UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X] Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

Or

]] Transition Report pursuar	nt to Section 13 on ange Act of 1934		Securities
	For the transition period	_		
	Commission	n file number: 1-	08246	
	Southwestern (Exact name of reg	n Energy gistrant as specified in		ny
	laware of incorporation or organization)			71-0205415 Hoyer Identification No.)
	on Parkway East, Suite 12	5,		77032
	on, Texas ipal executive offices)			(Zip Code)
		81) 618-4700 none number, including	g area code)	
	No (Former name, former address and	ot Applicable d former fiscal year, if	f changed since la	st report)
	ths (or for such shorter period that			or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of reports), and (2) has been subject to such
	d pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulat	tion S-T (§232.405 of	this chapter) duri	by site, if any, every Interactive Data File ing the preceding 12 months (or for such
				lerated filer, or a smaller reporting ny" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer ⊠	Accelerated filer □	Non-accelera	ated filer 🗆	Smaller reporting company □
Indicate by check mark whether	r the registrant is a shell company	(as defined in Rule 12	2b-2 of the Excha	nge Act). Yes □ No ⊠
Indicate the number of shares o	utstanding of each of the issuer's	classes of common sto	ock, as of the lates	st practicable date:

Outstanding as of April 30, 2013

351,522,140

Class

Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2013

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	40
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	41
PART II -	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	42
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	43
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	43
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	44
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	44
Item 5.	Other Information	44
Item 6.	Exhibits	44

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements, other than historical fact or present financial information, may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements that address activities, outcomes and other matters that should or may occur in the future, including, without limitation, statements regarding the financial position, business strategy, production and reserve growth and other plans and objectives for our future operations, are forward-looking statements. Although we believe the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance. We have no obligation and make no undertaking to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, except as may be required by law.

Forward-looking statements include the items identified in the preceding paragraph, information concerning possible or assumed future results of operations and other statements in this Form 10-Q identified by words such as "anticipate," "project," "intend," "estimate," "expect," "believe," "predict," "budget," "projection," "goal," "plan," "forecast," "target" or similar expressions.

You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. They are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may affect our operations, markets, products, services and prices and cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In addition to any assumptions and other factors referred to specifically in connection with forward-looking statements, risks, uncertainties and factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated in any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to:

- the timing and extent of changes in market conditions and prices for natural gas and oil (including regional basis differentials);
- our ability to fund our planned capital investments;
- our ability to transport our production to the most favorable markets or at all;
- the timing and extent of our success in discovering, developing, producing and estimating reserves;

- the economic viability of, and our success in drilling, our large acreage position in the Fayetteville Shale play overall as well as relative to other productive shale gas plays;
- the impact of government regulation, including any increase in severance or similar taxes, legislation relating to hydraulic fracturing, the climate and over the counter derivatives;
- the costs and availability of oilfield personnel, services and drilling supplies, raw materials, and equipment, including pressure pumping equipment and crews;
- our ability to determine the most effective and economic fracture stimulation for the Fayetteville Shale play and Marcellus Shale play;
- our future property acquisition or divestiture activities;
- the impact of the adverse outcome of any material litigation against us;
- the effects of weather;
- increased competition and regulation;
- the financial impact of accounting regulations and critical accounting policies;
- the comparative cost of alternative fuels;
- conditions in capital markets, changes in interest rates and the ability of our lenders to provide us with funds as agreed;
- credit risk relating to the risk of loss as a result of non-performance by our counterparties; and
- any other factors listed in the reports we have filed and may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

We caution you that forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q are subject to all of the risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, incident to the exploration for and development, production and sale of natural gas and oil. These risks include, but are not limited to, commodity price volatility, third-party interruption of sales to market, inflation, lack of availability of goods and services, environmental risks, drilling and other operating risks, regulatory changes, the uncertainty inherent in estimating proved natural gas and oil reserves and in projecting future rates of production and timing of development expenditures and the other risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (the "2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K"), and all quarterly reports on Form 10-Q filed subsequently thereto, including this Form 10-Q ("Form 10-Qs").

Should one or more of the risks or uncertainties described above or elsewhere in this Form 10-Q occur, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results and plans could differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We specifically disclaim all responsibility to publicly update any information contained in a forward-looking statement or any forward-looking statement in its entirety and therefore disclaim any resulting liability for potentially related damages.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

		For the three	months end	ded	
	March 31,				
		2013		2012	
	(in the	housands, except sl	hare/per sh	are amounts)	
Operating Revenues:					
Gas sales	\$	504,496	\$	462,134	
Gas marketing		179,841		148,051	
Oil sales		5,350		2,528	
Gas gathering		43,962		42,122	
		733,649		654,835	
Operating Costs and Expenses:					
Gas purchases – midstream services		179,956		146,676	
Operating expenses		64,224		60,958	
General and administrative expenses		37,215		48,826	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		179,467		193,627	
Taxes, other than income taxes		20,827		20,422	
		481,689		470,509	
Operating Income		251,960		184,326	
Interest Expense:					
Interest on debt		24,097		19,735	
Other interest charges		1,110		991	
Interest capitalized		(16,186)		(13,388)	
		9,021		7,338	
		(500)		(0.00)	
Other Loss, Net		(533)		(200)	
Commodity Derivative Income (Loss)		(29,794)		1,634	
Income Before Income Taxes		212,612		178,422	
Provision for Income Taxes:		·		·	
Current		136		168	
Deferred		84,961		70,550	
		85,097		70,718	
Net Income	\$	127,515	\$	107,704	
Earnings Per Share:					
Basic	\$	0.36	\$	0.31	
Diluted	\$	0.36	\$	0.31	
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:					
Basic		350,032,430		348,000,074	
Diluted		350,738,309		349,990,725	
= =======		220,.20,207		2.,,,,,,,	

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(Unaudited)

	For the three months ended				
	 March 31,				
	 2013	2012			
	(in th	ousands))		
Net income	\$ 127,515	\$	107,704		
Change in derivatives:					
Settlements (1)	(47,173)		(97,942)		
Ineffectiveness (2)	(781)		(3,157)		
Change in fair value of derivative instruments (3)	 (45,481)		166,934		
Total change in derivatives	 (93,435)		65,835		
Change in value of pension and other postretirement liabilities:					
Amortization of prior service cost included in net periodic pension cost (4)	267		254		
Change in currency translation adjustment	(1,029)		481		
Comprehensive income	\$ 33,318	\$	174,274		

⁽¹⁾ Net of \$(31.4) and \$(63.7) million in taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

⁽²⁾ Net of \$(0.5) and \$(2.1) million in taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

⁽³⁾ Net of \$(30.3) and \$108.5 million in taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

⁽⁴⁾ Net of \$0.2 and \$0.2 million in taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

ASSETS (in thousands) Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents \$ 17,508 \$ 53,583 Restricted cash 7,108 8,542 Accounts receivable 387,324 377,638 Inventories 30,408 28,141 Hedging asset 133,012 282,693 Other 41,447 58,315 Total current assets 616,807 808,912 Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114 Gathering systems 1,187,153 1,148,261
Cash and cash equivalents \$ 17,508 \$ 53,583 Restricted cash 7,108 8,542 Accounts receivable 387,324 377,638 Inventories 30,408 28,141 Hedging asset 133,012 282,693 Other 41,447 58,315 Total current assets 616,807 808,912 Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114
Restricted cash 7,108 8,542 Accounts receivable 387,324 377,638 Inventories 30,408 28,141 Hedging asset 133,012 282,693 Other 41,447 58,315 Total current assets 616,807 808,912 Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114
Accounts receivable 387,324 377,638 Inventories 30,408 28,141 Hedging asset 133,012 282,693 Other 41,447 58,315 Total current assets 616,807 808,912 Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114
Inventories 30,408 28,141 Hedging asset 133,012 282,693 Other 41,447 58,315 Total current assets 616,807 808,912 Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114
Hedging asset 133,012 282,693 Other 41,447 58,315 Total current assets 616,807 808,912 Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114
Other41,44758,315Total current assets616,807808,912Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization11,766,97811,283,114
Total current assets 616,807 808,912 Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114
Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,090.1 million in 2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114
2013 and \$1,023.9 million in 2012 excluded from amortization 11,766,978 11,283,114
Gothoring systems 1 197 152 1 148 261
Gamering systems 1,167,155 1,146,201
Other 607,607 597,064
Less: Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization (7,380,230) (7,191,463)
Total property and equipment, net 6,181,508 5,836,976
Other assets 118,476 91,639
TOTAL ASSETS \$ 6,916,791 \$ 6,737,527
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY
Current liabilities:
Accounts payable \$ 553,147 \$ 459,569
Taxes payable 48,803 62,980
Interest payable 14,185 34,431
Advances from partners 24,511 68,919
Current deferred income taxes 48,932 106,123
Other current liabilities 41,896 35,749
Total current liabilities 731,474 767,771
Long-term debt 1,703,403 1,668,273
Deferred income taxes 1,131,312 1,049,138
Pension and other postretirement liabilities 33,773 33,174
Other long-term liabilities 235,021 183,299
Total long-term liabilities 3,103,509 2,933,884
Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)
Equity:
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 1,250,000,000 shares; issued 351,530,606 shares in 2013 and 351,100,391 in 2012 3,516 3,511
Additional paid-in capital 946,512 934,939
Retained earnings 2,076,665 1,949,150
Accumulated other comprehensive income 55,607 149,804
Common stock in treasury, 14,223 shares in 2013 and 64,715 in 2012 (492) (1,532)
Total equity 3,081,808 3,035,872
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY \$ 6,916,791 \$ 6,737,527

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	For the three months ended March 31,			
		2013	- ,	2012
		(in tho	usands)	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities				
Net income	\$	127,515	\$	107,704
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		180,458		194,439
Deferred income taxes		84,961		70,550
Commodity derivative loss (income)		30,800		(2,114)
Stock-based compensation		2,994		2,844
Other		(476)		(2,603)
Change in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable		(9,689)		42,604
Inventories		(1,944)		3,335
Accounts payable		7,312		4,912
Taxes payable		(14,177)		8,285
Interest payable		(7,245)		(6,717)
Advances from partners		(44,408)		34,235
Other assets and liabilities		16,037		(12,811)
Net cash provided by operating activities		372,138		444,663
Cash Flows From Investing Activities				
Capital investments		(483,634)		(557,631)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		_		651
Transfers from restricted cash		1,434		_
Other		1,038		1,770
Net cash used in investing activities		(481,162)		(555,210)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities				
Payments on revolving long-term debt		(369,700)		(1,271,300)
Borrowings under revolving long-term debt		404,800		599,800
Change in bank drafts outstanding		33,046		(20,520)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		33,040		998,780
Debt issuance costs		_		
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options		4,799		(8,183) 2,540
•			_	
Net cash provided by financing activities		72,945		301,117
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		4		(30)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(36,075)		190,540
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		53,583		15,627
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	17,508	\$	206,167

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(Unaudited)

-	Comm				dditional Paid-In	Retained	Co	Other omprehensive	5	Common Stock in	T . 1
-	Issued	F	Amount		Capital	Earnings (in thousand		come (Loss)		reasury	Total
		(in thousands)									
Balance at December 31, 2012	351,100	\$	3,511	\$	934,939	\$ 1,949,150	\$	149,804	\$	(1,532)	\$ 3,035,872
Comprehensive income (loss):											
Net income	_		_		_	127,515		_		_	127,515
Other comprehensive loss	_		_		_	_		(94,197)		_	(94,197)
Total comprehensive income	_		_		_	_		_		-	33,318
Stock-based compensation	_		_		5,856	_		_		_	5,856
Exercise of stock options	452		5		4,794	_		_		_	4,799
Issuance of restricted stock	3		_		_	_		_		-	_
Cancellation of restricted stock	(24)		_		_	_		_		_	_
Treasury stock – non-qualified plan					923			_		1,040	1,963
Balance at March 31, 2013	351,531	\$	3,516	\$	946,512	\$ 2,076,665	\$	55,607	\$	(492)	\$ 3,081,808

SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Southwestern Energy Company (including its subsidiaries, collectively, "we", "Southwestern" or the "Company") is an independent energy company engaged in natural gas and oil exploration, development and production. The Company engages in natural gas and oil exploration and production, natural gas gathering and natural gas marketing through its subsidiaries. Southwestern's exploration, development and production ("E&P") activities are principally focused within the United States on development of an unconventional gas reservoir located on the Arkansas side of the Arkoma Basin, which the Company refers to as the Fayetteville Shale play. The Company is actively engaged in exploration and production activities in Pennsylvania, where we are targeting the unconventional gas reservoir known as the Marcellus Shale, and to a lesser extent in Texas and in Arkansas and Oklahoma in the Arkoma Basin. The Company also actively seeks to find and develop new oil and natural gas plays with significant exploration and exploitation potential. Southwestern's natural gas gathering and marketing ("Midstream Services") activities primarily support the Company's E&P activities in Arkansas, Pennsylvania and Texas.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information and in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information relating to the Company's organization and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been appropriately condensed or omitted in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The Company believes the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this report include all normal and recurring material adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented herein. It is recommended that these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 ("2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K").

The Company's significant accounting policies, which have been reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, are summarized in Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company evaluates subsequent events through the date the financial statements are issued.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year financial statements to conform to the 2013 presentation. The effects of the reclassifications were not material to the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

(2) DIVESTITURES

In May 2012, we sold certain oil and natural gas leases, wells and gathering equipment in East Texas for approximately \$166.0 million. The assets included in the sale represented all of the Company's interests and related assets in the Overton Field in Smith County. The net production from the sold assets was approximately 24.0 MMcfe per day as of the closing date and our net proved reserves were approximately 143.0 Bcfe at December 31, 2011.

(3) PREPAID EXPENSES

The components of prepaid expenses included in other current assets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 consisted of the following:

		March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	
)
Prepaid drilling costs	\$	20,038	\$	30,101
Prepaid insurance		5,268		9,507
Total	\$	25,306	\$	39,608

(4) INVENTORY

Inventory recorded in current assets includes \$3.8 million at March 31, 2013 and \$5.6 million at December 31, 2012 for natural gas in underground storage owned by the Company's E&P segment, and \$26.6 million at March 31, 2013 and \$22.5 million at December 31, 2012 for tubular and other equipment used in the E&P segment.

Other Assets include \$14.1 million at March 31, 2013 and \$13.8 million at December 31, 2012, respectively, for inventory held by the Midstream Services segment consisting primarily of pipe that will be used to construct gathering systems for the Fayetteville Shale play.

(5) NATURAL GAS AND OIL PROPERTIES

The Company utilizes the full cost method of accounting for costs related to the exploration, development and acquisition of natural gas and oil reserves. Under this method, all such costs (productive and nonproductive), including salaries, benefits and other internal costs directly attributable to these activities are capitalized on a country by country basis and amortized over the estimated lives of the properties using the units-of-production method. These capitalized costs, less accumulated amortization and related deferred income taxes, are subject to a ceiling test that limits such pooled costs to the aggregate of the present value of future net revenues attributable to proved natural gas and oil reserves, net of taxes, discounted at 10 percent plus the lower of cost or market value of unproved properties. Any costs in excess of the ceiling are written off as a non-cash expense. The expense may not be reversed in future periods, even though higher natural gas and oil prices may subsequently increase the ceiling. Full cost companies must use the average quoted price from the first day of each month from the previous 12 months, including the impact of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges, to calculate the ceiling value of their reserves.

Using the average quoted price from the first day of each month from the previous 12 months for Henry Hub natural gas of \$2.95 per MMBtu and \$89.17 per barrel for West Texas Intermediate oil, adjusted for market differentials, the Company's net book value of its United States natural gas and oil properties did not exceed the ceiling amount and did not result in a ceiling test impairment at March 31, 2013. Cash flow hedges of natural gas production in place increased the ceiling value by \$185.8 million, net of tax, at March 31, 2013.

All of the Company's costs directly associated with the acquisition and evaluation of properties in Canada relating to its exploration program at March 31, 2013 were unproved and did not exceed the ceiling amount. If the exploration program in Canada is unsuccessful on all or a portion of these properties, a ceiling test impairment may result in the future.

(6) EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents the computation of earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

For the three months ended

	 March 31,			
	 2013		2012	
Net income (in thousands)	\$ 127,515	\$	107,704	
Number of common shares:				
Weighted average outstanding	350,032,430		348,000,074	
Issued upon assumed exercise of outstanding stock options	579,022		1,889,691	
Effect of issuance of nonvested restricted common stock	126,857		100,960	
Weighted average and potential dilutive outstanding ⁽¹⁾	350,738,309		349,990,725	
Earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.36	\$	0.31	
Diluted	\$ 0.36	\$	0.31	

⁽¹⁾ Options for 2,112,679 shares and 271,674 shares of restricted stock were excluded from the calculation for the three months ended March 31, 2013 because they would have had an antidilutive effect. Options for 1,702,166 shares and 657,763 shares of restricted stock were excluded from the calculation for the three months ended March 31, 2012 because they would have had an antidilutive effect.

(7) DERIVATIVES AND RISK MANAGEMENT

The Company is exposed to volatility in market prices and basis differentials for natural gas and crude oil which impacts the predictability of its cash flows related to the sale of natural gas and oil. These risks are managed by the Company's use of certain derivative financial instruments. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company's derivative financial instruments consisted of price swaps, basis swaps, and fixed price call options. A description of the Company's derivative financial instruments is provided below:

Fixed price swaps The Company receives a fixed price for the contract and pays a floating market price to the

counterparty.

Floating price swaps The Company receives a floating market price from the counterparty and pays a fixed price.

Costless-collars Arrangements that contain a fixed floor price (put) and a fixed ceiling price (call). If the

market price exceeds the call strike price or falls below the put strike price, the Company receives the fixed price and pays the market price. If the market price is between the call and

the put strike price, no payments are due from either party.

Basis swaps Arrangements that guarantee a price differential for natural gas from a specified delivery

point. The Company receives a payment from the counterparty if the price differential is greater than the stated terms of the contract and pays the counterparty if the price differential

is less than the stated terms of the contract.

Fixed price call options The Company sells fixed price call options in exchange for a premium. At the time of

settlement, if the market price exceeds the fixed price of the call option, the Company pays the counterparty such excess on sold fixed price call options. If the market price settles below

the fixed price of the call option, no payment is due from either party.

GAAP requires that all derivatives be recognized in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability and be measured at fair value. Under GAAP, certain criteria must be satisfied in order for derivative financial instruments to be classified and accounted for as either a cash flow or a fair value hedge. Accounting for qualifying hedges requires a derivative's gains and losses to be recorded either in earnings or as a component of other comprehensive income. Gains and losses on derivatives that are not elected for hedge accounting treatment or that do not meet hedge accounting requirements are recorded in earnings.

The Company utilizes counterparties for its derivative instruments that it believes are credit-worthy at the time the transactions are entered into and the Company closely monitors the credit ratings of these counterparties. Additionally, the Company performs both quantitative and qualitative assessments of these counterparties based on their credit ratings and credit default swap rates where applicable. However, the events in the financial markets in recent years demonstrate there can be no assurance that a counterparty will be able to meet its obligations to the Company.

The balance sheet classification of the assets related to derivative financial instruments are summarized below at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

		Derivati	ve Assets			
	March 31, 20	13	December 31, 2012			
	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value		
		(in the	ousands)			
Derivatives designated as hedging						
Fixed price swaps	Hedging asset	\$ 131,091	Hedging asset	\$ 279,443		
Fixed price swaps	Other assets	4,378	Other assets	8,550		
Total derivatives designated as hedging		\$ 135,469		\$ 287,993		
Derivatives not designated as hedging						
Basis swaps	Hedging asset	\$ 936	Hedging asset	\$ 3,250		
Fixed price swaps	Hedging asset	985	Hedging asset	_		
Basis swaps	Other assets	464	Other assets	901		
Fixed price swaps	Other assets	29,507	Other assets	_		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging		\$ 31,892		\$ 4,151		
Total derivative assets		\$ 167,361		\$ 292,144		
		Derivativ	e Liabilities			
	March 31, 20		December 31, 20	012		
	Balance Sheet		Balance Sheet			
	Classification	Fair Value	Classification	Fair Value		
		(in the	ousands)			
Derivatives not designated as hedging		(,,			
Basis swaps	Other current liabilities	\$ 337	Other current liabilities	\$ 138		
Fixed price swaps	Other current liabilities	436	Other current liabilities	_		
Basis swaps	Other long-term	_	Other long-term liabilities	_		
Fixed price call options	Other long-term	61,210	Other long-term liabilities	4,128		
Fixed price swaps	Other long-term	824	Other long-term liabilities	_		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging		\$ 62,807		\$ 4,266		
Total derivative liabilities		\$ 62,807		\$ 4,266		

Cash Flow Hedges

The reporting of gains and losses on cash flow derivative hedging instruments depends on whether the gains or losses are effective at offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item. The effective portion of the gains and losses on the derivative hedging instruments are recorded in other comprehensive income until recognized in earnings during the period that the hedged transaction takes place. The ineffective portion of the gains and losses from the derivative hedging instrument is recognized in earnings immediately.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company had cash flow hedges on the following volumes of natural gas production (in Bcf):

<u>Year</u>	Fixed price swaps
2013	139.6
2014	18.3

As of March 31, 2013, the Company recorded a net gain in accumulated other comprehensive income related to its hedging activities of \$78.7 million. This amount is net of a deferred income tax liability recorded as of March 31, 2013 of \$52.5 million. The amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income will be relieved over time and recognized in the statement of operations as the physical transactions being hedged occur. Assuming the market prices of natural gas futures as of March 31, 2013 remain unchanged, the Company would expect to transfer an aggregate after-tax net gain of \$76.3 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings during the next 12 months. Gains or losses from derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are reflected as adjustments to gas sales in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations. Volatility in earnings and other comprehensive income may occur in the future as a result of the Company's derivative activities.

The following tables summarize the before tax effect of all cash flow hedges on the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the three month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

			For the three	hensive In e Portion)	come	
Derivative Instrument			2013		2012	
			(in tho	usands)		
Fixed price swaps		\$	(73,902)	\$	218,957	
Costless-collars		\$	_	\$	56,511	
	Classification of Gain		Gain Recla	ssified fro	m	
	Reclassified from	Comprehensive Income into Ear				
	Accumulated Other	Accumulated Other (Effective Portion) Comprehensive Income For the three months ended				
	Comprehensive Income					
	into Earnings		Marc	ch 31,		
Derivative Instrument	(Effective Portion)		2013		2012	
			(in tho	usands)		
Fixed price swaps	Gas Sales	\$	78,621	\$	106,311	
Costless-collars	Gas Sales	\$	_	\$	55,310	
			Earr	ognized in		
			(Ineffective			
	Classification of Gain		For the three		ided	
D : .: I	Recognized in Earnings			ch 31,	2012	
Derivative Instrument	(Ineffective Portion)		2013 (in the	usanda)	2012	
Fixed mice groups	Gas Sales	¢	1.301	usands)	4 200	
Fixed price swaps Costless-collars	Gas Sales Gas Sales	\$ \$	1,301	\$ \$	4,299 911	
Costiess-collars	Gas Sales	Ф	_	Ф	911	

Fair Value Hedges

For fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative instrument as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item are recognized in earnings immediately. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had no material fair value hedges.

Other Derivative Contracts

Although the Company's basis swaps meet the objective of managing commodity price exposure, these trades are typically not entered into concurrent with the Company's derivative instruments that qualify as cash flow hedges and therefore do not generally qualify for hedge accounting. Basis swap derivative instruments that do not qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair values under hedging assets, other assets and other current liabilities, as applicable, and all realized and unrealized gains and losses related to these contracts are recognized immediately in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations as a component of gas sales.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company had basis swaps on natural gas production that did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment of 22.3 Bcf and 10.9 Bcf in 2013 and 2014, respectively.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company had fixed price call options on natural gas production that did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment of 199.8 Bcf for 2015. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had fixed price swaps not designated for hedge accounting on natural gas production of 181.5 Bcf for 2014.

The following table summarizes the before tax effect of basis swaps, fixed price call options that did not qualify for hedge accounting, and fixed price swaps not designated for hedge accounting, within the commodity derivative income (loss) line on the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three month period ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

		Unrealized Gain (Loss)				
			Recognized	in Earning	gs	
	Income Statement		For the three i	nonths en	ded	
	Classification		Marc	h 31,		
Derivative Instrument	of Unrealized Gain (Loss)		2013	-	2012	
			(in thou	ısands)		
Basis swaps	Commodity Derivative Income (Loss)	\$	(2,950)	\$	1,223	
Fixed price call options	Commodity Derivative Income (Loss)	\$	(57,082)	\$	_	
Fixed price swaps	Commodity Derivative Income (Loss)	\$	29,232	\$	_	
			Realize	d Gain		
			Recognized	in Earning	gs	
	Income Statement		For the three i	nonths en	ded	
	Classification	March 31,				
Derivative Instrument	of Realized Gain		2013	2	2012	
			(in thousands)			
Basis swaps	Commodity Derivative Income (Loss)	\$	1,007	\$	1,018	

As of March 31, 2013, the Company had fixed price swaps not designated for hedge accounting on the following volumes of natural gas production (in Bcf):

<u>Year</u>	Fixed price swaps not designated
2014	181.5

(8) RECLASSIFICATIONS FROM ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("Update 2013-02"), which finalizes proposed ASU No. 2012-240, and seeks to improve the transparency of reporting reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Update 2013-02 requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income.

The following table details the components of AOCI and the related tax effects for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

	Gains and Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Pension and Other Postretirement (in thousa	Foreign Currency ands) ⁽¹⁾	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 172,166	\$ (22,311)	\$ (51)	\$ 149,804
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(45,481)	_	(1,029)	(46,510)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (2)	(47,954)	267	_	(47,687)
Net current-period other comprehensive Income	(93,435)	267	(1,029)	(94,197)
Ending balance	\$ 78,731	\$ (22,044)	\$ (1,080)	\$ 55,607

⁽¹⁾ All amounts are net-of-tax.

The following table details the amounts reclassified from AOCI into earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Accu	Reclassified from imulated Other ehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statement of Operations
Gains and losses on cash flow hedges		_	
Settlements	\$	(78,621)	Gas Sales
Ineffectiveness		(1,302)	Gas Sales
		(79,923)	Income before income taxes
		(31,969)	Provision for income taxes
	\$	(47,954)	Net income
Pension and other postretirement			
Amortization of prior service cost included in net			
periodic pension cost (1)	\$	445	General and administrative expenses
		445	Income before income taxes
		178	Provision for income taxes
	\$	267	Net income
Total reclassifications for the period	\$	(47,687)	Net income

⁽¹⁾ This accumulated other comprehensive income component is included in the computation of net periodic pension cost (see pension footnote for additional details.)

⁽²⁾ See separate table below for details about these reclassifications.

(9) FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	March 31, 2013					mber 31, 012		
	Carrying Amount		Fair Value		Carrying Amount			
	(in thousands)							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,508	\$	17,508	\$	53,583	\$	53,583	
Restricted cash	\$ 7,108	\$	7,108	\$	8,542	\$	8,542	
Unsecured revolving credit facility	\$ 35,100	\$	35,100	\$	_	\$	_	
Senior notes	\$ 1,669,503	\$	1,885,523	\$	1,669,473	\$	1,917,005	
Derivative instruments	\$ 104,554	\$	104,554	\$	287,878	\$	287,878	

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, other current assets and current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets approximate fair value because of their short-term nature. For debt and derivative instruments, the following methods and assumptions were used to estimate fair value:

Debt: The fair values of the Company's senior notes were based on the market for the Company's publicly-traded debt as determined based on yield of the Company's 7.5% Senior Notes due 2018, which was 2.5% at March 31, 2013 and 2.6% at December 31, 2012, and its 4.10% Senior Notes due 2022, which was 3.4% at March 31, 2013. The carrying value of the borrowings under the Company's unsecured revolving credit facility at March 31, 2013, approximate fair value because the interest rate is variable and reflective of market rates. As such, the Company considers the fair value of its debt to be a Level 2 measurement on the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative Instruments: The fair value of all derivative instruments is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged currently between willing parties. The amounts are based on quoted market prices, best estimates obtained from counterparties and an option pricing model, when necessary, for price option contracts.

GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. As presented in the tables below, this hierarchy consists of three broad levels:

Level 1 valuations - Consist of unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities and have the highest priority.

Level 2 valuations - Consist of quoted market information for the calculation of fair market value.

Level 3 valuations - Consist of internal estimates and have the lowest priority.

Pursuant to GAAP, the Company has classified its derivatives into these levels depending upon the data utilized to determine their fair values. The Company's Level 2 fair value measurements include fixed-price and floating-price swaps and are estimated using internal discounted cash flow calculations using the NYMEX futures index. The Company's Level 3 fair value measurements include fixed price call options and basis swaps. The Company's fixed price call options are valued using the Black-Scholes model, an industry standard option valuation model, and takes into account inputs such as contract terms, including maturity, and market parameters, including assumptions of the NYMEX futures index, interest rates, volatility and credit worthiness. The Company's basis swaps are estimated using internal discounted cash flow calculations based upon forward commodity price curves.

The accounting group, reporting to the Vice President and Controller, is responsible for determining the Company's Level 3 fair value measurements. Inputs to the Black-Scholes model, including the volatility input, which is the significant unobservable input for Level 3 fair value measurements, are obtained from a third-party pricing source, with independent verification of most significant inputs on a monthly basis. An increase (decrease) in volatility would result

in an increase (decrease) in fair value measurement, respectively.

Total

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements Using:								
	Quoted Prices		Significant						
	in Active		Other		Significant				
	Markets		Observable Inputs		Observable Inputs Unobservable		servable Inputs	Asse	ts (Liabilities)
	(Level 1)		(Level 2)		2) (Level 3)		at Fair Value		
Derivative assets	\$	_	\$	165,961	\$	1,400	\$	167,361	
Derivative liabilities				(1,260)		(61,547)		(62,807)	

164,701

(60,147)

104,554

	December 31, 2012							
		Fair Value Measurements Using:						
	Quoted Price	S	;	Significant				
	in Active			Other		gnificant		
	Markets		Obs	ervable Inputs	Unobservable Inputs		Assets (Liabilities)	
	(Level 1)			(Level 2)	(Level 3)	at	Fair Value
Derivative assets	\$	_	\$	287,993	\$	4,151	\$	292,144
Derivative liabilities		_		_		(4,266)		(4,266)
Total	\$	_	\$	287,993	\$	(115)	\$	287,878

The table below presents reconciliations for the change in net fair value of derivative assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three month periods ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012. The fair values of Level 3 derivative instruments are estimated using proprietary valuation models that utilize both market observable and unobservable parameters. Level 3 instruments presented in the table consist of net derivatives valued using pricing models incorporating assumptions that, in the Company's judgment, reflect the assumptions a reasonable marketplace participant would have used at March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012.

For the three months ended				
March 31,				
	2013		2012	
	(in thou	sands)		
\$	(115)	\$	182,119	
	(59,025)		58,462	
	_		290	
	_		_	
	_		_	
	(1,007)		(56,328)	
	_		_	
\$	(60,147)	\$	184,543	
\$	(60,032)	\$	2,134	
	\$	\$ (115) \$ (59,025) (1,007) \$ (60,147)	March 31, 2013 (in thousands) \$ (115) \$ (59,025) (1,007) \$ (60,147) \$	

(10) **DEBT**

The components of debt as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2013		De	cember 31, 2012
		(in thou	ısands)	
Short-term debt:				
7.15% Senior Notes due 2018	\$	1,200	\$	1,200
Total short-term debt		1,200		1,200
Long-term debt:				
Variable rate (2.18% at March 31, 2013) unsecured revolving credit facility		35,100		_
7.5% Senior Notes due 2018		600,000		600,000
7.35% Senior Notes due 2017		15,000		15,000
7.125% Senior Notes due 2017		25,000		25,000
7.15% Senior Notes due 2018		29,400		29,400
4.10% Senior Notes due 2022		1,000,000		1,000,000
Unamortized discount		(1,097)		(1,127)
Total long-term debt		1,703,403		1,668,273
Total debt	\$	1,704,603	\$	1,669,473

Issuance of Senior Notes and Subsidiary Guarantees

The indentures governing the Company's senior notes contain covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and/or its subsidiaries' ability to incur liens, to engage in sale and leaseback transactions and to merge, consolidate, or sell assets. All of the Company's senior notes are currently guaranteed by its subsidiaries, SEECO, Inc. ("SEECO"), Southwestern Energy Production Company ("SEPCO") and Southwestern Energy Services Company ("SES"). If no default or event of default has occurred and is continuing, these guarantees will be released (i) automatically upon any sale, exchange, or transfer of all of the Company's equity interests in the guarantor; (ii) automatically upon the liquidation and dissolution of a guarantor; (iii) following delivery of notice to the trustee of the release of the guarantor of its obligations under the Company's credit facility; and (iv) upon legal or covenant defeasance or other satisfaction of the obligations under the notes.

Please refer to Note 16, "Condensed Consolidating Financial Information" in this Form 10-Q for additional information.

In March 2012, the Company issued \$1.0 billion of 4.10% Senior Notes due 2022 in a private placement. The 4.10% Senior Notes are redeemable at the Company's election, in whole or in part, at any time prior to December 15, 2021, at a redemption price equal to the greater of: (1) 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed then outstanding; and (2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the notes to be redeemed (not including any portion of such payments of interest accrued to the date of redemption) discounted to the redemption date on a semiannual basis (assuming a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months) as determined in accordance with the indenture, plus 35 basis points, plus, in either of such cases, accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption on the notes to be redeemed. In addition, if the Company undergoes a "change of control," as defined in the indenture, holders of the 4.10% Senior Notes will have the option to require the Company to purchase all or any portion of the notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes to be purchased plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the change of control date. Payment obligations with respect to the 4.10% Senior Notes are currently guaranteed by the Company's subsidiaries, SEECO, SEPCO and SES, which guarantees may be unconditionally released in certain circumstances. The indentures governing the 4.10% Senior Notes and the Company's other senior notes contain covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and/or its subsidiaries to incur liens, to engage in sale and leaseback transactions and to merge, consolidate or sell assets. In December 2012, the Company completed its offer to exchange up to \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of its 4.10% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "Exchange Notes", which were registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"), for any and all of its outstanding 4.10% Senior Notes due 2022, which were issued in a

private placement in March 2012 (the "Private Notes"). The Exchange Notes have substantially identical terms to the Private Notes, except that the offering of the Exchange Notes was registered under the Act, and the Exchange Notes are not subject to any transfer restrictions. The Company exchanged \$999,500,000 of Private Notes for Exchange Notes, and has no further obligations under the related registration rights agreement.

Credit Facility

In February 2011, the Company amended and restated its unsecured revolving credit facility, increasing the borrowing capacity to \$1.5 billion and extending the maturity date to February 2016 ("Credit Facility"). The amount available under the Credit Facility may be increased to \$2.0 billion at any time upon the Company's agreement with its existing or additional lenders. The interest rate on the Credit Facility is calculated based upon our debt rating and is currently 200 basis points over the current London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and was 200 basis points over LIBOR at March 31, 2013. The Credit Facility is guaranteed by the Company's subsidiary, SEECO and requires additional subsidiary guarantors if certain guaranty coverage levels are not satisfied. The facility contains covenants which impose certain restrictions on the Company. Under the credit agreement, the Company may not issue total debt in excess of 60% of its total adjusted capital and must maintain a ratio of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) to interest expense of 3.5 or above. The terms of the Credit Facility also include covenants that restrict the ability of the Company and its material subsidiaries to merge, consolidate or sell all or substantially all of their assets, restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to incur liens and restrict the ability of the Company's subsidiaries to incur indebtedness. As of March 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with the covenants of its debt agreements. While the Company believes all of the lenders under the Credit Facility have the ability to provide funds, it cannot predict whether each will be able to meet its obligation under the facility.

(11) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments

In the first quarter of 2010, the Company was awarded exclusive licenses by the Province of New Brunswick in Canada to conduct an exploration program covering approximately 2.5 million acres in the province. The licenses require the Company to make certain capital investments in New Brunswick of approximately \$47.0 million in the aggregate over a three year period. In order to obtain the licenses, the Company provided promissory notes payable on demand to the Minister of Finance of the Province of New Brunswick with an aggregate principal amount of \$44.5 million Canadian dollars. The promissory notes secure the Company's capital expenditure obligations under the licenses and are returnable to the Company to the extent the Company performs such obligations. If the Company fails to fully perform, the Minister of Finance may retain a portion of the applicable promissory notes in an amount equal to any deficiency. The Company commenced its Canada exploration program in 2010 and no liability has been recognized in connection with the promissory notes due to the Company's investments in New Brunswick as of March 31, 2013 and its future investment plans. In December 2012, we received two one-year extensions to our exploration license agreements which expire on March 16, 2014 and March 16, 2015, respectively.

During 2011 and 2012, SES entered into a number of short- and long-term firm transportation service and gathering agreements in support of our growing Marcellus Shale operations in Pennsylvania. In March 2011, SES entered into a precedent agreement with Millennium Pipeline Company, L.L.C. pursuant to which it will enter into short- and longterm firm natural gas transportation services on Millennium's existing system and expansions of the system are expected to be in-service by the second quarter of 2013 and late 2013. In June 2011, SES entered into separate 15 year agreements with each of Bluestone Pipeline Company of Pennsylvania, LLC ("Bluestone Gathering"), and Susquehanna Gathering Company I, LLC, both wholly owned subsidiaries of DTE Pipeline Company, an affiliate of DTE Energy Company. Bluestone Gathering would build and operate a natural gas gathering system in Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania and Broome County, New York, and provide gathering services to SES in support of a portion of our future Marcellus Shale natural gas production. This gathering system was initially placed into service in November 2012 and is expected to be fully completed during the second quarter of 2013. Susquehanna Gathering Company I, LLC. would build and operate gathering infrastructure from well pad receipt locations for deliveries into the Bluestone Gathering system as well as other potential field delivery points. This system was first placed into service November 2012 and will be constructed as necessary to support the company's activities primarily in Susquehanna County. SES also executed firm transportation agreements with Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company ("TGP") that increase our ability to move our Marcellus Shale natural gas production in the short term to market as well as a precedent agreement for an expansion project with a projected in-service date of November 2013 pursuant to which SES has subscribed for 100,000 Dekatherm/day of capacity. TGP's expansion project will expand its 300 Line in Pennsylvania to provide natural gas

transportation from the Marcellus Shale supply area to existing delivery points on the TGP system. TGP filed a certificate application for the project and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued a certificate on August 9, 2012. Construction would begin in second quarter 2013, with a projected November 1, 2013 in-service date. In March 2012, SES entered into a firm transportation agreement with TGP to utilize existing transportation capacity to various delivery points on TGP's system. SES has agreed to enter a ten year firm transportation agreement with a total capacity of 130 MMcf per day. The capacity went into service in November 2012. On March 23, 2012, SES entered into a precedent agreement with Constitution Pipeline Co. LLC for a proposed 121-mile pipeline connecting to the Iroquois Gas Transmission and Tennessee Gas Pipeline systems in Schoharie County, New York. Subject to the receipt of regulatory approvals and satisfaction of other conditions, SES has agreed to enter a fifteen year firm transportation agreement with a total capacity of 150 MMcf per day. The project is expected to be in service by the second quarter of 2015.

As of March 31, 2013, SES's and SEPCO's obligations for demand and similar charges under the firm transportation agreements and gathering agreements totaled approximately \$2.8 billion and the Company has guarantee obligations of up to \$100.0 million of that amount.

Environmental Risk

The Company is subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Environmental and cleanup related costs of a non-capital nature are accrued when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and when the amount can be reasonably estimated. Management believes any future remediation or other compliance related costs will not have a material effect on the financial position or reported results of operations of the Company.

Litigation

Tovah Energy

In February 2009, SEPCO was added as a defendant in a Third Amended Petition in the matter of Tovah Energy, LLC and Toby Berry-Helfand v. David Michael Grimes, et, al. In the Sixth Amended Petition, filed in July 2010, in the 273rd District Court in Shelby County, Texas (collectively, the "Sixth Petition"), plaintiff alleged that, in 2005, they provided SEPCO with proprietary data regarding two prospects in the James Lime formation pursuant to a confidentiality agreement and that SEPCO refused to return the proprietary data to the plaintiff, subsequently acquired leases based upon such proprietary data and profited therefrom. Among other things, the plaintiff's allegations in the Sixth Petition included various statutory and common law claims, including, but not limited to claims of misappropriation of trade secrets, violation of the Texas Theft Liability Act, breach of fiduciary duty and confidential relationships, various fraud based claims and breach of contract, including a claim of breach of a purported right of first refusal on all interests acquired by SEPCO between February 2005 and February 2006. In the Sixth Petition, plaintiff sought actual damages of over \$55.0 million as well as other remedies, including special damages and punitive damages of four times the amount of actual damages established at trial.

Immediately before the commencement of the trial in November 2010, plaintiff was permitted, over SEPCO's objections, to file a Seventh Amended Petition claiming actual damages of \$46.0 million and also seeking the equitable remedy of disgorgement of all profits for the misappropriation of trade secrets and the breach of fiduciary duty claims. In December 2010, the jury found in favor of the plaintiff with respect to all of the statutory and common law claims and awarded \$11.4 million in compensatory damages. The jury did not, however, award the plaintiff any special, punitive or other damages. In addition, the jury separately determined that SEPCO's profits for purposes of disgorgement were \$381.5 million. This profit determination does not constitute a judgment or an award. The plaintiff's entitlement to disgorgement of profits as an equitable remedy will be determined by the judge and it is within the judge's discretion to award none, some or all the amount of profit to the plaintiff. In December 2010, the plaintiff filed a motion to enter the judgment based on the jury's verdict. In February 2011, SEPCO filed a motion for a judgment notwithstanding the verdict and a motion to disregard certain findings. In March 2011, the plaintiff filed an amended motion for judgment and intervenor filed its motion for judgment seeking not only the monetary damages and the profits determined by the jury but also seeking, as a new remedy, a constructive trust for profits from 143 wells as well as future drilling and sales of properties in the prospect areas. At the suggestion of the judge, all parties voluntarily agreed to participate in non-binding mediation efforts. The mediation occurred in April 2011 and was unsuccessful. In June 2011, SEPCO received by mail a letter dated June 2011 from the judge, in which he made certain rulings with respect to the post-verdict motions and responses filed by the parties. In his rulings, the judge denied SEPCO's motion for judgment, judgment notwithstanding the verdict and to disregard certain findings. Plaintiff's and intervenor's claim

for a constructive trust was denied but the judge ruled that plaintiff and intervenor shall recover from SEPCO \$11.4 million and a reasonable attorney's fee of 40% of the total damages awarded and are entitled to recover on their claim for disgorgement. The judge instructed that SEPCO calculate the profit on the designated wells for each respective period. SEPCO performed the calculation and provided it to the judge in June 2011. In July 2011, plaintiff and intervenor filed a letter with the court raising objections to the accounting provided by SEPCO, to which SEPCO filed a response in July 2011. In July 2011, the judge sent a letter to the parties in which he ruled that after reviewing the parties' respective position letters, he was awarding \$23.9 million in disgorgement damages in favor of the plaintiff and intervenor. In the July 2011 letter, the judge instructed the plaintiff and intervenor to prepare a judgment for his approval prior to July 2011 consistent with his findings in his June 2011 letter and the disgorgement award. In August 2011, a judgment was entered pursuant to which plaintiff and intervenor are entitled to recover approximately \$11.4 million in actual damages and approximately \$23.9 million in disgorgement as well as prejudgment interest and attorneys' fees which currently are estimated to be up to \$8.9 million and all costs of court of the plaintiff and intervenor. In September 2011, SEPCO filed a motion for a new trial and in November 2011 filed a notice of appeal. In November 2011, the court approved SEPCO's supersedeas bond in the amount of \$14.1 million, which stays execution on the judgment pending appeal. The bond covers the \$11.4 million judgment for actual damages, plus \$1.3 million in pre-judgment interest, \$1.3 million in post-judgment interest (estimating two years for the duration of appeal), and court costs.

In June 2012, SEPCO filed its appellate brief and, in June 2012, plaintiff and intervenor filed a cross-appellate brief seeking limited remand to reassess the disgorgement determination. The parties filed their responses to the appellate and cross appellate briefs in November 2012. Both sides filed replies to the opposing party's response in January 2013. Oral argument was held before the Tyler Court of Appeals on March 8, 2013. Based on the Company's understanding and judgment of the facts and merits of this case, including appellate defenses, and after considering the advice of counsel, the Company has determined that, although reasonably possible after exhaustion of all appeals, an adverse final outcome to this lawsuit is not probable. As such, the Company has not accrued any amounts with respect to this lawsuit. If the plaintiff and intervenor were to ultimately prevail in the appellate process, the Company currently estimates, based on the judgments to date, that SEPCO's potential liability would be up to \$44.2 million, including interest and attorney's fees. The Company's assessment may change in the future due to occurrence of certain events, such as denied appeals, and such re-assessment could lead to the determination that the potential liability is probable and could be material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Bureau of Land Management

In March 2010, the Company's subsidiary, SEECO, was served with a subpoena from a federal grand jury in Little Rock, Arkansas. Based on the documents requested under the subpoena and subsequent discussions described below, the Company believes the grand jury is investigating matters involving approximately 27 horizontal wells operated by SEECO in Arkansas, including whether appropriate leases or permits were obtained therefore and whether royalties and other production attributable to federal lands have been properly accounted for and paid. The Company believes it has fully complied with all requests related to the federal subpoena and delivered its affidavit to that effect. The Company and representatives of the Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Attorney have had discussions since the production of the documents pursuant to the subpoena. In January 2011, the Company voluntarily produced additional materials informally requested by the government arising from these discussions. Although, to the Company's knowledge, no proceeding in this matter has been initiated against SEECO, the Company cannot predict whether or when one might be initiated. The Company intends to fully comply with any further requests and to cooperate with any related investigation. No assurance can be made as to the time or resources that will need to be devoted to this inquiry or the impact of the final outcome of the discussions or any related proceeding.

Other

We are subject to various litigation, claims and proceedings that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. Management believes, individually or in aggregate, such litigation, claims and proceedings will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows but these matters are subject to inherent uncertainties and management's view may change in the future. If an unfavorable final outcome were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the period in which the effect becomes reasonably estimable. We accrue for such items when a liability is both probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

(12) SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

		For the three months ended March 31,			
		2013	2012		
		(in thousands)			
Cash paid for interest	\$	44,343	\$	26,452	
Cash paid for income taxes		16,341		68	
Noncash property changes		35,857		17,569	

(13) PENSION PLAN AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company has defined pension and postretirement benefit plans which cover substantially all of the Company's employees. Net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs include the following components for the three periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

		Benefits			
		ed			
	2	2013		2012	
		(in thou	ısands)		
Service cost	\$	3,447	\$	2,736	
Interest cost		1,026		1,013	
Expected return on plan assets		(1,534)		(1,357)	
Amortization of prior service cost		26		71	
Amortization of net loss		385		305	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	3,350	\$	2,768	
		Postretireme			
		Marc	h 31,		
		2013	2012		
		(in thou	ısands)		
Service cost	\$	574	\$	458	
Interest cost		110		100	
Amortization of transition obligation		_		16	
Amortization of prior service cost		4		3	
Amortization of net loss		30		23	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	718	\$	600	

As of March 31, 2013, the Company has contributed \$3.0 million to the pension plan and \$0.1 million to the postretirement benefit plan, and expects to contribute \$12.0 million to the pension plan and \$0.1 million to the postretirement benefit plan.

The Company maintains a non-qualified deferred compensation supplemental retirement savings plan ("Non-Qualified Plan") for certain key employees who may elect to defer and contribute a portion of their compensation, as

permitted by the plan. Shares of the Company's common stock purchased under the terms of the Non-Qualified Plan are presented as treasury stock and totaled 14,223 shares at March 31, 2013 compared to 64,715 shares at December 31, 2012.

(14) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company recognized the following amounts in employee stock-based compensation costs for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

		For the three months ended					
		March 31,					
	2	2013					
		(in thousands)					
Stock-based compensation cost – expensed	\$	2,994	\$	2,844			
Stock-based compensation cost – capitalized	\$	2,862	\$	2,864			

As of March 31, 2013, there was \$49.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the Company's unvested stock option and restricted stock grants. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and provides information for options outstanding as of March 31, 2013:

		Weighted
		Average
	Number	Exercise
	of Options	 Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	3,649,520	\$ 29.84
Granted	5,210	34.84
Exercised	(451,840)	10.62
Forfeited or expired	(20,698)	35.83
Outstanding at March 31, 2013	3,182,192	32.54
Exercisable at March 31, 2013	1,923,698	\$ 30.61

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and provides information for unvested shares as of March 31, 2013:

		Weighted
		Average
	Number	Grant Date
	of Shares	Fair Value
Unvested shares at December 31, 2012	1,117,515	\$ 35.64
Granted	6,800	35.89
Vested	(3,193)	34.95
Forfeited	(24,005)	 35.92
Unvested shares at March 31, 2013	1,097,117	\$ 35.63

(15) SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's reportable business segments have been identified based on the differences in products or services provided. Revenues for the E&P segment are derived from the production and sale of natural gas and crude oil. The Midstream Services segment generates revenue through the marketing of both Company and third-party produced natural gas volumes and through gathering fees associated with the transportation of natural gas to market.

Summarized financial information for the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following table. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Management evaluates the performance of its segments based on operating income, defined as operating revenues less operating costs. Income before income taxes, for the purpose of reconciling the operating income amount shown below to consolidated income before income taxes, is the sum of operating income, interest expense and interest and other income (loss). The "Other" column includes items not related to the Company's reportable segments including real estate and corporate items.

	Exploration				
	and	Midstream			
	 Production	Services		Other	Total
		(in tho	usands)		
Three months ended March 31, 2013:					
Revenues from external customers	\$ 510,094	\$ 223,534	\$	21	\$ 733,649
Intersegment revenues	1,508	497,373		54	498,935
Operating income (loss)	175,758	76,307		(105)	251,960
Other loss, net ⁽¹⁾	(378)	(155)		_	(533)
Commodity derivative loss	(29,794)	_		_	(29,794)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	167,450	11,912		105	179,467
Interest expense ⁽¹⁾	6,176	2,645		200	9,021
Provision (benefit) for income taxes ⁽¹⁾	55,816	29,403		(122)	85,097
Assets	5,389,728	1,278,256		248,807 (2)	6,916,791
Capital investments ⁽³⁾	475,333	38,467		4,256	518,056
Three months ended March 31, 2012:					
Revenues from external customers	\$ 464,631	\$ 190,173	\$	31	\$ 654,835
Intersegment revenues	713	356,281		819	357,813
Operating income	114,609	69,289		428	184,326
Other income (loss), net ⁽¹⁾	(183)	(18)		1	(200)
Commodity derivative income	1,634	_		_	1,634
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	182,739	10,570		318	193,627
Interest expense ⁽¹⁾	3,322	3,667		349	7,338
Provision for income taxes ⁽¹⁾	44,838	25,848		32	70,718
Assets	7,038,247	1,087,020		428,894 (2)	8,554,161
Capital investments ⁽³⁾	533,139	26,164		13,809	573,112

⁽¹⁾ Interest expense and the provision for income taxes by segment are allocated as they are incurred at the corporate level.

Included in intersegment revenues of the Midstream Services segment are \$419.5 million and \$286.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for marketing of the Company's E&P sales. Corporate assets include cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, furniture and fixtures, prepaid debt and other costs. Corporate general and administrative costs, depreciation expense and taxes other than income are allocated to the segments. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, capital investments within the E&P segment include \$2.3 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, related to the Company's activities in Canada. At March 31, 2013, E&P segment assets

⁽²⁾ Other assets represent corporate assets not allocated to segments and assets, including restricted cash and investments in cash equivalents, for non-reportable segments.

⁽³⁾ Capital investments includes increases of \$32.9 million and \$15.3 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, relating to the change in accrued expenditures between periods.

include \$45.9 million and at March 31, 2012, assets include \$31.3 million related to the Company's activities in Canada.

(16) NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS ADOPTED

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("Update 2013-02"), which finalizes proposed ASU No. 2012-240, and seeks to improve the transparency of reporting reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Update 2013-02 replaces the presentation requirements in ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, and ASU No. 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. Update 2013-02 requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For public entities, Update 2013-02 is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. The implementation of the disclosure requirement did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In January 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities ("Update 2013-01"), which finalizes Proposed ASU No. 2012-250 and clarifies the scope of transactions that are subject to disclosures concerning offsetting. Update 2013-01 addresses implementation issues regarding the scope of ASU No. 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities, issued in December 2011. Update 2013-01 clarifies that the scope of the disclosures under U.S. GAAP is limited to derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse purchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are offset either in accordance with FASB ASC Section 210-20-45, Balance Sheet—Offsetting—Other Presentation Matters, or FASB ASC Section 815-10-45, Derivatives and Hedging—Overall—Other Presentation Matters, or are subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Update 2013-01 requires an entity (1) to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and (2) to provide the required disclosures retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The implementation of the disclosure requirement did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

(17) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Company is providing condensed consolidating financial information for SEECO, SEPCO and SES, its subsidiaries that are currently guarantors of the Company's registered public debt, and for its other subsidiaries that are not guarantors of such debt. These wholly owned subsidiary guarantors have jointly and severally, fully and unconditionally guaranteed the Company's 7.35% Senior Notes, 7.125% Senior Notes and 4.10% Senior Notes. The subsidiary guarantees (i) rank equally in right of payment with all of the existing and future senior debt of the subsidiary guarantors; (ii) rank senior to all of the existing and future subordinated debt of the subsidiary guarantors; (iii) are effectively subordinated to any future secured obligations of the subsidiary guarantors to the extent of the value of the assets securing such obligations; and (iv) are structurally subordinated to all debt and other obligations of the subsidiaries of the guarantors.

The Company has not presented separate financial and narrative information for each of the subsidiary guarantors because it believes that such financial and narrative information would not provide any additional information that would be material in evaluating the sufficiency of the guarantees. The following condensed consolidating financial information summarizes the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for the Company's guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries.

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS} \\ \textbf{(Unaudited)} \end{array}$

Three months ended March 31, 2013:	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors (in thousands)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Operating revenues	\$ -	\$ 690,144	\$ 121,369	\$ (77,864)	\$ 733,649
Operating costs and expenses:	Ψ	Ψ 0,1144	Ψ 121,309	ψ (77,004)	Ψ 733,047
Gas purchases – midstream services	_	180,164	_	(208)	179,956
Operating expenses	_	110,541	31,322	(77,639)	64,224
General and administrative expenses	_	31,866	5,366	(17)	37,215
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	_	167,522	11,945	-	179,467
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	_	-	-	_	-
Taxes, other than income taxes	_	17,715	3,112	_	20,827
Total operating costs and expenses		507,808	51,745	(77,864)	481,689
Operating income		182,336	69,624		251,960
Other loss, net		(376)	(157)		(533)
Commodity derivative loss	_	(29,794)	_	_	(29,794)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	127,515	_	_	(127,515)	_
Interest expense	_	6,938	2,083	_	9,021
Income before income taxes	127,515	145,228	67,384	(127,515)	212,612
Provision for income taxes	_	58,046	27,051		85,097
Net income	127,515	87,182	40,333	(127,515)	127,515
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 33,318	\$ (6,253)	\$ 39,304	\$ (33,051)	\$ 33,318
•					
Three months ended March 31, 2012:					
Operating revenues	\$ -	\$ 612,811	\$ 113,125	\$ (71,101)	\$ 654,835
Operating costs and expenses:					
Gas purchases – midstream services	_	146,903	_	(227)	146,676
Operating expenses	_	103,056	27,981	(70,079)	60,958
General and administrative expenses	_	41,708	7,913	(795)	48,826
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	_	182,837	10,790	_	193,627
Taxes, other than income taxes		16,998	3,424		20,422
Total operating costs and expenses		491,502	50,108	(71,101)	470,509
Operating income		121,309	63,017		184,326
Other loss, net	_	(174)	(26)	_	(200)
Commodity derivative gain	_	1,634	_	_	1,634
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	107,704	_	_	(107,704)	_
Interest expense		3,755	3,583		7,338
Income (loss) before income taxes	107,704	119,014	59,408	(107,704)	178,422
Provision for income taxes		46,892	23,826		70,718
Net income (loss)	107,704	72,122	35,582	(107,704)	107,704
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 107,958	\$ 137,957	\$ 36,063	\$ (107,704)	\$ 174,274

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	1	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	<u>C</u>	onsolidated
March 31, 2013:				(in thousands)			
ASSETS							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	17,379	\$ -	\$ 129	\$ -	\$	17,508
Restricted cash		7,108	_	_	_		7,108
Accounts receivable		2,720	367,636	16,968	_		387,324
Inventories		_	29,117	1,291	_		30,408
Other current assets		14,611	153,233	6,615			174,459
Total current assets		41,818	549,986	25,003	_		616,807
Intercompany receivables	2	,277,188	94	26,325	(2,303,607)		-
Property and equipment		225,056	11,979,897	1,356,785	_		13,561,738
Less: Accumulated depreciation, depletion and		(00.006)	(7,000,014)	(200.510)			(7.200.220)
amortization		(88,806)	(7,090,914)	(200,510)		_	(7,380,230)
		136,250	4,888,983	1,156,275	_		6,181,508
Investments in subsidiaries (equity method)	2	,344,562	-	-	(2,344,562)		-
Other assets		35,898	68,058	14,520		_	118,476
Total assets	\$ 4	,835,716	\$ 5,507,121	\$ 1,222,123	\$ (4,648,169)	\$	6,916,791
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY							
Accounts payable	\$	99,746	\$ 434,903	\$ 81,486	\$ -	\$	616,135
Other current liabilities		3,759	109,036	2,544	_		115,339
Total current liabilities		103,505	543,939	84,030	_		731,474
Intercompany payables		_	2,254,521	49,086	(2,303,607)		-
Long-term debt	1	,703,403	_	_	_		1,703,403
Deferred income taxes		(116,029)	874,991	372,350	_		1,131,312
Other liabilities		63,029	191,521	14,244	_		268,794
Total liabilities	1	,753,908	3,864,972	519,710	(2,303,607)		3,834,983
Commitments and contingencies					,		
Total equity	3	,081,808	1,642,149	702,413	(2,344,562)		3,081,808
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 4	,835,716	\$ 5,507,121	\$ 1,222,123	\$ (4,648,169)	\$	6,916,791

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	_	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
<u>December 31, 2012:</u>				(in thousands)		
ASSETS						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	47,491	\$ 5,988	\$ 104	\$ -	\$ 53,583
Restricted Cash		8,542	_	_	_	8,542
Accounts receivable		2,677	353,607	21,354	_	377,638
Inventories		2	26,975	1,164	_	28,141
Other current assets		7,461	321,396	12,151		341,008
Total current assets		66,173	707,966	34,773	_	808,912
Intercompany receivables		2,259,713	42	27,077	(2,286,832)	_
Property and equipment		220,837	11,491,222	1,316,380	_	13,028,439
Less: Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization		(92 179)	(6.022.106)	(196 170)		(7.101.462)
amoruzation	_	(82,178)	(6,923,106)	(186,179)		(7,191,463)
		138,659	4,568,116	1,130,201	_	5,836,976
Investments in subsidicaies (equity method)		2 200 047	_	_	(2.200.047)	
Investments in subsidiaries (equity method)		2,309,947	_	_	(2,309,947)	_
Other assets		35,136	42,247	14,256		91,639
Other assets	_	33,130	42,247	14,230		91,039
Total assets	\$	4,809,628	\$ 5,318,371	\$ 1,206,307	\$ (4,596,779)	\$ 6,737,527
Total assets	φ	4,009,020	\$ 3,316,371	\$ 1,200,307	\$ (4,390,779)	\$ 0,737,327
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY						
LIABILITIES AND EQUITT						
Accounts payable	\$	140,367	\$ 375,604	\$ 41,009	\$ -	\$ 556,980
Other current liabilities		3,758	205,623	1,410	_	210,791
Total current liabilities		144,125	581,227	42,419		767,771
		,		, ,		, , , , , ,
Intercompany payables		_	2,108,360	178,472	(2,286,832)	_
r of rough			, ,	,	(, ,	
Long-term debt		1,668,273	_	_	_	1,668,273
Deferred income taxes		(116,207)	820,279	345,066	_	1,049,138
Other liabilities		77,565	124,505	14,403	_	216,473
Total liabilities		1,773,756	3,634,371	580,360	(2,286,832)	3,701,655
Commitments and contingencies			,		,	, ,
Total equity		3,035,872	1,684,000	625,947	(2,309,947)	3,035,872
Total liabilities and equity	\$	4,809,628	\$ 5,318,371	\$ 1,206,307	\$ (4,596,779)	\$ 6,737,527

${\color{blue} \textbf{CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS} \\ (\textbf{Unaudited}) \\ {\color{blue} }$

	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors (in thousands)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Three months ended March 31, 2013:					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating					
activities	\$ (55,189)	\$ 289,060	\$ 138,267	\$ –	\$ 372,138
Investing activities:					
Capital investments	(17,873)	(453,651)	(12,110)	_	(483,634)
Transfers from restricted cash	1,434	_	_	_	1,434
Other	6,607	(5,762)	193		1,038
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(9,832)	(459,413)	(11,917)		(481,162)
Financing activities:					
Intercompany activities Payments on current portion of long-term	(38,036)	164,365	(126,329)	_	_
debt Payments on revolving long-term debt	(369,700)	_	_	_	(369,700)
Borrowing under revolving long-term debt	404,800	_	_	_	404,800
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	404,600	_	_	_	404,000
Other Items	37,845	_	_	_	37,845
Net cash provided by (used in) financing	37,043				37,043
activities	34,909	164.365	(126,329)	_	72,945
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	34,707	104,505	4		4
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash	(20, 112)	(7,000)			
equivalents	(30,112)	(5,988)	25	_	(36,075)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	47,491	5,988	104		53,583
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 17,379	\$	\$ 129	\$ –	\$ 17,508
Three months ended March 31, 2012:					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (66,501)	\$ 428,743	\$ 82,421	\$	\$ 444,663
Investing activities:					
Capital investments Proceeds from sale of property and	(15,673)	(502,036)	(39,922)	_	(557,631)
equipment	_	651	_	-	651
Other	4,085	(9,440)	7,125		1,770
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,588)	(510,825)	(32,797)		(555,210)
Financing activities:				_	
Intercompany activities	(32,324)	82,571	(50,247)	-	_
Payments on revolving long-term debt	(1,271,300)	_	_	_	(1,271,300)
Borrowings under revolving long-term debt	599,800	-	_	-	599,800
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	998,780	_	_	_	998,780
Other items	(26,163)		_		(26,163)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	268,793	82,571	(50,247)		301,117
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	_	_	(30)		(30)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash	190,704	489	(653)	_	190,540
equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of		407		-	170,340
year	14,711		916		15,627
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 205,415	\$ 489	\$ 263	\$ -	\$ 206,167

(18) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In April 2013, the Company entered into a definitive purchase agreement to acquire natural gas properties located in Pennsylvania prospective for the Marcellus Shale for approximately \$93 million, subject to closing conditions. The Company intends to use its revolving credit facility to finance the acquisition. The Company anticipates closing the acquisition during the second quarter of 2013.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following updates information as to Southwestern Energy Company's financial condition provided in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K and analyzes the changes in the results of operations between the three month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. For definitions of commonly used natural gas and oil terms used in this Form 10-Q, please refer to the "Glossary of Certain Industry Terms" provided in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the risks described in the "Cautionary Statement About Forward-Looking Statements" in the forepart of this Form 10-Q, in Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in Part I and elsewhere in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in Part II in this Form 10-Q and any other Form 10-Q filed during the fiscal year. You should read the following discussion with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in this Form 10-Q.

OVERVIEW

Background

Southwestern Energy Company is an independent energy company engaged in natural gas and oil exploration, development and production, or E&P. We are also focused on creating and capturing additional value through our natural gas gathering and marketing businesses, which we refer to as Midstream Services. We operate principally in two segments: E&P and Midstream Services.

Our primary business is the exploration for and production of natural gas and oil, with our current operations being principally focused within the United States on development of an unconventional gas reservoir located on the Arkansas side of the Arkoma Basin, which we refer to as the Fayetteville Shale play. We are also actively engaged in exploration and production activities in Pennsylvania, where we are targeting the unconventional gas reservoir known as the Marcellus Shale, and to a lesser extent in Texas and in Arkansas and Oklahoma in the Arkoma Basin. We have recently commenced exploration operations in Arkansas and Louisiana testing an unconventional oil play targeting the Lower Smackover Brown Dense, or LSBD formation, as well as in Colorado and Montana. In 2010, we commenced an exploration program in New Brunswick, Canada, which represents our first operations outside of the United States.

We are focused on providing long-term growth in the net asset value of our business. We derive the vast majority of our operating income and cash flow from the natural gas production of our E&P business and expect this to continue in the future. We expect that growth in our operating income and revenues will depend primarily on natural gas prices and our ability to increase our natural gas production. We expect our natural gas production volumes will continue to increase due to our ongoing development of the Fayetteville Shale play in Arkansas and the Marcellus Shale play in Pennsylvania. The price we expect to receive for our natural gas is a critical factor in the capital investments we make in order to develop our properties and increase our production. In recent years, there has been volatility in natural gas prices as evidenced by New York Mercantile Exchange, or NYMEX, natural gas prices ranging from a high of \$13.58 per MMBtu in 2008 to a recent low of \$1.91 per MMBtu in April 2012. Natural gas prices fluctuate due to a variety of factors we cannot control or predict. These factors, which include increased supplies of natural gas due to greater exploration and development activities, weather conditions, political and economic events, and competition from other energy sources, impact supply and demand for natural gas, which in turn determines the sale prices for our production. In addition to the factors identified above, the prices we realize for our production are affected by our hedging activities as well as locational differences in market prices.

Recent Financial and Operating Results

We reported a net income of \$127.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, or \$0.36 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$107.7 million, or \$0.31 per diluted share, for the comparable period in 2012.

Our natural gas and oil production increased to 147.8 Bcfe for the three months ended March 31, 2013, up 11% from 133.4 Bcfe for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The 14.4 Bcfe increase in our first quarter 2013 production was primarily due to a 14.2 Bcf increase in net production from our Marcellus Shale properties and a 3.1 Bcf increase in net production from our Fayetteville Shale properties, which more than offset a combined 2.9 Bcfe decrease

in net production from our Ark-La-Tex properties primarily due to the sale of certain East Texas oil and natural gas properties in 2012. The average price realized for our gas production, including the effects of hedges, decreased 1% to \$3.43 per Mcf for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$3.48 per Mcf for the same period in 2012.

Our E&P segment reported operating income of \$175.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, up \$61.1 million from the comparable period of 2012, primarily due to an increase in revenues of \$49.4 million from higher natural gas production volumes, a decrease in operating costs and expenses of \$14.9 million due to lower salt water disposal costs in the Fayetteville Shale and lower employee-related costs, an increase in revenues of \$2.8 million from higher oil and NGL volumes and prices, offset slightly by decreased prices realized from the sale of our natural gas production of \$6.9 million.

Operating income for our Midstream Services segment was \$76.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, up from \$69.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, primarily due to an increase of \$9.1 million in gas gathering revenues, which was partially offset by a \$1.5 million increase in operating costs and expenses associated with an increase in gas volumes gathered, exclusive of gas purchase costs, and a decrease of \$0.6 million in the margin generated from our gas marketing activities.

Capital investments were \$518.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, of which \$475.3 million was invested in our E&P segment, compared to \$573.1 million for the same period of 2012, of which \$533.1 million was invested in our E&P segment.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our results of operations for our segments is presented before intersegment eliminations. We evaluate our segments as if they were stand alone operations and accordingly discuss their results prior to any intersegment eliminations. Interest expense, income tax expense and stock-based compensation are discussed on a consolidated basis.

Exploration and Production

		For the three months ended March 31,		
		2013		2012
Revenues (in thousands)	\$	511,603	\$	465,344
Operating costs and expenses (in thousands)	\$	335,845	\$	350,735
Operating income (in thousands)	\$	175,758	\$	114,609
Realized commodity derivative income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	\$	1,007	\$	(479)
Con and destina (DoA)		147.5		133.3
Gas production (Bcf)				
Oil production (MBbls)		41		24
NGL production (MBbls)		20		-
Total production (Bcfe)		147.8		133.4
Average realized gas price per Mcf, including hedges (2)	\$	3.43	\$	3.48
Average realized gas price per Mcf, excluding hedges	\$	2.88	\$	2.24
Average oil price per Bbl	\$	106.93	\$	104.39
Average NGL price per Bbl	\$	47.97	\$	_
Average unit costs per Mcfe:				
Lease operating expenses	\$	0.81	\$	0.83
	·			
General & administrative expenses	\$	0.21	\$	0.30
Taxes, other than income taxes	\$	0.12	\$	0.13
Full cost pool amortization	\$	1.09	\$	1.33

⁽¹⁾ Represents the realized commodity derivative income (loss) associated with derivatives not designated for hedge accounting.

Revenues

Revenues for our E&P segment were up \$46.3 million, or 10%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Higher natural gas production volumes in the first quarter of 2013 increased revenues by \$49.4 million combined with an increase of \$2.8 million from higher oil and NGL volumes and prices was offset slightly by decreased prices realized from the sale of our natural gas production of \$6.9 million. We expect our natural gas production volumes to continue to increase due to our development of the Fayetteville Shale play in Arkansas and the Marcellus Shale play in Pennsylvania. Natural gas prices are difficult to predict and subject to wide price fluctuations. As of April 30, 2013, we had hedged 240.1 Bcf of our remaining 2013 gas production and 200.7 Bcf of our 2014 gas production to limit our exposure to price fluctuations. We refer you to Note 7 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q and to the discussion of "Commodity Prices" provided below for additional information.

Production

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, our natural gas and oil production increased 11% to 147.8 Bcfe, up from 133.4 Bcfe from the same period in 2012, and was produced entirely by our properties in the United States. The 14.4 Bcfe increase in our 2013 production was primarily due to a 14.2 Bcf increase in net production from our

⁽²⁾ Had we included the unrealized effects of hedging contracts not designated for hedge accounting, our average price for total natural gas would have been \$3.22 and \$3.49 per Mcf for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Marcellus Shale properties and a 3.1 Bcf increase in net production from our Fayetteville Shale properties, which more than offset a combined 2.9 Bcfe decrease in net production from our Ark-La-Tex properties. Net production from our Fayetteville Shale and Marcellus Shale properties was 118.9 Bcf and 23.5 Bcf, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to 115.8 Bcf and 9.3 Bcf, respectively, for the same period in 2012.

Commodity Prices

The average realized price for our natural gas production, including the effects of cash flow hedges, decreased to \$3.43 per Mcf for the three months ended March 31, 2013, as compared to \$3.48 for the same period in 2012. The decrease was the result of a \$0.69 per Mcf decrease in the impact of our price hedging activities, which was partially offset by a \$0.64 per Mcf increase in average natural gas prices, excluding hedges. The average price realized for our natural gas production, excluding the effects of hedges, increased 29% to \$2.88 per Mcf for the three months ended March 31, 2013, as compared to the same period in 2012. Our cash flow hedges increased the average realized natural gas price \$0.55 per Mcf for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to an increase of \$1.24 per Mcf for the same period in 2012. We periodically enter into various hedging and other financial arrangements with respect to a portion of our projected natural gas and crude oil production in order to ensure certain desired levels of cash flow and to minimize the impact of price fluctuations, including fluctuations in locational basis differentials (we refer you to Item 3 and Note 7 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q for additional discussion).

Our E&P segment receives a sales price for our natural gas at a discount to average monthly NYMEX settlement prices due to locational basis differentials, while transportation charges and fuel charges also reduce the price received. Excluding the impact of hedges, the average price received for our natural gas production for the three months ended March 31, 2013 of \$2.88 per Mcf was approximately \$0.46 lower than the average monthly NYMEX settlement price, primarily due to locational basis differentials and transportation costs. We protected approximately 52% of our natural gas production for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from the impact of widening basis differentials through our hedging activities and sales arrangements. For the remainder of 2013, we expect our total natural gas sales discount to NYMEX to be approximately \$0.50 per Mcf. At March 31, 2013, we had basis protected on approximately 199 Bcf of our remaining 2013 expected natural gas production through financial hedging activities and physical sales arrangements at a differential to NYMEX natural gas prices of approximately (\$0.06) per Mcf, excluding transportation and fuel charges. Additionally, at March 31, 2013, we had basis protected on approximately 59 Bcf and 13 Bcf of our 2014 and 2015 expected natural gas production, respectively, through financial hedging activities and physical sales arrangements.

In addition to the basis hedges discussed above, at March 31, 2013, we had NYMEX fixed price hedges in place on notional volumes of 139.6 Bcf of our remaining 2013 natural gas production at an average price of \$5.06 per MMBtu and notional volumes of 199.8 Bcf of our 2014 natural gas production at an average price of \$4.41per MMBtu.

Operating Income

We recorded operating income from our E&P segment of \$175.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, which represents an increase of \$61.1 million from the same period in 2012. The increase in operating income was primarily due to an increase in revenues of \$49.4 million from higher natural gas production volumes, a decrease in operating costs and expenses of \$14.9 million due to lower personnel costs and an increase in revenues of \$2.8 million increase from higher oil and NGL volumes and prices, offset by decreased prices realized from the sale of our natural gas production of \$6.9 million.

Operating Costs and Expenses

Lease operating expenses per Mcfe for our E&P segment were \$0.81 for three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$0.83 for the same period in 2012. The decrease in lease operating expenses per unit of production for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the same period of 2012, was primarily due to lower salt water disposal costs in our Fayetteville Shale play.

General and administrative expenses per Mcfe for our E&P segment decreased to \$0.21 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from \$0.30 for the same period in 2012. In total, general and administrative expenses for our E&P segment were \$30.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$39.9 million for the same period

in 2012. The decrease in general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the same period of 2012, was primarily due to lower employee-related and information system costs.

Taxes other than income taxes per Mcfe decreased to \$0.12 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$0.13 for the same period in 2012. Taxes other than income taxes per Mcfe vary from period to period due to changes in severance and ad valorem taxes that result from the mix of our production volumes and fluctuations in commodity prices.

Our full cost pool amortization rate averaged \$1.09 per Mcfe for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$1.33 for the same period in 2012. The amortization rate is impacted by the timing and amount of reserve additions and the costs associated with those additions, revisions of previous reserve estimates due to both price and well performance, write-downs that result from full cost ceiling tests, proceeds from the sale of properties that reduce the full cost pool and the levels of costs subject to amortization. We cannot predict our future full cost pool amortization rate with accuracy due to the variability of each of the factors discussed above, as well as other factors, including but not limited to the uncertainty of the amount of future reserves.

Unevaluated costs excluded from amortization were \$1,090.1 million at March 31, 2013 compared to \$1,023.9 million at December 31, 2012. The increase in unevaluated costs since December 31, 2012 primarily resulted from an increase in our wells in progress. Unevaluated costs excluded from amortization at March 31, 2013 included \$41.7 million related to our properties in Canada, compared to \$40.4 million at December 31, 2012.

The timing and amount of production and reserve additions and revisions could have a material adverse impact on our per unit costs.

Midstream Services

	 For the three months ended March 31,					
	 2013	2012				
Revenues – marketing	\$ 599,613	\$	434,277			
Revenues – gathering	\$ 121,294	\$	112,177			
Gas purchases – marketing	\$ 591,463	\$	425,495			
Operating costs and expenses	\$ 53,137	\$	51,670			
Operating income	\$ 76,307	\$	69,289			
Gas volumes marketed (Bcf)	179.8		159.5			
Gas volumes gathered (Bcf)	214.0		202.0			

Revenues

Revenues from our marketing activities were up 38% to \$599.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the volumes marketed increased 13% and the price received for volumes marketed increased 22% compared to the same period in 2012. Increases and decreases in marketing revenues due to changes in commodity prices are largely offset by corresponding changes in gas purchase expenses. Of the total volumes marketed, production from our affiliated E&P operated wells accounted for 95% and 96% of the marketed volumes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Revenues from our gathering activities were up 8% to \$121.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to \$112.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The \$9.1 million increase in gathering revenues resulted primarily from a 6% increase in gas volumes gathered for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. A majority of the increase in gathering revenues for the three months March 31, 2013 resulted from increases in volumes gathered related to the Fayetteville Shale and Marcellus Shale plays. Gathering volumes, revenues and expenses for this segment are expected to continue to grow as reserves related to our Fayetteville Shale and Marcellus Shale plays are developed and production increases.

Operating Income

Operating income from our Midstream Services segment increased to \$76.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$69.3 million for the same period in 2012. Operating income was higher due to increases in gas volumes gathered which primarily resulted from our rising E&P production volumes. The \$7.0 million increase in operating income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was primarily due to an increase of \$9.1 million in gathering revenues, which was partially offset by a \$1.5 million increase in operating costs and expenses associated with an increase in gas volumes gathered, exclusive of gas purchase costs, and a decrease of \$0.6 million in the margin generated from our gas marketing activities.

The margin generated from gas marketing activities was \$8.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$8.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. Margins are primarily driven by volumes of natural gas marketed and may fluctuate depending on the prices paid for commodities and the ultimate disposition of those commodities. We enter into hedging activities from time to time with respect to our natural gas marketing activities to provide margin protection. We refer you to Item 3, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks" included in this Form 10-Q for additional information.

Interest Expense

Interest expense, net of capitalization, increased to \$9.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to \$7.3 million for the same period in 2012. The increase in interest expense, net of capitalization, for the three month periods ended March 31, 2013 was primarily due to our increased borrowing level, partially offset by an increase in capitalized interest. We capitalized interest of \$16.2 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2013, compared to \$13.4 million for the same period in 2012. The increases in capitalized interest were primarily due to the increase in our unevaluated property balance during 2013.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rates were 40.0% and 39.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we recorded an income tax expense of \$85.1 million compared to an income tax expense of \$70.7 million for the same period in 2012.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

We recognized expense of \$3.0 million and capitalized \$2.9 million for stock-based compensation during the three-month period ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$2.8 million expense and \$2.9 million capitalized for the comparable period in 2012. We refer you to Note 14 in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q for additional discussion of our equity based compensation plans.

New Accounting Standards

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("Update 2013-02"), which finalizes proposed ASU No. 2012-240, and seeks to improve the transparency of reporting reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Update 2013-02 replaces the presentation requirements in ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, and ASU No. 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. Update 2013-02 requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For public entities, Update 2013-02 is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. The implementation of the disclosure requirement did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In January 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities ("Update 2013-01"), which finalizes Proposed ASU No. 2012-250 and clarifies the scope of transactions that are subject to disclosures concerning offsetting.

Update 2013-01 addresses implementation issues regarding the scope of ASU No. 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities, issued in December 2011. Update 2013-01 clarifies that the scope of the disclosures under U.S. GAAP is limited to derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse purchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are offset either in accordance with FASB ASC Section 210-20-45, Balance Sheet—Offsetting—Other Presentation Matters, or FASB ASC Section 815-10-45, Derivatives and Hedging—Overall—Other Presentation Matters, or are subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Update 2013-01 requires an entity (1) to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and (2) to provide the required disclosures retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The implementation of the disclosure requirement did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We depend primarily on internally-generated funds, our Credit Facility and funds accessed through debt and equity markets as our primary sources of liquidity.

For the remainder of 2013, assuming natural gas prices remain at current levels, we intend to draw on a portion of the funds available under our Credit Facility to fund our planned capital investments (discussed below under "Capital Investments"). We refer you to Note 10 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q and the section below under "Financing Requirements" for additional discussion of our Credit Facility.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased 16% to \$372.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$444.7 million for the same period in 2012, due to a decrease in changes in working capital partially offset by an increase in net income adjusted for non-cash expenses primarily resulting from increased revenues due to higher natural gas production, increased gathering volumes, and lower operating costs, offset slightly by lower realized gas prices. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, requirements for our capital investments were funded primarily from our cash generated by operating activities, cash and cash equivalents, and net proceeds from borrowings under our Credit Facility. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, cash generated from our operating activities funded 77% of our cash requirements for capital investments and 80% for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

We believe that our operating cash flow, cash equivalents, and available funds under our Credit Facility will be adequate to meet our capital and operating requirements for 2013. The credit status of the financial institutions participating in our Credit Facility could adversely impact our ability to borrow funds under the Credit Facility. While we believe all of the lenders under the facility have the ability to provide funds, we cannot predict whether each will be able to meet its obligation.

Our cash flow from operating activities is highly dependent upon the market prices that we receive for our natural gas and oil production. Natural gas and oil prices are subject to wide fluctuations and are driven by market supply and demand factors which are impacted by the overall state of the economy. The price received for our production is also influenced by our commodity hedging activities, as more fully discussed in Item 3, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks" and Note 7 in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q. Our commodity hedging activities are subject to the credit risk of our counterparties being financially unable to complete the transaction. We actively monitor the credit status of our counterparties, performing both quantitative and qualitative assessments based on their credit ratings and credit default swap rates where applicable, and to date have not had any credit defaults associated with our transactions. However, any future failures by one or more counterparties could negatively impact our cash flow from operating activities.

Additionally, our short-term cash flows are dependent on the timely collection of receivables from our customers and partners. We actively manage this risk through credit management activities and through the date of this filing have not experienced any significant write-offs for non-collectable amounts. However, any sustained inaccessibility of credit by our customers and partners could adversely impact our cash flows.

Due to the above factors, we are unable to forecast with certainty our future level of cash flow from operations. Accordingly, we will adjust our discretionary uses of cash dependent upon available cash flow.

Capital Investments

Our capital investments were \$518.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$573.1 million for the same period in 2012. Our E&P segment investments were \$475.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$533.1 million for the comparable period in 2012. Our E&P segment capitalized internal costs of \$38.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$41.6 million for the comparable period in 2012. These internal costs were directly related to acquisition, exploration and development activities and are included as part of the cost of natural gas and oil properties.

Our capital investments for 2013 are planned to be \$2.0 billion, consisting of \$1.8 billion for E&P, \$160 million for Midstream Services and \$40 million for corporate and other purposes. Of the approximate \$1.8 billion for E&P, we expect to allocate approximately \$830 million to our Fayetteville Shale play and approximately \$705 million to our Marcellus Shale play. Our planned level of capital investments in 2013 is expected to allow us to continue our progress in the Fayetteville Shale and Marcellus Shale programs and explore and develop other existing natural gas and oil properties and generate new drilling prospects. Our remaining 2013 capital investment program is expected to be funded through cash flow from operations and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. The planned capital program for 2013 is flexible and can be modified. We will reevaluate our proposed investments as needed to take into account prevailing market conditions and, if natural gas prices change significantly in 2013, we could change our planned investments.

Financing Requirements

Our total debt outstanding was \$1,704.6 million at March 31, 2013 compared to \$1,669.5 million at December 31, 2012. In February 2011, we amended and restated our unsecured revolving credit facility, increasing the borrowing capacity to \$1.5 billion and extending the maturity date to February 2016. The amount available under the revolving credit facility may be increased to \$2.0 billion at any time upon the Company's agreement with its existing or additional lenders. We had \$35.1 million outstanding under our revolving credit facility at March 31, 2013 compared to no borrowings on our credit facility at December 31, 2012.

The interest rate on our Credit Facility is calculated based upon our public debt rating and is currently 200 basis points over LIBOR. Our publicly traded notes are rated BBB- by Standard and Poor's and we have a long term debt rating of Baa3 by Moody's. Any downgrades in our public debt ratings could increase our cost of funds under the Credit Facility.

Our Credit Facility contains covenants which impose certain restrictions on us. Under the Credit Facility, we must keep our total debt at or below 60% of our total capital, and must maintain a ratio of EBITDA to interest expense of 3.5 or above. Our Credit Facility's financial covenants with respect to capitalization percentages exclude hedging activities, pension and other postretirement liabilities as well as the effects of non-cash entries that result from any full cost ceiling impairments occurring after the date of the agreement. At March 31, 2013, our capital structure as determined under our Credit Facility was 29% debt and 71% equity, which excluded hedging activities, pension and other postretirement liabilities, and if applicable, the effect of the non-cash full cost ceiling impairment. We were in compliance with all of the covenants of our Credit Facility at March 31, 2013. Although we do not anticipate any violations of our financial covenants, our ability to comply with those covenants is dependent upon the success of our exploration and development program and upon factors beyond our control, such as the market prices for natural gas and oil. If we are unable to borrow under our Credit Facility, we may have to decrease our capital investment plans.

In March 2012, we issued \$1 billion of 4.10% Senior Notes due 2022 in a private placement. A portion of the net proceeds of the offering were used to repay the amounts outstanding under the Company's revolving credit facility and the remaining proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

At March 31, 2013, our capital structure consisted of 36% debt and 64% equity (exclusive of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash) and \$24.6 million in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash, compared to 35% debt and 65% equity at December 31, 2012. Equity at March 31, 2013 included an accumulated other comprehensive gain of \$78.7 million related to our hedging activities, partially offset by \$22.0 million related to our pension and other postretirement liabilities. The amount recorded in equity for our hedging activities is based on current market values for our hedges at March 31, 2013 and does not necessarily reflect the value that we will receive or pay when the hedges are ultimately settled, nor does it take into account revenues to be received associated with the physical delivery of sales volumes hedged.

Our hedges allow us to ensure a certain level of cash flow to fund our operations. At April 30, 2013, we had NYMEX commodity price hedges in place on 240.1 Bcf of our remaining targeted 2013 natural gas production and 200.7 Bcf of our expected 2014 natural gas production. The amount of long-term debt we incur will be largely dependent upon commodity prices and our capital investment plans.

Contractual Obligations and Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

We have various contractual obligations in the normal course of our operations and financing activities. There have been no material changes to our contractual obligations from those disclosed in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Contingent Liabilities and Commitments

In the first quarter of 2010, the Company was awarded exclusive licenses by the Province of New Brunswick in Canada to conduct an exploration program covering approximately 2.5 million acres in the province. The licenses require the Company to make certain capital investments in New Brunswick of approximately \$47 million in the aggregate over a three year period. In order to obtain the licenses, the Company provided promissory notes payable on demand to the Minister of Finance of the Province of New Brunswick with an aggregate principal amount of \$44.5 million Canadian dollars. The promissory notes secure the Company's capital expenditure obligations under the licenses and are returnable to the Company to the extent the Company performs such obligations. If the Company fails to fully perform, the Minister of Finance may retain a portion of the applicable promissory notes in an amount equal to any deficiency. The Company commenced its Canada exploration program in 2010 and, as of March 31, 2013, no liability has been recognized in connection with the promissory notes due to the Company's investments in New Brunswick as of March 31, 2013 and its future investment plans. In December 2012, we received two one-year extensions to our exploration license agreements which expire on March 16, 2014 and March 16, 2015, respectively.

Substantially all of our employees are covered by defined pension and postretirement benefit plans. As of March 31, 2013, the Company has contributed \$3.0 million to the pension plan and \$0.1 million to the postretirement benefit plan, and expects to contribute \$12.0 million to the pension plan and \$0.1 million to the postretirement benefit plan. At March 31, 2013, we recognized a liability of \$34.1 million as a result of the underfunded status of our pension and other postretirement benefit plans compared to a liability of \$33.5 million at December 31, 2012.

We are subject to litigation, claims and proceedings (including with respect to environmental matters) that arise in the ordinary course of business. Management believes, individually or in aggregate, such litigation, claims and proceedings will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows, but these matters are subject to inherent uncertainties and management's view may change in the future. If an unfavorable final outcome were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the period in which the effect becomes reasonably estimable. We accrue for such items when a liability is both probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. For further information regarding commitments and contingencies, we refer you to Note 11 in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q.

Working Capital

We had negative working capital of \$114.7 million at March 31, 2013 and positive working capital of \$41.1 million at December 31, 2012. Current assets decreased by \$192.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 primarily due to a \$149.7 million decrease in current hedging asset, a \$36.1 million decrease in cash and cash equivalents, and a \$16.9 million decrease in other current assets. These decreases were partially offset by a \$9.7 million increase in accounts receivable. Current liabilities decreased by \$36.3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 primarily as a result of a \$57.2 million decrease in current deferred income taxes, a \$44.4 million decrease in advances from partners, a \$20.2 million decrease in interest payable, and a \$14.2 million decrease in taxes payable, offset slightly by a \$93.6 million increase in accounts payable. We maintain access to funds that may be needed to meet capital requirements through our Credit Facility described in "Financing Requirements" above.

Natural Gas in Underground Storage

We record our natural gas stored in inventory that is owned by the E&P segment at the lower of weighted average cost or market. The natural gas in inventory for the E&P segment is used primarily to supplement production in meeting the segment's contractual commitments, especially during periods of colder weather. In determining the lower of cost or

market for storage gas, we utilize the natural gas futures market in assessing the price we expect to be able to realize for our natural gas in inventory. A decline in the future market price of natural gas could result in write-downs of our natural gas in underground storage carrying cost.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Market risks relating to our operations result primarily from the volatility in commodity prices, basis differentials and interest rates, as well as credit risk concentrations. We use natural gas and crude oil swap agreements and options and interest rate swaps to reduce the volatility of earnings and cash flow due to fluctuations in the prices of natural gas and oil and in interest rates. Our Board of Directors has approved risk management policies and procedures to utilize financial products for the reduction of defined commodity price risk. Utilization of financial products for the reduction of interest rate risks is subject to the approval of our Board of Directors. These policies prohibit speculation with derivatives and limit swap agreements to counterparties with appropriate credit standings.

Credit Risk

Our financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of trade receivables and derivative contracts associated with commodities trading. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to receivables are limited due to the large number of our customers and their dispersion across geographic areas. No single customer accounted for greater than 10% of revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2013. See "Commodities Risk" below for discussion of credit risk associated with commodities trading.

Interest Rate Risk

At March 31, 2013, we had approximately \$1.7 billion of total debt with a weighted average interest rate of 5.4%. Our revolving credit facility has a floating interest rate (2.18% at March 31, 2013). At March 31, 2013, we had borrowings outstanding of \$35.1 million under our Credit Facility. Interest rate swaps may be used to adjust interest rate exposures when deemed appropriate. We do not have any interest rate swaps in effect currently.

Commodities Risk

We use over-the-counter natural gas and crude oil swap agreements and options to hedge sales of our production and to hedge activity in our Midstream Services segment against the inherent price risks of adverse price fluctuations or locational pricing differences between a published index and the NYMEX futures market. These swaps and options include (1) transactions in which one party will pay a fixed price (or variable price) for a notional quantity in exchange for receiving a variable price (or fixed price) based on a published index (referred to as price swaps), (2) transactions in which parties agree to pay a price based on two different indices (referred to as basis swaps) and (3) the purchase and sale of index-related puts and calls (collars) that provide a "floor" price, below which the counterparty pays funds equal to the amount by which the price of the commodity is below the contracted floor, and a "ceiling" price above which we pay to the counterparty the amount by which the price of the commodity is above the contracted ceiling.

The primary market risks relating to our derivative contracts are the volatility in market prices and basis differentials for natural gas and crude oil. However, the market price risk is offset by the gain or loss recognized upon the related sale or purchase of the natural gas or sale of the oil that is hedged. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss as a result of non-performance by our counterparties. The counterparties are primarily major commercial banks, investment banks and integrated energy companies which management believes present minimal credit risks. The credit quality of each counterparty and the level of financial exposure we have to each counterparty are closely monitored to limit our credit risk exposure. Additionally, we perform both quantitative and qualitative assessments of these counterparties based on their credit ratings and credit default swap rates where applicable. We have not incurred any counterparty losses related to non-performance and do not anticipate any losses given the information we have currently. However, given the recent volatility in the financial markets, we cannot be certain that we will not experience such losses in the future.

Exploration and Production

The following table provides information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in commodity prices and that are used to hedge prices for natural gas production. The table presents the notional amount in

Bcf, the weighted average contract prices and the fair value by expected maturity dates. At March 31, 2013, the fair value of our financial instruments related to natural gas production was a \$104.6 million asset.

Natural Gas (Bcf):	Volume (Bcf)	Weighted Average Price to be Swapped (\$/MMBtu)		Average Price Weighted to be Average Swapped Floor Price		Weighted Average Ceiling Price (\$/MMBtu)		erage Basis ng Price Differential		Fair value at March 31, 2013 (\$ in millions)	
Natural Gas (DCI).											
Fixed Price Swaps:											
2013	139.6	\$	5.06	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	130.5
2014	199.8	\$	4.41	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	34.2
Basis Swaps:											
2013	22.3	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	0.04	\$	0.5
2014	10.9	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	0.04	\$	0.6
Fixed Price Call Options:											
2015	199.8	\$	_	\$	5.09	\$	_	\$	_	\$	(61.2)

At March 31, 2013, our basis swaps did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that do not qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded in gas and oil sales. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, we recorded an unrealized loss of \$57.1 million related to fixed price call options that did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, an unrealized gain of \$29.2 million related to fixed price swaps not designated for hedge accounting, an unrealized loss of \$3.0 million related to the basis swaps that did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and an unrealized gain of \$1.3 million related to the change in estimated ineffectiveness of our cash flow hedges. Typically, our hedge ineffectiveness results from changes at the end of a reporting period in the price differentials between the index price of the derivative contract, which is primarily a NYMEX price, and the index price for the point of sale for the cash flow that is being hedged.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Material Weakness in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As previously discussed in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we reported a material weakness, solely related to the review of the tax benefit associated with capitalized intangible drilling costs within the ceiling calculation of the full cost ceiling test.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We have performed an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Our disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that we have designed to ensure that we record, process, accumulate and communicate information to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures and submission within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those determined to be effective can provide only a level of reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Based on the ineffectiveness of the design of controls solely related to the review of the tax benefit associated with capitalized intangible drilling costs within the ceiling calculation of the full cost ceiling test, our Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective as of March 31, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting, other than as described below, (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Remediation of Previously Identified Material Weakness

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we continued efforts to address the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting and the ineffectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as identified by management in conjunction with the preparation of our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K and solely related to the review of the tax benefit associated with capitalized intangible drilling costs within the ceiling calculation of the full cost ceiling test. These efforts have included enhanced analytical analysis and improved cross-functional management review of this tax benefit within the ceiling calculation of the full cost ceiling test. We have tested the internal controls related to the remediation of this deficiency, and while they have not been in place and operating for a sufficient period to validate full remediation, we expect that it will be remediated in 2013.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Company is subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Our policy is to accrue environmental and cleanup related costs of a non-capital nature when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and when the amount can be reasonably estimated. Management believes any future remediation or other compliance related costs will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Tovah Energy

In February 2009, SEPCO was added as a defendant in a Third Amended Petition in the matter of Tovah Energy, LLC and Toby Berry-Helfand v. David Michael Grimes, et, al. In the Sixth Amended Petition, filed in July 2010, in the 273rd District Court in Shelby County, Texas (collectively, the "Sixth Petition"), plaintiff alleged that, in 2005, they provided SEPCO with proprietary data regarding two prospects in the James Lime formation pursuant to a confidentiality agreement and that SEPCO refused to return the proprietary data to the plaintiff, subsequently acquired leases based upon such proprietary data and profited therefrom. Among other things, the plaintiff's allegations in the Sixth Petition included various statutory and common law claims, including, but not limited to claims of misappropriation of trade secrets, violation of the Texas Theft Liability Act, breach of fiduciary duty and confidential relationships, various fraud based claims and breach of contract, including a claim of breach of a purported right of first refusal on all interests acquired by SEPCO between February 2005 and February 2006. In the Sixth Petition, plaintiff sought actual damages of over \$55.0 million as well as other remedies, including special damages and punitive damages of four times the amount of actual damages established at trial.

Immediately before the commencement of the trial in November 2010, plaintiff was permitted, over SEPCO's objections, to file a Seventh Amended Petition claiming actual damages of \$46.0 million and also seeking the equitable remedy of disgorgement of all profits for the misappropriation of trade secrets and the breach of fiduciary duty claims. In December 2010, the jury found in favor of the plaintiff with respect to all of the statutory and common law claims and awarded \$11.4 million in compensatory damages. The jury did not, however, award the plaintiff any special, punitive or other damages. In addition, the jury separately determined that SEPCO's profits for purposes of disgorgement were \$381.5 million. This profit determination does not constitute a judgment or an award. The plaintiff's entitlement to disgorgement of profits as an equitable remedy will be determined by the judge and it is within the judge's discretion to award none, some or all the amount of profit to the plaintiff. In December 2010, the plaintiff filed a motion to enter the judgment based on the jury's verdict. In February 2011, SEPCO filed a motion for a judgment notwithstanding the verdict and a motion to disregard certain findings. In March 2011, the plaintiff filed an amended motion for judgment and intervenor filed its motion for judgment seeking not only the monetary damages and the profits determined by the jury but also seeking, as a new remedy, a constructive trust for profits from 143 wells as well as future drilling and sales of properties in the prospect areas. At the suggestion of the judge, all parties voluntarily agreed to participate in non-binding mediation efforts. The mediation occurred in April 2011 and was unsuccessful. In June 2011, SEPCO received by mail a letter dated June 2011 from the judge, in which he made certain rulings with respect to the post-verdict motions and responses filed by the parties. In his rulings, the judge denied SEPCO's motion

for judgment, judgment notwithstanding the verdict and to disregard certain findings. Plaintiff's and intervenor's claim for a constructive trust was denied but the judge ruled that plaintiff and intervenor shall recover from SEPCO \$11.4 million and a reasonable attorney's fee of 40% of the total damages awarded and are entitled to recover on their claim for disgorgement. The judge instructed that SEPCO calculate the profit on the designated wells for each respective period. SEPCO performed the calculation and provided it to the judge in June 2011. In July 2011, plaintiff and intervenor filed a letter with the court raising objections to the accounting provided by SEPCO, to which SEPCO filed a response in July 2011. In July 2011, the judge sent a letter to the parties in which he ruled that after reviewing the parties' respective position letters, he was awarding \$23.9 million in disgorgement damages in favor of the plaintiff and intervenor. In the July 2011 letter, the judge instructed the plaintiff and intervenor to prepare a judgment for his approval prior to July 2011 consistent with his findings in his June 2011 letter and the disgorgement award. In August 2011, a judgment was entered pursuant to which plaintiff and intervenor are entitled to recover approximately \$11.4 million in actual damages and approximately \$23.9 million in disgorgement as well as prejudgment interest and attorneys' fees which currently are estimated to be up to \$8.9 million and all costs of court of the plaintiff and intervenor. In September 2011, SEPCO filed a motion for a new trial and in November 2011 filed a notice of appeal. In November 2011, the court approved SEPCO's supersedeas bond in the amount of \$14.1 million, which stays execution on the judgment pending appeal. The bond covers the \$11.4 million judgment for actual damages, plus \$1.3 million in pre-judgment interest, \$1.3 million in post-judgment interest (estimating two years for the duration of appeal), and court costs.

In June 2012, SEPCO filed its appellate brief and, in June 2012, plaintiff and intervenor filed a cross-appellate brief seeking limited remand to reassess the disgorgement determination. The parties filed their responses to the appellate and cross appellate briefs in November 2012. Both sides filed replies to the opposing party's response in January 2013. Oral argument was held before the Tyler Court of Appeals on March 8, 2013. Based on the Company's understanding and judgment of the facts and merits of this case, including appellate defenses, and after considering the advice of counsel, the Company has determined that, although reasonably possible after exhaustion of all appeals, an adverse final outcome to this lawsuit is not probable. As such, the Company has not accrued any amounts with respect to this lawsuit. If the plaintiff and intervenor were to ultimately prevail in the appellate process, the Company currently estimates, based on the judgments to date, that SEPCO's potential liability would be up to \$44.2 million, including interest and attorney's fees. The Company's assessment may change in the future due to occurrence of certain events, such as denied appeals, and such re-assessment could lead to the determination that the potential liability is probable and could be material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Bureau of Land Management

In March 2010, the Company's subsidiary, SEECO, was served with a subpoena from a federal grand jury in Little Rock, Arkansas. Based on the documents requested under the subpoena and subsequent discussions described below, the Company believes the grand jury is investigating matters involving approximately 27 horizontal wells operated by SEECO in Arkansas, including whether appropriate leases or permits were obtained therefore and whether royalties and other production attributable to federal lands have been properly accounted for and paid. The Company believes it has fully complied with all requests related to the federal subpoena and delivered its affidavit to that effect. The Company and representatives of the Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Attorney have had discussions since the production of the documents pursuant to the subpoena. In January 2011, the Company voluntarily produced additional materials informally requested by the government arising from these discussions. Although, to the Company's knowledge, no proceeding in this matter has been initiated against SEECO, the Company cannot predict whether or when one might be initiated. The Company intends to fully comply with any further requests and to cooperate with any related investigation. No assurance can be made as to the time or resources that will need to be devoted to this inquiry or the impact of the final outcome of the discussions or any related proceeding.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

There were no additions or material changes to the Company's risk factors as disclosed in Item 1A of Part I in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Our sand mining operations, in support of our E&P business, are subject to regulation by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977. Information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.106) is included in Exhibit 95.1 to this Form 10-Q.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

(31.1)	Certification of CEO filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
(31.2)	Certification of CFO filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
(32.1)	Certification of CEO and CFO furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
(95.1)	Mine Safety Disclosure.
(101.INS)	Interactive Data File Instance Document.
(101.SCH)	Interactive Data File Schema Document.
(101.CAL)	Interactive Data File Calculation Linkbase Document.
(101.LAB)	Interactive Data File Label Linkbase Document.
(101.PRE)	Interactive Data File Presentation Linkbase Document.
(101.DEF)	Interactive Data File Definition Linkbase Document.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

		SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY
		Registrant
Dated:	May 2, 2013	/s/ R. CRAIG OWEN
		R. Craig Owen
		Senior Vice President
		and Chief Financial Officer