

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Mail Stop 4628

December 30, 2016

Via Facsimile
Graeme Pitkethly
Chief Financial Officer
Unilever plc and Unilever N.V.
100 Victoria Embankment
London EC4Y 0DY
United Kingdom

Re: Unilever plc

Form 20-F for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015

Filed February 23, 2016

File No. 1-04546

Unilever N.V. Form 20-F for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015 Filed February 23, 2016 File No. 1-04547

Dear Mr. Pitkethly:

We have limited our review of your filing to your contacts with countries that have been identified as state sponsors of terrorism, and we have the following comments. Our review with respect to this issue does not preclude further review by the Assistant Director group with respect to other issues. In our comments, we ask you to provide us with information so we may better understand your disclosure.

Please respond to these comments within ten business days by providing the requested information or advise us as soon as possible when you will respond. If you do not believe our comments apply to your facts and circumstances, please tell us why in your response.

After reviewing your response to these comments, we may have additional comments.

General

1. In your letter to us dated June 18, 2013, you described contacts with Syria and Sudan. Your Forms 6-K filed March 6, 2015 and March 7, 2014 provide disclosure that you had revenues from Syria and Sudan. As you are aware, Syria and Sudan are designated by the U.S. Department of State as state sponsors of terrorism and are subject to U.S. economic sanctions and export controls. Please describe to us the nature and extent of

Graeme Pitkethly Unilever plc and Unilever N.V. December 30, 2016 Page 2

your past, current, and anticipated contacts with Syria and Sudan since your 2013 letter, whether through subsidiaries, distributors, resellers, affiliates or other direct or indirect arrangements. You should describe any products or services you have provided to Syria and Sudan, directly or indirectly, and any agreements, commercial arrangements, or other contacts with the governments of those countries or entities they control.

2. Please discuss the materiality of any contacts with Syria and Sudan you describe in response to the comment above, and whether those contacts constitute a material investment risk for your security holders. You should address materiality in quantitative terms, including the approximate dollar amounts of any associated revenues, assets, and liabilities for the last three fiscal years and the subsequent interim period. Also, address materiality in terms of qualitative factors that a reasonable investor would deem important in making an investment decision, including the potential impact of corporate activities upon a company's reputation and share value. As you know, various state and municipal governments, universities, and other investors have proposed or adopted divestment or similar initiatives regarding investment in companies that do business with U.S.-designated state sponsors of terrorism. You should address the potential impact of the investor sentiment evidenced by such actions directed toward companies that have operations associated with Syria and Sudan.

We remind you that the company and its management are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of their disclosures, notwithstanding any review, comments, action or absence of action by the staff.

You may contact Jennifer Hardy, Special Counsel, at (202) 551-3767 or me at (202) 551-3470 if you have any questions about the comments or our review.

Sincerely,

/s/ Cecilia Blye

Cecilia Blye, Chief Office of Global Security Risk

cc: T.E. Lovell, Group Secretary

Pamela Long Assistant Director