
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

**[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004

or

**[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-7320

ANR Pipeline Company
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation or Organization)

38-1281775
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

El Paso Building
1001 Louisiana Street
Houston, Texas
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

77002
(Zip Code)

Telephone Number: (713) 420-2600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock, par value \$1 per share. Shares outstanding on May 14, 2004: 1,000

ANR PIPELINE COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Caption</u>	<u>Page</u>
PART I — Financial Information		
Item 1.	Financial Statements.....	1
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	9
	Risk Factors and Cautionary Statements Regarding Forward-Looking Statements	13
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	14
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	14
PART II — Other Information		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	16
Item 2.	Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	16
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	16
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	16
Item 5.	Other Information	16
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.....	16
	Signatures	17

Below is a list of terms that are common to our industry and used throughout this document:

/d	= per day	MMcf	= million cubic feet
BBtu	= billion British thermal units	Tcfe	= trillion cubic feet of gas equivalent

When we refer to cubic feet measurements, all measurements are at a pressure of 14.73 pounds per square inch.

When we refer to “us”, “we”, “our”, or “ours”, we are describing ANR Pipeline Company and/or our subsidiaries.

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

ANR PIPELINE COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
Operating revenues	<u>\$138</u>	<u>\$185</u>
Operating expenses		
Operation and maintenance	58	77
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	9	9
Taxes, other than income taxes	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>
	<u>74</u>	<u>93</u>
Operating income	64	92
Earnings from unconsolidated affiliate	20	18
Interest and debt expense	(18)	(13)
Affiliated interest income, net	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>
Income before income taxes	68	97
Income taxes	<u>25</u>	<u>36</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 43</u>	<u>\$ 61</u>

See accompanying notes.

ANR PIPELINE COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In millions, except share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2004	December 31, 2003
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 25	\$ 25
Accounts and notes receivable		
Customer, net of allowance of \$3 in 2004 and 2003	97	67
Affiliates	5	5
Other	3	3
Materials and supplies	21	22
Other	12	13
Total current assets	<u>163</u>	<u>135</u>
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	3,628	3,660
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	<u>2,210</u>	<u>2,200</u>
Total property, plant and equipment, net	<u>1,418</u>	<u>1,460</u>
Other assets		
Investment in unconsolidated affiliate	325	325
Notes receivable from affiliates	421	367
Other	31	20
	<u>777</u>	<u>712</u>
Total assets	<u><u>\$2,358</u></u>	<u><u>\$2,307</u></u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable		
Trade	\$ 22	\$ 32
Affiliates	17	18
Other	11	13
Accrued interest	16	17
Taxes payable	69	59
Other payable to affiliate	8	8
Other	35	34
Total current liabilities	<u>178</u>	<u>181</u>
Long-term debt	<u>807</u>	<u>807</u>
Other liabilities		
Deferred income taxes	319	307
Payable to affiliates	188	188
Other	40	41
	<u>547</u>	<u>536</u>
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholder's equity		
Common stock, par value \$1 per share; 1,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	597	597
Retained earnings	229	186
Total stockholder's equity	<u>826</u>	<u>783</u>
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	<u><u>\$2,358</u></u>	<u><u>\$2,307</u></u>

See accompanying notes.

ANR PIPELINE COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 43	\$ 61
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	9	9
Deferred income tax expense	12	24
Asset and liability changes	<u>4</u>	<u>(44)</u>
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>68</u>	<u>50</u>
Cash flows from investing activities		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(14)	(20)
Net change in affiliate advances	<u>(54)</u>	<u>(293)</u>
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(68)</u>	<u>(313)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	<u>—</u>	<u>288</u>
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>—</u>	<u>288</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	<u>—</u>	<u>25</u>
Cash and cash equivalents		
Beginning of period	<u>25</u>	<u>—</u>
End of period	<u>\$ 25</u>	<u>\$ 25</u>

See accompanying notes.

ANR PIPELINE COMPANY
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

We are an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of El Paso Corporation (El Paso). We prepared this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. Because this is an interim period filing presented using a condensed format, it does not include all of the disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. You should read it along with our 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K which includes a summary of our significant accounting policies and other disclosures. The financial statements as of March 31, 2004, and for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003, are unaudited. We derived the balance sheet as of December 31, 2003, from the audited balance sheet filed in our 2003 Form 10-K. In our opinion, we have made all adjustments which are of a normal, recurring nature to fairly present our interim period results. Due to the seasonal nature of our business, information for interim periods may not be indicative of our results of operations for the entire year.

Our accounting policies are consistent with those discussed in our 2003 Form 10-K.

2. Liquidity

On May 3, 2004, El Paso announced that the results of its internal investigation confirmed that its historical financial statements should be restated to reflect its previously announced revisions to its natural gas and oil reserve estimates. El Paso believes that a material restatement of its financial statements would have constituted an event of default under its \$3 billion revolving credit facility, under which we are eligible to borrow (see Note 3), and various other financings; specifically under the provisions of these arrangements related to representations and warranties on the accuracy of its historical financial statements and on El Paso's debt to total capitalization ratio. El Paso has received waivers on its \$3 billion revolving credit facility and these other financing transactions to address these issues, and these waivers continue to be effective. These waivers require that El Paso issue its December 31, 2003 financial statements by June 15, 2004. Otherwise, El Paso would need to obtain additional waivers to avoid an event of default. Based upon a review of our financing transactions, we do not believe that a default on El Paso's \$3 billion revolving credit facility constitutes an event of default on our debt agreements.

El Paso is a significant potential source of liquidity to us. We participate in El Paso's cash management program. Under this program, depending on whether we have short-term cash surpluses or requirements, we either provide cash to El Paso or El Paso provides cash to us. We have historically and consistently provided cash to El Paso under this program, and as of March 31, 2004, we had a cash advance receivable from El Paso of \$421 million, classified as a non-current asset in our balance sheet. If El Paso were unable to meet its liquidity needs, we would not have access to this source of liquidity and there is no assurance that El Paso could repay the entire amounts owed to us. In that event, we could be required to write-off some amount of these advances, which could have a material impact on our stockholder's equity. Furthermore, we would still be required to repay affiliated company payables. Write-downs that cause our debt to EBITDA (as defined in our agreements) ratio to exceed 5 to 1 could prohibit us from incurring additional debt. However, this non-cash equity reduction would not result in an event of default under our existing debt securities. In addition, based on our current estimates of cash flows, we do not believe we will need to seek repayment of all or part of these advances in the next year.

El Paso's ownership interest in us serves as collateral under El Paso's revolving credit facility and other of El Paso's financing transactions. If El Paso's lenders under this facility or those financing transactions were to exercise their rights to this collateral, our ownership could change. However, this change of control would not constitute an event of default under our existing debt agreements.

If, as a result of the events described above, El Paso were subject to voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceedings, El Paso and its other subsidiaries and their creditors could attempt to make claims against us,

including claims to substantively consolidate our assets and liabilities with those of El Paso and its other subsidiaries. We believe that claims to substantively consolidate us with El Paso and/or its other subsidiaries would be without merit. However, there is no assurance that El Paso and/or its other subsidiaries or their creditors would not advance such a claim in a bankruptcy proceeding. If we were to be substantively consolidated in a bankruptcy proceeding with El Paso and/or its other subsidiaries, there could be a material adverse effect on our financial condition and our liquidity.

Finally, we have cross-acceleration provisions in our long-term debt that state that should we incur an event of default under which borrowings in excess of \$5 million are accelerated, our long-term debt could also be accelerated. The acceleration of our long-term debt would adversely affect our liquidity position and, in turn, our financial condition.

3. Credit Facilities

El Paso maintains a \$3 billion revolving credit facility, with a \$1.5 billion letter of credit sublimit, which matures on June 30, 2005. The \$3 billion revolving credit facility has a borrowing cost of LIBOR plus 350 basis points, letter of credit fees of 350 basis points and a commitment fee of 75 basis points on the unused portion of the facility. We, along with El Paso and our affiliates, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, Colorado Interstate Gas Company and El Paso Natural Gas Company, are borrowers under the \$3 billion revolving credit facility. We are only liable for amounts we directly borrow under the \$3 billion revolving credit facility. As of March 31, 2004, \$600 million was outstanding and \$1.1 billion in letters of credit were issued under the \$3 billion revolving credit facility, none of which was borrowed by or issued on behalf of us. During the fourth quarter of 2003 and the first quarter of 2004, El Paso liquidated a portion of the collateral that supported the \$3 billion revolving credit facility, which reduced the borrowing availability by \$42 million. See Note 2 for a discussion regarding El Paso's waivers on the \$3 billion revolving credit facility.

El Paso's equity in several of its subsidiaries, including its equity in us, collateralizes the \$3 billion revolving credit facility and other financing arrangements including leases, letters of credit and other facilities.

Under the \$3 billion revolving credit facility and other financing agreements, we are subject to a number of restrictions and covenants. The most restrictive of these include (i) limitations on the incurrence of additional debt, based on a ratio of debt to EBITDA (as defined in our agreements), the most restrictive of which shall not exceed 5 to 1; (ii) limitations on the use of proceeds from borrowings; (iii) limitations, in some cases, on transactions with our affiliates; (iv) limitations on the incurrence of liens; (v) potential limitations on our ability to declare and pay dividends; and (vi) potential limitations on our ability to participate in El Paso's cash management program discussed in Note 6.

4. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

Grynberg. In 1997, we and a number of our affiliates were named defendants in actions brought by Jack Grynberg on behalf of the U.S. Government under the False Claims Act. Generally, these complaints allege an industry-wide conspiracy to underreport the heating value as well as the volumes of the natural gas produced from federal and Native American lands, which deprived the U.S. Government of royalties. The plaintiff in this case seeks royalties that he contends the government should have received had the volume and heating value been differently measured, analyzed, calculated and reported, together with interest, treble damages, civil penalties, expenses and future injunctive relief to require the defendants to adopt allegedly appropriate gas measurement practices. No monetary relief has been specified in this case. These matters have been consolidated for pretrial purposes (In re: Natural Gas Royalties *Qui Tam* Litigation, U.S. District Court for the District of Wyoming, filed June 1997). Discovery is proceeding. Our costs and legal exposure related to these lawsuits and claims are not currently determinable.

Will Price (formerly Quinque). We and a number of our affiliates are named defendants in *Will Price, et al. v. Gas Pipelines and Their Predecessors, et al.*, filed in 1999 in the District Court of Stevens County, Kansas. Plaintiffs allege that the defendants mismeasured natural gas volumes and heating content of natural

gas on non-federal and non-Native American lands and seek to recover royalties that they contend they should have received had the volume and heating value of natural gas produced from their properties been differently measured, analyzed, calculated and reported, together with prejudgment and postjudgment interest, punitive damages, treble damages, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, and future injunctive relief to require the defendants to adopt allegedly appropriate gas measurement practices. No monetary relief has been specified in this case. Plaintiffs' motion for class certification of a nationwide class of natural gas working interest owners and natural gas royalty owners was denied on April 10, 2003. Plaintiffs were granted leave to file a Fourth Amended Petition which narrows the proposed class to royalty owners in wells in Kansas, Wyoming and Colorado and removes claims as to heating content. A second class action has since been filed as to the heating content claims. Our costs and legal exposure related to these lawsuits and claims are not currently determinable.

In addition to the above matters, we and our subsidiaries and affiliates are named defendants in numerous lawsuits and governmental proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business.

For each of our outstanding legal matters, we evaluate the merits of the case, our exposure to the matter, possible legal or settlement strategies and the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome. If we determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and can be estimated, we establish the necessary accruals. As this information becomes available, or other relevant developments occur, we will adjust our accrual amounts accordingly. While there are still uncertainties related to the ultimate costs we may incur, based upon our evaluation and experience to date, we believe our current reserves are adequate. As of March 31, 2004, we had approximately \$1 million accrued for our outstanding legal matters.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental quality and pollution control. These laws and regulations require us to remove or remedy the effect on the environment of the disposal or release of specified substances at current and former operating sites. As of March 31, 2004, we had accrued approximately \$28 million for expected remediation costs and associated onsite, offsite and groundwater technical studies and for related environmental legal costs. Our accrual at March 31, 2004 was based on the most likely outcome that can be reasonably estimated. Below is a reconciliation of our accrued liability as of March 31, 2004 (in millions):

Balance as of January 1, 2004	\$29
Payments for remediation activities	<u>(1)</u>
Balance as of March 31, 2004	<u>\$28</u>

In addition, we expect to make capital expenditures for environmental matters of approximately \$24 million in the aggregate for the years 2004 through 2008. These expenditures primarily relate to compliance with clean air regulations. For the remainder of 2004, we estimate that our total remediation expenditures will be approximately \$5 million, which primarily will be expended under government directed clean-up plans.

CERCLA Matters. We have received notice that we could be designated, or have been asked for information to determine whether we could be designated, as a Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) with respect to three active sites under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) or state equivalents. We have sought to resolve our liability as a PRP at these sites through indemnification by third parties and settlements which provide for payment of our allocable share of remediation costs. As of March 31, 2004, we have estimated our share of the remediation costs at these sites to be approximately \$1 million. Since the clean-up costs are estimates and are subject to revision as more information becomes available about the extent of remediation required, and because in some cases we have asserted a defense to any liability, our estimates could change. Moreover, liability under the federal CERCLA statute is joint and several, meaning that we could be required to pay in excess of our pro rata share of remediation costs. Our understanding of the financial strength of other PRPs has been considered, where

appropriate, in estimating our liabilities. Reserves for these matters are included in the environmental reserve discussed above.

It is possible that new information or future developments could require us to reassess our potential exposure related to environmental matters. We may incur significant costs and liabilities in order to comply with existing environmental laws and regulations. It is also possible that other developments, such as increasingly strict environmental laws and regulations and claims for damages to property, employees, other persons and the environment resulting from our current or past operations, could result in substantial costs and liabilities in the future. As this information becomes available, or other relevant developments occur, we will adjust our accrual amounts accordingly. While there are still uncertainties relating to the ultimate costs we may incur, based upon our evaluation and experience to date, we believe our reserves are adequate.

Rates and Regulatory Matters

Cashout Proceeding. On May 1, 2002, we made our annual filing to reconcile the costs and revenues associated with operating our cashout program, a program that involves the sale and purchase of natural gas to satisfy shipper imbalances. On October 31, 2002, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) accepted the filing, and allowed our proposed cashout surcharge to go into effect. However, the FERC also found that the existing cashout mechanism was no longer “just and reasonable” and set the case for hearing to establish a replacement mechanism. A hearing was held in January 2004. Initial and reply briefs were filed in March 2004.

On April 12, 2004, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued an initial decision. The ALJ concluded that five changes should be made to our cashout mechanism: (1) eliminate the cashout surcharge; (2) institute an in-kind balancing option for all shippers; (3) to the extent a shipper remains under a mechanism that remedies negative imbalances by cash payments, use a high/low pricing mechanism to develop the amount of the cash payment that must be made; (4) physically balance the system at the end of each month, to the extent it is operationally feasible to do so; and (5) keep Plant Thermal Reduction shippers apprised of their status with respect to imbalances. In addition, the ALJ found that we should be made whole for past amounts owed to us under the prior cashout mechanism and rejected certain proposals of a group of shippers for establishment of an imbalance account to be credited with revenues from the sale of excess fuel and lost and unaccounted for gas and storage overrun service revenues. The initial decision is subject to review by the FERC.

There are other regulatory rules and orders in various stages of adoption, review and/or implementation, none of which we believe will have a material impact on us.

While the outcome of our outstanding rates and regulatory matters cannot be predicted with certainty, based on current information, we do not expect the ultimate resolution of this matter to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows. However, it is possible that new information or future developments could require us to reassess our potential exposure related to these matters, which could have a material effect on our results of operations, our financial position, and on our cash flows.

Other Matters

CFTC Investigation. In April 2004, we elected to voluntarily cooperate with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) in connection with the CFTC’s industry-wide investigation of activities affecting the price of natural gas in the fall of 2003. Specifically, we provided information relating to storage reports provided to the Energy Information Administration for the period October 2003 through December 2003.

While the outcome of this matter cannot be predicted with certainty, based on current information we do not expect the ultimate resolution of this matter to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows. However, it is possible that new information or future developments could

require us to reassess our potential exposure related to this matter, which could have a material effect on our results of operations, our financial position, and on our cash flows.

5. Retirement Benefits

Our postretirement benefit costs for the quarters ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 were less than \$1 million.

6. Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate and Transactions with Affiliates

Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate

Our investment in unconsolidated affiliate consists of our equity ownership interest in Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership (Great Lakes L.P.). Summarized income statement information of our proportionate share of our unconsolidated affiliate for the quarters ended March 31 are as follows:

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
	<u>(In millions)</u>	
Operating results data:		
Operating revenues	\$36	\$35
Operating expenses	13	14
Net income ⁽¹⁾	13	13

⁽¹⁾ Our proportionate share of net income includes our share of taxes payable by partners recorded by Great Lakes L.P.

Transactions with Affiliates

We participate in El Paso's cash management program which matches short-term cash surpluses and needs of participating affiliates, thus minimizing total borrowings from outside sources. As of March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, we had advanced to El Paso \$421 million and \$367 million. The market rate of interest at March 31, 2004 was 2.5% and at December 31, 2003 was 2.8%. These receivables are due upon demand; however, as of March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, we have classified these advances as non-current notes receivable from affiliates because we do not anticipate settlement within the next twelve months. See Note 2 for a discussion regarding our participation in and the collectibility of these receivables.

At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, we had accounts receivable from related parties of \$5 million. In addition, we had accounts payable to related parties of \$17 million and \$18 million at March 31, 2004, and December 31, 2003. These balances arose in the normal course of business.

At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, we had payables to an affiliate of \$196 million for obligations related to a non-cancelable lease on our former headquarters. Of these amounts, \$8 million was classified as current at March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003. This payable resulted from the relocation of our headquarters from Detroit, Michigan to Houston, Texas and the transfer of this lease to our affiliate from a third party. The lease payments are due semi-annually.

The following table shows revenues and charges from our affiliates for the quarters ended March 31:

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
	<u>(In millions)</u>	
Revenues from affiliates	\$ 3	\$ 7
Operations and maintenance expenses from affiliates	27	34
Reimbursements of operating expenses charged to affiliates	1	—

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The information contained in Item 2 updates, and should be read in conjunction with, the information disclosed in our 2003 Form 10-K and the financial statements and notes presented in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Results of Operations

Our management, as well as El Paso's management, uses earnings before interest expense and income taxes (EBIT) to assess the operating results and effectiveness of our business. We define EBIT as net income adjusted for (i) items that do not impact our income from continuing operations, such as the impact of accounting changes, (ii) income taxes, (iii) interest and debt expense and (iv) affiliated interest income. Our business consists of consolidated operations as well as an investment in an unconsolidated affiliate. We exclude interest and debt expense from this measure so that our management can evaluate our operating results without regard to our financing methods. We believe the discussion of our results of operations based on EBIT is useful to our investors because it allows them to more effectively evaluate the operating performance of both our consolidated business and our unconsolidated investments using the same performance measure analyzed internally by our management. EBIT may not be comparable to measurements used by other companies. Additionally, EBIT should be considered in conjunction with net income or other performance measures such as operating income or operating cash flow.

The following is a reconciliation of our operating income to our EBIT and our EBIT to our net income for the quarters ended March 31:

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
	<u>(In millions,</u>	
	<u>except volume</u>	
	<u>amounts)</u>	
Operating revenues	\$ 138	\$ 185
Operating expenses	(74)	(93)
Operating income	<u>64</u>	<u>92</u>
Earnings from unconsolidated affiliate	<u>20</u>	<u>18</u>
EBIT	84	110
Interest and debt expense	(18)	(13)
Affiliated interest income, net	2	—
Income taxes	<u>(25)</u>	<u>(36)</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 43</u>	<u>\$ 61</u>
Throughput volumes (BBtu/d) ⁽¹⁾	<u><u>6,077</u></u>	<u><u>6,898</u></u>

⁽¹⁾ Throughput volumes include billable transportation throughput volumes for storage withdrawal and volumes associated with our proportionate share of our 50 percent equity investment in Great Lakes L.P.

Operating Results (EBIT)

The following factors contributed to our overall EBIT reduction to \$84 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 from \$110 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003:

	EBIT Impact (In millions) Increase/ (decrease)
Operating revenue items:	
Lower operating revenues related to the Dakota gasification facility	\$(16)
Impact of contract changes with We Energies	(9)
Impact of the remarketing of various expired contracts	(11)
Lower sales of operational natural gas recoveries relative to operating needs	(11)
Operating expense and other items:	
Lower operating expenses related to the Dakota gasification facility	15
Other items impacting EBIT	<u>6</u>
Total decrease in EBIT	<u><u>\$(26)</u></u>

During 2003, our natural gas purchase and sale contract associated with the Dakota gasification facility was bought out in the third quarter of 2003. As a result of this buyout, we will have lower operating revenues and lower operating expenses for the remainder of 2004 with an insignificant overall impact on our operating income and EBIT. Guardian Pipeline, which is owned in part by We Energies, is currently providing a portion of its firm transportation requirements and directly competes with us for a portion of the markets in Wisconsin. During 2003, we renegotiated the terms of several contracts with We Energies, in particular, our rates, volumes and receipt and delivery points on our pipeline system. This renegotiation will continue to impact our operating revenues and EBIT for the remainder of 2004.

Interest and Debt Expense

Interest and debt expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, was \$5 million higher than the same period in 2003 primarily due to the issuance in March 2003 of \$300 million senior unsecured notes with an annual interest rate of 8.875%.

Affiliated Interest Income, Net

Affiliated interest income, net for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, was \$2 million higher than the same period in 2003 due to higher interest rates and higher average advances to El Paso under its cash management program. The average advance balance for the first quarter of 2003 changed from a payable of \$35 million to a receivable of \$277 million in 2004. The average short-term interest rate for the first quarter increased from 1.4% in 2003 to 2.7% during the same period in 2004.

Income Taxes

	Quarter Ended March 31,	
	2004	2003
	(In millions, except for rates)	
Income taxes	\$25	\$36
Effective tax rate	37%	37%

Our effective tax rates were different than the statutory rate of 35 percent primarily due to the effect of state income taxes.

Expansion Project

WestLeg. In June 2003, the FERC granted certificate authorization for our proposed WestLeg expansion project. The WestLeg project will expand the capacity of our system by approximately 218 MMcf/d by looping our Madison Lateral line, located in Wisconsin's Walworth and Rock Counties and in Illinois' McHenry County, and modifying our existing Beloit Lateral line in Rock County, Wisconsin. The estimated cost of the project is approximately \$48 million and the anticipated in-service date is November 2004. Current expenditures to date as of March 31, 2004 are approximately \$8 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Our liquidity needs have historically been provided through cash flows from operating activities and the use of El Paso's cash management program. Under El Paso's cash management program, depending on whether we have short-term cash surpluses or requirements, we either provide cash to El Paso or El Paso provides cash to us. We have historically provided cash advances to El Paso, and we reflect these net advances as investing activities in our statement of cash flows. As of March 31, 2004, we had receivables from El Paso of \$421 million as a result of this program. These receivables are due upon demand; however, we do not anticipate settlement within the next twelve months. As of March 31, 2004, these receivables were classified as non-current notes receivable from affiliates in our balance sheet. We believe that cash flows from operating activities will be adequate to meet our short-term capital and debt service requirements for our existing operations. However, as a result of announcements by El Paso related to the restatement of its natural gas and oil reserves, our ability to recover the amounts advanced under El Paso's cash management program could be impacted.

If El Paso were unable to meet its liquidity needs, we would not have access to this source of liquidity and there is no assurance that El Paso could repay the entire amounts owed to us. In that event, we could be required to write-off some amount of these advances, which could have a material impact on our stockholder's equity. Furthermore, we would still be required to repay affiliated company payables. Write-downs that cause our debt to EBITDA (as defined in our agreements) ratio to exceed 5 to 1 could prohibit us from incurring additional debt. However, this non-cash equity reduction would not result in an event of default under our existing debt securities. In addition, based on our current estimates of cash flows, we do not believe we will need to seek repayment of all or part of these advances in the next year.

El Paso's ownership interest in us serves as collateral under El Paso's revolving credit facility and other of El Paso's financing transactions. If El Paso's lenders under this facility or those financing transactions were to exercise their rights to this collateral, our ownership could change. However, this change of control would not constitute an event of default under our existing debt agreements.

If, as a result of the events described above, El Paso were subject to voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceedings, El Paso and its other subsidiaries and their creditors could attempt to make claims against us, including claims to substantively consolidate our assets and liabilities with those of El Paso and its other subsidiaries. We believe that claims to substantively consolidate us with El Paso and/or its other subsidiaries would be without merit. However, there is no assurance that El Paso and/or its other subsidiaries or their creditors would not advance such a claim in a bankruptcy proceeding. If we were to be substantively consolidated in a bankruptcy proceeding with El Paso and/or its other subsidiaries, there could be a material adverse effect on our financial condition and our liquidity.

Finally, we have cross-acceleration provisions in our long-term debt that state that should we incur an event of default under which borrowings in excess of \$5 million are accelerated, our long-term debt could also be accelerated. The acceleration of our long-term debt would adversely affect our liquidity position and, in turn, our financial condition.

Our cash flows for the quarters ended March 31 were as follows:

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
	<u>(In millions)</u>	
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ 68	\$ 50
Cash flows from investing activities	(68)	(313)
Cash flows from financing activities	—	288 ⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ Represents net proceeds from the issuance of \$300 million of long-term debt in March 2003.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$68 million for the first three months of 2004 versus \$50 million in the same period of 2003. This increase was primarily due to timing of affiliated accounts receivable and payable settlements, various changes in assets and liabilities offset by higher interest payments in 2004.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities consisted of an increase of \$54 million in advances to our affiliate and \$14 million in capital expenditures.

Capital Expenditures

Our capital expenditures for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 were approximately \$14 million. Under our current plan, we expect to spend \$148 million during 2004 for capital expenditures, consisting of \$62 million to expand the capacity on our system and \$86 million for maintenance capital. We expect to fund capital expenditures through a combination of internally generated funds and/or by recovering amounts advanced to El Paso under its cash management program, subject to the factors discussed above.

Commitments and Contingencies

See Item 1, Financial Statements, Note 4, which is incorporated herein by reference.

RISK FACTORS AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF THE “SAFE HARBOR” PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This report contains or incorporates by reference forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Where any forward-looking statement includes a statement of the assumptions or bases underlying the forward-looking statement, we caution that, while we believe these assumptions or bases to be reasonable and to be made in good faith, assumed facts or bases almost always vary from the actual results, and the differences between assumed facts or bases and actual results can be material, depending upon the circumstances. Where, in any forward-looking statement, we or our management express an expectation or belief as to future results, that expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and is believed to have a reasonable basis. We cannot assure you, however, that the statement of expectation or belief will result or be achieved or accomplished. The words “believe,” “expect,” “estimate,” “anticipate” and similar expressions will generally identify forward-looking statements.

With this in mind, you should consider the risks discussed elsewhere in this report and other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, from time to time, and the following important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement made by us or on our behalf.

Our relationship with El Paso and its financial condition subjects us to potential risks that are beyond our control.

Due to our relationship with El Paso, adverse developments or announcements concerning El Paso could adversely affect our financial condition, even if we have not suffered any similar development. The senior unsecured indebtedness of El Paso is currently rated Caal by Moody’s (with a negative outlook and under review for a possible downgrade) and CCC+ by Standard & Poor’s (with a negative outlook), which are below investment grade ratings. Our senior unsecured indebtedness is currently rated B1 by Moody’s (with a negative outlook and under review for a possible downgrade) and B– by Standard & Poor’s (with a negative outlook). These debt ratings increase our cost of capital and collateral requirements, and could impede our access to capital markets. As a result of its downgrades over the last two years, El Paso has realized substantial demands on its liquidity. These downgrades are a result, at least in part, of the outlook generally for the consolidated businesses of El Paso and its needs for liquidity.

El Paso has a long-range plan that, among other things, defines its future businesses, targets significant debt reduction and establishes financial goals. An inability to meet these objectives could adversely affect El Paso’s liquidity position, and in turn affect our financial condition.

Pursuant to El Paso’s cash management program, surplus cash is made available to El Paso in exchange for an affiliated receivable. In addition, we conduct commercial transactions with some of our affiliates. As of March 31, 2004, we have net receivables of approximately \$409 million from El Paso and its affiliates. El Paso provides cash management and other corporate services to us. If El Paso is unable to meet its liquidity needs, there can be no assurance that we will be able to access cash under the cash management program, or that our affiliates would pay their obligations to us. However, we would still be required to satisfy affiliated company payables. Our inability to recover any intercompany receivables owed to us could adversely affect our ability to repay our outstanding indebtedness. For a further discussion of these matters, see Item 1, Financial Statements, Note 6.

In May 2004, El Paso announced that the results of its internal review of its natural gas and oil reserves confirmed its previous assessment that the financial statements for El Paso and its subsidiaries, El Paso CGP Company (EPCGP) and El Paso Production Holding Company (EPPH), should be restated. At this time, these restated financial statements have not been filed. Also, as a result of its reduction in reserve estimates, several class action lawsuits have been filed against El Paso and several of its subsidiaries, but not against us. The reduction in reserve estimates has also become the subject of an SEC investigation and may become the subject of separate inquiries by other governmental regulatory agencies. These investigations and lawsuits may further negatively impact El Paso’s credit ratings and place further demands on its liquidity. See Item 1, Financial Statements, Note 2 for a further discussion of these matters.

A default under El Paso's \$3 billion revolving credit facility by any party could accelerate our future borrowings, if any, under the facility and our long-term debt, which could adversely affect our liquidity position.

We are a party to El Paso's \$3 billion revolving credit facility. We are only liable, however, for our borrowings under the \$3 billion revolving credit facility, which were zero as of March 31, 2004. Under the \$3 billion revolving credit facility, a default by El Paso, or any other party, could result in the acceleration of all outstanding borrowings under the facility, including the borrowings of any non-defaulting party, and could preclude us from borrowing under the facility in the future. We believe El Paso's announced restatement of its prior period financial statements would have constituted an event of default under the \$3 billion credit facility; however, El Paso received waivers of the potential defaults on its \$3 billion credit facility, which continue to be effective. See Item 1, Financial Statements, Note 2, for additional information regarding these matters. The acceleration of our future borrowings, if any, under the credit facility, or the inability to borrow under this facility, could adversely affect our liquidity position and, in turn, our financial condition.

Furthermore, the indentures governing our long-term debt include cross-acceleration provisions. Therefore, if we borrow \$5 million or more under the credit facility and such borrowings are accelerated for any reason, including the default of another party, our long-term debt could also be accelerated. The acceleration of our long-term debt could also adversely affect our liquidity position and, in turn, our financial condition.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

This information updates, and you should read it in conjunction with, information disclosed in Part II, Item 7A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, in addition to the information presented in Items 1 and 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

There are no material changes in our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risks from those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures and the internal controls over financial reporting as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act).

We strive to maintain disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act filings is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required timeframes, and that this information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In doing so, we recognize that the effectiveness of our or any system of controls and procedures is subject to limitations, including the exercise of judgment in the design, implementation and evaluation of controls and procedures, the assumptions used in identifying future events and the ability to completely eliminate misconduct. As a result, there is no assurance that our or any controls and procedures will prevent all errors and all fraud. By their nature, controls and procedures can provide only reasonable assurance regarding our control objectives.

Based on the controls evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the disclosure controls are effective. We are currently documenting and reviewing our internal controls to ensure compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 by December 31, 2004. While we are not aware of any items at this time that constitute material weaknesses, as that term is defined in Auditing Standards (AU) Section 325, we are identifying areas where our processes can be improved, and are actively working to implement those improvements. Furthermore, as we continue our compliance review, we may identify additional matters which may need to be reported or which may constitute material weaknesses

in our internal controls over financial reporting. Although we have identified deficiencies in our system of internal controls over financial reporting, we do not believe these deficiencies have had, or are reasonably likely to have, a material impact on our financial statements. In addition, there have been no changes during the period in our internal controls over financial reporting that would adversely affect our ability to provide, with reasonable assurance, reliable information required in our reports submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See Part I, Item 1, Financial Statements, Note 4, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 2. Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

a. Exhibits

Each exhibit identified below is filed as a part of this report. Exhibits not incorporated by reference to a prior filing are designated by an “*”; all exhibits not so designated are incorporated herein by reference to a prior filing as indicated.

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
*10.A.1	First Amendment to the \$3,000,000,000 Revolving Credit Agreement and Waiver dated as of March 15, 2004 among El Paso Corporation, El Paso Natural Gas Company, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, ANR Pipeline Company and Colorado Interstate Gas Company, as Borrowers, the Lenders party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V. and Citicorp North America, Inc., as Co-Documentation Agents, Bank of America, N.A. and Credit Suisse First Boston, as Co-Syndication Agents.
*31.A	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.B	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.A	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.B	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Undertaking

We hereby undertake, pursuant to Regulation S-K, Item 601(b), paragraph (4)(iii), to furnish to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, all constituent instruments defining the rights of holders of our long-term debt not filed herewith for the reason that the total amount of securities authorized under any of such instruments does not exceed 10 percent of our total consolidated assets.

b. Reports on Form 8-K

None.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ANR PIPELINE COMPANY

Date: May 14, 2004

/s/ JOHN W. SOMERHALDER II

John W. Somerhalder II
Chairman of the Board and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 14, 2004

/s/ GREG G. GRUBER

Greg G. Gruber
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Director
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)