# **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# FORM 10-0

	<b>/</b>	-			-	_		`
ı		./	0	10	<b>I</b> 7	0	m	
ŧ	- 11	<b>у</b> п	a		n	•	411	•

	TORM	10-Q
(Mark O	ne)	
$\times$	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUAN SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT O	T TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE 1934
	For the quarterly period en	ded September 30, 2010
	OR	
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUAN SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT O	NT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE F 1934
	For the transition period from	to
	Commission File	No. 000-53908
	<b>Oglethorpe</b> Po	vver <b>Corporation</b>
	(An Electric Member (Exact name of registrant as	
	Georgia (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	58-1211925 (I.R.S. employer identification no.)
(Ad	2100 East Exchange Place Tucker, Georgia ddress of principal executive offices)	<b>30084-5336</b> (Zip Code)
Registrar	nt's telephone number, including area code	(770) 270-7600
Section 1 such short		1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for file such reports), and (2) has been subject to
corporate Rule 405	eate by check mark whether the registrant has e Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 m e was required to submit and post such files).	required to be submitted and posted pursuant to tonths (or for such shorter period that the
non-accel "accelera <b>Large Ac</b>	erated filer or a smaller reporting company. ted filer," and "smaller reporting company" is	a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a See definitions of "large accelerated filer," n Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): a-Accelerated Filer   (Do not check if a smaller
	eate by check mark whether the registrant is a Act). Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$	a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. The registrant is a membership corporation and has no authorized or outstanding equity securities.



# OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

		Page No.
PART I—FIN	NANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	2
	Unaudited Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	2
	Unaudited Condensed Statements of Revenues and Expenses For the Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	4
	Unaudited Condensed Statements of Patronage Capital and Membership Fees and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Deficit For the Nine Months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	5
	Unaudited Condensed Statements of Cash Flows For the Nine Months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	6
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements For the Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	28
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	28
PART II—O	THER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	29
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	29
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	29
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	29
Item 4.	Reserved	29
Item 5.	Other Information	29
Item 6.	Exhibits	30
SIGNATURE	ES	31

# PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# **Item 1. Financial Statements**

Oglethorpe Power Corporation Condensed Balance Sheets (Unaudited) September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

	(dollars in	thousands)
	2010	2009
Assets		
Electric plant:		
In service	\$ 6,662,637	\$ 6,550,938
Less: Accumulated provision for depreciation	(3,074,288)	(2,993,215)
	3,588,349	3,557,723
Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost	236,276	215,949
Construction work in progress	1,049,600	626,824
	4,874,225	4,400,496
Investments and funds:		
Decommissioning fund	251,939	239,746
Deposit on Rocky Mountain transactions	121,556	115,641
Investment in associated companies	54,794	53,199
Long-term investments	91,031	87,129
Other, at cost	3,493	4,597
	522,813	500,312
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents, at cost	432,796	579,069
Restricted cash, at cost	6,299	22,405
Restricted short-term investments	80,771	80,590
Receivables	127,703	110,258
Inventories, at average cost	185,701 13,778	209,837 9,393
Prepayments and other current assets		
	847,048	1,011,552
Deferred charges:		
Premium and loss on reacquired debt, being amortized	114,832	122,847
Deferred amortization of capital leases	68,293	77,755
Deferred debt expense, being amortized	53,865	57,262
Deferred outage costs, being amortized	31,644	31,319
Deferred asset associated with retirement obligations	27,952	24,000 31,413
Deferred interest rate swap termination fees, being amortized	26,303	29,296
		54,056
Deferred depreciation expense, being amortized	52,988	.)4.().)()
Deferred depreciation expense, being amortized	52,988 29,421	29,926
		,

# Oglethorpe Power Corporation Condensed Balance Sheets (Unaudited) September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

	(dollars in thousands)	
	2010	2009
<b>Equity and Liabilities</b>		
Capitalization:		
Patronage capital and membership fees	\$ 598,071 (168)	\$ 562,219 (1,253)
	597,903	560,966
Long-term debt	4,170,484 189,127 121,556	4,178,981 208,945 115,641
	5,079,070	5,064,533
Current liabilities:		
Long-term debt and capital leases due within one year	147,942	119,241
Short-term borrowings	581,046	283,634
Accounts payable	97,093	24,184
Accrued interest	37,449	50,947
Accrued and withheld taxes	22,085	24,864
Member power bill prepayments, current	64,963 17,276	182,514 28,000
Other current habilities		
	967,854	713,384
Deferred credits and other liabilities:		
Gain on sale of plant, being amortized	29,206	31,062
Net benefit of Rocky Mountain transactions, being amortized	51,762	54,151
Asset retirement obligations	276,769	264,635
Accumulated retirement costs for other obligations	38,737	43,955
Long-term contingent liability	19,720	24,000 18,000
Member power bill prepayments, non-current	73,663	86,211
Other	112,603	70,303
S2222	602,460	592,317
	\$6,649,384	\$6,370,234
	φυ,υ <del>1</del> 2,304	90,370,234

	(dollars in thousands)				
	Three 1	Months	Nine M	onths	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Operating revenues:					
Sales to Members	\$370,453	\$308,414	\$1,000,244	\$890,646	
Sales to non-Members	796	334	1,188	974	
Total operating revenues	371,249	308,748	1,001,432	891,620	
Operating expenses:					
Fuel	160,174	94,508	383,725	281,627	
Production	82,717	69,144	245,978	209,177	
Purchased power	24,721	44,349	60,346	103,545	
Depreciation and amortization	35,441	34,301	108,956	98,012	
Accretion	4,282	4,565	12,848	13,696	
Total operating expenses	307,335	246,867	811,853	706,057	
Operating margin	63,914	61,881	189,579	185,563	
Other income:					
Investment income	7,950	8,147	23,103	24,210	
Other	3,231	2,152	9,413	7,418	
Total other income	11,181	10,299	32,516	31,628	
Interest charges:					
Interest expense	65,946	59,419	197,089	176,198	
Allowance for debt funds used during construction	(10,474)	(3,979)	(28,611)	(12,523)	
Amortization of debt discount and expense	5,775	5,378	17,765	13,698	
Net interest charges	61,247	60,818	186,243	177,373	
Net margin	\$ 13,848	\$ 11,362	\$ 35,852	\$ 39,818	

# Oglethorpe Power Corporation Condensed Statements of Patronage Capital and Membership Fees and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Deficit (Unaudited) For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

	(dollars in thousands)		
	Patronage Capital and Membership Fees	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Deficit)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$535,829	\$(1,348)	\$534,481
Components of comprehensive margin:  Net margin  Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	39,818		39,818 263
Total comprehensive margin			40,081
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$575,647	\$(1,085)	\$574,562
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$562,219	\$(1,253)	\$560,966
Components of comprehensive margin:  Net margin	35,852 —	1,085	35,852 1,085 36,937
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$598,071	\$ (168)	\$597,903

	(dollars in thousand	
	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net margin	\$ 35,852	\$ 39,818
Adjustments to reconcile net margin to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization, including nuclear fuel	196,509	171,124
Accretion cost	12,848	13,696
Amortization of deferred gains	(4,245)	(4,245)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(1,707)	(1,904)
Deferred outage costs	(25,229)	(25,362)
(Gain) loss on sale of investments	(12,013)	12,018
Regulatory deferral of costs associated with nuclear decommissioning	4,987	(20,810)
Other	(4,216)	(483)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables	(25,622)	(5,501)
Inventories	24,137	(24,056)
Prepayments and other current assets	(4,384)	131
Accounts payable	(2,487)	(12,512)
Accrued interest	(13,498)	(3,319)
Accrued and withheld taxes	(2,779)	2,072
Other current liabilities	(2,782)	(92)
(Decrease) increase in Member power bill prepayments	(115,831)	189,047
Total adjustments	23,688	289,804
Net cash provided by operating activities	59,540	329,622
	39,340	329,022
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Property additions	(524,334)	(454,313)
Plant acquisition		(105,008)
Activity in decommissioning fund—Purchases	(480,447)	(495,689)
—Proceeds	476,630	491,715
Decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents	16,106	10,255
Increase in restricted short-term investments	(181)	(39,738)
Activity in investment in associated organizations—Purchases	(4,142)	(11,395) 1,666
—Proceeds	3,196 (4,313)	
—Proceeds	3,100	(1,037) 900
Other	5,420	(2,158)
Net cash used in investing activities	(508,965)	(604,802)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Long-term debt proceeds	222,631	464,026
Long-term debt payments	(222,265)	(86,419)
Increase in short-term borrowings, net	297,413	206,672
Other	5,373	(6,147)
Net cash provided by financing activities	303,152	578,132
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(146,273)	302,952
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	579,069	167,659
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 432,796	\$ 470,611
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid for—		
Interest (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ 173,307	\$ 161,457
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:	,	,
Plant expenditures included in ending accounts payable and other long-term liabilities	\$ 95,797	\$ (977)
Acquired power purchase and sale liability	<b>\$</b> —	\$ 98,100

# Oglethorpe Power Corporation Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements September 30, 2010 and 2009

- (A) General. The condensed financial statements included in this report have been prepared by us pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. In the opinion of management, the information furnished in this report reflects all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) and estimates necessary to fairly state, in all material respects, the results for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations, although we believe that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, as filed with the SEC. The results of operations for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year. As noted in our 2009 Form 10-K, substantially all of our sales are to our 39 electric distribution cooperative members and, thus, the receivables on the accompanying balance sheets are principally from our members. (See "Notes to Financial Statements" in our 2009 Form 10-K.)
- (B) Fair Value Measurements. Authoritative guidance regarding fair value measurements for financial and non-financial assets and liabilities defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

The guidance establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

- Level 1. Quoted prices from active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the
  reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur
  in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.
  Quoted prices in active markets provide the most reliable evidence of fair value and are
  used to measure fair value whenever available. Level 1 primarily consists of financial
  instruments that are exchange-traded.
- Level 2. Pricing inputs other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. Level 2 includes financial instruments that are valued using models or other valuation methodologies. These models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors, and current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. Level 2 primarily consists of financial instruments that are non-exchange-traded but have significant observable inputs.
- Level 3. Pricing inputs that include significant inputs which are generally less observable from objective sources. These inputs may include internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value. Level 3 financial instruments are those whose fair value is based on significant unobservable inputs.

As required by the guidance, assets and liabilities measured at fair value are based on one or more of the following three valuation techniques:

- 1. *Market approach*. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities (including a business) and deriving fair value based on these inputs.
- 2. *Income approach*. The income approach uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts (for example, cash flows or earnings) to a single present amount (discounted). The measurement is based on the value indicated by current market expectations about those future amounts.
- 3. Cost approach. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (often referred to as current replacement cost). This approach assumes that the fair value would not exceed what it would cost a market participant to acquire or construct a substitute asset or comparable utility, adjusted for obsolescence.

The tables below detail assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the periods ended September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

		Fair Value Measu	Date Using		
	September 30,	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs	
	2010	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	
		(dollars in t	housands)		
Decommissioning funds					
Domestic equity	\$ 84,984	\$ 84,984	\$ —	\$ —	
Corporate bonds	50,894	50,894	_	_	
International equity	40,308	40,308	_	_	
U.S. Treasury and government agency					
securities	43,896	43,896	_	_	
Agency mortgage and asset backed					
securities	29,220	29,220	_	_	
Municipal bonds	1,525	1,525	_		
Derivative instruments	(523)	_	_	(523)	
Other	1,635	1,635	_	`—	
Bond, reserve and construction funds	2,877	2,877	_		
Long-term investments	91,031	66,937	_	$24,094^{(1)}$	
Natural gas swaps	(4,724)	_	(4,724)	_	
Deposit on Rocky Mountain					
transactions	121,556	_	_	121,556	
Investments in associated companies	54,794	_	_	54,794	
Total	\$517,473	\$322,276	\$(4,724)	\$199,921	

		Fair Value Measu	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using				
	December 31,	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs			
	2009	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)			
		(dollars in tl	nousands)				
Decommissioning funds:							
Domestic equity	\$ 89,723	\$ 89,723	\$ —	\$ —			
Corporate bonds	48,317	48,317	_	_			
International equity	40,951	40,951	_	_			
U.S. Treasury and government agency							
securities	35,137	35,137	_				
Agency mortgage and asset backed							
securities	21,383	21,383	_				
Preferred stock	1,463	_	1,463				
Municipal bonds	1,267	1,267	_				
Derivative instruments	(260)	_	_	(260)			
Other	1,765	1,765	_				
Bond, reserve and construction funds	3,982	3,982	_				
Long-term investments	87,129	60,119	_	$27,010^{(1)}$			
Natural gas swaps	(12,516)	_	(12,516)				
Deposit on Rocky Mountain transactions	115,641	_	_	115,641			
Investments in associated companies	53,199	_	_	53,199			
Total	\$487,181	\$302,644	\$(11,053)	\$195,590			

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents auction rate securities investments we hold.

The following tables present the changes in our Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010				
	Decommissioning funds	Long-term investments	Deposit on Rocky Mountain transactions	Investments in associated companies	
		(dollars in	thousands)		
Assets:					
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$(311)	\$24,485	\$119,542	\$55,329	
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):					
Included in earnings (or changes in net					
assets)	(212)		2,014	(535)	
Impairment included in other					
comprehensive deficit		9	_	_	
Purchases, issuances, liquidations		(400)	_		
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$(523)	\$24,094	\$121,556	\$54,794	

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010					
Decommissioning funds	Long-term investments	Deposit on Rocky Mountain transactions	Investments in associated companies		
	(dollars in the	housands)			
\$(260)	\$27,010	\$115,641	\$53,199		
(263)	_	5,915	1,595		
_	184	_	_		
_	(3,100)	_			
\$(523)	\$24,094	\$121,556	\$54,794		
	Decommissioning funds	Decommissioning funds         Long-term investments           (dollars in t           \$(260)         \$27,010           (263)         —           —         184           —         (3,100)	Decommissioning funds         Long-term investments         Deposit on Rocky Mountain transactions           \$(260)         \$27,010         \$115,641           (263)         —         5,915           —         184         —           —         (3,100)         —		

Three I	Months Ended	September 30,	2009
Decommissioning funds	Long-term investments	Deposit on Rocky Mountain transactions	Investments in associated companies
	(dollars in t	housands)	
\$ 8,661	\$29,299	\$111,868	\$53,491
31	_	1,886	(1,140)
_	27	_	_
(3,153)	(700)		
\$ 5,539	\$28,626	\$113,754	\$52,351
	Decommissioning funds  \$ 8,661  31  — (3,153)	Decommissioning funds         Long-term investments           \$ 8,661         \$29,299           31         —           —         27           (3,153)         (700)	Decommissioning funds         Long-term investments         Rocky Mountain transactions           (dollars in thousands)           \$ 8,661         \$29,299         \$111,868           31         —         1,886           —         27         —           (3,153)         (700)         —

	Nine N	Months Ended S	September 30, 2	2009			
	Decommissioning funds	Long-term investments	Deposit on Rocky Mountain transactions	Investments in associated companies			
		(dollars in tl	s in thousands)				
Assets:							
Balance at January 1, 2009	\$ 6,085	\$29,643	\$108,219	\$43,441			
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):							
Included in earnings (or changes in net assets)	31	_	5,535	8,910			
Impairment included in other comprehensive							
deficit	_	(117)	_	_			
Purchases, issuances, liquidations	(577)	(900)					
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$ 5,539	\$28,626	\$113,754	\$52,351			

Realized gains and losses included in earnings for the period are reported in investment income.

The assets included in the "Long-term investments" column in each of the Level 3 tables above are auction rate securities. As a result of market conditions, including the failure of auctions for the auction rate securities in which we invested, the fair value of these auction rate securities was determined using an income approach based on a discounted cash flow model. The discounted cash flow model utilized projected cash flows at current rates, which was adjusted for illiquidity premiums based on discussions with market participants. At September 30, 2010, we held auction rate securities with maturity dates ranging from March 15, 2028 to December 1, 2045.

At December 31, 2009, we had a total temporary impairment of \$1,690,000 on our investments in auction rate securities. Based on the fair value of these auction rate securities as of September 30, 2010, we recorded a reduction to the temporary impairment of approximately \$184,000. The temporary impairment is reflected in "Accumulated other comprehensive deficit" on the condensed unaudited balance sheets. The various assumptions we utilize to determine the fair value of our auction rate securities investments will vary from period to period based on the prevailing economic conditions. If the market for our auction rate securities investments should deteriorate, we may need to increase the illiquidity premium used in preparing a discounted cash flow model for these securities. A 25 basis point increase in the illiquidity premium used to determine the fair value of these investments at September 30, 2010, would have resulted in a decrease in the fair value of our auction rate securities investments by approximately \$1,310,000. These investments were rated either A3 or Aaa by Moody's Investors Service and AAA by Standard and Poor's as of September 30, 2010. Because we have the ability and intent to hold these investments until we recover our original investment value, we considered the investments to be only temporarily impaired at September 30, 2010.

(C) Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Our executive risk management committee provides general oversight over all risk management activities, including but not limited to, commodity trading and investment portfolio management. We use commodity trading derivatives, which are designated as hedging instruments under authoritative guidance for Accounting for Derivatives and Hedging Activities, to manage our exposure to fluctuations in the market price of natural gas. Consistent with our rate-making treatment for energy costs which are flowed-through to our members, unrealized gains or losses on the natural gas swaps are reflected as an unbilled receivable. Within our nuclear decommissioning trust fund, derivatives including options, swaps and credit default swaps which are non-speculative, are utilized to mitigate volatility associated with duration, default, yield curve and the interest rate risks of the portfolio. We do not hold or enter into derivative transactions for trading or speculative purposes. Consistent with our rate-making treatment, unrealized gains or losses from the decommissioning trust fund are recorded as an increase or decrease to the regulatory asset or liability.

Under the natural gas swap arrangements, we pay the counterparty a fixed price for specified natural gas quantities and receive a payment for such quantities based on a market price index. These payment obligations are netted, such that if the market price index is lower than the fixed price, we will make a net payment, and if the market price index is higher than the fixed price, we will receive a net payment.

At September 30, 2010, the estimated fair value of our natural gas contracts was an unrealized loss of approximately \$4,724,000. See Note B for further discussion on fair value measurements of financial instruments.

We are exposed to credit risk as a result of entering into these hedging arrangements. Credit risk is the potential loss resulting from a counterparty's nonperformance under an agreement. We manage credit risk with policies and procedures for, among other things, counterparty analysis, exposure measurement, and exposure monitoring and mitigation.

It is possible that volatility in commodity prices could cause us to have credit risk exposures with one or more counterparties. If such counterparties fail to perform their obligations, we could suffer a financial loss. However, as of September 30, 2010, all of the counterparties with transaction amounts outstanding in our hedging portfolio are rated above investment grade by the major rating agencies or have provided a guaranty from one of their affiliates that is rated above investment grade.

We have entered into International Swaps and Derivatives Association Agreements with our natural gas hedge counterparties that mitigate credit exposure by creating contractual rights relating to creditworthiness, collateral, termination and netting (which allows us to use the net value of affected transactions with the same counterparty in the event of default by the counterparty or early termination of the agreement).

Additionally, we have implemented procedures to monitor the creditworthiness of our counterparties and to evaluate nonperformance in valuing counterparty positions. We have contracted with a third party to assist in monitoring counterparties' credit standing, including those experiencing financial problems, significant swings in credit default swap rates, credit rating changes by external rating agencies, or changes in ownership. Net liability positions are generally not adjusted as we use derivative transactions as hedges and have the ability and intent to perform under each of our contracts. In the instance of net asset positions, we consider general market conditions and the observable financial health and outlook of specific counterparties, forward looking data such as credit default swaps, when available, and historical default probabilities from credit rating agencies in evaluating the potential impact of nonperformance risk to derivative positions.

The contractual agreements contain provisions that could require us or the counterparty to post collateral or credit support. The amount of collateral or credit support that could be required is calculated as the difference between the aggregate fair value of the hedges and pre-established credit thresholds. The credit thresholds are contingent upon each party's credit standing and credit ratings from the major credit rating agencies. The collateral and credit support requirements vary by contract and by counterparty. We may only post credit support in the form of a letter of credit due to provisions within our Rural Utilities Service Loan Contract; however, we may receive collateral in the form of cash or credit support. As of September 30, 2010, neither we nor any counterparties were required to post credit support or collateral under any of these agreements. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements were triggered on September 30, 2010 due to our credit rating being downgraded below investment grade, we could have been required to post letters of credit totaling up to \$4,724,000 with our counterparties.

The following table reflects the volume activity of our natural gas derivatives and derivatives within our nuclear decommissioning trust fund as of September 30, 2010 that are expected to settle or mature each year:

Year	Natural Gas Swaps (MMBTUs) (in millions)	Decommissioning Fund Derivative Instruments (in millions)
2010	0.64	\$(1.40)
2011	2.55	(0.60)
2012	0.38	0.20
2013	_	(3.80)
2014	_	(1.92)
2015	_	(0.20)
2016		(0.08)
Total	3.57	<b>\$</b> (7.80)

The table below reflects the fair value of derivative instruments and their effect on our condensed unaudited balance sheet for the period ended September 30, 2010.

	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	Fair Value
		(dollars in
Designated as hedges under authoritative guidance related to derivatives and hedging activities:		thousands)
Assets Natural Gas Swaps	Receivables Receivables	\$ 4,750 (26)
Total assets designated as hedges under authoritative guidance related to derivatives and hedging activities		<b>\$ 4,724</b>
Liabilities Natural Gas Swaps	Other current liabilities Other current liabilities	\$ 4,750 (26)
Total liabilities designated as hedges under authoritative guidance related to derivatives and hedging activities		\$ 4,724
Not designated as hedges under authoritative guidance related to derivatives and hedging activities:		
Assets  Nuclear decommissioning trust	Decommissioning fund Decommissioning fund Deferred asset associated with retirement obligations	\$ 20,995 (21,518) 21,003
Nuclear decommissioning trust	Deferred asset associated with retirement obligations	(21,071)
Total not designated as hedges under authoritative guidance related to derivatives and hedging activities		<u>\$ (591)</u>

The following table presents the gains and (losses) on derivative instruments recognized in income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

# Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Condensed Statement of Revenues and Expenses

	Income Statement Location	Three months ended	Nine months ended
		(dollars in t	housands)
Designated as hedges under authoritative guidance relate and hedging activities	ed to derivatives		
Natural Gas Swaps	Purchase power	\$(12,383)	\$(17,824)
Not designated as hedges under authoritative guidance rand hedging activities	elated to derivatives		
Nuclear decommissioning trust	Investment income	1,042	2,107
Nuclear decommissioning trust	Investment income	<u>(999)</u>	(2,048)
Total losses on derivatives		<u>\$(12,340)</u>	<u>\$(17,765)</u>

<sup>(</sup>D) Investments in Debt and Equity Securities: Under Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, investment securities we hold are classified as either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. Available-for-sale securities are carried at market value with unrealized gains and losses, net of any tax effect, added to or deducted from patronage capital. Unrealized gains and losses from investment securities held in the decommissioning fund, which are also classified as available-for-sale, are directly added to or deducted from deferred asset retirement obligations costs. Held-to-maturity securities are carried at cost. There were no held-to-maturity securities as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. All realized and unrealized gains and losses were determined using the specific identification method. Approximately 25% of these gross unrealized losses were in effect for less than one year. The total gross unrealized losses were primarily due to investments in fixed income securities held in the nuclear decommissioning trust fund. Consistent with our ratemaking, unrealized gains and losses from the decommissioning trust fund are recorded as an increase or decrease to the regulatory asset.

For those securities considered to be available-for-sale, the following table summarizes the activities for those securities as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

		(dollars in	thousands)	
		Gross U	Inrealized	
September 30, 2010	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Equity	\$136,650	\$31,305	\$ (6,197)	\$161,758
Debt	174,709	32,557	(24,683)	182,583
Other	1,502	4	_	1,506
Total	\$312,861	\$63,866	\$(30,880)	\$345,847

		Gross U	Inrealized	
December 31, 2009	Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Equity	\$127,704	\$35,003	\$ (3,671)	\$159,036
Debt	170,033	15,685	(13,089)	172,629
Other	(815)	7	` <u> </u>	(808)
Total	\$296,922	\$50,695	\$(16,760)	\$330,857

- (E) Recently Issued or Adopted Accounting Pronouncements. In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures—Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The new guidance provides for improved disclosure requirements about fair value measurements and requires a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. The guidance also clarifies that fair value measurement disclosures are required for each asset class. In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), the standard also requires a reporting entity to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements (that is, on a gross basis rather than as one number). We adopted this new guidance beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2010 except that the requirement to present Level 3 activity separately is not effective for us until the quarter ending March 31, 2011. The adoption of the standard did not have a material effect on our disclosures.
- (F) Accumulated Comprehensive Deficit. The table below provides detail of the beginning and ending balance for each classification of accumulated other comprehensive deficit along with the amount of any reclassification adjustments included in margin for each of the periods presented in the Condensed Statements of Patronage Capital and Membership Fees and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Deficit. There were no material changes in the nature, timing or amounts of expected (gain) loss reclassified to net margin from the amounts disclosed in our 2009 Form 10-K.

Our effective tax rate is zero; therefore, all amounts below are presented net of tax.

	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Deficit Three Months Ended		
	Available-for-sale Securities	Total	
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$(1,427)	\$(1,427)	
Unrealized gain	342	342	
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$(1,085)	\$(1,085)	
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$ (220)	\$ (220)	
Unrealized gain	52	52	
Balance at September 30, 2010	<b>\$</b> (168)	\$ (168)	
	Accumulated Comprehensive Nine Months	Deficit	
	Comprehensive	Deficit	
Balance at December 31, 2008	Comprehensive Nine Months Available-for-sale	Deficit Ended  Total	
Balance at December 31, 2008	Comprehensive Nine Months  Available-for-sale Securities	Deficit Ended  Total	
	Available-for-sale Securities  \$(1,348)	Deficit   Ended     Total     \$(1,348)     263	
Unrealized gain	Available-for-sale Securities  \$(1,348)  263	Total \$(1,348) 263 \$(1,085)	
Unrealized gain	Available-for-sale Securities  \$(1,348)  263  \$(1,085)	Total \$(1,348)	

<sup>(</sup>G) *Environmental Matters*. There are a number of environmental matters that could have an effect on our financial condition or results of operations. At this time, the resolution of these matters is uncertain, and we have made no accruals for such contingencies and cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss with respect to these matters.

As is typical for electric utilities, we are subject to various federal, state and local air and water quality requirements which, among other things, regulate emissions of pollutants, such as particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and mercury into the air and discharges of other pollutants, including heat, into waters of the United States. We are also subject to federal, state and local waste disposal requirements that regulate the manner of transportation, storage and disposal of various types of waste. In the future, we may become subject to greenhouse gas emission restrictions as a result of regulation aimed at responding to climate change.

In general, environmental requirements are becoming increasingly stringent. New requirements may substantially increase the cost of electric service by requiring changes in the design or operation of existing facilities or changes or delays in the location, design, construction or operation of new facilities. See "BUSINESS—ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER REGULATION" in our 2009 Form 10-K for a more detailed discussion of current and potential future regulations. Failure to comply with these requirements could result in the imposition of civil and criminal penalties as well as the complete shutdown of individual generating units not in compliance. Certain of our debt instruments require us to comply in all material respects with laws, rules, regulations and orders imposed by applicable governmental authorities, which include current or future environmental laws and regulations. Should we fail to be in compliance with these requirements, it would constitute a default under such debt instruments. Although it is our intent to comply with applicable current and future regulations, we cannot provide assurance that we will always be in compliance with such requirements.

- (H) Restricted cash. The restricted cash balance at September 30, 2010 consisted of \$6,299,000 of clean renewable energy bond proceeds on deposit with CoBank to fund a clean renewable energy project at the Rocky Mountain Pumped Storage Hydroelectric facility.
- (I) Restricted short-term investments. At September 30, 2010, we had \$80,771,000 on deposit with the Rural Utilities Service in the Cushion of Credit Account. The restricted funds will be utilized for future Rural Utilities Service/Federal Financing Bank debt service payments. The deposit earns interest at a Rural Utilities Service guaranteed rate of 5% per annum.
- (J) Member Power Bill Prepayments. In December 2008, we instituted a power bill prepayment program pursuant to which members can prepay their power bills from us at a discount based on our avoided cost of borrowing. The prepayments are credited against the participating members' power bills in the month(s) agreed upon in advance. The discounts are credited each and every month against the power bills and are recorded on our books as a reduction to member revenues. At September 30, 2010, member power bill prepayments as reflected on the condensed balance sheets, including unpaid discounts, were \$84,683,000, of which, \$64,963,000 is classified as current liabilities and \$19,720,000 as deferred credits and other liabilities. The prepayments are being applied against members' power bills through May 2015, with the majority of the remaining balance scheduled to be applied in 2011.
- (K) Bond Issuance. In March 2010, the Development Authority of Burke County (Georgia) and the Development Authority of Monroe County (Georgia) issued, on our behalf, \$133,550,000 in aggregate principal amount of tax-exempt pollution control revenue bonds for the purpose of refunding certain pollution control revenue bonds previously issued by the development authorities on our behalf. We redeemed the prior bonds on April 1, 2010.
- (L) Subsequent Events. We have evaluated subsequent events up until the time that our financial statements were issued.

On November 9, 2010, we issued \$450,000,000 of taxable first mortgage bonds primarily for the purpose of funding a portion of the cost of constructing Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4. A substantial portion of the proceeds were used to repay outstanding short-term borrowings in connection with payments previously made for construction of this facility. The first mortgage bonds are secured under our first mortgage indenture.

# Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations General

We are a Georgia electric membership corporation (an EMC) incorporated in 1974 and headquartered in metropolitan Atlanta. We are owned by our 39 retail electric distribution cooperative members. Our members are consumer-owned distribution cooperatives providing retail electric service in Georgia on a not-for-profit basis. Our principal business is providing wholesale electric power to our members through a combination of our generation assets, and to a lesser extent, power purchased from power marketers and other suppliers. As with cooperatives generally, we operate on a not-for-profit basis.

# Forward-Looking Statements and Associated Risks

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements, including statements regarding, among other items, (i) anticipated financing transactions by us, (ii) our future capital expenditure requirements and funding sources and (iii) achievement of a margins for interest ratio at the minimum requirement contained in our first mortgage indenture and, in the case that our board of directors approves a budget for a particular fiscal year that seeks to achieve a higher margins for interest ratio, such higher board-approved margins for interest ratio. These forward-looking statements are based largely on our current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. For a discussion of some factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated by these forward-looking statements, see "Item 1A—RISK FACTORS" contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurance that events anticipated by the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q will in fact transpire.

# **Results of Operations**

For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

### Net Margin

Throughout the year, we monitor our operating results and, with board approval, make budget adjustments when and as necessary to ensure our targeted margins for interest ratio is achieved. Under the first mortgage indenture, we are required to establish and collect rates that are reasonably expected, together with our other revenues, to yield at least a 1.10 margins for interest ratio in each fiscal year. However, to enhance margin coverage during this period of generation facility construction and acquisition, our board of directors approved budgets for 2010 and 2011 to achieve a 1.14 margins for interest ratio. As our construction program evolves, our board of directors will continue to evaluate the level of margin coverage and may choose to change the targeted margins for interest ratio in the future, although not below the 1.10 margins for interest ratio required under our first mortgage indenture.

Our net margin for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 was \$13.8 million and \$35.9 million compared to \$11.4 million and \$39.8 million for the same periods of 2009. Through September 30, 2010, we have collected 106% of our targeted net margin of \$33.8 million for the year ending December 31, 2010. This is typical as our management generally budgets conservatively and makes adjustments to the budget throughout the year so that net margins will achieve, but not exceed, the targeted margins for interest ratio of 1.14.

# Operating Revenues

Our operating revenues fluctuate from period to period based on several factors, including weather and other seasonal factors, load requirements in our members' service territories, operating costs, availability of electric generation resources, our decisions of whether to dispatch our owned or

purchased resources or member-owned resources over which we have dispatch rights and members' decisions of whether to purchase a portion of their hourly energy requirements from our resources or from other suppliers.

Total revenues from sales to members were 20.1% and 12.3% higher in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 than for the same periods of 2009. Megawatt-hour sales to members increased 19.2% and 11.9% for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 versus the same periods of 2009. The average total revenue per megawatt-hour from sales to members increased 0.7% and 0.3% for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009.

The components of member revenues for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (amounts in thousands except for cents per kilowatt-hour):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Months otember 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Capacity revenues	\$ 172,217 198,236	\$ 166,527 141,887	\$ 514,435 485,809	\$ 495,687 394,959
Total	\$ 370,453	\$ 308,414	\$ 1,000,244	\$ 890,646
Kilowatt-hours sold to members	6,649,453 5.57¢	5,576,812 5.53¢	17,451,164 5.73¢	15,590,051 5.71¢

Capacity revenues for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 increased 3.4% and 3.8% compared to the same periods of 2009. This increase in capacity revenues primarily resulted from higher budgeted fixed operations and maintenance expenses and depreciation expenses. Energy revenues were 39.7% and 23.0% higher for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009. Our average energy revenue per megawatt-hour from sales to members was 17.2% and 9.9% higher for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the same periods of 2009. The increase in total energy revenues was primarily due to the pass-through to our members of higher fuel costs (primarily due to higher coal-fired generation). For a discussion of fuel costs, see "Operating Expenses" below.

#### Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 increased 24.5% and 15.0% compared to the same periods of 2009. This increase in operating expenses was primarily due to higher fuel costs, higher production expenses and higher depreciation expenses, offset somewhat by a decrease in purchased power costs.

For the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010, total fuel costs increased 69.5% and 36.2% and total megawatt-hour generation increased 21.7% and 13.8% compared to the same periods of 2009. Average fuel costs per megawatt-hour increased 39.3% and 19.8% in the three- and nine-month periods of 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009. This increase in total fuel costs resulted primarily from higher coal-fired generation at Plant Scherer and Plant Wansley. The increase in average fuel costs during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009 resulted primarily from a 23.8% or 1,559,000 megawatt-hour increase in generation at Plant Scherer and Plant Wansley due to significantly less scheduled outage time in 2010 as compared to 2009. In addition, total natural gas-fired generation increased 18.0% or 333,000 megawatt-hours for the nine-months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the same period of 2009. The average fuel cost per megawatt-hour of coal- and gas-fired generation is substantially higher than nuclear generation;

thus, the increase in coal- and gas-fired generation was the primary contributor to the increase in average fuel costs per megawatt-hour of generation.

Production expenses increased 19.6% and 17.6% for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009. This increase is partly attributable to increased general operations and maintenance expenses at the jointly owned plants (Plants Hatch, Vogtle, Wansley and Scherer) during the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 and partly due to operations and maintenance expenses for the Hawk Road and Hartwell Energy Facilities incurred in 2010. We acquired these facilities in May and October of 2009, respectively.

Total purchased power costs decreased 44.3% and 41.7% for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009. Purchased megawatt-hours decreased 70.6% and 54.1% for the three- and nine-month periods of 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009. The average cost per megawatt-hour of total purchased power increased 89.8% and 27.1% for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009.

Purchased power costs were as follows (amounts in thousands except for cents per kilowatt-hour):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Capacity costs	\$ 3,848 20,873	\$ 11,407 32,942	\$ 11,905 48,441	\$ 33,114 70,431
Total	\$24,721	\$ 44,349	\$ 60,346	\$103,545
Kilowatt-hours of purchased power	64,711 38.20¢	220,306 20.13¢	291,339 20.71¢	635,251 16.30¢

Purchased power capacity costs decreased 66.3% and 64.1% in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009. Purchased power energy costs for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 decreased 36.7% and 31.2% compared to the same periods of 2009. The average cost per kilowatt-hour of purchased power energy increased 115.7% and 50.0% for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009. The decrease in purchased power capacity costs is primarily attributable to the Hartwell acquisition. As part of the acquisition, we acquired an existing power purchase agreement we had in place with the former owners of Hartwell. The decrease in purchased power energy costs resulted from (i) a decrease in megawatt-hours acquired under our energy replacement program, which replaces power from our owned generation facilities with lower price spot market purchased power energy, (ii) lower realized losses incurred for natural gas financial contracts utilized for managing exposure to fluctuations in the market prices of natural gas and (iii) no power purchases under the Hartwell power purchase agreement in 2010 as a result of our acquisition of Hartwell in October 2009.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased 3.3% and 11.2% in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the same periods of 2009. The increase was primarily due to increased depreciation expense for Plants Scherer and Wansley related to capital expenditures for environmental compliance projects, and to a lesser extent, depreciation expense related to Hawk Road and Hartwell, which were acquired in May and October of 2009, respectively.

# Interest charges

Interest expense increased by 11.0% and 11.9% in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009. This increase was primarily due to the issuance in November 2009 of \$400 million of taxable fixed rate bonds for the purpose of financing construction of Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4.

Allowance for debt funds used during construction increased by 163.2% and 128.5% in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009 primarily due to construction expenditures for Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4.

Amortization of debt discount and expense increased 7.4% and 29.7% in the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009 partly due to amortization of issuance costs associated with transactions that closed in May and August 2009 to provide supplemental credit enhancement for the Rocky Mountain lease arrangements and partly due to the amortization of losses on debt refinancing associated with the Hartwell acquisition.

#### **Financial Condition**

Balance Sheet Analysis as of September 30, 2010

Assets

Cash used for property additions for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 totaled \$524.3 million. Of this amount, approximately \$308 million was associated with the construction expenditures for Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4. The remaining expenditures were primarily for environmental control systems being installed at Plant Scherer, normal additions and replacements to existing generation facilities and purchases of nuclear fuel.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$146.3 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 and can be largely attributed to expenditures of approximately \$524.3 million for property additions and a net application of \$115.8 million of the members' prepayments of their power bills. Other significant uses of cash include principal and interest payments and payments to Georgia Power Company for operation and maintenance costs at our facilities co-owned with Georgia Power. Short-term borrowings and long-term debt proceeds of \$297.4 million and \$222.6 million, respectively, were significant sources of cash during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010.

The \$6.3 million restricted cash balance at September 30, 2010 consisted of the remaining proceeds obtained from the issuance of clean renewable energy bonds in December 2009. The proceeds from the clean renewable energy bonds are restricted in use for certain qualifying expenditures. The \$16.1 million decrease in restricted cash for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 was due in part to the expenditure of \$5.2 million for such qualifying costs. In addition, \$10.9 million of restricted cash, the proceeds from a December 2009 bond refinancing, was utilized to payoff the principal amount of the refinanced pollution control revenue bonds that matured in January 2010.

Receivables increased by \$17.4 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. The December 31, 2009 receivables balance included approximately \$20.7 million of credits available to the members for a board approved reduction to 2009 revenue requirements as a result of margins collected in excess of our 2009 target 1.12 margins for interest ratio. The increase in receivables was largely due to these credits being utilized by the members during 2010. The receivable for amounts billed or billable to the members for their monthly power bills also increased by approximately \$1.7 million in September 2010 compared to December 2009. Receivables from Smarr EMC for costs incurred for operation of its facilities also increased by \$2.5 million. These increases were partially offset by a \$7.8 million decrease in the receivable from the members associated with natural gas derivatives. This decrease was largely due to the settlement of certain natural gas contracts. For information regarding the natural gas contracts, see Note C of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements.

Inventories, including fossil fuel and spare parts inventories, decreased by \$24.1 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a \$27.6 million decrease in coal fuel inventories, largely a result of a planned reduction in coal fuel inventory balances.

Prepayments and other current assets increased by \$4.4 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 primarily as a result of an increase in prepaid insurance balances.

The \$9.5 million decrease in deferred amortization of capital leases for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to regular monthly amortization.

The deferred tax asset represents an offset to the liability recorded for unrecognized tax benefits due to an uncertain tax position. We are carrying forward significant regular tax and alternative minimum tax net operating losses. As a result, any regular tax liability in open tax years related to an uncertain tax position would be offset by regular net operating losses. At December 31, 2009, we had recognized a \$24.0 million liability for an uncertain tax position and consequently an offsetting \$24.0 million deferred asset. The uncertain tax position relates to the 2006 tax year for which the U.S. federal statute of limitations expired during the third quarter of 2010. Accordingly, this liability and the related deferred tax asset were each reduced by \$24.0 million during the third quarter of 2010 to zero.

The \$3.5 million decrease in the deferred asset associated with retirement obligations in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to decommissioning fund earnings. The deferred asset increases or decreases to the extent of timing differences between recognized accretion expense associated with nuclear decommissioning and the amounts recovered through decommissioning fund earnings. Nuclear decommissioning accretion and related expenses of approximately \$11.3 million and decommissioning fund net earnings of approximately \$16.3 million resulted in the deferred charge decreasing by \$5.0 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010. Partially offsetting this decrease was a \$2.0 million decrease in the unrealized gains associated with the nuclear decommissioning fund. Consistent with our ratemaking policy, unrealized gains or losses from the nuclear decommissioning fund are deducted from or added to the deferred asset associated with retirement obligations. The decrease in the nuclear decommissioning fund unrealized gains therefore increased the deferred asset by \$2.0 million.

### Equity and Liabilities

Long-term debt and capital leases due within one year increased \$28.7 million as a result of scheduled debt maturities and the consequent reclassification of certain long-term debt.

The \$297.4 million increase in short-term borrowings was primarily to fund construction of Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4.

Accounts payable increased \$72.9 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to a \$69.8 million increase in the payable to Georgia Power for operation, maintenance and capital costs, primarily associated with construction costs for Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4. In addition, there was a \$3.8 million increase in the payable for natural gas, primarily due to increased generation at the natural gas fired plants in September 2010 compared with December 2009.

The \$13.5 million decrease in accrued interest during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 was due to the normal timing differences between interest payments and interest expense accruals.

Member power bill prepayments represent funds received from the members for prepayment of their monthly power bills. At September 30, 2010, \$65.0 million of member power bill prepayments was classified as a current liability and \$19.7 million of member power bill prepayments was classified as a long-term deferred liability. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, approximately \$66.4 million of prepayments was received from the members and approximately \$182.2 million was applied to the members' monthly power bills. The application of member prepayments received in the prior year to the current year's power bills significantly reduced net cash provided by operations. For information regarding the power bill prepayment program, see Note J of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements and see "Financial Condition—Capital Requirements and Liquidity and Sources of Capital—Liquidity" herein.

Other current liabilities decreased by \$10.7 million during nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to a \$6.2 million decrease in accruals for other miscellaneous payables. This decrease was largely due to the payment and true-up of estimated operation and maintenance costs. The liability associated with natural gas derivatives also decreased by \$7.8 million primarily due to the settlement of certain natural gas contracts. Partially offsetting these increases was a \$3.6 million liability established as a result of the receipt of certain grant monies relating to energy efficiency programs.

Primarily as a result of incurring approximately \$6.4 million of removal costs for the retirement of certain assets, accumulated retirement costs for other obligations decreased by \$5.2 million during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010.

The long-term contingent liability represents a liability recorded for unrecognized tax benefits. The \$24 million decrease was the result of the expiration of the statute of limitations for the 2006 tax year. See the deferred tax asset discussion above for more information.

The \$12.5 million decrease in the power sale agreement, which we assumed as part of the acquisition of Heard County Power L.L.C. in May 2009, in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 was due to regular monthly amortization.

Other deferred credits and liabilities increased \$42.3 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 partially due to a \$19.7 million liability established in 2010 for long-term contract retention payables associated with the Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4 construction. The increase was also partially due to a \$10.5 million increase in the regulatory liability established to defer the effects on net margin that result from Hawk Road Energy Facility operations. Also contributing to the increase was a \$7.5 million increase in funding received from the members for future debt payments related to the Talbot and Chattahoochee Energy Facilities, as well as a \$4.2 million increase in funding for the future overhaul of the combustion turbine plants.

# Capital Requirements and Liquidity and Sources of Capital

#### Future Power Resources

To meet the energy needs of our members, we have embarked on a generation expansion program. On October 26, 2010, we entered into a non-binding term sheet with a third party to acquire natural gas-fired generation facilities. In addition to significantly greater generation capacity, the purchase price for the facilities is expected to be less than the cost projected for us to construct a previously disclosed 605-megawatt combined cycle plant. The proposed acquisition remains subject to the completion of our due diligence and approval process, including subscription by our members and approval by our members and our Board of Directors, as well as negotiation of definitive agreements with the third party and, as a result, may not result in a completed transaction. If we complete this acquisition, we anticipate that it will close in early 2011.

If we acquire these facilities, we would revise our plans to construct future generation resources to reflect this additional generation capacity and our members' projected power supply needs. Completing this acquisition would affect two generation projects currently under development, a 605-megawatt combined cycle plant and the 100-megawatt Warren County biomass plant, which are projected to cost us approximately \$750 million and \$477 million, including allowance for funds used during construction, respectively. Upon closing, we would cancel the combined cycle plant and indefinitely defer the Warren County biomass plant while we continue to monitor regulatory and legislative uncertainties related to biomass electricity generation. These actions would reduce our previously disclosed projected capital expenditures by approximately \$250 million through 2012 and by a total of approximately \$1.2 billion through 2015, exclusive of expenditures at closing related to the purchase price of the target facilities. To date, the expenditures on the combined cycle and biomass plant have not been material. This acquisition would have no effect on our participation in the construction of Plant Vogtle Units No. 3

and No. 4. We will continue to evaluate other generation resource development opportunities to help meet our members' projected power supply needs over the next ten years.

See "Financing Activities" herein for a discussion of how we plan to finance the acquisition of the gas-fired facilities, should the acquisition be completed. For further discussion of our planned future generation resources and projected capital expenditures, see "BUSINESS—OUR POWER SUPPLY RESOURCES—Future Power Resources" in our 2009 Form 10-K and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Financial Condition—Capital Requirements and Liquidity and Sources of Capital—Future Power Resources" and "—Capital Expenditures" in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010.

## Environmental Regulations

Several environmental regulation-related developments have occurred since our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was filed for the second quarter of 2010 was filed with the SEC. The final rule issued on June 22, 2010 revising the sulfur dioxide standard has now been challenged. After EPA's proposal of the Transport Rule on August 2, 2010, EPA issued a Notice of Data Availability on September 1, 2010, updating certain analyses used to derive the emissions limitations in the Transport Rule and issued a second Notice of Data Availability on October 27, 2010. Also, EPA received approval from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit to delay the finalization of the proposed national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants for industrial boilers proposed on June 4, 2010 for one month until January 16, 2011. This rule and the proposed Transport Rule could undergo substantial revision prior to finalization, at which time they too might be challenged. We cannot predict at this time whether any of these developments will ultimately result in the further regulation of emissions from our existing or future power plants, or the effects of any such regulation, including any resulting capital requirements.

# Liquidity

At September 30, 2010, we had \$862.8 million of unrestricted available liquidity to meet our short-term cash needs and liquidity requirements. This amount included \$432.8 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$430 million of unused and available committed short-term credit arrangements. As discussed above, cash and cash equivalents decreased by approximately \$146.3 million during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 mainly due to expenditures for property additions and the application of member power bill prepayments to power bills. Short-term borrowings and long-term debt proceeds of \$297.4 million and \$222.6 million, respectively, were significant sources of cash during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010.

Our short-term credit facilities are shown in the table below. We expect to renew these short-term credit facilities, as needed, prior to their respective expiration dates.

	Authorized Amount	Available 9/30/2010	Expiration Date
Unsecured Facilities:			
Commercial Paper Backup Line of Credit	\$ 475	$1^{(1)}$	July 2012
CoBank Line of Credit	50	0	December 2010
CFC Line of Credit	50	0	October 2011
JPMorgan Chase Line of Credit	150	$29^{(2)}$	December 2012
Secured facilities:			
CoBank Line of Credit	150	150	November 2012
CFC Line of Credit	250	250	December 2013
Total	\$1,125	\$430	

The portion of this facility that is unavailable is supporting commercial paper we have issued.

<sup>(2) \$114</sup> million of this facility is currently utilized as letter of credit support for variable rate pollution control revenue bonds.

Due to the significant amount of expenditures we are incurring relating to environmental compliance projects and construction or acquisition of new generation facilities, we are currently funding our capital requirements through a combination of funds generated from operations and short-term and long-term borrowings. In particular, we are using commercial paper and short-term credit facilities to provide interim financing for the environmental compliance expenditures, for the acquisition of generation facilities and for new generation construction until permanent financing for these projects is in place. In November 2010 we issued \$450 million of long-term first mortgage bonds to fund a portion of the cost of constructing Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4 and used a substantial portion of the bond proceeds to repay short-term borrowings that were providing interim funding for this same purpose. A similar repayment of short-term borrowings occurred in connection with the issuance of \$400 million of long-term first mortgage bonds issued in November 2009. For a more detailed discussion of our plans regarding financing of these facilities, see "—Financing Activities."

In order to further enhance our liquidity position during the peak years of new generation construction, we currently anticipate a restructuring and related upsizing of certain of our short-term credit facilities, including the commercial paper backup credit facility, sometime in 2011. The exact timing, size and term of the restructured credit facilities will be influenced by many factors, including the ultimate size of our construction program, the timing of permanent financing for new generation facilities and overall market conditions.

Under the commercial paper program, we are authorized to issue commercial paper in amounts that do not exceed the amount of any committed backup lines of credit in place, thereby providing 100% dedicated backup support for any paper outstanding. We periodically assess our needs in order to determine the appropriate amount of commercial paper backup to maintain and currently have in place a \$475 million committed backup credit facility provided by eight participant banks, with Bank of America serving as administrative agent for this facility.

Along with the lines of credit from CoBank, the National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation (CFC) and JPMorgan Chase Bank, funds may also be advanced under the backup line of credit supporting commercial paper for general working capital purposes. In addition, under certain of our committed credit facilities we have the ability to issue letters of credit totaling \$450 million in the aggregate, of which approximately \$180 million remained available at September 30, 2010. However, any amounts related to issued letters of credit will reduce the amount available to draw as working capital under those facilities. Also, due to the requirement to have 100% dedicated backup for any commercial paper outstanding, any amounts drawn under the commercial paper backup line for working capital or related to issued letters of credit will reduce the amount of commercial paper that we can issue.

Under the \$250 million line of credit with CFC, we have the option of converting any amounts outstanding under the line of credit to a term loan with a maturity no later than December 31, 2043. Any amounts drawn under the \$250 million CFC line of credit, as well as any amounts converted to a term loan, will be secured under our first mortgage indenture.

Several of our line of credit facilities contain a similar financial covenant that requires us to maintain minimum levels of patronage capital. At September 30, 2010, the required minimum level was \$544.8 million and our actual patronage capital was \$598.1 million. An additional covenant contained in several of our credit facilities limits our secured indebtedness and our unsecured indebtedness, both as defined by these credit facilities, to \$8.5 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively. At September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$4.5 billion of secured indebtedness and \$666 million of unsecured indebtedness outstanding, which was well within the covenant thresholds.

We also have a power bill prepayment program that provides us with an additional source of liquidity. Under the program, members can prepay their power bills from us at a discount for an agreed upon number of months in advance, after which the prepayments are credited against the participating members' monthly power bills. The discount is comparable to our avoided cost of borrowing. As of September 30, 2010, the balance of member prepayments received but not yet credited to their power bills was \$84.7 million, which represented prepayments from sixteen members participating in the program. We began applying the prepayments against participating members' power bills in 2009 and will continue doing so through May 2015, with the majority of the remaining balance scheduled to be applied in 2011. For more information regarding the power bill prepayment program, see Note J of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements.

At September 30, 2010, current assets included \$81 million of restricted short-term investments pursuant to deposits made to a Rural Utilities Service Cushion of Credit Account. The deposits with the U.S. Treasury were made voluntarily and earn interest at a guaranteed rate of 5% per annum. The funds in the account, including interest thereon, can only be applied to debt service payments on Rural Utilities Service notes and Rural Utilities Service-guaranteed Federal Financing Bank notes. The amount on deposit in this account is less than one year's debt service payments owed to the Rural Utilities Service and Federal Financing Bank. Our decisions regarding how to apply the funds are guided by the interest rate environment and our anticipated liquidity needs.

# Financing Activities

Our Indenture. At September 30, 2010 we had \$4.3 billion of long-term debt outstanding under our first mortgage indenture secured equally and ratably by a lien on substantially all of our tangible and some of our intangible assets, including those we acquire in the future. See "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS—Financial Condition—Financing Activities—Our Indenture" in our 2009 Form 10-K for a further discussion of our first mortgage indenture.

We intend to put in place by year-end 2010 an indenture for unsecured debt securities to provide an additional financing alternative, most notably in connection with interim financing related to generation facility acquisitions and new generation construction.

Bond Financings. In March 2010, the Development Authority of Burke County (Georgia) and the Development Authority of Monroe County (Georgia) issued, on our behalf, \$133.6 million in aggregate principal amount of tax-exempt pollution control revenue bonds for the purpose of refunding certain pollution control revenue bonds previously issued by the development authorities on our behalf to finance or refinance the costs of our undivided interests in certain air or water pollution control and sewage or solid waste disposal facilities. The bonds were issued as variable rate demand bonds backed by an irrevocable direct-pay letter of credit for each series of bonds issued by Bank of America. The bonds are secured under our first mortgage indenture.

On November 9, 2010, we issued \$450 million of taxable first mortgage bonds primarily for the purpose of funding a portion of the cost of constructing Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4. A substantial portion of the proceeds were used to repay outstanding short-term borrowings in connection with payments previously made for construction of this facility. The first mortgage bonds were secured under our first mortgage indenture.

We are evaluating the potential for an issuance of a modest amount of new tax-exempt debt in connection with costs related to pollution control equipment being installed at coal-fired Plant Scherer, but the timing and exact amount of this new debt, if any, is uncertain at this time. If issued, this tax-exempt debt will be secured under our first mortgage indenture.

Rural Utilities Service-Guaranteed Loans. We currently have five approved Rural Utilities Service-guaranteed loans, funded through the Federal Financing Bank, totaling \$1.2 billion that are in the process of being drawn down, with \$940 million remaining to be advanced. Two of these loans were approved in the third quarter of 2010, including a loan relating to the acquisition of the Hawk Road Energy Facility (\$203.1 million) and a loan relating to the acquisition of the Hartwell Energy Facility (\$170 million).

We also have three Rural Utilities Service-guaranteed loan applications pending, totaling approximately \$1.3 billion, including a loan application related to the Warren County biomass plant, a loan application related to the 605 MW gas-fired combined cycle plant and a loan application related to general improvements at existing generation facilities (action on this general improvements loan is anticipated in 2011). The President's budget proposal for fiscal year 2011 (which began on October 1, 2010) has not yet been adopted, but if adopted would prohibit Rural Utilities Service funding for (i) improvements to existing fossil-fueled generation facilities unless the improvements are related to carbon-capture projects and (ii) construction of new fossil-fueled generation facilities. Nonetheless, should members subscribe to any additional fossil-fueled facilities, including the gas-fired facilities that we may acquire, we anticipate filing loan applications for those facilities as well to the extent Rural Utilities Service regulations in place at that time allow us to do so. As such, should we complete the acquisition of the natural gas-fired facilities discussed above under "Future Power Resources," we intend to submit a loan application to the Rural Utilities Service for long-term financing of the acquired facility, and at the same time would withdraw our loan application previously submitted to the Rural Utilities Service for the 605 MW gas-fired combined cycle plant. For any amounts not funded through the Rural Utilities Service, we would issue taxable bonds secured under our first mortgage indenture. We are considering a variety of alternatives available to us for interim financing in connection with the potential acquisition of the gas-fired facilities including, but not limited to, using cash on hand, drawing down on our existing credit facilities or new unsecured credit facilities and issuing unsecured notes in transactions registered or exempt under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (see "Our Indenture").

For a more detailed discussion regarding the Rural Utilities Service's current position on funding of generation facilities and a general discussion of the federal programs administered by it, see "BUSINESS—OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION—Relationship with Rural Utilities Service" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

All of the approved Rural Utilities Service loans are expected to be funded through the Federal Financing Bank and guaranteed by the Rural Utilities Service, and the debt will be secured under our first mortgage indenture.

Department of Energy-Guaranteed Loans. We have signed a conditional term sheet with the Department of Energy that sets forth the general terms of a loan and related loan guarantee that would fund approximately 70% of the estimated \$4.2 billion cost to construct our 30% undivided share of Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4, not to exceed \$3.057 billion. The loan structure would entail a loan that is expected to be funded by the Federal Financing Bank carrying a federal loan guarantee provided by the Department of Energy, with the debt secured under our first mortgage indenture.

We are working with the Department of Energy to finalize the loan guarantee. However, final approval and issuance of a loan guarantee by the Department of Energy is subject to receipt of the combined construction permits and operating licenses for Plant Vogtle Units No. 3 and No. 4 from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, negotiation of definitive agreements, completion of due diligence by the Department of Energy and satisfaction of other conditions. Therefore, there can be no assurance that the Department of Energy will ultimately issue the loan guarantee to us. We anticipate that any Plant Vogtle costs not funded under the Department of Energy loan guarantee program would be financed through the issuance of taxable bonds.

Of the approximately \$1.2 billion of currently estimated project costs not expected to be funded under the Department of Energy loan guarantee program, we have already financed \$850 million through the issuance of first mortgage bonds. We expect to issue another approximately \$400 million of first mortgage bonds for this purpose sometime in 2011.

For more detailed information regarding our financing plans, see "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS—Financial Condition—*Financing Activities*" in our 2009 Form 10-K.

# Newly Adopted or Issued Accounting Standards

For a discussion of recently issued or adopted accounting prouncements, see Note E of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Financial Statements herein.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our market risks have not changed materially from the risks reported in our 2009 Form 10-K.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of September 30, 2010, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or other factors that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

# Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are a party to various actions and proceedings incidental to our normal business. Liability in the event of final adverse determination in any of these matters is either covered by insurance or, in the opinion of our management, after consultation with counsel, should not in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have not been any material changes in our risk factors from those reported in "Item 1A—RISK FACTORS" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

# Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not Applicable.

# Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable.

Item 4. Reserved

### Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable.

# Item 6. Exhibits

Number	Description
4.1	Sixth Amended and Restated Loan Contract, dated as of August 13, 2010, between Oglethorpe and the United States of America, together with two notes executed and delivered pursuant thereto.
4.2	Fifty-Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2010, made by Oglethorpe to U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, relating to the Series 2010 (FFB V-8) Note and Series 2010 (RUS V-8) Reimbursement Note.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, by Thomas A. Smith (Principal Executive Officer).
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, by Elizabeth B. Higgins (Principal Financial Officer).
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Thomas A. Smith (Principal Executive Officer).
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Elizabeth B. Higgins (Principal Financial Officer).

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Oglethorpe Power Corporation (An Electric Membership Corporation)

Date: November 12, 2010 By: /s/ Thomas A. Smith

Thomas A. Smith

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 12, 2010 /s/ Elizabeth B. Higgins

Elizabeth B. Higgins

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)