

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549



FORM 10-Q

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-768

CATERPILLAR INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

37-0602744
(IRS Employer I.D. No.)

100 NE Adams Street, Peoria, Illinois
(Address of principal executive offices)

61629
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(309) 675-1000

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

At June 30, 2006, 655,748,473 shares of common stock of the Registrant were outstanding.

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Caterpillar Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Results of Operations
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in millions except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Sales and revenues: | | |
| Sales of Machinery and Engines..... | \$ 9,956 | \$ 8,784 |
| Revenues of Financial Products | 649 | 576 |
| Total sales and revenues | 10,605 | 9,360 |
| Operating costs: | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 7,416 | 6,890 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses..... | 881 | 789 |
| Research and development expenses..... | 343 | 268 |
| Interest expense of Financial Products | 256 | 184 |
| Other operating expenses..... | 230 | 208 |
| Total operating costs..... | 9,126 | 8,339 |
| Operating profit | 1,479 | 1,021 |
| Interest expense excluding Financial Products..... | 66 | 65 |
| Other income (expense)..... | 50 | 90 |
| Consolidated profit before taxes | 1,463 | 1,046 |
| Provision for income taxes | 449 | 315 |
| Profit of consolidated companies | 1,014 | 731 |
| Equity in profit (loss) of unconsolidated affiliated companies..... | 32 | 29 |
| Profit | \$ 1,046 | \$ 760 |
| Profit per common share | \$ 1.58 | \$ 1.12 |
| Profit per common share – diluted ¹ | \$ 1.52 | \$ 1.08 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (millions) | | |
| - Basic | 662.1 | 678.3 |
| - Diluted ¹ | 688.5 | 705.1 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$.55 | \$.46 |

¹ Diluted by assumed exercise of stock options and SARs, using the treasury stock method.

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Caterpillar Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Results of Operations
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in millions except per share data)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Sales and revenues: | | |
| Sales of Machinery and Engines..... | \$ 18,699 | \$ 16,573 |
| Revenues of Financial Products..... | 1,298 | 1,126 |
| Total sales and revenues | 19,997 | 17,699 |
| Operating costs: | | |
| Cost of goods sold..... | 13,968 | 13,105 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 1,702 | 1,533 |
| Research and development expenses | 650 | 509 |
| Interest expense of Financial Products | 488 | 354 |
| Other operating expenses | 492 | 421 |
| Total operating costs | 17,300 | 15,922 |
| Operating profit | 2,697 | 1,777 |
| Interest expense excluding Financial Products | 134 | 130 |
| Other income (expense) | 93 | 198 |
| Consolidated profit before taxes | 2,656 | 1,845 |
| Provision for income taxes | 819 | 547 |
| Profit of consolidated companies | 1,837 | 1,298 |
| Equity in profit (loss) of unconsolidated affiliated companies..... | 49 | 43 |
| Profit | \$ 1,886 | \$ 1,341 |
| Profit per common share | \$ 2.83 | \$ 1.97 |
| Profit per common share – diluted ¹ | \$ 2.72 | \$ 1.89 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (millions) | | |
| - Basic..... | 666.7 | 680.9 |
| - Diluted ¹ | 693.8 | 707.9 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$.55 | \$.46 |

¹ Diluted by assumed exercise of stock options and SARs, using the treasury stock method.

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Caterpillar Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Financial Position
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in millions)

| | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and short-term investments..... | \$ 648 | \$ 1,108 |
| Receivables – trade and other..... | 8,679 | 7,526 |
| Receivables – finance | 6,221 | 6,442 |
| Deferred and refundable income taxes | 368 | 344 |
| Prepaid expenses..... | 2,161 | 2,146 |
| Inventories | 6,254 | 5,224 |
| Total current assets..... | 24,331 | 22,790 |
| Property, plant and equipment – net | 8,281 | 7,988 |
| Long-term receivables – trade and other | 970 | 1,037 |
| Long-term receivables – finance | 10,801 | 10,301 |
| Investments in unconsolidated affiliated companies | 571 | 565 |
| Deferred income taxes | 692 | 768 |
| Intangible assets | 655 | 424 |
| Goodwill..... | 1,834 | 1,451 |
| Other assets | 1,770 | 1,745 |
| Total assets | \$ 49,905 | \$ 47,069 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Short-term borrowings: | | |
| Machinery and Engines..... | \$ 1,689 | \$ 871 |
| Financial Products..... | 5,205 | 4,698 |
| Accounts payable | 3,936 | 3,412 |
| Accrued expenses | 2,782 | 2,617 |
| Accrued wages, salaries and employee benefits | 1,541 | 1,845 |
| Customer advances..... | 677 | 454 |
| Dividends payable | 197 | 168 |
| Deferred and current income taxes payable | 641 | 528 |
| Long-term debt due within one year: | | |
| Machinery and Engines..... | 129 | 340 |
| Financial Products..... | 4,330 | 4,159 |
| Total current liabilities..... | 21,127 | 19,092 |
| Long-term debt due after one year: | | |
| Machinery and Engines..... | 2,736 | 2,717 |
| Financial Products..... | 13,203 | 12,960 |
| Liability for postemployment benefits | 3,247 | 2,991 |
| Deferred income taxes and other liabilities | 959 | 877 |
| Total liabilities | 41,272 | 38,637 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Common stock of \$1.00 par: | | |
| Authorized shares: 900,000,000 | | |
| Issued shares: (6/30/06 and 12/31/05 – 814,894,624) at paid-in amount..... | 2,393 | 1,859 |
| Treasury stock (6/30/06 – 159,146,151; 12/31/05 – 144,027,405) at cost..... | (6,613) | (4,637) |
| Profit employed in the business | 13,330 | 11,808 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (477) | (598) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 8,633 | 8,432 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 49,905 | \$ 47,069 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Caterpillar Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity
For the Six Months Ended
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in millions)

| | June 30, 2006 | | June 30, 2005 | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Common stock: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period..... | \$ 1,859 | | \$ 1,231 | |
| Common shares issued from treasury stock for stock-based compensation..... | 67 | | 77 | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 92 | | - | |
| Tax benefits from stock-based compensation..... | 148 | | 58 | |
| Common shares issued from treasury stock for Progress Rail acquisition..... | 227 | | - | |
| Impact of 2-for-1 stock split | - | | 338 | |
| Balance at end of period | <u>2,393</u> | | <u>1,704</u> | |
| Treasury stock: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | (4,637) | | (3,277) | |
| Shares issued for stock-based compensation: 2006 – 12,831,052; | | | | |
| 2005 – 9,376,792 | 283 | | 151 | |
| Shares repurchased: 2006 – 33,291,700; 2005 – 18,473,200 | (2,411) | | (839) | |
| Shares issued for Progress Rail acquisition: 2006 - 5,341,902 | 152 | | - | |
| Balance at end of period | <u>(6,613)</u> | | <u>(3,965)</u> | |
| Profit employed in the business: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period..... | 11,808 | | 9,937 | |
| Profit | 1,886 | \$ 1,886 | 1,341 | \$ 1,341 |
| Dividends declared | (364) | | (308) | |
| Impact of 2-for-1 stock split | - | | (338) | |
| Balance at end of period | <u>13,330</u> | | <u>10,632</u> | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 302 | | 489 | |
| Aggregate adjustment for period | 108 | 108 | (132) | (132) |
| Balance at end of period..... | <u>410</u> | | <u>357</u> | |
| Minimum pension liability adjustment - consolidated companies: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period (net of tax of: 2006-\$449; 2005-\$485) | (897) | | (993) | |
| Aggregate adjustment for period (net of tax of: 2005-\$24)..... | - | - | (45) | (45) |
| Balance at end of period (net of tax of: 2006-\$449; 2005-\$509)..... | <u>(897)</u> | | <u>(1,038)</u> | |
| Minimum pension liability adjustment - unconsolidated companies: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | (37) | | (48) | |
| Aggregate adjustment for period | 1 | 1 | (1) | (1) |
| Balance at end of period | <u>(36)</u> | | <u>(49)</u> | |
| Derivative financial instruments: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period (net of tax of: 2006-\$13; 2005-\$58) | 18 | | 110 | |
| Gains/(losses) deferred during period (net of tax of: 2006-\$23; 2005-\$5) ... | 48 | 48 | (10) | (10) |
| (Gains)/losses reclassified to earnings during period | | | | |
| (net of tax of: 2006-\$7; 2005-\$31)..... | (17) | (17) | (57) | (57) |
| Balance at end of period (net of tax of: 2006-\$29; 2005-\$22) | <u>49</u> | | <u>43</u> | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period (net of tax of: 2006-\$9; 2005-\$10) | 16 | | 18 | |
| Gains/(losses) deferred during period (net of tax of: 2006-\$1; 2005-\$2) | (3) | (3) | 4 | 4 |
| (Gains)/losses reclassified to earnings during period | | | | |
| (net of tax of: 2006-\$9; 2005-\$1)..... | (16) | (16) | (1) | (1) |
| Balance at end of period (net of tax of: 2006-\$2; 2005-\$11) | <u>(3)</u> | | <u>21</u> | |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | <u>(477)</u> | | <u>(666)</u> | |
| Comprehensive income..... | | <u>\$ 2,007</u> | | <u>\$ 1,099</u> |
| Stockholders' equity at end of period..... | <u>\$ 8,633</u> | | <u>\$ 7,705</u> | |
| See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | | |

Caterpillar Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | |
| Profit..... | \$ 1,886 | \$ 1,341 |
| Adjustments for non-cash items: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 802 | 744 |
| Other | 94 | (113) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables – trade and other | (762) | (742) |
| Inventories | (755) | (674) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 356 | 236 |
| Other assets – net..... | 23 | (24) |
| Other liabilities – net | 304 | 228 |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | <u>1,948</u> | <u>996</u> |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures - excluding equipment leased to others | (552) | (402) |
| Expenditures for equipment leased to others | (532) | (608) |
| Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment..... | 319 | 304 |
| Additions to finance receivables | (5,114) | (5,159) |
| Collections of finance receivables..... | 4,079 | 3,444 |
| Proceeds from the sale of finance receivables | 980 | 859 |
| Investments and acquisitions (net of cash acquired) | (419) | (8) |
| Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities | 219 | 182 |
| Investments in available-for-sale securities | (296) | (239) |
| Other – net | 167 | 108 |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities | <u>(1,149)</u> | <u>(1,519)</u> |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | |
| Dividends paid | (335) | (280) |
| Common stock issued, including treasury shares reissued | 349 | 278 |
| Treasury shares purchased | (2,411) | (839) |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation..... | 120 | - |
| Proceeds from debt issued (original maturities greater than three months) | 5,033 | 6,318 |
| Payments on debt (original maturities greater than three months) | (5,595) | (4,197) |
| Short-term borrowings (original maturities three months or less) – net | 1,564 | (467) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | <u>(1,275)</u> | <u>813</u> |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash..... | 16 | 14 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and short-term investments..... | (460) | 304 |
| Cash and short-term investments at beginning of period..... | 1,108 | 445 |
| Cash and short-term investments at end of period | <u>\$ 648</u> | <u>\$ 749</u> |

All short-term investments, which consist primarily of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less, are considered to be cash equivalents.

Non-cash activities:

On June 19, 2006, Caterpillar acquired 100 percent of the equity in Progress Rail Services, Inc. A portion of the acquisition was financed with 5.3 million shares of Caterpillar stock with a fair value of \$379 million as of the acquisition date. See Note 15 on page 27 for further discussion.

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. A. Basis of Presentation

In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of (a) the consolidated results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, (b) the consolidated financial position at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, (c) the changes in stockholders' equity for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and (d) the consolidated statement of cash flow for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period financial statement presentation.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow reflected the incorrect classification of interest paid on certain Cat Financial debt (primarily discounts on commercial paper) as a financing, rather than operating activity. The result was a \$48 million overstatement of cash provided by operating activities and a \$48 million overstatement of cash used for financing activities. This interest paid is properly reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Management does not consider this incorrect classification to be material to the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis and the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in our company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (2005 Form 10-K).

Comprehensive income is comprised of profit, as well as adjustments for foreign currency translation, derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, available-for-sale securities and minimum pension liability. Total comprehensive income for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$1,125 million and \$619 million, respectively. Total comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$2,007 million and \$1,099 million, respectively. The difference from profit primarily consists of foreign currency translation adjustments and gains on derivative instruments that were reclassified to earnings.

The December 31, 2005 financial position data included herein is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included in the 2005 Form 10-K.

B. Nature of Operations

We operate in three principal lines of business:

- (1) **Machinery** – A principal line of business which includes the design, manufacture, marketing and sales of construction, mining and forestry machinery - track and wheel tractors, track and wheel loaders, pipelayers, motor graders, wheel tractor-scrapers, track and wheel excavators, backhoe loaders, log skidders, log loaders, off-highway trucks, articulated trucks, paving products, telehandlers, skid steer loaders and related parts. Also includes logistics services for other companies and rail related products and services.
- (2) **Engines** – A principal line of business including the design, manufacture, marketing and sales of engines for Caterpillar machinery; electric power generation systems; on-highway vehicles and locomotives; marine, petroleum, construction, industrial, agricultural and other applications; and related parts. Reciprocating engines meet power needs ranging from 5 to 21,500 horsepower (4 to over 16 000 kilowatts). Turbines range from 1,600 to 20,500 horsepower (1 200 to 15 000 kilowatts).
- (3) **Financial Products** – A principal line of business consisting primarily of Caterpillar Financial Services Corporation (Cat Financial), Caterpillar Insurance Holdings, Inc. (Cat Insurance), Caterpillar Power Ventures Corporation (Cat Power Ventures) and their respective subsidiaries. Cat Financial provides a wide range of financing alternatives to customers and dealers for Caterpillar machinery and engines, Solar gas turbines, as well as other equipment and marine vessels. Cat Financial also extends loans to customers and dealers. Cat Insurance provides various forms of insurance to customers and dealers to help support the purchase and lease of our equipment. Cat Power Ventures is an investor in independent power projects using Caterpillar power generation equipment and services.

Our Machinery and Engines operations are highly integrated. Throughout the Notes, Machinery and Engines represents the aggregate total of these principal lines of business.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS 151 - In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151 (SFAS 151), "Inventory Costs - an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4." SFAS 151 discusses the general principles applicable to the pricing of inventory. Paragraph 5 of ARB 43, Chapter 4 provides guidance on allocating certain costs to inventory. This Statement amends ARB 43, Chapter 4, to clarify that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges. In addition, this Statement requires that allocation of fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of production facilities. As required by SFAS 151, we adopted this new accounting standard on January 1, 2006. The adoption of SFAS 151 did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

SFAS 123R - In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," (SFAS 123R). SFAS 123R requires that the cost resulting from all share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS 123R also establishes fair value as the measurement method in accounting for share-based payments. The FASB required the provisions of SFAS 123R be adopted for interim or annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005. In April 2005, the SEC adopted a new rule amending the compliance dates for SFAS 123R for public companies. In accordance with this rule, we adopted SFAS 123R effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective transition method. We did not modify the terms of any previously granted options in anticipation of the adoption of SFAS 123R.

We expect the application of the expensing provisions of SFAS 123R will result in a pretax expense of approximately \$135 million in 2006. As a result of the vesting decisions discussed in Note 3, a full complement of expense related to stock-based compensation will not be recognized in our results of operations until 2009. Based on the same assumptions used to value our 2006 compensation expense, we estimate our pretax expense associated with our stock-based compensation plans will range from approximately \$170 million in 2007 to approximately \$220 million in 2009.

See Note 3 for additional information regarding stock-based compensation.

SFAS 154 - In June 2005, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154 (SFAS 154), "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections." SFAS 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. This Statement requires retrospective applications to prior periods' financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle unless it is impracticable. In addition, this Statement requires that a change in depreciation, amortization or depletion for long-lived, non-financial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. This new accounting standard was effective January 1, 2006. The adoption of SFAS 154 had no impact on our financial statements.

SFAS 155 - In February 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155 (SFAS 155), "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140." SFAS 155 allows financial instruments that have embedded derivatives to be accounted for as a whole, eliminating the need to separate the derivative from its host, if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. This new accounting standard is effective January 1, 2007. The adoption of SFAS 155 is not expected to have an impact on our financial statements.

SFAS 156 - In March 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156 (SFAS 156), "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140." SFAS 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing rights be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. In addition, this Statement permits an entity to choose between two measurement methods (amortization method or fair value measurement method) for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities. This new accounting standard is effective January 1, 2007. The adoption of SFAS 156 is not expected to have an impact on our financial statements.

FIN 48 - In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48 "Accounting For Uncertainty In Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement 109." FIN 48 clarifies that an entity's tax benefits recognized in tax returns must be more likely than not of being sustained prior to recording the related tax benefit in the financial statements. As required by FIN 48, we will adopt this new accounting standard effective January 1, 2007. We are currently reviewing the impact of FIN 48 on our financial statements. We expect to complete this evaluation before December 31, 2006.

3. Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method. SFAS 123R requires all stock-based payments to be recognized in the financial statements based on the grant date fair value of the award. Under the modified prospective transition method, we are required to record stock-based compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards outstanding as of the date of adoption. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, our Consolidated Financial Statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123R.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we used the intrinsic value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Under the intrinsic value method no compensation expense was recognized in association with our stock awards. The following table illustrates the effect on profit and profit per share if we had applied SFAS 123R for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 using the lattice-based option-pricing model:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| (Dollars in millions except per share data) | | |
| Profit, as reported..... | \$ 760 | \$ 1,341 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | 11 | 123 |
| Pro forma profit | \$ 749 | \$ 1,218 |
| Profit per share of common stock: | | |
| As reported: | | |
| Basic..... | \$ 1.12 | \$ 1.97 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.08 | \$ 1.89 |
| Pro forma: | | |
| Basic..... | \$ 1.10 | \$ 1.79 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.72 |

Stock Incentive Plans

In 1996, stockholders approved the Stock Option and Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 1996 Plan) which primarily provides for the granting of stock options and stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs) to officers and other key employees, as well as non-employee directors. Stock options permit a holder to buy Caterpillar stock at the stock's price when the option was granted. SARs permit a holder the right to receive the value in shares of the appreciation in Caterpillar stock that occurred from the date the right was granted up to the date of exercise. The 1996 Plan grants options and SARs that have exercise prices equal to the average price on the date of grant. The 1996 Plan reserved 144 million shares of common stock for issuance (128 million under this plan and 16 million under prior plans). The 1996 Plan expired in April of 2006.

On June 14, 2006, stockholders approved the 2006 Caterpillar Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan). The 2006 non-employee Director's grant was issued from this plan. The 2006 Plan reserves 37.6 million shares for issuance (20 million under the 2006 Plan and 17.6 million transferred from the 1996 Plan).

Our long-standing practices and policies specify all stock option and SAR awards are approved by the Compensation Committee (Committee) of the Board of Directors on the date of grant. The stock-based award approval process specifies the number of awards granted, the terms of the award and the grant date. The same terms and conditions are consistently applied to all employee grants, including Officers. The Committee approves all individual Officer grants. The number of stock option and SARs included in an individual's award is determined based on the methodology approved by the Committee. The stockholder approved plan mandates the exercise price methodology as the average of the high and low price of our stock on the date of grant.

Common shares issued under the plans during the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, including treasury shares reissued, totaled 3,618,255 and 4,112,386, respectively. Common shares issued under the plans during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, including treasury shares reissued, totaled 12,831,052 and 9,376,792, respectively.

Options granted prior to 2004 vested at the rate of one-third per year over the three-year period following the date of grant. In anticipation of delaying vesting until three years after the grant date for future grants, the 2004 grant was vested on December 31, 2004. In order to better align our employee stock option program with the overall market, the number of options granted in 2005 was significantly reduced from the previous year. In response to this decrease, we elected to immediately vest the 2005 grant. In order to further align our stock award program with the overall market, we adjusted our 2006 grant by reducing the overall number of employee awards granted in the first quarter of 2006 and utilizing a mix of SARs and option awards. The 2006 awards generally vest three years after the date of grant. At grant, all awards have a term life of ten years. Upon retirement, the term life is reduced to a maximum of five remaining years.

Our stock-based compensation plans allow for the immediate vesting upon retirement for employees who are 55 years old or older with more than ten years of service and who have fulfilled the requisite service period of six months. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, compensation expense for awards associated with these employees had been recognized in the pro forma net profit over the nominal vesting period. With the adoption of SFAS 123R, compensation expense is now recognized over the period from the grant date to the end date of the requisite service period for employees who meet the immediate vesting upon retirement requirements. For those employees who become eligible for immediate vesting upon retirement subsequent to the requisite service period and prior to the completion of the vesting period, compensation expense is recognized over the period from grant date to the date eligibility is achieved. Application of the nominal vesting period for these employees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 did not have a significant impact on the 2005 pro forma profit.

SFAS 123R requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. In 2006 and 2005, the fair value of the grant was estimated using a lattice-based option-pricing model. The lattice-based option-pricing model considers a range of assumptions related to volatility, risk-free interest rate and historical employee behavior. Expected volatility was based on historical and current implied volatilities from traded options on our stock. The risk-free rate was based on U.S. Treasury security yields at the time of grant. The dividend yield was based on historical information. The expected life was determined from the lattice-based model. The lattice-based model incorporated exercise and post vesting forfeiture assumptions based on analysis of historical data. The following table provides the assumptions used in determining the fair value of the stock-based awards for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005, respectively:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Weighted-average dividend yield..... | 1.79% | 2.11% |
| Weighted-average volatility..... | 26.79% | 26.48% |
| Range of volatilities..... | 26.56 – 26.79% | 21.99 – 26.65% |
| Range of risk-free interest rates | 4.34 – 4.64% | 2.38 – 4.29% |
| Weighted-average expected life | 8 Years | 7 Years |

Please refer to Table I and Table II below for additional information on our stock-based awards.

Table I

Stock option/SAR activity during the six months ended June 30, 2006:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|---|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006..... | 74,860,582 | \$ 32.23 |
| Granted to officers and key employees | 9,720,340 | \$ 72.05 |
| Granted to outside directors | 91,000 | \$ 66.77 |
| Exercised | (13,139,370) | \$ 28.68 |
| Forfeited..... | (143,442) | \$ 52.45 |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2006..... | 71,389,110 | \$ 38.31 |
| Options/SARs exercisable at June 30, 2006 | 61,629,418 | \$ 32.99 |

Stock options/SARs outstanding and exercisable:

| Outstanding | | | | | Exercisable | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Exercise Prices | # Outstanding at 6/30/06 | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value ¹ | # Outstanding at 6/30/06 | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value ¹ |
| \$ 19.20-72.05 | 71,389,110 | 7.01 | \$ 38.31 | \$ 2,561 | 61,629,418 | 6.59 | \$ 32.99 | \$ 2,539 |

¹ The difference between a stock award's exercise price and the underlying stock's market price at June 30, 2006. Amounts are in millions of dollars.

Of the 9,811,340 awards granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006, 9,479,534 were SARs.

Table II

Additional stock-based award information:

| (Dollars in millions except per share data) | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Weighted average fair value per share of stock awards granted | \$ 22.99 | \$ 11.76 |
| Intrinsic value of stock awards exercised | \$ 183 | \$ 97 |
| Fair value of shares vested..... | \$ 37 | \$ 78 |

| (Dollars in millions except per share data) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Weighted average fair value per share of stock awards granted | \$ 23.44 | \$ 11.95 |
| Intrinsic value of stock awards exercised | \$ 545 | \$ 219 |
| Fair value of shares vested..... | \$ 37 | \$ 228 |

The impact related to stock-based compensation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 is shown in the table below:

| (Dollars in millions except per share data) | Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense, before tax..... | \$ 58 | \$ 92 |
| Stock-based compensation expense, after tax..... | \$ 39 | \$ 61 |
| Decrease in profit per share of common stock, basic..... | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.09 |
| Decrease in profit per share of common stock, diluted | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.06 |
| Income tax benefit recognized in net income | \$ 19 | \$ 31 |
| Cash received from stock awards exercised..... | \$ 98 | \$ 349 |
| Tax benefit realized from stock awards exercised..... | \$ 47 | \$ 148 |

The amount of stock-based compensation expense capitalized for the six months ended June 30, 2006 did not have a significant impact on our financial statements. Prior to our adoption of SFAS 123R, stock-based compensation was not capitalized in our pro forma disclosure.

At June 30, 2006, there was \$147 million of total unrecognized compensation cost from stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the plans, which is related to non-vested shares. The compensation expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.7 years.

In accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, we classified stock-based compensation within cost of goods sold, selling, general and administrative expenses and research and development expenses corresponding to the same line item as the cash compensation paid to respective employees, officers and non-employee directors. We do not allocate stock-based compensation to reportable segments.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow. SFAS 123R requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits from tax deductions in excess of the compensation expense recognized for those options (excess tax benefit) to be classified as financing cash flows. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, excess tax benefits of \$120 million classified as a financing cash flow would have been classified as an operating cash inflow if we had not adopted SFAS 123R.

We currently use shares that have been repurchased through our stock repurchase program to satisfy share award exercises.

4. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Our earnings and cash flow are subject to fluctuations due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices. Our Risk Management Policy (policy) allows for the use of derivative financial instruments to prudently manage foreign currency exchange rate, interest rate and commodity price exposure. Our policy specifies that derivatives are not to be used for speculative purposes. Derivatives that we use are primarily foreign currency forward and option contracts, interest rate swaps and commodity forward and option contracts. Our derivative activities are subject to the management, direction and control of our senior financial officers. Risk management practices, including the use of financial derivative instruments, are presented to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors at least annually.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Foreign currency exchange rate movements create a degree of risk by affecting the U.S. dollar value of sales made and costs incurred in foreign currencies. Movements in foreign currency rates also affect our competitive position as these changes may affect business practices and/or pricing strategies of non-U.S. based competitors. Additionally, we have balance sheet positions denominated in foreign currency thereby creating exposure to movements in exchange rates.

Our Machinery and Engines operations purchase, manufacture and sell products in many locations around the world. As we have a diversified revenue and cost base, we manage our future foreign currency cash flow exposure on a net basis. We use foreign currency forward and option contracts to manage unmatched foreign currency cash inflow and outflow. Our objective is to minimize the risk of exchange rate movements that would reduce the U.S. dollar value of our foreign currency cash flow. Our policy allows for managing anticipated foreign currency cash flow for up to four years.

We generally designate as cash flow hedges at inception of the contract any Australian dollar, Brazilian real, British pound, Canadian dollar, euro, Japanese yen, Mexican peso, Singapore dollar, Chinese yuan, New Zealand dollar or Swiss franc forward or option contracts that meet the standard for hedge accounting. Designation is performed on a specific exposure basis to support hedge accounting. The remainder of Machinery and Engines foreign currency contracts are undesignated.

As of June 30, 2006, \$10 million of deferred net gains included in equity ("Accumulated other comprehensive income" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position) are expected to be reclassified to current earnings ("Other income (expense)" in the Consolidated Statement of Results of Operations) over the next 12 months when earnings are positively/negatively affected by the hedged transactions. As of June 30, 2005, this projected reclassification was a gain of \$33 million. These amounts were based on June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005 exchange rates, respectively. The actual amount recorded in Other income (expense) will vary based on exchange rates at the time the hedged transactions impact earnings. There were no circumstances where hedge treatment was discontinued during the three or six months ended June 30, 2006 or 2005.

In managing foreign currency risk for our Financial Products operations, our objective is to minimize earnings volatility resulting from conversion and the re-measurement of net foreign currency balance sheet positions. Our policy allows the use of foreign currency forward and option contracts to offset the risk of currency mismatch between our receivables and debt. All such foreign currency forward and option contracts are undesignated.

Gains / (losses) included in current earnings [Other income (expense)] on undesignated contracts:

| (Millions of dollars) | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Machinery and Engines: | | |
| On undesignated contracts | \$ 7 | \$ 6 |
| Financial Products: | | |
| On undesignated contracts | \$ (7) | \$ 22 |

Gains / (losses) included in current earnings [Other income (expense)] on undesignated contracts:

| (Millions of dollars) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Machinery and Engines: | | |
| On undesignated contracts..... | \$ 19 | \$ 16 |
| Financial Products: | | |
| On undesignated contracts..... | \$ (1) | \$ 34 |

Gains and losses on the Financial Products contracts above are substantially offset by balance sheet translation gains and losses.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate movements create a degree of risk by affecting the amount of our interest payments and the value of our fixed rate debt. Our practice is to use interest rate swap agreements to manage our exposure to interest rate changes and, in some cases, lower the cost of borrowed funds.

Machinery and Engines operations generally use fixed rate debt as a source of funding. Our objective is to minimize the cost of borrowed funds. Our policy allows us to enter into fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps and forward rate agreements to meet that objective with the intent to designate as fair value hedges at inception of the contract all fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps. Designation as a hedge of the fair value of our fixed rate debt is performed to support hedge accounting. During 2001, our Machinery and Engines operations liquidated all fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps. The gain (\$9 million at June 30, 2006) is being amortized to earnings ratably over the remaining life of the hedged debt.

Financial Products operations have a match funding policy that addresses interest rate risk by aligning the interest rate profile (fixed or floating rate) of their debt portfolio with the interest rate profile of their receivables portfolio within pre-determined ranges on an on-going basis. In connection with that policy, we use interest rate derivative instruments to modify the debt structure to match assets within the receivables portfolio. This match funding reduces the volatility of margins between interest-bearing assets and interest-bearing liabilities, regardless of which direction interest rates move. This is accomplished by changing the characteristics of existing debt instruments or entering into new agreements in combination with the issuance of new debt.

Our policy allows us to use floating-to-fixed, fixed-to-floating and floating-to-floating interest rate swaps to meet the match funding objective. To support hedge accounting, we designate fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps as fair value hedges of the fair value of our fixed rate debt at the inception of the contract. Financial Products' practice is to designate most floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges of the variability of future cash flows at inception of the swap contract. Designation as a hedge of the variability of cash flow is performed to support hedge accounting. Financial Products liquidated fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps during 2006, 2005, 2004, and 2002. The gains (\$10 million remaining at June 30, 2006) are being amortized to earnings ratably over the remaining life of the hedged debt.

Gains / (losses) included in current earnings [Other income (expense)]:

| (Millions of dollars) | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps | | |
| Machinery and Engines: | | |
| Gain/(loss) on liquidated swaps | \$ 1 | \$ 1 |
| Financial Products: | | |
| Gain/(loss) on designated interest rate derivatives | (37) | 50 |
| Gain/(loss) on hedged debt..... | 37 | (50) |
| Gain/(loss) on liquidated swaps – included in interest expense..... | 2 | 1 |
| | <u>\$ 3</u> | <u>\$ 2</u> |

Gains / (losses) included in current earnings [Other income (expense)]:

| (Millions of dollars) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps | | |
| Machinery and Engines: | | |
| Gain/(loss) on liquidated swaps | \$ 2 | \$ 2 |
| Financial Products: | | |
| Gain/(loss) on designated interest rate derivatives | (87) | 3 |
| Gain/(loss) on hedged debt | 87 | (3) |
| Gain/(loss) on liquidated swaps – included in interest expense | 4 | 2 |
| | <u>\$ 6</u> | <u>\$ 4</u> |

As of June 30, 2006, \$18 million of deferred net gains included in equity ("Accumulated other comprehensive income"), related to Financial Products floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps, are expected to be reclassified to current earnings ("Interest expense of Financial Products" in the Consolidated Statement of Results of Operations) over the next 12 months. As of June 30, 2005, this projected reclassification was a net gain of \$3 million. There were no circumstances where hedge treatment was discontinued during the three or six months ended June 30, 2006 or 2005.

Commodity Price Risk

Commodity price movements create a degree of risk by affecting the price we must pay for certain raw materials. Our policy is to use commodity forward and option contracts to manage the commodity risk and reduce the cost of purchased materials.

Our Machinery and Engines operations purchase aluminum, copper and nickel embedded in the components we purchase from suppliers. Our suppliers pass on to us price changes in the commodity portion of the component cost. In addition, we are also subjected to price changes on natural gas purchased for operational use.

Our objective is to minimize volatility in the price of these commodities. Our policy allows us to enter into commodity forward and option contracts to lock in the purchase price of a portion of these commodities within a four-year horizon. All such commodity forward and option contracts are undesignated. Gains on the undesignated contracts of \$3 million and \$3 million were recorded in current earnings ("Other income (expense)") for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006. Losses on the undesignated contracts of \$1 million and gains of \$2 million were recorded in current earnings ("Other income (expense)") for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

5. Inventories

Inventories (principally using the "last-in, first-out" method) are comprised of the following:

| (Millions of dollars) | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | |
| Raw materials | \$ 2,112 | \$ 1,689 |
| Work-in-process | 981 | 814 |
| Finished goods | 2,913 | 2,493 |
| Supplies | 248 | 228 |
| Total inventories | <u>\$ 6,254</u> | <u>\$ 5,224</u> |

6. Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliated Companies

Our investments in affiliated companies accounted for by the equity method consist primarily of a 50 percent interest in Shin Caterpillar Mitsubishi Ltd. (SCM) located in Japan. Combined financial information of the unconsolidated affiliated companies accounted for by the equity method (generally on a three month lag, e.g., SCM results reflect the periods ending March 31) was as follows:

| (Millions of dollars) | Results of Operations Three Months Ended June 30, | | Results of Operations Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------|---|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Sales | \$ 1,108 | \$ 1,057 | \$ 2,133 | \$ 2,023 |
| Cost of sales | 879 | 810 | 1,694 | 1,567 |
| Gross profit | \$ 229 | \$ 247 | \$ 439 | \$ 456 |
| Profit (loss) | \$ 69 | \$ 58 | \$ 108 | \$ 92 |
| Caterpillar's profit (loss) | \$ 32 | \$ 29 | \$ 49 | \$ 43 |

| (Millions of dollars) | Financial Position | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
| Assets: | | |
| Current assets | \$ 1,681 | \$ 1,714 |
| Property, plant and equipment – net | 1,073 | 1,120 |
| Other assets | 226 | 194 |
| | 2,980 | 3,028 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Current liabilities | 1,320 | 1,348 |
| Long-term debt due after one year | 276 | 318 |
| Other liabilities | 165 | 188 |
| | 1,761 | 1,854 |
| Ownership | \$ 1,219 | \$ 1,174 |
| <u>Caterpillar's investments in unconsolidated affiliated companies</u> | | |
| Investments in equity method companies | \$ 549 | \$ 540 |
| Plus: Investments in cost method companies | 22 | 25 |
| Total investments in unconsolidated affiliated companies | \$ 571 | \$ 565 |

7. Intangible Assets and Goodwill

A. Intangible assets

Intangible assets are comprised of the following:

| (Dollars in millions) | Weighted Amortizable Life (Years) | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Customer Relationships | 18 | \$ 241 | \$ 40 |
| Intellectual property | 11 | 204 | 206 |
| Other | 13 | 75 | 33 |
| Total finite-lived intangible assets – gross | | 520 | 279 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | | 117 | 107 |
| | | 403 | 172 |
| Pension-related | | 252 | 252 |
| Intangible assets – net | | \$ 655 | \$ 424 |

During the second quarter of 2006, we acquired finite-lived intangible assets of \$221 million due to the purchase of Progress Rail Services, Inc. (Progress Rail). See Note 15 for details on the acquisition of these assets. Amortization expense on intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$7 million and \$13 million, respectively. Amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 was \$6 million and \$11 million, respectively. Amortization expense related to intangible assets is expected to be:

| (Millions of dollars) | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Thereafter |
| \$ 31 | \$ 36 | \$ 35 | \$ 35 | \$ 37 | \$ 242 |

B. Goodwill

On an annual basis, we test goodwill for impairment in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." Goodwill is tested for impairment between annual tests whenever events or circumstances make it more likely than not that an impairment may have occurred.

During the first quarter of 2006, we determined that the business outlook for the parts and accessories distribution business of MG Rover Ltd., acquired in 2004, required a specific impairment evaluation. Based on the fair value of the reporting unit calculated by discounting projected cash flows, we determined the reporting unit could no longer support the carrying value of its goodwill. Accordingly, a goodwill impairment charge of \$18 million was included in "Other Operating Expenses" in the Consolidated Statement of Results of Operations and reported in the "All Other" segment during the first quarter of 2006. No other goodwill was impaired or disposed of during the three or six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

During the second quarter of 2006, we acquired assets with related goodwill of \$401 million as part of the purchase of Progress Rail. See Note 15 for details on the acquisition of these assets. No other goodwill was acquired during the three or six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

8. Available-For-Sale Securities

Financial Products, primarily Cat Insurance, has investments in certain debt and equity securities that have been classified as available-for-sale in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115 (SFAS 115) and recorded at fair value based upon quoted market prices. These fair values are included in "Other assets" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. Unrealized gains and losses arising from the revaluation of available-for-sale securities are included, net of applicable deferred income taxes, in equity ("Accumulated other comprehensive income" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position). Realized gains and losses on sales of investments are generally determined using the FIFO ("first-in, first-out") method for debt instruments and the specific identification method for equity securities. Realized gains and losses are included in "Other income (expense)" in the Consolidated Statement of Results of Operations.

| June 30, 2006 | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Unrealized Pretax Net | | | |
| (Millions of dollars) | Cost Basis | Gains (Losses) | Fair Value |
| Government debt..... | \$ 333 | \$ (11) | \$ 322 |
| Corporate bonds..... | 498 | (14) | 484 |
| Equity securities..... | 144 | 21 | 165 |
| Total..... | <u>\$ 975</u> | <u>\$ (4)</u> | <u>\$ 971</u> |
| December 31, 2005 | | | |
| Unrealized Pretax Net | | | |
| (Millions of dollars) | Cost Basis | Gains (Losses) | Fair Value |
| Government debt..... | \$ 305 | \$ (6) | \$ 299 |
| Corporate bonds..... | 422 | (7) | 415 |
| Equity securities..... | 146 | 38 | 184 |
| Total..... | <u>\$ 873</u> | <u>\$ 25</u> | <u>\$ 898</u> |

Investments in an unrealized loss position that are not other-than-temporarily impaired:

| (Millions of dollars) | June 30, 2006 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 months ¹ | | More than 12 months ¹ | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Government debt | \$ 178 | \$ 6 | \$ 144 | \$ 5 | \$ 322 | \$ 11 |
| Corporate bonds | 259 | 8 | 185 | 6 | 444 | 14 |
| Equity securities | 49 | 2 | - | - | 49 | 2 |
| Total | <u>\$ 486</u> | <u>\$ 16</u> | <u>\$ 329</u> | <u>\$ 11</u> | <u>\$ 815</u> | <u>\$ 27</u> |

| (Millions of dollars) | December 31, 2005 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 months ¹ | | More than 12 months ¹ | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Government debt | \$ 155 | \$ 2 | \$ 113 | \$ 3 | \$ 268 | \$ 5 |
| Corporate bonds | 220 | 3 | 136 | 4 | 356 | 7 |
| Equity securities | 31 | 2 | - | - | 31 | 2 |
| Total | <u>\$ 406</u> | <u>\$ 7</u> | <u>\$ 249</u> | <u>\$ 7</u> | <u>\$ 655</u> | <u>\$ 14</u> |

¹ Indicates length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

The fair value of the available-for-sale debt securities at June 30, 2006, by contractual maturity, is shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay and creditors may have the right to call obligations.

| (Millions of dollars) | Fair Value |
|--|------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 63 |
| Due after one year through five years | \$ 296 |
| Due after five years through ten years | \$ 102 |
| Due after ten years | \$ 345 |

Proceeds from sales of investments in debt and equity securities during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 were \$144 million and \$219 million, respectively. Proceeds from sales of investments in debt and equity securities during the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 were \$131 million and \$182 million, respectively. Gross gains of \$24 million and \$30 million, and gross losses of \$4 million and \$5 million were included in current earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. Gross gains of \$3 million and \$5 million, and gross losses of \$1 million and \$2 million were included in current earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively.

9. Postretirement Benefits

A. Pension and postretirement benefit costs

| (Millions of dollars) | U.S. Pension Benefits | | Non-U.S. Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Benefits | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| For the three months ended: | | | | | | |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | | | | |
| Service cost..... | \$ 40 | \$ 37 | \$ 16 | \$ 16 | \$ 23 | \$ 22 |
| Interest cost..... | 144 | 137 | 27 | 27 | 76 | 75 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (200) | (178) | (35) | (26) | (29) | (21) |
| Amortization of: | | | | | | |
| Net asset existing at adoption of SFAS 106 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Prior service cost ¹ | 14 | 14 | 2 | 2 | (8) | (9) |
| Net actuarial loss (gain)..... | 58 | 49 | 14 | 12 | 29 | 24 |
| Total cost included in results of operations | <u>\$ 56</u> | <u>\$ 59</u> | <u>\$ 24</u> | <u>\$ 31</u> | <u>\$ 91</u> | <u>\$ 92</u> |

| (Millions of dollars) | U.S. Pension Benefits | | Non-U.S. Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Benefits | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| For the six months ended: | | | | | | |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | | | | |
| Service cost..... | \$ 80 | \$ 75 | \$ 32 | \$ 30 | \$ 47 | \$ 44 |
| Interest cost..... | 287 | 277 | 54 | 55 | 152 | 150 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (399) | (356) | (70) | (52) | (58) | (43) |
| Amortization of: | | | | | | |
| Net asset existing at adoption of SFAS 106 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Prior service cost ¹ | 29 | 30 | 3 | 3 | (16) | (12) |
| Net actuarial loss (gain)..... | 116 | 99 | 28 | 25 | 57 | 41 |
| Total cost included in results of operations | <u>\$ 113</u> | <u>\$ 125</u> | <u>\$ 47</u> | <u>\$ 61</u> | <u>\$ 183</u> | <u>\$ 181</u> |

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net cost:

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Discount rate | 5.6% | 5.9% | 4.6% | 5.2% | 5.6% | 5.8% |
| Expected return on plan assets..... | 9.0% | 9.0% | 7.5% | 7.2% | 9.0% | 9.0% |
| Rate of compensation increase..... | 4.0% | 4.0% | 3.7% | 3.5% | 4.0% | 4.0% |

¹ Prior service costs for both pension and other postretirement benefits are generally amortized using the straight-line method over the average remaining service period to the full retirement eligibility date of employees expected to receive benefits from the plan amendment. For other postretirement benefit plans in which all or almost all of the plan's participants are fully eligible for benefits under the plan, prior service costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining life expectancy of those participants.

We made \$29 million of contributions to certain non-U.S. pension plans during the six months ended June 30, 2006. We have no ERISA (Employee Retirement Income Security Act) funding requirements in 2006. We currently do not anticipate any significant additional contributions during the year. However, we will continue to evaluate additional contributions to both pension and other postretirement benefit plans. At this time, we no longer expect to make an additional cash contribution of \$200 million to our postretirement benefit plans in 2006.

B. Defined contribution benefit costs

Total company costs related to U.S. and non-U.S. defined contribution plans were as follows:

| (Millions of dollars) | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| U.S. Plans | \$ 30 | \$ 28 |
| Non-U.S. Plans | 5 | 9 |
| | <u>\$ 35</u> | <u>\$ 37</u> |

| (Millions of dollars) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| U.S. Plans | \$ 88 | \$ 61 |
| Non-U.S. Plans | 11 | 13 |
| | <u>\$ 99</u> | <u>\$ 74</u> |

10. Guarantees and Product Warranty

We have guaranteed to repurchase loans of certain Caterpillar dealers from third party lenders in the event of default. These guarantees arose in conjunction with Cat Financial's relationship with third party dealers who sell Caterpillar equipment. These guarantees generally have one-year terms and are secured, primarily by dealer assets.

We provide loan guarantees to third party lenders for financing associated with machinery purchased by customers. The loan guarantees are for the remote chance that the customers will become insolvent. These guarantees have varying terms and are secured by the machinery.

Cat Financial has provided a limited indemnity to a third party bank for \$37 million resulting from the assignment of certain leases to that bank. The indemnity is for the remote chance that the insurers of these leases would become insolvent. The indemnity expires December 15, 2012 and is unsecured.

No loss has been experienced or is anticipated under any of these guarantees or the limited indemnity. At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the recorded liability for these guarantees and the limited indemnity was \$9 million. The maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted and without reduction for any amount that may possibly be recovered under recourse or collateralized provisions) we could be required to make under the guarantees are as follows:

| (Millions of dollars) | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Guarantees with Caterpillar dealers | \$ 432 | \$ 434 |
| Guarantees with Customers | 77 | 64 |
| Limited Indemnity | 37 | 40 |
| Guarantees – other | 17 | 16 |
| Total guarantees | <u>\$ 563</u> | <u>\$ 554</u> |

Our product warranty liability is determined by applying historical claim rate experience to the current field population and dealer inventory. Historical claim rates are developed using a rolling average of actual warranty payments.

| (Millions of dollars) | 2006 |
|--|---------------|
| Warranty liability, January 1 | \$ 879 |
| Reduction in liability (payments) | (320) |
| Increase in liability (new warranties) | 313 |
| Warranty liability, June 30 | <u>\$ 872</u> |

| (Millions of dollars) | 2005 |
|--|---------------|
| Warranty liability, January 1 | \$ 785 |
| Reduction in liability (payments) | (712) |
| Increase in liability (new warranties) | 806 |
| Warranty liability, December 31 | <u>\$ 879</u> |

11. Computations of Profit Per Share

| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------|
| | | 2006 | 2005 |
| (Dollars in millions except per share data) | | | |
| I. | Profit for the period (A): | <u>\$ 1,046</u> | <u>\$ 760</u> |
| II. | Determination of shares (in millions): | | |
| | Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (B) | 662.1 | 678.3 |
| | Shares issuable on exercise of stock awards, net of shares assumed to be purchased out of proceeds at average market price | <u>26.4</u> | <u>26.8</u> |
| | Average common shares outstanding for fully diluted computation (C) | <u>688.5</u> | <u>705.1</u> |
| III. | Profit per share of common stock: | | |
| | Assuming no dilution (A/B) | \$ 1.58 | \$ 1.12 |
| | Assuming full dilution (A/C) | \$ 1.52 | \$ 1.08 |

| | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | 2006 | 2005 |
| (Dollars in millions except per share data) | | | |
| I. | Profit for the period (A): | <u>\$ 1,886</u> | <u>\$ 1,341</u> |
| II. | Determination of shares (in millions): | | |
| | Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (B) | 666.7 | 680.9 |
| | Shares issuable on exercise of stock awards, net of shares assumed to be purchased out of proceeds at average market price | <u>27.1</u> | <u>27.0</u> |
| | Average common shares outstanding for fully diluted computation (C) | <u>693.8</u> | <u>707.9</u> |
| III. | Profit per share of common stock: | | |
| | Assuming no dilution (A/B) | \$ 2.83 | \$ 1.97 |
| | Assuming full dilution (A/C) | \$ 2.72 | \$ 1.89 |

12. Environmental and Legal Matters

The company is regulated by federal, state and international environmental laws governing our use of substances and control of emissions. In addition to governing our manufacturing and other operations, these laws often impact the development of our products, including through required compliance with air emissions standards applicable to internal combustion engines. Compliance with these existing laws has not had a material impact on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position.

We are cleaning up contamination at a number of locations, often with other companies, pursuant to federal and state laws. When it is probable we will pay cleanup costs at a site and those costs can be estimated, the costs are charged against our earnings. In formulating that estimate, we do not consider amounts expected to be recovered from insurance companies and others.

The amount recorded for environmental cleanup is not material and is included in "Accrued expenses" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. Currently, we have several sites in the very early stages of cleanup, and there is no more than a remote chance that a material amount for cleanup at any individual site or at all sites in the aggregate will be required.

We have disclosed certain individual legal proceedings in this filing. Additionally, we are involved in other unresolved legal actions that arise in the normal course of business. The most prevalent of these actions involve disputes related to product design, manufacture and performance liability (including claimed asbestos and welding fumes exposure), contracts, employment issues and intellectual property rights. Although it is not possible to predict with certainty the outcome of these legal actions or the range of probable loss, we believe that these legal actions will not individually or in the aggregate have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On January 16, 2002, Caterpillar commenced an action in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit of Illinois in Peoria, Illinois, against Navistar International Transportation Corporation and International Truck and Engine Corporation (collectively Navistar). The lawsuit arises out of a long-term purchase contract between Caterpillar and Navistar effective May 31, 1988, as amended from time to time (the Purchase Agreement). The pending complaint alleges, among other things, that Navistar breached its contractual obligations by: (i) paying Caterpillar \$8.08 less per fuel injector than the agreed upon price for new unit injectors delivered by Caterpillar; (ii) refusing to pay contractually agreed upon surcharges owed as a result of Navistar ordering less than planned volumes of replacement unit injectors; and (iii) refusing to pay contractually agreed upon interest stemming from Navistar's late payments. As of June 30, 2006, the net past due receivable from Navistar regarding the foregoing and included in "Long-term receivables – trade and other" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position totaled \$139 million. The pending complaint also has claims alleging that Newstream Enterprises and Navistar, collectively and individually, failed to pay the applicable price to Caterpillar for shipments of unit injectors to Newstream. As of June 30, 2006, the net past due receivables for the foregoing, included in "Long-term receivables – trade and other" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position totaled \$12 million. The pending complaint further alleges that Sturman Industries, Inc. and Sturman Engine Systems, Inc. colluded with Navistar to utilize technology that Sturman Industries, Inc. misappropriated from Caterpillar to help Navistar develop its G2 fuel system, and tortiously interfered with the Purchase Agreement and Caterpillar's prospective economic relationship with Navistar. The pending complaint further alleges that the two parties' collusion led Navistar to select Sturman Engine Systems, Inc., and another company, instead of Caterpillar, to develop and manufacture the G2 fuel system. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On September 30, 2005, International Truck and Engine Corporation (International) commenced an action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit of Illinois in Peoria, Illinois. The lawsuit states that it arises out of the Purchase Agreement described above. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Caterpillar procured the Purchase Agreement by fraudulently misrepresenting or concealing information related to the business of selling fuel injectors to International, and that Caterpillar breached the Purchase Agreement. International's complaint does not specify the amount of damages being sought. Caterpillar intends to defend itself vigorously in this case. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On May 7, 2002, International commenced an action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois regarding a long-term agreement term sheet (term sheet) (the first lawsuit). In its sixth amended complaint in the first lawsuit, International alleged that the term sheet constitutes a legally binding contract for the sale of heavy-duty engines at specified prices through the end of 2006, alleged that Caterpillar breached the term sheet by, among other things, raising certain prices effective October 1, 2002, and also alleged that Caterpillar breached an obligation to negotiate a comprehensive long-term agreement referenced in the term sheet. International sought declaratory and injunctive relief as well as damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Caterpillar denied International's claims and filed a counterclaim seeking a declaration that the term sheet had been effectively terminated. Caterpillar also asserted that pursuant to a subsequent agreement International released Caterpillar from certain of its claims. On September 24, 2003, the Appellate Court of Illinois, ruling on an interlocutory appeal, issued an order consistent with Caterpillar's position that, even if the court subsequently determines that the term sheet is a binding contract, it is indefinite in duration and was therefore terminable at will by Caterpillar upon reasonable notice. On April 12, 2005, International commenced a second, related action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois (the second lawsuit). The second lawsuit contained allegations similar to the allegations contained in the first lawsuit. International also alleged in the second lawsuit that Caterpillar materially breached the subsequent agreement. On June 15, 2005, International voluntarily dismissed its complaint in the first lawsuit. The second lawsuit has been consolidated with Caterpillar's counterclaims from the first lawsuit. On June 2, 2006, the court vacated the trial date, which had been scheduled to begin during the third quarter of 2006. The court has not set a new trial date. This case is not related to the breach of contract action brought by Caterpillar against Navistar which is currently pending in the Circuit Court of Peoria County, Illinois. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On September 29, 2004, Kruse Technology Partnership (Kruse) filed a lawsuit against Caterpillar in the United States District Court for the Central District of California alleging that certain Caterpillar engines built from October 2002 to the present infringe upon certain claims of three of Kruse's patents on engine fuel injection timing and combustion strategies. Kruse seeks monetary damages, injunctive relief and a finding that the alleged infringement by Caterpillar was willful. Caterpillar denies Kruse's allegations, believes they are without merit, and has filed a counterclaim seeking a declaration from the court that Caterpillar is not infringing upon Kruse's patents and that the patents are invalid and unenforceable. The counterclaim filed by Caterpillar is pending and no trial date is currently scheduled. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

In November 2004, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) alleged that Caterpillar had constructed a facility in Emporia, Kansas that failed to comply with Section 112(g)(2)(B) of the federal Clean Air Act. Caterpillar sold the Emporia, Kansas facility in December 2002. This matter has now been settled and terminated by Consent Decree, entered on June 12, 2006, in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas, and Caterpillar's payment of a civil penalty of \$300,000 on June 14, 2006. Accordingly, in the opinion of our management, this matter is closed and will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On June 26, 2006, the UK Environment Agency filed a claim against Caterpillar Logistics Services (UK) Ltd. (CLS) before the Leicester & Rutland Magistrates Court. The complaint alleges that CLS failed to follow UK regulations in connection with the handling and disposal of special waste (primarily batteries) from January through September 2005. Eight separate offenses have been cited. CLS is required to appear before the Court on August 3, 2006, to respond to the charges. No claim for monetary penalties has been stated in the complaint. Although the regulations provide for the assessment of fines, we have no basis to determine at what level at this time. We estimate total fines could reach £85,000 (approximately \$157,000) should CLS be found to have committed all of the alleged offenses. While CLS intends to defend itself in this action, it is the opinion of our management that the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) completed its field examination of our 1995 through 1999 U.S. tax returns during the second quarter of 2005. In connection with this examination, we received notices of certain adjustments proposed by the IRS, primarily related to foreign sales corporation commissions, foreign tax credit calculations and research and development credits. We disagree with these proposed adjustments and are vigorously disputing this matter through applicable IRS and judicial procedures, as appropriate. Although the final resolution of the proposed adjustments is uncertain, in the opinion of our management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

The World Trade Organization (WTO) previously found that the transitional and grandfathering provisions for extraterritorial income exclusion (ETI), under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, did not satisfy the United States' obligation to "withdraw" prohibited export subsidies. The WTO result allowed the European Union to impose already authorized sanctions on certain U.S. origin goods beginning May 16, 2006. The Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2005, signed by President Bush on May 17, 2006, repealed the grandfathering provisions for ETI. In response, the European Union Trade Commissioner announced the cancellation of sanctions ending the dispute. We were not materially impacted by this resolution.

13. Segment Information

Caterpillar is organized based on a decentralized structure that has established accountabilities to continually improve business focus and increase our ability to react quickly to changes in both the global business cycle and competitors' actions. Our current structure uses a product, geographic matrix organization comprised of multiple profit center and service center divisions.

Caterpillar is a highly integrated company. The majority of our profit centers are product focused. They are primarily responsible for the design, manufacture and ongoing support of their products. However, some of these product focused profit centers also have marketing responsibilities. We also have geographically-based profit centers that are focused primarily on marketing. However, one of these profit centers also has some manufacturing responsibilities. One of our profit centers provides various financial services to our customers and dealers. The service center divisions perform corporate functions and provide centralized services.

We have developed an internal measurement system to evaluate performance and to drive continuous improvement. This measurement system, which is not based on generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), is intended to motivate desired behavior of employees and drive performance. It is not intended to measure a division's contribution to enterprise results. The sales and cost information used for internal purposes varies significantly from our consolidated externally reported information, resulting in substantial reconciling items. Each division has specific performance targets and is evaluated and compensated based on achieving those targets. Performance targets differ from division to division; therefore, meaningful comparisons cannot be made among the profit or service center divisions. It is the comparison of actual results to budgeted results that makes our internal reporting valuable to management. Consequently, we feel that the financial information required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131 (SFAS 131), "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" has limited value to our external readers.

In the first quarter of 2006, we began charging business segments certain costs that previously were reconciling items. In addition, we made several organizational changes that impacted our segment reporting. No individual segment was materially impacted as a result of the changes and prior period amounts have been restated to conform to the current period presentation.

Due to Caterpillar's high level of integration and our concern that segment disclosures based on SFAS 131 requirements have limited value to external readers, we are continuing to disclose financial results for our three principal lines of business (Machinery, Engines and Financial Products) in our Management's Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 28.

Business Segments
Three Months Ended June 30,
(Millions of dollars)

| 2006 | Machinery and Engines | | | | | | | | | | Financing & Insurance Services | | Consolidated Total |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Asia/Pacific Marketing | Construction & Mining Products | EAME Marketing | Electric Power | Large Power Products | Latin America | North America Marketing | Power Systems Marketing | All Other | Total | | | |
| External sales and revenues | \$ 690 | \$ (9) | \$ 1,411 | \$ 616 | \$ (39) | \$ 724 | \$ 3,328 | \$ 1,345 | \$ 1,836 | \$ 9,902 | \$ 830 | \$ 10,732 | |
| Inter-segment sales & revenues.. | (1) | 3,046 | 2 | 63 | 2,113 | 497 | 100 | 7 | 4,590 | 10,417 | - | 10,417 | |
| Total sales and revenues..... | \$ 689 | \$ 3,037 | \$ 1,413 | \$ 679 | \$ 2,074 | \$ 1,221 | \$ 3,428 | \$ 1,352 | \$ 6,426 | \$ 20,319 | \$ 830 | \$ 21,149 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ - | \$ 27 | \$ - | \$ 5 | \$ 44 | \$ 12 | \$ - | \$ 2 | \$ 110 | \$ 200 | \$ 167 | \$ 367 | |
| Imputed interest expense | \$ 2 | \$ 12 | \$ 2 | \$ 5 | \$ 13 | \$ 7 | \$ 1 | \$ - | \$ 67 | \$ 109 | \$ 262 | \$ 371 | |
| Accountable profit (loss) | \$ 21 | \$ 466 | \$ 56 | \$ 45 | \$ 202 | \$ 93 | \$ 147 | \$ 27 | \$ 693 | \$ 1,750 | \$ 176 | \$ 1,926 | |
| Accountable assets at June 30, 2006..... | \$ 224 | \$ 1,658 | \$ 222 | \$ 607 | \$ 1,761 | \$ 985 | \$ (13) | \$ 124 | \$ 10,249 | \$ 15,817 | \$ 27,926 | \$ 43,743 | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 1 | \$ 38 | \$ - | \$ 17 | \$ 48 | \$ 16 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 129 | \$ 251 | \$ 328 | \$ 579 | |

| 2005 | Machinery and Engines | | | | | | | | | | Financing & Insurance | | Consolidated Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Asia/ Pacific Marketing | Construction & Mining Products | EAME Marketing | Electric Power | Large Power Products | Latin America | North America Marketing | Power Systems Marketing | All Other | Total | Services | | |
| External sales and revenues | \$ 639 | \$ 5 | \$ 1,185 | \$ 528 | \$ (36) | \$ 597 | \$ 2,876 | \$ 1,234 | \$ 1,718 | \$ 8,746 | \$ 712 | \$ 9,458 | |
| Inter-segment sales & revenues.. | - | 2,494 | 1 | (142) | 1,886 | 413 | 102 | 6 | 4,012 | 8,772 | - | 8,772 | |
| Total sales and revenues..... | \$ 639 | \$ 2,499 | \$ 1,186 | \$ 386 | \$ 1,850 | \$ 1,010 | \$ 2,978 | \$ 1,240 | \$ 5,730 | \$ 17,518 | \$ 712 | \$ 18,230 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ - | \$ 23 | \$ 1 | \$ 5 | \$ 39 | \$ 12 | \$ - | \$ 2 | \$ 100 | \$ 182 | \$ 161 | \$ 343 | |
| Imputed interest expense | \$ 2 | \$ 11 | \$ 1 | \$ 4 | \$ 12 | \$ 6 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 61 | \$ 99 | \$ 192 | \$ 291 | |
| Accountable profit (loss) | \$ 45 | \$ 333 | \$ 22 | \$ 17 | \$ 128 | \$ 67 | \$ 77 | \$ 35 | \$ 544 | \$ 1,268 | \$ 149 | \$ 1,417 | |
| Accountable assets at | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2005 | \$ 257 | \$ 1,579 | \$ 93 | \$ 595 | \$ 1,675 | \$ 878 | \$ 31 | \$ 47 | \$ 8,598 | \$ 13,753 | \$ 26,815 | \$ 40,568 | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ - | \$ 35 | \$ 1 | \$ 3 | \$ 32 | \$ 9 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 110 | \$ 190 | \$ 330 | \$ 520 | |

Business Segments
Six Months Ended June 30,
(Millions of dollars)

| 2006 | Machinery and Engines | | | | | | | | | | Financing & Insurance Services | | Consolidated Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Asia/ Pacific Marketing | Construction & Mining Products | EAME Marketing | Electric Power | Large Power Products | Latin America | North America Marketing | Power Systems Marketing | All Other | Total | | | |
| External sales and revenues | \$ 1,324 | \$ (16) | \$ 2,489 | \$ 1,141 | \$ (96) | \$ 1,356 | \$ 6,447 | \$ 2,591 | \$ 3,345 | \$ 18,581 | \$ 1,628 | \$ 20,209 | |
| Inter-segment sales & revenues..... | - | 5,900 | 2 | 110 | 4,058 | 930 | 195 | 14 | 8,644 | 19,853 | 1 | 19,854 | |
| Total sales and revenues..... | \$ 1,324 | \$ 5,884 | \$ 2,491 | \$ 1,251 | \$ 3,962 | \$ 2,286 | \$ 6,642 | \$ 2,605 | \$ 11,989 | \$ 38,434 | \$ 1,629 | \$ 40,063 | |
| Depreciation and amortization.. | \$ 1 | \$ 54 | \$ 1 | \$ 11 | \$ 86 | \$ 23 | \$ 1 | \$ 4 | \$ 218 | \$ 399 | \$ 333 | \$ 732 | |
| Imputed interest expense | \$ 4 | \$ 24 | \$ 2 | \$ 9 | \$ 26 | \$ 14 | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | \$ 132 | \$ 215 | \$ 498 | \$ 713 | |
| Accountable profit (loss) | \$ 45 | \$ 907 | \$ 121 | \$ 77 | \$ 371 | \$ 179 | \$ 275 | \$ 53 | \$ 1,225 | \$ 3,253 | \$ 355 | \$ 3,608 | |
| Accountable assets at | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2006..... | \$ 224 | \$ 1,658 | \$ 222 | \$ 607 | \$ 1,761 | \$ 985 | \$ (13) | \$ 124 | \$ 10,249 | \$ 15,817 | \$ 27,926 | \$ 43,743 | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 1 | \$ 67 | \$ - | \$ 19 | \$ 82 | \$ 23 | \$ 1 | \$ 2 | \$ 239 | \$ 434 | \$ 591 | \$ 1,025 | |

| 2005 | Machinery and Engines | | | | | | | | | | Financing & Insurance Services | | Consolidated Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Asia/ Pacific Marketing | Construction & Mining Products | EAME Marketing | Electric Power | Large Power Products | Latin America | North America Marketing | Power Systems Marketing | All Other | Total | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| External sales and revenues ... | \$ 1,230 | \$ 6 | \$ 2,288 | \$ 986 | \$ (95) | \$ 1,085 | \$ 5,369 | \$ 2,327 | \$ 3,254 | \$ 16,450 | \$ 1,392 | \$ 17,842 | |
| Inter-segment sales & revenues..... | - | 4,706 | 4 | 97 | 3,578 | 778 | 191 | 14 | 7,784 | 17,152 | 1 | 17,153 | |
| Total sales and revenues..... | \$ 1,230 | \$ 4,712 | \$ 2,292 | \$ 1,083 | \$ 3,483 | \$ 1,863 | \$ 5,560 | \$ 2,341 | \$ 11,038 | \$ 33,602 | \$ 1,393 | \$ 34,995 | |
| Depreciation and amortization.. | \$ 1 | \$ 45 | \$ 1 | \$ 10 | \$ 78 | \$ 24 | \$ 1 | \$ 4 | \$ 184 | \$ 348 | \$ 320 | \$ 668 | |
| Imputed interest expense | \$ 4 | \$ 22 | \$ 2 | \$ 9 | \$ 24 | \$ 12 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 122 | \$ 199 | \$ 368 | \$ 567 | |
| Accountable profit (loss) | \$ 82 | \$ 606 | \$ 58 | \$ 25 | \$ 215 | \$ 116 | \$ 100 | \$ 63 | \$ 952 | \$ 2,217 | \$ 278 | \$ 2,495 | |
| Accountable assets at | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2005..... | \$ 257 | \$ 1,579 | \$ 93 | \$ 595 | \$ 1,675 | \$ 878 | \$ 31 | \$ 47 | \$ 8,598 | \$ 13,753 | \$ 26,815 | \$ 40,568 | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ - | \$ 62 | \$ 1 | \$ 5 | \$ 67 | \$ 14 | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 180 | \$ 331 | \$ 569 | \$ 900 | |

Reconciliation of Sales and Revenues:

| (Millions of dollars) | Machinery and Engines | Financing & Insurance Services | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated Total |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2006: | | | | |
| Total external sales and revenues from | | | | |
| business segments | \$ 9,902 | \$ 830 | \$ - | \$ 10,732 |
| Other | 54 | (62) | (119) ¹ | (127) |
| Total sales and revenues | <u>\$ 9,956</u> | <u>\$ 768</u> | <u>\$ (119)</u> | <u>\$ 10,605</u> |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2005: | | | | |
| Total external sales and revenues from | | | | |
| business segments | \$ 8,746 | \$ 712 | \$ - | \$ 9,458 |
| Other | 38 | (56) | (80) ¹ | (98) |
| Total sales and revenues | <u>\$ 8,784</u> | <u>\$ 656</u> | <u>\$ (80)</u> | <u>\$ 9,360</u> |

¹ Elimination of Financial Products revenues from Machinery and Engines.

Reconciliation of Sales and Revenues:

| (Millions of dollars) | Machinery and Engines | Financing & Insurance Services | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated Total |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2006: | | | | |
| Total external sales and revenues from | | | | |
| business segments | \$ 18,581 | \$ 1,628 | \$ - | \$ 20,209 |
| Other | 118 | (114) | (216) ¹ | (212) |
| Total sales and revenues | <u>\$ 18,699</u> | <u>\$ 1,514</u> | <u>\$ (216)</u> | <u>\$ 19,997</u> |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2005: | | | | |
| Total external sales and revenues from | | | | |
| business segments | \$ 16,450 | \$ 1,392 | \$ - | \$ 17,842 |
| Other | 123 | (124) | (142) ¹ | (143) |
| Total sales and revenues | <u>\$ 16,573</u> | <u>\$ 1,268</u> | <u>\$ (142)</u> | <u>\$ 17,699</u> |

¹ Elimination of Financial Products revenues from Machinery and Engines.

Reconciliation of Profit Before Taxes:

| (Millions of dollars) | Machinery and Engines | Financing & Insurance Services | Consolidated Total |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2006: | | | |
| Total accountable profit from business segments | \$ 1,750 | \$ 176 | \$ 1,926 |
| Corporate costs | (240) | - | (240) |
| Timing | (43) | - | (43) |
| Methodology differences: | | | |
| Inventory/cost of sales | 3 | - | 3 |
| Postretirement benefit expense | (83) | - | (83) |
| Financing costs | (31) | - | (31) |
| Equity in profit of unconsolidated affiliated companies | (32) | - | (32) |
| Currency | (3) | - | (3) |
| Other methodology differences | (34) | 16 | (18) |
| Other | (16) | - | (16) |
| Total profit before taxes | <u>\$ 1,271</u> | <u>\$ 192</u> | <u>\$ 1,463</u> |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2005: | | | |
| Total accountable profit from business segments | \$ 1,268 | \$ 149 | \$ 1,417 |
| Corporate costs | (218) | - | (218) |
| Timing | (10) | - | (10) |
| Methodology differences: | | | |
| Inventory/cost of sales | (8) | - | (8) |
| Postretirement benefit expense | (98) | - | (98) |
| Financing costs | (5) | - | (5) |
| Equity in profit of unconsolidated affiliated companies | (26) | (3) | (29) |
| Currency | 4 | - | 4 |
| Other methodology differences | (9) | 5 | (4) |
| Other | (3) | - | (3) |
| Total profit before taxes | <u>\$ 895</u> | <u>\$ 151</u> | <u>\$ 1,046</u> |

Reconciliation of Profit Before Taxes:

| (Millions of dollars) | Machinery and Engines | Financing & Insurance Services | Consolidated Total |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2006: | | | |
| Total accountable profit from business segments | \$ 3,253 | \$ 355 | \$ 3,608 |
| Corporate costs | (461) | - | (461) |
| Timing | (100) | - | (100) |
| Methodology differences: | | | |
| Inventory/cost of sales | (73) | - | (73) |
| Postretirement benefit expense | (164) | - | (164) |
| Financing costs | (51) | - | (51) |
| Equity in profit of unconsolidated affiliated companies | (48) | (1) | (49) |
| Currency | 2 | - | 2 |
| Other methodology differences | (50) | 19 | (31) |
| Other | (25) | - | (25) |
| Total profit before taxes | <u>\$ 2,283</u> | <u>\$ 373</u> | <u>\$ 2,656</u> |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2005: | | | |
| Total accountable profit from business segments | \$ 2,217 | \$ 278 | \$ 2,495 |
| Corporate costs | (387) | - | (387) |
| Timing | (22) | - | (22) |
| Methodology differences: | | | |
| Inventory/cost of sales | (25) | - | (25) |
| Postretirement benefit expense | (196) | - | (196) |
| Financing costs | 2 | - | 2 |
| Equity in profit of unconsolidated affiliated companies | (38) | (5) | (43) |
| Currency | 12 | - | 12 |
| Other methodology differences | (1) | 10 | 9 |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total profit before taxes | <u>\$ 1,562</u> | <u>\$ 283</u> | <u>\$ 1,845</u> |

Reconciliation of Assets:

| (Millions of dollars) | Machinery and Engines | Financing & Insurance Services | Consolidating Adjustments | Consolidated Total |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| June 30, 2006: | | | | |
| Total accountable assets from business segments..... | \$ 15,817 | \$ 27,926 | \$ - | \$ 43,743 |
| Items not included in segment assets: | | | | |
| Cash and short-term investments | 455 | 193 | - | 648 |
| Intercompany receivables | 300 | 429 | (729) | - |
| Trade and other receivables | 439 | - | - | 439 |
| Investment in unconsolidated affiliated companies..... | 416 | - | (4) | 412 |
| Investment in Financial Products | 3,590 | - | (3,590) | - |
| Deferred income taxes and prepaids | 3,224 | 113 | (355) | 2,982 |
| Intangible assets and other assets | 1,688 | - | - | 1,688 |
| Service center assets..... | 905 | - | - | 905 |
| Liabilities included in segment assets | 1,510 | 12 | - | 1,522 |
| Inventory methodology differences | (2,438) | - | - | (2,438) |
| Other | 239 | (235) | - | 4 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 26,145</u> | <u>\$ 28,438</u> | <u>\$ (4,678)</u> | <u>\$ 49,905</u> |
| December 31, 2005: | | | | |
| Total accountable assets from business segments..... | \$ 13,753 | \$ 26,815 | \$ - | \$ 40,568 |
| Items not included in segment assets: | | | | |
| Cash and short-term investments | 951 | 157 | - | 1,108 |
| Intercompany receivables | 310 | 67 | (377) | - |
| Trade and other receivables | 332 | - | - | 332 |
| Investment in unconsolidated affiliated companies..... | 407 | - | - | 407 |
| Investment in Financial Products | 3,253 | - | (3,253) | - |
| Deferred income taxes and prepaids | 3,282 | 100 | (340) | 3,042 |
| Intangible assets and other assets | 1,692 | - | - | 1,692 |
| Service center assets..... | 892 | - | - | 892 |
| Liabilities included in segment assets | 1,242 | 14 | - | 1,256 |
| Inventory methodology differences | (2,300) | - | - | (2,300) |
| Other | 173 | (101) | - | 72 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 23,987</u> | <u>\$ 27,052</u> | <u>\$ (3,970)</u> | <u>\$ 47,069</u> |

14. Asset Securitizations

During the second quarter of 2006, Cat Financial securitized retail installment sale contracts and finance leases into a public asset-backed securitization facility. Cat Financial securitizes retail installment sales contracts and finance leases into public asset-backed securitization facilities that are qualifying special purpose entities and thus, in accordance with SFAS 140, are not consolidated. These finance receivables, which are being held in securitization trusts, are secured by new and used equipment. Net proceeds received were \$964 million, which includes both cash proceeds and retained interests. A net gain of \$7 million was recognized on this transaction. Cat Financial's retained interests in the securitized receivables include subordinated certificates with an initial fair value of \$4 million, an interest in certain future cash flow (excess) with an initial fair value of \$3 million and a reserve account with an initial fair value of \$10 million. Cat Financial determines the fair value based on discounted cash flow models that incorporate assumptions including credit losses, prepayment rates and discount rates. These assumptions are based on historical experience, market trends and anticipated performance relative to the particular assets securitized. Significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the retained interests include an 11.2 percent discount rate, a weighted-average prepayment rate of 14 percent and expected credit loss of 1 percent. Cat Financial's retained interests are generally subordinated to the investors' interests. Cat Financial also retains servicing responsibilities for which they receive a fee of approximately 1 percent of unpaid note value. A servicing asset or liability is generally not recorded since the servicing fee is considered market compensation.

In the second quarter of 2005, a public securitization also occurred at Cat Financial. Net proceeds received were \$850 million, which includes both cash proceeds and retained interests. A net gain of \$12 million was recognized on this transaction. Retained interests included subordinated certificates with an initial fair value of \$8 million, an interest in future cash flows (excess) with an initial fair value of \$1 million and a reserve account with an initial fair value of \$12 million. Significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the retained interests and subordinated certificates in this transaction included a 10.8 percent discount rate, a weighted-average prepayment rate of 14 percent and expected credit losses of 1 percent. Cat Financial receives annual servicing fees of 1 percent of the unpaid note value.

Subordinated retained interests in all public securitizations outstanding totaled \$64 million at June 30, 2006 and \$72 million at December 31, 2005.

15. Progress Rail Services, Inc. Acquisition

On June 19, 2006, Caterpillar acquired 100 percent of the equity in Progress Rail for approximately \$1 billion, including the assumption of \$200 million in debt. A privately held company based in Albertville, Alabama, Progress Rail is a leading provider of remanufactured locomotive and railcar products and services to the North American railroad industry. With 2005 sales of \$1.2 billion, the company has one of the most extensive rail service and supply networks in North America. It operates more than 90 facilities in 29 states in the United States, Canada and Mexico, with about 3,700 employees. Expansion into the rail aftermarket business is a strong fit with our strategic direction and will leverage Caterpillar's remanufacturing capabilities.

The transaction was financed with available cash and commercial paper borrowings of \$427 million and Caterpillar stock of \$379 million (5.3 million shares). Net tangible assets acquired, recorded at their fair values, primarily were inventories of \$258 million, receivables of \$169 million and property, plant and equipment of \$251 million. Liabilities acquired, recorded at their fair values, primarily consisted of assumed debt of \$200 million, accounts payable of \$148 million and deferred tax liabilities of \$122 million. Finite-lived intangible assets acquired of \$221 million related primarily to customer relationships, and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 20 years. Goodwill of \$401 million, non-deductible for income tax purposes, represents the excess of cost over the fair value of net tangible and finite-lived intangible assets acquired. These values represent a preliminary allocation of the purchase price subject to finalization of fair value appraisals and other post-closing procedures. The acquisition has been reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and is reported in the "All Other" segment. Assuming this transaction had been made at January 1, 2006, the consolidated pro forma results would not be materially different from reported results.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

We reported a 41 percent increase in profit per share on a 13 percent increase in sales and revenues compared with the second quarter 2005. Sales and revenues in the quarter were \$10.605 billion, and profit was \$1.046 billion, or \$1.52 per share—all were the highest for any quarter in Caterpillar's history.

We had a spectacular second quarter with the strongest financial performance we have reported since the 1960s. The fundamentals remained strong in the industries we serve. We are hitting on all cylinders and are again raising our full-year outlook. Customers have confidence in our products, and sales continued to increase. Our performance in the quarter was made possible by the hard work of our employees, dealers and suppliers who are focused on meeting the needs of our customers.

Sales and revenues increased \$1.245 billion from second quarter 2005. The increase was a result of \$809 million of higher *sales volume*, \$384 million of improved *price realization* and a \$73 million increase in *Financial Products* revenues.

Second-quarter profit increased \$286 million, or \$0.44 per share, from second quarter 2005. The increase was largely due to improved price realization and higher sales volume, partially offset by higher *core operating costs* to support our growth.

First-half 2006 sales and revenues of \$19.997 billion and profit of \$1.886 billion, or \$2.72 per share, were also records. Operating cash flow in the first half of 2006 was \$1.948 billion, up \$952 million from the first half of 2005. This strong cash flow allowed us to increase capital expenditures to \$552 million, acquire Progress Rail, keep our benefit plans well-funded, announce a 20 percent dividend increase and repurchase 33.3 million shares.

We are delighted with what has been accomplished, but we still have work to do. From an operational perspective, record demand has resulted in longer delivery times for many of our products than we, or our customers, would like. We are continuing to work with suppliers and within our factories to remove bottlenecks and increase production for a number of our products. The entire Caterpillar supply chain has responded over the past three years to support our unprecedented growth. *6 Sigma* has been a significant positive factor for ramping up production, managing our cost structure and delivering record profitability.

In the fourth quarter of 2005 we rolled out Vision 2020, our long-term strategy. The strategy was comprehensive and included goals for 2010. The goals related to sales and revenues and profit were:

- Sales and Revenues of about \$50 billion by 2010
- Compound annual growth in profit per share from the beginning of 2005 to 2010 of 15-20%

Based on results through the first half of 2006 and our revised outlook for the full year, we are pleased with progress towards the 2010 goals, and are off to a faster start than we had expected. Execution of our strategy underpins our goal of 15-20% compound annual growth in profit per share, and continued improvement in our rate of profitability.

Outlook

We are raising our outlook for 2006 from previously reported levels. Sales and revenues are now expected to be up 12 to 15 percent from 2005, including about \$600 million from the acquisition of Progress Rail. The profit outlook has been adjusted to reflect an estimated profit range of \$5.25 to \$5.50 per share. The previous outlook reflected sales and revenues up about 10 percent and profit per share of \$4.85 to \$5.20.


We are entering the fourth year of a recovery that began in mid-2003. The industries we serve continue to be very strong throughout the world, particularly mining, energy and infrastructure development. While it is tough to predict the future, historically global industry recoveries have lasted six to eight years, and a variety of factors, particularly past under investment, should help sustain this recovery. We are continuing to invest in growth, and the acquisition of Progress Rail and expanding capacity for large engines are great examples. We are working hard to execute our new strategy, and are very confident in Caterpillar's future.

Note: Glossary of terms included on pages 43-44; first occurrence of terms shown in bold italics.

Consolidated Results of Operations

THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 COMPARED WITH THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

SALES AND REVENUES



Sales and revenues for second quarter 2006 were \$10.605 billion, up \$1.245 billion, or 13 percent, from second quarter 2005. *Machinery* volume was up \$563 million, *Engines* volume was up \$246 million, price realization improved \$384 million and *currency* had a negative impact on sales of \$21 million, primarily due to a weaker Euro. In addition, Financial Products revenues increased \$73 million.

Sales and Revenues by Geographic Region

| (Millions of dollars) | Total | % Change | North America | % Change | EAME | % Change | Latin America | % Change | Asia/ Pacific | % Change |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| <u>2nd Quarter 2006</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Machinery..... | \$ 6,875 | 14% | \$ 3,764 | 13% | \$ 1,680 | 17% | \$ 667 | 27% | \$ 764 | 2% |
| Engines ¹ | 3,081 | 12% | 1,447 | 18% | 998 | 5% | 233 | 2% | 403 | 14% |
| Financial Products ² | 649 | 13% | 458 | 12% | 95 | 13% | 48 | 37% | 48 | 2% |
| | <u>\$ 10,605</u> | 13% | <u>\$ 5,669</u> | 14% | <u>\$ 2,773</u> | 13% | <u>\$ 948</u> | 20% | <u>\$ 1,215</u> | 6% |
| <u>2nd Quarter 2005</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Machinery..... | \$ 6,026 | | \$ 3,321 | | \$ 1,430 | | \$ 526 | | \$ 749 | |
| Engines ¹ | 2,758 | | 1,226 | | 949 | | 229 | | 354 | |
| Financial Products ² | 576 | | 410 | | 84 | | 35 | | 47 | |
| | <u>\$ 9,360</u> | | <u>\$ 4,957</u> | | <u>\$ 2,463</u> | | <u>\$ 790</u> | | <u>\$ 1,150</u> | |

¹ Does not include internal engines transfers of \$599 million and \$494 million in second quarter 2006 and 2005, respectively. Internal engines transfers are valued at prices comparable to those for unrelated parties.

² Does not include revenues earned from *Machinery and Engines* of \$119 million and \$80 million in second quarter 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Machinery Sales were \$6.875 billion, an increase of \$849 million, or 14 percent, from second quarter 2005.

- Sales volume increased \$563 million.
- Price realization increased \$296 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$10 million.
- Worldwide, and for most geographic regions, dealer reported inventories were up, but inventories in months of supply were down.
- Sales volume increased for the fifteenth consecutive quarter.
- Sales increased in North America, with nonresidential building and highway construction more than offsetting the effects of lower housing construction.
- Strengthening economic conditions in Europe contributed to sales growth in the Europe, Africa, Middle East (*EAME*) region, which had been the slowest growing region over the past few years.
- Overall, mining activity continued to be very strong as commodity prices remain at levels attractive to investment.
- The volume decline in Asia/Pacific was largely in Indonesia, where higher interest rates, some currency turmoil and higher fuel prices created some uncertainty about the economy.
- Improved price realization resulted largely from price increases implemented in the second quarter 2005 and first quarter of 2006.

North America – Sales increased \$443 million, or 13 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$253 million.
- Price realization increased \$190 million.
- Most industries we serve continued to do well. Nonresidential building and highway construction increased at more than double-digit rates.
- Mining benefited from metals prices that were more than 60 percent higher than a year ago and coal production that increased almost 11 percent.
- U.S. economic growth slowed to a 2.5 percent rate in the second quarter.
- Employment growth moderated during the quarter, and housing construction declined from a year earlier.

EAME – Sales increased \$250 million, or 17 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$255 million.
- Price realization increased \$18 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$23 million.
- Higher energy, metals and commodity prices have improved incomes in many countries in Africa/Middle East, creating the best economic growth in years; investments in infrastructure, energy and mining continued to increase machine demand.
- Significant sales volume growth occurred in the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), the result of higher commodity prices and low domestic interest rates.
- Economic growth and construction activity strengthened in Europe, allowing better sales volume growth.
- Sales benefited from a catch up in shipments of models delayed in the first quarter due to New Product Introductions (NPI).

Latin America – Sales increased \$141 million, or 27 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$80 million.
- Price realization increased \$48 million.
- Currency benefited sales by \$13 million.
- Sales gains in the second quarter were strongest in Brazil and Mexico, where interest rates declined over the past year.
- Sales volume increased in most countries in response to good economic growth, increased construction, higher metals and energy prices and low domestic interest rates.

Asia/Pacific – Sales increased \$15 million, or 2 percent.

- Sales volume decreased \$25 million.
- Price realization increased \$40 million.
- The decline in sales volume occurred largely in Indonesia; high interest rates, some currency turmoil and higher fuel prices increased uncertainty among end users about prospects for their business.
- Sales volume in Australia and China increased. Australia is benefiting from a mining boom and China from rapid growth in construction.
- Economic growth remained strong in most countries, with construction and mining continuing to do well.

Engines Sales were \$3.081 billion, an increase of \$323 million, or 12 percent, from second quarter 2005.

- Sales volume increased \$246 million.
- Price realization increased \$88 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$11 million.
- Worldwide, and for most geographic regions, dealer reported inventories were up, but inventories in months of supply were down.
- The improvement in price realization was largely a result of price increases in the second quarter of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006.

North America – Sales increased \$221 million, or 18 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$193 million.
- Price realization increased \$28 million.
- Sales for petroleum applications increased 45 percent with strong growth in sales of turbines and turbine-related services for pipeline compression as well as reciprocating engines for gas drilling and compression applications.
- Sales for on-highway truck applications increased 5 percent with ongoing investment in new truck fleets.
- Sales for industrial applications increased 15 percent with strong demand for auxiliary power unit engines for on-highway trucks as well as various types of Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) equipment.
- Sales for electric power applications increased 2 percent with ongoing demand for data center applications and rental fleets, partially offset by reduced demand for power plants.
- Sales for marine applications increased 1 percent with ongoing demand for workboat engines.

EAME – Sales increased \$49 million, or 5 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$24 million.
- Price realization increased \$35 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$10 million.
- Sales for petroleum applications increased 24 percent with increased demand for turbines and turbine-related services for oil production in Africa/Middle East and gas transmission in Europe.
- Sales for marine applications increased 13 percent from higher demand for oceangoing vessels.
- Sales for electric power applications declined 3 percent, as timing of turbine power plant projects more than offset higher sales of reciprocating engine generator sets for rental fleets and Middle East infrastructure.
- Sales for industrial applications declined 4 percent with lower demand for agricultural equipment.

Latin America – Sales increased \$4 million, or 2 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$2 million.
- Price realization increased \$2 million.
- Sales for electric power applications increased 23 percent from ongoing demand for standby generator sets to support business growth and disaster preparedness.
- Sales for petroleum applications increased 15 percent with strong demand for turbines and turbine-related services to support oil production in Brazil.
- Sales for on-highway truck applications increased 16 percent with continued investment in trucks and strong market acceptance of Caterpillar engines.
- Sales for industrial applications decreased 21 percent with lower sales of engines for agricultural equipment.


Asia/Pacific – Sales increased \$49 million, or 14 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$27 million.
- Price realization increased \$23 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$1 million.
- Sales for petroleum applications increased 73 percent, primarily from demand for turbines and turbine-related services in Southeast Asia as well as increased demand for drill rigs.
- Sales for electric power applications increased 2 percent as rising demand for generator sets to support manufacturing and peaking were partially offset by reduced power plant sales.
- Sales for industrial applications increased 9 percent with growing demand for air compressor and rail applications.
- Sales for marine applications declined 7 percent, primarily from reduced demand for workboat and pleasure craft engines.

Financial Products Revenues – Financial Products revenues were \$649 million, an increase of \$73 million, or 13 percent, from second quarter 2005.

- Growth in *earning assets* increased revenues \$57 million.
- The impact of higher interest rates on new and existing finance receivables at Cat Financial added \$31 million.
- Other revenues declined \$29 million as a result of a \$16 million write-down of a marine-related asset and lower net gains on the sales of receivables of \$8 million.
- Cat Insurance revenues increased about \$10 million due to general growth.

OPERATING PROFIT



Second quarter operating profit improved \$458 million, or 45 percent, from last year, driven by higher price realization, sales volume and the favorable impact of currency.

Partially offsetting the favorable items were \$229 million in higher core operating costs and \$56 million of *stock-based compensation* expense due to the implementation of SFAS 123R in the first quarter of 2006. *Manufacturing costs* represented \$102 million of the total increase in core operating costs. Approximately 95 percent of the manufacturing cost increase was attributable to period manufacturing costs. Period manufacturing costs increased about 10 percent primarily to support 13 percent higher sales. The increase includes items such as machine and equipment repair and maintenance, facility support including energy costs, operations management and durable tooling. The increase also includes costs not directly related to changes in volume such as depreciation of manufacturing assets.

Non-manufacturing related core operating costs were up \$127 million—a result of higher Research and Development (R&D) and Selling, General and Administrative (SG&A) expenses. The \$68 million increase in R&D is due to a significant number of new product introductions. The \$59 million increase in SG&A is due largely to increased employment to support higher volumes, new product introductions, order fulfillment/velocity initiatives, growth of our services and development in China.

Operating Profit by Principal Line of Business

| (Millions of dollars) | Second Quarter 2005 | Second Quarter 2006 | \$ Change | % Change |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Machinery ¹ | \$ 676 | \$ 986 | \$ 310 | 46% |
| Engines ¹ | 265 | 435 | 170 | 64% |
| Financial Products..... | 142 | 157 | 15 | 11% |
| Consolidating Adjustments | (62) | (99) | (37) | |
| Consolidated Operating Profit | <u>\$ 1,021</u> | <u>\$ 1,479</u> | <u>\$ 458</u> | 45% |

¹ Caterpillar operations are highly integrated; therefore, the company uses a number of allocations to determine lines of business operating profit for Machinery and Engines.

Operating Profit by Principal Line of Business

- **Machinery** operating profit of \$986 million was up \$310 million, or 46 percent, from second quarter 2005. The favorable impact of improved price realization and higher sales volume was partially offset by higher core operating costs and stock-based compensation expense.
- **Engines** operating profit of \$435 million was up \$170 million, or 64 percent, from second quarter 2005. The favorable impact of improved price realization, higher sales volume and currency was partially offset by higher core operating costs and stock-based compensation expense.
- **Financial Products** operating profit of \$157 million was up \$15 million, or 11 percent, from second quarter 2005. The increase was primarily due to a \$26 million impact from the continued growth of earning assets and a \$17 million impact from improved net yield on earning assets at Cat Financial. This favorable impact was partially offset by a \$16 million write-down of a marine-related asset and lower net gains on the sales of receivables of \$8 million.

Reconciliation of Machinery and Engine Sales by Geographic Region to External Sales by Marketing Segment

| (Millions of dollars) | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| North America Geographic Region | \$ 5,211 | \$ 4,547 |
| Sales included in the Power Systems Marketing segment | (970) | (907) |
| Sales included in the Electric Power segment | (163) | (153) |
| Company owned dealer sales included in the All Other segment..... | (233) | (207) |
| Other ¹ | (517) | (404) |
| North America Marketing external sales | <u>\$ 3,328</u> | <u>\$ 2,876</u> |
| EAME Geographic Region | \$ 2,678 | \$ 2,379 |
| Sales included in the Power Systems Marketing segment | (196) | (165) |
| Sales included in the Electric Power segment | (317) | (261) |
| Other ¹ | (754) | (768) |
| EAME Marketing external sales | <u>\$ 1,411</u> | <u>\$ 1,185</u> |
| Latin America Geographic Region | \$ 900 | \$ 755 |
| Sales included in the Power Systems Marketing segment | (50) | (38) |
| Sales included in the Electric Power segment | (15) | (16) |
| Other ¹ | (111) | (104) |
| Latin America Marketing external sales | <u>\$ 724</u> | <u>\$ 597</u> |
| Asia/Pacific Geographic Region | \$ 1,167 | \$ 1,103 |
| Sales included in the Power Systems Marketing segment | (129) | (124) |
| Sales included in the Electric Power segment | (121) | (98) |
| Other ¹ | (227) | (242) |
| Asia/Pacific Marketing external sales | <u>\$ 690</u> | <u>\$ 639</u> |

¹ Mostly represents external sales of the All Other segment.


Other Profit/Loss Items

- **Other income/expense** was income of \$50 million compared with income of \$90 million in second quarter 2005. The decrease is due to an unfavorable impact of foreign currency, partially offset by higher investment income at Cat Insurance.
- **The provision for income taxes** in the second quarter reflects an estimated annual tax rate of 31 percent for 2006 compared to 29 percent for the second quarter 2005 and 29.5 percent for the full-year 2005 (excluding discrete items discussed below). The increase is primarily due to a change in our geographic mix of profits as well as the impact of the phase-out provision of the American Jobs Creation Act permitting only 60 percent of Extraterritorial Income Exclusion (ETI) benefits in 2006.

The second quarter 2006 provision for income taxes also includes a discrete benefit of \$5 million for net changes in tax reserves. Favorable settlement of a non-U.S. tax issue resulted in a \$25 million decrease in reserves. This was partially offset by a \$20 million increase in tax reserves for an expected IRS assessment, related to transfer pricing adjustments for tax years 1992 to 1994, which we plan to continue to dispute. The second quarter of 2005 included an \$11 million discrete charge related to 2005 repatriation plans and the full-year 2005 provision for income taxes included a \$31 million discrete benefit.

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 COMPARED WITH SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

SALES AND REVENUES



Sales and revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2006 were \$19.997 billion, up \$2.298 billion, or 13 percent, from the first six months of 2005. Machinery volume was up \$887 million, Engines volume was up \$433 million, price realization improved \$971 million and currency had a negative impact on sales of \$165 million, primarily due to a weaker Euro. In addition, Financial Products revenues increased \$172 million.

Sales and Revenues by Geographic Region

(Millions of dollars)

| | Total | % Change | North America | % Change | EAME | % Change | Latin America | % Change | Asia/ Pacific | % Change |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| Six months ended June 30, 2006 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Machinery..... | \$ 12,987 | 14% | \$ 7,292 | 17% | \$ 2,960 | 6% | \$ 1,249 | 29% | \$ 1,486 | 4% |
| Engines ¹ | 5,712 | 11% | 2,729 | 12% | 1,793 | 6% | 469 | 22% | 721 | 12% |
| Financial Products ² | 1,298 | 15% | 913 | 14% | 185 | 8% | 93 | 41% | 107 | 20% |
| | <u>\$ 19,997</u> | 13% | <u>\$ 10,934</u> | 15% | <u>\$ 4,938</u> | 6% | <u>\$ 1,811</u> | 28% | <u>\$ 2,314</u> | 7% |
| Six months ended June 30, 2005 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Machinery..... | \$ 11,426 | | \$ 6,249 | | \$ 2,785 | | \$ 966 | | \$ 1,426 | |
| Engines ¹ | 5,147 | | 2,426 | | 1,692 | | 386 | | 643 | |
| Financial Products ² | 1,126 | | 800 | | 171 | | 66 | | 89 | |
| | <u>\$ 17,699</u> | | <u>\$ 9,475</u> | | <u>\$ 4,648</u> | | <u>\$ 1,418</u> | | <u>\$ 2,158</u> | |

¹ Does not include internal engines transfers of \$1.169 billion and \$1.004 billion in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Internal engines transfers are valued at prices comparable to those for unrelated parties.

² Does not include revenues earned from Machinery and Engines of \$216 million and \$142 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Machinery Sales were \$12.987 billion, an increase of \$1.561 billion, or 14 percent, from the six months ended June 30, 2005.

- Sales volume increased \$887 million.
- Price realization increased \$770 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$96 million.
- Dealers reported higher inventories in most regions.
- Volume increased in all regions, except Asia/Pacific. The decline there was confined largely to Indonesia, where economic uncertainties caused a decline from record sales a year earlier.
- Dealers reported lower inventories in months of sales.
- Volume in North America increased in response to good growth in nonresidential construction and high metals and energy prices. Housing construction declined, which partially offset strong growth in other types of construction.
- High commodity prices increased sales volume in many developing countries. Those countries used increased revenues to invest more in both energy and mining capacity as well as infrastructure.

North America – Sales increased \$1.043 billion, or 17 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$543 million.
- Price realization increased \$500 million.
- Volume growth benefited from favorable economic conditions, with most key industries receiving better prices for increased output. Those industries used better profits to expand and upgrade machine fleets.
- Overall economic growth was strong, especially in the first quarter. Industrial production increased at over a 5 percent rate in the first half, with investment-oriented sectors doing better than consumer goods.
- Good growth in construction required a large increase in quarry output and prices rose almost 9 percent.
- Mortgage interest rates increased over the first half, causing housing starts to drop slightly below first half 2005. New home prices increased at a slower rate than did prices of material inputs, putting some pressure on contractor margins.
- Nonresidential structures investment increased over 4 percent in the first half and contracts for commercial and industrial construction, net of inflation, increased 22 percent. Increased Federal funding allowed states to increase highway contracting, net of inflation, 11 percent.
- Coal mining benefited from a need to rebuild utility stockpiles and increased electricity production. Metals mines were able to reverse declines in output that occurred in second half 2005 and capitalize on sharply higher prices.

EAME – Sales increased \$175 million, or 6 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$213 million.
- Price realization increased \$75 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$113 million.
- Most of the volume growth occurred in Africa/Middle East. Middle Eastern countries experienced significant increases in oil revenues, which they used to raise energy production and improve infrastructure. African countries used higher commodity revenues to spend more on infrastructure.
- Sales volume also increased in the CIS, the result of higher metals and energy prices, low interest rates and good economic growth.
- The European economy recovered but a large number of models undergoing new product introduction slowed shipments, particularly in the first quarter. Significant recovery occurred in the second quarter, but not quite enough to offset the first quarter.

Latin America – Sales increased \$283 million, or 29 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$159 million.
- Price realization increased \$103 million.
- Currency benefited sales by \$21 million.
- Volume growth resulted from favorable economic conditions, including low interest rates and higher commodity prices. Both mining production and construction spending increased in many countries.
- Sales gains were largest in Brazil. Interest rates declined and the economy rebounded from some softness in late 2005. In addition, both construction and mining activity were strong.
- Sales also increased significantly in Mexico, in response to lower interest rates and good growth in both mining and construction.

Asia/Pacific – Sales increased \$60 million, or 4 percent.

- Sales volume decreased \$28 million.
- Price realization increased \$92 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$4 million.
- The volume decline occurred mostly in Indonesia, the result of abrupt changes in interest rates, the currency and fuel prices, all of which increased end user uncertainty.
- Volume increased significantly in Australia, due to a mining boom and good growth in nonresidential construction.
- China's rapid economic growth, which required greater construction spending, led to a large increase in sales volume.

Engines Sales were \$5.712 billion, an increase of \$565 million, or 11 percent, from the six months ended June 30, 2005.

- Sales volume increased \$433 million.
- Price realization increased \$201 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$69 million.
- Dealer reported inventories adjusted for price were up.
- Dealer reported inventories in months of supply were down.
- The improvement in price realization was due to 2005 price actions that impacted 2006, as well as a first quarter 2006 price increase.

North America – Sales increased \$303 million, or 12 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$233 million.
- Price realization increased \$70 million.
- Sales for petroleum applications increased 46 percent with strong growth in reciprocating engines for gas drilling and compression applications, as well as turbines and turbine related services for gas pipelines.
- Sales for on-highway truck applications increased 3 percent with ongoing investment in new truck fleets.
- Sales for industrial applications increased 16 percent with strong demand for auxiliary power unit engines for on-highway trucks, as well as various types of OEM equipment.
- Sales for electric power applications increased 5 percent with ongoing demand for data center applications and rental fleets, partially offset by reduced demand for power plants.
- Sales for marine applications increased 5 percent with ongoing demand for workboat engines.

EAME – Sales increased \$101 million, or 6 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$82 million.
- Price realization increased \$78 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$59 million.
- Sales for marine applications increased 14 percent from higher demand for oceangoing vessels.
- Sales for electric power applications increased 2 percent, as timing of turbine power plant projects partially offset higher sales of reciprocating engine generator sets for rental fleets and Middle East infrastructure.
- Sales for petroleum applications increased 6 percent with increased demand for turbines and turbine related services for oil production in Africa/Middle East, and gas transmission in Europe.
- Sales for industrial applications declined 7 percent with lower demand for agricultural equipment and the impact of engine vertical integration at OEMs.

Latin America – Sales increased \$83 million, or 22 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$70 million.
- Price realization increased \$13 million.
- Sales for petroleum applications increased 35 percent with strong demand for turbines and turbine related services to support oil production.
- Sales for electric power applications increased 26 percent from ongoing demand for standby generator sets to support business growth and disaster preparedness.
- Sales for on-highway truck applications increased 20 percent with continued investment in trucks and strong market acceptance of Caterpillar engines.
- Sales for industrial applications decreased 21 percent with lower sales of engines for agricultural equipment.


Asia/Pacific – Sales increased \$78 million, or 12 percent.

- Sales volume increased \$48 million.
- Price realization increased \$40 million.
- Currency reduced sales \$10 million.
- Sales for petroleum applications increased 36 percent primarily from demand for turbines and turbine related services in Southeast Asia, as well as increased demand for drill rigs.
- Sales for marine applications increased 21 percent primarily from increased demand for oceangoing engines.
- Sales for industrial applications increased 12 percent with growing demand for engines in air compressor and rail applications.
- Sales for electric power applications decreased 7 percent as increased demand for generator sets to support manufacturing and peaking were more than offset by reduced power plant sales.

Financial Products Revenues – Financial Products revenues were \$1.298 billion, an increase of \$172 million, or 15 percent, from the first six months of 2005.

- Growth in earning assets increased revenues \$100 million.
- The impact of higher interest rates on new and existing finance receivables at Cat Financial added \$64 million.
- Other revenues declined \$21 million as a result of a \$16 million write-down of a marine-related asset.
- Cat Insurance and Cat Power Ventures revenues increased \$33 million.

OPERATING PROFIT



Higher sales and revenues in almost all regions and industries resulted in a favorable operating profit impact of \$920 million, or 52 percent compared to the first half of 2005. This increase was driven by higher price realization of \$971 million, higher sales volume of \$493 million and the favorable impact of currency of \$116 million. In addition, Financial Products contributed \$61 million to the increase in operating profit.

Partially offsetting the favorable items were \$532 million in higher core operating costs and \$90 million of stock-based compensation expense due to the implementation of SFAS 123R in the first quarter of 2006. Manufacturing costs represented \$272 million of the total increase in core operating costs. Approximately 80 percent of the manufacturing cost increase was attributable to period manufacturing costs. Period manufacturing costs increased about 10 percent primarily to support 13 percent higher sales. The increase includes items such as machine and equipment repair and maintenance, facility support including energy costs, operations management and durable tooling. The increase also includes costs not directly related to changes in volume such as depreciation of manufacturing assets. The remaining 20 percent of the manufacturing cost increase was attributable to variable cost increases resulted from volume-related inefficiencies due to operating at near capacity levels in many of our facilities.

Non-manufacturing related core operating costs were up \$260 million—a result of higher Research and Development (R&D) and Selling, General and Administrative (SG&A) expenses. The \$130 million increase in R&D is due to a significant number of new product introductions. The \$130 million increase in SG&A is due largely to increased employment to support higher volumes, new product introductions, order fulfillment/velocity initiatives, growth of our services and development in China.

Operating Profit by Principal Line of Business

| (Millions of dollars) | Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 | Change \$ | Change % |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Machinery ¹ | \$ 1,172 | \$ 1,823 | \$ 651 | 56% |
| Engines ¹ | 448 | 729 | 281 | 63% |
| Financial Products | 266 | 327 | 61 | 23% |
| Consolidating Adjustments | (109) | (182) | (73) | |
| Consolidated Operating Profit | <u>\$ 1,777</u> | <u>\$ 2,697</u> | <u>\$ 920</u> | 52% |

¹ Caterpillar operations are highly integrated; therefore, the company uses a number of allocations to determine lines of business operating profit for Machinery and Engines.

Operating Profit by Principal Line of Business

- **Machinery** operating profit of \$1.823 billion was up \$651 million, or 56 percent, from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The favorable impact of improved price realization and higher sales volume was partially offset by higher core operating costs and stock-based compensation expense.
- **Engines** operating profit of \$729 million was up \$281 million, or 63 percent, from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The favorable impact of improved price realization, higher sales volume and currency was partially offset by higher core operating costs and stock-based compensation expense.
- **Financial Products** operating profit of \$327 million was up \$61 million, or 23 percent, from the six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase was primarily due to a \$46 million impact from the continued growth of earning assets and a \$32 million impact from improved net yield on earning assets at Cat Financial. This favorable impact was partially offset by a \$16 million write-down of a marine-related asset.

Reconciliation of Machinery and Engine Sales by Geographic Region to External Sales by Marketing Segment

| (Millions of dollars) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| North America Geographic Region | \$ 10,022 | \$ 8,675 |
| Sales included in the Power Systems Marketing segment | (1,895) | (1,710) |
| Sales included in the Electric Power segment | (309) | (287) |
| Company owned dealer sales included in the All Other segment | (435) | (407) |
| Other ¹ | (936) | (902) |
| North America Marketing external sales | <u>\$ 6,447</u> | <u>\$ 5,369</u> |
| EAME Geographic Region | \$ 4,752 | \$ 4,477 |
| Sales included in the Power Systems Marketing segment | (349) | (312) |
| Sales included in the Electric Power segment | (604) | (484) |
| Other ¹ | (1,310) | (1,393) |
| EAME Marketing external sales | <u>\$ 2,489</u> | <u>\$ 2,288</u> |
| Latin America Geographic Region | \$ 1,718 | \$ 1,352 |
| Sales included in the Power Systems Marketing segment | (93) | (72) |
| Sales included in the Electric Power segment | (24) | (33) |
| Other ¹ | (245) | (162) |
| Latin America Marketing external sales | <u>\$ 1,356</u> | <u>\$ 1,085</u> |
| Asia/Pacific Geographic Region | \$ 2,207 | \$ 2,069 |
| Sales included in the Power Systems Marketing segment | (254) | (233) |
| Sales included in the Electric Power segment | (204) | (182) |
| Other ¹ | (425) | (424) |
| Asia/Pacific Marketing external sales | <u>\$ 1,324</u> | <u>\$ 1,230</u> |

¹ Mostly represents external sales of the All Other segment.

OTHER PROFIT/LOSS ITEMS

- **Other income/expense** was income of \$93 million compared with income of \$198 million in the first six months of 2005. The decrease is due to an unfavorable impact of foreign currency.
- **The provision for income taxes** in the first six months of 2006 reflects an estimated annual tax rate of 31 percent compared to 29 percent for the first six months of 2005 and 29.5 percent for the full-year 2005 (excluding discrete items discussed below). The increase is primarily due to a change in our geographic mix of profits as well as the impact of the phase-out provision of the American Jobs Creation Act permitting only 60 percent of Extraterritorial Income Exclusion (ETI) benefits in 2006.

The provision for income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2006 also includes a discrete benefit of \$5 million for net changes in tax reserves. Favorable settlement of a non-U.S. tax issue resulted in a \$25 million decrease in reserves. This was partially offset by a \$20 million increase in tax reserves for an expected IRS assessment, related to transfer pricing adjustments for tax years 1992 to 1994, which we plan to continue to dispute. The provision for income taxes for the first six months of 2005 included an \$11 million discrete charge related to 2005 repatriation plans and the full-year 2005 provision for income taxes included a \$31 million discrete benefit.

Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," (SFAS 123R) using the modified prospective transition method. The modified prospective transition method requires compensation expense to be recognized in the financial statements for all awards granted after the date of adoption as well as for the unvested portion of previously granted awards outstanding as of the date of adoption. Prior to the date of adoption of SFAS 123R, we used the intrinsic-value based method, as described in Accounting Principles Board No. 25, to account for stock options and no compensation expense was recognized in association with our stock awards. Pro forma profit and profit per share as if we had applied the fair value method were previously disclosed in the footnotes in accordance with SFAS 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosures."

In anticipation of the adoption of SFAS 123R, we did not modify the terms of any previously granted options. Our stock incentive plans provide for the granting of nonqualified options and stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs) to officers, directors and key employees of the company. Options granted prior to 2004 vested at the rate of one-third per year over the three-year period following the date of grant. In anticipation of delaying vesting until three years after the grant date for future grants, the 2004 grant was vested on December 31, 2004. In order to better align our employee stock option program with the overall market, the number of options granted in 2005 was significantly reduced from the previous year. In response to this decrease, we elected to immediately vest the 2005 grant. To further align our stock award program with the overall market, we adjusted our 2006 grant by reducing the overall number of employee awards granted in the first quarter of 2006 and utilizing a mix of SARs and option awards. The 2006 grant is generally exercisable three years after the date of grant. At grant, all awards have a term life of ten years. Upon retirement, the term life is reduced to a maximum of five remaining years.

We expect the application of the expensing provisions of SFAS 123R will result in a pretax expense of approximately \$135 million in 2006. As a result of our vesting decisions discussed above, a full complement of expense related to stock-based compensation will not be recognized in our results of operations until 2009. Based on the same assumptions used to value our 2006 compensation expense, we estimate our pretax expense associated with our stock-based compensation plans will range from approximately \$170 million in 2007 to approximately \$220 million in 2009.

We use a lattice-based option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of options and SARs granted. The adoption of SFAS 123R reduced operating profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 by \$58 million and \$92 million, respectively, and reduced profit after-tax by \$39 million (\$0.06 per share basic and \$0.04 per share diluted) and \$61 million (\$0.09 per share basic and \$0.06 per share diluted), respectively. At June 30, 2006, \$147 million of expense with respect to unvested stock-based awards has yet to be recognized and will be expensed over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years.

Progress Rail Services, Inc. Acquisition

On June 19, 2006, Caterpillar acquired 100 percent of the equity in Progress Rail Services, Inc. (Progress Rail) for approximately \$1 billion, including the assumption of \$200 million in debt. A privately held company based in Albertville, Alabama, Progress Rail is a leading provider of remanufactured locomotive and railcar products and services to the North American railroad industry. With 2005 sales of \$1.2 billion, the company has one of the most extensive rail service and supply networks in North America. It operates more than 90 facilities in 29 states in the United States, Canada and Mexico, with about 3,700 employees. Expansion into the rail aftermarket business is a strong fit with our strategic direction and will leverage Caterpillar's remanufacturing capabilities.

The transaction was financed with available cash and commercial paper borrowings of \$427 million and Caterpillar stock of \$379 million (5.3 million shares). Net tangible assets acquired, recorded at their fair values, primarily were inventories of \$258 million, receivables of \$169 million and property, plant and equipment of \$251 million. Liabilities acquired, recorded at their fair values, primarily consisted of assumed debt of \$200 million, accounts payable of \$148 million and deferred tax liabilities of \$122 million. Finite-lived intangible assets acquired of \$221 million related primarily to customer relationships, and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 20 years. Goodwill of \$401 million, non-deductible for income tax purposes, represents the excess of cost over the fair value of net tangible and finite-lived intangible assets acquired. These values represent a preliminary allocation of the purchase price subject to finalization of fair value appraisals and other post-closing procedures. The acquisition has been reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and is reported in the "All Other" segment. Assuming this transaction had been made at January 1, 2006, the consolidated pro forma results would not be materially different from reported results.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

1. **Consolidating Adjustments** – Eliminations of transactions between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.
2. **Core Operating Costs** – Machinery and Engines variable manufacturing cost change adjusted for volume and change in period costs. Excludes the impact of currency and stock-based compensation.
3. **Currency** – With respect to sales and revenues, currency represents the translation impact on sales resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates versus the U.S. dollar. With respect to operating profit, currency represents the net translation impact on sales and operating costs resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates versus the U.S. dollar. Currency includes the impacts on sales and operating profit for the Machinery and Engines lines of business only; currency impacts on Financial Products revenues and operating profit are included in the Financial Products portions of the respective analyses. With respect to other income/expense, currency represents the effects of forward and option contracts entered into by the company to reduce the risk of fluctuations in exchange rates and the net effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on our foreign currency assets and liabilities for consolidated results.
4. **EAME** – Geographic region including Europe, Africa, the Middle East and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS).
5. **Earning Assets** – These assets consist primarily of total finance receivables net of unearned income, plus equipment on operating leases, less accumulated depreciation at Cat Financial.
6. **Engines** – A principal line of business including the design, manufacture, marketing and sales of engines for Caterpillar machinery; electric power generation systems; on-highway vehicles and locomotives; marine, petroleum, construction, industrial, agricultural and other applications; and related parts. Reciprocating engines meet power needs ranging from 5 to 21,500 horsepower (4 to over 16 000 kilowatts). Turbines range from 1,600 to 20,500 horsepower (1 200 to 15 000 kilowatts).
7. **Financial Products** – A principal line of business consisting primarily of Caterpillar Financial Services Corporation (Cat Financial), Caterpillar Insurance Holdings, Inc. (Cat Insurance), Caterpillar Power Ventures Corporation (Cat Power Ventures) and their respective subsidiaries. Cat Financial provides a wide range of financing alternatives to customers and dealers for Caterpillar machinery and engines, Solar gas turbines as well as other equipment and marine vessels. Cat Financial also extends loans to customers and dealers. Cat Insurance provides various forms of insurance to customers and dealers to help support the purchase and lease of our equipment. Cat Power Ventures is an investor in independent power projects using Caterpillar power generation equipment and services.
8. **Latin America** – Geographic region including Central and South American countries and Mexico.
9. **Machinery** – A principal line of business which includes the design, manufacture, marketing and sales of construction, mining and forestry machinery—track and wheel tractors, track and wheel loaders, pipelayers, motor graders, wheel tractor-scrapers, track and wheel excavators, backhoe loaders, log skidders, log loaders, off-highway trucks, articulated trucks, paving products, telehandlers, skid steer loaders and related parts. Also includes logistics services for other companies and rail-related products and services.
10. **Machinery and Engines (M&E)** – Due to the highly integrated nature of operations, it represents the aggregate total of the Machinery and Engines lines of business and includes primarily our manufacturing, marketing and parts distribution operations.
11. **Manufacturing Costs** – Manufacturing costs represent the volume-adjusted change for variable costs and the absolute dollar change for period manufacturing costs. Variable manufacturing costs are defined as having a direct relationship with the volume of production. This includes material costs, direct labor and other costs that vary directly with production volume such as freight, power to operate machines and supplies that are consumed in the manufacturing process. Period manufacturing costs support production but are defined as generally not having a direct relationship to short-term changes in volume. Examples include machine and equipment repair, depreciation on manufacturing assets, facility support, procurement, factory scheduling, manufacturing planning and operations management. Excludes the impact of currency and stock-based compensation.
12. **M&E Other Operating Expenses** – Comprised primarily of gains (losses) on disposal of long-lived assets, long-lived asset impairment charges and impairment of goodwill.
13. **Period Costs** – Comprised of Machinery and Engines period manufacturing costs, SG&A expense and R&D expense. Excludes the impact of currency and stock-based compensation.

14. **Price Realization** – The impact of net price changes excluding currency. Includes the impact of changes in the relative weighting of sales between geographic regions.
15. **Sales Volume** – With respect to sales and revenues, sales volume represents the impact of changes in the quantities sold for machines, engines and parts. With respect to operating profit, sales volume represents the impact of changes in the quantities sold for machines, engines and parts combined with the net operating profit impact of changes in the relative weighting of machines, engines and parts sales with respect to total sales.
16. **Stock-Based Compensation** – As required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123R, we began expensing stock-based compensation awards in 2006. Compensation cost is based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant. Our awards consist of stock options and stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs).
17. **6 Sigma** – On a technical level, 6 Sigma represents a measure of variation that achieves 3.4 defects per million opportunities. At Caterpillar, 6 Sigma represents a much broader cultural philosophy to drive continuous improvement throughout the value chain. It is a fact-based, data-driven methodology that we are using to improve processes, enhance quality, cut costs, grow our business and deliver greater value to our customers through Black Belt-led project teams. At Caterpillar, 6 Sigma goes beyond mere process improvement—it has become the way we work as teams to process business information, solve problems and manage our business successfully.

Liquidity & Capital Resources

Sources of funds

We generate our capital resources primarily through operations. Through the second quarter 2006, consolidated operating cash flow was \$1.95 billion. Through the second quarter of 2005, consolidated cash flow was \$996 million. The significant increase of \$952 million is primarily the result of higher profitability and lower working capital requirements. We anticipate that the majority of future capital resource requirements will be funded by operating cash flow, which is largely sourced from profits. See our Outlook on page 59.

Total debt as of June 30, 2006, was \$27.29 billion, an increase of \$1.55 billion from year-end 2005. Debt related to Machinery and Engines of \$4.55 billion increased \$626 million as cash used for capital expenditures, acquisitions (see page 42 for discussion of our acquisition of Progress Rail), dividends and share repurchase more than offset operating cash flow. Debt related to Financial Products of \$22.74 billion increased \$921 million due to growth at Cat Financial. We have three global credit facilities with a syndicate of banks totaling \$5.75 billion available in the aggregate to both Machinery and Engines and Financial Products to support commercial paper programs. Based on management's allocation decision, which can be revised at any time, the portion of the facility available to Cat Financial at June 30, 2006 was \$5.15 billion. A five-year facility of \$1.63 billion expires in September 2010, and a five-year facility of \$2.50 billion expires in September 2009. A 364-day facility of \$1.63 billion expires in September 2006. The facility expiring in September 2006 has a provision that allows Caterpillar or Cat Financial to obtain a one-year loan in September 2006 that would mature in September 2007. We also have a \$1.00 billion bilateral credit facility with one bank available to Machinery and Engines to support its commercial paper program. At June 30, 2006, there were no borrowings under these lines. Our total credit commitments as of June 30, 2006 were:

| | (Millions of dollars) | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Consolidated | Machinery and Engines | Financial Products |
| Credit lines available: | | | |
| Global credit facility | \$ 5,750 | \$ 600 | \$ 5,150 |
| Other external | 3,472 | 1,968 | 1,504 |
| Total credit lines available | 9,222 | 2,568 | 6,654 |
| Less: Global credit facility supporting commercial paper | 5,422 | 933 | 4,489 |
| Less: Utilized credit | 681 | 322 | 359 |
| Available credit | <u>\$ 3,119</u> | <u>\$ 1,313</u> | <u>\$ 1,806</u> |

We also generate funding through the securitization and sale of receivables/leases where the investors and/or the securitization trusts have limited or no recourse to Caterpillar or Cat Financial. During the first six months of 2006 Cat Financial received \$964 million in net proceeds from a public securitization of retail installment sale contracts and finance leases, which included cash proceeds and retained interests.

We do not generate material funding through structured finance transactions.

Machinery and Engines

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1.97 billion compared to \$853 million for the same period a year ago. The favorable change is due to higher profit and lower working capital requirements, during the first six months of 2006 despite an increase in inventory. Inventory has increased significantly in both 2005 and 2006 primarily due to record demand in both periods. This demand has resulted in longer delivery times for many of our products. We are continuing to work with suppliers and within our factories to remove bottlenecks and increase production for many of our product lines. In addition to the record demand in 2006, we have been slow in ramping up delivery on some of our new product introductions.

Capital expenditures, excluding equipment leased to others, during the six months ended June 30, 2006 were \$536 million, an increase of \$151 million from the same period a year ago. The increase is a result of increased spending to support growth and new product introductions. Cash used for acquisitions (net of cash acquired) was \$419 million, primarily for the purchase of Progress Rail.

Pursuant to the share repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors in October 2003, \$2.4 billion was spent to purchase 33.3 million shares during the first six months of 2006. We received \$349 million related to 12.8 million shares issued for stock options exercised as of June 2006 while 5.3 million shares with a fair value of \$379 million were used in the acquisition of Progress Rail. There were 656 million shares outstanding at the end of the second quarter 2006. The goal of the share repurchase program, which expires in October 2008, is to reduce the company's outstanding shares to 640 million.

Dividends paid totaled \$335 million for the first half of 2006, representing a quarterly dividend rate of 25 cents per share. On June 14, 2006, we increased the quarterly cash dividend 20 percent to 30 cents per share payable August 19, 2006 to stockholders of record at the close of business on July 20, 2006.

A key measure of Machinery and Engines financial strength used by both management and our credit rating agencies is Machinery and Engines' debt to debt plus equity. Debt to debt plus equity is defined as short-term borrowings, long-term debt due within one year, and long-term debt due after one year (debt) divided by the sum of debt and stockholders' equity. Debt also includes borrowings from Financial Products. At June 30, 2006, Machinery and Engines debt to debt plus equity was 36.4 percent compared to 32.0 percent at December 31, 2005. The change was primarily due to the increase in Machinery and Engines debt resulting from cash used for capital expenditures, acquisitions, dividends and share repurchase more than offset operating cash flow.

Financial Products

Operating cash flow was \$499 million through the second quarter 2006, compared with \$556 million for the same period a year ago. Cash used to purchase equipment leased to others was \$548 million through the second quarter 2006, a decrease of \$60 million from the same period a year ago. Net cash used for finance receivables was \$560 million through the second quarter 2006, compared to \$1.28 billion for the same period in 2005, primarily because of additional proceeds from sale of receivables.

Financial Products total borrowings were \$22.74 billion at June 30, 2006, an increase of \$921 million from December 31, 2005 due to financing a higher amount of assets. Debt repayment in Financial Products depends primarily on timely repayment and collectibility of the receivables portfolio. At June 30, 2006, finance receivables past due over 30 days were 1.70 percent, compared with 2.03 percent at the end of June 30, 2005. The allowance for credit losses was 1.33 percent of finance receivables, net of unearned income at June 30, 2006, compared to 1.38 percent at June 30, 2005. Receivables written off due to uncollectibility, net of recoveries on receivables previously written off, were \$20 million and \$8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts. The more significant estimates include: residual values for leased assets, fair market values for goodwill impairment tests, warranty liability, stock-based compensation, and reserves for product liability and insurance losses, postretirement benefits, post-sale discounts, credit losses and income taxes. We have incorporated many years of data into the determination of each of these estimates and we have not historically experienced significant adjustments. These assumptions are reviewed at least annually with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. Following are the methods and assumptions used in determining our estimates and an indication of the risks inherent in each.

Residual values for leased assets - Determined based on the product, specifications, application and hours of usage. Each product has its own model for evaluation that includes market value cycles and forecasts. Consideration is also given to the amount of assets that will be returned from lease during a given time frame. Residual values could decline due to economic factors, obsolescence or other adverse circumstances.

Fair market values for goodwill impairment tests - Determined for each reporting unit by discounting projected cash flow for five years and adding a year-five residual value based upon a market Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) multiple. The estimated fair value could be impacted by changes in interest rates, growth rates, costs, capital expenditures and market conditions.

Warranty liability - Determined by applying historical claim rate experience to the current field population and dealer inventory. Historical claim rates are developed using a rolling average of actual warranty payments. Warranty payments may differ from those estimated if actual claim rates are higher or lower than our historical rates.

Stock-based compensation - We use a lattice-based option-pricing model to calculate the fair value of our stock awards. The calculation of the fair value of the awards using the lattice-based option-pricing model is affected by our stock price on the date of grant as well as assumptions regarding the following:

- Volatility is a measure of the amount by which the stock price is expected to fluctuate each year during the expected life of the award and is based on historical and current implied volatilities from traded options on Caterpillar stock. The implied volatilities from traded options are impacted by changes in market conditions. An increase in the volatility would result in an increase in our expense.
- The expected term represents the period of time that awards granted are expected to be outstanding and is an output of the lattice-based option-pricing model. In determining the expected term of the award, future exercise and forfeiture patterns are estimated from Caterpillar employee historical exercise behavior. These patterns are also affected by the vesting conditions of the award. Changes in the future exercise behavior of employees or in the vesting period of the award could result in a change in the expected term. An increase in the expected term would result in an increase to our expense.
- The dividend yield is based on Caterpillar's historical dividend yields. As holders of stock-based awards do not receive dividend payments, this could result in employees retaining the award for a longer period of time if dividend yields decrease or exercising the award sooner if dividend yields increase. A decrease in the dividend yield would result in an increase in our expense.
- The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at time of grant. As the risk-free interest rate increases, the expected term increases, resulting in an increase in our expense.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the number of awards that are expected to vest. In determining the stock-based compensation expense to be recognized, a forfeiture rate is applied to the fair value of the award. This rate represents the number of awards that are expected to be forfeited prior to vesting and is based on Caterpillar employee historical behavior. Changes in the future behavior of employees could impact this rate. A decrease in this rate would result in an increase in our expense.

Product liability and insurance loss reserve - Determined based upon reported claims in process of settlement and actuarial estimates for losses incurred but not reported. Loss reserves, including incurred but not reported reserves, are based on estimates and ultimate settlements may vary significantly from such estimates due to increased claims frequency or severity over historical levels.

Postretirement benefits - Primary actuarial assumptions are determined as follows:

- The U.S. expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on our estimate of long-term passive returns for equities and fixed income securities weighted by the allocation of our plan assets. Based on historical performance, we increase the passive returns due to our active management of the plan assets. A similar process is used to determine the rate for our non-U.S. pension plans. This rate is impacted by changes in general market conditions, but because it represents a long-term rate, it is not significantly impacted by short-term market swings. Changes in our allocation of plan assets would also impact this rate. For example, a shift to more fixed income securities would lower the rate. A decrease in the rate would increase our expense.
- The assumed discount rate is used to discount future benefit obligations back to today's dollars. The U.S. discount rate is based on the Moody's Aa bond yield as of our measurement date, November 30, and represents the rate at which our benefit obligations could effectively be settled. To validate the discount rate, a detailed analysis of the individual plans' expected cash flows is made annually. This involves analyzing Caterpillar's projected cash flows against a high quality bond yield curve, calculated using a wide population of corporate Aa bonds. The modeled discount rate that results from matching the aggregate expected future cash flow from the Caterpillar benefit plans to the yield curve of high quality corporate bonds is consistent with the annualized Moody's Aa rate. A similar process is used to determine the assumed discount rate for our non-U.S. plans. This rate is sensitive to changes in interest rates. A decrease in the discount rate would increase our obligation and expense.

- The expected rate of compensation increase is used to develop benefit obligations using projected pay at retirement. It represents average long-term salary increases. This rate is influenced by our long-term compensation policies. An increase in the rate would increase our obligation and expense.
- The assumed health care trend rate represents the rate at which health care costs are assumed to increase and is based on historical and expected experience. Changes in our projections of future health care costs due to general economic conditions and those specific to health care (e.g. technology driven cost changes) will impact this trend rate. An increase in the trend rate would increase our obligation and expense.

Post-sale discount reserve – The company extends numerous merchandising programs that provide discounts to dealers or OEMs as products are sold to end users. The reserve is determined based on historical data adjusted for known changes in merchandising programs. Discounts paid may differ from those estimated if actual program usage is higher or lower than our historical or expected rates.

Credit loss reserve – Management's ongoing evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses considers both impaired and unimpaired finance receivables and takes into consideration past loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of underlying collateral and current economic conditions. In estimating probable losses, we review accounts that are past due, non-performing, in bankruptcy or otherwise identified as at risk for potential credit loss. Accounts are identified as at risk for potential credit loss using information available about the customer, such as financial statements, news reports and published credit ratings as well as general information regarding industry trends and the general economic environment.

The allowance for credit losses attributable to specific accounts is based on the most probable source of repayment, which is normally the liquidation of collateral. In determining collateral value we estimate current fair market value of collateral and factor in credit enhancements such as additional collateral and third party guarantees. The allowance for credit losses attributable to the remaining accounts is a general allowance based upon the risk in the portfolio, primarily using probabilities of default and an estimate of associated losses. In addition, qualitative factors not able to be fully captured in previous analysis including industry trends, macroeconomic factors and model imprecision are considered in the evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. These qualitative factors are subjective and require a degree of management judgment.

While management believes it has exercised prudent judgment and applied reasonable assumptions which have resulted in an allowance presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, there can be no assurance that in the future, changes in economic conditions or other factors might cause changes in the financial health of our customers which could change the timing and level of payments received thus necessitate a change to our estimated losses.

Income tax reserve – Despite our belief that our tax return positions are consistent with applicable tax law, we believe that certain positions are likely to be challenged by taxing authorities. Settlement of any challenge can result in no change, a complete disallowance, or some partial adjustment reached through negotiations or litigation. Significant judgment is required in evaluating our tax reserves. Our reserves are adjusted in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of our tax audits. Our income tax expense includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that we consider appropriate, as well as related interest and penalties. Unfavorable adjustments to our tax filing position for issues included in our tax reserves would require use of our cash. Favorable resolution would be recognized as a reduction to income tax expense at the time of resolution.

EMPLOYMENT

Caterpillar's worldwide employment was 92,314 in second quarter 2006 compared with 82,248 in second quarter 2005. The increase was due to about 5,200 employee additions through acquisitions, primarily due to Progress Rail, about 2,900 hourly labor additions to support higher volume and approximately 1,900 salaried and management additions to support higher volume, new product introductions and growth of our services.

OTHER MATTERS

Environmental and Legal Matters

The company is regulated by federal, state and international environmental laws governing our use of substances and control of emissions. In addition to governing our manufacturing and other operations, these laws often impact the development of our products, including through required compliance with air emissions standards applicable to internal combustion engines. Compliance with these existing laws has not had a material impact on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position.

We are cleaning up contamination at a number of locations, often with other companies, pursuant to federal and state laws. When it is probable we will pay cleanup costs at a site and those costs can be estimated, the costs are charged against our earnings. In formulating that estimate, we do not consider amounts expected to be recovered from insurance companies and others.

The amount recorded for environmental cleanup is not material and is included in "Accrued expenses" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. Currently, we have several sites in the very early stages of cleanup, and there is no more than a remote chance that a material amount for cleanup at any individual site or at all sites in the aggregate will be required.

We have disclosed certain individual legal proceedings in this filing. Additionally, we are involved in other unresolved legal actions that arise in the normal course of business. The most prevalent of these actions involve disputes related to product design, manufacture and performance liability (including claimed asbestos and welding fumes exposure), contracts, employment issues and intellectual property rights. Although it is not possible to predict with certainty the outcome of these legal actions or the range of probable loss, we believe that these legal actions will not individually or in the aggregate have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On January 16, 2002, Caterpillar commenced an action in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit of Illinois in Peoria, Illinois, against Navistar International Transportation Corporation and International Truck and Engine Corporation (collectively Navistar). The lawsuit arises out of a long-term purchase contract between Caterpillar and Navistar effective May 31, 1988, as amended from time to time (the Purchase Agreement). The pending complaint alleges, among other things, that Navistar breached its contractual obligations by: (i) paying Caterpillar \$8.08 less per fuel injector than the agreed upon price for new unit injectors delivered by Caterpillar; (ii) refusing to pay contractually agreed upon surcharges owed as a result of Navistar ordering less than planned volumes of replacement unit injectors; and (iii) refusing to pay contractually agreed upon interest stemming from Navistar's late payments. As of June 30, 2006, the net past due receivable from Navistar regarding the foregoing and included in "Long-term receivables – trade and other" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position totaled \$139 million. The pending complaint also has claims alleging that Newstream Enterprises and Navistar, collectively and individually, failed to pay the applicable price to Caterpillar for shipments of unit injectors to Newstream. As of June 30, 2006, the net past due receivables for the foregoing, included in "Long-term receivables – trade and other" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position totaled \$12 million. The pending complaint further alleges that Sturman Industries, Inc. and Sturman Engine Systems, Inc. colluded with Navistar to utilize technology that Sturman Industries, Inc. misappropriated from Caterpillar to help Navistar develop its G2 fuel system, and tortiously interfered with the Purchase Agreement and Caterpillar's prospective economic relationship with Navistar. The pending complaint further alleges that the two parties' collusion led Navistar to select Sturman Engine Systems, Inc., and another company, instead of Caterpillar, to develop and manufacture the G2 fuel system. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On September 30, 2005, International Truck and Engine Corporation (International) commenced an action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit of Illinois in Peoria, Illinois. The lawsuit states that it arises out of the Purchase Agreement described above. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Caterpillar procured the Purchase Agreement by fraudulently misrepresenting or concealing information related to the business of selling fuel injectors to International, and that Caterpillar breached the Purchase Agreement. International's complaint does not specify the amount of damages being sought. Caterpillar intends to defend itself vigorously in this case. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On May 7, 2002, International commenced an action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois regarding a long-term agreement term sheet (term sheet) (the first lawsuit). In its sixth amended complaint in the first lawsuit, International alleged that the term sheet constitutes a legally binding contract for the sale of heavy-duty engines at specified prices through the end of 2006, alleged that Caterpillar breached the term sheet by, among other things, raising certain prices effective October 1, 2002, and also alleged that Caterpillar breached an obligation to negotiate a comprehensive long-term agreement referenced in the term sheet. International sought declaratory and injunctive relief as well as damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Caterpillar denied International's claims and filed a counterclaim seeking a declaration that the term sheet had been effectively terminated. Caterpillar also asserted that pursuant to a subsequent agreement International released Caterpillar from certain of its claims. On September 24, 2003, the Appellate Court of Illinois, ruling on an interlocutory appeal, issued an order consistent with Caterpillar's position that, even if the court subsequently determines that the term sheet is a binding contract, it is indefinite in duration and was therefore terminable at will by Caterpillar upon reasonable notice. On April 12, 2005, International commenced a second, related action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois (the second lawsuit). The second lawsuit contained allegations similar to the allegations contained in the first lawsuit. International also alleged in the second lawsuit that Caterpillar materially breached the subsequent agreement. On June 15, 2005, International voluntarily dismissed its complaint in the first lawsuit. The second lawsuit has been consolidated with Caterpillar's counterclaims from the first lawsuit. On June 2, 2006, the court vacated the trial date, which had been scheduled to begin during the third quarter of 2006. The court has not set a new trial date. This case is not related to the breach of contract action brought by Caterpillar against Navistar which is currently pending in the Circuit Court of Peoria County, Illinois. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On September 29, 2004, Kruse Technology Partnership (Kruse) filed a lawsuit against Caterpillar in the United States District Court for the Central District of California alleging that certain Caterpillar engines built from October 2002 to the present infringe upon certain claims of three of Kruse's patents on engine fuel injection timing and combustion strategies. Kruse seeks monetary damages, injunctive relief and a finding that the alleged infringement by Caterpillar was willful. Caterpillar denies Kruse's allegations, believes they are without merit, and has filed a counterclaim seeking a declaration from the court that Caterpillar is not infringing upon Kruse's patents and that the patents are invalid and unenforceable. The counterclaim filed by Caterpillar is pending and no trial date is currently scheduled. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

In November 2004, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) alleged that Caterpillar had constructed a facility in Emporia, Kansas that failed to comply with Section 112(g)(2)(B) of the Federal Clean Air Act. Caterpillar sold the Emporia, Kansas facility in December 2002. This matter has now been settled and terminated by Consent Decree, entered on June 12, 2006, in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas, and Caterpillar's payment of a civil penalty of \$300,000 on June 14, 2006. Accordingly, in the opinion of our management, this matter is closed and will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On June 26, 2006, the UK Environment Agency filed a claim against Caterpillar Logistics Services (UK) Ltd. (CLS) before the Leicester & Rutland Magistrates Court. The complaint alleges that CLS failed to follow UK regulations in connection with the handling and disposal of special waste (primarily batteries) from January through September 2005. Eight separate offenses have been cited. CLS is required to appear before the Court on August 3, 2006, to respond to the charges. No claim for monetary penalties has been stated in the complaint. Although the regulations provide for the assessment of fines, we have no basis to determine at what level at this time. We estimate total fines could reach £85,000 (approximately \$157,000) should CLS be found to have committed all of the alleged offenses. While CLS intends to defend itself in this action, it is the opinion of our management that the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) completed its field examination of our 1995 through 1999 U.S. tax returns during the second quarter of 2005. In connection with this examination, we received notices of certain adjustments proposed by the IRS, primarily related to foreign sales corporation commissions, foreign tax credit calculations and research and development credits. We disagree with these proposed adjustments and are vigorously disputing this matter through applicable IRS and judicial procedures, as appropriate. Although the final resolution of the proposed adjustments is uncertain, in the opinion of our management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

The World Trade Organization (WTO) previously found that the transitional and grandfathering provisions for extraterritorial income exclusion (ETI), under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, did not satisfy the United States' obligation to "withdraw" prohibited export subsidies. The WTO result allowed the European Union to impose already authorized sanctions on certain U.S. origin goods beginning May 16, 2006. The Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2005, signed by President Bush on May 17, 2006, repealed the grandfathering provisions for ETI. In response, the European Union Trade Commissioner announced the cancellation of sanctions ending the dispute. We were not materially impacted by this resolution.

Retirement Benefits

We recognized pension expense of \$80 million and \$160 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to \$90 million and \$186 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. The decrease in expense was primarily a result of the impact of expected asset returns on plan assets (due primarily to higher plan assets), partially offset by the amortization of actuarial losses resulting largely from a declining discount rate. SFAS 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions", requires companies to discount future benefit obligations back to today's dollars using a discount rate that is based on high-quality fixed-income investments. A decrease in the discount rate increases the pension benefit obligation. This increase is amortized into earnings as an actuarial loss. SFAS 87 also requires companies to use an expected long-term rate of asset return for computing current year pension expense. Differences between the actual and expected returns are amortized into earnings as actuarial gains and losses. At the end of 2005, unrecognized actuarial losses related to pensions were \$3.82 billion. The majority of the unrecognized actuarial losses are due to declining discount rates in recent years.

Other postretirement benefit expense was \$91 million and \$183 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, and \$92 million and \$181 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. The increase in expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 is primarily the result of the amortization of actuarial losses resulting from a declining discount rate, partially offset by the impact of expected asset returns on plan assets (due primarily to higher plan assets) and changes to the U.S. salaried and management other postretirement benefit plan (discussed below). Unrecognized actuarial losses for other postretirement benefit plans were \$1.60 billion at the end of 2005. These losses mainly reflect a declining discount rate and an increase in expected health care inflation.

The unrecognized actuarial losses for both pensions and other postretirement benefits will be impacted in future periods by actual asset returns, actual health care inflation, discount rate changes and other factors that impact these expenses. These losses will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the average remaining service period of active employees expected to receive benefits under the benefit plans. At the end of 2005, the average remaining service period of active employees was 11 years for our U.S. pension plans, 12 years for our non-U.S. pension plans and 8 years for other postretirement benefit plans. We expect our amortization of net actuarial losses to increase approximately \$70 million in 2006 as compared to 2005, primarily because of a decrease in the discount rate.

SFAS 87 requires the recognition of an Additional Minimum Liability if the market value of plan assets is less than the accumulated benefit obligation at the end of the measurement date. Future changes to the Additional Minimum Liability will be dependent on several factors including our assumed discount rate, actual returns on our pension plan assets, company contributions and benefit plan changes. The company has adequate liquidity resources to fund plans, as it deems necessary.

In April 2005, amendments were made to our U.S. salaried and management other postretirement benefit plan that increase employee cost sharing and resulted in a decrease in the benefit obligation of approximately \$190 million. The decrease will be amortized into earnings on a straight-line basis over 9 years, the average remaining service period of active employees impacted by the plan change. In addition, there will be an ongoing decrease in expense as a result of the benefit changes. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the other postretirement benefit expense decreased by \$6 million and \$12 million, respectively. The full year 2006 annual expense will decrease approximately \$24 million (continuing until the plan change is fully amortized) as compared to the full year 2005 decrease of \$18 million.

We made \$29 million of contributions to certain non-U.S. pension plans during the six months ended June 30, 2006. We have no ERISA (Employee Retirement Income Security Act) funding requirements in 2006. We currently do not anticipate any significant additional contributions during the year. However, we will continue to evaluate additional contributions to both pension and other postretirement benefit plans. At this time, we no longer expect to make an additional cash contribution of \$200 million to our other postretirement benefit plans in 2006. We have adequate liquidity resources to fund all U.S. and non-U.S. plans.

Supplemental Consolidating Data

We are providing supplemental consolidating data for the purpose of additional analysis. The data has been grouped as follows:

Consolidated – Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Machinery and Engines – The Machinery and Engines data contained in the schedules on pages 51 to 58 are "non-GAAP financial measures" as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission in Regulation G. These non-GAAP financial measures have no standardized meaning prescribed by U.S. GAAP, and therefore, are unlikely to be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other companies. Management does not intend these items to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for the related GAAP measures. Caterpillar defines Machinery and Engines as it is presented in the supplemental data as Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis. Machinery and Engines information relates to our design, manufacturing, marketing and parts distribution operations. Financial Products information relates to the financing to customers and dealers for the purchase and lease of Caterpillar and other equipment. The nature of these businesses is different especially with regard to the financial position and cash flow items. Caterpillar management utilizes this presentation internally to highlight these differences. We also believe this presentation will assist readers in understanding our business.

Financial Products – Our finance and insurance subsidiaries, primarily Cat Financial and Cat Insurance.

Consolidating Adjustments – Eliminations of transactions between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

Pages 51 to 58 reconcile Machinery and Engines with Financial Products on the Equity Basis to Caterpillar Inc. Consolidated financial information.

Caterpillar Inc.
Supplemental Data for Results of Operations
For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2006
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | Consolidated | Supplemental Consolidating Data | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Machinery and Engines ¹ | Financial Products | Consolidating Adjustments |
| Sales and revenues: | | | | |
| Sales of Machinery and Engines | \$ 9,956 | \$ 9,956 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Revenues of Financial Products | 649 | - | 768 | (119) ² |
| Total sales and revenues | 10,605 | 9,956 | 768 | (119) |
| Operating costs: | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 7,416 | 7,416 | - | - |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 881 | 777 | 113 | (9) ³ |
| Research and development expenses | 343 | 343 | - | - |
| Interest expense of Financial Products | 256 | - | 259 | (3) ⁴ |
| Other operating expenses | 230 | (1) | 239 | (8) ³ |
| Total operating costs | 9,126 | 8,535 | 611 | (20) |
| Operating profit | 1,479 | 1,421 | 157 | (99) |
| Interest expense excluding Financial Products | 66 | 70 | - | (4) ⁴ |
| Other income (expense) | 50 | (80) | 35 | 95 ⁵ |
| Consolidated profit before taxes | 1,463 | 1,271 | 192 | - |
| Provision for income taxes | 449 | 384 | 65 | - |
| Profit of consolidated companies | 1,014 | 887 | 127 | - |
| Equity in profit (loss) of unconsolidated affiliated companies | 32 | 32 | - | - |
| Equity in profit of Financial Products' subsidiaries | - | 127 | - | (127) ⁶ |
| Profit | <u>\$ 1,046</u> | <u>\$ 1,046</u> | <u>\$ 127</u> | <u>\$ (127)</u> |

¹ Represents Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis.

² Elimination of Financial Products revenues earned from Machinery and Engines.

³ Elimination of net expenses recorded by Machinery and Engines paid to Financial Products.

⁴ Elimination of interest expense recorded between Financial Products and Machinery and Engines.

⁵ Elimination of discount recorded by Machinery and Engines on receivables sold to Financial Products and of interest earned between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁶ Elimination of Financial Products profit due to equity method of accounting.

Caterpillar Inc.
Supplemental Data for Results of Operations
For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | Consolidated | Supplemental Consolidating Data | | |
|--|---------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Machinery and Engines ¹ | Financial Products | Consolidating Adjustments |
| Sales and revenues: | | | | |
| Sales of Machinery and Engines | \$ 8,784 | \$ 8,784 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Revenues of Financial Products | 576 | - | 656 | (80) ² |
| Total sales and revenues | 9,360 | 8,784 | 656 | (80) |
| Operating costs: | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 6,890 | 6,890 | - | - |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 789 | 689 | 111 | (11) ³ |
| Research and development expenses | 268 | 268 | - | - |
| Interest expense of Financial Products | 184 | - | 189 | (5) ⁴ |
| Other operating expenses | 208 | (4) | 214 | (2) ³ |
| Total operating costs | 8,339 | 7,843 | 514 | (18) |
| Operating profit | 1,021 | 941 | 142 | (62) |
| Interest expense excluding Financial Products | 65 | 67 | - | (2) ⁴ |
| Other income (expense) | 90 | 21 | 9 | 60 ⁵ |
| Consolidated profit before taxes | 1,046 | 895 | 151 | - |
| Provision for income taxes | 315 | 262 | 53 | - |
| Profit of consolidated companies | 731 | 633 | 98 | - |
| Equity in profit (loss) of unconsolidated affiliated companies | 29 | 26 | 3 | - |
| Equity in profit of Financial Products' subsidiaries | - | 101 | - | (101) ⁶ |
| Profit | <u>\$ 760</u> | <u>\$ 760</u> | <u>\$ 101</u> | <u>\$ (101)</u> |

¹ Represents Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis.

² Elimination of Financial Products revenues earned from Machinery and Engines.

³ Elimination of net expenses recorded by Machinery and Engines paid to Financial Products.

⁴ Elimination of interest expense recorded between Financial Products and Machinery and Engines.

⁵ Elimination of discount recorded by Machinery and Engines on receivables sold to Financial Products and of interest earned between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁶ Elimination of Financial Products profit due to equity method of accounting.

Caterpillar Inc.
Supplemental Data for Results of Operations
For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | Consolidated | Supplemental Consolidating Data | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Machinery and Engines ¹ | Financial Products | Consolidating Adjustments |
| Sales and revenues: | | | | |
| Sales of Machinery and Engines | \$ 18,699 | \$ 18,699 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Revenues of Financial Products | 1,298 | - | 1,514 | (216) ² |
| Total sales and revenues | 19,997 | 18,699 | 1,514 | (216) |
| Operating costs: | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 13,968 | 13,968 | - | - |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 1,702 | 1,501 | 216 | (15) ³ |
| Research and development expenses | 650 | 650 | - | - |
| Interest expense of Financial Products | 488 | - | 492 | (4) ⁴ |
| Other operating expenses | 492 | 28 | 479 | (15) ³ |
| Total operating costs | 17,300 | 16,147 | 1,187 | (34) |
| Operating profit | 2,697 | 2,552 | 327 | (182) |
| Interest expense excluding Financial Products | 134 | 138 | - | (4) ⁴ |
| Other income (expense) | 93 | (131) | 46 | 178 ⁵ |
| Consolidated profit before taxes | 2,656 | 2,283 | 373 | - |
| Provision for income taxes | 819 | 693 | 126 | - |
| Profit of consolidated companies | 1,837 | 1,590 | 247 | - |
| Equity in profit (loss) of unconsolidated affiliated companies | 49 | 48 | 1 | - |
| Equity in profit of Financial Products' subsidiaries | - | 248 | - | (248) ⁶ |
| Profit | \$ 1,886 | \$ 1,886 | \$ 248 | \$ (248) |

¹ Represents Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis.

² Elimination of Financial Products revenues earned from Machinery and Engines.

³ Elimination of net expenses recorded by Machinery and Engines paid to Financial Products.

⁴ Elimination of interest expense recorded between Financial Products and Machinery and Engines.

⁵ Elimination of discount recorded by Machinery and Engines on receivables sold to Financial Products and of interest earned between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁶ Elimination of Financial Products profit due to equity method of accounting.

Caterpillar Inc.
Supplemental Data for Results of Operations
For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | Consolidated | Supplemental Consolidating Data | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Machinery and Engines ¹ | Financial Products | Consolidating Adjustments |
| Sales and revenues: | | | | |
| Sales of Machinery and Engines | \$ 16,573 | \$ 16,573 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Revenues of Financial Products | 1,126 | - | 1,268 | (142) ² |
| Total sales and revenues | 17,699 | 16,573 | 1,268 | (142) |
| Operating costs: | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 13,105 | 13,105 | - | - |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 1,533 | 1,337 | 218 | (22) ³ |
| Research and development expenses | 509 | 509 | - | - |
| Interest expense of Financial Products | 354 | - | 362 | (8) ⁴ |
| Other operating expenses | 421 | 2 | 422 | (3) ³ |
| Total operating costs | 15,922 | 14,953 | 1,002 | (33) |
| Operating profit | 1,777 | 1,620 | 266 | (109) |
| Interest expense excluding Financial Products | 130 | 133 | - | (3) ⁴ |
| Other income (expense) | 198 | 75 | 17 | 106 ⁵ |
| Consolidated profit before taxes | 1,845 | 1,562 | 283 | - |
| Provision for income taxes | 547 | 448 | 99 | - |
| Profit of consolidated companies | 1,298 | 1,114 | 184 | - |
| Equity in profit (loss) of unconsolidated affiliated companies | 43 | 38 | 5 | - |
| Equity in profit of Financial Products' subsidiaries | - | 189 | - | (189) ⁶ |
| Profit | <u>\$ 1,341</u> | <u>\$ 1,341</u> | <u>\$ 189</u> | <u>\$ (189)</u> |

¹ Represents Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis.

² Elimination of Financial Products revenues earned from Machinery and Engines.

³ Elimination of net expenses recorded by Machinery and Engines paid to Financial Products.

⁴ Elimination of interest expense recorded between Financial Products and Machinery and Engines.

⁵ Elimination of discount recorded by Machinery and Engines on receivables sold to Financial Products and of interest earned between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁶ Elimination of Financial Products profit due to equity method of accounting.

Caterpillar Inc.
Supplemental Data for Financial Position
At June 30, 2006
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | Supplemental Consolidating Data | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | Consolidated | Machinery and Engines ¹ | Financial Products | Consolidating Adjustments |
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and short-term investments | \$ 648 | \$ 455 | \$ 193 | \$ - |
| Receivables - trade and other | 8,679 | 3,178 | 784 | 4,717 ^{2,3} |
| Receivables - finance | 6,221 | - | 11,632 | (5,411) ³ |
| Deferred and refundable income taxes | 368 | 289 | 79 | - |
| Prepaid expenses | 2,161 | 2,140 | 33 | (12) ⁴ |
| Inventories | 6,254 | 6,254 | - | - |
| Total current assets | 24,331 | 12,316 | 12,721 | (706) |
| Property, plant and equipment – net | 8,281 | 5,397 | 2,884 | - |
| Long-term receivables - trade and other | 970 | 289 | 36 | 645 ^{2,3} |
| Long-term receivables – finance | 10,801 | - | 11,481 | (680) ³ |
| Investments in unconsolidated affiliated companies | 571 | 554 | 21 | (4) ⁵ |
| Investments in Financial Products subsidiaries | - | 3,590 | - | (3,590) ⁶ |
| Deferred income taxes | 692 | 1,001 | 34 | (343) ⁷ |
| Intangible assets | 655 | 649 | 6 | - |
| Goodwill | 1,834 | 1,834 | - | - |
| Other assets | 1,770 | 515 | 1,255 | - |
| Total assets | \$ 49,905 | \$ 26,145 | \$ 28,438 | \$ (4,678) |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 6,894 | \$ 2,044 | \$ 5,376 | \$ (526) ⁸ |
| Accounts payable | 3,936 | 3,815 | 288 | (167) ⁹ |
| Accrued expenses | 2,782 | 1,673 | 1,122 | (13) ¹⁰ |
| Accrued wages, salaries and employee benefits ... | 1,541 | 1,530 | 11 | - |
| Customer advances | 677 | 677 | - | - |
| Dividends payable | 197 | 197 | - | - |
| Deferred and current income taxes payable | 641 | 541 | 107 | (7) ⁷ |
| Long-term debt due within one year | 4,459 | 129 | 4,330 | - |
| Total current liabilities | 21,127 | 10,606 | 11,234 | (713) |
| Long-term debt due after one year | 15,939 | 2,771 | 13,203 | (35) ⁸ |
| Liability for postemployment benefits | 3,247 | 3,247 | - | - |
| Deferred income taxes and other liabilities | 959 | 888 | 411 | (340) ^{5,7} |
| Total liabilities | 41,272 | 17,512 | 24,848 | (1,088) |
| Stockholders' equity | | | | |
| Common stock | 2,393 | 2,393 | 863 | (863) ⁶ |
| Treasury stock | (6,613) | (6,613) | - | - |
| Profit employed in the business | 13,330 | 13,330 | 2,445 | (2,445) ⁶ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (477) | (477) | 282 | (282) ⁶ |
| Total stockholders' equity | 8,633 | 8,633 | 3,590 | (3,590) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 49,905 | \$ 26,145 | \$ 28,438 | \$ (4,678) |

¹ Represents Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis.

² Elimination of receivables between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

³ Reclassification of Machinery and Engines trade receivables purchased by Cat Financial and Cat Financial's wholesale inventory receivables.

⁴ Elimination of Machinery and Engines insurance premiums that are prepaid to Financial Products.

⁵ Elimination of Machinery and Engines investment in Financial Products subsidiary.

⁶ Elimination of Financial Products equity which is accounted for on Machinery and Engines on the equity basis.

⁷ Reclassification reflecting required netting of deferred tax assets / liabilities by taxing jurisdiction.

⁸ Elimination of debt between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁹ Elimination of payables between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

¹⁰ Elimination of prepaid insurance in Financial Products' accrued expenses.

Caterpillar Inc.
Supplemental Data for Financial Position
At December 31, 2005
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | | Supplemental Consolidating Data | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | Consolidated | Machinery and Engines ¹ | Financial Products | Consolidating Adjustments |
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and short-term investments | \$ 1,108 | \$ 951 | \$ 157 | \$ - |
| Receivables - trade and other | 7,526 | 2,833 | 419 | 4,274 ^{2,3} |
| Receivables - finance..... | 6,442 | - | 11,058 | (4,616) ³ |
| Deferred and refundable income taxes..... | 344 | 276 | 68 | - |
| Prepaid expenses | 2,146 | 2,139 | 26 | (19) ⁴ |
| Inventories | 5,224 | 5,224 | - | - |
| Total current assets | 22,790 | 11,423 | 11,728 | (361) |
| Property, plant and equipment – net..... | 7,988 | 5,067 | 2,921 | - |
| Long-term receivables - trade and other..... | 1,037 | 301 | 36 | 700 ^{2,3} |
| Long-term receivables – finance..... | 10,301 | - | 11,036 | (735) ³ |
| Investments in unconsolidated affiliated companies..... | 565 | 526 | 39 | - |
| Investments in Financial Products subsidiaries | - | 3,253 | - | (3,253) ⁵ |
| Deferred income taxes | 768 | 1,057 | 32 | (321) ⁶ |
| Intangible assets | 424 | 418 | 6 | - |
| Goodwill | 1,451 | 1,451 | - | - |
| Other assets..... | 1,745 | 491 | 1,254 | - |
| Total assets..... | \$ 47,069 | \$ 23,987 | \$ 27,052 | \$ (3,970) |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 5,569 | \$ 871 | \$ 4,897 | \$ (199) ⁷ |
| Accounts payable..... | 3,412 | 3,288 | 261 | (137) ⁸ |
| Accrued expenses | 2,617 | 1,605 | 1,038 | (26) ⁹ |
| Accrued wages, salaries and employee benefits..... | 1,845 | 1,826 | 19 | - |
| Customer advances | 454 | 454 | - | - |
| Dividends payable..... | 168 | 168 | - | - |
| Deferred and current income taxes payable | 528 | 448 | 84 | (4) ⁶ |
| Long-term debt due within one year | 4,499 | 340 | 4,159 | - |
| Total current liabilities | 19,092 | 9,000 | 10,458 | (366) |
| Long-term debt due after one year | 15,677 | 2,752 | 12,960 | (35) ⁷ |
| Liability for postemployment benefits..... | 2,991 | 2,991 | - | - |
| Deferred income taxes and other liabilities | 877 | 812 | 381 | (316) ⁶ |
| Total liabilities..... | 38,637 | 15,555 | 23,799 | (717) |
| Stockholders' equity | | | | |
| Common stock | 1,859 | 1,859 | 875 | (875) ⁵ |
| Treasury stock | (4,637) | (4,637) | - | - |
| Profit employed in the business | 11,808 | 11,808 | 2,197 | (2,197) ⁵ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (598) | (598) | 181 | (181) ⁵ |
| Total stockholders' equity | 8,432 | 8,432 | 3,253 | (3,253) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 47,069 | \$ 23,987 | \$ 27,052 | \$ (3,970) |

¹ Represents Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis.

² Elimination of receivables between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

³ Reclassification of Machinery and Engines trade receivables purchased by Cat Financial and Cat Financial's wholesale inventory receivables.

⁴ Elimination of Machinery and Engines insurance premiums that are prepaid to Financial Products.

⁵ Elimination of Financial Products equity which is accounted for on Machinery and Engines on the equity basis.

⁶ Reclassification reflecting required netting of deferred tax assets / liabilities by taxing jurisdiction.

⁷ Elimination of debt between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁸ Elimination of payables between Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁹ Elimination of prepaid insurance in Financial Products' accrued expenses.

Caterpillar Inc.
Supplemental Data for Cash Flow
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | Consolidated | Supplemental Consolidating Data | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Machinery and Engines ¹ | Financial Products | Consolidating Adjustments |
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | | | |
| Profit | \$ 1,886 | \$ 1,886 | \$ 248 | \$ (248) ² |
| Adjustments for non-cash items: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 802 | 470 | 332 | - |
| Undistributed profit of Financial Products | - | (248) | - | 248 ³ |
| Other | 94 | 90 | (186) | 190 ⁴ |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | | |
| Receivables - trade and other | (762) | (53) | 7 | (716) ^{4,5} |
| Inventories | (755) | (755) | - | - |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 356 | 271 | 72 | 13 ⁴ |
| Other assets - net | 23 | 9 | (5) | 19 ⁴ |
| Other liabilities - net | 304 | 300 | 31 | (27) ⁴ |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | 1,948 | 1,970 | 499 | (521) |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | | | |
| Capital expenditures - excluding equipment leased to others | (552) | (536) | (27) | 11 ⁴ |
| Expenditures for equipment leased to others | (532) | - | (548) | 16 ⁴ |
| Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment | 319 | 20 | 310 | (11) ⁴ |
| Additions to finance receivables | (5,114) | - | (18,013) | 12,899 ⁵ |
| Collection of finance receivables | 4,079 | - | 15,969 | (11,890) ⁵ |
| Proceeds from the sale of finance receivables | 980 | - | 1,484 | (504) ⁵ |
| Net intercompany borrowings | - | 36 | (383) | 347 ⁶ |
| Investments and acquisitions (net of cash acquired) | (419) | (419) | - | - |
| Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities | 219 | 13 | 206 | - |
| Investments in available-for-sale securities | (296) | (30) | (266) | - |
| Other - net | 167 | 13 | 166 | (12) ⁷ |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities | (1,149) | (903) | (1,102) | 856 |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | | | |
| Dividends paid | (335) | (335) | - | - |
| Common stock issued, including treasury shares reissued | 349 | 349 | (12) | 12 ⁷ |
| Treasury shares purchased | (2,411) | (2,411) | - | - |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | 120 | 120 | - | - |
| Net intercompany borrowings | - | 383 | (36) | (347) ⁶ |
| Proceeds from debt issued (original maturities greater than three months) | 5,033 | 102 | 4,931 | - |
| Payments on debt (original maturities greater than three months) | (5,595) | (501) | (5,094) | - |
| Short-term borrowings (original maturities three months or less) - net | 1,564 | 721 | 843 | - |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | (1,275) | (1,572) | 632 | (335) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 16 | 9 | 7 | - |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and short-term investments | (460) | (496) | 36 | - |
| Cash and short-term investments at beginning of period | 1,108 | 951 | 157 | - |
| Cash and short-term investments at end of period | \$ 648 | \$ 455 | \$ 193 | \$ - |

¹ Represents Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis.

² Elimination of Financial Products profit after tax due to equity method of accounting.

³ Non-cash adjustment for the undistributed earnings from Financial Products.

⁴ Elimination of non-cash adjustments and changes in assets and liabilities related to consolidated reporting.

⁵ Reclassification of Cat Financial's cash flow activity from investing to operating for receivables that arose from the sale of inventory.

⁶ Net proceeds and payments to/from Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁷ Change in investment and common stock related to Financial Products.

Caterpillar Inc.
Supplemental Data for Cash Flow
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005
(Unaudited)
(Millions of dollars)

| | Consolidated | Supplemental Consolidating Data | | |
|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Machinery and Engines ¹ | Financial Products | Consolidating Adjustments |
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | | | |
| Profit | \$ 1,341 | \$ 1,341 | \$ 189 | \$ (189) ² |
| Adjustments for non-cash items: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization..... | 744 | 426 | 318 | - |
| Undistributed profit of Financial Products..... | - | (189) | - | 189 ³ |
| Other..... | (113) | (129) | (94) | 110 ⁴ |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | | |
| Receivables - trade and other | (742) | (256) | 19 | (505) ^{4,5} |
| Inventories | (674) | (674) | - | - |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses..... | 236 | 118 | 131 | (13) ⁴ |
| Other assets - net..... | (24) | (46) | (4) | 26 ⁴ |
| Other liabilities - net..... | 228 | 262 | (3) | (31) ⁴ |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities | 996 | 853 | 556 | (413) |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | | | |
| Capital expenditures - excluding equipment leased to others | (402) | (385) | (17) | - |
| Expenditures for equipment leased to others | (608) | - | (608) | - |
| Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment | 304 | 18 | 286 | - |
| Additions to finance receivables | (5,159) | - | (16,035) | 10,876 ⁵ |
| Collection of finance receivables | 3,444 | - | 13,894 | (10,450) ⁵ |
| Proceeds from sale of finance receivables..... | 859 | - | 859 | - |
| Net intercompany borrowings | - | (67) | (9) | 76 ⁶ |
| Investments and acquisitions (net of cash acquired) | (8) | (8) | - | - |
| Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities | 182 | 8 | 174 | - |
| Investments in available-for-sale securities | (239) | (9) | (230) | - |
| Other - net..... | 108 | 14 | 94 | - |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities | (1,519) | (429) | (1,592) | 502 |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | | | |
| Dividends paid | (280) | (280) | - | - |
| Common stock issued, including treasury shares reissued | 278 | 278 | - | - |
| Treasury shares purchased..... | (839) | (839) | - | - |
| Net intercompany borrowings | - | 9 | 67 | (76) ⁶ |
| Proceeds from debt issued (original maturities greater than three months) | 6,318 | 147 | 6,171 | - |
| Payments on debt (original maturities greater than three months)..... | (4,197) | (51) | (4,146) | - |
| Short-term borrowings (original maturities three months or less) - net | (467) | 483 | (950) | - |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | 813 | (253) | 1,142 | (76) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash..... | 14 | 23 | 4 | (13) ⁷ |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and short-term investments | 304 | 194 | 110 | - |
| Cash and short-term investments at beginning of period | 445 | 270 | 175 | - |
| Cash and short-term investments at end of period..... | \$ 749 | \$ 464 | \$ 285 | \$ - |

¹ Represents Caterpillar Inc. and its subsidiaries with Financial Products accounted for on the equity basis.

² Elimination of Financial Products profit after tax due to equity method of accounting.

³ Non-cash adjustment for the undistributed earnings from Financial Products.

⁴ Elimination of non-cash adjustments and changes in assets and liabilities related to consolidated reporting.

⁵ Reclassification of Cat Financial's cash flow activity from investing to operating for receivables that arose from the sale of inventory.

⁶ Net proceeds and payments to/from Machinery and Engines and Financial Products.

⁷ Elimination of the effect of exchange on intercompany balances.

Sales & Revenues Outlook

We project company sales and revenues will increase 12 percent to 15 percent compared to 2005.

- During the second quarter, sentiment concerning the health of the world economy eroded. However, a deeper look at the economic factors that have supported Caterpillar's growth over the past few years finds them still largely in place in most key markets.
- Interest rates are high enough in several countries—the United States, the United Kingdom and Australia—to create some risk to the pace of economic growth. However, incomes have shifted from consumers to businesses, so any slowing in growth should fall more heavily on consumer spending than business investment. Interest rates in Europe, Japan and most developing countries remain low.
- We project that world economic growth will exceed 3.5 percent this year, slightly faster than in 2005. Improved growth in both Europe and Japan should offset some slowing in the United States. Strong economic growth should continue in most developing countries. Since 2002, higher prices and increased production raised revenues to commodity producers by more than \$1.5 trillion. That increase contributed to better economic growth in developing countries and did not prevent improved growth in the major consuming countries—European countries, Japan and the United States.
- We expect increased construction spending in 2006; however, growth will be more concentrated in nonresidential building and infrastructure. Housing is declining in the United States but should benefit from rising home prices and low interest rates in many other countries.
- Demand for metals is up due to increased industrial production—3 percent or more in the industrial countries and 5 percent or more in many developing countries. At the same time, producers have struggled to increase production, and inventories are near critical lows. While we expect modest commodity price declines in the coming months, prices are expected to remain above levels that encourage continued investment in new mining capacity.
- International coal prices rebounded from a late 2005 slump and recently traded near year-earlier prices. Last year, coal was the world's fastest growing source of energy; coal's price advantage over oil and natural gas should keep demand growing.
- Ocean shipping rates have improved, and increased offshore petroleum activity is requiring more support and supply vessels. Shipyards have healthy order backlogs, which should increase marine engine sales.
- Concerns about oil supplies likely will keep crude oil prices trading above \$70 per barrel the rest of the year. Growth in exploration, drilling, pipeline expenditures and oil sands development should benefit both machinery and engines sales. We believe that as long as oil production continues to increase, as it has this year, the world can cope with current high oil prices. However, a significant reduction in oil supplies would likely interrupt the world economic recovery.
- During 2006, two of our on-highway truck engine customers (Freightliner and Paccar) announced they would no longer use Caterpillar mid-range engines in their 2007 product offerings. We are evaluating several alternatives to adjust our cost structure as a result of this longer term drop in mid-range engine volume. These actions include, but are not limited to, product rationalization, continued expansion into new applications and redeployment of productive assets. Continued strength in the non-truck engine applications over time will offset a portion of the lost business. We are converting part of our mid-range engine assembly plant into a marine engine packaging center to capitalize on the growing demand for marine product while better utilizing shared production assets. Customers will continue to be offered high-quality mid-range truck engines using ACERT® Technology. We are currently working with end users to demonstrate the long-term advantages of using ACERT Technology.

North America (United States and Canada) Machinery and Engines sales are expected to increase about 15 percent in 2006.

- At its June 30 meeting, the U.S. Federal Reserve raised interest rates to 5.25 percent and became more ambiguous about future actions. We assume the Fed is still more concerned about inflation than an economic slowdown and will raise interest rates again this year.
- Past Fed actions were sufficient to slow economic growth to 2.5 percent in the second quarter and we expect that growth will remain below 3 percent in the second half. However, the strong first quarter will bring full year 2006 growth to almost 3.5 percent.

- The business investment sector has fared much better than consumer industries during this recovery, and that disparity should continue. Factors supporting investment—record corporate profits, favorable financing, attractive output prices and aged or inadequate capacity in basic industries—remain in place today.
- Housing starts averaged over a 2 million unit rate so far this year, and building permits were even higher. However, mortgage interest rates reached a four-year high, which will likely reduce starts for the year to about 1.9 million units—the third best year since 1978.
- Investment in nonresidential structures increased at a 12 percent rate in the second quarter, and a surge in new contracts issued should allow for over 10 percent growth for the year.
- States used increased federal funding to award 11 percent more highway contracts in the first six months of 2006. We expect contracting will increase about 10 percent in 2006.
- Mine production of metals in the United States increased only 2.5 percent in the first six months of this year, suggesting further large investment increases will be needed to reverse capacity reductions made in the late 1990s. A tripling in exploration and development spending the past three years has so far increased mine capacity by only 2 percent.
- Coal production in the second quarter increased almost 11 percent from a year earlier, benefiting from increased electricity production and the rebuilding of utility coal stockpiles. Mine capacity is about the same as in early 1994, so the operating rate rose to 95 percent. Coal prices remain attractive for investing in new capacity.
- High oil and natural gas prices led to a 20 percent increase in operating drill rigs this year, the highest level since early 1986. Growth in energy demand should keep pressure on supplies, further boosting investment in capacity.
- Production of on-highway trucks should be up more than 5 percent this year, the result of better trucking company profits and ordering in advance of 2007 emission standards. Order backlogs at truck manufacturers currently cover nearly all production slots available through year-end.
- The Bank of Canada decided not to raise interest rates at its last meeting since inflation is on target. We forecast the Canadian economy will grow more than 3 percent this year, housing will continue to be strong and nonresidential construction should increase more than 5 percent.
- Metals production in Canada declined more than 10 percent year-to-date despite a near tripling in exploration and development spending the past three years. We expect further large increases in capacity-related investments. Production of oil and natural gas increased more than 6 percent, benefiting from oil sands development and a record number of drill rigs operating.
- The acquisition of Progress Rail will add approximately \$600 million in sales the last half of 2006.
- We are anticipating the 2007 Class 8 North American truck industry to drop from approximately 315,000 units in 2006 to approximately 190,000 to 220,000 units in 2007, due to indications that fleets are engaging in a pre-buy prior to the 2007 regulations. We are currently reviewing several alternatives to manage our cost structure for the short-term decrease in heavy-duty truck engine demand. These include specific plans related to manufacturing, support and sales and marketing costs. Although these cost actions will reduce the impact of the lower volumes in 2007, we anticipate the first quarter to be hit harder than the remainder of the year. Continued strength in our petroleum, electric power and marine engine business should help reduce the impact of the lower truck engine volumes.

EAME Machinery and Engines sales are expected to increase about 11 percent in 2006.

- The European Union (EU) economy grew at a 2.5 percent annual rate in the first quarter, the fastest in two years. Forward-looking indicators suggest the current rebound should continue, and we forecast economic growth of more than 2 percent in 2006.
- EU residential building permits rose 8 percent year to date, the fourth consecutive year of good growth. Factors that should continue to support housing include higher home prices, an inadequate housing stock, low long-term interest rates and growing employment.

- Nonresidential construction has begun to increase from year-earlier levels, although at a slower pace than housing. Record corporate profits, rising office rents and increased industrial production should strengthen the recovery.
- Headline inflation is above the European Central Bank's target, so we expect the bank will raise interest rates from the current 2.75 percent to 3.25 percent by year-end. The Bank of England is expected to hold rates steady the rest of the year.
- We forecast over 5 percent growth in the Africa/Middle East region in 2006, the fourth year of good growth. High commodity prices and low interest rates in key countries should lead to growth in construction and both metals and energy investment.
- Economic growth in the CIS should be close to 6 percent this year, continuing the recovery from the 1998 Russian debt crisis. Construction in Russia increased more than 10 percent in the second quarter, and oil production rose almost 5 percent this year. High commodity prices and low interest rates should allow good growth in construction, metals and energy investment this year.

Latin America Machinery and Engines sales are expected to increase about 12 percent in 2006.

- The Brazilian Central Bank has reduced the short-term interest rate eight times, more than reversing the tightening cycle started in 2004. As a result, the largest economy in the region is rebounding from some weakness in late 2005.
- Mexico's interest rates have also declined since mid-2005, and first-quarter growth was the best in over a year. Forward-looking indicators suggest this improvement in the economy should continue.
- We project regional economic growth will exceed 4.5 percent this year, slightly faster than last year. Good economic growth and favorable interest rates should allow strong growth in construction this year. Major countries reported year-to-date increases in construction spending ranging from 5 to 20 percent.
- Metals exploration and development spending more than doubled the past three years, and mine production is generally increasing—up 10 percent in Brazil and 5 percent in Peru. However, Chile, the world's largest producer of copper, has experienced production declines. High metals prices indicate mining investment in the region should continue to grow.

Asia/Pacific Machinery and Engines are expected to increase about 11 percent in 2006.

- We expect regional growth will exceed 7 percent this year, slightly faster than last year. Most countries are maintaining low interest rates, and competitive exchange rates are prolonging fast export growth. The region is also benefiting from the increasing importance of the Indian economy, where industrial production increased 10 percent in the second quarter.
- Rapid economic growth and low interest rates are benefiting construction. Data on construction spending in Indonesia, China and Australia indicate growth of 5 percent or more. However, housing construction in Australia is declining in response to high interest rates.
- Coal mining should remain a growth area this year. Regional spot prices have rebounded, and Indonesia, the world's largest exporter of thermal coal, could have a capacity expansion of more than 15 percent. Increased port congestion could limit Australia's ability to increase coal exports.
- Australia increased expenditures for mineral exploration 17 percent in the first quarter, the eighth consecutive quarter of large increases. Despite those increased investments, production declined in the first quarter. We expect mining companies will continue to increase investments.

Financial Products Revenues

- We expect continued growth in Financial Products for 2006. Revenues are expected to increase approximately 18 percent versus 2005, primarily due to higher average earning assets in 2006.


Sales and Revenues Outlook - Midpoint of Range¹

(Millions of dollars)

| | 2005 Actual | 2006 Outlook | % Change |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Machinery and Engines | | | |
| North America | \$ 17,709 | \$ 20,400 | 15% |
| EAME | 8,860 | 9,800 | 11% |
| Latin America | 3,024 | 3,400 | 12% |
| Asia/Pacific..... | 4,413 | 4,900 | 11% |
| Total Machinery and Engines..... | 34,006 | 38,500 | 13% |
| Financial Products ² | 2,333 | 2,750 | 18% |
| Total | \$ 36,339 | \$ 41,250 | 13.5% |

¹ The Consolidated Operating Profit chart below reflects sales and revenues at the midpoint of the range.

² Does not include revenues earned from Machinery and Engines of \$421 million and \$317 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively.



2006 Outlook - Profit

We expect profit per share to be in the range of \$5.25 to \$5.50—between 30 percent and 36 percent higher than 2005. The year is expected to benefit from improved price realization and higher sales volume, partially offset by core operating cost increases and stock-based compensation expense.

About half of the expected core operating cost increase is from manufacturing costs and about half from SG&A and R&D. Manufacturing costs are expected to be higher due to an increase of about 1 percent in material costs and an increase in period manufacturing costs.

SG&A and R&D are expected to be higher in support of growth and new product programs to support the growth envisioned by Caterpillar's long-term strategy.

Safe Harbor Statement under the Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

Certain statements contained in our second-quarter 2006 results release and prepared statements from the related results webcast are forward-looking and involve uncertainties that could significantly impact results. The words "believes", "expects", "estimates", "anticipates", "will be", "should" and similar words or expressions identify forward-looking statements made on behalf of Caterpillar. Uncertainties include factors that affect international businesses, as well as matters specific to the company and the markets it serves.

World Economic Factors

Our projection for over 3.5 percent growth in the world economy in 2006 assumes central banks will cautiously raise interest rates so as not to slow growth too much. Low interest rates, good economic growth and favorable commodity prices should encourage further growth in both construction and mining. Factors that could reduce expected growth in Machinery and Engines sales include high interest rates, reductions in crude oil availability, lower commodity prices and declines in home prices.

We expect the U.S. Federal Reserve will raise the Federal Funds rate to 5.5 percent this year, causing economic growth later in the year to slow below its potential. However, good corporate profits, lower long-term interest rates than in the past cycle and a need to replace aged capital stocks should support continued good growth in investment. Should financial conditions tighten sufficiently to slow economic growth below three percent, corporate profits weaken or housing starts decline more than expected, expected improvements in Machinery and Engines sales likely would be lower than projected.

Our projection of increased sales of Machinery and Engines in Europe, Africa, Middle East (EAME) in 2006 assumes the economic recovery that has started in Europe strengthens further, maintaining good growth in housing and accelerating a recovery in nonresidential construction. The European Central Bank has already raised interest rates and more increases are likely. Our forecast assumes those rate increases do not undermine either the economic recovery or growth in Machinery and Engines sales. In both Africa and Middle East (AME) and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), good economic growth and high commodity prices should support growth in construction and mining. Key risks are worsening turmoil in the Middle East and a worldwide collapse in commodity prices. Those developments would likely negatively impact our results.

We expect that low interest rates, increased capital inflows, and favorable commodity prices will maintain Latin American economic growth at over four percent, benefiting both Machinery and Engines sales. Good growth underway in both construction and mining is expected to continue. This forecast is vulnerable to a significant weakening in commodity prices, widespread increases in interest rates, lower inflows of foreign investment or political disruptions.

In Asia/Pacific, we project economic growth will be over 7 percent in 2006, the result of low interest rates, competitive exchange rates and growing world trade. Fast economic growth and increased property prices should support construction and favorable coal and metals prices should encourage increased mining investment. The projected increase in Machinery and Engines sales is vulnerable to reduced demand for coal and iron ore, significant revaluations of regional currencies, restrictions on regional exports and sharp interest rate hikes.

Commodity Prices

Commodities represent a significant sales opportunity, with prices and production as key drivers. Prices have improved sharply over the past four years and our outlook assumes that continued growth in world industrial production, low inventories and some difficulties in increasing production will cause metals prices to remain high enough in 2006 to encourage further mine investment. Any unexpected weakening in world industrial production, however, could cause prices to drop sharply to the detriment of our results.

International coal prices recovered from some weakness in late 2005 but prices in the United States softened in the second quarter. We expect that the need to rebuild some coal stocks as well as increased electricity generation will support demand for coal in 2006 and prices will remain favorable for new investment. The outlook for continued growth in coal mining is vulnerable to mine permitting problems, transportation difficulties, weak utility demand and steep declines in oil and natural gas prices.

Oil and natural gas prices increased sharply over the past four years due to strong demand and high capacity usage. Higher energy prices have not halted economic recoveries since strong demand boosted prices and world production increased. High prices are encouraging more exploration and development. However, should significant supply cuts occur, such as from OPEC production cuts or political unrest in a major producing country, the resulting oil shortages and price spikes could slow economies, potentially with a depressing impact on our sales.

Monetary and Fiscal Policies

For most companies operating in a global economy, monetary and fiscal policies implemented in the United States and abroad could have a significant impact on economic growth, and accordingly, demand for our product. In general, higher than expected interest rates, reductions in government spending, higher taxes, excessive currency movements and uncertainty over key policies are some factors likely to lead to slower economic growth and lower industry demand.

With economic data looking more favorable, central banks in several developed countries have raised interest rates from the lowest rates in decades, with the U. S. Federal Reserve Bank being the most aggressive. Our outlook assumes that central banks will try to avoid increasing rates so much that economic recoveries stall. Should central banks raise interest rates more aggressively than anticipated or underestimate the impact of rate increases on economies, both economic growth and our sales could suffer.

Budget deficits in many countries remain higher than governments would like. Our outlook assumes that governments will not aggressively raise taxes and slash spending to deal with their budget imbalances. Such actions could disrupt growth and negatively affect our sales.

Political Factors

Political factors in the United States and abroad can impact global companies. Our outlook assumes that no major disruptive changes in economic policies occur in either the United States or other major economies. Significant changes in either taxing or spending policies could reduce activities in sectors important to our businesses, thereby reducing sales.

Our outlook assumes that there will be no widespread military conflicts in either North Korea or the Middle East in the forecast period. Such military conflicts could severely disrupt sales into countries affected, as well as nearby countries.

Our outlook also assumes that there will be no major terrorist attacks. If there is a major terrorist attack, confidence could be undermined, potentially causing a sharp drop in economic activities and our sales. Attacks in major developed economies would be the most disruptive.

Our outlook assumes that efforts by countries to increase their exports will not result in retaliatory countermeasures by other countries to block such exports, particularly in the Asia/Pacific region. Our outlook includes a negative impact from the phase-out of the Extraterritorial Income Exclusion as enacted by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. Our outlook assumes any other tax law changes will not negatively impact our provision for income taxes.

Currency Fluctuations

The company has costs and revenues in many currencies and is therefore exposed to risks arising from currency fluctuations. Our outlook assumes no significant currency crises occur that could disrupt international trade or the competitiveness of our facilities. Should any crisis develop, economic activity and our results could be negatively impacted.

The company's largest manufacturing presence is in the United States, so any unexpected strengthening of the dollar tends to raise the foreign currency costs to our end users and reduce our global competitiveness.

Dealer/Original Equipment Manufacturers Inventory Practices

The company sells finished products through an independent dealer network or directly to Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEM). Both carry inventories of finished products as part of ongoing operations and adjust those inventories based on their assessments of future needs. Such adjustments can impact our results either positively or negatively. The current outlook assumes no major changes in either dealer or OEM inventory practices. Should dealers or OEMs decide to control inventories more tightly, our sales would be lower.

Financial Products Division Factors

Inherent in the operation of Cat Financial is the credit risk associated with its customers. The creditworthiness of each customer, and the rate of delinquencies, repossessions and net losses on customer obligations are directly impacted by several factors, including, but not limited to, relevant industry and economic conditions, the availability of capital, the experience and expertise of the customer's management team, commodity prices, political events and the sustained value of the underlying collateral. Additionally, interest rate movements create a degree of risk to our operations by affecting the amount of interest expense associated with our debt portfolio. Our "match funding" policy addresses interest rate risk by aligning the interest rate profile (fixed or floating rate) of our debt portfolio with the interest rate profile of our receivables portfolio (loans and leases with customers and dealers) within pre-determined ranges on an ongoing basis. To achieve our match funding objectives, we issue debt with a similar interest rate profile to our receivables and also use interest rate swap agreements to manage our interest rate risk exposure to interest rate changes and in some cases to lower our cost of borrowed funds. If interest rates move upward more sharply than anticipated, our financial results could be negatively impacted. Cat Financial's results are also dependent upon the demand for Caterpillar machinery and engines; our marketing, operational and administrative support; and competition from other finance companies. With respect to our insurance and investment management operations, changes in the equity and bond markets could cause an impairment of the value of our investment portfolio, thus requiring a negative adjustment to earnings.

Other Factors

The rates of infrastructure spending, housing starts, commercial construction and mining play a significant role in the company's results. Our products are an integral component of these activities and as these activities increase or decrease in the United States or abroad, demand for our products may be significantly impacted.

Projected cost savings or synergies from alliances with new partners could also be negatively impacted by a variety of factors. These factors could include, among other things, higher than expected wages, energy and/or material costs, and/or higher than expected financing costs due to unforeseen changes in tax, trade, environmental, labor, safety, payroll or pension policies in any of the jurisdictions in which the alliances conduct their operations.

Results may be impacted positively or negatively by changes in the sales mix. Our outlook assumes a certain geographic mix of sales as well as a product mix of sales. If actual results vary from this projected geographic and product mix of sales, our results could be negatively impacted.

The company operates in a highly competitive environment and our outlook depends on a forecast of the company's share of industry sales. An unexpected reduction in that share could result from pricing or product strategies pursued by competitors, unanticipated product or manufacturing difficulties, a failure to price the product competitively or an unexpected buildup in competitors' new machine or dealer owned rental fleets, leading to severe downward pressure on machine rental rates and/or used equipment prices.

The environment remains competitive from a pricing standpoint. Our 2006 sales outlook assumes that the company continues to recognize worldwide machine price actions communicated to dealers with an effective date of January 2006. While we expect that the environment will continue to absorb these price actions, changes in the marketplace acceptance would negatively impact our results. Moreover, additional price discounting to maintain our competitive position could result in lower than anticipated realization.

Our sales and results are generally sensitive to changes in economic growth, particularly those originating in construction, mining and energy. Developments reducing such activities also tend to lower our sales. In addition to the factors mentioned above, our sales and results could be negatively impacted by any of the following:

- *Any sudden drop in consumer or business confidence;*
- *Delays in legislation needed to fund public construction;*
- *Regulatory or legislative changes that slow activity in key industries; and/or*
- *Unexpected collapses in stock markets.*

This discussion of uncertainties is by no means exhaustive, but is designed to highlight important factors that may impact our outlook. Obvious factors such as general economic conditions throughout the world do not warrant further discussion, but are noted to further emphasize the myriad of contingencies that may cause the company's actual results to differ from those currently anticipated.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, the company's management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting

Except for the changes noted below, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

During the second quarter 2006, Caterpillar continued to implement new requisition-to-pay business processes and enabling technologies for purchases of non-inventoried goods and services. Additionally, Caterpillar continued implementing a global treasury system, which will support Funding, Risk Management and Cash Operations processes. This implementation is expected to be completed in the third quarter of 2006.

Also during the second quarter 2006, Caterpillar acquired 100 percent of the equity in Progress Rail Services, Inc. This acquired business contains over 90 facilities in 29 states in the United States, Canada and Mexico, with about 3,700 employees. As part of the post-closing integration, the company is engaged in refining and harmonizing the internal controls and processes of the acquired business with those of the company and believes this process will be completed in 2007. Management intends to exclude the internal controls of Progress Rail Services from its annual assessment of the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting (Section 404) for 2006. This exclusion is in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission guidance that an assessment of a recently acquired business may be omitted from management's report on internal control over financial reporting in the year of acquisition.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

The company is regulated by federal, state and international environmental laws governing our use of substances and control of emissions. In addition to governing our manufacturing and other operations, these laws often impact the development of our products, including through required compliance with air emissions standards applicable to internal combustion engines. Compliance with these existing laws has not had a material impact on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position.

We are cleaning up contamination at a number of locations, often with other companies, pursuant to federal and state laws. When it is probable we will pay cleanup costs at a site and those costs can be estimated, the costs are charged against our earnings. In formulating that estimate, we do not consider amounts expected to be recovered from insurance companies and others.

The amount recorded for environmental cleanup is not material and is included in "Accrued expenses" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. Currently, we have several sites in the very early stages of cleanup, and there is no more than a remote chance that a material amount for cleanup at any individual site or at all sites in the aggregate will be required.

We have disclosed certain individual legal proceedings in this filing. Additionally, we are involved in other unresolved legal actions that arise in the normal course of business. The most prevalent of these actions involve disputes related to product design, manufacture and performance liability (including claimed asbestos and welding fumes exposure), contracts, employment issues and intellectual property rights. Although it is not possible to predict with certainty the outcome of these legal actions or the range of probable loss, we believe that these legal actions will not individually or in the aggregate have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On January 16, 2002, Caterpillar commenced an action in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit of Illinois in Peoria, Illinois, against Navistar International Transportation Corporation and International Truck and Engine Corporation (collectively Navistar). The lawsuit arises out of a long-term purchase contract between Caterpillar and Navistar effective May 31, 1988, as amended from time to time (the Purchase Agreement). The pending complaint alleges, among other things, that Navistar breached its contractual obligations by: (i) paying Caterpillar \$8.08 less per fuel injector than the agreed upon price for new unit injectors delivered by Caterpillar; (ii) refusing to pay contractually agreed upon surcharges owed as a result of Navistar ordering less than planned volumes of replacement unit injectors; and (iii) refusing to pay contractually agreed upon interest stemming from Navistar's late payments. As of June 30, 2006, the net past due receivable from Navistar regarding the foregoing and included in "Long-term receivables – trade and other" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position totaled \$139 million. The pending complaint also has claims alleging that Newstream Enterprises and Navistar, collectively and individually, failed to pay the applicable price to Caterpillar for shipments of unit injectors to Newstream. As of June 30, 2006, the net past due receivables for the foregoing, included in "Long-term receivables – trade and other" in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position totaled \$12 million. The pending complaint further alleges that Sturman Industries, Inc. and Sturman Engine Systems, Inc. colluded with Navistar to utilize technology that Sturman Industries, Inc. misappropriated from Caterpillar to help Navistar develop its G2 fuel system, and tortiously interfered with the Purchase Agreement and Caterpillar's prospective economic relationship with Navistar. The pending complaint further alleges that the two parties' collusion led Navistar to select Sturman Engine Systems, Inc., and another company, instead of Caterpillar, to develop and manufacture the G2 fuel system. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On September 30, 2005, International Truck and Engine Corporation (International) commenced an action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of the Tenth Judicial Circuit of Illinois in Peoria, Illinois. The lawsuit states that it arises out of the Purchase Agreement described above. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Caterpillar procured the Purchase Agreement by fraudulently misrepresenting or concealing information related to the business of selling fuel injectors to International, and that Caterpillar breached the Purchase Agreement. International's complaint does not specify the amount of damages being sought. Caterpillar intends to defend itself vigorously in this case. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On May 7, 2002, International commenced an action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois regarding a long-term agreement term sheet (term sheet) (the first lawsuit). In its sixth amended complaint in the first lawsuit, International alleged that the term sheet constitutes a legally binding contract for the sale of heavy-duty engines at specified prices through the end of 2006, alleged that Caterpillar breached the term sheet by, among other things, raising certain prices effective October 1, 2002, and also alleged that Caterpillar breached an obligation to negotiate a comprehensive long-term agreement referenced in the term sheet. International sought declaratory and injunctive relief as well as damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Caterpillar denied International's claims and filed a counterclaim seeking a declaration that the term sheet had been effectively terminated. Caterpillar also asserted that pursuant to a subsequent agreement International released Caterpillar from certain of its claims. On September 24, 2003, the Appellate Court of Illinois, ruling on an interlocutory appeal, issued an order consistent with Caterpillar's position that, even if the court subsequently determines that the term sheet is a binding contract, it is indefinite in duration and was therefore terminable at will by Caterpillar upon reasonable notice. On April 12, 2005, International commenced a second, related action against Caterpillar in the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois (the second lawsuit). The second lawsuit contained allegations similar to the allegations contained in the first lawsuit. International also alleged in the second lawsuit that Caterpillar materially breached the subsequent agreement. On June 15, 2005, International voluntarily dismissed its complaint in the first lawsuit. The second lawsuit has been consolidated with Caterpillar's counterclaims from the first lawsuit. On June 2, 2006, the court vacated the trial date, which had been scheduled to begin during the third quarter of 2006. The court has not set a new trial date. This case is not related to the breach of contract action brought by Caterpillar against Navistar which is currently pending in the Circuit Court of Peoria County, Illinois. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On September 29, 2004, Kruse Technology Partnership (Kruse) filed a lawsuit against Caterpillar in the United States District Court for the Central District of California alleging that certain Caterpillar engines built from October 2002 to the present infringe upon certain claims of three of Kruse's patents on engine fuel injection timing and combustion strategies. Kruse seeks monetary damages, injunctive relief and a finding that the alleged infringement by Caterpillar was willful. Caterpillar denies Kruse's allegations, believes they are without merit, and has filed a counterclaim seeking a declaration from the court that Caterpillar is not infringing upon Kruse's patents and that the patents are invalid and unenforceable. The counterclaim filed by Caterpillar is pending and no trial date is currently scheduled. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

In November 2004, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) alleged that Caterpillar had constructed a facility in Emporia, Kansas that failed to comply with Section 112(g)(2)(B) of the Federal Clean Air Act. Caterpillar sold the Emporia, Kansas facility in December 2002. This matter has now been settled and terminated by Consent Decree, entered on June 12, 2006, in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas, and Caterpillar's payment of a civil penalty of \$300,000 on June 14, 2006. Accordingly, in the opinion of our management, this matter is closed and will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

On June 26, 2006, the UK Environment Agency filed a claim against Caterpillar Logistics Services (UK) Ltd. (CLS) before the Leicester & Rutland Magistrates Court. The complaint alleges that CLS failed to follow UK regulations in connection with the handling and disposal of special waste (primarily batteries) from January through September 2005. Eight separate offenses have been cited. CLS is required to appear before the Court on August 3, 2006, to respond to the charges. No claim for monetary penalties has been stated in the complaint. Although the regulations provide for the assessment of fines, we have no basis to determine at what level at this time. We estimate total fines could reach £85,000 (approximately \$157,000) should CLS be found to have committed all of the alleged offenses. While CLS intends to defend itself in this action, it is the opinion of our management that the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) completed its field examination of our 1995 through 1999 U.S. tax returns during the second quarter of 2005. In connection with this examination, we received notices of certain adjustments proposed by the IRS, primarily related to foreign sales corporation commissions, foreign tax credit calculations and research and development credits. We disagree with these proposed adjustments and are vigorously disputing this matter through applicable IRS and judicial procedures, as appropriate. Although the final resolution of the proposed adjustments is uncertain, in the opinion of our management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

The World Trade Organization (WTO) previously found that the transitional and grandfathering provisions for extraterritorial income exclusion (ETI), under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, did not satisfy the United States' obligation to "withdraw" prohibited export subsidies. The WTO result allowed the European Union to impose already authorized sanctions on certain U.S. origin goods beginning May 16, 2006. The Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2005, signed by President Bush on May 17, 2006, repealed the grandfathering provisions for ETI. In response, the European Union Trade Commissioner announced the cancellation of sanctions ending the dispute. We were not materially impacted by this resolution.

Item 1A. Business Risk Factors

In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should consider other risk factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Business Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and in the Safe Harbor Statement included in this report are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently judge to be immaterial may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased Under the Program | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program |
|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| April 1-30, 2006 | 5,924,000 | \$ 75.95 | 5,924,000 | 25,242,174 ¹ |
| May 1-31, 2006 | 9,272,000 | 75.47 | 9,272,000 | 17,817,273 ¹ |
| June 1-30, 2006 | 7,645,700 | 68.29 | 7,645,700 | 15,748,473 ¹ |
| Total | 22,841,700 | \$ 73.19 | 22,841,700 | |

¹ On October 8, 2003, the Board of Directors approved an extension of the share repurchase program (through October 2008) with the goal of reducing the company's outstanding shares to 640,000,000. Amount represents the shares outstanding at the end of the period less 640,000,000.

Other Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ¹ | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased Under the Program | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program |
|------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| April 1-30, 2006 | - | \$ - | NA | NA |
| May 1-31, 2006 | - | - | NA | NA |
| June 1-30, 2006 | - | - | NA | NA |
| Total | - | \$ - | | |

¹ Represents shares delivered back to issuer for the payment of taxes resulting from the release of restricted stock.

Non-U.S. Employee Stock Purchase Plans

We have 30 employee stock purchase plans administered outside the United States for our non-U.S. employees. As of June 30, 2006, those plans had approximately 11,600 participants in the aggregate. During the second quarter of 2006, approximately 142,000 shares of Caterpillar common stock or foreign denominated equivalents were distributed under the plans. Participants in some non-U.S. plans have the option of receiving non-U.S. share certificates (foreign-denominated equivalents) in lieu of U.S. shares of Caterpillar Inc. common stock upon withdrawal from the plan. These equivalent certificates are tradable only on the local stock market and are included in our determination of shares outstanding.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Caterpillar Inc. was held on June 14, 2006, for the purpose of electing directors and voting on the proposals described below. Proxies for the meeting were solicited pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and there was no solicitation in opposition to management's solicitations. A plurality vote of the shares present at the meeting was required for election of directors. An affirmative vote of the majority (50 percent or greater) of shares present at the meeting was required for approval of all other proposals. Abstentions and broker non-votes have the effect of a vote against matters other than director elections. Quorum exists if at least one-third of Caterpillar stockholders are present in person or by proxy at the meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present for establishing a quorum.

Proposal 1 - Election of Directors

All of management's nominees for directors as listed in the proxy statement received a plurality vote of the shares present at the meeting and an affirmative vote of **96% or greater** and were **ELECTED** with the following vote:

| | Shares Voted "FOR" | Shares "WITHHELD" | Broker Non-Votes |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| David R. Goode | 575,524,222 | 22,151,553 | 50,362 |
| James W. Owens | 575,955,998 | 21,720,275 | 49,864 |
| Charles D. Powell | 577,204,091 | 20,471,619 | 50,427 |
| Joshua I. Smith | 574,673,323 | 23,002,486 | 50,328 |

Proposal 2 - Amend Articles of Incorporation

A management proposal requesting approval of an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation received the affirmative vote of **89.04%** of the shares present at the meeting and was **APPROVED** with the following vote:

| Shares Voted "FOR" | Shares Voted "AGAINST" | Shares "ABSTAINING" | Broker Non-Votes |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 532,197,821 | 55,532,429 | 9,945,886 | 50,001 |

Proposal 3 - Approve Long-Term Incentive Plan

A management proposal requesting approval of the Caterpillar Inc. 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan received the affirmative vote of **60.20%** of the shares present at the meeting was **APPROVED** with the following vote:

| Shares Voted "FOR" | Shares Voted "AGAINST" | Shares "ABSTAINING" | Broker Non-Votes |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 359,817,099 | 165,174,240 | 10,393,429 | 62,341,369 |

Proposal 4 - Approve Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan

A management proposal requesting approval of the Caterpillar Inc. Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan received the affirmative vote of **94.47%** of the shares present at the meeting was **APPROVED** with the following vote:

| Shares Voted "FOR" | Shares Voted "AGAINST" | Shares "ABSTAINING" | Broker Non-Votes |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 564,603,539 | 22,551,235 | 10,571,362 | 0 |

Proposal 5 - Ratification of Auditors

A management proposal requesting ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm received the affirmative vote of **96.36%** of the shares present at the meeting was **APPROVED** with the following vote:

| Shares Voted "FOR" | Shares Voted "AGAINST" | Shares "ABSTAINING" | Broker Non-Votes |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 575,933,172 | 12,876,688 | 8,865,627 | 50,650 |

Proposal 6 - Stockholder Proposal - Annual Election of Directors

A stockholder proposal requesting the Board of Directors to take steps necessary to adopt annual election of each director was not properly presented by the proponent or a qualified representative at the meeting. The vote for the proposal was therefore **NULLIFIED**.

Proposal 7 - Stockholder Proposal - Separate CEO & Chair

A stockholder proposal requesting the Board of Directors to separate the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer received the affirmative vote of **27.93%** of the shares present at the meeting was **DEFEATED** with the following vote:

| Shares Voted "FOR" | Shares Voted "AGAINST" | Shares "ABSTAINING" | Broker Non-Votes |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <hr/> 166,914,177 | <hr/> 357,274,573 | <hr/> 11,060,564 | <hr/> 62,471,823 |

Proposal 8 - Stockholder Proposal - Majority Vote Standard

The stockholder proposal requesting the Board of Directors to adopt majority vote standard received the affirmative vote of **45.69%** of the shares present at the meeting was **DEFEATED** with the following vote:

| Shares Voted "FOR" | Shares Voted "AGAINST" | Shares "ABSTAINING" | Broker Non-Votes |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <hr/> 273,063,715 | <hr/> 249,982,274 | <hr/> 12,338,694 | <hr/> 62,341,454 |

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits:

- 31.1 Certification of James W. Owens, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Caterpillar Inc., as required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of David B. Burritt, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Caterpillar Inc., as required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certification of James W. Owens, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Caterpillar Inc. and David B. Burritt, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Caterpillar Inc., as required pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CATERPILLAR INC.

| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| August 2, 2006 | <u>/s/ James W. Owens</u> (James W. Owens) | Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer |
| August 2, 2006 | <u>/s/ David B. Burritt</u> (David B. Burritt) | Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| August 2, 2006 | <u>/s/ Bradley M. Halverson</u> (Bradley M. Halverson) | Controller and Chief Accounting Officer |
| August 2, 2006 | <u>/s/ James B. Buda</u> (James B. Buda) | Secretary |

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, James W. Owens, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Caterpillar Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

August 2, 2006

/s/ James W. Owens
(James W. Owens)

Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, David B. Burritt, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Caterpillar Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report; based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

August 2, 2006

/s/ David B. Burritt
(David B. Burritt)

Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Caterpillar Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2006 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned hereby certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of our knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

August 2, 2006

/s/ James W. Owens
(James W. Owens)

Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

August 2, 2006

/s/ David B. Burritt
(David B. Burritt)

Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Caterpillar Inc. and will be retained by Caterpillar Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.



YELA0803