyle joined PSE in November 2011 and was not eligible for a 2011 annual incentive. Mr. Doyle has a target incentive of 45% of base salary in 2012.

In addition to the annual incentive program, the Named Executive Officers, other than Mr. Reynolds and Mr. Doyle, were eligible to receive merger performance bonuses in 2011 under the terms of their Executive Employment Agreements. (See the section Post-Termination Benefits below.)

Annual Incentive Plan for 2012

During 2011, Company management recommended and the Board approved one change to the annual incentive plan to be effective with the 2012 performance year. To emphasize the Company's continued commitment to employee safety, the Company added a new safety performance measure to the annual incentive plan funding for 2012. The employee safety measure will function like the 9 SQIs in determining the funding of the incentive plan. In 2012 the annual incentive funding table will require achievement of all 9 SQIs and achievement of the safety measure for 100% funding of the plan at target EBITDA performance. If the safety measure is missed, annual incentive funding will be decreased by 10%, in the same way as a missed SQI. The safety performance measure contains five targets which must all be satisfied for the safety measure to be met. If the safety measure is not achieved, annual incentive funding will be decreased in the same manner as if an SQI measure is not achieved. The five targets are:

- Frontline supervisors receive appropriate safety and health training.
- New employees receive applicable safety and health orientation.
- Actively reduce the risk of ergonomic office injuries.
- Reduce the Company Total Incident Case Rate (TICR) by 4% of the year end 2011 TICR.
- Reduce the Company Lost Workday Case Rate (LWCR) by 15% of the year end 2011 LWCR.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

Long-term incentive compensation opportunities are designed to be competitive with market practices, reward long-term performance and promote retention. Prior to completion of our merger in February 2009, executives received equity awards under the Puget Energy 2005 LTI Plan in the form of performance shares and performance-based restricted stock. Awards generally vested based on the Company achieving a targeted level of performance during a three-year performance cycle.

^{**} As described above, Mr. Reynolds was not eligible for a 2011 annual incentive and Mr. Valdman forfeited eligibility for payment of a 2011 annual incentive.

Upon the merger, all unvested LTI Plan awards accelerated in vesting and became payable in cash in 2009 pursuant to the terms of the LTI Plan. Following our merger, the Company has continued the basic design of the pre-merger LTI Plan, including retention of three-year performance cycles that begin each year. Since the Company no longer has publicly listed stock and no longer grants equity awards to its employees, LTI Plan awards are now denominated in units and are settled in cash if threshold performance measures are met. The Board approved a change in performance measures for the 2012-2014 performance cycle, which is described below. The remainder of this section discusses currently outstanding LTI Plan grants under the 2009-2011, 2010-2012 and 2011-2013 performance cycles. The LTI Plan grants for the 2009-2011 performance cycle vested at the end of 2011 and will be paid in cash in March 2012 based on achieved performance as described below.

The Committee determines the number of LTI Plan units granted to each executive by evaluating the actual payment and forecast target payment of long-term incentive awards of our market comparator group for comparable levels of responsibility. The Committee generally does not consider previously granted awards or the level of accrued value from prior or other programs when granting annual incentive awards or making new LTI Plan grants. Each year's grant is primarily viewed in the context of the compensation opportunity needed to maintain the Company's competitive position relative to the comparator group.

Target LTI Plan awards are calculated based on a percentage of an executive's annual base salary, taking into account the executive's level of responsibility within the Company. Target LTI Plan awards for the 2011-2013 performance cycle were 170% of base salary for Ms. Harris; 110% for Mr. Valdman and Mr. Markell; 95% for Ms. McLain, Mr. Wiegand and Ms. Mellies; and 50% for Mr. Gaines. Mr. Reynolds was not granted a 2011-2013 LTI Plan grant because he retired effective March 1, 2011. Mr. Valdman's 2011-2013 LTI Plan grant was forfeited when he voluntarily terminated employment on March 11, 2011. In connection with his hire on November 28, 2011, Mr. Doyle received a pro-rata grant of 2011-2013 LTI Plan units based on a target of 95% of base salary. Details of the number of units granted and expected value can be found in the "2011 Grants of Plan-Based Awards" table below. With the exception of Ms. Mellies, who was promoted during 2011, target LTI Plan award opportunities as a percentage of base salary remained unchanged from 2010 levels for the continuing Named Executive Officers.

Except for the CEO, 50% of each grant of LTI Plan units is allocated to achievement of SQIs only (SQI component) and 50% is allocated to achievement of a combination of SQIs and Total Return (Total Return component). The CEO's LTI Plan units are allocated 30% to the SQI component and 70% to the Total Return component to place additional weight on financial measures, consistent with our comparator group companies. The total number of LTI Plan units granted to a Named Executive Officer is equal to the applicable percentage of salary (converted to dollars) divided by the per unit value at the beginning of the performance cycle. For the 2011-2013 performance cycle, the initial per unit value was \$33.80.

The total amount payable for a performance cycle is calculated at the end of the performance cycle based on the actual level of achievement of SQIs and Total Return as well as the per unit dollar value at the end of the performance cycle. Unit value is measured at the Puget Holdings LLC level and is re-calculated each year based on the change in Total Return for the prior year as measured by an independent auditing firm. Total Return reflects the annual change in the value of the Company plus any distributions made to investors. For any award to be earned in a performance cycle, average SQI results must meet or exceed 80% accomplishment of the applicable SQIs, which for the 2011-2013 performance cycle are the same SQIs set forth above under "2011 Annual Incentive Compensation." Executives generally must be employed on the payment date to receive a cash payment under the LTI Plan, except in the event of retirement at normal retirement age or approved early retirement, disability or death.

The tables and points below summarize the performance measures and design of the LTI Plan grants for the current performance cycles.

		TOTAL RETURN
GRANT CYCLE	SQI COMPONENT	COMPONENT**
2011-2013*	50%	50%
2010-2012*	50%	50%
2009-2011*	50%	50%

^{*} CEO grants are split 30% SQI Component and 70% Total Return Component.

^{**} Total Return Component is determined based on a combination of Total Return and 3-year average SQI results.

The table below shows the percentage of LTI Plan target awards that will be earned based on three-year average SQI achievement.

SERVICE (DITALITY I	NDICES ('SOIS'	COMPONENT	TARIF
DERVICE	JUMLIII	MDICES (\mathbf{v}	COMI ONEMI	IADLE

SQI RESULT, 3 YEAR AVERAGE	PERCENTAGE OF LTI PLAN TARGET AWARD
80% achievement or above *	100%
Below 80%	0%

^{*} For 2009 in the 2009-2011 performance cycle, SQIs results were measured against 10 SQIs. 9 SQIs applied for the remaining years in the 2009-2011 performance cycle and 9 SQIs currently apply to the 2011-2013 and 2010-2012 performance cycles.

The table below shows the percentage of LTI Plan target awards under the Total Return Component that will be earned based on three-year performance. Percentages will be interpolated if performance falls between the values shown below.

TOTAL RETURN COMPONENT TABLE

PERCENTAGE OF LTI PLAN TARGET AWARD									
ANNUALIZED	ANNUALIZED 100% SQI 90% SQI 80% SQI <80% SQI								
3 YEAR RETURN	(3 YEAR AVERAGE)	(3 YEAR AVERAGE)	(3 YEAR AVERAGE)	(3 YEAR AVERAGE)					
15% or more	210%	175%	155%	0%					
14%	180%	150%	130%	0%					
13%	150%	125%	105%	0%					
12%	120%	100%	80%	0%					
11%	80%	65%	50%	0%					
10%	40%	30%	20%	0%					
<10%	0%	0%	0%	0%					

SQI Component (50%):

- A target number of units are granted under this component at the beginning of a three-year performance cycle that will be paid in cash to the participant if the Company achieves the targeted level of 80% of SQIs during the performance cycle. The actual award is paid at target level if an average of at least 80% of SQIs are satisfied during the performance cycle, but is not paid if the average is below 80%. If targeted SQI performance is met, the amount payable is equal to the product of the target number of units granted under this component and the per unit value at the end of the performance cycle.
- If 80% of SQIs are met during the performance cycle, but the Total Return threshold of 10% is not met, the SQI component will still be paid at target.

Total Return Component (50%):

- A target number of units are granted under this component at the beginning of a three-year performance cycle that will be paid in cash to the participant if the Company achieves the targeted level of Total Return and SQI performance during the three-year performance cycle. The actual award paid is based on Company performance relative to target, subject to a minimum threshold level of performance of 10% for Total Return (based on average Total Return over the performance cycle) and average SQI achievement of 80%.
- The LTI Plan unit value is determined annually by applying the Total Return for each year to the prior year's unit price.
- At the completion of the performance cycle, if the Total Return component is paid, the participant receives a cash
 payment equal to the number of units earned under this component based on performance during the performance
 cycle multiplied by the unit price at the end of the performance cycle.
- If the Total Return component exceeds 10% annualized 3-year return, but the SQI threshold is not met, the Total Return component will not be paid.

LTI Plan Performance of Outstanding Awards

2011-2013 Performance Cycle:

- Award calculation is based on the full three-year performance cycle, so no award payment calculations will be made until after 2013.
- Performance on the SQI component for 2011 was at 9 out of 9, which if continued for the remaining two years of the performance cycle would mean that the SQI component would pay based on the target number of units granted to a Named Executive Officer.
- Performance on the Total Return component during 2011 was 6.6%, below the three-year average threshold needed for payment.

2010-2012 Performance Cycle:

- Award calculation is based on the full three-year performance cycle, so no award payment calculations will be made until after 2012.
- Performance on the SQI component of the grant was at 9 out of 9 in both 2010 and 2011, which if continued for
 the remaining year of the performance cycle would mean that the SQI component would pay based on the target
 number of units granted to a Named Executive Officer.
- Performance on the Total Return component during 2010 was 7.1% and during 2011 was 6.6%, for a combined two-year average of 6.8%, below the three-year average threshold needed for payment.

Following his retirement, Mr. Reynolds received a pro-rated payment of \$150,204 for 2010 under the 2010-2012 performance cycle, based on actual performance for 2010 under the performance cycle and a per unit price of \$33.80, as determined as of the end of 2010.

2009-2011 Performance Cycle:

The 2009-2011 performance cycle has now ended and had the performance described below. Amounts payable as a result of award vesting are shown in the table below.

- Performance on the SQI component of the grant was at 9 out of 10 in 2009, or 90%, and 9 out of 9 in 2010 and 2011, or 100%, for a combined two-year result of 97.5%, which qualified for payment of the SQI component based on the target number of units granted to a Named Executive Officer.
- Performance on the Total Return component during 2009 was 5.2%, during 2010 was 7.1% and during 2011 was 6.6%, for a combined three-year average result of 6.3%, below the three-year average threshold needed for payment.

	TARGET	TOTAL RETURN		
	INCENTIVE	COMPONENT	SQI COMPONENT	2009-2011
	(% OF BASE	Units	Units	ACTUAL LTIP
NAME	SALARY) 1	GRANTED/PAID	GRANTED/PAID	PAID ²
Kimberly J. Harris	110%	6,600/0	6,600/0	\$ 237,798
Daniel A. Doyle ³	Not eligible	0	0	0
Eric M. Markell	110%	6,600/0	6,600/6,600	237,798
Susan McLain	95%	4,507/0	4,507/4,507	162,387
Paul M. Wiegand	50%	2,601/0	2,601/2,601	93,714
Marla D. Mellies	50%	2,433/0	2,433/2,433	87,661
Donald E. Gaines	50%	1,769/0	1,769/1,769	63,737
Stephen P. Reynolds ⁴				0
Bertrand A. Valdman ⁵	110%	7,242/0	7,242/0	0

Target LTI Plan Incentive is a percentage of 2009 base salary when the grants were made in 2009. Ms. Harris, Mr. Wiegand, and Ms. Mellies had lower LTI Plan targets in 2009 compared to their 2011 targets.

Long Term Incentive Plan Grants for 2012-2014

As described above, the LTI Plan structure from 2009 through 2011 maintained the basic design which had been in place prior to the Company's merger in 2009. Effective with the 2012-2014 LTI Plan grants, the Board has approved a modification to the performance measures of the LTI Plan. Under this modification, SQI achievement has been removed as a performance measure from the 2012-2014 performance cycle in favor of two financial performance measures, Total Return and Return on Equity. Other aspects of the program, such as three-year performance cycles and the target levels of grants, remain unchanged. The Board modified the performance measures and related levels of achievement percentages that trigger payouts in order to ensure that the LTI Plan continues to be viewed as an incentive by the participants and aligns the interests of participants with those of our investors.

The Total Return performance measure continues as a key measure of the LTI Plan, with the revised achievement percentage scale shown in the table below. This modified scale is intended to expand the range of performance that will trigger plan funding. The SQI factor of the Total Return component has been removed to make the plan easier to understand and to remove the duplication of rewards based on SQI performance, since SQI performance is already factored into the annual incentive plan.

A new Return on Equity (ROE) scale has been added as the second LTI Plan performance measure. It replaces the SQI performance measure and means that both LTI Plan performance measures are financial in nature. The Board felt that ROE was an appropriate measure to add, since Company management has been tracking ROE and seeking to improve Company results on this measure. The ROE performance is measured each year by comparing actual ROE to the approved financial plan's ROE for that year. The average of each year during the three-year performance cycle will determine the final ROE award. The ROE scale is shown below. With the implementation of solely financial performance measures, the President and CEO position will receive grants of LTI Plan units with a 50%/50% split between Total Return and ROE, like all other LTI Plan participants. Named Executive Officers received 2012-2014 LTI Plan grants of units in the amounts and at grant values shown in the footnote to the Summary Compensation Table – 2011 Grants of Plan Based Awards.

^{2 2009-2011} Actual LTI Plan amount payable is unit price \$(36.03) multiplied by \$QI Component units. The Total Return Component Units did not meet minimum performance threshold for payment.

³ Mr. Doyle joined PSE in November 2011 and was not eligible for a 2009-2011 LTIP.

⁴ Mr. Reynolds received payment of his 2009-2011 LTIP grant on a pro-rata basis during March 2011 after his retirement, an amount totaling \$316,030.

Mr. Valdman forfeited his 2009-2011 LTIP grant when he voluntarily terminated in March 2011.

TOTAL RETURN COMPONENT TABLE

TOTAL TELEFORM COMM COMMANDE					
TOTAL RETURN, 3 YEAR AVERAGE*	PERCENTAGE OF LTI PLAN TARGET AWARD				
Greater than 15%	200%				
14%	180%				
13%	160%				
12%	140%				
11%	120%				
10%	100%				
9%	80%				
8%	60%				
7%	40%				
6%	20%				
Less than 6%	0%				

^{*} Results between rows will be interpolated.

RETURN ON EQUITY (ROE) COMPONENT TABLE

REPORT OF EXCELL TRABE					
RETURN ON EQUITY COMPARED TO TARGET*	PERCENTAGE OF LTI PLAN TARGET AWARD				
Target + 250 bps or more	200%				
Target + 200 bps	180%				
Target + 150 bps	160%				
Target + 100 bps	140%				
Target + 50 bps	120%				
Target	100%				
Target – 50 bps	80%				
Target – 100 bps	60%				
Target – 150 bps	40%				
Target – 200 bps	20%				
Target – more than 200 bps	0%				

^{*}BPS is basis points. Results between rows will be interpolated.

Retirement Plans — SERP and Retirement Plan

The Company maintains the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) for executives to provide a benefit that is coordinated with the tax-qualified Retirement Plan for Employees of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Retirement Plan). Without the addition of the SERP, these executives would receive lower percentages of replacement income during retirement than other employees. All the Named Executive Officers except Mr. Reynolds participate in the SERP. When Mr. Reynolds was hired, he elected to receive an annual contribution to his account in the Deferred Compensation Plan for Key Employees in lieu of participating in the SERP, as described in the following paragraph. Additional information regarding the SERP and the Retirement Plan is shown in the "2011 Pension Benefits" table.

Deferred Compensation Plan

The currently serving Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan for Key Employees (Deferred Compensation Plan). The Deferred Compensation Plan provides executives an opportunity to defer up to 100% of base salary, annual incentive bonus and LTI Plan awards, plus receive additional Company contributions made by PSE into an account that has three investment tracking fund choices. The funds mirror performance in major asset classes of bonds, stocks, and an interest crediting fund that changes rates quarterly. Prior to 2012, the interest crediting fund was based on corporate bond rates, but effective for deferrals after December 31, 2011, it will be based on a money market rate. The Deferred Compensation Plan is intended to allow the executives to defer current income, without being limited by the Internal Revenue Code contribution limitations for 401(k) plans and therefore have a deferral opportunity similar to other employees as a percentage of eligible compensation. The Company contributions are also intended to restore benefits not available to executives under PSE's tax-qualified plans due to Internal Revenue Code limitations on compensation and benefits applicable to those plans. Under the terms of Mr. Reynolds' employment agreement, he additionally received an annual Company contribution to his Deferred Compensation Plan account equal to 15% of the base salary and annual

incentive payment he received during the prior year. Additional information regarding the Deferred Compensation Plan is shown in the "2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" table.

Post-Termination Benefits

The Company has entered into employment agreements with certain of its current executive officers that provide for certain payments and benefits if an executive's employment is terminated or terminates for certain reasons, such as following a change in control. The Company entered into these agreements for two primary reasons. First, many executives when joining a new company require a level of assurance that they will receive pay in the event of a termination of employment following a change in control after they join the company. Second, the Company provided these agreements so that executives are focused on the Company's ongoing operations and are not distracted by the employment uncertainty that can arise in the event of a change in control. The Committee periodically reviews existing change in control and severance arrangements for the comparator group considering benchmarking information provided by Towers Watson. Based on this information, the Committee believes that the arrangements generally provide benefits that are similar to those of the comparator group for longer tenured executives, but is not extending them to newly hired executives.

Effective March 30, 2009, the Company entered into Executive Employment Agreements with the Named Executive Officers, except Mr. Reynolds and Mr. Doyle (who was not then employed by the Company), which amended and restated existing Amended and Restated Change of Control Agreements between the Company and each of the executives. The Executive Employment Agreements provided for an employment period of two years following the February 6, 2009 completion of the merger and generally provided benefits similar to those under the previous Change of Control Agreements. In addition, the agreements provided for a merger performance bonus equal to 100% of the executives' annual base salary, payable on or shortly following each of the first and second anniversaries of the completion of the merger if the Company achieves specified minimum SQI performance goals established by the Committee (for 2010, 80% of SQIs or better) and the executive remains employed at the Company until the anniversary of the merger for which payment is made. In February 2011, Ms. Harris, Mr. Markell, Ms. McLain, Mr. Wiegand, Ms. Mellies, Mr. Gaines, and Mr. Valdman each received merger performance bonuses in the amounts set forth in the Summary Compensation Table. Under the terms of the employment agreements, the executives are not eligible to receive additional merger performance bonuses in future years. Since the 2009 merger, the Company has ceased entering into these agreements with new executive officers.

Mr. Reynolds' employment agreement terminated effective February 28, 2011 in connection with his retirement as CEO. The "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" section describes the current post-termination arrangements with the Named Executive Officers as well as other plans and arrangements that would provide benefits on termination of employment or a change in control, and the estimated potential incremental payments upon a termination of employment or change in control based on an assumed termination or change in control date of December 30, 2011, the last business day of 2011.

Other Compensation

In addition to base salary and annual and long-term incentive award opportunities, the Company also provides the Named Executive Officers with benefits and perquisites targeted to competitive practices. The Company may provide payments upon hiring a new executive to help offset the executive's relocation expenses, a practice needed to attract qualified candidates from other areas of the country. In connection with the November 2011 hire of Mr. Doyle, the Company provided a payment for relocation expenses, as described in the Other Compensation Table to the Summary Compensation Table below. The terms of the payment require Mr. Doyle to pay it back if he resigns or is terminated for cause within twenty-four months of hire. The current executives participate in the same group health and welfare plans as other employees. Company vice presidents and above, including the Named Executive Officers, are eligible for additional disability and life insurance benefits. The executives are also eligible to receive reimbursement for financial planning, tax preparation, legal services, business club memberships and executive physicals up to an annual limit. The reimbursement for financial planning, tax preparation and legal services is provided to allow executives to concentrate on their business responsibilities. Business club memberships are provided to allow access for business meetings and business events at club facilities and executives are required to reimburse the Company for individual use of club facilities. These perquisites generally do not make up a significant portion of executive compensation and, other than the relocation amount paid to Mr. Doyle, do not exceed \$10,000 in total for each Named Executive Officer in 2011.

Relationship among Compensation Elements

A number of compensation elements increase in absolute dollar value as a result of increases to other elements. Base salary increases translate into higher dollar value incentive opportunity for annual and long-term incentives, because each plan operates with a target level award set as a percentage of base salary. Base salary increases also increase the level of retirement benefits, as do actual annual incentive plan payments. Some key compensation elements are excluded from consideration when determining other elements of pay. Retirement benefits exclude LTI Plan payments in the calculation of qualified retirement (pension and 401(k)) and SERP benefits.

Impact of Accounting Treatment of Compensation

The accounting treatment of compensation generally has not been a factor in determining the amounts of compensation for our executive officers. However, the Company considers the accounting impact of various program designs to balance the potential cost to the Company with the benefit/value to the executive.

COMPENSATION AND LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Board delegates responsibility to the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee to establish and oversee the Company's executive compensation program. Each member of the Committee served during all of 2011.

The Committee members listed below have reviewed and discussed the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" with the Company's management. Based on this review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board has approved, that the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for filing with the SEC.

Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of Puget Energy, Inc. Puget Sound Energy, Inc.

Mark Wiseman, Chair Christopher Leslie Herbert B. Simon (PSE Only) Christopher Trumpy

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following information is furnished for the year ended December 31, 2011 (and for prior years where applicable) with respect to the Named Executive Officers during 2011. The positions listed below are at Puget Energy and PSE, except that Mr. Markell, Ms. McLain, Mr. Wiegand, and Ms. Mellies are executives of PSE only. Positions listed are those held by the Named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2011. Salary and incentive compensation includes amounts deferred at the executive's election.

NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	SALARY	Bonus	STOCK AWARDS	OPTION AWARD			ALL OTHER COMPENSATION ³	Total
Kimberly J. Harris President and Chief Executive Officer(4)	2011 2010 2009	\$ 711,833 506,667 360,000	\$ \$ 15,638	Ψ.	\$ \$	681,173	\$ 857,618 445,997 222,948	\$ 25,387 25,935 270,937	3,254,380 1,659,772 1,025,907
Daniel A. Doyle Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (5)	2011	\$ 23,864	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 6,685	\$ 160,746	\$ 191,295
Eric M. Markell Senior Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer and Former Chief Financial Officer	2011 2010	\$ 367,958 360,000	\$	\$ 	\$	\$ 839,956 534,744	\$ 477,182 423,394	\$ 45,189 42,871	\$ 1,730,285 1,361,009
(6)	2009	360,000	15,638			156,384	309,648	289,672	1,131,342
Susan McLain Senior Vice President, Delivery Operations (7)	2011	\$ 292,086	\$	\$	\$	\$ 607,360	\$ 289,698	\$ 32,303	\$ 1,221,447
Paul M. Wiegand Senior Vice President, Energy Operations (8)	2011	\$267,963	\$	\$	\$	\$ 500,994	\$ 306,711	\$ 34,220	\$ 1,109,888
Marla D. Mellies Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer (9)	2011	\$ 260,554	\$	\$	\$	\$475,417	\$ 167,110	\$ 24,588	\$ 927,669
Donald E. Gaines Vice President Finance and Treasurer and Former Acting Principal Financial Officer	2011 2010	\$ 219,198 212,175	\$ 10,714 	\$	\$ 	\$383,053 280,835	\$ 195,936 156,474	\$ 24,617 22,570	\$ 833,518 672,054
Stephen P. Reynolds	2011	\$168,438	\$	\$	\$	\$ 466,234	\$ 64,630	\$ 219,358	\$ 918,660
Former Chief Executive Officer (10)	2010 2009	825,000 825,000	29,671			567,311 507,705	69,423 69,885	341,758 6,595,041	1,833,163 7,997,631
Bertrand A. Valdman Former Senior Vice President and Former Chief Financial	2011	\$ 96,771	\$	\$	\$	\$395,003	\$ 197,178	\$ 21,764	\$ 710,716
Officer (11)	2010 2009	395,000 395,000				586,733 154,429	247,187 158,380	47,163 373,521	1,276,083 1,081,330

For 2011, reflects annual cash incentive compensation paid under the 2011 Goals and Incentive Plan, cash incentive compensation paid under the LTI Plan for the 2009-2011 performance cycle and the second and final year of merger performance bonuses payable to each of the executives, except Mr. Doyle and Mr. Reynolds who were not eligible for a merger performance bonus. Cash incentive amounts were paid in early 2012 or deferred at the executive's election. The 2011 Goals and Incentive Plan and the LTI Plan are described in further detail under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," including the individual amounts paid to each Named Executive Officer in early 2012. Merger performance bonus amounts were: Ms. Harris, \$680,000; Mr. Markell, \$360,000; Ms. McLain, \$284,625; Mr. Wiegand, \$260,022; Ms. Mellies, \$243,225; Mr. Gaines, \$212,175; and Mr. Valdman, \$395,003. LTI Plan amounts paid to Mr. Reynolds are described in footnote 10 below.

Reflects the aggregate increase in the actuarial present value of the executive's accumulated benefit under all pension plans during the year. The amounts are determined using interest rate and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in the Company's financial statements and include amounts which the executive may not currently be entitled to receive because such amounts are not vested. Information regarding these pension plans is set forth in further detail under "2011 Pension Benefits." Mr. Reynolds did not participate in the SERP, and his accumulated benefit shown is only from the qualified pension plan. The change in pension value amounts for 2011 are: Ms. Harris, \$855,408; Mr. Doyle, \$6,685; Mr. Markell, \$473,602; Ms. McLain, \$281,439; Mr. Wiegand, \$306,042; Ms. Mellies, \$166,862; Mr. Gaines, \$193,576; Mr. Reynolds, \$52,350; and Mr. Valdman, \$196,888. Also included in this column are the portions of Deferred Compensation Plan earnings that are considered above market. These amounts for 2011 are: Ms. Harris, \$2,210; Mr. Doyle, \$0; Mr. Markell, \$3,580; Ms. McLain, \$8,259; Mr. Wiegand, \$669; Ms. Mellies, \$248; Mr. Gaines, \$2,360; Mr. Reynolds, \$12,280; and Mr. Valdman, \$290. See the "2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" table for all Deferred Compensation Plan earnings.

- ³ All Other Compensation for 2011 is shown in detail in the table below.
- ⁴ Ms. Harris was promoted to President and CEO from President on March 1, 2011.
- ⁵ Mr. Doyle joined PSE and Puget Energy as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on November 28, 2011.
- 6 Mr. Markell was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer in February 2011 and ceased service as Chief Financial Officer at that time. Mr. Markell retired effective January 1, 2012.
- ⁷ Ms. McLain has worked at PSE since April 1988.
- 8 Mr. Wiegand has worked at PSE since June 1977.
- 9 Ms. Mellies has worked at PSE since October 2005.
- 10 Mr. Reynolds retired as CEO on March 1, 2011. Mr. Reynolds received pro-rata payments of his 2009-2011 and 2010-2012 LTI Plan grants following his retirement, \$316,030 and \$150,204 respectively. The total of these payments is shown in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.
- 11 Mr. Valdman voluntarily resigned on March 11, 2011.

Detail of All Other Compensation

				REGISTRANT CONTRIBUTIONS	
				TO DEFINED	
	PERQUISITES		PAYMENTS/	CONTRIBUTION	
	AND OTHER		ACCRUALS ON	AND DEFERRED	
	PERSONAL	TAX	TERMINATION	COMPENSATION	
NAME	BENEFITS 1	REIMBURSEMENTS	PLANS	PLANS ²	OTHER 3
Kimberly J. Harris	\$ 3,653	\$	\$	\$ 17,150	\$ 4,584
Daniel A. Doyle	0			1,432	159,314
Eric M. Markell	3,895			35,013	6,281
Susan McLain	0			26,192	6,111
Paul M. Wiegand	3,829			24,208	6,183
Marla D. Mellies	1,235			21,107	2,246
Donald E. Gaines	3,523			19,394	1,700
Stephen P. Reynolds	848			217,056	1,454
Bertrand A. Valdman	912			19,760	1,092

Annual reimbursement for financial planning, tax planning, and/or legal planning, up to a maximum of \$5,000 for Ms. Harris and \$2,500 for the other Named Executive Officers. Club use is primarily for business purposes, but Company club expense is included when the executive is also able to use the club for personal use. Expenses for personal club use are directly paid by the executive, not PSE.

Includes Company contributions during 2011 to PSE's Investment Plan (a tax qualified 401(k) plan) and the Deferred Compensation Plan. For Mr. Reynolds, this includes the Company contribution to the Deferred Compensation Plan equal to 15% of Mr. Reynolds' base salary and annual incentive for the prior year, \$199,906, which is described in more detail in the "2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" section. Other Company contributions to the Deferred Compensation Plan are as follows: Ms. Harris, \$0; Mr. Doyle, \$0; Mr. Markell, \$18,478; Ms. McLain, \$14,679; Mr. Wiegand, \$9,360; Ms. Mellies, \$3,975; Mr. Gaines, \$4,687; Mr. Reynolds, \$0; and Mr. Valdman, \$2,610. Company 401(k) contributions are as follows: Ms. Harris, \$17,150; Mr. Doyle, \$1,432; Mr. Markell, \$16,535; Ms. McLain, \$11,513; Mr. Wiegand, \$14,848; Ms. Mellies, \$17,132; Mr. Gaines, \$14,707; Mr. Reynolds, \$17,150; and Mr. Valdman, \$17,150.

Reflects the value of imputed income for life insurance and Company paid premiums on supplemental disability insurance. For Mr. Doyle, includes \$159,905 in payments related to relocation.

2011 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table presents information regarding 2011 grants of non-equity annual incentive awards and LTI Plan awards, including, as applicable, the range of potential payouts for the awards. Mr. Reynolds did not receive grants in either plan. Mr. Valdman received grants, but forfeited them when he resigned.

ESTIMATED FUTURE PAYOUTS UNDER NON-EQUITY
INCENTIVE PLAN AWARDS

		INCENTIVE PLAN AWARDS				
Name	Grant Date	NUMBER OF UNITS GRANTED	THRESHOLD	Target	Maximum	
Kimberly J. Harris Annual Incentive ¹ LTI Plan 2011-2013 ^{2,4}	1/1/2011 3/4/2011	36,213	\$ 214,200 367,200	\$ 612,000 1,719,631	\$ 1,224,000 3,294,944	
Daniel A. Doyle Annual Incentive ¹ LTI Plan 2011-2013 ^{2,4}	n/a At hire	n/a 8,474.10	\$ 143,312	\$ 402,406	\$ 675,205	
Eric M. Markell Annual Incentive ¹ LTI Plan 2011-2013 ²	1/1/2011 3/4/2011	12,041.40	\$ 77,700 203,500	\$ 222,000 571,805	\$ 444,000 959,442	
Susan McLain Annual Incentive ¹ LTI Plan 2011-2013 ^{2,4}	1/1/2011 3/4/2011	8,263.40	\$ 46,305 139,650	\$ 132,300 392,396	\$ 264,600 658,409	
Paul M. Wiegand Annual Incentive ¹ LTI Plan 2011-2013 ^{2,4}	1/1/2011 3/4/2011	7,588.80	\$ 42,525 128,251	\$ 121,500 360,366	\$ 243,000 604,665	
Marla D. Mellies Annual Incentive ¹ LTI Plan 2011-2013 ^{2,4}	1/1/2011 3/4/2011	7,448.20	\$ 41,738 125,875	\$ 119,250 353,689	\$ 238,500 593,462	
Donald E. Gaines Annual Incentive ¹ LTI Plan 2011-2013 ^{2,4}	1/1/2011 3/4/2011	3,269.20	\$ 30,940 55,249	\$ 88,400 155,243	\$ 176,800 260,485	
Bertrand A. Valdman Annual Incentive ³ LTI Plan 2011-2013 ^{2, 3}	1/1/2011 3/4/2011	13,994.10	\$ 82,950 236,500	\$ 237,000 664,532	\$ 474,000 1,115,031	

As described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the 2011 Goals and Incentive Plan had dual funding triggers in 2011 of \$882.9 million EBITDA and SQI performance of 6/9. Payment would be \$0 if either trigger is not met. The threshold estimate assumes \$882.9 million EBITDA and SQI performance at 6/9. The target estimate assumes \$981.0 million EBITDA and SQI performance at 9/9. The maximum estimate assumes \$1,324.35 million EBITDA or higher and SQI performance at 9/9. Mr. Doyle joined PSE in November 2011 and was not eligible to participate in the 2011 Goals and Incentive Plan.

As described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," LTI Plan grants were allocated between an SQI component and a Total Return component. Payments are calculated based on the average three-year performance of SQIs and Total Return at Puget Holdings LLC and the unit value at the end of the performance cycle. Threshold estimate assumes that SQI results average 80% achievement, Total Return is below 10%, and ending unit value is \$33.80. Target estimate assumes that SQI results average 90%, Total Return averages 12%, and ending unit value is \$47.49. Maximum estimate assumes that SQI results average 100%, Total Return averages 15%, and ending unit value is \$51.41.

³ Mr. Valdman voluntarily resigned in March 2011 and per the terms of the plans forfeited his non-vested grants, including the grants shown here.

⁴ As described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," LTI Plan grants were awarded for the 2012-2014 plan cycle, with the following target number of units and at grant values (calculated as the target number of units multiplied by \$36.03 per unit value). Ms. Harris, 38,690, \$1,394,000; Mr. Doyle, 11,865, \$427,496; Ms. McLain, 7,987, \$287,772; Mr. Wiegand, 7,617, \$274,441; Ms. Mellies, 7,198, \$259,344; and Mr. Gaines, 3,190, \$114,936.

2011 Pension Benefits

The Company and its affiliates maintain two pension plans: the Retirement Plan and the SERP. The following table provides information for each of the Named Executive Officers regarding the actuarial present value of the executive's accumulated benefit and years of credited service under the Retirement Plan and the SERP. The present value of accumulated benefits was determined using interest rate and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in the Company's financial statements. Except as described below in footnote 1, relating to Mr. Reynolds, each of the Named Executive Officers participates in both plans.

			PRESENT VALUE	PAYMENTS
		Number of Years	OF ACCUMULATED	DURING LAST
Name	PLAN NAME	CREDITED SERVICE	BENEFIT 2,3	FISCAL YEAR
Kimberly J. Harris	PSE Retirement Plan	12.7	\$ 221,799	\$
	PSE SERP	12.7	1,893,375	
Daniel A. Doyle	PSE Retirement Plan	0.1	1,907	
	PSE SERP	0.1	4,778	
Eric M. Markell	PSE Retirement Plan	9.4	228,958	
	PSE SERP	9.4	1,837,574	
Susan McLain	PSE Retirement Plan	23.7	381,504	
	PSE SERP	23.7	1,576,260	
Paul M. Wiegand	PSE Retirement Plan	34.5	552,081	
_	PSE SERP	34.5	1,441,598	
Marla D. Mellies	PSE Retirement Plan	6.2	115,658	
	PSE SERP	6.2	430,110	
Donald E. Gaines	PSE Retirement Plan	30.9	492,108	
	PSE SERP	30.9	822,025	
Stephen P. Reynolds ¹	PSE Retirement Plan	9.2	261,205	
	PSE SERP	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bertrand A. Valdman	PSE Retirement Plan	7.3	147,806	
	PSE SERP	7.3	923,398	

Mr. Reynolds participated in the Retirement Plan, but not the SERP. In lieu of participating in the SERP, each year Mr. Reynolds' account under the Deferred Compensation Plan was credited with an amount equal to 15% of his base salary and annual incentive for the preceding year. The value of this deferred compensation account at December 31, 2011 of \$881,207 is also shown in the "2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan" table.

As described in footnote 2 above, the amounts reported for the SERP in this column are actuarial present values, calculated using the actuarial assumptions used for financial reporting purposes. These assumptions are different from those used to calculate the actual amount of benefit payments under the SERP (see text below for a discussion of the actuarial assumptions used to calculate actual payment amounts). The following table shows the estimated lump sum amount that would be paid under the SERP to each SERP-eligible Named Executive Officer at age 62 (without discounting to the present), calculated as if such Named Executive Officer had terminated employment on December 31, 2011. Each SERP-eligible Named Executive Officer (except Dan Doyle) was vested in his or her SERP benefits as of December 31, 2011.

NAME	Lump Sum
Kimberly J. Harris	\$ 3,682,230
Daniel A. Doyle	7,116
Eric M. Markell	1,970,044
Susan McLain	2,147,771
Paul M. Wiegand	1,650,543
Marla D. Mellies	692,082
Donald E. Gaines	1,146,362
Bertrand A. Valdman	1,694,613

The amounts reported in this column for each executive were calculated assuming no future service or pay increases. Present values were calculated assuming no pre-retirement mortality or termination. The values under the Retirement Plan and the SERP are the actuarial present values as of December 31, 2011 of the benefits earned as of that date and payable at normal retirement age (age 65 for the Retirement Plan and age 62 for the SERP). Future cash balance interest credits are 4.0% for 2012 and are assumed to average 5.0% annually thereafter. The discount assumption is 4.75%, and the post-retirement mortality assumption is based on the 2012 417(e) unisex mortality table. Annuity benefits are converted to lump sum amounts at retirement based on assumed future 417(e) segment rates of 2.06%, 5.25% and 6.32% (the 24 month average of the underlying rates as of September 2011). These assumptions are consistent with the ones used for the Retirement Plan and the SERP for financial reporting purposes for 2011. In order to determine the change in pension values for the "Summary Compensation" table, the values of the Retirement Plan and the SERP benefits were also calculated as of December 31, 2010 for the benefits earned as of that date using the assumptions used for financial reporting purposes for 2010. These assumptions included assumed average cash balance interest credits of 4.0% for 2011 and 5.5% for all future years, a discount assumption of 5.15% and post-retirement mortality assumption based on the 2011 417(e) unisex mortality table. Annuity benefits were converted to lump sum amounts at retirement based on assumed future 417(e) segment rates of 3.78%, 6.31% and 6.57% (the 24 month average of the underlying rates as of September 2010). Other assumptions used to determine the value as of December 31, 2010 were the same as those used for December 31, 2011.

Retirement Plan

Under the Retirement Plan, Puget Energy's and PSE's eligible salaried employees, including the Named Executive Officers, accrue benefits in accordance with a cash balance formula, beginning on the later of their date of hire or March 1, 1997. Under this formula, for each calendar year after 1996, age-weighted pay credits are allocated to a bookkeeping account (a Cash Balance Account) for each participant. The pay credits range from 3% to 8% of eligible compensation. Eligible compensation generally includes base salary and bonuses (other than bonuses paid under the LTI Plan and signing, retention and similar bonuses), up to the limit imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. For 2009 through 2011, the Internal Revenue Code compensation limit was \$245,000. In addition, as of March 1, 1997, the Cash Balance Account of each participant who was participating in the Retirement Plan on March 1, 1997 was credited with an amount based on the actuarial present value of that participant's accrued benefit, as of February 28, 1997, under the Retirement Plan's previous formula.

Amounts in the Cash Balance Accounts are also credited with interest. The interest crediting rate is 4% per year or such higher amount as PSE may determine. For 2011 and 2012 the annual interest crediting rate was 4%.

A participant's Retirement Plan benefit generally vests upon the earlier of the participant's completion of three years of active service with Puget Energy, PSE or their affiliates or attainment of age 65 (the Retirement Plan's normal retirement age) while employed by the Company or one of its affiliates. Normal retirement benefit payments begin to a vested participant as of the first day of the month following the later of the participant's termination of employment or attainment of age 65. However, a vested participant may elect to have his or her benefit under the Retirement Plan paid, or commence to be paid, as of the first day of any month commencing after the date on which his or her employment with Puget Energy, PSE and their affiliates terminates. If benefit payments commence prior to the participant's attainment of age 65, then the amount of the monthly payments will be reduced for early commencement to reflect the fact that payments will be made over a longer period of time. This reduction is subsidized — that is, it is less than a pure actuarial reduction. The amount of this reduction is, on average, 0.30% for each of the first 60 months, 0.33% for each of the second 60 months, 0.23% for each of the third 60 months and 0.17% for each of the fourth 60 months that the payment commencement date precedes the participant's 65th birthday. Further reductions apply for each additional month that the payment commencement date precedes the participant's 65th birthday. As of December 31, 2011, all the Named Executive Officers, except Mr. Doyle, were vested in their benefits under the Retirement Plan and, hence, would be eligible to commence benefit payments upon termination.

The normal form of benefit payment for unmarried participants is a straight life annuity providing monthly payments for the remainder of the participant's life, with no death benefits. The straight life annuity payable on or after the participant's normal retirement age is actuarially equivalent to the balance in the participant's Cash Balance Account as of the date of distribution. For married participants, the normal form of benefit payment is an actuarially equivalent joint and 50% survivor annuity with a "pop-up" feature providing reduced monthly payments (as compared to the straight life annuity) for the remainder of the participant's life and, upon the participant's death, monthly payments to the participant's surviving spouse for the remainder of the spouse's life in an amount equal to 50% of the amount being paid to the participant. Under the pop-up feature, if the participant's spouse predeceases the participant, the participant's monthly payments increase to the level that would have been provided under the straight life annuity. In addition, the Retirement Plan provides several other annuity payment options and a lump sum payment option that can be elected by participants. All payment options are actuarially equivalent to the straight life annuity. However, in no event will the amount of the lump sum payment be less than the balance in the participant's Cash Balance Account as of the date of distribution (in some instances the amount of the lump sum distribution may be greater than the balance in the Cash Balance Account due to differences in the mortality table and interest rates used to calculate actuarial equivalency).

If a participant in the cash balance portion of the Retirement Plan dies while employed by the Company or any of its affiliates, then his or her Retirement Plan benefit will be immediately vested. If a vested participant dies before his or her Retirement Plan benefit is paid, or commences to be paid, then the participant's Retirement Plan benefit will be paid to his or her beneficiary(ies). If a participant dies after his or her Retirement Plan benefit has commenced to be paid, then any death benefit will be governed by the form of payment elected by the participant.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The SERP provides a benefit to participating Named Executive Officers that supplements the retirement income provided to the executives by the Retirement Plan. Ms. Harris, Mr. Doyle, Mr. Markell, Ms. McLain, Mr. Wiegand, Ms.

Mellies, and Mr. Gaines participate in the SERP. Mr. Valdman participated in the SERP prior to his voluntary resignation. Mr. Reynolds did not participate in the SERP prior to his retirement.

A participating Named Executive Officer's SERP benefit generally vests upon the executive's completion of five years of participation in the SERP while employed by the Company or any of its affiliates. All the participating Named Executive Officers, except Mr. Doyle, are vested in their SERP benefits. Mr. Valdman voluntarily resigned in March 2011 and will be entitled to receive his SERP benefit beginning at age 62. The monthly benefit payable under the SERP to a vested executive (calculated in the form of a straight life annuity payable for the executive's lifetime commencing at the later of the executive's date of termination or attainment of age 62) is equal to (1) below minus the sum of (2) and (3) below:

- (1) One-twelfth (1/12) of the executive's highest average earnings times the executive's years of credited service (not in excess of 15) times 3-1/3%. For purposes of the SERP, "highest average earnings" means the average of the executive's highest three calendar years of earnings. The three calendar years do not have to be consecutive, but they must be among the last ten calendar years completed by the executive prior to his or her termination. "Earnings" for this purpose include base salary and annual bonus, but do not include long-term incentive compensation. An executive will receive one "year of credited service" for each consecutive 12-month period he or she is employed by the Company or its affiliates. If an executive becomes entitled to disability benefits under PSE's long-term disability plan, then the executive's highest average earnings will be determined as of the date the executive became disabled, but the executive will continue to accrue years of credited service until he or she begins to receive SERP benefits.
- (2) The monthly amount payable (or that would be payable) under the Retirement Plan to the executive in the form of a straight life annuity commencing as of the first day of the month following the later of the executive's date of termination or attainment of age 62, and includes amounts previously paid or segregated pursuant to a qualified domestic relations order.
- (3) The actuarially equivalent monthly amount payable (or that would be payable) to the executive as of the first day of the month following the later of the executive's date of termination or attainment of age 62 from any pension-type rollover accounts within the Deferred Compensation Plan (including the Annual Cash Balance Restoration Account). These accounts are described in more detail in the "2010 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" section.

Normal retirement benefits under the SERP generally are paid or commence to be paid within 90 days following the later of the Named Executive Officer's termination of employment or attainment of age 62. Except as provided below, SERP benefits are normally paid in a lump sum that is equal to the actuarial present value of the monthly straight life annuity benefit. In lieu of the normal form of payment, an executive may elect to receive his or her SERP benefit in the form of monthly installment payments over a period of two to 20 years, in a straight life annuity or in a joint and survivor annuity with a 100%, 75%, 50% or 25% survivor benefit. All payment options are actuarially equivalent to the straight life annuity. Mr. Markell, Ms. McLain, and Mr. Wiegand are the only Named Executive Officers eligible for early retirement benefit payments under the SERP as of December 31, 2011.

If a participating Named Executive Officer dies while employed by Puget Energy, PSE or any of their affiliates or after becoming vested in his or her SERP benefit, but before his or her SERP benefit has commenced to be paid, then the executive's surviving spouse will receive a lump sum benefit equal to the actuarial equivalent of the survivor benefit such spouse would have received under the joint and 50% survivor annuity option. This amount will be calculated assuming the executive would have commenced benefit payments in that form on the first day of the month following the later of his or her death or attainment of age 62, with any applicable reductions for early commencement if the executive dies before age 62. If the executive is not married, then no death benefit will be paid. If an executive dies after his or her SERP benefit has commenced to be paid, then any death benefit will be governed by the form of payment elected by the executive.

2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table provides information for each of the Named Executive Officers regarding aggregate executive and Company contributions and aggregate earnings for 2011 and year-end account balances under the Deferred Compensation Plan.

					AGGREGATE
	EXECUTIVE	REGISTRANT	AGGREGATE	AGGREGATE	BALANCE AT
	CONTRIBUTIONS	CONTRIBUTIONS	EARNINGS	WITHDRAWALS/	DECEMBER 31,
NAME	in 2011 ¹	IN 2011^2	in 2011 ³	DISTRIBUTIONS	2011 6
Kimberly J. Harris	\$	\$	\$ 12,921	\$	\$ 253,442
Daniel A. Doyle					
Eric M. Markell	26,916	18,478	21,145		448,278
Susan McLain	38,899	14,679	48,297		978,209
Paul M. Wiegand	12,510	9,360	24,264		459,866
Marla D. Mellies	3,856	3,975	1,449		35,474
Donald E. Gaines	4,898	3,484	16,218		310,966
Stephen P. Reynolds ⁴		199,906	93,522	3,393,974 4	881,207
Bertrand A. Valdman ⁵	6,580	2,610	4,169	365,597 ⁵	

The amount in this column reflects elective deferrals by the executive of salary, annual incentive compensation or LTI Plan awards paid in 2011. Deferred salary amounts are: Ms. Harris, \$0; Mr. Doyle, \$0; Mr. Markell, \$24,637; Ms. McLain, \$34,251; Mr. Wiegand, \$12,510; Ms. Mellies, \$3,856; Mr. Gaines, \$6,529; Mr. Reynolds, \$0; and Mr. Valdman, \$2,475. Deferred incentive compensation amounts are: Ms. Harris, \$0; Mr. Doyle, \$0; Mr. Markell, \$2,280; Ms. McLain, \$4,648; Mr. Wiegand, \$0; Ms. Mellies, \$0; Mr. Gaines, \$0; Mr. Reynolds, \$0; and Mr. Valdman, \$4,105. The amounts are also included in the applicable column of the "Summary Compensation" table for 2011.

Of the amounts in this column, the following amounts have also been reported in the "Summary Compensation" table for 2011, 2010, and 2009

	REPORTED	REPORTED	REPORTED
Name	FOR 2011	FOR 2010	FOR 2009
Kimberly J. Harris	\$ 2,210	\$ 1,979	\$ 3,671
Daniel A. Doyle			
Eric M. Markell	48,974	46,901	58,122
Susan McLain	61,837		
Paul M. Wiegand	22,539		
Marla D. Mellies	8,079		
Donald E. Gaines	13,576	10,880	
Stephen P. Reynolds	212,186	428,218	512,237
Bertrand A. Valdman	9,480	48,295	63,211

Deferred Compensation Plan

The Named Executive Officers are eligible to participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan and may defer up to 100% of base salary, annual incentive compensation and LTI Plan grants. In addition, each year, executives are eligible to receive Company contributions to restore benefits not available to them under the Company's tax-qualified plans due to limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. The Annual Investment Plan Restoration Amount equals the additional matching and any other employer contribution under the 401(k) plan that would have been credited to an electing executive's 401(k) plan account if the Internal Revenue Code limitations were not in place and if deferrals under the Deferred Compensation Plan were instead made to the 401(k) plan. The Annual Cash Balance Restoration Amount equals the actuarial equivalent of any reductions in an executive's accrued benefit under the Retirement Plan due to Internal Revenue Code limitations or as a result of deferrals under the Deferred Compensation Plan. An executive must generally be employed on the last day of the year to receive these Company contributions, unless he or she retires or dies during the year in which case the Company will contribute a prorated amount.

The amount reported in this column reflects contributions by PSE consisting of the Annual Investment Plan Restoration Amount and Annual Cash Balance Restoration Amount described below. For Mr. Reynolds, the amount also includes \$199,906 in additional contributions by PSE to the Deferred Compensation Plan in lieu of Mr. Reynolds' participation in the SERP. These amounts are also included in the total amounts shown in the All Other Compensation column of the "Summary Compensation" table for 2011.

³ The amount in this column for each executive reflects the change in value of investment tracking funds. Above market earnings on these amounts are included in the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column of the "Summary Compensation" table for 2011.

⁴ Mr. Reynolds retired on March 1, 2011 and received a distribution of a portion of his deferred account, per his prior election. The December 31, 2011 balance shown for Mr. Reynolds will be distributed in accordance with his prior election.

⁵ Mr. Valdman voluntarily resigned in March 2011 and per the terms of the plan for a participant not eligible for retirement, received a distribution of the entire account balance.

Mr. Reynolds did not participate in the SERP during his tenure with PSE. In lieu of such participation, each year Mr. Reynolds' account under the Deferred Compensation Plan was credited with an amount equal to 15% of Mr. Reynolds' base salary and annual bonus for the preceding year. Mr. Reynolds' last credit was in January 2011 for 2010 salary and annual bonus.

The Named Executive Officers choose how to credit deferred amounts among three investment tracking funds. The tracking funds mirror performance in major asset classes of bonds, stocks, and interest crediting. The tracking funds differ from the investment funds offered in the 401(k) plan. The 2011 calendar year returns of these tracking funds were:

Vanguard Total Bond Market Index	7.72%
Vanguard 500 Index	1.97%
Interest Crediting Fund	5.41%

The Named Executive Officers may change how deferrals are allocated to the tracking funds at any time. Changes generally become effective as of the first trading day of the following calendar quarter.

The Named Executive Officers generally may choose how and when to receive payments under the Deferred Compensation Plan. There are three types of in-service withdrawals. First, an executive may choose an interim payment of deferred amounts by designating a plan year for payment at the time of his or her deferral election. The interim payment is made in a lump sum within 60 days after the last day of the designated plan year, which must be at least two years following the plan year of the deferral. Second, an in-service withdrawal may also be made to an executive upon a qualifying hardship event and demonstrated need. Third, only with respect to amounts deferred and vested prior to 2005, the executive may elect an in-service withdrawal for any reason by paying a 10% penalty. Payments upon termination of employment depend on whether the executive is then eligible for retirement. If the executive's termination occurs prior to his or her retirement date (generally the earlier of attaining age 62 or age 55 with five years of credited service), the executive will receive a lump sum payment of his or her account balance. If the executive's termination occurs after his or her retirement date, the executive may choose to receive payments in a lump sum or via one of several installment options (fixed amount, specified amount, annual or monthly installments, of up to 20 years). Mr. Markell, Ms. McLain and Mr. Wiegand are the only Named Executive Officers currently retirement eligible under the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The "Estimated Potential Incremental Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" table reflects the estimated amount of incremental compensation payable to each of the Named Executive Officers in the event of (i) an involuntary termination without cause or by the executive for good reason not in connection with a change in control; (ii) a change in control; (iii) an involuntary termination without cause or for good reason in connection with a change in control; (iv) retirement; (v) disability; or (vi) death. Mr. Reynolds retired March 1, 2011 and Mr. Valdman voluntarily resigned in March 2011. Neither were entitled to any termination or change in control benefits in connection with their terminations of employment, except that Mr. Reynolds was entitled to a pro-rated payment under the LTI Plan in accordance with its terms.

Certain Company benefit plans provide incremental benefits or payments in the event of certain terminations of employment. In addition, each Named Executive Officer, other than Mr. Doyle and Mr. Reynolds, entered into an Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement with the Company in March 2009, which provides for benefits or payments upon certain terminations of employment from the Company following the 2009 merger or a subsequent change in control. The only benefit payable to the Named Executive Officers solely upon a change in control is accelerated vesting of LTI Plan awards, described below.

Disability and Life Insurance Plans

If a Named Executive Officer's employment terminates due to disability or death, the executive or his or her estate will receive benefits under the PSE disability plan or life insurance plan available generally to all salaried employees. These disability and life insurance amounts are not reflected in the table below. The Named Executive Officer is also eligible to receive supplemental disability and life insurance. The supplemental monthly disability coverage is 65% of monthly base salary and target incentive pay, reduced by (i) amounts receivable under the PSE disability plan generally available to salaried employees and (ii) certain other income benefits. The supplemental life insurance benefit is provided at two times

base salary and target annual incentive bonus if the executive dies while employed by PSE with a reduction for amounts payable under the applicable group life insurance policy.

LTI Plan Awards

If a Named Executive Officer's employment terminates due to disability or death, the executive or his or her estate will be paid a pro-rata portion of LTI Plan awards that were granted in a prior year. In the case of retirement at normal retirement age or approved early retirement, pro-rata LTI Plan awards will be paid in the first quarter following the year of retirement, based on performance through the prior year. In the event of a change in control, outstanding LTI Plan awards will be paid at the higher of (i) target performance or (ii) actual performance achieved during the performance cycle ending with the fiscal quarter that precedes the change of control.

Employment Agreement with Mr. Reynolds

Puget Energy and Puget Sound Energy (together, the "Company") entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Reynolds as of January 1, 2002 to secure his services as Chief Executive Officer and President. The agreement had an initial term of three years after which time it automatically renewed for one-year terms unless notice of termination was given by either party at least 180 days prior to the expiration of the then current term, which notice of termination Mr. Reynolds provided in June 2010. Effective as of December 31, 2009, Mr. Reynolds agreed to waive all change in control payments and benefits that may otherwise be payable to him on or after December 31, 2009 and for which he was previously eligible under his employment agreement. During the term of the employment agreement, if the Company had terminated Mr. Reynolds' employment without cause, or Mr. Reynolds had terminated his employment with good reason, Mr. Reynolds would have received an amount equal to two times his then current annual base salary and target annual incentive bonus. Mr. Reynolds' employment agreement terminated in connection with his retirement as CEO effective March 1, 2011, subject to the continuing obligations described below. Other than the right to receive accrued amounts under the Retirement Plan and the Deferred Compensation Plan, Mr. Reynolds received no severance or other payments in connection with his termination.

The employment agreement contains a noncompetition covenant pursuant to which Mr. Reynolds commits that during his employment with the Company and for a period of two years following his voluntary termination without good reason, he will not perform services for any person or entity selling or distributing electric power or natural gas in Washington, Oregon or Idaho, unless the Company consents in writing. The Company may enforce this covenant through injunctive relief or other appropriate remedies. The employment agreement also contains an indemnification clause in favor of Mr. Reynolds. The Company commits to defend, indemnify and hold harmless Mr. Reynolds from all liabilities in connection with his service. As part of that commitment, the Company will cover Mr. Reynolds under the Company's directors' and officers' liability insurance for six years following his termination of employment, until March 1, 2017.

Employment Agreements with Other Named Executive Officers

In March 2009, PSE entered into Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreements (Employment Agreements) with each of the Named Executive Officers except Mr. Doyle and Mr. Reynolds (collectively, the Covered Executives), the terms of which are the same for all the Covered Executives and which amended and restated existing Amended and Restated Change of Control Agreements between the Company and each of the Covered Executives. The Employment Agreements provide for an employment period of two years after the completion of the February 2009 merger (Employment Period) and generally provide benefits similar to those provided under the previous Change of Control Agreements. In the event of termination of employment prior to the second anniversary of the merger or termination of employment within two years of a change in control that occurs after the Employment Period has ended (each, a Covered Termination), a Covered Executive is eligible to receive the payments described below. A change in control generally means a person (or group of persons) (with certain exceptions set forth in the Employment Agreements) acquires (i) beneficial ownership of more than 55% of the total combined voting power of the Company's securities outstanding immediately after such acquisition (other than through a registered public offering) or (ii) all or substantially all of the Company's assets.

Payments upon Involuntary Termination without Cause or for Good Reason

If a Covered Executive's employment is terminated without cause by the Company or is terminated by the Covered Executive for good reason during the Employment Period, or within two years of a change in control that follows the Employment Period, the Covered Executive is eligible to receive the following compensation and benefits:

- Three times the sum of annual base salary and annual incentive bonus for the year in which termination occurs;
- Pro-rated annual incentive bonus for the year in which termination occurs (Annual Bonus). Since this amount was earned for 2011, no amount is shown in the table below;
- Supplemental retirement benefit equal to the difference between (x) the actuarial equivalent of the amount the Covered Executive would have received under the Retirement Plan and the SERP had his or her employment continued until the end of the Employment Period, and (y) the actuarial equivalent of the amount the Covered Executive actually receives or is entitled to receive under the Retirement Plan and SERP;
- Merger performance bonus equal to the amount the Covered Executive would have received had his or her employment continued until each of the first and second anniversaries of the merger. In the event of termination after the first anniversary of the merger but on or prior to the second anniversary of the merger, the Covered Executive is eligible to receive the merger performance bonus that would have been payable as of the second anniversary. The merger performance bonuses have been fully paid as of December 31, 2011 so no amount is shown in the table below; and
- Continued group medical, dental, disability and life insurance benefits to the Covered Executive and his or her family. Benefits will be paid by the Company while the Covered Executive is eligible for COBRA and thereafter by reimbursement of payments made by the Covered Executive for such coverage (including related tax amounts), except that if the Covered Executive becomes re-employed with another employer and is eligible to receive medical or other welfare benefits under another employer-provided plan, the medical and other welfare benefits under the Employment Agreement will become secondary to those provided by the other employer (the foregoing benefit is referred to as Health and Welfare Benefit Continuation).

Under the Employment Agreements, "cause" and "good reason" have the following meanings:

Cause generally means (i) the willful and continued failure by the Covered Executive to substantially perform the Covered Executive's duties with the Company (other than any such failure resulting from incapacity due to physical or mental illness) for a period of 30 days after written notice of demand for substantial performance has been delivered to the Covered Executive or (ii) the Covered Executive's willfully engaging in gross misconduct materially and demonstrably injurious to the Company, as determined by the Board after notice to the executive and opportunity for a hearing. No act or failure to act on the Covered Executive's part is considered "willful" unless the Covered Executive has acted or failed to act with an absence of good faith and without a reasonable belief that the Covered Executive's action or failure to act was in the best interests of the Company.

Good Reason generally means (i) the assignment of the Covered Executive to a non-officer position with the Company, which the parties agree would constitute a material reduction in the Covered Executive's authority, duties or responsibilities; (ii) a material diminution in the Covered Executive's total compensation opportunities under the Employment Agreement; (iii) the Company's requiring the Covered Executive to be based at any location that represents a material change from the Covered Executive's location in the Seattle/Bellevue metropolitan area, unless the Covered Executive consents to the relocation; or (iv) a material breach of the Employment Agreement by the Company, provided that, in any of the foregoing, the Company has not remedied the alleged violation(s) within 60 days of notice from the Covered Executive.

Payments upon Retirement, Disability or Death

In the event of a Covered Termination due to voluntary retirement after having attained age 55 with a minimum of five years of service to the Company, a pro-rated Annual Bonus is payable to the Covered Executive. The bonus is payable at the time the Covered Executive otherwise would have received the payment had employment continued, based on the Company's actual achievement of performance goals.

In the event of a Covered Termination due to disability or death, the Covered Executive is eligible to receive the following compensation and benefits:

- Pro-rated Annual Bonus; and
- Health and Welfare Benefit Continuation.

In addition, upon termination for any of the foregoing reasons during the Employment Period, other than by reason of retirement, the Covered Executive is eligible to receive the perquisite of financial planning.

Except as otherwise described above, payments of salary and bonus will be paid after the date of termination, subject to the Covered Executive's timely execution of a general waiver and release of claims.

The Employment Agreements also contain noncompetition and anti-solicitation provisions that restrict the Covered Executive during the Employment Period and for twelve months thereafter from, respectively, engaging in activities related to selling or distributing electric power or natural gas in Washington or soliciting others to leave the Company or causing them to be hired from the Company by another entity. The Employment Agreements contain a non-disparagement clause and a confidentiality clause pursuant to which the Covered Executives must keep confidential all secret or confidential information, knowledge or data relating to the Company and its affiliates obtained during their employment. The Covered Executives may not disclose any such information, knowledge or data after their respective terminations of employment unless PSE consents in writing or as required by law.

If any payments paid or payable in connection with the February 2009 merger, whether paid or payable pursuant to the Employment Agreements or otherwise, are characterized as "excess parachute payments" within the meaning of Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code, then the Company will make a cash payment to or on behalf of the Covered Executive equal to any excise taxes imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code on such payments, plus the income taxes payable by him or her resulting from this cash payment. If a change in control occurs subsequent to the merger while the Company's stock is not traded on an established securities market or otherwise immediately before such change in control, then the Covered Executive will agree to execute a waiver of any "excess parachute payments" that would result from such payments, provided that the Company agrees to seek, but is not required to obtain, shareholder approval of the amount payable in connection with termination of employment, in which case the waived amounts will be restored to the Covered Executive.

Estimated Potential Incremental Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The amounts shown in the table below assume that the termination of employment or change in control was effective as of December 31, 2011. The amounts below are estimates of the incremental amounts that would be paid out to the Named Executive Officer upon a termination of employment or change in control. Actual amounts payable can only be determined at the time of a termination of employment or change in control. Mr. Reynolds and Mr. Valdman were not employed by the Company on December 31, 2011 and were not eligible for any payments in connection with their terminations of employment.

	TERMI W/O CA FOR	UNTARY NATION AUSE OR GOOD ASON	UPON CHAI CONTRO		AFTER CHANGE IN CONTROL INVOLUNTARY TERMINATION W/O CAUSE OR FOR GOOD REASON	Ret	IREMENT	Disability	Death
Kimberly J. Harris		,							
Cash Severance (salary and/or annual incentive)	\$	n/a	\$		\$ 3,996,000	\$		\$	\$
Long Term Incentive Plan			2,666	,260	2,666,260			663,578	663,578
SERP (additional years of credited service) ¹					980,781				
Benefits (continuation) ²		n/a			30,988			30,988	30,988
Supplemental Life Insurance		n/a							1,824,000
Total Estimated Incremental Value	\$	n/a	\$ 2,666	,260	\$ 7,674,029	\$		\$ 694,566	\$ 2,518,566
Daniel A. Doyle									
Long Term Incentive Plan	\$		\$		\$ 466,380	\$		\$ 104,570	\$ 104,570
SERP (additional years of credited service) 1					·			·	·
Benefits (continuation) ²		n/a							
Supplemental Life Insurance		n/a							\$ 855,000
Total Estimated Incremental Value	\$	n/a	\$		\$ 466,380	\$		\$ 104,570	\$ 959,570
Eric M. Markell					·			•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cash Severance (salary and/or annual incentive)	\$	n/a	\$		\$ 1,776,000	\$		\$	\$
Long Term Incentive Plan	*		1,361	.534	1,361,534		460,802	460,802	460,802
SERP (additional years of credited service) ¹			-,		473,434				
Benefits (continuation) ²		n/a			44,079			44,079	44,079
Supplemental Life Insurance		n/a							814,000
Total Estimated Incremental Value	\$	n/a	\$ 1,361	534	\$ 3,655,047	\$	460.802	\$ 504,881	\$ 1,318,881
Susan McLain			+ -,	,	+ -,,		,	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ -,,
Cash Severance (salary and/or annual incentive)	\$	n/a	\$		\$ 1,278,900	\$		\$	\$
Long Term Incentive Plan	Ψ			,192	931,192		314,905	314,905	314,905
SERP (additional years of credited service) ¹		n/a	751	,1,2	751,172			311,705	51 1,705
Benefits (continuation) ²		n/a			24,488			24,488	24,488
Supplemental Life Insurance		n/a			24,400			2-1,-100	558,600
Total Estimated Incremental Value	\$	n/a	\$ 931	,192	\$ 2,234,580	\$	314,905	\$ 339,393	\$ 897,993
Paul M. Wiegand	Ψ	11/ U	ψ 731	,172	φ 2,234,300	Ψ	314,703	Ψ 337,373	Ψ 071,773
Cash Severance (salary and/or annual incentive)	\$	n/a	\$		\$ 1,174,500	\$		\$	\$
Long Term Incentive Plan	Φ	11/ a		,859	742,859		233,287	233,287	233,287
SERP (additional years of credited service) ¹		n/a	742	,039	142,639		233,201	233,267	233,267
Benefits (continuation) ²		n/a			40,480			40,480	40,480
Supplemental Life Insurance		n/a			40,460			40,460	513,000
Total Estimated Incremental Value	\$	n/a	\$ 742	,859	\$ 1,957,839	¢	233,287	\$ 273,767	\$ 786,767
	Þ	11/ a	\$ 142	,039	\$ 1,937,639	Ą	233,267	\$ 213,101	\$ 780,707
Marla D. Mellies	\$	/-	\$		¢ 1 152 750	\$		\$	\$
Cash Severance (salary and/or annual incentive)	•	n/a		202	\$ 1,152,750	Э			
Long Term Incentive Plan		/-	610	,283	610,283			187,920	187,920
SERP (additional years of credited service) ¹		n/a			288,064			24 294	24.294
Benefits (continuation) ²		n/a			24,284			24,284	24,284
Supplemental Life Insurance	\$	n/a	¢ (10	202	e 2 075 201	ф		e 212 204	503,500
Total Estimated Incremental Value	3	n/a	\$ 610	,283	\$ 2,075,381	\$		\$ 212,204	\$ 715,704
Donald E. Gaines	ф	,	ф		Ф 020.200	ф		ф	do.
Cash Severance (salary and/or annual incentive)	\$	n/a	\$		\$ 920,200	\$		\$	\$
Long Term Incentive Plan			366	,378	366,378			123,740	123,740
SERP (additional years of credited service) ¹		n/a							
Benefits (continuation) ²		n/a			27,325			27,325	27,325
Supplemental Life Insurance		n/a							397,800
Total Estimated Incremental Value	\$	n/a	\$ 366	,378	\$ 1,321,903	\$		\$ 151,065	\$ 548,865

SERP values are shown as the estimated incremental value that the Named Executive Officer would receive at age 62 as a result of the termination event shown in the column, relative to the vested benefit as of December 31, 2011. These values are based on interest rate and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in the Company's financial statements.

Benefits (continuation) reflects the value of continued medical, dental, disability and life insurance benefits as well as financial planning benefit in the amount of \$5,000 for Ms. Harris and \$2,500 for all other named executives.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation paid by the Company to the directors named in the table who received compensation from the Company in 2011 for service as directors. We refer to these directors as nonemployee directors. Directors who are employed by the Company or by the Company's investor-owners are not paid separately for their service and thus are not named in the table below. The directors who served in 2011 and were employed by the Company's investor-owners are: Andrew Chapman, Alan James, Alan Kadic, Christopher Leslie, Benjamin Hawkins, Mark Wiseman and Mark Wong, who is no longer a director. Stephen Reynolds was employed by the Company and also served as a director until his retirement on March 1, 2011. Kimberly Harris was employed by the Company and also served as a director beginning March 1, 2011.

As described in further detail below, the Company's nonemployee director compensation program in 2011 consisted of quarterly retainer cash fees of \$20,000. Additional quarterly retainer amounts associated with serving as Chair of the Board, chairing Board committees, serving on the Audit Committee and meeting fees were also paid in cash.

		Nonqualified	
		Deferred	
		COMPENSATION	
NAME	FEES EARNED	EARNINGS ¹	TOTAL
William Ayer	\$ 148,800	\$ 3,815	\$ 152,615
Herbert Simon	110,000	2,681	112,681
Christopher Trumpy	108,800		108,800
Mary O. McWilliams ²	75,500		75,500

Represents earnings accrued to deferred compensation considered to be above market.

Nonemployee Director Compensation Program. The 2011 nonemployee director compensation program is based on the principles that the level of nonemployee director compensation should be based on Board and committee responsibilities and should be competitive with comparable companies.

The 2011 compensation program for nonemployee directors was as follows:

- A base cash quarterly retainer fee of \$20,000
- \$1,600 for attendance at each in-person Board and committee meeting, and \$800 for each telephonic meeting lasting 60 minutes or less,

In 2011, nonemployee directors were paid the following additional cash quarterly retainer fees:

- Independent Board Chairman, \$10,000
- Chair of the Governance and Public Affairs Committees, \$1,500
- Each member of the Audit Committee other than the chair, \$1,000

Nonemployee directors were reimbursed for actual travel and out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with their services.

Nonemployee directors are eligible to participate in the Company's matching gift program on the same terms as all Puget Energy employees. Under this program, the Company matches up to a total of \$300 a year in contributions by a director to non-profit organizations that have IRS 501(c)(3) tax exempt status and are located in and served the people of PSE's service territory in Washington State.

Deferral of Compensation. Nonemployee directors may choose to elect to defer all or a part of their cash fees under the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors. Nonemployee directors may allocate these deferrals into one or more "measurement funds," which include an interest crediting fund, an equity index fund and a bond index fund. Nonemployee directors are permitted to make changes in measurement fund allocations quarterly. None of the independent board members deferred any director fees during 2011.

² Ms. McWilliams was appointed as a nonemployee director on March 1, 2011.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following tables show the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned as of December 31, 2011 by each person or group that we know owns more than 5.0% of Puget Energy's and PSE's common stock. No director, executive officer or executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table in Item 11 of Part III of this report owns any of the outstanding shares of common stock of Puget Energy or PSE. Puget Equico LLC and its affiliates beneficially own 100.0% of the outstanding common stock of Puget Energy. Puget Energy holds 100.0% of the outstanding common stock of PSE. Percentage of beneficial ownership is based on 200 shares of Puget Energy common stock and 85,903,791 shares of Puget Sound Energy common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2011.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP TABLE OF PUGET ENERGY AND PSE

	Number of E	BENEFICIALLY
	OWNED	Shares
Name	PUGET ENERGY	PSE
Puget Equico LLC and affiliates	200 1, 2	
Puget Energy		85,903,791 ³

Information presented above and in this footnote is based on Amendment No. 2 to Schedule 13D/A filed on February 13, 2009 (the Schedule 13D) by Puget Equico LLC (Puget Equico), Puget Intermediate Holdings Inc. (Puget Intermediate), Puget Holdings LLC (Puget Holdings and together with Puget Intermediate, the Parent Entities), Macquarie Infrastructure Partners I (formerly MIP Padua Holdings GP) (MIP), Macquarie Infrastructure Partners II (formerly MIP Washington Holdings, L.P.) (MIP II), Macquarie FSS Infrastructure Trust (MFIT), Padua MG Holdings LLC (PMGH) Canada Pension Plan Investment Board (USRE II) Inc. (CPPIB), 6860141 Canada Inc. as trustee for British Columbia Investment Management Corporation (bcIMC), PIP2PX (Pad) Ltd. (PIP2PX) and PIP2GV (Pad) Ltd. (PIP2GV and together with MIP, MIP II, MFIT, PMGH, CPPIB, bcIMC and PIP2PX, the Investors). Puget Equico is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Puget Intermediate, Puget Intermediate is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Puget Holdings and the Investors are the direct or indirect owners of Puget Holdings. The Parent Entities and the Investors are the direct or indirect owners of Puget Equico. Although the Parent Entities and the Investors do not own any shares of Puget Energy directly, Puget Equico, the Parent Entities and the Investors may be deemed to be members of a "group," within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Accordingly, each such entity may be deemed to beneficially own the 200 shares of Puget Energy common stock owned by Puget Equico. Such shares of common stock constitute 100.0% of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Puget Energy. Under Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act and based on the number of shares outstanding, Puget Equico, the Parent Entities and the Investors may be deemed to have shared power to vote and shared power to dispose of such shares of Puget Energy common stock that may be beneficially owned by Puget Equico. However, each of Puget Equico, the Parent Entities and the Investors expressly disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares of common stock other than those shares held directly by such entity. According to the Schedule 13D, as of February 13, 2009:

- The address of the principal office of Puget Holdings, Puget Intermediate and Puget Equico is the PSE Building, 10885 NE 4th Street,
- The address of the principal office of MIP and MIP II is 125 West 55th Street, Level 22, New York, NY 10019.
- The address of the principal office of MFIT is Level 11, 1 Martin Place, Sydney, Australia NSW 2000.
- The address of the principal office of PMGH is 125 West 55th Street, Level 22, New York, NY 10019.
- The address of the principal office of CPPIB is One Queen Street East, Suite 2600, P.O. Box 101, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5C 2W5.
- The address of the principal office of bcIMC is Sawmill Point, Suite 301-2940 Jutland Road, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada V8T 5K6.
- The address of the principal office of PIP2PX and PIP2GV is 340 Terrace Building, 9515-107 Street, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada TSK 2C3
- Pursuant to that certain Pledge Agreement dated as of February 6, 2009, made by Puget Equico LLC to Barclays Bank PLC, as collateral agent and that certain Joinder Agreement dated December 6, 2010 by and among Barclays Bank PLC, as collateral agent, Barclays Bank PLC, as facility agent, Puget Energy, Puget Equico and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as trustee, the outstanding stock of Puget Energy held by Puget Equico was pledged by Puget Equico to secure the obligations of Puget Energy under (a) the Credit Agreement dated as of May 16, 2008 among Puget Merger Sub Inc., as Borrower, Barclays Bank PLC, as Facility Agent, the other agents party thereto, and the lender party thereto (which agreement was subsequently assumed by Puget Energy) and (b) the senior secured notes issued on December 6, 2010.
- Pursuant to that certain Borrower's Security Agreement dated as of February 6, 2009, and that certain Joinder Agreement dated December 6, 2010 by and among Barclays Bank PLC, as collateral agent, Barclays Bank PLC, as facility agent, Puget Energy, Puget Equico and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as trustee, the outstanding stock of PSE held by Puget Energy was pledged by Puget Energy to secure its obligations under (a) the Credit Agreement dated as of May 16, 2008, as amended, among Puget Merger Sub Inc., as Borrower, Barclays Bank PLC, as Facility Agent, the other agents party thereto, and the lender party thereto (which agreement was subsequently assumed by Puget Energy) and (b) the senior secured notes issued on December 6, 2010.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

In connection with the merger of Puget Energy with Puget Holdings, which was completed on February 6, 2009, all compensation plans under which equity securities were authorized for issuance have been terminated, except the LTI Plan. Following the merger, only non-equity awards that can be settled solely in cash are made under the LTI Plan.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS

Our Boards of Directors have adopted a written policy for the review and approval or ratification of related person transactions. Under the policy, our directors and executive officers are expected to disclose to our Chief Compliance Officer the material facts of any transaction that could be considered a related person transaction promptly upon gaining knowledge of the transaction. A related person transaction is generally defined as any transaction required to be disclosed under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K, the SEC's related person transaction disclosure rule.

Any transaction reported to the Chief Compliance Officer will be reviewed according to the following procedures:

- If the Chief Compliance Officer determines that disclosure of the transaction is not required under the SEC's related person transaction disclosure rule, the transaction will be deemed approved and will be reported to the Audit Committee.
- If disclosure is required, the Chief Compliance Officer will submit the transaction to the Chair of the Audit Committee who will review and, if authorized, will determine whether to approve or ratify the transaction. The Chair is authorized to approve or ratify any related person transaction involving an aggregate amount of less than \$1.0 million or when it would be impracticable to wait for the next Audit Committee meeting to review the transaction.
- If the transaction is outside the Chair's authority, the Chair will submit the transaction to the Audit Committee for review and approval or ratification.

When determining whether to approve or ratify a related person transaction, the Chair of the Audit Committee or the Audit Committee, as applicable, will review relevant facts regarding the related person transaction, including:

- The extent of the related person's interest in the transaction;
- Whether the terms are comparable to those generally available in arms' length transactions; and
- Whether the related person transaction is consistent with the best interests of the Company.

If any related person transaction is not approved or ratified, the Committee may take such action as it may deem necessary or desirable in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

Kimberly Harris, who serves as the President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as a director of Puget Energy and PSE, is married to Kyle Branum, a principal at the law firm Riddell Williams P.S. since 2008. Riddell Williams or its predecessor firms have been one of PSE's primary law firms for nearly 50 years. In 2011, Riddell Williams was paid \$1.63 million for legal services provided to PSE. Mr. Branum is among the lawyers at Riddell Williams who provide legal services to PSE. This work was performed under the direct supervision of the office of the general counsel and the compensation arrangements were comparable to other regional law firms providing legal services to PSE.

Puget Energy is party to interest rate swap agreements, negotiated under the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA agreements"), with various parties, including Macquarie Bank Limited. Affiliates of Macquarie Bank Limited indirectly own an equity interest in PSE. The ISDA agreements were the product of arms' length negotiations between Puget Energy and the various counterparties, including Macquarie Bank Limited, and contain terms and conditions similar to those of other master swap agreements with unrelated third parties.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

INDEPENDENCE OF THE BOARD

The Boards of Puget Energy and PSE have reviewed the relationships between Puget Energy and PSE (and their respective subsidiaries) and each of their respective directors. Based on this review, the Boards have determined that of the members constituting the Boards, William Ayer (member of the Boards of both Puget Energy and PSE), Mary McWilliams

(member of the Boards of both Puget Energy and PSE), Melanie Dressel (member of the Boards of both Puget Energy and PSE), and Herbert Simon (member of the Board of PSE) are independent under the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) corporate governance listing standards and also meet the definition of an "Independent Director" under the Company's Amended and Restated Bylaws. Under the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Puget Energy and PSE, an Independent Director is a director who: (a) shall not be a member of Puget Holdings (referred to as a Holdings Member) or an affiliate of any Holdings Member (including by way of being a member, stockholder, director, manager, partner, officer or employee of any such member), (b) shall not be an officer or employee of PSE, (c) shall be a resident of the state of Washington, and (d) if and to the extent required with respect to any specific director, shall meet such other qualifications as may be required by any applicable regulatory authority for an independent director or manager. The Company's definition of "Independent Director" is available in the Corporate Governance Guidelines at www.pugetenergy.com.

In making these independence determinations, the Boards have established a categorical standard that a director's independence is not impaired solely as a result of the director, or a company for which the director or an immediate family member of the director serves as an executive officer, making payments to PSE for power or natural gas provided by PSE at rates fixed in conformity with law or governmental authority, unless such payments would automatically disqualify the director under the NYSE's corporate governance listing standards. The Board has also established a categorical standard that a director's independence is not impaired if a director is a director, employee or executive officer of another company that makes payments to or receives payments from Puget Energy, PSE or any of their affiliates, for property or services in an amount which is less than the greater of \$1.0 million or one percent of such other company's consolidated gross revenue, determined for the most recent fiscal year. These categorical standards will not apply, however, to the extent that Puget Energy or PSE would be required to disclose an arrangement as a related person transaction pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

The Boards considered all relationships between its directors and Puget Energy and PSE (and their respective subsidiaries), including some that are not required to be disclosed in this report as related-person transactions. Messrs. Ayer and Simon, Ms. McWilliams and Ms. Dressel serve as directors or officers of, or otherwise have a financial interest in, entities that make payments to PSE for energy services provided to those entities at tariff rates established by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. These transactions fall within the first categorical independence standard described above. In addition, PSE has entered into transactions with entities for whom Mr. Simon serves as a director or officer, or in which he otherwise has a financial interest, that involve amounts that are less than the greater of \$1.0 million or 1% of those entities' consolidated gross revenue. These transactions fall within the second categorical standard described above. Because these relationships either fall within the Board's categorical independence standards or involve an amount that is not material to the Company or the other entity, the Boards have concluded that none of these relationships impair the independence of the applicable directors.

EXECUTIVE SESSIONS

Non-management directors meet in executive session on a regular basis, generally on the same date as each scheduled Board meeting. Mr. Ayer, who is not a member of management, presides over the executive sessions. Interested parties may communicate with the non-management directors of the Board through the procedures described in Item 10 of Part III of this annual report under the section "Communications with the Board."

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The aggregate fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

	201	2011		0
	PUGET		PUGET	
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)	ENERGY	PSE	ENERGY	PSE
Audit fees ¹	\$ 1,632	\$ 1,519	\$ 1,558	\$ 1,453
Audit related fees ²	387	325	332	264
Tax fees ³		27		72
Total	\$ 2,019	\$ 1,871	\$ 1,890	\$ 1,789

For professional services rendered for the audit of Puget Energy's and PSE's annual financial statements and reviews of financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-Q. The 2011 fees are estimated and include an aggregate amount of \$0.8 million billed to Puget Energy and \$0.9 million to PSE through December 2011.

The Audit Committee of the Company has adopted policies for the pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The policies are designed to ensure that the provision of these services does not impair the firm's independence. Under the policies, unless a type of service to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by an Audit Committee. In addition, any proposed services exceeding pre-approved cost levels will require specific pre-approval by an Audit Committee.

The annual audit services engagement terms and fees, as well as any changes in terms, conditions and fees relating to the engagement, are subject to specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. In addition, on an annual basis, the Audit Committee grants general pre-approval for specific categories of audit, audit-related, tax and other services, within specified fee levels, that may be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. With respect to each proposed pre-approved service, the independent registered public accounting firm is required to provide detailed back-up documentation to the Audit Committee regarding the specific services to be provided. Under the policies, the Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of their members. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated shall report any pre-approval decision to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee does not delegate responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent registered public accounting firm to management.

For 2011 and 2010, all audit and non-audit services were pre-approved.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- a) Documents filed as part of this report:
 - 1) Financial Statements. See index on page 65.
 - 2) *Financial Statement Schedules*. Financial Statement Schedules of the Company located on page 136, as required for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, consist of the following:
 - I. Condensed Financial Information of Puget
 - II. Valuation of Qualifying Accounts
 - 3) Exhibits see index on page 177.

Consists of employee benefit plan audits, work performed in connection with registration statements and other regulatory audits.

Consists of tax consulting and tax return reviews.

SIGNATURES

Date: March 5, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PUGET ENERGY, INC.	PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.
/s/ Kimberly J. Harris	/s/ Kimberly J. Harris
Kimberly J. Harris	Kimberly J. Harris
President and Chief Executive Officer	President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of each registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: March 5, 2012

SIGNATURE	Title	DATE			
	(Puget Energy and PSE unless otherwise noted)				
/s/ Kimberly J. Harris	President and	March 5, 2012			
(Kimberly J. Harris)	Chief Executive Officer				
/s/ Daniel A. Doyle	Senior Vice President and				
(Daniel A. Doyle)	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Account) Officer)	nting			
/s/ William S. Ayer (William S. Ayer)	Chairman and Director				
/s/ Andrew Chapman	Director				
(Andrew Chapman)					
/s/ Melanie Dressel	Director				
(Melanie Dressel)					
/s/ Benjamin Hawkins	Director				
(Benjamin Hawkins)					
/s/ Alan W. James	Director				
(Alan W. James)					
/s/ Alan Kadic	Director				
(Alan Kadic)	_				
/s/ Christopher J. Leslie	Director				
(Christopher J. Leslie)					

/s/ Mary O. McWilliams	Director
(Mary O. McWilliams)	
•	
/s/ Christopher Trumpy	Director
(Christopher Trumpy)	
/s/ Mark Wiseman	Director
(Mark Wiseman)	
/s/ Herbert B. Simon	Director of PSE only
(Herbert B. Simon)	

EXHIBIT INDEX

Certain of the following exhibits are filed herewith. Certain other of the following exhibits have heretofore been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are incorporated herein by reference.

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 25, 2007, by and among Puget Energy, Inc., Padua Holdings LLC, Padua Intermediate Holdings Inc. and Padua Merger Sub Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Puget Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated October 25, 2007, Commission File No. 1-16305).
- 3(i).1 Amended Articles of Incorporation of Puget Energy (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Puget Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 6, 2009, Commission File No. 1-16305).
- 3(i).2 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 6, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 3(ii).1 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Puget Energy dated February 6, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Puget Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, Commission File No. 1-16305).
- 3(ii).2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. dated February 6, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 4.1 Indenture between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association (as successor to State Street Bank and Trust Company) defining the rights of the holders of Puget Sound Energy's senior notes (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4-a to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 4.2 First, Second, Third and Fourth Supplemental Indentures defining the rights of the holders of Puget Sound Energy's senior notes (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4-b to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.26 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 4, 1999, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 2, 2000, Commission File No. 1-4393; and Exhibit 4.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 28, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 4.3 Fortieth through Sixtieth Supplemental Indentures defining the rights of the holders of Puget Sound Energy's Electric Utility First Mortgage Bond (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits 4.3 through and including 4.23 to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3ASR, filed March 13, 2009, Registration No. 333-157960).
- 4.4 Sixty-first through Eighty-seventh Supplemental Indentures defining the rights of the holders of Puget Sound Energy's Electric Utility First Mortgage Bonds (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (4)-j-1 to Registration No. 2-72061; Exhibit (4)-a to Registration No. 2-91516; Exhibit (4)-b to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1985, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibits (4)(a) and (4)(b) to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 22, 1986, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit (4)(b) to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 5, 1986, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit (4)-b to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1986, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit (4)-c to Registration No. 33-18506; Exhibit (4)-b to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1989, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit (4)-b to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1990, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibits (4)-d and (4)-e to Registration No. 33-45916; Exhibit (4)-c to Registration No. 33-50788; Exhibit (4)-a to Registration No. 33-53056; Exhibit 4.3 to Registration No. 33-63278; Exhibit 4-c to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 20, 1998, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.27 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 4, 1999, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.2 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated November 2, 2000, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.2 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 28, 2003, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.28 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 23, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.30 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4393); Exhibit 4.4 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed February 9, 2009, Registration No. 333-132497-01; Exhibit 4.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 13, 2006, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibit 4.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, Commission File No. 1-4393; and Exhibit 4.5 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed February 9, 2009, Registration No. 333-132497-01); Exhibit 4.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 8, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393.

- 4.5 Indenture of First Mortgage, dated as of April 1, 1957, defining the rights of the holders of Puget Sound Energy's Gas Utility First Mortgage Bonds (incorporated herein by reference to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3ASR, filed March 13, 2009, Registration No. 333-157960).
- 4.6 First, Sixth, Seventh and Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture to the Gas Utility First Mortgage, dated as of October 1, 1959, August 1, 1966, February 1, 1967, June 1, 1977 and August 9, 1978, respectively (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits 4.26 through and including 4.30 to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3ASR, filed March 13, 2009, Registration No. 333-157960).
- 4.7 Twenty-second Supplemental Indenture to the Gas Utility First Mortgage, dated as of July 15, 1986 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4-B.20 to Washington Natural Gas Company's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1986, Commission File No. 0-951).
- 4.8 Twenty-seventh Supplemental Indenture to the Gas Utility First Mortgage, dated as of September 1, 1990 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed February 9, 2009, Registration No. 333-132497-01).
- 4.9 Twenty-eighth through Thirty-sixth Supplemental Indentures to the Gas Utility First Mortgage (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4-A to Washington Natural Gas Company's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1993, Commission File No. 0-951; Exhibit 4-A to Washington Natural Gas Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 33-49599; Exhibit 4-A to Washington Natural Gas Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 33-61859; Exhibit 4.30 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibits 4.22 and 4.23 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, Commission File No. 1-4393; Exhibits 4.22 and 4.23 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, Commission File No. 1-4393; and Exhibit 4.14 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 2 to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed February 9, 2009, Registration No. 333-132497-01).
- 4.10 Unsecured Debt Indenture, dated as of May 18, 2001, between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to Bank One Trust Company, N.A.) defining the rights of the holders of Puget Sound Energy's unsecured debentures (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 18, 2001, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 4.11 Second Supplemental Indenture to the Unsecured Debt Indenture, dated June 1, 2007, between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. defining the rights of Puget Sound Energy's Series A Enhanced Junior Subordinated Notes due June 1, 2067 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 30, 2007, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 4.12 Form of Replacement Capital Covenant of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 30, 2007, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 4.13 Pledge Agreement dated March 11, 2003 between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank Northwest, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.24 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed July 11, 2003, Registration No. 333-82940-02).
- 4.14 Loan Agreement dated as of March 1, 2003, between the City of Forsyth, Rosebud County, Montana and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.25 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Puget Sound Energy's Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed July 11, 2003, Registration No. 333-82490).
- 4.15 Indenture and First Supplemental Indenture between Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and Puget Energy, Inc. dated as of December 6, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2 to Puget Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed December 7, 2010, Commission File No. 1-16305).
- 4.16 Second Supplemental Indenture to the Indenture dated December 6, 2010 between Puget Energy, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association defining the rights of Puget Energy's Senior Secured Notes due September 1, 2021 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Puget Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed June 6, 2011, Commission File No. 1-16305).
- 10.1 First Amendment dated as of October 4, 1961 to Power Sales Contract between Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Rocky Reach Project (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- First Amendment dated February 9, 1965 to Power Sales Contract between Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Wells Development (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.3 Contract dated November 14, 1957 between Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Rocky Reach Project (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit

- 10.3 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.4 Power Sales Contract dated as of November 14, 1957 between Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Rocky Reach Project (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.5 Power Sales Contract dated May 21, 1956 between Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Priest Rapids Project (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.6 First Amendment to Power Sales Contract dated as of August 5, 1958 between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, relating to the Priest Rapids Development (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.7 Power Sales Contract dated June 22, 1959 between Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Wanapum Development (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- Agreement to Amend Power Sales Contracts dated July 30, 1963 between Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Wanapum Development (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.9 Power Sales Contract executed as of September 18, 1963 between Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Wells Development (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.10 Construction and Ownership Agreement dated as of July 30, 1971 between The Montana Power Company and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.11 Operation and Maintenance Agreement dated as of July 30, 1971 between The Montana Power Company and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.12 Contract dated June 19, 1974 between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and P.U.D. No. 1 of Chelan County (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.13 Transmission Agreement dated April 17, 1981 between the Bonneville Power Administration and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Colstrip Project) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-55 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1987, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.14 Transmission Agreement dated April 17, 1981 between the Bonneville Power Administration and Montana Intertie Users (Colstrip Project) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-56 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1987, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.15 Ownership and Operation Agreement dated as of May 6, 1981 between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and other Owners of the Colstrip Project (Colstrip 3 and 4) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-57 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1987, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.16 Colstrip Project Transmission Agreement dated as of May 6, 1981 between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and Owners of the Colstrip Project (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-58 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1987, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.17 Common Facilities Agreement dated as of May 6, 1981 between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and Owners of Colstrip 1 and 2, and 3 and 4 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-59 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1987, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.18 Amendment dated as of June 1, 1968, to Power Sales Contract between Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County, Washington and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Rocky Reach Project) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-66 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1987, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.19 Transmission Agreement dated as of December 30, 1987 between the Bonneville Power Administration and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Rock Island Project) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-74 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1988, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- Amendment No. 1 to the Colstrip Project Transmission Agreement dated as of February 14, 1990 among The Montana Power Company, The Washington Water Power Company (Avista), Portland General Electric Company, PacifiCorp and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-91 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1990, Commission File No. 1-4393).

- 10.21 Agreement for Firm Power Purchase (Thermal Project) dated December 27, 1990 among March Point Cogeneration Company, a California general partnership comprising San Juan Energy Company, a California corporation; Texas-Anacortes Cogeneration Company, a Delaware corporation; and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-4 to Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1991, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.22 Agreement for Firm Power Purchase dated March 20, 1991 between Tenaska Washington, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-1 to Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1991, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.23 Amendment of Seasonal Exchange Agreement, dated December 4, 1991 between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-107 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1991, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.24 Capacity and Energy Exchange Agreement, dated as of October 4, 1991 between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (10)-108 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1991, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.25 General Transmission Agreement dated as of December 1, 1994 between the Bonneville Power Administration and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (BPA Contract No. DE-MS79-94BP93947) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.115 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1994, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.26 PNW AC Intertie Capacity Ownership Agreement dated as of October 11, 1994 between the Bonneville Power Administration and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (BPA Contract No. DE-MS79-94BP94521) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.116 to Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1994, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.27 Amendment to Gas Transportation Service Contract dated July 31, 1991 between Washington Natural Gas Company and Northwest Pipeline Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10-E.2 to Washington Natural Gas Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1995, Commission File No. 1-11271).
- 10.28 Firm Transportation Service Agreement dated January 12, 1994 between Northwest Pipeline Corporation and Washington Natural Gas Company for firm transportation service from Jackson Prairie (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10-P to Washington Natural Gas Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1994, Commission File No. 1-11271).
- 10.29 Product Sales Contract dated December 13, 2001 and Amendment No. 1 thereto, between Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Priest Rapids Project (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10-1 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002, File No. 1-4393).
- 10.30 Reasonable Portion Power Sales Contract dated December 13, 2001 and Amendment No. 1 thereto, between Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Priest Rapids Project (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10-2 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.31 Additional Products Sales Agreement dated December 13, 2001, and Amendment No. 1 thereto, between Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, and Puget Sound Energy, Inc., relating to the Priest Rapids Project (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- 10.32 Credit Agreement dated as of February 10, 2012 among Puget Energy, Inc., as Borrower, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, the other agents party thereto, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 8-K dated February 16, 2012, Commission File Nos. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- 10.33 Credit Agreement dated as of February 6, 2009 among Puget Sound Energy, Inc., as Borrower, Barclays Bank PLC, as Facility Agent, the other agents party thereto, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, Commission File Nos. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- 10.34 Amendment dated May 10, 2010 to Credit Agreement (dated February 6, 2009) among Puget Sound Energy, Inc. as Borrower, Barclays Bank PLC, as Facility Agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, Commission File Nos. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.35 Employment agreement with S. P. Reynolds, Chief Executive Officer and President, dated January 1, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.104 to the Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, Commission File Nos. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.36 First Amendment effective May 12, 2005 to employment agreement with S.P. Reynolds, Chief Executive Officer and President, dated as of January 1, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 12, 2005, Commission File Nos. 1-16305 and 1-4393).

- ** 10.37 Second Amendment dated February 9, 2006 to employment agreement with S. P. Reynolds, Chief Executive Officer and President, dated as of January 1, 2002 and amended as of May 10, 2005 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 14, 2006, Commission File Nos. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.38 Third Amendment dated February 28, 2008 to employment agreement with S.P. Reynolds, Chief Executive Officer and President, dated as of January 1, 2002 and amended as of February 9, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to Puget Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.39 Form of Executive Employment Agreement with Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Puget Sound Energy's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 3, 2009, Commission File No. 1-4393).
- ** 10.40 Waiver of rights to certain payments and other benefits, executed by Stephen P. Reynolds, Chief Executive Officer and President, dated February 25, 2010.
- ** 10.41 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan effective January 1, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.42 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for Key Employees effective January 1, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.43 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors effective January 1, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.44 Summary of Director Compensation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.45 Form of Amended and Restated Change of Control Agreement between Puget Sound Energy, Inc. and Executive Officers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 14, 2006, Commission File Nos. 1-4393).
- ** 10.46 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Supplemental Death Benefit Plan for Executive Employees, effective October 1, 2000, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.47 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Supplemental Death Benefit Plan for Executive Employees, effective January 1, 2002, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.48 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Supplemental Disability Plan for Executive Employees, effective October 1, 2000, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.49 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Supplemental Death Benefit Plan for Executive Employees, effective November 1, 2007, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
- ** 10.50 Puget Energy, Inc. Amended and Restated 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan, effective March 4, 2011 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to Puget Energy's and Puget Sound Energy's Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, Commission File No. 1-16305 and 1-4393).
 - *12.1 Statement setting forth computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges of Puget Energy, Inc. (2007 through 2011).
 - * 12.2 Statement setting forth computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (2007 through 2011).
 - *21.1 Subsidiaries of Puget Energy, Inc.
 - *21.2 Subsidiaries of Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
 - *23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
 - *31.1 Certification of Puget Energy, Inc. Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Kimberly J. Harris.
 - *31.2 Certification of Puget Energy, Inc. Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Daniel A. Doyle.

- *31.3 Certification of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Kimberly J. Harris.
- *31.4 Certification of Puget Sound Energy, Inc. Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Daniel A. Doyle.
- *32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Kimberly J. Harris.
- *32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Daniel A. Doyle.
- *** 101 Financial statements from the annual report on Form 10-K of Puget Energy, Inc. and Puget Sound Energy, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, filed on March 5, 2012, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statement of Income (Unaudited), (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited), (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited), (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited), and (iv) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements tagged as blocks of text (submitted electronically herewith).

^{*} Filed herewith.

^{**} Management contract, compensating plan or arrangement.

^{***} In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL information in Exhibit 101 to this annual report on Form 10-K shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.