



DIVISION OF
CORPORATION FINANCE
Mail Stop 7010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

August 2, 2007

Via U.S. mail

Mr. John H. Peper, Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Energy Partners, Ltd.
201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3400
New Orleans, Louisiana 70170

**Re: Energy Partners, Ltd.
Registration Statement on Form S-4
Filed July 3, 2007
File No. 333-144323**

Dear Mr. Peper:

We have limited our review of your filing to those issues we have addressed in our comments. Where indicated, we think you should revise your document in response to these comments. If you disagree, we will consider your explanation as to why our comment is inapplicable or a revision is unnecessary. Please be as detailed as necessary in your explanation. In some of our comments, we may ask you to provide us with information so we may better understand your disclosure. After reviewing this information, we may raise additional comments.

Please understand that the purpose of our review process is to assist you in your compliance with the applicable disclosure requirements and to enhance the overall disclosure in your filing. We look forward to working with you in these respects. We welcome any questions you may have about our comments or any other aspect of our review. Feel free to call us at the telephone numbers listed at the end of this letter.

Form S-4 filed July 3, 2007

General

1. On June 8, 2007, you issued a press release disclosing that you met with representatives of the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana in New Orleans, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Coast Guard and

were informed that they are investigating possible criminal violations at your East Bay field arising out of on-site governmental agency inspections conducted in the field in late 2005 and early 2006. Please disclose this information in your “Recent Developments” section, and also discuss in a risk factor the potential impact of these investigations and their ultimate resolution on your business and operations. In the alternative, explain to us why you believe such disclosure is not appropriate. We may have additional comments.

Exhibit 5.1

2. We note that the opinion is limited to the laws of Delaware and New York. Obtain and file as an exhibit a new, unqualified opinion that addresses all applicable laws, including Louisiana law. In that regard, counsel’s assumption that “Louisiana law is the same as New York law” appears to be without any legal or rational basis. Because the guarantors are incorporated in Delaware, Louisiana and Texas, the opinion should explicitly address the laws of all these jurisdictions.

Closing Comments

As appropriate, please amend your registration statement in response to these comments. You may wish to provide us with marked copies of the amendment to expedite our review. Please furnish a cover letter with your amendment that keys your responses to our comments and provides any requested information. Detailed cover letters greatly facilitate our review. Please understand that we may have additional comments after reviewing your amendment and responses to our comments.

We urge all persons who are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the disclosure in the filing to be certain that the filing includes all information required under the Securities Act of 1933 and that they have provided all information investors require for an informed investment decision. Since the company and its management are in possession of all facts relating to a company’s disclosure, they are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the disclosures they have made.

Notwithstanding our comments, in the event the company requests acceleration of the effective date of the pending registration statement, it should furnish a letter, at the time of such request, acknowledging that:

- should the Commission or the staff, acting pursuant to delegated authority, declare the filing effective, it does not foreclose the Commission from taking any action with respect to the filing;
- the action of the Commission or the staff, acting pursuant to delegated authority, in declaring the filing effective, does not relieve the company from its full responsibility for the adequacy and accuracy of the disclosure in the filing; and

- the company may not assert staff comments and the declaration of effectiveness as a defense in any proceeding initiated by the Commission or any person under the federal securities laws of the United States.

In addition, please be advised that the Division of Enforcement has access to all information you provide to the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance in connection with our review of your filing or in response to our comments on your filing.

We will consider a written request for acceleration of the effective date of the registration statement as confirmation of the fact that those requesting acceleration are aware of their respective responsibilities under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as they relate to the proposed public offering of the securities specified in the above registration statement. We will act on the request and, pursuant to delegated authority, grant acceleration of the effective date.

We direct your attention to Rules 460 and 461 regarding requesting acceleration of a registration statement. Please allow adequate time after the filing of any amendment for further review before submitting a request for acceleration. Please provide this request at least two business days in advance of the requested effective date.

Please contact Carmen Moncada-Terry at (202) 551-3687 or in her absence, Timothy Levenberg, Special Counsel, at (202) 551-3707 with any questions.

Sincerely,

H. Roger Schwall
Assistant Director

cc: T. Levenberg
C. Moncada-Terry

John Schuster, Esq.
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP
202-378-2454