

CODE: \$1425

LANCE P. MAISS
(State Bar No. 4683)
MAISS LAW GROUP LTD
1575 Delucchi Lane, Suite 115
Reno, Nevada 89502
Telephone No.: (775) 657-8160
Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

VIROPRO, INC., a Nevada corporation, Case No.

Plaintiff, Dept. No.

vs.

KENNETH A. SORENSEN, an individual;
MICHELLE LEANNE EDYTHE PEAKE, an
individual; DOES 1 -10 and ROE
CORPORATIONS 1 - 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Plaintiff VIROPRO, INC., a Nevada corporation, by and through its counsel,
Maiss Law Group Ltd, and for its complaint against Defendants KENNETH A. SORENSEN and
MICHELLE LEANNE EDYTHE PEAKE, alleges the following:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Plaintiff VIROPRO, INC. is a Nevada corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business in Washington, D.C.
2. Defendant KENNETH A. SORENSEN is a director of Plaintiff and believed to be a resident of the State of New York.
3. Defendant MICHELLE LEANNE EDYTHE PEAKE is a director of Plaintiff and believed to be a resident of Malaysia.

1 **Viropro and its Management**

2 4. Plaintiff's current business began in 2004 (entity formation in 1982), focusing on the
3 discovery and development of potential therapeutic monoclonal antibodies directed specifically to
4 disease causing problems.

5 5. Plaintiff is a publicly-traded company, currently listed on the OTC Pink under the
6 ticker "VPRO."

7 6. Plaintiff's current Board of Directors (BOD) is comprised of four (4) members:

8 Cynthia Ekberg Tsai, Chairman

9 Richard Serbin

10 Kenneth A. Sorensen

11 Michelle Leanne Edythe Peake

12 Ms. Tsai is also the President and Chief Executive Officer of Plaintiff, while Ms. Peake is the former
13 Chief Executive Officer of Alpha Biologics Sdn. Bhd., a subsidiary of Plaintiff.

14 **Appointment and Qualification of Sorenson**

15 7. On or about October 17, 2012, Defendants were appointed as Directors of Plaintiff,
16 subject to confirmation of their qualification to properly serve in such a capacity.

17 8. A requirement by Plaintiff for qualification as a Director was completion of a
18 "Conflict of Interest Disclosure" ("First Disclosure Form"), which was provided to the Defendants.

19 9. On or about May 21, 2013, at a BOD meeting, the First Disclosure Form was
20 confirmed to have been circulated to Defendants.

21 10. Despite the requirement, Defendant SORENSEN failed to submit the First Disclosure
22 Form until September 24, 2013, to which he failed to disclose his other business interests and
23 potential conflicts of interest.

24 11. After discovering that Defendant SORENSEN was either an owner, officer, director,
25 or otherwise involved with the following companies: Array Capital Management, LLC,
26 TeraDiscoveries, and Halcyon Cabot Partners, Ltd., Plaintiff again requested that Defendant
27 SORENSEN provide full disclosure and complete his qualification.
28

12. Thereafter, in early October, 2013, Plaintiff circulated an updated disclosure form to the Defendants ("Second Disclosure Form").

13. Defendant SORENSEN never completed the Second Disclosure Form.

14. On or about October 17, 2013, Defendants' terms as Directors expired, subject to either re-election/appointment or replacement.

Amendment to Section 3.3 of ByLaws

15. As a result of the frequent failure to appear at BOD meeting by Defendant SORENSEN, on or about August 16, 2013, the BOD passed a resolution to amend Section 3.3 to provide that if a Director missed more than two consecutive regularly-scheduled board meetings, the Director would be removed.

Defendants Move to Oust Chairman/CEO/President Tsai and Director Serbin

16. Even though the amendment to Section 3.3 of the Bylaws only involved regularly-scheduled BOD meetings, Defendant SORENSEN called for a special meeting on two occasions, the first of which no Director even attended, and the second to which both Chairman/CEO/President Tsai and Director Serbin were unable to attend.

17. At the special BOD meeting that was actually held by Defendants on or about October 24, 2013, a vote was passed solely by Defendants to oust Chairman/CEO/President Tsai and Director Serbin for failing to comply with the newly-amended Section 3.3 of the Bylaws.

18. Additionally, Defendants voted to terminate for cause Tsai's employment agreement with Plaintiff as CEO/President.

19. That same day, Defendants attempted to file a Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission through Southridge Services, declaring that termination and removal of Tsai as an officer and removal of Tsai and Serbin as Directors.

20. Due to the highly unusual circumstances, Southridge Services refused to file the Form 8-K until a change in management and control of Plaintiff could be confirmed.

Harm to Plaintiff Viropro

1 21. With the acts taken by Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer
2 the following: lack of proper governance and management of Plaintiff; potential loss of significant
3 current and future transactions; and the risk of legal exposure.

4 **Viropro's Governing Documents**

5 22. The Articles of Incorporation provide, among other things, the following:

6 Board of Directors. **A majority of the board of**
7 **directors shall be necessary to constitute a**
8 **quorum;** and, when so constituted, the board shall
9 be authorized to transact such business as may be
10 delegated to it by the stockholders and whenever the
11 board of directors shall be so assembled and act as a
12 board, either within or without the State of Nevada,
13 any action taken shall be the action of the board of
14 directors and shall be binding upon the corporation,
15 provided that three days prior notice, given either
16 orally or in writing, of the time and place of the
17 meeting **and of the nature of the business**
18 **proposed to be transacted shall have been given**
19 **to the entire board of directors,** unless such notice
20 be waived as hereinafter provided. Any director
21 may waive notice of any meeting; and in the event
22 of such waiver, notice shall be in writing or a
23 written memorandum shall be made of an oral
24 waiver of notice.

25 Term of Office: The term of office of all director
26 and officers shall be **one year, provided all**
27 **directors and officers shall hold office until their**
28 **successors are duly elected and qualified.**

1 Removal of Officers: Any officer or **director of**
2 **this corporation may be removed at any time**
3 **without cause in the manner provided by the**
4 **laws of the State of Nevada for the removal of**
5 **such officer or director, or by a majority vote of**
6 **the outstanding stock of the corporation** at any
7 special meeting of the stockholders called for that
8 purpose as herein provided.

9 Bylaws: **The board of directors of the**
10 **corporation shall have authority to adopt such**
11 **by-laws** as in their judgment may be deemed
12 necessary or advisable for the management and
13 transaction of the business of the corporation
14 **provided that such by-laws are not in conflict**
15 **with these articles of incorporation** or the
16 constitution of the State of Nevada.

17
18 23. The Bylaws provide, among other things, the following:

19 **Section 3.1 Number and Term of Office**

20 The number of directors who shall constitute
21 the whole be such number not less than three (3) nor
22 more than twenty five (25) as the Board of
23 Directors shall the time have designated. **Each**
24 **director shall be selected for a term of one year**
25 **and until his successor is elected and qualified,**
26 except as otherwise provided herein or required by
27 law. . .
28

1 **Section 3.2 Vacancies**

2 If the office of any director becomes vacant
3 by reason of death, resignation, disqualification,
4 removal or other cause, a majority of the directors
5 remaining in office although less than a quorum,
6 may elect a successor for the unexpired term and
7 until his successor is elected and qualified.
8

9 **Section 3.4 Special Meetings**

10 Special meetings of the Board of Directors
11 **may be called by one-third of the directors** then
12 in office or by the chief executive officer and shall
13 be held at such place, on such date and at such time
14 as they or he shall fix. Notice of the place, date and
15 time of each such special meeting shall be given by
16 each director by whom it is not waived by mailing
17 written notice not less than three days before the
18 meeting or by telegraphing the same not less than
19 eighteen hours before the meeting. Unless
20 otherwise indicated in the notice thereof, any and all
21 business may be transacted at a special meeting.
22

23 **Section 3.5 Quorum**

24 At any meeting of the Board of Directors, a
25 majority of the total number of the whole board
26 shall constitute a quorum for all purposes. If a
27 quorum shall fail to attend any meeting, a majority
28 of those present may adjourn the meeting to another

1 place, date or time, without further notice or waiver
2 thereof.

3
4 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5 **(Breach of Fiduciary Duties)**

6 24. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 23 as though fully
7 set forth herein.

8 25. In their positions as Directors, Plaintiff possessed a right to expect trust and
9 confidence in the integrity and fidelity by Defendants.

10 26. Thus, Defendants owed a fiduciary duty to Plaintiff.

11 27. Defendants breached that duty through their intentional misconduct and fraud by (a)
12 purposely holding a special BOD meeting knowing that BOD Chairman Tsai and Director Serbin
13 would be unable to attend; (b) taking purported actions on behalf of the BOD without a quorum; (c)
14 knowingly misapplying Section 3.3 of the Bylaws to a special BOD meeting when Section 3.3 only
15 applies to regularly-scheduled BOD meetings; (d) circumventing the Articles of Incorporation's
16 requirements for removal of Directors by attempting to oust Chairman Tsai and Director Serbin as
17 Directors of Plaintiff (in turn circumventing the rights of the shareholders); and (e) finally attempting
18 to disseminate the ouster publicly.

19 28. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages in excess of \$10,000.00.

20 29. Since Defendant's conduct amounted to fraud, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive
21 damages in excess of \$10,000.00.

22 30. As a further result, Plaintiff is entitled to its attorney's fees and costs for prosecuting
23 this claim for relief.

24
25 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

26 **(Declaratory Relief Against Defendant SORENSEN)**

27 31. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 30, as though fully
28 set forth herein.

33. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to a judicial declaration of its rights and a finding that Defendant SORENSEN is not a Director of Plaintiff and has no such authority to act as such.

34. As a further result, Plaintiff is entitled to its attorney's fees and costs for prosecuting this claim for relief.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief Against Defendants)

35. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 34, as though fully set forth herein.

36. Defendants improper use of Section 3.3 of the Bylaws and subsequent acts to oust Directors Tsai and Serbin has raised a question regarding the construction and/or validity therein.

37. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to a judicial declaration of its rights and a finding that Section 3.3 of the Bylaws is (a) contrary to the Articles of Incorporation and thus ineffective; (b) if found to be effective, applies only to regularly-scheduled BOD meetings, not special meetings and applies to Directors that miss more than two consecutive meetings.

38. As a further result, Plaintiff is entitled to its attorney's fees and costs for prosecuting this claim for relief.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Injunction)

39. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 as though fully set forth herein.

40. Defendants previous and continuing acts interfering with the management of Plaintiff will cause irreparable harm in which a remedy at law will be inadequate.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Civil Conspiracy)

44. Plaintiff realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 43, as though fully set forth herein.

AFFIRMATION

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 27th day of October, 2013.

MAISS LAW GROUP LTD

By: 

Lance P. Maiss
1575 Delucchi Lane Suite 115
Reno, NV 89502
(775) 657-8160
Attorney for Plaintiff