

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-Q/A**

(Mark One)

☒ Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities  
Exchange Act of 1934  
For the quarterly period ended **June 30, 2012**

Or

☐ Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities  
Exchange Act of 1934  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: **1-08246**

**Southwestern Energy Company**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**71-0205415**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**2350 North Sam Houston Parkway East, Suite 125,  
Houston, Texas**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**77032**

(Zip Code)

**(281) 618-4700**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

**Not Applicable**

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Class

Outstanding as of July 31, 2012

Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01

349,110,361

---

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

We are filing this Amendment No. 2 on Form 10-Q/A to amend and restate in their entirety the following items of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 as originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 3, 2012 (the “Original Form 10-Q”):

- (i) Item 1 of Part I “Financial Information,”
- (ii) Item 2 of Part I, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,”
- (iii) Item 4 of Part I, “Controls and Procedures,”
- (iv) Item 6 of Part II, “Exhibits”, and
- (v) Updated the signature page, the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, 32.1 and 32.2, and our condensed consolidated financial statements formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) in Exhibits 101.

In connection with the preparation of our 2012 annual report, management of Southwestern Energy Company (“Company”), and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors determined that the calculation we had prepared in the second quarter to determine whether the Company’s net book value of its United States natural gas and oil properties exceeded the ceiling did not meet the technical requirements of Regulation S-X Rule 4-10 of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company calculated its full cost ceiling value without consideration of the tax benefit of capitalized intangible drilling costs resulting in an overstatement of the non-cash impairment of natural gas and oil properties recorded for those periods.

The Company has restated the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the period ended June 30, 2012 to reflect the change in calculation of the Company’s net book value of its United States natural gas and oil properties. The principle effect of the restatement is a decrease to our non-cash, after tax, ceiling impairment by \$82.5 million in the second quarter of 2012.

No other sections were affected, but for the convenience of the reader, this report on Form 10-Q/A restates in its entirety our Original Form 10-Q, with footnotes indicating changes in balances as they apply to the restatement. This report on Form 10-Q/A is presented as of the filing date of the Original Form 10-Q and does not reflect events occurring after that date, or modify or update disclosures in any way other than as required to reflect the restatement described above.

**SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY**  
**INDEX TO FORM 10-Q/A**  
**FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2012**

**PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Financial Statements	5
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	34
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	43
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	45

**PART II – OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	46
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	48
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	48
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	48
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	48
Item 5.	Other Information	48
Item 6.	Exhibits	48

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements, other than historical fact or present financial information, may be deemed to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements that address activities, outcomes and other matters that should or may occur in the future, including, without limitation, statements regarding the financial position, business strategy, production and reserve growth and other plans and objectives for our future operations, are forward-looking statements. Although we believe the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance. We have no obligation and make no undertaking to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, except as may be required by law.

Forward-looking statements include the items identified in the preceding paragraph, information concerning possible or assumed future results of operations and other statements in this Form 10-Q/A identified by words such as “anticipate,” “project,” “intend,” “estimate,” “expect,” “believe,” “predict,” “budget,” “projection,” “goal,” “plan,” “forecast,” “target” or similar expressions.

You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. They are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may affect our operations, markets, products, services and prices and cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In addition to any assumptions and other factors referred to specifically in connection with forward-looking statements, risks, uncertainties and factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated in any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to:

- the timing and extent of changes in market conditions and prices for natural gas and oil (including regional basis differentials);
- our ability to fund our planned capital investments;
- our ability to transport our production to the most favorable markets or at all;
- the timing and extent of our success in discovering, developing, producing and estimating reserves;
- the economic viability of, and our success in drilling, our large acreage position in the Fayetteville Shale play overall as well as relative to other productive shale gas plays;
- the impact of government regulation, including any increase in severance or similar taxes, legislation relating to hydraulic fracturing, the climate and over the counter derivatives;
- the costs and availability of oilfield personnel, services and drilling supplies, raw materials, and equipment, including pressure pumping equipment and crews;
- our ability to determine the most effective and economic fracture stimulation for the Fayetteville Shale play and Marcellus Shale play;
- our future property acquisition or divestiture activities;
- the impact of the adverse outcome of any material litigation against us;
- the effects of weather;
- increased competition and regulation;
- the financial impact of accounting regulations and critical accounting policies;
- the comparative cost of alternative fuels;
- conditions in capital markets, changes in interest rates and the ability of our lenders to provide us with funds as agreed;
- credit risk relating to the risk of loss as a result of non-performance by our counterparties; and
- any other factors listed in the reports we have filed and may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

We caution you that forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q/A are subject to all of the risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, incident to the exploration for and development, production and sale of natural gas and oil. These risks include, but are not limited to, commodity price volatility, third-party interruption of sales to market, inflation, lack of availability of goods and services, environmental risks, drilling and other operating risks, regulatory changes, the uncertainty inherent in estimating proved natural gas and oil reserves and in projecting future rates of production and timing of development expenditures and the other risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the “2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K”), and all quarterly reports on Form 10-Q filed subsequently thereto, including this Form 10-Q/A (“Form 10-Qs”).

Should one or more of the risks or uncertainties described above or elsewhere in this Form 10-Q/A occur, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results and plans could differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We specifically disclaim all responsibility to publicly update any information contained in a forward-looking statement or any forward-looking statement in its entirety and therefore disclaim any resulting liability for potentially related damages.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

#### SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Restated)		(Restated)	
	(in thousands, except share/per share amounts)			
<b>Operating Revenues:</b>				
Gas sales	\$ 429,044	\$ 524,466	\$ 892,812	\$ 992,395
Gas marketing	126,688	201,358	274,739	372,456
Oil sales	1,680	2,503	4,208	5,230
Gas gathering	42,316	36,839	84,438	71,420
	599,728	765,166	1,256,197	1,441,501
<b>Operating Costs and Expenses:</b>				
Gas purchases – midstream services	127,614	200,052	274,290	370,282
Operating expenses	56,614	55,054	117,572	111,852
General and administrative expenses	44,932	40,238	93,758	77,355
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	207,830	171,620	401,457	335,067
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	800,652	–	800,652	–
Taxes, other than income taxes	14,480	15,660	34,902	31,752
	1,252,122	482,624	1,722,631	926,308
<b>Operating Income (Loss)</b>	(652,394)	282,542	(466,434)	515,193
<b>Interest Expense:</b>				
Interest on debt	23,956	16,640	43,691	31,684
Other interest charges	1,047	1,001	2,038	2,512
Interest capitalized	(16,642)	(11,471)	(30,030)	(20,590)
	8,361	6,170	15,699	13,606
<b>Other Income, Net</b>	2,577	69	2,377	443
<b>Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes</b>	(658,178)	276,441	(479,756)	502,030
<b>Provision for Income Taxes:</b>				
Current	100	100	268	200
Deferred	(253,146)	108,887	(182,596)	197,767
	(253,046)	108,987	(182,328)	197,967
<b>Net Income (Loss)</b>	\$ (405,132)	\$ 167,454	\$ (297,428)	\$ 304,063
<b>Earnings (Loss) Per Share:</b>				
Basic	\$ (1.16)	\$ 0.48	\$ (0.85)	\$ 0.88
Diluted	\$ (1.16)	\$ 0.48	\$ (0.85)	\$ 0.87
<b>Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:</b>				
Basic	348,162,723	347,132,830	348,081,399	346,984,194
Diluted	348,162,723	349,970,819	348,081,399	349,840,044

See the accompanying notes which are an integral part of these  
unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**  
(Unaudited)

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
	(Restated)		(Restated)	
Net income (loss)	\$ (405,132)	\$ 167,454	\$ (297,428)	\$ 304,063
Change in derivatives:				
Reclassification to earnings <sup>(1)</sup>	(117,944)	(32,756)	(215,886)	(64,414)
Ineffectiveness <sup>(2)</sup>	1,620	(1,209)	(1,537)	(1,267)
Change in fair value of derivative instruments <sup>(3)</sup>	(36,481)	82,584	130,453	89,308
Total change in derivatives	(152,805)	48,619	(86,970)	23,627
Change value of pension and other postretirement liabilities:				
Amortization of prior service cost included in net periodic pension cost <sup>(4)</sup>	254	196	508	393
Change in currency translation adjustment	(516)	126	(35)	388
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ (558,199)</u>	<u>\$ 216,395</u>	<u>\$ (383,925)</u>	<u>\$ 328,471</u>

(1) Net of (\$76.7), (\$20.9), (\$140.4) and (\$41.2) million in taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(2) Net of \$1.1, (\$0.8), (\$1.0) and (\$0.8) million in taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(3) Net of (\$23.7), \$52.8, \$84.8 and \$57.1 million in taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(4) Net of \$0.1, \$0.1, \$0.3 and \$0.2 million in taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

See the accompanying notes which are an integral part of these  
unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2012 (Restated)	December 31, 2011
ASSETS	(in thousands)	
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 41,499	\$ 15,627
Restricted cash	144,384	—
Accounts receivable	272,750	341,915
Inventories	34,529	46,234
Hedging asset	443,461	514,465
Other	66,890	60,037
Total current assets	1,003,513	978,278
Natural gas and oil properties, using the full cost method, including \$1,035.6 million in 2012 and \$942.9 million in 2011 excluded from amortization	10,428,993	9,544,708
Gathering systems	1,055,614	980,647
Other	568,775	535,464
Less: Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(5,628,635)	(4,415,339)
Total property and equipment, net	6,424,747	6,645,480
Other assets	210,950	279,139
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 7,639,210	\$ 7,902,897
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 484,146	\$ 514,071
Taxes payable	61,453	40,691
Interest payable	34,435	20,565
Advances from partners	116,077	84,082
Current deferred income taxes	167,888	194,163
Other	21,950	31,341
Total current liabilities	885,949	884,913
Long-term debt	1,668,811	1,342,100
Deferred income taxes	1,374,259	1,586,798
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	16,716	20,338
Other long-term liabilities	94,630	99,444
Total long term liabilities	3,154,416	3,048,680
Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)		
Equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 1,250,000,000 shares; issued 349,214,796 shares in 2012 and 349,058,501 in 2011	3,492	3,491
Additional paid-in capital	916,951	903,399
Retained earnings	2,358,786	2,656,214
Accumulated other comprehensive income	321,931	408,428
Common stock in treasury, 101,659 shares in 2012 and 98,889 in 2011	(2,315)	(2,228)
Total equity	3,598,845	3,969,304
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 7,639,210	\$ 7,902,897

See the accompanying notes which are an integral part of these  
unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



**SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Unaudited)

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
	(Restated)	
	(in thousands)	
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ (297,428)	\$ 304,063
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	403,250	337,035
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	800,652	–
Deferred income taxes	(182,596)	197,767
Unrealized gain on derivatives	(4,567)	(3,975)
Stock-based compensation	5,549	4,686
Other	487	170
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	69,166	14,856
Inventories	8,088	6,761
Accounts payable	(1,349)	(7,380)
Taxes payable	20,762	(12,340)
Interest payable	4,762	(164)
Advances from partners	31,995	7,949
Other assets and liabilities	(21,381)	7,502
Net cash provided by operating activities	837,390	856,930
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>		
Capital investments	(1,140,661)	(1,024,658)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	174,337	121,133
Transfers to restricted cash	(167,750)	(85,002)
Transfers from restricted cash	23,366	–
Other	8,895	3,879
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,101,813)	(984,648)
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>		
Payments on current portion of long-term debt	(600)	(600)
Payments on revolving long-term debt	(1,273,700)	(1,717,600)
Borrowings under revolving long-term debt	602,200	1,840,600
Change in bank drafts outstanding	(30,730)	9,260
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	998,780	–
Debt issuance costs	(8,338)	–
Revolving credit facility costs	–	(10,210)
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options	2,698	3,365
Net cash provided by financing activities	290,310	124,815
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		
	(15)	127
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	25,872	(2,776)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	15,627	16,055
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 41,499	\$ 13,279

See the accompanying notes which are an integral part of these  
unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY**  
(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional		Accumulated		Common	
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In	Retained	Other	Comprehensive	Stock in	Total
	Issued		Capital	Earnings	Income (Loss)		Treasury	
(in thousands)								
Balance at December 31, 2011	349,059	\$ 3,491	\$ 903,399	\$ 2,656,214	\$ 408,428	\$ (2,228)	\$	3,969,304
Comprehensive loss:								
Net loss	—	—	—	(297,428)	—	—	—	(297,428)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	(86,497)	—	—	(86,497)
Total comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(383,925)
Stock-based compensation	—	—	10,855	—	—	—	—	10,855
Exercise of stock options	207	2	2,696	—	—	—	—	2,698
Issuance of restricted stock	8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cancellation of restricted stock	(59)	(1)	1	—	—	—	—	—
Treasury stock – non-qualified plan	—	—	—	—	—	—	(87)	(87)
Balance at June 30, 2012 (Restated)	349,215	\$ 3,492	\$ 916,951	\$ 2,358,786	\$ 321,931	\$ (2,315)	\$	3,598,845

See the accompanying notes which are an integral part of these  
unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(1) FINANCIAL STATEMENT RESTATEMENT**

In connection with the preparation of our 2012 annual report, management of Southwestern Energy Company (“Company”), and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors determined that the calculation we had prepared in the second quarter of 2012 to determine whether the Company’s net book value of its United States natural gas and oil properties exceeded the ceiling did not meet the technical requirements of Regulation S-X Rule 4-10 of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company calculated its full cost ceiling value without consideration of the tax benefit of capitalized intangible drilling costs resulting in an overstatement of the non-cash impairment of natural gas and oil properties recorded for those periods.

The Company has restated the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the periods ended June 30, 2012 to reflect the change in calculation of the Company’s net book value of its United States natural gas and oil properties. The principle effect of the restatement is a decrease to our non-cash, after tax, ceiling impairment by \$82.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

In this Form 10-Q/A, the Company is restating the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The effect of the restatement on Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows are as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	
	Previously Reported	As Restated	Previously Reported	As Restated
	(In thousands, except per/share data)		(In thousands, except per/share data)	
<b>Consolidated Statements of Income</b>				
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	\$ 935,899	\$ 800,652	\$ 935,899	\$ 800,652
Deferred Benefit for Income Taxes	\$ (305,425)	\$ (253,146)	\$ (234,875)	\$ (182,596)
Net Loss	\$ (488,100)	\$ (405,132)	\$ (380,396)	\$ (297,428)
Loss Per Share:				
Basic	\$ (1.40)	\$ (1.16)	\$ (1.09)	\$ (0.85)
Diluted	\$ (1.40)	\$ (1.16)	\$ (1.09)	\$ (0.85)

	At June 30, 2012			
	Previously Reported		As Restated	
	(In thousands)			
<b>Consolidated Balance Sheet</b>				
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$	(5,763,882)	\$	(5,628,635)
Deferred income taxes	\$	1,321,980	\$	1,374,259
Retained earnings	\$	2,275,818	\$	2,358,786

For the Six Months Ended  
June 30, 2012

Previously Reported	As Restated
------------------------	-------------

(In thousands)

**Cash Flows From Operating Activities**

Net loss	\$ (380,396)	\$ (297,428)
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	\$ 935,899	\$ 800,652
Deferred income taxes	\$ (234,875)	\$ (182,596)

The restatement had no impact on net cash provided by/used in operating, investing or financing sections of the statement of cash flows.

**(2) BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS**

Southwestern Energy Company (including its subsidiaries, collectively, “We”, “Southwestern” or the “Company”) is an independent energy company engaged in natural gas and oil exploration, development and production. The Company engages in natural gas and oil exploration and production, natural gas gathering and natural gas marketing through its subsidiaries. Southwestern’s exploration, development and production (“E&P”) activities are principally focused within the United States on development of an unconventional gas reservoir located on the Arkansas side of the Arkoma Basin, which the Company refers to as the Fayetteville Shale play. The Company is actively engaged in exploration and production activities in Pennsylvania, where we are targeting the unconventional gas reservoir known as the Marcellus Shale, and to a lesser extent in Texas and in Arkansas and Oklahoma in the Arkoma Basin. The Company also actively seeks to find and develop new oil and natural gas plays with significant exploration and exploitation potential. Southwestern’s natural gas gathering and marketing (“Midstream Services”) activities primarily support the Company’s E&P activities in Arkansas, Pennsylvania and Texas.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information relating to the Company’s organization and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been appropriately condensed or omitted in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A. The Company believes the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this report include all normal and recurring material adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented herein. It is recommended that these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (“2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K”).

The Company’s significant accounting policies, which have been reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee of the Company’s Board of Directors, are summarized in Note 1 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company’s 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company evaluates subsequent events through the date the financial statements are issued.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year financial statements to conform to the 2012 presentation. The effects of the reclassifications were not material to the Company’s unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**(3) DIVESTITURES**

In May 2012, we sold certain oil and natural gas leases, wells and gathering equipment in East Texas for approximately \$168.0 million, excluding typical purchase price adjustments. The proceeds were deposited with a qualified intermediary to facilitate potential like-kind exchange transactions pursuant to Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code and, unless utilized for one or more like-kind exchange transactions, are restricted in their use until

October 2012. The assets included in the sale represented all of the Company's interests and related assets in the Overton Field in Smith County. The net production from the sold assets was approximately 24.0 MMcfe per day as of the closing date and our net proved reserves were approximately 143.0 Bcfe at December 31, 2011.

In May 2011, we sold certain oil and natural gas leases, wells and gathering equipment in East Texas for approximately \$118.1 million. The sale included only the producing rights to the Haynesville and Middle Bossier Shale intervals in approximately 9,717 net acres. The net production from the Haynesville and Middle Bossier Shale intervals in this acreage was approximately 7.0 MMcf per day and proved net reserves were approximately 37.1 Bcf when the sale was closed in May 2011.

#### **(4) PREPAID EXPENSES**

The components of prepaid expenses included in other current assets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Prepaid drilling costs	\$ 53,442	\$ 42,775
Prepaid insurance	2,295	7,275
Total	<u>\$ 55,737</u>	<u>\$ 50,050</u>

#### **(5) INVENTORY**

Inventory recorded in current assets includes \$5.4 million at June 30, 2012 and \$7.8 million at December 31, 2011, for natural gas in underground storage owned by the Company's E&P segment, and \$29.2 million at June 30, 2012 and \$38.4 million at December 31, 2011, for tubular and other equipment used in the E&P segment.

Other Assets include \$15.6 million at June 30, 2012 and \$19.5 million December 31, 2011, respectively, for inventory held by the Midstream Services segment consisting primarily of pipe that will be used to construct gathering systems for the Fayetteville Shale play.

#### **(6) NATURAL GAS AND OIL PROPERTIES**

The Company utilizes the full cost method of accounting for costs related to the exploration, development and acquisition of natural gas and oil reserves. Under this method, all such costs (productive and nonproductive), including salaries, benefits and other internal costs directly attributable to these activities are capitalized on a country by country basis and amortized over the estimated lives of the properties using the units-of-production method. These capitalized costs, less accumulated amortization and related deferred income taxes, are subject to a ceiling test that limits such pooled costs to the aggregate of the present value of future net revenues attributable to proved natural gas and oil reserves, net of taxes, discounted at 10 percent plus the lower of cost or market value of unproved properties. Any costs in excess of the ceiling are written off as a non-cash expense. The expense may not be reversed in future periods, even though higher natural gas and oil prices may subsequently increase the ceiling. Full cost companies must use the average quoted price from the first day of each month from the previous 12 months, including the impact of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges, to calculate the ceiling value of their reserves.

Using the average quoted price from the first day of each month from the previous 12 months for Henry Hub natural gas of \$3.15 per MMBtu and \$92.17 per barrel for West Texas Intermediate oil, adjusted for market differentials, the Company's net book value of its United States natural gas and oil properties exceeded the ceiling by approximately \$496.4 million (net of tax) at June 30, 2012 and resulted in a non-cash ceiling test impairment. Cash flow hedges of natural gas production in place increased the ceiling by \$354.8 million at June 30, 2012. Decreases in average quoted prices from June 30, 2012 levels as well as changes in production rates, levels of reserves, capitalized

costs, the evaluation of costs excluded from amortization, future development costs, service costs and taxes could result in future ceiling test impairments.

All of the Company's costs directly associated with the acquisition and evaluation of properties in New Brunswick, Canada relating to its exploration program at June 30, 2012 were unproved and did not exceed the ceiling amount. If the exploration program in Canada is unsuccessful on all or a portion of these properties, a ceiling test impairment may result in the future.

## (7) EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents the computation of earnings per share for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Restated)		(Restated)	
Net income (loss) (in thousands)	\$ (405,132)	\$ 167,454	\$ (297,428)	\$ 304,063
Number of common shares:				
Weighted average outstanding	348,162,723	347,132,830	348,081,399	346,984,194
Issued upon assumed exercise of outstanding stock options	—	2,583,711	—	2,643,537
Effect of issuance of nonvested restricted common stock	—	254,278	—	212,313
Weighted average and potential dilutive outstanding <sup>(1)</sup>	348,162,723	349,970,819	348,081,399	349,840,044
Earnings (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ (1.16)	\$ 0.48	\$ (0.85)	\$ 0.88
Diluted	\$ (1.16)	\$ 0.48	\$ (0.85)	\$ 0.87

(1) Due to the net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2012, options for 1,723,316 shares and 156,047 shares of restricted stock were antidilutive and excluded from the calculation. Options for 749,910 shares and 3,421 shares of restricted stock were excluded from the calculation for the three months ended June 30, 2011 because they would have had an antidilutive effect. Due to the net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2012, options for 1,783,073 shares and 121,078 shares of restricted stock were antidilutive and excluded from the calculation. Options for 813,878 shares and 3,041 shares of restricted stock were excluded from the calculation for the six months ended June 30, 2011 because they would have had an antidilutive effect.

## **(8) DERIVATIVES AND RISK MANAGEMENT**

The Company is exposed to volatility in market prices and basis differentials for natural gas and crude oil which impacts the predictability of its cash flows related to the sale of natural gas and oil. These risks are managed by the Company's use of certain derivative financial instruments. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company's derivative financial instruments consisted of price swaps, costless-collars and basis swaps. A description of the Company's derivative financial instruments is provided below:

<i>Fixed price swaps</i>	The Company receives a fixed price for the contract and pays a floating market price to the counterparty.
<i>Floating price swaps</i>	The Company receives a floating market price from the counterparty and pays a fixed price.
<i>Costless-collars</i>	Arrangements that contain a fixed floor price (put) and a fixed ceiling price (call). If the market price exceeds the call strike price or falls below the put strike price, the Company receives the fixed price and pays the market price. If the market price is between the call and the put strike price, no payments are due from either party.
<i>Basis swaps</i>	Arrangements that guarantee a price differential for natural gas from a specified delivery point. The Company receives a payment from the counterparty if the price differential is greater than the stated terms of the contract and pays the counterparty if the price differential is less than the stated terms of the contract.

GAAP requires that all derivatives be recognized in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability and be measured at fair value. Under GAAP, certain criteria must be satisfied in order for derivative financial instruments to be classified and accounted for as either a cash flow or a fair value hedge. Accounting for qualifying hedges requires a derivative's gains and losses to be recorded either in earnings or as a component of other comprehensive income. Gains and losses on derivatives that are not elected for hedge accounting treatment or that do not meet hedge accounting requirements are recorded in earnings.

The Company utilizes counterparties for its derivative instruments that it believes are credit-worthy at the time the transactions are entered into and the Company closely monitors the credit ratings of these counterparties. Additionally, the Company performs both quantitative and qualitative assessments of these counterparties based on their credit ratings and credit default swap rates where applicable. However, the events in the financial markets in recent years demonstrate there can be no assurance that a counterparty will be able to meet its obligations to the Company.

The balance sheet classification of the assets related to derivative financial instruments are summarized below at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

Derivative Assets				
June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	
(in thousands)				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Fixed and floating price swaps	Hedging asset	\$ 338,499	Hedging asset	\$ 333,479
Costless-collars	Hedging asset	101,975	Hedging asset	179,080
Fixed and floating price swaps	Other assets	127,072	Other assets	201,081
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 567,546		\$ 713,640
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Basis swaps	Hedging asset	\$ 2,987	Hedging asset	\$ 1,906
Basis swaps	Other assets	1,429	Other assets	1,797
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 4,416		\$ 3,703
Total derivative assets		<u>\$ 571,962</u>		<u>\$ 717,343</u>

Derivative Liabilities				
June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	
(in thousands)				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Fixed and floating price swaps	Other current liabilities	\$ 7,086	Other current liabilities	\$ 11,849
Costless-collars	Other current liabilities	5	Other current liabilities	209
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 7,091		\$ 12,058
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Basis swaps	Other current liabilities	\$ 104	Other current liabilities	\$ 400
Basis swaps	Other long-term liabilities	60	Other long-term liabilities	55
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 164		\$ 455
Total derivative liabilities		<u>\$ 7,255</u>		<u>\$ 12,513</u>

### Cash Flow Hedges

The reporting of gains and losses on cash flow derivative hedging instruments depends on whether the gains or losses are effective at offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item. The effective portion of the gains and losses on the derivative hedging instruments are recorded in other comprehensive income until recognized in earnings during the period that the hedged transaction takes place. The ineffective portion of the gains and losses from the derivative hedging instrument is recognized in earnings immediately.



As of June 30, 2012, the Company had cash flow hedges on the following volumes of natural gas production (in Bcf):

<u>Year</u>	<u>Fixed price swaps</u>	<u>Costless-collars</u>
2012	93.2	40.5
2013	185.4	—

As of June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a net gain in accumulated other comprehensive income related to its hedging activities of \$337.9 million. This amount is net of a deferred income tax liability recorded as of June 30, 2012 of \$219.7 million. The amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income will be relieved over time and recognized in the statement of operations as the physical transactions being hedged occur. Assuming the market prices of natural gas futures as of June 30, 2012 remain unchanged, the Company would expect to transfer an aggregate after-tax net gain of \$261.3 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings during the next 12 months. Gains or losses from derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are reflected as adjustments to gas sales in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations. Volatility in earnings and other comprehensive income may occur in the future as a result of the Company's derivative activities.

The following tables summarize the before tax effect of all cash flow hedges on the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

<u>Derivative Instrument</u>	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Effective Portion)			
	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Fixed price swaps	\$ (47,829)	\$ 120,510	\$ 171,128	\$ 129,579
Costless-collars	\$ (12,370)	\$ 14,875	\$ 44,141	\$ 16,829

<u>Derivative Instrument</u>	<u>Classification of Gain Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income into Earnings (Effective Portion)</u>	Gain Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income into Earnings (Effective Portion)			
		For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
		June 30,		June 30,	
		2012	2011	2012	2011
		(in thousands)			
Fixed price swaps	Gas Sales	\$ 128,894	\$ 41,736	\$ 235,205	\$ 78,537
Costless-collars	Gas Sales	\$ 65,732	\$ 11,962	\$ 121,042	\$ 27,060

<u>Derivative Instrument</u>	<u>Classification of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings (Ineffective Portion)</u>	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings (Ineffective Portion)			
		For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
		June 30,		June 30,	
		2012	2011	2012	2011
		(in thousands)			
Fixed price swaps	Gas Sales	\$ (2,303)	\$ 3,066	\$ 1,996	\$ 999
Costless-collars	Gas Sales	\$ (371)	\$ (1,083)	\$ 540	\$ 1,078

#### *Fair Value Hedges*

For fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative instrument as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item are recognized in earnings immediately. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had no material fair value hedges.

### Other Derivative Contracts

Although the Company's basis swaps meet the objective of managing commodity price exposure, these trades are typically not entered into concurrent with the Company's derivative instruments that qualify as cash flow hedges and therefore do not generally qualify for hedge accounting. Basis swap derivative instruments that do not qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair values under hedging assets, other assets and hedging liabilities, as applicable, and all realized and unrealized gains and losses related to these contracts are recognized immediately in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations as a component of gas sales.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had basis swaps on natural gas production that did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment of 19.0 Bcf, 30.1 Bcf and 9.1 Bcf in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

The following table summarizes the before tax effect of basis swaps that did not qualify for hedge accounting on the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Derivative Instrument	Income Statement Classification of Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Unrealized Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings			
		For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
		June 30,		June 30,	
		2012	2011	2012	2011
		(in thousands)			
Basis swaps	Gas Sales	\$ (218)	\$ 902	\$ 1,005	\$ 1,808

  

Derivative Instrument	Income Statement Classification of Realized Gain (Loss)	Realized Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings			
		For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
		June 30,		June 30,	
		2012	2011	2012	2011
		(in thousands)			
Basis swaps	Gas Sales	\$ 131	\$ (99)	\$ 1,149	\$ (2,355)

## (9) FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(in thousands)			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 41,499	\$ 41,499	\$ 15,627	\$ 15,627
Restricted cash	\$ 144,384	\$ 144,384	\$ –	\$ –
Unsecured revolving credit facility	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 671,500	\$ 671,500
Senior notes	\$ 1,670,011	\$ 1,852,847	\$ 671,800	\$ 773,578
Derivative instruments	\$ 564,707	\$ 564,707	\$ 704,830	\$ 704,830

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, other current assets and current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets approximate fair value because of their short-term nature. For debt and derivative instruments, the following methods and assumptions were used to estimate fair value:

*Debt:* The fair values of the Company's senior notes were based on the market for the Company's publicly-traded debt as determined based on yield of the Company's 7.5% Senior Notes due 2018, which was 3.5% at June 30, 2012 and 4.6% at December 31, 2011, and its 4.10% Senior Notes due 2022, which was 3.9% at June 30, 2012. As such, the Company considers the fair value of its senior notes to be a Level 1 measurement on the fair value hierarchy. The carrying value of the borrowings under the Company's unsecured revolving credit facility at December 31, 2011 approximate fair value.

*Derivative Instruments:* The fair value of all derivative instruments is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged currently between willing parties. The amounts are based on quoted market prices, best estimates obtained from counterparties and an option pricing model, when necessary, for price option contracts.

GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. As presented in the tables below, this hierarchy consists of three broad levels:

*Level 1 valuations* - Consist of unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities and have the highest priority.

*Level 2 valuations* - Consist of quoted market information for the calculation of fair market value.

*Level 3 valuations* - Consist of internal estimates and have the lowest priority.

Pursuant to GAAP, the Company has classified its derivatives into these levels depending upon the data utilized to determine their fair values. The Company's Level 2 fair value measurements include fixed-price and floating-price swaps and are estimated using internal discounted cash flow calculations using the NYMEX futures index. The Company's Level 3 fair value measurements include costless-collars and basis swaps. The Company's costless-collars are valued using the Black-Scholes model, an industry standard option valuation model, and takes into account inputs such as contract terms, including maturity, and market parameters, including assumptions of the NYMEX futures index, interest rates, volatility and credit worthiness. The Company's basis swaps are estimated using internal discounted cash flow calculations based upon forward commodity price curves.

The accounting group, reporting to the Chief Accounting Officer, is responsible for determining the Company's Level 3 fair value measurements. Inputs to the Black-Scholes model, including the volatility input, which is the significant unobservable input for Level 3 fair value measurements, are obtained from a third-party pricing source, with

independent verification of most significant inputs on a monthly basis. An increase (decrease) in volatility would result in an increase (decrease) in fair value measurement, respectively.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

June 30, 2012				
Fair Value Measurements Using:				
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Assets (Liabilities) at Fair Value
Derivative assets	\$ —	\$ 465,571	\$ 106,391	\$ 571,962
Derivative liabilities	—	(7,086)	(169)	(7,255)
Total	\$ —	\$ 458,485	\$ 106,222	\$ 564,707

December 31, 2011				
Fair Value Measurements Using:				
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Assets (Liabilities) at Fair Value
Derivative assets	\$ —	\$ 534,560	\$ 182,783	\$ 717,343
Derivative liabilities	—	(11,849)	(664)	(12,513)
Total	\$ —	\$ 522,711	\$ 182,119	\$ 704,830

The table below presents reconciliations for the change in net fair value of derivative assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012. The fair values of Level 3 derivative instruments are estimated using proprietary valuation models that utilize both market observable and unobservable parameters. Level 3 instruments presented in the table consist of net derivatives valued using pricing models incorporating assumptions that, in the Company's judgment, reflect the assumptions a marketplace participant would have used at June 30, 2012.

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
(in thousands)				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 184,543	\$ 85,580	\$ 182,119	\$ 97,677
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):				
Included in earnings	65,274	11,682	123,736	27,592
Included in other comprehensive income	(77,731)	3,996	(77,441)	(11,310)
Purchases, issuances, and settlements:				
Purchases	—	—	—	—
Issuances	—	—	—	—
Settlements	(65,864)	(11,863)	(122,192)	(24,706)
Transfers into/out of Level 3	—	—	—	142
Balance at end of period	\$ 106,222	\$ 89,395	\$ 106,222	\$ 89,395
Change in unrealized gains included in earnings relating to derivatives still held as of June 30	\$ (590)	\$ (181)	\$ 1,544	\$ 2,886

## (10) DEBT

The components of debt as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in thousands)	
Short-term debt:		
7.15% Senior Notes due 2018	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200
Total short-term debt	1,200	1,200
Long-term debt:		
Variable rate (2.200% and 2.276% at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively) unsecured revolving credit facility, expires February 2016	–	671,500
7.5% Senior Notes due 2018	600,000	600,000
7.35% Senior Notes due 2017	15,000	15,000
7.125% Senior Notes due 2017	25,000	25,000
7.15% Senior Notes due 2018	30,000	30,600
4.10% Senior Notes due 2022	1,000,000	–
Unamortized discount	(1,189)	–
Total long-term debt	1,668,811	1,342,100
Total debt	<u>\$ 1,670,011</u>	<u>\$ 1,343,300</u>

### *Issuance of Senior Notes and Subsidiary Guarantees*

The indentures governing the Company's senior notes contain covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and/or its subsidiaries' ability to incur liens, to engage in sale and leaseback transactions and to merge, consolidate or sell assets. All of the Company's senior notes are currently guaranteed by its subsidiaries, SEECO, Inc. ("SEECO"), Southwestern Energy Production Company ("SEPCO") and Southwestern Energy Services Company ("SES"). If no default or event of default has occurred and is continuing, these guarantees will be released (i) automatically upon any sale, exchange or transfer of all of the Company's equity interests in the guarantor; (ii) automatically upon the liquidation and dissolution of a guarantor; (iii) following delivery of notice to the trustee of the release of the guarantor of its obligations under the Company's credit facility; and (iv) upon legal or covenant defeasance or other satisfaction of the obligations under the notes.

Please refer to Note 16, "Condensed Consolidating Financial Information" in this Form 10-Q/A for additional information.

In March 2012, the Company issued \$1.0 billion of 4.10% Senior Notes due 2022 in a private placement. The 4.10% Senior Notes are redeemable at the Company's election, in whole or in part, at any time prior to December 15, 2021, at a redemption price equal to the greater of: (1) 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed then outstanding; and (2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the notes to be redeemed (not including any portion of such payments of interest accrued to the date of redemption) discounted to the redemption date on a semiannual basis (assuming a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months) as determined in accordance with the Indenture, plus 35 basis points, plus, in either of such cases, accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption on the notes to be redeemed. In addition, if the Company undergoes a "change of control," as defined in the indenture, holders of the 4.10% Senior Notes will have the option to require the Company to purchase all or any portion of the notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes to be purchased plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the change of control date. Payment obligations with respect to the 4.10% Senior Notes are currently guaranteed by the Company's subsidiaries, SEECO, SEPCO and SES, which guarantees may be unconditionally released in certain circumstances. The Company has agreed to cause to become effective a registration statement with respect to an offer to exchange the 4.10% Senior Notes and related guarantees for freely tradeable notes with identical terms and related guarantees on or prior to the 270<sup>th</sup> calendar day after issuance and to cause a shelf registration statement to become effective for resales. The Company will be

obligated to pay additional interest if the exchange offer is not completed or the shelf registration statement, if required, is not effective, on or before the 330<sup>th</sup> day after issuance. The indentures governing the 4.10% Senior Notes and the Company's other senior notes contain covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and/or its subsidiaries to incur liens, to engage in sale and leaseback transactions and to merge, consolidate or sell assets.

#### *Credit Facility*

In February 2011, the Company amended and restated its unsecured revolving credit facility, increasing the borrowing capacity to \$1.5 billion and extending the maturity date to February 2016 ("Credit Facility"). The amount available under the Credit Facility may be increased to \$2.0 billion at any time upon the Company's agreement with its existing or additional lenders. The interest rate on the amended credit facility is calculated based upon our debt rating and is currently 200 basis points over the current London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and was 200 basis points over LIBOR at June 30, 2012. The Credit Facility is guaranteed by the Company's subsidiary, SEECO. The Credit Facility requires additional subsidiary guarantors if certain guaranty coverage levels are not satisfied. The revolving credit facility contains covenants which impose certain restrictions on the Company. Under the credit agreement, the Company may not issue total debt in excess of 60% of its total adjusted capital and must maintain a ratio of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) to interest expense of 3.5 or above. The terms of the Credit Facility also include covenants that restrict the ability of the Company and its material subsidiaries to merge, consolidate or sell all or substantially all of their assets, restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to incur liens and restrict the ability of the Company's subsidiaries to incur indebtedness. As of June 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with the covenants of its debt agreements. While the Company believes all of the lenders under the Credit Facility have the ability to provide funds, it cannot predict whether each will be able to meet its obligation under the facility.

### **(11) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

#### *Commitments*

In the first quarter of 2010, the Company was awarded exclusive licenses by the Province of New Brunswick in Canada to conduct an exploration program covering approximately 2.5 million acres in the province. The licenses require the Company to make certain capital investments in New Brunswick of approximately \$47.0 million Canadian dollars ("CAD") in the aggregate over a three year period. In order to obtain the licenses, the Company provided promissory notes payable on demand to the Minister of Finance of the Province of New Brunswick with an aggregate principal amount of CAD \$44.5 million. The promissory notes secure the Company's capital expenditure obligations under the licenses and are returnable to the Company to the extent the Company performs such obligations. If the Company fails to fully perform, the Minister of Finance may retain a portion of the applicable promissory notes in an amount equal to any deficiency. The Company commenced its Canada exploration program in 2010 and no liability has been recognized in connection with the promissory notes due to the Company's investments in New Brunswick as of June 30, 2012 and its future investment plans.

On March 23, 2012, SES entered into a precedent agreement with Constitution Pipeline Co. LLC for a proposed 121-mile pipeline connecting to the Iroquois Gas Transmission and Tennessee Gas Pipeline systems in Schoharie County, New York. Subject to the receipt of regulatory approvals and satisfaction of other conditions, SES has agreed to enter a fifteen year firm transportation agreement with a total capacity of 150 MMcf per day. The project is expected to be in-service by the second quarter of 2015.

SES and SEPCO have entered into a number of short and long term firm transportation service and gathering agreements in support of our growing Marcellus Shale operations in Pennsylvania. As of June 30, 2012, SES and SEPCO's aggregate obligations under gathering agreements and firm transportation agreements (including precedent agreements assuming completion of the pipeline projects) for the Marcellus Shale operations totaled approximately \$1.2 billion and the Company has guarantee obligations of up to \$100.0 million of that amount.

#### *Environmental Risk*

The Company is subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Environmental and

cleanup related costs of a non-capital nature are accrued when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and when the amount can be reasonably estimated. Management believes any future remediation or other compliance related costs will not have a material effect on the financial position or reported results of operations of the Company.

### *Litigation*

In February 2009, SEPCO was added as a defendant in a Third Amended Petition in the matter of Tovah Energy, LLC and Toby Berry-Helfand v. David Michael Grimes, et. al. In the Sixth Amended Petition, filed in July 2010, in the 273rd District Court in Shelby County, Texas (collectively, the “Sixth Petition”), plaintiff alleged that, in 2005, they provided SEPCO with proprietary data regarding two prospects in the James Lime formation pursuant to a confidentiality agreement and that SEPCO refused to return the proprietary data to the plaintiff, subsequently acquired leases based upon such proprietary data and profited therefrom. Among other things, the plaintiff’s allegations in the Sixth Petition included various statutory and common law claims, including, but not limited to claims of misappropriation of trade secrets, violation of the Texas Theft Liability Act, breach of fiduciary duty and confidential relationships, various fraud based claims and breach of contract, including a claim of breach of a purported right of first refusal on all interests acquired by SEPCO between February 15, 2005 and February 15, 2006. In the Sixth Petition, plaintiff sought actual damages of over \$55.0 million as well as other remedies, including special damages and punitive damages of four times the amount of actual damages established at trial.

Immediately before the commencement of the trial in November 2010, plaintiff was permitted, over SEPCO’s objections, to file a Seventh Amended Petition claiming actual damages of \$46.0 million and also seeking the equitable remedy of disgorgement of all profits for the misappropriation of trade secrets and the breach of fiduciary duty claims. In December 2010, the jury found in favor of the plaintiff with respect to all of the statutory and common law claims and awarded \$11.4 million in compensatory damages. The jury did not, however, award the plaintiff any special, punitive or other damages. In addition, the jury separately determined that SEPCO’s profits for purposes of disgorgement were \$381.5 million. This profit determination does not constitute a judgment or an award. The plaintiff’s entitlement to disgorgement of profits as an equitable remedy will be determined by the judge and it is within the judge’s discretion to award none, some or all the amount of profit to the plaintiff. On December 31, 2010, the plaintiff filed a motion to enter the judgment based on the jury’s verdict. On February 11, 2011, SEPCO filed a motion for a judgment notwithstanding the verdict and a motion to disregard certain findings. On March 11, 2011, the plaintiff filed an amended motion for judgment and intervenor filed its motion for judgment seeking not only the monetary damages and the profits determined by the jury but also seeking, as a new remedy, a constructive trust for profits from 143 wells as well as future drilling and sales of properties in the prospect areas. A hearing on the post-verdict motions was held on March 14, 2011. At the suggestion of the judge, all parties voluntarily agreed to participate in non-binding mediation efforts. The mediation occurred on April 6, 2011 and was unsuccessful. On June 6, 2011, SEPCO received by mail a letter dated June 2, 2011 from the judge, in which he made certain rulings with respect to the post-verdict motions and responses filed by the parties. In his rulings, the judge denied SEPCO’s motion for judgment, judgment notwithstanding the verdict and to disregard certain findings. Plaintiff’s and intervenor’s claim for a constructive trust was denied but the judge ruled that plaintiff and intervenor shall recover from SEPCO \$11.4 million and a reasonable attorney’s fee of 40% of the total damages awarded and are entitled to recover on their claim for disgorgement. The judge instructed that SEPCO calculate the profit on the designated wells for each respective period. SEPCO performed the calculation and provided it to the judge in June 2011. On July 5, 2011, plaintiff and intervenor filed a letter with the court raising objections to the accounting provided by SEPCO, to which SEPCO filed a response on July 11, 2011. On July 12, 2011, the judge sent a letter to the parties in which he ruled that after reviewing the parties’ respective position letters, he was awarding \$23.9 million in disgorgement damages in favor of the plaintiff and intervenor. In the July 12, 2011 letter, the judge instructed the plaintiff and intervenor to prepare a judgment for his approval prior to July 21, 2011 consistent with his findings in his June 2, 2011 letter and the disgorgement award. On August 24, 2011, a judgment was entered pursuant to which plaintiff and intervenor are entitled to recover approximately \$11.4 million in actual damages and approximately \$23.9 million in disgorgement as well as prejudgment interest and attorneys’ fees which currently are estimated to be up to \$8.9 million and all costs of court of the plaintiff and intervenor. On September 23, 2011, SEPCO filed a motion for a new trial and on November 18, 2011 filed a notice of appeal. On November 30, 2011, the court approved SEPCO’s supersedeas bond in the amount of \$14.1 million, which stays execution on the judgment pending appeal. The bond covers the \$11.4 million judgment for actual damages, plus \$1.3 million in pre-judgment interest, \$1.3 million in post-judgment interest (estimating two years for the duration of appeal), and court costs. On April 17, 2012, SEPCO filed an unopposed motion for the appellate court’s permission to extend the deadline for filing its appeal to May 23, 2012.

On June 22, 2012, SEPCO filed its appellate brief and, on June 25, 2012, plaintiff and intervenor filed a cross-appellate brief seeking limited remand to reassess the disgorgement determination. On July 18, 2012, SEPCO filed a motion to extend the deadline for filing its response to plaintiff's brief to August 22, 2012, which was approved on the same date. Following the approval of a similar motion, the filing deadline for plaintiff's response to SEPCO's brief was extended to August 24, 2012. Assuming no further extensions of the filing deadlines or any other delays, oral arguments are expected to occur in the Fall of 2012. Based on the Company's understanding and judgment of the facts and merits of this case, including appellate defenses, and after considering the advice of counsel, the Company has determined that, although reasonably possible after exhaustion of all appeals, an adverse final outcome to this lawsuit is not probable. As such, the Company has not accrued any amounts with respect to this lawsuit. If the plaintiff and intervenor were to ultimately prevail in the appellate process, the Company currently estimates, based on the judgments to date, that SEPCO's potential liability would be up to \$44.2 million, including interest and attorney's fees. The Company's assessment may change in the future due to occurrence of certain events, such as denied appeals, and such re-assessment could lead to the determination that the potential liability is probable and could be material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

On February 20, 2012, the Company became aware that SEPCO was named as a defendant in the matter of Gery Muncey v. Southwestern Energy Production Company, et al filed in the District Court of San Augustine County in Texas on January 31, 2012. The plaintiff in this case is also the intervenor in the Tovah Energy matter described above and alleges various claims including fraud, misappropriation and breach of fiduciary duty that are purported as independent of the claims alleged in the Tovah Energy matter but arise from the substantially same circumstances involved in the Tovah Energy matter. The plaintiff is seeking value for various royalty and override ownership interests in wells drilled, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. The Company has not accrued any amounts with respect to this lawsuit and cannot reasonably estimate the amount of any potential liability based on the Company's understanding and judgment of the facts and merits of this case. SEPCO's motion for summary judgment was granted on July 9, 2012. SEPCO will file a motion for entry of final judgment, which will include a motion for recovery of attorney's fees. Once the final judgment is signed, Plaintiff will have 30 days in which to file an appeal to the Tyler Court of Appeals, or file a motion for rehearing/reconsideration.

In March 2010, the Company's subsidiary, SEECO, Inc., was served with a subpoena from a federal grand jury in Little Rock, Arkansas. Based on the documents requested under the subpoena and subsequent discussions described below, the Company believes the grand jury is investigating matters involving approximately 27 horizontal wells operated by SEECO in Arkansas, including whether appropriate leases or permits were obtained therefore and whether royalties and other production attributable to federal lands have been properly accounted for and paid. The Company believes it has fully complied with all requests related to the federal subpoena and delivered its affidavit to that effect. The Company and representatives of the Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Attorney have had discussions since the production of the documents pursuant to the subpoena. In January 2011, the Company voluntarily produced additional materials informally requested by the government arising from these discussions. Although, to the Company's knowledge, no proceeding in this matter has been initiated against SEECO, the Company cannot predict whether or when one might be initiated. The Company intends to fully comply with any further requests and to cooperate with any related investigation. No assurance can be made as to the time or resources that will need to be devoted to this inquiry or the impact of the final outcome of the discussions or any related proceeding.

We are subject to various litigation, claims and proceedings that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. Management believes, individually or in aggregate, such litigation, claims and proceedings will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows but these matters are subject to inherent uncertainties and management's view may change in the future. If an unfavorable final outcome were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the period in which the effect becomes reasonably estimable. We accrue for such items when a liability is both probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.



## (12) SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$ 3,368	\$ 6,991	\$ 29,820	\$ 31,848
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	—	1,000	68	17,000
Noncash property additions	3,220	58,741	20,789	62,870

## (13) PENSION PLAN AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company has defined pension and postretirement benefit plans which cover substantially all of the Company's employees. Net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs include the following components for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Pension Benefits			
	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Service cost	\$ 2,735	\$ 2,331	\$ 5,471	\$ 4,662
Interest cost	1,012	918	2,025	1,835
Expected return on plan assets	(1,356)	(1,100)	(2,713)	(2,199)
Amortization of prior service cost	72	86	143	172
Amortization of net loss	305	214	610	428
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 2,768</u>	<u>\$ 2,449</u>	<u>\$ 5,536</u>	<u>\$ 4,898</u>

  

	Postretirement Benefits			
	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Service cost	\$ 458	\$ 339	\$ 916	\$ 677
Interest cost	99	63	199	126
Amortization of transition obligation	16	16	32	32
Amortization of prior service cost	4	3	7	7
Amortization of net loss	23	3	46	6
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 600</u>	<u>\$ 424</u>	<u>\$ 1,200</u>	<u>\$ 848</u>

The Company currently expects to contribute \$10.0 million to its pension plans and \$0.1 million to its postretirement benefit plan in 2012. As of June 30, 2012, the Company has contributed \$9.5 million to the pension plans and less than \$0.1 million to the postretirement benefit plan.

The Company maintains a non-qualified deferred compensation supplemental retirement savings plan (“Non-Qualified Plan”) for certain key employees who may elect to defer and contribute a portion of their compensation, as permitted by the plan. Shares of the Company’s common stock purchased under the terms of the Non-Qualified Plan are presented as treasury stock and totaled 101,659 shares at June 30, 2012 compared to 98,889 shares at December 31, 2011.

#### (14) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company recognized the following amounts in employee stock-based compensation costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Stock-based compensation cost – expensed	\$ 2,705	\$ 2,236	\$ 5,549	\$ 4,686
Stock-based compensation cost – capitalized	\$ 2,442	\$ 1,905	\$ 5,306	\$ 3,815

As of June 30, 2012, there was \$41.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the Company’s unvested stock option and restricted stock grants. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the first six months of 2012 and provides information for options outstanding as of June 30, 2012:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	4,741,732	\$ 21.24
Granted	17,450	29.31
Exercised	(207,023)	13.76
Forfeited or expired	(61,852)	37.64
Outstanding at June 30, 2012	4,490,307	\$ 21.39
Exercisable at June 30, 2012	3,319,207	\$ 15.94

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and provides information for unvested shares as of June 30, 2012:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested shares at December 31, 2011	1,019,737	\$ 36.71
Granted	10,874	29.78
Vested	(31,421)	39.90
Forfeited	(58,280)	37.12
Unvested shares at June 30, 2012	940,910	\$ 36.50

## (15) SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's reportable business segments have been identified based on the differences in products or services provided. Revenues for the E&P segment are derived from the production and sale of natural gas and crude oil. The Midstream Services segment generates revenue through the marketing of both Company and third-party produced natural gas volumes and through gathering fees associated with the transportation of natural gas to market.

Summarized financial information for the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following table. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of the 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Management evaluates the performance of its segments based on operating income, defined as operating revenues less operating costs and expenses. Income before income taxes, for the purpose of reconciling the operating income amount shown below to consolidated income before income taxes, is the sum of operating income, interest expense and interest and other income (loss). The "Other" column includes items not related to the Company's reportable segments including real estate and corporate items.

	Exploration and Production	Midstream Services	Other	Total
(in thousands)				
<u>Three months ended June 30, 2012: (Restated)</u>				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 430,687	\$ 169,004	\$ 37	\$ 599,728
Intersegment revenues	(1,448)	319,391	816	318,759
Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>	(724,625)	71,821	410	(652,394)
Other income (loss), net	(64)	(5)	2,646	2,577
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	196,201	11,309	320	207,830
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	800,652	—	—	800,652
Interest expense <sup>(2)</sup>	4,430	3,578	353	8,361
Provision for income taxes <sup>(2)</sup>	(279,493)	25,414	1,033	(253,046)
Assets	6,120,697	1,114,364	404,149 <sup>(3)</sup>	7,639,210
Capital investments <sup>(4)</sup>	531,845	47,719	9,069	588,633
<u>Three months ended June 30, 2011:</u>				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 526,969	\$ 238,197	\$ —	\$ 765,166
Intersegment revenues	2,899	522,412	793	526,104
Operating income	222,539	59,644	359	282,542
Other income, net	6	52	11	69
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	161,929	9,365	326	171,620
Interest expense <sup>(2)</sup>	799	5,371	—	6,170
Provision for income taxes <sup>(2)</sup>	87,492	21,349	146	108,987
Assets	5,366,199	1,095,685	280,217 <sup>(3)</sup>	6,742,101
Capital investments <sup>(4)</sup>	476,040	59,862	20,072	555,974

	Exploration and Production	Midstream Services	Other	Total
(in thousands)				
<u>Six months ended June 30, 2012:</u> (Restated)				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 896,952	\$ 359,177	\$ 68	\$ 1,256,197
Intersegment revenues	(735)	675,672	1,635	676,572
Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>	(608,382)	141,110	838	(466,434)
Other income (loss), net	(247)	(23)	2,647	2,377
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	378,940	21,879	638	401,457
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	800,652	–	–	800,652
Interest expense <sup>(2)</sup>	7,752	7,245	702	15,699
Provision for income taxes <sup>(2)</sup>	(234,655)	51,262	1,065	(182,328)
Assets	6,120,697	1,114,364	404,149 <sup>(3)</sup>	7,639,210
Capital investments <sup>(4)</sup>	1,064,984	73,883	22,878	1,161,745
<u>Six months ended June 30, 2011:</u>				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 997,625	\$ 443,876	\$ –	\$ 1,441,501
Intersegment revenues	8,413	996,001	1,569	1,005,983
Operating income	400,822	113,561	810	515,193
Other income, net	349	81	13	443
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	316,739	17,756	572	335,067
Interest expense <sup>(2)</sup>	3,703	9,903	–	13,606
Provision for income taxes <sup>(2)</sup>	156,874	40,769	324	197,967
Assets	5,366,199	1,095,685	280,217 <sup>(3)</sup>	6,742,101
Capital investments <sup>(4)</sup>	944,252	105,840	36,411	1,086,503

(1) The operating loss for the E&P segment for the three and six- months ended June 30, 2012 includes a \$800.7 million non-cash ceiling test impairment of our natural gas and oil properties.

(2) Interest income, interest expense and the provision for income taxes by segment are allocated as they are incurred at the corporate level.

(3) Other assets represent corporate assets not allocated to segments and assets, including restricted cash and investments in cash equivalents, for non-reportable segments.

(4) Capital investments include an increase of \$0.2 million and a reduction of \$56.4 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and increases of \$15.5 million and \$57.9 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, relating to the change in accrued expenditures between periods.

Included in intersegment revenues of the Midstream Services segment are \$245.5 million and \$456.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$531.6 million and \$867.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for marketing of the Company's E&P sales. Corporate assets include cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, furniture and fixtures, prepaid debt and other costs. Corporate general and administrative costs, depreciation expense and taxes other than income are allocated to the segments. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, capital investments within the E&P segment include \$2.3 million and \$5.4 million, respectively, related to the Company's activities in Canada. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, capital investments within the E&P segment include \$4.7 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, related to the Company's activities in Canada. At June 30, 2012, assets include \$33.2 million and at June 30, 2011, assets include \$18.4 million related to the Company's activities in Canada.

## **(16) NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS NOT YET ADOPTED**

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance on offsetting assets and liabilities and disclosure requirements in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities* ("Update 2011-11"). Update 2011-11 requires that entities disclose both gross and net information about instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position as well as instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting agreement. In addition, the standard requires disclosure of collateral received and posted in connection with master netting agreements or similar arrangements. Update 2011-11 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The implementation of the disclosure requirement is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

## **(17) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The Company is providing condensed consolidating financial information for SEECO, SEPCO and SES, its subsidiaries that are currently guarantors of the Company's registered public debt, and for its other subsidiaries that are not guarantors of such debt. These wholly owned subsidiary guarantors have jointly and severally, fully and unconditionally guaranteed the Company's 7.35% Senior Notes and 7.125% Senior Notes. The subsidiary guarantees (i) rank equally in right of payment with all of the existing and future senior debt of the subsidiary guarantors; (ii) rank senior to all of the existing and future subordinated debt of the subsidiary guarantors; (iii) are effectively subordinated to any future secured obligations of the subsidiary guarantors to the extent of the value of the assets securing such obligations; and (iv) are structurally subordinated to all debt and other obligations of the subsidiaries of the guarantors.

The Company has not presented separate financial and narrative information for each of the subsidiary guarantors because it believes that such financial and narrative information would not provide any additional information that would be material in evaluating the sufficiency of the guarantees. The following condensed consolidating financial information summarizes the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for the Company's guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(in thousands)		
Three months ended June 30, 2012: (Restated)					
Operating revenues	\$ —	\$ 557,474	\$ 115,596	\$ (73,342)	\$ 599,728
Operating costs and expenses:					
Gas purchases – midstream services	–	127,757	–	(143)	127,614
Operating expenses	–	101,816	27,203	(72,405)	56,614
General and administrative expenses	–	39,041	6,685	(794)	44,932
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	–	196,245	11,585	–	207,830
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	–	800,652	–	–	800,652
Taxes, other than income taxes	–	11,648	2,832	–	14,480
Total operating costs and expenses	–	1,277,159	48,305	(73,342)	1,252,122
Operating income (loss)	–	(719,685)	67,291	–	(652,394)
Other income (loss), net	–	(65)	2,642	–	2,577
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(405,132)	–	–	405,132	–
Interest expense	–	4,505	3,856	–	8,361
Income (loss) before income taxes	(405,132)	(724,255)	66,077	405,132	(658,178)
Provision for income taxes	–	(278,220)	25,174	–	(253,046)
Net income (loss)	(405,132)	(446,035)	40,903	405,132	(405,132)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (558,199)	\$ (598,840)	\$ 40,387	\$ 558,453	\$ (558,199)
Three months ended June 30, 2011:					
Operating revenues	\$ —	\$ 728,430	\$ 100,108	\$ (63,372)	\$ 765,166
Operating costs and expenses:					
Gas purchases – midstream services	–	200,420	–	(368)	200,052
Operating expenses	–	88,477	28,793	(62,216)	55,054
General and administrative expenses	–	35,298	5,728	(788)	40,238
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	–	161,699	9,921	–	171,620
Taxes, other than income taxes	–	13,568	2,092	–	15,660
Total operating costs and expenses	–	499,462	46,534	(63,372)	482,624
Operating income	–	228,968	53,574	–	282,542
Other income, net	–	16	53	–	69
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	167,454	–	–	(167,454)	–
Interest expense	–	1,748	4,422	–	6,170
Income (loss) before income taxes	167,454	227,236	49,205	(167,454)	276,441
Provision for income taxes	–	89,651	19,336	–	108,987
Net income (loss)	167,454	137,585	29,869	(167,454)	167,454
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 216,395	\$ 186,204	\$ 29,995	\$ (216,199)	\$ 216,395

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
	(in thousands)				
<u>Six months ended June 30, 2012:</u> (Restated)					
Operating revenues	\$ —	\$ 1,171,919	\$ 228,721	\$ (144,443)	\$ 1,256,197
Operating costs and expenses:					
Gas purchases – midstream services	–	274,660	–	(370)	274,290
Operating expenses	–	204,872	55,184	(142,484)	117,572
General and administrative expenses	–	80,749	14,598	(1,589)	93,758
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	–	379,082	22,375	–	401,457
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	–	800,652	–	–	800,652
Taxes, other than income taxes	–	28,646	6,256	–	34,902
Total operating costs and expenses	–	1,768,661	98,413	(144,443)	1,722,631
Operating income (loss)	–	(596,742)	130,308	–	(466,434)
Other income (loss), net	–	(239)	2,616	–	2,377
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(297,428)	–	–	297,428	–
Interest expense	–	8,260	7,439	–	15,699
Income (loss) before income taxes	(297,428)	(605,241)	125,485	297,428	(479,756)
Provision for income taxes	–	(231,328)	49,000	–	(182,328)
Net income (loss)	(297,428)	(373,913)	76,485	297,428	(297,428)
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ (383,925)</u>	<u>\$ (460,883)</u>	<u>\$ 76,450</u>	<u>\$ 384,433</u>	<u>\$ (383,925)</u>
<u>Six months ended June 30, 2011:</u>					
Operating revenues	\$ —	\$ 1,370,273	\$ 193,766	\$ (122,538)	\$ 1,441,501
Operating costs and expenses:					
Gas purchases – midstream services	–	371,002	–	(720)	370,282
Operating expenses	–	175,329	56,777	(120,254)	111,852
General and administrative expenses	–	67,342	11,577	(1,564)	77,355
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	–	316,100	18,967	–	335,067
Taxes, other than income taxes	–	27,475	4,277	–	31,752
Total operating costs and expenses	–	957,248	91,598	(122,538)	926,308
Operating income	–	413,025	102,168	–	515,193
Other income, net	–	361	82	–	443
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	304,063	–	–	(304,063)	–
Interest expense	–	5,393	8,213	–	13,606
Income (loss) before income taxes	304,063	407,993	94,037	(304,063)	502,030
Provision for income taxes	–	161,013	36,954	–	197,967
Net income (loss)	304,063	246,980	57,083	(304,063)	304,063
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ 328,471</u>	<u>\$ 270,607</u>	<u>\$ 57,471</u>	<u>\$ (328,078)</u>	<u>\$ 328,471</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS  
(Unaudited)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors (Restated) (in thousands)	Eliminations	Consolidated
<u>June 30, 2012:</u>					
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,888	\$ 4,209	\$ 402	\$ –	\$ 41,499
Restricted cash	144,384	–	–	–	144,384
Accounts receivable	2,322	246,742	23,686	–	272,750
Inventories	–	33,562	967	–	34,529
Other current assets	6,040	499,095	5,216	–	510,351
Total current assets	189,634	783,608	30,271	–	1,003,513
Intercompany receivables	2,114,806	40	24,265	(2,139,111)	–
Property and equipment	203,486	10,625,280	1,224,616	–	12,053,382
Less: Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(67,749)	(5,400,488)	(160,398)	–	(5,628,635)
	135,737	5,224,792	1,064,218	–	6,424,747
Investments in subsidiaries (equity method)	2,794,486	–	–	(2,794,486)	–
Other assets	35,537	157,864	17,549	–	210,950
Total assets	<u>\$ 5,270,200</u>	<u>\$ 6,166,304</u>	<u>\$ 1,136,303</u>	<u>\$ (4,933,597)</u>	<u>\$ 7,639,210</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>					
Accounts payable	\$ 109,858	\$ 423,901	\$ 46,275	\$ –	\$ 580,034
Other current liabilities	9,816	293,916	2,183	–	305,915
Total current liabilities	119,674	717,817	48,458	–	885,949
Intercompany payables	10,498	1,832,910	295,703	(2,139,111)	–
Long-term debt	1,668,811	–	–	–	1,668,811
Deferred income taxes	(96,715)	1,180,710	290,264	–	1,374,259
Other liabilities	52,055	53,112	6,179	–	111,346
Total liabilities	1,754,323	3,784,549	640,604	(2,139,111)	4,040,365
Commitments and contingencies					
Total equity	3,515,877	2,381,755	495,699	(2,794,486)	3,598,845
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 5,270,200</u>	<u>\$ 6,166,304</u>	<u>\$ 1,136,303</u>	<u>\$ (4,933,597)</u>	<u>\$ 7,639,210</u>



CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS  
(Unaudited)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(in thousands)		
December 31, 2011:					
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,711	\$ –	\$ 916	\$ –	\$ 15,627
Accounts receivable	2,914	309,038	29,963	–	341,915
Inventories	–	45,260	974	–	46,234
Other current assets	6,087	563,635	4,780	–	574,502
Total current assets	23,712	917,933	36,633	–	978,278
Intercompany receivables	2,053,132	53	23,517	(2,076,702)	–
Property and equipment	180,300	9,731,944	1,148,575	–	11,060,819
Less: Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(57,254)	(4,220,205)	(137,880)	–	(4,415,339)
	123,046	5,511,739	1,010,695	–	6,645,480
Investments in subsidiaries (equity method)	3,256,195	–	–	(3,256,195)	–
Other assets	28,641	227,152	23,346	–	279,139
Total assets	<u>\$ 5,484,726</u>	<u>\$ 6,656,877</u>	<u>\$ 1,094,191</u>	<u>\$ (5,332,897)</u>	<u>\$ 7,902,897</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>					
Accounts payable	\$ 206,541	\$ 332,710	\$ 37,276	\$ –	\$ 576,527
Other current liabilities	4,712	301,170	2,504	–	308,386
Total current liabilities	211,253	633,880	39,780	–	884,913
Intercompany payables	–	1,628,750	447,952	(2,076,702)	–
Long-term debt	1,342,100	–	–	–	1,342,100
Deferred income taxes	(97,045)	1,442,576	241,267	–	1,586,798
Other liabilities	59,114	54,826	5,842	–	119,782
Total liabilities	1,515,422	3,760,032	734,841	(2,076,702)	3,933,593
Commitments and contingencies					
Total equity	3,969,304	2,896,845	359,350	(3,256,195)	3,969,304
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 5,484,726</u>	<u>\$ 6,656,877</u>	<u>\$ 1,094,191</u>	<u>\$ (5,332,897)</u>	<u>\$ 7,902,897</u>

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Unaudited)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
			(in thousands)		
Six months ended June 30, 2012: (Restated)					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (51,093)	\$ 624,945	\$ 263,538	\$ –	\$ 837,390
Investing activities:					
Capital investments	(18,079)	(1,032,169)	(90,413)	–	(1,140,661)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	–	168,391	5,946	–	174,337
Transfers to restricted cash	(167,750)	–	–	–	(167,750)
Transfers from restricted cash	23,366	–	–	–	23,366
Other	10,584	(10,809)	9,120	–	8,895
Net cash used in investing activities	(151,879)	(874,587)	(75,347)	–	(1,101,813)
Financing activities:					
Intercompany activities	(65,161)	253,851	(188,690)	–	–
Payments on short-term debt	(600)	–	–	–	(600)
Payments on revolving long-term debt	(1,273,700)	–	–	–	(1,273,700)
Borrowing under revolving long-term debt	602,200	–	–	–	602,200
Borrowing under long-term debt	998,780	–	–	–	998,780
Other Items	(36,370)	–	–	–	(36,370)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	225,149	253,851	(188,690)	–	290,310
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	–	–	(15)	–	(15)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	22,177	4,209	(514)	–	25,872
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	14,711	–	916	–	15,627
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 36,888	\$ 4,209	\$ 402	\$ –	\$ 41,499
Six months ended June 30, 2011:					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (29,781)	\$ 763,933	\$ 122,778	\$ –	\$ 856,930
Investing activities:					
Capital investments	(35,347)	(889,700)	(99,611)	–	(1,024,658)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	–	120,892	241	–	121,133
Transfers to restricted cash	(85,002)	–	–	–	(85,002)
Other	7,244	(11,339)	7,974	–	3,879
Net cash used in investing activities	(113,105)	(780,147)	(91,396)	–	(984,648)
Financing activities:					
Intercompany activities	22,870	8,583	(31,453)	–	–
Payments on current portion of long-term debt	(600)	–	–	–	(600)
Payments on revolving long-term debt	(1,717,600)	–	–	–	(1,717,600)
Borrowings under revolving long-term debt	1,840,600	–	–	–	1,840,600
Other items	2,415	–	–	–	2,415
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	147,685	8,583	(31,453)	–	124,815
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	–	–	127	–	127
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,799	(7,631)	56	–	(2,776)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	8,381	7,631	43	–	16,055
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 13,180	\$ –	\$ 99	\$ –	\$ 13,279

## **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.**

The following updates information as to Southwestern Energy Company's financial condition provided in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K and analyzes the changes in the results of operations between the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. For definitions of commonly used natural gas and oil terms used in this Form 10-Q/A, please refer to the "Glossary of Certain Industry Terms" provided in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the risks described in the "Cautionary Statement About Forward-Looking Statements" in the forepart of this Form 10-Q/A, in Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in Part I and elsewhere in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in Part II in this Form 10-Q/A and any other Form 10-Q filed during the fiscal year. You should read the following discussion with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in this Form 10-Q/A.

### **OVERVIEW**

#### **Background**

Southwestern Energy Company is an independent energy company engaged in natural gas and crude oil exploration, development and production (E&P). We are also focused on creating and capturing additional value through our gas gathering and marketing businesses, which we refer to as Midstream Services. We operate principally in two segments: E&P and Midstream Services.

Our primary business is the exploration for and production of natural gas and oil, with our current operations being principally focused within the United States on development of an unconventional gas reservoir located on the Arkansas side of the Arkoma Basin, which we refer to as the Fayetteville Shale play. We are also actively engaged in exploration and production activities in Pennsylvania, where we are targeting the unconventional gas reservoir known as the Marcellus Shale, and to a lesser extent in Texas and in Arkansas and Oklahoma in the Arkoma Basin. The Company also actively seeks to find and develop new oil and natural gas plays with significant exploration and exploitation potential. In 2010, we commenced an exploration program in New Brunswick, Canada, which represents our first operations outside of the United States.

We are focused on providing long-term growth in the net asset value of our business, which we achieve in our E&P business through the drillbit. We derive the majority of our operating income and cash flow from the natural gas production of our E&P business and expect this to continue in the future. We expect that growth in our operating income and revenues will primarily depend on natural gas prices and our ability to increase our natural gas production. We expect our natural gas production volumes will continue to increase due to the ongoing development of the Fayetteville Shale play in Arkansas and the Marcellus Shale play in Pennsylvania. The price we expect to receive for our natural gas is a critical factor in the capital investments we make in order to develop our properties and increase our production. In recent years, there has been a significant decline in natural gas prices as evidenced by New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") natural gas prices ranging from a high of \$13.58 per MMBtu in 2008 to a low of \$1.91 per MMBtu in April 2012. Natural gas prices fluctuate due to a variety of factors we cannot control or predict. These factors, which include increased supplies of natural gas due to greater exploration and development activities, weather conditions, political and economic events and competition from other energy sources, impact supply and demand for natural gas, which in turn determines the sale prices for our production. In addition to the factors identified above, the prices we realize for our production are affected by our hedging activities as well as locational differences in market prices.

#### **Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared with Three Months Ended June 30, 2011**

We reported a net loss of \$405.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, or \$1.16 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$167.5 million, or \$0.48 per diluted share, for the comparable period in 2011. The loss for the three months ended June 30, 2012 includes a \$800.7 million, or \$496.4 million net of taxes, non-cash ceiling impairment of our natural gas and oil properties.

Our natural gas and oil production increased to 137.4 Bcfe for the three months ended June 30, 2012, up 12% from the three months ended June 30, 2011. The 14.6 Bcfe increase in our second quarter 2012 production was primarily due to a 13.6 Bcf increase in net production from our Fayetteville Shale play and a 4.8 Bcf increase in net production from our Marcellus Shale properties, which more than offset a combined 3.8 Bcfe decrease in net production from our East Texas and Arkoma Basin properties primarily due to the sale of certain East Texas oil and natural gas properties. The average price realized for our gas production, including the effects of hedges, decreased 27% to \$3.12 per Mcf for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$4.30 per Mcf for the same period in 2011.

Our E&P segment reported an operating loss of \$724.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, down \$947.2 million from the comparable period of 2011, due to the \$800.7 million non-cash ceiling test impairment of our natural gas and oil properties, decreased prices realized from the sale of our natural gas production of \$162.6 million and an increase in operating costs and expenses of \$45.9 million, which were partially offset by an increase in revenues of \$62.8 million from higher natural gas production volumes.

Operating income for our Midstream Services segment was \$71.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, up from \$59.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, due to an increase of \$15.7 million in gas gathering revenues, which was partially offset by a decrease of \$1.4 million in the margin generated from our gas marketing activities and a \$2.1 million increase in operating costs and expenses, exclusive of gas purchase costs.

Capital investments were \$588.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, of which \$531.8 million was invested in our E&P segment, compared to \$556.0 million for the same period of 2011, of which \$476.0 million was invested in our E&P segment.

#### **Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2011**

We reported a net loss of \$297.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, or \$0.85 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$304.1 million, or \$0.87 per diluted share, for the comparable period in 2011.

Our natural gas and oil production increased to 270.8 Bcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2012, up 14% from 237.8 Bcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The 33.0 Bcfe increase in 2012 production was primarily due to a 28.3 Bcf increase in net production from our Fayetteville Shale play and an 11.4 Bcf increase in net production from our Marcellus Shale properties, which more than offset a combined 6.7 Bcfe decrease in net production from our East Texas and Arkoma Basin properties, primarily due to the sale of certain East Texas oil and natural gas properties. The average price realized for our gas production, including the effects of hedges, decreased approximately 22% to \$3.30 per Mcf for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared \$4.21 per Mcf for the same period in 2011.

Our E&P segment reported an operating loss of \$608.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, down \$1,009.2 million from the comparable period of 2011, due to the \$800.7 million non-cash ceiling test impairment of our natural gas and oil properties, decreased prices realized from the sale of our natural gas production of \$246.1 million and an increase in operating costs and expenses of \$98.7 million, which were partially offset by an increase in revenues of \$139.0 million from higher natural gas production volumes.

Operating income for our Midstream Services segment was \$141.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, up from \$113.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, due to an increase of \$35.2 million in gathering revenues and an increase of \$0.1 million in the margin generated from our gas marketing activities, which was partially offset by a \$7.8 million increase in operating costs and expenses, exclusive of gas purchase costs, associated with the increase in gas volumes gathered.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased 2% to \$837.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, down from \$856.9 million for the same period in 2011, due to a decrease in net income adjusted for non-cash expenses primarily resulting from decreased revenues due to lower realized gas prices, partially offset by higher natural gas production and gathering volumes and an increase in changes in working capital. Capital investments were \$1,161.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, of which \$1,065.0 million was invested in our E&P segment, compared to \$1,086.5 million for the same period of 2011, of which \$944.3 million was invested in our E&P segment.

## Recent Development

### *Sale of East Texas Assets*

In May 2012, we sold certain oil and natural gas leases, wells and gathering equipment in East Texas for approximately \$168.0 million, excluding typical purchase price adjustments. The proceeds were deposited with a qualified intermediary to facilitate potential like-kind exchange transactions pursuant to Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code and, unless utilized for one or more like-kind exchange transactions, are restricted in their use until October 2012. The assets included in the sale represented all of our interests and related assets in the Overton Field in Smith County. Our net production from the sold assets was approximately 24.0 MMcfe per day as of the closing date and our net proved reserves were approximately 143.0 Bcfe at December 31, 2011.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our results of operations for our segments is presented before intersegment eliminations. We evaluate our segments as if they were stand alone operations and accordingly discuss their results prior to any intersegment eliminations. Interest expense, income tax expense and stock-based compensation are discussed on a consolidated basis.

### Exploration and Production

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Restated)		(Restated)	
Revenues (in thousands)	\$ 429,239	\$ 529,868	\$ 896,217	\$ 1,006,038
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties (in thousands)	\$ 800,652	\$ —	\$ 800,652	\$ —
Operating costs and expenses (in thousands)	\$ 353,212	\$ 307,329	\$ 703,947	\$ 605,216
Operating income (loss) (in thousands)	\$ (724,625)	\$ 222,539	\$ (608,382)	\$ 400,822
Gas production (Bcf)	137.2	122.6	270.5	237.5
Oil production (MBbls)	16	25	40	55
Total production (Bcfe)	137.4	122.8	270.8	237.8
Average gas price per Mcf, including hedges	\$ 3.12	\$ 4.30	\$ 3.30	\$ 4.21
Average gas price per Mcf, excluding hedges	\$ 1.76	\$ 3.84	\$ 2.00	\$ 3.76
Average oil price per Bbl	\$ 104.44	\$ 100.32	\$ 104.41	\$ 95.86
Average unit costs per Mcfe:				
Lease operating expenses	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.83
General & administrative expenses	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.27
Taxes, other than income taxes	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11
Full cost pool amortization	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.30

### *Revenues*

Revenues for our E&P segment were down \$100.6 million, or 19%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Higher natural gas production volumes in the second quarter of 2012 increased revenues by \$62.8 million and lower realized prices for our gas production decreased revenue by \$162.6 million compared to the second quarter of 2011. E&P revenues were down \$109.8 million, or 11% for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Higher natural gas production volumes in the first six months of 2012 increased revenues by \$139.0 million while lower realized prices for our gas production decreased revenue by \$246.1 million. We expect our natural gas production volumes to continue to increase due to our development of the Fayetteville Shale play in Arkansas and the Marcellus Shale play in Pennsylvania. Natural gas and oil prices are difficult to predict and subject to wide price

fluctuations. As of July 31, 2012, we had hedged 133.7 Bcf of our remaining 2012 gas production and 185.4 Bcf of our 2013 gas production to limit our exposure to price fluctuations. We refer you to Note 7 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A and to the discussion of “Commodity Prices” provided below for additional information.

### *Production*

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, our natural gas and oil production increased 12% to 137.4 Bcfe, up from 122.8 Bcfe from the same period in 2011, and was produced entirely by our properties in the United States. The 14.6 Bcfe increase in our 2012 production was primarily due to a 13.6 Bcf increase in net production from our Fayetteville Shale play and a 4.8 Bcf increase in net production from our Marcellus Shale properties, which more than offset a combined 3.8 Bcfe decrease in net production from our East Texas and Arkoma Basin properties. Net production from our Fayetteville Shale and Marcellus Shale properties was 121.0 Bcf and 9.9 Bcf, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 107.4 Bcf and 5.1 Bcf, respectively, for the same period in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our natural gas and oil production increased 14% to 270.8 Bcfe, up from 237.8 Bcfe from the same period in 2011, and was produced entirely by our properties in the United States. The 33.0 Bcfe increase in our 2012 production was primarily due to a 28.3 Bcf increase in net natural gas production from our Fayetteville Shale play and an 11.4 Bcf increase in net production from our Marcellus Shale properties, which more than offset a combined 6.7 Bcfe decrease in net production from our East Texas and Arkoma Basin properties. Net production from our Fayetteville Shale and Marcellus Shale properties was 236.8 Bcf and 19.2 Bcf, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 208.5 Bcf and 7.9 Bcf, respectively, for the same period in 2011.

### *Commodity Prices*

The average price realized for our natural gas production, including the effects of hedges, decreased to \$3.12 per Mcf for the three months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the same period in 2011. The decrease was the result of a \$2.08 Mcf decrease in average natural gas prices, excluding hedges, which was partially offset by our price hedging activities. Our hedging activities increased the average natural gas price \$1.36 per Mcf for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to an increase of \$0.46 per Mcf for the same period in 2011. The average price realized for our natural gas production, including the effects of hedges, decreased 22% to \$3.30 per Mcf for the six months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the same period in 2011. The decrease in the average price realized for six months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the same period in 2011, primarily reflects the \$1.76 Mcf decrease in average gas prices, excluding hedges, which was partially offset by the \$0.85 Mcf increased effect of our price hedging activities. Our hedging activities increased the average natural gas price \$1.30 per Mcf for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to an increase of \$0.45 per Mcf for the same period in 2011. We periodically enter into various hedging and other financial arrangements with respect to a portion of our projected natural gas and crude oil production in order to ensure certain desired levels of cash flow and to minimize the impact of price fluctuations, including fluctuations in locational basis differentials (we refer you to Item 3 and Note 7 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A for additional discussion).

Our E&P segment receives a sales price for our natural gas at a discount to average monthly NYMEX settlement prices due to locational basis differentials, while transportation charges and fuel charges also reduce the price received. Excluding the impact of hedges, the average price received for our natural gas production for the six months ended June 30, 2012 of \$2.00 per Mcf was approximately \$0.48 lower than the average monthly NYMEX settlement price, primarily due to locational basis differentials and transportation costs. We protected approximately 54% of our natural gas production for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from the impact of widening basis differentials through our hedging activities and sales arrangements. For the remainder of 2012, we expect our total natural gas sales discount to NYMEX to be approximately \$0.50 per Mcf. At June 30, 2012, we had basis protected on approximately 131 Bcf of our remaining 2012 expected natural gas production through financial hedging activities and physical sales arrangements at a differential to NYMEX natural gas prices of approximately (\$0.03) per Mcf, excluding transportation and fuel charges. Additionally, at June 30, 2012, we had basis protected on approximately 88 Bcf of our 2013 expected natural gas production and 25 Bcf of our 2014 expected natural gas production through financial hedging activities and physical sales arrangements.

In addition to the basis hedges discussed above, at June 30, 2012, we had NYMEX fixed price hedges in place on notional volumes of 93.2 Bcf of our remaining 2012 natural gas production at an average price of \$5.01 per MMBtu and collars in place on notional volumes of 40.5 Bcf of our remaining 2012 gas production at an average floor and ceiling price of \$5.50 and \$6.67 per MMBtu, respectively.

As of June 30, 2012, we had NYMEX fixed price hedges in place on notional volumes of 185.4 Bcf of our 2013 natural gas production at an average price of \$5.06 per MMBtu.

### *Operating Income*

We recorded an operating loss from our E&P segment of \$724.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, which represents a decline of \$947.2 million from the same period in 2011. The operating loss was primarily due to the \$800.7 million non-cash ceiling test impairment, driven by lower natural gas prices, a decline in revenues of \$100.6 million, and an increase in other operating costs and expenses of \$45.9 million. We recorded an operating loss from our E&P segment of \$608.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, which represents a decline of \$1,009.2 million from the same period in 2011. The operating loss was primarily due to the \$800.7 million non-cash ceiling test impairment, driven by lower natural gas prices, a decline in revenues of \$109.8 million, and an increase in other operating costs and expenses of \$98.7 million.

### *Operating Costs and Expenses*

Lease operating expenses per Mcfe for our E&P segment were \$0.79 for three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$0.80 for the same period in 2011. The decrease in lease operating expenses per unit of production for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011, was primarily due to decreased compression costs in our Fayetteville Shale play, offset slightly by an increase in salt water disposal costs. Lease operating expenses per Mcfe for our E&P segment were \$0.81 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$0.83 for the same period in 2011. The decrease in lease operating expense per unit of production for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011, was primarily due to decreased compression costs related to our Fayetteville Shale play.

General and administrative expenses per Mcfe remained flat at \$0.27 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 and increased to \$0.29 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$0.27 in the same period in 2011. In total, general and administrative expenses for our E&P segment were \$37.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$33.6 million for the same period in 2011, and were \$77.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$64.1 million for the same period in 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the same period of 2011, was primarily a result of increased personnel and information system costs associated with the expansion of our E&P operations due to the continued development of the Fayetteville Shale play and Marcellus Shale play.

Taxes other than income taxes per Mcfe decreased to \$0.08 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$0.11 for the same period in 2011 and remained flat at \$0.11 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Taxes other than income taxes per Mcfe vary from period to period due to changes in severance and ad valorem taxes that result from the mix of our production volumes and fluctuations in commodity prices.

Our full cost pool amortization rate averaged \$1.38 per Mcfe for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1.28 per Mcfe for the same period in 2011. For the first six months of 2012, our full cost pool amortization rate averaged \$1.36 per Mcfe compared to \$1.30 per Mcfe for the same period in 2011. The amortization rate is impacted by the timing and amount of reserve additions and the costs associated with those additions, revisions of previous reserve estimates due to both price and well performance, write-downs that result from full cost ceiling tests, proceeds from the sale of properties that reduce the full cost pool and the levels of costs subject to amortization. We cannot predict our future full cost pool amortization rate with accuracy due to the variability of each of the factors discussed above, as well as other factors, including but not limited to the uncertainty of the amount of future reserves. Using the first-day-of-the-month prices of natural gas for the first seven months of 2012 and NYMEX strip prices for the remainder of 2012, as applicable, the prices required to be used to determine the ceiling amount in our full cost ceiling test are likely to require write-downs in each of the remaining quarters in 2012.

Unevaluated costs excluded from amortization were \$1,035.6 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$942.9 million at December 31, 2011. The increase in unevaluated costs since December 31, 2011 primarily resulted from an increase in our wells in progress. Unevaluated costs excluded from amortization at June 30, 2012 included \$32.6 million related to our properties in Canada, compared to \$27.9 million at December 31, 2011.

The timing and amount of production and reserve additions could have a material adverse impact on our per unit costs.

## Midstream Services

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(\$ in thousands, except volumes)			
Revenues – marketing	\$ 373,696	\$ 661,560	\$ 807,973	\$ 1,248,208
Revenues – gathering	\$ 114,699	\$ 99,049	\$ 226,876	\$ 191,669
Gas purchases – marketing	\$ 366,994	\$ 653,517	\$ 792,489	\$ 1,232,837
Operating costs and expenses	\$ 49,580	\$ 47,448	\$ 101,250	\$ 93,479
Operating income	\$ 71,821	\$ 59,644	\$ 141,110	\$ 113,561
Gas volumes marketed (Bcf)	168.0	154.1	327.5	297.1
Gas volumes gathered (Bcf)	206.2	183.3	408.2	354.8

### Revenues

Revenues from our marketing activities were down 44% to \$373.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and were down 35% to \$808.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the respective periods of 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the volumes marketed increased 9% and the price received for volumes marketed decreased 48% compared to the same period in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the volumes marketed increased 10% and the price received for volumes marketed decreased 41% compared to the same period in 2011. Increases and decreases in marketing revenues due to changes in commodity prices are largely offset by corresponding changes in gas purchase expenses. Of the total volumes marketed, production from our affiliated E&P operated wells accounted for 93% and 92% of the marketed volumes for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, production from our affiliated E&P operated wells accounted for 95% and 93% of the marketed volumes, respectively.

Revenues from our gathering activities were up 16% to \$114.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and up 18% to \$226.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the respective periods in 2011. The increases in gathering revenues resulted primarily from a 13% increase in gas volumes gathered for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and a 15% increase in gas volumes gathered for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the respective periods in 2011. A majority of the increase in gathering revenues for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012 resulted from increases in volumes gathered related to the Fayetteville Shale play. Gathering volumes, revenues and expenses for this segment are expected to continue to grow as reserves related to our Fayetteville Shale and Marcellus Shale plays are developed and production increases as expected.

### Operating Income

Operating income from our Midstream Services segment increased to \$71.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$59.6 million for the same period in 2011 and increased to \$141.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$113.6 million for the same period in 2011. The increases in operating income reflect the increases in gas volumes gathered which primarily resulted from our increased E&P production volumes. The \$12.2 million increase in operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to an increase of \$15.7 million in gathering revenues, which was partially offset by a decrease of \$1.4 million in the margin generated from our gas marketing activities and a \$2.1 million increase in operating costs and expenses, exclusive of gas purchase costs, associated with the increase in gas volumes gathered. The \$27.5 million increase in operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to an increase of \$35.2 million in gathering revenues and an increase of \$0.1 million in the margin generated from our gas marketing activities, which was partially offset by a \$7.8 million increase in operating costs and expenses, exclusive of gas purchase costs, associated with the increase in gas volumes gathered.

The margin generated from gas marketing activities was \$6.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$8.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The margin generated from gas marketing activities



was \$15.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$15.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Margins are primarily driven by volumes of natural gas marketed and may fluctuate depending on the prices paid for commodities and the ultimate disposition of those commodities. We enter into hedging activities from time to time with respect to our natural gas marketing activities to provide margin protection. We refer you to Item 3, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks” included in this Form 10-Q/A for additional information.

### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense, net of capitalization, increased to \$8.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$6.2 million for the same period in 2011, and increased to \$15.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$13.6 million for the same period in 2011. The increase in interest expense, net of capitalization, for the three- and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to our increased borrowing level, partially offset by an increase in capitalized interest. We capitalized interest of \$16.6 million and \$30.0 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$11.5 million and \$20.6 million for the same periods in 2011. The increases in capitalized interest were primarily due to the increase in our costs excluded from amortization in our E&P segment.

### **Income Taxes**

Our effective tax rates were 38.0% and 39.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$182.3 million compared to an income tax expense of \$198.0 million for the same period in 2011, primarily as a result of the \$800.7 million non-cash impairment of our gas and oil properties.

### **Stock-Based Compensation Expense**

We recognized expense of \$2.7 million and capitalized \$2.4 million for stock-based compensation during the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$2.2 million expense and \$1.9 million capitalized for the comparable period in 2011. We recognized expense of \$5.5 million and capitalized \$5.3 million for stock-based compensation costs recognized during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$4.7 million expense and \$3.8 million capitalized for the comparable period in 2011. We refer you to Note 13 in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A for additional discussion of our equity based compensation plans.

### **New Accounting Standard**

In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance on offsetting assets and liabilities and disclosure requirements in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities* (“Update 2011-11”). Update 2011-11 requires that entities disclose both gross and net information about instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position as well as instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting agreement. In addition, the standard requires disclosure of collateral received and posted in connection with master netting agreements or similar arrangements. Update 2011-11 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The implementation of the disclosure requirement is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

We depend primarily on internally-generated funds, our Credit Facility and funds accessed through debt and equity markets as our primary sources of liquidity.

For the remainder of 2012, assuming natural gas prices remain at current levels, we will draw on a portion of the funds available under our Credit Facility to fund our planned capital investments (discussed below under “Capital Investments”), which are expected to exceed the net cash generated by our operations. We refer you to Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A and the section below under “Financing Requirements” for additional discussion of our Credit Facility.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased 2% to \$837.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$856.9 million for the same period in 2011, due to a decrease in net income adjusted for non-cash expenses primarily resulting from decreased revenues due to lower realized gas prices, partially offset by higher natural gas production and gathering volumes and an increase in changes in working capital. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, requirements for our capital investments were funded primarily from our cash generated by operating activities, cash and cash equivalents, sale of certain East Texas oil and natural gas properties, and borrowings under our Credit Facility. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, cash generated from our operating activities funded 73% of our cash requirements for capital investments and 84% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

We believe that our operating cash flow, cash equivalents, and available funds under our Credit Facility will be adequate to meet our capital and operating requirements for 2012. The credit status of the financial institutions participating in our Credit Facility could adversely impact our ability to borrow funds under the Credit Facility. While we believe all of the lenders under the facility have the ability to provide funds, we cannot predict whether each will be able to meet its obligation.

Our cash flow from operating activities is highly dependent upon the market prices that we receive for our natural gas and oil production. Natural gas and oil prices are subject to wide fluctuations and are driven by market supply and demand factors which are impacted by the overall state of the economy. The price received for our production is also influenced by our commodity hedging activities, as more fully discussed in Item 3, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks” and Note 7 in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A. Our commodity hedging activities are subject to the credit risk of our counterparties being financially unable to complete the transaction. We actively monitor the credit status of our counterparties, performing both quantitative and qualitative assessments based on their credit ratings and credit default swap rates where applicable, and to date have not had any credit defaults associated with our transactions. However, any future failures by one or more counterparties could negatively impact our cash flow from operating activities.

Additionally, our short-term cash flows are dependent on the timely collection of receivables from our customers and partners. We actively manage this risk through credit management activities and through the date of this filing have not experienced any significant write-offs for non-collectable amounts. However, any sustained inaccessibility of credit by our customers and partners could adversely impact our cash flows.

Due to the above factors, we are unable to forecast with certainty our future level of cash flow from operations. Accordingly, we will adjust our discretionary uses of cash dependent upon available cash flow.

### **Capital Investments**

Our capital investments were \$1.2 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1.1 billion for the same period in 2011. Our E&P segment investments were \$1,065.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$944.3 million for the same period in 2011. Our E&P segment capitalized internal costs of \$80.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$73.6 million for the comparable period in 2011. These internal costs were directly related to acquisition, exploration and development activities and are included as part of the cost of natural gas and oil properties. The increase in internal costs capitalized is due to the addition of personnel and related costs in our exploration and development segment.

Our capital investments for 2012 are planned to be \$2.1 billion, consisting of \$1.8 billion for E&P, \$193 million for Midstream Services and \$91 million for corporate and other purposes. Of the approximate \$1.8 billion, we expect to allocate approximately \$1.1 billion to our Fayetteville Shale play and approximately \$500 million to our Marcellus Shale play. Our planned level of capital investments in 2012 is expected to allow us to continue our progress in the Fayetteville Shale and Marcellus Shale programs and explore and develop other existing natural gas and oil properties and generate new drilling prospects. Our 2012 capital investment program is expected to be funded through cash flow from operations, cash equivalents, and borrowings under our Credit Facility. The planned capital program for 2012 is flexible and can be modified, including downward, if the low natural gas price environment persists for an extended period of time.

### **Financing Requirements**

Our total debt outstanding was \$1.7 billion at June 30, 2012 compared to \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011.

In February 2011, we amended and restated our unsecured revolving credit facility, increasing the borrowing capacity to \$1.5 billion and extending the maturity date to February 2016. The amount available under the revolving credit facility may be increased to \$2.0 billion at any time upon the Company's agreement with its existing or additional lenders. We did not have an outstanding balance under our revolving credit facility at June 30, 2012 compared to \$671.5 million at December 31, 2011.

The interest rate on our Credit Facility is calculated based upon our public debt rating and is currently 200 basis points over LIBOR. Our publicly traded notes are rated BBB- by Standard and Poor's and we have a long term debt rating of Baa3 by Moody's. Any downgrades in our public debt ratings could increase our cost of funds under the Credit Facility.

Our Credit Facility contains covenants which impose certain restrictions on us. Under the Credit Facility, we must keep our total debt at or below 60% of our total capital, and must maintain a ratio of EBITDA to interest expense of 3.5 or above. Our Credit Facility's financial covenants with respect to capitalization percentages exclude hedging activities, pension and other postretirement liabilities as well as the effects of non-cash entries that result from any full cost ceiling impairments occurring after the date of the agreement. At June 30, 2012, our capital structure as determined under our Credit Facility was 31% debt and 69% equity, which excluded hedging activities, pension and other postretirement liabilities, and the effect of the full cost ceiling impairment. We were in compliance with all of the covenants of our Credit Facility at June 30, 2012. Although we do not anticipate any violations of our financial covenants, our ability to comply with those covenants is dependent upon the success of our exploration and development program and upon factors beyond our control, such as the market prices for natural gas and oil. If we are unable to borrow under our Credit Facility, we may have to decrease our capital investment plans.

In March 2012, we issued \$1 billion of 4.10% Senior Notes due 2022 in a private placement. A portion of the net proceeds of the offering were used to repay the amounts outstanding under the Company's revolving credit facility and the remaining proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes.

At June 30, 2012, our capital structure consisted of 32% debt and 68% equity (exclusive of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash) and \$185.9 million in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash, compared to 25% debt and 75% equity at December 31, 2011. Equity at June 30, 2012 included an accumulated other comprehensive gain of \$337.9 million related to our hedging activities, partially offset by \$15.3 million related to our pension and other postretirement liabilities. The amount recorded in equity for our hedging activities is based on current market values for our hedges at June 30, 2012 and does not necessarily reflect the value that we will receive or pay when the hedges are ultimately settled, nor does it take into account revenues to be received associated with the physical delivery of sales volumes hedged.

Our hedges allow us to ensure a certain level of cash flow to fund our operations. At July 31, 2012, we had NYMEX commodity price hedges in place on 133.7 Bcf of our remaining targeted 2012 natural gas production and 185.4 Bcf of our expected 2013 natural gas production. The amount of long-term debt we incur will be largely dependent upon commodity prices and our capital investment plans.

### **Contractual Obligations and Contingent Liabilities and Commitments**

We have various contractual obligations in the normal course of our operations and financing activities. There have been no material changes to our contractual obligations from those disclosed in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### *Contingent Liabilities and Commitments*

In the first quarter of 2010, we were awarded exclusive licenses by the Province of New Brunswick in Canada to conduct an exploration program covering approximately 2.5 million acres in the province. The licenses require us to make certain capital investments in New Brunswick of approximately CAD \$47 million in the aggregate over a three year period. In order to obtain the licenses, we provided promissory notes payable on demand to the Minister of Finance of the Province of New Brunswick with an aggregate principal amount of CAD \$44.5 million. The promissory notes secure our capital expenditure obligations under the licenses and are returnable to us to the extent we perform such obligations. If we fail to fully perform, the Minister of Finance may retain a portion of the applicable promissory notes in an amount equal to any deficiency. The Company commenced its Canada exploration program in 2010 and, as of June 30, 2012, no liability has been recognized in connection with the promissory notes due to the Company's investments in New Brunswick as of June 30, 2012 and its future investment plans.

Substantially all of our employees are covered by defined pension and postretirement benefit plans. We currently expect to contribute approximately \$10.0 million to our pension plans and \$0.1 million to our postretirement benefit plan in 2012. As of June 30, 2012, we have contributed \$9.5 million to the pension plans and less than \$0.1 million to the postretirement benefit plan. At June 30, 2012, we recognized a liability of \$16.9 million as a result of the underfunded status of our pension and other postretirement benefit plans compared to a liability of \$20.5 million at December 31, 2011.

We are subject to litigation, claims and proceedings (including with respect to environmental matters) that arise in the ordinary course of business. Management believes, individually or in aggregate, such litigation, claims and proceedings will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows, but these matters are subject to inherent uncertainties and management's view may change in the future. If an unfavorable final outcome were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the period in which the effect becomes reasonably estimable. We accrue for such items when a liability is both probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. For further information regarding commitments and contingencies, we refer you to Note 10 in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A.

### **Working Capital**

We had positive working capital of \$117.6 million at June 30, 2012 and positive working capital of \$93.4 million at December 31, 2011. Current assets increased by \$25.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily due to a \$170.3 million increase in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash, which is primarily the result of our sale of certain East Texas assets, and to a lesser extent from increased borrowing, partially offset by a \$71.0 million decrease in current hedging asset and a \$69.2 million decrease in accounts receivable. Current liabilities increased by \$1.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily as a result of a \$32.0 million increase in advances from partners, a \$20.8 million increase in taxes payable, and a \$13.9 million increase in interest payable, which was partially offset by a \$29.9 million decrease in accounts payable and a \$26.3 million decrease in current deferred income taxes. We maintain access to funds that may be needed to meet capital requirements through our Credit Facility described in "Financing Requirements" above.

### **Natural Gas in Underground Storage**

We record our natural gas stored in inventory that is owned by the E&P segment at the lower of weighted average cost or market. The natural gas in inventory for the E&P segment is used primarily to supplement production in meeting the segment's contractual commitments, especially during periods of colder weather. In determining the lower of cost or market for storage gas, we utilize the natural gas futures market in assessing the price we expect to be able to realize for our natural gas in inventory. A decline in the future market price of natural gas could result in write-downs of our natural gas in underground storage carrying cost.

## **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.**

Market risks relating to our operations result primarily from the volatility in commodity prices, basis differentials and interest rates, as well as credit risk concentrations. We use natural gas and crude oil swap agreements and options and interest rate swaps to reduce the volatility of earnings and cash flow due to fluctuations in the prices of natural gas and oil and in interest rates. Our Board of Directors has approved risk management policies and procedures to utilize financial products for the reduction of defined commodity price risk. Utilization of financial products for the reduction of interest rate risks is subject to the approval of our Board of Directors. These policies prohibit speculation with derivatives and limit swap agreements to counterparties with appropriate credit standings.

### **Credit Risk**

Our financial instruments that are exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of trade receivables and derivative contracts associated with commodities trading. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to receivables are limited due to the large number of our customers and their dispersion across geographic areas. No single customer accounted for greater than 10% of revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2012. See "Commodities Risk" below for discussion of credit risk associated with commodities trading.

## Interest Rate Risk

At June 30, 2012, we had \$1.7 billion of total debt with a weighted average interest rate of 5.45%. Our revolving credit facility has a floating interest rate (2.20% at June 30, 2012). At June 30, 2012, we had no borrowings outstanding under our Credit Facility. Interest rate swaps may be used to adjust interest rate exposures when deemed appropriate. We do not have any interest rate swaps in effect currently.

## Commodities Risk

We use over-the-counter natural gas and crude oil swap agreements and options to hedge sales of our production and to hedge activity in our Midstream Services segment against the inherent price risks of adverse price fluctuations or locational pricing differences between a published index and the NYMEX futures market. These swaps and options include (1) transactions in which one party will pay a fixed price (or variable price) for a notional quantity in exchange for receiving a variable price (or fixed price) based on a published index (referred to as price swaps), (2) transactions in which parties agree to pay a price based on two different indices (referred to as basis swaps) and (3) the purchase and sale of index-related puts and calls (collars) that provide a “floor” price, below which the counterparty pays funds equal to the amount by which the price of the commodity is below the contracted floor, and a “ceiling” price above which we pay to the counterparty the amount by which the price of the commodity is above the contracted ceiling.

The primary market risks relating to our derivative contracts are the volatility in market prices and basis differentials for natural gas and crude oil. However, the market price risk is offset by the gain or loss recognized upon the related sale or purchase of the natural gas or sale of the oil that is hedged. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss as a result of non-performance by our counterparties. The counterparties are primarily major commercial banks, investment banks and integrated energy companies which management believes present minimal credit risks. The credit quality of each counterparty and the level of financial exposure we have to each counterparty are closely monitored to limit our credit risk exposure. Additionally, we perform both quantitative and qualitative assessments of these counterparties based on their credit ratings and credit default swap rates where applicable. We have not incurred any counterparty losses related to non-performance and do not anticipate any losses given the information we have currently. However, given the recent volatility in the financial markets, we cannot be certain that we will not experience such losses in the future.

### *Exploration and Production*

The following table provides information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in commodity prices and that are used to hedge prices for natural gas production. The table presents the notional amount in Bcf, the weighted average contract prices and the fair value by expected maturity dates. At June 30, 2012, the fair value of our financial instruments related to natural gas production was a \$564.7 million asset.

	Volume (Bcf)	Weighted Average Price to be Swapped (\$/MMBtu)	Weighted Average Floor Price (\$/MMBtu)	Weighted Average Ceiling Price (\$/MMBtu)	Weighted Average Basis Differential (\$/MMBtu)	Fair value at June 30, 2012 (\$ in millions)
<b>Natural Gas (Bcf):</b>						
Fixed Price Swaps:						
2012	93.2	\$ 5.01	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 189.5
2013	185.4	\$ 5.06	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 269.2
Floating Price Swaps:						
2012	2.5	\$ 5.67	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ (0.2)
Costless-Collars:						
2012	40.5	\$ –	\$ 5.50	\$ 6.67	\$ –	\$ 102.0
Basis Swaps:						
2012	19.0	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 0.07	\$ 1.5
2013	30.1	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 0.07	\$ 2.0
2014	9.1	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.7

At June 30, 2012, our basis swaps did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that do not qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded in gas and oil sales. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded an unrealized gain of \$1.0 million related to the basis swaps that did not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and an unrealized gain of \$2.5 million related to the change in estimated ineffectiveness of our cash flow hedges. Typically, our hedge ineffectiveness results from changes at the end of a reporting period in the price differentials between the index price of the derivative contract, which is primarily a NYMEX price, and the index price for the point of sale for the cash flow that is being hedged.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

##### *Background of Restatement*

The Company accounts for its oil and natural gas activities using the full-cost accounting rules of Regulation S-X Rule 4-10 of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). As part of these rules, the Company reviews the carrying value of its oil and natural gas properties on a quarterly basis via a “ceiling test” (described in *Note 6 of the Notes To Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*). In connection with the preparation of its 2012 annual report and related control processes, management discovered that the non-cash ceiling test impairment calculation for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 failed to appropriately include the tax benefit of capitalized intangible drilling costs in the full cost ceiling value resulting in an overstatement of the impairment of natural gas and oil properties recorded for those periods. The errors identified in the non-cash ceiling test impairment calculation necessitated restatement of the Company’s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and amendment to the Company’s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

##### *Material Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. We did not maintain effective controls over the accuracy and valuation of the non-cash impairment of the natural gas and oil properties. Specifically, we did not design effective controls solely related to the review of the tax benefit associated with capitalized intangible drilling costs within the ceiling calculation of the full cost ceiling test. This control deficiency resulted in a misstatement of the impairment of the natural gas and oil properties, depreciation, depletion and amortization, and related balance sheet accounts and in the restatement of the Company’s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company’s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in further misstatement of the aforementioned account balances

or disclosures that would result in a material misstatement to the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. As a result, management has concluded that the control deficiency solely related to the review of the tax benefit associated with capitalized intangible drilling costs within the ceiling calculation of the full cost ceiling test, constitutes a material weakness.

#### *Plan of Remediation of Material Weakness*

Management has taken steps to remediate the material weakness, including enhanced analytical analysis and improved cross-functional management review of the non-cash ceiling test calculation through which management identified the errors. Management believes the additional control procedures, as implemented and when validated, will fully remediate this material weakness.

#### *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer evaluated, as of June 30, 2012, the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) in connection with the Company's filing of its Second Quarter Report on August 3, 2012. Based on their evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

However, as described above, the Company recently determined that a material weakness existed in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) and as a result, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have since concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at June 30, 2012.

#### *Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting*

In January 2012, the Company implemented certain modules in its new Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system, including but not limited to, accounting, operational, and supply chain. Implementing an ERP system involves significant changes in business processes that management believes will provide several benefits including more standardized and efficient processes throughout the Company. This implementation has resulted in material changes to the Company's internal controls over financial reporting, as that term is defined in Rule 13(a)-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Therefore, the Company has modified the design, operation and documentation of certain internal control processes and procedures to address the new environment associated with implementation. The system changes were undertaken to integrate systems and consolidate information, and were not undertaken in response to any perceived or actual deficiency in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

## **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.**

The Company is subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Our policy is to accrue environmental and cleanup related costs of a non-capital nature when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and when the amount can be reasonably estimated. Management believes any future remediation or other compliance related costs will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

In February 2009, SEPCO was added as a defendant in a Third Amended Petition in the matter of Tovah Energy, LLC and Toby Berry-Helfand v. David Michael Grimes, et, al. In the Sixth Amended Petition, filed in July 2010, in the 273rd District Court in Shelby County, Texas (collectively, the "Sixth Petition"), plaintiff alleged that, in 2005, they provided SEPCO with proprietary data regarding two prospects in the James Lime formation pursuant to a confidentiality agreement and that SEPCO refused to return the proprietary data to the plaintiff, subsequently acquired leases based upon such proprietary data and profited therefrom. Among other things, the plaintiff's allegations in the Sixth Petition included various statutory and common law claims, including, but not limited to claims of misappropriation of trade secrets, violation of the Texas Theft Liability Act, breach of fiduciary duty and confidential relationships, various fraud based claims and breach of contract, including a claim of breach of a purported right of first refusal on all interests acquired by SEPCO between February 15, 2005 and February 15, 2006. In the Sixth Petition,

plaintiff sought actual damages of over \$55.0 million as well as other remedies, including special damages and punitive damages of four times the amount of actual damages established at trial.

Immediately before the commencement of the trial in November 2010, plaintiff was permitted, over SEPCO's objections, to file a Seventh Amended Petition claiming actual damages of \$46.0 million and also seeking the equitable remedy of disgorgement of all profits for the misappropriation of trade secrets and the breach of fiduciary duty claims. In December 2010, the jury found in favor of the plaintiff with respect to all of the statutory and common law claims and awarded \$11.4 million in compensatory damages. The jury did not, however, award the plaintiff any special, punitive or other damages. In addition, the jury separately determined that SEPCO's profits for purposes of disgorgement were \$381.5 million. This profit determination does not constitute a judgment or an award. The plaintiff's entitlement to disgorgement of profits as an equitable remedy will be determined by the judge and it is within the judge's discretion to award none, some or all the amount of profit to the plaintiff. On December 31, 2010, the plaintiff filed a motion to enter the judgment based on the jury's verdict. On February 11, 2011, SEPCO filed a motion for a judgment notwithstanding the verdict and a motion to disregard certain findings. On March 11, 2011, the plaintiff filed an amended motion for judgment and intervenor filed its motion for judgment seeking not only the monetary damages and the profits determined by the jury but also seeking, as a new remedy, a constructive trust for profits from 143 wells as well as future drilling and sales of properties in the prospect areas. A hearing on the post-verdict motions was held on March 14, 2011. At the suggestion of the judge, all parties voluntarily agreed to participate in non-binding mediation efforts. The mediation occurred on April 6, 2011 and was unsuccessful. On June 6, 2011, SEPCO received by mail a letter dated June 2, 2011 from the judge, in which he made certain rulings with respect to the post-verdict motions and responses filed by the parties. In his rulings, the judge denied SEPCO's motion for judgment, judgment notwithstanding the verdict and to disregard certain findings. Plaintiff's and intervenor's claim for a constructive trust was denied but the judge ruled that plaintiff and intervenor shall recover from SEPCO \$11.4 million and a reasonable attorney's fee of 40% of the total damages awarded and are entitled to recover on their claim for disgorgement. The judge instructed that SEPCO calculate the profit on the designated wells for each respective period. SEPCO performed the calculation and provided it to the judge in June 2011. On July 5, 2011, plaintiff and intervenor filed a letter with the court raising objections to the accounting provided by SEPCO, to which SEPCO filed a response on July 11, 2011. On July 12, 2011, the judge sent a letter to the parties in which he ruled that after reviewing the parties' respective position letters, he was awarding \$23.9 million in disgorgement damages in favor of the plaintiff and intervenor. In the July 12, 2011 letter, the judge instructed the plaintiff and intervenor to prepare a judgment for his approval prior to July 21, 2011 consistent with his findings in his June 2, 2011 letter and the disgorgement award. On August 24, 2011, a judgment was entered pursuant to which plaintiff and intervenor are entitled to recover approximately \$11.4 million in actual damages and approximately \$23.9 million in disgorgement as well as prejudgment interest and attorneys' fees which currently are estimated to be up to \$8.9 million and all costs of court of the plaintiff and intervenor. On September 23, 2011, SEPCO filed a motion for a new trial and on November 18, 2011 filed a notice of appeal. On November 30, 2011, the court approved SEPCO's supersedeas bond in the amount of \$14.1 million, which stays execution on the judgment pending appeal. The bond covers the \$11.4 million judgment for actual damages, plus \$1.3 million in pre-judgment interest, \$1.3 million in post-judgment interest (estimating two years for the duration of appeal), and court costs. On April 17, 2012, SEPCO filed an unopposed motion for the appellate court's permission to extend the deadline for filing its appeal to May 23, 2012.

On June 22, 2012, SEPCO filed its appellate brief and, on June 25, 2012, plaintiff and intervenor filed a cross-appellate brief seeking limited remand to reassess the disgorgement determination. On July 18, 2012, SEPCO filed a motion to extend the deadline for filing its response to plaintiff's brief to August 22, 2012, which was approved on the same date. Following the approval of a similar motion, the filing deadline for plaintiff's response to SEPCO's brief was extended to August 24, 2012. Assuming no further extensions of the filing deadlines or any other delays, oral arguments are expected to occur in the Fall of 2012. Based on the Company's understanding and judgment of the facts and merits of this case, including appellate defenses, and after considering the advice of counsel, the Company has determined that, although reasonably possible after exhaustion of all appeals, an adverse final outcome to this lawsuit is not probable. As such, the Company has not accrued any amounts with respect to this lawsuit. If the plaintiff and intervenor were to ultimately prevail in the appellate process, the Company currently estimates, based on the judgments to date, that SEPCO's potential liability would be up to \$44.2 million, including interest and attorney's fees. The Company's assessment may change in the future due to occurrence of certain events, such as denied appeals, and such re-assessment could lead to the determination that the potential liability is probable and could be material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

On February 20, 2012, the Company became aware that SEPCO was named as a defendant in the matter of Gery Muncey v. Southwestern Energy Production Company, et al filed in the District Court of San Augustine County in



Texas on January 31, 2012. The plaintiff in this case is also the intervenor in the Tovah Energy matter described above and alleges various claims including fraud, misappropriation and breach of fiduciary duty that are purported as independent of the claims alleged in the Tovah Energy matter but arise from the substantially same circumstances involved in the Tovah Energy matter. The plaintiff is seeking value for various royalty and override ownership interests in wells drilled, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. The Company has not accrued any amounts with respect to this lawsuit and cannot reasonably estimate the amount of any potential liability based on the Company's understanding and judgment of the facts and merits of this case. SEPCO's motion for summary judgment was granted on July 9, 2012. SEPCO will file a motion for entry of final judgment, which will include a motion for recovery of attorney's fees. Once the final judgment is signed, Plaintiff will have 30 days in which to file an appeal to the Tyler Court of Appeals, or file a motion for rehearing/reconsideration.

In March 2010, the Company's subsidiary, SEECO, Inc., was served with a subpoena from a federal grand jury in Little Rock, Arkansas. Based on the documents requested under the subpoena and subsequent discussions described below, the Company believes the grand jury is investigating matters involving approximately 27 horizontal wells operated by SEECO in Arkansas, including whether appropriate leases or permits were obtained therefor and whether royalties and other production attributable to federal lands have been properly accounted for and paid. The Company believes it has fully complied with all requests related to the federal subpoena and delivered its affidavit to that effect. The Company and representatives of the Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Attorney have had discussions since the production of the documents pursuant to the subpoena. In January 2011, the Company voluntarily produced additional materials informally requested by the government arising from these discussions. Although, to the Company's knowledge, no proceeding in this matter has been initiated against SEECO, the Company cannot predict whether or when one might be initiated. The Company intends to fully comply with any further requests and to cooperate with any related investigation. No assurance can be made as to the time or resources that will need to be devoted to this inquiry or the impact of the final outcome of the discussions or any related proceeding.

#### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.**

There were no additions or material changes to the Company's risk factors as disclosed in Item 1A of Part I in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### **ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.**

Not applicable.

#### **ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.**

Not applicable.

#### **ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.**

Our sand mining operations, in support of our E&P business, are subject to regulation by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977. Information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.106) is included in Exhibit 95.1 to this Form 10-Q/A.

#### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.**

Not applicable.

#### **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.**

- (3.1) Amended and Restated Bylaws of Southwestern Energy Company. Effective as of May 22, 2012 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 29, 2012).
- (31.1) Certification of CEO filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (31.2) Certification of CFO filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- (32.1) Certification of CEO and CFO furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (95.1) Mine Safety Disclosure.
- (101.INS) Interactive Data File Instance Document.
- (101.SCH) Interactive Data File Schema Document.
- (101.CAL) Interactive Data File Calculation Linkbase Document.
- (101.LAB) Interactive Data File Label Linkbase Document.
- (101.PRE) Interactive Data File Presentation Linkbase Document.
- (101.DEF) Interactive Data File Definition Linkbase Document.

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**SOUTHWESTERN ENERGY COMPANY**

---

Registrant

Dated: February 19, 2013

/s/ R. Craig Owen

R. Craig Owen  
Senior Vice President  
and Chief Financial Officer